If you have issues viewing or accessing this file contact us at NCJRS.gov.

106187



300

## 1985 Court Commitments to the Massachusetts Department of Correction

#### 106187

## U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

1

0000

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been

Massachusetts	Department	of
Corrections		

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

### Prepared by:

### Dallas H. Miller Research Assistant

# Massachusetts Department of Correction

Michael V. Fair Commissioner

July, 1986

NCJRS

JUL 24 1987

### ACQUISITIONS

Publication#: 14516-66-250-8-4-86 C.R. Approved by Daniel Carter, State Purchasing Agent

#### Abstract

This report is a statistical description of the 2,409 individuals committed by the courts to a term of incarceration in the Massachusetts Department of Correction during the year 1985. The tables in this report contain information on the nature of present offense, personal background characteristics and criminal history of these individuals. Some highlights of the statistics presented in this report are:

- There was an 9 percent increase in the number of commitments during the year, from 2,202 in 1984 to 2,409 in 1985. This is a similar increase to that which occurred in 1984 (8 percent) and continues a period of growth in court commitments. The 2,409 commitments in 1985 represents the highest level of commitments in DOC history.
  - There were 993 commitments to Cedar Junction (20 percent higher than 1984), 617 commitments to Concord (a slight drop from 1984) and 799 commitments to Framingham (9 percent higher than 1984).
- Males are committed to Cedar Junction or Concord while females are committed to Framingham. Overall, there was a 10 percent increase in male commitments and a 9 percent increase in female commitments from 1984 to 1985.
- The median minimum sentence for Cedar Junction commitments was five years; the median maximum sentence for Concord commitments was ten years; and the median maximum sentence for Framingham commitments was less than 1 year. Sentence lengths for new court commitments were similar in 1984 and 1985 for Concord and Framingham commitments, but the median for Cedar Junction commitments declined from six to five years.
- Violent offenses (person and sex) accounted for 62 percent of all male commitments and 11 percent of all female commitments. Non-violent offenses (property, drug and "other") represented 89 percent of all female commitments and 38 percent of all male commitments. Armed robbery was the single most common offense. The largest increases in commitments from 1984 to 1985 were in categories of drug offenses (98 more in 1985 than 1984) and sex offenses (84 more in 1985 than 1984).
- The median age at commitment was 26.8 years, slightly higher than in 1984 (26.3 years).
- Sixty-one percent of the commitment population were whites; 65 percent were single; 11 percent had been in the military; 48 percent came from the Boston SMSA; most had limited work experience concentrated in the areas of manual labor and services; the median educational level was eleventh grade; and 23 percent had a self-reported history of drug use.
- Fifty-six percent were serving their first adult incarceration. The median age at first court appearance was seventeen.

## Table of Contents

1 . A

<u>Title</u> Page	e Number
Abstract	i ·
Contents	1
Introduction	4
Number of Commitments	•5
Figure 1 Number of Commitments to DOC, 1974 to 1985	6
Figure 2 Number of Commitments by Institution, 1974 to 1985	6
A Description of 1985 Commitments	8
Present Offense Characteristics	
Month In Which Committed	16
Court From Which Committed	17
Jail Credits Earned	18
Minimum Sentence	19
Maximum Sentence	20
Sentence Type	21
Present Offense: General Categories	22
Present Offense: Person Offenses	23
Present Offense: Sex Offenses	24
Present Offense: Property Offenses	25
Present Offense: Drug Offenses	26
Present Offense: Other Offenses	27
Time Until Original Parole Eligibility Date	28
Age at Incarceration	29

## Table of Contents

Title	Page Number
Personal Background Characteristics	
Sex	31
Race	32
Ethnic Indicator	33
Citizenship Indicator	34
Marital Status	35
Military Discharge	36
Prior Address: Selected Cities and Towns	37
Prior Address: County	38
Prior Address: SMSA	39
Occupation	40
Time at Most Skilled Position	41
Time at Job of Longest Duration	42
Last Grade Completed	43
Known Drug Use	44
Criminal History Variables	an 1997 - State State
Total Number of Court Appearances	46
Number of Charges for Person Offenses	47
Number of Charges for Property Offenses	48
Number of Charges for Sex Offenses	49 .
Number of Charges for Drug Offenses	50
Number of Charges for Alcohol Offenses	51
Number of Charges for Escape Offenses	52

## Table of Contents

Title	Page Number
Criminal History Variables	
Juvenile Commitment Indicator	53
Number of Prior House of Correction Incarcerations	54
Number of Prior State or Federal Incarcerations	55
Number of Prior Adult Incarcerations	56
Number of Juvenile Paroles	57
Number of Juvenile Parole Violations	58
Number of Adult Paroles	59
Number of Adult Parole Violations	60
Number of Juvenile and Adult Paroles	61
Number of Juvenile and Adult Parole Violations	62
Age at First Court Appearance	63
Age at First Alcohol Court Appearance	64
Age at First Drug Related Court Appearance	65

### Introduction

This report is a statistical description of individuals committed by the courts to the Massachusetts Department of Correction during 1985. The tables in this report contain information on the nature of the present offense, personal background characteristics and criminal history of admissions from the courts. The information on which this report is based was prepared by the Operations Research Unit of the Research Division.

This report includes all new court commitments as well as those who began serving a new sentence during the year (forthwith and from and after sentences). If an individual was committed to the Department more than once during 1985 that individual is counted as multiple court commitments. Some categories of offenders are not included in this report, for example, those who began serving "B" and "C" sentences during 1985 (sentences received for crimes committed on parole).

The following information is helpful in reading the tables in this report. Each table is divided according to committing institution. Since each committing institution receives a very different type of offender, it is important to consider each column in the table as well as the total column. The top number in each cell represents the number of individuals who fall into the corresponding category in the table. The bottom number in each cell appears in parentheses and represents the percentage of the number of individuals in a particular category to the total number of commitments from that institution (column percents).

Cases where information is unknown are also included in the tables and are included in the percentages. It is important to note the size of the "unknown" category. It is particularly large in some of the personal background characteristics variables (e.g. occupation and drug use).


### Number of Commitments

There were 2,409 commitments during 1985, a 9 percent increase from the previous year. Figure 1 (page 6) shows the number of commitments for the period 1974 to 1985. The number of commitments during 1985 was higher than at any time in the history of the DOC. The increase in commitments from 1984 to 1985 was only one percent larger than that of the previous year, but this is the seventh straight year in which commitments increased.

Individuals are committed to one of three institutions: Cedar Junction, Concord or Framingham (MCI-Walpole was renamed MCI-Cedar Junction in 1985). Figure 2 (page 6) shows the number of commitments to each committing institution for the period 1974 to 1985. The number of commitments during 1985 was higher than any of the preceeding eleven years for Cedar Junction and Framingham but showed a continuing decrease from the peak number of Concord commitments in 1982.

The increase in number of commitments was most dramatic for Cedar Junction, increasing from 827 in 1984 to 993 in 1985, an increase of 166 commitments or 20 percent. Framingham increased from 732 commitments in 1984 to 799 in 1985, an increase of 67 commitments or 9 percent. In contrast, commitments to Concord decreased by 26 or 4 percent. Overall, male commitments increased by 10 percent and female commitments increased by 9 percent.

#### Figure 1 Number of Commitments to DOC, 1975 to 1985



6

;

Almost all of the 9 percent increase in Framingham commitments came in the areas of property, drug and "other" offenses. Commitments to Framingham for property offenses increased from 270 in 1984 to 303 in 1985 (by 33 or 12 percent); commitments for drug offenses increased from 82 in 1984 to 96 in 1985 (by 14 or 17 percent); and commitments in the "other" category rose from 292 in 1984 to 313 in 1985 (by 21 or 7 percent). The increase in property offenses showed up primarily in larceny commitments (a gain of 26 or 25 percent). The increase in female drug commitments showed up in class A offenses (a gain of 7 or 23 percent). The increase in female "other" commitments was distributed among escapes (7 or 350 percent), disturbing the peace (9 or 12 percent) and motor vehicle offenses (8 or 11 percent). The increase in Framingham commitments occurred only among those women committed to county sentences. There were 653 women with county sentences (a maximum of two and a half years or less, including fines) committed in 1984 and 730 in 1985, an increase of 77. There were 69 women committed with state sentences in 1985 compared to 79 in 1984, a decrease of 10.

For male commitments, those committed to Cedar "inction or Concord, there was a 10 percent increase from 1984 to 1985. This increase occurred only for Cedar Junction commitments (by 166 or 20 percent) as Concord commitments actually declined by 26 or 4 percent. This increase occurred in three offense categories: sex, property and drug offenses. Commitments for sex offenses increased from 221 in 1984 to 252 in 1985 (by 31 or 14 percent); commitments for property offenses increased from 267 in 1984 to 318 in 1985 (by 51 or 19 percent); and commitments for drug offenses rose from 179 in 1984 to 263 in 1985 (by 84 or 47 percent). Commitments for person offenses declined by 16 (2 percent) and for "other" offenses declined by 9 (21 percent).

Thus, in 1985, commitments to the DOC continued to increase at virtually the same rate as that of the previous year. Increases were found for Cedar

-7

Junction and Framingham commitments as Concord commitments declined slightly. The increase in female commitments was primarily among women serving county sentences and women committed for property, drug and "other" offenses. Among males, there was an increase in commitments for sex, property and drug offenses and a large increase in Cedar Junction commitments.

### A Description of 1985 Court Commitments

This section presents a general description of the population of individuals committed to the DOC during 1985. This discussion includes a description of the present offense, personal background characteristics and criminal history of the offender. Where appropriate, comparisons among the three committing institutions are done.

### Nature of Present Offense

In discussing the present offense of the commitment group, only the most serious offense, the offense for which the person received the longest sentence, is considered.

<u>Court of Commitment.</u> Sixty-nine percent of the commitments to the Department of Correction were from Superior Courts. Four courts, Suffolk Superior, Middlesex Superior, Worcester Superior and Hampden Superior, accounted for 49 percent of the commitments to the Department. All Cedar Junction commitments came from Superior Courts and 92 percent of all Concord commitments came from Superior Courts. Only 10 percent of the commitments to Framingham were from Superior Courts; most commitments to Framingham were from municipal and district courts (municipal courts are lower courts of Suffolk

County and district courts are lower courts of all other counties with the exception of Brookline Municipal Court in Norfolk County).

Jail Credits. Jail credits measure the amount of the time that the individual spent incarcerated while awaiting trial. A large number of jail credits is usually indicative of a serious crime or a low income offender. Seventy-eight percent of Cedar Junction commitments had one or more days of jail credits. This contrasts with 63 percent of Concord commitments and 31 percent of Framingham commitments. The median number of days of jail credits for all commitments was eight.

<u>Minimum Sentence.</u> Only those individuals who received a state prison sentence (all Cedar Junction commitments and some Framingham commitments) received a minimum sentence. Generally minimum prison sentences must be for two and one-half years or more but in some cases can be shorter (some drug offenses carry short prison sentences, for example). The median minimum sentence for Cedar Junction commitments was five years. There were 23 commitments to Framingham who received prison sentences. The median minimum sentence for those women was four years. Sixty-one individuals received life sentences during 1985, including one woman.

<u>Maximum Sentence.</u> All individuals committed to the Department have a maximum sentence. For Concord commitments this is their only sentence. Generally maximum sentences to Concord are two and one-half years or longer but shorter sentences can be given for some offenses such as larceny, escape and drug offenses. The median maximum sentence for Concord commitments was ten years. A large proportion of Framingham commitments received county sentences, those with a maximum length of less than two and one-half years. Of the 799 commitments to Framingham, 23 (3 percent) received prison (Cedar Junction) sentences, 46 (6 percent) received reformatory (Concord) sentences and 730 (91

percent) received county sentences, including 71 committed for non-payment of a fine.

<u>Type of Sentence.</u> Most individuals committed to the Department received simple sentences (35 percent) or concurrent sentences (35 percent). Less frequently served are aggregate, forthwith or from-and-after sentences. Forthwith sentences are commonly found among Cedar Junction commitments (18 percent); split sentences are commonly found among Concord (19 percent) and Framingham (22 percent) commitments; and 9 percent of the Framingham commitments were in lieu of payment of a fine.

Offense. Type of offense varies by committing institution. Sixty-five percent of the commitments to Cedar Junction and 57 percent of the commitments to Concord were for violent offenses while 38 percent of commitments to Framingham were for property offenses and 39 percent were for offenses in the "other" category.

Offenses against the person accounted for 34 percent of all commitments to the Department but only 11 percent of the commitments to Framingham. Armed robbery accounted for 12 percent of all commitments. There were 133 commitments for homicides in 1985 including 26 for first degree murder, 29 for second degree murder and 78 for manslaughter.

Sex offenses accounted for 10 percent of all commitments to the DOC. There were 220 commitments for rape during 1985 and 29 commitments for assault with intent to rape. Of these offenses, 117 involved adults and 131 involved minors. Additionally there was 1 commitment for unnatural acts and 2 for other sex offenses during the year.

Property offenses accounted for 26 percent of all commitments to the Department, but 38 percent of the commitments to Framingham. Burglary was the most common property offense for Cedar Junction and Concord commitments;

larceny was the most common property offense for Framingham commitments.

Drug offenses accounted for 15 percent of all commitments during 1985. The most common drug offenses were violation of the controlled substance act with a Class B substance (N=136) or Class A substance (N=121). The Class B substance category includes amphetamines, cocaine, barbiturates, and other substances. The Class A substance category includes heroin.

Offenses in the "other" category accounted for 2 percent of Cedar Junction commitments, 2 percent of Concord commitments and 39 percent of Framingham commitments. The most common offenses in this category were prostitution (N=84), disturbing the peace (N=85), operating under the influence (N=81) and weapons offenses (N=27). The 9 offenses labelled "other" include accessory after the fact and attempt to commit a crime.

<u>Time Until Parole Eligibility.</u> An indication of how much time offenders will actually serve is the time until their parole eligibility date. About one-half of all offenders will be released at their original parole eligibility date. For Cedar Junction commitments the median time to serve was from 2 to 3 years; for Concord commitments the median time to serve was from 10 to 12 months; and for Framingham commitments the median time to serve was less than three months.

Age At Incarceration. The median age at incarceration was 27 years. The age of new commitments ranged from 15 years to 81 years. The median age of Cedar Junction commitments was 28 years; the median age of Concord commitments was 25 years; and the median age of Framingham commitments was 27 years.

### Personal Background Characteristics

In discussing the personal background characteristics of the commitment population, all information is reported according to the status of the offender at the time of commitment. Most of this information is self-reported by the offender and is not verified in any way.

<u>Sex.</u> All Cedar Junction and Concord commitments are males, all Framingham commitments are females. During 1985, 33 percent of the commitments were females and 67 percent were males.

Race and Ethnicity. Sixty-one percent of the 1985 commitment group were white, 27 percent were black and 11 percent were identified as hispanic. There were also 9 Native Americans and 4 Asians committed to the DOC. Thirteen percent of the commitment group were Hispanics. This includes white hispanics, black hispanics and hispanics whose race was otherwise not identified.

<u>Citizenship.</u> Ninety-seven percent of the commitments were U.S. citizens and 3 percent were citizens of other countries. Sixty-five percent of the commitments were born in Massachusetts, 21 percent were born in other states, 2 percent were born in other countries and 9 percent were born in U.S. territories.

<u>Marital Status.</u> Most of the commitment group were single (65 percent). Eighteen percent were married at the time of their commitment, 10 percent divorced, 6 percent separated and 1 percent widowed.

<u>Military History.</u> Ten percent of the commitment group had a history of military service. Seventy-six percent of the known veterans were honorably discharged from military service.

<u>Prior Address.</u> Almost all commitments were living in Massachusetts prior to incarceration. Four counties (Suffolk, Worcester, Middlesex and Hampden) accounted for 70 percent of all commitments.

Occupation. Most of the individuals committed to the Department had previous work experience. This work experience was concentrated in the areas of manual labor (49 percent) and services (27 percent). The median length of tenure in a position was 1 to 2 years.

<u>Education.</u> The median educational level for this population was eleventh grade. Fifty percent of the commitment group had completed at least high school, including 12 percent with some college education.

<u>Drug Use.</u> Twenty-three percent of the commitments reported a history of prior drug use; <sup>8</sup> percent reported a history of heroin use. Drug use history was generally based on offender self-reports or criminal history.

### Criminal History

Information about the criminal history of individuals committed to the DOC is taken from reports prepared by the Board of Probation and records submitted by District Courts. These reports identify the number of court appearances and the offenses that the individual was charged with as well as disposition information. In this section the individual's history of court appearances, charges, incarcerations and paroles will be discussed.

Prior Court Appearances. The median number of prior court appearances was ten. Seventy percent had court appearances for person offenses, 77 percent for property offenses, 16 percent for sex offenses, 49 percent for narcotics offenses, 23 percent for alcohol offenses and 9 percent for escape offenses.

<u>Prior Incarcerations.</u> Fifteen percent had a history of commitment to a juvenile authority; 31 percent had a history of incarceration in a county facility; and 22 percent had a history of incarceration in a state or federal facility. Fifty-six percent were serving their first incarceration as an adult.

**Parole History.** The number of paroles and parole violations that an individual experienced prior to this commitment are shown on pages 57 to 62. About 13 percent of the commitment group experienced paroles in the past. Fifty-one percent of those with a history of paroles also had one or more parole violations.

<u>Age at Entry Into Criminal Justice Systems.</u> Age of the individual at his/her first court appearance and his/her first court appearance for a drug related offense or for an alcohol related offense are shown on pages 63 to 65. The median age at the time of first court appearance was 17 years of age.

## PRESENT OFFENSE CHARACTERISTICS

L

ł

ъ

MONTH OF COMMITMENT	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
January	88	58	75	221
	(9)	(9)	(9)	(9)
February	82	· 43	54	179
	(8)	( 7)	(7)	(7)
March	77	50	40	167
	(8)	(8)	(5)	(7)
April	93	40	76	209
	(9)	( 6)	(10)	(9)
May	99	81	68	248
	(10)	(13)	(9)	(10)
June	118	69	69	256
	(12)	(11)	(9)	(11)
July	56	37	55	148
	(6)	(6)	(7)	(6)
August	44	47	73	164
	( 4)	(8)	(9)	(7)
September	79	33	77	189
	(8)	(5)	(10)	(8)
October	103	52	88	243
	(10)	(8)	(11)	(10)
November	63	46	54	163
	(6)	(7)	(7)	(7)
December	91	61	70	222
	(9)	(10)	(9)	( 9)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

COURT FROM WHICH COMMITTED	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Barnstable	15	7	2	24
	(2)	(1)	( 0)	(1)
Berkshire	15	3	3	21
	(2)	(0)	(0)	.(1)
Bristol	59 (6)	50 (8)	3 ( 0 )	112 (5)
Essex	84	56	6	146
	(8)	(9)	( 1)	(6)
Franklin	6 (1)	4 (1)	1 (0)	11
Hampden	123	19	21	163
	(12)	(3)	(3)	(7)
Hampshire	15	3 ( 0 )	( 0,).	18 (1)
Middlesex	179	90	16	285 (
	(18)	(15)	(2)	(12)
Nantucket	1 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	1 ( 0 )
Norfolk	48 (5)	14 (2)	0	62 (3)
Plymouth	35	18	<b>4</b>	57
	(4)	(3)	( 1)	(2)
Suffolk	328	157	15	500
	(33)	(25)	(2)	(21)
Worcester	85	149	9	243
	(9)	(24)	(1)	(10)
Municipal Courts	( 0)	10 (2)	166 (21)	176 (7)
District Courts	0	37	553	590
	( 0)	(6)	(69)	(24)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
JAIL CREDITS				
None	216	229	549	994
	(22)	(37)	(69)	(41)
1 to 10	85	72	112	269
	(9)	(12)	(14)	(11)
11 to 50	105.	91	90	286
	(11)	(15)	(11)	(12)
, 51 to 100	104	70	24	198
	(10)	(11)	(3)	(8)
101 to 150	111	62	13	186
	( 11)	(10)	(2)	(8)
151 to 200	103 (10)	38 (6)	4 ( 1 )	145 (6)
Over 200	269 (27)	55 (9)	7(1)	331 (14)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

MINIMUM SENTENCE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
l Year	1 ( 0 )	0 ( 0 )	0 (0)	1 (0)
2 Years	42 ( 4)	0 (0)	0 ( 0 )	42
3 Years	229 (23)	0 ( 0)	11 ( 1)	240 (10)
4 Years	118 (12)	0 ( 0)	2 ( 0)	120 (5)
5 Years	132 (13)	( 0)	2 ( 0)	134 (6)
6 Years	112 (11)	0 ( 0 )	2 ( 0)	114
7 Years	52 (5)	0 ( 0 )	0 ( 0)	52 (2)
8 Years	30 (3)	0 · ( 0)	1 ( 0 )	31 ( 1,)
9 Years	58 (6)	0 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	58 (2)
10 Years	36 (4)	0 ( 0)	2 ( 0)	38
ll to 12 Years	37 (4)	0	1 ( 0 )	38 (2)
13 to 15 Years	37 (4)	0 ( 0)	0 (0)	37 (2)
l6 to 19 Years	41 ( 4)	0 ( 0)	1 ( 0)	42 (2)
20 to 24 Years	2 ( 0 )	0	0 { 0 }	2 { 0 }
25 Years or More	6 (1)	0 ( 0 )	0 ( 0)	6 (0)
Life	60 ( 6)	0 ( 0)	1 (0)	61 (3)
Indeterminate	0 ( 0)	617 (100)	776 (97)	1393 (58)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

MAXIMUM SENTENCE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Fine	0 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	71 (9)	71 (3)
Less Than 1 Year	0 ( 0 )	3 (0)	479 (60)	482 (20)
l Year	0 ( 0 )	5 (1)	140 (18)	145 (6)
2 Years	1 (0)	52 (8)	52 (7)	105
3 Years	14 (1)	2 ( 0)	1 (0)	1.7
4 Years	11 (1)	2 ( 0)	1 ( 0 )	14 { 1}
5 Years	241 (24)	156 (25)	18 (2)	415 (17)
6 Years	42 ( 4)	13 (2)	3.(0)	58 (2)
7 Years	111 (* 11)	3 (0)	3 (0)	117 (5).
8 Years	25 (3)	7 (1)	1 ( 0)	33 (1)
9 Years	31 (3)	0 ( 0)	0 (0)	31
10 Years	224 (23)	229 (37)	17 (2)	470 (20)
ll to l2 Years	51 (5)	24	0 ( 0)	75 (3)
13 to 15 Years	72 (7)	34 (6)	7 { 1}	113 (5)
16 to 19 Years	3 (0)	7 ( 1)	1 ( 0)	11 (0)
20 to 24 Years	89 (9)	76 (12)	4 ( 1 )	169 (7)
25 Years or More	18 (2)	4 (1)	0 ( 0.)	22 ( 1)
Life	60 ( 6)	0 ( 0)	1 ( 0 )	61 (3)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

			TOTAL
One Sentence Only (356)	225	255	836
	(36)	(32)	(35)
Concurrent Sentence Only (37)	209	260	839
	(34)	(33)	(35)
Aggregate Sentence (20	24	31	75
(2)	( 4)	( 4)	(3)
179Forthwith Sentence(18)	0	3	182
	(0)	( 0)	(8)
26	40	7 ( 1)	73
From and After Sentence (3)	(6)		(3)
42	119	172	333
( 4)	(19)	(22)	(14)
0	0	71	71
Fine ( 0)	( 0)	(_9)	(3)
TOTAL 993	617	799	2409

PRESENT OFFENSE GENERAL CATEGORIES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Person	482	262	86	830
	(49)	(42)	(11)	(34)
Sex	158	93	1	252
	(16)	(15)	( 0)	(10)
Property	178	140	303	621
	(18)	(23)	(38)	(26)
Drug	153	110	96	359
	(15)	(18)	(12)	(15)
Other	22	12	313	347
	( 2)	(2)	(39)	(14)
τοται	993	617	799	2409

PRESENT OFFENSE - PERSON	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Not Applicable	511 (51)	355 (58)	713 (89)	1579 (66)
Murder l	26 (3)	0 (0)	0 ( 0)	26 (1)
Murder 2		0 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	29 (1)
Manslaughter	64 (6)	9 (1)	5 ( 1)	78 (3)
Accessory to Murder	4 ( 0)	1 ( 0)	1 ( 0)	6 (0)
Assault-Intent to Murder	31 (3)	10(2)	1 ( 0) `	42 (2)
Armed Robbery	154 (16)	127 (21)	12(2)	293 (12)
Unarmed Robbery	71. .(*,7).	63 (10)	9 (1)	143 (6)
Armed Assault	72 (7)	36 (6)	33 ( 4)	141 (6)
Unarmed Assault	9 (1)	7 (1)	21 (3)	37 (2)
Extortion	5 (1)	3 ( 0)	1 (0)	9 (0)
Kidnapping	7 (1) 5	3 ( 0 )	1 ( 0 )	11 (0)
Conspiracy	( 1)	1 ( 0) 2	2 ( 0)	8 ( 0) 7
Other Person	5 (1)	( 0)	0 ( 0)	7 (0)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

PRESENT OFFENSE - SEX	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Not Applicable	835 (84)	524 (85)	798 (100)	2157 (90)
Rape	35 (4)	17 (3)	0 ( 0)	52 (2)
Aggravated Rape	45 (5)	9 (1)	0 ( 0 )	54 (2)
Assault-Rape	9 (1)	3 (0)	0 ( 0)	12 (0)
Rape of Minor	63 (6)	51 (8)	0 ( 0 )	114 (5)
Assault-Rape Minor	6 (1)	11 (2)	0 (0)	17
Unnatural Acts	( 0)	0 ( 0 )	1 (0)	1 (0)
Other Sex Offensos	0 ( 0 )	2 ( 0)	0 (0)	2 ( 0 )
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409


PRESENT OFFENSE - PROPERTY	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
	815	477	496	1788
Not Applicable	(82)	(77)	( 62)	(74)
Arson	12 ( 1)	9 (1)	( 0)	21
Burglary-Armed	9 (1)	7 (1)	1 (0)	17(1)
Burglary	106 (11)	84 (14)	19 (2)	209 (9)
Burglary Tools	2 ( 0 )	3(0)	3 (0)`	8 ( 0 )
Stealing	0 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	55 (7)	55
Larceny-Person	1 (• 0)	6 (1)	17 (2)	24 ( 1)
Larceny	16 (2)	12 { 2 }	132 (17)	160 (7)
Vehicle Theft	9 (1)	8 ( 1 )	13 (2)	30 ( 1 )
Forgery-Uttering	11 ( 1)	1 ( 0)	20 (3)	32 ( 1)
Common Thief	1 ( 0)	0 ( 0 )	0 (0)	1 ( 0 )
Fraud	0 ( 0)	0(0)	5(1)	5 ( 0)
Stolen Goods	10(1)	8 (1)	27 (3)	45 (2)
Property Injuries	1 ( 0 )	2 ( 0)	11 ( 1)	14 (1)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

PRESENT OFFENSE - DEUG	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Not Applicable	840 (85)	507 (82)	703 (88)	2050 (85)
Possession of Narcotics	0 (0)	0 ( 0)	2 ( 0)	2 ( 0)
Presence of Narcotics	1 (0)	0 ( 0 )	1 ( 0)	2 ( 0 )
Possession of Syringe	0 (0)	(0)	17	17
Intent to Sell	0 (0)	1 ( 0)	0 ( 0 )	1 { 0 }
Operating Under the Influence of Narcotics	0 ( 0 )	0 ( 0 )	2 ( 0)	2 ( 0 )
Controlled Substance	21 (2)	34 (6)	12 (2)	67 (3)
Controlled Substance-Class A	54 (5)	30 ( .5)	37 (5)	121 ( 5)
Controlled Substance-Class B	74 (7)	41 (7)	21 ( 3)	136 (6)
Controlled Substance-Class C	3 (0)	2 ( 0 )	0 (0)	5 (0)
Controlled Substance-Class D	0(0)	2 ( 0)	4 - ( 1)	6 ( 0)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

PRESENT OFFENSE - OTHER	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Not Applicable	971 (98)	605 (98)	486 (61)	2062 (86)
Escape	3 (0)	1 ( 0 )	9 (1)	13
Weapons	17(2)	5 (1)	5 (1)	27 (1)
Disturbing the Peace	0 ( 0)	1 (0)	84 (11)	85 (4)
Prostitution	0 (0)	0 (0)	84 (11)	84 (3)
Leaving the Scene	0 (0)	( 0)	3 ( 0)	3 ( 0)
Motor Vehicle Offense	0 (0)	0 (0)	18(2)	18
Contempt of Court	1 ( 0 )	0 (0)	4 (1)	5 ( 0)
Operating Under Influence	0 ( 0)	<b>3</b> ( 0)	78 (10)	81 (3)
Deriving Support from	0 ( 0)	1 ( 0)	(0)	1 ( 0)
Prostitute Trespass	0 (0)	0 ( 0)	21 (3)	21 ( 1)
Other	1 (0)	1 ( 0 )	7 ( 1)	9 (0)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

TIME TILL P.E. DATE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
No P.F. Date	49 (5)	11	322 (40)	382 (16)
Past P.E. Date	5(1)	12	13 (2)	30 (1)
1-3 Months	7 ( 1)	84 (14)	279 (35)	370 (15)
4-6 Months	9 (1)	127 (21)	93 (12)	229 (10)
7-9 Months	33 (3)	45 (7)	30 ( 4)	108 (4)
10-12 Months	83 (8)	160 (26)	22 (3)	265 (11)
13-18 Months	80 (8)	101 (16)	22 ( 3)	203 ( 8)'
19-24 Months	167 (17)	69 (11)	6 ( 1)	242 (10)
2-3 Years	144 (15)	7 (1)	3 ( 0)	154
3-5 Years	175 (18)	1 (0)	5 ( 1 )	182 (8)
5-10 Years	139 (14)	(0)	( 0)	141 (6)
10-15 Years	56 (6)	( 0)	2 ( 0)	58 (2)
Over 15 Years	19 (2) 26	0 (0) 0	0 ( 0) 0	19 (1) 26
Life	(3)	( 0)	( 0)	( 1)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

AGE AT INCARCERATION	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
	2	1	0	3
Į6	( 0)	(0)	( 0)	( 0)
17	6 (1)	11 (2)	5 (1)	22
18	16	34	24	74
	(2)	(6)	(3)	(3)
19	37	45	49	131
	( 4)	(7)	(6)	(5)
20	53	38	36	127
	(5)	( 6)	(5)	(5)
21	43	44	47	134
	( 4)	(7)	(6)	(6)
22	54	54	53	161
	(5)	(9)	(7)	(7)
23	48	44	49	141 (
	(5)	(7)	(6)	( 6)
24	60	33	52	145
	(6)	(5)	(7)	(6)
25	51 (5)	24 ( 4)	50 (6)	125
26 - 29	180	103	155	438
	(18)	(17)	(19)	(18)
30 - 39	316	139	222	677
	(32)	(23)	(_28)	(28)
40 or Older	127	46	57	230
	(13)	(7)	(7)	(10)
Unknown	0 ( 0)	1 (0)	0 ( 0)	1
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409



<u>SEX</u>	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Male	993 (100)	617 (100)	0 ( 0)	1610 (67)
Female	0 ( 0)	0 . ( 0)	799 (100)	799 (33)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

ł

Ļ

RACE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
White	579 (58)	370 (60)	525 (66)	1474 (61)
Black	281 (28)	148 (24)	231 (29)	660 (27)
Native American	3 (0)	2 ( 0)	4 (1)	9 (0)
Asian	3 ( 0 )	1 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	4 ( 0 )
Hispanic	127 (13)	95 (15)	39 (5)	261 (11)
Unknown .	0 (0)	1 (0)	0 ( 0 )	1 ( 0)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

ETHNIC INDICATOR	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Hispanic	165 (17)	98 (16)	43 (5)	306 (13)
Non-Hispanic	828 (83)	518 (84)	756 (95)	2102 (87)
Unknown	0 ( 0)	1 ( 0 )	0	1 ( 0)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

CITIZENSHIP INDICATOR	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
U.S. Citizen-Born in	606	406	558	1570
	(61)	(66)	(70)	(65)
Massachusetts	209	111	194	514
U.S. Citizen-Born in Other	(21)	(18)	(24)	(21)
State	106	73	26	205
U.S. Citizen-Born in U.S.	(11)	(12)	(3)	(9)
Territory	30	12	15	57
U.S. Citizen-Born in Other	(3)	(2)	(2)	(2)
Country	42	14	6	62
Non U.S. Citizen	( 4)	(2)	(1)	(3)
Unknown	0	1	0	1
	(0)	(0)	( 0)	(0)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

MARITAL STATUS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL.
	221 (22)	112 (18)	92 (12)	425 (18)
Married	596 (60)	421 (68)	538 (67)	1555 (65)
Single Divorced	121 (12)	47 (8)	82 (10)	250 ( i0)
Widowed	9 ( 1)	1 ( 0)	16 (2)	26 (1)
Common Law	( 0)	3 (0)	2 ( 0)	5 (0)
Separated	46 (5)	32 (5)	69 (9)	147 (6)
Unknown	0 (0)	1 (0)	( 0)	( 0)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

MILITARY STATUS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
No Service	806 (81)	561 (91)	777 (97)	2144 (89)
Honorable	142 (14)	34 (6)	3 (0)	179 (7)
Dishonorable	2 ( 0)	2 ( 0)	2 ( 0 )	6 (0)
Bad Conduct	18 (2)	6 (1)	0 ( 0)	24 (1)
Medical	8 (1)	( 0)	0 ( 0 )	8 (0)
Discharge Unknown	14 ( 1)	5(1)	0 (0)	19 (1)
Unknown	3(0)	9 (1)	17 { 2}	29 (1)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

PRIOR ADDRESS - SELECTED CITIES AND TOWN	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Boston	314	163	218	695
	(32)	(26)	(27)	(29)
Brockton	17	12(2)	29 (4)	58
Cambridge	27 (3)	13	9 (1)	49 (2)
Fall River	21	12	19	52
	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
Framingham	6	10	6	22
	(1)	(2)	(1)	( 1)
Holyoke	15	2	10	27
	(2)	(0)	( 1)	( 1)
Lawrence	18	16	11	45
	(2)	(3)	( 1)	(2)
Lowell	31	19	25	75
	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)
Lynn	17 (2)	15	19	51 (2)
New Bedford	23 (2)	14 (2)	14 ( 2 )	51 (2)
Quincy	8 ( 1)	6 (1)	12	26 ( 1)
Somerville	20	12	18	50
	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
Springfield	85	13	63	161
	(9)	(2)	(8)	(7)
Worcester	47	7.5	78	200
	(5)	(12)	(10)	(8)
Other Mass.	288	211	248	747
	(29)	(34)	(31)	(31)
Out of State	56	23	20	99
	(6)	(4)	( 3)	( 4)
Unknown	0 ( 0 )	1 ( 0)	( 0)	1 ( 0)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409
	37			

PRIOR ADDRESS - COUNTY	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Worcester	79 (8)	141 (23)	139 (17)	359 (15)
	8	5	3	16
Franklin S	(1)	( 1)) 	( 0)	( 1)
Middlesex	146 (15)	91 (15)	113 (14)	350 (15)
Suffolk	344 (35)	178 (·29)	228 (29)	750 (31)
Norfolk	37 (4)	15 (2)	26 (3)	78 (3)
Bristol	59 (6)	47 (8)	47 (6)	153 (6)
Plymouth ·	36 ( 4)	23 ( 4)	43 (5)	102
Essex	76 (8)	62 (10)	63 (8)	201 ( ( 8)
Hampshire	5 ( 1 )	2 (0)	4 (1)	( 0)
Hampden	123 (12)	20 (3)	89 (11)	232 (10)
Berkshire	12 ( 1)	2 ( 0)	14 (2)	28 ( 1)
Dukes	1 ( 0)	0 ( 0 )	0 ( 0)	1 ( 0 )
Barnstable	11 ( 1)	7(1)	8. (1)	26 ( 1)
Nantucket	0 ( 0 )	0 ( 0)	2(0)	2 ( 0)
Out of State	56 (6)	23 ( 4)	20 (3)	99 (4)
Unknown	0 ( 0)	1 ( 0 )	0 ( 0 )	1 ( 0)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

PRIOR ADDRESS - SMSA	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
FRIOR ADDRESS SHOR	521	283	353	1157
Boston	(52)	(46)	(44)	(48)
Brockton	24	16	32	72
	(2)	(3)	( 4)	(3)
Fall River	23	15	20	58
	(2)	(2)	(3)	(2)
Fitchburg-Leominster	10 ( 1)	15 (2)	21 ( 3)	46 (2)
Lawrence-Haverhill	29	27	29	85
	(3)	(4)	( 4)	(4)
Lowell	34	19	30	83
	(3)	(3)	( 4)	(3)
New Bedford	27 (3)	15 (2)	17 (2)	59 (2)
Pittsfield	9	1	8.	18
	(1)	( 0)	(1)	(1)
Providence-Pawtucket-Warw	8 Vick (1)	8 (1)	11 ( 1)	27 (1)
Springfield	125	18	93	236
	(13)	(3)	(12)	(10)
Worcester	54	97	95	246
	(5)	(16)	(12)	(10)
Other Mass	73	79	70	222
	(7)	(13)	(9)	( 9)
Out of State	56	23	20	99
	(6)	( 4)	(3)	(4)
Unknown	0 ( 0 )	1 (0)	0 ( 0)	1 ( 0 )
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

OCCUPATION	CEDAR ICT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Professional	10 ( 1)	3 ( 0 )	2 ( 0)	15 (1)
Semi-Professional	31	6	13	50
	(3)	(1)	(2)	(2)
Business	49	29	2	80
	(5)	(5)	( 0)	(3)
Sales, Clerical	74	40	27	141
	(7)	(6)	(3)	(6)
Manual	498	251	22	771
	(50)	(41)	(3)	(32)
Services	234 (24)	133 (22)	56 (7)	423 (18)
Agriculture	6	5	0	11
	(1)	(1)	( 0)	( 0)
Armed Forces	4	1	0	5'
	( 0)	( 0)	( 0)	(0)
Housekeeper	0	0	23	<b>23</b>
	( 0 )	(0)	(3)	(1)
Student	11	4	6	21
	(1)	( 1)	(1)	( 1)
Unemployed	8 (1)	2 ( 0)	8 ( 1)	18
Unknown	68	143	640	851
	(7)	(23)	(80)	(35)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

TIME AT MOST SKILLED POSITION	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Less Than 1 Month	42	14	22	78
	( 4)	(2)	(3)	(3)
1 - 2 Months	79	45	3	127
	(8)	(7)	(0)	(5)
3 - 4 Months	56 (6)	42 (7)	1 ( 0 )	99 (4)
5 - 6 Months	54 (5)	39 (6)	1 ( 0 )	94 (4)
7 - 9 Months	38	30	2	70
	(4)	(5)	( 0)	(3)
10 - 12 Months	48 (5)	38 (6)	2 ( 0 )	88 (4)
1 - 2 Years	105	75	2	182
	(11)	(12)	( 0)	(8)
2 - 5 Years	134	84	1	219
	(13)	(14)	(0)	(9)
More Than 5 Cears	109 (11)	66 (11)	( 0)	177 (7)
Unknown	328	184	763	1275
	(33)	(30)	(95)	(53)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

TIME ON JOB OF LONGEST DURATION	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Less Than 1 Month	42 ( 4)	14 (2)	24 (3)	80 (3)
1 - 2 Months	78 (8)	45 (7)	2 ( 0)	125
3 - 4 Months	57 (6)	41 ( 7)	1 ( 0)	99 (4)
5 - 6 Months	55 (6)	39 (6)	2 ( 0 )	96 (4)
7 - 9 Months	37 ( 4)	31 (5)	2 ( 0)	70 (3)
10 - 12 Months	48 (5)	38 (6)	2 ( 0)	88 (4)
l - 2 Years	104 (10)	75(12)	2 ( 0)	181 (8)
2 - 5 Years	135 (14)	85 (14)	1 ( 0 )	221 (9)
More Than 5 Your	109 (11) 328	66 (11) 183	2 ( 0)	177
Unknown	(33)	( 30)	761 (95)	1272 (53)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
13	5	1 ( 0 )	19
( 1)	(1)		(1)
10(1)	2 ( 0)	0 ( 0 )	12 (0)
12 ( 1)	6 (1)	1 ( 0 )	19
19	13	3	35
(2)		(0)	(1)
20	16	7 (1)	43
(2)	(3)		(2)
57	33	15	105
(6)	(5)	(2)	(4)
99	66	3.5	200
(10)	(11)	( 4)	( 8 <sub>t</sub> )
140	85	35	260
(14)	(14)	(4)	(11)
101	77	51	229
(10)	(12)	(6)	(10)
371	214	107	692
(37)	(35)	(13)	(29)
118	29	30	177
(12)	(5)	(4)	(7)
27	8	6	41
(3)	(1 <sup>°</sup> )	(1)	(2)
6	63	508	577
(1)	(10)	(64)	(24)
000	617	700	2409
	$     \begin{array}{r}       13 \\       (1) \\       10 \\       (1) \\       12 \\       (1) \\       12 \\       (1) \\       12 \\       (1) \\       19 \\       (2) \\       20 \\       (2) \\       20 \\       (2) \\       20 \\       (2) \\       20 \\       (2) \\       20 \\       (2) \\       20 \\       (2) \\       20 \\       (2) \\       20 \\       (2) \\       20 \\       (2) \\       20 \\       (2) \\       20 \\       (2) \\       20 \\       (2) \\       20 \\       (2) \\       20 \\       (2) \\       20 \\       (2) \\       20 \\       (2) \\       20 \\       (2) \\       20 \\       (2) \\       20 \\       (10) \\       140 \\       (14) \\       101 \\       (10) \\       371 \\       (37) \\       118 \\       (12) \\       27 \\       (3) \\       6     \end{array} $	$ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

KNOWN DRUG USE	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
No Mention of Drugs	728	437	8	1173
	(73)	(71)	(1)	(49)
Non-Specific	38	18	1	57
	(4)	(3)	( 0)	(2)
Heroin	72	39	12	123
	(7)	(6)	(2)	(5)
Other Specific	52 (5)	49 (8)	1 ( 0 )	102 ( 4)
Marijuana only	36 (4)	24 ( 4)	0 ( 0 )	60 (2)
Unknown	67	50	777	894
	(7)	(8)	(97)	(37)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409



TOTAL NUMBER OF COURT APPEARANCES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
One	42	37	80	159
	( 4)	(6)	(10)	(7)
Two	51	34	75	160
	(5)	(6)	(9)	(7)
Three	34 (3)	34 (6)	46 (6)	114 (5)
Four	52	47	37	136
	(5)	(8)	(5)	(6)
Five	54	45	43	142
	(5)	(7)	(5)	( 6)
6 - 8	134	111	94	339
	(13)	(18)	(12)	(14)
	119	62	74	255
9 -11	(12)	(10)	(9)	(11)
12 - 15	118	58	76	252
	(12)	(9)	(10)	(10)
16 - 20	122	49	83	254
	( 12)	(8)	(10)	(11)
Over 20	187	36	157	380
	(19)	(6)	(20)	(16)
Unknown	80	104	34	218
	(8)	(17)	( 4)	(9)
TOTAL	993	617	799 -	2409

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR PERSON OFFENSES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	116	127	410	653
	(12)	(21)	(51)	(27)
One	101	70	108	279
	(10)	(11)	(14)	(12)
Two	101	81	71	253
	( 10)	(13)	(9)	(11)
Three	84	50	32	166
	(8)	(8)	( 4)	(7)
Four	84	33	39	156
	(8)	(5)	(5)	(6)
Five .	69	44	22	135
	(7)	(7)	( 3)	(6)
6 - 8	145	63	49	257
	(15)	(10)	(6)	( 11)
Over 8	213	45	34	292
	(21)	(7)	(4)	(12)
Unknown	80	104	34	218
	(8)	(17)	(4)	(9)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR PROPERTY OFFENSES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	189	122	200	511
	(19)	(20)	(25)	(21)
One	77	57	105	239
	(8)	(9)	(13)	(10)
Тwo	59	55	52	166
	(6)	(9)	(7)	(7)
Three	48	26	45	119
	(5)	(4)	(6)	(5)
Four	49	25	36	110 <sup>°</sup>
	(5)	(4)	(5)	(5)
Five	39	30	38	107
	(4)	(5)	(5)	( 4)
6 - 8	80	49	59	188
	(8).	(8)	(7)	(8)
Over 8	372	149	230	751 <sup>′</sup>
	(37)	(24)	(29)	(31)
Unknown	80	104	34	218
	(8)	(17)	( 4)	(9)

TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR SEX OFFENSES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	688 (69)	402 ( 65)	740 (93)	1830 (76)
One	74 (7)	46 (7)	15 (2)	135 (6)
Тwo	53 (5)	29 (5)	1 ( 0 )	83 (3)
Three	23 (2)	13 (2)	4 (1)	40 (2)
Four	23 (2)	8 (1)	1 ( 0)	32 ( 1)
Five	7 ( 1)	2 ( 0)	1 ( 0 )	10 (0)
6 - 8	18 (2)	7 (1)	0 ( 0 )	25 (1)
Over 8	27 (3)	6 (1)	3 ( 0)	36 <sup>†</sup> (1)
Unknown	80 ( 8)	104 (17)	34 ( 4)	218 (9)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR DRUG OFFENSES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	413	264	439	1116
	(42)	(43)	(55)	(46)
One	134	76	80	290
	(13)	(12)	(10)	(12)
Two	77	58	60	195
	(8)	(9)	( 8)	(8)
Three	63	27	43	133
	(6)	( 4)	(5)	( 6)
Four	54	21	40	115
	(5)	(3)	(5)	(5)
Five	34 (3)	16 (3)	21 (3)	71 (3)
6 - 8	76	32	37	145
	(8)	(5)	(5)	(6)
Over 8	62 ( 6)	19 (3)	45 (6)	126 (5)
Unknown	80 (8)	104 (17)	34 (4)	218 (9)

FOTAL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR ALCOHOL OFFENSES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	668 (67)	427 (69)	602 (75)	1697 (70)
One	135 (14)	43 (7)	49 (6)	227 (9)
Two	39 ( 4)	20 (3)	44 (6)	103 (4)
Three	26 (3)	12	18 (2)	56 (2)
Four	16 (2)	3	18 (2)、	37
Five	5 (1)	4 ( T)	9 ( 1)	18(1)
6 - 8	14 ( 1) .	0 (0)	·14 ( 2)	28 ( 1)
Over 8	10 ( 1)	(1)	11 ( 1)	25 (1)
Unknown	80 (8)	104 ( 17)	34 (4)	218 (9)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF CHARGES FOR ESCAPE OFFENSES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	775 (78)	492 (80)	719 (90)	1986 (82)
One	94 (9)	16 (3)	33 ( 4)	143
Two	31	3(0)	7 ( 1 )	41 (2)
Three	7 (1)	(0)	4 . ( 1 )	12
Four	5 ( 1 )	(0)	1 ( 0 )	7 (0)
Five	0 ( 0 )	0 ( 0 )	1 (0)	1 ( 0 )
6 - 8	1 ( 0 )	. 0 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	( 0)
Unknown	80 (8)	104 (17)	34 ( 4)	218 (9)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

Ç

JUVENILE COMMITMENT INDICATOR	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	715	432	683	1830
	(72)	(70)	(85)	(76)
One or More	198	81	82	361
	(20)	(13)	(10)	(15)
Unknown	80	104	34	218
	(8)	(17)	(4)	(9)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF HOUSE OF CORRECTION INCARCERATIONS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	481 (48)	335 (54)	698 (87)	1514 (63)
One	171 (17)	85 .(14)	39 (5)	295 (12)
Two	99 (10)	44 (7)	14	157 (7)
Three	66 (7)	24 ( 4)	6 (1)	96 (4)
Four	32 (3)	6 (1)	3 ( 0 ) ·	41 (2)
Five	19 (2)	5 ( 1 )	2 ( 0)	26 ( 1)
Six or More	45 ( 5')	14(2)	3 (0)	62 (3)
Unknown	80 (8)	104 (17)	34 ( 4)	218 (9)
FOTAL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF PRIOR STATE OR FEDERAL INCARCERATIONS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	688 (69)	484 (78)	531 (66)	1703 (71)
One	114 (11)	18 (3)	101 (13)	233 (10)
Two	52 (5)	8 ( 1)	54 (7)	114 (5)
Three	26 (3)	1 ( 0)	23 ( 3)	50 (2)
Four	15	1 (0)	20 (3)	36 (1)
Five	6 ( 1)	0 ( 0)	15(2)	21 ( 1)
Six or More	12(1)	1 (0)	21 (3)	34 ( 1);
Unknown	80 (8)	104 (17)	34 (4)	218 (9)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF PRIOR ADULT INCARCERATIONS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	412	322	492	1226
	( 41)	(52)	(62)	(51)
One	153	85	114	352
	(15)	(14)	(14)	(15)
Тwo	109	49	60	218
	(11)	(8)	(8)	(9)
Three	75	24	30	129
	(8)	( 4)	( 4)	(5)
Four	47	12	21	80
	(5)	(2)	(3).	(3)
Five	42	6	19	67
	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)
Šix or More	75 (8)	15 (2)	29 ( 4)	119 (5)
Unknown	80	104	34	218
	(8)	(17)	( 4)	(9)

TOTAL

617 799

NUMBER OF JUVENILE PAROLES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	857 (86)	505 (82)	758 (95)	2120 (88)
One	24 (2)	5 ( 1)	3(0)	32 (1)
Two	19 (2)	2 ( 0 )	3 ( 0 )	24 (1)
Three	5(1)	1 ( 0) 0	( 0)	6 (0) 9
4 or More	8 ( 1)	(0)	( 0) 34	(0) 218
Unknown	80 (8)	(17)	(4)	(9)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF JUVEN PAROLE VIOLATION		CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Never Paroled		857 (86)	505 (82)	758 (95)	2120 (88)
None		25 (3)	6 (1)	6 (1)	37 (2)
One		19 (2)	1 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	20 ( 1)
Two		7 ( 1)	1 ( 0 )	1 ( 0)	9 (0)
Three		3(0)	0 (0)	( 0) (	3(0)
4 or More		2 (0)	0 ( 0 )	0 ( 0)	2 ( 0)
Unknown	an an an an an an An ann an An An an Ann an Ann an	80 (8)	104 (17)	34 ( 4)	218 (9)
	TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF ADULT PAROLES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None	779 (78)	500 (81)	653 (82)	1932 (80)
One	85 (9)	10 (2)	90 (11)	185 (8)
Тwo	30 (3)	2 ( 0 )	18 (2)	50 (2)
Three	12 ( 1)	1 ( 0)	2 ( 0)·	15
4 or More	7 ( 1)	0 ( 0 )	2 ( 0) <sup>.</sup>	9 (0)
Unknown	80 (8)	104 (17)	34 (4)	218 (9)
$\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \bullet $	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

NUMBER OF ADULT PAROLE VIOLATIONS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Never Paroled	779 (78)	500 (81)	653 (82)	1932 (80)
None	42 ( 4)	5	81 (10)	128 . (5)
One	62 (6)	6 (1)	29 (4)	97 ( 4)
Two	22 (2)	2 ( 0 )	2 ( 0)	26 ( 1)
Three	4 (0)	0 ( 0 )	0 (0)	4 (0)
4 or More	4 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	4 ( 0 )
Ünknown	80 (8)	104 (.17)	34 . ( 4)	218 (9)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

TOTAL NUMBER OF P.	AROLES	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
None		756 (76)	494 (80)	648 (81)	1898 (79)
One		84 (8)	13 (2)	89 (11)	186 (8)
Two		31 (3)	4 (1)	23 (3)	58 (2)
Three		18 (2)	1 (0)	2 (0)	21 ( 1)
4 or More		24 (2)	( 0)	3(0)	28 (1)
Unknown		80 (8)	104 (17)	34 ( 4)	218 (9)
	TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAROLE VIOLATIONS	CEDAR JCT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Never Paroled	756 (76)	494 (80)	648 (81)	1898 (79)
None	48 (5)	10(2)	85 (11)	143 (6)
One	69 (7)	6 (1)	29 ( 4)	104 ( 4)
Two	21 (2)	2 ( 0)	3 (0)	26 (1)
Three	9 (1)	1 ( 0)	( 0)	10 (0)
4 or More	10 ( 1)	0 ( 0 )	0)	10(0)
Unknown	80 (8)	104 (17)	34 ( 4)	218 (9)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

AGE AT FIRST COURT APPEARANCE	CEDAR ICT	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
12 or Younger	90	36	25	151
	(9)	(6)	(3)	(6)
13	55	32	26	113
	(6)	(5)	(3)	(5)
14	90 (9).	41 (7)	48 ( 6)	179 (7)
15	87	39	36	162
	(9)	( 6)	(5)	(7)
16	95	47	47	189
	(10)	(8)	( 6)	(8)
17	125	70	97	292
	(13)	(11)	(12)	(12)
18	67	49	72	188
	(7)	(8)	(9)	(8)
19	33	28	63	124
	(3)	(5)	(8)	(5)
20	40	32	48	120 '
	( 4)	(5)	(6)	( 5)
21	25 (3)	17	47 (6)	89 (4)
22	19	10	26	55
	(2)	(2)	(3)	(2)
23	16	16	22	54
	(2)	(3)	(3)	(?)
24	21	12	16	49
	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
25	7 ( 1)	7 ( 1)	25 (3)	39 (2)
26 - 29	47	28	73	148
	(5)	(5)	(9)	(6)
30 - 39	65	30	73	168
	(7)	(5)	(9)	(7)
40 or Older	31 (3)	19 (3)	21 (3)	71
Unknown	80	104	34	218
	(8)	(17)	(4)	(9)

TOTAL	993	617	799	2409

AGE AT FIRST COURT APPEARANCE FOR ALCOHOL OFFEN	CEDAR JCT ISE	CONCORD	FRAMINGHAM	TOTAL
Not Applicable	666	423	600	1689
	(67)	(69)	(75)	(70)
14 or Younger	2 ( 0) .	0 ( 0)	2 (0)	4 ( 0)
15 - 17	58	14	21	93
	(6)	(2)	(3)	(4)
18 - 19	45	21	24	90
	(5)	(3)	(3)	(4)
20 - 21	37	16	14	67
	(4)	(3)	(2)	(3)
22 - 25	5.0	20	33	103
	(5)	(3)	(4)	(4)
26 - 29	30	8	26	64
	(3)	(1)	(3)	(3)/
30 - 39	20	7	32	59
	(2)	( 1)	( 4)	(2)
40 and Older	5 (1)	4 ( 1 )	13 (2)	22 ( 1)
Unknown	80	104	34	218
	(8)	(17)	( 4)	(9)
TOTAL	993	617	799	2409