the way



Series P-23, No.152

Child Support and Alimony: 1985

(Advance Data from March-April 1986 Current Population Surveys)

U.S. Department of Commerce BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Acknowledgments

This report was prepared by **Ruth Armfield Sanders** of the Income Statistics Branch of Population Division. **Mary F. Henson** contributed to the preparation and statistical review of the text. The report was prepared under the general direction of **John F. Coder**, Chief of the Income Statistics Branch. Sampling review was conducted by **Louis E. Williams** of the Statistical Methods Division. Overall direction was provided by **Gordon W. Green, Jr.**, Assistant Division Chief (Socioeconomic Statistics Programs), Population Division.

Data collection was conducted by Bureau of the Census interviewers under the overall direction of **Stanley D. Matchett**, Chief, Field Division. Publication planning, design, composition, editorial review, and printing planning and procurement were provided by the staff of Publications Services Division, **Walter C. Odom**, Chief.

The Office of Child Support Enforcement of the Department of Health and Human Services co-sponsored the survey on which the findings in this report are based.

CURRENT POPULATION REPORTS

Special Studies

Series P-23, No.152 Issued August 1987

Child Support and Alimony: 1985

(Advance Data from March-April 1986 Current Population Surveys)

JUN 15 1989

ACQUISITIONS



U.S. Department of Commerce Clarence J. Brown, Acting Secretary Robert Ortner, Under Secretary for Economic Affairs

John G. Keane, Director



BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

John G. Keane, Director
C.L. Kincannon, Deputy Director
William P. Butz, Associate Director for
Demographic Fields
Roger A. Herriot, Senior Demographic and
Housing Analyst

POPULATION DIVISION Paula J. Schneider, Chief

en al mil

ENGITIEIUODA

SUGGESTED CITATION

U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-23, No. 152, Child Support and Alimony: 1985 (Advance Data From March-April 1986 Current Population Surveys), U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1987.

For sale by Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Contents

		Page
	oduction	1
Αw	ard and receipt of child support payments	1
	e deficit in child support payments	6
	ard and receipt of alimony payments	6
	ard of property settlements	8
	of description of the survey	9
	ised survey procedures	9
	anges in the April CPS Survey	10
Cha	anges in the March CPS Survey	10
TE	XT TABLES	
Á.		1
В.	Child support award status and inclusion of health insurance in award, by selected	•
C.	characteristics of women	2
D.	women	3
	by selected characteristics	4
E.	Child support payments awarded and received—women with children present, by selected characteristics for all women and women with incomes below the poverty level	
F.	in 1985 Mean child support payments to women due child support in 1985, by type of	5
	arrangement	6
G. H.	Award and recipiency status of women—alimony payments in 1985 and 1983	6
ı.	by selected characteristics	7
	women, by selected characteristics	8
J. K.	Receipt of property settlement by ever-divorced women, as reported in 1986 and 1984 Receipt and type of property settlement for ever-divorced women, by selected	8
	characteristics	9
ĎΕ	TAILED TABLES	
DE	TAILED TABLES	
1.	Child support payments agreed to or awarded, by characteristics of all women and women	
	with incomes below the poverty level in 1985	11
2.	Child support award status—inclusion of health insurance in award and reason for non-award, by characteristics of all women and women with incomes below the poverty	
	level in 1985	12
3.	Receipt of child support payments in 1985—women with children present, by type of arrangement	13
4.	Alimony or maintenance payments agreed to or awarded, by characteristics of all women	. •
	and women with incomes below the poverty level in 1985	14
5.	Property settlements following divorce—ever-divorced women, by characteristics	15

APPENDIXES

											Page
Appe	ndix A. Definitions and Explanations							• • •			 17
Appe	ndix B. Source and Reliability of Estimates										 19
So	urce of data										 19
Rel	iability of estimates										 19
APP	ENDIX TABLES										
À-1.	Weighted average poverty thresholds in 1985										 18
A-2.	Consumer Price Index (CPI): 1977 to 1985										 18
B-1.	Sample sizes and imputation rates										 20
B-2.	Standard errors of estimated numbers of women: 1985										 21
B-3.	Standard errors of estimated percentages of women: 1985										 21
B-4.	"a" and "b" parameters and "f" factors for calculating approx	dim	nat	e s	tar	nda	rd	err	ors	;	
	of estimated numbers and percentages of women: 1985										 22

Symbols Used in Tables

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
- B Base is less than 75,000.
- X Not applicable.

Child Support and Alimony: 1985 (Advance Report)

NOTE

The child support, alimony, and property settlement data as well as data on income and poverty status presented in this report, from the 1986 Current Population Survey (CPS), are the first estimates based entirely on households selected from the 1980 census-based sample design. By contrast, the data from the 1984 CPS, presented in the previous report, were based entirely on households selected from the 1970 census-based sample design. The change in the sample design and its possible effects on the estimates should be kept in mind when comparing the data from this report to data from previous years.¹

INTRODUCTION

This report presents information on the receipt by women of support payments following divorce and separation and of support payments for children of never-married women. The report includes information on both the award and actual receipt of child support by women on behalf of their children and on alimony for their own support. The report also provides additional data concerning receipt and type of property settlement for ever-divorced women.

The Bureau of the Census, under joint sponsorship with the Department of Health and Human Services, first conducted a survey specifically designed to obtain data on child support and alimony in the spring of 1979. The survey, with minor modifications, was subsequently conducted in the springs of 1982, 1984, and 1986 by the Bureau of the Census and sponsored, in part, by the Office of Child Support Enforcement, Department of Health and Human Services. Data from the earlier surveys were presented in Current Population Reports,

Series P-23, Nos. 112, 140, and 148, respectively.² Advance findings from the 1986 survey are presented in this report.

AWARD AND RECEIPT OF CHILD SUPPORT PAYMENTS

- As of spring 1986, 8.8 million women were living with children under 21 years of age whose fathers were not living in the households; 61 percent or about 5.4 million of these women had been awarded child support payments as of the survey date. (See table A.)
- Of the 5.4 million women awarded child support, 4.4 million women were supposed to receive child support for their children in 1985. (The remaining 1 million mothers did not have payments due them in 1985.) Of those due payment, about half received the full amount due. The remaining

Table A. Award and Recipiency Status of Women-Child Support Payments in 1985 and 1983

(Numbers in thousands. Women with own children under 21 years of age present from absent fathers as of spring 1986 and 1984)

	1985		1983		
Award and recipiency status	Number	Percent distribution	Number	Percent distribution	
Total	8,808	100.0	8,690	100.0	
Awarded¹Supposed to receive payments Not supposed to receive payments Not awarded¹	5,396 4,381 1,015 3,411	61.3 49.7 11.5 38.7	5,015 3,995 1,020 3,675	57.7 46.0 11.7 42.3	
Supposed to receive payments	4,381 3,243 2,112 1,131 1,138	100.0 74.0 48.2 25.8 26.0	3,995 3,037 2,018 1,019 958	100.0 76.0 50.5 25.5 24.0	

¹Award status as of spring 1986 or 1984.

^{&#}x27;See the section, "Revised Survey Procedures." For a detailed description of the changes to the survey between 1986 and 1984, see the section, "Changes in the April CPS Survey."

²For a comparison of the 1982 and 1979 surveys, and the 1984 and 1982 surveys, see Current Population Reports, Series P-23, Nos. 140 and 148, respectively, the sections entitled "Changes in the Survey."

- women were equally split between those receiving partial payment and those receiving no payment at all (26 percent each).
- The child support award rate reported in 1986 (61 percent) increased from that of 1984 (58 percent). However, the proportion of women receiving payments in 1985 (74 percent) showed no significant change from that of the previous survey (76 percent).
- Of those mothers awarded child support for their children, 45 percent had health insurance included in the award. About half of White mothers had health insurance included in the awards for their children, compared with only about one-fourth of Black and Hispanic mothers. (See table B.)
- Of the 8.8 million mothers with children present from absent fathers, 3.4 million, or 39 percent, were never awarded child support for their children as of spring 1986. About one-half of the women without awards reported that they wanted awards but were unable to obtain them. About 37 percent of the women reported that they did not want child support awards for their children. For the remaining mothers (about 15 percent), awards were either pending or another arrangement (joint custody or a property settlement) was made.³ (See table C.)

Table B. Child Support Award Status and Inclusion of Health Insurance in Award, by Selected Characteristics of Women

(Numbers in thousands. Women with own children under 21 years of age present from absent fathers as of spring 1986)

		Awarded child support payments					
Characteristic			Health insurance included in child support award				
	Total	Total	Number	Percent of total awarded			
Total	8,808	5,396	2,402	44.5			
Current Marital Status¹							
Married ²	2,322 3,045 1,363 2,009	1,904 2,492 587 370	818 1,255 224 90	43.0 50.4 38.2 24.3			
Race and Hispanic Origin White Black Hispanic ³	6,341 2,310 813	4,476 839 342	2,167 214 86	48.4 25.5 25.1			
Age							
18 to 29 years	2,887 3,614 2,307	1,288 2,547 1,561	501 1,142 758	38.9 44.8 48.6			
Years of School Completed							
Less than 12 years	2,230 4,176 1,653 748	1,009 2,645 1,169 573	343 1,207 539 312	34.0 45.6 46.1 54.5			
Number of Own Children Present From an Absent Father							
One child Two children Three children Four children or more	4,607 2,879 867 456	2,783 1,953 502 159	1,161 935 224 81	41.7 47.9 44.6 50.9			

Excludes a small number of currently widowed women whose previous marriage ended in divorce.

³Due to a change in questionnaire design in the 1986 survey, the reader should use care in comparing the data on reason for non-award from this survey with non-award data from the 1984 survey. For more details, see the section, "Changes in the April CPS Survey."

²Remarried women whose previous marriage ended in divorce.

³Hispanic women may be of any race.

- The mean amount of child support for all women who received some payment in 1985 was \$2,220.4 After adjusting for inflation during the 1983 to 1985 period, child support payments showed a decrease in real terms. (See table D.) During the same period, the average income of men increased, from \$19,630 in 1983 to \$20,650 in 1985.5
- The proportion of women that had been awarded child support payments as of 1986 was higher for Whites (71 percent) than for Blacks (36 percent) or those of Hispanic origin (42 percent). (The percent difference between Black women and Hispanic women was not significant.) (See table E.)
- Women with 4 or more years of college were more likely to have been awarded support payments (77 percent) than women with 4 years of high school (63 percent). There was also some evidence that women with 4 or more years of college (82 percent) were more likely to receive

Table C. Child Support Award Status and Reason for Non-Award, by Selected Characteristics of Women

(Numbers in thousands. Women with own children under 21 years of age present from absent fathers as of spring 1986)

			Not awarde	ed child support p	payments	
	e e e		Re	ason for non-awa	ard (percent)	
Characteristic						
	Total	Total	Final agreement pending	Property settlement or joint custody in lieu	Did not want award	Wanted but could not obtain award
Total	8,808	3,411	9.6	5.0	36.9	48.5
Current Marital Status ²						
Married ³	2,322 3,045 1,363 2,009	418 553 776 1,639	3.8 3.6 25.1 6.0	14.1 12.5 3.4 0.9	44.5 39.2 19.7 42.2	37.6 44.7 51.8 51.0
Race and Hispanic Origin						
White	6,341 2,310 813	1,865 1,471 471	12.5 6.0 10.4	8.5 0.9 2.8	38.1 34.4 31.4	40.9 58.8 55.2
Age						
18 to 29 years	2,887 3,614 2,307	1,599 1,066 746	14.6 6.6 3.5	2.1 6.6 9.0	35.3 41.0 34.3	47.9 46.0 53.2
Years of School Completed						
Less than 12 years	2,230 4,176 1,653 748	1,221 1,531 484 175	9.1 10.1 10.3 8.6	3.5 5.4 5.8 10.9	30.8 40.7 35.5 49.1	56.6 43.9 48.6 31.4
Number of Own Children Present From an Absent Father						
One child	4,607 2,879 867 456	1,824 926 364 297	10.6 9.4 5.5 9.1	5.4 5.7 4.9 1.0	40.2 34.1 30.2 33.0	43.8 50.9 59.3 56.6

Agreement not sought or reached because of inability to locate father, establish paternity, or some other reason.

⁴Mean income figures in the text are rounded to the nearest \$10.

⁶ Income figures for males can be found in table 12 of Current Population Reports, Series P-60, No. 754. Information on income of absent fathers was not available from the survey. If mean income of all males is used as a proxy for mean income of absent fathers, for a meaningful comparison to be made, it must be assumed that the average income of all males was also representative of the average income of absent fathers.

²Excludes a small number of currently widowed women whose previous marriage ended in divorce.

³Remarried women whose previous marriage ended in divorce.

⁴Hispanic women may be of any race.

payments than women with a high school education (74 percent).

- The award rate for never-married women (18 percent) was well below that of other women (74 percent). Also, nevermarried women who were awarded child support for their children were less likely to have health insurance included in their awards (24 percent) than were others (46 percent). (See table B.)
- Of women with children from an absent father as of spring 1986, about 32 percent (2.8 million) had incomes below

- the poverty level in 1985. (See table E.)
- About 40 percent of these women below the poverty level with children from an absent father had been awarded child support, compared with 61 percent for all women.
- Only about 905,000 women below the poverty level were due payments in 1985, and only 66 percent of these women received some amount of payment.
- The mean child support payment received in 1985 by women below the poverty level was \$1,380, about twothirds of the average payment received by all women.

Table D. Comparison of Mean Child Support Payments Received by Women in 1985 and 1983, by Selected Characteristics

- Characteristic			Percent change	
	1985	Constant dollars	Current dollars	in child support payments (constant dollars)
Total	\$ 2,215	\$ 2,528	\$2,341	**-12,4
	\$ 2,215	9 2,526	92,341	-12,4
Current Marital Status ¹				
Married ²	1,966 2,538 2,082 1,147	2,337 2,690 2,896 1,222	2,164 2,491 2,682 1,132	**-15.9 -5.7 **-28.1 -6.1
Race and Hispanic Origin		,, ,,		
White	2,294 1,754 2,011	2,672 1,582 1,986	2,475 1,465 1,839	**-14.1 10.9 1.3
Age				
18 to 29 years	1,467 2,397 2,552	1,860 2,544 3,205	1,723 2,356 2,968	*-21.1 -5.8 **-20.4
Years of School Completed				
Less than 12 years	1,835 2,040 2,447 2,978	1,657 2,331 2,518 4,446	1,535 2,159 2,332 4,118	10.7 **-12.5 -2.8 **-33.0
Number of Own Children Present From an Absent Father				
One child	1,679 2,597 2,800 3,739	1,921 3,004 3,178 4,001	1,779 2,782 2,943 3,705	**-12.6 *-13.5 -11.9 -6.5

^{*}Significant between the 90- and 95-percent confidence levels.

^{**}Significant at the 95-percent confidence level.

¹Excludes a small number of currently widowed women whose previous marriage ended in divorce.

²Remarried women whose previous marriage ended in divorce.

³Hispanic women may be of any race.

Table E. Child Support Payments Awarded and Received-Women With Children Present, by Selected Characteristics for All Women and Women With Incomes Below the Poverty Level in 1985

(Numbers in thousands. Women with own children under 21 years of age present from absent fathers as of spring 1986)

			Suppos	ed to receive child	support in 1985	
		D		Actually receive	d child support i	n 1985
Characteristic	Total	Percent awarded child support payments ¹	Total	Percent	Mean child support	Mear tota money income
				-, *		
ALL WOMEN Total	8,808	61.3	4,381	74.0	\$2,215	\$14,776
Current Marital Status						
Married ² Divorced Separated Vidowed ³ Viewer married	2,322 3,045 1,363 69 2,009	82.0 81.8 43.1 (B) 18.4	1,416 2,179 453 30 303	68.5 75.1 84.3 (B) 76.2	1,966 2,538 2,082 (B) 1,147	13,512 16,778 12,642 (B 9,675
	2,003	10.4		70.2	1,147	3,070
Race and Hispanic Origin White Black Hispanic	6,341 2,310 813	70.6 36.3 42.1	3,651 657 282	74.6 72.0 68.1	2,294 1,754 2,011	15,052 13,297 11,505
Age 18 to 29 years	2,887 3,614 2,307	44.6 70.5 67.7	1,089 2,182 1,110	71.3 73.6 77.6	1,467 2,397 2,552	10,886 15,513 16,913
Years of School Completed					**	
Less than 12 years	2,230 4,176 1,653 748	45.2 63.3 70.7 76.6	750 2,152 1,003 476	67.1 74.3 74.6 82.1	1,835 2,040 2,447 2,978	9,144 13,577 17,014 22,639
Number of Own Children Present From an Absent Father						
One child Two children Three children Three children Four children or more	4,607 2,879 867 456	60.4 67.8 57.9 34.9	2,146 1,659 426 150	72.0 77.7 71.8 68.7	1,679 2,597 2,800 3,739	14,151 15,259 16,319 13,544
WOMEN WITH INCOMES BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL IN 1985						
Total	2,797	40.4	905	65.7	\$1,383	\$5,130
Current Marital Status						
Married²	180 795 646 18 1,159	67.2 71.9 34.2 (B) 17.9	81 499 158 4 163	61.7 60.3 73.4 (B) 75.5	(B) 1,522 1,503 (B) 900	(B 5,295 4,994 (B 5,450
Race and Hispanic Origin						
WhiteBlackHispanic*	1,569 1,190 414	50.2 27.1 24.2	631 257 74	65.1 67.7 (B)	1,463 1,085 (B)	5,009 5,403 (B
Age 18 to 29 years 30 to 39 years 40 years and over	1,419 920 458	33.1 52.7 38.4	358 405 131	65.5 63.7 73.3	963 1,674 1,649	4,853 5,634 4,473
Years of School Completed	•					
Less than 12 years	1,244 1.188 333 32	32.5 44.5 53.2 (B)	306 437 149 13	63,4 68.4 63.1 (B)	1,350 1,319 1,661 (B)	5,103 5,073 5,393 (B
Number of Own Children Present						
From an Absent Father One child Two children Three children	1,185 940 400	40.3 47.1 37.3	359 362 125	65.7 68.2 56.0	1,112 1,580 (B)	4,53 5,004 (B

B Base less than 75,000.

Award status as of spring 1986.
Remarried women whose previous marriage ended in divorce.
Widowed women whose previous marriage ended in divorce.
Hispanic women may be of any race.

THE DEFICIT IN CHILD SUPPORT PAYMENTS

 For the 4.4 million women due child support payments in 1985, the mean amount of child support (including the 1.1 million who did not receive any amount) was \$1,640. If the full amount of payment due had been made to all women, the mean amount would have been \$2,500. (See table F.)

Table F. Mean Child Support Payments to Women Due Child Support in 1985, by Type of Arrangement

(Numbers in thousands. Women with own children under 21 years of age present from absent fathers as of spring 1986)

Type of arrangement	Number	Percent distri- bution	Mean child support income received ¹	Mean child support income due
All payments ²	4,381	100.0	\$ 1,640	\$ 2,495
Court ordered Voluntary	2,751 1,441	62.8 32.9	1,345 2,187	2,393 2,706

¹Mean amount based on all women due payments, whether or not payments were received.

 Of the women due child support in 1985, 63 percent had court-ordered payments, while 33 percent had a voluntary agreement. (The remaining 4 percent had some other type of arrangement.)

- For women with court-ordered payments, the mean payment due was \$2,390, but the mean amount received was only \$1,350; therefore, women with court orders received only 56 percent of the amount they were due. In contrast, women with voluntary written agreements received 81 percent of the amount they were due, and their mean child support payments due (\$2,710) and received (\$2,190) were higher.
- The aggregate amount of child support payments due in 1985 was \$10.9 billion, but actual payments received amounted to only about \$7.2 billion. Thus, 66 percent of the total amount due was paid in 1985.6

AWARD AND RECEIPT OF ALIMONY PAYMENTS

- Of the 19.2 million ever-divorced or currently separated women as of spring 1986, 15 percent were awarded alimony payments. (See table G.)
- Of the 840,000 women due alimony payments in 1985,
 73 percent received at least some portion of their award.
- Neither the alimony award rate in 1986 (15 percent) nor the recipiency rate in 1985 (73 percent) showed a significant change from that reported in 1984.

Table G. Award and Recipiency Status of Women-Alimony Payments in 1985 and 1983

(Numbers in thousands. Ever-divorced and currently separated women as of spring 1986 and 1984)

	1985		1983		
Award and recipiency status	Number	Percent distri- bution	Number	Percent distri- bution	
Total	19,156	100.0	17,392	100.0	
Awarded¹	2,803 840 1,963 16,354	14.6 4.4 10.2 85.4	2,416 791 1,625 14,976	13.9 4.5 9.3 86.1	
Supposed to receive payments	840 616 225	100.0 73.3 26.8	791 608 183	100.0 76.∂ 23.1	

¹Award status as of spring 1986 or 1984.

Includes a small number of women whose arrangement type was "other," not shown separately.

⁶Aggregate child support payments due and received are derived from table 3. The aggregate payments due refer only to the total due for the income year 1985 based on the information reported by the women in the survey; arrearages are *not* included in the aggregate figure.

 The mean amount of alimony received by women in 1985 was \$3,730, not significantly different from the 1983 figure, either before or after adjusting for inflation. (See table H.)

• The alimony award rate for White women (16 percent) was

twice that of Black women (8 percent). (There was no statistically significant difference in award rates between Hispanic women and either White or Black women.) (See table I.)

Table H. Comparison of Mean Alimony Payments Received by Women in 1985 and 1983, by Selected Characteristics

	Mean a				
Characteristic		1983		Percent	
	1985	Constant dollars	Current dollars	change in alimony payments (constant dollars)	
Total	\$3,733	\$4,293	\$3,976	-13.0	
Current Marital Status¹					
Divorced	3,975 3,083	4,805 3,491	4,450 3,233	-17.3 -11.7	
Race and Hispanic Origin					
White	3,858 (B) (B)	4,634 (B) (B)	4,292 (B) (B)	*-16.7 (X) (X)	
Age					
18 to 29 years	(B) 3,200 4,365	(B) 2,653 5,757	(B) 2,457 5,332	(X) 20.6 **-24.2	
Years of School Completed					
Less than 12 years	3,574 2,588 5,907 4,300	(B) 3,255 5,364 5,502	(B) 3,015 4,968 5,096	(X) -20.5 10.1 -21.8	
Presence of Own Children From an Absent Father					
No children	4,241 3,174	5,682 3,147	5,262 2,915	**-25.4 0.9	

B Base less than 75,000.

X Not applicable.

^{*}Significant between the 90- and 95-percent confidence levels.

**Significant at the 95-percent confidence level.

^{&#}x27;Excludes currently married and currently widowed women whose previous marriage ended in divorce.

²Hispanic women may be of any race.

Table I. Alimony Payments Awarded and Received in 1985—Ever-Divorced and Currently Separated Women, by Selected Characteristics

(Numbers in thousands. Women as of spring 1986)

			Suppos	sed to receive a	alimony in 1985				
Characteristic				Actually received alimony in 1985					
	Total	Percent awarded alimony payments	Total	Percent	Mean alimony payments	Mean total money income			
Total	19,156	14.6	840	73.3	\$3,733	\$17,781			
Marital Status¹									
Married ²	7,361 8,000 2,610	13.8 17.1 10.0	89 604 146	58.4 73.3 82.2	(B) 3,975 3,083	(b) 19,425 12,891			
Race and Hispanic Origin									
White	16,039 2,766 1,196	15.8 8.0 11.0	752 70 42	74.3 (B) (B)	3,858 (B) (B)	18,012 (B) (B)			
Age									
18 to 29 years	2,817 5,678 10,662	7.7 10.9 18.5	107 270 464	57.9 72.2 77.2	(B) 3,200 4,365	(B) 16,912 19,821			
Years of School Completed									
Less than 12 years	5,069 8,378 3,558 2,150	11.8 15.3 15.2 17.7	144 383 161 152	67.4 71.8 73.9 82.2	3,574 2,588 5,907 4,300	11,051 14,420 22,006 26,376			
Presence of Own Children From an Absent Father									
No children present	12,357 6,799	16.4 11.4	452 388	71.2 75.5	4,241 3,174	18,086 17,445			

B Base less than 75,000.

AWARD OF PROPERTY SETTLEMENTS

- Of the 16.5 million ever-divorced women as of spring 1986, 5.9 million, or about 36 percent, were awarded a property settlement, showing no change from the percentage reported in the 1984 survey. (See table J.)
- About 26 percent of women with a property settlement as of spring 1986 received a "one-time" cash payment as at least part of the settlement. (See table K.) About 22 percent received only a cash payment, while about 4 percent received both a cash payment and other property.
- Of women awarded a property settlement, about 25 percent also received some form of support payment in 1985.
 Of ever-divorced women without a property settlement, about 14 percent received support payments in 1985. (See table 5.)

• The average total income of women with property settlements (\$14,970) was higher than those without settlements (\$11,850).

Table J. Receipt of Property Settlement by Ever-Divorced Women, as Reported in 1986 and 1984

(Numbers in thousands. Women as of spring 1986 and 1984)

Receipt of property settlement	1986	1984
Total	16,547	14,761
No property settlement reached . Received property settlement Percent receiving property	10,663 5,883	9,269 5,492
settlement	35.6	37.2

Excludes a small number of currently widowed women whose previous marriage ended in divorce.

²Remarried women whose previous marriage ended in divorce.

³Hispanic women may be of any race.

Table K. Receipt and Type of Property Settlement for Ever-Divorced Women, by Selected Characteristics

(Numbers in thousands. Women as of spring 1986)

	·		Pro	perty settlemen	t reached	
				Type of settle (percent)	ment	
Characteristic						Cash ¹
	Total	No settlement reached	Total	Cash¹ only	Other types ² only	and other types ²
Total	16,547	10,663	5,883	21.6	74.2	4.2
Current Marital Status		•				
Divorced	8,000 7,361 1,186	4,869 4,893 901	3,131 2,468 285	23.5 20.5 10.5	73.1 74.6 82.1	3.4 4.9 7.4
Race and Hispanic Origin						
White	14,408 1,832 866	9,078 1,373 619	5,330 459 247	22.1 14.6 19.0	75.2 66.0 76.1	2.7 19.4 4.5
Age						
18 to 29 years	2,046 4,950 9,550	1,535 3,106 6,022	511 1,844 3,528	24.7 23.2 20.4	73.4 74.8 74.0	2.0 2.1 5.7
Years of School Completed						
Less than 12 years	4,146 7,354 3,091 1,955	2,975 4,735 1,910 1,044	1,171 2,619 1,182 911	18.6 20.8 22.1 27.3	76.1 74.7 73.9 70.4	5.3 4.5 3.9 2.3
Presence of Own Children From an Absent Father						
No children	11,110 5,436	7,197 3,466	3,913 1,970	21.3 22.3	73.8 75.0	5.0 2.7

¹A one-time cash settlement.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SURVEY

The data on child support and alimony were collected in a special supplement to the April 1986 Current Population Survey (CPS). All women 18 years of age and older were within the universe for the supplemental questions. The presence of own children under 21 years of age whose father did not live in the same household determined whether or not a woman was eligible for the questions on child support. Marital status and divorce history determined whether or not a woman was eligible for the questions on alimony and property settlements. The supplemental questions were placed on the April CPS so that this information could be combined with information previously collected in the March CPS on annual work experience, income, and poverty status.

REVISED SURVEY PROCEDURES

The note at the beginning of this report indicates a revision to survey procedures for the CPS. This revision was the change from the 1970 sample design to a sample design based on the 1980 census.

During the period from April 1984 through June 1985, the Bureau of the Census systematically introduced a new sample design for the Current Population Survey. The purposes of this new sample design were to update the sampling frame to the 1980-census base, to improve survey efficiency, and to improve the quality of the survey estimates. The new sample design may have small effects on estimates of income, including income from child support and alimony payments.

²Other property (e.g., house, other real estate, cars, or furnishing).

³Remarried women whose previous marriage ended in divorce.

^{*}Widowed women whose previous marriage ended in divorce.

⁵Hispanic women may be of any race.

CHANGES IN THE APRIL CPS SURVEY

In an attempt to improve reporting and the useability of the data, a minor modification was made to one question from the previous survey. (See Current Population Reports, Series P-23, No. 148, appendix C.)

The change was made to the item which ascertains the reason for non-award for those women with children present from absent fathers who were not awarded child support for their children. In the previous survey, women interviewed were asked to indicate the reason for non-award, either by specifying one of the six response categories or by indicating there was "some other reason." In the 1984 survey, if the respondent indicated that there was "some other reason," she was not asked to specify what that reason was. A substantial portion of those responding to this item simply indicated that there was "some other reason" for non-award.

For the 1986 CPS, the same individual response categories from the 1984 CPS were retained; however, the requirement was added that when a respondent answered "some other reason," the interviewer was to ask the respondent to specify what that reason was.

Likely as a result of the change, a lower proportion of women interviewed in 1986 responded that the reason for non-award

was "other" than did those interviewed in 1984. The proportion of women identifying one of the six specified categories as the reason for non-award was thereby increased from that of the previous survey. Thus, when comparing the data from 1986 on reason for non-award to the data collected in 1984, the reader should keep in mind the effect on the data of the change in the wording of that item.

CHANGES IN THE MARCH CPS SURVEY

The Census Bureau made a revision to the March CPS income supplement questionnaire in an effort to adapt to continually rising levels of annual income. Beginning with the March 1986 CPS, the question which covers the amount of earnings received from the employer or own business for which the respondent worked the longest during the previous calendar year was modified to permit coding of earnings amounts to a maximum of \$299,999. Prior to March 1986, procedures allowed for coding of amounts to a maximum of \$99,999. This change in the questionnaire causes a break in the time series for some income measures. For detailed information on this change, see Current Population Reports, Series P-60, No. 154, "Revisions to the Earnings Question on the March 1986 CPS."

Table 1. Child Support Payments Agreed to or Awarded, by Characteristics of All Women and Women With Incomes Below the Poverty Level in 1985

(Women with own children under 21 years of age present from absent fathers as of spring 1986. For meaning of symbols, see text)

							Child s	support pay	ments					.: **
						Agreed to	or awarded						Not awarde	d
					Su	pposed to i	eceive payı	ments in 19	85					
Characteristic					Rec	eived paym	ents		Did not	receive pa	yments			n total income
			2.5		Mear money	total income	Mean inc	ome from support		Mean money	total income			•
	Total (Thous.)	Total ¹ (Thous.)	Total (Thous.)	Number (Thous.)	Value (Dol.)	Stand- ard error (Dol.)	Value (Dol.)	Stand- ard error (Dol.)	Number (Thous.)	Value (Dol.)	Stand- ard error (Dol.)	Number (Thous.)	Value (Dol.)	Stand- ard error (Dol.)
ALL WOMEN	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(==-/	, , , , ,	(/	\			(20.1)	. (1.10.0.7)	(20.1)	, , , , ,
Total	8 808 218	5 396 174	4 381 158	3 243 137	14 776 (X)	310 (X)	2 215 (X)	61 (X)	1 138 82	10 837 (X)	495 (X)	3 411 140	7 998 (X)	266 (X
Current Marital Status						, ,								
Married ² Divorced Separated Widowed ³ Never married	2 322 3 045 1 363 69 2 009	1 904 2 492 587 43 370	1 416 2 179 453 30 303	970 1 637 382 23 231	13 512 16 778 12 642 (B) 9 675	601 418 708 (B) 1 256	1 966 2 538 2 082 (B) 1 147	83 98 166 (B) 148	447 541 71 7 72	9 621 12 580 (B) (B) (B)	835 712 (B) (B) (B)	418 553 776 26 1 639	8 495 11 375 9 065 (B) 6 247	858 757 730 (B) 246
Race and Hispanic Origin					٠									,
White	6 341 2 310 613	4 476 839 342	3 651 657 282	2 722 473 192	15 052 13 297 11 505	338 898 1 226	2 294 1 754 2 011	67 151 327	929 184 90	10 854 10 477 9 430	543 1 350 1 819	1 865 1 471 471	8 746 6 969 6 308	416 322 662
Age 18 to 29 years	2 887 3 614 2 307	1 288 2 547 1 561	1 089 2 182 1 110	777 1 605 861	10 886 15 513 16 913	559 430 640	1 467 2 397 2 552	86 89 130	312 578 249	6 406 11 553 14 730	715 659 1 239	1 599 1 066 746	5 323 10 068 10 770	222 476 824
Years of School Completed		A .												
Less than 12 years	2 230 4 176 1 653 748	1 009 2 645 1 169 573	750 2 152 1 003 476	503 1 600 748 391	9 144 13 577 17 014 22 639	702 368 677 1 013	1 835 2 040 2 447 2 978	139 77 137 217	247 552 255 85	5 670 9 736 14 245 22 880	605 618 1 067 2 454	1 221 1 531 484 175	4 862 7 942 10 823 22 567	234 338 715 2 596
Number of Own Children Present From an Absent Father													•	
One child	4 607 2 879 867 456	2 783 1 953 502 159	2 146 1 659 426 150	1 545 1 289 306 103	14 151 15 259 16 319 13 544	456 497 982 1 064	1 679 2 597 2 800 3 739	61 104 239 615	601 370 120 47	11 367 11 327 6 515 (B)	678 958 866 (B)	1 824 926 364 297	8 466 7 556 8 287 6 151	353 407 1 325 563
WOMEN WITH INCOMES BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL IN 1985		•					1.							
Total Standard error	2 797 187	1 130 120	905 107	595 87	5 130 (X)	350 (X)	1 383 (X)	180 (X)	310 63	4 587 (X)	630 (X)	1 668 145	3 926 (X)	211 (X)
Current Marital Status	:													
Married ² Divorced Separated Widowed ³ Never married	180 795 646 18 1 159	121 572 221 9 207	81 499 158 4 163	50 301 116 4 123	(B) 5 295 4 994 (B) 5 450	(B) 460 835 (B) 709	(B) 1 522 1 503 (B) 900	(B) 268 414 (B) 295	31 198 41 - 40	(B) 5 023 (B) (B) (B)	(B) 686 (B) (B) (B)	59 223 425 9 952	(B) 4 146 4 335 (E) 3 770	(B) 610 446 (B) 254
Race and Hispanic Origin														
White	1 569 1 190 414	787 322 100	631 257 74	411 174 43	5 005 5 403 (B)	428 611 (B)	1 463 1 085 (B)	231 237 (B)	221 83 31	4 563 4 534 (B)	725 1 152 (B)	782 868 314	3 969 3 900 4 266	343 260 683
Age 18 to 29 years	1 419 920 458	469 485 176	368 405 131	241 258 96	4 853 5 634 4 473	537 531 817	963 1 674 1 649	232 296 413	128 147 35	3 943 4 973 (B)	853 955 (B)	951 435 282	3 595 4 499 4 160	252 433 591
Years of School Completed					•					\-'	`-'			
Less than 12 years	1 244 1 188 333 32	404 529 177 20	306 437 149 13	194 299 94 8	5 103 5 072 5 393 (B)	572 523 833 (B)	1 350 1 319 1 661 (B)	315 247 480 (B)	113 138 55 5	3 979 4 760 (B) (B)	1 069 868 (B) (B)	840 660 156 12	3 610 4 199 4 455 (B)	293 320 809 (B)
Number of Own Children Present From an Absent Father									,	,,	,-,			,_,
One child	1 185 940 400 272	478 443 149 60	359 362 125 59	236 247 70 41	4 531 5 004 (B) (B)	513 536 (B) (B)	1 112 1 580 (B) (B)	234 289 (B) (B)	122 115 55 18	3 606 5 093 (B) (B)	1 041 943 (B) (B)	707 497 252 212	3 336 4 131 4 329 4 937	291 369 550 706

¹includes a small number of women who were not supposed to receive payments in 1985. ²Remarried women whose previous marriage ended in divorce. ³Widowed women whose previous marriage ended in divorce. ⁴Hispanic women may be of any race.

Table 2. Child Support Award Status-Inclusion of Health Insurance in Award and Reason for Non-Award, by Characteristics of All Women and Women With Incomes Below the Poverty Level in 1985

(Numbers in thousands. Women with own children under 21 years of age present from absent fathers as of spring 1986. For meaning of symbols, see text)

			Awarded					Not a	warded			
								Reas	son for non-a	ward		
Characteristic								-		Wa	nted award b	ut
	Total	Total	Health insurance included	Health insurance not included	Total	Final agree- ment pending	Property settle- ment in lieu	Joint custody granted	Did not want child support	Unable to locate father	Unable to establish paternity	Some other reason
ALL WOMEN										•		
TotalStandard error	8 808 218	5 396 174	2 402 118	2 995 132	3 411 140	329 44	68 (B)	103 25	1 257 86	1 075 80	109 26	470 53
Current Marital Status				Í								
Married¹ Separated Divorced. Widowed² Never married.	2 322 1 363 3 045 69 2 009	1 904 587 2 492 43 370	818 224 1 255 15 90	1 086 363 1 237 29 280	418 776 553 26 1 639	16 195 20 - 98	20 11 33 4 -	39 15 36 14	186 153 217 10 692	86 290 153 8 538	6 18 - 85	65 112 76 4 213
Race and Hispanic Origin											5.4	
White Black Hispanic ³	6 341 2 310 813	4 476 839 342	2 167 214 86	2 309 625 256	1 865 1 471 471	234 88 49	64 4 10	94 9 3	710 506 148	456 598 183	31 75 5	275 192 72
Age		. i				1.5						
18 to 29 years	2 887 3 614 2 307	1 288 2 547 1 561	501 1 142 758	787 1 405 803	1 599 1 066 746	233 70 26	15 53	34 55 14	565 437 256	454 331 289	54 39 16	258 120 92
Years of School Completed		ĺ		ļ								
Less than 12 years High school: 4 years College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	2 230 4 176 1 653 748	1 009 2 645 1 169 573	343 1 207 539 312	666 1 438 630 261	1 221 1 531 484 175	111 154 50 15	19 32 7 10	24 50 21 9	376 623 172 86	469 428 134 43	53 39 17	169 205 84 12
Number of Own Children Present From an Absent Father		.										
One child Two children Three children Four children or more	4 607 2 879 867 456	2 783 1 953 502 159	1 161 935 224 81	1 621 1 018 278 78	1 824 926 364 297	194 87 20 27	42 21 5	56 32 13 3	734 316 110 98	493 297 169 116	53 34 14 8	253 140 33 44
WOMEN WITH INCOMES BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL IN 1985												dia di
Total Standard error	2 797 187	1 130 120	418 73	712 95	1 668 145	174 47	13 (B)	31 (B)	490 79	661 92	64 (B)	235 55
Current Marital Status				<u> </u>			-					
Married¹ Separated Divorced. Widowed² Never married.	180 646 795 18 1 159	121 221 572 9 207	49 63 252 - 53	71 158 320 9 154	59 425 223 9 952	113 7 50	3 9 -	9 3 12 7	13 80 67 2 328	24 168 85 3 381	1 8 - 55	9 58 34 3 131
Race and Hispanic Origin		-	!				.*			. [
White Black Hispanic ³	1 569 1 190 414	787 322 100	348 65 20	439 257 80	782 868 314	115 53 31	12 1 5	22 9 -	245 242 90	245 409 142	23 41 5	120 114 41
Age					i		* 1	1		. [
18 to 29 years	1 419 920 458	469 485 176	170 199 49	299 286 127	951 435 282	128 31 15	- 1 12	18 12 -	291 128 71	320 192 149	37 23 4	157 47 31
Years of School Completed	-											
Less than 12 years High school: 4 years College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	1 244 1 188 333 32	404 529 177 20	124 213 64 17	281 315 113 3	840 660 156 12	85 74 13 1	6 7 - -	12 13 6	243 204 34 8	358 236 65 2	37 18 9	99 107 30
Number of Own Children Present From an Absent Father												
One child . Two children . Three children . Four children or more .	1 185 940 400 272	478 443 149 60	176 174 44 24	302 269 105 36	707 497 252 212	92 48 12 22	7 5 -	14 11 2 3	210 147 74 60	261 182 132 86	30 14 12 8	93 90 19 33

¹Remarried women whose previous marriage ended in divorce. ²Wildowed women whose previous marriage ended in divorce. ³Hispanic women may be of any race.

Table 3. Receipt of Child Support Payments in 1985—Women With Children Present, by Type of Arrangement

(Numbers in thousands. Women with own children under 21 years of age present from absent fathers as of spring 1986. For meaning of symbols, see text)

	Total due	payments			Amo	ount of paym	ents due in 1	985		
Characteristic	Number	Stand- ard error	\$1 to \$499	\$500 to \$999	\$1,000 to \$1,499	\$1,500 to \$1,999	\$2,000 to \$2,999	\$3,000 to \$4,999	\$5,000 to \$6,999	\$7,000 and over
All Payments¹										
Percent of Payments Received in 1985: Total 0.0 0.1 to 24.9 25.0 to 49.9 50.0 to 74.9	4 381 1 138 362 198 346	158 82 46 34 45	190 57 2 13	535 189 42 40 49	751 278 46 22 52	650 192 57 19 66	1 000 230 97 60 69	882 174 78 34 66	206 12 15 6 17	167 6 24 4 15
75.0 to 99.9 100.0 and over Mean Income from child support ²	223 2 112 1 640 51 13 753	36 111 (X) (X) (X) (X)	3 101 287 58 7 053	14 201 380 27 9 910	25 328 640 35 12 990	38 279 962 51	71 473 1 476 57	56 473 2 440 93	5 151 4 674 227	10 107 7 349 530
Mean total money income	905 107	XX XX	7 033 799 87 33	646 164 46	12 990 658 182 48	12 715 652 116 39	13 425 491 199 51	16 438 610 124 40	18 480 1 285 22 (B)	23 089 1 708 11 (B)
If Full Amount of Payments Were Received in 1985: Mean income from child support ²	2 495 50 14 616 267 801	8888	292 15 7 166 796 87	756 11 10 291 645 161	1 219 7 13 571 654 165	1 726 9 13 481 648	2 407 12 14 358 484 177	3 702 31 17 704 596 93	5 651 56 19 457 1 270	9 572 317 25 312 1 660
Standard error	101	× ×	33	45	46	37	48	34	(B)	(B)
Court-Ordered Payments										
Percent of Payments Received in 1985: Total .0. .1 to 24.9 .25.0 to 49.9 .50.0 to 74.9 .75.0 to 99.9 .100.0 and over	2 751 921 254 151 225 147 1 054	126 74 39 30 37 30 79	128 39 2 13 13 3 56	365 145 26 30 40 12 112	460 224 32 14 34 15 141	466 165 44 16 51 23 167	610 191 66 40 44 39 230	523 146 49 26 28 47 228	104 4 14 6 11 3 65	95 6 20 4 5 5 5
Mean income from child support2 dollars. Standard error dollars. Mean total money income dollars. Standard error dollars.	1 345 60 13 192 326	XXXX	247 68 6 829 1 031	352 33 10 679 818	480 43 12 224 859	837 60 12 222 614	1 237 74 12 370 651	2 099 124 16 567 748	4 386 362 20 550 2 249	6 536 724 19 473 1 700
Number below poverty level	585 86	(X)	56 (B)	113 38	102 36	82 32	139 42	69 (B)	12 (B)	11 (B)
If Full Amount of Payments Were Received in 1985: Mean income from child support ²	2 393 61 14 247 327	8888	287 18 6 951 1 029	762 13 11 098 818	1 216 9 12 960 856	1 735 11 13 120 609	2 393 15 13 528 640	3 683 37 18 158 724	5 748 82 21 912 2 199	9 648 388 22 585 1 694
Number below poverty levelStandard error	511 : 81	(X)	56 (B)	110 38	85 33	74 (B)	125 40	51 (B)	9 (B)	(B)
Voluntary Written Agreements										•
Percent of Payments Received in 1985: Total 0.0 0.1 to 24.9 25.0 to 49.9 50.0 to 74.9 75.0 to 99.9 100.0 and over	1 441 184 94 38 106 75 945	92 33 24 (B) 25 21 75	56 11 - - - 45	128 28 16 10 7 7 2 65	246 51 11 6 16 10 153	173 27 13 - 15 15 15 103	352 31 32 14 23 31 223	325 28 18 8 9 227	101 8 - 6 2 85	60 -4 -5 5 5 45
Mean income from child support2 dollars Standard error dollars Mean total money income dollars Standard error dollars	2 187 93 14 900 477	XXXX	(B) (B) (B)	432 50 9 500 1 222	876 56 14 477 1 096	1 267 89 14 194 1 771	1 883 80 15 302 730	3 011 133 15 794 1 035	4 992 260 16 425 1 110	(B) (B) (B)
Number below poverty level	255 57	(X) (X)	24 (B)	26 (B)	64 (B)	34 (B)	49 (B)	46 (B)	10 (B)	(B)
If Full Amount of Payments Were Received in 1985: Mean income from child support ²	2 706 88 15 428 477	8888	(B) (B) (B)	751 21 9 818 1 203	1 228 13 14 832 1 092	1 707 19 14 640 1 769	2 433 19 15 853 733	3 752 56 16 536 1 020	5 554 72 16 987 1 110	(B) (B) (B)
Number below poverty level	226 54	(X)	24 (B)	26 (B)	64 (B)	30 (B)	41 (B)	34 (B)	5 (B)	(B)

¹Includes a small number of women whose arrangement type was 'other,' not shown separately. ²Mean amount based on all women due payments, whether or not payments were received.

Table 4. Alimony or Maintenance Payments Agreed to or Awarded, by Characteristics of All Women and Women With Incomes Below the Poverty Level in 1985

(Ever-divorced or currently separated women as of spring 1986. For meaning of symbols, see text)

					<u></u>		Alimony or r	naintenanc	e payments					
						Agreed to	or awarded						Not awarde	d ·
	'				Su	pposed to r	eceive payr	nents in 19	85					
Characteristic		. '			Rece	eived paym	ents		Did not	receive pa	yments		Mear	total income
	!	. 1			Mean money		Mean inco alimony			Mean money			illoney	
	Total (Thous.)	Total ¹ (Thous.)	Total (Thous.)	Number (Thous.)	Value (Dol.)	Stand- ard error (Dol.)	Value (Dol.)	Stand- ard error (Dol.)	Number (Thous.)	Value (Dol.)	Stand- ard error (Dol.)	Number (Thous.)	Value (Dol.)	Stand- ard error (Dol.)
ALL WOMEN														
TotalStandard error	19 156 300	2 803 127	840 71	616 60	17 781 (X)	943 (X)	3 733 (X)	294 (X)	225 37	11 262 (X)	1 096 (X)	16 354 283	11 736 (X)	144 (X)
Current Marital Status	į									-			· .	
Married ²	7 361 1 186 8 000 2 610	1 015 159 1 368 261	89 1 604 146	52 443 120	(B) (B) 19 425 12 891	(B) (B) 1 133 1 859	(B) (B) 3 975 3 083	(B) (B) 360 624	37 1 161 26	(B) (B) 11 811 (B)	(B) (B) 1 326 (B)	6 346 1 027 6 632 2 348	10 122 9 245 14 346 9 814	236 419 224 349
Race and Hispanic Origin	·				ĺ							:		
White	16 039 2 766 1 196	2 539 220 132	752 70 42	559 44 29	18 012 (B) (B)	1 008 (B) (B)	3 858 (B) (B)	316 (B) (B)	193 25 13	11 413 (B) (B)	1 203 (B) (B)	13 500 2 546 1 064	12 108 9 816 8 586	163 316 596
Age 18 to 29 years	2 817 5 678 10 662	216 618 1 969	107 270 464	62 195 358	(B) 16 912 19 821	(B) 1 620 1 287	(B) 3 200 4 365	(B) 447 423	45 75 106	(B) 11 178 13 685	(B) 1 668 1 737	2 601 5 060 8 693	8 918 13 524 11 538	270 273 200
Years of School Completed				!										
Less than 12 years	5 069 8 378 3 558 2 150	600 1 280 542 381	144 383 161 152	97 275 119 125	11 051 14 420 22 006 26 376	1 411 1 164 1 860 2 711	3 574 2 588 5 907 4 300	637 318 913 662	47 108 42 27	(B) 11 279 (B) (B)	(B) 1 464 (B) (B)	4 470 7 098 3 016 1 770	6 334 11 356 14 734 21 790	156 192 348 603
Presence of Own Children From an Absent Father					į									
No children present	12 357 6 799	2 026 777	452 388	322 293	18 086 17 445	1 379 1 271	4 241 3 174	450 361	129 95	12 085 10 142	1 507 1 559	10 331 6 023	11 471 12 190	183 232
Year of Divorce or Separation														
1980 and later	7 764 3 921 2 469 5 002	962 440 466 935	559 134 99 48	438 88 59 30	18 049 17 012 (B) (B)	1 094 2 255 (B) (B)	4 046 3 245 (B) (B)	375 587 (B) (B)	121 47 39 18	10 151 (B) (B) (B)	1 429 (B) (B) (B)	6 803 3 481 2 003 4 067	12 596 12 956 12 014 9 115	223 332 420 253
Work Experience in 1985			i		ĺ									
Worked at full-time jobs	13 047 10 177 7 701 2 869 6 110	1 903 1 454 1 103 449 900	619 455 325 164 221	463 331 233 132 153	20 933 23 952 27 341 13 354 8 245	1 122 1 325 1 529 1 662 830	3 834 4 006 4 332 3 404 3 425	346 447 554 454 553	156 124 92 32 68	14 531 16 752 18 152 (B) (B)	1 338 1 490 1 743 (B) (B)	11 144 8 723 6 598 2 421 5 210	15 017 17 168 19 239 7 265 4 718	175 200 218 217 156
WOMEN WITH INCOMES BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL IN 1985														• ,
TotalStandard error	3 716 214	434 74	175 47	108 37	5 262 (X)	780 (X)	1 452 (X)	557 (X)	66 (B)	(B) (X)	(B) (X)	3 281 201	3 625 (X)	160 (X)
Current Marital Status	:				` '	, ,	, ,						`	
Married ²	524 309 1 800 1 082	55 39 247 93	3 1 110 61	2 - 62 44	(B) (B) (B)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(B) (B) (B)	1 1 48 17	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(B) (B) (B)	470 270 1 553 989	1 900 3 917 3 962 3 835	475 324 219 290
Race and Hispanic Origin					.			1						
White	2 670 973 410	378 49 35	152 21 9	97 11 9	5 301 (B) (B)	859 (B) (B)	1 485 (B) (B)	610 (B) (B)	55 10 1	(B) (B) (B)	(B) (B) (B)	2 292 924 376	3 516 3 877 4 076	195 275 645
Age														
18 to 29 years	851 1 090 1 774	86 111 237	55 65 54	33 45 30	(B) (B) (B)	(B) (B)	(B) (B) (B)	(B) (B)	22 20 24	(B) (B) (B)	(B) (B) (B)	765 979 1 537	3 476 4 229 3 314	310 330 215
Presence of Own Children From an Absent Father						·		<u></u>		_				
No children present One child or more present	2 077 1 638	266 168	72 103	41 67	(B) (8)	(8)	(B) (B)	(B) (B)	31 36	(B) (B)	(B)	1 811 1 470	2 999 4 395	183 260

Includes women who were not supposed to receive payments in 1985. Remarried women whose previous marriage ended in divorce. Wildowed women whose previous marriage ended in divorce. Hispanic women may be of any race,

Table 5. Property Settlements Following Divorce—Ever-Divorced Women, by Characteristics

(Numbers in thousands. Women as of spring 1986. For meaning of symbols, see text)

			No settleme	ent reached				Property	settlement	reached		
				Received				Received		Type of settlement		
Characteristic	Total	Total ¹	Child support only	Alimony only	Child support and alimony	Total ¹	Child support only	Alimony only	Child support and alimony	Cash² only	Other types ³ only	Cash ² and other types ³
Total Standard error	16 547 284	10 663 237	1 352 89	60 (B)	55 (B)	5 883 181	1 107 81	264 40	116 26	1 272 87	4 363 157	248 38
Current Marital Status			,									
Divorced	8 000 7 361 1 186	4 869 4 893 901	831 508 12	55 5 -	49 6 -	3 131 2 468 285	648 448 11	230 34 -	109 7 -	736 507 30	2 289 1 841 234	106 120 21
Race and Hispanic Origin												
White	14 408 1 832 866	9 078 1 373 619	1 179 160 64	42 18 3	51 3 5	5 330 459 247	1 014 73 61	249 6 ~	115 2 2	1 179 67 47	4 007 303 188	144 89 11
Age				*								
18 to 29 years	2 046 4 950 9 550	1 535 3 106 6 022	329 674 349	12 46	10 31 14	511 1 844 3 528	163 624 320	8 75 181	13 38 65	126 427 719	375 1 379 2 609	10 38 200
Years of School Completed										-		
Less than 12 years High school: 4 years College: 1 to 3 years 4 years or more	4 146 7 354 3 091 1 955	2 975 4 735 1 910 1 044	200 659 351 142	14 25 11 10	7 33 8 7	1 171 2 619 1 182 911	147 549 248 163	39 106 57 62	3 63 22 27	218 544 261 249	891 1 956 874 641	62 119 46 21
Presence of Own Children From an Absent Father										-		
No children present One child or more present	11 110 5 436	7 197 3 466	(X) 1 352	49 11	(X) 55	3 913 1 970	(X) 1 107	236 28	(X) 116	833 439	2 887 1 477	194 54
Year of Divorce												
1980 and later. 1975 to 1979 1970 to 1974 Before 1970.	5 900 3 640 2 287 4 720	3 642 2 289 1 470 3 262	816 366 135 35	20 15 14 12	39 13 3 -	2 258 1 351 817 1 457	653 277 117 60	183 30 33 18	93 13 10	558 334 197 184	1 605 961 570 1 227	95 55 51 46
Income in 1985												
Without income With income. \$1 to \$999 or loss \$1,000 to \$1,999 \$2,000 to \$3,999 \$4,000 to \$5,999 \$6,000 to \$7,999 \$10,000 to \$11,999 \$110,000 to \$11,999 \$12,000 to \$14,999 \$20,000 to \$4,999 \$25,000 and over	898 15 649 578 1 615 1 551 1 551 1 093 1 062 1 619 2 055 1 395 2 048	663 10 000 775 402 1 158 1 096 1 055 733 680 993 1 276 782 1 051	(X) 1 352 38 44 104 69 101 101 107 180 248 172 188	(X) 60 - 7 12 4 3 3 2 4 12 13	X55 18 453 1168.	235 5 648 317 176 457 455 487 361 382 625 779 612 997	(X) 1 107 26 32 88 76 95 53 61 122 217 155 183	(X) 264 7 2 15 15 28 21 18 22 30 84	(X) 116 - 2 3 - 11 9 10 16 24 13 28	41 1 231 65 32 84 75 89 66 76 168 174 182 220	171 4 192 230 140 360 354 383 290 282 424 424 591 406 733	23 225 22 4 13 26 15 5 24 33 14 24
Median income dollars . Standard error dollars . Mean income dollars . Standard error dollars .	10 667 196 12 973 150	9 405 227 11 848 176	13 871 511 14 993 460	BBBB	(B) (B) (B) (B)	12 911 300 14 965 270	15 011 659 15 739 556	15 352 2 053 19 811 1 650	16 420 1 863 18 688 1 642	14 295 521 16 127 591	12 409 381 14 642 311	12 325 1 105 14 623 1 344

¹Detail does not add to total because some ever-divorced women received neither child support nor alimony, not shown separately.
2A one-time cash settlement.
3Other property (e.g., house, other real estate, cars, or furnishings).
4Remarried women whose previous marriage ended in divorce.
5Widowed women whose previous marriage ended in divorce.
6Hispanic women may be of any race.

Appendix A. Definitions and Explanations

Child support. Data on award of child support payments were collected from women 18 years or older with children under 21 years of age whose father was not living in the household as of spring 1986. Information on recipiency and amount of payments was obtained from women who reported that they were awarded or had agreements to receive child support payments in 1985. For ever-married women, payment amounts were limited to only those from the last divorce or separation.

Alimony. Data on the award of alimony or maintenance payments were collected from women 18 years or older who were ever-divorced or currently separated as of spring 1986. Information on recipiency status and payment amounts was obtained from those women who reported that they were awarded or had agreements to receive alimony payments in 1985. The data are limited to payments received from the most recent divorce or separation.

Property settlements. Data on property settlements were collected from women 18 years or older who were ever-divorced as of spring 1986. For the purposes of this survey, a property settlement consists of a one-time cash settlement or other property (i.e., house, other real estate, car, or furniture) or a combination of both.

Age. The age classification is based on the age of the woman at her last birthday.

Race. The population is divided into three groups on the basis of race: White, Black, and "other races." The last category includes American Indians, Asian and Pacific Islanders, and any other race except White and Black.

Women of Hispanic origin. Hispanic women were identified by a question that asked for self-identification of the women's origin or descent. Respondents were asked to select their origin (and the origin of other household members) from a "flash card" listing ethnic origins. Women of Hispanic origin, in particular, were those who indicated that their origin was Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Central or South American, or some other Hispanic origin. It should be noted that Hispanic women can be of any race.

Years of school completed. Data on years of school completed were derived from the combination of answers to questions concerning the highest grade of school attended by the woman and whether or not that grade was finished. The questions on educational attainment apply only to progress in "regular" schools. Such schools include graded public and private elementary and high schools (both junior and senior high), colleges, universities, and professional schools, whether

day schools or night schools. Thus, regular schooling is that which may advance a woman toward an elementary school certificate or a high school diploma, or a college, university, or professional school degree. Schooling in other than regular schools was counted only if the credits obtained were regarded as transferable to a school in the regular school system.

Marital status. The marital status classification refers to the status at the time of enumeration. Women classified as "married" or "widowed" consist of those who remarried after having been divorced. Women classified as separated are either legally separated or otherwise absent from their spouse because of marital discord. Women in common-law marriages are classified as married, and women who are single or whose only marriage was annulled are classified as never married.

Year of divorce or separation. The year of divorce or separation reflects the year of marital dissolution. For women who were divorced or separated more than once, it is the year of most recent divorce or separation. For divorces that require a formal (legal) separation, the year reflects the year the actual divorce took place.

Children from an absent father. Children from an absent father are a woman's sons and daughters (including adopted children) whose father was not living in the same household. The questionnaire was designed to count children from an absent father only when at least one such child in the household is under 21 years of age.

Money income. Income distributions and income summary measures (such as medians and means) shown in this report are limited to money income before payment of Federal, State, local, or Social Security (FICA) taxes and before any other types of deductions, such as union dues and Medicare premiums. Total money income is the sum of the amounts received from wages and salaries, self-employment income (including losses), Social Security, Supplemental Security Income, public assistance, interest, dividends, rent, royalties, estates or trusts, veterans' payments, unemployment and workers' compensations, private and government retirement and disability pensions, child support, alimony, and any other source of money income which was regularly received. Capital gains (or losses) and lump-sum or one-time payments such as life insurance settlements are excluded.

Poverty definition. Families and unrelated individuals are classified as being above or below the poverty level using the poverty index originated at the Social Security Administration in 1964 and revised by Federal Interagency Committees in 1969 and 1980. The poverty index is based solely on money income and does not reflect the fact that many low-income persons receive food stamps, Medicaid, and public housing.

The index is based on the Department of Agriculture's 1961 Economy Food Plan and reflects the different consumption requirements of families based on their size and composition. It was determined from the Department of Agriculture's 1955 Survey of Food Consumption that families of three or more persons spend approximately one-third of their income on food; the poverty level for these families was, therefore, set at three times the cost of the economy food plan. For smaller families and persons living alone, the cost of the economy food plan was multiplied by factors that were slightly higher in order to compensate for the relatively larger fixed expenses of these smaller households. The poverty thresholds are updated every year to reflect changes in the Consumer Price Index (CPI). The average poverty threshold for a family of four was \$10,989 in 1985. Weighted average poverty thresholds by size of family are shown in table A-1. For further details, see Current Population Reports, Series P-60, No. 152.

Table A-1. Weighted Average Poverty
Thresholds in 1985

Size of family unit	Threshold
One person (unrelated individual)	\$ 5,469 5,593 5,156
Two persons	6,998 7,231 6,503
Three persons Four persons Five persons Six persons Seven persons Eight persons Nine persons or more	8,573 10,989 13,007 14,696 16,656 18,512 22,083

Computation of constant dollar means. The adjustment for price change was made by converting the mean income for women in 1983 into 1985 dollars on the basis of the change

in the Consumer Price Index. The indices used to make the constant dollar conversions are shown in table A-2.

Table A-2. Consumer Price Index (CPI): 1977 to 1985

(1977 = 100)

Year	CPI	Year		СЫ
1977	. 107.7 . 119.8 . 136.0	1982 1983 1984	 	159.3

Source: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

The Consumer Price Index (CPI) is an index designed to measure changes in purchasing power. While the CPI is a good measure of the changes in prices, it is not necessarily a good measure of the changes in prices faced by consumers of different characteristics and income levels. Furthermore, the housing component of the CPI has been criticized for contributing to unduly large increases in the CPI over the past several years. These limitations should be noted when comparing income figures adjusted to constant dollars using the CPI since the same index was used for all women and all income levels.

Underreporting. As in most household surveys, the estimates of the number of money income recipients and the total amount of money income derived from the March CPS are somewhat less than comparable estimates derived from independent sources, such as the Bureau of Economic Analysis, Social Security Administration, and Veterans Administration. The difference between the survey estimate and the independent estimate is generally termed "underreporting." Underreporting tends to be more pronounced for income sources such as public assistance and welfare, unemployment compensation, and property income (interest, dividends, and net rental income). Estimates of income from wages and salaries tend to have less underreporting than most income types.

Appendix B. Source and Reliability of Estimates

SOURCE OF DATA

The estimates of child support and alimony in this report are based on data obtained in March and April 1986 from the Current Population Survey (CPS) conducted by the Bureau of the Census and from supplementary questions to the CPS. The present CPS sample was selected from the 1980 census files with coverage in all 50 States and the District of Columbia. The sample is continually updated to reflect new construction. The current sample is located in 729 areas comprising 1,973 counties, independent cities, and minor civil divisions in the Nation.

In the March sample, approximately 60,500 occupied households were eligible for interview. Of this number, about 2,500 occupied units were visited but interviews were not obtained because the occupants were not found at home after repeated calls or were unavailable for some other reason.

Questions relating to labor force participation are asked about each member in every sample household. In addition, in March 1986 supplementary questions were asked about income, and in April 1986 supplementary questions were asked about child support and alimony for previously divorced, currently separated, and never-married women. The CPS has eight rotation groups being interviewed in a month. In March, all eight rotations were asked income questions. In April, only the six rotations that were the same as in March were used to identify eligible women for the April supplement. About 42,200 of the households interviewed in March were interviewed again in April. Women 18 years of age and older were asked supplemental questions regarding child support and alimony payments. Of the 43,091 eligible women interviewed in March, 37,671 were matched to women interviewed in April. Child support and alimony information was imputed for the other 5,420 eligible women. Table B-1 gives the sample sizes and imputation rates by marital status.

CPS Estimation Procedure

The estimation procedure used in this survey involved the inflation of the weighted sample results to independent estimates of the total civilian noninstitutional population of the United States by age, race, sex, and Hispanic/non-Hispanic categories. These independent estimates are based on statistics from the 1980 decennial census; statistics on births, deaths, immigration and emigration; and statistics on the strength of the Armed Forces.

The estimates in this report are also based on revised Hispanic weighting procedure for persons of Hispanic origin.

In previous years, the estimation procedures used in this survey involved the inflation of weighted sample results to independent estimates of the noninstitutional population by age, sex, and race. There was, therefore, no specific control of the survey estimates for the Hispanic population. During the last several years, the Bureau of the Census has developed independent population controls for the Hispanic population by sex and detailed age groups and has adopted revised weighting procedures to incorporate these new controls. It should be noted that the independent population estimates include some, but not all, illegal immigrants.

The estimation procedure for the data in the report also involves a further adjustment so that husband and wife of a household receive the same weight.

RELIABILITY OF ESTIMATES

Since the CPS estimates were based on a sample, they may differ somewhat from the figures that would have been obtained if a complete census had been taken using the same questionnaires, instructions, and enumerators. There are two types of errors possible in an estimate based on a sample survey: sampling and nonsampling. The accuracy of a survey result depends on both types of errors, but the full extent of the nonsampling error is unknown. Consequently, particular care should be exercised in the interpretation of figures based on a relatively small number of cases or on small differences between estimates. The standard errors provided for the CPS estimates primarily indicate the magnitude of the sampling error. They also partially measure the effect of some nonsampling errors in responses and enumeration, but they do not measure any systematic biases in the data. (Bias is the difference, averaged over all possible samples, between the estimate and the desired value.)

Nonsampling variability. Nonsampling errors can be attributed to many sources, e.g., inability to obtain information about all cases in the sample, definitional difficulties, differences in the interpretation of questions, inability or unwillingness on the part of the respondents to provide correct information, inability to recall information, errors made in collection such as in recording or coding the data, errors made in processing the data, errors made in estimating values for missing data, and failure to represent all units with the sample (undercoverage).

Undercoverage in the CPS results from missed housing units and missed persons within sample households. Overall undercoverage, as compared to the level of the 1980 decennial census, is about 7 percent. It is known that CPS under-

coverage varies with age, sex, and race. Generally, undercoverage is larger for males than for females and larger for Blacks and other races combined than for Whites. Ratio estimation to independent age-sex-race-Hispanic population controls partially corrects for the bias due to survey undercoverage. However, biases exist in the estimates to the extent that missed persons in missed households or missed persons in interviewed households have different characteristics than interviewed persons in the same age-sex-race-Hispanic group. Further, the independent population controls used have not been adjusted for undercoverage in the 1980 census.

In these tabulations, the nonsampling error due to imputing values for missing data may be particularly severe. This is because the imputation rates for divorced and separated women are over 20 percent. Imputation procedures are intended to testince nonsampling error due to missing data, but with such high imputation rates the procedures lose some effectiveness. Therefore, particular caution should be used in interpreting the data in these tabulations.

In most cases, the questionnaire entries for income are based on the memory or knowledge of one person. The most common respondent for this report was the female householder. The memory factor in data derived from field surveys of income probably produces underestimates because the tendency is to forget minor or irregular sources of income. Other errors of reporting are due to misrepresentation or to misunderstanding as to the scope of the income concept. See also the section entitled "Underreporting of Income" of an earlier report (Series P-60, No. 151.)

For additional information on nonsampling error including the possible impact on CPS data when known, refer to Statistical Policy Working Paper 3, An Error Profile: Employment as Measured by the Current Population Survey, Office of Federal Statistical Policy and Standards, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1978, and Technical Paper 40, The Current Population Survey: Design and Methodology, Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Sampling variability. The standard errors given in the following tables are primarily measures of sampling variability, that is, of the variations that occurred by chance because a sample rather than the entire population was surveyed. The sample estimate and its standard error enable one to construct confidence intervals, ranges that would include the average results of all possible samples with a known probability. For example, if all possible samples were selected, each of these being surveyed under essentially the same general conditions and using the same sample design, and if an estimate and its standard error were calculated from each sample, then:

- Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from two standard errors below the estimate to two standard errors above the estimate would include the average result of all possible samples.
- 2. Approximately 90 percent of the intervals from 1.6 standard errors below the estimate to 1.6 standard errors

above the estimate would include the average result of all possible samples.

The average estimate derived from all possible samples is or is not contained in any particular computed interval. However, for a particular sample, one can say with specified confidence that the average estimate derived from all possible samples is included in the confidence interval.

Standard errors may also be used to perform hypothesis testing, a procedure for distinguishing between population parameters using sample estimates. Tests may be performed at various levels of significance, where a level of significance is the probability of concluding that the characteristics are different when, in fact, they are identical.

To perform the most common test, let x and y be sample estimates for two characteristics of interest. Let the standard error on the difference x-y be S_{DIFF} . If the ratio $R = (x-y)/S_{DIFF}$ is between -2 and +2, no conclusion about the difference between the characteristics is justified at the 0.05 level of significance. If, however, this ratio is smaller than -2 or larger than +2, the observed difference is significant at the 0.05 level. In this event, it is commonly accepted practice to say that the characteristics are different. Of course, sometimes this conclusion will be wrong. When the characteristics are, in fact, the same, there is a 5-percent chance of concluding that they are difference between characteristics is between 1.6 and 2.0 times the standard error of the difference.

Note when using small estimates. Summary measures such as medians, means, and percentages are shown only when the base is 75,000 or greater. Because of the large standard errors involved, there is little chance that summary measures would reveal useful information when computed on a smaller base. Estimated numbers of persons are shown, however, even though the relative standard errors of these numbers are larger than those for corresponding summary measures. These smaller estimates are provided primarily to permit such combinations of the categories as serve each data user's needs.

Comparability of data. Data obtained from the CPS and other sources are not entirely comparable. This is due in large part to differences in interviewer training and experience and in differing survey processes. This is an additional component of error not reflected in the standard error tables. Therefore, caution should be used in comparing results between these different sources.

Table B-1. Sample Sizes and Imputation Rates

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Imputation	on
Marital status	Sample size	Cases	Rates
Total	43,091	5,420	12.6
Married or widowed Divorced		3,197 844	10.5 22.7
Separated	1,149	324	28.2
Never married	7,689	1,055	13.7

Standard error tables and their use. In order to derive standard errors that would be applicable to a larger number of estimates and which could be prepared at a moderate cost, a number of approximations were required. Therefore, instead of providing an individual standard error for each estimate, generalized sets of standard errors are provided for various types of characteristics. As a result, the sets of standard errors provided give an indication of the order of magnitude of the standard error of an estimate rather than the precise standard error.

The figures presented in table B-2 are approximations to the standard errors of various estimates of levels for women in the United States. To obtain the approximate standard error for a specific characteristic, the appropriate standard error in tables B-2 and B-3 must be multiplied by the factor for that characteristic given in table B-4. These factors must be applied to the generalized standard errors in order to adjust for the combined effect of the sample design and the estimating procedure on the value of the characteristic.

Standard errors for intermediate values not shown in the generalized tables of standard errors may be approximated by linear interpolation.

Two parameters (denoted "a" and "b") are used to calculate standard errors for each type of characteristic; they are presented in table B-4. These parameters were used to calculate the standard errors in tables B-2 and B-3 and to calculate the factors in table B-4. They also may be used to directly calculate the standard errors for estimated numbers and percentages. Methods for computation are given in the following sections.

Standard errors of estimated numbers. The approximate standard error, S_{χ} , of an estimated number shown in this report can be obtained in two ways. It may be obtained by use of the formula

$$S_{x} = fs$$
 (1)

Table B-2. Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers of Women: 1985

(Numbers in thousands)

Size of estimate	Standard error	Size of estimate	Standard error
10	5 14 26 37 52 74 90 103	6,000	125 134 142 150 157 164 170 176 187 196

Note: For a particular characteristic, see table B-4 for the appropriate factor to apply to the above standard errors. For reference, the standard errors in tables B-2 and B-3 were calculated using a = -0.000030 and b = 2,769.

where f is the appropriate factor from table B-4, and S is the standard error on the estimate obtained by interpolation from table B-2. Alternatively, the standard error may be approximated by formula (2), from which the standard errors in table B-2 were calculated. Use of this formula will provide more accurate results than the use of formula (1) above.

$$S_{x} = \sqrt{ax^{2} + bx} \tag{2}$$

Here, x is the size of the estimate, and a and b are the parameters in table B-4 associated with the particular characteristic.

Standard errors of estimated percentages. The reliability of an estimated percentage computed, using sample data for both numerator and denominator, depends upon both the size of the percentage and the size of the total upon which this percentage is based. Estimated percentages are relatively more reliable than the corresponding estimates of the

Table B-3. Standard Errors of Estimated Percentages of Women: 1985

Base of estimated		Estima	ated percentage		
percentage (thousands)	2 or 98	5 or 95	10 or 90	25 or 75	50
75	2.7	4.2	5.8	8.3	9.6
200	1.7	2.6	3.5	5.1	5.9
300	1.4	2.1	2.9	4.2	4.8
400	1.2	1.8	2.5	3.6	4.2
500	1.0	1.6	2.2	3.2	3.7
600	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.9	3.4
800	0.8	1.3	1.8	2.6	2.9
1,000	0.7	1.2	1.6	2.3	2,6
1,200	0,7	1.1.	1.4	2.1	2.4
1,500	0.6	0.9	1.3	1.9	2.2
2,000	0.5	0.8	1.1	1.6	1.9
3,000	0.4	0.7	0.9	1.3	1.5
4,000	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.1	1.3
5,000	0.3	0.5	0.7	1.0	1.2
10,000	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.8
15,000	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.7
17,000	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.6

numerators of the percentages, particularly if the percentages are 50 percent or more. When the numerator and denominator of the percentage are in different categories, use the factor or parameters from table B-4 indicated by the numerator. The approximate standard error, $S_{(x,p)}$, of an estimated percentage can be obtained by use of the formula

$$S_{(x,p)} = fs \tag{3}$$

In this formula, f is the appropriate factor from table B-4 and S is the standard error on the estimate from table B-3. Alternatively, the standard error may be approximated by the following formula, from which the standard errors in table B-3 were calculated. Use of this formula will give more accurate results than use of formula (3) above.

$$S_{(x,p)} = \sqrt{(b/x) (p) (100-p)}$$
 (4)

Here, x is the size of the subclass of women which is the base of the percentage, p is the percentage ($0 \le p \le 100$), and b is the parameter in table B-4 associated with the particular characteristic in the numerator of the percentage.

Standard error of a difference. For a difference between two sample estimates, the standard error is approximately equal to

$$S_{(x-y)} = \sqrt{S_x^2 + S_y^2}$$
 (5)

where S_X and S_Y are the standard errors of the estimates x and y, respectively. The estimates can be of numbers, percents, ratios, etc. This will represent the actual standard error quite accurately for the difference between two estimates of the same characteristic in two different areas, or for the difference between separate and uncorrelated characteristics in the same area. If, however, there is a high positive (negative) correlation between the two characteristics, the formula will overestimate (underestimate) the true standard error.

Standard error of a median. The sampling variability of an estimated median depends upon the form of the distribution as well as the size of its base. An approximate method for measuring the reliability of an estimated median is to determine a confidence interval about it. (See the section on sampling variability for a general discussion of confidence intervals.) The following procedure may be used to estimate the 68-percent confidence limits of a median based on sample data.

- (1) Determine, using the standard error tables and factors or formula (4), the standard error of the estimate of 50 percent from the distribution.
- (2) Add to and subtract from 50 percent the standard error determined in step (1).

Table B-4. "a" and "b" Parameters and "f" Factors for Calculating Approximate Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers and Percentages of Women: 1985

	Parameter	
Characteristic	a 1	f o factor
INCOME		-
Women		
Total or White	-0.000030 2,769 -0.000243 3,169 -0.000839 5,33	5 1.07
Families		
Total or White	-0.000028 2,52 -0.000212 2,75 -0.000731 4,64	5 1.00
Women Below the Poverty Level		
Total or White	-0.000141 12,83 -0.000985 12,83 -0.003404 21,62	4 2.15
NONINCOME		
Number of Women with Children Whose Fathers are Absent		
Marital status: Total or WhiteBlack	-0.000066 5,97 -0.000658 8,56 -0.002272 14,43	6 1.76
Selected characteristics of women: Educational attainment Employment Unemployment	-0.000034 3,07 -0.000025 2,29 -0.000032 2,93	4 0.91
Selected characteristics of families: Tenure	-0.000026 2,36	5 0.92

Note: The f factor must be multiplied by the standard error obtained in tables B-2 and B-3 for the characteristic of interest. To obtain standard error parameters by residence, multiply the above parameters by a factor of 1.0 for metropolitan residence, 1.5 for nonmetropolitan residence, 0.75 for Northeast; 0.92 for Midwest; 1.03 for South; 1.07 for West; and 0.91 for the North and West combined.

¹Hispanic women may be of any race.

- (3) Using the distribution of the characteristic, calculate the 68-percent confidence interval by calculating the values corresponding to the two points established in step (2).
- (4) Once the limits of the 68-percent confidence interval are computed, the standard error of a median can be computed by the formula

$$S_{\text{median}} = \frac{U - L}{2}$$

where

U = Upper limit of the 68-percent confidence interval.
L = Lower limit of the 68-percent confidence interval.

Standard error of an estimated arithmetic mean. The standard error of an arithmetic mean can be approximated by formula (6) below. Because of the approximations used in developing formula (6), an estimate of the standard error of the mean obtained from that formula will generally underestimate the true standard error. The formula used to estimate the standard error of a mean is

$$S_{\overline{X}} = \sqrt{(b/y) S^2}$$
 (6)

In this formula, y is the size of the base of the distribution, and b is a parameter which depends on the sample size, the sample design, the estimation procedure, and the characteristic. The values of b are given in table B-4. The variance, s², is given by the following formula:

$$S^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{C} p_{j} x_{j}^{2} - \bar{x}^{2}$$
 (7)

where \overline{x} is the mean of the distribution estimated by the expression

$$\overline{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{C} p_i x_i$$

- c is the number of groups; i indicates a specific group,
 thus taking on values 1 through c;
- p_i is the estimated proportion of women whose values, for the characteristic (x-values) being considered, fall in group i.
- $\overline{x_i} = (Z_{i-1} + Z_i)/2$ where Z_{i-1} and Z_i are the lower and upper interval boundaries, respectively, for group i. This is assumed to be the most representative value for the characteristic for women in group i. Group c is open-ended, i.e., no upper interval boundary exists. For this group an approximate average value is $x_c = \frac{3}{2}Z_{c-1}$