#### U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

107100

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

State of New York Governor's Commission on Domestic Violence

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

1071002-1-8P



State of New York

### **GOVERNOR'S COMMISSION ON DOMESTIC VIOLENCE**

Mayor Erastus Corning 2nd Tower — 28th Floor Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223

Karen Burstein Marjory D. Fields Co-Chairs

Karla M. Digirolamo Executive Director

A Report to the Governor on

LEGISLATION TO REFORM STATUS SEX OFFENSE LAWS

NCJRS SEP 25 1987

ACQUISITIONS

June 6, 1984

CONTENTS	Page
Introduction	1
Child Sexual Abuse Reform Act	3
Repeal of Penal Law Sections 130.16 and 260.11	6
Treatment Needs	9
Preventive Education for Children	11
Intervention Training for Professionals	12
Child Protective Court Procedures	13
Increased Penalties	14
Notes	15
Appendices	
A. Hearing Notice and Attachments	25
R Witness Ticks	२ व

#### INTRODUCTION

Public attention has focused recently on child sexual abuse and sexual abuse of other vulnerable victims. The Governor's Commission on Domestic Violence has a special interest in this issue because its investigations have revealed that the home is often where this abuse occurs. Parents, siblings, relatives, caretakers and family friends have the unobserved opportunity to abuse children sexually, frequently without leaving physical evidence. The psychological damage that results is, however, serious and long-lasting. 2

Because of our concern, we were happy to participate in the three recent public hearings on New York's status sex offense laws. Many expert and victim witnesses testified that those laws are presently insufficient to encourage proper identification, intervention and treatment.

Fortunately, the Legislature and the Governor have begun to address the deficiencies. They took the first step by enacting Chapter 89 of the Laws of 1984, signed on April 17. It repeals that portion of Penal Law sec. 130.16 which requires corroboration of status sex offenses based on the age of the victim.

The hearings identified the following additional areas for remedial attention:

- o Enactment of the Child Sexual Abuse Reform Act (S.7200/A8345)
- o Repeal of Penal Law Sections 130.16 and 260.11 in their entirety
- o Treatment programs for victims, offenders and their families
- o Preventive education for children
- o Intervention training for professionals
- o Child protective court procedures
- o Increased penalties for offenders

This report summarizes the evidence presented by witnesses who described the incidence of such sexual abuse, the consequences for victims and families, and strategies, both legal and programmatic, which the state could adopt to more effectively address this problem.

#### CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE REFORM ACT

One hundred expert and victim witnesses testified in support of the "Child Sexual Abuse Reform Act" (S7200/A8345). They argued that this bill enhances our ability to offer greater protection against sexual exploitation to children, mentally retarded people and those incapacited by mental illness, drugs or alcohol. It would bring New York's practice into conformity with that of 48 other states, which in the last fifteen years have strengthened their laws against sexual abuse of children.

This comprehensive measure repeals the extraordinary requirement that a minor or incapacitated victim's testimony be corroborated by independent evidence of all elements: the act; the identity of the defendent; the time, the date, and place of the act (Penal Law sec. 130.16 and 260.11). Neither of the other two corroboration jurisdictions, Nebraska and the District of Columbia, require corroboration of the prosecutor's case in chief, nor of all elements of the crime. Corroboration of sex offenses was not required at common law regardless of the mental condition of the victim. Many states never adopted a corroboration requirement; all but two of those that did repealed them. At the hearings only two witnesses opposed repeal of the corroboration requirement, asserting that without corroboration defendants would have less protection.

The Child Sexual Abuse Reform Act amends the definition of "incest,"

Penal Law sec. 255.25, by adding "deviate sexual intercourse" and making it

gender neutral (S7200/A8345 sec. 3). It amends the definition of "sexual

contact," Penal Law sec. 130.00 (3), by specifying that the act: is for the

purpose of "sexually arousing" either party; is comprised of "touching of the

actor by the victim, as well as the touching of the victim by the actor,

whether directly or through clothing; and defining intimate parts as the groin, anal area, inner thighs, buttocks and breasts. The description of the victim as not married to the actor is deleted (\$7200/A8345 sec. 8). The definition of foreign object, Penal Law sec. 130.00 (9), is amended to include a finger, and the requirement that the foreign object, be capable of causing injury is deleted (\$7200/A8345 sec. 9).

These amendments were supported by 33 witnesses who testified that they address common modes of sexual abuse of very young children with whom sexual intercourse cannot be accomplished. A conviction for aggravated sexual abuse was dismissed because the injury was caused by a finger. The Appellate Division Fourth Department held that a finger is not a "foreign object" under Penal Law 130.00 (9). The victim was 29 months old. Children are initially subjected to mutual touching, then digital penetration and oral sexual activity, which presently are included in the definition of "deviate sexual intercourse" (Penal Law sec. 130.00 (2)). Deviate sexual intercourse, however, is not now included in the definition in incest. Therefore, parents siblings and other close relatives have the opportunity to perpetrate these forms of abuse without fear of penalty. 10

Three witnesses critized the proposed addition of the requirement that the sexual contact be for the purpose of "sexually arousing" either the victim or actor. They urged that there would be no witness who could testify to the motive of the actor. No other witness specifically testified in opposition to this change. These same witnesses were also opposed to the existing

language which defines sexual contact as touching "for the purpose of sexual desire of either party" (Penal Law sec. 130.00 (3)).

The penalty increase and new offense sections are more controversial. Although there is much support for the entire bill, criminal defense lawyers, social workers and mental health professionals testified both in support and opposition to the increased penalties for the newly created degrees of incest and sexually endangering the welfare of a child. These penalties are parallel to those of sex offenses in Penal Law article 130 and are based on the age differences between the victim and offender (S7200/A8345 sec. 3, 4 and 7).

#### REPEAL OF PENAL LAW SECTIONS 130.16 AND 260.11

Ninety-nine out of the total of 101 witnesses urged repeal of the corroboration requirement without reservation. Two expert witnesses were entirely opposed to repeal of the corroboration requirement. Eighteen expert witnesses expressly urged repeal of corroboration for the mentally defective and mentally incapacitated victim as well as for the child victim. The bill repealing only corroboration for status sex offenses based on age (\$7657/A9016), was printed just three days before the Albany hearing on February 14, 1984. Therefore, it was not mentioned in the hearing notice and none of the New York City witnesses commented on the retention of corroboration requirement for status sex offenses based on the victim's mental incapacity or mental "defect."

Those few Albany witnesses who knew of the proposal to repeal only the requirement for age—based offenses urged that Penal Law sec. 130.16 and 260.11 be repealed in their entirety. They testified that the mentally "defective" (that is retarded) victim or the mentally ill, drugged or drunken victim who is capable of a subsequent narrative account of the victimization should be sufficient witness to the same extent that uncorroborated testimony can form the basis of a conviction for any other crime. (This assumes the victim can satisfy the testimonial capacity test of Criminal Procedure Law sec. 60.20). Flora Colao, C.S.W., who has treated sex crimes victims for eight years and conducts sex crimes training for the New York City Police Department, testified that most mentally retarded people are incapable of the abstract thinking necessary to construct a lie, but they can accurately relate and demonstrate what was done to them. James S. Cameron, Executive Director of

the New York State Federation on Child Abuse and Neglect, also testified that the retarded do not fabricate stories of sexual abuse.

The irony of Penal Law sec. 130.16 as amended by Chapter 89, Law 1984, is that a mentally retarded ten year old child whose sexual abuse is charged as an age offense could testify without corroboration. That same individual at age 21, whose victimization must be charged under the mental defect provision, could not testify without corroboration.

Representatives of the District Attorneys of Albany, Bronx, Erie, Monroe, New York and Onondaga Counties stressed that the testimonial capacity standard of Criminal Procedure Law sec. 60.20 is sufficient protection for sex crimes defendants as it is for defendants charged with other offenses. They pointed out that juries are capable of assessing the truthfulness and accuracy of mentally retarded witnesses in all other types of cases and of evaluating complex white collar crime charges and insanity defenses.

Larry Earl Wraight, Vice President of the New York State Federation on Child Abuse and Neglect, who has ten years of experience in child protective work in sexual abuse cases, pointed out the special vulnerability of mentally "defective" and mentally incapacitated children. He stated, "Several child molesters I know of purposely seek out limited children because of their ability to control them more effectively." He recited the instance of an offender who switched to mentally retarded victims after a "normal" child he had sexually abused reported him. (There was no prosecution for that crime because of insufficient corroboration.) Other expert witnesses also testified to instances of child sexual abusers who targeted the mentally handicapped. 16

Thus, this remaining vestige of prejudice against sex crimes victims (that once included a corroboration requirement for forcible sex offenses against adults as well as children) must be repealed.

#### TREATMENT NEEDS

Expert and victim witnesses all agreed that treatment programs for victims, their families and offenders are essential. Victim treatment is necessary to prevent future abuse. Without treatment, victims of child sexual abuse have an exceptionally high probability of experiencing problems such as juvenile delinquency, self-mutilation, teenage suicide, running away and adolescent pregnancy. In addition, victims not receiving treatment are more likely to become perpetrators of child abuse and neglect particularly child sexual abuse. A recent study shows that 50 percent of 188 psychiatric inpatients had histories of sexual and physical abuse by family members. 18

Leonard Dunston, Director of the New York State Division for Youth, testified that in DFY's Adirondak facility for juvenile delinquent boys, 19 out of a total of 20 residents had been sexually abused by males in their own families. In the Auburn facility for girls, 16 out of a total of 18 residents had been sexually abused by family members. At least one—third of those DFY aided in its shelters and runaway programs reported sexual abuse in their homes. Teenage sex offenders report that they were victims of sexual abuse in their families. Fiona Burde testified for Family Planning Advocates that there is a high correlation between incest and child sexual abuse and teenage pregnancy based on the records of the 100 member agencies.

There are not enough programs for incest and sexual abuse vicitms. 

Insurance coverage is not available for treatment of victims, offenders or other family members who do not manifest symptoms indicative of specified diagnostic codes. 

Medicaid reimbursement which is available only for one contact per family per day, precludes payment for individual, peer group and

family sessions conducted in succession on the same day to save travel time and expense.<sup>21</sup>

Prosecution and the threat of prison are essential to impose control over and responsibility on the offender. Many expert witnesses urged court-ordered treatment as an alternative to imprisonment. Community-based treatment for adolescent and adult sex offenders is often successful and costs a fraction of the price of imprisonment without treatment. Treatment in prison, although more costly, can be equally as effective. On the other hand, the failure to provide any treatment, with or without incarceration, guarantees continuation of the offensive behavior. For those who are not treatable, long prison sentences are urged because of the extraordinarily high recidivism rate and multiple offenses committed by these offenders.

Funding for victim and offender treatment programs is essential. This may be the most important child welfare and child abuse prevention service the state can provide. Reverend Marie C. Jerge, testifying for the Office of Governmental Ministry of the Lutheran Church in America, stated, "If we educate people to understand sexual abuse and encourage them to report it and prosecute, we find that the existing support services—counseling for all parties—simply are not adequate to deal with the cases....There are several programs that have begun locally (in Chautauqua County) with seed money... maintenance funding has not been forthcoming and the programs have been lost." 27 Recent media coverage of child sexual abuse, including fictional portrayals, news stories, and documentaries, has produced a sudden, increased demand for services by victims, former victims and offenders. This demand cannot be satisfied. All witnesses argued that funding for treatment is the most important response in the battle against child sexual abuse.

#### PREVENTIVE EDUCATION FOR CHILDREN

Many witnesses and scholars specifically urged development of preventive education materials for young children. <sup>29</sup> All of the 20 victims and 12 of the expert witnesses stressed the utility of teaching children, in a non-threatening way, about "good and bad touch," whom to tell when someone touches in a way that the child does not like, and how to keep telling until someone responds with help. There are several models being tested in elementary schools which could form the basis of required course content. <sup>30</sup> Witnesses stressed that more treatment programs must be available to accept the additional cases that will be disclosed by a program of preventive education. <sup>31</sup>

#### INTERVENTION TRAINING FOR PROFESSIONALS

Criminal justice professionals, child protective service workers and mental health professionals emphasized the need for special training for intervention and treatment services. Experts agreed that interdisciplinary training, intervention and treatment teams are most effective. Of particular importance is judicial education. Child protective service and mental health professionals noted that judges lack information for victim protection and offender sentencing. Judges need to learn about the treatment needs of both the victim and the offender and the requirements of controls for the offenders. 34

12

#### CHILD PROTECTIVE COURT PROCEDURES

Many experts urged procedural innovation to protect the victim of a child sex offense from the trauma of public trial, confrontation of the defendant, and repetition of the details of the offense. Judges, lawyers, prosecutors, law professors and child protective workers proposed making use of video taped depositions, redesigning court procedures to be more appropriate for children and barring public disclosure of the victm's name. One legal scholar has suggested that legal proceedings involving child sexual abuse are analogous to situations where a closed courtroom is required because the defendant is a juvenile, or permitted because there is an adult defendant, witness or informant whose welfare requires confidentiality. 37

These issues require scrutiny by the Executive and the Legislature.

Nearly all witnesses agreed that it is essential that the state intervene to stop child sexual abuse because of the serious psychological and physical harm done to the child. They stressed, however, that this intervention must not further injure the child. Statutes and case law in other jurisdictions, as well as technology, are well enough developed to allow adoption of procedures which protect the rights of both the defendant and the child sex crime victim. <sup>38</sup>

#### INCREASED PENALTIES

Fourteen witnesses expressly supported the increased penalty schemes of S7200/A8345.<sup>39</sup> Approximately two witnesses specifically opposed this aspect of the legislation.<sup>40</sup> Most witnesses did not comment on this issue.

Those who supported a tougher penalty structure for incest and sexually endangering the welfare of a child stressed that the child sexual abuser requires strong controls. Limits must be placed on his activities, and social condemnation must be made clear. Actual prosecution and the threat of heavy penalties are essential to successful treatment for offenders who can be helped. In those cases in which the offender is not treatable, long prison sentences are necessary to protect the children who would be his future victims. 41

Examination of the existing provisions of Penal Law articles 130, 255 and 260 is necessary to ascertain if they contain sufficient choices to permit plea bargaining for appropriate sentencing including community-based treatment and long prison terms.

#### NOTES

- Finkelhor, David, "Removing the Child Prosecuting the Offender in Cases of Sexual Abuse: Evidence From the National Reporting System for Child Abuse and Neglect," <u>Child Abuse and Neglect</u>, vol. 7, pp. 195-205 (1983); Landis, Judson T., "Experiences of 500 Children with Adult Sexual Deviation", <u>Psychiatric Q. Supp.</u>, pp., 91-109 (1956); SDSS testified that there were 4331 family sexual abuse cases reported in 1983, an 85% increase over 1979 reported cases.
- 2. Sgroi, Suzanne M., <u>Sexual Abuse of Children</u>, Community Council of Greater New York, pp. 5-8 (1979).
- 3. A copy of the hearing notice, the bills distributed with it and the lists of witnesses are attached.
- 4. Witnesses who expressly endorsed S7200/A8345 in its entirety were:

Pobert Abrams, Esquire, New York State Attorney General Cesar A. Perales, Commissioner, NYS Department of Social Services Larry Earl Wraight, Vice President New York State Federation Child Abuse and Neglect

Robert Clark, Esquire, Domestic Violence and Child Abuse Bureau Chief, Monroe County District Attorney's Office

Karen S. Mayer, Esquire

- Marie C. Jerge, Reverend, Dean of Lutheran Congregations Chautauqua and Cattaraugus Counties, for Office of Governmental Ministry, Lutheran Church in America.
- 5. Karen S. Mayer, Esquire, Albany testimony; David W. Lloyd, Esquire, Albany testimony and "The Corroboration of Sexual Victimization of Children", in Child Sexual Abuse and the Law, pp. 106-7 (American Bar Association 1983); Professor Irving Praeger, Esquire, New York City testimony.
- 6. Id.
- 7. The Legal Aid Society of New York City, by Archibald Murray, Esquire; Oren Root, Esquire, who described himself as a former Legal Aid criminal defense lawyer.
- 8. List of witnesses who supported "deviate sexual intercourse", sexual contact and foreign object changes:

Elizabeth Holtzman, Esquire, District Attorney, Kings County Nancy Berko, Esquire, Supervising Attorney, Crime Victims Assistance Unit, Bronx

Kathryn Conroy, Deputy Coordinator of Youth Services, Criminal Justice Coordinator's Office

Marcella Maxwell, Chairperson, NYC Commission on the Status of Women Shelley Mitchell, Esquire, Chairperson, State Legislation Committee, Brooklyn Bar Association

Gail Kong, Deputy Administrator, NYC Human Resources Administration Judith Becker, M.D., NYS Psychiatric Institute, Sexual Behavior Clinic Brenda Hart, Co-Chair, Bronx Child Abuse and Neglect Task Force Howard Schwartz, M.D., Bronx Medical Society

Cesar A. Perales, Commissioner, NYS Department of Social Services Johanna Ferman, M.D., Deputy Commissioner, Office of Mental Hygiene, on behalf of Commissioner Stephen Katz

Rema Goldstein, District Director, Capitol District Parent Teacher Association

Karen Mayer, Esquire, Attorney and parent of victim Judith Condo, Executive Director, Albany Rape Crisis Center Jo-Ann Beasley, Albany Rape Crisis Center, Child Sexual Abuse Prevention, Counselor

Diane Erne, Director, Alliance

David Wall, Sargeant, Abused Persons Unit, Onondaga County Sheriff's Department

Eugene Aronowitz, Commissioner of Community Mental Health, Westchester County, New York

Committee on the Prevention of Child Sexual Abuse, Westchester County, New York

Mental Health Association of Westchester County, Inc.

The Junior League of Albany, Inc.

Sue Ellen Dodell, Chair, Legislative Committee, NYC Advisory Task Force on Rape

Cindy Smith, Director, Rensselaer County Rape Crisis Program
Maud Easter, Lobbyist, National Organization for Women on behalf of
Carol Kope

Jewish Board of Family and Children's Services

Sandy Oliva, Director, Coalition for Abused Women, Inc., Nassau County George Marshall Worthington, International Health and Development Consultant

Robert Clark, Esquire, Monroe County Special Assistant District Attorney, Bureau Chief, Domestic Violence Bureau

Larry Earl Wraight, Vice-President, NYS Federation on Child Abuse and Neglect, Onondaga County Child Protective Services

Andrew V. Coughlin, Jr., C.S.W., Orchard Park Central School District Marie C. Jerge, Reverend, Office of Governmental Ministry, Lutheran Church

Honorable Daniel D. Leddy, Jr., New York City Family Court Judge Joseph D'Elia, Nassau County Social Services Commissioner

- 9. Diane Erne, Director, Alliance; Flora Colao, C.S.W., Albany testimony; Larry Earl Wraight, Vice President, NYS Federation on Child Abuse and Neglect, Onondaga County Child Protective Services, Buffalo testimony; Regarding "finger" as a foreign object, <u>Peo. v. James Peet</u>, Appellate Division, 4th Department, April 1984, slip opinion.
- 10. Sgroi, Susan M., Sexual Abuse of Children, Community Council of Greater New York, pp. 5-7, (1979); Diane Erne, Executive Director of Alliance, Coordinated Services for Families at Risk, Syracuse, testimony, Albany; Larry Earl Wraight, Vice-President, NYS Federation on Child Abuse and Neglect, Onondaga County Child Protective Services, Buffalo testimony.
- 11. Larry Earl Wraight, Vice-President, NYS Federation on Child Abuse and Neglect, Onondaga County Child Protective Services, Buffalo testimony; Betsy Glaser Hurley, Esquire, Second Assistant District Attorney, Niagara

County, Buffalo testimony; David Wall, Sargeant, Abused Persons Unit, Onondaga County Sheriff's Department, submitted testimony, Albany Transcript.

#### 12. Increased Penalties:

a) Witnesses in support of increased penalties: Elizabeth Holtzman, Esquire, District Attorney, Kings County Nancy Berko, Esquire, Supervising Attorney, Crime Victims Assistance Unit, Bronx

Kathrym Conroy, Deputy Coordinator of Youth Services, Criminal Justice Coordinator's Office

Marcella Maxwell, Chairperson, NYC Commission on the Status of Women Shelley Mitchell, Esquire, Chairperson, State Legislative Committee, Brooklyn Bar Association

Gail Kong, Deputy Administrator, NYC Human Resources Administration Judith Becker, M.D., NYS Psychiatric Institute, Sexual Behavior Clinic Brenda Hart, Co-Chair, Bronx Child Abuse and Neglect Task Force Howard Schwartz, M.D., Bronx Medical Society

Katherine Grim, M.D., Director, Ambulatory Pediatrics, Mt. Sinai Medical Center

Robert Abrams, Esquire, Attorney General of New York State
Cesar A. Perales, Commissioner, NYS Department of Social Services
Karen Mayer, Esquire, Attorney and parent of victim
Judith Condo, Executive Director, Albany Rape Crisis,
Jo-Ann Beasley, Albany, Rape Crisis Center, Child Sexual Abuse
Prevention, Counselor

Diane Erne, Director, Alliance

David Wall, Sargeant, Abused Persons Unit, Onondaga County Sheriff's Department

Eugene Aronowitz, Commissioner of Community Mental Health, Westchester County, New York

Committee on the Prevention of Child Sexual Abuse, Westchester County, New York

Mental Health Association of Westchester County, Inc.

The Junior League of Albany, Inc.

Sue Ellen Dodell, Chairperson, Legislative Committee, NYC Advisory Task Force on Rape

Cindy Smith, Director, Rensselaer County Rape Crisis Program
Maud Easter, Lobbyist, National Organization for Women on behalf of
Carol Kope

John Ehrlich, Esquire, Chief of the Family Crime Bureau of Suffolk County District Attorney's Office

Jewish Board of Family and Children's Services

Sandy Oliva, Director, Coalition for Abused Women Inc., Nassau County George Marshall Worthington, International Health and Development Consultant

Robert Clark, Esquire, Monroe County Special Assistant District Attorney, Bureau Chief, Domestic Violence Bureau

Larry Earl Wraight, Vice-President, NYS Federation on Child Abuse and Neglect, Onondaga County Child Protective Services

James August, Erie County Task Force on Sexually Abusing Families Andrew V. Coughlin, Jr., C.S.W., Orchard Park Central School District Honorable Daniel D. Leddy, Jr., New York City Family Court Judge b) Witnesses against increased penalties:

Archibald Murray, Esquire, Attorney-in-Chief, The Legal Aid Society James Baxter, C.S.W., A.C.S.W., Children's Services Coordinator, Dutchess County Department of Mental Hygiene

- 13. See note 7.
- 14. List of witnesses who expressly testified for repeal of corroboration when victim is mentally defective or incapacitated:

Paul Der Ohannesian, II, Esquire, Assistant Distrtict Attorney, Sexual Offense Unit, Albany District Attorney's Office

Allan Traegar, Commissioner, Westchester County Department of Mental Health

Karen Mayar, Esquire, parent of victim

Flora Colao, C.S.W., Co-Author, Your Children Should Know; Co-Director, Children's Creative Safety and Fitness Exchange

James S. Cameron, Executive Director, NYS Federation on Child Abuse and Neglect

Patricia Foscato Shaw, C.S.W., Coordinator, St. Anne Institute, Sex Abuse Prevention Project

Judith Condo, Executive Director, Albany Rape Crisis Center Norman Katz, Director for Public Social Policy, Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies

George Marshall Worthington, International Health and Developmental Consultant

Len Lenihan, Erie County Legislator

Shepard Goldberg, Ph.D., Executive Director, Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Center

John Mikhalek, Esquire, Assistant District Attorney for Richard Arcara, Erie County District Attorney

Phyllis Schiff, Family Advocates Services of Rochester

Jen Henry, Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Center

Larry Earl Wraight, Vice-President, NYS Federation on Child Abuse and Neglect, Onondaga County Child Protective Services

Robert Young, President Genesee County Interagency Council

Dennis Whitman, Genesee County Sheriff's Department

Howard Relin, Esquire, Monroe County District Attorney

- 15. Karen S. Mayer, Esquire, Parent of victim, Albany testimony. She found no different standard for the retarded or mentally incapacitated witness other than an easing of restrictions to facilitate their testimony and assure their protection. She pointed out that the federal rules, adopted by 15 states, no longer use any test of testimonial capacity, but let the jury weigh every witness and decide who is credible.
- 16. Phyllis Schiff, Family Services of Rochester, Buffalo testimony: Jen Henry, Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinic, Inc., Buffalo testimony; Andrea Berger, Director, Children and Family Services, Albany County Department of Social Services, Albany testimony.
- 17. Larry Earl Wraight, Vice-President, NYS Federation on Child Abuse and Neglect, Onondaga County Child Protective Services, Buffalo testimony; Richard M. Hamill, Coordinator, Juvenile Sex Offense Project, St. Anne

Institute, Albany testimony; Leonard Dunston, Director, NYS Division for Youth, Albany testimony; Johanna Ferman, Deputy Commissioner, NYS Office of Mental Health, for Commissioner Steven Katz, Albany testimony; Flora Colao, C.S.W., Albany testimony; Shepard Goldberg, Ph.D., Executive Director, Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Center, Buffalo Testimony; Sgroi, Suzanne M., Handbook of Clinical Intervention in Child Sexual Abuse, Lexington Books, Massachussetts, chapters 4-10 (1981).

- 18. Carmen, Reker and Mills, "Victims of Violence and Psychiatric Illness," Am. J. Psychiatry, pp. 141, 378-83 (March 1984).
- 19. At least 25 expert witnesses specifically critized the dearth of treatment programs. Among the strongest critics were: James S. Cameron, Executive Director, NYS Federation on Child Abuse and Neglect, Albany Testimony; Shepard Goldberg, Ph.D., Executive Director, Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Center, Buffalo Testimony; Marie C. Jerge, Reverend, Office of Gov. Ministries, Lutheran Church, Buffalo Testimony; Charles Syms, Child Protective Services Worker, on behalf of Erie County Department of Social Services, Albany Testimony; Allan Traeger, Commissioner, Westchester County Department of Mental Health, Albany Testimony.
- 20. Carol Francis, R.N., New York State Nurses Association, Albany Testimony.
- 21. Shepard Goldberg, Ph.D., Executive Director, Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Center, Buffalo Testimony.
- 22. Groth, Nicholas A., <u>Men Who Rape</u>, Plenum Press, New York, p.10, 1979; List of witnesses urging court-ordered treatment:

Leonard Dunston, Director, MYS Division for Youth

Joanna Ferman, M.D., Deputy Commissioner, NYS Office of Mental Health on behalf of Commissioner Stephen Katz

Allan Traegar, Commissioner, Westchester County Department of Mental Health

Paul Der Ohannesian, II, Esquire, Assistant District Attorney, Sexual Offense Unit, Albany District Attorney's Office

Richard Hamill, Coordinator, Juvenile Sex Offense Project, St. Anne Institute

Van Zwisohn, Esquire, Executive Director, NYS Coalition for Criminal Justice

James Cameron, Executive Director, NYS Federation on Child Abuse and Neglect

Patricia Foscato Shaw, C.S.W., Coordinator, St. Anne Institute, Sex Abuse Prevention Project

Judith Becker, M.D., NYS Psychiatric Institute, Sexual Behavior Clinic Jo-Ann Beasley, Albany Rape Crisis Center, Child Sexual Abuse Prevention Counselor

Carol Francis, R.N., NYS Nurses Association

Janet Sherman, Step 6 Project for Abused Children of Schenectady

James Baxter, C.S.W., A.C.S.W., Children's Services Coordinator, Dutchess County Department of Mental Hygiene

Andrea Burger, C.S.W., Director, Children and Family Services, Albany County Department of Social Services

Kevin Karpowicz, M.D., Pediatrician

Eugene Aronowitz, Commissioner of Community Mental Health, Westchester

County; New York
The Junior League of Albany, Inc.
Larry Earl Wraight, Vice-Presiden

Larry Earl Wraight, Vice-President, NYS Federation on Child Abuse and Neglect, Onondaga County Child Protective Services Cindy Smith, Director, Rensselaer County Rape Crisis Program

Executive Committee of New York City Advisory Council on Alcoholism Jewish Board of Family and Children's Services

Philip C. Brockmyre, Coordinator of Child Protective Services, County of Monroe, Department of Social Services

Marie C. Jerge, Reverend, Office of Gov. Ministry, Lutheran Church Sandra C. Becker, M.S., Niagara Falls Community Mental Health Center Honorable Norman Joslin, Buffalo Supreme Court

Shepard Goldberg, Ph.D., Executive Director, Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Center

Jen Henry, Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Center
Phyllis Schiff, Family Advocates Services of Rochester
Henry Gugino, Reverend, Catholic Charities of Buffalo
Maureen Dressler, Erie County Child and Family Services
Ann Birnbach, Schuyler County Mental Health
Andrew Coughlin, Jr., C.S.W., Orchard Park Central School District
Michael Merrick, Executive Director, Buffalo Area Council of Alcoholism
The Queensboro Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children
Joseph D'Elia, Nassau County Social Services Commissioner

- 23. Judith Becker, M.D., NYS Psychiatric Institute, Sexual Behavior Clinic, New York City and Albany Testimony; Richard Hamill, Coordinator, Juvenile Sex Offense Project, St. Anne Institute, Albany Testimony; Van Zwishon, Esquire, Executive Director, NYS Coalition for Criminal Justice, Albany Testimony; Giarretto, Henry, "Humanistic Treatment of Father-Daughter Incest", in US Department of Health and Human Services, Sexual Abuse of Children p. 45 (1980); Sgroi, Suzanne M., Sexual Abuse of Children, Community Council of Greater New York, pp. 11-19 (1979).
- 24. Paul Der Ohannesian, II, Esquire, Assistant District Attorney, Sexual Offense Unit, Albany District Attorney's Office, Albany Testimony.
- 25. Judith Becker, M.D., NYS Psychiatric Institute, Sexual Behavior Clinic, New York City and Albany Testimony; Larry Earl Wraight, Vice-President, NYS Federation on Child Abuse and Neglect, Onondaga County Child Protective Services, Buffalo Testimony; Groth, Nicholas A., Men Who Rape, Plenum Press, New York, pp. 219-221, 1979.
- 26. Judith Becker, M.D., NYS Psychiatric Institute, Sexual Behavior Clinic, New York City and Albany Testimony; Larry Earl Wraight, Vice-President, NYS Federation on Child Abuse and Neglect, Onondaga County Child Protective Services, Buffalo testimony; Groth, Nicholas A., Men Who Rape Plenum Press, New York, p. 10.
- 27. Marie C. Jerge, Reverend, Office of Gov. Ministry, Buffalo Testimony.
- 28. Phyllis Shiff, Family Advocates Services of Rochester, Buffalo Testimony.

29. List of witnesses who support the development of preventive education materials for children:

Elizabeth Holtzman, Esquire, District Attorney, Kings County Kathryn Conroy, Deputy Coordinator of Youth Services, Criminal Justice Coordinator's Office

Shelley Mitchell, Esquire, Chairperson, State Legislative Committee, Erocklyn Bar Association

Brenda Hart, Co-Chair, Bronx Child Abuse and Neglect Task Force Phyllis Wallace, LIVE (Let Incest Victims Emerge) Eileen Wolfe

Cesar A. Perales, Commissioner, NYS Department of Social Services Fiona Burde, Family Planning Advocates of New York State

Van Zwisohn, Esquire, Executive Director, New York State Coalition for Criminal Justice

Ellen Kelly-Lind, Schenectady Girls Club

Flora Colao, C.S.W., Co-Author, Your Children Should Know; Co-Director, Children's Creative Safety and Fitness Exchange

James Cameron, Executive Director, NYS Federation on Child Abuse and Neglect

Patricia Foscato Shaw, C.S.W., Coordinator, St. Anne Institute, Sex Abuse Prevention Project

Jo-Ann Beasley, Albany Rape Crisis Center, Child Sexual Abuse Prevention Counselor

Carol Fancis, R.N., NYS Nurses Association

Janet Sherman, Step 6 Project for Abused Children of Schenectady James Baxter, C.S.W., A.C.S.W., Children's Services Coordinator, Dutchess County Department of Mental Hygiene

Diane Erne, Director, Alliance

Kevin Karpowicz, M.D., Pediatrician

David Wall, Sargeant, Abused Persons Unit, Onondaga County Sheriff's Department

Eugene Aronowitz, Commissioner of Community Mental Health, Westchester County, New York

The Junior League of Albany, Inc.

Cindy Smith, Director, Rensselaer County Rape Crisis Program

John Ehrlich, Esquire, Chief of Family Crime Bureau of Suffolk County District Attorney's Office

Jewish Board of Family and Children's Services

Norman Katz, Director for Public Social Policy, Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies

Sandra C. Becker, M.S., Niagara Falls Community Mental Health Center George Marshall Worthington, International Health and Development Consultant

Len Lenihan, Erie County Legislator

Jen Henry, Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Center

Robert Clark, Esquire, Monroe County Special Assistant District Attorney, Bureau Chief, Domestic Violence Bureau

Kristina Young, Executive Director, Buffalo Planned Parenthood Andrew Coughlin, Jr., C.S.W., Orchard Park Central School District Lee Lyons, Executive Director, YWCA, Buffalo and Erie County Bonnie Chollet, United Cerebral Palsy, and Handicapped Children's

Association of Chemung County, Inc.
Ruby Green, Erie County Department of Health

Honorable Daniel D. Leddy, Jr., New York City Family Court Judge

Sharon Blatchley, Director of Services, Cortland County Department of Social Services

- 30. Girls Club of Schenectady; Step-6 Project, Albany Testimony.
- 31. Phyllis Shiff, Family Advoctes Services of Rochester, Albany testimony; Charles Syms, Child Protective Services Worker, on behalf of Erie County Department of Social Services, Buffalo testimony.
- 32. List of witnesses who support professional training:

Elizabeth Holtzman, Esquire, District Attorney, Kings County
Eileen Treacy, Chairperson, NYC Task Force on Rape
Gail Kong, Deputy Administrator, NYC Human Resources Administration
Howard Schwartz, M.D., Bronx Medical Society
Katherine Grim, M.D., Director, Ambulatory Pediatrics, Mt. Sinai Medical
Center

Robert Abrams, Esquire, Attorney General of New York State
Leonard Dunston, Director, NYS Division for Youth
Cesar A. Perales, Commissioner, NYS Department of Social Services
Jacqueline Parker, Esquire, Professor, Albany Law School
Richard M. Hamill, Coordinator, Juvenile Sex Offense Project, St. Anne
Institute

Van Zwisohn, Esquire, Executive Director, NYS Coalition for Criminal Justice

Rema Goldstein, District Director, Capital District PTA
Flora Colao, C.S.W., Co-Author, Your Child Should Know; Co-Director,
Children's Creative Safety and Fitness Exchange

Patricia Foscato Shaw, C.S.W., Coordinator, Sex Abuse Prevention Project, St. Anne Institute,

Judith Becker, M.D., NYS Psychiatric Institute, Sexual Behavior Clinic Carol Francis, R.N., NYS Nurses Association

Anne Paulle, Director, AEGIS Battered Women's Project

James Baxter, C.S.W., A.C.S.W., Children's Services Coordinator, Dutchess County Department of Mental Hygiene

Diane Erne, Director, Alliance

Andrea Burger, C.S.W., Director, Children and Family Services Kevin Karpowicz, M.D., Pediatrician

Irene Jackson, Author of A <u>Preliminary Survey of Adolescent Sex</u>
<u>Offenses in New York: Remendies and Recommendations</u>, on behalf of NYS Council of Churches

Eugene Aronowitz, Commissioner of Community Mental Health, Westchester County, New York

The Junior League of Albany, Inc.

Susan A. Murtha, A.C.S.W., Saratoga County Mental Health Center Cindy Smith, Director, Rensselaer County Rape Crisis Program Executive Committee of NYC Advisory Council on Alcoholism Sandra Becker, M.S., Niagara Falls Community Mental Health Center Len Lenihan, Erie County Legislator

Reverend Henry Gugino, Catholic Charities of Buffalo
James August, Erie County Task Force on Sexually Abusing Families
Bonnie Chollet, Director, United Cerebral Palsy and Handicapped
Children's Association of Chemung County, Inc.

Helen Rodriquez-Trias, M.D., Associate Director of Pediatrics, St. Lukes-Roosevelt Hospital Center

- Steven Schwartz, New York State Coalition for the Children of Alcoholic Families, Inc.
- 33. Sgroi, Suzanne M., <u>Sexual Abuse of Children</u>, Community Council of Greater New York, pp. 13-14 (1979); Sgroi, Suzanne M. <u>Handbook of Clinical Intervention in Child Sexual Abuse</u>, Lexington Books, Massachusetts, chapter 12, (1979).
- 34. Charles Syms, Child Protective Services Worker on behalf of Erie County Department of Social Services, Buffalo Testimony; Larry Earl Wraight, Vice-President, NYS Federation on Child Abuse and Neglect, Onondaga County Child Protective Services, Buffalo Testimony; The State Department of Social Services conducts interdisciplinary training and has offered to train judges.
- 35. List of witnesses who support innovations in child protective court procedures:

Elizabeth Holtzman, Esquire, District Attorney, Kings County Shelley Mitchell, Chairperson, State Legislative Committee, Brooklyn Bar Association

Howard Schwartz, M.D., Bronx Medical Society

Ann Bindman, M.D., Executive Director, Brooklyn Society for Prevention to Cruelty to Children

Robert Shack, New York County Lawyer's Association

Katherine Grim, M.D., Director, Ambulatory Pediatrics, Mt. Sinai Medical Center

Robert Abrams, Esquire, Attorney General of New York State Cesar A. Perales, Commissioner, NYS Department of Social Services Jacqueline Parker, Esquire, Professor, Albany Law School

David W. Lloyd, Esquire, Counsel, Division of Child Protection, Children's Hospital National Medical Center

Karen Mayer, Esquire, Attorney and parent of victim Anne Paulle, Director, AEGIS Battered Women's Project

Kevin Karpowicz, M.D., Pediatrician

The Junior League of Albany, Inc.

Honorable Norman Joslin, Buffalo Supreme Court

Robert Young, President, Genesee County Sheriff's Department

Larry Wraight, Vice President, NYS Federation On Child Abuse and Neglect, Chondaga County Child Protective Services

Ann Brinbach, Schuyler County Mental Health

Betsy Glaser Hurley, 2nd Assistant District Attorney, Niagara County

Reverend Marie C. Jerge, Office of Gov. Ministry

Bonnie Chollet, Director, United Cerebral Palsy and Handicapped

Children's Association of Chemung County, Inc.

Honorable Glenn R. Morton, Genesee County Court Judge

The Queensboro Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children Honorable Daniel D. Leddy, Jr., New York City Family Court Judge

- 36. Parker, J. Y., "The Child Witness Versus the Press: A Proposed Legislative Response to Globe vs. Superior Court," 47 Albany L. Rev. pp. 408, 431-65 (1983).
- 37. Loc cit. pp. 449-62.

- 38. Loc cit. Dassim; Jacqueline Parker, Esquire, Albany Testimony; American Bar Association, National Legal Resource Center for Child Advocacy and Protection, Child Sexual Abuse and the Law, chapters 8,9,10,11 (1983).
- 39. List of fourteen witnesses who expressly supported the increased penalty schemes of \$7200/A8345:

Robert Abrams, Esquire, Attorney General of New York State John G. Ehrlich, Esquire, Chief of Family Crime Bureau of Suffolk County District Attorney's Office Phyllis Schiff, Family Advocates Services of Rochester Robert Young, President, Genesee County Interagency Council Dennis Whitman, Genesee County Sheriff's Department Robert Clark, Esquire, Monroe County Special Assistant District Attorney, Bureau Chief, Domestic Violence Bureau Maureen Dressler, Erie County Child and Family Services Larry Wraight, Vice President, NYS Federation on Child Abuse and Neglect, Onondaga County Child Protective Services Andrew Coughlin, Jr., C.S.W., Orchard Park Central School District Reverend Henry Gugino, Catholic Charities of Buffalo James F. August, Erie County Task Force on Sexually Abusing Families Lee Lyons, Executive Director, YMCA Buffalo and Erie County Kahterine Grimm, M.D., Director Ambulatory Pediatrics, Mt. Sinai Medical Center Honorable Daniel D. Leddy, Jr., New York City Family Court Judge

40. Two witnesses who opposed the increased penalty scheme of \$7200/A.8345:

James Baxter, C.S.W., A.C.S.W., Children's Services Coordinator, Dutchess County Department of Mental Rygiene James F. August, Erie County Task Force on Sexually Abusing Families

41. Robert Abrams, Esquire, Attorney General of New York State, Albany Testimony; Judith Becker, M.D., NYS Psychiatric Institute, Sexual Behavior Clinic, New York City and Albany Testimony; Maureen Dressler, Erie County Child and Family Services, Buffalo Testimony; Henry Gugino, Reverend, Catholic Charities of Buffalo, Buffalo Testimony; Mt. Sinai Hospital, submitted Testimony; Larry Earl Wraight, Vice-President, NYS Federation on Child Abuse and Neglect, Onondaga County Child Protective Services, Buffalo Testimony; Groth, Nicholas A., Men Who Rape, Plenum Press, New York, pp. 219-220, 1979.

THE SENATE STATE OF NEW YORK ALBANY



THE ASSEMBLY STATE OF NEW YORK ALBANY

### HEARING NOTICE

The New York State Senate and Assembly Committees on Codes, the New York State Assembly Task Force on Women's Issues, the New York Senate Standing Committee on Child Care, the New York State Assembly Committee on Children and Families, and the Governor's Commission on Domestic Violence will conduct a series of public hearings to examine issues relating to child sexual abuse. The hearings will begin at 10:00 A.M. as follows:

December 14, 1983

44th Floor Hearing Room Two World Trade Center New York, New York

February 14, 1984

Assembly Parlor Room 306 - State Capitol Albany, New York

April 12, 1984

Part 1
Mahoney State Office Bldg.
Buffalo, New York

Nearly 4,000 children were reported victims of sexual abuse in 1982. The hearings are for the purpose of determining what legislative action can address this serious problem. Parent groups, educators, medical and mental health professionals, social workers, counseling services, attorneys who work in the field, and others familiar with the problems involved are invited to testify. Attached is a list of questions designed to focus the inquiry on a few suggested topics. The list, however, is not intended to preclude discussion or suggestions which are otherwise relevant to the area.

Persons interested in testifying should complete the form below and return it to Andrea D. Zaretzki, Coordinator for these hearings a week before the hearing date. Oral testimony will be limited to 10 minutes. Time may not permit all persons who are interested in testifying to present their testimony; however, written testimony may be submitted and will be included as part of the official hearing record. Twenty copies of prepared testimony should be presented at the time of the hearing.

Will Attend	Will Not	December 14, 1983	44th Floor Hearing Room Two World Trade Center New York, New York
Will Attend	Will Not	February 14, 1984	Assembly Parlor Room 306 - State Capitol Albany, New York
	: Ms. Andrea D N.Y.S. Assem	April 12, 1984  , Zaretzki, Coordinate bly Committee on Codes ive Office Building York 12248	
Name	Tel: (518) 4	55–4477	
Organization			

#### CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE - OUESPIONS

- 1. Is there accurate data on the frequency of sexual abuse of children? Does the data reflect how this is carried out? Is violence frequently connected with child sexual abuse? Physical injury? Physical force? Threats of force or injury?
- 2. Who are the most likely offenders? Who are the victims? What are the long-term effects?
- 3. How is the offense discovered? What evidence is available? How is it handled by local and state agencies? Social Services? Police? Prosecutors? Courts? Are parents, teachers, medical authorities reluctant to report incidents? If so, what should be done?
- 4. Traditionally, in New York, a child's complaint must be corroborated. Is this appropriate? Are children subject to influence, suggestion, or manipulation in this regard?
- 5. Should the rule of law be different if: (1) the accused is a member of the family? (2) the accused is an unrelated member of the household? (3) the complaint is immediate or greatly delayed? (4) force is used? (5) threat of force?

- 6. If the corroboration rule is to be abolished, should some other mechanism of judicial review be established? Can juries decide the issued without judicial screening? Do the charges tend to inflame or prejudice juries? Some contend that cross-examination of a child is not possible in front of a jury because of the sympathies that are aroused; is there merit to this argument?
- 7. If a judge is permitted to inquire into the facts of the case for the purpose of dispensing with the corroboration requirement, will this traumatize the child? Will it harm the child? Copies of Assembly Bills A.4852-A/S.3720-A, A.4852-B/S.3720-B, and Senate Bill 3822-A are enclosed. We welcome comment on the three bills. What protections does each afford the victims? the accused? Should the penalties for incest be structured in the same way as the penalties for other non-forcible sex offenses against children? Should the Penal Law be amended to include sexual contact (fondling) with the intent to degrade or humiliate the victim? Should the definition of sexual contact be amended to include touching the victim's sexual parts through clothing? Should a new crime of "sexually endangering" a child be added to the Penal Law?
- 8. Will any of the three enclosed bills result in more prosecutions? More convictions? Unjust results? Will any of the three bills help to reduce the incidence of child sex abuse?
- 9. What services are available to victims? Are they adequate? What are the most effective methods of helping the victim? What do they cost?
- 10. What services are available to offenders? Are they adequate? What is the effect of the mandatory imprisonment now provided by law? Has it worked to deter others, reduce recidivism, or escalate witness intimidation? Would mandatory treatment as an alternative to incarceration work?
- 11. What preventive or remedial devices are available? Should schools be more involved in identifying and reporting incidences of child sex abuse? Should children be removed from a home environment where the problem has arisen? Can we protect children without removing them from their homes? Can we prevent sexual abuse while keeping a family together?

### STATE OF NEW YORK

S. 7657

A. 9016

## SENATE-ASSEMBLY

#### February 13, 1984

IN SENATE -- Introduced by Sens. GOODHUE, STAFFORD, BRUNO, DALY, FLYNN, LAVALLE, LEVY, PADAVAN, PISANI, ROLISON, SCHERMERHORN, SMITH, TRUNZO, TULLY, VOLKER -- read twice and ordered printed, and when printed to be committed to the Committee on Codes

IN ASSEMBLY -- Introduced by M. of A. NEWBURGER, WEINSTEIN, PATTON, DANIELS, SLAUGHTER, M. H. MILLER, HOYT, BRODSKY, RETTALIATA, WESLEY -- Multi-Sponsored by -- M. of A. BARBARO, BARRAGA, CATAPANO, CONNELLY, CONNERS, COOKE, DAVIS, Del TORO, DUGAN, ENGEL, FELDMAN, FLANAGAN, FREDA, - GOLDSTEIN, GRABER, GRANNIS, HARENBERG, HIKIND, HINCHEY, HOBLOCK, JACOBS, KREMER, LAFAYETTE, LASHER, LIPSCHUTZ, MADISON, MARSHALL, MAYERSOHN, McPHILLIPS, MURTAUGH, NADLER, NORMAN, NOZZOLIO, ORAZIO, PASSANNANTE, PERONE, PORDUM, PROUD, ROBACH, W. J. RYAN, SALAND, SANDERS, SCHIMMINGER, SERRANO, SIEGEL, SPANO, TALLON, TEDISCO, VITALIANO, WALDON, WEPRIN, YEVOLI, ZIMMER -- read once and referred to the Committee on Codes

AN ACT to amend the penal law, in relation to certain offenses requiring corroboration

The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

Section 1. Section 130.16 of the penal law, as added by chapter fourteen of the laws of mineteen hundred seventy-four, is amended to read as follows:

\$ 130.16 Sex offenses; corroboration.

A person shall not be convicted of consensual sodomy, or an attempt to commit the same, or of any offense defined in this article of which lack of consent is an element but results solely from incapacity to consent because of the [alleged] victim's [age,] mental defect, or mental incapacity, or an attempt to commit the same, solely on the testimony of the [alleged] victim, unsupported by other evidence tending to:

11 (a) Establish that an attempt was made to engage the [alleged] victim
12 in sexual intercourse, deviate sexual intercourse, or sexual contact, as

13 the case may be, at the time of the [alleged] occurrence; and

EXPLANATION--Matter in <u>italics</u> (underscored) is new; matter in brackets
[ ] is old law to be omitted.

LBD13327-02-4

1 (b) Connect the defendant with the commission of the offense or at-2 tempted offense.

§ 2. Section 260.11 of such law, as amended by chapter fourteen of the laws of nineteen hundred seventy-four, is amended to read as follows:

5 § 260.11 Endangering the welfare of a child; corroboration.

A person shall not be convicted of endangering the welfare of a child, or of an attempt to commit the same, upon the testimony of [the alleged] a victim who is incapable of consent because of mental defect or mental incapacity as to conduct that constitutes an offense or an attempt to commit an offense referred to in section 130.16, without additional evidence sufficient pursuant to section 130.16 to sustain a conviction of an offense referred to in section 130.16, or of an attempt to commit the same.

14 § 3. This act shall take effect on the first day of November next suc-15 ceeding the date on which it shall have become a law.

8345

# IN ASSEMBLY

#### (Prefiled)

January 4, 1984

Introduced by M. of A. NEWBURGER, HOYT, WEINSTEIN, PATTON, DANIELS, BRODSKY, SLAUGHTER -- Multi-Sponsored by -- M. of A. BARBARO, CATA-PANO, CONNELLY, CONNERS, DAVIS, DelTORO, DUGAN, ENGEL, GRABER, GRAN-NIS, HARENBERG, HIKIND, HINCHEY, JACOBS, LAFAYETTE, LASHER, LIPSCHUTZ, MAYERSOHN, NADLER, PASSANNANTE, PERONE, PROUD, W. J. RYAN, SANDERS, SERRANO, SIEGEL, TALLON, VITALIANO, YEVOLI -- read once and referred to the Committee on Codes

AN ACT to amend the penal law, in relation to sexual abuse of children and repealing sections 130.16 and 260.11 of such law relating to corroboration

## The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

1 Section 1. Short title. This act shall be known as and may be cited as 2 "The child sexual abuse reform act of 1984."

3 § 2. Legislative intent. The legislature hereby finds and declares that the molestation of children by authority figures who take advantage of the trust of a vulnerable child creates a deep disturbance in the child that is carried into adulthood.

7 It is further found that it is the public policy of the state to pre8 vent the sexual abuse of children by relatives, strangers or persons
9 known to the child and to declare that such offenses must be met with
10 stringent penalties.

11 § 3. Section 255.25 of the penal law is amended to read as follows:

12 § 255.25 Incest in the fourth degree.

A person is guilty of incest in the fourth degree when he or she mar14 ries or engages in sexual intercourse or deviate sexual intercourse with
15 a person whom he or she knows to be related to him or her, either legi16 timately or [illegitimately] out of wedlock, as an ancestor, descendant,

17 brother or sister of either the whole or the half blood, uncle, aunt,

18 nephew or .niece.

19 Incest in the fourth degree is a class E felony.

\$ 4. Such law is amended by adding four new sections 255.26, 255.27,

21 255.28 and 255.29 to read as follows:

EXPLANATION--Matter in italics (underscored) is new; matter in brackets [ ] is old law to be omitted.

LBD06602-10-4

A. 8345

§ 255.26 Incest in the third degree. A person is guilty of incest in the third degree when he or she commits the crime of incest in the fourth degree and the actor is twentyone years old or more and the victim is less than seventeen years old. Incest in the third degree is a class D felony. § 255.27 Incest in the second degree. person is guilty of incest in the second degree when he or she com-8 mits the crime of incest in the fourth degree and the actor is eighteen years old or more and the victim is less than fourteen years old. 10 Incest in the second degree is a class C felony.

§ 255.28 Incest in the first degree. 11

12 A person is guilty of incest in the first degree when he or she com-13 mits the crime of incest in the fourth degree and the actor is sixteen years old or more and the victim is less than eleven years old. 14 15

Incest in the first degree is a class B felony.

§ 255.29 Incest; no defense. 16

17

19 20

23

24 25

26

27

29 30

32

35 36 37

38

39 40

41 42

45 46

47

53

In any prosecution for incest it is no defense that the victim was not 18 in fear or acquiesced in the act.

§ 5. Sections 130.16 and 260.11 of such law are REPEALED.

§ 6. Section 260.10 of such law, subdivision one as amended by chapter three hundred eighty-nine of the laws of nineteen hundred seventy and 21 subdivision two as amended by chapter nine hundred twenty of the laws of nineteen hundred eighty-two, is amended to read as follows:

§ 260.10 Endangering the welfare of a child in the fourth degree.

A person is guilty of endangering the welfare of a child in the fourth degree when:

1. He or she knowingly acts in a manner likely to be injurious to the physical, mental or moral welfare of a [male child less than sixteen years old or a female child less than seventeen years old or directs or authorizes such child to engage in an occupation involving a substantial risk of danger to his life or health; or

2. Being a parent, guardian or other person legally charged with the care or custody of a child less than [eighteen] seventeen years old, he 33 or she fails or refuses to exercise reasonable diligence in the control of such child to prevent him from becoming an "abused child," a "neglected child," a "juvenile delinquent" or a "person in need of supervision," as those terms are defined in articles ten, three and seven of the family court act.

Endangering the welfare of a child in the fourth degree is a class A misdemeanor.

§ 7. Such law is amended by adding four new sections 260.11, 260.12, 260.13 and 260.14 to read as follows:

43 \$ 260.11 Endangering the welfare of a child in the third degree. 44

A person is guilty of endangering the welfare of a child in the third degree when such person is Ewenty-one years old or more and engages in a course of conduct or repeatedly commits acts which subject a child less than seventeen years old to sexual contact and such person is:

1. A parent, guardian or other person legally charged with the care, supervision or custody of such child; or control,

49 2. A relative of such child either legitimately or out of wedlock as 50 51 an ancestor, descendant, brother, or sister of either the whole or the half blood, uncla, aunt, nephew or niece; or

3. Unrelated to the chick but is an occupant or a guest in the same residence as the child.

A. 8345

Endangering the welfare of a child in the third degree is a class E felony.

§ 260.12 Endangering the welfare of a child in the second degree.

A person is guilty of endangering the welfare of a child in the second 5 degree where such person is a person described in subdivision one, two or three of section 260.11 of this article, is eighteen years old or more and engages in a course of conduct or repeatedly commits acts which subject a child less than fourteen years old to sexual contact.

Endangering the welfare of a child in the second degree is a class D

10 felony.

11

13

14

15 16

17

23

39

§ 260.13 Endangering the welfare of a child in the first degree.

A person is guilty of endangering the welfare of a child in the first degree when such person is a person described in subdivision one, two, or three of section 260.11 of this article, is sixteen years old or more and engages in a course of conduct or repeatedly commits acts which subject a child less than eleven years old to sexual contact.

Endangering the welfare of a child in the first degree is a class C

18 felony.

19 § 260.14 Sexual contact; definition.

20 For the purposes of this article, sexual contact shall have the same 21 meaning ascribed to it by subdivision three of section 130.00 of this chapter. 22

§ 8. Subdivision three of section 130.00 of such law is amended to

read as follows:

3. "Sexual contact" means any touching of or by the sexual or other 25 26 intimate parts of a person [not married to the actor] for the purpose of sexually arousing or gratifying sexual desire of either party. It includes the touching of the actor by the victim, as well as the touching 27 28 29 of the victim by the actor, whether directly or through clothing. "Intimate parts" means the genital area, groin, anal area, inner thighs, 30 31 buttocks of any person or the breast of a female.

§ 9. Subdivision nine of section 130.00 of such law, as amended by 32 33 chapter six hundred ninety-six of the laws of nineteen hundred eighty-

34 one, is amended to read as follows:

9. "Foreign object" means any instrument or article [which, when] in-35 . 36 serted in the vagina, urethra, penis or rectum[, is capable of causing physical injury]. For the purposes of this subdivision the term "foreign 37 object" shall include a finger.

§ 10. This act shall take effect on the first day of November next 38

40 succeeding the date on which it shall have become a law.

### STATE OF NEW YORK

S. 3720--A

A. 4852--A

1983-1984 Regular Sessions

## SENATE-ASSEMBLY

March 1, 1983

- IN SENATE -- Introduced by Sens. GOODHUE, LACK, KNORR, PISANI, ROLISON -- read twice and ordered printed, and when printed to be committed to the Committee on Codes -- committee discharged, bill amended, ordered reprinted as amended and recommitted to said committee
- IN ASSEMBLY -- Introduced by M. of A. NEWBURGER, HOYT, PATTON, DANIELS, WEINSTEIN -- Multi-Sponsored by -- M. of A. BARBARO, BRANCA, CATAPANO, CONNELLY, CONNERS, COOKE, DAVIS, DelTORO, DUGAN, ENGEL, GRABER, GRANNIS, HARENBERG, HIKIND, HINCHEY, JACOBS, KREMER, LAFAYETTE, LASHER, LIPSCHUTZ, MARSHALL, MAYERSOHN, McPHILLIPS, MURTAUGH, NADLER, NINE, PASSANNANTE, PERONE, PORDUM, PROUD, ROBACH, W. J. RYAN, SANDERS, SERRANO, SIEGEL, SLAUGHTER, TALLON, VITALIANO, YEVOLI, ZIMMER -- read once and referred to the Committee on Codes -- committee discharged, bill amended, ordered reprinted as amended and recommitted to said committee
- AN ACT to amend the penal law, in relation to sexual abuse of children and repealing sections 130.16 and 260.11 of such law relating to corroboration

The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

- Section 1. Short title. This act shall be known as and may be cited as "The child sexual abuse reform act of 1983."
- § 2. Legislative intent. The legislature hereby finds and declares that the molestation of children by authority figures who take advantage of the trust of a vulnerable child creates a deep disturbance in the child that is carried into adulthood.
- 7 It is further found that it is the public policy of the state to pre-8 vent the sexual abuse of children by relatives, strangers or persons 9 known to the child and to declare that such offenses must be met with 0 stringent penalties.
- 11 § 3. Section 255.25 of the penal law is amended to read as follows:

EXPLANATION--Matter in italics (underscored) is new; matter in brackets
[ ] is old law to be omitted.

LBD06602-07-3

§ 255.25 Incest in the fourth degree.

A person is guilty of incest in the fourth degree when he or she marries or engages in sexual intercourse or deviate sexual intercourse with a person whom he or she knows to be related to him or her, either legitimately or [illegitimately] out of wedlock, as an ancestor, descendant, brother or sister of either the whole or the half blood, uncle, aunt, nephew or niece.

Incest in the fourth degree is a class E felony.

 Such law is amended by adding four new sections 255.26, 255.27. 10 255.28 and 255.29 to read as follows:

§ 255.26 Incest in the third degree. 11

12

22

23 25

27 28

29

30

31 32

33

37

38

39

40

42

45

47

43 44

A person is guilty of incest in the third degree when he or she commits the crime of incest in the fourth degree and the actor is 13 one years old or more and the victim is less than seventeen years old. 15

Incest in the third degree is a class D felony.

16 § 255127 Incest in the second degree. .

17 A person is guilty of incest in the second degree when he or she commits the crime of incest in the fourth degree and the actor is eighteen 18 years old or more and the victim is less than fourteen years old. 19

Incest in the second degree is a class C felony.

§ 255.28 Incest in the first degree. 21

A person is guilty of incest in the first degree when he or she commits the crime of incest in the fourth degree and the actor is sixteen years or more and the victim is less than eleven years old.

Incest in the first degree is a class B felony.

§ 255.29 Incest; no defense.

In any prosecution for incest it is no defense that the victim was not in fear or acquiesced in the act.

§ 5. Sections 130.16 and 260.11 of such law are REPEALED.

§ 6. Section 260.10 of such law, subdivision one as amended by chapter three hundred eighty-nine of the laws of nineteen hundred seventy and subdivision two as amended by chapter nine hundred twenty of the laws of nineteen hundred eighty-two, is amended to read as follows:

\$ 260.10 Endangering the welfare of a child in the fourth degree.

34 35 A person is guilty of endangering the welfare of a child in the fourth 36 degree when:

1. He or she knowingly acts in a manner likely to be injurious to the physical, mental or moral welfare of a [male child less than sixteen years old or a female] child less than seventeem years old or directs or authorizes such child to engage in an occupation involving a substantial 41 risk of danger to his life or health; or

2. Being a parent, guardian or other person legally charged with the care or custody of a child less than [eighteen] seventeen years old, he or she fails or refuses to exercise reasonable diligence in the control of such child to prevent him from becoming an "abused child," neglected child," a "juvenile delinquent" or a "person in need "neglected child," a "juvenile delinquent" or a "person in need of supervision," as those terms are defined in articles ten, three and seven of the family court act.

49 Endangering the welfare of a child in the fourth degree is a class A 50 misdemeanor.

51 § 7. Such law is amended by adding four new sections 260.11, 260.12, 52 260.13 and 260.14 to read as follows:

53 § 260.11 Endangering the welfare of a child in the third degree.

A person is guilty of endangering the welfare of a child in the third degree when such person is twenty-one years old or more and engages in a

course of conduct or repeatedly commits acts which subject a child less than seventeen years old to sexual contact and such person is:

1. A parent, guardian or other person legally charged with the care, control, supervision or custody of such child; or

2. A relative of such child either legitimately or out of wedlock as an ancestor, descendant, brother, or sister of either the whole or the half blood, uncle, aunt, nephew or niece; or

3. Unrelated to the child but is an occupant or a guest in the same residence as the child.

Endangering the welfare of a child in the third degree is a class E 10 felony. 11

§ 260.12 Endangering the welfare of a child in the second degree.

A person is guilty of endangering the welfare of a child in the second degree where such person is a person described in subdivision one, or three of section 260.11 of this article, is eighteen years old or more and engages in a course of conduct or repeatedly commits acts which subject a child less than fourteen years old to sexual contact.

Endangering the welfare of a child in the second degree is a class D felony.

20 § 260.13 Endangering the welfare of a child in the first degree.

A person is guilty of endangering the welfare of a child in the first degree when such person is a person described in subdivision one, two, or three of section 260.11 of this article, is sixteen years old or more and engages in a course of conduct or repeatedly commits acts which subject a child less than eleven years old to sexual contact.

Endangering the welfare of a child in the first degree is a class C felony.

27 28

12 13

14

17

19

22 23

25

29

30 31

32

33

34 35

36

38 39

40

43

§ 260.14 Sexual contact; definition.

For the purposes of this article, sexual contact shall have the same meaning ascribed to it by subdivision three of section 130.00 of this

§ 8. Subdivision three of section 130.00 of such law is amended to read as follows:

3. "Sexual contact" means any touching of or by the sexual or other intimate parts of a person [not married to the actor] for the purpose of sexually arousing or gratifying sexual desire of either party. It includes the touching of the actor by the victim, as well as the touching of the victim by the actor, whether directly or through clothing. "Intimate parts" means the genital area, groin, anal area, inner thighs, buttocks of any person or the breast of a female.

§ 9. Subdivision nine of section 130.00 of such law, as amended by 41 , 42 chapter six hundred ninety-six of the laws of nineteen hundred eightyone, is amended to read as follows:

9. "Foreign object" means any instrument or article [which, when] inserted in the vagina, urethra, penis or rectum[, is capable of causing physical injury]. For the purposes of this subdivision the term " object" shall include a finger. 47

§ 10. This act shall take effect on the first day of September next 49 succeeding the date on which it shall have become a law.

### -STATE OF NEW YORK

S. 3720--B

A. 4852--B

1983-1984 Regular Sessions

# SENATE-ASSEMBLY

March 1, 1983

IN SENATE -- Introduced by Sens. GOODHUE, LACK, KNORR, PISANI, ROLISON -- read twice and ordered printed, and when printed to be committed to the Committee on Codes -- committee discharged, bill amended, ordered reprinted as amended and recommitted to said committee -- committee discharged, bill amended, ordered reprinted as amended and recommitted to said committee.

IN ASSEMBLY -- Introduced by M. of A. NEWBURGER, WEINSTEIN, PATTON, DANIELS, SLAUGHTER, M. H. MILLER, HOYT, BRODSKY, WESLEY -- Multi-Sponsored by -- M. of A. BARBARO, BRANCA, CATAPANO, CONNELLY, CONNERS, COOKE, DAVIS, DelTORO, DUGAN, ENGEL, FLANAGAN, GRABER, GRANNIS, HAREN-BERG, HIKIND, HINCHEY, HOBLOCK, JACOBS, KREMER, LAFAYETTE, LASHER, LIPSCHUTZ, MADISON, MARSHALL, MAYERSOHN, MCPHILLIPS, MURTAUGH, NADLER, NINE, PASSANNANTE, PERONE, PORDUM, PROUD, ROBACH, W. J. RYAN, SALAND, SANDERS, SERRANO, SIEGEL, SPANO, TALLON, VITALIANO, YEVOLI, ZIMMER -- read once and referred to the Committee on Codes -- committee discharged, bill amended, ordered reprinted as amended and recommittee to said committee -- reported and referred to the Committee on Rules -- Rules Committee discharged, bill amended, ordered reprinted as amended and recommitted to the Committee on Rules

AN ACT to amend the criminal procedure law, in relation to corroboration of a victim's testimony in the prosecution of sex offenses and to repeal section 130.16 of the penal law relating to such corroboration

The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

Section 1. Section 130.16 of the penal law is REPEALED.

\$ 2. The criminal procedure law is amended by adding a new section 60.21 to read as follows:

4 § 60.21 Rules of evidence; corroboration of testimony in certain sex offenses.

EXPLANATION--Matter in <u>italics</u> (underscored) is new; matter in brackets
[ ] is old law to be omitted.

LBD06602-09-3

1. A person shall not be convicted of consensual sodomy or an attempt to commit the same, or of an offense defined in article one hundred thirty of the penal law, or an attempt to commit the same, of which lack of consent is an element, and which results solely from incapacity to consent because of the alleged victim's mental defect, mental incapacity or, except as provided in subdivision two, because of the age of the alleged victim when such victim was under twelve years of age at the time of the alleged occurrence, solely on the testimony of the alleged victim, unsupported by other evidence tending to:

(a) Establish that an attempt was made to engage the alleged victim in sexual intercourse, deviate sexual intercourse, or sexual contact, as the case may be at the time of the alleged occurrence; and

10

11

12 13 14

15

17

19

22

23

29

31

the case may be, at the time of the alleged occurrence; and
(b) Connect the defendant with the commission of the offense or attempted offense.

A person may be convicted solely on the testimony unsupported by evidence otherwise required by subdivision one of the victim of an offense enumerated in subdivision one resulting from incapacity to consent because of the age of the victim, when such victim was under twolve years of age at the time of the occurrence, as provided in this subdivision. Upon application of the district attorney, the victim shall be examined concerning the facts which form the basis of the charge and the victim's ability to recount them. Such examination shall be conducted at the request of the district attorney either prior to or at trial. If at trial, it shall be conducted outside the presence and hearing of the jury and before reception of the victim's testimony. The examination may be conducted at the same time as an inquiry conducted pursuant to section 60.20. If at the conclusion of the examination the court is satisfied that in light of the victim's demeanor and responses, as well as the surrounding facts and circumstances, notwithstanding a lack of supporting evidence otherwise required by subdivision one, there exists sufficient indicia of reliability, then such evidence shall not be required for conviction.

§ 3. This act shall take effect on the sixtleth day after it shall have become a law.

## STATE OF NEW YORK

3822--A

1983-1984 Regular Sessions

## IN SENATE

March 1, 1983

Introduced by Sens. VOLKER, GOODHUE -- read twice and ordered printed, and when printed to be committed to the Committee on Codes -- committee discharged, bill amended, ordered reprinted as amended and recommitted to said committee

AN ACT to amend the penal law, in relation to corroboration of children's testimony in prosecution for sex offenses

The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

Section 1. Section 130.16 of the penal law, as added by chapter fourteen of the laws of nineteen hundred seventy-four, is amended to read as follows:

4 § 130.16 Sex offenses; corroboration.

[A] 1. Except after a finding by the court as provided in subdivision two, a person shall not be convicted of consensual sodowy, or an attempt to commit the same, or of any offense defined in this article of which lack of consent is an element but results solely from incapacity to consent because of the alleged victim's age, mental defect, or mental incapacity, or an attempt to-commit the same, solely on the testimony of the alleged victim, unsupported by other evidence tending to:

(a) Establish that an attempt was made to engage the alleged victim in sexual intercourse, deviate sexual intercourse, or sexual contact, as the case may be, at the time of the alleged occurrence; and

5 (b) Connect the defendant with the commission of the offense or at-

2. In any prosecution for an offense defined in this article, or an attempt to commit the same, of which lack of consent is an element and which results solely from incapacity to consent because of the alleged victim's age; the court must, upon application of the district attorney, permit examination by the parties of the alleged victim concerning the

facts which form the basis of the charge and witness' ability to recount EXPLANATION--Matter in italics (underscored) is new; matter in brackets [ ] is old law to be omitted.

LBD02198-03-3

them. Such inquiry shall be conducted either prior to trial or, if at trial, outside the presence and hearing of the jury and before reception of the witness' testimony. If, at the conclusion of such inquiry, the court is satisfied that, in light of the witness' demeanor, testimony, and all the surrounding facts and circumstances, there exist sufficient indicia of reliability, notwithstanding a lack of corroboration as otherwise required by subdivision one, it may permit the witness to testify and the prosecution to proceed to judgment.

§ 2. This act shall take effect immediately.

WITNESS LIST (New York City)

December 14, 1984

Elizabeth Holtzman, Esq.

Eileen Treacy

Archibald R. Murray, Esq.

Eileen Treacy

Karen Andrews

Jeanine Pirro, Esc.

Oren Root, Jr., Esq.

Dr. Marvin Blumberg

Ms. Helen Neuborne

Marcella Maxwell

Shelley Mitchell, Esq.

Sheila Cohen

Valerie Heller

Dr. Judith Becker

Florence Rush

Dr. Irving Praeger, Esq.

Lillian Paoli

Emelia Colon

Louise Armstrong

Dr. Deborah S. Saunders

Pat Lemp

Dr. Howard S. Schwartz

Brenda J. Hart

District Attorney, Kings County

Chairperson, New York City Task Force on Rape

Executive Director, Attorney-in-Chief, The Legal

Aid Society

"A Voice"

Supervisor, Crime Victims Assistance Unit, Bronk-Nancy Borko Supervising Attorney, Domestic Violence

Unit-Office of Mario Merola

Domestic Violence Unit, Westchester County, D.A.

Private Attorney

Chairman, Committee on Child Abuse

NYS Medical Society

Deputy Coordinator for Juvenile Affairs,

Criminal Justice Coordinator's Office, presenting Kathryn Conroy's testimony—Officer of Coordinator

of Youth Services

Chairperson, New York City Commission on the

Status of Women

Chairperson, State Legislative Committee, Brooklyn

Bar Association

Chairman of Legislative Activity, New York Congress

of Parents and Teachers, Inc.

VOICES (Victims of Incest Can Emerge Survivors)

NYS Psychiatric Institute, Sexual Behavior Clinic

Author

Director, Children's Justice Center, La Verme,

California

First Assistant Deputy Administrator, New York

City Human Resources Administration, on behalf of

Gail Kong, Deputy Administrator

Social Worker, Ambulatory Health Care Facility

Founder of Incest Survivors Self-Help Organization

Author

Assistant Professor of Pediatrics, Albert Einstein

College of Medicine

Director, Bronx Community Offices, Victim Services

Agency

Bronx Medical Society

Co-Chair, Bronx Child Abuse and Neglect Task Force

#### WITNESS LIST (New York) cont'd

Marion Lindauer Psychiatric Social Worker

Ann Bindman Executive Director, Brooklyn Society for the

Prevention of Cruelty to Children

Robert J. Schack, Esq. Chairman, Committee on Law, Psychology and Psychiatry

and Medical Jurisprudence, New York County Lawyer's

Association

Phyllis Wallace LIVE (Let Incest Victims Emerge)

Eileen Wolfe Private Citizen

Linda Fairstein, Esq. Assistant District Attorney, New York County

DA's office

WITNESS LIST (Albany)

February 14, 1984

Robert Abrams, Esq.

Leonard G. Dunston

Judy Eimicke

Johanna Ferman, M.D.

Professor Jacqueline Parker, ESO.

Howard Relin, Esq.

Fiona Burde

Paul Der Cahannesian, II, Esq.

David W. Lloyd, ESQ.

Alan Trager, ACSW

Richard M. Hamill, Ph.D.

Van Zwisohn, ESQ.

Rema Goldstein

Karen Mayer, ESQ.

Ellen Kelly-Lind

Flora Colao

James S. Cameron

Patricia Foscato Shaw, C.S.W.

Barbara C. Miller

Doctor Judith Becker

Attorney General of New York State

Director, NYS Division for Youth

Associate Commissioner, NYS Department of Social Services, on behalf of Commissioner

Cesar A. Perales

Deputy Commissioner for Clinical Services, New York State Office of Mental Health, on

behalf of Commissioner Steven E. Katz

Albany Law School

"The Child Witness versus the Press: A Proposed Legislative Response to

Globe v. Superior Court"

"The Rights of Child Witnesses: Is the Court a Protector or Perpetrator?"

Monroe County District Attorney

Associate Director, Family Planning Advocate

of New York State

Assistant District Attorney, Sexual Offense

Unit, Albany District Attorney's Office

Division of Child Protection, Children's

Hospital National Medical Center

Project Director, Victims of Incest Program,

Westchester Jewish Community Services

Co-coordinator, Sex Abuse Prevention Project

Executive Director, NYS Coalition for

Criminal Justice, Inc.

Juvenile Protection Chairperson, Capital

District PTA

Parent of Victim

Schenectady Girls Club, Inc.

CSW, Co-author, Your Children Should Know,

Co-Director, Children's Creative Safety and

Fitness Exchange

Executive Director, NYS Federation on Child

Abuse and Neglect

Coordinator, St. Anne Institute Sex Abuse

Prevention Project

Parent of Victim

Sexual Behavior Clinic, NYS Psychiatric

Institute

#### WITNESS LIST (Albany) cont'd

Virginia Davidson

Jo Ann C. Beasley

Judith V. Condo

Carol Francis, MS, R.N.

Janet M. Sherman

Anne Paulle

Diane Erne

Andrea Burger, C.S.W.

James Baxter, C.S.W., A.C.S.W.

Schenectady Citizens Task Force on Child Abuse/Neglect

Child Sexual Abuse Prevention Counselor, Albany Rape Crisis Center

Director, Albany County Rape Crisis Center National Coalition Against Sexual Assault, Region I Representative

Member of the NYS Nurses Association

Project Coordinator, Schenectady Citizen's Task Force on Child Abuse and Neglect, the Step 6 Project

Program Director, Aegis Battered Women's Shelter of the Project Return Foundation

Director, Alliance

Director, Children and Family Services

Children's Services Coordinator, Dutchess County Department of Mental Hygiene WITNESS LIST (Buffalo)

April 12, 1984

Elizabeth Holtzman, Esc.

Kings County District Attorney

Hororable Morman Joslin

Ten Lenihan

Buffalo Supreme Court Erie County Legislature

Shepard Goldberg

Jen Henry

Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Center Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Center

John Mikhalek, Esq.

Assistant District Attorney for Richard Arcara, District

Attorney, Erie County

Vice President of New York State District Attorney's

Association

Dorothy Brown

Haven House

Phyllis Shiff

Family Advocates Services

Reverend Henry Gugino

Catholic Charities of Euffalo

Cennis Wittman

Community Service/Victim Assistance Coordinator,

Genesee County Sheriff's Department

Kristina Young

Executive Director, Buffalo Planned Parenthood

Michael Merrick

Executive Director, Buffalo Area Council of Alcoholism

Helen Claus

Parent of a Victim

Robert Clark, Esq.

Monroe County Special Assistant District Attorney,

Bureau Chief, Domestic Violence Bureau

Maureen Dressler

Child and Family Services

Larry Wraight

NYS Federation of Child Abuse and Meglect, Child

Protective Services, Onondaga County

Rhonda Mavs

Children's Services Unit, Schuyler County Department

of Social Services

Wendy

Survivor Survivor

Marie

Fran Okel

Parents Anonymous of Buffalo and Erie County

James F. August

Erie County Task Force on Sexually Abusing Families

Ann Birmbach

Schuyler County Mental Health

Glenna-Jo

Survivor

Carol

Survivor

William Burke

Alcoholics Aronymous

Ruby Green

Erie County Department of Health

Betsv Glaser Hurley, Esq.

2nd Assistant District Attorney, Miacara County

Ardrew V. Coughlin

Orchard Park Central School, District Child

Protection Liaison

Charles Eyms

Erie County Child Protective Services, Department

of Social Services

Jeanne A. Smith

Incest Survivor

#### WITNESS LIST (Buffalo) cont'd

Reverend Marie C. Jerge

Elizabeth Gerber

Judity Perry Walters

Mary Rattle

Steven Schwartz

Office of Gov. Ministry

Private Citizen

Parent of Abused Children

Private Citizen

New York State Coalition for the Children of

Alcoholic Families, Inc.

#### SURMITTED TESTIMONY

Rape Crisis Service of Schenectady, Inc.

Kevin Karpowicz, M.D.

Saratoga County Task Force on Child Abuse and Neglect, Inc.

SGT. David M. Wall

Irene Jackson

Estelle Friedman, M.S.W., C.S.W.

Eugene Aronowitz

Committee on the Prevention of Child Sexual Abuse

Mental Health Association of Westchester County, Inc.

Pobert K. Houser, M.S.W.

The Junior League of Albany, Inc.

Gerry Ronneburger

Sue Ellen Dodell

Susan A. Murtha, A.C.S.W.

Cindy Smith

Maud Easter

Executive Committee of New York City Advisory Council on Alcoholism John G. Ehrlich, ESQ.

Jewish Board of Family and Children's Services Pediatrician

Abused Persons Unit, Orondaga County Sheriff's Department

Author of A Preliminary Survey of Adolescent Sex Offenses in New York: Remedies & Recommendations, on behalf of NYS Council of Churches

Clinical Director, Rockland Family Shelter for Victims of Domestic Violence

Commissioner of Community Mental Health, Westchester County, New York

Westchester County, New York

Administrative Supervisor, Child Protective Services, Broome County Department of Social Services

Director, RASCAL (Reform All Sexual Child Abuse Laws)

Chair, Legislative Committee, New York City Advisory Task Force on Rape

Supervising Social Worker-Team Leader, Saratoga County Mental Health Center

Director, Rensselaer County Rape Crisis Program, Member of Rensselaer County Task Force on Child Abuse and Neglect

Lobbyist, National Organization for Women, on behalf of Carol Kope

Chair, Sexual Assault Task Force

Chief of the Family Crime Bureau of Suffolk County District Attorney's Office

-46-

#### SUBMITTED TESTIMONY

(cont'd)

Sandy Oliva

Norman Katz

Philip C. Brockmyre

Sandra C. Becker, M.S.

Dr. Katherine Grimm,

Ms. Virginia Walther

The Queensboro Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children

Honorable Daniel D. Leddy, Jr.

Joseph A. D'Elia

Irwin Rockoff

Ms. Sharon Blatchley

George Marshall Worthington

Joanne Sadler

Bonnie Chollet

Lee Lyons

Peter M. Patten

Dr. Helen Rodriquez-Trias

Ex-Husband of Barbara Miller (Name Withheld)

Peter J. Mancuso, Jr.

Director, Coalition for Abused Women, Inc., Nassau County

Director for Public Social Policy, Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies

Coordinator of Child Protective Services, County of Monroe, Department of Social Services

Niagara Falls Community Mental Health Center, Niagara Falls Memorial Medical Center

Director, Ambulatory Pediatrics, Mt. Sinai Medical Center

and

CSO Social Work Dept.

New York City Family Court Judge

Nassau County Social Services Commissioner

Ontario Social Services Commissioner

Director of Services, Cortland County
Department of Social Services

International Health and Development Consultant

Helping Teacher Curriculum, Buffalo Public

Schools

Director, United Cerebral Palsy and Handicapped Children's Association of Chemung County, Inc.

Executive Director, YWCA, Buffalo and Erie

County

11 Duane Avenue, Binghamton, New York

Associate Director of Pediatrics, St. Lukes-Roosevelt Hospital Center

Sergeant, New York City Police Department

### SUBMITTED TESTIMONY

(cont'd)

Doe Stevens
Robert Young
Honorable Glenn R. Morton

Director, S.H.A.R.F. Program

President, Genesee County Interagency Council
Genesee County Court Judge