CR-Sent 10-5-88

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON SUBSTANCE ABUSE SENATOR JO'IN SEYMOUR, CHAIRMAN SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON AIDS SENATOR GARY K. HART, CHAIRMAN

1

Ĩ

Mpl

Joint Interim Hearing on AIDS AND THE IV DRUG USER



Tuesday, October 20, 1987 - San Francisco Wednesday, October 21, 1987 - Los Angeles

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

112053

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice,

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

Callo	rnia Legis	lature/
Joint	Publicati	ons Office

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

Additional copies of this publication may be purchased for \$10.50 per copy from: Joint Publications State Capitol, Box 942849 Sacramento, CA 94249-0001

Please add 6 percent sales tax. Make checks payable to STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON SUBSTANCE ABUSE SENATOR JOHN SEYMOUR, CHAIRMAN SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON AIDS SENATOR GARY K. HART, CHAIRMAN

112053

Joint Interim Hearing on AIDS AND THE IV DRUG USER



Tuesday, October 20, 1987 - San Francisco Wednesday, October 21, 1987 - Los Angeles

· .	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
1	
2 3	JOINT INTERIM HEARING
4	SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON SUBSTANCE ABUSE
5	
6	AND
7	
8	SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON AIDS
9	
10	
11 12	AIDS AND THE IV DRUG USER
12	
13	
14	STATE BUILDING
	350 MCALISTER STREET, ROOM 1194
16	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
17	
18	
19	TUESDAY, OCTOBER 20, 1987
20	9:30 A.M.
21	Jesu A.M.
22	
23	
24	
25	Reported by:
26	Evelyn Mizak
27	Shorthand Reporter
28	

	ii.
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	MEMBERS PRESENT
4	SENATOR JOHN SEYMOUR, Chairman Senate Select Committee on Substance Abuse
5 6	SENATOR GARY HART, Chairman Senate Select Committee on AIDS
7	SENATOR ART TORRES
8	STAFF PRESENT
9	TERRI DELGADILLO, Consultant Senate Select Committee on Substance Abuse
10	IRENE KAVANAUGH, Secretary
11	Senate Select Committee on Substance Abuse
12	ALSO PRESENT
13	DR. JOHN NEWMEYER Haight Ashbury Free Clinic
14 15	DR. WAYNE W. CLARK, Director Community Substance Abuse Services San Francisco Department of Public Health Services
	DR. NANCY PADIAN School of Public Health University of California, Berkeley
18 19	DR. NEAL FLYNN, Director Clinic for AIDS and Related Disorders University of California, Davis; Department of Internal Medicine
20 21	NAOMI GRAY San Francisco Public Health Commissioner
	DR. DONALD P. FRANCIS, AIDS Advisor
	National Center for Disease Control
•	JERRY DE JONG, Executive Director 18th Street Services
25	ZARINAH SHAKIR Multi Cultural Prevention Resource Center
26	
27	
28	

	ĺ
1	APPEARANCES (Continued)
2	LES PAPPAS
3	IV Substance Use & AIDS Education Coordinator San Francisco AIDS Foundation
4	PRISCILLA ALEXANDER
5	COYOTE GEORGE WILLIAMS
6	Community Health Outreach Worker Hospitality House
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15 16	
10	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
<u>2</u> 7	
28	

iii

		iv
1	INDEX	
2		Page
3	Proceedings	1
4	Opening Statement by SENATOR SEYMOUR	. 1
5	Opening Statement by SENATOR HART	3
6	Witnesses:	
7	DR. JOHN NEWMEYER Haight Ashbury Free Clinic	4
8	Primary and Secondary Risk Groups	4
9	Doubling of Infection	5
10	Secondary Spread Rate	6
11	Spread of Infection by IV Drug Users	7
12	Effective Methods	8
13	Street Outreach	8
14	Increased Treatment Slots	9
15	Increased Accessibility	9
16	Self-Help Groups	9
17	Testing Availability	10
18	Needle Availability	10
19	Outreach Vans	10
20	Group Cooperation	10
21	Task Forces	11
22	Law Enforcement	11
23	Substance Abuse	12
24	Summary	13
25	Question by SENATOR HART re:	20
26	Efficacy of Bleach	14
27	Necessity for Street Language	15
28		ТU

		V
1	<u>INDEX</u> (Continued)	
2		Page
3	Questions by SENATOR HART re:	
4	Percentage of Bleach Use	16
5	Needle Availability	17
	Responsibility for Distributing Bleach	18
6	Treatment Availability	18
7	Questions by SENATOR SEYMOUR re:	
8	Law Enforcement	19
9	AIDS Testing	20
10	Successful AIDS Education	21
11	DR. WAYNE W. CLARK, Director	
12	Community Substance Abuse Services San Francisco Department of Public Health Service	22
13	Treatment Availability	22
14	Question by SENATOR SEYMOUR re:	
15	Treatment Availability	23
16	Tertiary Prevention	23
17	Substance Abuse Treatment	24
18	Changing Addicts' Behaviors	24
19	Need to Increase Treatment	25
20	Expansion of Treatment Capacity	26
21	Goals	27
22	Adequate Assessments	29
23	Questions by SENATOR HART re:	23
24		2.0
25	Necessity for Goals	30
26	California's Adequacy in Funding	31

INDEX (Continued) Page DR. NANCY PADIAN School of Public Health University of California at Berkeley Epidemiological Data on Women Role of IV Drug Users Minority Infection Rates Perinatal Transmission Current Data Rate of Heterosexual Transmission Infection in Prostitutes Perinatal Transmission Questions by SENATOR TORRES re: Rate of Heterosexual Transmissions Bisexual Rates IV Drug Transmissions Income Strata Rate of Minority Infection NAOMI GRAY San Francisco Public Health Commissioner AIDS in Minorities Funding Condom Use Education in Jails Cultural Sensitivity Gangs and Drug Trafficking Necessity for Programs Question by SENATOR SEYMOUR re: Economic Attraction of Drug Dealing

vi

		vii
1	<u>INDEX</u> (Continued)	Page
2 3 4	DR. NEAL FLYNN, Director Clinic for AIDS and Related Disorders University of California at Davis Department of Internal Medicine	48
5	Sacramento AIDS Data	49
6	Rise in Infected IV Drug Users	49
7	Prevalence of Infection	50
8	Medical Liability	51
9	Suggestions	52
10	Increase Education	52
11	Increase Treatment Slots	52
12	Questions by SENATOR SEYMOUR re:	
13	Knowledge of Disinfecting Techniques	54
14	Necessity for Education	54
15	Percentage of Treatment Seekers	55
16	Questions by SENATOR HART re:	
17	California vs. New York	55
18	Promiscuity Rate	56
19	Questions by SENATOR SEYMOUR re:	
20	Sacramento vs. San Francisco	57
21	Degree of Success	57
22	Demographic Differentiation	59
23	Need for Flexibility	59
24	DR. DONALD FRANCIS AIDS Advisor	
25	National Center for Disease Control	60
26	California's Opportunity	60
27	Government's Responsibility	60
28	New York & New Jersey Situations	61

viii

INDEX (Continued) Virus Potential

Page

Effective Transmission	63
IV Drug Intervention	64
Political Will	64
Message to Users	65
Treatment Availability	66
Outreach	67
Education	67
Evaluation of Programs	68
Summary	68
Difficulty of AIDS Issue	69
Slow Response Time	69
Questions by SENATOR HART re:	
Dollar Figures for California	70
Stopping the Virus	72
Questions by SENATOR SEYMOUR re:	
Evaluation of Programs	72
Possible Success Rate	73
RY DE JONG, Executive Director h Street Services	74
Treatment Program Needs	75
Street Outreach & Education	75
Treatment Availability	76
Testing Availability	76
Question by SENATOR HART re:	
18th Street Services	77

J 1

		ix
1	<u>INDEX</u> (Continued)	Dogo
2	Questions by SENATOR SEYMOUR re:	Page
3	Anonymous Testing	78
4	Definition of Anonymous Testing	80
5	LES PAPPAS	
6	IV Substance Use & AIDS Education Coordinator San Francisco AIDS Foundation	82
7	Responsibility to Act in California	82
8	Effective Prevention Plan	83
9	Treatment	83
10	Education Campaign	83
11	Mass Media Efforts	85
12	Needle Accessibility	86
13	Questions by SENATOR HART re:	
14	Funding for Educational Materials	88
15	State Guidelines	88
16 17	ZARINAH SHAKIR Multicultural Prevention Resource Center	89
18	Treatment Programs	90
19	Question by SENATOR HART re:	
20	Residential Out-Patient Center	91
21	PRISCILLA ALEXANDER	
22	COYOTE	92
23	Prostitutes and AIDS	93
24	IV Drug Use Among Prostitutes	94
25	Condom Usage	95
26	HIV Testing	96
27	Education	97
28	State-Approved Materials	98
	Police Practices	99

		x
1	I N D E X (Continued)	Page
2	Alternatives to Prostitution	100
3	Questions by SENATOR SEYMOUR re:	
4	Percentage of IV Drug Users	101
5	Use of Condoms	102
6	Pimp Cooperation	103
7	Effect of Pimps and AIDS	105
8	GEORGE WILLIAMS	TOD
Э	Community Health Outreach Worker Hospitality House	107
10	CHOW Message	107
11	Cultural Aspect of Tenderloin	108
12	Emotional Backdrop	108
13	Barriers	109
14	Average Profile	110
15	Intervention Strategies	110
16	Effective Literature	111
17	Misconceptions	112
18	Need for Tolerance	114
19	Questions by SENATOR SEYMOUR re:	
20	Need for Compromise	115
21	Success in Tenderloin	117
22	Number of People Reached	117
23	Pimps and Condom Usage	119
24	Minority Percentage	120
25	Succes of Other CHOWs	120
26	Most Popular Literature	
27	Use of Less Graphic Language	122
28	ase or hess grabure ngudnade	123

		xi
ł	<u>INDEX</u> (Continued)	Page
2	Questions by SENATOR HART re:	
3	Biggest Misconception	124
4	Advice	127
5	Outreach Network	129
6	Hospitality House	129
7	Termination of Proceedings	130
8	Certificate of Reporter	131
ų		
10		1
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	n an	
: •		

PROCEEDINGS

1

--00000--

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. The hour of 9:30 having arrived, I would like to begin our interim hearing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

28

We have a total of twelve witnesses we're going to hear from today, and I want to provide each of them adequate time in which to make their presentation and offer their testimony.

Senator Hart, the Chairman of the Senate Select Committee on AIDS, will be joining us shortly, and I believe we may have a number of other Senators joining us later during the hearing.

The Senate Select Committee on Substance Abuse has spent the past four years working with public and private organizations throughout the state in an all out effort to reduce drug and alcohol abuse in California. Recently, our war against substance abuse met an uphill battle when the AIDS virus plagued the IV drug using population.

Now, not only must we address the debilitating effects
 of injecting illegal drugs, but we must also focus our attention
 on changing the behavioral patterns of the IV drug user, which
 contribute to the spread of this infectious disease.

The alarming statistics underscore the urgency of our efforts. For example, experts indicate that nationally over 25 percent of all identified AIDS victims have reported a history of IV drug use. Currently in California, approximately 10 percent of the people suffering from AIDS report a history of injecting illegal drugs. Furthermore, it is apparent that with approximately 425,000 needle using drug addicts, AIDS prevention must be an integral part of any statewide effort to reduce the illegal use of controlled substances.

Although almost anyone is theoretically capable of 5 spreading the AIDS virus, the increasing avenue of transmission 6 is through the IV drug user. Especially prevalent is the 7 transmission occurring as a result of contaminated needle 8 sharing. Recognizing this deadly phenomenon, I on behalf of the 9 Senate Select Committee on Substance Abuse invited Senator Hart 10 and the Senate Select Committee on AIDS to co-sponsor joint 11 public hearings to gather information from experts in an attempt 12 to answer the following questions: 13

14 One, what can be done to stop the spread of AIDS among 15 the IV drug user population?

Two, what can we, as elected officials, do to help you with your efforts to curtail the spread of the AIDS virus in this population?

Three, how should the prevention of AIDS be addressed in the statewide Master Plan to reduce substance abuse in California?

Although these hearings will cover the prevention, treatment and epidemiological aspects of the relationship between AJDS and IV drug users, Senator Hart and I have encouraged the testifiers in responding to these questions to address the following issues which are of particular concern to the Committees: One, the relationship between AIDS prevention and

28

substance abuse treatment; two, the relationship between AIDS prevention and the punishment of illegal drug activity; three, the relationship between the IV drug user, AIDS, and the minority population; four, the spread of the AIDS virus to the non-IV drug using heterosexual population; five, the transmission of the AIDS virus from the IV drug user to an unborn or infant child; six, the relationship between the IV drug user, prostitution, and the sexual spread of AIDS; and, as time permits, the relationship between all substance abuse and the transmission of the AIDS virus.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

25

26

27

28

Curtailing the spread of AIDS by the IV drug user population is a major task that could save many lives. I am most confident that with the valuable assistance provided by each expert witness who will testify before us at these hearings, we will have the necessary components to set forth on our effort to prevent the spread of the AIDS virus while simultaneously reducing substance abuse in California.

Senator Hart, Chairman of the Senate Select Committee on AIDS, has now joined us. Senator Hart, whose home is in Santa Barbara, has been very active as Chairman of the State Senate's Education Committee, and therefore active in carrying legislation this year to ensure adequate education on AIDS in our schools. And as I said, he's Chairman of the Senate Select Committee on AIDS.

Senator Hart, do you have any opening comments? SENATOR HART: Just that I want to commend you, Senator Seymour, for holding this hearing. I think it's on a terribly

important subject, and you made reference to the fact that lives can be saved if we take appropriate steps and actions.

I think this is a very timely hearing, and I'm certainly looking forward to the testimony we're going to hear today.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

28

Our first witness this morning will be Dr. John Newmeyer, representing the Haight Ashbury Free Clinic.

Dr. Newmeyer, welcome back.

DR. NEWMEYER: Thank you, Senator.

10 I'd like to make just five points this morning relevant 11 to the relationship of substance abuse of the spread of AIDS.

Before I do so, it's important to clarify what I mean in 12 my discussion of primary risk groups and secondary risk groups. 13 By primary risk groups, I mean the people who are the -- the 14 activities which first seem to be implicated in the spread of 15 These were three in number: sex between men, that this disease. 16 is homosexual sex; second, sharing intravenous needles for the 17 use of drugs; and third, the receipt of blood products either for 18 blood transfusions or part of the treatment for hemophilia. 19 These are the primary risk groups. 20

Secondary risk groups are essentially everyone else, particularly those people who became infected because of contact with that primary risk group member.

The first point to make, of course, is that in California, we've mainly seen an epidemic among the first of the risk group members, the homosexual and bisexual men. We like to say men have sex with men, because a lot of these individuals,

especially in prisons, do not at all self-identify themselves as gay or bisexual. And when we speak of heterosexual intravenous drug users, it's really a much smaller proportion than the ten percent you've mentioned at first. Most of these are gay or bisexual men with a history of use of the needle. Roughly about two percent of the total AIDS caseload has been among heterosexual IV drug users. 7

1

2

3

4

5

6

28

However, small as these numbers are, we can't be 8 encouraged by them because what we've observed where we've had 9 the opportunity to do research on seroprevalence, that is on 10 people infected with the virus, we see approximately a doubling 11 every year. For example, the Chason data and the Watters data 12 from San Francisco between 1985 and 1986 documented an 13 approximate doubling of the infection rate among heterosexual IV 14 drug users; roughly 6 or 7 percent to about 12-15 percent between 15 '85 and '86. 16

Tomorrow, when you go to Los Angeles, you'll probably 17 hear testimony from Dr. Anglin or Joe Arnold relevant to a 18 similar doubling in Los Angeles, very roughly speaking from about 19 2 percent to 4 percent in the year '86-87. 20

So, if doubling is occurring every year, we can take no 21 comfort from the present seroprevalence rates, seropositivity 22 rates among IV drug users being either one, or two, or five or 23 ten percent range. It only takes about six doublings to get from 24 one percent to 65 percent, and only three doublings to get from 25 the current levels of 8 percent now in Alameda County, for 26 example, to a saturation rate of 60-65 percent. So, when you go 27

in a doubling model, you have to realize that one to eight percent, that's three doublings, takes the same amount of time from 8 to 60 percent. So, when we see 8 percent of seropositivity rates, for example, in some of these medium-sized counties, we should be very alarmed indeed.

Thus, the epidemic spreads independently in different communities. Winning or losing the battle in San Francisco County has very little to do with winning or losing the battle in Sacramento county or in Shasta County.

The second point to make is that because of the 10 relatively small incidence to date of AIDS among IV drug users in 11 California, we've also enjoyed a relatively small amount of 12 secondary spread. One of the two papers I've given to the 13 Committee, "The IV Drug User and Secondary Spread of AIDS", 14 presents an argument and data to support the contention that if 15 you do not have an epidemic or contagion among straight IV drug 16 users, then you will not have secondary spread to heterosexual 17 partners or to children of risk group members. In other words, 18 the so-called bisexual phenomenon, that is where you have 19 bisexuals sleeping with his boyfriend on Friday night and his $\dot{2}0$ girlfriend on Saturday night, that doesn't seem to be a 21 widespread enough phenomenon to have led to a lot of spread from 22 bisexual men to heterosexual women. 23

The spread to heterosexual women, roughly three-quarters to four-fifths of it seems to have occurred from intravenous drug using men to their female partners. Likewise, three-quarters to four-fifths of the spread from primary risk group parents to

28.

children perinatally, seems to have occurred from IV drug using parents to their children. Very little bisexual father, or hemophiliac or blood transfusion recipients involved in it there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

25

26

27

28

And this seems to be likely to be the case in the next several years, because infections in fact are spreading faster among IV drug users than it is spreading among bisexual men or among hemophiliacs or blood transfusion recipients. That means that in the late '80s or early '90s, we can continue to look forward to total dominance of the IV drug user as an individual responsible for spreading the virus to secondary risk groups.

What this has as a consequence is first of all that we should not blame non-IV drug using gays at all in 1987 for spreading the virus to the so-called general population. In fact, we could contend that gays who do not engage in intravenous use are responsible for zero secondary spread of the virus.

I think that is important for policy makers to realize root the they can help to remove the onus of infection of the population from the gay male subgroup. That subpopulation has enough to worry about without being inappropriately blamed for spreading the virus among the general population.

21 On the other hand, we do have to realize that the IV 22 drug user is responsible for the great bulk of the spread. As I 23 contended in my paper, between three-quarters and four-fifths of 24 the responsibility is upon the straight IV drug user.

That means that to the extent we're interested in preventing secondary spread in the population, and I think that's just one of many motivations vis-a-vis the AIDS epidemic, to the

extent that's our motivation, we should really focus on the intravenous drug user and spend a lot of dollars per capita on that individual to prevent any more of them from becoming infected and to prevent them from spreading their infection to their sexual partners or their babies.

8

The third point I'd like to make is that we have 6 developed over the last three or four years a fairly strong 7 armamentarium of methods that seem effective in dealing with the 8 problem of preventing AIDS among intravenous drug users. And g this summer, just during the last two or three months, I'm happy 10 to report some additional research data which confirms the 11 efficacy of these efforts. I won't go through the seven elements 12 in what I think are the seven methods that thus far have proven 13 themselves, just to mention them briefly. 14

First of all, the community health outreach workers, the so-called bleach method, bleach and condoms, to go out into the field and tell the intravenous users, "Look, you're at risk for AIDS. You should be either no using needles at all, or not sharing needles, or at least disinfecting your works with bleach every time you share them." And going back to the same people and supporting them in avoiding such risky behaviors.

You'll hear more about this probably from George Williams, my colleague at the MidCity Consortium to Combat AIDS, who will testify this afternoon on that method. But that's an important method to get the user who's still out on the street, instead of waiting for that person to come into treatment.

28

3.4

That also has a very important component of one-to-one counseling on the street with a peer person who's street-wise, and who comes back again and again to affirm, and support, and reinforce reducing risky behavior.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

28

The research of John Watters of the Urban Health Study has supported the hypothesis that this work is efficacious. We were able at the MidCity Consortium and the Urban Health Study to document that some two-thirds of intravenous drug users in targeted neighborhoods had used bleach as a disinfectant, and nearly two-thirds of those claimed to have used it all or nearly all of the times that injection equipment was shared. So, for these targeted neighborhoods with an outreach program, people are taking to the method and using a technique which is cheap, quick, and convenient to disinfect their works.

The other methods in our quiver are increased treatment slots, making treatment available, because treatment after all is prevention, particularly if it's targeted at the intravenous drug users. 18

The third approach is grease the wheel or increase accessibility to treatment, particularly for the high risk IV drug user. And New Jersey has instituted the coupon program, which is described in my paper, for getting coupons for quick and free access to treatment for the high risk IV drug users.

Self-help groups are very important. Of course, we have 24 the Alcoholics Anonymous, and there is such a thing as Narcotics 25 Anonymous, and today we even have "junkie unions". I think gay 26 men provide a very powerful and attractive model here that 27

community help itself to protect itself against the virus, and our outreach workers themselves, most of them ex-users, are kind of self-help ex-users helping their still using fellows to become free of the threat of AIDS.

1

2

3

T

5

6

7

8

9

10

28

Increased testing availability helps users to become aware of their sero status, and as John Watters puts it, "There's no atheists in the foxhole." When you find out you're seropositive, suddenly you become a believer in treatment, want to go into treatment. You really are concerned that you want to quit the use of the needle and get healthy.

Sixth approach, of course, is needle availability or exchange, not yet legal in California, but there can be de facto forms of increased availability of sterile injection equipment.

Finally, the approach of outreach vans has proven efficacious in New Jersey, bringing a van with a physician, and with counseling, with bleach and condoms, with other materials, to the users in the sites where intravenous drug users congregate.

So, we have a lot of arrows in our quiver, and a lot of efficacious methods that this summer's research, I think, has proved useful in approaching the problem of reducing the risk of IV drug users.

23 What's really developed just this year, though, and this 24 is the fourth point I want to make, is the ability of all these 25 groups to work together to provide in a given city a menu of 26 alternatives to prevention and risk reduction that can be 27 selected among, given the political and financial constraints of the community or county. So, it's important to provide a list of alternatives so that a county which is more strapped for cash, or more restrained in what it can do politically, can at least choose several among these several methods as its integrated approach for prevention and risk reduction among IV drug users.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

28

What happens when the groups begin to work together, they become more sympathetic and supportive of one another. We find that in the last year, there's been a turnaround in the attitude of the recovery people, the Narcotics Anonymous people, in their attitude towards methadone. They've become more supportive. After all, we're in this battle together and we have to work against the problem of AIDS, and bury our differences in philosophy about treatment.

So, I would encourage the Committee to consider 14 legislating or perhaps recommending to counties that they 15 establish AIDS Substance Abuse Task Forces in the counties, such 16 as has been established in San Francisco and a few other large 17 counties, to get the people who are concerned about the problem 18 of AIDS among drug users working together, talking together on a 19 monthly basis, perhaps sponsored by the county drug program 20 coordinators at their offices. This has been, as I say, 21 marvelous in San Francisco, to get people sitting in the same 22 room, and thinking that they have a common goal. 23

Not forgetting that you can involve law enforcement people in this process. Law enforcement is itself a form of prevention if it's judiciously applied, and there's a lot of ways it can go wrong. One way to go wrong is when law enforcement

makes sterile injection equipment less available rather more available to the user because a consequence is increased sharing. This has been a problem. You've got to encourage law enforcement people not to bust people for probable cause if they're carrying around a bottle of bleach, or condoms if they're prostitutes.

6 So, as I say, I encourage the formation of task forces 7 within the counties or communities to work together and establish 8 common policy and common political pressure on their county 9 boards of supervisors to get effective risk reduction activities 10 for the IV drug users in place.

The fifth and final point to make is that we mustn't 11 forget that there are other aspects of substance abuse and AIDS. 12 Not only is the needle a means of spread of the virus, but the 13 abuse of substances is, first of all, immunosuppressive. Heavy 14 use of certain drugs weaken immune systems, heavy use of alcohol 15 can weaken the immune system. And second, heavy use of drugs or 16 alcohol can be a disinhibitor. You get drunk, you forget your 17 inhibitions; you forget about safe sex; you forget about safe 18 needle use; and that becomes a cause of increased risk for AIDS 19 virus contagion. 20

21 One of the things that can done is encourage counties to 22 work with their gay and lesbian populations to develop self-help 23 groups. The most effective model in alcohol seems to be the 24 12-step or Alcoholics Anonymous model, and it's worked 25 wonderfully in San Francisco as a means to reduce risk among gay 26 men who, through substance abuse, might have put themselves at 27 risk through the disinhibition. So, we're encouraging people to

28

contact Jerry de Jong and his group at 18th Street Services to find out how San Francisco does it in setting up the 12-step model among the gay male population. That's the biggest thing happening now in San Francisco; the largest contingent ever Gay Parade in June is the gay men and women who are in recovery for abstaining from alcohol through a 12-step model.

I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

26

27

28

So, those are the points I wanted to make. To recapitulate briefly, the problem is at hand. It's double every year. If nothing is done, it will increase towards saturation levels in each community where there are intravenous drug users.

Secondly, that if you don't stop it among the IV drug users, you'll have a problem with secondary spread. That will pretty much be absent if you don't have a problem among IV drug users.

Third, we have at least seven efficacious methods to deal with the problem.

Fourth, you get the people who are practitioners of these several methods to work together. They will start supporting one another and develop a common front and a coordinated effort and will help counties or communities themselves to split the pie appropriately, the prevention dollar pie.

And fifthly, not to forget that there are other means, other ways in which substance abuse leads to risk for contracting the AIDS virus.

Thank you very much.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Dr. Newmeyer, thank you. If you'll
just stand by for perhaps some questions from our Members.

I winted to welcome Senator Art Torres in joining us this morning. Senator Torres is from Los Angeles. He has been very out spoken not only on the issue of AIDS, but substance abuse as well. He serves in quite a number of capacities in the Senate, in particular he is here today as a Member of Senator Hart's Select Committee on AIDS.

9 We welcome you, and if you'd like to make some 10 appropriate comments, now might be the time.

Do we have any questions of Dr. Newmeyer? Senator Hart. SENATOR HART: Thank you, Dr. Newmeyer. I find your testimony interesting.

To someone who doesn't know much about the IV drug culture, some of my questions may seem a bit naive, but we have to start somewhere.

You mentioned and said that perhaps someone later is going to speak about this bleach phenomenon. I've read somewhere where it's not 100 percent effective.

20 Can you give me some better understanding to what extent 21 this is a safe procedure?

22 What immediately comes to mind is, like condoms, it 23 reduces risk but it's not entirely safe.

28

DR. NEWMEYER: That's true. We can't tell the folks that they're 100 percent free of risk if they rinse their workings with bleach. Like a condom, there could be some things that go wrong: fail fully to draw back the barrel of the syringe

and the little virus can hide at the top; or there could be other ways in which the virus is not fully destroyed by the bleach.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

28

In the laboratory, even bleach in a 1-10 dilution very quickly kills the AIDS virus and very completely. So, in the laboratory, bleach is effective. 5

We need tests of the kind of in the street applications of the bleach method. I feel, though, that we're well in the 90 percent efficacy range for a practical way in which the needle is used or the bleach is used to sterilize the needle.

George Williams, I think, this afternoon will talk about what he's observed on the street with the folks actually using the bleach. It's important, though.

SENATOR HART: Your testimony is that you think when this is used on the street, it's 90 percent effective?

> DR. NEWMEYER: It's at least 90 percent effective.

The importance here is to get word from the street back 16 to the people who are running the programs or who are running the 17 counties. So, I would encourage that each county that sets up a 18 task force have representation from the people who are ex-users 19 or perhaps even users now. You'll hear from them that you need 20 to talk the language of the street. That language may be 21 Spanish; that language may be a kind of lower socioeconomic 22 status argot. So that you get the message across to the people. 23

The difficulty we had at first was, we tried to talk to 24 the people on the street in the language of doctors and nurses, 25 and that was a mistake. We printed nice brochures and pamphlets, 26 which beautifully spelled out the risk of AIDS, the nature of 27

AIDS, ways to prevent getting it, but it didn't talk to the people in the language they understood. So, there's cultural appropriateness that's important here, and also feedback from how the bleach and how the condoms are actually being used to make sure that we're getting -- approaching 100 percent efficacy.

16

6 SENATOR HART: Can you tell me, in your work in this 7 area, what percentage of people who use IV drugs use bleach or 8 take appropriate precautions to try and have clean instruments?

9 DR. NEWMEYER: Well, the Urban Health Study looked only 10 at the neighborhoods that we targeted heavily with the outreach 11 workers. We, as I say, found about two-thirds of them were using 12 some sort of sterilization technique at least some of the time, 13 and two-thirds of those, or a little less than 50 percent of the 14 total, were using it essentially all the time.

As far as knowing that they should sterilize their works or avoid sharing needles, that's nearly a hundred percent in San Francisco and, I think, Los Angeles. People are aware that they're at risk, but to help them, you have to empower them with a method that's quick and easy and convenient to take steps to protect their own health.

We broke down the myth that the junkies and speed freaks don't care about their health. They do care about their health, but you've got to make it easy and quick and convenient for them to take steps to protect their health. So we thought, well, we'll get a moil equivalent of the condom that you can carry in your pocket, thus the little bottles of bleach.

27

28.

SENATOR HART: So it's two-thirds in areas where there's 1 been an outreach program. You're saying two-thirds use it some 2 of the time but not necessarily all of the time. 3 Right. DR. NEWMEYER: 4 SENATOR HART: In areas where you don't have outreach, 5 it's substantially less? 6 Substantially, that's why we are trying DR. NEWMEYER: 7 to blanket all of San Francisco, so at least our county's IV 8 users can protect themselves. 9 SENATOR HART: What about the issue, and I presume it's 10 politically sensitive, but giving away free needles? Has that 11 been ever seriously considered or pursued? 12 DR. NEWMEYER: Yes, we've broached it as long as three 13 years ago. It's an obvious approach that seems to work in 14 European communities. 15 However, we recognize it's politically difficult, and 16 we've, as I say, have developed six other arrows in our quiver 17 because we felt, at least in California, it's politically 18 difficult to do this particular approach now. But there is, at 19 least in San Francisco County, a de facto availability of sterile 20 needles. Especially the middle-class junkies and speed freaks 21 know how to go into a pharmacy and say, "I'm a diabetic. I don't 22 have my card with me. I need some sterile needles." 23 So, if law enforcement winks at this, in effect law 24 enforcement becomes the ally of prevention because we believe 25 this indeed -- people do this because they want to avoid sharing 26 needles, and in effect they're avoiding the AIDS virus. 27

28

SENATOR HART: Who is responsible for giving out the bleach? Is that through some State subsidized fund at all?

1

)

3

4

5

6

11

17

28

DR. NEWMEYER: This is the MidCity Consortium to Combat AIDS, and it's had support at all levels: from the county, from the State, and from the federal government. And we've worked closely with people in Sacramento and Washington.

SENATOR HART: The State is on record as supporting this 7 particular approach? 8

DR. NEWMEYER: Yes. I would emphasize, though, that we 9 take care to bring the bleach and the information to the people 10 who are already intravenous drug users, and also the first thing we say to them is, "Let's get you into treatment. Are you ready 12 for treatment?" So in those ways we affirm that the best way to 13 avoid the virus is to stop using needles altogether. 14

SENATOR HART: One other question, if I may, Mr. 15 Chairman. A question of drug treatment. 16

Was it your testimony that we're already sort of oversubscribed in drug treatment? If someone says, "I want to go 18 into drug treatment," you have to wait in line? 19

DR. NEWMEYER: Certainly in this county there's a 20 waiting list for all the programs, but if the State's not willing 21 to double the treatment dollar expenditure, which is obviously an 22 excellent idea because you get benefits not only from AIDS but 23 also from reduced crime and other benefits, but if the State 24 doesn't double the money, at least it could add a little bit of 25 money and say, "Look, let's make these monies especially 26 available for persons who are high at risk for the AIDS virus." 27

For example, Ward 92 here in San Francisco now focuses almost all of its treatment on seropositive IV drug users or IV drug users who have AIDS or ARC.

SENATOR HART: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Dr. Newmeyer, just a couple of follow-up questions.

First on law enforcement being a positive force. You mentioned a couple of examples were they would be a positive force, I assume, for not making a bust when they carry a bottle of bleach, to -- you used the words "wink at" certain conduct.

My question is, in what other ways can law enforcement be a positive force with this problem?

DR. NEWMEYER: Well, law enforcement means to reduce the growth of substance abuse.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Right.

DR. NEWMEYER: And if it particularly targets cocaine, methamphetamine and heroin, and it's efficacious at reducing the availability of those drugs in the communities, then I think it becomes the ally of the AIDS prevention effort.

But as I say this has to be judiciously done. There's a certain elasticity in the demand for a drug like heroin, and making it more scarce and expensive doesn't necessarily change the prevalence of use because you're dealing with addiction here.

However, I think law enforcement does play a role in making a career as a intravenous drug user less attractive to the young person who might think of starting to use the needle, by busting the dealers, busting people who might become ghetto heroes if they were left free to practice their nefarious trade.

So, law enforcement can reduce the attractiveness of a 1 career as a user or dealer of drugs, and that's helpful. 2 SENATOR SEYMOUR: The second question has to do with 3 other politically sensitive issues, testing. 4 What is your experience relative to IV drug users who 5 check in for one or another treatment program and their desire to 6 have a test for AIDS? 7 And the second part of the question would be, to the 8 degree they do not desire that, is it of merit to consider what 9 would happen if, when checking into a program, part of the 10 program was to submit to an AIDS test? 11 DR. NEWMEYER: It would decrease the interest in the 12 program then. We have a saying that when untested blood is 13 outlawed, only outlaws will have untested blood. 14 Users are very wary of anything that is mandatory or 15 which exposes them to increased surveillance. 16 SENATOR SEYMOUR: What percentage of IV drug users 17 willingly seek a test on AIDS having checked into a treatment 18 program? 19 I can't answer that question. DR. NEWMEYER: I'll leave 20that to my colleagues. 21 SENATOR SEYMOUR: We'll ask someone at a later time that 22 guestion. 23 You make it clear in the area of education that perhaps 24 the sophisticated techniques, if that's an appropriate 25 description, really isn't equipped to go into the streets to 26 provide that educational message, and that there is an entire 27

28

1 culture at work here that appears almost, I would assume, that we need to address.

Are you familiar with with some specific examples of programs where education on AIDS and IV drug use have been successful?

DR. NEWMEYER: Yes, I think we can demonstrate that even an hour of exposure to training or information turns around the level of knowledge and the attitudes of the IV drug users. They are intelligent, or as intelligent as the general population about their health.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: You had indicated, Dr. Newmeyer, that close to 100 percent of IV drug users are aware --

DR. NEWMEYER: Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

28

14 SENATOR SEYMOUR: -- of the dangers of contacting AIDS 15 through IV drug use.

On the other hand, you also made the statement that when you shot up, or you're inebriated on alcohol, you don't have all your faculties, and therefore you're subject to.

So, I'm not so interested in the hundred percent that know there's a problem. I'm more interested in perhaps a feel for how effective -- what are the most effective education programs to the community, IV drug user community, to get them to change their habits, ideally give drugs up?

DR. NEWMEYER: In my judgment, the most effective program is one which addresses not beliefs or attitudes, but behavior. And that means reinforcement on a one-to-one basis, getting people who are peer counselors out there to go back to

the person and reinforce, say, "That's a good thing," or, "Here, I can help you. What problem are you having?" Give them, empower them to say, "No, I'm not going to share," or, "I'm not going to share without disinfecting that needle."

5 SENATOR SEYMOUR: One last question in that regard. 6 Do you find a significant number of current IV drug 7 users going into their community and saying, "Hey, I shoot up, 8 but if you're going to do it, use a bleach sit, clean needles, et 9 cetera?"

10 DR. NEWMEYER: Yes, I think George Williams will attest 11 to that this afternoon.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Any other questions?

Dr. Newmeyer, we certainly appreciate your testimony.
14 Thank you for being with us today.

15

12

DR. NEWMEYER: Thank you.

16 SENATOR SEYMOUR: Our next witness is Dr. Wayne W. 17 Clark, who's the Director of Community Substance Abuse Services 18 for the San Francisco Department of Public Health Services.

Dr. Clark, thank you for taking the time to be with us. DR. CLARK: I thought I might begin by just answering one of the questions that Dr. Newmeyer addressed but didn't complete.

23 We've been taking a waiting list now in San Francisco 24 for the last three months, and on a weekly basis have over 500 25 people waiting to get into our treatment services that are 26 potentially at risk to the spread or infection for the HIV virus. 27 We feel that is a problem that the State especially needs to 28 address. My remarks are geared toward that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

28

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Dr. Clark, before you proceed, I have an immediate question on the point you just made.

Those 500 that are waiting to get into treatment, what do you do for them other than take their names? Do you give them information?

DR. CLARK: Correct. We give them AIDS education and information, and we try to keep in contact with them so we can get them into treatment as quickly as possible. But unfortunately, the treatment availability in San Francisco and, I think, throughout the State of California, is woefully underfunded.

My remarks today are at the less attractive part of 13 prevention, and that is tertiary prevention. Tertiary prevention 14 is an aspect of treating those people who are either infected or 15 at risk for the spread of a public health problem, in this case 16 HIV infection. And I want to talk specifically about treatment. 17 I think there will be others today that will talk about the 18 different education and information methods, modalities, outreach 19 efforts. 20

In San Francisco, we have tried to have a balanced approach. We don't know anything that works 100 percent of the time, but we do feel that there are a number of weapons that we can employ in the battle against AIDS.

Every time an IV drug user is successfully enrolled in substance abuse treatment, then five other person will no longer be at risk for contracting HIV infection. Today I would like to

approach the development of a substance abuse treatment designed to prevent the spread of HIV infection in the State of California.

I've attached an article from the New York Times which 4 illustrates there is considerable opportunity for using substance 5 abuse, residential and out-patient treatment programs to 6 effectively reduce needle use and stop unsafe sexual practices. These two practices account for over 85 percent of HIV 8 transmission and are usually performed under the influence of g mind altering substances. Substance abuse treatments can 10 significantly impact the spread of HIV infection until an 11 effective anti-viral agent is available. 12

We need to go beyond the quick fix. I will not focus my 13 attention today on other more common approaches to substance 14 abuse prevention, such as client recruitment, community outreach, 15 or even school-based education. Instead, I will let the many 16 other speakers focus their attention on the issue of educating 17 and informing the public. Nor will I belabor you with statistics 18 on the spread of the epidemic. I'm sure Dr. Newmeyer and others 19 will speak to that. 20

Instead I will present a strategy designed at changing the drug addict's behavior, a subject in which I feel the substance community is especially expert at. Substance abuse treatment can be and is prevention for AIDS.

At this time, most public policies for substance abuse the related HIV infection are targeted toward primary and secondary prevention. Tertiary prevention or direct substance abuse

28

treatment interventions are not considered as effective a method for controlling this epidemic. Public policy seems to be relying on more general prevention and outreach strategies.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In San Francisco, we have pioneered community outreach efforts. John Watters and other from the MidCity Consortium have been excellent in getting out into the communities and using persons that are recovering from their addictions to go and talk to others that are still sharing and using needles.

We attempted to balance our long-range substance abuse related AIDS prevention strategies between the street outreach, client recruitment, and direct treatment services. California, in fact, has been a supporter of these efforts through the allocation of recent federal War on Drug funds for substance abuse treatment to prevent the spread of AIDS. Unfortunately, these funds were one-time federal monies with no guarantee of ongoing funding, and we're not matched by any State general fund dollars.

Today there is a need for California, the California Legislature, to initiate and triple the size of the substance abuse budgets over the next three years. This effort would be targeted toward the at risk populations who are basically under the age of 60, who are future or currently sexually active citizens. And this effort to increase treatment availability should only be undertaken while continuing the education and information activities already begun by the Department of Health Services.

We feel that we have learned many lessons in education 1 and motivation regarding substance abuse. The expansion of 2 substance abuse treatment capacity is necessary, we feel, for two 3 fundamental reasons. First of all, substance abuse treatment has L been shown to be effective in reducing the continued use of 5 injectable and other mind altering drugs. We must remember that 6 the reduction of needle sharing and under the influence 7 disinhibited sex is of first importance for stopping HIV 8 transmission from the infected to the uninfected. Moreover, 9 substance abuse treatment for at risk seronegatives, those who 10 are not testing positive for HIV infection, will also help 11 prevent the uninfected from becoming infected. Treatment 12 interventions ensure this reduction by fostering abstinence from 13 drugs, or we might even add, prescribing non-intoxicating 14 medicinal substitutions such as methadone for intervening with 15 the IV drug user. These efforts in the war against HIV infection 16 are accomplished through the expansion of substance abuse 17 treatment services. 18

28

There is a recent advertisement in the -- one of the newspapers that the best prevention for AIDS is to treat a treatable illness, and we feel that's substance abuse, which is a treatable illness.

The second reason that expansion is necessary is that during the current anti-drug push, there already has been an increase in awareness of the dangers of drug abuse which, in our community and I'm sure throughout California, has resulted in a subsequent demand for services. In San Francisco, persons

seeking substance abuse treatment are placed on waiting lists for up to six months. There's an average of over 500 persons per week that are on waiting lists.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Unfortunately, many drug abusers never return for treatment. Instead they return to high risk behavior. This lack of intervention is an intolerable but common phenomenon throughout California and portends to continual spread of HIV infection.

We think the California Legislature and the administration should set some goals. We should reduce seroconversion. It is my request that the California Legislature adopt the following goals: To hold the seroprevalence of HIV infection to within five percentage points of its 1987 rates. California needs to mount a virtual Manhattan Project in the research, prevention, education, and care of the AIDS patient. Federal, State and local governments, universities and private sector resources are needed to be amassed in order to mount the war against AIDS. An entire arsenal of prevention strategies, from mass media campaigns to community based activities, are indeed needed. We feel very strongly that substance abuse treatments are important strategies in this prevention battle.

The California Legislature itself needs to take the leadership to create an additional \$20 million in annual expenditure of general fund monies for substance abuse treatment services each year for the next three years.

We feel that there's an example of same-day service which could be very important in preventing HIV infection. The

availability of services for what usually are considered undermotivated health care consumers is very important, so the availability of substance abuse treatment services and the accessibility of treatment programs is essential to stop substance abuse and thus reduce the spread of AIDS.

1

2

3

4

5

28

Moreover, we feel that this attempt is a realistic goal. 0 Community treatment grants could be established in key areas 7 where the IV AIDS cases are high and where there is large 8 concentration of uninfected IV drug users. Targets for these 9 grants would be metropolitan areas such as San Jose, Sacramento, 10 Anaheim, Los Angeles, Long Beach, San Diego, Sonoma County, 11 Fresno, Oakland, and then of course, San Francisco. The impact 12 of these service expansions would be dramatic and certainly bring 13 into balance the primary and secondary prevention -- the primary 14 approach of the National Institute of Drug Abuse and the Center 15 for Disease Control, into balance in the California effort. 16

I'd like to give two examples of why I think that this 17 actually works and can work. In 1986, we received some funding 18 from the State for the development of an out-patient drug 19 treatment program. Jerry de Jong will talk about that program 20 That program now has served over 180 different HIV later on. 21 infected individuals who, without that service, would have 22 continued to have either abused substances and potentially spread 23 the HIV infection. 24

25 Another example comes from New York City, where they 26 recently opened an additional 500 methadone spaces, and the were 27 filled within days. There is a demand for service. If services

were available, we would get at risk individuals off the streets, abstinence from their drug of choice, not sharing needles, and performing safe sex.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

26

27

28

The point is that substance abuse treatment -- substance abuse is a treatable disease, and with adequate resources can be a powerful tool in the fight against the spread of AIDS.

We feel that policy makers like yourselves should not enter into a new initiative without adequate assessment of the impact that these have. In the case of AIDS prevention, it is even more critical to know what works and what doesn't. We feel that substance abuse community network grants to prevent the spread of AIDS should be carefully measured for their success in reducing HIV infection as well as substance abuse.

Never before, I would think, in the substance abuse field have we felt it so important to find out what exactly works, how well it works, and for what populations. Our clients, our colleagues, have been infected with AIDS. Some have died.
We know this. We work with them, and we've tried to help them, and we feel that there is no better avenue for prevention of this epidemic than to help an addict get off of drugs.

In conclusion, I would like to reiterate the assertion that substance abuse treatment is an effective weapon in the prevention of HIV infection. Substance abuse funding needs to be increased in California by Californians for the protection of California's health.

Thank you very much.

SENATOR HART: Thank you very much, Dr. Clark. Let me 2 ask a couple of questions.

3

4

5

6

7

8

28

I was intrigued by your comment that the State needs to set goals, and you said the specific goal as it relates to the HIV infection rates.

Could you elaborate on that a bit? Why did you pick that particular figure, and why do you feel that goals are important in sort of overall strategy that we're embarking upon?

DR. CLARK: We're currently looking at in San Francisco, 9 in fact actually in our substance abuse area, of going to a 10 retreat. We have set goals to try to keep the doubling that Dr. 11 Newmeyer expressed from happening at least two years ago. And we 12 are not doing as well as we would like to. In fact, if you look 13 at our 4 percent scroprevalence rate in 1985, and 8 percent 14 seroprevalence rates in 1986, and a 16 percent seroprevalence 15 rate in 1987, we feel that we are in fact not reducing the 16 doubling that has occurred in New York. Next year it could be 32 17 percent, and the following year 64 percent. 18

We feel that we want to set goals, look at the objectives of program we've implemented to manage those goals and keep the seroprevalence rates low. We feel that it's a very difficult task.

The AIDS virus is extremely tenacious, and it's infected many parts of our population. Goal setting, we think, is very important.

26 SENATOR HART: And the specific goal that you suggested 27 here --

DR. CLARK: Keeping the seroconversion rate low, within several percentage points. We think that's at least a mechanism to try to take those that are infected from transmitting it to others and keeping the infection rate low.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

28

SENATOR HART: Let me ask one other question.

The Governor in vetoing funds that the Legislature had appropriated has said, and his general rhetorical statement is: California is already doing so much more than other states.

I'd be interested in your response to that, particularly in light of the experience of New York and New Jersey who, as I understand it, the infection rates due to IV drug use is much higher there.

Are we doing that great a job than the other states? Do we have anything to learn from other states either in terms of their educational efforts or efforts on the part of the legislatures or governors of those other states?

DR. CLARK: I think probably in actual dollar numbers, it seems that we're doing more. But as far as where we are in the epidemic and where we can be in the epidemic in three or four years, we're doing far less than we should.

21 We do not want to have a 60-65 percent seroprevalence 22 rate among our IV drug users in another two or three years. If 23 we have that, then we will have massive Medicare and Medicaid 24 costs to the State of California.

There is, I think, another article, and I don't think I have it for you, but it was in the <u>New York Times</u>. It showed a man who was sitting in a hospital, basically, because he was an

IV drug user and did not have any placement in the community. And at \$400-600 a day in New York City, that's where his 7 treatment was. He was fairly healthy. He needed some other ٦ place to be, and the expenditure for those kind of funds in New York is horrendous. They did not spend the money, that we should be spending now, three or four years ago to prevent the spread to the IV drug community. 7

> SENATOR HART: Thank you.

SENATCR SEYMOUR: Other questions?

Dr. Clark, thank you very much for your testimony. We appreciate that.

Our next witness is Dr. Nancy Padian from the School of 12 Public Health, University of California at Berkeley. 13

Doctor, welcome.

ł

4

ñ

6

8

Q.

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

DR. PADIAN: Thank you.

Rather than speak about prevention, I'm going to take the opportunity to review the epidemiological data associating intravenous drug use and the heterosexual transmission of AIDS.

As of October 5th, 1987, close to 3,000 AIDS cases have 19 occurred among women. That's 7 percent of the total of 41,779. 20And 916 cases have been attributed to heterosexual contact with a 21 person with AIDS or at risk for AIDS, approximately 2 percent of 22 the total. 23

Although 2 percent may seem like a small proportion, in 24 absolute terms this number has more than tripled over the last 25 That is, although the relative distribution of cases two years. 26 27 has remained relatively constant, the absolute number of heterosexually transmitted AIDS cases has risen dramatically. 28

Intravenous drug users play a significant role in maintaining the heterosexual spread of AIDS for five reasons:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

27

28

One, more than half of all AIDS cases among women have occurred among female intravenous drug users.

Two, the next largest source of infection among women occurs through heterosexual transmission, sexual contact with an infected partner, and intravenous drug users represent the source of infection among most such cases in both male-to-female and female-to-male transmissions.

Although I would like to point out that in contrast to Dr. Newmeyer's remarks, bisexual men do in fact represent the next largest source of infection for these women, so it's not zero percent of spread attributed to that, but certainly intravenous drug users present a much greater source of risk.

Three, AIDS patients with a history of either intravenous drug use or heterosexual contact with intravenous drug users infected with the AIDS virus occur primarily among Blacks and Hispanics and thus account for a large proportion of the heterosexual spread of AIDS to minority communities.

Four, rates of HIV transmission tend to be higher 20 between intravenous drug users and their sexual partners when 21 compared with such transmission between hemophiliacs, bisexual 22 men, or people infected from contaminated blood transfusions and 23 their partners. 24

And five, most cases of AIDS among infants due to perinatal transmission have occurred among mothers who are 26 intravenous drug users or partners of intravenous drug users.

In 1982, from the beginning of the epidemic, 1 "transmission of the AIDS virus was reported in female intravenous 2 drug users and between intravenous drug using men and their 3 female partners. Today, approximately 50 percent of all female cases reported to the Center for Disease Control have occurred 5 among women who are intravenous drug users. In addition, 6 heterosexual transmission of AIDS cases continues to occur in this group. Almost 70 percent of cases attributed to male-to-8 female heterosexual transmission occurred through sexual contact 9 with an intravenous drug using partner. In New York City alone, 10 87 percent of all heterosexual cases have an intravenous drug 11 user as the primary source of the AIDS virus. 12

It should also be noted that most of the infection 13 observed among minority individuals is associated with 14 intravenous drug use. Statistics compiled a year ago revealed 15 that over 80 percent of all cases attributed to intravenous drug 16 use occurred among Black and Hispanics, and over 85 percent of 17 the cases of heterosexual transmission through sexual contact 18 with an intravenous drug user also occurred among Blacks and 19 Hispanics. 20

21 Currently, 38 percent of all adult and adolescent AIDS 22 cases have been reported among these same minority groups, 23 whereas together Blacks and Hispanics comprise only 24 percent of 24 the U.S. population.

In addition to acting as a reservoir for infection among heterosexuals, intravenous drug users are also important because rates of transmission tend to be higher among their sexual

28

partners than among partners of infected individuals from other risk groups.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

28

For the last 2½ years, I have been conducting a heterosexual partners study where we recruit the opposite sex partners of individuals infected with the AIDS virus or diagnosed with AIDS or AIDS related complex. Most of my participants are the female partners of bisexual men, and the rest are sexual non-intravenous drug using partners of primarily intravenous drug users or hemophiliac men.

Rates of infection tend to be about 25 percent among the sexual partners of men in all risk groups with the exception of intravenous drug users. Since the inception of the study, rates of infection in this group are more than 40 percent.

This high rate of transmission has been confirmed in a variety of studies that looked at the heterosexual partners of intravenous drug users throughout the country. In fact, there's a study ongoing in New York which finds rates of heterosexual transmission between intravenous drug users and their partners to exceed 50 percent.

One explanation for this phenomenon is that these 20 partners, the sexual partners, at some time were actually 21 intravenous drug users themselves, although they either deny it 22 or cannot recall this exposure at point of entry into the study. 23 Other explanations include biological parameters that may 24 differentially affect infectiousness, causing intravenous drug 25 users to be more efficient transmitters, or causing partners of 26 intravenous drug users to be more susceptible. However, 27

regardless of the explanation, the fact remains that whatever the source of the exposure, heterosexual partners of intravenous drug users experience high rates of AIDS virus transmission.

The importance of intravenous drug use, directly or through sexual contact with intravenous drug users, has also been noted among prostitutes. A study conducted by the Center for Disease Control among over 1,000 prostitutes nationwide found intravenous drug use and sharing needles to be significantly associated with HIV infection among prostitutes. Similar findings have been corroborated worldwide.

I found the same to be true in a study I conducted in 11 collaboration with Dr. James Carlson at the University of 12 California at Davis. We compared HIV infection rates and 13 associated risk between prostitutes working in legal brothels 14 with prostitutes who were incarcerated in the Nevada State 15 In this study, intravenous drug use or sexual contact Prison. 16 with intravenous drug using men was in fact the only risk 17 associated with HIV infection. Numbers of sexual partners, 18 numbers of sexual contacts, types of sexual behavior, use or lack 19 of use of condoms were not correlated with AIDS virus 20 transmission. This study highlighted the importance of 21 intravenous drug use in the heterosexual spread of AIDS, and the 22 fact that at this point in the epidemic, heterosexual promiscuity 23 per se probably contributes less to maintaining the spread of the 24 disease than does intravenous drug use. 25

Finally, it cannot be emphasized enough that anything that promotes heterosexual transmission also promotes perinatal

28

1

)

3

transmission from others to their unborn children. Eighty percent of all AIDS cases among children have been due to perinatal transmission, either because their mothers had AIDS, or were at risk for AIDS infection. In New York City, at least 80 percent of such maternally transmitted AIDS have been linked to intravenous drug use. Nationwide as of last year, somewhere between 60 and 70 percent of all pediatric AIDS cases were attributed to either intravenous drug using mothers or to mothers who were the sexual partners of intravenous drug users. Again, these cases occur primarily among minority groups, with 78 percent of all pediatric cases currently reported among Blacks and Hispanics.

In conclusion, much attention has been drawn to the necessity of educating heterosexual individuals about adopting safe sex procedures, such as using condoms and limiting the number of sexual partners. The importance of such measures is not in dispute.

However, most heterosexual transmission is actually associated with intravenous drug use, either directly by sharing needles or indirectly through either or both sexual transmission and in utero transmission.

The urgency of developing prevention programs in communities characterized by intravenous drug use and sharing of drug injection equipment is obvious.

Thank you.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you very much, Doctor. Any questions? Senator Torres.

26 27

28

1

2

3

Δ

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SENATOR TORRES: Doctor, I'm trying to figure out exactly what you've just told us, because it's somewhat confusing to me.

38

When you indicate to us that 40 percent of the heterosexuals which were involved in your 2½ year study, you 5 refer to the fact that some of the partners may have undergone 6 drug abuse prior to their entry into your study. 7

DR. PADIAN: Right. That's a possibility, although 8 certainly we're trying to rule that out. 9

SENATOR TORRES: What percentage would you classify that 10 11 as a possibility?

DR. PADIAN: Well, I -- based on the expertise of my 12 interviews, I would say none. But the difference between 13 heterosexual transmission between intravenous drug users and 14 their partners compared to men in other risk groups and their 15 partners is so striking, and again, it's been confirmed in other 16 studies, that certainly you would have to entertain that 17 possibility, even though we do feel as though to the best of our 18 ability we've ruled it out. 19

SENATOR TORRES: In terms of bisexual men that you 20 referred to in your study, you found the transmission rates less 21 than the IV users? 22

And the same is true in transmissions of DR. PADIAN: 23 hemophilia partners and people with contaminated blood 24 transfusions. 25

SENATOR TORRES: What accounts for that?

27

28

26

1

2

3

DR. PADIAN: Well as I say, one thing that I think you would have to consider is perhaps there are other sources of exposure that we were simply not able to ascertain at the time of the study

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

28

SENATOR TORRES: Would that account for the fact that probably both partners in your study may have been on drugs and IV users?

DR. PADIAN: Well again, I feel as though that's a possibility you have to entertain, although I feel as though to the best of our ability, we've ruled this out. And I should say that in other studies that have looked at partners of intravenous drug users, they too feel as though they've ruled out intravenous drug use in the sexual partner.

SENATOR TORRES: And they found similar rates of transmission?

DR. PADIAN: Yes, yes. There are biologic parameters 16 that they're looking into. For example, it could be intravenous 17 drug users are more efficient transmitters; maybe they have 18 higher biotighters, maybe they have more circulating lymphocytes 19 that harbor the virus making it easier for them to transmit. It 20 could also be that the partners of intravenous drug users 21 represent a population of people who are, for some reason, more 22 susceptible. There could be nutritional factors, other 23 infections that make the general partner of the intravenous drug 24 user have their immune system being less competent than the 25 partner of a bisexual man, for example, and perhaps making them 26 more susceptible. 27

SENATOR TORRES: What was the income strata, average income of the IV users in your study?

DR. PADIAN: I can't give you exact figures, although certainly it was somewhat lower than the other strata.

What's more, another interesting factor that I neglected to mention, is that it was about 50 percent of the minority women in my study were in fact the partners of intravenous drug users.

SENATOR TORRES: And were they usually living together? DR. PADIAN: Yes, almost everyone in my study was. They were in -- it's not monogamous, fairly long-term relationships.

SENATOR TORRES: When you indicated 75 percent of the children who are infected with AIDS are minorities, either Black or Hispanic, are those nationwide?

14DR. PADIAN: Yes, that's nationwide statistics.15SENATOR TORRES: Are they broken down by state?16DR. PADIAN: I think that that's available, although I17don't have that at my fingertips.

18 SENATOR TORRES: You don't have that figure for 19 California?

20 DR. PADIAN:

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

21

28

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Any other questions?

No.

Thank you very much, Dr. Padian. We appreciate your testimony today.

Our next witness is Betty McGee, who's the Program Director for Bayview Methadone Program, Bayview Hunter's Point Foundation. Ms. McGee? Apparently Ms. McGee is not here at this time. Perhaps she will come along a bit later.

Is Dr. Neal Flynn here? How about Ms. Naomi Gray? Fine.

Ms. Gray, we'll take your testimony and pick up the others a bit later.

Ms. Naomi Gray represents the San Francisco Public Health Commissioner.

Thank you for being with us.

MS. GRAY: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Honorable Members of this Committee, I am pleased to have an opportunity to discuss the issue of AIDS as it affects Black and other minority populations. You have asked, "What can be done to stop the spread of AIDS virus among the IV drug user populations?"

Minority communities in San Francisco, like minority communities nationwide, are experiencing an increase in new AIDS cases, and represent 40 percent of all AIDS cases in the United States. They represent 15 percent of the cases in San Francisco.

Recently, the San Francisco Health department expanded 18 anonymous AIDS testing to minority communities. It is only 19 within the past couple of years that attention has been given to 20 the impact AIDS is having on minority populations. However, 21 governmental efforts to stem the tide of the AIDS epidemic in 22 minority communities by providing funds for education and 23 prevention programs has only come about recently. As a result of 24 this delay, there is a denial in the Black and other minority 25 communities that AIDS is a disease that affects them. We have to 26 get past this barrier before we are able to conduct effective 27 education and prevention programs. 28

The Health Commission in San Francisco has 1 responsibility for establishing policies for AIDS programs, ? services and funding. In fiscal years 1987-88, San Francisco is 3 spending approximately \$18 million of taxpayers' money for AIDS 4 education, services, and treatment. Additional funding is 5 received from the State and federal agencies responsible for AIDS 6 programs. We view AIDS as one of our priorities as we grapple 7 with funding issues as the AIDS crisis continues unabated. 8

The problem of the IV drug user and the AIDS virus is a very difficult problem. We are trying different approaches to reach and educate this group, but I am not convinced that we have found foolproof methods of education and prevention.

Q)

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

We do not know if current education and prevention
programs are having any significant impact on the problem in San
Francisco and elsewhere. IV drug users will not take the time to
hear a lecture on AIDS and its prevention, nor will we succeed in
getting them to use a condom.

Incidentally, the use of condoms among low-income minority groups is reminiscent of days past when condoms were considered a birth control method, and I am not convinced that this attitude has changed significantly.

We would hope to prevent the devastating effects of AIDS among IV drug users that is currently being experienced in New York City and other Eastern cities. The San Francisco Health Department is conducting AIDS education, for instance, among jail inmates, many of whom are IV drug users. Here again, we will have to wait for the results as to the effectiveness of this

program. We have to ask ourselves what happens when these mostly young people return to the community. Do they practice the prevention methods that have been given to them while incarcerated? How responsible will they be in preventing the spread of the virus among their drug using peers and sexual partners? These are unanswered questions.

With few exceptions, programs have not been developed that deal with the cultural affects of drugs on ethnic racial minority populations. Most programs are not always sensitive to the different cultural factors.

A recent study commissioned by the Alameda County Board of Supervisors, conducted by the Institute for the Advanced Study of Black Family Life and Culture in Oakland, points out the complexities of dealing with the effects of drugs and drug trafficking on the mental health of Black children and families in Oakland. The study concludes that, guote:

> "In regards to the mental health impact of drug related activity on Black children and families, extensive public education programs that inform Black children and families of the nature and effects of drugs and drug related activities are indeed a part of the solution. However, this is not enough. This study clearly suggests that there needs to be a concerted and con-

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

scious effort to develop culturally consistent 'theory and practice' in direct response to the clear and present danger of the merging pathological drug culture."

1

2

3

4

5

28

I would recommend this report to this Committee for gaining
 insight into how drugs are impacting the Black community. The
 study findings can be generalized to other similar communities.

The San Francisco Health Department also funded two 9 studies to determine the attitude and knowledge about AIDS in the 10 Black and Hispanic communities. A third study will be undertaken 11 for the Asian community. The Black and Hispanic studies pointed 12 out the need for intensive education about AIDS in these 13 communities. There is denial, misconception, and indeed 14 disinterest in this disease as it affects individuals and 15 families. 16

This past Sunday, the San Francisco Examiner, in an 17 article about gang activity in the drug scene, painted a grim 18 picture of teenage gangs and drug trafficking. According to the 19 law enforcement officers, gang activity is on the rise in this 20city, as it is in other cities in California, rural and urban. 21 During the past two months here in San Francisco, there have been 22 850 arrests near and around public housing projects. As many as 23 27 major gangs are fighting to control the drug traffic in San 24 Francisco. 25

Drugs are an extremely lucrative underground economic activity in poor neighborhoods. All cash transactions, no taxes

to pay, clear profit. Imagine a 15 year old gang member from a poor family finds himself making as much as \$700 a day selling crack. You can see how easy it is to recruit other youngsters into this very profitable enterprise. According to the Mayor's Office here in San Francisco for Criminal Justice, this enterprise is handled with great sophistication.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In the face of this situation, which confronts other cities in California, it is extremely difficult to deal with the drug trafficking when there is such a high incidence of unemployment among Black and Hispanic youth. For many of them, job training and employment at the minimum wage does not appeal to them when they can make the kind of money drug trafficking creates.

Older drug dealers use teens and pre-teens as runners because they know that the most time the youngsters will get at the California Youth Authority is two years.

It's fairly well known that drug abuse in minority communities is disproportionately worse than in other communities and impacts the lives of children, adults, families, and communities. For families who want to bring their children up in a decent, healthy environment, and they are the majority, the pervasive drug culture that is of recent duration causes them anxiety, stress, and a feeling of helplessness. This has grave implications for the spread of AIDS among the teenage and young adult minority populations.

We must use whatever resources we can devise for reaching and educating drug users. We need to develop programs that will:

One, reach all segments of the community. The Black middle-class professional, for instance, is beginning to get the message and connection between sexual behavior and AIDS. They are changing their behavior. Not so with the low-income minority populations.

Two, reach low-income drug abusers with a message that is acceptable and understandable. Word of mouth, or as we call it the grapevine, is an effective way to reach a population that's hard to reach.

Three, provide education for the clergy, especially the 10 Black clergy, round the issue of AIDS. In San Francisco, 40 percent of the Blacks are regular church goers, and they are the mothers, the daughters, the sisters, the nieces and uncles of IV drug users.

Four, deal with peer pressures that teenagers are under to experiment with drugs. We need to identify, recruit and train teens who are better able to communicate messages about drugs and AIDS than adults.

Five, involve tenants of public housing where there are shooting galleries and drug trafficking is intense. Identify and employ one or more tenants who will be responsible for education and prevention programs in their building.

Six, spread information about AIDS in schools, churches, community centers, pool halls, street corners, house meetings, commercial establishments, wherever people congregate.

Seven, make available visual aids, public service announcements on radio that target the population we want to

28

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

reach. Such education programs must be culturally and linguistically appropriate and acceptable.

In conclusion, adequate funding must be made available to minority communities for minority communities to deal with the high incidence of IV drug usage. We must continually evaluate the effectiveness of these programs in getting the message to the IV drug users, their mates or sexual partners.

I have appended for your information a copy of the San Francisco Health Department's policy on HIV testing, which point out why we believe mandatory testing of the general population is not an effective tool for stemming the tide of AIDS.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you very much, Ms. Gray, for your testimony.

Are there questions?

Just one question I would have. Not only in minority communities, but in White communities, do you have the phenomenon of the economic attraction to deal in drugs. You put it very succinctly and, I thought, accurately when you said: Why would somebody go to work at minimum wage when in fact they can make \$700 a day dealing in drugs. And that transcends ethnic groups, I believe.

On the other hand, when we're addressing minority groups, what if anything can we do about that? I mean, in your opinion, if you'd care to offer one, where is the break point?

If I'm making \$700 a day dealing in drugs, and all I can get is the minimum wage, then what above minimum wage might attract me to give up the drug dealing and the \$700 a day?

MS. GRAY: I'm not sure that I can answer that question because, here again, we're dealing with a population of particularly young people, whom I see are getting into this in great numbers because they have no other alternatives, many of them, for employment. Many of these youngsters are dropouts from school; many of them are functional illiterates. They're smart enough to know how to do these kinds of things. It provides somewhat of an exciting opportunity for them to make money, and again, to help support their families, some of whom may be on welfare.

I really don't have the answer. I think you've just got to begin to work as intensively as you can to try to get the message out that this kind of activity can have severe impacts on their future and that of their families.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you very much.

Any other questions?

Ms. Gray, thank you for your testimony.

At this particular juncture, we're going to take a five-minute recess, and then we'll convene with Dr. Neal Flynn.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(Thereupon a brief recess was taken.)

SENATOR SEYMOUR: We'll reconvene our hearing, and our next witness will be Dr. Neal Flynn, who's the Director of the Clinic for AIDS and Related Disorders, UC Davis Department of Internal Medicine.

Dr. Flynn, welcome.

DR. FLYNN: You asked me here today to catch up a little bit on what has happened in Sacramento with regard to the AIDS virus and IV drug use, and I'll try to do that very briefly. We have found in the last year that there's approximately a six-fold increase in the number of IV drug users infected in Sacramento. We did a study one year ago which showed that one person out of 178 people attending drug treatment programs were infected, and this year it's six out of 200 from those same programs. That may represent up to a six-fold increase.

49

Now, we also know that in other areas of the state the prevalence of infection is even higher. For instance, in San Francisco, published studies suggest that the rate is at 15 percent, and that one year ago that was as low as 8 percent, and two years ago as low as 3 percent.

So, we see a future for Sacramento and for other cities its size of a rapid increase in the number of infected IV drug users. This is of importance for three reasons. The first is the IV users themselves who become infected. Most of them will become ill, almost all of them will become ill from the infection of the virus, and will cost the public, of course, quite a bit of money for their treatment.

The second is that they are the conduit, we believe, for heterosexual spread of the AIDS virus. That is, once it's established in IV drug users who are promiscuous, it spreads then to their heterosexual contacts. The average IV drug user in Sacramento admitted to six different heterosexual partners per year on the average.

The third reason is that when IV drug using women, or women who are sexual partners with male IV drug users, become infected, we will face the problem that New York City has faced,

28

and that is a large number of infected infants. New York City has them warehoused on wards at the present time because these infants are unplaceable in foster homes. I see that same thing about to happen in California if this virus establishes itself and spreads at the rate we think it will among IV drug users.

Now, we have polled IV drug users in the Sacramento area. They are aware that the virus is present. They would like to do something about it, but that does not include stopping the use of IV drugs. They will not do that, even for the AIDS virus.

And number two, they will not stop sharing their paraphernalia for various reasons, so it leaves us with only one alternative for the prevention or slowing of the spread of the virus by IV drug groups, and that disinfection of IV paraphernalia or, if it were seen fit, which I doubt that it will be, a change in the law making possession of IV drug paraphernalia criminal. That might have some impact, though perhaps not; I'm not sure.

If you'll look on page two of my handout, I've estimated for you the number of addicts that are in Sacramento. We estimate between one-half and one percent of the general population use IV drugs at some time or other. That amounts to about 10,000 people in the Sacramento area out of one million population.

If we look at a prevalence that is a percent of drug users infected at 2 percent in 1986, and then it jumps to 5 percent at the end of 1987, that represents an additional 180 addicts who have become infected in that year. And if we follow

28

1

2

on through to 70 percent in 1991, which is the level that's been achieved in New York City over a four-year span, we come up with a very large number of infected IV drug users. And you'll notice something else, there under new heterosexual infected people, it is addicts who will contribute the most to the spread of this virus to heterosexual contacts. It is not bisexual activity that will put it into the heterosexual community; it is likely to be IV drug users.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

27

So, we come up with a quadrupling according to our estimates of the number of infected people between now and 1991. In the next four years, we expect four times as many people to be infected in Sacramento as currently are. Our estimate for Sacramento County right now is 3,000 infected people. Our estimate for 1991 will be 12,000.

Now, each of these infections in an IV drug user puts the liability on Medical and MIA of somewhere around \$10,000 per year is our estimate. We base that on two things. First, they will become ill within a few years of infection, many of them, and require AZT, azidiothymidine the drug, which is very expensive and it will fall to the public first to provide financing for this azidiothymidine, or withhold the drug which we believe to be efficacious in slowing down the progress of the disease.

Secondly, within five years, about a quarter of those 24 individuals will have developed full-blown AIDS, and a course of 25 treatment from diagnosis to death costs the public about \$50,000. 26 So, one-quarter of those people will have cost an additional \$50,000 within five years of the time they became infected. 28

At a projected liability for a county the size of Sacramento, it's astronomical. The total liability we estimate to be over \$200 million by the end of a ten-year span from the time of infection. So, by the end of this century, we will probably have a medical liability somewhere around \$200 million for people infected between now and 1991. That's a tremendous amount of money.

So, with that, I'd like to offer some suggestions, and that is that California still has some time to interrupt or slow the spread of this virus among IV drug users. We are at a point that New York City was in about 1980 in California. They did not know about the virus. They could not have taken the steps that could possibly reduce this spread. We do know about the virus, and we do know that it is spreading, and we do have an opportunity to slow the spread among IV drug users.

Now, it's going to take a commitment to increase the programs to IV drug users that will provide for education and prevention instructions for IV drug users as intensive as possible. It cannot be done with the current resources of the IV drug treatment programs. It's my opinion that those programs must be augmented with special funds for the creation of the AIDS education prevention components of their treatment programs, number one.

And number two, there needs to be sufficient slots in IV drug treatment programs, including methadone, for all addicts who desire to be enrolled. By increasing the number of methadone slots, we can decrease the number of times that `an individual

28

1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

shares, and mathematically that translates into a decrease in the 1 spread of the virus. It is a mathematical proportion that 2 perhaps one out of a 100 times, or one out of 200 times that a 3 person shares with a person who's infected, the virus will spread. It's pure mathematics. 5

So we need programs that will increase the likelihood that drug addicts will get off drugs. We need to educate them about not sharing, and about rinsing which has been developed in San Francisco and which we're now applying in Sacramento. And third, we need increased funding of drug treatment programs to carry out these things.

Thank you.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you very much, Dr. Flynn. Do we have questions?

I'd like to ask a number of questions. I find it rather shocking reading the statistics that you report. Shocking relative to the high degree of knowledge, the high degree of availability relative to a clean needle, meaning cleaning needles.

Your question was, for example:

"Was rubbing alcohol, peroxide or hard liquor readily available the

last time you shot up?"

Yes, 75 percent. And so, if I'm reading the data correctly, I'd like you to respond perhaps in a little more detail, because if I'm reading the data correctly, I must conclude that IV drug users are aware of the dangers; two, know what to do about the

dangers if they want to prevent them; three, have available, at least in 75 percent of the cases, the means to do something about it but yet don't.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

28

DR. FLYNN: I think what my data say are, your first point is true. They do know that the virus is out there.

The second point, do they know what to do about it, no they really don't. What we asked them simply was if they had any of these things available. They didn't know that any of these things could disinfect their materials.

In fact, we took it to the laboratory and tested the materials, and found that they do disinfect on a less than one minute contact time. We've made that provision because we don't addicts are going to rinse very long. They may rinse with one of these materials, but it won't be for very long.

So, we need to educate them on materials that will kill the virus, and under what circumstances, and then we need to develop programs that can help them modify their behavior.

It's one thing to know what to do, and it's another to make it a habit, to insert it into the ritual of sharing needles.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: And do you believe, Dr. Flynn, that the quickest, most effective way to achieve that is through education?

23 DR. FLYNN: It's a start, and I think the people to do 24 the educating are the drug counselors in the IV drug treatment 25 programs. They seem to have the best rapport with these 26 individuals, and they have the most access to them. They have 27 access for several days during detoxification, or sometimes for a month or more during live-in situations in which they can train individuals on the ease of rinsing with a disinfectant. It is a simple procedure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

SENATOR SEYMOUR: I can understand that, it certainly probably is the most effective, but then that leads to another question.

What percentage of IV drug users seek treatment or counseling?

DR. FLYNN: It's estimated from a study in Los Angeles, about one-quarter pass through drug treatment programs each year, about one-quarter of the total addicts.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: How do we reach the other 75 percent?

DR. FLYNN: Our hope is that the individuals who receive education within the drug treatment program will carry it out to the street. If we could get one-quarter educated in a single year, we hope that the information would disperse from there.

San Francisco and Oakland have also had street outreach programs which appear to be helpful. These are individuals who go out on to the street and educate there on disinfecting needles. These need to be funded. There's no funding right now for those outreach programs in other cities.

SENATOR HART: I just have one question.

You said we're where New York was in 1980. Can you
explain that a little bit? Why is that?

DR. FLYNN: We don't know. You know, it's been felt for several years here on the West Coast that the AIDS virus, we've somehow magically escaped the AIDS virus. I think it's simply a lag time.

Why it got started and spread so rapidly in New York City is a matter of speculation. They do have a culture in which needle sharing is more common and more wide. For instance, an individual may go to a shooting gallery at which there are hundreds, literally hundreds of individuals sharing the same needles.

In Sacramento, for instance, the shooting galleries do exist, but it's a matter of ten, fifteen, twenty, much fewer people share those needles.

It's a matter of mathematics again. If one of those needles is contaminated, and 50 people use it, the spread is more rapid than if ten people use it.

SENATOR HART: The advantages of suburbia.

DR. FLYNN: Perhaps, but we do see the spread. We've seen it. San Francisco has documented the increase and the prevalence of the virus in their drug treatment programs. We've seen a fairly rapid increase.

I think we have an opportunity to intervene here 18 provided that an addict can translate knowledge into behavior, 19 and that is the question here. Whether an addict can do that, 20 whether the addict sees enough incentive, i.e., avoiding AIDS, living to translate that knowledge into behavior. 22

23

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

SENATOR HART: One other question.

You mentioned something about the number of sexual 24 partners that IV drug users had in some study. 25

How important is that? Is the promiscuity rate, or 26 whatever you call it, significantly different for IV drug users 27 than it is for the non-IV drug using population? 28

DR. FLYNN: It is higher among IV drug users, yes. Part of it is due to the fact that many women and a significant number of men support their habit through prostitution. That accounts for a fair number of partners. But the average addict is more promiscuous than the average heterosexual.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Have you looked at comparative data in the San Francisco area as to the rate of growth in comparison to Sacramento County?

> DR. FLYNN: Growth in the prevalence of the virus? SENATOR SEYMOUR: Yes.

DR. FLYNN: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

27

28

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Through IV drug use.

DR. FLYNN: Yes, we've compared notes with the San Francisco group at international meetings and have kept in touch since then.

We have gone to between three and four percent now. They were at that level two years ago. 'They can give you more data; they're here today. But their present level is somewhere between 15 and 20 percent, and that's up from two years ago of about three to five percent.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: So is it fair to conclude that to the degree of more sophisticated, more aggressive efforts in education prevention and treatment programs here in the San Francisco area, as compared to Sacramento, is it far to conclude that on the growth rate, they have been successful, more successful, less successful? DR. FLYNN: I think it is. I don't think we can conclude that at all. It's going to be difficult to tell how much impact they have had with their programs, as it will be difficult to tell with our programs.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Would you agree, Doctor, that they are way ahead of Sacramento County relative to working on the problem?

DR. FLYNN: I don't think so. We began our interventions about a year and a half ago, and I think they began theirs about two or a little bit longer. They can give you that data.

I think their outreach program into the streets is much more developed than ours. We relied on drug treatment program individuals to disseminate information.

I guess what I'm saying is that we must give it a try. We must try to slow the spread of this virus, because for each year that we can slow it, there is a chance that we will come up with a vaccine that may be helpful, or something else that will in the end limit the spread of the virus a little bit more. Because for each one of these addicts that get infected, we have transmission to partners, and if it's a female or a spouse that's infected, we have infants who are born with the virus and who will in general die before the age of five. In New York City, it's a travesty. We don't have to see so much in California.

25 SENATOR SEYMOUR: I guess the line of questioning I was 26 proceeding, I was trying to determine in my own mind, and perhaps 27 you have an opinion, whether there are demographics, social,

28

1

2

3

4

economic or otherwise, that create a differentiation between the problem of IV drug users and AIDS in an area like Sacramento as compared to a San Francisco, as compared to a Los Angeles, as compared to a San Diego, as compared to an Orange County.

DR. FLYNN: I think there are differences in each of 5 those cities. That's why I think that the drug treatment 6 programs in each of those areas who have the most experience with 7 their particular area, the problems of their area, are the 8 appropriate place for this type of AIDS education prevention 9 programs to originate. I think within the drug treatment 10 programs in those local areas, that as much of the funding as 11 possible to reach them directly. 12

Yes, I think there are differences obviously. There are cultural differences between Los Angeles and Sacramento; the demographics of drug use are slightly different. The ways in which we can reach them, reach the addicts, are different.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: So can we conclude from that, in your opinion, that the State Legislature should recognize the locality of the problem, and thereby permit maximum flexibility in delivery?

21

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

DR. FLYNN: That's my opinion.

Now, there could be central observation of statistics and so on, central repository for statistics, but I think that the outreach programs and the actual hands-on should be local.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Any other questions?

Dr. Flynn, thank you very much.

DR. FLYNN: Thank you for the opportunity.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: We appreciate you coming here to San
2 Francisco to testify.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

28

Is Dr. Donald Francis here?

Dr. Francis is the AIDS advisor for the National Center for Disease Control.

Dr. Francis, welcome. We're really pleased you could be with us today.

DR. FRANCIS: It's a pleasure to be here, and ^T commend both Senate Committees for their interest in AIDS and the concern that they have.

I would like to take an overview of many of the previous speakers, and I'm sure of the subsequent ones, in terms of where we in government need to move as a government responsibility for the prevention of HIV transmission and ultimately AIDS.

My theme is that we have an opportunity, much like 15 Dr. Flynn just mentioned, that there is an opportunity now in 16 California, in contrast to other parts of the country and other 17 parts of the world which have the same situation, to slow if not 18 stop HIV infection in multiple groups, the specific subject of 19 this hearing being in IV drug users, which I think there are 20 clear indications that we do have an opportunity to make a major 21 impact. 22

But I don't want to give you just a feeling of opportunity, which you hear repeatedly over and over. I would like to, as a federal official, but I could be a state or local public health official in the same context, give a feeling of necessity that it is our responsibility in the government sector to move ahead on this, because this is not something that the private sector is going to fill in at all. This kind of prevention, especially prevention of a disease in a group like IV drug users, is not a free market, logical opening where you'll see people rushing in.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Clearly, our responsibility in public health and our responsibility in government, despite the aura that government should not exist in many areas, this is one where it clearly must exist and must take a very aggressive stand.

Now, the opportunity that I see is one, if I look back on my early pediatric training in L.A. County Hospital in '68 and '69, I recall the misery that I'd go through as a physician in informing a parent about a fatal disease that they child had, and nothing that we in medicine could do but care for the child and make that child as comfortable as possible and the family. On a single issue, that is a very difficult thing for an early doctor, and late doctors, and myself now as a parent to deal with the thought of a sick child.

But AIDS is so different in that. AIDS often comes forth now, if you think about the future of AIDS in California, and you look at New Jersey, the Bronx, areas in Miami, or Africa for that matter, where HIV infection is well dispersed in the heterosexual population, the scene is so much different that a single child with leukemia.

The child is often the sentinel, because the incubation period in young children is much shorter. It's often the infant that comes up in the first year of life with the disease. And

then you diagnose that infant with a fatal disease, and you have to tell the parents. Not only do you tell the parents that child has a fatal disease, you end up telling the mother, "And by the way, you don't have much of an immune system left and the odds are you're going to die. And by the way, your husband who gave you the infection is also quite ill, and half the children that you've had in the last three years are also infected."

That's a very difficult message. That's AIDS in the inner city poor populations of New Jersey, the Newark area, right now.

It's not that situation yet in California, but it clearly can be. There's no doubt from the data that you've heard that HIV infection is really no different here than it is elsewhere, as far as where the potentials for spread would be.

Let me dea! with three questions that I think are important for the Legislature. One, is there a problem? Two, if there is a problem, can we do something about it? If we can't do anything about it, there's no reason to throw resources away. But if we can do something about it, what should we do?

First, is there a problem? Human immune deficiency virus, or HIV, is a virus that in years past we in the Center for Disease Control, if we knew about one reported case of this virus, would mobilize all of our resources to stop further transmission. Any virus that has the potential of killing at least half the people it infects is a virus of a league that we cannot deal with. It is a major league agent that kills large numbers of individuals when it gets into their blood system. So,

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

it's something that we see as a very dangerous agent. Yes, we need to do something about it.

1

2

3

4

27

28

Is it transmitted effectively? It is certainly, as Dr. Padian pointed out and others pointed out, it is effectively transmitted both through sharing of needles and from heterosexual 5 sex from infected individuals to their uninfected partners. And 6 from women, it is effectively transmitted to their babies. So 7 this whole aura of the family AIDS is clearly something that 8 concerns us in public health. 9

But you have these modes of transmission. You've always 10 had sex; you've always had IV drug users. You need the virus. 11 If don't have the virus, then these practices may be bad for 12 other diseases, but not bad for HIV. 13

In terms of HIV in California, is there virus present? 14 There's data now to show you've had pieces of it here, you'll 15 have pieces more of it tomorrow in Los Angeles, that there is 16 virus up and down California. It's all you need. 17

I wouldn't worry if there's one percent. I wouldn't 18 worry if there's two percent. I wouldn't worry if there's ten 19 percent. I wouldn't wait for them to be 80 percent. Don't 20 worry; one percent is clearly enough to justify resources. A11 21 you need to get into that amplification system is just the 22 sharing of needles and it will continue to spread, and you've 23 about about it. Last year, the year before, next year, et 24 cetera, the numbers will continue to go up. You can almost 25 predict that straight away. 26

So, is it a problem? Clearly yes. It's a major disease agent that is effectively transmitted through intravenous drug use and through heterosexual populations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

28

Can we do something about it? You'll hear from the last speaker today, I think, some of the most remarkable data regarding the efficacy of intervening in the IV drug using population. The data from San Francisco that Dr. Newmeyer hinted to as far as if you approach in the street IV drug users, somewhere in the neighborhood of two-thirds will start using bleach, and of those, about 70 percent will continue to use that bleach.

12 That is remarkable. That is from a program that is 13 essentially just fielded without all the research data we need to 14 know the most effective way to deliver the message, the most 15 effective way to build the support systems to raise the 16 consciousness of the community to change from a quick and dirty 17 show, that you can intervene in IV drug users.

A bias that we all have is that they are an immovable group, that you cannot change behavior. In fact, such a high proportion are using bleach that it will have a major effect on slowing if not stopping the transmission.

The question is: Is there a will? Is there a will to stop HIV transmission in the government of this country or of this state? The major question is: Do we have the will to move on?

I think can we do something is more measured on will than it is in terms of practical application of any public health

program. Part of that will is the constant barrage that we have in public health of whatever program that we mount, there is a portion of the body politic in this country and in this state that says whatever we're doing, we are advocating those behaviors that transmit HIV infection. Be they IV drug users, our comic books are advocating more IV drug use. If it's in heterosexual sex or homosexual sex, our comic books are advocating homosexual or heterosexual sex.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

21

25

26

27

28

People who do that, claim that we are advocating, when indeed the whole purpose of the program is not to advocate to that and to stop the transmission, do tremendous damage to public health programs. Part of the will is to overwhelm those individuals who continually play the nay-sayers role that we should not be doing anything regarding this outbreak.

So, the answer to the second question, can we do 15 something, I think yes, we can do something in terms of public 16 health. I'm not convinced yet that we can do something in terms 17 of the political will. 18

If we assume that the latter question is yes, that we 19 can do something, what do we need to do? It is really very 20 straight forward. The message for the intravenous drug user is: Do not use intravencus drugs. A very straight forward message 22 that we need to get out to the schools, to the cohort of 23 individuals coming into that risk factor. 24

But the reality that we have to deal with is that people must use intravenous drugs. There are addicted people out there who have no choice medically but to use intravenous drugs, and so we have to take them into our message.

So to answer to that is: If you must use drugs, do not share needles. But we have to realize the limited number of needles, that there's some individuals who must use drugs who must uso needles. And then we have to give them the opportunity, and that is: If you must use drugs and you must use needles, you must also disinfect your needles between uses. This is a relatively simple thing to do.

What do we need to get that message out? Clearly the three things are: resources to do it; the resources to evaluate, to do the research necessary to find out what is the appropriate delivery system and what is the most effective way to optimize that effect. And last is the policy strength behind us in the field to allow us to carry out our programs, the resources.

It is incredible to me, with this virus that continues to be transmitted b' intravenous drug users, that there are individuals out there who want to get on the oral form of therapy, not intravenous drugs, such as methadone, and cannot get into the programs. It just amazes me that we are still in that mode now, since this disease was first recognized in 1981. Soon thereafter, it was recognized that intravenous drug use spread the disease. We are still in that mode where we are resisting the expenditures necessary with all the benefits that they may have of getting people off the intravenous drugs and onto some nonrisk activity.

Clearly, we need to increase methadone slots. That's an issue of when people are coming to us, 25 percent and 50 percent, depending on the study, where we can get access to them just by

28

1

2

3

4

opening the door. The effect is, if they won't come to us, we go to them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The approach has been taken in San Francisco of getting out there with knowledgeable individuals who know the streets, who actually deliver the message out there, so that the actual street outreach programs would be number two, besides the clinic.

Third would be allow the clinic to have the staff to provide testing and counseling to the individuals and to their sexual partners. I mean, if you realize it is in this state now, not only are there not enough slots to get people into methadone clinics, but those people who come in in many areas, the staff is in such short supply that they're not getting any information on AIDS at all. They get their methadone and go back out, and no community approach. If they get off their methadone, which a considerable number of them do, they go back into the street without the skills to prevent infection, which are relatively rudimentary.

So, methadone slots, street outreach, and counseling and testing facilities for HIV, and counseling facilities for them and their contacts.

And last, the community conscious raising revolution that is necessary in the IV drug using community and in the minority community, of which these are a large part, to actually raise the conscious that one shouldn't use drugs, but if you do, these are the standards. Disinfection of the syringe and needle is the standard now for the use of intravenous drugs.

Number two, besides the resources is resources for information and evaluation. The programs that have had their effect, we know they're effective to an extent, but we have not had three different programs with a different message, different delivery system, that's really been evaluated.

The question you asked is how good is Sacramento compared to how good is San Francisco? Why is one better than the other? And the question that you're going to ask three years from now is, how do you know these things are doing anything? If you want to dump this money and continue to dump this money into government responsibility programs, appropriate evaluation is critical. Tough to do. Tough to do prospective studies on IV drug users, but they can be done. You can bring these people back in and evaluate their behavior.

And last that I hinted to before, the policy issue to allow us in the public sector to move ahead without the constant distraction of bizarre comments by multiple individuals without the support from above that we're advocating, that we're out there spreading sex, we're out there spreading drugs, when indeed what we're trying to do is stop the spread of infection.

In summary, I think from a public health standpoint, this is a relatively straight forward issue that requires the resources necessary now, when there is one percent infection around California, and not to say we need 10,20,30 percent infection. One percent is bad enough, and that we in public health think it is bad and you should take appropriate action.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Who will pay? I think it's well known why I'm in the state of California. It's that I had difficulty with the federal response in dealing with AIDS. Clearly, this an issue that the federal government has difficulty dealing with. It is indeed an issue that clearly the state governments have difficulty in dealing with. And ultimately the movement of AIDS in terms of prime examples have come from communities and county local governments.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

21

27

28

Unfortunately, where the issue and the concern are is not where the resources and ability to mobilize rapid resources And yet if you read Vice President Bush's statement, he made is. it very clear what the federal policy is. It should be a local issue; it should be a local issue, including financing.

You'll see more federal money coming down in the future, but I think it is not something that I would, as a federal 15 representative here, recommend waiting for. It is something that 16 the resources are going to have to be generated at the local and state level, and not to wait for ultimately the realization that there is a federal responsibility for controlling this infectious 19 disease outbreak. 20

One last problem. We have in government now many laws that have intersected over the years in terms of fairness of 22 hiring, fairness of spending of money, that make indeed the 23 spending of resources extremely slow once they come forth. If 24 these Committees today decide to move forth with funds in the 25 next legislative hearings and Floor debates, and the money is 26

actually approved, we're lucky if the people necessary in the 1 programs funded by those get in the field in the next twelve 2 The whole process, by the time you begin or the federal months. 3 people begin hearings and discussions of funding, by the time the 4 program comes, we are fortunate if it's a two-year interval. 5

That is a much too long period of time in terms of 6 response to infectious disease outbreaks of this magnitude and this threat to the society.

I would urge that the process be sped, that there is a way in which fairly and equitable money can be allocated and spent rapidly, and accounted for so that the people get appropriate accounting for their money, and that indeed emerging programs be fielded faster than they are now.

Thank you.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

27

28

SENATOR SEMMOUR: Thank you very much, Dr. Francis. Any questions?

SENATOR HART: I appreciate your testimony, Dr. Francis.

Can you give us some idea as to what dollar figures we're talking about here in California? How many millions of dollars need to be appropriated this year? Does that money need to be phased in over a number of years? To what extent, if we had all that money, would it be used effectively? Do we have any kinds of staff that can intelligently use that money?

I mean, everyone seems to be saying the same thing, to 24 make a commitment, but in terms of what sort of dollar figure 25 we're talking about, I haven't heard too much. 26

DR. FRANCIS: The National Academy of Sciences recommended for prevention a minimum figure of \$5 per person for a year, which I think is a reasonable target. Because ultimately, it's going to be low. After all, it's based on San Francisco, we figure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SENATOR HART: So \$5 per person, and we have 25 million in California?

DR. FRANCIS: Correct, it'll be about \$125 million for AIDS prevention in California. Now, if you add on that \$20 million for slots for California, I think you'd have to add that on to the top.

You're talking about major expenditures in terms of public health, relatively small in terms of if we are successful.

Will we be successful with this money? I think so. But you hear individuals with condoms, with bleach, et cetera, talking about, oh, they're only 80 percent effective or only 90 percent effective.

If we had a vaccine that was 80 percent or 90 percent effective, we would be thrilled.

So, I think that in terms of these bugs that are relatively slow to transmit, that we can break the back of them with programs, with as little efficacy as 60-70 percent. And I think that rate of funding would at least allow us to get there.

Would it be effectively used at the local level? Our program right now, for lack of policy, lack of staff, is essentially one of moving it down to the county level and trying at that point to establish programs, county by county. A

relatively inefficient way to do it, I agree, but one which I think has to be done considering the emergent situation and the realities of policy constraints from above. You have to do it at the local level, and we can piece it together once it's down there.

72

SENATOR HART: One other question I had.

You used the phrase and Dr. Flynn as well: We have an opportunity to slow or to stop the virus.

I understand "slow". I'm not quite sure I understand "stop." Does that assume some sort of holding action and in the meantime you get some cure or vaccine? Is that what we're talking about?

DR. FRANCIS: Stop would be the ultimately 100 percent effective program. I think that's more optimistic than we can realize.

SENATOR HART: Thank you.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Dr. Francis, if we were to commit in Califorria \$125-plus million a year to fight AIDS through education, prevention and treatment, do you have any ideas on, and one point you touched on was accountability and putting in place those systems that you talked about for evaluation?

DR. FRANCIS: Yes.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Would you share those thoughts with us?

DR. FRANCIS: Relatively straight forward in terms of public health research to have groups of individuals within your --- certainly not every county and every IV drug user gets into a

long-term expensive program. Take samples of those individuals, and go back to them at every four to six month period, evaluate their behavior and evaluate their antibody status for HIV and see -- and then ask them were they part of the program; what part were they in, and evaluate the actual efficacy -- ultimately in terms of the process of transmission, short-term in terms of behavioral change of that program. Look at those that were accessed by the program versus those that were not and expect to see a difference.

We've certainly been able to do that from the gay community cohorts funded almost both by state and federal funds, and seen marked changes in behavior in gay communities, and as a result, marked increases in seronegativity.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Would you care to offer an opinion relative to what success rate we might have statewide if we were to commit \$125 million-plus per year?

DR. FRANCIS: With the appropriation for IV drug users specifically?

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DR. FRANCIS: If you took the appropriate pieces out, and I'd have to go back and look what it would be for the IV drug users, I think we would optimistically expect at least 50 percent reduction in infection.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Over what period of time?

DR. FRANCIS: Over the initial year, after launching a full scale program. Whether we would get 75 percent and another 50 percent the next year, that's 75 percent efficacious. It

depends on how sophisticated our behavioral research was, how to reach those lower -- it's more difficult to reach groups.

Realize, every behavioral study, be it seat belts, smoking or whatever, the lower the socioeconomic strata, and the lower the age of the individual, the more difficult it is to reach them. Indeed, in terms of AIDS, it's important to get into the schools and have these people come out with the information that is necessary before they get into that teenage period that is difficult to deal with.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you very much.

We have Mr. Jerry de Jong present. Mr. de Jong is Executive Director of 18th Street Services here in San Francisco.

MR. DE JONG: Yes, that's correct.

I feel I have a tough act to follow, following Dr. Francis.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Well, you're welcome. We appreciate you taking your time to be with us.

MR. DE JONG: Well thank you for the opportunity to19 speak.

I know that the Committee is going to hear and will hear an immense amount of information and suggestions, well thought out plans for stemming this epidemic.

I would ask that the Committee remember that even as we've been talking today, that individuals are being infected, and that individuals are being diagnosed, and that individuals are dying from this disease.

We must move with haste, and we must move with coordinated effort. And too often in this epidemic, we who deliver services have been stopped from doing just that for lack of resources, and strangely, the public health crisis is also politics.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

If only hearings such as this had been taking place three, four years ago. I'm extremely grateful that one's taking place today.

You've asked what can be done to stop the spread of AIDS among the IV drug using population. First, I have to express that overall, effective prevention requires a program-wide This means that every treatment program in the state response. must have training and must have knowledgeable staff to understand AIDS and the substance abuse cofactors.

The treatment programs here, Centers for AIDS Prevention, and all treatment programs must be willing to discuss sexual transmission, for those that ignore that risk are deadly.

And secondly, I'd like to suggest a three-tiered 18 approach which incorporates the following: Street-based education and outreach; treatment availability; and widespread 20 anonymous HIV testing. 21

Every treatment program should be engaging in street-based outreach and education. The individuals who would never walk through the doors of a clinic must be reached in their neighborhoods, their taverns, their homes to hear the messages of prevention and education. And they must hear it in language and pictures that are relevant.

Unfortunately in this state, there's often times controversy in delivering that message in the language and pictures that are relevant to specific groups.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

28

Secondly, drug abuse programs are the front line of defense, and thus waiting lists must be eliminated. I challenge any of you to answer a phone at night, and someone's calling for help and desperately wants to be free of their habit, and tell them, "The soonest we can see them is three weeks from now." Every program in the city has that type of waiting list. That's deadly public health policy in the middle of an epidemic.

Thirdly, I feel that widespread anonymous testing must be made available everywhere, and pre and post-test counseling also must be available. It's clear in research and in theory that the process of testing and education is valuable for individuals, to offer an avenue of motivation for changing their lives.

Finally, this disease discriminates. It is a disease 17 that strikes the already disenfranchised: the gay men, people of 18 color, intravenous drug users. These populations have to be 19 afforded treatment that is sensitive to their needs. And 20 individuals from those communities must also have input in determining those needs. And sadly and unfortunately, that often 22 times has not occurred either. 23

And lastly, I've got to make the point again that 24 substance abuse treatment equals AIDS prevention. When we 25 battled the toxic shock syndrome or Legionaire's disease, every 26 tool at our disposal was marshalled. It's sad that we're 27

fighting a disease that seems to make people extremely uncomfortable, particularly when you talk about funding from federal or state levels, for we have to talk about gay sexual behavior; we have to talk about drug addicts; we have to talk about condoms; we have to talk about prostitution; we have to talk about bisexuality.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

We can control the spread of this epidemic, but we have to have the resources. We have to have extensive street-based outreach education. We must have detoxification and treatment programs where there is not a wait for services. We must have widespread anonymous testing.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak. I welcome any questions.

SENATOR HART: I'd be interested in hearing just a word or two about your own program.

MR. DE JONG: The program is --

SENATOR HART: How long have you been doing it? How many people do you serve? What's your modus operandi?

MR. DE JONG: The program that I have is 18th Street Services, and we've been in existence here in the city about eight years, and we're focused directly on the gay community, gay men.

We carry on the average about 130-140 clients a month. Over 50 percent of those are IV drug users, and close to 80 percent have been diagnosed with AIDS or ARC or are HIV infected.

Clearly, with the population that my program's working with, it's clear that any type of substance abuse treatment is

AIDS prevention, but it's got people taking a look at their drug habits and also now engaging in unsafe sex as a result of treatment.

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

1

2

3

SENATOR HART: Thank you.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Do you provide anonymous testing for those clients?

MR. DE JONG: No, unfortunately we don't. Where we're located, Health Center Number One in the city here does offer anonymous testing, often comes up as an issue for many of our clients.

Unfortunately, with all the test centers based here in 11 the city, there's close to a four-week wait just to get in 12 initially to go through the pre-test counseling, have the blood 13 drawn, and of course a two-week wait until the time that someone 14 would receive their results. Obviously if someone's making a 15 decision to be tested, it would be ideal, just as it would be 16 ideal with treatment, if you're running into folks on the street 17 doing the street-based education and outreach, and they ask for a 18 drug treatment program, to be able to say, "You've got it today. 19 You've got it this week." 20

Sadly, we can't do it in either case, not for drug
treatment or HIV testing.

23 SENATOR SEYMOUR: What percentage of your clients24 request anonymous testing?

25 MR. DE JONG: All of the clients have made it clear that 26 they prefer anonymous testing. Recent surveys from Health Center 27 Number One have shown very clearly that 75 percent of the folks

28

that had go through the anonymous testing program there would not have gone through it if had been anything other than anonymous.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: The only reasons you don't provide anonymous testing is lack of resources?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. DE JONG: Well, we actually couldn't provide anonymous testing at our site because, of course, we know the clients, we know their names, and it would be extremely difficult to marshal anonymous testing at a drug treatment agency.

Because of the fact that we work with a very specialized population, which is gay men, I think in many areas we're probably much more advanced than perhaps other treatment programs dealing with issues of diagnosis and HIV testing.

But given the fact that for any treatment program to be successful in the AIDS epidemic, the climate of acceptance has to exist. And I had mentioned in my remarks that the disease frightens people, it makes them uncomfortable. It's difficult to talk about sexual transmission, and I don't know how every treatment program in the state would do with that type of an order, of providing testing and being able to counsel folks effectively around it.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Can you construct for us conditions under which you could?

MR. DE JONG: Frankly no, I can't. I think it would end up having to take a number of approaches, number one, that the type of training and education for every drug treatment program in the state around AIDS has to be taking place, which it hasn't been. There are some treatment program's, some regions, that are 1 much further ahead in realizing, you know, the reality of AIDS
2 than others.

I'm Chairman of the AIDS and Substance Abuse Task Force 3 here in the city and county. Recently I had sent a letter to the 4 President of the county-wide alcohol program administrator asking 5 what their response was concerning AIDS, and alcohol is a 6 cofactor because, of course, people become disinhibited on drugs 7 or alcohol and are more likely to engage in unsafe sex. That 8 group, just at this point in time, this year, is beginning to 9 convene a task force specifically around AIDS and the alcohol 10 issue. And we're how many years into the epidemic? And just 11 now, the county-wide alcohol program administrators are 12 developing a task force to be able to get information out on the 13 program to their folks. 14

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Would you tell us specifically how does an anonymous testing program work?

MR. DE JONG: An anonymous testing program works here in San Francisco when someone will call, and they're asked to give two initials and a number, any number.

20

25

28

15

16

SENATOR SEYMOUR: A phone number?

21 MR. DE JONG: No, no, just two numbers. In other words, 22 say, if you wanted to call, Senator Seymour, you could say just 23 your initials, or the initials BC; and give a number of less than 24 24.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: That becomes my tag?

26 MR. DE JONG: That becomes your tag, exactly. And then 27 the folks go into the center, they're given some pre-test

counseling on exactly what the test means, what it doesn't mean, some of the more recent statistics concerning the tests going on to developing AIDS or ARC. They talk about substance abuse, the blood syndrome, and two weeks later the folks have to go back, using the same number, receive their results from the results counselor who will sit and spend whatever time is necessary.

81

7 SENATOR SEYMOUR: Excuse my ignorance, but why doesn't 8 your program do that?

I would have difficulty with drug programs MR. DE JONG: 9 doing that because primarily it's trying to serve a dual purpose. 10 A program doing substance abuse counseling shouldn't also be 11 doing HIV testing. And many of our folks elect for testing, we 12 send them to the anonymous test sites, and when it's possible we 13 try to work out kind of an option with the anonymous test site 14 that when someone who is in drug treatment, if we can get them in 15 sooner than the four or six weeks' wait, they elect to do that. 16

But it's difficult to provide the kind of anonymity that people need at a drug treatment agency, and confidentiality is sacred, and particularly needs to be sacred with this epidemic, this disease, with some of the fears around what is going to occur with insurance, what's going to be the public reaction, and all of the above.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you very much.

MR. DE JONG: Thank you.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Is Zarinah Shakir present?

I think at this time it would be appropriate for us to take a very brief recess for ten minutes.

28

23

24

25

ł

(Thereupon a brief recess was taken.) SENATOR SEYMOUR: We'll reconvene the hearing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Is Mr. Les Pappas present? Mr. Pappas represents the IV Substance Use and AIDS Education Coordinator for the San Francisco AIDS Foundation.

Mr. Pappas, thank you very much for being present today and offering your testimony.

MR. PAPPAS: Thank you for inviting me.

Chairman Seymour, Chairman Hart, I want to thank you again for inviting me. I'm pleased to be here and testify.

I'm also pleased to be among the distinguished other representatives that have come before you to speak.

Preventing substance abuse and preventing AIDS will require many similar approaches; however, they will also require some very different and innovative strategies.

IV drug users, their sexual partners, and their children are becoming infected with the AIDS virus at alarming rates. Two recent studies of the infection rates in San Francisco indicated that more than 20 percent of IV drug users now have the AIDS virus. However, this is a relatively low percentage as compared to gay men in San Francisco and IV drug users in some Eastern cities, which are 50 percent and 80 infected respectively.

We have a unique opportunity to interrupt the transmission rate among IV drug users in California. We also have a tremendous responsibility to act. Years from now, we will not be able to look back and say we didn't know what was happening. We do know, and now is the time to do something about it.

An effective prevention plan must be instituted immediately, and it must include the following measures: One, promotion and provision of substance abuse treatment for all those who seek it; two, a comprehensive education campaign; and three, changes in the laws regarding the sale and possession of needles. I would like to address each of these three areas.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

I know that we all want to see people freed from their addiction to drugs. Getting off drugs is the only sure way to prevent needle sharing. People who don't use needles don't share needles. Ideally, we would like to see all IV drug users enter treatment programs.

However, the reality is that we do not even have the space to accommodate those people who are currently asking for help. Almost all treatment programs in San Francisco have waiting lists. A large proportion of IV drug users will not be motivated to enter programs by the time their names come up. In fact, they may become infected with the AIDS virus while they're waiting to enter treatment programs.

It is clear to me that treatment programs should be
expanded to eliminate waiting lists.

Substance abuse treatment programs are also important 21 centers for AIDS education. When we are fortunate enough to get 22 people into treatment programs, we must seize that opportunity to 23 provide thorough AIDS information. When clients leave these 24 programs, whether they're successful or not, they should have 25 26 been taught everything they need to know regarding AIDS. The staff at these agencies should be supported to continue 27 28 increasing their knowledge about AIDS.

While it is true that the fear of AIDS may provide further motivation to stop using drugs, we must acknowledge that the vast majority of IV drug users will continue to inject drugs. This out of treatment population is the group that presents the biggest challenge and is also at highest risk for AIDS.

IV drug users can be educated, and they do make behavior changes. The ability to impact behavior change among IV drug users was reported at the Third International Conference on AIDS last summer. Although IV drug users may continue to shoot drugs, they clearly do not want to die, to get AIDS, or to give AIDS to their partners or children.

Educational campaigns must be designed which utilize methods capable of reaching intravenous drug users and with message that will be credible and effective. For those unwilling or unable to stop their drug use, there are two main messages: Don't share needles with anyone; and if you do use someone else's needle, clean it first with bleach.

Some people will have access to needles and will not need to use another person's needle. For those who do not have their own needle, bleach will kill the AIDS virus that may be left in the needle by the previous user.

If these warnings are to be accepted by IV drug users and acted on, they must be presented in an objective, non-judgmental manner. These messages must be delivered in every location where we might reach IV drug users: in schools, at treatment centers, residence hotels, homeless shelters, food lines, in jails, and on the streets. MidCity's CHOWs are an

28

1

2

3

4

excellent example of the kind of innovative programs that should be initiated throughout the state.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

25

26

27

28

Coming up a little later you have George Williams from MidCity, and he's going to hopefully share with you their experience, and that's a very innovative program.

Mass media efforts should also be undertaken. IV drug users are difficult to reach, but we know that they see billboards, newspapers, bus signs, and television. Adequate funding should be provided to conduct large scale advertising campaigns.

I've brought an example of one of the campaigns that we conducted last year. It consisted of these signs inside of all buses in the city, and also on billboards in neighborhoods where we knew there was a high concentration of IV drug users.

You'll see the message is very simple, very direct, no 15 judgment involved, and what we've found is that we had a 350 16 percent increase in the number of callers to our hotline 17 regarding IV drug use. So, whether these kinds of messages are 18 totally effective in eliminating people's risks, we don't know, 19 but we do know they respond to them, and they've called and have 20 asked for more information about treatment programs. They've 21 asked for more information about cleaning needles. So, we feel 22 that we've had some success with these kinds of mass media 23 campaigns. 24

Printed materials can also be very useful if they are visually interesting; if they're objective and easy to read.

I've brought one of our recent brochures, a comic book, that we produce specifically directed at IV drug users. It's very direct, very simple, and has been extremely well received. In fact, we hear a lot of comments asking for when the next issue or the next version is going to come out. So, I think that's another good example of the nature of the materials.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

I'd like to conclude by talking about the laws regarding the sale and possession of needles. This is an issue that has significant implications on the spread of the AIDS virus.

As you know, there are State laws which make it illegal to sell or possess needles. These laws will undoubtedly result in a continued dramatic increase in the transmission of the AIDS virus. Because needles are illegal, the supply of clean ones does not meet the demand. Under these circumstances, addicts are compelled to share.

Furthermore, as long as it is illegal to carry needles, addicts will be unwilling to bring their own needle when they go to buy drugs. This means that IV drug users will use whatever needle is available at the point of purchase of the drugs. These needles are likely to have been used by many other people, increasing the likelihood of HIV infection.

Needles are not illegal in most states in the United States, only in those with the highest number of AIDS cases.

Other countries are acting quickly to allow addicts access to clean needles. France and New Zealand recently suspended prohibitions against the sale and possession of needles. Of the Western European countries, only one still requires a prescription to obtain sterile needles.

In addition to making needles available in drug stores, Great Britain, Australia, and The Netherlands are now conducting free needle exchange programs. The first needle exchange program in the United States is about to be launched in Boston, where the mayor has vigorously supported this recommendation from Massachusetts health officials.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The National Academy of Sciences has also taken a position in support of making needles more readily available. In their report, titled "Confronting AIDS", they state that, quote:

> "It is time to begin experimenting with public policies to encourage the use of sterile needles and syringes by removing legal and administrative barriers to their possession and use."

Of course, simply making needles available is not the solution, but it is a critical component of an overall strategy. Substance abuse treatment, massive education, and increased availability of sterile needles must all be enacted simultaneously to be effective.

As Members of the Senate Select Committee on Substance Abuse and the Select Committee on AIDS, you are responsible for many thousands of lives. The recommendations by those of us in public health are based on our experience with these issues, our experience in fighting substance abuse and AIDS.

Please listen and act on these recommendations. Some of these measures will be politically unpopular, but I have faith

1 that you will make these tough decisions. Lives are depending
2 upon it.

Thank you.

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you very much, Mr. Pappas. Questions? Senator Hart.

6 SENATOR HART: Could I ask the about the material? The 7 comic book and other materials that you have produced, are any of 8 those funded in part by the State?

MR. PAPPAS: No, they're not. The State has guidelines for materials that they will fund, and we seem to have stepped over the boundaries in some areas with this material. So, they will not fund a lot of the more explicit, direct and, we think, effective materials.

SENATOR HART: Is it the guidelines -- I'm trying to get a handle on that. What are the specific guidelines?

MR. PAPPAS: Well, for instance, there are a set of words, you know, the vernacular four-letter words, that we're not able to use any of those.

Unfortunately, IV drug users don't under the twelveletter words that are used in medical jargon. I think many of us here don't either.

So, we feel it's useful to use street language, use very basic, simple language that will convey the message and that'll be understood.

We can talk all we want, but if we're talking the language that is not understood by the person we're trying to reach, then it's useless. So we're very committed to talking wery simply, very directly. SENATOR HART: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you very much for your testimony.

MR. PAPPAS: Thank you.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: I believe we now have Ms. Zarinah Shakir, representing the Multicultural Prevention Resource Center.

MS. SHAKIR: Good afternoon. I'm Zarinah Shakir, spelled Z-a-r-i-n-a-h, last name S-h-a-k-i-r. I'm here on behalf of Sala Udin, who's the Executive Director of the Multicultural Prevention Resource Center. The statement that I am about to read is from him.

Senators Seymour and Hart, thank you for the invitation to address this hearing on AIDS and the IV Drug User.

I apologize for not being able to make this presentation, but the schedule conflicted with the annual Conference of the American Public Health Association.

MPRC is an agency contracted by the City and County of San Francisco to provide information, training, technical assistance, and advocacy. We've been training the staff of drug and alcohol programs and community agencies in a course named the same as this hearing, "AIDS and the IV Drug User."

We think it is a very destructive myth to suggest that it is impossible to change the behavior of drug abusers. Of course, it is difficult, but with support it can be done. Drug treatment programs have contributed a great deal to the body of knowledge on addiction management and behavior change. What they lack is not know-how, but adequate funding. The primary strategy to impact AIDS infection among addicts should be intervene effectively with their addiction and involve them in a long-range plan for psychological and social rehabilitation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Methadone programs are not the only kind of intervention which deserve support. Residential and outpatient centers are also required. Furthermore, simply increasing the methadone slots falls short of the comprehensive counseling and full rehabilitation that is required, especially if the addicts are also infected.

But addicts in treatment programs are just a fraction of the total addict population, as you well know. Addicts in jail and prison need an opportunity to have AIDS education upon their release, and they need condoms and AIDS education before their release.

The IV drug user in the streets can also best be served by trained ex-addicts of the same cultural identity who can penetrate the closed underground culture of drug addiction, and who commands the respect and credulity [sic] of the addicts in the street. Few others can qualify in these regards.

The State Drug and Alcohol Programs Office should financially support, and by policy require, all funded or licensed substance abuse programs to train all their staff in the NIDA curriculum, "AIDS and the IV Drug User." Further, all programs should be required to install thorough-going AIDS education programs for all their clients.

Female sex partners of male IV drug users are most often IV drug users and are more difficult to reach effectively than their male, IV drug using sex partners. Programs aimed at female sex partners, operated and staffed by ex-addict women and other AIDS educated sex partners, is needed to develop methods to reach this population.

Many of the IV drug users are also minority gay men and women who are not being effectively reached by the outreach to gays nor the outreach to minorities. They need specific outreach as either gay identified minorities, or ethnic identified gays. Again, each target population needs to be supported by members of their own cultural and sexual preference groups, supplied with target literature and other AIDS education support.

In conclusion, I respectfully caution us against mystifying the issue of how we reach addicts. It is not a question of technique. It is a question of adequate funding and support to the people with the right stuff.

MPRC stands ready to assist you in these and other endeavors aimed at this problem. Again, I'm sorry I cannot be with you at this time. I look forward to working with you and your staff in the near future.

Respectfully, Sala Udin, Executive Director.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you, Ms. Shakir.

SENATOR HART: You talked about don't focus just on methadone; residential out-patient centers are also required.

Can you explain what that means a little bit more? MS. SHAKIR: What a residential center is? 91

28

1

2

1	SENATOR HART: Yes. If some is a heroin addict, and
2	they come to a residential center, what happens at that
3	residential center?
4	MS. SHAKIR: Well, I'm going to I'm not going to
5	plead ignorance on this question, but I've only recently come to
6	work for the MPRC, but I have worked with drug related programs
7	in the past.
8	Residential centers are usually places where people go
9	to live and to detox and to relieve themselves of drug addiction.
10	SENATOR HART: So when they're at the center, they are
11	not taking heroin?
12	MS. SHAKIR: They're not supposed to be, no.
13	SENATOR SEYMOUR: But methadone.
14	MS. SHAKIR: Or methadone centers, yes.
15	SENATOR SE'MOUR: Thank you very much, Ms. Shakir.
16	Our next witness is Priscilla Alexander, representing
17	COYOTE.
18	MS. ALEXANDER: Good afternoon.
19	I want to thank you for inviting me to testify today.
20	SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you for being with us.
21	MS. ALEXANDER: I will first briefly discuss the data on
22	prostitutes and AIDS in this country, and I've distributed a more
23	detailed summary with my testimony. Then the issue of IV drug
24	use by prostitutes, and finally COYOTE's recommendations on the
25	best ways to prevent the transmission of HIV infection in this
26	population.
27	
28	

When it became clear that AIDS was a sexually transmitted disease, many people thought female prostitutes were an obvious reservoir of contagion through which AIDS would travel to the general heterosexual population. However, numerous studies have found that the risk of HIV infection among prostitutes is not related to the number of sex partners, or to prostitution per se, but it is dependent on either personal IV drug use or an ongoing sexual relationship with a male IV drug user.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The incidence of infection among prostitutes is directly related to the incidence in the IV drug using population. Thus in Seattle, where the incidence among IV drug users is low, no prostitutes tested in jail or in an STD clinic tested positive; while in New Jersey, where most IV drug users are infected, 57.1 percent of women tested in a methadone program tested positive. That was the highest figure in the country and was an extremely skewed population. In California, the incidence has ranged from 2.5 percent in Orange County in 1985, to 6.2 percent in San Francisco in 1987.

At the same time, there's little evidence of transmission from prostitutes to their customers. In fact, some researchers have found that when they closely question men who at first list contact with prostitutes as their only risk factor, most turn out to have other risk factors.

Some IV drug using prostitutes were infected on both coasts in the early to mid-1970s. If only a few women in New York and San Francisco were infected in 1976, more than 100,000

heterosexual White men without other risk factors, most of them married, would have been diagnosed by now. As of October 5th, however, only 200 males cases fit that description, and I've included a page with that statistic.

94

We do not mean to imply that there is no risk, just that there are factors which mitigate against this route of transmission.

IV drug use among prostitutes: IV drug use is very rare among the 80 percent of prostitutes who work off the street for massage parlors, brothels, and escort services, or independently out of their apartments.

As for the approximately 20 percent of prostitutes who work on the street, Dr. Don Des Jarlais, of the New York State Division of Substance Abuse Services, found that a third to half of 75 prostitutes in a New York City jail used IV drugs.

Street outreach workers in San Francisco believe that since the beginning of the AIDS epidemic, the percentage of street prostitutes who use IV drugs has increased, even as the number of street prostitutes has declined, suggesting that women who are not addicted have either moved off the street or stopped working as prostitutes.

It is difficult to know without formal studies whether this phenomenon is restricted to San Francisco, or whether it is true generally. It is also difficult to know if the decline in the number of street prostitutes in San Francisco is due to AIDS to a decline in unemployment, or to a heavy crackdown over the past few years.

1

2

3

Now, I wrote this before the catastrophic day in the stock market yesterday, but if that crash is indicative of what we're facing in the next months, I would expect an increase in unemployment, which would mean an increase in the number of street prostitutes. The number actually in 1982, which was the year of the highest percentage of unemployment in this country.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Factors mitigating transmission of the virus. Traditionally, street prostitutes were the most likely to use condoms, partly as a health measure and partly to preserve a sense of privacy with a large number of customers. An average street prostitute may see 1500 customers a year.

Women who worked in other ways, where there was more expectation of an illusion of romance, were less likely to use condoms. Even so, the rate of venereal disease was relatively low among prostitutes, who accounted for no more than five percent of VD in this country prior to the AIDS epidemic, according to the Center for Disease Control.

With AIDS, the use of condoms has increased at all levels of the industry. For example, in Nevada, almost all of the legal brothels now have an all-condom policy, in sharp contrast with policies prior to 1981.

In San Francisco, many prostitutes have voluntarily been tested, either as part of Project AWARE's study, or at the alternative test sites. Some are routinely getting checked every few months.

The experience of outreach workers who work for the California Prostitutes Education Project, CAL-PEP, MidCity

Consortium to Combat AIDS, and other programs, is that street prostitutes are quite aware of the need to use condoms, and are purchasing them as well as getting them from the outreach workers and from the city clinics.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

28

There are still some, generally younger, heavily addicted women, who will agree to not use a condom if the customer pressures them. Most street prostitutes, on the other hand, report that the customers are much less resistant to using condoms than before, and that some are even bringing their own condoms with them. However, the women are less likely to use the condoms with their lovers, many of whom use IV drugs, which puts them at increased risk of becoming infected, even if they themselves always clean their works before sharing, or don't share them at all, or don't use IV drugs.

I'd like to comment that this CDC collaborative study in seven cities found that 80 percent of the prostitutes use condoms at least some of the time, and four percent were using them all of the time, including with their lovers.

Now I'd like to get to what we think would help to reduce the risk further. As regarding HIV testing programs, as I said before, many prostitutes are voluntarily getting tested for antibodies to the AIDS virus in order to monitor their own health and too prevent unknowingly transmitting the virus to others.

We urge you to provide the funds to greatly expand the existing alternate test sites, to provide tests accompanied by comprehensive pre and post-testing counseling to anyone who wants to be tested, without their having to wait weeks or even months,

for the test. However, we strongly oppose all mandatory testing proposals, except to screen blood and organs donated for In particular, we oppose the mandatory testing of transplants. prostitutes because it would create the illusion that all prostitutes who have been infected have been identified, with the result that customers would be more resistant to using condoms and spermicides, as happened in West Germany.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

27

28

In the case of Senator Doolittle's bill, SB 1007, which was introduced last year, mandatory testing with results reported to the Department of Justice, coupled with increased penalties when someone who tested positive is arrested again, would have little or no impact on the spread of the virus. In fact, it might have the reverse effect as the possibility of a felony charge would discourage prostitutes from being tested on a voluntary basis.

We think that the best way to prevent the virus is through education. The State Department of Health Office of AIDS has given some money to community organizations in San Francisco and Los Angeles, specifically to do AIDS prevention education and intervention with street prostitutes.

The San Francisco program, CAL-PEP, has an outreach worker who goes to the stroll districts during afternoons and 22 evenings to talk with prostitutes while they are working, and to 23 distribute condoms and safe sex and safe IV drug using information. In addition, the outreach worker goes to the San Francisco County Jail one day a week to talk to incarcerated 26 women about AIDS prevention. Once a week, CAL-PEP sponsors a

support group where prostitutes can come to talk about how to better protect themselves and to discuss the merits of various brands of condoms and spermicides. One evening a month they are invited to bring their lovers and/or regular customers to discuss the importance of male cooperation in AIDS prevention.

CAL-PEP has discovered, however, that it is hard to working street prostitutes to take the time out to come to a support groups. When they are working, they are usually desperate for money for a fix, and are unwilling to take much time out for a discussion. The project is developing plans, assuming that funds can be found from a governmental or private source, to purchase a van to take to the stroll districts to provide a place where the women can come in for coffee, a snack, and some AIDS prevention talk without having to leave the stroll. This approach, which has been used successfully by New York's Judson Memorial Church, would be effective in other California cities as well, particularly where there are several stroll districts.

Another problem has to do with the need to get State approval of all distributed educational materials, even those that are not produced using State money. There is a conflict between the State's requirement that educational materials not be sexually explicit, or use words or pictures that could be considered obscene by some, and the need to provide clear information to people who engage in sex for their livelihood and who, in many cases, are barely literate.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Understandably, the State does not want to be seen as encouraging people to engage in prostitution; however, the reality is that people will continue to work as prostitutes for the foreseeable future, and it is imperative to provide them with accurate, clear, easily understood information if they are to prevent the transmission of the AIDS virus, either to themselves or to others.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Another problem is police practices. In most cities in California and in other states, when police make a prostitution arrest, they confiscate condoms as evidence of intent to commit prostitution. They are also likely to confiscate bottles of bleach and/or works as evidence of illegal drug activity.

Clearly there is a conflict here between law enforcement and public health priorities. In San Francisco, under pressure from the Department of Public Health and others, the District Attorney stopped requiring the confiscation of condoms and bleach bottles last Spring, and the Police Chief issued an order, a copy of which is attached.

However, they still confiscate needles and other drug paraphernalia. Unfortunately, fewer works on the street means more shared, unsterile needles, and ultimately more transmission of the AIDS virus.

Given that the Legislature is unlikely at this time to authorize the legal needle exchange program, although England, Holland and Australia already have such programs, and Massachusetts is about to begin one, legislation that would at least bar the use of the possession of condoms, bleach bottles or works as evidence of criminal intent would be helpful to AIDS prevention efforts.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

27

28

And finally, I want to talk about alternatives to prostitution. There is an urgent need for increased services to prostitutes, and increased is sort of a joke, because there are almost no services to prostitutes. But there is an urgent need for increased services to prostitutes, including many more slots in residential drug treatment programs for women and their children. Many prostitutes are single mothers. Specialized job retraining programs that would help women find appropriate alternative employment are also necessary. And that means it has to be employment above the minimum wage.

One possibility would be to model the program on GAIN, although on a voluntary basis, with the addition of special support groups to deal with the stigma associated with prostitution, and how to apply for jobs when your prior work history has to be kept secret.

One of the things Les Pappas said made me think about something. I have a friend who is a Black man who's diabetic. If he goes into a drug store to get needles, he has to show all kinds of ID showing that he's a diabetic and is entitled to get the needles.

If he sends a White friend into most drug stores in this State to get needles, they don't ask any questions. Which may explain the reason that minority IV drug users have a much higher incidence of infection than Whites.

I'd be glad to answer any questions.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Very good, Ms. Alexander.

In your opinion, what percentage of prostitutes are IV 2 drug users? 3

MS. ALEXANDER: Of the total population, maybe 10 percent.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Relative to the study that Tom Pendergast --

MS. ALEXANDER: Those women were jailed, which means that they were street prostitutes, which means that maybe 50-75 percent are IV drug users.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: So when you quote 25 percent, you 11 include street prostitutes? 12

MS. ALEXANDER: When I say 10 ten percent of prostitutes are IV drug users, I'm including street prostitutes, who represent about 20 percent of prostitutes. Most prostitution is less visible. It's mostly street prostitutes who get arrested, however, except when the police run out of street prostitutes to arrest, then they go into the hotels and they start answering lads.

I can tell you lots of stories about police practices if you're interested.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Well, not so interested, perhaps another time relative to street prostitution.

Today we're more interested in IV drug use.

MS. ALEXANDER: The big focus in -- I also work with the 25 California Prostitution Education Project, and I have been 26 working with public health departments around the country who are trying to set up education projects for prostitutes. • 28

The big concern is preventing AIDS transmission has to cover all prostitutes, because in cities where there has not been as much publicity about AIDS as there has been in San Francisco, it may be that fewer prostitutes have switched to an all-condom policy. So, it's important to get the information to the off the street businesses as well as the street.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

28

But the intense concern is with the street prostitutes because of the much greater likelihood that they are using IV drugs, or that their regular sex partners are IV drug users.

> SENATOR SEYMOUR: Which puts them at risk themselves. MS. ALEXANDER: Yes, through sexual contact.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: If only four percent of them are using condoms with their lovers, and it's also true that large percentage of their lovers are IV drug users, aren't they just almost totally exposed?

MS. ALEXANDER: Well, in the total number of prostitutes, that's not true. Again, it tends to be on the streets that their lovers are IV drug users.

And there has been increasing publicity on all of the studies that are being done and also trying to educate. All the CDC studies have a component of education attached to them, so that when they're interviewing prostitutes, they're also educating them. And what they're finding is that as time goes on, use of condoms is increasing.

But there are problems with men who are resisting condoms. I think it's important that the IV drug programs that work with men really be stressing the need to use condoms.

In Canada, the prostitutes have actually developed what they call "double bagging", which is using two condoms. They're using one with Nonoxynol-9 and one without, so that the two condoms plus the Nonoxynol-9 gives an extremely effective barrier against the virus. And that's what we have begun recommending.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: To what degree does the pimp help, hinder, or effect in any way the educational or preventive aspects of IV drug user transmission of AIDS to prostitutes?

MS. ALEXANDER: In terms of working, the pimps appear to be quite cooperative.

Pimp is a very loose term that covers a wide range of relationships. Any lover of a prostitute who receives any money from that prostitute is legally a pimp, or any persons who receive money on a regular basis.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Not so much the legal aspects as what's done on the street?

MS. ALEXANDER: On the street it varies greatly. There are -- the vast majority of pimp-prostitute relationships are one man and one woman. And it's essentially a personal relationship, maybe 60 percent. And they negotiate, and sometimes he's not able to earn much money, and she works as a prostitute because of all sorts of issues around discrimination.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

It's going to vary a lot in relationships, as it does.

In terms of prostitutes working, the pimps are not objecting to the use of condoms. The problem is in the personal relationships. Some of them are feeling threatened by the demand that they use condoms. SENATOR SEYMOUR: I'm sorry, I didn't understand that? MS. ALEXANDER: Well, one of the problems is the one women have had around birth control. With birth control, contraceptive measures have been effective, but the male resists taking responsibility for it.

So, if -- you know, men have this male myth, actually, that if you have sex with a condom, it's like taking a shower with a raincoat on.

In fact, at the International AIDS meeting, one of the studies reported that it was younger men who were saying that, tThe men who had no experience with condoms. Older men remembered a time when they had used condoms, and did not give that as an excuse. They were more ready to use condoms. So it appears that it's a cultural myth and not so much a reality.

Prostitutes report -- many prostitutes put condoms on their customers without the customer being aware of it. They always have. They have sort of illusionistic methods, and the customers are not aware, so it doesn't appear to reduce --

19 SENATOR SEYMOUR: You indicated 60 percent of the pimps
 20 have a one-on-one relationship. I think I understand that.

But the corollary, then, is that 40 percent of the pimps have a number of prostitutes?

23 MS. ALEXANDER: Some prostitutes don't have a pimp on 24 the street.

25 SENATOR SEYMOUR: I'm interested in the role of pimps 26 with prostitutes --

MS. ALEXANDER: Where money is available --

28

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Excuse me, I would like you to focus for us on the pimps that work more than one prostitute, and what effect they have, negative or positive, on this problem of AIDS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

27

28

MS. ALEXANDER: They appear to be cooperative with the need for the women to use condoms.

The Project AWARE has found that -- and they have only been funded to test prostitutes and other women. The male partners of prostitutes are coming, asking to be tested. So, I think they are -- they may try to get money to do that, or some other agency get money to start testing the male regular sex partners.

They're all interested in preventing AIDS. The issue 12 gets into the same kind of issues as with other heterosexual 13 relationships, about whether the men are willing to use a condom, 14 or whether the -- I mean, I know the experience in West Germany, 15 and this came up at the World's Whore's Congress, which was held 16 last year in Brussels with the European Parliament, the West 17 German women were being tested, and they said that the customers 18 were refusing to use condoms, and they would say, "I know you're 19 clean because you tested." 20

And women in this country, before AIDS, always got that. I mean, if they wanted to use a condom, the customer would say, 'I'm clean. You're clean. I trust you."

And it's hard then to say to a customer, "Yeah, but if I make an exception for you, how do you know, because these things are not detectable immediately."

It also has taken for prostitutes that did not use condoms, I think it took about a year for them to change their practices totally. It's difficult. It's a slow process.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

One of the problems is that the press has been very cautious about talking about condoms, or giving enough information, or not carrying ads so that we live in a country in which advertising allows people to pick and choose which brand they should use, we get familiar with them, and know which ones are good and which ones aren't. And condoms need that kind of filtering process. And the public media, the radios and television stations, refuse to carry the ads. So, there's no way for the public to get ongoing regular information.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Anything else you'd like to add?

MS. ALEXANDER: I really think it's important that the police stop confiscating the condoms.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Condoms and the bleach kits, as they have done here in San Francisco.

MS. ALEXANDER: Well actually, we didn't deal with the works originally, and I may go back to them because they are taking works, which means that someone who doesn't have access to another needle is going to have to share. They don't always have bleach on them.

> SENATOR SEYMOUR: Any questions? Thank you very much. MS. ALEXANDER: Thank you.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: We appreciate your testimony.

Our final witness today will be Mr. George Williams, who's a Community Health Outreach Worker for Hospitality House.

MR. WILLIAMS: Good afternoon and thank you.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Good afternoon and thank you, sir. We're looking forward to your testimony.

MR. WILLIAMS: The feeling's mutual.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

26

28

Outreach, community outreach has been mentioned at least 15 times today, so I kind of want to lean on that to kind of stress the importance, and how everybody in the room, or most of the folk in the room, tend to agree with that.

Community Health Outreach Worker, CHOW, C-H-O-W. We're also known as -- at least I'm known as the AIDS man, or the rubber man, or the bleach man, or the street outreach worker, et cetera, et cetera. And some of the names I'm known by, I won't mention.

I want to first of all point to the agenda. I think the agenda was arranged quite appropriately, because much of the information which is education that we've heard today is in many of the brochures that you all have that I distributed. And in distributing that information, there's a lot that goes on around intravenous drug use, AIDS, substance abuse, drug use, et cetera, et cetera.

As a Community Health Outreach Worker, to have a good 23 message is one thing, okay? We tend to think that we have a very 24 good message at Hospitality House. 25

But having a good message and find a good head is two different kinds of situations. We know that the information is 27

very good, it's helpful. But getting an individual to plug into that is one of the obstacles with the outreach. But above all else, I giv you some idea about how it started.

There was a study done in the Tenderloin. The Tenderloin is where I work. It is thought to be an area with the highest sex trade activity, and the highest intravenous drug use.

A couple of researchers went in, did some research, and came up with the fact that the virus was there. That determined the attention that that area should get. So, of course, we started in that area.

One of the other areas is the Mission District.

But something we recognized in going into that area is the cultural, the whole cultural scene. It's almost like a melting pot: Blacks, Whites, Asians, South Pacific Islanders, et cetera, et cetera. And we realized that the message we had was good, but we were going to have to destroy some of those barriers to get in to give up the information and to have individuals to accept the information.

There was a lot of resistance, not only from the community itself, but from other individuals who were doing other kinds of things, and just in general objected to the street outreach efforts.

But I tend to think that we have to cast aside all formalities. We have to cast aside pomp and ceremony. And we have to look at what's really going on.

We have an epidemic that has the potential to literally devour mankind. But the backdrop for this epidemic, especially

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

around prostitution and intravenous use, is an emotional one. That is, most of the decisions that are made around prostitution and intravenous drug use are fraught with emotion. Few facts have gotten through.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In doing the outreach, I've discovered that many of those barriers fall in the face of persistence. So what I did early on, I developed a capacity for rejection, because I already knew that 90 percent of the individuals that I would make contact with would probably reject what I had to offer. And then I went on to develop a willingness to be tolerant of individuals who are different from myself. And that's what I put out to everybody. Get busy and develop a tolerance for folk who are different from us: socially, economically and politically. Those individuals have a right to any and all information that's available, irregardless to the rung of the ladder that they happen to be on.

Community outreach work allows me the opportunity to do that, to go in, work with the individuals who are unempowered, or considered to be unempowered by the powers to be. Work with those individuals around public health in general, not just AIDS, but public health in general and more specifically AIDS.

Something else research showed was that while there is roughly 12-18,000 intravenous drug users in San Francisco, if you look real closely, you'll see that about 7,000 use heroin. And of that 7,000, maybe 5,000 are in treatment. So, you've got a couple thousand that are not in treatment.

The other portion of that 12-18,000 are speed users. There is virtually nothing for an individual who's intravenously

using speed, so you've got that individual out of treatment. Roughly speaking you've got, oh, maybe 8-9,000 individuals who are out of treatment, thus possibly not being exposed to any information at all.

I

2

3

4

5

6

That is the target group that the Community Health Outreach Worker is primarily working with.

A profile of the individual for the area that I work in, and remember that this will change, a profile of the individual that I work with basically is between 30-50 years old, say 90 percent of them are males, unemployed, not plugged into the system, the powers to be, circumvent those powers to be every poportunity they get. But again, I insist that those individuals have a right to the information.

So, my job is to deliver, or the job of the CHOW, is to deliver that information. The program I'm with is a comprehensive, educational outreach program of which two intervention strategies happen to be a part of: condoms and the bleach.

We also make referrals. We also do some advocating. So, it isn't that we give an individual information, or tell an individual what he or she can do to reduce risk, but we are also able to follow that up with referrals, and even get an individual to those spots.

Senator, I'll put it to you. What's the lesser of the two evils? To have folks to continue to shoot dope and have unsafe sex, and we do nothing at all, or we let go of the traditional ideals, look into some of the creative methods, and save a few lives.

The comic book, much of the information has been accused time and time again of encouraging promiscuity, sexual promiscuity, or encouraging drug use. In the face of this epidemic since day one, all of the choices we've had to make have been between two evils. That's how it's been since 1981. You don't give enough money to the -- State money for the AIDS prevention, you give it to the intravenous drug users. And the gay community is left at risk if you do that same thing with the intravenous drug user.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Those kinds of decisions have had to be made. We've had to make the lesser of the two evils.

The decisions we make from this point on are in that same ramification. I'd rather have the literature out there than to not have it out. And speaking of literature, I brought a couple pieces with me.

This is the latest effort by one of the programs here, the Coalition for AIDS Education in San Francisco. Much of this stuff is part of what's distributed to the community, and much of it is specific, like say for the sister. And there's Spanish and Japanese information, and information in Tagalog. All of that information must be gotten out to the community. The Community Health Outreach Worker is able to do that, and we are doing that.

But what I look at overall is how everybody in the room is a Community Health Outreach Worker of a sort, because if we go back to the first two policies I mentioned, a capacity for rejection and the tolerance of those who are different from us, I think that puts us all in that category, whether we're talking to

10,000 or 10 or two or one. We can all get involved in stemming the epidemic.

We cannot do it alone. One individual cannot do it alone. We need the help of each and everybody. You're all affected. We're all affected.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

27

28

What comes out of education is a sense of safety and security. If I understand that touching a doorknob behind someone who has AIDS is not going to put me at risk, I can get a sense of safety out of that. If I know that I can use a bathroom without concern, even if someone who has AIDS has used it, that's a sense of security.

Everyone has a right to that. Not only the individuals who get the direct services, but the so-called worried whale.

What I've done as an attempt to deal with that is, I leave my designated community, which is bound by Market Street. I go to Market Street, and once we can at least distribute 100 pieces of material, whether an individual is an intravenous drug user or not, whether I see any indications of that or not, my position is that we all deserve the information. We all need a sense of security. We all need to know that food prepared by someone with AIDS does not put us at risk.

And you may be surprised, those ideas still exist. Five 22 or six years later, you have folks who say, "Yeah, but if I drink 23 out of that same glass, won't I get AIDS?" And at that point, it's my job to explain that even if that could happen, he would 25 not get AIDS, but he would simply be exposed to the virus. 26

It is that kind of information, the real grass roots, if you will, that's needed. When are we going to get free needles? That kind of question comes up. I say, "When we and the officials get

"Well you know, George, that would help us, man, because then we wouldn't have to be involved in what's going on around transmitting the virus."

together to decide that that's the way to deal with the virus."

"Yeah, I understand."

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

26

27

28

"Well God damn it! Get out there, brother! Tell those people what's going on!"

I mean, I'm bombarded with that kind of response to the officials getting involved.

14 If we can see it here at this level, why can't they see 15 it?

So what this kind of meeting does is allow conduits from many areas to let you all know what's going on.

Community Health Outreach Worker's alive and well. It's 18 being supported vigorously. The attitude in the community I've 19 watched go from indifference, to tolerance, to concern. I've 20 watched that unfold. I've gotten at least two pimps, what I like 21 to call -- I have at least two gentlemen who manage women who get 22 at least 50 condoms from me a week. That needs to not only 23 happen in the Tenderloin, but that needs to happen broadly and at 24 length. 25

So, we need to take off the rose-colored glasses and begin to look at our homophobia and our addictaphobia, and be brutally frank with ourselves: Why do I have a problem with intravenous who want to medicate themselves intravenously? Why do I have a problem with an individual who has a different sexual preference than mine? That's what I suggest. Take a look at those kinds of issues, and be frank with yourself, because you will not be able to extend services to those individuals if your head is not screwed on right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Fortunately, I had opportunities to deal with those two 8 phobias. Being an ex-heroin addict from Chicago, and shooting 9 dope with many individuals who were gay and bisexual, et cetera, 10 et cetera. We need to really look at what's going on, and look 11 at those individuals who do their thing a little different than 12 we do: White, Black, Red, Yellow, whatever. Sensitivity 13 increase, I guess, is what I'm asking for. Just be a little more 14 sensitive to the whole issue of AIDS, minorities, poor people, 15 women, children, and continue to support the Community Health 16 Outreach. 17

That's going to be the savior, I believe, getting right at the roots, right at the ground level.

I'm going to continue to distribute information every opportunity I get. I'm going to remain involved in a holistic kind of situation.

See, I'm not going to just ask individuals that I deal with who get direction information to change. I'm going to ask the Select Committee to change. I'm going to ask the Select Committee to join me in suggesting that other folk change.

We're going to have to start looking at the situation a little differently.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you, Mr. Williams.

Obviously the work that you've accomplished to date has been effective with the limited resources you've had to accomplish it. Let me make a statement before I have some questions, and perhaps Senator Hart does as well.

I hear you loud and clear, and I respect and appreciate not only your work, but your relationship with the some 8,000 people you're trying to work with, that you define as your market.

And I agree with you that I myself represent the powers to be, and we don't relate with the people you work with, and never will probably, because we don't have any credibility with them. And I understand that; I accept that.

And on behalf of at least the philosophies of the constituents that I represent, I'm prepared to lead to some movement that you've requested.

On the other hand, politics is clearly the art of compromise. We live in a democracy, and no one individual, no one group, gets their whole way all the time.

Therefore my statement to you is, I think people like Senator Hart, and he can certainly speak for himself, and I can speak for myself, I'm prepared to lead and move. But I don't want to leave you with any illusions.

I'm not sure at all, in fact I doubt, that I could lead and stay alive all the way over with everything you've asked for. So what I'm saying is, sure, we've got movement now. I think the proper leadership will create more movement. But not to the entire pole that you're suggesting.

Therefore, the folks that you represent, they need to realize that, that somewhere we come together and we make a difference.

MR. WILLIAMS: As a response to that, the Community 9 Health Outreach Workers, there are three of us, went into the 10 community with the goal of stimulating the community to get involved itself. Out of that came TAN, the Tenderloin AIDS 12 Network. We occur as individuals, we come to the meetings, join in the dialogues. Last year we had a public health forum set up 14 with City officials who came out and listened to the community, 15 and the community listened to the officials. 16

So you're right. Part of that is the understanding that the individuals in the community are going to have to take some responsibility for their activities and their behavior, sure, but I don't want to give you the impression that they should in that fight alone.

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

13

17

18

19

20

21

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Sure, I hear you.

Some questions, Mr. Williams. I am sincerely 23 appreciative of your time. My consultant tells me that you're 24 working so hard out there that you've got an answering service, 25 and she's lucky if she's been able to get hold of you one every 26 two weeks. That tells me you're out in the field working, and so 27 I am deeply appreciative that you're here today. 28

Let me ask you this question: First of all, how many years have you been working the Tenderloin?

MR. WILLIAMS: Eighteen months.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: So for eighteen months --

MR. WILLIAMS: I've been living in the community three

years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SENATOR SEYMOUR: So you have a pretty good feel for it. MR. WILLIAMS: Right.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Have you made any appreciable dent at all that you can relate to us relative to the last 18 months' work?

MR. WILLIAMS: I'm glad you asked that question.

You mentioned success earlier. If we're going to talk about success, we're going to have to be willing to redefine what we mean by success.

Now, if you mean I'm going into the field to stop everybody from shooting dope or sharing needles, no.

But if you kind of change that a little bit, and ask me if I've gone out and gotten individuals concerned about their own health through knowledge, and that knowledge has changed the education base, and then have those individuals to make personal assessments and then get involved in promoting good health activities, then yes, we've done that. We have done that.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: I know this is difficult. You've only been there 18 months, and 8,000 is a large market to get to.

But do you have any idea, just off the top of your head, how many people you've gotten to in the way you've just described in the last 18 months? Is there any way of knowing?

MR. WILLIAMS: No. If I'm pushed to the wall, I'd have to say that the population I work with, the entire population has changed.

Let me qualify that now. I don't mean they've stopped sharing needles and stopped shooting dope. But they are at least 5 now inquiring about the information, okay? "Where do I go to get "What is testing all about?" "I want to get the AIDS tested?" test."

Those kinds of situations come up, and I present this 9 information. 10

Nine months ago, you did not have that kind of concern, 11 if you will; you did not have that. 12

The research I mentioned came up with three percent of 13 the individuals involved in the study nine months ago, ten months 14 ago, reported using condoms or using bleach sometimes every now 15 and then. Nine months later, over 70 percent reported using 16 bleach. 17

> That's significant. SENATOR SEYMOUR:

MR. WILLIAMS: Sure, sure it is.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: You indicated that two of your 20 clients, as I recall your words, were gentlemen that managed two 21 women. 22

23

24

25

28

18

19

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, three women, right.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Does that mean one gentleman is managing three women, or that two gentlemen managing three women?

MR. WILLIAMS: That's one gentleman, and that's the 26 number he gave me. It's probably six. 27

SENATOR SEYMOUR: My question is this: We heard earlier from Ms. Alexander, representing COYOTE, and she gave us -- she was obviously very informed and COYOTE as an organization has a lot of data. I'm just trying to test the marketplace here.

You indicated that the two gentlemen that managed two women, and maybe it's three women or four women, you gave them 50 condoms a week?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: My quick math tells me that ain't sufficient.

Therefore, my question of you is: Is it your opinion that those prostitutes, the women being managed, are really as aggressively requiring the use of condoms as was suggested to us earlier?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: You think so?

MR. WILLIAMS: Sure, straight out they tell me, "I'm not 18 going to --"

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Where are they getting their condoms? You're not giving them enough.

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, there are other agencies. There are other spots. We have depots. I've got five hotels where I leave condoms and bleach.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: I got you.

MR. WILLIAMS; There are condoms and bleach at the AIDS Visual, located in the United Nations Plaza.

We've got the bases covered, Senator.

	120
i	(Laughter.)
2	SENATOR SEYMOUR: Mr. Williams, part of our job, and
3	it's obviously going to be a tough one, and that is to pump
4	money, Laxpayer money, into effective programs. So the reason
5	I'm asking these questions, I'm trying to find out how effective
6	you are.
7	MR. WILLIAMS: Come on with us! Come on!
8	SENATOR SEYMOUR: What percentage of your clientele is
9	mincrity?
10	MR. WILLIAMS: Ninety percent.
11	SENATOR SEYMOUR: Ninety percent?
12	MR. WILLIAMS: Ninety percent, sure.
13	SENATOR SEYMOUR: Obviously you, as an ex-heroin addict,
14	relate?
15	MR. WILLIAMS: Sure.
16	SENATOR SEYMOUR: Do you see others out there trying to
17	provide outreach that perhaps don't have the background you do,
18	and are they as successful, less successful, more successful,
19	than you?
20	MR. WILLIAMS: The success depends on the effort the
21	individuals make. Yes, they are.
22	SENATOR SEYMOUR: Let me ask another question.
23	Could I ever make it in your business?
24	MR. WILLIAMS: You sure could. You sure could.
25	See, I'm into street outreach. The heading is
26	"outreach". That could be hotels; that could be with businesses.
27	That kind of outreach is going on now in the hotels. You've got
28	

St. Anthony's and some of the other agencies going to the hotels to do that kind of outreach.

I do the street outreach. You don't have to street outreach to be effective. You don't have to do the street outreach to reach folks.

1 .

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

We do presentations. At least one presentation a week is going on in the Tenderloin, and at least two or three others are going on across San Francisco: the Mission District, south of Market Street, et cetera, et cetera.

So no, you may be very good with facts and figures, okay. We can use you. And when I say "use", I mean not misuse. We can use you. You're very good with facts and figures. You'll go around to other folks. We can use you. You have contacts! We can use you!

SENATOR HART: Fundraising. Get him into fundraising.

(Laughter.)

MR. WILLIAMS: Right! I'm working on that.

So, everybody can fit in, and I think Dr. Martin Luther King said that everybody can serve.

So, it's not to do what I do. I do it in one fashion, but there are at least three other stratas that must be reached. SENATOR SEYMOUR: Relative to the material that you pass out, you held up the booklet, "Where Can I Get A Test for AIDS". MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.

> SENATOR SEYMOUR: Is that your most popular piece? MR. WILLIAMS: No.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: What's your most popular piece?

MR. WILLIAMS: My most popular piece. That's just
 material, now, not condoms and bleach?
 SENATOR SEYMOUR: Right, material.
 MR. WILLIAMS: The most --

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Most popular educational piece.

(Laughter.)

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.

The most popular piece at one time was "AIDS, Substance Abuse and People of Color." But I heard that somebody objected to that because the word "shit" was in there in the brochure. So now anybody's who getting State money can't be involved in issuing that brochure, the comic book.

So the most popular piece at that time was "AIDS,
Substance Abuse and Minorities." And right after that, it was
the comic book.

16 SENATOR SEYMOUR: Let me ask some questions relative to 17 the point, and you've made it several times, and a number of 18 witnesses made it today. That is the difficulty that you have in 19 doing a good job out there when your material, if you're going to 20 use State funds, can't, let's say, tell it like it is. It's not 21 in the street language.

Let me tell you what happened on the other side. The other side, and it happened about a year ago, some of the publication pieces here in the San Francisco area relative to safe sex in the homosexual community got into the hands of various citizens and organizations throughout the state who found out it was tax supported or some tax monies were used. They were

28

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

-- right, wrong, or indifferent -- were absolutely flabbergasted. Obviously they couldn't relate, because they'd never been on the street. And I mean they become outraged.

I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

27

28

The result, cut off. Now, that's the other pole. So you're over here, and they're over there.

The question is: Is it impossible to tell the story, obviously not with the people in power language -- that's not even English to your folks -- but is there some language, short of the depiction and language that makes it easier for you to disseminate your material and make it meaningful, is there short of that where maybe we can be a little creative, and you get yours, and we're able to get money into the program to fund educational pieces? Yet we and the taxpayers and other groups, maybe right wing fundamentalists, maybe don't become so outraged? Is there a middle ground?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, there is a middle ground.

Let me say this, Senator, that by the time we reach that middle ground, it would be curtains. That's what happened in New York. By the time the City officials, the laymen, and everybody stopped bickering among themselves, or stopped reminding: "It's not mine. I'm Black. He's White. He's a White boy. I'm a Black boy." By the time the folks stopped doing that, the epidemic was upon them.

24 So, that's the result of waiting to reach the middle 25 ground.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: No, I'm asking, I guess, if there can be effective literature created without four-letter words?

MR. WILLIAMS: Sure, sure. But I've got to meet with 1 you and do that. Meanwhile, folks in the street need services. 2 SENATOR SEYMOUR: Absolutely. That's why we're holding 3 these hcarings. We're trying to get a handle on things, and I 4 hope, and I'm sure Senator Hart does, we hope to make some 5 positive moves. 6 But it's a real world that we deal in. 7 MR. WILLIAMS: Sure it is. 8 SENATOR SEYMOUR: And we realize that probably the 9 greatest thing we can do is be a source of funding and let the 10 folks who know what they're doing out there, don't try to 11 reinvent the wheel, a lot of good jobs are being done, just fuel 12 it. 13 But you've got to be able to cooperate with us in such a 14 fashion that we won't get our heads chopped off as we move ahead. 15 MR. WILLIAMS: Right, right. I'm looking forward to 16 that. 17 Senator Hart, any questions? SENATOR SEYMOUR: 18 SENATOR HART: It's been interesting dialogue. A couple 19 questions. 20 One, what's the biggest misconception about the people 21 that you work with that people up here on this side of the dais 22 and other places, the sort of establishment straight community, 23 have about your clients? 24 MR. WILLIAMS: Let me say this first. 25 You all just happen to be asking the right questions 26 today, too. 27 28

SENATOR SEYMOUR: That's because we're so intelligent. MR. WILLIAMS: Right.

(Laughter.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

MR. WILLIAMS: The notion that AIDS is a gay disease is the biggest bugaboo, and that comes from the younger brother or the younger sister right on up to some of the older adults. "Hey, I'm not a sissy. I don't fuck around, man! I don't need to do that! I don't need to protect myself. I don't deal with gays. I don't deal with fags."

And many times I have an opportunity, a golden opportunity, to diffuse some of that if the individual will just stick with me. But for the individual who says that to me and then don't give me an opportunity to respond immediately, then I'm trying to make sure that I'm there the next day, because there is a misconception that you don't have to be gay to contract the virus. You can just not manage your life well sexually and intravenously and end up infected, not with AIDS, but infected.

So, every opportunity I get to explain that difference, 19 I do my best to do exactly that. As a matter of fact, just on my 20 own what I did in my community to reinforce my efforts to dispel 21 that was to take on a gay volunteer man, okay? I just took on a 22 gay volunteer man so that -- and he worked with me for about 23 three weeks. So that when that issue, or the issue that "Send a 24 fag over to some island and drop him", when that came up, then we 25 had an opportunity to deal with it, not only from my perspective 26 as a sympathizer, if you will, but from a dude, from a man who 27 was actually gay and using stuff. 28

So, that gave both of us an opportunity to dispel a lot of that. And that's what it's all about. Isn't that what it's all about? It's education?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

28

And it's a mistake for us to expect that the first round is going to do it. That's another misconception. We were out there one day, that's okay. It isn't like that.

For what we're doing is likened to basic education. I mean, if you learn everything in the first grade, then you wouldn't have to go to the eighth grade, you would never go to high school, right? It's no different than this kind of stuff here.

When I hear, "I'm not gay. Get away from me with that shit. I don't like rubbers. I like the meat to meat feeling," or "I've been shooting dope like this all of my life, man, and I'm still okay. I'm still alive, ain't I? So I don't need to do any new things." When I hear that, I'm encouraged. I'm encouraged.

Those kinds of statements never say, no other statement ever says to me more that we are needed than when I hear individuals talk like that.

And again, I get a grip on my emotions so I don't say, "What's the matter with that dumb head?" Or "Doesn't he know what' good for him?" I've managed to control those kinds of responses and remember that we just don't all learn at the same pace. Some of us play football, some play baseball, some of us shoot pool, some of us shoot craps. You know, it's the kind of thing where you have to be there.

So, visibility plays a big, big part in our job. At least once a week I'm in the community, and I may not pass out more than ten bottle of bleach. I may not pass out more than a hundred condoms, but I may answer 50 relevant questions. I may answer 50 nonrelevant questions, but it doesn't matter; the relevancy doesn't matter.

1

2

3

Δ

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

28

My being there to act as a conduit for those questions matters. Nine, ten months ago, 18 months ago, in this particular community, there was no iota of any concern, agency representative, or anyone else. Since that time, TAN has at least stimulated dialogue between the Public Health Department and the community.

There is not going to be any way possible to continue to discuss AILS prevention and treatment without including street-based outreach. There is just no way.

SENATOR HART: Let me ask another question.

17 If we somehow have the political will to move forward 18 with funding, San Francisco's much more sophisticated in dealing 19 with this disease than other communities.

Do you have any advice or recommendations for other communities or the Legislature in establishing programs in communities, say, in Orange County, or Fresno, or San Jose, where maybe they aren't doing it now? Are there certain key things that we need to either do or avoid in setting up with the kinds of programs that you're talking about that are street-based?

26 MR. WILLIAMS: Sure. You need, first of all, to be 27 conscious of the composition of the community racially, okay? I even had to change clothes, because of the style of clothes that
I wore, because with a briefcase and a suit and tie, I
represented the establishment. And when I changed clothes, I
didn't stop representing the establishment, but I stopped looking
at if I represented the establishment.

5 So, be cognizant of what's going on in the community. 7 Get to know the community.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

27

28

But Senator Hart, that cannot be done unless an individual is willing to sit down at the same table with gay folks, sit down at the same table with someone who might have just fixed, okay? To sit down at a table with individuals who are different from ourselves. Until we are able to do that, until we're able to work on why it is we just do not like gay folk, we can't do any of that stuff.

And that's what education is all about. Education offers us an opportunity to do that if an individual remains open.

18 So my job is to stimulate that. And I'm hoping that 19 that's what the four of you all do, stimulate information 20 gathering and knowledge gathering around the whole issue. Please 21 do that, please do that.

22 SENATOR HART: Is there any kind of network of what I 23 would call, for want of a better term, street activists like 24 yourself around the state or around the nation? I mean, all the 25 public health officers get together and have these conferences 26 all the time.

Is there ever an opportunity for people like yourself to network with people in other parts of the state or country?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. WILLIAMS: That's what we encourage in San Francisco in the Tenderloin and the Mission Districts. We want folks, whether they're using or not, to get involved. We use those opinions. So at some of the meetings, we open the meetings up to individuals from the community.

SENATOR HART: But I'm saying, do people in San Jose, or Fresno or Chicago get a chancer to hear what you're saying?

MR. WILLIAMS: Oh, sure, sure. As a matter of fact, as part of the training there were representatives from San Jose, representatives from Sacramento, and representatives from Los Angeles, Chicago, even.

So, yeah, right. There is that kind of network.

SENATOR HART: The last question I had was, you're affiliated with Hospitality House. Could you tell us just a little bit about Hospitality House? How is that funded and how you came to work there?

MR. WILLIAMS: Hospitality House gets funds from the three official stratas: the City, the State and then some federal funding, and also United Way pitches in, and there are some contributions, private contributions made.

When the researchers realized what was happening in the Tenderloin, they also realized that Hospitality House needed a component, someone to be connected with Hospitality House and yet part of that huge delivery system. They had to get someone to do that.

And so, upon hiring, they just submitted, or at least I 1 submitted a resume and was interviewed and was hired, and was 2 trained and sent out to the community. And to toot my own horn a 3 little bit, I was very successful. 4 SENATOR HART: I believe that. 5 Hospitality House, then, gets most of its funding from 6 the government? 7 MR. WILLIAMS: I don't want to say most of it. I want 8 to say a portion of it. 9 SENATOR SEYMOUR: Mr. Williams, you've been very 10 enlightening. Continue your good work, and hopefully with the 11 testimony that you've provided today, and the testimony that some 12 of the others have provided today, Senator Hart and I and other 13 legislators concerned with this issue might be better equipped to 14 do a more effective job in helping you to do what you do so well. 15 Thank you. 16 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. 17 SENATOR SEYMOUR: This will conclude the hearing. 18 (Thereupon this Interim Joint 19 Hearing was terminated at 20 approximately 1:25 P.M.) 21 --00000--22 23 24 25 26 27 28

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, EVELYN MIZAK, a Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing Joint Interim Hearing of the Senate Select Committee on Substance Abuse and the Senate Select Committee on AIDS, held on Tuesday, October 20, 1987 in San Francisco, California, was reported in shorthand by me, Evelyn Mizak, and thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said hearing, nor in any way interested in the outcome of said hearing.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 27 day of October, 1987.

MTZAK

Shorthand Reporter

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

JOINT INTERIM HEARING

SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON SUBSTANCE ABUSE

AND

SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON AIDS

AIDS AND THE IV DRUG USER

L.A. UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD ROOM

450 NORTH GRAND AVENUE

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 21, 1987

10:00 A.M.

26 Reported by:

27 Evelyn Mizak Shorthand Reporter

APPEARANCES

MEMBERS PRESENT

SENATOR JOHN SEYMOUR, Chairman Senate Select Committee on Substance Abuse

SENATOR ART TORRES

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

SENATOR MILTON MARKS

SENATOR DIANE WATSON

STAFF PRESENT

TERRI DELGADILLO, Consultant Senate Select Committee on Substance Abuse

IRENE KAVANAGH, Secretary Senate Select Committee on Substance Abuse

JANE UITTI, Senior Consultant Senate Committee on Health and Human Services

ALSO PRESENT

DR. PENNY C. WEISMULLER, AIDS Coordinator Orange County Health Care Agency

DR. FORREST TENNANT, M.D. Community Health Projects, Inc. Research and Education Division of West Covina

DR. IRMA STRANTZ, Director Los Angeles County Drug Abuse Program

MS. GENI COWEN, Executive Director Gay and Lesbian Resource Center

DR. THOMAS M. MUNDY, M.D. Department of Pediatrics Cedars Sinai Medical Center

RICK DAVIS Chemical Dependence Specialist AIDS Project Los Angeles

ł	APPEARANCES (Continued)
2	RICHARD BAYQUEN, Chief Deputy Director State Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs
3	DR. NEIL R. SCHRAM, M.D.
4	Internal Medicine/Nephrology
5	JOE ARNOLD, AIDS Research Coordinator UCLA Neuropsychiatric Institute
6	DR. LESLIE ROTHENBERG, Director
7	Program in Medical Ethics UCLA School of Medicine
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
21	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

		iv
1		
2	INDEX	Page
3	Proceedings	1
4	Opening Statement by SENATOR SEYMOUR	1
5	Opening Statement by SENATOR MARKS	4
6	Opening Statement by SENATOR WATSON	5
7	Opening Statement by SENATOR TORRES	8
8	Witnesses:	
9	DR. PENNY WEISMULLER, AIDS Coordinator Orange County Health Care Agency	8
10	AIDS and IVDUs in Orange County	9
11	Jail Tests on Women	9
12	AIDS and Newborns	10
13	Necessity for Treatment Slots	10
14	AIDS Education	11
15	Need for Outreach	12
16	Adequate Funding Needs	13
17	Legal Barriers re: Needles & Condoms	13
18	Master Plan	14
19	Professional Training Needs	14
20 21	Questions by SENATOR WATSON re:	
21	Behavior Changes in Addicts	15
22	Safe Needle Disposal	16
23	Question by SENATOR TORRES re:	
24 25	Condom Confiscation	17
25	Question by SENATOR MARKS re:	
27	Involvement with Gay Communities	19
- ,		

1 2	I N D E X (Continued)	Page
2	Question by SENATOR WATSON re:	
4	Testing of AIDS Drug	19
5	Educating Black Community	20
6	DR. FORREST TENNANT Community Health Projects, Inc.	21
7	Role of Community Health Projects, Inc.	22
8	Federal Monitoring Site for AIDS in IVDU	23
9	Low Seroprevalence Rate	23
10	Civil Addict Commitment Program	25
11	Questions by SENATOR TORRES re:	
12	Differences in San Francisco	26
13	Necessity for Flexibility	28
14	Questions by SFNATOR MARKS re:	
15	Similar Programs in San Francisco	29
16	Similarity in Results	29
17	Waiting Time for Treatment	30
18	Statement by SENATOR SEYMOUR re:	
19	Programs in San Francisco	30
20	Question by SENATOR TORRES re:	
21	Average Client	32
22	Questions by SENATOR MARKS re:	
23	Government Funding	34
24	Availability of Funds Statewide	34
25	Methadone Programs	36
26	LAAM	37
27	Request for Legislative Mandate re: LAAM	38
28	Lack of Nursing Personnel	39
		-

v

I N D E X (Continued) Page Naltrexone Burdensome California Regulations Medi-Cal Funding DR. IRMA STRANTZ, Director Los Angeles County Drug Abuse Program Diagnosed AIDS Cases HIV Prevalence in IVDUs Minority Prevalence Rates Greater Risks for Females Need for Prevention Programs Need for Professional Training Expansion of Outreach Efforts Treatment Expansion Use of Federal Funds Involved Community Organizations Recommendations Master Plan Recommendations Questions by SENATOR TORRES re: Opposition to Previous Statistics Inclusion of TENNANT's Facilities Reason for Lack of Behavioral Changes Acupuncture Economic Factors in Addiction Statement by SENATOR SEYMOUR re: Economics of Drug Dealing

vi

INDEX (Continued) Page GENI COWEN, Executive Director Gay and Lesbian Resource Center Educational Needs in Non-Urban Areas Outreach Program for Farmworkers Substance Abuse in Minorities Correctional Institutions Effects of Substance Abuse in General Adequate Funding Needs Leadership from Elected Officials Questions by SENATOR MARKS re: Involvement of Gay Community Program Cuts by Administration Statement by SENATOR SEYMOUR re: Legislature's Rebate Debate Questions by SENATOR WATSON re: Problems with Correctional Institutions Medical Treatment for Prisoners Need for Cultural Sensitivity DR. THOMAS M. MUNDY Department of Pediatrics Cedars Sinai Medical Center Informal Survey of Pediatric AIDS Question by SENATOR WATSON re: Reason for Inaccurate Diagnoses Pediatric Transfusion Recipients Statement by SENATOR WATSON re: Infant Mortality Commission Undiagnosed Womer

vii

1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2		Page
3	Question by SENATOR SEYMOUR re:	
4	Pregnancy Testing for AIDS	79
5	Frequency of Perinatal Transmission	80
6	Involvement of Gynecologists	81
7	Testing Availability for Children	81
8	Mandated Testing	82
9	Question by SENATOR SEYMOUR re:	
10	False-Positive Rates	83
11	Need for Confidentiality	85
12	RICK DAVIS, Chemical Dependancy Specialist AIDS Project in Los Angeles (APLA)	86
13	Lack of Drug Treatment for AIDS Patients	86
14	Confidentiality Problem	87
15	AIDS and Continued Substance Abuse	88
16	Question by SENATOR SEYMOUR re:	
17	Substance Abuse Risk Factors	89
18	Accidental Addictions	90
19	Increase in Minority Clients	91
20	Culturally Appropriate Materials	92
21	Effective Volunteer Use	92
22	Question by SENATOR SEYMOUR re:	
23	Four-letter versus Six-letter Words	94
24	DENNIS WEBB	
25	Office of AIDS State Department of Health Services	95
26	Role of Office of AIDS	95
27	AIDS and IVDU	95
28	AIDS Prevention and Substance Abuse Treatment	96

٩,

viii

1	INDEX (Continued)	
2		Page
3	Punishment of Illegal Drug Activity	96
4	AIDS and IVDUs in Minority Communities	97
5	Heterosexual Spread	97
6	Pediatric AIDS	98
7	AIDS in Prostitutes	98
8	Serological Monitoring	99
9	Question by SENATOR SEYMOUR re:	
10	Nevada Prostitutes and IVDU	99
11	State's Position on Bleach Kits	99
12	RICHARD BAYQUEN, Chief Deputy Director	1 0 1
13	State Department of Alcohol & Drug Programs	101
14	AIDS in IVDUs	102
15	AIDS in Minorities	102
16	Heterosexual Transmission	103
17	Monitoring HIV Exposure in IVDUs	103
18	Voluntary HIV Testing	104
19	Question by SENATOR SEYMOUR re:	
20	Testing Delays	104
21	Increasing Treatment Slots	105
22	Additional County Funding	105
23	Question by SENATOR SEYMOUR re:	
24	Department's Position on Bleach Kits	106
25	Need for Education Campaigns	107
26	Question by SENATOR SEYMOUR re:	
20	Use of Drug LAAM	107
27	Need for High Quality Treatment	109
20	Need for Prevention	109

ix

1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2		Page
3	Support and Assistance Programs	109
4	Counseling Programs	109
5	Program Needs	109
6	Question by SENATOR SEYMOUR re:	
7	Five-Year Plan	111
8	DR. NEIL SCHRAM Internal Medicine and Nephrology	114
9	Increase in AIDS in IVDUs	115
10	Needle Exchange Program	115
11	Condom Use	116
12	Lack of Effective Action	116
13	Results of Lack of Counseling	117
14	Question by SENATOR SEYMOUR re:	
15	Necessary Financial Commitment	117
16	Accountability	119
17	Necessity for Master Plan	120
18	JOE ARNOLD, AIDS Research Coordinator	
19	UCLA Neuropsychiatric Institute	121
20	Necessity of Counseling	121
21	Increasing Treatment Slots	122
22	Coupon Program	122
23	Needle Exchange	124
24	Effective Literature	125
25	Statewide AIDS Conference	126
26	Prostitution	127
27	Role of All Substance Abuse	127
28	Monitoring Project	127

x

		xi
1		
2	I N D E X (Continued)	Page
3	DR. LESLIE ROTHENBERG, Program Director	
4	Medical Ethics UCLA School of Medicine	128
5	Question by SENATOR SEYMOUR re:	
6	Perception of Previous Testimony	129
7	Need for Leadership in Ethics	129
8	Public Acceptance	130
9	Adjournment	131
10	Certificate of Reporter	132
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

xi

PROCEEDINGS

--00000--

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Good morning and welcome to this interim hearing. This is a joint hearing between the Senate Select Committee on Substance Abuse, of which I, and my name is John Seymour, am Chairman, and the Senate Select Committee on AIDS, chaired by Senator Gary Hart.

We had a similar hearing yesterday in the City of San Francisco, and this of course is our second and final hearing on the subject, the subject being the connection between IV drug users and the dreaded disease of AIDS.

We will have a number of Senate Members present with us today. To my immediate right is Senator Milton Marks, who serves San Francisco and all of Marin County, almost all of the Bay Area. Senator Marks has had a very distinguished career and serves in a leadership position in the State Senate as the Democratic Caucus Chairman. He has long been a very vocal and strong voice of support relative to this particular issue of AIDS as well as other gay rights and homosexual issues.

Senator Marks, we're very pleased to have you with us today.

Out in the corridor and joining us briefly will be Senator Art Torres, and I think I'll wait until he returns before I more appropriately introduce him.

In the meantime, let me make some opening comments, and then ask Senator Marks if he has any opening comments, and then we'll proceed with our witness list.

1

2

The Senate Select Committee on Substance Abuse has spent the past four years working with public and private organizations throughout the state in an all out effort to reduce drug and alcohol abuse in California. Recently, our war against substance abuse met an uphill battle when the AIDS virus plagued the IV drug using population.

Now, not only must we address the debilitating effects of injecting illegal drugs, but we must also focus our attention on changing the behavioral patterns of the IV drug user, which contribute to the spread of this infectious disease.

The alarming statistics underscore the urgency of our efforts. For example, experts indicate that nationally over 25 percent of all identified AIDS victims have reported a history of IV drug use. Currently in California, approximately 10 percent of the people suffering from AIDS report a history of injecting illegal drugs. Furthermore, it is apparent that with approximately 425,000 needle-using drug addicts, AIDS prevention must be an integral part of any statewide effort to reduce the illegal use of controlled substances.

Although almost anyone is theoretically capable of spreading the AIDS virus, the increasing avenue of transmission is through the IV drug user. Especially prevalent is the transmission occurring as a result of a contaminated needle, or needle sharing.

Recognizing this deadly phenomenon, I on behalf of the Senate Select Committee on Substance Abuse invited Senator Hart and the Senate Select Committee on AIDS to co-sponsor joint

27

28

1

2

3

4

public hearings to gather information from experts in an attempt to answer the following questions:

3

One, what can be done to stop the spread of AIDS among the IV drug user population?

Two, what can we, as elected officials, do to help you with your efforts to curtail the spread of the AIDS virus in this population?

Three, how should the prevention of AIDS be addressed in the statewide Master Plan to reduce substance abuse in California?

Although these hearings will cover the prevention, 11 treatment and epidemiological aspects of the relationship between 12 AIDS and IV drug users, Senator Hart and I have encouraged the 13 testifiers in responding to these questions to address the 14 following issues which are of particular concern to the 15 Committees: One, the relationship between AIDS prevention and 16 substance abuse treatment; two, the relationship between AIDS 17 prevention and the punishment of illegal drug activity; three, 18 the relationship between the IV drug user, AIDS, and the minority 19 population; four, the spread of the AIDS virus to the non-IV drug 20 using heterosexual population; five, the transmission of the AIDS 21 virus from the IV drug user to an unborn or infant child; six, 22 the relationship between the IV drug user, prostitution, and the 23 sexual spread of AIDS; and, as time permits, the relationship 24 between all substance abuse and the transmission of the AIDS 25 virus. 26

27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Curtailing the spread of AIDS by the JV drug user population is a major task that could save many lives. I am most confident that with the valuable assistance provided by each expert witness who will testify before us at these hearings, we will have the necessary components to set forth on our effort to prevent the spread of the AIDS virus while simultaneously reducing substance abuse in California.

Senator Marks, would you care to make any opening comments?

SENATOR MARKS: I'll make a very brief one.

Let me say that I'm very pleased to be here at this hearing. I think it's a very important thing that we are doing what we can to try to help the lowering of the AIDS epidemic, which is of such concern to all of us.

I'm a Member of the Senate Select Committee on AIDS, and I welcome any testimony that can be helpful in this regard, and I look forward to participating.

SENATOR SEYMOUP: Thank you.

Now approaching the dais is Senator Diane Watson, representing the Los Angeles area.

Diane, good morning.

Senator Watson is the Chairperson of the Senate Health Committee and has been very actively involved on our Senate Select Committee for Substance Abuse, as well as being a very distinguished leader in the whole health care field.

Senator Art Torres, who I introduced in his absence in the hall and wanted to reintroduce as he approached the dais, has

25

28

1

2

been kind enough to permit us to meet here within Senator Torres' district, and we appreciate the hospitality, Art.

SENATOR TORRES: You don't need a green card here.

5

SENATOR SEYMOUR: In any event, Senator Torres, having had a very distinguished career in the Assembly and in recent years in the State Senate, certainly has risen to a position of prominence and leadership in the State Senate in this most important issue of AIDS and health care, as well as many others.

So Senator Watson and Senator Torres, I made some brief opening remarks, and Senator Marks did likewise, and if you have something appropriate, now would be the time.

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON: Thank you so much, Senator Seymour.

To all of the members in the audience and my Committee members, I think all of you are aware that we are learning that intravenous spread of AIDS is one of the most insidious problems we're having to face in battling this disease.

We are told that drug users don't care about other people, that educating them about AIDS prevention and getting them into treatment programs is next to impossible. We have heard that providing them with clean needles only encourages them to continue their drug use.

However, yesterday's hearing of the Joint Committees on AIDS and on Substance Abuse provided testimony to the contrary. Although I was not at that hearing, I understand that testimony was given attesting to at least partial success in enrolling needle-using drug users in treatment programs and in educating

them about ways to prevent the spread of the AIDS virus if they insist on continuing to shoot up.

We all realize that we have a long way to go in finding out answers to the many questions we have about the best ways to fight the spread of the disease. Hopefully here today, we'll get some responses to some of the most serious questions about AIDS and the drug using population.

8

27

 $\mathbf{28}$

1

2

I would hope some of those would include:

9 Is the spread of AIDS in the drug using population worse 10 in some communities, particularly in minority communities?

To what extent are intravenous drug users willing toenroll in drug treatment programs?

Are these programs successful in teaching them how tostop the spread of AIDS through needle use?

Do we have enough drug programs available to treat the people who want to quit?

17 If not, what kind of waiting periods are we talking 18 about, particularly in a city as large as Los Angeles? And 19 what's the impact of this waiting period on people who want to 20 guit their drug habits?

What's the impact of mandatory testing proposals and of reduction of the confidentiality law? What effects will they have on the drug using population? Will such policies help or hurt our efforts to get people into treatment and off drugs, or at least to get them to quit spreading the virus through needle sharing?

Are the public information materials that the State is funding adequate to reach the drug using population? If not, where are we failing?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Are there suggestions for more effective outreach and information to drug addicts that are not enrolled in drug treatment programs?

Now, we have heard that condom use and the use of bleach to disinfect needles will help stop the spread of AIDS, at least to around an 80 percent effectiveness rate. Is an 80 percent effectiveness better than nothing? Or does it instill false hope in those who practice these kinds of behaviors?

These are just some of the things that I hope can be raised and hope that we can get responses to from the general public.

Along with other Legislators at this hearing that we're having, I'm interested in the straight talk that will come from experts: from those of you who are on the streets every day working with the drug users; from those people who have AIDS; and those people who out there teaching in the schools who are watching behavior of young people, behavior that will lead to very high-risk kinds of activities. And I'm hoping you will give us the benefit of your experiences.

We feel that you are the experts, you that are here today. We're here to listen; we're here to raise questions; we're here to get answers. And later on, I hope that we will ask you for your assistance and your support on legislation that I hope can be introduced that reflects the practical application of your remarks.

We know that we don't have much more grace time to move, and we're trying to move in a very orderly fashion with as much information as we can.

> Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Members. SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you, Senator Watson.

Senator Torres.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

27

28

SENATOR TORRES: I would just like to thank the leadership of this Committee, Senator Seymour and the staff, who I thought provided a very informative hearing yesterday in San Francisco. Many of the witnesses were very interesting and provided, I believe, new information to this Committee and both of these Committees that talked about not only the tremendous impact that intravenous drug users have on the AIDS continuing and spiraling population, but also the tremendous danger that we all feel towards children in this regard.

So, I'm looking forward to hearing the testimony today, and I look forward to the time that I spent with you in San Francisco yesterday, a very, very well-done hearing.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you very much, Senator Torres.
 We appreciate the fact that you took the time to also join us in
 San Francisco yesterday.

Our first witness will be Dr. Penny Weismuller, who is the AIDS Coordinator from the Orange County Health Care Agency.

DR. WEISMULLER: Senator Seymour, Senator Marks, Senator Torres, Senator Watson, and distinguished guests, I'm very pleased to be with you today.

In Orange County, we've identified 538 AIDS cases and 219 AIDS Related Complex cases since 1981, and 341 of these individuals have died.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Intravenous drug abuse has been identified as the transmission risk factor in 2.6 percent of our AIDS cases and 3.4 percent of our ARC cases. This contrasts markedly to the United States' figures in which a much higher percentage of AIDS cases have been related to intravenous drug abuse, and to the statistic we heard this morning, that 10 percent of California cases are related to IV drug abuse. These cases are due to the exchange of blood infected with Human Immunodeficiency Virus, the AIDS virus, when IV drug users share contaminated drug paraphernalia.

Although we don't have a systematic program of AIDS virus testing in Orange County to pinpoint the prevalence of infection amongst our IV drug abusers, we have run over 3,000 tests on IV drug abusers in our alternative test site, and a voluntary testing program that Public Health runs in the Women's Jail, where we offer voluntary confidential testing to women who are IV drug abusers and prostitutes, and also through confidential testing at our sexually transmitted disease clinic.

These tests show that 3-7 percent of the IV drug abusers 21 tested are positive for antibodies to the AIDS virus. This also 22 contrasts markedly with the evidence from certain areas of New 23 York and New Jersey, where 50-70 percent of IV drug abusers have 24 shown evidence of AIDS virus infection. This evidence in Orange 25 County of a low percentage of infected drug abusers indicates 26 that we have an excellent opportunity to intervene and prevent 27 further transmission of the AIDS virus. 28

However, we already have danger signs, even with our low percentage of infected drug abusers, that we can no longer delay. All of the seven AIDS virus infected babies in Orange County have been born to IV drug abusing mothers. And we just had our first perinatal case of AIDS, which was really a tragedy. The IV drug abusing mother had been identified as AIDS virus positive during her first pregnancy, had wanted to get into a drug treatment program, was not able to get in immediately and was lost to fellow-up. She became pregnant before she came into the system again. She was already pregnant again. She delivered an infected baby just recently, and the baby died prior to six weeks of age of AIDS virus pneumonia.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

28

In addition, we have evidence in the jail screening program where we have tested a number of women more than once, in fact we've tested about 250 women more than once, and we have nine women who have sero-converted from negative to positive for the AIDS virus.

Because addictive behavior is not usually changed without specific drug treatment, the first and most important intervention we need is to make more drug treatment placements available, both in outpatient and in residential settings.

As I was leaving work last night, I had a memo from several staff members who were expressing their dismay at having identified a prostitute, an IV drug abusing prostitute, who is AIDS virus positive. She wanted to get into a residential treatment program, and despite the efforts of our mental health nurse and our social workers, we were unable to locate a

placement that was available to her in the time that she wanted to get into the treatment program. And she was lost to follow-up early this week, and we don't know when we'll hear from her again. Now she's out there on the street, and we're concerned that she may be spreading the AIDS virus.

Barriers to treatment, such as waiting lists, need to be eliminated. Most drug abusers are poorly motivated to follow through with bureaucratic treatment entrance requirements and would benefit from an advocate who would assist them in entering a drug treatment program as quickly as possible once the drug abuser expresses the desire to be in treatment, and this predisposes that we've got placements to put them into.

Secondly, specific AIDS education needs to be provided to those enrolled in drug treatment programs regarding sexual and perinatal transmission of the AIDS virus. Instruction needs to be provided on safer sex guidelines, including the use of condoms.

We've had some concern expressed by parents who have adolescents in drug treatment programs that providing safe sex information would entice adolescents to engage in sexual behavior.

Individuals in treatment also need to have information about voluntary serological testing for the AIDS virus and about available family planning services. This is particularly important for the IV drug abusing woman who has tested positive for the AIDS virus. She needs to have information about how a pregnancy would effect her health and how a pregnancy would have an adverse impact on her unborn child.

1

2

3

4

Thirdly, outreach needs to be made to addicts not in treatment in order to provide prevention information about sexual and intravenous drug use transmission of the AIDS virus. This education also needs to include safer sex guidelines as well as information about not sharing works, and information about cleaning works if they are shared in order to prevent AIDS virus transmission.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Anecdotal reports from New York and San Francisco, where street outreach programs are in place, indicate that addicts have modified certain risk behaviors with increasing their demand for clean works. In our AIDS testing and counseling program for IV drug abusing prostitutes in the Orange County Jail, women are reporting to our screening nurse that they are having more condom use and they're having less sharing of works than when they were on the streets.

However, this information has been too late for some of the women we have provided counseling to. We've identified a group of nine women from a barrio in Orange County who shared needles together, and all nine of them are infected.

The outreach worker in a street outreach program can 20 serve as a first contact with a drug treatment program and should 21 actively assist with entry into a treatment program should the 22 addict desire treatment. Encouraging voluntary serological 23 testing is important for addicts not in treatment in order for 24 us, as health officials, to determine the extent of AIDS virus 25 infection among this group. That way we can tell whether we're 26 being successful in our efforts in providing educational outreach 27 and voluntary testing. 28

Experimental programs, such as in Amsterdam, have decreased AIDS transmission among heterosexuals by providing clean needles and syringes to IV drug users, according to Dr. Van de Wyngaart who directs the Addiction Research Institute at the University of Utrech, Netherlands.

1

2

3

4

5

18

19

20

21

28

As elected officials, you can help curtail the spread of 6 the AIDS virus among IV drug abusers by providing adequate 7 funding for expansion of drug treatment programs and for 8 providing AIDS education to IV drug abusers. We know measures 9 that can be effective, and we're hampered by not having adequate 10 funding to put those programs into place. Additional drug 11 treatment placements are cost effective when compared to the cost 12 of AIDS treatment. Mr. Bill Edelman, Director of Drug Abuse 13 Programs in Orange County, estimates the cost of outpatient 14 methadone treatment in our county at \$2,000-5,000 annually as 15 compared to treatment costs of \$70,000-150,000 annually for an 16 AIDS patient. 17

You also can help in influencing public opinion that targeting blunt and direct AIDS prevention information to drug abusers, particularly information about cleaning drug paraphernalia, will not lead nonusers to drug experimentation.

We also need to explore ways that public policy can remove legal barriers to the possession of clean needles and syringes. I might say also condoms. One problem that we ran into early on in providing information to the prostitutes in the jail was that we were encouraging condom use, and when they were arrested, any condoms that we had provided them were confiscated as evidence. The same thing is true -- we've heard this from IV drug users, when we've encouraged them to obtain their own set of works, just to use that, don't share it with other people. And they say, "But if I'm caught with drug paraphernalia, I can be arrested just for that." So we have -- I know it's a very complex question, but there is this discrepancy between what public health officials can provide in educational information to drug abusers and what legal intervention will be taken.

I would recommend that the prevention of AIDS be addressed in the statewide Master Plan to Reduce Substance Abuse through a combination of increasing drug treatment placements and increasing targeted AIDS educational efforts to IV drug abusers, including those in treatment programs as well as those not in treatment. In addition, education for health professionals is needed to develop compertise in dealing with clients who have a dual diagnosis of IV drug abuse and AIDS virus infection or disease.

Right now, particularly in our county, we have professionals who are very experienced in dealing with IV drug abuse. We have professionals that are experienced in dealing with AIDS. And we don't have much crossover. We need to provide training opportunities for professionals to help address this problem.

We have the opportunity to vigorously intervene among IV drug users here in California, and particularly in Orange County where our rates of AIDS virus infection are quite low. Although this group has been traditionally hard to reach, reports from

28

1

2

3

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

already established AIDS and drug abuse intervention projects indicate that even this hard-to-reach and hard-to-motivate group can make positive behavior changes when they're confronted with the specter of AIDS. Effective intervention now, vigorous intervention now, can save California the burden of many additional AIDS cases, including the really tragic cases of transmission to unborn children that would otherwise result from this risk group.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you very much, Dr. Weismuller. Senator Watson, a question.

SENATOR WATSON: Yes, I want to thank Dr. Weismuller for, I think, a very compelling piece of testimony.

One of the things that I'm concerned about, you're able to identify groups that share the use of works, as you called it, or needles.

How long after identification of the various individuals do you see behavior changes? Do they actually get the point and they change their ways of usage?

DR. WEISMULLER: We provide, in the County program that we've been providing to IV drug abusing prostitutes in the Orange County Jail, we've reached over 1200 individuals. And unfortunately, many of those people come back for additional results, arrests for prostitution, but they are reporting that they have increased condom use if they are engaging in prostitution, that they are trying to have their own works, trying to use the information we've given them about cleaning works. And this is a very sensitive kind of education when you're providing it in the jail setting. We have to really commend our jail officials for letting us do this in the jail.

But we do have evidence that the behavior is changing. Like I say, for some people the information comes too late, and that's the unfortunate part.

SENATOR WATSON: Do they actually understand the risk that they're under?

DR. WEISMULLER: Yes, I believe they do.

SENATOR WATSON: One of the things, I got a letter in my office day before yesterday from a citizen who happened to be in a men's restroom and saw a fellow throw a syringe into a trash basket. And he asked him what that was, and he said, "I'm giving myself daily injections of insulin. I'm a diabetic."

And he thought that that diabetic could also be carrying the AIDS virus in that syringe, you know. He said, "Oh, there's no problem," the user said, "because I just break the needle, or bend the needle."

But that needle goes into the plastic bag, and you know we are picking up plastic bags, and that needle then could puncture someone's skin.

So, the educational process has to go beyond the user and into the people who make the policy now. We've got to look at the way we allow syringes to be discarded, and just bending the needle now doesn't prevent the problem that we're concerned about at the current time.

28

I.

2

So, in your public health education, and I'm sure you're doing this, we're going to have to broaden out its application throughout the whole system, and we've got to get to the health care professionals and say, you know, "Not only do you have a responsibility for treatment, but you have a responsibility --"

DR. WEISMULLER: For education. In fact, we have just formed a professional education focus group of our HIV advisory committee in the County. And one of our emphasis is to involve health professionals more in providing education about AIDS. They are looked to as leaders. We need to get them correct information so they can play a significant role in the education.

We also need to develop their capacity to provide for care for the increasing number of AIDS patients we expect.

SENATOR WATSON: This is a suggestion to our Committee Members and Chair, that we might want to look at the discarding of this paraphernalia, needles and so on, for all other uses, too.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Other questions? Senator Torres. SENATOR TORRES: Of counsel to the Committee, the witness testified that condoms were being confiscated by prostitutes for evidentiary purposes.

1

2

3

Á

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Is that the present law now?

MS. DELGADILLO: They're using that as evidence of intent to solicit.

SENATOR TORRES: Intent to solicit.

What about anyone who today should be carrying condoms if they want to have any kind of sexual relations? Given the AIDS crisis, is anyone subject to that? MS. DELGADILLO: 1 think it's just -- my understanding is it's a piece of evidence to compile with other evidence to show that there was intent to solicit.

SENATOR TORRES: Is that uniform enforcement across the state, or is that just in Orange County?

MS. DELGADILLO: No, we heard that in San Francisco yesterday also, Senator.

SENATOR WATSON: It couldn't be used by itself, in other words?

MS. DELGADILLO: Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

28

The same with the bleach kits. If the bleach kits have been found with other paraphernalia, they can be used as evidence. But unless there's something else to substantiate the irtent to solicit, we haven't heard anything that the condom elone has been the basis.

SENATOR TORRES: So anyone who is not a prostitute can cerry a condom in his or her possession and would be subject to the same charge?

19 SENATOR SEYMOUR: No, I think it's more, Senator Torres, 20 the testimony that was provided in San Francisco shows that it is 21 a locally determined policy by policy makers as to whether or 22 not, for example, bleach kits -- if I had a bleach kit in my 23 pocket, and I were picked up for suspected drug use, that bleach 24 kit would become evidence, much the same as a condom evidence for 25 prostitution.

26 But in San Francisco, they have relaxed those policies. 27 Other communities throughout the state have not.

SENATOR MARKS: Are you working? I commend you for your testimony. I think it's excellent.

Are you working with members of the gay and lesbian community on this particular problem?

DR. WEISMULLER: Yes, we are.

We -- the AIDS Response Program, which is a program that is in the Gay and Lesbian Community Service Center, Orange County, and also the AIDS Services Foundation are working closely with us in developing educational interventions. We are also working with the newly funded educational contractor that's reaching out to the Black community in terms of providing education in the county.

We within public health have a funded program that has made specific outreach efforts to the Hispanic community, trying to work on this problem.

SENATOR MARKS: That's very good, thank you.

SENATOR WATSON: Two more questions before she leaves; she's such a good witness.

First, I guess I heard on the news, and I'm not clear on this even and maybe you can clarify it for me, was Orange County given permission to test a drug to treat AIDS, or given permission to use a drug that's been tested in a laboratory? Do you know?

> DR. WEISMULLER: The County of Orange? SENATOR WATSON: Uh-huh.

26 DR. WEISMULLER: I don't have information about that, 27 I'm sorry.

19

28

I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Maybe I can clarify that, Senator
 Watson.

The answer is a private company who has done research has been given approval to dispense the drug to those who have contacted AIDS.

6

15

16

17

18

19

SENATOR WATSON: Very good.

7 The other thing, too, what are you learning in Orange 8 County in terms of the Black population and their receipt of 9 educational information?

We held a hearing, the Health and Human Services Committee held a hearing at Exposition Park. Right down the street at Davidson Center, USC had a combined group of community groups, and they held a hearing also. They ran from nine to five.

Combined, we did not have over a hundred people. We had the known experts throughout the state. We had people with AIDS there. We had community leaders, and we had no audience,

> So, we figured out the way we did it was the wrong way. What have you learned? What would you suggest?

20 DR. WEISMULLER: What we've learned, and we're trying to 21 address this problem by establishing a specific minority 22 subcommittee to our advisory group, because in Orange County, I 23 think early on in the AIDS epidemic, we heard from other 24 communities that minority groups felt that AIDS wasn't their 25 disease. It was a White gay male disease.

And unfortunately, the statistics look that way in Orange County. We have 2 percent of our population Black, 2

percent of our AIDS cases are Black. About 15 percent of our population is Hispanic, 10 percent of our AIDS cases are Hispanic.

So you see, there's not the adverse impact. We have really the responsibility to provide targeted education to minority communities so they can see they don't have to be the victims of really a disproportionate spread of AIDS in minority communities that have occurred on the East Coast.

That's one of the challenges that's facing me, and we're hoping to put that into place.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you very much, Dr. Weismuller, for your testimony and being with us today.

Our next witness is Dr. Forrest Tennant, representing the Community Health Projects, Incorporated, Research and Education Division of West Covina, also in association with the UCLA School of Public Health, Division of Epidemiology, and the UCLA Center for Health Sciences in Los Angeles.

DR. TENNANT: Thank you, Senator. It's kind of you to invite me here.

First off, I'm principally here to represent the agency that I spend most of my time direction, Community Health Projects, Incorporated, which I will address. I have a number of other positions that perhaps get me better known. One of the reasons why it's so nice to be here today, I have the, I guess, dubious distinction of being the National Football League's drug advisor and Medical Director, and it's nice to be back talking about condoms and AIDS and drug addicts rather than facing

28

Mr. Upshaw and his troops in the last month. So, I guess one bad thing may lead to another these days.

22

I have a number of other positions which I may mention as I go along, of which in summary I might just say that I have for many years been law enforcement's primary consultant for the Highway Patrol, or Civil Addict Commitment Program under the Department of Justice. And I'll mention a few things about that, since one of the things that's very involved, of course, with the IV drug user today is our Civil Addict Program at our California kehabilitation Center in Norco, where I serve as the Medical Consultant to the Board there.

My real involvement with AIDS comes primarily due to the fact that Community Health Projects, Incorporated, I believe, operates the biggest system of treatment for IV drug users in this state. We have about two dozen clinics, depending on how you count them, located in about 15 cities. And we carry each day about 1600-1700 IV drug users in treatment. The vast majority of those would be heroin addicts; there's some cocaine users, of course, that are IV drug users.

I should state that certainly from my perspective, when we talk about IV drug users today, we're primarily talking about the heroin user. Yes, we have a few intravencus amphetamine users and cocaine users today, but they're almost rare relative to the problem of heroin addiction.

Some of our clinics go on up into the midpart of the state, and we are the primary providers of services for IV drug users in Fresno County, Santa Barbara County, and Ventura County.

28

Most of our facilities are located in the eastern part of Los Angeles County, where we have facilities in Pasadena, Whittier, El Monte, Pomona, and they go on out into Ontario and almost to San Bernardino.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

It's been now about one year ago that the National Institute on Drug Abuse asked us if we would be their federal monitoring sites for AIDS antibodies in the IV drug user. And to that effect, we have been consistently gathering blood samples and forwarding them to the National Institute on Drug Abuse to have them analyzed in their laboratory.

It's been quite a surprise, but I did put here in my testimony that when samples -- seroprevalence studies have been done over a fairly wide area in Southern California, our prevalence has been quite low. I'm pleased to say that last week, we sent 100 blood samples to the National Institute on Drug Abuse, and not a single one of those patients were AIDS positive. Not a single one.

And if you'll take a look at my testimony, the first study done in California that was over a fairly wide area was done in 1985, done by Dr. Levy of UC Davis. We contributed some samples to his group at that time. And since that time, at least when samples have been 'taken on addicts entering treatment, that percentage has been running down under two percent.

Now, I just heard from the doctor from Orange County who said in a jail population it was slightly higher. I suspect that that would be somewhat true. If you had a way of sampling jail populations, or people other than those coming to treatment, you will probably find that it would be slightly higher. Nevertheless, the most amazing thing about this, which has caused quite a bit of discussion in Washington, certainly, is: why is our rate so low in California, particularly Southern California, as opposed to the rest of the big cities in the country?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

27

28

On Page Two, I gave you some of the data. Early this year, the National Institute on Drug Abuse has been sampling other large cities in the country, and we are running -- the only other place that's as low as us is Tampa, Florida. In other words, Brooklyn, Harlem were up to 61 percent; Newark, 56; Baltimore, 29 percent; New Haven, Connecticut, 30; San Francisco, 9; Denver, 9; San Antonio, 2; Tampa, 0; and we're clear down near the bottom also.

I would like to just give you some of my ideas on why I think we are lower than other states. And I have one bottom line for you, and that is, we in Southern California, and California in general, and Southern California in specific, for your information, has gone about a heroin control system since 1961 different than any other state in the Union. And I'd like to tell you what some of those differences are.

One of my bottom lines is, in this state, and J would highly recommend to you on your Committees that we keep doing what we're doing now, and let's try to add to it, but our system has been immensely successful and is fast becoming, certainly in the circles that I'm in, the envy of the country relative to dealing with heroin addiction.

Now let me tell you what is different about our state relative to every other state in the Union. In 1961, the State of California passed something called the Civil Addict Commitment Program. And with that, they took over the old Naval Ordinance at Norco and made the California Rehabilitation Center. Now, that led to a number of events over a long period of time, of which many involved, obviously, the State Legislature.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

25

26

27

28

One of the things that happened was that in 1972 or '3, perhaps somebody even on the Committee may have been around then, you added the 11550 amendments to our Health and Safety Code. At any rate, it's been somewhat of a controversial bit of legislation, I suppose, in some circles, but from law enforcement's point of view and from treatment's point of view, it has turned out to be extremely successful.

But here is the bottom line. One needs to understand 15 that California is the only state in the Union that incarcerates 16 heroin addicts for being under the influence. No other state has 17 that law except now the State of Nevada, and their law has been 18 on the books for years; it was never implemented until about a 19 year ago when they came to the Highway Patrol Academy, took 20 classes -- that's where I teach, that's where we teach our 21 officers at the Academy -- and we set up an agreement where I and 22 other people would go into Nevada to help teach them. So they 23 are now implementing that same law. 24

The law sounds very coercive because if you're arrested for being under the influence of heroin, you may spend up to 90 days in a county jail. But the good thing that's turned out with

that is that you will come out of jail, and you will probably end up on parole or on probation with the felony charges. But the probation officers in this state have gotten very good at making sure that person then gets into some kind of treatment as a follow-up.

6 So, it's turned out to be a pretty good identification 7 system. The sworn officer identifies the individual; the 8 judiciary sees that there's some kind of sentencing given, and 9 then that probation officer may see that some kind of treatment 10 also is accompanying that whole system.

11

12

13

14

15

16

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

SENATOR TORRES: Question.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Senator Torres.

SENATOR TORRES: I am very interested in your testimony, especially in reviewing over the statistics and the rationality, but it's almost in opposition to what at least I heard yesterday during part of the hearing in San Francisco.

They argued there that methadone treatment is not easily accessible, that we need to provide more money for methadone treatment. They argued there that the type of treatment that they had been receiving was clearly a problem of education, and we needed more education and prevention.

Yet you argue that further education and information efforts may not be very effective because of the fact that all addicts are now aware of the needle risk and safe sex practices.

Is there that much of a difference between San Francisco and Southern California?

DR. TENNANT: Let me just say this for the record: I always find it very interesting to talk to my colleagues in San Francisco, because it's sort of like talking to another planet. I'm being very candid now.

27

All I can tell you is that I find this entire issue very frustrating to analyze and deal with. I tell what I can from my perspective. I don't know that I'm right. I don't quite know what to do about this problem.

I was -- quite candidly --

SENATOR TORRES: You're speaking from the Southern California experience?

DR. TENNANT: Yes, sir. I cannot speak from being sitting there with my friends at Haight Ashbury in that particular city, and if they tell you something that's different from what I tell you, if you hear something different from what I hear today, I wouldn't be surprised.

And I guess one of my other recommendations would be is that it does seem to me that around the state, we've had a great deal of emphasis from the gay and lesbian communities with the problem, but the people who have been involved with narcotic addiction, I've never been asked to talk about this in public until you invited me. And yet, I run the largest program for intravenous drug users in the state. You'd have thought somebody might have at least given me a telephone call somewhere along the line.

26 I'm not sure I'm right. All I can do is tell you what I 27 see. My facilities will certainly have to be a big part of

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

whatever you people on the Committee or the Legislature decide to do, and we're certainly willing to cooperate.

I'm giving you my best shot, is what I'm telling you, Senator. I just don't know whether I'm right.

SENATOR TORRES: I respect your expertise. Clearly your credentials are excellent.

I'm just wondering, do we need to have -- sometimes in the Legislature, you know, we try to put a uniform system together, and maybe we need two difference approaches: one for Northern California and one for Southern California.

DR. TENNANT: Incidentally, that is not surprising. I've also had this same difference in talking to deal with my friends in New York or Baltimore, and a lot of it has to do with the wide open spaces in Southern California. And let me get to that.

> SENATOR TORRES: They don't occur on the freeways here. DR. TENNANT: Well, that's true.

I just don't know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

28

SENATOR WATSON: May I just interject.

Even between Orange County and Los Angeles County, you've heard the percentages of Hispanics and Blacks compared to the other populations, and it's much much larger, maybe turned around, when you get on to L.A. County.

24 SENATOR TORRES: They've taken all the Mexicans out of 25 Orange County.

26 SENATOR WATSON: But there is such a difference, even 27 from community to community. So as we go through making a State

policy, I don't know if we really can make a policy for treatment that will be effective in every area.

DR. TENNANT: Well, I am glad you're recognizing that, because I've found this difficulty for years.

SENATOR MARKS: Could I just ask one question? SENATOR SEYMOUR: Sure, Senator Marks.

SENATOR MARKS: I'm not being defensive of San Francisco, but I'm just curious to know, and unfortunately I was unable to be at the hearing yesterday in San Francisco, but is there a program like yours in San Francisco?

DR. TENNANT: Yes, there are similar programs. Certainly in Southern California we dwarf their numbers because of our size. But I would say that yes, as far as treatment programs, yes, they would be very similar.

SENATOR MARKS: And are the results of their findings in San Francisco similar to yours?

SENATOR SEYMOUR: No.

DR. TENNANT: No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SENATOR MARKS: Why would that be?

DR. TENNANT: I really don't know.

In other words, one of the things that's a little bit mysterious, the National Institute on Drug Abuse studies found that our addicts, for example, share needles as often as they do in New York, and we certainly have got the poverty and the minorities that they do in Harlem and Brooklyn and Newark.

So, we really don't know some of the reasons on why our prevalence is so much lower than the East Coast, or why we're lower here than in San Francisco. I really don't know. SENATOR MARKS: Is there a waiting time for methadone use, to get methadone here in Los Angeles?

1

2

3

Δ

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. TENNANT: We don't think so. We did have at one time, but I think that the County of Los Angeles has certainly over the years worked hard to build programs. We have had to go the route here for many years of relying on patients' fees and third-party payments and not government funding, because we just had so many people here in Southern California. So, we don't roly on government funding here. Very little in my programs are funded by the government.

SENATOR MARKS: Can I ask a question of the Chairman? I wasn't at the hearing yesterday.

Did you get any testimony yesterday of what is being done in San Francisco in this area?

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Plenty of testimony.

Let me try to address your question, at least relative to the testimony we received yesterday and the perception of the problem as it exists in San Francisco, and perhaps an explanation of the differences, and Senator Watson's already touched on the bottom line answer, and I agree with her.

That is, whatever the State is going to do, they carnot afford to take the issue and put it in one neat, little package. It needs, whatever we do, needs to be very ilexible, depending upon the cultural aspects of the community, the degree of the problem, and who is impacted and who isn't.

So in a word, I think, Senator Watson hit it right on the head. What we need to do is to provide maximum flexibility at the local level.

To answer your question, yes, very aggressive treatment programs, very aggressive outreach programs, very successful ones. On the other hand, dramatically, as Senator Torres has already spoken to, dramatically different statistics.

For example, 100 percent in a survey, 100 percent of the IV drug users in the community were aware that in fact there was a high risk of contracting AIDS: 75 percent of that population, when asked, "Well, the last time you shot up, was there liquor, bleach or water available to clean your needle?" And 75 percent of them said yes. But yet when asked, "Well, did you use it?" A very low percentage, as I recall something like 25 or 30 percent, said, "Well, yes, we used it."

Why is that? One of the explanations that we were given is that although they were aware, and they'd been educated relative to the risk, they were hardly aware what to do about it. Even though those materials were available in the room, they chose not to use it. Now, some of them chose not to use it because they were already high. When you're high on the alcohol or you're high on drugs, your conduct obviously is different than when you're not. So, certainly that's part of it.

But Senator Marks, San Francisco, like Los Angeles, like Orange County, like San Diego, are different populations, different cultures, different ethnic makeups, and therefore needing entirely different approaches.

> SENATOR MARKS: Thank you. SENATOR SEYMOUR: Senator Torres.

27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SENATOR TORRES: Your population that you talk about, references on your studies on page one, studies three and four, which come from your projects --

DR. TENNANT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SENATOR TORRES: -- you indicated that your patients usually rely on third party payers or direct payment to you, because you said you don't rely on government contracts?

DR. TENNANT: Well, a lot of those. These would be a mixture. For example, a number of these particular people would be poverty level people from such places as El Monte, East L.A.

SENATOR TORRES: But overall in terms of your sample used for the purposes of your studies, what does your average client look like in terms of economics?

DR. TENNANT: The average person we would have, around 30 percent of those would be at the poverty level, and another 30 percent would be what you would call the medically indigent category, and about a third would be -- have regular jobs, primarily in the blue-collar type jobs.

You want to keep in mind that --

SENATOR TORRES: Who are covered by a third party? DR. TENNANT: And when I say third party payments, you're primarily talking about the Medi-Cal system in this state. SENATOR TORRES: That's government?

DR. TENNANT: That's government funding; that's right. SENATOR TORRES: So 60 percent of your clients are government funded.

DR. TENNANT: In one form or another, that's correct.

SENATOR TORRES: And 30 percent are --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

26

27

28

> SENATOR TORRES: -- third party payments? DR. TENNANT: That's correct, yes.

SENATOR TORRES: Is the IV drug addict, then, from your statistics in Southern California, more hip to what's happening out there than those in Northern California?

DR. TENNANT: I don't know. I can tell you this, that today, when we go in to take an AIDS counselor to talk to them about condoms and needle sharing and all of that, they don't want to hear it. They've heard it so much. They're very well aware.

That's why I'm saying, I would like to give out the opinion that I think there are other things that are more important right now than education, because these addicts are very knowledgeable.

SENATOR TORRES: But as Senator Seymour said, they're knowledgeable in San Francisco, as we heard, but they're not smart because they don't use --

20 DR. TENNANT: That's just universal. In other words --21 SENATOR TORRES: That's also the case here in your 22 situation?

DR. TENNANT: Yes, yes. But if I could educate them, I would have changed a lot of their habits.

SENATOR MARKS: One more question, if I may. DR. TENNANT: Yes.

SENATOR MARKS: Do you get government funds? State of California funds?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

28

DR. TENNANT: Yes, in some areas. For example, the funding for IV drug user treatment is very complex, very multifaceted. And I suspect my system of facilities would have every type of funding mechanism you could think of, ranging from the typical State funding to -- see, there's even some federal funding left in Ventura County, for example, Medi-Cal, Blue Cross, Kaiser fees, so the funding is very diverse.

One interesting project you should be aware of that we 10 have had going for some time, and it's sort of -- at least 11 considered by the Department of Corrections a little bit on the 12 innovative side, primarily in the East Los Angeles area here, in 13 which we are using the new drug naltrexone almost on an 14 innovative basis for those people because of the overcrowded jail 15 situation. In the IV drug use, naltrexone is the newest thing in 16 our armamentaria to try to treat these individuals. That that 17 18 program --

> SENATOR MARKS: Are those funds available statewide? DR. TENNANT: No, no they are not. SENATOR MARKS: They're just limited to your area?

DR. TENNANT: At this particular time, we --SENATOR MARKS: Why would that be?

DR. TENNANT: I think what has happened is that various government agencies find other organizations like mine that they want to work with, or can work with. In other words, funding is very -- government funding is very sporadic. It is very hit and

miss, and there's many vagaries to it. And in fact, it seems to me that the time has come if you're going to put in any more funding into treatment, I'd like to see that funding, rather than the categorical vagaries that categorical funding brings, institutionalize it. Put it through the Medi-Cal program, or something; something we can count on from now on.

SENATOR MARKS: If Northern California were to apply for these funds, they would be available or not?

DR. TENNANT: I just don't know. As I say, it's a huge state. What happens in Fresno County, and what happens in L.A. County, or what happens in Ventura County, just in my own areas, are so different. It's so difficult for me to just give you a blanket statement about financing.

SENATOR MARKS: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to know whether those funds are available throughout the State of California. Maybe they're not applying for them.

Are those funds available?

SENATOR WATSON: We can get you a list of funds that are available statewide.

DR. TENNANT: For example, in Los Angeles County, the only government funding that we have would be through the Department of Corrections, for example, and through the Medi-Cal program as far as government funds. We have no other type of funds in L.A. County.

25 SENATOR MARKS: Bear in mind, I'm not critical of your 26 program. I think it's a fine program.

27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I just want to make certain that funds for programs 1 similar to yours are available in other parts of the state. 2 Whether they use them or not, that's another question. 3

SENATOR SEYMOUR: We have a witness representing the State Department that could probably address your question, 5 Senator Marks. 6

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

28

Would you proceed?

DR. TENNANT: Surely.

Let me just state the one thing that I wanted to make you aware of, and I brought along a few displays for you, and to tell you what my major thing that I would certainly request the Committee's help on.

For those addicts that fail the residential programs and 13 what we call the behavioral treatments, our standard, of course, 14 has been the methadone programs. And they have frankly been very 15 In fact, it's the only thing we have. successful. 16

And I will pass around a bottle of methadone. Not real 17 methadone, but that's what it looks like. 18

It's not well appreciated, but the whole idea of course 19 in stopping the spread of AIDS in the IV drug users is to keep 20 the person from putting that needle in their arm as often as they 21 must if they are addicted to heroin. It's not well appreciated, 22 but heroin only has an activity in the bloodstream of four to six 23 24 hours. Therefore, the heroin addict, once they are addicted, must stick the needle in their arm four to six times every 24 25 hours. Therefore, in a one-month period of time, a heroin addict 26 must inject at least 100-200 times. 27

I'm going to pass this around just to illustrate what we're doing between my facilities and a project with the National Institute on Drug Abuse, and what we're hoping is our best shot for something new for AIDS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Methadone's been highly criticized, but it shouldn't be, because it's real simple. Methadone stays in the bloodstream 24 hours, and they can take it orally. So, they don't have to share needles. They can take one dose of this medication every 24 hours and transfer their addiction. That's what methadone treatment is.

We have an experimental drug. It's been declared an orphan drug by the Food and Drug Administration, by the Congress. It's called Levo-alpha-acetylmethadol. We need this drug desperately. This drug can be taken every third day and stays in the bloodstream 48-72 hours.

SENATOR WATSON: What's the effect of that drug and methadone?

DR. TENNANT: Same effect. We don't believe it's as addicting; we don't think it's as heavy a drug.

But what I'm getting at, we have -- we have a research project on this. We've now given this drug to about 800 and some patients, and I've given you a summary research document. I'll pass this around.

This particular drug can be taken every -- on Monday, Wednesday and Friday. Therefore, it would lower our cost, but the big thing is, people --- everybody must understand that methadone is not an acceptable treatment to a lot of addicts, and

neither is naltrexone, the new heroin blocker. And we have in our studies been able to show that for every two heroin addicts who will accept our naltrexone or methadone treatment, there is a third one out there on the street, continuing to inject, who will take the Levo-alpha-acetylmethadol treatment. They will take it. They will take it, and it works.

ł

2

3

Δ

5

6

7

8

9

28

The drug is an interesting drug in that the formulas were actually captured along with the methadone formulas out of the German concentration camps right after World War II.

And this drug is one that I would certainly like to 10 have, and I believe you could help. At this particular time, 11 this drug is the only drug that the federal government's ever 12 tried to produce on its own, and it's not doing too well. It is 13 bogged down in hureaucracy in Washington at this time. As of 14 about one month ago, I've been appointed as the Drug Abuse 15 Chairman -- or the Chairman for the Drug Abuse Advisory Committee 16 for the Food and Drug Administration. I'm not quite sure what my 17 authority or potential will be to help things along, but I'm 18 going to do my best. 19

But irrespective of that, the drug is available to the National Institute on Drug Abuse, and if we had a legislative mandate, the California Research Advisory Panel could make that drug available to treatment programs throughout the state.

Now, there's a precedent for this. Prior to the time that methadone was commercially made available and licensed in the state, the Research Advisory Panel had the methadone programs under research. You could do the same thing with LAAM. In other

words, you could pass legislation mandating that the California Research Advisory Panel make that available to anyone who wanted it; programs would apply. And they have done that before, and I think the cost would be nominal.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

28

The California Research Advisory Panel operates pretty cheaply, and they would need very little money, I think, to implement that, and the drug is available through the National Institute on Drug Abuse.

I've thought a lot about this. I think I could do more to help the AIDS problem in my facilities if I had that drug available, because I know that I could increase the numbers of people in treatment dramatically, and we do know that the families of those addicts and the addicts will pay for it. It's cheaper than methadone, because they only have to attend the clinic three times a week rather than seven. So, we need that orphan drug, is what I'm getting at. We definitely do need it, and if we wait for the federal government to simply act, it'll be another one or two years down the line.

19 I'd like to just make one or two other comments that I'd 20 like for you to consider as you move along; things from my 21 perspective that I think would help us.

The second problem I am having in running my facility is a problem that is starting to become quite universal in the health care system, and that is we have run out of nursing personnel. We have no nurses.

This particular drug, the naltrexone, is the treatment of choice for the heroin addict today. This is the one hope that

a heroin addict has, at least in large numbers, of rehabilitating themselves and reaching a drug-free status.

40

This drug blocks heroin. When you take naltrexone, you cannot use heroin. And not only does it block heroin, but makes what we call the endorphin system rev back up. And for the first time in my career, we have been initiating these programs about three years ago, for the first time I'm seeing heroin addicts starting to rehabilitate themselves and achieve a drug-free life for the first time in my career, in large numbers. Oh, we've always had a few that came out of our residential programs, et cetera.

The key to this drug, however, is the nursing staff. You have to have highly trained nurses whose skill, in my estimation, would be somewhat -- let's say about 50 percent better than the best intensive care nurse; would be about the same caliber as a nurse anosthetist; highly trained nurse; has to have several weeks of hands-on experience; has to have methadone experience; highly trained.

We have the largest naltrexone program in the United States between our clinics in Pasadena, El Monte, West Covina and Fresno, and we have one in Santa Barbara now, and there is a small naltrexone program in San Diego. I don't operate it, but it's there, and there's a small one, I believe, with the Farole Department in Sacramento, some of it being used in San Francisco.

But the point is, I don't have nurses. That's my holdup. In other words, you're going to hear a lot about needs for money. Everybody would like more money, but I would rather

1

2

3

have the LAAM and nurses. I think we can take care of the money later.

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The State Medi-Cal program has already seen the benefits of this and made this a Medi-Cal benefit. They pay well for it. They will cover its costs. It's very expensive to give this treatment, much more expensive than methadone, very expensive. One little tablet, I'll pass it around, costs \$2 wholesale. You need at least one or two tablets a day, and you calculate just the cost of the pills.

But if we had the nursing staff, my problem is, they're hard to recruit, nurses. I would highly encourage this Committee to write into your plan some availability of programs like my own to take aides and medical assistants and upgrade them to LVN status, and to take LVNs and upgrade them to RN status.

And I will tell you that I can't get too many more people into treatment in my clinics if I don't start identifying nurses. It's getting very discouraging.

I will just give you an illustration. Take the City of Santa Barbara.

We were unable to recruit a single nurse in our drug clinic there after advertising for two months. Still haven't found one. In other words, there are no nurses available. You must keep in mind that these programs must have nursing personnel.

Two or three other things I wanted to bring to your attention that you might want to consider. I also feel that the State Drug Office has very burdensome regulations to operate

methadone programs. The Food and Drug Administration is about to totally revise their federal regulations to cut costs. The regulations in California are adding at least 50 percent to the cost of delivering methadone services, above and beyond what I believe needs to be done. Those regulations desperately need revisions because nobody -- we're getting to where nobody can afford to pay for it any more, including the government. We need some regulatory assistance.

42

Some other things that I think we need, rather than having more categorical funding through the Department of Corrections or through health departments, I would highly recommend that what we call methadone maintenance treatment, I.AAM, those things be put -- paid for by Medi-Cal. Medi-Cal seems to be very responsive. I've been one of their consultants for some years. They now pay for the naltrexone program, and they pay for 21 days of methadone treatment, but the methadone treatment really needs to be about six months.

So, I think any funding, I would highly recommend it be put through the institutionalized channels so we can count on it year after year. That's been the big problem with funding. We just can't count on a grant that's coming through the local health department or through the Department of Corrections. That's just not the kind of help we need to make sure that we can deal with something as bad as the AIDS and drug problems.

Ladies and gentlemen, those will complete my formal remarks. I'd be glad to take any questions.

28

ł

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Also in closing, I would like to say, I'd be glad to assist with my facilities and my own staff, and me personally, on anything I can do with your Committee or your staff, we're at your service.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you very much, Dr. Tennant. Thank you for your testimony.

Our next witness is Dr. Irma Strantz, Director of the Los Angeles County Drug Abuse Program.

Welcome, Dr. Strantz.

DR. STRANTZ: Senator Seymour and other esteemed Members of the combined committees, it gives me great pleasure to testify before you today.

In Los Angeles County, as of August the 31st, 1987, there were 3,529 diagnosed cases of AIDS. The risk factor of intravenous drug use account for 387, or 11 percent of these cases. When we subtract cases where male homosexual risk factors were also reported, the number of IVDU cases was actually 97, or 2.75 percent of the total AIDS cases reported.

In Los Angeles and San Francisco, the AIDS caseload is dominated by the gay male risk factor, unlike New York City and New Jersey, where 32 percent to 50 percent of the cases are heterosexual intravenous drug users. It has been reported by the Center for Disease Control in Atlanta that 70 percent of the persons who have contracted AIDS through heterosexual contact have been involved with intravenous drug users. And that with perinatal transmission of AIDS, 75 percent of the cases have been maternal intravenous drug use, or the mother has had a sex

28

partner of -- has been a sex partner of an intravenous drug user. Thus we can see that while the spread of AIDS into the IVDU population in Los Angels County is still quite small, the risk group should be considered the major gateway to the heterosexual community.

Human immunodeficiency virus, HIV, prevalence among IV drug users in Los Angeles County appears to have doubled in the past year. In a 1986 study of 728 clients in methadone detoxification and maintenance clinics, the rate was found to be about 1.8 percent. Approximately one year later, in July, 1987, in a study of 293 IV users in residential treatment, it was found that the rate had risen to 4.8 percent, slightly more than double.

While Los Angeles is still in the early phases of the epidemic, it is preceding rapidly and an increase to 10 percent can be expected within 12 months. In the 1987 study, it was found that the seroprevalence rate among IV drug using males with a history of homosexual activities was 25 percent greater than IV drug using straight males. However, the greatest increase in seropositivity over the past year was noted in the straight males, that is from 0.6 percent to 7.3 percent, a 12-fold increase.

Among different ethnic groups, while Black IV drug users were under-represented in the voluntary sample, they represented 15 percent of the total, they were over-represented in the HIV positivity findings, 36 percent. Since local drug abuse indicator data has shown that in the Black community, cocaine or

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

crack is replacing heroin as the primary drug problem, it is not surprising that Blacks were under-represented in the sample of clients in residential treatment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

In contrast, while Hispanics and Whites represented 24 percent and 58 percent of the sample, they were under-represented in the HIV positivity results: 14.3 percent and 50 percent, respectively. With regard to the five Blacks found to be HIV positive, four were male and three were bisexual. Their average age was 40 years.

Half of those who tested positive for exposure to the AIDS virus were White, and 71 percent of these were heterosexual males with an average age of 38 years. In the comparison with their sex and ethnic counterparts, White IV drug using males were less likely to use a condom and were also less likely to practice needle hygiene.

It was also found in this study of 278 IV drug users that since 1979, they had used drugs intravenously for an average of 45 months, one to two times a day, and 96 percent had shared a needle as frequently as one or two times per week. While 24 percent said that they always cleaned needles, 77 percent reported that the cleaning consisted of rinsing with water.

Forty-seven percent of the Blacks and 48 percent of the Hispanics reported that they had little or no knowledge about AIDS, as compared to Whites, where only 31 percent reported little knowledge. Heterosexual IV drug users were less likely than homosexual IV drug users to report having known someone with AIDS or having changed their risk-related behaviors due to finding out about AIDS. It was particularly alarming to find that women IV drug users in all ethnic groups, despite apparent low level of HIV infection at the present time, are at greater risk for contracting the disease because of: one, their higher frequency of needle sharing, 98-100 percent reported sharing needles regularly; and number two, higher level of needle sharing with gay males, 18-42 percent reported that behavior; and number three, more frequent, at least weekly, use of shooting galleries, 28-43 percent of the women reported that; and four, larger number of unprotected sex acts, 50-85 percent reported that.

Also, with regard to engaging in sex with men for money or drugs, 80-83 percent of the lesbians of all ethnic groups, and 40-48 percent of straight women, so reported.

The 1987 sample as a whole, when asked what specific risk behaviors were changed as a result of learning about AIDS, the reported behavioral changes in descending order of frequency were: number one, reduction in the number of sexual partners; number two, ceasing IV drug use; number three; ceasing needle sharing; and number four, using safe sex practices.

In comparison with males in the sample, females were less likely to report changes in risk-related behaviors.

I believe that the following steps must be taken to stop the spread of AIDS in the IV drug using population: Number one, we need to address the initiation of IV drug use behaviors by high risk youth. Young people who are currently experimenting with drugs, especially cocaine, are likely candidates for IV drug use, whether with heroin or amphetamines. Drug use, drug sales,

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

gang involvement at an early age are commonplace in certain areas of the County. We must expand our efforts to provide programs of prevention and early intervention in the schools, in the sports and recreation centers, and in the housing projects. The message to youth about the dangers of IV drug use should clearly and simply show how AIDS is spread and they personally are at risk if they engage in these behaviors.

1

2

3

٨

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Secondly, AIDS related knowledge and skills of counseling staff in drug treatment programs must be regularly maintained and updated. Staff in drug treatment programs must properly educate and counsel all drug users, especially IV drug users, with whom they are in contact.

In Los Angeles County, we have just completed a second series of AIDS trainings for personnel in drug abuse agencies. The 2½-day AIDS and the Intravenous Drug User Training was delivered six times to a total of 134 persons; 124 were staff in 39 drug abuse programs, and the remainder were County staff, including representatives from the Probation Department and Juvenile Hall.

Initially, drug programs were reluctant to send staff to these trainings on the basis that all agencies had participated in AIDS trainings during the prior year. Furthermore, they felt that they were complying with the County contract requirements in terms of providing to all clients admission information regarding AJDS and risk reduction behaviors.

However, the trainees soon found and reported to their peers in other agencies that the second County training was particularly valuable, especially those segments which provided opportunities for role playing and dealing with issues of death and dying, grief and loss. On a pre and post-test of perceived level of knowledge and comfort in discussing AIDS issues with clients, there was a positive increase in knowledge scores by 26 percent and comfort scores by 12 percent.

48

These trainings will be continued in 1988. Selected drug abuse program personnel will be trained in November to deliver the course to others, and the cost of their services will be paid with federal Anti-Drug Abuse Act monies.

We must expand our outreach efforts to intravenous drug users in the community. In fiscal year 1986-87, over 24,000 intravenous drug users entered County contracted and private methadone programs, not including chemical dependency recovery hospitals. Almost three out of every ten clients admitted reported that they had never been in treatment before.

There are many intravenous drug users who continue to 17 practice dangerous behaviors such as needle sharing and 18 promiscuous sex, and who are oblivious to the risks because they 19 associate what little they know about AIDS with being gay, White 20 They need to find out about free or low cost treatment and male. 21 They need to learn about the availability of free HIV programs. 22 testing. They need to learn about the dangers of sharing 23 contaminated needles and about safe sex practices. Among the 24 poorest informed IV drug users are Hispanics, Blacks, and females 25 who frequent shooting galleries on a regular basis. 26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

We intend to implement, via contract, a pilot project in two areas of the County: East Los Angeles and South Central Los Angeles. Specially trained street-wise outreach workers will visit areas frequented by intravenous drug users to provide AIDS information and referral, with encouragement to enter treatment as soon as possible. This program will be evaluated in terms of its cost effectiveness in a large metropolitan area in comparison to similar programs in San Francisco and San Joaquin County.

The next area to focus on is to provide treatment for those who are unable to pay. It goes without saying that the more intravenous drug users you have in treatment, the fewer AIDS candidates that you will have engaged in the practices of needle sharing and prostitution. Additionally, opportunities for AIDS education and rehabilitation will also be increased.

There are insufficient treatment resources for intravenous drug users who have no insurance because they have no legitimate means of support in this County. The situation is especially critical because of reductions in public funding in 1981-82, coupled with the annual rate of inflation over the past five years. Drug abusers who wish to enter free or low-cost residential treatment or methadone maintenance programs must wait at least three months. If female and pregnant, the IV drug user may wait as long as six months for residential treatment.

Los Angeles County received an allocation two months ago of \$1.9 million of federal Anti-Drug Abuse Act monies earmarked for treatment programs supportive of AIDS risk reduction. Local priorities for the use of these funds include:

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

One, expansion of residential rehab service beds for intravenous drug using women and their infant children, especially those who are HIV seropositive or who are pregnart: half a million dollars.

The expansion of residential rehab service beds for intravenous drug using males and females, especially those who are HIV scropositive and who are homeless, 48 beds: \$600,000.

Expansion of methadone maintenance services to the indigent, especially those receiving federal categorical assistance and who are HIV seropositive, 165 slots: \$500,000.

The new federal Anti-Drug Abuse Act funding will assist the County to recoup some of the treatment slots lost in 1981-82, and to stave off the threatened collapse of local publicly-funded drug treatment programs due to the rising costs of operation, including liability insurance. Of the 300 residential beds lost since 1981-82, 68 or 23 percent can be reinstated. Of the 670 methadone maintenance slots lost since 1981-82, 165 or 25 percent can be recovered.

Federal Anti-Drug Abuse Act funds have been clearly identified by the State as one-time monies. There has been no second year appropriation by Congress to date, and from recent accounts, the prospect of further funding under the federal Anti-Drug Abuse Act looks particularly dim.

Therefore, it is with some misgivings that we propose to expand existing programs and, where necessary, open new ones with federal funding. On the one hand, there is the urgent need to address the AIDS epidemic through the provision of services to IV

28

ł

drug users who want treatment but cannot afford to pay for it. Yet on the other hand, is it wise or even ethical to briefly expand staff and client caseloads, especially for methadone maintenance, a long-term program in which a substitute narcotic is administered?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

28

The State's allocation of federal money for AIDS treatment in the amount of \$1.9 million clearly cannot be utilized solely for one-time or short-term projects, like training and outreach. Additionally, to implement outreach programs without assuring that treatment slots are available for those who are motivated to respond would be very wrong. Therefore, we have no choice but to expand treatment capacities, and by demonstrating the rapidity by which these new services are utilized, provide a cogent message to Congress that the War on 14 Drugs cannot be waged in the course of a single year. 15

The last area we should address is involved community 16 organization in AIDS prevention. Health and social service 17 organization, both public and private, schools, churches, law 18 enforcement, and leaders in business and industry need to be 19 informed regarding AIDS and how it is likely to spread to the 20 heterosexual populations. Representatives of some of these 21 organizations are in contact with IV drug users, and they must be 22 trained to detect drug use and to provide for, either directly or 23 through referral, AIDS risk reduction education and counseling. 24

In Los Angeles County, several public and private 25 26 organizations have launched programs of community AIDS education, but the focus has been general rather than targeted to specific 27

risk groups such as IV drug users. Also, some trainings have been provided to staff in County departments, such as Children's Services, where as many as 40 percent of the children under supervision have parents involved in drug abuse. More AIDS trainings are needed in order to prepare every health and human service worker to educate and counsel every IV drug user regarding elimination of their exposure to AIDS.

As elected officials, you can help to curtail the spread of the AIDS virus in this population in the following ways:

By assuring that the increased level of funding for treatment, outreach and prevention through the federal Anti-Drug Abuse Act is maintained for several years, either through federal appropriation or through State appropriation on the premise that neither the AIDS epidemic nor the IV drug use problem can be dealt with in one year.

Number two, by establishing policy and funding to provide for: One, HIV testing, voluntary and confidential, in each drug treatment program; and two, appropriate medical supervision and medication for immune system enhancement available to all IV drug users who test positive.

Number three, support the continuing collaboration of the State Department of Health Services and the State Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs in public education, seroprevalence studies, prevention and treatment efforts directed at the IV drug users in particular, and substance abusers in general.

26 With regard to the statewide Master Plan, the following 27 elements should be included which would support the prevention of 28 AIDS:

1

Number one, regional resource centers should be established which can provide for local AIDS related training to substance abuse program staff, and the development of print and audiovisual education tools for program staff to use in client and community education efforts.

Number two, various State departments, such as the Department of Corrections, the California Youth Authority, and the Office of Criminal Justice Planning should be assisted in the development of education and counseling programs for substance abusing clients in their custody or in funded local programs.

The State Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs should be appropriated sufficient new program funding to allocate to counties according to their share of the State's IV drug using population in order to curtail the AIDS epidemic by the year 1990. If unchecked, California's HIV seropositivity rate in 1990 is expected to be between 32 and 40 percent in the IV drug user risk group.

Thank you very, very much.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you, Dr. Strantz.

Questions? Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON: I just want to commend the witness. This is probably one of the most comprehensive reports we've received. I think our work is done for us; we just follow her plan.

DR. STRANTZ: Thank you.

SENATOR MARKS: I also want to commend you.

53

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

I'm not trying to make this a partisan matter, but I think the information you gave regarding the community involvement points out that I believe, strongly believe, that the Governor was in error in vetoing the ARC bill, which would have provided understanding of the problem of AIDS. It was a great mistake.

SENATOR TORRES: Doctor, your statistics and comments and conclusions seem to be in opposition to our previous witness, yet you both represent the Southern California area.

What accounts for those different conclusions?

DR. STRANTZ: It may be that the information that we collect in the Office of the County Drug Program Administrator is more broadly based. We're collecting information from a wide range of facilities.

We've also been involved in doing these seropositivity studies through a wide range of facilities.

SENATOR TORRES: Does your study include those facilities which are represented by Dr. Tennant?

DR. STRANTZ: The 1986 study in methadone maintenance and detox included a sample of clients in Dr. Tennant's programs. We are now embarked on a two-year study in methadone maintenance and detox, 1987, and Dr. Tennant's clinics are cooperating with them as well.

> SENATOR TORRES: So, your most current data is '86? DR. STRANTZ: In methadone. In residential it's '87. You see, we're now in our third study.

27

28

SENATOR TORRES: His studies are May and September of '87 and October of '87.

DR. STRANTZ: But I believe that those are in some clinics in the San Gabriel Valley, and then some clinics in other parts of the state that are operated by Community Health Projects.

Our study is involving all methadone programs, public and private, the whole County and all areas of the County.

SENATOR TORRES: I see. I understand that, Doctor, but I'm concerned that your information and conclusions aren't similar to the previous witnesses' conclusions in that people are aware of what's out there but not utilizing their good sense.

DR. STRANTZ: I don't believe that they are, in terms of the clients in this most recent study in the residential programs, in terms of actually being aware of their own personal risk to AIDS --

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

SENATOR TORRES: This is September, '86?

DR. STRANTZ: No, I'm talking about July, '87.

If you look at the different ethnic groups and sex groups, and what their understanding was, it was something like 26-40 percent.

21

22

23

I believe that I can get that information for you but --SENATOR TORRES: The 26-40 percent who what?

DR. STRANTZ: Who knew very, very little about AIDS and their risk because they felt it was primarily a White male gay disease. And when they talked about -- when those who said they had changed behavior, the number one thing they said they had done was reduce the number of sexual partners.

SENATOR TORRES: Yes, I understand that, but your 1 statement also indicates that females did not change as quickly 2 as males. 3 DR. STRANTZ: That's right. 4 SENATOR TORRES: What accounts for that? 5 DR. STRANTZ: I think that female intravenous drug users 6 live a more precarious existence than male intravenous drug 7 users, and many of them support their habits through 8 prostitution. Therefore, they are not in a position to even, 9 let's say, demand the use of condoms, or reduce the number of 10 males that they're in contact with. 11 SENATOR TORRES: So they're economically more 12 vulnerable? 13 DR. STRANTZ: Exactly. 14 SENATOR TORRES: Therefore it's not a matter of choice? 15 DR. STRANTZ: Right. 16 SENATOR TORRES: Is it really a matter of choice, 17 though, in prostitution or drug abuse? Isn't that the real issue 18 here, that we're not dealing with someone being able to make a 19 20 choice? If there is treatment --DR. STRANTZ: 21 22 SENATOR TORRES: Changing patterns? 23 DR. STRANTZ: If there's no treatment available for 24 those who cannot afford to pay, if there's no treatment available, then they are not truly given an option. If someone 25 enters a treatment program and has the appropriate counseling and 26 information provided for them, and then they fail, then you could 27 28 say, okay, they made a choice.

SENATOR TORRES: But unless the countervailing balance of economic security is there, they'll go right back into it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DR. STRANTZ: Yes, part of rehabilitation should be job training, job skills development, assistance in finding employment in the mainstream.

SENATOR TORRES: Have you used any of the acupuncture techniques which have been looked at in some studies by the Legislature in terms of the treatment?

DR. STRANTZ: We do not currently fund any acupuncture programs. There is one in Los Angeles County; I believe there's one in the San Francisco area.

SENATOR TORRES: And you have not measured the efficacy of those programs?

DR. STRANTZ: No, we have attempted to find out about the program.

Acupuncture is just another way of providing detoxification.

SENATOR TORRES: I understand that.

DR. STRANTZ: And you need to have the treatment, planning, the counseling, the rehabilitation component with it. And it does not appeal to every drug user in the community, just as methadone doesn't appeal to every drug user.

SENATOR TORRES; So we could have millions of dollars for education and prevention, but unless we change the economic model, we're wasting money; aren't we?

> DR. STRANTZ: Unless we change the economic model? SENATOR TORRES: By which they must operate.

In other words, you can educate everyone about what the problem is, but if they don't exercise a choice because of other economic factors, we're really throwing away money.

DR. STRANTZ: Because of having skills to work, to be self supporting, yeah.

SENATOR TORRES: Otherwise we're back in the same --

DR. STRANTZ: I agree. You must have a strong rehabilitative element along with every one of these programs: residential or methadone maintenance. It doesn't matter. There has to be in that treatment plan a means to help that person get back into the mainstream.

SENATOR TORRES: Thank you, Dr. Strantz.

13 SENATOR SEYMOUR: I'd just like to thank you, Dr.
 14 Strantz.

15 I'd just like to add before we break, Senator Torres, 16 yesterday in San Francisco we received testimony relative to a 17 study done in Oakland in which, and this speaks to the economic 18 point that you make and I think you're right, a kid in a 19 neighborhood pushing drugs can get paid on the average of \$700 a 20 day. And the reason they do that is that they may be the sole 21 support of their family, and it's pretty easy money.

The question arises: Through all the rehabilitation and job training preparation, how are we going to find a job that gets anywhere near, anywhere near, \$700 a day? And most of those jobs, you know, and you have spoken on the issue, Senator Torres, are of minimum wage.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Now, even if minimum wage or the job they were trained I for, if they did get \$10 an hour, \$20 an hour, it's not in the 2 same league. 3 So, you're right. There's a lot of economic drive in 4 making this decision. 5 On the other hand, I raised the question: how do you 6 convince somebody who's pushing drugs at \$700 a day that they 7 ought to be a plumber, or an electrician, or a doctor, an 8 attorney, or what have you? 9 Thank you, Dr. Strantz. 10 At this point we'll recess very briefly, five minutes, 11 and then reconvene. 12 (Thereupon a brief recess was taken.) 13 SENATOR SEYMOUR: We'll reconvene our hearing. 14 Our next witness is Geni Cowen, who is the Executive 15 Director for the Gay and Lesbian Resource Center. 16 Ms. Cowen, thank you for taking the time to be with us 17 today. 18 MS. COWEN: Thank you. 19 Senator Seymour and Members of the combined committees, 20 I am honored to be asked to participate in this hearing. 21 I am the Director of a small social service organization 22 in Santa Barbara, California. I don't have the experience of 23 metropolitan communities other than having lived in them for 24 periods of time, but I have a great deal of concern about 25 26 nonurban communities since that's the population that our agency 27 serves. 28

I have made available to you some remarks that my remarks will be based on, and since you have the written part, the part I war; to give you is the part that wasn't written.

I want to emphasize the need for educational services in nonurban communities. What we found to be true is that the incidence of substance abuse, of IV drug abuse, and other substance abuse in ronurban communities is rather high and hard to identify.

In the Santa Barbara area and north, of course you know we have quite a population of migrant farmworkers. It's more than difficult to identify these among that population who are abusing drugs of who are using intravenous drugs, yet we are aware that it's an increasing problem in that community because of economic factors and social factors, combined with the issues of immigration.

What we've done in Santa Barbara County is, we've managed to establish an education program that outreaches the general community. However, that only outreaches the people who are located in semi-urban areas, like Santa Barbara itself, Isla Vista, Goleta, some in Santa Maria and Lompoc. As the communities get smaller, the education program has less outreach.

What we need and what appears to be needed in communities similar to ours and counties similar to ours is an outreach program that is based on field workers; based on people who have been trained and who have the familiarity and knowledge of the subculture to be out in the street, out in the farms, out in the communities talking to the people who are abusing drugs,

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

and who are using intravenous drugs, that will not come in for treatment for fear of being discovered for their illegal status, or for fear of being literally jailed for possession or use of IV drugs. We need people who are out there, not people who are in my office or other clinics or treatment centers like mine in the community, because people don't come into see us to get the information. People don't listen to television to get the information. They're not listening to the radio to get the information. They're not really concerned about the information, not having heard it in such a manner that they can identify themselves as being at risk.

61

We've identified an outstanding need in communities like ours, nonurban, semi-rural, rural areas, farm communities, for this kind of an outreach program.

We emphasize treatment in the current outreach that we do, but we have to face a few realities, one of them being that in all of our best efforts to prevent substance abuse, all of our best efforts to treat substance abuse, we have noticed that the problem isn't going away. The incidence of substance abuse in any of our communities will not be curtailed by the danger of AIDS. We have to face that as a fact. The incidence of substance abuse in minority communities, Black and Hispanic, is rising all the time. And we don't have effective methods to outreach those people at this point, not for treatment and not for educational services.

Methadone treatment programs in Santa Barbara County have been effective, but we have come up with -- I've been told

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

by the Director of the Substance Abuse Program in Santa Barbara 1 County that people coming into the methadone treatment programs, 2 by and large, only come in when the streets are dry. They come 3 in so they'll have something to hold them over until there's a 4 new source. So, we can use that particular period of time that 5 they're coming in to get their methadone treatments to give them 6 education and information, but we'll lose them once they hit the 7 streets again. So, we're back at the same problem of not having 8 adequate time or adequate staff or adequate information to give 9 10 when people do come in. If it's voluntary and they do come in, we're lucky, but that's very, very few. 11

62

We also see a problem in our correctional institutions, 12 and one of the major problems that we face in that area of the 13 state is a denial on the part of the staff and administration of 14 our correctional system. They aren't all that supportive of us 15 providing information or HIV testing in the correctional system. 16 17 We can guarantee anonymity, and they still aren't supportive. We can guarantee one-to-one counseling; they still aren't 18 supportive. They've come up with just about every reason in the 19 book to keep us out. Yet still, it's in the correctional 20 institutions where we have almost a greater opportunity than in 21 treatment centers to deal with these people. 22

So, I would say as elected officials from a communitybased operation standpoint, we count on you. We rely on you to provide us with leadership to get us to the places that we can't get to on our own.

28

23

24

25

26

There's a stigma attached to AIDS. There's a stigma attached to substance abuse. I would like to remind you that it's not just IV drug abuse that puts a person at risk. The impaired judgment that goes along with any kind of substance abuse immediately increases an individual's risk of contracting the virus just because they can't judge their behavior. They can't take the necessary precautions because they aren't able to make a reasonable judgment for themselves.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

So in my office, although we do not have a methadone maintenance program, and the number of IV substance abusers that we see is very, very low, we see a large number of other substance abusers, other chemical dependent people. And our 12 treatment program includes a very strong educational component 13 for these people about impaired judgement, about the effects of 14 substance abuse. That cannot be left out of addressing this 15 problem. 16

AIDS is not going to go away without even more concentrated efforts of education, not only about AIDS, but about those risk factors associated with it. We deal largely with a gay and lesbian clientele, and we have to educate gay men about safer sex. That's part of our job. We can tell them about AIDS until we're blue in the face very literally, and they will have absolutely no response until we give them specifics. This to me, based on my experience, is the larger need.

The largest need, of course you're going to hear, as you've been told before, about the need for funding. But I think we also have to face the realities of available funding. Now, my

center operates probably about 75-80 percent on government contracts, both county and State. Our discretionary income, those funds given to us through client fees, donations, and so on, are very, very small. When we talk about AIDS, of course we have a better community response, but we can't count on the community to deal with the AIDS crisis.

64

We have to count on government leadership, and again, we look to the government to provide us with adequate funding to train the staffs of our local drug abuse treatment centers. The training need up there is just phenomenal. Some place like Santa Barbara is looked at as less needy because of the lower numbers, but we have had 71 reported cases of AIDS. That's quite different from the 3529 in Los Angeles County. Seventy-one cases of AIDS does not make Santa Barbara less needy. The entire county is at risk. The two counties both north and south of us are at risk. Rural areas like ours are at risk.

We need adequate funding to reach all of those people. It's more difficult for us because we're more spread out. We don't have access to people quickly and easily. We have to travel a hundred miles to get to the next community.

So, you see, there are influencing factors that have to do with our ability to educate and our ability to help curb the spread of AIDS that we have to rely on elected officials to help us with. As a small agency, with a budget of much, much less than \$500,000 a year, I'm working with a primarily volunteer staff. Again, I'm looking to the community to help us curb this.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

This kind of energy, and this kind of outpouring of human compassion is not enough. It's just simply not enough. So, we look to you for leadership. We look to you for a public presence. It's our elected officials who often have the greatest impact on the general community. If our general community can look to their elected representatives and say, well, So-and-So is standing up and telling us that we need to be educated about AIDS, he should know, or she should know. They look more to you elected officials than they do to those of us who are so-called on the front lines. We need that public presence. We need your support.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

We need policy decisions, and leadership, and legislation. The rights of AIDS patients, of course, has been violated many, many times over, and that's an ongoing concern. We would like to see things change in that area, and we'd be more than willing to work with whomever would like to work toward that.

But the primary concern is to stop the spread of it altogether. It's just not going to be an easy task.

So, we have to return to those strategies and those methods which will reach the most people at the highest risk.

Those are my comments. There's really not much I can tell you because you've heard the statistics, and I don't think you need to hear all that again. It doesn't make a whole lot of difference. What you end up with is the same scenario over, and over, and over.

I would just encourage you to focus some attention on 1 nonurban areas, where the numbers may be lower but the risk is 2 just as high. 3 Thank you. 4 SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you, Ms. Cowen. 5 Question, Senator Marks. 6 SENATOR MARKS: Let me first commend you for your 7 testimony. I'm pleased that you came here and testified, and I 8 appreciate your testimony. 9 There's a question that I'm concerned with, and maybe 10 you can tell me. We've heard a couple of doctors testify in 11 support of the programs they're doing. I want to be sure that 12 the gay and lesbian community has been asked to work with these 13 doctors. 14 Have you Leen asked? 15 MS. COWEN: Our agency --16 Because you should be. SENATOR MARKS: 17 MS. COWEN: Our agency works very closely with the 18 Tri-Counties AIDS Project in Santa Barbara, which is an education 19 project. We also have an AIDS patient care program, and we work 20 with the County medical facilities on that in providing patient 21 care for those patients referred to us by the County and their 22 medical staff. 23 Other than that, we haven't been asked to participate. 24 And there's -- I'm in the midst of writing a program specifically 25 addressing the substance abuse problem in Santa Barbara this 26 week, because Santa Barbara County has just received additional 27 28

funding to start to put that together. This is a first. We've never had this before. It's been a long battle to get the cooperation of our substance abuse facilities.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

So, we're hoping that we'll be able to outreach those, but the reality is that there is this stigma attached that's going to make it very difficult for our community to be of assistance, although we probably have more expertise based on experience than those substance abuse facilities located in the county.

SENATOR MARKS: That does bother me very much, because I don't know what to do about it specifically, except maybe we do it from a standpoint that if funds arrive or are given from the State of California, that we must make certain that these agencies work with you, because I think they should.

MS. COWEN: Well, my suggestion has been and continues to be that training be required on the part of substance abuse staff in our particular county. I would suggest it statewide, but my focus of concern naturally is the county where I'm working.

I have suggested this to the County substance abuse program office, and over, and over, and over we've had this same excuse of not having enough funding.

I'd like to see that change, and I cannot guarantee that we'll be able to get that program approved this year, but that's the goal, to at least start there, to hire some field workers to go out and outreach the people in the streets and on the farms, and that has to work through those substance abuse clinics. And

what I need is approval. I need support for that proposal once ï we get it into the County. 2

SENATOR MARKS: I'm also concerned, and I'm not again 3 trying to make this a partisan issue, but I am concerned that a 4 number of programs have been cut out by the administration, which 5 I think would have been very helpful to the problem, which is a 6 problem which effects not just the gay and lesbian communities, 7 but effects the whole wide community. And I would hope that the 8 administration would look very carefully upon these programs, 9 because when we have a huge reserve in the bank, it seems to me 10 that an expenditure of a relatively small amount of money would 11 do an awful lot of good for the whole community. 12

I would hope that you, Senator Seymour, might prevail 13 upon the Governor to possibly institute some additional programs. 14 I realize that they have done some, but they haven't done enough. 15 I think more should be done. 16

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Well, the only thing I would respond, Senator Marks, you introduced the subject and myself, obviously 18 you know that I've been supportive where I can. 19

20

21

23

24

25

27

28

17

SENATOR MARKS: I know you have.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: On the other hand, I would remind you that we missed an opportunity as a State Legislature to ensure 22 some additional funding for AIDS when we could not come to an agreement on a \$700 million rebate and a \$400 million augmentation to various programs, including some funding for AIDS. 26

I've heard you say that a number of SENATOR MARKS: I I didn't agree with you at any time. times, 2 SENATOR SEYMOUR: Well, but that's the truth and the 3 fact, and so we didn't have a \$700 million rebate; we now have a 4 \$1.1 billion rebate. 5 SENATOR MARKS: We didn't want the rebate to be returned 6 anyway. 7 SENATOR SEYMOUR: I understand 'that. 8 SENATOR MARKS: We felt that it should be used for 9 education and a number of other programs which we felt were much 10 better than sending back a small amount of money to people. 11 SENATOR SEYMOUR: That's because you felt that way, and 12 Republicans felt exactly the opposite, people like me who were 13 willing to see an additional \$400 million go into programming and 14 \$700 million to the taxpayer, a middle ground, our voice didn't 15 get high enough, I guess. 16 SENATOR WATSON: And on to other things. 17 SENATOR SEYMOUR: Senator Watson. 18 SENATOR WATSON: You reiterated for me a problem that I 19 suspected while we were hearing legislation in the Judiciary 20 Committee. There was a proposed piece of legislation that would 21 have required all those going into penal institutions to take 22 tests. 23 The thing that concerned me at the time, and I think you 24 25 referenced it, is that what happens after the tests are taken? 26 Do you simply just isolate the person who appears to have an 27 active case, or even appears to have the syndrome, or do you 28

treat that person? And if you do treat them, where do you treat them, and what's going to be the level of treatment?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

All of those questions were not answered. There were references to answers, but there were no answers.

You just pointed up something that I feared, that you're having problems with correctional institutions related to AIDS, whether it's AIDS testing, treatment, or whatever. You're having problems because there appears to be very little cooperation.

And I was concerned, too, with the representatives from those institutions, and the California Correctional Department didn't seem to really have a handle on it.

And I would hope that my colleagues would join me in trying to fashion, if we're going to test across the board, then we'll be testing people going into these institutions but we certainly have to 90 the next step. We're going to have to make it possible for programs like yours to go in and do what they do inside of these institutions, because you probably have the expertise, whereas we would have to build that expertise.

And what I heard from the doctors who treat inside these institutions, they didn't seem to be that concerned about how to treat the patients.

And I'm hearing you say that you're having a real problem taking your experience inside.

MS. COWEN: I have to say that we have a bit of experience with people coming out of correctional institutions and being afraid for their lives. We have had clients, I have had staff, volunteer staff, who have come out of penal institutions and looking for support have come to us, and looking for a place to interact and to be around people, they've come to us and asked to volunteer, which is fine.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

28

My personal contact with them and that of my staff has shown that one man, for instance, was tested while he was in prison during his last six months. And he spent the last six months in terrible fear because he was told his test was positive. At the end of the six months, when he was being released, they said, oh, they'd made a mistake; it was really negative.

11 That's tragic. The man required quite a bit of 12 treatment just to deal with the anxiety by the time he got out of 13 prison.

My concern is that prison staff may possibly show some 14 concern and be willing to get involved with testing, with 15 treatment, whatever, but I don't think they're educated. Thev 16 must not be to treat an inmate like that. Granted, I can't say 17 that treatment of inmates is good, or bad, or indifferent, 18 because I'm not working in a penal institution, but based on that 19 experience, to me that shows that there's a requirement for 20 further and more intensive training of prison staff, and that 21 someone with the expertise not only do the testing but do the 22 follow-up; suggest whatever treatment needs to be done or needs 23 to be implemented with the medical staff. Where ever it needs to 24 happen, the expertise needs to be available, and it's not. 25

26 SENATOR WATSON: One of the things that always confounds 27 me is that the doctors that come from the correctional

institutions have names I cannot pronounce and accents I cannot understand.

I'm wondering if we're relegating the treatment of prisoners to people who come from a different kind of training and experience and educational background in other countries, whose standards of health care are quite different from ours. That's another point that I think we're going to have to look at real seriously.

MS. COWEN: I think when you look at the number of people of other ethnic groups that are in prison and in jail, local facilities, in Santa Barbara County it's phenomenal. Most of the inmates are Hispanic, and the next highest group represented are Black, and the lowest group represented are, of course, White in Santa Barbara County.

So that means we have to have some Spanish speaking people, because their culture is entirely different. So whatever we do, educationally or in a testing program or in a treatment program has got to be culture sensitive, and that's one of the places where we fall down the most.

One of the things I wrote in my written remarks was that all materials and all strategies used have to be culture sensitive. That's one of the places where I see our governmental leadership falling down because there's so many restrictions and so many limitations on what can be distributed under government sponsorship, that we end up losing quite an audience.

As a private nonprofit, we have taken the initiative to go ahead and purchase and develop some materials that our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Tri-Counties program cannot because their administration won't allow them to, and our materials have been more effective than theirs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

23

24

25

26

27

28

That concerns me a lot, because we've got all these people of minority groups who do not understand the information, or have no interest in it because it's not culture sensitive to them, doesn't mean a thing to them.

SENATOR WATSON: I think you make a very cogent point that we're going to have to deal with when we look at policy, because in Southern California, for instance, questions were being raised as to why does Orange County look different from San Francisco County, and San Francisco looks different from Los Angeles.

When you consider the demographics of Orange County 14 versus the demographics of a Los Angeles County and San 15 Francisco, and when you speak of what I feel is very important if 16 we're going to be effective, culturally sensitive ways of 17 treatment people, and materials, and procedures, and modalities, 18 I think that's key, because if the population we're trying to 19 treat cannot relate to the persons giving the treatment, the 20 materials, the equipment and so on, we probably have lost them 21 from the beginning. 22

MS. COWEN: It's necessary in terms of personnel, too, and this is one of the issues that we struggle with constantly, being Black in Santa Barbara is quite an interesting experience.

SENATOR WATSON: You're aware of it yourself.

And so, it's up to me, because of MS. COWEN: Yes. being so well informed and having worked with the AIDS issue for so long, it's up to me to outreach the Black community all of a sudden as an individual. That may only be 2-4 percent of Santa Barbara County's population, but I am one person, and I am limited because I don't have culture sensitive personnel to assist me with that job.

The same is true for the Hispanic population. We have one health educator in Santa Barbara County who is addressing the issue of AIDS among the Hispanic population, and he also has to work in Ventura County and in San Luis Obispo County.

So you see, a culture sensitive personnel is just as 12 important as our treatment and education strategies and our 13 materials. Everything that we use has got to be designed 14 specifically for our target populations, and we're limited in 15 doing that because of limited funding, and because we don't have 16 enough information out there for our professionals who support us 17 and who assist us with this process. We've got to train them as 18 much as we do the general community. 19

Thank you very much, Ms. Cowen. 20 SENATOR SEYMOUR: We appreciate your testimony and the time you've taken to be with us 22 today.

23

24

25

26

27

28

21

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Thank you. MS. COWEN:

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Our next witness is Dr. Thomas M. Mundy from the Department of Pediatrics, Cedars Sinai Medical Center.

DR. MUNDY: Thank you, Mr. Seymour, and other Members of the Committee.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

27

28

I am Thomas Mundy from Cedars Sinai. I am a pediatric immunologist, which in the 1980s means I take care of children with AIDS full time.

I'm going to limit my remarks today to stemming the tide from the IV drug use community into the pediatric community. You will hear from others of the approximately 3,000 women in the U.S. that have AIDS, half of whom's risk factor is IV drug use. You'll hear from others of the more than 100 in Los Angeles County who have AIDS, over half of whom's risk factor is IV drug use or sexual contact with an IV drug user. You'll hear from me of 558 children in the U.S. with AIDS, and 19 children in Los Angeles County with AIDS.

Now I would like you to hear from me to throw all of those figures away. They are gross underrepresentations.

I sit on a committee of the Academy of Pediatrics for Southern California, and in April and May of this year, we did a very informal survey. What we surveyed was the 11 physicians that we could think of that we knew would have seen some AIDS cases in Los Angeles. Five of that eleven sat on the committee.

We did a very informal mailing over two months this year, asking those 11 physicians: How many patients with AIDS, AIDS related complex, or that were known or suspected to be seropositive that they had personally treated in their institution.

There were 183 known infected children in Los Angeles County. We knew this was going to be a gross underrepresentation because this was only the 11 basically pediatric subspecialists who treated AIDS. There were 253 children known or strongly suspected to be infected with the virus; there were about 50 who had not been tested and confirmed.

I am here to tell you that half of the children infected with the AIDS virus in America are not treated by 11 pediatricians in Los Angeles. The CDC is counting 552, this was August data, and we had 234 in Los Angeles County alone. Those are not accurate figures.

Even that 234 is a gross underrepresentation. That was only the ones that had gotten referred to subspecialists.

Most of the children with AIDS in Los Angeles County have died and were never known to have had AIDS. It is a major problem not only in Los Angeles County, in the State of California, and in the U.S. in general.

> SENATOR WATSON: May I ask a question about this? DR. MUNDY: Sure.

SENATOR WATSON: You said they have died. They were never identified as having AIDS.

Is it because they use one of the side effects of AIDS as a cause of death, or is it because it's a newborn and they're at risk during a certain period of time? Why is it they have not been identified?

DR. MUNDY: For pediatrics, part of the problem is that pediatric AIDS looks somewhat different than adult AIDS. And for

26 27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the first about five years, we were using basically the same definition and we were missing some pediatric cases. That accounts for part of the underrepresentation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I must remind you again, at the time we found 183 known infected, the County was carrying 18 AIDS cases, a factor of 10. They were catching one out of ten.

Part of it was that I would agree, not all the 183 met CDC definition of AIDS, but we found CDC definition of AIDS in two to three times as many as they were counting.

Most of us pediatricians are not thinking of AIDS. They are to asking the questions of IV drug use in families. They are not asking the questions of bisexuality in fathers. They're not even asking the questions of babies that got transfusions as neonates or as older children. They're not thinking of AIDS.

These children are chronically ill, undiagnosed or underdiagnosed, and they're coming in with infections and dying.

One of my reasons for saying that most of them are dead, I'm involved in a study, a large study, sponsored by the Center for Disease Control where we're looking back at about a thousand recipients of blood transfusions. In looking for those children, we have found a very high number of children who have already died at two and three and four years old. We're looking all the way back to 1980, so some are up as old as six or seven years now. We're finding a much higher incidence of children that have died than you would expect from national statistics.

We haven't gone back and looked, but it's my assumption that many of those children died infected with the AIDS virus. In fact, died of AIDS or other similar infections.

So part of it is, the pediatricians are not thinking. I don't really think it's wanton underreporting. I think it has to do with the definitions.

SENATOR WATSON: They don't know what they're looking for.

DR. MUNDY: But we are writing this in a letter to the <u>Journal of Pediatrics</u> with our main point being, we will agree that 18 children in Los Angeles County is not a major public health problem. Well over 253 is a major public health problem, and we know that that 253 may be under by half.

SENATOR WATSON: I just want to mention this, if I may, Senator Seymour, I must leave for a meeting with CMA.

Senator Chiles has been successful in establishing an Infant Mortality Commission that has a one-year life, and it was approved by Congress.

We're going to hold a hearing here in Los Angeles, and I think that AIDS related death among newborns is going to be a prime target with us. I would like to invite you, and through your staff anybody else that can speak. We're going to have a lot of visibility around this particular hearing. We'll have about four throughout the country.

22 But I certainly want to draw in the AIDS related deaths 23 under this whole umbrella that we're working on also.

24

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

DR. MUNDY: I'd be happy to.

Even mildly extrapolating our figure factor of ten times under in Los Angeles County, there are thousands if not tens of thousands of women infected with the AIDS virus in California,

1 and virtually all of these women fall into the child bearing Most of these women don't know they're infected. Most of ages. them do not consider themselves to be in a high risk group or their sexual partners to be in a high risk group.

2

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

5 Most mothers who deliver children with AIDS, children 6 who later develop AIDS, do not know that they, the mother, are 7 infected until the child is diagnosed. There are many well 8 seropositive women delivering children who, within a year or two, 9 develop AIDS, and that's the point of the mother finding out that 10 she is infected.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: On that point, Dr. Mundy, do you have any feel whatsoever as to how many doctors, when providing pregnancy tests for a woman, inquire as to whether they use drugs, and if they do and the response is positive, test them for AIDS?

DR. MUNDY: I'm getting to that later. My guess is that very, very few, well under ten percent, ask them the question. And even a smaller percent than that offer testing.

That was a recommendation that the old Los Angeles City-County AIDS Task Force made, that obstetricians be much more aware of AIDS and offer to discuss AIDS and AIDS risk factors with their women; hopefully, women contemplating pregnancy, not women who are already in for their first visit.

A major problem in the IV drug user community is that these are not women who come in for their prenatal care early. As we say, they get elevator prenatal care on the way to the delivery room.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DR. MUNDY: A statistic that you probably most want to know is: What percentage of women who are infected pass on that infection to their children?

On close looking, the lowest percentage that I can find is 35 percent; the highest is 65 percent. It appears that there may be slightly less risk of passing on AIDS to a child in the first pregnancy than there is in subsequent pregnancies. The 35 percent was a first pregnancy one. That may just have to do with age of the woman and length of time of being positive. But we can safely say somewhere around 50 percent, which is what the pediatric community thinks, somewhere around 50 percent of women pass the infection to their children.

It can be as early as the 15th week of pregnancy that the infection's passed along. From there probably any time later up until delivery and including the time of delivery, and a couple of cases of transmission by breast milk after delivery in a child who was not infected previously.

But you can safely figure 50 percent transmission.

So, what can we do to limit this passage from women, particularly IV drug using women, to children?

Education is my first recommendation, as you've heard obviously before. Not just the drug rehabilitation programs, but at sexually transmitted disease clinics, and at prenatal care clinics. But remember, as you well pointed out, not every women who receives prenatal care or delivers a baby in California is taken care of by someone funded by the State. It's not all the clinics, so only doing it at clinics will not answer the question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

28

We have to encourage gynecologists, who, probably of all the specialties who really need to be involved in AIDS, have been least involved. We really need them thinking about asking those questions as you said: At the time of pregnancy testing, at the time of first visit contemplating pregnancy, at the time of seeing a teenage girl for her first gynecological exam at 16, again talking about risk factors for AIDS.

I think we need to make available for widespread voluntary counseling either at the time of pregnancy diagnosis or contemplating pregnancy, and we need to make available, I Lelieve, more anonymous alternative site testing for children. I don't know if the Committee is aware, by a quirk under the Roos bill of now three or four years ago which set up alternative testing sites, those testing sites are requested by the State not to perform testing on anyone under 12 years old, which means that we are totally leaving out the pediatric population as having any alternative test sites.

I didn't know this myself until, oh, about March of last 20 year when the CDC made some major recommendations on testing 21 transfusion recipients. And at that time I sent a memo to all 22 the Los Angeles County alternative test sites saying that I 23 realized they were not supposed to be doing that -- I think for 24 very good reasons. Testing children, counseling children, 25 drawing blood on children is very different as it is in adults --26 and offered our services basically under our CDC grant to do 27

free. It can't be totally anonymous testing; that's not the way we're set up. But it can be confidential testing. I think there needs to be the same anonymous testing for children as there is for adults.

1

2

3

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

28

There are also some things that we should not do, and those basically in my mind center around mandated either premarital or prenatal testing. There was a nice study which I can leave a copy of with the record if you like. The <u>Journal of</u> <u>the American Medical Association</u>, October 2nd, where a public health group from Boston basically costed out the cost and cost effectiveness of testing every couple getting married in the United States. There are approximately -- their figures were a bit father back; they had about 2 million. Now there are about 2½ million marriages each year in the U.S. Assuming two people per marriage, that's about 5 million tests a year.

Their cost figures were that it would cost well in 16 excess of \$100 million to test that group, and that we would 17 18 detect fewer than one-tenth of one percent of all the people infected in the U.S. In that group, we would tell 350 people 19 20 that they were infected when in fact they were not. And even worse, we'd tell 100 people that they were not infected when in 21 fact they were. The false positive and false negative rates. 22 And at most, they figured we would prevent 250 births of children 23 with AIDS. 24

As far as usual CDC and any public health officials cost effective data, \$100 million to prevent that number of cases is way out of line. We could spend the money better elsewhere.

The same data would hold up, it was not specifically done in that study, for testing of pregnant women; the same data would hold up there. There turned out to be approximately as many births a year as there are marriages a year. Because counseling is the major cost component of that, the costs would not come down much below 100 million, and you would prevent well under 250 births because you're talking about pregnant women, not all of whom would chose not to continue that pregnancy. And many would be tested farther than would be able to not continue that pregnancy. And some would not be in favor of not continuing a pregnancy in any event.

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

28

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Question, Dr. Mundy.

The same false-positive statistical information you provided on testing, is it equally applied to alternative test centers? Do they have the same experience, false-positive? Or is there some kind of testing that is different here?

DR. MUNDY: It would be somewhat better in the 17 alternative testing sites for the following reason. When your 18 testing is a statistical quirk, when you're testing a very low 19 risk population, which couples getting marriage licenses in the 20 U.S. would be because it is by definition for the most part a 21 heterosexual population -- yes, they are young adults, but the 22 majority of them are not IV drug users, therefore they don't have 23 a risk factor -- when you're testing a low risk population, you 24 have an astronomically high false-positive rate. Most of your 25 positives -- I've heard estimates actually at the hearings in 26 Sacramento earlier, the testimony by the guy who runs the lab at 27

U.C. Davis -- in the low risk population, 9 out of 10 of those initial positives will be false positives.

The alternative test sites probably have slightly better false positive-false negative rates because they're testing a higher risk population.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you.

DR. MUNDY: You must remember that testing of pregnant women for AIDS is not the same as other prenatal testing. It's easy to make the argument where we test in newborns, let's say, for PKU, or we test pregnant women for aortal tube defects, because of the profile that AIDS has in the community, you must have discussion with those women before about what this test means, the false positivity and false negativity rate, what will happen if you're positive, so the costs go up astronomically, mostly because of the counseling component. It is not the same as doing heel stick on a newborn and putting it on a blot of paper, or throwing in one more test for a pregnant woman.

That money, I feel, would be much better spent educating the high risk groups very aggressively, not testing a very low risk population, with either married women or all women seeking marriage or all pregnant women.

Even though children whose risk factor is mothers or fathers who are IV drug users is not my primary concern now in Los Angeles, because in Southern California so far, over half of our cases are transfusion related in pediatrics, not IV drug use related.

26

27

28

1

2

3

But I must tell you, in every segment that we have looked at in AIDS, San Francisco has been but a few months to perhaps a year behind New York and New Jersey, and Los Angeles has been right on the heels of San Francisco. And you know pretty well the data in New York and New Jersey. We are not to be far behind.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

26

27

28

Transfusion related pediatric AIDS cases, transfusion related cases in general, are no longer. Some people will continue to get sick, but the transmission is no longer there.

But I'm anticipating a deluge of cases from IV drug using mothers and fathers in the years ahead.

I can't leave without saying one word about confidentiality. As I said, my primary study is talking to approximately 1,000 parents, telling them that their children got transfusions, and offering them AIDS testing. And I stress offering. I in no way coerced them. I think it is really a decision that they have to make, but we're offering it to them.

As I've said before, when Sacramento talks about 18 relaxing confidentiality, not votes in committee, not votes on 19 the Floor of the Senate or the Assembly, when it talks about 20 changing confidentiality, my patients cancel appointments. Quite 21 22 literally, on a Monday morning after a Sunday Los Angeles Times article about the general bills that are pending on relaxing 23 confidentiality, three parents call Monday morning and cancel 24 appointments. 25

There must be confidentiality protections for this population. People will not undergo testing if it is not anonymous and very confidential.

Thank you.

ł

2

3

10

28

SENATOR SEYMOUR: That concludes your testimony? DR. MUNDY: Yes, sir.

4 SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you very much. You certainly 5 have given us a bit different insight than perhaps some of the 6 other witnesses. We thank you for your time.

Our next witness is Mr. Rick Davis, who is a Chemical
Dependancy Specialist working with the AIDS Project in Los
Angeles.

Mr. Davis, thank you for being here.

MR. DAVIS: You're welcome, sir. Thank you for having
me.

13 I'd like to change the focus just a little bit as has 14 the doctor before me. I would like to explain some of the 15 problems I think at APLA which we're incurring with IV drug abuse 16 and AIDS.

The first thing I'd like to mention is that in Los Angeles County, if you are seropositive, there is a very limited amount of help you can get initially, and that includes APLA. You have to be diagnosed as having either AIDS or ARC.

At that point, we act as a very good referral source for all kinds of things. One of those things that we're not able to fulfill is drug treatment for an individual who has AIDS or ARC.

There are a couple of reasons for that. Several AIDS patients are indigent; therefore, it is very difficult for them to procure a fee for service at the common places for drug treatment that are there. As for agencies that are funded by either the federal government or the County, should the individual be seeking a 28-day program, detoxification and so on, you're looking at roughly a 35-40 day stay, I receive calls on the average of probably twice a week from individuals seeking therapy, but they cannot meet medical criteria in order to get into treatment. In other words, they're just too sick. They cannot be taken. They cannot get clearance from a physician to be able to enter treatment. Either they've had a bout of PCP or something similar to that, and that cannot go to a physician and get clearance as would be mandated by the facility in order for them to get treatment, which makes it extremely difficult, when it leaves them back out on the street.

Í

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Unfortunately, when they are left out on the street to their own devices, again they will revert back to the best way they know how to survive, and in certain cases that's the inappropriate use of themselves in order to procure money so that they may survive.

Also there is the problem of confidentiality that goes on. Not a lot of people who are addicted to drugs and have the dual diagnosis of AIDS are real willing to go into a treatment facility, have that known in the facility itself, and go through the whole issue of homophobia, drug addiction and AIDS all at the same time. It gets a little more complex, I think, than the average.

Also, as for funded agencies, either by the County, State or federal government, it's that there's a lot of real healthy alcoholics and addicts out there. They don't have to go dig up AIDS patients to give treatment to.

If you would take an AIDS patient into treatment at one of these facilities, there's the possibility, which is weighed by these agencies, that the individual might come down with pneumonia while in treatment. If you're under your contract to, let's say, the County of Los Angeles, you're to maintain census at 80 percent; you have to discharge this person; they go to the hospital. How long are they going to be there? Two weeks, three weeks, ten days. Do you bring them back into treatment? And the whole time they're under the guise of, well, we can't fall below 80 percent census for our beds or we're going to get in trouble with the County Auditor or the State Auditor. So, it poses a problem for those individuals also to give treatment to people with AIDS.

There has been no definitive study that I can find on the correlation between how many people who have AIDS still abuse drugs or alcohol. An initial survey with our own mental health people, where we tracked 1112 patients as of August, '87, their best guess was 50 percent continue to abuse drugs or alcohol. My counterparts at Ward 92 at San Francisco General, the clinicians there, their best guess is 85 percent.

Dr. Larry Siegal, who is an internist in Key West, Florida, I spoke to him on the phone last week. He has written some articles about this issue, and in treating 100 AIDS patients, 90 percent or them use drugs or alcohol.

25 San Francisco General itself did a study, and out of 300 26 patients, only 12 did not use either drugs or alcohol.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Excuse me, Mr. Davis.

27

28

1

2

3

Δ

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. DAVIS: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

26

27

28

SENATOR SEYMOUR: I appreciate those are extraordinary statistics, but I want to make sure I understand what you're saying.

You're saying "use alcohol or drugs." As opposed to an intravenous drug user?

MR. DAVIS: Well, the difficulty with trying to explain this is that there hasn't been any research done specifically to say.

My feeling, from my position at my job and also other therapists that I have talked to, is that the AIDS patient will return to using drugs, and if they were a needle user before, they will return to using a needle.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: But that is the point. And certainly I'm not in defense of in any way, shape or form illicit drug use or substance abuse of any kind. But, unless you've got some information other than IV drug user, intravenous needles, does one's use of cocaine and alcohol or other drugs make them more prone to AIDS, other than the fact that their conduct is less inhibited? Set that aside.

I don't have any knowledge. I'm asking you if you have any knowledge where these folks are at more risk than anybody else in the population?

24 MR. DAVIS: I think -- my feeling is that, yes, that 25 they are.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Would you explain why?

MR. DAVIS: Well, I don't think there's any remarkable news in the fact that substance abuse, whether it's the use of alcohol, or marijuana, or PCP not being a disease, or cocaine, or crack or anything in that line of a psychoactive, is -- lowers the immune system of the individual. It's very harmful.

Statistically it can be proven without too much problem that alcohol addicts or addicts just overall, if you remove the AIDS issue out the row, have more hospitalizations, more mental needs and --

SENATOR SEYMOUR: So they're more prone to contract the disease?

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

28

MR. DAVIS: Yeah, very much so.

One of the things that we see going on at APLA is the accidental, and I do mean accidental, addiction to some of the individuals. The common scenario for it is simply this.

The individual who, I will cite one case specifically 16 just to give you an example, had been an IV drug abuser for 11 17 years; was found out to be seropositive. That moved into ARC, 18 and then he is now into AIDS. He quit using and became drug free 19 in that sense. But through treatment, he received several 20 medications that are psychoactive. He receives methadone for his 21 diarrhea; he receives paregoric for the problems with his 22 stomach; he receives percadan for the generalized pain that he 23 has overall; he receives a sleeping medication Anzanex. This 24 individual slips periodically, through anxiety and fear of his 25 disease issue, his deteriorating health, and also his 26 deteriorating mental ability, and he is not uncommon. 27

We see -- I would not say overly prescribed to, but certainly a lot of individuals who already have AIDS who are trying to clean up, being more or less pushed right back into using drugs again through no fault of their own, and through no fault of the medical community because there's only so many ways you can treat the disease. And unfortunately, things like methadone, which are very good at eradicating diarrhea, they're also very immunosuppressive. And that is a very complex issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dr. Siegal in his article relates to that, too. He's one of the few individuals in the country who's kind of on the bandstand about this whole area right now.

I would like to also while I'm here address the issues of the minorities, because we find that to be very important. Of our clients that we have, from August of '86 to August of '87, we had an increase in our Black clients by 73 percent -- excuse me, I need to correct that. Our Hispanic and Latino increase was 73 percent, and for Blacks it was 64 percent, and for women it was 29 percent, 29-30 percent, which in one way is good in that they are seeking help in a certain form, and in another way it's also very distressing in that the finger continuously keeps being pointed towards the minority communities, and it is a very difficult group of individuals to get to, I think, as Ms. Cowen has already alluded to. It's very hard to get those individuals to come in to find information out about AIDS. I believe it would be appropriate for us to be going to them.

Even that in itself becomes very difficult and making sure that the material is culturally sensitive enough, and that

the people do not get involved more in whether it was culturally sensitive, and that they have the ability to understand what's being said to them.

I will hurry along here. The general recommendation that I would like to make is, first off, the one that I already mentioned, the culturally appropriate material be taken care of in the Black and Latino communities. And most of all, funding for agencies is my biggest recommendation outside of from the State directly to the agencies.

The reason why I feel that this is appropriate is that agencies that do not have to meet policy and procedure by several other agencies over the top of them can do, I feel, a more appropriate job. I believe Ms. Cowen alluded to one, is that her situation was she needed pamphlets done in a more culturally responsible manner, but due to the circumstances she couldn't do that; where I feel if the money was directed from the State directly to the agencies, that they would be able to solve those problems quicker.

Also, another very important point with that is that agencies such as APLA, where we have 1112 clients, we also have 1,000 volunteers. County agencies can't take, I think, adequate effective volunteers in the way that we can. We're set up to do i+; we have the training programs for them, and I believe we would de a better job with that.

> I'd like to thank you for your time. SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you, Mr. Davis.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

One last question. Relative to the dissemination of materials that are culturally sensitive, and Ms. Cowen certainly raised the point, others have, and now you have. I'd like to ask you a question in return.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The same testimony was given, by the way, in San Francisco yesterday. My question of the individual, or one of the individuals that raised that yesterday: If I can appropriately describe the material you're talking about, and clarify for me if I'm wrong, the material in order to be successful needs to be written in such a fashion that it may use very explicit four-letter words. On the other hand, the material that the State, quote-unquote, approves uses 12-letter words, which nobody understands, much less the recipient of the information.

My question is this: If that's true, isn't it possible that we may be able to find some six-letter words to use in this material?

I say this for a very pragmatic political reason. You make a good point. All those who have said that make a good point, but it shows little empathy or understanding for what takes place in the political world.

We know that would work. I know that would work. But I and my colleagues are going to lose our heads. Those very colleagues who want to help are going to lose their heads over it.

And so, my question is: Isn't there some common ground here somewhere where you can be effective with your material, and

we get off the bureaucratic 12-letter words, but yet we stay out of the politically tumultuous four-letter words?

1

2

3

1

5

6

7

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. DAVIS: Well, I believe that it would certainly be appropriate.

It is my feeling from talking to individuals who do outreach into minority communities that there does have to be that area that's the six-letter word. The reason why I feel it needs to be the six-letter word is that in minorities, church plays a very important role in their lives. I cannot believe you're going to be able to use four-letter words in a pamphlet in the church, but I believe if you have a six-letter word in the church that bridges the difference between bureaucratic gobbledygook over here and street stuff over here, is that the individuals will be able to be reached.

Not saying that the four-letter word doesn't have its appropriate place, nor the 12-letter, but I feel it is more appropriate if it would be the six-letter, where it's done in good taste and not offensive, and it just needs to be straight forward.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you, Mr. Davis. We really appreciate your time today.

At this particular juncture, we're going to take a fiveminute recess and give our court stenographer an opportunity to rest her weary fingers. Then we'll pick up with Mr., Dennis Webb.

> (Thereupon a brief recess was taken.) SENATOR SEYMOUR: We'll reconvene.

Our next witness is Mr. Dennis Webb, representing the Office of AIDS, State Department of Health Services.

Mr. Webb, thank you for taking the time to be with us today.

> Yes, thank you. MR. WEBB:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Mr. Chairman, Members of the Committee, on behalf of Dr. Kizer, the Department Director, and Thelma Fraziear, the Chief of the Office of AIDS, I am glad to be able to come before you and testify.

A clearer understanding of the relationship between AIDS and IV drug abuse is a critical adjunct in our efforts to design effective and reasoned intervention strategies.

I'd first like to give a role of the Office of AIDS as it relates to IV drug abuse and our general role. The Office of AIDS was created in 1985 to provide information and education, epidemiologic investigation and surveillance, research, and 16 treatment to address the public health problems related to AIDS. 17 The Office of AIDS monitors the incidence of AIDS cases and HIV 18 infection in California, and is particularly concerned with the 19 trends among IV drug using populations. 20

AIDS and IV drug abuse in the United States -- in the 21 United States, IV drug abuse is the second most commonly reported 22 risk factor for the transmission of AIDS virus, and a major route 23 for HIV infection into the heterosexual population. So far, the 24 vast majority of AIDS cases associated with IV drug abuse have 25 26 occurred in the northeastern United States where, in New York and New Jersey, more than 50 percent of reported AIDS cases are IV 27 drug related. 28

In California, the proportion of such cases is much 1 smaller, Heterosexual IV drug users account for approximately 2 two percent of California's AIDS cases, and gay and bisexual male 3 IV drug users account for approximately 11 percent of all cases. 4 It is clear that California is in the early phase of the AIDS 5 epidemic, and although in some drug -- epidemic curve for IV drug 6 abusers, although in some drug using populations in San Francisco 7 a dramatic rise has already been observed. 8

9 Specific issues. It is within the general context of 10 this the concerns raised by this Committee must be viewed. I 11 will now discuss the issues which the Committee has invited the 12 Department of Health Services to address in its testimony.

First off, the relationship between AIDS prevention and substance abuse treatment. Twenty-three percent of the Office of AIDS budget of \$11.7 million has been allocated for AIDS education contracts for education prevention programs for IV drug abusers.

Of the 89 local agencies who have education contracts, 70 percent have some activities planned for IV drug user populations. Activities specified in these contracts include: street outreach programs; training for substance abuse treatment providers; training in methadone clinics, training in courtordered drug diversion programs, training and support for partners of IV drug users.

The relationship between AIDS prevention and the punishment of illegal drug activity. The majority of drug treatment referrals are from the criminal justice system, and

28

these referrals serve to increase the interface between addicts, treatment and education. Thus, the law enforcement approach is potentially advantageous because it provides an opportunity to modify behavior.

ł

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The reverse side of the coin is that on occasion when law enforcement officials have stepped up arrests for possession of drug injecting paraphernalia, this has decreased the availability of needles and increased the sharing of needles among addicts.

The Office of AIDS also has a contract for prevention training for correctional officers and prison inmates.

The relationship between IV drug users, AIDS, and the minority population. The cumulative incidences of AIDS among Blacks and Hispanics are over three times the rates for Whites. Nationally and in California, the rates of infection among Black military recruits is four times higher than the rate among White applicants, White recruit applicants.

A recent study revealed that among heterosexual addicts in San Francisco, Black and Latino addicts were three times more likely to become infected than the White addicts.

Serological and risk factor data recently collected among 1800 Californians with a history of IV drug use revealed an overall positivity of two percent. However, among Black addicts, the rate was significantly higher.

The spread of AIDS virus to the non-IV drug using heterosexual population. In the United States, as of the end of September, 1660 or 4 percent of the adult AIDS cases are reported

as having contracted the disease through heterosexual contact. In California, the figure is much lower. There have been 2 approximately 100 contact cases, or one percent of the total cases for the state. It is estimated that in 70 percent of the heterosexual contact cases, the infected partner was an IV drug user.

1

3

4

5

6

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The transmission of the AIDS virus from the IV drug user 7 to the unborn or infant child. For pediatric AIDS, 78 percent of 8 the cases nationally and 51 percent of the cases in California 9 are associated with a parent at risk of AIDS. Of these, it is 10 estimated that roughly 61 percent had an IV drug using mother and 11 another 14 percent were born to mothers who had an IV drug using 12 partner. 13

The relationship between the IV drug user, prostitution, 14 and the sexual spread of AIDS. AIDS virus infection among 15 prostitutes varies widely among geographic areas, ranging from 16 zero percent in southern Nevada, where prostitutes are licensed, 17 to near 60 percent in Newark, New Jersey. 18

HIV prevalence estimates among California prostitutes are available for San Francisco and Los Angeles, and are respectively six and five percent.

About half of all prostitutes are estimated to be IV drug users, and of HIV positive prostitutes, close to threefourths are IV drug users. Seroprevalence among IV drug using prostitutes is four to five times higher than among non-IV drug using prostitutes.

The Office of AIDS has committed to an extensive program or serological monitoring to test approximately 60,000 persons in this fiscal year, of which IV drug abuse population has been significantly identified as the population to be tested.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

28

I recently attended a conference in Atlanta in which the CDC is going to be testing in 30 cities across the United States the seroprevalence rates among six populations, one of them being IV drug abusers. And San Francisco and Los Angeles are part of that 30-city study.

That concludes my testimony. I would be happy to entertain questions from the Committee if you should have any.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: I have two questions.

First, your statistics relative to Nevada of prostitutes and the fact that they are licensed, and therefore zero percent.

Do you have any data that would indicate whether or not Nevada prostitutes, or what percentage of them, are IV drug users?

MR. WEBB: I don't have that data. I do know from my experience, I used to work in the Sexually Transmitted Disease Program, and they monitored the prostitutes at the brothels for specific STD infections, and they were also very concerned that the prostitutes be relatively clean of drugs.

23 That doesn't include Reno and Las Vegas, which do not 24 have -- which have freelance workers, so to speak.

25 SENATOR SEYMOUR: The other question I had is, what is 26 the State's position in their education and outreach programs 27 relative to the use and dissemination of bleach kits?

MR. WEBB: In terms of our education and prevention 1 activities, we are stressing education in terms of use of 2 condoms, the methodology of transmission, and one of the factors 3 of course is to stop using drugs and exercising the option of 4 saying no. There are different modalities to prevent the disease 5 from being transmitted in the IV drug abuse population, and we 6 have not specifically made recommendations on the use of bleach. 7 SENATOR SEYMOUR: You don't talk about it? When you say 8 "modality", do you include in modality the bleach kits? 9 MR. WEBB: We say that in the education projects, they 10 would indicate that that would be an option, but that's certainly 11 not a policy endorsement from the State. 12 SENATOR SEYMOUR: We took testimony yesterday in San 13 Francisco of an outreach program, 80 percent funded, as I recall, 14 county, State and federal, that not only through educational 15 process talks about bleach kits, but disseminates them. 16 That would seem to be an endorsement. And I'm not 17 saying it's wrong. I'm trying to get to the facts. 18 MR. WEBB: I can say, Senator, that it is not a policy 19 of the State to advocate sending out bleach kits, but --20 SENATOR SEYMOUR: But you don't prohibit. 21 MR. WEBB: We would say that would be one option that 22 they would have. 23 SENATOR SEYMOUR: Okay. 24 Thank you very much, Mr. Webb. 25 MR. WEBB: Thank you. 26 27 28

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Our next witness is Mr. Richard Bayquen, who is the Chief Deputy Director of the State Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs.

I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Mr. Bayquen, thank you for taking the time to again be with us. You continue to provide a tremendous resource for the work of the Committee.

MR. BAYQUEN: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman.

My name is Richard Bayquen, Chief Deputy Director of the Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs. I'm here today representing Director Veatch who unfortunately could not be with you. As you know, this is an area where he does have a profound interest, and prior commitments precluded him from being here with us today.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you, Mr. Bayquen, for being with us today, and my best to Chauncey Veatch for permitting you to testify.

MR. BAYQUEN: Thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony about the population and needs of the intravenous drug user, who although now comprising the second largest AIDS risk group, are expected to become the most serious cause of HIV transmission in the next several years.

In the interests of time, Senator, and also in the interest of not being redundant with some of the prior and very excellent testimony, I'd like to glean from my remarks at this point.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Very good.

MR. BAYQUEN: In California, we estimate that there are 450,000 people who use needles associated with illicit drug activity. Approximately half of these are believed to be chronic cases; that is, they are addicted to the compulsive use of heroin, cocaine, or other drugs that can be injected.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In California, heterosexual IV drug users are presently identified as comprising about 2 percent of the total AIDS cases in our state, although another 11-12 percent of those in the homosexual-bisexual risk groups share histories of IV drug use as an associated risk factor.

Nationally, IV drug users account for 17 percent of adult cases. Added to this group are another 8-9 percent of homosexuals or bisexuals who have the associated risk factor of being IV drug users. So far, about 75 percent of the IV drug user AIDS cases have occurred in New York and in New Jersey. However, it is anticipated that California merely lags behind New York, and that the number of AIDS cases amongst IV drug users will increase dramatically if appropriate steps are not taken.

A disproportionately high percentage of all AIDS cases nationally occur in the Black and Hispanic populations. Currently, 61 percent of cases are White, 25 percent are Black, and 14 percent are Hispanic. These variations may represent differences in susceptibility or increases in high risk behaviors, especially IV drug use and needle sharing.

Once infected, the drug user can serve as a vector of transmission to other populations. As has been mentioned earlier, infected women can transmit the virus to their children

prenatally. AIDS can also be transmitted sexually from IV drug users to non-using partners. Nationally, 70 percent of heterosexual AIDS cases involve transmission from a drug user to a non-drug user. In New York City, this figure is closer to 90 percent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Unfortunately, we are short on epidemiological data which will allow us to successfully determine the spread and scope of the HIV virus. However, the Department of Health Services has funded new and expanded studies to allow us to track the progression of the virus in California, and we hope to eventually be able to have an effective epidemiological network which will return timely information on seroprevalence among IV drug users.

Specifically, the Committee has asked us to respond to three questions. On the issue of what can be done to stop the spread of AIDS among IV drug users, ideally, the solution to the problem of AIDS in the drug using population would be to convince drug abusers or drug users to stop using drugs.

However, in the absence of the ability to accomplish this, the Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs generally supports the following guidelines and recommendations which have been developed by leading experts in the field of AIDS research, and in particular, those recommendations which directly affect AIDS, addiction, and alcoholism by focusing on the following:

Development of an information base to monitor the spread of HIV exposure within the IV drug using population. I touched on that earlier in terms of the study the Department of Health Services is funding. Secondly, encourage within the IV drug use community, to encourage voluntary testing for the HIV infection, but with strict adherence to confidentiality laws. Towards this end, we also believe that it is necessary to develop effective motivational techniques for achieving greater utilization of the HIV test sites by IV drug users.

7 SENATOR SEYMOUR: On that point, again, we took 8 testimony in San Francisco yesterday, and they bore out, and 9 today we're hearing the same thing, and that is length of time it 10 takes to obtain, voluntarily obtain a test, confidential test, at 11 the test centers.

To what degree is the Department attempting to respond to what we were told yesterday, I believe, it was up to a fiveweek delay?

MR. BAYQUEN: The Department, Senator, is not directly involved with the test centers. But what we are involved in are the drug treatment programs, the methadone programs, to try to get people into those services.

> SENATOR SEYMOUR: So this is more Department of Health? MR. BAYQUEN: Yes, sir.

21 SENATOR SEYMOUR: I should have asked Mr. Webb. Has he 22 left? I think so; he had a plane to catch.

Thank you.

19

20

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. BAYQUEN: I'm sorry I can't answer that for you. SENATOR SEYMOUR: It's quite all right.

MR. BAYQUEN: I'll certainly pass that question on to the Department of Health Services.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you very much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. BAYQUEN: Additionally, we believe that an increase in the number of slots at drug treatment and methadone maintenance centers are very important. Towards this end, the Department has revised emergency regulations to increase the methadone treatment capacity during the AIDS crisis. This has allowed qualified methadone programs to temporarily treat more addicts than their license would normally allow.

Also, the Department has allocated in the current fiscal year an additional \$5 million to the counties in the battle against AIDS. These funds have been allocated to all 58 counties. I think you heard earlier, Los Angeles has received about \$1.9 million; San Francisco, \$660,000; and San Francisco [sic] \$473,000 -- excuse me, San Francisco, \$660,000; and Orange County, \$473,000. And these funds have been allocated to the county drug programs to increase prevention education and more importantly, treatment programs for the IV drug users.

Additionally, in the current year, the Department has allocated \$12 million of federal funds to alcohol and drug programs. These funds have been earmarked by the Department and by the Legislature, where they have been given a priority to focus on youth, to focus on special populations meaning minority populations -- Blacks, Hispanics, females -- and also to focus on the homeless. So, there has been a tremendous amount of additional dollars that the Department has put out in the current year specifically for AIDS, but also available for alcohol and drug treatment programs on an across the board basis.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: On that point, Mr. Bayquen, you heard my question to Mr. Webb relative to bleach kits?

MR. BAYQUEN: Yes, sir.

3

28

4 SENATOR SEYMOUR: Would you respond to the same 5 question, please?

6 MR. BAYQUEN: On that particular point, I think you're 7 talking about the MidCity Consortium project in San Francisco. 8 SENATOR SEYMOUR: Yes.

9 MR. BAYQUEN: That program is funded by San Francisco 10 County. San Francisco County gets State and federal dollars from 11 the Department basically in a block grant or in a subvention. 12 They in turn match that with their own dollars and any other 13 sources they have, and in turn, choose programs that they believe 14 will be effective at reaching out to the clients, serving the 15 needs and providing treatment services.

That's a decision that San Francisco has made. They feel that that's an appropriate way to try to deal with the issue of needle sharing.

I know that our position is that, recognizing the diversity within California, and consistent with the block grant approach, that each locality is in a best position to know the unique needs and the best ways to get to people within their county to make sure that they are brought into the programs, that they're educated, and that prevention programs are made available to them.

And I think that's an issue that the local people need to decide.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: I certainly commend the Department for that policy.

MR. BAYQUEN: Furthermore, we believe that development of diversified and innovative education campaigns aimed at challenging unsafe sexual and needle sharing behaviors are necessary. We believe there is a need for a continued focus on research, especially in the area of AIDS as it relates to IV drug users.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: In relationship to that point, Mr. Bayquen, did you hear Dr. Tennant this morning as he talked about the new drug that --

MR. BAYQUEN: Talking about LAAM?

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Yes, the alternative to methadone. MR. BAYQUEN: Yes, I did hear that.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Do you have a reaction to that at all?

MR. BAYQUEN: Well, I think the issue or the question that was raised at that time was in terms of whether or not additional funding would be available for the northern part of the state.

As I understand --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SENATOR SEYMOUR: No, I'm confused then. I thought the question that was raised was the need, legislatively I guess, or at least through regulation, to permit the usage of this drug in place of methadone.

MR. BAYQUEN: Okay, that's an issue that is currently being explored by the Federal Drug Administration. In fact, there have been a number of experiments with the drug, I think,

for close to ten years now. And the Federal Drug Administration, 1 for whatever reason, has not yet made a decision on whether or 2 not that should be available beyond just a trial or experimental 3 basis. 4

SENATOR SEYMOUR: What, if anything, can the State do to either hasten the process or circumvent the FDA process?

5

6

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

27

28

MR. BAYQUEN: Well, in response to that, Senator, I 7 really don't think it's fair for me to comment, because I 8 personally am not that familiar with LAAM. I don't know what the pros are, I don't know what the cons are.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: No, I was more asking, Mr. Bayquen, 11 and perhaps you can't comment, but I was more interested in the 12 process than the drug. I don't know anything about the drug 13 either. 14

I think in terms of the process, if in MR. BAYOUEN: fact one believes that LAAM is appropriate, is necessary, is good, then I think it really comes down to a matter of advocating or lobbying with the FDA to get on with the process.

> SENATOR SEYMOUR: It nevertheless would await the FDA. MR. BAYQUEN: Yes.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you.

MR. BAYQUEN: I think in terms of process, that's where 22 it lies. 23

As elected officials, what can you do? I think we would 24 look for your continued support of the Department and the drug 25 26 field in fulfilling the following objectives:

One, to continue providing high quality drug abuse treatment in California.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

H

12

13

14

15

28

Two, to initiate a primary prevention against the spread of AIDS and HIV infection.

Three, to implement a second prevention program in symptom recognition and risk reduction/health promotion.

Four, to institute an AIDS treatment and assistance program that supports clients who have been infected by HIV or developed ARC or AIDS.

Additionally, we believe that drug programs must also be encouraged and assisted in carrying out four secondary roles:

One, to advise and advocate for individual clients, their sexual partners, and significant others.

Two, to reach out and bring the high risk drug users into treatment.

16 Three, to advocate for drug abusers among other service17 providers and resource controllers.

18 And lastly, to conduct community education activities 19 towards reducing initiation into IV drug use and the spread of 20 AIDS.

Drug treatment programs are now wrestling with the various issues inherent in attempting to cope with the fact that more and more of them will be seeing infected patients as the crisis grows. Program needs are specifically these:

25 One, providing staff with guidance and support which is 26 responsive to their potential anxieties about AIDS, training 27 needs, work overload, and burnout. Two, develop a program of protocol for counseling clients about the advisability of knowing their own seropositivity.

Three, reviewing, revising procedures to ensure confidentiality, protection, release of client information, avoidance of discrimination and legal liabilities.

4

5

6

10

11

12

Four, developing prevention education programs for
8 clients, their sexual and needle sharing partners, and IV drug
9 users not in treatment.

Five, devising policy and procedural responses to the anticipated impact of AIDS on medical nursing care, treatment and service delivery mechanisms, client flow, and community linkages.

And lastly, number six, developing effective strategies
for increasing resources and improving other public support for
AIDS programming.

On the issue of planning, the Department of Health 16 Services is the State agency that has responsibility for master 17 planning as it relates to AIDS. We believe that that 18 responsibility is appropriately vested; however, we believe that 19 the Department of Health Services and the Department of Alcohol 20 and Drug Programs have and will continue to consult regularly in 21 increasing cooperative efforts to share information and creative 22 approaches. 23

In anticipation of the increasing problem to be presented by AIDS over the next five years, absent a cure or a vaccine, our two Departments will continue to work jointly towards finding common solutions to the challenges that lie ahead.

And lastly, we would like to thank the Committee for the opportunity to testify, and we think this, too, is an invaluable tool in facilitating progress on all of the fronts that I've touched on in dealing with this very important issue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

SENATOR SEYMOUR: I'd like to ask you a question relative to this last point, or the last question, and that is how should the prevention of AIDS be addressed in the statewide Master Plan to reduce alcohol and drug abuse in California.

If I understood your response, you indicated that your Department and the Department of Health Services are both charged with, your words were, "a plan." Could you share with us what your five-year plan is?

MR. BAYQUEN: Senator, on the issue of the five-year plan, as you're aware, that's an idea or a concept at least in terms of development of that plan that we have not supported that concept. I think if anything, quite frankly, that the example of AIDS has demonstrated, at least to me, that five years ago, AIDS was not as much of an issue. And today, it is very much of an issue, not only as it relates to public health, but also as it relates to drug abuse and IV drug users.

And I think that we, as a Department, need to identify 21 22 for at least the next 12-18 months what the pressing needs, what the pressing priorities are for the dollars for the resources 23 that we have available, and then to make sure that those dollars 24 are allocated, those resources are allocated throughout 25 California consistent with meeting the needs throughout the 26 state, be it in San Francisco, Los Angeles, or in the rural 27 counties. 28

SENATOR SEYMOUR: I don't want to put words in your mouth, Mr. Bayquen, and I certainly don't want to be derogatory in any fashion whatsoever, because I think your Department is doing an outstanding job with the resources they've been provided, but if I could paraphrase for you the answer you just gave me, it is your view, or your Department's view, that their responsibility is to prioritize funding, available funding, year by year to ensure that the taxpayer is getting maximum bang for the buck and those resources are being accurately used.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

But beyond that, you don't have a plan; don't intend to develop a plan.

To project where we will be, let's just take this particular issue, much less substance abuse, IV drug use and AIDS, to project a plan where we might be five years from now, and what it might take to address where we might be, you don't do that?

MR. BAYQUEN: That's correct, Senator.

I did outline some of my reasons for the Department not developing a plan. I think also in Irma Strantz's testimony earlier, speaking very frankly, that the issue of the federal funding, we have difficulty, and so much of the funding that is available in the area of alcohol and drugs, it is available from the federal government.

But we don't know, on a year to year basis, what additional federal dollars will be available, and it does become very, very difficult for us to develop a plan and a plan that makes sense. The \$18 million that I touched on that we've allocated to the counties that came to us under the auspices of the federal Anti-Drug Abuse Act, we don't know what's going to happen; whether or not there will be reauthorization. The reauthorization of the block grants is an issue that is the subject of great debate in Congress at this time, and the issue of equity funding between all of the States of the Union: whether or not New York will lose money; whether or not Texas will gain money; whether or not California will maintain the status quo or move forward or move back. And we just don't know. It's very difficult, and it's very difficult in the absence of that type of knowledge to develop a plan that you're looking for, I believe.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

28

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Well, I certainly respect that position, and I understand all those unknowns and what that means.

On the other hand, and this is just my opinion, Mr. 17 Bayquen, despite all the unknowns, despite the rapidly changing 18 environment, it seems to me only intelligent if you're going to 19 use, if we are going to use an intelligent process for attacking 20 substance abuse, in this case IV drug use and AIDS, somebody's 21 got to have the courage to sit down and look into the future, as 22 23 cloudy as that future might be, and to the best of their ability estimate where we're going to be in the status of the problem. 24 And having done that, assuming we're as correct as we can be, 25 then devise a plan and make the commitment to achieve the plan, 26 knowing full well that any plan is not laid in concrete and needs 27

to be flexible so that you could change it, amend it, as you progress. But nevertheless, you've got common goals that you've agreed to.

In any event, I appreciate your being with us today, as you have in the past, and appreciate all the fine work your Department does.

MR. BAYQUEN: Thank you very much, sir.

8 SENATOR SEYMOUR: Our next witness is Dr. Neil Schram, 9 who is a practitioner of internal medicine and nephrologist. 10 Thank you, Dr. Schram, for being here today.

DR. SCHRAM: Thank you.

7

11

28

Mr. Chairman, Members of the Committee, my name is Neil Schram. I am the former Chair of the Los Angeles City/County AIDS Task Force, which was in existence from September, 1984 through May, 1987. And I appreciate you inviting me to testify before you today.

I am certain you have already heard a great deal about 17 IV drug users and AIDS. You have undoubtedly heard that most IV 18 drug users with AIDS are Black or Latino. You have heard that 42 19 percent of Blacks and Latinos with AIDS are IV drug users. 20You have heard that most of the heterosexual spread of AIDS is from 21 IV drug users to their sexual partners. You have heard that most 22 children with AIDS are the result of one or both parents being 23 infected with the AIDS virus via IV drug use. Thus, you have 24 heard that to realistically try to prevent the spread of the 25 virus heterosexually and to unborn children, we must effectively 26 address the problem of IV drug use. 27

There are two important aspects of AIDS and IV drug use that are often overlooked. The first is that IV drug users not only infect their sexual partners and the unborn children, but they infect other IV drug users as well. In a 1986 survey in Los Angeles County of IV drug users, fewer than two percent were infected. That figure has risen to greater than four percent this year. And if we only talk about the problem instead of effectively dealing with it, when hearings are held next year, perhaps eight percent of IV drug users will be infected.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

We keep tracking the disease, and I think you just heard from one of the last two speakers that we will continue to keep tracking the disease in California. When will we start effectively trying to stop its spread among IV drug users?

The second and perhaps most important mistake is to assume that IV drug users are self-destructive, and therefore cannot be reached by AIDS prevention programs. That is not correct.

In a presentation at the Third International AIDS Conference in Washington, in June of this year, it was reported that in Amsterdam, where needles are exchanged, there were approximately 25,000 needles exchanged in the first year of the program. The latest year there were 600,000 needles exchanged.

There are continued reports of sterile needles being purchased by addicts in New York City on the street, which unfortunately are very often dirty needles in a new package.

Another excellent example is an article that I gave a copy to you from the <u>British Medical Journal</u> in September of this

In a report from three drug treatment centers in London, year. of 150 IV drug users who were educated and counseled about AIDS, 35 stopped injecting drugs, and 52 stopped sharing needles or equipment. IV drug users can be reached.

I

2

3

4

7

8

28

You've heard talk about prostitutes and IV drug use. 5 One other point that's been found with prostitutes is that they 6 often use condoms with their clients, but will not use condoms with their lovers. That again is something that counseling is needed to be changed. 9

I cannot say it too strongly: The problem is real. We 10 can either continue to talk about the problem and observe more 11 infections, or we can start spending major sums of money. We can 12 either try to pass punitive legislation, or we can start dealing 13 with the problem. You know the answers. They're to increase 14 treatment programs, develop better outreach programs, exchange 15 needles, not give away free needles, but exchange needles, 16 develop more counseling programs for IV drug users and their 17 sexual contacts, to promote low risk sex, and the stopping of 18 needle sharing. 19

We've known these answers for years. We just don't do 20 it. 21

Testing of IV drug addicts is not going to solve the 22 problem. We've already seen that just testing people doesn't 23 change behavior. 24

I'm going to give a sideline that's not in here just on 25 the results of testing, because I think it's important that you 26 understand it. There's a study going on through the National 27

Institutes of Health of hemophiliacs and their wives. Twentyfour couples, these are married, heterosexual couples. The men are infected and known to be infected. The women are not infected, and are known not to be infected. So, they're tested and they know the results. And 18 of those 24 couples continue intercourse without condoms. And the study that's going on is watching the wives becoming infected.

So I submit to you, Senator, the answer to this is not testing programs. The answer to this is repetitive counseling programs. And that will require large amounts of money.

Too many words have been written and spoken about this epidemic. Doing something effective for IV drug users as well as for the many others at risk is long overdue. We know the answers. Let's please start acting on them.

Thank you.

16 SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you very much, Dr. Schram. Just 17 one question.

Do you have any idea on a statewide basis, have you read, heard, discussed any figures, dollar figures, as to what type of commitment, financial commitment, would be necessary annually on the part of the State to address this issue?

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

DR. SCHRAM: No, sir. I don't know that anybody has.

I just, again, want to focus on what we're watching. In San Francisco two years ago, if I remember the figures correctly, and I haven't seen them lately, there were approximately two percent of IV drug users who were infected. In San Francisco, I believe that figure is up to around 15 percent. Los Angeles is likely to follow.

SENATOP SEYMOUR: We're very familiar, Dr. Schram, with 1 all the statistics. We've had plenty of those in the last two days, and I'm thankful for it. 3

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

27

28

We had a witness testify yesterday, and that's why I 4 asked the question of you. We had a witness testify yesterday 5 that a minimum investment, and it's looked upon as an investment 6 because it protects against the health of California citizens and 7 future citizens, and investment of \$500 for every man, woman and 8 child would be necessary on an annual basis. I think, if I 9 recall correctly, the witness that gave us that figure represented the National Disease Center.

Oh, it was \$5, you're right. It was \$125 million a year, and was that for all of AIDS, or was that IV drug users?

DR. SCHRAM: Sir, I believe that figure came from the National Institute of Medicine, the National Academy of Science, which recommended a billion dollars a year for education efforts.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: That's education only?

DR. SCHRAM: Education only.

What I'm suggesting, sir, is that there's a lot of talk about education being necessary for AIDS, and there is. But education alone does not change behavior.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Of course.

DR. SCHRAM: We have to spend lots more to change 23 behavior. 24

25 Very frankly, the figures are going to be astronomically high. 26

118

SENATOR SEYMOUR: But you see, Dr. Schram, this is what I have to deal with, and you have to help me. If you don't help me, or others who are interested in this, then we ain't going to get anything done. We're going to sit here in another hearing next year, nothing done.

Somebody on your side has got to say, "Here's what it's going to take." You just heard me raise the question to Mr. Bayquen about a plan. Now you're just saying whatever it is, spend it.

We don't know what it is, and we are accountable to taxpayers.

And I'm not saying we shouldn't commit it, but somebody's going to have to come up with an intelligent estimate. If it's five bucks per head for education, what does that mean, and what is it for treatment? What is it for research? Somebody's got to tell us that.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

DR. SCHRAM: Yes, sir, and I think --

SENATOR SEYMOUR: And somebody also has to provide us with information as to how we can then go back to the taxpayers with the reporting system that accounts for the investment of those funds.

DR. SCHRAM: What I'm suggesting, sir, is that there are programs that have been tried in New Jersey, in London, in Amsterdam, and they work.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: I understand that.

DR. SCHRAM: But they have costs attached to them.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Look, we're way ahead of them. We know that the State of California puts up 50 cents for every dollar in this country on AIDS. And that's not enough commitment. We need a greater commitment. But what is it?

1

2

3

4

6

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

26

27

28

DR. SCHRAM: What I'm suggesting, sir, is that I don't 5 know how much it costs, and I don't know anybody who does for going out and trying to identify every IV drug user in Los 7 Angeles County, let alone in the State of California. 8

After you identify all of those individuals, then you 9 have to try to encourage them either into a treatment program, or 10 at least into a counseling program. I don't know. It's never 11 been done. 12

Therefore, we can't tell you how much it's going to 13 cost. AIDS is new. I'm sorry. It doesn't fit into anything 14 that we've dealt with in our lifetimes. 15

We need new rules, and we need new ways of answering the questions your asking, but you, sir, have the money to do it. I'm only a physician in private practice. I haven't got the capability of trying to go out and answer your question, but it does need answering.

And I believe the State has the capability of trying to 21 find those answers and has to fund the program to answer your 22 guestion. 23

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Maybe what it all points to is the necessity of this Master Plan I keep talking about.

DR. SCHRAM: That clearly is an important part.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Dr. Schram, thank you very much for your testimony today and being with us.

> DR. SCHRAM: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

28

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Our next witness is Joe Arnold, who is the AIDS Research Coordinator for the UCLA Neuro Psychiatric Institute.

MR. ARNOLD: Senator Seymour, thank you for having me here.

I represent the UCLA Drug Abuse Information and Monitoring Project, which is funded by the State Alcohol and Drug Programs, under the direction of Chauncey Veatch.

Everything I have here on my notes was mentioned today, and I support them wholeheartedly.

I would like to, however, cover what I feel are the important issues that you, as elected officials, can deal with in your own consciences of what needs to be attacked first in this 16 epidemic.

Basically what can be done to reduce the spread of HIV 18 infection has been clearly articulated through the MidCity 19 Consortium to Combat AIDS, John Newmeyer, John Watters, and other 20 colleagues up in San Francisco. It's evident that a one-to-one 21 contact is necessary to have an effective -- to be effective in 22 23 reaching the IV drug user and educating them as to the risks.

One of my other functions was that I coordinated the 24 25 seroprevalence studies that Dr. Strantz mentioned. And in our data, it was clear that the IV drug user was relatively 26 uninformed about AIDS. Of course, they had heard about it 27

because of the media campaigns targeting the gay community; however, very few of them were aware that bleach was an effective method of disinfecting their rigs or their works.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I can only wholeheartedly support that a similar campaign be launched in Los Angeles County similar to the San Francisco campaign, partly because Los Angeles County has the largest uninfected pool of IV drug users in the nation, and potentially, with the infection rate being somewhere around 4-5 percent now, and that figure has been validated by the UNITA study that Bob Batchy is coordinating, that Dr. Tennant was part of, however it unfortunately needs to be off the record that there is approximately 4-6 percent seroprevalence rate amongst IVDUs in methadone maintenance treatment, which would validate our previous study in residential, and we will get more conclusive evidence with this next study that is going on as we speak in methadone maintenance treatment slots.

Increasing availability of treatment slots would be ideal; funding is necessary. Mr. Veatch has advocated increasing treatment slots.

Of a program I'm not sure has been mentioned is the coupon program that is used in New Jersey. Was that mentioned yesterday?

SENATOR SEYMOUR: No, it was not.

MR. ARNOLD: Joyce Jackson is the researcher in New Jersey who coordinates that effort, and it is similar to the MidCity Consortium outreach in that it's canvassing the streets, targeting the IVDU who we can't reach in treatment because, as

Dr. Tennant mentioned, there are those that elect not to seek treatment. However, because they are on the streets and do exhibit more extreme behaviors, they are placing themselves at higher risk for HIV infection. So, that's the population we need to target the most, are the people on the street.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

27

28

This coupon program basically goes out and offers free coupons to the IV drug user who would choose to go into treatment if it were available, but because of financial resources, they can't afford it, or because there are waiting lists, they elect not to go into treatment. So there are people on the street that would elect to be involved in treatment, but because of finances don't.

The coupon program has been very successful. They've disseminated thousands of coupons and a high percentage, in the 70 percentile range, of the people have elected to come into treatment as a direct result of that.

A study by Mary Jane Creek in New York has shown that people who are in substance abuse treatment, overall, have a much lower infection rate for the HIV, and it makes common sense. If people stay in treatment, they shoot less often. It is true that people in methadone maintenance still continue to shoot drugs on occasion, but the methadone at least limits the number of needle shares that occur.

Our objective needs to be stop IV drug use. As we all 24 know in substance abuse plans, we're having a difficult time in 25 attaining that goal. With the AIDS epidemic, we have a window of 26 opportunity that is closing very rapidly.

I hope that John Newmeyer yesterday discussed his epidemiological curve, and with San Francisco now hitting actually the 20 percent range, they in some respects have lost the opportunity to further reduce the spread of infection. We in Los Angeles County have an opportunity, at 4-5 percent. We basically have just one year. When it hits 10 percent, then it goes off geometrically and we lose a major percentage of the IV drug users to infection.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

28

People have mentioned the Amsterdam program, and I know it's very controversial because it's a needle exchange issue. California is one of the states that does prohibit the possession of needles without a prescription. However, I think that the Amsterdam program needs to be monitored. At least it has shown that 600,000 needles were exchanged last year, and it has also shown that needle sharing has been reduced from 70 percent down to 15 percent in that one year. And again, needle sharing is the method of transmission that we want to limit at the most.

What can elected officials do? Basically don't mince words with these people. I understand the four and the six and the twenty-letter controversy, and I agree that six-letter words will work effectively.

But with the bureaucratic inertia in our system of getting things approved, it's very frustrating because we as researchers know that, for example, John Newmeyer's cartoon that shows the IV drug users -- did he show you that cartoon?

26 SENATOR SEYMOUR: We have a copy of a comic book as a 27 matter of fact.

MR. ARNOLD: There is just about a four or five section cartoon that's on a cardboard.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SENATOR SEYMOUR: I don't think we saw that, no. MR. ARNOLD: I could get you a copy of that. SENATOR SEYMOUR: We'd appreciate it.

MR. ARNOLD: It's very easy for the IV drug user to understand, partly because it's pictorial. We have to realize that a large percentage of the IV drug users are illiterate or have difficulty in reading traditional AIDS literature. This is a cartoon that shows two people shooting up together, but with the one drugee saying, "Wait a minute. I need to clean my works." And then they have a little interchange about, "Oh, do you think I have AIDS?" It's addressing that subcultural behavior that needle sharing is a bonding issue.

The needle sharing will continue. We can't stop that. But we can at least incorporate the cleaning of the rigs with this bleach. And as they have shown in San Francisco and New Jersey, that people are incorporating the use of bleach as long as they know that it works.

As was mentioned earlier, yes, people have bleach and alcohol and liquor in their homes, and choose not to use it, but that's only because they don't realize that bleach is such a quick and safe, effective means of disinfecting their rigs.

On the statewide plan issue, something that I foresee happening that could address your concern about what is the long-range goal, and your questions to Dr. Schram about what is the dollar figure that you need to support, so you can go to the Legislature and say, you know, X number of dollars will do something, will do the trick.

1

2

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I am involved in helping coordinate a statewide 3 conference amongst IV drug researchers who are involved with 4 John Newmeyer, John Watters, Harvey Feldman, Papernacky, AIDS: 5 who are all nationally known figures for their work in IV drug б use and AIDS. But to date, we haven't been able to get together 7 to pool or to brainstorm on what can we do in California. We 8 have an opportunity. We only have this much time left. So, we 9 are advocating a conference that I would like to solicit your 10 support, and the rest of the commission, either through financing 11 or at least your endorsements and perhaps tracking what occurs in 12 this conference. 13

We're slating it to occur in March-April of this year with a possibility of Prevention '88, which is funded by the Alcohol and Drug Program.

> Are you familiar with that? SENATOR SEYMOUR: Yes, I am.

MR. ARNOLD: That would hopefully -- it is on the drawing table, but we foresee it happening somewhere in the fall. So at the March conference and brainstorming, hopefully we'll be developing some sort of statewide plan that we could at least disseminate to the thousands of people that attend Prevention '88.

> SENATOR SEYMOUR: I'll be happy to endorse that. MR. ARNOLD: Great.

I know we're running late. We all know the issues that heterosexual cases occur because of IV drug use. There is a researcher up in Sacramento, Dr. Neil Flynn, that feels that up to 75 percent of the cases in California by 1991 will be caused indirectly or directly by IV drug use. And that figure is frightening. That's if we don't do anything today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

N.

Ŵ

28

Prostitution, we know that occurs within the IV drug using population. In our study, we found 80 percent of the female IV drug users engaged in prostitution, while only 4 percent always using condoms or having their partners use condoms. And 32 percent of the male IV drug users engage in prostitution with males or females, and only two percent report consistent use of condoms.

And then basically the role of all substance abuse. 14 Substance abuse acts as an inhibition reducer. A problem that we 15 see with heroin addicts is that when they are trying to get off 16 the drug or can't afford it, they turn to alcohol. Alcohol is a 17 more socially acceptable means of self-medication. They are then 18 back into the mainstream of society, interacting at parties, and 19 possibly infecting other people through sexual contacts. So, 20 substance abuse as a whole is a major problem for the HIV 21 infection. 22

I think that's about it. Part of my role as AIDS
Research Coordinator is to stay on top of what's ongoing
throughout California. There is some good research going on. We
have a computerized bulletin board called the Drug Abuse
Information Monitoring Project, a bulletin board, and it allows

anyone with a computer and a modem to hook into us free of charge to find out what the drug abuse and AIDS trends are. That also is funded by the State ADP, and we see an increasing role, particular to AIDS, as the epidemic spreads.

5

So that is my testimony to date.

6 SENATOR SEYMOUR: Thank you very much, Mr. Arnold, for 7 your testimony, and please feel free as you develop more 8 information that would be helpful to us to share that with us.

9

MR. ARNOLD: Okay.

10 SENATOR SEYMOUR: Obviously we are on the cutting edge. 11 We don't know enough about it. Learning new things every day; 12 therefore, the faster we can communicate with one another as 13 things new and different develop, the more effective we'll be.

MR. ARNOLD: Right. I need to apologize for Doug
 Anglin, Dr. Anglin. He unfortunately came down with the flu.
 SENATOR SEYMOUR: Hope he's better soon.

Thank you, Mr. Arnold.

18

17

MR. ARNOLD: Thank you.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Our final witness for the day is Dr.
 Leslie Rothenberg, who's the Director of Program in Medical
 Ethics in the UCLA School of Medicine.

22

Thank you, Dr. Rothenberg.

23 DR. ROTHENBERG: Senator Seymour, I've provided some 24 written testimony, and I know it's late in the day and you 25 probably want to get back to Sacramento. So, I'd be more than 26 happy to defer the presentation of this testimony and let you 27 just have it in writing, and respond to any questions later that 28 you may have. It's up to you, sir.

ł

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

28

SENATOR SEYMOUR: I appreciate that, and I'll take you up on your kind offer, Dr. Rothenberg.

While we have your expertise here, I don't know how long you have been with us this morning, but to whatever degree, might you have a perception or a view on what you've heard?

DR. ROTHENBERG: Well, I'm sorry to say I've only been here for about the last hour or so, sir. Just from your comments, I get a sense of what the earlier testimony may have been.

I I'm not an expert in IV drug use. I'm not an expert,
for that matter, in AIDS. I'm someone who works on ethical
issues that arise in the treatment of patients, and I happen to
be involved with a group of people who are taking care of AIDS
patients since 1981, including people who happen to be IV drug
users.

I've mentioned in my remarks that I think the most 17 18 crucial role that you may be able to play and which is very cheap, because it doesn't cost a dime in terms of money but 19 requires enormous potential political costs, is to provide 20 leadership in terms of your constituents and the state population 21 22 generally engaging in the rather easy practice of making moral 23 judgments about the persons who come down with this disease 24 process, instead of focusing on the virus and dealing with its 25 prevention.

I've taken the liberty of indicating that scriptural comment about "Let those who are without sin cast the first

stone," but I think it really goes beyond that. I understand why people are frightened, and they're not simply people who are outside the health care or drug abuse programs area. There are people within those programs who are equally frightened.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Ŗ

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

28

But the way in which we address these issues, and the tone of the public debate, is going to make a great deal of difference in terms of what you're going to be able to sell, in terms of legislative programs, as well as getting people to start thinking through these issues and not engaging in a lot of stone casting.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Dr. Rothenberg, you are absolutely right.

You know, the scary thing about the minority statistics, for example, provides those individuals who seem to approach things with a knee-jerk, Neanderthal type response all the time, those minority statistics just are really scary, because then I can see the potential for that type of reaction against Blacks, against Hispanics. If anything we can do, and you can help us, because you have a great, perhaps, greater credibility with the public than we do, but somehow together we have got to get the message out that we're fighting a disease, not a people.

DR. ROTHENBERG: Well, as a matter of fact, as I mentioned in my testimony, the problem in the Black and Latino communities is made even more complicated by the fact that I'm told that in those communities, AIDS or HIV infection is identified with homosexuality. And because of the strong negative feelings in those communities about homosexual or gay

sexual practices, the tendency is to abandon heterosexual IV drug users who come down with AIDS simply because they're identified as being homosexual by virtue of the disease.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

And I've suggested there may be a useful opportunity in involving religious leaders in those communities, while remembering of course that the largest number of persons affected with this virus in this state continue to be White or Anglo, and not to put the burden on the Black and Latino communities.

But it is a very difficult dilemma to talk about this candidly and compassionately without falling victim to the kneejerk reaction because I think everyone is frightened.

It's easy to understand that response, but it's going to mean that Master Plan or not, we're not going to get much public acceptance of the expenditure of funds, or the diversity of educational approaches unless people understand that this is a threat to all of us.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: Dr. Rothenberg, we will certainly review your written testimony with interest. We thank you for being so kind as to take the time. I apologize for the lateness of the --

DR. ROTHENBERG: Quite all right, sir.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: -- of the meeting. Again, my thanks. DR. ROTHENBERG: Thank you.

SENATOR SEYMOUR: With that, the meeting is adjourned.

(Thereupon this Joint Interim Hearing of the Senate Select Committee on Substance Abuse and Senate Select Committee on AIDS was adjourned at approximately 2:00 P.M.)

--00000--

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, EVELYN MIZAK, a Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing Joint Interim Hearing of the Senate Select Committee on Substance Abuse and the Senate Select Committee on AIDS, held on Wednesday, October 21, 1987 in Los Angeles, California, was reported in shorthand by me, Evelyn Mizak, and thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said hearing, nor in any way interested in the outcome of said hearing.

, IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this ____ day of November, 1987.

Shorthand Reporter