
If you have issues viewing or accessing this file contact us at NCJRS.gov.



COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILES



LAWRENCE T. KURLANDER DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND

COMMISSIONER OF THE DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES



NEW YORK STATE MARIO M. CUOMO, GOVERNOR

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND COMMISSIONER

COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILES: 1982-1985

Volume VII

MID-HUDSON REGION

NCJRS

105447

COLUMBIA COUNTY DUTCHESS COUNTY GREENE COUNTY ORANGE COUNTY SULLIVAN COUNTY ULSTER COUNTY

JUN 3 1987

ACQUISITIONS

OFFICE OF POLICY ANALYSIS, RESEARCH AND STATISTICAL SERVICES BARRY SAMPLE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

BUREAU OF STATISTICAL SERVICES RICHARD ROSEN, CHIEF

PREPARED BY:

SHARON LANSING MALCOLM WAGNER CINDY STOVER PATRICK LERNER CAROL RUSSO U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice 105447

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material in microfiche only has been granted by New York State Division of

Criminal Justice Services

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS)

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

JANUARY, 1987

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express our appreciation to the many individuals in both New York State and local government agencies whose cooperation and support made this publication possible. A special thank you is extended to the secretarial staff in the Office of Policy Analysis, Research and Statistical Services, in particular to Joan Burgess and Donna Connelley, for their assistance in the preparation of this publication.

CONTENTS

		Pag	ge(s)
INTRODUCTION			1
ORGANIZATION OF EACH PROFILE			7
Section I Criminal Justice Agencies Section II Demographic & Socioeconomic Characteristic Section III Crime & Criminal Justice Activity Section IV Local Assistance Funds Section V Correctional Facilities	:S		9 9 10 11
NEW YORK STATE PROFILE			13
COUNTY PROFILES FOR THE MID-HUDSON REGION			
Columbia County Dutchess County Greene County Orange County Sullivan County Ulster County			
ILLUSTRATION			
NEW YORK STATE REGIONAL MAP			5

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A EXPLANATORY NOTES

Ĩ

Î

Î.

Î

A-1

Analyses		A-1
Section I	Criminal Justice Agencies	A-1
Section II	Demographic & Socioeconomic Characteristics	A-1
Section III	Crime & Criminal Justice Activity	A-2
Section IV	Local Assistance Funds	A-2
Section V	Correctional Facilities	A-2

R-1

q

APPENDIX B	CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY STATE SUMMARY BY COUNTY FOR 1985	B-1
	Selected statistics (rates and rate ranks or percent distributions) from tables in Section III are presented for all 62 counties. Table numbers in this appendix are comparabl to those in which the data were presented in Section III.	e
APPENDIX C	PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY FOR 1982 - 1984	C-1
APPENDIX D	NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS	D-1
	Department of Correctional Services Division for Youth	D-1 D-2
APPENDIX E	VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES	E-1
APPENDIX F	NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS	F-1
	Department of Correctional Services Crime Victims Board Division of Criminal Justice Services Division of Parole Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives Division for Youth Other Criminal Justice Agencies	F-1 F-2 F-3 F-10 F-11 F-13 F-15
APPENDIX G	CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	G-1
APPENDIX H	STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	H-1

.

REFERENCES

* Supplementary information for Section IV: Local Assistance Funds of the New York State profile.

INTRODUCTION

F

Ĩ

Î

Î

INTRODUCTION

This is the third annual <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> report published by the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. Each of the past publications, which reported only one year of data, was presented in a single volume. Because this current publication presents trend data for 1982 through 1985 and contains many new items of information, it was necessary to expand this publication to 13 volumes to accommodate the significantly larger amount of data presented.


Originally conceived as an aid for criminal justice practitioners in policy formulation, this publication brings together data from a variety of sources to provide a comprehensive picture of criminal justice activities within New York State, New York City, and each of the counties in the State. These activities span the systems major processing points beginning with the number of index crimes known to the police and ending with the number of offenders under parole The 1985 data are highlighted using population based rates and supervision. county rankings based on these rates for index crimes known to the police, arrests, probation, county jail and penitentiary, state prison, and parole activity. The rates provide a standardized measure that permits a county by county comparison of criminal justice activity by controlling for population size. Appendix B presents a State summary by county of the population rates and rate ranks along with a summary of the percent distribution for indictment, disposition, conviction, and sentencing activities. The 1985 data are also compared to the 1982 data.

In addition to providing statistics for all facets of the criminal justice process, each of the criminal justice profiles also presents relevant demographic and socioeconomic data, along with criminal justice local assistance funding and State correction and youth facilities data. The funding information presented for criminal justice local assistance programs and the budget, capacity, and staffing information presented for State correction and youth facilities provide a measure of the economic impact each have on the counties in which they are operated.

Appendix A contains notes on the analyses utilized, sources of data, units of count, offender types, and offense categories. As mentioned earlier, Appendix B presents a State summary by county of selected statistics from the "crime and criminal justice activity" tables in Section III. Appendix C provides a State summary by county of the percent of dispositions reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Maps showing the location of Department of Correctional Services facilities and Division for Youth facilities are presented in Appendix D. Appendix E contains a list of offenses counted as violent felonies. Descriptions of the local assistance programs are contained in Appendix F. Both Appendix G and Appendix H provide supplementary fiscal information for criminal justice local assistance funding in the State profile. To facilitate the publication's use as a statistical reference for criminal justice activity within a county or region, the data have been organized by county rather than activity. Each profile uses a standardized table format for the presentation of data. As mentioned previously, the <u>Criminal Justice</u> Profiles publication is comprised of 13 volumes:

- o Volume I contains only the New York State profile.
- Volume II through Volume XII are regional volumes and contain the New York State profile and the profiles of counties located within the region. The New York State regional map presented on the opposite page identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions.
- Volume XIII contains the New York State profile and the profiles of the six most populous non-New York City counties (Erie, Monroe, Nassau, Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester).

An order form for additional volumes of this publication can be found on the last page of this volume.



ORGANIZATION OF EACH PROFILE

elitikati.

ORGANIZATION OF EACH PROFILE

The profile presented for New York State and for each county in this region is organized into five sections. The contents of each is described below.

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

State/Regional Offices County Agencies Municipal Agencies

SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Population Race, Ethnicity, and Age Education Economy

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

Table 1 Index Crimes Known to the Police

- Table 2AFelony and Misdemeanor ArrestsTable 2BFelony and Misdemeanor Arrests: January thruJune 1986Table 2CArrests for Selected Offenses
- Table 2D Arrests for Possession and Sale of Drugs
- Table 2E Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests for DWI Offenses
- Table 3A Felony Indictments
- Table 3B Felony Indictments: January thru June 1986
- Table 4ADispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor
ArrestsTable 4BDispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests
- Table 5AFelony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions
Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor ArrestsTable 5BFelony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions
Resulting from Felony Arrests

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued

Table 6A Table 6B	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions Sentences as a Percent of Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor and Lesser Offense Convictions
Table 7A-1	Probation Department Intake, Investigation, and
Table 7A-2 Table 7B	Pre-Disposition Supervision Cases Probation Supervision Cases
Table 7C	Probation Revocations Clients Served by Correctional Alternative Programs
Table 8A	County Jail and Penitentiary Admissions
Table 88	County Jail and Penitentiary Capacity and Population
Table 9A	New Court Commitments to State Prison (New York City and County Profiles)
Table 9A-1	Admissions to State Prison
Table 9A-2	(New York State Profile) New Court Commitments to State Prison
Table SA-2	(New York State Profile)
Table 9B	Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on
Table 9B-1	December 31 The Percent of Inmates Under Custody in
	State Prison on December 31 from the County/NYC
	(New York City and County Profiles)
Table 9C	Parole Detainees in State Prison on December 31 (New York State Profile)
Table 10A	Parole Supervision Cases
Table 10B	Revoked and Returned Parolees

SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table	1	Agency Funding Summary
Table	2	Department of Correctional Services
Table	3	Crime Victims Board
Table	4	Division of Criminal Justice Services
Table	5	Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives
Table	6	Division of Parole
Table	7	Division for Youth

SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES *

Î

Ĵ

1

8

Table 1A	Facilities Capacity and Staff Summary
Table 1B	Facilities Operating Budget Summary
Table 1C	Facilities Capital Improvement Budget Summary
Table 2A	DOCS Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff
Table 2B	DOCS Facilities Operating Budget
Table 2C	DOCS Facilities Capital Improvement Budget
Table 3A	DFY Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff
Table 3B	DFY Facilities Operating Budget
Table 3C	DFY Facilities Capital Improvement Budget

Q

^{*} Tables 1A through 1C are presented only when both DOCS and DFY facilities are located in a county. Similarly, DOCS Tables 2A through 2C and DFY Tables 3A through 3C are presented only when agency facilities are located in the county.

NEW YORK STATE PROFILE

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

NEW YORK STATE

STATE AGENCIES

Office of the Director of Criminal Justice Room 245, Executive Chamber The State Capitol Albany, New York 12224

Division of Criminal Justice Services Executive Park Tower Stuyvesant Plaza Albany, New York 12203

> New York State Police Building 22 State Office Building Campus Albany, New York 12226

Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207

Commission of Correction 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207

Crime Victims Board 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007 New York State Division of Parole 97 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

Office of the Attorney General Department of Law The State Capitol Albany, New York 12224

> Division for Youth 84 Holland Avenue Albany, New York 12208

Department of Correctional Services Building 2 State Office Building Campus Albany, New York 12226

Office of Court Administration Agency Building 4 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223

State Commission of Investigation 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007

-15-

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

NEW YORK STATE

New York State covers an area of 47,377 square miles.

<u>Population</u>

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the State's 1980 resident population of 17,558,072 persons has changed -3.7 percent since 1970. The State's 1980 population density was 370.6 persons per square mile. The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the State indicates that it had a resident population of 17,782,752 persons.

Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 16.5 percent of the State's population was non-white. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 9.5 percent of the population. Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 30.3 percent of the State's population.

Education

Among New York State's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 18.3 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade, and 18.0 percent had four or more years of college. In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 5.8 percent of the State's public high school population dropped out of school.

Economy

Personal per capita income in New York State was \$14,341 in 1984.* In 1985, a monthly average of 6.5 percent (about 544,000 persons) of the State's civilian labor force was unemployed. The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 1,395,344, or 7.9 percent of the State's population.*

* Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

.

\$

NEW YORK STATE

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

Number of Crimes					1985 Crimes	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
						-
INDEX CRIME	1,129,324	1,029,391	985,908	989,405	5,566.7	-12.4
VIOLENT	174,138	161,216	162,000	165,043	928.6	-5.2
MURDER	2,011	1,951	1,777	1,688	9.5	-16.2
FORCIBLE RAPE	5,132	5,280	5,571	5,674	31.9	10.6
ROBBERY	107,514	94,733	89,917	89,603	504.1	-16.7
AGGRAVATE ASSAULT	D 59,481	59,252	64,735	68,078	383.0	14.5
PROPERTY	955,186	868,175	823,908	824,362	4,638.1	-13.7
BURGLARY	291,747	247,927	222,644	218,844	1,231.3	-25.0
LARCENY	526,480	500,513	485,881	499,237	2,808.9	-5.2
MV THEFT	136,959	119,735	115,383	106,281	598.0	-22.4

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	1982	Number 1983	<u>of Arrest</u> _ <u>1984</u>	<u>s</u> 1985	1985 Arrests Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ARRESTS	374,302	383,081	403,745	421,468	2,370.1	12.6
FELONY	141,679	141,302	149,329	151,227	850.4	6.7
VIOLENT	58,916	58,202	61,131	60,120	338.1	2.0
OTHER	82,763	83,100	88,198	91,107	512.3	10.1
MISDEMEANOR	232,623	241,779	254,416	270,241	1,519.7	16.2

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

		_Number	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>	
AR	RESTS	219,367	4.5	
	FELONY	83,708	11.8	
	VIOLENT	32,892	12.4	
	OTHER	50,816	11.5	
1	ISDEMEANOR	135,659	0.4	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

-20-

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹

	1982	Number (1983	of Arrests 	1985	1985 Arrests Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
MURDER	1,608	1,560	1,367	1,331	7.5	-17.2
FORCIBLE RAPE	1,957	1,977	2,116	2,153	12.1	10.0
ROBBERY	20,046	19,597	20,228	19,712	110.8	-1.7
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	19,555	19,803	22,237	22,935	129.0	17.3
BURGLARY	24,476	22,418	20,684	19,464	109.5	-20.5
LARCENY ²	67,506	66,893	65,809	68,200	383.5	1.0

¹NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

²Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 2D

ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF $DRUGS^1$

	Number of Arrests			1985 Arrests Per 100,000	% Change	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	41,393	49,698	62,125	69,612	391.5	68.2
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	27,442	34,314	43,200	48,024	270.1	75.0
POSSESSION	18,009	23,769	30,515	34,336	193.1	90.7
SALE	9,433	10,545	12,685	13,688	77.0	45.1
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	13,951	15,384	18,925	21,588	121.4	54.7
POSSESSION	7,151	7,309	8,921	10,799	60.7	51.0
SALE	6,800	8,075	10,004	10,789	60.7	58.7

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹

		Number o	<u>f Arrests</u>		1985 Arrests Per 1,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Licensed Drivers	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	55,566	60,886	64,249	62,814	6.4	13.0
FELONY	3,184	3,747	3,031	3,054	0.3	-4.1
MISDEMEANOR	52,382	57,139	61,218	59,760	6.1	14.1

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrests and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS¹

	Nur	ents	% Change		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	46,665 100.0%	46,130 100.0%	47,871 100.0%	49,511 100.0%	6.1
VIOLENT	24,531 52.6%	22,703 49.2%	22,801 47.6%	21,794 44.0%	-11.2
OTHER	22,134 47.4%	23,427 50.8%	25,070 52.4%	27,717 56.0%	25.2

¹Felony indictments reported for New York State include those prosecuted by the district attorney in each of the State's 62 counties, the New York City Special Prosecutor, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, the Nursing Homes Special Prosecutor, and the Organized Crime Task Force Special Prosecutor.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS¹: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	24,787	1.4	
VIOLENT	10,403	0.3	
OTHER	14,384	2.3	

¹See footnote in Table 3A above.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

-24-

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

	Numbo				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	303,132 100.0%	328,254 100.0%	331,324 100.0%	352,442 100.0%	16.3
CONVICTIONS	206,135 68.0%	229,096 69.8%	230,169 69.5%	240,395 68.2%	16.6
ACQUITTALS	1,805 0.6%	2,060 0.6%	2,116 0.6%	2,217 0.6%	22.8
DISMISSALS	86,580 28.6%	88,695 27.0%	91,170 27.5%	100,904 28.6%	16.5
OTHER	8,612 2.8%	8,403 2.6%	7,869 2.4%	8,926 2.5%	3.6

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

	Numbe				
	1982	_1983_	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	118,189 100.0%	125,801 100.0%	125,716 100.0%	133,012 100.0%	12.5
CONVICTIONS	77,019 65.2%	84,870 67.5%	83,183 66.2%	85,623 64.4%	11.2
ACQUITTALS	1,294 1.1%	1,442 1.1%	1, 492 1,2%	1,523 1.1%	17.7
DISMISSALS	35,379 29.9%	3 4,773 27.6%	36,099 28.7%	40,918 30.8%	15.7
OTHER	4,497 3.8%	4,716 3.7%	4,942 3.9%	4,948 3.7%	10.0

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

	Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests						
	1982	<u>_1983_</u>	1984	<u>1985</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
CONVICTIONS	206,135 100.0%	229,096 100.0%	230,169 100.0%	240,395 100.0%	16.6		
FELONY	26,493 12.9%	31,849 13.9%	30,814 13.4%	33,128 13.8%	25.0		
VIOLENT	10,416 5.1%	13,024 5.7%	11,996 5.2%	11,610 4.8%	11.5		
OTHER	16,077 7.8%	18,825 8.2%	18,818 8.2%	21,518 9.0%	33.8		
MISDEMEANOR	102,394 49.7%	110,607 48.3%	111,389 48.4%	116,567 48.5%	13.8		
LESSER OFFENSES	77,248 37.5%	86,640 37.8%	87,966 38.2%	90,700 37.7%	17.4		

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>			
CONVICTIONS	77,019 100.0%	84,870 100.0%	83,183 100.0%	85,623 100.0%	11.2			
FELONY	25,596 33.2%	30,846 36.3%	29,830 35.9%	31,778 37.1%	24.2			
VIOLENT	10,416 13.5%	13,024 15.3%	11,996 14.4%	11,610 13.6%	11.5			
OTHER	15,180 19.7%	17,822 21.0%	17,834 21.4%	20,168 23.6%	32.9			
MISDEMEANOR	37,679 48.9%	39,076 46.0%	37,744 45.4%	38,553 45.0%	2.3			
LESSER OFFENSES	13,744 17.8%	14,948 17.6%	15,609 18.8%	15,292 17.9%	11.3			

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions							
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2				
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
STATE PRISON	5.5	6.1	5.8	5.7				
LOCAL JAIL	26.7	26.9	29.2	31.2				
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.5	2.9	2.9	3.2				
PROBATION	10.7	10.2	10.0	10.0				
FINES	32.3	32.7	33.0	31.5				
OTHER/UNKNOWN	22.3	21.2	19.0	18.3				

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions								
		82 Misd & Lesser	19. <u>Felony</u>	Misd &		84 Misd & Lesser	19 <u>Felony</u>	85 Misd & Lesser	
CONVICTIONS ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
STATE PRISON	43.1	NA	44.2	NA	43.6	NA	41.6	NA	
LOCAL JAIL	16.5	28.2	14.3	29.0	13.5	31.7	13.8	34.0	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	10.6	1.3	13.0	1.2	14.2	1.2	15.8	1.2	
PROBATION	27.8	8.2	26.2 .	7.6	26.5	7.4	26.8	7.3	
FINES	0.8	36.9	1.2	37.8	1.1	37.9	0.9	36.4	
OTHER/UNKNOWN	1.2	25.4	1.1	24.4	1.1	21.8	1.1	21.0	

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

²Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number o	f Cases		1985 Cases	
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INTAKE ¹	151,698	142,401	150,221	149,574	841.1	-1.4
INVESTIGATIONS	133,437	145,536	147,948	153,536	863.4	15.1
FAMILY COURT	25,266	25,516	25,297	27,818	156.4	10.1
CRIMINAL COURT	108,171	120,020	122,651	125,718	707.0	16.2
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	15,340	15,155	15,154	16,883	94.9	10.1
FAMILY COURT	9,237	9,372	8,272	8,513	47.9	-7.8
CRIMINAL COURT	6,103	5,783	6,882	8,370	47.1	37.1

¹Intake occurs only in family court.

1012 Januar

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

-31-

NEW YORK STATE

Table 7A-2

PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

	Number of Cases				1985 Cases		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	40,293	45,020	44,479	46,572	261.9	15.6	
FAMILY COURT	6,830	6,608	6,219	6,630	37.3	-2.9	
CRIMINAL COURT	33,463	38,412	38,260	39,942	224.6	19.4	
UNDER PROBATION ¹ SUPERVISION	112,858	124,048	133,821	143,609	807.6	27.2	
FAMILY COURT	13,601	13,584	13,313	13,351	75.1	-1.8	
CRIMINAL COURT	99,257	110,464	120,508	130,258	732.5	31.2	
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	79,101	89,390	97,441	108,116	608.0	36.7	
FAMILY COURT	7,049	7,142	7,125	7,198	40.5	2.1	
CRIMINAL COURT	72,052	82,248	90,316	100,918	567.5	40.1	

¹The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 7B

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		lumber of	<u>Revocatio</u>	1985 Revocations		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOCATIONS	5,009	5,409	6,266	6,826	47.5	36.3
FAMILY COURT	610	657	661	754	56.5	23.6
CRIMINAL COURT	4,399	4,752	5,605	6,072	46.6	38.1
TECHNICAL	2,053	2,153	2,740	3,166	24.3	54.3
NEW CONV.	2,346	2,599	2,865	2,906	22.3	23.9

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS¹

	<u>FY 1983-84</u>	<u>FY 1984-85</u>	<u>FY 1985-86</u>
CLIENTS SERVED	2,541	3,110	16,227

¹This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classifica-tion/alternative" bill.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 8A

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 Adm. Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82_vs_85</u>
ADMISSIONS	187,597	190,105	196,347	210,186	1182.0	12.0
UNSENTENCED	*	141,573	147,974	159,474	896.8	NA
SENTENCED	*	48,532	48,373	50,712	285.2	NA

* New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION

					1985 Inmates	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	17,260	*	19,011	19,646	110.5	13.8
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	15,995	16,789	17,532	18,732	105.3	17.1
DECEMBER 31 +OPULATION	16,430	15,545	17,008	18,722	105.3	14.0
UNSENTENCED	11,311	10,049	11,661	12,956	72.9	14.5
SENTENCED	5,119	5,496	5,347	5,766	32.4	12.6

* New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

1

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 9A-1

ADMISSIONS TO STATE PRISON

	1982	<u>Number of</u> 1983	Admission 1984	<u>1985</u> 1	1985 Adm. Rate Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ADMISSIONS	12,727	14,867	14,848	<u>15,570</u>	87.6	22.3
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	69.8	19.4
TRANSFERS FROM OUTSIDE DEPT.	437	493	549	749	4.2	71.4
AFFIRMATIONS OF SENTENCE	62	52	48	39	.2	-37.1
PAROLE VIOLATORS	1,229	1,223	1,497	1,907	10.7	55.2
CONDITIONAL RELEASE VIOLATOR:	5 445	430	356	244	1.4	-45.2
RETURNS FROM ESCAPE/ABSCOND	140	128	145	173	1.0	23.6
OTHER ADMISSIONS	8	4	5	38	.2	375.0

¹Preliminary data.

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 9A-2

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	<u>N</u>	Numbo ew Court (1983	er of <u>Commitmen</u> <u>1984</u>	ts1	1985 Commit. Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	69.8	19.4
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	6,622	7,933	7,334	6,994	39.3	5.6
HOMICIDE	899	871	908	874	4.9	-2.8
SEX OFFENSE	372	414	469	520	2.9	39.8
ROBBERY	3,378	4,055	3,459	3,063	17.2	-9.3
BURGLARY	951	1,416	1,341	1,286	7.2	35.2
WEAPONS	587	718	633	677	3.8	15.3
OTHER	435	459	524	574	3.2	32.0
DRUG FELONIES ³	1,235	1,623	1,877	2,218	12.5	79.6
POSSESSION	317	357	476	600	3.4	89.3
SALE	918	1,266	1,401	1,618	9.1	76.3
OTHER FELONIES	2,284	2,701	2,797	2,932	16.5	28.4
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 265	280	240	276	1.6	4.2

¹Preliminary data.

²New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY¹ IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

		of Inmat te Prison 1983			Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/85 Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	28,203	30,543	33,314	34,737	195.3	23.2
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	19,894	22,100	23,730	24,329	136.8	22.3
HOMICIDE	4,817	5,231	5,634	5,933	33.4	23.2
SEX OFFENSE	1,640	1,733	1,896	2,036	11.4	24.1
ROBBERY	9,307	10,060	10,444	10,170	57.2	9.3
BURGLARY	1,674	2,462	2,961	3,188	17.9	90.4
WEAPONS	1,224	1,357	1,434	1,513	8.5	23.6
OTHER	1,232	1,257	1,361	1,489	8.4	20.9
DRUG FELONIES ³	2,647	3,169	3,926	4,643	26.1	75.4
POSSESSION	665	771	968	1,201	6.8	80.6
SALE	1,982	2,398	2,958	3,442	19.4	73.7
OTHER FELONIES	5,191	4,890	5,299	5,408	30.4	4.2
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 471	384	359	357	2.0	-24.2

¹Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

²Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 9C

PAROLE DETAINEES IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31¹

	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>	<u>1984</u>	<u>1985</u>
PAROLE DETAINEES	556	414	673	634

¹Parolees awaiting parole revocation hearings are detained in the State Prison. Parole detainees are not counted as part of the admissions or under custody populations.

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 10A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

			F Parolee:		1985 Parolees Per 100,000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Population	<u>82 vs 85</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	9,283	11,312	10,911	12,068	67.9	30.0
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	25,616	29,223	31,888	34,766	195.5	35.7
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	17,911	20,977	22,698	24,417	137.3	36.3

¹The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	1982	Number of 1983	Parolees	1985	1985 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Parolees</u>	% Change <u>82_vs_85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	2,573	2,603	3,141	4,038	116.1	56.9
RULE VIOLATIONS	865	826	907	1,186	34.1	37.1
NEW ARRESTS	1,261	1,149	1,260	1,435	41.3	13.8
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	447	628	974	1,417	40.8	217.0

¹The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year. SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY1 (Thousands of Dollars)

المستعمل المستعمل المستعمل المستعمل المستعمل والمستعمل والمستعمل المستعمل المستعمل المستعمل المستعمل		Expen	ditures	<u> </u>	Appropriations	
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84		<u>FY 86-7</u>
Department of Correctional Service	s 11,326.8	13,306.7	15,542.6	37.2%	23,307.8	24,866.0
Crime Victims Board	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	21.7%	11,195.1	21,082.1
Division of Criminal Justice Services	60,722.3	72,900.4	88,102.2	45.1%	95,225.1	100,424.6
Division of Parole	529.4	706.0	993.0	87.6%	1,229.2	2,887.1
Division of Probatio & Correctional Alternatives ²	n 32,669.0	36,350.6	41,201.2	26.1%	51,895.6	59,616.1
Division for Youth ³	77,121.9	80,109.9	86,908.0	12.7%	191,194.1	115,221.3
Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries	370.0	397.5	442.5	19.6%	887.5	930.0
TOTAL	·191,127.6	214,652.3	243,399.1	27.3%	374,934.4	325,027.2

¹This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

²On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

-41-

ø

NEW YORK STATE

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen		Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u> <u>FY 86-7</u>
Board of Prisoners	•				
Coram Nobis	4.9	6.6	34.9	618.2%	42.0 100.0
Felons	10,187.9 1	1,956.9	12,709.5	24.8%	18,559.6 19,300.0
Parole Violators	646.4	731.3	1,648.7	155.1%	2,393.4 2,700.0
State Readies					2,000.0 2,000.0
Community Contract Housing ¹		311.8	873.3	NA	400.0
Prisoner Transfer ²	487.7	300.1	275.8	- 43.4%	312.8 366.0
TOTAL	11,326.8 1	3,306.8	15,542.3	37.2%	23,307.8 24,866.0

 1 This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

²This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

SOUMCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

-42-

NEW YORK STATE

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ¹	6,468.8	8,807.5	7,038.5	8.8%	8,009.0	12,509.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program						
Comprehensive	864.5	846.2	1,401.9	62.2%	1,415.2	3,622.1
Court Related	407.8	406.7	719.9	76.5%	705.3	626.1
Domestic Violence	178.7	265.7	325.6	82.2%	360.2	561.7
Elderly	377.1	386.8	476.6	26.4%	458.9	567.2
Sexual Assault	91.2	168.3	247.4	171.2%	246.5	613.0
Unallocated	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	2,583.0
TOTAL	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	21.7%	11,195.1	21,082.1

¹FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 include expenditures in Nassau and Suffolk Counties.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

-43-

٨

NEW YORK STATE

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

· ·		Expen	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Asset/Forfeiture Initiative ¹			1,000.0	NA		
Crime Prevention and Protection ²		1,420.5	1,585.7	NA	2,057.9	2,625.1
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	3,285.2	3,682.3	3,939.1	19.9%	4,068.3	4,150.2
Indigent Parolee Prog.	1,259.5	1,358.9	1,507.0	19.7%	1,594.0	1,625.8
Justice Assistance Act ³				NA	3,396.0	3,379.7
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention ⁴	2,849.4	2,765.1	2,651.8	- 6.9%	1,685.9	2,673.9
Major Offense Police Program	10,057.8	10,661.5	11,407.8	13.4%	11,274.3	11,499.8
Mobile Radio District Program		1,904.3	3,600.6	NA	3,772.3	5,208.3

¹This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

 2 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

³FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for these federally funded programs are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁴Expenditures and estimated appropriations for these federally funded programs are based on the State's fiscal year.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 4 (continued)

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act ⁵		1,000.0	1,900.0	NA	1,900.0	2,500.0
NYS Defenders ¹ Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	22.1%	572.0	630.0
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	62.1%	2,545.0	2,679.3
School Security Officer Program6				ی ۱۹۹۰ میں ۱۹۹۰ میں ۱۹۹۰ میں		415.0
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program ⁷	635.5	535.5	309.8	- 51.3%	500.0	500.0
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	35.5%	4,739.0	4,818.1
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ⁸			2,499.4	NA	2,500.0	2,500.0

⁵This Act was established in FY 1983-84.

6This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

⁷In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services.

8This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 4 (continued)

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁹	37,444.4	40,678.7	46,619.2	24.5%	49,875.4	50,279.5
Transit Crime Interdiction Program10					1,000.0	1,486.0
Transit Crime Strike Force ¹¹		3,500.0	3,745.0	NA	3,745.0	3,353.9
Vera Institute ¹²	÷ -		an 1956			100.0

TOTAL

60,722.3 72,900.4 88,102.2

95,225.1 100,424.5

45.1%

⁹This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

¹⁰This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

11This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

¹²This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

-46-

NEW YORK STATE

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7
Parole Resource Centers ¹	529.4	668.9	706.1	33.4%	798.4	1,035.8
Parole Transitional Facilities ²	. waji witi	37.1	286.9	NA	430.8	626.3
Employment Services Project ³	intege arm	100 - 10 0			1	700.0
STOP Program ³	حو ود ¹			NG 40		525.0
TOTAL	529.4	706.0	993.0	87.6%	1,229.2	2,887.1

 1 This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 2 This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

³This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	ditures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Classification Alternatives ¹			*0 *0		3,050.0	3,050.0	
Demonstration Projects	800.0	1,240.0	2,383.2	197.9%	5,756.0	5,478.5	
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	3,258.1	3,567.4	4,431.1	36.0%	5,848.8	8,087.6	
PINS Program ²	#7 ##	مە بە			••• •••	1,000.0	
Regular State Aid	28,610.9	31,543.2	34,386.9	20.2%	37,240.8	42,000.0	
TOTAL	32,669.0	36,350.6	41,201.2	26.1%	51,895.6	59,616.1	
¹ This program was impl	emented in	FY 1985	-86.		a	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	
² This program was impl	emented in	FY 1986-	-87.				

The first state of the state of

NEW YORK STATE

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7
Community-Based Organizations ¹		a, az	324.8	NA	318.0	466.5
Community Care Initiative ²					a 2	500.0
Detention						
Secure	9,177.4	10,684.7	13,325.6	45.2%	23,900.0	13,600.0
Non-Secure	4,000.0	3,200.0	3,700.0	- 7.5%	10,500.0	6,800.0
Job Development ²		~~	-a -2		न्द्र संव	697.6
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	1,206.3	1,522.0	1,594.3	32.2%	1,885.0	2,409.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	12,380.7	12,359.2	13,458.2	8.7%	13,482.1	13,351.8
Special Legislative Contracts	1,857.5	2,339.0	3,100.1	66.9%	4,509.1	6,496.4

Operations Budget.

²This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 7 (continued)

DIVISION FOR YOUTH LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

•		Expen	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Transitional Independent Living Support ³					2,200.0	2,200.0
Voluntary Agency Care	19,000.0	20,330.0	21,730.0	14.4%	56,000.0 2	8,100.0
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	29,500.0	29,675.0	29,675.0	0.6%	78,400.0 4	0,600.0
TOTAL	77,121.9	80,110.0	86,908.0	12.7%	191,194.1 11	5,221.3

³This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

¢

NEW YORK STATE

Table 1A

FACILITIES CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY

•			
	Capacity	Staff	•
DOCS Facilities	37,907	20,585	
DFY Facilities	1,991	2,637	
TOTAL	39,898	23,222	•

Table 1B

FACILITIES OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Approp	riations		
	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
DOCS Facilities	395,752.7	470,953.6	535,925.3	35.4%	582,831.7	666,604.6
DFY Facilities	58,460.3	64,063.6	71,988.9	23.1%	72,359.8	78,541.4
TOTAL	454,213.0	535,017.2	607,914.2	33.8%	655,191.5	745,146.0

Table 1C

FACILITIES CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

	water from the state of the state	Expenditures	Appropr	iations	
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	Total	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
DOCS Facilities	103,820.0	174,376.6	278,196.6	252,643.1	68,662.0
DFY Facilities	5,089.5	3,441.1	8,530.6	3,793.5	4,503.5
TOTAL	108,909.5	177,817.7	286,727.2	256,436.6	73,165.5

COUNTY PROFILES FOR THE MID-HUDSON REGION

Columbia County Dutchess County Greene County Orange County Sullivan County Ulster County

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

COLUMBIA COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop K Headquarters Box 3000 Poughkeepsie, New York 12603

New York State Division of Parole Suite 309, 80 Washington Street Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office County Court House Hudson, New York 12534

Probation Department Court House Hudson, New York 12534

Public Defender's Office 401 State Street Hudson, New York 12534 Sheriff's Department 70 North Third Street Hudson, New York 12534

Youth Bureau 401 State Street Hudson, New York 12534

County Court County Court House Hudson, New York 12534

Family Court Court House Hudson, New York 12534 II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

COLUMBIA COUNTY

Columbia County is located in the Mid-Hudson region and Hudson is its county seat. In area the County covers 638 square miles.

<u>Population</u>

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 59,487 persons (ranked 39) has changed 15.5 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 93.2 persons per square mile (ranked 39). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 60,602 persons (ranked 39).

Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 3.8 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 24), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 0.9 percent of the County's population (ranked 31). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 30.1 percent of the County's population (ranked 51).

Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 19.5 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 10), and 13.8 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 29). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 4.4 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 20), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

Economy

Personal per capita income in the County was \$10,829 in 1984 (ranked 35).* In 1985, a monthly average of 4.5 percent (about 1,300 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 54). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 2,175, or 3.6 percent of the population (ranked 34 among the 57 non-New York City counties).*

* Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

.

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number o	f_Crimes	and a sub-	1985 Cr Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	. ::
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	1,833	1,651	1,609	1,677	2,732.9	36	-8.5
VIOLENT	199	168	203	202	329.2	17	1.5
MURDER	1	1	1	2	3.3	19	100.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	4	4	6	5	8.1	45	25.0
ROBBERY	19	12	7	14	22.8	24	-26.3
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	175	151	189	181	295.0	10	3.4
PROPERTY	1,634	1,483	1,406	1,475	2,403.7	41	-9.7
BURGLARY	590	545	401	464	756.1	33	-21.4
LARCENY	981	887	970	967	1,575.8	45	-1.4
MV THEFT	63	51	35	44	71.7	47	-30.2

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

.

TABLE 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	1982	<u>Number o</u> <u>1983</u>	<u>f Arrests</u> <u>1984</u>	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ARRESTS	1,292	1,386	1,360	1,354	2,234.2	13	4.8
FELONY	346	344	310	317	523.1	12	-8.4
VIOLENT	100	91	90	80	132.0	19	-20.0
OTHER	246	253	220	237	391.1	9	-3.7
MISDEMEANOR	946	1,042	1,050	1,037	1,711.2	12	9.6

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	<u>_Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	626	-11.0
FELONY	154	-9.4
VIOLENT	45	2.3
OTHER	109	-13.5
MISDEMEANOR	472	-11.4

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹

	1982	Number o 1983	<u>f Arrests</u> _ <u>1984</u> _	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
MURDER	0	1	1	4	6.6	8	NA
FORCIBLE RAPE	5	5	7	1	1.7	52	-80.0
ROBBERY	9	7	5	6	9.9	30	-33.3
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	41	35	43	38	62.7	17	-7.3
BURGLARY	92	93	71	82	135.3	8	-10.9
LARCENY ²	188	208	207	211	348.2	27	12.2

¹NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

²Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

TABLE 2D

ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS¹

		Number of	Arrests		1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	
	1982	1983	_1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	66	68	81	53	87.5	25	-19.7
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	38	44	46	30	49.5	26	-21.1
POSSESSION	31	23	28	21	34.7	26	-32.3
SALE	7	21	18	9	14.9	21	28.6
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	28	24	35	23	38.0	14	-17.9
POSSESSION	20	10	20	13	21.5	18	-35.0
SALE	8	14	15	10	16.5	10	25.0

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

TABLE 2E

1985 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed <u>Drivers</u> Number of Arrests % Change 1982 1983 1985 <u>Rate</u> <u>82 vs 85</u> 1984 Rank DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192) 316 414 366 319 7.9 0.9 36 FELONY 14 21 32 16 0.4 41 14.3 **MISDEMEANOR** 302 393 334 303 7.5 35 0.3

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrests and Processing Trends File.

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹

COLUMBIA COUNTY

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	% Change			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	106 100.0%	93 100.0%	106 100.0%	91 100.0%	-14.2
VIOLENT	31 29.2%	17 18.3%	23 21.7%	31 34.1%	0.0
OTHER	75 70.8%	76 81.7%	83 78.3%	60 65.9%	-20.0

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	26	-35.0	
VIOLENT	3	-75.0	
OTHER	23	-17.9	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

•	Numbe Fel				
	1982	1983	emeanor Arre	_ <u>1985</u> _2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	839 100.0%	963 100.0%	969 100.0%	1,074 100.0%	28.0
CONVICTIONS	555 66.2%	649 67.4%	662 68.3%	658 61.3%	18.6
ACQUITTALS	2 0.2%	10 1.0%	3 0.3%	2 0.2%	0.0
DISMISSALS	274 32.7%	293 30.4%	300 31.0%	410 38.2%	49.6
OTHER	8 1.0%	11 1.1%	4 0.4%	4 0.4%	-50.0

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

.

TABLE 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS $^{ m 1}$

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>			
DISPOSITIONS	232 100.0%	258 100.0%	204 100.0%	257 100.0%	10.8			
CONVICTIONS	152 65.5%	181 70.2%	152 74.5%	177 68.9%	16.4			
ACQUITTALS	2 0.9%	4 1.6%	2 1.0%	1 0.4%	-50.0			
DISMISSALS	72 31.0%	66 25.6%	48 23.5%	75 29.2%	4.2			
OTHER	6 2.6%	7 2.7%	2 1.0%	4 1.6%	-33.3			

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

COLUMBIA COUNTY

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

	1982	ony and Misde 1983		<u>1985</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	555 100.0%	649 100.0%	662 100.0%	658 100.0%	18.6
FELONY	39 7.0%	47 7.2%	37 5.6%	62 9.4%	59.0
VIOLENT	10 1.8%	10 1.5%	4 0.6%	6 0.9%	-40.0
OTHER	29 5.2%	37 5.7%	33 5.0%	56 8.5%	93.1
MISDEMEANOR	263 47.4%	335 51.6%	327 49.4%	320 48.6%	21.7
LESSER OFFENSES	253 45.6%	267 41.1%	298 45.0%	276 41.9%	9.1

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

	Numbe Res		•		
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	152 100.0%	181 100.0%	152 100.0%	177 100.0%	16.4
FELONY	36 23.7%	41 22.7%	31 20.4%	55 31.1%	52.8
VIOLENT	10 6.6%	10 5.5%	4 2.6%	6 3.4%	-40.0
OTHER	26 17.1%	31 17.1%	27 17.8%	49 27.7%	88.5
MISDEMEANOR	92 60.5%	1 25 69.1%	106 69.7%	104 58.8%	13.0
LESSER OFFENSES	24 15.8%	15 8.3%	15 9.9%	18 10.2%	-25.0

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

TABLE 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹

		Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions								
982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> ²							
0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%							
2.2	2.9	2.9	5.5							
2.2	24.2	20.8	19.3							
3.8	4.3	2.7	4.7							
7.6	9.6	7.7	7.4							
3.8	49.9	55.3	54.4							
5.5	9.1	10.6	8.7							
	982 0.0% 2.2 2.2 3.8 7.6 3.8 5.5	0.0% 100.0% 2.2 2.9 2.2 24.2 3.8 4.3 7.6 9.6 3.8 49.9	D.0% 100.0% 100.0% 2.2 2.9 2.9 2.2 24.2 20.8 3.8 4.3 2.7 7.6 9.6 7.7 3.8 49.9 55.3							

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS $^{\rm 1}$ AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS $^{\rm 1}$

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions								
		82 Misd & Lesser		<u>1983</u> Misd & Felony Lesser		1984 Misd & Felony Lesser		<u>1985</u> Misd & Felony Lesser	
CONVICTIONS ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
STATE PRISON	30.8	NA	40.4	NA	51.4	NA	58.1	NA	
LOCAL JAIL	33.3	21.3	19.1	24.6	13.5	21.3	14,5	19.8	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	15.4	2.9	12.8	3.7	18.9	1.8	17.7	3.4	
PROBATION	20.5	6.6	21.3	8.6	13.5	7.4	8.1	7.4	
FINES	0.0	52.5	6.4	53.3	2.7	58.4	1.6	59.9	
OTHER/UNKNOWN	0.0	16.7	0.0	9.8	0.0	11.2	0.0	9.6	

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

²Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of	Cases		1985 Cases Per 100,000 Population		% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INTAKE ¹	429	396	254	215	354.8	56	-49.9
INVESTIGATIONS	277	348	302	334	551.1	47	20.6
FAMILY COURT	60	64	67	49	80.9	53	-18.3
CRIMINAL COURT	217	284	235	285	470.3	40	31.3
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION							
CASES RECEIVED	2	1	2	0	0.0	53	-100.0
FAMILY COURT	2	1	2	0	0.0	44	-100.0
CRIMINAL COURT	0	0	0	0	0.0	42	NA

¹Intake occurs only in family court.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

Table 7A-2

PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of	² Cases		1985 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	% Change	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	131	165	132	158	260.7	30	20.6
FAMILY COURT	34	34	27	33	54.5	21	-2.9
CRIMINAL COURT	97	131	105	125	206.3	31	28.9
UNDER PROBATION ¹ SUPERVISION	345	403	410	427	704.6	35	23.8
FAMILY COURT	58	65	54	66	108.9	19	13.8
CRIMINAL COURT	287	338	356	361	595.7	39	25.8
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	231	285	269	314	518.1	32	35.9
FAMILY COURT	24	34	33	39	64.4	12	62.5
CRIMINAL COURT	207	251	236	275	453.8	35	32.9

¹The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

TABLE 7B

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

• ·	N	lumber of	<u>Revocatio</u>	1985 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>			
	1982	_1983_	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOCATIONS	15	20	19	21	49.2	24	40.0
FAMILY COURT	0	3	,3	5	75.8	25	NA
CRIMINAL COURT	15	17	16	16	44.3	25	6.7
TECHNICAL	8	10	15	12	33.2	17	50.0
NEW CONV.	7	7	1	4	11.1	43	-42.9

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS¹

	<u>FY 1983-84</u>	<u>FY 1984-85</u>	<u>FY 1985-86</u>	
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0	

¹This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classifica-tion/alternative" bill.

III CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY COLUMBIA COUNTY

.

TABLE 8A

COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

	1982	1983	_1984	_1985_	1985 A Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ADMISSIONS	959	994	878	857	1,414.1	18	-10.6
UNSENTENCED	703	663	654	604	996.7	20	-14.1
SENTENCED	256	331	224	253	417.5	14	-1.2

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

••

TABLE 8B

COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	_1983_	_1984_	_1985_	1985 In Per 100 <u>Populat</u> Rate	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	60	50	60	58	95.7	18	-3.3
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	36	47	58	54	89.1	10	50.0
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	36	35	68	46	75.9	16	27.8
UNSENTENCED	18	• 18	44	26	42.9	19	44.4
SENTENCED	18	17	24	20	33.0	14	11.1

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	<u>New</u>	Number Court Co 1983	r of ommitments _1984_	<u>s</u> 1	1985 Co Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	15	21	19	36	59.4	7	140.0
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	5	8	5	11	18.2	19	120.0
HOMICIDE	1	0	0	1	1.7	28	0.0
SEX OFFENSE	1	5	3	3	5.0	8	200.0
ROBBERY	3	2	0	0	0.0	40	0.0
BURGLARY	0	0	0	5	8.3	12	NA
WEAPONS	0	1	0	0	0.0	23	NA
OTHER	0	0	2	2	3.3	12	NA
DRUG FELONIES ³	1	7	7	14	23.1	3	1,300.0
POSSESSION	1	3	1	3	5.0	7	200.0
SALE	0	4	6	11	18.2	2	NA
OTHER FELONIES	9	6	7	10	16.5	18	11.1
YOUTHFUL OFFENDER	S O	0	0	1	1.7	17	NA

¹Preliminary data.

²New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER $\mbox{custody}^1$ in state prison on december 31

•	in Stat	of Inmates e Prison (on Decemb	Under C on 12/3 Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/85 Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	<u>82 vs 85</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	25	30	36	59	97.4	16	136.0
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	10	13	16	25	41.3	28	150.0
HOMICIDE	4	4	3	4	6.6	42	0.0
SEX OFFENSE	2	6	9	10	16.5	6	400.0
ROBBERY	3	2	1	2	3.3	40	-33.3
BURGLARY	0	0	0	6	9.9	29	NA
WEAPONS	0	1	1.	0	0.0	32	NA
OTHER	1	0	2	3	5.0	29	200.0
DRUG FELONIES ³	2	7	11	20	33.0	.6	900.0
POSSESSION	1	3	3	4	6.6	9	300.0
SALE	1	4	8	16	26.4	5	1,500.0
OTHER FELONIES	12	10	9	14	23.1	26	16.7
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 1	0	0	0	0.0	42	0.0

 $^{1}\,\rm Inmates$ under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

²Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	0.1%	0.2%

¹Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

TABLE 10A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	<u>Number of</u> _1983_	Parolees _1984_	1985	1985 Par Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	9	11	10	14	23.1	33	55.6
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	26	25	31	37	61.1	31	42.3
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	14	21	23	21	34.7	38	50.0

¹The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	1985 Revocations						
	1982	Number of 1983	<u>Parolees</u> _ <u>1984</u>	1985	Per 1, <u>Parole</u> <u>Rate</u>		% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	5	1	1	2	54.1	37	-60.0
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	1	0	0	0.0	32	NA
NEW ARRESTS	4	0	1	2	54.1	16	-50.0
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	1	0	0	0	0.0	35	-100.0

 1 The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

Table 1

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	53.9	30.6	37.6	-30.3%	.0	.0
Crime Victims Board	.0	.0	.0	NA	υ.	90.1
Division of Criminal Justice Services	.0	.0	7.0	NA	.0	200.0
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NĄ	.0	.0
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ¹	69.3	76.7	76.8	10.8%	112.2	114.1
Division for Youth ²	133.4	162.5	205.6	54.1%	485.4	307.0
TOTAL	256.6	269.8	327.0	27.4%	597.6	711.2

¹On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

²The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Board of Prisoners ¹						
Felons	42.4	28.6	27,6	-35.0%	873. org	-
Parole Violators	2.3	1.5	9.3	306.6%		
Prisoner Transfer ¹	9.2	.5	.8	-91.6%		
TOTAL	53.9	30.6	37.6	- 30.3%	"O	.0

¹Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

Y

.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82_vs_84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7
Victim and Witness Assistance Program1						
Comprehensive	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	90.1

¹The one agency in this county that receives funding, provides services to crime victims/witnesses in both Columbia and Greene Counties.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

\$

COLUMBIA COUNTY

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Indigent Parolee Prog. ¹	.0	.0	4.2	NA		
Mobile Radio District Program ²		۰۵	.0	NA	.0	200.0
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program ³	.		2.8	NA		
TOTAL	.0	.0	7.0	NA	.0	200.0

¹Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

 2 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

³In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropriations	
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Classification Alternatives ¹		*** ***		NA	25.0	26.0	
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	3.8	
Regular State Aid	69.3	76.7	76.8	10.8%	87.2	84.4	
TOTAL	69.3	76.7	76.8	10.8%	112.2	114.1	

 1 This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

COLUMBIA COUNTY

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropr	iations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	<u>82 vs 84</u>	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Detention						
Non-Secure	2.7	3.7	5.6	102.8%	7.5	5.4
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	25.9	29.2	29.2	12.8%	29.2	29.2
Special Legislative Contracts	.0	.0	10.0	NA	.0	.0
Voluntary Agency Care	17.6	34.1	54.0	206.8%	142.4	112.7
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	87.1	95.4	106.8	22.6%	306.2	159.6
TOTAL.	133.4	162.5	205.6	54.1%	485.4	307.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

đ

ļ

COLUMBIA COUNTY

Tab	le 1A		
CAPACITY .AND	STAFF SUMMARY		
	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
DOCS Facilities	479	300	•
DFY Facilities	50	92	
TOTAL	529	392	

Table 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropriations		
	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>		
DOCS Facilities	7,361.2	8,382.5	8,808.8	19.7%	8,898.2	9,833.1		
DFY Facilities	2,768.1	2,757.1	2,849.9	3.0%	2,704.5	2,878.0		
TOTAL	10,129.3	11,139.6	11,658.7	15.1%	11,602.7	12,711.1		

Table 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

	<u> </u>	xpenditures		Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	Total	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
DOCS Facilities	1,287.2	359.5	1,646.8	832.2	173.0	
DFY Facilities	36.0	8.9	45.0	140.0	43.0	
TOTAL	1,323.3	368.5	1,691.8	972.2	216.0	

COLUMBIA COUNTY

Table 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 11, 1986)

Facility	Security Level	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
Hudson	Medium	479	30.0	

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Table 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriations	
Facility	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Hudson	7,361.2	8,382.5	8,808.8	19.7%	8,898.2	9,833.1

COLUMBIA COUNTY

Table 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

]	Expenditures		Approp	<u>riations</u>
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	_Total	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Hudson	1,287.2	359.5	1,646.8	832.2	173.0

COLUMBIA COUNTY

Table 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1986)

Facility	Security Levell	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
Brookwood Secure Center	I	50	92	

¹ Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-Community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI - Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations		
Facility	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7	
Brookwood Secure Center	2,768.1	2,757.1	2,849.9	3.0%	2,704.5	2,878.0	

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

а. В COLUMBIA COUNTY

•

Table 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures	Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	Total	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7
Brookwood Secure Center	36.0	8.9	45.0	140.0	43.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

DUTCHESS COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop K Headquarters Box 3000 Poughkeepsie, New York 12603 New York State Division of Parole Suite 309, 80 Washington Street Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office 10 Market Street Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

Probation Department Suite 200, Nelson House Annex 28 Market Street Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

Public Defender's Office Neison House Annex 28 Market Street Poughkeepsie, New York 12601 Sheriff's Department 150 North Hamilton Street Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

Youth Bureau County Office Building 22 Market Street Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

County Court Court House Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

Family Court Nelson House Annex 28 Market Street Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

City of Poughkeepsie Police Department Municipal Building, Memorial Square Poughkeepsie, New York 12601 Town of Poughkeepsie Police Department Route 44, Dutchess Turnpike Poughkeepsie, New York 12603

*Population 25,000 and above.

ð

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

DUTCHESS COUNTY

Dutchess County is located in the Mid-Hudson region and Poughkeepsie is its county seat. In area the County covers 804 square miles.

Population

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 245,055 persons (ranked 16) has changed 10.2 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 304.8 persons per square mile (ranked 18). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 255,106 persons (ranked 15).

Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 8.4 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 10), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 2.4 percent of the County's population (ranked 14). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 31.8 percent of the County's population (ranked 39).

Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 15.7 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 39), and 18.9 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 10). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 4.2 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 26), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

Economy

Personal per capita income in the County was \$14,040 in 1984 (ranked 12).* In 1985, a monthly average of 3.6 percent (about 4,500 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 61). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 5,544, or 2.2 percent of the population (ranked 52 among the 57 non-New York City counties).*

* Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number	of Crimes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1985 Cr Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	d. Channe
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	8,534	7,982	7,278	7,934	3,126.4	28	-7.0
VIOLENT	780	810	748	839	330.6	16	7.6
MURDER	5	9	5	5	2,0	36	0.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	19	38	45	37	14.6	27	94.7
ROBBERY	284	312	201	188	74.1	16	-33.8
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	472	451	497	609	240.0	17	29.0
PROPERTY	7,754	7,172	6,530	7,095	2,795.8	30	-8.5
BURGLARY	2,501	2,276	1,929	2,123	836.6	22	-15.1
LARCENY	4,908	4,523	4,308	4,648	1,831.5	32	-5.3
MV THEFT	345	373	293	324	127.7	27	-6.1

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

TABLE 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

	1982	<u>Number o</u> _1983_	f Arrests _1984_	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ARRESTS	4,959	4,855	5,134	5,069	1,987.0	24	2.2
FELONY	1,268	1,255	1,165	1,310	513.5	13	3.3
VIOLENT	424	413	381	422	165.4	12	-0.5
OTHER	844	842	784	888	348.1	14	5.2
MISDEMEANOR	3,691	3,600	3,969	3,759	1,473.5	30	1.8

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	_Number	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	2,383	-9.6
FELONY	647	-1.5
VIOLENT	190	-8.2
OTHER	457	1.6
MISDEMEANOR	1,736	-12.3

3

DUTCHESS COUNTY

TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹

	1982	<u>Number o</u> _1983_	<u>f Arrests</u> _ <u>1984_</u>	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
MURDER	5	15	4	4	1.6	37	-20.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	9	16	17	14	5.5	29	55.6
ROBBERY	104	67	68	68	26.7	15	-34.6
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	177	187	162	192	75.3	12	8.5
BURGLARY	256	259	233	246	96.4	31	-3.9
LARCENY ²	892	805	903	931	364.9	21	4.4

¹NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

²Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

TABLE 2D

ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF $DRUGS^1$

		<u>Number of</u>	Arrests		1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	291	218	295	257	100.7	20	-11.7
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	196	138	212	198	77.6	17	1.0
POSSESSION	148	116	169	157	61.5	18	6.1
SALE	48	22	43	41	16.1	17	-14.6
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	95	80	83	59	23.1	28	-37.9
POSSESSION	81	46	42	37	14.5	31	-54.3
SALE	14	34	41	22	8.6	23	57.1

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

DUTCHESS COUNTY

TABLE 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹

		Number_ot	^c Arrests	• •	1985 Ar Per 1, Licen Drive	000 sed	÷
• .	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	1,490	1,680	1,782	1,646	9.6	20	10.5
FELONY	88	118	119	119	0.7	18	35.2
MISDEMEANOR	1,402	1,562	1,663	1,527	8.9	21	8.9

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

DUTCHESS COUNTY

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	% Change			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	241 100.0%	280 100.0%	348 100.0%	379 100.0%	57.3
VIOLENT	77 32.0%	55 19.6%	83 23.9%	80 21.1%	3.9
OTHER	164 68.0%	225 80.4%	265 76.1%	299 78.9%	82.3

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	144	-28.7
VIOLENT	37	0.0
OTHER	107	-35.2

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	3,666 100.0%	4,228 100.0%	4,207 100.0%	4,682 100,0%	27.7		
CONVICTIONS	2,087 56.9%	2,495 59.0%	2,556 60.8%	2,910 62.2%	39.4		
ACQUITTALS	8 0.2%	6 0.1%	10 0.2%	4 0.0%	-50.0		
DISMISSALS	1,499 40.9%	1,657 39.2%	1,564 37.2%	1,680 35.9%	12.1		
OTHER	72 2.0%	70 1.7%	77 1.8%	88 1.9%	22.2		

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

TABLE 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

	Numbe Res				
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	887 100.0%	1,057 100.0%	1,002 100.0%	1,098 100.0%	23.8
CONVICTIONS	508 57.3%	688 65.1%	646 64.5%	780 71.0%	53.5
ACQUITTALS	4 0.5%	3 0.3%	5 0.5%	2 0.2%	-50.0
DISMISSALS	357 40.2%	352 33.3%	334 33.3%	299 27.2%	-16.2
OTHER	18 2.0%	14 1.3%	17 1.7%	17 1.5%	-5.6

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

	Numbe Felo				
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	2,087 100.0%	2,495 100.0%	2,556 100.0%	2,910 100.0%	39.4
FELONY	116 5.6%	213 8.5%	251 9.8%	307 10.5%	164.7
VIOLENT	23 1.1%	44 1.8%	43 1.7%	65 2.2%	182.6
OTHER	93 4.5%	169 6.8%	208 8,1%	242 8.3%	160.2
MISDEMEANOR	1,114 53.4%	1,259 50.5%	1,210 47.3%	1,243 42.7%	11.6
LESSER OFFENSES	857 41.1%	1,023 41.0%	1,095 42.8%	1,360 46.7%	58.7

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>			
CONVICTIONS	508 100.0%	688 100.0%	646 100.0%	780 100.0%	53.5			
FELONY	111 21.9%	203 29.5%	236 36.5%	283 36.3%	155.0			
VIOLENT	23 4.5%	44 6.4%	43 6.7%	65 8.3%	182.6			
OTHER	88 17.3%	1 59 23.1%	193 29.9%	218 27.9%	147.7			
MISDEMEANOR	345 67.9%	420 61.0%	347 53.7%	409 52.4%	18.6			
LESSER OFFENSES	52 10.2%	65 9.4%	63 9.8%	88 11.3%	69.2			

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

TABLE 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions								
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> ²					
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					
STATE PRISON	1.5	2.1	2.9	2.2					
LOCAL JAIL	18.4	15.3	14.3	14.8					
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	3.3	5.2	5.8	5.2					
PROBATION	10.4	10.5	11.3	11.0					
FINES	53.6	60.2	59.7	59.9					
OTHER/UNKNOWN	12.8	6.7	5.9	6.8					

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

²Preliminary data.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS $^{\rm 1}$ and Misdemeanor and lesser offense convictions $^{\rm 1}$

-	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions								
	1982 Misd & Felony Lesser		<u>1983</u> Misd & Felony Lesser		<u>1984</u> Misd & <u>Felony Lesser</u>		1985 Misd 8 Felony Lesser		
CONVICTIONS ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
STATE PRISON	27.6	NA	24.9	NA	29.5	NA	20.8	NA	
LOCAL JAIL	24.1	18.0	12.7	15.6	7.6	15.0	9.1	15.5	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	14.7	2.6	29.6	2.9	30.3	3.2	32.2	2.0	
PROBATION	32.8	9.1	32.4	8.4	31.5	9.1	37.1	8.0	
FINES	0.0	56.8	0.5	65.8	0.4	66.2	0.0	67.0	
OTHER/UNKNOWN	0.9	13.5	0.0	7.3	0,8	6.5	0.7	7.5	

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

²Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

•

* *

Ì

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

	A-10-10-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-	<u>Number of</u>	Cases	1985 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INTAKE ¹	3,101	2,395	2,587	2,760	1,081.9	27	-11.0
INVESTIGATIONS	2,004	1,958	1,461	1,613	632.3	41	-19.5
FAMILY COURT	293	344	317	322	126.2	37	9.9
CRIMINAL COURT	1,711	1,614	1,144	1,291	506.1	34	-24.5
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION							
CASES RECEIVED	71	67	84	128	50.2	27	80.3
FAMILY COURT	57	55	72	94	36.8	15	64.9
CRIMINAL COURT	14	12	12	34	13.3	27	142.9

¹Intake occurs only in family court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

.

•

DUTCHESS COUNTY

Table 7A-2

PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

	Number of Cases				1985 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	% Change	
	1982	_1983_	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	542	687	727	725	284.2	21	33.8
FAMILY COURT	136	150	148	129	50.6	25	-5.1
CRIMINAL COURT	406	537	579	596	233.6	23	46.8
UNDER PROBATION ¹ SUPERVISION	1,556	1,663	1,894	2,060	807.5	24	32.4
FAMILY COURT	362	289	303	295	115.6	18	-18.5
CRIMINAL COURT	1,194	1,374	1,591	1,765	691.9	25	47.8
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	976	1,167	1,335	1,458	571.5	27	49.4
FAMILY COURT	139	155	166	109	42.7	35	-21.6
CRIMINAL COURT	837	1,012	1,169	1,349	528.8	23	61.2

¹The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Í

TABLE 7B

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	N	1985 Revocation Per 1,000 Number of Revocations Probatione						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
REVOCATIONS	85	66	89	· 87	42.2	38	2.4	
FAMILY COURT	35	22	22	46	155.9	3	31.4	
CRIMINAL COURT	50	44	67	41	23.2	54	-18.0	
TECHNICAL	42	28	46	25	14.2	47	-40.5	
NEW CONV.	8	16	21	16	9.1	49	100.0	

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS¹

	FY 1983-84	<u>FY 1984-85</u>	<u>FY 1985-86</u>
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0

¹This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classifica-tion/alternative" bill.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

 III CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY
 DUTCHESS COUNTY

TABLE 8A

COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

	_1982	1983	1984	_1985_	1985 A Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ADMISSIONS	2,300	2,194	2,221	2,382	933.7	44	3.6
UNSENTENCED	1,969	1,795	1,859	1,946	762.8	40	-1.2
SENTENCED	331	399	362	436	170.9	51	31.7

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B

COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 Inmates Per 100,000 <u>Population</u> <u>Rate Rank</u>		% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	128	128	128	175	68.6	36	36.7
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	129	137	143	145	56.8	40	12.4
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	145	130	130	148	58.0	33	2.1
UNSENTENCED	99	82	109	108	42.3	21	9.1
SENTENCED	46	48	21	40	15.7	47	-13.0

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	<u>Nev</u> 1982	Numbe <u>v Court C</u> 1983	er of Commitment 1984	<u>s</u> 1	1985 Co Per 100 <u>Populat</u> Rate	,000	% Change 82 vs 85
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	42	57	67	66	25.9	31	57.1
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	19	34	28	34	13.3	27	78.9
HOMICIDE	5	2	6	0	0.0	36	0.0
SEX OFFENSE	4	3	1	7	2.7	24	75.0
ROBBERY	6	21	9	11	4.3	14	83.3
BURGLARY	3	6	9	12	4.7	26	300.0
WEAPONS	0	0	1	1	0.4	21	NA
OTHER	1	2	2	3	1.2	34	200.0
DRUG FELONIES ³	4	2	5	4	1.6	40	0.0
POSSESSION	2	1	3	0	0.0	32	0.0
SALE	2	1	2	4	1.6	33	100.0
OTHER FELONIES	18	19	34	26	10.2	40	44.4
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	1	2	0	2	0.8	35	100.0

¹Preliminary data.

²New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

DUTCHESS COUNTY

TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY¹ IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

	Number	of Innata	e Hudey C		Inmat Under C on 12/3	ust. 1/85	
		of Inmate <u>e Prison</u> <u>1983</u>		Per 100,000 <u>Population</u> % Cha <u>Rate Rank 82 vs</u>		% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	
UNDER CUSTODY	152	161	184	181	71.0	29	19.1
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	92	116	122	123	48.2	21	33.7
HOMICIDE	28	30	34	30	11.8	30	7.1
SEX OFFENSE	17	16	15	20	7.8	29	17.6
ROBBERY	29	48	43	37	14.5	16	27.6
BURGLARY	7	12	20	24	9.4	30	242.9
WEAPONS	2	1	2	3	1.2	24	50.0
OTHER	9	9	8	9	3.5	33	0.0
DRUG FELONIES ³	7	9	12	10	3.9	36	42.9
POSSESSION	4	4	6	3	1.2	40	-25.0
SALE	3	5	6	7	2.7	33	133.3
OTHER FELONIES	51	33	49	46	18.0	36	-9.8
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5.2	3	1	2	0.8	36	0.0

 $^{1}\,\rm Inmates$ under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

²Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

DUTCHESS COUNTY

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	_1984_	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%	0.5%

¹Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

TABLE 10A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	<u>Number_of</u> _1983_	<u>Parolees</u> _1984_	1985	1985 Par Per 100 <u>Populat</u> Rate	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	78	122	88	107	41.9	12	37.2
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	199	260	277	310	121.5	11	55.8
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	138	189	203	220	86.2	10	59.4

¹The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	Name - 1981 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	Number of			1985 Revocat Per 1, <u>Parole</u>	ions 000 es	% Change
	<u>1982</u>	<u> 1983 </u>	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	<u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	23	21	31	40	129.0	8	73.9
RULE VIOLATIONS	10	7	8	11	35.5	10	10.0
NEW ARRESTS	10	10	18	18	58.1	11	80.0
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	3	4	5	11	35.5	14	266.7

 1 The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

Table 1

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

- na ta minane da anna georra na minane a su a se a se ta ta ta ma da a da	د بینده و میروند با ۲۹۵ میروند. بود هنده بودوند با ۲۹۵ میرونوسته	Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	101.7	90.0	109.2	7.3%	.0	.0
Crime Victims Board	37.7	46.3	64.1	70.1%	64.2	69.5
Division of Criminal Justice Services	62.6	173.7	340.8	444.6%	668.3	248.8
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ¹	391.7	429.0	502.7	28.3%	609.4	659.2
Division for Youth ²	811.7	867.6	1,135.4	39.9%	2,368.5	1,519.4
TOTAL	1,405.4	1,606.6	2,152.2	53.1%	3,710.4	2,496.9

¹On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

²The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Board of Prisoners ¹						
Felons	73.3	59.5	46.7	-36.3%	· · · ·	
Parole Violators	27.3	30.2	61.4	125.0%		
Prisoner Transfer ¹	1.1	.4	1.1	.4%		
TOTAL	101.7	90.0	109.2	7.3%	.0	.0

¹Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

Table 3

.

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Victim and Witness Assistance Program						
Comprehensive	37.7	46.3	64.1	70.1%	64.2	69.5

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

1

DUTCHESS COUNTY

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropr	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Asset/Forfeiture Initiative ¹			55.6	NA		
Crime Prevention and Protection ²		.0	74.0	NA	60.0	60.0
Indigent Parolee Prog. ³	39.7	41.3	40.2	1.3%		-
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	22.9	6.4	40.0	74.6%	.0	52.6
Mobile Radio District Program ⁴		.0	.0	NA	478.7	
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act ⁵		15.0	.0	NA	.0	

¹This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

 2 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

³Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

⁴No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁵This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

Î

DUTCHESS COUNTY

Table 4 (continued)

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	······································	Expend	Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program6			6.4	NA	1	
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁷	.0	111.0	124.7	NA	129.6	136.3
TOTAL	62.6	173.7	340.8	444.6%	668.3	248.8

⁷In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

⁸This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

Y

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	4	Expend	litures		Appropriations	
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Classification Alternatives ¹			1 00 1 00	NA	41.9	43.5
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	53.5	58.5	85.3	59.3%	103.6	100.8
Regular State Aid	338.1	370.5	417.4	23.4%	463.9	514.8
TOTAL	391.7	429.0	502.7	28.3%	609.4	659.2

¹This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Detention							
Secure	4.0	.0	.0	-100.0%	.0	.0	
Non-Secure	25.0	20.2	15.6	-37.4%	41.3	26.9	
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	56.1	52.0	55.1	-1.8%	70.3	75.8	
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	134.5	152.0	136.1	1.2%	149.0	145.2	
Special Legislative Contracts ¹	.0	.0	61.0	NA	50.0	.0	
Transitional Independent Living Support				NA	.0	16.9	
Voluntary Agency Care	176.8	187.9	420.7	137.9%	907.9	655.2	
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	415.4	455.5	446.9	7.6%	1,150.0	599.4	
TOTAL	811.7	867.6	1,135.4	39.9%	2,368.5	1,519.4	

¹This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

Tabl	e 1A		
 CAPACITY AND	STAFF SUMMARY		
	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
DOCS Facilities	5,148	2,805	
DFY Facilities	174	222	
TOTAL	5,322	3,027	

Table 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropriations	
	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84		<u>FY 86-7</u>	
DOCS Facilities	69,086.1	75,386.9	79,178.9	14.6%	84,405.2	89,236.0	
DFY Facilities	6,015.1	5,855.1	6,034.4	.3%	6,427.9	7,087.8	
TOTAL	75,101.2	81,242.0	85,213.3	13.5%	90,833.1	96,323.8	

Table 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

	<u> </u>	xpenditures	Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	Total	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
DOCS Facilities	2,073.7	1,820.0	3,893.7	3,010.4	3,872.0
DFY Facilities	987.7	351.4	1,339.1	39.0	117.5
TOTAL	3,061.3	2,171.5	5,232.8	3,049.4	3,989.5

DUTCHESS COUNTY

Table 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 11, 1986)

Facility	Security Level	Capacity	Staff	
Beacon-Camp	Minimum	150	66	
Downstate	Maximum	1,162	779	
Fishkill	Medium	1,785	1,050	
Green Haven	Maximum	2,001	910	
Green Haven Annex ¹	Medium	50		
TOTAL		5,148	2,805	

 $1\ {\rm The\ facility's\ staff}$ is included in the staff reported for the Green Haven facility.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

Table 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropr	Appropriations	
Facility	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Beacon-Camp	1,669.6	1,885.1	2,123.8	27.2%	2,247.2	2,455.1	
Downstate	20,690.0	24,155.8	24,438.1	18.1%	25,376.7	26,665.1	
Fishkill ·	25,326.5	26,022.5	27,972.5	10.4%	30,809.8	32,129.1	
Green Haven	21,399.9	23,323.4	24,644.5	15.2%	25,971.5	27,986.6	
Green Haven Annex ¹				NA			
TOTAL	69,086.1	75,386.9	79,178.9	14.6%	84,405.2	89,236.0	

 1 The facility's budget is administered by the Green Haven facility.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

Table 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures	Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	Total	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Beacon-Camp	76.0	51.5	127.5	317.3	347.0
Downstate	536.6	46.9	583.4	90.7	134.0
Fishkill	1,307.5	1,387.5	2,695.0	2,240.6	69.0
Green Haven	153.6	334.1	487.7	361.9	3,322.0
Green Haven Annex ¹			.0	. aa aid	
TOTAL	2,073.7	1,820.0	3,893.7	3,010.4	3,872.0

¹ The facility's budget is administered by the Green Haven facility. SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

Table 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1986)

Facility	Security Level ¹	Capacity	Staff	
Dutchess Community Urban Home	VI	14	10	
Harlem Valley Secure Center	I	96	137	
Parker Residential Center	II	50	65	
Poughkeepsie Community Urban Home	VI	14	10	
TOTAL		174	222	

¹ Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-Community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI - Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

Table 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	1 7 - 21 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 1	Expen	Appropriations			
Facility	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Dutchess Community Urban Home	183.4	242.1	267.8	46.0%	209.3	253.2
Harlem Valley Secure Center	4,233.4	3,978.7	3,919.8	-7.4%	4,344.7	4,757.8
Parker Residential Center	1,383.0	1,382.6	1,605.8	16.1%	1,619.5	1,810.8
Poughkeepsie Community Urban Home	215.3	251.7	241.0	11,9%	254.4	266.0
TOTAL	6,015.1	5,855.1	6,034.4	0.3%	6,427.9	7,087.8

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

8

8

DUTCHESS COUNTY

Table 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures	S	Appropri	ations
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	Total	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7
Dutchess Community Urban Home	1.0	.0	1.0	28.0	39.0
Harlem Valley Secure Center	963.6	351.4	1,315.1	.0	40.0
Parker Residential Center	.0	.0	.0	.0	24.5
Poughkeepsie Community Urban Home	23.0	.0	23.0	11.0	14.0
TOTAL	987.7	351.4	1,339.1	39.0	117.5

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

GREENE COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop F Headquarters Crystal Run Road Middletown, New York 10940

New York State Division of Parole Suite 309, 80 Washington Street Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office P.O. Box 309 Catskill, New York 12414

Probation Department Court House Catskill, New York 12414

Public Defender's Office Court House Catskill, New York 12414 Sheriff's Department Bridge Street Catskill, New York 12414

Youth Bureau Box 910, HCR 3 Cairo, New York 12413

County Court Court House Catskill, New York 12414

Family Court Court House Catskill, New York 12414

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

GREENE COUNTY

Greene County is located in the Mid-Hudson region and Catskill is its county seat. In area the County covers 648 square miles.

Population

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 40,861 persons (ranked 53) has changed 23.3 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 63.1 persons per square mile (ranked 49). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 41,476 persons (ranked 53).

Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 3.3 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 29), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 1.4 percent of the County's population (ranked 21). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 30.1 percent of the County's population (ranked 51).

Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 19.0 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 17), and 9.8 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 57). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 4.3 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 23), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

Economy

Personal per capita income in the County was \$10,672 in 1984 (ranked 37).* In 1985, a monthly average of 7.5 percent (about 1,500 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 27). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 1,398, or 3.4 percent of the population (ranked 40 among the 57 non-New York City counties).*

* Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

GREENE COUNTY

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number o	f Crimes		1985 Cr Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	a/ 01
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	1,386	1,173	1,200	1,076	2,592.1	39	-22.4
VIOLENT	177	124	98	158	380.6	10	-10.7
MURDER	1	3	1	1	2.4	28	0.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	5	10	2	3	7.2	48	-40.0
ROBBERY	12	11	11	7	16.9	35	-41.7
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	159	100	84	147	354.1	7	-7.5
PROPERTY	1,209	1,049	1,102	918	2,211.5	48	-24.1
BURGLARY	495	400	422	279	672.1	43	-43.6
LARCENY	671	602	633	604	1,455.0	48	-10.0
MV THEFT	43	47	47	35	84.3	38	-18.6

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

GREENE COUNTY

TABLE 2A

	FE	ELONY AND I	MISDEMEAN	OR ARREST	S	<u> </u>	بور بر رو بر رو بر رو بر رو بر و بر و ب
	1982	Number o	f Arrests 1984	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ARRESTS	802	639	704	693	1,670.8	40	-13.6
FELONY	237	207	215	175	421.9	23	-26.2
VIOLENT	53	65	57	49	118.1	25	-7.5
OTHER	184	142	158	126	303.8	21	-31.5
MISDEMEANOR	565	432	489	518	1,248.9	41	-8.3

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	400	16.6
FELONY	119	45.1
VIOLENT	25	8.7
OTHER	94	59.3
MISDEMEANOR	281	7.7

GREENE COUNTY

TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹

• • •	1982	Number o 1983	<u>f Arrests</u> _ <u>1984_</u>	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
MURDER	1	2	1	1	2.4	27	0.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	2	5	1	1	2.4	46	-50.0
ROBBERY	8	7	12	3	7.2	36	-62.5
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	42	28	26	34	82.0	10	-19.0
BURGLARY	50	38	42	27	65.1	51	-46.0
LARCENY ²	127	115	116	119	286.9	40	-6.3

¹NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

²Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

GREENE COUNTY

TABLE 2D

ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS¹

		<u>Number of</u>	Arrests		1985 Arre Per 100,0 Populatio	000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate I	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	47	22	31	40	96.4	21	-14.9
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	33	17	20	33	79.6	15	0.0
POSSESSION	27	13	19	32	77.2	11	18.5
SALE	6	4	1	1	2.4	46	-83.3
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	14	5	11	7	16.9	43	-50.0
POSSESSION	7	4	7	5	12.1	41	-28.6
SALE	7	1	4	2	4.8	43	-71.4

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

f

TABLE 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹

		Number of Arrests				1985 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Drivers		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82_vs_85</u>	
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	211	192	223	216	7.1	41	2.4	
FELONY	9	11	14	10	0.3	44	11.1	
MISDEMEANOR	202	181	209	206	6.8	40	2.0	

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

GREENE COUNTY

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	Number of Felony Indictments				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	87 100.0%	66 100.0%	55 100.0%	64 100.0%	~26.4	
VIOLENT	24 27.6%	24 36.4%	15 27.3%	22 34.4%	-8.3	
OTHER	63 72.4%	42 63.6%	40 72.7%	42 65.6%	-33.3	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	25	31.6	
VIOLENT	10	25.0	
OTHER	15	36.4	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

GREENE COUNTY

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	585 100.0%	495 100.0%	525 100.0%	541 100.0%	-7.5		
CONVICTIONS	412 70.4%	378 76.4%	429 81.7%	430 79.5%	4.4		
ACQUITTALS	5 0.9%	2 0.4%	2 0.4%	3 0.6%	-40.0		
DISMISSALS	160 27.4%	114 23.0%	91 17.3%	105 19.4%	-34.4		
OTHER	8 1.4%	1 0.2%	3 0.6%	3 0.6%	-62.5		

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

	Numbe Res				
	1982	1983	1984	1985_2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	163 100.0%	159 100.0%	146 100.0%	127 100.0%	-22.1
CONVICTIONS	107 65.6%	136 85.5%	122 83.6%	102 80.3%	-4.7
ACQUITTALS	3 1.8%	2 1.3%	0 0.0%	2 1.6%	-33.3
DISMISSALS	49 30.1%	21 13.2%	23 15.8%	22 17.3%	-55.1
OTHER	4 2.5%	0 0.0%	1 0.7%	1 0.8%	-75.0

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

GREENE COUNTY

GREENE COUNTY

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

	Numbe				
	1982	1983	1984	1985 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	412 100.0%	378 100.0%	429 100.0%	430 100.0%	4.4
FELONY	25 6.1%	32 8.5%	35 8,2%	25 5.8%	0.0
VIOLENT	7 1.7%	7 1.9%	9 2.1%	5 1.2%	-28.6
OTHER	18 4.4%	25 6.6%	26 6.1%	20 4.7%	11.1
MISDEMEANOR	221 53.6%	191 50.5%	229 53.4%	263 61.2%	19.0
LESSER OFFENSES	166 40.3%	155 41.0%	165 38.5%	142 33.0%	-14.5

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

GREENE COUNTY

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE₁CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

	Numbe				
	<u>1982</u>	<u>ulting from</u> <u>1983</u>	<u>_1984_</u>	_ <u>1985_</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	1 07 100.0%	136 100.0%	122 100.0%	102 100.0%	-4.7
FELONY	25 23.4%	30 22.1%	33 27.0%	24 23.5%	-4.0
VIOLENT	7 6.5%	7 5.1%	9 7.4%	5 4.9%	-28.6
OTHER	18 16.8%	23 16.9%	24 19.7%	19 18.6%	5.6
MISDEMEANOR	68 63.6%	90 66.2%	78 63.9%	67 65.7%	-1.5
LESSER OFFENSES	14 13.1%	16 11.8%	11 9.0%	11 10.8%	-21.4

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

TABLE 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions							
	1982	_1983_	1984	_1985	_2			
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.09	%			
STATE PRISON	1.7	2.9	4.2	1.9				
LOCAL JAIL	10.4	11.1	10.5	11.9				
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	3.2	2.9	1.4	0.7				
PROBATION	6.6	16.4	11.0	9.3				
FINES	69.2	56.1	67.8	67.4				
OTHER/UNKNOWN	9.0	10.6	5.1	8.8				

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

²Preliminary data.

GREENE COUNTY

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS $^{\rm 1}$ AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS $^{\rm 1}$

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
	<u>19</u> Felony	082 Misd & Lesser	<u> </u>	83 Misd & Lesser		084 Misd & Lesser	19 Felony	985 Misd & Lesser		
CONVICTIONS ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
STATE PRISON	28.0	NA	34.4	NA	51.4	NA	32.0	NA		
LOCAL JAIL	20.0	9.8	18.8	10.4	0.0	11.4	24.0	11.1		
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	24.0	1.8	18.8	1.4	14.3	0.3	4.0	0.5		
PROBATION	24.0	5.4	28.1	15.3	31.4	9.1	36.0	7.7		
FINES	4.0	73.4	0.0	61.3	0.0	73.9	4.0	71.4		
OTHER/UNKNOWN	0.0	9.6	0.0	11.6	2.9	5.3	0.0	9.4		

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

²Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

GREENE COUNTY

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

Per 100,000 Number of Cases Population	
% Chang <u>1982 1983 1984 1985 Rate Rank 82 vs 8</u>	
INTAKE ¹ 498 386 367 472 1,138.0 24 -5.2	
INVESTIGATIONS 117 155 137 122 294.1 61 4.3	
FAMILY COURT 15 22 14 21 50.6 60 40.0	
CRIMINAL COURT 102 133 123 101 243.5 58 -1.0	
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION	
CASES RECEIVED 7 0 8 2 4.8 49 -71.4	
FAMILY COURT 7 0 8 2 4.8 34 -71.4	
CRIMINAL COURT 0 0 0 0 0.0 42 NA	

¹Intake occurs only in family court.

GREENE COUNTY

Table 7A-2

PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of	- Cases		1985 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	0/ Change	
	1982	1983	_1984_	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	45	88	77	57	137.4	60	26.7
FAMILY COURT	13	15	18	15	36.2	40	15.4
CRIMINAL COURT	32	73	59	42	101.3	61	31.2
UNDER PROBATION ¹ SUPERVISION	158	193	226	239	576.2	52	51.3
FAMILY COURT	31	29	31	30	72.3	41	-3.2
CRIMINAL COURT	127	164	195	209	503.9	52	64.6
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	105	149	182	157	378.5	54	49.5
FAMILY COURT	14	13	15	6	14.5	62	-57.1
CRIMINAL COURT	91	136	167	151	364.1	51	65.9

¹The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

GREENE COUNTY

TABLE 7B

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

·	N	umber of	Revocatio	ons	198 Revocat Per 1, <u>Probati</u>	ions 000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOCATIONS	10	12	10	15	62.8	9	50.0
FAMILY COURT	2	4	4	6	200.0	2	200.0
CRIMINAL COURT	8	8	6	9	43.1	29	12.5
TECHNICAL	4	4	4	3	14.4	46	-25.0
NEW CONV.	· 4	4	2	6	28,7	11	50.0

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS¹

	<u>FY 1983-84</u>	<u>FY 1984-85</u>	<u>FY 1985-86</u>
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0

¹This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classifica-tion/alternative" bill.

GREENE COUNTY

TABLE 8A

COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

	1982	_1983_	_1984_	_1985_	1985 A Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82_vs_85</u>
ADMISSIONS	632	533	668	704	1,697.4	8	11.4
UNSENTENCED	448	382	494	483	1,164.5	12	7.8
SENTENCED	184	151	174	221	532.8	4	20.1

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B

COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983_		1985	1985 In Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	50	50	50	54	130.2	6	8.0
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	21	21	31	35	84.4	18	66.7
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	24	21	37	25	60.3	30	4.2
UNSENTENCED	13	12	26	14	33.8	30	7.7
SENTENCED	11	9	11	11	26.5	28	0.0

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

GREENE COUNTY

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

· · ·	<u>New</u>	Numbe <u>Court C</u> 1983	r of <u>ommitment</u> <u>1984</u>	s1 1985_1	1985 Co Per 100 <u>Populat</u> Rate	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	11	6	6	11	26.5	30	0.0
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	4	3	5	3	7.2	42	-25.0
HOMICIDE	2	1	0	0	0.0	36	0.0
SEX OFFENSE	0	0	2	2	4.8	9	NA
ROBBERY	1	0	3	0	0.0	40	0.0
BURGLARY	0	2	0	1	2.4	41	NA
WEAPONS	0	0	0	0	0.0	23	NA
OTHER	1	0	0	0	0.0	40	0.0
DRUG FELONIES ³	3	1	0	3	7.2	15	0.0
POSSESSION	3	1	0	2	4.8	8	-33.3
SALE	0	0	0	1	2.4	24	NA
OTHER FELONIES	4	2	1	5	12.1	32	25.0
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 0	0	0	0	0.0	41	NA

¹Preliminary data.

²New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

GREENE COUNTY

TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER $CUSTODY^1$ IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

		f Inmates Prison o 1983			Inmat Under C on 12/3 Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	ust. 1/85 ,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	19	17	13	23	55.5	38	21.1
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	10	9	13	14	33.8	35	40.0
HOMICIDE	6	5	6	5	12.1	27	-16.7
SEX OFFENSE	0	0	2	4	9.6	22	NA
ROBBERY	2	1	3	2	4.8	34	0.0
BURGLARY	0	2	2	3	7.2	35	NA
WEAPONS	1	0	0	0	0.0	32	0.0
OTHER	· 1	1	0	0	0.0	52	0.0
DRUG FELONIES ³	3	4	0	3	7.2	22	0.0
POSSESSION	3	4	0	2	4.8	14	-33.3
SALE	0	0	0	1	2.4	38	NA
OTHER FELONIES	6	4	0	6	14.5	45	0.0
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	6 0	0	0	0	0.0	42	NA

 $^{\rm l}$ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

²Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

8

u B

GREENE COUNTY

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	_1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%

¹Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

GREENE COUNTY

TABLE 10A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	<u>Number of</u> _1983_	<u>Parolees</u> _1984_	1985	1985 Par Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 v<u></u>; 85</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	5	12	0	4	9.6	53	-20.0
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	14	23	20	16	38.6	46	14.3
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	11	20	12	10	24.1	48	-9.1

¹The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	1982	<u>Number of</u> _1983_	<u>Parolees</u> _1984_	_1985_	1985 Revocat Per 1, <u>Parole</u> <u>Rate</u>	ions 000	% Change			
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	1	1	1	· 1	62.5	31	0.0			
RULE VIOLATIONS	0	0	1	0	0.0	32	NA			
NEW ARRESTS	1	1	0	0	0.0	43	-100.0			
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	0	0	0	1	62.5	1	NA			

 1 The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

GREENE COUNTY

Table 1

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropr	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Department of Correctional Services	10.1	16.0	33.4	231.5%	.0	.0
Crime Victim's Board	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	1.0	1.5	8.1	696.6%	4.2	201.8
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ¹	62.2	67.5	69.1	11.1%	87.5	103.7
Division for Youth ²	111.5	119.8	122.2	9.6%	296,2	218.2
TOTAL	184.8	204.9	232.8	25.9%	387.9	523.8

¹On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

²The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

GREENE COUNTY

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropr	Appropriations		
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7
Board of Prisoners ¹						
Coram Nobis	.0	.0	.0	NA		Ma est
Felons	7.8	16.0	24.2	210.8%		
Parole Violators	1.5	.0	8.8	499.0%	·	
Prisoner Transfer ¹	.8	.0	.4	-55.3%		.
TOTAL	10.1	16.0	33.4	231.5%	.0	.0

 $^{1}\mbox{Local}$ correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

N

GREENE COUNTY

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

GREENE COUNTY

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

<u></u>		Expend		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7
Indigent Parolee Prog. ¹	1.0	1.5	.0	-100.0%		
Justice Assistance Act ²			Sak way	NA	1.8	1.8
Mobile Radio District Program ³		.0	3.5	NA	.0	200.0
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act ⁴		.0	.0	NA	2.4	
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program ⁵			4.6	NA		
TOTAL	1.0	1.5	8.1	696.6%	4.2	201.8

¹Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

 2 FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

 3 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁴This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

⁵In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

GREENE COUNTY

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

GREENE COUNTY

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

an a		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Classification Alternatives ¹				NA	9.8	10.4
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	.0	. 0	.0	NA	.0	2.4
Regular State Aid	62.2	67.5	69.1	11.1%	77.6	90.9
TOTAL	62.2	67.5	69.1	11.1%	87.5	103.7

¹This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Applicant of a Second

Î

Î

Ì

GREENE COUNTY

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

· ·		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Detention						
Non-Secure	.0	.0	.0	NA	4.8	4.2
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	31.1	35.2	29.2	-6.0%	23.6	28.7
Special Legislative Contracts	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	22.0
Voluntary Agency Care	18.2	13.7	17.2	5.5%	65.2	61.7
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	62.3	70.9	75.8	21.7%	202.5	101.6
TOTAL	111.5	119.8	122.2	9.6%	296.2	218.2

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

Į,

Ì

GREENE COUNTY

Table 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 11, 1986)

Facility	Security Level	Capacity	Staff	
Coxsackie	Maximum	968	522	
Greene	Medium	725	386	
TOTAL		1,693	908	

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Table 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	<u> </u>	Expen	Appropriations			
Facility	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Coxsackie	12,568.2	14,027.2	15,154.1	20.6%	15,281.9	16,732.3
Greene ¹			3,897.4	NA	10,848.7	12,653.3
TOTAL	12,568.2	14,027.2	19,051.6	51.6%	26,130.6	29,385.5

¹ The facility opened in October of 1984.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

GREENE COUNTY

Table 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	······································	Expenditures	Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	Total	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Coxsackie	582.8	221.9	804.7	435.0	1,291.0
Greene ¹	6,041.1	16,391.1	22,432.2	2,500.0	72.0
TOTAL	6,624.0	16,613.0	23,236.9	2,935.0	1,363.0

¹ The facility opened in October of 1984.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NOTE: There are no Division for Youth facilities located in this County.

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

ORANGE COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop F Headquarters Crystal Run Road Middletown, New York 10940 New York State Division of Parole Suite 309, 80 Washington Street Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office County Government Center 255-275 Main Street Goshen, New York 10924

Probation Department County Government Center 255-275 Main Street Goshen, New York 10924

Assigned Counsel Plan County Government Center 255-275 Main Street Goshen, New York 10924

County Court Court House Goshen, New York 10924 Sheriff's Department 40 Erie Street Goshen, New York 10924

Youth Bureau County Government Center 255-275 Main Street Goshen, New York 10924

Legal Aid Society Box 328 County Office Building Goshen, New York 10924

Family Court County Government Center 255-275 Main Street Goshen, New York 10924 II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

ORANGE COUNTY

Orange County is located in the Mid-Hudson region and Goshen is its county seat. In area the County covers 826 square miles.

Population

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 259,603 persons (ranked 13) has changed 17.1 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 314.3 persons per square mile (ranked 17). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 277,563 persons (ranked 13).

Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 7.0 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 15), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 4.3 percent of the County's population (ranked 9). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 34.3 percent of the County's population (ranked 14).

Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 17.3 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 25), and 15.4 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 21). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 4.9 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 16), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

Economy

Personal per capita income in the County was \$12,148 in 1984 (ranked 18).* In 1985, a monthly average of 5.3 percent (about 6,400 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 48). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 13,129, or 4.8 percent of the population (ranked 20 among the 57 non-New York City counties).*

* Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

٠

Ê

ORANGE COUNTY

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number o	f Crimes	1985 Cr Per 100 <u>Populat</u>			
	1982	1983	_1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	11,142	10,672	9,848	10,018	3,653.1	18	-10.1
VIOLENT	1,057	1,107	1,053	1,086	396.0	9	2.7
MURDER	10	11	9	10	3.6	17	0.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	35	43	52	56	20.4	20	60.0
ROBBERY	302	445	335	328	119.6	10	8.6
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	710	608	657	692	252.3	14	-2.5
PROPERTY	10,085	9,565	8,795	8,932	3,257.1	20	-11.4
BURGLARY	3,286	3,101	2,698	2,816	1,026.9	14	-14.3
LARCENY	6,285	5,983	5,610	5,645	2,058.5	22	-10.2
MV THEFT	514	481	487	471	171.8	16	-8.4

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

ORANGE COUNTY

TABLE 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

		Number o	f Arrests	1985 Ar Per 100 Populat	% Change		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	Rank	<u>82 vs 85</u>
ARRESTS	6,206	6,961	6,437	6,085	2,192.3	15	-1.9
FELONY	1,534	1,764	1,539	1,598	575.7	8	4.2
VIOLENT	476	558	505	501	180.5	10	5.3
OTHER	1,058	1,206	1,034	1,097	395.2	8	3.7
MISDEMEANOR	4,672	5,197	4,898	4,487	1,616.6	18	-4.0

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

		Number	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>	
	ARRESTS	3,146	0.0	
	FELONY	826	-4.0	
. ·	VIOLENT	255	-6.6	
	OTHER	571	-2.7	
	MISDEMEANOR	2,320	1.6	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

ORANGE COUNTY

	·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	1982	<u>Number o</u> _1983_	<u>f Arrests</u> _1984_	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> Rate	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
MURDER	8	13	7	5	1.8	35	-37.5
FORCIBLE RAPE	16	19	20	32	11.5	11	100.0
ROBBERY	88	102	103	60	21.6	18	-31.8
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	199	210	223	244	87.9	8	22.6
BURGLARY	337	357	257	277	99.8	27	-17.8
LARCENY ²	1,093	1,159	1,145	1,130	407.1	14	3.4

TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹

¹NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

²Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

ORANGE COUNTY

		Number of	Arrests	Per 100	1985 Arrests Per 100,000 Population				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
DRUG ARRESTS	464	573	459	416	149.9	9	-10.3		
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	361	472	367	355	127.9	6	-1.7		
POSSESSION	306	390	318	288	103.8	6	-5.9		
SALE	55	82	49	67	24.1	9	21.8		
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	103	101	92	61	22.0	29	-40.8		
POSSESSION	82	83	76	48	17.3	25	-41.5		
SALE	21	18	16	13	4.7	44	-38.1		

TABLE 2D

ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF $DRUGS^1$

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

ORANGE COUNTY

TABLE 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹

		Number of	f Arrests		1985 Ar Per 1, Licer Drive	<i>2</i> 0	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	1,610	2,151	1,819	1,428	8.0	35	-11.3
FELONY	145	191	194	183	1.0	6	26.2
MISDEMEANOR	1,465	1,960	1,625	1,245	6.9	38	-15.0

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrests and Processing Trends File.

ORANGE COUNTY

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	ents	% Change		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	381 100.0%	389 100.0%	474 100.0%	518 100.0%	36.0
VIOLENT	117 30.7%	1 36 35.0%	131 27.6%	144 27.8%	23.1
OTHER	264 69.3%	253 65.0%	343 72.4%	374 72.2%	41.7

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	191	-39.0	
VIOLENT	53	-39.8	
OTHER	138	-38.7	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

ORANGE COUNTY

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

	Numbe Fel				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	4,747 100.0%	4,978 100.0%	5,219 100.0%	5,162 100.0%	8.7
CONVICTIONS	2,843 59.9%	3,097 62.2%	3,031 58.1%	2,985 57.8%	5.0
ACQUITTALS	17 0.4%	8 0.2%	8 0.2%	12 0.2%	-29.4
DISMISSALS	1,818 38.3%	1,796 36.1%	2,101 40.3%	2,095 40.6%	15.2
OTHER	69 1.5%	77 1.5%	79 1.5%	70 1.4%	1.4

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests					
	1982	1983	_1984_	<u>1985</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	1,175 100.0%	1,180 100.0%	1,261 100.0%	1,254 100.0%	6.7		
CONVICTIONS	797 67.8%	774 65.6%	859 68.1%	855 68.2%	7.3		
ACQUITTALS	7 0.6%	3 0.3%	2 0.2%	6 0.5%	-14.3		
DISMISSALS	348 29.6%	374 31.7%	383 30.4%	370 29.5%	6.3		
OTHER	23 2.0%	29 2.5%	17 1.3%	23 1.8%	0.0		

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

ORANGE COUNTY

ORANGE COUNTY

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

	Numbe Felo				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	2,843 100.0%	3,097 100.0%	3,031 100.0%	2,985 100.0%	5.0
FELONY	212 7.5%	150 4.8%	320 10.6%	347 11.6%	63.7
VIOLENT	49 1.7%	48 1.6%	95 3.1%	84 2.8%	71.4
OTHER	163 5.7%	102 3.3%	225 7.4%	263 8.8%	61.3
MISDEMEANOR	1,475 51.9%	1,544 49.9%	1,310 43.2%	1,218 40.8%	-17.4
LESSER OFFENSES	1,156 40.7%	1,403 45.3%	1, 401 46.2%	1,420 47.6%	22.8

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because, of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Div

8

Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

ORANGE COUNTY

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>			
CONVICTIONS	797 100.0%	774 100.0%	859 100.0%	855 100.0%	7.3			
FELONY	203 25.5%	142 18.3%	311 36.2%	337 39.4%	66.0			
VIOLENT	49 6.1%	48 6.2%	95 11.1%	84 9.8%	71.4			
OTHER	154 19.3%	94 12.1%	216 25.1%	253 29.6%	64.3			
MISDEMEANOR	500 62.7%	528 68.2%	461 53.7%	428 50.1%	-14.4			
LESSER OFFENSES	94 11,8%	104 13.4%	87 10.1%	90 10.5%	-4.3			

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

ORANGE COUNTY

TABLE 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹

	Sent	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions						
	1982	_1983_	1984	<u>1985</u> 2				
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
STATE PRISON	2.3	1.4	3.3	2.9				
LOCAL JAIL	16.7	14.5	12.7	13.2				
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.4	2.8	4.3	5.2				
PROBATION	12.3	10.8	13.2	14.0				
FINES	50.7	58.6	58.0	56.1				
OTHER/UNKNOWN	15.6	11.9	8.6	8.5				

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

²Preliminary data.

Î

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

ORANGE COUNTY

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS $^{\rm 1}$ and Misdemeanor and Lesser offense convictions $^{\rm 1}$

		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions								
	فالبديد ويسمدها فلتنابع وعوسه اعتمالتنا ويسجعك	82 Misd & Lesser		983 Misd & Lesser	 Felony	84 Misd & Lesser	and the second se	985 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>		
CONVICTIONS ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
STATE PRISON	30.2	NA	28.7	NA	31.6	NA	25.4	NA		
LOCAL JAIL	12.3	17.1	12.0	14.7	11.9	12.8	5.5	14.2		
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	16.0	1.3	29.3	1.4	23.8	2.0	28.0	2.2		
PROBATION	40.1	10.1	28.0	10.0	30.6	11.1	40.3	10.5		
FINES	0.9	54.7	1.3	61.5	2.2	64.6	0.0	63.5		
OTHER/UNKNOWN	0.5	16.8	0.7	12.4	0.0	9.6	0.9	9.5		

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

²Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

1 °.

ORANGE COUNTY

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of	Cases		1985 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	01. Channer
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INTAKE ¹	3,051	3,220	3,384	3,666	1,320.8	15	20.2
INVESTIGATIONS	1,983	2,143	2,108	1,920	691.7	33	-3.2
FAMILY COURT	244	248	253	308	111.0	41	26.2
CRIMINAL COURT	1,739	1,895	1,855	1,612	580.8	26	-7.3
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION							
CASES RECEIVED	371	249	321	307	110.6	18	-17.3
FAMILY COURT	0	1	1	0	0.0	44	NA
CRIMINAL COURT	371	248	320	307	110.6	13	-17.3

¹Intake occurs only in family court.

ORANGE COUNTY

Table 7A-2

PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

	Number of Cases				1985 Cases Per 100,000 Population		a. a.
	1982	1983	1984	_1985_	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	722	751	885	960	345.9	7	33.0
FAMILY COURT	105	120	123	135	48.6	27	28.6
CRIMINAL COURT	617	631	762	825	297.2	6	33.7
UNDER PROBATION ¹ SUPERVISION	1,903	1,980	2,142	2,424	873.3	16	27.4
FAMILY COURT	218	234	257	341	122.9	13	56.4
CRIMINAL COURT	1,685	1,746	1,885	2,083	750.5	19	23.6
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	1,222	1,246	1,386	1,673	602.7	22	36.9
FAMILY COURT	107	123	128	247	89.0	5	130.8
CRIMINAL COURT	1,115	1,123	1,258	1,426	513.8	25	27.9

¹The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

ORANGE COUNTY

TABLE 7B

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	N	umber of	Revocatic	ons	198 Revocat Per 1, <u>Probati</u>	0/ Change	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOCATIONS	60	92	98	103	42.5	36	71.7
FAMILY COURT	17	15	22	12	35.2	46	-29.4
CRIMINAL COURT	43	77	76	91	43.7	27	111.6
TECHNICAL	31	58	64	72	34.6	14	132.3
NEW CONV.	12	19	12	19	9.1	48	58.3

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS¹

	<u>FY 1983-84</u>	<u>FY 1984-8</u>	<u>5 FY 1985-86</u>	
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0	•

¹This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classifica-tion/alternative" bill.

ORANGE COUNTY

TABLE 8A

COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS										
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 Ad Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>			
ADMISSIONS	4,770	4,428	3,605	3,776	1,360.4	22	-20.8			
UNSENTENCED	3,228	3,082	2,951	3,187	1,148.2	15	-1.3			
SENTENCED	1,542	1,346	654	589	212.2	42	-61.8			

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 88

COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	_1983_	_1984_	1985	1985 In Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	251	248	252	267	96.2	16	6.4
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	225	214	218	222	80.0	20	-1.3
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	182	203	201	204	73.5	19	12.1
UNSENTENCED	124	128	137	142	51.2	14	14.5
SENTENCED	58	75	64	62	22.3	37	6.9

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

		Numbe	v of		1985 Co		
	<u>Ne</u>	Numbe w Court C 1983_		<u>s</u> 1	Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>		% Change 82 vs 85
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	85	59	119	102	36.7	20	20.0
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	27	31	61	46	16.6	21	70.4
HOMICIDE	2	9	8	5	1.8	26	150.0
SEX OFFENSE	5	1	9	8	2.9	22	60.0
ROBBERY	7	10	23	16	5.8	11	128.6
BURGLARY	10	9	12	11	4.0	29	10.0
WEAPONS	1	0	3	1	0.4	22	0.0
OTHER	2	2	6	5	1.8	26	150.0
DRUG FELONIES ³	22	11	31	25	9.0	11	13.6
POSSESSION	3	3	9	12	4.3	10	300.0
SALE	19	8	22	13	4.7	14	-31.6
OTHER FELONIES	34	16	26	31	11.2	37	-8.8
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 2	1	1	0	0.0	41	0.0

¹Preliminary data.

6

²New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

ORANGE COUNTY

TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY¹ IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

			es Under <u>on Decem</u> 1984		Inmat Under C on 12/3 Per 100 <u>Populat</u> Rate	ust. 1/85 ,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	265	230	272	302	108.8	14	14.0
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	127	131	168	189	68.1	15	48.8
HOMICIDE	32	39	45	49	17.7	15	53.1
SEX OFFENSE	19	18	25	29	10.4	18	52.6
ROBBERY	50	46	59	65	23.4	11	30.0
BURGLARY	15	19	26	30	10.8	23	100.0
WEAPONS	3	0	3	2	0.7	30	-33.3
OTHER	8	9	10	14	5.0	27	75.0
DRUG FELONIES ³	37	37	50	55	19.8	8	48.6
POSSESSION	9	10	14	20	7.2	7	122.2
SALE	28	27	36	35	12.6	8	25.0
OTHER FELONIES	89	56	51	57	20.5	30	-36.0
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 12	6	3	1	0.4	41	-91.7

 $^{1}\,\rm Inmates$ under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

²Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

2

ORANGE COUNTY

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY $^{\rm I}$

	1982	1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	0.9%

¹Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

ORANGE COUNTY

TABLE 10A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	<u>Number_of</u> _ <u>1983_</u>	<u>Parolees</u> _ <u>1984</u> _	1985	1985 Par Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	93	103	69	69	24.9	31	-25.8
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	265	296	282	255	91.9	22	-3.8
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	193	213	186	169	60.9	23	-12.4

¹The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	1982_	<u>Number of</u> _1983_	Parolees 1984	1985	1985 Revocat Per 1, <u>Parole</u> <u>Rate</u>	ions 000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	35	24	27	17	66.7	29	-51.4
RULE VIOLATIONS	14	4	4	5	19.6	21	-64.3
NEW ARRESTS	17	16	17	6	23.5	36	-64.7
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	4	4	6	6	23.5	27	50.0

 $^{1}\ensuremath{\mathsf{The}}$ total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

ORANGE COUNTY

Table 1

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

	•••••••••••••••••	Expend	litures		Appropr	iations_
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Department of Correctional Services	101.6	234.0	243.0	139.3%	.0	.0
Crime Victim's Board	39.0	40.9	60.4	54.7%	60.4	108.8
Division of Criminal Justice Services	432.1	489.3	675.1	56.2%	1,098.3	709.6
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ¹	344.9	403.0	504.1	46.2%	599.9	700.3
Division for Youth ²	649.4	914.3	980.7	51.0%	2,758.8	1,689.8
TOTAL	1,567.0	2,081.7	2,463.3	57.2%	4,517.4	3,208.4

¹On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

²The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

ORANGE COUNTY

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend		Appropr	iations	
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Board of Prisoners						
Felons ¹	62.9	162.5	145.7	131.7%		
Parole Violators ¹	38.7	69.3	96.0	148.4%		
Prisoner Transfer	.0	2.3	1.3	NA		
TOTAL	101.6	234.0	243.0	139.3%	.0	.0

¹Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

8

3

B

ORANGE COUNTY

Table 3

CRIME VICTIM'S BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	39.0	40.9	60.4	54.7%	60,4	108.8	
TOTAL	39.0	40.9	60.4	54.7%	60.4	108.8	

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

ORANGE COUNTY

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropr	iations
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Crime Prevention and Protection ¹		.0	45.0	NA	45.0	95,0
Indigent Parolee Prog. ²	5.4	3.6	1.6	-70.5%		1
Justice Assistance Act ³	e	* _		NA	21.5	21.5
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	25.6	36.4	30.0	17.1%	22.5	11.0
Major Offense Police Program	274.4	290.9	311.2	13.4%	323.7	330.1
Mobile Radio District Program ¹	~~	.0	.0	NA	406.9	.0
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act ⁴		.0	2.3	NA	20.0	

 1 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

²Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

 $^3{\rm FY}$ 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

⁴This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

ORANGE COUNTY

Table 4 (continued)

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program ⁵			40.5	NA			
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ⁶	2 2 2 2 2 2 2		63.8	NA	70.9	55.3	
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁷	126.7	158.4	180.7	42.6%	187.8	196.6	
TOTAL	432.1	489.3	675.1	56.2%	1,098.3	709.6	

⁵In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

⁶This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

⁷This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

ORANGE COUNTY

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

ORANGE COUNTY

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Program	Expenditures				Appropriations	
	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Classification Alternatives ¹		~~		NA	35.0	46.1
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	39.7	41.5	79.3	99.8%	105.3	119.2
Regular State Aid	305.2	361.6	424.8	39.2%	459.7	534.9
TOTAL	344.9	403.0	504.1	46.2%	599.9	700.3

¹This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

ORANGE COUNTY

2.0

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7
Detention						
Non-Secure	14.9	9.2	6.0	-60.2%	10.6	9.4
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	150.0	177.8	216.3	44.1%	195.3	191.8
Special Legislative Contracts	.0	.0	75.0	NA	125.0	123.0
Voluntary Agency Care	152.1	333.7	242.9	59.7%	1,206.9	712.9
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	332.3	393.6	440.6	32.6%	1,221.0	652.7
TOTAL	649.4	914.3	980.7	51.0%	2,758.8	1,689.8

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

3

ORANGE COUNTY

Tab	le 1A		
 CAPACITY AND	D STAFF SUMMARY		
	Capacity	Staff	
DOCS Facilities	1,325	847	
DFY Facilities	105	150	
TOTAL	1,430	997	

Table 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Appropriations			
	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
DOCS Facilities	21,117.9	22,899.5	24,799.6	17.4%	24,916.6	26,911.1
DFY Facilities	3,908.6	3,889.3	4,360.7	11.6%	4,512.1	4,784.2
TOTAL	25,026.5	26,788.8	29,160.3	16.5%	29,428.7	31,695.3

Table 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

	E	Expenditures			Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	Total	FY 85-6	FY 86-7		
DOCS Facilities	1,178.7	1,277.6	2,456.3	885.9	3,824.0		
DFY Facilities	15.7	187.6	203.3	153.0	488.0		
TOTAL	1,194.4	1,465.2	2,659.5	1,038.9	4,312.0		

ORANGE COUNTY

Table 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 11, 1986)

Facility	Security Level	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
Mid-Orange	Medium	727	462	
Otisville	Medium	598	385	
TOTAL	•	1,325	847	

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Table 28

	FA		RATING BUD of Dollar		1997 - 1999 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	
		Expenditures				
Facility	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Mid-Orange	11,340.6	12,267.2	13,158.8	16.0%	13,407.7	14,478.2
Otisville	9,777.2	10,632.3	11,640.8	19.1%	11,508.9	12,432.9
TOTAL	21,117.9	22,899.5	24,799.6	17.4%	24,916.6	26,911.1

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

ORANGE COUNTY

Table 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures			Appropriations		
	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	Total	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>		
Mid-Orange	276.4	897.6	1,174.0	570.6	3,250.0		
Otisville	902.4	379.9	1,282.3	315.3	574.0		
TOTAL	1,178.7	1,277.6	2,456.3	885.9	3,824.0		

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

ORANGE COUNTY

Table 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1986)

Facility	Security Level ¹	Capacity	Staff	
Goshen Secure Center	I	85	131	
Middletown Residential Center	IV	20	19	
TOTAL		105	150	

¹ Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-Community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI - Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

Table 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures			Appropriations		
Facility	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Goshen Secure Center	3,395.5	3,348.6	3,782.7	11.4%	3,900.2	4,119.1
Middletown Residential Center	513.1	540.7	578.0	12.6%	611.9	665.1
TOTAL	3,908.6	3,889.3	4,360.7	11.6%	4,512.1	4,784.2

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

ORANGE COUNTY

Table 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	[Expenditures			iations
	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	Total	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Goshen Secure Center	.0	173.0	173.0	153.0	484.0
Middletown Residential Center	15.7	14.6	30.3	.0	4.0
TOTAL	15.7	187.6	203.3	153.0	488.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

SULLIVAN COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop F Headquarters Crystal Run Road Middletown, New York 10940 New York State Division of Parole Suite 309, 80 Washington Street Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office County Court House Monticello, New York 12701

Probation Department County Government Center Monticello, New York 12701

Legal Aid Society P.O. Box 979 26 Landfield Avenue Monticello, New York 12701 Sheriff's Department 2 Bushnell Avenue Monticello, New York 12701

Youth Bureau County Government Center 3 Liberty Street Monticello, New York 12701

County Court Court House Monticello, New York 12701

Family Court 222 Broadway Monticello, New York 12701

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Sullivan County is located in the Mid-Hudson region and Monticello is its county seat. In area the County covers 976 square miles.

<u>Population</u>

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 65,155 persons (ranked 37) has changed 23.9 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 66.8 persons per square mile (ranked 45). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 67,602 persons (ranked 36).

Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 7.7 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 13), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 4.1 percent of the County's population (ranked 10). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 29.7 percent of the County's population (ranked 53).

Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 21.3 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 4), and 12.0 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 38). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 5.0 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 12), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

Economy

Personal per capita income in the County was \$11,059 in 1984 (ranked 32).* In 1985, a monthly average of 5.5 percent (about 1,600 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 44). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 1,951, or 2.9 percent of the population (ranked 46 among the 57 non-New York City counties).*

* Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

		Number of Crimes			1985 Cr Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	% Change
	1982	1983_	_1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	2,904	2,654	2,577	2,550	3,784.5	16	-12.2
VIOLENT	250	256	288	229	339.9	14	-8.4
MURDER	5	3	4	2	3.0	22	-60.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	10	16	13	18	26.7	10	80.0
ROBBERY	57	43	42	29	43.0	20	-49.1
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	178	194	229	180	267.1	12	1.1
PROPERTY	2,654	2,398	2,289	2,321	3,444.6	16	-12.5
BURGLARY	1,289	1,117	973	1,112	1,650.3	4	-13.7
LARCENY	1,232	1,143	1,199	1,088	1,614.7	41	-11.7
MV THEFT	133	138	117	121	179.6	14	-9.0

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

TABLE 2A

		Number o	f Arrests		1985 Ar Per 100 Populat	,000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	Rank	<u>82 vs 85</u>
ARRESTS	2,629	2,607	2,484	2,097	3,102.0	3	-20.2
FELONY .	620	640	616	508	751.5	4	-18.1
VIOLENT	200	216	200	199	294.4	6	-0.5
OTHER	420	424	416	309	457.1	4	-26.4
MISDEMEANOR	2,009	1,967	1,868	1,589	2,350.5	5	-20.9

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	995	1.5
FELONY	274	22.3
VIOLENT	105	23.5
OTHER	169	21.6
MISDEMEANOR	721	-4.6

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹

	1982	Number o	f Arrests 1984	_1985_	1985 Arr Per 100 <u>Populat</u> Rate	,000	% Change <u>82_vs_85</u>
MURDER	4	2	1	3	4.4	12	-25.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	8	8	11	11	16.3	5	37.5
ROBBERY	27	28	25	23	34.0	10	-14.8
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	93	101	100	83	122.8	6	-10.8
BURGLARY	156	132	108	133	196.7	2	-14.7
LARCENY ²	574	520	445	359	531.0	3	-37.5

¹NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

²Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

TABLE 2D

ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF $DRUGS^1$

	· ••	Number of	⁻ Arrests		1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	156	106	166	98	145.0	10	-37.2
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	96	77	124	63	93.2	11	-34.4
POSSESSION	78	59	93	58	85.8	9	-25.6
SALE	· 18	18	31	5	7.4	35	-72.2
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	60	29	42	35	51.8	10	-41.7
POSSESSION	55	26	29	31	45.9	7	-43.6
SALE	5	3	13	4	5.9	35	-20.0

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

TABLE 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES 1

		Number of	f Arrests		1985 Ar Per 1, Licen Drive	000 sed	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	648	841	751	580	12.4	8	-10.5
FELONY	52	49	51	29	0.6	26	-44.2
MISDEMEANOR	596	792	700	551	11.7	8	-7.6

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrests and Processing Trends File.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	nber of Feld	ony Indictme	ents	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>82 vs 85</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	164 100.0%	203 100.0%	229 100.0%	140 100.0%	-14.6
VIOLENT	30 18.3%	43 21.2%	38 16.6%	46 32.9%	53.3
OTHER	1 34 81.7%	160 78.8%	191 83.4%	94 67.1%	-29.9

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	88	23.9	
VIOLENT	20	11.1	
OTHER	68	28.3	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

TABLE 4A

$\begin{array}{c} \text{DISPOSITIONS} \\ \text{RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS}^1 \end{array}$

		Resulti	t of Disposi ng from lemeanor Arre		
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	2,120 100.0%	2,251 100.0%	2,124 100.0%	1,876 100.0%	-11.5
CONVICTIONS	1,329 62.7%	1, 459 64.8%	1,357 63.9%	1,253 66.8%	-5.7
ACQUITTALS	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 0.2%	2 0.1%	NA
DISMISŠALS	787 37.1%	7 83 34.8%	755 35.5%	615 32.8%	-21.9
OTHER	4 0.2%	9 0.4%	8 0.4%	6 0.3%	50.0

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

TABLE 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

	Numbe Res	Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	527 100.0%	521 100.0%	471 100.0%	451 100.0%	-14.4		
CONVICTIONS	348 66.0%	345 66.2%	314 66.7%	309 68.5%	-11.2		
ACQUITTALS	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 0.6%	0 0.0%	NA		
DISMISSALS	176 33.4%	176 33.8%	151 32.1%	140 31.0%	-20.5		
OTHER	3 0.6%	0 0.0%	3 0.6%	2 0.4%	-33.3		

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests					
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
CONVICTIONS	1,329 100.0%	1, 459 100.0%	1,357 100.0%	1,253 100.0%	-5.7		
FELONY	134 10.1%	124 8.5%	137 10.1%	133 10.6%	-0.7		
VIOLENT	22 1.7%	21 1.4%	17 1.3%	17 1.4%	-22.7		
OTHER	112 8.4%	103 7.1%	120 8.8%	116 9.3%	3.6		
MISDEMEANOR	561 42.2%	592 40.6%	559 41.2%	549 43.8%	-2.1		
LESSER OFFENSES	634 47.7%	743 50.9%	661 48.7%	571 45.6%	-9.9		

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

•

	Numbe Res				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	348 100.0%	345 100.0%	314 100.0%	309 100.0%	-11.2
FELONY	124 35.6%	112 32.5%	115 36.6%	118 38.2%	-4.8
VIOLENT	22 - 6.3%	21 6.1%	17 5.4%	17 5.5%	-22.7
OTHER	102 29.3%	91 26.4%	98 31.2%	101 32.7%	-1.0
MISDEMEANOR	183 52.6%	183 53.0%	155 49.4%	150 48.5%	-18.0
LESSER OFFENSES	41 11.8%	50 14.5%	44 14.0%	41 13.3%	0.0

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹

	Sent	<u>ences as a Percent</u>	<u>of Total Convict</u>	ions
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	2.4	2.6	2.1	3.2
LOCAL JAIL	18.6	14.0	14.8	19.9
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.2	3.4	2.1	4.1
PROBATION	13.0	11.0	13.9	13.5
FINES	54.0	58.0	57.0	47.2
OTHER/UNKNOWN	9.8	11.0	10.1	12.2

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

²Preliminary data.

.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹

		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions								
		82 Misd & Lesser		83 Misd & Lesser	<u>19</u> Felony	984 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser		
CONVICTIONS ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
STATE PRISON	23.9	NA	30.6	NA	20.4	NA	30.1	NA		
LOCAL JAIL	11.2	19.4	4.8	14.8	4.4	16.0	12.8	20.7		
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	14.2	0.8	23.4	1.6	15.3	0.7	24.8	1.6		
PROBATION	48.5	9.0	40.3	8.2	58.4	8.9	30.1	11.5		
FINES	2.2	59.8	0.0	63,4	1.5	63.2	1.5	52.6		
OTHER/UNKNOWN	0.0	10.9	0.8	12.0	0.0	11.2	0.8	13.6		

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

²Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

File.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends

8

SULLIVAN COUNTY

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of	f Cases		1985 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INTAKE ¹	627	550	636	648	958.6	32	3.3
INVESTIGATIONS	637	675	639	588	869.8	15	-7.7
FAMILY COURT	76	99	55	64	94.7	48	-15.8
CRIMINAL COURT	561	576	584	524	775.1	13	-6.6
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	1	0	0	0	0.0	53	-100.0
FAMILY COURT	0	0	0	0	0.0	44	NA
CRIMINAL COURT	1	0	0	0	0.0	42	-100.0
and the second sec							

¹Intake occurs only in family court.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

Table 7A-2

PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

		Number of Cases			1985 Cases Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	_1983_	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	270	296	273	277	409.8	3	2.6
FAMILY COURT	44	60	32	40	59.2	15	-9.1
CRIMINAL COURT	226	236	241	237	350.6	3	4.9
UNDER PROBATION ¹ SUPERVISION	601	746	848	953	1,409.7	1	58.6
FAMILY COURT	94	101	89	83	122.8	14	-11.7
CRIMINAL COURT	507	645	759	870	1,286.9	1	71.6
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	450	577	674	725	1,072.5	1	61.1
FAMILY COURT	41	59	41	41	60.6	16	0.0
CRIMINAL COURT	409	518	633	684	1,011.8	1	67.2

¹The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

TABLE 7B

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

	N	1985 Revocations Per 1,000 Number of Revocations Probationers							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
REVOCATIONS	20	30	22	47	49.3	22	135.0		
FAMILY COURT	1	3	2	5	60.2	34	400.0		
CRIMINAL COURT	19	27	20	42	48.3	18	121.1		
TECHNICAL	5	16	16	33	37.9	10	560.0		
NEW CONV.	14	11	4	9	10.3	45	-35.7		

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS¹

	<u>FY 1983-84</u>	<u>FY 1984-85</u>	<u>FY 1985-86</u>	
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0	

¹This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classifica-tion/alternative" bill.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

TABLE 8A

4

1985 Adm. Per 100,000 % Change Population 1982 1983 1984 1985 Rate Rank <u>82 vs 85</u> ADMISSIONS 2,218 1 2,103 2,085 1,684 2,491.1 -24.1 UNSENTENCED 1,422 1,414 1,469 1,211 1,791.4 1 -14.8 699.7 SENTENCED 796 689 616 473 2 -40.6

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 8B

COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983	_1984_	1985	1985 In Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>		% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	94	94	94	164	242.6	1	74.5
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	117	112	114	118	174.6	1	0.9
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	129	96	150	114	168.6	1	-11.6
UNSENTENCED	81	52	116	86	127.2	1	6.2
SENTENCED	48	44	34	28	41.4	4	-41.7

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

e U

SULLIVAN COUNTY

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	<u>Ne</u>	Numbe w Court C 1983	er of Commitmen 1984	<u>1985</u> 1	1985 Co Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	44	63	32	63	93.2	3	43.2
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	13	30	10	19	28.1	8	46.2
HOMICIDE	1	2	1	2	3.0	9	100.0
SEX OFFENSE	2	6	4	6	8.9	1	200.0
ROBBERY	3	8	0	2	3.0	19	-33.3
BURGLARY	2	5	3	4	5.9	20	100.0
WEAPONS	2	5	0	0	0.0	23	0.0
OTHER	3	4	2	5	7.4	5	66.7
DRUG FELONIES ³	13	14	6	17	25.1	2	30.8
POSSESSION	5	2	2	6	8.9	2	20.0
SALE	8	12	4	11	16.3	3	37.5
OTHER FELONIES	18	16	15	25	37.0	2	38.9
YOUTHFUL OFFENDER	5 0	3	1	2	3.0	9	NA

¹Preliminary data.

²New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY¹ IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

		of Inmate <u>e Prison</u> <u>1983</u>			Inmat Under C on 12/3 Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	ust. 1/85 ,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	96	120	110	119	176.0	6	24.0
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	38	61	56	57	84.3	10	50.0
HOMICIDE	11	13	14	14	20.7	9	27.3
SEX OFFENSE	7	11	11	16	23.7	4	128.6
ROBBERY	9	14	9	7	10.4	19	-22.2
BURGLARY	2	6	9	9	13.3	17	350,0
WEAPONS	2	7	4	2	3.0	13	0.0
OTHER	7	10	9	9	13.3	6	28.6
DRUG FELONIES ³	20	22	18	23	34.0	5	15.0
POSSESSION	7	4	4	6	8.9	5	-14.3
SALE	13	18	14	17	25.1	6	30.8
OTHER FELONIES	38	33	33	38	56.2	4	0.0
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 0	4	3	1	1.5	26	NA

 $^{1}\,\rm Inmates$ under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

²Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%

¹Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

TABLE 10A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	Number of	<u>Parolees</u> 1984	1985	1985 Par Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	27	25	25	34	50.3	9	25.9
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	72	74	75	86	127.2	9	19.4
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	49	50	52	66	97.6	8	34.7

¹The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

	1982	<u>Number of</u> _ <u>1983</u> _	<u>Parolees</u> _1984_	1985	1985 Revocat Per 1, <u>Parole</u> <u>Rate</u>	ions 000	% Change <u>82_vs_85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	6	10	Ľ,	8	93.0	23	33.3
RULE VIOLATIONS	2	4	4	1	11.6	29	-50.0
NEW ARRESTS	3	4	0	4	46.5	21	33.3
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	1	2	1	3	34.9	15	200.0

 1 The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

Table 1

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Department of Correctional Services	82.3	88.7	106.1	28.9%	.0	.0	
Crime Victim's Board	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0	
Division of Criminal Justice Services	4.3	73.5	15.8	264.2%	253.1	21.4	
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	.0	
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ¹	140.3	161.6	183.0	30.5%	217.9	253.8	
Division for Youth ²	120.9	142.1	164.4	36.0%	522.8	258.7	
TOTAL	347.8	. 465.9	469.2	34.9%	993.7	533,9	

¹On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

²The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Appropriations		
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Board of Prisoners							
Felons ¹	66.7	73.4	86.4	29.5%		met essi	
Parole Violators ¹	13.9	13.4	18.6	33.5%			
Prisoner Transferl	1.6	1.9	1.0	-35.7%			
TOTAL	82.3	88.7	106.1	28.9%	.0	.0	

1Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

e .

8

SULLIVAN COUNTY

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 3 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

ى يې د د د بې د پې د پې د پې د پې د پې د پې		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Indigent Parolee Prog. ¹	4.3	4.8	3.8	-12.7%		-
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	.0	62.5	12.0	NA	.0	21.4
Mobile Radio District Program ²		6.2	.0	NA	253.1	.0
TOTAL	4.3	73.5	15.8	264.2%	253.1	21.4

¹Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

²No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations				
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Classification Alternatives ¹			сан Солония С	NA	11.1	11.6	
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	17.5	19.2	19.7	12.7%	25.2	26.8	
Regular State Aid	122.8	142.3	163.3	33.0%	181.6	215.4	
TOTAL	140.3	161.6	183.0	30.5%	217.9	253.8	

¹This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

and a

·

SULLIVAN COUNTY

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	·	Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Detention						
Secure	6.2	.0	.0	-100.0%	.0	۵ ،
Non-Secure	.1	4.3	4.1	-33.6%	42.1	19.7
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	25.7	31.8	31.7	23.2%	31.8	36.8
Voluntary Agency Care	27.9	27.2	37.5	34.1%	205.7	73.9
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	60.9	78.9	91.1	49.6%	243.2	128.3
TOTAL	120.9	142.1	164.4	36.0%	522.8	258.7

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

Tab	ple 1A			
 CAPACITY AN	ID STA	FF SUMMARY		
		Capacity	Staff	
DOCS Facilities	•	1,525	930	
DFY Facilities		7	3	
TOTAL		1,532	933	

Table 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Appropriations			
	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
DOCS Facilities	12,465.2	13,498.8	15,459.9	24.0%	23,928.7	29,615.6
DFY Facilities	119.7	148.1	153.6	28.3%	174.0	145.8
TOTAL	12,584.9	13,646.9	15,613.5	24.1%	24,102.7	29,761.4

Table 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

	·	Expenditures	Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	Total	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
DOCS Facilities	18,397.1	21,960.1	40,357.3	57,174.6	5,150.0
DFY Facilities	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
TOTAL	18,397.1	21,960.1	40,357.3	57,174.6	5,150.0

SULLIVAN COUNTY

Table 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 11, 1986)

	Facility	Security Level	Capacity	Staff
	Fallsburg-Camp ¹	Minimum	132	
	Sullivan	Maximum	557	466
	Woodbourne	Medium	836	464
OTAL			1,525	930

 1 The facility's staff is included in the staff reported for the Sullivan facility.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

Table 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Appropriations				
Facility	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Fallsburg-Camp ¹				NA	ing age	NUT 170
Sullivan ²	.0	.0	.0	NA	7,737.3	14,299.8
Woodbourne	12,465.2	13,498.8	15,459.9	24.0%	16,191.4	15,315.8
TOTAL	12,465.2	13,498.8	15,459.9	24.0%	23,928.7	29,615.6

¹ The facility opened in April of 1984. In FY 1983-84 and FY 1984-85 the facility's budget was administered by the Woodbourne facility; in FY 1986-87 the administration of the facility's budget was transferred to the Sullivan facility.

² The facility opened in August of 1985.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

Table 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures	Appropriations		
	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>		<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Fallsburg-Camp ¹	.0	170.9	170.9		
Sullivan ²	16,850.2	21,607.0	38,457.2	57,100.0	5,150.0
Woodbourne	1,546.9	182.2	1,729.1	, 74.6	.0
TOTAL	18,397.1	21,960.1	40,357.3	57,174.6	5,150.0

¹ The facility opened in April of 1984. In FY 1983-84 and FY 1984-85 the facility's budget was administered by the Woodbourne facility; in FY 1986-87 the administration of the facility's budget was transferred to the Sullivan facility.

² The facility opened in August of 1985.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

Table 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1986)

Facility	Security _Level ¹	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
Monticello Community Urban Home	VI	7	3	

¹ Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-Community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI - Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

.

đ.

Table 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	ditures	na se	Appropriation			
Facility	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>		
Monticello Community Urban Home	119.7	148.1	153.6	28.3%	174.0	145.8		

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

SULLIVAN COUNTY

Table 3C

.

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations		
	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	<u>Total</u>		<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Monticello Community Urban Home	.0	.0	.0		.0	.0	

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

ULSTER COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York State Police Troop F Headquarters Crystal Run Road Middletown, New York 10940 New York State Division of Parole Suite 309, 80 Washington Street Poughkeepsie, New York 12601

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office 285 Wall Street Kingston, New York 12401

Probation Department P.O. Box 1800 County Office Building Kingston, New York 12401

Public Defender's Office 285 Wall Street Kingston, New York 12401

Assigned Counsel Plan 285 Wall Street Kingston, New York 12401 Sheriff's Department Golden Hill Drive Kingston, New York 12401

Youth Bureau P.O. Box 1800 Kingston, New York 12401

County Court Court House Kingston, New York 12401

Family Court County Office Building Fair Street Kingston, New York 12401 II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Ulster County is located in the Mid-Hudson region and Kingston is its county seat. In area the County covers 1,131 square miles.

<u>Population</u>

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the County's 1980 resident population of 158,158 persons (ranked 19) has changed 12.0 percent since 1970. The County's 1980 population density was 139.8 persons per square mile (ranked 25). The preliminary 1985 intercensal population estimate for the County indicates that it had a resident population of 163,774 persons (ranked 19).

Race, Ethnicity, and Age

In 1980, 4.9 percent of the County's population was non-white (ranked 21), compared to the State average of 16.5 percent. Hispanics, both white and non-white, comprised 3.1 percent of the County's population (ranked 12). Residents aged 19 and under accounted for 30.5 percent of the County's population (ranked 48).

Education

Among the County's residents aged 25 and older in 1980, 17.5 percent had an educational attainment that ranged from kindergarten to the eighth grade (ranked 23), and 16.0 percent had four or more years of college (ranked 16). In the school year ending June 30, 1985, 6.1 percent of the County's public high school population dropped out of school (ranked 5), compared to the State average of 5.8 percent.

Economy

Personal per capita income in the County was \$12,445 in 1984 (ranked 16).* In 1985, a monthly average of 4.8 percent (about 3,800 persons) of the County's civilian labor force was unemployed (ranked 51). The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs in 1984 was 5,615, or 3.5 percent of the population (ranked 36 among the 57 non-New York City counties).*

* Data for 1985 were not available at the time of publication.

ULSTER COUNTY

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

•		Number o	f Crimes		1985 Cr Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	% Change
	1982	1983_	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INDEX CRIME	5,219	4,564	4,864	4,925	3,019.0	30	-5.6
VIOLENT	339	331	446	575	352.5	13	69.6
MURDER	4	6	3	7	4.3	13	75.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	25	2.4	35	39	23.9	14	56.0
ROBBERY	44	59	60	59	36.2	21	34.1
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	266	242	348	470	288.1	11	76.7
PROPERTY	4,880	4,233	4,418	4,350	2,666.5	34	-10.9
BURGLARY	1,982	1,745	1,542	1,251	766.8	31	-36.9
LARCENY	2,635	2,257	2,660	2,872	1,760.5	33	9.0
MV THEFT	263	231	216	227	139.1	25	-13.7

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

ť

577

2,456

OTHER

MISDEMEANOR

ULSTER COUNTY

6.2

6.9

16.4

3.1

6.0

TABLE 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS

1985 Arrests Per 100,000 Number of Arrests Population % Change 1982 1984 1985 <u>82 vs 85</u> 1983 Rate Rank ARRESTS 3,258 3,299 3,325 3,461 2,113.3 17 FELONY 802 820 824 857 523.3 11 VIOLENT 225 296 253 262 160.0 13

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

571

2,501

595

2,604

363.3

1,590.0

12

21

524

2,479

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	1,773	4.7
FELONY	433	-0.5
VIOLENT	124	-7.5
OTHER	309	2.7
MISDEMEANOR	1,340	6.4

ULSTER COUNTY

TABLE 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹

	1982	<u>Number o</u> _1983_	<u>f Arrests</u> _1984_	1985	1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
MURDER	5	4	7	5	3.1	19	0.0
FORCIBLE RAPE	12	9	18	22	13.4	9	83.3
ROBBERY	27	30	30	27	16.5	20	0.0
AGGRAVATED ASSAMLT	79	85	102	106	64.7	13	34.2
BURGLARY	195	190	163	176	107.5	21	-9.7
LARCENY ²	590	390	571	669	408.5	13	13.4

¹NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

²Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

ULSTER COUNTY

TABLE 2D

ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF $DRUGS^1$

· ·		Number of	Arrests		1985 Ar Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	% Changes
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	187	204	185	173	105.6	18	-7.5
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	117	134	120	129	78.8	16	10.3
POSSESSION	96	104	104	97	59.2	19	1.0
SALE	21	30	16	32	19.5	14	52.4
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	70	70	65	44	26.9	23	-37.1
POSSESSION	62	63	51	36	22.0	17	-41.9
SALE	8	7	14	8	4.9	42	0.0

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> - Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 through PL 221.55).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

g : 16

ULSTER COUNTY

TABLE 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Number of	<u>F Arrests</u>	1985 Ar Per 1, Licen Drive			
	1982	1983	1984	_1985_	<u>Rate_</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	802	1,193	898	905	8.1	30	12.8
FELONY	38	70	59	48	0.4	39	26.3
MISDEMEANOR	764	1,123	839	857	7.7	31	12.2

¹Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York</u> - Section 1192).

ULSTER COUNTY

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS

	Nur	Number of Felony Indictments					
	1982	1983	1984	1985	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	218 100.0%	250 100.0%	252 100.0%	308 100.0%	41.3		
VIOLENT	51 23.4%	1 00 40.0%	63 25.0%	64 20.8%	25.5		
OTHER	167 76.6%	1 50 60.0%	189 75.0%	244 79.2%	46.1		

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1986 (Preliminary Data)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>85 vs 86</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	112	-32.1	
VIOLENT	35	16.7	
OTHER	77	-43.0	

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

ULSTER COUNTY

TABLE 4A

$\begin{array}{c} \text{DISPOSITIONS} \\ \text{RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS}^1 \end{array}$

	Numbe				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	2,144 100.0%	2,006 100.0%	2,185 100.0%	2,453 100.0%	14.4
CONVICTIONS	1, 557 72.6%	1,398 69.7%	1,530 70.0%	1,685 68.7%	8.2
ACQUITTALS	1 0 0.5%	8 0.4%	4 0.2%	11 0.4%	10.0
DISMISSALS	558 26.0%	589 29.4%	645 29.5%	749 30.5%	34.2
OTHER	19 0.9%	11 0.5%	6 0.3%	8 0.3%	-57.9

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

ULSTER COUNTY

TABLE 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

	Numbe Res				
	1982	1983		<u>1985</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
DISPOSITIONS	525 100.0%	531 100.0%	494 100.0%	575 100.0%	9.5
CONVICTIONS	375 71.4%	397 74.8%	367 74.3%	423 73.6%	12.8
ACQUITTALS	6 1.1%	4 0.8%	3 0.6%	3 0.5%	-50.0
DISMISSALS	129 24.6%	124 23.4%	122 24.7%	143 24.9%	10.9
OTHER	15 2.9%	6 1.1%	2 0.4%	6 1.0%	-60.0

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

ULSTER COUNTY

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹

	Numbe Felo				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	1,557 100.0%	1,398 100.0%	1,530 100.0%	1,685 100.0%	8.2
FELONY	168 10.8%	158 11.3%	163 10.7%	193 11.5%	14.9
VIOLENT	29 1.9%	36 2.6%	36 2.4%	37 2.2%	27.6
OTHER	139 8.9%	122 8.7%	127 8.3%	156 9.3%	12.2
MISDEMEANOR	800 51.4%	775 55.4%	805 52.6%	892 52.9%	11.5
LESSER OFFENSES	589 37.8%	465 33.3%	562 36.7%	600 35.6%	1.9

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

ULSTER COUNTY

TABLE 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE₁CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹

	Numbe Res				
	1982	1983	1984	<u>1985</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
CONVICTIONS	375 100.0%	397 100.0%	367 100.0%	423 100.0%	12.8
FELONY	1 52 40.5%	141 35.5%	138 37.6%	167 39.5%	9.9
VIOLENT	29 7.7%	36 9.1%	36 9.8%	37 8.7%	27.6
OTHER	123 32.8%	1 05 26.4%	102 27.8%	1 30 30.7%	5.7
MISDEMEANOR	190 50.7%	218 54.9%	179 48.8%	221 52.2%	16.3
LESSER OFFENSES	33 8.8%	38 9.6%	50 13.6%	35 8.3%	6.1

¹Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

²Preliminary data.

Ő.

	Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions							
	1982	_1983_	1984	<u>1985</u> 2				
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	. 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
STATE PRISON	3.2	3.9	3.3	3.3				
LOCAL JAIL	14.3	14.0	15.9	14.1				
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	1.9	2.1	3.1	2.9				
PROBATION	7.6	9.4	9.2	10.1				
FINES	58.8	60.9	59.5	59.3				
OTHER/UNKNOWN	14.2	9.6	9.1	10.3				

TABLE 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A and 7B for probation activity, in Tables 8A and 8B for local jail activity, and in Table 9A for prison activity.

²Preliminary data.

ULSTER COUNTY

TABLE 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS $^{\rm 1}$ AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS $^{\rm 1}$

		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions								
)82 Misd & Lesser	<u>19</u> Felony	983 Misd & Lesser	<u> </u>	984 Misd & Lesser	 Felony	985 Misd & Lesser		
CONVICTIONS ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
STATE PRISON	29.8	NA	34.2	NA	31.3	NA	28.5	NA		
LOCAL JAIL	19.6	13.6	11.4	14.4	9.8	16.6	8.8	14.8		
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	14.3	0.4	15.2	0.5	22.1	0.8	17.6	1.0		
PROBATION	34.5	4.4	34.8	6.2	34.4	6.1	44.0	5.7		
FINES	0.0	65.9	1.3	68.5	2.5	66.3	0.5	66.9		
OTHER/UNKNOWN	1.8	15.7	3.2	10.4	0.0	10.2	0.5	11.6		

¹These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions, these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7B for probation activity, in Table 8A for local jail activity, and Table 9A for state prison activity.

²Preliminary data are presented for 1985.

ULSTER COUNTY

Table 7A-1

PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES

	Number of Cases				1985 Cases Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
INTAKE ¹	1,349	1,438	1,710	1,867	1,140.0	23	38.4
INVESTIGATIONS	923	858	902	1,268	774.2	24	37.4
FAMILY COURT	149	129	160	173	105.6	44	16.1
CRIMINAL COURT	774	729	742	1,095	668.6	19	41.5
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	2	0	1	54	33.0	34	2,600.0
FAMILY COURT	0	0	1	0	0.0	44	NA
CRIMINAL COURT	2	0	0	54	33.0	23	2,600.0

¹Intake occurs only in family court.

ULSTER COUNTY

Table 7A-2

PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES

	1	Number of	f Cases	un de la companya de	1985 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	,000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	302	343	319	378	230.8	39	25.2
FAMILY COURT	62	66	54	61	37.2	38	-1.6
CRIMINAL COURT	240	277	265	317	193.6	34	32.1
UNDER PROBATION ¹ SUPERVISION	794	848	918	1,049	640.5	48	32.1
FAMILY COURT	118	124	107	110	67.2	43	-6.8
CRIMINAL COURT	676	724	811	939	573.4	46	38.9
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	505	599	671	762	465.3	42	50.9
FAMILY COURT	58	53	49	54	33.0	43	-6.9
CRIMINAL COURT	447	546	622	708	432.3	40	58.4

¹The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

ULSTER COUNTY

TABLE 7B

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

1985 Revocations Per 1,000 Number of Revocations Probationers % Change 1982 1983 1984 1985 Rate Rank <u>82 vs 85</u> 65.8 8 REVOCATIONS 44 26 44 69 56.8 5 FAMILY COURT 3 7 127.3 366.7 1 14 CRIMINAL COURT 41 25 37 55 58.6 9 34.1 TECHNICAL 30.9 19 61.1 18 12 23 29 NEW CONV. 23 13 14 27.7 12 13.0 26

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7C

CLIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS¹

	<u>FY 1983-84</u>	<u>FY 1984-85</u>	FY 1985-86
CLIENTS SERVED	0	0	0

¹This table shows the number of clients served during the State fiscal years by "demonstration" alternative programs. Data are not yet available for the number of clients served by the 70 programs funded pursant to the "classification/alternative" bill.

III CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY ULSTER COUNTY

TABLE 8A

COUNTY JAIL ADMISSIONS

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1985 A Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
ADMISSIONS	2,958	2,838	2,596	2,487	T,518.6	16	-15.9
UNSENTENCED	2,007	2,044	1,804	1,899	1,159.5	13	-5.4
SENTENCED	951	794	792	588	359.0	23	-38.2

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

TABLE 88

COUNTY JAIL CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982	1983	_1984_	1985	1985 Inn Per 100, <u>Populati</u> <u>Rate</u>	000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	142	142	142	142	86.7	24	0.0
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	113	114	130	144	87.9	12	27.4
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	113	122	157	123	75.1	18	8.8
UNSENTENCED	81	84	112	86	52.5	13	6.2
SENTENCED	32	38	45	37	22.6	36	15.6

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

ULSTER COUNTY

TABLE 9A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

	<u>Ne</u>	Numbe <u>w Court C</u> 1983_	r of <u>ommitment</u> _1984_	<u>.s</u> 1 19851	1985 Co Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	49	52	48	79	48.2	13	61.2
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	24	21	24	34	20.8	14	41.7
HOMICIDE	4	3	2	3	1.8	25	-25.0
SEX OFFENSE	2	3	3	7	4.3	13	250.0
ROBBERY	5	5	4	5	3.1	18	0.0
BURGLARY	11	7	13	13	7.9	13	18.2
WEAPONS	0	2	2	1	0.6	17	NA
OTHER	2	1	0	5	3.1	14	150.0
DRUG FELONIES ³	5	5	6	7	4.3	19	40.0
POSSESSION	4	1	1	3	1.8	19	-25.0
SALE	1	4	5	4	2.4	23	300.0
OTHER FELONIES	20	25	13	36	22.0	6	80.0
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	0	1	5	2	1.2	26	NÁ

¹Preliminary data.

²New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

ULSTER COUNTY

TABLE 9B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY¹ IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31

		of Inmate: <u>e Prison (</u> _ <u>1983_</u>			Inmat Under C on 12/3 Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	ust. 1/85 ,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	121	126	112	129	78.8	26	6.6
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	60	67	70	78	47.6	22	30.0
HOMICIDE	21	23	25	25	15.3	20	19.0
SEX OFFENSE	6	8	9	15	9.2	24	150.0
ROBBERY	12	13	12	10	6.1	30	-16.7
BURGLARY	12	14	17	20	12.2	22	66.7
WEAPONS	0	2	3	1	0.6	31	NA
OTHER	9	7	4	7	4.3	32	-22.2
DRUG FELONIES ³	13	11	11	12	7.3	21	-7.7
POSSESSION	4	2	2	3	1.8	33	~25.0
SALE	9	9	9	9	5.5	16	0.0
OTHER FELONIES	46	46	28	36	22.0	27	-21.7
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	5 2	2	3	3	1.8	20	50.0

¹Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

²Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

1

ULSTER COUNTY

TABLE 9B-1

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

	1982	1983	1984	1985
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%

¹Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

ULSTER COUNTY

TABLE 10A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

	1982	<u>Number of</u> _ <u>1983_</u>	<u>Parolees</u> _1984_	1985	1985 Par Per 100 <u>Populat</u> <u>Rate</u>	,000	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	56	51	54	60	36.6	15	7.1
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	148	152	157	173	105.6	15	16.9
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	101	103	113	120	73.3	15	18.8

¹The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 10B

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

		Number of	Parolees		1985 Revocat Per 1, Parole	ions 000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	<u>82 vs 85</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	9	11	9	12	69.4	28	33.3
RULE VIOLATIONS	3	2	0	4	23.1	18	33.3
NEW ARRESTS	5	8	7	6	34.7	29	20.0
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	1	1	2	2	11.6	33	100.0

¹The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year. SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

ULSTER COUNTY

Table 1

COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7
Department of Correctional Services	138.8	90.9	110.9	-20.1%	.0	.0
Crime Victim's Board	34.5	32.2	38.5	11.7%	43.4	32.5
Division of Criminal Justice Services	150.0	152.3	238,5	59.0%	577.0	165.0
Division of Parole	.0	.0	.0	NA	•0	.0
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ¹	142.7	184.4	211.5	48.2%	275.4	294.5
Division for Youth ²	391.7	420.3	462.3	18.0%	1,027.6	673.4
TOTA'_	857.6	880.1	1,061.8	23.8%	1,923.4	1,165.4

¹On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

²The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

ULSTER COUNTY

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7	
Board of Prisoners							
Coram Nobis ¹	.5	1.3	.9	106.7%			
Felonsl	118.9	80.1	82.1	-30.9%		· · · · ·	
Parole Violators ¹	16.5	8.3	27.1	64.2%	. 	а. — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	
Prisoner Transfer ¹	2.9	1.2	.7	-76.2%			
TOTAL	138.8	90.9	110.9	-20.1%	.0	.0	

 $^{1}\mbox{Local}$ correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

ULSTER COUNTY

Table 3

CRIME VICTIM'S BOARD COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropr	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Victim and Witness Assistance Program						
Comprehensive	34.5	32.2	38.5	11.7%	43.4	.0
Court Related	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	32.5
TOTAL	34.5	32.2	38.5	11.7%	43.4	32.5

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

ULSTER COUNTY

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	litures		Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Asset/Forfeiture Initiative ¹			55.6	NA			
Indigent Parolee Prog. ²	33.6	18.0	26.2	-22.0%			
Mobile Radio District Program ³		.0	.0	NA	414.8	.0	
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act ⁴	· . 	.0	.0	NA	3.0		

¹This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

²Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

 3 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁴This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

ULSTER COUNTY

Table 4 (continued)

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures % Chang				Appropriations		
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program ⁵	· 		3.6	NA			
Target Crime Initiative Program6	116.4	134.3	153.2	31.6%	159.2	165.0	
TOTAL	150.0	152.3	238.5	59.0%	577.0	165.0	

⁵In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

⁶This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

ULSTER COUNTY

Ì

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this county.

ULSTER COUNTY

Table 6

.

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES COUNTY, LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	. <u></u>	Expend	litures		Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	FY 86-7
Classification Alternatives ¹				NA	37.6	39.1
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	.0	23.6	25.4	NA	27.7	29.1
Regular State Aid	142.7	160.8	186.1	30.4%	210.0	226.3
TOTAL	142.7	184.4	211.5	48.2%	275.4	294.5

 1 This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

ULSTER COUNTY

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		Expend	litures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Detention						
Non-Secure	.0	.5	1.4	6,504.8%	.3	1.3
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	24.3	23.5	27.5	13.2%	31.5	46.2
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	90.5	88.2	99.3	9.8%	120.0	118.0
Transitional Independent Living Support ¹				NA	.0	38.0
Voluntary Agency Care	20.1	45.8	57.3	185.6%	152.6	135.1
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	256.8	262.4	276.8	7.8%	723.3	334.8
TOTAL	391.7	420.3	462.3	18.0	1,027.6	673.4

 $^{1}\mathrm{This}$ program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

ن<u>ب</u>

1

ULSTER COUNTY

Tab	ole 1A			
 CAPACITY AN	ID STAFF SUMMARY	مى غۇن يېرىيى بېرىكى مەك ەسىرى مى	 	
	Capacity	Staff		
DOCS Facilities	2,207	1,294		
DFY Facilities	137	241		
TOTAL	2,344	1,535		

Table 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures ,			Appropriations		
FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
24,196.9	26,448.9	28,186.6	16.5%	31,637.1	42,812.1	
5,614.3	6,177.7	6,336.2	12.9%	6,302.6	7,023.7	
29,811.2	32,626.6	34,522.8	15.8%	37,939.7	49,835.8	
	24,196.9 5,614.3	FY 82-3FY 83-424,196.926,448.95,614.36,177.7	<u>FY 82-3</u> <u>FY 83-4</u> <u>FY 84-5</u> 24,196.9 26,448.9 28,186.6	FY 82-3 FY 83-4 FY 84-5 82 vs 84 24,196.9 26,448.9 28,186.6 16.5% 5,614.3 6,177.7 6,336.2 12.9%	FY 82-3FY 83-4FY 84-5% Change 82 vs 8424,196.926,448.928,186.616.5%31,637.15,614.36,177.76,336.212.9%6,302.6	

Table 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures			Appropriations	
	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	Total	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
DOCS Facilities	10,654.8	17,615.8	28,270.6	54,083.8	4,146.0
DFY Facilities	163.3	115.6	278.9	49.0	29.0
TOTAL	10,818.1	17,731.3	28,549.4	54,132.8	4,175.0

ULSTER COUNTY

Table 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 11, 1986)

Facility	Security Level	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>
Eastern	Maximum	987	616
Eastern Annex ¹	Medium	150	
Shawangunk	Maximum	544	370
Wallkill	Medium	526	308
TOTAL		2,207	1,294

¹ The facility's staff is included in the staff reported for the Eastern facility.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

ULSTER COUNTY

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

Table 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	ditures		Appropr	iations
Facility	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Eastern	16,082.9	17,408.0	18,848.4	17.2%	19,021.5	20,350.4
Eastern Annex ¹	-		188 aug	NA		
Shawangunk ²				NA	3,190.7	12,322.0
Wallkill	8,114.0	9,041.0	9,338.2	15.1%	9,424.9	10,139.7
TOTAL	24,196.9	26,448.9	28,186.6	16.5%	31,637.1	42,812.1

 $^{\rm 1}$ The facility's budget is administered by the Eastern facility $^{\rm 2}$ The facility opened in March of 1986.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

ULSTER COUNTY

Table 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures		Appropr	riations	
	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	Total	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Eastern	397.1	540.7	937.8	512.5	217.0	
Eastern Annex ¹			.0	-18 Ma	-42 cm	
Shawangunk ²	2,732.2	16,883.1	19,615.3	53,500.0	3,232.0	
Wallkill	7,525.5	191.9	7,717.4	71.2	697.0	
TOTAL	10,654.8	17,615.8	28,270.6	54,083.8	4,146.0	

 1 The facility's budget is administered by the Eastern facility 2 The facility opened in March of 1986.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

ULSTER COUNTY

Table 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1986)

Facility	Security Level1	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
Chodikee Residential Center	II	40	80	
Highland Detention Center ²		14	29	
Highland Residential Center	II	56	92	
Kingston Community Urban Home	VI	7	6	
Sojourner Truth Residential Center	IV	20	34	
TOTAL		137	241	

 Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-Community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI - Community Facilities.
 Facility has not been assigned a security level.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

ULSTER COUNTY

Table 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATING BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Approp	riations
Facility	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Chodikee Residential Center ¹	2,666.8	2,718.9	2,473.0	-7.3%	2,111.5	2,352.9
Highland Detention Center ¹	600.3	654.2	732.0	21.9%	896.3	1,023.8
Highland Residential Center			2,154.9	35.3%	2,252.1	2,490.2
Kingston Community Urban Home	109.8	139.9	143.6	30.8%	132.2	154.1
Sojourner Truth Residential Center ¹	645.0	753.0	832.7	29.1%	910.5	1,002.7
TOTAL	5,614.3	6,177.7	6,336.2	12.9%	6,302.6	7,023.7

 1 The facility's budget is administered by the Highland Residential Center facility.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

ULSTER COUNTY

Table 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditure	<u></u>	Approp	riations
	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	Total	<u>FY 85-6</u>	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Chodikee Residential Center ¹	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
Highland Detention Center ¹	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
Highland Residential Center	163.3	115.6	278.9	49.0	20.0
Kingston Community Urban Home	.0	.0	.0	.0	9.0
Sojourner Truth Residential Center ¹	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
TOTAL	163.3	115.6	278.9	49.0	29.0

 1 The facility's budget is administered by the Highland Residential Center facility.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

APPENDIX A EXPLANATORY NOTES

1

EXPLANATORY NOTES

ANALYSES

The statistics presented in this document include frequencies, percents, percent changes, rates, and rate rank scores.

<u>Percent changes</u> are sensitive to relatively small changes in absolute numbers when the numbers used to calculate the percent changes are low. In addition, the <u>percent change</u> is not a valid indicator of change when the number reported for the base year (the 1982 calander year or the 1982-83 fiscal year) is zero (0). In such instances the notation "NA" is used.

<u>Rates</u> are <u>ranked</u> from high to low; e.g., if the rates for an activity in the 62 counties ranged from 200.0 to 50.0 per 100,000 population, the highest rate (200.0) would be ranked one (1) and the lowest rate (50.0) would be ranked 62. Rank values for both the public assistance data and the county jail and penitentiary data reflect the rankings for only the 57 non-New York City counties, making the range for rank values of these data one (1) to 57. It is important to note that the <u>rank calculations</u> do not take into consideration the magnitude of the differences between the ranked rates.

The Bureau of Census provisional population estimates for 1985 that are reported in Section I were used for all <u>population-based rate calculations</u> presented in the briefing book with the exception of those reported for "Index Crimes Known to the Police" (Section III: Table 1). Index crime rates were calculated using population estimates provided by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. These population data were used rather than the Bureau of Census population data to maintain comparability with Index crime rates reported in the annual <u>NYS Crime and Justice</u> publication. FBI population data are used because the production of this annual publication begins before the more authoritative Bureau of Census population data become available.

The New York State Department of Motor Vehicles provided the data on licensed dirvers in New York State that was used to calulate the <u>rate of DWI</u> <u>arrests</u> per 1,000 licensed drivers (Section III: Table 2-E).

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

Criminal justice agencies located within or providing direct services to the county are presented in this section.

A-1

SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

The demographic and socioeconomic data presented in this section were provided by a number of sources.

o NYS Department of Commerce (personal per capita income data)

- o NYS Department of Education (high school dropout data)
- NYS Department of Labor (labor force data)
 NYS Department of Social Services (public assistance program data)
- New York State Statisical Yearbook: 1983-84 (square miles and 1970-80 population change data)
- o U.S. Bureau of the Census (population, age, race, and ethnicity data)

SECTION III CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

It is important to note that in instances where multiple offenses are reported for an event, the offense classification for the event is generally determined by the most serious offense reported for that event. However, the criteria which agencies have developed to rank crimes by seriousness may differ among the statistical systems operated by State agencies. As a result, a robbery that is identified as the most serious offense in a multiple crime event by one agency may not be identified as the most serious crime that occurred during an event by another agency.

The characteristics of the data presented in Section III vary across Table 1 in this appendix defines data populations, units of count, tables. offender types, and offense categories by table. The data sources are also identified.

SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

The expenditures and appropriations for local assistance programs funded with federal monies are based on the state's fiscal year.

SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

DOCS capacity data reflect both housing and "other" categories, such as transit units and reception centers; they exclude temporary spaces, Special Housing Unit cells, and hospital spaces. DFY capacity data reflect the number of youths that can be housed at a facility and is contingent upon both available space and number of staff.

DOCS staff data reflect both full-time and part-time staff, while DFY staff data reflect full-time equivalent staff.

The operating budget and capital improvement budget expenditures include monies spent both within and outside the county. The DOCS facility-based budget does not include centralized billings. DFY's facility-based budget excludes centralized billings and monies budgeted for regional offices and aftercare workers.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Fresented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity

lable		fogulation	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks ;
Table 1	INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE FOLICE Index offerent for ceaes INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE FOLICE Index offerent for ceaes State for State for IA FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS Adult felowersts IB FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: January through June, 1986 IC AKRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES Adult felowersts ID ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF ORUGS Adult felowersts oc that ipcl the posse (Control) ID ARRESTS FOR INSOLMEANOR ARKESTS Adult felowersts oc that ipcl the posse (Control) ID FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARKESTS Adult felowersts oc that ipcl the posse (Control) ID FOR DWI OFFENSES Adult felowersts oc that ipcl the posse (Control)	Index offenses known to law enforcement agencies in New York State for a given year.	Viclia-based: murder forcible rape aggravated assault Structure-based: burglary Evint-based: robbery larceny (excluding motor vehicle theft)	Not applicable.	FBI Index olfense def- initions spanning felonies and misde- meanors for the specified offenses.	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.
			Velicle-based: motor vehicle theit			
			The unit of count is based on the most serious offense in a crime event.			
		······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Table 24	FELDHY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred during a given	An arrest event. The most serious charge placed against an offender for	Adult +	Felony Arrests Nisdemeanor Arrests	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing
Table 28		year.	an arrest event is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect arrest events that included at least			Trends File.
Table 2C	AKRESTS FOR SELECTED DEFENSES		one charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.			
Table 29	ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (Controlled Substances: PL 220.03 thru PL 220.43; Marihuana: PL 221.10 thru PL 221.55).	An arrest event.			NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrest and Processing Trends File.
Table 2E		Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for a DKL offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192).				NYS Division of Crimin® Justice Services, DNI Arrest and Processing Trends File.

I Continued on the next page.)

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Resarks
Table 3A Table 3B	FELONY INDICTMENTS FELONY INDICTMENTS: January through June, 1986	Felony indictments and superior court informations filed during a given year.	Defendant-indictment/superior court information(SCI) based; each defendant named in an indictment/SCI is counted. The most serious charge placed against a defendant is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect indictments/SCI's that include at least one charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.	Adult * Juvenilæ Offender	Felony'Indictments/ Superior Court Informa- tions.	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.
Table (A	DISPOSITIONS KESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARKESTS	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events which occurred after December 31, 1969.	Disposition based; counts are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. "Other" dispositions	Adult #	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Nisdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	WYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File
Table 49	and misdemeanor arrest events which occurred after December 31, 1969.		include cases for which prosecution was declined by either the prosecutor or the grand jury and cases disposed for reasons other than those already mentioned (e.g., cases disposed by civil procedure or abated by the death of the defendant).		(Not applicable for acquittal, discissal, and "other" disposi- tions.)	
Table 5A	FELONY, MISDEMEANDR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and sentence is counted. Daly the most serious charge is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect conviction events that include at	Adult 4	Convictions for: Feloay Offenses Misdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
Table 58	FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER DFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	least one conviction charge for a violent felony offense listed im Appendix E.			

(Continued on the next page.)

A-4

TABLE I Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

lable		Population	Bit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Resarks	
	SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY OR NISDENEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS	Conviction dispositions, for which seatences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and sentence is counted. Only the most serious sentence in a multiple sentence offense is counted. "Other and Unknown" sentences include cases, for example, where a license was suspended or revoked (without fine) and cases for which the t,pe of sentence was uninown.	Adult :	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends Film.	
Table 68 SENTENCES NISDENEAN Table 7A-1 PROBATI INVESTI SUPERVI Table 7A-2 PROBATI Table 7B PROBATI Table 7C ELIENTS	I PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, Investigation, and pre-disposition Supervision cases	Intate cases received during a given year.	Cceptaint-based; each complaint is counted as one case.	Family Courts Adult = Juvenile Delinquent	Detained/Arrested form Felony Difease Misdemeanor Difense	NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives:	
		lavestigations ordered during a given year.	l:vastigation-based; each investigation ordered is counted as one case.	Person in Keed of Supervision (PINS) Crisinal Courts	Lesser Offense – only Juvenile Deling. Status Offense –	Fasily Court - Annual cospilati of the "Monthly Report of Fasi Workload in Probation Departme	
	TRVSSTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES	Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service requested is counted as one case.	Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service request is counted as one case.	Adult Juvenile Offender	status orrense - daly PIHS	(Fora Ho. DP-30). Crisinal Court - Annual cospila- tion of the "Monthly Report of	
Table 7A-3		Probation supervision cases received during a given year.	Sentence-based; each sentence to probation is counted as one case.		Adjudication/Conviction fors	Criminal Northoad in Probation Departments (Form No. 0P-30A) f investigation and pre-dispositi	
		Probation supervision cases supervised during a given year (i.e., probation cases received during a given year plus probation supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).			Felony Offense Hisdemeanor Offense Lesser Offenses - only Juvenile Deling, Status Offense - only PIKS	data, and the Probation Registrant System for all othe	
		Probation supervision cases under supervision on December 31 of a given year.					
Table 78	PROBATION REVOCATIONS	Probation supervision cases for which probation was revoked during a given year.	Revocation-based; each probation supervision case for which probation was revoked is counted as one revocation.				
Table 7C	ELIENTS SERVED BY CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS	Clients served by "demonstration" alternative programs; clients served by "classification" alternative programs are not included.	Client-based; each client served by an acency is counted.	Adult # Juvenile Offenders	Arresis or Convictions for: Felony Offenses Hisdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives Alternative to Incarceration Bureau.	

1

{ Continued on the next page. }

TABLE I Characteristics of Data Presented in Section 111: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

.

7.11-				* * *		
21071		ruputati tea	Fill of Louat	uttenger type	UTENSE LACEGOLY	SOULCE & NEBELIS
Table BR	JAIL & PEMITENTIARY ADNISSIDHS	Admissions of both detained and semtenced immates to county/KYC jails and penitentiaries im New York State during a givem year for criminal, civil, and federal offenses.	dalssion based. It is important to ste that if an undividual is admitted sre than once on the same charge, such admission is counted.	Adult •	Detained adaissions: Felony Arrests Nisdeaeanor Arrests Lesser Offenses Sentenced adaissionsi Nisdeaeanor Conv.	WYS Commission of Correction, Sheriffs Annual Reports: The "Statewide Compilation of Data from 1985 Sheriffs' Annual Reports" included the following cavaat: " The Sheriffs'
Table 88	JAIL & PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND FOPLAATION	A census of both detained and seatenced inautes in county/RYC jails and peritentiaries in Hew York State for civil, criminal, and federal offeases is presented for each of the populations that follow:	Pepulation is inmate based for both the "December 31" and "average daily" Jopulations.		Lesser Ultease Loav.	Anual Reports represent sett- reported data collected by the county julis Differences exist in recordueping practices among these various counties The Commission advises caution when using data to compare one county to another.
		lnaates under custody on Deceaber 31 of a given year, and The daily average of inaates under custody during a given year.			•	
		A census of beds in county/MYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State. Each jail and peniten- tiary census was taken on a different day during a given year.	Capacity is tassed on beds; the count differs by region: New York City Bepartment of Correction New York City Bepartment of Correction counts reflect "beds at standard" (one inaste per cell; 60 square feet per inaste jand no more than 50 in a dora) The count does not include beds in hospital prison wards.	Kat applicable.	Kot applicable.	
			Non-New York City jail counts reflect the number of cells (one bed per cell) and dormitory beds. This count does not include detention or holding rooms.			

(Continued on the next page.)

a .

A-6

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section IIIs Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table		Papulation	Un:1 of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Reaarts
Table 9A-1	ADMISSIDNS TO NEW YORK STATE FRISON	Admissicos to XYS prison during a given year.	Incate based; parole detainees are not counted as part of the adalssions or under custody population. Daily the	Adult =	Felony Convictions	NYS Department of Correctional Services.
Table 9A-2 Table 9A	NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE FRISON	New court commitments to KYS prison during a given year,	aost serious conviction offense is counted. Difenses counted as violent felonies are listed in Appendix E.			The "under custody" counts may differ slightly from data presented in reports and publications released by DOCS.
Table 98	INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31	A census of innates under custody in NYS prison on December 31 of a given year.	•			
lable 9C	PARDLE DETAINEES IN STATE PRISON On December 31	Parole detainees in MYS prison on December 31.	Delainee based.			
Table IVA	PAROLE SUFERVISION CASES	Innates released to the NYS Division of Parole for supervision within the State. Parole supervision cases supervised during a given year fi.e., parole cases received during a given year plus parole supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year). Parole supervision cases under super- vision on December 31 of a given year.	Case based (one person per case).	Adult ¥ Juvenile Offender	Convictions fors Felony Offenses Hisdemennor Offenses	NYS Division of Parole. The increase in parole revoca resulting from new felony con tions reflects legislative ch and improved efficiency of th parole revocation process. A primary factor was the passag legislation, incorporated int the Division's rules and regu tions effective Kovember 1, f authorizing the Parole Board issue a final declaration of linguency for releasees convi
Table 10B	REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES	Parole supervision cases for which parole was revoked and the parolee returned to prison during a given year.				of new felonies consitted und supervision and receiving new indeterminate sentences. A f declaration of delinquency st lines the violation process is these cases by obviating the for a final revocation hearing The streamlined process has resulted in an increased prop tion of returns for new convi- tions.

APPENDIX B

U

CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY STATE SUMMARY BY COUNTY FOR 1985

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES FER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

	TOTA		_			VIOLE	NT INDEX C	RINES								PROPE	RTY INDEX CI	rines		
	INDE CR11		Tota	1	Murc	ler	Forcible	e Rape	Robb	ery	Agg. Ass	sault	Tot	2]	Burgl	lury -	Larci	ny	NY TI	hevt
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Ran
ALBANY	4,530.6	11	309.4	18	1.7	39	21.0	18	82.5	14	194.2	25	4,221.2	10	1,177.2	10	2,865.9	1	178.1	ľ
ALLEGANY	2,077.2	58	114.9	48	3.9	16	5.8	50	.0	60	105.1	43	1,962.3	57	\$24.9	46	1,312.1	54	25.3	6
BRONI	7,506.3	3	2,158.2	2	28.8	4	69.9	. 1	1,184.0	2	875.5	1	5,348.1	5	1,796.7	2	2,557.2	11	999.3	
BROOME	2,984.5	31	62.6	60	.9	47	14.5	28	12.1	43	35.0	60	2,921.9	26	519.0	55	2,302.5	17	100.4	3
CATTARAUGUS	2,546.0	44	120.5	47	.0	50	2.3	59	10.4	48	107.8	42	2,425.5	39	632.7	45	1,705.9	37	86.9	3
CAYUGA	2,169.8	55	196.2	32	2.5	27	16.1	25	16.1	36	161.5	28	1,973.5	55	493.1	59	1,394.8	51	85.7	3
CHANTAUDUA	3,314.4	21	132.2	42	1.4	43	10.9	35	25.2	23	94.8	44	3,182.2	22	727.4	37	2,339.6	14	115.2	2
CHENUKG	4,044.8	13	186.7	34	2.1	34	19.2	23	28.8	22	136.5	33	3,858.1	13	B37.3	21	2,937.6	5	83.2	3
CHEKANGO	2,800.3	35	163.8	36	2.2	32	36.6	4	10.8	45	114.3	28	2, 535.5	35	821.3	25	1,741.8	34	73.3	4
CLINTON	2,694.9	38	374.8	11	1.2	45	11.1	34	11.8	39	347.6	8	2,320.1	43	544.9	52	1,696.3	39	78.9	4
COLUNBIA	2,732.9	36	329.2	17	3.3	19	8.1	45	22.8	24	295.0	10	2,403.7	41	756.1	33	1,575.8	45	71.7	4
CORTLAND	4,558.3	9	217.3	29	4.1	14	26.9	9	20.7	27	165.5	26	4,341.1	9	722.9	19	3.273.4	4	144.8	
DELAWARE	2,216.8	53	147.4	40	2.1	33	2.1	60	4.3	54	138.9	31	2,069.4		790.2	28	1,236.8	56	42.7	5
DUTCHESS	3,126.4	28	330.6	16	2.0	36	14.6	27	74.1	16	240.0		2,795.8		836.6	22	1,831.5	32	127.7	
ERIE	4,532.9	10	528.1	7	4.4	12	34.9	6	166.0		322.B	9	4,004.8		1,150.3	11	2,448.5	12	406.0	
ESSEI	2,176.7	54	157.6	39	2.7	23	8.2	44	2.7	59	144.0	30	2,019.1		736.5	34	1,220.2	58	62.5	
FRANKLIN	2,860.2	34	158.9	28	2.6	25	25.6	11	5.1	53	125.6	36	2,701.3		784.3	29	1,860.7	30	56.4	5
FULTON	3,435.6	20	91.1	53	.0	50	5.3	52	12.4	42	76.3	49	3,341.6		1.009.7	15	2,184.5	21	147.3	
GENESEE	2,559.3	43	91.9	54	.0	50	5.0	53	21.7	25	65.2	54	2,467.4	38	566.3	49	1,842.6	31	58.5	-
GREEKE	2,592.1	39	380.4	10	2.4	28	7.2	48	16.9	35	354.1	-:	2,211.5		672.1	43	1,455.0	4B	84.3	-
HANILTON	3,277.0	25	101.8	50	.0	50	20.4	21	20.4	29	61.1	56	3,175.2	_	1,302.7	7	1,730,1	34	142.5	
HERKINER	2,143.9	57	175.8	35	1.5	42	8.9	39	3.0		162.5	27	1,948.1		-536.3	54	1,391.8	52	39.9	
JEFFERSON	2,696.9	37	96.5	52	3.4	30	4.5	54	13.5	40	75.2	50	2,600.5		834.7	23	1,700.7	38	65.1	5
KINGS	7,594.5	2	2,077.9	3	20.5	3	58.8	3	1,221.3	2	777.3	3	5,516.6		1,761.9	3	2,717.8	8	1,036.9	
LEWIS	1,105.0	<u>ه</u> 2	123.7	44	4.0	15	4.0	55	4.0	-	111.7	40	981.4	62	506.6	57	430.8	62	43.9	
LIVINGSTON	3,084.0	29	240.4	28	.0	50	8.5	41	6.8	50	225.0	20	2,843.7		453.5	60	2,328.8	16	61.4	5
HADISON	2,243.2	52	68.5	59	1.5	41	10.4	36	17.9	32	38.7	59	2,174.8		595.4	48	1,499.0	46	80.4	4
HONROE	5,373.7	52	465.6	5 77	4.9	10	27.5	8	172.9	7	260.3	13	4,908.1	6	1,149.6	12	3,487.0	-10	271.5	
KONTGOKERY	3,373.1	61	78.9	57	.0	50	3.8	57	15.0		40.1	57	1,817.1		501.5	58	1,049.9	60	£5.7	
NASSAU		19	215.7	30	2.3	29	10.3	- 37	117.2		85.9	48	3,249.6		704.7	39	2,022.8	24	522.1	
	3,465.2 13,623.0	17	2,657.0	30	2.3	2	67.3	2	1,692.7	11	871.7	2	3,297.0		2,219.2		•	1	938.1	
NEW YORK				12		44	23.6	16	97.7	13		16			1,145.0	1 13	7,808.6	4 9		
NIAGARA	4,403.7	12	364.9		1.4						242.2		4,038.8		•		2,650.8	•	243.5	-
ONEIDA	2,396.5	47	121.8	46	2.4	37	11.8	32	48.3	19	59.3	58	2,274.7		736.1	35	1,458.9	47	79.7	
ONONDAGA	4,648.8	8	287.6	19	3.4	20	29.0	7 19	130.8	9 33	124.3	37	4,361.3		1,278.4	8	2,915.3	<u>د</u>	167.5	-
ONTARIO	2,905.3	32	197.1	31	1.1	31	20.6		17.3		158.1	29	2,708.3		726.6	38	1,905.9	27	75.8	-
DRANGE	3,653.1	18	396.0	9	3.6	17	20.4	20	119.6		252.3	14.	3,257.1	20	1,026.9	14	2,058.5	22	171.B	
DRLEANS	2,367.7	49	258.9	25	2.5	26	25.4	12	20.3	30 45	210.6	22	2,108.9		434.0	61	1,408.9	42	66.0	
OSVEGO	2,373.2	48	110.5	49	.9	49	B.3	42	10.9		90.4	47	2,262.6		559.4	50	1,594.4	44	108.9	
OTSE60	2,359.9	50	98.4	51	3.3	18	10.0	38	11.7	44	73.4	51	2,261.5		615.4	47	1,601.1	43	45.0	
PUTKAN	2,574.1	40	161.5	37	6.2	6	13.6	29	9.9	49	131.9	34	2,412.6	40	798.8	27	1,447.3	19	186.4	1

(

(Continued on next page.)

8-1

	TOT. Indi					VIOLE	NT INDEX OF	RIMES								PROPER	RTY INDEX CI	likes		
	CRI	-	Tota	1	Nord	er	Forcible	e Rape	Robbe	ſY	Agg. Ass	zult	Tota	1	Burgl	ary	Larto	ıaγ	NV Th	æft
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	kate	Rank	Rate	Reak	Rate	Rzak	Rate	Ran
PUEEKS	4,533.9	4	1,128.4	4	9.7	4	35.0	5	648.1	4	435.6	5	5,405.5	4	1,421.8	5	2,641.0		1,342.7	
RENSSELAER	3,706.9	17	279.1	20	2.6	24	21.6	17	55.0	18	199.9	23	3,427.7	17	982.3	16	2,253.5		192.0	1
RICHNOND	5,138.0	1	499.2	5	7.0	5	19.8	22	267.7	5	404.7	. e	4,438.8	7	1,248.2	9	1,898.5	29	1,292.1	
ROCKLAND	3,127.1	27	262.3	22	1.5	40	B.J	43	60.4	17	195.1	24	2,861.8	28	759.6	32	1,902.6	28	199.6	1
ST. LAWRENCE	2,866.5	33	84.2	55	.9.	48	0. 7 0	61	10.5	47	72.8	52	2,782.3	31	695.6	41	2,013.9	25	72.8	4
SARATOBA	2,287.4	51	136.1	41	1.9	38	8.7	40	15.4	37	109.8	41	2,151.3	50	639.1	44	1,424.8	50	87.4	1
SCHENECTABY	3,352.9	22	190.3	33	2.0	35	13.2	30	75.3	15	99.8	44	3,162.5	24	953.7	17	2,042.9	23	185.9	1
SCHOHARIE	2,406.2	46	72.3	58	.0	50	3.3	58	.0	60	69.0	53	2,333.9	42	881.0	20	1,390.5		62.5	5
SCHUYLER	1,729.9	60	78.9	56	.0	50	.0	-61	16.9	34 57	62.0 238.0	55	1,651.0	59	546,6	51	1,048.1	-61	56.3	5
SENECA	3,342.4	23	272.0	21 26	6.0	50	24.2	13 46	3.0			18	3,070.6	25 47	701.2	40	2,221.3		148.1	2
STEUBER	2,505.4	45	255.9		.0		B.0	31	18.1	31	229.8	19	2,249.5		540.B 945.3	53 18	1,616.4		92.3	3
SUFFOLK	3,810.3	15	255.8	27	3.1	21	13.2		108.7	12	130.7	35	3,554.5	14			2,329.4	15	279.8	
SULLIVAN	3,784.5	14	339.9	14	3.0	22 50	26.7 3.9	10 56	43.0 3.9	20 55	267.1	12 38	3,444.6	16	1,650.3	4	1,614.7	41	179.6 78.8	1
TIOGA	1,764.0	59	122.2	45	.0			33			114.3		1,641.8	60	431.6	62	1,131.3			4
IONPKINS	5,726.4	5	129.3	43	1.1	46	11.3 23.9	- 3-3 14	21.6 36.2	26	95.3 288.1	45	5,597.1 2,666.5	2 34	1,341.1 766.9	- 6 71	4,126.6		129.3	2
ALSTER	3,019.0	30	352.5	13	4,3	13 50				21		11	•			31	1,760.5			2
ARREN	3,408.9	21	50.3	61	.0		7,2	49 51	12.6	41 52	30.5	61 15	3,358.8	18	830.2 535.1	24 55	2,363.0		145.3	_
VASHINGTON	2,158.2		259.4	24 23	5.3	5	5.3	15	5.3		243.6	15	1,898.7	58 27			1,274.7	55	8B.9	3
AYNE	3,132.5	26	260.7		0.	50	23.8		20.4	28	215.5	21	2,871.8		782.0	30	1,985.6		104.3	
ESTCHESTER	3,841.0	14	334.3	15	4.7	11	15.1	26	177.7	6	136.8	32	3,506.7	15	814.5	26	2,293.1		399.1	
YONING	2,562.1	41	533.1	6	4,9	¥ =0	7.4	47	7.4	50	513.4	-1	2,029.0	53	692.9	42	1,235.6		110.5	2 6
IATES	2,560.5	42	46.4	62	.0	50	18.6	24	.0	60	27.B	62	2,514.1	37	732.9	36	1,739.5	35	41.7	

.

TABLE I INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

Source: NYS Division of Crisinal Justice Services, Uniform Crise Reporting System.

B-2

•

	TGTA	i			FELORIY A	RRESTS			NISDEKEN	NUND
	AKRES	-	Teta	1	Viale	nt	Othe	r .	ARRESI	
OUXIY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rint	Rate	Raat	Rate	Rink	Rate	Ran
LBARY	2,197.2	11	\$01.2		194.8	7	109.3	6	1,593.0	1
LLEGANY	1,108.0	62	227.9	62	53.0	58	174.6	\$2	88G. 1	6
ROUL	3,284.7	2	1,775.9	2	710.3	2	1,005.4	2	1,508.8	2
ROOKE	1,674.3	39	387.9	29 -	109.2	27	278.7	29	1,28£.5	3
CATTARAUSUS	1,584.3	44	285.4	57	11.8	51	219.1	54	1,298.4	1
сатиба	1,842.0	34	373.2	32	91.4	43 -	291.8	22	1,468.9	
CHAUTAUQUA	1,458.5	41	278.8	55	74.3	45	222.6	53	1,359.4	1
CHENING	1,939.3	29	419.0	21	164.4	32	344.6	15	1,190.3	
CHENANGO	2,157.6	14	310.2	51	92.1	37	218.2	57	1,817.6	
CLINIOU	1,945.9	33	383.7	30	95.1	34	288.1	26	1,446.2	;
COLUNEIA	2,234.2	13	523.1	12	132.0	19	391.1	. 1	1,711.2	
CORTLAND	2,954.6	4.0	403.0	25	111.8	28	291.2	24	2,551.4	
DELAVARE	1,957,9	27	341.2	40	£1.8	55	279.4	29	1,616.6	
DUTCHESS	1,987.0	24	\$13.5	11	165.4	12	348.1	14	1,473.5	
ERIE	2,102.3	18	539.6	10	210.0	8	329.4	- 19 -	\$ 542.6	
ESSET	1,947.0	28	354.7	35	68.7	50	286.0	27	1,592.2	
FRANKLIN	2,016.3	20	347.1	37	75.4	<u> (</u> 1	277.7	32	1,669.2	
FULTON	1,393.2		346.7	39	75.6	46	248.9	. 33	1.048.5	
BEHESEE	1,749.3		323.4	47	\$1.7	42	238.5	48	1,425.8	
GREEKE	1.576.8		421.9	23	118.1	25	303.0	21	1,248.9	
HAMILTON	2,002.0		481.4	17	10.2	56	421.3	. 5	1,524.6	
HERKINER	1,383.0		272.0	59	64.3	54	207.7	60	1,111.8	
JEFFERSON	1,439.3		376.3	34	103.9	33	265.4	35	1,069.1	
KINGS	2,405.5		1,377.7	3	178.2	3	699.5	- 3	1,027.8	
LEVIS	1,132.5		251.3		27.0	62	226.5	51	878.2	
LIVINGSTON	2,590.5		288.0	56	73.7	48	214.3	59	2,302.5	
HADISON	1,652.4		317.4	50	73.4	49	244.2	47	1,335.0	,
MOKROE	2,057.1		484.9		178.3	1	304.4	20	1,572.9	
HONTEOHERY	1,871.4		302.7	53	45.7	50	257.0	38	1,588.7	
NASSAU	1,314.]		374.	4 31	121.1	21	253.4	41	939.9	t i
NEY YORK	7,117.0		2.517.	5 1	923.7	1 1	1,593.1	1 1	4,599.5	i .
WIASARA	1,855.1		424.5		152.0	11	272.		1,430.5	5
DHEIDA	1,296.2		321.		106.1	29	215.4	58	944.8	ł
ONCHDAEA	1,752.4		591.		226.9		364.5		1,160.7	} ¹
DATAKIO	1,975.	• • • •	336.		85.		251.	43	1,638.4	
DRAKGE	2,192.		575.		180.5		395.		1.610-	
ORLEANS	1,527.		482.		140.		342.		1,045.0	
OSVEED	1,556.	-	335.		90.		245.		1,220.4	
015860	2,313.	-	370.		119.1		251.		1,972.4	
FUTHAN	1,566.		326.		66.1		259.		1,239.1	

TABLE 24 FELOUY AND MISCENZANDR AREEST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

I Costinued on next page.)

8-3

TARLE 2A FELCHY AND MISDEMEANDY ARKEST RATES FER 100.060 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Coatinued

•

ъ,

					FELONY ARRESTS	RRESTS				
	ARRES	12	Tatal	-	Viel	Viclent	Other	.	AISDEREAUX ARRESTS	NUK SI
COUNTY	Rete Bant	Reat	Rate Bink	E	kate Rank		Rafe Rank	l e	Bate Rant	Eta 1
PLEENS	1.454.0	25	710.0	01	108.7	*	401.3		744.1	17
REUSSELAER	1,515.2	46	325.1	\$	118.9	2	206.1	19	1.240.2	12
k I CHYDUD	1,504.3	51	570.3	e ~	295.8	5	274.6	30	131.0	55
RECTAND	1,293.4	58	345.4	ŝ	93.5	71	251.1	47	948.2	6
SI. LANRENCE	2,006.9	21	324.5	46	77.4	44	246.9	\$ }	1,482.4	:1
EALATUEA	2,286.0	91	197.3	27	105.8	ŝ	291.5	23	1,588.7	e *
SCREMECTADY	1,526.0	57	350.0	34	1.1	N	255.7	40	1,176.1	11
SCHOLARIE	2,238.3	12	308.7	22	87.3	40	221.5	35	1,929.4	43
SCHUTLER	1,930.2	30	416.5	24	146.5	14	268.4	F	1,513.1	21
SENECE	2,279.9	=	(57.8	19	31.4	38	366.2	9	1,822.1	
Magnals	1,440.3	55	298.5	X	66. 8	8	232.2	. 50	1,141.3	19
SUFFOLK	1,681.9	36	392.5	26	141.5	1	151.0	38	1,268.3	38
SULLEVAN	3,102.0	**	751.5	-	291.4	-49	(57.1	-	2,350.5	5
1166A	1,530.4	18	781.9	43 17	19.4	56	232.2	55	1,248.5	42
TOAPKINS	1,988.7	2	379.0	7	101.4	38	222.3	5	1,659.7	1
1312 M	2,113.3	13	523.3	Ξ	150.0	5	343.3	13	1,590.0	21
SAGAEN	2,947.5	63	178.1	8	118.4	1	359.5	13	2,469.4	n
NUTENTICA	1,978.8	23	396.5	28	105.7	E	290.7	25	1,582.3	23
RAYNE	2,873.8	-9	(55.)	20	123.4	20	332.4	8	2,418.0	-
VESTCHESTER	1,729.6	6	496.4	Ξ	150.1	2	340.3	11	1,239.2	6 3
SY DY JUS	1,585.7	11	315.6	5	54.5	25	263.1	36	1,256.1	63
YATES	1,245.9	66	240.6	99	5.12	19	223.4	52	1,005.3	\$ 7

SOURCE: MYE Division of Crisical Justice Services, Arrest and Fracessing Trends File.

is

B-4

TABLE 2C ARRE	ST RATES P	ER 100,000	POPULATION	AND RATE	RAND'S FOR	SELECTED	OFFENSES	BY COUNTY FOR	1985 +

.

1

				WRDE NRRES			FORCIBLE ARRES				ROBBE					ASSI			SUR6LI AFRES				RESTS	- 1
COURTY			Rati	2	Reak		kate	Rent			Rate	81	at —		Ra	tə	Rank		Rate	Rank		<u>k</u> at	e R	ent
- <u> </u>	-										20.5					E6.0	9		99.3	28		39	1.4	16
ALBANY				2.8	23		6.7	22			28.5		14 56			29.5	42		66.8	49		19	6.5	56
ALLEEAKY				7.1	4		.0	5L 2			236.0		30			11.2	2		180.4	3			3.2	12
BRONI				1.0	1		26.5	30			11.3		26			39.1	45	•	86.1	37		35	4.4	25
\$800KE				2.8	22		2.3	47			8.2		34			32.8	37		80. P	42		24	3.7	50
CATTARAUBUS				.0	49		7.5	19			10.0		29			37.4	30		51.3	59		43	5.8	9
CAYUSA				1.8	16		2.8	42			11.1		22			22.9	50		81.1	10		34	3.9	28
CHAUTAUQUA				.1	48		4.3	35			1.5		41			33.7	35		133.7	9		-64	0.3	2
CHEKUNG				1.1	45						4.0		47			18.0	57		108.1	18		35	4.3	26
CHEKANGO				2.0	32		10.0				i.8		31			31.9	39		69.9	46		31	5.1	33
CLINION				.0	49		1.2	54			9.9		30			62.7	17		135.3	6		34	8.2	27
COLUNSIA				6.6	8		1.7	52			8.4		33			48.5	21		107.6	19		43	5.8	7
CORTLAND				.0	49		4,2				2.1		51			46.9	25		102.8	17			3.9	19
DELAWARE				2.1	31		.1						15			75.3	12		96.4	31		3	4.9	21
DUTCHESS				1.6	37		5.5				26.7		8			93.3	1		100.0	26			8.7	8
ERIE				3.8	15		14.8				42.7					27.5	45		112.7	15			36.0	41
ESSEE				0 ,	49		2.8				0		56			25.1	48		100.5				14.6	38
FRANKLIN				2.3	28		9.1				.0		56				49		50.2				14.0	34
FULTON				.0	49		.0				5.4		44			23.5	- 41 45		89.7	36			33.7	51
GENESEE				.0	49		5.1				22.0		17						65.1				86.9	40
GREEKE				2.4	27		2.4				1.2		36			82.0			220.7	. 1		-	00.6	55
HANILYDN				.0	49						.(56			.0			53.8				15.2	52
HERKINER				.0	49		3.0				4.5		45			22.4			117.4				88.5	58
JEFFERSON				2.3	29		2.3				10.7	-	28			38.4			155.5				43.8	29
KINGS			1	16.1	3		21.6				249.1		2			240.5			138.3				51.0	62
LEWIS				.0	49		.(4.(48			4.0			54.9				26.9	ü
LIVINGSTON				6.9	7		1.7				4.		38			42.9			89.9				17.6	32
KADISON				1.5	38		6.0	26	۱.		. 9.		32			3.0							02.4	5
KONROE				4.0	13		12.3	2 10			41.3		1			43.6			107.5				57.9	23
KONTEONERY				1.9	34		5.	1 28	1		1.		55			22.8			19.9				55.8	47
NASSAU				3.0	21		2.	5 44	1		30.	3	12			47.3			59.9				10.1	
KEN YORK				17.9	2		24.	3 - 3	5.		405.	Ľ	1			346.9			176.7				56.6	24
NIAGARA				2.8	25		5.	1 3	1		26.	3	16			63.3			109.1				258.0	46
ONEIDA				1.2	- 41		δ.	0 2	2		n.	1	25			32.7			93.1					
ONDNDAGA				3.0	20	•	13.	8 í	3		{8 .	1	6			75.6			137.				567.9	20
DAUADHDA DXIARID				2.2	30		8.		ŧ.		2.	2	\$3			28.1			46.9				\$25.8	31
ORANSE				1.8	35		11.				21.	6	18			87.9			99.				107.1	. 14
				5.1	. 9		1.				2.	6	50			63.9			130.				296.4	36
ORLEANS OSVEGO				.8	47		3.	-			2.	5	51			31.1			96.				282.0	43
				3.6			6.				6.	7	40			42.1			101.				486.8	6
DTSEGO Putnak				4.9			1.				3.	.7	- 49			35.1	8 34		56.	9 56			208.9	53

(Continued on next page.)

ł

8-5

	kurd Arke		FORCIBLI ARREI		roði Akhi	Æky ISTS	AGG. ASS Akres		BURGI AKRE		LARCE	
DUNTY	Aate	kint	Rate	kzak	Rata	Rank	Rate	Rant	Rate	Azak	Rate	Rank
		,		i.								•
UEEKS	1.1		11.0		109.1		126.9	4	79.9	43	254.1	46
ENSSELAER	1.3	40	4.6	34	10.1		57.5	18	49.4	47	196.2	57
I CHMOND	7.8	2	10.7	13			124.9	2	104.8	23	282.5	42
DCKLAND	1.1	44	2.3	4B 55	13.		47.1	24 43	51.3	40 72	177,2	60
LAVRENCE	2.6	26	· .9	36			29.1		104.9	-	298.9	30
ARATOGA	3.1	18	1.3		7.		37.1	31	81.7	39	328.0	
CHERECTADY	1.3	38	6.6	23 56	29.		25.9	47	40.4	51	298.2	37
CHOHARIE	.0	49		36			33.6	36	114.1	14	389,3	16
CHUYLER	.0	49	28.6	L.	47.		22.8	52	\$7.1	29	251.3	45
ENECA	.0	49	15.3	4	L.		21.4	56	125.1	11	390.7	- D
TEUGER	1.0	44	4.1	38	4.		22.6	53	43.7	52	290.7	39
UFFOLK	2.8	24	6.0	- 25	30.1		53.6	20	78.4	44	176.5	6
ULLIVAN	1,1	12	16.3	3	34.		122.8	-	196.7	2	531.0	
106A	2.0	33	4.0		•		15.9	58	45.7	62	271.9	- 4
DNPKIHS	1.1	- 43	6.8	20	14.	-	21.6	55	90.8		431.1	10
LSTER	3.1	. 19	13.4	9	16.		64.7	- 13	107.5		40B.5	
ARREN	.0	49	1.8	50	7.		48.5	22	118.4	- ,-	530.2	
ASHINGTON	1.8	36	.0		14.		55.4	19	81.1	41	200.9	
AYNE	1.1	42	9.1	15	6.		36.6	33	135.9	7	398.6	
ESTCHESTER	3.8	- 11	4.5	24	38.		63.6	15	67.6		361.2	-
YOMING	4.9	11	2.5	45	2.		36.9	32	71.3		258.1	
ates	.0	49	4.7	33	•	0 56	9.3	59	51.2	61	186.2	5

TABLE 2C ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 + - Continued

NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of this appendix. The wait of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult tile., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these data do not mecessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

as Arrests for the index crime of "motor vehicle theit" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trands File.

SOURCE: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 20 ARKEST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGSI AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

	TOT			03	NTROLLED SUBSTAN	CE ARRES	TS				nakihu	ANA ARREST	S	
	SRU ARRE		Tota	1	Posse	ssion		iale	T	ital	Poss	esioa	S	iale
COUNTY	Rite	Renk	Bate	Kint	Rate	Rank	Rate	Reat	kate	Rank	Rate	Rant	Rate	R
ALBAIIY	139.5	IJ	93.0	12	72.2	14	20	.8 11	46.	5 13	34.	9 9	11.	6
ALLEGANY	23.4	36	5.9	58	5.9			0 53	17.		5.	56	11.	
BROWY	837.0	2	597.0	2	357.2		199	8 2	240		101.		138.	1
FROOKE	53.2	33	32.0	36	23.5	38	8	5 30	21	2 32		1 32	7.	1
CATTARAUSUS	37.5	17	15.4	49	14.1	46	2	3 47	21	1 33	15.	2 28	5.	.9
CAYUSA	55.1	32	42.6	27	27.5	32	15	0 19	12	5 51	· .	3 54	6.	.3
CHAUTAUQUA	29.1	52	18.7	48	6.9	51	11	8 21	10	4 55	ì.	3 49	2.	.1
CHERUNG	10.9	62	5,4	59	J.J	60	2	2 49	5	4 60	4.	3 58	1.	1
CHENAXEO	52.0	34	38.0	29	38.0			0 53			6.		8.	
CLINION	105.4	19	72.3	19	63.7			6 29	33		12.		20.	
COLUMBIA	B7.5		49.5	26	34.7	26	14		38	6 14	21.	5 18	16.	.5
CORTLAND	90.7	24	38.0	20	29.5			4 31	52	.8 9	27,	4 14	25.	.3
DELAVARE	49.1	37	21.3	46	10.7		10		27		19.		8.	
DUTCHESS	100.7	-	77.6	17	61.5		16				14.		8.	
ERIE	143,8	-	98.4	10	83.1		15				61.		3.	
ESSET	38.5		30.2	38	22.0			.2 33		.2 59	2.		5.	
FRANKLIN	82.2		31.3	33	32.0			.3 48			13.		34.	
FULTON	21,7	59	3.6	60	3.6			0 53			9.			.0
SERESEE	32.2		11.9	52	10.2			.7 52			16.			.4
	96.4		79.6	15	77.2			.4 46			12.	•		.8
SREENE		42	40.1	28	40.1			.0 53		.0 62		0 42		.¢.
RANILTON	40,1		26.9	41	26.9			.0 53						.0
HERKIKER	46.3			44	21.4			.4 40		.5 49	10.			
JEFFERSON	38,4		24.8		221.2			-			71.	-	90.	
KINES	501.4		336.2	3			115				1.			
LEWIS	23.8		7.9	56	7.9			.0 53		.9 45				.9
LIVINESTON	39.4		18.9	47	15.4			.4 39			12.			.6
NADISON	12,0		3.0	61				.0 43		.0 58	1			.0
MONROE	55,3		32.1	35	26.4			.6 25		.3 27	17.			.9
NONTGOMERY	114.2		89.5	13	89.5			.0 53		.7 25	24.			.0
NASSAU	119.2		84.5	14	64.7			.8 13		.7 17	27.			.1
NEN ADBR	2,055.0		1,497.0	1	1,101.7		39/	-			233.		324	
NIAGARA	50.3		36.5		28.2			.3 32		.9 48	8.			5.5
GNEIDA	47.5		36.3	32	22.1			.0 22		.2 51	10.			.2
OHONDAGA	83.4	26	55.9		27.3					.7 22	12			5.3
ONTARIO	16.0		52.0	25	31.4			.8 12		.1 46	9			.3
ORANSE	149.9		127.9	Ь	163.1		24	.1 9		.0 29	17.	-		1.7
ORLEANS	23.0	58	10.2		10.2			.0 53		.9 50	 			5.1
OSWEEG	31.1	51	10.9	53	5.0			.9 36	and the second	.1 36	- 11			3.4
DISE60	79.2	28	32.0	37	27.0) 35		.1 37	4	.2 12	32			5,2
PUTNAM	123.6	14	107.5	7	74.3	2 13	3.	.4 6	1	.1 . 44	13	.6 34	2	2.5

(Continued on next page.)

8-7

	tot/ Druk			CQJ	ITROLLED SUBSTAN	E ARPES	STS				•		MARIHUAN	A ARREST	5		
	AKRES		lota	1	Posser	sion		521	ŧ	Tot	al	· · ·	Passes	sion		Sal	2
COUNTY	Rate	Rant	<u>kate</u>	Rank	Rate	fant		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant	•	Rate	Kank		Rate	Rant
RUEEKS	275.8	4	128.7	5	115.4	5		53.3		107.1	5		47.7	. 4		59.3	-
RENSSELAER	64.7	30	29.7	40	27.1	34		2.4	44	35.0			26.4	15		8.5	2
LCHHOND	174.1	6	64.5	23	49.5	21		15.0	20	109.7	4		31.6	11	. •	78.1	
OCKLAND	124.0	13	102.2	9	6B.2	15		33.9	5	21.9	31		12.8	37		9.0	1
ST. LAWRENCE	115.5	15	77.6	18	75.B	12		1.8	51	37.9	15		29.1	12		8.8	2
ARATOGA	91.0	23	15.6	22	47.7	22		17.9	16	25.4	24		13.0	36		12.4	1
CHENECTADY	51.8	35	29.9	39	21.9	41		8.0	34	21.9	30		17.9	22		4.0	4
CHOHARIE	23.5	57	13.4	51	10.1	51		3.4	41	10.1	56		6.7	53		3.4	5
CHUYLER	34.3	48	.0	12	.0	61		.0	53	34.3	18		17.1	25		17.1	
ENECA	45.8	40	33.4	34	30.5	29		3.1	42	12.2	52		12.2	40		.0	5
TEUGEN	27.7	53	8.2	55	8.2	52		.0	53	19.5	38		17.5	23	•	2.1	5
UFFOLK	140.8	8	70.9	20	43.9	23		27.0	8	89.9	6		79.7	3		10.2	1
SULLIVAN	145.0	10	93.2	11	65.8	9		7.4	35	51.8	10		45.9	7		5.9	3
1064	37.7	46	25.8	42	23.B	37		2.0	50	11.9	53		4.0	59		7.9	3
TOKPKINS	44.2	41	25.0	43	14.7	45		10.2	27	19.3	40		18.2	21		1.1	5
ASTER	105.6	18	78.8	16	59.2	19		19.5	14	26.9	23		22.0	17		4.9	- 4
ARREN	131.2	12	102.4	8	93.5	1		9.0	28	28.8	20		19.8	19		9.0	2
ASHINGTON	19.4	60	15.9	50	12.3	47		3.5	38	3.5	61		3.5	60		.0	5
ATRE	94.8	22	70.8	21	58.3	20		12.6	23	24.0	26		14.8	29		9.1	1
ESTCHESTER	235.7	5	178.5	4	157.5	- 4		21.0	10	57.2	8		43.4	8		13.7	1
YONINS	27.0	54	7.4	57	4.9	57		2.5	45	19.7	37		14.8	30		4.9	4
ATES	32.6	49	23.3	45	4.7	58		18.4	5	9.3	57		1.7	57		4.7	4

TABLE 20 ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGSI AND KATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

+ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for the possession or sale of drugs (Controlled Substances: FL 220.03 through PL 220.43; Marihuamas FL 221.10 through PL 221.551.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Brug Arrest and Processing Trends File.

				DXI	ARRESTS	 	
	Tota	1		Felc	hay	 Nistea	lanor
COLEITY	 Rate	Rank		Rate	Rant	Rate	Ê a o î
AL BANY	i	12		.9	8	10.5	5
ALLEGANY	5.2	54		.1	52	5.1	5
ERONY	4.4	58		٥.	61	4.4	5
ERDONE	5.0	56		.6	26	4.5	5
CATTAKAUGUS	9.6	21		.9	4	8.7	- 2
CAYNGA	B. 1	31		.6	29	7.5	3
CHAUTAUQUA	6.4	27		.7	20	B.C	2
CHEMUNG	5.2	53		.7	17	4.5	5
CHENANGO	11.0	13		.7	15	10.3	1
CLINTON	12.1	9		.1	23	11.4	
COLUMBIA	7.9	36		.4	41	7.5	3
CORTLAND	16.4	1		.0	62	16.4	
DELAVARE	8.0	33		.2	47	7.8	2
DUTCHESS	9.6	20		.7	18	B.9	1
ERIE	6.7	- 13		.3	38	4.3	1
ESSEX	11.8	41		.1	14	11.1	1
FRANKLIN	9.3	25		.1	49	8.9	1 2
FURTON	6.3	46		.5	31	5.7	1 1
SENESEE	9.4	22		.5		8.0) 1
GREENE	1.4	41		.3		6.8	
HANILTON	10.6	14		.5		10.1	1
HERKINER	7.5	39		.1		6.8	
JEFFERSON	1.1	38				7.0	
KIN65	3.2			.0		3.7	
LEWIS	5.1	47		.1		5.7	
LIVINESTON	13.6	14		.5		13.1	
	8.8	26				8.1	
KADISON	5.4					4.6	
NONROE	9.3					B.:	
MONTGOMERY	5.2			.1		1.9	
NASSAU						3.	
NEW YORK	3.1			ا. ،			-
NIAGARA	6.2					5.4	-
DHEIDA	5.6					5.3	
ONONDAGA	5.4				-	5.	
ONTARIO	10.1			1.4			
ORANGE	8.0			1.(6.1	
ORLEANS	4.7			1.		3.	
OSWEGO	8.1					7.	
015E60	14.0			اء		13.	
FUTHAN	9.4	23		•	1 56	9.;	3

TABLE 2E DWI FELONY AND WISDENEANDR ARKEST RATES PER \$,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 .

(Continued on next page.)

8-9

. . 1

÷ è

			DAI	ARREST	S		
	Tota	1	feli	any		Kisdene	anor
COUNTY	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rant
NEEIIS	2.0	62	.0	58		2.0	67
RENSSELAER	9.8	19	.2	16		9.5	17
RICHMOND	2.7	61	.0	60		2.7	6
ROCKLAND	6.3	45	.5	30		5.7	- 43
ST. LAWRENCE	10.3	17	.7	21		9.6	1
SARATOGA	13.0	7	.4	42		12.6	
SCHENECTADY	6.8	42	.6	24		6.2	4
SCHOHARIE	11.9	10	.5	32		11.4	1
SCHUYLER	7.4	40	.7	22		6.7	4
SENECA	16.4	15	1.2	1		9.2	1
STEUBEN	6.4	44	.6	27		5.8	4
SUFFOLK	10.4	15	.1	55		10.3	1
EULLIVAN	12.4	8	.6	26		11.7	
T 105A	B. 6	26	1.1	5		7.3	3
TONPKINS	7.7	37	.1	54		7.6	3
ULSTER	8.1	30	.4	39		1,1	3
WARREN	16.2	2	1.	53		16.1	
WASHINGTON	13.5	5	1.2	3		12.3	
WAYNE	13.1	6	.4	38		12.7	
VESTCHESTER	5.8	48	.2	49		5.6	- 4
WYOHING	8,0	34	.8	i 11		7.2	3
YATES	5.4	51	1.0	7		4.6	5

TABLE 2E DWI FELONY AND HISDENEANOR ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 + - Continued

* Feloay and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a ONI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192).

SOURCE: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

	<u></u>					
	Vio	lent	011	her	To	tal -
DINTY	Nueber	Percent	Kusber	Percent	Kusber	Percen
LSAIN	140	31.5	305	18.5	445	100.
LLEGANY	10	18.9	43	B1.1	53	100.
RONT	3,663	56.3	2,840	43.7	6,503	100.
ROOME	148	27.1	398	72.9	546	100.
CATTARAUGUS	_ 20	22.0	71	78.0	91	100.
CAYUGA	31	29.5	74	70.5	105	100.
Chautauqua	52	23.6	148	76.4	220	100.
Chenung	75	22.4	264	77.1	340	100.
CHEHANSO	17	30.4	39	69.1	56	100.
CLINION	37	28.9	91	71.1	128	100.
COLUMBIA	31	34.1	60	62.9	81	100.
CORTLAND	20	15.5	109	84.5	129	100.
DELAWARE	8	29.6	19	70.4	27	100.
DUTCHESS	80	21.1	299	78.9	379	100
ERIE	470	31.4	1,027	68.6	1,497	100
ESSEI	19	26.2	75	79.8	94	100
FRANKLIN	14	17.5	44	82.5	80	100
FULTON	13	15.7	70	84.3	83	100
BENESEE	28	25,7	81	71.3	109	100
GREENE	22	34.4	. 42	65.6	54	100
HANILTON	ł	16.7	5		Ł	100
HERKINER	- 15		47	75.8	62	190
JEFFERSON	65	23,4	213		278	100
KINGS	4,637	10.0	3,095		7,732	100
LEVIS	5	13.2	33	86.B	38	100
LIVINGSTON	47	22.1	155	77.9	213	100
KADISON	30	22.4	104		134	100
NONRDE	445	30.6	1,008		1,453	
NONTGOKERY	15	18.8	65	2	80	
KASSAU	955	40.0	1,433	60.0	2,388	
KEN YORK	4,412	63.6	2,522	36.4	6,934	
MIAGARA	98	28.4	245	71.4	343	
ONEIDA	138	31.5	300	68.5	438	
ONONDASA	398	31.3	875		1,273	
ONTARIO	49	22.9	165	77.1	214	
DRANGE	144	27.8	374		518	
DELEANS	52	35.9	93		145	
OSVE60	37	21.0	139	79.0	176	
DISEGO	5	20.B	19		24	
FUTHAR	15	13.4	97	86.6	117	100

(Continued on next page. 1

B-11

			FELONY	INDICTMENTS		
	Vie	lent	Ot	her	To	tal
COLINTY	Husber	Fercent	Nusber	Percent	Kusber	Percent
QUEENS	2,954	50.5	2,899	49.5	5,853	100.0
RENSSELAER	50	28.2	127	71.8	117	106.0
R I CHAOND	174	54.4	146	45.6	320	100.0
ROCKLAND	79	18.0	370	82.0	439	100.
ST. LAWRENCE	37	19.4	154	80.6		100.
SARATOGA	55	35.7	- 19	64.3	154	100.
SCHENECTADY	59	25.5	172	74.5	231	100.
SCHOHARIE	6	28.4	15	71.4	21	100.
SCHUYLER	9	32.1	19	67.9	28	100.
SENECA	. 7	17.9	32	82.1	39	100.
STEUBEN	33	27.7	86	72.3	- 119	100.
SUFFOLK	909	30.B	2,041	69.2	2,950	100.
SULL IVAN	46	32.9	94	67.1	140	100.
TIOGA	. 1	9.6	66	90.4	73	100.
TOMPKINS	37	27.4	98	72.6	135	100.
ULSTER	64	20.B	244	79.2	303	100.
WARREN	13	27.1	35	72.9	48	100.
WASHINGTON	24	33.3	48	66.7	72	100.
WAYNE	34	17.3	163	82.7	197	100.
WESTCHESTER	568	39.8	840	40.2	1,428	100.
WYGNING	5	8.9	51	91.1	56	106.
YATES	۲ ·	13.7	-44	86.3	51	100.
NEW YORK STATE	21,794	44.0	27,717	56.0	49,511	100.
NEW YORK CITY	15,995	53.2	14,091	46.B	30,084	100.

TABLE JA FELONY INDICINENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

	Carvic	lions	Acqui	ittals	í	isei	ssals	01	iher		10	otal
COUNTY	Kueber I	Fercent	Huaber	Fercent	Na	ber	Percent	Number	Percent		Kunber	Percent
				-	,	235	21.5	23	. 4		5,750	100.0
ALBANY	4,477	77.9	15	.3 .3	1	103	32.2	1	.3		320	100.0
ALLEGANY	215	67.2 69.0	346		ę	122	26.4	1,231	3.8		34,519	100.0
BRONE	23,820	рт.9 74.6	16		•	633	22.1	1	2.7		2,862	100.0
BROOME	2,136	\$1.7	2			146	17.1	3			825	
CATTAKAUGUS	796	74.5	ò			268	25.1	5			1,059	100.0
CAYUGA CHAUTAUQUA	1,519	75.5	11			476	23.7	6	.3		2,012	100.0
CHENUKS	1,110	75.2	4			359	24.3	2			1,477	100.0
CHENANGO	584	75.5	7			174	22.5	8	1.0		773	100.0
CLINTON	872	£3.1				176	16.4	4	.4		1,073	100.0
COLUMBIA	158	61.3	2			410	38.2	4	.4		1,074	100.0
CORTLAND	714	67.2	4			343	32.3	1	i.		1,062	100.0
DELAVARE	480	72.2				150	27.1	1	.2		665	i 100.0
DUTCHESS	2,910	62.2	1		1	,680	35.9	88	1.9		4,682	100.0
ERIE	11,229	63.3	211			196		93	. ,5		17,729	100.0
ESSEL	423	77.8				115		1	6		544	100.0
FRANKLIN	530	83.2	2			103		1	: .3		637	T 100.0
	535	78.7	,			140			i .7		680) 100.0
FULTON	564	81.7		-		122		;	2 .3	1	L90	0 100.
BENESEE	430	79.5				105		. 1		- -	541	1 100.
GREENE	37	82.2				8		(). (I	45	5 100.
HANILTON	492	88.4				58		4	۲. I	ł	555	5 100.
HERKIMER	782	85.1	-			117			s .,1	ſ	908	8 100.
JEFFERSON	26,092	61.1	33		1	,720		2,54	0 6.0)	42,684	4 100.
KINGS	155	39.1				37			3 i.:	i i	198	
LEWIS	854	B0.4		3,3		201			1	ŧ.	1,075	5 100.
LIVINGSTON	653	8(.0		6 .0		124			۱. ۵)	131	
KADISON	5,409	49.4	10			i,165		26	8 2.4	l	10,94	6 100.
KONROE Kontsonery	644	88.2		4 .5		78			4 .:	5	73(0 100.
	12,169	84.4	Ŷ			,712	11.9	43		D	14,41	2 100.
NASSAU	66,319	68.9	36			212		2,42	2 2.	5	96,32	2 100.
NEW YORK	3,005	78.3	3			787			1 .	3	3,83	9 100.
NIAGARR	1,964	83.3		6 .3		384			5.	2	2,35	
OKEIDA	3,900	10.2	3		*	2,447		9	2 1.	4 - 20	6,47	
DNDNDAGA	1,009	81.0	ĩ			210			3 1.	0	1,24	15 100.
ONTARIO ORANGE	2,985	57.8		2.2		2,095	2 I I	1	0 i.	4	5,15	
	269	67.3		2 3.0		111			5 1.	3	40	
ORLEANS DSWEGO	1,128	77.5		8.5		307		1	3.	9	1,45	iå 100.
OTSE60	827	81.0		5.5		177		1	2 1.	2	1,02	21 100.
PUTHAN	655	61.6		3.3		398			7.	7	1,05	3 100.

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985

1

0

(Continued on next page.)

8-13

	Easvi	ctions	Acqui	ttals	Dísti	issals	01	ther	Tc	atal
COUNTY	Kunber	Percent	Hurper	Percent	Kuaber	Percent	Rusber	Percent	Husber	Percen
DUEENS	16,625	64.8	280	1.1	B,364	32.6	374	1.5	25,643	100.0
RENSSELAER	1,358	76.2	. 9	.5	422	23.0	5		1,834	100.
RICHMOND	2,133	41.9	15	.3	2,272	44.7	\$68	13.1	5,088	100.0
ROCKLAND	1,880	72.8	4	.2	688	26.6	12	.5	2,584	100.
ST. LAWRENCE	778	17.9	9	.8	353	30.8	5	.4	1,145	100.
SARATOGA	2,292	76.6	3	.1	693	23.2	. 4	.1	2,992	100.
SCHERECTADY	1,568	76.3	7	.3	451	21.9	29	1.4	2,055	100.
SCHOHARIE	337	72.9	4	.9	117	25.3	4	.9	462	100.
SCHUYLER	214	84.3	1	.4	38	15.0	· • •	.4	254	100.
SENECA	291	63.3	1	.2	163	35.4	5	1.1	460	100.
STEUBEN	701	71.7	1	.7	262	27.1	5	.5	978	100.
SUFFOLK	12,608	75.3	96	.6	3,924	23.7	66	.4	16,734	100.
SULLIVAN	1,253	11.8	2	.1	615	32.8	5	.3	1,876	100.
TIDEA	472	78.9	٥	-0	123	20.6	3	.5	578	100.
TONPKINS	764	60.5	11	.9	469	37.1	19	1.5	1,263	
ULSTER	1,685	68.7	11	.4	749	30.5	9	.3	2,453	
VARREN	1,102	73.0	2	.1	397	26.3	8	.5	1,509	
WASHINGTON	561	82.7	2	.3	113	16.7	2	.3	678	100.
NAYNE	1,215	76.5	4	.2	370	22.7	8	.5	1,628	100.
VESTCHESTER	\$,024	72.6	65	.6	2,752		206		11,048	
NYOHING	175	74.B	Ò	.0	54	23.9	3	1.3	234	100.
YATES	140	85.4	1	.4	21		2		164	
NEW YORK STATE	240,395	68.2	2,217	.6	100,904		8,926		352,442	
NEW YORK CITY	134,989	66.1	1,342	.7	60,690	29.7	7,235	3.5	204,256	100.

TABLE 4A BISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELDNY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

* Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and "other" dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F)

SOURCE: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

	Cosvia	rtions	Acqui	itals	Dissi	ssals	Ot	her	To	otal
COUNTY	Nusber	Fercent	Kunber	Percent	Kusber	Percent	Rusber	Percent	Kusber	Percent
ALBANY	1,746	79.3	11	.7	305	19.5	9	.ė .0	1,372 61	100.0
ALLEGANY	46	75.4	0	.0	15	24.6 28.9	712	3.6	19,578	
BROHX	12,911	65.9	292	1.5	5,663 110	16.5	17	2.5	667	
BROOKE	534	80.1	6	.9	7	6.1	0	.0	115	
CATTARAUGUS	107	93.0	1	.9	(2	17.7	2	.8	237	
CAYUGA	193	81.4	•	.0	41	12.3	2	.6	334	
CHAUTAUDUA	289	el.5	2	, .6 1.3	26	8.2	0	.0	316	
Chemung	285	90.5	3	2,6	3	6.9	2	1.7	116	
CHENAKGO	103	BB.8		.5	21	10.7	1	.5	197	
CLINION	174	88.3	1	.1	75	29.2	4	1.6	257	
COLUMBIA	177	68.9	1		33	21.4	0	.0	151	
CORTLAND	121	78.6	0	.0	24	15.8	ō	.0	121	
DELAWARE	95	78.5	2	1.7	299	27.2	17	1.5	1,078	
DUTCHESS	780	71.0	2	.2 1.9	1,795	38.2	65	1.4	4,693	
ERIE	2,744	58.5	- 69		16	18.2	1	1.1	BS	
ESSEX	71	80.7	0	,0	10	9.8	0		102	
FRANKLIN	90	88.2	2		20		2		179	
FULTON	157	B7.7	Ŭ	.0	7			.8	128	
GENESEE	120	93.B	2		22		1	.0	127	
GREENE	102	B0.3	2				0		15	
HANILTON	11	73.3 88.7	0		13		ŏ		115	
HERKIMER	102	88.4	3		23		Ĵ		250	D 100.
JEFFERSON	221	40.1	312		8,153		1,997		26,252	2 100.
KINGS	15,790	67.B	312	2.4	2		2		ંસ	
LENIS	36 85	86.7		1.0	10		2	-	96	
LIVINGSTON	83 118	88.1			16		ō		13(1 100.
HADISON	1,598	63.3	51		630		245		2,52	1 100
NONROE	104	86.0	2		13		2	1.7	12	
NONTGONERY	3,523	78.6	45		514		398		4,48	2 100
NASSAU	21,032	58,4	311		13,842		812	2.3	35,99	7 100
NEW YORK	670	79.3			161				84	
HIASARA	528	90.9		• · ·	50		1		58	1 100
DKEIDA	1,346	73.1	Ĩ		460		11	1.0	1,84	1 100
DHOHDASA	205				15		í	3.5	23	
ONTARIO	B22		i		370		2	1.9	1,25	4 100
DRANGE	85		. 10		25		•		12	4 100
ORLEANS	240				17		ę	3.4	26	3 100
OSWEGO	126		-		29				16	3 100
OTSEGO PUTKAN	120			1.1	80				18	1 100

TABLE 48 DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS BY TYPE OF DISPOSITIONS AND COUNTY FOR 1985

{ Continued on next page. }

					DISPOSITIONS RESULTING	6 FROM FELOWY ARR	ESTS +			
	Convi	ctions	Acqui	ttals	Dissi	ssals	01	her	To	atal
COUNTY	Nusber	Fercent	Husber	Percent	Kusber	Percent	Nunber	Percent	Nunber	Percent
QUEENS	7,533	59.5	204	1.6	4,684	37.0	247	1.9	12,668	100.6
RENSSELAER	315	81.4	1	.3	70	18.1	1	.3	397	100.0
RICHMOND	939	46.3	9	.4	897	44.4	160	8.9	2,027	
ROCKLAND	541	77.0	2	.3	159	22.6	1	.1	703	
ST. LAWRENCE	83	70.1	1	1.0	- 27	27.0	1	1.0	97	
SARATOGA	378	79.4	1	.2	95	20.0	2	.4	476	
SCHENECTADY	375	81.2	4	.9	68	14.7	15		462	
SCHOHARIE	57	76.0	2	2.7	14	18.7	2	2.7	75	
SCHUYLER	51	96.2	Û	.0	2	3.8	0	.0	53	
SEHECA	49	74.2	I	1.5	15	22.7	1	1.5	66	
STEUDEN	150	76.5	Ł	3.1	38	19.4	2	1.0	196	
SUFFOLK	3,488	80.2	47	1.0	834	18.1	20		4,599 451	
SULLIVAN	309	68.5	(.0	140		2		431 95	
T106A	83	87.4	(.0	' 11	11.6	·	1.1	221	
TONPKINS	150	67.9	4	1.8	61	27.6	8	2.7	575	
ULSTER	423	73.6		.5	143		a 0	1.0	235	
WARKEN	-174	74.0	:	.9	59		u u	.0	134	
WASHINGTON	118	88.1	:	1.5	13				251	
WAYKE	227	90.4		.4	21		1	.8	3,372	
RESTCHESTER	2,683		4		581		61	1.4	70	
RADNINE	64	91.4	(.0	3	7.1	-	2.2	41	
YATES		89.1) .0	۽ 10 ق	8.7	1 0/1		133,012	
HEN YORK STATE	85,623		1,52	5 1.1	40,918		4,941		96,52	
NEW YORK CITY	58,205	40.3	1,12	1.2	33,241	34.4	9124	9 7.J		- 1401
•							-	1		

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARCESTS BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued TABLE 48

* Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, disaissals, and "other" dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Crisical Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: MYS Division of Crieinal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

8-16

TABLE 54 FELONY, HISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

			Felony						· · · ·				
	Tota	ll l	Vic	leat	01	her		Ki sde	##anor	Lesser 6	ffenses	Te	atal
COUNTY	Nusber	Percent	Number	Percent	Hunder	Percent	. •	Nuaber	Percent	Kusber	Percent	Nunber	Fercent
ALBANY	269	4.5	65	1.5	224	5.0		1,992	44.5	2,195	49.1	4,477	100.0
ALLEGANY	15	7.0	2	.9	13	4.0		140	45.1	03	27.9	215	100.0
BROWN	4,328	18.2	1,822	7.6	2,506	10.5		12,602	52.9	6,890	28.9	23,820	100.0
BRODKE	270	12.6	70	3.3	200	9.4		1,426	££.B	440	20.6	2,136	100.0
CATTARAUGUS	40	5.9	4	.4	36	5.3		441	65.4	193	28.6	674	100.0
CAYUGA	74	9.3	11	1.4	63	7.9	•	532	66.8	190	23.9	796	100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	113	7.4	24	1.4	89	5.9		985	63.3	444	29.2	1,519	100.0
Chemuns	199	17.8	45	4.1	153	13.8		746	67.2	166	15.0	1,110	100.0
CHENAKGO	23	3.9	5	.9	18	3.1		341	58.4	220	37.7	584	100.0
CLINICH	53	5.9	4	.4	49	5.5		429	48.1	410	46.0	872	
COLUKBIA	£2	9.4	6	19	56	8.5		320	48.5	276	41.9	458	100.0
CORTLAND	70	9.8	13	1.0	57	8.0		256	35.9	388	54.3	714	
DELAWARE	25	5.2	Û	1.7	17	3.5		257	53.5	198	41.3	480	
DUTCHESS	307	16.5	65	2.2	242	8.3		1,243	42.7	1,340	46.7	2,910	
ERIE	900	8.0	241	2.1	659	5.9		3,914	34.9	6,415	57.1	11,229	
ESSEX	24	5.7	6	1.4	18	4.3		214	50.4	185	43.7	423	
FRANLLIN	39	7.4	6	1.1	33	6.2		290	54.7	201	37.9	530	
FULTON	61	11.4	15	2.8	46	8.6		238	44.5	236	44.1	535	
GENESEE	68	12.1	14	2.5	54	9.5		224	46.8	232	41.1	564	
GREEHE	25	5.6	5	1.2	20	4.7		263	61.2	142	33.0	430	
HANILTON	4	10.8	.0	.0	. 4	10.8		22	59.5	11	29.7	37	
HERKINER	38	7.7	4	.8	34	6.9		290	58.9	164	33.3	492	
JEFFERSON	129	16.5	28	3.4	101	12.9		406	51.9	247	31.6	782	
KIN6S	5,484	21.0	2,442		3,042	11.7		10,305	39.5	10,303	39.5	26,092	
LEXIS	12	7.7	1	.6	. 11	7.1		65	41.9	78	50.3	155	
LIVINGSTON	57	6.6	1	.8	50	5.8			51,3	364	42.1	864	
MADISON	85	13.0	18	2.8	67	10.3		336	51.5	232		653	
NONSOE	840	15.5	256		584	10.8		2,566	47.4	2,003	37.0	5,409	
MONTEONERY	75	11.6	1	1.1	84	10.6		278	43.2	291	45.2	644	
KASEAU	1,450	11.9	474		976	8.0		5,756	47.3	4,963	40.8	12,169	
HEN YORK	7,2B5	11.0	2,802	4.2	4,483	4.8		39,587	59.7	19,447	29.3	66,319	100.0
NIAGARA	168	5.6	34	1.1	134	4.5		1,091	36.3	1,746	58.1	3,005	100.1
OHEIDA	213	10.8	- 71	3.5	142	7.2		1,053	53.6	698	35.5	1,964	100.0
OHDNDAGA	829	21.3	239	2.1	590	15.1		1,513	38.8	1,558	39.9	3,900	100.4
ONTARIO	182	18.0	31	3.1	151	15.0		543	53,8	284	28.1	1,009	100.0
DRANGE	347	11.6	84	2.8	263	8.8		1,218	40.8	1,420	47.6	2,985	100.0
ORLEANS	67	24.9	11	5.2	53	19.7		150	55.8	52		269	
RSWEED	113	10.0	27	2.4	86	7.6		519	46.0	496	44.0	1,128	100.0
DISEGO	41	5.0	7	.B	34	4.1		234	28.3	552	68.7	827	
PUTHAN	9	1.4	1	.2	8	1.2		228	34.8	418	53.8	655	100.0

9215341530

.

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELORY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS +

- 4

{ Continued on next page. }

.

जन्म स्टब्स्

TABLE 5A FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR AKRESTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

	***					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
			Felony	م مربع مربع من من التي التي التي التي التي التي التي التي								
	Tota	1	Vic	lent	01	her	Ki sde	62100F	Lesser O	lfenses	To	tal
COUNTY	Hueber	Percent	Kusber	Percent	Nunber	Percent	Kusher	Percent	Nusber	Percent	Nueber	Percen
DUEENS	3,523	21.2	1,143	7.0	2,360	14.2	7,473	45.0	5,629	33.9	14,825	100.
RENSSELAER	135	9.7	33	2.4	102	7.3	236	38.3	727	52.0	1,398	100.
RICHNOND	254	11.9	113	5.3	141	4.6	898	41.6	991	46.5	2,133	100.
ROCKLAND	340	18.1	57	3.0	283	15.1	738	39.3	B02	42.7	1,880	100.
ST. LAWRENCE	7	.1	1	. . I	4	.6	357	45.9	414	53.2	778	100.
SARATOGA	62	3.8	24	1.0	64	2.8	713	31.1	1,491	65.1	2,292	100.
SCHENECTADY	841	10.7	39	2.5	129	8.2	716	45.7	684	43.6	1,568	100.
SCHOHAR LE	27	8.0	. 6	1.8	21	6.2	142	42.1	168	49.9	337	100.
SCHUYLER	13	6.1	4	1.9	ę	4.2	156	72.9	45	21.0	214	100,
SEHECA	27	9.3	I.	.3	26	8.9	185	63.6	79	27.1	291	100.
STEUBEN	70	10.0	14	2.0	56	8.0	354	50.5	277	39.5	701	100.
SUFFOLK	1,905	15.1	545	4.3	1,340	10.0	3,897	30.9	6,805	54.0	12,608	100.
SULLIVAN	133	10.6	17	1.4	116	9.3	549	43.8	571	45.6	1,253	100.
TIDGA	42	8.9	8	1.7	34	7.2	284	£0.2	146	30.9	472	100.
TOKPKINS	9B	12.0	21	2.7	11	10.1	296	38.7	370	48.4	764	100.
ULSTER	193	11.5	37	2.2	156	9.3	892	52.9	£00	35.6	1,885	100.
HARFEN	32	2.9	7	.6	25	2.3	493	44.7	577	52.4	£,102	100.
HASHINGTON	45	8.0	3	.5	42	7.5	257	45.8	259	46.2	561	100
HAYNE	112	9.0	15	1.2	97	7.8	677	54.3	457	36.7	1,246	100
NESTCHESTER	1,369	17.1	491	6.1	878	10.9	2,303	41.2	3,352	41.8	8,024	100
WYDAINS	32	18.3	2	1.1	30	17.1	89	50.9	54	30.9	175	100
YATES	33	23.4	5	3.6	28	20.0	77	55.0	30	21.4	140	100
HEN YORK STATE	33,128	13.0	11,610	4.9	21,518	9.0	116,567	48.5	90,700	37.7	240,395	100
HEW YORK CITY	20,874	15.5	8,342	6.2	12,532	9.3	70,855	52.5	43,260	32.0	134,989	

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS .

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. These data way be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SDURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

APS'S

				Fel	DAY							
	Ta	ital	Vic	leat	Ot	iher	Ni sđe	100556	Lesser D	ifeases	To	stal
ouht y	Kuaber	Fercent	Nueber	Percent	Kunber	Percent	Nuaber	Fercent	Nueber	Percent	Nusber	Percent
LBANY	279	22.4	65	5.2	214	17.2	878	70.5	89	7.1	1,246	100.0
LLEGANY	14	30.4	2	4.3	12	26.1	30	\$5.7	2	4.3 ,	46.	100.0
KONK	4,291	33.2	1,822	14.1	2,469	19.1	6,015	46.6	2,605	20.2	12,911	100.0
ROOKE	258	48.3	70	13.1	188	35.2	243	45.5	33	6.2	534	100.0
ATTARAUGUS	35	32.7	4	3.7	31	29.0	61	57.0	11	10.3	107	100.0
	55	34.2		5.7	55		124	64.2	3	1.5	193	100.0
AYUGA	103	35.6	24	•	79		172	59.5	14	4.6	289	100.0
HAUTAUQUA	191	55.8	45	15.7	146		BL		Ŷ	3.1	286	
HENUKG			13	4.9	15		75		8	7.8	103	
HENANGO	20	19.4	د ۱	2.3	41		116		13	7.5	174	
LINTON	45	25.9	•				104	58.8	18	10.2	177	
OLUMBIA	55	31.1	\$	3.4	49		104 65				121	
CORTLAND	50	41.3	13		37		66		4	4.2	95	
DELANARE	25	26.3	B	B.4	17				88		780	e
IUTCHESS	283	36.3	65		218		409		388		2,744	
RIE	B33	30.4	241		592		1,523		••		71	
SSEI	23		. 6	8.5	17		46		2			
RANKLIN	34	37.8	\$	6.7	28		49		1		90	
FULTON	58	36.9	15		43		91		8	5.1	157	
SENESEE	60	50.0	- 14		46		60		0	••	120	
GREENE	24	23.5	5	4.9	19	18.6	67	-	11		102	
IAMILTON	4	36.4	0	.0	4	36.4	1	63.6	0	.0	11	
KERKINER	33	32.4		3.9	29	28.4	62	6.03	7	4.9	102	
JEFFERSON	119		28	12.7	91	41.2	100	45.2	2	.9	221	
KINGS	5,465		2,442		3,023	19.1	6,232	39.5	4,093	25.9	15,790) 100.
LENIS	11		-,		10		24				36	100.
	40		,		33		43		. 7		85	5 100.
LIVINESTON	73		18		55		43		2		118	3 100.
KADISON			258		548		660		136		1,598	
NONROE	802				65		26		4		104	
KONTGONERY	72		1		855		1,926		268		3,523	
NASSAU	1,229		474						4,327		21,032	
NEN AOUK	7,244		2,802		4,442		9,461				53(
KIAGARA	159		34		12		429		87			
ONEIDA	190		71		119		29		39		526	
ONONDAGA	790		235		551		48		- 71		1,34	
ONTARIO	152	2 74.1	31		12		- 41				20:	
DRANGE	337	39.4	94		253		421		90		85	
ORLEANS	58	67.4	1	16.3	4		2				81	
OSWEGD	101	42.1	2	11.3	74	30.8	12		13		240	
DISEGO	39			5.6	3:	2 25.4	7	57.9	14		12	
PUTHAN	1			1 1.0		7 7.3	7	3 76.0	1	i 15.6	9	6 100.

TABLE 50 FELONY, HISDENEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARKESTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

- 53

I Continued on next page. 1

şĩ

•

				Fel	087							
	 Ta	otal	Via	lent	01	her	Xi sde	ae and	Lesser D	ffenses	To	stal
COUNTY	Ruaber	Percent	Nueber	Fercent	Nuzber	Percent	Nueber	Percent	Kunber	Percent	Kusber	Percent
QUEENS	3,407	45.2	1,163	15.4	2,244	29.8	2,723	36.1	1,403	18.6	7,533	100.0
RENSSELAER	124	39.4	33	10.5	91	28.9	167	53.0	24	7.6	315	100.0
RICHNOND	251	26.7	113	12.0	138	14.7	487	51.9	201	21.4	939	100.0
ROCKLAND	324	59.9	57	10.5	267	49.4	163	30.1	54	10.0	541	105.0
ST. LAWRENCE	4	8.9	1	1.5	5	7.4	54	79.4	. 8	11.8	88	100.0
SARATOGA	80	21.2	24	6.3	56	14.0	233	61.6	65	17.2	378	100.0
SCHENECTADY	158	42.1	39	10.4	119	31.7	193	51.5	24	6.4	375	100.0
SCHOHARIE	24	42.1	5	10.5	18	31.6	29	50.9	4	7.0	57	100.0
SCHUYLER	13	25.5	4	7.6	8	17.6	24	65.7	4	7.8	51	100.0
SEHECA	20	40.8	1	2.5	19	38.8	27	55.1	2	4.1	49	100.0
STEUBEN	60	40.0	- 14	9.3	16	30.7	86	57.3	4	2.7	150	100.0
SUFFOLK	1,623	44.0	545	14.8	1,078	29.2	1,365	37.0	700	19.0	3,688	100.0
SIXLIVAN	118	39.2	17	5.5	101	32.7	150	48.5	41	13.3	309	100.0
T106A	39	47.0	8	9.6	31	37.3	42	50.6	2	2.4	83	100.0
TOMPKINS	76	50.7	21	14.0	55	36.7	73	48.7	1	.7	150	100.0
ULSTER	167	39.5	37	8.7	130	30.7	221	52.2	35	8.3	423	100.0
NARKEN	29	16.7	1	4.0	22	12.6	127	73.0	18	10.3	174	100.0
WASHINGTON	41	34.7	3	2.5	38	32.2	71	60.2 .	6	5.1	118	100.0
NAYNE	92	40.5	15	6.6	11	33.9	129	56.8	4	2.6	227	100.0
RESTCHESTER	1,227	45.7	491	16.3	736	27.4	1,262	47.0	194	7.2	2,683	100.0
WYONING CONTROL	27	42.2	2	3.1	25	39.1	37	57.8	0	.0	64	100.0
YATES	31	75.8	5	12.2	26	63.4	9	22.0	1	2.4	41	100.0
NEW YORK STATE	31,778	37.1	11,610	13.6	20,148	23.6	30,553	45.0	15,292	17.9	85,623	100.0
NEW YORK CITY	20,658	35.5	8,342	14.3	12,316	21.2	24,918	42.8	12,529	21.7	58,205	

TABLE 58 FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARKESTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions.

SDURCE: HYS Division of Crisical Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND

COUNTY	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probatica	Fines	Other	Total
AL BANY	3.7	12.8	7.7	13.2	57.9	4.7	100.0
ALLEGANY	1.4	11.2	5.6	16.7	50.2	14.9	100.0
BROHL	7.9	39.3	1.6	8.9	23.0	19.2	100.0
BROOME	3.2	14.7	3.8	12.5	34.2	29.6	100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1.5	15.0	1.8	7.6	63.4	10.8	100.4
CAYUGA	3.4	17.8	4.0	4.0	46.4	24.4	100.
CHAUTAUQUA	2.0	12.6	4.0	11.4	55.9	14.2	100.4
CHEMUNG	5.5	12.B	4.9	16.8	42.9	16.3	100.0
CHENANGO	1.4	11.5	5.1	14.7	45.2	22.1	100.0
CLINTON	1.7	12.6	2.2	7.8	62.4	13.2	100.
COLUNBIA	5.5	19.3	4.7	7.4	54.4	8.7	100.
CORTLAND	2.7	11.8	2.4	13.7	59.0	10.5	100.
DELANARE	. 4	8.9	4.2	9.2	35.6	21.7	100.
DUTCHESS	2.2	14.8	5.2	11.0	59.9.	4.8	100.
ERIE	3.2	18.4	2.7	1345	39.9	22.3	100.
ESSEI	2.6	4.9	1.9	7.8	64.1	1å.8	100.
FRANKLIN	1.3	13.4	4.2	12.6	50.2	18.3	100.
FULTON	3.9	13.1	5.2	12.1	50.3	15.3	100.
SENESEE	2.5	9.2	7.1	21.5	49.1	10.1	100.
GREENE	1.9	11.9	.7	9.3	67.4	8.0	100.
HANILTON	2.7	18.9	.0	8.1	54.1	14.2	100.
HERKINER	1.6	15.7	2.6	6.1	61.4	12.6	100.
DEFFERSON	2.8	14.1	7.4	10.9	55.1	9.7	100.
KINGS	10.3	26.1	4.9	10.7	29.1	18.5	100.
LEVIS	1.3	9.0	5.2	15.5	55.5	13.5	100.
LIVINGSTON	.8	8.7	5.0	7.9	59.3	16.3	100.
HADISON	2.5	8.4	5.4	13.3	60.9	9.5	100.
NDNRDE	5.5	13.6	6.1	14.7	38.3	21.7	100.
KONTEONERY	3.1	9.9	5.0	8.5	58,5	14.9	100.
KASSAU	4.1	16.6	<u>ه.</u> ٩	18.4	31.B	22.8	100.
NEW YORK	5.3	57.2	.8	4.7	9.0	23.0	100.
HIAGARA	2.1	22.0	2.8	10.9	48.9	13.3	100
ONEIDA	3.2	16.2	3.7	11.7	52.8	12.3	100.
DIIONDAGA	7.1	15.2	3.7	17.7	35.2	21.2	100.
DNTAR10	4.8	15.0	5.5	8.4	54.4	12.0	100.
DRANSE	2.9	13.2	5.2	14.0	56.1	8.5	100
ORLEANS	4.1	11.2	14.9	17.5	36.4	16.0	100.
OSVEGD	2.2	14.3	5.2	10.2	58.0	10.1	100
OISEGO	1.3	8.5	2.4	6.3	72.3	9.2	100.
PUTHAN	.5	7.5	1.2	10.4	77.9	2.6	100.

(Continued on next page.)

COUNTY	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
			- 877/881/71			••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
PUEENS	9.7	33.7	3.8	10.8	24.7	17.3	100.0
RENSSELAER	2.3	15.2	3.4	13.3	51.6	14.2	100.0
CHXOID	5.3	20.8	1.7	13.4	32.8	26.0	100.0
ROCKLAND	4.3	11.0	9.1	12.2	58.0	5.5	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	.3	5.7	1.4	5.3	61.1	26.3	100.0
SARATOSA	1.3	9.2	1.0	5.8	74.0	8.4	i 00,
SCHENECTADY	2.7	IB. 9	2.0	13.2	54.5	8.4	100.
SCHOHARIE	3.4	4.5	4.2	5.3	71.8	10.7	100.
CHUYLER	.5	7.5	5.4	28.0	19.5	8.9	100.
SENECA	1.0	11.7	5.2	8.9	52.9	- 20.3	100.
STEUBEN	2.6	10.7	4.6	11.0	53.8	17.4	100.
SUFFOLK	4.6	10.4	5.5	13.4	57.3	8.8	100.
SULLIVAN	3.2	19.9	4.1	13.5	47.2	12.2	100.
106A	1.5	7.6	2.8	10.0	62.1	16.1	100.
OKPKINS	3.5	7.7	2.6	15.1	47.0	24.1	100.
UK.STER	3.3	14.1	2.9	10.1	59.3	10.3	100.
ARREN	.5	14.0	1.9	5.8	£6.8	17.1	100.
VASHINGTON	.2	12.3	3.4	6.2	64.3	13.5	100.
AYNE	1.3	9.7	3.0	11.9	54.3	19.8	100.
ESTCHESTER	6.8	16.3	4.4	15.5	47.1	9.9	100.
YOKING	6.9	5.7	9.7	21.7	50.9	5. i	100.
ATES	4.3	12.9	8.5	11.4	36.4	26.4	100.
EN YORK STATE	5.7	31.2	3.2	10.0	31.5	18.3	100.
KEN YORK CITY	7.3	44.5	2.1	7.5	17.7	. 20.9	100

TABLE &A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

4 These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (m.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: MYS Bivision of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

						SENICALES	NO N FERCEAL D	F COMVICTIONS :						
	State I	Prison	Local	Jail	Local and Pr	Juil obstion	Prob	ation	Fin	5	Ot	14P	Tol	tal
OUNTY	Felony Coav	Nisd & Lesser Edav	Felony Eony	Nisd L Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd L Lesser Coav	Felony Eony	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv	Friday Coav	Nisd L Lesser Eonv
L BAHY	57.1	¥A	1.4	13.6	24.9	4.5	16.3	13.0	.0	61.9	.0	5.0	100.0	100.
LLEGANY	20.0	XA	13.3	11.0	20.0	4.5	46.7	14.5	.0	54.0	.0	16.0	100.0	100.
ROXI	43.4	XA	16.6	44.4	7.5	,3	31.4	4.0	.2	28.1	.9	23.3	100.0	100.
ROOKE	25.6	ha	13.0	14.9	21.1	1.3	34.3	9.0		41.4	3.3	33.4	106.0	100.
ATTARAUGUS.	25.0	KA	17.5	14.8	17.5	,8	40.0	5.5	.0	67.4	.0	11.5	100.0	100.
CAYUGA	36.5	KA	13.5	18.3	33.8	1.0	14.9	2.9	.0	51.1	1.4	26.7	100.0	109.
HAUTADQUA	26.5	KA	17.7	12.2	29.2	2.0	23.9	10.4	2.7	60.2	.0	15.3	100.0	100.
CHENUNG	35.1	HA	11.4	13.0	16.2	2,4	32.8	13.3	2.0	51.8	1.5	19.5	100.0	100.
CREWANGO	34.B	NA	26.1	10.9	34.8	3.9	4.3	15.2	.0	47.1	.0	23.0	100.0	100.
CLINTON	28.3	NA	13.2	12.5	20.8	1.1	32.1	6.3	3.8	62.2	1.9	13.9	100.0	100.
COLUMBIA	58.1	¥A	14.5	19.8	17.7	3.4	B.1	7.4	1.6	59.9	.0	9.6	100.0	100.
ORTLAND	27.1	XA	12.9	11.4	15.7	.9	41.4	10.7	.0	65.4	2.9	11.3	100.0	100.
ELAVARE	12.0	KA	16.0	8.4	28.0	2.9	36.0	7.7	4.0	58.5	4.0	22.6	100.0	100.
WICHESS	20.8	KA	9,1	15.5	32.2	2.0	37.1	8.0	.0	67.0	.7	7.5	100.0	100.
RIE	39.7	KA	14.0	18.8	10.7	2.0	34.0	11.8	.9	43.3	.0	24.2	100.0	100.
ISSEI	45.8	NA	B, 3	6.B	8.3	1.5	37.5	6.0	.0	67.9	.0	17.8	100.0	100.
RAHKLIN	17.9	NA	12.8	13.4	25.6	2.4	41.0	10.4	.0	54.2	2.6	19.6	100.0	100.
FULTOX	34.4	HA	14.8	12.9	26.2	2.5	21.3	11.0	3.3	54.3	.0	17.3	100.0	100.
SENESEE	20.6	NA	5, 9	9.7	39.7	3.2	33.8	19.8	.0	55.8	.0	11.5	100.0	100.
GREENE	32.0	HA	24.0	11.1	4.0	.5	36.0	7.7	4.0	71.4	.0	9.4	100.0	100.
ANILTON	25.0	KA	50.0	15.2	.0	.0	25.0	6.1	.0	40.4	.0	18.2	100.0	100.
IERK I MER	21.1	XA	23.7	15.0	21.1	1.1	28.9	4.2	5.3	66.1	.0	13.7	100.0	100.
IEFFERSON	17.1	NÁ	17.B	13.3	36.4	1.7	26.4	7.8	1.6	65.7	.8	11.5	100.0	100.
INES	49.0	KA	8.7	30.8	19.5	1.0	21.2	7.9	1.0	36.6	.5	23.8	100.0	100.
EWIS	16.7	MA	8.3	9.1	33.3	2.8	41.7	13.3	.0	60.1	.0	14.7	100.0	100.
LIVINGSTON	12.3	KA	26.3	7.7	49.1	3.8	10.5	7.7	.0	63.4	1.8	17.3	100.0	100
KADISON	18.8	KA	11.8	7.9	28.2	1.9	38.8	9.5	.0	70.1	2.4	10.6	100.0	100
KOKROE	35.7	KA	11.9	14.0	28.0	2.1	23.0	13.2	.4	45.3	1.1	25.5	100.0	100
KONTGOMERY	26.7	KA	12.0	9.7	32.0	1.4	28.0	6.0	1.3	66.1	.0	16.9	100.0	100
NASSAU	34.4	HA	14.6	16.8	25.6	3.B	23.3	17.7	1.3	36.0	.0	25.7	100.0	100
NEW YORK	4B.3	XA	20.6	61.7	6.4	.1	23.1	2.4	.4	10.1	1.2	25.7	100.0	100
NIAGARA	38.1	A4	23.8	21.9	4.2	2.7	33.3	9.6	٥,	- 51.7	.6	14.1	100.0	
DHEIDA	29.6	NA	16.9	15.2	23.9	1.3	28.2	9.7	.9	59.1	.5	13.R	100.0	
DNOXDAGA	33.4	KA	18.2	14.3	12.9	1.2	33.4	13.4	.2	44.6	1.8	26.4	100.0	
DNTARIO	26.4	K A	26.9	12.3	22.0	1.8	21.4	5.6	1.6	66.0	5.6	14.3	100.0	
DRAKGE	25.4	NA	5.5	14.2	28.0	2.2	40.3	10.5	.0	63.5	.9	9.5	100.0	
DRLEANS	16.4	KA	1.5	14.4	44.8	5.0	35.8	11.4	.0	48.5	1.5	20.8	100.0	
OSVE60	22.1	HA	15.9	14.1	37.2	1.7	22.1	8.9	1.8	64.2	.9	11.1	100.0	
DT5E60	26.8	ha	7.3	8.5	36.6	.6	29.3	5.1	.0	76.1	.0	9.7	100.0	
PUTNAN	33.3	na	.0	7.6	11.1	1.1	55.6	9.8	.0	78.9	.0	2.6	100.0	100

TABLE 68 PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND HISDERFANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985

(Continued on next page.)

2

•

						SENTE	NCES AS A	PERCENT O	CONVICTIONS +						
	State	Prisoa	Local	Jail	Local and Pro			Prob	ition	Fin	15	Ct	her	To	stal
COUNTY	Felony Conv	Nisd & Lasser Coav	Felony Coav	Nisd L Lesser Eonv	Felony	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Eonv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Hisd L Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Hisd L Lesser Conv
QUEENS	15.8	KA	9.7	40.1	15.4	.7		27.1	6.4	1.3	31.0	.7	21.9	100.0	106.0
KENSSELAER	23.7	KA	16.3	15.0	17.8	1.9		41.5	10.3	.7	57.1	.0	15.7	100.0	100.0
KICHMOND	44,1	XA	8.3	22.5	11.B	.4		32.3	10.8	2.4	36.9	1.2	29.4	100.0	100.0
ROCKLAND	23.5	HÀ	2.9	12.7	37.6	2.0		35.6	7.0	.0	70.8	.1	6.6	100.0	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	28.6	XA	.0	5.7	42.9	1.0		28.6	5.1	.0	61.6	.0	26.6	100.0	100.0
SARATOGA	33.0	NA	26.1	8.5	17.0	.4		23.9	5.1	.0	77.0	.6	8.9	100.0	100.0
SCHEKECTADY	25.6	¥A	12.5	19.7	13.1	.1		47.0	9.1	1.2	60.9	.6	9.5	100.0	100.0
SCHOHARIE	44.4	KA	11.1	3.9	37.0	1.3		7.4	5.2	.0	78.1	.0	11.6	100.0	100.0
SCHUYLER	7.7	XA	23.1	6.5	53.9	2.5		15.4	28.9	.0	52.7	.0	9.5	100.0	100.0
SENECA	11.1	NA	18.5	11.0	51.9	.4		16.5	B.0	.0	58.3	.0	22.3	100.0	100.0
STEUBEN	25.7	KA	17.1	10.0	37.1	1.0		18.4	10.1	.0	59.7	1.4	19.2	100.0	100.0
SUFFOLK	30.6	NA	11.4	10.2	26.1	1.8		22.4	11.8	4.9	66.6	4.7	9.6	100.0	100.0
SULL IVAN	30.1	XA	12.8	20.7	24.8	1.6		30.1	11.5	1.5	52.6	.8	13.4	100.0	100.
TIDGA	16.7	NA	11.9	7.2	23.8	.1		40.5	7.0	4.8	67.7	2.4	17.4	100.0	100.
TOKPKINS	27.6	ka	8.2	1.7	14.3	.9		43.9	10.8	.0	53.9	6.1	26.7	100.0	100.0
ULSTER	28.5	KA	8.8	14.B	17.6	1.0		44.0	5.7	.5	66.9	.5	11.6	100.0	100.
WARREN	15.6	KA	34.4	13.4	25.0	1.2		25.0	5.2	.0	62.6	.0	17.6	100.0	100.0
VASHINGTON	2.2	hà	40.0	9.9	13.3	2.5			2.9	.0	70.0	.0	14.7	100.0	100.
WAYNE	14.3	NA	15.2	9.2	25.9	.7		43.8	8.7	.1	59.6	.0	21.9	100.0	100.
WESTCHESTER	39.7	NA	5.0	18.6	17.3	1.7		37.3	11.0	.4	56.8	.3	11.8	100.9	100.
WYONING	37.5	KA	£.J	5.6	25.0	2.3		28.1	20.3	3.1	61.5	.0	6.3	100.0	
YATES	18.2	NA	9.1	14.0	30,3	1.9		39.4	2.8	3.0	46.7	.0	34.6	100.0	
NEW YORK STATE	41.6	¥A	13.6	34.Ô	15.8	1.2		26.6	7.3	.9	36.4	1.1	21.0	100.0	
KEN YORK CITY	47.0	KA	14.6	50.0	41.7	.4		25.1	4.2	.7	20.8	.9	24.6	100.0	100.

.

TABLE 48 PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

* These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions le.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SCHIRCE: HYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND KATE KANNS BY COUNTY FOR 1585

					INVESTIG	811045			TAL-91	51051110	A SULEVAIS	ION LASE	S RECEIVED	•
	INTA	KE +	Tota	n)	Family C	ouri	Crinical	Court	Tota	1	Farily C	ourt	Crisical	Cour
CRIHTY	Rate	Rant	Rate	Kank	Rate	kank	Rate	kəni:	Kate	Rank	kate	Rank	Rate	Ran
ALBANY	456.2	51	1,084.7	9	206.4	18	876.2	8	114.8	17	20.8	· 21	94.1	1
ALLEGAKY	903.7	33	396.8	58	76.7	55	376.1	54	.0	53	.0	44	.0	4
BROHI	643.2	43	602.7	42	68,7	50	514.0	33	104.0	19	100.8	5	3.2	3
BROOKE	1,388.1	13	919.B	12	291.0	1	627.9	26	1.9	51	1.9	10	.0	1
CATTARAUGUS	364.4	55	479.3		169.9	26	309,4	56	10.5	46	1.2	42	9.4	3
CAYUBA	452.4	44	398.2		81.4	52	314.8	55	1.3	52	.0	44	1.3	4
CHAUTAUDUA	854.2	36	805.7	21	205.9	19	599.7	21	153.2	13	45.8	12	107.5	
CHEMUR:6	1,996.9	3	1,195.B	1	233.7	13	962.0	6	132.6	14	2.2	- 38	130.4	1
CHENANGO	1,837.4	4	820. <i>L</i>		76.1	55	744,6	15	36.0	35	30.0	17	.0	
CLINTON	1,107.0	25	825.0	19	447.5	2	377.6	47	14.7	42	11.0	28	3.7	
COLUMBIA	354.8	56	551.1	47	80.7	53	470.3	40	.0		.0	44	.0	
CORTLAND	2,861.2	2	1,078.2	10	236.3	12	641.9	10	.0	53	.0	- 44	.0	
DELAVARE	1,546.2	8	443.6	55	87.4	51	356.2	50	219.7	ß	219.7	2	.0	
DUTCHESS	1,081.9	27	632.3	- 41	126.2	37	506.1	34	50.2	27	JL.8	15	13.3	
ERIE	550,8	49	580.6	45	110.8	42	469.8	41	.0	53	.0	-44	.0	
ESSEI	1.056.9	29	316.2	59	101.7	44	214.5	60	16.5	40	13.7	23	2.8	
FRANKLIN	1,705.8	6	1,438.6	4	244.3	н	1,194.3	3	45,7	30	4.5	35	41.1	
FILTON	296.0	58			166.0	28	483.7	38	16.2	41	16.2	Tî	.0	I.
GENESEE	1,436.0	10	1,136.3	9	171.0	25	945.2	5	164.3	12	5.1	33	159.2	1
GREEKE	1,138.0	24	294.1		50.6	60	243.5	58	4.8	49	4.8	34	.0)
HANILTON	20.1	12	140.4		20.1	62	120.4	62	.0	53	٥.	44	.0)
HERKIKER	173.3	51	481.2	50	215.1	10	239.1	59	6.0	. 48	3.0	37	3.0).
JEFFERSON	1,793.8	5	746.2	28	63.2	57	683.0	18	.0	53	.0	44	.0)
KINGS	4.804	45	521.7	48	61.9	58	459.B	43	68.5	25	62.5	8	5.0)
LEWIS	556.3	46	480.8		123.2	39	357.6	49	123.2	15	7.9	30	115.2	2
LIVINGSTON	756.1	37	764.6		166.3	27	598.3	22	25.7	38	24.0	20	1.7	ļ –
NADISON	1,347.0	14	674.2		131.8	35	542.4	28	64.4	26	1.5	41	62.9	ŀ
NONROE	651.7	42	594.9		136.8	36	464.1	42	38.2		38.2		.0	ŗ
NONTEDNERY	297.0	57	SP).7		211.3		369.3		\$3.3		13.3		.0	
NASSAU	1,414.1	11	1,071.9		177.6		894.3		269.3		6.2		263.1	
HEN YORK	402.0		831.5		49.7		761.9	11	99.3		53.0		46.3	
NIAGARA	962.2	-	667.5		147.3		520.1	32	.(-	.0		.0	
OKEIDA	727.9	38	742.2		163.9		57B.3	27	29.1		2.0		27.1	
OHONDAGA	1,246.0		1,450.1		229.7		1,220.9	2	241.1		116.9		124.9	
ONTAKIO	726.3	39	798.6		110.4		688.4	17	10.9				10.8	
ORANGE	1,320.8		691.7		111.0		580.8	76	119.1				110.6	-
	1,093.5		666.6		76.4		590.2		115.0		10.3		104.6	
ORLEANS	551.5		1,359.6		252.6		1,107.1	. 4	183.(.(183.0	
OSWE60		40	449.3		153.3		296.5		203.0				203.6	
01\$E60	683.9 882.6		496.9		122.3		400.5		38.3		38.3		.(

(Continued on Mext page,)

all and the

••

1 1

					INVESTIG	ATIONS			PRE-DI	SPOSITION	SUPERVIS	ION CASES	RECEIVED	í
	111	RKE +	Tota	l	Family C	ourt	Crisinal	Court	Tota	1	Family C	iourt	Crisinal	Eouri
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Kate	Reak	fate	Rank	Sate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Kani
QUEENS	446.1	53	397.4	57	53, 3	59	344.0	52	76.9	23	69.8	. 7	7.1	31
RENSSELAER	1,048.5	20	725.5	31	189.0	21	536.5	29	74.7	24	3.3	36	71.4	11
Richnond	553.1	47	311.9	60	104.0	45	207.3	61	28.1	37	28.1	19	.0	- 42
ROCKLAND	677.1	41	639.4	40	. 112.4	40	527.1	31	416.6	1	240.9	i	175.7	1
ST. LAWRENCE	1,413.4	12	871.2	14	90.8	49	780.3	12	191.3	10	13.2	25	178.1	7
SAKATOGA	858.3	35	767,3	32	213.5	15	493.8	36	61.9	21	35.9	16	48.9	20
SCHERECTADY	1,238.5	22	765.0	25	177.3		587.7	24	231.B	1	11.3	27	220.5	4
SCHOHARIE	275.2	59	476.5	53	137.6	33	338.9	53	10.1	47	.0	44	10.1	25
SCHUYLER	3,460.7	1	1,227.8	6	371.2	5	856.4	- 9	11.4	44	11.4	26	.0	43
SENECA	1,262.2		729.4	30	299.1	ė	439.3	44	260.8	3	.0	44	280.B	
STEUBEN	1,062.2		780.8	23	196.2	20	594.5	25	263.0	5	156.2	3	106.8	1
SUFFOLK	1,299.1	18	2,396.4	1	126.2	38	2,270.3	Ł	50.0	28	59.0	10	.0	l.
SULLIVAN	958.6	32	e69. B	15	94.7	46	775.1	13	.0	. 53	. 0	- 44	۵.	
TIOGA	1,308.1	17	B59.5	17	430.7	2	428.8	45	43.7	31	29.9	18	13,9	2
TOMPKINS	536.6	50	888.3	13	140.7	32	747.6	14	331.3	2	1.1	43	330.1	
ULSTER	1,140.0	23	774.2	24	105.6	- 44	668.6	19	33.0	34	.\$	44	33.0	2
WARREN	1,450.4	9	568.1	16	384.6	4	483.5	39	48.5	29	46.7	11	1.8	3
VASHINGTON	1,309.2	16	569.1	46	213.2	16	355.9	51	.0	53	٥.	41	.0	4
WAYHE	1,594.5	7	753.8	27	258.1	8	495.7	35	.0	53	.0	44	.0	- 4
SESICHESTER	1,244.5	20	1,608.9	2	895.1	1	713.7	16	84.3	22	84.1		.2	4
SYDMING .	216.3	60	661.3	38	132.8	34	528.6	30	22. i	39	7.4	31	14.8	2
YATES	456.1	52	674.9	34	181.5	22	493.3	37	4.7	50	.0	44	4.7	3

TABLE 7A-1 PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

Intake occurs only in faally court.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

•

		PROBATI	ON SUPERVIS	TON CASI	ES RECEIVED		UXDER	PROBATI		ISES Stox duri	ING THE YEAR	. 6	UH	ER PROBAT	-	NSES Vision on	DECEMBER	231
	Tota	ıl	Family (iour t	Criminal	Court	. Tota	il.	Family (lour t	Crisinal	Court	T	atal	Family I	Court	Crininal	l Cour
COUNTY	kate	Rank	Rate	Rzak	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Ranl
																******		<u> </u>
ALBANY	387.2	4	50.4	26	336.8	4	1,131.5	5	88.1	28	1,043.5	6	846		40.4	17	785.6	
ALLEGANY	169.0	54	29.5	48	139.5	53	528.5	57	58.9	48	469.5	-55	375		21.4	54 .	353.6	53
BRONI	300.7	16	20.4	55	280.4	9	995.4	8	45.4	57	\$50.0	7	752		21.0	55	731.8	
BROOME	292.3	18	57.9	18	234.4	21	916.0	10	125.2	11	790, 8	15	657		40.7	15	596.4	13
CATTARAUGUS	185.3	48	43.4	33	143.0	5 <u>1</u>	486.3	58	72.7	39	413.7	59	351		46.9	30	304.7	5
CAYUGA Chautauqua	146.5	58 27	26.3	50 22	120.2	58	404.5	62	55.1	52	349.4	62	269		23.8	50	245.4	6
			54.1		221.2	28	685.0	40	102.6	22	582.4	- 44	479		54.8	21	425.0	- 4
CHENUNG	380.5	5	108.7	2	271.6	10	1,292.5	2	191.3	2	1,101.2	1	969		117.4	2	852.2	
CHENANGO CI LIITON	320.2	. 11 49	44.0	31	276.2	. 9	718.5	34	64.1	· 29	632.5	32	492		34.0	28	45B.3	3
CLINION CONTRACTOR	185.1	30	33,1	21	152.0	49	755.2	36	77.2	36	677.9	27	200		35.6	37	565.2	
COLUMBIA	260.7		54.5	24	204.3	31	704.6	35	108.9	19	595.7	39	518		61.4	12	453.8	. 3
CORTLAND	293.3	17	50.6		242.7	18	877.8	14	84.4	30	793.4	14	603		44.3	32	559.2	2
DELAWARE DUTCHESS	183.4	50	27.7 50.4	49 25	155.7	47	563.0	54	55.5	51	507.6	51	396		23.5	51	375.4	5
ERIE	284.2	21 25		30	233.6	23	807.5	24	115.8	18	691.9	25	571		42.7	35	528.8	7
	276.0	59	44.3	47	231.7	24	670.5	- 43	81.0	32	589.5	42	413		50,4	26	392.6	. 4
ESSE1	140.2	-	30.2		110.0	60	475.7	59	49.5	55	426.2	58	346		22.0	53	324.5	5
FRAHKL IN	317.4	12	54.8	20	262.6	12	074.6	15	121.0	17	753.5	18	628		51.8	20	573.2	-
FULTON	301.4	15	34.3	42	267.1	11	840.8	17	46.9	56	813.9	11	664		27.1	47	637.1	1
BENESEE	425.0	2	67.7	.	357.3	2	1,227.7	3	93.1	26	1,134.6	2	941	-	69.4	8	872.1	
GREENE	137.4	60	36.2	40	101.3	61	576.2	52	72.3	41 -	503.9	52	378		14.5	62	364.1	:
HANILTON	120.4	62	20.1	57	100.3	62	682.0	41	BQ.2	34	601.8	37	280		40.1	36	240.7	l
ERXINER	165.9	55	38.9	35	127.0	56	567.9	53	134.5	9	433.4	56	403		94.1	4	309.3	
JEFFERSON	317.2	13	73.4	6	243.8	17	847.8	20	124.2	12	723.6	22	549		66.6	11	4B3.2	1
LINGS	247.4	35	19.8	58	227.6	25	910.7	12	39.9	59	B70. B	10	734		20.1	58	714.5	
EVIS	202.7	45	43.7	32	159.0	45	784.8	27	155.0	5	631.8	33	480		63.6	- 14	417.2	
LIVINGSTON	282.9	22	24.0	53	258.9	13	970.4	8	56.4	50	913.8	9	709		19.9	59	890.9	1
MADISON	257.7	33	24.0	54	233.7	22	686.2	38	58.4	49	627.B	34	473		21.0	56	452.5	
NONROE	289.0	20	37.9	37	251.1	15	829.8	23	75.2	39	754.6	17	631		45.8	31	585.8	ļ
KONTGOHERY	241.8	37	59.0	16	182.8	42	694.9	38	108.5	20	586.4	43	523		55.2	19	468.3	
NASSAU	363.1	6	45.4	29	317.7	5	1,021.1	7	102.2	24	918.9	8	826		52.4	23	773.9	
IEW YDRK	207.3	- 44	18.3	59	188.9	38	849.7	18	38.0	61	811.6	12	665		20.3	57	646.7	
IAGARA	278.5	24	38.8	36	239.7	19	651.5	46	67.4	44	592.2	41	448		30.0	45	418.5	
INEIDA	219.4	42	36.3	39	183.1	41	738.2	32	72.6	40	665.6	28	503		27.5	46	476.2	
NONDAGA	282.4	23	47.1	28	235.3	.20	783.3	29	81.0	31	702.3	24	552		43.0	34	509.8	:
MIARIO	263.0	29	57.4	19	205.7	32	740.4	- 31	92.0	27	648.4	30	502		47.6	29	454.8	3
DRANGE	345.9	7	48.6	27	297.2	. 6	873.3	16	122.9	13	750.5	19	602		89.0	5	513.8	:
RLEANS	321.9	10	30.7	46	291.3	1	1,126.7	6	71.5	42	1,055.2	5	909		48.5	28	861.0	
ISNE60	258.5	31	67.1	9	191.4	36	737,8	33	137.7	8	600.1	38	514		67.1	10	447.4	
DISEGO	15B.3	54	15.2	62	143.2	50	672.1	42	59.0	47	613.1	35	528		52.2	- 24	476.7	3
PUTKAK	249.7	34	58.1	17	191.6	35	644.0	47	105.1	21	539.0	49	475	9 40	43.3	33	432.7	. 3

I Continued on next page.)

14-26-00-12-

ŗ

		PROBATI	ON SUPERVIS	ION CASE	S RECEIVED		UKDEF	PROBATI		ISES ION DURI	KG THE YEAR	1	UNDER	PROBATI		ISES Vision ox	DECEMBER	31
	Tota	1	Facily D	iourt	Crisisal	Court	Tota	1	Family (Court	Crisinal	Court	Tota	1	Family (court	Crisinal	Court
COUNTY	Rate	Kank	Rate	Rent	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	fate	kank 	Rate	Rank	Bate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
NIEEKS	172.0	53	17.0	60	155.0	4 ₿	532.5	56	38.5	60	414.0	53	419.2	50	24.1	49	395.2	ł;
RENSSELAER	330.4	9	74.0	5	256.4	14	915.1	11	121.6	15	793.5	13	740.0	6	72.7	8	667.3	1
LCHKOKD	134.9	61	24.3	52	112.6	59	440.3	63	33.4	62	406.B	60	332.2	59	18.2	50	314.0	5
ROCKLAND	339.0	8	125.9	1	213.0	29	847.9	19	237.9	1	610.0	36	582.9	24	135.4	1	447.5	3
ST. LAWRENCE	155.1	57	16.8	61	139.3	54	589.9	50	43.2	58	546.7	47	419.7	49	16.8	61 .	403.0	4
SARATOSA	173.3	52	35.9	41	137.4	55	414.6	61	53.2	54	361.4	61	289.0	60	33.4	42	255.6	6
SCHENECTADY	266.3	28	59.8	13	206.5	30	832.1	22	141.4	6	690.6	26	576.4	26	59.1	18	517.3	2
SCHOHARIE	177.9	51	20.1	56	157.7	46	630.9	49	53.7	53	577.2	45	459.7	43	33.6	41	428.2	4
SCHUYLER	485.4	L	62.8	11	422.6	1	1,170.7	4	79.9	35	1,090.7	4	736.7	9	34.3	38	702.4	
SENECA	192.3	47	51.9	23	140.4	52	702.0	36	160.1	3	521.9	50	448.4	46	103.8	3	344.9	5
STEUBEN	201.4	46	32.9	45	168.5	44	669.8	44	76.0	37	593.8	40	454.1	44	33.9	40	420.2	4
SUFFOLK	316.3	- 14	45.2	10	250.1	16	896.8	13	139.1	7	757.7	16	606.9	29	76.3	6	530.6	7
SULL IVAN	409.B	3	59.2	15	350.6	- 3	1,409.7	i	122.8	14	1,286.9	t	1,072.5	t	40.4	16	1,011.8	
T106A	234.2	38	59.5	. 14 -	174.7	43	B35.7	21	9 5.3	25	740.4	21	611.4	19	53.6	22	557.8	2
IDNPKINS	222.3	41	25.0	51	197.4	33	695.4	37	40.1	46.	\$35.3	31	512,8	34	22.7	52	490.1	1
ULSTER	230.8	39	37.2	28	193.6	34	640.5	48	67.2	43	573.4	46	465.3	42	33.0	43	432.3	4
ARREN	230.1	40	39.5	34	190.5	37	540.7	55	80.9	33	479.9	54	384.6	53	25.2	48	359.5	5
ASHINGTON	213.2	43	88.1	4	125.1	57	583.2	51	156.9	4	425.4	57	355.9	56	49.3	27	306.6	5
NAYNE	291.3	19	68.5	1	222.7	27	783.5	28	121.1	15	\$52.5	29	579.1	25	75,4	7	503.7	- 2
VESTCHESTER	258.0	32	33.7	43	224.3	26	805.9	25	£1.9	45	744.0	20	647.4	15	32.5	- 44	614.9	1
EYOKING	275.3	26	91.0	3	184.4	40	444.2	45	125.4	10	540.9	48	452.4	45	63.9	13	38B.4	- 4
VATES	246.7	36	60.5	12	184.2	39	805.2	26	102.4	23	702.8	23	642.3	16	51.2	25	591.1	1

TABLE 74-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

* The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over froe the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: MYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

								Crisis	al Court≠	**	
		Tota	115	Family (Courts	Tol	a)	Techal	ical	New C	CORY
COUNTY		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rasi
ALBANY		60.7	11	6.4	30	£0.4	8	44.4	5	15.9	31
ALLEGANY		18.6	57	6L.7	29	12.6	59	8.4	51	4.2	
PRONX		75.3	5	89.2	20	74.7	5	45,4	3	9.2	47
BRDGHE		43.7	34	63.9	32	40.5	33	18.5	36	22.0	2
CATTARAUSUS		9.6	61	16.1	51	8.5	61	0,	59	8.5	50
CAYUGA		\$9.1	1	68.2	27	103.9	2	28.7	22	75.3	1
CHAUTAUQUA		48.4	25	74.3	26	44.0	26	21.4	29	72.6	20
CHENUNG		55.5	15	113.6	13	45.4	23	37,5	12	7.9	5
CHENANGO		55.7	H	46.5	41	57.0	10	44.3	6	12.7	4
CLINION		22.7	58	63.5	33	18.1	56	16.3	42	1.8	5
COLUMBIA		49.2	24	75.8	25	44.3	25	33.2	17	11.1	4
CONTLAND		55.3	16	12.5	8	47.9	19	29.3	21	18.6	2
DELAVARE		15.2	59	.0	54	16.8	57	4.2	56	12.6	4
BUTCHESS		42.2	38	155.9	3	23.2	54	14.2	47	9.1	4
EklE		52.2	19	87.7	21	47.3	20	33.4	16	14.0	3
ESSEX		23.1	54	111.1	14	12.9	58	.0	59	12.9	3
FRANKLIN		28.7	50	.0	51	33.3	43	15.2	45	18,2	2
FULTON		50.3	21	76,9	24	48.8	16	2.2	58	46.6	
BENESEE		42.8	35	36.4	-44	43.3	28	28.4	23	14.9	3
SKEENE		\$2.B	- 9	20.0	2	43.1	29	14.4	46	28.7	1
HANILTON		.0	62	.0	54	.0	62	.0	59	٥.	E
HERKINER		28.9	49	.0	54	37.9	38	37.9	10	.0	1
JEFFERSON		49.3	23	107.1	15	39.0	34	25.0	27	14.0	3
KIK65		36.9	43	13.2	52	38.0	37	7.3	52	30.6	
LENIS		35.4	45	25.6	48	37.7	40	25.2	26	12.6	. 4
LIVINGSTON		53.0	18	121.2	10	48.8	- 16	16.9	- 41	31.9	
NAG150N		56.8	13	102.5	16	52.5	14	33.4	15	19.1	2
MONROE		29.7	48	56.4	36	27.1	51	17.3	39	9.8	- 4
NONTGONERY		46.6	27	122.8	9	32.5	44	6.5	53	26.0	
NASSAU		45.8	29	53.7	38	45.0	24	20.0	33	24.9	- J
NEW YORK	· · ·	62.2	10	35.5	45	63.4	7	19.4	35	44.0	
HIAGASA		45.9	28	125.9	7	37.4	41	15.4	43	21.8	1
DREIDA		54.0	17	126.4	6	46.1	21	19.8	34	25.4	1
DNONDAGA		80.7	4	100.8	17	78.3	4	75.3	2	3.1	
ONTARIO		B6.3	3	94.1	- 19	85.1	3	43.4	1	41.7	
ORANGE		42.5	32	35.2		43.7	27	34.6	14	9.1	÷ 4
ORLEANS		36.3	44	.0		38.7	38	31.5	18	7.3	. 1
OSNEGO		23.9	53	12.2		26.6	52	18.2	37	B.4	
015660		27.6	51	.0		30.2	47	11.0	49	19.2	
PUTHAN		40.3	39	17.1	40	39.0	35	20.6	32	18.3	- 1

there have been able to be

TABLE 78 PROBATION REVOCATIONS FER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1965

(Continued on pext page.)

							Crinina	1 Courtes		
	Tot	alt	Family C	ourt##	Tat	al	Techai	cal	New C	GRY
COLHITY	kate	Kank	Sate	ƙank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
DUEENS	37.9	42	38.9	43	37.8	39	1.6	55	33.2	7
RENSSELAER	16.1	58	59.8	35	11.7	60	9.2	50	2.5	58
RICHMONO	51.6	20	6.4	30	50.6	15	21.0	30	29.6	10
ROCKLAND	44.5	32	17.4	50	55.0	13	40.8	9	14.2	-34
ST. LAWRENCE	34.4	46	40.8	42	33.9	42	21.0	21	12.9	30
SARATOGA	74.6	Ł	116.3	12	68.5	6	49.7	4	18.8	26
SCHENECTADY	(5.5	31	117.4	11	30.8	46	15.4	44	15.4	32
SCHOHARIE	26.6	52	.0	54	29.1	4B -	5.0	54	23.3	19
SCHUYLER	43.9	33	214.3	1	31.4	45	76.2	24	5.2	55
SENECA	95.7	2	50.8	39	111.1	1	76.0	1	35.1	5
STEUDEN	58.3		B1.1	23	55.4	12	41.5	8	13.8	37
SUFFOLK	42.3	37	25.2	49	45.4	22	25.8	25	19.6	2
SULLIVAN	49.3	22	£0.2	34	48.3	18	37.9	10	10.3	4
TIOGA	47.5	26	83.3	22	12.9	30	34.9	13	8.0	52
TOMPKINS	(5.)	30	94.3	19	41.1	32	30.4	20	10.7	4
ULSTER	65.6		127.3	5	58.4	9.	30.9	19	27.7	- £
WARREN	67.3		133.3	4	56.2	11	22.5	28	33.7	i
WASHINGTON	39.3		67.4	28	28.9	49	4.1	57	24.8	
WAYNE	39.		28.3	47	41.4	31	17.2	40	24.1	1
WESTCHESTER	30.	47.	54.1	37	28.1	50	12.1	48	16.0	
NYONING	[4.]	B 60	.0	54	18.2	55	18.2	37	٥.	
YATES	23.		.0	54	26.5	53	.0	59	26.5	1

TABLE 78 FROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

4 Rates based on the pumber of family and criminal court cases supervised during the year.

se Rates based on the number of family court probation cases supervised during the year.

ses Rates based on the number or criminal court probation cases supervised during the year.

SOURCE: MYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE B JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADAISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND FOPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985

	•						 	JAIL A	HD PENITEN	IIARY							
			Adai	ssions			Per	orted	Average	- Ražlu	•	-	Decenb	er 31 Popul	ation		
	Tol	al	Unsenti	inced	Senten	ced	•	acity	Popul			Tota	1	Vasenti	nced	Senten	iced
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rzak	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rzak		kate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Raal
ALBANY	1,259.4	25	8L0.3	32	399.1	16	153.	2 5	128.4	3		113.4		63.8	8	49.7	2
ALLEGANY	888.0	48	750.5	42	137.5	56	68.	8 35	51.1	- 44		45.2	44 -	21.6	47	23.6	
BRONI		58	.0	58	.0	58		0 57	.0	58		.0	58	.0	58	.0	51
PROOME	1,040.3		732.4	43	307.8	27	85.	7 25	71.5	27		71.5	21	35.3	28	36.2	
CATTARAUGUS	1,238.4	27	958.6	23	280.1	33	89.	1 21	57.4	39		72.7	20	32.8	32	39.8	
CAYUSA	1,457.4	17	910.4	26	517.2	6	77	5 29	67.6	35		68.9	25	40.1	23	28.8	2
CHAUTAUQUA	1.044.2		694.0	45	350.1	24	67.	9 38	60.3	37		49.9	41	35.4	27	14.6	
CHENUNG	1,190.3		799.0	34	391.3	19	100.	0 13	88.1	11		108.7	8	45.7	17	63.0	
CHENANGO	1,142.6		662.5	49	480.4	7	66	0 40	56.0			34.0	55	20.0	51	14.0	
SELINICH .	1,415.1		1,157.3	14	458.5	Ŷ	63.	7 43	53.9			58.8	31	33.1	31	25.7	
COLUKBIA	1,414.1		996.7	20	417.5	14	95.	7 18	89.1			75.9	16	42.9	19	33.0	
CORTLAND	1,698.1		1,299.8	2	398.8	18	67.	5 39	78.1			111.8	1	80.2	6	31.7	
DELAVARE	819.0	52	569.4	51	249.5	37	84	2 37	34.1	56		44.8	46	27.7	41	17.1	. 4
DUTCHESS	933.7	44	762.8	40	170.9	51	68.	6 36	54. E	i 40		58.0	33	42.3	21	15.7	4
ERIE	1,170.4	34	1,006.7	19	163.7	53	100	9 12	65.5	i 33		53,4	37	37,4	26	16.1	1
ESSEI	750.3	54	539.0	53	211.7	43	66	0 41	33.(57		33.0	56	30.2	36	2.8	5
FRANKLIN	1,374.4	21	949.9	25	424.7	12	125	6 7	84.5	S 17		75.4	17	38,8	24	36.5	i i
FULTON	1,680.3	8	505.3	55	1,174.9	1		0 57	84.6	14		57.8	35	23.5	45	34.3	1
BENESEE	1,297.1	23	982.2	21	315.0	25	23	7 56	47.4	51		64.3	28	32.2	32	32.2	! 1
GREENE	1,697.	8	1,164.5	12	532.8	4	130	2 6	84.4	18		40.3	30	33.8	30	26.5	i 2
HANILTON	1,624.9	10	1,103.3	- 16	521.6	5	80	2 28	40.1	54		120.4	5	80.2	5	40.1	
HERKIKER	1,134.2	37	BL0.7	31	273.5	- 34	53	8 47	58.3	I 38		36.9	52	29.9	3B	9.0) 5
JEFFERSON	1,181.4	33	764.5	39	417.7	15	74	5 31	73.4	1 25		65.5	27	31.6	35	33.9	1
RINGS) 58	.0	58	.0	5B		0 57		58		.0	58	<u>ي</u> ة	58	.0) 5
LEWIS	906.1	45	766.9		139.1	55	91	4 20	43.7	1 52		47.7	(3	19.9	53	27.8	3 2
LIVINGSTON	1,549.	1 15	1,171.0	11	378.9	20	96	0 17	89.2	2 9		84.0	12	53.1	12	30.9	1
MADISON	1,141.		884.0		257.7	36	70	4 33	70.4	1 28		56.9	36	28.5	40	28.5	5 2
MONROE	1,198.		958.2		240.2	39	70	2 34	68.9			79.6	15	53.3		26.2	
MONTGONERY	1,593.		1,041.4		552.1	3	188	5 2	91.			81.9	13	66.6	1	15.2	2 (
NASSAU	655.		464.2		190.9	47	64		82.3			85.9		57.3		28.5	
NEW YORK			.0		.0			0 57		-		.0		.0		.0	
NIAGARA	1,223.		921.0		302.6	29	97	-	84.5			19.7		35.1		34.4	
ONEIDA	705.		534.4		170.7	52	82		74.3			67.0		40.7		26.3	
DNDNDAGA	1,708.		1,524.3		-184.6	49	87		96.3			92.0		54.4		37.4	
ONTARID	1,381.		975.3		405.9	15	96		85.	· · · · ·		85.5		20.6		64.9	
ORANGE	1,360.		1,148.2		212.2	42	96		80.1			73.5		51.2		22.3	
ORLEANS	1,290.		1,029.6		260.6	35	166		125.			122.4		99.8		23.0	
OSWEGO	1,129.		768.0		361.8	22	80		60.4			57.9		27.7		30.2	
OTSEGO	904.		616.5		288.0	32	17		48,1			42.1		15.2		27.0	
PUTNAM	892.		760.2		132.3		49		49.			39.6		21.0		18.5	

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY

(Continued on next page.)

		Addissions Total Unsentenced Sentenced							•	8-71		Decent	er 31 Popul	ation		
	Tota	1	Linsente	inced	Senter	iced	Repo Capa		Average Popul	•	Tota	1	llasente	aced	Senter	iced
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	kate	ƙant
PUEENS	.0	58	.0	58	.0	58	.0	57	.0	58	.0	58	.0	58	.0	58
RENSSELAER	864.2	50	668.6	46	195.6	46	75.3	30	50.9	45	37.0	53	21.1	48	15.9	46
RICHROND	.0	58	6.	56	.0	58	.0	57	.0	58	.0	58	.0	53	.0	58
ROCKLAND	699.8	56	403.8	57	296.0	31	30.9	55	36.2	55	32.8	57	20.0	52	12.8	53
ST. LAWRENCE	798.0	53	561.7	52	236.3	41	53.8	48	50.3	47	45.0	45	18.5	55	26.5	29
SARATOBA	836.7	51	662.8	48	173.9	50	43.3	52	53.2	- 43	39.0	51	21.7	46	17.3	42
SCHEHECTADY	1,233.2	28	919.1	28	314.1	26	58.4	44	68.4	30	63.1	29	50.5	15	12.6	54
SCHOHARIE	1,100.7	39	887.J	29	211.4	44	70.5	32	50.3	46	43.6	47	36.2	37	13.4	52
SCHUYLER	1,844.6	3	1,473.4	4	371.2	21	119.9	Ŷ	102.8	5	131.3	2	119.9	2	11.4	55
SENECA	1,593.2	13	1,294.1	9	299.1	30	88.5	22	79.4	21	70.2	22	42.7	20	27.5	24
STEUBEN	962.6	43	<i>m.</i> 7	35	184.9	48	50.3	49	67.8	31	58.4	32	44.2	18	14.4	
SUFFOLK	879.1	49	668.O	47	211.1	45	57.6	45	65. L	34	52.2	39	32.1	34	20.1	
SULLIVAN	2,491.1	1	1,791.4	I	699.7	2	242.6	1	174.6	i 1	168.4	1 E	127.2	1	41.4	4
T 106A	1,185.0	32	786.0	35	399.0	17	111.2	10	77.4	23	69.5	24	37.7	25	31.8	16
IONPKINS	1,390.8	19	1,251.3	10	139.5	54	37.4	53	48.8	50	43.1	48	26.1	43	17.0	- 44
ULSTER	1,510.6	14	1,159.5	13	359.0	23	86.7	24	87.9	12	75.1	19	52.5	13	22.6	38
VARREN	1,885.3	2	1,452.2	5	433.1	11	104.7	- 11	71.9	26	48.5	42	25.2	44	23.4	34
VASHINGTON	1,245.B	26	812.3	33	433.5	10	95.2	19	M4.6	15	52.9	38	19.4	54	33.5	13
NAYNE	1,787.5	5	1,318.1	7	469.4	B	56.0	46	46.2	37	50.3	40	29.7	39	20.4	38
VESTCHESTER	1,843.6	4.5	1,600.2	2	243.5	38	121.3	8	95.3	1	80.9	14	50.4	16	30.5	
KADVIN2	1,012.9	42	705.6	44	307.3	28	44.3	51	41.8	53	34.4	54	14.8	57	19.7	- 40
YATES	1,591.7	14	1,354.4	6	237.4	40	176.9	3	153.6	2	125.7	3	97.7	4	27.9	24

TABLE B JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

SOURCE: NYS Conmission of Correction.

											NE	N COURT	COMMITKE	NTS TO	STATE PE	RISON										
	<u></u>							Violent	Felonies	6			*						Drug Fel	oaies			Other	-		
	Tot	at	Tota	ıl	Honic	tide	Sex Of	lenses	Robt	iery	Burg	lary	¥ezş	ORS	Ott	ier	Tat	al	fosses	sioa	Sa	12	Felo		Youti Dife:	nders
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rint	Rate	kant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Sant .	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Kate	Rank	Rate	Rank
AL BANY	72.9	5	21.5	12	1.4	31	3.9	17	- 4.4	13	7.0	15	2.8	ĝ	1.8	27	20.8	5	8.6	3	12.0	£.	29.9	1	.1	26
ALLESANY	7.9	40	3.9	51	2,0	21	.0	47	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	2.0	22	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	2.0	59	2.0	13
Broni	136.1	2	94.9	2	13.7	I	7.3	5	44.4	2	10.1	4	11.5	2	7.9	4	21.5	4	5.7	5	15.7	4	15.6	18	3.2	7
BROOKE	38.1	18	21.7	11	.9	34	3.8	18	3.B	15	9.9	6	.5	19	2.8	16	.5	45	.0	32	.5	41	15.5	20	.5	38
CATTARAUGUS	11.7	56	3.5	54	.0	36	1.7	13	2.3	24	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	7.0	50	1.2	28
CAYUSA	30.1	26	8.3	44	.0	36	2.5	28	.0	40	3.8	30	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	17.5	12	6.3	2
CHAUTAUQUA	20.1	43	8.3	39	2.1	17	.0	47	.7	39	4.2	28	.0	23	1.4	31	2.8	28	1.4	22	1.4	34	7.5 25.1	49 5	1.4	21
CHENUNG	56.5	9 57	29.4	4	2.2	17 36	7.6	3	1.1	37	B.7	11 52	4.3	4		7 6	.0	46	.0 2.0	32 15	.0	42 78		5 55	1.1	31 41
CHENANGO	16.0	53	8.0	41	.0	38	2.0	31	.0	40 35	.0	50	.0	23	6.0	40	4.0	20 43		32	2.0	37	4.0 12.3	33 31	-	25
CLINION	19.6	45 7	4.9	50	.0		2.5	29	1.2	35 40	1.2 8.3	12	.0	23 23	.0 3.3	12	1.2 23,1	45	.0 5.0	7	1.2	2	16.5	18	1.2	17
COLUNBIA	59.4	-	18.2	19 20	1.7	28 36	5.0	47		25	2.1	44	.0 4.2	23 5	3.3 8.4	3	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	27.4	4	10.6	1
CORTLANO	54.9	10	16.9		.0	36	.0	30	2.1		.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	2.1	36	.0	32	2.1	27	.0	51	.0	
DELAWARE	4.3	62	2.1	58	.0		2.1	24	٥. ۲۱	40 14	4.7	26		21	1.2	-34		40	.0	32	1.6	33	10.2	40	.8	35
DUTCHESS	25.9	31	13.3	27	.0	36 22	2.7	25	4.3	17	5.9	21	.4	16	1.4	30	1.6 1.9	39	1.2	26		40	13.7	26	.8	34
ERIE	32.2	22 35	15.9 8.3	23 40	2.0	36	2.7	23	3.3 5.5	12	.0	52	4. 0,	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	 .0	42	13.8	25	2.8	10
ESSEI	24.7	33 34	6.9 8.3	43	.0 2.3	-16 15	.0	47	.0	40	4.6	27	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	18.3	10	.0	41
FRANKLIN Fultok	25. I 46, 9	14	16.7	22	3.6	13	1.8	37	.0	40	9.0	10	.0	23	1.8	25	9.0	10	.0	32	9.0	7	18.2	19	5,4	3
SENESEE	27.1	29	10.2	35	1.7	27	.0	47	1.7	30	3.4	35	3.4	5	.0	10	3.4	25	.0	32	3.4	18	10.2	42	3.4	L L
GREEKE	26.5	30	7.2	42	.0	36	4.8	9	.0	40	2.4	41	.0	23	.0	40	7.2	15	4.8		2.4	24	12.1	32	.0	41
HANILTON	20.1	44	.0	60	.0	36	.0	- 57	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	20.1	ž	.0	41
HERKINER	i3.4	55	3.0	56	1.5	30	.0	-17	1.5	33	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	10.5	38	,0	41
JEFFERSON	29,4	27	12.1	29	2.3	15	7.9	2	.0	40	2.3	43	.0	23	.0	40	2.3	31	.0	32	2.3	25	14.7	23	.0	- 41
KINGS	92,8	4	59.0	3	10.0	3	1.9	34	29.7	3	7.9	н	6.3	3	3.2	13	19.0	6	4.1	11	14.9	5	13.4	27	1.4	22
LEWIS	7.9	59	.0	60	.0	36	.0	47	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	4.0	21	.0	32	4.0	15	4.0	56	.0	41
LIVINESTON	25.7	33	13.7	26	3.4	8	5.1	7	.0	40	3.4	33	.0	23	1.7	28	5.1	17	3.4	12	1.7	31	6.9	51	.0	41
KADISON	19,5	46	9.0	38	.0	36	4.5	11	1.5	32	3.0	38	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	9.0	46	1.5	20
NONADE	42.1	16	22.7	10	2.5	12	2.6	27	7.4	8	5.5	22	2.0	10	2.7	19	2.7	30	.7	31	2.0	29	15,5	21	1.3	24
HONTGOMERY	19.0	48	1.9	59	.0	36	1.9	33	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	1.9	38	1.9	19	.0	42	- 11.4	34	3.8	4
NASSAU	36.9	19	20.3	16	2.3	14	1.2	41	7.9	7	5.8	16	.8	14	1.3	33	6.3	16	1.2	27	5.1	13	9.1	45	1.2	27
NEW YORK	229.7	1	125.5	1	12.2	2	4.2	- 14	68.8	i	15.0	1	15.3	1	9.9	I.	51.4	1	11.8	1	39.5	1	49.4	1	3.4	5
NIAGARA	31.4	24	11.5	31	1.8	24	.9	45	3.7	16	3.2	36	.5	20	1.4	32	2.8	29	.0	32	2.8	19	16.6	15	.5	39
ONEIDA	33.9	21	14.4	25	2.9	11	1.2	42	2.8	20	3.4	32	1.2	13	2.8	18	3.2	26	2.0	16	1.2	38	14. B	22	1.6	18
ONONDAGA	52,9	11	29.0	7	2,1	18	5.6	4	6.9	9	9.5	ß	1.5	12	3.4	9	3.7	22	1.3	24	2.4	25	18.5	9	1.7	15
ONTARIO	50.9	12	20.6	15	1.1	33	4.3	12	.0	40	9.7	7	.0	23	5.4	8	10.B	9	5.4	6	5.4	11	17.3	13	2.2	
ORANGE	36.7	20	16.6	21	1.8	26	2.9	22	5.8	it	4.0	28	.4	22	1.8	26	9.0	11	4.3	10	4.7	14	11.2	37	.0	41
ORLEANS	40.9	17	15.3	24	.0	36	2.6	26	.0	40	12.8	2	.0	23	.0	40	2.6	31	.0	32	2.6	20	20.4	7	2.5	11
DSWEED	23.5	38	9.2	36	.B	35	1.7	40	.8	38	5.0	25	.0	23	.8	3B	.8	- 44	.B	29	, Ò	42	12.6	29	.8	- 33
OTSEGO	15.2	54	5.1	48	.0	36	1.7	39	1.7	31	1.7	4B	.0	23	.0	40	5.1	18	3.4	13	1.7	32	3.4	58	1.7	16
PUTHAN	22.3	42	4.9	49	1.2	32	.0	47	2.5	23	1.2	49	.0	23	.0	40	11.1	7	8.7	- 4	2,5	21	6.2	52	.0	- 41

TABLE PA NEW COURT CONNITMENTS TO STATE PRISON RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY DEFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985

I Cantinued on next page.)

B-33

-

											XE	N COURT	CONNITX	ENIS TO	STATE P	RISON										
								Violent	Felonie	\$									Drug Fe	lonies						
	Tot	41	Tat	al	Koai	cide	Sex Of	lenses	Rob	bery	Burg	lary	Xez	pans	Oti	her	To	tal	Passe	ssica	54	2	Other Felo		Yout	taful enders
OUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rask	Rate	fiant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	kate	ƙank	Rate	Rank	Rate .	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant
NIEENS	58.4	8	33.6	5	4.3	. 6	1.8	36	14.6	4	6.7	47	3.4	,	7.8	17	11.1	B	4.4	9	6.7	9	12.0	33	1.8	1
ENSSELAER	23.1	40	12.6	28	2.0	20	2.0	32	2.0	27	2.6	40	.7	15	3.3	12	1.3	42	1.3	23	.0	12	9.3	44	.0	- 4
ICHMOND	30.8	25	19.8	17	2.9	10	1.9	35	5.9	10	1.7	18	1.6	11	.8	39	2.1	35	.8	30	1.3	35	8.4	47	.3	4
OCKLAND	31.7	23	11.7	30	1.5	29	.8	46	2.6	22	5.3	23	.0	23	1.5	29	8.3	13	1.5	21	6.8	8	10.2	41	1.5	. 1
T. LAWRENCE	18.5	49	5.3	47	.0	36	.0	47	1.8	29	2.6	39	.0	23	.9	37	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	i3.2	28	.0	
ARATOGA .	19.2	47	10.5	34	.0	36	3.7	19	1.2	34	3.7	31	.0	23	1.9	23	3.1	27	1.2	25	1.9	30	5.0	54	.6	3
CHENECTADY	24.6	37	10.4	33	.0	34	7.3	4	2.7	21	.1	51	.0	23	.0	40	1.3	41	٥.	32	1.3	36	11.3	36	1.3	. 2
CHDHARIE	23.5	39	23.5	9	6.7	4	3.4	20	.0	40	10.1	5	.0	23	3.4	10	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	.0	61	.0	- 4
CHUYLER	17.1	52	.0	60	.0	36	.0	47	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	17.1	14	.0	- 4
EKECA	9.2	58	3.1	55	.0	36	.0	47	.0.	40	3.1	37	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	,ô	42	6.1	53	.0	4
TEUBEN	24.7	36	11.3	32	.0	36	4.1	15	.0	40	5.1	24	.0	23	2.1	20	۰,	46	.0	32	.0	4ž	10.3	39	3.1	
SUFFOLK	44.8	15	21.4	13	1.9	23	2.9	21	8.1	6	6.1	19	.5	18	1.8	24	8.1	. 14	1.5	20	6.5	10	14.2	24	1.1	3
ULLIVAN	93.2	3	28.1	8	3.0	9	8.9	1	3.0	19	5.9	20	.0	23	7.4	5	25. t	2	8.9	2	16.3	2	37.0	2	3.0	
106A	25.8	32	L.0	- (5	.0	34	.0	47	2.0	26	2.0	45	.0	23	2.0	21	2.0	37	2.0	17	٥.	42	17.9	11	.0	- 4
IONPKINS	22.7	41	9.1	37	.0		4.5	10	.0	40	3.4	34	.0	23	1.1	36	2.3	33	1.1	28	1.1	39	11.3	35	.0	
ALSTER	48.2	13	20.8	14	1.8	25	4.3	13	3.1	18	7.9	12	.6	17	3.1	14	4.3	19	1.8	19	2.4	23	22.0	6	1.2	1
IARREN	10.B	57	3.4	52	.0	36	٥.	47	1.8	28	1.8	46	.0	23	.0	40	3.6	23	.0	32	3.6	16	3.6	57	.0	4
ASHINGTON	5.3	-61	3.5	53	.•	36	1.8	38	.0	10	1.8	47	.0	23	.0	40	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	1.8	63	.0	
IAYKE	18.3	50	5.7	44	.0	36	1.1	- 44	1.1	36	2.3	42	.0	23	1.1	35	3.4	24	.0	32	3.4	17	8.0	48	1.1	3
ESICHESTER	64.0	6	37.9	4	5.2	5	4.0	14	11.9	5	10.7	2	3.1	8	2.9	15	8.4	12	3.2	14	5.2	12	16.5	17	1.2	
IYONINS	17.2	51	2.5	57	2.5	13	.0	47	.0	40	.0	52	.0	23	.0	40	2.5	32	.0		2.5	22	12.3	30	.0	
ATES	27.9	28	18.6	18	.0	36	0	47	0	40	9.3	9	.0	23	9.3	2	.0	46	.0	32	.0	42	9.3	43	.0) 4

TABLE RA NEW COURT CONMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND KATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

Source: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 98 INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ST DECEMBER 31 RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985

t I

									¥	iolest	Felonie	5							Drug Fel	onies			Other		Youthf	
	Tot	2]	Tot	1	Hosi	cist	Sex Of	feases	kobb	ery	Burg	lary	Veapo	ns	Oth	ec	Tat	al	Passes	sian	54	le	Falon		Difend	•
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Rate	Bant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant
ALBANY	250.5	4	131.0	4	20.4	10	29.2	2	40.2	5	22.9	4	5.6	á	12.7	7	48.3	3	19.4	2	28.9	3	19.0	2	2.1	15
ALLEGANY	11.8	61	7.9	59	3.9	53	2.0	55	.0	52	.0	54	.0	32	2.0	43	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	2.0	62	2.0	18
BROWI	432.7	2	348,4	2	83.8	2	29.1	3	165.7	2	26.7	3	24.1	2	19.2	2	50.2	2	13.7	3	36.5	2	29.8	14	4.3	7
FROONE	109.7	13	72.0	12	11.8	29	13.2	9	21.2	13	18.4	ų.	.9	28	6.6	20	5.2	29	2.4	27	2.8	31	32.0	12	.5	39
CATTAHAUGUS	31.6	54	15.2	53	1.2	59	5.9	40	8.2	24	.0	54	.0	32	.0	52	1.2	53	.0	42	1.2	47	14.1	46	1.2	31
CAVUGA	67.6 43.7	32 49	28.8	41 48	1.3	58 24	6.8	26 58	7.5 2.4	27 45	6.3	40	.0	32	5.0	28 49	3.8	39	1.3	38	2.5	35	30.1	13	5.0	4
CHAUTAUQUA CHEMUNS	187.0	47. 5	22, 2 118, 5	46 5	12.5	4	1.4	35	18.5	45 14	4.9 28.3	42 2	.0	32 9	1.4	43	9.7	15 47	5.5	11 41	4.2	24 48	11.B 82.0	51 3	.0	42
CHENANGO	44.0	47	32,0	37	10.0	33	4.0	49	8.0	14 25	.0	51	4.5 .0	32	10.0	в 10	2.2 4.0	33	1.1 2.0	31	1.1	42	62.0 B.0	56 56	4.3	6 12
CLINICK	52.7	41	22.1	49	3.7	54	7.4	32	5.1	29	3.7	47	.0	32	10.0	50	2.5	46	.0	42	2.5		27.0	20	1.2	30
COLUMBIA	97.4	16	41.3	28	5.1 L.L	42	16.5	51	3.3	40	9.9	29	.0	32	5.0	29	33.0	10	5.6	14	26.4	37 5	23.1	26	.0	42
CORTLAND	78.1	27	29.5	39	4.2	52	2.1	54	.0	52	2.1	50	6.3	5	14.8	4	2.1	49	2.1	30	.0	51	40.1	7	6.3	1
DELAVARE	17.1	60	10.7	58	2.1	56	L.1	37	.0	52	.0	54	.0	32	2.1	41	2.1	48	.0	42	2.1	41	4.3	-60		42
DUICHESS	71.0	29	48.2	21	11.8	30	7.8	29	14.5	16	9.4	30	1.2	24	3.5	33	3.9	36	1.2	40	2.7		18.0	36	.8	36
ERIE	95.5	17	45.8	16	20.8	8	9.4	23	15.8	15	12.6	21	1.2	23	6.1	23	3.9	37	2.7	23	1.2		24.8	23	1.0	34
ESSEX	38.5	52	16.5	52	.0	60	5.5	41	1.1	17	.0	54	.0	32	.0	52	.0	55	.0	42	.0		19.2	33	2.8	10
FRANKLIN	79.9	25	36.5	33	9.1	34	6.9	35	4.6	36	6.9	37	2.3	16	6.9	18	6.9	23	4.6	16	2.3		34.3	9	2.3	12
FULTON	83.0	22	39.7	31	18.0	12	7.2	33	.0	52	12.6	20	.0	32	1.8	45	9.0	16	1.8	34	1.2		28.9	15	5.4	3
SENESEE	61.3	31	40.6	29	B.5	37	3.4	53	B.5	23	10.2	27	5.1	1	5.1	26	5.1	30	.0	12	5.1		15.2	42	3.4	8
GREE *	55.5	38	33.8	35	12.1	27	9.6	22	4.B	34	7.2	35	.0	32	.0	52	7.2	22	4.8	14	2.4	38	14.5	45	.0	42
HANGLICK	80.2	24	40.1	30	40.1	4	.0	59	.0	52	.0	54	.0	32	,0	52	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	40.1	6	,0	42
HERKINER	26.9	55	13.4	55	6.0	45	1.5	57	3.0	42	1.5	53	.0	32	1.5	48	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	13.4	48	.0	42
JEFFERSON	70.0	30	38.4	32	12.4	25	12.4	12	1.1	51	10.2	28	.0	32	2.3	40	3.4	42	٥.	42	3.4	27	27.1	19	1.1	32
KINGS	283.3	3	218.1	3	66.4	3	11.8	- 14	95.9	3	19.7	7	15.6	3	B.7	11	34.7	- 4	7.6	6	27.2	- 4	28.2	16	2.3	13
LEWIS	7.9	62	.0	62	.0	60	.0	59	.0	52	.0	54	.0	32	.0	52	4.0	34	.0	42	4.0	25	4.0	61	.0	42
LIVINGSTON	51.9	39	29.1	40	8.6	36	8.6	28	.0	52	10.3	25	.0	32	1.7	46	8.5	17	3.4	18	5.1	19	17.1	37	.0	42
HADISON	40.5	50	24.0	45	4.5	51	10.5	17	3.0	41	4.5	43	.0	32	1.5	47	1.5	51	.0	42	1.5	45	13.5	47	1.5	25
NONROE	129.5	10	87.8	9	20.3	11	10.8	16	28.2	1	16.2	12	4.1	10	8.2	13	6.7	26	2,3	28	4.4		33.5		1.6	23
Kontgokery	45.7	45	22.8	47	11.4	31	3.8	-51	1.9	47	3.8	45	.0	32	1.9	- 44	3.8	38	3.9	17	.0		13.3	49	5.7	2
NASSAU	98.8	15	19.0	13	13.6	23	5.0	44	27.8	9	17.7	10	1.7	18	3.2	35	13.5	13	2,4	25	11.1		14.9	43	1.5	24
HEN YORK	618.6	1	406.5	1	86.0	1	15.5	1	207.1	1	38.6	1	36.2	1	23.1	ŧ	117.0	1	26.0	1	91.0		90.1	1	4.9	5
NIAGARA	91.0	19	. 51.3	18	16.2	17	9.7	21	10.2	21	7.4	34	2.8	14	5.1	25	5.5	27	2.8	22	2.8		33.7	10	.5	40
ONEIDA	84.2	21	51.1	19	15.2	21	7.6	31	9.2	22	10.B	24	1.4	19	6.8	19	7.6	20	3.2	20	4.4		23.5		2.0	17
ONDWDAGA	144.2	8	96.1	8	15.5	18	18.5	5	27.9	B	22.8	5	3.9	- 11	1.5	15	8.0	18	2.4	26	5.6		38.3	8	1.9	19
ONTARIO	90.9	20	49.8	20	8.7	35	13.0	10	4.3	37	13.0	18	2.2	17	8.7	12	10.8	14	5.4	12	5.4		28.1	17	2.2	14
ORANGE	108.8	14	48.1	15	17.7	15	10.4	18	23.4	11	10.B	23	.1	30	5.0	27	19.8	8	1.2	1	12.4		20.5			41
DRLEANS	120.1	11	63.9	17	17.9	13	7.7	30	5.1	33	12.8	19	2.6	15	17.9	3	7.7	19	5.1	13	2.6		46.0		2.6	11
OSWEGO	48.7	43	26.0	44	5.0	49	4.2	48	7.6	26	6.7	38	.0	32	2.5	37	2.5	44	1.7	35	.8		19.3		.8	35
OTSE60	43.8	48	15.2	54	5.1	48	6.7	36	1.7	49	1.7	52	.0	32	.0	52	6.7	25	3.4	- 19	3.4		20.2	31	1.7	22
PUTNAN	51.9	42	23.5	46	11.1	32	3.7	52	2.5	- 44	3.7	46	.0	32	2.5	39	22.3	1	12.4	1	9.9	13	6.2	57	.0	42

B-35

.

٠

									V	iolent	Felonie	5							Drug Fe	lasies						
	Tol	al	Tol	al	Hoai	cide	Sex Of	Í EASES	kabb	ery	Burg	lary	Mesh	005	Oth	er	Tot	al	Posse	ssica	Sa	le	Other Felon		Youth Offen	
COURTY	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	<u>kate</u>	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	kank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Kate	Rank	Rate	ƙank
DUEEUS	159.1	,	117.4	5	27.7	5	8.6	27	48.6	4	18.2	9	٤.5	4	1.1	14	18.3	10	٤.8	8	11.5	9	21.3	29	2.0	16
REHSSELAER	58.1	35	44.9	26	17.8	14	4.0	50	5.9	32	8.6	22	1.3	22	7.3	17	1.3	52	1.3	37	.0	51	11.9	50	.0	42
KICHSONO	95.2	IB	75.2	11	\$7.7	16	5.9	39	26.7	10	15.5	13	3.2	12	6.2	22	3.7	40	1.3	36	2.4	39	15.8	41	.5	38
RGCKLAND	81.1	23	47.5	23	14.3	22	4.5	47	10.2	20	13.4	15	1.5	20	3.4	34	13.6	12	2.4	24	10.9	11	18.9	34	1.1	32
ST. LAWRENCE	38.8	51	19.4	51	6.2	43	5.3	43	2.6	43	4.4	44	. 9	29	.0	52	.9	54	.0	42	.9	49	16.8	39	1.8	21
SAKATOBA	45.8	44	30.3	38	7.4	39	9.9	20	1.9	48	8.7	32	.0	32	2.5	38	4.3	32	1.2	39	3.1	29	10.5	53	.6	37
SCHENECTADY	54.5	40	34.5	34	1.3	57	12.6	11	10.6	18	6.6	28	1.3	21	2.0	42	2.0	50	.0	42	2.0	43	16.6	40	1.3	27
SCHOHARIE	57.0	36	47.0	24	6.7	- 41	10.1	19	6.7	28	13.4	16	.0	32	10.1	9	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	10.1	54	.0	42
SCHUYLER	22.8	56	5.7	60	5.7	46	.0	59	.0	52	.0	54	.0	32	.0	52	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	17.1	38	.0	42
SENECA	18.3	57	12.2	57	6.1	44	.0	59	.ú	52	3.1	49	.0	32	3.1	36	.0	55	.0	42	.0	51	6.1	58	.0	42
STEUSEX	19.9	31	45.2	25	12.3	26	14.4	8	2.1	46	10.3	26	.0	32	6.2	21	3.1	43	.0	42	3.1	30	18.5	35	3.1	9
SUFFOLK	112.7	12	68.3	14	15.3	19	9.1	25	22.2	12	16.2	11	- 1.1	26	4.3	31	19.3	9	3.1	21	16.1	7	23.8	24	1.3	28
SULLIVAN	176.0	6	B4.3	10	20.7	9	23.7	4	10.4	19	13,3	17	3.0	13	13.3	Ĺ	34.0	5	8.9	5	25.1	6	56.2	4	1.5	26
T106A	73.4	28	43.7	27	11.9	2B	6.0	38	6.0	31	13.9	14	.0	32	6.0	24	4.0	35	2.0	32	2.0	44	25.8	21	.0	42
TORPEINS	56.7	37	28.4	42	£.8	40	12.5	12	1.1	50	5,7	41	1.1	27	1.1	51	6.B	24	2.3	29	4.5	31	21.6	28	.0	42
ULSTER	78.8	26	47.6	22	15.3	20	9.2	24	٤.1	30	12.2	22	.6	31	1.3	32	7.3	21	1.8	33	5.5	16	22.0	27	1.8	20
VARBEN	35.9	53	19.8	50	3.6	55	5.4	42	3.6	38	1.2	36	.0	32	.0	52	5.4	28	.0	42	5.4	18	10.8	52	.0	43
WASHINGTON	17.6	58	12.3	56	5.3	47	1.8	56	3.5	39	1.8	51	.0	32	.0	52	.0	55	.0		.0	51	5.3	59	.0	42
Kayne	45.7	46	27.4	43	6.9	39	5.9	34	4.6	35	3.4	48	1.1	25	4.6	30	3.4	41	.0	42	3.4	26	14.8 25.0	44 22	1.3	42 29
VESTCHESTER	144.1	9	101.2		22.6	- 7	11.4	15	32.9	5	22.1	. 6.	4:6	8	7.5	16 52	16.6	11	٤.٥	10	10.6	12 36		· 55		42
WYONING YATES	17.2 15.2	59 33	4.9 32.6	61 36	.0 4.7	60 50	4.9	45 46	0. 0.	52 52	.0 9.3	54 31	.0 .0	32 32	.0 14.0	32	2.5 4.7	45 31	.0 4.7	42 15	2.5	- 38 - 51	9.8 27.5	12	0. 0.	

TABLE 98 INWATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE FRISON ON GECENBER 31 RATES FER 100,600 POPULATION AND RATE KANKS BY OFFENSE TYPES AND COUNTY FOR 1985 - Continued

Sources HYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE IDA PAROLE SUFERVISION RATES PER 100,000 FOFULATION

TABLE 108 REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEE RATES PER 1000 PAROLEES

REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES +

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES Under ++ Under Releases Supervision Supervision To Parola During Year Ga 12/31 COUNTY Rate Rank Rate Kank Rant Fate ALBANY 70.8 5 195.5 4 142.3 -4 ALLEGARY 11.8 48 51.1 39 21.6 50 BRONX 101.3 535,B ł 341.1 1 1 BROOME 39.1 11 95.4 20 66.4 20 CATTARAUGUS 11.7 49 35,2 51 17.6 54 CAYUGA 16.3 42 \$5.1 35 35.1 37 CHAUTAUDUA 22.2 36 43.7 -44 31.9 44 CHEMUNG 56.5 8 123.9 10 80.4 11 CHENANGO 16.0 43 36.0 50 32.0 42 CLINTON 29.4 24 28 17.4 44.1 28 COLUMBIA 23.1 33 31 38 61.1 34.7 CORTLAND 25.3 30 69.6 26 59.1 24 DELAWARE 58 1.1 17.1 59 10.7 59 BUTCHESS 41.9 12 121.5 11 86.2 10 ERIE 17 34.5 100.4 17 62.5 22 ESSET 11.0 51 46.7 12 33.0 41 FRAKKLIN 22.8 34 45.7 43 20.6 51 FULTON 30.7 23 63.2 30 43.3 29 GENESEE 15.2 44 57.4 34 37.3 35 GREENE 9.6 53 38.6 46 24.1 48 HAKILTON .0 62 62 62 ۰. .0 HERKINER 6.0 59 14.9 60 17.9 53 JEFFERSON 28.2 26 37 33 54.2 38,4 K1N6S 104.1 3 300.7 3 3 202.4 LEVIS 4.0 61 11.9 61 7.9 61 LIVINGSTON 27.4 28 58.3 33 37.7 34 MADISON 12.0 47 54 56 30.0 16.5 MONROE 60.9 7 155.4 1 109,4 7 NONTGONERY 15.2 45 36.2 49 22.8 49 NASSAU 29 26.4 74.1 24 56.0 25 NEW YORK 112.8 2 307.2 2 205.2 2 **XIAGARA** 11 47.1 121.0 12 80,4 12 OKEIDA 31.5 21 71.8 25 50.3 26 ONONDAGA 47.9 10 142.1 8 87.9 9 **DNTAR10** 28.1 27 67.1 29 3L.B 36 ORANGE 24.9 31 91.9 22 23 60.9 BRLEAKS 33.2 20 92.0 21 71.5 16 **OSWEGO** 18.5 40 47.8 41 31.9 43 OTSE60 57 58 6.7 32.0 52 15.2 PUTNAM 11.1 50 38.3 47 47 24.7

Tot	1	Rul Violat	-	Nex Arres		Kew Fe Convict	•
<u>Rate</u>	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant
99.1	19	16.0	23	55.9	12	25.2	21
38,5	42	.0	32	38.5	25	.0	3
126.7	9	40.3	6	36.1	27	50.3	:
123.2	11	24.6	15	69.0	8	29.6	2
33.3	- 44	.0	32	.0	43	33.3	1
22.7	48	.0	32	22.7	37	.0	
47.6	41	.0	32	31.7	30	15.9	3:
157.9	4	17.5	24	84.5	2	43.9	
55.4	35	.0	32	55.4	13	.0	3
72.7	27	36.4	9	.0	43	36.4	ť
54.1	37	٥.	32	54.1	16	.0	3
60.6	32	.0	32	30.3	32	30.3	2
.0	5t 8	.0	32	.0	43 -	.0	3
129.0	-	35.5	10	58.1	11	35.5	1
115.7	12 51	19.4	22	80.9	-	16.4	3
.0		0. 0.	32	.0	43	.0	3
28.6	46	.0	32 32	.0	43	٥.	3
264.7	40 L	147.1	37 2	.0 88.2	43 4	28.4	2
101.1	31	.0	32	.0	43	29.4 62.5	2
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	3
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	3
62.5	31	20.8	20	41.7	24	.0	3
104.7	15	33.6	12	28.3	33	42.8	3
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	3
.0		.0	32	.0	43	.0	3
.0	51	0	32	.0	43	.0	3
104.8		24.6	15	59.3	10	21.0	2
52.6	• • •	.0	32	52.6	17	.0	3
94.3	• -	23,3	17	30.4	31	42.6	ĩ
135.5	6	44.9	5	37.4	26	53.2	•
64.9	30	11.5	30	45.8	22	7.6	3
138.9	5	33,3	13	55.6	13	50.0	
130.1	7	45,4	4	49.9	19	34.6	1
96.8	20	16.1	25	48.4	20	32.3	2
66.7	29	19.6	21	23.5	36	23.5	2
27.8	47	.0	32	27.8	34	.0	3
.0	51	.0	32	.0	43	.0	3
52.6	38	.0	32	52.6	17	.0	3
32.3	45	.0	32	.0	43	32.3	2

[Continued on next page.]

.

TABLE 10A PAROLE SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		LNYA	LE SUPERAIS	IUN LASE			
	Releas To Par		Unde Supervi Duriag		Under Supervision On 12/31		
COUNTY	Rate	Rank	Kate	Rant	Rate	Rank	
QUEENS	L4.8	6	183.6	5	125.8	6	
RENISSELAER	28.4	25	99.0	18	67.4	19	
RICHNOND	35.3	16	110.5	13	74.1	14	
ROCKLAND	20.0	38	53.9	38	39.6	30	
ST. LAWRENCE	22.0	37	60.0	32	46.7	27	
SARATOGA	5.0	£Ô	17.9	58	8.0	60	
SCHENECTADY	33.9	19	97.0	19	18.4	18	
ECHOHARIE	13.4	46	20.1	56	16.8	55	
SCHUYLER	34.3	18	162.8	16	62.8	21	
SENECA	82,4	4	167.9	4	128.2	5	
STEUBEN	22.6	35	54.4	36	39.0	31	
SUFFOLK	31.1	22	83.5	23	4B.4	17	
SULLIVAN	50.3	9	127.2	9	97.6	8	
T105A	ş, ş	52	37.7	48	33.7	40	
TOMPKINS	9.1	54	30.4	53	19.3	52	
ULSTER	36.6	15	105.4	15	73.3	15	
WARREN	7.2	56	50.3	40	27.0	48	
VASHINGTON	8.8	55	19.4	57	15.9	-57	
WAYNE	17.1	41	68.5	27	38.8	32	
VESTCHESTER	40.0	13	109.0	14	78.8	13	
NYONING	24.4	32	41.8	45	34.4	39	
YATES	18.4	39	27.9	55	27.9	45	

Rule Kew New Falony Total Violations Arrests Convictions Rate Rauk Rate Rank Rate Kank Rate Rant 115.1 13 36.5 35.9 28 42.7 10 8 125.8 28 10 13.2 79.5 33.1 19 6 22 94.4 21.8 19 21.8 38 50.8 4 55.9 34 14.0 26 21.0 39 21.0 28 73.5 26 32 42 58.8 .0 14.7 2 103.4 16 34.5 11 69.0 1 .0 35 102.7 19 31 75.3 6.8 1 20.5 30 166.7 3 156.7 1 .0 43 .0 - 35 55.6 35 32 55.6 35 13 .0 .0 .0 51 .0 32 .0 43 .0 35 18.9 49 32 10.9 40 35 .0 .0 81.1 25 13.7 27 24.6 35 42.8 8 93.0 23 11.6 29 46.5 21 15 34.9 52.6 38 52.6 3 .0 43 .0 35 185.2 2 37.0 7 148.1 .0 35 1 69.4 28 23.1 18 34.7 29 11.5 33 35.7 43 .0 32 .0 43 35.7 13 90.9 24 32 35 .0 90.9 3 .0 16.7 32 35 50 .0 16.7 41 .0 102.9 17 25.5 - 14 43.5 23 33.9 17 51 32 43 35 .0 .0 ...0 .0 .0 51 .0 32 .0 43 ۰. 35

REVOLED AND RETURNED PAROLEES

⁸⁵ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus innates released during the year to the Division of Parole for Supervision.

SDURCE: NYS Division of Farole.

* The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

TABLE 10B REVOLED AND RETURNED PAROLEE RATES PER 1000 PAROLEES

APPENDIX C

É

Ċ.

0

PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY 1982 - 1985

		1982 Arrest			DISPOSITION			1984 Arrest	······		AVERAGE PERCI OF DISPOSE 1982-84 ARRE	D
	<u></u>			.							***	
COUNTY	Total	Feloay	Kisdeseanor	Total	Felony	Hisdeneardr	Total	Felony	Risdeneanor	Total	Felosy	Nisdeneanc
AL BANY	92.1	89.2	93.1	87.2	85.6	87.8	87.8	93.6	89.4	89.1	86.1	90.2
ALLEGANY	40.2	57.8	50.7	59.7	57.4	60.5	56.8	60.0	55.9	58.9	58.4	59.1
ERONI	90.5	91.8	88.7	89.9	91.7	B7.4	86.3	87.6	84.7	88.9	90.3	BL.7
eroone	80.2	78.7	80.7	6B.7	70.0	68.3	80.7	82.9	B0.3	76.9	77.5	76.7
CATTARAUGUS	68.5	17.3	68.3	64.2	35.1	63.8	\$5.2	59.0	66.4	45.3	64.4	62.2
Cayuea	73.4	76.0	73.5	72.0	72.7	71.8	\$5.4	70.1	64.3	70.2	72.7	69.7
CHAUTAUQUA	80.0	82.3	79.4	79.6	80.9	79.3	77.9	72.2	79.1	79.1	78.3	79.3
CHENUNG	83.8	89.7	81.9	80.9	79.5	81.4	79.2	B4.1	77.8	Bi.3	84.6	80.4
CHENANGO	45.5	56.4	66.9	68.3	62.1	69.4	6.23	58.9	66.1	16.2	59.7	67.4
CLINTON	75.2	45.3	77.7	63.5	41.5	54.0	75.7	76.0	75.7	71.3	67.1	72.3
COLUNBIA	19.0	72.0	48.0	71.6	73.3	71.0	74.3	75.5	73.9	71.7	73.5	71.1
CORTLAND	83.5	88.4	82.2	78.9	74.0	79.9	B1.0	91,8	B0.9	91.2	82.2	81.0
DELAVARE	72.2	70.0	72.7	71.8	76.6	72.2	18.6	72.1	67.9	70.8	70.9	70.B
DUTCHESS	B3.7	82.5	84.1	25.5	83.5	86.2	B4.1	e1.1	81.9	84.4	82.4	85.1
ERIE	87.7	85.3	88.6	78.8	80.4	78.3	82.3	83,7	81.8	B3.0	83.2	82.9
ESSEI	70.6	74.3	59.5	34.1	68.6	62.6	72.8	66.5	74.7	69.4	70.1	69.2
FRANKLIN	71.5	65.#	72.7	74.4	74.3	74.4	75.0	75.5	74.5	73.6	71.8	74.0
FULTON	81.7	79.1	82.8	81.6	85.0	80.3	78.2	81.0	77.2	80.3	B1.7	79.7
GENESEE	69.4	72.5	68.7	65.3	72.5	63.9	67.5	71.8	66.5	67.6	72.3	\$6.7
GREENE	76.4	73.0	77.5	74.2	70.5	75.9	75.4	71.2	17.3	75.4	71.9	77.0
HAHILTON	57.6	64.3	54.7	52.4	25.2	(7.5	48.B	88.9	56.2	59.3	6B.3	56.5
HERKIHER	54.9	45.3	52.5	51.0	47.4	51.9	61.2	67.2	59.7	55,8	59.7	54.8
JEFFERSON	68.4	80.1	64.1	71.3	77.2	69.4	70.9	75.5	49.2	70.2	77.6	67.6
LINGS	90.1	91.6	88.2	87.1	88.0	85.9	81.5	82.1	B0.7	86.1	86.9	84.9
LEVIS	51.5	62.0	47.9	54.4	71.0	51.2	60.4	66.7	58.8	55.8	65.9	53.1
LIVINGSTON	78.3	77.4	78.4	75.1	75.3	75.1	75.3	71.7	75.8	76.2	74.8	76.4
		70.4	72.3	70.6	78.7	68.7	73.0	75.1	72.5	71.B	74.7	71.1
MADISON	71.9				85.5		87,2	81.3	88.8	90.4	85.0	91.9
NORROE	93.1	88.2	94.5	91.0		92.6					78.1	51.7 58.4
NONTGONERY	67.4	77.6	64.B	69.4	74.6	68.0 00 7	72.2	34.4	71.3	69.9		
NASSAU	94.0	94.9	93.6	89.2	90.6	88.7	86.2	89.4	B4.9	87.8	91.7	B9.0
NEW YORK	91.1	82.7	87.2	89.4	91,5	68.1	88.9	89.2	88.7	89.7	91.4	88.6
KIAGARA	93.B	90.B	94.8	92.7	90,8	93.4	B9.9	88.6	90.3	92.1	90.1	92.8
DNETDA	75.6	80.3	74.1	74.9	78,3	75.7	74.8	74.0	75.0	75.1	77.5	71.3
ONDNDAGA	79.7	74.5	B2.2	81.5	76.4	83.B	79.3	72.7	82.3	80.1	74.5	82.7
ONTARIO	72.2	86.1	69.5	74.3	75,7	74.0	71.2	73.3	70.7	72.5	78.1	71.5
DRANGE	79.1	77.4	79.4	76.4	75.4	76.7	79,4	76.3	B0.3	78.2	76.3	78.6
ORLEAKS	73.2	82.1	71.0	70.1	81.9	67.0	68.9	75.9	67.1	70.7	80.0	68.4
OSWEED.	73.0	71.9	73.3	75.B	76.0	75.B	78.8	76.3	79.2	76.0	74.8	76.3
015660	80.7	75,7	81.7	70.B	59.5	73.4	70.4	63.9	71.7	73.8	66.1	75.3
PUTKAN	78.5	40.4	82.3	76.0	59.6	79.3	81.7	62.9	86.0	78.7	10.8	82.4

APPENDIT C PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1982 ARRESTS, 1983 ARRESTS, AND 1984 ARRESTS AND THE AVERAGE FERCENT OF DISFOSED 1982 THROUGH 1984 ARRESTS .

(Continued on next page.)

C-1

₽ I

	.			PERCENT D	F DISPOSITION	IS REPORTED				•		AVERAGE PERCE	
		1982 Arrest	5		1983 Arrest	5		1984 Arrest	5		· .	1982-84 ARRES	
COUNTY	Total	Felony	Nisdennanor	Total	Felony	Nisdeneanor	Total	Felony	Nisdeneanor		Total	Felony	Hisdeseand
		· · · ·		• • • • • • • • • • •		• <u>••••••</u> .			<u>-</u>				
CUEENS	92.1	93.9	90.0	91.0	91.9	90.0	88.8	90.3	B7.3		90.4	92.0	89.0
KENSSELAER	91.9	90.1	82.5	75.5	75.8	75.5	75.8	73.4	77.8		78.0	76.5	78.5
RICHNOND	92.9	93.2	92.6	92.5	94.6	90.8	90.5	91.3	90.0		91.8	93.0	91.0
ROCKLAND	77.6	75.L	78.5	76.4	77.2	76.1	70.6	72.4	69.9		74.7	75.1	74.6
ST. LAWRENCE	44.8	46.2	48.2	59.7	30.2	15.7	51.4	28.8	56.2		59.0	35.2	63.7
SARATOGA	78.2	74.4	79.0	74.2	76.9	73.7	74.5	72.1	74.9		75.6	74.6	75.8
SCHENECTADY	97.9	81.5	89.2	87.4	81.2	89.0	88.1	84.2	89.2		87.8	82.4	89.1
SCHOHARIE	57.7	67.4	54.6	54.5	78.7	50.6	56.7	69.5	54.3		56.4	70,8	53.2
SCHUYLER	\$5.9	76.4	61.3	73.4	48.3	74.6	70.3	69.2	70.4		69.6	71.6	69.1
SENECA	71.5	49.7	71.B	69.9	73.0	69.5	69.8	60.2	71.5		70.5	67.9	70.9
STEUBEN	76.7	78.2	76.4	76.1	78.5	75.6	71.3	79.6	69.3		74.7	78.8	73.7
SUFFOLK	48.B	40.6	71.9	75.2	71.0	74.6	81.9	85.8	80.6		75.3	72.1	76.4
SULLIVAN	83.9	80.2	85.1	85.5	80.2	87.2	84.2	82.1	84.9		84.5	80.8	85.7
TIDEA	72.9	73.6	72.8	\$7.8	66.5	68.2	77.4	76.0	77.7		72.7	71.6.	72.9
TOMPKINS	78.1	70.9	79.7	79.7	74.3	80.8	80.7	76.5	81.5		79.4	73.7	80.6
ULSTER	68.4	48.0	68.5	63.1	61.2	63.7	68.9	64.4	70.4		66.8	64.5	67.5
WARREN	87.6	88.4	87.4	88.2	86.3	88.7	86.8	87.9	84.5		87.5	87.6	87.5
WASHINGTON	55.3	42.9	53.1	55,5	60.3	53.9	55.6	57.4	55.1		55.5	60.2	54.1
NAYNE	62.3	40.9	\$2.5	62.9	61.9	63.1	61.3	40.4	61.5		62.2	61.1	62.4
VESTCHESTER	80.8	80.4	B1.0	75.0	73.1	75.7	66.1	67.9	15.5		73.9	74.0	73.8
WYDNING	36.4	41.1	30.2	31.3	60.0	25.9	40.5	62.4	34.9		36.2	61.3	30.4
YATES	66.5	75.0	63.8	69.1	86.3	65.3	70.5	83.3	67.0		68.5	78.6	45.5
NEW YORK STATE	85.9	88.3	84.4	84.0	86.4	82.4	82.9	84.5	82.0		84.2	84.4	82.9
NEW YORK CITY	90.9	92.7	89.1	89.2	90.7	87.9	86.7	87.0	86.5		88.9	90.0	87.8

APPENDIX C PEACENT OF DISPOSED 1982 ARKESTS, 1983 ARRESTS, AND 1984 ARRESTS AND THE AVERAGE PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1982 THROUGH 1984 ARRESTS + - Continued

* This table provides an indication of the proportion of arrests, excluding those for Juvenile Offenders, for which final dispositions were reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' CCH/OBIS data system as of July 1986. "Interim" dispositions, such as the issuance of a warrant, are counted as missing.

SDURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, County Profiles System.

C-2

APPENDIX D

1


Î

8

4

NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS





APPENDIX E VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES

t

1

1

Į

Û

VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES¹

OFFENSES	PL SECTION	SUB-	FELONY
	NUMBER	DIVISION	CLASS
Assault 2nd	120.05	all	D
Assault 1st ²	120.10	all	C
Aggravated Assault on a Peace Officer ² Manslaughter 1st ² Murder 2nd, Attempted Rape 1st ² Sodomy 1st ² Sexual Abuse 1st Agg. Sexual Abuse ² Kidnapping 2nd ² Kidnapping 1st, Attempted Burglary 2nd ² Burglary 1st ² Arson 2nd ² Arson 1st, Attempted Robbery 2nd ² Robbery 1st ² Crim. Poss. Weapon 3rd ² Crim. Poss. Weapon 1st ² Crim. Vse Firearm 2nd ² Crim. Use Firearm 1st ² Crim. Sale Firearm 1st	120.11 125.20 125.25 130.35 130.50 130.65 130.70 135.20 135.25 140.25 140.25 140.25 140.30 150.15 150.20 160.10 160.15 265.02 265.03 265.04 265.08 265.09 265.12	00 all all all all all all all all all a	B B B B B B B B B B C B B C B D C B C B

The following crimes are not designated as "violent felony offenses" but are included as such for statistical purposes:

Murder 2nd	125.25	all	A-1
Murder 1st, Including Attempted	125.27	all	A-1
Kidnapping 1st	135.25	all	A-1
Arson 1st	150.20	all	A-1
Crim. Poss Weapon 3rd ³	265.02	00,01,02,03	D
Crim. Poss weapon sru	200.00		

¹Penal Law of the State of New York, Section 70.02.

²An "attempt" to commit this crime is also classified as a "violent felony offense"; an attempt is one felony class lower than the actual commission of the crime.

³These offenses are counted as "violent felony offenses" only in the data provided by the NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS

.

APPENDIX F

1

1

ļ

٠

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

Board of Prisoners. The Board of Prisoners' account is the only source of local assistance funding administered by DOCS. Funds paid out of the account cover four areas:

- <u>Coram Nobis</u>: Inmates may have to be returned to their county of commitment for court proceedings. When they are, they are housed in the county jail. Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing these inmates.
- <u>Felons</u>: Persons convicted of "D" and "E" felonies who receive a maximum sentence of less than one year cannot be incarcerated in State facilities. Instead, these sentences are served in county jails. This program reimburses the county at the rate of \$20 per diem.
- o <u>Parole Violators</u>: Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing . parole violators until they can be transferred into the State system.
- State Readies: These are convicted felons for whom the committing county has notified the Department that they are awaiting transfer into the State system. Beginning on 7/1/85, counties were reimbursed \$20 per diem toward the cost of housing those who have been state ready for five days. After the inmate has been state ready five days, reimbursement is retroactive to the first day. Conversely, if an individual is state ready for only one to four days, there is no reimbursement.

<u>Community Contract Housing</u>. The Department of Correctional Services had in the past, and will again this year, be allowed to enter into agreements to place low-risk inmates, within a year of parole eligibility, into community contract facilities. These 24-hour a day facilities offer drug rehabilitation programs to those inmates who, it is believed, have more of a drug problem than a criminal history. Innovative project components include the use of naltrexone on a pilot basis, intensive vocational rehabilitation services, and on-site supervision by parole officers.

Under the community contract program, the Division of Substance Abuse Services identifies which community beds can be used and arrives at a contract with the local facilities. The program operated with approximately 300 contract beds in 1983 and again in 1984, but was not continued in the 1985-86 fiscal plan. A total of 300 slots for DOCS inmates is proposed for FY 1986-87, at a per inmate per annum cost of \$11,000, versus the \$26,000 a year cost of incarceration in correctional facilities. Given the actual cost of incarceration within the DOCS system, the choice is to imprison one inmate or to treat three in the community. Funding of the program could result in a net savings to taxpayers of \$7 million annually, according to memoranda in support of the legislation.

Prison Transfer to State Facilities. The State reimburses counties for their costs incurred in transporting state-ready inmates to State correctional facilities.

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD

Crime Victims Compensation Awards. The Crime Victims Compensation Program provides financial assistance to innocent victims of violent crimes. Claims may be filed by the victim of a crime who has incurred personal injuries or by a surviving spouse, parent, child or other dependent if the victim died as a direct result of the crime. Payments are made for unreimbursed medical and rehabilitative expenses as well as loss of earnings or support. Funeral benefits may be paid to any person who has paid the burial expenses. The program is also permitted to reimburse claimants for transportation costs incurred for necessary court appearances in connection with the prosecution of a crime and for loss or damage to essential personal property. Victims 60 years or older and disabled victims need not be injured to qualify for these last two benefits. Beginning with Federal Fiscal Year 10/1/85 - 9/30/86 the State will receive federal compensation funds on a 35% reimbursement rate, and these are included in the FY 1985-86 appropriation.

Victim and Witness Assistance Program. In FY 1981-82, the Crime Victims Board initiated the Victim and Witness Assistance Program with \$1.5 million in funding for 22 programs. The number of funded programs has since doubled. Each of the local programs which receives funding provides services in one of five general areas for victims and witnesses:

- o victims of domestic violence,
- o elderly crime victims,
- o victims of sexual assaults,
- o all types of victims, and
- o court related services.

These programs provide a comprehensive array of assistance services to address the economic, fiscal, psychological and criminal justice consequences of victimization. Services include: information, referral to other agencies, supportive counseling, psychological counseling, group counseling, application assistance, court notification, court accompanied impact statements, hotline, lock installation, home visits, escort service, and transportation.

The agency has expanded existing programs and initiated new programs with federal funds from the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) of 1984.

F-2

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

Asset/Forfeiture Legislation. The passage of Article 13-A (CPLR) provided prosecutors in New York State with a new tool to combat crime. This statute allows for the seizure of the proceeds and instrumentalities of crime, thereby reducing the profitability of, and incentive for, illegal activity.

Pursuant to Chapter 669 of the Laws of 1984, \$1 million in State funds were made available to counties participating in the Target Crime Initiative Program (see description below) and the New York City Special Narcotics Prosecutor for the purpose of establishing forfeiture units in local prosecutors' offices. Funds were not appropriated in FY 85-87 for the forfeiture effort because the value of assets seized could be utilized to support the initiative.

<u>Crime Prevention and Protection</u>. This program provides funds for special contractual services, for expenses of programs to prevent crime and protect senior citizens. These appropriations commenced with one award in 1977 and increased to 90 awards in 1985. There was no appropriation for FY 1982-83. Although initially placed in the state purposes portion of the budget, this appropriation now appears in local assistance.

The responsibility for implementation rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services, and is administered by the Office of Crime Prevention of the Bureau for Municipal Police.

Emergency Felony Case Processing Program. A rapidly increasing court backlog led to the passage of the Emergency Felony Case Processing Program (Chapters 496 and 497 of the Laws of 1971). When first enacted, 15 additional court parts were established with State support to expedite the processing of the backlogged felony cases. As a result of court reorganization and consolidation efforts, the historically designated parts have been integrated into the total court system. The N.Y.C. Legal Aid Society and Department of Probation also participate in the program by providing defense services and completing pre-sentence investigations. The State shares with the City of New York the incremental expense of maintaining an enhanced level of criminal court parts, with State aid totaling up to 58% of the cost. Payment of aid is contingent on a matching appropriation from the City of New York for the remaining 42% of expenses.

Indigent Parolee Program. The State provides aid to counties for the legal representation of indigent parolees by private, appointed attorneys and public defense programs, pursuant to Section 250(i) of the Executive Law and Article 18-8 of the County Law. The program has existed since 1973 and helps meet the needs of indigent parole violators for competent legal help. By encouraging defense attorneys to provide this assistance quickly and often, this State aid effort also contributes to a more efficient parole revocation process, the elimination of delays and a reduction in unnecessary incarceration of alleged violators.

Legal services for individuals involved in parole revocations are provided according to a plan that is executed by either the county executive or the board of supervisors of each county or by the governing city in which a county is wholly contained. The plan describes whether the legal assistance purchased will involve the services of a public defender, a private legal aid bureau or society, or an administrator of a bar association appointed-counsel plan. The Division of Criminal Justice Services is responsible for the administration of these funds through a system of reimbursement to the counties.

Justice Assistance Act - Federal Funds. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the Justice Assistance Act. The Justice Assistance Act's block grant program will award New York State \$3,396,000 to support programs in any of 18 federally designated program areas. The Governor's Office, in consultation with members of the legislature, has directed that these funds will support programs in the following areas, subject to necessary federal approvals:

- o Prosecution of White Collar and Organized Crime
- o Violent Predator
- o Pre-Trial Services
- o Enhancement of Child Abuse Prosecution
- o Information Systems
- o Narcotics Enforcement
- o Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program

Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention - Federal Funds. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the federal Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act. These funds are utilized by New York State to assist units of local government and State agencies in improving the operations of the juvenile justice system, in efforts to control crime, and to assure the quality of justice in the State. The funds primarily provide seed money to support changes and improvements in the juvenile justice system. Funds are used to support programs in six areas:

- o Delinquency Prevention/Diversion
- o Services for Detained and Incarcerated Youth
- o Dispositional Alternatives
- o Court Processing
- o Monitoring Compliance
- o Systems Planning and Interagency Coordination

Major Offense Police Program (MOPP). This program provides State funds to nine of the largest police agencies in the State to target violent offenders, career criminals, and narcotics traffickers. The cities of New York, Rochester, Syracuse, and Nassau and Suffolk counties have identified career criminals who

F-4

ş

receive special case processing by the Detective Bureau when arrested for felony offenses. The City of Buffalo established separate task forces dealing with robbery, burglary, and sex crimes to identify and apprehend career offenders. Rockland and Orange counties created county-wide task forces comprised of local police officers and county district attorney investigators to target major narcotics traffickers. In Westchester, Nassau, and Suffolk counties M.O.P.P. funds supplement existing narcotics investigations through the addition of personnel and "buy-money". In 1984, M.O.P.P. funds supported 199 sworn police personnel, one assistant district attorney, and nine support staff who conducted intensive investigations against "career criminals" who were violent or repeat felons. M.O.P.P. personnel in the nine localities handled a total of 9,852 cases against the most serious offenders in 1984, up 12% from the 1983 M.O.P.P.

Mobile Radio District Program. This program seeks to standardize law enforcement radio configurations and enhance communication hardware in municipal law enforcement agencies. Effective April 1, 1983 the Mobile Radio District program was re-enacted by the legislature. The legislature did not appropriate any monies for this program in 1982.

The program that has been in effect for the past thirteen years was a combination of federal and locally funded projects. Since 1982 the program has been State funded.

The responsibility for implementation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services and is administered by the Bureau for Municipal Police, Mobile Radio District Section.

Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act¹. Established in the Laws of 1983, Chapter 55, by Governor Cuomo and the Legislature, the Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act made funds available to local not-for-profit organizations (excluding municipalities, except for auxiliary police programs). The funds are awarded on a competitive basis for organizations to operate crime prevention programs.

The responsibility for the implementation of this program rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. It is administered by the Office of Crime Prevention of the Bureau for Municipal Police.

N.Y.S. Defenders' Association. The State has funded the N.Y.S. Defenders' Association since 1981 in order to provide broad-based defender support services on a statewide level. Over the past four years, the Association has provided legal research and consultation on over 4,000 requests by public defense attorneys. Such assistance encompasses legal memoranda, analyses of briefs, consultation, videotaped court simulations, workshops and training seminars.

¹The funds supporting NPCPA are in the state purposes portion of the annual budget, but the statute creating this program indicates that the awards are to be made to community-based organizations.

The Association is involved in <u>amicus curiae</u> work. It provides a referral service to help defenders obtain expert witnesses, investigators and attorneys with particular expertise, and it collects and disseminates briefs and other material to provide defense attorneys with legal research capabilities. It also provides technical assistance to both State and local governments, provides evaluative seminars and engages in research and data retrieval covering various aspects of the criminal justice system.

<u>Prisoners' Legal Services</u>. Prisoners' Legal Services of New York (PLS) provides legal assistance to inmates of New York's 38 State correctional facilities who have no other legal representation, are financially unable to retain counsel and cannot obtain legal assistance from any other legal services organization. PLS began in 1976 with federal grant funds, and since 1978 has been supported by the State.

With a central office in New York City, Prisoners' Legal Services maintains six field offices, located in Albany, Buffalo, Ithaca, Plattsburgh, Poughkeepsie and New York. The types of cases handled by Prisoners' Legal Services are grouped into three general categories: 1) post-conviction matters, such as sentence computation and determination of parole eligibility; 2) institutional problems, such as disciplinary procedures and living conditions; and 3) a wide range of civil and domestic law problems, such as matrimonial actions and custody proceedings. These legal services have succeeded in providing for reasonable and satisfactory resolution of inmate problems and grievances. As a result of this kind of assistance to indigent inmates, Prisoners' Legal Services also serves the State's court system, to the extent that it screens complaints from its inmate clients and diverts from the courts potential litigation which is deemed to be without merit.

<u>School Security Officer Program</u>. This program extends an existing ongoing effort in New York City junior and senior high school buildings to include elementary school buildings in Community School District 20 in Brooklyn. The \$415,000 will provide salaries and support for such elementary school security officers.

Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program. Effective April 1, 1984 a bill became law which amended the Executive Law in relation to providing for State reimbursement to municipalities, public authorities and public benefit corporations for expenses incurred in the purchase of soft body ballistic armor vests for police officers. The Soft Body Armor Vest Reimbursement Program was initiated in the Department of Labor in 1981.

Section 837-d of the Executive Law effectively repealed Article 17-A of the Labor Law and empowers the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services to certify vouchers for the State Comptroller for reimbursement payments.

F-6

The responsibility for implementation and regulation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Due to the specific law enforcement nature of the project, the practical operational functioning of the program was assigned in April of 1984, to the Bureau of Municipal Police, Police Training and Administrative Services Section.

No information relative to expenditures is available prior to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' involvement in the project.

Special Narcotics Court Program. The Special Narcotics Court Program (SNP) was initiated by Chapter 462 of the Laws of 1971 as a legislative response to the need for an efficient, specialized and coordinated narcotics prosecution effort in the City of New York.

Pursuant to this legislation, a Special Narcotics Prosecutor was appointed by the five District Attorneys in New York City. He is centrally located, with offices in lower Manhattan, and is responsible for the citywide prosecution of narcotics cases, as well as convening a special citywide Grand Jury.

In addition to providing for the office of the Special Prosecutor, the legislation provides for the creation of twelve new criminal court parts, primarily concerned with the handling of narcotics cases. Extensive reorganization of the court system by the Office of Court Administration has resulted in the integration of the twelve narcotics parts with other criminal parts in the New York City Supreme Court.

The State continues to fund up to 61 percent of the costs of the office of the Special Narcotics Prosecutor and maintaining an enhanced level of criminal court parts, as well as the supportive services rendered by the City Departments of Probation and Corrections and the Legal Aid Society. The City contributes the remaining 39 percent.

Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program. Governor Cuomo and the Legislature established the Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program (S.W.E.E.P.) in September 1984 in response to a growing backlog of outstanding criminal warrants. S.W.E.E.P. provided \$2.5 million in State funds to assist 21 law enforcement agencies in apprehending their most serious felony warrant suspects. The goal of the program is to provide funding assistance for additional enforcement personnel for short term, intensive warrant enforcement in the localities with the most severe felony warrant backlogs. These personnel are assigned on a temporary basis to enhance existing warrant enforcement efforts. A total of 5,947 warrants were cleared under S.W.E.E.P. including 1,818 violent felony and 2,590 felony warrants.

Target Crime Initiative Program. The Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI) was created April 1, 1983 as the result of a recommendation by the Governor to the Legislature. Three prosecution programs, the State Felony Program, Major

Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program, were merged into one comprehensive anti-crime package to eliminate overlapping and duplicated program services. The primary goal of TCI is to combat violent felony crime and target on the swift adjudication of habitual and violent offenders. Specific program objectives include reducing the caseloads of TCI attorneys, increasing the number of indictments against repeat offenders, eliminating plea bargaining except under extraordinary circumstances, increasing the rate and level of convictions, and increasing the number and length of prison sentences for TCI defendants. The number of counties participating in TCI has been expanded from 18 to 27, and now includes those counties reporting 97% of the violent felonies in the State.

Transit Crime Interdiction Program. In April 1985, the Transit Crime Interdiction Program was established. This program supports a Decoy Unit of 24 officers and 3 supervisors. Members of the Decoy Unit analyze crime patterns on the subway system and then pose as persons likely to be victimized in order to catch offenders in the act of committing serious crimes. Through the end of October 1985, the Decoy Unit made a total of 460 felony arrests of which 443 were made on the subway, 2 on buses, and 15 off the transit system. Of the 405 adult offenders arrested, 292 had prior arrest records, including 224 which had prior felony arrests.

Transit Strike Force. In response to growing public outcry over subway crime, Governor Cuomo and the State Legislature provided 3.5 million dollars for the establishment of the Transit Police Strike Force on April 1, 1983. The Strike Force generates a target list of violent or repeat offenders operating on the subway system. The crimes of homicide, kidnapping, forcible rape/sodomy, and robberies are targeted along with suspects who have been arrested for five or more larcenies from the person such as pickpocketing or jostling. These targets receive special handling when arrested to ensure their removal from the subway system. During 1984, the Strike Force arrested 1,866 persons as target offenders or for committing target crimes. Of these, 908 were augmented by the Strike Force's Major Case or Robbery Squads resulting in 907 felony arraignments. An additional 41 non-target cases were augmented, bringing the total number of offenders augmented to 949. The Apprehension Unit of the Strike Force targets pickpockets, jostlers, and bagopeners and arrested 416 persons for such offenses in 1984.

Vera Institute. DCJS will administer a grant to the Vera Institute to conduct a two-year evaluation of the Community Patrol Office (CPO) program.

The CPO program is an innovative effort to foster improved police-community relations and enhance the ability of the police to address crime and order maintenance problems in target areas. The program is staffed by 250 volunteer police officers and sergeants, who are assigned to designated beats consisting of approximately twenty square blocks.

1

1

1

Vera Institute staff served as consultants to the New York City Police Department during the planning of the CPO concept, and closely monitored pilot testing of the project. Fifty beats will be randomly selected for an evaluation sample, and a variety of techniques will be employed in the comprehensive analysis covering every aspect of the program.

DIVISION OF PAROLE

Parole Resource Center. The Parole Resource Center (PRC) program provides the Division of Parole with the capability to contract with community-based service providers for housing and programming of selected individuals released to community supervision. This structured environment has provided the Board of Parole with an alternative to continued incarceration of individuals in need of supportive services in the community. The PRC provides a reasonable level of assurance that individuals in need of a structured setting upon release are provided with an opportunity to participate in community-based programs which will lead to their long-term success in life styles.

Parole Transitional Facilities. The Parole Transitional Facility Program (PTF) functions in much the same manner as does the Parole Resource Center (PRC). The basic difference is in the point of entry into the Program. The PTF provides the Parole Board with an alternative option for dealing with rule violators whose reimprisonment would serve little or no purpose. The use of a crisis intervention treatment approach provides short-term services in a semi-structured residential setting in the community rather than in the detached surroundings of a correctional facility.

Employment Services Project. Employment is a key element in the successful adjustment to community life upon release from a correctional facility. Funding has been provided to enhance the Division's efforts in employment training and placement services. Contracting with the Vera Institute of Justice for services under its Vocational Development Program, the Division of Parole can provide developmental employment services and increase its private sector job placement services. In addition, Vera will assist the Division of Parole in the training of its employment services staff to maximize the employability and placement capability and in long range strategic planning to improve employment services performance.

STOP Program - Drug Residence Project. The Selective Treatment Options for Parolees (STOP) Project is a cooperative effort involving State criminal justice and human services agencies to establish community-based residential treatment programs for parolees in need of chemical dependency services. The STOP Project facilities, which will be established through contracts with community-based service providers through the Division of Substance Abuse Services, will function in much the same manner as Parole Resource Centers except that the programs will be targeted for individuals with drug abuse histories.

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES

County Planning for Alternatives to Incarceration (Classification Alternatives). Pursuant to Chapters 907 and 908, Laws of 1984, \$3,050,000 was appropriated in FY 1985-86 and made available to all counties and the City of New York for the establishment or expansion of alternatives to incarceration programs. To be eligible to receive state aid, and to be able to utilize a new classification system in the local jail, each county was required to establish an alternatives to incarceration advisory board and to undertake a planning process designed to identify the types of alternatives programming needed in the county. To date, 44 counties and the City of New York have submitted alternatives to incarceration service plans and are receiving funds that support approximately 70 new or expanded programs. Based upon contractual objectives, it is estimated that more than 3,000 offenders will be diverted from jail sentences at the post-conviction stage, while another several thousand will be released while awaiting disposition through new or enhanced pre-trial release efforts.

Prior to the creation of the Division in 1985, this program was administered by the Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Demonstration Projects. Demonstration projects generally fall into one of three program models: community service sentencing projects; individualized sentencing plan programs; and offender rehabilitation programs. In FY 1985-86, there were 28 such projects. During the current fiscal year, these 100% State funded programs are expected to provide services to more than 10,000 clients, approximately one-half of whom will be offenders whose case outcomes are directly impacted by program intervention.

Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program. The Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program (ISP/ASP) is intended to provide a viable dispositional option to the courts. A cooperative effort between State and local jurisdictions, ISP/ASP provides fiscal resources to support a program model which emphasizes strict supervision and complete accountability to sentencing courts.

The program focuses on two discrete groups from among the much larger, generally successful probation population: felony offenders sentenced to probation only because ISP/ASP supervision is available; and felony and misdemeanant probationers statistically least likely to successfully complete their sentences.

During FY 1985-86, a new aspect of this overall program has been added called the Conditional Order of Probation Experiment (COPE). This new effort provides for a limited demonstration period in which the suitability of an offender for a probation sentence may be determined.

PINS Adjustment Services Act. Chapter 813 of the Laws of 1985 is an important new program intended to decrease the inappropriate utilization of the Family Court process and the number of out-of-home placements in matters involving persons alleged to be in need of supervision (PINS). It is the purpose of this

o

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES (Continued)

law to implement assessment, planning, and service delivery strategies Statewide that can support successful diversion of PINS from the family court process. The Act establishes a four year period, beginning January of 1987 and ending on December 31, 1990, during which localities may voluntarily implement the provisions of the Act. The Act encourages localities to set and accomplish long-term goals in planning for the delivery of a comprehensive array of services to meet the needs of these youths and their families. To assist localities in coordinating and strengthening services for this population, the law provides short-term enriched incentive funding during the four year voluntary implementation period.

The 1986-87 initial appropriation of \$1 million is targeted for a start-up date of January 1, 1987.

Regular State Aid. Section 246 of the New York State Executive Law provides for financial assistance from the State to localities for probation operations "...for control and rehabilitation of offenders." In order to receive these funds to develop and improve services, local probation departments must operate according to approved programs within the Division's Rules and Regulations. Current reimbursement is set at 46.5 percent of approved expenditures for maintaining and improving probation services.

During FY 1982-83 a special Warrant Enforcement Unit was funded in New York City under this program.

ര

DIVISION FOR YOUTH

<u>Community Based Organization</u>. The Community Based Organization (CBO) Urban Home Initiative is a 100 percent State funded initiative which provides residential services for up to one year for youth ages 16-21. There are currently two line item appropriations which support two programs in New York City (one for older homeless youth and one for Juvenile Offenders on parole); and one program in the City of Buffalo which services young mothers and their children.

<u>Community Care Program</u>. In response to concern over the increasing costs of residential care, recidivism and the importance of the post-release period to a successful return to community life, the Division funds a new direction in, and emphasis on, aftercare services. The initiative, which was designed to establish, for the first time, joint State/local cooperation in planning and implementing post-institutional follow-up services, will facilitate the successful transition of youth released from DFY residential placement to their communities.

Secure and Non-Secure Detention Services. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to localities operating secure and/or non-secure detention programs. These funds provide the Division with the leverage to assure compliance with rules and regulations governing detention facilities. The non-secure aspect of this program has been steadily expanding to accommodate the more difficult youth in the least restrictive environment.

Job Development. The New York State Division for Youth contracts with community based organizations to provide employment/training transitional services to Division youngsters recently released or about to be returned from residential care. The Division funds programs which manifest an ability to build on the vocational foundations established during the youngster's facility placement.

<u>Runaway and Homeless Youth Services (RHYA)/Transitional Independent Living</u> <u>Support</u>. Gives the Division the authority and financial capacity to support and encourage the continued establishment of local programs to serve runaway and homeless youth. This request reflects the programmatic agenda and fiscal requirements necessary to maintain and expand the success of this program under statutory provisions which were modified during 1985 to permit expansion into the area of providing services to the homeless and transitional youth up to age 21 and beyond the crisis services by which we were formerly limited.

Special Delinquency Prevention Program. Provides 100 percent funding for community-based youth services targeted at youth at risk of unnecessary or further involvement with the justice system. Allocations are based on a combination of youth population and/or needs assessment data.

<u>Special Legislative Contracts</u>. A legislative initiative providing funds for new or expanded youth centers, career counseling, and recreation programs.

DIVISION FOR YOUTH (Continued)

Voluntary Agency Care. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to local Departments of Social Services who are responsible for payment for care of PINS and JD youth involuntary child care agencies. This request allows the Division to provide support and technical assistance to local departments and voluntary agencies to improve services to troubled youth.

Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention. Provides local community psycho-social and recreational programs for youth through county and municipal youth bureaus. Implementation is aided through programmatic support from Division field staff and financial assistance provided by the state aid formula of Article 19A of the Executive Law. This budget request focuses on both the programmatic and fiscal aspects of YD/DP and Youth Initiatives in creating more effective local youth services that address the pressing needs of youth.

OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

Salaries of District Attorneys. Pursuant to County Law §700, Chapter 986, Laws of 1984, \$10,000 per year is apportioned to all qualified upstate counties and to New York City for each of the counties therein for the salaries of district attorneys elected, re-elected, or appointed after April 1, 1970. Beginning in September 1985 and each year thereafter, an eligible county shall receive the difference between the base salary of the county district attorney on January 1 of the current year and December 31 of the prior year.

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 1

CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	ditures		Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>
Department of Correctional Services	4,167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	57.6%	.0	.0
Crime Victims Board	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	8.3%	6,979.8	6,093.3
Division of Criminal Justice Services	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,579.4	41.9%	38,304.8	39,893.8
Division of Parole	398.0	548.5	649.9	.63.3%	825.9	2,375,9
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ²	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	19.7%	15,476.5	18,538.4
Division for Youth ³	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	-12.7%	58,311.8	26,669.6
TOTAL	75,358.9	78,474.2	87,395.9	16.0%	119,898.9	93,671.0

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

²On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend		Appropriations		
Program .	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Board of Prisoners ²						
Coram Nobis	.0	.0	14.8	NA	• •	-
Felons	4,167.3	5,196.1	5,835.2	40.0%		
Parole Violators	.0	.0	284.9	NA		
Community Contract Housing ³		215.1	434.2	NA		
TOTAL	4,167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	57.6%	.0	.0

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

²The New York City Department of Corrections (NYCDOC) operates a citywide jail system for the five boroughs of New York City. Therefore, local assistance funds from the Board of Prisoners' account are disbursed to NYCDOC rather than the individual facilities within each of the boroughs. NYCDOC is reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

³This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

ß

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ²	5,647.2	7,719.3	5,960.5	5.5%	6,290.0	5,206.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program						
Comprehensive	458.4	352.0	580.8	26.7%	498.6	700.3
Elderly	110.8	109.5	191.2	72.5%	191.2	143.6
Sexual Assault	<i>.</i> 0	.0	.0	NA	.0	43.3
TOTAL	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	8.3%	6,979.8	6,093.3

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

2FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 include expenditures in Nassau and Suffolk Counties.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

o

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	•	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84		FY 86-7
Asset/Forfeiture Initiative ²			55.6	NA	67 sta	
Crime Prevention and Protection ³		175.0	.0	NA	110.0	.0
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	1,760.3	1,893.0	2,024.5	15.0%	2,090.9	2,133.0
Indigent Parølee Prog.	722.1	834.8	956.0	32.4%	956.0	1,028.0
Justice Assistance Act ⁴	` 	-		NA	1,013.1	1,013.6
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	983.7	978.0	1,016.6	3.3%	473.8	975.2
Major Offense Police Program	5,615.6	5,952.6	6,369.2	13,4%	6,624.0	6,756.5
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program ⁵			.4	NA		-

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

²This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1984-85 funds were awarded to the Special Narcotics Prosecutor. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

³No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

 4 FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

⁵In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 4 (continued)

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	. <u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	<u>FY 85-6</u> <u>FY 86</u>	-7
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	35.5%	4,739.0 4,818.	1
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program6			1,395.0	NA	1,400.0 1,440	.0
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁷	12,607.7	13,133.0	15,427.3	22.4%	16,153.0 16,789	.5
Transit Crime Interdiction Program ⁸	ana ani	•	•	NA	1,340.6 1,486	.0
Transit Crime Strike Force ⁹	. -	3,500.0	3,745.0	NA	3,404.4 3,353	.9
Vera Institute10			aga ant	NA	100	.0
TOTAL	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,579.4	41.9%	38,304.8 39,893	.8

⁶This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

⁷This program was implemented in FY 1983-84; program funds are designated for all program components in the County except the District Attorney. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

⁸This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

⁹This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

¹⁰This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

G-5

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

1

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program.	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Parole Resource Centers	398.0	511.4	477.0	19.8%	516.8	654.8
Parole Transitional Facilities ²	• • • •	37.1	172.9	NA	309.1	496.1
Employment Services Project ³			~~~	NA		700.0
STOP Program ³	- -			NA		525.0
TOTAL	398.0	548.5	649.9	63.3%	825.9	2,375.9

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

²This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

³This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropriations	
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	<u>FY 86-7</u>	
Classification Alternatives ²				NA	444.9	.0	
Demonstration Projects	559.4	740.8	632.5	13.1%	1,684.6	1,664.3	
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	961.3	1,066.5	1,158.5	20.5%	1,652.5	3,399.3	
Regular State Aid	8,926.1	10,086.4	10,717.4	20.1%	11,694.5	13,574.8	
TOTAL	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	19.7%	15,476.5	18,638.4	

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

²This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expen	ditures		Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Detention						
Secure	7,569.4	5,196.0	9,641.7	27.4%	15,526.6	9,723.5
Non-Secure	946.0	1,343.0	835.2	-11.7%	2,152.6	2,213.0
Runaway & Homeless Youth Services	405.8	568.2	522.9	28.9%	479.2	691.4
Special Delinquency Prevention Program ²	4,630.0	.0	.0	-100.0%	.0	,0
Voluntary Agency Care ³	4,518.6	4,387.9	3,728.1	-17.5%	12,508.6	.0
Youth Development & Delinquency Prevention	10,984.9	10,889.1	10,628.5	-3.2%	27,644.9	14,041.7
TOTAL	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	-12.7%	58,311.8	26,669.6

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

²Borough FY 1982-83 expenditures are not available; these expenditures are reported as part of the FY 1982-83 expenditures for New York City overall.

³The absence of a FY 1986-87 appropriation reflects the determination that the State Department of Social Services will temporarily assume responsibility for payment of New York City claims pending technical system changes to the Welfare Management System.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

G-8

APPENDIX H STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

1

2

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 1

STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

an an air an fair fhan an an an an ann an ann an ann an ann an a		Expen	ditures		Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6 FY 86-7	
Department of Correctional Services	.0	.0	.0	NA	23,827.8 32,737.0	
Crime Victims Board	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	31.2%	2,210.0 3,702.5	
Division of Criminal Justice Sarvices	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	5.1%	9,140.5 10,394.4	
Division of Probation & Correctional Alternatives ²	. 0.	.0	.0	NA	962.5 1,100.0	
Division for Youth ³	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	2.4%	12,329.2 10,178.9	
TOTAL	13,638.2	14,978.0	14,344.0	5.2%	48,470.0 58,112.7	

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

²On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the budget for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	FY 84-5	% Chance 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Board of Prisoners ²				•		
Coram Nobis	.0	.0	.0	NA	42.0	51.5
Felons	.0	.0	.0	NA	18,559.6	22,770.1
Parole Violators	.0	.0	.0	NA	2,393.4	2,936.4
State Readies ³			50	NA	2,520.0	3,528.0
Community Contract Housing ⁴		.0	.0	NA		400.0
Prisoner Transfer ²	.0	.0	.0	NA	312.8	3,051.0
TOTAL	.0	.0	.0	NA	23,827.8	32,737.0

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

²Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

³This program was implemented in FY 1985-86. Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the lump-sum 'Statewide' appropriation for this program.

⁴This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-3	FY 83-4	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ²	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	31.2%	2,210.0	2,803.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program						
Comprehensive ³	.0	.0	.0	NA	.0	899.5
TOTAL_	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	31.2%	2,210.0	3,702.5

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

²FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs and Nassau and Suffolk Counties. FY 1985-86 and FY 1986-87 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs only.

 3 At the time of publication not all FY 1986-87 contracts had been awarded.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

H-3

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 4

APPENDIX H

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	itures		Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Indigent Parolee Prog. ²	** 4	- -		NA	638.0	597.8
Justice Assistance Act ³			- 	NA	1,705.0	1,611.6
Juvenile Justice & Delinquency Prevention	1,309.1	1,057.0	975.4	-25.5%	956.4	1,088.9
Major Offense Police Program	500.0	530.0	567.1	13.4%	.0	.0
Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act ⁴		.0	.0	NA	.0	2,500.0
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	22.1%	572.0	630.0
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	62.1%	2,545.0	2,679.3

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

²Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'NYC' and 'Statewide' (non-NYC agencies) lump-sum appropriations for this program.

 3 FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds.

⁴This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication the FY 1986-87 contracts had not yet been awarded.

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 4 (continued)

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures				Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	FY. 84-5	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program5	635.5	535.5	.0	-100.0%	500.0	500.0
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ⁶			.0	NA	.0	20.0
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁷	1,012.9	1,182.0	1,240.1	22.4%	2,224.2	766.8
TOTAL	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	5.1%	9,140.5	10,394.4

⁵In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program.

⁶This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

⁷This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

H-5

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services Statewide.

6

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	<u>FY 82-3</u>	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82'vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Classification Alternatives ²				NA	248.0	.0
Demonstration Projects	.0	.0	.0	NA	455.0	100.0
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	.0	.0	.0	NA	259.4	.0
PINS Program ³				NA		1,000.0
TOTAL	.0	.0	.0	NA	962.5	1,100.0

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

²This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

³This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-3	<u>FY 83-4</u>	<u>FY 84-5</u>	% Change 82 vs 84	FY 85-6	FY 86-7
Community Care Initiative ²				NA		219.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	37.3	168.3	35.0	-6.2%	35.0	131.9
Special Legislative Contracts ³	.0	.0	.0	NA	202.0	274.5
Voluntary Agency Care ⁴	7,517.6	8,613.0	7,701.3	2.4%	12,092.2	9,553.5
TOTAL	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	2.4%	12,329.2	10,178.9

¹This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

²This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

³Programs for which addresses are unavailable.

⁴Payment to State Department of Social Services for State share of JD/PINS who are ADC/FC eligible.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

H-8

REFERENCES

REFERENCES

Name of Street, or Stre

Selection Science

1

Nelson A. Rockefeller Institute of Government. <u>1983-84 New York State</u> <u>Statistical Yearbook</u> . Albany, N.Y.: State University of New York at Albany, 1984.
New York Commission of Correction. Office of Program and Policy Analysis.
New York State Crime Victims Board. Administrative Services.
New York State Department of Commerce. New York State Data Center.
New York State Department of Correctional Services. Office of Program Planning, Research and Evaluation and the Public Information Office.
New York State Department of Labor. <u>Resident Employment Status and the Civilian</u> Labor Force: January 1984 - October 1986.
New York State Department of Motor Vehicles. Research and Development.
New York State Department of Social Services. Public Information Office.
New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. Office of Policy Analysis, Research and Statistical Services and the Office of Administrative Services.
. New York State Uniform Crime Reporting Handbook (1977).
New York State Division of Parole. Evaluation and Planning and the Office of Administrative Services.
New York State Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. Research and Evaluation and the Finance Office.
New York State Division for Youth. Administration and Financial Management.
New York State Education Department. Information Center on Education.
New York State Legislature. <u>Penal Law</u> . Flushing, N.Y.: Looseleaf Law Publications, Inc., 1984.
U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. <u>United States Census of Population: 1980</u> . Vol.1, <u>Characteristics of the Population</u> , PC '80-1-B34.

R-1

ORDER FORM

Other volumes of the <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> publication that are available are listed below. When ordering regional volumes please refer to the New York State Regional Map on the back of this page. The map identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions. Place a check mark next to the volume(s) you would like.

Volume	I	New York State
 Volume	II	Binghamton Region
 Volume		Buffalo Region
Volume		Capital Region
 Volume		Elmira Region
 Volume	VI	Lower Hudson Region and Long Island Region
 Volume	VII	Mid-Hudson Region
 Volume	VIII	Mohawk Valley Region
 Volume	IX	New York City Region
 Volume	X	Nothern Region
 Volume	XI	Rochester Region
 Volume		Syracuse Region
 Volume		Major Metropolitan Areas (Erie, Monroe, Nassau,
 		Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester Counties)

Please fill in your name and address below and mail this form to:

Bureau of Statistical Services NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services Executive Park Tower, 8th Floor Stuyvesant Plaza Albany, New York 12203

LA REAL

10000 BERNIN

See Fighter

and the second

an an Arthon

ALC: NOT THE REAL PROPERTY.

NAME	· .	
TITLE		
AGENCY		
ADDRESS		
		·
CITY	STATE	ZIP

