
CR Sent MF-1

Statistical Analysis Center













1985

HISSOURI LAW ENFORCEMENT

EMPLOYMENT AND ASSAULT

REPORT 85

Principal Staff Researchers:

Phyllis Emmel, Research Analyst Bill Aber, Programmer/Analyst

MISSOURI STATISTICAL ANALYSIS CENTER
1510 East Elm
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
(314) 751-4026

NGJRS

JAN 12 1980

ACQUISITEDRIS

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

109831

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

Missouri Department of Public Safety Statistical Analysis Center

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

FOREWORD

This publication is a comprehensive report on law enforcement employment and assault statistics in Missouri. Each year these statistics will be updated and published as a continuing report series. This issue provides statistics for the period 1976 - 1985.

The Advisory Board of the Statistical Analysis Center urges each law enforcement administrator to continue to participate in the FBI Uniform Crime Reporting System and to report all requested data as accurately and completely as possible.

ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS

Richard C. Rice, Director Department of Public Safety

Colonel Howard J. Hoffman, Superintendent Missouri State Highway Patrol

Ken Hartke, Director
Division of Planning/Research/EDP Coordination
Department of Corrections and Human Resources

Timothy Anderson, Assistant Attorney Office of the Attorney General

Donald Maples, Management Analyst
Office of Legislation and Regulations
Department of Revenue

John Bonnot, Deputy Director Division of Youth Services Department of Social Services

ACKNOYLEDGEMENTS

This report is the fourth in a series published by the Missouri Statistical Analysis Center which contains information on officers killed and assaulted in the State of Missouri as well as information on Missouri law enforcement employment levels. Data used in this report come primarily from the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Reporting System (UCR). In addition, information on officers killed was obtained from the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Law Enforcement Officers Killed and Assaulted publications.

It should be noted that a number of Federal, State, and local law enforcement agencies were instrumental in the development of this document. First, a special note of thanks is given to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, UCR Section, for providing the officer assault and employment computerized databases that were analyzed and the findings displayed in this report. Second, appreciation is extended to the Missouri State Highway Patrol who provided the data processing resources that were employed to process and analyze the UCR data. Third, appreciation is extended to those Missouri law enforcement agencies who voluntarily submitted information on their officer assaults and employment levels into the Uniform Crime Reporting Program.

Finally, the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics is to be commended for providing the federal grant funds used to support the development, printing, and dissemination of this and other criminal justice publications produced by the Missouri Statistical Analysis Center.

Martin P. Carso Jr., Director Missouri Statistical Analysis Center

CONTENTS

		PAGE
EXEC	CUTIVE SUMMARY	
INTR	ODUCTION	i
1.0	LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS KILLED IN MISSOURI	3
2.0	MISSOURI LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ASSAULTED	9
3.0	MISSOURI LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLOYMENT	23
4.0	MISSOURI LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY EMPLOYMENT/ASSAULT ANALYSIS	29
GLOS	SARY	43

TABLES

		PAGE
LAW	ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS KILLED IN MISSOURI	
1.1	Law Enforcement Officers Killed in the Line of Duty, State of Missouri, 1976-1985 Law Enforcement Officers Feloniously Killed by Type of	5
	Weapon, State of Missouri, 1976-1985	7
MISS	OURI LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ASSAULTED	
2.1	Law Enforcement Officers Assaulted and Assault Rates, State of Missouri, 1981-1985	12
2.2	Law Enforcement Officers Assaulted by Type of Weapon, State of Missouri, 1981-1985	13
2.3	Law Enforcement Officers Assaulted by Population Group, State of Missouri, 1985 Law Enforcement Officers Assaulted, Occurrence of In-	15
2.5	jury by Type of Weapon, State of Missouri, 1985 Law Enforcement Officers Assaulted by Type of Activity	16
2.6	State of Missouri, 1985Law Enforcement Officers Assaulted by Type of Assign-	17
2.7	ment, State of Missouri, 1985 Law Enforcement Officers Assaulted, Type of Weapon by	18
2.8	Type of Circumstance, State of Missouri, 1985 Law Enforcement Officers Assaulted, Type of Assignment by Type of Circumstance, State of Missouri, 1985	19 20
	by Type of Officialistation, beate of Hissouri, 1905	20
MISS	OURI LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLOYMENT	
3.1	Law Enforcement Sworn and Civilian Employees by Population Group, State of Missouri, 1981-1985 (Actual)	25
3.2	Law Enforcement Sworn and Civilian Employees by Population Group, State of Missouri, 1981-1985 (Estimated)	25
3.3	Law Enforcement Sworn Employees by Population Group, State of Missouri, 1981-1985 (Actual) Law Enforcement Sworn Employees by Population Group,	26
3.5	State of Missouri, 1981-1985 (Estimated)	26
- · -	State of Missouri, 1981-1985 (Actual)	27

TABLES

		PAGE
3.6	Law Enforcement Civilian Employees by Population Group,	
	State of Missouri, 1981-1985 (Estimated)	27
3.7		
	tion Group, State of Missouri, 1985 (Actual)	28
3.8		
	tion Group, State of Missouri, 1985 (Estimated)	28
MISS	OURI LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY EMPLOYMENT/ASSAULT ANALYSIS	•
4.1	Law Enforcement Employment/Assaults, Municipalities	
	25,000 and Over by Reporting Agency, 1985	31
4.2		
	Under 25,000 by Reporting Agency, 1985	33
4.3		
	by Reporting Agency, 1985	37
4.4		
	Reporting Agency, 1985	39

FIGURES

		PAGE
LAW	ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS KILLED IN MISSOURI	
1.1	Law Enforcement Officers Killed in the Line of Duty,	
	State of Missouri, 1976-1985	6
MISS	SOURI LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ASSAULTED	
2.1	Missouri Law Enforcement Assault Clock, 1985	11
2.2	Law Enforcement Officer Assault Rates, State of Missouri,	
	1981-1985	14
2.3	Law Enforcement Officers Assaulted, Twenty-Four Hour	
	Analysis, State of Missouri, 1985	21

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to provide the law enforcement community, other criminal justice authorities, and interested parties with selected information concerning the dangers encountered by law enforcement officers in the State of Missouri. A secondary purpose is to provide information concerning law enforcement manpower resource levels found in the State of Missouri. Manpower information is included in order to obtain a perspective of the capabilities which the State and individual communities have for protecting the lives, property, and welfare of their citizens.

This report is produced annually by the Missouri Statistical Analysis Center (SAC). The primary source of data for the report is the Federal Bureau of Investigation's (FBI), Uniform Crime Reporting Program (UCR). Additional information is obtained from FBI publications entitled <u>Law Enforcement Officers Killed and Assaulted</u>. These publications employ data from the FBI UCR Program but also contain data collected from FBI field divisions and legal attaché offices.

Information presented in the report provides a historical perspective of officers killed in the line of duty, officer assault activity, and law enforcement employment levels in the State of Missouri. In this report, emphasis is placed on the most current year's activity.

Sworn officers in the State of Missouri face an ever-present danger while performing law enforcement responsibilities as indicated by the following facts.

- Since 1976, 33 officers have been killed in the line of duty. Of these, 24 or 72.7 percent were killed by felonious acts and 9 officers or 27.3 percent were killed as a result of accidents or by negligence. Of the 24 killed by felonious acts, 23 or 95.8% were killed by firearms and one officer was killed by another weapon (vehicle). In 1985, 3 officers were killed feloniously in the line of duty and no officers were killed accidentally or by negligence in the line of duty.
- There were 1,386 officer assaults reported during 1985. Of these, 35.2 percent resulted in injury to an officer. For every 100 officers in the State of Missouri, it is estimated that there were 18.9 officer assaults. One officer was assaulted in the State every 6.3 hours. In 80.0 percent of the officer assaults in 1985, physical force was used by the assailant, while in 20.0 percent of the cases a weapon of some type was used.

It should be noted that law enforcement officers sustained other injuries while performing their law enforcement duties. Non-fatal injuries resulting from accident or negligence, however, are not presented in this report because of a lack of data.

Law enforcement manpower resource availability is a critical aspect in the ability of a community to adequately cope with the preservation of order, crime prevention, crime detection and apprehension, traffic safety and other public safety problems.

Law enforcement agencies reported that 12,060 persons were employed on a full-time basis in 1985. It is estimated that a total of 12,888 persons were actually employed on a statewide basis. Of these, 73.7 percent were sworn officers and 26.3 percent were civilians. In 1985, there were 1.9 sworn officers per 1,000 population on a statewide basis.

INTRODUCTION

This report is the fourth of a series which has been prepared by the Missouri Statistical Analysis Center (SAC). It presents selected data on law enforcement officers killed in the line of duty between 1976 and 1985, and law enforcement officer assaults between 1981 and 1985 in the State of Missouri. In addition, data are provided which describe Missouri's law enforcement personnel manning levels from 1981 through 1985. Emphasis is placed on providing detailed data displays for the most recent year which, in this report, is 1985.

Data in this report are segmented into four sections. The first section presents data displays related to sworn law enforcement officers killed in the line of duty in the State of Missouri. The second section presents data related to law enforcement officers assaulted. general, the data displays in these two sections provide an appreciation of the extent and nature of the loss of life and assaults sustained by law enforcement officers in the performance of their duties. In particular, the displays are intended to help identify circumstances surrounding the assault or death of law enforcement officers. They also are intended to contribute to the development of policies and procedures that will lead to a reduction in officer deaths and assaults. The third section contains data and displays concerning the size and composition of law enforcement personnel resources. The purpose of these displays is to provide an assessment of the manpower capabilities available to provide law enforcement services to citizens in the State of Missouri. Finally, the last section summarizes data on law enforcement employment and officer assaults for specific law enforcement jurisdictions in the State. These data displays provide a community perspective of law enforcement personnel availability and the problem of officer assaults.

Data presented in this report come from two sources. The primary source of information for the officer assaults and employment levels is the Federal Bureau of Investigation's (FBI), Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program. In this program, Missouri law enforcement agencies submit information on a voluntary basis. Data on officers killed or assaulted are collected and submitted on a monthly basis, while the number of law enforcement employees are reported on a yearly basis. The employment data in this report represent the number of full-time law enforcement employees the agency had on its payroll as of October 31 of each year. The FBI provides report forms, tally sheets, and self-addressed envelopes to Missouri law enforcement agencies who participate in the FBI UCR Program.

Additional data on officers killed in the line of duty were taken from FBI publications entitled <u>Law Enforcement Officers Killed and Assaulted</u>. These publications contain data on officers killed that are not normally reported to the FBI UCR Program.

There are limitations associated with the data in this report. While in most states reporting to the FBI UCR Program is required by state statute, this is not the case in Missouri. Certain Missouri law enforcement agencies either do not report to the program or they submit incomplete data. Because the FBI UCR Program relies upon voluntary participation in the State of Missouri, there are problems associated with the completeness and representativeness of the data.

To reduce the problems associated with both non-reporting and incomplete reporting, estimation procedures have been used in the report. Where these procedures have been used, it is so noted in the title of the data display or in a footnote.

1.0 LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS KILLED IN MISSOURI

A SERIES OF TABLES AND FIGURES ARE PRESENTED THAT RELATE TO THE NUMBER OF SWORN LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY IN THE STATE OF MISSOURI. THE PURPOSE OF THESE DISPLAYS IS TO PROVIDE AN APPRECIATION OF THE EXTENT AND NATURE OF THIS SERIOUS PROBLEM AND TO INCREASE THE AWARENESS OF THE SACRIFICE MADE BY THE LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMUNITY IN PROTECTING THE LIVES, RIGHTS, AND PROPERTY OF MISSOURI CITIZENS.

INTRODUCTION

The information in this section is based on monthly reports submitted by Missouri law enforcement agencies to the FBI UCR Program. Additional information on officers killed also was obtained from field investigations conducted by the FBI and reported in the Law Enforcement Officers Killed and Assaulted publications. Officers killed in the line of duty include those officers killed by felonious acts, as well as by accident, or negligence. Excluded from this section are fatalities resulting from activities not within the official realm of law enforcement duties or deaths attributed to natural causes. It should be noted that this section contains statistics relative to felonious and accidental, line-of-duty deaths of duly sworn Federal, State, and local law enforcement officers having full arrest powers.

1985 SUMMARY ANALYSIS

- o In 1985, 3 officers were killed feloniously in the line of duty and no officers were killed accidentally or by negligence in the line of duty in the State of Missouri.
- Since 1976, 33 officers have been killed in the line of duty. Of these, 24 or 72.7 percent were killed by felonious acts and 9 or 27.3 percent were killed in accidents or by negligence.
- Of the 24 officers feloniously killed since 1976, 23 were killed by firearms and one officer was killed by another weapon (vehicle).
- o In the 23 cases where an officer was killed by a firearm 78.3 percent involved the use of a handgun. In 4.3 percent of these cases the officer was killed with a rifle and in 17.4 percent of the cases a shotgun was the weapon used.

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY STATE OF MISSOURI

1976 - 1985

	NUMBER	NUMBER	TOTAL	
	KILLED	KILLED	NUMBER	
YEAR	FELONIOUSLY	ACCIDENTALLY	KILLED	
1976	5	0	5	
1977	4	2	6	
1978	2	1	3	
1979	2	1	3	
1980	.3	1	4	
1981	3	1	4	
1901		1	4	
1982	0	1	1	
1983	2	1	3	
1984	0	1	1	
1704				
1985	3	0	3	
TOTAL	24	9	33	

TABLE 1.1






FIGURE 1.1

16

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS FELONIOUSLY KILLED BY TYPE OF WEAPON

STATE OF MISSOURI

1976 - 1985

TYPE OF WEAPON	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	TOTAL	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Handgun	4	3	2	1	2	2	-	2	- - .	2	18	75.0
Rifle	-	_	. 🕳 -	-	-	1	- -	- .	-	-	1	4.2
Shotgun	1	1	_	1	1	-	-	-	-		4	16.7
Total Firearm	5 .	4	2	2	3	3	0	2	0	2	23	95.9
Knife	_		_		_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
Other Weapons	- <u>-</u>	-	-		-	-	-	_	_	1	1	4.2
Physical Force	_	- 	. –	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
TOTAL	5	4	2	2	3	3	0	2	0	3	24	100.0

1

2.0 MISSOURI LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ASSAULTED

A SERIES OF TABLES AND FIGURES ARE PRESENTED ON SWORN LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ASSAULTED IN THE LINE OF DUTY IN THE STATE OF MISSOURI. THESE DISPLAYS HAVE BEEN INCLUDED TO GAIN A BETTER PERSPECTIVE OF THE RISKS WHICH LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS FACE IN PERFORMING THEIR DUTIES AND TO ASSIST IN FORMULATING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES WHICH MAY REDUCE THESE RISKS IN THE FUTURE.

INTRODUCTION

Data in this section were based on monthly reports of officer assaults submitted by Missouri law enforcement agencies to the FBI UCR Program. Officer assaults are defined as any unlawful attack by a person on a law enforcement officer in the performance of his/her duty. Officer assaults include those assaults which result in serious injury to the officer, all assaults in which the assailant used a weapon which could have caused serious injury or death, and assaults on officers beyond mere verbal abuse or minor resistance to an arrest. Assaults resulting in the death of a law enforcement officer are not included in this section, but can be found in Section 1.0 of this report. Assaults committed on federal law enforcement officers in the State of Missouri are not included in this section.

1985 SUMMARY ANALYSIS


- There were 1,386 officer assaults reported during 1985 which was a 9.8 percent reduction from 1984.
- For every 100 officers in the State of Missouri it is estimated that there were 18.9 assaults in 1985 compared to 20.9 in 1984.
- o Of the 1,386 officer assaults in 1985, 488 or 35.2 percent resulted in injury to an officer.
- o One officer was assaulted in the State every 6.3 hours in 1985. In addition, one assault resulting in the injury of an officer occurred every 18.0 hours.
- In 1985, the clearance rate for officer assaults in the State was 91.6 compared to 92.3 in 1984.
- In 1985, 80.0 percent of all assaults on officers involved physical force and 20.0 percent of the assaults involved a weapon.
- o Of all officer assaults in 1985, 77.6 percent occurred from 4:00 p.m. through 3:59 a.m.
- The situation resulting in the greatest number of officer assaults in 1985 was disturbance calls which accounted for 36.4 percent of the total. Attempting to make an arrest combined with traffic pursuits accounted for 25.7 percent of the officer assault cases.

MISSOURI LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ASSAULTED SUMMARY: 1984 and 1985

Year	Number of Assaults	Assault Clearance Rate	
1984	1,537	92.3	
1985	1,386	91.6	
Percent of Change	- 9.8	- 0.8	

${\tt MISSOURI~LAW~ENFORCEMENT~ASSAULT~CLOCK}^1$

1985



The Law Enforcement Assault Clock should be viewed with care. It is designed to convey annual reported officer assault activity by showing its relative frequency of occurrence. This method of display should not be taken to imply a regularity in the commission of officer assaults; rather it represents the annual ratio of officer assaults to fixed time intervals.

FIGURE 2.1

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ASSAULTED AND ASSAULT RATES STATE OF MISSOURI 1981 - 1985

	NUMBER OF REPORTING	ASSAULTS ¹ PER 100	ASSAULT CLEARANCE	WITH	S A U L	
YEAR	AGENCIES	OFFICERS	RATE	INJURY	INJURY	TOTAL
1981	233	28.6	94.1	670	1,371	2,041
1781	233	20.0	74.1	32.8%	67.2%	100.0%
1982	238	22.8	93.8	521	1,166	1,687
1,52	230	LC. 0		30.9%	69.1%	100.0%
1983	240	21.3	91.6	501	1,118	1,619
1983	2-40	£1.3	71.0	30.9%	69.1%	100.0%
1984	238	20.9	92.3	510	1,027	1,537
1704	238	20.9	92.5	33.2%	66.8%	100.0%
1985	250	18.9	91.6	488	898	1,386
1705	250	10.9	71.0	35.2%	64.8%	100.0%

The Assaults per 100 Officers is an estimate based on data from only those agencies which reported a full 12 months of officer assault activity and annual employment figures to the FBI UCR Program.

²Total 1981 assault figures were reduced by two compared to figures found in the 1982 Missouri Law Enforcement Employment and Assault Report based on a quality control review of 1981 reported assault data.

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ASSAULTED BY TYPE OF MEAPON STATE OF MISSOURI 1981 - 1985

TYPE OF MEAPON	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	TOTAL	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Firearm	146	123	96	56	77	498	6.0
Knife/Cutting Instrument	53	36	24	35	40	188	2.3
Other Weapons Physical Force	211	183 1,345	165 1,334	157 1,289	1,109	876 6,708	10.6 81.1
TOTAL	2,041	1,687	1,619	1,537	1,386	8,270	100.0

Total 1981 asseult figures were reduced by two compared to figures found in the 1982 Missouri Law Enforcement Employment and Assault Report based on a quality control review of 1981 reported assault data.

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER ASSAULT RATES, STATE OF MISSOURI¹ 1981 - 1985




FIGURE 2.2

Law enforcement officer assault rates are based on an estimate of the number of assaults per 100 officers. This estimate was computed using data from only those agencies that reported a full 12 months of officer assault activity and annual employment figures to the FBI UCR Program.

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ASSAULTED BY POPULATION GROUP

1985

STATE OF MISSOURI

-	_			
POPULATION GROUP	NUMBER ASSAULTED	PERCENT OF TOTAL	ASSAULTS PER ¹ 100 OFFICERS	CLEARANCE RATE
Police Agencies				
250,000 +	746	53.8	27.2	88.5
100,000-249,999	97	7.0	29.4	97.9
50,000-99,999	60	4.3	21.4	100.0
25,000-49,999	126	9.1	22.7	97.6
10,000-24,999	94	6.8	9.2	92.6
2,500-9,999	111	8.0	11.6	91.9
Under 2,500	24	1.7	15.7	91.7
Sheriff's Dept./				
County Police Suburban	103	7.4	10.6	96.1
Sheriff's Dept.				
Rural	25	1.8	4.3	88.0
TOTAL	1,386	100.0	18.9	91.6

¹The Assaults per 100 Officers is an estimate based on data from those agencies which reported a full 12 months of officer assault activity and annual employment figures to the FBI UCR Program.

TABLE 2.3

LAM ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ASSAULTED, OCCURRENCE OF INJURY BY TYPE OF MEAPON STATE OF MISSOURI 1985

	МІТН	MITH INJURY		T INJURY		
TYPE OF MEAPON	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	NUMBER	PERCENT OF TOTAL	TOTAL	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Firearm	16	3.3	61	6.8	77	5.6
Knife/Cutting Instrument	9	1.8	31	3.5	40	2.9
Other Meapons	64	13.1	96	10.7	160	11.5
Physical Force	399	81.8	710	79.1	1,109	0.08
TOTAL	488	100.0	898	100.0	1,386	100.0

TABLE 2.4

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ASSAULTED BY TYPE OF ACTIVITY STATE OF MISSOURI

1985

TYPE OF ACTIVITY	NUMBER ASSAULTED	PERCENT OF TOTAL	ASSAULT CLEARANCE RATE
Disturbance Calls	504	36.4	90.1
Burglaries	21	1.5	95.2
Robberies	12	0.9	66.7
Attempting Arrest	212	15.3	91.5
Civil Disorder	10	0.7	90.0
Prisoner Custody	160	11.5	95.0
Suspicious Persons	84	6.1	96.4
Ambush	8	0.6	100.0
Mentally Deranged	16	1.2	100.0
Traffic Pursuits	144	10.4	95.8
All Other	215	15.5	88.4
TOTAL	1,386	100.0	91.6

TABLE 2.5

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ASSAULTED BY TYPE OF ASSIGNMENT STATE OF MISSOURI

1985

TYPE OF ASSIGNMENT ¹	NUMBER ASSAULTED	PERCENT OF TOTAL
Two-Man Vehicle	162	11.7
One-Man Vehicle	. '	
Alone	210	15.2
One-Man Vehicle		
Assisted	838	60.5
N9919160	050	00.5
Smardal Amedamment		
Special Assignment		
Alone	9	0.6
		,
Special Assignment		
Assisted	20	1.4
Other Assignment		
Alone	59	4.3
Other Assignment		
Assisted	88	6.3
Wastaren	00	0.3
TOTAL	1,386	100.0

 $^{^{1}\!\}mathrm{Assignment}$ categories are further defined in the Glossary.

TABLE 2.6

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ASSAULTED, TYPE OF WEAPON BY TYPE OF CIRCUMSTANCE STATE OF MISSOURI 1985

TYPE OF CIRCUMSTANCE	FIREARM	KNIFE/CUTTING INSTRUMENT	OTHER WEAPONS	PHYSICAL FORCE	TOTAL
Disturbance Call	34	24	60	386	504
Percent	6.7	4.8	11.9	76.6	100.0
Burglary	1	1	3	16	21
Percent	4.8	4.8	14.3	76.2	100.0
Robbery	12	0	0	0	12
Percent	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Attempting Arrest	9	6	14	183	212
Percent	4.2	2.8	6.6	86.3	100.0
Civil Disorder	0	0	2	8	10
Percent	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	100.0
Prisoner Custody	1	0	3	156	160
Percent	0.6	0.0	1.9	97.5	100.0
Suspicious Persons	4	1	12	67	84
Percent	4.8	1.2	14.3	79.8	100.0
Ambush	0 .	0	1	7	8
Percent	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	100.0
Mentally Deranged	1	3	2	10	16
Percent	6.3	18.8	12.5	62.5	100.0
Traffic Pursuits	5 . 1	0	33	106	144
Percent	3.5	0.0	22.9	73.6	100.0
All Other	10	5	30	170	215
Percent	4.7	2.3	14.0	79.1	100.0
lotal	77	40	160	1,109	1,386
Percent	, 5.6	2.9	11.5	80.0	100.0

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ASSAULTED, TYPE OF ASSIGNMENT 1 BY TYPE OF CIRCUMSTANCE STATE OF MISSOURI 1985

TYPE OF CIRCUMSTANCE	TWO-MAN VEHICLE	ONE-MAN ALONE	VEHICLE ASSISTED	SPECIAL ALONE	ASSIGNMENT ASSISTED	OTHER A ALONE	SSIGNMENT ASSISTED	TOTAL
Disturbance Call	66	47	375	3	2	4	7	504
Percent	13.1	9.3	74.4	0.6	0,4	0.8	1.4	100.0
Burglary	8	· 1	12	0	0	0	0	21
Percent	38.1	4.8	57.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Robbery	3	4	5	0	0	0	0	12
Percent	25.0	33.3	41.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
Attempting Arrest	19	25	136	1	7	16	8	212
Percent	9.0	11.8	16.2	0.5	3.3	7.5	3.8	100.0
Civil Disorder	1	3	2	0	0	0	4	10
Percent	10.0	30.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	100.0
Prisoner Custody	14	20	68	2	3	12	41	160
Percent	8.8	12.5	42.5	1.3	1.9	7.5	25.6	100.0
Suspicious Persons	0	23	49	2	0	5	5	84
Percent	0.0	27.4	58.3	2.4	0.0	6.0	6.0	100.0
Ambush	2	1	3	0	0	1	1	8
Percent	25.0	12.5	37.5	0.0	0.0	12.5	12.5	100.0
Mentally Deranged	2	7	6	0	1	0	0	16
Percent	12.5	43.8	37.5	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	100.0
Traffic Pursuits	19	40	79	1	1	0	4	144
Percent	13.2	27.8	54.9	0.7	0.7	0.0	2.8	100.0
All Other	28	39	103	0	6	21	18	215
Percent	13.0	18.1	47.9	0.0	2.8	9.8	8.4	100.0
Total	162	210	838	9	20	59	88	1,386
Percent	11.7	15.2	60.5	0.6	1.4	4.3	6.3	100.0

 $^{^{1}\}mbox{\sc Assignment}$ categories are further defined in the Glossary.

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ASSAULTED, TWENTY—FOUR HOUR ANALYSIS STATE OF MISSOURI

1985




FIGURE 2.3

3.0 MISSOURI LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLOYMENT

A SERIES OF TABLES PRESENTS DATA ON LAW ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL RESOURCES IN THE STATE OF MISSOURI. THE PURPOSE OF THESE DISPLAYS IS TO PROVIDE AN ASSESSMENT OF THE AMOUNT AND GENERAL COMPOSITION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT RESOURCES THAT ARE AVAILABLE IN THE STATE OF MISSOURI.

INTRODUCTION

Data in this section are drawn from annual reports on employment submitted by Missouri law enforcement agencies to the FBI UCR Program. Employment figures include full-time sworn officers with full arrest powers, and civilian employees that an agency has on its payroll as of October 31 of each year. They do not include part-time or auxilliary officers. It must be noted that this section does not include employment data for federal law enforcement agencies whose jurisdictional responsibilities encompass the State of Missouri. Estimation procedures have been used with employment data in this section in order to provide a more accurate picture of Missouri law enforcement manning levels. A description of the estimation procedure used can be found in the Glossary of this report.

1985 SUMMARY ANALYSIS

- Law enforcement agencies reported that 12,060 persons were employed on a full-time basis in 1985. It is estimated that a total of 12,888 persons were actually employed on a statewide basis.
- Of the estimated 12,888 persons that were full-time employees of Missouri law enforcement agencies, 73.7 percent were sworn officers and 26.3 percent were civilians.
- o It is estimated that there were 9,494 full-time sworn law enforcement employees in 1985 compared to 9,342 in 1984 which is a 1.6 percent increase. It is estimated that there were 3,394 full-time civilian law enforcement employees in 1985 compared to 3,243 in 1984 which is a 4.7 percent increase.
- It is estimated that there were 1.9 sworn officers per 1,000 population in the State of Missouri in 1985.

MISSOURI LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLOYMENT SUMMARY: 1984 and 1985

	Estimated Number of	Estimated Number of
Year	Sworn Employees	Civilian Employees
1984	9,342	3,243
1985	9,494	3,394
Percent of Change	+ 1.6	+ 4.7

LAW ENFORCEMENT SWORN AND CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES BY POPULATION GROUP STATE OF MISSOURI

1981 - 1985

(Actual)

POPULATION GROUP	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
Highway Patrol	1,659	1,613	1,665	1,684	1,699
Police Agencies					
250,000+	4,106	4,023	3.935	3,839	3,771
100,000 - 249,999	429	430	418	428	418
50,000 - 99,999	340	336	341	329	347
25,000 - 49,999	671	568	662	725	669
10,000 - 24,999	1,244	1,275	1,344	1,289	1,270
2,500 - 9,999	1,242	1,179	1,225	1,278	1,280
Less Than 2,500	151	163	305	271	258
Rural Shrfs Depts	738	791	871	895	915
Urban Shrf/County PD	1,308	1,338	1,490	1,368	1,433
TOTAL	11,888	11,716	12,256	12,106	12,060

TABLE 3.1

LAW ENFORCEMENT SWORN AND CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES BY POPULATION GROUP

STATE OF MISSOURI

1981 - 1985 (Estimated)

	<u> </u>	(ESCIMATEG)			
POPULATION GROUP	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
Highway Patrol	1,659	1,613	1,665	1,684	1,699
Police Agencies			-		
250,000+	4,106	4,023	3,935	3,839	3,771
100,000 - 249,999	429	430	418	428	418
50,000 - 99,999	340	336	341	329	347
25,000 - 49,999	671	654	662	725	777
10,000 - 24,999	1,290	1,311	1,385	1,289	1,270
2,500 - 9,999	1,511	1,443	1,451	1,517	1,549
Less Than 2,500	183	185	329	343	340
Rural Shrfs Depts	854	904	914	953	1,035
Urban Shrf/County PD	1,344	1,540	1,490	1,478	1,682
TOTAL	12,387	12,439	12,590	12,585	12,888

LAW ENFORCEMENT SWORN EMPLOYEES BY POPULATION GROUP

STATE OF MISSOURI

1981 - 1985 (Actual)

POPULATION GROUP	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
Highway Patrol	824	797	811	817	802
Police Agencies					
250,000+	3,044	2,953	2,894	2,816	2,741
100,000 - 249,999	322	339	329	335	330
50,000 - 99,999	291	288	289	276	281
25,000 - 49,999	540	463	533	580	534
10,000 - 24,999	993	1,004	1,062	1,027	1,024
2,500 - 9,999	983	953	1,002	1,037	1,043
Less Than 2,500	1.31	141	238	213	214
Rural Shrfs Depts	622	655	708	743	744
Urban Shrf/County PD	1,028	1,054	1,183	1,110	1,116
TOTAL	8,778	8,647	9,049	8,954	8,829

TABLE 3.3

LAW ENFORCEMENT SWORN EMPLOYEES BY POPULATION GROUP

STATE OF MISSOURI

1981 - 1985 (Estimated)

	and the second second	(20 22			
POPULATION GROUP	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
Highway Patrol	824	797	811	817	802
Police Agencies					_
250,000+	3,044	2,953	2,894	2,816	2,741
100,000 - 249,999	322	339	329	335	330
50,000 - 99,999	291	288	289	276	281
25,000 - 49,999	540	533	533	580	620
10,000 - 24,999	1,030	1,032	1,094	1,027	1,024
2,500 - 9,999	1,196	1,166	1,187	1,231	1,262
Less Than 2,500	159	160	257	270	282
Rural Shrfs Depts	720	749	743	791	842
Urban Shrf/County PD	1,056	1,213	1,183	1,199	1,310
TOTAL	9,182	9,230	9,320	9,342	9,494

LAW ENFORCEMENT CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES BY POPULATION GROUP STATE OF MISSOURI

1981 - 1985

(Actual)

POPULATION GROUP	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
Highway Patrol	835	816	854	867	897
Police Agencies		i ·			
250,000+ 100,000 - 249,999 50,000 - 99,999 25,000 - 49,999 10,000 - 24,999 2,500 - 9,999 Less Than 2,500	1,062 107 49 131 251 259 20	1,070 91 48 105 271 226 22	1,041 89 52 129 282 223 67	1,023 93 53 145 262 241 58	1,030 88 66 135 246 237 44
Rural Shrfs Depts Urban Shrf/County PD	116 280	136 284	163 307	152 258	171 317
TOTAL	3,110	3,069	3,207	3,152	3,231

TABLE 3.5

LAW ENFORCEMENT CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES BY POPULATION GROUP

STATE OF MISSOURI

1981 - 1985 (Estimated)

POPULATION GROUP	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	
Highway Patrol	835	816	854	867	897	
Police Agencies		" .				
250,000+	1,062	1,070	1,041	1,023	1,030	
100,000 - 249,999	107	91	89	93	88	
50,000 - 99,999	49	48	52	53	66	
25,000 - 49,999	131	121	129	145	157	
10,000 - 24,999	260	279	291	262	246	
2,500 ~ 9,999	315	277	264	286	287	
Less Than 2,500	24	- 25	72	73	58	
Rural Shrfs Depts	134	155	171	162	193	
Urban Shrf/County PD	288	327	307	279	372	
TOTAL	3,205	3,209	3,270	3,243	3,394	

TABLE 3.6

1985

(Actual)

POPULATION	POLICE	OFFICERS		PERCENT	7	ILIAN EMPLO		PERCENT	TOTAL	PERCENT	OFFICERS/
GROUP	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	OF TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	OF TOTAL	EMPLOYEES	OF TOTAL	1000 POP.
Highway Patrol	798	4	802	9.1	579	318	897	27.8	1,699	14.1	-
Police Agencies											
250,000+	2,585	156	2,741	31.0	428	602	1,030	31.9	3,771	31.3	3.1
100,000 - 249,999	324	6	330		21	67	88	2.7	418	3.5	1.3
50,000 - 99,999	264	17	281	3.4	21	45	66	2.0	347	2.9	1.4
25,000 - 49,999	505	29	534	6.0	44	91	135	4.2	669	5.5	1.6
10,000 - 24,999	975	49	1,024	11.6	67	179	246	7.6	1,270	10.5	2.0
2,500 - 9,999	980	63	1,043	11.8	75	162	237	7.3	1,280	10.6	2.3
Less Than 2,500	196	18	214	2.4	14	30	44	1.4	258	2.1	5.0
Rural Shrfs Depts	623	121	744	8.4	69	102	171	5.3	915	7.6	0.7
Urban Shrf/County PD	982	134	1,116	12.6	123	194	317	9.8	1,433	11.9	1.3
TOTAL	8,232	597	8,829	100.0	1,441	1,790	3,231	100.0	12,060	100.0	1.9

¹A dash indicates no value was computed due to the fact that population figures were not submitted to the UCR Program during 1985.

TABLE 3.7

LAW ENFORCEMENT SWORN AND CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES BY POPULATION GROUP

STATE OF MISSOURI

1985 (Estimated)

	,	···			·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
POPULATION	POLICI	E OFFICERS	(SWORN)	PERCENT	CIV	ILIAN EMPLO	YEES	PERCENT	TOTAL	PERCENT	OFFICERS/
GROUP	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	OF TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	OF TOTAL	EMPLOYEES	OF TOTAL	1000 POP.1
Highway Patrol Police Agencies	798	4	802	8.4	579	318	897	26.4	1,699	13.2	-
250,000+ 100,000 - 249,999	2,585 324	156 6	2,741 330	28.9 3.5	428 21	602 67	1,030 88	30.3 2.6	3,771 418	29.3 3.2	3.1 1.3
50,000 - 99,999 25,000 - 49,999	264 586	17 34	281 620	3.0 6.5	21 51	45 106	66 157	1.9 4.6	347 777	2.7 6.0	1.4 1.6
10,000 - 24,999 2,500 - 9,999 Less Than 2,500	975 1,186 258	49 76 24	1,024 1,262 282	10.8 13.3 3.0	67 91 18	179 196 40	246 287 58	7.2 8.5 1.7	1,270 1,549 340	9.9 12.0 2.6	2.0 2.3 5.0
Rural Shrfs Depts	705	137	842	8.9	78	115	193	5.7	1,035	8.0	0.7
Urban Shrf/County PD	1,153	157	1,310	13.8	144	228	372	11.0	1,682	13.1	1.3
TOTAL	8,834	660	9,494	100.0	1,498	1,896	3,394	100.0	12,888	100.0	_

A dash indicates no value was computed due to the fact that population figures were not submitted to the UCR Program during 1985.

4.0 MISSOURI LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY EMPLOYMENT/ASSAULT ANALYSIS

A SERIES OF TABLES SUMMARIZES THE NUMBER OF LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLOYEES AS WELL AS THE NUMBER OF ASSAULTS ON SWORN PERSONNEL ASSOCIATED WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT JURISDICTIONS WHICH REPORTED TO THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION'S UNIFORM CRIME REPORTING PROGRAM. THESE DATA DISPLAYS PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF LAW ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL RESOURCES AND THE PROBLEM OF OFFICER ASSAULTS WITHIN MISSOURI COMMUNITIES.

INTRODUCTION

Data in this section are drawn from monthly and annual reports submitted by Missouri law enforcement agencies to the FBI UCR Program. Participants in the Program voluntarily report officer assault activity on a monthly basis. Officer assaults are defined as the unlawful attack by a person on a law enforcement officer in the performance of his/her duty. Officer assaults include those which result in a serious injury to the sworn officer, all assaults in which the assailant used a weapon which could have caused serious injury or death, and assaults on officers that were beyond mere verbal abuse or minor resistance to an arrest. Assaults resulting in the death of a law enforcement officer are not included but can be found in Section 1.0 of this report.

Employment information is reported on an annual basis by FBI UCR participants. It reflects a count of full-time sworn officers with full arrest powers and civilian employees the agency has on its pay-roll as of October 31 of each reporting year. It does not include part-time employees or auxiliary officers.

Population figures contained in this section are provided by the FBI and represent the population associated with the reporting agency's jurisdiction. For suburban and rural county tables, the figures represent the jurisdiction normally covered by sheriff's departments and/or county police departments. These population figures are computed by subtracting the population of all municipalities within a county having their own law enforcement agencies from the total population of the county. Population figures provided by the FBI for a given year are estimates which are based upon the last census taken by the U.S. Census Bureau.

LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLOYMENT/ASSAULTS, MUNICIPALITIES 25,000 AND OVER BY REPORTING AGENCY

1985

		,										
				E M	PL	O Y M	E N T				ASSAUL	rs
REPORTING AGENCY	POPULATION 1	POLICE MALE	OFFICERS FEMALE	(SWORN)	CIVI MALE	LIAN EMPLA	OYEES. TOTAL	TOTAL	OFFICERS/2	# INDEX CRIMES PER OFF.3	MONTHS RPT.	NO.4
BLUE SPRINGS CAPE GIRARDEAU COLUMBIA FLORISSANT	28,144 35,038 64,324 56,191	33 52 85 69	0 3 11 3	33 55 96 72	3 5 8 3	8 11 19 10	11 16 27 13	44 71 123 85	1.2 1.6 1.5 1.3	36.9 35.7 47.4 15.4	12 12 12 12	0 0 35 16
GLADSTONE GRANDVIEW INDEPENDENCE	25,709 25,188 112,593	41 38 146	2 0 3	43 38 149	9 3	1 5 36	10 8 43	53 46 192	1.7 1.5 1.3	16.4 33.1 36.0	12 12 12	10 12 32
JEFFERSON CITY JOPLIN KANSAS CITY	35,131 40,034 444,942	50 63 1027	6 1 87	56 64 1114	2 4 209	12 7 308	14 11 517	70 75 1631	1.6 1.6 2.5	25.8 47.5 42.3	12 12 12	7 11 323
KIRKWOOD LEE'S SUMMIT MARYLAND HEIGHTS	28,289 31,076 26,310	47	2	49	3 -	12	15	64	1.6	21.2	12 12 4	0 16 0
RAYTOWN SAINT CHARLES SAINT JOSEPH	31,874 46,646 76,783	45 69 110	2 3 3	47 72 113	1 4 10	11 14 16	12 18 26	59 90 139	1.5 1.5 1.5	24.3 21.9 37.8	12 12 12	6 39 9
SAINT LOUIS SPRINGFIELD UNIVERSITY CITY	431,109 137,516 43,416	1558 178 67	69 3 10	1627 181 77	219 14 10	294 31 10	513 45 20	2140 226 97	3.8 1.3 1.8	30.4 53.3 31.7	12 12 12	423 65 25
TÒTAL	1,665,769	3678	208	3886	514	805	1319	5205	2.3	-		1029

¹ Total population includes only those jurisdictions which submitted employment data to the UCR Program in 1985.

Number of officers associated with a reporting agency in relation to the jurisdictional population. It should be noted that other enforcement agencies (such as Missouri State Highway Patrol) may have officers assigned to the same jurisdiction.

Number of Index Crimes (see Glossary) submitted by reporting agency in relation to its officers. A dash indicates a value was not computed because the agency reported less than 12 months of Index Crime data, or it did not report employment data to the UCR Program during 1985.

⁴A dash indicates a value was not computed because the agency reported no assault data to the UCR Program during 1985.

LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLOYMENT/ASSAULTS, MUNICIPALITIES UNDER 25,000 BY REPORTING AGENCY 1985

					E M	P L	O Y M	E N T			······································	ASSAUL:	rs
1	·		DOT.TOP	OFFICERS	(SWORN)	CTUTT	LIAN EMPLO	.vesc	moma r	07770770	# INDEX		
	REPORTING AGENCY	POPULATION 1	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	TOTAL EMPL.	OFFICERS/2	CRIMES 3	MONTHS RPT.	NO.4
-								191112	1,012.11.	TOOG FOF.	PER OFF.	RPT.	NO.
-	ARNOLD	19,673	33	1	34	2	10-	.12	46	1.7	19.5	12	-1
	AURORA	6,504	-			- 1	_	-	-	· · ·	-	9	10
-	BALLWIN	13,254	25	1	26	1	8	. 9	35	2.0	14.6	12	-0
	BEL-NOR	2,121	5	0	. 5	0	0	0	5	2.4	10.0	12	7
- 1	BEL-RIDGE	3,885	. 8	2	10)	3	. 3	13	2.6	17.9	12	14
- 1	BELLEFONTAINE NERS	11,990	22	0	22	0	0	0	22	1.8	15.6	12	c
	BELTON	13,445	20	G	29	0	6	.6	26	1.5	27.3	12	. 1
	BERKELEY	16,378	35	2	37	3	6	9	46	2.3	17.06	12	11
-	BEVERLY HILLS	752	. 7	1	3	כ	0	. G	8	10.5		-	-
- 1	BOLIVAR	6,157	6	- 0	6	. 3	0	3	9	1.0	4.0	12	0
-	BONNE TERRE	4,033	. 6	0	ó ,	D	0	. 0	6	1.5	9.8	12	0
	BOONVILLE	7,074	13	- 0	13	. 0	5	5	18	1.8	23.4	12	0
.	BRANSON	2,659	16	1	17)	6	6	23	6.4	20.4	12	1
	BRECKENRINGE HILLS	5,687	10	0	10	Ç	1	1	11	1.8	27.9	12	2
ł	BRENTWOOD	8,435	18	1	19	1	5	6.	25	2 • 2	14.6	12	0 -
	BRIDGETON	18,170	48	3	. 51	3	11	14	65	2 - 8	28.4	12	3
- 1	BROOKFIELD	5,673	15	0	15	0	1	1.	16	2.6	6.0	12	1
	BUTLER	4,078	7	0	7	3	1	4	11	1.7	20.0	12	0
	CALIFORNIA	3,478	4	C	4	0	0	0	4	1.2		-	
- 1	CALVERTON PARK	1,753	5	0	5	3	1	1	6	2.9	3.6	12	0
	CAMERON	4,656	9	Č	3	Q	4	4	13	1.9	18.7	12	0
	CANTON	2,586	5	5	12	3	0	0	10	3.9	3.9	12	1
	CARROLLTON	4,519	7	1	3)	1	1	9	1.7	21.5	12	0
	CARTHAGE	11,425	20	0	20 .	0	3	3	23	1.8	21.2	12	2
	CENTRALIA	3,680	5	٥	5	1	4	5	10	1.4	16.0	12	-0
	CHAFFEE	3,192	4	C	4	0	0	0	4	1.3	20 - 8	12	0
	CHARLACK	1,531	6	0	6	0	0	- 0	6	3 • 8	10.3	12	1
- 1	CHILLICOTHE	9,370	16	2	18	. 0	1	1	19	1.9		8	0
- 1	CLARKSON VALLEY	1,476	0	0	<u> </u>	0	1	1	1	0.0	-	12	0
1	CLAYCOMO CLAYTON	1,726	6	1	7	2	1	1	8	4.1	11.9	12	2
	CLINTON	14,273	52	1	53	1	9	10	63	3.7	17.9	12	6
- 1	COUNTRY CLUB HILLS	8,510	18	1	19	0	4	4	23	2.2	16.7	12	14
-	CRESTWOOD HILLS	1,359	5 2 7	1 0	. 27	C	7	1	7	4.4	15.6	12	0
-		12,784		-		8 I		7	34	2.1		,	0
	CREVE COEUR CRYSTAL CITY	11,817	33	0	33	1 3	7	8	41 15	2.8	11.2	12 12	1
	DE SOTO	3,663 5,302	10 10	1 0	11 10	נ	1 5	4 5	15	3.0 1.6	17.7 19.4	12	0
	DELLWOOD	6,436	10	2	14	٥	1	1	15	2.2	13.8	12	0
	DES PERES	8,219	32	0	32	C	6	6	38	3.9	11.7	12	- 0
	EDMUNDSON	1,483	7	0	7	G	1	1	8	309 407	12.3	12	0
1	ELDON	4,517	6	1	7	2	3	5	12	1.5	1403	12	-
	ELLISVILLE	6,695	17	1	18	- 0	9	0	18	2.7	7.6	12	2
	EUREKA	3,993	11	i	12	Ö	1	1	13	3.0	19.8	12	0
	EXCELSION SPRINGS	10,516	20	0	- 20	2	6	8	28	1.9	24.5	12	6
Ŀ.	CHELDION STATION	104010	20	, ,	- 43	ا أ	Ü	°	1 20	107	. 2405	1 44	

LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLOYMENT/ASSAULTS, MUNICIPALITIES UNDER 25,000 BY REPORTING AGENCY 1985

		·			1985				·			
				E M	P L	O Y M	E N T				ASSAUL	rs
										# INDEX		
	7	POLICE	OFFICERS	(SWORN)	CIVIL	IAN EMPLO	YEES	TOŢAL	OFFICERS/2	CRIMES 2	MONTHS	4
REPORTING AGENCY	POPULATION	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	EMPL.	1000 POP.	CRIMES 3 PER OFF.3	RPT.	NO.
FARMINGTON	8,164	14	O	14	- 5	- 0	5	19	1.7	_	10	2
FAYETTE	2,930	5	Ġ	5	0	0	0	5	1.7	-		_
FENTON	2,403	15	o	15	- 6	. 0	6	21	6.2	20.9	12	o l
FERGUSON	24,471	47	1	43	2	4	6	54	2.0	22.7	12	5
FESTUS	8,035	14	0	14	0	6	6	20	1.7	17.4	12	3
FLAT RIVER	4,276	- 8	. 0	3	5	1	6	14	1.9	14.3	12	0
FRONTENAC	3,603	16	0	16	9 -	2	2	18	4.4	5.5	12	- 2
FULTON	10,717	- 18	. 4	22	1	4	5	27	2.1	14.6	12	5
GARDEN CITY	1,029	2	0	2	2	0	2	4	1.9	-	-	
GLENDALE	6,079	10	. C	10	3	0	3	13	1.6	3.4	12	0
GRAIN VALLEY	1,478	3	2	5	. 0 -	.0	0	5	3.4	19.2	12	1
GREENDALE	905	-			-	-	-	-	_	_	12	0
HANLEY HILLS	2,532	4	1	5	ŋ .	1	1	6	2.0	5.4	12	1
HANNIBAL	19,333	- 28	3	31	4	8	12	43	1.6	33.1	12	9
HARRISONVILLE	6,586	11	С	11	- 3	2	5	16	1.6	33.5	12	1
HAZELWOOD	10,174	- 35.	. 2	37	2	9	11	48	2.3	17.5	12	0
HERMANN	2,361	4	0	4	- 0	0	0	4	1.4	- 1	10	. 0
HILLSDALE	2,275	8	ŋ	3)	1	1	9	3.6	19.8	- 12	1
IRONTON	1,739	4	0	4	. 0	- 0	0	4	2.2	8.3	12	- 1
JACKSON	8,190	13	1	14	9	0	0	14	1.7	9.5	12	0
JENNINGS	17,236	35	1	36	10	5	15	51	2.1	38-6	12	0
KEARNEY	1 y 50 g	3	, 6	à	0	0	0	3	2.0	10.7	12	0
KENNETT	10,395	17	0	17	4	2	6	23	1.6	25.8	12	2
KIRKSVILLE	17,467	- 21	. 1	2.2	1	5	6	28	1.3	29.2	12	6
LADUE	9,407	28	0	23	2	4	6	34	3.0	9.8	12	0
LAKE LOTAWANA	1,383	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	8	0
LAKE SAINT LOUIS	4,239	10	1	1:	1	4	5	16	2.6	13.5	12	1
LAMAR	4,040	9	C	7	0	0	0	9	2.2	7.6	12	0
LEBANON	9,751	16	0	15	3	2	5	21	1.6	28.3	12	1
LEXINGTON	4,993	8	1	3	0	0	Ü	9	1.8	11.3	12	0
LIBERTY	17,331	25	o,	25	2	. 7	9	34	1.4		3	0
LOUISIANA	4,319	7	4	11	0	0	0	11	2.5	2.5	12	0
MACON	6,011	10	1	11	0	.0		11	1.8	15.5	12	0
MALDEN	6,332	13	0	13	0	0	0	13	2.1	10-0	12	-
MANCHESTEP	6,455	16	1 3	17 23		1 2	1	18	2.6	10-8	12	1
MAPLEWOOD MARCELINE	10,628	20	3		3	. 0	5	28	2.2	28.9	12	0
MARLBORCUGH	3,133 1,979	6 7	e e	5	0	1	ე 1	6 8	1.9 3.5	_	11	0
MARSHALL		18	3	21	- 3	↓ 5	8	29	3.5 1.6			0
MARYVILLE	13,075 9,763	16	S C	15	7	3	10	26	1.6	14.1 13.3	12	- 1
MEXICO	12,429	26	2	28 28	1	3 4	10 5	33	2.3	12.2	12 12	5
MOSERLY	13,474	31	3	34	1	4	5	39	2.5	14.4	12	7
MOLINE ACRES	2,971	9	. 3	54 G	2	0	2	11	3.1	7.40.4	12	0
MONETT	6,220	10	G	10	3	3	6	16	1.6			_
NEOSHO	9,684	19	3	22	و ن (. 2	2	24	2.3	19.7	12	5
	7,955-		5	44		. C.	-	_~	203	-791	14	ا
·	i	<u> </u>		t				·	1			

LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLCYMENT/ASSAULTS, MUNICIPALITIES UNDER 25,000 BY REPORTING AGENCY 1985

POPULATION POP					E M	P L	O Y M	E N T				ASSAULT	S
NEW MADRID 3,347			POLICE	OFFICERS	(SWORN)	CIVI	LIAN EMPL	OYEES	TYOTAT.	OFFICERS/		MONTHE	
NEW MADRID 3,347	REPORTING AGENCY	POPULATION	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL				1	1000 POP. 2	PER OFF 3		NO.
NEMBURG	NEVADA		16	1	17	2	6	8					4
NERBURGE NORTHMODY S.175 17 0 17 0 17 0 2 2 19 3-3 16-1 12 NORTHMOGOS S.2 4,340 29 2 31 0 7 7 7 38 7-1 13-5 12 15-1 12 0-4-ALLON 0-7 17 0 17 0 17 0 17 0 2 2 2 19 2-9 15-1 12 2-9 17-3 18 1-1 13-5 12 17-3 18 1-1 13-5 12 17-3 18 1-1 13-5 12 17-3 18 1-1 13-5 12 17-3 18 1-1 13-5 12 17-3 18 1-1 13-5 12 17-3 18 1-1 13-5 12 17-3 18 1-1 13-5 12 17-3 18 1-1 13-5 12 17-3 18 1-1 13-5 12 17-3 18 1-1 13-5 12 17-3 18 17-3 12 17-3 17-3 12 17-3 17-3 12 17-3 17-3 12 17-3 17-3 12 17-3 17-3 12 17-3 17-3 12 17-3 17-3 12 17-3 17-3 12 17-3 17-3 12 17-3 17-3 12 17-3 17-3 12 17-3 17-3 12 17-3 17-3 12 17-3 17-3 12 17-3 17-3 12 17-3 17-3 12 17-3 17-3 12 17-3 17-3 17-3 17-3 17-3 17-3 17-3 17-3	NEW MADRID	3,347			1		0				1	4	
NORTH KANSAS CITY NORTH KANSAS CITY 4,340 29 2 31 9 7 7 7 88 7:1 13.5 12 NORTHWOODS 5,244 17 0 17 0 2 2 19 2.9 15.1 12 NORTHWOODS 5,244 17 0 17 0 2 2 2 19 2.9 15.1 12 OAKLAND 1,740 12 OAKLAND 1,740	NEWBURG	772	1	0	1	0	ŏ					9	0
NORTHMODS NORTMODS NORTHMODS NORTHMO	NORMANDY	5,175	17	0	17	٥	2	2		k – - '	16.1	1 .	o
NORTHHOGOS 5,844 17	NORTH KANSAS CITY	4.340		2	1	o l							0
OFFALLON	NORTHWOODS				1			e					٥
DAKLAND 1,740	OFALLON			1 -		1							1
DDESS	OAKLAND	11		1 -			-	- 1	i –		1		ō
OLIVETTE	ODESSA	11	6	0			Λ			1.0	11.0		0
DVERLAND 19-367 44 2 46 4 6 10 56 2.0 28:0 12 PACIFIC 4,714 11 0 11 1 4 5 16 2.3 16:3 12 PAGEDALE 4,799 15 2 17 0 7 7 24 3.5 12 PASADENA PARK 515 12 PASADENA PARK 515 12 PINE LAWN 6,812 13 2 15 1 1 2 17 2 22 8 12 PINE LAWN 6,812 13 2 15 1 1 2 17 2 22 8 12 PLATTSBURG 2,115 5 0 5 0 6 6 6 11 1.55 2.20 1 2 17 2 22 8 12 PLEASANT HILL 7,232 2 7 2 29 5 3 3 37 1.7 56:4 12 POPLAR BLUFF 17,239 27 2 29 5 3 3 37 1.7 56:4 12 POTOSI REPUBLIC 4,821 8 3 11 0 0 0 11 2.3 1.5 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	1						_						0
PACIFIC 4,714 11 0 11 1 4 5 16 2.3 16.3 12 PAGEDALE 4,799 15 2 17 9 7 7 24 3.5 PARKVILLE 2,213 5 0 5 0 1 1 6 2.3 15.6 12 PASADENA HILLS 1,232 12 PASADENA PARK 515 12 PEVELY 2,771 7 0 7 0 2 2 2 9 2.5 23.1 12 PLEATSBURG 2,115 5 0 5 0 5 0 0 0 5 2.4 23.8 12 PLEATSBURG 2,115 5 0 5 0 5 0 0 0 5 2.4 23.8 12 PLEASANT HILL 3,423 5 0 5 0 6 6 11 1.5 25.0 12 POPLAR BLUFF 17,239 27 2 29 5 3 8 37 1.7 56.4 12 POTOSI 2,771 8 1 9 2 1 3 12 3.5 11.7 12 REPUBLIC 4,821 8 3 11 0 0 0 1 11 2.3 12.0 12 RICH HILL 1,396 3 0 3 0 0 0 0 3 2.1 RICHMOND HEIGHTS 11,484 27 1 28 1 1 2 30 2.4 17.5 12 RICHMOND HEIGHTS 11,484 27 1 28 1 1 2 30 2.4 17.5 12 RIVERSIDE 3,414 9 0 9 1 3 4 13 1.6 2.1 12 RIVERVIEH 3,328 3 0 3 0 0 0 0 3 2.1 9 ROLLA 13,913 18 2 20 2 7 9 29 1.4 35.2 12 SAINT GEORGE 1,5575 4 0 4 0 4 0 0 0 4 2.5 7.3 12 SAINT GEORGE 1,5575 4 0 4 0 4 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.2 12 SAINT GEORGE 1,5575 4 0 4 0 4 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.2 12 SAINT GEORGE 1,5575 4 0 4 0 4 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.2 12 SAINT GEORGE 1,5575 4 0 4 0 4 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.2 12 SAINT GEORGE 1,5575 4 0 4 0 4 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.2 12 SAINT GEORGE 1,5575 4 0 4 0 4 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.2 12 SAINT GEORGE 1,5575 4 0 4 0 4 0 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.2 12 SAINT GEORGE 1,5575 4 0 4 0 4 0 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.2 12 SAINT GEORGE 1,5575 4 0 4 0 4 0 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.2 12 SAINT GEORGE 1,5575 4 0 4 13 0 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.2 12 SAINT ROBERT 2,056 9 4 13 0 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.2 12 SAINT ROBERT 2,056 9 4 13 0 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.2 12 SAINT ROBERT 3,0569 31 1 3 4 17 2.8 11.9 12 SAINT ROBERT 4,556 3 1 7 0 0 0 7 7 1.5 14.4 12 SAINT ROBERT 5,0744 12 0 12 0 2 2 14 2.3 13.9 12 SAINT ROBERT 5,174 12 0 12 0 2 2 14 2.3 13.9 12 SAINT ROBERT 5,174 12 0 12 0 2 2 14 2.3 13.9 12 SAINT ROBERT 7,5642 12 14 15 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				:	<u> </u>	3 - 1							_
PAGEDALE PARKYLLE PARKYLLE PASADENA HILLS PASADENA PARK PEVELY PASADENA PARK PEVELY PASADENA PARK POLITY PASADENA PARK PASADENA PARK POLITY PASADENA PASADENA POLITY PASADENA POLITY PASADENA PASADENA POLITY PASADENA POLITY PASADENA POLITY PASA						* " 1	_		•	•			1
PARKYILLE PASADENA HILLS PASADENA PARK 1,232		11					•				1		C
PASADENA HILLS 1,232 PASADENA PARK 515 12 PASADENA PARK 515 12 PINE LAWN 6,812 13 2 15 1 1 1 2 17 2.2 22.8 12 PLATTSBURG 2,115 5 0 5 0 0 0 0 5 2.4 23.8 12 PLEASANT HILL 3,423 5 0 5 0 6 6 11 1.5 25.00 12 POPLAR BLUFF 17,239 27 2 29 5 3 8 37 1.7 56.4 12 REPUBLIC 4,821 8 3 11 9 2 1 3 12 REPUBLIC 4,821 8 3 11 0 0 0 11 2.3 12.00 12 RICH HILL 1,396 3 0 0 0 0 3 2.1			1	•		1	_	1 · 1		a	• •	S 1	-
PASADENA PARK PEVELY PEVELY PEVELY PEVELY PASADENA PARK 2,771 7 0 7 0 7 0 2 2 2 9 2.5 2201 12 PLATTSBURG PLEASANT HILL 3,423 5 0 5 0 5 0 6 6 6 11 1,5 25,00 12 POPLAR BLUFF 17,239 27 2 29 5 3 3 37 1-7 56,4 12 POTOSI REPUBLIC 4,821 8 3 11 0 0 0 0 11 2,315 11-7 12 REPUBLIC 1,296 3 0 0 0 0 11 2,315 11-7 12 RICHMOND 5,610 8 1 9 1 1,484 27 1 28 1 1 28 1 1 28 1 1 28 1 1 28 1 1 28 1 1 29 20 24 17-5 12 RIVERVIEW 3,328 3 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 3 2-4 17-5 12 RIVERVIEW 3,328 3 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 3 2-4 17-5 12 RIVERVIEW 3,328 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		11		_	, -	1 - 1					15.6		1
PEVELY 2,771 7 0 7 0 2 2 2 9 2.5 23.1 12 PINE LAWN 6,812 13 2 15 1 1 2 17 2.2 22.8 12 PLATTSBURG 2,115 5 0 5 0 0 0 5 2.4 23.8 12 PLATTSBURG 2,115 5 0 5 0 6 6 6 11 1.5 25.0 12 PDPLAR BLUFF 17,239 27 2 29 5 3 8 37 1.7 56.4 12 PDTLAR BLUFF 17,239 27 2 29 5 3 8 37 1.7 56.4 12 PDTLAR BLUFF 17,239 27 2 29 5 3 8 37 1.7 56.4 12 PDTOSI (1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5								1 1			_		
PINE LAWN 0,812 13 2 15 1 1 2 17 2.2 22.8 12 PLATTSBURG 2,115 5 0 5 0 0 0 5 2.4 23.8 12 PLEASANT HILL 3,423 5 0 5 0 6 6 11 1.5 25.0 12 POPULAR BLUFF 17,239 27 2 29 5 3 8 37 1.7 56.4 12 POTOSI 2,771 8 1 9 2 1 3 12 3.5 11.7 REPUBLIC 4,821 8 3 11 0 0 0 11 2.3 12.0 12 RICH HILL 1,396 3 0 3 0 0 0 3 2.1 RICHMOND 5,610 8 1 9 1 3 4 13 1.6 26.1 RICHMOND HEIGHTS 11,484 27 1 28 1 1 2 30 2.4 17.5 12 RIVERSIDE 3,414 9 0 9 1 3 4 13 2.6 21.1 12 ROCK HILL 5,715		11	1 .	i	1	1		i i	=	8	-		
PLATTSBURG 2,115 5 0 5 0 0 0 5 2.4 23.8 12 PLEASANT HILL 3,423 5 0 5 0 6 6 6 11 1.5 25.0 12 POPLAR BLUFF 17,239 27 2 29 5 3 8 37 1.7 56.4 12 POTOSI REPUBLIC 4,921 8 3 11 0 0 0 11 2.3 12.0 12 RICH HILL 1,396 3 0 3 0 0 0 3 2.1 RICHMOND 5,610 8 1 9 1 3 4 13 1.6 26.1 12 RICHMOND HEIGHTS 11,484 27 1 20 1 1 2 30 2.4 17.5 12 RIVERSIDE 3,444 9 0 9 1 3 4 13 2.6 21.1 12 RIVERVIEW 3,328 3 0 3 0 0 0 0 3 0.9 9.7 12 RIVERVIEW 3,328 3 0 3 0 0 0 0 3 0.9 9.7 12 ROCK HILL 5,715		1)]
PLEASANT HILL 3,423 5 0 5 0 6 6 11 1.5 25.0 12 POPDLAR BLUFF 17,239 27 2 29 5 3 8 37 1.7 56.4 12 REPUBLIC 2,571 8 1 9 2 1 3 12 3.5 11.7 12 RICH HILL 1,396 3 0 3 0 0 0 3 2.1						1	1	2	17	2.2	22 - 8	12	:
POPLAR BLUFF 17,239 27 2 29 5 3 3 37 1.77 56.44 12 POTOSI 2,5771 8 1 9 2 1 3 12 3.5 11.77 12 REPUBLIC 4,821 8 3 11 0 0 0 11 2.3 12.0 12 RICH HILL 1,396 3 0 3 0 0 0 3 2.1 RICHMOND 5,610 8 1 9 1 3 4 13 1.6 26.1 12 RICHMOND 5,610 8 1 9 1 3 4 13 1.6 26.1 12 RIVERSIDE 3,414 9 0 9 1 3 4 13 2.6 21.1 12 RIVERSIDE 3,414 9 0 9 1 3 4 13 2.6 21.1 12 RIVERVIEH 3,328 3 0 3 0 0 0 0 3 0.9 9.7 12 RIVERVIEH 3,3913 18 2 20 2 7 9 29 1.4 35.2 12 SAINT ANN 15,569 31 1 32 0 4 4 36 2.1 31.1 12 SAINT GEORGE 1,575 4 0 4 0 0 0 0 4 2.5 7.3 12 SAINT PETERS 18,238 34 1 35 2 5 7 42 1.9 22.3 12 SAINT PETERS 18,238 34 1 35 2 5 7 42 1.9 22.3 12 SAINT ROBERT 2,056 9 4 13 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.2 12 SAINT ROBERT 2,056 9 4 13 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.2 12 SAINT ROBERT 2,056 9 4 13 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.2 12 SAINTE GENEVIEVE 4,565 5 1 7 0 0 0 7 7 1.5 14.4 12 SALANT ROBERT 2,056 9 4 13 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.2 12 SAINTE GENEVIEVE 4,565 5 1 7 0 0 0 7 7 1.5 14.4 12 SALANT ROBERT 2,056 9 4 13 0 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.2 12 SAINTE GENEVIEVE 4,565 5 1 7 0 0 0 0 7 1.5 14.4 12 SAVANNAH 4,4437 5 0 5 0 0 0 0 5 1.1 - 8 SEDALIA 20,684 37 2 39 3 6 9 48 1.9 25.4 12 SIATER 2,0652 3 2 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 25.6 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 25.6 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 2 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 7 1 1 1 6 6 2.5 9.0 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 7 1 1 1 6 6 2.5 9.0 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 7 1 1 1 6 6 2.5 9.0 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 7 1 1 1 6 6 2.5 9.0 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 7 1 1 1 6 6 2.5 9.0 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 2 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 2 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SIATER 2,055 3 2 5 5 7 2		11	_	1 -			_	0	5	2.4	23.8	12	
POTOSI REPUBLIC REPUBLIC RICH HILL 1,396 3 0 0 0 0 0 11 2.3 12.0 12 RICHMOND RICHMOND S,610 RIVERSIDE RIVERSIDE RIVERVIEW RIVERVIEW ROCK HILL 5,715		3,423	. 5	1 -	5	0	6	- 6	11	1.5	25.0	12	:
REPUBLIC 4,821 8 3 11 0 0 0 11 2.3 12.0 12 RICH HILL 1,396 3 0 3 0 0 0 3 2.1		17,239	27	2	29	5	3	3	37	1.7	56.4	12	- {
REPUBLIC RICH HILL RICH HILL RICHMOND RICHMOND RICHMOND HEIGHTS RICHMOND HEIGHTS RIVERSIDE RIVERSIDE RIVERSIDE RIVERVIEW RIVERSIDE RIVERVIEW RIVERSIDE RIVERVIEW RIVERSIDE RIVERVIEW RIVER	POTOSI	2,571	. 8	1	9	2	1	-3	12	3.5	11.7	12	(
RICH HILL RICHMOND RICHMON RICHMOND RIC	REPUBLIC	4,321	8	3	11	al	0	2		2.3			d
RICHMOND RICHMOND HEIGHTS RIVERSIDE RIVERSIDE RIVERVIEW	RICH HILL	1,396	3	1 0	3	a	0	al			1 1		
RICHMOND HEIGHTS RIVERSIDE RIVERSIDE RIVERSIDE RIVERYYEH RIVERYEH RIVERYYEH RIVERYEN RIVERYEN RIVERYEN RIVERYENER RIVERE	RICHMOND	5,610	8	1	9	1	3	4			26.1	12	4
RIVERSIDE RIVERVIEW RIVERVIEW ROCK HILL ROCK HILL Sy715	RICHMOND HEIGHTS	11.484	27	1	28	1	1			:	1 1		. (
RIVERVIEH ROCK HILL 5,715	RIVERSIDE	II .			1	-		1 5					i
ROCK HILL 5,715	RIVERVIEW	11		l o							,		1
ROLLA 13,913 18 2 20 2 7 9 29 1.4 35.2 12 SAINT ANN 15,569 31 1 32 0 4 4 36 2.1 31.1 12 SAINT GEORGE 1,575 4 0 4 0 0 0 0 4 2.5 7.3 12 SAINT JOHN 8,054 13 2 15 0 1 16 1.9 15.1 12 SAINT PETERS 18,238 34 1 35 2 5 7 42 1.9 22.3 12 SAINT ROBERT 20,056 9 4 13 0 0 13 6.3 20.2 12 SAINTE GENEVIEVE SALEM 4,565 1 7 0 0 7 1.5 14.4 12 SALEM 4,586 13 0 13 1 3 4 17 2.8 11.9 12 SAVANNAH 4,437 5 0 5 0 5 0 0 5 1.1 - 8 SEDALIA 20,684 37 2 39 3 6 9 48 1.9 25.4 12 SHREWSBURY 5,174 12 0 12 SLATER 2,652 3 2 5 2 4 1 5 1 6 2 2.022 4 1 5 1 6 2 1 1 6 2 1 1 6 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ROCK HILL	11)	_	-		1 7 1	_				,,,,		d
SAINT ANN 15,569 31 1 32 0 4 4 36 2.1 31.1 12 SAINT GEORGE 1,575 4 0 4 0 0 0 0 4 15,569 31 12 SAINT JOHN 8,054 13 2 15 0 1 1 1 16 1.9 15.1 12 SAINT PETERS 18,238 34 1 35 2 5 7 42 1.9 22.3 12 SAINT ROBERT 2,056 9 4 13 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.2 12 SAINTE GENEVIEVE SALEM 4,565 3 1 7 0 0 0 7 1.5 14.4 12 SAVANNAH 4,437 5 0 5 0 0 0 0 7 1.5 14.4 12 SAVANNAH 4,437 5 0 5 0 0 0 0 5 1.1 7 0 0 0 0 5 1.1 7 0 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.2 12 SAVANNAH 4,586 13 0 13 1 3 4 17 2.8 11.9 12 SAVANNAH 5,0684 37 2 39 3 6 9 48 1.9 25.4 25 31 21 SIKESTON 17,830 45 1 46 2 4 6 52 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SMITHVILLE 2,022 4 1 5 0 7 1 1 6 2.5 9.0 12 SUGAR CREEK 1,339 9 0 7 1 1 1 6 2.5 9.0 1 1 1 1 6 2.5 9.0 1 1 1 1 6 2.5 9.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	• · · · · · · · · · · · · = · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		6	1	20			1	1	[25.2		
SAINT GEORGE SAINT JOHN SAINT PETERS SAINT ROBERT SAINT GEOREVIEVE SAINT G	1	11	1	1	1	4 · · · · I					1		
SAINT JOHN SAINT PETERS 18,238 34 1 35 2 5 7 42 1.9 22.3 12 SAINT ROBERT SAINTE GENEVIEVE SALEM SAVANNAH SEDALIA SEDALIA SHREWSBURY SHREWSBURY SIKESTON 17,830 45 1 46 2 4 6 52 2.6 21.5 12 SLATER SMITHVILLE SMITHVILLE SMITHVILLE SMITHVILLE SUGAR CREEK 4,399 9 9 0 9 0 2 2 11 2.0 19.8 12 SUGAR CREEK			•							k			
SAINT PETERS 18,238 34 1 35 2 5 7 42 1.9 22.3 12 SAINT ROBERT 2,056 9 4 13 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.02 12 SAINTE GENEVIEVE 4,565 3 1 7 0 0 0 7 1.5 14.4 12 SALEM 4,586 13 0 13 1 3 4 17 2.08 11.9 12 SAVANNAH 4,437 5 0 5 0 0 5 1.1 - 8 SEDALIA 20,684 37 2 39 3 6 9 48 1.9 25.4 12 SHREWSBURY 5,174 12 0 12 0 2 2 14 2.3 13.9 12 SIKESTON 17,830 45 1 46 2 4 6 52 2.6 21.5 12 SMITHUILLE 2,052 3 2 <td< td=""><td></td><td>46</td><td></td><td></td><td>1 '</td><td></td><td>_</td><td> 1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>		46			1 '		_	1					
SAINT ROBERT 2,056 9 4 13 0 0 0 13 6.3 20.02 12 SAINTE GENEVIEVE 4,565 3 1 7 0 0 0 7 1.5 14.4 12 SALEM 4,586 13 0 13 1 3 4 17 2.8 11.9 12 SAVANNAH 4,437 5 0 5 0 0 0 5 1.1 - 8 SEDALIA 20,684 37 2 39 3 6 9 48 1.9 25.4 12 SHREWSBURY 5,174 12 0 12 0 2 2 14 2.3 13.9 12 SIKESTON 17,830 45 1 46 2 4 6 52 2.6 21.5 12 SLATER 2,652 3 2 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.66 12 SMITHVILLE 4,399 9 0		n ·	SI .	ş.						R - 1			
SAINTE GENEVIEVE 4,565 3 1 7 0 0 0 7 1.55 14.44 12 SALEM 4,586 13 0 13 1 3 4 17 2.08 11.9 12 SAVANNAH 4,437 5 0 5 0 0 0 5 1.1 - 8 SEDALIA 20,684 37 2 39 3 6 9 48 1.9 25.4 12 SHREWSBURY 5,174 12 0 12 0 2 2 14 2.3 13.9 12 SIKESTON 17,830 45 1 46 2 4 6 52 2.6 21.5 12 SLATER 2,652 3 2 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.66 12 SMITHVILLE 2,022 4 1 5 0 1 1 6 2.5 9.0 12 SUGAR CREEK 4,3999 9 0				. –			-			4		1	
SALEM 4,586 13 0 13 1 3 4 17 2.8 11.9 12 SAVANNAH 4,437 5 0 5 0 0 0 5 1.1 - 8 SEDALIA 20,684 37 2 39 3 6 9 48 1.9 25.4 12 SHREWSBURY 5,174 12 0 12 0 2 2 14 2.3 13.9 12 SIKESTON 17,830 45 1 46 2 4 6 52 2.6 21.5 12 SLATER 2,652 3 2 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.66 12 SMITHVILLE 2,022 4 1 5 0 1 1 6 2.5 9.0 12 SUGAR CREEK 4,399 9 0 9 0 2 2 11 2.0 19.8 12			•	1		1		- 8					
SAVANNAH 4,437 5 0 5 0 0 0 5 1.01 — 8 SEDALIA 20,684 37 2 39 3 6 9 48 1.9 25.4 12 SHREWSBURY 5,174 12 0 12 0 2 2 14 2.3 13.9 12 SIKESTON 17,830 45 1 46 2 4 6 52 2.6 21.5 12 SLATER 2,652 3 2 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SMITHVILLE 2,022 4 1 5 0 1 1 6 2.5 9.0 12 SUGAR CREEK 4,399 9 0 9 0 2 2 11 2.0 19.8 12			-			, - 1	-						1
SEDALIA 20,684 37 2 39 3 6 9 48 1.9 25.4 12 SHREWSBURY 5,174 12 0 12 0 2 2 14 2.3 13.9 12 SIKESTON 17,830 45 1 46 2 4 6 52 2.6 21.5 12 SLATER 2,652 3 2 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SMITHVILLE 2,022 4 1 5 0 1 1 6 2.5 9.0 12 SUGAR CREEK 4,399 9 0 7 0 2 2 11 2.0 19.8 12			<u> </u>	,				· 1			11.9	1	;
SHREWSBURY 5,174 12 0 12 0 2 2 14 2.3 13.9 12 SIKESTON 17,830 45 1 46 2 4 6 52 2.6 21.5 12 SLATER 2,652 3 2 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SMITHVILLE 2,022 4 1 5 3 1 1 6 2.5 9.0 12 SUGAR CREEK 4,399 9 0 9 0 2 2 11 2.0 19.8 12		13	y -		, ,				5	:	-	1	(
SIKESTON 17,830 45 1 46 2 4 6 52 2.6 21.5 12 SLATER 2,652 3 2 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SMITHVILLE 2,022 4 1 5 3 1 1 6 2.5 9.0 12 SUGAR CREEK 4,399 9 0 9 0 2 2 11 2.0 19.8 12		B • · · ·	8						48	1.9	25.4	12	:
SLATER 2,652 3 2 5 2 2 4 9 1.9 22.6 12 SMITHVILLE 2,022 4 1 5 3 1 1 6 2.5 9.0 12 SUGAR CREEK 4,399 9 0 9 0 2 2 11 2.0 19.8 12		5,174	12	1 -	12	0	2	- 2	14	2.3	13.9	12	1 1
SMITHVILLE 2,022 4 1 5 0 1 1 6 2.5 9.0 12 SUGAR CREEK 4,399 9 0 9 0 2 2 11 2.0 19.8 12		17,830	45	1	46	2	4	6	52	2.6	21.5	12	
SMITHVILLE 2,022 4 1 5 0 1 1 6 2.5 9.0 12 SUGAR CREEK 4,399 9 0 9 0 2 2 11 2.0 19.8 12		2,652	3	2	5	2	2	4	. 9	1.9			
SUGAR CREEK 4,399 9 0 9 0 2 2 11 2.0 19.8 12	SMITHVILLE	2,022	4	1	5	ן כ	1	1			1		
CHILTHAN CONT.	SUGAR CREEK	11			9			- 2				1	
	SULLIVAN	11		_	, ,	, - 1				a .			

6

LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLOYMENT/ASSAULTS, MUNICIPALITIES UNDER 25,000 BY REPORTING AGENCY 1985

				Е М	P L	O Y M	E N T				ASSAULT	'S
					1		-			# INDEX		
		POLICE	OFFICERS	(SWORN)	CIVII	IAN EMPL	OYEES	TOTAL	OFFICERS/2	CRIMES 3	MONTHS	
REPORTING AGENCY	POPULATION	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	EMPL.	1000 POP.	PER OFF.3	RPT.	NO.4
SUNSET HILLS	4,460	16	1	17	1	5	6	23	3.8	17.9	12	3
TOWN AND COUNTRY	3,299	20	1	21	lo	1	1	22	6.4	-	11	0
TRENTON	6,778	9	2	11	1	4	5	16	1.6	12.5	12	2
UNION	5,638	10	1	11	1	1	2	13	2.0	19.7	12	3
UNIV MO. COLUMBIA	3	32	3	35	0	. 7	7	42		23.6	12	0
UNIV MO. ST LOUIS	3	15	2	17	2	6	8	25	- !	-	10	0
VALLEY PARK	3,291	8	C	8	1	. 0	1	9	2.4	22.3	12	2
VANDALIA	3,155	5	٥	5	0	0	0	5	1.6	3.6	12	1
VELDA VILLAGE HILLS	1,418	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	- .	4	. 0
VINITA PARK	2,208	- 9	0	9	0	O	. 0	9	4.1	-	8	0
WARRENSBURG	13,133	19	2	21	0	2	2	23	1.6	40.3	12	10
WARSON WOODS	2,207	6	0	5	0	٥	0	6	2.7	4.8	12	0
WASHINGTON	9,609	17	1	18	0	3	3	21	1.9	21.2	12	٥
WASHINGTON UNIV.	Ú	-17	3	20	4	8	12	32	-	10.2	12	0
WEBB CITY	7,593	14	0	14	- 0	O	0	14	1.8	-	11	. 1
WEBSTER GROVES	23,510	37	. 4	41	1	9	10	51	1.7	14.3	12	5
WELLSTON	4,428	14	C	14	- 1	0	1	15	3 • 2	-	9 -	. 1
WENTZYILLE	3,723	12	C C	12	4	0	4	16	3.2	-	10	1
WEST PLAINS	7,751	11	G	11	1	3	4	15	1.4	17.1	12	. 0.
WESTON	1,475	.3	0	3	0	0	0	3	2.0	10.3	12	0
WESTWOOD	331	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	12	0
WINCHESTER	1,970	-		-	-	_	-	-		-	12	0
WINDSOR	2,934	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	12	3
WOODSON TERRACE	5,154	10	С	13	0	11	1	11	1.9	16.0	12	2
TOTAL	1,013,643	2151	130	2751	156	371	527	2808	2.3	_	-	229

¹Total population includes only those jurisdictions which submitted employment data to the UCR Program in 1985.

²Number of officers associated with a reporting agency in relation to the jurisdictional population. It should be noted that other enforcement agencies (such as Missouri State Highway Patrol) may have officers assigned to the same jurisdiction.

Number of Index Crimes (see Glossary) submitted by reporting agency in relation to its officers. A dash indicates a value was not computed because the agency reported less than 12 months of Index Crime data, or it did not report employment data to the UCR Program during 1985.

⁴A dash indicates a value was not computed because the agency reported no assault data to the UCR Program during 1985.

LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLOYMENT/ASSAULTS, SUBURBAN COUNTIES BY REPORTING AGENCY 1985

·												
				E M	P L	O Y M	E N T				ASSAUL	TS
	,	POLICE	OFFICERS	(SWORN)	CIVI	LIAN EMPL	OYEES	TOTAL	OFFICERS/	# INDEX CRIMES	MONTHS	4
REPORTING AGENCY	POPULATION	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	EMPL.		PER OFF.3	RPT.	NO.4
BOONE	38,543	40	. 5	43	0	6	6	54	1.2	16.0	12	0
BUCHANAN	9,976	37	8	45	0	3	3	43	4.5	ó•1	12	. 0
CASS	29,946	-14	.0	14	8	6	14	28	0.5	33.1	12	2
CHRISTIAN	24,302	6	2	8	0	1	1	9	0.3	29.6	12	1
CLAY	15,268	54	18	72	4	25	-29	101	407	3.4	12	1
FRANKLIN	49,954	34	8	42	0	0	0	42	8•0	17.2	12	1
GREENE	51,476	.72	15	87	o l	n	0	87	1.7	11.5	12	. 0
JACKSON	27,041	72	9	81	. 8	- 9	17	98	3.0	-	_	
JASPER	32,910	46	11	57	2	0	2	59	1.7	8.0	12	0
JEFFERSON	- 1	-	_ `	-	- 1	— .		-	-	-	_	0
LAFAYETTE	22,320	14	5	19	1	2	3	22	0.9	8.9	12	6
NEWTON	30,759	-		_				-	_	_	1	. 0
PLATTE	26,062	23	7	30	o l	10	10	40	1.2	11.0	12	0
RAY	16,107	6	0	5	7	2	. 9	15	0.4	26.8	12	G
SAINT CHARLES	80,229	73	7 .	80	9	30	39	119	1.0	20.0	12	22
SAINT LOUIS POLICE	420,838	491	36	527	84	100	184	711	1.3	25.3	12	70
TOTAL	844,972	982	134	1116	123	194	317	1433	1.3	-	-	103
	jii	I	1	i				L	l	n	!	

Total population includes only those jurisdictions which submitted employment data to the UCR Program in 1985. Population figures represent the jurisdiction normally covered by sheriff's departments or county police departments. (See Introduction page 29 for further explanation.)

Number of officers associated with a reporting agency in relation to the jurisdictional population. It should be noted that other enforcement agencies (such as Missouri State Highway Patrol) may have officers assigned to the same jurisdiction.

Number of Index Crimes (see Glossary) submitted by reporting agency in relation to its officers. A dash indicates a value was not computed because the agency reported less than 12 months of Index Crime data, or it did not report employment data to the UCR Program during 1985.

 $^{^4}$ A dash indicates a value was not computed because the agency reported no assault data to the UCR Program during 1985.

LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLOYMENT/ASSAULTS, RURAL COUNTIES BY REPORTING AGENCY 1985

				E M	PL	O Y M	E N T		,		ASSAULT	rs
		POLICE	OFFICERS	(SWORN)	CIVII	LIAN EMPLO	OYEES	TOTAL	OFFICERS/	# INDEX CRIMES	MONTHS	
REPORTING AGENCY	POPULATION L	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	EMPL.	1000 POP.	PER OFF. 3	RPT.	NO.4
ADAIR	7,940	8	2	10	9	0	e	13	1.3	-	1	0
ANDREW	10,626	. 6	Ç	6	1	3	- 4	10	0.6	13.0	12	0
ATCHISON	8,536	8	3	11	0	0	0	11	1.3	7.0	12	0
AUDRAIN	10,525	8	5	13	Q	0	-3	13	1.2	10.8	12	2
BARRY	21,487	11	2	13	0	0	[0	13	0.6	-	11	0
BARTON	7,639	7	0	7	- 1	0	1	- 8	0.9	16.4	12)
BATES	10,593	5	0	5 .	2	1	1	6	0.5	24.2	12	3
BENTON	12,553	6	3	9	Ü	3	3	. 12	0.7	-	10	1
BOLLINGER	10,444	4	1	5	i	2	3	8	0.5	29 • 2	12	0
BUTLER CALDWELL	21,323	17	4	21	0	0)	- 21	1.0	-	-	-
CALLAWAY	3,235	3	1	4.	0	6	6	10	0.5	12.3	12	0
CAMDEN	22,422	12	S	12	3	4	4	16	0.5		-) 0
CAPE GIRARDEAU	22,695	11	9	5.0	. 0	0	0	20	0.9	25.5	12	1
CARROLL	17,425	21	10	31	0	0	ō	31	1.8	4.4	12	1
CARTER	7,130 5,724	5	_		. 2	3	5	10	0.7	17.0	12	0
CEDAR	12,352	9	- 1	1	- 0	0	_	-	_	-	2	0
CHARITON	10,343	1	2	10	11	1	0	10	0.8	13.5	12	0
CLARK	8,335	4	1	5	0	O	1 -	3	0.3	-	11	0
CLINTON	10,035	7	i	3	2	4	6	11	0.6	_	-	-
COLE	25,825	15	i	16	6	6	12	14 28	0.8	1	1)
COOPER	7,538	3	1	4	. 0	0	2	4	0.6	19.8	12	0
CRAWFORD	18,239	15	2	12	ŋ	o	0	12	0.7	12.5 20.4	12 12	0
DADE	7,532	3	1	i L	2	1	3	7	0.5	2004	12	٥ ا
DALLAS	12,553	4	i i	- 4	2	o	2	0	0.3	34.8	12	0
DAVIESS	8,737	3	ĭ	4	ī	0	1	5	0.5	21.3	12	0
DE KALB	7,547	3	õ		ō	ĭ	1	4	0.4	12.3	12	0
DENT	10,377	9	1	าอ	o o	ñ	ā	10	1.0	15.03	1,2	_
DOUGLAS	12,452	2	ō	2	4	9	4	6	0.2	37.5	12	٥
DUNKLIN	19,425	11	i	12	1	i	2	14	0.6	12.2	12	i
GASCONADE	10,776	4	4	3	. 5	ī	ī	9	0.7	14.4	12	Î
GENTRY	7,933	1	Ó	1	1	l ō	ī	ź	2.1		-	3
GRUNDY	4,871	6	2	3		C	0	8	1.6	5.4	12	Ö
HARRISON	9,942	3	o	ا ذ	2	2	4	7	0.3	25.7	12	1
HENRY	8,189	7	1	- a	1	5	6	14	1.0	19.8	12	ا آ
HICKORY	6,929	. 6	1	7	່ວ່	0	0	7	1.0	-		_
HOLT	0,725	5	1	6		0	0	. 6	0.9	14.5	12	1 0
HOWELL	22,476	14	1	15	2	C	0	15	7.0	-	_	_
IRON -	9,759	8	С	- 5	- 1	3	4	12	0.8	2.9	12	0
JOHNSON	25,429	13	1	14	Ü	1	1	15	0.6	13.0	12	0
KNOX	5,3?2	2	C	- 2	.3	0	3	5	0.4	12.5	12	-
LACLEDE	10,158	10	. 0	13	2	1	3	13	0.6	16.8	12	0
LAWRENCE	21,919	12	2	14	.0	0	0	14	0.6	-	8	1
LEWIS	8,561	4	C	4	9	2	اخا	6	0.5	_		1 -

ü

LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLOYMENT/ASSAULTS, RURAL COUNTIES BY REPORTING AGENCY 1985

T			-		E M	P L	о у м	E N T			 	ASSAUI	JTS
			POLICE	OFFICERS	(SWORN)	CIVII	LIAN EMPLO	OYEES	TOTAL	OFFICERS/	# INDEX CRIMES 3	момича	
	REPORTING AGENCY	POPULATION	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	EMPL.	1000 POP.	PER OFF.	MONTHS RPT.	NO.4
Ī	LINN	6,762	3	- 0	?	0	0	0	3	0.4		11	0
- 1	LIVINGSTON	6,296	7	1	S	0	o	0	8	1.3	11.5	12	o l
- [MADISON	11,745	4	ē	4	li	4	5	9	0.4	6.8	12	ă
- 1	MARIES	7,333	2	i	•	0	ا م		3	0.4	_		
	MARION	10,170	<u> </u>	ī	.13	g	ő	Ċ	13	1.3	4.3	12	0
- 1	MCDONALD	15,756	8	2	10	ő	0	. 0	10	0.6	12.4	12	4
	MERCER	4,619		_		,	_	- 5	10	17.0	7504	3	ا م
	MILLER	15,557	5	0	5	5	2	7	12	0.3	_	4	_
	MISSISSIPPI	10,479	ý	1	15	0	1	1	11	1	_	ii - I	
- 1	MONITEAU	9,275	4	c l	4	ם מ	1 1	1	5	1.0 0.4		1	-
	MONROE	9,741	4	1	4 5	2	2	4	9	0.4	-	4	0
	MONTGOMERY	11,649	6	2	د. خ	5	4	4	u ,		22.7		
	MORGAN	15,264	12	3	15	0	0	. .	10	0.5	32.7	12	0
- 1	NEW MADRID	1		- 1		n ~		•	15	1.0	_	-	- 1
	NODAWAY	18,583	13	2	15	j.	0	0	15	0.8	6.9	12	1
ľ	OREGON	12,035	9	C	. 9	0	1	1	10	0.7	34.2	12	0
	ORAGE	10,145	4	0	4	0	1	1	5	0.4	10.8	12	0
		12,251	4 "	- 1	4	4	4	8	12	0.3	9.5	12	G
	OZARK	8,757	2	C	?	4	0	4	6	0.2	56.5	12	0
	PEMISCOT	24,402	12	1	13	3	0	0	13	0.5	-	4	0
40	PERRY	17,172	8	4	1.2	9	2	2	14	০.7	9.6	12	0
	PETTIS	15,357	15	2	17	C	1	1	18	1.1	-	-	-
1	PHELPS	20,563	18	1	19	J	1	1	20	0.9	-	-	- 1
	PIKE	2,344	6	5	11	0	0	0	11	1.1	9.6	12	1
1	PULASKI	41,323	15	1	11	1	0	1	12	0.3	12.3	12	0
- 1	RALLS	3,711	3	1	4	0	1	1	5	0.4	- ·	-	-
	RANDOLPH	12,535	3	6	14) j	0	0	14	1.1	12.4	12	0
٠ ا	REYNOLDS	7,235	5	Ü	. 6	2	3	5	10	9.7	16.4	12	0
- 1	RIPLEY	12,754	6	1	7	3	י סי	0	7	3.5	9.9	12	0
į.	SAINT CLAIR	8,737	3	0	. 3	C	0	0	3	∂•3	62.7	12	0
	SAINT FRANCEIS	27,213	19	7	26	G	1	1	27	1.0	12.5	12	0
-	SAINTE GENEVIEVE	10,599	7	1	. 3	3	3	6	14	0.7	14.5	12	1
	SALINE	9,377	9	2	11	0	1	1	12	1.2	13.4	12	0
-	SCHUYLER	4,820	2	0	.2	ວ	0	0	2	0.4	7.0	12	0
1	SCOTLAND	5,322	3	1	4	O.	0	C	4	0.8	7.5	12	1
1	SCOTT	20,113	15	2	17	3	2	2	19	0.8	3.5	12	1
	SHELBY	7,122	.3	Ù	3	5	1	6	9	0.4	21.3	12	1
ı	STODDARD	28,721	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	- 1	-	12	0
1	STONE	17,072	4	0	4	1	1	2	6	0.2	- !	_]	- 1
- 1-	SULLIVAN	7,230	3	1]	ب ن	1	3	4	8	0.6	- 1	- 1	- 1
	TANEY	20,330	11	2	13	3	0		13	0.6	- 1	_	_
-	VERNON	16,839	7	ن	7	3	3	3	10	0.6	27.4	12	0
	WARREN	15,463	. 6	1	7	4	2	· 6.	13	0.4	31.4	12	ō
	- WAYNE	1.1,749	4	1	5	0	3	3	8	0.4	_		_
l	WEBSTER	21,591	0.	1	7	o o	0	0	9	0.4	_	10	1
-	- WCRTH	3, 312	2	. ?	.4	ر (0	0	4	1.3	5.3	12	5
L								[]		1			

LAW ENFORCEMENT EMPLOYMENT/ASSAULTS, RURAL COUNTIES BY REPORTING AGENCY 1985

			-		ASSAULTS							
		POLICE									MONTHS	
REPORTING AGENCY	POPULATION	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	ł .	11	PER OFF. 3	RPT.	NO.4
WRIGHT	16,469	7	0	7	1	0	1	8	9.4	_	-	-
TOTAL	1,118,393	523	121	744	69	102	171	915	0.7	-	-	25

¹Total population includes only those jurisdictions which submitted employment data to the UCR Program in 1985. Population figures represent the jurisdiction normally covered by sheriff's departments or county police departments. (See Introduction page 29 for further explanation.)

Number of officers associated with a reporting agency in relation to the jurisdictional population. It should be noted that other enforcement agencies (such as Missouri State Highway Patrol) may have officers assigned to the same jurisdiction.

Number of Index Crimes (see Glossary) submitted by reporting agency in relation to its officers. A dash indicates a value was not computed because the agency reported less than 12 months of Index Crime data, or it did not report employment data to the UCR Program during 1985.

 $^{^4}$ A dash indicates a value was not computed because the agency reported no assault data to the UCR Program during 1985.

GLOSSARY

ASSAULT: Officer assaults are defined as any unlawful attack by a person on a law enforcement officer who is in the performance of his/her duty. Officer assaults include those which result in serious injury to the sworn officer, all assaults in which the assailant used a weapon which could have caused serious injury or death, and assaults on officers that were beyond mere verbal abuse or minor resistance to an arrest.

ASSAULTS PER 100 OFFICERS RATE: This rate is an indicator of reported officer assault activity standardized by the number of officers employed by the agencies reporting the activity. The officer assault rate formula is:

Number of Officer Assaults X 100 Number of Law Enforcement Officers Employed

This rate is based on data from only those agencies which reported a full 12 months of officer assault activity and annual employment figures to the FBI UCR Program.

ASSIGNMENT: These categories identify the specific assignment of an officer at the time of the assault. Two-Man Vehicle and One-Man Vehicle pertain to uniformed officers on patrol. Special Assignment pertains to those non-uniformed officers functioning as detectives or on special assignment. Other Assignment pertains to those officers assaulted while functioning in some other capacity, such as foot patrol, off-duty, etc. The term "assisted" refers to law enforcement assistance only.

CLEARANCE: The resolution of an assault on an officer by the arrest, charging and turning over to a court for prosecution one or more persons alleged to have committed the offense. An offense can also be cleared by exceptional means when a law enforcement agency has: 1) established the identity of the offender, 2) has enough information to support an arrest, charge and prosecution in court, 3) the exact location of the offender is known so that he/she could be taken into custody, and 4) there is some reason outside law enforcement control that precludes arresting, charging and prosecuting the offender.

<u>CLEARANCE RATE</u>: The number of clearances divided by the total number of assaults, expressed as a percentage. The formula is:

Number of Clearances X 100

EMPLOYMENT: The number of persons, either sworn officers with full arrest powers or civilians, working in a full-time capacity and appearing on the payroll of a Missouri law enforcement agency as of October 31 of a reporting year.

EMPLOYMENT ESTIMATION TECHNIQUE: An estimation technique was used in this report to determine the total number of sworn officers and civilians employed in the State of Missouri.

This technique categorized Missouri law enforcement agencies by type (i.e., municipal police departments vs. sheriff and county police departments) and also by jurisdictional population levels (i.e., municipal police departments covering populations between 50,000 and 99,999). For each class of agency the following formulas were applied:

Total No. of Employees Reported Population of Reporting Agencies = Employment Rate for Reporting Agencies

Employment Rate for X Total Population of Reporting = Reporting Agencies

Employment Estimate for Each Class of Agency

Employment Est. + Employment Est. + Employment Est. = $for Class_1$ + $for Class_x$ =

Statewide Employment Estimate

INDEX CRIMES: Index Crimes are the eight major offenses used to indicate the occurrence of crime in the United States. They were selected due to their frequency of occurrence and because they are most likely to be reported to a law enforcement agency. The eight offenses are: murder, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, theft, motor vehicle theft, and arson.

INDEX CRIMES PER OFFICER RATE: This rate indicates the number of index crime incidents which occurred in a community in relation to the law enforcement agency's sworn officer resource availability. The formula is:

Number of Index Crimes

Number of Law Enforcement Officers Employed

OFFICERS PER 1,000 POPULATION RATE: This rate is an indicator of a law enforcement agency's sworn officer resource availability in relation to the population of the community it serves. The formula is:

Number of Officers Employed X 1,000 Community Population

PERCENT CHANGE: The formula is:

Value in Current Period - Value in Base Period X 100

<u>POPULATION</u>: The number of persons residing in a jurisdiction estimated by the FBI for a given year based upon the last census taken by the U.S. Census Bureau.