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These data describe the volume of juvenile cases disposed by courts in the fifty states, the District of Columbia, and the territories of the Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico during calendar year 1982. The data contain all available summary information on children's cases disposed in courts having jurisdiction over juvenile matters, delinquency there are 3085 cases, one record for each case. The logical record length of the file is 144 characters. Class IV
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This data file is the basis of Juvenile Court Statistics: 1982. This series of reports, the oldest continuous source of information on juvenile courts processing of delinquent and dependent youth, was
inaugurated in 1926 by the Children's Bureau of the United States Departsent of Labor and is now maintained in the National Juvenile Court Data Archive by the National Center for Juvenile Justice under contract to the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, U.S. epartment of Justice. The report describes in quantitative terms th volume of juvenile cases disposed by courts during the calendar year children's cases disposed in courts having jurisdiction over juvenile matters-delinquency, status, and dependency/neglect-in the fifty states, the District of Columbia, and the territories of the Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico
puring its history, the series has undergone modifications in objectives, reporting procedures, and content. In 1923, a committee of Juvenile Court Statistics series, as follows

1. To furnish an index of the general nature and extent of the problems brought before the juvenile courts
2. To show the extent and nature of service given by courts in such a way that significant trends in methods of treatment and in scope and volume of juvenile court work would be brought out
3. To point out significant factors contributing to the problems coming before the courts in order to throw light on possibilities of correction and prevention; and
4. To show the extent to which service given by courts has been effective in correcting social problems
Initially, annual reports included information and analyzed trends on factors such as gender, race, home conditions, reason referred, place of requested from juvenile courts became limited in 1952, the information delinquency, dependency/neglect, and special proceedings cases handled with or without the filing of a petition. These reports combined traffic cases with delinguency cases; not until 1958 were traffic cases identified separately. Later, variations in juvenile court jurisdiction over traffic cases and frequent changes in laws affecting this
jurisdiction made it difficult to determine national trends. jurisdiction made it difficult to determine national trends. Therefore similar reasons, beginning in 1975, excluded special proceedings.

## The aggregation of individual county caseload figures may encourage

 comparisons of the workloads of different jurisdictions for the reporting year and individual courts over time. However, for several reasons researchers are cautioned not to draw comparisons based on thesand problems faced by individual courts. For example, some courts have jurisdiction over all children below the age of 18 , while in others some criminal justice system. In addition, individual jurisdictions because of their geographical, economic, sociological, and judicial characteristics may be faced with differing problems affecting the quantity and nature of cases coming to the juvenile courts' ettention

Another problem is the inconsistency in the units of count of the summary statistics. "Unit of count" is the standard of measurement by which summary data are reported. For this data base the preferred unit of count is the 'case disposed'. This represents a child referred to he juvenile court on a new referral for delinquency or dependency/neglect, regardless of the number of charges involved. A case is 'disposed" when, after assessing the situation, some definite
action is taken or some treatment plan is decided upon or begun. It oust be strongly emphasized that not all courts collect statistics usin this unit of count. The National Juvenile Court Data Archive houses all juvenile court data regardless of the structure of the information and, herefore, not all figures contained in this data base represent a 'cas isposed'. The data documentation for each data source specifies its nit(s) of count and should be consulted prior to any use of the dat The following examples may help to clarify some of the distinctions can be charged with one or more offenses. For instance, a juvenile may be referred for trespassing, burglary and vandalism. The preferred unit of count would consider this as the beginning of a single case which would be tallied as a referral disposed when either the case is ismissed, handed informally without the fing of a petition, or, if disposition hearing is completed. However, in some jurisdictions, this example would be considered to be three cases because of the number of charges involved. Or it may be tallied at the time of referral and not at the time of disposition. In another situation a child may be eferred to court more than once in a year. Assume a child is referred o juvenile court first for assault and again a week later for noto youth may be institutionalized. The preferred unit of count, the ' disposed', would count this as two cases. This would not be considered single case since two separate referrals were involved. However, some data collection procedures may count this as one case because there was only one dispositional hearing. Anyone using these data is urged to be to analysis.

Methods
Most of the data in this base were originally compiled by state agencie esponsible for the collection and dissemination of their state's uvenile court statistical information. Some individual jurisdiction contacted when the state level system was either less complete or
existent. With the exceptions of Alaska, Connecticut, Florida, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands, all data were aggregated in county units court level
court system, reported data aggregated in juvenile venue districts. Florida's data were reported in the districts utilized by the Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services. Puerto Rico's data were aggregated at the district court level. The Virgin Islands reported the data in terms of the three major islands comprising the territory. Therefore, the level of data identify the reporting unit, although users should be aware that in some instances this may be an imprecise designation.
To document the juvenile courts' volume of delinquency and dependency/neglect cases disposed, Juvenile Court Statistics data forms (JCS forms) and coding instructions were mailed to agencies in each of
the 50 states (or individual jurisdictions), the District of Columbia, and the territories of Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands. Information was requested on the number of male and female delinquency and
dependency/neglect cases disposed with and without the filing of a petition in each county (or reporting unit). Since state juvenile codes do not contain uniform definitions of the terms "delinquent," "dependency/neglect," and "case" the coding instructions emphasized the definition of terms below). In those instances where the data suppliers were not able to report their data using the preferred unit of count they were asked to indicate what their figures actually represented. Along with the completed JCS forms, data were abstracted from annual reports and, in a large number of instances, from data tapes generated by automated reporting systems. If questions arose concerning the data and jurisdictions represented in this data set have verified their data for this file.

Definitions
Listed below are terms and their definitions needed to understend the figures contained in the file. Although the terms are not unique to this data base, their definitions may be and, therefore, users are reading each definition
DELINQUENCY CASES are referrals to juvenile court for acts defined in state statutes as violations of a state law or municipal ordinance by conduct so seriously antisocial as to interfere with the rights of others or to menace the welfare of the delinquent child or the community. The aforementioned definition of delinquency includes status offenses, conduct which violates the law only when committed by a child (i.e., truancy, ungovernable behavior, running away, curfew and liquor ordinary traffic cases inandled by juvenile courts, except those which are handled as "juvenile delinquency" cases because of their serious
nature; (2) all adult cases in which the complaint is made against the adult, such as contributing to the delinquency of a minor, offenses against children, nonsupport, and the establishment of paternity; and
(3) aid-to-families-with-dependent-children cases in which the court' administration of funds for and to dependent children is the only reason for court referrai.

DEPENDENCY/NEGLECT CASES are referrals for neglect or inadequate care on the part of the parents or guardians. This includes incidents of lack of adequate care or support resulting from death, absence, or physical
or mental incapacity of the parents; abandonment or desertion; abuse or cruel treatment; and improper or inadequate conditions in the home.
MANNER OF HANDLING classifies the processing of the case through the court system into one of two general categories. "Petitioned" cases are or referee through the filing of a petition, affidavit, or other legal instrument used to initiate court action. "Nonpetitioned" cases are those cases which are not placed on the official court calendar through the filing of a petition or affidavit but which are adjusted by a judge, referee, probation officer, other officer of the court, or agency tatutorily designated to conduct petition screening for the juvenile court. Also included are those cases which were handled by the probation officer or were dropped or withdrawn without being placed on

NNIT OF COUNT (preferred) is the case which is defined as a referral disposed. Each case represents a child referred to the juvenile court on a new referral for delinquency or dependency/neglect. A case is taken or some treatment plan is decided upon or begun. The date of disposition determines in what calendar year the case is counted, not the date of referral. Therefore, a case can be referred in one calendar year but not disposed (and counted) until the next. Each referral may contain one or more charges (offenses). One child may be involved in a umber of cases within a calendar year. Each child in a group is as a group. However it cannot be too strongly emphasized that the unit(s) of count of the data from a specific source may vary from the preferred unit of count. These differences are identified for the user in the footnotes associated with each data source.

Record Layout
The data file contains one record for each of the 3085 counties or reporting units. The record layout is


* State Number and County Number (in all places except Alaska, Connecticut, Florida, Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands) are FIPS Connecticut, Florida, Puerto Rico and the Virgin Tslands) jurisdictions which did not report in geographic units consistent with Census' boundaries, a County Number unique to this data base was assigned. See the Appendix for a complete list of reporting units and their FIPS Codes.

Data Documentation
This section lists by state (1) the agencies that transmitted their 1982 juvenile court statistics to the National Center for Juvenile Justice (NCJJ), (2) the mode of transmission, and (3) the type of data reported file were abstracted by NCJJ from an automated data base provided in the agency. The tern "JCS form" after an agency name indicates that the agency compieted a data survey form provided by NCJJ. The form and it accompanying instructions asked for the number of male and female delinquency and dependency/neglect cases disposed with and without the filing of a petition for each county within the agency's geographical to provide statistics consistent with the requested definitions unabl detail. In such instances these variations are noted.) Informatio froin some agencies was abstracted from their annual reports. The name of the report and the page on which the information is found are listed after the agency's name

The notes following each data source describe the nature of the delinquency," "dependency/neglect," and/or "total" data found in the contain the number of male petition, female petition, male nonpetition, and female nonpetition cases; another may report the number of petition and the number of nonpetition cases without a gender breakdown; and another may only report the totel number of cases handled by the disposed" unit of count, there are several wheir data using the "case (charges) referred, filings, arraignment or dispositional hearings outh. Consequently, the unit of count varies across sources and, or herefore, the data notes for each source nust be reviewed before ttempt is made to combine data across sources.

## lab

Alabama Department of Youth Services: JCS form: (Similar figures can also be found on pages 35-37 of the 1982
Note:

1. Delinquency fert prepared by the Department.)
ale nonpetition and female potition, female petition, They include traffic and violation of probation/aftercare
2. Dependency/neglect figures are male petition, female petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition cas disposed. They include special proceedings and are an undercount of the actual number of cases due to incomplete reporting. The Department of Pensions and Security handlea
dependency/neglect cases and transmits the statistical deta . Total figures are not Youth Service not known because dependency/neglect date are incomplete.

## Aleska

## $\frac{\text { Source }}{\text { Note: }}$

Alaska Court System: 1982 Annual Report, page s-43. 1. Total figures are total petition cases disposed. They include delinquency, status, and dependency/neglect case by superior court for fiscal year 1982.
2. Juvenile court cases are processed at the superior court level.

## Arizona

Supreme Court of Arizona: JCS form

1. Delinquency figures are total petition disposition (meaning more than one case can be disposed in one hearing thus receiving only one disposition) and total nonpetition not known because the petition and nonpetition units of counts are not the same.
2. Dependency/neglect figures are total petition dispositions (meaning more than one case can be disposed in one hearing thus receiving only one disposition)
3. Total figures are total petition dispositions (eeaning that More than one case can be disposed in one hearing thus petition delinquency and dependency/neglect counts. Total nompetition figures are not known because nonpetition dependency/neglect data were not reported.

## Maricopa County, Arizone

Source: Maricopa County Juvenile Court Center (delinquency cases): tape. Sup

1. Delinquency figures are wale petition, female petition male nompetition and female nonpetition cases disposed.
2. Dependency/neglect figures are total petition dispositions. This means that more than one case can be disposed in one
3. Total petition figures are not known because the
delinquency and dependency/neglect units of count are not the same. Total nonpetition figures are not known because nonpetition dependency/neglect data were not reported.

## rkansas <br> arkansas Department of Human Services, Division of Youth

Note: Services: JCS form

1. Delinquency figures are total cases disposed.
2. Dependency/neglect figures are total cases disposed. of deligures are total cases disposed. They are the sum of delinquency and dependency/neglect counts.

## California

Source: Bureau of Criminal Statistics and Special Services (delinquency cases): tape. Judicial Council of California

There is an undercount of nonpetition delinquency cases in Alameda, San Diego and Santa Clara counties. These the nuber of an information system which does not capture already active in the court system while the figures for the majority of the state include these data.
2. Dependency/neglect figures are total petition case disposed for fiscal year 1982 .
3. Total petition figures are not known because petition delinquency and dependency/neglect figures cover different 1982 while dependency/neglect figures are for the fiscal year.) Total nonpetition figures are not know because nonpetition dependency/neglect data were not reported.

## os Angeles County, Californie

Source: Superior Court, Los Angeles County (petition delinquency cases): Juvenile Court Coordinator's Yearly Workload Report os Angeles County Probation Department (nonpetition delinquency cases): 1982 Annual Report to Judges, page 3 1983 Annual Report, paliforn 188
1983 Annual Report, page 188. (dependency/neglect cases)
. Delinquency figures are total petition and total nonpetition cases disposed. The number of petition case Unfit," "Preadjudication Dismissals," "Petitions Found True" and "Disposition Hearings" from the Juvenile Court Coordinator's Yearly Workload Report. The number of nonpetition cases was calculated using figures from the 1982 Annual Report to Judges. Figures for "Closed Afte Investigation, "Informal Supervision, "Abeyance" and "Recormended Petition Cases" (which represents those cases that were recommended for petition but refused and were actually handled informally) was added to the calculated nonpetition cases to derive the total number of nonpetition cases.
2. Dependency/neglect figures are total petition case disposed for fiscal year 1982
Total petition figures are not known because petition delinquency and dependency/neglect figures cover different 1982 spans. (Delinquency figures are for calendar year 182 while dependency/neglect figures are for the fiecal year.) Total nonpetition figures are not known because

Colorado
Source: Colorado Judicial Department: JCS form. (Similar figures can also be found in the Annual Report of the Colorado Judiciary, July 1, 1981 to June 30, 1982, page 113.
Note: 1. Delinquency figures are total petition cases terminated dhring fiscal year 1982
. Dependent nes fisces are total petion case terminated during fiscal year 1982

Total figures are total petition cases tereinated durin fiscal year 1982. They are the sum of delinquency and dependency/neglect counts.
Compecticut
tape.
. Delinquency figures are male petition, female petition,
2. Dependency/neglect figures were not reported.
. Total figures are not known because dependency/neglect data
Connectic reported.
reported by joes not have counties, therefore the data ar state.

Delavare
Source: Fanily Court of the State of Delaware: 1982 Fiscal Year 1. Delinquency page 10

Delinquency figures are total "felony" and "misdemeanor" delinquency cases filed (petitioned) during fiscal year
2. Dependency/neglect figures were not reported
3. Total figures are not known because dependency/neglect dat
were not reported.

District of Columbia
Source: District of Columbia Courts: 1982 Annual Report, pages 69 an
Note: $\quad 1$.

1. Delinquency figures are total petition and total
nonpetition cases disposed.
2. Dependency/neglect figures are total petition and tota
3. Total figures are disposed.
4. Total figures are total petition and total nonpetition cases disposed. They are the sum of delinquency and

Source: Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services; Children, 1. Delinquanies Program Office: tape
. ale nonpetition and are male petition, female petition,
2. Dependency/neglect figures are malition cases disposed. petition, male nonpetition and female nonpeti, female disposed.
T. Total figures are male petition, female petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition cases disposed. They
4. The figures represent the number of cascy/neglect counts. during 1982 which captures only those disposed closed by Intake reported to the Departuent of Health and Reshabilitas Services by caseworkers correctly completing and subnitting a "Client Infornation Fora - Dependency/Delinquency Intake. The Department of. Health and Rehabilitative
Services Intake Department, having a broad range of
operations, reports information on other child care services not part of the typical juvenile court system. Therefore, the number of nonpetition cases may appear highsr and fluctuate more than those reported by othe information systems which report only juvenile court
Florida
Florida reported its data by Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services (HRS) districts. Therefore, HRS
districts were used as the reporting area. The following is a list of counties within HRS districts. District 1.: Escambia, okaloosa, Santa Rosa, and Walton. District 2.: Bay, Calhoun, Franklin, Gadsden, Gulf, Holmes, Jackson, Jefferson, Leon, Liberty, Madison, Taylor, Wakulla, a, Columbis, Dixie, Gilchrist, Hamilton, Hernando, Lafayette, Lake, Levy, Marion, Putnam, Sumter, Suwannee, and Union. District 4.: Baker, Clay, Duval, Flagler, Nassau, St. Johns, and Volusia. District 5.: Pasco and Pinellas. District 6.: Hardee, Highlands, Hillsborough, Manatee, and Polk. District 7.: Brevard, Orange, Osceola, and Glades, Hendry, Lee, and Sarasota. District 9.: Indian River, Martin, Okeechobee, Palm Beach, and St. Lucie. District 10.: Broward. District 11.: Dade and Monroe.

## Georgia

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts: JCS form (Sinilar figures can also be found in the Ninth Annual Report on the igures can also be found in the Ninth Annual Report on
Work of the Georgia Courts, pages 22-24); and Council of Juvenile Court Judges: tape.
Note: 1. Delinquency, dependency/neglect and total figures are cases disposed for those counties reporting male petition, feasle petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition figures for the calendar year. The remaining counties reported (petition) or the total number of children disposed (petition and nonpetition) for fiscal year 1982.
2. Total figures are the sum of delinquency and dependency/neglect counts.

## Fulton County, Georgis

Source: Fulton County Juvenile Court: 1982 Annual Report, page 22

1. Delinquency figures are total petition and total nonpetition cases disposed.
. Dependency/neglect figures are total petition and total nonpetition cases disposed.
2. Total figures are total petition and total nonpetition cases disposed. They are the sum of delinquency and cases disposed. They are

The Judiciary, Administrative office of the Courts: tape. nonpetition cases disposed
2. Dependency/neglect figures are total petition and total nompetition cases disposed.
3. Total figures are total petition and total nonpetition cases disposed. They are the aum of delinquency and dependency/neglect counts.

## Idaho

Source: Idaho Department of Health and Welfare: JCS form.

1. Delinquency figures are total petition and total nonpetition charges referred. Dependency/neglect figures ar
nonpetition charges referred.
2. Total figures are total petition and total nonpetition charges referred. They are the sum of delinquency and dependency/neglect counts.

## Illinois

Source: Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts: JCS forna.

1. Delinquency figures are total petition cases disposed.
2. Dependency/neglect figures are total petition cases disposed.
3. Total figures are total petition cases disposed. They are the suim of delinquency and dependency/neglect counts.

## Cook County, Illinois

Source: Cook County Juvenile Court: JCS form
Nource: Cook county Juvenile court: Jale formion, female petition and total nonpetition cases disposed.
2. Dependency/neglect figures are male petition, female
petition and total nonpetition cases disposed
3. Total figures are male petition, female petition and total nonpetition cases disposed. They are the

Indiana
Source: Division of State Court Administration: 1982 Indiana Judicial Report pages 1. Total figures are total petition cases disposed and incl delinquency, dependency, neglect and paternity cases.

Source: $\quad$ Iowa Department of Social Services: tape and Juvenile Court
Cases Reported by the Juvenile Probation Officer, Cy1982. 1. Delinquency figures are male petition, female petition ale nonpetition and female nonpetition cases petition,

The following counties' figures were taken from the annual report which includes traffic cases in the delinquency Crawford (which Calhoun (which includes Carroll and Sac), and Hardin.
2. Dependency/neglect figures are male petition, female petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition cases only those filed by probation authorities. The sreater

Waldo: Belfast. Washington: Calais and Machias. York:
Biddeford, Kittery and Springvale.
amount were initially filed with the Department of Human Services and were not reported here even though they may
Total figures are not known because dependency/peglect data are incomplete.

Source: Eansas Bureau of Investigation, Statistical Analysis Center
tape.

1. Delinquency figures are male petition, female petition,
2. Dependency/neglect figures are male petition fomple petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition cases disposed.
3. Total figures are male petition, female petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition cases disposed. They
entucky Administrative office of the Courts: JCS form.

## entucky

1. Total figures are total petition hearings and are the total number of juvenile and adult hearings in juvenile court needy, abuse, paternity and adult violations.

Source: The Judicial Council of the Suprene Court of Louisiana: 1982
Note: Annual Report, pages 34-37.
Note: 1. Total figures are total cases filed and include petition and nonpetition delinquency, dependency/neglect, status and special proceeding cases.

Maine
Source:
Note:

Juvenile Services Administration, Department of Health and
Mental Hygiene: tape. male nonpetition and female nonpetition cases disposed. - Dependency/neglect figures are male petition, famale disposed.
3. Total figures are male petition, fenale petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition coses disposed. They are the sum of delinquency and dependency/neglect counts.

Massachusetts
Source: Office of the Commission of Probation: Statistical pages
Note: Provided to the National Center for Juvenile Justice. Status offense cases are not included because of incompatible units of count.
2. Dependency/neglect figures are the total number of children making on initial court appearance
. Total fiskures are not known because the delinquency and dependency/neglect units of count are not the same.

## ichiga

Source: State Court Administrative Office: Probate Court Supplement to the 1981-82 Annual Report, pages 169-173

1. Delinquency figures are total new petitions authorized in fiscal year 1982 .
. Dependency/neglect figures are total new petitions authorized in fiscal year 1982. They include supplemental petitions and petitions for rehearings for some courts. This accounts for the wide variation in the number of petitions authorized.
2. Total figures are new petitions authorized in fiscal year 1982. They are the sum of delinquency and
. The figures for Kalamazoo County are incomplete.

## Minneeota

Figures were not reported.

## Missiesippi

Source: Mississippi Department of Youth Services: tape Note: 1. Delinquency figures are male petition, fapale petition, 2. Dependipetition and female nonpetition cases disposed. . Dependency/neglect figures are male petition, female petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition cases to the attention of the Dep rtment of Youth Services yia court processing are inclucisd here. The majority of case

Administrative Office of the Court: State of Maine Judicial Department 1982 Annual Report, pages 134-147.

1. Delinquency figures are total petition cases disposed and include traffic cases. Status offenses are not handled in in the delinquency figures.
2. Dependency/neglect figures were not reported.
3. Total figures are not known because dependency/neglect date were not reported.
4. The numbers for the district courts were sumed to determine county figures. The following is a list of district courts within counties. Androscoggin: Lewisto and Livermore Falls. Aroostook: Caribou, Fort Kent, Houlton, Madawaska, Presque Isle and Van Buren.
Cumberland: Bridgton, Brunswick and Portland. Franklin: Farnington. Hancock: Bar Harbor and Ellaworth. Kennebec Augusta and Waterville. Knox: Rockland. Lincoln: Wiscasset. Oxford: Rumford and S. Paris. Penobscot: Dover-Foxcroft. Sagadahoc: Bath. Somerset: Skowhegan
were handled through the Department of Public Welfare and did not cone in contact with the juvenile court.
Total figures are male petition, female petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition. They are the sum of delinquency and dependency/neglect counts.
udget: JCS form
. male nonpetition and ferale petition, female petition, . Dependency/neglect figures are male petition dispose petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition cases disposed.
. Total figures are male petition, female petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition cases disposed. They are the sum of delinquency and dependency/neglect counts.

## Montana

Juvenile Justice Bureau, Board of Crime Control: JCS for . The data were reported at the state level; no county breakdown was available. The total number of delinquency cases disposed in 1982 was 6,339 . This includes 4,565 male cases and 1,774 female cases. Approximately ten percent of the cases were petitioned
Dependency/neglect figures were not reported

Source: Nebraska Crime Comission: tape. (Similar figures can also be found in the Nebraska Crime Commission 1982 Juvenile Cour Report, pages 33-35.)
Note: 1. Delinquency figures are male petition, female petition male nonpetition and female nonpetition cases disposed
petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition disposed. In Douglas County only those dependency/neglect cases which go to the county attorney's office (petition cases) are reported.
3. Total figures are male petition, female petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition cases disposed. They are the sum of delinquency and dependency/neglect counts.
ounty, Nevada
Source: Clark County Juvenile Court Services: JCS form.
Delinquency figures are male petition, female petition,
male nonpetition and female nonpetition offenses referred
. Dependency/neglect figures are male petition, female petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition offense referred
nonpetition and female nonpetition offenses referred. They are the sum of delinquency and dependency/neglect counts.

## New Elamphire

Source: State of New Hampshire Judicial Council: JCS form. Delinquency figures are total petition case entries by 2. juvenile courts for fiscal year 1982.
2. Dependency/neglect fisures are total petition case entries
by juvenile courts for fiscal year 1982.
3. by juvenile courts for fiscal year 1982 .
. Total figures are total petition case entries by juvenile
courts for fiscal year 1982. They are the sum of delinquency and dependency/neglect counts.

## Jew Jersey

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts; Statistical Services,
Note: Research and Evaluation: JCS forn.

- Delinquency figures are total petition hearings and total delinquency cases is not known because the petition and
Donpetition units of count are not the same.

2. Dependency/neglect are total petition hearings and total nonpetition cases disposed. They include atatus of fense cases. The total number of dependency/neglect cases is not are not the same.
3. Total figures are total petition hearings and totel nonpetition cases disposed. They are the sum of delinquency and dependency/neglect counts. The tota number of cases is not known because the petition and nonpetition units of count are not the same

New Mexico
ource: Administrative Office of the Courts: JCS form . Delinquency figures are total petition and total nonpetition cases disposed for fiscal year 1982.
2. Dependency/neglect figures were not reported.

Total fors depa were not reported

## Now

Source: State of New York, Division of Probation (nonpetition elinquency cases). JCS fora. Office of Management Support, Unified Court System (petition delinquency and
ependency/neglect cases): tape.
total nonpetition cares misp petition, female petition and
. Dependency/neglect figures are male petition and female
petition cases disposed.
3. Total figures are male petition and female petition cases disposed. They are the sum of petition delinquency and dependency/neglect counts. Total nonpetition figures are not reported

Morth Caroline
Source: Administrative Office of the Courts: North Carolina Court 1981-1982 Annual Report, pages 136-139

Note: 1. Delinquency figures are "offenses and conditions alleged in
juvenile petitions" during fiscal year 1982.
2. Dependency/neglect figures are "offenses and conditions alleged in juvenile petitions" during fiscal year 1982
3. Total figures are "offenses and conditions alleged in juvenile petitions" during fiscal year 1982. They are the um of delinquency and dependency/neglect counts.

North Dakota
Source: Supreme Court, Office of State Court Administrator: tape. Note:

Delinquency figures are male petition, female petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition cases dispose
2. Dependency/neglect figures are male petition, female petition,
disposed.
3. Total figures are male petition, female petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition cases disposed. They are the sum of delinquency and dependency/neglect counts

Ohio Department of Youth Services: Ohio Juvenile Court Statistics Source: Department of Youth Ser
1982 Report, pages 6-9

1. Delinquency figures are male petition and female petition Delinquency figures are male petition and female petition
and are a count of individual youth referred. (A youth is only counted once during a calendar year regardless of ho many times he/she is referred in that year.)
2. Dependency/neglect figures are total petition and total
nonpetition charges referred. dependency/neglect units of count are not the same.

## Cuyahoga County, Ohio

Source: Cuyahoga County Juvenile Court (delinquency cases): 1982 Annual Report, pages 20-21. Department of Youth Services dependency/neglect co
1982 Report, pages 6-9
Note: 1. Deiinquency figures are total petition and total nonpetition cases disposed. The number of unofficial
delinquency cases disposed is the sum of "Total Unofficial delinquency cases disposed is the sum of "Total Unofficial Dispositions" and "Total Disposed of Without Official Co
Action."
Dependency/neglect figures are total petition and total
nonpetition charges referred
3. Total figures are not known because the delinquency and dependency/neglect units of count are not the same

## Oklahome <br> Source

Supreme Court of Oklahoma, Court Services Division: JCS form

1. Delinquency figures are total petition cases disposed for - Delinquency figur
2. Dependency/neglect figures are total petition case disposed for fiscal year 1982.
3. Total figures are total petition cases disposed for fiscal year 1982. They are the sum of delinquency and dependency/neglect counts.

Department of Human Resources, Children's Services Division JCS form.

1. Delinquency figures are male petition, female petition, 2. Dependenctition and female nonpetition offenses refe Dependency/neglect fisures are male petition, female
petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition of petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition offen
referred. 3. Total figures are male petition, female petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition offency/neglect counts.

## Pemnsylvania

Source: Juvenile Court Judges' Commission: tape.
Note: 1. Delinquency figures are male petition, female petition sale nonpetition and female nonpetition cases disposed
2. Depidenct figures were not reported
3. Total figures are are not known because dependency/neglect data were not reported.
4. Allegheny County delinquency figures are total petition and
total nonpetition cases disposed
5. Status offenses are classified as dependency/neglect cases

Sourdphia County, Pennsylvania Philadelphia: Family Court Division Source: Court of Common Pleas
Note: 1. Delinquency figures are nale petition, female petition nale nonpetition and female nonpetition cases disposed
2. Dependency/neglect figures were not reported
3. Total figures are not known because dependency/neglect data
4. Status offenses are classified as dependency/neglect cases

## uerto Rico

Source: Office of Court Administration: JCS form
Note: 1. Delinquency figures are total petition cases disposed sggregated at the district court level for fiscal year 1982. They include traffic cases.
2. Dependency/neglect figures were not reported. were not reported.

## Phode Island

Figures were not reported

## outh Caroline

Source: Department of Youth Services: JCS form

1. Delinquency figures are total petition and tota nonpetition dispositions.
2. Dependency/neglect figures were not reported.
3. Total figures are not known because dependency/neglect data were not reported.
South Dakot
Source: State Court Administrator's Office: tape
. Delinquency figures are male petition, female petition
4. Dependency/neglect figures were not reported.
5. Total figures are not known because dependency/neglect date
6. Whannon County is.
7. Shannon County is an American Indian reservation and handles juvenile matters in the tribal court which is not
part of the state's juvenile court system.

Shelby County, Tennessee
Source: Juvenile Court of Memphis and Shelby County: tape. (Similar figures can also be found in the 1982 Annual Report of Memphis and Shelby County, pases 34,37 and 41.)
Delinquency figures are male petition, female petition
male nonpetition and female nonpetition cases disposed
2. Dependency/neglect figures are male petition, female petition, male nonpetition and female nompetition cases
disposed.
3. Total figures are male petition, female petition, ma nonpetition and female nonpetition cases disposed. They

Texas
Texas Juvenile Probation Commission: Texas Juvenile Probation Statistical Report for Calendar Year 1982, pages 28-31 and 3840.

Delinquency figures are total petition and total nonpetition cases disposed. Nonpetition case figures were from the number of referrals. This was done to capture the cases of "Unofficial Probation Under Supervision" which were not included in the report's "Unofficial Dispositions."
2. Dependency/neglect figures were not reported. were not reported. -
Utah
Source: Utah State Juvenile Court: Utah State Juvenile Court 1982 Annual Report, page 10

1. Delinquency figures are total of fenses reported.
2. Dependency/neglect figures are total offenses reported. sum of delinquency and dependency/neglect counts.

Vermont
Source:
Note:

Supreme Court of Vermont, office of the Court Administrator: CS form.
. Delinquency figures are total petition cases disposed
2. Dependency/neglect figures are total petition cases
disposed. They include status offense cases.
3. Total figures are total petition cases disposed. They are
4. Changes in the state juvenile code were inpleanted. July, 1981 and may have effected court workloads.
Virgin Islands
Source: Territorial Court of the Virgin Islands: JCS form
Note: 1. Delinquency figures are male petition and female petition cases disposed for fiscal year 1982 and include traffic cases.
2. Dependency/neglect figures were not reported.
2. Dependency/neglect figures were not reported.
3. Total figures are not known because dependency/neglect data were not reported.
4. The data were reported in terms of the three major islands comprising the territory rather than by counties. In this instance

## Virginia <br> Source:

Note:
Virginia Department of Corrections:
Delinquency figures are male petition, female petition,
2. Dependency/neglect figures are male petition, female petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition case disposed.
3. Total figures are male petition, female petition, male nonpetition and female nonpetition cases disposed. They are the sum of delinquency and dependency/neglect counts.

Washington
Figures were not reported.

## lest Virginia

Figures were not reported
Wiacomsin
Figures were not reported

## Myoming <br> Source

Note:

Supreme Court of Hyoming, Court Coordinator's Office:
District Court Statistics, 1982 Annual Report, Table 12

1. Delinquency figures are total petitions filed
2. Dependency/neglect figures were not reported.
3. Total figures are not known because dependency/neglect dat were not reported.

## PPENDIX

## The following lists counties (reporting units) and their FIPS Codes as

號 urisdictions which did not report in the Virgin Islands). For those Census' boundaries, a County Number unique to this data base was igned. Information is listed as follow```
Column 1: State Number
Column 3: State Abbreviation
    Column 4: County Name
```



667 CA SACRAMENTO
6.69 Ca SAN BENITO

671 CA SAN BERNARDINO
673 CA SAN DIEGO
$6 \quad 75$ CA SAN FRANCISCO
677 CA SAN JOAQUIN
679 CA SAN LUIS OBISPO
6
6
6
63
6 CA SAN MATEO
685 CA Santa CLARA
687 CA SANTA CRUZ
689 CA SHASTA
693 CA SISKIYOU
695 CA SOLANO
$\begin{array}{ll}6 & 97 \text { CA SONOMA } \\ 6 & 99 \text { CA STANISLAU }\end{array}$
6101 CA SUTTER
6103 CA TEHAMA
6107 CA TULARE
6109 CA TUOLLMNE
6111 CA VENTURA
6113 CA YOLO
6
8
8
1 1 CO ADAMS
83 CO ALAMOSA
8.5 CO ARAPAHOE
$8 \quad 9$ CO BACA
$8 \quad 11$ CO BENT
8 15 CO CHAFFEE
$8 \quad 17$ CO CHEYENNE
$\begin{array}{ll}8 & 19 \text { CO CLEAR CREEK } \\ 8 & 21 \text { CO CONEJOS }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}8 & 21 \text { CO CONEJOS } \\ 8 & 23 \text { CO COSTILLA }\end{array}$
$8 \quad 25$ CO CROWLEY
827 CO CUSTER
829 CO DELTA
8 31 CO DENVER
$\begin{array}{lll}8 & 33 & C O \\ 8 & 35 & C O \\ \text { DOLORES }\end{array}$
$8 \quad 37$ CO EAGLE
8 4] CO EL PASO
$8 \quad 39$ CO ELBERT

| 8 |
| :--- |
| 8 |
| 83 |

847 CO GILPIN
849 CO GRAND
$\begin{array}{lll}8 & 51 & \text { CO GUNNISON } \\ 8 & 53 & \text { CO HINSDALE }\end{array}$
8
85
8
57
CO HUERFANO
JACKSON
859 CO JEFFERSON
861 CO KIOWA
8
8
87
67
CO KI LA CARSON
865 CO LAKE
871 CO LAS ANIMAS
$8 \quad 73$ CO LINCOLN

| 8 |
| :--- |
| 8 |
| 8 |
| 8 |

$8 \quad 79$ CO MINERAL
8.81 CO MOFFAT
8
83
CO MONTEZLMMA

885 CO MONTROSE
889 CO OTERO
$\begin{array}{lll}8 & 91 & \text { CO OURAY } \\ 8 & 93 & \text { CO PARK }\end{array}$
895 CO PHILLIPS

| 8 |
| :--- |
| 8 |
| 8 | 99 CO PITKIN

8101 CO PUEBLO
8103 CO RIO BLANCO
8105 CO RIO GRANDE
8107 CO ROUTT
8109 CO SAGUACHE
$\begin{array}{ll}8 & 111 \\ 8 & 113 \text { CO SAN JUAN } \\ 8\end{array}$
8115 CO SEDGWICK
17 CO SUNMIT
$\begin{array}{lll}8 & 121 \\ 8 & 123 & \text { CO WASHINGTON }\end{array}$
8125 CO YUMA
9501 CT DANBURY
9503 CT FAIRFIELD
9505 CT HARTFORD
9507 CT LITCHFIELD
9509 CT MIDDLESEX
9511 CT NEW HAVEN
9515 CT TOLLAND
9517 CT WATERBURY
9519 CT WINDHAM
101 Dr: KENT
103 DE NEW CASTLE

13177 GA LEE
13179 GA LIBERTY
13181 GA LINCOLN
13183 GA LONG
13185 GA LOWNDES
13187 GA LUMPKIN
13193 GA MACON
13195 GA MADISON
13197 GA MARION
13189 GA MCDUFFIE
13191 GA MCINTOSH
13199 GA MERIWETHER
13
13

13277 GA TIFT



18157 IN TIPPECANOE 18159 IN TIPTON 18161 IN UNION
18163 IN VANDERBURGH 18165 IN VEFMILLION 18167 IN VIGO 18169 IN WABASH $18 \quad 171$ IN WARREN 18173 IN WARRICK 18175 IN WASHINGTON 18179 IN WAYNE $\begin{array}{ll}18 & 179 \\ 18 & 181 \\ \text { IN WELLS } \\ 18 & 183\end{array}$ 18183 IN WHITEEY
191 IA ADAIR
193 IA ADAMS

97 IA APPANOOSE
9 IA AUDUBON
19 11 IA BENTON
1913 IA BLACK HAWK

1917 IA BREMER
1919 IA BUCHANAN
19 2] IA BUENA VISTA
1923 IA BUTLER
1925 IA CALHOUN
1929 IA CASS
1931 IA CEDAR
1933 IA CERRO GORDO
1935 IA CHEROKEE
19 IA CHICKASAW
1939 IA CLARKE
19 4] IA CLAY
1943 IA CLAYTON
1947 IA CRAWFORD
1949 IA dALLAS
1951 IA DAVIS
$19 \quad 53$ 1A DECATUR
1955 IA DELAWARE
1957 IA DES MOINES
19 6] IA DUBUQUE
1963 IA EMMET
19 65) IA FAYETTE
1967 IA FLOYD
1969 IA FRANKLIN
971 IA FREMONT


|  | 173 IA | TAYLOR | 20 | 75 KS | S | hamiliton |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 175 IA | UNION | 20 | 77 KS | S | HarPER |
| 19 | 177 IA | van buren | 20 | 79 KS | H | harve |
| 19 | 179 iA | WAPELLO | 20 | 81 kS | S H | haskell |
| 19 | 18] in | WARREN | 20 | 83 ks | S H | HODGEMAN |
| 19 | 183 iA | WASHINGTON | 20 | 85 KS | S J | Jackson |
| 19 | 185 la | WAYNE | 20 | 87 KS | 5 | JEFFERSON |
| 19 | 187 IA | WEbSTER | 20 | 89 KS | S J | JEWELL |
| 19 | 189 1A | WINNEBAGO | 20 | ${ }^{91} \mathrm{KS}$ | kS J | Johnson |
| 19 | 191 iA | WINNESHIEK | 20 | 93 Ks | ks | kearny |
| 19 | 193 iA | WOODBURY | 20 | 95 Ks | cs K | KINGMAN |
| 19 | 195 iA | Worth | 20 | 97 KS | kS K | KIOWA |
| 19 | 197 IA | WRIGHT | 20 | 99 Ks | s | Labette |
| 20 | 1 kS | allen | 20 | 101 KS | kS | LANE |
| 20 | 3 ks | ANDERSON | 20 | 103 KS | kS | LeAvenworth |
| 20 | 5 ks | ATCHISON | 20 | 105 KS | ks | LINCOLN |
| 20 | 7 Ks | barber | 20 | 107 KS | ES 1 | LINN |
| 20 | 9 ks | barton | 20 | 109 KS | SS | Logan |
| 20 | 11 ks | BOURBON | 20 | 111 KS | ks | LYON |
| 20 | 13 kS | BROWN | 20 | 115 Ks | KS M | MARION |
| 20 | 15 ks | BUTLER | 20 | 317 KS | ks M | MARSHALL |
| 20 | 17 kS | CHASE | 20 | 113 KS | kS M | MCPFERSON |
| 20 | 19 ks | chautauga | 20 | 119 KS | Ks | MEADE |
| 20 | 21 KS | CHEROKEE | 20 | 121 KS | KS M | MIAMI |
| 20 | 23 ks | Cheyenne | 20 | 123 KS | ks M | MITCHELL |
| 20 | 25 ks | CLARK | 20 | 125 KS | Ks | MONTGOMERY |
| 20 | 27 kS | clay | 20 | 127 Ks | ks M | MORRIS |
| 20 | 29 kS | CLOUD | 20 | 129 Ks | KS | MORTON |
| 20 | 31 ks | coffey | 20 | 131 KS | KS | NEMAHA |
| 20 | 33 ks | comanche | 20 | 133 K | KS | NEOSHO |
| 20 | 35 ks | COWLEY | 20 | 135 Ks | kS | NESS |
| 20 | 37 kS | CRAWFORD | 20 | 137 K | kS | NORTON |
| 20 | 39 kS | decatur | 20 | 139 K | kS | OSAGE |
| 20 | 41 kS | dickinson | 20 | 141 K | KS | OSBORNE |
| 20 | 43 ks | DONIPHAN | 20 | 143 K | KS | OTTAWA |
| 20 | 45 KS | douglas | 20 | 145 K | KS | pawne |
| 20 | 47 kS | EDWARDS | 20 | 147 K | kS | PHILLIPS |
| 20 | 49 kS | ELK | 20 | 149 K | KS | POTTAWATOMIE |
| 20 | 51 kS | Elits | 20 | 151 K | KS | PRATT |
| 20 | 53 KS | ELLSWORTH | 20 | 153 K | KS | Rawlins |
| 20 | 55 ks | finney | 20 | 155 K | KS | RENO |
| 20 | 57 KS | FORD | 20 | 0157 K | KS | Republic |
| 20 | 59 KS | FRANKLIN | 2 | ( 159 K | KS | RICE |
| 20 | 61 kS | geary | 20 | 0161 K | KS | RILEY |
| 20 | 63 KS | GOVE | 2 | 0163 K | KS | ROOKS |
| 20 | 65 kS | Graham | 2 | 0165 K | KS | RUSH |
| 20 | 67 ks | grant | 2 | 0167 k | KS | RUSSELL |
| 20 | 69 ks | GRAY | 2 | 0169 K | Ks | Saline |
| 20 | 71 ks | Greeley | 2 | 0171 K | KS | SCOTT |
| 20 | 73 ks | GREENHOOD |  |  | KS | SEDGWICK |



| 21 | 165 KY | MENIfEE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 167 KY | MERCER |
| 21 | 169 Ky | METCALFE |
| 21 | 171 KY | MONROE |
| 21 | 173 KY | MONTGOMERY |
| 21 | 175 KY | MORGAN |
| $2]$ | 177 KY | MUHLENBERG |
| 21 | 179 KY | NELSON |
| 2] | 181 KY | nicholas |
| $2]$ | 183 KY | OHIO |
| 21 | 185 KY | OLDHAM |
| 21 | 187 KY | OWEN |
| 21 | 189 KY | OWSLEY |
| 21 | 191 KY | PENDLETON |
| 21 | 193 KY | PERRY |
| 21 | 195 KY | PIKE |
| 21 | 197 KY | POWELL |
| 21 | 199 KY | PULASKI |
| $2]$ | 201 KY | ROBERTSON |
| 21 | 203 KY | Rockcastle |
| 21 | 205 KY | ROWAN |
| 21 | 207 KY | RUSSELL |
| 21 | 209 KY | SCOTT |
| 21 | 211 KY | SHELBY |
| 21 | 213 KY | SIMPSON |
| 21 | 215 KY | SPENCER |
| 21 | 217 KY | TAYLOR |
| 21 | 219 KY | TODD |
| 21 | 221 KY | tRIGG |
| 21 | 223 KY | TRIMBLE |
| 21 | 225 KY | UNLON |
| 21 | 227 KY | WARREN |
| 21 | 229 KY | WASHINGTON |
| 21 | 231 KY | WAYNE |
| 2. | 233 KY | WEBSTER |
| 21 | 235 KY | Whitley |
| 21 | 237 KY | WOLFE |
| $2]$ | 239 KY | WOODFORD |
| 22 | LA | ACADIA |
| 22 | LA | ALLEN |
| 22 | LA | ASCENSION |
| 22 | LA | ASSLAPTION |
| 22 | LA | AVOYELles |
| 22 | 11 LA | beauregard |
| 22 | 13 LA | BIENVILLE |
| 22 | 15 LA | BOSSIER |
| 22 | 17 LA | CADDO |
| 22 | 19 LA | calcasieu |
| 22 | 2] LA | Caldwell |
| 22 |  |  |

21 165 KY MENIFEE
21 169 KY METCALFE
21171 KY MONROE
21175 KY MORGAN
21177 KY MUHLENBERG
2] 179 KY NELSON
21 183 KY OHIO
21185 KY OLDHAM
21 187 KY OWEN
21189 KY OWSLEY
21193 KY PENDLETON
21 195 KY PIKE
21 197 KY POWELL
21199 KY PULASKI
21201 KY ROBERTSON
2) 203 KY ROCKCASTLE
21.207 KY ROSAN

21209 KY SCOTT
21211 KY SHELBY
21213 KY SIMPSON
21217 KY TAYLOR
21219 KY TODD
21223 KY TRIMBLE
21 225 KY UNION
21227 KY WARREN
21231 KY WAMEE
21233 KY WEBSTER
21235 KY WHITLEY
21 239 KY WOODFORD
$22 \quad 5 \mathrm{LA}$ ASCENSION
229 LA AVOYELLES
$\begin{array}{ll}22 & 11 \\ 22 & \text { LA } \\ \text { LA BEAUREGARD }\end{array}$
2215 LA BOSSIER
2219 La calcasieu
2223 LA CAMERON

| 22 | 25 LA | catahoula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 27 LA | CLAIBORNE |
| 22 | 29 LA | concordia |
| 22 | 31 LA | DE SOTO |
| 22 | 33 LA | east baton rolge |
| 22 | 35 LA | EAST Carroll |
| 22 | 37 LA | east feliciana |
| 22 | 39 LA | evangeline |
| 22 | 41 LA | FRANKLIN |
| 22 | 43 LA | GRANT |
| 22 | 45 LA | IBERIA |
| 22 | 47 LA | IBERVILLE |
| 22 | 49 LA | JaCKSON |
| 22 | 51 LA | JEFFERSON |
| 22 | 53 LA | Jefferson davis |
| 22 | 59 LA | la salle |
| 22 | 55 LA | Lafayette |
| 22 | 57 LA | LAFOURCHE |
| 22 | 6.1 LA | LINCOLN |
| 22 | 63 LA | LIVINGSTON |
| 22 | 65 la | MADISON |
| 22 | 67 LA | MOREHOUSE |
| 22 | 69 la | NATCHITOCHES |
| 22 | 71 LA | ORLEANS |
| 22 | 73 LA | OUACHITA |
| 22 | 75 LA | PLAQUEMINES |
| 22 | 77 LA | POINTE COUPEE |
| 22 | 79 LA | Rapides |
| 22 | 81 LA | RED RIVER |
| 22 | 83 LA | RICHLAND |
| 22 | 85 I.A | Sabine |
| 22 | 87 LA | ST BERNARD |
| 22 | 89 LA | St charles |
| 22 | 91 LA | ST HELENA |
| 22 | 93 LA | ST JAMES |
| 22 | 95 LA | ST JOHN THE BAPTIST |
| 22 | 97 LA | ST LANDRY |
| 22 | 99 LA | ST MARTIN |
| 22 | 101 l /A | ST MARY |
| 22 | 103 LA | ST TAMMANY |
| 22 | $1051 . A$ | TANGIPAHOA |
| 22 | 107 I, A | TENSAS |
| 22 | 109 LA | TERREBONNE |
| 22 | $11]$ LA | UNION |
| 22 | 113 LA | VERMILION |
| 22 | 115 LA | vernon |
| 22 | 117 LA | WASHINGTON |
| 22 | 119 LA | WEBSTER |
| 221 | 121 la | WIST BATON ROUGE |
| 221 | 123 LA | WEST CARROLL |



27123 MN RAMSEY
27125 MN RED LAKE
27127 MN REDWOOD
27129 MN RENVILLE
27 131 MN RICE
27133 MN ROCK
27135 MN ROSEAU
27139 MN SCOTT
27141 MN SHERBURN
27143 NN SIBLEY 27137 MN ST LOUIS 27145 MN STEARNS 27147 MN STEELE 27149 MN STEVEN 27151 MN SWIFT
27153 MN TODD 27155 MN TRAVERSE 27157 MN WABASHA
27159 MN WADENA 27159 MN WADENA
27161 MN WASECA 27163 MN WASECA 27165 MN WATONWAN
27167 MN WILKIN
27169 MN WINON
27171 MN WRIGHT
27173 MN YELLOW MEDICINE
1 MS ADAMS
5 MS AMITE
7 MS attala
9 MS BENTON
11 MS BOLIVAR
2813 MS CALHOUN
$\begin{array}{lll}28 & 15 & \text { MS CARROLL } \\ 28 & 17 & \text { MS CHICKASA }\end{array}$
2819 MS CHOCTAW
28 21 MS CLAIBORNE
2823 MS CLARKE
$28 \quad 25$ MS CLAY
2827 MS COAHOMA
2829 MS COFIAH
28 31 MS COVINGTON
2831 MS COVINGTON
$\begin{array}{lll}28 & 33 & \text { MS DE SOTO } \\ 28 & 35 & \text { MS FORREST }\end{array}$
2837 MS FRANKLIN
2839 MS GEORGE
28 41 MS GREENE
2843 MS GRENADA 2845 MS HANCOCK 47 MS HARRISON

2849 MS HINDS


51 MS HOLMES
53 MS HMPHREYS
55 MS ISSAQUENA
57
58 MS ITAMMBA
57 MS ITAWAMBA
59 MS JACKSON
61 MS JASPER
63 MS JEFER
65 MS JEFFERSON
67 MS JONFERSON DAVIS
69 MS JONES
2871 MS LAFAYETTE
2873 MS LAMAR
2875 MS LAUDERDALE
2877 MS LAWREN
28 81 MS LEAK
2883 MS LEE
$\begin{array}{ll}28 & 83 \\ 28 & \text { MS LEFLORE }\end{array}$
$28 \quad 87$ MS LINCNDE 2889 MS MADISO
2891 MS MARION 28 93 MS MARSHALI. 2895 MS MONROE
2897 MS MONTGE 2897 MS MONTGONER 28 101 MS NEWTON 28103 MS NOXUBEE 28107 MS OKTIBBEH 28109 MS PANOLA 28111 MS PEARL RIVER 28113 MS PIKE 28115 MS PONTOTOC 28117 MS PRENTISS 28119 MS OUITMAN 28121 MS RANKIN 28123 MS SCOTT 28125 MS SHARKEY 28127 MS SIMPSO
28129 MS SMITH 28129 MS SMITH 28131 MS STONE
28133 MS SUNFLOWE 28135 MS TALLAHATCHIE 28137 Ms tate
28139 MS TIPPAH
28141 MS TISHOMINGO
28143 MS TUNICA 28 145 MS UNION




39 165, OH WARREN 39167 OH WASHINGTON
39169 OH WAYNE
39171 OH WILLIAMS
39173 OH WOOD 39175 OH WYANDOT 1 OK ADAIR
30 OK ALFALFA 3 OK ALFAL
50 OK ATOKA
70 OK BEAVE 50 OK ATOKA
40
700
90
OK BEAVER
BECKHM $40 \quad 9$ OK BECKHAM 40
90
40
11
OK BECKANE BLANE 40 13 OK BRYAN $40 \quad 15$ OK CADDO $\begin{array}{ll}40 & 17 \\ 40 & \text { OK CANADIAN }\end{array}$ 40 21 OK CHEROKEE $40 \quad 23$ OK CHOCTAW 40 25 OK CIMARRON $40 \quad 27$ OK ClEvelan $40 \quad 29$ OK COAT: 40
40
40
33
OK COMANCHE 4035 OK CRAIG 40
40
37
OK CREE
40 39 OK CUEETER
40 41 OK DELAFARE
40
$\begin{array}{ll}40 & 45 \\ 40 & \text { OK ELLIS } \\ \text { OK GARFIELD }\end{array}$
40 49 OK GARVIELD
40 51 OK GRADY
4053 OK GRANT
4055 OK GREER
$40 \quad 57$ OK HARMON
4059 OK HARPER
40 6] OK HASKELL
40 65 OK JACKSON
$40 \quad 67$ OK JEFFERSON
$4069 \mathrm{O}^{-}$OHNSTON
40710 , 1 Y
4073 OK KINGFISHEH
4075 OK KIOWA
4079 OK LE FLORE
40 Bl OK LINCOLN
40 81 OK LINCO
40 85 OK LOVE
$40 \quad 93$ OK MAJOR


```
81 TX CON
83 TX COLEMAN
87 TX COLLINGSWORTH
89 TX COLORADO
91 TX COMAL
93 TX COMANCHE
48 95 TX CONCHO
48
48 101 TX COTTLE
48 103 TX CRANE
48 105 TX CROCKETT
48 107 TX CROSBY
48 111 TX DALLAM
48 115 TX DAWSON
48 123 TX DE WITT
48 119 TX DELTA
48 121 TX DENTON
48 125 TX DICKENS
48 127 TX DINMIT
48 131 TX DUVAL
48 133 TX EASTLAND
    48 135 TX ECTOR
    48 141 TX EDWARUS
    48 139 TX ELLIS
    48 143 TX ERATH
    48 145 TX FALLS
    48 147 TX FANNIN
    48 149 TX FAYETTE
    48 }51\mathrm{ TX FISHER
    48 153 TX FLOYD
    48 157 TX FORT BEND
    4 8 1 5 9 ~ T X ~ F R A N K L I N ~
    48 161 TX FREESTONE
    48 163 TX FRIO
    48 167 TX GALVESTON
    48 169 TX GARZA
    48 171 TX GILLESPIE
48 173 TX GLASSCOCK
48 175 TX GOLIAD
48 179 TX GRAY
```

48181 TX GRAYSON
48183 TX GREGG 48185 TX GRIMES
48187 TX GUADALUPE 48187 TX GUADALL
48189 TX HALE 48189 TX HALE
48193 TX HAMILTON 48195 TX HANSFORD 48197 TX HARDEMAN 48199 TX HARDIN 48201 TX HARRIS 48203 TX HARRISON 48205 TX HARTLEY 48209 TX HAYS 48211 TX HEMPHILL 48213 TX HENDERSON 48215 TX HIDALGO 48217 TX HILL 48221 TX HOOD 48223 TX HOPKINS 48225 TX HOUSTON 48227 TX HOWARD 48229 TX HUDSPETH 48231 TX HUNT 48233 TX HUTCHINSON 48237 TX JACK 48239 TX JACKSON 48241 TX JASPER 48243 TX JEFF DAVIS 48245 TX JEFFERSO 48247 TX JIM HOGG 48251 TX JOHNSON 48253 TX JONES 48255 TX KARNES 48257 TX KAUFMAN 48259 TX KENDALL 48261 TX KENED 48263 TX KEN 48265 TX KERR 48269 TX KING 48271 TX KINNEY 48273 TX KLEBERG 48275 TX KNOX 48283 TX LA SALI

> 48279 TX LANB
> 48281 TX LAMPASAS
> 48285 TX lavaca
> 48287 TX LEE
> 48291 TX LIBERTY 48293 TX LIMESTONE 48295 TX LIPSCOMB 48297 TX LIVE OAK 48299 TX LLANO 48301 TX LOVING 48305 TX LYMN 48313 TX MADISON 48315 TX MARION 48317 TX MARTIN 48319 TX MASON 48321 TX MATAGORDA 48323 TX MAVERICK 48309 TX MCLENNAN 48311 TX MCMULLEN 48325 TX MEDINA 48327 TX MENARD 48329 TX MIDLAND 48331 TX MILAM 48335 TX MITCHELL 48337 tX MONTAGUE 48339 TX MONTGONERY 48 341. TX MOORE 48343 TX MORRIS 48345 TX MOTLEY 48 48347 TX NACOGDOC 48351 TX NEWTON 48353 TX NOLAN 48355 TX NUECES 48357 TX OCHILTREE 48359 TX OLDHAM 48363 TX PALO PINTO 48365 TX PANOLA 48367 TX PARKER 48369 TX PARMER 48371 TX PECOS 48373 TX POLK 48377 TX PRESID10 48379 TX RAINS
48415 TX SCURRY
48417 TX SHACKELFORD
48419 TX SHELBY
48423 TX SHERMAN
48 425 TX SMITH
48425 TX SOMERVE
48429 TX STARR
48431 TX STERLING
48433 TX STONEWALI
48435 TX SUTTON
48435 TX SUTTON
48439 TX TARRANT
484411 TX TARYANT
48443 TX TERRELL
8443 TX TERRELL
48445 TX TERRY
48447 TX THROCKMORTO
48449 TX TITUS
48451 TX TOM GREEN
48453 TX TRAVIS
48455 TX TRINITY
48457 TX TRINITY
48459 TX UPSHUR
48461 TX UPTON
48467 TX UPTON
48463 TX UVALDE
48467 TX VAN ZANDT
48469 TX VICTORIA
48 471 TX WALKER
48471 TX WALKER
48473 TX WALLE
48475 TX WARD
$484 \% 9$ TX WEBB



```
TX WHARTON
8B3 TX WHEELER
    487 TX WILBARGE
    48489 TX WILLACY
    48 491 TX WILLIAMS
    48495 TX WINKLEE
    497 TX WISE
    499 TX WOOD
    SO1 TX YOAKLM
    505 TX ZAPATA
    507 TX ZAVALA
    l UT BEAVER
        UT CACHE.
        UT CACHE
        UT DAGGETT
    11 UT DAVIS
    15 UT EMERYNE
    17 UT GARFIEL
            UT KANE 
            SAlT lakE
            #
            UT SAN JUAS
            UT SNARETE
            Tor
            Hol
            Tr UNTNA
            51 UT WASATCH
            5S UT WASHING
            57 UT WAYNE
            1 UT WEBER
            3 vT BENNINGTON
            VT BENNINGTON
            7 vT CHITTENDEN
            50 9 VT ESSEX
50 11 VT FRANKLIN
50 13 vT GRAND ISLE
```

50 15 VT LAMOILLE

```
50 15 VT LAMOILLE
    lol}17\mathrm{ VT ORANGE
    lol}17\mathrm{ VT ORANGE
l}19\mathrm{ VT ORLEANS
l}19\mathrm{ VT ORLEANS
23 vT RUTLAND
23 vT RUTLAND
25 VT WINDHAM
25 VT WINDHAM
50 27 UT WINDSOR
50 27 UT WINDSOR
52501 VI ST CROIX
52501 VI ST CROIX
52 503 VI ST JOHN
52 503 VI ST JOHN
52 503 VI ST JOHN
52 503 VI ST JOHN
52 505 VI ST THOMAS
52 505 VI ST THOMAS
    I VA ACCOMACK
    I VA ACCOMACK
        3 VA albemarle
        3 VA albemarle
    510 VA AIEXANDRIA CITY
    510 VA AIEXANDRIA CITY
    5 va alleghany
    5 va alleghany
    7 VA AMELIA
    7 VA AMELIA
51 11 VA APPOMATTOX
51 11 VA APPOMATTOX
51 13 VA ARLINGTON
51 13 VA ARLINGTON
    5] 15 VA Algusta
    5] 15 VA Algusta
    51 17 VA BATH
    51 17 VA BATH
    51 515 VA BEDFORD CITY
    51 515 VA BEDFORD CITY
    515 VA BEDFORD CITY
    515 VA BEDFORD CITY
    51 23 VA BOTETOURT
    51 23 VA BOTETOURT
    51 520 VA BRISTOL, CITY
    51 520 VA BRISTOL, CITY
    51 25 VA BRUNSWIICK
    51 25 VA BRUNSWIICK
    3) 27 VA buchaNAN
    3) 27 VA buchaNAN
    51 29 VA BUCKINGHAM 
    51 29 VA BUCKINGHAM 
    51 530 VA BUENA VISTA CITY
    51 530 VA BUENA VISTA CITY
    51 33 VA CAMPBEL
    51 33 VA CAMPBEL
    51 35 VA CARROLL
    51 35 VA CARROLL
5] 36 va CHARLES CITY
5] 36 va CHARLES CITY
51 37 VA CHARLES C
51 37 VA CHARLES C
51 37 VA CHARLOTTE 
51 37 VA CHARLOTTE 
51 550 VA CHARLOTTESVILLE C
51 550 VA CHARLOTTESVILLE C
51 550 VA CHESAPEARE CITY
51 550 VA CHESAPEARE CITY
51 41 VA CHESTERFIELD
51 41 VA CHESTERFIELD
5]560 VA CLIFTON FORGE CITY
5]560 VA CLIFTON FORGE CITY
5]560 VA CLIFTON FORGE CITY
5]560 VA CLIFTON FORGE CITY
51 5EG va covingToN CITY
51 5EG va covingToN CITY
51 45 VA CKAIG
51 45 VA CKAIG
51 47 VA CULPEPER
51 47 VA CULPEPER
51 49 VA CIMBERLAND
51 49 VA CIMBERLAND
5] 590 VA DANVILLE CITY
5] 590 VA DANVILLE CITY
51)}51\mathrm{ VA DICKENSON
51)}51\mathrm{ VA DICKENSON
51.53 VA DINWIDDIE,
51.53 VA DINWIDDIE,
5] 57 VA ESSEX
5] 57 VA ESSEX
51 59 VA FAIRFAX
```

```
51 59 VA FAIRFAX
```

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & 600 & irfax C \\
\hline & 610 Va & falls church city \\
\hline 5] & \(6] \mathrm{VA}\) & fauguieh \\
\hline & 63 Va & FLOYD \\
\hline 51 & 65 VA & fluvanna \\
\hline 51 & 67 VA & a franklin \\
\hline 51 & 620 va & franklin city \\
\hline 51 & 69 VA & FREDERICK \\
\hline \(5]\) & 630 VA & FREDERICKSBURG CITY \\
\hline 51 & 640 VA & a galax city \\
\hline \(5]\) & 71 VA & A GIIES \\
\hline 51 & 73 va & A Gloucester \\
\hline 51 & 75 VA & GOOCHLAND \\
\hline 51 & 77 VA & a grayson \\
\hline 51 & 79 VA & A Greene \\
\hline 51 & 81 VA & A GREENSVILLE \\
\hline 51 & 83 VA & a halifak \\
\hline 51 & 650 VA & A HAMPTON CITY \\
\hline 51 & 85 VA & a hanover \\
\hline 51 & 660 VA & A HARRISONBURG CITY \\
\hline 51 & 87 VA & a henrico \\
\hline 51 & 89 VA & A HENRY \\
\hline 51 & \(91 . \mathrm{VA}\) & A HIGHLAND \\
\hline 51 & 670 VA & A HOPEWELL CITY \\
\hline 51 & 93 VA & A ISLE OF WIGHT \\
\hline 51 & 95 VA & A JAMES CITY \\
\hline 51 & 97 VA & A King ani oueen \\
\hline 51 & 99 VA & A King george \\
\hline 51 & 101 VA & A King william \\
\hline 51 & 103 VA & a lancaster \\
\hline 51 & 105 VA & A LEE \\
\hline 51 & 678 Va & a lexington city \\
\hline 51 & 107 VA & A LOUDOUN \\
\hline 51 & 109 VA & a louisa \\
\hline 51 & 111 Va & A IUNENBURG \\
\hline 51 & 680 VA & A lynchburg city \\
\hline 51 & 113 VA & A MAIIISON \\
\hline 51 & 683 VA & a manassas CITY \\
\hline 51 & 685 VA & a manassas park city \\
\hline 51 & 690 VA & a Martinsville CITY \\
\hline 51 & 135 VA & A Mathews \\
\hline 51 & 117 VA & A MECKLENBURG \\
\hline 51 & 119 V & a middlesex \\
\hline 51 & 121 V & VA MONTGOMERY \\
\hline 51 & 125 V & a n nelson \\
\hline 51 & 127 V & VA NEW KENT \\
\hline 51 & 700 V & VA NFWPORT NEWS CITY \\
\hline 51 & 710 V & va NORFOLK CITY \\
\hline 51 & 131 V & VA NORTHAMPTON \\
\hline & 133 v & VA NORTHUMBERLAND \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

51720 VA NORTON CITY
51135 VA NOTTOWAY
\(\begin{array}{ll}51 & 137 \text { VA ORANGE } \\ 51 & 139 \\ \text { VA PAGE }\end{array}\)
51 14] VA PATRICK
51730 VA PETERSBurg city
51143 VA PITTSYLVANIA
51735 VA POOUOSON CITY
51145 VA POWHATMAN
51147 VA PRINCE EDWARD
51149 VA PRINCE GEORGE
51153 VA PRINCE WILLIAM
51155 VA
51750 VA RADFORD CITY
51159 VA RICHMOND
51760 VA RICHMOND CITY
51161 VA ROANOKE
51770 VA ROANOKE CITY
5] 165 VA ROCKINGHAM
51167 VA RUSSELL
51775 VA SALEM CITY
51169 VA SCOTT
51171 VA SHENANDOAH
51173 VA SMYTH
51780 VA SOUTH BOSTON CITY
\(\begin{array}{ll}51 & 175 \\ 51 \\ 5177 & \text { VA } \\ \text { VOUTHAMPTON } \\ \text { SPOTSYLVANIA }\end{array}\)
51179 VA STAFFORD
51790 VA STAUNTON CITY
5] 800 VA SUFYOLK CITY
51181 VA SURRY
51183 VA SUSSEX
51185 VA TAZEWELL
51 8io VA VIRGINIA BEACH CITY
51187 VA WARREN
51193 va wasilington
51820 VA WAYNESBORO CITY
51193 VA WESTMORELAND
51830 Va williamsburg city
51 R40 va WINCHESTER CITY
51195 VA WISE
51199 VA YORK
531 WA ADAMS
53 3 WA ASOTIN
53 WA BENTON
537 WA CHELAN


55119 WI TAYLOR
55121 WI TREMPEALEAU
55123 WI VERNON
55127 WI WALWORTH
55129 WI WASHBURN
\(\begin{array}{lll}55 & 131 \\ 55 & 133 & \text { WI WASHINGTON }\end{array}\)
55135 WI WAUREACA
55137 WI WAUSHARA
55141 WI WOOD
56 I WY albany
563 WY BIG HORN
\(\begin{array}{ll}56 & 5 \\ 56 \\ 56 & \text { WY CAMPBELL } \\ \text { WARBON }\end{array}\)
\begin{tabular}{l}
56 \\
56 \\
56 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} 1 WY CONVERSE
56 11 WY CROOK
56 13 WY FREMONT
\(50 \quad 17\) WY HOT SPRINGS
\(56 \quad 19\) WY JOHNSON
\(\begin{array}{ll}56 & 21 \\ 56 & \text { WY LARAMIE } \\ 53 & \text { WY LINCOLN }\end{array}\)
5625 WY NATRONA
5627 WY NIOBRARA
56 24 WY PARK
56 33 WY SHERIDAN
\(\begin{array}{lll}56 ; & 35 & \text { WY SUBLETTE } \\ 56 & 37 & \text { WY SWEETWATER }\end{array}\)
56 39 WY TETON
56 41 WY IINTA
56
56
56
55
5
55.91 WI PEPIN
\(\begin{array}{llll}55 & 95 & \text { WI POLK } \\ 55 & 99 & \text { WI PRICE }\end{array}\)
55103 W] RICHLAND
55107 WI RUSK
55113 WI SAWYER
55117 W] SHEBOYGAN
END```

