


COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILES



LAWRENCE T. KURLANDER
DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE
AND

COMMISSIONER OF THE DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES



NEW YORK STATE MARIO M. CUOMO, GOVERNOR

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

LAWRENCE T. KURLANDER DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND COMMISSIONER

COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILES: 1982-1985

Volume VI

LOWER HUDSON REGION

PUTNAM COUNTY ROCKLAND COUNTY WESTCHESTER COUNTY

LONG ISLAND REGION

NASSAU COUNTY SUFFOLK COUNTY NOIRS

MIN 3 1987

ACQUISITIONS

OFFICE OF POLICY ANALYSIS, RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL SERVICES BARRY SAMPLE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

BUREAU OF STATISTICAL SERVICES RICHARD ROSEN, CHIEF

PREPARED BY:

SHARON LANSING MALCOLM WAGNER CINDY STOVER PATRICK LERNER CAROL RUSSO

JANUARY, 1987

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice 105446

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material in microfiche only has been granted by New York State Division of

Criminal Justice -Services

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express our appreciation to the many individuals in both New York State and local government agencies whose cooperation and support made this publication possible. A special thank you is extended to the secretarial staff in the Office of Policy Analysis, Research and Statistical Services, in particular to Joan Burgess and Donna Connelley, for their assistance in the preparation of this publication.

CONTENTS

	•		Page(s)
INTRODUCTION			1
ORGANIZATION	OF EACH PRO	FILE	7
Section I Section II Section IV Section V	Demographic Crime & Crim Local Assist		9 9 9 10 11
NEW YORK STA	TE PROFILE		13
COUNTY PROFI	LES FOR THE L	OWER HUDSON REGION AND THE LONG ISLAND REGION	
Nassau County Putnam County Rockland Coun Suffolk County Westchester (y nty ty		
		ILLUSTRATION	•
NEW YORK STAT	TE REGIONAL M	IAP .	5
		APPENDIXES	
APPENDIX A	EXPLANATORY	NOTES	A-1
	Analyses Section I Section II Section IV Section V	Criminal Justice Agencies Demographic & Socioeconomic Characteristics Crime & Criminal Justice Activity Local Assistance Funds Correctional Facilities	A-1 A-1 A-1 A-2 A-2 A-2

		Page(s)
APPENDIX B	CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY STATE SUMMARY BY COUNTY FOR 1985	8-1
	Selected statistics (rates and rate ranks or percent distributions) from tables in Section III are presented for all 62 counties. Table numbers in this appendix are comparto those in which the data were presented in Section III.	able
APPENDIX C	PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY FOR 1982 - 1984	C-1
APPENDIX D	NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS	D-1
•	Department of Correctional Services Division for Youth	D-1 D-2
APPENDIX E	VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES	E-1
APPENDIX F	NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS	F-1
	Department of Correctional Services Crime Victims Board Division of Criminal Justice Services Division of Parole Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives Division for Youth Other Criminal Justice Agencies	F-1 F-2 F-3 F-10 F-11 F-13 F-15
APPENDIX G	CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	G-1
APPENDIX H	STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	H-1
REFERENCES		R-1

st Supplementary information for Section IV: Local Assistance Funds of the New York State profile.

INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION

This is the third annual <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> report published by the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. Each of the past publications, which reported only one year of data, was presented in a single volume. Because this current publication presents trend data for 1982 through 1985 and contains many new items of information, it was necessary to expand this publication to 13 volumes to accommodate the significantly larger amount of data presented.

Originally conceived as an aid for criminal justice practitioners in policy formulation, this publication brings together data from a variety of sources to provide a comprehensive picture of criminal justice activities within New York State, New York City, and each of the counties in the State. These activities span the systems major processing points beginning with the number of index crimes known to the police and ending with the number of offenders under parole supervision. The 1985 data are highlighted using population based rates and county rankings based on these rates for index crimes known to the police, arrests, probation, county jail and penitentiary, state prison, and parole activity. The rates provide a standardized measure that permits a county by county comparison of criminal justice activity by controlling for population size. Appendix B presents a State summary by county of the population rates and rate ranks along with a summary of the percent distribution for indictment, disposition, conviction, and sentencing activities. The 1985 data are also compared to the 1982 data.

In addition to providing statistics for all facets of the criminal justice process, each of the criminal justice profiles also presents relevant demographic and socioeconomic data, along with criminal justice local assistance funding and State correction and youth facilities data. The funding information presented for criminal justice local assistance programs and the budget, capacity, and staffing information presented for State correction and youth facilities provide a measure of the economic impact each have on the counties in which they are operated.

Appendix A contains notes on the analyses utilized, sources of data, units of count, offender types, and offense categories. As mentioned earlier, Appendix B presents a State summary by county of selected statistics from the "crime and criminal justice activity" tables in Section III. Appendix C provides a State summary by county of the percent of dispositions reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Maps showing the location of Department of Correctional Services facilities and Division for Youth facilities are presented in Appendix D. Appendix E contains a list of offenses counted as violent felonies. Descriptions of the local assistance programs are contained in Appendix F. Both Appendix G and Appendix H provide supplementary fiscal information for criminal justice local assistance funding in the State profile.

To facilitate the publication's use as a statistical reference for criminal justice activity within a county or region, the data have been organized by county rather than activity. Each profile uses a standardized table format for the presentation of data. As mentioned previously, the <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> publication is comprised of 13 volumes:

- o Volume I contains only the New York State profile.
- o Volume II through Volume XII are regional volumes and contain the New York State profile and the profiles of counties located within the region. The New York State regional map presented on the opposite page identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions.
- o Volume XIII contains the New York State profile and the profiles of the six most populous non-New York City counties (Erie, Monroe, Nassau, Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester).

An order form for additional volumes of this publication can be found on the last page of this volume.

ORGANIZATION OF EACH PROFILE

ORGANIZATION OF EACH PROFILE

The profile presented for New York State and for each county in this region is organized into five sections. The contents of each is described below.

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

State/Regional Offices County Agencies Municipal Agencies

SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Population
Race, Ethnicity, and Age
Education
Economy

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

Table 1

Table 5B

	2. Co. C. T. M. Co. T. C. T. C
Table 2/ Table 2	
Table 20 Table 20 Table 20	Arrests for Selected Offenses Arrests for Possession and Sale of Drugs
Table 3A Table 3B	
Table 4A	Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests
Table 4E	
Table 5A	Felony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions

Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests

Felony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions

Index Crimes Known to the Police

Resulting from Felony Arrests

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued

Table 6A Table 6B	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions Sentences as a Percent of Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor and Lesser Offense Convictions
Table 7A-1	Probation Department Intake, Investigation, and Pre-Disposition Supervision Cases
Table 7A-2	Probation Supervision Cases
Table 7B	Probation Revocations
Table 7C	Clients Served by Correctional Alternative Programs
Table 8A	County Jail and Penitentiary Admissions
Table 8B	County Jail and Penitentiary Capacity and Population
Table 9A	New Court Commitments to State Prison (New York City and County Profiles)
Table 9A-1	Admissions to State Prison
Table 9A-2	(New York State Profile)
Idule 34-2	New Court Commitments to State Prison (New York State Profile)
Table 9B	Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31
Table 9B-1	The Percent of Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31 from the County/NYC (New York City and County Profiles)
Table 9C	Parole Detainees in State Prison on December 31 (New York State Profile)
Table 10A	Danala Cunamisias Casas
Table 10A	Parole Supervision Cases Revoked and Returned Parolees
	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

lable I	Agency Funding Summary
Table 2	Department of Correctional Services
Table 3	Crime Victims Board
Table 4	Division of Criminal Justice Services
Table 5	Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives
Table 6	Division of Parole
Table 7	Division for Youth

SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES *

Table 1A Table 1B Table 1C	Facilities Capacity and Staff Summary Facilities Operating Budget Summary Facilities Capital Improvement Budget Summary
Table 2A	DOCS Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff
Table 2B	DOCS Facilities Operating Budget
Table 2C	DOCS Facilities Capital Improvement Budget
Table 3A	DFY Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff
Table 3B	DFY Facilities Operating Budget
Table 3C	DFY Facilities Capital Improvement Budget

^{*} Tables 1A through 1C are presented only when both DOCS and DFY facilities are located in a county. Similarly, DOCS Tables 2A through 2C and DFY Tables 3A through 3C are presented only when agency facilities are located in the county.

NEW YORK STATE PROFILE

STATE AGENCIES

Office of the Director of Criminal Justice Room 245, Executive Chamber The State Capitol Albany, New York 12224 New York State Division of Parole 97 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

Division of Criminal Justice Services
Executive Park Tower
Stuyvesant Plaza
Albany, New York 12203

Office of the Attorney General
Department of Law
The State Capitol
Albany, New York 12224

New York State Police
Building 22
State Office Building Campus
Albany, New York 12226

Division for Youth 84 Holland Avenue Albany, New York 12208

Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207 Department of Correctional Services
Building 2
State Office Building Campus
Albany, New York 12226

Commission of Correction 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207 Office of Court Administration Agency Building 4 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223

Crime Victims Board 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007 State Commission of Investigation 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

New York State covers an area of 47,377 square miles.

Population

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the State's 1980 resident population of 17,558,072 persons has changed -3.7 percent since 1970. The State's 1980 population density was 370.6 persons per square mile. The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the State indicates that it had a resident population of 17,782,752 persons.

Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 16.5 percent of the State's population was non-white. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 9.5 percent of the population. Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 30.3 percent of the State's population.

Education

Among New York State's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 18.3 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade, and 18.0 percent had four or more years of college. In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 5.8 percent of the State's public high school population dropped out of school.

Economy

Personal per capita income in New York State was \$14,341 in 1984.* In 1985, a monthly average of 6.5 percent (about 544,000 persons) of the State's civilian labor force was unemployed. The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 1,395,344, or 7.9 percent of the State's population.*

^{*} Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

•		Number		1985 Crimes	% Channa	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 85
INDEX CRIME	1,129,324	1,029,391	985,908	989,405	5,566.7	-12.4
VIOLENT	174,138	161,216	162,000	165,043	928.6	-5.2
MURDER	2,011	1,951	1,777	1,688	9.5	-16.2
FORCIBLE RAPE	5,132	5,280	5,571	5,674	31.9	10.6
ROBBERY	107,514	94,733	89,917	89,603	504.1	-16.7
AGGRAVATE ASSAULT	D 59,481	59,252	64,735	68,078	383.0	14.5
PROPERTY	955,186	868,175	823,908	824,362	4,638.1	-13.7
BURGLARY	291,747	247,927	222,644	218,844	1,231.3	-25.0
LARCENY	526,480	500,513	485,881	499,237	2,808.9	-5.2
MV THEFT	136,959	119,735	115,383	106,281	598.0	-22.4

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

TABLE 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

		Number	of Arrest	1985 Arrests Per 100,000	% Change	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Population</u>	82 vs 85
ARRESTS	374,302	383,081	403,745	421,468	2,370.1	12.6
FELONY	141,679	141,302	149,329	151,227	850.4	6.7
VIOLENT	58,916	58,202	61,131	60,120	338.1	2.0
OTHER	82,763	83,100	88,198	91,107	512.3	10.1
MISDEMEANOR	232,623	241,779	254,416	270,241	1,519.7	16.2

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>	
ARRESTS	219,367	4.5	
FELONY	83,708	11.8	
VIOLENT	32,892	12.4	
OTHER	50,816	11.5	
MISDEMEANOR	135,659	0.4	

TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹

	1982	Number 1983	of Arrest: 1984	s 	1985 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 85	
MURDER	1,608	1,560	1,367	1,331	7.5	-17.2	
FORCIBLE RAPE	1,957	1,977	2,116	2,153	12.1	10.0	
ROBBERY	20,046	19,597	20,228	19,712	110.8	-1.7	
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	19,555	19,803	22,237	22,935	129.0	17.3	
BURGLARY	24,476	22,418	20,684	19,464	109.5	-20.5	
LARCENY ²	67,506	66,893	65,809	68,200	383.5	1.0	

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

²Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE 2D} \\ \text{ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS}^{1} \end{array}$

	-	Number o	f Arrests		1985 Arrests	
•	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	41,393	49,698	62,125	69,612	391.5	68.2
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	27,442	34,314	43,200	48,024	270.1	75.0
POSSESSION	18,009	23,769	30,515	34,336	193.1	90.7
SALE	9,433	10,545	12,685	13,688	77.0	45.1
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	13,951	15,384	18,925	21,588	121.4	54.7
POSSESSION	7,151	7,309	8,921	10,799	60.7	51.0
SALE	6,800	8,075	10,004	10,789	60.7	58.7

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

TABLE 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES 1

		Number o	f Arrests		1985 Arrests Per 1,000		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Licensed Drivers	% Change 82 vs 85	
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	55,566	60,886	64,249	62,814	6.4	13.0	
FELONY	3,184	3,747	3,031	3,054	0.3	-4.1	
MISDEMEANOR	52,382	57,139	61,218	59,760	6.1	14.1	

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

Table 3A
FELONY INDICTMENTS 1

Nur	mber of Fel	ony Indictm	ents	% Change
1982	<u> 1983 </u>	1984	1985	82 vs 85
46,665 100.0%	46,130 100.0%	47,871 100.0%	49,5 11 100.0%	6.1
24,531 52.6%	22,703 49.2%	22,801 47.6%	21,794 44.0%	-11.2
22,134 47.4%	23,427 50.8%	25,070 52.4%	27,717 56.0%	25.2
	1982 46,665 100.0% 24,531 52.6% 22,134	1982 1983 46,665 46,130 100.0% 100.0% 24,531 22,703 52.6% 49.2% 22,134 23,427	1982 1983 1984 46,665 46,130 47,871 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 24,531 22,703 22,801 52.6% 49.2% 47.6% 22,134 23,427 25,070	46,665 46,130 47,871 49,511 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 24,531 22,703 22,801 21,794 52.6% 49.2% 47.6% 44.0% 22,134 23,427 25,070 27,717

¹Felony indictments reported for New York State include those prosecuted by the district attorney in each of the State's 62 counties, the New York City Special Prosecutor, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, the Nursing Homes Special Prosecutor, and the Organized Crime Task Force Special Prosecutor.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS¹: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

CELOWY INDICTACENTO	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	24,787	1.4	
VIOLENT	10,403	0.3	
OTHER	14,384	2.3	

¹See footnote in Table 3A above.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1

	Numb Fe				
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	3 03,132 100.0%	328,254 100.0%	331,324 100.0%	3 52,442 100.0%	16.3
CONVICTIONS	206,135 68.0%	229,096 69.8%	230,169 69.5%	2 40,395 68.2%	16.6
ACQUITTALS	1,805 0.6%	2,060 0.6%	2,116 0.6%	2,217 0.6%	22.8
DISMISSALS	86,580 28.6%	88,695 27.0%	91,170 27.5%	100,904 28.6%	16.5
OTHER .	8,612 2.8%	8,403 2.6%	7,869 2.4%	8,926 2.5%	3.6

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	1985 ²	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	118,189 100.0%	125,801 100.0%	125,716 100.0%	133,012 100.0%	12.5		
CONVICTIONS	77,019 65.2%	84,870 67.5%	83,183 66.2%	85,623 64.4%	11.2		
ACQUITTALS	1,294 1.1%	1,442 1.1%	1,492 1.2%	1,523 1.1%	17.7		
DISMISSALS	35,379 29.9%	34,773 27.6%	36,099 28.7%	40,918 30.8%	15.7		
OTHER	4,497 3.8%	4,716 3.7%	4,942 3.9%	4,948 3.7%	10.0		

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1

Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests % Change. 1985 ² 1984 82 vs 85 1982 1983_ 230,169 16.6 CONVICTIONS 206,135 229,096 240,395 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% FELONY 26,493 31,849 30,814 33,128 25.0 12.9% 13.9% 13.4% 13.8% VIOLENT 10,416 13,024 11,996 11,610 11.5 5.1% 5.7% 5.2% 4.8% OTHER 33.8 16,077 18,825 18,818 21,518 7.8% 8.2% 8.2% 9.0% 116,567 MISDEMEANOR 102,394 110,607 111,389 13.8 49.7% 48.3% 48.4% 48.5% 90,700 LESSER OFFENSES 77,248 86,640 87,966 17.4 37.5% 37.8% 38.2% 37.7%

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS

			it of Convict Felony Arres		
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	77,019 100.0%	84,870 100.0%	83,183 100.0%	85,623 100.0%	11.2
FELONY	25,596 33.2%	30,846 36.3%	29,830 35.9%	31,778 37.1%	24.2
VIOLENT	10,416 13.5%	13,024 15.3%	11,996 14.4%	11,610 13.6%	11.5
OTHER	15,180 19.7%	17,822 21.0%	17,834 21.4%	20,168 23.6%	32.9
MISDEMEANOR	37,679 48.9%	39,076 46.0%	37,744 45.4%	38,553 45.0%	2.3
LESSER OFFENSES	13,744 17.8%	14,948 17.6%	15,609 18.8%	15,292 17.9%	11.3

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1

	Sent	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions							
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2					
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					
STATE PRISON	5.5	6.1	5.8	5.7					
LOCAL JAIL	26.7	26.9	29.2	31.2					
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.5	2.9	2.9	3.2					
PROBATION	10.7	10.2	10.0	10.0					
FINES	32.3	32.7	33.0	31.5					
OTHER/UNKNOWN	22.3	21.2	19.0	18.3					

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1

		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
		82 Misd & Lesser		83 Misd & Lesser		84 Misd & Lesser	<u> </u>	085 Misd & Lesser			
convictions ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
STATE PRISON	43.1	NA	44.2	NA	43.6	NA	41.6	NA			
LOCAL JAIL	16.5	28.2	14.3	29.0	13.5	31.7	13.8	34.0			
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	10.6	1.3	13.0	1.2	14.2	1.2	15.8	1.2			
PROBATION	27.8	8.2	26.2	7.6	26.5	7.4	26.8	7.3			
FINES	0.8	36.9	1.2	37.8	1.1	37.9	0.9	36.4			
OTHER/UNKNOWN	1.2	25.4	1.1	24.4	1.1	21.8	1.1	21.0			

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

²Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT
INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number o	f Cases		1985 Cases	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 85
INTAKE ¹	151,698	142,401	150,221	149,574	841.1	-1.4
INVESTIGATIONS	133,437	145,536	147,948	153,536	863.4	15.1
FAMILY COURT	25,266	25,516	25,297	27,818	156.4	10.1
CRIMINAL COURT	108,171	120,020	122,651	125,718	707.0	16.2
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	15,340	15,155	15,154	16,883	94.9	10.1
FAMILY COURT	9,237	9,372	8,272	8,513	47.9	-7.8
CRIMINAL COURT	6,103	5,783	6,882	8,370	47.1	37.1

 $^{^{1}}$ Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 7A-2
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

	·	Number o	of Cases		1985 Cases	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	40,293	45,020	44,479	46,572	261.9	15.6
FAMILY COURT	6,830	6,608	6,219	6,630	37.3	-2.9
CRIMINAL COURT	33,463	38,412	38,260	39,942	224.6	19.4
UNDER PROBATION ¹ SUPERVISION	112,858	124,048	133,821	143,609	807.6	27.2
FAMILY COURT	13,601	13,584	13,313	13,351	75.1	-1.8
CRIMINAL COURT	99,257	110,464	120,508	130,258	732.5	31.2
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	79,101	89,390	97,441	108,116	608.0	36.7
FAMILY COURT	7,049	7,142	7,125	7,198	40.5	2.1
CRIMINAL COURT	72,052	82,248	90,316	100,918	567.5	40.1

¹The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		lumber of	Revocatio	1985 Revocations		
•	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
REVOCATIONS	5,009	5,409	6,266	6,826	47.5	36.3
FAMILY COURT	610	657	661	754	56.5	23.6
CRIMINAL COURT	4,399	4,752	5,605	6,072	46.6	38.1
TECHNICAL	2,053	2,153	2,740	3,166	24.3	54.3
NEW CONV.	2,346	2,599	2,865	2,906	22.3	23.9

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED
BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS 1

	FY 1983-84	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86
CLIENTS SERVED	2,541	3,110	16,227

¹This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classification/alternative" bill.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 8A
COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS

•	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 Adm. Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82_vs_85
ADMISSIONS	187,597	190,105	196,347	210,186	1182.0	12.0
UNSENTENCED	44	141,573	147,974	159,474	896.8	NA
SENTENCED	*	48,532	48,373	50,712	285.2	NA

^{*} New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 85
REPORTED CAPACITY	17,260	² ★	19,011	19,646	110.5	13.8
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	15,995	16,789	17,532	18,732	105.3	17.1
DECEMBER 31 +OPULATION	16,430	15,545	17,008	18,722	105.3	14.0
UNSENTENCED	11,311	10,049	11,661	12,956	72.9	14.5
SENTENCED	5,119	5,496	5,347	5,766	32.4	12.6

^{*} New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 9A-1
ADMISSIONS TO STATE PRISON

	1982	Number of 1983	Admission 1984	ns 1	1985 Adm. Rate Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 85
	1302	1303	1304	1505	ropulation	<u>06 42 03</u>
ADMISSIONS	12,727	14,867	14,848	15,570	87.6	22.3
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	69.8	19.4
TRANSFERS FROM OUTSIDE DEPT.	437	493	549	749	4.2	71.4
AFFIRMATIONS OF SENTENCE	62	52	48	39	.2	-37.1
PAROLE VIOLATORS	1,229	1,223	1,497	1,907	10.7	55.2
CONDITIONAL RELEASE VIOLATORS	5 445	430	356	244	1.4	-45.2
RETURNS FROM ESCAPE/ABSCOND	140	128	145	173	1.0	23.6
OTHER ADMISSIONS	8	4	5	38	.2	375.0

¹Preliminary data.

TABLE 9A-2
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

• 1	N	Number Court (1983	er of Commitmen 1984	ts 1985	1985 Commit. Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 85
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	69.8	19.4
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	6,622	7,933	7,334	6,994	39.3	5.6
HOMICIDE	899	871	908	874	4.9	-2.8
SEX OFFENSE	372	414	469	520	2.9	39.8
ROBBERY	3,378	4,055	3,459	3,063	17.2	-9.3
BURGLARY	951	1,416	1,341	1,286	7.2	35.2
WEAPONS	587	718	633	677	3.8	15.3
OTHER	435	459	524	574	3.2	32.0
DRUG FELONIES ³	1,235	1,623	1,877	2,218	12.5	79.6
POSSESSION	317	357	476	600	3.4	89.3
SALE	918	1,266	1,401	1,618	9.1	76.3
OTHER FELONIES	2,284	2,701	2,797	2,932	16.5	28.4
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	265	280	240	276	1.6	4.2
SALE OTHER FELONIES	918 2,284	1,266 2,701	1,401 2,797	1,618 2,932	9.1 16.5	76.3 28.4

¹Preliminary data.

 $^{^2}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

		of Inmat te Prison 1983			Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/85 Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 85
UNDER CUSTODY	28,203	30,543	33,314	34,737	195.3	23.2
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	19,894	22,100	23,730	24,329	136.8	22.3
HOMICIDE	4,817	5,231	5,634	5,933	33.4	23.2
SEX OFFENSE	1,640	1,733	1,896	2,036	11.4	24.1
ROBBERY	9,307	10,060	10,444	10,170	57.2 ⁻	9.3
BURGLARY	1,674	2,462	2,961	3,188	17.9	90.4
WEAPONS	1,224	1,357	1,434	1,513	8.5	23.6
OTHER	1,232	1,257	1,361	1,489	8.4	20.9
DRUG FELONIES ³ .	2,647	3,169	3,926	4,643	26.1	75.4
POSSESSION	665	771	968	1,201	6.8	80.6
SALE	1,982	2,398	2,958	3,442	19.4	73.7
OTHER FELONIES	5,191	4,890	5,299	5,408	30.4	4.2
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 471	384	359	357	2.0	-24.2

 $^{^{1}}$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

 $^{^2}$ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

III CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

NEW YORK STATE

	1982	1983	1984	1985
PAROLE DETAINEES	556	414	673	634

¹Parolees awaiting parole revocation hearings are detained in the State Prison. Parole detainees are not counted as part of the admissions or under custody populations.

TABLE 10A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	Number of 1983	F Parolees 1984	1985	1985 Parolees Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 85
RELEASES TO PAROLE	9,283	11,312	10,911	12,068	67.9	30.0
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	25,616	29,223	31,888	34,766	195.5	35.7
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	17,911	20,977	22,698	24,417	137.3	36.3

¹The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B
REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	1982	Number of	Parolees	1985	1985 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees	% Change 82 vs 85
REVOKED AND RETURNED 1	2,573	2,603	3,141	4,038	116.1	56.9
RULE VIOLATIONS	865	826	907	1,186	34.1	37.1
NEW ARRESTS	1,261	1,149	1,260	1,435	41.3	13.8
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	447	628	974	1,417	40.8	217.0

 $^{^{1}\}text{The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.}$

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84		FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	11,326.8	13,306.7	15,542.6	37.2%	23,307.8	24,866.0
Crime Victims Board	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	21.7%	11,195.1	21,082.1
Division of Criminal Justice Services	60,722.3	72,900.4	88,102.2	45.1%	95,225.1	100,424.6
Division of Parole	529.4	706.0	993.0	87.6%	1,229.2	2,887.1
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ²	32,669.0	36,350.6	41,201.2	26.1%	51,895.6	59,616.1
Division for Youth ³	77,121.9	80,109.9	86,908.0	12.7%	191,194.1	115,221.3
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries	370.0	397.5	442.5	19.6%	887.5	930.0
TOTAL	191,127.6	214,652.3	243,399.1	27.3%	374,934.4	325,027.2

¹This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

²⁰n April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

-41-

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Approp	Appropriations		
Program .	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Board of Prisoners						
Coram Nobis	4.9	6.6	34.9	618.2%	42.0	100.0
Felons	10,187.9	11,956.9	12,709.5	24.8%	18,559.6	19,300.0
Parole Violators	646.4	731.3	1,648.7	155.1%	2,393.4	2,700.0
State Readies	70 FE			40 , 10	2,000.0	2,000.0
Community Contract Housing ¹		311.8	873.3	. NA	· ••• •==	400.0
Prisoner Transfer ²	487.7	300.1	275.8	- 43.4%	312.8	366.0
TOTAL	11,326.8	13,306.8	15,542.3	37.2%	23,307.8	24,866.0

 $^{^1\}mathrm{This}$ program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

²This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ¹	6,468.8	8,807.5	7,038.5	8.8%	8,009.0	12,509.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program						
Comprehensive	864.5	846.2	1,401.9	62.2%	1,415.2	3,622.1
· Court Related	407.8	406.7	719.9	76.5%	705.3	626.1
Domestic Violence	178.7	265.7	325.6	82.2%	360.2	561.7
Elderly	377.1	386.8	476.6	26.4%	458.9	567.2
Sexual Assault	91.2	168.3	247.4	171.2%	246.5	613.0
Unallocated	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	2,583.0
TOTAL	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	21.7%	11,195.1	21,082.1

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{FY}$ 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 include expenditures in Nassau and Suffolk Counties.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Asset/Forfeiture Initiative ¹		, na ca	1,000.0	NA	City visit	₩ ₩
Crime Prevention and Protection ²		1,420.5	1,585.7	NA	2,057.9	2,625.1
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	3,285.2	3,682.3	3,939.1	19.9%	4,068.3	4,150.2
Indigent Parolee Prog.	1,259.5	1,358.9	1,507.0	19.7%	1,594.0	1,625.8
Justice Assistance Act ³		iá as		NA	3,396.0	3,379.7
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention ⁴	2,849.4	2,765.1	2,651.8	- 6.9%	1,685.9	2,673.9
Major Offense Police Program	10,057.8	10,661.5	11,407.8	13.4%	11,274.3	11,499.8
Mobile Radio District Program	_ 	1,904.3	3,600.6	NA	3,772.3	5,208.3

¹This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

²No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

 $^{^3}$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for these federally funded programs are based on the State's fiscal year.

 $^{^4}$ Expenditures and estimated appropriations for these federally funded programs are based on the State's fiscal year.

Table 4 (continued)

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	<u> </u>	Expend		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84		FY 86-7
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act ⁵	also also	1,000.0	1,900.0	NA	1,900.0	2,500.0
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	22.1%	572.0	630.0
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	62.1%	2,545.0	2,679.3
School Security Officer Program ⁶			~-			415.0
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program ⁷	635.5	535.5	309.8	- 51.3%	500.0	500.0
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	35.5%	4,739.0	4,818.1
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ⁸		nio tas	2,499.4	NA	2,500.0	2,500.0

⁵This Act was established in FY 1983-84.

⁶This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

 $^{^7{\}rm In}$ FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services.

⁸This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

Table 4 (continued)

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁹	37,444.4	40,678.7	46,619.2	24.5%	49,875.4 5	0,279.5
Transit Crime Interdiction Program ¹⁰	*** ***				1,000.0	1,486.0
Transit Crime Strike Force ¹¹		3,500.0	3,745.0	NA	3,745.0	3,353.9
Vera Institute ¹²	~~ ~	ian ianj		80 - No		100.0
TOTAL	60,722.3	72,900.4	88,102.2	45.1%	95,225.1 10	0,424.6

 $^9{
m This}$ program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

10This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

11This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

12This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Parole Resource Centers	1 529.4	668.9	706.1	33.4%	798.4	1,035.8
Parole Transitional Facilities ²		37.1	286.9	NA	430.8	626.3
Employment Services Project ³					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	700.0
STOP Program ³	- , -		· ·	AU		525.0
TOTAL	529.4	706.0	993.0	87.6%	1,229.2	2,887.1

 $^{^{}m 1}$ This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

 $^{^2\}mathrm{This}$ program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

³This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Classification Alternatives ¹			end mix	nd da	3,050.0	3,050.0
Demonstration Projects	800.0	1,240.0	2,383.2	197.9%	5,756.0	5,478.5
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	3,258.1	3,567.4	4,431.1	36.0%	5,848.8	8,087.6
PINS Program ²	••	*** **				1,000.0
Regular State Aid	28,610.9	31,543.2	34,386.9	20.2%	37,240.8	42,000.0
TOTAL	32,669.0	36,350.6	41,201.2	26.1%	51,895.6	59,616.1

¹This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

²This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	16.5	Expen	ditures		Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Community-Based Organizations ¹			324.8	NA	318.0	466.5
Community Care Initiative ²						500.0
Detention			•			
Secure	9,177.4	10,684.7	13,325.6	45.2%	23,900.0	13,600.0
Non-Secure	4,000.0	3,200.0	3,700.0	- 7.5%	10,500.0	6,800.0
Job Development ²	, · · · · ·	***	-Mil way			697.6
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	1,206.3	1,522.0	1,594.3	32.2%	1,885.0	2,409.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	12,380.7	12,359.2	13,458.2	8.7%	13,482.1	13,351.8
Special Legislative Contracts	1,857.5	2,339.0	3,100.1	66.9%	4,509.1	6,496.4

¹This program was implemented in FY 1984-85 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{^2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

Table 7 (continued)

DIVISION FOR YOUTH LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6 FY 86-7
Transitional Independent Living Support ³			~~ •	43 ==	2,200.0 2,200.0
Voluntary Agency Care	19,000.0	20,330.0	21,730.0	14.4%	56,000.0 28,100.0
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	29,500.0	29,675.0	29,675.0	0.6%	78,400.0 40,600.0
TOTAL	77,121.9	80,110.0	86,908.0	12.7%	191,194.1 115,221.3

 $^{^3}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

Table 1A

FACILITIES CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY

	Capacity	Staff	
DOCS Facilities	37,907	20,585	
DFY Facilities	1,991	2,637	
TOTAL	39,898	23,222	

Table 1B

FACILITIES OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures			Appropriations		
	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
DOCS Facilities	395,752.7	470,953.6	535,925.3	35.4%	582,831.7	666,604.6	
DFY Facilities	58,460.3	64,063.6	71,988.9	23.1%	72,359.8	78,541.4	
TOTAL	454,213.0	535,017.2	607,914.2	33.8%	655,191.5	745,146.0	

Table 1C

FACILITIES CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures	Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	Total	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
DOCS Facilities	103,820.0	174,376.6	278,196.6	252,643.1	68,662.0
DFY Facilities	5,089.5	3,441.1	8,530.6	3,793.5	4,503.5
TOTAL	108,909.5	177,817.7	286,727.2	256,436.6	73,165.5

COUNTY PROFILES
FOR THE
LOWER HUDSON REGION
AND THE
LONG ISLAND REGION

Nassau County Putnam County Rockland County Suffolk County Westchester County

REGIONAL AGENCIES

Criminal Justice Coordinating Council 320 Old Country Road Garden City, New York 11530

New York State Division of Parole 314 West 40th Street New York, New York 10018

New York State Police Troop L Headquarters 3045 Sunrise Highway Islip Terrace, New York 11752

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office 262 Old Country Road Mineola, New York 11501

County Police Department 1490 Franklin Avenue Mineola, New York 11501

Assigned Counsel Defender Plan 15th and West Streets Mineola, New York 11501

Legal Aid Society 33 Willis Avenue Mineola, New York 11501 Sheriff's Department 240 Old Country Road Mineola, New York 11501

Probation Department
P.O. Box 189
Social Services Building
County Seat Drive & 11th Street
Mineola, New York 11501

Youth Bureau 222 Willis Avenue Mineola, New York 11501

County Court Court House Mineola, New York 11501

Family Court 1200 Old Country Road Westbury, New York 11590

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

City of Long Beach Police Department 1 West Chester Street Long Beach, New York 11561

^{*}Population 25,000 and above.

Nassau County is located in the Long Island region and Mineola is its county seat. In area the County covers 287 square miles.

Population

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 1,321,582 persons (ranked 4) has changed -7.5 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 4,604.8 persons per square mile (ranked 6). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 1,331,343 persons (ranked 4).

Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 8.1 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 11), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 3.3 percent of the County's population (ranked 11). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 29.2 percent of the County's population (ranked 55).

Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 11.1 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 60), and 23.6 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 5). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 2.1 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 62), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

Economy

Personal per capita income in the County was \$20,101 in 1984 (ranked 3).* In 1985, a monthly average of 4.4 percent (about 30,400 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 55). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 19,749, or 1.5 percent of the population (ranked 56 among the 57 non-New York City counties).*

^{*} Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

Table 1
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

	<u> </u>	Number o	f Crimes		1985 Cr Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	49,242	45,623	45,615	46,496	3,465.2	19	-5.6
VIOLENT	3,009	2,832	3,004	2,894	215.7	30	-3.8
MURDER	33	51	44	31	2.3	29	-6.1
FORCIBLE RAPE	94	113	126	138	10.3	37	46.8
ROBBERY	1,869	1,768	1,617	1,573	117.2	11	-15.8
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	1,013	900	1,217	1,152	85.9	48	13.7
PROPERTY	46,233	42,791	42,611	43,602	3,249.6	21	-5.7
BURGLARY	12,136	11,079	9,623	9,456	704.7	39	-22.1
LARCENY	27,768	25,313	26,106	27,141	2,022.8	24	-2.3
MV THEFT	6,329	6,399	6,882	7,005	522.1	6	10.7

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

TABLE 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	1982	Number o	of Arrests 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
ARRESTS	16,338	15,195	16,724	17,498	1,314.3	57	7.1
FELONY	4,788	4,443	4,664	4,985	374.4	31	4.1
VIOLENT	1,614	1,564	1,594	1,612	121.1	21	-0.1
OTHER	3,174	2,879	3,070	3,373	253.4	41	6.3
MISDEMEANOR	11,550	10,752	12,060	12,513	939.9	58	8.3

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>	
ARRESTS	8,454	-1.5	
FELONY	2,668	12.1	
VIOLENT	844	8.1	
OTHER	1,824	14.1	
MISDEMEANOR	5,786	-6.8	

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE 2C} \\ \text{ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES}^1 \end{array}$

	1982	Number o 1983	f Arrests _1984_	1985_	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
MURDER	44	35	30	40	3.0	21	-9.1
FORCIBLE RAPE	18	36	33	33	2.5	44	83.3
ROBBERY	459	462	386	404	30.3	12	-12.0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	556	536	644	630	47.3	23	13.3
BURGLARY	1,014	911	811	797	59.9	55	-21.4
LARCENY ²	3,599	3,145	3,258	3,405	255.8	47	-5.4

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

²Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

	***************************************	Number of	· Arrests		1985 Ar Per 100 Populat	,000	<i>a</i> . Cl
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
DRUG ARRESTS	1,524	1,365	1,574	1,587	119.2	15	4.1
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	1,102	864	1,053	1,125	84.5	14	2.1
POSSESSION	775	627	725	862	64.7	16	11.2
SALE	327	237	328	263	19.8	13	-19.6
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	422	501	521	462	34.7	17	9.5
POSSESSION	345	395	404	367	27.6	13	6.4
SALE	77	106	117	95	7.1	31	23.4

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

		Number of	f Arrests		1985 Ar Per 1, Licer Drive	000 sed	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	4,662	4,320	5,259	5,112	5.2	55	9.7
FELONY	157	160	188	255	0.3	45	62.4
MISDEMEANOR	4,505	4,160	5,071	4,857	4.9	52	7.8

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

Table 3A
FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	ents	% Change		
	1982	<u> 1983 </u>	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	2,347 100.0%	2,322 100.0%	2,250 100.0%	2,388 100.0%	1.7
VIOLENT	964 41.1%	1, 091 47.0%	957 42.5%	955 40.0%	-0.9
OTHER	1,383 58.9%	1,231 53.0%	1,293 57.5%	1,433 60.0%	3.6

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	1,360	10.9	
VIOLENT	490	1.7	
OTHER	870	16.9	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

		tions sts			
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	15,522 100.0%	14,178 100.0%	14,095 100.0%	14,412 100.0%	-7.2
CONVICTIONS	13,133 84.6%	12,204 86.1%	12,395 87.9%	12,169 84.4%	-7.3
ACQUITTALS	80 0.5%	83 0.6%	100 0.7%	94 0.7%	17.5
DISMISSALS	2,280 14.7%	1,813 12.8%	1,407 10.0%	1,712 11.9%	-24.9
OTHER .	29 0.2%	78 0.6%	193 1.4%	437 3.0%	1,406.9

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

•	Numbe Res	tions			
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change 82 vs 85
DISPOSITIONS	4,460 100.0%	4,261 100.0%	4,082 100.0%	4,482 100.0%	0.5
CONVICTIONS	3,672 82.3%	3,557 83.5%	3,449 84.5%	3,523 78.6%	-4.1
ACQUITTALS	36 0.8%	38 0.9%	46 1.1%	45 1.0%	25.0
DISMISSALS	734 16.5%	594 13.9%	416 10.2%	516 11.5%	-29.7
OTHER	18 0.4%	72 1.7%	171 4.2%	398 8.9%	2,111.1

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

^{. &}lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary data.

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1

	Month	sa mad Bassana		•	•
	Numbe	r and Percen	t of Convicting from	ions	
	Felo	ny and Misde		ts	
	1982	1983	1984	<u> 1985</u> ²	% Change 82 vs 85
CONVICTIONS	13,133 100.0%	12,204 100.0%	12,395 100.0%	12,169 100.0%	-7.3
FELONY	1,360 10.4%	1,313 10.8%	1,303 10.5%	1,450 11.9%	6.6
VIOLENT	498 3.8%	526 4.3%	534 4.3%	474 3.9%	-4.8
OTHER	862 6.6%	787 6.4%	769 6.2%	976 8.0%	13.2
MISDEMEANOR	4,950 37.7%	5,426 44.5%	5,486 44.3%	5,756 47.3%	16.3
LESSER OFFENSES	6,823 52.0%	5,465 44.8%	5,606 45.2%	4,963 40.8%	-27.3

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS

	Numbe Res				
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change 82 vs 85
CONVICTIONS	3,672 100.0%	3,557 100.0%	3,449 100.0%	3,523 100.0%	-4.1
FELONY	1,333 36.3%	1,255 35.3%	1,229 35.6%	1,329 37.7%	-0.3
VIOLENT	498 13.6%	526 14.8%	534 15.5%	474 13.5%	-4.8
OTHER	835 22.7%	729 20.5%	6 95 20.2%	855 24.3%	2.4
MISDEMEANOR	1,989 54.2%	1,994 56.1%	1,851 53.7%	1,926 54.7%	-3.2
LESSER OFFENSES	350 9.5%	308 8.7%	369 10.7%	268 7.6%	-23.4

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

	Sent	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions							
	1982	1983	1984	<u> 1985</u> ²					
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					
STATE PRISON	3.5	4.0	4.1	4.1					
LOCAL JAIL	15.1	16.8	15.1	16.6					
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	4.8	5.2	5.2	6.4					
PROBATION	15.4	15.2	14.7	18.4					
FINES	36.9	33.2	35.2	31.8					
OTHER/UNKNOWN	24.2	25.5	25.6	22.8					

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1

			Se	<u>ntences a</u>	is a Per	cent of (Convicti	ons	
			82 Misd & Lesser		83 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>	19 Felony	984 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>		085 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>
(CONVICTIONS ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	STATE PRISON	34.0	NA	37.4	NA	39.4	NA	34.4	NA
	LOCAL JAIL	23.8	14.1	18.2	16.6	14.0	15.3	14.6	16.8
	LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	19.1	3.1	20.8	3.3	22.1	3.3	25.6	3.8
	PROBATION	22.0	14.7	20.2	14.6	21.9	13.9	23.3	17.7
	FINES	0.8	41.1	1.8	37.0	2.0	39.1	1.3	36.0
	OTHER/UNKNOWN	0,2	27.0	1.6	28.4	0.5	28.5	0.8	25.7

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

²Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT
INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of Cases			1985 Ca Per 100 Populat	o' 01	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
INTAKE ¹	24,294	21,757	19,831	18,826	1,414.1	11	-22.5
INVESTIGATIONS	11,216	11,278	12,335	14,271	1,071.9	11	27.2
FAMILY COURT	2,187	2,203	2,070	2,365	177.6	23	8.1
CRIMINAL COURT	9,029	9,075	10,265	11,906	894.3	7	31.9
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	2,550	2,034	2,860	3,585	269.3	4	40.6
FAMILY COURT	137	146	123	82	6.2	32	-40.1
CRIMINAL COURT	2,413	1,888	2,737	3,503	263.1	3	45.2

 $^{^{1}}$ Intake occurs only in family court.

Table 7A-2
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of Cases			1985 Ca Per 100	,000	•	
		Number of Cases			<u>Populat</u>	<u> 10n</u>	% Change	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	82 vs 85	
PROBATION SUPERVISION	2 200	4 100	4.050		0.00 7		00.0	
CASES RECEIVED	3,900	4,138	4,359	4,834	363.1	6	23.9	
FAMILY COURT	713	682	606	604	45.4	29	-15.3	
CRIMINAL COURT	3,187	3,456	3,753	4,230	317.7	5	32.7	
UNDER PROBATION ¹ SUPERVISION	9,734	10,770	11,960	13,594	1,021.1	7	39.7	
FAMILY COURT	1,571	1,498	1,399	1,360	102.2	24	-13.4	
CRIMINAL COURT	8,163	9,272	10,561	12,234	918.9	8	49.9	
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	6,632	7,601	8,760	11,001	826.3	6	65.9	
FAMILY COURT	816	793	756	698	52.4	23	-14.5	
CRIMINAL COURT	5,816	6,808	8,004	10,303	773.9	6	77.1	

¹The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	N	Revocatio	Revocat Per 1,	1985 Revocations Per 1,000 Probationers			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
REVOCATIONS	520	574	540	623	45.8	29	19.8
FAMILY COURT	59	56	47	73	53.7	38	23.7
CRIMINAL COURT	461	518	493	550	45.0	24	19.3
TECHNICAL	239	208	203	245	20.0	33	2.5
NEW CONV.	222	310	290	305	24.9	16	37.4

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED
BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS 1

	FY 1983-84	FY 1984-85	<u>FY 1985-86</u>	
CLIENTS SERVED ²	502	558	10,353	

¹This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classification/alternative" bill.

²The 9,636 clients served statewide by the Volunteer Attorney Service Team in FY 1985-86, are included in the count of "client served". This program, which is based in Nassau County, provides legal services to inmates statewide.

TABLE 8A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

				1985 Adm. Per 100,000			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Populat Rate		% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ADMISSIONS	8,162	8,126	7,833	8,721	655.1	57	6.8
UNSENTENCED	5,830	5,498	5,358	6,180	464.2	56	6.0
SENTENCED	2,332	2,628	2,475	2,541	190.9	47	9.0

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	_1983	1984	1985	1985 In Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
REPORTED CAPACITY	683	797	857	857	64.4	42	25.5
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	941	1,077	989	1,096	82.3	19	16.5
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	984	1,000	991	1,143	85.9	10	16.2
UNSENTENCED	600	623	617	763	57.3	9	27.2
SENTENCED	384	377	374	380	28.5	22	-1.0

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	Nev 1982	Numbe V Court C 1983	r of <u>ommitment</u> 1984	s 1985_1	1985 Co Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	473	505	552	491	36.9	19	3.8
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	240	283	349	270	20.3	16	12.5
HOMICIDE	24	28	31	31	2.3	14	29.2
SEX OFFENSE	10	14	16	16	1.2	41	60.0
ROBBERY	114	110	139	105	7.9	7	-7.9
BURGLARY	62	108	131	90	6.8	16	45.2
WEAPONS	9	12	13	11	0.8	14	22.2
OTHER	21	11	19	17	1.3	33	-19.0
DRUG FELONIES ³	73	63	84	84	6.3	16	15.1
POSSESSION	15	10	20	16	1.2	27	6.7
SALE	58	53	64	68	5.1	13	17.2
OTHER FELONIES	138	145	108	121	9.1	45	-12.3
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	22	14	11	16	1.2	27	-27.3

¹Preliminary data.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

	Number of Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31			Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/85 Per 100,000 Population		Ol Change	
	1982	1983	1984	<u> 1985</u>	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	1,133	1,139	1,238	1,316	98.8	15	16.2
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	675	741	859	918	69.0	13	36.0
HOMICIDE	113	134	157	181	13.6	23	60.2
SEX OFFENSE	52	52	62	66	5.0	44	26.9
ROBBERY	332	315	354	370	27.8	9	11.4
BURGLARY	116	176	227	235	17.7	10	102.6
WEAPONS	19	22	22	23	1.7	18	21.1
OTHER	43	42	37	43	3.2	35	0.0
DRUG FELONIES ³	131	131	149	180	13.5	13	37.4
POSSESSION	20	21	27	32	2.4	25	60.0
SALE	111	110	122	148	11.1	10	33.3
OTHER FELONIES	297	251	215	198	14.9	43	-33.3
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	30	16	15	20	1.5	24	-33.3

¹Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

 $^{^2}$ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	4.0%	3.7%	3.7%	3.8%

 $^{^1}$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

TABLE 10A
PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	Number of _1983_	Parolees 1984	1985	1985 Parc Per 100, Populati Rate	000	% Change 82 vs 85
RELEASES TO PAROLE	267	356	295	351	26.4	29	31.5
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	734	866	928	986	74.1	24	34.3
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	510	633	635	745	56.0	25	46.1

¹The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	1982	Number of	Parolees 1984	1985	1985 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Parolees</u> Rate Rank		% Change 82 vs 85	
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	59	42	74	95	96.3	21	61.0	
RULE VIOLATIONS	9	10	18	23	23.3	17	155.6	
NEW ARRESTS	28	15	27	30	30.4	31	7.1	
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	22	17	29	42	42.6	11	90.9	

 $^{^{\}mathrm{1}}\mathrm{The}$ total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 1

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	
Department of Correctional Services	992.2	977.5	968.1	-2.4%	.0	.0
Crime Victim's Board	37.8	56.7	71.4	89.1%	81.8	33.4
Division of Criminal Justice Services	2,279.9	3,057.4	3,958.6	73.6%	3,927.0	3,766.9
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives 1	5,096.6	5,967.0	7,059.7	38.5%	8,076.4	8,780.5
Division for Youth ²	6,585.7	6,672.1	6,226.2	-5.5%	14,255.1	9,344.9
TOTAL	14,992.2	16,730.8	18,284.1	22.0%	26,340.2	21,925.7

¹On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

²The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u> Fγ 35-6</u>	FY 86-7
Board of Prisoners ¹					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Felons	953.6	929.5	925.6	-2.9%		
Parole Violators	20.0	33.2	28.4	41.6%	· ·	₩.₩
Prisoner Transfer ¹	18.6	14.8	14.1	-23.9%	, a ea	 -
TOTAL	992.2	977.5	968.1	-2.4%	.0	.0

 $^{^{\}rm I}{\rm Local}$ correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIM'S BOARD

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriatio			
Program	FY 82-3			% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7		
Victim and Witness Assistance Program	•							
Comprehensive	28.5	22.7	30.1	5.4%	30.1	33.4		
Domestic Violence	9.3	34.0	41.4	346.8%	51.6	.0		
TOTAL	37.8	56.7	71.4	89.1%	81.8	33.4		

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropr	iations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Asset/Forfeiture Initiative ^I	NO ESA	, ma .ee	55.6	NA		
Crime Prevention and Protection ²	wis	315.0	426.7	NA	798.8	646.0
Indigent Parolee Prog. ³	38.0	65.7	39.0	2.7%		
Justice Assistance Act ⁴		·	- 	NA	91.4	91.4
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	44.7	71.8	56.4	26.4%	52.1	53.1
Major Offense Police Program	520.0	551.2	589.8	13.4%	613.4	625.7
Mobile Radio District Program ⁵		175.0	490.0	NA	.0	.0

¹This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

²No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

 $^{^3\}text{Agencies}$ are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

 $^{^4\}mathrm{FY}$ 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

⁵No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

Table 4 (continued)

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act ⁶	. 	98.5	116.2	NA	121.4	
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program ⁷			19.3	NA	*******	way man
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ⁸	 .		129.5	NA	133.8	134.3
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁹	1,677.3	1,780.2	2,036.1	21.4%	2,116.1	2,216.4
TOTAL	2,279.9	3,057.4	3,958.6	73.6%	3,927.0	3,766.9

⁶This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

⁷In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $^{^{8}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

⁹This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Classification Alternatives ¹				NA	225.8	234.9
Demonstration Projects	.0	200.0	546.3	NA	702.0	706.0
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	471.8	517.4	645.8	36.9%	713.6	775.7
Regular State Aid	4,624.8	5,249.6	5,867.6	26.9%	6,435.0	7,064.0
TOTAL	5,096.6	5,967.0	7,059.7	38.5%	8,076.4	8,780.5

 $^{1 \, \}mathrm{This}$ program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	0/ Observed	Appropr	iations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Detention						
Secure	388.1	2,064.6	714.4	84.1%	2,512.8	1,212.0
Non-Secure	537.7	176.6	313.1	-41.8%	601.4	484.3
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	110.3	163.6	161.5	46.5%	197.4	223.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	846.5	415.8	681.0	-19.5%	575.0	730.2
Special Legislative Contracts .	293.5	364.5	381.5	30.0%	733.3	897.0
Transitional Independent Living Support ¹	***		cari ees	NA	17.4	69.6
Voluntary Agency Care	1,980.1	1,042.6	1,666.1	-15.9%	3,488.3	2,523.9
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	2,429.6	2,444.5	2,308.5	-5.0%	6,129.4	3,204.9
TOTAL	6,585.7	6,672.1	6,226.2	-5.5%	14,255.1	9,344.9

¹This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1986)

<u>Facility</u>	Security Level ¹	Capacity	Staff	
Hempstead Community Residential Home	VI	16	17	

Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-Community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI - Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	ditures		Appropriations		
Facility	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Hempstead Community Residential Home	323.9	512.4	581.9	79.7%	476.5	537.7	

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures			Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	<u>Total</u>	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Hempstead Community Residential Home	.0	.0	.0	16.0	30.0	

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

NOTE: There are no Department of Correctional Services facilities located in this County.

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop K Headquarters Box 3000 Poughkeepsie, New York 12603 New York State
Division of Parole
80 Washington Street, Suite 309
Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office Two County Center Carmel, New York 10512

Sheriff's Department Three County Center Carmel, New York 10512

Probation Department Room 206, Two County Center Carmel, New York 10512

Youth Bureau 179 East Lake Boulevard Mahopac, New York 10541

Legal Aid Society 16 Fair Street Carmel, New York 10512

County Court Court House Carmel, New York 10512

Family Court County Office Building Carmel, New York 10512

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

Town of Carmel Police Department McAlpin Avenue Mahapac, New York 10541

^{*}Population 25,000 and above.

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Putnam County is located in the Lower Hudson region and Carmel is its county seat. In area the County covers 231 square miles.

<u>Population</u>

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 77,193 persons (ranked 35) has changed 36.2 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 334.2 persons per square mile (ranked 16). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 80,895 persons (ranked 34).

Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 1.1 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 46), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 1.6 percent of the County's population (ranked 17). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 34.9 percent of the County's population (ranked 9).

Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 10.8 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 61), and 19.5 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 8). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 3.0 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 54), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

Economy

Personal per capita income in the County was \$15,748 in 1984 (ranked 5).* In 1985, a monthly average of 3.3 percent (about 1,500 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 62). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 450, or 0.6 percent of the population (ranked 57 among the 57 non-New York City counties).*

^{*} Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

Table 1
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number o	f Crimes		1985 Cr Per 100 Populat	,000	a/ 01
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	2,733	2,537	2,385	2,088	2,574.1	40	-23.6
VIOLENT	133	143	146	131	161.5	37	-1.5
MURDER	0	0	8	5	6.2	6	NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	17	9	11	11	13.6	29	-35.3
ROBBERY	23	. 12	31	8	9.9	49	-65.2
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	93	122	96	107	131.9	34	15.1
PROPERTY	2,600	2,394	2,239	1,957	2,412.6	40	-24.7
BURGLARY	859	802	694	648	798.8	27	-24.6
LARCENY	1,575	1,440	1,404	1,174	1,447.3	49	-25.5
MV THEFT	166	152	141	135	166.4	18	-18.7

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

TABLE 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	1982	Number o	f Arrests 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
ARRESTS	1,607	1,436	1,343	1,267	1,566.2	45	-21.2
FELONY	278	240	250	264	326.3	44	-5.0
VIOLENT	70	78	62	54	66.8	53	-22.9
OTHER	208	162	188	210	259.6	37	1.0
MISDEMEANOR	1,329	1,196	1,093	1,003	1,239.9	44	-24.5

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>	
ARRESTS	712	16.7	
FELONY	158	22.5	
VIOLENT	36	12.5	
OTHER	122	25.8	
MISDEMEANOR	554	15.2	

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE 2C} \\ \text{ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES}^1 \end{array}$

	1982	Number o 1983	f Arrests 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
MURDER	0	. 1	3	4	4.9	10	NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	3	3	0	1	1.2	53	-66.7
ROBBERY	6	7	6	3	3.7	49	-50.0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	35	34	24	29	35.8	34	-17.1
BURGLARY	71	49	66	46	56.9	56	-35.2
LARCENY ²	147	118	149	169	208.9	53	15.0
					• .		

¹NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

²Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

	· haran · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Number of	Arrests	······································	1985 Ar Per 100 Populat	e/ Change	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate_	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	107	90	88	100	123.6	14	-6.5
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	80	73	80	87	107.5	7	8.8
POSSESSION	68	56	59	60	74.2	13	-11.8
SALE	12	17	21	27	33.4	6	125.0
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	27	17	8	13	16.1	44	-51.9
POSSESSION	23	16	6	11	13.6	34	-52.2
SALE	4	1	2	2	2.5	52	-50.0

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

		Number of	f Arrests		1985 Ar Per 1, Licer Drive		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	850	730	631	553	9.4	23	-34.9
FELONY	12	24	10	4	0.1	56	-66.7
MISDEMEANOR	838	706	621	549	9.3	18	-34.5

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

Table 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	ents	% Change		
	<u> 1982</u>	1983	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS ¹	56 100.0%	21 100.0%	125 100.0%	112 100.0%	100.0
VIOLENT	9 16.1%	0 0.0%	23 18.4%	15 13.4%	66.7
OTHER	47 83.9%	21 100.0%	1 02 81.6%	97 86.6%	106.4

 $^{^{1}\}mbox{Felony}$ indictments were underreported in 1982 and 1983.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	95	58.3	
VIOLENT	16	100.0	
OTHER	79	51.9	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

	Numbe <u>Fel</u>				
	1982	1983	1984	<u> 1985</u> ²	% Change 82 vs 85
DISPOSITIONS	1,100 100.0%	1,238 100.0%	1,136 100.0%	1,063 100.0%	-3.4
CONVICTIONS	664 60.4%	722 58.3%	719 63.3%	655 61.6%	-1.4
ACQUITTALS	7 0.6%	4 0.3%	0.0%	3 0.3%	-57.1
DISMISSALS	416 37.8%	509 41.1%	406 35.7%	398 37.4%	-4.3
OTHER	13 1.2%	3 0.2%	10 0.9%	7 0.7%	-46.2

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests				
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
DISPOSITIONS	179 100.0%	171 100.0%	152 100.0%	181 100.0%	1.1	
CONVICTIONS	71 39.7%	62 36.3%	71 46.7%	96 53.0%	35.2	
ACQUITTALS	2.2%	0 0.0%	0.7%	2 1.1%	-50.0	
DISMISSALS	102 57.0%	108 63.2%	76 50.0%	80 44.2%	-21.6	
OTHER	2 1.1%	0.6%	4 2.6%	3 1.7%	50.0	

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1

	Numbe Felo				
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	664 100.0%	722 100.0%	719 100.0%	655 100.0%	-1.4
FELONY	25 3.8%	4 0.6%	4 0.6%	9 1.4%	-64.0
VIOLENT	3 0.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 0.2%	-66 .7
OTHER	22 3.3%	4 0.6%	4 0.6%	8 1.2%	-63.6
MISDEMEANOR	128 19.3%	162 22.4%	177 24.6%	228 34.8%	78.1
LESSER OFFENSES	511 77.0%	556 77.0%	538 74.8%	418 63.8%	-18.2

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS

	Numbo	us and Danson	t of Convict	iono			
		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests					
	1982	1983_	1984_	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
CONVICTIONS	71 100.0%	62 100.0%	71 100.0%	96 100.0%	35.2		
FELONY	20 28.2%	4 6.5%	4 5.6%	8 8.3%	-60.0		
VIOLENT	3 4.2%	0.0%	0 0.0%	1.0%	-66.7		
OTHER	17 23.9%	4 6.5%	4 5.6%	7 7.3%	-58.8		
MISDEMEANOR	35 49.3%	46 74.2%	43 60.6%	73 76.0%	108.6		
LESSER OFFENSES	16 22.5%	12 19.4%	24 33.8%	15 15.6%	-6.2		

 $^{^{\}rm I}$ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1

Ser	ictions		
1982	1983	1984	1985 2
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
1.2	0.3	0.3	0.5
2.7	4.3	5.3	7.5
0.9	0.4	0.7	1.2
5.3	6.2	6.0	10.4
82.2	84.1	81.9	77.9
7.7	4.7	5.8	2.6
	1982 100.0% 1.2 2.7 0.9 5.3 82.2	1982 1983 100.0% 100.0% 1.2 0.3 2.7 4.3 0.9 0.4 5.3 6.2 82.2 84.1	100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 1.2 0.3 0.3 2.7 4.3 5.3 0.9 0.4 0.7 5.3 6.2 6.0 82.2 84.1 81.9

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1

		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions						
		82 Misd & Lesser		83 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>	19 Felony	084 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>	<u> </u>	085 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>
convictions ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
STATE PRISON	32.0	NA	50.0	NA	50.0	NA	33.3	NA
LOCAL JAIL	20.0	2.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	5.3	0.0	7.6
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	20.0	0.2	25.0	0.3	0.0	0.7	11.1	1.1
PROBATION	24.0	4.5	25.0	6.1	50.0	5.7	55.6	9.8
FINES	0.0	85.4	0.0	84.5	0.0	82.4	0.0	78.9
OTHER/UNKNOWN	4.0	7.8	0.0	4.7	0.0	5.9	0.0	2.6

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

²Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT
INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		Cases	1985 Ca Per 100 Populat	. A.			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	Rank	% Change 82 vs 85
INTAKE ¹	433	542	523	714	882.6	34	64.9
INVESTIGATIONS	328	361	386	402	496.9	49	22.6
FAMILY COURT	78	86	99	78	96.4	47	0.0
CRIMINAL COURT	250	275	287	324	400.5	46	29.6
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	65	29	23	31	38.3	32	-52.3
FAMILY COURT	43	24	21	31	38.3	13	-27.9
CRIMINAL COURT	22	5	2	0	0.0	42	-100.0

 $^{^{1}}$ Intake occurs only in family court.

Table 7A-2
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

	***************************************	Number of	f Cases		1985 Ca Per 100 Populat		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	136	146	163	202	249.7	34	48.5
FAMILY COURT	29	29	35	47	58.1	17	62.1
CRIMINAL COURT	107	117	128	155	191.6	35	44.9
UNDER PROBATION ¹ SUPERVISION	362	391	448	521	644.0	47	43.9
FAMILY COURT	77	72	71	85	105.1	21	10.4
CRIMINAL COURT	285	319	377	436	539.0	49	53.0
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	245	283	319	385	475.9	40	57.1
FAMILY COURT	43	34	38	35	43.3	33	-18.6
CRIMINAL COURT	202	249	281	350	432.7	39	73.3

¹The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	N	lumber of	Revocatio	ons	198 Revocat Per 1, Probati		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
REVOCATIONS	11	22	25	21	40.3	39	90.9
FAMILY COURT	0	2	0	4	47.1	40	NA
CRIMINAL COURT	11	20	25	17	39.0	35	54.5
TECHNICAL	9	10	22	9	20.6	32	0.0
NEW CONV.	2	10	3	8	18.3	28	300.0

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED
BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS 1

	<u>FY 1983-84</u>	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86
CLIENTS SERVED		0	0

¹This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classification/alternative" bill.

TABLE 8A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

					1985 A Per 100 Populat	% Change	
	1982	<u> 1983</u>	1984	1985	Rate	Rank	<u>82 vs 85</u>
ADMISSIONS	627	654	733	722	892.5	47	15.2
UNSENTENCED	546	536	590	615	760.2	41	12.6
SENTENCED	81	118	143	107	132.3	57	32.1

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B

COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 In Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
REPORTED CAPACITY	32	36	40	40	49.4	50	25.0
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	20	28	39	40	49.4	48	100.0
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	17	29	38	32	39.6	50	88.2
UNSENTENCED	7	. 18	18	17	21.0	49	142.9
SENTENCED	10	11	20	15	18.5	41	50.0

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

- Halisan

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	New 1982	Numbe Court C 1983	r of ommitments _1984_	S1	1985 Co Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	9	10	11	18	22.3	42	100.0
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	2	4	3	4	4.9	49	100.0
HOMICIDE	1	0.	1	1	1.2	32	0.0
SEX OFFENSE	1	2	0	0	0.0	47	0.0
ROBBERY	0	0	0	2	2.5	23	NA
BURGLARY	0	0	2	1	1.2	49	NA
WEAPONS	0	1	0	0	0.0	23	NA
OTHER	0	1	0	0	0.0	40	NA
DRUG FELONIES ³	1	4	5	9	. 11.1	7	800.0
POSSESSION	0	3	2	7	8.7	4	NA
SALE	1	1	3	2	2.5	21	100.0
OTHER FELONIES	6	2	3	5	6.2	52	-16.7
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 0	0	0	0	0.0	41	NA

¹Preliminary data.

 $^{^2}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

		of Inmate Prison 1983			Inmat Under C on 12/3 Per 100 Populat Rate	ust. 1/85 ,000	% Change 82 vs 85
UNDER CUSTODY	26	26	32	42	51.9	42	61.5
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	14	16	16	19	23.5	46	35.7
HOMICIDE	10	10	8	9	11.1	32	-10.0
SEX OFFENSE	2	3	4	3	3.7	52	50.0
ROBBERY	0	0	0	2	2.5	44	NA
BURGLARY	0	0	2	3	3.7	46	NA
WEAPONS	0	1	1	0	0.0	32	NA
OTHER	2	2	1	2	2.5	39	0.0
DRUG FELONIES ³	6	7	11	18	22.3	7	200.0
POSSESSION	0	2	5	10	12.4	4	NA
SALE	6	5	6	8	9.9	13	33.3
OTHER FELONIES	6	3	5	5	6.2	57	-16.7
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	0	0	0	0.0	42	NA

 $^{^{1}}$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

 $^{^2{\}rm Inmates}$ under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31
FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	0.1%

 $^{^{1}}$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

TABLE 10A
PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	Number of	<u>Parolees</u> <u>1984</u>	1985	1985 Par Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
RELEASES TO PAROLE	5	10	13	9	11.1	50	80.0
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	18	23	29	. 31	38.3	47	72.2
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	13	16	22	20	24.7	47	53.8

¹The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	1982	Number of	Parolees 1984	1985	1985 Revocat Per 1, Parole Rate	ions 000	% Change 82 vs 85
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	0	1	1	1	32.3	45	NA
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	0	0	0 ,	0.0	32	NA
NEW ARRESTS	0	0	0	0	0.0	43	NA
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0	1	1	1	32.3	20	NA

 $^{^{1}\}mbox{The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.}$

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 1
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Department of Correctional Services	45.0	37.6	60.6	34.7%	.0	.0	
Crime Victim's Board	.0.	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0	
Division of Criminal Justice Services	.0	.0	.0	NA	230.9	18.0	
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0	
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ¹	102.1	128.3	140.3	37.3%	173.5	196.5	
Division for Youth ²	132.0	243.2	276.2	109.2%	600.5	449.2	
TOTAL	279.1	409.2	477.0	70.9%	1,004.8	663.6	

¹On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

²The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Board of Prisoners						•
Felons ¹	44.8	36.7	52.4	16.8%		100, 100
Parole Violators ¹	.1	.9	8.2	6,725.0%	 ,	pris. ma
TOTAL	45.0	37.6	60.6	34.7%	.0	.0

 $^{^1\}mathrm{Local}$ correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

Program	Expenditures				Appropriations	
	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Crime Prevention and Protection 1		.0	.0	NA	.0	18.0
Mobile Radio District Program ¹		.0	.0	NA	230.9	.0
TOTAL		.0	.0	NA	230.9	18.0

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{No}$ funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing						
Program	.0	.0	.0	NA	15.5	32.8
Regular State Aid	102.1	128.3	140.3	37.3%	158.0	163.6
TOTAL	102.1	128.3	140.3	37.3%	173.5	196.5

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Detention						
Non-Secure	5.3	3.1	3.9	-26.9%	6.0	4.6
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	44.7
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	.0	25.3	72.1	NA	76.0	76.7
Transitional Independent Living Support ¹				NA	.0	29.0
Voluntary Agency Care	39.3	106.1	56.5	43.6%	146.6	94.3
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	87.4	108.7	143.7	64.5%	371.8	199.9
TOTAL	132.0	243.2	276.2	109.2%	600.5	449.2

 $^{^{1}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

The Department of Correctional Services and the Division for Youth do not operate any facilities in this County.

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop F Headquarters Crystal Run Road Middletown, New York 10940

New York State Division of Parole Suite 309, 80 Washington Street Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office 11 New Hempstead Road New City, New York 10956

Probation Department County Office Building New Hempstead Road New City, New York 10956

Public Defender's Office County Court House New City, New York 10956

Assigned Counsel Plan Suite 490, 20 Squadron Boulevard New City, New York 10956

Sheriff's Department 55 New Hempstead Road New City, New York 10956

Youth Bureau 18 New Hempstead Road New City, New York 10956

County Court Court House New City, New York 10956

Family Court County Office Building New City, New York 10956

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

10 Maple Avenue New City, New York 10956

Town of Orangetown Police Department Town of Ramapo Police Department Orangeburg Road Orangeburg, New York 10962

Town of Clarkstown Police Department Town of Haverstraw Police Department Route 202 Garnerville, New York 10923

> 237 Route 59 Suffern, New York 10901

^{*}Population 25,000 and above.

Rockland County is located in the Lower Hudson region and New City is its county seat. In area the County covers 175 square miles.

<u>Population</u>

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 259,530 persons (ranked 14) has changed 12.9 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 1,483.0 persons per square mile (ranked 8). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 265,230 persons (ranked 14).

Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 9.0 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 9), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 4.5 percent of the County's population (ranked 8). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 34.4 percent of the County's population (ranked 13).

Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 12.8 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 56), and 25.8 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 4). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 2.8 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 56), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

Economy

Personal per capita income in the County was \$17,345 in 1984 (ranked 4).* In 1985, a monthly average of 4.1 percent (about 5,800 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 58). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 7,387, or 2.8 percent of the pepulation (ranked 49 among the 57 non-New York City counties).*

^{*} Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

Table 1
INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number o	f Crimes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1985 Cr Per 100 Populat	,000	e/ Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	10,152	9,687	9,795	8,287	3,127.1	27	-18.4
VIOLENT	613	821	832	703	265.3	22	14.7
MURDER	11	7	. 6	4	1.5	40	-63.6
FORCIBLE RAPE	32	32	22	22	8.3	43	-31.2
ROBBERY	189	218	249	160	60.4	17	-15.3
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	381	564	555	517	195.1	24	35.7
PROPERTY	9,539	8,866	8,963	7,584	2,861.8	28	-20.5
BURGLARY	2,939	2,339	2,184	2,013	759.6	32	-31.5
LARCENY	5,978	5,953	6,193	5,042	1,902.6	28	-15.7
MV THEFT	622	574	586	529	199.6	12	-15.0

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

TABLE 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

hange vs 85
13 00
24.6
14.5
-1.6
21.9
28.7
14 -1 21

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>	
ARRESTS	1,453	-16.1	
FELONY	472	-1.3	
VIOLENT	106	-13.8	
OTHER	366	3.1	
MISDEMEANOR	981	-21.7	

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE 2C} \\ \text{ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES}^1 \end{array}$

	1982	Number o _1983	f Arrests 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
MURDER	7	4	3	3	1.1	44	-57.1
FORCIBLE RAPE	11	5	2	6	2.3	48	-45.5
ROBBERY	39	61	59	36	13.6	24	-7.7
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	113	136	112	125	47.1	24	10.6
BURGLARY	164	148	125	136	51.3	60	-17.1
LARCENY ²	439	473	387	470	177.2	60	7.1

¹NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

²Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

	ر در	Number of	⁻ Arrests	1985 Ar Per 100 Populat			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
DRUG ARRESTS	264	297	276	329	124.0	13	24.6
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	203	225	209	271	102.2	9	33.5
POSSESSION	153	170	147	181	68.2	15	18.3
SALE	50	55	62	90	33.9	5	80.0
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	61	72	67	58	21.9	31	-4.9
POSSESSION	47	48	50	34	12.8	37	-27.7
SALE	14	24	17	24	9.0	19	71.4

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

		Number of	f Arrests		1985 Ar Per 1, Licen Drive	000 sed	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	550	763	1,094	1,183	6.3	45	115.1
FELONY	45	79	99	103	0.5	30	128.9
MISDEMEANOR	505	684	995	1,080	5.7	45	113.9

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

Table 3A
FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	ents	% Change		
	1982	1983_	1984	1985	82 vs 85
FELONY INDICTMENTS	349 100.0%	389 100.0%	415 100.0%	439 100.0%	25.8
VIOLENT	100 28.7%	80 20.6%	69 16.6%	79 18.0%	-21.0
OTHER	249 71.3%	309 79.4%	346 83.4%	360 82.0%	44.6

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

		% Chango	
	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	187	-15.0	
VIQLENT	44	25.7	
OTHER	143	-22.7	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

		Resulti	it of Disposi ng from Lemeanor Arre		
	1982	1983	1984	<u> 1985</u> ²	% Change 82 vs 85
DISPOSITIONS	2,210 100.0%	2,383 100.0%	2,483 100.0%	2,584 100.0%	16.9
CONVICTIONS	1,314 59.5%	1,469 61.6%	1,652 66.5%	1,880 72.8%	43.1
ACQUITTALS	10 0.5%	1 4 0.6%	7 0.3%	4 0.2%	-60.0
DISMISSALS	864 39.1%	886 37.2%	809 32.6%	688 26.6%	-20.4
OTHER	22 1.0%	1 4 0.6%	15 0.6%	12 0.5%	-45.5

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

		r and Percen ulting from			
	1982	<u>1983</u>	1984	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	656 100.0%	664 100.0%	734 100.0%	703 100.0%	7.2
CONVICTIONS	433 66.0%	464 69.9%	550 74.9%	541 77.0%	24.9
ACQUITTALS	4 0.6%	5 0.8%	$\begin{smallmatrix}&&1\\0.1\%\end{smallmatrix}$	2 0.3%	-50.0
DISMISSALS	216 32.9%	192 28.9%	181 24.7%	1 59 22.6%	-26.4
OTHER	3 0.5%	3 0.5%	2 0.3%	1 0.1%	-66.7

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1

Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests % Change 1985 ² 1982 1983 1984 82 vs 85 CONVICTIONS 1,314 1,469 1,652 1,880 43.1 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% **FELONY** 196 340 73.5 241 319 14.9% 16.4% 19.3% 18.1% VIOLENT 96.6 29 52 48 57 2.2% 3.5% 2.9% 3.0% OTHER 69.5 167 189 271 283 12.7% 12.9% 16.4% 15.1% MISDEMEANOR 571 605 738 29.2 651 43.5% 41.2% 39.4% 39.3% LESSER OFFENSES 547 623 802 46.6 682 41.6% 42.4% 41.3% 42.7%

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS

	Numbe Res				
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change 82 vs 85
CONVICTIONS	433 100.0%	464 100.0%	550 100.0%	541 100.0%	24.9
FELONY	189 43.6%	225 48.5%	305 55.5%	324 59.9%	71.4
VIOLENT	29 6.7%	52 11.2%	48 8.7%	57 10.5%	96.6
OTHER	160 37.0%	1 73 37.3%	257 46.7%	267 49.4%	66.9
MISDEMEANOR	169 39.0%	166 35.8%	180 32.7%	1 63 30.1%	-3.6
LESSER OFFENSES	75 17.3%	73 15.7%	65 11.8%	54 10.0%	-28.0

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions							
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2				
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
STATE PRISON	3.7	4.4	4.9	4.3				
LOCAL JAIL	13.9	12.0	11.1	11.0				
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	6.1	7.8	10.7	9.1				
PROBATION	11.3	9.8	10.3	12.2				
FINES	50.6	56.7	56.3	58.0				
OTHER/UNKNOWN	14.4	9.2	6.8	5.5				

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1

	· · · · ·	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
		82 Misd & Lesser	19 Felony	083 Misd & Lesser	19 Felony	084 Misd & Lesser	<u> </u>	085 Misd & Lesser			
CONVICTIONS ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			
STATE PRISON	25.0	NA	27.0	NA	25.4	NA	23.5	NA			
LOCAL JAIL	12.2	14.1	5.8	13.3	4.1	12.8	2.9	12.7			
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	35.7	0.9	39.4	1.6	48.0	1.7	37.6	2.8			
PROBATION	26.0	8.8	27.0	6.4	21.6	7.6	35.6	7.0			
FINES	0.0	59.5	0.0	67.8	0.9	69.5	0.0	70.8			
OTHER/UNKNOWN	1.0	16.7	0.8	10.8	0.0	8.4	0.3	6.6			

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

²Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT
INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

•	******************	Cases	1985 Cases Per 100,000 Population				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INTAKE ¹	1,398	1,399	1,559	1,796	677.1	41	28.5
INVESTIGATIONS	797	958	1,400	1,696	639.4	40	112.8
FAMILY COURT	229	247	206	298	112.4	40	30.1
CRIMINAL COURT	568	711	1,194	1,398	527.1	31	146.1
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	548	872	1,204	1,105	416.6	1	101.6
FAMILY COURT	548	872	831	639	240.9	1	16.6
CRIMINAL COURT	0	0	373	466	175.7	8	NA

 $^{^{1}}$ Intake occurs only in family court.

Table 7A-2
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of Cases				1985 Cases Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	986	1,009	1,027	899	339.0	8	-8.8	
FAMILY COURT	648	591	536	334	125.9	1	-48.5	
CRIMINAL COURT	338	418	491	565	213.0	29	67.2	
UNDER PROBATION ¹ SUPERVISION	1,928	2,531	2,760	2,249	847.9	19	16.6	
FAMILY COURT	756	1,246	1,276	631	237.9	1	-16.5	
CRIMINAL COURT	1,172	1,285	1,484	1,618	610.0	36	38.1	
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	1,522	1,807	1,974	1,546	582.9	24	1.6	
FAMILY COURT	655	814	921	359	135.4	1	-45.2	
CRIMINAL COURT	867	993	1,053	1,187	447.5	37	36.9	

¹The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	N	0/ Ober 100					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
REVOCATIONS	67	77	121	100	44.5	32	49.3
FAMILY COURT	7	16	26	11	17.4	50	57.1
CRIMINAL COURT	60	61	95	89	55.0	13	48.3
TECHNICAL	38	46	73	66	40.8	9	73.7
NEW CONV.	22	15	22	23	14.2	34	4.5

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED
BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS¹

	FY 1983-84	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0

¹This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classification/alternative" bill.

TABLE 8A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 A Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
ADMISSIONS	1,459	1,552	1,625	1,856	699.8	56	27.2
UNSENTENCED	1,040	1,183	1,020	1,071	403.8	57	3.0
SENTENCED	419	369	605	785	296.0	31	87.4

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 In Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
REPORTED CAPACITY	82	82	82	82	30.9	55	0.0
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	76	91	90	96	36.2	55	26.3
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	85	75	83	87	32.8	57	2.4
UNSENTENCED	57	48	53	53	20.0	52	-7.0
SENTENCED	28	27	30	34	12.8	53	21.4

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	 	Numbe w Court C 1983	r of ommitment 1984	s _1985_1	1985 Co Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	60	72	88	84	31.7	23	40.0
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	24	37	40	31	11.7	30	29.2
HOMICIDE	5	5	11	. 4	1.5	29	-20.0
SEX OFFENSE	2	2	5	2	0.8	46	0.0
ROBBERY	6	1	9	7	2.6	22	16.7
BURGLARY	6	20	9	14	5.3	23	133.3
WEAPONS	0	5	1	0	0.0	23	NA
OTHER	5	4	5	4	1.5	29	-20.0
DRUG FELONIES ³	6	11	21	22	8.3	13	266.7
POSSESSION	. 1	1	3	4	1.5	21	300.0
SALE	5	10	18	18	6.8	8	260.0
OTHER FELONIES	30	23	26	27	10.2	41	-10.0
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	1	1	4	1.5	19	NA

¹Preliminary data.

²New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B INMATES UNDER CUSTODY 1 IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

			s Under C		Inmat Under C on 12/3 Per 100 Populat	ust. 1/85 ,000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	Rank	82 vs 85
UNDER CUSTODY	169	153	188	215	81.1	23	27.2
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	. 91	96	118	126	47.5	23	38.5
HOMICIDE	24	25	35	38	14.3	22	58.3
SEX OFFENSE	9	9	12	12	4.5	47	33.3
ROBBERY	30	19	25	27	10.2	20	-10.0
BURGLARY	14	29	30	36	13.6	15	157.1
WEAPONS	1	6	7	4	1.5	20	300.0
OTHER	13	8	9	9	3.4	34	-30.8
DRUG FELONIES ³	9	11	26	36	13.6	12	300.0
POSSESSION	1	2	3	7	2.6	24	600.0
SALE	8	9	23	29	10.9	11	262.5
OTHER FELONIES	69	45	43	50	18.9	34	-27.5
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	1	1	3	1.1	32	NA

¹Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

 $^{^2{\}rm Inmates}$ under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%

 $^{^1}$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

TABLE 10A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	Number of 1983	Parolees	1985	1985 Par Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
RELEASES TO PAROLE	57	49	41	53	20.0	38	-7.0
UNDER PAROLE ₁ SUPERVISION	133	137	135	143	53.9	38	7.5
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	88	94	90	105	39.6	30	19.3

¹The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	1982	Number of _1983_	Parolees 1984	1985	1985 Revocat Per 1, Parole Rate	ions 000	% Change 82 vs 85
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	8	11	14	8	55.9	34	0.0
RULE VIOLATIONS	1	3	2	2	14.0	26	100.0
NEW ARRESTS	4	. 7	6	3	21.0	39	-25.0
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	3	1	6	3	21.0	28	0.0

 $^{^{1}}$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 1
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	113.7	136.8	195.6	72.0%	.0	.0
Crime Victim's Board	95.5	99.4	128.9	34.9%	138.6	208.9
Division of Criminal Justice Services	389.6	516.6	979.3	151.4%	1,750.8	777.2
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives	436.6	479.7	530.6	21.5%	624.3	679.6
Division for Youth ²	1,186.2	1,018.8	1,229.6	3.7%	2,519.0	1,907.4
TOTAL	2,221.7	2,251.2	3,063.9	37.9%	5,032.7	3,573.0

¹On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

²The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Board of Prisoners						
Coram Nobis ¹	.8	1.4	.1	-87.0%		
Felons ¹	108.9	131.9	192.8	77.0%		
Parole Violators ¹	1.5	1.5	.3	-79.6%		
Prisoner Transfer	2.6	1.9	2.4	-5.2%		
TOTAL	113.7	136.8	195.6	72.0%	.0	.0

 $^{^{1}}$ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIM'S BOARD

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Victim and Witness Assistance Program						
Court Related	.0	8.3	18.5	NA	28.1	34.1
Domestic Violence	95.5	91.1	110.3	15.5%	110.5	174.8
TOTAL	95.5	99.4	128.9	34.9%	138.6	208.9

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	·	Expend	itures	- OI	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Asset/Forfeiture Initiative ¹		——	55.6	NA			
Crime Prevention and Protection ²		.0	15.0	NA	30.0	100.0	
Justice Assistance Act ³				NA	17.9	18.0	
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	.0	21.4	.0	NA	.0	.0	
Major Offense Police Program	299.9	318.0	340.2	13.5%	353.8	361.8	
Mobile Radio District Program ²		.0	277.1	NA	1,011.4	.0	
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act ⁴		14.6	11.0	NA	29.0		

 $^{^1}$ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

²No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

 $^{^3\}mathrm{FY}$ 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

⁴This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

Table 4 (continued)

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Program		Expend	Appropriations			
	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ⁵			95.0	NA	100.0	79.5
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁶	89.7	162.6	185.4	106.7%	208.6	217.9
TOTAL	389.6	516.6	979.3	151.4%	1,750.8	777.2

 $^{^5}$ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

⁶This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Classification Alternatives ¹		eo		NA	44.3	46.1
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	52.9	70.9	74.8	41.2%	84.5	84.3
Regular State Aid	383.7	408.8	455.9	18.8%	495.4	549.2
TOTAL	436.6	479.7	530.6	21.5%	624.3	679.6

 $^{^{1}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Detention						•	
Non-Secure	172.6	85.6	144.4	-16.3%	258.0	177.5	
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	.0	.0	45.7	NA	21.0	50.7	
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	153.0	164.8	145.5	-4.9%	164.4	175.8	
Special Legislative Contracts	.0	10.0	8.0	NA	54.0	198.0	
Transitional Independent Living Support				NA	.0	170.8	
Voluntary Agency Care	389.2	262.0	387.3	5%	699.2	462.8	
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	471.4	496.4	498.7	5.8%	1,322.4	671.8	
TOTAL	1,186.2	1,018.8	1,229.6	3.7%	2,519.0	1,907.4	

 $^{^{1}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

The Department of Correctional Services and the Division for Youth do not operate any facilities in this County.

REGIONAL AGENCIES

Suffolk County Criminal Justice Coordinating Council Human Resources Division 65 Jetson Lane Central Islip, New York 11722

New York State Division of Parole 314 West 40th Street New York, New York 10018

New York State Police Troop L Headquarters 3045 Sunrise Highway Islip Terrace, New York 11752

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office Criminal Courts Building Center Drive South Riverhead, New York 11901

Sheriff's Department 1 Center Drive Riverhead, New York 11901

Probation Department Box 188 Yaphank, New York 11980

Assigned Counsel Plan P.O. Box 591-P 120 Fourth Avenue Bayshore, New York 11706

County Court Criminal Courts Building Center Drive South Riverhead, New York 11901 Police Department Yaphank Avenue Yaphank, New York 11980

Youth Bureau 65 Jetson Lane Central Islip, New York 11722

Legal Aid Society (Civil) 260 West Main Street Bayshore, New York 11706

Legal Aid Society (Criminal) Room 321 Veteran's Memorial Highway Hauppauge, New York 11789

Family Court Veterans Memorial Highway Hauppauge, New York 11787

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

Town of Southhampton
Police Department
110 Old Riverhead Road
Hampton Bays, New York 11946

*Population 25,000 and above.

Suffolk County is located in the Long Island region and Riverhead is its county seat. In area the County covers 912 square miles.

<u>Population</u>

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 1,284,231 persons (ranked 5) has changed 13.9 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 1,408.1 persons per square mile (ranked 9). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 1,313,326 persons (ranked 5).

Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 6.6 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 16), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 4.6 percent of the County's population (ranked 7). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 35.3 percent of the County's population (ranked 6).

Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 12.2 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 58), and 17.8 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 13). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 3.5 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 42), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

Economy

Personal per capita income in the County was \$14,967 in 1984 (ranked 6).* In 1985, a monthly average of 4.7 percent (about 31,800 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 52). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 45,027, or 3.4 percent of the population (ranked 38 among the 57 non-New York City counties).*

^{*} Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

	Number of Crimes				1985 Cr Per 100 Populat	% Change	
	1982	<u> 1983</u>	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
INDEX CRIME	63,053	54,926	49,576	50,247	3,810.3	15	-20.3
VIOLENT	3,339	2,962	3,123	3,373	255.8	27	1.0
MURDER	47	32	45	41	3.1	21	-12.8
FORCIBLE RAPE	185	189	143	174	13.2	31	-5.9
ROBBERY	1,494	1,205	1,329	1,434	108.7	12	-4.0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	1,613	1,536	1,606	1,724	130.7	35	6.9
PROPERTY	59,714	51,964	46,453	46,874	3,554.5	14	-21.5
BURGLARY	17,648	13,617	12,060	12,466	945.3	18	-29.4
LARCENY	37,543	34,343	30,479	30,718	2,329.4	15	-18.2
MV THEFT	4,523	4,004	3,914	3,690	279.8	9	-18.4

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System,

TABLE 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	1982	Number (of Arrest: 1984	s _1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
ARRESTS	21,312	21,605	21,268	22,154	1,686.9	38	4.0
FELONY	5,729	5,661	5,291	5,234	398.5	26	-8.6
VIOLENT	2,124	1,904	1,982	1,859	141.5	17	-12.5
OTHER	3,605	3,757	3,309	3,375	257.0	39	-6.4
MISDEMEANOR	15,583	15,944	15,977	16,920	1,288.3	38	8.6

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>	
ARRESTS	10,210	-8.6	
FELONY	2,496	-3.8	
VIOLENT	885	-1.8	
OTHER	1,611	-4.9	
MISDEMEANOR	7,714	-10.0	

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE 2C} \\ \text{ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES}^1 \end{array}$

	1982	Number o	f Arrests _1984_	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
MURDER	42	40	42	37	2.8	24	-11.9
FORCIBLE RAPE	86	71	73	79	6.0	25	-8.1
ROBBERY	475	369	448	401	30.5	11	-15.6
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	637	584	652	704	53.6	20	10.5
BURGLARY	1,522	1,349	1,166	1,030	78.4	44	-32.3
LARCENY ²	2,485	2,539	2,371	2,318	176.5	61	-6.7

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

²Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2D $\label{eq:arrests} \mbox{ ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS}^{1}$

		Number of	Arrests		1985 Ar Per 100 Populat	,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	1,343	1,697	1,844	2,112	160.8	8	57.3
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	599	743	700	931	70.9	20	55.4
POSSESSION	266	328	416	576	43.9	23	116.5
SALE	333	415	284	355	27.0	8	6.6
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	744	954	1,144	1,181	89.9	6	58.7
POSSESSION	657	804	940	1,047	79.7	3	59.4
SALE	87	150	204	134	10.2	17	54.0

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

		Number o	f Arrests		1985 Ar Per 1, Licen Drive	000 sed	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	8,978	9,159	8,975	9,525	10.4	16	6.1
FELONY	238	321	124	83	0.1	55	-65.1
MISDEMEANOR	8,740	8,838	8,851	9,442	10.3	14	8.0

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

Table 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur 1982	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>			
FELONY INDICTMENTS	3,058 100.0%	2,646 100.0%	3,029 100.0%	2,950 100.0%	-3.5
VIOLENT	1,234 40.4%	1,018 38.5%	1,143 37.7%	909 30.8%	-26.3
OTHER	1,824 59.6%	1,628 61.5%	1,886 62.3%	2,041 69.2%	11.9

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

·g.	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	1,338	-20.1	
VIOLENT	355	-29.6	
OTHER	983	-16.1	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1

•		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change 82 vs 85	
DISPOSITIONS	14,486 100.0%	14,281 100.0%	16,962 100.0%	16,734 100.0%	15.5	
CONVICTIONS	11,333 78.2%	11,747 82.3%	13,452 79.3%	12,608 75.3%	11.3	
ACQUITTALS	75 0.5%	74 0.5%	92 0.5%	96 0.6%	28.0	
DISMISSALS	2,962 20.4%	2,314 16.2%	3,325 19.6%	3,964 23.7%	33.8	
OTHER	116 0.8%	146 1.0%	93 0.5%	66 0.4%	-43.1	

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests				
	1982	1983	1984	<u> 1985</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
DISPOSITIONS	3,730 100.0%	3,292 100.0%	4,423 100.0%	4,599 100.0%	23.3	
CONVICTIONS	2,834 76.0%	2,599 78.9%	3,516 79.5%	3,688 80.2%	30.1	
ACQUITTALS	43 1.2%	44 1.3%	47 1.1%	47 1.0%	9.3	
DISMISSALS	780 20.9%	580 17.6%	817 18.5%	834 18.1%	6.9	
OTHER	73 2.0%	69 2.1%	43 1.0%	30 0.7%	-58.9	

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1

	Numbe				
	1982	1983	1984_	1985 2	% Change 82 vs 85
CONVICTIONS	11,333 100.0%	11,747 100.0%	13,452 100.0%	12,608 100.0%	11.3
FELONY	1,648 14.5%	1,564 13.3%	1,698 12.6%	1,905 15.1%	15.6
VIOLENT	518 4.6%	542 4.6%	533 4.0%	545 4.3%	5.2
OTHER	1,130 10.0%	1,022 8.7%	1,165 8.7%	1,360 10.8%	20.4
MISDEMEANOR	3,219 28.4%	3,212 27.3%	4,045 30.1%	3,897 30.9%	21.1
LESSER OFFENSES	6,466 57.1%	6,971 59.3%	7,709 57.3%	6,806 54.0%	5.3

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS

		r and Percen			
	1982	1983	1984	2	% Change 82 vs 85
CONVICTIONS	2,834 100.0%	2,599 100.0%	3,516 100.0%	3,688 100.0%	30.1
FELONY	1,488 52.5%	1,367 52.6%	1,507 42.9%	1,623 44.0%	9.1
VIOLENT	518 18.3%	542 20.9%	533 15.2%	545 14.8%	5.2
OTHER	970 34.2%	825 31.7%	97 4 27.7%	1,078 29.2%	11.1
MISDEMEANOR	989 34.9%	872 33.6%	1,384 39.4%	1,365 37.0%	38.0
LESSER OFFENSES	357 12.6%	360 13.9%	62 5 17.8%	700 19.0%	96.1

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS $^{\mbox{\scriptsize 1}}$

	Sente	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions							
	1982	1983	1984	<u> 1985</u> ²					
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					
STATE PRISON	4.0	3.8	3.4	4.6					
LOCAL JAIL	9.6	7.8	9.4	10.4					
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	5.0	4.5	4.8	5.5					
PROBATION	17.4	13.3	14.6	13.4					
FINES	53.8	65.8	60.3	57.3					
OTHER/UNKNOWN	10.3	4.8	7.4	8.8					

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions								
		1982 Misd & Felony Lesser		1983 Misd & Felony Lesser		084 Misd & Lesser	1985 Misd & <u>Felony Lesser</u>		
convictions ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
STATE PRISON	27.6	NA	28.7	NA	27.1	NA	30.6	NA	
LOCAL JAIL	19.7	7.9	15.3	6.6	13.2	8.9	11.4	10.2	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	21.4	2.2	23.0	1.7	27.9	1.5	26.1	1.8	
PROBATION	28.5	15.5	25.1	11.5	24.9	13.2	22.4	11.8	
FINES	1.9	62.6	6.9	74.9	4.4	68.3	4.9	66.6	
OTHER/UNKNOWN	0.8	11.9	0.9	5.4	2.5	8.2	4.7	9.6	

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

²Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT
INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

	***************************************	Number of Cases				1985 Cases Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	Rank	% Change 82 vs 85	
INTAKE ¹	16,045	14,061	14,964	17,062	1,299.1	18	6.3	
INVESTIGATIONS	24,733	29,248	31,346	31,473	2,396.4	. 1	27.3	
FAMILY COURT	1,524	1,636	1,581	1,657	126.2	38	8.7	
CRIMINAL COURT	23,209	27,612	29,765	29,816	2,270.3	1.	28.5	
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	523	609	571	657	50.0	28	25.6	
FAMILY COURT	523	609	571	657	50.0	10	25.6	
CRIMINAL COURT	0	0	0	0	0.0	42	NA	

 $^{^{1}}$ Intake occurs only in family court.

Table 7A-2
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

	Number of Cases				1985 Cases Per 100,000 Population			
	Number of Cases				Populat	ion	0/ Change	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate_	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	3,776	3,884	4,112	4,154	316.3	14	10.0	
FAMILY COURT	806	798	793	870	66.2	10	7.9	
CRIMINAL COURT	2,970	3,086	3,319	3,284	250.1	16	10.6	
UNDER PROBATION ¹ SUPERVISION	9,707	10,557	11,108	11,778	896.8	13	21.3	
FAMILY COURT	1,682	1,826	1,689	1,827	139.1	7	8.6	
CRIMINAL COURT	8,025	8,731	9,419	9,951	757.7	16	24.0	
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	6,673	6,996	7,624	7,970	606.9	20	19.4	
FAMILY COURT	1,028	896	957	1,002	76.3	6	-2.5	
CRIMINAL COURT	5,645	6,100	6,667	6,968	530.6	22	23.4	

¹The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	Number of Revocations				1985 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOCATIONS	333	351	412	498	42.3	37	49.5
FAMILY COURT	26	33	20	46	25.2	49	76.9
CRIMINAL COURT	307	318	392	452	45.4	22	47.2
TECHNICAL	208	201	210	257	25.8	25	23.6
NEW CONV.	99	117	182	195	19.6	23	97.0

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED
BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS¹

	FY 1983-84	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86
CLIENTS SERVED	469	686	1,126

¹This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classification/alternative" bill.

TABLE 8A
COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

					1985 A Per 100 Populat	,000	% Change	
	<u> 1982</u>	1983	<u>1984</u>	1985	Rate	Rank	<u>82 vs 85</u>	
ADMISSIONS	9,664	10,304	10,871	11,546	879.1	49	19.5	
UNSENTENCED	7,444	7,674	8,104	8,773	668.0	47	17.9	
SENTENCED	2,220	2,630	2,767	2,773	211.1	45	24.9	

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B
COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983	1984	1985_	1985 In Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>		% Change 82 vs 85
REPORTED CAPACITY	536	536	756	756	57.6	45	41.0
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	662	716	783	855	65.1	34	29.2
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	634	623	724	685	52.2	39	8.0
UNSENTENCED	403	380	441	421	32.1	34	4.5
SENTENCED	231	243	283	264	20.1	39	14.3

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	Ne	Numbe w Court C 1983		s _1985_1	1985 Co Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	454	546	525	588	44.8	15	29.5
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	222	280	243	281	21.4	13	26.6
HOMICIDE	30	37	19	25	1.9	23	-16.7
SEX OFFENSE	27	32	28	38	2.9	21	40.7
ROBBERY	87	107	78	107	8.1	6	23.0
BURGLARY	59	92	74	80	6.1	19	35.6
WEAPONS	8	5	1,4	7	0.5	18	-12.5
OTHER	11	7	30	24	1.8	24	118.2
DRUG FELONIES ³	90	117	111	106	8.1	14	17.8
POSSESSION	17	17	24	20	1.5	20	17.6
SALE	73	100	87	86	6.5	10	17.8
OTHER FELONIES	126	137	162	187	14.2	24	48.4
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	16	12	9	14	1.1	32	-12.5

¹Preliminary data.

²New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B $\hbox{INMATES UNDER CUSTODY}^1 \hbox{ in State Prison on December 31}$

	Number in Stat 1982	of Inmate <u>e Prison</u> 1983	s Under (on Deceml 1984	Custody per 31 1985	Inmate Under Cu on 12/3 Per 100 Populat Rate	ıst. 1/85 ,000	% Change 82 vs 85
UNDER CUSTODY	1,104	1,208	1,339	1,480	112.7	12	34.1
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	664	762	824	897	68.3	14	35.1
HOMICIDE	156	180	188	201	15.3	19	28.8
SEX OFFENSE	87	98	112	119	9.1	25	36.8
ROBBERY	257	278	263	292	22.2	12	13.6
BURGLARY	106	160	196	213	16.2	11	100.9
WEAPONS	21	12	19	15	1.1	26	-28.6
OTHER	37	34	46	57	4.3	31	54.1
DRUG FELONIES ³	164	203	226	253	19.3	9	54.3
POSSESSION	26	28	43	41	3.1	21	57.7
SALE	138	175	183	212	16.1	7	53.6
OTHER FELONIES	255	227	273	313	23.8	24	22.7
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	21	16	16	17	1.3	28	-19.0

 $^{^{1}}$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

 $^{^2}$ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	3.9%	4.0%	4.0%	4.3%

¹Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

TABLE 10A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	Number of Parolees				1985 Par Per 100 Populat	,000	% Change	
	1982	1983	<u>1984</u>	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	82 vs 85	
RELEASES TO PAROLE	280	384	318	408	31.1	22	45.7	
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	769	881	956	1,097	83.5	23	42.7	
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	497	638	689	901	68.6	17	81.3	

¹The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	1982	Number of	Parolees 1984	1985	1985 Revocat Per 1, <u>Parole</u> <u>Rate</u>	ions 000	% Change 82 vs 85
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	57	46	56	89	81.1	25	56.1
RULE VIOLATIONS	13	8	7	15	13.7	27	15.4
NEW ARRESTS	25	24	29	27	24.6	35	8.0
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	19	14	20	47	42.8	8	147.4

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{The}$ total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 1

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	ditures		Appropi	riations
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	F.Y 85-6	FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	1,102.3	1,366.6	1,552.4	40.8%	.0	.0
Crime Victim's Board	129.0	91.2	133.7	3.7%	133.9	141.4
Division of Criminal Justice Services	2,651.9	2,971.9	3,474.7	31.0%	3,458.0	3,580.0
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	AN	.0	.0
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ¹	3,817.6	3,931.3	5,104.9	33.7%	5,869.3	6,456.0
Division for Youth ²	3,981.4	3,895.9	4,268.7	7.2%	10,042.0	6,409.1
TOTAL	11,682.3	12,256.9	14,534.5	24.4%	19,503.2	16,586.4

¹⁰n April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

²The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriat			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 82-3 FY 83-4		% Change 82 vs 84	F	Y 85-6	FY 86-7	
Board of Prisoners ¹		•					•	
Felons	1,004.4	1,275.5	1,444.7	43.8%		·	48 , 6 , 6	
Parole Violators	78.5	63.8	95.2	21.2%				
Prisoner Transfer ¹	19.4	27.4	12.5	-35.4%			-	
TOTAL	1,102.3	1,366.6	1,552.4	40.8%		.0	.0	

 $^{^1\}mathrm{Local}$ correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIM'S BOARD
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriation		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	141.4	
Court Related	116.4	31.2	66.3	-43.1%	66.4	.0	
Domestic Violence	12.6	60.1	67.4	436.6%	67.5	.0	
TOTAL	129.0	91.2	133.7	3.7%	133.9	141.4	

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			*			
		Expend	itures		Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Asset/Forfeiture Initiative ¹			55.6	NA		
Crime Prevention and Protection ²		65.0	80.0	NA	75.0	50.0
Justice Assistance Act ³	in the		·	NA	98.6	98.6
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	121.2	132.4	137.8	13.6%	9.0	91.9
Major Offense Police Program	700.0	742.0	793.9	13.4%	824.8	841.3
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act ⁴		53.3	39.0	NA	43.0	

 $^{^1}$ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

²No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

 $^{^3\}mathrm{FY}$ 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

⁴This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

Table 4 (continued)

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program ⁵	<u></u> , ·		44.0	NA			
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program6			115.8	NA	111.9	102.2	
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁷	1,830.6	1,979.2	2,208.6.	20.6%	2,295.6	2,396.0	
TOTAL	2,651.9	2,971.9	3,474.7	31.0%	3,458.0	3,580.0	

⁵In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

⁶This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

⁷This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Cnange 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Classification Alternatives ¹				NA	220.0	228.8
Demonstration Projects	60.0	78.0	618.3	930.6%	730.1	707.6
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	303.5	332.2	482.0	58.8%	558.8	676.9
Regular State Aid	3,454.1	3,521.1	4,004.6	15.9%	4,360.4	4,842.7
TOTAL	3,817.6	3,931.3	5,104.9	33.7%	5,869.3	6,456.0

 $^{^{1}{}m This}$ program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropr	iations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Detention							
Secure	.0	1.7	.1	ŊΑ	.3	.4	
Non-Secure	379.9	224.9	223.6	-41.1%	821.6	421.2	
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	146.9	203.7	234.8	59.8%	346.9	409.0	
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	756.1	850.2	835.2	10.5%	868.5	898.7	
Special Legislative Contracts	.0	.0	33.0	NA	136.0	163.8	
Transitional Independent Living Support ¹				NA	15.0	170.0	
Voluntary Agency Care	699.5	584.7	695.8	5%	1,484.5	962.9	
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	1,999.0	2,030.7	2,246.3	12.4%	6,369.3	3,383.0	
TOTAL	3,981.4	3,895.9	4,268.7	7.2%	10,042.0	6,409.1	

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{This}$ program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY

		Capacity	Staff	
DO	CS Facilities	0	0	
DF	Y Facilities	37	40	
то	TAL	37	40	

Table 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

	,	Expen	Appropriations			
	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
DOCS Facilities	9,961.5	14,107.7	13,516.3	35.7%	.0	.0
DFY Facilities	874.6	1,073.3	1,128.2	29.0%	1,099.3	1,194.5
TOTAL	10,836.1	15,181.0	14,644.5	35.1%	1,099.3	1,194.5

Table 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY

(Thousands of Dollars)

	***************************************	Expenditures	Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	<u>Total</u>	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
DOCS Facilities	754.4	124.4	878.8	.0	.0
DFY Facilities	.0	5.8	5.8	32.0	47.5
TOTAL	754.4	130.2	884.6	32.0	47.5

Table 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 11, 1986)

Facility	Security <u>Level</u>	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
Long Island ¹	Medium			

 $^{^{1}}$ The Long Island facility was closed in March of 1985.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Table 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations	
Facility	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Long Island ¹	9,961.5	14,107.7	13,516.3	35.7%	· .	

¹ The Long Island facility was closed in March of 1985.

Table 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures			Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	Total	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>		
Long Island ¹	754.4	124.4	878.8				

 $^{^{1}\}mbox{The Long Island facility was closed in March of 1985.}$

Table 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 1986)

Facility	Security Level ¹	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
Brentwood Residential Center	IV	20	21	
Suffolk Community Residential Home	VI	17	19	
TOTAL		37	40	

Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-Community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI - Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures			Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-3	FY_83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Brentwood Residential Center	495.6	535.6	602.0	21.5%	608.0	674.5
Suffolk Community Residential Home	379.0	537.7	526.2	38.8%	491.3	520.0
TOTAL	874.6	1,073.3	1,128.2	29.0%	1,099.3	1,194.5

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures			Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	Total	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Brentwood Residential Center	.0	5.8	5.8	24.0	38.5	
Suffolk Community Residential Home	.0	.0	.0	8.0	9.0	
TOTAL	.0	5.8	5.8	32.0	47.5	

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

REGIONAL AGENCIES

Westchester County Office of Criminal Justice Planning 2nd Floor, 112 East Post Road White Plains, New York 10601

New York State
Division of Parole
Suite 309, 80 Washington Street
Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

New York State Police Troop K Headquarters
Box 3000
Poughkeepsie, New York 12603

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office County Court House 111 Grove Street White Plains, New York 10601

Probation Department Court House 111 Grove Street White Plains, New York 10601

Legal Aid Society One North Broadway White Plains, New York 10601

Assigned Counsel Plan One North Broadway White Plains, New York 10601 Sheriff's Department
Department of Public Safety
110 Grove Street
White Plains, New York 10601

Youth Bureau Room 403, County Office Building 55 Church Street White Plains, New York 10601

Westchester County
Department of Correction
Box 389
Valhalla, New York 10595

County Court Court House 111 Grove Street White Plains, New York 10601

Family Court Court House, 6th Floor 111 Grove Street White Plains, New York 10601

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

City of Mount Vernon
Police Department
Roosevelt Square North
Mount Vernon, New York 10550

City of New Rochelle
Police Department
90 Beaufort Place
New Rochelle, New York 10801

City of White Plains
Police Department
279 Hamilton Avenue
White Plains, New York 10601

City of Yonkers Police Department 10 Saint Casimir Avenue Yonkers, New York 10701

Town of Cortlandt
Police Department
P.O. Box 760
Crompound, New York 10517

Town of Eastchester
Police Department
40 Mill Road
Eastchester, New York 10709

Town of Greenburgh Police Department 188 Tarrytown Road White Plains, New York 10607

Town of Mamaroneck Police Department 740 West Boston Post Road Mamaroneck, New York 10543

Town of Mount Pleasant Police Department One Town Hall Plaza Valhalla, New York 10595

Town of Ossining
Police Department
Municipal Building, Croton Avenue
Ossining, New York 10562

Town of Yorktown Police Department 2281 Crompond Road Yorktown Heights, New York 10598

^{*}Population 25,000 and above.

Westchester County is located in the Lower Hudson region and White Plains is its county seat. In area the County covers 438 square miles.

<u>Population</u>

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 866,599 persons (ranked 8) has changed -3.1 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 1,978.5 persons per square mile (ranked 7). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 865,460 persons (ranked 8).

Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 14.2 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 5), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 5.3 percent of the County's population (ranked 6). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 28.3 percent of the County's population (ranked 59).

Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 13.4 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 54), and 28.0 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 3). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 2.7 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 57), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

Economy

Personal per capita income in the County was \$21,130 in 1984 (ranked 1).* In 1985, a monthly average of 3.7 percent (about 17,400 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 59). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 38,485, or 4.4 percent of the population (ranked 23 among the 57 non-New York City counties).*

^{*} Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES
KNOWN TO THE POLICE

					1985 Cr Per 100		
		<u>Number o</u>	<u>f Crimes</u>		<u> Populat</u>	ion	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	39,228	35,072	33,141	33,387	3,841.0	14	-14.9
VIOLENT	3,172	2,860	2,931	2,906	334.3	15	-8.4
MURDER	52	35	26	41	4.7	11	-21.2
FORCIBLE RAPE	133	102	112	131	15.1	26	-1.5
ROBBERY	1,753	1,433	1,495	1,545	177.7	6	-11.9
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	1,234	1,290	1,298	1,189	136.8	32	-3.6
PROPERTY	36,056	32,212	30,210	30,481	3,506.7	15	-15,5
BURGLARY	9,967	8,304	7,211	7,080	814.5	26	-29.0
LARCENY	22,200	20,312	19,366	19,932	2,293.1	18	-10.2
MV THEFT	3,889	3,596	3,633	3,469	399.1	8	-10.8

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

TABLE 2A
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	1982	Number	of Arrest	s 1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
ARRESTS	13,840	13,586	14,329	14,969	1,729.6	37	8.2
FELONY	4,275	4,043	3,912	4,244	490.4	14	-0.7
VIOLENT	1,575	1,391	1,351	1,299	150.1	15	-17.5
OTHER	2,700	2,652	2,561	2,945	340.3	17	9.1
MISDEMEANOR	9,565	9,543	10,417	10,725	1,239.2	45	12.1

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

		Number	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>	
•	ARRESTS	7,408	-1.8	
	FELONY	2,116	-1.1	
	VIOLENT	615	-3.8	
	OTHER	1,501	0.0	
	MISDEMEANOR	5,292	-2.1	

TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES 1

		Number o	f Arrests		1985 Ar Per 100 Populat	,000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate_	Rank	82 vs 85
MURDER	38	31	18	33	3.8	14	-13.2
FORCIBLE RAPE	58	40	62	56	6.5	24	-3.4
ROBBERY	446	385	395	333	38.5	9	-25.3
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	565	554	527	550	63.6	15	-2.7
BURGLARY	855	726	613	585	67.6	48	-31.6
LARCENY ²	3,015	2,676	2,762	3,126	361.2	22	3.7

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

²Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{TABLE 2D} \\ \text{ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS}^1 \end{array}$

	**************************************	Number of	Arrests		1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	a. Ol
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
DRUG ARRESTS	1,329	1,340	1,847	2,040	235.7	5	53.5
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	958	943	1,284	1,545	178.5	4	61.3
POSSESSION	832	806	1,127	1,363	157.5	4	63.8
SALE	126	137	157	182	21.0	10	44.4
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	371	397	563	495	57.2	8	33.4
POSSESSION	302	286	467	376	43.4	8	24.5
SALE	69	111	96	119	13.7	13	72.5

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \begin{tabular}{ll} \be$

		Number o	f Arrests		1985 Ar Per 1, Licen Drive	000 sed	
·	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate_	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	2,684	2,991	3,435	3,448	5.8	48	28.5
FELONY	112	138	134	120	0.2	49	7.1
MISDEMEANOR	2,572	2,853	3,301	3,328	5.6	47	29.4

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

Table 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	% Change			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	1,526 100.0%	1,684 100.0%	1,557 100.0%	1,428 100.0%	-6.4
VIOLENT	694 45.5%	679 40.3%	6 49 41.7%	568 39.8%	-18.2
OTHER	832 54.5%	1,005 59.7%	908 58.3%	860 60.2%	3.4

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986
(Preliminary Data)

	_Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	706	-1.3	
VIOLENT	246	-16.9	
OTHER	460	9.8	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS
RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1

		tions sts	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change 82 vs 85
DISPOSITIONS	11,326 100.0%	10,830 100.0%	9,201 100.0%	11,048 100.0%	-2.5
CONVICTIONS	7,538 66.6%	7,329 67.7%	6,179 67.2%	8,024 72.6%	6.4
ACQUITTALS	56 0.5%	48 0.4%	47 0.5%	6 6 0.6%	17.9
DISMISSALS	3,539 31.2%	3,212 29.7%	2,790 30.3%	2,752 24.9%	-22.2
OTHER	193 1.7%	241 2.2%	185 2.0%	206 1.9%	6.7

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 4B DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1

	Numbe Res				
	1982	1983	Felony Arres	1985 2 ·	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	3,610 100.0%	3,327 100.0%	2,377 100.0%	3,372 100.0%	-6.6
CONVICTIONS	2,553 70.7%	2,328 70.0%	1,568 66.0%	2,683 79.6%	5.1
ACQUITTALS	35 1.0%	30 0.9%	23 1.0%	40 1.2%	14.3
DISMISSALS	909 25.2%	848 25.5%	725 30.5%	581 17.2%	-36.1
OTHER	113 3.1%	121 3.6%	61 2.6%	68 2.0%	-39.8

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS
RESULTING FROM
FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change 82 vs 85		
CONVICTIONS	7,538 100.0%	7,329 100.0%	6,179 100.0%	8,024 100.0%	6.4		
FELONY	1,103 14.6%	1,150 15.7%	492 8.0%	1,369 17.1%	24.1		
VIOLENT	391 5.2%	384 5.2%	172 2.8%	491 6.1%	25.6		
OTHER	712 9.4%	766 10.5%	320 5.2%	878 10.9%	23.3		
MISDEMEANOR	3,502 46.5%	3,231 44.1%	2,810 45.5%	3,303 41.2%	-5.7		
LESSER OFFENSES	2,933 38.9%	2,948 40.2%	2,877 46.6%	3,352 41.8%	14.3		

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS

	Numbo	ur and Darcon	t of Convict	ione		
			Felony Arres			
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
CONVICTIONS	2,553 100.0%	2,328 100.0%	1,568 100.0%	2,683 100.0%	5.1	
FELONY	1,016 39.8%	1,056 45.4%	449 28.6%	1,227 45.7%	20.8	
VIOLENT	391 15.3%	384 16.5%	172 11.0%	491 18.3%	25.6	
OTHER	625 24.5%	672 28.9%	277 17.7%	736 27.4%	17.8	
MISDEMEANOR	1,332 52.2%	1,115 47.9%	960 61.2%	1,262 47.0%	-5.3	
LESSER OFFENSES	205 8.0%	157 6.7%	159 10.1%	194 7.2%	-5.4	

 $^{^{\}rm I}$ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

	Sent	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions							
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2					
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					
STATE PRISON	5.6	5.2	2.9	6.8					
LOCAL JAIL	20.9	18.8	16.1	16.3					
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	4.7	5.5	2.9	4.4					
PROBATION	13.3	13.8	12.1	15.5					
FINES	42.7	45.0	53.4	47.1					
OTHER/UNKNOWN	12.7	11.8	12.6	9.9					

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS 1
AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS 1

		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions								
	1982 Misd & Felony Lesser			1983 Misd & Felony Lesser		84 Misd & Lesser	1985 Misd & Felony Lesser			
convictions ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
STATE PRISON	38.4	NA	32.9	NA	36.6	NA	39.7	NA		
LOCAL JAIL	15.2	21.9	12.6	19.9	5.9	16.9	5.0	18.6		
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	17.8	2.4	25.0	1.9	18.3	1.6	17.3	1.7		
PROBATION	27.8	10.9	28.6	11.0	37.8	9.9	37.3	11.0		
FINES	0.4	50.0	0.4	53.3	1.0	57.9	0.4	56.8		
OTHER/UNKNOWN	0.5	14.8	0.5	13.9	0.4	13.7	0.3	11.8		

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

²Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT
INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of Cases				1985 Cases Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
INTAKE ¹	9,431	8,971	9,544	10,771	1,244.5	20	14.2	
INVESTIGATIONS	12,356	12,872	13,157	13,924	1,608.9	2	12.7	
FAMILY COURT	6,878	6,789	7,070	.7,747	895.1	1	12.6	
CRIMINAL COURT	5,478	6,083	6,087	6,177	713.7	16	12.8	
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	776	764	783	730	84.3	22	-5.9	
FAMILY COURT	776	764	783	728	84.1	6	-6.2	
CRIMINAL COURT	0	0	0	2	0.2	41	NA	

 $^{^{1}}$ Intake occurs only in family court.

Table 7A-2
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

	Number of Cases				1985 Cases Per 100,000 Population			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate_	<u>Rank</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	1,917	2,098	2,079	2,233	258.0	32	16.5	
FAMILY COURT	261	214	238	292	33.7	43	11.9	
CRIMINAL COURT	1,656	1,884	1,841	1,941	224.3	26	17.2	
UNDER PROBATION ¹ SUPERVISION	5,371	5,800	6,306	6,975	805.9	25	29.9	
FAMILY COURT	532	462	488	536	61.9	45	0.8	
CRIMINAL COURT	4,839	5,338	5,818	6,439	744.0	20	33.1	
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	3,702	4,217	4,741	5,603	647.4	15	51.4	
FAMILY COURT	248	240	243	281	32.5	44	13.3	
CRIMINAL COURT	3,454	3,977	4,498	5,322	614.9	14	54.1	

¹The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

TABLE 7B
PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		1985 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>					
•	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOCATIONS	205	201	186	210	30.1	47	2.4
FAMILY COURT	24	24	23	29	54.1	37	20.8
CRIMINAL COURT	181	177	163	181	28.1	50	0.0
TECHNICAL	86	89	77	78	12.1	48	-9.3
NEW CONV.	95	88	86	103	16.0	30	8.4

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED
BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS

1

	FY 1983-84	FY 1984-85	FY 1985-86
CLIENTS SERVED	0	524	491

¹This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classification/alternative" bill.

TABLE 8A
COUNTY JAIL, PENITENTIARY, AND WOMEN'S UNIT ADMISSIONS

	1982	1983	1984_	1985	1985 A Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ADMISSIONS	13,834	13,266	13,327	15,956	1,843.6	4	15.3
UNSENTENCED	11,583	11,237	11,432	13,849	1,600.2	2	19.6
SENTENCED	2,251	2,029	1,895	2,107	243.5	38	-6.4

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B
COUNTY JAIL, PENITENTIARY, AND WOMEN'S UNIT CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 Inr Per 100 <u>Populat</u> Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
REPORTED CAPACITY	754	950	980	1,050	121.3	8	39.3
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	515	877	873	825	95.3	7	60.2
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	801	833	752	700	80.9	14	-12.6
UNSENTENCED	533	555	532	436	50.4	16	-18.2
SENTENCED	268	278	220	264	30.5	19	-1.5

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	Ne [.]	Numbe w Court C 1983		s _1985_1	1985 Co Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	429	362	469	554	64.0	6	29.1
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	253	194	273	328	37.9	4	29.6
HOMICIDE	16	19	37	45	5.2	5	181.3
SEX OFFENSE	15	9	20	35	4.0	16	133.3
ROBBERY	142	84	83	103	11.9	5	-27.5
BURGLARY	50	59	87	93	10.7	3	86.0
WEAPONS	8	14	18	27	3.1	8	237.5
OTHER	22	9	28	25	2.9	15	13.6
DRUG FELONIES ³	35	56	56	73	8.4	12	108.6
POSSESSION	5	17	10	28	3.2	14	460.0
SALE	30	39	46	45	5.2	12	50.0
OTHER FELONIES	125	100	134	143	16.5	17	14.4
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	16	12	6	10	1.2	29	-37.5
and the second s				and the second			

¹Preliminary data.

 $^{^2}$ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

			es Under on Decem 1984		Inmat Under C on 12/3 Per 100 Populat Rate	ust. 1/85 ,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	1,044	1,042	1,160	1,247	144.1	9	19.4
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	686	700	793	876	101.2	7	27.7
HOMICIDE	136	143	173	196	22.6	7	44.1
SEX OFFENSE	69	73	88	99	11.4	15	43.5
ROBBERY	313	301	281	285	32.9	6	-8.9
BURGLARY	89	107	156	191	22.1	6	114.6
WEAPONS	20	24	34	40	4.6	8	100.0
OTHER	59	52	61	65	7.5	16	10.2
DRUG FELONIES ³	76	109	126	144	16.6	11	89.5
POSSESSION	20	30	33	52	6.0	10	160.0
SALE	56	79	93	92	10.6	12	64.3
OTHER FELONIES	261	216	225	216	25.0	22	-17.2
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	21	17	16	11	1.3	29	-47.6

 $^{^{\}mathrm{1}}$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

²Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984_	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	3.7%	3.4%	3.5% .	3.6%

 $^{^{\}rm I}$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

TABLE 10A
PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982_	Number of _1983_	Parolees 1984	1985	1985 Par Per 100 Populat Rate	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	248	305	285	346	40.0	13	39.5
UNDER PAROLE 1 SUPERVISION	637	753	831	943	109.0	14	48.0
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	448	546	597	682	78.8	13	52.2

¹The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

		Number of 1983	Parolees 1984	1985	1985 Revocat Per 1, Parole Rate	ions 000 <u>es</u>	% Change 82 vs 85
REVOKED AND RETURNED 1	61	70	72	97	102.9	17	59.0
RULE VIOLATIONS	19	22	22	24	25.5	14	26.3
NEW ARRESTS	31	34	36	41	43.5	23	32.3
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	11	. 14	14	32	33.9	17	190.9

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{The}$ total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 1
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	ditures		Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	347.1	458.3	351.4	1.2%	.0	.0
Crime Victim's Board	120.6	172.6	208.7	73.1%	226.3	383.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	3,162.3	3,376.5	4,811.5	52.1%	4,907.1	4,513.0
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives 1	1,821.6	2,011.7	2,389.9	31.2%	2,691.6	3,174.9
Division for Youth ²	2,845.6	3,226.7	4,491.3	57.8%	8,445.9	5,250.0
TOTAL	8,297.3	9,245.7	12,252.8	47.7%	16,270.8	13,320.9

¹On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

²The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY_83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Board of Prisoners ¹							
Fe1ons	341.3	454.7	347.3	1.8%	. 		
Parole Violators	.5	.2	.6	38.7%	 -	· 	
Prisoner Transfer ¹	5.4	3.4	3.5	-36.3%	7.		
TOTAL	347.1	458.3	351.4	1.2%	.0	.0	

 $^{^1\}mathrm{Local}$ correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIM'S BOARD

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropr	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	17.0	55.1	74.4	337.5%	109.3	235.5	
Domestic Violence	50.4	55.2	56.0	11.0%	55.4	83.2	
Elderly	53.1	62.3	78.4	47.5%	61.6	46.3	
TOTAL	120.6	172.6	208.7	73.1%	226.3	383.0	

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropr	Appropriations	
Program	FY 82~3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Asset/Forfeiture Initiative ¹			55.6	NA			
Crime Prevention and Protection ²		.0	10.0	NA	25.0	95.0	
Indigent Parolee Prog. 3	104.2	83.8	67.5	-35.2%			
Justice Assistance Act ⁴	·		·	NA	66.3	66.1	
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	48.3	80.2	49.8	3.1%	17.9	51.7	
Major Offense Police Program	512.0	542.7	580.7	13.4%	603.9	616.0	
Mobile Radio District Program ²		5.8	852.8	NA	880.5	329.0	
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act ⁵		.0	61.2	NA	78.5		

This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

²No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

³Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

 $^{^4\}mathrm{FY}$ 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

⁵This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

Table 4 (continued)

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropriations	
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program6		·	16.0	NA			
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ⁷		.· 	153.2	NA	153.4	175.2	
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁸	2,497.9	2,664.0	2,964.6	18.7%	3,081.4	3,180.0	
TOTAL	3,162.3	3,376.5	4,811.5	52.1%	4,907.1	4,513.0	

⁶In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

⁷This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

⁸This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropr	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Classification Alternatives ¹			onin Tida	NA	148.1	154.0
Demonstration Projects	.0	.0	149.9	NA	156.0	412.0
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	248.1	282.5	368.3	48.4%	409.0	446.3
Regular State Aid	1,573.5	1,729.2	1,871.7	18.9%	1,978.4	2,162.6
TOTAL	1,821.6	2,011.7	2,389.9	31.2%	2,691.6	3,174.9

 $[\]overline{^{1}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropr	Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Detention							
Secure	408.0	645.2	460.7	12.9%	717.9	614.6	
Non-Secure	.0	.0	577.2	NA	813.9	503.3	
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	71.1	62.6	60.3	-15.2%	63.1	62.6	
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	493.7	458.0	440.0	-10.9%	454.5	464.5	
Special Legislative Contracts	90.0	255.0	227.0	152.2%	278.0	398.5	
Transitional Independent Living Support ¹				NA	.0	30.0	
Voluntary Agency Care	291.3	370.1	1,262.6	333.5%	2,282.2	1,180.7	
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	1,491.5	1435.9	1,463.5	-1.9%	3,836.3	1,995.7	
TOTAL	2,845.6	3,226.7	4,491.3	57.8%	8,445.9	5,250.0	

 $[\]overline{^{1}}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 1A
CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY

	Capacity	Staff	
DOCS Facilities	3,200	1,808	
DFY Facilities	16	18	
TOTAL.	3,216	1,826	

Table 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY

(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Appropriations			
	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
DOCS Facilities	42,259.1	47,129.9	50,443.4	19.4%	52,560.7	56,827.0
DFY Facilities	353.4	493.2	559.1	58.2%	480.5	489.3
TOTAL	42,612.5	47,623.1	51,002.5	19.7%	53,041.2	57,316.3

Table 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY

(Thousands of Dollars)

	Е	xpenditures	Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	<u>Total</u>	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
DOCS Facilities	3,128.3	1,296.2	4,424.5	1,302.7	900.0
DFY Facilities	.0	.0	.0	13.0	. 6.0
TOTAL	3,128.3	1,296.2	4,424.5	1,315.7	906.0

Table 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF
(June 11, 1986)

<u>Facility</u>	Security Level	Capacity	Staff	
Bedford Hills ¹	Maximum	578	482	
Sing Sing	Maximum	1,739	1,134	
Tappen ²	Medium	482		
Taconic	Medium	401	192	
TOTAL		3,200	1,808	

¹The facility houses only female inmates.

 $^{^2}$ The facility's staff is included with the staff reported for the Sing Sing facility.

Table 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET
(Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures			Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Bedford Hills	11,026.4	12,234.4	13,103.0	18.8%	13,922.8	14,867.1
Sing Sing	26,279.0	29,396.9	31,389.6	19.4%	32,446.3	35,287.6
Tappen ¹		ente punh		NA		****, *****
Taconic	4,953.7	5,498.5	5,950.7	20.1%	6,191.6	6,672.3
TOTAL	42,259.1	47,129.9	50,443.4	19.4%	52,560.7	56,827.0

 $[{]f 1}$ The facility's budget is administered by the Sing Sing facility.

Table 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures	Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	<u>Total</u>	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Bedford Hills	938.8	496.3	1,435.1	897.2	565.0
Sing Sing	2,139.6	797.4	2,937.0	386.6	259.0
Tappen ¹	***		.0		·- ·-
Taconic	49.9	2.5	52.4	18.8	76.0
TOTAL	3,128.3	1,296.2	4,424.5	1,302.7	900.0

 $^{^{}m 1}$ The facility's budget is administered by the Sing Sing facility.

Table 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1986)

<u>Facility</u>	•	Security <u>Level¹</u>	Capacity	Staff	
Westchester Community Residential Hor	me .	VI	16	18	

Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-Community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI - Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropriations	
<u>Facility</u>	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Westchester Community Residential Home	353.4	493.2	559.1	58.2%	480.5	489.3	

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	<u>F</u>	xpenditures	Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	<u>Total</u>	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Westchester					
Community Residential Home	.0	.0	.0	13.0	6.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

APPENDIX A EXPLANATORY NOTES

EXPLANATORY NOTES

ANALYSES

The statistics presented in this document include frequencies, percents, percent changes, rates, and rate rank scores.

Percent changes are sensitive to relatively small changes in absolute numbers when the numbers used to calculate the percent changes are low. In addition, the percent change is not a valid indicator of change when the number reported for the base year (the 1982 calander year or the 1982-83 fiscal year) is zero (0). In such instances the notation "NA" is used.

Rates are ranked from high to low; e.g., if the rates for an activity in the 62 counties ranged from 200.0 to 50.0 per 100,000 population, the highest rate (200.0) would be ranked one (1) and the lowest rate (50.0) would be ranked 62. Rank values for both the public assistance data and the county jail and penitentiary data reflect the rankings for only the 57 non-New York City counties, making the range for rank values of these data one (1) to 57. It is important to note that the rank calculations do not take into consideration the magnitude of the differences between the ranked rates.

The Bureau of Census provisional population estimates for 1985 that are reported in Section I were used for all population-based rate calculations presented in the briefing book with the exception of those reported for "Index Crimes Known to the Police" (Section III: Table 1). Index crime rates were calculated using population estimates provided by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. These population data were used rather than the Bureau of Census population data to maintain comparability with Index crime rates reported in the annual NYS Crime and Justice publication. FBI population data are used because the production of this annual publication begins before the more authoritative Bureau of Census population data become available.

The New York State Department of Motor Vehicles provided the data on licensed dirvers in New York State that was used to calulate the <u>rate of DWI arrests</u> per 1,000 licensed drivers (Section III: Table 2-E).

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

Criminal justice agencies located within or providing direct services to the county are presented in this section.

SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

The demographic and socioeconomic data presented in this section were provided by a number of sources.

o NYS Department of Commerce (personal per capita income data)

o NYS Department of Education (high school dropout data)

- o NYS Department of Labor (labor force data)
- o NYS Department of Social Services (public assistance program data)
- o New York State Statisical Yearbook: 1983-84 (square miles and 1970-80 population change data)
- o U.S. Bureau of the Census (population, age, race, and ethnicity data)

SECTION III CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

It is important to note that in instances where multiple offenses are reported for an event, the <u>offense classification</u> for the event is generally determined by the most serious offense reported for that event. However, the criteria which agencies have developed to rank crimes by seriousness may differ among the statistical systems operated by State agencies. As a result, a robbery that is identified as the most serious offense in a multiple crime event by one agency may not be identified as the most serious crime that occurred during an event by another agency.

The characteristics of the data presented in Section III vary across tables. Table 1 in this appendix defines data populations, units of count, offender types, and offense categories by table. The data sources are also identified.

SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

The expenditures and appropriations for local assistance programs funded with federal monies are based on the state's fiscal year.

SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

DOCS capacity data reflect both housing and "other" categories, such as transit units and reception centers; they exclude temporary spaces, Special Housing Unit cells, and hospital spaces. DFY capacity data reflect the number of youths that can be housed at a facility and is contingent upon both available space and number of staff.

<u>DOCS staff</u> data reflect both full-time and part-time staff, while <u>DFY staff</u> data reflect full-time equivalent staff.

The <u>operating budget</u> and <u>capital improvement budget</u> expenditures include monies spent both within and outside the county. The DOCS facility-based budget does not include centralized billings. DFY's facility-based budget excludes centralized billings and monies budgeted for regional offices and aftercare workers.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity

Table		Fopulation	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Table 1	INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE	Index offenses known to law enforcement agencies in New York State for a given year.	Victia-based: aurder forcible rape aggravated assault Structure-baseds burglary Event-baseds robbery larceny (excluding autor vehicle theft) Velicle-based: autor vehicle theft The unit of count is based on the aust serious offense in a criae event.	Hot applicable.	FBI Index offense def- initions spanning felonies and misde- meanors for the specified offenses.	NYS Division of Crimical Justics Services, Uniform Crime Reportin System.
Table 2A Table 28 Table 2C	FELONY AND MISOEMEANOR ARRESTS: January through June, 1986	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred during a given year.	An arrest event. The most serious charge placed against an offender for an arrest event is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect arrest events that included at least one charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.	Adult •	Felony Arrests Misdemeanor Arrests	MYS Division of Criminal Justic Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
Table 2D	ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 thru PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 thru PL 221.55).	An arrest event.			MYS Division of Criminal Justic Services, Drug Arrest and Processing Trends File.
Table 2E	FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARKESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for a DNI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192).				NYS Division of Criminal Justic Services, OWI Arrest and Processing Trends File.

1 Continued on the next page.)

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table		Papulation	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Table 3A	FELONY INDICINENTS FELONY INDICINENTS: January through Juna, 1986	Felony indictments and superior court informations filed during a given year.	Defendant-indictment/superior court information(SCI) based; each defendant named im an indictment/SCI is counted. The most serious charge placed against a defendant is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect indictments/SCI's that include at least one charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.	Adult # Juvenile Offender	Felony Indictments/ Superior Court Informa- tions.	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.
Table 4A	DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISOEMEANOR ARRESTS	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events which occurred after December 31, 1969.	Disposition based; counts are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. "Other" dispositions	Adult #	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
Table 48	DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELDMY ARRESTS	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	include cases for which presecution was declined by either the prosecutor or the grand jury and cases disposed for reasons other than those already mentioned (e.g., cases disposed by civil procedure or abated by the death of the defendant).		(Not applicable for acquittal, dismissal, and "other" dispositions.)	
Table 5A	FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and sentence is counted. Only the most serious charge is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect conviction events that include at	Adult 4	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Hisdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
Table 58	FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	least one conviction charge for a violent felony offense listed im Appendix E.			

(Continued on the next page.)

Table		Fapulation	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Table 6A	SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY OR MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OF \$205E CONVICTIONS	Conviction dispositions, for which seatences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and sentence is counted. Only the most serious sentence in a multiple sentence offense is counted. "Other and Unknown" sentences include cases, for example, where a license was suspended or revoked (without fine) and cases for which the t;pe of sentonce was unknown.	Adult •	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
Table 7A-1	PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES	Intake cases received during a given year. Investigations ordered during a given year.	Ccaptaint-based; each complaint is counted as one case. It vistigation-based; each investigation ordered is counted as one case.	Family Court: Adult = Juvenite Delinquent Person in Need of Supervision (PINS)	Detained/Arrested for: Felony Offense Misdemeanor Offense Lesser Offense - only Juvenile Deling.	NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives: Family Court - Annual compilation of the "Monthly Report of Family
		Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service requested is counted as one case.	Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service request is counted as one case.	Criminal Court: Adult Juvenile Offender	Status Offense - only PINS	Norkload in Probation Departments (Form Ho. DP-30). Criminal Court — Annual compila-
Table 7A-7	PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES	Probation supervision cases received during a given year.	Sentence-based; each sentence to probation is counted as one case.		Adjudication/Conviction for:	tion of the "Monthly Report of Criminal Workload in Probation Departments (Form No. DP-30A) for
		Probation supervision cases supervised during a given year (i.e., probation cases received during a given year plus probation supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).			Felony Offense Misdeneanor Offense Lesser Offenses - only Juvenile Deling. Status Offense - only PINS	investigation and pre-disposition data, and the Probation Registrant System for all other data.
		Probation supervision cases under supervision on December 31 of a given year.				
Table 79	PROBATION REVOCATIONS	Probation supervision cases for which probation was revoked during a given year.	Revocation-based; each probation supervision case for which probation was revoked is counted as one revocation.			
Table 7C	CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS	Clients served by "domonstration" alternative programs; clients served by "classification" alternative programs are net included.	Client-based; each client served by an acency is counted.	Adult # Juvenile Offenders	Arrests or Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdeaeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	MYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives Alternative to Incarceration Bureau.

A-6

TABLE & Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Yable	Fopulation	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
Table BB JAIL & PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS Table BB JAIL & PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION	Admissions of both detained and sentenced inmates to county/NYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State during a given year for criminal, civil, and federal offenses. A census of both detained and sentenced inmates in county/NYC jails and penitentiaries ic New	Adaission based. It is important to note that if an individual is admitted above than once on the same charge, each admission is counted. Population is invate based for both the "December 31" and "average daily" sopulations.	Adult 1	Detained admissions: Felony Arrests Risdemeanor Arrests Lesser Offenses Sentenced admissions: Misdemeanor Conv. Lesser Offense Conv.	NYS Commission of Correction, Sheriffs Annual Reports: The "Statewide Compilation of Data from 1985 Sheriffs' Annual Reports' included the following caveats " The Sheriffs' Annual Reports represent self- reported data collected by the county jails Differences exist in recordkeeping practices among these various counties
	York State for civil, criminal, and federal offenses is presented for each of the populations that follow: Inmates under custody on December 31 of a given year, and The daily average of inmates under custody during a given year.				The Commission advises caution when using data to compare one county to another."
	A census of beds in county/NYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State. Each jail and penitentiary census was taken on a different day during a given year.	Capacity is based on beds; the count differs by region: New York City Department of Correction counts reflect "beds at standard" (one inmate per cell; 60 square feet per inmate; and no more than 50 in a dorm). The count does not include beds in hospital prison wards.	Not applicable.	Mot applicable.	
		Non-New York City jail counts reflect the number of cells (one bed per cell) and dormitory beds. This count does not include detention or holding rooms.			

(Continued on the next page.)

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table		Population	Un:t of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Reserks
Table 9A-I	ADMISSIONS TO HEW YORK STATE PRISON	Admissions to NYS prison during a given year.	Incate based; parole detainees are not counted as part of the admissions or under custody population. Only the	Adult #	Felany Convictions	HYS Department of Correctional Services.
Table 9A-2 Table 9A	MEN COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON	Hew court consitments to MYS prison during a given year.	most serious conviction offense is counted. Offenses counted as violent feionies are listed in Appendix E.			The "under custody" counts may differ shightly from data presented in reports and publications released by DDCS.
Table 98	INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31	A census of insates under custody in WYS prison on December 31 of a given year.				
Table 9C	PARCLE DETAINEES IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31	Parole detainees in NYS prison on December 31.	Detainee based.			
Table 10A	PAROLE SUFERVISION CASES	Inmates released to the MYS Division of Parole for supervision within the State.	Case based (one person per case).	Adult s Juvenile Offender	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdemeanor Offenses	HYS Division of Parole. The increase in parole revocation
	•	Parole supervision cases supervised during a given year (i.e., parole cases received during a given year plus parole supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).				resulding from new felony convic- tions reflects legislative change and improved efficiency of the parole revocation process. A primary factor was the passage of legislation, incorporated into the Division's rules and regula-
		Parole supervision cases under super- vision on December 31 of a given year.				tions effective November 1, 1984, authorizing the Parole Board to issue a final declaration of de- linquency for releasees convicted
Table 10B	REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES	Parole supervision cases for which parole was revoked and the paroles returned to prison during a given year.			- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	of new felonies cosmitted under supervision and receiving new indeterminate sentences. A final declaration of delinquency stream
						lines the violation process in these cases by obviating the need for a final revocation hearing. The streamlined process has resulted in an increased proportion of returns for new convictions.

[#] An adult is an offender who is 16 years of age or older at time of arrest.

APPENDIX B

CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY STATE SUMMARY BY COUNTY FOR 1985

	TOTA					VIOLE	NT INDEX C	RIKES								PROPE	RTY INDEX C	RIKES		
	INDI Crii		Tota	ıl	Murd	et .	Forcible	Rapa	Robbi	ery	Agg. Ass	sault	Tai	al	Burgi	иy	Larc	eny	וד או	heft
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	4,530.4	11	309.4	18	1.7	39	21.0	18	92.5	14	194.2	25	4,221.		1,177.2		2,865.9	7	178.1	15
ALLEBANY	2,077.2	58	114.9	4B	3.9	16	5.8	50	.0	60	105.1	43	1,962.		624.9	46	1,312.1	54	25.3	
BRONI	7,504.3	3	2,158.2	2	28.B	1	69.9	1	1,184.0	3	875.5	1 .	5,348.1		1,796.7	2	2,552.2		999.3	
BROOKE	2,984.5	31	62.6	60	.9	47	14.5	28	12.1	43	35.0	60.	2,921.9		519.0	56	2,302.5	17	100.4	
CATTARAU6U5	2,546.0	44	120.5	47	.0	50	2.3	59	10.4	48	107.8	42	2,425.		632.7	45 50	1,795.9	37	86.9	
CAYUBA	2,169.8	55	196.2	35	2.5	27	16.1	25	16.1	36	161.5	28	1,973.		493.1	59	1,394.8	51	85.7	
CHAUTAUQUA	3,314.4	24	132.2	42	1.4	43	10.9	35	25.2	23	94.8	46	3,182.2		727.4	37	2,339.4	14	115.2	
CHEMUNG	4,044.8	13	186.7	34	2.1	34	19.2	23	28.6	22	136.5	33	3,858.1	13	837.3	21	2,937.6	5	23.2	-
CHENANGO	2,800.3	35	143.8	36	2.2	32	36.6	4	10.8	46	114.3	24	2,636.5		821.3	25	1,741.8	34	73.3	
CLINTON	2,694.9	38	374.8	11	1,2	45	11.1	34	14.8	38	347.6	8	2,320.		544.9	52	1,494.3	39	78.9	
COLUMBIA	2,732.9	34	329.2	17	3.3	19	8.1	45	22.9	24	295.0	10	2,403.7		756.1	33	1,575.8	45	71.7	
CORTLAND	4,558.3	9	217.3	29	4.1	14	26.9	9	20.7	27	145.5	26	4,341,		922.8	19	3,273.4	4	144.8	
DELAWARE	2,216.8	53	147.4	40	2.1	33	2.1	04	4.3	54	138.8	31	2,069.		770.2	28	1,236.5		42.7	
DUTCHESS	3,126.4	28	330.6	16	2.0	36	14.6	27	74.1	16	240.0	17	2,795.		836.6	22	1,831.5		127.7	
ERIE	4,532.9	10	528.1	7 -	4.4	12	34.9	6	164.0	8	322.8	9	4,004.1		1,150.3	11	2,448.5		406.0	
ESSEI	2,176.7	54	157.6	38	2.7	23	8.2	44	2.7	59	144.0		2,019.		736.5	34	1,220.2		62.5	
FRANKLIN	2,860.2	34	158.9	2 B	2.4	25	25.4	11	5.1	53	125.8	34	2,701.		784.3	29	1,860.7		56.4	
FULTON	3,435.6	20	94.1	53	.0	50	5.3	52	12.4	42	76.3	49	3,341.		1,009.7	15	2,184.5		147.3	
BENESEE	2,559.3	43	91.9	54	.0	50	5.0	53	21.7	25	45.2		2,467.		566.3	49	1,842.6		59.5	
GREENE	2,592.1	39	380.4	10	2.4	28	7,2	48	16.9	35	354.1	7	2,211.		672.1	43	1,455.0		84.3	
HAHILTON	3,277.0	25	101.8	50	.0	50	20.4	21	20.4	29	61.1	58	3,175.		1,302.7	7	1,730.1		142.5	
HERKIMER	2,143.9	57	175.8	35	1.5	42	8.9	39	3.0	58	162.5	27	1,968.1		536.3	54	1,391.8	52	39.9	
JEFFER50X	2,696.9	37	96.5	52	3.4	30	4.5	54	13.5	40	75.2	50	2,400.	34	834.7	23	1,700.7		£5.1	50
KINES	7,594.5	2	2,077.9	3	20.5	3	58.8	3	1,221.3	2	777.3	3	5,516.	2	1,761.8	3	2,717.8	8	1,036.9	
LENIS	1,105.0	42	123.7	44	4.0	15	4.0	55	4.0	55	111.7	40	981.	62	506.6	57	430.B	62	43.9	58
LIVINGSTON	3,084.0	29	240.4	28	.0	50	8.5	41	6.8	51	225.0	20	2,843.	29	453.5	60	2,328.8	16.	61.4	53
MADISON	2,243.2	52	48.5	59	1.5	41	10.4	36	17.9	32	38.7	59	2,174.1	49	595.4	48	1,499.0	46	80.4	40
KOKROE	5,373.7	b	465.6	8	4.9	10	27.5	8	172.9	7	260.3	13	4,908.	4	1,149.6	12	3,487.0	3	271.5	i 10
HONTGOKERY	1,696.0	61	78.9	57	.0	50	3.8	57	15.0	38	40.1	57	1,617.	61	501.5	58	1,049.9	60	45.7	49
NASSAU	3,465.2	19	215.7	30	2.3	29	10.3	37	117.2	11	85.9	48	3,249.	21	704.7	39	2,022.8	24	522.1	6
NEW YORK	13,623.0	1	2,657.0	1	25.3	2	67.3	2	1,692.7	1	871.7	2	10,966.) - 1	2,219.2	1	7,808.6	1	938.1	. 5
NIAGARA	4,403.7	12	364.9	12	1.4	44	23.6	16	97.7	13	242.2	16	4,038.	11	1,145.0	13	2,650.8	9	243.1	11
ONELDA	2,396.5	47	121.8	46	2.4	37	11.8	32	48.3	19	59.3	58	2,274.	144	736.1	35	1,458.9	47	79.7	41
ONONDAGA	4,648.8	8	287.6	19	3.4	20	29.0	7	130.8	9	124.3	37	4,361.	8 .	1,278.4	8	2,915.3	á	167.5	17
ONTARIO	2,905.3	32	197.1	31	1.1	31	20.6	19	17.3	33	158.1	29	2,708.	32	726.6	38	1,905.9	27	75.8	44
DRANGE	3,653.1	18	394.0	9	3.6	17	20.4	20	119.6	10	252.3	14	3,257.	20	1,026.9	14	2,058.5	22	171.8	16
ORLEANS	2,367.7	49	259.9	25	2.5	26	25.4	. 12	20.3	30	210.6	22	2,108.	51	434.0	61	1,608.9	42	0.33	48
OSME60	2,373.2	48	110.5	49	.8	49	B.4	42	10.9	45	90.4	47	2,262.	45	559.4	50	1,594.4	44	108.9	30
DISEGO	2,359.9	50	98.4	51	3.3	18	10.0	38	11.7	44	73.4	51	2,261.	i 46	615.4	47	1,601.1	43	45.0	57
PUTNAN	2,574.1	40	161.5	37	6.2	6	13.6	29	9.9	49	131.9	34	2,412.		798.8	27	1,447.3		166.4	18

	TOT Pont					VIOL	ENT INDEX C	IMES					•			PROPE	RTY INDEX C	RINES		
	CRIN		Tota	ı	Kurd	ler	Forcible	Rapa	Robbe	ry	Agg. Ass	sault	Tota	ıl	Burgl	ary	Larc	eny	HV TH	heft
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Kate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rzak	Rate	Rank
QUEEKS	4,533.9	4	1,128.4	4	9.7	. 4"	35.0	5	å48. <u>1</u>	4	435.4	5	£,405.5	4	1,421.8	5	2,641.0	10	1,342.7	
RENSSELAER	3,704.9	17	279.1	20	2.6	24	21.6	17	55.0	18	199.9	23	3,427.7	17	982.3	16	2,253.5		192.0	
RICHHOND	5,138.0	7	699.2	5	7.0	5	19.8	22	267.7	5	404.7	6	4,438.8	7	1,248.2	9	1,898.5		1,292.1	
RGCKLAND	3,127.1	27	265.3	22	1.5	40	8.3	43	60.4	17	195.1	24	2,861.0	28	759.6	32	1,902.6		199.6	
ST. LAWRENCE	2,866.5	33	84.2	55	.9	48	.0	41	10.5	47	72.8	52	2,782.3	31	695.6	41	2,013.9	25	72.8	
SARATOGA	2,287.4	51	136.1	41	1.9	38	8.7	40	15.4	37	109.8	41	2,151.3	50	639.1	44	1,424.8	50	87.4	
SCHENECTADY	3,352.9	22	190.3	33	2.0	35	13.2	30	75.3	15	99.2	44	3,162.5	24	953.7	17	2,042.9	23	165.9	
SCHOHARIE	2,404.2	46	72.3	58	.0	50	3.3	58	.0.	60	69.0	53	2,333.9	42	0.188	20	1,390.5	53	62.5	
SCHUYLER	1,729.9	60	78.4	56	.0	50	.0	41	14.9	34	42.0	55	1,451.0	59 ·	546.6	51	1,048.1	41	56.3	
SENECA	3,342.4	23	272.0	21	6.0	7	24.2	13	3.0	57	238.8	18	3,070.6	25	701.2	40	2,221.3	20	148.1	21
STEUBEN	2,505.4	45	255.9	26	.0	50	8.0	46	18.1	31	229.8	19	2,249.5	47	540.B	53	1,616.4	40	92.3	33
SUFFOLK	3,810.3	15	255.8	27	3.1	21	13.3	31	102.7	12	130.7	35	3,554.5	14	945.3	18	2,329.4	15	279.8	9
SULLIVAN	3,784.5	14	339.9	14	3.0	22	26.7	10	43.0	20	267.1	12	3,444.6	14	1,650.3	4	1,614.7	41	179.6	- 14
TIOSA	1,764.0	59	122.2	45	.0	50	3.9	56	3.9	54	114.3	38	1,641.8	60	431.4	62	1,131.3	59	78.B	43
TOMPKINS	5,724.4	5	129.3	43	1.1	46	11.3	33	21.6	26	95.3	45	5,597.1	2	1,341.1	4	4,126.6	2	127.3	26
ULSTER	3,019.0	30	352.5	13	4.3	13	23.9	14	36.2	21	288.1	11	2,666.5	34	766.8	31	1,760.5	33	139.1	25
WARREN	3,408.9	21	50.3	61	.0	50	7.2	49	12.4	4.5	30.5	61	3,358.4	18	830.2	24	2,363.0	13	145.3	20
WASHINGTON	2,158.2	56	259.6	24	5.3	8	5.3	51	5.3	52	243.6	15	1,898.7	58	535.1	55	1,274.7	55	88.9	34
MAYNE	3,132.5	26	260.7	23	.0	50	23.8	15	20.4	28	216.5	21	2,871.8	27	782.0	30	1,985.6	26	104.3	
WESTCHESTER	3,841.0	14	334.3	15	4.7	11	15.1	26	177.7	6	136.8	32	3,504.7	15	814.5	26	2,293.1	18	399.1	
MADMINE	2,562.1	41	533.1	6	4.9	ģ	7.4	47	7.4	50	513.4	4	2,029.0	53	692.9	42	1,235.6		110.5	_
YATES	2,560.5	42	46.4	62	.0	50	18.6	24	.0	99	27.8	62	2,514.1	37	732.9	36	1,739.5	35	41.7	60

Source: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

TABLE 2A FELOUY AND MISGENEANOR ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

	161	11			FELDILY A	ARKESTS				
	ARRES		Tota	ıl	Viole	int	Othi	er .	nisdene Arres	
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rast	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALDANY	2,197.2	14	1,104	ı	194.9	. •	469.3	6	1,593.4	į q
ALLEGAUY	1,108.0	12	227.9	12	53.0	58	174.8	62	886.1	66
erout	3,284.7	2	1,775.9	2	770.3	2	1,005.4	2	1,508.8	28
FROOKE	1,674.3	39	327,4	29	109.2	27	278.7	29	1,284.5	39
CATTARAUSUS	1,584.3	44	285.9	57	8.84	51	219.1	56	1,298.4	37
CAYUSA	1,842.0	34	373.2	32	81.4	43	291.8	22	1,488.9	31
CHAUTAUQUA	1,458.5	41	278.8	55	74.3	45	222.6	53	1,359.6	
CHENUIS	1,939.3	29	449.0	21	101.4	35	344.6			35
CHENAUGO	2,157.6	16	310.2	51	92.4	37		15	1,490.3	29
CLINION	1.845.9	33	383.7	30	95.8		218.2	57	1,847.4	10
COLUMBIA	2,234.2	13				34	28B. 1	24	1,446.2	32
CORTLAND			523.1	13	132.0	19	391.1	1	1,711.2	12
	2,954.6	1	403.0	25	111.8	26	291.2	24	2,541.4	2
DELAVISE	1,957.9	27	341.2	40	Ei.8	55	279.4	28	1,454.4	17
DUTCHESS	1,987.0	24	513.5	13	115.4	12	348. f	, 14	1,473.5	30
ERIE	2,502.3	18	539.6	10	210.0	•	329.4	19	1,542.4	25
essei	1,947.0	28	351.7	35	49.7	50	284.0	27	1,592.2	20
Franklin	2,016.3	20	347.1	37	. 75.4	47	271.7	32	1,869.2	13
FULTON	1,393.2	55	. 344.7	39	75.8	46	248.9	33	1,048.5	52
BEHESEE	1,749.3	36	323.4	47	84.7	42	238.2	48	1,425.8	34
SREEKE	1,676.9	40	421.7	23	118.1	25	303.8	21	1,248.9	41
HANTLTON	2,004.0	22	481.4	17	40.2	54	421.3	5	1,524.6	26
HERRIMER	1,383.8	56	272.0	59	64.3	54	207.7	40	1,111.8	50
JEFFERSON	1,439.3	54	370.3	34	103.9	33	266.4	35		51
KINGS	2,405.5	8	1,377.7	3	478.2	33	499.5	. 33	1,049.1	
LEVIS	1,132.5	41	254.3	41	27.8	62	276.5	- 51	1,027.8	54
LIVINGSTON	2,590.5	7	288.0	5£	73.7	48	214.3		878.2	61
MADISON	1,652.4	12	317.4	50	73.4			59	2,302.5	
MOKROE	2,057.8	19	484.9	15		19	244.2		1,335.0	34
HORTSONERY	1,871.4	31			178.3	11	306.4	20	1,572.9	23
WASSAU	1,314.3	57	302.7	53	45.7	60	257.0	32	1,548.7	24
NEW YORK	•		374.4	31	121.1	21	253,4	41	939.9	58
	7,117.0	1 .	2,517.5	1	923.7	ı	1,593.4	I.	4,599.5	å
MIAGARA	1,055.0	32	424.5	22	152.0	14,	272.5	31	1,430.5	33
DHEIDA	1,281.2	59	321.5	48	104.1	29	215.4	58	944.8	56
ONONDAGA	1,752.4	35	591.4	7	224.9	7	344.5	11	1,160.7	48
OHTARIO	1,975.4	26	338.4	41	85.5	41	251.1	43	1.438.8	16
ORANGE	2,192.3	15	575.7	8	180.5	10	395.2	8	1.616.1	18
DRLEAKS	1,527.2	20	482.9	16	140.5	18	342.4	16	1,045.0	53
OSWEGO	1,554.2	47	335.7	42	90.7	39	245.1	46	1,220.4	46
OTSEGO	2,343.0	9	370.4	33	119.6	22	251.0	- 44	1,972.4	7
PUTHAN	1,546.2	45	326.3	44	8.44	53	259.6	37		
	.,,,,,,		210.3	73	80.8	33	131,1	3/	1,239.9	44

	7074		•		. FELONY A	RRESTS			HIPRPUT	AUAB
	TOTA ARRES		Tota	l	Viole	nt .	Othe	r	HISDENE ARRES	
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Kats	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Kank
QUEENS	1,454.0	52	710,0	S	308.7	ŧ	401.3	7	744.1	£2
REUSSELAER	1,545.2	46.	325.1	45	118.9	23	204.1	41	1,210.2	43
R1CHHO!IB	1,504.3	51	576.3	7	295.8	5	274.4	20	6.124	59
RGCI:LAND	1,293.6	58	345.4	38	93.5	34	251.9	42	948.2	57
ST. LAWRENCE	2,004.9	21	324.5	46	77.4	44	246.9	45	1,682.4	13
SASATUGA	2,286.0	16	397.3	.27	105.8	30	291.5	23	1,888.7	•
SCHENECTADY	1,528.0	49	350.0	36	94.3	35	255.7	40	1,176.1	47.
schoharie	2,238.3	12	308.7	52	87.3	40	221.5	55	1,929.4	ğ
BCHUYLER	1,930.2	30	416.5	24	146.5	14	248.4	34	1,513.3	
SEHECA	2,279.9	11	457.8	19	. 91.6	38	346.2	10	1,822.1	11
STEUSEN	1,440.3	. 53	298.9	54	17.5	52	232.2	50	1,141.3	
SUFFOLK	1,484.9	35	398.5	26	141.5	17	257.0	39	1,288.3	38
SULL IVAN	3,102.0	3	751.5	4	294.4	å	457.1	4	2,350.5	5
TIGGA	1,530.4	48	281.9	59	49.4	59	232.2	49	1,248.5	47
TONPKINS	1,988.7	23	329.0	43	104.4	28	222.3	54	1,459.7	15
ULSTER	2,113.3	Ð	523.3	- 11	140.0	13	343.3	12	1,590.0	21
WARREN	2,747.5	5	47B.1	18	118.4	24	359.5	13	2,469.4	
WASHINGTON	1,978.0	25	394.5	28	105.7	31	290.7	25	1,582.3	22
WAYNE	2,873.9		455.7	20	123.4	20	332.4	18	2,418.0	4
WESTCHESTER	1,729.6	37	490.4	. 14	150.1	15	340.3	17	1,239.2	45
RADUINE	1,595.7	43	319.4	49	54.5	57	263.1	34	1,266.1	
YATES	1,265.9	86	260.6	60	37.2	41	223.4	52	1,005.3	

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2C ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANGS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 +

			HURD Arre			FORC11	EESTS	APE				robbe Arres				ASS. ASS				BURGL			LARCE	
COUNTY	_		Rate	Rank		Kate	R	int			Ra	te	Rank			Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank		Rate	Rani
	*.																							
ALBAHY			2.8	23				35				28.5	14			86.0				99.3	28		397.4	
ALLEGANY			7.9	4		26	.0	51 2			,	0.8	56 3			29.5 311.2	12			180.4	49		196.5	
BRONI Broone			19.0 2.8	22		5.		30			_	11.3	26	•		30.1	41			86.1	37		354.4	2
CATTARAUBUS			.0	49			3	47				8.2	34			32.8	37			80.9	42		243.7	
CAYUSA			3.8	16			.5	19				10.0	29			37.6	30			51.3	59		435.8	
CHAUTAUQUA			,7	48		2		42				14.6	22			22.9	50			81.1	40		343.9	2
CHENNAG			1.1	45		. 4	.3	35				Ł.5	41			33.7	35			133.7	9		640.3	
CHENANGO			2.0	32		10	٥.	14				4.0	47			18.0				108.1	18		354.3	
CLINION			.0	18			.2	51				1.8	31			31.7				69.9	46		315.1	
COLUMBIA			4.6	8			.7	52				9.9	30			∆2.7	17			135.3	8		348,2	_
CORTLAND			.0	49			.2	37				8.4	33			48.5				107.6	19		455.8	
DELAWARE			2.1	31			.6	56				2.1	51			46.9	25			102.8	13		383.9	
MITCHESS			1.6	37			.5	29				26.7	15			75.3				96.4	31		364.9	
RIE			3.8	15		14		7				12.7	8			93.3				100.0	26 15		438.7	
SSEX			.0	49			. B . I	43				.o .o	56 56			27.5 25.1				112.7 100.5			288.0 294.6	
RANKLIN			2.3	28 49			.0	16 56				5.4	- 44			23.1				90.2			314.9	
FULTON Benesee			.0	49			.1	32				22.0	17			27.1				89.7	34		233.7	
REENE			2.4	27			4	46				7.2	29			82.0				65.1	51		285.9	
ANILTON ·			,9	43			.0	56				. 0	56			.0				220.7	1		200.	
ERKINER			.0	49			.0	41				4.5	45			22.4	54			53.8	58		215.2	
EFFERSON			2.3	29			.3	49				10.2	28	100		38.4				117.4	13		188.5	
INGS			16.1	3		21		4			1	249.8	2			240.5	3			154.5	5		343.8	
EVIS		•	.0	49			.0	56				4.0	48			4.0	60			83.4	36		151.0	i i
IVINSSTON			4.9	7		1	.7	51				4.9	38			42.9				54.9	57		426.9	
MOZION			1.5	38			.0	26				9.0	32			3.0				89. 9			317.4	
WROE			1.0	13		12		10				44.7	7			43.6				107.5			502.4	
IONTEONERY			1.1	34			.7	28				1.9	55			22.8				40.9			357,9	
IASSAU			3.0				.5	44				30.3				47.3				59.9			255.8	
KEN YORK			17.9	2		24		3				1.409	2			346.9				176.2			1,010.4	
NIAGARA			2.₽	25			.1	31				26.3	ìé			63.3				109.5			356.6	
HEIDA			1.2				.0	27				11.6	25			32.7				93.3			258.0	
DNONDASA			3.0			13		8				18.1	. 6			75.6		•		137.5			367.9	
DATARIO			2.2				.7	17				2.3	53 18			28.1				46.0 99.8			325.B 407.1	
DRANGE			1.8 5.1	35 9			.5 .7	11				21.6				67.9 63.9				130.3			296.4	
DRLEANS DSVEGO			3.I				.4	40		•		2.5				31.				94.5			282.0	
D12560			3.4	. 17			.7	21				6.7	40			42.1				101.1			486.8	
PUTNAM			4.9				.2	53				3.7				35.6				.56.9			208.9	

	MURI Arri	DER Ests	FORCIBLE ARRES			BERY ESTS	•.	AGB. ASS ARRES		BURGL		 LARCE	
COUNTY	Rate	ƙank	Rate	Kank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
QUEEKS	7.7	Ł	11.0	12	109,	2 4		126.9	4	79.9	43	251.1	48
RENSSELAER	1.3	40	4.6	34	10.	6 27		57.5	18	49.4	47	196.2	57
RICHHOND	7.8	5	10.7	13	84.	3 5		124.9	5	104.2	23	2B2.5	42
ROCKLAND	1.1	44	2.3	46	13.	6 24		47.1	24	51.3	40	177.2	60
ST. LAWRENCE	2.5	26	.9	55	l.	2 42		29.1	43	104.9	22	298.9	35
SARATOSA	3.1	18	4.3	36	7.	4 35		37.1	31	81.7	38	328.0	30
SCHERECTADY	1.3	39	6.6	23	29.	2 13		25.9	47	60.4	54	296.2	37
SCHOHARIE	.0	49	.6	56		.0 58	•	33.4	36	114.1	14	389.3	18
SCHUYLER	.0	49	29.6	1	17.	1 19		22.8	52	97.1	29	251.3	49
SENECA	.0	49	15.3	é	L .	1 43		21.4	56	125.1	11	390.7	17
STEUBEN	1.0	46	4.1	38	4.	.1 46		22.5	53	43.7	52	290.7	28
SUFFOLK	2.8	24	8.0	25	36.	5 11		53.6	20	78.4	44	176.5	ál
SULLIVAN	4,4	12	16.3	5	34,	0 16		122.8	6 -	196.7	2	531.0	3
T105A	2.0	33	. 4.0	39	•	.0 Sá		15.9	58	45,7	62	271.9	44
TONPKINS	1.1	43	6.B	20		.7 21		21.6	55	90.8	33	431.1	10
ULSTER	3.1	19	13.4	9	16	.5 20		64.7	13	107.5	21	108.5	13
MARREN	.0	49	1.8	50	, 1	.2 37		48.5	22	118.4	12	530.2	4
WASHINGTON	1.9	36	.0	56	14.	.1 23		56.4	19	81.1	41	200.9	54
WAYNE	1.1	. 42	9.1	15	6.	9 39		36.6	33	135.9	7	398.6	15
WESTCHESTER	3.8	14	6.5	24	38	.5 9		63.6	15	67.6	48	361.2	22
BALKOYN	4.9		2.5	45	2	.5 52		36.9	32	71.3	45	258.1	45
YATES	.0		4.7	33		.0 56		9.3	59	51.2	- 81	186.2	59

^{*} NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of this appendix. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

SOURCE: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

⁴⁶ Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2D ARREST RATES FER 100,000 POPULATION FOR FOSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS: AND SATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

		TAL RUS				CON	TROLLED	SUBSTANC	E ARRES	TS					 Kar i Kua	HA ARRES	TS		
		EST	S	Tota	1			Passes	sion		Sal	le .	Tat	ıl	Passe	ssion		Sal	iz
COUNTY	Rate		Rank	Rate	fink		,	Rate	fiank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Ran
ALBANY	139	.5	11	93.0	12			72.2	14		20.8	11	46.5	13	34.9	9		11.6	1
allegany	23.		56	5.9	58			5.9	55		.0	53	17.7	42	5.9	56		11.8	
BROHY	837.		2	597.0	2			397.2	2		199.8		240.0		101.9	2		138.1	
FROOKE	53		33	32.0	36			23.5	39		8,5		21.2		14.1	32		7.1	
CATTARAUGUS	37.		47	16.4	18			14.1	46		2.3	47	21.1		15.2			5.9	_
Cayuba	55.		32	42.6	27			27.5	32		15.0	-	12.5		4.3			4.3	
CHAUTAUDUA	29		52	18.7	48			6.9	54		11.8	24	10.4		8.3			2.1	
CHENUNG	10		73	5.4	59			3.3	60		2.2		5.4		4.3			1.1	
CHENANGO	52		34	38.6	29			38.0	25		.0		14.0		6.0			8.0	-
CLINTON	105		14	72.3	19			63.7	17		B. 1	_	33.1		12.3			20.8	
COLUMBIA	87		25	49.5	26			34.7	2€		14.9		38.0		21.5			16.5	
CORTLAND	90		24	38.0	10			29.5	30		8.4		52.B		27.4			25.3	
DELAVARE	49		37	21.3	46			10.7	48		10.7	26	27.7		19.2			8.5	
DUTCHESS	100		20	77.6	17			41.5	18		16.1	17	23.1		14.5			8.6	
ERIE	163		7	95.4	10			83.1	10		15.3		65.4		41.5			3.9	
ESSET	38		44	30.2	38			22.0	40		8.2		8.2		2.8			5.5	1
FRANKLIN	82	.2	27	34.3	33			32.0	27		2.3		48.0		13.7			34.3	
FIRETON	21		59	3.6	40			3.4	59		.0		18.0		9.0			9.0	2
BEKESEE	32	,2	50	11.9	52			10.2	50		1.7	52	20.3	35	16.9	27		3.4	4
GREENE	96	.4	21	79.6	15			77.2	11		2.4	46	16.9	43	12.1	41		4.8	3 4
HAMILTON	- 10	. [42	40.1	28			46.1	24		.0	53	.0	62	.0	62		.0	5
HERKINER	46	.3	39	26.9	41			26.9	36		.0	53	19.4	39	13.4	35		6.0) 3
JEFFERSON	38	.4	45	24.8	44			21.4	42		3.4	40	13.5	49	10.2	44		3.4	5
KINGS	504	. (3	336.2	3			221.2	3		115.0	3	16B.2	3	77.3	4		90.9	7
LEWIS	23	. 8	55	7.9	56			7.9	53		.0	53	15.9	45	7.9	51		7.9	1 2
LIVINESTOR	39		43	18.9	47			15.4	44		3.4	39	20.6		12.0			8.4	
HADISON	12		41	3.0	18			.0	61		3,0		9.0		9.0			.0	
MONROE	. 55		31	32.1	35			20.4	43		11.6		23.3		17.0			5. B	
MONTGONERY	114		17	89.5	13	1		89.5	В		٥.		24.7		24.7			.0	
WASSALI	119		15	84.5	14			64.7	14		19.8		34.7		27.6			7.1	
NEW YORK	2,055		1	1,497.8	1			1,101.7	i		394.1	-	557.2		233.0			324.2	
NIAGARA	50		36	36.5	31			28.2	-		8.3	-	13.9		8.3	_		5.5	
ONEIDA	47		38	34.3	32			22.3	28		14.0		11.2		10.0			1.2	
ONONDAGA	83		26	55.9	24			27.3	33		28.4		27.7		12.5			15.3	
ONTARIO	66		29	52.0	25			31.4	28		20.6		11.1		9,7			4.3	
ORANGE	149		9	127.9	6			103.B	6		24.1		22.0		17.3			4.7	
DRLEANS	23		58	10.2				10.2	-		.0		12.6		7.7			5,1	
OSWEGO	31		51	10.9	53			5.0			5.9		20.1		11.1			8. 4	
OTSEGO	79		29	32.0	37			27.0	35		5.1		47.7		32.0			15.2	
PUTKAN	123		14	107.5				74.2			33,4		16.1		13.1			2.5	

	TOTAL Drug				CONTROLLED SUBSTAN	E ARFES	īS				HARTH	IANA A	RRESTS		
	ARRES		Tota	ıl.	Fasse	ssion	Sa	le	Tota	al	fosi	essio	۵.	Sal	ie
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Kate	Rank	Rate	ƙant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant	Rate	fi 	nk	Rate	Rank
OUEEKS	275.8	4	148.7	5	115.4	5	53.3	. 4	107.1	5	47.	.7	4	59.3	. 5
RENSSELAER	64.7	30	29.7	40	27.1	34	2.6	44	35.0	16	2£.	. (15	8.8	24
RICHMOND	174.1	6	64.5	23	49.5	21	15.0	20	109.7	4	31.	. 6	11	78.1	4
ROCKLAND	124.0	13	102.2	9	48.2	15	. 33.9	5	21.9	31	12.	. 6	37	9.0	19
ST. LAWRENCE	115.5	16	77.6	18	75.8	12	1.2	51	37.9	15	29.	. !	12	8.8	22
SARATOSA	91.0	23	45.4	22	47.7	22	17.9	16	25.4	24	13.	.0	36	12.4	14
SCHENECTADY	51.8	35	29.9	39	21.9	41	B. 0	34	21.9	39	17.	9	22	4,0	47
SCHOHARIE	23.5	57	13.4	51	10.1	51	3.4	41	10,1	56		.7	53	3.4	51
SCHUYLER	34.3	48	.0	62	٥.	61	.0	53	34.3	18	17.	.1	26	17.1	9
SENECA	45.8	40	33.6	34	30.5	29	3.1	42	12.2	52	12	. 2	40	.0	58
STEUBEN	27.7	53	8.2	55	8.2	52	.0	53	19.5	38	17	.5	23	2.1	54
SUFFOLK	140.B	8.	70.9	20	43.9	23	27.0	8	89.9	6	79	.7	3	10.2	17
SULLIVAN	145.0	10	93.2	. 11	e5. 8	Ŷ	7.4	35	51.8	10	45	9	7	5.9	35
TIOGA	37.7	46	25.8	42	23.8	37	2.0	50	11.9	53		.0	59	7.9	30
TOMPKINS	44.2	41	25.0	43	14.7	45	16.2	27	19.3		18	.2	21 .	1.1	
ULSTER	105.6	18	76.8	11	59.2	19	19.5	14	26.9	23	22	.0	17	4.9	
WARREN	131.2	12	102.4	8	93.5	7	9.0	28	28.8		19	. 8	19	9.0	
WASHINGTON	19.4	60	15.9	50	12.3	47	3.5	38	3.5		3	.5	60	.0	
WAYHE	94.8	22	76.8	21	58.3	20	12.6		24.0		14		29	9.1	
WESTCHESTER	235.7	5	178.5	4	157.5	- 4	21.0	10	57.2		43		8	13.7	
MACHINE	27.0	54	7.4	57	4.9	57	2.5		19.7	_	14		30	4.9	
YATES	32.6	49	21.3	45	4.7	58	18.4		9.3			.7	57	4.7	

^{*} Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuanas PL 221.10 through PL 221.551.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2E DNI FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 .

DKI ARRESTS

	Tota	1		Fel	ony	Ki sdeat	2nor
COUNTY	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant
ALBAHY Allegany	, li.4 5.2	12 54				10.5 5.1	17 51
ERONI	1.1	- 58				4.4	56
BROOKE	5.0	56				4,5	55
CATTARAUGUS	9.6	21				8.7	24
eathga Eathga	8.1	31				7.5	33
CHAUTAUQUA	6.6	27		.3		8.0	28
CHEMUNG.	5.2	53		.]		4.5	54
CHENANGO	11.0	13				10.3	
CLINTON	12.1	12		.1		11.4	9
	7.9	7 3E				7.5	35
COLUMBIA		_					3.
CORTLAND	16.4	33				16,4	-
DELAWARE DUTCHESS	8.6 9.6	20		.;		7.8 8.9	79 21
ERIE	1.5 £.7	13					
enie Essex						6.3	
	11.8	11				11.1	11
FRANKLIN	8.3	25		. (8.9	
FULTON	4.3	46	*	.9		5.7	
GENESEE	9.4	22				9.0	- 1
GREENE	7.1	41				6.0	. 40
HAHILTON	10.6	14		.:		10.1	15
Herainer	7.5	39				6.B	31
JEFFERSON	7.7	38			25	7.0	
Kings	3.2	- 59				3.2	
LEVIS	6.0	47			10	5.2	45
LIVINGSTON	13.6	4			34	13.1	. 4
MADISON	8.8	26			37	8.3	. 21
NOYROE	5.€	50			19	4.8	5
MONTGOMERY	9.3	24				8.5	
NASSAU	5.2	55				4.9	
NEW YORK	3.1	40				3.1	
NIAGARA	8.2	29				8.0	_
DNEIDA	5.6	49		•		5.4	
ONDNDAGA	5.4	52				5.2	
UNUMDAGA OHTARIO	3.4 10.1	32 1B		1.		5. Z 8. 9	-
DRANGE	8.0	35		1.0	-	6.9	-
ORLEANS	4.7	57		1.		3.6	
OSWE60	8,1	32				7.8	-
OTSE60	14.0	3				13.2	
PUTNAM	9.4	23			56	 9.3	10

TABLE 2E DWI FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 . - Continued

		•			941	ARRESTS		
		Tota	ı		Felo	ny	Hi sdeac	anor
COUNTY		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
								
DUEENS		2.0	62		0	58	2.0	42
RENSSELAER		9.8	19		.2	46.	9.5	17
RICHMOND		2.7	61		.0	40	2.7	- 61
ROCKLAND		6.3	45	•	.5	30	5.7	45
ST. LAWRENCE		10.3	17		.7	21	9.6	16
SARATOSA		13.0	. 7		.4	42	12.4	6
SCHENECTADY		6.6	42		.6.	24	4.2	43
SCHOHARIE		11.9	10		.5	23	11.4	10
SCHUYLER		7.4	40		.7	22	4.7	41
SEHECA		10.4	15		1.2	i	9.2	19
STEUBEN		£.4	44		ه.	27	5.8	44
SUFFOLK		10.4	16		.1	55	10.3	14
EULLIVAN		12.4	. 8		۵.	26	11.7	8
TIOGA		8.4	26		1.1	5	7.5	34
TOMPKINS		7.7	37		.1	54	7.6	32
ULSTER		8.1	3ô		. 4	39	7.7	31
WARREN		16.2	2		.1	53	16.1	2
WASHINGTON		13.5	5		1.2	3	12.3	
MAYNE		13.1	b		4	38	12.7	5
WESTCHESTER		5.8	48		.2	49	5.4	47
WYON1NG		8.0	34		.8	11	1.2	36
YATES		5.4	51		1.0	7	4.4	57

Felony and misdemeaner arrests that include at least one charge for a DNI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State
of New York - Section 1192).

SOURCE: MYS Bivision of Criminal Justice Services, DN1 Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

EEL	UNA	INDIFFRENTE

			-	LEFTH	INDICTRENT	3			
		Vic	lent		Ot	her		To	tal
OUNTY	_	Hueber	Percent		Number	Percent		kueber	Percent
LBAHY		140	31.5		305	48.5		445	100.6
LLEGANY		10	18.9		13	81.1		53	100.0
RONI		3,463	54.3		2,840	43.7		4,503	100.0
ROOKE		148	27.1		248	72.9		546	100.
ATTARAUGUS		20	22.0		71	78.0		91	100.0
AYUSA		31	29.5		74	70.5		105	100.1
AUQUATUAH		52	23.6		. 168	76.4		220	100.0
Henung		76	22.4		264	77.4		210	100.0
HEHANGO			30.4		39	69.6		56	100.
LINTON		37	28.9		91	71.1		128	100.
OLUKBIA		31	34.1		40	45.9		91	100.
ORTLAND		20	15.5		109	84.5		129	100.
ELAMARE		B	29.6		19	70.4		27	100.
UTCHESS		80	21.1		299	78.9		379	100.
RIE		470	31.4		1,627	48.4		1,497	100.
SSEI		19	20.2		75	79.8		94	100.
RANKLIN -		14	17.5		44	82.5		80	100.
ULTON		13	15.7		70	84.3		83	100.
EKESEE		28	25.7		81	74.3		109	100.
REENE		22	31.4		42	45.4		64	106.
AMILTON		i	16.7		5	83.3			100.
ERKINER		15	24.2		47	75.8		62	100.
EFFERSON		65	23.4		213	76.6		278	100.
11165		4,637	80.0		3,095	10.0		7,732	100.
ENIS		5	13.2		33			38	100.
IVINSTON		47	72.1		166	77.9		213	100.
ADISON		30	22.4		104	77.6		134	100.
ONROE		445	30.5		1,008	49.4		1,453	100.
ONTGOKERY		15	18.8		65	81.3		80	100.
ASSAU ^		955	40.0		1,433	40.0		2,308	100.
EW YORK -		4,412	43.6		2,522			6,934	100.
LAGARA		98	28.1		245			343	100.
MEIDA		138	31.5		300			438	100.
MONDAGA		398			875			1,273	100
MTARIO		49	22.9		. 165			214	100
RANGE		144			374			518	100
DRLEANS		52			93			145	100
DSVE60		37			139			176	100
OTSEGO		: 5			19			24	100
PUTNAK		15			97			112	

FELONY INDICTMENTS

						************		4 .	
		Viol	lent		Ot	her		70	tal
COUNTY		Musber	Percent	,	Nusber	Parcent		Number	Percent
QUEENS		2,954	50.5		2,899	49.5		5,853	100.0
KENSSELAER		50	28.2		127	71.8		177	100.0
RICHMOND		174	54.4		146	45.6		320	100.0
ROCKLAND		79	18.0		340	B2.0		439	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE		37	19.4		154	80.6		191	100.0
SARATOGA		55	35.7		- 99	64.3		154	100.0
SCHEHECTADY		59	25.5		172	74.5		231	100.0
SCHOHARIE		- 6	28.4		15	71.4		21	100.0
SCHUYLER		9	32.1		19	67.9		28	100.0
SENECA		7	17.9		32	82.1		39	100.0
STEUBEN		33	27.7		86	72.3		119	100.0
SUFFOLK		909	30,8		2,041	69.2		2,950	100.0
SULL IVAN		48	32.9		94	67.1		140	100.0
TIOGA		7	9.6		88	70.4		73	100.0
TOMPKINS		37	27.4		98	72.6	•	135	100.0
ULSTER		64	20.8		244	79.2		308	100.0
WARREN		13	27.1		35	72.9		48	100.0
WASHINGTON		24	33,3		48	66.7		72	100.0
VAYNE		34	17.3		163	82.7		197	100.0
WESTCHESTER		548	39.8		860	40.2		1,428	100.0
RADWINE		- 5	8.9		51	91.1		55	100.0
YATES		7	13,7		44	86.3		51	100.0
NEW YORK STATE		21,794	44.0	•	27,717	56.0		49,511	100.0
NEW YORK CITY		15,995	53.2		14,091			30,084	100.0
					•				

SDURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELDAY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

		Convi	ctions	•	Acqu	ittals		Disais	ssals		Ot	her		To	otal
COURTY		Rusber	Fercent		Kunder	Fercent		Number	Percent		Musher	Percent		Number	Percent
	•						••							-	
ALBANY		4,477	77,9		15	.3		1,235	21.5		23	.4		5,750	100.0
ALLEGANY		215	67.2	,	1	.3		103	32.2		1	.3		320	100.0
PRONI		23,820	69.0		349	1.0		9,122	26.4		1,231	3.4		34,519	100.0
BROOME		2,136	74.6		16	. દ		933	22.1		- 77	2.7		2,862	
CATTARAUSUS		674	81.7		2	.2		146	17.7		3	.4		825	
CAYUGA		796	74.5		. 0	.0		268	25.1		5	.5		1,069	
CHAUTAUQUA		1,519	75.5		11	.5		474	23.7		4	.3		2,012	100.0
CHEMUNG		1,110	75.2		4	4		359	24.3		2	.1		1,477	100.0
CHEKAKGO		584	75.5		7	. 9		174	22.5	•		1.0		773	
CRENTON		892	63. i		i	. 1		174	16.4		4	.4		1,073	100.0
COLUMBIA		458	61.3		.2	.2		410	38.2		4	.4:		1,074	100.0
CORTLAND		714	67.2		1 4	.4		343	32.3		1	.1		1,062	
DELAWARE		480	72,2		4	ه.		180	27.1		1	.2		- కెడిడ్	100.0
DUTCHESS		2,910	62.2		4	.1		1,480	35.9		88	1.9		4,693	100.0
ERIE		11,229	63.3		211	1.2		6,196	34.9		93	.5		17,739	
ESSEX		423	77.E		3	à.		115	21.1		3	. 4	-	544	
FRANKLIN		530	83.2		2	.3		103	16.2		2	.3		£37	
FULTON		535			Ü	.0		140	20.4		5	.7		680	
BENESEE		564	81.7		7	.3		122	17.7		2	.3		690	
BREENE		436			1	۵.		105	19.4		3	۵.		541	
HAHILTON		37			۸	.0		8	17.8		0	.0		45	
HERKINER		492			í	.2		58	10.5		4	.1		555	
JEFFERSON		782			3	.3		117	12.9		4	7		908	
KINES		26,692			332			13,720	32.1		2,540	6.0		42,684	
LEWIS		155			332	.5		37	18.9		Z,210 3	1.5		17,001	
		155 864			,	.3		201	18.7		7			1,075	
LIVINGSTON					3						_	.7			
KADISON		453			(**		124	14.0		0	.0		177	
HOHROE		5,409			104			5,165	47.2		368	2.4		10,946	
HONTGOHERY		644				.5		79	10.7		4	.5		730	
MASSAU		12,169			94			1,712	11.9		437	3.0		14,412	
NEW YORK		66,319			369			27,212	28.3		2,422			96,322	
n1a6ara		3,005			36			787	20.5		11	.3		3,839	
ONETDA		1,964			å			384	16.3		5			2,359	
ONONDAGA		3,900			34			2,447	37.8		92			6,475	
ONTARIO		1,009			13			210	16.9		!3			1,245	
DRANGE		2,985			12			2,095	40.6		70			5,162	100.
DRLEANS		269			12			114	28.5		5			400	100.
OSVEGO		1,128			6	.5		307	21.1		13	.9		1,456	100.
OTSEGO		827	81.0		5	.5		177	17.3		12	1.2		1,021	100.
PUTNAM		855	61.6		3	3		398	37.4		7	.7		1,063	100.

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & HISDEHEAMOR ARRESTS:

												 	
	Convi	ctions		Acqui	ittals		Disai	ssals		01	her	To	ital
COUNTY	Number	Percent		Musber	Percent		Number	Percent		Nueber	Percent	Nueber	Percent
QUEENS	16,625	8,18		280	1.1		8,364	32.6		374	1.5	25,643	100.0
RENSSELAER	1,398	76.2		9	.5		422	23.0		5	3	1,834	100.0
RICHMOND	2,133	41.9		15	.3		2,272	44.7		834	13.1	5,088	100.0
ROCKLAND	1,880	72.8		4	.2		884	26.6		12	.5	2,584	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	778	47.9		9	8		353	30.8		5	.4	1,145	100.0
SARATOGA	2,292	76.6		3	.1		£93	23.2		1	.1	2,992	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1,548	76.3		7	.3		451	21.9		29	1.4	2,055	
SCHOHARIE	337	72.9		4	.9		117	25.3		4	.9	462	100.0
SCHUYLER	214	84.3					38	15.0		1	4	254	100.0
SENECA	291	43.3		1	.2		E41	35.4		5	LÍ	440	100.0
STEUBEN	701	71.7		7	.7	•	265	27.1		S	.5	978	100.0
SUFFOLK	12,408	75.3		96	.6		3,964	23.7		66	.4	16,734	100.0
SULLIVAN	1,253	86.8		2	ı.		615	32.8		- 6	.3	1,876	
TIDGA	472	78.9		0	٥.		123	20.4	•	3	.5	598	
TOMPKINS	. 764	60.5		- 11	.9		469	37.1		19	1.5	1,263	100.0
ULSTER	1,465	48.7		11	.4		749	30.5		. 8	.3	2,453	100.0
HARREN	1,102	73.0		2	.1		397	26.3		8	5	1,509	100.0
WASHINGTON	541	82.7		7	.3		113	16.7		2	.3	678	100.0
WAYNE	1,246	76.5		. 4	.2		370	22.7		. 8	.5	1,328	100.0
WESTCHESTER	8,024	72.6		44	. 6		2,752	24.9		204	1.9	11,048	100.0
MADMINE	175	74.B		. 0	.0		56	23.9		3	1.3	234	100.0
YATES	140	85.4	•	t	3.		21	12.8		2	1.2	141	100.0
NEW YORK STATE	240,395	88.2		2,217	.6		100,904	28.4		8,926	2.5	352,442	100.0
NEW YORK CITY	134,989			1,342	.7		094,04	29.7		7,235	3.5	204,254	

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F)

SOURCE: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 48 DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS BY TYPE OF DISPOSITIONS AND COUNTY FOR 1985

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS #

	Coavi	ctions			Acqu	ittals		Disai	ssals		0(her		1	otal	
YTRUO		Humber	Fercent			Huaber	Percent		Ruaber	Percent		Musber	Percent		Huaber	Perce
LBANY		1,246	79.3			11	.7		306	19.5		9	.6		1,572	
LLEBANY		46	75.4			Õ	•		15	24.6		.0	.0		- 61	
KONY		12,911	65.9			292			5,663	28.9		712	3.4		19,578	
ROOME		534	80.1			å	٠,		110	16.5		17	2.5		667	10
TTARAUEUS		107	93.0			1	.9		7	6.1		0	.0		. 115	
NYUGA.		162	81.4			-0	٥.		42	17.7		. 2	.9		237	
AUQUATUA		289	84.5			2	.6		41	12.3	•	2	۵.		334	10
LEMUNG		284	90.5			4	1.3		26	8.2		0	.0		316	10
ENAK60		103	88.8			3	2.6		9	6.9		2	1.7		116	10
INTON		174	89.3		,	1	.5		21	16.7		1	.5		197	
LUMBIA		177	48.9				.4		75	29.2		i	1.4		257	
RTLAND		121	78.6			0			33	21.4		0	.0		154	
LAWARE		95	78.5	•		2	1.7		24	19.8		Ò	.0		121	
TCHESS		780	71.0			2			299	27.2		17	1.5		1,098	
IE IE			58.5	**		£9						17 45	1.4	1.5		
		2,744							1,795						1,693	
SEX		71	80.7			0			16	18.2		. 1	1.1		98	
ANYL IN		90	88.2			2			10	9.8		0	.0		102	
LTON		157	87.7			0	. 0		20	11.2		2	1.1		\$79	
NESEE		120	93.8			. 0	.0		1	5.5					128	
EENE		102	80.3			2			22	17.3		ı	. B.		127	
HILTON		11	73.3	•		0	.0			26.7		0	.0.		15	
RKINER		102	88.7			0	.0		13	11.3		0	.0		. 115	
FFERSON		221	88.4			3			.23	9.2		2	1.2		250	
KES		15,790	60.1			312	1.7		8,153	31.1		1,997	7.6		26,252	2 1
¥15		36	87.8			. 1	2.4		2	4.9		2	4.9		41	1
VINGSTON		85	86.7			1	1.0		10	10.2		2	2.0		98	3 1
DISCH		118	88.1			Ò			16	11.9		Ò	.0		134	
HROE		1,598	63.3			51			630	25.0		245	1.7		2,524	
NTGONERY		104	86.0			2			13	10.7	•	2			121	
SSAU		3,523	78.6			45			514	11.5		398	8.9		4,487	
W YORK		21,032	58.4			311			13,842			812			35,997	
ASARA .		670	79.3			10			161				.5		845	
EIDA		528	90.9						50			3			589	
OHDAGA		1,316	73.1			16			460			19	1.0		1,841	
TARIO		205	69.1			2			15			8	3.5		23(
ANGE		855	48.2			b.			370			23			1,25	
LEANS		84	48.4			10			25			3			12	
WEBO		240	91.3			7	8		12	4.6			3.4		263	
TSE60		126	77.3			i	4.		29	17.B		7	4.3		163	3 1
PUTWAN		96	53.0			2	1.1		80	44.2		3	1.7		18:	1 1

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS .

	Conv	ictions	Acqu	ittals	Disei	ssals	CI	her	To	otal
COUNTY	Number	Fercent	Nusher	Percent	Nusber	Percent	. Kumber	Percent	Number	Percent
DUEENS	7,533	59.5	204	1.6	4,584	37.0	247	1.9	12,868	100.0
RENSSELAER	315		1	.3	70	18.1	•"i	ί.:	397	100.0
81СИНОИО	939		. 9		899	44.4	180	8.9	2,027	
ROCKLAND	541		2	.3	159	22.6	1	.1	703	
ST. LAWRENCE	83		ī	1.0	27	27.8	ĺ	1.0	97	
SARATOSA	378		1	,2	95	20.0	2	.4	476	
SCHERECTADY	375	81.2	4	.9	83	14.7	15	3.2	462	100.0
SCHOHARIE	57	76.0	2	2.7	14	18.7	2	2.7	75	100.0
SCHUYLER	51	98.2	Ò	.0	2	3.8	. 0	٥.	53	100.0
SENECA	49	74.2	1	1.5	15	22.7	i	1.5	44	100.0
STEUBEN	150	76.5	L	3.1	39	19.4	2	1.0	196	100.0
SUFFOLK	3,488	80.2	47	1.0	834	18.1	30	.3	4,599	100.0
SULLIVAN	369	68.5	0	.0	140	31.0	2	.4	451	100.0
TIOSA	83	87.4	٥	.0	11	11.4	1	1.1	95	100.0
TORPKINS	150	67.9	4	1.8	13	27.6	F	2.7	- 221	100.0
ULSTER	423	73.6	3	.5	143	24.9	ė	1.0	575	100.0
WARREN	174	74.0	2	.9	59	25.1	- 0	.0	235	100.0
WASHINGTON	148	88.1	2	1.5	13	9.7		.7	134	100.0
WAYNE	227	90.4	1	.4	21	8.4	2	.0	251	100.0
WESTCHESTER	2,683	79.6	40	1.2	581	17.2	88	2.0	3,372	100.0
MADRINE	64		٥	.0	5	7.1	ı	1.4	70	100.0
YATES	41	89, [0	.0	4	2.7	i	2.2	46	100.0
NEW YORK STATE	85,623	64,4	1,523	1.1	40,918	30.8	4,948	3.7	133,012	100.0
HER YORK CITY	59,205		1,128		33,241	34.4	3,948		96,522	

^{*} Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELDNY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS *

				Felony										
		Tota	1	Via	lest	01	ker		Nisde	seanor	Lesser 0	lffenses	To	tal
COUNTY	•	Musher	Percent	Number	Percent	Kunber	Percent		Kuaber	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Fercent
ALBANY		289	6.5	£5	1.5	224	5.0		1,972	44.5	2,194	49.1	4,477	100.0
ALLEGANY BRONX		15	7.0 13.2	2	.9 7.6	13	4.0 10.5		140	65.1 52.4	03	27.9	215	100.0
BYDONE BYDUY		4,32B 270	12.6	1,822 70	3.3	2,50 <u>6</u> 200	9.4		12,602 1,426	52.7 52.8	6,890 440	78.9 20.4	23,820 2,136	100.0
CATTARAUGUS		10	5.9	4	ا ا	36	5.3		441	65.4	193	28.6	2 ₁ 135 674	100.0
CAYUSA		74	9.3	11	1.4	43	7.9	•	532	66.B	173	23.9	798	100.0
CHAUTAURUA		113	7.4	24	1.6	89	5.9		982	63.3	444	29.2	1,519	100.0
CHEMUNG		198	17.8	45	4.1	153	13.8		746	67.2	166	15.0	1,110	
CHENANGO		23	3.9	5	.9	18	3.1		341	58.4	220	37.7	594	100.0
CLINTON		53	5.9	i	.4	49	5.5		429	48.1	410	46.0	872	-
COLUMBIA		L2	9.4	i	.9	56	8.5		320	48.4	276	41.9	458	100.0
CORTLAND		70	9.8	13	1.8	57	8.0		255	35.9	388	54.3	714	100.0
DELAWARE		25	5.2	8	1.7	17	3.5		257	53.5	198	41.3	480	100.0
DUTCHESS		307	16.5	65	2.2	252	B.3		1,243		1,340	46.7	2,910	100.0
ERIE		900	8.0	241	2.1	£59	5.9		3,914	34.9	6,415	57.1	11,229	100.0
ESSEX		24	5.7	. 6	1.4	18	4.3		214	50.4	185	43.7	423	100.0
FRANKLIN		39	7.4	- L	1.1	33	6.2		290	54.7	201	37.9	530	100.0
FULTOX		41	11.4	15	2.8	46	8.6		238	44.5	236	44.1	535	100.0
BENESEE		68	12.1	. 14	2.5	54	9.4		244	46.B	232	41.1	564	100.0
BREENE		25	5.8	5	1.2	20	4.7		243	61.2	142	33.0	130	100.0
HAHILTON		4	10.B	0	.0	4	10.8		22	59.5	11	29.7	37	100.0
Herkiner	•	38	7.7		.8	34	6.9		290	58.9	164	33.3	492	100.0
JEFFERSON		129	16.5	28	3.6	101	12.9		404		247	31.6	782	
KINES		5,486	21.0	2,442		3,042	11.7		10,305		10,303		26,092	
LEWIS		12	7.7	1	۵.	- 11	7.1		45		78		155	
LIVINGSTON		57	6.6	7	.8	50	5.8		443		364		864	
MADISON		85	13.0	18	2.8	67	10.3		234		232		453	
HONROE		840	15.5	256	4.7	584	10.8		2,586		2,003		5,409	
HONTEOHERY		75	11.6	7	1.1	84	10.4		278	-	291		644	
Naseau		1,450	11.9	474	3.9	976	8.0		5,756		4,963		12,169	
NEW ADUK		7,285	11.0	2,802		4,483	4.0		39,587		19,447		66,319	
NIAGARA		198	5.4	34	1.1.	134			1,091		1,746		3,005	
OKEIDA		213	10.8	71	3.8	142			1,053		698		1,964	
ABADKOKO		829	21.3	239		590			1,513		1,558		3,900	
OFFATRO		182	18.0	31	3.1	151	15.0		543		284		1,009	
ORANGE		347	11.6	84	2.8	263			1,218		1,420		2,985	
ORLEAKS		67	24.9	14	5.2	53			150		52		269	
OSKERO		113	10.0	27		84			519		496		1,128	
DISEGO		41	5.0	7	.8	34	4.1		234		552		827	
PUTHAN		9	1.4	. 1	.2	8	1.2		228	34.8	419	63.8	655	100.

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISOEMEANOR ARRESTS .

			Felony	•			^					
	Tota	ıl	Vic	oleat	0	ther	Xi sde	SERROF	Lesser ()ffenses	To	tal
COUNTY	Munber	Percent	Number	Percent	Kusher	Percent	Number	Percent	Musber	Percent	Kuaber	Percent
RUEENS RENSSELAER	3,523 135	21.2 9.7	1,143	7.0 2.4	2,360 102		7,473	45.0	5,629 727	33.9	16,625	100.0
RICHMOND	254	11.9	33 113	5.3	, 141	7.3 6.6	53 4 . 888	39.3 41.6	991	52.0 46.5	1,398 2,133	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	340	18.1	57	3.0	283	15.1	738	39.3	802	42.7	1,860	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	7	.9	1	.1	4	.8	357	45.9	414	53.2	778	100.0
SARATOGA	88	3.8	26	1.0	44	2.8	713	31.1	1,491	65.1	2,292	100.0
SCHENECTADY	831	10.7	39	2,5	129	8.2	716	45.7	484	43.4	1,558	100.0
SCHOHARIE	27	8,0	4	1.8	21	4.2	142	42.1	841	49.9	337	100.0
SCHUYLER	13	4.1	4	1.9	9	4.2	154	72.9	45	21.0	214	100.0
SENECA	27	9.3	1		26	8.9	185	43.4	79.	27.1	291	100.0
STEUBEN	70	10.0	14	2.0	54	8.0	354	50.5	277	39.5	701	100.0
SUFFOLK	1,905	15.1	545	4.3	1,360	10.8	3,897	30.9	108,1	54.0	17,608	100.0
SULLIVAN	133	10.4	17	1.4	116	9.3	549	43.8	571	45.4	1,253	100.0
TIDEA	42	8.9	8	1,7	36	7.2	284	£0.2	145	30. 9	472	100.0
TOMPKINS	98	12.8	21	2.7	11	10.1	296	38.7	370	48.4	764	100.0
ULSTER	193	11.5	37	2.2	158	9.3	892	52.9	600	35.4	1,485	100.0
WARREN	32	2.9	7	. 6	- 25		493	44.7	577	52.4	1,102	100.0
NASHINGTON	45	8.0	3	.5	42		257	45.8	259	46.2	541	100.0
WAYNE	112	9.0	15	1.2	97		£77	54.3	457	34.7	1,246	100.0
NESTCHESTER	1,369	17.1	491	6.1	878		3,303	41.2	3,352		8,024	100.0
MADWINE	32	18.3	2	1.1	30		89	50.9	54		175	100.0
YATES	23	23.6	, 5	3.4	28		77	55.0	30		140	100.0
NEW YORK STATE	33,128	13.9	11,610		21,518		116,567	18.5	90,700		240,395	
NEW YORK CITY	20,874	15.5	8,342	4.7	12,532	9,3	70,855	52.5	43,260	32.0	134,989	100.0

Bisposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS +

						Felony									
		Total		Vic	iteat	D	ther		Nisda	PRESON	Lesse	Oifenses		To	otal
COUNTY	Kuahe	r Ferce	al .	Husber	Percent	Husber	Percent		Kuaber	Fercest	Nusb	er Percent		Number	Percent
AL DANS	. 43					21.4	17.3		878	70 5				1.511	100.0
ALBANY	27			65 2	5.2 4.3	214 12	17.2 28.1		30	70.5 65.2		89 7.1 2 4.3		1,246	
ALLEGANY BEONT	1,29			1,822	14.1	2,449	17.1		6,015	16.6	2,6			12,711	100.0
BROOKE	25			70	13.1	188	35.7		243	15.5		33 4.2	•	534	100.0
CATTARAUGUS		5 32		70	3.7	12			ái	57.0		11 10.3		107	100.0
CAYUGA		6 34		11	5.7	55 55			124	64.2		3 1.6		182	100.0
CHAUTAUCUA	. 10			24	2.3	79	27.3		172	59.5		 !4 4.9		289	
CHEMUKS				45	15.7	146			99	30.1		9 3.1		284	
CHENAUGO		0 19		13	4.9	146			75	30.1 72.8		2 7.8		103	
CLINTON		5 25		4	2.3	41			116	66.7		13 7.5		174	100.6
CDLUMBIA		5 31			3.4	49			101	58. 8		13 7.3 18 10.2		175	100.0
					10.7	37			45			6 5.0			100.1
CORTLAND		io 41 5 26		13	8.4	37 17			44	53.7				121 95	
DELAWARE DUTCHESS	28			5 26	B.3	218			109	£9.5 52.4		4 4.2 88 11.3		780	
BOICHESS ERIE	83			241	8.9	592			1,523	55.5		88 14.1		, •	
ESSEX		13 32		5	8.5	17			1,525	53.3 64.8	3			2,744 71	
		3 32 4 37		6	b.7	28			40						
FRAHKLIN Fulton		ia 36		-	9.6	43			91	54.4 58.0		7 7.8 9 5.1		90 157	
		ia 50		15 14					50 50						
BENESEE Breehe		14 23		5	11.7	46			67	50.0 65.7				120 102	
HAMILTON		4 36		0	.0	4			7						
HERKINER		4 30 13 32		4	3.9	29			62	63.6		0 .0 7 4.9		11	
	11			28	12.7	23 91		•	100	60.8 45.2				102 221	
JEFFERSON															
KINGS	5,46			2,442		3,023			6,232		4,0			15,790	
LENIS		1 30		1	2.8	10			24			1 2.8		36	
LIVINGSTON		0 47		7		33			43			2 2.4		85	
HAD I SON		13 61		18		55			43	36.4		2 - 1.7		118	_
HONROE		2 50		256	16.0	546			660	41.3		36 8.5		1,598	
MONTEONERY		2 69		7		65			28			3.8		104	
MASSAU	1,3			474	13.5	855			1,926			88 7.8		3,523	
NEW YORK	7,2			2,602		4,442			9,441		4,3			21,032	
ntagara			.7	34		125			429			82 12.2		670	
OKEIDA		10 36		- 71	-	119			299	54.4		39 7.4		528	
DHOHDASA	7'		.7	239		551			484	35.0		72 5.3		1,346	
ONTARIO	1:			31		121			48			5 2.4		205	
ORANGE	3.		. 4	84		253			128			90 10.5		955	
ORLEANS			.4	14		44			24			4 4.7		84	
OSVEGO			.1	27	11.3	74			127			12 5.0		240	
OTSEGO		39 31		7		32			73			14 11.1		126	
PUTNAM		8 8	.3	. 1	1.0	7	7.3		73	74.0		15 15,6		95	100.

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS .

				F	elony									
	7.0	tal	Vìo	lent	01	her	HL	sáeatan	or	Lesser (lí fenses		To	otal
COUNTY	Number	Percent	Humber	Fercent	Rosber	Perceat	Nush	er Per	cent	Kunber	Percent	•	Nusber	Percent
QUEENS	3,407	45.2	1,163	15.4	2,244	29.8	2,7	23	34.1	1,403	18.4		7,533	100.0
RENSSELAER	124	39.4	33	10.5	91	28.7	1	67	53.0	24	7.4		315	100.0
RICHKOND	251	26.7	113	12.0	138	14.7	4	97	51.9	201	21.4		939	100.0
ROCKLAND	324	59.9	. 57	10.5	267	19.1	. 1	£3	30.1	. 51	10.0		541	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1 1	8.8	1	1.5	5	7.4		54	79.4	8	11.8		88	100.0
SARATOGA	80	21.2	. 24	6.3	56	14.8	2	33	61.6	45	17.2		378	100.0
SCHENECTADY	158	42.1	39	10.4	119	31.7)	93	51,5	24	4.4		375	100.0
SCHOHARIE	24	42.1	<u>.</u>	10.5	18	31.4		29	50.9		7.0		57	100.0
SCHUYLER	13	25.5	4	7.6	9	17.4		34	66.7	1 4	7.8		51	100.0
SENECA	20	40.8	1	2.5	19	38.8		27	55.1	. 2	4.1		48	100.0
STEUBEN	60	40.0	14	9.3	16	30.7		86	57.3	4	2.7		150	100.0
SUFFOLK	1,423	44.0	545	14.8	8,078	29.2	1,3	45	37.0	700	19.0		3,688	100.0
SULLIVAN	118	38.2	17	5.5	101	32.7	1	50	48.5	- 41	13.3		309	100.0
1106A	39	47.0	9	9.6	31	37.3		42	50.4	2	2,4		83	100.0
TORPKINS	75	50.7	21.	14.0	55	36.7		73	48.7	1	.7		150	100.0
ULSTER	167	39.5	37	8.7	130	30.7	7	21	52.2	35	8.3		423	100.0
WARREN	29	16.7	. 7	4.0	22	12.6	1	27	73.0	18	10.3		174	100.0
WASHINGTON	41	34.7	. 3	2.5	38	32.2		71	10.2	4	5.1		118	100.0
WAYNE	92	40.5		6.6	77	33.9		29	54.8	6	2.4		227	100.0
NESTCHESTER	1,227	45.7	491	18.3	. 736	27.4	1,7	62	47.0	194	7.2		2,683	100.0
MADMINE	27	42.2	2	3.1	25	39.1		37	57.8	0	.0		- 64	100.0
YATES	31	75.4	5	12.2	26	63.4		Ŷ	22.0		2.4		41	100.0
NEW YORK STATE	31,778	37.1	11,610	13.6	20,168	23.6	38,5	53	45.0	15,292	17.9		85,623	100.0
NEW YORK CITY	20,458	35.5	8,342	14.3	12,316	21.2	24,5		42.8	12,629			58,205	100.0

a Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions.

SOURCE: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SENTENCES AS A FERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS

YTKUD	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation		Probation	Fines		Other	Total
LBANY	3.7	12.8	7.7		13.2	57.9		4.7	100.
LLEGANY	1.4	11.2	5.4		18.7	50.2		14.9	100.
RONI	7.9	39.3	1.6		6.9	23.0		19.2	100.
ROONE	3.2	14.7	3.8		12.5	36.2		29.6	100.
ATTARAUGUS	1.5	15.0	1.8		7.6	63.4		10.8	100.
AYUSA	3.4	17.8	4.0		4.0	46.4		24.4	100.
HAUTAUQUA	2.0	12.6	4.0		11.4	55.9		14.2	100.
HEKUM6	4.4	12.8	4.9		16.8	42.9		16.3	100.
HENANGO	1.4	11.5	5.1		14.7	45.2		22.1	100.
LINTON	1.7	12.6	2.2		7.8	62.4		13.2	100
OLUKBIA	5.5	19.3	4.7		7.4	54.4		8.7	100
OKTLAND	2.7	11.8	2.4		13.7	59.0		10.5	100
LANARE	à.	8.8	4.2		9.2	55.4	•	21.7	100
TCHESS	2.2	14.8	5.2	•	11.0	59.9		4.8	100
IE	3.2	18.4	2.7		13.5	38.4		22.3	100
SEI	2.6	6.9	1.9		7.8	64.1		14.8	10
ANKLIN	1.3	13.4	4.2		12.6	50.2		18.3	10
LTON	3.9	13.1	5.2		12.1	50.3		15.3	10
NESEE	2.5	9.2	7.6		21.5	49.1		10.1	10
EENE	1.9	11.9	.,	•	9.3	67.4		8.9	10
MILTON	2.7	18.9	.0		8.1	54.1		14.2	10
RKIMER	1.6	15.7	2.6		6.1	51.4		12.4	
		14.1	7.4		10.9	55.1			10 10
FFERSON	2.B 10.3	26.1	4.9		10.7	29.1		9.7 18.9	
H6S									101
VIS	1.3	9.0	5.2		15.5	55.5		13.5	100
VINGSTON	.8	8.9	6.8		7.9	59.3		16.3	10
DISON	2.5	8.4	5.4		13.3	60.9		9.5	10
HROE	5.5	13.6	6.1		14.7	38.3	•	21.7	10
NTECKERY	3.1	9.9	5.0		9.5	58.5		14.9	10
SSAU	4.1	16.6	6.4		18.4	31.8		22.8	10
k YORK	5.3	57.2	.8		4.7	9.0		23.0	10
A5ARA	2.1	22.0	2.B		10.9	48.9		13.3	10
EIDA	3.2	16.2	3.7		11.7	52.8		12.3	10
ONDAGA	7.1	15.2	3.7		17.7	35.2		21.2	10
TARIO	4,8	15.0	5.5		8.4	54.4		12.0	10
IANGE	2.9	13.2	5.2		14.0	54.1		8.5	10
LEANS	4.1	11.2	14.9		17.5	36.4		16.0	10
HEED	2.2	14.3	5.2		10.2	59.0		10.1	10
TSEGO	1.3	8.5	2.4		4.3	72.3		9.2	100
UTHAK	.5	7.5	1.2		10.4	77.9		2.6	100

SENTENCES AS & PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS

COUNTY	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines		Other	Total
QUEENS	9.7	33.7	3.8	10.8	24.7		17.3	100.0
RENSSELAER	2.3	15.2	3.4	13.3	51.4		14.2	100.0
RICHMOND	5.3	20.8	1.7	13.4	32.8		26.0	100.0 .
ROCKLAND	4.3	11.0	9.1	12.2	58.0		5.5	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	.3	5.7	1.4	5.3	41.1		26.3	100.0
SARATOGA	1.3	9.2	1.0	5.8	74.0		8.6	100.0
SCHENECTADY	2.7	18.9	2.0	13.2	54.5		8.4	100.0
SCHOHARIE	3.4	4.5	4.2	5.3	71.6		10.7	100.0
SCHUYLER	.5	7.5	5.4	28.0	49.5		8.9	100.0
SEHECA	1.0	11.7	5.2	8.9	52.9	•	20.3	100.0
STEUBER	2.4	10.7	4.6	11.0	53.8		17.4	100.0
SUFFOLK	4.4	10.4	5.5	13.4	57.3		8.8	100.0
SULL IVAN	3.2	19.9	4.1	13.5	47.2		12.2	100.0
TIDGA	1.5	7.4	2.8	10.0	62.1		14.1	100.0
TORPKINS	3.5	7.7	2.6	15.1	47.0		24.1	100.0
ULSTER	3,3	14.1	2.9	10.1	59.3		10.3	100.0
WARREN	.5	14.0	1.9	5.8	60.8		17.1	100.0
WASHINGTON	.2	12.3	3.4	4.2	64.3		13.5	100.0
MAYNE	1.3	9.7	3.0	11.9	54.3		19.8	100.0
WESTCHESTER	4.8	14.3	4.4	15.5	47.1		9.9	100.0
MADWING	4.9	5,7	9.7	21.7	50.9		5.1	100,0
YATES	1.3	12.9	8.4	11.4	36.4		26.4	100.0
NEW YORK STATE	5.7	31.2	3.2	10.0	31.5		18.3	100.0
NEW YORK CITY	7.3	44.5	2.1	7.5	17.7		20.9	100.0

^{*} These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SERTERCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS .

	State 1	Prison	Local	Jail		Local and Pr	Jill obation	Pro	bation		Fin	! \$		lther	.To	otal
TRUCO	Felony Coav	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Cony	Misd & Lesser Conv		lony	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Coay	Risd Less Con	Γ	Felony Conv	Hisd L Lesser Conv	Felon Coav	Hisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Cony	Hisd L Lesser Conv
ALBANY	57.4	NA	1.4	13.6		24.9	4.5	16.3	13.	0	.0	61.9		5.0	100.0	100.6
ALLEGANY	20.0	NA .	13.3	11.0		20.0	4.5	46.7	14.	5	.0	54.0		16.6	100.0	100.0
BRONI	43.4	KA	16.6	44.4		7.5	.3	31.4	4	0	.2	28.1	•	23.3	100.0	100.0
BROOME	25.6	NA	13.0	14.9		21.1	1.3	34.3	9	Q	.7	41.4	3.	33.4	100.0	100.0
CATTARAUGUS	25.0	XA	17.5	14.8		17.5	.8	40.0	3	.5	.0	67.4	,	11.5	100.0	100.0
CAYUGA	3£.5	XA	13.5	18.3		33.8	1.0	14.9	2	9	.0	51.1	i.		100.0	100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	26.5	na	17.7	12.2		29.2	2.0	23.5			2.7	40.2	•		100.0	
CHEKUNG	35.9	MA	11.4	13.0		14.2	2,4	32.1			2.0	51.8	1.		100.0	100.0
CHEKYNEO	34.8	XÀ	26.1	10.9		34.8	3.9	4.3			.0	47.1			100.0	
CLINTON	28.3	KA	13.2	12.5		20.B	1.1	32.1	. 6	3	3.8	64.2	· 1.		100.0	100.0
COLUMBIA	58.1	NA	14.5	19.8		17.7	3.4	3.1	. 7	.4	1.6	59.9			100.0	100.0
CORTLAND	27.1	NA	12.9	11.6		15.7	. 9	41.4			.0	65.4	2.		100.0	100.0
DELAVARE	12.0	УA	16.0	8.4		28.0	2.9	38.0		.7	4.0	58.5	4.		100.0	100.0
DUTCHESS	20.8	KA	9.1	15.5		32.2	2.0	37.1		.0	.0	67.0	•		100.0	
ERIE	39.7	KA.	14.0	18.8	_	10.7	2.0	34.6			.9	43.3	1		300.0	
ESSEX	45.8	NA	8.3	6.8	•	0.3	1.5	37.:		.0	.0	67.9	•		100.0	
FRANKLIN	17.9	KA	12.8	13.4		25.6	2.4	41.6			.0	54.2	2.		100.0	
FLIL YON	34.4	na .	14.B	12.9		26.2	2,5	21.			3.3	54.3			100.0	
GENESEE	20.5	KA	5.9	9.7		39.7	3.2	33.1			.0	55.8	•		100.0	,
GREENE	32.0	NA	24.0	11.1		4.0	.5	38.		.7	4.0	71.4	•		100.0	
HANILTON	25.0	MA	50.0	15.2		.0	.0	25.0		.1	.0	60.4	•		100.0	
HERKINER	21.1	KA	23.7	15.0		21.1	1.1	78.		.2	5,3	66.1	•		100.0	
JEFFERSON	17.1	· NA	17.B	13.3		36.4	1.7	26.		. 8	1.6	45.7	•		100.0	
KINES	19.0	NA	8.7	30.B		19.5	1.0	21.		. 9	1.0	36.4		5 23.8	100.0	
FERIZ	16.7	NA	8.3	9.1	4.	33.3	2.8	41.			.0	60.1			100.0	
LIVINSSTON	12.3	KA	26.3	7.7		49.1	2.8	10.		.7	.0	63.4	1,		100.0	
MADISON	18.8	KA	11.8	7.9		28.2	1.9	38.		.5	.0	70.1	2.		100.0	
HONROE	35.7	NA	11.9	14.0		28.0	2.1	23.		-	.4	45.3	1,		100.0	
MONTGONERY	25.7	MA	12,0	9.7		32.0	1.4	28.		.0	1.3	66.1		0 16.9	100.0	
HASSAU	34.4	KA	14,6	16.9		25.6	3.8	23.			1.3	36.0		B 25.7	100.0	
KER AGKK	48.3	NA .	20.4	61.7		6.4	.1	23.		.4	.4.	10.1	1.		100.0	
ARABAIN	38.1	NA	23.8	21.9		4.2	2.7	33.	7	.6	.6	51.7		6 14.1	100.0	
ONE I DA	29.4	NA.	16.9	14.2		23.9	1.3	28.	-	.7	.9	59.1		5 13.8	100.0	
DNONDAGA	33.4	NA	19.2	14.3		12.9	1.2	33.		.4	.2	44.6	1.		100.0	
DATARIO	26.4	NA	26.9	12.3		22.0	1.8	21.		ه.	1.6	65.0	1.		100.0	
DRANGE	25.4	NA	5.5	14.2		28.0	2.2	46.		.5	.0	43.5		9 9.5	100.0	
DRLEAHS	16.4	NA	1,5	14.4		44.8	5.0	35.		.4	0	48.5	1.		100.0	
OSWEGO	22.1	KA	15.9	14.1		37.2	1.7	22.		.9	1.8	64.2		9 11.1	100.0	
DISE60	28.8	NA	7,3	8.5		36.6	۵.	29.		.1	.0	76.1		9.7	100.0	
PUTKAN	33.3	na	.0	7.1		11.1	1.1	55.	• •	.8	.0	78.9	•	0 , 2.6	100.0	100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS .

	State	Prison	Local	Jaii	Local and Pr	Jail obation	•-	Prob	ation	 Fin	8 5.	Ot	her		Tota	al
COUNTY	Felony Coay	Misd & Lasser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felosy Cony	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Coav	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Coav	Misd & Lesser Conv	Falony Coav	Hind & Lasses Conv			Misd & Lesser Conv
PUFENS	45,8	NA	9.7	40.1	15.4	.7		27.1	4.4	1.3	31.0	.7	21.8	10	0.0	106.0
RENSSELAER	23.7	WA	16.3	15.0	17.8	1.9		41.5	10.3	.7	57.1	.0	15.7	10	0.0	100.0
RICKMOND	44.1	¥А	8.3	22.5	11.8	.4		32.3	10.8	2.4	3E.9	1.2	29.4	10	0.0	100.0
ROCKLAND	23.5	MA	2.1	12.7	37.6	2.8		35.4	7.0	.0	70.8	3	8.6	10	0.0	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	28.4	KA	.0	5.7	42.9	1.0		28.6	5.1	.0	41.4	٥.	26.4	10	0.0	100.0
SARATOBA	33.0	MA	26.1	8.5	17.0	.4		23.9	5.1	.0	77.0	.6	8.9	10	0.0	100.0
SCHENECTADY	25.4	- KA	12.5	19.7	13.1	.7		47.0	9.1	1.2	60.9	.6	9.6	10	0.0	100.0
SCHOKARIE	44.4	KA	11.1	3.9	37.6	1.3		7.4	5.2	.0	78.1	.0	11.4	10	0.0	100.0
SCHUYLER	7.7	Y iñ	23.1	8.5	53.8	2.5		15.4	28.9	.0	52.7	.0	9.5	10	0.0	100.0
SENECA	11.1	HA	18.5	11.0	51.9	.4		12.5	8.0	.0	58.3	.0	22.3	10	0.0	100.0
STEUBEN	25.7	KA	17.1	10.0	37.1	1.0		18.6	10.1	0.	59.7	1.4	19.2	. 10	0.0	100.0
SUFFOLK	30.4	KA	11.4	10.2	21.1	1.8		22.1	11.8	4.9	4.64	4.7	9.6	10	0.0	100.0
SULL IVAN	30.1	· KA	12.8	20.7	24.8	1.6		30.1	11.5	1.5	52.6	.8	13.4	10	0.0	100.0
TIDGA	16.7	KA	11.9	7.2	23.8	.7		40.5	7.0	1.8	67.7	2.4	17.4		0.0	100.0
TOMPKINS	27.4	KA	e.2	7.7	14.3	. 8		43.9	10.8	.0	53.9	4.1	28.7	10	0.0	100.0
ULSTER	28.5	MA	8.8	14.8	17.6	1.0		41.0	5.7	.5	66.9	.5	il.b	-10	0.0	100.0
WARREN	15.4	WA.	34.4	13.4	25.0	1.2		25.0	5.2	.0	62.4	.0	17.6	10	0.0	100.0
WOTONIHEAW	2.2	KA	40.0	9.9	13.3	2.5		44.4	2.9	.0	70.0	.0	14.7	- 10	0.0	100.0
WAYNE	14.3	KA	15.2	9.2	25.9	.7		43.8	8.7	.9	59.4	.0	21.8	. 10	0.0	100.0
WESTCHESTER	39.7	na	5.0	18.4	17.3	1.7		37.3	11.0	.4	56.8	.3	11.8	10	0.0	100.0
MADMINE	37.5	NA .	£.3	5.6	25.0	4.3		28.1	20.3	3.1	£1.5	.0	6.3	10	0.0	100.0
YATES	18.2	KA	9.1	14.0	30.3	1.9		39.4	2.8	3.0	46.7	.0	34.6	10	0.0	100.0
NEW YORK STATE	41.6	NA	13.8	34.0	15.B	1.2		24.8	7.3	.9	36.4	1.1	21.0	_	0.0	100.0
NEW YORK CITY	47.0	KA	14.6	50.0	11.7	. 4		25,1	4.2	.7	20.8	٠,	24.6	Į	0.00	100.0

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criainal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE. INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

									INVESTI	ATIONS	·		PRE-DI	SPOSITION	SUPERVI	SION CASE	S RECEIVED	
			INTA	KE +			Tota	aì ·	Family 6	Court	Crisinal	Court	Tota	ıl	Family (Court	Criminal	Courl
OUNTY	_	Ra	te	Rank			Rate	Kank	Rate	Kank	Rate	kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rani
LBANY		4	56.2	51			1,064.7	9	204.4	18	87B.2	8	114.8	17	20.8	21	94.1	17
LLEGAMY		-	03.7	33			396.8		76.7	56	326.1	54	.0	53	.0	44	.0	47
ROHI			43.2	43			£02.7		83.7	50	514.0	33	104.0	19	100.8	5	3.2	3:
ROOME			1.80	13			919.8		291.8	7	627.9	20	1.9	51	1.9	40	.0	47
ATTARAUGUS	•		64.4	55			479.3		169.9	26	309.4	56	10.5	46	1.7	42	9.4	3
AYU6A			32.1	46			398.2		81.4	52	314.8	35	1.3	52	.0	44	1.3	4
HAUTAUOUA HENUNG			54.2 96.9	36 3			£05.7 1,195.8	- 1	205. 9 233.7	19 13	599.7 942.0	21	153.2 132.4	13 14	45.8 2.7	12 38	107.5	- 1
HENANGO			37.4	.4			820.L		755.7	. 13 55	744.6	. 15		35		3 n 17	130.4	1
ILKANDU INTON		•	07.0	25			820.E 825.0		447.5	33 2	377.4	47	36.8 14.7	33 42	30.0 11.0	28	.0 3.7	3
LUMBIA			54.9	25 56			551.1		80.9	53	470.3	40	19.7	53	0.11	44	.0	
RTLAND			61.2	2			1,078.2		236.3		841.9	10	.0	53	.0	44	.0	į
LAVARE			46.2	8			443.6		87.4	51	354.2	50	219.7	8	219.7	2	.0	
TCHESS		•	81.9	27			£32.3		124.2	37	506.1	34	50.2		36.8	15	13.3	
1E		 •	50.8	49			580.4		110.8	42	449.8	41	.0	53	.0	44	0	
SEL		-	56.0	29			316.2		101.7	44	214.5	80	16.5	40	13.7	23	2.8	
AHKL IN		•	05.8	4			1,438.6		244.3		1,194.3	3	45.7	30	4.6	35	41.1	
LTOX		•	94.0	58			649.7		166.0		463.7	38	16.2	41	16.2	22	.0	
NESEE			36.0	10			1,136.3		171.0		945.2	5	164.3	12	5.1	33	159.2	
EENE			38.0	24			294.1		50.4		243.5	58	1.8	49	4.8	34	.0	
MILTON		•.	20.1	62			140.4		20.1	62	120.4	42	.0		.0		.0	
RKIHER			73.3	41			484.2		245.1	10	239.1	59	6.0		3.0		3.0	
FFERSON		1,7	93.8	5			746.2	28	63.2	57	483.0	18	.0	53	.0	44.	.0	
HES			4.80	45			521.7	48	61.9	58	459.8	43	48.5	25	42.5	8	4.0	
WIS			54.3	46			480.8	51	123.2	39	357.6	49	123.2	15	7.9	30	115.2	
VINESTON			56.1	37			764.6	26	166.3	27	598.3	22	25.7	38	24.0	20	1.7	
DISCH		1,3	47.0	14			674.2	35	131.8	35	512.4	28	64,4		1.5	41	42.9	
HROE			51.7	42			594.9		130.8		464.1	42	38.2		38.2		.0	
NTERNERY			97,0	57			580.7		211.3		. 369.3	48	13.3		13.3		0	
SSAU			14,1	11			1,071.9		177.6		B94.3	7	269.3		6.7		263.1	
# YORK			02.0	. 54	•		831.5		49,7		781.9	11	99.3		53.0		46.3	
AGARA			162.2	31			667.5		147.3		520.1	32	.0		.0		.0	
EIDA			27.9	38			742.2		163.9		578.3	27	27.1		2.0		27.1	
DNDAGA			246.0	19			1,450.6		229.7		1,220.9	2	241.9		116.9	-	124.9	
TAKID			126.3				798.9		110.4		489.4	17	10.8		.0		10.8	
ANGE			320.8	15			691.7		111.0		580.8	24	110.4				110.4	
LEANS			93.5				8.848		76.4		590.2		115.0		10.2		104.8	
DOSWE			5.12				1,359.8		252.4		1,107.1		183.0		.(183.0	
ISE60			83.9	40		•	449.7		153.3		294.5		203.8		.(203.8	
UTNAM		. 1	382.6	34			196.9	49	96.4	47	400.5	46	38,3	32	38.3	13	.0) 4

					1WVEST16	SATIONS				PRE-61	ISPOSITION	SUPERVIS	ION CASES	RECEIVED	ŧ
	ATKE	KE #	Tat:	ıl	Family (Court	Crisinal	Court		Yota	ıl	Family C	ourt	Crisical	Court
COUKTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Kale	Reak	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rask	Rate	Kank
Queens	446.1	53	397.4	57	53.3	59	311.0	52		76.₹	23	67.8	9	7.1	31
RENSSELAER	1,048.5	30	725.5	31	189.0	21	536.5	. 29		74.7	24	3.3	36	71.4	18
KICHKOND	553.1	47	311.6	٤٥	104.0	45	207.8	41		28.1	37	28.1	19	.0	42
ROCKLAND	677.1	41	639.4	40	112.4	40	527.1	31	•	416.4	i	240.9	1	175.7	8
ST. LAWRENCE	1,413.4	12	871.2	14	90.8	49	780.3	12		191.3	10	13.2	25	178.1	7
SARATOSA	858.3	35	707.3	32	213.5	15	493.8	34		84.8	21	35.9	16	48.9	20
SCHERECTADY	1,238.5	22	765.0	25	177.3	24	587.7	24		231.8	7	11.3	27	220.5	
SCHOHARIE	275.2	59	474.5	53	137.4	33	338.9	53		10.1	47	.0	44	/ 10.1	29
SCHUYLER	3,460.7	1	1,227.B	4	371.2	5	854.4	. 4		11.4	44	11.4	24	.0	12
SENECA	1,242.2	21	729.4	30	299.1	· i	430.3	44		250.8	3	.6	44	280.8	2
STEUBEN	1,042.2	28	780.8	23	194.2	20	581.5	25		253.0	5	154.2	3	108.8.	. 15
SUFFOLK	1,299.1	18	2,396.4	1	128.2	38	2,270.3	i		50.0	28	50.0	10	.0	42
SULLIVAN	958.4	32	849.8	15	94.7	48	775.1	13		.0	53	.0	44	.0	12
TICEA	1,308.1	17	859.5	17	430.7	3	429.8	45		43.7	31	29.8	18	13.9	26
TOMPKINS	534.4	50	888.3	13	140.7	32	747.6	14		331.3	2	1.1	43	330.1	1
ULSTER	1,140.0	23	734.2	24	105.4	44	668.5	19		33.0	34	.0	44	33.0	23
MARREM	1,450.4	9	662.1	. 16	384.4	4 1	483.5	39		48.5	29	44.7	11	1.8	
WASHINGTON	1,309.2	16	569.1	46	213.2	16	355.9	51		.0	53	.0	44	. 6	12
WAYHE	1,594.5	7	753.8	27	258.1	8	495.7	35		.0	53	.0	44	.0	42
KESTCHESTER	1,244.5	20	1,608.9	2	895.1	1	713.7	14		84.3	22	84.1	4	.2	41
KAOKINE	216.3	40	641.3	38	132.8	34	528.6	30		22.1	39	7.4	31	14.B	25
YATES	156.1	52	674.9	34	181.5	22	493.3	. 37		4.7	50	.0	44	4.7	- 33

a Intake occurs only in family court.

SDURCE: MYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

		PROBAT	ION SUPERVIS	SION CASI	ES RECEIVED		UKDEI	R PROBAT		SES SION DURI	ING THE YEAR		UNDEI	PROBAT)		ISES Vision o	N DEL	CEMBER	31
	Tol	a)	Family (Court	Crisinal	Court	Tota	ıt	Family (Court	Crisinal	Court	Tota	1	Family 1	court	Cri	isisal	Court
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	R	ate	Rank
ALBANY	387.2		50.4	26	336.8	4	1,131.5	5	88.1	28	1,043.5	6	844.2	5	40.4	17	7	785.6	5
ALLEGANY	149.0		29.5	48	139.5	53	528.5	57	58.9	48	469.5	55	375.2	55	21.6	54		353.6	53
BRONE	300.7		20.4	55	280.4	8	995.4	8:	45.4	57	950.0	7	752.9	7 :	21.0	55		731.8	7
BROOME	292.3		57.9	18	234.4	21	916.0	10	125.2	11	790.8	15	457.1	14	40.7	15	:	596.4	15
CATTARAUGUS	184.3		43.4	22	143.0	51	186.3	5B	72.7	26	413.7	59	351.4	- 57	46.9	30	;	301.7	59
CAYUSA	146.5		26.3	50	120.2	58	401.5	62	55.1	52	349.4	62	269.2		23.8	50		245.4	61
CHAUTAUQUA	275.3		54.1	22	221.2	28	£85.¢	40	107.6	22	582.4	44	479.2	34	54.8	21		425.0	42
Chenung	380.		10B.7	2	271.6	10	1,272.5	2	191.3	2	1,101.2	3	969.4	2	117.4	2		852.2	- 4
CHENANGO	320.2		44.0	31	276.2	9	718.5	34	1.48	29	£32.5	32	492.4	37	34.0	34		458.3	33
CLINTON	185.1		33.1	44	152.0	49	755.2	36	17.2		677.9	27	400.7	23	35.4	37		565.2	19
COLUMBIA	260.1		54.5	21	206.3	31	704.6	35	108.9	19	595.7	39	518.1	32	41.4	12		453.8	35
CORTLAND	293.3		50.4	24	242.7	18	877.9	14	84.4	30	793.4	14	603.5	21	44.3	32		559.2	20
DELANARE	183.		27.7	49	155.7	47	543.0	54	55.5	51	507.4	51	398.2	52	23.5	51		375.4	50
DUTCHESS	284.2		50.4	25	233.4	23	807.5	24	115.6		691.9	25	571.5	27	12.7	35		528.8	23
ERIE	276.0		44.3	39	231.7	24	670.5	43	81.0		589.5	42	443.1	48	50.4	26		392.6	48
ESSE1	140.7		30.2		110.0	60	475.7	59	49.5	55	126.2	58	346.5	58	22.0	53		324.5	55
FRANKLIN	317.4		54.8		262.6	12	874.6	15	121.0		753.5	18	428.0	18	54.8	20	1	573.2	18
FULTON	301.4		34.3	42	267.1	11	8.0.8	17	46.9	5&	813.9	11	464.1	13	27.1	47		637.1	13
GENESEE	425.(-	17.7	8	357.3	2	1,227.7	3	93.1	28	1,134.6	2	941.5	3	69.4	9	1	872.1	2
GREEKE	137.4		34.2		101.3	A1	574.2		72.3	41	503.9	52	372.5	54	14.5	62	;	364.1	51
HANILTON	120.4		20.1	57	100.3	12	AB2.0	41	B0.2		601.B	37	280.9	61	40.1	36		240.7	62
HERKIMER	165.9		38.9	35	127.0	56	567.9	53	134.5	9	433.4	56	403.5	51	94.1	4		309.3	57
JEFFERSON	317.2		73.4	4	243.8	17	847.8	20	124.2		723.4	22	549.8	29	46.6	11		483.2	29
KINGS	247.4		19.B		227.4	25	910.7	12	39.9		870.8	10	734.6	10	20.1	58		714.5	. 8
LEVIS	202.7	. 4-	43,7	32	159.0	45	764.2	27	155.0		8.124	33	480.	38	17.6	14		117.2	45
LIVIHESTON	282.9		24.0		258.9	13	970.4	9	56.4	50	\$13.B	9	709.8	11	18.9	59		490.9	10
MADISON	257.7		24.0	54	233.7	22	£86.2	39	58.4	49	627.B	34	473.5	41	21.0	56		452.5	36
MONRDE	289.0		37.9	37	251.1	15	829.8	23	75.2		754.6	17	431.4	17	45.8	31		585.8	17
HONTOOKERY	241.6		59.0		182.8	42	694.9	38	108.5		586.4	43	523.5	31	55.2	19		168.1	35
WASSAU	363.1		45.4	-	317.7	5	1,021.1	7	102.2		918.9	8	826.3	ı.	52.4	23		773.9	. 6
NEW YORK	207.3		18.3		188.9	38	819.7	18	38.0		811.6	12	6.66.9	12	20.3	57		646.7	12
NIAGARA	278.		38.8		239.7	19	651.5	46	\$2.4		592.2	41	448.5	47	30.0	45		418.5	44
DHEIDA	219.		36.3	38	183.1	41	738.2	32	72.6		665.6	28	503.7	35	27.5	46		476.2	31
ONDHDAGA	282.		47.1	28	235.3	20	783.3	29	81.0		702.3	24	552.7	28	43.0			509.8	26
ONTAKIO	263.6		57.4	19	205.7	32	740.4	31	92.0		648.4	30	502.2	36	47.6	27		454.6	34
ORANGE ODLEANS	345.9		48.6	27	297.2	6	873.3	16	122.9		750.5	19	602.7	22	89.0	5		513.8	25
DRLEAMS	321.		30.7	46	291.3	7	1,126.7	6	71.5		1,055.2	5	909.4	4	48.5	28		861.0	3
OSNEGO OTECEO	258.5		67.1	9	191.4	36	737.B	33	137.7	8	600.1	38	514.5	33	67.1	10		447.4	38
015E60	158.3		15.2		113.2	50	672.1	42	59.0		613.1	35	528.9	30	52.2	24		476.7	30
PUTHAK	249.7	34	58.1	17	191.5	35	644.0	47	105.1	21	539.0	49	475.9	40	43.3	33		432.7	39

•	•	PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED Total Family Court Criminal Court			:	UNDER	PROPAT		ISES Slow Dur	ING THE YEAR	•	UNDE	R PROBAT		SES ISTON DN	DECEMBER	31	
	Tota	ıl	Fasily (curt	Crisinal	Court	Tota	ıl	Family (aurt	Crisinal	Eourt	Tot	e)	Family (ourt	Crininal	Court
COUNTY	Rate	Renk	Rate	Rank	Rate	Reak	Rate	Rank	Kate	kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
PUEENS	172.0	51	17.0	60	155.0	48	532.5	56	38.5	60	191.0	53	419.2	50	24.1	49	395.2	47
REHSSELAER	330.4	9	74.0	5	254.4	14	915.1	11	121.4	15	793.5	13	740.0	8	72.7	.8	467.3	- 11
RICHKOND	136.9	41	24.3	52	112.4	59	440.3	60	33.4	62	406.8	åő	332.2	59	18.2	. 04	314.0	56
RGCKLAND	339.6	8	125,9	ſ	213.0	29	847.9	19	237.9	1	410.0	36	582.9	24	135.4	1	447.5	37
ST. LAWRENCE	156.1	57	14.8	41	139.3	54	589, 9	50	43.2	58	546.7	47	419.7	49	16.2	ái -	103.0	46
SARATOBA	173.3	52	35.9	41	137.4	55	414.6	61	53.2	54	341.4	61	289.0	60	33.4	42	255.4	60
SCHENECTADY	266.3	28	59.8	13	204.5	30	832.1	22	141.4	4	4.0%	26	574.4	28	59.1	18	517.3	24
SCHOHARTE	177.9	51	20.1	56	157.7	46	630.9	49	53.7	53	577.2	45	459.7	43	33.4	41	426.2	-41
SCHUYLER	485.4	1	å2. 8	- []	422.4	1	1,170.7	4	79.9	35	1,090.7	4	734.7	9	34.3	36	702.4	9
SENECA	192.3	47	51.9	23	140.4	52	702.0	38	120.1	3	521.4	50	448.4	44	103.8	3	344.9	54
STEUBEN	201.4	46	32.8	45	146.5	44	8.766	44	74.0	37	593.8	40	454.1	44	33.9	40	120.2	43
SUFFOLK	316.3	14	46.2	10	250.1	16	894.8	13	139.1	7	757.7	16	8,404	20	76.3	6	530.6	22
SULLIVAN	409.8	3	59.2	15	350.4	3	1,409.7	1	122.8	14	1,286.9	1	1,072.5		40.4	14	5.110,1	1
TIUGA	234.2	38	59.5	14	174.7	43	835,7	21	95.3	25	740.4	21	611.4	[9	53.A	22	557.8	21
TOMPKINS	222.3	41	25.0	51	197.4	33	695.4	37	1.04	44	6.25.3	31	512.8	34	22.7	52	190.1	28
ULSTER	230.	39	37.2	28	193.6	34	640,5	48	67.2	43	573.4	48	465.3	42	33.0	43	432.3	40
MARREN	230.1	40	39.5	34	190.5	37	540.7	55	80.9	73	479.9	54	384.8	53	25.2	48	359.5	52
NASHINGTON	213.2	43	89.1	4	125.1	57	583.2	51	156.8	4	426.4	57	355.9	56	49.3	27	306.6	58
NAYRE	291.3	19	48.5	7	222.7	27	783.5	28	121.1	14	662.5	29	579.1	25	75.4	7	503.7	27
WESTCHESTER	258.0	32	33.7	43	224.3	26	805.9	25	11.9	45	744.0	20	647.4	15	32.5	44	614.9	14
MADMINE	275.3	24	91.0	3	184.4	40	486.2	45	125,4	19	540.9	48	452,4	45	43.9	13	368.4	49
YATES	246.7	38	60.5	12	188.2	39	B05. 2	26	102.4	23	702.8	23	412,3	14	51.2	25	591.1	16

^{*} The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: MYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

REVOCATIONS

				VEARE LEADERS						
							Crisina	1 Courts	H	
	Tota	1]#	Family (Court 6 6	Tol	al	Techni	cal	New C	ORY
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	kask	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	60.7	. 11	6.4	30	60.4	9	44.6	5	15.9	31
ALLEGAXY	18.4	57	££.7	29	12.5	59	8.4	51	4.2	58
BRONE	75.3	5	89.2	20	74.7	5	45.4	3	9.2	47
BROOME	43.7	34	43.9	32	40.5	33 '	18.5	38	22.0	21
CATTARAUSUS	9.4	61	16.1	51	8.5	61	.0	59	8.5	50
CAYUGA	99.1	1	£8.2	27	103.9	2	28.7	22	75.3	Į.
CHAUTAUQUA	48.4	25	74.3	36	44.0	26	21.4	29	22.4	20
CHENUKS	55.5	15	113.4	13	45.4	23	37,5	12	7.9	53
CHENANGO	55.7	14	16.5	41	57.0	10	44,3	ě.	12.7	40
CLINION	22.7	54	63.5	33	18.1	56	16.3	42	1.8	59
COLUMBIA	19.2	24	75.8	25	44.3	25	33.2	17	11.1	43
CORTLAND	55.3	16	12.5	8	47.9	19	29.3	21	18.4	27
DELAYARE	15.2	59	.0		15.8	57	4.2	58	12.6	41
DUTCHESS	12.2	38	155.9	3	23.2	54	14.2	47	9.1	49
ERIE	52.2	19	87.7		47.3	20	33.4	16	14.0	36
ESSET	23.1	54	111.1	14	12.9	5B	.0	59	12.9	38
FRANKLIN	28.7	50	.0	54	33.3	43	15.2	45	18.2	29
FULTON	50.3	21	74.9		48.8	16	2.2	58	46.4	
BENESEE	42.8	35	36.4		43.3	28	28.4	23	14.9	33
SKEENE	12.8	9	20.0		43.1	29	14.4	46	28.7	
HAMILTON	.0	L2	.0		.0	62	.0	59	.0	60
HERKINER	28.9	49	.0		37.9	38	37.9	10	.0	60
JEFFERSON	19.3		109.1		39.0	34	25.0	27	14.0	
KINGS	34.9	43	13.2		38.0		7.3	52	30.4	-
LEWIS	35.4	45	25.4		37.7	40	25.2	26	12.4	
LIVINGSTON	53.0		121.2		48.8		16.9	41	31.9	
MADISON	54.8		102.6		52.5		33.4	15	19.1	
HONROE	29.7		56.4		27.1	51	17.3	39	9.8	
MONTEDMERY	46.4		122.8		32.5		6.5	-53	26.0	
NASSAU	45.8		53.7	•	45.0		20.0	33	24.9	
NEW YORK	67.7		35.5		43.4		19.4	35	44.0	
NIAGARA	45.9		125.9		37.4	-	15.6	43	21.8	-
OKEIDA	51.0		128.4		46.1	-	19.8	34	25.4	
GNONDASA	80.7		100.8	_	78.3		75.3		3.1	
ONTARIO	86.3		94.1		25. I		43.4		41.7	
ORANGE	12.5		35.2		43.7	-	34.6		9.1	
ORLEANS	36.3		.0		38.7		31.5		7.3	
DSNEGO	23.9		12.2		24.6		18.2		8.4	
015660	27.6		.0		30.2		11.0		19.2	
PUTHAN	40.3	-	47.1		39.0		20.6		18.3	
) ALIBH	10.3	•	1771	• • •	21.4		44.6	74	1879	74

TABLE 78

REVOCATIONS

[#] Rates based on the number of family and criminal court cases supervised during the year,

we Rates based on the number of family court probation cases supervised during the year.

ees Rates based on the number or criminal court probation cases supervised during the year.

SDURCE: MYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY

				Adai	ssions				•							Deceab	er 31 Popul	ation		
		Tota	a)	Unsente	nced	Seater	iced		porti pacil		Average Popula				Tota	I	Unsenti	aced	Sente	aced
COUNTY		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rank	Rate	Rank		ka	te	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rasi
ALBANY		1,259.4	25	810.3	32	399.1	16	153	.2	5	128.4	1		į	13.4	į.	63.8	8	49.7	: ا
ALLEGANY		888.0	48	750.5	42	137.5	54	88	. 8	35	51.1	- 44			45.2	44	21.4	47	23.4	3
BRONI		.0	5₽	.0	58	٥.	58		.0	57	.0	58			.0	58	.0	58	.0	5
DROOME		1,010.3	41	732.4	43	307.8		82	i.7	25	71.5	27			71.5	21	35.3	28	34.2	!
CATTARAUGUS		1,238.6	27	958.4	23	280.1	33	9	1.1	21	57.4	39			72.7	20	32.0	32	39.9	
CAYUGA		1,457.6	17	940.4	28.	517.2	à	זד		29	62.4	35			68.9	25	40.1	23	28.6	
CHAUTAUQUA		1,044.2	40	694.0	45	350.1	24	. 67	.9	38	1.04	37			49.9	ŧ1	35.4	27	14.6	
CHENUHS		1,190.3		799.0	34	391.3		100	0.0	13	88.1	11		-	08.7	8	45.7	17	63.0	
CHENANGO		1,142.8	35	862.5	49	480.4	7	46	.0	40	54.0	41			34.0	55	20.0	51	14.0	
CLINTON		1,615.#		1,157.3	14	458.5			3.7	43	53.9	42			58.9	31	33.1	31	25.7	-
COLUMBIA		1,414.1	18	994.7	20	417.5			5.7	18	87.1	10			75,9	14	42.9	17	33.0	
CORTLAND		1,698.4		1,299.8	*	398.8			1.5	39	78.1	22		-	111.8	7	80.2		31.7	
DELAYARE		819.0		569.4	51	249.5			3.2	37	34.1	54			44.8	44	27.7	45	17,1	
DUTCHESS		933.7	44	762.8	40	170.9			3.6	36.	54.2	40			58.0	33	42.3	21	15.7	
ERIE		1,170.4		1,004.7	1.9	163.7	53	100		12	65.5	33			53.4	37	37.4	26	16.1	
ESSEI		750.7		539.0	53	211.7		-	.0	41	33.0	57			32.0	54	30.2		2.1	
FRANKLIN		1,374.4		949.9	25	121.7		125		7	B1.5	17			75.4	17	38.9		36.	
FULTON:		1,680.2		505.3	55	1,174.9			.0	57	84.8	14			57.8	35	23.5		34.3	
GENESEE		1,297.1		982.2	21	315.0			3.7	56	17.4	51			64.3	28	32.2		32.	
GREENE		1,697.4		1,164.5	12	532.8		130		6	B4.4	18			60.3	30	33.8		24.	
HAMILTON		1,424.9		1,103.3	16	521.6			1.2	28	40.1	54		1	120.4	5	80.7		10.	
HERKIMER		1,134.2		BLO.7	31	273.5		. 53		47	58.3	38			38.9	52	29.9		9.	
JEFFERSOX		1,181.9		764.3	39	417.7		*	1,5	31	73.4	25			65.5	27	31.6		33.	
KINGS		.0		.0	58	.0			٠.	57	.0	58			0,	58	.0			
LEVIS		0.409		766.9	38	139.1			1.4	20	43.7	. 52			47.7	43	19.9		27.	
LIVINGSTON		1,549.9		1,171.0		378.9			6.0	17	89.2	9			84.0	12	53.1		30.	
MADISON		1,141.7		884.0	30	257.7			0.6	33 34	70.4	28			56.9	36	28.5 53.1		28. 26.	
HONROE		1,198.4		958.2		740.2			0.2		18.9	29			79.6	15 13			26. 15.	
NONTGONERY NASSAU		1,593.5		1,041.4	17	552.1	_		8.5	2	91.4	8			81.9		66.6			
****		655.1		464.2		190.9			1.4	42 57	82.3	19 58			85.9	10 58	57.3		. 28.	
NEN YORK NIAGARA		0.		.0 921.0		.0 3.202		n•	٥.		.0				69.7	23	.(34.	
DNEIDA		1,223.6		534.4					7.9	14	84.5						35.1 40.7		31. 28.	
		1,708.9				170.7			2.2 7.9	26 23	74.2			•	. £7.0		54.4			
OHONDAGA		•		1,524.3	_	184.6				23 15	94.3	<u>å</u> 13			92.0				37.	
ONTARIO DRANGE		1,381.2		975.3		405.9 212.2			6.3 6.2	15	85.5 80.0	20	•		85.5 73.5		20.6	_	61. 22.	-
ORLEANS .		1,380.4		1,14B.2 1,029.6		250.6			6. Z	10	80.0 125.2				73.3 122.6	17	51.7 99.7		22.	
DSNEED		1,129.6		768.0		361.8			0. L	27	60.4	34			122.0 57.9	-	27.		23. 30.	
OTSE60	*	904.5		766.0 616.5		288.0			7.1	21 51	18.8	36 49			42.1	13	15.3		30. 27.	-
PUTNAM		872.5		760.2		132.3			7.1 9.4	50	49.4	48			39.6		21.0		18.	
1 M 1 HWI		u12.	1 1/	104.2	7.6	192.3		•		30	17.1	90			27.8	Ju	21.0	7 77	10.	• •

JAIL AND PENITEHIIARY

			Adei	ssions			•					Deceat	er 31 Popul	ation			
	Tota	1]	Unsente	enced	Seater	iced	•	orted acity	Average Popul	•	Tota	ıl	Vasente	aced	Sent	eaci	ed
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rani	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rank
RUEENS	.0	58	.0	58	.0	58		e 57		58	.0	58	.0	58		٥	58
RENSSELAER	861.2	50	4.844	46	195.6	46	75.			45	37.0	53	21.1	48	15.		46
RICHMOND	.0	58	.0	58	.0	58		0 5		58	.0	58	.0	58			58
ROCKLAND	499.8	56	403.8	57.	296.0	31	30.			55	32.8	57	20.0	52	12.		53
ST. LAWRENCE	798.0	53	561.7	52	236.3	41	53.		50.3		45.0	45	18.5	55	26.		29
SARATOSA	834.7	51	662.8	48	173.9	50	43,				39.0	51	21.7	46	17.		42
SCHERECTADY	1,233.2	28	919.1	28	34.1	26	58,		68.4	30	63.1	29	50.5	15	12.		54
SCHOHARIE	1,100.7	39	889.3	29	711.4	44	70.	5 3	50.3	46	43.4	47	30.2	37	13.		52
SCHUYLER	1,844.6	3	1,473.4	4	371.2	21	119.	9	102.8	5	131.3	2	119.9	2	11.	4	55
SEKECA	1,593.2	13	1,294.1	9	299.1	30	88.	5 2	79.4	21	70.2	22	42.7	20	27.	5	28
STEUBEN	942.4	43	711.1	36	184.9	48	50.	3 4	67.8	31	58.4	32	44.2	18	14.	4	50
SUFFOLK	879.1	49	88E.0	47	211.1	45	57.	6 4	65.1	34	52.2	39	32.1	34	20.	.1	39
SULLIVAN	2,491.1	1	1,791.4	. 1	699.7	2	242.	6	174.6	. 1	168.6	1	127.2	1	41.	.(4
TIOSA	1,185.0	32	784.0	35	399.0	17	111.	2 1	77.4	23	69.5	24	37.7	25	31.		16
TOMPKINS	1,390.B	19	1,251.3	10	139.5	54	37.	4 5	48.6	50	- 43.1	48	26.1	43	17.	.0	44
ULSTER	1,518.4	16	1,159.5		359.0	23	. 88.	7 2	87.9	12	75.1	16	52.5	13	22.	.6	36
WARREN	1,885.3	2	1,452.7	5	433.1	11	104.	2 1	71.9	26	48.5	42	25.2	44	23,	4	34
VASHINGTON	1,245.8	26	812.3	33	433.5	10	95	2 1	P 81.6	15	52.9	38	19.4	54	33.	.5	13
MAYNE	1,787.5		1,318.1	7	469.4	8	. 56.	0 4	b &6.2	32	50.3	40	29.7	39	20.	Ł.	38
WESTCHESTER	1,843.4		1,600.2	2	243.5	38	121	3	95.3	7	80.9	14	50,4	16	30.	.5	19
MACHINE	1,012.9	42	705.4	44	307.3	28	44.	3 5	41.8	53	34.€	54	14.8	57	19.	Ī	40
YATES	1,591.7		1,354.4	6	237.4	40	174				125.7	3	97.7	ŧ	27.		24

SOURCE: MYS Commission of Correction.

								Violent	Felonie	\$									Brug Fe	lonies						
	Tat	al	Tat	al .	Hosi	cide	Şex Of	fenses	Rob	bery	Burg	lary	259	PORS	Ot	her	To	tal	Posse	ssion	52	l e	Other		Yout! Offe	bful aders
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rzak	Rate	Rant	Rate	Kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Kate	Reak	Rate	Rink	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	katu	Reak	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	72.9	5	21.5	12	1.4	31	3.9	17	4.6	13	7.0	15	2.8	9	1.8	27	20.8	5	8.8	3	12.0	6	29.9	3	.7	36
ALLEGANY	7.9	60	3.9	51	2.0	21	.0	47	.0	40	0	52	. 8	23	2.0	22	.0	46	.0	32	. 0	42	2.0	59	2.0	13
PROXI	136.1	3	91.9	2	13.7	1	7.3	5	44.4	2	10.1	4	11.5	2	7.9	4	21.5	Ą	5.7	5	15.7	4	16.6	16	3.2	7
BROOKE	38.1	18	21.7	11	٠,	34	2.8	18	3.8	15]	9.9		.5	19	2.8	16	.5	45	.0	32	.5	41	15.5	20	.5	38
CATTARAUGUS	11.7	56	3,5	54	.0	36	1.2	13	2.3	24	٥.	52	.0	23	٥.	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	12	7.0	50	1.2	28
CAYUSA	30.1	26	4.3	44	.0	36	2.5	28	.0	40	3. 8	30	.0	23	.0	40	٠.	46	.0	32	.0	42	17.5	12	6.3	2
CHAUTAUQUA	20.1	43	5.3	- 39	2.1	19	.0	₹7	1	28	4.2	28	.0	23	1.4	31	2.8	28	1.4	22	1.4	34	7.4	49	1.4	21
CHEMUNS	56.5	9	29.4		2.2	17	7.6	. 3	1.1	37	8.7	11	4.3	4	5.4	7	.0	46	.0	32	.ô	42	24.1	5	1.1	31
CHENAH50	16.0	53	8.0	41	.0	36	2.0	31	.0	40	٥.	52	٥,	23	4.0	£	4.0	20	2.0	15	2.0	28	4.0	55	.0	41
CLINTON	19.6	45	4.9	50	.0	37	2.5	29	1,2	35	1.2	50	٥.	23	.0	40	1.2	43	.0	32	1.2	37	12.3	31	1.2	25
COLUMBIA	59.4	7	18.2	19	1.7	28	5.0	8	.0	40	8.3	12	.0	23	3.3	. 12	23.1	3	5.0	. 7	18.2	2	14.5	18	1.7	17
CORTLAND	54.9	10	14.9	20	.0	36	ů.	47	2.1	25	2.1	44	4.2	5	8.4	3	٥.	46	.0	32	0	42	27.4	4	10.6	1
DELAVARE	1.3	82	2.1	58	.0	36	2.1	30	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	2.1	36	.0	32	2.1	27	.0	41	.0	41
DUTCHESS	25.9	31	13.3	27	.0	36	2.3	24	4.3	14	4.7	28	. 6	21	1.2	. 34	1.5	40	.0	32	1.6	33	10.2	40	8	35
ERIE	32.2	22	15.9	23	2.0	22	2.7	25	3.3	17	5.9	21		16	1.4	30	1.9	38	1.2	26	. 6	40	13.7	26	.8	34
ESSET	24.7	35	8.3	40	.0	36.	2.8	23	5.5	12	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	. 35	ô.	42	13.8	25	2.8	10
FRANKLIN	25.1	34	6.9	43	2.3	15	.0	47	.0	40	4.4	27	.6	23	.ò	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	18.3	10	.0	41
FULTON	46.9	14	16.2	22	3.6	7	1.8	37	.0	40	9.0	10	.0	23	1.8	25	€.0	10	.0	32	7.0	7	14.7	19	5.4	3
BENESEE	27.1	29	10.2	35	1.7	27	.0	47	1.7	30	3.4	35	3, 4	4	.0	40	3.4	25	.0	32	3.4	18	10.2	42	3.4	6
EREENE	26.5	30	7.7	42	.0	36	4.8	9	.0	40	2.4	41	.0	23	.0	40	7.2	15	4.8	- 8	2.4	24	12.1	32	.0	41
HAMILTON	20.1	44.	.0	80	.0	36	.ò·	47	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	33	.0	12	20.1	8	.0	41
HERKINER	13.4	55	3.0	54	1.5	30	.0	47	1.5	33	.0	52	0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	10.5	38	.0	41
JEFFERSBN	29.4	27	12.4	29	2.3	16	7.9	2	٥,	40	2.3	43	.0	23	.0	40	2.3	34	.0	32	2.3	26	14.7	23	.0	41
KINGS	92.8	4	59.0	3	10.0	3	1.9	34	29.7	3	7.9	14	4.3	3	3.2	13	19.0	6	4.1	11	14.9	5	13.4	27	1.4	22
LEVIS	7.9	59	.0	60	.0	36	.0	. 43	٥.	40	.0	52	.0	23	.0	- 40	4.0	21	.0	32	1.0	15	4.0	56	.0	. 41
LIVINGSTON	25.7	33	13.7	25	3.4	B	5.1	7	.0	40	3.4	33	.0	23	1.7	28	5.1	17	3.4	12	1.7	31	6.9	5į	.0	41
KADISON	19.5	46	9.0	38	.0	36	4.5	11	1.5	32	3.0	38	.0	23	.0	40	.0	. 46	.0	32	.6.	42	9.0	16	1.5	20
MONROE	42.1	16	22.7	10	2.6	12	2.6	27	7.4	8	5.5	22	2.0	10	2.7	19	2.7	30	.7	31	2.0	29	15.5	21	1.3	24
HONTGOKERY	19.0	48	1.9	59	.0	36	1.9	33	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	1.9	38	1.9	18	0	42	11.4	34	1.8	1
NASSAU	34.9	19	20.3	16	2.3	- 14	1.2	41	7.9	7	4.8	14	.8	14	1.3	33	4.3	16	1.2	27	5.1	13	9.1	45	1.2	27
KEN YORK	229.7	ŝ	125.5	1	12.2	2	4.2	14	48.8	1	15.0	1	15.3	1	9.9	ı	51.4	1	11.8	1	39.5	. 1	49.4	1	3.4	
MIASARA	31.4	24	11.5	- 31	1.8	24	.9	45	3.7	16	3.2		.5	20	1.4	32	2.8	29	.0	32	2.8	. 19	16.6	. 15	.5	39
DHEIDA	33.9	21	14.4	25	2.8	11	1.2	42	2.8	20	3.4	32	1.2	13	2.8	18	3.2	26	2.6	16	1.2	38	14.8	22	1.6	. 18
ONONDAGA	52.9	11	29.0	7	2.1	18	5.6		6.9	9	9.5		1.5	12	3.4	. 9	3.7	22	1.3	24	2.4	25	18.5	9	1.7	1
ONTARIO	50.9	12	20.4	15	1.1	33	4.3	12	.0	40	9.7	7	.0	23	5.4	8	10.B	9	5.4	6	5.4	11	17.3	13	2.2	
ORANGE	36.7	20	14.4	21	1.8	26	2.9	22	5.8	11	4.0	29	.4	22	1.8	26	9.0	11	4.3	10	4.7	14	11.2		.0	
ORLEANS	10.9	17	15.3	24	.0	36	2.6	26	.0	40	12.8		.0	23	.0	40	2.6	31	.0	32	2.6	20	20.4	7	2.6	11
OSVEGO	23.5	38	9.2	34	.8	35	1.7	40	.8	38	5.0		.0	23	.8	38	.8	44	.8	29	.0	42	12.6	29	. 8	. 3
DISEGO	15.2	54.	5.1	48	.0	36	1.7	39	1.7	31	1.7	48	.0	23	.0	40	5.1	18	3.4	13	1.7	32	3,4	58	1.7	14
PUTNAM	22.3	42	4.9		1.2		0	47	2.5	23	1.2	-	.0	23	.0	40	11.1	7	8.7	4	2.5	21	4.2		.0	

B-33

NEW COURT CONNITNENTS TO STATE PRISON

								Violent	Falonia	:5	•								Drug Fe	ionies				_	Yout	h f)
	Tot	il	Tal	al.	Hosi	cide	Sex Of	fenses	hot	bery	Burg	lary	Nes	роль	Dt	her	To	tal	Posse	ssica	Sa	le	Othe Felo			nders
YTKUO	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Kate	Kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
RJÉENS	5B.4	8	33.4	5	4.3	£.	1.8	38	14.6	4	6.7	17	3.4	y ·	2.8	17	11.1	8	4.4	9	6.7	9.	12.0	33	1.8	14
ENSSELAER	23.1	40	12.4	28	2.0	20	2.0	32	2.0	27	2.6	40	.7	15.	3.3	11	1.3	42	1.3	23	.0	42	9.3	44	.0	45
TEHNOND	30.8	25	19.6	17	2.9	10	1.9	35	5.9	10	4.7	18	1.6	11	. 8	39	2.1	35	, 8	30	1.3	35	8.4	47	.3	40
OCKLAND	31.7	23	11.7	30	1.5	29	.8	46	2.6	22	5.3	23	.0	23.	1.5	29	8.3	13	1.5	21	4.8	8	10.2	41	1.5	- 15
T. LAWRENCE	18.5	49	5.3	47	.0	36	.0	47	1.8	29	2.8	39	٥.	23	.9	37	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	13.2	28	.0	41
ARATOSA	19.3	47	10.5	34	.0	36	3.7	19	1.2	34	3.7	31	.0	23	1.9	23	3.1	27	1.2	25	1.7	30	5.0	54		37
CHERECTADY	24.6	37	10.4	33	.0	36	7.3	4	2.7	21	.7	51	.0	23.	.0	40	1.3	41	.0	32	1.3	36	11.3	36	1.3	2
CHOHARIE	23.5	39	23.5	9	6.7	4	3.4	20	.0	40	10.1	5	.0	23	3.4	10	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	.0	18	.0	41
CHUYLER	17.1	52	.0	03	.0.	36	.0	47	.0	40	ů,	52	.0	23	.0	40	٥.	46	.0	32	.0	42	17.1	14	.0	4
ENECA	9.2	58	3.1	55	.0	36	.0	47	٥,	40	3.1	37	.6	23	0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	6.1	53	.0	4)
TEUBEN	24.7	36	11.3	32	.0	36	4.1	15	.0	40	5.1	24	. 6	23	2.1	20	.6	46	.0	32	.0	42	10.3	39	3.1	1
SUFFOLK	44.8	15	21.4	13	1.9	23	2.9	21	B. 1	.6	1.4	19	.5	18	1.8	24	8.1	14	1.5	20	4.5	10	14.2	24	1.1	3
GULL IVAN	93.2	3	28.1	8	3.0	9	8.9	1	3.0	19	5.9	20	.0	23	7.4	5	25.1	2	8.7	2	16.3	3	37.0	2	3.0	1
106A	25.₿	33	4.0	45	.0	38	.0	47	2.0	26	2.0	45	٠.٥	23	2.0	21	2.0	37	2.0	17	.0	42	17.9	11	.0	4
IDKPKINS	22.7	41	9.1	37	.0	36	4.5	10	.0	40	3.4	34	.0	23	1.1	36	2.3	33	1.1	28	1.1	38	11.3	35	.0	4.
ASTER	48.2	13	20.8	14	1.8	25	4.3	13	3.1	19	7.9	13	. 6	17	3.1	14	4.3	19	1.8	19	2.4	23	22.0	4	1.2	2
iarren	10.8	57	3.4	52	.0	36	.0	47	1.8	28	1.8	46	.0	23	.6	40	3.4	23	.0	32	3.6	1,6	3.4	57	.0	4
EASHINGTON .	5.3	- At	3.5	53	٥.		1.8	38	.0	40	1.8	47	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	1.8	60	.0	. 4
IAYNE	18.3	50	5.7	46	.0		1.1	44	1.1	34	2.3	42	.0	23	1.1	35	3.4	24	.0	32	3.4	17	8.0	48	1.1	. 3
ESTCHESTER	64.0		37.9	4	5.2	-	4.0	14.	11.9	5	10.7	1	3.1	8	2,9	15	8.4	12	3.2	14	5.2	12	14.5	17	1,2	2
IYOHING	17.2	51	2.5	57	2.5		.0	47	.0	40	.0	52	.6	23	٠.	40.	2.5	32	.0	32	2.5	22	12.3	30	.0	4
MATES	27.9	28	18.4	18	٥.	36	.0	47	.0	40	9.3	. 9	.0	23	9.3	2	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	9.3	43	0.	4

Source: MYS Department of Correctional Services.

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY

									1	/iolest	Felonia	25							Drug Fe	onies			M11	,	W . 41	
	Tot	al	Tol	al	Hosi	cide	Sex O	feases	Kabi	ery	Burg	lary	Meap	ons	Oth	er	Total		fosse	ssica	Sa	le:	Other Felon	les	Youth Offen	
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate R	lank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	250.5	4	131.0	4	20.4	10	29.2	2	40.2	S	22.4	4	2, 6	4	12.7	7	48.3	3.	19.4	2	28.9	3	49.0	2	2. !	15
ALLEGAMY	11.8	41	7.9	59	3.9	53	2.0	55	.0	52	.0	51	.0	32	2.0	43	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	2.0	12	2.0	18
BRONT	432.7	2	348.4	2	83.8	2	29.1	3	165.7	2	26.7	3	24.1	2	19.2	2	50.2	2	13.7	3	36.5	2	29.8	14	4.3	7
ERDONE	109.7	13	72.0	12	11,8	29	13.2	9	21.2	13	18.4	8	.9	28	6.6	20	5.2	29	2.4	27	2.8	31	32,0	12	.5	39
CATTARAUSUS	31.4	34	15.2	53	1.2	59	5.9	40	8.2	24	.0	54	٥.	32	.0	52	1.2	53	.0	42	1.2	47	14.1	46	1.2	31
CAYUSA	67.6	32	28.8	41	1.3	58	8.8	26	7.5	27	4.3	40	.0	32	5.0	28	3.8	39	1.3	3B	2.5	35	30,1	13	5.0	4
CHAUTAUQUA	43.7	49	22.2	48	12.5	24	1.4	58	2.1	45	4.9	42	.0	32	1.4	49	9.7	15	5.5	11	1.2	24	11.8	51	.0	42
CHEKUNG	187.0	5	118.5	5	23.9	6	31.5	1	18.5	14	28.3	2	4.3	9	12.0	8	2.2	47	1.1	41	1.1	48	62-0	3.	4.3	6
CHENANGO	44.0	47	32.0	37	10,0	33	4.0	49	8.0	25	0		٥.	32	10.0	10	4.0	33	2.0	31	2.0	42	B.0	56	.0	42
CLINTON	52.7	41	22.1	49	3.7	54	7.4	32	6.1	29	3.7	47	.0	32	1.2	50	2.5	46	.0	42	2,5	37	27,0	20	1.2	30
COLUMBIA	97.4	14	11.3	28	4.4	42	14.5	6	3.3	40	9,9	29	.0	32	5.0	29	33.0	ė	6.4	•	26.4	5	23.1	26	. 0	42
CORTLAND	78.9	27	29.5	39	4.2	52	2.1	54	.0	52	2.1	50	6.3	5	14.8	4	2.1	49	2.1	30	.0	51	40.1	. 7	6.3	i
DELAVARE	17.1	60	10.7	58	2.1	56	6.4	37	.0	52	.0	54	.0	32	2.1	41	2.1	48	.0	42	2.1	41	4.3	60	٠,٥	42
DUTCHESS	71.0	29	48.2	21	11.8	30	7.8	29	14.5	14	7.4		1.2	24	3.5	33	3.9	36	1.2	40	2.7	33	18.0	36	.8	36
ERIE	95.5	17	45.8	16	20.8	8	9.4	23	15.8	15	12.6	21	1.2	23	6.1	23	3.9	37	2.7	23	1.2	46	24.8	23	1.0	34
ESSEI	38.5	52	14.5	52	.0	66	5.5	41	1.1	17	.0		.0	32	.0	52	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	19.2	33	2.8	10
FRANKLIN	79.9	25	34.5	33	9.1	34	4.9	35	4.6	36	6.9		2,3	16	4.9	18	4.9	23	4.6	16	2.3	40 -	34.3	9	2.3	12
FULTON	83.0	22	39.7	31	18.0	12	7.2		.0	52	12.4		٥,	32	1.8	45	9.0	16	1.8	34	7.2	14	28.9	15	5.4	3
BENESEE	64.3	34	40.6	29	8.5		3.4	53	8,5		10.2		5.1	7	5.1	26	5,1	30	.0	42	5.1	20	15.2	42	3.4	9
GREENE	55.5	38	33.8	35	12.1	27	9.6		4.8		7.2		.0	32	.0	52	7.2	22	4.8	14	2.4	38	14.5	45	.0	42
HANILTON	80.2	24	40.1	30	40.1	4	.0	59	٥.	52	.0		,0	32	.0	52	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	40.1	6	.0	42
HERKIMER	26.9	55	13.4	55	6.0	45	1.5		3.0		1,5		.0	32	1.5	48	.0	55	.0	42	٠.٥	51	13.4	48	.0	42
JEFFERSON	70.0	30	38.4	32	12.4	25	12.4		1.1		10.2		.0	32	2.3	40	3,4	42	.0	42	3.4	27	27.1	19	1.1	33
KINGS	283.3	3	218.1	3	66.4	3	14.8	14	95.9		19.7	7	15.6	3	8.7	li.	34.7	4	7.6	6	27.2		28.2	16	2.3	13
LEVIS	7.9	62	.0	62	.0	_	.6		.0	-	,0		.0	32	.0	52	4.0	34		42	4.0	25	1.0	61	.0	42
LIVINGSTON	54.9	39	29,1	40	8.6		8.6	28	.0		10.3	- /	.0	32	1.7	46	8.6	17	3.4	18	5.1	19	17.1	37	.0	42
KADISON	40.5	50	24.6	45	4.5	-	10.5		3.0		1.5		.6	32	1.5	47	1,5	51	.0	42	1.5	45	13.5	47	1.5	25
MONROE	129.5	10	87.8	9	20.3		10.B	16	28.2		16.2		4.1	10	8,2		6.7	26	2.3	28	1.4	22	33.5	11	1.6	23
HONTGOKERY	45.7	45	22.8	47	11.4		3.8		1.9		2.8		.0	32	1.9	- 44	3.9	38	3.9	17	.0	51	13.3	49	5.7	2
NASSAU	98.8	15	49.0	. 13	13.6	-	5.0		27.8		17,7		1.7	18	3.2		13.5	13	2.4	25	11.1	10	14.9	43	1.5	
NEW YORK	4.814	ï	406.5		86.0		15.5		207.1		39.4		36.2		23.1	1	117.0	1	76.0	1	91.0		90.1	1	4.9	5
NIAGARA	91.0	19	51.3	18	16.2		9.7		10.2	-	7.4		2.8	14	5.1	25	5,5	27	2.8	22	2.8	-	33.7	10	.5	_
OKEIDA	84.2	21	51.1	19	15.2		7.6		9.2		10.9			19		19	7.6	20	3.2	20	4.4	23	23.5	25	2.0	
ONDNDABA	144.2	21	96.1	8	15.5		18.5		27.9				1.6 3.9	11	6.8	15		18	2.4	26	5.6		3B.3	73		19
ONTARIO	90.9	20	49.8	20	8.7		13.0				22.8			17	7.5		8.0					17		17	1.9	
DRANGE	.108.8	14	47.8 68.1	15	17.7	33 15	10.4		4.3 23.4		13.0		2.2	30	8.7 5.0	12 27	10.8	14	5.4	12 7	5.4	11	28.1	30	2.7	
ORLEANS	120.1	11	63.9	17	17.7		7.7		23.4 5.1		10.8 12.8		2.6	30 15	17.9	3	19.8 7.7	19	7.2 5.1	13	12.6	-	20.5 46.0	30 5	2.4	
OSVEGO	48.7	43	25.0	44	5.0				7.6				.0	32	2.5	37		17 44	1.7	35				32		
OTSEGO "		43		51	5. i	47 48	1.2				6.7						2.5				.8		19.3		. 8	
	43.8		15.2				6.7		1.7		1.7		.0	32	.0	52	6.7	25	3.4	19	3.4	28	20.2	31	1.7	22
PUTNAN	51.9	42	23.5	46	11.1	32	3.7	52	2.5	44	3.7	16	.0	32	2.5	38	22.3	7	12.4	4	9.9	13	6.2	57	.0	42

INHATES UNDER CUSTODY

										,	lioteat	Feloni	5							Drug Fe	lonies			A11			
	Tot	al		Tot	al	Honi	icide	Sex Of	lenses	Kobt	егу	Burg	lary	Keap)វា ទ	Oth	Br.	Tot	al	Posse	ssion	Sa	le	Other Felon		Youth Offen	,
COUNTY	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	fiate	Rank	ƙate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant	, Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUEENS	159.1	7		117.4	ė.	27.7	5	8.6	27	48.6	4	18.2	9	L.5	4	7.7	14	18.3	10	£.8	8	11.5	Ŷ	21.3	29	2.0	16
REHSSELAER	58.1	35		44.9	26	17.8	14	4.0	50	5.9	32	8.4	33	1.3	22	7.3	17	1.3	52	1.3	37	.0	51	11.9	50	٥.	42
RICHMOND	75.2	10		75.2	. 11	17.7	16	5.9	38	26.7	LO	15.5	13	3,2	12	4.2	22	3.7	40	1.3	36	2.4	28	15.8	41	.5	38
ROCKLAND	81.1	23		47.5	. 23	14.3	22	4.5	47	16.2	20	13.6	15	1.5	20	3.4	34	13.6	12	2.6	24	10.9	11	18.9	34	1.1	32
ST. LAWRENCE	38.8	51		13.4	51	6.2	43	5.3	43	2.6	43	4.4	44	٠٩	29	.0	52	.9	54	.0	42	.9	49	14.8	39	1.6	21
sara i dea	45.8	44		30.3	3B	7.4	28	9.9	20	1.9	48	8.7	32	٥.	33	2.5	38	4.3	32	1.2	39	3.1	29	10.5	53	. 6	37
SCHEHECTADY	54.5	40		34.5	34	1.3	57	12.6	11	10.6	18	4.6	28	1.3	21	2.0	42	2.0	50	٥.	42	2.0	43	16.5	40	1.3	27
SCHOHARIE	57.6	36		47.0	24	£.7	41	10.1	19	6.7	28	13.4	16	.0	32	16.1	9	.0	55	.0	12	.0	51	10.1	54	.0	42
SCHUYLER	22.8	56		5.7	.60	5.7	46	.0	59	.0	52	.0	54	.0	32	.0	52	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	17.1	38	.0	42
SENECA	18.3	57	٠	12.2	57	6.1	44	٥.	59	Ú	52	3.1	19	.0	25	3.1	36	.0	55	٥.	42	٥.	51	6.1	58	٠.	42
STEUBEN	49.9	31		45.2	25	12.3	26	14.4	₿	2.1	46	10.3	26	.0	32	£.2	21	3.1	43	.0	42	3.1	30	18.5	35	3.1	9
SUFFOLK	112.7	12		68.3	14	15.3	19	9.1	25	22.2	12	16.2	11	1.1	28	4.3	31	19.3	9	3.1	21	14.1	7	23.8	24	1.3	28
SULLIVAN	176.0	6		84.3	10	20.7	9	23.7	4	10.4	19	13.3	17	3.6	13	13.3	£.	34.0	5	8.9	5	25.1	4	56.2	, 4	1.5	26
TIOSA	73.4	28		43.7	27	11.9	28	6.0	28	6.0	31	13.7	14	.0	32	6.0	24	4.0	35	2.0	32	2.0	44	25.B	21	.0	42
Tonpkins	56.7	37		2B. 4	42	Ł.B	40	12.5	12	1.1	50	5.7	41	٤.1	27	1.1	51	6.8	24	2.3	21	4.5	21	21.6	28	.0	42
ULSTER	78.8	24		47.6	22	15.3	20	9.2	24	£.1	30	12.2	22	۵.	31	4.3	32	7,3	21	1.8	33	5,5	16	22.0	27	1.8	. 20
NARREN	35.9	53		19.2	50	3.6	55	5.4	42	3.8	3₿	7.2	- 36	.0	32	.0	52	5.4	28	.0	42	5.4	18	10.8	52	.0	42
KASHINGTON	17.6	58		12.3	56	5.3	47	1.8	54	3.5	39	1.8	51	. 0	12	٥.	52	.0	55	.0	42	.0	- 51	5.3	59	.0	42
RAYNE	45.7	46		27.4	43	6.9	28	6.9	34	4.6	35	3.4	48	1.1	25	4.6	30	3,4	41	0	42	3.4	26	14.8	44	.0	12
VESTCHESTER	144.1	9		101.2	7	22.6	. 7	11.4	15	32.9	Ł	22.1	4	4.6	8	7.5	16	16.6	11	4.0	10	10.6	12	25.0	22	1.3	28
MACHINE	17.2	59		4.9	61	۰.	.60	4.9	45	.0	52	. 0	54	.0	32	-0	52	2.5	45	.0	45	2.5	36	9.8	55	٥.	42
YATES	45.2	33		32.6	36	4.7	50	4.7	46	.0	52	9.3	31	.0	32	14.0	5	4.7	31	4.7	15	.0	51	27.9	18	.0	42

Source: MYS Department of Correctional Services.

	Releas To Par		Unde Sapervi During		Unde Supervi Oa 12	sign
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	ƙant	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	70.8	5	195.5	. 4	142.3	ı
ALLEGANY	11.8	48	51.1	39	21.6	50
BRONX	181.3	1	535.8	1	341.1	1
BROOME	39.1	. 14	95.4	20	66.4	20
CATTARAUGUS	11.7	49	35.2	51	17.4	54
EAYU6A	14.3		55.1	35	35.1	37
CHAUTAUQUA	22.2	36	43.7	44	31.9	44
CHEKUNG	54.5	8	123.9	10	80.4	11
CHENANGO	14.0	43	36.0	50	32.0	42
CLINTON	29.4	24	67.4	28	44.1	78
COLUMBIA	23.1	33	61.1	31	34.7	38
CORTLAND	25.3	30	69.6	24	59.1	24
DELAVARE	6.4	58	17.1	59	10.7	59
DUTCHESS	41.9	12	121.5	11	86.2	10
ERIE	34.5	17	100.4	17	A2.5	22
ESSEX	11.0	51	46.7	42	33.0	41
FRANKLIN	22.8	24	45.7	13	20.6	51
FULTON	30.7	23	63.2	30	43.3	29
GEKESEE	15.2	44	57.4	34	37.3	35
GREENE	9.4	53	38.6	46	24.1	48
HANTLTON	.0	62	.0	62	.0	62
HERKINER	4.0	59	14.9	60	17.9	53
JEFFERSON	28.2	26	54.2	37	38.4	33
KIHES	104.1	3	300.7	3,	202.4	
LEVIS	4.0	61	11.9	14	7.9	61
LIVINGSTON	27.4	2B	58.3	33	37.7	34
MADISON	12.0	47	30.0	54	16.5	56
MONROE	40.9	"	155.4	7	109.4	. 30
HONTGONERY	15.2	45	38.2	49:	22.B	49
NASSAU	26.4	29	36.2 74.1	24	72.8 56.0	
NEN YORK	112.8	21	307.2	21	205.2	20
MIAGARA	47.1	11	121.0	17	203.2 80.4	12
NIHOHRH ONEIDA	31.5	21	71.8	25	50.3	. 26
ONONDAGA	47.9	10	142.1	23 8	87.9	70
DATARIO	28.1	27	67.1	29	36.8	36
DRANGE	24.9	21 31	91.9	27		36 23
UKNADE DRLEAKS	33.2	20			40.9	
DKLENKS OSVEGO	18.5	40	92.0 47.B	21 41	71.5	16
015E60					31.9	43
UIDEOU PUTNAN	6.7	57 50	32.0	52	15.2	58
ru i kan	11.1	50	38.3	47	24.7	47

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES .

Tota	1	Rul Violat	_	Kew Arres		New Fe Convict	
Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rani
99.1	19	18.0	23	55.7	12	25.2	2
38.5	42	.0	32	38.5	25	٥.	3
124.7	9	40.3	6	36.1	27	50.3	
123.2	- 11	24.6	15	69.0	8	29.6	2
33.3	. 44	.0	32	.0	43	33.3	1
22.7	48	.0	32	22.7	37	.0	3
47.6	41	.0	32	31.7	30	15.9	3
157.9	4	17.5	24	94.5	2	43,9	
55.4	35	.0	32	55.4	13	.0	2
72.7	27	36.4	9	.0.	43	36.4	1
54.1	37	.0	32	54.1	16	.0	3
4.04	33	.0	32	30.3	32	30,3	2
0.	51	.0	32	.0	43	٥,	3
129.0	8	35.5	10	58.1	11	35.5	1
116.7	12	19,4	22	80.9	5	16.4	3
0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	. 3
.0	51	.0	33	.0	43	٥,	. 3
28.4	46	.0	32	.0	43	28.6	2
764.7	t	147.1	2	88.2	4	29.4	2
٤2.5	31	.0	32	.0	43	42.5	
.0	51	.0		.0	43	0	1
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	3
62.5	31	20.8	20	41.7	24	.0	3
104.7	15	33, 6	12	28.3	33	42.8	
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	0	- 1
.0	51	.0	3.2	.0	43	.0	3
.0	51	.0	32	. 0	43	.0	
104.B	14	24.6	16	59.3	10	21.0	7
52.6	38	.0	32	52.6	17	.0	
94.3	21	23.3	17	30.4	31	42.6	
135.5	6	44.9	5	37.4	26	53.2	
64.9	30	11.5	30	45.8	22	7.6	
138.9	5	33.3	13	55.6	13	50.0	
130.1	7	45.4	4	49.9	19	34.B	1
94.B	20	16.1	25	48.4	20	32.3	:
66.7	29	19.6	21	23.5	36	23.5	7
27.8	47	.0	32	27.8	34	.0	;
.0	51	.0	32	.0		.0	3
52.6	38	.0	32	52.6	17	.0	3
32.3	45	.0	32	.0	43	32.3	

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	Releas To Par	-	Unds Supervi During		linde Supervi Oa 12	sion
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
QUEENS	44.8	6	183.0	5	125.8	6
RENSSELAER	28.4	25	99.B	18	67.4	19
RICHMOND	35.3	16	110.5	13	74.1	14
ROCKLAND	20.0	38	53.9	38	39.4	30
ST. LAWRENCE	22.0	37	60.0	37	46.7	27
SARATOGA	5.0	60	17.9	58	8.0	66
SCHENECTADY	33.9	19	97.0	**	4.8A	18
SCHOHARIE	13.4	46	20.1	54	14.8	55
SCHUYLER	34,3	18	162.8	14	62.8	21
SENECA	82.4	4	167.9	Ł	128.2	5
STEUBEN	22.6	35	54.4	38	39.0	31
SUFFOLK	31.1	22	83.5	23	48.4	- 17
SULLIVAN	50.3	9	127.2	9	97.6	8
T106A	9.9	52	37.7	- 68	33.7	40
TOMPKINS	9.1	54	30.4	53	19.3	52
ULSTER	34.4	15	105.6	15	73.3	. 15
WARREN	7.2	5£	50.3	40	27.0	46
NASHINGTON	8.8	55	19.4	57	15.9	57
HAYNE	17.1	41	48.5	27	38.8	32
WESTCHESTER	40.0	13	109.0	14	78.8	13
MACHINE	24.6	32	41.8	45	34.4	39
YATES	18.6	39	27.9	55	27.9	45

⁴⁰ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus innates released during the year to the Division of Parole for Supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

REVOXED AND RETURNED PAROLEES .

Tota	1	Ru) Violat		Nax Arres		Convict New Fe	
Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	ƙast	Rate	Rank
115.1	13	34.5	8	35.9	28	42.7	10
125.8	10	13.2	28	79.5	.	33.1	18
94.4	22	21.8	19	21.8	38	50.8	4
55.9	34	14.0	26	21.0	39	21.0	28
73.5	28	.0	32	14.7	42	58.8	2
103.4	16	34.5	11	69.0	2	.0	35
102.7	18	6.8	31	75.3	7	20.5	36
166.7	3	166.7	i	.0	43	.0	- 35
55.4	35	0	32	55.6	13	.0	35
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	35
18.9	49	.0	32	18.9	40	.0	3:
81.1	25	13.7	27	24.6	35	12.8	8
93.0	23	11.4	29	44.5	21	34.9	1:
52.4	38	52.6	3	.0	43	.0	35
185.2	2.	37.0	7	148.1	1	.0	3:
69.4	28	23.4	18	34.7	29	11.4	33
35.7	43	.0	311	0	43	35.7	13
96.9	24	.0.	200	90.9	3		3:
14.7	50	.0	32	-16.7	44	.0	3
102.9	17	25.5	14	43.5		33.9	17
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	3
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	3

^{*} The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

APPENDIX C

PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY
1982 - 1985

APPENDIT C FERCENT OF DISPOSED 1982 ARRESTS, 1983 ARRESTS, AND 1984 ARRESTS AND THE AVERAGE FERCENT OF DISPOSED 1982 THROUGH 1984 ARRESTS &

				PERCENT DI	F DISPOSITION	S REPORTED					AVERAGE PERCI	
		1982 Arrest	\$		1983 Arrest	.\$	··	1984 Arrest	18		1982-84 ARRES	
COUNTY	Total	Felony	Misdemeanor	Total	Felony	Misdemeanor	Total	Felony	Ni sdeaeasor	Total	Felony	Misdeseanor
ALBANY	92.1	89.2	73.1	87.2	85.4	87.8	87.8	83.6	89.4	69.1	,- 86.1 58.4	90.2
ALLEGANY	80.2	57.8	40.7	59.7	57.4	60.5	56.8	0.03	55.9	3B. Y		59.1
ERONY	90.5	91.8	28.7	87.9	91.7	B7.4	87.2	87.4	84.7	88.8	90.3	86.7
BROOKE	80.2	78.7	80.7	48.7	70.0	68.3	80.9	82.9	80.3	76.9	77.5	76.7
CATTARAUGUS	46.5	67.3	66.3	64.2	bL.1	£3.8	45.2	59.0	66.4	45.3	61.4	45.5
CAYUBA	73,4	74.0	73.5	72.0	72.7	71.8	65.4	70.1	64.3	70.2	72.7	49.7
CHAUTAUQUA	80.0	82.3	79.4	79.6	80.9	79.3	77.9	72.2	79.1	79.1	78.3	79.3
CHEMUNG	83.8	87.7	81.9	80.9	79.5	B1.4	79.2	84.1	77.8	81.3	84.6	80.4
CHEKANGO	45.5	56.4	88.9	88.3	67.1	. 69.4	45.0	58.9	lb.1	46.2	59.7	67.4
CLINION	75, 2	45.3	77.7	63.5	41.5	64.0	75.7	76.0	75.7	71.3	67.1	72.3
COLUMBIA	6,93	72.0	68.0	71.6	73.3	71.0	74.3	75.5	73.9	71.7	73.5	71.1
CORTLAND	83.5	88.4	82.2	78.9	74.0	79.9	81.0	81.8	30.9	81.2	82.2	81.0
DELAWARE	72.2	70.0	72.7	71.8	70.4	72.2	4.£	72.1	67.9	70.8	70.9	76.8
DUTCHESS	83.7	82.5	84.1	€5.5	B3.5	84.2	84.1	B1.1	84.9	84.4	82.4	85.1
ERIE	87.7	85.3	89.4	78.8	80.4	78.3	82.3	23.7	81.8	83.0	83.2	82.9
ESSEI	70.6	74.3	69.5	84.1	48.4	£2.4	72.8	44.5	74.7	49.4	70.1	69.2
FRAHKLIN	71.5	45.B	72.7	74.4	74.3	74.4	75.0	75.5	74.9	73.6	71.8	74.0
FULTON	B1.7	79.1	82.B	81.6	86.0	80.3	79.2	81.0	77.2	60.2	81.7	79.7
BENESEE	69.4	72.5	49.7	65.3	72.5	43.9	67.5	71.8	66.5	67.6	72.3	46.7
GREEKE	76.4	73.8	77.5	74.2	70.5	75.9	75.4	71.2	77.3	75.4	71.9	77.0
HAMILTON	57.6	4.3	54.7	52.4	45.2	47.5	68.8	86.9	66.2	59.3	68.3	56.5
HERKIHER	54.9	45.3	52.5	51.0	47.4	51.9	61.2	67.2	59.7	55.B	59.7	54.8
JEFFERSON	AB. 4	80.1	64.1	71.3	77.2	49.4	70.9	75.5	49.2	70.2	77.6	67.6
k I NGS	90.1	91.6	88.2	97.1	88.0	85.9	81.5	82.1	80.7	86.1	86,9	94.9
LEWIS	51,5	73.0	47.9	54.4	71.0	51.2	60.4	46.7	. 58.8	55.8	65. 9	53.1
LIVINGSTON	78.3	77.4	78.4	75.1	75.3	75.1	75.3	71.7	. 75.8	76.2	74.8	76.4
MADISON	71.7	70.4	72.3	70.6	78.7	48.7	73.0	75.1	72.5	71.8	74.7	71.1
KONROE	93.1	88.2	94.5	91.0	85.5	92.8	87.2	81.3	88.⊈	90.4	85.0	91.9
KOHTGOKERY	67.4	77.5	84.8	69.4	74.6	69.0	72.2	76.4	71.3	49.9	76.1	68.4
WASSAU	94.0	94.9	93.4	89.2	40.6	88.7	84.2	87.4	84.9	87.8	91.7	89.0
HEN YORK	91.1	47.6	89.2	89.4	91.5	88.1	88.9	89.2	88.7	89.7	91.4	88.6
NIASARA	93.8	90.8	94.8	92.7	90.8	93.4	B9.9	93.4	90.3	92.1	90.1	92.8
DNEIDA	75.6	80.3	74.1	74.9	78.3	73.7	74.8	74.0	75.0	75.1	77.5	74.3
ONONDAGA	79.7	74.5	82.2	81.5	76.4	83.8	79.3	72.7	82.3	80.1	74.5	82.7
ONTARIO	72.2	86.1	69.5	74.3	75.7	74.0	71.2	73.3	70.7	72.6	78.1	71.5
ORANGE	79.1	77.4	79.4	76.4	75.4	76.7	79.4	76.3	80.3	78,2	76.3	78.8
ORLEANS	73.2	82.1	71.6	70.1	B1.9	67.0	48.9	75.9	67.1	70.7	80.0	-68.4
DSYESO	73.0	71.9	73.3	75.8	76.0	75.8	78.8	76.3	79.2	76.0	74.8	76.3
OTSE60	80.7	75.7	01.7	70.B	59.5	73.1	70.4	43.9	71.7	73.8	86.1	75.3
PUTHAN	78.5	60.4	82.3	76.0	59.6	79.3	81.7	62.8	86.0	78.7	40.9	82.4
t n luvi	10.3	DV. 4	A7.43	1010	21.0	11.4	91.7	41.0	00.V	10.7		- MT+ 4

				PERCENT O	DISPOSITION	NS REPORTED					AVERAGE PERC OF DISPOSE	
•		1982 Arresi	is		1983 Arres	ls		1984 Arresi	:5		1992-84 AKRE	
COUNTY	Total	Feloay	Kisdeneanor	Total	Felony	Misdezeanor	Total	Felony	Misdeaeanor	Total	Falony	Misdeamanor
,											************	
CUEENS	92.1	93.4	90.0	91.0	91.9	90.0	E8.8	90.3	87.3	90.4	92.0	89.0
RENSSELAER	81.9	BO. 1	82.5	75.5	75.8	75.5	74.8	73.4	77.8	78.0	76.5	78.5
RICHMOND	92.9	93.2	92.8	92.5	94.6	90.B	90.5	91.3	90.0	91.8	93.0	91.0
ROCKLAND	77.6	75.L	78.5	76.4	77.2	74.1	70.4	72.4	69.9	74.7	75.1	71.6
ST. LAWRENCE	44.B	46.2	49.2	59.7	30.2	£5.7	51.4	28.2	56.2	59.0	35.2	63.7
SARATOSA	78.2	74.4	79.0	74.2	76.9	73.7	74.5	72.1	74.9	75.6	74.6	75.8
SCHENECTADY	87.9	81.6	89.2	B7.4	81.2	89.0	88.1	84.2	89.2	87.8	82.4	89.1
SCHOHARIE	57.7	67.4	54.6	54.5	78.7	50.4	54.7	49.5	54.3	56.4	70.8	53.2
SCHUYLER	65.0	76.4	41.3	73.4	42.3	74.6	70.3	69.2	70. Ł	4.94	71.6	69.1
SENECA	71.5	69.7	71.8	49.9	73.0	69.5	69.B	40.2	71.5	70.5	47.9	70.9
STEUBEN	76.7	78.2	76.4	76.1	78.5	75.6	71.3	79.4	49.3	74.7	78.8	73.7
SUFFOLK	8.8	40.4	71.9	75.2	71.0	76.6	81.9	85.8	80.4	75.3	72.1	76.4
SULLIVAN	93.9	80.2	85.1	85.5	80.2	87.2	84.2	82.1	84.9	84.5	80.8	65.7
TIOGA	72.9	73.4	72.8	47.9	44.5	48.2	77.4	76.6	77.7	72.7	71.6	72.9
TOMPKINS	78.1	70.9	79.7	79.7	74.3	80.8	80.7	74.5	81.5	79.4	73.7	80.6
ULSTER	49.4	18.0	68.5	43.1	61.2	63.7	48.9	61.4	70.4	46.6	64.5	67.5
WARREN	87.4	8B.4	87.4	88.2	86.3	88.7	21.8	87.9	84.5	87.5	87.6	87.5
WASHINGTON	55.3	62.9	53.1	55.5	40.3	53.9	55.4	57.4	55.1	55.5	40.2	54.1
WAYNE	62.3	40.9	82.5	42.9	41.9	43.1	41.3	4.04	41.5	62.2	41.1	62.4
VESTCHESTER	80.8	80.4	81.0	75.0	73.1	75.7	1.34	67.9	£5.5	73.9	74.0	73.8
MACHINE	36.4	41.1	30.2	31.3	40.0	25.9	40.5	62.4	34.9	36.2	61.3	30.4
YATES	44.5	75.0	43.8	49.1	86.3	65.3	70.5	83,3	67.8	48.5	78.8	45.5
NEW YORK STATE	85.7	88.3	84.4	84.0	86.4	82.6	82.9	84.5	82.0	B4.2	86.4	82.9
HEN YORK CITY	90.9	92.7	B9.1	89.2	90.7	B7.9	86.7	87.0	B6.5	88.9	90.0	87.8

⁴ This table provides an Indication of the proportion of arrests, excluding those for Juvenile Offenders, for which final dispositions were reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' CCH/OBIS data system as of July 1986. "Interia" dispositions, such as the issuance of a warrant, are counted as missing.


SOURCE: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, County Profiles System.

APPENDIX D

NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES





APPENDIX E
VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES

VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES1

OFFENSES	PL SECTION NUMBER	SUB- DIVISION	FELONY CLASS
Assault 2nd Assault 1st ²	120.05 120.10	all all	D C
Aggravated Assaylt on a			-
Peace Officer ²	120.11	00	В
Manslaughter 1st ²	125.20	all	B
Murder 2nd, Attempted	125.25	a]]	р В
Rape 1st ²	130.35	all	D D
Sodomy 1st ² Sexual Abuse 1st	130.50 130.65	. all all	8 8 8 8
Agg. Sexual Abuse ²	130.70	all	B
Kidnapping 2nd ²	135.20	00	B
Kidnapping 1st, Attempted	135.25	all	8
Burglary 2nd2	140.25	all	č
Burglary 1st ²	140.30	all	B
Arson 2nd ²	150.15	all	B
Arson 1st, Attempted	150.20	all	B B C B B C B D C B C
Robbery 2nd ²	160.10	all	C
Robbery 1st ²	160.15	all	В
Crim. Poss. Weapon 3rd ²	265.02	04,05	a
Crim. Poss. Weapon 2nd2	265.03	00	Ç
Crim. Poss. Weapon 1st2	265.04	a]]	В
Crim. Use Firearm 2nd 2	265.08	all .	Č
Crim. Use Firearm 1st ²	265.09	all	В
Crim. Sale Firearm 1st	265.12	00	D

The following crimes are not designated as "violent felony offenses" but are included as such for statistical purposes:

Murder 2nd	125.25	all	A-1
Murder 1st, Including Attempted	125.27	all	A-1
Kidnapping 1st	135.25	all	A-1
Arson 1st	150.20	all	A-1
Crim. Poss Weapon 3rd ³	265.02	00.01.02.03	D

¹ Penal Law of the State of New York, Section 70.02.

 $^{^2\}mbox{An}$ "attempt" to commit this crime is also classified as a "violent felony offense"; an attempt is one felony class lower than the actual commission of the crime.

³These offenses are counted as "violent felony offenses" only in the data provided by the NYS Department of Correctional Services.

APPENDIX F

NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

Board of Prisoners. The Board of Prisoners' account is the only source of local assistance funding administered by DOCS. Funds paid out of the account cover four areas:

- o <u>Coram Nobis</u>: Inmates may have to be returned to their county of <u>commitment</u> for court proceedings. When they are, they are housed in the county jail. Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing these inmates.
- o <u>Felons</u>: Persons convicted of "D" and "E" felonies who receive a maximum sentence of less than one year cannot be incarcerated in State facilities. Instead, these sentences are served in county jails. This program reimburses the county at the rate of \$20 per diem.
- o <u>Parole Violators</u>: Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing parole violators until they can be transferred into the State system.
- o State Readies: These are convicted felons for whom the committing county has notified the Department that they are awaiting transfer into the State system. Beginning on 7/1/85, counties were reimbursed \$20 per diem toward the cost of housing those who have been state ready for five days. After the inmate has been state ready five days, reimbursement is retroactive to the first day. Conversely, if an individual is state ready for only one to four days, there is no reimbursement.

Community Contract Housing. The Department of Correctional Services had in the past, and will again this year, be allowed to enter into agreements to place low-risk inmates, within a year of parole eligibility, into community contract facilities. These 24-hour a day facilities offer drug rehabilitation programs to those inmates who, it is believed, have more of a drug problem than a criminal history. Innovative project components include the use of naltrexone on a pilot basis, intensive vocational rehabilitation services, and on-site supervision by parole officers.

Under the community contract program, the Division of Substance Abuse Services identifies which community beds can be used and arrives at a contract with the local facilities. The program operated with approximately 300 contract beds in 1983 and again in 1984, but was not continued in the 1985-86 fiscal plan. A total of 300 slots for DOCS inmates is proposed for FY 1986-87, at a per inmate per annum cost of \$11,000, versus the \$26,000 a year cost of incarceration in correctional facilities. Given the actual cost of incarceration within the DOCS system, the choice is to imprison one inmate or to treat three in the community. Funding of the program could result in a net savings to taxpayers of \$7 million annually, according to memoranda in support of the legislation.

<u>Prison Transfer to State Facilities</u>. The State reimburses counties for their costs incurred in transporting state-ready inmates to State correctional facilities.

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD

Crime Victims Compensation Awards. The Crime Victims Compensation Program provides financial assistance to innocent victims of violent crimes. Claims may be filed by the victim of a crime who has incurred personal injuries or by a surviving spouse, parent, child or other dependent if the victim died as a direct result of the crime. Payments are made for unreimbursed medical and rehabilitative expenses as well as loss of earnings or support. Funeral benefits may be paid to any person who has paid the burial expenses. The program is also permitted to reimburse claimants for transportation costs incurred for necessary court appearances in connection with the prosecution of a crime and for loss or damage to essential personal property. Victims 60 years or older and disabled victims need not be injured to qualify for these last two benefits. Beginning with Federal Fiscal Year 10/1/85 - 9/30/86 the State will receive federal compensation funds on a 35% reimbursement rate, and these are included in the FY 1985-86 appropriation.

<u>Victim and Witness Assistance Program</u>. In FY 1981-82, the Crime Victims Board initiated the Victim and Witness Assistance Program with \$1.5 million in funding for 22 programs. The number of funded programs has since doubled. Each of the local programs which receives funding provides services in one of five general areas for victims and witnesses:

- o victims of domestic violence,
- o elderly crime victims,
- o victims of sexual assaults,
- o all types of victims, and
- o court related services.

These programs provide a comprehensive array of assistance services to address the economic, fiscal, psychological and criminal justice consequences of victimization. Services include: information, referral to other agencies, supportive counseling, psychological counseling, group counseling, application assistance, court notification, court accompanied impact statements, hotline, lock installation, home visits, escort service, and transportation.

The agency has expanded existing programs and initiated new programs with federal funds from the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) of 1984.

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

Asset/Forfeiture Legislation. The passage of Article 13-A (CPLR) provided prosecutors in New York State with a new tool to combat crime. This statute allows for the seizure of the proceeds and instrumentalities of crime, thereby reducing the profitability of, and incentive for, illegal activity.

Pursuant to Chapter 669 of the Laws of 1984, \$1 million in State funds were made available to counties participating in the Target Crime Initiative Program (see description below) and the New York City Special Narcotics Prosecutor for the purpose of establishing forfeiture units in local prosecutors' offices. Funds were not appropriated in FY 86-87 for the forfeiture effort because the value of assets seized could be utilized to support the initiative.

Crime Prevention and Protection. This program provides funds for special contractual services, for expenses of programs to prevent crime and protect senior citizens. These appropriations commenced with one award in 1977 and increased to 90 awards in 1985. There was no appropriation for FY 1982-83. Although initially placed in the state purposes portion of the budget, this appropriation now appears in local assistance.

The responsibility for implementation rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services, and is administered by the Office of Crime Prevention of the Bureau for Municipal Police.

Emergency Felony Case Processing Program. A rapidly increasing court backlog Ted to the passage of the Emergency Felony Case Processing Program (Chapters 496 and 497 of the Laws of 1971). When first enacted, 15 additional court parts were established with State support to expedite the processing of the backlogged felony cases. As a result of court reorganization and consolidation efforts, the historically designated parts have been integrated into the total court system. The N.Y.C. Legal Aid Society and Department of Probation also participate in the program by providing defense services and completing pre-sentence investigations. The State shares with the City of New York the incremental expense of maintaining an enhanced level of criminal court parts, with State aid totaling up to 58% of the cost. Payment of aid is contingent on a matching appropriation from the City of New York for the remaining 42% of expenses.

Indigent Parolee Program. The State provides aid to counties for the legal representation of indigent parolees by private, appointed attorneys and public defense programs, pursuant to Section 250(i) of the Executive Law and Article 18-B of the County Law. The program has existed since 1973 and helps meet the needs of indigent parole violators for competent legal help. By encouraging defense attorneys to provide this assistance quickly and often, this State aid effort also contributes to a more efficient parole revocation process, the elimination of delays and a reduction in unnecessary incarceration of alleged violators.

Legal services for individuals involved in parole revocations are provided according to a plan that is executed by either the county executive or the board of supervisors of each county or by the governing city in which a county is wholly contained. The plan describes whether the legal assistance purchased will involve the services of a public defender, a private legal aid bureau or society, or an administrator of a bar association appointed-counsel plan. The Division of Criminal Justice Services is responsible for the administration of these funds through a system of reimbursement to the counties.

Justice Assistance Act - Federal Funds. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the Justice Assistance Act. The Justice Assistance Act's block grant program will award New York State \$3,396,000 to support programs in any of 18 federally designated program areas. The Governor's Office, in consultation with members of the legislature, has directed that these funds will support programs in the following areas, subject to necessary federal approvals:

- o Prosecution of White Collar and Organized Crime
- o Violent Predator
- o Pre-Trial Services
- o Enhancement of Child Abuse Prosecution
- o Information Systems
- o Narcotics Enforcement
- o Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program

Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention - Federal Funds. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the federal Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act. These funds are utilized by New York State to assist units of local government and State agencies in improving the operations of the juvenile justice system, in efforts to control crime, and to assure the quality of justice in the State. The funds primarily provide seed money to support changes and improvements in the juvenile justice system. Funds are used to support programs in six areas:

- o Delinquency Prevention/Diversion
- o Services for Detained and Incarcerated Youth
- o Dispositional Alternatives
- o Court Processing
- o Monitoring Compliance
- o Systems Planning and Interagency Coordination

Major Offense Police Program (MOPP). This program provides State funds to nine of the largest police agencies in the State to target violent offenders, career criminals, and narcotics traffickers. The cities of New York, Rochester, Syracuse, and Nassau and Suffolk counties have identified career criminals who

receive special case processing by the Detective Bureau when arrested for felony offenses. The City of Buffalo established separate task forces dealing with robbery, burglary, and sex crimes to identify and apprehend career offenders. Rockland and Orange counties created county-wide task forces comprised of local police officers and county district attorney investigators to target major narcotics traffickers. In Westchester, Nassau, and Suffolk counties M.O.P.P. funds supplement existing narcotics investigations through the addition of personnel and "buy-money". In 1984, M.O.P.P. funds supported 199 sworn police personnel, one assistant district attorney, and nine support staff who conducted intensive investigations against "career criminals" who were violent or repeat felons. M.O.P.P. personnel in the nine localities handled a total of 9,852 cases against the most serious offenders in 1984, up 12% from the 1983 M.O.P.P. total of 8,820 cases.

Mobile Radio District Program. This program seeks to standardize law enforcement radio configurations and enhance communication hardware in municipal law enforcement agencies. Effective April 1, 1983 the Mobile Radio District program was re-enacted by the legislature. The legislature did not appropriate any monies for this program in 1982.

The program that has been in effect for the past thirteen years was a combination of federal and locally funded projects. Since 1982 the program has been State funded.

The responsibility for implementation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services and is administered by the Bureau for Municipal Police, Mobile Radio District Section.

Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act¹. Established in the Laws of 1983, Chapter 55, by Governor Cuomo and the Legislature, the Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act made funds available to local not-for-profit organizations (excluding municipalities, except for auxiliary police programs). The funds are awarded on a competitive basis for organizations to operate crime prevention programs.

The responsibility for the implementation of this program rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. It is administered by the Office of Crime Prevention of the Bureau for Municipal Police.

N.Y.S. Defenders' Association. The State has funded the N.Y.S. Defenders' Association since 1981 in order to provide broad-based defender support services on a statewide level. Over the past four years, the Association has provided legal research and consultation on over 4,000 requests by public defense attorneys. Such assistance encompasses legal memoranda, analyses of briefs, consultation, videotaped court simulations, workshops and training seminars.

¹The funds supporting NPCPA are in the state purposes portion of the annual budget, but the statute creating this program indicates that the awards are to be made to community-based organizations.

The Association is involved in <u>amicus curiae</u> work. It provides a referral service to help defenders obtain expert witnesses, investigators and attorneys with particular expertise, and it collects and disseminates briefs and other material to provide defense attorneys with legal research capabilities. It also provides technical assistance to both State and local governments, provides evaluative seminars and engages in research and data retrieval covering various aspects of the criminal justice system.

Prisoners' Legal Services. Prisoners' Legal Services of New York (PLS) provides legal assistance to inmates of New York's 38 State correctional facilities who have no other legal representation, are financially unable to retain counsel and cannot obtain legal assistance from any other legal services organization. PLS began in 1976 with federal grant funds, and since 1978 has been supported by the State.

With a central office in New York City, Prisoners' Legal Services maintains six field offices, located in Albany, Buffalo, Ithaca, Plattsburgh, Poughkeepsie and New York. The types of cases handled by Prisoners' Legal Services are grouped into three general categories: 1) post-conviction matters, such as sentence computation and determination of parole eligibility; 2) institutional problems, such as disciplinary procedures and living conditions; and 3) a wide range of civil and domestic law problems, such as matrimonial actions and custody proceedings. These legal services have succeeded in providing for reasonable and satisfactory resolution of inmate problems and grievances. As a result of this kind of assistance to indigent inmates, Prisoners' Legal Services also serves the State's court system, to the extent that it screens complaints from its inmate clients and diverts from the courts potential litigation which is deemed to be without merit.

School Security Officer Program. This program extends an existing ongoing effort in New York City junior and senior high school buildings to include elementary school buildings in Community School District 20 in Brooklyn. The \$415,000 will provide salaries and support for such elementary school security officers.

Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program. Effective April 1, 1984 a bill became law which amended the Executive Law in relation to providing for State reimbursement to municipalities, public authorities and public benefit corporations for expenses incurred in the purchase of soft body ballistic armor vests for police officers. The Soft Body Armor Vest Reimbursement Program was initiated in the Department of Labor in 1981.

Section 837-d of the Executive Law effectively repealed Article 17-A of the Labor Law and empowers the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services to certify vouchers for the State Comptroller for reimbursement payments.

The responsibility for implementation and regulation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Due to the specific law enforcement nature of the project, the practical operational functioning of the program was assigned in April of 1984, to the Bureau of Municipal Police, Police Training and Administrative Services Section.

No information relative to expenditures is available prior to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' involvement in the project.

Special Narcotics Court Program. The Special Narcotics Court Program (SNP) was initiated by Chapter 462 of the Laws of 1971 as a legislative response to the need for an efficient, specialized and coordinated narcotics prosecution effort in the City of New York.

Pursuant to this legislation, a Special Narcotics Prosecutor was appointed by the five District Attorneys in New York City. He is centrally located, with offices in lower Manhattan, and is responsible for the citywide prosecution of narcotics cases, as well as convening a special citywide Grand Jury.

In addition to providing for the office of the Special Prosecutor, the legislation provides for the creation of twelve new criminal court parts, primarily concerned with the handling of narcotics cases. Extensive reorganization of the court system by the Office of Court Administration has resulted in the integration of the twelve narcotics parts with other criminal parts in the New York City Supreme Court.

The State continues to fund up to 61 percent of the costs of the office of the Special Narcotics Prosecutor and maintaining an enhanced level of criminal court parts, as well as the supportive services rendered by the City Departments of Probation and Corrections and the Legal Aid Society. The City contributes the remaining 39 percent.

Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program. Governor Cuomo and the Legislature established the Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program (S.W.E.E.P.) in September 1984 in response to a growing backlog of outstanding criminal warrants. S.W.E.E.P. provided \$2.5 million in State funds to assist 21 law enforcement agencies in apprehending their most serious felony warrant suspects. The goal of the program is to provide funding assistance for additional enforcement personnel for short term, intensive warrant enforcement in the localities with the most severe felony warrant backlogs. These personnel are assigned on a temporary basis to enhance existing warrant enforcement efforts. A total of 5,947 warrants were cleared under S.W.E.E.P. including 1,818 violent felony and 2,590 felony warrants.

Target Crime Initiative Program. The Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI) was created April 1, 1983 as the result of a recommendation by the Governor to the Legislature. Three prosecution programs, the State Felony Program, Major

Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program, were merged into one comprehensive anti-crime package to eliminate overlapping and duplicated program services. The primary goal of TCI is to combat violent felony crime and target on the swift adjudication of habitual and violent offenders. Specific program objectives include reducing the caseloads of TCI attorneys, increasing the number of indictments against repeat offenders, eliminating plea bargaining except under extraordinary circumstances, increasing the rate and level of convictions, and increasing the number and length of prison sentences for TCI defendants. The number of counties participating in TCI has been expanded from 18 to 27, and now includes those counties reporting 97% of the violent felonies in the State.

Transit Crime Interdiction Program. In April 1985, the Transit Crime Interdiction Program was established. This program supports a Decoy Unit of 24 officers and 3 supervisors. Members of the Decoy Unit analyze crime patterns on the subway system and then pose as persons likely to be victimized in order to catch offenders in the act of committing serious crimes. Through the end of October 1985, the Decoy Unit made a total of 460 felony arrests of which 443 were made on the subway, 2 on buses, and 15 off the transit system. Of the 405 adult offenders arrested, 292 had prior arrest records, including 224 which had prior felony arrests.

Transit Strike Force. In response to growing public outcry over subway crime, Governor Cuomo and the State Legislature provided 3.5 million dollars for the establishment of the Transit Police Strike Force on April 1, 1983. The Strike Force generates a target list of violent or repeat offenders operating on the subway system. The crimes of homicide, kidnapping, forcible rape/sodomy, and robberies are targeted along with suspects who have been arrested for five or more larcenies from the person such as pickpocketing or jostling. These targets receive special handling when arrested to ensure their removal from the subway system. During 1984, the Strike Force arrested 1,866 persons as target offenders or for committing target crimes. Of these, 908 were augmented by the Strike Force's Major Case or Robbery Squads resulting in 907 felony arraignments. An additional 41 non-target cases were augmented, bringing the total number of offenders augmented to 949. The Apprehension Unit of the Strike Force targets pickpockets, jostlers, and bagopeners and arrested 416 persons for such offenses in 1984.

Vera Institute. DCJS will administer a grant to the Vera Institute to conduct a two-year evaluation of the Community Patrol Office (CPO) program.

The CPO program is an innovative effort to foster improved police-community relations and enhance the ability of the police to address crime and order maintenance problems in target areas. The program is staffed by 250 volunteer police officers and sergeants, who are assigned to designated beats consisting of approximately twenty square blocks.

Vera Institute staff served as consultants to the New York City Police Department during the planning of the CPO concept, and closely monitored pilot testing of the project. Fifty beats will be randomly selected for an evaluation sample, and a variety of techniques will be employed in the comprehensive analysis covering every aspect of the program.

DIVISION OF PAROLE

Parole Resource Center. The Parole Resource Center (PRC) program provides the Division of Parole with the capability to contract with community-based service providers for housing and programming of selected individuals released to community supervision. This structured environment has provided the Board of Parole with an alternative to continued incarceration of individuals in need of supportive services in the community. The PRC provides a reasonable level of assurance that individuals in need of a structured setting upon release are provided with an opportunity to participate in community-based programs which will lead to their long-term success in life styles.

Parole Transitional Facilities. The Parole Transitional Facility Program (PTF) functions in much the same manner as does the Parole Resource Center (PRC). The basic difference is in the point of entry into the Program. The PTF provides the Parole Board with an alternative option for dealing with rule violators whose reimprisonment would serve little or no purpose. The use of a crisis intervention treatment approach provides short-term services in a semi-structured residential setting in the community rather than in the detached surroundings of a correctional facility.

Employment Services Project. Employment is a key element in the successful adjustment to community life upon release from a correctional facility. Funding has been provided to enhance the Division's efforts in employment training and placement services. Contracting with the Vera Institute of Justice for services under its Vocational Development Program, the Division of Parole can provide developmental employment services and increase its private sector job placement services. In addition, Vera will assist the Division of Parole in the training of its employment services staff to maximize the employability and placement capability and in long range strategic planning to improve employment services performance.

STOP Program - Drug Residence Project. The Selective Treatment Options for Parolees (STOP) Project is a cooperative effort involving State criminal justice and human services agencies to establish community-based residential treatment programs for parolees in need of chemical dependency services. The STOP Project facilities, which will be established through contracts with community-based service providers through the Division of Substance Abuse Services, will function in much the same manner as Parole Resource Centers except that the programs will be targeted for individuals with drug abuse histories.

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES

County Planning for Alternatives to Incarceration (Classification Alternatives). Pursuant to Chapters 907 and 908, Laws of 1984, \$3,050,000 was appropriated in FY 1985-86 and made available to all counties and the City of New York for the establishment or expansion of alternatives to incarceration programs. To be eligible to receive state aid, and to be able to utilize a new classification system in the local jail, each county was required to establish an alternatives to incarceration advisory board and to undertake a planning process designed to identify the types of alternatives programming needed in the county. To date, 44 counties and the City of New York have submitted alternatives to incarceration service plans and are receiving funds that support approximately 70 new or expanded programs. Based upon contractual objectives, it is estimated that more than 3,000 offenders will be diverted from jail sentences at the post-conviction stage, while another several thousand will be released while awaiting disposition through new or enhanced pre-trial release efforts.

Prior to the creation of the Division in 1985, this program was administered by the Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Demonstration Projects. Demonstration projects generally fall into one of three program models: community service sentencing projects; individualized sentencing plan programs; and offender rehabilitation programs. In FY 1985-86, there were 28 such projects. During the current fiscal year, these 100% State funded programs are expected to provide services to more than 10,000 clients, approximately one-half of whom will be offenders whose case outcomes are directly impacted by program intervention.

Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program. The Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program (ISP/ASP) is intended to provide a viable dispositional option to the courts. A cooperative effort between State and local jurisdictions, ISP/ASP provides fiscal resources to support a program model which emphasizes strict supervision and complete accountability to sentencing courts.

The program focuses on two discrete groups from among the much larger, generally successful probation population: felony offenders sentenced to probation only because ISP/ASP supervision is available; and felony and misdemeanant probationers statistically least likely to successfully complete their sentences.

During FY 1985-86, a new aspect of this overall program has been added called the Conditional Order of Probation Experiment (COPE). This new effort provides for a limited demonstration period in which the suitability of an offender for a probation sentence may be determined.

PINS Adjustment Services Act. Chapter 813 of the Laws of 1985 is an important new program intended to decrease the inappropriate utilization of the Family Court process and the number of out-of-home placements in matters involving persons alleged to be in need of supervision (PINS). It is the purpose of this

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES (Continued)

law to implement assessment, planning, and service delivery strategies Statewide that can support successful diversion of PINS from the family court process. The Act establishes a four year period, beginning January of 1987 and ending on December 31, 1990, during which localities may voluntarily implement the provisions of the Act. The Act encourages localities to set and accomplish long-term goals in planning for the delivery of a comprehensive array of services to meet the needs of these youths and their families. To assist localities in coordinating and strengthening services for this population, the law provides short-term enriched incentive funding during the four year voluntary implementation period.

The 1986-87 initial appropriation of \$1 million is targeted for a start-up date of January 1, 1987.

Regular State Aid. Section 246 of the New York State Executive Law provides for financial assistance from the State to localities for probation operations "....for control and rehabilitation of offenders." In order to receive these funds to develop and improve services, local probation departments must operate according to approved programs within the Division's Rules and Regulations. Current reimbursement is set at 46.5 percent of approved expenditures for maintaining and improving probation services.

During FY 1982-83 a special Warrant Enforcement Unit was funded in New York City under this program.

DIVISION FOR YOUTH

Community Based Organization. The Community Based Organization (CBO) Urban Home Initiative is a 100 percent State funded initiative which provides residential services for up to one year for youth ages 16-21. There are currently two line item appropriations which support two programs in New York City (one for older homeless youth and one for Juvenile Offenders on parole); and one program in the City of Buffalo which services young mothers and their children.

Community Care Program. In response to concern over the increasing costs of residential care, recidivism and the importance of the post-release period to a successful return to community life, the Division funds a new direction in, and emphasis on, aftercare services. The initiative, which was designed to establish, for the first time, joint State/local cooperation in planning and implementing post-institutional follow-up services, will facilitate the successful transition of youth released from DFY residential placement to their communities.

Secure and Non-Secure Detention Services. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to localities operating secure and/or non-secure detention programs. These funds provide the Division with the leverage to assure compliance with rules and regulations governing detention facilities. The non-secure aspect of this program has been steadily expanding to accommodate the more difficult youth in the least restrictive environment.

Job Development. The New York State Division for Youth contracts with community based organizations to provide employment/training transitional services to Division youngsters recently released or about to be returned from residential care. The Division funds programs which manifest an ability to build on the vocational foundations established during the youngster's facility placement.

Runaway and Homeless Youth Services (RHYA)/Transitional Independent Living Support. Gives the Division the authority and financial capacity to support and encourage the continued establishment of local programs to serve runaway and homeless youth. This request reflects the programmatic agenda and fiscal requirements necessary to maintain and expand the success of this program under statutory provisions which were modified during 1985 to permit expansion into the area of providing services to the homeless and transitional youth up to age 21 and beyond the crisis services by which we were formerly limited.

Special Delinquency Prevention Program. Provides 100 percent funding for community-based youth services targeted at youth at risk of unnecessary or further involvement with the justice system. Allocations are based on a combination of youth population and/or needs assessment data.

<u>Special Legislative Contracts</u>. A legislative initiative providing funds for new or expanded youth centers, career counseling, and recreation programs.

DIVISION FOR YOUTH (Continued)

Voluntary Agency Care. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to local Departments of Social Services who are responsible for payment for care of PINS and JD youth involuntary child care agencies. This request allows the Division to provide support and technical assistance to local departments and voluntary agencies to improve services to troubled youth.

Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention. Provides local community psycho-social and recreational programs for youth through county and municipal youth bureaus. Implementation is aided through programmatic support from Division field staff and financial assistance provided by the state aid formula of Article 19A of the Executive Law. This budget request focuses on both the programmatic and fiscal aspects of YD/DP and Youth Initiatives in creating more effective local youth services that address the pressing needs of youth.

OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

Salaries of District Attorneys. Pursuant to County Law §700, Chapter 986, Laws of 1984, \$10,000 per year is apportioned to all qualified upstate counties and to New York City for each of the counties therein for the salaries of district attorneys elected, re-elected, or appointed after April 1, 1970. Beginning in September 1985 and each year thereafter, an eligible county shall receive the difference between the base salary of the county district attorney on January 1 of the current year and December 31 of the prior year.

APPENDIX G
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 1

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

-	Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	4,167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	57.6%	.0	.0
Crime Victims Board	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	8.3%	6,979.8	6,093.3
Division of Criminal Justice Services	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,579.4	41.9%	38,304.8	39,893.8
Division of Parole	398.0	548.5	649.9	63.3%	825.9	2,375.9
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ²	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	19.7%	15,476.5	18,638.4
Division for Youth ³	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	-12.7%	58,311.8	26,669.6
TOTAL	75,358.9	78,474.2	87,395.9	16.0%	119,898.9	93,671.0

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

²On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Board of Prisoners ²		•				
Coram Nobis	.0	.0	14.8	NA	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Felons	4,167.3	5,196.1	5,835.2	40.0%		
Parole Violators	.0	.0	284.9	NA		
Community Contract Housing ³		215.1	434.2	NA :		
TOTAL	4,167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	57.6%	.0	.0

This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

²The New York City Department of Corrections (NYCDOC) operates a citywide jail system for the five boroughs of New York City. Therefore, local assistance funds from the Board of Prisoners' account are disbursed to NYCDOC rather than the individual facilities within each of the boroughs. NYCDOC is reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

³This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ²	5,647.2	7,719.3	5,960.5	5.5%	6,290.0	5,206.0	
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	458.4	352.0	580.8	26.7%	498.6	700.3	
Elderly	110.8	109.5	191.2	72.5%	191.2	143.6	
Sexual Assault	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	43.3	
TOTAL	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	8.3%	6,979.8	6,093.3	

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{This}$ table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

²FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 include expenditures in Nassau and Suffolk Counties.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriation:		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84		FY 86-7	
Asset/Forfeiture Initiative ²		NO 63	55.6	NA		e de la companya de l	
Crime Prevention and Protection ³		175.0	.0	NA	110.0	.0	
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	1,760.3	1,893.0	2,024.5	15.0%	2,090.9	2,133.0	
Indigent Parolee Prog.	722.1	834.8	956.0	32.4%	956.0	1,028.0	
Justice Assistance Act4	***	40 43		NA	1,013.1	1,013.6	
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	983.7	978.0	1,016.6	3.3%	473.8	975.2	
Major Offense Police Program	5,615.6	5,952.6	6,369.2	13.4%	6,624.0	6,756.5	
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program ⁵	** ***	* * *.	.4	NA		*** **	

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

 $^{^2\}mathrm{This}$ program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1984-85 funds were awarded to the Special Narcotics Prosecutor. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

³No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

 $^{^{4}}$ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

⁵In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

Table 4 (continued)

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	Ex	penditures		Appropriations
Program	FY 82-3 FY 8	3-4. <u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6 FY 86-7
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3 3,589	.5 4,589.8	35.5%	4,739.0 4,818.1
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ⁶	.·· · -	- 1,395.0	NA	1,400.0 1,440.0
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁷	12,607.7 13,13	3.0 15,427.3	22.4%	16,153.0 16,789.5
Transit Crime Interdiction Program ⁸		-	NA	1,340.6 1,486.0
Transit Crime Strike Force ⁹	3,500	0.0 3,745.0	NA	3,404.4 3,353.9
Vera Institute ¹⁰			NA	100.0
TOTAL	25,075.6 30,05	5.9 35,579.4	41.9%	38,304.8 39,893.8

⁶This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

⁷This program was implemented in FY 1983-84; program funds are designated for all program components in the County except the District Attorney. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

⁸This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

⁹This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

¹⁰This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE
CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriation		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 856	FY 86-7	
Parole Resource Centers	398.0	511.4	477.0	19.8%	516.8	654.8	
Parole Transitional Facilities ²		37.1	172.9	NA.	309.1	496.1	
Employment Services Project3				NA		700.0	
STOP Program ³				NA	40 m	525.0	
TOTAL	398.0	548.5	649.9	63.3%	825.9	2,375.9	

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{This}$ table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

²This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

³This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES
CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures			Appropr	Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Classification Alternatives ²		***		NA	444.9	.0
Demonstration Projects	559.4	740.8	632.6	13.1%	1,684.6	1,664.3
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	961.3	1,066.5	1,158.5	20.5%	1,652.5	3,399.3
Regular State Aid	8,926.1	10,086.4	10,717.4	20.1%	11,694.5	13,574.8
TOTAL	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	19.7%	15,476.5	18,638.4

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

²This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 7

Division for youth
CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			The second second second				
		Expen	ditures		Appropriation		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Detention							
Secure	7,569.4	5,196.0	9,641.7	27.4%	15,526.6	9,723.5	
Non-Secure	946.0	1,343.0	835.2	-11.7%	2,152.6	2,213.0	
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	405.8	568.2	522.9	28.9%	479.2	691.4	
Special Delinquency Prevention Program ²	4,630.0	.0	.0	-100.0%	.0	.0	
Voluntary Agency Care ³	4,518.6	4,387.9	3,728.1	-17.5%	12,508.6	.0	
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	10,984.9	10,889.1	10,628.5	-3.2%	27,644.9	14,041.7	
TOTAL	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	-12.7%	58,311.8	26,669.6	

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

²Borough FY 1982-83 expenditures are not available; these expenditures are reported as part of the FY 1982-83 expenditures for New York City overall.

 $^{^3}$ The absence of a FY 1986-87 appropriation reflects the determination that the State Department of Social Services will temporarily assume responsibility for payment of New York City claims pending technical system changes to the Welfare Management System.

APPENDIX H
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 1
STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	ditures		Appropriations
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6 FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	.0	.0	.0	NA	23,827.8 32,737.0
Crime Victims Board	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	31.2%	2,210.0 3,702.5
Division of Criminal Justice Services	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	5.1%	9,140.5 10,394.4
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ²	.0	.0	.0	NA	962.5 1,100.0
Division for Youth ³	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	2.4%	12,329.2 10,178.9
TOTAL	13,638.2	14,978.0	14,344.0	5.2%	48,470.0 58,112.7

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

²On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Board of Prisoners ²							
Coram Nobis	.0	.0	.0	NA	42.0	51.5	
Felons	.0	.0	.0	NA	18,559.6	22,770.1	
Parole Violators	.0	.0	.0	NA	2,393.4	2,936.4	
State Readies ³	**			NA	2,520.0	3,528.0	
Community Contract Housing ⁴	100 pps .	.0	.0	NA	-	400.0	
Prisoner Transfer ²	.0	.0	.0	NA	312.8	3,051.0	
TOTAL	.0	.0	.0	NΑ	23,827.8	32,737.0	

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

²Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

³This program was implemented in FY 1985-86. Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the lump-sum 'Statewide' appropriation for this program.

⁴This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

. Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD

STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ²	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	31.2%	2,210.0	2,803.0	
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive ³	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	899.5	
TOTAL	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	31.2%	2,210.0	3,702.5	

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

²FY 1992-83 through FY 1984-85 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs and Nassau and Suffolk Counties. FY 1985-86 and FY 1986-87 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs only.

 $^{^3}$ At the time of publication not all FY 1986-87 contracts had been awarded.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

The state of the s		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Indigent Parolee Prog. ²	***	***		NA	638.0	597.8	
Justice Assistance Act ³	No. 440	~		NA	1,705.0	1,611.6	
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	1,309.1	1,057.0	975.4	-25.5%	956.4	1,088.9	
Major Offense Police Program	500.0	530.0	567.1	13.4%	. O	.0	
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act ⁴		.0	.0	NA	.0	2,500.0	
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	22.1%	572.0	630.0	
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	62.1%	2,545.0	2,679.3	

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

²Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

³FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

 $^{^4}$ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

Table 4 (continued)

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program ⁵	635.5	535.5	.0	-100.0%	500.0	500.0	
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ⁶		-	.0	NA	.0	20.0	
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁷	1,012.9	1,182.0	1,240.1	22.4%	2,224.2	766.8	
TOTAL	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	5.1%	9,140.5	10,394.4	

⁵In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

⁶This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

⁷This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services Statewide.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Classification Alternatives ²	<u></u>		w ==	NA	248.0	.0	
Demonstration Projects	.0	.0	.0	. NA	455.0	100.0	
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	.0	.0	.0	NA	259.4	.0	
PINS Program ³	***	~		NA	***	1,000.0	
TOTAL	.0	.0	.0	NA	962.5	1,100.0	

This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

²This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

³This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH
STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Community Care Initiative ²			gai esi	NA	- - 100 AM	219.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	37.3	168.3	35.0	-6.2%	35.0	131.9
Special Legislative Contracts ³	.0	.0	.0	NA	202.0	274.5
Voluntary Agency Care ⁴	7,517.6	8,613.0	7,701.3	2.4%	12,092.2	9,553.5
TOTAL	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	2.4%	12,329.2	10,178.9

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

²This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

³Programs for which addresses are unavailable.

 $^{^4}$ Payment to State Department of Social Services for State share of JD/PINS who are ADC/FC eligible.

REFERENCES

REFERENCES

- Nelson A. Rockefeller Institute of Government. 1983-84 New York State Statistical Yearbook. Albany, N.Y.: State University of New York at Albany, 1984.
- New York Commission of Correction. Office of Program and Policy Analysis.
- New York State Crime Victims Board. Administrative Services.
- New York State Department of Commerce. New York State Data Center.
- New York State Department of Correctional Services. Office of Program Planning, Research and Evaluation and the Public Information Office.
- New York State Department of Labor. Resident Employment Status and the Civilian Labor Force: January 1984 October 1986.
- New York State Department of Motor Vehicles. Research and Development.
- New York State Department of Social Services. Public Information Office.
- New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. Office of Policy Analysis, Research and Statistical Services and the Office of Administrative Services.
 - . New York State Uniform Crime Reporting Handbook (1977).
- New York State Division of Parole. Evaluation and Planning and the Office of Administrative Services.
- New York State Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. Research and Evaluation and the Finance Office.
- New York State Division for Youth. Administration and Financial Management.
- New York State Education Department. Information Center on Education.
- New York State Legislature. <u>Penal Law</u>. Flushing, N.Y.: Looseleaf Law Publications, Inc., 1984.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. <u>United States Census of Population: 1980</u>. Vol.1, <u>Characteristics of the Population</u>, PC '80-1-B34.

ORDER FORM

Other volumes of the Criminal Just	
	ing regional volumes please refer to the
New York State Regional Map on the back	
regions and the counties located within	each of the regions. Place a check mark
next to the volume(s) you would like.	

	Volume	I	New York State	
	Volume	II	Binghamton Region	
	Volume	III	Buffalo Region	
	Volume	IV	Capital Region	
	Volume		Elmira Region	
	Volume	۷I	Lower Hudson Region and Long Island Region	
	Volume		Mid-Hudson Region	
	Volume		Mohawk Valley Region	
	Volume	IX	New York City Region	
	Volume		Nothern Region	
	Volume		Rochester Region	
	Volume		Syracuse Region	
	Volume		Major Metropolitan Areas (Erie, Monroe, Nassau	t.
	• o , ame	V T T Y	Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester Counties)	• 7

Please fill in your name and address below and mail this form to:

Bureau of Statistical Services NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services Executive Park Tower, 8th Floor Stuyvesant Plaza Albany, New York 12203

NAME			
TITLE			
AGENCY			
ADDRESS			
CITY	STATE	ZIP	

