

WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL REPORT NO. 5 TO THE 1987 LEGISLATURE

LEGISLATION ON COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS ISSUES

1987 ASSEMBLY BILL 260, RELATING TO RESTITUTION

1987 ASSEMBLY BILL 261, RELATING TO ACTIONS AND JUDGMENTS INVOLVING CERTAIN PERSONS PROVIDING CHILD CARE SERVICES

111271

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

Wisconsin Legislative Council

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS)

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

A GG LU LUMBY . . .

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF APRIL 13, 1987

STATE CAPITOL MADISON, WISCONSIN

RL 87-5

WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL s. 13.81, Stats.

OFFICERS

Chairperson
TOM LOFTUS
Speaker of the Assembly
Sun Prairie

Vice-Chairperson FRED A. RISSER President of the Senate Madison

Secretary BETTY JO NELSEN Representative Shorewood

SENATORS

J.M. DAVIS Waukesha

SUSAN ENGELEITER Menomonee Falls

GARY R. GEORGE Milwaukee

JAMES E. HARSDORF Beldenville

RICHARD T. KREUL Fennimore

MORDECAI LEE Milwaukee

RODNEY MOEN Whitehall

JOHN O. NORQUIST

Milwaukee

JOSEPH STROHL

Racine

REPRESENTATIVES

JEANNETTE BELL West Allis

GORDON R. BRADLEY

Oshkosh

DAVID E. CLARENBACH

Madison

MARCIA P. COGGS Milwaukee

THOMAS HAUKE West Allis EARL F. MC ESSY Fond du Lac

DAVID PROSSER Appleton

MARLIN D. SCHNEIDER Wisconsin Rapids

LOUISE TESMER Milwaukee

BONNIE REESE, Executive Secretary, Legislative Council, 147 North, Capitol

COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS ISSUES, SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON

OFFICERS

Chairperson DISMAS BECKER Representative Milwaukee

Vice-Chairperson LOUISE M. TESMER Representative Milwaukee

Secretary DAVID J. LEPAK Representative Muskego

REPRESENTATIVES

G. SPENCER COGGS Milwaukee

DAVID PROSSER Appleton

MARGARET ANN KRUSICK Milwaukee

> **SENATOR** BRIAN RUDE Coon Valley

PUBLIC MEMBERS

E. JAMES FITZGERALD Manitowoc

JUDGE VICTOR MANIAN

CHIEF DAVID L. GORSKI

Milwaukee

Appleton

VICTORIA MC CANDLESS Milwaukee

SISTER ESTHER HEFFERNAN Madison

THOMAS W. MEAUX Milwaukee

PEGGY KENDRIGAN, PH.D. (1) Milwaukee

SANDRA K. STRANDER Madison

COMMITTEE STAFF: Pam Shannon, Staff Attorney; Anne Bogar, Staff Analyst; and Cindy McGinnis, Secretarial Staff.

(1) Resigned from the Committee.

WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL REPORT NO. 5 TO THE 1987 LEGISLATURE*

LEGISLATION ON COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS ISSUES

	CONTENTS															Page							
PART I:	KEY	PROVI	SIONS	0F	1987	7 A	SSE	MBL	Y :	ΒI	LL	s.				•	•			۰			3
•	A.	1987	Assemb	oly	Bill	2	60,	Re	1a	ti	ng	to	Re	est	tit	tut	ic	n		•	•	•	3
	В.	Judgm	Assemb ents l Care	Invo	lvir	ng	Cer	Re tai	la n	ti Pe	ng rs	to ons	Ac Pr	ct rov	ior /io	ns iir	ar ng	nd •	•	•	•	•	3
PART II:	COM	MITTEE	ACTI	/ITY	. •	•		•			•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠.	5
	Α.	Assig	nment			e		٥	•	•			•	•			•	•	•	•	•		5
•	В.	Summa	ry of	Mee	ting	JS	• •	•		•	•			•	•	•	۰	•	•	•		•	5
	C.	Commi	ttee a	and	Cour	nci	1 V	ote	s	0	•	a a	•	•	• .	•	,0	•	•		•	•	7
	D.	Staff	Mater	ria]	s.	•	• •	•	•	9	•	• •	•	•	•,	•	. •	•	•	•	•	÷	7
PART III:	DESC	CRIPTI	ON OF	198	7 AS	SSE	MBL	Y B	ΙL	L	26	0.	٠			۰	•	•	•	•	•	•	9
	Α.	Backg	round	•	• •	•	• 0	٥,	•	•	•	•		0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9
	В.	Major	Provi	isio	ns	•	• .	•	•	٠	o ·	• •	•	•	.•	•	•	•	0	•	•	•	9
PART IV:	DESC	CRIPTI	ON OF	198	7 AS	SSE	MBL'	Y B	ΙL	L	26	1.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	11
	Α.	Backg	round		•	•	• •	•	• •	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	•	•	11
	В.	Major	Provi	isio	ns	•	o 9	•	•	•	•	• •	•	• .	•	•	•	•	•	• ,	•	•	12
APPENDIX:	COMP	MITTEE	MATER	RIAL	s.	•		•	•	•	•	• •		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		13

^{*}Prepared by: Anne Bogar, Staff Analyst, Legislative Council Staff.

PART I

KEY PROVISIONS OF 1987 ASSEMBLY BILLS

A. 1987 ASSEMBLY BILL 260, RELATING TO RESTITUTION

- 1. Allows the court to order payment of unpaid restitution as a condition of parole for revoked probationers.
- 2. Requires the district attorney to obtain information on the victim's pecuniary loss, unless a presentence investigation is ordered.
- 3. Clarifies that the victim must demonstrate the amount of losses and the defendant must demonstrate his or her ability to pay.
- 4. Allows the court to establish a schedule for payment of restitution and to order joint and several liability for payment of restitution.
- 5. "Sunsets" most of the revisions to the restitution law on July 1, 1994.

B. 1987 ASSEMBLY BILL 261, RELATING TO ACTIONS AND JUDGMENTS INVOLVING CERTAIN PERSONS PROVIDING CHILD CARE SERVICES

- 1. Specifies that persons participating as child care workers in the Department of Health and Social Services' (DHSS) Pilot Project to provide child care services to the children of probationers are state agents and covered by the state's liability program for its officers, employers and agents.
- 2. Authorizes the Department of Justice to treat, as a state agent, any person participating as a child care worker in the DHSS's Pilot Project.

PART II

COMMITTEE ACTIVITY

A. ASSIGNMENT

The Legislative Council established the Special Committee on Community Corrections Issues by an April 15, 1986 mail ballot, based on an April 10, 1986 letter from Representative Dismas Becker, which requested the Council to examine community corrections issues.

The membership of the Special Committee, appointed by a June 17, 1986 mail ballot, consisted of one Senator, six Representatives and eight Public Members. {One Public Member subsequently resigned.}

The Special Committee held six meetings at the State Capitol in Madison on the following dates:

 July 17, 1986
 November 19, 1986

 August 13, 1986
 December 17, 1986

 September 24, 1986
 February 11, 1987

B. SUMMARY OF MEETINGS

At its initial meeting on <u>July 17, 1986</u>, the Special Committee received testimony on the effectiveness of community corrections issues by three invited speakers: (1) Stephen Kronzer, Deputy Administrator, Division of Corrections, DHSS; (2) Beverly Davis, Unit Supervisor, Bureau of Community Corrections, DHSS; and (3) Terry Marshall, Executive Director, the Attic Halfway House, Madison. The Committee also reviewed a staff paper providing background information on Wisconsin's prison and community corrections system.

At the <u>August 13, 1986</u> meeting, the Special Committee heard presentations on the Division of Corrections' proposed 1987 Biennial Budget request to the DHSS from three invited speakers: (1) Walter J. Dickey, Administrator, Division of Corrections, DHSS; (2) Mike Sullivan, Director, Bureau of Community Corrections, Division of Corrections, DHSS; and (3) Cindy Schoenike, Deputy Director, Bureau of Community Corrections, Division of Corrections, DHSS.

At its <u>September 24, 1986</u> meeting, the Special Committee heard presentations from invited speakers from Milwaukee and Madison on: (1) the use of private sector community corrections programs through the Division of Corrections' purchase of services; (2) recommendations

relating to programs and services for female offenders; and (3) the Dane County deferred prosecution, first offender and youth restitution programs. The Special Committee also reviewed a Legislative Audit Bureau Report and legislation proposed by the Judicial Council relating to revisions to Wisconsin's restitution law.

At its <u>November 19, 1986</u> meeting, the Special Committee heard presentations by two invited speakers from Baraboo and Beloit on deferred prosecution Pilot Projects in Sauk and Rock Counties, respectively. The Committee also reviewed staff materials on provisions for liability insurance for the Milwaukee Welfare Fraud Child Care Pilot Project, Wisconsin's restitution law and options for establishing provisions for parole supervision fees.

At its <u>December 17, 1986</u> meeting, the Special Committee discussed and recommended to the Legislative Council WLCS: 154/P1, relating to restitution. The Committee also recommended that draft letters be sent to Secretary Linda Reivitz, DHSS, regarding the administration of deferred prosecution agreements and to Department of Administration Secretary-Designee James R. Klauser, regarding a day treatment program for paroled offenders.

At its February 11, 1987 meeting, the Special Committee discussed and recommended to the Legislative Council WLCS: 229/1, relating to extending the state liability program to certain probationers, providing child care services and authorizing the Department of Justice to represent those persons. The Special Committee also heard presentations by Secretary Timothy Cullen, DHSS, and Stephen Bablitch, Administrator, Division of Corrections, DHSS, on their views and proposed initiatives for community corrections programs.

The Special Committee voted to send a letter to the DHSS recommending retention of the DHSS's Advisory Council on Female Offenders, establishment of a policy for the treatment of pregnant female offenders and creation of other appropriate female offender programs and services.

The Special Committee also directed Chairperson Becker to send a letter to the Joint Committee on Finance, recommending that community corrections programs be given funding priority on the biennial budget process.

C. COMMITTEE AND COUNCIL VOTES

1. 1987 Assembly Bill 260, Relating to Restitution

At its December 17, 1986 meeting, the Special Committee on Community Corrections Issues recommended that the Legislative Council introduce the proposal by a vote of Ayes, 11 (Reps. Becker, Tesmer, S. Coggs, Krusick and Prosser; Sen. Rude; and Public Members Fitzgerald, Gorski, Heffernan, Manian and Strander); Noes, 0; and Absent, 3 (Rep. Lepak; and Public Members McCandless and Meaux).

At its March 25, 1987 meeting, the Legislative Council voted to introduce the proposal in the 1987 Legislative Session by a vote of Ayes, 16 (Sen. Risser; Reps. Nelsen, Bell, Bradley, M. Coggs, Hauke, McEssy, Prosser, Schneider and Tesmer; and Sens. Davis, Kreul, Lee, Moen, Norquist and Strohl); Noes, 0; and Absent, 5 (Speaker Loftus; Rep. Clarenbach; and Sens. Engeleiter, George and Harsdorf).

2. 1987 Assembly Bill 261, Relating to Actions and Judgments Involving Certain Persons Providing Child Care Services

At its February 11, 1987 meeting, the Special Committee on Community Corrections Issues recommended that the Legislative Council introduce the proposal by a vote of Ayes, 8 (Reps. Becker, Tesmer, Krusick and Prosser; Sen. Rude; and Public Members Gorski, Heffernan and Strander); Noes, 0; and Absent, 6 (Reps. Lepak and S. Coggs; and Public Members Fitzgerald, Manian, McCandless and Meaux).

At its March 25, 1987 meeting, the Legislative Council voted to introduce the proposal in the 1987 Legislative Session by a vote of Ayes, 14 (Speaker Loftus; Sen. Risser; Reps. Bell, M. Coggs, Hauke, Prosser, Schneider and Tesmer; and Sens. Davis, Kreul, Lee, Moen, Norquist and Strohl); Noes, 3 (Reps. Nelsen, Bradley and McEssy); and Absent, 4 (Rep. Clarenbach; and Sens. Engeleiter, George and Harsdorf).

D. STAFF MATERIALS

The Appendix lists all materials received by the Special Committee. The following documents, prepared by the Council Staff, may be of particular interest. These and other materials listed in the Appendix are available at the Legislative Council offices.

1. Overview of Wisconsin's Prison and Community Corrections System

Staff Brief 86-4, Background on Wisconsin's Prison and Community Corrections System, dated July 10, 1986, describes components of: (a) the prison system including administration, the sentencing process, inmate assessment and evaluation and parole determination; and (b) the community corrections system including administration, probation and parole case classification, case management classification, supervision of probationers and parolees, programs and services for female offenders, revocation of probation and parole and the use of county jails.

2. Background Information on the Special Committee's Recommendations

- a. MEMO NO. 1, <u>Legislation Proposed by the Judicial Council's Restitution Committee</u>, dated September 18, 1986, provides background information on and summarizes 1985 Senate Bill 589, which was developed by the Judicial Council's Restitution Committee at the request of the Joint Committee on Audit.
- b. MEMO NO. 2, <u>Liability Insurance for Milwaukee Welfare Fraud Child Care Pilot Project</u>, dated November 12, 1986, provides background Information on the DHSS child care pilot project in Milwaukee for welfare fraud offenders and a list of options for discussion by the Special Committee.

PART III

DESCRIPTION OF 1987 ASSEMBLY BILL 260

A. BACKGROUND

During its deliberations, the Special Committee on Community Corrections Issues heard testimony that requiring offenders to pay restitution to crime victims improves the public's perception of community corrections programs as an alternative to incarceration. The Special Committee reviewed Legislative Audit Bureau Report No. 85-10, An Evaluation of Restitution by Adult Probationers (April 1985), which identified several areas of statutory vagueness and administrative inefficiency in the collection of restitution from adult offenders. The Special Committee also reviewed 1985 Senate Bill 589, relating to restitution, which was introduced by the Judicial Council to address questions raised by the Legislative Audit Bureau's Report.

The Special Committee discussed possible revisions of the restitution law which would expedite establishing the restitution amount and improve the collection of restitution ordered. The Special Committee focused its deliberations on the Legislative Audit Bureau Report's finding that the largest group for which restitution was ordered, but not collected, was probationers whose probation was revoked. Under current law, if probation is revoked, the restitution order is moot.

The Special Committee concurred with the Legislative Audit Bureau Report's finding that relying on the district attorneys' offices for collecting and documenting victim loss information would eliminate duplication in collecting this information and would expedite the court's determination of the restitution amount. The Special Committee also discussed a request from the DHSS to recommend a statutory change to allow the DHSS to equitably distribute any restitution overpayments to offenders who are jointly and severally liable for the full payment of restitution.

B. MAJOR PROVISIONS

1. 1987 Assembly Bill 260 allows the court to order payment of unpaid restitution as a condition of <u>parole</u> for revoked probationers. Under current law, the sentencing court must order any convicted defendant who is placed on <u>probation</u> to make restitution to victims; however, if probation is revoked, the restitution order is moot [s. 973.09 (1) (b) and (1m), Stats.].

- 2. The Bill requires the district attorney to obtain information on the victim's pecuniary loss, unless a presentence investigation is ordered. In the latter case, the information is obtained by the probation and parole agents of the DHSS. Under current law, the court may order the district attorney, the DHSS or an official of the law enforcement agency to document the victim's pecuniary loss {s. 973.09 (lm) (b), Stats.}.
- 3. The Bill clarifies that the victim must demonstrate the amount of losses; and the defendant must demonstrate his or her ability to pay.
- 4. The Bill allows the court to require that restitution be paid immediately, within a specified period or in specified instalments.
- 5. The Bill specifies that the court may order two or more probationers to be jointly and severally liable for payment of restitution and allow the DHSS to distribute overpayments so that each defendant pays the same amount of restitution. Under current law, the DHSS must make the overpayment to the offender who submitted the overpayment even if the other offender has already paid most of the restitution {s. 973.09 (1m) (d), Stats.}.
- 6. The Bill sunsets all of the above provisions except for Item 5, above, on July 1, 1994.

PART IV

DESCRIPTION OF 1987 ASSEMBLY BILL 261

A. BACKGROUND

The Milwaukee Welfare Fraud Child Care Pilot Project was developed in 1985 by the Milwaukee Regional Office, Bureau of Community Corrections, DHSS, to enable selected women who are on probation for welfare fraud in Milwaukee to be trained and certified as child care providers. These women may then provide child care services for other female welfare fraud probationers so that those women can work, or seek employment, in order to pay off their restitution obligations. These welfare fraud probationers often owe a considerable amount of restitution which they cannot afford to pay and, thus, remain on probation indefinitely.

At the time the Milwaukee Regional Office was developing the Pilot Project, the Department of Administration orally advised the DHSS that the child care providers would be considered state agents and would, therefore, be covered for the purposes of liability protection under s. 895.04 (1) (a), Stats. However, the DHSS decides to request a formal opinion from the Attorney General on this question and advised the Milwaukee Regional Office to secure private liability insurance, using purchase of services funds, for the child care providers in the Pilot Project until the Attorney General's opinion was issued.

In January 1986, private insurance policies were obtained for the three child care providers under the Pilot Project at a cost of \$412 per policy per year. The Milwaukee Regional Office experienced difficulty in obtaining these private insurance policies and was unable to purchase policies which were transferable to other Pilot Project participants, even though the policies were not needed for the entire year.

On April 17, 1986, the Attorney General issued an opinion on whether the probationers who provide child care services under the Pilot Project are state agents or employes who are entitled to coverage under the state's liability protection and whether they are county employes for the purposes of worker's compensation. The opinion concluded that these probationers are not state agents or employes and, therefore, are not covered by s. 895.46 (1) (a), Stats. The opinion concluded, however, that these probationers are county employes for the purpose of worker's compensation. In January 1987, the policy premiums more than doubled, to \$876 per person per year. The Milwaukee Regional Office has indicated that, if the liability insurance problem is not resolved, the Pilot Project will not be able to expand, and may not continue to operate, due to the high insurance costs.

As of February 1987, six women have been trained and certified as child care providers and have cared for a total of 12 children.

B. MAJOR PROVISIONS

The Special Committee on Community Corrections Issues concluded that the women participating in the Pilot Project should be considered state agents for the purposes of being covered by the state's liability program. To accomplish this purpose:

- 1. The Bill specifies that persons participating as child care workers in the DHSS's Pilot Project to provide child care services to the children of probationers are state agents and covered by the state's liability program for its officers, employes and agents. Under the state liability program, the amount recoverable by any person for damages, injury or death cannot exceed \$250,000.
- 2. The Bill authorizes the Department of Justice to treat, as a state agent, any person participating as a child care worker in the DHSS's Pilot Project.
- 3. The Bill provides that any judgment or settlement for claims against Pilot Project participants shall first be paid from any available insurance which may be purchased on their behalf and then shall be paid under the state liability program. The Bill does not, however, require that private insurance be purchased for Pilot Project participants.

AB: las:kja:kjf

APPENDIX

COMMITTEE MATERIALS

Staff Materials

- 1. Staff Brief 86-4, <u>Background on Wisconsin's Prison and Community Corrections System</u> (July 10, 1986).
- 2. MEMO NO. 1, <u>Legislation Proposed by the Judicial Council's Restitution Committee</u> (September 18, 1986).
- 3. MEMO NO. 2, <u>Liability Insurance for Milwaukee Welfare Fraud Child Care Pilot Project</u> (November 12, 1986).
- 4. MEMO NO. 3, Options for Revising Wisconsin's Restitution Law (November 12, 1986).
- 5. MEMO NO. 4, Options for Establishing Probation and Parole Supervision Fees (November 12, 1986).
- 6. Letter from Chairperson Dismas Becker, Special Committee on Community Corrections Issues, to Secretary Linda Reivitz, Department of Health and Social Services (DHSS), regarding administration of deferred prosecution agreements (December 19, 1986).
- 7. Letter from Chairperson Dismas Becker, Special Committee on Community Corrections Issues, to Secretary-Designee Timothy Cullen, DHSS, regarding administration of deferred prosecution agreements (December 19, 1986).
- 8. Letter from Chairperson Dismas Becker, Special Committee on Community Corrections Issues, to Secretary-Designee James Klauser, Department of Administration (DOA), regarding a day treatment program for parole offenders (December 19, 1986).

Other Materials

1. Letter from Victoria McCandless, Assistant State Public Defender, to Chairperson Dismas Becker, Special Committee on Community Corrections Issues, regarding the 1987-89 Biennial Budget initiatives proposed by the Division of Corrections, DHSS (August 11, 1986).

- 2. Annual Report 1985: Deferred Prosecution Unit/First Offender Program, Community Service Project, Dane County District Attorney's Office (March 1986).
- 3. 1985 Annual Report: Wisconsin Correctional Service, Wisconsin Correctional Service (1985).
- 4. "Recent Research in Juvenile Restitution," Wisconsin Association of Restitution Programs (undated).
- 5. Pamphlet entitled "Restitution is Working," Youth Restitution Program, Inc. (undated).
- 6. Letter from Joseph L. Spolowicz, Correction Halfway House Coalition, Wisconsin Association of Residential Facilities, to Chairperson Dismas Becker, Special Committee on Community Corrections Issues, regarding recommendations on community corrections programs provided by the private sector (September 18, 1986).
- 7. Letter from Victoria McCandless, Assistant State Public Defender, to Secretary Linda Reivitz, DHSS, regarding DHSS's biennial budget requests relating to female offender programs and services (November 18, 1986).
- 8. Memorandum to Walter Dickey and others, DHSS, from Bob Wagner, Division of Policy and Budget, DHSS, regarding high risk offender caseload description (September 22, 1986).
- 9. Letter from Victoria McCandless, Assistant State Public Defender, to Chairperson Dismas Becker, Special Committee on Community Corrections Issues, regarding recommendations for the Special Committee on Community Corrections Issues (December 9, 1986).
- 10. Letter from Sandra Strander, Probation/Parole Agent, Bureau of Community Corrections, Division of Corrections, DHSS, to Chairperson Dismas Becker, Special Committee on Community Corrections Issues, regarding recommendations to the Joint Committee on Finance regarding Bureau of Community Corrections goals and initiatives (December 15, 1986).
- 11. Letter from Walter Dickey, Administrator, Division of Corrections, DHSS, to Chairperson Dismas Becker, Special Committee on Community Corrections Issues, regarding the Special Committee draft, WLCS: 154/1, relating to restitution (December 15, 1986).
- 12. Letter from Victoria McCandless, Assistant State Public Defender, to Chairperson Dismas Becker, Special Committee on Community

Corrections Issues, regarding recommendations of the Special Committee on Community Corrections Issues (December 12, 1986).

- 13. Letter from Esther Heffernan, Social Science Department, Edgewood College, to Chairperson Dismas Becker, Special Committee on Community Corrections Issues, regarding the Advisory Council on the Female Offender and services for pregnant female offenders (December 16, 1986).
- 14. Draft letter from Esther Heffernan, Social Science Department, Edgewood College, to Secretary Timothy Cullen, DHSS, regarding the services for pregnant female offenders (February 11, 1987).