
If you have issues viewing or accessing this file contact us at NCJRS.gov.



COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILES 1982–1986

VOLUME IX NEW YORK, CITY REGION



JOHN J. POKLEMBA DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE



à

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institut: of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material in microfiche only has been granted by

New	<u>York</u>	<u>State</u>	Divi	sion	··
of C	rimina	l Just	tice	Serv:	<u>lce</u> s

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

NEW YORK STATE MARIO M. CUOMO, GOVERNOR

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES JOHN J. POKLEMBA DIRECTOR OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE

CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFILE: 1982-1986

Volume IX

NEW YORK CITY REGION

BRONX COUNTY KINGS COUNTY NEW YORK COUNTY QUEENS COUNTY RICHMOND COUNTY

OFFICE OF JUSTICE SYSTEMS ANALYSIS BARRY SAMPLE, EXECUTIVE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

BUREAU OF STATISTICAL SERVICES RICHARD ROSEN, CHIEF

PREPARED BY:

SHARON LANSING PATRICK LERNER LORI DRAPER CAROL RUSSO

4

FEBRUARY, 1988

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express our appreciation to the many individuals in both New York State and local government agencies whose cooperation and support made this publication possible. A special thank you is extended to Gerald Luciano for graphic designs he created for the publication and to the secretarial staff in the Office of Justice Systems Analysis, in particular to Joan Burgess and Carol Novak, for their assistance in the preparation of this publication.

CONTENTS

1
7
9 9 10 11
13
5
A-1
A-1 A-1 A-1 A-2 A-2 A-2 A-2

v

APPENDIX B	DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS AND CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY STATE SUMMARIES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986	B-1
	Selected statistics (rates and rate ranks or percent distributions) from tables in Section II and Section III are presented for all 62 counties. Table numbers in this appendix are comparable to those in which the data were prese in Section III.	nted
APPENDIX C	PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY FOR 1984 - 1985	C-1
APPENDIX D	NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS	D-1
	Department of Correctional Services Division for Youth	D-1 D-2
APPENDIX E	VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES	E-1
APPENDIX F	NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS	F-1
	Department of Correctional Services Crime Victims Board Division of Criminal Justice Services Division of Parole Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives Division for Youth Other Criminal Justice Agencies	F-1 F-2 F-3 F-11 F-12 F-14 F-16
APPENDIX G	CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	G-1
APPENDIX H	STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS *	H-1
APPENDIX I	JUVENILE OFFENDER OFFENSES	I-1
REFERENCES		R-1

Page(s)

* Supplementary information for Section IV: Local Assistance Funds of the New York State profile.

INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION

This is the fourth annual <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> report published by the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Originally conceived as an aid for criminal justice practitioners in policy formulation, this publication brings together data from a variety of sources to provide a comprehensive picture of criminal justice activities within New York State, New York City, and each of the counties in the State. These activities span the system's major processing points beginning with the number of index crimes known to the police and ending with the number of offenders under parole supervision. Juvenile Offender processing data and more detailed data on alternative to incarceration programs have been incorporated into this edition. The 1986 data are compared to the 1982 data. In addition, the 1986 data are highlighted using population based rates and county rankings based on these rates for index crimes known to the police, arrests, probation, county jail and penitentiary, state prison, and parole activity. The rates provide a standardized measure that permits a county by county comparison of criminal justice activity by controlling for population size. Appendix B presents a State summary by county of the population rates and rate ranks along with a summary of the percent distribution for indictment, disposition, conviction, and sentencing activities for both 1985 and 1986.

In addition to providing statistics for all facets of the criminal justice process, each of the criminal justice profiles also presents relevant demographic and socioeconomic data, along with criminal justice local assistance funding and State correction and youth facilities data. The funding information presented for criminal justice local assistance programs and the budget, capacity, and staffing information presented for State correction and youth facilities provide a measure of the economic impact each have on the counties in which they are operated.

Appendix A contains notes on the analyses utilized, sources of data, units of count, offender types, and offense categories. As mentioned earlier, Appendix B presents a State summary by county of selected statistics from both the "demographic and socioeconomic characteristics" and "crime and criminal justice activity" tables in Section II and Section III, respectively. Appendix C provides a State summary by county of the percent of dispositions reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Maps showing the location of Department of Correctional Services facilities and Division for Youth facilities are presented in Appendix D. Appendix E contains a list of offenses counted as violent felonies. Descriptions of the local assistance programs are contained in Appendix F. Both Appendix G and Appendix H provide supplementary fiscal information for criminal justice local assistance funding in the State profile.


-3-

To facilitate the publication's use as a statistical reference for criminal justice activity within a county or region, the data have been organized by county rather than activity. Each profile uses a standardized table format for the presentation of data. As mentioned previously, the <u>Criminal Justice</u> <u>Profiles</u> publication is comprised of 13 volumes:

o Volume I contains only the New York State profile.

- o Volume II through Volume XII are regional volumes and contain the New York State profile and the profiles of counties located within the region. The New York State regional map presented on the opposite page identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions.
- Volume XIII contains the New York State profile and the profiles of the six most populous non-New York City counties (Erie, Monroe, Nassau, Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester).

An order form for additional volumes of this publication can be found on the last page of this volume.



ORGANIZATION OF THE PROFILES

ORGANIZATION OF EACH PROFILE

Each of the profiles presented for New York State, New York City, and the counties in the New York City Region is organized into five sections. The contents of each profile are described below.

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

State/Regional Offices County Agencies Municipal Agencies

SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Table 1 Population Demographics: 1980
Table 2 Educational Attainment of Population 25 Years of Age or Older: 1980
Table 3 Population and Density Per Square Mile: 1982-1986
Table 4 Per Capita Income: 1982-1984
Table 5 Public High School Dropouts: 1981-82 to 1985-86
Table 6 Unemployment: 1984-1986
Table 7 Public Assistance: 1982-1986

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

Table 1 Index Crimes Known to the Police

Table 2A Table 2B	Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests: January thru June 1987
Table 2C	Arrests for Selected Offenses
Table 2D	Arrests for Possession and Sale of Drugs
Table 2E	Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests for DWI Offenses
Table 3A	Felony Indictments
Table 3B	Felony Indictments: January thru June 1987
Table 4A	Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeano
	Arrests
Table 4B	Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests

-9-

SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued Felony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions Table 5A Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests Felony, Misdemeanor, and Lesser Offense Convictions Table 5B Resulting from Felony Arrests Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions Table 6A Table 6B Sentences as a Percent of Felony Convictions and Misdemeanor and Lesser Offense Convictions Table 7A Probation Department Activity Table 7B Probation Revocations Table 8A Alternatives to Incarceration: Demonstration **Projects** Table 8B Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Pretrial Release Table 8C Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Community Service Table 8D Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Defender-Based Advocacy Table 8F Alternatives to Incarceration: Classification/ Alternatives Bill Programs - Specialized Alternatives Table 9A County Jail and Penitentiary Admissions County Jail and Penitentiary Capacity and Table 9B Population Table 10A-1 Admissions to State Prison (New York State Profile) Table 10A-2 New Court Commitments to State Prison (New York State Profile) Table 10B Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31 (New York State Profile) Table 10C Parole Detainees in State Prison on December 31 (New York State Profile) Table 10A New Court Commitments to State Prison (New York City and County Profiles) Table 10B-1 Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on

December 31 (New York City and County Profiles) Table 10B-2 The Percent of Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31 from the County/NYC (New York City and County Profiles) SECTION III CRIME & CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY - Continued

- Table 11AParole Supervision CasesTable 11BRevoked and Returned Parolees
- Table 12Juvenile Offender Arrests, Indictments, and
Dispositions

SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 1	Agency Funding Summary	
Table 2	Department of Correctional Services	
Table 3	Crime Victims Board	
Table 4	Division of Criminal Justice Services	
Table 5	Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives	
Table 6	Division of Parole	
Table 7	Division for Youth	

SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES *

Table 1A	Facilities Capacity and Staff Summary
Table 1B	Facilities Operating Budget Summary
Table 1C	Facilities Capital Improvement Budget Summary
Table 2A	DOCS Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff
Table 2B	DOCS Facilities Operating Budget
Table 2C	DOCS Facilities Capital Improvement Budget
Table 3A	DFY Facilities Security Level, Capacity, and Staff
Table 3B	DFY Facilities Operating Budget
Table 3C	DFY Facilities Capital Improvement Budget

* Tables 1A through 1C are presented only when both DOCS and DFY facilities are located in a county. Similarly, DOCS Tables 2A through 2C and DFY Tables 3A through 3C are presented only when agency facilities are located in the county.

NEW YORK STATE PROFILE

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

NEW YORK STATE

STATE AGENCIES

Office of the Director of Criminal Justice Room 245, Executive Chamber The State Capitol Albany, New York 12224

Division of Criminal Justice Services Executive Park Tower Stuyvesant Plaza Albany, New York 12203

> New York State Police Building 22 State Office Building Campus Albany, New York 12226

Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207

Commission of Correction 60 South Pearl Street Albany, New York 12207

Crime Victims Board 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007 New York State Division of Parole 97 Central Avenue Albany, New York 12206

Office of the Attorney General Department of Law The State Capitol Albany, New York 12224

> Division for Youth 84 Holland Avenue Albany, New York 12208

Department of Correctional Services Building 2 State Office Building Campus Albany, New York 12226

Office of Court Administration Alfred E. Smith State Office Building PO Box 7025 Albany, New York 12225

State Commission of Investigation 270 Broadway New York, New York 10007

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

NEW YORK STATE

Region	MID-ATLANTIC
State Capital	ALBANY
Square Miles	47,377
Population Percent Change 1970-1980	-3.7%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	17,558,072	NA	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	370.6	NA	NA	NA
Sex: Male Female	8,339,421 9,218,651	NA NA	47.5% 52.5%	NA NA
Race: White Non-White Unknown	13,960,868 2,752,114 845,090	NA NA NA	79.5% 15.7% 4.8%	NA NA NA
Ethnicity: Hispanic	1,659,300	NA	9.5%	NA
Age: 9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	2,320,804 1,721,835 960,207 1,842,534 10,712,692	NA NA NA NA	13.2% 9.8% 5.5% 10.5% 61.0%	NA NA NA NA

Table 1

POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

•

Table 2

•

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	10,712,692	100.0%	NA
8th Grade or Less	1,962,158	18.3%	NA
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	1,653,751 3,651,739	15.4% 34.1%	NA NA
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	1,529,817 1,923,547	14.3% 18.0%	NA NA

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

-17-

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Table 3 POPULATION 1982-1986 Rank 1985 1983 1984 1986 1986 Population 17,567,000 17.667.000 17,735,000 17,782,754 17,772,100 NA Density Per Square Mile 370.8 372.9 374.3 375.3 375.1 NA

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

	PER CAPIT	Table 4 A INCOME: 1982-1984		•
	1982	<u> 1983 </u>	1984	Income Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	12,228	13,063	14,341	NA

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 were not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

	PUBLIC HIG	Tab H SCHOOL DROP	le 5 OUTS: 1981	-82 TO 1985-	86		
	1			School Year	· · ·	•	Percent Rank
		1981-82	<u>1982-83</u>	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	65,229 6.7%	60,311 6.5%	60,834 6.9%	55,880 6.5%	49,391 5.8%	NA

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

	Table	6	
31	OVNENT.	1004	.1

UNEMPLOYMENT: 1984-1986							
		1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986		
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	583.455 7.2%	543,805 6.5%	526,363 6.3%	NA		

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

	•	PUBLIC ASS	Table 7 SISTANCE: 198	32-1986			
	1 1		1983			1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	1,285,535 7.3%	1,343,428 7.6%	1,381,514 7.8%	1,383,399 7.8%	1,363,126 7.7%	NA

SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

NEW YORK STATE

NEW YORK STATE

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

			Number of C	rimes		1986 Crimes	
	1982	1983	<u>1984</u>	<u>1985</u>	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INDEX CRIME	1,129,324	1,029,391	985,908	989,405	1,020,401	5,741.6	-9.6%
VIOLENT	174,138	161,216	162,000	165,043	174,860	983.9	0.4%
MURDER	2,011	1,951	1,777	1,688	1,909	10.7	-5.1%
FORCIBLE RAPE	5,132	5,280	5,571	5,674	5,403	30.4	5.3%
ROBBERY	107,514	94,733	89,917	89,603	91,376	514.2	-15.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	59,481	59,252	64,735	68,078	76,172	428.6	28.1%
PROPERTY	955,186	868,175	823,908	824,362	845,541	4,757.7	-11.5%
BURGLARY	291,747	247,927	222,644	218,844	216,157	1,216.3	-25.9%
LARCENY	526,480	500,513	485,881	499,237	516,492	2,906.2	-1.9%
MV THEFT	136,959	119,735	115,383	106,281	112,892	635.2	-17.6%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		Nun	ber of Arre	sts		1986 Arrests	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	374,301	383,075	403,785	421,631	456,087	2,566.3	21.9%
FELONY	141,679	141,301	149,343	151,279	172,153	968.7	21.5%
VIOLENT	58,946	58,215	61,158	60,158	65,735	369.9	11.5%
OTHER	82,733	83,086	88,185	91,121	106,418	598.8	28.6%
MISDEMEANOR	232,622	241,774	254,442	270,352	283,934	1,597.6	22.1%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

				TABLE 28		
		•	FELONY AND MISDEMEAN	NOR ARRESTS: JANN (Adults Only)	JARY THRU JUNE 1987	
-	 			<u>Number</u> 1	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>	
			ARRESTS	233,061	4.3%	
			FELONY ²	86,268	2.3%	
			VIOLENT	31,282	-1.3%	
			OTHER	54,986	4.4%	lan an Allan Anna Allan an Allan Anna Allan
			MISDEMEANOR	146,793	5.5%	

TABLE 28

¹ Preliminary data.

. .

² Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

					· · · ·		
		Numbe	er of Arres	ts		1986 Arrests	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER	1,608	1,560	1,367	1,331	1,420	8.0	-11.7%
FORCIBLE RAPE	1,957	1,977	2,116	2,155	2,140	12.0	9.4%
ROBBERY	20,046	19,597	20,231	19,713	22,706	127.8	13.3%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	19,554	19,802	22,241	22,945	24,830	139.7	27.0%
BURGLARY	24,476	22,418	20,687	19,471	20,540	115.6	-16.1%
LARCENY	67,502	66,891	65,814	68,220	75,476	424.7	11.8%

Table 2C ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

¹ NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

² Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

	•	AF	RESTS FOR DR (Adults	UG OFFENSES ¹ Only)			
		Ni	mber of Arre	sts		1986 Arrests	
	1982	1983	1984_		1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	48,226	53,768	66,982	74,199	91,624	515.5	90.0%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	34,373	38,441	48,097	52,662	74,938	421.7	118.0%
POSSESSION	18,110	23,827	30,556	34,394	49,535	278.7	173.5%
SALE	9,433	10,544	12,684	13,691	20,174	113.5	113.9%
OTHER	6,830	4,070	4,857	4,577	5,229	29.4	-23.4%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	13,853	15,327	18,885	21,537	16,686	93.9	20.5%
POSSESSION	7,053	7,252	8,881	10,747	8,596	48.4	21.9%
SALE	6,800	8,075	10,004	10,790	8,090	45.5	19.0%

Table 2D

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> -Sections 220 and 221).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Numb	1986 Arrests Per 1,000				
•		1982	1983_	1984	1985	1986	Licensed Drivers	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)		55,566	60,887	64,261	62,870	59,387	6.0	6.9%
FELONY		3,184	3,748	3,032	3,065	3,536	0.4	11.1%
MISDEMEANOR		52,382	57,139	61,229	59,805	55,851	5.6	6.6%

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law:</u> <u>State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrests and Processing Trends File.

			~ ~			
	1982	1983	1984	1985		% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	46,674 100.0%	46,147 100.0%	47,961 100.0%	50,016 100.0%	55,810 100.0%	19.6%
VIOLENT	24,537 52.6%	22,711 49.2%	22,820 47.6%	22,000 44.0%	21,833 39,1%	-11.0%
OTHER	22,137 47.4%	23,436 50.8%	25,141 52.4%	28,016 56.0%	33,977 60.9%	53.5%

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS¹ (Adults and Juvenile Offenders)

Felony indictments reported for New York State include those prosecuted by the district attorney in each of the State's 62 counties, the New York City Special Prosecutor, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, the Nursing Homes Special Prosecutor, and the Organized Crime Task Force Special Prosecutor.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS¹: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987²

(Adults and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	31,062	17.4%	
VIOLENT	10,937	1.1%	
OTHER	20,125	28.7%	

¹ Felony indictments reported for New York State include those prosecuted by the district attorney in each of the State's 62 counties, the New York City Special Prosecutor, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, the Nursing Homes Special Prosecutor, and the Organized Crime Task Force Special Prosecutor.

² Preliminary data.

1

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1 (Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>				
DISPOSITIONS	307.486 100.0%	336,313 100.0%	337,261 100.0%	363,264 100.0%	371,229 100.0%	20.7%				
CONVICTIONS	208,720 67.9%	233,893 69.5%	234,263 69.5%	251,609 69.3%	248,728 67.0%	19.2%				
ACQUITTALS	1,831 0.6%	2,119 0.6%	2,172 0.6%	2.261 0.6%	2,257 0.6%	23.3%				
DISMISSALS	87,013 28.3%	89,771 26.7%	91,177 27.0%	99.038 27.3%	110,615 29.8%	27.1%				
NOT PROSECUTED	7,612 2.5%	7.169 2.1%	6,630 2.0%	7,220 2.0%	6,602 1.8%	-13.3%				
OTHER	2,310 0.3%	3,361 1.0%	3,019 0.9%	3,136 0.9%	3,027 0.8%	31.0%				

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

-24-

Table 4B

DISFOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹ (Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982_	1983		1985	<u>1986</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	118,988 100.0%	127,576 100.0%	126,882 100.0%	134,164 100.0%	142,148 100.0%	19.5%		
CONVICTIONS	77,746 65.3%	86,370 67.7%	84,585 66.7%	89,207 66.5%	90,738 63.8%	16.7%		
ACQUITTALS	1,304 1.1%	1,469 1.2%	1,527 1,2%	1,558 1.2%	1,555 1.1%	19.2%		
DISMISSALS	35,279 29.6%	34,826 27.3%	35,673 28.1%	38,400 28.6%	44,469 31.3%	26.0%		
NOT PROSECUTED	3,961 3.3%	4,064 3.2%	4,303 3.4%	3,967 3.0%	3,977 2.8%	0.2%		
OTHER	698 0.6%	.847 0.7%	794 0.6%	1,032 0.8%	1,409 1.0%	101.9%		

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

-25-

NEW YORK STATE

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests						
•	1982	1983	1984		<u>1986</u> 2	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>		
CONVICTIONS	208,720 100.0%	233,893 100.0%	234,263 100.0%	251,609 100.0%	248,728 100.0%	19.2%		
FELONY	26,558 12.7%	32,121 13.7%	31,197 13.3%	33,669 13.4%	37,108 14.9%	39.7%		
VIOLENT	11,719 5.6%	14.667 6.3%	13,671 5.8%	13.264 5.3%	13,671 5.5%	16.7%		
OTHER	14,839 7.1%	17,454 7.5%	17,526 7.5%	20,405 8.1%	23,437 9.4%	57.9%		
MISDEMEANOR	103,560 49.6%	112,772 48.2%	113,538 48,5%	121,797 48.4%	118,73 <u>6</u> 47.7%	14.7%		
LESSER OFFENSES	78,602 37.7%	89.000 38.1%	89,528 38.2%	96,143 38.2%	92,884 37.3%	18.2%		

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

-26-

NEW YORK STATE

Table 58

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
CONVICTIONS	77.746 100.0%	86,370 100.0%	84,585 100.0%	89,207 100.0%	90,738 100.0%	16.7%		
FELONY	25,657 33.0%	31,113 36.0%	30,191 35.7%	32,289 36.2%	35,690 39.3%	39.1%		
VIOLENT	11.719 15.1%	14,667 17.0%	13,671 16.2%	13,264 14.9%	13,671 15.1%	16.7%		
OTHER	13,938 17.9%	16.446 19.0%	16,520 19.5%	19.025 21.3%	22,019 24.3%	58.0%		
MISDEMEANOR	38,110 49.0%	39,913 46.2%	38,450 45.5%	39,976 44.8%	39,198 43.2%	2.9%		
LESSER OFFENSES	13,979 18.0%	15,344 17.8%	15,944 18.8%	16,942 19.0%	15,850 17.5%	13.4%		

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

-27-

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹ (Adults Only) Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions 1<u>986</u>2 1982 1983 1984 1985 CONVICTIONS 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% STATE PRISON 6.1 5.8 5.5 5.6 6.5 LOCAL JAIL 26.6 26.8 29.4 31.4 33.1 LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION 2.5 2.8 2.9 3.1 3.5 PROBATION 10.7 10.2 9.9 9.8 9.5 FINES 32.5 33.0 33.0 31.3 28.6 OTHER 22.2 21.1 19.0 18.8 18.8

Table 6A

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 68

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ (Adult Only)

		Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
		982 Misd & Lesser		983 Misci & Lesser	A	984 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lésser	<u> </u>	9 <u>86</u> 2 Misd & Lesser	
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
STATE PRISON	43.2	NA	44.1	NA	43.7	NA	41.8	NA	43.4	NA	
LOCAL JAIL	16.5	28.0	14.3	28.8	13.6	31.8	13.9	34.0	13.3	36.6	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	10.6	1.3	13.0	1.2	14.1	1.2	15.6	1.2	17.2	1.1	
PROBATION	27.7	8.2	26.2	7.7	26.5	7.4	26.6	7.2	24.4	6.9	
FINES	0.8	37.1	1.2	38.0	1.1	37.9	0.9	36.0	0.8	33.5	
OTHER	1.2	25.3	1.2	24.2	1.1	21.7	1.1	21.5	1.0	21.9	

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK STATE

			-				· · · · ·
	· · ·	Nu	mber of Cas	1986 Cases			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INTAKE OPENED ¹	151,698	142,401	150,221	149,574	138,427	778.9	-8.7%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	133,437	145,536	147,948	153,536	156,142	878.6	17.0%
FAMILY COURT	25,266	25,516	25,297	27,818	27,555	155.0	9.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	108,171	120,020	122,651	125,718	128,587	723.5	18.9%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	15,340	15,155	15,154	16,883	17,956	101.0	17.1%
FAMILY COURT	9,237	9,372	8,272	8,513	8,849	49.8	-4.2%
CRIMINAL COURT	6,103	5,783	6,882	8,370	9,107	51.2	49.2%
PROBATION	•						
SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	40,293	45,020	44,479	46,572	48,072	- 270.5	19.3%
FAMILY COURT	6,830	6,608	6,219	6,630	6,904	38.8	1.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	33,463	38,412	38,260	39,942	41,168	231.6	23.0%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	112,858	124,048	133,821	143,609	156,149	878.6	38.4%
FAMILY COURT	13,601	13,584	13,313	13,351	14,063	79.1	3.4%
CRIMINAL COURT	99,257	110,464	120,508	130,258	142,086	799.5	43.1%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON							
DECEMBER 31	79,101	89,390	97,441	108,116	114,766	645.8	45.1%
FAMILY COURT	7,049	7,142	7,125	7,198	7,209	40.6	2.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	72,052	82,248	90,316	100,918	107,557	605.2	49.3%

Table 7A

PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

² The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 78

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number	of Revoca	1986 Revocations			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986_	Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	5,009	5,409	5,266	6,826	7,814	50.0	56.0%
FAMILY COURT	610	657	661	754	787	56.0	29.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	4,399	4,752	5,605	6,072	7,027	49.5	59.7%
TECHNICAL	2,053	2,153	2,740	3,166	3,502	24.6	70.6%
NEW CONVICTION	2,346	2,599	2,865	2,906	3,525	24.8	50.3%

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

NEW YORK STATE

	Ta	able 8A		
ALTERNATIVE T	O INCARCERATION	PROGRAMS: DEMO	STRATION PROJEC	CTS
	FY 1983-84	<u>FY 1984-85</u>	<u>FY 1985-86</u>	FY 1986-87
CLIENTS SERVED	2,541	3,110	16,227	17,532

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table 8B

RELEASE	PROGRAM: 1986	
	Number	<u>Percent</u>
	34,695	NA
	20,500	100.0%
	7,933	38.7%
	RELEASE	34,695 20,500

Program intervention contributed to or was directly responsible for the release of these detained defendants.

Table 8D

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY	PROGRAM:	1986
	Number	Percent
CLIENTS SERVED	709	NA
CLIENTS SERVED BY TYPE OF SERVICE PROVIDED:		
INFORMATION AND REFERRAL	467	NA
REPORTS PREPARED FOR COURT	269	100.0%
REPORTS ACCEPTED	228	84.8%

Table 8C

	COMMUNITY	SERVICE	PROGRAM:	1986
			Number	Percent
CASES	SENTENCED		1,338	NA
CASES	DISCHARGED		881	100.0%
SUCO	CESSFUL OUTCO	OME	662	75.1%

Table 8E

SPECIALIZED ALTER	RNATIVES:	1986
	Number	Percent
CLIENTS SERVED	526	NA
RECOMMENDATIONS TO COURT	537	100.0%
ACCEPTED	367	68.3%

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 9A

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS

	Number of Admissions					1986 Admissions	
	1982		1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ADMISSIONS	*	190,388	196,447	210,186	234,452	1,319.2	NA
UNSENTENCED	*	141,411	147,974	159,474	183,416	1,032.0	NA
SENTENCED	*	48,977	48,473	50,712	51,036	287.2	NA

* New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B

COUNTY JAIL AND PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND POPULATION

	1982				1986	1986 Inmates Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	17,225	17,730	19,011	19,635	21,448	120.7	24.5%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	15,966	16,792	17,534	18,736	21,134	118.9	32.4%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	16,430	15,545	17,008	18,722	21,121	118.8	28.6%
UNSENTENCED	11,311	10,049	11,656	12,956	15,056	84.7	33.1%
SENTENCED	5,119	5,496	5,352	5,766	6,065	34.1	18.5%

* New York City Department of Correction data were not available.

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

NEW YORK STATE

		Numbe	1986 Adm.Rate				
		1983	<u> 1984 </u>	<u>1985</u>	<u>1986</u> 1	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ADMISSIONS	12,727	14,867	14,848	15,570	19,090	107.4	50.0%
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	14,934	84.0	43.5%
TRANSFERS FROM OUTSIDE DEPT.	437	493	549	749	742	4.2	69.8%
AFFIRMATIONS OF SENTENCE	62	52	48	39	50	.3	-19.4%
PAROLE VIOLATORS	1,229	1,223	1,497	1,907	2,808	15.8	128.5%
CONDITIONAL RELEASE VIOLATORS	445	430	356	244	365	2.1	-18.0%
RETURNS FROM ESCAPE/ABSCOND	140	128	145	173	158	.9	12.9%
OTHER ADMISSIONS	8	4	5	38	33	.2	312.5%

TABLE 10A-1

ADMISSIONS TO STATE PRISON

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

.

		NEW COURT	COMMITMENT	S TO STATE	PRISON ¹		
							······································
	· · · ·		Number of ourt Commit	ments		1986 New Court Committments	
	1982		1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	10,406	12,537	12,248	12,420	14,934	84.0	43.5%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	6,622	7,933	7,334	6,994	7,759	43.7	17.2%
HOMICIDE	899	871	908	874	938	5.3	4.3%
SEX OFFENSE	372	414	469	520	581	3.3	56.2%
ROBBERY	3,378	4,055	3,459	3,063	3,574	20.1	5.8%
BURGLARY	951	1,416	1,341	1,286	1,444	8.1	51.8%
WEAPONS	587	718	633	677	649	3.7	10.6%
OTHER	435	459	524	574	573	3.2	31.7%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	1,235	1,623	1,877	2,218	3,228	18.2	161.4%
POSSESSION	317	357	476	600	886	5.0	179.5%
SALE	918	1,266	1,401	1,618	2,342	13.2	155.1%
OTHER FELONIES	2,284	2,701	2,797	2,932	3,653	20.6	59.9%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	265	280	240	276	294	1.7	10.9%

Table 10A-2

¹ The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

² Preliminary data.

³ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NEW YORK STATE

			Table 10)B			
	INMATES UN	DER CUSTOD	Y IN STATE	PRISON ON	DECEMBER 3	1	
			nmates Unc ison on De	Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/86			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	28,203	30,543	33,275	34,737	38,502	216.6	36.5%
VIOLENT FELONIES ¹	19,894	22,100	23,730	24,329	26,024	146.4	30.8%
HOMICIDE	4,817	5,231	5,634	5,933	6,597	37.1	37.0%
SEX OFFENSE	1,640	1,733	1,896	2,036	2,278 .	12.8	38.9%
ROBBERY	9,307	10,060	10,444	10,170	10,666	60.0	14.6%
BURGLARY	1,674	2,462	2,961	3,188	3,476	19.6	107.6%
WEAPONS	1,224	1,357	1,434	1,513	1,450	8.2	18.5%
OTHER	1,232	1,257	1,361	1,489	1,557	8.8	26.4%
DRUG FELONIES ²	2,647	3,169	3,926	4,643	6,008	33.8	127.0%
POSSESSION	665	771	968	1,201	1,597	9,0	140.2%
SALE	1,982	2,398	2,958	3,442	4,411	24.8	122.6%
OTHER FELONIES	5,191	4,890	5,261	5,408	6,095	34.3	17.4%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	471	384	359	357	375	2.1	-20.4%

¹ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

² Inmates under costody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10C

PAROLE DETAINEES IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 311

	 			·····	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
PAROLE DETAINEES	556	414	673	634	198

¹ Parolees awaiting parole revocation hearings are detained in the State Prison. Parole detainees are not counted as part of the admissions or under custody populations.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NEW YORK STATE

1

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

		Numb	er of Parol	1986 Paro lees			
		1983_	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	9,283	11,312	10,911	12,068	12,942	72.8	39.4%
UNDER PAROLE	25,616	29,223	31,888	34,766	37,359	210.2	45.8%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	17,911	20,977	22,698	24,417	26,176	147.3	46.1%

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 118.

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

	•		Numb	er of Parole	ees		1986 Revocations	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
	_1	982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 1,000 <u>Parolees</u>	
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	2	, 573	2,603	3,141	4,038	4,519	121.0	75.6%
RULE VIOLATIONS		865	826	907	1,186	1,403	37.6	62.2%
NEW ARRESTS	1	,261	1,149	1,260	1,435	1,571	42.1	24.6%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS		447	628	974	1,417	1,545	41.4	245.6%

 1 The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY ARRESTS	1,441	1,248	1,275	,257	1,200	-16.7%
FELONY INDICTMENTS	664	496	447	505	429	-35.4%
DISPOSITIONS	1,419	1,213	1,105	1,019	1,021	-28.0%
CONVICTIONS	517	515	388	369	410	-20.7%
STATE FACILITY	257	251	158	147	142	-44.7%
LOCAL JAIL	34	40	24	40	33	-2.9%
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	28	32	39	40	55	96.4%
PROBATION	183	166	133	124	171	-6.6%
OTHER	15	26	34	18	· 9	-40.0%
ACQUITTALS	7	5	7	10	10	42.9%
DISMISSALS	269	202	189	225	263	-2.2%
NOT PROSECUTED	139	70	73	54	60	-56.8%
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	443	413	430	342	257	-42.0%
OTHER	44	8	18	19	21	-52.3%

¹ A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <u>New York State Penal Law</u>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

NEW YORK STATE

١

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹ (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Dept. of Correctional Services	11,326.8	13,306.7	15,542.3	24,943.8	120.2%	30,052.0	38,678.0
Crime Victims Board	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	10,381.9	23.8%	13,999.1	18,486.4
Division of Criminal Justice Services	60,722.3	72,900.4	87,696.1	88,130.9	45.1%	99,016.1	109,107.3
Division of Parole	529.4	706.0	993.0	1,229.2	132.2%	2,887.1	2,518.5
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	32,851.1	36,559.0	41,385.3	50,395.3	53.4%	59,238.8	66,557.8
Division for Youth ³	77,121.9	80,109.9	86,908.0	184,219.1	138.9%	116,329.8	119,839.3
Other Local Aid							
District Attorney Salaries ⁴	370.0	397.5	442.5	698.8	88.9%	870.2	896.0
STOP Program - Drug ⁵ Residence Project		. 			NA		525.0
TOTAL	191,309.8	214,860.7	243,177.1	359,999.1	88.2%	322,918.1	356,608.3

¹ This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 4 The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

⁵ The responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88. Funds for this program were first appropriated in FY 1986-87; these funds were reappropriated for FY 1987-88.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	·		Expenditures			Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ¹						•	
Coram Nobis	4.9	6.6	34.9	32.7	572.0%	51.5	55.5
Felons	10,187.9	11,956.9	12,709.5	18,574.5	82.3%	22,770.1	25,655.4
Parole Violators	646.4	731.3	1,648.7	3,697.5	472.0%	2,936.4	6,615.3
State Readies ²				2,322.3	NA	3,528.0	5,551.8
Community Treatment Programs ³		311.8	873.3		NA	400.0	400.0
Prisoner Transfer ¹	487.7	300,1	275.8	316.8	-35.0%	366.0	400.0
TOTAL	11,326.8	13,306.7	15,542.3	24,943.8	120.2%	30,052.0	38,678.0

¹ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $^{\rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 3 This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

-40-

NEW YORK STATE

**** Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	·	: 	Expenditures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Appropriations		
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
Crime Victim Compensation Awards	6,468.8	8,807.5	7,038.5	8,002.6	23.7%	8,009.0	12,697.0	
Victim and Witness Assistance Program								
Comprehensive	864.5	846.2	1,401.9	1,272.1	47.1%	3,622.1	3,443.8	
Court Related	407.8	405.6	719.9	520.0	27.5%	626.1	767.1	
Domestic Violence	178.7	265.7	325.6	223.3	24.9%	561.7	547.0	
Elderly	377.1	386.8	476.6	337.2	-10.6%	567.2	681.1	
Sexual Assault	91.2	168.3	247.4	26.7	-70.7%	613.0	350.5	
TOTAL	8,388.2	10,881.1	10,209.9	10,381.9	23.8%	13,999.1	18,486.4	

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88	
Asset/Forfeiture ¹ Initiative			593.9	236.5	NA			
Crime Prevention ² and Protection		1,420.5	1,585.7	1,351.0	NA	2,637.1	3,042.5	
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	3,285.2	3,682.3	3,939.1	4,067.4	23.8%	4,150.2	4,150.2	
Indigent Parolee Program ³	1,259.5	1,358.9	1,507.0	1,593.1	26.5%	1,625.8	1,697.4	
Justice Assistance Act ⁴					NA	3,379.7		
Juvenile Justice ⁵ and Delinquency Prevention	2,849.4	2,765.1	2,651.8	1,579.5	-44.6%	2,673.9	2,821.0	
Law Guardian Backup Center ⁶	۰ ^۱ ۰		·		NA		100.0	
Major Offense Police Program	10,057.8	10,661.5	11,407.8	11,247.6	11.8%	11,499.8	12,189.8	
Mobile Radio District Program ²		1,904.3	3,600.6	3,368.3	NA	4,288.3	1,270.0	
Narcotics Control ⁷					NA		11,539.0	
Nassau County Rape ⁶ Victim Services					NA		30.0	
Neighborhood ⁸ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		1,000.0	1,900.0	881.9	NA	2,500.0	2,500.0	
NYC School Security ⁹ Officer Program	: · · • •	, 1 , 1 , 1			NA	415.0	250.0	
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	572.0	29.3%	630.0	797.5	
Offender Aid and Restoration Program ⁶					NA		50.0	
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	2,545.4	86.9%	2,679.3	2,784.2	
Soft ^{Ord} y Armour ¹⁰ Reir ement Program	635.5	535.5	309.8	403.0	-36.6%		487.0	
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	4,739.0	39.9%	4,818.1	4,902.6	
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ¹¹	- - - - -		2,499.4	2,347.9	ŇĂ	2,499.5	2,499.8	

Continued - Next Page

•

У.,

٩

NEW YORK STATE

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	: '		Expenditures		:	Appropriations	
Program	FY_82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Suffolk Re-Route ⁶ Transitional Program for Ex-Offenders		. · ·			NA		260.0
Target Crime Initiative Program ¹²	37,444.4	40,678.7	46,619.2	48,471.4	29.4%	50,279.5	52,516.2
Transit Crime Interdiction Program ¹³				1,322.6	NA	1,486.0	1,510.5
Transit Strike Force ¹⁴	· · · ·	3,500.0	3,745.0	3,404.4	NA	3,353.9	3,474.6
Community Patrol ⁹ Officer Program	: 		· · · '		NA	100.0	150.0
Westchester Datafacs ⁶	·			· · · · ·	NA		85.0
TOTAL.	60,722.3	72,900.4	87,696.1	88,130.9	45.1%	99,016.1	109,107.3

¹ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

 2 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

³ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

⁴ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

 5 Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁶ This program was implemented in FY 1987-88.

⁷ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁸ This Act was established in FY 1983-84.

⁹ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

¹⁰ In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.

¹¹ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

¹² This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

¹³ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 14 This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Parole Resource Centers ¹	529.4	668.9	706.1	798.4	50.8%	1,035.8	1,192.2
Parole Transitional ² Facilities		37.1	286.9	430.8	NA	626.3	626.3
Employment Services ³ Project		. •			NA	700.0	700.0
TOTAL	529.4	706.0	993.0	1,229.2	132.2%	2,887.1	2,518.5

 $^{1}\,$ This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 2 This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

³ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

١

NEW YORK STATE

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Approp	riations			
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Classification ¹ Alternatives		·	· · · · ·	2,336.9	NA	3,045.9	3,312.8
Demonstration Projects	800.0	1,240.0	2,383.2	4,750.7	493.9%	5,478.5	5,411.5
Direct Services	182.1	208.4	184.1	228.0	25.2%	273.8	266.7
Intensive Supervision/				· ·			
Alternative Sentencing Program	3,258.1	3,567.4	4,431.1	5,218.4	60.2%	7,777.2	10,257.6
PINS Program ²			•		NA	1,000.0	2,505.0
Regular State Aid	28,610.9	31,543.2	34,386.9	37,861.3	32.3%	41,663.3	44,804.2
TOTAL	32,851.1	36,559.0	41,385.3	50,395.3	53.4%	59,238.8	66,557.8

 1 This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 $^{\rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

NEW YORK STATE

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	FY 83-84	FY_84-85	<u>FY 85-86¹</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Community-Based ² • Organizations			324.8	318.0	NA	466.5	466.5
Community Care ³ Initiative					NA	500.0	500.0
Detention							
Non-Secure	4,000.0	3,200.0	3,700.0	10,600.4	165.0%	6,770.3	9,900.0
Secure	9,177.4	10,684.7	13,325.6	25,101.8	173.5%	14,738.3	15,500.0
Job Development ⁴					NA	697.6	583.0
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	1,206.3	1,522.0	1,594.3	1,838.8	52.4%	2,409.0	2,570.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	12,380.7	12,359.2	13,458.2	11,745.0	-5.1%	13,351.8	14,281.8
Special Legislative Contracts	1,857.5	2,339.0	3,100.1	4,509.1	142.7%	6,496.4	6,018.0
Transitional Independent Living Support ⁵	·			87.8	NA	2,200.0	2,220.0
Voluntary Agency Care	19,000.0	20,330.0	21,730.0	52,710.8	177.4%	28,100.0	26,300.0
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	29,500.0	29,675.0	29,675.0	77,307.5	162.1%	40,600.0	41,500.0
TOTAL	77,121.9	80,109.9	86,908.0	184,219.1	138.9%	116,329.8	119,839.3

¹ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 2 This program was implemented in FY 1984-85 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 3 This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 4 This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

⁵ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

NEW YORK STATE

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY (June 1987)

· · ·		Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	-
	DOCS Facilities	38,188	21,369	
	DFY Facilities	2,027	2,760	
	TOTAL	40,215	24,129	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

	1 · · · ·		Appropriations				
	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
DOCS Facilities	445,537.8	525,228.2	598,305.9	602,846.5	35.3%	670,107.0	728,920.9
DFY Facilities	58,460.3	64,063.6	71,988.9	76,135.5	30.2%	78,541.4	82,519.1
TOTAL	503,998.1	589,291.8	670,294.8	678,982.0	34.7%	748,648.4	811,440.0

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi	tures		Appropriations	
	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
DOCS Facilities	103,820.0	174,376.6	96,465.2	374,661.8	229,596.9	44,079.0
DFY Facilities	5,089.5	3,441.1	2,366.7	10,897.3	4,503.5	4,573.2
TOTAL	108,909.5	177,817.7	98,831.8	385,559.0	234,100.4	48,652.2

NEW YORK CITY PROFILE

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York City Coordinator of Criminal Justice Office of the Mayor 250 Broadway New York, New York 10007 New York State Division of Parole 314 West 40th Street New York, New York 10018

New York State Police New York City Headquarters 58th Floor, Two World Trade Center New York, New York 10047

COUNTY AGENCIES

Bronx District Attorney's Office 215 East 161st Street Bronx, New York 10451

Kings District Attorney's Office Municipal Building 210 Joralemon Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

New York District Attorney's Office 1 Hogan Place New York, New York 10013

Queens District Attorney's Office 125-01 Queens Boulevard Kew Gardens, New York 11415

Richmond District Attorney's Office 36 Richmond Terrace Staten Island, New York 10301

New York City Probation Department 115 Leonard Street New York, New York 10013 Bronx Sheriff's Department 851 Grand Concourse Bronx, New York 10451

Kings Sheriff's Department Municipal Building 210 Joralemon Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

New York Sheriff's Department 31 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007

Queens Sheriff's Department County Square Long Island City, New York 11101

Richmond Sheriff's Department Room 400B, 350 St. Marks Staten Island, New York 10301

New York City Youth Bureau 44 Court Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

NEW YORK CITY

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

COUNTY AGENCIES (continued)

Bronx Legal Aid Society 1020 Grand Concourse Bronx, New York 10451

Kings Legal Aid Society 8th Floor 175 Remsen Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

New York Legal Aid Society 15 Park Row New York, New York 10038

Legal Aid Society Manhattan Office 80 Lafayette Street New York, New York 10018

Queens Legal Aid Society 120-34 Queens Boulevard Queens, New York 11414

Richmond Legal Aid Society 67 Targee Street Staten Island, New York 10304

> Bronx Criminal Court 215 East 161st Street Bronx, New York 10451

Kings Criminal Court 120 Schermerhorn Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

New York Criminal Court 100 Centre Street New York, New York 10013

Queens Criminal Court 125-01 Queens Boulevard Kew Gardens, New York 11415

Richmond Criminal Court 67 Targee Street Staten Island, New York 10304 New York City Department of Correction 100 Centre Street New York, New York 10013

Bronx Supreme Court 851 Grand Concourse Bronx, New York 10451

Kings Supreme Court 360 Adams Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

New York Supreme Court 60 Centre Street New York, New York 10013

Queens Supreme Court 88-11 Sutphin Boulevard Jamaica, New York 14435

Richmond Supreme Court County Court House, St. George Staten Island, New York 10301

> Bronx Family Court 900 Sheridan Avenue Bronx, New York 10451

Kings Family Court 283 Adams Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

New York Family Court 60 Lafayette Street New York, New York 10013

Queens Family Court 89-14 Parsons Boulevard Jamaica, New York 11432

Richmond Family Court 100 Richmond Terrace St. George, New York 10301

NEW YORK CITY

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

COUNTY AGENCIES (continued)

Assigned Counsel Plan for First Department 41 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10010 Assigned Counsel Plan to Indigent Defendants 210 Joralemon Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

New York City Police Department One Police Plaza New York, New York 10038

*Population 25,000 and above.

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

NEW YORK CITY

Region	NEW YORK CITY
County Seat	NA
Square Miles	301
Population Percent Change 1970-1980	-10.4
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1

POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980 Number Percent Number Rank Percent Rank 100.0% Population 7,071,639 NA NA Density Per Square Mile 23,493.8 NA NA NA 3,275,138 3,796,501 Sex: Male NA 46.3% NA 53.7% Female NA NA 4,294,075 2,027,662 749,902 Race: White NA 60.7% NA 28.7% 10.6% Non-White NA NA Unknown NA NA Ethnicity: Hispanic 1,406,024 19.9% NA ŇΑ 918,021 618,290 Age: 9 and Under NA 13.0% NA 8.7% 10 to 15 NA NA 338,338 717,040 15 to 18 NA 4.8% NA 19 to 24 10.1% NA NA

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

25 and Over

Table 2

4,479,950

NA

63.4%

NA

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	4,479,950	100.0%	NA
8th Grade or Less	1,049,810	23.4%	NA
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	736,827 1,357,806	16.4% 30.3%	NA NA
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	564,849 776,557	12.6% 17.3%	NA NA

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		POF	Table 3 PULATION 1982-198	36		
	1982		1984			Rank 1986
Population	7,099,800	7,151,500	7,164,800	7,254,239	7,262,700	NA
Density Per Square Mile	23,587.4	23,759.1	23,803.3	24,100.5	24,128.6	

NEW YORK CITY

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

		Table 4 INCOME: 1982-1984		
	1982			Income Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	12,128	12,947	14,252	NA

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

Table 5 PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUTS: 1981-82 TO 1985-86								
			-	School Year	• • • ·		Percent Rank	
	•	1981-82	<u>1982-83</u>	1983-84	<u> 1934-85</u>	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>	
Public High School Students								
Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	39,203 12.4%	37,360 12.4%	39,176 13.7%	34,291 12.1%	28,677 10.1%	NA	

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6 UNEMPLOYMENT: 1984-1986										
		1984		1986	Percent Rank 1986					
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	274,833 8.9%	261,167 8.1%	235,750 7.4%	NA					

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

		PUBLIC ASS	Table 7 ISTANCE: 198	2-1986			
		1982	1983		1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	859,270 12.1%	889,003 12.4%	918,653 12.8%	926,067 12.8%	911,478 12.6%	NA

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole. SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

NEW YORK CITY

			Tab 1	e 1			
			INDEX C				
				· · · · · ·			
	. ·		Number of C	rimes		1986 Crimes	<i>.</i>
	1982	1983	1984	1985		Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INDEX CRIME	689,014	623,838	601,634	602,945	636,602	8,866.7	-7.6%
VIOLENT	143,991	132,777	132,629	135,305	143,413	1,997.5	-0.4%
MURDER	1,668	1,622	1,446	1,384	1,582	22.0	-5.2%
FORCIBLE RAPE	3,547	3,662	3,827	3,878	3,540	49.3	-0.2%
ROBBERY	95,977	84,126	79,747	79,616	80,932	1,127.2	-15.7%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	42,799	43,367	47,609	50,427	57,359	798.9	34.0%
PROPERTY	545,023	491,061	469,005	467,640	493,189	6,869.3	-9.5%
BURGLARY	172,818	143,905	128,974	125,210	124,594	1,735.4	-27.9%
LARCENY	264,775	254,431	251,553	263,004	282,733	3,938.0	6.8%
MV THEFT	107,430	92,725	88,478	79,426	85,862	1,195.9	-20.1%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services. Uniform Crime Reporting System.

NEW YORK CITY

Table 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

	<u></u>	Nun	ber of Arr		1986 Arrests		
	1982	1983	1984		1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	192,798	201,912	219,678	233,042	259,990	3,579.8	34.9%
FELONY	96,418	97,143	105,997	105,758	123,277	1,697.4	27.9%
VIOLENT	43,660	43,623	46,542	45,420	50,337	693.1	15.3%
OTHER	52,758	53,520	59,455	60,338	72,940	1,004.3	38.3%
MISDEMEANOR	96,380	104,769	113,681	127,284	136,713	1,882.4	41.8%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

		FELONY AND MISDEME	ANOR ARRESTS: JANUA (Adults Only)	ARY THRU JUNE 19	987		
, 			<u>Number</u> ¹	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>		· · · · · ·	
		ARRESTS	133,993	4.7%			
		FELONY	62,978	4.5%			
		VIOLENT	23,912	-1.1%		1	
		OTHER	39,066	8.2%			
		MISDEMEANOR	71,015	5.0%			

TABLE 2B

¹ Preliminary data.

NEW YORK CITY

			•				
<u></u>							
		Numbe	er of Arres	ts	:	1986 Arrests	· · · · · · · · ·
	1982	1983		1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER	1,290	1,250	1,095	1,044	1,147	15.8	-11.1%
FORCIBLE RAPE	1,321	1,316	1,413	1,426	1,339	18.4	1.4%
ROBBERY	16,842	16,581	17,313	16,975	19,815	272.8	17.7%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	14,181	14,617	16,868	17,242	18,968	261.2	33.8%
BURGLARY	13,269	12,154	11,570	10,283	11,560	159.2	-12.9%
LARCENY ²	33,492	33,929	32,899	33,712	38,272	527.0	14.3%

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

¹ NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

² Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK CITY

		ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES ¹ (Adults Only)						
<u>que de la companya de</u>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			······································	
	·	Num	ber of Arres	sts		1986 Arrests		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>	
DRUG ARRESTS	37,391	43,013	55,477	61,746	76,125	1,048.2	103.6%	
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE	27 040	21 420	40 910	AA 979	63 010	970 <i>A</i>	122 0%	
(PL 220)	27,040	31,429	40,819	44,373	63,213	870.4	133.8%	
POSSESSION	13,211	19,024	25,397	28,508	40,650	559.7	207.7%	
SALE	7,834	8,956	11,192	11,950	18,087	249.0	130.9%	
OTHER	5,995	3,449	4,230	3,915	4,476	61.6	-25.3%	
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	10,351	11,584	14,658	17,373	12,912	177.8	24.7%	
POSSESSION	4,307	4,385	5,644	7,426	5,586	76.9	29.7%	
SALE	6,044	7,199	9,014	9,947	7,326	100.9	21.2%	

Table 2D

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> -Sections 220 and 221).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

		Numb	<u>er of Arrest</u>	s		1986 Arrests Per 1,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Licensed Drivers	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	4,020	5,822	7,777	7,706	7,109	2.7	76.8%
FELONY	387	372	81	61	60	< .1	-84.5%
MISDEMEANOR	3,633	5,450	7,696	7,645	7,049	2.7	94.0%

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law:</u> <u>State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Number and Pe	ercent of Felor	ny Indictments	······································	
			1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	28,100 100.0%	27,790 100.0%	29,242 100.0%	30.393 100.0%	35,870 100.0%	27.7%
VIOLENT	18,248 64.9%	16,577 59.7%	16,793 57.4%	16,102 53.0%	16,115 44.9%	-11.7%
OTHER	9,852 35.1%	11,213 40.3%	12,449 42.6%	14,291 47.0%	19,755 55.1%	100.5%

Table 3A FELONY INDICTMENTS¹ (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

¹ Felony indictments reported for New York City include those prosecuted by the district attorney in each of the New York City boroughs, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor, and the New York City Special Prosecutor.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS¹ : JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987²

(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	20,910	26.2%	
VIOLENT	8,102	1.6%	
OTHER	12,808	49.1%	

¹ See footnote in table 3A above.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

NEW YORK CITY

Table 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1 (Adults Only)

			nd Percent of Di Resulting from and Misdemeanor			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	163,086 100.0%	188,425 100.0%	192,195 100.0%	210,996 100.0%	219,919 100.0%	34.8%
CONVICTIONS	107,523 65.9%	129,229 68,6%	130,898 68.1%	142,521 67.5%	143,093 65.1%	33.1%
ACQUITTALS	1,062 0.7%	1,331 0.7%	1,385 0.7%	1,371 0.6%	1,391 0.6%	31.0%
DISMISSALS	45,909 28.2%	48,931 26.0%	51,606 26.9%	58,460 27.7%	68,033 30.9%	48.2%
NOT PROSECUTED	7,185 4.4%	6,730 3.6%	6,311 3.3%	6,706 3.2%	5,911 2.7%	-17.7%
OTHER	1,407 0.9%	2,204 1.2%	1,995 1.0%	1,938 0.9%	1,491 0.7%	6.0%

Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

NEW YORK CITY

Table 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1 (Adults Only)

			Percent of Disp from Felony Ar			
	1982	1983	1984		22	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	82,893 100.0%	91,401 100.0%	92,477 100.0%	97,172 100.0%	103,886 100.0%	25.3%
CONVICTIONS	51,346 61.9%	59,346 64.9%	58,683 63.5%	61,115 62.9%	62,418 60.1%	21.6%
ACQUITTALS	928 1.1%	1,088 1.2%	1,168 1.3%	1,155 1.2%	1,176 1.1%	26.7%
DISMISSALS	26,676 32.2%	26,854 29.4%	28,148 30.4%	30,855 31.8%	36,270 34.9%	36.0%
NOT PROSECUTED	3,599 4.3%	3,693 4.0%	4,069 4.4%	3,535 3.6%	3,374 3.2%	-6.3%
OTHER	344 0.4%	420 0.5%	409 0.4%	512 0.5%	648 0.6%	88.4%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

NEW YORK CITY

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		R	Percent of Conv esulting from Misdemeanor Ar			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	107,523 100.0%	129,229 100.0%	130,898 100.0%	142,521 100.0%	143,093 100.0%	33.1%
FELONY	15,765 14.7%	21,043 16.3%	20,510 15.7%	21,199 14.9%	24,848 17.4%	57.6%
VIOLENT	8,722 8.1%	11,387 8.8%	10,484 8.0%	9,806 6.9%	10,426 7.3%	19.5%
OTHER	7,043 6.6%	9,656 7.5%	10,026 7.7%	11,393 8.0%	14,422 10.1%	104.8%
MISDEMEANOR	58,424 54.3%	66,132 51.2%	68,368 52.2%	74,374 52.2%	73,186 51.1%	25.3%
LESSER OFFENSES	33,334 31.0%	42,054 32.5%	42,020 32.1%	46,948 32.9%	45,059 31.5%	35.2%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

NEW YORK CITY

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (Adults Only)

			rcent of Convictor rom Felony Arres			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	51,346 100.0%	59,346 100.0%	58,683 100.0%	61,115 100.0%	62,418 100.0%	21.6%
FELONY	15,685 30.5%	20,932 35,3%	20,350 34.7%	20,980 34.3%	24,564 39.4%	56.6%
VIOLENT	8,722 17.0%	11,387 19.2%	10,484 17.9%	9,806 16.0%	10.426 16.7%	19.5%
OTHER	6,963 13.6%	9,545 16.1%	9,866 16.8%	11,174 18.3%	14,138 22.7%	103.0%
MISDEMEANOR	24,349 47.4%	25,721 43.3%	25,105 42.8%	25,932 42.4%	25,134 40.3%	3.2%
LESSER OFFENSES	11,312 22.0%	12,693 21.4%	13,228 22.5%	14,203 23.2%	12,720 20.4%	12.4%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

	r	Sentences as a	Percent of Total	Convictions	
	1982	1983	1984		<u>1986</u> 2
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	7.5	8.2	7.7	7.0	8.5
LOCAL JAIL	37.5	37.1	41.7	44.5	46.3
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	1.4	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.7
PROBATION	8.7	8.4	8.1	7.2	6.9
FINES	17.3	18.6	18.7	17.7	15.2
OTHER	27.6	25.8	21.9	21.5	20.4

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS 1 (Adults Only)

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ (Adult Only)

	·			Sentences	s as a Per	cent of C	onviction	<u>\$</u>		
		982 Misd & Lesser	<u>Felony</u>	983 Misd & Lesser		984 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser	<u> </u>	2 86 ² Misd & Lesser
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	51.1	NA	50.4	NA	49.4	NA	47.2	NA	48.9	NA
LOCAL JAIL	14.9	41.4	13.8	41.7	14.0	46.8	14.8	49.7	13.7	53.1
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	6.4	0.5	8.8	0.5	9.6	0.5	11.4	0.4	13.7	0.4
PROBATION	26.2	5.6	25.4	5.1	25.6	4.8	25.0	4.1	22.6	3.6
FINES	0.5	20.2	0.7	22.1	0.5	22.1	0.7	20.7	0.5	18.3
OTHER	0.9	32.2	0.9	30.6	0.9	25.8	0.9	25.1	0.7	24.6

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

NEW YORK CITY

		Numbe	er of Cases			1986 Cases Per 100,000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984 _	1985	1986	Population	82 vs 86
INTAKE OPENED ¹	45,100	40,123	46,291	38,154	28,282	389.4	-37.3%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	36,228	40,668	40,990	40,201	44,855	617.6	23.8%
FAMILY COURT	4,481	4,365	4,056	4,619	5,088	70.1	13.5%
CRIMINAL COURT	31,747	36,303	36,934	35,582	39,767	547.6	25.3%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	6,640	6,766	5,691	5,858	5,808	80.0	-12.5%
FAMILY COURT	5,778	5,562	4,568	4,860	5,133	70.7	-11.2%
CRIMINAL COURT	862	1,204	1,123	998	675	9.3	-21.7%
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	13,577	16,252	15,794	16,115	16,876	232.4	24.3%
FAMILY COURT	1,305	1,278	1,237	1,385	1,537	21.2	17.8%
CRIMINAL COURT	12,272	14,974	14,557	14,730	15,339	211.2	25.0%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	42,877	47,429	52,631	57,096	61,759	850.4	44.0%
FAMILY COURT	2,722	2,470	2,594	2,883	3,058	42.1	12.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	40,155	44,959	50,037	54,213	58,701	808.3	46.2%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	31,264	36,825	40,891	44,903	47,260	650.7	51.2%
FAMILY COURT	1,279	1,345	1,408	1,541	1,386	19.1	8.4%
CRIMINAL COURT	29,985	35,480	39,483	43,362	45,874	631.6	53.0%

Table 7A PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

² The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

PROBATION REVOCATIONS 1986 Revocations Number of Revocations % Change 82 vs 86 Per 1,000 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 Probationers REVOCATIONS 1,936 2,152 2,601 2,912 3,496 56.6 80.6% FAMILY COURT 91 95 92 30.1 65 117 41.5% CRIMINAL COURT 1,871 2,061 2,506 2,795 3,404 58.0 81.9% TECHNICAL 587 633 878 1,191 1,335 22.7 127.4% NEW CONVICTION 1,284 1,428 1,628 1,604 2,069 35.2 61.1%

TABLE 7B

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

NEW YORK CITY

NEW YORK CITY

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS								
	<u>FY 1983-84</u>	<u>FY 1984-85</u>	FY 1985-86	<u>FY 1986-87</u>				
CLIENTS SERVED	1,113	1,023	3,282	4,331				

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table 8B						
PRETRIAL RELEA	SE PROGRAM: 198	36				
	Number	Percent				
CASES SCREENED	10,892	NA NA				
CASES INTERVIEWED	6,901	100.0%				
RELEASED ¹	2,102	30.5%				

Program intervention contributed to or was directly responsible for the release of these detained defendants.

Table 8D

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY	PROGRAM:	1986
	<u>Number</u>	Percent
CLIENTS SERVED	312	NA
CLIENTS SERVED BY TYPE OF SERVICE PROVIDED:		
INFORMATION AND REFERRAL	213	NA
REPORTS PREPARED FOR COURT	110	100.0%
REPORTS ACCEPTED	105	95.5%

Table 8C							
COMMUNITY SERVI	CE PROGRAM:	1986					
	Number	Percent					
CASES SENTENCED	413	NA					
CASES DISCHARGED	324	100.0%					
SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME	206	63.6%					

Table 8E

SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION ADMISSIONS¹ 1986 Number of Admissions Admissions Per 100,000 % Change 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 82 vs 86 Population * ADMISSIONS 77,910 82,033 88,889 105,901 1,458.1 NA * UNSENTENCED 56,536 60,810 65,167 82,004 1,129.1 NA SENTENCED * 21,374 21,223 23,722 23,897 329.0 NA

Table 9A

¹ The New York City Department of Correction operates a city-wide corrections system for the five boroughs of New York City. There are four institutions for sentenced inmates and nine institutions for detained inmates.

* Data were not available.

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B

NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION CAPACITY AND POPULATION

						1986 Inmates Per 100.000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Population	82 vs 86
REPORTED CAPACITY	9,889	9,811	10,789	11,104	12,957	178.4	31.0%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	9,497	9,495	10,073	10,889	12,877	177.3	35.6%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	9,669	8,867	9,852	11,499	13,201	181.8	36.5%
UNSENTENCED	7,222	6,104	7,178	8,452	10,103	139.1	39.9%
SENTENCED	2,447	2,763	2,674	3,047	3,098	42.7	26.6%

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

NEW YORK CITY

NEW YORK CITY

			Number of urt Commit	nonte		1986 New Court Committments	
		1983	1984	<u>1985</u>	2 ²	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	7,007	8,946	8,378	8,373	10,696	147.3	52.6%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	4,978	6,155	5,374	5,051	5,840	80.4	17.3%
HOMICIDE	738	676	700	665	734	10.1	-0.5%
SEX OFFENSE	182	216	225	233	287	4.0	57.7%
ROBBERY	2,763	3,435	2,861	2,526	3,048	42.0	10.3%
BURGLARY	522	866	741	677	850	11.7	62.8%
WEAPONS	509	638	538	578	555	7.6	9.0%
OTHER	264	324	309	372	366	5.0	38.6%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	856	1,187	1,378	1,671	2,565	35.3	199.6%
POSSESSION	223	247	332	426	674	9.3	202.2%
SALE	633	940	1,046	1,245	1,891	26.0	198.7%
OTHER FELONIES	1,020	1,426	1,470	1,496	2,081	28.7	104.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	153	178	156	155	210	2.9	37.3%

Table 10A NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON¹

¹ The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

² Preliminary data.

 3 New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NEW YORK CITY

Table 10B-1

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31¹

		umber of I n State Pr	Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/86				
	1982		1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	19,152	21,382	23,337	24,177	27,102	373.2	41.5%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	14,622	16,406	17,416	17,672	18,888	260.1	29.2%
HOMICIDE	3,586	3,900	4,175	4,384	4,865	67.0	35.7%
SEX OFFENSE	878	930	985	1,031	1,157	15.9	31.8%
ROBBERY	7,482	8,196	8,511	8,259	8,726	120.1	16.6%
BURGLARY	892	1,433	1,663	1,745	1,937	26.7	117.2%
WEAPONS	1,046	1,175	1,235	1,314	1,245	17.1	19.0%
OTHER	738	772	847	939	958	13.2	29.8%
DRUG FELONIES ³	1,916	2,320	2,918	3,487	4,633	63.8	141.8%
POSSESSION	477	546	683	856	1,172	16.1	145.7%
SALE	1,439	1,774	2,235	2,631	3,461	47.7	140.5%
OTHER FELONIES	2,357	2,426	2,778	2,801	3,317	45.7	40.7%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	257	230	225	217	264	3.6	2.7%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

 2 Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY

DEDOENT OF INMATES	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	67.9%	70.0%	70.1%	69.6%	70.4%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Table 11A

PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

						1986	
		Number of Parolees					
		1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	5,643	6,975	6,835	7,577	8,369	115.2	48.3%
UNDER PAROLE ₁ SUPERVISION	15,632	18,006	19,746	21,707	23,012	316.9	47.2%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	11,031	12,911	14,130	14,643	15,868	218.5	43.8%

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numl	per of Parol	lees		1986 Revocations	% Change <u>82 vs 88</u>
	<u> 1982 15</u>	1983	1984		1986	Per 1,000 Parolees	
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	1,640	1,652	2,035	2,585	2,643	36.4	61.2%
RULE VIOLATIONS	623	612	670	829	916	12.6	47.0%
NEW ARRESTS	719	603	706	729	672	9.3	-6.5%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	298	437	659	1,027	1,055	14.5	254.0%

 1 The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Number of Cases % Change 1983 1982 1984 1985 1986 82 vs 86 FELONY ARRESTS 1,119 1,051 1,281 1,080 1,085 -15.3% FELONY INDICTMENTS 567 411 373 404 360 -36.5% DISPOSITIONS 1,260 1,099 1,012 849 921 -26.9% CONVICTIONS 345 307 359 451 460 -20.4% PRISON 227 217 137 122 114 -49.8% LOCAL JAIL 25 38 22 37 28 12.0% LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION 20 24 37 25 51 155.0% PROBATION 165 156 119 111 158 -4.2% OTHER 25 30 12 14 8 -42.9% ACQUITTALS 7 4 5 7 8 14.3% DISMISSALS 250 181 174 203 253 1.2% NOT PROSECUTED 135 68 71 52 56 -58.5% REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT 404 382 408 272 232 -42.6% OTHER 13 5 9 8 14 7.7%

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

¹ A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <u>New York State Penal Law</u>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

Expenditures Appropriations % Change Program FY 82-83 FY 83-84 FY 84-85 FY 85-86 82 vs 85 FY 86-87 FY 87-88 Dept. of Correctional Services 4,397.3 5,655.5 7,108.8 12,649.6 187.7% 0.0 0.0 Crime Victims Board 6,625.9 8,709.7 7,680.8 6,591.5 -0.5% 7,890.1 11.459.2 Division of Criminal Justice Services 39,729.9 47.082.5 55,079.3 57,351.7 44.4% 60,508.6 66.067.4 Division of Parole 398.0 548.5 649.9 825.9 107.5% 1,805.9 1,970.9 Division of Probation² and Correctional Alternatives 10,446.8 11.893.7 12,618.9 16,749.7 60.3% 21,241.3 23,553.9 Division for Youth³ 30.144.7 27.732.9 32,173.6 59.758.6 98.2% 35,893.3 38.758.3 Other Local Aid District Attorney Salaries⁴ 47.5 47.5 NA 52.5 TOTAL 91,742.6 101,622.9 115,358.8 153,974.5 127,391.7 67.8% 141,809.7

NEW YORK CITY LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

Table 1

1 This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

3 The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 4 The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

NEW YORK CITY

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES NEW YORK CITY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Expenditures				Appropr	iations
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	E	<u>Y 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Board of Prisoners ¹								
Coram Nobis	.0	.0	14.8	10.9	NA			
Felons	4,167.3	5,196.1	5,835.2	9,699.7	132.8%		.	· · · ·
Parole Violators	.0	.0	284.9	1,659.7	NA			
State Readies ²				1,064.9	NA		· · · ·	· · · · ·
Community Treatment Programs ³		263.3	788.4		NA		 .	-
Prisoner Transfer ¹	230.0	196.2	185.4	214.4	NA		'	
TOTAL	4,397.3	5,655.5	7,108.8	12,649.6	187.7%			
					•			

¹ Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

 $^{\rm 2}$ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

³ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NEW YORK CITY

Table 3

		, Ci	nousands of D	ollars)			· .
				Approp	riations		
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Crime Victim ¹ Compensation Awards	5,647.2	7,719.3	5,960.5	5,354.3	-5.2%	5,206.0	8,507.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	477.6	387.0	799.2	649.5	36.0%	1,163.2	1,797.4
Court Related	185.6	223.2	388.3	296.9	60.0%	471.9	383.5
Domestic Violence	.0	.0	.0	.0	NA	110.5	50.3
Elderly	288.9	289.2	398.2	290.9	.7%	520.9	681.1
Sexual Assault	26.6	90.7	134.6		NA	417.7	40.0
TOTAL	6,625.9	8,709.7	7,680.8	6,591.5	5%	7,890.1	11,459.2

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD NEW YORK CITY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

 1 FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 include expenditures in Nassau and Suffolk Counties.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

NEW YORK CITY

Table 4

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	FY 87-88
Asset/Forfeiture ¹ Initiative	÷-		264.4	68.4	NA	· · · ·	
Crime Prevention ² and Protection		894.0	640.0	686.5	NA	1,187.0	1,078.5
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	3,285.2	3,682.3	3,939.1	4,067.4	23.8%	4,150.2	4,150.2
Indigent Parolee Program ³	722.1	834.8	956.0	956.0	32.4%	1,028.0	1,069.0
Justice Assistance Act ⁴				н 1 — 1 <mark>——</mark> 1	NA	1,013.6	843.0
Juvenile Justice ⁵ and Delinquency Prevention	983.7	978.0	1,016.6	884.0	-10.1	975.1	1,055.1
Major Offense Police Program	5,615.6	5,952.6	6,369.2	6,624.0	18.0%	6,756.5	7,161.9
Narcotics Control ⁷		, 	:		NA		4,006.9
Neighborhood ⁸ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		508.3	1,004.6	419.1	٩k		
NYC School Security ⁹ Officer Program	· · ·	. 			NA	415.0	250.0
Soft Body Armour ¹⁰ Reimbursement Program	· · · ·		0.4	12.3	NA		
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	4,739.0	39.9%	4,818.1	4,902.6
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ¹¹		• • • •	1,395.0	1,299.5	NA	1,440.0	1,400.0

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES NEW YORK CITY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Continued - Next Page

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES NEW YORK CITY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY_84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Target Crime Initiative Program ¹²	25,737.0	27,143.1	31,159.2	32,868.5	27.7%	33,785.2	35,015.0
Transit Crime Interdiction Program ¹³				1,322.6	NA	1,485.0	1,510.5
Transit Strike Force ¹⁴	<u> </u>	3,500.0	3,745.0	3,404.4	NA	3,353,9	3,474.6
Community Patrol ¹⁵ Officer Program		ا . بینا بینا			NA	100.0	150.0
TOTAL	39,729.9	47,082.5	55,079.3	57,351.7	44.4%	60,508.6	66,067.4

¹ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

 2 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

³ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) Jump sum appropriations for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

⁴ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁵ Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁶ This program was implemented in FY 1987-88.

⁷ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁸ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

⁹ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

¹⁰ In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

¹¹ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

¹² This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

¹³ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

¹⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

¹⁵ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

NEW YORK CITY

Table 5

			DIVIS	ION OF	PAROL	.E		
NEW	YORK	CITY	LOCAL	ASSIS	TANCE	PROGRAM	FUNDING	
		1)	Thousar	nds of	Dolla	ars)		

			Expenditures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Parole Resource Centers ¹	398.0	511.4	477.0	516.8	29.8%	654.8	774.8
Parole Transitional ² Facilities		37.1	172.9	309.1	NA	496.1	496.1
Employment Services ³ Project		 .			NA	700.0	700.0
TOTAL	398.0	548.5	649.9	825.9	107.5%	1,850.9	1,970.0

 $^{1}\ {\rm This}\ {\rm program}$ is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 2 This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

³ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES NEW YORK CITY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Classification ¹ Alternatives				1,130.9	NA	1,240.7	1,302.8
Demonstration Projects	559.4	740.8	743.0	2,074.6	270.9%	2,394.7	2,511.7
Direct Services	·`		· *		0.0%		
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	961.3	1,066.5	1,158.5	1,478.9	53.8%	3,399.3	4,569.5
PINS Program ²		ا هله معه 1		0.0	NA	631.7	1,314.6
Regular State Aid	8,926.1	10,086.4	10,717.4	12,065.3	35.2%	13,574.8	13,855.4
TOTAL	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,618.9	16,749.7	60.3%	21,241.2	23,554.0

 1 This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

² This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

NEW YORK CITY

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH NEW YORK CITY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u> 1	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Community-Based ² Organizations	. · · · · ·		324.8	318.0	ŇA	321.5	321.5
Community Care ³ Initiative	: · · · ·		. 		NA	181.3	181.3
Detention							
Non-Secure	946.0	1,343.0	835.2	2,191.8	131.7%	2,213.0	5,023.6
Secure	7,569.4	5,196.0	9,641.7	16,947.8	123.9%	9,723.5	9,043.0
Job Development ⁴				· •••	NA	291.7	303.0
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	405.8	568.2	522.9	516.6	27.3%	691.4	645.9
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	4,630.0	4,176.8	4,897.0	3,709.8	-19.9%	5,012.9	5,809.0
Special Legislative Contracts	1,090.0	1,172.0	1,595.3	1,779.0	63.2%	2,291.3	2,214.6
Transitional Independent							
Living Support ⁵		· · · ·		69.7	NA	1,125.0	1,139.3
Voluntary Agency Care	4,518.6	4,387.9	3,728.1	9,201.4	103.6%		
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	10,984.9	10,889.1	10,628.5	25,024.4	127.8%	14,041.7	14,077.1
TOTAL	30,144.7	27,732.9	32,173.6	59,758.6	98.2%	35,893.3	38,758.3

¹ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 2 This program was implemented in FY 1984-85 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 3 This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 4 This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

⁵ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

NEW YORK CITY

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY (June 1987)

· · ·		<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>	 · · · · ·
	DOCS Facilities	2,474	1,380	
	DFY Facilities	259	394	
	TOTAL	2,733	1,774	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditure	% Channe	Appropriations		
	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
DOCS Facilities	30,862.8	37,871.0	40,575.1	44,354.6	43.7%	44,435.2	48,423.2
DFY Facilities	8,640.7	9,727.5	10,980.2	10,497.2	24.0%	10,717.7	11,427.8
TOTAL	39,503.5	47,598.5	51,555.3	54,851.8	38.9%	55,152.9	59,851.0

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditu	ires		Appropriations		
	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	Total	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
DOCS Facilities	269.6	739.2	3,360.5	4,369.3	6,476.1	10,722.8	
DFY Facilities	264.3	413.1	467.8	1,145.2	852.0	274.0	
TOTAL	533.9	1,152.3	3,828.3	5,514.5	7,328.1	10,996.8	

NEW YORK CITY

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

				Staff			
Facility	Security Level	Capacity	Total	Security	<u>Civilian</u>		
Arthur Kill	MEDIUM	755	495	345	150		
Bayview ¹	MEDIUM	187	152	91	61		
Edgecombe	MINIMUM	404	157	79	78		
Fulton	MINIMUM	407	148	80	68		
Lincoln	MINIMUM	211	107	54	53		
Parkside	MINIMUM	60	26	13	13		
Queensboro	MEDIUM	450	295	205	90		

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NEW YORK CITY

TABLE 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Expenditures			Appropr	iations
<u>Facility</u>	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Arthur Kill	13,352.0	14,650.4	14,853.2	16,821.0	26.0%	16,188.7	17,718.9
Bayview	3,581.7	4,590.3	4,751.9	5,347.1	49.3%	4,927.5	5,600.1
Edgecombe	2,287.4	3,309.0	4,334.6	4,492.2	96.4%	4,663.5	5,096.9
Fulton	2,252.8	3,247.6	4,207.2	4,492.2	99.4%	4,602.6	4,962.6
Lincoln	2,561.1	3,327.8	3,477.2	3,376.9	31.9%	3,481.7	3,742.9
Parkside ¹	0.0	0.0	0.0	790.7	NA	885.7	974.8
Queensboro	6,827.7	8,746.0	8,950.9	9,034.5	32.3%	9,685.5	10,327.0
TOTAL	30,862.8	37,871.1	40,575.1	44,354.6	43.7%	44,435.2	48,423.2

 $^{1}\,$ The facility budget is administered by the Lincoln facility.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

TABLE 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Expendit	ures		Approp	Appropriations		
Facility	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	<u>Total</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>		
Arthur Kill	57.7	125.4	2,077.2	2,260.3	4,236.0	7,239.8		
Bayview	2.1	117.7	688.8	808.5	102.6	394.0		
Edgecombe	0.0	135.3	96.7	232.0	500.0	669.3		
Fulton	0.0	74.3	78.0	152.3	62.5	208.3		
Lincoln	12.0	47.2	276.6	335.8	261.0	498.4		
Parkside ¹		, ,	0.0	0.0	42.0	186.0		
Queensboro	197.9	239.3	143.3	580.5	1,272.0	1,527.0		
TOTAL	269.6	739.2	3,360.6	4,369.4	6,476.1	10,722.8		

 $^{1}\ \mbox{The facility budget is administered by the Lincoln facility.}$

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NEW YORK CITY

TABLE 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

<u>Facility</u>		S -	ecurity Level	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>
Bedford Stuyvesant Youth Development Center			V	32	43
Bronx Community Residential Home			VI	20	23
Bronx Youth Development Center	: •		V	30	50
Brooklyn Community Residential Home			VI	20	- 23
Brooklyn Youth Development Center	• • •		V	25	40
Ella McQueen Residential Center	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		II	27	54
Harlem Community Residential Home			VI	9	12
Pyramid Residential Center			II	50	85
Staten Island Commur Residential Home	ity		VI	16	20
Stevens Temporary Release			I	10	23
Willowbrook Residential Center	•		IV	20	21
TOTAL				100	158

1 Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Appropriations					
<u>Facility</u>	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Bedford Stuyvesant Youth Development Center	1,004.6	1,202.9	1,404.3	1,251.5	24.6%	1,184.2	1,297.3
Bronx Community Residential Home	442.9	659.0	744.3	639.4	44.4%	551.8	555.0
Bronx Youth Development Center	803.1	899.6	1,093.0	1,041.8į	29.7%	1,094.5	1,238.6
Brooklyn Community Residential Home	381.4	549.1	607.8	578.5	51.7%	525.1	537.1
Brooklyn Youth Development Center	757.6	949.4	1,103.3	1,012.3	33.6%	961.3	1,156.7
Ella McQueen Residential Center	1,532.5	1,489.6	1,658.1	1,627.5	6.2%	1,709.0	1,642.5
Harlem Community Residential Home	127.4	297.0	335.2	268.2	110.6%	285.2	313.1
Pyramid Residential Center	1,875.2	1,896.5	2,087.6	2,128.4	13.5%	2,490.5	2,597.2
Staten Island Community Residential Home	394.6	491.3	577.3	500.8	26.9%	507.0	569.1
Stevens Temporary Release	795.2	704.4	785.9	778.9	-2.0%	768.4	827.2
Willowbrook Residential Center	526.2	588.7	583.4	669.8	27.3%	640.7	694.0
TOTAL	3,121.2	3,455.1	3,924.9	3,809.6	22.1%	4,136.8	4,390.8

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	Appropriations			
<u>Facility</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	Total	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Bedford Stuyvesant Youth Development Center	8.0	12.2	20.1	40.3	4.0	160.0
Bronx Community Residential Home	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	110.0	0.0
Bronx Youth Development Center	20.0	19.4	160.6	200.0	150.0	48.0
Brooklyn Community Residential Home	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.0	0.0
Brooklyn Youth Development Center	57.5	33.0	11.2	101.8	50.0	0.0
Ella McQueen Residential Center	142.5	79.0	10.6	232.1	49.0	0.0
Harlem Community Residential Home	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	77.0	0.0
Pyramid Residential Center	12.6	233.3	244.9	490.9	337.0	39.0
Staten Island ୯୦ଲ୍ମାunity Residential Home	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.0	0.0
Stevens Temporary Release	14.9	36.3	17.0	68.1	0.0	0.0
Willowbrook Residential Center	8.8	0.0	3.4	12.2	33.0	27.0
TOTAL	32.6	252.7	405.5	690.8	597.0	87.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

COUNTY PROFILES FOR THE NEW YORK CITY REGION

Bronx County Kings County New York County Queens County Richmond County

BRONX COUNTY

BRONX COUNTY

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York City Coordinator of Criminal Justice Office of the Mayor 250 Broadway New York, New York 10007 New York State Division of Parole 314 West 40th Street New York, New York 10018

New York State Police New York City Headquarters 58th Floor, Two World Trade Center New York, New York 10047

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office 215 East 161st Street Bronx, New York 10451

Probation Department 115 Leonard Street New York, New York 10013

Legal Aid Society 1020 Grand Concourse Bronx, New York 10451

Assigned Counsel Plan for First Department 41 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10010

Bronx Criminal Court 215 East 161st Street Bronx, New York 10451 Sheriff's Department 851 Grand Concourse Bronx, New York 10451

Youth Bureau 44 Court Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

New York City Department of Correction 100 Centre Street New York, New York 10013

Supreme Court 851 Grand Concourse Bronx, New York 10451

Family Court 900 Sheridan Avenue Bronx, New York 10451

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

New York City Police Department One Police Plaza New York, New York 10038

*Population 25,000 and above.

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

BRONX COUNTY

Region	NEW YORK CITY	
County Seat	BRONX	
Square Miles	42	
Population Percent Change 1970-1980	-20.6%	
·		

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Tab	le	1
-----	----	---

POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	1,168,972	6	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	27,832.7	3	NA	NA
Sex: Male	530,449	NA	45.4%	62
Female	638,523	NA	54.6%	. 1
Race: White	554,046	NA	47.4%	62
Non-White	389,498	NA	33.3%	2
Unknown	225,428	NA	19.3%	1
Ethnicity: Hispanic	396,353	NA	33.9%	1
Age: 9 and Under	175,138	NA	15.0%	13
10 to 15	121,507	NA	10.4%	5
16 to 18	66,656	NA	5.7%	49
19 to 24	123,745	NA	10,6%	25
25 and Over	681,926	NA	58.3%	35

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	681,926	100.0%	35
8th Grade or Less	197,225	28.9%	1
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	138,537 211,877	20.3% 31.1%	3 60
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	73,251 61,785	10.7% 9.1%	62 60

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

an an An Anna Anna Martí

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		PO	Table 3 PULATION 1982-198	86		
· · ·		1983	1984		1986	Rank 1986
Population	1,165,100	1,174,900	1,173,000	1,184,154	1,193,600	6
Density Per Square Mile	27,740.5	27,973.8	27,928.6	28,194.1	28,419.0	3

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

		able 4 NCOME: 1982-1984	-	
	1982	1983	1984	Income Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	9,146	9,648	10,577	40

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

	PUBLIC HIGH		le 5 OUTS: 1981-	-82 <u>TO 1985</u> -	86		
				School Year			Percent Rank
		<u>1981-82</u>	1982-83	1983-84	1984-85	1985-86	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	8,388 14.9%	8,146 15.1%	6,646 13.1%	6,245 12.3%	6,552 12.1%	2

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table	6
UNEMPLOYMENT:	1984-1986

		1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed	Number	42,333	40,433	37,305	16
of Civilian Labor Force	Percent	9.9%	9.1%	8.4%	

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

		Ta PUBLIC ASSIS	able 7 TANCE: 198	2-1986			1
		1982	1983	1984	1985		Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	58

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole. SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

BRONX COUNTY

BRONX COUNTY

			Number of Crimes			1986 C Per 10 Popula	0,000	% Change
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INDEX CRIME	101,199	92,512	89,200	88,437	95,741	8,131.2	2	-5.4%
VIOLENT	26,736	25,232	25,116	25,427	27,316	2,319.9	2	2.2%
MURDER	416	341	392	339	404	34.3	1	-2.9%
FORCIBLE RAPE	777	815	765	824	630	53.5	3 B	-18.9%
ROBBERY	16,688	14,909	14,040	13,949	14,552	1,235.9	3	-12.8%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	8,855	9,167	9,919	10,315	11,730	996.2	1	32.5%
PROPERTY	74,463	67,280	64,084	63,010	68,425	5,811.3	2	-8.1%
BURGLARY	29,122	24,917	21,682	21,168	21,200	1,800.5	2	-27.2%
LARCENY	28,838	27,782	28,330	30,069	34,432	2,924.3	6	19.4%
MV THEFT	16,503	14,581	14,072	11,773	12,793	1,086.5	4	-22.5%

Table 1 INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

BRONX COUNTY

Table 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		Num	<u>ber of Arre</u>	:	1986 Ar Per 100 <u>Popula</u>			
	1982	1983		1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	31,714	33,299	36,844	38,897	43,101	3,611.0	2	35.9%
FELONY	18,734	18,747	20,286	21,028	24,657	2,065.8	2	31.6%
VIOLENT	8,782	8,927	9,076	9,122	9,799	821.0	2	11.6%
OTHER	9,952	9,820	11,210	11,906	14,858	1,244.8	2	49.3%
MISDEMEANOR	12,980	14,552	16,558	17,869	18,444	1,545,2	28	42.1%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

		FELONY AND MISDEMEANC (R ARRESTS: JANU Adults Only)	DARY THRU JUNE 19	37
			<u>Number</u> 1	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>	
		ARRESTS	23,350	11.0%	
		FELONY ²	13,264	11.3%	
		VIOLENT	4,378	-7.1%	
		OTHER	8,886	23.4%	
 1	ب	MISDEMEANOR	10,086	10.5%	an a

TABLE 2B

¹ Preliminary data.

² Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

BRONX COUNTY

		Numb	er of Arrest			1986 Ari Per 100 Populat	,000	а
	1982		<u>1984</u>	<u>.s</u> <u>1985</u>	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER	350	253	285	225	282	23.6	1	-19.4%
FORCIBLE RAPE	308	333	346	314	330	27.6	1	7.1%
ROBBERY	2,732	2,789	2,830	2,817	3,220	269.8	3	17.9%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	2,903	3,108	3,406	3,685	3,933	329.5	2	35.5%
BURGLARY	2,850	2,589	2,454	2,139	2,256	189.0	3	-20.8%
LARCENY ²	4,677	4,746	4,561	4,893	5,584	467.8	10	19.4%

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

¹ NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

² Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

BRONX COUNTY

Table 2D

$\begin{array}{c} \text{ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES}^1 \\ (\text{Adults Only}) \end{array}$

		Num	Number of Arrests				1986 Arrests Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>	
DRUG ARRESTS	5,770	6,838	8,562	10,591	12,355	1,035.1	2	114.1%	
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	3,971	4,642	6,223	7,753	10,183	853.1	2	156.4%	
POSSESSION	2,027	2,731	3,984	4,707	5,898	494.1	2	191.0%	
SALE	1,270	1,428	1,544	2,366	3,577	299.7	2	181.7%	
OTHER	674	- 483	695	680	708	59.3	2	5.0%	
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	1,799	2,196	2,339	2,838	2,172	182.0	2	20.7%	
POSSESSION	760	794	888	1,203	902	75.6	3	18.7%	
SALE	1,039	1,402	1,451	1,635	1,270	106.4	2	22.2%	

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> -Sections 220 and 221).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

	ан 1997 - Россия 1997 - Россия 1997 - Россия	Numb	er of Arrest	S		Per Lice	Arrests 1,000 nsed vers	
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	1,046	1,490	1,828	1,585	1,485	4.1	58	42.0%
FELONY	85	45	9	1	11	< .1	58	-87.1%
MISDEMEANOR	961	1,445	1,819	1,584	1,474	4.1	56	53.4%

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law:</u> <u>State of New York ~</u> Section 1192).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrests and Processing Trends File.

BRONX COUNTY

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Percent of Felony Indictments						
	1982	1983_	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	5,792 100,0%	5,024 100.0%	5,133 100.0%	6,633 100.0%	7,428 100.0%	28.2%		
VIOLENT	4,034 69.6%	3,389 67.5%	3,510 68.4%	3,738 56.4%	3,650 49.1%	-9.5%		
OTHER	1,758 30.4%	1,635 32.5%	1,623 31.6%	2,895 43.6%	3,778 50.9%	114.9%		

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹ (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>86_vs_87</u>		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	4,474	31.2%		
VIOLENT	1,762	-1.2%		
OTHER	2,712	66.7%		

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

BRONX COUNTY

Table 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1 (Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests					
	1982	1983		1985	<u>1986</u> _2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>	
DISPOSITIONS	27,166 100.0%	31,566 100.0%	32,514 100.0%	34,986 100.0%	35,872 100.0%	32.0%	
CONVICTIONS	18,315 67.4%	22,270 70.6%	23,185 71.3%	24,651 70.5%	23,735 66.2%	29.6%	
ACQUITTALS	237 0.9%	389 1.2%	404 1.2%	349 1.0%	330 0.9%	39.2%	
DISMISSALS	7,584 27,9%	7,356 23.3%	7,500 23.1%	8,674 24.8%	10,486 29.2%	38.3%	
NOT PROSECUTED	868 3.2%	1,450 4.6%	1,324 4.1%	1,181 3.4%	1,172 3.3%	35.0%	
OTHER	162 0.6%	101 0.3%	101 0.3%	131 0.4%	149 0.4%	-8.0%	

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests % Change <u>1986</u> 2 1985 1982 1983 1984 82 vs 86 DISPOSITIONS 16.069 18.759 17,894 19,412 20,481 27.5% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 13,187 CONVICTIONS 10,168 12,705 12,087 13,254 30.4% 67.5% 67.9% 63.3% 67.7% 64.7% ACQUITTALS 225 334 339 296 293 30.2% 1.4% 1.8% 1.9% 1.5% 1.4% 6,182 4,599 4,515 5,193 DISMISSALS 4,936 25.2% 30.7% 24.5% 25.2% 26.8% 30.2% NOT PROSECUTED 672 1,033 911 677 670 -.3% 4.2% 5.5% 5.1% 3.5% 3.3% OTHER 68 88 42 59 82 20.6% 0.4% 0.5% 0.2% 1.3% 0.4%

Table 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹ (Adults Only)

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

BRONX COUNTY

BRONX COUNTY

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		<u> </u>	Misdemeanor Arı	<u>1985</u>	2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	18,315 100.0%	22,270 100.0%	23,185 100.0%	24,651 100.0%	23,735 100.0%	29.6%
FELONY	3,079 16.8%	4,080 18.3%	3,830 16.5%	4,353 17.7%	4,987 21.0%	62.0%
VIOLENT	1,747 9.5%	2,400 10.8%	2,375 10.2%	2,184 8.9%	2,249 9.5%	28.7%
OTHER	1,332 7.3%	1,680 7.5%	1,455 6.3%	2,169 8.8%	2,738 11.5%	105.6%
MISDEMEANOR	8,610 47.0%	10,556 47.4%	12,610 54.4%	13,109 53.2%	12,800 53.9%	48.7%
LESSER OFFENSES	6,626 36.2%	7,634 34.3%	6.745 29.1%	7,189 29.2%	5,948 25.1%	-10.2%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

BRONX COUNTY

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		Number and Per Resulting fi				
	1982	1983	1984		<u>1986</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	10,168 100.0%	12,705 100.0%	12,087 100.0%	13,187 100.0%	13,254 100.0%	30.4%
FELONY	3,064 30.1%	4,065 32.0%	3,816 31.6%	4,314 32.7%	4,934 37.2%	61.0%
VIOLENT	1,747 17.2%	2,400 18.9%	2,375 19.6%	2,184 16.6%	2,249 17.0%	28.7%
OTHER	1,317 13.0%	1,665 13.1%	1,441 11.9%	2,130 16.2%	2,685 20.3%	103.9%
MISDEMEANOR	4,271 42.0%	5,400 42.5%	5,780 47.8%	6,174 46,8%	6,099 46.0%	42.8%
LESSER OFFENSES	2,833 27.9%	3,240 25.5%	2,491 20.6%	2,699 . 20.5%	2,221 16.8%	-21.6%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

(Adults Only) Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions 1986 2 1982 1983 1984 1985 CONVICTIONS 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% STATE PRISON 8.5 8.9 8.3 7.7 9.1 LOCAL JAIL 28.9 33.0 35.2 39.8 40.4 LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION 1.0 1.6 1.4 1.5 3.0 PROBATION 8.3 8.4 7.8 8.5 8.2 FINES 25.2 23.4 25.3 23.7 25.7 OTHER 28.6 24.8 21.5 18.8 13.7

Table 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹

These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity. 1

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

BRONX COUNTY

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ (Adult Only)

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions								
	1982 Misd & Felony Lesser	1983 Misd & Felony Lesser	1984 Misd & Felony Lesser	1985 Misd & Felony Lesser	1986 2 Misd & Felony Lesser					
CONVICTIONS	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%					
STATE PRISON	50.3 NA	48.6 NA	50.3 NA	43.8 NA	43.1 NA					
LOCAL JAIL	14.4 31.8	13.6 37.4	13.8 39.4	16.8 44.7	15.3 47.1					
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	5.0 0.3	7.0 0.3	6.7 0.3	7.2 0.3	13.4 0.2					
PROBATION	28.7 3.6	29.0 3.7	27.7 4.5	31.1 3.6	27.3 3.1					
FINES	0.4 30.2	0.6 28.5	0.6 30.1	0.2 28.8	0.2 32.5					
OTHER	1.2 34.2	1.2 30.1	0.8 25.6	0.9 22.7	0.7 17.2					
STATE PRISON LOCAL JAIL LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION PROBATION FINES	100.0% 100.0% 50.3 NA 14.4 31.8 5.0 0.3 28.7 3.6 0.4 30.2	100.0% 100.0% 48.6 NA 13.6 37.4 7.0 0.3 29.0 3.7 0.6 28.5	100.0% 100.0% 50.3 NA 13.8 39.4 6.7 0.3 27.7 4.5 0.6 30.1	100.0% 100.0% 43.8 NA 16.8 44.7 7.2 0.3 31.1 3.6 0.2 28.8	100.0% 100. 43.1 M 15.3 47 13.4 0. 27.3 3 0.2 32					

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

BRONX COUNTY

	-	Nur	nber of Cas	25		1986 Ca Per 100 Populat	,000	
	1982	1983		1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INTAKE OPENED ¹	9,521	8,844	9,773	7,617	6,249	523.5	45	-34.4%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	5,436	6,471	6,696	7,137	7,613	637.8	41	40.0%
FAMILY COURT	879	918	936	1,050	1,090	91.3	50	24.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	4,557	5,553	5,760	6,087	6,523	546.5	32	43.1%
PRE-DISPOSITION	1							
SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	1,285	1,165	1,218	1,232	1,270	106.4	17	-1.2%
FAMILY COURT	1,204	1,098	1,181	1,194	1,249	104.6	5	3.7%
CRIMINAL COURT	81	67	37	38	21	1.8	36	-74.1%
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	2,675	3,352	3,480	3,561	3,850	322.6	12	43.9%
FAMILY COURT	224	229	256	241	264	22.1	58	17.9%
CRIMINAL COURT	2,451	3,123	3,224	3,320	3,586	300.4	7	46.3%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	8,174	9,616	10,797	11,787	12,764	1,069.4	25	56.2%
FAMILY COURT	524	461	486	538	512	42.9	60	-2.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	7,650	9,155	10,311	11,249	12,252	1,026.5	7	60.2%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	6,287	7,318	8,226	8,915	9,768	818.4	7	55.4%
FAMILY COURT	255	231	297	249	277	23.2	54	8.6%
CRIMINAL COURT	6,032	7,087	7,929	8,666	9,491	795.2	7	57.3%

Table 7A PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

² The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

BRONX COUNTY

		Number	<u>of Reyoca</u>	tions		1986 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>	
	1982		1984_	1985		<u>Rate</u> <u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	437	472	689	888	1,032	80.9 1	136.2%
FAMILY COURT	12	7	22	48	19	37.1 44	58.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	425	465	667	840	1,013	82.7 1	138.4%
TECHNICAL	386	323	448	736	798	65.1 1	106.7%
NEW CONVICTION	39	142	219	104	215	17.5 32	451.3%

TABLE 7B

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

BRONX COUNTY

Table 8

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS

There are no programs in this County receiving funds from Alternative to Incarceration Programs.

	NE	EW YORK CIT	Y DEPARTME	INT OF COR	RECTION ADMIS	SIONS ¹	
		Numbe	er of Admis	sions		1986 Admissions	**************************************
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>
ADMISSIONS	*	77,910	82,033	88,889	105,901	1,458.1	NA
UNSENTENCED	*	56,536	60,810	65,167	82,004	1,129.1	NA
SENTENCED	, * ·	21,374	21,223	23,722	23,897	329.0	NA

Table 9A

¹ The New York City Department of Correction operates a city-wide corrections system for the five boroughs of New York City. There are four institutions for sentenced inmates and nine institutions for detained inmates.

* Data were not available.

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	% Change 82 vs 86
							<u> </u>	02 13 00
REPORTED CAPACITY	9,889	9,811	10,789	11,104	12,957		178.4	31.0%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	9,497	9,495	10,073	10,889	12,877		177.3	35.6%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	9,669	8,867	9,852	11,499	13,201		181.8	36.5%
UNSENTENCED	7,222	6,104	7,178	8,452	10,103		139.1	39.9%
SENTENCED	2,447	2,763	2,674	3,047	3,098	•	42.7	26.6%

Table 9B

NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION CAPACITY AND POPULATION¹

¹ See footnote in Table 8A above.

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

BRONX COUNTY

Table 10A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON¹

						1986 New Court	
	-		Number of urt Commit	ments		Committments Per 100,000 Population	
		1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> 2	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	1,393	1,693	1,610	1,612	1,998	167.4 2	43.4%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	1,051	1,239	1,202	1,124	1,260	105.6 2	19.9%
HOMICIDE	157	148	160	162	178	14.9 i	13.4%
SEX OFFENSE	44	62	78	86	89	7.5 5	102.3%
ROBBERY	561	659	665	526	633	53.0 2	12.8%
BURGLARY	90	141	133	120	153	12.8 2	70.0%
WEAPONS	131	146	101	136	124	10.4 2	-5.3%
OTHER	68	83	65	94	83	7.0 2	22.1%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	152	237	226	254	390	32.7 2	156.6%
POSSESSION	58	55	53	68	97	8.1 5	67.2%
SALE	94	182	173	186	293	24.5 2	211.7%
OTHER FELONIES	154	167	151	196	302	25.3 9	96.1%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	36	50	31	38	46	3.9 4	27.8%

¹ The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

² Preliminary data.

³ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

BRONX COUNTY

	INMALES	JNDER CUST	UUT IN STA	IE PRISUN I	JN DECEMBER	31		
		Number of Inmates Under Custody in State Prison on December 31						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	4,377	4,648	4,981	5,124	5,666	474.7	2	29.4%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	3,570	3,809	4,074	4,126	4,386	367.5	2	22.9%
HOMICIDE	858	902	949	992	1,104	92.5	2	28.7%
SEX OFFENSE	274	286	319	344	388	32.5	1	41.6%
ROBBERY	1,823	1,880	2,053	1,962	2,005	168.0	2	10.0%
BURGLARY	176	256	291	316	372	31.2	3	111.4%
WEAPONS	266	295	258	285	284	23.8	2	6.8%
OTHER	173	190	204	227	233	19.5	4	34.7%
DRUG FELONIES ³	337	437	547	594	748	62.7	2	122.0%
POSSESSION	131	139	145	162	195	16.3	4	48.9%
SALE	206	298	402	432	553	46.3	2	168.4%
OTHER FELONIES	416	343	320	353	474	39.7	8	13.9%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	54	59	40	51	58	4.9	4	7.4%

Table 10B-1

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31¹

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

² Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY $^{\rm I}$

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· .			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES					
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	15.5%	15.2%	15.0%	14.8%	14.7%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

			P	AROLE SUPERV	ISION CASES				
			Numb	er of Parole	es		Paro Per 1	86 lees 00,000 ation	<i></i>
	198	<u>2 1</u>	1983_	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	1,5	71	.967	1,936	2,147	2,287	191.6	5 1	45.6%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	4,4	31	5,155	5,720	6,345	6,563	549.8	B 1	48.1%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	3,1	88 3	3,784	4,198	4,276	4,590	384.6	5 1	44.0%

Table 11A

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numb	er of Paro	lees		1986 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Parolees</u>	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	422	424	581	804	737	61.7 10	74.6%
RULE VIOLATIONS	149	142	175	256	253	21.2 5	69,8%
NEW ARRESTS	170	162	223	229	204	17.1 38	20.0%
NEW FELONY Convictions	103	120	183	319	280	23.5 14	171.8%

 $^{1}\ {\rm The}\ {\rm total}\ {\rm number}\ {\rm of}\ {\rm parolees}\ {\rm revoked}\ {\rm and}\ {\rm returned}\ {\rm during}\ {\rm a}\ {\rm given}\ {\rm year}.$

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

			Number of Case	S		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY ARRESTS	266	242	204	207	229	-13.9%
FELONY INDICTMENTS	110	72	91	86	79	-28.2%
DISPOSITIONS	265	218	177	124	137	-48.3%
CONVICTIONS	116	88	84	89	72	-37.9%
PRISON	69	50	44	28	27	-60.9%
LOCAL JAIL	6	6	5	13	9	50.0%
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	7	7	. 8	7	5	-28.6%
PROBATION	33	22	24	38	28	-15.2%
OTHER	1	3	3	3	3	200.0%
ACQUITTALS	3	1	2	0	2	-33.3%
DISMISSALS	36	23	19	21	38	5.6%
NOT PROSECUTED	22	6	3	5	7	-68.2%
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	87	98	64	4	10	-88.5%
OTHER	4	2	5	5	8	100.0%

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

¹ A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <u>New York State Penal Law</u>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

		(Ir	nousands of Do	llars)			
	·····		Expenditures		······································	Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Dept. of Correctional Services	0.0	37.0	253.4	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	177.2	168.1	242.5	178.0	0.5%	403.8	404.6
Division of Criminal Justice Services	3,777.0	4,031.2	4,670.2	4,627.0	22.5%	4,684.8	4,843.5
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	252.3	0.0
Division for Youth ³	300.0	1,113.7	1,334.5	1,110.3	270.1%	1,524.3	1,377.8
TOTAL	4,254.2	5,350.0	6,500.5	5,915.4	39.0%	6,865.2	6,625.9

Table 1

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹ (Thousands of Dollars)

¹ This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

3 The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

BRONX COUNTY

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	111		Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Community Treatment Programs ²		37.0	253.4		NA		
TOTAL	0.0	37.0	253.4	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0

¹ Table 2 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

 2 This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING¹ (Thousands of Dollars)

		Appropriations					
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	FY_83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Victim and Witness Assistance Program	-						
Comprehensive	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.8	NA	0.0	113.5
Court Related	93.3	86.2	111.7	83.9	-10.1%	153.5	153.5
Elderly	83.9	81.9	95.4	67.4	-19.7%	132.9	137.6
Sexual Assault	0.0	0.0	35.3	0.0	NA	117.4	0.0
TOTAL	177.2	168.1	242.5	178.0	0.5%	403.8	404.6

¹ Table 3 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars) <u>Appropriations</u> Expenditures % Change Program FY 82-83 FY 83-84 FY 84-85 FY 85-86 82 vs 85 FY 86-87 FY 87-88 Asset/Forfeiture² Initiative 55.6 0.0 NA Crime Prevention³ and Protection 167.7 124.0 185.0 NA 184.0 221.0 ---Emergency Felony Case Processing Program 357.7 379.2 405.7 419.0 17.1% 427.5 427.5 Neighborhood⁴ Preservation Crime Prevention Act 102.1 229.5 95.8 NA Target Crime Initiative Program⁵ 3,419.3 3,425.9 3,794.4 3,944.5 15.4% 4.073.3 4.195.0 TOTAL 3,777.0 4,031.2 4,670.2 4,627.0 22.5% 4,684.8 4.843.5

¹ Table 4 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

² This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

 3 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁴ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

⁵ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

BRONX COUNTY

Table 4

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this borough. However, Table 5 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

ą

Į,

BRONX COUNTY

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		·····	Approp	riations
<u>Program</u>	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Classification ² Alternatives			, 	0.0	NA	252.3	0.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	Ø.O	NA	252.3	0.0

 1 Table 6 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

² This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

		LOCAL ASS	SISTANCE PROGR Dusands of Do	AM FUNDING			
			Expenditures	·		Appropr	iations
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Community-Based ³ Organizations			80.0	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	0.0	813.7	839.5	768.3	NA	990.9	1,070.9
Special Legislative Contracts	300.0	300.0	415.0	342.0	14.0%	316.0	306.9
Transitional Independent Living Support ⁴				0.0	NA	217.4	0.0
TOTAL	300.0	1,113.7	1,334.5	1,110.3	270.1%	1,524.3	1,377.8

Table 7 DIVISION FOR YOUTH

¹ Table 7 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

² The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 3 This program was implemented in FY 1984-85 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

BRONX COUNTY

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY (June 1987)

	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>	
DOCS Facilities	407	148	
DFY Facilities	100	158	
TOTAL	507	306	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditure	3	·	Approp	riations
	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
DOCS Facilities	2,252.8	3,247.6	4,207.2	4,492.2	99.4%	4,602.6	4,962.6
DFY Facilities	3,121.2	3,455.1	3,924.9	3,809.6	22.1%	4,136.8	4,390.8
TOTAL	5,374.0	6,702.7	8,132.1	8,301.8	54.5%	8,739.4	9,353.4

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

	- · · · ·	Expend	Appropriations			
	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	Total	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
DOCS Facilities	0.0	74.3	78.0	152.3	62.5	208.3
DFY Facilities	32.6	252.7	405.5	690.8	597.0	87.0
TOTAL	32.6	327.0	483.5	843.1	659.5	295.3

BRONX COUNTY

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

1	 1				Staff	
Facility		Security Level	Capacity	Total	Security	<u>Civilian</u>
Fulton		MINIMUM	407	148	80	68

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures			Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Fulton	2,252.8	3,247.6	4,207.2	4,492.2	99.4%	4,602.6	4,962.6

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

TABLE 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendit	ures		Appropi	iations
Facility	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	FY 85-86	<u>Total</u>	<u>FY 85-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Fulton	0.0	74.3	78.0	152.3	62.5	208.3

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

BRONX COUNTY

TABLE 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

Facility	Security ₁ _Level	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>
Bronx Community Residential Home	VI	20	23
Bronx Youth Development Center	V	30	50
Pyramid Residential Center	II	50	85
TOTAL		100	158

1 Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures					Appropriations		
Facility	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>		
Bronx Community Residential Home	442.9	659.0	744.3	639.4	44.4%	551.8	555.0		
Bronx Youth Development Center	803.1	899.6	1,093.0	1,041.8	29.7%	1,094.5	1,238.6		
Pyramid Residential Center	1,875.2	1,896.5	2,087.6	2,128.4	13.5%	2,490.5	2,597.2		
TOTAL	3,121.2	3,455.1	3,924.9	3,809.6	22.1%	4,136.8	4,390.8		

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

BRONX COUNTY

TABLE 3C

	DIVISION FOR YOUTH	
FACILIT	Y CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET	
	(Thousands of Dollars)	

· · · ·	Expend	<u>Appropriations</u>			
<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	<u>FY 85-86 Total FY 86-8</u>		<u>FY 87-88</u>
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	110.0	0.0
20.0	19.4	160.6	200.0	150.0	48.0
12.6	233.3	244.9	490.9	337.0	39.0
32.6	252.7	405.5	690.8	597.0	87.0
	0.0 20.0 12.6	FY 83-84 FY 84-85 0.0 0.0 20.0 19.4 12.6 233.3	0.0 0.0 0.0 20.0 19.4 160.6 12.6 233.3 244.9	FY 83-84 FY 84-85 FY 85-86 Total 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 20.0 19.4 160.6 200.0 12.6 233.3 244.9 490.9	FY 83-84 FY 84-85 FY 85-86 Tota1 FY 86-87 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 110.0 20.0 19.4 160.6 200.0 150.0 12.6 233.3 244.9 490.9 337.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

KINGS COUNTY

KINGS COUNTY

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York City Coordinator of Criminal Justice Office of the Mayor 250 Broadway New York, New York 10007 New York State Division of Parole 314 West 40th Street New York, New York 10018

New York State Police New York City Headquarters 58th Floor, Two World Trade Center New York, New York 10047

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office Municipal Building 210 Joralemon Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

Probation Department 115 Leonard Street New York, New York 10013

Legal Aid Society 8th Floor 175 Remsen Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

Assigned Counsel Plan to Indigent Defendants 210 Joralemon Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

Kings Criminal Court 120 Schermerhorn Street Brooklyn, New York 11201 Sheriff's Department Municipal Building 210 Joralemon Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

Youth Bureau 44 Court Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

New York City Department of Corrections 100 Centre Street New York, New York 10013

Supreme Court 360 Adams Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

Family Court 283 Adams Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

New York City Police Department One Police Plaza New York, New York 10038

*Population 25,000 and above.

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

KINGS COUNTY

	Region	NEW YORK CITY	
	County Seat	BROOKLYN	
	Square Miles	70	
Population Pe	rcent Change 1970-1980	-14.3%	

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

	Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
Population	2,230,936	1	100.0%	NA
Density Per Square Mile	31,870.5	2	NA	NA
Sex: Male	1,026,997	ŇA	46.0%	61
Female	1,203,939	NA	54.0%	2
Race: White	1,249,486	NA	56.0%	61
Non-White	768,959	ŇA	34.5%	1
Unknown	212,491	NA	9.5%	1
Ethnicity: Hispanic	392,118	NA	17.6%	3
Age: 9 and Under	338,188	NA	15.2%	11
10 to 15	214,568	NA	9.6%	1
16 to 18	115,344	NA	5.2%	59
19 to 24	231,473	NA	10.4%	28
25 and Over	1,331,363	NA	59.7%	21

Table 1

POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

Number	Percent	Percent Rank
1,331,363	100.0%	21
353,928	26.6%	2
246,823 429,695	18.5% 32.3%	11 58
148,512 154,408	11.2% 11.6%	61 43
	1,331,363 353,928 246,823 429,695 148,512	1,331,363 100.0% 353,928 26.6% 246,823 18.5% 429,695 32.3% 148,512 11.2%

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIDECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Table 3 POPULATION 1982-1986 Rank 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1986 Population 2,245,300 2,261,400 2,253,900 2,283,395 2,293,200 1 Density Per Square Mile 32,075.7 32,305.7 32,198.6 32,619.9 32,760.0 2

KINGS COUNTY

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

		able 4 NCOME: 1982-1984		
	1982			Income Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	9,961	10,546	11,585	24

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

	1	Table 5			
PUBLIC	HIGH SCHOOL	DROPOUTS:	1981-82	TO	1985-86

	School Year						Percent Rank
		1981-82	<u>1982-83</u>	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	1985-86	<u> 1985-86</u>
Public High School Students							
Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	14,439 13.6%	13,253 13.2%	11,648 12.3%	10,913 11.8%	7,980 8.8%	3

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table 6 UNEMPLOYMENT: 1984-1986										
		1984	<u> 1985 </u>	1986	Percent Rank 1986					
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	93,718 10,6%	88,838 9.7%	78,331 8.6%	12					

Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks NOTE: were not available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

		PUBLIC ASS	Table 7 ISTANCE: 1982	2-1986			
		1982			1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	58

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole. SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

KINGS COUNTY

				1986 C Per 10 Popula	0,000			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INDEX CRIME	196,594	180,085	172,446	171,314	180,820	8,020.8	3	-8.0%
VIOLENT	47,827	45,234	45,850	46,872	50,896	2,257.6	3	6.4%
MURDER	521	514	467	463	483	21.4	3	-7.3%
FORCIBLE RAPE	1,242	1,212	1,262	1,327	1,270	56.3	2	2.3%
ROBBERY	31,276	28,641	27,646	27,549	28,815	1,278.2	2	-7.9%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	14,788	14,867	16,475	17,533	20,328	901.7	3	37.5%
PROPERTY	148,767	134,851	126,596	124,442	129,924	5,763.1	3	-12.7%
BURGLARY	56,398	46,938	41,285	39,743	40,151	1,781.0	3	-28.8%
LARCENY	60,655	59,379	59,715	61,308	63,759	2,828.2	9	5.1%
MV THEFT	31,714	28,534	25,596	23,391	26,014	1,153.9	3	-18.0%

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

KINGS COUNTY

Table 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		Number of Arrests					1986 Arrests Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>	
	1982		1984		1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	46,191	45,706	51,477	54,927	65,070	2,837.5	6	40.9%
FELONY	26,196	27,088	30,651	31,458	36,629	1,597.3	3	39.8%
VIOLENT	14,406	14,631	16,182	15,493	17,667	770.4	3	22.6%
OTHER	11,790	12,457	14,469	15,965	18,962	826.9	3	60.8%
MISDEMEANOR	19,995	18,618	20,826	23,469	28,441	1,240.2	46	42.2%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987 (Adults Only)

 			and the second
	<u>Number</u> 1	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>	
ARRESTS	33,228	6.3%	
FELONY ²	18,834	5.7%	
VIOLENT	8,320	-2.5%	
OTHER	10,514	13.3%	
MISDEMEANOR	14,394	7.0%	

¹ Preliminary data.

 2 Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

KINGS COUNTY

	 	Numbe	r of Arrest	<u>s</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1986 Ar Per 100 Popula	,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER	374	460	358	368	397	17.3	3	6.1%
FORCIBLE RAPE	443	412	464	498	417	18.2	4	-5.9%
ROBBERY	5,443	5,450	5,948	5,704	6,774	295.4	2	24.5%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	4,467	4,601	5,499	5,493	6,384	278.4	3	42.9%
BURGLARY	4,292	4,234	4,127	3,574	4,071	177.5	4.	-5.1%
LARCENY ²	8,241	7,972	7,873	7,851	9,127	398.0	22	10.8%

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

¹ NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

² Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SALE

1,846

(Adults Only) 1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Number of Arrests Population % Change Rate 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 <u>82 vs 86</u> Rank DRUG ARRESTS 7,299 7,307 10,142 12,531 15.913 693.9 3 118.0% CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE 3,996 (PL 220) 4,654 6,922 8,704 12,969 565.5 3 224.5% POSSESSION 7,535 328.6 256.4% 2,114 2,768 4,138 5,063 3 SALE 925 4,083 178.0 341.4% 1,211 1,861 2,627 3 OTHER 957 675 923 1,014 1,351 58.9 3 41.2% MARIHUANA (PL 221) 3,303 2,653 3,220 3,827 2,944 128.4 3 -10.9% POSSESSION 1,457 1,130 1,387 1,752 1,280 55.8 5 -12.1% 72.6

Table 2D ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹

KINGS COUNTY

1 Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (Penal Law of New York State -Sections 220 and 221).

2,075

1,664

3

-9.9%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

1,833

1,523

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES (Adults Only)

		Numbe	er of Arrest	S	а 	1985 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Drivers	
	1982			1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> <u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	1,102	1,403	1,991	2,329	1,898	2.6 60	72.2%
FELONY	72	109	7	11	5	< .1 60	-93.1%
MISDEMEANOR	1,030	1,294	1,984	2,318	1,893	2.6 60	83.8%

1 Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192).

KINGS COUNTY

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Percent of Felony Indictments							
				1985		% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>			
FELONY INDICTMENTS	7,066 100.0%	7,398 100.0%	7,829 100.0%	7,751 100.0%	8,539 100.0%	20.8%			
VIOLENT	5,332 75.5%	4,824 65.2%	4,965 63.4%	4,644 59.9%	4,514 52.9%	-15.3%			
OTHER	1,734 24.5%	2,574 34.8%	2,864 36.6%	3,107 40,1%	4,025 47.1%	132.1%			

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹ (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		<u>Number</u>	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>			
FI	LONY INDICTMENTS	5,451	43.1%			
	VIOLENT	2,523	17.3%			
	OTHER	2,928	76.6%			

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

KINGS COUNTY

Table 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1 (Adults Only)

	1982	1983	1984	1985	2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	38,078 100.0%	40,533 100.0%	42,744 100.0%	45,323 100.0%	51,448 100.0%	35.1%
CONVICTIONS	22,489 59.1%	25,302 62.4%	27,003 63.2%	29,258 64.6%	32,464 63.1%	44.4%
ACQUITTALS	233 0.6%	229 0.6%	255 0.6%	345 0.8%	348 0.7%	49.4%
DISMISSALS	12,833 33.7%	12,825 31.6%	13,125 30.7%	13,129 29.0%	16,015 31.1%	24.8%
NOT PROSECUTED	2,477 6.5%	2,087 5.1%	2,245 5.3%	2,387 5.3%	2,349 4.6%	-5.2%
OTHER	46 0.1%	90 0.2%	116 0.3%	204 0.5%	272 0.5%	491.3%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

KINGS COUNTY

Table 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS 1 (Adults Only)

			Percent of Dispo from Felony Ar			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	21,585 100.0%	23.456 100.0%	25,101 100.0%	27,229 100.0%	30,100 100.0%	39.4%
CONVICTIONS	13,088 60.6%	15,215 64.9%	15,954 63.6%	17.440 64.0%	18,550 61.6%	41.7%
ACQUITTALS	220 1.0%	215 0.9%	246 1.0%	323 1.2%	324 1.1%	47.3%
DISMISSALS	6,492 30.1%	6,492 27.7%	7,015 27.9%	7,460 27.4%	9,347 31.1%	44.0%
NOT PROSECUTED	1,748 8.1%	1,467 6.3%	1,808 7.2%	1,882 6.9%	1,711 5.7%	-2.1%
OTHER	37 0.2%	67 0、3%	78 0.3%	124 0.5%	168 0.6%	354.1%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

KINGS COUNTY

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests					
	1982	1983			2 ²	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>	
CONVICTIONS	22,489 100.0%	25,302 100.0%	27,003 100.0%	29,258 100.0%	32,464 100.0%	44.4%	
FELONY	4,221 18.8%	6,029 23.8%	5,272 19.5%	5,566 19.0%	6,394 19.7%	51.5%	
VIOLENT	2,636 11,7%	3,640 14.4%	2,987 11.1%	2,953 10.1%	3,254 10.0%	23.4%	
OTHER	1,585 7.0%	2,389 9.4%	2,285 8.5%	2,613 8.9%	3,140 9.7%	98.1%	
MISDEMEANOR	10,204 45.4%	9,144 36.1%	9,746 36.1%	10,943 37.4%	11,560 35.6%	13.3%	
LESSER OFFENSES	8,064 35.9%	10,129 40.0%	11,985 44.4%	12,749 43.6%	14,510 44.7%	79.9%	

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

KINGS COUNTY

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (Adults Only)

			rcent of Convic rom Felony Arre			
	1982	1983	1984		2	% Change 82 vs 86
CONVICTIONS .	13,088 100.0%	15,215 100.0%	15,954 100.0%	17,440 100.0%	18,550 100.0%	41.7%
FELONY	4,205 32.1%	6,011 39.5%	5,251 32.9%	5,545 31.8%	6,363 34.3%	51.3%
VIOLENT	2,636 20.1%	3,640 23.9%	2,987 18.7%	2,953 16.9%	3,254 17.5%	23.4%
OTHER	1,569 12.0%	2,371 15.6%	2,264 14.2%	2,592 14.9%	3,109 16.8%	98.2%
MISDEMEANOR	5,734 43.8%	5,471 36.0%	5,862 36.7%	6,559 37.6%	6,579 35.5%	14.7%
LESSER OFFENSES	3,149 24.1%	3,733 24.5%	4,841 30.3%	5,336 30.6%	5,608 30.2%	78.1%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

		(Adults On	ly)									
		Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions										
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> 2							
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%							
STATE PRISON	9.7	12.0	9.8	9.4	10.3							
LOCAL JAIL	27.6	24.9	26.0	25.6	28.1							
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.6	4.0	3.6	4.3	4.1							
PROBATION	11.8	12.2	11.0	9.8	8.1							
FINES	23.0	23.8	26.4	27.9	21.2							
OTHER	25.3	23.0	23.3	23.0	28.2							

Table 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

KINGS COUNTY

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ (Adult Only)

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions										
	19 Felony	82 Misd & Lesser	· · · ·	983 Misd & Lesser	<u>19</u> Felony	984 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser		2 986 2 Misd & Lesser	
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
STATE PRISON	51.7	NA	50.4	NA	50.0	NA	49.4	NA	52.3	NA	
LOCAL JAIL	12.7	31.0	10.6	29.4	8.7	30.2	8.7	29.6	9.7	32.6	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	9.6	1.0	14.3	0.8	14.9	0.8	19.0	0.8	17.1	0.9	
PROBATION	24.4	8.9	23.3	8.8	24.9	7.6	21.3	7.1	19.8	5.2	
FINES	0.6	28.2	0.9	31.0	0.7	32.6	1.0	34.2	0.8	26.2	
OTHER	1.0	30.9	0.6	30.0	0.8	28.8	0.6	28.2	0.4	35.1	

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

KINGS COUNTY

		Nur	nber of Case	: :S	: :	1986 Ca Per 100 _Populat	,000	
	1982	1983	1984		1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INTAKE OPENED ¹	15,899	13,362	16,987	13,896	10,172	443.6	48	-36.0%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	10,723	10,985	11,628	11,912	12,540	546.8	51	16.9%
FAMILY COURT	1,497	1,410	1,132	1,414	1,615	70.4	58	7.9%
CRIMINAL COURT	9,226	9,575	10,496	10,498	10,925	476.4	41	18.4%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	2,460	2,098	1,397	1,565	1,894	82.6	19	-23.0%
FAMILY COURT	2,453	2,086	1,397	1,427	1,798	78.4	7	-26.7%
CRIMINAL COURT	7	12	0	138	96	4.2	31	1,271.4%
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	5,180	6,183	5,712	5,650	5,658	246.7	44	9.2%
FAMILY COURT	630	548	458	453	628	27.4	53	-0.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	4,550	5,635	5,254	5,197	5,030	219.3	32	10.5%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	15,940	16,907	18,989	20,796	22,412	977.3	49	40.6%
FAMILY COURT	1,239	1,066	959	912	1,068	46.6	58	-13.8%
CRIMINAL COURT	14,701	15,841	18,030	19,884	21,344	930.8	32	45.2%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	10,759	13,272	15,146	16,773	17,063	744.1	9	58.6%
FAMILY COURT	553	496	459	459	558	24.3	52	0.9%
CRIMINAL COURT	10,206	12,776	14,687	16,314	16,505	719.7	8	61.7%

Table 7A

PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

 $^{1}% \left[1\right] =0$ Intake occurs only in family court.

² The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Probationers Number of Revocations % Change Rate 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 82 vs 86 <u>Rank</u> REVOCATIONS 664 635 735 767 1,158 51.7 10 74.4% FAMILY COURT 9 11 11 12 13 12.2 58 44.4% CRIMINAL COURT 655 624 755 1,145 9 74.8% 724 53.6 TECHNICAL 45 66 147 146 277 13.0 41 515.6% NEW CONVICTION 610 558 577 609 868 40.7 4 42.3%

TABLE 78

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

KINGS COUNTY

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table 88

PRETRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 8C

COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM: 1986

	Number	<u>Percent</u>
CASES SENTENCED	229	NA
CASES DISCHARGED	177	100.0%
SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME	118	66.7%

Table 8D

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 8E

SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

KINGS COUNTY

Table 9A

NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION ADMISSIONS¹

		Numbe	r of Admis	sions	1986 Admissions		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ADMISSIONS	*	77,910	82,033	88,889	105,901	1,458.1	NA
UNSENTENCED	*	56,536	60,810	65,167	82,004	1,129.1	NA
SENTENCED	*	21,374	21,223	23,722	23,897	329.0	NA

¹ The New York City Department of Correction operates a city-wide corrections system for the five boroughs of New York City. There are four institutions for sentenced inmates and nine institutions for detained inmates.

* Data were not available.

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B

NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION CAPACITY AND POPULATION¹

	1982		1984	1985		1986 Inmates Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	9,889	9,811	10,789	11,104	12,957	178.4	31.0%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	9,497	9,495	10,073	10,889	12,877	177.3	35.6%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	9,669	8,867	9,852	11,499	13,201	181.8	36.5%
UNSENTENCED	7,222	6,104	7,178	8,452	10,103	139.1	39.9%
SENTENCED	2,447	2,763	2,674	3,047	3,098	42.7	26.6%

 $^{1}\ {\rm See}\ {\rm footnote}\ {\rm in}\ {\rm Table}\ {\rm 8A}\ {\rm above}.$

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

KINGS COUNTY

		NEW COUR	T COMMITME	NTS TO STA	TE PRISON ¹			
			Number of urt Commit:	nents		 198 New C Committ Per 10 Popula	ourt ments 0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	<u>Rate</u>	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	1,926	2,468	2,043	2,118	2,788	121.6	3	44.8%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	1,502	1,803	1,371	1,347	1,724	75.2	3	14.8%
HOMICIDE	260	231	260	228	274	11.9	3	5.4%
SEX OFFENSE	63	72	51	43	94	4.1	17	49.2%
ROBBERY	817	1,015	686	678	865	37.7	3	5.9%
BURGLARY	153	243	177	180	250	10.9	6	63.4%
WEAPONS	150	177	136	144	174	7.6	3	16.0%
OTHER	59	65	61	74	67	2.9	14	13.6%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	187	256	302	434	562	24.5	3	200.5%
POSSESSION	32	31	58	94	119	5.2	8	271.9%
SALE	155	225	244	340	443	19.3	3 1	185.8%
OTHER FELONIES	200	371	328	306	452	19.7	17	126.0%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	37	38	42	31	50	2.2	8	35.1%

Table 10A

 1 The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

² Preliminary data.

 3 New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

DRUG FELONIES³

POSSESSION

OTHER FELONIES

YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS

SALE

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31¹ Inmates Under Cust. on 12/31/86 Number of Inmates Under Custody Per 100.000 in State Prison on December 31 Population % Change 82 vs 86 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 Rate Rank UNDER CUSTODY 5,156 5.879 6.228 6.468 7,339 320.0 3 42.3% VIOLENT FELONIES² 4,249 4,786 4,904 4,980 5,462 238.2 3 28.5% HOMICIDE 1,707 3 1,168 1,306 1,432 1,517 74.4 46.1% 315 SEX OFFENSE 247 278 278 270 13.7 16 27.5% ROBBERY 2,110 2,294 2.234 2.189 2.356 102.7 3 11.7% BURGLARY 254 402 441 449 526 22.9 6 107.1% WEAPONS 285 329 339 357 360 15.7 26.3% 3 OTHER 185 198 198 12 7.0% 177 180 8.6

793

173

620

643

52

1.056

230

826

760

61

46.0

10.0

36.0

33.1

2.7

3

8

3

14

9

155.1%

198.7%

145.1%

74.3%

7.0%

Table 10B-1

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

475

75

400

568

50

414

77

337

436

57

² Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

599

111

488

660

65

³ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY $^{\rm I}$

	:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	18.3%	19.2%	18.7%	18.6%	19.1%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

		Numbe	er of Parole	es		1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985		<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	1,682	2,207	2,258	2,377	2,703	117.9 3	60.7%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION	4,569	5,471	6,233	6,866	7,324	319.4 3	60.3%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	3,264	3,975	4,489	4,621	5,020	218.9 3	53.8%

Table 11A PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numb	er of Parol		1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	423	439	606	719	767	33.4 20	81.3%
RULE VIOLATIONS	150	141	211	231	247	10.8 7	64.7%
NEW ARRESTS	200	167	198	194	193	8.4 43	-3.5%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	, 73	131	197	294	327	14.3 12	347.9%

 $^{1}\,$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

	ARR	JUVENILI ESTS, INDICTMEN	E OFFENDERS NTS, AND DISPOS	ITIONS		
	·		lumber of Cases			
		1983		1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY ARRESTS	523	451	507	450	480	-8.2%
FELONY INDICTMENTS	212	146	123	154	126	-40.6%
DISPOSITIONS	524	454	497	372	421	-19.7%
CONVICTIONS	169	179	126	92	138	-18.3%
PRISON	82	73	44	34	40	-51.2%
LOCAL JAIL	8	10	10	11	12	50.0%
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	9	9	21	16	28	211.1%
PROBATION	62	70	38	28	54	-12.9%
OTHER	8	17	13	3	. 4	-50.0%
ACQUITTALS	1	0	0	4	2	100.0%
DISMISSALS	76	58	55	55	89	17.1%
NOT PROSECUTED	66	27	39	28	35	-47.0%
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	212	191	280	192	153	-27.8%
OTHER	3	1	0	0	5	66.7%

Table 12

WIVENTLE OFFENDEDS

¹ A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <u>New York State Penal Law</u>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹ (Thousands of Dollars) Expenditures Appropriations % Change FY 82-83 FY 83-84 FY 84-85 FY 85-86 82 vs 85 <u>FY 87-88</u> Program FY 86-87 Crime Victims Board 54.4 65.0 169.1 106.8 96.4% 321.1 292.7 Division of Criminal Justice Services 4,688.0 5.579.2 6,038.1 6.136.8 30.9% 7.038.4 6,556.4 Division of Probation² and Correctional Alternatives 0.0 0.0 110.3 0.0 NA 263.9 0.0 Division for Youth³ 325.0 1,595.3 2,043.5 1,833.1 464.0% 3,255.0 3,106.2 TOTAL 5,067.4 7,239.5 8.361.1 8,076.7 59.4% 10.878.4 9,955.3

Table 1

¹ This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

KINGS COUNTY

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

KINGS COUNTY

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 2 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services only in this borough. However, Table 2 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING¹ (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		4	Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
Victim and Witness Assistance Program								
Comprehensive	0.0	0.0	74.5	42.9	NA	127.6	165.4	
Court Related	54.4	65.0	94.6	63.9	17.5%	127.4	127.4	
Domestic Violence	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	66.1	0.0	
TOTAL	54.4	65.0	169.1	106.8	96.4%	321.1	292.7	

¹ Table 3 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

KINGS COUNTY

Table 4

		LOCAL AS	DF CRIMINAL JU SSISTANCE PROG nousands of Dc	GRAM FUNDING ¹	ES .		
		-	Expenditures	:		Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Asset/Forfeiture ² Initiative		· · · · ·	55.2	0.0	NA		
Crime Prevention ³ and Protection		595.0	385.0	476.8	NA	897.0	672.0
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	748.7	793.6	849.2	876.2	17.0%	894.7	894.7
Neighborhood ⁴ Preservation Crime Prevention Act	 	151.2	274.8	137.0	NA		
NYC School Security ⁵ Officer Program					NA	415.0	0.0
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁶	3,939.3	4,039.4	4,474.0	4,646.8	18.0%	4,831.6	4,989.7
TOTAL	4,688.0	5,579.2	6,038.1	6,136.8	30.9%	7,038.4	6,556.4

¹ Table 4 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

² This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

 3 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁴ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

⁵ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

⁶ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

KINGS COUNTY

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this borough. However, Table 5 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

KINGS COUNTY

Table 6

		LOCAL AS (Th	SISTANCE PROG ousands of Do	AM FUNDING ¹ llars)			
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY_87-88</u>
Classification ² Alternatives				0.0	NA	133.5	0.0
Demonstration Projects	0.0	0.0	110.3	0.0	NA	130.4	0.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	110.3	0.0	NA	263.9	0.0

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES

 1 Table 6 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

 $^{\rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING¹ (Thousands of Dollars)

	Martin 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 199		Expenditures		· ·	Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY_85-86</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Community-Based ³ Organizations			89.8	155.3	NA	157.0	157.0
Community Care ⁴ Initiative		· · · · · ·		. •••	NA	181.3	181.3
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	0.0	1,478.3	1,669.7	1,198.8	NA	1,639.4	1,901.3
Special Legislative Contracts	325.0	117.0	284.0	479.0	47,4%	893.3	866.6
Transitional Independent Living Support ⁵		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		0.0	NA	384.0	0.0
TOTAL	325.0	1,595.3	2,043.5	1,833.1	464.0%	3,255.0	3,106.2

¹ Table 7 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

² The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 3 This program was implemented in FY 1984-85 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 4 This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

⁵ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

KINGS COUNTY

TABLE 1A CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY

	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
DOCS Facilities		 , ·	
DFY Facilities	104	160	
TOTAL	104	160	
	······		

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

5			Expenditures	S	·	Approp	iations
	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
DOCS Facilities					0.0%		
DFY Facilities	3,676.1	4,191.0	4,773.5	4,469.8	21.6%	4,379.6	4,633.6
TOTAL	3,676.1	4,191.0	4,773.5	4,469.8	21.6%	4,379.6	4,633.6

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi	tures		Appropr	iations
	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	Total	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
DOCS Facilities			. 			· · · ·
DFY Facilities	208.0	124.2	41.9	374.1	124.0	160.0
TOTAL	208.0	124.2	41.9	374.1	124.0	160.0

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

KINGS COUNTY

TABLE 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

<u>Facility</u>	Security ₁ Level	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>
Bedford Stuyvesant Youth Development Center	V.	32	43
Brooklyn Community Residential Home	VI	20	23
Brooklyn Youth Development Center	V	25	40
Ella McQueen Residential Center	II	27	54
TOTAL		104	160

1 Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		Appropriat		
<u>Facility</u>	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Bedford Stuyvesant Youth Development Center	1,004.6	1,202.9	1,404.3	1,251.5	24.6%	1,184.2	1,297.3
Brooklyn Community Residential Home	381.4	549.1	607.8	578.5	51.7%	525.1	537.1
Brooklyn Youth Development Center	757.6	949.4	1,103.3	1,012.3	33.6%	961.3	1,156.7
Ella McQueen Residential Center	1,532.5	1,489.6	1,658.1	1,627.5	6.2%	1,709.0	1,642.5
TOTAL	3,676.1	4,191.0	4,773.5	4,469.8	21.6%	4,379.6	4,633.6

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

KINGS COUNTY

TABLE 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures			Appropr	iations
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u> <u>FY 85-86</u>		FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Bedford Stuyvesant						
Youth Development Center	8.0	12.2	20.1	40.3	4.0	160.0
Brooklyn Community Residential Home	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.0	0.0
Brooklyn Youth Development Center	57.5	33.0	11.2	101.7	50.0	0.0
Ella McQueen Residential Center	142.5	79.0	10.6	232.1	49.0	0.0
TOTAL	208.0	124.2	41.9	374.1	124.0	160.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

NOTE: There are no Department of Correctional Services facilities located in this County.

NEW YORK COUNTY

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

NEW YORK COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York City Coordinator of Criminal Justice Office of the Mayor 250 Broadway New York, New York 10007 New York State Division of Parole 314 West 40th Street New York, New York 10018

New York State Police New York City Headquarters 58th Floor, Two World Trade Center New York, New York 10047

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office 1 Hogan Place New York, New York 10013

Probation Department 115 Leonard Street New York, New York 10013

Legal Aid Society 15 Park Row New York, New York 10038

Legal Aid Society Manhattan Office 80 Lafayette Street New York, New York 10018

Supreme Court 60 Centre Street New York, New York 10013 Sheriff's Department 31 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007

Youth Bureau 44 Court Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

New York City Department of Correction 100 Centre Street

Assigned Counsel Plan for First Department 41 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10010

New York Criminal Court 100 Centre Street New York, New York 10013

Family Court 60 Lafayette Street New York, New York 10013

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

New York City Police Department One Police Plaza New York, New York 10038

*Population 25,000 and above.

RegionNEW YORK CITYCounty SeatNEW YORK CITYSquare Miles22Population Percent Change 1970-1980-7.2%

SOURCE: <u>New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84</u>.

		Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
	Population	1,428,285	3	100.0%	NA
Density Pe	r Square Mile	64,922.0	1	NA	NA
Sex:	Male Female	669,704 758,581	NA NA	46.9% 53.1%	59 4
Race:	White Non-White Unknown	841,204 385,774 201,307	NA NA NA	58.9% 27.0% 14.1%	60 3 2
Ethnici	ty: Hispanic	336,247	NĂ	23.5%	2
Age:	9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	131,839 87,884 51,268 145,040 1,012,254	NA NA NA NA	9.2% 6.2% 3.6% 10.2% 70.9%	62 7 62 30 1

Table 1

POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	1,012,254	100.0%	1
8th Grade or Less	203,749	20.1%	8
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	120,401 210,765	11.9% 20.8%	57 62
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	142,211 336,370	14.0% 33.2%	42 2

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		P0					
	1982	1983		1985	1986	· · · ·	Rank 1986
Population	1,426,100	1,436,300	1,456,100	1,479,775	1,478,000		3
Density Per Square Mile	64,822.7	65,286.4	66,186.4	67,262.5	67,181.8		1

NEW YORK COUNTY

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

		Table 4 INCOME: 1982-1984		
		1983	1984	Income Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	17,154	18,576	20,424	2

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

	PUBLIC HIG	H SCHOOL DROF	<u>OUTS: 1981-</u>	<u>82 TO 1985-</u>	86		
				School Year			Percent Rank
		1981-82	<u>1982-83</u>	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	<u>1985-86</u>	1985-86
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	8,943 14.4%	8,722 14.7%	14,250 24.9%	10,556 18.4%	8,456 14.7%	1

Table 5

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

	UNEMPLO	Table 6 YMENT: 1984-19	86		
		1984	1985_	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	62,068 8.4%	58,240 7.5%	53,922 7.0%	34

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.	
----------------------------------	--

Table 7 PUBLIC ASSISTANCE: 1982-1986											
			1983	1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986				
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	58				

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole. SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

NEW YORK COUNTY

		Number of Crimes				1986 C Per 10 	N 01	
	1982	1983	1984	1985_		<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>
INDEX CRIME	224,861	204,988	194,448	198,671	208,644	14,315.6	.1	-7.2%
VIOLENT	44,292	38,991	37,799	38,749	39,331	2,698.6	. 1	-11.2%
MURDER	498	509	401	369	435	29.8	2	-12.7%
FORCIBLE RAPE	877	969	1,066	982	906	62.2	1	3.3%
ROBBERY	31,785	26,334	24,126	24,686	23,877	1,638.3	1	-24.9%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	11,132	11,179	12,206	12,712	14,113	968.3	2	26.8%
PROPERTY	180,569	165,997	156,649	159,922	169,313	11,617.0	1	-6.2%
BURGLARY	44,547	37,076	33,135	32,364	31,563	2,165.6	1	-29.1%
LARCENY	117,335	112,309	108,224	113,877	122,851	8,429.1	1 1	4.7%
MV THEFT	18,687	16,612	15,290	13,681	14,899	1,022.3	5	-20.3%

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

0001101

NEW YORK COUNTY

1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Number of Arrests Population % Change 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 Rate Rank 82 vs 86 ARRESTS 85,941 93,871 98,935 32.3% 105,317 113,718 7,694.0 1 FELONY 35,924 35,940 39,304 37,255 2,820.4 16.0% 41,685 1 VIOLENT 13,194 13,182 14,237 13,670 981.8 10.0% 14,511 1 OTHER 22,730 22,758 23,585 25,067 27,174 1,838.6 1 19.6% MISDEMEANOR 50,017 57,931 72,033 -59,631 68,062 4,873.7 1 44.0%

Table 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services. Arrests and Processing Trends File.

				FELONY AND MISDEME	ANOR ARRESTS: J/ (Adults Only)	ANUARY THRU JUNE 1987		
 	;		·		<u>Number</u> 1	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>	:	
				ARRESTS	56,633	-1.3%		
				FELONY ²	19,998	-5.2%		
				VIOLENT	6,877	-1.8%		
		•		OTHER	13,121	-6.8%		
				MISDEMEANOR	36,635	0.9%		

TABLE 2B

¹ Preliminary data.

² Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

NEW YORK COUNTY

		Numbe	er of Arrest	<u>s</u>		1986 Ar Per 100 Popula	,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER	339	322	302	265	280	18.9	2	-17.4%
FORCIBLE RAPE	321	327	357	359	302	20.4	2	-5.9%
ROBBERY	6,069	5,839	6,024	6,010	6,739	456.0	1	11.0%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	4,183	4,304	5,204	5,133	5,456	369.1	1.	30.4%
BURGLARY	3,334	2,907	2,786	2,607	3,110	210.4	1	-6.7%
LARCENY ²	14,426	15,510	14,906	14,953	16,767	1,134.4	1	16.2%

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES

(Adults Only)

¹ NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

² Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK COUNTY

		· · ·	1	1986 Ar Per 100				
	1982	Num	ber of Arres	1985	1986	<u> Popula</u> <u> Rate </u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	20,241	24,516	31,118	32,361	38,823	2,626.7	1	91.8%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	16,756	19,715	24,526	24,125	33,152	2,243.0	1	97.9%
POSSESSION	7,626	11,944	15,071	16,312	23,301	1,576.5	1	205.5%
SALE	5,123	5,657	7,091	5,862	7,809	528.3	1	52.4%
OTHER	4,007	2,114	2,364	1,951	2,042	138.2	1	-49.0%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	3,485	4,801	6,592	8,236	5,671	383.7	1	62.7%
POSSESSION	1,302	1,404	2,349	3,438	2,278	154.1	1	75.0%
SALE	2,183	3,397	4,243	4,798	3,393	229.6	1	55.4%

Table 2D ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> -Sections 220 and 221).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

			Numbe	r of Arrests	5		1986 Arrests Per 1,000 Licensed Drivers		
		1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>	
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)		661	1,139	1,413	1,408	1,417	3.1 59	114.4%	
FELONY		132	149	24	29	21	< .1 57	-84.1%	
MISDEMEANOR		529	990	1,389	1,379	1,396	3.1 59	163.9%	

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law:</u> <u>State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS ¹	
(Adult and Juvenile Offenders)	·

	·	Number and Percent of Felony Indictments						
	1982			1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	7,687 7,441 100.0% 100.0%		7,340 100.0%	6,939 100.0%	7.087 100.0%	-7.8%		
VIOLENT	5,450 70.9%	5,090 68.4%	4,795 65.3%	4,416 63.6%	4,202 59.3%	-22.9%		
OTHER	2,237 29.1%	2,351 31.6%	2,545 34.7%	2,523 36.4%	2,885 40.7%	29.0%		

¹ Felony indictments reported for New York County include those prosecuted by the District Attorney and the Special Narcotics Prosecutor.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS¹ : JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987² (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	_Number	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>	
FELONY INDICTMENTS	3,443	0.5%	
VIOLENT	1,919	-6.9%	
OTHER	1,524	11.6%	

¹ See footnote in Table 3A above.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

NEW YORK COUNTY

Table 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS¹ (Adults Only)

	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	74,593 100.0%	89,085 100.0%	88,727 100.0%	99,895 100.0%	101,019 100.0%	35.4%
CONVICTIONS	52,729 70.7%	64,170 72.0%	62,566 70.5%	69,281 69,4%	67,603 66.9%	28.2%
ACQUITTALS	373 0.5%	441 0.5%	460 0.5%	375 0.4%	401 0.4%	7.5%
DISMISSALS	17,753 23.8%	20,147 22.6%	22,148 25.0%	26,566 26.6%	30,519 30.2%	71.9%
NOT PROSECUTED	2,659 3.6%	2,435 2.7%	1,887 2.1%	2,225 2.2%	1,667 1.7%	-37.3%
OTHER	1,079 1.4%	1,892 2.1%	1,666 1.9%	1,448 1.4%	829 0.8%	-23.2%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

NEW YORK COUNTY

Table 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹ (Adults Only)

		Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests						
	1982	1983	1984		<u>1986</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>		
DISPOSITIONS	32,660 100.0%	34,613 100.0%	35,195 100.0%	36,138 100.0%	36,364 100.0%	11.3%		
CONVICTIONS	20,842 63.8%	22,489 65.0%	21,852 62.1%	21,778 60.3%	20,399 56.1%	-2.1%		
ACOUITTALS	314 1.0%	340 1.0%	367 1.0%	318 0.9%	312 0.9%	-0.6%		
DISMISSALS	10,462 32.0%	10,711 30.9%	11,792 33.5%	13,172 36.4%	14,667 40.3%	40.2%		
NOT PROSECUTED	899 2.8%	905 2.6%	967 2.7%	647 1.8%	758 2.1%	-15.7%		
OTHER	143 0.4%	168 0.5%	217 0.6%	223 0.6%	228 0.6%	59.4%		

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

NEW YORK COUNTY

. .

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

Number and Percent of Convictions Resulting from Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests							
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>	
CONVICTIONS	52,729 100.0%	64,170 100.0%	62,566 100.0%	69,281 100.0%	67,603 100.0%	28.2%	
FELONY	6,024 11.4%	7,483 11.7%	7,649 12.2%	7,499 10.8%	8,146 12.0%	35.2%	
VIOLENT	3,031 5.7%	3,635 5.7%	3,365 5.4%	3,250 4.7%	3,031 4.5%	0.0%	
OTHER	2,993 5.7%	3,848 6.0%	4,284 6.8%	4,249 6.1%	5,115 7.6%	70.9%	
MISDEMEANOR	33,339 63.2%	39,304 61.2%	38,109 60.9%	41,667 60.1%	40,817 60.4%	22.4%	
LESSER OFFENSES	13,366 25.3%	17,383 27.1%	16,808 26.9%	20,115 29.0%	18,640 27.6%	39.5%	

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

NEW YORK COUNTY

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (Adults Only)

	1982		1984		2 ²	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>
CONVICTIONS	20,842 100.0%	22,489 100.0%	21,852 100.0%	21,778 100.0%	20,399 100.0%	-2.1%
FELONY	5,995 28.8%	7,445 33.1%	7,598 34.8%	7,458 34.2%	8,097 39.7%	35.1%
VIOLENT	3,031 14.5%	3,635 16.2%	3,365 15.4%	3,250 14.9%	3,031 14.9%	0.0%
OTHER	2,964 14.2%	3,810 16.9%	4,233 19.4%	4,208 19.3%	5,066 24.8%	70.9%
MISDEMEANOR	10,951 52.5%	11,135 49.5%	10,072 46.1%	9,850 45.2%	8,970 44.0%	-18.1%
LESSER OFFENSES	3,896 18.7%	3,909 17.4%	4,182 19.1%	4,470 20.5%	3,332 16.3%	-14.5%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS ⁺ (Adults Only)										
		Sentences as	a Percent of Total C	onvictions						
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2					
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					
STATE PRISON	5.7	5.9	6.1	5.2	5.9					
LOCAL JAIL	47.1	45.3	54.1	57.5	62.3					
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	0.7	0.8	1.0	0.8	1.1					
PROBATION	7.0	6.2	5.9	4.6	4.7					
FINES	9.5	12.5	9.8	9.1	7.7					
OTHER	30.0	29.3	23.1	22.7	18,4					

Table 6A

CENT OF TOTAL CONVECTORS

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

NEW YORK COUNTY

NEW YORK COUNTY

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ (Adult Only)

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
	1982 Misd & Felony Lesser	1983 Misd & Felony Lesser	<u>1984</u> Misd & Felony Lesser	1985 Misd & Felony Lesser	1986 ² Misd & Felony Lesser					
CONVICTIONS	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%	100.0% 100.0%					
STATE PRISON	50.1 NA	51.0 NA	49.8 NA	48.3 NA	48.9 NA					
LOCAL JAIL	17.7 50.9	17.6 48.9	19.1 59.0	20.8 62.0	20.2 68.0					
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	3.7 0.3	4.6 0.3	5.6 0.3	6.3 0.1	7.9 0.2					
PROBATION	27.4 4.4	25.2 3.7	24.1 3.4	22.9 2.4	21.8 2.4					
FINES	0.3 10.6	0.5 14.0	0.3 11.2	0.3 10.2	0.3 8.7					
OTHER	0.8 33.8	1.2 33.0	1.0 26.1	1.2 25.3	1.0 20.8					

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

NEW YORK COUNTY

		Nur	nber of Cas		1986 Cases Per 100,000 Population			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Chang <u>82 vs 8</u>
INTAKE OPENED ¹	6,376	5,886	5,536	5,949	4,135	279.8	58	-35.1%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	12,588	14,844	14,101	12,305	14,047	950.4	15	11.6%
FAMILY COURT	803	817	772	735	718	48.6	61	-10.6%
CRIMINAL COURT	11,785	14,027	13,329	11,570	13,329	901.8	10	13.1%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION		1 707		1 470	1 150			10.00
CASES RECEIVED	1,429	1,797	1,604	1,470	1,158	78.3	20	-19.0%
FAMILY COURT	809	897	776	785	699	47.3	11	-13.6%
CRIMINAL COURT	620	900	828	685	459	31.1	23	-26.0%
PROBATION SUPERVISION							· ·	
CASES RECEIVED	2,929	3,522	3,428	3,067	3,154	213.4	52	7.7%
FAMILY COURT	139	216	195	271	251	17.0	60	80.6%
CRIMINAL COURT	2,790	3,306	3,233	2,796	2,903	196.4	40	4.1%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	9,291	10,630	12,049	12,573	13,023	881.1	54	40.2%
FAMILY COURT	332	372	509	563	551	37.3	62	66.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	8,959	10,258	11,540	12,010	12,472	843.8	40	39.2%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON						•		
DECEMBER 31	7,129	8,614	9,510	9,869	10,144	686.3	16	42.3%
FAMILY COURT	177	307	296	300	188	12.7	61	6.2%
CRIMINAL COURT	6,952	8,307	9,214	9,569	9,956	673.6	. 11	43.2%

Table 7A PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

² The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

NEW YORK COUNTY

TABLE 7B

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

		Number	of Revoca	tions		1986 Revocations Per 1,000 <u>Probationers</u>		· · · · ·
	1982	1983		1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOCATIONS	497	520	701	782	676	51.9	7	36.0%
FAMILY COURT	20	20	20	20	22	39.9	38	10.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	477	500	681	762	654	52.4	10	37.1%
TECHNICAL	130	159	182	233	172	13.8	39	32.3%
NEW CONVICTION	347	341	499	529	482	38.6	6	38.9%

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

NEW YORK COUNTY

Table 8

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS

There are no programs in this County receiving funds from Alternative to Incarceration Programs.

NEW YORK COUNTY

Table 9A

NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION ADMISSIONS¹

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Numbe	r of Admis	sions	1986 Admissions		
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,000 Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ADMISSIONS	*	77,910	82,033	88,889	105,901	1,458.1	NA
UNSENTENCED	*	56,536	60,810	65,167	82,004	1,129.1	NA
SENTENCED	*	21,374	21,223	23,722	23,897	329.0	NA

¹ The New York City Department of Correction operates a city-wide corrections system for the five boroughs of New York City. There are four institutions for sentenced inmates and nine institutions for detained inmates.

* Data were not available.

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

Table 9B

NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION CAPACITY AND POPULATION¹

					1986 Inmates	% Change	
	1982	1983		1985	1986	Population	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REPORTED CAPACITY	9,889	9,811	10,789	11,104	12,957	178.4	31.0%
AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION	9,497	9,495	10,073	10,889	12,877	177.3	35.6%
DECEMBER 31 POPULATION	9,669	8,867	9,852	11,499	13,201	181.8	36.5%
UNSENTENCED	7,222	6,104	7,178	8,452	10,103	139.1	39.9%
SENTENCED	2,447	2,763	2,674	3,047	3,098	42.7	26.6%

¹ See footnote in Table 8A above.

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

NEW YORK COUNTY

		NEW COUR	T COMMITME	NTS TO STA	TE PRISON ¹				
		Number of New Court Commitments					36 Court Iments 10,000 Ition		
		1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>	
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	2,518	3,361	3,434	3,399	4,110	278.1	1	63.2%	
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	1,596	2,083	1,938	1,857	1,983	134.2	1	24.2%	
HOMICIDE	190	176	194	181	203	13.7	2	6.8%	
SEX OFFENSE	31	44	48	62	46	3.1	26	48.4%	
ROBBERY	980	1,259	1,108	1,018	1,145	77.5	1	16.8%	
BURGLARY	132	247	228	222	237	16.0	1	79.5%	
WEAPONS	171	241	243	227	200	13.5	1	17.0%	
OTHER	92	116	117	147	152	10.3	1	65.2%	
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	417	549	706	760	1,143	77.3	1	174.1%	
POSSESSION	102	123	177	175	298	20.2	1	192.2%	
SALE	315	426	529	585	845	57.2	1	168.3%	
OTHER FELONIES	477	686	744	731	921	62.3	2	93.1%	
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	28	43	46	51	63	4.3	3	125.0%	

Table 10A

 1 The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

² Preliminary data.

³ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NEW YORK COUNTY

			nmates Und ison on De	Inma Under on 12/ Per 10 Popula	° 01			
	1982		1984		1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	6,825	7,708	8,732	9,154	10,107	683.8	1	48.1%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	4,712	5,358	5,826	6,015	6,345	429.3	1	34.7%
HOMICIDE	1,081	1,143	1,225	1,273	1,415	95.7	1	30.9%
SEX OFFENSE	186	196	203	229	246	16.6	12	32.3%
ROBBERY	2,550	2,914	3,071	3,065	3,251	220.0	1	27.5%
BURGLARY	251	412	513	571	591	40.0	1	135.5%
WEAPONS	390	429	510	535	488	33.0	1	25.1%
OTHER	254	264	304	342	354	24.0	1	39.4%
DRUG FELONIES ³	972	1,163	1,485	1,732	2,168	146.7	1	123.0%
POSSESSION	223	264	337	385	516	34.9	1	131.4%
SALE	749	899	1,148	1,347	1,652	111.8	1	120.6%
OTHER FELONIES	1,076	1,136	1,351	1,334	1,510	102.2	1	40.3%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	65	51	70	73	84	5.7	3	29.2%

Table 108-1

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31¹

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

² Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY $^{\rm I}$

			· · · · ·		
DEDOENT OF INMATES	1982	1983	1984		1986
PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	24.2%	25.2%	26.2%	26.4%	26.3%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

	1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Number of Parolees Population								
1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>	
1,357	1,467	1,422	1,669	1,917		129.7	2	41.3%	
3,819	4,024	4,138	4,546	4,954		335.2	2	29.7%	
2,557	2,716	2,877	3,037	3,331		225.4	2	30.3%	
	1,357 3,819	<u>1982</u> <u>1983</u> 1,357 1,467 3,819 4,024	<u>1982</u> <u>1983</u> <u>1984</u> 1,357 1,467 1,422 3,819 4,024 4,138	<u>1982</u> <u>1983</u> <u>1984</u> <u>1985</u> 1,357 1,467 1,422 1,669 3,819 4,024 4,138 4,546	<u>1982</u> <u>1983</u> <u>1984</u> <u>1985</u> <u>1986</u> 1,357 1,467 1,422 1,669 1,917 3,819 4,024 4,138 4,546 4,954	<u>1982</u> <u>1983</u> <u>1984</u> <u>1985</u> <u>1986</u> 1,357 1,467 1,422 1,669 1,917 3,819 4,024 4,138 4,546 4,954	Parol Parol Number of Parolees Popula 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 Rate 1,357 1,467 1,422 1,669 1,917 129.7 3,819 4,024 4,138 4,546 4,954 335.2	Number of Parolees Parolees Per 100,000 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 Rate Rark 1,357 1,467 1,422 1,669 1,917 129.7 2 3,819 4,024 4,138 4,546 4,954 335.2 2	

Table 11A PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 118

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numbo	er of Parole	<u>ees</u>		·	198 Revoca Per 1 Parol	tions ,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986		<u>Rate</u>	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	549	492	511	616	709		48.0	7	29.1%
RULE VIOLATIONS	238	215	188	204	283		19.1	2	18.9%
NEW ARRESTS	230	162	170	170	156		10.6	36	-32.2%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	81	115	153	242	270		18.3	5	233.3%

 $^{1}\,$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

NEW YORK COUNTY

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

		Number of Cases							
	1982	1983		1985_	1986	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>			
FELONY ARRESTS	305	271	234	259	222	-27.2%			
FELONY INDICTMENTS	153	123	96	96	85	-44.4%			
DISPOSITIONS	314	255	213	246	222	-29.3%			
CONVICTIONS	126	130	87	87	87	-31.0%			
PRISON	54	65	34	46	34	- 37.0%			
LOCAL JAIL	9	14	3	11	2	-77.8%			
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	1	4	0	1	3	200.0%			
PROBATION	59	45	43	26	48	-18.6%			
OTHER	3	2	7	3	Ö	-100.0%			
ACQUITTALS	2	2	2	1	1	-50.0%			
DISMISSALS	88	54	68	99	93	5.7%			
NOT PROSECUTED	41	29	21	16	11	-73.2%			
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	44	49	34	39	30	-31.8%			
OTHER	5	1	3	3	0	-100.0%			

¹ A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <u>New York State Penal Law</u>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

NEW YORK COUNTY

Table 1

LOCAL ASSIST	ANCE FUNDIN	IG SUMMARY
(Thousa	nds of Doll	ars)

		Expenditures % Change					Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>		
Dept. of Correctional Services	230.0	196.2	185.4	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	0.0		
Crime Victims Board	45.8	125.7	286.5	56.9	24.2%	645.7	416.2		
Division of Criminal Justice Services	4,420.0	4,724.0	5,405.3	5,441.0	23.1%	5,567.8	5,823.8		
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	462.7	0.0		
Division for Youth ³	135.0.	1,520.0	2,072.8	1,601.3	1,086.1%	2,814.7	2,798.2		
TOTAL	4,830.7	6,565.9	7,950.0	7,099.2	47.0%	9,491.0	9,038.3		

¹ This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
Prisoner Transfer ²	230.0	196.2	185.4	0.0	-100.0%	· 		
TOTAL	230.0	196.2	185.4	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	0.0	

¹ Table 2 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

 2 Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING¹ (Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropriations			
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	19.2	35.0	97.3	0.0	-100.0%	220.5	100.4
Court Related	0.0	0.0	89.9	56.9	NA	79.6	79.6
Domestic Violence	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	44.3	50.3
Elderly	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	44.4	146.0
Sexual Assault	26.6	90.7	99.3	0.0	-100.0%	257.0	40.0
TOTAL	45.8	125.7	286.5	56.9	24.2%	645.7	416.2

¹ Table 3 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

NEW YORK COUNTY

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVIÇES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Appropr	iations
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Asset/Forfeiture ² Initiative	 ·	·	51.4	4.2	NA		
Crime Prevention ³ and Protection	*	0.0	40.0	23.2	NA	5.0	57.5
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	418.5	416.5	445.6	460.2	10.0%	469.5	469.5
Neighborhood ⁴ Preservation Crime Prevention Act	· · · ·	76.1	181.9	81.8	NA		 .
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁵	4,001.5	4,231.4	4,686.3	4,871.7	21.7%	5,093.3	5,296.8
TOTAL	4,420.0	4,724.0	5,405.3	5,441.0	23.1%	5,567.8	5,823.8

¹ Table 4 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

² This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

 3 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁴ This Act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

⁵ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PATILE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this borough. However, Table 5 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

NEW YORK COUNTY

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Classification ² Alternatives				0.0	NA	462.7	0.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	462.7	0.0

¹ Table 6 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

 $^{\rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING¹ (Thousands of Dollars)

	·		Appropr	<u>Appropriations</u>			
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Community-Based ³ Organizations		·	155.0	162.8	NA	164.5	164.5
Job Development ⁴					NA	291.7	303.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	0.0	1,252.5	1,686.5	1,145.5	NA	1,577.2	1,999.8
Special Legislative Contracts	135.0	267.5	231.3	293.0	117.0%	343.5	331.0
Transitional Independent Living Support ⁵		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		0.0	NA	437.8	0.0
TOTAL	135.0	1,520.0	2,072.8	1,601.3	1,086.1%	2,814.7	2,798.2

¹ Table 7 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

² The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 3 This program was implemented in FY 1984-85 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 4 This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 $^{\rm 5}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

NEW YORK COUNTY

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY (June 1987)

	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>		
DOCS Facilities	862	442		
DFY Facilities	19	35		
TOTAL	881	477		

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations		
	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
DOCS Facilities	8,430.2	11,227.1	12,563.8	14,006.9	66.2%	13,958.4	15,414.7	
DFY Facilities	922.6	1,001.4	1,121.1	1,047.2	13.5%	1,053.6	1,140.3	
TOTAL	9,352.8	12,228.5	13,684.9	15,054.1	61.0%	15,012.0	16,555.0	

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendit	ures		Appropri	Appropriations		
	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>		<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>		
DOCS Facilities	14.0	300.2	1,062.0	1,376.2	905.6	1,747.7		
DFY Facilities	14.9	36.3	17.0	68.1	77.0	0.0		
TOTAL	28.9	336.4	1,079.0	1,444.3	982.6	1,747.7		

NEW YORK COUNTY

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

				Staff	
Facility	Security Level	Capacity	Total	Security	<u>Civilian</u>
Bayview ¹	MEDIUM	187	152	91	61
Edgecombe	MINIMUM	404	157	79	78
Lincoln	MINIMUM	211	107	54	53
Parkside	MINIMUM	60	26	13	13
TOTAL		862	442	237	205

 $^{1}% \left(1\right) =0$ This facility houses only female inmates.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
<u>Facility</u>	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Bayview	3,581.7	4,590.3	4,751.9	5,347.1	49.3%	4,927.5	5,600.1
Edgecombe	2,287.4	3,309.0	4,334.6	4,492.2	96.4%	4,663.5	5,096.9
L inco ln	2,561.1	3,327.8	3,477.2	3,376.9	31.9%	3,481.7	3,742.9
Parkside ¹	0.0	0.0	0.0	790.7	NA	885.7	974.8
TOTAL	8,430.2	11,227.1	12,563.8	14,006.9	66.2%	13,958.4	15,414.7

 $^{1}\,$ The facility budget is administered by the Lincoln Facility.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

TABLE 2C

		Expendi	tures		Approp	riations
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Bayview	2.1	117.7	688.8	808.5	102.6	394.0
Edgecombe	0.0	135.3	96.7	231.9	500.0	669.3
Lincoln	12.0	47.2	276.6	335.8	261.0	498.4
Parkside ¹			0.0	0.0	42.0	186.0
TOTAL	14.0	300.2	1,062.0	1,376.2	905.6	1,747.7

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

 $^{1}\ \mbox{The facility budget is administered by the Lincoln facility.}$

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NEW YORK COUNTY

TABLE 3A

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

Facility			-	Security ₁ Level	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>
Harlem Community Residential Home				VI	9	12
Stevens Temporary Release				I	10	23
TOTAL					19	35

1 Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 38

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

				Appropriations			
<u>Facility</u>	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Harlem Community Residential Home	127.4	297.0	335.2	268.2	110.6%	285.2	313.1
Stevens Temporary Release	795.2	704.4	785.9	778.9	-2.0%	768.4	827.2
TOTAL	922.6	1,001.4	1,121.1	1,047.2	13.5%	1,053.6	1,140.3

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

NEW YORK COUNTY

TABLE 3C

FA	CILITY (AL IN	ENT BI	JDGET	

		Expend	litures		Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	FY 85-86	Total	<u>FY 56-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
Harlem Community Residential Home	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	77.0	0.0	
Stevens Temporary Release	14.9	36.3	17.0	68.1	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	14.9	36.3	17.0	68.1	77.0	0.0	

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York City Coordinator of Criminal Justice Office of the Mayor 250 Broadway New York, New York 10007 New York State Division of Parole 314 West 40th Street New York, New York 10018

New York State Police New York City Headquarters 58th Floor, Two World Trade Center New York, New York 10047

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office 125-01 Queens Boulevard Kew Gardens, New York-11415

Probation Department 115 Leonard Street New York, New York 10013

Legal Aid Society 120-34 Queens Boulevard Queens, New York 11414

Assigned Counsel Plan to Indigent Defendants 210 Joralemon Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

Queens Criminal Court 125-01 Queens Boulevard Kew Gardens, New York 11415 Sheriff's Department County Square Long Island City, New York 11101

> Youth Bureau 44 Court Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

> New York City Department of Correction 100 Centre Street New York, New York 10013

Supreme Court 88-11 Sutphin Boulevard Jamaica, New York 14435

Family Court 89-14 Parsons Boulevard Jamaica, New York 11432

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

New York City Police Department One Police Plaza New York, New York 10038

*Population 25,000 and above.

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Region NEW YORK CITY County Seat JAMAICA Square Miles 108 Population Percent Change 1970-1980 -4.8%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Ta	b	1e	1

POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

		Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
F	Population	1,891,325	2	100.0%	NA
Density Per So	quare Mile	17,512.3	4	NA	NA
Sex:	Male Female	878,181 1,013,144	NA NA	46.4% 53.6%	60 3
Race:	White Non-White Unknown	1,335,805 450,723 104,797	NA NA NA	70.6% 23.8% 5.5%	59 4 4
Ethnicity:	Hispanic	262,422	NA	13.9%	4
	and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 5 and Over	221,768 155,961 86,097 182,590 1,244,909	NA NA NA NA NA	11.7% 8.2% 4.6% 9.7% 65.8%	58 3 61 41 2

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	1,244,909	100.0%	2
8th Grade or Less	263,115	21.1%	6
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	196,826 423,064	15.8% 34.0%	43 54
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	170,474 192,969	13.7% 15.5%	47 18

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

QUEENS COUNTY

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		PO	Table 3 PULATION 1982-19	86		
		1983		1985		Rank 1986
Population	1,903,100	1,914,300	1,911,200	1,933,044	1,923,300	2
Density Per Square Mile	17,621.3	17,725.0	17,696.3	17,898.6	17,808.3	· · · · · · · · · · · ·

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

		Table 4 INCOME: 1982-1984		
		1983_	1984	Income Rank 1984
Per Capita Income	12,667	13,458	14,827	8

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

	PUBLIC HIG	H SCHOOL DROP	OUTS: 1981-	82 TO 1985-	86		
	-			School Year			Percent Rank
		1981-82	<u>1982-83</u>	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	<u>1985-86</u>	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	6,459 8.7%	6,021 8.4%	5,723 8.4%	5,389 8.1%	4,770 7.1%	4

Table 5

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

	UNEMPL	Table 6 DYMENT: 1984-1	1986		
		1984		1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	66,909 7.6%	64,249 7.0%	57,608 6.3%	41

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.

	Ρ		Table 7 STANCE: 1983	2-1986			
		1982	1983		1985		Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	58

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole. SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

QUEENS COUNTY

QUEENS COUNTY

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

			Number of C	rimes		1986 C Per 10 <u>Popula</u>	0,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INDEX CRIME	144,719	126,155	125,398	125,329	132,453	6,909.5	4	-8.5%
VIOLENT	22,493	20,685	21,146	21,645	23,167	1,208.5	4	3.0%
MURDER	207	228	169	187	232	12.1	4	12.1%
FORCIBLE	582	600	659	671	659	34.4	5	13.2%
ROBBERY	14,888	13,032	12,852	12,432	12,705	662.8	4	-14.7%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	6,816	6,825	7,466	8,355	9,571	499.3	4	40.4%
PROPERTY	122,226	105,470	104,252	103,684	109,286	5,701.0	4	-10.6%
BURGLARY	37,150	30,057	28,340	27,272	27,755	1,447.9	4	-25.3%
LARCENY	50,639	47,515	47,921	50,658	54,333	2,834.3	7	7.3%
MV THEFT	34,437	27,898	27,991	25,754	27,198	1,418.8	1	-21.0%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

	-	Num	ber of Arre	sts		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 <u>Population</u>	<i>«</i>
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	24,830	24,501	26,936	28,105	32,056	1,666.7 46	29.1%
FELONY	13,571	13,305	13,476	13,723	17,814	926.2 4	31.3%
VIOLENT	6,221	5,821	5,825	5,971	7,208	374.8 4	15.9%
OTHER	7,350	7,484	7,651	7,752	10,606	551.4 5	44.3%
MISDEMEANOR	11,259	11,196	13,460	14,382	14,242	740.5 61	26.5%

Table 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

	FELONY AND MISDEMEN	(Adults Only)	WART THRU DUNE 1	.507	
 		<u>Number</u> 1	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>		
	ARRESTS	17,418	12.6%		
	FELONY ²	9,520	13.2%		
	VIOLENT	3,773	10.3%		
	OTHER	5,747	15.2%		
	MISDEMEANOR	7,898	11.9%		

TABLE 2B

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987

¹ Preliminary data.

 2 Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

QUEENS COUNTY

1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Number of Arrests Population % Change 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 Rate Rank <u>82 vs 86</u> MURDER 196 172 127 149 158 8.2 -19.4% 4 FORCIBLE RAPE 221 209 210 212 243 12.6 10 10.0% ROBBERY 142.7 2,247 2,133 2,160 2,111 2,745 4 22.2% AGGRAVATED ASSAULT 2,285 2,213 2,257 2.452 2.714 141.1 5 18.8% BURGLARY 2,320 2,010 1,792 1,546 1,764 91.7 30 -24.0% LARCENY² 5,151 4,775 4,509 4,912 5,774 300.2 39 12.1%

¹ NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

² Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

QUEENS COUNTY

			(Adult	s Only)			
		Num	ber of Arres	ts		1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	3,776	3,891	5,103	5,572	8,088	420.5 4	114.2%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	2,146	2,207	2,898	3,509	6,242	324.5 4	190.9%
POSSESSION	1,345	1,451	2,039	2,238	3,644	189.5 7	170.9%
SALE	481	611	641	1,030	2,277	118.4 4	373.4%
OTHER	320	145	218	241	321	16.7 5	0.3%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	1,630	1,684	2,205	2,063	1,846	96.0 5	13.3%
POSSESSION	715	898	865	916	1,084	56.4 4	51.6%
SALE	915	786	1,340	1,147	762	39.6 6	-16.7%

Table 2D ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> -Sections 220 and 221).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

		Numb	per of Arrest	<u>S</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Per Lice	Arrests 1,000 nsed vers	
	1982	1983			1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	947	1,365	1,845	1,790	1,732	1.9	62	82.9%
FELONY	91	62	34	19	20	< .1	59	-78.0%
MISDEMEANOR	856	1,303	1,811	1,771	1,712	1.9	62	100.0%

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law:</u> <u>State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrests and Processing Trends File.

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Pe	rcent of Felon	y Indictments		
	1982			1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY INDICTMENTS	4,688 100.0%	4,939 100.0%	5,755 100.0%	5,875 100.0%	7,520 100.0%	60.4%
VIOLENT	3,050 65.1%	2,859 57.9%	3,081 53.5%	2,964 50.5%	3,297 43.8%	8.1%
OTHER	1,638 34.9%	2,080 42.1%	2,674 46.5%	2,911 49.5%	4,223 56.2%	157.8%

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1937¹ (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

	Number	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>		
FELONY INDICTMENTS	4,311	16.5%		
VIOLENT	1,696	-2.6%		
OTHER	2,615	33.5%		

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

QUEENS COUNTY

Table 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1 (Adults Only)

			d Percent of Di Resulting from and Misdemeanor			1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	19,683 100.0%	23,224 100.0%	23,861 100.0%	25,624 100.0%	26,385 100.0%	34.0%
CONVICTIONS	12,272 62.3%	15,635 67.3%	16,173 67.8%	17,111 66.8%	16,996 64.4%	38.5%
ACQUITTALS	210 1.1%	253 1.1%	254 1.1%	287 1.1%	299 1.1%	42.4%
DISMISSALS	6,351 32.3%	6,971 30.0%	7,057 29.6%	7,825 30.5%	8,756 33.2%	37.9%
NOT PROSECUTED	750 3.8%	280 1.2%	291 1.2%	282 1.1%	139 0.5%	-81.5%
OTHER	100 0.5%	85 0.4%	86 0.4%	119 0.5%	195 0.7%	95.0%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹ (Adults Only) Number and Percent of Dispositions Resulting from Felony Arrests % Change 1<u>986</u> 2 1982 1983 1984 1985 <u>82 vs 86</u> 12,338 100.0% 14,879 100.0% DISPOSITIONS 10,927 12,724 12,476 36.2% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% CONVICTIONS 6,405 8,052 7,984 7,739 9,203 43.7% 58.6% 63.3% 64.0% 62.7% 61.9% 164 ACQUITTALS 186 207 209 238 45.1% 1.5% 1.5% 1.7% 1.7% 1.6% 4,236 3,999 DISMISSALS 4,112 4,138 5,201 26.5% 37.6% 33.3% 32.1% 33.5% 35.0% NOT PROSECUTED 165 186 238 177 105 -36.4% 1.5% 1.5% 1.9% 1.4% 0.7% OTHER 64 48 132 63.0% 81 75 0.7% 0.5% 0.4% 0.6% 0.9%

Table 48

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

ş

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

QUEENS COUNTY

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		Re	Percent of Conv esulting from Misdemeanor Ari			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	12,272 100.0%	15,635 100.0%	16,173 100.0%	17,111 100.0%	16,996 100.0%	38.5%
FELONY	2.171 17.7%	3,146 20.1%	3,512 21.7%	3,511 20.5%	5,028 29.6%	131.6%
VIOLENT	1,147 9.3%	1,563 10.0%	1,634 10,1%	1,281 7.5%	1,767 10.4%	54.1%
OTHER	1,024 8.3%	1,583 10.1%	1,878 11.6%	2,230 13.0%	3,261 19.2%	218.5%
MISDEMEANOR	5,544 45.2%	6,413 41.0%	7,219 44.6%	7,724 45.1%	7,081 41.7%	27.7%
LESSER OFFENSES	4,557 37.1%	6,076 38,9%	5,442 33.6%	5,876 34.3%	4,887 28.8%	7.2%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

QUEENS COUNTY

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (Adults Only)

			rcent of Convic rom Felony Arre			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	6,405 100.0%	8,052 100.0%	7,984 100.0%	7,739 100.0%	9,203 100.0%	43.7%
FELONY	2,152 33.6%	3,110 38.6%	3,440 43.1%	3,396 43.9%	4,886 53.1%	127.0%
VIOLENT	1,147 17.9%	1,563 19.4%	1,634 20.5%	1,281 16.6%	1,767 19.2%	54.1%
OTHER	1,005 15.7%	1,547 19.2%	1,806 22.6%	2,115 27.3%	3,119 33.9%	210.3%
MISDEMEANOR	2,974 46.4%	3,288 40.8%	3,026 37.9%	2,849 36.8%	3,008 32.7%	1.1%
LESSER OFFENSES	1,279 20.0%	1,654 20.5%	1,518 19.0%	1,494 19.3%	1,309 14.2%	2.3%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for conjictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			(iy)						
Sentences as a Percent of Total Convictions									
	1982		1984	1985	<u>1986</u> 2				
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
STATE PRISON	9.6	10.3	10.0	9.4	14.7				
LOCAL JAIL	30.3	31.8	31.8	34.2	28.4				
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.6	2.8	3.3	3.7	6.2				
PROBATION	10.3	10.8	10.7	10.5	11.1				
FINES	26.2	26.0	27.8	24.7	18.2				
OTHER	21.0	18.3	16.3	17.4	21.4				

Table 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS¹ (Adults Only)

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to OCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

QUEENS COUNTY

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ (Adult Only)

	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
		982 Misd & Lesser		983 Misd & Lesser		984 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>	19 Felony	986 2 Misd & Lesser
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	54.3	NA	51.3	NA	46.3	NA	45.8	NA	49.8	NA
LOCAL JAIL	13.0	34.0	11.8	36.8	11.7	37.3	9.8	40.5	7.3	37.3
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	9.1	1.2	10.4	0.9	13.0	0.7	15.2	0.7	19.3	0.7
PROBATION	21.6	7.9	25.2	7.2	27.6	6.1	27.2	6.2	22.6	6.2
FINES	1.2	31.6	0.8	32.3	0.7	35.4	1.3	30.8	0.7	25.5
OTHER	0.8	25.3	0.4	22.8	0.8	20.6	0.6	21.8	0.4	30.3

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

QUEENS COUNTY

	1926 Cases Per 100,000 <u>Number of Cases</u> <u>Population</u>							
		1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INTAKE OPENED ¹	11,074	9,667	11,487	8,624	6,460	335.9	54	-41.7%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	6,435	7,465	7,635	7,681	9,473	492.5	54	47.2%
FAMILY COURT	1,051	1,000	941	1,031	1,251	65.0	59	19.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	5,384	6,465	6,694	6,650	8,222	427.5	48	52.7%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	1,323	1.558	1 975	1,486	1,424	74.0	21	7 64
		·	1,375	·				7.6%
FAMILY COURT	1,169	1,333	1,117	1,349	1,325	68.9	8	13.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	154	225	258	137	99	5.1	30	-35.7%
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	2,388	2,762	2,783	3,325	3,687	191.7	55	54.4%
FAMILY COURT	280	259	286	329	300	15.6	61	7.1%
CRIMINAL COURT	2,108	2,503	2,497	2,996	3,387	176.1	48	60.7%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	8,100	8,787	9,250	10,294	11,791	613.1	56	45.6%
FAMILY COURT	571	515	580	745	765	39.8	61	34.0%
CRIMINAL COURT	7,529	8,272	8,670	9,549	11,026	573.3	48	46.4%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	6,034	6,467	6,877	8,104	8,940	464.8	48	48.2%
FAMILY COURT	265	294	324	465	301	15.7	59	13.6%
CRIMINAL COURT	5,769	6,173	6,553	7,639	8,639	449.2	45	49.7%

Table 7A

PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

² The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Number of Revocations Probationers % Change 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 Rate Rank 82 vs 86 REVOCATIONS 295 471 405 390 548 46.5 29 85.8% FAMILY COURT 19 46 35 29 25 32.7 50 31.6% CRIMINAL COURT 276 425 370 361 523 47.4 26 89.5% TECHNICAL 20 71 83 44 70 6.3 58 250.0% NEW CONVICTION 354 7 77.0% 256 287 317 453 41.1

TABLE 7B

PROBATION REVOCATIONS

Table 8A

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS: CLASSIFICATION/ALTERNATIVES BILL

Table 8B							
PRETRIAL RELEAS	E PROGRAM:	1986					
	Number	Percent					
CASES SCREENED	8,93	7 NA					
CASES INTERVIEWED	6,60	2 100.0%					
RELEASED ¹	1,95	3 29.6%					

Program intervention contributed to or was directly responsible for the release of these detained defendants.

Table 8D

DEFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

Table 8C								
COMMUNITY SERVIC	E PROGRAM:	1986						
	Number	Percent						
CASES SENTENCED	184	NA						
CASES DISCHARGED	147	100.0%						
SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME	88	59.9%						

Table 8E

SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986

There were no agencies in the county that received funding for this type of program.

. مور

QUEENS COUNTY

Table 9A

NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION ADMISSIONS¹

		Numbe	<u>r of Admis</u>	sions		1986 Admissior	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Per 100,0 Populatio	
ADMISSIONS	*	77,910	82,033	88,889	105,901	1,458.	1 NA
UNSENTENCED	*	56,536	60,810	65,167	82,004	1,129.	1 NA
SENTENCED	*	21,374	21,223	23,722	23,897	329.	0 NA

¹ The New York City Department of Correction operates a city-wide corrections system for the five boroughs of New York City. There are four institutions for sentenced inmates and nine institutions for detained inmates.

* Data were not available.

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION CAPACITY AND POPULATION¹ 1986 Inmates Per 100,000 % Change 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 Population 82 vs 86 REPORTED CAPACITY 9.889 10,789 178.4 31.0% 9,811 11.104 12,957 AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION 9,497 9,495 10,073 10,889 12,877 177.3 35.6% DECEMBER 31 9,852 11,499 181.8 36.5% POPULATION 9,669 8,867 13,201 139.1 39.9% UNSENTENCED 7,222 6,104 7,178 8,452 10,103 SENTENCED 2,447 2,763 2,674 3,047 3,098 42.7 26.6%

Table 9B

 1 See footnote in Table 8A above.

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

			Number of urt Commit	nents		198 New C Committ Per 10 Popula	Court ments 00,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	1,077	1,303	1,181	1,129	1,652	85.9	5	53.4%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	763	938	792	649	795	41.3	4	4.2%
HOMICIDE	122	110	82	83	62	3.2	11	-49.2%
SEX OFFENSE	39	33	46	35	50	2.6	29	28.2%
ROBBERY	384	458	373	282	377	19.6	4	-1.8%
BURGLARY	123	214	179	130	192	10.0	7	56.1%
WEAPONS	54	68	54	65	52	2.7	4	-3.7%
OTHER	41	55	58	54	62	3.2	12	51.2%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	95	136	138	215	430	22.4	5	352.6%
POSSESSION	30	37	44	86	155	8.1	6	416.7%
SALE	65	99	94	129	275	14.3	4	323.1%
OTHER FELONIES	171	184	217	231	378	19.7	18	121.1%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	48	45	34	34	49	2.5	5	2.1%

Table 10A NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON¹

 1 The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

² Preliminary data.

³ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

QUEENS COUNTY

		- <u></u>	: · ·	а 		Inma Under	Cust.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		umber of I n State Pr		er Custody cember 31		on 12/ Per 10 Popula	000,00	· •
	1982			1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	2,475	2,842	3,067	3,075	3,598	187.1	6	45.4%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	1,863	2,210	2,350	2,270	2,407	125.1	6	29.2%
HOMICIDE	421	485	507	536	558	29.0	5	32.5%
SEX OFFENSE	155	153	169	166	181	9.4	29	16.8%
ROBBERY	898	1,011	1,052	943	1,017	52.9	4	13.3%
BURGLARY	179	322	368	351	392	20.4	9	119.0%
WEAPONS	100	115	119	125	103	5.4	6	3.0%
OTHER	110	124	135	149	156	8.1	13	41.8%
DRUG FELONIES ³	179	231	272	354	615	32.0	6	243.6%
POSSESSION	45	65	86	131	222	11.5	7	393.3%
SALE	134	166	186	223	393	20.4	6	193.3%
OTHER FELONIES	360	335	398	412	517	26.9	21	43.6%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	73	66	47	39	59	3.1	8	-19.2%

Table 10B-1 INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31^1

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

² Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY $^{\rm L}$

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······	
PERCENT OF INMATES	1982	1983	1984	1985	
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	8.8%	9.3%	9.2%	8.9%	9.3%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

	· ·	Numbe	r of Parole	es		1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983		1985	1986	<u>Rate Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
RELEASES TO PAROLE	912	1,196	1,105	1,252	1,311	68.2 5	43.8%
UNDER PAROLE1 SUPERVISION	2,492	2,986	3,266	3,537	3,743	194.6 5	50.2%
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	1,790	2,161	2,285	2 .432	2,619	136.2 5	46.3%

Table 11A PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 11B

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numbe	r of Parol	lees		1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Parolees	
	1982	1983	1984		1986	Rate Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	235	280	306	407	401	20.8 15	70.6%
RULE VIOLATIONS	85	112	93	129	128	6.7 6	50.6%
NEW ARRESTS	111	106	105	127	108	5.6 40	-2.7%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	39	62	108	151	165	8.6 13	323.1%

 $^{1}\ \mbox{The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.}$

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole,

QUEENS COUNTY

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

		· · · ·		Number	of Cas	es				
	 982		1983_	1	984	19	85	19	86	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY ARRESTS	166		125		126		120		131	-21.1%
FELONY INDICTMENTS	85		63		61		65		66	-22.4%
DISPOSITIONS	136		140		111		96		128	-5.9%
CONVICTIONS	32		55		43		36		60	87.5%
PRISON	19		26		14		13		12	-36.8%
LOCAL JAIL	2		8		4		2		5	150.0%
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2		2		· 7		1		15	650.0%
PROBATION	8		17		11		17		27	237.5%
OTHER	1		2		7		3		1	0.0%
ACQUITTALS	1		1		1		2		3	200.0%
DISMISSALS	46		38		31		23		30	-34.8%
NOT PROSECUTED	6		2		8		1		0	-100.0%
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	54		43		28		36		35	-35.2%
OTHER	0		1		0		0		1	NA

¹ A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <u>New York State Penal Law</u>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

QUEENS COUNTY

Table 1

LOCAL	ASSISTANCE	Fl	JNDING SUMMARY
. 1	(Thousands	of	Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	ations
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Dept. of Correctional Services	0.0	11.2	100.8	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	108.9	145.7	222.4	191.7	76.1%	330,6	271.4
Division of Criminal Justice Services	1,563.3	2,435.7	3,032.2	2,908.0	86.0%	2,990.0	3,108.2
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	692.3	0.0
Division for Youth ³	300.0	990.6	1,157.0	1,034.1	244.7%	1,469.9	1,378.2
TOTAL	1,972.2	3,583.1	4,512.5	4,133.9	109.6%	5,482.8	4,757.8

¹ This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING^I (Thousands of Dollars)

	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		Appropriations				
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Community Treatment Programs ²		11.2	100.8		NA		
TOTAL	0.0	11.2	100.8	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0

¹ Table 2 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

 2 This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

Table 3

		LOCAL /	CRIME VICTIMS ASSISTANCE PRO Thousands of Do	GRAM FUNDING ¹			
<u></u>			Expenditures			Appropi	iations
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	0.0	0.0	46.5	36.6	NA	114.8	134.1
Court Related	37.9	72.4	92.1	92.2	143.2%	92.2	0.0
Elderly	71.0	73.3	83.7	63.0	-11.3%	123.7	137.3
TOTAL	108.9	145.7	222.4	191.7	76.1%	330.6	271.4

¹ Table 3 in Appendix 6 presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

	· .		Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Asset/Forfeiture ² Initiative			55.6	0.0	NA		· · · · ·
Crime Prevention ³ and Protection	: · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.0	5.0	6.7	NA	76.0	98.0
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	0.0	200.0	214.0	221.0	NA	225.5	225.5
Neighborhood ⁴ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		139.9	268.4	80.3	NA		
Soft Body Armour ⁵ Reimbursement Program		. <u></u>	0.0	12.3	NA		
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁶	1,563.3	2,095.8	2,489.2	2,587.7	65.5%	2,688.6	2,784.8
TOTAL	1,563.3	2,435.7	3,032.2	2,908.0	86.0%	2,990.0	3,108.2

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

¹ Table 4 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

² This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

 3 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁴ This act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

⁵ In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program, therefore, appropriations are not available by county.

⁶ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this borough. However, Table 5 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

QUEENS COUNTY

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

				Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	<u>FY 82-8</u>	3	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Classification ² Alternatives	· · · · -	a - 4			0.0	NA	392.3	0.0
Demonstration Projects	0.	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	300.0	0.0
TOTAL	0.	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	692.3	0.0

¹ Table 6 in Appendix 6 presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

 2 This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING¹ (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropri	Appropriations	
<u>Program</u>	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	0.0	583.1	622.0	529.1	NA	710.6	728.3	
Special Legislative Contracts	300.0	407.5	535.0	505.0	68.3%	673.5	649.9	
Transitional Independent Living Support ³				0.0	NA	85.8	0.0	
TOTAL	300.0	990.6	1,157.0	1,034.1	244.7%	1,469.9	1,378.2	

 1 Table 7 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

² The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

³ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

QUEENS COUNTY

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY (June 1987)

		<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>	
	DOCS Facilities	450	295	
	DFY Facilities		'	
	TOTAL	450	295	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures				Appropriations		
	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
DOCS Facilities	6,827.7	8,746.0	8,950.9	9,034.5	32.3%	9,685.5	10,327.0	
DFY Facilities		· · ·			0.0%	: 	· · · · · · · · ·	
TOTAL	6,827.7	8,746.0	8,950.9	9,034.5	32.3%	9,685.5	10,327.0	

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	iitures		Appropr	iations
	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
DOCS Facilities	197.9	239.3	143.3	580.5	1,272.0	1,527.0
DFY Facilities			,	·		
TOTAL	197.9	239.3	143.3	580.5	1,272.0	1,527.0

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

QUEENS COUNTY

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

	1			Staff	
<u>Facility</u>	Security Level	Capacity	<u>Total</u>	<u>Security</u>	<u>Civilian</u>
Queensboro	MEDIUM	450	295	205	90

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	****		Expenditures			Approp	iations
<u>Facility</u>	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Queensboro	6,827.7	8,746.0	8,950.9	9,034.5	32.3%	9,685.5	10,327.0

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

TABLE 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expendi	tures		Approp	riations
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Queensboro	197.9	239.3	143.3	580.5	1,272.0	1,527.0

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

NOTE: There are no Division for Youth facilities located in this County.

RICHMOND COUNTY

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

RICHMOND COUNTY

REGIONAL AGENCIES

New York City Coordinator of Criminal Justice Office of the Mayor 350 Broadway New York, New York 10007 New York State Division of Parole 314 West 40th Street New York, New York 10018

New York State Police New York City Headquarters 58th Floor, Two World Trade Center New York, New York 10047

COUNTY AGENCIES

District Attorney's Office 36 Richmond Terrace Staten Island, New York 10301

> Probation Department 115 Leonard Street New York, New York 10013

Legal Aid Society 67 Targee Street Staten Island, New York 10304

> Assigned Counsel Plan to Indigent Defendants 210 Joralemon Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

Richmond Criminal Court 67 Targee Street Staten Island, New York 10304 Sheriff's Department Room 400B, 350 St. Marks Staten Island, New York 10301

> Youth Bureau 44 Court Street Brooklyn, New York 11201

> New York City Department of Correction 100 Centre Street New York, New York 10013

Supreme Court County Court House St. George Staten Island, New York 10301

Family Court 100 Richmond Terrace St. George, New York 10301

MUNICIPAL POLICE OFFICES*

New York City Police Department One Police Piaza New York, New York 10038

*Population 25,000 and above.

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

RICHMOND COUNTY

Region	NEW YORK CITY
County Seat	ST. GEORGE
Square Miles	59
Population Percent Change 1970-1980	19.2%

SOURCE: New York State Statistical Yearbook 1983-84.

Table 1

POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS FOR 1980

		Number	Number Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
	Population	352,121	11	100.0%	NA
Density Per	Square Mile	5,968.2	5	NA	NA
Sex:	Male Female	169,807 182,314	NA NA	48.2% 51.8%	39 24
Race:	White Non-White Unknown	313,534 32,708 5,879	NA NA NA	89.0% 9.3% 1.7%	55 8 7
Ethnicit	y: Hispanic	18,884	NA	5.4%	5
Age:	9 and Under 10 to 15 16 to 18 19 to 24 25 and Over	51,088 38,370 18,973 34,192 209,498	NA NA NA NA	14.5% 10.9% 5.4% 9.7% 59.5%	24 11 57 39 25

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

Table 2

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF 1980 POPULATION 25 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER

	Number	Percent	Percent Rank
Population Age 25 and Over	209,498	100.0%	25
8th Grade or Less	31,793	15.2%	42
High School: 1 to 3 years 4 years	34,240 82,405	16.3% 39.3%	38 19
College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	30,401 31,025	14.5% 14.8%	34 24

SOURCE: US Bureau of Census.

II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

		POP	Table 3 ULATION 1982-198	6		
			1984	1985	1986	Rank 1986
Population	360,200	364,600	370,600	373,871	374,600	11
Density Per Square Mile	6,105.1	6,179.7	6,281.4	6,336.8	6,349.2	5

RICHMOND COUNTY

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce and the US Bureau of Census.

		PER CAPIT	Table 4 A INCOME: 1982-1984		
	I	1982	1983	1984	Income Rank 1984
Per Capita Income		12,528	13,602	14,894	7

NOTE: Data for 1985 and 1986 are not yet available. SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce.

	PUBLIC HIGH	Tab SCHOOL DROP	le 5 OUTS: 1981-	-82 TO 1985-	86		
······································				School Year	,		Percent Rank
		1981-82	<u> 1982-83</u>	<u>1983-84</u>	<u>1984-85</u>	<u> 1985-86</u>	<u>1985-86</u>
Public High School Students Who Dropped Out of School	Number Percent	974 5.7%	1,218 7.5%	909 5.6%	1,188 7.4%	919 5.9%	6

SOURCE: NYS Department of Education.

Table	6
A 1 / 1 / A A A A A A A A	

UNEMPLOYMENT: 1984-1986									
		1984	1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986				
Monthly Average Unemployed of Civilian Labor Force	Number Percent	9,805 6.3%	9,407 5.8%	8,584 5.3%	48				

NOTE: Data are based on 1985 benchmarks; 1982 and 1983 data based on the 1985 benchmarks were not available. S

SOURCE: NYS Department of Labor.		
----------------------------------	--	--

			Table 7 STANCE: 1982	2-1986			
	- - -	1982	1983		1985	1986	Percent Rank 1986
Monthly Average Population Receiving Public Assistance	Number Percent	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	58

NOTE: The monthly average number of persons in public assistance programs was not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole. SOURCE: NYS Department of Social Services.

				THE PULICE				
			Number of Cr	imes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1986 Crimes Per 100,000 Population		
•	1982	1983			1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INDEX CRIME	21,641	20,098	20,142	19,194	18,944	5,073.5	6	-12.5%
VIOLENT	2,643	2,635	2,718	2,612	2,703	723.9	5	2.3%
MURDER	26	30	17	26	28	7.5	8	7.7%
FORCIBLE RAPE	69	66	75	74	75	20.1	15	8.7%
ROBBERY	1,340	1,210	1,083	1,000	983	263.3	5	-26.6%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	1,208	1,329	1,543	1,512	1,617	433.1	5	33.9%
PROPERTY	18,998	17,463	17,424	16,582	16,241	4,349.6	7	-14.5%
BURGLARY	5,601	4,917	4,532	4,663	3,925	1,051.2	14	-29.9%
LARCENY	7,308	7,446	7,363	7,092	7,358	1,970.6	26	0.7%
MV THEFT	6,089	5,100	5,529	4,827	4,958	1,327.8	2	-18.6%

Table 1

INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

RICHMOND COUNTY

			(Adult					
		Numb	per of Arres	1986 Ar Per 100 <u>Popula</u>				
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
ARRESTS	3,949	4,348	5,292	5,624	5,857	1,563.5	50	48.3%
FELONY	1,825	1,882	2,099	2,132	2,317	618.5	8	27.0%
VIOLENT	998	992	1,156	1,106	1,093	291.8	6	9.5%
OTHER	827	890	943	1,026	1,224	326.7	24	48.0%
MISDEMEANOR	2,124	2,466	3,193	3,492	3,540	945.0	56	66.7%

Table 2A

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TADL	-	20
TABI.	r	7 0

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987 (Adults Only)

	<u>Number</u> ¹	% Change Jan-June <u>86 vs 87</u>	
ARRESTS	3,364	21.2%	
FELONY ²	1,362	28.4%	
VIOLENT	564	12.6%	
OTHER	798	42.5%	
MISDEMEANOR	2,002	16.8%	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

¹ Preliminary data.

² Increases or decreases in the number of felonies and misdemeanors between these two periods reflect in part changes in Section 155 of the Penal Law which became effective on 1/1/87; some larceny offenses that were classified as felonies prior to this date are now classified as misdemeanors.

RICHMOND COUNTY

		Numbe	er of Arrest	:S	· · ·	1986 Ar Per 100 <u>Popula</u>	,000	
	1982		1984		1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
MURDER	21	19	15	29	22	5.9	8	4.8%
FORCIBLE RAPE	23	30	29	40	/ 42	11.2	12	82.6%
ROBBERY	336	351	333	315	324	86.5	5	-3.6%
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	332	384	496	467	465	124.1	6	40.1%
BURGLARY	441	377	385	392	331	88.4	36	-24.9%
LARCENY ²	956	897	1,007	1,056	977 -	260.8	49	2.2%

Table 2C

ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

¹ NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of Section III. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes (see Appendix A). Also, these arrest data reflect only adult arrests (i.e., persons age 16 years of age or older at time of arrest); while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in Table 1. Finally, these arrest data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

² Arrests for the Index crime of "motor vehicle theft" are reported as "larceny" because it is not possible to extract motor vehicle larcenies from the Arrest and Processing Trends File.

RICHMOND COUNTY

				s Only)				
		Number of Arrests				1986 Arrests Per 100,000 Population		
	1982	1983	1984		1986	<u>Rate</u>	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DRUG ARRESTS	295	449	539	680	930	248.3	8	215.3%
CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE (PL 220)	161	199	239	271	651	173.8	9	304.3%
POSSESSION	97	128	164	186	268	71.5	21	176.3%
SALE	29	39	45	56	329	87.8	5	1,034.5%
OTHER	35	32	30	29	54	14.4	7	54.3%
MARIHUANA (PL 221)	134	250	300	409	279	74.5	6	108.2%
POSSESSION	73	159	154	117	42	11.2	43	-42.5%
SALE	61	91	146	292	237	63.3	4	288.5%

Table 2D

ARRESTS FOR DRUG OFFENSES¹

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (<u>Penal Law of New York State</u> -Sections 220 and 221).

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

Table 2E

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS FOR DWI OFFENSES¹ (Adults Only)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Num	per of Arres	ts		Per 1 Lice	Arrests L,000 nsed <u>vers</u>	
	1982	1983		. 1985	1986	Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DWI ARRESTS (VTL 1192)	261	420	694	591	573	2.6	61	119.5%
NY	6	. 4	4	1	· 1	< .1	61	-83.3%
MISDEMEANOR	255	416	690	590	572	2.6	61	124.3%

¹ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (<u>Vehicle and Traffic Law:</u> <u>State of New York -</u> Section 1192).

Table 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		Number and Percent of Felony Indictments								
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>				
FELONY INDICTMENTS	455 100.0%	359 100.0%	351 100.0%	321 100.0%	521 100.0%	14.5%				
VIOLENT	298 65.5%	226 63.0%	222 63.2%	175 54.5%	214 41.1%	-28.2%				
OTHER	157 34.5%	133 37.0%	129 36.8%	146 45.5%	307 58.9%	95.5%				

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

Table 3B

FELONY INDICTMENTS: JANUARY THRU JUNE 1987¹ (Adult and Juvenile Offenders)

		% Change Jan-June			
	Number	86 vs 87			
FELONY INDICTMENTS	306	45.7%			
VIOLENT	95	-4.0%			
OTHER	211	90.1%			

¹ Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

RICHMOND COUNTY

Table 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS 1 (Adults Only)

				Percent of Di Resulting from nd Misdemeanor	•		
		1982	1983	1984	1985	2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS		3,549 100.0%	4,003 100.0%	4,342 100.0%	5,146 100.0%	5,175 100.0%	45.8%
CONVICTIONS		1,712 48.2%	1,843 46.0%	1,968 45.3%	2,209 42.9%	2,286 44.2%	33.5%
ACQUITTALS		9 0.3%	19 0.5%	12 0.3%	15 0.3%	13 0.3%	44.4%
DISMISSALS		1,377 38.8%	1,628 40.7%	1,772 40.8%	2,255 43.8%	2,246 43.4%	63.1%
NOT PROSECUTED		431 12.1%	478 11.9%	564 13.0%	631 12.3%	584 11.3%	35.5%
OTHER	· •	20 0.6%	35 0.9%	26 0.6%	. 36 0.7%	46 0.9%	130.0%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

RICHMOND COUNTY

Table 4B

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS¹ (Adults Only)

	1982	1983	from Felony Art 1984	1985	1986 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
DISPOSITIONS	1,639 100.0%	1,843 100.0%	1,808 100.0%	2,046 100.0%	2,046 100.0%	24.8%
CONVICTIONS	837 51.1%	880 47.7%	803 44.4%	967 47.3%	1,004 49.1%	20.0%
ACQUITTALS	5 0.3%	13 0.7%	9 0.5%	9 0.4%	9 0.4%	80.0%
DISMISSALS	667 40.7%	816 44.3%	827 45.7%	887 43.4%	865 42.3%	29.7%
NOT PROSECUTED	115 7.0%	102 5.5%	145 8.0%	152 7.4%	130 6.4%	13.0%
OTHER	15 0.9%	32 1.7%	24 1.3%	31 1.5%	38 1.9%	153.3%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and "other" dispositions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

RICHMOND COUNTY

Table 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (Adults Only)

		R	Percent of Conv esulting from <u>Misdemeanor Ar</u>			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> ²	% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>
CONVICTIONS	1,712 100.0%	1,843 100.0%	1,968 100.0%	2,209 100.0%	2,286 100.0%	33.5%
FELONY	269 15.7%	304 16.5%	246 12.5%	269 12.2%	290 12.7%	7.8%
VIOLENT	161 9.4%	148 8.0%	123 6.3%	138 6.2%	125 5.5%	-22.4%
OTHER	108 6.3%	156 8.5%	123 6.3%	131 5.9%	165 7.2%	52.8%
MISDEMEANOR	722 42.2%	710 38.5%	682 34.7%	925 41.9%	922 40.3%	27.7%
LESSER OFFENSES	721 42.1%	- 829 45.0%	1,040 52.8%	1,015 45.9%	1,074 47.0%	49.0%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of the underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

RICHMOND COUNTY

Table 5B

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (Adults Only)

			rcent of Convic rom Felony Arre			
	1982	1983	1984		<u>1986</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
CONVICTIONS	837 100.0%	880 100.0%	803 100.0%	967 100.0%	1,004 100.0%	20.0%
FELONY	268 32.0%	300 34.1%	244 30.4%	266 27.5%	281 28.0%	4.9%
VIOLENT	161 19.2%	148 16.8%	123 15.3%	138 14.3%	125 12.5%	-22.4%
OTHER	107 12.8%	152 17.3%	121 15.1%	128 13.2%	156 15.5%	45.8%
MISDEMEANOR	414 49.5%	423 48.1%	363 45.2%	497 51.4%	473 47.1%	14.3%
LESSER OFFENSES	155 18.5%	157 17.8%	196 24.4%	204 21.1%	250 24.9%	61.3%

¹ Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload.

² Preliminary data.

	SENTENC	ES AS A PERCENT (Adults	OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS ¹ Only)		
· · · ·		Sentences	as a Percent of Total (Convictions	
		1983	1984	1985	<u>1986</u> 2
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
STATE PRISON	7.5	8.5	6.9	5.6	7.3
LOCAL JAIL	17.8	16.3	19.7	21.4	24.8
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	2.7	2.3	1.7	1.7	1.7
PROBATION	14.7	15.1	10.4	13.0	11.6
FINES	38.6	43.0	43.4	32.5	23.1
OTHER	18.8	14.8	17.9	25.8	31.5

Table 6A NTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTION (Adults Only)

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Tables 7A for probation activity, in Tables 9A and 9B for local jail activity, and in Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

RICHMOND COUNTY

Table 6B

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS¹ (Adult Only)

	· · ·	Sentences as a Percent of Convictions									
	<u>19</u> Felony	Misd &	с	983 Misd & Lesser		984 Misd & Lesser		985 Misd & Lesser		9 <u>86</u> 2 Misd & <u>Lesser</u>	
CONVICTIONS	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
STATE PRISON	47.6	NA	51.6	NA	55.3	NA	46.1	NA	57.6	NA	
LOCAL JAIL	8.2	19.5	6.6	18.2	4.5	21.8	8.2	23.2	3.8	27.9	
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	10.4	1.2	11.5	0.5	12.2	0.2	11.2	0.4	12.4	0.2	
PROBATION	33.1	11.2	29.3	12.3	25.2	8.3	31.2	10.5	25.2	9.7	
FINES	0.4	45.7	1.0	51.3	0.8	49.5	2.2	36.7	0.7	26.3	
OTHER	0.4	22.2	0,0	17.7	2.0	20.2	1.1	29.2	0.3	36.0	

¹ These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). Because of underreporting of dispositions to DCJS (see Appendix C), these data are most appropriately used as indicators of trends in system activity rather than measures of total system workload. Workload measures can be found in Table 7A for probation activity, in Table 9A for local jail activity, and Table 10A for state prison activity.

² Preliminary data.

RICHMOND COUNTY

		Num	ber of Case	s	-	1986 Ca Per 100 <u>Populat</u>	% Channe	
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
INTAKE OPENED ¹	2,230	2,364	2,508	2,068	1,266	338.0	52	-43.2%
INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED	1,046	903	930	1,166	1,182	315.5	62	13.0%
FAMILY COURT	251	220	275	389	414	110.5	45	64.9%
CRIMINAL COURT	795	683	655	777	768	205.0	62	-3.4%
PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	143	148	97	105	62	16.6	40	-56.6%
FAMILY COURT	143	148	97	105	62	16.6	22	
CRIMINAL COURT	0	0	, · · , 0 ·	0	0	0.0	39	NA
PROBATION SUPERVISION CASES RECEIVED	405	433	391	512	527	140.7	60	30.1%
FAMILY COURT	32	26	42	91	94	25.1	56	193.8%
CRIMINAL COURT	373	407	349	421	433	115.6	60	16.1%
UNDER PROBATION ² SUPERVISION	1,372	1,489	1,546	1,646	1,769	472.2	62	28.9%
FAMILY COURT	56	56	60	125	162	43.2	59	189.3%
CRIMINAL COURT	1,316	1,433	1,486	1,521	1,607	429.0	60	22.1%
UNDER PROBATION SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	1,055	1,154	1,132	1,242	1,345	359.0	61	27.5%
FAMILY COURT	29	17	32	68	62	16.6	58	113.8%
CRIMINAL COURT	1,026	1,137	1,100	1,174	1,283	342.5	59	25.0%

Table 7A PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY

¹ Intake occurs only in family court.

² The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

PROBATION REVOCATIONS 1986 Revocations Per 1,000 Number of Revocations Probationers % Change <u>82 vs 86</u> 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 Rate <u>Rank</u> REVOCATIONS 43 54 71 85 82 46.4 27 90.7% 7 7 5 FAMILY COURT 8 13 80.2 24 160.0% CRIMINAL COURT 38 47 77 64 69 42.9 25 81.6% TECHNICAL 6 14 18 32 18 11.2 51 200.0% NEW CONVICTION 32 33 46 45 51 31.7 8 59.4%

TABLE 78

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

RICHMOND COUNTY

Table 8

ALTERNATIVE TO INCARCERATION PROGRAMS

There are no programs in this County receiving funds from Alternative to Incarceration Programs.

RICHMOND COUNTY

Table 9A

NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION ADMISSIONS¹

		Numbe	r of Admis	sions	1986 Admissions		~ ~	
	1982				1986	Per 100,000 Population		% Change <u>82_vs_86</u>
ADMISSIONS	*	77,910	82,033	88,889	105,901	1,458.1		NA
UNSENTENCED	*	56,536	60,810	65,167	82,004	1,129.1		NA
SENTENCED	 *	21,374	21,223	23,722	23,897	329.0	-	NA

¹ The New York City Department of Correction operates a city-wide corrections system for the five boroughs of New York City. There are four institutions for sentenced inmates and nine institutions for detained inmates.

* Data were not available.

Source: NYS Commission of Correction.

NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION CAPACITY AND POPULATION¹ 1986 Inmates Per 100,000 % Change 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 Population 82 vs 86 REPORTED CAPACITY 9,889 9,811 10,789 11,104 12,957 178.4 31.0% AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION 9,497 9,495 10,073 10,889 12,877 177.3 35.6% DECEMBER 31 9,852 POPULATION 9,669 11,499 181.8 36.5% 8,867 13,201 UNSENTENCED 8,452 139.1 39.9% 7,222 6,104 7,178 10,103 SENTENCED 2,447 2,763 2,674 3,047 3,098 42.7 26.6%

Table 9B

¹ See footnote in Table 8A above.

SOURCE: NYS Commission of Correction.

RICHMOND COUNTY

			lumber of irt Commitme	ents		1986 New Court Committments Per 100,000 Population	
	1982	1983		1985	1986 2	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
NEW COURT COMMITMENTS	93	121	110	115	148	39.5 26	59.1%
VIOLENT FELONIES ³	66	92	71	74	78	20.8 16	18.2%
HOMICIDE	9	11	4	11	17	4.5 5	88.9%
SEX OFFENSE	5	5	2	7/	8	2.1 38	60.0%
ROBBERY	21	44	29	22	28	7.5 7	33.3%
BURGLARY	24	21	24	25	18	4.8 24	-25.0%
WEAPONS	3	6	4	6	5	1.3 14	66.7%
OTHER	4	5	8	3	2	0.5 36	-50.0%
DRUG FELONIES ⁴	5	9	6	8	40	10.7 11	700.0%
POSSESSION	1	1	0	3	5	1.3 27	400.0%
SALE	4	8	6	5	35	9.3 8	775.0%
OTHER FELONIES	18	18	30	32	28	7.5 51	55.6%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	4	2	3	1	2	0.5 27	-50.0%

Table 10A NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON¹

 1 The files from which these data are extracted are continuously updated. As a result, the counts presented in this table may differ slightly from those presented in other reports.

² Preliminary data.

³ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

⁴ New court commitments in which the top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

RICHMOND COUNTY

Table 108-1

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31¹

		umber of In 1 State Pri				Inma Under on 12/ Per 10 Popula	Cust. 31/86 0,000	9/ Chan
			1984	1985		Rate	Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
UNDER CUSTODY	319	305	329	356	392	104.6	17	22.9%
VIOLENT FELONIES ²	228	243	262	281	288	76.9	13	26.3%
HOMICIDE	58	64	62	66	81	21.6	13	39.7%
SEX OFFENSE	16	17	16	22	27.	7.2	35	68.8%
ROBBERY	101	97	101	100	97	25.9	10	-4.0%
BURGLARY	32	41	50	58	56	14.9	15	75.0%
WEAPONS	. 5	7	9	12	10	2.7	11	100.0%
OTHER	16	17	24	23	17	4.5	28	6.3%
DRUG FELONIES ³	14	14	15	14	46	12.3	16	228.6%
POSSESSION	1	3	4	5	9	2.4	30	800.0%
SALE	13	11	11	9	37	9.9	14	184.6%
OTHER FELONIES	69	44	49	59	56	14.9	45	-18.8%
YOUTHFUL OFFENDERS	8	4	3	2	2	0.5	32	-75.0%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County.

² Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is a violent felony. Appendix E contains a list of the offenses counted as violent felonies.

³ Inmates under custody whose top conviction offense is for the possession or sale of drugs (PL 220 and and PL 221). Drug felonies for the injection of a narcotic (PL 220.46), use of drug paraphernalia (PL 220.55), or possession of precursors (PL 220.60) are reported as "other felonies."

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 10B-2

THE PERCENT OF INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 FROM THE COUNTY $^{\rm I}$

PERCENT OF INMATES	1982	1983	1984		1986
UNDER CUSTODY ON 12/31	1.1%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%

¹ Inmates under custody who were sentenced to State Prison by courts in this County. The total number of inmates under custody in State Prison for each of the years can be found in the "New York State" profile.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

RICHMOND COUNTY

		PA	ROLE SUPERV	ISION CASES				
, 		Number of Parolees				1986 Parolees Per 100,000 Population	· · · · ·	
		1983	1984	1985	1986	<u>Rate</u> Rank	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>	
RELEASES TO PAROLE	121	138	114	132	151	40.3 13	24.8%	
UNDER PAROLE ₁ SUPERVISION	321	370	389	413	428	114.3 14	33.3%	
UNDER PAROLE SUPERVISION ON DECEMBER 31	232	275	281	277	308	82.2 12	32.8%	

Table 11A

¹ The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for supervision.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

Table 118

PAROLE REVOCATIONS AND RETURNS

		Numbe	r of Parol	ees		-	198 Revoca Per 1 Parol	tions ,000	
	1982	1983	1984	1985_	1986		Rate	<u>Rank</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
REVOKED AND RETURNED ¹	11	17	31	39	29		7.7	42	163.6%
RULE VIOLATIONS	1	2	3	9	5		1.3	29	400.0%
NEW ARRESTS	8	6	10	9	11		2.9	45	37.5%
NEW FELONY CONVICTIONS	2	9	18	21	13		3.5	21	550.0%

 $^{1}\,$ The total number of parolees revoked and returned during a given year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

	·	N	umber of Cases			
	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	% Change <u>82 vs 86</u>
FELONY ARRESTS	21	30	9	15	22	4.8%
FELONY INDICTMENTS	7	7	2	3	4	-42.9%
DISPOSITIONS	18	19	9	10	12	-33.3%
CONVICTIONS	6	6	4	2	2	-66.7%
PRISON	3	1	· 1	1	1	-66.7%
LOCAL JAIL	0	0	0	0	0	NA
LOCAL JAIL AND PROBATION	1	2	0	0	0	-100.0%
PROBATION	1	2	3	1	1	0.0%
OTHER	1	1.	0	0	0	-100.0%
ACQUITTALS	0	0	0	0	0	NA
DISMISSALS	4	8	1	5	3	-25.0%
NOT PROSECUTED	0	4	0	2	3	NA
REMOVED TO FAMILY COURT	7	1	2	са с с раз. 1 с	4	-42.9%
OTHER	1	0	1	0	0	-100.0%

Table 12

JUVENILE OFFENDERS ARRESTS, INDICTMENTS, AND DISPOSITIONS¹

¹ A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix I); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of section 125.25 of the <u>New York State Penal Law</u>. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

SOURCE: Division of Criminal Justice Services: Juvenile Offender Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.

RICHMOND COUNTY

Table 1 LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY¹

		(Th	ousands of Do				
·	·····	·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Crime Victims Board	23.2	24.5	27.9	20.0	-13.6%	95.5	23.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	206.0	256.5	409.7	341.4	65.7%	333.9	345.8
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	300.0	0.0
Division for Youth ³	30.0	129.3	209.3	228.0	659.9%	159.8	169.0
TOTAL	259.2	410.2	646.8	589.4	127.4%	889.2	537.7

¹ This summary includes local assistance funds that are not reported in "county" profiles because the funds were awarded to agencies (e.g., the State Police or the Public Defenders Association) that do not provide county-specific services. Please see Appendix G for local assistance funds awarded to agencies in New York City that provide services citywide and Appendix H for local assistance funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

RICHMOND COUNTY

Table 2

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 2 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services only in this borough. However, Table 2 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

RICHMOND COUNTY

Table 3

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING¹ (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Court Related	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	19.2	23.0
Elderly	23.2	24.5	27.9	20.0	-13.6%	76.3	0.0
TOTAL	23.2	24.5	27.9	20.0	-13.6%	95.5	23.0

¹ Table 3 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

Table 4

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING¹ (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures					Appropriations	
<u>Program</u>	<u>FY 82-83</u>	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
Asset/Forfeiture ² Initiative		. 	46.7	8.7	NA			
Crime Prevention ³ and Protection	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.0	25.0	12.2	NA	25.0	30.0	
Neighborhood ⁴ Preservation Crime Prevention Act		39.0	50.0	24.1	NA	. : : :		
Target Crime Initiative Program ⁵	206.0	217.5	287.9	296.4	43.9%	308.9	315.8	
TOTAL	206.0	256.5	409.7	341.4	65.7%	333.9	345.8	

¹ Table 4 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

² This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

 3 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁴ This act was established in FY 1983-84. At the time of publication, appropriations were not available by county.

⁵ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

RICHMOND COUNTY

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE COUNTY LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services in this borough. However, Table 5 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

RICHMOND COUNTY

Table 6

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		·	Approp	riations
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY_85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Demonstration Projects	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	300.0	0.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	300.0	0.0

¹ Table 6 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

Table 7

DIVISION FOR YOUTH LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING¹ (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Appropriations		
<u>Program</u>	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u> 2	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	0.0	49.3	79.3	68.0	NA	94.8	108.8	
Special Legislative Contracts	30.0	80.0	130.0	160.0	433.3%	65.0	60.2	
TOTAL	30.0	129.3	209.3	228.0	659.9%	159.8	169.0	

¹ Table 7 in Appendix G presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

² The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

RICHMOND COUNTY

TABLE 1A

CAPACITY AND STAFF SUMMARY (June 1987)

	Capacity	<u>Staff</u>	
DOCS Facilities	755	495	
DFY Facilities	36	41	
TOTAL	791	536	

TABLE 1B

OPERATING BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditure	Appropriations			
	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 8788</u>
DOCS Facilities	13,352.0	14,650.4	14,853.2	16,821.0	26.0%	16,188.7	17,718.9
DFY Facilities	920.8	1,080.0	1,160.7	1,170.6	27.1%	1,147.7	1,263.1
TOTAL	14,272.8	15,730.4	16,013.9	17,991.6	26.1%	17,336.4	18,982.0

TABLE 1C

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expenditures			Appropriations	
	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	FY 85-86	Total	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
DOCS Facilities	57.7	125.4	2,077.2	2,260.3	4,236.0	7,239.8
DFY Facilities	8.8	0.0	3.4	12.2	54.0	27.0
TOTAL	66.5	125.4	2,080.6	2,272.5	4,290.0	7,266.8

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

RICHMOND COUNTY

TABLE 2A

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY SECURITY LEVEL, CAPACITY, AND STAFF (June 1987)

				Staff	·
Facility	Security Level	<u>Capacity</u>	Total	Security	<u>Civilian</u>
Arthur Kill	MEDIUM	755	495	345	150
· · · · ·					

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 2B

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		- -	Expenditures			Approp	riations
<u>Facility</u>	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Arthur Kill	13,352.0	14,650.4	14,853.2	16,821.0	26.0%	16,188.7	17,718.9

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services

TABLE 2C

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	- <u>11-11-1</u>	Expendi	tures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Approj	priations
<u>Facility</u>	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY_87-88</u>
Arthur Kill	57.7	125.4	2,077.2	2,260.3	4,236.0	7,239.8

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

RICHMOND COUNTY

TABLE 3A

•	•		 (June 1987) Security ₁			-
		<u>Facility</u>	Level	<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Staff</u>	
		Staten Island Community Residential Home	VI	16	20	
		Willowbrook Residential Center	IV	20	21	
		TOTAL		36	41	

DIVISION FOR YOUTH

1 Security levels are defined as follows: I - Secure Services; II - Limited Secure Services; III - not currently applicable; IV - Non-community Based Services; V - Youth Development Centers; VI- Community Facilities.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3B

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY OPERATION BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Expenditures				Appropriations		
<u>Facility</u>	<u>FY 82-83</u>	<u>FY 83-34</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
Staten Island Community Residential Home	394.6	491.3	577.3	500.8	26.9%	507.0	569.1	
Willowbrook Residential Center	526.2	588.7	583.4	669.8	27.3%	640.7	694.0	
TOTAL	920.8	1,080.0	1,160.7	1,170.6	27.1%	1,147.7	1,263.1	

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

TABLE 3C

DIVISION FOR YOUTH FACILITY CAPITAL INPROVEMENT BUDGET (Thousands of Dollars)

		Expend	ditures	······	Appropr	iations
<u>Facility</u>	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>		FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Staten Island Community Residential Home	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.0	0.0
Willowbrook Residential Center	8.8	0.0	3.4	12.2	33.0	27.0
TOTAL	8.8	0.0	3.4	12.2	54.0	27.0

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth

APPENDIX A EXPLANATORY NOTES

EXPLANATORY NOTES

ANALYSES

The statistics presented in this document include frequencies, percents, percent changes, rates, and rate rank scores.

<u>Percent changes</u> are sensitive to relatively small changes in absolute numbers when the numbers used to calculate the percent changes are low. In addition, the <u>percent change</u> is not a valid indicator of change when the number reported for the base year (the 1982 calendar year or the 1982-83 fiscal year) is zero (0). In such instances the notation "NA" is used.

<u>Rates</u> are <u>ranked</u> from high to low; e.g., if the rates for an activity in the 62 counties ranged from 200.0 to 50.0 per 100,000 population, the highest rate (200.0) would be ranked one (1) and the lowest rate (50.0) would be ranked 62. Rank values for both the public assistance data and the county jail and penitentiary data reflect the rankings for only the 57 non-New York City counties, making the range for rank values of these data one (1) to 57. It is important to note that the <u>rank calculations</u> do not take into consideration the magnitude of the differences between the ranked rates. Also, dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

The Bureau of Census provisional population estimates for 1985 that are reported in Section I were used for all <u>population-based rate calculations</u> presented in the briefing book with the exception of those reported for "Index Crimes Known to the Police" (Section III: Table 1). Index crime rates were calculated using population estimates provided by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. These population data were used rather than the Bureau of Census population data to maintain comparability with Index crime rates reported in the annual <u>NYS Crime and Justice</u> publication. FBI population data are used because the production of this annual publication begins before the more authoritative Bureau of Census population data become available.

The New York State Department of Motor Vehicles provided the data on licensed drivers in New York State that was used to calculate the <u>rate of DWI</u> <u>arrests</u> per 1,000 licensed drivers (Section III: Table 2-E).

SECTION I CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

Criminal justice agencies located within or providing direct services to the county are presented in this section.

SECTION II DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

The demographic and socioeconomic data presented in this section were provided by a number of sources.

- o NYS Department of Commerce (personal per capita income data)
- NYS Department of Education (high school dropout data) 0
- NYS Department of Labor (labor force data)
 NYS Department of Social Services (public assistance program data)
- New York State Statistical Yearbook: 1983-84 (square miles and n
 - 1970-80 population change data)
- o U.S. Bureau of the Census (population, age, race, and ethnicity data)

SECTION III CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

It is important to note that in instances where multiple offenses are reported for an event, the offense classification for the event is generally determined by the most serious offense reported for that event. However, the criteria which agencies have developed to rank crimes by seriousness may differ among the statistical systems operated by State agencies. As a result, a robbery that is identified as the most serious offense in a multiple crime event by one agency may not be identified as the most serious crime that occurred during an event by another agency.

The characteristics of the data presented in Section III vary across tables. Table 1 in this appendix defines data populations, units of count, offender types, and offense categories by table. The data sources are also identified.

SECTION IV LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

The expenditures and appropriations for local assistance programs funded with federal monies are based on the State's fiscal year.

SECTION V STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES

DOCS capacity data reflect both housing and "other" categories, such as transit units and reception centers; they exclude temporary spaces, Special Housing Unit cells, and hospital spaces. DFY capacity data reflect the number of youths that can be housed at a facility and is contingent upon both available space and number of staff.

DOCS staff data reflect both full-time and part-time staff, while DFY staff data reflect full-time equivalent staff.

The operating budget and capital improvement budget expenditures include monies spent both within and outside the county. The DOCS facility-based budget does not include centralized billings. DFY's facility-based budget excludes centralized billings and monies budgeted for regional offices and aftercare workers.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity

A-3

Table	Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Reaarks
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		·····
Table 1 INDEX CRIMES KNOWN TO THE POLICE	Index offenses known to law enforcement agencies in New York State for a given year.	Victim-based: murder forcible rape aggravated assault Structure-based: burglary Event-based: robbery larceny (excluding motor vehicle theft) Vehicle-based: motor vehicle theft The unit of rount is based on the most serious offense in a crime event.	Hot applicable.	FBI Index offense def- initions spanning felonies and misde- meanors for the specified offenses.	NYS Division of Crininal Justice Services, Unifore Crime Reporting System.
	f	l.		I	J
Table 2A FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS Table 2B FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS: January through June, 1987 Table 2C ARRESTS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES	Adult felony and eisdeseanor arrest events that occurred during a given year.	An arrest event. The most serious charge placed against an offender for an arrest event is counted except for violent felony counts which reflect arrest events that included at least one charge for a violent felony offense listed in Appendix E.	Adult +	Felony Arrests Nisdemeanor Arrests	MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.
Table 2C ARRESTS FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS	Adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for drugs (Hew York State Penal Law - Sections 220 and 221).	An arrest event.			NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.
Table 2E FELONY AND MISDENEANOR ARRESTS For DNI OFFENSES	Adult felony and eisdemeanor arrest events occurring during a given year that include at least one charge for a DVI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York - Section 1192).				NYS Division of Crisinal Justice Services, DWI Arrest and Pfocessing Trends File.

I Continued on the next page.)

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

A-4

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Reearks
<u> </u>		1	1	T	1	
able JA	FELONY INDICTRENTS	Felony indictments and superior	Defendant-indictment/superior court	Adult #	Felony Indictments/	NVS Division of Criminal Justic
		court informations filed during a given year.	information(SCI) based; each defendant named in an indictment/SCI is counted.	Juvenile Offender 📲	Superior Court Informa- tions.	Services, Indictment Statistica System.
		a given year.	The most serious charge placed against		610034	ularra.
			a defendant is counted except for			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		violent felony counts which reflect			
			indictments/SCI's that include at least one charge for a violent felony offense		м	
			listed in Appendix E.			n an
	FELONY INDICTHENTS:					
	January through June, 1987				. · · · ·	
			·			
			1		·····	· · · ·
ble 4A	DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM	Dispositions occurring curing a given	Disposition based; each arrest event	Adult +	Convictions for:	NYS Division of Criminal Justi
	FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS	year that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events which	that resulted in a disposition is counted. Counts are based on the		Felony Offenses Hisdemeanor Offenses	Services, Arrest and Processin Trends File.
		accurred after December 31, 1969.	year of sentencing for convictions		Lesser Offenses	# EAUS # 128.
			and on the year of disposition for			
1.1. 45	STRAGGETTANA OFFICE THE FOOL OF SHE ADDEDTA		acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted,		(Not applicable for	
ible 48	DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from adult felony	and "other" dispositions. "Other" includes cases disposed by civil		acquittal, dismissal, and "other" disposi-	
- 1		arrest events that occurred after	procedure, abated by the death of a		tions.)	
		December 31, 1969.	defendant, etc.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
ble 5A	FELONY, HISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE	Conviction dispositions, for which	Conviction based; each arrest event	Adult *	Convictions for:	NYS Division of Criminal Justic
· .	CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND	sentences were imposed during a given	resulting in both a conviction and		Felony Offenses	Services, Arrest and Processing
	MISDEMEANOR AFRESTS	year, that resulted from adult felony	sentence is counted. Only the most		Misdemeanor Offenses	Trends File.
		and misdemeanor arrest events that	serious charge is counted except for	· · · · · ·	Lesser Offenses	
		oczurred after December 31, 1969.	violent felony counts which reflect conviction events that include at			
			least one conviction charge for a			
	FELONY, NISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE	Conviction dispositions, for which	violent felony offense listed in			
	CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS	sentences were imposed during a given	Appendix E.			
	•	year, that resulted from adult felony arrest events that occurred after				
		December 31, 1969.		1.		
				1	1 1	

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III; Crise and Crisinal Justice Activity - Continued

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Reaarks
		•				
	SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS Sentences as a percent of felony or Nisdeheanor and lesser offense convictions	Conviction dispositions, for which sentences were imposed during a given year, that resulted from adult felony and misdemeanor arrest events that occurred after December 31, 1969.	Conviction based; each arrest event resulting in both a conviction and sentence is counted. Only the aost serious sentence in a aultiple sentence offense is counted. "Other" sentences	Adult •	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Hisdemeanor Offenses Lesser Offenses	NYS Division of Criminal Justic Services, Arrest and Processing Trends File.
			include cases, for exacple, where a license was suspended or revoked (without fine).	-		
•						
Table 7A	PROBATION DEPARTMENT ACTIVITY	Intake cases received during a given year.	Cosplaint-based; each cosplaint is counted as one case.	Fazily Court: Adult + Juvenile Delinquent	Detained/Arrested for: Felony Offense Hisdeseanor Offense	NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives:
		Investigations ordered during a given year.	Investigation-based; each investigation ordered is counted as one case.	Person in Need of Supervision (PINS) Criainal Court:	Aisdeceanor Uffense Lesser Offense - only Juvenile Delin Status Offense - only PINS	Family Court - Annual compila of the "Honthly Report of Fam Workload in Probation Departs
		Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service requested is counted as one case.	Request-based; each pre-disposition supervision/service request is counted as one case.	Adult # Juvenile Offender ##		(Form No. DP-30). Criminal Court - Annual compi tion of the "Monthly Report o
		Probation supervision cases received during a given year.	Sentence-based; each sentence to probation is counted as one case.		Adjudication/Conviction for:	Crisinal Workload in Probatio Departments (Fors No. DP-30A)
		Probation supervision cases supervised during a given year (i.e., probation cases received during a given year plus probation supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).			Felony Offense Nisdeaeanor Offense Lesser Offenses - only Juvenile Deling. Status Offense - only FINS	investigation and pre-disposi data, and the Probation Registrant System for all oth data.
		Probation supervision cases under supervision on Deceeber 31 of a given year.				
Table 70	PROBATION REVOCATIONS	Probation supervision cases for which probation was revoked during a given year.	Revocation-based; each probation supervision case for which probation was revoked is counted as one			

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Criae and Criainal Justice Activity - Continued

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Resarks
t				· · · · ·	•	······
	ALTERNATIVES TO INCARCERATION PROSRAMS: Demonstration projects	Cases processed by "demonstration" projects during a given State fiscal year.	Case-based; if more than one case was opened for the same client, each case is counted.	Adult # Juvenile Offenders ##	Arrests or Convictions for: Felony Offenses Misdemeanor Offenses	NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives
	PRETRIAL RELEASE PROGRAM: 1986 Community Service Program: 1986	Cases processed by "classification/ alternative bill" projects during 1984.			Lesser Offenses	
Table 80	OFFENDER-BASED ADVOCACY PROGRAM: 1986					
Tablè BE	SPECIALIZED ALTERNATIVES: 1986			м		•

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

A-7

Table		Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Reparks
•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•	
Table 9A	JAIL & PENITENTIARY ADHISSIONS	Adeissions of both detained and sentenced inmates to county/NYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State during a given year for criminal, civil, and federal offenses.	Adeission based. It is important to note that if an individual is admitted sore than once on the same charge, each admission is counted.	Adult #	Detained adaissions: Felony Arrests Misdemeanor Arrests Lesser Offenses Sentenced admissions: Misdemeanor Conv. Lesser Offense Conv.	WYS Cossission of Correction, Sheriffs Annual Reports: The "Statewide Cospilation of Data from 1985 Sheriffs" Annual Reports" included the following caveat: " The Sheriffs" Annual Reports represent self-
Table 90	JAIL & PENITENTIARY CAPACITY AND Population	A census of both detained and sentenced inmates in county/NYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State for civil, criminal, and federal offenses is presented for each of the populations that follow:	Population is inmate based for both the "Deceaber 31" and "average daily" populations.			reported data collected by the county jails Differences exist in recordkeeping practice among these various counties The Coemission advises caution when using data to compare one county to another."
		Insates under custody on December 31 of a given year, and				
· ·		The daily average of inmates under custody during a given year.				
		A census of beds in county/HYC jails and penitentiaries in New York State. Each jail and peniten- tiary census was taken on a different	Capacity is based on beds; the count differs by region: New York City Department of Correction	Not applicable.	Hot applicable.	
		day during a given year.	counts reflect "beds at standard" (one inmate per cell; 60 square fest per inmate; and no more than 50 in a dorm). The count does not include beds in hospital prison wards.			
			Non-New York City jail counts reflect the number of cells (one bed per cell) and dormitory beds. This count does not include detention or holding rooms.			

I Continued on the next page.)

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section III: Crise and Crisinal Justice Activity - Continued

A-8

.

lable	Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
	······				
Table 10A-1 ADMISSIONS TO NEW YORK STATE PRISON	Adaissions to NYS prison during a given year.	Inwate based; parole detainees are not counted as part of the admissions or under custody population. Only the	Adult =	Felony Convictions	NYS Department of Correctional Services.
TABLE 10A-2 NEW COURT CONKITMENTS TO STATE PRISON Table 10A	Kew court cosmitaents to NYS prison during a given year.	aost serious conviction offense is counted. Offenses counted as violent felonies are listed in Appendix E.			The "under custody" counts may differ slightly from data presented in reports and publications released by DOCS.
Table 108 THRATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE FRISON ON DECEMBER 31	A census of inmates under custody in NYS prison on December 31 of a given year.				
TABLE 10C ' PARDLE DETAINEES IN STATE PRISON On december 31	Parole detainees in NYS prison on December 31.	Detainee based.		•	•
Table 11A PAROLE SUPERVISION CASES	Inaates released to the HYS Division of Parole for supervision within the State.	Case based (one person per case).	Adult ≠ Juvenile Offender ≠¥	Convictions for: Felony Offenses Hisdemeanor Offenses	NYS Division of Parole. The increase in parole revocation
	Parole supervision cases supervised during a given year (i.e., parole cases received during a given year plus parole supervision cases carried over from December 31 of the previous year).				resulting from new felony convic tions reflects legislative chang and improved efficiency of the parole revocation process. A primary factor was the passage o legislation, incorporated into the Division's rules and regula-
	Parole supervision cases under super- vision on December 31 of a given year.				tions effective November 1, 1984, authorizing the Parole Board to issue a final declaration of de-
able 118 REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEES	Parole supervision cases for which parole was revoled and the parolee returned to prison during a given year.				linquency for releasees convicte of new felonies constituted under supervision and receiving new indeterminate sentences. A final declaration of delinquency stream
					lines the violation process in these cases by obviating the nei for a final revocation hearing. The streadlined process has resulted in an increased propor
					tion of returns for new convic- tions.

I Continued on the next page. 1

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Data Presented in Section 111: Crime and Criminal Justice Activity - Continued

Table	Population	Unit of Count	Offender Type	Offense Category	Source & Remarks
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Table 12 JUVENILE OFFENDERS	Violent felony arrest events that occurred during a given year.	An arrest event.	Juvenile Offender ++	Arrests, Indictments, and Dispositions for	NYS Division of Criginal Justice Services: Juvenile Offenders
	Violent felony indictments and superior court informations filed during a given year.	Defendant-indictment/superior court information (SCI) based; each defendant named in an indictment/SCI is counted.		Violent Felony Offenses.	Arrests and Processing Trends File and the Indictment Statistical System.
	Dispositions occurring during a given year that resulted from violent felony arrests events.	Disposition based; each arrest event that resulted in a disposition. Counts are based on the year of disposition for			
		acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, resoved to family court, and "other." Only the most serious sentence in a multiple sentence disposition is counted.			

+ An adult is an offender who is 16 years of age or older at time of arrest.

A Juvenile Offender is a person 14 or 15 years of age who is criminally responsible for a specified felony act(s) (see Appendix 1); and a person 13 years of age who is criminally responsible for an act which constitutes second degree murder as defined in subdivisions one and two of Section 125.25 of the Fenal Law. Criminal courts have original jurisdiction over juvenile offenders who are prosecuted as adults. A criminal court can waive original jurisdiction and remove a "juvenile offender" to family court where that person is reclassified as a "juvenile delinquent."

APPENDIX B

DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS AND CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITY

STATE SUMMARIES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECUNDMIC CHARACTERISTICS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

B-1

		Popula	atinn		Population Per Squa		School	c High Dropouts		Nonthiy Uneap	Average loyed	•	Nonthly A Recei Public As	iving
CDUNTY	Year	Nueber	Rank		Density		Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank
ALBANY	· 1986 1985	283,400 283,866	12 12	· .	541 542	14 14	3.8 3.4	37 45	۰.	4.2	56 56		2.9	44 44
LLEGANY	1986 1985	50,500 50,902	48 47		49 49	54 54	2.6 3.4	58 42		8.1 7.9	20 20		9.2 9.2	1
RONX	1986 1985	1,193,600 1,184,154	6 6		28,419 28,194	3	12.1 12.3	2		8.4 7.1	16 12		.0	58 59
ROOKE	1986 1985	210,800 212,443	18 18		296 298		4.0 4.1	30		5.9 5.9	42 42		3.7 3.5	29 34
ATTARAUGUS	1706 1785	85,300 85,335	32 32		65 65	47 47	3.8 4.4	38 28		8.8 9.0	11 13	-	. 5.2 4.1	11 12
AYUGA	1986 1985	79,900 79,857	35 35		115 115	32 32	5.0	12 23		7.7	28 24		5.5 5.8	14 15
HAUTAURUA	1986 1985	143,100 144,230	23 23		134 136	28 28	3.9 4.1	34 34		8.0 7.6	24 26		7.6	5 7
HENUNG	1986 1985	90,500 91,992	29 28		220 224	2i 21	5.3 4.5	11 27		6.8 8.3	36 17		8.0 8.7	3 2
HENANGO	1986 1985	50,000 49,963	49 49		56 56	52 52	3.8 3.9	35 36		6.8 7.2	37 34		3.3 3.2	34 38
LINTON	1986 1985	81,200 81,571	34 33		79 78	41	5.0	13 19		8.4 7.5	17 30		4.7 4.7	19 21
OLUHBIA	1986 1985	60,700 60,602	39 39		95 95	38 38	4.4	20 14	•	4.3	55 53		3.J 3.6	37 32

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONDNIC CHARACTERISTICS

SELECTED DENDGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS BY COUNTY FOR 1905 AND 1986 - Continued

	а. А.	Popul a	Li an		Population Population			lic High I Dropouts	Konthly Average Unemployed	Nonthly Ave Receivi Public Assi	ing
COUNTY	Year	Nuaber	Rank	-	Per Squa Density	Rank	Percen	Percent t Rank	Percent Percent Rank	Percent	Percen Rank
CORTLAND	1986 1985	47,400 47,392	50 50		 95 95	39 39	5.		6.9 35 9.0 14	6.5 6.8	10 B
DELAWARE	1986) 1985	47,100 46,888	51 51		33 21	58 50	4.		5.6 45 6.4 40	3.3 3.6	35 33
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	256,800 255,106	15 15		319 317	10 18	- 4.) 4.)		3.8 60 3.6 61	1.8 1.9	54 53
ERIE	1986 1985	· 964,700 971,386	7		922 929	11 11	3. 3.		7.2 3i 7.3 32	6.2 8.2	2 3
ESSEX	1986 1985	36,300 36,364	56 56		20 20	60 69	3.: 5.		9.9 6 7.6 8	5.4 5.0	16 13
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	43,800 43,793	52 52		27 27	59 59	2. 3.		10.7 5 9.5 9	6.8 7.2	8
FULTON	1986 1985	54,600 55,411	45 45		110 111	33 33	5. 5.		11.4 4 13.1 i	4.7 4.4	2
GENESEE	1986 1985	58,800 59,053	41 41		119 119	31 31	3. 3.		B:6 13 7.9 22	2.8 2.8	41
REENE	1986 1985	42,100 41,476	53 53	· · ·	65 64	48 48	4. 5.	3 24 5 10	7.3 29 8.5 16	2.5 2.8	5(4)
KAMILTON	1986 1985	5,000 4,985	62 62		3 3	62 62	3. 2.		12.4 1 11.9 3	2.8 3.2	4:
IERKINER	1986 1985	66,900 66,919	37 37		- 47 47	56 56	4.		9.9 7 9.2 11	4.1 4.1	28 28

SELECTED DEHOGRAPHIC AND SACINECONDHIC CHARACTERISTICS

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONDHIC CHARACTERISTICS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

						Population Per Squa					c High Dropouls		Konthiy Uneap		•	Honthly A Recei Public As	ving
COUNTY		Year	Popula Nusber	Rank		Density	Rank			Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank
		'											*				
JEFFERSON		1986 1985	90,600 88,583	28 29		71 70	42 43			5.7 4.6	8 24		11.4	3 2		5.9 6.6	13 10
KINGS		1986 1985	2,293,200 2,283,395	1	•	32,740 32,620	- 2 2			8.8 [1.9	2 2		8.6 9.7	12 7		.0	58 58
LEWIS		1986 1985	25,300 25,165	59 59		20 20	61 61	-		2.2 3.0	61 57		9.5 9.3	9 10		4.8 4.4	22 24
LIVINGSTON		1986 1985	58,600 58,328	42 42		93 92	40 40			2.2 3.0	60 58		7.1 5.7	- 32 44		3.0 2.8	42 45
KADISON		1984 1985	66,600 66,743	38 38		102 102	34 34			- 4.7 4.6	19 22		7.8 6.9	26 37		2.5 2.8	- 48 - 48
NONROE		1986 1985 -	702,600 704,876	9 9		1,060 1,063	10 10		6 - L	4.0 4.7	29 21	•	5.0 4.3	51 55		7.0 6.7	7 9
MONTGONERY		1986 1985	. 52,100 52,527	46 46		129 130	29 29			4.1 4.9	28 20		9.6 9.9	8		4.0	27 30
NASSAU	•	1986 1985	1,323,000 1,331,343	- 4 - 4	•	4,610 4,639	6			2.1 2.3	62 61		4.0 4.2	58 57		1.3	56 56
NEH YORK	•	1986 1985	1,478,000 1,479,775	3		67,182 67,263	1 1			14.7 18.4	1		7.0 7.5	34 29		.0 .0	58 50
NIAGARA		1986 1965	216 ₁ 900 216,493	- 17 17		412 412	15 15			4.4 4.2	22 32		8.7 7.8	10 5		7.4 7.6	6 5
ONEIDA		1986 1985	248,500 250,734	16 16		204 206	22		·	5.0 4.3	14 30		6.3	40 38		6.1 5.9	12 14

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		Popula	tion	• • •	Population Per Squa			Public School	Dropouts	Unesp	Average loyed		Monthly A Recei Public As	ving sistance
COUNTY	Year	Number	Rank	· .	Density	Rank		Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank
ONDRDAGA	1986 1985	453,200 465,317	10 10		590 573	13 13	- · ·	4.0 3.9	31 37	5.2	39 50		4.9	18 18
DNTARIO	1986 1985	92,200 92,386	27 27		143 143	27 27		3.5 3.0	42 56	6.7 7.0	38 36		2.0 2.7	46 49
ORANGE	1986 1985	281,700 277,563	13 13		341 336	17 17		4.9	16 11	5.1 5.3	50 48		4.5 4.7	24 20
DRLEANS	1986 1985	38,900 39,140	55 55		99 100	35 36		3.2 3.1	49 53	8.5 10,1	15 4		5.5 5.6	15 16
OSXEGO	1986 1985	119,600 119,138	24 24	•.	125 125	30 20		4.8 6.3	- 18 - 6	11.5 8.5	2 15	· .	5.1 5.1	17 17
OTSEGO	1986 1985	59,200 59,369	40 40		59 59	51 51		3.2 3.4	51 48	5.5 5.5	46 46		3. ž	39 42
PUTNAN	1986 1985	81,600 60,895	33 34		353 350	16 16		3.0 1.4	54 62	3.2 3.3	62 62		.4	57 57
QUEENS	1986 1985	1,923,300 1,933,044	2		17,808 17,879	4		7.1 8.1	4	8.3 7.0	41 35		.0	58 58
REMSSELAER	1986 1985	151,700 151,352	21 21		232 231	20 20		4.3	23 29	5.1 5.2	49 47		3.8 3.8	30 29
RICHHOND	1986 1985	374,600 373,871	21 - 11, j. 11		6,349 6,337	5 5		5.9 7.4	ه 5	5.3 5.8	48 43		.0 .0	58 58
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	265,900 265,230	14 14		1,519 1,516	8	•	2.8	56 60	4.1 4.1	57 58		2.5	49 50

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOECONONIC CHARACTERISTICS

(Continued on next page.)

<u>B-4</u>

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONDMIC CHARACTERISTICS DY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		Popula	ition	-	Population Per Squa				c High Dropouts		Aonthly Uneep	Average loyed	Honthly A Recei Public As	ving sistance
COUNTY	Year	Nusber	Rank		Density			Percent	Percent Rank		Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
ST LAWRENCE	1986 1985	112,800 113,411	25 25		41 42	57 57		3.3	46 39		8.5 8.2	14 18	7.7	4
SARATOGA	1986 1985	164,100 161,590	20 20		203 199	23 23		3.1 3.4	52 47	·	5.4 5.4	47 47	1.5 1.7	5 5 55
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	150,200 150,585	22 22		729 731	12 12		3.7 4.1	40 35		• • 4.8 9.5	52 54	3.5 3.9	32 27
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	29,800 29,799	59 58		48 48	55 55		3.8 3.4	36 44		7.9 7.9	25 21	3.5 3.6	31 31
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	17,400 17,511	61 61		· 53 53	53 53		3.1 3.1	53 54		7.3 7.5	30 28	4.6 4.8	21 19
SENECA	1986 1985	32,100 32,765	57 57		98 100	37 35		3.6 3.8	41 38		0.3 7.9	- 18 23	2.3 2.2	51 52
STEUBEN	1986 1985	96,900 97,342	26 26		69 70	44 42	•	3.9 3.1	33 52		7.8 7.3	27 33	6.6 6.4	9 11
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,312,000 1,313,326	5 5		1,439 1,440	9		3.5 3.4	43 43		4.8 5.0	53 51	3.0 3.2	41 40
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	68,600 67,602	36 36		70 69	43 44		5.0 4.9	15 18		5.8 5.5	43 45	3.0	40 43
TIOGA	1986 1985	51,000 50,379	47 48	· · · ·	98 97	36 37		3.2 3.5	50 40		7.1 6.9	33 39	4.5 4.7	25 22
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	87,600 88,150	31 30		184 185	24 24		3.7	39 8		3.5 3.7	61 59	- 3.8 3.9	28 28

SELECTED DENDGRAPHIC AND SOCIDECONDNIC CHARACTERISTICS

(Continued on next page.)

8-5

SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC AND SUCIDECONDMIC CHARACTERISTICS BY COUNTY FOR 1965 AND 1986

	•				Population		 Public School D	-	 Nonthly i Uneeplo	•	 Honthly Recei Public A	iving
COUNTY	Year	Papela Nunber	Rank		Per Squa Density	Rank	Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank	Percent	Percent Rank
ULSTER	1986 1985	164,200 163,774	 19 19	*	145 145	28 25	3.1 5.9	5 9	4.3	54 52	3.0	43 41
WARREN	1986 1985	55,500 55,640	44		63 63	49 50	4.8 5.2	17 13	8.1 7.6	21 27	1.9 2.4	53 51
WASHINGTON .	1986 1985	56,900 56,752	43 43		98 98		5.5 5.3	9 12	5.7 6.4	44 41	3.1 3.3	38 35
WAYNE	1986 1985	88,000 B7,551	30 31		145 145	25 26	4.4	21 7	9.1 7.8	22 25	3.4 3.3	33 36
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	863,200 865,460	8		1,971 1,976	· · 7 7	2.7 3.2	57 51	3.8 3.7	59 60	4.6	23 23
WYDHING	1985 1985	40,800 40,675	54 54		69 68	45 45	4.0 3.4	32 46	8.0 8.0	23 19	2.1 1.8	52 54
YATES	1986 1985	21,200 21,485	60 60		63 63	50 49	2.J 1.2	59 31	8.2 7.5	19 31	3.3 3.2	36 39
NEN YORK STATE	1986 1985	17,772,100 17,782,754	NA NA	• • • •	375 375	NA NA	5.8 6.5	NA NA	6.3 6.5	NA NA	7.7 7.8	na Na
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	7,262,700 7,254,239	na Na		24,129 24,100	NA NA	10.1 12.1	NA HA	7.4 8.1	NA NA	12.6	NA NA

SELECTED DENOGRAPHIC AND SDCIDECONDHIC CHARACTERISTICS

* The sonthly average number of persons in public assistance programs is not reported by county for the five New York City boroughs - only for New York City as a whole.

NDIE: Percents presented in this Table have been rounded to the first decimal place, however, the rank scores for percents were calculated using numbers with four (4) decimal places.

Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: NYS Department of Commerce, Department of Education, Department of Labor, Department of Social Services, and the US Bureau of Census.

TABLE 1 INDEX CRINE RATES PER 100,000 FOPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

		TOTA				-	VIOL	ENT INDEX CI										TY INDEX C	RIKES		
		INDE CRIE		f Tota	a1	Huri		Forcible	e Kape	Robbe	ery	Agg. As	ault	Tota	il -	Burgl	ary	Larci		NV T	lheft
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rani
ALBANY	1986 1965	4,540.7 4,530.6	10 11	344.6 309.4		3.8 1.7	18 38	31.8 21.0	18 8	103.1	15 14	205.8 194.2	21 25	4,194.1 4,221.2	10 10	1,193.8 1,177.2	-7 10	2,828.3 2,845.9	<u>8</u> 7	174.0 178.1	
ALLEGANY	1985 1985	2,060.9	56 · 58	139.6 114.9	43 48	3.9 3.9	17 16	3.9 5.8	55 50	7.8	50 60	124.1	38 43	1,921.3 1,962.3	55 57	721.2	25 46	1,153.6	58 54	46.5 25.3	
BRONX	1986 1985	6,131.2 7,505.3	2 3	2,319.9 2,158.2	2	34.3 28.8	1	53.5 49.9	3 1	1,235.9 1,164.0	3 3	996.2 875.5	1	5,011,3 5,349,1	2 5	1,800.5 1,796.7	2 2	2,924.3 2,552.2	6 11	1,086.5 979.3	
BROONE	1985 1985	2,936.5 2,984.5	29 31	76.7 62.6		2.3	32 47	15.9 14.5	22 28	17.3 12.1	- 31 43	41.1 35.0	60 60	2,859.9 2,921.9	26 26	463.2 519.0	58 56	2,307.9 2,302.5	18 17	88.8 100.4	
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	2,558.5 2,546.0	35 ⊀4	132.2 120.5	47 47	2.3	33 50	7.0	50 59	16.2 10.4	35 19	106.7 107.8	45 42	2,426.4 2,425.5	34 39	566 .9 632 . 7	51 45	1,750.5 1,705.9	31 37	107.0 86.7	
CAYUGA	1986 1985	2,312.3 2,169.8	49 55	144.1 196.2	41 32	1.2 2.5	16 28	12.4 16.1	32 25	16.2 18.1	36 36	114.3 161.5	42 28	2,160.2 1,973.5	45 55	488 .3 493.1	56 59	1,597.9 1,394.8	38 51	82.0 85.7	
CHAUTAUDUA	1985 1985	3,306.5 3,314.4	20 · 24 ·	151.5 132.3	40 42	1.4	44 42	18.4 10.9	18 35	21.2 25.2	30 23	110.5 94.8	43 46	3,155.0 3,182.2	20 22	704.B 727.4	30 37	2,359.4	16 14	90.7 115.2	
CHENUNG	1986 1985	3,922.4 4,044.8	15 13	207.1 186.7	34 34	4.3 2.1	14 34	19.2	16 23	33.1 28.6	26 22	150.5 135.5	34 33	3,715.3 3,858.1	13 13	707.9 837.3	24 21	2,822.8 2,937.6	10 5	104.5 83.2	
CHENANGO	1986 1985	2,221.0 2,600.3	51 35	133.0 153.8		2.0 2.2		11.9 36.6	33 4	.0 10.8	61 46	119.1	39 39	2,088.8 2,636.5	51 35	595.7 621.3	49 25	1,423.6 1,741.8		69.5 73.3	
CLINTON	198Å 1985	2,436.9 2,694.9	39 38	308,3 374.8		3.7	19 44	7.4 11.1	48 34	6.2 14.8	54 39	291.0 347.6	11 B	2,128.6 2,320.1	48 43	572.2 544.9	50 52	1,518.1		38.2 78.9	
COLUKBIA	1985 1965	2,401.6 2,732.9	43 36	303.1 329.2	20 17	11.4	5 21	4.9 8.1	52 45	24.4 22.8	28 24	262.3 295.0	15 10	2,098.6 2,403.7	50 41	611.0 756.1	42 33	1,422.4 1,575.8		65.2 71.7	
CORTLAND	1986 1985	4,426.3 4,558.3	11 9	221.6 217.3		4.1	15 14	16.6 26.9	19 9	37.3 20.7	25 27	163.6 165.5	30 26	4,204.6 4,341.1	9 9	903.1 922.8	19 19	3,218.7 3,273.4	4	82.9 144.8	
DELAWARE	1986 1985	2,294.0 2,216.8	50 53	119.6		8.5 2.1	6 33	- 4.3 2.1	54 60	15.0 4.3	40 54	91.8 138.8	47 31	2,174.4	44 52	837.3 790.2	20 28	1,300.8 1,234.5		36.3 42.7	

(Continued on next page.)

B-7

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND KATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1965 AND 1986 - Continued

B-8

		TOTA						NT INDEX CF										RTY INDEX CI	INES		
	•	INDI	IES	Tota	al i	Nurc	ler	Forcible	Rape	Robbe	ry	Agg. As	ault	Tota	al	Burgl	ary	Larce	•	8V 1	lheft
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	ƙank	Rate	Ranl
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	3,242.6 3,126.4	24 28	352.9 330.6	13 15	2.4 2.0	30 36	14.2 14.6	25 27	108.B 74.1	12 16	227.5 240.0	18 17	2,889.7 2,795.8	25 30	855.6 836.6	19 22	1,915.8 1,831.5	27 32	118.3	
ERIE	1986 1985	4,591.7 4,532.9	9 10	575.3 528.1	6 7	4.4 4.4	13 12	34.9 33.9	5	178.2 166.0	å 8	358.8 322.8	7 9	4,016.4 4,004.8	11 12	1,127.6 1,150.3	10 11	2,443.0 2,448.5	13 12	445.8 406.0	
ESSEX	1986 1985	2,208.0	52 54	168.8 157.6	38 38	.0 2.7	52 24	5.4 8.2	51 44	5.4 2.7	56 59	157.9 144.0	31 30	2,039.2 2,019.1	54 54	765.0 736.5	25 34	1,246.9	58 58	27.2 62.5	
FRANKLIN	1984 1985	2,343.0 2,860.2	47 34	159.1 158.9	39 39	.0 2.6	52 26	11.4 25.6	36 11	9.1 5.1	47 53	138.6 125.6	36 36	2,183.9 2,701.3	43 33	609.0 784.3	44 29	1,509.0 1,860.7	48 30	65.9 56.4	
FULTON	1985 1985	2,950.6 3,435.6	28 20	97.7 94.1	52 53	1.8	38 50	10.7 5.3	38 52	. 16.0 12.4	37 42	69.3 76.3	52 49	2,852.9 3,341.6	28 19	689.2 1,009.7	32 15	2,030.4 2,184.5	25 21	133.2 147.3	
GENESEE	1788 1785	2,396.4 2,559.3	44 43	68.6 91.9	58 54	1.7 .0	41 50	8.4 5.0	47 53	6.7 21.7	52 25	51.8 65.2	59 54	2,327.8 2,467.4	37 38	610.4 566.3	43 49	1,667.3 1,842.6	36 31	50.2 58.5	
GREENE	1984 1985	2,508.3 2,592.1	37 • 39 •	359.4 380.6	12 10	.0 2.4	52 29	14.5 7.2	24 48	16.9 16.9	33 35	328.0 354.1	8 7	2,148.9 2,211.5	46 48	709.1 672.1	29 43	1,360.2 1,455.0	51 48	79.6 84.3	
HAHILTON	1984 1985	1,950.3 3,277.0	57 25	61.6 101,8	61 50	.0	52 50	.0 20.4	59 21	.0 20.4	61 29	61.6 61.1	56 56	1,889.7 3,175.2	57 23	451.7 1,302.7	59 7	1,394.0 1,730.1	49 36	41.1	
HERKINER	1986 1985	1,920.0 2,143.9	58 57	170.0 175.8	37 35	1.5 1.5	43 41	10.3 8.9	39 39	4.4 3.0	59 58	153.7 162.5	32 27	1,750.1 1,968.1	58 56	520.3 536.3	54 54	1,191.3 1,391.8	57 52	38.4 39.9	
JEFFER50X	1986 1985	2,345.1 2,696.9	46 37	76.3 96.5	55 52	4.5 3.4	12 19	4.5 4.5	53 54	9.0 13.5	48 40	58.3 75.2	57 50	2,268.8 2,600.5	40 36	717.0 834.7	27 23	1,509.2 1,700.7	45 38	42.6 65.1	
KINGS	1985 1985	8,020.8 7,594.5	3 2	2,257.6 2,077.9	3	21.4 20,5	3	56.3 38.8	2 3	1,278.2 1,221.3	2 2	901.7 777.3	3	5,763,1 5,516.6	3 3	1,781.0 1,741.8	3	2,828.2 2,717.8	9 8	1,153.9 1,036.9	
LEWIS	1986 1985	926.4 1,105.0	62 62	63.9 123.7	60 44	.0 4.0	52 15	.0 4.0	59 55	12.0 4.0	41 55	51.9 111.7	58 40	922.5 981.4	62 62	431.3	60 57	435.3 430.0	62 62	55.9 43.9	
LIVINGSTON	1786 1985	3,105.7 3,084.0	26 29	269.5 240.4	24 28	1.7	39 50	10.2 8,5	40 41	11.9 6.8	42 51	245.6 225.0	17 20	2,836.2	29 29	395.7 453.5	62 60	2,386.0 2,328.8	14 16	54.6 61.4	

TABLE I INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

B-9

· · ·		TOT		·				ENT INDEX C										TY INDEX C			-
		CRI	nes	lot	a 1	Kur	ler	Forcibl	e Rape	Robbi	ery	Agg. As	sault	Tat	a]	Burg	lary	Larce	eny		Theft
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rai
MADISON	1985 1985	2,410.9 2,243.2	42 • 52	105.8 68.5	51 59	1.5 1.5	42 40	20.9 10.4	14 36	4.5 17.9	58 32	79.0 38.7	50 59	2,305.1 2,174.8	38 49	554.3 595.4	52 48	1,682.2 1,499.0	34 46	68.5 90.4	
KONRDE	1986 1985	5,370,3 5,373,7	5 6	497.7 465.6	7 8	6.0 4.9	10 10	31.3 27.5	9 8	174.8 172.9	77	285.6 260.3	12 13	4,872.5 4,908.1	5 6	1,142.7	9 12	3,465.1 3,487.0	3	254.8 271.5	
IONTGONERY	1986 1985	1,604.8 1,696.0	61	75,2 78,9	56 57	1.9	38 20	.0 3.8	59 57	7.5	51 38	65.8 60.1	53 57	1,529.6 1,617.1	60 61	528.0 501.5		928.3 1,049.9	61 60	73.3 65.7	
YASSAU	1986 1985	3,263.7 3,465.2	23 19	239,2 215,7	- 27 30	2.8 2.3	27 31	10.2	41 37	124.9	10 11	101.2 85.9	46 48	3,024.5 3,249.6	22 21	678.1 704.7	39 33	1,847.7 2,022.8	29 24	498.7 522.1	
YEN YORK	1986 1985	14,315.6 13,623.0	1	· 2,698.6 2,657.0	1	29.8 25.3	2 2	62.2 67.3	- 1 2	1,638.3 1,692.7	· • 1	968.3 871.7	2	11,617.0 10,966.0	1	2,145.6 2,219.2	1	8,429.1 7,808.6	. 1 1	1,022.3 938.1	
IIABARA	1986 1985	4,172.8 4,403.7	12 12	346.9 364.9	14 12	5.0 1.4	11 43	36.4 23.6	4	104.4	14 13	. 199.1 242.2	23 16	3,825.9 4,038.8	12 11	1,054.8 1,145.0	13 . 13	2,554.3 2,650.8	11 9	206.9 243.1	
NEIDA	1986 1985	2,434.4 2,396.5	40 -47	143.5 121.B	42 46	2.4	31 30	11.8 11.8	34 32	57.0 48.3	19 19	72.3 59.3	51 58	2,291.0 2,274.7	39 44	698.0 736.1	31 35	1,519.1 1,458.9	43 47	73.9 79.7	
NONDAGA	1785 1785	4,538.0 4,548.0	8	325.8 287.6	17 19	2.1 3.4	34 18	24.7 29.0	10 7	133.7 130.8	9 9	165.3 124.3	29 37	4,312.3 4,361.3	8 8	1,167.7	8	2,971.3 2,915.3	5	171.3 167.5	
NTARIO	1986 1985	2,789.6 2,905.3	32 32	224.3 197.1	-29 31	3.3 1.1	24 46	15.2	23 19	29.3 17.3	27 33	176.6 158.1	26 29	2,565.4 2,708.3	31 32	646.8 726.6	38 38	1,848.2 1,905.9	28 27	70,4 75.8	
RANGE	1986 1985	3,723.3 3,653.1	17 16	425.7 396.0	8 7	3.3 3.6	23 17	21.5 20.4	13 20	122.2 119.6	11 10	278.7 252.3	13 14	3,297.5 3,257.1	17 20	978.8 1,026.9	16 14	2,128.7 2,058.5	22 22	190.1 171.8	
ILEANS	1986 1985	2,504.7 2,367.7	38 49	310.2 258.9		7.6	7 27	12.7 25.4	31 12	38.1 20.3	23 30	251.7 210.6	16 22	2,196.5 2,108.9	42 51	607.6 434.0	45 61	1,525.4	42 42	63.6 66.0	
INE60	1986 1985	2,541.8 2,373.2	36 48	114.8 110.5	50 49	1.7	40 49	18.4 8.4	17 42	15.1	39 45	79.6 90.4	49 47	2,427.1 2,262.6	33 45	642.6 559.4		1,670.5 1,594.4	35 44	11 3.9 108.9	
ISE60	1986 1985	2,187.7 2,359.9	54 50	73.4 98.4		.0 3.3	52 20	3.3 10.0	57 38	5.0 11.7	57 44	65.0 73.4	54 51	2,114.3 2,261.5	49 46	480.2 615.4	57 47	1,590.7 1,601.1	39 43	43,4	

B-10

TABLE 1 INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND KATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		TOTI			··· ·		VIOL	ENT INDEX CI	RIMES							-	PROPE	RTY INDEX C	RIKES		
		CRI	1ES	Tota		Kuri		Forcible	•	Robb	· . •	Agg. As	sault	Tota	il	Burgl	•••	Larc	ny	NV fi	heft
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Ranl
PUTNAN	1986 1985	2,335.7 2,574.1	- 48 - 40	254.2 181.5		.0 6.2		J.7 13.6	56 - 29	40.7	22 49	209.8 131.9	20 34	2,081.5 2,412.6	52 40	643,8 798.8	36 27	1,272.1	54 49	145.6 166.4	
DUEENS	1986 1985	6,909.5 6,533.9	4	1,208.5 1,128.4	· 4 4	12.1 9.7	4 4	34.4 35.0	5 5	662.8 648.1	4	499.3 435.6	4 5	5,701.0 5,405.5	4 4	1,447.9 1,421.8	4	2,834.3 2,641.0	7	1,418.8 1,342.7	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	3,928. I. 3,705.9	14 17	295.7 279.1	22 20	2.6	28 25	22.3 21.6	12 17	75.4 55.0	17 10	195.4 199.9	24 23	3,632.5 3,427.7	15 17	1,084.3 982.3	11 16	2,371.2 2,253.5	15 19	177.0 192.0	
RICHMOND	1984 1985	5,073.5 5,138.0	- 6 7	723.9	5 5	7.5 7.0	8 5	20.1 19.8	15 22	263.3 267.7	5 5	433.1 404.7	- 5 6	4,349.6 4,438.8	1 7	1,051.2 1,248.2	14 - 9	1,970.6 1,898.5	26 29	1,327.8 1,292.1	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	2,929.3 3,127.1	30 27	289.2 265.3	23 22	.8 1.5		12.8 8.3	30 43	75. i 60. 4	18 17	200.5 195.1	22 24	2,640.1 2,861.8	3 0 28	71 3.9 759.6	28 32	1,780.4 1,902.6	30 28	145.7 199.6	
ST, LA¥RENCE	1985 1985	2,992.0 2,866.5	27 33	137.8 84.2	44 55	.9	49 48	11.4	35 61	7.9	- 49 47	117.6 72.8	40 52	2,854.2 2,782.3	27 31	628.2 695.6	40 41	2,150.5 2,013.9	21 25	75.5 72.8	
SARATOGA	1986 1985	2,389.9 2,287.4	45 51	171.2 136.1	36 41	.6		13.1 8.7	29 40	16.9 15.6	34 37	140.5 169.8	35 41	2,218.8 2,151.3	41 50	600.3 639.1	47 44	1,544.0 1,424.8	41 50	73.7 87.4	
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	3,500.7 3,352.9	19 22	214.2 190.3	32 33	1.3	45 35	9.9	42 30	88.6 75.3	16. 15	114.4 99.8	41 44	3,286.5 3,162.5	18 24	1,078.5 953.7	12 17	2,057.2	24 23	150.8 165.9	
SCHOHARIE	1966 1985	2,188.0 2,405.2	53 45	i18.6 72.3	49 58	.0		3.3	58 58	6.6 .0	53 60	108.7 49.0	44 53	2,069.4 2,333.9	53 42	626.1 881.0	41 20	, 387.3 1, 390.5	50 53	56.0 62.5	
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	1,745.0 1,729.9	59 60	39.4 78.9	62 56	.0		.0	59 61	5.6 16.9	55 34	33.8 82.0	61 55	1,705.6 1,651.0	59 59	669.9 546.6	34 51	968.2 1,048.1	60 61	67.5 56.3	
SENECA	1986 1985	3,266.7 3,342.6	22 23	299.7 272.0	21 21	3.0 6.0		9.1 24.2	45 13	15.1 3.0	38 57	272.5 238.8	14 18	2,967.0 3,070.6	24 25	660.0 701.2	37 40	2,182.9 2,221.3	19 20	124.1 148.1	
STEUBEN	1986 1985	2,598.2 2,505.4	33 45	233.9 255.9	28 26	- 1.0		9.0 8.0	46 46	11.0 18.1	44 31	212.8 229.8	19 19	2,364.3 2,249.5	35 47	597.3 540.8	48 53	1,666.5	37 40	100.4 92.3	
SUFFOLK	1786	3,871.8 3,810.3	16 15	250.8 255.8	26 27	- 2.9	26 22	13.5 13.2	28 31	104.5	13 12	128.0	37 35	J,620.9 3,554.5	16 14	998.3 945.3	15 18	2,313.4 2,329.4	17 15	309.2 279.8	

TABLE 1

INDEX CRIME RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

		TOT					VIDL	ENT INDEX CP	INES								PROPE	RTY INDEX CI	INES	•	
		INDI CRII		Tota		Hurd		Forcible	•	Robb	ery	Agg. Ass	sault	Tota	al -	Burg	•	Larci	•	KY T	lheft
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rar
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	3,549.1 3,784.5	18 26	412.5 339.9	10 14	7.4	9 23	32.6 26.7	7	54.9 43.0		317.6 267.1	9 12	3,135.4 3,444.6	-21 16	1,396.4 1,650.3	5	1,565.6 1,614.7	40 41	173.6	
TIOGA	1986 1985	1,678.0 1,764.0	60 59	197.2 122.2	35 45	3.9	16 50	13.B 3.9	27 56	11.8 3.9	43 56	167.6 114.3	28 38	1,500.8 1,641.8	61 60	424.0 431.6	61 62	986.1 1,131.3	59 59	90.7 78.8	
TONPKINS	1986 1985	5,006.0	7 5	137.4	45 43	1.1 - 1.1	47 45	9.1 11.3	44 33	37.5 21.6	24 26	89.7 95.3	48 45	4,868.5 5,597.1	6 2	1,227.6	6 6	3,546.6 4,126.6	2 2	\$4.3 129.3	
ULSTER	1986 1985	2,859.2 3,019.0	31 30	376.0	11 - 13	3.7 4.3	20 13	16.6 23.9;	20 14	48.5 36.2	21 21	307.3 288.1	10 11	2,483.2 2,666.5	32 34	665.6 766.8	35 31	1,710.9	32 33	106.7 139.1	
VARREN	1986 1985	3,270.7 3,408.9	21 21	64.7 50.3	59 61	1.8	37 50	10.8	37 49	21.6	29 41	30.6 30.5	62 61	3,206.0 3,358.6	19 18	909.B 830.2	17 24	2,152.3 2,363.0	20 13	143.8 165.3	
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	2,127.1 2,158.2	55 55	210.1 259.6	33 24	.0 5.3	52 8	16.0 5.3	21 51	10.7 5.3	45 52	183.4 243.6	25 15	1,919,1 1,898.7	56 58	503.8 535.1	55 55	1,329.8 · 1,274.7	52 55	85.5 88.9	
VAYNE	1985 1985	3,188.1 3,132.5	25 26	216.6 260.7	31 23	3.4	22 50	22.7 23.8	11 15	17.0	32 28	173.5 216.5	27 21	2,971.5 2,871.8	23 27	829.1 782.0	21 30	2,075.5 1,985.6	23 26	66.9 104.3	
WESTCHESTER	1984 1985	4,014.6 3,841.0	13 14	334.9 334.3	16 15	3.5 4.7	21 11	14.0 15.1	26 26	165.2 177.7	8 6	152.2 136.8	33 32	3,679.7 3,505.7	14 15	796.7 B14.5	23 26	2,445.5 2,293.1	12 18	437.5 399.1	
WYDNING	1986 1995	2,565.0	34 41	422.6 533.1	9 6	2.5	29 9	7.4	49 47	2.5 7.4	60 50	410.3 513.4	6 4	2,142.4 2,029.0	47 53	798.5 682.9	22 42	1,267.8 1,235.6	55 57	76.2 110.5	
YATES	1986 1965	2,418.1 2,560.5	41 42	83.5 46.4	53 52	.0 .0	52 50	9.3 18.6	43 24	9.3		65.0 27.8	55 62	2,334.5 2,514.1	36 37	603.4 732.9	46 36	1,684.B 1,739.5	33 35	46.4 41.7	
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	5,741.6 5,566.7	NA NA	983.9 928.6	NA NA	10.7 9.5	KA NA	30.4 31.9	NA NA	514.2 504.1	HA NA	428.6 383.0	KA NA	4,757.7 4,638.1	NA Na	1,216.3	NA NR	2,905.2 2,808.9	NA NA	635.2 598.0	
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	8,866.7 8,392.9	NA NA	1,997.5 1,803.4	NA NA	22.0 19.3	NA NA	49.3	NA NA	1,127.2		79 8.9 701.9	NA NA	6,869.3 6,509.5	NA Na	1,735.4	NA NA	3,938.0 3,661.0	NA NA	1,195.9 1,105.6	

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

Source: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Uniform Crime Reporting System.

B-11

		TOTA	u			FELONY A	RRESTS	<u>.</u>		HISDEHE	CANOD
		ARRES		Tota		Viole		Othe		ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
***************************************		•						******			
ALBANY	1986	2,338.7	12	712.1	6	251.6	7	460.5	7	1,626.7	21
	1985	2,197.9	- 14	604.5	6	194.B	9	409.7	6	1,593.4	20
ALLEGANY	1986	1,247.5	60	330.7	56	65.3	57	265.3	46	916.8	58
	1985	1,111.9	62	231.8	62	55.0	58	176.8	62	880.1	60
BRONX	1986	3,611.0	2	2,065.8	2	821.0	2 1	1,244.8	2	1,545.2	28
	1985	3,284.8	2	1,775.8	2	770.3	2	1,005.4	. 2	1,509.0	29
BROOKE	1986	1,842.5	37	397.5	35	114.3	28	283.2	40	1,445.0	. 38
	1985	1,676.2	39	388.3	29	109.2	27	279.1	29	1,287.9	39
CATTARAUSUS	1986	1,756.2	41	336.5	55	72.7	55	263.B	48	1,419.7	36
	1985	1,587.9	44	286.3	56	66.8	52	221.5	56	1,299.6	37
CAYUGA	1986	1,558.2	52	374.2	41	86.4	46	287.9	37	1,184.0	5
	1985	1,843.3	34	373.2	32	61.4	43	291.8	23	1,470.1	31
CHAUTAUDUA	1986	1,807.8	39	345.2	50	86.0	47	259.3	50	1,462.6	34
• • •	1985	1,660.5	41	299.5	55	77.0	45	222.5	53	1,361.0	35
CHEMUNG	1986	1,905,0	34	426.5	28	129.3	21	297.2	33	1,478.5	33
	1985 .	1,943.6	-29	451.1	21	104.4	32	346.8	15	1,492.5	29
CHENANGO	1986	1,966.0	25	280.0	60	58.0	60	222.0	60	1,686.0	18
	1985	2,159.6	16	310.2	51	92.1	37	218.2	57	1,849.4	10
CL INTON	1986	1,943.3	31	507.4	19	126.8	22	380.5	12	1,436.0	37
	1985	1,851.1	23	384.9	30	95.6	34	269.3	26	1,465.2	3:
COLUMBIA	1986	2,019.8	24	466.2	22	141.7	19	324.5	25	1,553.5	28
	1985	2,234.2	13	523.1	12	132.0	19	391.1	9	1,711.2	
CORTLAND	1986	2,865.0	5	514.8	17	177.2	13	337.6	23	2,350.2	
	1985	2,964.6	4	403.0	25	111.8	26	291.2	25	2,561.6	2
DELAWARE	1986	2,010.6	25	420.4	29	80.7	51	339.7	22	1,590.2	24
	1985	1,960.0	27	- 341.2	40	61.8	55	279.4	28	1,618.8	17

B-12

TABLE 28

(Continued on next page.)

						FELONY A	RRESTS				
		TOTAL ARREST		Tota	1	Viole		Dthe		HISDEHE ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR		Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	
DUTCHESS	1986	1,987.1	27	537.4	16	158.1	17.	379.3	13	1,449.0	35
	1985	1,989.6	23	514.7	13	146.6	12	348.1	14	1,475.1	30
ERIE	1986	2,257.1	17	571.3	12	222.3	9	348.9	19	1,685.8	19
	1985	2,103.1	18	539.7	10	210.2	8	329.5	19	1,563.3	25
ESSEX	1986	2,283.7	16	584.0	11	93.7	40	490.4	6	1,699.7	17
	1985	1,949.7	28	354.7	35	68.7	50	286.0	27	1,595.0	19
FRANKLIN	1786 1985	2,347.0 2,016.3	11 20	351.6 347.1	49 37	93.6 75.4	41 47	258.0 271.7	51 32	1,995.4 1,669.2	8 - 14
FULTON	1986	1,393.8	57	-267.4	61	60.4	58	207.0	62	1,126.4	52
	1985	1,395.0	55	344.7	39	75.8	46	248.9	33	1,050.3	52
BENESEE	1786	1,932.0	33	386.1	38	107.1	35	278.9	47	1,545.9	27
	1785	1,757.7	35	328.5	44	84.7	42	243.B	46	1,429.2	34
GREENE	1986	2,147.3	23	551.1	14	121.1	24	429.9	9	1,596.2	22
	1985	1,675.7	40	424.3	23	120.6	22	303.8	21	1,251.3	41
HAMILTON	1986	1,680.0	45	320.0	58	40.0	59	260.0	49	1,360.0	40
	1985	2,006.0	22	481.4	17	40.2	56	421.3	5	1,524.6	26
HERKINER	1986 1985	1,409.6 1,386.8	56 56	366.2 272.0	44 59	86.7 64.3	45 54	279.5	42 60	1,043.3 1,114.8	55 50
JEFFERSON	1986	1,496.7	54	452.5	25	112.6	33	340.0	21	1,044.2	54
	1985	1,440.5	54	370.3	34	103.9	30	266.4	35	1,070.2	51
KINGS	1986 1985	2,837.5 2,405.5	6 9	1,597.3	3	770.4 578.5]	826.9 699.2	3	1,240.2 1,027.8	46 54
LEWIS	1986 1985	865.6 1,132.5	62 61	260.9	62 61	27.7 27.8	62 62	233.2 226.5	59 51	604.7 878.2	62 61
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	2,551.2 2,590.5	9 7	377.1 268.0	40 57	81.9 73.7	49 49	295.2 214.3	34 59	2,174.1 2,302.5	7

TABLE 2A FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND KATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

						FELONY A	RRESTS				
		TOTA Arres		. Tota	1	Viole		Othe	r .	n i sdehe Arres	
COUNTY	YEAR	Raie	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
MADISON	1986	1,845.8	36	366.4	43	111.1	31	255.3	52	1,480.5	32
	1985	1,654.1	42	317.6	49	73.4	49	244.2	47	1,336.5	36
NONROE	1986	2,285.5	15	555.4	13-	196.0	_ 11	359.4	17	1,730.1	16
	1985	2,059.5	19	485.3	15	178.5	11	306.9	20	1,574.2	23
MONTGOMERY	1986	2,197.7	21	385.8	39	76,8	53	309.0	28	1,811.9	15
	1985	1,875.2	31	302.7	54	45.7	60	257.0	38	1,572.5	24
HASSAU	1986	1,305.4	59	402.0	33	121.1	25	281.0	41	903.4	59
	1985	1,314.8	57	374.4	31	121.1	21	253.4	41	940.4	58
NEW YORK	1986	7,694.0	1	2,820.4	. 1	981.8	1	1,838.6	1	4,873.7	i
	1985	7,117.1	· 1	2,517.6	1	923.8	1	1,593.8	1	4,599.5	- 1
NIAGARA	1986	2,003.7	26	463.8	23	164.1	14	299.7	32	1,539.9	30
	1985	1,856.4	32	425.0	22	152.9	- 14	272.1	31	1,431.5	33
ONEIDA	1986	1,427.0	55	339.6	54	103.0	36	236.6	58	i,087.3	53
•	1985	1,289.0	59	322.3	48	106.5	29	215.8	58	966.8	56
DNDNDAGA	1988	1,816.3	3B	586.4	10	223.9	8	362.5	- 15	1,229.9	47
	1985	1,756.2	36	592.7	7	227.4	7	382.3	11	1,163.5	48
DNTAR10	1986	2,287.4	13	393.7	- 36	102.0	37	291.8	36	1,893.7	. 9
	1985	1,978.7	26	337.7	41	85.5	41	252.2	43	1,640.9	16
ORANGE	1986	2,472.8	10	636.1	7	203.1	10	433.1	B	1,836.7	12
	1985	2,195.5	15	- 577.2	8	101.2	10	395.9	8	1,618.4	19
DRLEANS	1986	1,640.1	49	452.4	26	159.4	16	293.1	35	1,187.7	50
	1985	1,527.8	50	482.9	16	140.5	18	342.4	15	1,045.0	53
OSWEGO	1986	1,721.6	43	340.3	53	92.0	42	248.3	55	1,381.3	39
	1985	1,556.2	47	335.7	42	90.7	38	245.1	46	1,220.4	46
DTSEGO	1986	2,174.0	22	354.7	48	54.1	61	300.7	30	1,819.3	13
	1985	2,343.0	9	370.6	- 33	119.6	23	251.0	44	1,972.4	7

(Continued on next page.)

B-14

35

TABLE 2A

TABLE 2A FELONY AND HISDEMEANDR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1984 - Continued

	-	TOTAL				FELONY A	RRESTS	-		HICDENE	- 41100
		ARRESTS		Tota		Viole		Othe	r	HISDEHE ARRES	
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate Ra	ank 	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
PUTNAN	1986 1985		28 46	409.3 326.3	30 45	109.1 66.8	34 53	300.2 259.6	31 37	1,561.3 1,241.1	25 44
QUEENS	1786 1785		46 52	928.2 709.9	4	374.B 308.9	4	551.4 401.0	5.7	740.5 744.0	61 62
RENSSELAER	1986 1985		47 45	357 .9 325 . 7	47 46	116.0 118.9	- 27 24	. 241.9 205.8	57 81	1,298.0 1,242.8	43 43
RICHHOND	1986 1985		50 51	618.5 570.3	8 9	291.8 295.8	- 6 5	326.7 274.4	24 30	945.0 934.0	56 59
ROCKLAND	1986 1985		61 58	368.9 345.7	42 38	81.2 93.5	50 36	287.7 252.2	38 42	768.7 949.0	60 57
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985		20 21	329.8 324.5	57 47	75.4 77.6	54 44	254.4 246.9	53 45	1,883.0 1,682.4	10 13
SARATOGA	1986 1985		18 10	405.2 397.3	31 28	91.4 105.8	44 30	313.B 291.5	27 24	1,817.2 1,888.7	14 9
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985		42 49	400.1 350.0	34 35	91.9 94.3	43 35	308.3 255.7	29 40	1,337.6	42 47
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	2,718.1 2,238,3	8 12	473.2 308.7	20 52	110.7 87.3	32 40	362.4 221.5	16 55	2,245.0	6 B
SCHUYLER	1986 1985		35 30	362.1 416.9	46 24	109.2 148.5	33 16	252. P 268. 4	54 34	1,540.2 1,513.3	29 27
SENECA	1986 1985 -	•	13 11	470.4 457.8	21 19	96.6 91.6	38 39	373.8 366.2	14 10	1 ,847.4 1,822.1	11 11
STEUBEN	1986 1985		51 53	341.6 304.1	52 53	89.1 87.8	56 51	272.4 236.3	44 49	1,217.8 1,143.4	48 49
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	•	48 39	403.3 398.8	32 26	136.7 141.9	20 17	266.5 257.0	45 39	1,244.2 1,280.7	45 38

[Continued on next page.]

B-15

TABLE 2A FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE KANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

						FRESTS				*****
	TOTA ARRES		Jota	1			Othe	r	MISDENE Arres	
YEAR	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	fate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
1986	3,182.2	4	925.7	5	358.6	5	567.1	1 a a 4	2.256.6	5
1985	3,102.0	3	751.5	4	294.4	6	457.1	4	2,350.5	5
1986	1,711.8	44	362.7	45	78.4	52	284.3	39	1,349.0	41
1985	1,534.4	48	203.8	58	49.6	59	234.2	50	1,250.5	42
1986	1,936.1	32	343.6	51	95.9	39	247.7	56	1,592.5	23
1985	1,988.7	24	329 . Ú	43	106.5	28	222.3	54	1,659.7	. 15
1986	2,221.1	19	549.3	15	159.6	15	389.8	11	1,671.7	20
1985	2,113.9	17	523.9	11	160.0	13	363.9	12	1,590.0	21
1986	3,282.9	3	594.4	9	189.2	12	407.2	10	2,686.5	. 2
1985	2,949.3	5	478.1	- 18	118.6	25	359.5	13	2,471.2	3
1986	1,959.6	30	441.1	27	117.9	26	323.4	26	1,518.5	31
1985	1,980.5	25	398.2	27	105.7	31	292.5	22	1,582.3	22
1986	2,817.0	7	458.0	24	113.6	29	344.3	20	2,359.1	3
1985	2,978.3	6	456.9	20	123.4	20	333.5	19	2,421.4	- 4
1986	1,794.5	40	513.0	18	154.7	18	358.3	18	1,281.5	44
1985	1,730.9	37	490.8	14	150.3	15	340.5	17	1,240.0	45
1986	1,502.5	53	291.7	59	83.3	48	208.3	61	1,210.8	49
1985	1,598.0	43 .	317.1	50	56.5	57	260.6	36	1,280.9	- 40
1986	1,330.2	58	386.8	37	122.6	23	244.2	47	943.4	57
1985	1,265.9	60	260.6	£0	37.2	61	223.4	52	1,005.3	55
		-			·					
										NA NA
									•	
		NA NA	1,697.4	- NA	693.1	NA NA	1,004.3	NA Na	1,882.4	NA Rá
	1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986	ARRES YEAR Rate 1986 3,182.2 1985 3,102.0 1985 1,711.8 1986 1,711.8 1985 1,934.1 1985 1,936.1 1985 1,936.1 1985 1,936.1 1985 2,221.1 1985 2,949.3 1985 1,959.6 1985 1,959.6 1985 1,959.6 1985 1,959.6 1985 1,959.6 1985 1,959.6 1985 1,578.0 1986 1,502.5 1985 1,598.0 1985 1,300.2 1985 1,300.2 1985 1,265.9 1985 2,371.0 1986 3,579.8	ARRESTS YEAR Rate Rank 1986 3,182.2 4 1985 3,102.0 3 1986 1,711.8 44 1985 1,534.4 48 1986 1,936.1 32 1986 1,936.1 32 1985 1,980.7 24 1985 2,221.1 19 1985 2,949.3 5 1985 2,949.3 5 1985 2,949.3 5 1985 2,949.3 5 1985 2,949.3 5 1985 1,959.6 30 1985 1,980.5 25 1986 1,794.5 40 1985 1,730.9 37 1986 1,502.5 53 1985 1,598.0 43 1986 1,330.2 58 1985 1,255.9 60 1986 1,255.9 60 <	ARRESTS Tota YEAR Rate Rank Rate 1986 3,182.2 4 925.7 1985 3,102.0 3 751.5 1986 1,711.8 44 362.7 1985 1,534.4 48 203.8 1986 1,911.8 44 362.7 1985 1,534.4 48 203.8 1985 1,936.1 32 343.6 1985 1,980.7 24 329.0 1986 2,221.1 19 549.3 1985 2,113.9 17 523.9 1986 3,282.9 3 596.4 1985 1,980.5 25 378.2 1986 1,959.6 30 441.6 1985 1,980.5 25 378.2 1986 1,959.6 30 441.6 1985 1,980.5 25 378.2 1986 1,794.5 40 513.0	ARRESTS Total YEAR Rate Rank Rate Rank 1986 3,182.2 4 925.7 5 1985 3,102.0 3 751.5 4 1986 1,711.8 44 362.7 45 1985 1,534.4 48 283.8 58 1985 1,936.1 32 343.6 51 1985 1,936.7 24 329.0 43 1985 2,221.1 17 547.3 15 1985 2,113.9 17 523.9 11 1986 3,282.9 3 596.4 9 1985 2,949.3 5 478.1 18 1986 1,959.6 30 441.1 27 1985 1,980.5 25 398.2 27 1986 1,959.6 30 441.1 27 1985 1,794.5 40 513.0 18 1985 1,794.	ARRESTS Total Viola YEAR Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Hate 1986 3,182.2 4 925.7 5 358.6 1985 3,102.0 3 751.5 4 274.4 1986 1,711.8 44 362.7 45 78.4 1985 1,534.4 48 283.8 58 49.6 1985 1,936.1 32 343.6 51 95.9 1985 1,980.7 24 329.0 43 106.6 1985 2,221.1 19 549.3 15 159.6 1985 2,113.9 17 523.9 11 160.0 1986 3,282.9 3 596.4 9 189.2 1985 2,947.3 5 478.1 18 18.6 1985 1,980.5 25 398.2 27 105.7 1984 1,959.6 30 441.1	ARRESTS Total Violent YEAR Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank fate Rank 1986 3,182.2 4 925.7 5 358.6 5 1985 3,102.0 3 751.5 4 274.4 6 1986 1,711.8 44 362.7 45 78.4 52 1985 1,534.4 48 203.8 58 49.6 59 1986 1,936.1 32 343.4 51 95.9 39 1985 1,980.7 24 329.0 43 106.6 28 1985 2,113.9 17 523.9 11 160.0 13 1985 2,134.7 5 378.4 9 189.2 12 1985 2,134.7 17 523.9 11 160.0 13 1986 1,959.6 30 441.1 27 117.9 26 1985<	ARRESTS Total Violent Other YEAR Rate Rank Rate Rank Hate Rank Rate Rate	ARRESTS Total Violent Other YEAR Rate Rank Solution Solution	ARRESTS Total Violent Other ARREST YEAR Raie Rank Rate Rank Rate Rate Rank Rate Rate Rank Rate Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rate Rank Rate Rate

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SDURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

			ARE	RDER Rests		FORCIBLE ARRES	ITS		ROBBE ARRES	IS		AGG. AL			BURGL	TS		LARE ARRE	
COUN	177	Year	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ALBA	NY	1986 1985	5. (2. (12,4	11 22		31.1 28.5	14 14		112.1 B6.0			114.7	12 28		456.2 397.4	
ALLE	GANY	1986 1985	.(7.9) 46 7 4		2.0	56 56		.0	59 56		23.1 31.4			146.5 68.8	9 48		154.5 196.5	
BRON	X · · ·	1986 1985	23.8			27.6 26.5	1 2		269.B 237.9	3	•	329.1 311.1			189.0 180.6	3		467.8 413.2	
BROO	HE	1986 1985	2,4 2.1			8.5 5.2	22 30		14.7	23 26		33. 30.			78.3 86.1	45 37		432.2 354,9	
CATT	ARAUGUS	1986 1985	1.(.(5.9 2.3	35 47		4.7 8.2	49 34		19.1 32.1			84.4 82.0	38 39		267.3 243.7	
CAYU	6A	 1986 1985	1.1 3.6	5 40 3 16		8.8 7.5	21 19		6.3 10.0	42 29		22. 37.	5 54 5 30		91.4 51.3	31 59		373.0 435.8	
CHAU	TAUQUA	1986 1985	1.4 .7			4.9 2.8	43 42		7.7	38 22		32. 22.			95.7 81.1	28 41		346.6 344.0	
CHEN	UNG	1986 1985	· · · 1.1	L 42 L 45		6.6 4.3	31 35		22.1	18 41		43. 33.			99.4 133.7	21 9		626.5 641.4	
CHEN	ANGO	1986 1985	2.(2.(.0 .10.0	59 14		.0 4.0	59 47		12. 18.			64.0 108.1	53 18		318.0 354.3	
CLIN	TON	1986 1985	6.1			4.9 1.2			7.4 9.8	39 31		54.1 31.1			110.B 69.9	13 46		402.7 315.1	
COLU	HBIA	1986 1985	1.1			.0 1.7	59 52		13.2	26 30		84.			84.0 135.3	40 B		303.1 348.2	

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 .

(Continued on next page.)

B-17

TABLE 2C

2C i

ADULT ARREST KATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE KANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

		HURDI Arre			FORCIBLE ARRES	IS		ROBN	STS		AGG. ASS ARRES	TS	BURG ARRE		LARCE ARRES	575
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
CORTLAND	1986 1985	4.2	13 49		B.4 4.2	23 37		33.8 8.4			44.3 48.5	26 21	143.5 107.5	10 19	540.1 455.8	
DELAWARE	1986 1985	.0 2.1	46 31		12.7	9 56		0.5 2.1			29.7 46.9	44 25	89.2 108.8		388.5 383.9	
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	3.5 1.6	15 37		5.8 5.5	36 29		21.8 26.7	20 16		67.8 76.4	14 11	95.8 96.4		391.4 365.7	
ERIE	1986 1985	3.5 3.8	14 15		14.8 14.8	5 7		42.3 42.7			92.4 93.3	8	104.3 100.0		477.6 438.9	
ESSEX	1986 1985	.0	46 • 49		13.8 2.8	7 43		5.5 .0			41.3 27.5	28 45	170.8 112.7	6 15	366.4 286.0	
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	.0 2.3	46 28		6.B 9.1	30 15		9.1			27.4 25.1	46 48	87.0 100.5	34 25	299.1 294.5	
FULTON	1986 1985	1.B .0	33 49		3.7	50 56		5.5 5.4	47 44		25.6 23.5	50 19	51,1 90.2		293.0 314.0	
SENESEE	1986 1985	.0 .0	46 49		5.1 5.1	40 32		3.4			28.9 27.1	45 46	96.9 93.1		297.6 233.7	
GREENE	1986 1985	.0 2.4	46 27		9.5 2.4	17 46		7.1 7.2			64.1 24.4	17 10	59.4 55.1		313.5 285.9	
HAHILTON	1986 1985	.0	46 49		.0 .0			.0 .0			.0	62 62	160.0 220.7		- 320.0 200.6	
HERKINER	1986 1985	.0	46 47		4.5 3.0			4.5 4.5			31.4 22.4	40 54	80.7 53.8		219.7 215.2	

B-18

		HURDEN ARREST	15		FORCIBLE ARREST	S		ROBBEN	IS		AGG. ASS ARRES	15		BURGL ARRES	TS		LARCE	
COUNTY	Year	Rate f	Rank			Rant		Rate	Rank 			Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	5.5 2.3	10 29		5.5 2.3	38 49		3.3 10.2	55 28		35.3 38.4	36 29	•	146.B 117.4	8 13		210.8 198.5	
KINGS	1986 1985	17.3	3		18.2 21.8	4		295.4 247.8	2		278.4 240.6	3		177.5 156.5	4 a a 5		398.0 343.8	
LEWIS	1986 1995	.0	46 49		4.0	48 56		4.0	52 48		7.9 4.0	61 60		35.6 83.4	62 3B		110.7 151.0	
LIVINESTON	1986 1985	3.4 6.9	16 7		3.4 1.7	52 51		5.1	48 38		46.1 42.9	25 27		68.3 54.9	50 57	- 	428.3 426.9	
MADISON	1986 1985	4.5	12 38		13.5 6.0	9 26		6.0 9.0	44 32		39.0 3.0	32 61		84.1 89.9	39 36		345.3 317.6	
HONRDE	1986 1985	6.1 1.0	7 13	•	13.8 12.2	5 10		47.0 - 44.7	7 7		48.2 43.6	24 26		101.5 107.5	19 20		550.2 502.8	
KONTGOHERY	1986 1985	1.9	31 34		1.9	57 28		5.8 1.9	45 55		30.7 22.8	41 51		57.6	57 53		268.7 359.8	
NASSAU	1986 1985	2.0 3.0	26 21		2.6	54 44		33.3 30.3	13 12		49.4 47.3	22 23		53.6 59.9	58 55		256 .5 255.7	
NEW YORK	1986 1985	18.9 17.9	2 2		20.4 24.3	2 3		456.0 406.1	1 1		369.1 346.9	1		210.4 176.2	4		1,134.4 1,010.5	
NIAGARA	. 1986 1985	1.8 2.8	J2 25		10.6 5.1	13 31	• * *	25.4 26.8	17 15		65.9 63.3	16 16		103.7 109.5	17 16		391.4 356.6	
DNEIDA	1986 1985	2.4 1.2	23 41		B.0 6,0	24 27		17.3	22 25		30.6 32.7	42 38		88.5 93.3	35 32		334.0 258.0	

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 + - Continued

			MURI Arre				ARE	LE RAPE			ROBBE			· A	ASSA RREST	S		AR	GLÁRY Rests		LARC ARRE	
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rani	:		Rate	Rank		Rat	8	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
ONONDAGA	1986 1985		2.6	22 20		. .	8,	9 20) .		48.4 48.1	6		8	1.8	11 12		106	4. 15		424.9 368.1	19
ONTARIO	1986 1985		1.1 2.2	43 30			4. 8.				11.9	29 53			0.4 8.1	43 44	· · ·	80 66		•	387.2 325.8	
ORANGE	1986 1985		2.9 1.8	20 35			9. 11.				40.5 21.6	10 - 48			8.0 8.3	9. B		96. 97.			467.2 407.8	
DRLEANS	1986 1985		5.1 5.1	11 9			10 7.				- 12.9 2.6	27 50			9.7 3.9	12 14		92 130			295.6 296.4	
OSWEGD	1986 1985		3.3 .8	17 + 47			7.				4.2 2.5	51 51			5.9 1.1	4 8 41		98. 96			267.6 282.0	
OTSEGO	1986 1985		.0 3,4	46	•	·	1. 6.				1.7 6.7	58 40			5.2 2.1	59 28		98 101			442.6 486.8	
PUTNAH	1986 1985		.0 4.9	46 10			3.				14.7	23 49			0.2 5.8	21 34		63. 56.			213.2 208.9	
DUEENS	1986 1985	•	8.2 7.7	4			12.				142.7 109.2	4			1.1 6.8	5 - 4		91 80			300.2 254.1	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985		1.3 1.3	39 40			5. 4.				11.9 10.6	30 27			2.7	19 18		77. 69.			273.6	
RICHNOND	1986 1985		5.9 7,8	8 5			11.				86,5 84,3	5 5			4.1 4.9	- 6 - 5		88. 104			360.8 282.5	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985		.4 1.1				4. 2.				21.8 13.6	19 24			9.5 7.1	31 24		42. 51.			159.8 178.3	

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 * - Continued

(Continued on next page.)

B-20

	ч и		HURI ARRE	STS		A	IBLE R RRESTS			RDB9 ARRE	SIS		AGG. ASS ARRES	STS	BURG			RCEN RES19
	INTY	Year	Rate	Rank		Rat	e Ri	ank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	
ST.	LAWRENCE	1986 1985	 1.8	36 26			7.1	28 55		3.5 &.2			25.7 29.1	49 43	100.2 104.9		418. 278.	
SAR	ATDEA	1986 1985	1.2 3.1				9.0 4.3	16 36		7.9			34.7 37.1	37 31	73.7 81.7		357. 328.	
SCH	IENECTADY	1986 1985	2.0 1.3	28 39				39 23		29.3 29.2			26.0 25.9	47 47	67.2 60-4		316. 296.	
SCH	IOHARIE	1986 1985	.0	46 49			.0 .0	59 56		6.7			36.9 33.6	34 36	87.2 114.1		51 3. 389.	
SCH	IUYLER	1986 1985	.0				5.7 8.6	37 1	•	11.5 17.1			23.0 22.8	53 52	49.0 97.1		241. 251.	
SEN	IECA	1986 1985	3.1				3.1 5.3	53 6		9.3 6.1			15.6 21.4	58 56	102.0 125.1		442. 390.	
STE	UBEN	1986 1985	1.0					47 3B		6.2 4.1			23.7 22.6	52 53	79.5 64.7	44 . 52	280. 292.	
SUF	FOLK	1986 1985	2.0 2.8				5.9 6.0	32 25		26.9 30.5			48.9 53.8	23 20	77.6 78.4		200. 176.	
SUL	LIVAN	1986 1985	2.9 4.4	19 12			9.0 6.3	3 5		35,0 34.0			169.1 122.8	4	202.6 196.7		584. 531.	
710)6A	1986 1985	2.0 2.0		-		5.9 4.0	34 39		2.0			37.3 15.9	- 33 58	43.1 45.7		209. 271.	
TOM	IPKINS	1986 1985	.0 1.1				2,3 6.8	55 20		9.1 14.7			20.5 21.6	55 55	90.2 90.8		500. 431.	
	•																	

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 + - Continued

				ESTS		FORCIBLE	515		ROBBE	TS	AGG. AS Arre	STS		BURG	6 TS	LARI	
	COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Kate	Renk	Rate	Rank
	ULSTER	1986 1985	1.9 3.1			7.3 13.4	27 9		21.3 14.5	21 20	74.9 64.7			79.8 107.5		439.1 407.5	
	WARREN	1986 1985	1.8			3.6 1.8	51 50		12.6 7.2	26 37	52.3 48.5			174.B 118.6	5 12	610.0 530.2	
	WASHINGTON	1986 1985	.0 1.8	36		7.0	29 56		10.5 14.1	32 23	40.4 56.4	29 19		107.2 81.1	14 - 42	210.5	
	WAYNE	1986 1985	2.3 1.1			10.2 9.1	15 15		13.6 6.9	25 39	39.8 36.6			117.0 135.9	11 7	434.1 398.6	
ງ ວ	WESTCHESTER	1984 1985	2.0 3.8			7.4			42.4 38.6	8	66.8 63.6	15 15		68.2 67.6		370.7	
	WYOHING	1986 1985	.0 4.9			4.9 2.5			2.5 2.5	56 52	36.8			58.8 71.3		159.3 255.7	
	YATES	1986 1985	.0			9.4 4.7			.0 .0	59 56	18.9 9.3			99.1 51.2		179.2 186.2	
	NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	8.0 7.5			12.0 12.1			127.8 110.9	NA NA	139.7 129.0			115.6		424.7	
	NEW YORK CITY	1984 1985	15.8 14.4			18.4 19.7			272.B 234.0	NA Na	261.2	NA Na	•	159.2 141.8		527.0	

TABLE 2C ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS FOR SELECTED OFFENSES BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 + - Continued

* NYS Penal Law codes for the most serious felony and misdemeanor charge in an arrest event have been organized by UCR Index crime categories to allow more meaningful comparisons with the UCR Index crime data presented in Table 1 of this appendix. The unit of count for arrest data, the arrest-event, differs from those used for Index crimes Isee Appendix A). It is also important to note that these arrest data reflect only adult li.e., persons age 16 or older), while crimes committed by persons under age 16 are included in the Index crime counts. Finally, these data do not necessarily reflect clearances of the reported crimes shown in Table 1.

** Arrests for the Index crise of *eotor vehicle theft* are reported as *larceny* because it is not possible to extract solar vehicle larcenies from the Arrests and Processing Trends File.

NDTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20,000

SOURCE: HYS Division of Criginal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

B-22

TABLE 2D

B-23

ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS. AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

- · · · .		TOTAL Grug					CONTI	SUBSTANC	E ARRES	its.	-	-			-		 	HARIHUAN	A ARRE	STS	 	
		ARREST			Total	1		 Posses				Sali			Tota			Posses		, .	 Sal	
COUNTY	YEAR		lank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	•	<u>Rate</u>	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	170.8 143.4	15 11		134.4 96.9	16 13		112.2 72.2	13 14			14.1 20.8	29 11	•	36.3 46.5	11 13		31.8 34.9	9 9	· •	 4.5 11.6	
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	19.8 23.6	62 56		5.9	62 60		5.9 5.9	61 56		· .	.0	57 53		13.9 17.7	49 41		13.9 5.9	30 54		.0 11.8	
BRONX	1985 1985	1,035.1 894.4	2 2		853.1 654.7	2		494.1 397.5	2 2			299.7 199.8	2		182.0 239.7	2 2		75.6 101.6	3 2		106.4 138.1	
BRODKE	1988 1985	88.2 56.5	28 34		68.3 35.3	29 34		36.5 23.5	35 39			26.1 B.5	12 30		19.9 21.2	31 32		12.8	34 31		7.1 7.1	
. CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	51.6 38.7	45 46		30.5	47 49		28.1 14.1	41 46			1.2	55 47		21.1 21.1	25 33		16.4 15.2	22 27		4.7	
CAYUGA	1986 1985	47.6 58.9	50 33		35.0 47.6	44 28		22.5 28.8	46 31			10.0	- 33 - 19		12.5 11.3	54 52		5.0 5.0	58 56		7.5	
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1965	25.2 29.8	58 52		12.6	61 48		9.8 6.9	59 55			2.1	55 24		12.6 10.4	53 54		9.8 8.3	48 48		2.9 2.1	
CHENUNG	1986 1985	19.9 14.1	61 61		16.6	59 58		9.9 3.3	58 61			4.4 2.2	45 49		3.3 5.4	61 60		1.1 4.3	60 58		2.2 1.1	
CHENANGO	1986 1985	50.0 54.0	47 36		32.0 40.0	46 31		26.0 38.0	44 25			6.0 .0	42 53		18.0 14.0	40 48		14.0 6.0	29 53		4.0 8.0	
CLINTON	1986 1985	118.2 105.4	20 20		98.5 74.8	20 20		89.9 56.2	17 16	•		6,2 8,6	- 41 29		19.7 30.6	32 18		13.5 9.8	31 42		6.2 20.8	
COLUHBIA	1986 1985	100.5 92.4	25 23		72.5 54.5	26 26		44.5 34.7	29 26			10.1 14.9	21 21		28.0 38.0	16 14		16.5 21.5	21 18		11.5	
CORTLAND	1986 1985	42.2 90.7	53 25		23.2 38.0	54 33		14.8 29.5	55 30			2.1 8.4	54 31		19.0 52.8	37 9	-	6.3 27.4	55 14		12.7 25.3	
DELAVARE	1986 1985	46.7	51 39		27.6	49 47		27.6	42 49			.0	57 26		19.1	36 20	÷.,	17.0	20 20		2.1 8.5	

ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS. AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued TABLE 20

		•	TOTA		-			CONTROLLED			515						 MARIHUAN	A ARRES	5TS			
- -			DRUG Arres	15			tal		Passe	ssion		5a1			Tota		 Posses	sion			Sale	
COUNTY	YEAR		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	e R	Ran
DUTCHESS	1986 1985		141.4 108.2	19 19		120. 95.			91.5 61.5			19.9 16.1	19 17		20.6 23.1	29 26	15.2 14.5	25 30			5.5] 2
ERIE	1986 1985		193.4 174.6	12 7		150. 109.			126.0 03.2	12 10	· •	14.4 15.4	26 18		43.2 65.3	10 7	35.7 61.4	8 5			1.6 5.9	2
ESSEX	1986 1985		6 3. 4 38.5	39 47		44. 30.		•	44.1 22.0	30 41		8.3 .0	57 33		19.3 8.3	35 59	19.3	12 61			.0 5.5	5 3
FRANKLIN	1986 1985 -		107.3 62.2	22 27		89. 34.			86.8 32.0	19 27		2.3 2.3	52 48		18.3 48.0	39 11	11.4	42 32		5 34	5.8 1.3	2
FULTON	1986 1985		58.6 23,5	42 58		45. 5.			29.3 3.6	40 60		16.5	23 53		12.8 18.0	52 39	11.0 9.0	44 45	· · ·		1.B 7.0	3
GENESEE	1986 1985		49.3 33.9	49 49		27. 13.			18.7 10.2	49 52	-	6.8 1.7	37 52		22.1 20.3	24 35	17.0 16.9	19 24			5. 1 1. 4	1
GREENE	1986 1985		294.5 98.9	6 · 21		256. 82.			228.0 77.2	6 11		23.B 2.4	15 - 46		28.5 16.9	15 43	19,0 12.1	14 38).5 .8	1
HANILTON	1986 1985		160.0 60.2	17. 32		160. 50.			180.0 40.1	8 24		.0 .0	57 53	•	•.0 .0	62 62	.0	61 62			.0	
HERKINER	1986 1985		22.4 47.8	60 41		17. 29.			9.0 28.4			9.0 .0	35 53		4.5 17.9	59 40	4.5 12.0	59 40			.0	
JEFFERSON	1986 1985		67.3 38.4	37 18		- 46. 28.			37.5 24.8	34 37		5.5 3.4	43 40		21.0	27 55	12.1 6.0	37 51).8 5.4	
KIN65	1986 1985		693.9 549.8	3 3		585. 301.			328.6 221.7	3 3		178.0 115.0	3		128.4 157.5	3	55.8 76.7	5 4		72 90	2.6	
LEWIS	1986 1985		27.7 27.8	56 53		23. 11.			15.8 7.9			7.9	38 53		4.0 15.9	60 45	.0 7.9	61 49			1.0 7.9	
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985		71.7 42.9	35 43		56. 22.			35.8 15.4			20.5 3.4	18 39		15.4 20.6	45 34	11.9	39 39	•		3.4 3.6	

TABLE 20 ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS+ AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		TOTAL Drug	· .	CO	INTROLLED SUBSTAN	CE ARREST	5						 MARIHUANA	ARRESTS	 	· ·
	на стана на	ARRESTS	Tol		Passe			Salı			Total		Possess		 Sal	le
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
HAD I SON	1986 1985	34.5 55 12.0 62	21.0		18.0 .0			3.0 3.0	51 43		13.5 9.0	50 58	7.5 9.0	52 46	6.0 .0	
MONRDE	1986 1985	101.1 24 35.1 31	78.1 41.5		48.4 20.4			19.8 11.8	20 25		22.5 23.3	23 25	17.0 17.5	16 23	4.7 5.8	
HONTGOMERY	1986 1985	174.7 13 121.8 16	147.6 99.0		140.1	11 B		3.B .0	47 53		26.9 22.8	17 27	26.9 22.8	10 16	.0	
NASSAU	1986 1985	169.5 16 127.3 15	139.1 92.5		94.3 64.7	15 17	•	34.5 19.8	8 13	-	30 .3 34.8	12 17	24.6	11 13	5.7 7.2	
NEW YORK	1986 1985	2,626.7 1 2,186.9 1	2,243.0 1,630.3		1,576.5 1,102.3	1		528.3 396.1	1		383.7 556.6	1	154.1 232.3	1	229.6 324.2	
NIAGARA	1986 1985	96.8 26 56.4 35	80.1 42.0		44.7 28.2	28 33		30.0 9.3	9 32		16.1 14.3	44 45	12.0 8.8	38 47	4.1 5.5	
ONEIDA	1986 1985	67.2 3B 49.9 38	52.3 39.1		34.6 23.1	39 40		16.1	24 22		14.9 10.8	46 53	12.9	33 44	2.0	
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	93.5 27 86.8 26	68.4 59.1		38.4 27.5	33 35		25.7 23.6	- 13 7		25.0 27.7	18 21	14.7 12.5	26 35	10.4 15.3	
ONTARIO	1986 1985	49.9 48 68.2 29	38.(54.)		34.7 31.4	38 26		2.2 20.6	53 12		11.9 14.1	55 47	7.6 9.7	51 43	4.3 4.3	
ORANGE	1986 1985	198.1 10 141.0 9	177.1		156.9 104.1	9	на сила 1940 г. д.	13.8	29 9		20.2 21.6	30 31	17.7	17 25	 2.5 4.7	
ORLEANS	1986 1985	51.4 46 23.0 59	28.3 10.2		12.9 10.2	56 51		12.9	30 53		23.1 12.8	21 49	15.4 7.7	24 50	7.7	
OSWEGO	1986 1965	75.3 34 31.1 51	46.(11.E		21.7 5.9	47 57	•	22.5 5.9	16 36		29.3 19.3	14 37	19.2	13 41	10.0	
OTSEGO	1986 1985	52.4 44 79.2 28	33.6 32.0		11.0 27.0			16.9 5.1	22 37		18.6 47.2	3B 12 -	8.4 32.0	50 10	10.1 15.2	

(Continued on next page.)

TABLE 20

ADULT ARREST RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION FOR POSSESSION AND SALE OF DRUGS! AND KATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		TOT					CONTROLLED	SUBSTAN	CE ARRES	IS		÷		· .			MARTHUAN	A ARRESTS		· ·	-
		DRU ARRE	STS		- Tota			Posse			Sal			Tota		_ **********	Posses			Sal	18
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
PUTHAN	1986 1985	291.7 127.3		· · · ·	201.9 111.3	5 7	•	229.2 74.2			47.8 33.4	6		9.8 16.1	56 44		7.4	53 33		2.5 2.5	
QUEENS	1986 1985 -	420.5 288.3			324.5 181.5	4 5		189.5 115.8			118.4 53.3	4 . 4		96.0 106.7	5 5		56.4 47.4	4 5		39.6 59.3	
RENSSELAER	1984 1985	61.3 66.1	41 30		40.2 31.1	41 39		34.9 27.8			4.0 2.5	46 44		21.1 35.0	26 16		15.9 26.4	23 15	•	5.3 8.6	
RICHHOND	1986 1985	248.3 181.9			173.B 72.5	9 22		71.5 49.7			87.8 15.0	5 20	•	74.5	6		11.2 31.3	43 11		63.3 78.1	
ROCKLAND	1984 1985	147.0 130.1			123.7 108.2	17 9		87 .3 - 63.2			27.1 33.9	11 5		23.3 21.9	20 30		10.9 12.8	45 34		12.4 7.0	
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	87.8 119.0			67.4 81.1	30 18	· .	62.9 75.8			3.5 1.8	48 51 -		20.4 37.9	29 15		17.7 29.1	19 12		2.7 8.8	
SAEATOGA	1986 1985	83.5 91.6			70.1 66.8	27 23		61.5 48.3			6.7 17.9	39 16		13.4 24.8	51 23		7.3 12.4	55 36		6.1 12.4	
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	70.6 53.1			51.3 31.2	34 38		41.9 21.9			5.3 8.0	44 34		19.3 21.9	34 29		10.7 17.9	46 22	•	8.7 4.0	
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	40.3 23.5			23.5		-	16.B 10.1			6.7 3.4	38 41		16.8 10.1	42 56		10.1 6.7	47 52		6.7 3.4	
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	23.0 40.0			17.2 17.1			17.2			.0	57 53		5.7 22.8	58 28		5.7 5.7	57 55		.0 17.1	
SENECA	1988 1985	81.0 45.8			56.1 33.6	32 36		46.7 30.5			6.2 3.1	40 42		24.9	19 50		18.7 12.2	- 15 37		6.2 .0	
STEUBEN	1986 1985	-42.3 27.7			25.8 10.3			22.7 10.3			3.1 .0	50 53		16.5 17.5	43 42		14.4 15.4	27 26		2.1	
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	197.0 156.1			96.1 76.1			64.3 44.0			25.6 27.0	14 8		100.9 87.9	4 6	4	88.0 79.7	2 3		12.9 10.2	
								· · · ·													

TABLE 2D

				TOTA						CONTROLLED	SUBSTAN									MARIHUAN	ARRESTS		
				DRUG ARRES	STS			Tota	1		Posse	ssion			Şal	e	Tota			Passes		 Sat	
	COUNTY	YEAR	-	Rate	Rank		R	ate 	Rank		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank -		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
	SULLIVAN	1986 1985		172.0 153.8	14 10			157.4 102.1	- 11 11 -		100.6 85.8				37.9 7.4	7 35	14.6 51.8	47 10	-	11.7 45.9	°41. 7	2.9	
	TIOGA	1986 1985		78.4 41.7				49.0 29.8	.35 42		27 .5 23.8				15.7 2.0	25 50	29.4 11.9	13 51		11.B 4.0	40 59	17.6	7 30
	TOMPKINS	1986 1985		53.7 48.8	43 40			40.0 29.5	42 43		- 18.3 14.7				10.3	32 27	13.7 19.3	49 30		9.1 18.2	49 21	4.6	38 56
	ULSTER	1986 1985	•	116.9 109.3	21 18			99.3 82.4	19 16		84.7 59.2				10.4 19.5	31 14	17.7	41 22		13.4 22.0	32 17	4.3	40 42
B-27	VARREN	1986 1985		198.2 133.0	? 12			155.0 104.2	12 10		144.1 93.5		. *		9.0 9.0	34 28	43.2 28.8	9 19		41.4 19.8	6 19	1.8 9.0	55 21
	WASHINGTON	1986 1985		63.3 19.4	40 60			43.9	40 51		38.7 12.3				3,5 3,5	49 38	19.3 3.5	91 33		12.3 3.5	36 30	7.0	24 58
	WAYNE	1986 1985		101.1 98.2	23 22			78.4 74.2	25 21		55.7 58.3				21.5	17 23	22.7 24.0	22 24		12.5 14.8	35 28	10.2 9.1	14 18
	WESTCHESTER	1986 1985		327.5 252.1	5 5			280.9 195.0	6 4		236.7				27.6 21.1	10 10	46.5	8 8		35.9 43.3	7 8	10.7 13.6	12 13
	HAONINE	1986 1985		27.0	57 55			19.6 7.4	56 59		19.6				2.5	57 45	7.4	57 36		7.4 14.8	53 29	.0	- 56 41
	YATES	1985 1985		84.9 32.6	30 50	-		14.2 23.3	60 45		.0 4.7				14.2	27 15	70.8 9.3	7 57		14.2 4.7	28 57	56.6	5 45
	NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985		515.5 417,3				421.7 295.1	NA NA	•	278.7 193.4	NA NA			113.5	NA NA	93.9 121.1	NA		48.4 40.4	NA NA	45.5 60.7	NA NA
	NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985		1,048.2 851.2				B70.4 611.7	NĂ NA		559.7 393.0	NA		•	249.0	NA NA	177.8 239.5	NA NA		76.9	NA NA	100.9 137.1	NA NA
	· · · ·																				н		

Felony and disdemeanor arrests that include at least one drug charge (Penal Law of New York State - Sections 220 and 221).

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: MYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Drug Arrests and Processing Trends File.

				• -				 	UNI	ARREST	5			
•					Tota				Feld	ny -		•	Nisdeac	eanor
COUNTY	-	YEAR			Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank
ALBANY		1986			7.8	19			.8	17			7.0	20
		1985			11.5	12			.9	8			10.5	
ALLEGANY	•	1986			5.8	46			.2	52			5.6	
		1985			5.2	54			.1	52			5.1	5
BRONX		1986			4.1	58			.0	58			4.1	.5
		1985			à.4	58	•		.0	61			4.4	5
BROONE		1986			4.7	54			.5	36		•	4.2	
		1985			5.0	56			.6	28			4.5	. 5
CATTARAUGUS		1986			11.1	15			1.2	3			9.9	1
•		1985			9.6	21			.9	9			8.7	2
CAYUGA		1986			5.8	47		-	.4	39			5.4	i.
		1985			8.1	32			.6	29			7.6	
CHAUTAURUA		1986			8.9	25			.9	13			8.0	:
1		1985			8.7	27			.7	20			8.0	:
CHENUNG		1986			5.5	51			.9	14			4.6	:
		1985			5.3	53			.7	15			4.5	
CHENANGO		1986			11.2	14			· .7	25			10.5	:
		1985			- 11.0	13			.1	16			19.3	
CLINTON		1986	-		9.4	21			.8	20			8.6	
		1985			12.1	9			.7	23			11.4	
COLUNBIA		1986			7.4	38			.4	. 41			6.9	
		1985			7.9	36			4	41			7.5	.3

2E DNI FELONY AND HISDEMEANOR ADULT ARREST RATES PER 1,000 LICENSED DRIVERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 * - Co.cinued

.

				*	DNI	ARRESTS			
		Total	-		Fel	ony		Nisdene	eanor
COUNTY	YEAR	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Q ank
CORTLAND	1986 1985	10.7 16.4	16 1		.(10.6 16.4	11
DELAVARE	1986 1985	7.2 8.0	39 34		••	42 47		6.9 7.8	38 29
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	8.3 9.6	29 20		•			7.6 8.9	32 21
ERIE	1986 1985	6.7 6.7	40 43			5 33 5 36	•	6.2 6.3	- 41 42
ESSEX .	1986 1985	11.7 11.8	10 11					10.8 11.1	10
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	13.1 9.3	3 25		••			12.4 8.9	2
FULTON	1986 1985	5.9 6.3	45 46			46 31		5.6 5.7	4
BENESEE	1986 1985	10.2 9.4	17 22		•) 11 i 35		9.3 9.0	11
GREENE	1986 1985	8.1 7.2	32 41		e • • •			7.3 6.8	
HAHILTON	1986 1985	6.5 10.6	42 14					4.5 10.1	4
HERKINER	1986 1985	7.4	37 39			9 21 7 17		6.7 6.8	

{ Continued on next page.}

B-29

TABLE 2E

						 	 DHI	ARREST	S _	 		
				Tota	1		Fel	ony		 	Nisdens	anor
COUNTY	· .	YEAR		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank
· ·											•	
JEFFERSON		1986 1985		6.2 7.7	43 38		.6				5.6 7.1	45 37
KINGS	· •	1986		2.6	60		.0				2.6	60
		1985		3.2	59		.0	59			3.2	59
LEWIS		1986		5.5	49		1.0	. 9			4.6	53
8 1 1 1 1		1985		6.0	47		9				5.2	50
LIVINGSTON		1986		11.6	11		.5	35			11.1	. 7
		1985		13.6	4		.5	34			13.1	4
MADISON		1986		8.4	28		.4	38			7.9	27
		1985		8.8	26		.4	37			8.3	26
HONRDE		1986		5.5	50		.8	22			4.7	- 51
		1985		5.5	50		.7				4.8	53
NONTGOMERY		1986		14.1	2		1.3	2			12.8	2
		1985		9.4	24		.8				B.5	25
NASSAU		1986		4.5	56		.3	43			4.1	55
		1985		5.2	55		.3				4.9	52
NEW YORK		1986		3.1	59		.0	57			3.1	59
		1985		3.1	60		.1	57			3.1	60
NIAGARA		1986		8.0	35		.2	51			7.8	70
ALAVAAN		1985		8.2	29		.2				8.0	30 27
ONEIDA		1986			10		,	15				. 10
DALIVA		1785		5.6	49 49		.3	45			5.3 5.4	48 48

B-30

g

			Toti			 Fela				Nisdene	eand
COUNTY	YEAR		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank 			Rate	Rai
ONDNDAGA	1986 1985	•	5.1 5.4	52 51		.2	48 51			4.9	
ONTARIO	1986 1985		12.0 10.1	5 18	-	 1.7 1.2	12	· .		10.4 8.9	_
ORANGE	1986 1985		9.0 8.0	24 35		1.1 1.0	7			7.9 7.0	
ORLEANS	1986 1985		5.9 4.7	44 57		.¢ 1.1	31 5			5.4 3.6	
OSVE60	1986 1985		8.3 8.1	31 33		.2	47 43			8.0 7.9	
OTSEGO	1986 1985		11.9	8		.8 .8	16 13			11.1 13.2	
PUTNAN	1986 1985	-	9.6	20 23		.1 .1	54 56			9.5 9.3	
QUEENS	1986 1985		1.9 2.0	62 62		.0	59 58			1.9	1
RENSSELAER	1986 1985		7.1 9.8	23 19		.4	40 46			8.6 7.5	
RICHNOND	1986 1985		2.6 2.7	61 61		.0 .0	61 60			2.6 2.7	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985		4.4	57 45		.5	30 30			3.9	

.

B-31

			Tota	1			Fel	ony 		Hi sder	ieanor
COUNTY	YEAR		Rate	Rank 			Rate	Rank		Rate	Ran
ST. LAWRENCE	1986		11.4	12			.8	19		10.4	
	1985		10.3	17			.7	21		9.1	5 1
SARATOGA	1986		11.8	9			.5	37		11.4	
	1985		13.0	7			.4	42		12.0	5
SCHENECTADY	1986		6.5	- 41			.7	26		5.1	
	1985		6.8	42	-		ه.	24		6.3	2 4
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985		11.9	7			.2	50 32		11.	
SCHUYLER	1986		8.4 7.4	26 40			.8	18 22		7.6	
SENECA	1986	•	9.9	18						8.1	-
DERELH	1985		• • 10.4	15			1.2 1.2	4	•	9.2	
STEUBEN	1986		7.9	- 36			.8	15		7.() 2
1	1985		. 6.5	44			.6	27		5.6	
SUFFOLK	1986		7.1	22				55		9.() 1
	1985		10.4	16		_	.1	55		10.3	5 1
SULLIYAN	1986		12.0	6			1.0	8		11.0	
	1985		12.4	. 8			.6	26		11.7	1
TIOGA	1986 1985		8.3 8.6	30 28			1.2	5		7.	
TOMPKINS	1985 1985		8.1 7.7	34 - 37			.2	53 54		7.1	
				•••			••				

			 T-1	.1	 Fel			 Nisdeac	
			Tot.	•				ni 50285	
COUNTY	YEAR		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank
JLSTER	1986 1985	·	8.1 8.1	33 31	.7	24 39	- -	7.4	33 31
IARREN	1986 1985		15.3 16.2	1 2	.3 .1	44 53		14.9 16.1	1
IASHINGTON	1986 1985		11.3 13.5	- 13 5	i.i 1.2	. 3 3		10.2 12.3	- 15 7
IAYNE	1986 1985		12.4 13.2	4	.5 .4	34 38		11.9 12.7	4
ESTCHESTER	1986 1985		5.1 5.8	53 48	.2 .2	49 49		4.9 5.6	50 47
YOKING	1986 1985		8.4 8.2	27 30	.8 .8	32 11		7.9 7.3	29 36
(ATES	1986 1985		4,6 5,4	55 52	.å 1.0	29 7		4.0 4.4	57 57
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985		6.0 6.4	NA NA	.4	NA NA		5.6 6.1	KA
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985		2.7 2.9	NA NA	.0	NA NA		2.7	NA Na

+ Felony and misdemeanor arrests that include at least one charge for a DWI offense (Vehicle and Traffic Law: State of New York -Section 1192).

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, DWI Arrests and Processing Trends File.

			Vid	lent		- 01	her	To	otal
CDUNTY	Year		Nuøber	Percent		Nueber	Percent	Nuaber	Percen
					· · · _ ·				
ALBANY	1986		178	38.4		286	61.6	464	100.
	1985		144	32.1		3ú4	67.9	448	100.
ALLEGANY	1986		8	14.3		48	. 85.7	56	100.
	1985		10	18.2		45	81.8	55	100.
BRONX	1985		3,650	49.1		3,778	50.9	7,428	100.
	1985		3,738	56.4		2,895	43.6	6,633	100.
BRODME	1986		131	27.3		349	72.7	480	100
	1985		149	26.9		405	73.1	554	100.
CATTARAUGUS	1786		25	22.1		88	77.9	113	100.
	1985		20	22.0		71	78.0	- 91	100.
CAYUGA	1986		32	31.1		71	68.9	103	100.
	1985		31	29.8		- 73	70.2	104	100.
CHAUTAUDUA	1986		57	23.5		186	78.5	243	100.
	1985		51	23.3		169	76.7	219	100
CHENUNG	1986		73	29.2		177	70.8	250	100.
	1985		76	22.4		264	77.6	340	100.
CHENANGO	1986		12	9.0		122	91.0	134	100.
	1985		17	30.4	4	39	69.6	56	100.
CLINTON	1986		42	28.2		107	71.B	149	100.
	1985		37	28.9		91	71.1	128	100.
COLUMBIA	1986		11	21.2		41	78.9	52	100
	1985		31	34.1		60	65.9	91	100.
CORTLAND	1986		23			70	75,3	93	100.
	1985	•	20	15.5		109	84.5	129	100.
DELAWARE	1986		·· 9	32.1		19	67.9	28	100.
	1985		8	29.6		19	70.4	27	100.

TABLE 3A

FELONY INDICTMENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

			lent			her		Τα	tal
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Number	Percent		Nuaber	Percent
DUTCHESS	1986	82	24.6		251	75.4		333	100.0
	1985	80	21.4		293	78.6		373	100.0
ERIE	1985 1985	435 473	31.1 31.5		963 1,028	68.9 68.5		1,398 1,501	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	1985 1985	15 17	11.7 20.2	•	113 75	88.3 79.8		128 94	100.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	12 14	23.1 17.5		40 66	7 6.9 82.5		52 80	100.0 100.0
FULTON	1784 1785	27 13	32.9 15.7		55 70	67.1 84.3		82 83	100.0 100.0
BENESEE	1786 1785	22 28	17.4 25.7		90 81	B0.4 74.3		112 109	100.0
GREENE	1786 1785	23 22	27.7 34.4		40 42	72.3 . 65.6	•	B3 64	100.0
HAMILTON	1984 1985	3_1	18.B 16.7		13 5	81.3 83.3		16 6	100.0
HERKINER	1995 1985	10 15	12.2		72 47	87.8 75.8		82 62	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1786 1985	66 65	22.1 23.4		233 213	77.9 76.6		299 278	100.0 100.0
KINGS	1986 1985	4,514 4,644	52.9 59.9		4,025 3,107	47.1 40.1		8,539 7,751	100.0
LENIS	1986 1985	9 5	25.0 13.2		27 33	75.0 86.8		36 38	100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	- 43 47	16.4 22.1		219 166	83.6 77.9		262 213	100.0 100.0

FELONY INDICTMENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

			lent			her			To	tal
CDUNTY	Year		Parcent			Percent			Nusber	Percen
NADISON	1984 1985	32 30	23.2 22.4		106 104	76.8 77.6			13B 134	100. 100.
KONROE	1986 1985	427 447	29.9 30.7		1,001 1,010	70.1 69.3	-		1,428 1,457	100. 100.
NONTGONERY	1986 1985	21 15	18.4 18.3		93 67	81.6 81.7			114 B2	100. 100.
NASSAU	1784 1985	961 962	36.0 39.9		1,712 1,447	64.0 60.1			2,673 2,409	100. 100.
NEN YORK	1986 1985	4,202 4,416	59 .3 63.6	•	2,885 2,523	40.7 36.4			7,087 6,939	100. 100.
NIASARA	1786 1785	101 98	28.5 28,3		254 248	71.5 71.7			355 346	100. 100.
ONEIDA	1986 1985	190 139	33.0 31.6		386 301	67.0 68.4			576 440	100. 100.
DNDNDAGA	1986 1985	420 399	31.7 31.4		904 870	68.3 68.6			1,324 1,269	100. 100.
ONTARIO	1986 1985	63 49	23.7 22.9		203 165	76.3 77.1			266 214	100. 100.
DRANGE	1986 1985	152 145	31.2 27.7		335 379	68.8 72.3		•	487 524	100. 100.
DRLEANS	1986 1985	30 52	30.3 35.9		69 93	69.7 64.1			99 145	100. 100.
OSNEGO	1986 1985	37 39	21.9 21.3		132 144	78.1 78.7			169 183	100. 100.
OTSEGO	1786 1785	10	22.2 25.9		35 20	77.8 74.1			45 27	100. 100.

TABLE JA

FELONY INDICTHENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

								, ,			
					lent		Ot	her		10 	otal
COUNTY	Year				Percent			Percent		Nueber	Percent
PUTNAN	1986			32	18.7		139	81.3		- 171	100.0
	1985	-	· .	15	13.4		97	84.4		112	100.0
QUEENS	1986			3,297	43.B		4,223	56.2		7,520	100.0
	1985			2,964	50.5		2,911	49.5	•	5,875	100.0
RENSSELAER	1986			45	27.1		121	- 72.9		166	100.0
	1985			52	28.3		132	71.7		184	100.0
RICHNOND	1986			214	41.1		307	58.9		521	100.0
	1985			175	54.5		146	45.5		321	100.0
ROCKLAND	1986			84	19.7		342	80.3		426	100.0
	1985			79	18.0		361	92.Ú		440	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986			26	13.5		166			192	100.0
	1985			37 -	18.7		161	81.3		198	100.0
SARATOGA	1986			49			106	68.4		155	100.0
	1965			62	38.0		101	62.0		163	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986			48	28.2	•	122	71.8		170	100.0
	1985			59	25.0		177	75.0		236	100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986			. 9	18.8		39	61.3		48	100.0
•	1985			6	28.6		15	71.4		21	100.0
SCHUYLER	1986			13	40.6		. 19	59.4		32	100.0
	1985			9	32.1		19	67.9		28	100.0
SENECA	1986			13	17.3		62	82.7		75	100.0
	1985			7	17.9		32	82.1		39	100.0
STEUBEN	1986			34	21.4		125	78.6		159	. 100.0
	1985			34	28.3		86	71.7		120	100.0
SUFFOLK	1986			817	29.2	- · ·	1,983	70.B		2,800	100.0
	1985			971	32.0		2,061	68.0		3,032	100.0

(Continued on next page.)

TABLE JA FELONY INDICTNENTS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		Vio	lent			her		To	otal
COUNTY	Year	Nusber	Percent		Nuaber	Percent	· · ·	Nusber	Percen
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	49 46	22.2 32.6		172 95	77.8 67.4		221 141	100.0 100.0
	1763	OF .	31.0			07.1		171	144.
TIDGA	1986 1985	19 7	19.0 9.6		81 66	81.0 90.4		100 73	100.
TOMPKINS	1986	. 41	24.9		124	75.2		165	100.
	1985	38	27.7	•	99	72.3		137	100.
ULSTER	1986 1985	51 64	22.4 20.8		177 244	77.6 79.2		228 308	100. 100.
NARREN	1986	11	26.8		30	73.2		41	100.
	1985	13	26.5		36	73.5		49	100.
WASHINGTON	1986	18	25.7		52	74.3		70	100.
	1985	25	32.9		51	67.1		76	100.
HAYNE	1986	34	15.7		182	84.3		216	100.
	1985	34	17.3		163	82.7.		197	100.
WESTCHESTER	1986	499	35.1		924	64.9		1,423	100.
	1985	569	39.7		864	60.3		1,433	100.
WYOMING	1986	- 17	27.0		46	73.0		- 63	100.
	1985	6	9.4		- 58	90.6		-64	100.
YATES	1986	15	29.4		- 36	70.6		51	100.
	1985	7	13.7		44	86.3		- 51	100.
NEN YORK STATE	1986	21,833	39.1		33,977	60.9		55,810	100.
	1985	22,000	44.0		28,016	56.0		50,016	100.
HEW YORK CITY	1986	16,115	44.9		19,755	55.1		35,870	100.
	1985	16,102	53.0		14,291	47.0		30,393	100.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Indictment Statistical System.

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEKEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

			ictions			•	ttals		Disni				ecuted		ther			otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent				Percent			Percent			Percent	Nuober	Percent			Percent
ALBANY	1986 1985	4,286 4,491	77.9 77.9			20 15	.4		1,165	21.2		3	.1	28 25			5,502 5,767	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	269 220	71.5 67.7			. 0 Į	.0		105 103	28.0 31.7		0	•0 •0	2		•	375 325	100.0 100.0
BRDNX	1986 1985	23,735 24,651	66.2 70.5			330 349	.9 1.0		10,486 8,674	29.2 24.8	1,1		3.3 3.4	149 131			35,872 34,986	100.0 100.0
BROOME	1986 1985	2,347 2,152	74.7 74.6			21 17	.7 .8		678 635	21.6 22.0		0 0	.0 .0	98 79			3,144 2,883	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	: 854 728				4	.4		148 153	14.7		0 1	.0 .1	4	.4		1,010 887	100.0 100.0
CAYUGA	1988 1985	641 845	70.8 75.1			9 0	1.0 .0		254 278	28.0 24.7		0	.0	2 2			906 1,125	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	1,514 1,522	74.J 75.6			13 10	.6 .5		502 476	24.6 23.6		1 J	.0 .0	- 9 5			2,039 2,014	100.0
CHEMUNG	1986 1785	1,047	74.4 74.9			5 7	.4 .5		353 366	25.1 24.4		0	.0 .0	2			1,407 1,503	100.0 100.0
CHENANGO	1986 1985	524 597	77.9 75.5			0 7	.0 .7		143 175	21.2 22.3		0 2	.0	6 9	.9 1.1		673 791	100.0 100.0
ELINTON	1986 1985	814 907	79.5 83.2		•	8	.8		192 178	18.8 16.3		0 Ú	.0	10 4	1.0		1,024 1,090	100.0 100.0
COLUNBIA	1986 1985	571 666	58.8 61.2			1	.1		401 415	41.2 38.1		0 2	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	4	.1		974 1,089	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1786	576 744				4	.4 .4		438 359	42.9 32.4		0 0	.0	2			1,020 1,109	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE	1986 1985	466 476		•		1 4	.2		155 173	24.9 26.5		0	.0	0			622 654	100.0 100.0

TABLE 4A

<u>₿</u>-40

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

	Convi	ctions			littals	-	Disci			Not Pros			Oth	er	T	otal
Year	Nurber	Percent		Nuaber	Percent		Nusber			Nueber I			Nueber	Percent	Nuaber	Percent
1986 1985	2,515 2,947	59.9 62.0		. E	.2		1,597	38.0 36.0		0 2	.0		81 87	1.9	4,201 4,753	100.0 100.0
1986 1985	10,704 11,280	59.6 63.7				•	6,1749 6,132	38.7 34.6		- 75 53	.4 .3		21 43	•1 •2	17,945 17,720	100.0 100.0
1786 1785	432 428	75.0 77.4	•				139 117	24.1 21.2		0	.0 .0		5	,9 1.1	576 553	
1786 1785	640 540	82.2 83.6					135 104	17.3 16.1		1	.1		1 0	.1 .0	779 646	190.0 109.0
1986 1985	: 523 562	80.8 79.3		1	.2		120 142	18.5 20.0		0 3	.0		32	.5 .3	647 709	100.0
1986 1985	668 586	80.5 82.1					156 123	18.9 17.2		1 2	.1 .3		10	.1	830 714	
1986 1985	560 • 431	81.9 79.8		•			. 117 102	17.1		0	.0 .2		23	.3	684 540	100.0 100.0
1986 1985	38 37	82,6 80,4	•	(0. 0.		. • 8 9	17.4		0	.0 .0		0	.0	46 46	
1986 1985	518 548	84.8 88.5		·	.2		75 64	12.6		- 0 Ú	.0		3	.5 1.0	. 597 619	100.0 100.0
1986 1985	848 784	85 .9 86.5					128 113	13.0 12.5		12	•1 •2	·	- 9 4	.9 .4	987 906	100.0 100.0
1986 1985	32,464 29,258	63.1					16,015 13,129	31.1 29.0		2,349 2,387	4.6 5.3		272 204	,5 .5	51,448 45,323	100.0 100.0
1986 1985	98 160	76.0 79.6	· .	(.0		29 38	22.5 18.9		0	.0		2	1.6	129 201	100.0 100.0
1986 1985	903 883	78.9 81.6					235 187	20.5 17.5	•	, 0 0	.0		2 B	,2 .7	1,145 1,082	100.0 100.0
	1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986	1986 2,515 1985 2,947 1985 10,764 1985 11,280 1985 428 1985 428 1985 428 1985 540 1985 540 1985 540 1985 540 1985 540 1985 540 1985 540 1985 540 1985 540 1985 540 1985 540 1985 546 1985 546 1985 546 1985 37 1986 548 1985 548 1985 548 1985 784 1986 32,464 1985 160 1986 78 1985 160 1985 160	1986 2,515 59,9 1985 2,947 62.0 1985 10,704 59.6 1985 11,280 63.7 1985 428 77.4 1985 429 77.4 1985 428 77.4 1985 429 77.4 1985 540 83.6 1985 540 83.6 1985 540 83.6 1985 540 83.6 1985 540 83.6 1985 540 83.6 1985 540 81.9 1985 586 92.1 1986 560 81.9 1985 38 82.6 1985 37 80.4 1985 548 88.5 1986 548 85.9 1985 784 86.5 1986 32,464 63.1 1985 160 77.6	1986 2,515 59.9 1985 10,704 59.6 1985 11,280 63.7 1986 432 75.0 1985 428 77.4 1985 540 83.6 1985 540 83.6 1985 540 83.6 1985 562 79.3 1986 668 80.5 1985 586 82.1 1985 586 82.1 1985 586 82.1 1985 586 82.4 1985 586 82.5 1985 586 82.4 1985 586 82.5 1985 37 80.4 1985 37 80.4 1985 548 82.5 1986 518 86.8 1985 784 86.5 1985 32,464 63.1 1985 160 79.6 <	1986 2,515 59.9 2 1985 2,947 62.0 4 1985 10,704 59.6 196 1985 11,280 63.7 212 1985 11,280 63.7 212 1985 428 77.4 2 1985 428 77.4 2 1985 540 83.6 2 1985 540 83.6 2 1985 562 79.3 0 1985 562 79.3 0 1985 566 82.1 3 1986 668 80.5 4 1985 586 82.1 3 1986 560 81.9 5 1985 37 80.4 0 1985 37 80.4 0 1986 518 86.8 1 1986 32,464 63.1 346 1985 29,258	1986 $2,515$ 57.9 8 .2 1985 $2,947$ 62.0 4 .1 1985 $10,704$ 59.6 196 1.1 1985 $11,280$ 63.7 212 1.2 1986 432 75.0 0 0 1985 428 77.4 2 $.4$ 1985 540 82.2 2 $.3$ 1985 540 83.6 2 $.3$ 1985 540 83.6 2 $.3$ 1985 540 82.2 2 $.3$ 1985 540 82.2 2 $.3$ 1986 562 79.3 0 0 1986 560 81.9 5 7 1985 546 82.1 3 $.6$ 1986 38 82.6 0 0 1986 548 80.5 1 $.2$ 1986 548 80.5 3	1986 $2,515$ 57.9 8 $.2$ 1985 $2,947$ 62.0 4 1 1985 $10,704$ 59.6 196 1.1 1985 $11,280$ 63.7 212 1.2 1985 428 77.4 2 4 1985 428 77.4 2 4 1985 428 77.4 2 3 1985 540 83.6 2 3 1985 540 83.6 2 3 1985 562 79.3 0 0 1986 668 80.5 4 5 1985 586 82.1 3 4 1986 560 81.9 5 7 1985 586 82.1 3 6 1985 32.6 0 0 0 1985 37 80.4 0 0 1985 548 84	19862,51559.98.21,59719852,947 62.0 4.11,713198610,70459.61961.1 $6,949$ 198511,280 63.7 2121.2 $6,132$ 198643275.00.0139198542877.42.4117198664082.22.3135198554083.62.3104198655280.81.2120198556279.30.0142198666880.54.5156198558682.13.4123198656081.95.7117198554082.60.0819853780.40.09198551886.81.275198551886.81.275198551886.81.26419853780.40.09198578485.91.1128198578485.91.1128198578485.91.1128198578485.71.1128198578486.53.3113198527,25864.6345.813,129	1986 2,515 59.9 8 .2 1,597 38.0 1985 2,947 δ 2.0 4 1 1,713 36.0 1986 10,704 59.6 196 1.1 δ ,749 38.7 1985 11,280 δ 3.7 212 1.2 δ ,132 34.6 1986 432 75.0 0 .0 139 24.1 1985 428 77.4 2 .4 117 21.2 1986 640 82.2 2 .3 135 17.3 1985 540 83.6 2 .3 104 16.1 1986 540 83.6 2 .3 104 16.1 1986 5523 80.8 1 .2 120 18.5 1985 586 92.1 3 .4 123 17.2 1986 648 80.5 4 .5 156 18.8 <t< td=""><td>19862,51559.98.21,59738.019852,947$62.0$4.11,713$35.0$198510,70459.61961.1$6,949$$38.7$198511,280$63.7$2121.2$6,132$$34.6$198643275.00.013924.1198542877.42.411721.2198644082.22.313517.3198554083.62.310416.1198652380.81.212018.5198556279.30.014220.0198664880.54.515618.8198558682.13.412317.2198656081.95.7.11717.1198558682.13.610218.9198558682.13.610218.9198554081.9.5.7.11717.1198554882.51.27512.6198651886.81.27512.6198554689.51.12813.0198554689.51.26410.3198554686.53.3.11312.5198554689.5</td><td>Image: constraint of the second se</td><td>Image: constraint of the second se</td><td>Image: constraint of the second se</td><td>1986 2,115 59,9 8 .2 1,577 38.0 0 .0 81 1985 2,047 62.0 4 .1 1,713 36.0 2 .0 97 1986 10,704 57.4 196 1.1 6,949 35.7 75 .4 21 1985 11,280 63.7 212 1.2 6,132 34.6 53 .3 43 1986 432 75.0 0 .0 139 24.1 0 .0 5 1985 540 83.6 2 .3 135 17.3 1 .1 1 1985 540 83.6 2 .3 104 16.1 0 .0 3 1985 542 77.3 0 .0 142 20.0 3 .4 2 1986 640 80.5 4 .5 155 18.9 1 .1 1</td><td>$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td></t<>	19862,51559.98.21,59738.019852,947 62.0 4.11,713 35.0 198510,70459.61961.1 $6,949$ 38.7 198511,280 63.7 2121.2 $6,132$ 34.6 198643275.00.013924.1198542877.42.411721.2198644082.22.313517.3198554083.62.310416.1198652380.81.212018.5198556279.30.014220.0198664880.54.515618.8198558682.13.412317.2198656081.95.7.11717.1198558682.13.610218.9198558682.13.610218.9198554081.9.5.7.11717.1198554882.51.27512.6198651886.81.27512.6198554689.51.12813.0198554689.51.26410.3198554686.53.3.11312.5198554689.5	Image: constraint of the second se	Image: constraint of the second se	Image: constraint of the second se	1986 2,115 59,9 8 .2 1,577 38.0 0 .0 81 1985 2,047 62.0 4 .1 1,713 36.0 2 .0 97 1986 10,704 57.4 196 1.1 6,949 35.7 75 .4 21 1985 11,280 63.7 212 1.2 6,132 34.6 53 .3 43 1986 432 75.0 0 .0 139 24.1 0 .0 5 1985 540 83.6 2 .3 135 17.3 1 .1 1 1985 540 83.6 2 .3 104 16.1 0 .0 3 1985 542 77.3 0 .0 142 20.0 3 .4 2 1986 640 80.5 4 .5 155 18.9 1 .1 1	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

TABLE 4A

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		Convic	tions		Acqui	ttals		Disni	ssals		Not Pros	ecuted	Oth	er		Te	otal
COUNTY	Year	Nuaber	Percent		Huaber	Percent			Percent		Nunber	Percent	Nuaber	Percent		Number	Percent
MADISON	1986 1985	715 648	82.2 83.8	-	· · · · · ·	.7 .0		144 124	16.6 16.0		0 0	.0	5 1	.6		870 773	100.0 100.0
HONROE	1986 1985	5,374 5,572	46.4 49.9		101 104	.9		5,750 5,218	49.6 46.7		282 212	2.4 1.9	- 86 71	.7	•	11,593 11,177	100.0 100.0
NONTGOHERY	1986 1985	650 634	90.9 87.9		4 4	.6		51 75	7.1 10.4		0 5	.0	10 3	1.4		715 721	100.(100.(
NASSAU	1986 1985	10,982	79.8 85.9		122 75	.9		1,978 1,675	14.4		187 103	. 1.4	487 218	3.6 1.5		13,758 14,838	100.0
NEH YORK	1986 1985	67,603 67,201	66.9 69.4		401 375	.4		30,519 26,566	30.2 26.6		1,667 2,225	1.7 2.2	829 1,448	.8 1.4		101,019 99,895	100.0 100.0
NIAGARA	1986 1985	2,927 3,020	79.3 78.6		20 36	.5		737 775	20.0 20.2	•	0	.0	7 13	.2 .3		3,691 3,844	100.0
ONEIDA	1986 1985	2,054 2,006	03.1 83.2		7 6	.3 .2		403 392	16.3 16.3		2 0	.1 .0	7 7	• • <u>•</u> • •		2 ₁ 473 2 ₁ 411	100.0 100.0
DNONDAGA	1986 1985	3,862 3,950	59.6 60.1		35 38	.5		2,524 2,458	38.9 37.8		32	.0	61 98	.9 1.5		6,485 6,576	100.0 100.0
DNTARIO	1986 1985	1,245 1,046	82.0 81.7		7 14	• .5 1.1		256 204	16.9 15.9		4	.3 .9	7 5	•5 •1		1,519 1,201	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1986 1985	2 ,749 3,057	58,4 58,2		- 7 - 14	.1		1,885 2,102	40.0 40.0		3 4	1 .1	63 78	1.3 1.5		4,707 5,255	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	1986 1985 -	355 277	76.3 67.6		0 12	0 2.9	•	105 115	22.6 28.0		1 3	.2 .7	4 3	.9 .7		465 410	100.0 100.0
DSWE60	1986 1985	1,075 1,136	72.5 77.6		7	.5		398 307	26.7 21.0		. I 1	.1	3 13	.2 .9		1,482 1,464	100.0 100.0
OTSEGO	1986 1985	737 850	79,0 80.3		4	.4		188 190	20.2 16.0		0 1	.0	4	.4 1.1		933 1,058	100.0 100.0

TABLE 4A

							DISPOS	ITIONS RES	ULTING F	RON FELONY I	NISDENEANOR	ARRESTS	¥ .			
		Convicti	ons	Acqui	ttals		Disai	55aí 5		Not Per	secuted		Othe	er	Ti	otal
COUNTY	Year	Number Pe	ergent	Nuaber	Percent		Nuaber	Percent		Nusber	Percent		Nusber I	'ercent	Number	Percent
PUTNAN	1986 1985	719 665	61.3 61.3	2 3	.2 .3		445 410	38.0 37.8		0 0	.0		6 7	.5 .6	1,172 1,085	100.0 100.0
BUEENS	1786 1985	16,996 17,111	64.4 66.8	299 287	1.1		8,756 7,825	33.2 30.5		139 282	.5 1.1		195 119	.7 .5	26,385 25,624	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	1,431 1,493	77.1 76.9	8	.4		415 433	22.4 22.3		0 0	.0		1	,1 ,3	1,855 1,941	
RICHHOND	1986 1985	2,286 2,209	44.2 42.9	13 55	.3		2,246 2,255	43.4 43.8		584 631	11.3		46 36	• .9 .7	5,175 5,146	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	1,624 1,962	66.6 71.5	2	.i .1		791 761	32.5 27.7		- 0 0	.0. .0		20 16	.B .6	2,437 2,743	
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1965	1,044 807	74.1 67.9	5 9	.4 .8		353 366	25.1 30.8		0	.0 .0		6 6	.4 .5	1,408 1,188	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	1986 1985	2,297 2,356	74.3 76.5		.2 .1		784 716	25.3 23.2		1	.0 .0		5 5	.2 .2	3,093 3,080	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	1,727 1,565	77.0 76.3	777	.3		475 448	21.2 21.9		2 5	.1 .2		- 31 25	1.4 1.2	2,242 2,050	100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	369 339	70.0 72.7	1 1 4	.2 .9		149 120	28.3 25.8		. 0 1	.0		8 2	1.5 .4	527 466	100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	224 218	83,9 84,2	0	.0		4 3 39	° 16.1 15.1		0 0	.0 .0		0	.0 .4	267 259	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1986 1985	358 293	65.6 62.2	1	.2 .2		186 172	34.1 36.5		0 2	.0		1 3	.2 .6	546 471	
STEUBEN	1986 1985	810 707	75.4 71.7		.4 .7	•	254 266	23.7 27.0		1	• 1 • 1		5 5	•5 •5	1,074 986	
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	13,939 14,261	76.8 77.4	117 96	.6		3,988 3,982	22.0 21.6		. 3	.0 .0		96 91	.5 .5	18,143 18,434	

(Continued on next page.)

B-42

TABLE 4A DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND NISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		P					n::		 Nat D						, waxaayaa T.	.1.1
		Convid			Acqui	ttals		ssals	Nat Pros			UL	her		10 	otal
COUNTY	Year	Nunber	Percent		Nuaber	Percent	Nuaber	Percent .	Nuaber	Percent		Nueber	Percent		Number	Percen
SULLIVAN	1786 1785	1,253 1,300	72.2 67.2		53	.3	470 626	27.1 32.4	0 . 1	.0	•	8 5	.5		1,736	100.
TIOGA	1986 1985	472 494	79.2 79.4		ະ 0	.8 .0	116 125	19.5 20.1	0 1	.0 .2		3. 2	.5 .3	* *	596 622	100.
TONPKINS	1986 1985	706 802	51.5 60.9		1	.i .8	638 483	46.5 36.6	0 5	.0		27 19	2.0 1.4		1,372 1,320	100. 100.
ULSTER	1986 1985	1,847 1,718	69.3		8 12	.3 .5	832 741	30.8 27.9	3 1	.1 .0		10 7	.4		2,700 2,479	100. 100.
WARREN	1986 1985	1,103 1,111	71.9 72.9		0	.0 .1	428 402	27.9 26.4	0	.0		5 3	.2 .4		1,534 1,524	100.0 100.0
WASHINGTON .	1986 1985	545 597	80.0 81.0		0 2	.0 .3	135 133	19.8 18.0	0	.0		i 5	.1		681 737	100.0 100.0
WAYNE	1986 1985	1,311 1,266	71.5 77.1		9 4	.5 .2	511 366	27.9 22.3	0 2	.0 .1	•	3	.2		1,834 1,642	100.
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	8,423 8,192	72.1 72.3		55 71	.5	2,824 2,846	24.2 25.1	116 67	1.0		260 161	2.2 1.4		11,678 11,337	100. 100.
HYOHING	1986 1985	197 195	70.4 73.9	•	1	.4	B1 67	28.9 25.4	0 1	.0		1	.4		280 264	100.(100.(
YATES	1986 1985	156 144	85.7 84.2		0 2	.0	25 23	13.7 13.5	0 0	.0		1 2	.5 1.2		182 171	100.(100.(
NEH YORK STATE	1786 1785	248,728 251,609	67.9 69.3		2,257 2,261	. å . 6	110,615 ,99,038	29.B 27.3	6,602 7,220	1.8 2.0		3,027 3,136	.8		371,229 363,264	100. 100.
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	143,093 142,521	65.1 67.5		1,391	.6 .6	68,033 58,460	30.9 27.7	5,911 6,705	2.7 3.2		1,491 1,938	.7 .9		219,°19 210,996	100. 100.

* Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: WYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 48 DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITIONS AND COUNTY FOR 1905 AND 1986

								 	015805	ITIONS RES	ULTING	-KUN	FELOAT ARKS	1515 8			 	
				ctions		Acqui			Disai	ssals			Not Pros	ecuted		her		otal
COUNTY		Year		Percent			Percent		Hunber	Percent			Nuaber f		Nusber	Percent		Percent
ALBANY		1786 1785	1,302 1,255	B0.7 79.3		19 11	1.2		282 300	17.5 19.0	•		2	.2	8 16	.5 1.0	1,614 1,582	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY		1986 1985	77 47	87.5 75.8		0	.0		. 10 15	11.4 24.2			. 0 0	.0	1	1.1	88 62	100.(100.(
BRONX		1986 1985	13,254 13,187	64.7 67.9		293 296	1.4 1.5	• .	6,182 5,193	30.2 26.8			670 677	3.3 3.5	82 736	.4 3.8	20,481 19,412	100.0 109.0
BROOME		1986 1985	550 534	80.8 79.9		- 7	.6 1.0		105 108	15.4 16.2			0	.0 .0	22 19	3.2 2.8	681 668	100.0 300.0
CATTARAUGUS		1986 1985	16B 117	85.7 90.7		1 2	.5 1.6		25 10	12.B 7.8			0	.0	2	1.0	196 129	100.(100.(
CAYUGA		1986 1985	181 206	84.6 82.1		2	.9 .0		- 27 45	13.6			0	.0 .0	2 0	.7	214 251	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAURUA		1985 1985	326 • 270	82.7 85.5		<u>0</u> 1	.0		67 46	17.0 13.6			0	.0	1 2	.3	394 339	100.(100.(
CHEMUNG		1986 1985	276 283	89.9 90.1		2 5	.7 1.6		28 26	9.1 8.3			0	.0 .0	1	.3	307 314	100.0 100.0
CHENANGO		1986 1985	72 107	79,1 87.0		2 0	.0 2.4		15 B	17.6 6.5			0 1	.0 .8	3 5	3.3 4.1	91 123	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	•	1986 1985	213 175	79.2 87.9		8 1	3.0 .5		42 22	15.6 11.1			0 0	.0	- 6 1	2.2 .5	269 199	100.0 100.0
COLUNDIA		1986 1985	172 186	70.8 68.4		0	.0		70 79	28.8 29.0			0	.0 .7	 1	.4 2.2	243 272	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND		1986 1985	144 132	88.3 78.1	•	0	.0 .0		19 36	11.7 21.3			0 0	.0	0	.0	163 169	100.0 100.0
DELAWARE		1986 1985	107 92	87.0 78.0		0 2	.0 1.7		16 24	13.0 20.3			0 0	.0	0	.0	123 118	100.0 100.0

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS .

TABLE 48 DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

•			-		•		-			DISPOSI	TIONS RES	SULTING I	FROH F	FELONY ARE	ESTS +					
		Convic	tions			Acqui	ttals		·····	Dismis				Not Pros	secuted	Dt	her		To	otal
COUNTY	Year	Nusber	Percent		-	Nuøber	Percent			Nueber				Nusber	Percent	Nusber	Percent		Nueber	Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	728 800	68.2 70.5			2	.2			323 315	30,2 27.8			0	.0	15 . 18	1.4		1,068 1,135	100.0 100.0
ERJE	1986 1985	2,780 2,738	57.3 59.5	-		96 89	2.0			1,888 1,707	38.9 37.1			74 44	1.5	14 66	.3 1.4	•	4,852 4,600	100,0 100.0
ESSEX	1986 1985	102 73	76.1 80.2			0	.0 .0			29 17	21.6 18.7			0	.0	- 3 1	2.2 1.1		134 91	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	100 95	84.7 70.5			02	.0 1.9			16 8	13.6 7.6			1 0	.8	1	.8		L18 105	100.0 100.0
FULTON	1986 1985	129 169	96.3 88.9			1	.7			4 20	3.0 10.5			0 1	.0 .5	- 0 1	.0 .5		134 190	100.0 100.0
GENESEE	1986 1985	107 131	88.4 92.9			0	.0 .7	- -		13 8	10.7 5.7			1	.8 .7	0 1	.0 .7		121 141	100.0 100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	147 (101	84.0 52.1			32	1.7 1.6			25 19	14.3 15.4			0 1	.0 .8	0 1	.0 .8		175 123	100.0
HAMILTON	1986 1985	16 11	100.0 73.3			0	.0			- 0 - 4	.0 26.7			0	.0	0	•0 •0		16 15	100.0 100.0
HERKINER	1986 1985	137 113	91.9 87.0			0	.0			12 14	8.1 11.0			0	.0	- 0 0	.0 .0		149 127	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	246 226	82.8 87.3			0 3	.0 1.2			49 21	16.5 8.3			1 2	.3 .8	1 3	.3 1.2		297 253	100.0
KIN69	1986 1985	18,550 17,440	61.6 64.0			324 323	1.1 1.2			9,347 7,460	31.1 27.4			1,711 1,882	5.7	168 2,006	.6 7.4		30,100 27,229	100.0
LEWIS	1986 1985	44 39	95.8 90.7			0 1	.0 2.3			1	2.1 4.7			0	.0	1	2.1 2.3		48 43	100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	154 94	92.2 87.9			2	1.2			11 10	6.6			0	.0	02	.0 1.9	100 100 100	167 107	100.0 100.0

TABLE 40

B-46

							÷		DISPUS	STITUNS RESULTS	NG FROM FELONY A	RRESIS #					
				iclions			ittals			issels		osecuted	0	ther		Ť	otal
COUNTY	• •	Year		Percent			Percent			Percent	Number	Percent	Nueber	Percent		Nucber	Percent
MADISON		1986 1985	143 117	86.7 86.7		0	1.8	•	16 17	9.7 12.6	0	.0 .0		1.8 .7		165 135	100.0 100.0
NONROE		1986 1985	1,710 1,634	63.2 64.6		39 51	1.4		643 595	23.8 23.5	274 209	10.1 8.3	39 250	1.4 9.9	•	2,705 2,530	100.0 100.0
HONTGOMERY		1986 1985	. 112 89	94.9 80.9		12	.8 1.8		5 12	4.2 10.7 I	0 5	.0 4.5	0 7	.0 5.4		118 110	100.0 100.0
NASSAU	•	1986 1985	3,524 3,746	72.7 62.9		57 46	1.2		649 452	13.4 10.0	180 78	3.7	440 274	9.1 6.1		4,849 4,518	100.0
NEW YORK		1986 1985	20,399 21,778	56.1 60.3		312 318	.9		14,667 13,172	40.3 36.4	758 647	2.1 1.8	228 870	2.4		36,364 36,138	100.0
NIAGARA		1986 1985	650 681	79.8 80.0		7 10	.9		154 156	18.9 13.3	0	.0	4	.5 .5		815 851	100.0
ONEIDA		1986 1985	507 529	86.4 90.6		0 2	.0		71 51	12.1 8.7	2 0	.3 .0	4 4 4	•7 •7		587 584	100.0 100.0
ONONDAGA		1986 1985	1,474	72.9 72.8		18 18	.9 1.0		505 464	25.0 24.8		.0 .1	25 26	1.2		2,023 1,871	100.0 100.0
ONTARIO	· •	1986 1985	271 202	93.4 88.6		2 3			11 12	J.B 5.3	3 9	1.0 3.9		.7 4.8		290 228	100.0 100.0
CRANGE		1986 1985	761 870	67.8 68.8		4 7	.4		341 368	30.4 28.4	2 4	.2 .3	15 27	1.3 2.2		1,123 1,294	100.0 100.0
ORLEANS	- -	1986 1985	130 94	85.5 71.8	-	0 10	.0 7.6		18 24	11.8 18.3	1	.7 1.5	3 3	2.0 2.3		152 131	100.0
OSWEGD		1986 1985	248 244	87.6 92.1		3	1.1		31 12	11.0 4.5	0	.0	i B	.4 3.0		283 265	100.0 100.0
DTSEGD		1986 1985	121 133	82.3 77.3			2.0		21 31	14.3 18.0	0	.0 .6	2 7	1.4 4.1		147 172	100.0 100.0
					5	:	· ·				·	•	•			•	

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS #

TABLE 4B

B-47

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		Convia	tions		ittals			Disaise	sals	Not Pro		01	her		To	otal
COUNTY	Year	Number			Percent			Nuøber F		Nueber	Percent	Nusber	Percent		Nuaber	Percen
PUTNAM	1986 1985	103 97	52.6 51.6	02		· .	• ,	91 86	46.4 45.7	0	.0	2 3	1.0 1.4		196 168	100. 100.
RUEENS	1986 1985	9,203 7,739	61.9 62.7	 238 209				5,201 4,138	35.0 33.5	105 177	.7 1.4	1 32 252	.9 2.0		14,879 12,335	100. 100.
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	319 332	81,4 81,4	2 1	.5 .2			71 74	18.1 18.1	0	.0 .0	0	.0 .2		- 392 408	100. 100.
RICHHOND	1986 1985	1,004	49.1 47.3	- 9 9	.4			865. 807	42.3 43.4	130 152	- 6.4 7.4	38 183	1.9		2,046 2,046	100. 100.
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	526 567	74.3 76.4	2	• 3 • 3			175 171	24.7 23.0	0	.0	5 2	.7 .3	•	708 742	100. 100.
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	95 69	67.4 68.3	0 1	.0 1.0			44 29	31.2 28.7	0	0 .0	2	1.4		141 101	100. 100.
SARATOGA	1986 1985	438 •389	83.7 78.6	3	.6 .2			80 103 -	15.3 20.8	1	.2 .0	1 2	.2		523 - 495	100. 100.
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	414 374	82.8 81.1		•6 •9			71 69	14.2 15.0	2 3	.1	10 14	2.0 3.0		500 461	100. 100.
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	85 57	82.5 79.7	- i 2	1.0			13 12	12.6	0	0. 0	· 4	3.9 1.4		103 74	100. 100.
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	52 52	88.1 94.5	0	• •			7 3	11.9 5.5	0	.0	0	.0		59 55	100. 100.
SENECA	1986 1985	98 50	76.6 74.6	1	.8 1.5			29 16	22.7 23.9	0	.0		.0 .0		128 67	100. 100.
STEUBEN	1986 1985	175 149	74.8 76.8	- 5	1.7 2.6			53 37	22.6 19.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.4	1 3	.4 1.5		234 194	100. 100.
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	3,638 3,774	79.7 80.5	44				845 824	18.5 17.6	2	.0	34 45	.7 1.0		4,563	100. 100.

(Continued on next page.)

à.

DISPOSITIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued TABLE 48

					· · ·		· .	DISPOSI	TIONS RES	ULTING F	ROM FELONY AR	RESTS +	· · · ·		1			
		Convi	ctions		Acqui	ttals		Disais			Not Pro	secuted	· .	Oti	her		Ta	otal
COUNTY	Year	Nusber	Percent		Nusber	Percent		Nusber				Percent		Inper	Percent		Number	Percent
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	360 335	77.1		2 0	.4		104 144	22.3 29.9		0 0	.0 .0		1 2	.2		467 481	100.0 100.0
TIDGA	1986 1985	116 94	87.2 89.7		2	1.5		15	11.3 10.4		0 1	.9		0	.0 .9	•	133 104	100.0 100.0
TONPKINS	1986 1985	. 109 157	61.6 66.2		0	.0 1.7		57 66	32.2 27.8		0 2	.0 .8		11 10	5.2 4.2		177 237	100.0
ULSTER	1986 1985	464 434	74.2 74.3		4 . 4	.6 .7		152 140	24.3 24.0			.5 .2		2 5	.3		625 584	100.0 100.0
WARREN	1986 1985	221	7 2.5 75.2	• "	0	.0 .8		84 57	27.5 23.9		0	.0 .0		0	.0		305 238	100.0
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	135 125	82.0 86.2		0 2	.0 1.4		27 14	16.6 9.7		0	.0		1 4	.6 2.8		163 145	100.0 100.0
WAYNE	1986 1985	217 • 234	80.7 71.1		0	.0		52 21	19.3 8.2		0	.0 .0		0	.0 .4		269 257	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1786 1985	2,711 2,767	76.7 79.1		30 43	.8 1.2		677 600	19.2 17.2		51 37	8.4 1.1		· 65 86	1.8 2.5		3,534 3,496	100.0
NYOHING	1986 1985	62 70	88,6 92.1		0	.0		7 6	10.0		0	.0	• 	1 0	1.4		70 76	100.0 100.0
YATES	1986 1985	51 49	96.2 90.7		0	.0 .0	-	- 1	1.9	•	0	.0 .0		1 1	1.9		53 54	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	90,738 89,207	63.8 66.5		i,555 1,558	1.1 1.2		44,469 38,400	31.3 20.6		3,977 3,967	2.8 3.0		,409 ,999	1.0 3.7		142,148 134,164	100.0 100.0
NEH YORK CITY	1986 1985	62,418 61,115	60.1 62.9		1,176 1,155	1.1		36,270 30,855	34.9 31.8		3,374 3,535	3.2 3.6		64B ,047	.6 4.2		103,885 97,172	100.0

Tisposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, not prosecuted, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 5A FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANDR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

				Felony												
		Total			lent		Oth	ier			theanor	Lesser (. To	ital
COUNTY	Year	Nuaber Pe	rcent	Nuaber	Percent	}.}	luzber	Percent			Percent		Percent		Husber	Percent
ALBANY	1986	313	7.3	92	1.9		231	5.4		1,939	45.2	2,034	47.5		4,286	100.0
	1985	288	6.4	- 61	1.4		227	5.1		2,000	44.5	2,203	47.1		4,491	100.0
ALLEGANY	1986	32	11.9	2	.7		30	11.2		173	64.6	63	23.5		268	100.0
	1995 -	17	7.7	2	.9		15	6.0		142	64.5	61	27.7		220	100.0
BRONX	1986 1985	4,987	21.0	2,249 2,184	9.5 8.9		2,738 2,169	11.5 8.8		12,800 13,109	53.9 53.2	5,948 7,189	25.1 29.2		23,735 24,651	100.0 100.0
							-			-						
BRODHE	1986	315 266	13.4	67 71	2.9 3.3		248 195	10.6		1,577 1,448	67.2 67.3	455 438	17.4		2,347 2,152	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986	63	7.4	. 8	.9		55	6.4		507	59.4	284	33.3		854	100.0
	1985	41	5.6	4	.5		37	5.1		470	67.3	197	27.1		728	100.0
CAYUGA	1786	81	12.6	18	2.8		63	9.8		391	61.0	169	26.4		641	100.0
	1985	73	8.6	11	1.3		62	7.3		551	65,2	221	26.2		845	109.0
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	121	B.0 7.3	29 24	1.9		92 87	6.1 5.7		972 958	54.2 63.6	421 443	27.8		1,514	100.0
1															•	
CHEHUNG	1786 1785	190 197	18.1	52 45	5.0 4.0		138 152	13.2 13.5		684 762	65.3 67.7	173 167	16.5 14.8		1,047 1,126	100.0 100.0
CHENANGD	1986		3.4	- 5,	1.0		13	2.5		275	52.5	231	44.1		524	100.0
	1985	23	3.9	6	1.0		17	2.8		351	58.8	223	37.4		597	100.0
CLINTON	1986	63	7.7	7	.9		56	- 6.9		371	45.6	380	46.7		814	100.0
	1985	55	6.1	_ 4	4		51	5.6		435	48.0	417	46.0		907	100.0
COLUHBIA	1986	43	7.5 9.6	- 10 7	1.8		33 57	5.8 8.6		258 330	45.2 49.5	270 272	47.3 40.8		571	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1986	73	12.7	13	2.3		- 60	10.4		217	37.7	286	49.7		. 576	100.0
	1765	73	9.7	13	1.7		59	7.9		268	36.0	404	54.3	•	744	100.0
DELANARE	1986	23	4.9	2	.4		21	4.5	• • * .	256	54.9	187	40.1		466	100.0
te de la production	1785	24	5.0	10	2.1		_ 14	2.9		248	52.1	204	42.9		476	100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS +

(Continued on next page.)

				Felony									
		Total			lent	01	her	Hisde	Aeanor	Lesser D	lffenses	Τι	otal
OUNTY	Year	Number			Percent	Nusber	Percent	Nuaber	Percent	Nusber	Percent	Number	Percent
UTCHESS	1986 1985	229 316	9.1 10.7	47 64	1.9 2.2	182 252	7.2 8.6	1,132 1,260	45.0 42.8	1,154 1,371	45.9 46.5	2,515 2,947	
RIE	1986 1985	013 607	7.6 7.9	242 246	2.3	571 641	5.3 5.7	3,496 3,930	32.7 34.8	6,395 8,463	59.7 57.3	10,704 11,250	
SSEX	1986 1985	25 22	5.8 5.1	2 4	.5	23	5.3 4.2	199 222	46.1 51.9	208 194	48.1 43.0	432 428	
RANKLIN	1786 1985	44 42	6.9 7.8	6	.9 1.5	38 34	5.9 6.3	362 298	56.6 55.2	234 200	36.6 37.0	640 540	
ULTON	1986 1985	44 68	8.4 12.1	13 13	2.5 2.3	31 55	5.7 7.8	238 254	45.5 45.2	241 240	46.1 42.7	523 562	100.0 100.0
ENESEE	1986 1985	70 77	10.5 13.1	10 18	1.5 3.1	60 59	7.0 10.1	259 269	38.8 45.9	339 240	50.7 41.0	668 586	100.0 100.0
REENE	1986 1985	- 45 26	8.0	10	1.9 1.4	35 20	6.3 1.6 -	274 263	48.9 61.0	241 142	43.0 32.9	560 431	100.0 100.0
ANILTON	1986 1985	7 3	23.7 8.1	2 0	5.3 .0	7 3	18.4 8.1	23 23	60.5 62.2	6 11	15.8 27.7	38 37	100.0 100.0
IERKIHER	1986 1985	34 36	6.6 6.6	7	1.4	27 32	5.2 5.8	288 327	55.6 59.7	196 185	37.8 33.8	518 548	100.0 100.0
EFFERSON	1986 1985	161 131	19.0 16.7	34 27	4.0 3.4	127 104	15.0 13.3	399 408	47.1	288 245	34.0 31.3	B4B 764	100.0 100.0
INGS	1986 1985	6,394 5,566	19.7 19.0	3,254 2,953	10.0 10.1	3,140 2,613	9.7 8.9	11,560 10,943	35.6 37.4	14,510 12,749	44.7 43.5	32,464 29,258	100.0 100.0
EWIS	1986 1965	23 12	23.5 7.5	. 6 1	6.1 .6	17 11	17.3	37 58	37.8 42.5	38 80	38.8 50.0	. 98 169	
IVINESTON	1986 1985	100 62	11.1 7.0	17 10	1.9	83 52	9.2 5.9	456 459	50.5 52.0	347 342	38.4 41.0	903 963	100.0 100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANDR ARRESTS +

TABLE SA FELONY

FELDNY, HISDEMEANDR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELDNY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1936 - Continued

								CONAL	TIONS RESU	LIING FRUM	FELUNY &	MISDEMEANOR A	KKESIS ¥			
					Felony							-			 -	
		Tot	al		Vic	lent	-	DI	her		"Mi sde	esear.or	Lesser	Offenses	T	otal
COUNTY	Yea	Nuaber	Percent		Nuaber	Percent		Nucber	Percent		Kunber	Parcent	Nusber	Percent	Kusher	Percent
1ad I Son	198 198	66 89			16 18	2.2 2.8		50 70	7.0 10.8		427 327	59.7 50.5	222 233		715 648	
IONROE	198 198	960 841			272 267	5.1 4.8		688 574	12.8 10.3		2,307 2,670	42.9 47.9	2,107 2,061		5,374 5,572	
IONTGOMERY	198 193	55 69	8.5 10.9		7 7	i.i 1.1		48 62	7.4 9.8		270 272		325 293		650 634	100.0 100.0
IASSAU	198 198	1,678 1,515			584 543	5.3 4.3		1 ₁ 094 972	10.0 7.6		4,527 6,099	41.2 47.9	4,777 5,133		10,982 12,747	100.0 100.0
IEW YORK	198 158	8,146 7,499			3,031 3,250	4.5 4.7		5,115 4,249	7.6 6.1		40,817 41,667	60.4 60.1	18,640 20,115		67,603 69,281	100.(100.(
IAGARA	198 198	135 169			33 39	1.1 1.3		102 130	3.5 4.3		983 1,100	33.6 36.4	1,809 1,751	61.8 58.0	2,927 3,020	100.
NEIDA	198 198	241 215			87 75	4.2 3.7		154 140	7.5 7.0		1,045 1,080	50.9 53.0	768 711		2,054 2,006	100. 100.
NONDAGA	178 176	729 834			261 248	6.8 6.3		66B 586	17.3 14.9		1,534 1,539	39.7 37.0	1,399 1,577	36.2 39.9	3,862 3,950	100. 100.
NTARIO	198 195	229 179			33 34	2.7 3.3		· 196 145	15.7 13.9		697 562	54.0 53.7	319 305		1,245	100. 100.
RANGE	198 196	277 378			59 96	2.1 3.1		218 282			998 1,227	36.3 40.1	1,474 1,452		2,749 3,057	100. 100.
RLEANS	198 198	60 74		. ·	14 15	3.9 5.8		46 58			201 153		94 50		355 277	100. 100.
SWEGD	178 199	11B 120	-		19 - 28	1.8		99 92			452 513		505 503		1,075 1,136	
TSEGO	198 198	37 44			7 8	.9 .9		30 36			215 247	29.2 29.1	485 559		737 850	

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANCR ARRESTS +

(Continued on next page.)

<u>.</u>

TABLE 5A

FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

								CONVICT	IDNS RESUL	TING FROM	FELONY &	MISDENERNOR ARE	ESTS #				-
					 Felony												
			Total		Vic	ilent		Oth	IEL		Misde	aeanor	Lesser ()ffenses		Ta	tal
COUNTY	Year	•	Nusber	Percent	Number	Percent		Nuaber	Percent		Nusber	Percent	Nuaber	Percent		Nusber	Percent
PUTNAN	1986 1985		- L · 9	• •1 1.4	0 2	.0 .3		1 7	•1 1.1		203 232	28.2 34.9	515 424	71.4 63.0		719 665	100.0 100.0
QUEENS	1784 1985	•	5,02B 3,511	29.6	1,767	10.4 7.5	•	3,261 2,230	19.2 13.0		7,081 7,724	41.7 45.1	4,887 5,876	28.8 34.3		16,996 17,111	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1986 1985		102 138	7.1 9.2	19 37	1.3		83 101	5.8 6.8		577 559	40.3 37.4	752 797	52.6 53.4		1,431 1,493	100.0 100.0
RICHNOND	1986 1985	-	290 269	12.7 12.2	125 138	5.5 6.2		165 131	7.2 5.9		922 925	40.3 41.9	1,074 1,015	47.0 45.9		2,285 2,209	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	1986 1985		312 351	19.2	50 59	3.1 3.0		262 292	16.1 14.9		665 760	40.9 38.7	647 851	39.8 43.4		1,624 1,962	100.0 100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1786 1985		4	.4	. 1 0	.1		3	.3 .5		468 371	44.8 46.0	572 432	54.8 53.5	-	1,044 807	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	1986 1985	•	98 94	4.3	21 30	.9		77 64	3.4 2.7		818 728	35.6 30.9	1,381 1,534	60.1 65.1		2,297 2,356	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1786 1985		138 166	8.0 10.5	35 41	2.0 2.6		103 125	6.0 8.0	•	803 717	46.5 45.8	786 682	45.5 43.6		1,727 1,565	100.0 100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985		- 28 /	9.2 8.3	2 8	.5 2.4		32 20	8.7 5.9		158 140	42.B 41.3	177 171	48.0 50.4		369 339	100.0 100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985		20 14	8.9 6.4	73	3.1 1.4		13 11	5.8 5.0		144 159	64.3 72.9	60 45	28.8 20.6		224 218	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1786 1785		48 23	13.4 7.8	9 1	2.5		39 22	10.9		213 191	59.5 65.2	97 79	27.1 27.0		358 293	100.0 100.0
STEUBEN	1986 1985		81 69	10.0 7.8	9 14	1.1 2.0		72 55	8.9 7.8		382 355	47.2 50.2	347 263	42.8 40.0		B10 707	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1986 1985		1,871 1,970	13.4 13.8	50 5 570	3.6 4.0		1,366	9.8 9.8		4,812 4,515	34.5 31.7	7,256 7,776	52.1 54.5		13,939 14,261	100.0 100.0
												· .					

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS #

B-52

				 Felony										
		Tota	1		lent	01	her		Xi sde	neanor	Lesser (Iffenses	Tc	otal .
OUNTY	Year	Nusber	Percent		Percent	Nuaber	Percent		Nuaber	Percent	Nuaber	Percent		Percent
ULLIVAN	1985 1985	136 142	10.9	26 19	2.1	110 123	8.8 7.5		574 578	45.8 44.5	543 580	43.3 44.6	1,253 1,300	100.0 100.0
IDGA	1786 1785	61 49	12.9	7 9	1.5 1.8	54 40	11.4 8.1		306 297	64.B 60.1	105 149	22.2 30.0	472 494	100.0 100.0
DNPKINS	1986 1985	54 102	7.6	13 20	1.8 2.5	41 82	5.8 10.2		241 313	34.1 39.0	411 387	58.2 48.3	706 802	100. 100. (
.STER	1986 1985	153 197	8.3 11.5	36 39	1.9 2.3	117 158	6.3 9.2		1,021 913	55.3 53.1	673 608	36.4 35.4	1,847 1,718	100. 100.
AREN	1966 1985	32 22	3.0	11 6	i.0 .5	22 27	2.0 2.4	٠	507 499	46.0 44.9	563 579	51.0 52.1	1,103 1,111	100. 100.
ASHINGTON	1986 1985	34 48	6.2 8.0	7	i.3 .7	27 44	5.0 7.4		304 280	55.B 46.9	207 269	38.0 45.1	545 597	100. 100.
AYNE	1986 1985	127 117	9.7 9.2	23 16	1.8 1.3	104 101	7.9 8.0		752 699	57.4 55.2	432 450	33.0 35.5	1,311 1,266	100. 100.
ESTCHESTER	1986 1985	 1,171 1,405	13.9 17.2	368 535	4,4 6.5	B03 870	9.5 10.6		3,992 3,385	47.4 41.3	3,260 3,402	38.7 41.5	8,423 8,192	100. 100.
YOMING	1986 1985	3 3 3 0	15.2 16.9	4	2.0 1.0	26 31	13.2 15.9		84 75	42.6 49.7	B3 67	42.1 34.4	197 195	100. 100.
ATES	1986 1985	35 39	22.4 27.1	9 5	5.8 3.5	26 34	16.7 23.6		87 75	55.8 52.1	34 30	21.8 20.8	156 144	100. 100.
EN YDRK-STATE	1986 1985	37,108 33,669	14.9 -13.4	13,671 13,264	5,5 5,3	23,437 20,405	9.4 8.1		118,736 121,797	47.7 48.4	92,884 96,143	37.3 38.2	248,728 251,609	100. 100.
EN YORK CITY	1986 1985	24,848	17.4 14.9	10,426 9,806	7.3 6.9	14,422	10.1 9.0		73,186 74,374	51.1 52.2	45,059 46,949	31.5	143,093 142,521	100. 100.

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY & MISDEMEANOR ARRESTS *

* Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 58 FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

				·								· . ·				
		******		Fel:	ιnγ 											
			otal		olent		01	her		Hisde	aeandr	Lesser (Iffenses			otal
COUNTY	Year	Husber	Percent	Nusber	Percent		Number	Percent		Nusber	Percent	Nusber	Percent		Nuaber	Percent
ALBANY	1986 1985	303 278	23.3	82 61	6.3 4.9		221 217	17.0 17.3		862 888	66.2 70.8	137 89	10.5 7.1		1,302 1,255	100.0 100.0
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	28 16	36.4 34.0	22	2.6 4.3		26 14	33.8 29.8		49 29	63.6 61.7	- 0 2	.0 4.3		77 47	100.0 100.0
BRONX	1786 1785	4,934 4,314	37.2 32.7	2,249 2,184	17.0 16.6		2,685 2,130	20.3	- "	6,174	46.0 46.8	2,221 2,699	16.8 20.5	•	13,254 13,187	100.0 100.0
BROONE	1986 1985 :	297 254	54.0 47.6		12.2		230 183	41.8 34.3		237 248	43.1 46.4	16 32	2.9 6.0		550 534	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	58 36	34.5 30.8	8 	4.8 3.4		50 32	29.8 27.4		107 70	63.7 59.8	3 11	1.8 7.4		168 117	100.0 100.0
Cayuga	1786 1785	70 66	38.7 32.0	18 11	9.9 5.3		52 55	28.7 26.7		98 133	54.1 64.6	13 7	7.2 3.4		181 205	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAURUA	1986 1985	115 101	35.3 34.8	29 24	8.9 8.3	•	86 77	26.4 26.6		204 175	62.6 60.3	 7 14	2.1 4.8		326 290	100.0 100.0
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	182 190		52 45	18.8 15.9		130 145	47.1 51.2		79 83	28.6 29.3	15 10	5.4 3.5		276 283	100.0
CHENANGO ,	1986 1985	16 20		5 6	6.9 5.6		11	15.3 13.1		47 79	6 5.3 73.8	9 8	12.5 7.5		72 107	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	1986 1985	59 47	27.7 26.9	7 4	3.3 2.3		52 43	24.4 24.6		- 140 115	65.7 65.7	- 14 - 13	6.6 7.4		213 175	100.0 100.0
COLUNBIA	1986 1965	40 57		10 7	5.8 3.8		30 50	17.4		10B 111	62.8 59.7	24 19	14.0 9.7		172 184	100.0 100.0
CORTLAND	1986 1985	_ 54 51		13 13	9.0 9.8		41 38	28.5 28.8		80 75	55.6 56.8	10 6	6.9 4,5		144 132	100.0
DELAWARE	1786 1785	22 24		2 10	1.9		20 14	18.7 15.2		76 64	71.0 69.6	9 4	8.4 4.3		107 92	

TABLE SB

FELONY, HISDEHEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

				Felc	iny		· _		•						
		To	stal		lent			her		Aisde	ronean	Lesser (Iffenses	Tc	otal
COUNTY	Year	Nuzber	Percent		Percent			Percent		Nuaber	Percent		Percent	Number	Percent
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	211 292	29.0 36.5	47 64	6.5 8.0		164 228	22.5 28.5		434 416	59.6 52.0	83 92	11.4 11.5	728 800	100.0
ERIE	1986 1985	762 820	27.4 29.9	242 246	8.7 9.0		520 574	18.7 21.0		1,508 1,527	54.2 55.8	510 391	18.3 14.3	2,780 2,738	100.0 100.0
ESSEX	1984 1985	23 21	22.5 28.0	2 4	2.0 5.5		21 17	20.6 23.3		68 50	66.7 68.5	11 2	10.8 2.7	102 73	100.0 100.0
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	; 37 ; 37	37.0 38.9	6 B	6.0 8.4	•	31 29	31.0 30.5		61 51	61.0 53.7	2 7	2.0 7.4	100 95	100.0 100.0
FULTON	1986 1985	41 64	31,8 37,9	13 13	10.1 7.7		28 51	21.7 30.2		81 97	62.8 57.4	7 B	5.4 4.7	129 169	100.0 100.0
BENESEE	1986 1985	45 69	42.1 52.7	10 18	9.3 13.7		35 51	32.7 38.9		54 62	50.5 47.3	8	7.5	107 131	100.0
GREENE	1986 1985	40 25	27.2 24.8	10 6	6.8 5.9		30 19	20.4 18.8		76 65	51.7 64.4	31 11	21.1 10.9	147 101	100.0 100.0
HAMILTON	1986 1985	9	56.3 27.3	2	12.5		7	43.8 27.3		. 7 8	43.8 72.7	0	.0	16. 11	100.0 100.0
HERKINER ,	1986 1985	32 32	23.4 28.3	- 7 4	5.1 3.5		25 28	18.2 24.8		89 70	65.0 61.9	- 16 11	11.7 9.7	137 113	100.0 100.0
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	146 121	59.3 53.5	34 27	13.8 11.9		112 94	45,5 41.6		92 103	37.4 45.6	82	3.3	246 226	100.0 100.0
KIN65	1986 1985	6,363 5,545	34.3 31.8	3,254 2,953	17.5, 16.9		3,109 2,592	16.B 14.9		6 ,579 6,559	35.5 37.6	5,608 5,336	30.2 30.8	18,550 17,440	100.0 100.0
LE¥15	1986 1985	23 - 12	50.0 30.9	6 1	13.0 2.6		17. 11	37.0 28.2		19 26	41.3 66.7	4	8.7 2.6	- 46 39	100.0 100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	88 45	57.1 47.9	17 10	11.0		71 35	46.1 37.2		64 47	41.6 50.0	2	1.3 2.1	154 94	100.0 100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS +

[Continued on next page.]

TABLE 58 FELONY, MISDEMEANDR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARKESTS (ADULTS DNLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

				Felc	any .								
		To	tal		olent		her	Ni sde	pernor	Lesser ()ffenses	Te	otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Percent		Percent	Kusber	Percent		Percent	Nuaber	Percen
NADISON	1785 1985	49 75	34.3 64.1	16 I B	11.2 15.4	33 57	23.1 48.7	82 41	57.3 35.0	12 1	8.4	143 117	100. 100.
NONROE	1986 1985	907 800	53.0 49.0	272 267	15.9 16.3	635 533	37.1 32.6	643 674	37.6 42.5	160 140	9.4 8.6	1,710 1,634	
HONTGOMERY	1986 1985	50 66	44.6 74.2	7	6.3 7.9	 43 59	38.4 66.3	56 20	50.0 22.5	6 3	5.4 3.4	- 112 89	100. 100.
NASSAU	1986 1985	1,582 ; 1,396	44.9 37.3	584 543	16.6	998 853	28.3 22.8	i,600 2,056	45.4 54.9	342 294	9.7 7.8	3,524 3,746	
NEW YORK	1986 1985	8,097 7,458	39.7 34.2	3,031 3,250	14.9 14.9	5,065 4,208	24.8 19.3	8 ,970 9,850	44.0 45.2	3,332 4,470	16.3 20.5	20,399 21,778	100. 100.
NIAGARA	1786 1785	130 160	20.0 23.5	33	5.1 5.7	97 121	14.9 17.0	383 438	58.9 64.3	137 83	21.1 12.2	650 681	100.(100.(
ONEIDA	1786 1785	215 191	42.6 36.1	87 75	17.2	129 116	25.4 21.9	271 302	53.5 57.1	20 36	3.9 6.8	507 529	100.0 100.0
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	887 795	60.2 58.3	261 249	17.7 18.2	626 547	42.5 40.1	511 496	34.7 36.4	76 72	5.2 5.3	1,363	100.0
ONTARIO ,	1986 1985	199 149	73.4 73.8	33 34	12.2 16.8	166 115	61.3	69 47	25.5 23.3	3	1.1 3.0	271 202	100.0 100.0
ORANGE	1986 1985	264 368	34.7 41.3	59 96	7.8 10.8	205 272	26.9 30.6	389 431	51.1 48.4	10B 91	14.2 10.2	761 890	100.(100.(
ORLEANS	1986 1985	56 65	43.1 69.1	14	10.8 17.0	42 49	32.3 52.1	71 25	54.6 26.6	3	2.3 4.3	130 94	
DSWEGO	1984 1985	112 108	45.2 44.3	19 28	7.7 11.5	93 80	37.5 32.8	126 124	50.8 50.8	10 12	4.0 4.7	248 244	100.(100.(
OTSEGO	1986 1985	- 36	27.8 30.8	7 8	5.8 6.0	29 33	24.0 24.8	76 78	62.8 58.6	9 - 14	7.4 10.5	121 133	100.(100.(

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS +

B-56

TABLE 58 FELONY, NISDEHEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

				 Felo	JNY									
			tal		alent	 01	her		Nisde	seanor	Lesser 0	ffenses	To	otal
COUNTY	Year		Percent		Percent	Nuaber	Percent		Kunber	Percent	Nusber	Percent	Nuaber	Percent
PUTNAN	1986 1985	i 8	1.0	0 2	.0 2.1	1	1.0		72 73	69.9 75.3	30 16	29.1 16.5	103 97	100.0 100.0
QUEENS	1986 1985	4,886 3,396	53.1 43.9	1,767 1,281	19.2 16.6	3,119 2,115	33.9 27.3		3,008 2,849	32.7 36.8	1,309 1,494	14.2 19.3	9,203 7,739	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	93 126	29.2 38.0	 19 37	6.0 11.1	74 89	23.2 26.8		177 179	55.5 53.9	49 27	15.4 8.1	319 332	100.0 100.0
RICHHOND	1986 1985	281 : 266	28.0 27.5	125 138	12.5 14.3	156 128	15.5 13.2		473 497	47.1 51.4	250 204	24.9 21.1	1,004 967	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	297 335	56.5 59.1	50 59	9.5 10.4	247 276	47.0 48.7		177 173	33.7 30.5	 52 59	9.9 10.4	526 567	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	4	4.2 4.3	- 1 0	1.1	3 3	3.2 4.3		80 59	84.2 85.5	11 7	11.6	95 69	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	1986 1985	84 84	19.2 22.1	21 30	4.B 7.7	63 56	14.4 14.4		279 238	63.7 61.2	75 65	17.1	438 389	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1 78 6 1785	137 156	33.1 · 41.7	35 41	8.5 11.0	102 115	24.6 30.7		239 194	57.7 51.9	38 24	9.2 5.4	414 374	100.0 100.0
SCHOHARIE ,	1786 1765	30 26	35.3 44.1	2 8		28 19	32.9 30.5	•	48 29	56.5 49.2	7	8.2 6.8	85 59	100.0 100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	- 18 14	34.6 26.9	7 3		11 11	21.2		34 34	65.4 65.4	0	.0 7.7	52 52	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1986 1985	42 16	42.9 32.0	9 1	9.2 2.0	33 15	33.7 30.0		49 32	50.0 64.0	7	7.1 4.0	98 50	100.0
STEUBEN	1986 1985	70 59	40.0 37.6	9 - 14	5.1 9.4	61 45	34.9 30.2		100 85	57.1 57.0	5 5	2.9 3.4	- 175 149	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1786 1785	1,555 1,670	42.7 44.3	505 570		1,050 1,100	28.9 29.1		1,417 1,385	39.0 36.7	666 719	18.3 19.1	3,638 3,774	100.0 100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS *

(Continued on next page.)

TABLE 58 FELONY, MISDEMEANOR, AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

							CONVICTIONS F	RESULTING	S FROM FELONY	ARRESTS +				
		· ·	Fe	ony	· · · -									
		Total	Ŷ	olent	0	ther		Hisde	aeanor	Lesser	Offenses		Tot	tal
COUNTY	Year	Number Percent	Nusber	Percent	Nusber	Percent		Nusber	Percent	Nusbe	r Percent	Nu Nu	sber	Percent
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	117 32.5 126 37.6	20 10		91 107	25.3 31.9		198 166	55.0 47.6	4	5 12.5 3 12.8		360 335	100.0 100.0
¥106A	1986 1585	55 47.4 46 48.9			4B 37	41.4 39.4		56 46	48.3 48.9		5 4.3 2 2.1	14 1	116 94	100.0
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	45 41.3 78 49.7	1: 20		32 58			61 77	56.0 47.0		3 2.8 2 1.3		109 157	100.0 100.0
ULSTER	1986 1995	137 29.5 170 39.2	31		101 131	21.8		273 226	58.8 52.1	5			464 434	100.0 100.0
WARREN	1986 1985	30 13.6 30 16.8			19 24	8.6 13.4		166 132	75.1 73.7	2			221 179	100.0 100.0
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	30 22.2 44 35.2			23 40	17.0 32.0		96 75	71.1		9 6.7 6 4.9		135 125	100.0 100.0
WAYNE	1986 1985	91 41.9 95 40.6	23		6B 79	31.3 33.8		115 134	53.0 57.3	1	i 5.1 5 2.1		217 234	100.0 100.0
HESTCHESTER	1986 1985	1,043 38.5 1,261 45.6	366		675 726	24.9 26.2		1,456 1,311	53.7 47.4	21 19		22	711 767	100.0 109.0
HYONING	1986 1985	26 41.9 29 40.0			22 26	35.5 37.1		35 41	56.5 58.6		1 1.6 1 1.4		62 70	100.0
YATES	1986 1985	32 62.7 37 75.5		10.2	23 32	45.1 65.3		19 11	37.3 22.4		0.0 12.0		51 49	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	35,690 39.3 32,269 36.2	13,67		22,019 19,025	24.3 21.3		39,198 39,976	43.2 44.8	15,85 16,94		90 89	,73B ,207	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	24,564 39.4 20,980 34.3	10,420 7,800		14,138 11,174	22.7 18.3		25,134 25,932	40.3 42.4	12,72 14,20			,418 ,115	100.0

CONVICTIONS RESULTING FROM FELONY ARRESTS +

* Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

.

TABLE 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

B-59

			SENTENCES AS A PERCENT	OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS			
Year 	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	0ther	Total
1986	3.7	15.4	8.3	12.8	53.4	6.5	100.0
1985	3.7	12.8	7.6	13.3	57.8	4.7	100.0
1986	1.1	10.1	9.3	21.6	44.4	13.4	100.0
1985	1.4	10.5	6.4	17.3	50.0	14.5	100.0
1986	9.1	40.4	3.0	8.2	25.7	13.7	100.0
1985	7.7	39.8	1.5	8.5	23.7	18.8	109.0
1986	3.5	13.8	3.8	13.4	34.8	30.6	100.0
1985	3.2	14.5	3.7	12.2	36.1	30.3	100.0
1786	1.2	12.6	2.1	9.3	54.6	10.2	100.0
1785	1.4 ;	14.7	1.9	7.1	54.4	10.4	100.0
1786	6.2	19.3	3.7	5.3	46.0	19.3	100.0
1785	3.1	17.4	3.9	3.9	47.7	24.0	100.0
1988	1.3	13.9	5.7	10.6	52.4	16.1	100.0
1985	1.9	12.5	4.1	11.5	55.8	14.2	100.0
1986	7.2	13.8	5.8	18.9	39.0	15.3	100.0
1985	6.3	12.7	4.6	16.8	43.3	16.3	100.0
1986	1,3	12.8	4.2	11.6	49.0	21.0	100.0
1985	1,5	11.4	4.9	15.1	44.9	22.3	100.0
1984	2.7	13.0	2.0	13.4	52.6	16.3	100.0
1985	1.9	12.6	2.1	7.7	62.4	13.3	100.0
1985	4.6	20.3	3.9	9.3	53.6	8.4	100.0
1985	5.7	19.2	5.0	7.7	53.8	9.7	100.0
1986	2.3	14.9	4.2	12.5	54.5	11.6	100.0
1985	2.7	11.7	2.7	13.3	58.7	10.9	100.0
1986 1985	•4 •b	12.4 9.5	3.6	9.9 8.8	52.1 55.7	21.5 21.0	100.0
	1986 1985 1986 1985 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985	1986 3.7 1985 3.7 1986 1.1 1985 1.4 1985 7.7 1986 3.5 1985 7.7 1986 3.5 1986 1.2 1985 1.4 1986 1.2 1986 6.2 1985 3.1 1986 6.2 1985 3.1 1986 7.2 1985 1.3 1985 1.5 1986 2.7 1985 1.7 1986 2.3 1985 5.7 1986 2.3 1985 2.7 1986 2.3 1985 2.7 1986 2.4	1986 3.7 15.4 1985 3.7 12.8 1986 1.1 10.1 1985 1.4 10.5 1986 9.1 40.4 1985 7.7 39.8 1986 7.7 39.8 1986 3.5 13.8 1985 3.2 14.5 1986 1.2 12.6 1985 1.4 14.7 1986 6.2 19.3 1985 1.4 14.7 1986 6.2 19.3 1985 1.4 12.5 1986 1.3 13.9 1985 1.9 12.5 1986 7.2 13.8 1985 1.3 12.7 1986 7.2 13.0 1985 1.5 11.4 1986 2.7 13.0 1985 1.7 12.6 1986 2.7 12.6 1986 2.3 14.9	Year State Prison Local Jail and Probation 1986 3.7 15.4 8.3 1985 3.7 12.8 7.6 1985 1.4 10.1 9.3 1985 1.4 10.5 6.4 1985 1.4 10.5 6.4 1985 7.7 37.8 1.5 1986 9.1 40.4 3.0 1985 7.7 37.8 1.5 1986 3.5 13.8 3.8 1985 3.2 14.5 3.7 1985 1.4 14.7 1.9 1986 1.2 12.6 2.1 1985 1.4 14.7 1.9 1986 1.2 12.5 4.1 1985 1.3 13.9 5.7 1985 1.3 12.7 4.6 1986 1.3 12.8 4.2 1985 1.9 12.6 2.1 198	Year State Prison Local Jail and Probation Probation 1986 3.7 15.4 8.3 12.8 1986 3.7 12.8 7.6 13.3 1986 1.1 10.1 9.3 21.6 1985 1.4 10.5 6.4 17.3 1986 9.1 40.4 3.0 8.2 1985 7.7 37.8 1.5 8.5 1986 3.5 13.8 3.8 1.5 8.5 1985 3.2 14.5 3.7 12.2 12.2 1986 1.2 12.4 2.1 9.3 17 1985 1.4 14.7 1.9 7.1 12.2 1986 1.2 19.3 3.7 5.3 13.4 1985 1.4 14.7 1.9 7.1 14.6 1985 1.3 13.9 5.7 10.6 15.5 1986 7.2 13.8 5.8 <td>Year State Prison Local Jail and Probation Probation Fines 1986 3.7 15.4 8.3 12.8 53.4 1985 3.7 15.4 8.3 12.8 57.8 1985 1.4 10.1 9.3 21.4 44.4 1985 1.4 10.5 6.4 17.3 50.0 1986 9.1 40.4 3.0 8.2 25.7 1985 7.7 37.8 1.5 8.5 23.7 1986 3.5 13.8 3.8 13.4 3.6 1985 1.2 12.4 2.1 9.3 36.1 1985 1.4 17.7 17.1 64.4 1985 1.1 17.4 3.9 3.7 1.2 1986 1.2 19.3 3.7 5.3 46.0 1985 1.1 17.4 3.9 3.9 47.7 1986 1.3 12.5 4.1 <t< td=""><td>Verr State Prison Local Jail and Probation Probation Fines Other 1966 3.7 15.4 9.3 7.6 13.3 57.8 4.7 1966 1.1 10.1 9.3 21.6 41.4 13.4 1986 1.1 10.1 9.3 21.6 41.4 13.4 1986 1.4 10.5 6.4 17.3 50.0 14.5 1986 7.7 37.8 1.5 8.5 25.7 18.8 1986 7.2 13.8 3.0 8.2 25.7 18.8 1986 1.2 12.6 7.1 9.3 84.6 10.2 1985 3.2 14.5 3.7 12.2 38.1 30.4 1986 1.2 12.6 7.1 9.4 10.4 10.4 1986 1.2 19.3 5.7 10.4 55.8 14.2 1986 1.3 13.9 4.1 11.5</td></t<></td>	Year State Prison Local Jail and Probation Probation Fines 1986 3.7 15.4 8.3 12.8 53.4 1985 3.7 15.4 8.3 12.8 57.8 1985 1.4 10.1 9.3 21.4 44.4 1985 1.4 10.5 6.4 17.3 50.0 1986 9.1 40.4 3.0 8.2 25.7 1985 7.7 37.8 1.5 8.5 23.7 1986 3.5 13.8 3.8 13.4 3.6 1985 1.2 12.4 2.1 9.3 36.1 1985 1.4 17.7 17.1 64.4 1985 1.1 17.4 3.9 3.7 1.2 1986 1.2 19.3 3.7 5.3 46.0 1985 1.1 17.4 3.9 3.9 47.7 1986 1.3 12.5 4.1 <t< td=""><td>Verr State Prison Local Jail and Probation Probation Fines Other 1966 3.7 15.4 9.3 7.6 13.3 57.8 4.7 1966 1.1 10.1 9.3 21.6 41.4 13.4 1986 1.1 10.1 9.3 21.6 41.4 13.4 1986 1.4 10.5 6.4 17.3 50.0 14.5 1986 7.7 37.8 1.5 8.5 25.7 18.8 1986 7.2 13.8 3.0 8.2 25.7 18.8 1986 1.2 12.6 7.1 9.3 84.6 10.2 1985 3.2 14.5 3.7 12.2 38.1 30.4 1986 1.2 12.6 7.1 9.4 10.4 10.4 1986 1.2 19.3 5.7 10.4 55.8 14.2 1986 1.3 13.9 4.1 11.5</td></t<>	Verr State Prison Local Jail and Probation Probation Fines Other 1966 3.7 15.4 9.3 7.6 13.3 57.8 4.7 1966 1.1 10.1 9.3 21.6 41.4 13.4 1986 1.1 10.1 9.3 21.6 41.4 13.4 1986 1.4 10.5 6.4 17.3 50.0 14.5 1986 7.7 37.8 1.5 8.5 25.7 18.8 1986 7.2 13.8 3.0 8.2 25.7 18.8 1986 1.2 12.6 7.1 9.3 84.6 10.2 1985 3.2 14.5 3.7 12.2 38.1 30.4 1986 1.2 12.6 7.1 9.4 10.4 10.4 1986 1.2 19.3 5.7 10.4 55.8 14.2 1986 1.3 13.9 4.1 11.5

TABLE 6A

B-60

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		•		SENTENCES AS A PERCENT	OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS			
CDUNTY	Year 	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
DUTCHESS	1986	1.9	15.9	4.3	11.5	57.3	9.1	100.0
	1985	2.2	14.8	5.5	11.1	59.7	6.8	100.0
ERIE	1986	3.1	17.5	3.3	13.5	40∎7	21.9	100.0
	1985	3.1	10.3	2.6	13.5	40∎1	22.3	100.0
ESSEX	1986	1.2	8.8	2.1	7.4	61.8	18.8	100.0
	1985	1.9	7.2	1.9	8.6	62.9	17.5	100.0
FRANKLIN	1986	.9	13.8	5.2	7.7	58.1	14.5	100.0
	1985	1.9	13.3	3.9	13.0	49.8	18.1	100.0
FULTON	1986	3.8	12.8	5.4	10.1	47.8	20.1	100.0
	1985	5.0 :	13.2	5.3	12.3	47.6	14.6	100.0
GENESEE	1986	.4	6.6	9.4	18.1	54.8	10.6	100.0
	1985	3.2	8.0	8.0	21.8	49.3	7.6	100.0
GREENE	1786 1985	2.7	12.5 12.3	2.3 .7	7.5 9.0	65.4 67.7	7.6 8.4	100.0 100.0
HAMILTON	1986 1985	2.6 2.7	7.9 16.2	23.7	7.9 5.4	52.6 56.8	5.3 18.9	100.0 100.0
HERKINER	1986	1.0	15.1	1.9	5.2	62.4	14.5	100.0
	1985	1.5	14.4	2.2	6.8	60.6	14.6	100.0
JEFFERSON	1986	4.5	14.9	5.8	13.2	52.5	9.2	100.0
	1985	2.9	14.4	7.5	10.8	55.0	7.3	100.0
KINGS	1786	10.3	28.1	4.1	6.1	21.2	28.2	100.0
	1785	9.4	25.6	4.3	7.8	27.9	23.0	100.0
LEWIS	1786 1785	5.1 1.3	6.1 8.1	16.3 5.0	15.3 15.0	42.9 56.3	14.3 14.4	100.0
LIVINGSTON	1986	2.0	10.3	5.2	9.4	54.0	19.0	100.0
	1985	1.2	9.2	6.8	7.8	58.3	15.6	100.0

:

TABLE 6A SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

B-61

COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Proba	tion	Fines	Other	Total
ADISON	1786 1763	1.3 2.9	8.1 8.6	5.0 5.1	14. 13.		57.9 61.6	13.0 8.8	100.0
IONRDE	1985 1985	8.3 5.4	16.3 13.8	5. 9 6.0	14. 14.		33.3 38.6	23.8 21.4	100.0 100.0
IONTGOKERY	1986 1985	1.7 1.9	10.6 9.8	2.9 4.6	. i0. 8.		59.7 59.1	14.8 16.4	100.0 100.0
ASSAU	1786 1785	6.2 4.2	17.0 16.9	6.1 6.3	17. 19.		31.3 31.1	21.8 23.3	100.0 100.0
E¥ YORK	1786 1785	5.9 5.2	62.3 57.5	1.1 .0	4. 4.		7.7 9.1	19.4 22.7	100.0 100.0
IAGARA	1986 1985	2.2 2.2	20.2 22.0	2.4	10. 10.		50.1 48.8	14.5 13.3	100.0 100.0
NEIDA	1986 1985	4.3 3.2	15.6 16.5	3.5 3.7	9. 11.1		53.0 52.7	13.7 12.1	100.0 100.0
NDNDAGA	1986 1985	7.4 6.9	16.6 15.0	3.7 3.6	21. 17.		31.1 v 35.1	20.1 21.4	100.0 100.0
NTARIO	1786 1785	3.4 4.6	13.2 14.8	6.3 5.0	9. 7.	3 7	52.6 55.3	15.3 12.6	100.0 100.0
RANGE	1986 1985	3.1 3.5	12.9 13.1	4.3 5.1	11. 13.		60.5 55.9	7.5 8.3	100.0 100.0
RLEANS	1786 1985	7.6 6.5	13.5 11.6	8.7 14.8	19. 17.		36.1 34.7	14.4 15.5	100.0 100.0
INEGO	1786 1785	2.6 2.1	10.7 13.8	7.1 5.9	12.		53.4 57.9	. 14.2 10.1	100.0 100.0
ISE60	1986 1985	1.6 1.2	5.3 8.4	2.7	5. 6.		73.9 71.9	10.6 7.8	100.0

÷.

TABLE 6A

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

				SENTENCES AS A PERCENT (OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS			
COUNTY	Year	State Frison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
PUTNAH	1986	.0	5.1	1.i	11.3	79.3	3.2	100.0
	1985	.6	7.2	1.i	10.8	77.7	2.6	100.0
QUEENS	1986	14.7	28.4	6.2	11.1	18.2	21.4	100.0
	1985	9.4	34.2	3.7	10.5	24.7	17.4	100.0
RENSSELAER	1986	2.0	18.3	2.3	9.9	52.2	15.4	100.0
	1985	2.3	14.2	3.3	13.1	53.1	13.9	100.0
RICHNOND	1986	7.3	24.8	1.7	11.6	23.1	31 .5	100.0
	1985	5.4	21.4	1.7	13.0	32.5	25.8	100.0
ROCKLAND	1986	6.5	13.1	8.5	11.6	53.7	6.5	100.0
	1985	4.4 :	10.7	9.0	12.1	57.8	6.0	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986	.2	6.6	1.4	4.6	62.5	24.7	100.0
	1985	.1	5.9	1.2	4.6	61.3	26.8	100.0
SARATOGA	1986	1.1	12.2	1.6	5.7	70.2	9.2	100.0
	1985	1.2	9.6	1.1	5.6	74.1	8.3	100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986	2.6	17.3	3.6	11.6	54.1	10.7	100.0
	1985	2.7	19.0	2.0	12.8	54.6	8.8	100.0
SCHOHARIE	1786	1.4	7.8	7.6	7.0	86.7	7.6	100.0
	1785	3.5	4:1	4.1	5.3	72.3	10.6	100.0
SCHUYLER	1985.	3.1	7.1	9.8	17.4	52.2	10.3	100.0
	1985	1.4	7.8	5.5	26.5	59.0	8.7	100.0
SENECA	1986	3. 4	9.5	5.6	7.0	48.0	26.5	100.0
	1985	1.0	11.3	5.1	8.2	53.6	20.8	100.0
STEUBEN	1986 1985	1.4 2.3	11.1	4.6 4.8	7.8 10.6	60.5 54.3	12.7 17.1	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1986	3.9	11.0	4.7	13.2	46.6	20.6	100.0
	1985	4.3	9.9	5.1	13.3	55.7	11.7	100.0
							• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

(Continued on next page,)

8-62

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued TABLE 6A

		***************************************		SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF T		****		
COUNTY	Year	State Prison	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Fines	Other	Total
ULLIVAN	1786 1785	2.7 3.5	23.5 19.7	3.9 4.1	13.2 13.9	44.4 47.0	12.3 11.8	100.0 100.0
106A	1986 1985	3.0 2.0	0.5 8.3	2.3 3.0	14.0 7.9	56.4 61.3	15.9 15.4	100.0
ONPKINS	1986 1985	2.0 3.5	6.1 7.7	1.0 2.6	8.8 15.0	61.3 47.1	20.8 24.1	100.0
.STER	1785 1785	2.5 3.0	16.1 14.5	2.5 2.8	11.2 10.1	56.7 59.1	i1.0 i0.5	100.0 100.0
ARREN	1986 1985	1.0 .5 :	14.B 14.0	.8 1.9	6.4 6.2	61.2 60.0	15.8 17.4	100.0 100.0
ASHINGTON	1786 1785	2.2 .3	12.8 12.1	3.3 3.2	8.4 6.2	57.2 64.3	16.0 13.9	100.0 100.0
AYNE	1986 1985	1.2 1.3	13.4 10.3	3.1 2.9	9.6 12.0	53.7 53.3	19.0 20.1	100.0
ESTCHESTER	1986 1985	4.4 6.9	24.3 16.5	5.0 4.4	14.3 15.4	41.9 47.0	10.1 7.B	100.0
YOMING	1986 1985	2.0 5.1	8.1 8.7	6.6 8.2	22.3 20.0	53.8 53.8	7.1 4.1	100.0
TES	1986 1985	3.8 - 6.3	10.3 13.2	11.5 9.0	13.5 11.8	35.9 33:3	25.0 26.4	100.0 100.0
EN YORK STATE	1986 1985	6.5 5.6	33.1 31.4	3.5 3.1	9.5 9.8	28.6 31.3	18.9 18.9	100.0 100.0
EN YORK CITY	1986 1985	8.5 7.0	46.3 44.5	2.7 2.0	6.9 7.2	15.2 17.7	20.4 21.5	100.0

* These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 68 PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND HISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

										SENT	ENCES AS A	PERCENT O	F CONVICTI	ONS #							
			State	Prison		Local	Jai l		Local and Pr	obation		Prob	ation		Fin	es		. 0	ther	 Ta	ntal
	COUNTY	Year 	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	 	Felony Cany	Nisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Nísd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv
	ALBANY	1986 1985	50.2 58.3	NA Na		1.J 1.0	16.5 13.6		31.9 25.3	6.4 6.4		14.3 15.3	12.5 13.2		.0 .0	57.6 61.8		.3 .0	6.9 5.0	100.0 100.0	
	ALLEGANY	1984 1985	9.4 17.6	NA		9.4 5.9	10.2 10.8		31.3 29.4	6.4 4.4		43.8 47.1	18.6 14.8	•	- 3. 1 .0	50.0 54.2		3.1 .0	14.8 15.8	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	DRONI	1985 1985	43.1 43.8	NA NA		15.3 16.8	47.1 44.7		13.4 7.2	.2		27.3 31.1	3.1 3.6		.2 .2	32.5 28.8		.7 .9	17.2 22.7	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	BROONE	1786 1785	26.3 25.6	NA NA		10.2 12.8	14.3 14.7		21.0 21.1	1.2		38.4 36.5	9.5 8.8		2.5 .8	39.8 41.1		1.6 3.4	35.1 34.1	100.0 100.0	100.0
B-64	CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	15.9 24.4	NA NA		15.9 17.1	12.4 14.6		14.3 19.5	1.1		52.4 39.0	5.8 5.2		1.6	69.7 68.3		.0	11.0 11.1	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
- 4 ->	CAYUGA	1986 1985	49.4 35.6	na Na	•	19.8 13.7	19 .3 17.7		21.0 35.6	1.3		9.9 13.7	4.6 3.0		.0	52.7 52.2		.0 1.4	22.1 26.2	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	CHAUTAUQUA	1786 1785	16.5 26.1	NA NA		17.4 18.0	13.6	· · ·	3B.0 27.9	2.9		22.3 25.2	9.4 10.4		3.3 2.7	56.6 60.0	· · ·	2.5	17.3 15.3	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	CHEMUNG	1986 1985	39.5 36.0	NA NA	• • • •	14.7 12.2	13.7 12.8		19.5 14.7	2.8 2.5	· · · · · ·	23.2 33.5	18.0 13.2	•	1.6	47.3 52.0		1.6 1.5	18.3 17.5	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	CHENANGO	1986 1985	38.9 39.1	NA Na		22.2 26.1	12.5 10.8		38.9 30.4	3.0 3.9		.0 4.3	12.1 15.5		.0	50.8 46.7		.0	21.7 23.2	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	CLINTON	1986 1985	34.9 30.9	NA NA	· · · -	19.0 14.5	12.5 12.4		19.0 18.2	.5 1.1		25.4 30.9	12.4 6.2		1.6	56.9 66.2		.0 1.8	17.7 14.1	100.0 100.0	100.0
	COLUNDIA	1986 1985	60.5 59.4	NA NA		18.6 14.1	20.5 19.8		11.6 17.2	3.2 3.7		9.3 6.3	9.3 7.8		.0 3.1	58.0 57.1		.0	9.1 7.6	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
· ·	CORTLAND	1986 1985	17.8 27.8	NA Na		23.3	13.7 11.8		21.9 18.1	1.6		32.9 40.3	9.5 10.4	:	.0 .0	62.4 65.0		4.1 2.8	12.7 11.8	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	DELAWARE	1986 1985	8.7 12.5	NA Na		13.0 16.7	12.4 9.1		43.5 33.3	1.6		17.4 33.3	9.5 7.5	:	.0 4.2	54.9 58.4		17.4	21.7 22.1	100.0 100.0	100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS

TABLE 60 PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND HISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		State				Local		Local and Pr	obation		Prob	ation	,	-	Fin	25		0t	her		To	ital
COUNTY	Year	Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Cony			Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv	•	Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv	•	· . ·	Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	•	Felony Conv	Hiso Less Cor
DUTCHESS	1786 1785	20.5 20.6	HA NA			9.2 8.9	16.6 15.5	27.1 32.9	2.1 2.2		42.B 37.0	8.3 7.9			.0	63.1 66.8		.4	10.0 7.6		100.0 100.0	10 10
ERIE	1786 1985	41.3 39.6	NA NA			13.3 14.0	17.9 18.7	17.3 10.4	2.i 2.0		27.1 34.4	12.4 11.7			.5	44.0 43.4		.5	23.6 24.1		100.0	10 10
ESSEX	1986 1985	- 20.0 36.4	NA NA			16.0	8.4 7.1	20.0	1.0		40.0 45.5	- 5.4 6.7			.0	65.6 66.3		4.0	19.7 18.5		100.0 100.0	- 1(1(
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	13.6 23.8	NA NA	:		13.6	13.6 13.5	43.2 21.4	2.3 2.4		29.5 40.5	6.0 10.6			.0 .0	62.4 54.0		.0 2.4	15.6 19.5		100.0 100.0	1
FULTON	1965 1985	45.5 41.2	NA NA			11.4 14.7	12.9 13.0	29,5 25.0	3.1 2.6		13.6	7.8 11.5			.0 1.5	52.2 56.3		.0 .0	21.9 16.6		100.0 100.0	1
GENESEE	1985 1985	4.3 24.7	NA NA	•		1.4 3.9	7.2 8.6	64.3 39.0	3.0 3.3		28.6 32.5	16.9 20.2			.0 .0	61.2 56.8		1.4	11.7 11.0	'	100.0 100.0	1
GREENE	1986 1985	33.3 30.8	na Na			13.3 26.9	12.4	24.4 3.8	.4		26.7 34.6	5.8 7.4			2.2 3.8	70.9 71.9		.0	10.5 B.9		100.0 100.0	
HANILTON	1986 1985	11.1	NA NA			.0 66.7	- 10.3 11.8	77.8 .0	6.9 .0		11.1	6.9 5.9			.0 .0	69.0 61.8		.0	6.9 20.6		100.0 100.0	· ·
HERKINER	1986 1985	14.7 22.2	HA NA			26.5 22.2	14.3 13.9	26.5 19.4	.2	-#	23.5 30.6	3. 9 5.1	-		8.8 5.6	66.1 64.5	•	.0	15.5 15.6	-	100.0 100.0	.
JEFFERSON	1986 1985 -	23.6 17.6	na Na		•	16.1 19.1	14.6 13.5	23.6 35.9	1.6 1.8		34.2 25.2	8.3 9.0			2.5 1.5	64.2 65.7		.0 .8	11.4 11.0		100.0 100.0	.
K1N65	1986 1985	52.3 49.4	NA			9.7 8.7	32.6 29.6	17.1 19.0	.9		19.8 21.3	5.2 7.1			.8 1.0	26.2 34.2		.4 .6	35.1 28.2		100.0 100.0	- 1
LEWIS	1986 1985	21.7 16.7	na Nr			13.0 16.7	4.0	56.5 33.3	4.0 2.7		8.7 33.3	17.3 13.5			.0 .0	56.0 60.8		.0 .0	18.7 15.5		100.0 100.0	
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	18.0 17.7	NA NA			19.0	9.2 8.0	28.0	2.4		34.0 11.3	6.4 7.5	• •		.0	60.8 62.7		1.0	21.3 17.8		100.0	1

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS #

PERCENT OF FELDAY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1984 - Continued TABLE 68

B-66

				· '	· . ··	·			SEN	TENCES	AS A P	ERCENT O	F CONVICTIO	DNS R		-	· · ·		· ·			• .
			State I			Loca	ıl Jail	 Local and Pr	obation			Prob			Fin	es	******	01	her	-	Tol	tal
COUNTY	Year		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	•		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv	1	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv
MADISON	1986 1985		13.6 21.6	NA NA		10.1 11.4		37.9 27.3	1.7 1.6		•	36.4 37.5	12.5		.0	63.8 71.3		1.5 2.3	14.2 9.8		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
NONROE	1986 1985		35.3 35.9	NA NA	· · ·	13.3 11.5		23.9 27.8	2.0			26.5 23.3	11.6 13.4		.1	40.6 45.4		.9 1.1	28.8 25.0		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
HONTGONERY	1986 1985		20.0 17.4	NA Na		16.4 11.1		23.6 34.6	1.0 .9			38.2 31.9	7.7		1.8	65.0 66.0		.0 1,4	18.2		100.0	100.0 100.0
NASSAU	1986 1985		40.8 35.6	NA Na	•	13.4		25.5 25.3	2.5 3.7			17.8 22.6	17.6 17.7		1.0	36.7 35.1		1.5	25.5 26.3		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK	1986 1985	•	48.9 48.3	NA NA	·	20.2 20.8		7.9 6.3	.2			21.8 22.9	2.4 2.4		.3	8.7 10.2		1.0 1.2	20.8 25.3		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
NIAGARA	1986 1985		47.4 38.5	NA NA	•	17.0		5.2 3.6	2.3 2.7			27.4 33.1	9.9 9.6		1.5	52.4 51.7		1.5	15.1 14.0		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ONEIDA	1986 1985		36.5 30.2	NA NA		12.4 16.3		22.8 23.7	1.3			27.0 28.8	7.7 9.7		.8 .5	60.0 57.0	•	,4 ,5	15.4 13.5		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	•	30.6 32.9	NA Na		14.2 18.4		11.8 12.9	1.1			39.6 33.5	15.4 13.7		.6	40.7 44.4		3.1 1.8	25.5 26.6		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ONTARIO	1986 1985		10.3 26.8	NA NA		22.3 27.4		28.4 20.7	1.3 1.7			25.8 21.2	5.6 5.0		2.2 1.7	64.0 66.3		3.1 1.7	18.0 14.9		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ORANSE	1986 1985		30.3 28.0	na Na		8.3 5.0		29.2 26.5	1.5 2.1			30.7 39.4	7.6 10.6		.4	67.3 63.8		1.1 - 1.1	8.2 7.4		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
DRLEANS	1986 1985		45.0 24.3	NA NA		6. 1.	15.3	25.0 41.9	5.4 4.9			23.3 31.1	19.0 11.8		.0	43.4 47.3		.0 1.4	17.3 20.7		100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
DSWE8D	1986 1985		23.7 20.0	NA Na		10,1 15,1	13.7	33.9 40.B	3.8 1.8			28.0 21.7	10.0 8.8		.B 1.7	59.9 64.6		3.4 .8	15.6 11.2		100.0 100.0	100.0
OTSE60	1986 1985		32,4 22,7	na Na		8. 11.		27.0 34.1	1.4			29.7 29.5	4.6		.0	77.9 75.8		2.7 2.3	11.0 10.2	-	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0

TABLE 68

B-67

PERCENT OF FELDNY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

					•				SENTI	NCES AS	A PERCENT D	F CONVICTIO	NS #	-						
		State Pr			Local Ja			Local and .Pro	bation		Prob	ation		Fia		-	Dt	her	To	Ital
COUNTY	Year		Nisd & Lesser Conv		Felony L Conv	lisd & .esser Conv	Fe	lony onv	Nisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Hisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv	- - 1,	Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv
PUTNAH	1986 1985	.0 44.4	NA NA		.0	5.2 7.3		.0	1.1 1.1		100.0 55.6	11.1 10.2		.0	79.4 78.8		.0	3.2 2.6	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
QUEERS	1986 1985	49.8 45.8	NA NA		7.3 9.8	37.3 40.5		19.3 15.2	.1 .7	•	22.6 27.2	6.2 6.2		.7 1.3	25.5 30.8	•	.4	30.3 21.8	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	27.5 25.4	NA NA		16.7 14.5	18.4 14.2		13.7 15.9	1.4 2.0		42.2 43.5	7.4 10.0	-	.0 .7	56.2 58.5		.0	16.6 15.4	100.0	100.0 100.0
RICHMOND	1986 1985	57.6 46.1	NA : NA		3.8 8.2	27.9 23.2		12.4 11.2	.2 .4		25.2 - 31.2	9.7 10.5	•	.7 2.2	26.3 36.7		.3	36.0 29.2	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ROCKLAND	1786 1985	34.0 24.8	NA NA		8.3 2.6	14.3 12.4		29.5 37.6	3.5 2.8	•	27.9 34.8	7.8 7.1		.3	66.4 70.5		.0	8.1 7.2	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	50.0 25.0	NA Na '		.0 .0	6.6 6.0		50.0 50.0	1.3 1.0	•	.0	4.6 4.6		,0 25.0	62.7 61.5		.0	24.8 26.9	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
SARATOGA	1986 1985	25.5 29.8	NA		20.4 26.6	11.8 8.9		28.6 18.1	.4 .4		24.5 25.5	4.9 4.8		1.0	73.3 77.1		.0	9.6 8.7	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	32.6 25.9	na Na		11.6 12.7	17.8 17.8		23.9	1.9		31.2 46.4	9.9 8.9		.7 1.2	58.8 60.9		.0	11.5 9.7	100.0 100.0	100.0
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	14.7 42.9	NA NA		17.6	9.0 3.5		55.9 35.7	2.7 1.3		11.8 10.7	6.6 4.8		.0	73.4 78.8		.0 .0	8.4 11.6	100.0 100.0	100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	35.0 21.4	NA NA	•	.0 14.3	7.8 7.4		45.0 57.1	6.4 2.0		20.0 7.1	17.2 27.9	-	.0	57.4 53.4		.0 .0	11.3 9.3	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1986 1985	25.0 13.0	NA NA		16.7 13.0	8.4 11.1		31.3 60.9	1.6		22.9 13.0	4.5 7.8		2.1	55.2 58.1		2.1	30.3 22.6	100.0 100.0	100.0
STEUBEN	1986 1985	13.6 23.2	'na Na		11.1 18.8	11.1 10.0		38.3 40.5	.8 .9		34.6 15.9	7.0 10.0		2.5 .0	66.9 60.2		.0 1.4	14.1 18.8	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	29.3 30.8	NA NA		10.2 12.0	11.2 9.6		25.9 25.8	1.4 1.8		24.5 21.9	11.4 11.9	1	4.7 4.8	53.1 63.9	•	5.5 4.6	22.9 12.9	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0

2.5

TABLE 168 PERCENT OF FELONY CONVICTIONS AND MISDEMEANOR AND LESSER OFFENSE CONVICTIONS (ADULTS ONLY) BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

				-State I		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Local		 Local and Pro	itation		Prob	ation		Fin			Dt	her	Tot	:al
	COUNTY	Year		Felony Conv	fisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv	Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Misd & Lesser Conv		Felony Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv	Felony. Conv	Nisd & Lesser Conv
	SULL IVAN	1986 1985		25.0 31.7	NA NA		16.2 12.0	24.4	21.3 23.9	1.8 1.6	n Norman an	36.0 30.3	10.4 11.9		1.5	49.6 52.6		.0 .7	13.8 13.2	100.0 109.0	100.0 100.0
	T106A	1986 1985		23.0 20.4	ka Na		4.9	9.0 7.6	9.8 24.5	1.2		60.7 34.7	7.1 7.2	•	1.6 4.1	64.5 67.6		.0 2.0	18.2 16.9	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	TOMPKINS	1986 1985		·25.9 27.5	NA NA		5.6 6.9	8.1 7.9	5.6 13.7	.6 1.0		51.9 46.1	5.2 10.4		5.6	66.0 54.0		5.6 5.9	22.1 26.7	100.0 100.0	-100.0 . 100.0
	ULSTER	1986 1985		30.1 26.4	na Na		13.7 10.2	16.4 15.1	19.6 17.8	.9 .9		34.6 44.7	9.0 5.6		1.3 .5	61.7 66.7	•	.7	11.9 11.8	100.9 100.0	100.0 100.0
B-68	WARREN	1986 1985		33.3 18.2	ha Na		18.2 30.3	14.7 13.5	15.2 27.3	.4 1.1		33.3 24.2	5.8 5.7		.0	63.1 61.9		.0	16.3 17.9	100.0 100.0	100.0
	WASHINGTON	1986 1985		35.3 4.2	NA NA		23.5 43.8	12.1 9.3	14.7	2.5 2.4		26.5 39,6	7.2 3.3		.0	51.1 69.9		0. 0.	17.0 15.1	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	NAYNE	1985 1985		12.6 13.7	- NA NA		18.1 17.1	12.9 9.7	26.0 24.8	.å .7		38.6 41.9	6.5 9.0		4.7 1.7	57.0 58.6		.0 .9	21.0 22.1	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	WESTCHESTER	1986 1985		31.9 40.2	na Na		9.7 5.2	26.6 18.9	25.4 17.2	1.7 1.8		32.5 36.0	11.3 11.0		.5 .4	48.6 56.7		.1	11.7 - 11.7	100.0 100.0	100.0
	WYONING	1986 1985		13.3 30.3	na Na		20.0 15.2	6.0 7.4	26.7 24.2	3.0 4.9		40.0 27.3	19.2 18.5		.0 3.0	63.5 64.2		.0	8.4 4.9	190.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	YATES	1986 1985		17.1 23.1	NA Na		11.4 12.8	9.9 13.3	42.9 25,6	2.5 2.9		28.6 35.9	9.1 2.9		.0 2.6	46.3 44.8		.0 .0	32.2 36.2	100.0 100.0	100.0
	NEW YORK STAT	E1986 1985	·	43.4 41.8	na Na		13.3 13.9	36.6 34.0	17.2	1.1		24,4 26.6	6.9 7.2		.B .9	33.5 36.0	ананана. На	1.0 1.1	21.9 21.5	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0
	NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985		48.9 47.2	NA		13.7 14.8	53.1 49.7	13.7 11.4	.4		22.6 25.0	3.5 4.1		.5 .7	18.3 20.7		.7	24.6 25.1	100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF CONVICTIONS *

* These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 7A-1

B-69

PROBATION DEPARTHENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

							INVESTIE	ATIONS				PRE-D	ISPOSITION	SUPERVIS	ION CASE	S RECEIVED	ł
		INTAL	(E +		Tota	ıl 	Family (ourt	Criminal	Court		Tati		Fanily {	Court	Criainal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	441.1 456.2	47 50		1,159.5 1,084.7	- 9 . 9	196.5 205.4	18 18	943.0 878.2	8 8	н •	218.1 114.9	11 17	15.2	23 21	202.9 94.1	17 17
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	1,196.0 903.7	20 32		475.2 396.8	56 58	108.9 70.7	56 56	366.3 326.1	54 54		 .0	51 53	.0	44 44	0. 0.	
BRONI	1986 1985	523.5 643.2	45 42		637.8 602.7	- 41 42	91.3 88.7	50 50	546.5 514.0	32 33		106.4 104.0	17 19	104.6 100.B	5 - 5	1.8 3.2	
DROOME	1986 1985	1,364,3 1,388.1	15 12		889.5 919.8	19 12	256.2 291.8	7 7	633.3 627.9	26 20		1.9	50 51	1.9	43 40	.0	
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	272.0 364.4	57 54		582.6 479.3	46 52	171.2	26 26	411.5 309.4	50 56		7.0 10.5	45 46	3.5 1.2	41 42	3.5 9.4	30
CAYUGA	1986 1985	563.2 632.4	43 43		455.6 398.2	57 56	102.6 B1.4	52 . 52	352.9 316.8	55 55		.0	51 52	.0 .0	44	.0	40 40
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	881.2 854.2	36 35		883.3 805.7	21 21	175.4 205.9	17 19	707.9 599.7	19 21		156.5 153.2	15 13	34.2 45.8	18 12	122.3 107.5	
CHENUNG	1986 1985	2,223.2 1,996.9	2		1,230.9 1,195.8	8 7	253.0 233.7	13 13	977.9 962.0	7 6		70.7 132.6	23 14	3.3 2.2	42 38	67.4 139.4	10 10
CHENANGO	1986 1985	288.0 264.2	56 59		728.0 820.6	35 20	74.0 76.1	55 55	654.0 744.6	24 15	•	40.0 30.0	32 35	40.0 30.0	14 17	.0 .0	
CLINTON	1986 1985	1,124.4 1,107.0	23 24		848,5 825,0	27 19	315.3 447.5	2 2	533.3 377.6	34 47	•••	6.2 14.7	46 42	4.9 11.0	39 28	1.2 3.7	
COLUHBIA	1986 1985	285.0 354.8	57 55		482.7 551.1	55 47	133.4 80.9	53 53	349.3 470.3	56 40		.0	51 53	0. 0.	44 - 44	····.0	
CORTLAND	1986 1985	3,067.5 2,861.2	2 2		1,042,2 1,078.2		213.1 236.3	12 12	829.1 841.9	13 10		0. .0	51 53	.0 .0	44 44	.0 .0	
DELAWARE	1986	1,817.4	5 7		626.3 443.6		208.1	-51 51	418.3	49 50		229.3 219.7	9 8	229.3 219.7	3	.0	

TABLE 7A-1

B-70

PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

							-	1 - L	INVESTI	GATIONS					PRE-DI	SPOSITION	SUPERVIS	IDN CASE	S RECEIVED)
			INTA	ike #			Tota		Fanily	Court	Criminal	Court			Tota	1	Family (Court	Criminal	l Cour
COUNTY		Year	Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	•	•	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Ƙan
DUTCHESS		1986 1985	1,066.2	27 26			576.3 632.3	47 41	137.4		436.9	47 34			69.7 50.2	24 27	40.7	13 15	28.8 13.3	2
RIE		1986 1985	585.6 550.8	42 48			530.0 580.6	52 45	79.9 110.8	42 42	450.1 467.8	45 41			.0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0	
SSEX	-	1986 1985	953.2 1,056.0	32 28			347.1 316.2	61 59	89.2 101.7	46 46	259.0 214.5	61 60			13.8 16.5	42 40	11.0 13.8	30 23	2.8 2.8	3
RANKLIN		1986 1985	1,655.3 1,705.8	7 5			1,470.3 1,438.6	4	258.0 244.3		1,212.3 1,194.3	3 3			52.5 45.7	29 30	13.7 4.6	25 35	38.8 41.1	2
ULTON		1986 1985	: 382.8 296.0	51 57			571.4 649.7	50 39	230.8 166.0	28 28	340,7 483.7	57 38			12.8 16.2	43 41	12.8 16.2	28 22	.0	4
ENESEE		1986 1985	1,197.3 1,436.0	- 19 9			1,275.5	7 - 8	202.4 171.0	25 25	1,073.1 965.2	4 5		· .	226.2 164.3	10 12	13.6	26 33	212.6 159.2	
REENE		1984 1985	1,311.2 1,138.0	17 23	•		420.4 294.1	59 61	85.5 50.6	60 60	334.9 243.5	59 58			235.2 4.8	8 49	4.8 4.8	40 34	230.4	- 4
IAMILTON		1986 1985	20.0 20.1	82 82			360.0 140.4	60 62	20.0 20.1		340.0 120.4	58 62			.0	51 53	.0	44 44	.0 .0	. 4
ERKIHER	··	1986 1985	191.3 173.3	61 61			683.1 484.2	38 50	301.9 245.1		381.2 239,1	53 59			34.4 6.0	37 48	6.0 3.0	34 37	28.4 3.0	
EFFERSON		1986 1985	1,985.7 1,793.8	- 4			856.5 746.2	26 28	68.4 63.2		788.1 683.0	15 18			.0	51 53	.0 .0	44 44	.0	
INGS		1986 1985	443.6 608.6				546.B 521.7	51 48	70.4		. 476.4 459.8	41 43			82.6 68.5	19 25	78.4 62.5	7 8	4.2 6.0	
ENIS		1786 1785	561.3 556.3	44 45			628.5 480.8	42 51	162.1 123.2		465.4 357.6	44 49			55.3 123.2	28 15	7.9 7.9	32 30	47.4 115.2	
IVINGSTON		1986 1985	911.3 756.1				762.8 764.6	31 26	143.3 166.3		619.5 598.3	27 22			47. 8 25.7	30 38	47.8 24.0	10 20	.0 1.7	

(Continued on next page.)

2

PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISFOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued TABLE 7A-1

								INVESTI	GATIONS				PRE-D	ISPOSITIO	N SUPERVIS	SION CASES	RECEIVED	1
		INTAI	(E #			Tota		Family	Court	Criminal			Tota		Family (Court	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
MADISON	1786 1985	1,439.9 1,347.0	10 13			B07.B 674.2	30 35	151.7 131.0	35 35	656.2 542.4	22 28		60.1 64.4	26 26	.0 1.5	44 41	60.1 62.9	19 19
MONROE	1986 1985	710.B 651.7	39 41			647.9 594.9	40 43	117.7 130.8	29 29	530.2 464.1	35 42		37.3 38.2	34 33	35.7 38.2	17 14	1.6	42 42
MONTGONERY	1986 1985	335.9 297.0	53 56			717.9 580.7	36 44	255.3 211.3	- 17 17	462.6 369.3	45 48	- - -	5.B 13.3	47 43	5.8 13.3	35 24	.0	42 42
NASSAU	1986 1985	1,412.7 1,414.1	11 10			1,038.9 1,071.9	12 11	160.8 177.6	23 23	878.0 874.3	11 7		316.6 269.3	4	5.2 6.2	37 32	311.4 263.1	3
NEW YORK	1986 1985	279.8 402.0	5B 53			950.4 831.5	15 18	48.6 49.7	61 61	901.8 781.9	10 11		78.3 99.3	20 20	47.3 53.0	11 9	31,1 46,3	21 21
NIAGARA	1986 1985	724.3 962.2	38 30			616.4 667.5	44 36	136.5 147.3	31 31	479.9 520.1	39 32		.0 .0	51 53	.0 .0	44 44	.0	42 42
ONEIDA	1986 1985	725.6	37 37		-	900.2 742.2	18 29	203.2 163.9	29 29	697.0 578.3	20 27		70.8 29.1	22 36	5.6 2.0	36 39	65.2 27.1	24 24
ONONDAGA	1786 1785	986.8 1,246.0	30 18			1,648.5 1,450.6	2 3	203.8 229.7	14 14	1,444.7 1,220.9	2 2		241.6 241.8	. 7 6	95.4 116.9	6 - 4	146.2 124.9	11
ONTARIO	1986 1985	506.5 726.3	47 38			885.0 798.8	20 22	104.1 110.4	43 - 43	780.9 688.4	16 - 17		5.4 10.B	48 45	.0	44 44	5.4 10.8	28 28
ORANGE	1986 1985	1,040.5 1,320.B	28 14		•	696.8 691.7	37 33	85.4 111.0	-41 -41	611.3 580.8	28 26		117.9	16 18	•• •0	44 44	117.9	13 13
ORLEANS	1986 1985	1,095.1 1,093.5	25 25			827.8 666.8	29 37	82.3 7£.6	54 54	7 45.5 590.2	18 23		213.4 115.0	12 16	5.1 10.2	38 29	208.2 104.8	16 16
OSNE60	1986 1985	591.1 551.5	41 -47			1,320,2 1,359.8	6 5	278.4 252.6	9 9	1,041.8 1,107.1	5 4		575.3 183.0	1 11	382.1	1 44	193.1 183.0	6
OTSEGO	1986 1985	907.1 683.9	34 39	-		432.4 449.7	58 54	128.4 153.3	3 0	304.1 296.5	60 57		.0 203.B	51 9	.0	44 44	.0 203.8	5

{ Continued on next page. }

B-71

TABLE 7A-1

B-72

PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTAKE, INVESTIGATION, AND PRE-DISPOSITION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

						INVESTIC	ATIONS					PRE-D	ISPOSITION	SUPERVIS	ION CASE	G RECEIVED	t -
		INTAKE *		Tota	1	Family (Court	Crisinal	Court			Tot	-	Family (ourt	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
PUTNAN	1986 1985	963.2 31 882.6 33	-	574.8 496.9	48 49	78.4 95.4	47 :47	496.3 400.5	38 46			29.4 38.3	38 32	29.4 38.3	19 13	.0 .0	42 42
QUEENS	1986 1985	335.9 54 446.1 52		492.5 397.4	54 57	65.0 53.3	59 59	427.5 344.0	48 52			74.0 76.9	21 23	68.9 69.8	8 7	5.1 7.1	31 31
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	1,239.9 18 1,048.5 29		760.7 725.5	32 31	226.1 189.0	21 21	534.6 536.5	33 29			88.3 74.7	18 24	.0 3.3	44 36	88.3 71.4	18 18
RICHNOND	1986 1965	338.0 52 553.1 46		315.5 311.9	62 60	110.5 104.0	45 45	205.0 207.8	62 - 61	-		16.6 28.1	40 37	16.6 28.1	22 19	.0	42 42
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	538.2 40 677.1 40		675.1 639.4	39 40	106.1	40 40	569.0 527.1	30 31			360.7 416.5	2 1	232.4 240.9	2 1	128.2 175.7	8 8
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	1,719.9 6 1,413.4 11		1,039.0 871.2	11 14	132.1 90.8	49 49	906.9 780.3	9 12			195,9 191,3	13 10	18.6 13.2	21 25	177.3 178.1	7 7
SARATOGA	1986 1985	897.0 35 858.3 34		732.5 707.3	34 32	255.3 213.5	15 15	477.1 493.0	40 36			55.5 84.8	27 21	13.4 35.9	27 16	42.0 48.9	20 20
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	1,352.9 16 1,238.5 21		860.9 765.0	25 25	182.4 177.3	24 24	678.4 587.7	21 24			273.6 231.8	5 7	12.6 11.3	29 27	261.0 220.5	4
SCHDHARIE	1986 1985	291.9 55 275.2 58		610.7 476.5	45 53	140.9 137.6	23 23	469.B 338.9	43 53			3.4 10.1	49 47	.0	44 44	3.4 10.1	29 29
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	4,092.0 1 3,460.7 1		1,362.1	5 6	356.3 371.2	5 5	1,005.7 856.6	6 9		•	11.5 11.4	44 44	.0 11.4	44 26	11.5 .0	42 42
SENECA	1986 1985	1,021.B 29 1,242.2 20		878.5 729.4	23 30	376.9 299.1	6	501.6 430.3	36 44			183.8 280.8	14	9.3 .0	31 44	174.5 280.8	2 2
STEUBEN	1986 1985	1,091.B 26 1,062.2 27	•	922.6 780.8	17 23	267.3 196.2	20 20	655.3 584.5	23 25			247.7 263.0	6 5 .	156.9 156.2	4	70.B 106.B	15 15
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	1,170.8 21 1,299.1 17		2,105.6 2,396.4	. 1	129.3 126.2	38 38	1,976.3 2,270.3	1			44.4 50.0	31 28	44.4 50.0	12 10	.0 .0	42 42
						, ,				· . •							

TABLE 7A-1

B-73

							INVESTIC	GATIONS							IDN CASES	RECEIVED	¥ ੂ
· · · · ·					Tota	l'	•					Tol	al	Family C		Criminal	Court
Year	Rate	Rank			Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	· .	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
1986 1985	1,109,3 958.6	24 31			869.8	13 15	166.2 94.7		867.3 775.1	12 13	•			.0	44 44	.0 .0	42 42
1986 1985	1,402.0 1,308.1	12 16			845.1 859.5	28 17	345.1 430.7	3	500.0 428.8	37 45				23.5 29.8	20 18	11.8 13.9	26 26
1986 1985	508.0 536.6	46 49		1	888.3	14 13	245.4 140.7	32 32	761.4 747.6	17 14	•			5.8 1.1	33 43	325.3 330.1	1
1986 1985	1,373.9 1,140.0	14 22			930.0 774.2	16 24	126.7	44 44	803.3 668.5	14 19				.0	44 44	23.1 33.0	23 23
1786 1785	1,538.7 1,450.4	9 8			868.5 868.1	24 16	396.4 384.6	4 4.	472.1 483.5	42 39				36.0 46.7	- 16 11	.0 1.8	38 38
1986 1985	1,147.4 1,307.2	22 15	'		572.9 569.1	49 46	184.5 213,2	16 16	388.4 355.9	51 51				14.1 .0	24 44	.0 .0	42 42
1986 1985	1,517.0 1,594.5	9 6			801.0 753.8	22 27	295.5 258.1	8	586.4 495.7	29 35				.0 .0	44 44	.0	42 42
1786 1785	1,389.6 1,244.5	13 19				3 2	843.5 895.1	1	651.0 713.7	25 16				66.6 B4.1	9 6	.0 .2	41 41
1986 1985	254.9 216.3	60 60			492.6 661.3	53 38	110.3 132.8	34 34	382.4 528.6	52 30				36.8 7.4	15 31	2.5 14.8	25 25
1986 1985	419.8 456.1	50 51			754.7 674.9	33 34	198.1 101.5	22 22	556.6 493.3	31 37				.0	44 44	.0 4.7	33 33
1986 1985	778.9 836.7	NA NA			878.6 863.4	NA NA	155.0 156.4	NA NA	723.5 707.0	NA NA				49.8 47.9	-NA NA	51.2 47.1	NA Na
1986 1985	389.4 526.0	NA NA			617.6 554.2	NA NA	70.1 63.7	NA NA	547.6 490.5	NĂ NA		80.0 80.0		70.7 67.0	NA NA	9.3 13.8	
	1986 1985 1985 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985 1986 1985	Year Rate 1986 1,109.3 1985 958.6 1985 958.6 1985 1,308.1 1985 1,308.1 1985 1,308.1 1986 508.0 1985 1,308.1 1986 508.0 1985 1,308.1 1986 1,373.9 1985 1,140.0 1986 1,538.7 1985 1,450.4 1985 1,450.4 1985 1,450.4 1985 1,450.4 1985 1,517.0 1985 1,517.0 1985 1,517.5 1986 1,389.6 1985 1,244.5 1986 254.9 1985 216.3 1986 419.8 1985 456.1 1985 836.7 1986 389.4	1986 $1,109.3$ 24 1985 958.6 31 1985 $1,402.0$ 12 1985 $1,308.1$ 16 1985 $1,308.1$ 16 1985 $1,308.1$ 16 1985 $1,308.1$ 16 1985 $1,308.1$ 16 1985 $1,308.1$ 16 1985 $1,373.9$ 14 1985 $1,440.0$ 22 1985 $1,450.4$ 8 1985 $1,450.4$ 8 1985 $1,517.0$ 9 1985 $1,517.0$ 9 1985 $1,594.5$ 6 1985 $1,594.5$ 6 1985 $1,389.6$ 13 1985 254.9 60 1985 216.3 60 1985 419.8 50 1985 778.9 NA 1985	Year Rate Rank 1785 1,109.3 24 1785 958.6 31 1785 958.6 31 1785 1,308.1 16 1785 1,308.1 16 1785 1,308.1 16 1785 1,308.1 16 1785 1,308.1 16 1785 1,308.1 16 1785 1,373.9 14 1785 1,40.0 22 1786 1,538.7 8 1785 1,450.4 8 1785 1,450.4 8 1785 1,309.2 15 1785 1,309.2 15 1785 1,517.0 9 1785 1,594.5 6 1785 1,244.5 19 1785 216.3 60 1785 216.3 60 1785 216.3 60 1785 50 151	INTAKE * Year Rate Rank 1986 1,109.3 24 1985 958.6 31 1986 1,402.0 12 1985 1,308.1 16 1985 1,308.1 16 1985 1,308.1 16 1985 1,308.1 16 1985 1,308.1 16 1986 1,373.9 14 1985 1,140.0 22 1985 1,140.0 22 1985 1,140.0 22 1985 1,140.4 22 1985 1,150.4 8 1985 1,517.0 9 1985 1,517.0 9 1985 1,517.0 9 1985 1,517.0 9 1985 1,517.0 9 1985 1,517.0 9 1985 1,517.0 9 1985 1,517.0 9 1985 254.9 60 1985 216.3 60 <tr< td=""><td>INTAKE i Tota Year Rate Rank Rate 1986 1,109.3 24 1,033.5 1985 958.6 31 B69.8 1986 1,402.0 12 B45.1 1985 1,308.1 16 B59.5 1985 1,308.1 16 B59.5 1986 508.0 46 1,006.8 1985 1,308.1 16 B89.3 1985 1,308.1 16 B99.5 1985 1,308.1 16 B99.3 1985 1,373.9 14 930.0 1985 1,450.4 8 B68.5 1985 1,450.4 8 B68.1 1985 1,309.2 15 569.1 1985 1,309.2 15 569.1 1985 1,309.2 15 569.1 1985 1,389.6 13 1,494.4 1985 1,244.5 19 1,608.9</td><td>Year Rate Rank Rate Rate Rank 1986 1,109.3 24 1,033.5 13 1985 958.6 31 869.8 15 1985 958.6 31 869.8 15 1985 1,402.0 12 845.1 28 1985 1,308.1 16 859.5 17 1986 506.0 46 1,006.8 14 1985 336.6 49 888.3 13 1986 1,373.9 14 930.0 16 1985 1,140.0 22 774.2 24 1985 1,450.4 8 868.1 16 1985 1,450.4 8 868.1 16 1986 1,197.0 9 881.8 22 1986 1,387.6 6 753.8 27 1986 1,389.6 13 1,494.4 3 1985 1,244.5 19 1,608.</td><td>INTAKE : Total Family f Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate 1986 1,109.3 24 1,033.5 13 186.2 1985 958.6 31 B69.8 15 94.7 1986 1,402.0 12 845.1 28 345.1 1985 1,308.1 16 B59.5 17 430.7 1986 508.0 46 1,006.8 14 245.4 1985 1,140.0 22 774.2 24 105.6 1985 1,140.0 22 774.2 24 105.6 1986 1,538.7 8 B68.5 24 396.4 1985 1,140.0 22 572.9 49 184.5 1986 1,517.0 9 B68.8 22 295.5 1985 1,517.0 9 B91.8 22 295.5 1985 1,594.5 6 753.8 27 2</td><td>INTAKE • Total Family Court Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank 1986 1,109.3 24 1,033.5 13 186.2 48 1985 958.6 31 869.8 15 94.7 48 1985 1,402.0 12 845.1 28 345.1 3 1985 1,308.1 16 859.5 17 430.7 3 1985 536.6 49 888.3 13 140.7 32 1985 1,140.0 22 774.2 24 105.6 44 1985 1,140.0 22 774.2 24 105.6 44 1985 1,140.0 22 774.7 28 384.6 4 1986 1,538.7 8 868.1 15 384.6 4 1986 1,149.4 22 572.9 49 184.5 16 1985 1,507.0</td><td>INTAKE i Total Family Court Criainal Year Rate Rank Rate Rate<td>INTAKE i Total Family Court Criminal Court Year Rate Rank Total Family Court Criminal Court 1986 1,107.3 24 1,033.5 13 166.2 48 B67.3 12 1985 958.6 31 869.8 15 94.7 48 775.1 13 1985 1,402.0 12 845.1 28 345.1 3 500.0 37 1985 1,402.0 12 845.1 28 345.1 3 500.0 37 1985 1,400.0 12 747.6 14 743.0.7 3 428.8 45 1985 1,450.4 8 868.3 13 140.7 32 747.6 14 1985 1,450.4 8 868.1 16 <</td><td>Total Family Court Criminal Court Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank 1986 1,107.3 24 1,033.5 13 186.2 48 867.3 12 1985 958.6 31 845.1 28 345.1 3 500.0 37 1985 1,306.1 16 897.5 17 430.7 3 428.8 45 1985 506.0 46 1,006.8 14 245.4 32 761.4 17 1985 1,140.0 22 774.2 24 105.6 44 668.5 14 1985 1,140.0 22 774.2 24 105.6 44 668.5 39 1985 1,140.0 22 572.9 49 184.5 16 388.4 51 1985 1,507.0 9 868.5 24 396.4 4 493.5</td><td>INTAKE 1 Total Family Court Criainal Court Total Family Court Criainal Court Total Year Rate Rant Rate Rant Rate Rant Rate Rat</td><td>INTRACE 1 Total Family Court Crisinal Court Total Year Bate Rate <td< td=""><td>INTAKE * Total Family Court Griminal Court Total Family Court Year Rate Rate</td><td>INTARE # Total Fasily Dourt Grisinal Court Total Fasily Court Year Rate Rant Rate Rate Rant</td><td>INTAKE i Total Fasily Caurt Triainal Court Total Fasily Caurt Triainal Court Year Rate Rant Rate Rate Rant Rate Rate Rant Rate Rant Rate Rant</td></td<></td></td></tr<>	INTAKE i Tota Year Rate Rank Rate 1986 1,109.3 24 1,033.5 1985 958.6 31 B69.8 1986 1,402.0 12 B45.1 1985 1,308.1 16 B59.5 1985 1,308.1 16 B59.5 1986 508.0 46 1,006.8 1985 1,308.1 16 B89.3 1985 1,308.1 16 B99.5 1985 1,308.1 16 B99.3 1985 1,373.9 14 930.0 1985 1,450.4 8 B68.5 1985 1,450.4 8 B68.1 1985 1,309.2 15 569.1 1985 1,309.2 15 569.1 1985 1,309.2 15 569.1 1985 1,389.6 13 1,494.4 1985 1,244.5 19 1,608.9	Year Rate Rank Rate Rate Rank 1986 1,109.3 24 1,033.5 13 1985 958.6 31 869.8 15 1985 958.6 31 869.8 15 1985 1,402.0 12 845.1 28 1985 1,308.1 16 859.5 17 1986 506.0 46 1,006.8 14 1985 336.6 49 888.3 13 1986 1,373.9 14 930.0 16 1985 1,140.0 22 774.2 24 1985 1,450.4 8 868.1 16 1985 1,450.4 8 868.1 16 1986 1,197.0 9 881.8 22 1986 1,387.6 6 753.8 27 1986 1,389.6 13 1,494.4 3 1985 1,244.5 19 1,608.	INTAKE : Total Family f Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate 1986 1,109.3 24 1,033.5 13 186.2 1985 958.6 31 B69.8 15 94.7 1986 1,402.0 12 845.1 28 345.1 1985 1,308.1 16 B59.5 17 430.7 1986 508.0 46 1,006.8 14 245.4 1985 1,140.0 22 774.2 24 105.6 1985 1,140.0 22 774.2 24 105.6 1986 1,538.7 8 B68.5 24 396.4 1985 1,140.0 22 572.9 49 184.5 1986 1,517.0 9 B68.8 22 295.5 1985 1,517.0 9 B91.8 22 295.5 1985 1,594.5 6 753.8 27 2	INTAKE • Total Family Court Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank 1986 1,109.3 24 1,033.5 13 186.2 48 1985 958.6 31 869.8 15 94.7 48 1985 1,402.0 12 845.1 28 345.1 3 1985 1,308.1 16 859.5 17 430.7 3 1985 536.6 49 888.3 13 140.7 32 1985 1,140.0 22 774.2 24 105.6 44 1985 1,140.0 22 774.2 24 105.6 44 1985 1,140.0 22 774.7 28 384.6 4 1986 1,538.7 8 868.1 15 384.6 4 1986 1,149.4 22 572.9 49 184.5 16 1985 1,507.0	INTAKE i Total Family Court Criainal Year Rate Rank Rate Rate <td>INTAKE i Total Family Court Criminal Court Year Rate Rank Total Family Court Criminal Court 1986 1,107.3 24 1,033.5 13 166.2 48 B67.3 12 1985 958.6 31 869.8 15 94.7 48 775.1 13 1985 1,402.0 12 845.1 28 345.1 3 500.0 37 1985 1,402.0 12 845.1 28 345.1 3 500.0 37 1985 1,400.0 12 747.6 14 743.0.7 3 428.8 45 1985 1,450.4 8 868.3 13 140.7 32 747.6 14 1985 1,450.4 8 868.1 16 <</td> <td>Total Family Court Criminal Court Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank 1986 1,107.3 24 1,033.5 13 186.2 48 867.3 12 1985 958.6 31 845.1 28 345.1 3 500.0 37 1985 1,306.1 16 897.5 17 430.7 3 428.8 45 1985 506.0 46 1,006.8 14 245.4 32 761.4 17 1985 1,140.0 22 774.2 24 105.6 44 668.5 14 1985 1,140.0 22 774.2 24 105.6 44 668.5 39 1985 1,140.0 22 572.9 49 184.5 16 388.4 51 1985 1,507.0 9 868.5 24 396.4 4 493.5</td> <td>INTAKE 1 Total Family Court Criainal Court Total Family Court Criainal Court Total Year Rate Rant Rate Rant Rate Rant Rate Rat</td> <td>INTRACE 1 Total Family Court Crisinal Court Total Year Bate Rate <td< td=""><td>INTAKE * Total Family Court Griminal Court Total Family Court Year Rate Rate</td><td>INTARE # Total Fasily Dourt Grisinal Court Total Fasily Court Year Rate Rant Rate Rate Rant</td><td>INTAKE i Total Fasily Caurt Triainal Court Total Fasily Caurt Triainal Court Year Rate Rant Rate Rate Rant Rate Rate Rant Rate Rant Rate Rant</td></td<></td>	INTAKE i Total Family Court Criminal Court Year Rate Rank Total Family Court Criminal Court 1986 1,107.3 24 1,033.5 13 166.2 48 B67.3 12 1985 958.6 31 869.8 15 94.7 48 775.1 13 1985 1,402.0 12 845.1 28 345.1 3 500.0 37 1985 1,402.0 12 845.1 28 345.1 3 500.0 37 1985 1,400.0 12 747.6 14 743.0.7 3 428.8 45 1985 1,450.4 8 868.3 13 140.7 32 747.6 14 1985 1,450.4 8 868.1 16 <	Total Family Court Criminal Court Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank 1986 1,107.3 24 1,033.5 13 186.2 48 867.3 12 1985 958.6 31 845.1 28 345.1 3 500.0 37 1985 1,306.1 16 897.5 17 430.7 3 428.8 45 1985 506.0 46 1,006.8 14 245.4 32 761.4 17 1985 1,140.0 22 774.2 24 105.6 44 668.5 14 1985 1,140.0 22 774.2 24 105.6 44 668.5 39 1985 1,140.0 22 572.9 49 184.5 16 388.4 51 1985 1,507.0 9 868.5 24 396.4 4 493.5	INTAKE 1 Total Family Court Criainal Court Total Family Court Criainal Court Total Year Rate Rant Rate Rant Rate Rant Rate Rat	INTRACE 1 Total Family Court Crisinal Court Total Year Bate Rate Rate <td< td=""><td>INTAKE * Total Family Court Griminal Court Total Family Court Year Rate Rate</td><td>INTARE # Total Fasily Dourt Grisinal Court Total Fasily Court Year Rate Rant Rate Rate Rant</td><td>INTAKE i Total Fasily Caurt Triainal Court Total Fasily Caurt Triainal Court Year Rate Rant Rate Rate Rant Rate Rate Rant Rate Rant Rate Rant</td></td<>	INTAKE * Total Family Court Griminal Court Total Family Court Year Rate Rate	INTARE # Total Fasily Dourt Grisinal Court Total Fasily Court Year Rate Rant Rate Rate Rant	INTAKE i Total Fasily Caurt Triainal Court Total Fasily Caurt Triainal Court Year Rate Rant Rate Rate Rant Rate Rate Rant Rate Rant Rate Rant

* Intake occurs only in family court.

NDTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

•			PROBATI	ON SUPERVIS	ION CASE	S RECEIVED			UNDE	PROBATI		ASES GION DUR	ING THE YEAR	ŧ		UNDEI	PROBATI	Ci Dn Super	ASES Vision on	DECEMBER	₹ 31
	:	Tota	1	Family (Court	Criainal	Court		Tot		Family		Criminal	Court		Tota		Family		Crisinal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	420.3 387.2	3 4	71.6 50.4	13 26	348.6 336.8	3 4		1,267.8 1,131.5	4	132.3 88.1	13 28	1,135.5 1,043.5	4		908.6 846.2	4 5	63.2 60.6	14 17	845.4 785.6	5 5
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	273.3 169.0	29 54	51.5 29.5	27 48	221.8 139.5	31 53		651.5 528.5	50 57	73.3 58.9	42 48	578.2 469.5	48 55		483.2 375.2	45 55	37.6 21.6		445.5 353.6	46 53
BRONX	1786 1785	322.6 300.7	12 16	22.1 20.4	58 55	300.4 280.4	7 - 8	•	1,069.4 995.4	8 8	42.9 45.4	60 57	1,026.5 950.0	8		818.4 752.9	7 7	23.2 21.0	54 55	795.2 731.8	
BRGÜNE	1986 1985	293.6 292.3	20 18	50.3 57.9	30 18	243.4 234.4	19 21		951.6 916.0	14 10	107.2	23 11	844.4 790.8	14 15 -		700.2 657.1	15 14	61.2 60.7	17 15	639.0 576.4	17 15
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	216.9 186.3	50 48	45.7 43.4	34 33	171.2	52 51		568.6 486.3	58 58	92.6 72.7	30 39	476,0 413.7	57 59	• •	405.6 351.6	58 57	49.2 46.9	30 30	356.4 304.7	57 59
CAYUGA	1986 1985	137.7 146.5	61 58	26.3 26.3	55 50	111.4 120.2	61 58		406.B 404.5	62 62	50.1 55.1	57 52	356.7 349.4	62 62		286.6 269.2	62 62	17.5 23.B	57 50	267.1 245.4	62 61
CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985	277.4 275.3	26 27	43.3 54.1	38 22	234.1 221.2	22 28		761.0 685.0	36 40	98.5 102.6	27 22	662.5 562.4	34 44		529.0 479.8	38 39	53.8 54.8	24 21	475.2 425.0	37 42
CHENUNG	1986 1985	418.8 380.5	4 5	103.9 108.7	2	314.9 271.8	5 10		1,404.4 1,292.5	2	223.2 191.3	2 2	1,101.2	3		1,048.6 969.6	2	119.3 117.4	2 2	929.3 852.2	2 4
CHENANGO	1784 1985	350.0 320.2	7	92.0 44.0	31 3	258.0 276.2	16 9		B42.0 718.5	29 34	123.0 86.1	17 29	716.0 632.5	29 32		528.0 492.4	39 37	56.0 34.0	21 39	472.0 458.3	40 33
CLINTON	1986 1985	259.9 185.1	36 49	22.2 33.1	57 44	237.7 152.0	20 49		B63.3 755.2	25 30	57.9 77.2	51 36	805.4 677.9	19 27		703.2 600.7	14 23	20.9 35.6	55 37	682.3 565.2	10 19
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	248.8 260.7	43 30	64.3 54.5	17 21	184.5 206.3	45 31		764.4 704.6	35 35	126.9 108.9	15 19	637.6 595.7	40 39		561.B 518.1	34 32	72.5 64.4	- 9 12	489 .3 453.8	36 35
CORTLAND	-1986 1985	286.9 293.3	22 17	57.0 50.6	23 24	230.0 242.7	23 18		870.3 877.8	20 14	101.3 B4.4	27 30	789.0 793.4	21- 14		628.7 603.5	22 21	44.3 44.3	34 32	584.4 559.2	20 20
DELAWARE	1986 1985	191.1 183.4	54 50	38.2 27.7		152.9 155.7	55 47		588.1 563.0	56 54	61.6 55.5	48 51	526.5 507.6	54 51		437.4 398.8	54 52	-31.8 23.5	45 51	405.5 375.4	51 50

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

			PROBATI	ION SUPERVIS	ION CASE	S RECEIVED			UNDER	PROBATI		ISES Ion dur	ING THE YEAR	ŧ ·	 UNDEF	PROBATIO		ISES Vision on	DECENBER	{ 31
••••		Tota	1	Family (ourt	Criainal	Court		Tota		Family (Court	Criainal	Court	Tota	1	Family (Court	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year 	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Sank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	236.8 284.2	46 21	42.1 50.5	40 25	194.7 233.6	41 23		808.8 807.5	30 24	88.8 115.6	33 18	720.0 691.9	28 25	588.4 571.5	28 27	50.2 42.7	28 35	538.2 528.9	
ERIE	1986 1985	278.6 276.0	25 25	51.3 44.3	29 30	227.3 231.7	26 24		723.2 670.5	41 43	100.5 81.0	28 32	622.7 589.5	43 42	435.7 443.1	55 48	52.8 50.4	25 26	382.9 392.6	
ESSEX	1986 1985	159.8 140.2	59 59	33.1 30.2	· 48 47	126.7 110.0	59 60		506.9 475.7	59 59	55.1 49.5	52 55	451.8 426.2	58 58	371.9 346.5	59 58	27.5 22.0	49 53	344.4 324.5	
FRANKLIN	1986 1985	326.5 317.4	11 12	73.1 54.8	10 20	25 3.4 262.6	17 12		945.2 874.6	15 15	118.7	20 17	826.5 753.5	17 18	682.6 628.0	17 18	47.9 54.8	31 20	634.7 573.2	18 18
FULTON	1986 1985	260.1 301.4	35 15	42.1 34.3	39 42	217.9 267.1	33 11		934.1 860.8	16 17	69.6 46.9	46 56	864.5 813.9	12 11	670.3 664.1	18 13	29.3 27.1	47 47	641.0 637.1	16 13
GENESEE	1986 1985	394.6 425.0	5 2	59.5 67.7	21 B	335.0 357.3	4 2		1,331.6 1,227.7	3 3	120.7 93.1	19 26	1,210.9 1,134.6	2 2	979.6 941.5	3	62.9 69.4	15 9	916.7 872.1	3 2
GREENE	1986 1985	249.4	42 · 60	57.0 36.2	22 40	192.4 101.3	42 61		622.3 576.2	51 52	71.3 72.3	43 41	551.1 503.9	51 52	415.7 378.5	57 54	42.8 14.5	36 62	372.9 364.1	54 51
HAMILTON	1986 1985	320.0 120.4	13 62	20.0 20.1	59 · 57	300.0 100.3	8 62		600,0 682.0	55 41	60.0 80.2	50 34	540.0 601.8	53 37	460.0 280.8	49 61	20.0 40.1	56 36	440.0 240.7	48 62
HERKINER	1986 • 1985	174.9	58 55	65.8 38.9	16 35	109.1 127.0	62 56		578,5 567,9	57 53	159.9 134.5	5 9	418,5 433,4	60 56	451.4 403.5	52 51	125.6 94.1	1 4	325.9 309.3	60 57
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	333.3 317.2	10 13	71.7 73.4	11 6	261.6 243.8	14 17		870.9 847.8	23 20	136.9 124.2	11 12	734.0 723.6	26 22	577.3 549.8	29 29	56.3	20 11	521.0 483.2	
Kings	1986 1985	246.7 247.4	44 35	27.4 19.8	53 58	219.3 227.6	32 25	-	977.3 910.7	11 12	46.6 39.9	58 59	930.8 970.8	10 10	744.1 734.6	9 10	24.3 20.1	52 58	719.7 714.5	8 8
LEHIS	1986 1985	213.4	51 45	39.5 43.7	42 32	173.9 159.0	51 45		691.7 786.8	46 27	102.8 155.0	26 5	588.9 631.8	47 33	502.0 480.8	42 38	47.4 53.5	32 14	454.5 417.2	
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	353.2 282.9	6 22	56.3 24.0	24 53	294.9 258.9	9 13		1,063.1 970.4	9 9	78.5 56.8	38 50	984.6 913.8	9	723.5 709.8	10 11	54.6 18.9	23 59	668.9 690.9	

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1985 - Continued

		a	-	PROBAT I	ON SUPERVIS	ION CASE	S RECEIVED		UNDEF	PROBATI		ISES Ston Dur	ING THE YEAR			UNDER	PROBATI		ASES Vision on	DECEMBER	31
			Tota	1	Family (lourt	Criainal	Court	Tota		Fazily (lourt	Crisinal	Court	•	Tota	1]	Family	Court	Crisinal	L Court
COUNTY	-	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
NADISON		1986 1985	310.8 257.7	16 33	31.5 24.0	51 54	279.3 233.7	11 22	785.3 686.2	33 39	52.6 58.4	56 49	732.7 627.8	27 34		605.1 473.5	25 41	25.5 21.0	50 56	579.6 452.5	
MONROE		1986 1985	319.0 289.0	14 20	32.5 37.9	49 37	286.5 251.1	10 15	952.6 829.8	13 23	78.4 75.2	39 38	874.2 754.6	11 17		661.0 631.6	20 17	40.7 45.8	40 31	620.3 585.8	
HONTGONERY		1986 1985	228.4 241.8	49 37	71.0 59.0	14 16	157.4 182.8	54 42	756.2 694.9	37 38	126.7 108.5	16 20	629.6 586.4	41 43		566.2 523.5	33 31	51.8 55.2	27 19	514.4 468.3	31 32
NASSAU		1986 1985	340.1 363.1	9	35.4 45.4	46 29	304.7 317.7	6. 5	1,171.6 1,021.1	7 7 7	88.1 102.2	34 24	1,083.4 918.9	8 8		879 .6 826.3	5	42.9 52.4	35 23	854.7 773.9	4
NEW YORK		1986 1985	213.4 207.3	52 44	17.0 18.3	60 59	196.4 188.9	40 38	881.1 849.7	22 18	37.3 38.0	62 61	843.8 811.6	15 12		486.3 666.9	16 12	12.7 20.3	61 57	673.6 646.7	11 12
HIAGARA		1986 1985	276.2 278.5	27 24	39.6 38.8	41 36	236.5 239.7	21 19	723.8 654.5	40 46	69.6 62.4	45 44	654.2 592.2	38 41		459.7 448.5	50 47	24.9 30.0	51 45	434.8 418.5	
ONEIDA		1986 1985	257.9 219.4	38 42	49.5 36.3	31 39	208.5 183.1	38 41	766.2 738.2	34 32	77 .3 72.6	40 40	688.9 665.6	32 28		536.4 503.7	37 35	37.4 27.5	42 46	499.0 476.2	
DNONDAGA		1986 1985	313.5 282.4	15 23	48,1 47,1	32 28	265.3 235.3	13 20	868.7 783.3	24 29	91.3 Bl.0	31 31	777.4	22 24		608.4 552.7	24 28	44.9 43.0	33 34	563.5 509.8	
ONTARIO		1986 1965	303.7 263.0	18 29	59.7 57.4	20 19	244.0 205.7	18 32	806.9 740.4	31 31	107.4 92.0	22 27	699.6 648.4	31 30		569.4 502.2	- 30 36	61.8 47.6	•	507.6 454.6	
ORANGE		1786 1785	305.6 345.9	- 17 7	44.7 48.6	36 27	260 .9 297.2	15 6	899.5 873.3	19 16	132.4	12 13	767.1 750.5	23 19		641.1 602.7	21 22	88.7 87.0	6 5	552.4 513.8	25 25
ORLEANS		1986 1985 -	262.2 321.9	34 10	51.4 30.7	28 46	210.8 291.3	35 7	1,179.9 1,126.7	6	102.8 71.5	25 42	1,077.1 1,055.2	7 5		706.9 909.6	12 4	12.9 48.5	60 28	694.1 861.0	9 3
OSKEGO		1986 1985	286.0 258.5	23 31	61.9 67.1	19 9	224.1 191.4	30 36	798.5 737.8	32 33	128.8 137.7	14 8	669.7 600.1	33		544.3 514.5	36 33	71.1 67.1	- 11 10	473.2 447.4	
OTSE60		1986 1985	135.1 158.3	62 56	1.7 15.2	62 62	133.4 143.2	58 50	665.5 672.1	49 42	54.1 59.0	55 47	611.5 613.1	44 35		486.5 528.9	44 30	11.8 52.2		474.7 476.7	

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

and a start of the				ON SUPERVIS	SION CASE	S RECEIVED		UNDER	PROBATI		ASES SION DURI	ING THE YEAR	ł		UNDE	R PROBATI		ASES VISION ON	DECEMBER	1 31
		Tot	a] -	Faaily (Court	Criminal	Court	Tat		Fauily	Court -	Crisinal	Court		Tot		Family	Court	Criminal	Court
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
PUTNAH	1985 1985	259.8 249.7		34.3 58.1	47 17	225.5 191.6	29 35	731.6 644.0	39 47	77.2 105.1	41 21	654.4 539.0	36 49		555.1 475.9	35 40	41.7 43.3		513.5 432.7	32 39
QUEENS	1986 1985	191.7 172.0	55 53	. 15.6 17.0	61 60	176.1 155.0	48 48	613.1 532.5	53 56	39.0 38.5	61 60	573.3 494.0	49 53		464.8 419.2	48 50	15.7 24.1		449.2 395.2	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	270.9 330.4		84.4 74.0	6 5	186.6 256.4	- 44 14	1,009.2	10 - 11 -	156.9	6 15	852.3 793.5	13 13		750.8 740.0	8	86.4 72.7		664.5 667.3	15 11
RICHNOND	1786 1785	140.7	60 61	25.1 24.3	56 52	115.6 112.6	60 59	472.2 440.3	61 60	43.2 33.4	59 62	429.0 406.B	59 60		359.0 332.2	61 59	16.6 18.2		342.5 314.0	59 56
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	268.9 337.0		91.8 125.9	4 1	177.1 213.0	47 29	850.3 847.9	27 19	226.8 237.9	1	623.5 610.0	42 36		567.9 582.9	31 24	97.8 135.4		470.1 447.5	41 37
ST. LAHRENCE	1986 1985	186.2 156.1		37.2 16.8		148.9 139.3	57 54	608.2 587.9	54 50	54.1 43.2	54 58	554.1 546.7	.50 47		477-8 419 . 7	47 49	37.2 16.8		440.6 403.0	47 46
SARATOGA	1786 1785	204.8 173.3		52.4 35.9	26 41	152.3 137.4	56 55	489.3 414.6	60. 61	85.3 53.2		404.0 361.4	61 61		361.4 289.0	60 60	54.8 33.4		306.5 255.6	61 60
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	273.6 266.3		44.6 57.8		229.0 206.5	24 30	851.5 832.1	26 22	103.9 141.4	24 6	747.7 690.6	25 26	•	597.9 576.4	26 25	52.6 57.1		545.3 517.3	26 24
SCHOHARIE	1985	255.0 177.9		26.8 20.1		228.2 157.7	25 46	714.B 630.9	44 49	60.4 53.7	49 53	654.4 577.2	37 45		523.5 459.7	40 43	23.5 33.6		500.0 426.2	34 41
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	465.5 465.4		74.7 62.8		390.B 422.6	- 1	1,206.9 1,170.7	5 4	109.2 79.9		1,097.7 1,090.7	5 4		885.1 736.7	. 6 9	57.5 34.3		827.5 702.4	
SENECA	1986 1985	246.1 192.3		71.7 51.9		174.5 140.4	49 52	704.0 702.0	45 36	177.6 160.1		526.5 521.9	55 50		439.3 448.6		71.7		367.6 344.9	
STEUBEN	1986 1985	234.3 201.4		53.7 32.9		180.6 169.5	46 44	6490.4 669.8	47 44	87.7 76.0		602.7 593.8	45 40		478.8 454.1	46 44	41.3		437.6 420.2	
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	348.5 316.3		74.5		274.0 250.1	12 16	955.9 896.8	12	150.8 139.1		805.1 757.7	20 16		662.3 606.9	1 -	90.1 76.3		572.3 530.6	

TABLE 7A-2 PROBATION SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

		· .			ION CASE	S RECEIVED		UNDER				ING THE YEAR	ş	UNDEF	PROBATION		ISES Ision on	DECEMBER	: 31
* * <u>*</u>		Tota	1	Family C		Criainal	Court	Tota	l	Family C	ourt	Crisinal		Tota	-	Fanily C		Crisinal	
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
SULLIVAN	1786 1785	467.9 409.8	13	90.4 59.2	5 15	377.6 350.6	2 3	1,523.3	1	148.7 122.8		1,374.6	1 1	1,125.4 1,072.5	1	72.9 60.6	8 15	1,052.5 1,011.8	1
TIOGA	1786 1985	282.4 234.2	24 38	68.6 59.5	15. 14	213.7 174.7	34 43	886.3 835.7	21 21	121.6 95.3	18 25	764.7 740.4	24 21	623.5 611.4	23 19	66.7 53.6	13 22	556.9 557.8	24 21
TONPKINS	1986 1985	199.8 222.3	54 41	32.0 25.0	50 51	167.8 197.4	53 33	715.8 675.4	43 37	54.8 60.1	53 46	661.0 635.3	35 31	497.7 512.8	43 34	30,8 22.7	46 52	466.9 490.1	42 28
ULSTER	1786 1985	257.0 230.8	39	46.9 37.2	3B 32	210.1 193.6	37 34	722.3 640.5	42 48	81.0 67.2	37 43	641.3 573.4	39 46	566.4 465.3	32 42	49.3 33.0	29 43	517.1 432.3	30 40
D WARREN 7	1986 1985	232.4 230.1	4B 40	45.0 37.5	35 34	187.4 190.5	43 37	618.0 560.7	52 55	70.3 80.9	44 33	547.7 479.9	52 54	427.0 384.6	56 53	28.8 25.2	48 48	398.2 359.5	52 52
MASHINGTON	1986 1985	302.3 213.2	19 43	128,3 88,1	1	174.0 125.1	50 57	667.8 583.2	48 51	188.0 156.8	3	479.8 426.4	56 57	458.7 355.9	51 56	100.2	3 27	358.5 306.6	56 58
HAYNE	1985 1985	269.3 291.3	31 19	63.6 68.5	18 7	205.7	39 27	845.5 783.5	28 28	138.6	10 16	706.8 662.5	30 27	588.6 579.1	27 25	69.3 75.4	12 7	519.3 503.7	29 27
HESTCHESTE	R 1986 1985	256.4 258.0	40 32	30.1 33.7	52 43	226.3 224.3	28 26	904.8 805.9	18 25	62.0 61.9	47 45	842.8 744.0	14 20	703.8 647.4	13 15	34.3 32.5	44 44	669.5 614.9	13 14
HADHING	1986 1985	289.2 275.3	21 26	78.4 91.0	7 3	210.8 184.4	36 40	740.2 666.2	38 45	142.2 125.4	9 10	598.0 540.9	46 48	517.2 452.4	41 45	58.8 63.9	18 13	458.3 388.4	43 49
YATES	1984 1985	264.2 246.7	39 22	37.7 60.5	44 12	226.4	27 39	915.1 805.2	17 26	87.6 102.4	32 23	825.5 702.8	18 23	712.3 642.3	11 16	42.5 51.2	37 25	669.8 591.1	12 16
NEWYORKSTA	ITE 1986 1985	270.5	NA	38.8 37.3	NA NA	231.6 224.6	HA Na	878.6 807.6	NA	79.1 75.1	NA NA	799 .5 732.5	NA NA	645.8 608.0	NA	40.6	NA Na	605.2 567.5	NA
NEW YORK C		232.4	NA NA	21.2	NA NA	211.2	NA NA	850.4 787.1	NA NA	42.1	NA	808.3 747.3	NA NA	650.7 619.0	NA NA	19.1	NA NA	631.6 597.7	NA NA

* The total number of probation cases supervised during a given year; i.e., probation cases carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus those received during the year. RDTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SAURFE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Altornatives.

78 PROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

			· · · · · ·	******	****	 *******					Calaía.	1 C		
											LF1818	l Court≮+	t 	
			Tota] f	-	Facily C	ourtii		1	otal	Techr	ical	Nex C	Conv
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Ran
ALBANY	1986		84.3	5		64.0	32		86.	7 2	57.1	3	28.9	1
152111	1985		60.7	11		64.0	30		60.		44.8		15.9	
ALLEGANY	1986		21.3	57		54.1	36		17.		13.7		3.4	
	1985		18.6	57		65.7	29		12.	5 59	9.4	51	4.2	5
BRONX	1986		80.9	6		37.1	44		82.		65.1		17.5	
	1985		75.3	5		89.2	20		74.	7 .5	65.4	3	9.2	4
BROOME	1986		26.4	51		39.8	40		24.		- 15.2	45	9.6	
	1985		43.7	34		63.9	32		40.	5 33	18.5	36	22.0	2
CATTARAUGUS	1986		28.9	49		25.3	52		29.	5 47	12.3	51	17.2	3
	1985		9.6	61		16.1	51		8.	5 61	(59	8.5	5
CAYUGA	1986		89.2	1		100.0	17		87.		28.1		59.6	
	1985		99.1	1		68.2	27		103.	2	28.7	22	75.3	
CHAUTAUQUA	1986		40.4	41		35.5	46		41.	1 36	26.4	22	14.8	3
· · · ·	1985		48.6	25		74.3	26		44.) 26	21.4	29	22.6	2
CHEMUNG	1986 -		56.6	19		118.8	10		44.	9 32	36.5	15	8.4	4
	1985		55.5	15		113.6	13		45.	23	37.5		7.9	
CHENANGO	1786		87.9	2		95.2	20		86.	6 3	55.9	- 4 -	30.7	1
100 - E	1985		55.7	14		46.5	41		57.	0 10	44.3	6	12.7	4
CLINTON	1986		20.0	59		21.3	54		19.	9 57	12,2		7.6	5
	1985		22.7	56		63.5	33		18.	56	16.3	42	1.8	5
COLUNDIA	1986		58.2	17		77.9	25	- -	54.	3 : 17	54.3		.0	
	1985		49.2	24		75.8	25		44.	3 25	33.2	17	11.1	4

(Continued on next page.)

B-79

TABLE 78

PROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND KATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1786 # - Continued

	• *									REVOCATI	ONS				
			•					 	*******	 *******		Criminal	Courtes	ŧ	-
					Tota	11 #		Fasily (ourt##	Tol	al	Techni	cal	Хен С	Conv
COUNTY		Year			Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Ran
CORTLAND	•	178å 1785			49.8 55.3	27 16		104.2 125.0	15 8	 42.8 47.9	34 19	26.7 29.3	. 21 21	16.0 18.6	2
DELANARE		1786 1785			25.3 15.2	54 59		69.0 .0	30 54	20.2	55 57	12.1 4.2	54 56	8.1 12.6	:
DUTCHESS		1986 1985			39.0 42.2	43 38		74.6 155.9	27 3	34.6 23.2	42 54	28.7 14.2	19 47	5.9 9.1	
ERIE		1986 1985			41.7 52.2	37 19		133.0 87.7	5 21	27.0 47.3	51 20	21.1 33.4	31 16	5.8 14.0	
SSEX	- <u>-</u>	1985 1985			27.2 23.1	50 54	•	.0 111.1	59 14	30.5 12:9	45 58	24.4	28 59	6.1 12.9	
RANKLIN		1986 1985			41.1 28.7	39 50		38.5 .0	42 54	- 41,4 33,3	35 43	24.9 15.2	27 45	16.6 18.2	
FULTON		1986 1985			54.9 50.3	22 21		105.3 76.9	13 24	50.8 48.8	22 16	8.5 2.2	57 58	42.4 46.6	
SENESEE		1985 1985			44.7 42.8	35 35		140.8 36.4	4 44	35.i 43.3	41 28	22.5 28.4	30 23	12.6	
REENE		1986 1985			64.9 62.0	13 9		33.3 200.0	49	69.0 43.1	10 29	47.4 14.4	7 46	21.6 28.7	
IANILTON .		1786 1785			.0 .0	62		.0	59 54	.0	62 62	.0 .0	61 59	.0 .0	
IERKINER		1986 1985			20.7 28.9	58 49	•	18.7	56 54	21.4 37.9	54 38	10.7 37.9	56 10	10.7	

(Continued on next page.)

TABLE 70

	· .								Criminal	Courter	ŧ	
			Tota	a]#	Family (Court≠ŧ	Tot	al	Techni	cal	New C	Солу
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
JEFFERSON	1786 1785		48.2 47.3	29 23	48.4 109.1	37 15	48.1 39.0	25 34	18.0 25.0	3 9 27	30.1 14.0	13 35
KINGS	1986 1985	• •	51.7 36.9	26 43	12.2 13.2	58 52	53.6 38.0	18 37	13.0 7.3	50 52	40.7 30.6	6
LEWIS	1985 1985		22.9 35.4	56 45	38,5 25,6	42 48	20.1 37.7	58 40	20.1 25.2	35 26	.0	59 42
LIVINGSTON :	1986 1985		80.3 53.0	8 18	130.4 121.2	6 10	76.3 48.8	8 16	15.6 16.7	43 41	60.7 31.9	j
HADISON	1986 1985		24.9 56.8	55 13	57.1 102.6	34 16	22.5 52.5	53 14	14.3 33.4	47 15	B.2 19.1	46
MONROE	1986 1985		31.7 29.7	46 48	39.9 56.6	3B 36	30.9 27,1	43 51	16.9 17.3	42 39	14.0 7.8	38 48
MONTGOKERY	1986 1985		86.3 46.6	3 27	197.0 122.8	1 9	64.0 32.5	12 44	15.2 6.5	44 53	48.8 26.0	1
NASSAU	1986 1985		31.2 45.8	47 29	34.3 53.7	48 39	30.9 45.0	44 24	12.3 20.0	52 33	18.6 24.9	29
NEW YORK	1984 1985		51.9 82.2	24 10	39.9 35.5	38 45	52.4 63.4	20 7	13.8 19.4	48 35	38.6 44.0	1
NIAGARA	1986 1985		54.1 45.9	23 28	125.0 125.9	7	46.5 37.4	28 41	17.5	41 43	28.9 21.8	14
ONEIDA	1986 1985		59.9 54.0	15 17	192.7 126.4	2	45.0 46.1	31 21	18.7 19.8	37 34	26.3	1

TABLE 78 FROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 + - Continued

(Continued on next page.)

8-81

TABLE 78 PROBATION REVOCATIONS FER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1905 AND 1986 + - Continued

•										Criminal	Courter	ŧ.	
			Tat	a]ŧ		Family (ourt##	Tot	al	Techni	cal	New C	Conv
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
	 *												
ONONDAGÁ	1986	-	80.8	7		118.2	11	76,4	7	75.5	1	.8	5
SHELELIN	1985		80.7	Å		100.B	17	78.3	4 -	75.3	2	3.1	5
ONTARIO	1986		84.7	4		101.0	16	82.2	5 -	41.9	13	40.3	
	1985		86.3			94.1	19	85.1	3	43.4	7	41.7	
DRANGE	1986		71.8	- 11	ä	91.2	22	68.5	11	44.0	12	24.5	- 2
ORANDE	1985		42.5	36		35.2	46	43.7	27	34.6	14	9.1	48
DRLEANS	1986		45.8	33		125.0	8	38,2	38	26.3	24	11.9	
UNCENID	1985		36.3			.0	54	38.7	36	31.5	18	- 7.3	
OSWEGD	1986		40.8	40		13.0	57	46.2	29	33.7	17	12.5	-
USHCOU	1985		23.9	53		12.2	53	26.6	52	18.2	37	B.4	- 3 5
OTFCCA	1986		 15 A	53		.0	59		EA		15		1
OTSEGD -	1785		25.4			.0	54	27.6 30.2	50 47	2.8 11.0	60 49	24.9 19.2	
PUTNAN	1986		48.6	28		17 5	33	46.B	27	20.6	33	51.5	
FUIARD	1785		40.5			63.5 47.1	40	39.0	35	20.8	32	26.2 18.3	1
AUF 510	1001					70.7	**				~~		
QUEENS	1986 1985		46,5			32.7 38.9	50 43	47.4 37.8	26 39	6.3 4.6	58 55	41.1 33.2	
-	400.												
RENSSELAER	1986 1985		44.4 18.1	36 59		92.4 59.8	21 35	35.6	- 40 60	26.3	23 50	9.3 2.5	4
								•					
RICHMOND	1786		46.4			80.2	24	42,9	33	11.2	55	31.7	
	1985		51.6	20		64.0	30	50.6	15	21.0	30	29.6	. 1
ROCKLAND	1986		46.9			24.9	53	54.9	16	35.0	16	19.9	
	1985		44.5	32		17.4	50	55.0	13	40.8	9	14.2	3
						•							

B-82

								REVOCATI	ONS				
			*******		 			*****		Criainal	Court##	t	b
•			Tota	11#	Family I	ourt≇≇		Tot	al	Techni	cal	Nen C	Conv
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Runk
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985		26.2 34.4	52 46	98.4 40.8	18 42		19.2 33.9	58 42	14.4 21.0	46 31	4.8 12.9	56 38
SARATOGÁ	1986 1985	•	77.2 74.6	9	85.7 116.3	23 12		75.4 68.5	9 6	45.2 49.7	10 4	30.2 18.8	12 26
SCHENECTADY	1786 1785		36.0 45.5	44 31	76.9 117.4	26 11		30.3 30.8	46 46	20.5 15.4	34 44	9.8 15.4	43 32
SCHOHAR1E	1986 1985		56.3 26.6	20 52	- 55. <i>6</i> .0	35 54		56.4 29.1	15 48	25.6 5.8	25 54	30.B 23.3	10 19
SCHUYLER	1986 1985		57.1 43.9	18 33	105.3 214.3	13 1		52.4 31.4	21 45	52.4 26.2	6 24	.0 -5.2	
SENECA	1986 1965		75.2 95.7	10 2	70.2 50.8	29 39		76.9	6 1	47.3 75.0	8	29.6 35.1	14 5
STEUBEN	1986 1985		59.8 58.3	16 12	70.6 81.1	28 23	-	58.2 55.4	14 12	46.2 41.5	9 8	12.0 13.8	39 37
SUFFOLK	1986 1985		45.3 42.3	- 34 37	20,2 25.2	55 49		50.0 45.4	24 22	29.0 25.8	18 25	21.0 19.6	20
SULLIVAN	1986 1985		51.7 49.3	25 22	39.2 50.2	41 34		53.0 48.3	19 18	44.5 37.9	11 10	8.5 10.3	4/
T106A	1986 1985		68.6 47.5	12 26	112.9 83.3	12 22		61.5 42.9	13 30	38.5 34.9	14 13	23.1 B.0	2) 5)
TOMPKINS	1986 1985		35.1 45.7	45 30	125.0 94.3	8 18		27.5 41.1	49 32	20.7 30.4	32 20	6.9 10.7	52 44

TABLE 78 PROBATION REVOCATIONS FER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

(Continued on next page.)

8-83

TABLE 78 PROBATION REVOCATIONS PER 1000 PROBATIONERS AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

		ст		REVOCATIONS		
				**** ****************	Criminal Courtee	t
		Total*	Family Court##	Total	Technical	New Conv
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rar
ULSTER	1985	55.6 21	97 .7 19	50.3 23	25.6 25	24.7
	1985	65.8 B	127.3 5	58.6 9	30.9 19	27.7
WARREN	1986	61.2 14	179.5 3	46.1 30	23.0 29	23.0
	1985	67.3 7	133.3 4	56.2 11	22.5 28	33.7
NASHINGTON	1786	28.9 48	28.0 51	29.3 48	18.3 38	11.0
	1985	39.3 41	67.4 28	28.9 49	4.1 57	24.8
WAYNE	1986	41.7 38	65.6 31	37.0 39	17.7 40	19.3
	1985	39.4 40	28.3 47	41.4 31	17.2 40	24.1
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	40.1 42 30.1 47	35.5 45 54.1 37	40.4 37 28.1 50	10.8 36 12.1 48	21.6
WYOHING	1986	16.6 60	34.5 47	12.3 61	4.1 59	8.2
	1985	14.8 60	.0 54	18.2 55	18.2 37	.0
YATES	1986	15.5 61	.0 59	17.1 59	.0 61	17.1
	1985	23.1 54	.0 54	26.5 53	.0 59	26.5
NENYORKSTATE	1986	50.0 NA	56.0 NA	47.5 NA	24.6 NA	24.B
	1985	47.5 NA	56.5 NA	46.6 NA	24.3 NA	22.3
NEH YORK CITY	1786	56.6 NA	30.1 NA	58.0 NA	22.7 NA	35.2
	1985	51.0 NA	40.6 NA	51.6 NA	22.0 NA	29.6

* Rates based on the number of family and criminal court cases supervised during the year.

** Rates based on the number of family court probation cases supervised during the year.

*** Rates based on the number or criminal court probation cases supervised during the year.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

NOTE: Brawalic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND KATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 * TABLE 9

B-85

		******						******				*									
				Adal	5510A5 	-				Kepor	ted	Åver age	Daily				Decembr	er 31 Popul	lation 		
		Tota		Unsente	nced	Senter	ced			Capac	•	Popula	ation			Tota		Unsenti		Sente	
COUNTY	Year 	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank			fate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Ra	te 	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	1,362.4 1,259.4	22 25	935.4 860.3	28 32	427.0 399.1.	16 16			150.J 153.2	5	137.3	4			33.4 13.4	2 6	68.5 63.8		64.9 49.7	
ALLEGANY	• 1986 1985	998.1 888.0	- 46 48	829.7 750.5	36 42	158.4 137.5				69.3 68.8	37 35	55.4 51.1	45 44			63,4 45.2	34 44	39.6 21.6		23.8 23.6	
BROOME	1986 1985	1,123.3 1,040.3	38 41	785.6 732.4	40 43	337.0 307.8	25 27			95.8 85.7	17 25	. 72.6 71.5	28 27	•		7 4.0 71.5	25 21	35.1 35.3	36 28	38.9 36.2	
CATTARAUSUS	1986 1985	1,283.7	26 27	963.7 958.6	25 23	320.0 280.1	28 33			89.1 87.1	22 21	73.9 57.4	27 39			77.4 72.7	20 20	55.1 32.8	- 8 32	22.3 39.8	
CAYUGA	1986 1985	1,249.1 1,457.6	30 17	851.1 940.4	33 26	398.0 517.2	18 8			77.6	28 29	67.6 62.6	33 35			47.6 60.9	47 25	25.0 40.1	47 23	22.5 28.9	
CHÀUTAUBUA	1986 1985	1,107.6 1,044.2	40 40	772.9 694.0	42 45	334.7 350.1	27 24			68.5 67.9	28 26	60.8 60.3	39 37			68.5 47.9	31 41	50.3 35.4		18.2 14.6	
CHEMUNG	1986 1985	1,428.7 1,190.3	- 19 31	941.4 799.0	27 34	487.3 391.3	5 19			101.7 100.0	13 ,13	100.6 88.1	9 11	•		03.9 08.7	6 8	47.5 45.7	18 17	56.4 63.0	
CHENANGO	1985 1985	1,026.0 1,142.B	43 35	522.0 662.5	53 49	504.0 480.4	4		•	66.0 65.0	42 40	56.0 56.0	44 41			44.0 34.0	49 55	16.0 20.0		28.0 14.0	
CLINTON	1986 1985	1,944.6 1,615.8	4 11 -	1,496.3 1,157.3	6	448.3 458.5	10 9			64.0 63.7	44 43	56.7 53.9	43 42			55.4 58.8	40 31	30.8 33.1	41 31	24.6	
COLUMBIA	1986 1985	1,426.7 1,414.1	20 18	1,049.4 996.7	21 20	377.3 417.5	21 14			92.3 95.7	20 18	77.4 89.1	24 10			72.5 75.9	26 16	36.2 42.9		36.2 33.0	
CORTLAND	1986 1985	1,753.2 1,698.6	9 7	1,310.1 1,299.8	10 B	44 3. 0 398.8	11 18			67.5 67.5	40 39	78.1 78.1	23 22			96.5 11.8	· 14 7.	27.4 80.2		\$9.1 31.7	
DELAWARE	1986 1985	1,023.4 819.0	44 52	687,9 569,4	48 51	335.9 249.5	26 37			63.7 68.2	45 37	44.6 34.1	52 56			23.4 44.8	57 46	19.1 27.7		4.2 - 17.1	
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	1,005.8 933.7	45 44	834.9 762.8	35 40	171.0	50 51			68.1 68.6	37 36	61.5 56.8	38 40			52.6 58.0	43 33	39.7 42.3	25 21	12.9 15.7	

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 + - Continued

							**************		********			*********	*******						******
				aissions				Reco	rted	Average	Daily					er 31 Popul	ation		
		Total		tenced	Senter	nced		Capa	city	Popula	tion			Tota		Unsente	inced	Sent	enced
COUNTY	Year	Rate R	ank Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		R 	ate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ERIE	1986 1985		35 1,013. 34 1,006.		164.7 163.7	51 - 53		72.9 100.9		65.5	35 33			59.3 53.4	37 37	40.1 37.4	24 26	19.	
ESSEX	· 1986 1985		42 776. 54 539.		261.7 211.7	39 43		66.1 66.0	41	44.1 33.0	53 57			24.8 33.0	56 56	16.5 30.2	52 36	8. 2.1	
FRANKLIN	1996 1985		17 995. 21 949.		461.2 424.7	9 12		125.6		98.2 84.5	10 17	•		82.2 75.4	17 17	36.5 30.8	34 24	45. 36.	
FULTON	1986 1985	1,315.0 1,680.2	23 470. 9 505.		844.3 1,174.9	1 1		.0		78.8 84.8	22 14			47.6 57.8	46 35	22.0 23.5	48 45	25. 34.	
6ENESEE	1986 1985		16 1,088. 23 982.		392.9 315.0	20 25	- • .	71.4	34 56	52.7 47.4	47 51			71.4 64.3	28 28	37.4		34. 32.2	
GREENE	1986 1985	2,156.B 1,697.4	3 1,408. B 1,164.		748.2 532.8	3		128.3 130.2		90.3 84.4	14 18			78,4 69,3	19 30	47.9 33.8	14 30	28. 26.	
HAHILTON	1986 1985	1,250.0 1,524.9	29 B20. 10 1.,103.		440.0 521.6	12 5		80.0 80.2		60.0 40.1	- 40 54			40.0 120.4	51 5	.0 80.2	57 5	40. 40.	
HERKIHER	1986 1985		39 852. 37 860.		261.6 273.5			53.8 53.8	49 47	47.8 58.3	49 38			43.3 38.9	50 52	29.9 29.9	43 38	13.1	
JEFFERSON	1986 1985	1,217.4 1,181.9	33 848. 33 764.		368.7 417.7	24 13		77.3 74.5		71.7 73.4	31 25			59.6 65.5	36 27	27.8 31.6	44 35	29. 33.	
LEWIS	1986 1985	632.4 906.0	56 482. 45 766.		150.2 139.1	56 55		90.9 91.4		39.5 43.7	54 52			51.4 47.7	45 43	31.6 19.9	38 53	19. 27.	
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985	1,665.5 1,549.9	11 1,196. 15 1,171.	Z 11 0 11	469.3 378.9			95.6 96.0		105.8 89.2	7 9			92.2 84.0	8 12	44.4 53.1	22 12	47. 30.	
MADISON	1986 1985 -	1,225.2 1,141.7	32 953. 36 884.		271.0 257.7			70.4 70.4		75.1 70.4	25 28			90.1 56.9	10 36	52.6 28.5	11 40	37.	
NONROE	1988 1985	1,300.3 1,198.4	25 1,123. 30 958.		176.8 240.2			77.6 70.2		80.8 58,9	21 29			87.1 79.6	13 15	63.6 53.3	6 11	23. 26.	

(Continued on next page.)

B-86

_

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 # - Continued

B-87

								 		JAIL AND	PERITER	1 I ARY 								
					ssions				Repa	rtad	Aver age	Daily					ber 31 Popul	ation		
· · · · · · · ·		Total		Unsente		Senten			•	city	Popul	•			Tot		Unsente	enced	Sente	inced
COUNTY	Year		Rank	Rate	Rank 	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		•	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	
MONTGOMERY	1986 1985	1,525.9 1,593.5	15 12	1,155.5 1,041.4	16 17	370.4 552,1.	23 3		184 .3 188.5	3	120.9 91.4	5 8			120.9 81.9	4 13	72.9 66.6	3 - 7	48.0 15.2	
HASSAU	1986 1985	623.7 655.1	57 57	459.9 464.2	56 56	163.8 190.9	52 47		72.7 64.4	33 42	96.7 82.3	18 19			87.2 85.9	12 10	60.5 57.3	7	26.8 28.5	
NIAGARA	1986 1985	1,135.5	36 29	867 . 2 921.0	29 27	268.J 302.6	37 29		97.7 97.9	15 14	. 87.1 84.5		•		73.6 69.7	24 23	46.1 35.1	20 29	29.5 34.6	
DHEIDA	1986 1985	863.8 705.1	52 55	707.8 534.4	47 54	155.7 170.7	54 52		82.9 82.2	25 26	80.9 74.2				76.9	22 26	52,7 40,7	10 22	24.1 26.3	
DNONDAGA	1986 1985	1,804.2 1,708.9	7	1,619.6	3 2	184.6 184.6	- 47 - 49		99.7 87.9	14 23	91.3 96.3	12 6			83.3 92.0	16 9	47.1 54.6	19 10	36.3 37.4	
ONTARID	1986 1985	1,671.4 1,381.2	10 20	1,190.9 975.3	12 22	480.5 405.9	6 15		97.6 96.3	- 16 15 -	93.3 85.5				90.0 85.5	11 11	31.5 20.6	39 50	58,6 64.9	
CRANGE	1986 1985	1,563.7 1,360.4	13 22	1,337.6 1,148.2	9 15	226.1 212.2	42 42		94.8 96.2	19 16	90.9 80.0	1 3 20		•	85.9 73.5	15 19	49.7 51.2	15 14	36.2 22.3	
ORLEANS	1986 1985 -	1,452.4	18 24	1,156.8 1,029,6	15 18	295.6 260.6	33 35		185.1 166.1	2	156.8 125.2	3 4			66.8 122.6	33 4	41.1 99.6	23 3	25.7 23.0	
DSWEGO	1986 1985	L,070.2 1,129.0	41 38	796.0 768.0	38 37	274.2 361.8	34 22		80.3 80.5		66.9 60.4	34 36			54.3 57.9	41 34	38.5 27.7	28 42	15.9 30.2	
DTSEGO	1986 1985 -	790.5 904.5	54 46	567.6 616.5	51 50	223.0 288.0	44 32		37.2		49.0 48.8				35.5 42.1	52 49	11.8 15.2	56 56	23.6 27.0	
PUTHAN	1986 1985	915.4 892.5	49 47	763.5 760.2	43 41	152.0 132.3	55 57		49.0		47.8 49.4	50 48			44 .1 39.6	48 50	20.B 21.0	49 49	23.3 18.5	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	942.0 864.2	47 50	716.5 568.5	46 46	225.4 195.6	43 46		73.9 75,3		47.5				51.4 37.0	44 53	30.3 21.1	42 48	21.1 15.9	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	732 .2 699.0	55 56	416.7 403.B	57 57	315.5 296.0	30 31		30.8 30.9		37.2				33.5 32.8		15.9 20.0	51 52	16.5 12.8	

JATI AND PENITENTIAP

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADDISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 190,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 + - Continued

						ssions				Repo	t ad	Average	Daily			Deceab	er 31 Popul	ation		
			Tota		Unsente	nced	Senten	ced		Capa		Popul	ation		Tota	al -	Unsenti	enced	Senter	nced
COUNTY		Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank-		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant
ST.'LAWRENCE	•	1786 1985	827.1 798.0	53 53	557.6 561.7	52 52	269.5	36 41		54.1 53.8	48 48	59.4 50.3			53.2 45.0	42 45	31.0 18.5	40 55	22.2 26.5	
SARATOGA	•	1986 1985	932.4 836.7	48 51	753.8 662.8	45 48	178,5 173,9	48 50		42.7 43.3	53 52	56.7 53.2			56.1 39.0	39 51	32.3 21.7	37 46	23.8 17.3	
SCHENECTADY		1986 1985	1,283.0 1,233.2	27 29	970.7 919.1	24 28	312.3 314.1	31 26		58.6 58.4	44	. 61.9 . 68.4		•	67.2 63.1	32 29	49.3 50.5	16 15	18.0 12.6	
SCHOHARIE		1986 1985	1,375.B 1,100.7	21 39	1,067.1 889.3	20 29	308.7 211.4	32 44		70.5 70.5		53.7 50.3	46 46		70.5 43.6	29 47	36.9 30.2	32 37	33.6 13.4	
SCHUYLER		1986 1985	1,189.7 1,844.6	34 3	793.1 1,473.4	39 4	396.6 371.2	19 21		120.7 119.9	89	103.4 102.B	8 5		92.0 131.3	9 2	46.0 119.9	21 2	46.0 11.4	
SENECA		1986 1985	1,557.6 1,593.2	14 13	1,180.7 1,294.1	13 - 9	374.9 299.1	22 30		105.9 89.5	12 22	87.2 79.4	16 21		81.0 70.2	18 22	37.4 42.7	31 20	43.6 27.5	
STEUBEN		1986 1985	l,131.1 962.6	37 43	866.9 777.7	30 36	264.2 184.9	38 48		50.4 50.3	50 49.	68.1 67.8	32 31		60.9 58.6	35 32	38.2 44.2	29 18	22.7 - 14.4	
SUFFOLK		1985 1985	898.7 879.1	50 49	687.7 669.0	49 47	211.1 211.1	46 45		57.6 57.6	47 45	62.3 65.1	36 34		58.1 52.2	38 39	35.7 32.1	33 34	21.3 20.1	
SULLIVAN		1986 1985	2,606.4 2,491.1	1	1,849.9 1,791.4	2 1	754.6 699.7	2 2		239.1 242.6	1 1	212.8 174.6			201.2 168.6	1 - 1	153.1 127.2	1	48.1 41.4	
TIOGA		1986 1985	1,225.5 1,165.0	31 32	754.9 786.0	44 35	470.6 399.0	- 7 17	-	109.8 111.2		72.5 77.4	29 23		- 76.5 59.5	23 24	25.5 37.7	46 25	51.0 31.8	
TONPKINS		1986 1985	1,269.4 1,390.8	28 19	1,157.5 1,251.3	14 10	111.9 139.5	57 54		- 37.7 37.4		34,2 48,8			25.1 43.1	55 48	14.8 26.1	54 43	10.3 17.0	
ULSTER		1986 1985	1,584.7 1,518.6	12 14	1,151.0 1,159.5	17 13	433.6 359.0	15 23		86.5 86.7	24 24	84.7 87.9			69.4 75.1	30 16	51.2 52.5	12 13	18.3 22.6	
WARREN		1986 1985	1,917.1	5 2	1,515.3 1,452.2	5 5	401.8 433.1	17 11		117.1 104.2		72.1			72.1 40.5	27 42	48.4 25.2		23.4 23.4	

(Continued on next page.)

B-88

TABLE 9 JAIL AND PENITENTIARY ADMISSIONS, CAPACITY, AND POPULATION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND KATE RANKS BY COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 + - Continued

									•		IAIL AND	PENITEN	IARY								
				*******	Ada	ssions				 								ier 31 Popul	ation	*** *******	
			Tota		Unsent		Senter			 Repor Capac	ity	Average Fopula	ition			Ĩata	1	Unsente		Senter	
CDUNTY		Year	Kate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank 		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank 		•	Rate	Rank		Rank	Rate	Rank
WASHINGTON		1986 1985	1,302.3 1,245.8	24 26	864.7 B12.3	31 33	437.6	- 13 10		87.9 95.2	23 19	87.9 84.6	15 15			94.9 ,32.9	7 38	30.7 19.4	27 54	56.2 33.5	
WAYNE	· · ·	1986 1985	1,814.0	6 5	1,378.4 1,310.1	- B 7	436.4 169.4	14 8	•	65.9 55.0	43 46	75.0 66.2	26 32			77.3 59.3	21 40	54.5 29.7	9 39	22.7 20.6	37 39
WESTCHESTER		1986 1985	2,208.9 1,843.6	2 4	1,892.4 1,500.2	1 2	316.5 243.5	29 38		118.2 121.3	9	110.5 95.3	6 7	•		112.1 80.9	5 14	68.2 50.4	5 16	43.9 30.5	
NADNING		1986 1985	872.5 1,012.9	51 42	652.0 705.6	50 44	220.6 307.3	45 28		44.1	52 51	39.2 41.8	55 53			29.4 34.4	54 54	14.7 14.8	5 5 57	14.7 19.7	-
YATES		1986 1985	1,787.7 1,591.7	8 14	1,551.9 1,354.4	4	235.8 237.4	41 40		179.2 176.9	4 3	160.4 153.6	· 2 2			132.1 125.7	2 2	117.9 97.7	2 1	14.2 27.9	52 24
NEWYORKSTATE		1786 1985	1,319.2 1,182.0		1,032.0 896.8	NA NA	287.2 265,2	NA NA		120.7 110.4	NA Ha	118.4 105.4	NA Nà			118.8 105.3	HA NA	84.7 72.9	HA NA	34.1 32.4	
NEWYORKCITY	ан Алтана Алтана	1986 1985	1,458.1 1,225.3		1,129.1 898.3	NA - Na	329.0 327.0	na Na		178.4 153.1	NA NA	177.3 150.1	NA NA			181.8 158.5	NA NA	139.1 116.5	na Ha	42.7 42.0	na Na
								-		 				- 							

* Data are not reported by county for New York City - only for New York City as a whole.

HOTE: Drematic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caulion. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by conty two (2).

SOURCE: HYS Cossission of Correction.

B-89

TABLE ION NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON WATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

	· _	1997 - 1997 - 1997 1997 -																STATE, PI											
										·	liolent	Felonie	s									Drug Fel	lonies			Othe		Youti	
				Tota	al .	Tot	aì	Hoai	cide	Sex Of			bery	Burgl	ary		pons	Oti	ner	To	tal	Posse	ssion	Sal	e	Felo	nies	Dffer	nders
	COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rat e			Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate	1. T	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	
	ALBANY	1986 1985		74.1 72.9	6 5	25.1 21.5	10 12	2.8 1.4	15 31	4.9 3.9	- 14 - 17 - 17	4.2 4.6	21 13	7.1 7.0	15 15	1.8 2.8	10 9	4.2 1.8	8 27	11.6 20,8	10 5	6.4 8.8	7 3	5.J 12.0	13	36.3 29.9	4	1.1 .7	19 36
	ALLEGANY	1986 1985		4.0 7.9	65 70	.0 3.9	62 51	.0 2.0	43 21	.0	51 47	.0 .0	43 40	.0 .0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0 2.0	37 22	.0 .0	51 46	.0 .0	37 32	.0	43 42	4.0 2.0	59 59	.0 2.0	32 13
	BRONI	1986 1985		167.4 136.1	2 2	105.6 94.9	2	14.9 13.7	1 -1	7.5 7.3	5 5	53.0 44.4	2 2	12.8 10.1	2 4	10.4 11.5	2 2	7.0 7.9	2 4	32.7 21.5	2 4	8.1 5.7	5 5	24.5 15.7	2	25.3 16.6	9 16	3.9 3.2	4
	BROOME	1986 1985		56.9 38.1	11 19	23.7 21.7	12 11	2.4 .9	20 34	6.2 3.8	9 18	2'8 2'2	24 15	9.0 9.9	11 5	.9 .5	10 17	1.9 2.8	* 24 15	5.7 .5	19 45	2.8	13 32	2.8	24 - 41	27.0 15.5	7 20	.5 .5	28 38
B-90	CATTARAUGUS	1786 1785		11.7 11.7	57 56	3.5 3.5	56 54	1.2	35 36	1.2 1.2	46 43	.0 2.3	43 24	.0 .0	51 52	.0	25 23	1.2	32 40	.0	51 46	.0 .0	37 32	.0 .0	43 42	8.2 7.0	49 50	.0 1.2	32 28
	CAYUGA	1986 1985		51.3 30.1	13 26	16.3 6.3	25 44	1.3	33 35	7.5 2.5	4 28	.0	43 40	5.0 3.8	22 30	.0	25 23	2.5 .0	17 40	3.8 .0	20 46	.0	37 32	.0 .0	20 42	26.3 17.5	8 12	5.0 6.3	2
	CHAUTAUQUA	1986 1985		14.0 20.1	55 43	6.3 8.3	48 39	2.1 2.1	22 19	1.4	44 47	.1 .7	41 39	2.1 4.2	42 26	.0 .0	25 23	.0 1.4	37 31	.7 2.8	50 28	.0 1.4	37 22	.7 1.4	41 34	7.0 7.6	52 49	.0 1.4	32 21
	CHENUNG	1986 1985		64.1 56.5	8 9	28.7 29.4	5 5	2.2 2.2	21 17	6.6 7.6	7 3	4,4 1,1	20 37	11.0 8.7	5 11	.0 4.3	25 4	4.4 5.4	6 7	2.2	36 46	1.1	32 32	1.1 .0	37 42	30,9 26,1	5 5	2.2	7 31
	CHENANGO	1986 1985	۰ ۱	8.0 16.0	60 53	2.0 8.0	60 41	2.0	24 36	.0 2.0	51 31	.0 .0	43 40	.0 .0	51 52	.0 .0	25 23	.0` 6.0	37 6	.0 4.0	51 20	.0 2.0	37 15	.0 2.0	43 28	8.0 4.0	55 55	.0 .0	32 41
	CLINTON	1986 1985		30.8 19.6	32 - 45	6.2 4.9	49 50	.0 .0	43 36	1.2	45 29	2.5 1.2	25 35	2.5	40 59	.0	25 23	.0 .0	37 40	3.7 1.2	29 43	.0 .0	37 32	3.7 1.2	21 37	20.9 12.3	14 31	.0 1.2	32 25
	COLUNBIA	1986 1985		47.8 59.4	- 17 7	11.5 18.2	35 19	3.3 1.7	10 28	4.9 5.0	8 13	.0 .0	43 40	1.6 8.3	48 12	.0 .0	25 23	3.3	26 12	23,1 23,1	4	9,9 5.0	3 7	13.2 18.2	5 2	13.2 16.5	29 18	.0 1.7	32 17
	CORTLAND	1786 1785		40.1 54.9	25 10	6.3 16.9	47 20	.0	43 36	.0 .0	51 47	.0 2.1	43 25	6.3 2.1	17 -44	.0	25 5	.0 9.4	37 3	4.2 .0	25 46	2.1	18 32	2.1 .0	29 42	27.4 27.4	6 - 4	2.1	9. 1
	DELAVARE	1986 1995		10.6 4.3	58 62	2.1	59 58	.0	43 36	.0 2,1	51 30	2.1	30 40	• .0 . .0	51 52	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	.0 2.1	51 36	.0 .0	37 32	.0 2.1	43 27	8.5	48 61	.0	32 41

TABLE IGA NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

																CONNITHE					-									
											lolent	Felonie	5									Drug Fel				Othe		Youth		
				Tol	.a]	Tot		Hosid		Sex Of			bary	Burg	lary	¥ea;	ions		her	Tot	al	Posses	sion	Sal	2	Felo		Offer	nders	
	COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	• • • • •	Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		
	DUTCHESS	1986 1985		21.4 25.9	42 31	13.2 13.3	30 27	.8 .0	36 38	1.9 2.7	40 24	3.5 4.3	22 14	4.7 4.7	28 26	1.6	11 21	.8 1.2	34 34	1.5	40 40	.4	36 32	1.2	35 33	6.6 10.2	54 40	.0 .9	32 * 35	
	ERIE	1986 1985		31.B 32.2	31 22	16.0 15.9	26 23	1.5 2.0	32 22	3.2 2.7	23 25	3.4 3.3	23 17	4.8 5.9	25 21	1.0	- 17 - 16	2.1 1.4	21 30	4.3	24 39	2.1 1.2	19 26	2.2	28 10	11.0 13.7	39 26	.6	25 34	
	ESSEI	1984 1985		16.5 24.7	51 35	2.8 8.3	57 40	2.B .0	16 36	.0 2.8	51 23	.0 5.5	43 12	.0	51 52	.0	25 23	 	37 40	.0	51. 46	.0 .0	37 32	.0	43 42	13.9 13.8	27 25	.0 2.8	32 10	
	FRANKLIN	1986 1985		13.7 25.1	56 34	4.6	53 43	4.6 2.3	4 15	.0	51 47	.0	43 40	.0 4.6	51 27	.0	25 23	.0 .Ū	37 40	4.6	23 46	2.3	15 32	2.3 .0	27 42	4.6 18.3	57 10	.0	32 41	
B-91	FULTON	1986 1985		42.1 46.9	· 21 14	9.2 16.2	38 22	1.8	26 7	3.7 1.8	19 37	.0	43 40	3.7 9.0	30 10	.0 .0	25 23	.0 1.8	37 25	5.5 9.0	20 10	1.8 .0	21 32	3.7 9.0	22 7	20.1 16.2	15 19	7.3 5.4	1 3	
	GENESEE	1785 1785		20.4 27.1	44 29	5.1 10.2	51 35	1.7 1.7	28 27	.0	51 47	.0 1.7	43 30	.0 3,4	51 35	.0 3.4	25 6	3.4 .0	10 40	.0 3.4	51 25	.0 .0	37 32	.0 3.4	43 18	13.8	28 42	1.7 3.4	13 6	
	GREENE	1985 1965		26.1 26.5	37 30	11.9 7.2	33 42	2.4	19 36	2.4 4.8	35 9	7.1	9 40	•0 2.4	51 41	.0	2 5 23	.0	37 40	2.4 7.2	35 15	2.4 4.8	14 - 8	.0 2.4	43 24	11.9 12.1	36 32	.0 .0	32 41	
	HAHILTON	1786 1785		100.0 20.1	4 44	20.0	17 50	.0 .0	43 36	20.0	1 47	.0	43 40	.0	51 52	.0 .0	25 23	.0	37 40	.0 .0	51 46	.0 .0	37 32	.0	43 42	80.0 20.1	1 8	.0	- 32 41	
•	HERKIKER	1986 1985	•	7.5 13.4	61 55	1.5 3.0	61 55	.0 1.5	43 30	1.5	43 47	0 1.5	43 33	.0 .0	51 52	.0 .C	25 23	.0 .0	37 40	1.5	42 46	1.5 .0	25 32	.0 .0	43 42	4.5 10.5	58 38	.0	32 41	
	JEFFERSON	1986 1985		48.6 29.4	15 27	26.5 12.4	8 29	4.4 2.3	6 16	12.1 7.9	2 2	2.2	2B 40	3.3 2.3	- 34 43	1.1	16 23	3.3	11 40	1.1 2.3	47 34	.0	37 32	1.1 2.3	38 26	21.0 14.7	13 23	.0	32 41	
	KINGS	1986 1985		121.6 92.8		75.2 59.0	3 -3	11.9 10.0]]	4.1 1,9	17 34	37.7 29.7	3 2	10.9 7.9	6 14	7.6	2 2	2.9 3.2	14 13	24.5 19.0	3	5.2 4.1	B 11	19.3 14.9	3 5	19.7 13.4	17 27	2.2 1.4	8 22	
	LEWIS	1985 1985		19.8 7.9	45 59	11.9	34 60	.0 .0	43 36	.0 .0	51 47	.0 .0	43 40	11.9	3 52	.0	25 23	.0 .0	37 40	.0 4.0	51 21	.0 .0	37 32	.0 4.0	43. 15	7.9 4.0	50 56	.0	32 41	
	LIVINGSTON	1986 1985		30.7 25.7	33 33	10.2 13.7	37 26	.0 3.4	43 8	5.1 5.1	12 7	1.7	36 40	3.4 3.4	32 33	.0	25 23	.0 1.7	37 28	1.7 5.1	39 17	.0 3.4	37 12	1.7 1.7	32 31	17.1 6.9	22 51	1.7	12 41	
	•																													

AF 1001

TABLE 10A

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON RATES FER 100,000 FOPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

							-							CONNETH													
									Vialent	Felonie	5									Drug Fe				Othe		Yout	€hi\$i
		Tota		Tot		Haaid		Sex Of	fenses	Rob	bery	Burg	•	Kea	pans	Ot	her	To	tal	Posse		Sa		Felo	nies	Offe	ende
COUNTY	Year	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank .	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	
MADISON	1985 1985	15.0 19.5	54 46	7.0 9.0	38 28	.0	43 36	6.0 4.5	10 11	.0 1.5	43 32	3.0 3.0	38 38	.0 .0	25 23	.0	37 40	1.5	41 46	.0	37 32	1.5	33 42	3.0 9.0	61 46	1.5 1.5	
HONROE .	1986 1985	57.9 42.1	10 16	28.0 22.7	4 10	3.8 2.6	8 12	3.0 2.6	27 27	7.7 7.4	6 8	6.3 5.5	18 22	1.9 2.0	8 10	5.4 2.7	4 19	6.5 2.7	18 30	2.3 .7	16 31	4.3 2.0	1B 27	21.9 15.5	11 21	1.4 1.3	
NONTGONERY	1985 1985	17.3	48 49	11.5	35 59	.0 .0	43 36	1.9	41 33	1.9	32 40	1.9	15 52	1.9 .0	6 23	3.8 .0	9 40	3.8 1.9	27 38	3.8 1.9	10 18	.0	43 42	1.9 11.4	62 34	.0 3.9	
NASSAU	1786 1985	47.7 36.9	18 19	25.5 20.3	9 15	2.0	23 14	1.1 1.2	47 41	10.4	5	6.2 8.6	13 16	1.9	7 14	2.0 1.3	22 33	8.5 6.3	14 15	2.0	20 27	6.4 5.1	12 13	12.8 9.1	32 45	. 1 1.2	
NEH YORK	1986 1985	278.1 229.7	1 1 ·	134.2 125.5	1	13.7 12.2	2	3.1 4.2	26 14	77.5 69.8	- 1 - 1	16.0 15.0	1	13.5 15.3	1	10.3 9.9	1 1	77.3 51.4	1 . 1	20.2 11.8	- 1 · 1	57.2 39.5	1	62.3 49.4	2 1	4.3 3.4	
HIAGARA	1986 1965	33.2 31.4	2B 24	12.0 11.5	32 31	.9 1.9	37 24	.9 .9	4B 45	4.6	18 15	4.1 3.2	28 36	.0 .5	25 20	1.4	30 32	2.8 2.8	32 29	1.4 .0	26 32	1.4 2.8	34 19	18.0 16.6	20 15	.5 .5	
ONEIDA	1986 1985	40.2 33.9	24 21	23.3 14.4	14 25	1.6 2.8	30 11	3.6 1.2	20 42	4.4 2.8	19 20	11.3 3.5	4 32	.8 1.2	21 13	1.6 2.8	27 16	2.4 3.2	34 26	.4 2.0	35 16	2.0 1.2	38 30	12.5 14.8	34 22	2.0 1.6	
DHONDAGA	1984 1985	53.3 52.9	12 11	27.2 27.0	7 7	3.9 2.1	7 10	3.9 5.6	18 6	7.3 6.9	9 9	8.2 9.5	12 8	1.5	12 12	2.4 3.4	1B 9	5.0 3.7	21 22	2.2 1.3	17 24	2.8 2.4	25 25	20.1 18.5	16	1.1 1.7	
DNTARIO	1986 1985	47.7 50.9	14 12	22.8 20.6	15 15	.0 1.1	43 33	10.8 4.3	3 12	2.2	29 40	2.2 9.7	41 7	2.2	5 23	5.4 5.4	8	8.7 10.8	13	1.1	33 6	7.6 5.4	10 11	18,4 17,3	19 13	.0 2.2	
ORANGE .	1986 1985	43.0 35.7	19 20	13.5 16.6	28 21	2.5 1.8	18 26	3.2 2.9	24 22	1.8 5.8	34 11	4.6 4.0	27 27	.0	25 22	1.4 1.8	29 26	16.0 9.0	7 11	8.9 1.3	4	7.1 4.7	11 14	12.8 11.2	31 37	.7	
ORLEANS	1986 1965	61.7 40.9	9 17	18.0 15.3	22 24	2.6	17 36	2.6 2.6	30 26	5.1 .0	14 40	5.1 12.8	21 2	.0.	25 23	2.6 .0	16 40	2.6 2.6	33 31	.0 .0	37 32	2.6 2.6	26 20	41.1 20.4	3 7	.0 2.6	
OSWE60	1786 1785	21.7 23.5	41 38	6.7 9.2	46 36	1.7	29 35	2.5 1.7	31 40	.8 .8	40 38	.8 5.0	50 25	.8 .0	19 23	.0 .8	37 38	.8 .0	49 44	.0 .B	37 29	.8 .0	40 42	14.2 12.6	25 27	.0 .8	
OTSEGO	1986 1965	27.0	36 54	6.8 5.1	43 48	3.4	9 36	.0 1.7	51 39	1.7 1.7	37 31	1.7	47 4B	.0	25 23	.0	37 40	6.8 5.1	16 18	1.7 3.4	24 13	5.1 1.7	14 32	11.8	37 58	1.7 1.7	

TABLE ION NEW COUNT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON KATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

																		STATE P		·									
									_		Vialent	Felonie	, i									Drug Fe				Othe			thful
				Tot	al -	Tat	al.	Hosi	cide	Sex Of	fenses	Robi	iery	Burgl	ar y	Keap	ons		her	Tot	al	Posse		Sal	e	Felc	r nies	Offe	enders
	COUNTY	Year		Rate		Rate		Rate			Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate			Rank		Rank
	PUTNAN	1986 1985		28.2 22,3	35 42	4.9 4.9	52 49	1.2	34 32	.0	51 47	2.5	26 23	1.2 1.2	49 49	.0 .0	25 23	.0 .0	37 40	14.7 11.1	8 7	14.7	2	.0 2.5	43 21	8.6 6.2	47 52	.0	32 41
	QUEENS	1786 1985		85.9 58.4	5 8	41.3 33.6	4	3.2 4.3	11 6	2.6 1.8	29 36	19.6 14.6	4	10.0 6.7	- 7 - 17	2.7 3.4	4 7	3.2 2.8	12 17	22.4 11.1	5 8	8.1 4.4	6 9	6.7	- 4 9	19.7 12.0	18 33	2.5 1.8	5 14
	RENSSELAER	1986 1985		21.1 23.1	43 40	7.3	41 28	2.0 2.0	25 20	.7 2.0	50 32	.7 2.0	42 27	2.0 2.8	44 40	.7 .7	23 15	1.3 3.3	31 11	1.3 1,3	44 42	.7 1.3	34 23	.7 .0	42 42	12.5 9.3	33 44	.0	32 41
	RICHHOND	1986 1985		39.5 30.8	26 25	20.8 -17.8	16 17	4.5 2.9	5 10	- 2.1 1.9	38 35	7.5 5.9	7 10	4.8 6.7	24 18	1.3	14 11	.5 .8	36 39	10.7	-11 35	1.3	27 30	9.3 1.3	8 35	7.5 8.6	51 47	.5 .3	27 40
B-93	ROCKLAND	1985 1985		42.5 31.7	20 23	16.5 11.7	24 30	.8 1.5	39 29	1.5	42 46	4.9 2.6	15 22	7.9 5.3	14 23	.8 .0	22 23	.0 1.5	35 29 -	13.5 8.3	9 13	1.i 1.5	31 21	12.4 6.8	6 8	12.0 10.2	35 41	.4 1.5	31 19
	ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985		18.6 18.5	46 49	4.4	54 47	.0	43 36	.9 .0	49 47	9.: 1.1	39 29	2.7 2.6	39 39	.0 .0	25 23	.0	37 37	1.B .0	37 45	1.8 .0	23 32	.0 .0	43 42	11.5 13.2	38 28	.9 .0	22 41
	SARATOSA	1985 1985		17.1 19.2	50 47	6.7 10.5	45 34	.6 .0	41 36	2.4 3.7	34 19	1.8 1.2	33 34	1.8 3.7	46 31	.0 .0	25 23	.0 1.9	37 23	3.0 3.1	31 27	.0 1.2	37 25	3.0 1.9	23 30	6.7 5.0	53 54	.6 .6	26 37
	SCHENECTADY	1986 1985		24.0 24.6	39 37	13.3 10.8	29 33	.7 .0	40 36	2.7 7.3	28	4.7 2.7	17 21	2.7	38 51	.0 .0	25 23	2.7 .ú	15 40	1.3 1.3	43 41	1.3	28 32	.0 1.3	43 36	9.3 11.3	44 36	.0 1.3	32 23
	SCHOHARIE	1986 1965	•	10.1 23.5	59 39	6.7 23.5	- 44 9	.0 6.7	43 4	3.4	22 20	.0	43 40	3.4 10.1	33 5	.0	25 23	.0 3.4	37 10	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	3.4	60 61	.0 .0	32 41
	SCHUYLER	1986 1985		23.0 17.1	40 52	17.2	23 60	.0	43 36	5.7 .0	11 47	5.7	13 40	5.7 .0	20 52	.0 .0	25 23	.0 .0	37 40	.0	51 46	.0	37 32	.0	43 42	5.7 17.1	56 14	.0	32 41
	SENECA	1986 1985		37.4 9.2	27 58	12.5	31 55	3.1 .0	12 36	6.2 .0	8 47	.0	43 40	3.1 3.1	35 37	.0 .0	25 23	.0 .0	37 40	3.1 .0	30 46	3.1 .0	11 32	.0 .0	43 42	21.8 6.1	12 53	.0	32 41
	STEUBEN	1986 1985		15.5 24.7	53 36	4.1 11.3	55 32	.0	43 36	2.1	39 15	.0	43 40	2.1 5.1	43 24	.0	25 23	.0 2.1	37 20	1.0	48 46	.0	37 32	1.0	39 42	9.3 10.3	45 39	1.0 3.1	20 B
	SUFFOLK	1986 1985	•	42.0	23 15	18.4 21.4	20 13	1.6	31 23	2.4	33 21	6.3 8.1	11	8.0 6.1	19	.5 .5	24 18	1.6	28 24	9.9 8.1	12 14	1.2	29 20	8.7 6.5	9 10	12.9 14.2	30 24	.8 1.1	23 32

TABLE 10A NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON RATES PER 100,000 PDFULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1995 AND 1986

Drug Felonies Violent Felonies Other Youthful Other Felonies Offenders Total Total Sex Offenses Robbery Burglary Weapons Total Hosicide Possession Sale -------......... -----------------------------COUNTY Rate Rank Year Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Bank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank Rate Rank ---------÷--____ --------------------------------5.8 37 1.5 13 16.0 9 11.7 23.3 SULLIVAN 1986 65.6 1 24.8 11 2.9 13 7.3 12 2.9 4.4 7 6 4.4 7 10 1.5 16 6 20 -23 25.1 2 1985 93.2 3 28.1 8 3.0 9 8.9 1 3.0 19 5.9 .0 -7.4 5 2 8.9 16.3 3 37.0 2 3.0 · 9 37 1986 25 23 3.9 26 3.9 19 17.6 21 .0 32 TIOGA 29.4 - 34 7.8 40 .0 43 .0 -51 2.0 31 3.9 29 .0 2.0 .0 1985 45 36 . 47 2.0 45 23 2.0 21 2.0 37 2.0 17 .0 42 17.9 11 .0 41 25.8 32 4.0 .0 .0 2.0 26 .0 1986 27 15 2.3 19 45 30 .0 43 41 2.3 TONPKINS 32.0 30 18.3 21 1.1 36 2.3 36 2.3 9.1 - 9 1.1 1.1 1.1 10.3 6 37 23 36 33 28 39 11.3 35 41 1985 41 9.1 36 4.5 10 .0 40 3.4 - 34 1.1 2.3 1.1 1.1 .0 22.7 .0 .0 22 ULSTER 1986 42.0 22 19.5 18 .6 42 4.3 16 4.9 ' 16 4.9 23 1.8 9 3.0 13 6.7 17 1.8 4.8 15 14.0 26 1,8 11 1985 48.2 13 20.8 14 1.8 25 4.3 13 3.1 18 7.9 13 .6 17 3.1 14 4.3 19 1.8 19 2.4 23 22.0 Ъ 1.2 26 ₿-94 WARREN 1986 18.0 47 42 .0 43 3,6 21 .0 43 3.6 31 .0 25 .0 37 .0 51 37 .0 . 43 10.8 40 .0 32 7.2 .0 1985 10.0 57 3.6 52 .0 36 .0 47 1.8 28 1.8 46 .0 23 ů. 40 3.6 23 .0 32 3.6 16 3.4 57 .0 41 WASHINGTON 1986 38 37 46 32 15.0 52 5.3 -50 1.8 27 .0 51 1.8 35 .0 51 .0 25 1.8 25 1.8 .0 1.8 - 31 8.8 .0 1985 5.3 61 3.5 53 .0 36 1.8 38 .0 40 1.8 47 .0 23 .0 40 .0 46 .0 32 .0 42 1.8 60 .0 41 WAYNE 1986 25.0 38 13.4 27 .0 43 2.3 37 1.1 38 9.1 10 .0 25 1.1 33 1.1 46 ۰. 37 1.1 36 10.2 42 .0 32 1985 23 35 18.3 50 5.7 46 .0 36 1.1 44 1.1 36 2.3 42 .0 1.1 3.4 24 ٥۵ 32 3.4 17 8.0 48 1.1 30 WESTCHESTER 1996 47.8 16 23.5 13 2.9 14 3.1 25 7.1 10 7.4 13 .8 20 2.2 20 7.4 15 2.9 12 4.5 17 16.5 23 .5 29 1985 37.9 5.2 5 16 11.9 10.7 3.1 2.9 15 12 3.2 5.2 12 16.5 17 1.2 29 64.0 4 4.0 -5 3 8 8.4 14 6 WYONING 1986 58 43 32 25 37 .0 32 17.2 49 2.5 .0 2.5 .0 43 .0 51 .0 .0 37 .0 51 .0 .0 43 14.7 24 1985 17.2 51 2.5 57 2.5 13 .0 47 .0 40 .0 52 .0 23 ٠Û 40 2.5 32 .0 32 2.5 22 12.3 30 .0 41 YATES 1986 33.0 29 18.9 19 43 32 .0 43 4.7 15 .0 9.4 A .0 25 4.7 5 4.7 22 ۰. 37 4.7 16 9.4 43 .0 1985 28 23 9.3 43 27.9 18.6 18 36 47 9.3 9.3 2 46 32 42 41 .0 .0. .0 40 9 .0 .0 .Ú .0 .0 NEWYDRKSTATE 1985 43.7 NA 84.0 NA 5.3 NA 3.3 NA 20.1 NA 8.1 NA 3.7 NA 3.2 NA 18.2 NA 5.0 NA 13.2 AA 20.6 NA 1.7 NA 1985 £0.7 NA 39.3 NA 4.9 hΑ 2.9 NA 17.2 AR 7.2 NA 3.B NA NA 3.4 NA 3.4 NA 18.5 NA NA 3.2 NA .0 1.6 NEWYORKCITY 1986 147.3 42.0 NA 80.4 NA 10.1 NA 4.0 NA NA 11.7 NA 7.6 NA 5.0 HA 35.3 NA 9.3 NA 26.0 NA 28.7 NÁ 2.9 NA 1985 115.4 NA NA NA HA NA NA 69.6 9.2 NA 3.2 34.8 NA 9.3 8.0 5.1 NA 23.0 NA 5.9 NA 17.2 NA 20.6 2.1 NA

NEW COURT COMMITMENTS TO STATE PRISON

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase by only two (2).

Source: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

TABLE 108 INMATES UNGER CUSIDDY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

													-	INHATES	UNDER	CUSTODY													
		-										ų 	iolent	Felonie								Drug Fel				Other		Youth	ful
- -				Tota	1	Tot		Hoei	cide	Sex Of	enses	Robb	ery	Burg	•	Weap		Oth		Tot	al	Posse		Sal		Felon		Offend	
COUNTY		Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank 	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY		1984 1985		239.2 250.5	4	128.4 131.0	4 .4	22.6 20.4	10 10	26.9 29.2	4	36.3 40.2	5 5	22.9 22.9	, 7 4	6.0 5.6	5 6	13.8 12.7	6 7	40.6 48.3	4 3	19.4	32	21.2 28.9	5 3	69.2 69.0	4 2	1.1 2.1	21 15
ALLEGANY		1986 1985		13.9 11.8	62 61	5.9 7.9	62 59	4.0 3.9	54 53	.0 2.0	60 55	.0 .0	. 55 52	.0 .0	56 54	.0 .0	• 32 32	2.0 2.0	45 43	.0	55 55	.0 .0	43 42	.0	49 51	5.9 2.0	60 62	2.0	12 19
BRONX		1986 1985		474.7 432.7	2	367.5 348.4	2	92.5 83.8	2 2	32.5 29.1	1 3	168.0 165.7	2	31.2	3	23.8 24.1	2	19.5 19.2	4	62.7 50.2	2 2	16.3 13.7	4	46.3 36.5	2 2	39.7 29.8	8 - 14	4.9 4.3	4
DRDOME		1986 1985		133.3	13 13	81.1 ; 72.0	11 12 -	14.2 11.8	25 29	16.6	13 9	21.3 21.2	12 13	21.8 18.4	8 8	. 1.4	24 28	5.7 6.6	22 20	10.0 5.2	20 29	4.7 2.4	15 27	5.2 2.8	22 31	42.2 32.0	. 6 12	.0 .5	39 33
CATTARAUGUS	۰. ۱.	1986 1985		29.3 31.6	57 54	15.2 15.2	57 53	2.3 1.2	59 59	4,7 5,9	51 40	5.9 8.2	28 24	1.2	55 54	.0	32 32	1.2	51 52	1.2 1.2	54 53	.0	43 42	1.2 1.2	46 47	12.9 14.1	48 46	.0 1.2	21 33
CAYUGA		1986 1985		102.6 67.6	19 32	47.6 28.8	26 41	3.8 1.3	55 58	16.3 8.8	14 26	7.5 7.5	26 27	11.3 6.3	25 40	.0 .0	32 32	8.8 5.0	11 28	5.0 3.8	31 39	.0 1.3	43 38	5.0 2.5	24 35	40.1 30.1	7 13	10.0 5.0	1
CHAUTAUQUA		1986 1985		37.7 43.7	51 49	23.1 22.2	48 48	14.7 12.5	23 24	2.1 1.4	55 58	1.4	53 45	2.8 4.9	`51 42	.0 .0	32 32	2.1	44 49	2.B 9.7	46 15	.0 .5.5	43 11	2.8 4.2	37 24	11.9	50 51	.0	33 42
CHENUNG		1986 1985		203.3 187.0	5 5	128.2 118.5	5 5	26.5 23.9	6 6	27.6 31.5	3	14.4 18.5	16 14	36.5 28.3	2 2	2.2 4.3	16 9	21.0 12.0	8 2	2.2 2.2	48 47	2.2 1.1	31 41	.0 1.1	4 9 48	69.6 52.0	3	3.3 4.3	7 6
CHENANGO		1986 1985	• • •	36.0 44.0	55 47	26.0 32.0	46 37	14.0 10.0	26 33	2.0 4.0	56 49	4.0 8.0	34 25	.0 .0	56 54	.0	32 32	6.0 10.0	18 10	4.0	37 33	2.0 2.0	32 31	2.0 2.0	42 42	6.0 8.0	59 56	.0	33 42
CLINTON		1986 1985		53.0 52.7	41 - 41	20.9 22.1	50 49	4.9	49 54	4.9 7.4	49 32	3.7 6.1	35 29	4.9 3.7	45 47	.0 .0	32 32	2.5 1.2	41 50	4.9 2.5	32 45	.0	43 42	4.9	25 37	27.1 27.0	20 20	.0 1.2	3 3
COLUHBIA		1986 1985		95.6 97.4	24 16	36.2 41.3	36 28	8.2 6.6	39 42	19.8 16.5	7 6	1.6 3.3	50 40	4.9 9,9	44 29	.0	32 32	1.6	47 29	39.5 33.0	- 5 6	14.8	: 5 9	24.7 26.4	4	19.8 23.1	34 26	.0	33 42
CORTLAND		1986 1985		75.9 78.1	28 27	29.5 29.5	40 39	4.2 4.2	53 52	.0 2.1	60 54	.0 .0	5 5 52	8.4 2.1	33 50	9.3 9.3	4	10.5 14.8	10 4	6.3 2.1	28 49	4.2 2.1	17 30	2.1	41 51	35.9 40.1	11 7	4.2	5 1
DELAVARE		1986 1985		23.4 17.1	59 60	12.7 10.7	60 58	4.2 2.1	52 55	6.4 6.4	40 37	2.1	46 52	.0 .0	56 54	.0	32 32	.0 2.1	53 41	.0 2.1	55 48	.0	43 42	.0 2.1	49 41	10.6 4.3	53 60	.0	33 42

TABLE 10B

INMATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND KATE RANKS BY OFFERSE TYPES AND COUNTY FCR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

									-				INNATES															
			Tota	3] -	Tota	 1]	Hosic		Sex Dff			olent	Felonies Burgl	агу	¥eap	ons	Othe	er	Tot		Drug Fel Posses	loaies	Sa	le	Other Feion	ies	Youth Offen	
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate	Rank	Rate		Rate		Rate		Rate		flate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rank	Rate	Rank
DUTCHESS	1984 1985		67.8 71.0	31 29	47.1	24 21	12.9 11.8	30 30	9.0 7.6	30 29	14.4 14.5	15 16	8.2 9.4	34 30	1.6	21 24	3.1 3.5	33 38	4.7 3.9	35 36	1.6	39 40	3.1 2.7	33 36	14.0 18.0	46 36	.0 .9	33 36
ERIE	1986 1985		99.6 95.5	21 17	69.2 65.8	16 16	21.9 20.8	12 8	11.4 9.4	23 23	15.3 15.8	14 15	- 13.4 12.6	18 21	1.5 1.2	23 23	5.8 6.1	20 23	7.2 3.9	26 37	3.6 2.7	20 23	3.5 1.2	32 46	22.4 24.8	27 23	.B. 1.0	26 34
ESSEX	1986 1985		44.1 38.5	46 52	22.0 16.5	49 52	2,8 ,0	57 60	8.3 5.5	31 41	11.0 1.1	21 17	.0	56 54	.0 .0	32 32	.0 .0	53 52	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51	22.0 19.2	29 33	.0 2.8	33 10
FRANKLIN	1986 1985		70.8 79.9	30 25	32.0 : 36.5	39 33	13.7 9.1	2B 34	6.8 6.9	38 35	4.6	32 36	.0 6.9	56 37	2.3 2.3	15 16	4.6	25 19	9.1 6.9	21 23	6.8 4.6	19 16	2.3	40 40	29.7 34.3	17 9	.0 2.3	33 12
CP FULTON	1986 1985		89.7 83.0	26 22	38.5 39.7	34 31	20.1 18.0	. 15 12	7.3 7.2	34 33	.0	55 52	11.0 12.5	26 20	.0 .0	32 32	.0 1.8	53 45	11.0	18 16	1.8 1.8	36 34	9.2 7.2	15 14	31.1 28.9	16 15	9.2 5.4	2 3
GENESEE	1985 1985		56.1 64.3	39 34	28.9 40.6	41 29	10.2 8.5	35 37	1.7 3.4	58 53	1.7 B.5	49 23	6.8 10.2	38 27	3. 4 5.1	10 7	5.1 5.1	24 25	- 3.4 5.1	43 30	.0	43 42	3.4 5.1	34 20	20.4 15.2	33 42	3.4 3.4	6 B
GREENE	1986 1985		66.5 55.5	32 38	40.4 33.8	29 35	14.3 12.1	24 27	9.5 9.6	28 22	7.1 4.8	27 34	7.1 7.2	37 35	.0	32 32	2.4	43 52	7.1 7.2	27 22	4.8 4.8	14 14	2.4	39 38	19.0 14.5	36 45	.0	33 42
HAMILTON	1986 1985		160.0 80.2	7 24	60.0 40.1	20 30	40.0 40.1	4 4	20.U .0	5 59	.0	55 52	.0	56 54	.0	32 32	.0 .0	53 52	.0 .0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0 .0	49 51	100.0 40.1	- 2 5	.0 .0	33 42
HERKINER	1986 1985	•	22.4 26.9	61 55	13,5 13,4	59 55	7.5 6.0	40 45	1.5	59 57	1.5	52 42	1.5 1.5	54 53	.0 .0	32 32	1.5 1.5	48 46	1.5	53 55	1.5	40 42	.0	49 51	7.5 13.4	58 48	.0 .0	33 42
JEFFERSON	1786 1785		82.8 70.0	27 30	48.6 38.4	25 32	13.2	29 25	19.9 12.4	6 13	3,3 1,1	45 51	6.6 10.2	39 28	1.1 .0	28 32	4.4 2.3	30 40	.0 3.4	55 42	.0 .0	43 42	.0 3.4	49 27	34.2 27.1	13 19	.0 1.1	22 22
KINGS	1986 1985		320.0 283.3	3	238.2 218.1	3	74.4 66.4	3	13.7 11.8	16 14	102.7 95.9	2 3	22.9 19.7	6 7	15.7 15.6	3	B.6 8.7	12 11	46.0 34.7	3 4	10.0 7.6	8	35.0 27.2	3 4	33.1 28.2	14 16	2.7 2.3	9 13
LEWIS	1985 1985		27.7	58 62	11.9	61 62	.0	60 60	.0 .0	60 59	.0	55 52	11.9	22 54	.0	32 32	.0 .0	53 52	4.0 4.0	38 34	.0 .0	43 42	4.0 4.0	28 25	11.9	51 - 61	.0 .0	33 42
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985		59.7 54.9	37 39	35.8 29.1	37 40	8.5 6.6	36 38	17.1 8.6	10 28	1.7	48 52	8.5 10,3	32 25	.0	32 32	.0 1.7	53 46	8.5 8.6	23 17	3.4 3.4	22 18	5.1 5.1	23 19	15.4 17.1	44 37	.0	33 42

TABLE 108 INMATES UNDER CUSIODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPES AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		4 - A					÷.,						UNDER	CUSTODY		-			÷.,							÷ .	1.1	· · ·
								e		۷	iolent	Felonie		*				 		Drug Fel	lonies			Ðt	her		Youthf	
COUNTY	Year	Tota Rate	****	Rate		Hosi Rate		Sex Di Rate		Robb Rate		Burg Rate		¥eap Rate		Oth Rate		Tota Rate		Posse: Rate		Sa Rate	1.1.1		lonie te R		Offend Rate	
													~				****							-				
NADISON	1785 1985	37.5 40.5	52 50	27.0 24.0	43 45	4.5 4.5	51 59	10.5 10.5	24 17	1.5 3.0	51 41	7.5 4.5	35 43	.0	32 32	3.0 1.5	39 47	1.5 1.5	52 51	0	43 42	1.5 1.5	45 45	7	.5 .5	57 47	1.5 1.5	16 25
HONRDE	1986 1905	139.8 129.5	12 10	93.7 87.8	9 .9	22.2 20.3	11	12.7 10.8	19 16	26.8 28.2	9 7	17.1	13 12	4.3 4.1	7 10	10.7 8.2	9 13	10.1 6.7	19 26	3.8 2.3	19 28	6.3 4.4	18 22	34 33		12 11	1.4	18 23
MONTGONERY	1986 1985	38.4 45.7	50 45	28.8 22.8	42 47	11.5 11.4	33 31	3.8 3.8	52 51	1.9 1.9	47 47	3.8 3.8	47 45	1.9	20 32	5.8 1.9	21 44	3.8 3.8	39 38	3.8 3.6	19 17	.0	49 51	5 13	. Ø . J	61 49	.0 5.7	33 2
HASSAU	1986 1985	114.1 98.9	18 15	77.2 : 69.0	12 13	15.0 13.6	22 23	5.4 5.0	46 44	31.1 27.8	- 7 9	19.6 17.7	10 10	2.6 1.7	12 16	4.5 3.2	29 35	17.2 13.5	13 13	3.0 2.4	29 25	. 14.1 11.1	11 10	18 14		37 43	1.J 1.5	19 24
B NEW YORK 97	1988 1985	610.6	1	429.3 406.5	- 1	95.7 86.0	i i	16.6 15.5	12	220.0 207.1	1	40.0 38.6	1.	33.0 32.2	1. 1	24,0 23.1	- 1	146.7 117.0	1 1	34.9 26.0	1	111.8 91.0	1	102 90		1 1	5.7 4.9	3 5
NIAGARA	1986 1985	92.7 91.0	25 19	54.4	23 18	18.4	17 17	6.9 5.7	37 21	13.4 10.2	19 21	10.1	29 34	1.4 2.8	25 , 14	4.1 5.1	32 25	5.1 5.5	30 27	· 1.8 2.8	35 22	3.2 2.8	35 32	32. 33.		15 10	.9 .5	24 40
DNEIDA	1986 1985	97.8 84.2	23 -21	64.4 51.1	18 19	16.1 15.2	20 21	10.1 7.6	25 31	- 11.3 9.2	20 22	18.5 10.8	11 24	2.0 1.6	18 19 -	5.4 5.8-	17 19	8.5 7.6	24 20	3.2 3.2	27 20	5.2 1.1	21 23	22 23		26 25	2.4	10 17
ONONDAGA	1986 1965	150.5 144.2	9 8	104.3 96.1	8 8	20.7 15.5	14 18	19.2 18.5	9 . 5	28.5 27.9	8	24.8 22.8	4	4.1 3.9	8 11	6.9 7.5	15 15	8.6 8.0	22 18	3.2 2.4	26 25	5.4 5.6	20 15	36 38.		10 8	1.5 1.9	15 L9
ONTARIO	1986 1965	103.0 90.9	18 20	56.4 49.8	21 20	6.5 8.7	47 35	19 .5 13.0	8 10	4.3 4.3	33 37	11.9 13.0	21 18	2.2 2.2	17 17	11.9 9.7	7 12	16.3 10.8	14 14	5.4 5.4	12 12	10.B 5.4	13 17	29 28		18 17	1.1 2.2	20 14
DRANGE	1986 1985	114.3 106.8	15 14	65.0 68.1	17 15	17.7 17.7	19 15	12,4 10,4	21 18	18.1 23.4	13 11	12.1 10.8	20 23	.4	31 30	4.3 5.0	31 27	27.7 19.8	7 B	12.1 7.2	6 7	15.6 12.6	10 8	20 20		31 30	.7 .4	29 41
ORLEANS	1986 1985	144.0 120.1	- 11 - 11	72.0 63.9	14 17	23.1 17.9	9 13	5.1 7.7	47 30	7.7 5.1	25 33	10.3 12.8	27 19	2.6	13 15	23.1 17.9	2 3	7.7 7.7	25 19	5.1 5.1	13 13	2.6 2.6	38 34	- 64 46		5 5	.0 2.6	33 11
OSXEGO .	1986 1985	51.0 48.7	42 43	26.8 26.0	45 44	6.7 5.0	46 49	5.9 4.2	44 49	5.9 7.6	29 26	5.9 6.7	41 38	.0 .0	32 32	2.5 2.5	40 37	3.3 2.5	44 44	-1.7 1.7	38 35	1.7	44 50	- 20 19.		32 32	.0 .8	33 35
OTSEGO	1986 1985	47.3 43.8	45 48	16,9 15,2	56 54	6.8 5.1	44 -48	5.1 £.7	48 36	3.4 1.7	43 49	1.7 1.7	53 52	.0 .0	32 32	.0	53 52	11.0 6.7	17 25	3.4 3.4	24 19	8.4 3.4	17 28	16 20		39 31	1.7 1.7	14 22

TABLE 108

8-98

08 INNATES UNDER CUSTODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND RATE RANKS BY DEFENSE TYPES AND COUNTY FOR 1995 AND 1985 - Continued

													Felonie		*****		****		·		Drug Fel			·			*	
			-												·····										Gth		Youth	
				tal 	Tot	al 	Hoat	cide	Sex Of	fenses	Robb	ery 	Burg	•	Neapa		Gthi 		Tota		Posses	55100	5a]e 		oni 25	Offen	
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank.	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	hate 	Rank 	Rate	Rant	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rant	Rate 		Rate	e Rank	Rate	
PUTNAN	1986 1985		63.7 51.9		23.3 23.5	47 46	12.J 11.1	31 32	2.5 3.7	54 52	3.7 2.5	36 44	3.7 3.7	49 46	.0	- 32 32	1.2 2.5	49 39	27.0 22.3	8 7	20.B 12.4	2 4	6.1 9.9	19 13	13.		.0 .0	
DUEENG	1986 1985		187.1 159.1		125.1 117.4	6 6	29.0 27.7	- 5 5	9.4 8.6	29 27	52.9 48.8	4 4	20.4 18.2	9 3	5.4 4.5	6 4	0.1 7.7	13 14	32.0 18.3	6 10	11.5 6.8	7 B	20.4 11.5	6 9	26.		3.1 2.0	8 16
RENSSELAER	1984 1985		60.6 58.1	36 35	40.2 41.9	31 26	18.5 17.8	16 14	2.6	53 50	5,3 5.9	31 32	7.3 8.6	33 33	.7 1.3	30 22	5.9 7.3	19 17	2.6	47 52	2.0	33 37	.7 .0	48 51	17. 11.		.0	33 42
RICHHOND	1786 1985		104.6 95.2		76.9 : 75.2	- 13 11	21.6	13 16	7.2 5.9	35 39	25.9 26.7	10 10	14.9 15.5	15 13	2.7 3.2	11 12	4.5 6.2	28 22	12.3 3.7	16 40	2.4	30 36	9.9 2.4	14 39	14, I 15, I		.5 .5	
ROCKLAND	1985 1985		98.5 81.1	22 23	54.9 47.5	22 23	13.9	27 22	6.4 4.5	39 47	13.5 10.2	17 20	18.1 13.6	12 15	1.1 1.5	27 20	1.9 3.4	46 34	21,8 13.6	11 12	3.4 2.6	23 24	18.4 10.9	8 11	21. 18.9		.8 ••• 1.1	28 32
ST, LAWRENCE	1985 1985		39.9 38.9		20.4	51 51	6.2 6.2	40 43	6.2 5.3	42 43	3.5 2.6	39 43	3.5 4.4	49 44	.0 .9	32 29	.9 .0	52 52	1.B .9	50 54	1.8	37 42	.0	49 49	16.1 16.1		.9 1.8	25 21
SARATOGA	1986 1985		43.9 45.8		26.0 30.3	44 38	- 7 .3 7.4	41 36	9.8 9.9	27 20	3.7	37 46	4.9 8.7	46 32	.0 .0	32 32	1.2 2.5	50 38	4.9 4.3	33 32-	1.2 1.2	42 39	3.7 3.1	30 29	11.4 10.5		.6 .6	31 37
CHENECTADY	1986 1985		57.9 54.5		39.3 34.5	32 34	2.7 1.3	58 57	14.0 12.6	15 11	9.3 10.6	- 24 10	6.0 6.6	40 39	2.0 1.3	19 21	5.3 2.0	23 42	2.0 2.0	49 50	1.3	41 ₹2	.7 2.0	47 43	16.0		.7 1.3	30 27
SCHOHARIE	1985 1985	•	50.3 57.0		40.3	30 24	6.7 6.7	45 41	16.8 10.1	11 19	3.4 6.7	44 28	10.1 13.4	30 16	.0 .0	32 32	3.4 10.1	37 9	.0	55 55	.0	43 42	.0	49 51	10.1		.0	33 42
SCHUYLER	1986 1985		23.0 22.8		17.2 5.7	55 60	.0 - 5.7	50 46	5.7 .0	45 59	5.7 .0	30 52	5.7	42 54	.0 .0	32 32	.0 .0	53 52	.0	55 55	.0 .0	43 42	.0	49 51	5.7 17.1		.0 .0	33 42
SENECA	1984 1985		37.4 18.3		18.7 12.2	54 57	9.3 6.1	36 44	\$.2 .0	41 59	.0	55 52	3.1 3.1	50 49	.0 .0	32 32	.0 3.1	53 36	3.1 .0	45 55	3.1 .0	28 42	.0 .0	49 51	15.4		.0 .0	33 42
ITEUBEN	1986 1985		54.7 69.9		39.2 45.2	33 25	11.4	34 26	13.4 14.4	18 8	1.0 2.1	54 46	9.3 10.3	31 26	.0 .0	32 32	4.1 6.2	33 21	4.1 3.1	36 13	.0 .0	43 42	4.1	27 30	10.1 18.5		1.0 3.1	27
SUFFOLX	1985 1985		116.8		70.8 68.3	15 14	15,9 15,3	21 19	9.8 9.1	26 25	23.1 22.2	11 12	15.9 16.2	14 11	1.1	29 26	5.0 4.3	25 31	22.0	10 9	3.4	25 21	18.7	. 1 7	23,1		1.0 1.3	23

INHATES UNDER CUSIODY IN STATE PRISON ON DECEMBER 31 RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION AND KATE RANKS BY OFFENSE TYPES AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued TABLE 10B

												INMATES	UNDER								-						
	•		-							V		Felonie	5							Ocug Fe				 Oth		Youth	
			Total	To	lal	Kosi		Sex Of	fenses	Robb	•	Burg	•	Weapo		Oth		Tot	~ -	Posse		Sa		Fel	onies	Difen	
	COUNTY	Year	Rate Ra		Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Raok	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		e Rank	Rate	Rank
	SULLIVAN	1985	150.1 176.0	6 87.5 6 84.3		24,8 20,7	8	27.7 23.7	2 4	11.7 10.4	19 19	10.2 13.3	28 17	1.5 3.0	22 13	11.7	8 6 -	23.3 34.0	9 5	7.3 8.9	9 5	16.0 25.1	9 6	37. 56.		1.5	
	TIDGA	1986 1985		43.1 8 43.7	27 27	11.8 11.9	32 28	5.9 6.0	43 38	9.8 6.0	22 31	11.0 13.9	23 14	.0 .0	32 32	3.9 6.0	34 24	5.9 4.0	29 35	2.0 2.0	34 32	3.9 2.0	29 44	23. 25.		.0 .0	
	TONPKINS	1986 1985		13 41.1 17 28.4	28 42	6.8 6.8	42 40	12.6 12.5	20 12	3.4 1.1	41 50	13.7 5.7	17 41	1.1	26 27	3.4 1.1	36 51	3.4 6.8	41 24	3.4 2.3	21 29	.0 4.5	49 21	19. 21.		2.3	
	17.STER	1984 1985		0 60.3 6 47.6	19 22	17,1 15,3	19 20	11.6 9.2	22 24	9.7 6.1	23 30	12.0 12.2	19 22	- 2.4	14 31	6.7 4.3	16 32	12.8 7.3	15 21	4.3 1.8	16 33	8.5 5.5	16 16	25. 22.		1.8 1.8	
66-8	WARREN	1986 1985		17.8 17.8	52 50	3.6 3.6	56 55	7.2 5,4	3û 42	3.6 3.6	38 38	5.4	43 36	.D .0	32 32	.0 .0	53 52	- 3,6. 5,1	40 28	.0	43 42	3.6 5.4	31 18	16. 10.		.0 .0	
	VASHINGTON	1984 1985		ia 19.3 ia 12.3	53 56	8.8 5.3	37 47	1.8 1.8	57 56	3.5 3.5	40 39	1.8 1.8	52 51	.0	32 32	3.5 .0	35 52	1.8 .0	51 55	.0	43 42	1.8 .0	43 51	t0. 5.		.0	33 42
	WAYNE	1986 1965		14 34.1 16 27.4	38 43	6.9	43 39	8.0 8.9	32 34	3.4 4.6	42 35	11.4 3.4	24 48	10 1.1	32 25	4.5 4.8	27 30	3.4 3.4	42 41	.0	43 42	3.4 3.4	33 26	12. 14.		.0 .0	33 42
	KESTCHESTER	1986 1985	154.2 144.1	B 106.7 9 101.2	77	26.3 22.6	7 7	- 13.4 11.4	17	31.7 32.9	6	23.9 22.1	5	3.6	9 8	7.8 7.5	14 16	19.5 16.6	12 11	6.8 6.0	11 LQ	12.6 10.6	12 12	27. 25.		.8 1.3	27 29
	hyoning	1986 1985		14.7 19 4.9	5B 61	4.9	50 60	7.4 4.9	33 45	.0	55 52	.0 .0	56 54	.0	32 32	2.5 .0	42 52	.0 2,5	55 45	.0 .0	43 42	.0 2.5	49 36	22. 9.		.0	33 42
	YATES	1986 1965		37.7 3 32.6	35 36	.0 4.7	60 50	4.7 4.7	50 46	.0 .0	55 52	14.2 9.3	16 31	.0 .0	32 32	18.9 14.0	5 5	4.7 4.7	34 31	.0 4.7	43 15	4.7	26 51	23. 27.		.0	33 42
	NENYDRKSTATE	1986 1985		IA 146.4 IA 136.8	NA	37.1 33.4	NA NA	12.8 11.4	NA NA	60.0 57.2	NA - NA	19.6	NA Na	5.2 1.5	NA 'NA	8.8 9.4	NA	33.8 26.1	NA NA	9.0 5.8	NA NA	24.8 19.4	XA Na	34. 30.		2.1 2.0	KA NA
	NEWYORKCITY	1986 1985		IA 260.1 IA 243.6	NA Na	67.0 69.4	NA NA	15.9	NA NA	120.1 113.9	na Na	26.7 -24.1	NA Na	17.1 18.1	NA NA	1 3.2 12.9	NA NA	63.8 48.1	NA NA	16.1 11.8	NA NA	47.7 36.3	NA NA	45. 38.		3.6 3.0	NA NA

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

Source: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

			PARO	LE SUPERVI	SION CA	SES					R	EVOKED A	ND RETURNED	PAROLEES	44	
		Relea: To Par		Undi Supervi During	ision Year#		Unde Supervi On 12	sion	Tota	1	Rul Violat		Ker Arres		New Fe Convict	tions
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ALBANY	1986 1985	84.5 70.8	4	229.0 195.5	4 4	•	166.9 142.3	4	 . 84.7 92.1	27 19	15.4 18.0	25 23	49.3 55.9	22 12	20.0 25.2	
ALLEGANY	1986 1985	11.9 11.8	54 48	33.7 51.1	52 39		15.8 21.6	57 50	,0 38,5	52 42	.0	36 32	.0 38.5	49 25	.0 .0	
BRONI	1986 1985	191.8 181.3	1 1 1	549.8 535.8	1		384.6 361.1	1 1	112.3 125.7	10 9	38.5 40.3	5 6	31.1 36.1	38 27	42.7 50.3	
BROONE	1986 1985	23.7 39.1	34 14	90.6 95.6	22 20		56.9 66.4	26 20	151.8 123.2	-4 11	15.7 24.6	24 15	47.1	24 8	89.0 29.6	
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	15.2 11.7	50 49	32.B 35.2	53 51		27.0 17.6	50 54	.0 33.3	52 44	.0 .0	36 32	.0 .0	49 43	.0	
CAYUGA	1984 1985	21.3 14.3	41 42	56.3 55.1	39 35		40.1 35.1	36 37	88.9 22.7	25 48	.0	36 32	66.7 22.7	11 37	22.2	
CHAUTAUQUA	. 1984 1985	20.3	44 36	52.4 43.7	42 44		36.3 31.9	42 44	26.7 47.6	51 41	.0	36 32	.Û 31.7	49 30	26.7 15.9	
CHENUNG	1786 1785	63.0 56.5	6	144.B 123.9	9 10		91.7 50.4	10 11	145.0 157.9	6	7.6	34 24	91.6 96.5	5 2	45.8 43.9	
CHENANGO	1986 1985	8.0 16.0	59 43	40.0 36.0	51 50		26.0 32.0	51 42	150.0 55.6	5 -35	.0	36 32	50.0 55.6	20 13	100.0	
CLINTON	1986 1985	29.6 29.4	24 24	73.9 67.4	29 28		48.0 44.1	30 28	· 83.3 72.7	29 27	16.7 36.4	23 9	50.0 .0	20 43	16.7 36.4	
COLUMBIA	1786 1985	47.8	11 33	82.4 61.1	26 31		62.6 34.7	21 38	40.0 54.1	48 37	20.0	21 32	20.0 54.1	47 16	.0	

(Continued on next page.)

B-100

TABLE 11B REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEE RATES PER 1000 PAROLEES

			PARD	LE SUPERVIS	ION CASE	5					•	REVOKED A	AND RETURNED	PAROLE	ES ff	
		Relea To Pa		Unde Supervi During	sion	Unde Supervi On 12	sion		Tota	1	Rul Violat		Ne: Arres		New Fe Convict	
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Ranl
CORTLAND	1984 1985	29.5 25.3	25 30	88.6 69.6	24 26	61.2 59.1	24 24		47.6 60.6	47 33	23.8	17 32	23.B 30.3	46 32	.0 30.3	
DELAWARE	1986 1985	6.4 6.4	60 58	17.0 17.1	61 59	17.0	58 59		.0 .0	52 51	.0 .0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0	
DUTCHESS	1986 1985	33.9 41.9	17 12	119.5 121.5	12 11	80.2 86.2	14 10		01.4 129.0	30 30	32.6 35.5	10 10	26.1 58.1	44 11	22.8 35.5	3(14
ERIE	1984 1985	31.8 34.5	20 17	94.7 100.6	19 17	66.0 62.5	20 22		98.5 116.7	22 12	8.8 19.4	32 22	60.2 80.9	13 5	29.5 16.4	
ESSEX	1986 1985	27.5	28 51	60.6 46.7	35 42	52.3 33.0	29 41		.0 .0	52 51	.0 .0	36 32	.0 .0	49 43	.0	3 <i>1</i> 3:
FRANKLIN	1786 1785	20.5 22.8	42 34	41.1 45.7	48 43	32.0 20.6	· 48 51		166.7	2 51	55.6 .0	3 32	111.1 .0	1 43	.0 .0	
FULTON	1986 1985	27.5 30.7	29 23	71.4 63.2	29 30	47.6 43.3	31 29		76.9 28.6	35 46	.0 .0	35 32	76.9	8 43	.0 28.6	
GENESEE	1986 1985	25.5 15.2	32 44	62.9 57.6	33 34	45.9	32 35	4	108.1 264.7	- 14 1	27.0 147.1	12 2	81.1 88.2	7 4	.0 29.4	31 24
GREENE	1786 1785	16.6 9.6		40.4 38.6	50 46	35.6 24.1	43 48		.0 62.5	52 31	.0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0 62.5	
HAMILTON	1986 1985	20.0 .0		20.0	59 62	20.0	54 62		.0	52 51	.0 .0	36 32	.0	49 43	.0 .0	
HERKIMER	1986 1985	7.0 5.0		26.9	56 60	19.4 17.9	57 53		111.1	11 51	55.6	3 32	55.6	16 43	.0	

TABLE 11A PAROLE SUFERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION

TABLE 118 REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEE RATES PER 1000 PAROLEES

				PAROLI	SUPERVIS	SION CAS	ES	· .			F	EVOKED A	ND RETURNED	PAROLEE	5 -==	•
			Releas To Par		Unda Supervi During	ision	Und Superv Dn 1	ision	Tota	1	Rul Violat		Nex Arres		New F Convic	•
COUNTY	Year		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rani
JEFFERSON	1986 1985		22.1 28.2	38 26	59.6 54.2	36 37	37.5 38.4	40 33	111.1	11 31	.0 20.8	34 20	37.0 41.7	31 24	74.1 .0	
KINGS	1986 1985		117.9 104.1	3 2	319.4 300.7	3	218.9 202.4	. 3 3	104.7 104.7	20 15	33.7 33.8	7 12	26.4 28.3	43 33	44.6 42.8	
LEHIS	1986 1985		11.9 4.0	55 61	19.8 11.7	60 61	15.B 7.9		.0	52 51		36 32	.0	49 43	0. 0.	
LIVINGSTON	1986 1985		27.3 27.4	30 28	64.8 58.3	32 33	44.4 37.7	34 34	78.9 .0	34 51	26.J .0	13 32	52.6	19 43	0. .0	
HADISON	1986 1985		12.0 12.0	53 47	28.5 30.0	55 54	19.5 16.5		105.3	18 51	.0	36 32	105.3 [*]	2 43	.0 .0	
NDNROE	1986 1985 -		61.8 60.9	7	171.5 155.6	7	123.3 109.4		88.0 104.8	26 14	13.3 24.6	28 16	56,4 59,3	15 10	18.3 21.0	
MONTGONERY	1986 1985		23.0 15.2	36 45	46.1 36.2	44 49	36.5 22.8	41 49	.0 52.6	52 38	.0	36 32	.0 52.6	49 17	.0 .0	
NASSAU	1986 1985		30.5 26.4	22 29	86.0 74.1	25 24	61.2 56.0		114.9 96.3	- B 21	26.1 23.3	14 17	40.9 30.4	27 31	47.9 42.6	
NEN YORK	1986 1965		129.7 112.8	2 2	335.2 307.2		225.4 205.2	2 2	143.1 135.5	7 6	57.1 44.9	2 5	31.5 37.4	36 26	54.5 53.2	
NIAGARA	1986 1985	1.	45.6	12 11	125.9 121.0	11 12	77.5 B0.4	16 12	76.9 54.9	35 30	11.0	30 30	40.3 45.8	28 22	25.6 7.5	
ONEIDA	1986 1995		30.2 31.5	23 21	80.9 71.8		56.3 50.3		84.6 130.9	29 5	5.0 33.3	- 35 13	54.7 55.6	18 13	24.9	

TABLE 11A PAROLE SUPERVISION KATES PER 100,000 POPULATION

TABLE IIB REVOLED AND RETURNED PAROLEE RATES FER 1000 PAROLEES

			PARD	LE SUPERVIS	SIDN CAS	ES					ł	REVOKED A	ND RETURNE	D PAROLEE	5 11	
		Relea To Pa		Unde Supervi During	sion Year≢	Und Superv On 1	sion		Tota	1	Rul Violat		Ner Arres		New Fr Convict	
COUNTY	Year 	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank		Rate	Rank	ƙate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ONONDAGA	1986 1985	49.4 47.9	10 10	137.7 142.1	10 8	100.6 87.9	9 7		79.9 130.1	32 7	25.1 45.4	15 4	28.2 49.9	41 19	26.6 34.8	
ONTARIO	1986 1985	31.5 28.1	21 27	68.3 67.1	30 29	55.3 36.8	28 36		79,4 9 ^{- 96,8}	33 20	.0 16.1	36 25	31.7 48.4	35 20	47.6	
ORANGE	1996 1985	29.5	26 31	89.5 91.9	23 22	58.9 60.9	25 23		75 .4 66.7	37 29	7.9 19.6	33 21	35.7 23.5	34 36	31.7 23.5	
ORLEANS	1986 1985	33.4 33.2	1B 20	105.4 92.0	17 21	72.0 71.5	18 16		73.2 27.8	39 47	24.4	16 32	.0 27.8	49 34	48.B .0	
ÚSWEGO -	1986 1985	21.7 18.5	39 40	53.5 47.8	41 41	41.8	35 43		31.3 .0	50 51	.0	36 32	31.3	37 43	0. V.	
OTSEGO .	1986 1985	8.4 6.7	58 57	23.6 32.0	57 52	20.3 15.2	53 59		71.4 52.6	40 38	.0 .9	36 32	71.4 52.6	9 17	.0	
PUTNAM	1986 1985	15.9 11.1	48 50	40.4 38.3	49 47	35.5 24.7	44 47		60,6 32,3	44 45	.0 .0	36 32	30.3 .D	39 43	30. <u>3</u> 32.3	
QUEENS	1986 1985	68.2 64.8	5 6	194.6 183.0	5 5	136.2 125.8	5		107.1 115.1	15 13	34. 2 34.5	ъ В	28.9 35.9	40 28	44.1 42.7	
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	32.3 28.4	19 25	99.5 99.8	18 18	67.9 67.4	19 19		106.0 125.8	16 10	13.2 13.2	27 28	46.4	25 6	46.4	
RICHHOND	1786 1785	40.3 35.3	13 15	114.3 110.5	14 13	82.2 74.1	12 14	· · ,	67.8 94,4	42 22	11.7 21.8	29 19	25.7 21.8	45 38	30.4 50.8	
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	16.9	46 38	56.4	38 38	39.5 39.6	37 30		80.0 55.9	31 34	33.3 14.0	8 26	26.7 21.0	42 39	20.0	

TABLE 11A PAROLE, SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION

TABLE 11B REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEE RATES PER 1000 PAROLEES

		PAROL	E SUPERVISION CASES					R	EVOKED A	ND RETURNED	PAROLEE	5 **	
		Releases To Parole	Under Supervision During Year*	Under Supervision On 12/31		Total		Rul Viclat		Nex Arres		New Fe Convict	
COUNTY	Year	Rate Rank	Rate Rank	Rate Rank		Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	20.4 43 22.0 37	67.4 31 60.0 32	45.2 33 46.7 27	n di San s	39.5 73.5	49 26	13.2	28 32	.0 14.7	49 42	26.3 58.8	
SARATOGA	1986 1985	4.9 62 5.0 60	12.8 62 17.9 58	8.5 62 8.0 60		190.5 103.4	1 15	.0 34.5	36 11	95.2 69.0	4 8	95.2 .0	
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	26.0 31 33.9 19	94.5 20 97.0 19	61.9 22 68.4 18		105.6 102.7	17 18	- 21.1 6.8	20 31	42.3 75.3	26 7	42.3 20.5	
SCHOHARIE	1986 1985	13.4 52 13.4 46	30.2 54 20.1 56	23.5 52 16.8 55		.0 166.7	52 3	.0 165.7	36 1	.0 .0	49 43	0. 0.	
SCHUYLER	1986 1965	28.7 27 34.3 19	92.0 21 102.8 16	80.5 13 62.8 21		62.5 55.6	43 35	.0	36 32	62.5 55.6	12 13	0. 0.	
SENECA	1984 1985	57.2 B 82.4 4	190.0 6 167.9 6	127.7 6 128.2 5		49.2	46 51	32.B .0	9 32	16.4 .0	4B 43	.0 .0	
STEUBEN	1986 1985	15.5 49 22.6 35	54.7 40 54.4 36	35.1 46 39.0 31		113.2 16.9	9 47	18.9	22 32	56.6 18.9	14 40	37.7 .0	18 35
SUFFOLK	1986 1985	37.8 15 31.1 22	106.5 16 83.5 23	74.6 17 68.6 17		104.5 81.1	21 25	22.9 13.7	19 27	36.5 24.6	33 35	45.1 42.8	11 8
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	51.0 9 50.3 9	147.2 B 127.2 9	107.9 8 97.6 B		108.9 93.0	13 23	29.7 11.6	11 29	39.6 46.5	29 21	39.6 34.9	16 15
TIDGA	1986 1985	23.5 35 9.9 52	56.9 37 37,7 48	39.2 38 33.7 4 0	•	69.0 52.6	41 3B	.0 52.6	36 3	. 69.0 .0	10 43	.0	
TOMPKINS	1786 1785	24.0 33 9.1 54	43.4 4 6 30.6 53	35.4 45 19.3 52		105.3 185.2	19 2	.0 37.0	36 7	105,3 148.1	2 1	.0 .0	
						······································							

(Continued on next page.)

B-104

TABLE 11A . PAROLE SUPERVISION RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION

TABLE 11B REVOKED AND RETURNED PAROLEE RATES PER 1000 PAROLEES

•			PARO	LE SUPERVIS	SION CASE	5			·		F	EVOKED A	ND RETURNES	PAROLE	ES ##	
		Releas To Par		Unde Supervi During	sion	Unde Supervi Dn 12	sion		Tota	1	Rul Violat		Ne; Arres		New Fe Convict	
COUNTY	Year	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank	Rote	Rank		Rate	Raak	Rate	Reak	Rate	Rank	Rate	Rank
ULSTER	1985 1985	39.0 36.6	14 15	112.1 105.6	15 15	79.8 73.3	15 15		97.B 69.4	23 28	10.9 23.1	31 18	48.9 34.7	23 29	38.0 11.6	17 33
WARREN	1786 1785	21.6 7.2	40 56	48.6 50.3	43 40	30.6 27.0	49 46		.0 35.7	52 43	.0 .0	36 32	.0	49 43	_9 35.7	36 13
NASHINGTON	1986 1985	5.3 8.8	61 55	21.1	58 57	12.3 15.9	61 57	•	166.7 90.9	2 24	83.J .0	1 32	83.3 70.9	6 3	.0 .0	36 35
WAYNE	1986 1985	22.7 17.1	37 41	61.4 63.5	34 27	38.6 38.8	39 32		74.1 16.7	38 50	.0	36 32	37.0	31 41	37.0	19 35
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	36.1 40.0	16 13	115.2 109.0	13 14	82.9 78.8	11 13		89.5 102.7	24 17	23.1 25.5	10 14	37.2 43.5	30 23	29.2 - 33.9	24 17
WYONING	1986 1985	9.8 24.5	56 32	44.1 41.8	45 45	19.6 34.4	55 39	• •	55.6	45 51	0. 0.	36 32	55.6 .0	16 43	.0 .0	36 35
YATES	1986 1985	14.2 18.6	54 39	42.5 27.9	47 55	33.0 27.9	47 45		.0	52 51	.0	36 32	.0 .0	49 43	.0	36 35
NEW YORK STATE	1986 1985	72.0 67.9	NA	210.2 195.5	NA NA	147.3 137.3	NA NA		121.0 115.1	NA KA	37.6 34.1	NA NA	42.1 41.3	NA NA	41.4 40.8	NA Na
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	115.2 104.4	NA NA	316.9 299.2	NA Na	218.5 201.9	NA NA		114.9	NA NA	39.8 38.2	NA NA	29.2 33.6	NA NA	45.8 47.3	na Na
						на 11 На 11										

* The total number of parolees under supervision during a given year; i.e., parolees

It The total number of paroless revoked and returned during a given year.

carried over from the previous year (cases under supervision on December 31) plus inmates released during the year to the Division of Parole for Supervision.

NOTE: Dramatic changes in rank scores should be interpreted with caution. The sensitivity of rates to relatively small changes in absolute numbers increases as the size of the population decreases. For example, an increase of one (1) in a given activity in a county with 5,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by 20, while an increase of one (1) in a county with 50,000 residents will cause the value of the rate to increase by only two (2).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

TABLE 12A JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS BY TYPE OF DISPOSITIONS AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

			Convi	ctions	Acqui	ittals		Disn	issals	Not Pro	secuted		oved To ly Court	Û	ther		Ic	otal
CDUNTY	Ye	ar 	Nusber	Percent		Percent		Nunber	Percent	Number	Percent	Nuabe	r Percent	Number	Percent		Number	Percent
ALBANY	19	86 85	<u>p</u> 5	66.7 38.5	0	.0		0	.0	0	.0		3 25.0 8 61.5		B.3		12 13	
ALLEGANY	19 19	86 85	0	NA	0 0	NA NA		0	na ha	0	NA NB		o na O na	0	NA NA		0 0	
BRONX	19 19		72 89	52.6 71.8	2	1.5		38 21		7 5	5.1 4.0	- 1	0 7.3 4 3.2	B 5	5.8 4.0		137 124	100.0 100.0
BROOME	19		0	NA 100.0	0	NA . 0		0	NA . 0	0	NA .0		0 NA 0 .0	 0	NA . U		0 1	
CATTARAUGUS	19	86 85	: 0 0	NA NA	0	na Na	•	0	NA NA	0	NA NA		D NA D NA	0	NA NA		0	
CAYUGA	19 19		0	NA Na	0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0 0	NA NA		d na d na	0	NA		0 C	100.0 100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	19		• 0 1	NA 100,0	0	NA .0		0	NA . 0	0	NA . 0		D NA D .0	0	NA ₌0		0 1	
CHEHUNG	19 19		0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0 0	NA NA		D NA	0	NA NA		0	100.0 100.0
CHENANGO	19 , 19		0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0		0 0	NA NA		D NA D NA	0	na Na		0	100.0 100.0
CLINTON	19		0 2	NA 100.0	0	NA . 0		0 G	NA . O	0	NA . O		D NA	- 0 0	NA . 0		0 2	100.0
COLUXBIA	19 19	86 85	0	.0 Na	0	.0 NA		0	.0 NA	0 0	. O NA		l 100.0 D NA	0	.0 Na	ал — 	1 0	
CORTLAND	19 19	86 85	0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	NA	0	NA NA		D NA D NA	0	NA NA	· ·	0	
DELAWARE		86 85	0	NA NA	0	NA Na		0	NA NA	0 Ú	NA Ka		D NA D NA	0	NA NA		0	100.0 100.0

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS +

B-106

TABLE 12A JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

				JUVENI	LE DFFENDER DISPOSITIONS *			
		Convictions	Acquittals	Dispissals	Not Prosecuted	Removed To Family Court	Dther	Total
COUNTY	Year	Nuaber Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Hunber Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percen
DUTCHESS	1986	0 .0	0 ,0	0 .0	0.0	1 100.0	0 •0	1 100
	1985	1 25.0	1 25.0	1 25.0	0.0	1 25.0	• 0	4 100
ERIE	1986	4 30.B	1 7.7	5 38,5	0.0	2 15.4	1 7.7	13 100
	1985	2 8.7	1 4.3	14 60.9	0.0	4 17.4	2 8.7	23 100
ESSEX	1986	o na	O NA	O NA	o na	o na	o na	0 100
	1985	O na	O NA	O NA	O na	o na	O na	0 100
FRANKLIN	1986	0 NA	0 XÁ	0 NA	0 NA	0 NA	0 NA	0 100
	1985	0 .0	0 .0	0 .0	0 .0	1 100.0	0 .0	1 100
FULTON	1986	O NA	Q NA	O NA	o na	O NA	O NA	0 100
	1985	O RA	Q NA	Q NA	O na	O NA	O NA	0 100
GENESEE	1986	O HA	0 NA	Ó NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	0 100
	1985	O NA	0 XA	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	0 100
GREENE	1986	O NA	O NA	O NA	o na	O NA	O NA	0 10
	1985	O NA	O NA	O NA	O na	O NA	O NA	0 10
HAMILTON	1986	0 NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	O HA	O NA	0 10
	1985	0 NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	D NA	O NA	0 10
HERKINER	1986	O NA	0 NA	O NA	o na	O NA	o na	0 10
	1985	O MA	0 NA	O NA	O na	O NA	o na	0 10
JEFFERSON	1786	2 100.0	0 .0	0 .0	0 . U	0 .0	0 .0	2 10
	1785	0 NA	0 NA	U NA	Q NA	0 NA	0 - NA	0 10
KINGS	1986	138 32.8	2 .5	87 21.1	35 8.3	153 36.3	5 1.2	421 10
	1985	92 24.7	4 1.1	55 14.8	28 7.5	192 51.8	0 .0	372 10
LEXIS	1986	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	o na	O NA	0 1(
	1985	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	D na	O NA	0 1(
LIVINGSTON	1985 1985	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	O NA O NA	o na o na	0 NA	0 10

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS *

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued TABLE 12A

			*** *********************		OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS #			
		Convictions	Acquittals	Di s e i ssal s	Not Prosecuted	Removed To Family Court	Other	Tatal
COUNTY	Year	Nuaber Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent	Number Percent
HADISON	1906	l 100.0	0 .0	0 .0	0 .0	0 .0	0 .0	1 100.0
	1985	O NA	Ú NA	0 NA	0 NA	0 NA	0 NA	0 100.0
MONROE	1985 1985	1 33.3 8 25.0	0.0	0.0 i i.2	0 ¢0 2 8.3	2 66.7 12 50.0	0.0 312.5	3 100.0 24 100.0
MONTGOKERY	1986	o na	O NA	Ú NA	O NA	O HA	O NA	0 100.0
	1985	O na	O NA	O NA	O NA	O HA	O NA	0 100.0
NASSAU	1986 1985	8 53.3 4 50.0	0.0	1 6.7 0 .0	1 6.7 0 .0	3 20.0 4 50.0	1 6.7 0 .0	15 100.0 8 100.0
NEW YORK	1986	87 39.2	-1 .5	93 41,9	11 5.0	30 13.5	0.0	222 100.0
	1985	87 35.4	1 .4	99 40,2	16 6.5	39 15.9	31.2	246 100.0
o Niagara	1986 1985	2 56.7 0 .0	0.0	1 33.3 1 100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3 100.0 1 100.0
ONEIDA	1986 1985	1 33.3 1 100.0	0 .0 0 .0	0, 0 0, 0	1 33.3 0 .0	1 33.3	0.0 0.0	3 100.0 1 100.0
ONONDASA	1986	5 55.6	0,0	2 22.2	0.0	2 · 22.2	0.0	9 100.0
	1985	8 53.3	0,0	1 6.7	0.0	6 40.0	0.0	15 100.0
ONTARIO	1986	o na	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	0 100.0
	1985	O na	O NA	O NA	U NA	O NA	O NA	0 100.0
DRANGE	1986	o ra	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	0 100.0
	1985	U na	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	0 100.0
ORLEANS	1986	Ŭ NA	o na	O NA	O NA	0 NA	O NA	0 100.0
	1985	Ŭ NA	O na	O NA	O NA	0 NA	O NA	0 100.0
OSWEGD	1986	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	0 100.0
	1985	O KA	O NA	D NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	0 100.0
DTSEGO	1986	O NA	0 NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	O NA	0 100.0
	1985	O NA	0 NA	O NA	Ú NA	O NA	O NA	0 100.0

TABLE 12A JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS BY TYPE OF DISPOSITION AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

		Convic				ttals	 Disai	ssals		osecuted	Remov Family	Court	. *	01	her		To	ital
COUNTY	Year	Nuaber				Percent		Percent		Percent	Nucber			Nunber	Percent			Percen
PUTNAM	1986 1785	0 0	NA NA		- 0 0	NA NA	0.0	NA NA	0	NA	0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0	100. 100.
RUEENS	1986 1985	60 36	46.9		3 2	2.3 2.1	30 23	23.4 24.0	0 1	.0 1.0	35 36	27.3 37.5		1	.B .0	•	120 76	100. 100.
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	Ŭ O	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0 0	- NA Na	0 0	NA NA		0	na Na		0	100. 100.
RICHMOND	1986 1985	2 2	16.7 20.0		0 0	.0 .0	3 5	25.0 50.0	3 2	25.0 20.0	4	33.3 10.0		0	.0		12 10	100, 100,
ROCKLAND	1986 1985	- 0 0	NA NA	•	0	- NA Ha	. 0	NA NA		NA Na	0	NA NA		0	na Na		0	100 100
T. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	0 0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	NA Na		0	100 100
ARATOGA	1986 1985	- F () - O	NA . 0		0	NA . O	0	NA . O	0	NA . O	0	NA .0		0 1	NÁ 100.0		0	100
CHENECTADY	1986 1985	0	.0 Na		- · 0 0	•• HA	0	.0 NA	0	.O NA	1 0	100.0 NA		0	.0 NA		1	10
CHDHARIE	1986 1985	0	Ka Na		0 0	NA NA	- 0 0	NA NA	0	NA Na	0	NA Kr		- 0	NA NA		0 0	100 100
CHUYLER	1986 1985	0 Ú	na Na		0	NA NA	Ò O	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	na Na		0	10
ENECA	1986 1985	0 .0	NA NA		0	NA NA	- 0. 0	na Na	0 Ú	NA NA	0 0	NA NA		0	NA HA		· 0 0	10 10
EUBEN	1986 1985	0 0	NA .0		0	NA . O	0 1	NA 100.0	0	NA . . O	0	NA *		0	NA . 0		0 1	10 10
FFOLK	1986 1985	8 16	36.4 30.2		1	4.5	_ i 1	4.5	0	.0	8 31	36.4 58.5		4	18.2		22 53	10 10

{ Continued on next page. }

B-109

TABLE 12A JUVE

B-110

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS BY TYPE OF DISPOSITIONS AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

			ctions	Acqui	tals	Disai	sals			ecuted		Remov Family		 	Dth	er	To	atal
COUNTY	Year		Percent	Nuaber	Percent	Nusber	Percent			Percent		Nusber	Percent	Nun	er l	Percent	Nueber	Percen
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0	NA Na	0	Ka Na		0 0	NA 'NA		0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0 0	100. 100.
TIOGA	1986 1985	0	na Na	0	NA NA	. 0 0	NA NA	•	0 0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0 0	NA	0	100.0
TONPKINS	1986 1985	0 0	MA NA	0 0	NA	0 0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0	NA HA	0	100. 100.
ULSTER	1986 1985	0	NA 100.0	· · · 0 0	NA .O	0	KA . D		0 0	NA .0		0	NA . O		0 0	NA . 0	0 1	100.0 100.0
MARREN	1786 1785	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0 0	NA		0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0	NA NA	0 0	100.0 100.0
WASHINGTON	1986 1985	0	na Na	0 0	NA NA	0 0	NA NA		0	NA NA		0	NA KA		0	NA NA	0	100.(100.(
WAYNE	1786 1785		100.0 NA	0	.0 Na	0 0	+O NA		0	.0 NA		0	.0 NA		0 0	.0 NA	1. 0	100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	10 14	76.9 70.0	0	.0 5.0	0	.0 10.0		2 0	15.4 .0	· .	1 3	7.7 15.0		0	.0 .0	13 20	100.0
NADRING	1986 1985	0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0	NA NA		0	na Na		0 0	NA NA		0	N/A NA	0 0	100.0
YATES	1986 1985	0 . 0	NA NA	0	NA NA	0 0	NA NA	•	0	NA NA		0	NA		0 0	NA NA	0	100.(100.(
NEH YORK STATE	1986 1985 -	410 369		 10	1.0	263 225	25.8 22.1		60 54	5.9 5.3		257 342	25.2 33.6		21 19	2.1 1.9	1,021	100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	359 307	39.0 36.2	 8	.9 .8	253 203	27.5 23.9		56 52	6.1 6.1		232 272	25.2 32.0		14 .8	1.5	921 847	100. 100.

JUVENILE OFFENDER DISPOSITIONS +

* Disposition data are based on the year of sentencing for convictions and on the year of disposition for acquittals, dismissals, and 'other' dispositions. These data may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Evervices (see Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

TABLE 128 JUVENILE OFFENDER SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986

				SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF	IVIAL LUNVICIIUNS *		
COUNTY	Year	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
ALBANY	1985	87.5	.0	0	12.5	.0	100.0
	1985	100.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	100.0
ALLEGANY	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
BRONX	1986	37.5	12.5	6.9	38.9	4.2	100.0
	1985	31.5	14.6	7.9	42.7	3.4	100.0
BROOME	1986 1985	NA 100.0	NA . O	NA . 0	NA . O	NA	100.0 100.0
CATTARAUGUS	1986 1985	NA ;NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA	100.0 100.0
САУИБА	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	Na	NA	NA	NA	100.0
CHAUTAUQUA	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	100.0	. 0	. O	.0	. 0	100.0
СНЕМИНО	1786	na	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1785	Na	NA	NA	Ne	NA	100.0
CHENANGO	1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	160.0
	1985	NA	NA	KA	NA	NA	100.0
CLINTON	, 1985	NA	NĄ	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	59.0	. O	. O	50.0	. 0	100.0
COLUHDIA	1786	na	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1785	Na	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
CORTLAND	1986	NA	NA	NA	-NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
DELAWARE	1986	NA	NA	NA	na	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	Na	NA	Na	NA	100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS *

TABLE 128

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

					SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTA	AL CONVICTIONS #		
	COUNTY	Year	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
	DUTCHESS	1986 1985	NA . O	NA .O	NA .0	NA 100.0	NA .O	100.0 100.0
	ERIE	1985 1985	75.0 50.0	25.0 .0	.0 .0	.0 50.0	.0 .0	100.0
	ESSEI	1986 1985	NA NA	NA NA	NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
	FRANKLIN	1986 1985	NA NA	NA NA	KA NA	na Na	NA HA	100.0 100.0
B-112	FULTON	1986 1985	NA Ha	NA NA	- NA NA	NA - NA	NA KA	100.0 100.0
	GENESEE	1986 1985	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
	GREENE	1986 1985	NA NA	NA NA	HA NA	NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
	HAHILTON	1986 1985	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
	HERKIMER	1986 1985	NA NA	NA HA	NA NA	NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
	JEFFERSON	, 1986 1985	100.0 NA	.0 Na	.0 Na	.0 Na	NA .	100.0 100.0
	KINGS	1986 1985	29.0 37.0	8.7 12.0	20.3 17.4	39.1 30.4	2.9 3.3	100.0 100.0
	LEHIS	1786 1785	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
-	LIVINGSTON	1986 - 1985 -	na Ha	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS .

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued TABLE 12B

					RCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS +		
COUNTY	Year	State Facility	Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
MADISON	1986	100.0	. O	.0	.0	.0	100.0
	1985	Na	NA	Na	Na	NA	100.0
HONRDE	1786 1785	100.0 16.7	.0 16.7	.0 66.7	.0 .0	.0 .0	100.0
MONTGOHERY	1986	NA	na	NA	NA	NÁ	100.0
	1985	NA	Na	NA	NA	NA	100.0
NASSAU	1984	62.5	12.5	.0	25.0	,0	100.0
	1985	50.0	.0	.0	25.0	25.0	100.0
NEW YORK	1786	39.1	2.3	3.4	55.2	.0	100.0
	1785	52.9	12.6	1.1	29.9	3.4	100.0
NIAGARA	1986	100.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	100.0
	1985	NA	Na	Na	Na	NA	100.0
ONEIDA	1986	.0	.0	.0	100.0	.0	100.0
	1985	.0	.0	100.0	.0	.0	100.0
DNONDAGA	1986 1985	.0 50.0	20.0	.0	B0.0 59.0	.0	100.0 100.0
DNTARIO	1986	NA	- NA	NA	NA	KA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
ORANGE	. 1986	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1985	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
DRLEANS	1786	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
	1785	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.0
OSWE60	1986 1985	NA NA	HA NA	NA	NA NA	na Na	100.0 100.0
OTSEGO	1986 1985	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0

(Continued on next page.)

TABLE 128 SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

B-114

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SENTENCES AS A PERCE	NT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS *		
COUNTY	Year	State Facility		Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Total Convictions
PUTNAN	1986 1985	NA NA	· · · ·	NA RA	na Na	NA NA	NA Na	100.0
QUEENS	1986 1985	20.0 34.1		8.3 5.6	25.0 2.8	45.0 47.2	1.7 8.3	100.0 100.0
RENSSELAER	1986 1985	NA		NA Na	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
RICHMOND	1986 1985	50.0 50.0		.0 .0	.0 .0	50.0 50.0	.0	100.0 · 100.0
ROCKLAND	1984 1985	NA - NA	·	NA NA	NA	NA NA	NA Na	100.0
ST. LAWRENCE	1986 1985	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0
SARATOGA	1796 1795 -	HA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
SCHENECTADY	1986 1985	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NÁ NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
SCHUHARIE .	1986 1985	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
SCHUYLER	1986 1985	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	KA NA	100.0 100.0
SENECA	1786 1785	NA NA		NA NA	NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
STEUBEN	1986 1985	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
SUFFOLK	1786 1785	25.0 18.8		12.5	.0 37.5	50.0 18.8	12.5 12.5	100.0 100.0

SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS *

		1. <u>1. 1</u>		· · ·	SERTENCES AS A PERC	ENT OF TOTAL CONVICTIONS +		
COUNTY	Year	State Facility		Local Jail	Local Jail and Probation	Probation	Other	Tolal Convictions
SULLIVAN	1986 1985	na Na		NA. HA	NA Kà	HA Na	HA NA	100.0 100.0
TIDGA	1986 1965	n NA		HA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
TOMPKINS	1986 1985	NA NA		NA HA		NA NA	XP. NA	100.0 100.0
ULSTER	1986 1985	NA . O		ЖА .0	NA . 0	NA . 0	HA 100.0	100.0 100.0
WARREN	1985 1985	HA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
WASHINGTON	1985 1985	NA Na		NA Na	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
WAYNE	1986 1965	100.0 NA		. C Na	.O Na	.0 Na	.0 Na	100.0 100.0
WESTCHESTER	1986 1985	40.0 42.9	·	- 10.0 - .0	40.0 28.6	10.0 14.3	.0 14.3	100.0 100.0
MADWINQ	1984 1985	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
YATES	. 1986 1965	KA NA		NA KA	KA Na	NA NA	NA NA	100.0 100.0
NEN YORK STATE	1986 1985	34.6 39.8		8.0 16.8	13.4 10.6	41.7 33.6	2.2 4.9	100.0 100.0
NEW YORK CITY	1986 1985	31.8 39.7		7.В 12.1	14.2 8.1	44.0 36.2	2.2 3.9	100.0 100.0

TABLE 128 SENTENCES AS A PERCENT OF YOTAL CONVICTIONS BY SENTENCE TYPE AND COUNTY FOR 1985 AND 1986 - Continued

* These data reflect the most serious sentence in multiple sentence dispositions (e.g., sentences including a jail term and a fine have been counted as "local jail"). This distribution of sentence types may be affected by the underreporting of dispositions to the Division of Criminal Justice Services Isee Appendix F).

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services, Arrests and Processing Trends File.

APPENDIX C

PERCENT OF DISPOSITIONS REPORTED BY COUNTY 1984 -1986 APPENDIX C

PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 ARKESTS, 1985 ARKESTS, AND 1986 ARKESTS AND THE AVERAGE PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 THROUGH 1986 ARKESTS (ADULTS ONLY) .

		**********	1984 Arrest	5		1985 Arrest	 - S	**********	1986 Arres			OF DISPOSE 1984-B& ARRE	
COUNTY	_	Total	Felony	Hisdemeanor	Total	Felony	Nisdemeanor	Total	Felony	Hisdeaeanor	Total	Felony	Ni sdeøeand
ALBANY		88,2	84,3	67.8	91.2	67.2	.92.7	79.1	73.8	81.4	86.0	81.3	87.9
ALLEGANY		57.6	61.6	.56.5	58.3	55.9	58.9	52.4	44.3	55.3	56.0	52.9	56.9
BRONX		87.4	90.2	88.3	87.8	87.4	85.8	74.8	75.3	74.1	83.5	84.4	82.5
ROOKE		62.1	84.3	81.4	B0.7	79.9	61.Û	71.3	67.3	72.5	77.8	77.1	76.1
CATTARAUGUS		67.8	60.5	69.1	70.0	65.4	71.1	61.1	53.7	52.8	66.1	59.5	67.5
CAYUGA		68.4	72.3	67.5	76.2	80.2	75.1	52.0	51.8	52.1	66.2	69.0	55.8
CHAUTAUQUA		78.5	73.1	79.7	82.3	77.9	83.3	70.9	48.4	71.5	77.1		78.0
CHEMUNG		80.3	64.6	79.1	81.3	77.0	81.9	59.1	62.7			72.9	
CHENANGO		65.3	58.9	66.6	70.7					71.0 63.3	76.9	75.4	77.4
LINTON		76.1	38.4	56.0	70.7	74.2	70.1	51.2	48.6		45.9	40.9	66.8
COLUMBIA						72.0	74.7	59.5	53.2	61.7	69.9	65.9	71.0
		74.6	75.8	74.2	74.9	73.2	75.4	69.8	57.5	70.5	73.2	72.3	73.5
CORTLAND		82.6	85.1	82.5	80.5	78.5	89.8	42.2	58.2	63.1	75.1	72.4	75.6
DELAWARE		69.5	• 72.1	69.0	73.8	72.5	74.0	59.0	58.1	59.3	67.3	66.9	67.5
UTCHESS		85.2	62.1	26.1	86.2	54.0	87.0	67.5	60.7	76.0	79.6	75.1	81.2
RIE		83.6	85.0	83.1	84.9	85.5	84.7	76.5	73.2	67.5	79.5	81.1	78.9
SSEX		73.9	69.8	75.4	76.3	71.3	77.4	63.3	55.2	66.1	70.8	43.B	72.8
RANKLIN		75.7	75.2	75.6	74.1	73.Ŭ	74.3	68.5	63.6	59.3	72.5	70.9	72.9
ULTON		78.8	81.9	77.8	79.7	78.0	80.2	74.8	47.B	75.4	77.8	76.9	78.1
ENESSE		68.6	75.3	67.1	69.7	58.2	72.3	62.8	49.3	66.1	66.B	57.8	68.5
REENE		75.9	+ 71.6	77.7	77.7	75.5	78.4	69.5	64.7	71.1	73.9	70.1	75.3
AMILTON		68.B	69.9	66.2	50.0	83.3	39.5	47.6	50.0	47.1	54.8	73.5	50.5
ERKINER		62.1	67.8	60.7	67.3	69.7	67.0	52.1	48.6	53.3	60.4	60.2	60.5
DEFFERSON		71.5	75.9	69.5	72.1	75.0	71.1	67.0	64.6	68.1 .	70.2	71.7	69.6
INGS		86.3	86.9	B5.4	82.3	83.6	80.7	66.3	68.6	63.3	77.4	79.0	75.2
EWIS		61.7	69.8	59.9	65.3	81.3	60.6	47.5	54.5	44.4	58.9	48.0	55.9
IVINGSTON		76.9	73.6	77.4	78.0	76.2	78.2	60.4	49.4	62.5	71.6	64.2	72,7
ADISON		73.7	76.2	73.2	72.4	67.9	73.4	64.5	59.4	65.7	69.9	67.1	70.6
IONSOE	•	89.3	83,8	96.9	72.4	70.5	73.0	59.0	51.9	61.2	72.9	67.5	74.6
IONTGOMERY		72.9	77.5	71.9	72.1	76.1	71.3	56.2	52.7	59.3	67.2	67.8	67.1
IASSAU		88.6	90.9	87.7	80.1	85.5	77.6	55.7	£1.6	53.1	74.7	79.0	72.9
EH YORK		90.9	90.9	90.9	90.2	89.4	90.6	79.4	77.5	BÙ.5	85.5	85,7	87.0
ILABARA		90.4	89.1	90.6	90.6	85.9	92.0	77.5	66.2	81.0	65.8	79.9	87.6
NEIDA		75.1	74.7	75.2	74.6	77.7	73.6	64.1	61.6	54.9	71.0	71.1	71.0
NOHEAGA		81.4	74.9	64.3	78.2	71.0	81.9	54.9	46,8	59.8	71.2	63,9	74.7
NTARIO		72.7	74.0	72.4	70.4	77.2	69.0	65.6	69.7	64.8	69.3	- 73.4	68.4
RANGE		E0.6	79.0	81.1	81.5	78.0	82.7	57.6	49.2	69.5	72.6	67.8	74.3
RLEANS		69.4	75.9	67.8	70.7	78.3	67.2	55.6	54.0	56.3	65.3	69.2	63.9
ISWEGO		79.6	77.3	80.0	76.8	79.5	76.5	62.2	56.7	63.1	72.6	48.1	73.7
TSEGO		71.6	63.9	73.1	77.5	- 75.9	78.1	42.9	50.5				
UTNAN		B2.4	53.1	84.7	91.4	56.3	65.4			53,4	76.5	56.7	71.7
winnut		UL. 1	. بادادته	ca. /	01.1	30.0	C2.1	63.2	44.0	48.3	74.E	56.7	79.4

APPENDIX C

PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 ARRESTS, 1985 ARRESTS, AND 1986 ARRESTS AND THE AVERAGE PERCENT OF DISPOSED 1984 THROUGH 1986 ARRESTS (ADULTS ONLY) + - Continued

•		_	·	PERCENT OF	DISPOSITION	S REPORTED		********	-		AVERAGE PERC	
		1984 Arres	ts		1985 Arrest	S		1986 Arrest	5 ¹⁰ - 1	1	1984-86 ARRE	-
COUNTY	Total	Felony	Nisdeneanor	Total	Felony	Misdeneanor	Total	Felony	Kisdegeanor	Total	Felony	Nisdegeano
QUEENS	90.B	92.2	89.5	89.1	90.0	89.3	73.8	73.8	73.8	84.0	84.2	83.7
RENSSELAER	78.3	74.4	79.4	77.8	76.1	79.3	66.6	50.4	68.4	74.0	69.9	75.1
RICHMOND	92.1	92.8	91.7	90.5	92.4	89.3	76.3	78.9	74.6	86.1	87.B	85.0
ROCKLAND	74.8	76.0	74.3	75,8	79.0	74.7	56.0	53.5	57.1	69.3	49.1	69.4
ST. LAWRENCE	52.1	29.0	56.9	54.3	34.8	59.1	51.2	32.3	54.5	52.5	31.9	56.5
SARATOGA	78.1	75.7	78.3	84.6	80.5	85.5	76.3	66.0	78.4	79.7	74.4	80.8
SCHENECTADY	99.7	85.1	89.7	91.8	89.4	92.5	77.2	66.9	80.3	95.6	86.0	67.3
SCHOHARIE	55.9	70.5	54.3	70.9	78.3	69.7	62.0	66.0	61.1	63.2	70.7	61.8
SCHUYLER	70.9	70.8	71.0	74.3	74.0	74.3	\$8.3	66.7	68.7	71.2	70.6	71.3
SENECA	71.6	62.0	73.4	63.2	66.7	62.3	52.6	53.6	52.3	62.3	60.6	62.7
STEUBEN	71.9	60.8	69.9	73.4	71.6	73.9	ó3.J	58.6	64.6	69.3	69.4	69.3
SUFFOLK	85.5	66.0	86.0 .	83.1	63.9	B2.8	53.9	60.6	51.7	74.5	77.5	73.5
SULLIVAN	85.0	83.1	85.4	81.3	77.0	82.6	65.B	61.1	67.8	77.6	73.4	79.1
TIOGA	78.0	76.0	78.3	75.8	81.1	74.Ł	58.0	57.3	58.1	70.0	69.7	70.0
TONPKINS	B2.1	77.8	83.0	78.1	69.0	79.9	60.3	43.2	64.0	73.1	62.2	75.4
ULSTER	69.9	65.9	71.2	70.0	61.9	72.6	65.E	59.2	67.7	68.4	62.2	70.4
WARREN	87.5	89.5	87.1	89.7	88.0	90.0	E0.2	60.1	80.2	85.7	85.6	85.7
WASHINGTON	63.0	61.9	63.3	62.9	63.3	62.8	55.9	51.8	55.4	60.9	62.3	60.5
HAYNE	62.3	10.9	62.6	68.5	65.3	69.1	67.6	58.6	69.3	66.2	61.6	67.0
WESTCHESTER	67.7	. 49.0	67.2	74.4	77.5	73.1	65.5	70.8	63.3	69.2	72,5	67.9
WYOHING	42.0	65.1	36.2	43.4	63.6	38.4	33.0	42.9	30.6	39.5	57.5	35.1
YATES	70.5	83.3	67.8	69.7	82.1	55.1	57.4	58.5	57.0	62.2	71.3	59.5
NEW YORK STATE	95.3	86.9	84.4	83.8	85.1	83.1	69.6	70.3	57.2	79.2	60.3	78.6
NEW YORK CITY	29.5	89,7	89.4	97.8	87.8	87.0	74.6	73.B	75.2	83.5	83.3	83.7
HER LEAK LILL			07.1	0110	u1.0	07.6	14.6	10.0	13.2	62.3		0.0.1


* This table provides an indication of the proportion of arrests, excluding those for Juvenile Difenders, for which final dispositions were reported to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' CCH/DETS data system as of July 1907. 'Interim' dispositions, such as the issuance of a warrant, are counted as missing.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criginal Justice Services, County Profiles System.

APPENDIX D

NEW YORK STATE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MAPS





APPENDIX E VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES

VIOLENT FELONY OFFENSES¹

OFFENSES	PL SECTION	SUB-	FELONY
	NUMBER	DIVISION	CLASS
Assault 2nd Assault 1st ² Aggravated Assault on	120.05 120.10	all all	D C
Peace Officer2 Manslaughter 1st ² Murder 2gd, <u>Attempted</u>	120.11 125.20 125.25	00 all	B B B
Rape 1st ²	130.35	all	B
Sodomy 1st ²	130.50	all	B
Sexual Abuse 1st	130.65	all	D
Agg. Sexual Abuse ²	130.70	all	B
Kidnapping 2nd ²	135.20	00	B
Kidnapping 1st, <u>Attempted</u>	135.25	all	B
Burglary 2nd ²	140.25	all	C
Burglary 1st ²	140.30	all	B
Arson 2nd ²	150.15	all	B
Arson 1st, <u>Attempted</u>	150.20	all	B
Robbery 2nd ²	160.10	all	C
Robbery 1st ²	160.15	all	B
Intimidation 2nd ⁴	215.16	all	D
Intimidation 1st ^{2,4}	215.17	all	B
Crim. Poss. Weapon 3rd ²	265.02	04,05	D
Crim. Poss. Weapon 2nd ²	265.03	00	C
Crim. Poss. Weapon 1st ²	265.04	all	B
Crim. Use Firearm 2nd ²	265.08	a]]	C
Crim. Use Firearm 1st ²	265.09	a]]	B
Crim. Sale Firearm 1st	265.12	00	D
The following crimes are not included as such for statist		violent felony of	fenses" but are
Murder 2nd Murder 1st, Including	125.25	all	A-1
Attempted	125.27	all	A-1
Kidnapping 1st	135.25	all	A-1
Arson 1st	150.20	all	A-1
Crim. Poss. Weapon 3rd ³	265.02	00,01,02,03	D
¹ Penal Law of the State of No	ew York, Section	70.02.	
² An "attempt" to commit this offense"; an attempt is one of the crime.			

³These offenses are counted as "violent felony offenses" only in the data provided by the NYS Department of Correctional Services.

⁴Effective 11/1/86.

APPENDIX F

NEW YORK STATE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

<u>Board of Prisoners</u>. The Board of Prisoners' account is the only source of local assistance funding administered by DOCS. Funds paid out of the account cover four areas:

- <u>Coram Nobis</u>: Inmates may have to be returned to their county of commitment for court proceedings. When they are, they are housed in the county jail. Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing these inmates.
- <u>Felons</u>: Persons convicted of "D" and "E" felonies who receive a maximum sentence of less than one year cannot be incarcerated in State facilities. Instead, these sentences are served in county jails. This program reimburses the county at the rate of \$20 per diem.
- <u>Parole Violators</u>: Counties are reimbursed \$20 per diem for housing parole violators until they can be transferred into the State system.
- o <u>State Readies</u>: These are convicted felons for whom the committing county has the Department that they are awaiting transfer into the State system. Beginning on 7/1/85, counties were reimbursed \$20 per diem toward the cost of housing those who have been state ready for five days. After the inmate has been state ready five days, reimbursement is retroactive to the first day. Conversely, if an individual is state ready for only one to four days, there is no reimbursement.

<u>Community Treatment Programs</u>. The Department of Correctional Services has in the past, and will again this year, be allowed to enter into agreements to place low-risk inmates, within a year of parole eligibility, into community contract facilities. These 24-hour a day facilities offer drug rehabilitation programs to those inmates who, it is believed, have more of a drug problem than a criminal history. Innovative project components include the use of naltrexone on a pilot basis, intensive vocational rehabilitation services, and on-site supervision by parole officers.

Under the community contract program, the Division of Substance Abuse Services identifies which community beds can be used and arrives at a contract with the local facilities. The program operated with approximately 300 contract beds in 1983 and again in 1984, but was not continued in the 1985-86 fiscal plan. A total of 300 slots for DOCS inmates is proposed for FY 1986-87, at a per inmate per annum cost of \$11,000, versus the \$26,000 a year cost of incarceration in correctional facilities. Given the actual cost of incarceration within the DOCS system, the choice is to imprison one inmate or to treat three in the community. Funding of the program could result in a net savings to taxpayers of \$7 million annually, according to memoranda in support of the legislation.

<u>Prison Transfer to State Facilities</u>. The State reimburses counties for their costs incurred in transporting state-ready inmates to State correctional facilities.

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD

<u>Crime Victims Compensation Awards</u>. The Crime Victims Compensation Program provides financial assistance to innocent victims of violent crimes. Claims may be filed by the victim of a crime who has incurred personal injuries or by a surviving spouse, parent, child, or other dependent if the victim died as a direct result of the crime. Payments are made for unreimbursed medical and rehabilitative expenses as well as loss of earnings or support. Funeral benefits may be paid to any person who has paid the burial expenses. The program is also permitted to reimburse claimants for transportation costs incurred for necessary court appearances in connection with the prosecution of a crime and for loss or damage to essential personal property. Victims 60 years or older and disabled victims need not be injured to qualify for these last two benefits. Beginning with Federal Fiscal Year 10/1/85 - 9/30/86 the State will receive federal compensation funds on a 35% reimbursement rate; these funds are included in the FY 1985-86 appropriation.

<u>Victim and Witness Assistance Program</u>. In FY 1981-82, the Crime Victims Board initiated the Victim and Witness Assistance Program with \$1.5 million in funding for 22 programs. The number of funded programs has since doubled. Each of the local programs which receives funding provides services in one of five general areas for victims and witnesses:

- o victims of domestic violence,
- o elderly crime victims,
- o victims of sexual assaults,
- o all types of victims, and,
- o court related services.

These programs provide a comprehensive array of assistance services to address the economic, fiscal, psychological, and criminal justice consequences of victimization. Services include: information, referral to other agencies, supportive counseling, psychological counseling, group counseling, application assistance, court notification, court accompanied impact statements, hotline, lock installation, home visits, escort service, and transportation.

The agency has expanded existing programs and initiated new programs with federal funds from the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) of 1984.

F-2

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

<u>Asset/Forfeiture Legislation</u>. The passage of Article 13-A (CPLR) provided prosecutors in New York State with a new tool to combat crime. This statute allows for the seizure of the proceeds and instrumentalities of crime, thereby reducing the profitability of, and incentive for, illegal activity.

Pursuant to Chapter 669 of the Laws of 1984, \$1 million in State funds were made available to counties participating in the Target Crime Initiative Program (see description below) and the New York City Special Narcotics Prosecutor for the purpose of establishing forfeiture units in local prosecutors' offices. Funds were not appropriated in FY 86-87 for the forfeiture effort because the value of assets seized could be utilized to support the initiative.

<u>Crime Prevention and Protection</u>. This program provides funds for special contractual services, for expenses of programs to prevent crime and protect senior citizens. These appropriations commenced with one award in 1977 and increased to 90 awards in 1985. There was no appropriation for FY 1982-83. Although initially placed in the state purposes portion of the budget, this appropriation now appears in local assistance.

The responsibility for implementation rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services, and is administered by the Office of Funding and Program Assistance.

Emergency Felony Case Processing Program. A rapid increasing court backlog led to the passage of the Emergency Felony Case Processing Program (Chapters 496 and 497 of the Laws of 1971. When first enacted, 15 additional court parts were established with State support to expedite the processing of the backlogged felony cases. As a result of court reorganization and consolidation efforts, the historically designated parts have been integrated into the total court system. The N.Y.C. Legal Aid Society and Department of Probation also participate in the program by providing defense services and completing presentence investigations. The State shares with the City of New York the incremental expense of maintaining an enhanced level of criminal court parts; with State aid totaling up to 58% of the cost. Payment of aid is contingent on a matching appropriation from the City of New York for the remaining 42% of expenses.

<u>Indigent Parolee Program</u>. The State provides aid to counties for the legal representation of indigent parolees by private, appointed attorneys and public defense programs, pursuant to Section 250(i) of the Executive Law and Article 18-B of the County Law. The program has existed since 1973 and helps meet the needs of indigent parole violators for competent legal help. By encouraging

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES (continued)

defense attorneys to provide this assistance quickly and often, this State aid effort also contributes to a more efficient parole revocation process, the elimination of delays, and a reduction in unnecessary incarceration of alleged violators.

Legal services for individuals involved in parole revocations are provided according to a plan that is executed by either the county executive or the board of supervisors of each county or by the governing city in which a county is wholly contained. The plan describes whether the legal assistance purchased will involve the services of a public defender, a private lega' aid bureau or society, or an administrator of a bar association appointed-counsel plan. The Division of Criminal Justice Services is responsible for the administration of these funds through a system of reimbursement to the counties.

<u>Justice Assistance Act - Federal Funds</u>. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the Justice Assistance Act. The Justice Assistance Act's block grant program will award New York State \$3,396,000 to support programs in any of 18 federally designated program areas. The Governor's Office, in consultation with members of the legislature, has directed that these funds will support programs in the following areas, subject to necessary federal approvals:

- o Prosecution of White Collar and Organization Crime
- o Violent Predator
- o Pre-Trial Services
- o Enhancement of Child Abuse Prosecution
- o Information Systems
- o Narcotics Enforcement
- o Integrated Criminal Apprehension Program

<u>Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention - Federal Funds</u>. The Division of Criminal Justice Services, through the Juvenile Justice and Federal Programming Unit, administers federal block grant funds received under the federal Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act. These funds are utilized by New York State to assist units of local government and State agencies in improving the operations of the juvenile justice system, in efforts to control crime, and to assure the quality of justice in the State. The funds primarily provide seed money to support changes and improvements in the juvenile justice system. Funds are used to support programs in six areas:

- o Delinquency Prevention/Diversion
- o Services for Detained and Incarcerated Youth
- o Dispositional Alternatives
- o Court Processing
- o Monitoring Compliance
- o Systems Planning and Interagency Coordination

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES (continued)

Law Guardian Back-Up Center. Statewide Youth Advocacy of Rochester has received a legislative appropriation to establish the Law Guardian Back-Up Center. This Center will provide technical assistance and training to law guardians practicing before family courts primarily outside of New York City. Training and legal research will cover JD, PINS, and abuse/neglect proceedings. Social work and legal assistance will also be provided. A brief bank and library will be developed, as will an inventory of dispositional alternatives and community-based programs. Updates will be provided on legal and legislative developments. Videotaped training to be used in rural counties will be developed. Staff will include two lawyers and one social worker.

Major Offense Police Program (MOPP). This program provides State funds to nine of the largest police agencies in the State to target violent offenders, career criminals, and narcotics traffickers. The cities of New York, Rochester, Syracuse, and Nassau and Suffolk counties have identified career criminals who receive special case processing by the Detective Bureau when arrested for felony offenses. The City of Buffalo established separate task forces dealing with robbery, burglary, and sex crimes to identify and apprehend career offenders. Rockland and Orange counties created county-wide task forces comprised of local police officers and county district attorney investigators to target major narcotics traffickers. In Westchester, Nassau, and Suffolk counties M.O.P.P. funds supplement existing narcotics investigations through the addition of personnel and "buying-money." In 1984, M.O.P.P. funds supported 199 sworn police personnel, one assistant district attorney, and nine support staff who conducted intensive investigations against "career criminals" who were violent or repeat felons. M.O.P.P. personnel in the nine localities handled a total of 9,852 cases against the most serious offenders in 1984, up 12% from the 1983 M.O.P.P. total of 8,820 cases.

<u>Mobile Radio District Program</u>. This program seeks to standardize law enforcement radio configurations and enhance communication hardware in municipal law enforcement agencies. Effective April 1, 1983 the Mobile Radio District program was re-enacted by the legislature. The legislature did not appropriate any monies for this program in 1982.

The program that has been in effect for the past thirteen years was a combination of federal and locally funded projects. Since 1982 the program has been State funded.

The responsibility for implementation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services and is administered by the Bureau for Municipal Police, Mobile Radio District Unit.

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES (continued)

<u>Narcotics Control</u>. The federal Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1986 established a program of grants to State and local agencies for drug law enforcement. Under this Act, the State and localities are eligible for funds under the Narcotics Control Assistance Formula Grant Program. New York State is eligible for \$111,539,00 in federal fiscal year 1987. It is anticipated that the federal award for FY 1988 and FY 1989 will be for the same amount.

The New York State Legislature has identified the following priority areas: apprehension, prosecution and adjudication of persons who violate State and local controlled substances laws, as defined in the penal and public health laws; additional correctional resources for such persons; programs to eliminate the growth and production of controlled substances, programs for drug-dependent offenders; and demonstration programs to identify major offenders and move these offenders expeditiously through the judicial system.

The distribution of funds will be in the ratio of 39.61 between State agency programs and local government programs. These federal funds must be matched on a cash basis with one dollar in non-federal funds for every three dollars in federal funds.

<u>Nassau County Service to Rape Victims Task Force</u>. Advocacy services for victims of sexual assault include the following: hospital advocacy (support, information, referral, follow-up); criminal justice system advocacy; recruitment, supervision, and training of volunteers; newsletters; field placement for students; public information through public speaking and distribution of literature.

<u>Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act</u>. Established in the Laws of 1983, Chapter 55, by Governor Cuomo and the Legislature, the Neighborhood Preservation Crime Prevention Act made funds available to local not-for-profit organizations (excluding municipalities, except for auxiliary police programs). The funds are awarded on a competitive basis for organizations to operate crime prevention programs.

The responsibility for the implementation of this program rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. It is administered by the Office of Crime Prevention of the Bureau for Municipal Police. The funds supporting NPCPA are in the state purposes portion of the annual budget, but the statute creating this program indicates that the awards are to be made to community-based organizations.

<u>New York City School Security Officer Program</u>. This program extends an existing ongoing effort in New York City junior and senior high school buildings to include elementary school buildings in Community School District 20 in Brooklyn. The \$415,000 will provide salaries and support for such elementary school security officers.

<u>N.Y.S. Defenders' Association</u>. The State has funded the N.Y.S. Defenders' Association since 1981 in order to provide broad-based defender support services on a statewide level. Over the past four years, the Association has provided legal research and consultation on over 4,000 requests by public defense attorneys. Such assistance encompasses legal memoranda, analyses of briefs, consultation, videotaped court simulation, workshops, and training seminars.

The Association is involved in <u>amicus curiae</u> work. It provides a referral service to help defenders obtain expert witnesses, investigators and attorneys with particular expertise, and it collects and disseminates briefs and other material to provide defense attorneys with legal research capabilities. It also provides technical assistance to both State and local governments, provides evaluative seminars and engages in research and data retrieval covering various aspects of the criminal justice system.

Offender Aid and Restoration of Western New York, Inc., (OAR/WNY). (OAR/WRY) delivers a wide variety of services to ex-offenders and their families. OAR/WRY conducts workshops that train ex-offenders in setting employment goals and completing applications. Ex-offenders learn about employer expectations and techniques for successful job interviews and job retention. The family services program delivers support and counseling to families before, during, and after the offender's incarceration and helps the family in making requests for assistance through social service agencies. The program seeks to address the needs of the ex-offenders in order to facilitate their successful reentry into society.

<u>Prisoners' Legal Services</u>. Prisoners' Legal Services of New York (PLS) provides legal assistance to inmates of New York's 38 State correctional facilities who have no other legal representation, are financially unable to retain counsel and cannot obtain legal assistance from any other legal services organization. PLS began in 1976 with federal grant funds, and since 1978 has been supported by the State.

With a central office in New York City, Prisoners' Legal Services maintains six field offices, located in Albany, Buffalo, Ithaca, Plattsburgh, Poughkeepsie, and New York. The types of cases handled by Prisoners' Legal Services are grouped into three general categories: 1) post-conviction matters, such as sentence computation and determination of parole eligibility; 2) institutional problems, such as disciplinary procedures and living conditions; and 3) a wide range of civil and domestic law problems, such as matrimonial actions and custody proceedings. These legal services have succeeded in providing for reasonable and satisfactory resolution of inmate problems and grievances. As a result of this kind of assistance to indigent inmates, Prisoners' Legal Services also serves the State's court system, to the extent that it screens complaints from its inmate clients and diverts from the courts potential litigation which is deemed to be without merit.

<u>Soft Body Armor Reimbursement Program</u>. Effective April 1, 1984 a bill became law which amended the Executive Law in relation to providing for State reimbursement to municipalities, public authorities, and public benefit corporations for expenses incurred in the purchase of soft body ballistic armor vests for police officers. The Soft Body Armor Vest Reimbursement Program was initiated in the Department of Labor in 1981.

Section 837-d of the Executive Law effectively repealed Article 17-A of the Labor Law and empowers the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services to certify vouchers for the State Comptroller for reimbursement payments.

The responsibility for implementation and regulation now rests with the Commissioner of the Division of Criminal Justice Services. Due to the specific law enforcement nature of the project, the practical operational functioning of the program was assigned in April of 1984 to the Bureau of Municipal Police, Police Training and Administrative Services Section.

No information relative to expenditures is available prior to the Division of Criminal Justice Services' involvement in the project.

<u>Special Narcotics Court Program</u>. The Special Narcotics Court Program (SNP) was initiated by Chapter 462 of the Laws of 1971 as a legislative response to the need for an efficient, specialized, and coordinated narcotics prosecution effort in the City of New York.

Pursuant to this legislation, a Special Narcotics Prosecutor was appointed by the five District Attorneys in New York City. He is centrally located, with offices in lower Manhattan, and is responsible for the citywide prosecution of narcotics cases, as well as convening a special citywide Grand Jury.

In addition to providing for the office of the Special Prosecutor, the legislation provides for the creation of twelve new criminal court parts, primarily concerned with the handling of narcotics cases. Extensive reorganization of the court system by the Office of Court Administration has resulted in the integration of the twelve narcotics parts with other criminal parts in the New York City Supreme Court.

The State continues to fund up to 61 percent of the costs of the office of the Special Narcotics Prosecutor and an enhanced level of criminal parts, as well as the supportive services rendered by the City Departments of Probation and Corrections and the Legal Aid Society. The City contributes the remaining 39 percent.

<u>Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program</u>. Governor Cuomo and the Legislature established the Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program

(S.W.E.E.P.) in September 1984 in response to a growing backlog of outstanding criminal warrants. S.W.E.E.P. provided \$2.5 million in State funds to assist 21 law enforcement agencies in apprehending their most serious felony warrant suspects. The goal of the program is to provide funding assistance for additional enforcement personnel for short term, intensive warrant enforcement in the localities with the most severe felony warrant backlogs. These personnel are assigned on a temporary basis to enhance existing warrant enforcement efforts. A total of 5,947 warrants were cleared under S.W.E.E.P. including 1,818 violent felony and 2,590 felony warrants.

<u>Suffolk County Criminal Justice Coordinating Council Re-Route Transitional</u> <u>Program for Ex-Offenders</u>. Transitional services program designed to expedite the reintegration of ex-offenders into the community upon their release from Suffolk County Correctional facilities. A multi-service, multi-disciplinary, inter-agency approach including therapy, family counseling, supplementary education, job training, job placement, legal aid, needs-based payments, and linkages to services for areas outside the program's scope.

<u>Target Crime Initiative Program.</u> The Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI) was created April 1, 1983 as the result of a recommendation by the Governor to the Legislature. Three prosecution programs, the State Felony Program, Major Violent Offense Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program, were merged into one comprehensive anti-crime package to eliminate overlapping and duplicated program services. The primary goal of TCI is to combat violent felony crime and target on the swift adjudication of habitual and violent offenders. Specific program objectives include reducing the caseloads of TCI attorneys, increasing the number of indictments against repeat offenders, eliminating plea bargaining except under extraordinary circumstances, increasing the rate and level of convictions, and increasing the number and length of prison sentences for TCI defendants. The number of counties participating in TCI has been expanded from 18 to 27, and now includes those counties reporting 97% of the violent felonies in the State.

<u>Transit Crime Interdiction Program</u>. In April 1985, the Transit Crime Interdiction Program was established. This program supports a Decoy Unit of 24 officers and 3 supervisors. Members of the Decoy Unit analyze crime patterns on the subway system and then pose as persons likely to be victimized in order to catch offenders in the act of committing serious crimes. Through the end of October 1985, the Decoy Unit made a total of 460 felony arrests of which 443 were made on the subway, 2 on buses, and 15 off the transit system. Of the 405 adult offenders arrested, 292 had prior arrest records, including 224 which had prior felony arrests.

<u>Transit Strike Force</u>. In response to growing public outcry over subway crime, Governor Cuomo and the State Legislature provided 3.5 million dollars for the establishment of the Transit Police Strike Force on April 1, 1983. The Strike Force generates a target list of violent or repeat offenders operating on the

subway system. The crimes include homicide, kidnapping, forcible rape/sodomy, and robberies which are targeted along with suspects who have been arrested for five or more larcenies from the person such as pickpocketing or jostling. These targets receive special handling when arrested to ensure their removal from the subway system. During 1984, the Strike Force arrested 1,866 persons as target offenders or for committing target crimes. Of these, 908 were augmented by the Strike Force's Major Case or Robbery Squads resulting in 907 felony arraignments. An additional 41 non-target cases were augmented, bringing the total number of offenders augmented to 949. The Apprehension Unit of the Strike Force targets pickpockets, jostlers, and bagopeners, and arrested 416 persons for such offenses in 1984.

<u>Community Patrol Officer Program</u>. DCJS will administer a grant to the Vera Institute to conduct a two-year evaluation of the Community Patrol Office (CPO) program.

The CPO program is an innovative effort to foster improved police-community relations and enhance the ability of the police to address crime and order maintenance problems in target areas. The program is staffed by 250 volunteer police officers and sergeants, who are assigned to designated beats consisting of approximately twenty square blocks.

Vera Institute staff served as consultants to the New York City Police Department during the planning of the CPO concept, and closely monitored pilot testing of the project. Fifty beats will be randomly selected for an evaluation sample, and a variety of techniques will be employed in the comprehensive analysis covering every aspect of the program.

<u>Westchester Datafacs</u>. The Westchester Datafacs System is a regional network of nine photofacsimile transmitters placed strategically throughout the country to service the Criminal History Record Information requests of forty local police departments. The Criminal History Record Information, or "rap-sheet," is transmitted from the Criminal History Repository located at the Division of Criminal Justice Services in Albany to the requesting local police department. In turn, the information is then forwarded to the District Attorney and Court enabling the presiding judge to make a better informed bail/bond or release decision.

Information expedites the criminal justice process and serves to reduce the number of defendants detained in the County Jail facility. In this sense, the Datafacs System is truly an alternative to incarceration.

F-10

DIVISION OF PAROLE

<u>Parole Resource Center</u>. The Parole Resource Center (PRC) provides the Division of Parole with the capability to contract with community-based service providers for housing and programming of selected individuals released to community supervision. This structured environment has provided the Board of Parole with an alternative to continued incarceration of individuals in need of supportive services in the community. The PRC provides a reasonable level of assurance that individuals in need of a structured setting upon release are provided with an opportunity to participate in community-based programs which will lead to their long-term success in life styles.

<u>Parole Transitional Facilities</u>. The Parole Transitional Facility Program (PTF) functions in much the same manner as does the Parole Resource Center (PRC). The basic difference is in the point of entry into the Program. The PTF provides the Parole Board with an alternative option for dealing with rule violators whose reimprisonment would serve little or no purpose. The use of a crisis intervention treatment approach provides short-term services in a semi-structured residential setting in the community rather than in the detached surroundings of a correctional facility.

<u>Employment Services Project</u>. Employment is a key element in the successful adjustment to community life upon release from a correctional facility. Funding has been provided to enhance the Division's efforts in employment training and placement services. Contracting with the Vera Institute of Justice for services under its Vocational Development Program, the Division of Parole can provide developmental employment services and increase its private sector job placement services. In addition, Vera will assist the Division of Parole in the training of its employment services staff to maximize the employability and placement capability and in long range strategic planning to improve services performance.

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES

"Classifications/Alternatives" Bill. The "Classifications/Alternatives" bill (Chapters 907 & 908, Laws of 1984) was enacted on August 6, 1984 to help reduce overcrowding pressures in the county jails, to facilitate more efficient and practical jail population management, and to support the development and implementation of alternatives to incarceration. The statute reflects a unique and effective linkage of two distinct criminal justice issues to facilitate reduced reliance on confinement and more efficient utilization of that significant scarce resource -- jail beds. This legislation reduces the number of state mandated classification categories in local correctional facilities from twelve to four. However, in order for a county to take advantage of this reduced classification system, it must prepare and carry out a service plan (approved by the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives) for the development and implementation of alternatives to incarceration. The service plan must be the collaborative product of a county advisory board composed of the key criminal justice decision makers, and must present a comprehensive overview of the local criminal justice system which serves to identify target populations, factors contributing to overcrowding, programmatic needs, and specific proposals for the use of state aid available under the bill. In fiscal year 1986-87, a total of \$3,050,000 was available to all counties and the City of New York. These funds are to be distributed according to a modified population-based formula outlined in the statute.

In the two and one-half years that have passed since enactment of this legislation, important and far-reaching changes have taken place in the activities and organization of local criminal justice systems. Almost eighty percent of the jurisdictions in New York State have taken advantage of the bill's provisions. Forty-four counties and the city of New York submitted alternatives to incarceration service plans and have now implemented alternatives to incarceration programs. During State fiscal year 1986-87 (the second year of funding) a total of 67 programs were supported and operated consistent with the counties' service plans.

<u>Demonstration Projects</u>. These projects generally fall into one of four program models: community service, defendant-based advocacy, pre-trial, and offender rehabilitation/specialized services. In FY 1986-87 there were 31 such projects. During the current fiscal year, FY 1987-88, 28 of these 100% State funded projects are expected to provide services to more than 15,000 clients.

Demonstration projects are designed to reduce the incidence of incarceration through the use of community-based alternatives that emphasize public safety and cost effectiveness.

<u>Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program</u>. The Intensive Supervision/Alternative Sentencing Program (ISP/ASP) is intended to provide a viable dispositional option to the courts. A cooperative effort between State and local jurisdictions, ISP/ASP provides fiscal resources to support a program model which emphasizes strict supervision and complete accountability to sentencing courts.

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES (continued)

The program focuses on two discrete groups from among the much larger, generally successful probation population: felony offenders sentenced to probation only because ISP/ASP supervision is available; felony and misdemeanant probationers statistically least likely to successfully complete their sentences.

During FY 1985-86, a new aspect of this overall program has been added called the Conditional Order of Probation Experiment (COPE). This new effort provides for a limited demonstration period in which the suitability of an offender for probation sentence may be determined.

<u>PINS Adjustment Services Act</u>. Chapter 813 of the Laws of 1985 is an important new program intended to decrease the inappropriate utilization of the Family Court process and the number of out-of-home placements in matters involving persons alleged to be in need of supervision (PINS). It is the purpose of this law to implement assessment, planning, and service delivery strategies Statewide that can support successful diversion of PINS from the family court process. The Act establishes a four year period, beginning January of 1987 and ending on December 31, 1990, during which localities may voluntarily implement the provisions of the Act. The Act encourages localities to set and accomplish long-term goals in planning for the delivery of a comprehensive array of services to meet the needs of these youths and families. To assist localities in coordinating and strengthening services for this population, the law provides short-term enriched incentive funding during the four year voluntary implementation period.

The January 1986-87 initial appropriation of \$1 million is targeted for a start-up date of January 1, 1987.

<u>Regular State Aid</u>. Section 246 of the New York State Executive Law provides for financial assistance from the State to localities for probation operations "...for control and rehabilitation of offenders." In order to receive these funds to develop and improve services, local probation departments must operate according to approved programs within the Division's Rules and Regulations. Current reimbursement is set at 46.5 percent of approved expenditures for maintaining and improving probation services.

During FY 1982-83 a special Warrant Enforcement Unit was funded in New York City under this program.

F-13

DIVISION FOR YOUTH

<u>Community Based Organization</u>. The Community Based Organization (CBO) Urban Home Initiative is a 100 percent State funded initiative which provides residential services for up to one year for youth ages 16-21. There are currently two line item appropriations which support two programs in New York City (one for older homeless youth and one for Juvenile Offenders on parole); and one program in the City of Buffalo which services young mothers and their children.

<u>Community Care Program</u>. In response to concern over the increasing costs of residential care, recidivism, and the importance of the post-release period to a successful return to community life, the Division funds a new direction in, and emphasis on, aftercare services. The initiative, which was designed to establish, for the first time, joint State/local cooperation in planning and implementing post-institutional follow-up services, will facilitate the successful transition of youth released from DFY residential placement to their communities.

<u>Secure and Non-Secure Detention Services</u>. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to localities operating secure and/or non-secure detention programs. These funds provide the Division with the leverage to assure compliance with rules and regulations governing detention facilities. The nonsecure aspect of this program has been steadily expanding to accommodate the more difficult youth in the least restrictive environment.

<u>Job Development.</u> The New York State Division for Youth contracts with community based organizations to provide employment/training transitional services to Division youngsters recently released or about to be returned from residential care. The Division funds programs which manifest an ability to build on the vocational foundations established during the youngster's facility placement.

<u>Runaway and Homeless Youth Services (RHYA)/Transitional Independent Living</u> <u>Support</u>. Gives the Division the authority and financial capability to support and encourage the continued establishment of local programs to serve runaway and homeless youth. This request reflects the programmatic agenda and fiscal requirements necessary to maintain and expand this program. Statutory provisions, which were modified during 1985, permit the expansion of this program into the area of providing services to the homeless and transitional youth up to age 21 and beyond the crisis services by which DFY was formerly limited.

<u>Special Delinquency Prevention Program</u>. Provides 100 percent funding for community-based youth services targeted at youth at risk to help prevent unnecessary or further involvement with the justice system. Allocations are based on a combination of youth population and/or needs assessment data.

DIVISION FOR YOUTH (continued)

<u>Special Legislative Contracts</u>. A legislative initiative providing funds for new or expanded youth centers, career counseling, and recreation programs.

<u>Voluntary Agency Care</u>. Designed to provide 50 percent fiscal support to local Departments of Social Services who are responsible for payment for care of PINS and JD youth involuntary child care agencies. This request allows the Division to provide support and technical assistance to local departments and voluntary agencies to improve services to troubled youth.

<u>Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention</u>. Provides local community psychosocial and recreational programs for youth through county and municipal youth bureaus. Implementation is aided through programmatic support from Division field staff and financial assistance provided by the state aid formula of Article 19A of the Executive Law. This budget request focuses on both the programmatic and fiscal aspects of YD/DP and Youth Initiatives in creating more effective local youth services that address the pressing needs of youth.

OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES

<u>Salaries of District Attorneys</u>. Pursuant to County Law 700, Chapter 986, Laws of 1984, \$10,000 per year is apportioned to all qualified upstate counties and to New York City for each of the counties therein for the salaries of district attorneys elected, re-elected, or appointed after April 1, 1970. Beginning in September 1985 and each year thereafter, an eligible county shall receive the difference between the base salary of the county district attorney on January 1 of the current year and December 31 of the prior year.

<u>STOP Program - Drug Residence Project</u>. The Selective Treatment Options for Parolees (STOP) Project is a cooperative effort involving State criminal justice and human services agencies to establish community-based residential treatment programs for parolees in need of chemical dependency services. The STOP Project facilities, which will be established through contracts with community-based service providers through the Division of Substance Abuse Services, will function in much the same manner as Parole Resource Centers except that the programs are targeted for individuals with drug abuse histories. Responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88.

APPENDIX G

١

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

This Appendix presents funds awarded to NYC agencies that provide services citywide. These funds could not be presented by county, but are included in the NYC and State profiles.

APPENDIX G

CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

Table 1

		ζ					
			Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Dept. of Correctional Services	4,167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	12,649.6	203.5%	0.0	0.0
Crime Victims Board	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	6,038.0	-2.9%	6,093.3	9,970.3
Division of Criminal Justice Services	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,523.8	37,897.4	51.1%	39,893.8	44,546.7
Division of Parole	398.0	548.5	649.9	825.9	107.5%	1,850.9	1,970.9
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	16,749.7	60.3%	19,270.1	23,553.9
Division for Youth ^{3.}	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	53,951.8	85.7%	26,669.6	29,928.9
Other Local Aid							
District Attorney Salaries ⁴		- -	47.5	47.5	NA	52.5	
TOTAL	75,358.9	78,474.2	87,387.9	128,159.9	70.1%	94,355.2	109,970.7

CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

⁴ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

			Expenditures			Appropriations		
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
Bcard of Prisoners ²								
Coram Nobis	0.0	0.0	14.8	10.9	NA	· · · ·		
Felons	4,167.3	5,196.1	5,835.2	9,699.7	132.8%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	
Parole Violators	0.0	0.0	284.9	1,659.7	NA			
State Readies ³	·	· ·	. · · ·	1,064.9	NA			
Community Treatment Programs ⁴		215.1	434.2	, , -	NA			
Prisoner Transfer ²	0.0	0.0	0.0	214.4	NA	1	. .	
TOTAL	4,167.3	5,411.2	6,569.2	12,649.6	203.5%	0.0	0.0	

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES CITYWIDE^I LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

 $^{\rm 1}$ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

² The New York City Department of Corrections (NYCDOC) operates a citywide jail system for the five boroughs of New York City. Therefore, local assistance funds from the Board of Prisoners' account are disbursed to NYCDOC rather than the individual facilities within each of the boroughs. NYCDOC is reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

³ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86.

⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

CRIME VICTIMS BOARD CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropr	Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>	
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ²	5,647.2	7,719.3	5,960.5	5,354.3	-5.2%	5,206.0	8,507.0	
Victim and Witness Assistance Program								
Comprehensive	458.4	352.0	580.8	543.2	18.5%	700.3	1,203.1	
Elderly	110.8	109.5	191.2	140.5	26.8%	143.6	260.2	
Sexual Assault	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	43.3	0.0	
TOTAL	6,216.4	8,180.8	6,732.5	6,038.0	-2.9%	6,093.3	9,970.3	

 $^{\rm 1}$ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

 $^2\,$ FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 include expenditures in Nassau and Suffolk Counties.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES CITYWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

	· .		Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Asset/Forfeiture ² Initiative			0.0	.55.6	NA		
Crime Prevention ³ and Protection	¹	175.0	0.0	0.0	, NA	0.0	0.0
Emergency Felony Case Processing Program	1,760.3	1,893.0	2,024.5	2,090.9	18.8%	2,133.0	2,133.0
Indigent Parolee Program ⁴	722.1	834.8	956.0	956.0	32.4%	1,028.0	1,069.0
Justice Assistance Act ⁵	: · · ·		· ,		NA	1,013.6	
Juvenile Justice ⁶ and Delinquency Prevention	983.7	978.0	1,016.6	884.0	-10.1%	975.2	1,055.1
Major Offense Police Program	5,615.6	5,952.6	6,369.2	6,624.0	18.0%	6,756.5	7,161.9
Narcotics Control ⁷	· 			••• •••	NA		4,006.9
NYC School Security ⁸ Officer Program	· 		· · · ·		NA	0.0	250.0
Soft Body Armour ⁹ Reimbursement Program			0.4	0.0	NA		· · ·
Special Narcotics Court Program	3,386.3	3,589.5	4,589.8	4,739.0	39.9%	4,818.1	4,902.6
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ¹⁰		 .	1,395.0	1,299.5	NA	1,440.0	1,400.0
Target Crime Initiative Program ¹¹	12,607.7	13,133.0	15,427.3	16,521.5	31.0%	16,789.5	17,433.0
Transit Crime Interdiction Program ¹²				1,322.6	NA	1,486.0	1,510.5
Transit Strike Force ¹³		3,500.0	3,745.0	3,404.4	NA	3,353.9	3,474.6

Continued - Next Page

G-4

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Community Patrol ¹⁴ Officer Program				 -	NA	100.0	150.0
TOTAL	25,075.6	30,055.9	35,523.8	37,897.4	51.1%	39,893.8	44,546.7

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

² This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. The FY 1984-85 funds were awarded to the Special Narcotics Prosecutor. The FY 1985-86 appropriation was transferred to the Target Crime Initiative Program (TCI). Funds were not appropriated for FY 1986-87.

 3 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁴ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

⁵ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁶ Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁷ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁸ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

⁹ In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.

¹⁰ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

¹¹ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84; program funds are designated for all program components in the County except the District Attorney. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

 12 This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

¹³ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84.

¹⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

G-5

DIVISION OF PAROLE CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	<u>FY 83-84</u>	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Parole Resource Centers ²	398.0	511.4	477.0	516.8	29.8%	654.8	774.8
Parole Transitional ³ Facilities	•	37.1	172.9	309.1	NA	496.1	496.1
Employment Services ⁴ Project	ан Рассий на с				NA	700.0	700.0
TOTAL	398.0	548.5	649.9	825.9	107.5%	1,850.9	1,970.9

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services.

 $^{\rm 2}$ This program is funded through the State Operations Budget.

 3 This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Parole.

••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				Appropriations			
Program	<u>FY 82-83</u>	FY 83-84	Expenditures FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Classification ² Alternatives		· · · ·	·	1,130.9	NA	0.0	1,302.8
Demonstration Projects	559.4	740.8	632.6	2,074.6	270.9%	1,664.3	2,511.7
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	961.3	1,066.5	1,158.5	1,478.9	53.8%	3,399.3	4,569.5
PINS Program ³					NA	631.7	1,314.6
Regular State Aid	8,926.1	10,086.4	10,717.4	12,065.3	35.2%	13,574.8	13,855.4
TOTAL	10,446.8	11,893.7	12,508.6	16,749.7	60.3%	19,270.1	23,553.9

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

 2 This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 3 This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

		·····	Expenditures	· 	- -	Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u> 2	% Change 82 vs_85	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Detention							
Non-Secure	946.0	1,343.0	835.2	2,191.8	131.7%	2,213.0	5,023.6
Secure	7,569.4	5,196.0	9,641.7	16,947.8	123.9%	9,723.5	9,043.0
Runaway and Homeless Youth Services	405.8	568.2	522.9	516.6	27.3%	691.4	645,9
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	4,630.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	0.0
Transitional Independent Living Support ⁴				69.7	NA	0.0	1,139.3
Voluntary Agency Care ⁵	4,518.6	4.387.9	3,728.1	9,201.4	103.6%	0.0	0.0
Youth Development and Delinquency Prevention	10,984.9	10,889.1	10,628.5	25,024.4	127.8%	14,041.7	14,077.1
TOTAL	29,054.7	22,384.1	25,356.5	53,951.8	85.7%	26,669.6	29,928.9

DIVISION FOR YOUTH CITYWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

 1 This table presents local assistance program funds that were awarded to agencies in New York City that provide citywide services. Some of these citywide funds may have been spent in this borough.

² The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

³ Borough FY 1982-83 expenditures are not available; these expenditures are reported as part of the FY 1982-83 expenditures for New York City overall.

 $^{\rm 4}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

⁵ The absence of a FY 1986-87 appropriation reflects the determination that the State Department of Social Services will temporarily assume responsibility for payment of New York City claims pending technical system changes to the Welfare Management System.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

APPENDIX H

STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS

This Appendix presents funds awarded to agencies that provide services statewide. These funds could not be presented by county, but are included in the State profile.

	· · ·		Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	FY 85-86	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Dept. of Correctional Services	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	30,052.0	38,678.0
Crime Victims Board	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	3,702.5	4,190.0
Division of Criminal Justice Services	5,261.6	5,108.6	5,529.7	3,926.4	-25.4%	9,894.4	15,900.5
Division of Parole	·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		· · ·
Division of Probation ² and Correctional Alternatives	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	102.8	601.0
Division for Youth ³	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	14,373.6	90.3%	10,178.9	8,441.7
Other Local Aid							
District Attorney Salaries ⁴	370.0	397.5	0.0	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	896.0
STOP Program - Drug ⁵ Residence Project					NA	ан собо Аластика Аластика	525.0
TOTAL	14,008.2	15,375.5	14,344.0	20,948.3	49.5%	53,930.6	69,232.2

STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY (Thousands of Dollars)

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

² On April 1, 1985, the Alternatives to Incarceration Program was transferred from the Division of Criminal Justice Services and combined with the Division of Probation to form the Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The Alternative to Incarceration Program expenditures from FY 1982-83 through 1984-85 are included with the expenditures reported for this newly formed agency.

³ The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 $^{4}\,$ The Office of the State Comptroller administers this program.

⁵ The responsibility for the administration of this program was transferred from the Division of Parole to the Division of Substance Abuse Services in FY 1987-88. Funds for this program were first appropriated in FY 1986-87; these funds were reappropriated for FY 1987-88.

	`_ 		Expenditures			Appropr	iations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Board of Prisoners ²		•					
Coram Nobis	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	51.5	55.5
Felons	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	22,770.1	25,655.4
Parole Violators	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	2,936.4	6,615.3
State Readies ³			-,-	0.0	NA	3,528.0	5,551.8
Community Treatment Programs ⁴	بود هم را	0.0	0.0	-	NA	400.0	400.0
Prisoner Transfer ²	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	366.0	400.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	30,052.0	38,678.0

DEPARIMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

² Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

³ This program component was implemented in FY 1985-86. Local correctional facilities are reimbursed for expenditures from the "statewide" lump-sum appropriation for this program.

⁴ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84 and is funded through the State Operations Budget. No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1985-86.

SOURCE: NYS Department of Correctional Services.

CRIME VICTIMS BC #2D STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditure	\$		Appropriations	
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	<u>FY 87-88</u>
Crime Victim Compensation Awards ²	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	2,803.0	4,190.0
Victim and Witness Assistance Program							
Comprehensive	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	899.5	0.0
TOTAL	821.7	1,088.1	1,078.0	2,648.3	222.3%	3,702.5	4,190.0

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

² FY 1982-83 through FY 1984-85 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs and Nassau and Suffolk Counties. FY 1985-86 and FY 1986-87 exclude expenditures in the New York City boroughs only.

SOURCE: NYS Crime Victims Board.

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
STATEWIDE' LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING
(Thousands of Dollars)

			Expenditures			Approp	riations
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	<u>FY 86-87</u>	FY 87-88
Asset/Forfeiture ² Initiative			0.0	0.0	NA		
Crime Prevention ³ and Protection		0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	695.0
Indigent Parolee Program ⁴	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	NA	597.8	628.4
Justice Assistance Act ⁵			 :		NA	1,611.6	
Juvenile Justice ⁶ and Delinquency Prevention	1,309.1	1,057.0	975.4	0.0	-100.0%	1,088.9	1,078.9
Major Offense Police Program	500.0	530.0	567.1	0.0	-100.0%	0.0	0.0
Narcotics Control ⁷					NA		6,070.2
Neighborhood ⁸ Preservation Crime Prevention Act	. · ·	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	2,500.0	2,500.0
NYS Defenders' Association	442.4	442.4	540.0	572.0	29.3%	630.0	797.5
Prisoners' Legal Services	1,361.8	1,361.8	2,207.1	2,545.4	86.9%	2,679.3	2,784.2
Soft Body Armour ⁹ Reimbursement Program	635.5	535.5	0.0	60.1	-90.5%		487.0
Special Warrant Enforcement Enhancement Program ¹⁰			0.0	0.0	NA	20.0	10.0

Continued - Next Page

APPENDIX H

Table 4-Continued

DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

			766.8	849.3
TOTAL 5,261.6 5,108.6 5,529	.7 3,926.4	-25.4%	9,894.4	15,900.5

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

² This program was implemented in FY 1984-85. FY 1985-86 appropriation of \$1,000,000 was reappropriated for FY 1986-87 and again for FY 1987-88.

 3 No funds were appropriated for this program in FY 1982-83.

⁴ Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the "NYC" and "Statewide" (non-NYC) lump sum appropriations for this program.

⁵ FY 1985-86 was the first year the State received these federal block grant funds. Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁶ Expenditures and estimated appropriations for this federally funded program are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁷ This federally funded program was implemented in FY 1987-88. Appropriations are based on the State's fiscal year.

⁸ This Act was established in FY 1983-84.

In FY 1984-85 the administration of this program was transferred from the Department of Labor to the Division of Criminal Justice Services; county level expenditures for FY 1982-83 and FY 1983-84 are not readily available. Agencies are reimbursed for expenditures from the 'Statewide' lump-sum appropriation for this program. Unallocated FY 1985-86 monies were reappropriated for FY 1986-87.

¹⁰ This program was implemented in FY 1984-85.

¹¹ This program was implemented in FY 1983-84. The FY 1982-83 funds are the combined expenditures of the three programs that were merged to form TCI: the State Felony Program, the Major Violent Offense Trial Program, and the Major Offense Prosecution Program.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services.

.

Table 5

DIVISION OF PAROLE STATEWIDE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

Table 5 is not presented because there were no local assistance program funds awarded to agencies that provide services Statewide.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Appropriations				
Program	FY 82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u>	% Change <u>82 vs 85</u>	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Classification ² Alternatives		•		0.0	NA	0.0	83.2
Demonstration Projects	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	100.0	39.5
Intensive Supervision/ Alternative Sentencing Program	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	199.3
PINS Program ³			· 		NA	2.8	0.0
Regular State Aid	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	0.0	279.0
TOTAL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NA	102.8	601.0

DIVISION OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES STATEWIDE¹ LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING (Thousands of Dollars)

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

 $^{\rm 2}$ This program was implemented in FY 1985-86.

 3 This program was implemented in FY 1986-87.

SOURCE: NYS Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives.

	DIVISION FOR YOUTH	
STATEWIDE	LOCAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FUNDING	
	(Thousands of Dollars)	

		· · · · ·	Appropriations				
Program	FY_82-83	FY 83-84	<u>FY 84-85</u>	<u>FY 85-86</u> 2	% Change 82 vs 85	FY 86-87	FY 87-88
Community Care ³ Initiative		, 	·		NA	219.0	219.0
Special Delinquency Prevention Program	37.3	168.3	35.0	1,951.4	5,131.7%	131.9	0.0
Special Legislative Contracts	0.0	0.0	0.0	330.0	NA	274.5	0.0
Voluntary Agency Care ⁵	7,517.6	8,613.0	7,701.3	12,092.2	60.9%	9,553.5	8,222.7
TOTAL	7,554.9	8,781.2	7,736.3	14,373.6	90.3%	10,178.9	8,441.7

¹ This table presents local assistance program funds that are disbursed in ways which preclude accounting for them at either the county or the New York City level. In addition, Statewide "appropriations" may include funds that had not yet been disbursed at the time these data were submitted for this publication.

² The FY 1985-86 DFY budget increased 58 percent over the appropriation for the previous fiscal year as a result of Governor Cuomo's recommendation. This increase allowed DFY to pay for current programs and also eliminate arrearages.

 3 This program was implemented in FY 1986-87 and is funded through the State Operations Budget.

⁴ Programs for which addresses are unavailable.

⁵ Payment to State Department of Social Services for State share of JD/PINS who are ADC/FC eligible.

SOURCE: NYS Division for Youth.

APPENDIX I JUVENILE OFFENDER OFFENSES

JUVENILE OFFENDER CRIMES¹

(as of 1/1/87)

AGE	OFFENSES	PL SECTION NUMBER	SUB- DIVISION	FELONY CLASS
13-15 14-15 "" "" "" "" "" ""	Murder 2nd Murder 2nd ² Kidnapping 1st ² Arson 1st Manslaughter 1st Rape 1st Sodomy 1st Aggravated Sexual Abuse ³ Robbery 1st Burglary 1st Arson 2nd Robbery 2nd Assault 1st Burglary 2nd	125.25 125.25 135.25 150.20 125.20 130.35 130.50 130.70 160.15 140.30 150.15 160.10 120.10 140.25	01,02 03 all all all 01,02 01,02 all all all 00 02 01,02 01,02 01	A-1 A-1 A-1 B B B B B B B B C C C

¹<u>Penal Law of the State of New York</u>, Section 10.00(18).

 $^{2}\mathrm{An}$ "attempt" to commit this crime is also classified as a "violent felony offense"; an attempt is one felony class lower than the actual commission of the crime.

³Effective 9/1/81.

REFERENCES

- Nelson A. Rockefeller Institute of Government. <u>1983-84 New York State</u> <u>Statistical Yearbook</u>. Albany, N.Y.: State University of New York at Albany, 1984.
- New York State Commission of Correction. Office of Program and Policy Analysis.

New York State Crime Victims Board. Administrative Services.

- New York State Department of Commerce. New York State Data Center.
- New York State Department of Correctional Services. Office of Program Planning, Research and Evaluation and the Public Information Office.
- New York State Department of Labor. <u>Resident Employment Status and the Civilian</u> <u>Labor Force: January 1984 - October 1986</u>.

New York State Department of Motor Vehicles. Research and Development.

New York State Department of Social Services. Public Information Office.

New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. Office of Justice Systems Analysis and the Office of Administrative Services.

. New York State Uniform Crime Reporting Handbook (1977).

- New York State Division of Parole. Evaluation and Planning and the Office of Administrative Services.
- New York State Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. Research and Evaluation and the Finance Office.

. <u>County Programming for Alternatives to Incarceration</u>, Third Annual Report. March, 1987.

New York State Division for Youth. Administration and Financial Management.

New York State Education Department. Information Center on Education.

- New York State Legislature. <u>Penal Law</u>. Flushing, N.Y.: Looseleaf Law Publications, Inc., 1984.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. <u>United States Census of</u> <u>Population: 1980</u>. Vol.1, <u>Characteristics of the Population</u>, PC '80-1-B34.

ORDER FORM

Other volumes of the <u>Criminal Justice Profiles</u> publication that are available are listed below. When ordering regional volumes please refer to the New York State Regional Map on the back of this page. The map identifies the regions and the counties located within each of the regions. Each requester will receive one volume free of charge; a \$2.00 charge to cover postage and handling will be applied to each additional volume requested.

To order by phone, please call (518) 457-8381.

To order by mail, please place a check mark () next to the volumes you would like.

	Volume	I	New York State
	Volume	II	Binghamton Region
	Volume	III	Buffalo Region
	Volume	IV	Capital Region
	Volume	۷.	Elmira Region
	Volume	VI	Lower Hudson Region and Long Island Region
<u> </u>	Volume	VII	Mid-Hudson Region
	Volume	VIII	Mohawk Valley Region
	Volume	IX	New York City Region
	Volume	X	Northern Region
	Volume	XI	Rochester Region
· · · ·	Volume	XII	Syracuse Region
	Volume	XIII	Major Metropolitan Areas (Erie, Monroe, Nassau,
			Onondaga, Suffolk, and Westchester Counties)

Please fill in your name and address below and mail this form to:

Bureau of Statistical Services NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services Executive Park Tower, 8th Floor Stuyvesant Plaza Albany, New York 12203

TELEPHONE								
CITY				STAT	E	Z	IP	
	: ·			· û				
ADDRESS							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
AGENCY							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
TITLE	· ····································		·		-in			
NAME		1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

 1 This charge does not apply to New York State agencies or officials.

