KENTUCKY UNIFORM CRIME REPORT-UNIFORM OFFENSE REPORT RESEARCH PROJECT:

AN ANALYSIS OF INCIDENT-BASED DATA

RESEARCH REPORT SERIES
NUMBER 21

てることに



KENTUCKY UNIFORM CRIME REPORT-UNIFORM OFFENSE REPORT RESEARCH PROJECT:

AN ANALYSIS OF INCIDENT-BASED DATA

Research Report Series: Number 21

Gennaro F. Vito, Ph.D.
Professor
School of Justice Administration
College of Urban and Public Affairs
University of Louisville

Thomas J. Keil, Ph.D.
Professor
Department of Sociology
College of Arts and Sciences
University of Louisville

Conducted by:

The Kentucky Statistical Analysis Center

Deborah G. Wilson, Ph.D. Director

Frederic J. Cowan

Attorney General

NCJRS.

OCI 24 1989

July 1989

ACQUISITIONS

AUTHORS' NOTES

The research team for the Uniform Crime Report/Uniform Offense Report (UCR/UOR) Crime Analysis Project consisted of the following persons: Drs. Gennaro F. Vito and Thomas J. Keil, principal investigators; Dr. Gerald L. Hutchins; and Stephen Holmes. The data for the project were provided by the Kentucky State Police. Major Mike Moulton and Gary Bush provided assistance with these data. Danita Klein of the Office of Computer and Information Services at the University of Louisville mounted the computer tapes and set up the computer accounts. Dr. Hutchins of the Urban Research Institute read the data and prepared it for computer analysis. Stephen Holmes drafted all charts and tables contained in the report. Drs. Vito and Keil conducted the computer analyses and wrote the final report.

118182

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this @pyrighted material has been oranted by

Public Domain/BJS
U.S. Department of Justice

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the control of the contr

This report is a product of the Kentucky Statistical Analysis Center.

Deborah G. Wilson, Ph.D. Director Office of the Kentucky Attorney General

This report was funded by the Bureau of Justice Statistics, U.S. Department of Justice, Grant No. JS-8-61-0600 439. Points of view expressed in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, the Kentucky State Pclice, the Office of the Attorney General, or the University of Louisville, its trustees, chief administrative officers, or any division of the University.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In this report, the Kentucky Criminal Justice Statistical Analysis Center (SAC) analyzes Uniform Crime Report/Uniform Offense Report data. Beginning July 1, 1987, the Kentucky State Police adopted a new method of crime data collection based upon a new statewide reporting format. This new system (see Appendix A: Uniform Offense Report) is incident-based. In other words, it contains information on the individual crime incident and, after an arrest is made, the victim, and the offender.

The primary objective of this study was to demonstrate the potential uses of these data for law enforcement agencies across the Commonwealth of Kentucky. The Kentucky State Police provided a computer tape of the UCR/UOR data for the period July 1, 1987, to December 31, 1987. These data were primarily from the rural areas of the Commonwealth but also included some communities from major metropolitan areas such as Jefferson County. Data for cities, such as Louisville and Lexington, were not included.

For purposes of illustration, we have divided the analyses into two parts. The first set presents statewide analyses for robbery and burglary. These analyses demonstrate how criminal justice decisionmakers at the state level can use these data to look at general patterns of crime reporting, arrest activity, and victimizations across the Commonwealth.

Our summary focuses upon several profiles generated from this study.

Statewide Results: Robbery--Offense Profile

The following profile emerged from the examination of the statewide robbery offense file:

- Hopkinsville (city) and Jefferson County were the sites of most robberies.
- Robbery was primarily an urban offense featuring a single victim.

Statewide Results: Robbery--Victim Profile

The victims of robbery were most likely to be:

- Males, whites, and single persons.
- Full-time Kentucky residents.
- There was a 20 percent injury rate among robbery victims.
- Robberies were most likely to occur in August and on Fridays.

Statewide Results: Robbery--Arrestee Profile

Persons arrested for robbery were most likely to be:

- Arrested in Hopkinsville and Christian County.
- Aged 20-29
- Whites, males, and full-time residents of Kentucky
- Persons who robbed one victim who was a stranger.

Statewide Results: Burglary--Offense Profile

Burglary offenses were most likely to be:

- Reported to Kentucky State Police Post 9.
- Reported in the months of July, October, December and on Fridays.
- Reported from rural areas.

Statewide Results: Burglary--Victim Profile

Burglaries were most likely to involve:

- Multiple victims.
- Persons aged 30-39, males, whites, and married persons.
- Households.
- Full-time Kentucky residents as victims.

Statewide Results: Burglary--Arrestee Profile

Persons arrested for burglary were most likely to be:

- Under 18 years of age.
- Males, whites, and single persons who were full-time residents of Kentucky.

The second set of analyses show how these data can be used at the operational level. Here, we focus on the burglary data and the police jurisdiction which had the most reported burglaries (Kentucky State Police Post 9). We then examined the pattern of activity in this area in greater detail.

The following profiles were generated from this data subset.

Jurisdictional Results: Burglary--Offense Profile

Burglaries in Kentucky State Police Post 9 were most likely to be reported:

- In November and December.
- On Saturdays.
- Between the hours of 1:00-3:00 a.m.
- In Pike and Floyd Counties and Prestonberg.

Jurisdictional Results: Burglary--Offense Profile

Victims of burglaries reported to Kentucky State Police Post

9 were most likely to be:

- 41 years old (or older).
- Males, whites, married persons, and full-time Kentucky residents.
- Households.

These profiles illustrate the difference between administrative and operational level data. In terms of the burglary profiles, the victims reporting to Kentucky State Police Post 9 were older than the general statewide group of victims, but there were several notable similarities. Males, whites, married persons, and households were the most likely victims both statewide and in this jurisdiction.

Offense profile contains the specific data most useful to operational personnel. Here, the patterns between the two levels are most apparent. Burglaries were reported to Kentucky State

Police Post 9 in November and December and on Saturdays (rather than July, October, and December, and on Fridays).

Used in this manner, the Uniform Offense Report data can provide information which can be valuable to officials at both levels.

This analysis is designed to serve as the starting point in the establishment of a long-term relationship between the Kentucky State Police, the Kentucky SAC, and the U.S. Bureau of Justice Statistics to analyze Kentucky crime patterns.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Appendices | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|---|---|-------|----|
| Conclusion | | | • | • | | 51 |
| Jurisdictional Results: BurglaryListing File | • • | | • | • | • | 35 |
| Statewide Results: BurglaryArrest File | | | | | | |
| Statewide Results: BurglaryOffense File | | | | | | 18 |
| Statewide Results: RobberyArrest File | | | | | | |
| Results of the Study | | | | | | |
| Methods and Procedures | | | | | • | 2 |
| Background and Purpose | | | | | | 1 |
| List of Illustrations | | | • | • | | > |
| List of Tables | | | • | • | | vi |
| Executive Summary | | | | | | ii |
| Authors' Notes | | • | • | • | • | i |

LIST OF TABLES

| 1. | Agency Code, Robbery Offense File | • 1 | 4 |
|-----|---|-----|-----|
| 2. | County of Offense, Robbery Offense File | | 6 |
| 3. | Age of Victim, Robbery Offense File | • | . 8 |
| 4. | Marital Status of Victim, Robbery Victim File | | 10 |
| 5. | Victim Handicapped, Robbery Offense File | | 10 |
| 6. | Handicap Explained, Robbery Offense File | | 10 |
| 7. | Victim Type, Robbery Offense File | | 10 |
| 8. | Victim Injured, Robbery Offense File | | 11 |
| 9. | Victimization Month, Robbery Offense File | • | 11 |
| 10. | Victimization Day, Robbery Offense File | • | 11 |
| 0A. | Victim Under the Influence, Robbery Offense File | • | 11 |
| 11. | County of Offense, Robbery Arrest File | | 13 |
| 12. | Age of Offender, Robbery Arrest File | • | 14 |
| 13. | Victim Offender Relationship, Robbery Arrest File | | 14 |
| 14. | Offender Marital Status, Robbery Arrest File | | 14 |
| 15. | Residential Status of the Offender, Robbery Arrest File | | 14 |
| 16. | Plea, Robbery Arrest File | | 16 |
| 17. | Finding, Robbery Arrest File | • | 16 |
| 18. | Jail Months, Robbery Arrest File | | 16 |
| 19. | Months Probation, Robbery Arrest File | | 16 |
| 20. | Victimization Day, Burglary Offense File | | 19 |
| 21. | County of Offense, Burglary Offense File | | 20 |
| 22. | Victim's Age, Burglary Offense File | | 23 |
| 23. | Sex of Victim, Burglary Offense File | | 23 |
| 24. | Race of Victim, Burglary Offense File | | 23 |
| 25. | Marital Status of Victim, Burglary Offense File | | 23 |

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)


| 26. | Handicap Explained, Burglary Offense File | 25 |
|-----|--|----|
| 27. | Victim Type, Burglary Offense File | 25 |
| 28. | Victim Injured, Burglary Offense File | 25 |
| 29. | Agency Code, Burglary Arrest File | 26 |
| 30. | County of Offense, Burglary Arrest File | 29 |
| 31. | Age of Offender, Burglary Arrest File | 31 |
| 32. | Sex of Offender, Burglary Arrest File | 31 |
| 33. | Race of Offender, Burglary Arrest File | 31 |
| 34. | Victim Offender Relationship, Burglary Arrest File | 33 |
| 35. | Marital Status of Offender, Burglary Arrest File | 33 |
| 36. | Residential Status of Offender, Burglary Arrest File | 33 |
| 37. | Offender Under The Influence, Burglary Arrest File | 33 |
| 38. | Plea, Burglary Arrest File | 34 |
| 39. | Finding, Burglary Arrest File | 34 |
| 40. | Disposition, Burglary Arrest File | 34 |
| 41. | Months in Jail, Burglary Arrest File | 36 |
| 42. | Months Probation, Burglary Arrest File | 36 |
| 43. | Victimization Month, Kentucky State Police Post 9 | 37 |
| 44. | Victimization Day, Kentucky State Police Post 9 | 37 |
| 45. | Counties in Kentucky State Police Post 9 | 37 |
| 46. | Geographic Location: Kentucky State Police Post 9 | 39 |
| 47. | Status of Case: Kentucky State Police Post 9 | 39 |
| 48. | Age of Victim: Kentucky State Police Post 9 | 39 |
| 49. | Sex of Victim: Kentucky State Police Post 9 | 39 |
| 50. | Race of Victim, Kentucky State Police Post 9 | 40 |
| 51. | Marital Status of Victim, Kentucky State Police Post 9 | 40 |

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

| 52. | Burglary Victim Type, Kentucky State Police Post 9 | 40 |
|-----|--|----|
| 53. | Injured Burglary Victim, Kentucky State Police Post 9 | 40 |
| 54. | Under the Influence, Kentucky State Police Post 9 | 41 |
| 55. | Residential Status, Kentucky State Police Post 9 | 41 |
| 56. | Burglaries by Shift, Kentucky State Police Post 9 | 41 |
| 57. | Crosstabulation of Shift By Day of the Week, Controlling for County, Floyd County | 43 |
| 58. | Crosstabulation of Shift By Day of the Week, Controlling for County, Johnson County | 43 |
| 59. | Crosstabulation of Shift By Day of the Week, Controlling for County, Magoffin County | 44 |
| 60. | Crosstabulation of Shift By Day of the Week, Controlling for County, Martin County | 44 |
| 61. | Crosstabulation of Shift By Day of the Week, Controlling for County, Pike County | 45 |
| 62. | Crosstabulation of Victim Type By Shift, Controlling for County, Floyd County | 46 |
| 63. | Crosstabulation of Victim Type By Shift, Controlling for County, Johnson County | 47 |
| 64. | Crosstabulation of Victim Type By Shift, Controlling for County, Magoffin County | 48 |
| 65. | Crosstabulation of Victim Type By Shift, Controlling for County, Martin County | 48 |
| 66. | Crosstabulation of Victim Type By Shift, Controlling for County, Pike County | 50 |

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

| 1. | Race of offender, robbery arrest file. | • | ٠ | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | ٠ | • | • | | 15 |
|----|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|----|
| 2. | Disposition, robbery arrest file | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | 17 |



BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

In this report, the Kentucky Criminal Justice Statistical Analysis Center (SAC) analyzes Uniform Crime Report/Uniform Offense Report (UCR/UOR) data. Beginning July 1, 1987, the Kentucky State Police adopted a new method of crime data collection based upon a new statewide reporting format. This new system (see Appendix A: Uniform Offense Report) is incident-based. In other words, it contains information on the individual crime incident and, after an arrest is made, the victim, and the offender. Thus, it contains a significant amount of data beyond that traditionally collected under the Uniform Crime Reporting Program.

The primary objective of this study was to demonstrate the potential uses of these data for law enforcement agencies across the Commonwealth of Kentucky. The amount of data contained in the UOR provides a basis for an analysis of crime patterns such as:

- Where and when are certain types of crime likely to occur?
 - a. Time of day, day of week, and month.
 - b. Geographic location (city, county, police jurisdiction).
- 2. Offender and Victim Profiles by demographic characteristic.

This analysis is designed to serve as the starting point in the establishment of a long-term relationship between the Kentucky State Police, the Kentucky SAC, and the U.S. Bureau of Justice Statistics to analyze Kentucky crime patterns. In addition, the research results could demonstrate the utility of incident-based data to other SACs and provide a model for methods of crime analysis.

METHODS AND PROCEDURES

The Kentucky State Police provided a computer tape of the UCR/UOR data for the period July 1, 1987, to December 31, 1987. These data were primarily from the rural areas of the Commonwealth but also included some communities from major metropolitan areas such as Jefferson County. Data for cities, such as Louisville and Lexington, were not included. As noted in detail in Appendix B, the process of obtaining the data and analyzing it on the computer was fraught with problems but our experience should prove valuable to other SACs before they undertake such a research project.

The data which we analyzed consisted of two files. The first file contained offenses reported to (or uncovered by) the police. The second file contained offender data for those reported crimes which resulted in arrests.

For purposes of illustration, we have divided the analyses into two parts. The first set presents statewide analyses for robbery and burglary. These analyses demonstrate how criminal justice decisionmakers at the state level can use these data to look at general patterns of crime reporting, arrest activity, and victimizations across the Commonwealth. The second set of analyses show how these data can be used at the operational level. Here, we focus on the burglary data and the police jurisdiction which had the most reported burglaries (Kentucky State Police Post 9). We then examined the pattern of activity in this area in greater detail. For example, we listed all of the burglaries known to Post 9, the various aspects of the offense, selected attributes of offenders (where known), and victims (where such data were available). Then, we generated frequency distributions for all of the data elements. Next, we cross-tabulated some data elements about burglary and presented two analyses as examples. The first cross-tabulation shows how operational personnel can use the data to determine the distribution of burglaries across police work shifts in their jurisdiction. The focus here is on burglary but this type of analysis could be reproduced for all of the offenses in the incident-based system. These data should prove useful in the deployment of personnel and other operational matters.

RESULTS OF THE STUDY

Statewide Results: Robbery--Offense File

Based on the six-month offense file, there were 244 robberies known to the police. These robberies were evenly distributed across the Commonwealth. As shown in Table 1, the agency with the highest number of reported robberies was the Hopkinsville Police Department (N = 21). Table 2 shows that the county with the highest number of reported robberies was Jefferson.

Of the 244 robberies, 162 (66.4%) took place in an urban area, while 82 (33.6%) occurred in rural areas. Two hundred and twenty-nine robberies involved one victim, eight had two, three had three, and four had four victims.

In terms of disposition, 152 of the 244 robberies (62.3%) were cases that were still open, 90 (36.9%) were closed, one (0.4%) was unfounded, and one (0.4%) had no data reported. Sixty-two cases were cleared by arrest and 12 were exceptionally cleared. The reason for exceptional clearance was given for ten of the 12 cases: six because prosecution was declined; two because the victim refused to cooperate; one because extradition was declined; and one because an adult was in custody.

As shown in Table 3, the ages of robbery victims were widely distributed. In terms of the age of the robbery victim, the distribution was bimodal. Robbery victims were most often 19 and 30 years old. Men were more likely than women (97 v. 55 with 85 cases blank) to be the victims of robbery. For race of the victim, 145 whites and only four blacks were identified as robbery victims (with 95 cases blank).

Table 4 demonstrates that robbery victims were most likely to be single (69 or 28.3%), while 48 (19.7%) were married, and 13 (5.3%) were divorced (114 cases were blank). As shown in Tables 5 and 6, only a small proportion of the robbery victims were handicapped (12 or 4.9%). Of these 12 victims, one was hearing impaired, seven were physically disabled, and four were mentally disabled.

In Table 7, we see that the majority of robbery victims (153 or 62.7%) were individuals, followed by businesses (86 or 35.2%), financial institutions (four or 1.6%), and government offices (one or 0.4%).

As indicated in Table 8, 49 robbery victims (20.1%) were injured during the course of the offense. Finally, the clear majority of victims was full-time Kentucky residents (238 or 97.5%). Only six (2.5%) were nonresidents.

As shown in Tables 9 and 10, most of the reported offenses were in August and were likely to take place on a Saturday.

Agency Code Robbery Offense File Table 1

| Agency | Frequency | Percentage |
|---------|---------------------------------|------------|
| | | |
| KYKSP01 | 5 | 2.0 |
| KYKSP02 | 6 | 2.5 |
| KYKSP03 | 8 5 3 | 3.3 |
| KYKSP04 | 5 | 2.0 |
| KYKSP05 | 3 | 1.2 |
| KYKSP06 | 6 | 2.5 |
| KYKSP07 | 7 | 2.9 |
| KYKSP08 | 5 | 2.0 |
| KYKSP09 | 11 | 4.5 |
| KYKSP10 | 3 | 1.2 |
| KYKSP11 | 4 3 | 1.6 |
| KYKSP12 | 3 | 1.2 |
| KYKSP13 | 6 | 2.5 |
| KYKSP14 | 4 | 1.6 |
| KYKSP15 | 10 | 4.1 |
| KYKSP16 | 1 3 | .4 |
| 0010100 | 3 | 1.2 |
| 0050100 | 1 | .4 |
| 0080200 | 9 1 | 3.7 |
| 0100200 | | .4 |
| 0110100 | 1 | .4 |
| 0150400 | 1 | .4 |
| 0190600 | 14 | 5.7 |
| 0190700 | 1 | .4 |
| 0240100 | 21 | 8.6 |
| 0240500 | 2 | .8 |
| 0250000 | 1 | • 4 |
| 0330000 | 1 | .4 |
| 0370100 | 14 | 5.7 |
| 0400100 | 1 | .4 |
| 0420100 | 3 | 1.2 |
| 0470100 | 4 | 1.6 |
| 0480000 | 1 | • 4 |
| 0480200 | 1 | .4 |
| 0490100 | 2 | .8 |
| 0560300 | 14 | 5.7 |
| 0560400 | 10 | 4.1 |
| 0561000 | 3 | 1.2 |
| 0561300 | | .4 |
| 0561500 |] 3 | 1.2 |
| 0590400 | | • 4 |
| 0590900 | 1 3 1 1 2 2 2 | . 4 |
| 0591200 | 1 | .4 |
| 0591300 | 2 | .8 |
| 0591700 | 2 | .8 |
| 0591900 | 1 | . 4 |
| 0610100 | 1 | . 4 |
| 0760200 | 11 | 4.5 |
| 0760300 | 2 | .8 |

| 0780100 | 1 | .4 |
|---------|---|-----|
| 0790000 | 1 | 4 |
| 0790100 | 1 | 4 |
| 0920000 | 1 | 4 |
| 0930400 | 3 | 1.2 |
| 1050100 | 3 | 1.2 |
| 1070000 | 1 | . 4 |
| 1070100 | 5 | 2.0 |
| 1160100 | 2 | .8 |
| 1180200 | 2 | .8 |
| 1200100 | ī | .4 |
| | | |

County of Offense Robbery Offense File Table 2

| County | Frequency | Percentage |
|------------|----------------------------|------------|
| Adair | 4 | 1.6 |
| Barren | 2 | .8 |
| Bath | 1 | . 4 |
| Bell | 12 | 4.9 |
| Bourbon | 1 | . 4 |
| Boyle | 2 1 | .8 |
| Bullitt | 1 | . 4 |
| Butler | 1 | . 4 |
| Campbell | 15 | 6.1 |
| Carter | 1 | . 4 |
| Casey | 3 | 1.2 |
| Christian | 23 | 9.4 |
| Clark | 1 | . 4 |
| Estill | 1 | . 4 |
| Floyd | 5 | 2.0 |
| Franklin | 16 | 6.6 |
| Gallatin | 1 | . 4 |
| Garrard | 1 | . 4 |
| Grant | 1 | . 4 |
| Graves | 3 | 1.2 |
| Greenup | 1 | . 4 |
| Hardin | 7 | 2.9 |
| Harlan | 4 | 1.6 |
| Harrison | 2 | .8 |
| Henry | 1 | . 4 |
| Hopkins | 2 | .8 |
| Jefferson | 31 | 12.7 |
| Kenton | 10 | 4.1 |
| Knox | 2 | .8 |
| Larue | 1 | . 4 |
| Laurel | 4 2 | .8 |
| Lawrence | 2 | . 4 |
| Letcher | 3 1 | 1.2 |
| Lewis | 1 | . 4 |
| Livingston | 2 | .8 |
| McCracken | 1 | . 4 |
| Madison | 19 | 7.8 |
| Marion | 6 | 2.5 |
| Marshall | 4 | 1.6 |
| Meade | 1 | . 4 |
| Metcalfe | 1 | . 4 |
| Muhlenberg | 2 | .8 |
| Nicholas | 1 2 4 2 6 2 | . 4 |
| Ohio | 2 | .8 |
| Oldham | 4 | 1.6 |
| Perry | 2 | .8 |
| Pike | 6 | 2.5 |
| Rowan | | .8 |
| Scott | 3 | 1.2 |

| Shelby Simpson Todd Warren Wayne Whitley | 1 9 2 3 2 2 | .4 3.7 .8 1.2 .8 .4 |
|---|----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Whitley | 2 | 4 |
| Wolfe Woodford | 1 | .4 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |

Age of Victim Robbery Offense File Table 3

| Table 3 | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Age | Frequency | Percentage | | | | | |
| 0 | 6 | 2.5 | | | | | |
| 10 | 1 | . 4 | | | | | |
| 11 | 6 1 1 2 2 2 3 7 5 4 3 3 3 6 | .4 | | | | | |
| 13 | 1 | . 4 | | | | | |
| 15 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | .8 | | | | | |
| 16 | 2 | .8 | | | | | |
| 17 | 2 | .8 | | | | | |
| 18 | ້າ | 1.2 | | | | | |
| 19 | 7 | 2.9 | | | | | |
| 20 | / 5 | 2.0 | | | | | |
| | 5 | 2.0 | | | | | |
| 21 | 4 | 1.6 | | | | | |
| 22 | 3 | 1.2 | | | | | |
| 23 | 3 | 1.2 | | | | | |
| 24 | 3 | 1.3 2.5 | | | | | |
| 25 | | 2.5 | | | | | |
| 26 | 4 | 1.6 | | | | | |
| 28 | 1 | . 4 | | | | | |
| 29 | 2 | .8 | | | | | |
| 30 | 7 | 2.9 | | | | | |
| 31 | 4 1 2 7 3 2 5 5 3 4 5 4 1 1 1 3 3 1 1 2 2 2 2 | 1.2 | | | | | |
| 32 | 2 | .8 | | | | | |
| 33 | 5 | 2.0 | | | | | |
| 36 | 5 | 2.0 | | | | | |
| 37 | 3 | 1.2 | | | | | |
| 38 | Δ | 1.6 | | | | | |
| 39 | | 2.0 | | | | | |
| 40 | 3 | 1.6 | | | | | |
| 41 | 1 1 | .4 | | | | | |
| 42 | 1 | | | | | | |
| | 1 2 | . 4 | | | | | |
| 43 | 1 2 | .4 | | | | | |
| 44 | 3 | 1.2 | | | | | |
| 45 | 3 | 1.2 | | | | | |
| 46 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | . 4 | | | | | |
| 47 | 1 | . 4 | | | | | |
| 49 | 2 | .8 | | | | | |
| 51 | 2 | .8 | | | | | |
| 53 | 2 | .8 | | | | | |
| 54 | 1 | . 4 | | | | | |
| 55 | 1 | . 4 | | | | | |
| 57 | 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 2 2 | .4 .4 .4 | | | | | |
| 59 | 1 | . 4 | | | | | |
| 61 62 | 2 | .4 .8 .4 .8 | | | | | |
| 62 | 1 | . 4 | | | | | |
| 64 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 8 | | | | | |
| 64 66 67 | 1 1 | _ 4 | | | | | |
| 67 | l - 1 | Δ | | | | | |
| 68 | 1 7 | Λ | | | | | |
| 69 | 2 | .4 .4 .4 .8 | | | | | |
| 70 | , ž | | | | | | |
| / 0 | 4 | • • | | | | | |

| 71 | 1 | .4 |
|-------|-----|------|
| 72 | 3 | 1.2 |
| 73 | 2 | .8 |
| 76 | 1 | . 4 |
| 78 | 3 | 1.2 |
| 80 | 1 | . 4 |
| 81 | 1 | . 4 |
| 83 | 1 | . 4 |
| 86 | 1 | . 4 |
| 88 | 1 | . 4 |
| Blank | 104 | 42.6 |

| Marital Status of Victim Robbery Victim File Table 4 | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Marital Status | Frequency | Percentage | | | | | |
| Married Single Divorced Blank | 48 69 13 114 | 19.7 28.3 5.3 46.7 | | | | | |

| Victim Handicapped Robbery Offense File Table 5 | | |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
| Victim Disabled | Frequency | Percentage |
| No Yes Blank | 116 12 116 | 47.5 4.9 47.5 |

| Handicap Explained Robbery Offense File Table 6 | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Disability | Frequency | Percentage |
| Hearing Physical Mental Blank | 1 7 4 232 | .4 2.9 1.6 95.1 |

| Victim Type Robbery Offense File Table 7 | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------|
| Victim Type | Frequency | Percentage |
| Buisness Financial Government Individual | 86 4 1 153 | 35.2 1.6 .4 62.7 |

| Victim Injured Robbery Offense File Table 8 | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|
| Injured | Frequency | Percentage |
| No Yes Blank | 74 49 121 | 30.3 20.1 49.6 |

| Victimization Month Robbery Offense File Table 9 | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| Month | Frequency | Percentage |
| February July August September October November December | 1 31 43 32 37 41 59 | .4 12.7 17.6 13.1 15.2 16.8 24.2 |

| Victimization Day Robbery Offense File Table 10 | | |
|--|---|--|
| Day Of Week | Frequency | Percentage |
| Monday Tuesday Wednesday Thursday Friday Saturday Sunday Blank | 29 29 29 41 42 45 28 1 | 11.9 11.9 11.9 16.8 17.2 18.4 11.5 |

| Victim Under the Influence Robbery Offense File Table 10A | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------------|
| Status Frequency Percentage | | Percentage |
| Alcohol None Unknown Blank | 8 94 11 131 | 3.3 38.5 4.5 53.7 |

Statewide Results: Robbery--Arrest File

Overall, there were 110 robbery arrests during this time period. Of these arrests, the Hopkinsville Police Department had the most (11 or 10.0%). Christian County had the most arrests (13 or 11.8%, see Table 11) of all the counties.

As Table 12 reveals, the age of the arrestees was fairly widespread. There were four juveniles (3.6%). Eight arrestees (7.2%) were age 18-19. The total percentage of robbery arrestees under 20 years was 10.8 percent. Twenty-one of the arrestees were between the ages of 20-29. Ten offenders (9.1%) were between the ages of 30-39, three (2.7%) were between 40-49, and two (1.8%) were 50 or older. On the age variable, 61 cases were blank and one case had missing data.

The vast majority of robbery arrestees were male (97 or 88.2%). Thirteen arrestees (11.8%) were female. Seventy eight (78.9%) of the arrestees were white, while 32 (29.1%) were black (see Chart 1). This figure shows a significant overrepresentation of blacks, given that roughly 7.5% of Kentucky's total population is black. Only one (0.9%) arrestee was Hispanic.

Regarding the victim-offender relationship, there were 88 cases of no reported data (see Table 13). An additional 13 cases (11.8%) listed this relationship as unknown; six cases (5.5%) involved a stranger robbing the victim; two cases (1.8%) involved an arrestee who, in some way, was known to the victim; and one case (0.9%) involved a family member as perpetrator.

As Table 14 demonstrates, most of the arrestees (43 or 39.1%) were single. Eleven (10.1%) were married and seven (6.4%) were divorced. Forty nine cases had missing data on this variable.

Table 15 shows that 57 arrestees were full-time residents of Kentucky. Eleven (10.0%) were nonresidents. Data were missing for 42 (38.2%) of the arrestees.

For the plea variable, 66 cases (24.5%) had no data (possibly meaning that they had not been disposed of when the data were collected), 27 (24.5%) pleaded guilty, 16 (14.5%) pleaded not guilty and one (0.9%) was missing (see Table 16).

On the finding variable, Table 17 indicates that 29 (26.4%) persons were found guilty and ten (9.1%) were found not guilty. No information was available on 77 cases.

In Chart 2, we see that 18 arrests (16.4%) ended in dismissal. Two cases (1.8%) were given a juvenile commitment to the Cabinet of Human Resources, one each (0.9%) was given a concurrent sentence and a transfer, and seven (6.4%) ended in some other judgment.

Only eight (7.2%) of the 110 arrestees were sentenced to jail or prison. Table 18 shows that two arrestees (1.8%) were sentenced to two months, another two to 24 months, and one each (0.9%) to 60 and 90 months.

Among the arrestees sentenced to probation (see Table 19), one (0.9%) received two months, two (1.8%) received six months, three (2.7%) received one year, and two of the convicted arrestees were given two years. Data were not available for 102 cases.

County of Offense Robbery Arrest File Table 11

| County | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| Barren | 1 | .9 |
| Bell | 5 | 4.5 |
| Boone | 3 | 2.7 |
| Boyle | 4 | 2.8 |
| Breckinridge | 1 | .9 |
| Bullitt | 1 | .9 |
| Campbell | 1 5 | 4.5 |
| Christian | 13 | 11.8 |
| Clark | 1 | .9 |
| Daviess | | 5.5 |
| Fayette | 2 | 1.8 |
| Franklin | 5 | 4.5 |
| Graves | 6 2 5 2 3 2 2 1 | 1.8 |
| Hardin | 3 | 2.7 |
| Harlan | 2 | 1.8 |
| Harrison | 2 | 1.8 |
| Henderson | | .9 |
| Hopkins | 1 | .9 |
| Jefferson | 7 | 6.4 |
| Kenton | 7 | 6.4 |
| Letcher | 1 | .9 |
| Livingston | 1 2 7 | 1.8 |
| McCracken | | 6.4 |
| McCreary | 1 | .9 |
| Madison | 8 1 | 7.3 |
| Marshall | | •9 |
| Pike | 1 | . 9 |
| Rowan | 1 | .9 |
| Scott | 1 | . 4 |
| Shelby | 4 5 | 3.6 |
| Simpson | 5 | 4.5 |
| Spenser | 1 | . 9 |
| Warren | 4 | 3.6 |
| Whitley | 1 | . 9 |


| Age of Offender Robbery Arrest File Table 12 | | |
|---|------------------------------------|---|
| Age | Frequency | Percentage |
| 17 and Under 18 thru 19 20 thru 29 30 thru 39 40 thru 49 50 and Older Blank Missing Data | 4 8 21 10 3 2 61 | 3.6 7.2 19.0 9.1 2.7 1.8 55.5 |

| Victim Offender Relationship Robbery Arrest File Table 13 | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Relationship Frequency Percentage | | |
| OF Otherwise Known Relat. Unknown Stranger Blank | 1 2 13 6 88 | .9 1.8 11.8 5.5 80.0 |

| Offender Marital Status Robbery Arrest File Table 14 | | |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Marital Status | Frequency | Percentage |
| Married Single Divorced Blank | 11 43 7 49 | 10.0 39.1 6.4 44.5 |

| Residential Status of the Offender Robbery Arrest File Table 15 | | |
|---|--|------|
| Resident Frequency Percentage | | |
| Full-time 57 51.8 Non-resident 11 10.0 Blank 42 38.2 | | 10.0 |

Race of Offender Robbery Arrest File Chart 1




| | Plea Robbery Arrest File Table 16 | |
|------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Plea | Frequency | Percentage |
| C Guilty Not Guilty Blank | 1 27 16 66 | .9 24.5 14.5 60.0 |

| | Finding Robbery Arrest File Table 17 | |
|-------------------------------|--|---------------------|
| Verdict | Frequency | Percentage |
| Guilty Not Guilty Blank | 29 10 71 | 26.4 9.1 64.5 |

| | Jail Months Robbery Arrest File Table 18 | |
|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Months | Frequency | Percentage |
| 2 24 36 60 99 Blank | 2 2 1 1 2 102 | 1.8 1.8 .9 .9 1.8 92.7 |

| | Months Probation Robbery Arrest File Table 19 | |
|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Months | Frequency | Percentage |
| 2 6 12 24 Blank | 1 2 3 2 102 | .9 1.8 2.7 1.8 92.7 |

Disposition Robbery Arrest File Chart 2



Statewide Results: Burglary--Otionse File

In this data set, a total of 5604 burglaries were known to the police during the last six months of 1987. The largest number (306 or 5.5%) were reported by the Kentucky State Police Post 9. The next highest total (209 or 3.7%) were reported by Kentucky State Police Post 11. Altogether, the State Police Posts accounted for 2339 burglaries (41.7%) of the total number reported.

In Table 20, it is noted that the peak months for reported burglaries are July (974 or 17.4%), October (973 or 17.4%) and December (17.3%). Most burglaries were reported on Thursdays (851 or 15.2%).

Table 21 reveals that Campbell County had the greatest number of reported burglaries (302 or 5.4%). The next highest total was registered by Christian County with 248 offenses (4.4%). The town with the most reported burglaries was Hopkinsville (206 or 3.7% of the total).

Unlike the pattern for robbery (primarily urban), the bulk of reported burglaries was from the rural areas of Kentucky. The rural burglaries totaled 3282 (58.6%) compared to 2322 (41.4%) for the urban areas. In terms of the victim, 75 of the burglaries (1.3%) had only one victim. Two victims were reported in 609 (10.9%) burglaries; 1659 (29.6%) had three; and 845 (15.1%) had four. Data were not reported for 2416 (43.1%) of the total number of burglary cases. However, since the majority of the burglaries was residential, this multiple victim pattern were not surprising.

Table 22 reveals that victims of all ages were affected by burglaries. Forty-seven (0.7%) were under 18. Eighty-four (1.5%) were between 18 and 19. The percentages then rose dramatically for the age group 20-29 (765 or 13.7%), 30-39 (883 or 15.9%), 40-49 (679 or 12.1%), 50-59 (421 or 8.1%), and 60 and over (536 or 9.6%). Data on age of the victim were not available for 2054 burglaries.

In Table 23, we see that most burglary victims were male (2662 or 47.5%). Females accounted for 22.9 percent of all burglary victims (N = 1285). One hundred and fourteen offenses involved victims whose sex was unknown, resulting in 1543 blank values.

Most of the victims were white (3754 or 67.0%). One hundred and fifty-five (2.8%) were black (see Table 24). Three cases involved American Indians, four involved Asians, and two had Hispanic burglary victims. There were missing data on 1688 of the reported offenses.

Of the 5604 reported burglary cases, 3487 (62.2%) are open; 2030 (36.2%) are closed, and 45 (0.8%) are unfounded. There were 42 (0.7%) blanks for this variable.

Three hundred twenty-one cases were cleared by arrest (5.7%). Six cases (0.1%) involved summonses or citations and 149 cases were exceptionally cleared (2.7%). The reasons for exceptional clearances were: death (two cases), declined extradition (two), refusal to cooperate (11), adult in custody (eight), juvenile not in custody (43), and refusal to prosecute (54).

In Table 25, most victims of burglary were married (2340 or 43.8%). Single persons accounted for 821 offenses (14.7%) while 499 victims (8.9%) were divorced. The marital status of the victim was blank for 1944 (34.7%) cases.

| Victimization Day Burglary Offense File Table 20 | | |
|---|--|--|
| Day of Week | Frequency | Percentage |
| Monday Tuesday Wednesday Thursday Friday Saturday Sunday Blank | 718 730 832 759 851 809 721 184 | 12.8 13.0 14.8 13.5 15.2 14.4 12.9 |

County of Offense Burglary Offense File Table 21

| 10210 21 | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------|
| County | Frequency | Percentage |
| Adair | 36 | .6 |
| Allen | 40 | .7 |
| Anderson | 28 | .5 |
| Ballard | 14 | .2 |
| Barren | $\overline{74}$ | 1.3 |
| Bath | 18 | .3 |
| Bell | 46 | .8 |
| Boone | 52 | 9 |
| Bourbon | 37 | .7 |
| Boyd | 69 | 1.2 |
| Boyle | 60 | 1.1 |
| Bracken | 3 | 1.1 |
| Breathitt | 36 | .6 |
| Breckinridge | 24 | . 4 |
| Bullitt | 84 | 1.5 |
| Caldwell | 38 | .4 |
| Calloway | 35 | • 4 |
| Campbell | 302 | .6 |
| Carlisle | 4 | .1 |
| Carroll | 19 | .3 |
| Carter | 42 | |
| | | .7 |
| Casey | 11 248 | .2 |
| Christian | | 4.4 |
| Clark | 19 70 | .3 |
| Clay Clinton | | 1.2 |
| Crittenden | 10 20 | .2 |
| | 1 | .4 |
| Cumberland | 6 | .1 |
| Daviess | 67 | 1.2 |
| Edmonson | 42 | . 7 |
| Elliott | 27 | .5 |
| Estill | 24 | .4 |
| Fayette | 10 | .2 |
| Fleming | 8 | .1 |
| Floyd | 121 | 2.2 |
| Franklin | 199 | 3.6 |
| Fulton | 21 | .4 |
| Gallatin | 17 | .3 |
| Garrard | 26 | •5 |
| Grant | 53 | .9 |
| Graves | 49 | .9 |
| Grayson | 46 | .8 |
| Green | 10 | .2 |
| Greenup | 68 | 1.2 |
| Hancock | 18 | .3 |
| Hardin | 172 | 3.1 |
| Harlan | 105 | 1.9 |

| Harrison | 43 | .8 |
|------------|-----|---------------|
| Hart | 21 | . 4 |
| Henderson | 52 | .9 |
| Henry | 17 | .3 |
| Hickman | 4 | .1 |
| | 38 | .8 |
| Hopkins | | |
| Jackson | 7 | .1 |
| Jefferson | 242 | 6.4 |
| Jessamine | 51 | .9 |
| Johnson | 50 | .9 |
| Kenton | 165 | 2.9 |
| Knott | 10 | .2 |
| Larue | 97 | 1.7 |
| Laurel | 30 | .5 |
| Lawrence | 17 | 1.9 |
| Lee | 2 | 0 |
| Leslie | 14 | .2 |
| Letcher | 46 | .8 |
| Lewis | 20 | .4 |
| Lincoln | 53 | .9 |
| Livingston | 42 | .7 |
| | 42 | .9 |
| Logan | 5 | .1 |
| Lyon | | |
| McCracken | 14 | .2 |
| McCreary | 14 | .2 |
| McLean | 13 | .2 |
| Madison | 171 | 3.1 |
| Magoffin | 23 | . 4 |
| Marion | 73 | 1.3 |
| Marshall | 78 | 1.4 |
| Martin | 26 | .5 |
| Mason | 37 | .7 |
| Meade | 19 | .3 |
| Menifee | 14 | .2 |
| Mercer | 21 | . 4 |
| Metcalfe | 23 | . 4 |
| Monroe | 11 | .2 |
| Montgomery | 25 | $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| Morgan | 20 | 4 |
| Muhlenberg | 64 | 1.1 |
| Nelson | 24 | .4 |
| Nicholas | 6 | .1 |
| Ohio | 41 | .7 |
| | | |
| Oldham | 84 | 1.5 |
| Owen | 14 | .2 |
| Owsley | 17 | .3 |
| Pendleton | 25 | . 4 |
| Perry | 87 | 1.6 |
| Pike | 110 | 2.0 |
| Powell | 25 | . 4 |
| Pulaski | 49 | .9 |
| Rockcastle | 19 | .3 |
| Rowan | 56 | .9 |
| Russell | 27 | • 5 |
| Scott | 74 | 1.3 |
| Shelby | 35 | .6 |
| | | |

| Simpson | 59 | 1.1 |
|------------|-----|-----|
| Spenser | 17 | • 3 |
| Taylor | 30 | •5 |
| Todd | 23 | .4 |
| Trigg | 36 | .6 |
| Trimble | 8 | .1 |
| Union | 58 | 1.0 |
| Warren | 43 | .8 |
| Washington | 16 | .3 |
| Wayne | 44 | .8 |
| Webster | 9 | .2 |
| Whitley | 101 | 1.8 |
| Wolfe | 23 | .4 |
| Woodford | 68 | 1.2 |

| Victim's Age Burglary Offense File Table 22 | | |
|---|--|--|
| Age | Frequency | Percentage |
| 0 17 and Under 18 thru 19 20 thru 29 30 thru 39 40 thru 49 50 thru 59 60 and Over Blank | 133 47 84 765 883 679 421 536 2054 | 2.4 .7 1.5 13.7 15.9 12.1 8.1 9.6 36.7 |

| Sex of Victim Burglary Offense File Table 23 | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Sex | Frequency | Percentage |
| Female Male Unknown Blank | 1285 2662 114 1543 | 22.9 47.5 2.0 27.5 |

| Race of Victim Burglary Offense File Table 24 | | |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Race | Frequency | Percentage |
| White Black Other Blank | 3754 155 7 1688 | 67.0 2.8 .2 30.1 |

| Marital Status of Victim Burglary Offense File Table 25 | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Marital Status Frequency Percentage | | | |
| Married 2340 41.8 Single 821 14.7 Divorced 499 8.9 Blank 1944 34.7 | | | |

Table 26 notes that 128 victims (2.4%) were handicapped in one form or another. The breakdown of the form of handicap was: five (0.1%) were visually impaired, 12 (0.2%) were hearing impaired, 98 (1.7%) were physically disabled, and 13 (0.2%) were mentally handicapped. Therefore, the largest group of handicapped burglary victims was suffering from a form of physical impairment. This group accounted for 72.5 percent of all handicapped victims. Seven cases did not have the type of handicap recorded.

Table 27 demonstrates that over 70 percent of the burglary victims (4012) were individual households. The next largest category of targets was businesses (1090 or 19.5%). After these two categories, there is a substantial drop in the number of victims for any given category. The third largest group of victims was "societies," i.e. social organizations (172 or 3.1%). Government offices were next (133 or 2.4%), followed by religious organizations (85 or 1.5%), financial institutions (three or 0.1%), and four cases where the type of victim was unknown.

In Table 28, we see that only 34 burglary victims (0.6%) reported that they were injured. This total was much lower than recorded among the robbery victims.

Permanent Kentucky residents were victims in 5538 (98.8%) of the offenses. Forty-two cases (0.7%) involved nonresidents of the Commonwealth.

Statewide Results: Burglary--Arrest File

Table 29 shows that there were 704 arrests for burglary during the reporting period. The largest number of arrests (47 or 6.7%) was made by the Pulaski County Sheriff's Department. Table 30 reveals that 51 burglary arrests were made in Pulaski County. By city, Hopkinsville had the most, 56 reported arrests (8.0%).

In Table 31, we see that of persons arrested for burglary, 72 (10.2%) were under 18--a larger percentage than the robbery arrest category. Forty-eight individuals (6.8%) were 18 or 19. Fifty-nine (8.4%) were between 25-29 years of age. Twenty-four cases (3.3%) involved perpetrators aged 30-39. Offenders in three cases (0.3%) were 40-49 and over 50 in six cases (0.8%). The youngest alleged offender was ten and the oldest was 70.

Table 32 shows that men (656 or 93.2%) were far more likely than women (35 or 5.0%) to be arrested for burglary. Eleven cases were reported as "sex unknown" and two cases were left blank.

In Table 33, we see that blacks are once again overrepresented as burglary arrestees in comparison to their proportion in the Kentucky population. Although whites were the majority category (598 or 84.9%), 88 blacks (12.5%) were arrested for burglary during this time period. The remaining categories listed one American Indian and no Hispanics. Seventeen cases (2.4%) lacked data on the race of the arrestee.

| Handicap Explained Burglary Offense File Table 26 | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Handicap Frequency Percentage | | | |
| Visual Hearing Physical Mental Blank | 5 12 98 13 5476 | .1 .2 1.7 .2 97.7 | |

| Victim Type Burglary Offense File Table 27 | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Victim Type Frequency Percentage | | | |
| Business 1090 19.5 Financial Inst. 3 .1 Grocery 133 2.4 Individual 4012 71.6 Other 105 1.9 Religious Org. 85 1.5 Society 172 3.1 Unknown 4 .1 | | | |

| Victim Injured Burglary Offense File Table 28 | | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| Injured Frequency Percentage | | | |
| No Yes Blank | 2952 34 2618 | 52.7 .6 46.7 | |

Agency Code Burglary Arrest File Table 29

| Agency | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------------|------------------|------------|
| KYKSP01 | 3 | .4 |
| KYKSP02 | 7 | 1.0 |
| KYKSP03 | 5 | .7 |
| KYKSP04 | 5 | .7 |
| KYKSP05 | 2 | .3 |
| KYKSP06 | 11 | 1.6 |
| KYKSP07 | 2 | .3 |
| KYKSP08 | 14 | 2.0 |
| KYKSP09 | 3 7 | .4 |
| KYKSP10 KYKSP11 | 6 | 1.0 |
| KYKSP11 KYKSP12 | 7 | .9 |
| KYKSP12 KYKSP13 | 4 | 1.0 |
| KYKSP13 KYKSP14 | 6 | .9 |
| KYKSP15 | 4 | .6 |
| KYKSP16 | 4 | .6 |
| 0020100 | 2 | .3 |
| 0030100 | 10 | 1.5 |
| 0030200 | l | 1 .1 |
| 0040000 | l | l i |
| 0040200 | Ī | l .ī |
| 0050000 | Ī | 1 .1 |
| 0050200 | 3 | . 4 |
| 0050300 | 3 5 1 | .7 |
| 0060000 | | .1 |
| 0070100 | 13 | 1.8 |
| 008000 | 2 | .3 |
| 0080100 | 2 | .3 |
| 0080200 | 1 | .1 |
| 0090000 | 1 | .1 |
| 0090100 | 8 | 1.1 |
| 0110100 | 13 | 1.8 |
| 0130100 | 1 | .1 |
| 0140200 | 2 | .3 |
| 0150100 | 1 5 3 | .1 |
| 0150400 | 5 | .7 |
| 0160000 0170000 | 3 2 | .4 |
| 0170000 | 1 | .3 |
| 0170100 | 1 1 | .6 |
| 0180100 | 4 5 3 1 | .7 |
| 0190200 | <u>آ</u> | .4 |
| 0190200 | l ĭ | .1 |
| 0190400 | 2 | .3 |
| 0190600 | 18 | 2.6 |
| 0200100 | l i | 1 .1 |
| 0210100 | 4 | .6 |
| | <u> </u> | L |

| 004000 | | |
|--------------------|------------------------|---|
| 0240000 | 9 | .9 |
| 0240100 | 16 | 2.3 |
| 0240400 | 33 | 4.7 |
| | | |
| 0250000 | 1 | .1 |
| 0250100 | 4 | .6 |
| 0260000 | 2 | .3 |
| | 2 | |
| 0260100 | 6 | .9 |
| 0270000 | 3 1 | . 4 |
| | 1 | |
| 0270100 | <u> </u> | .1 |
| 0300000 | 5 | .7 |
| 0300100 | 6 | .9 |
| 0330000 | 4 | |
| | 4 | ۰,6 |
| 0340200 | 4 | .6 |
| 0360000 | 1 | .1 |
| | ĺ | |
| 0370100 | | .1 |
| 0380000 | 1 | .1 |
| 0380100 | 2 | .3 |
| | 1 | |
| 0410000 | 1 | .1 |
| 0420000 | 1 | .1 |
| 0420100 | 6 | .9 |
| | | • 5 |
| 0430100 | 2 | .3 |
| 0450200 | 1 | .1 |
| 0470100 | 9 | 1.3 |
| |] | |
| 0470200 | 1 | .1 |
| 0480000 | 1 | .1 |
| 0490100 | 1 | .1 |
| | | |
| 0500100 | 1 | .1 |
| 0510000 | 5 | . 7 |
| 0510100 | 24 | 3.4 |
| | 24 | |
| 0520200 | 3 3 | . 4 |
| 0530000 | 3 | . 4 |
| 0540100 | 1 | .1 |
| | | , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u> |
| 0560100 | 8 | 1.1 |
| 0560400 | 1 | .1 |
| 0561000 | 6 | .9 |
| | 0 | |
| 0561500 | 3 | . 4 |
| 0570100 | 15 | 2.1 |
| 0590100 | 19 | 2.7 |
| ll | | |
| 0590200 0590300 | 4 | .6 |
| 0590300 | 2 | .3 |
| 0590600 | | .1 |
| | 1 2 | • ‡ |
| 0591300 | 1 | .1 |
| 0610100 | 1 | .1 |
| 0620100 | | |
| | <u> </u> | .1 |
| 0640100 | 1 | .1 |
| 0670100 | 1 1 3 1 | .4 |
| | 1 | |
| 0670200 | Τ | .1 |
| 0690100 | 1 | .1 |
| 0710000 | 3 | . 4 |
| | 1 3 | |
| 0710100 | 2 | .3 |
| 0730000 | 5 | .7 |
| 0730100 | 1 3 2 5 12 | 1.7 |
| 0,00100 | | |

| 0540000 | | |
|----------|---------------------------------|-----|
| 0740000 | 3 | . 4 |
| 0760200 | 3 | . 4 |
| 0760300 | 6 | .9 |
| | ĺ | .1 |
| 0780000 | <u>.</u> | |
| 0780100 | 2 | .3 |
| 0790000 | 4 | .6 |
| | i | .ĭ |
| 0790100 | <u>+</u> | |
| 0810100 | 1 | •1 |
| 0820000 | 1 | .1 |
| 0840000 | _ 1 | .1 |
| | <u></u> | • # |
| 0840100 | 4 | .6 |
| 0850000 | 5 | .7 |
| 0870000 | 5 1 | .1 |
| | 1 1 | |
| 0870100 | 1 | .1 |
| 0890000 | 4 | .6 |
| 0890100 | 1 | .1 |
| | j | |
| 0890200 | 3 | . 4 |
| 0900000 | 2 | .3 |
| 0900100 | 2 | .3 |
| 0920000 | 1 3 2 2 2 2 1 | .3 |
| | 2 | |
| 0930100 | <u>.</u> | .1 |
| 0960100 | 1 | .1 |
| 0970000 | 2 | .3 |
| 0970100 | 2 | .3 |
| | 2 | |
| 0980100 | 1 | .1 |
| 1000000 | 47 | 6.7 |
| 1000100 | 3 | . 4 |
| | 2 | |
| 1020200 | 4 | .3 |
| 1030100 | 2 | .3 |
| 1040000 | 7 | 1.0 |
| 1050100 | 9 | 1.3 |
| | | |
| 1060100 | 12 | 1.7 |
| 1070000 | 3 2 | . 4 |
| 1070100 | 2 | . 3 |
| 1090100 | ī | .1 |
| | | |
| 1090200 | . 4 | ، 6 |
| 1100100 | 1 | .1 |
| 1110000 | 1 2 | .3 |
| | 4 | |
| 1130000 | | .6 |
| 1130100 | 1. | .1 |
| 1130200 | 1 | .1 |
| 1140000 | 13 | 1.8 |
| | | |
| 1140100 | 1 | .1 |
| 1160000 | 7 | 1.0 |
| 1160100 | 7 | 1.0 |
| | | |
| 1180000 | 1. | .1 |
| 1180100 | 11 | 1.6 |
| 1180200 | 2 | .3 |
| | 2 | |
| 1190000 | 3 4 | . 4 |
| 1200100 | 4 | . 6 |
| 1200300 | 2 | .3 |
| <u> </u> | | |

County of Offense Burglary Arrest File Table 30

| County | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------|-----------|------------|
| Allen | 2 | .3 |
| Anderson | 13 | 1.8 |
| Ballard | 2 | .3 |
| Barren | 10 | 1.4 |
| Bath | 1 | .1 |
| Bell | 14 | 2.0 |
| Boone | 5 | .7 |
| Bourbon | 14 | 2.0 |
| Boyle | 14 | 2.0 |
| Breathitt | 1 | .1 |
| Breckinridge | 2 | •3 |
| Bullitt | 6 | .9 |
| Butler | 3 5 | . 4 |
| Caldwell | 5 | .7 |
| Calloway | 9 | 1.3 |
| Campbell | 24 | 3.4 |
| Carlisle | 1 | .1 |
| Carroll | 4 | .6 |
| Carter | 1 | .1 |
| Christian | 58 | 8.2 |
| Clark | 5 | .7 |
| Clay | 11 | 1.6 |
| Clinton | 4 | .6 |
| Daviess | 13 | 1.8 |
| Elliott | 5 | .7 |
| Estill | 4 | .6 |
| Fayette | 4 | .6 |
| Floyd | 4 | .6 |
| Franklin | 28 | 4.0 |
| Fulton | 5 | .7 |
| Gallatin | 1 | .1 |
| Grant | 4 7 | .6 |
| Graves | 7 | 1.0 |
| Grayson | 3 | . 4 |
| Greenup | 4 | .6 |
| Hardin | 14 | 2.0 |
| Harlan | 3 | . 4 |
| Harrison | 3 | . 4 |
| Hart | 1 | .1 |
| Henderson | 30 | 4.3 |
| Henry | 4 | .6 |
| Hickman | 1 | .1 |
| Hopkins | 11 | 1.6 |
| Jefferson | 21 | 3.0 |
| Jessamine | 15 | 2.1 |
| Kenton | 27 | 3.8 |
| Knox | 6 | .9 |

| Larue | 1 | .1 |
|------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Laurel | 2 | |
| | 2 | .3 |
| Lawrence | 3 | . 4 |
| Leslie | 1 | .1 |
| Letcher | 6 | .9 |
| Lincoln | 2 | . 3 |
| Logan | 6 2 5 | 7 |
| McCracken | 17 | 2.4 |
| McCreary | 3 | |
| McCleary | 10 | . 4 |
| Madison | | 1.4 |
| Magoffin | 1 | .1 |
| Marion | 6 | .9 |
| Marshall | 5 | .7 |
| Mason | 1 | .1 |
| Meade | 1 | $\overline{1}$ |
| Mercer | 5 | .7 |
| Metcalfe | 5 | . 7 |
| Montgomery |) 5 | .3 |
| | 2 2 | |
| Morgan | 2 | .3 |
| Muhlenberg | 9 | 1.3 |
| Nelson | 4 | .6 |
| ∥ Ohio | 2 | .3 |
| Oldham | 2 | . 3 |
| Pendleton | 4 2 2 5 5 | .7 |
| Perry | 5 | • 7 |
| Pike | 1 | .1 |
| Pulaski | 51 | 7.2 |
| Rockcastle | 2 | .3 |
| Rowan | 6 | |
| 11 | 0 | .9 |
| Russell | 8 | 1.1 |
| Scott | 9 | 1.3 |
| Shelby | 12 | 1.7 |
| Simpson | 5 | .7 |
| Spenser | 2 | .3 |
| Taylor | 1 | .1 |
| Todd | ī | .1 |
| Trigg | 4 | .6 |
| Union | 7 | 1.0 |
| PP | 18 | |
| Warren | | 2.6 |
| Wayne | 13 | 1.8 |
| Webster | . 1 | .1 |
| Whitley | 14 | 2.0 |
| Wolfe - | 6 | .9 |
| Woodford | 6 | .9 |
| Blank | 5 | .7 |
| | | • • |

| Age of Offender Burglary Arrest File Table 31 | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| Age | Frequency | Percentage |
| 17 and Under 18 thru 19 20 thru 29 30 thru 39 40 thru 49 50 and Over Blank Missing Data | 72 48 59 24 3 6 483 | 10.2 6.8 8.4 3.3 .3 .8 68.6 |

| Sex of Offender Burglary Arrest File Table 32 | | |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Sex | Frequency | Percentage |
| Female Male Unknown Blank | 35 656 11 2 | 5.0 93.2 1.6 .3 |

| Race of Offender Burglary Arrest File Table 33 | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Race Frequency Percentage | | | |
| White 598 84.9 Black 88 12.5 Other 1 .1 Blank 17 2.4 | | | |

Table 34 reveals that the largest number of arrestees (54 or 7.7%) had an "unknown" relationship with the victim. Nineteen (2.7%) were "strangers" to the victim. Four cases (0.6%) were "otherwise known", seven were acquaintances, while four cases (0.6%) were neighbors of the victims. In two cases, the arrestee was an ex-wife (0.3%); an additional two cases featured a wife and a boyfriend, and two others were girlfriends of the victims. Finally, in one case, the arrestee was a stepfather of the victim.

The marital status of the arrestee is listed in Table 35. Fifty-four cases (7.7%) involved married offenders. Most offenders (273 or 38.8%) were single and 32 (4.5%) were divorced. Data were missing on 343 victims (49.0%).

Table 36 contains the residential status of the arrestees. The largest number of arrestees (264 or 37.5%) were residents of Kentucky while 11 (11.6%) were not. There were 428 blanks and one incorrect code for this variable.

Of the 704 arrestees listed in Table 37, 18 (2.6%) were reported to be under the influence of alcohol while three (0.4%) were under the influence of drugs. One hundred and forty-five (20.6%) were not abusing substances. Fifty-three (7.5%) cases were listed as unknown and 485 cases were blank for this variable.

Table 38 lists the pleas for these individuals. Of the burglary arrestees, 144 pleaded guilty (20.5%) and 100 (14.2%) pleaded not guilty.

Table 39 contains the final outcome of the cases. One hundred and ninety-five offenders (27.7) were found guilty, 84 (11.9%) arrestees were found not guilty, and 485 cases (60.4%) were blank. Again, these dispositions were determined during the six-month time frame and many cases had not yet been concluded.

In Table 40, we see that 120 cases (17.0%) were dismissed. Twenty-six cases received a disposition listed as "other". Twenty-cases (2.8%) received a concurrent sentence. Fourteen cases (2.0%) were juveniles who were committed to the Cabinet of Human Resources and two juvenile cases were transferred to juvenile court for disposition. Seven cases (1.0%) were transferred elsewhere. Eight cases were bound over to the grand jury (1.3%), while four (0.6%) had informal judgments rendered. Two cases (0.3%) were transferred to a welfare agency and one to another police agency.

Table 41 lists the length of sentence for the arrestees sentenced to jail. Twenty-two individuals (3.1%) were sentenced to 12 months. Twenty persons (2.8%) each received a five-year prison term. Seventeen cases (2.5%) received less than a year in jail. Two people (0.3%) were sentenced to 18 months, five (0.7%) received two-year terms, while seven (1.0%) received three-year sentences for burglary. Three individuals were sentenced to 99 months in prison. There were 626 missing cases (88.9%) for this variable. Some of the missing cases were probably not eligible to receive prison sentences since the case was disposed of at an earlier stage or the case had not reached the disposition stage at the time of data collection.

| Victim | Offender | Rela | ationship |
|--------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| Burg | glary Arr | est I | File |
| - | Table | 34 | |

| Relationship | Frequency | Percentage |
|--|--|---|
| Aquaintance Boyfriend Girlfriend Neighbor Other Family Otherwise Kn. Relat. Unkn. Stepfather Stranger Wife Ex-wife Blank | 7 1 2 4 1 54 1 19 1 2 | 1.0 .1 .3 .6 .1 .6 7.7 .1 2.7 .1 .3 |

Marital Status of Offender Burglary Arrest File Table 35

| Marital Status | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------------|-----------|------------|
| Married | 54 | 7.7 |
| Single | 273 | 38.8 |
| Divorced | 32 | 4.5 |
| Blank | 345 | 49.0 |

Residential Status of Offender Burglary Arrest File Table 36

| Resident | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------|-----------|------------|
| Full-time | 264 | 37.5 |
| Non-resident | 11 | 1.6 |
| Y | 1 | .1 |
| Blank | 428 | 60.8 |

Offender Under The Influence Burglary Arrest File Table 37

| Intoxicant | Frequency | Percentage |
|------------|-----------|------------|
| Alcohol | 18 | 2.6 |
| Drugs | 3 | .4 |
| None | 145 | 20.6 |
| Unknown | 53 | 7.5 |
| Blank | 485 | 68.9 |

| Plea Burglary Arrest File Table 38 | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Plea | Frequency | Percentage |
| Guilty Not Guilty C Blank | 144 100 9 451 | 20.5 14.2 1.3 64.1 |

| Finding Burglary Arrest File Table 39 | | |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Plea | Frequency | Percentage |
| Guilty Not Guilty Blank | 195 84 425 | 27.7 11.9 60.4 |

| Disposition Burglary Arrest File Table 40 | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Outcome | Frequency | Percentage | |
| Aquittal Comm. Juv. Concurrent Consecutive Dismissal GDJ Inform. Judmnt. Handled w/in Dept Juv Ct. or Probat. Refer Welfare Merged Other Transfer Blank | 1 14 20 1 120 9 4 2 2 1 8 26 7 489 | .1 2.0 2.8 .1 17.0 1.3 .6 .3 .3 .1 1.1 3.7 1.0 69.5 | |

Table 42 shows that, if an offender was probated, the most frequent term was 24 months (31 or 4.4%). The next highest category was one year (18 or 2.6%), while the remaining categories were: four months (1 or 0.1%), five months (2 or 0.3%), six months (5 or 0.5%), or 11 months (3 or 0.4%). Four people were sentenced to 36 months probation (0.6%) and one person (0.9%) received a 57 month probationary sentence.

Jurisdictional Results: Burglary--Listing File

The burglary listing file provides an example of how incident-based data can be used by operational level personnel to examine the pattern of offenses within their particular jurisdictions. In this example, we review burglary cases from Kentucky State Police Post 9. We selected a limited number of variables to illustrate our point. In addition to the Uniform Crime Report code, we produced a listing of the Uniform Offense Report code (from the Kentucky form) and the Kentucky Revised Criminal Code number under which the offense was filed. This listing file is Appendix C of this report.

For the first case, we can see that this particular burglary was a Class C felony involving burglary in the second degree--forced entry, residence. The case number is reported, as is the month. The day and time of the offense are missing. The offense took place in Johnson County and it was classified as a rural crime. Continuing across the row, the victim was an individual, the case was classified as closed. The victim was a white male, aged 62. The victim was not under the influence of any substance and was a full-time resident of Kentucky.

In the second case, we can obtain the same information. However, we can also see that there were two victims. In addition, note that the offense took place between the hours of midnight and 01:00 on the third shift.

This information, coupled with the previously listed summary data, can assist operational planning for a department. Here, we demonstrate this potential by focusing upon Kentucky State Police Post 9 and burglaries reported in this jurisdiction.

In Table 43, we see that most of the burglaries took place in November (63 or 20.6%) and December (64 or 20.9%). Table 44 reveals that Friday (52 or 17%) and Saturday (53 or 17.3%) were the peak days of activity.

In Table 45, we see that the largest number of offenses took place between 1:00 and 2:00 (52 or 17%) in the morning. The next most frequent hour was 2:00 - 3:00 (36 or 11.8%) burglaries.

Table 46 reveals that, in this jurisdictional area, Pike and Floyd Counties had the highest number of burglaries reported to the police. There were one hundred and nine burglaries in each county, accounting for 71.2 percent of the total number reported. The town with most reported burglaries was Prestonberg. Only seven of the cases (2.3%) were reported in urban areas. The bulk of burglaries (299 or 97.7%) was from rural areas.

| Months in Jail Burglary Arrest File Table 41 | | |
|---|---|--|
| Months | Frequency | Percentage |
| 1 2 3 6 12 18 24 36 60 99 Blank | 2 9 4 4 22 2 5 7 20 3 626 | .3 1.3 .6 .6 3.1 .3 .7 1.0 2.8 .4 88.9 |

| Months Probation Burglary Arrest File Table 42 | | |
|---|---|--|
| Months | Frequency | Percentage |
| 2 4 5 6 11 12 24 36 57 60 Blank | 5 1 2 5 3 18 31 4 1 6 628 | .7 .1 .3 .7 .4 2.6 4.4 .6 .1 .9 |

| Victimization Month Kentucky State Police Post 9 Table 43 | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|
| Victim. Month | Frequency | Percent |
| July August September October November December | 41 56 38 44 63 64 | 13.4 18.3 12.4 14.4 20.6 20.9 |

| Victimization Day Kentucky State Police Post 9 Table 44 | | |
|--|--|--|
| Victim. Day | Frequency | Percent |
| Monday Tuesday Wednesday Thursday Friday Saturday Sunday Blank | 45 38 34 36 46 52 53 | 14.7 12.4 11.1 11.8 15.0 17.0 |

| Counties in Kentucky State Police Post 9 Table 45 | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| County | Frequency | Percent |
| Floyd Harlan Johnson Magoffin Martin Pike | 109 1 42 19 26 109 | 35.6 .3 13.7 6.2 8.5 35.6 |

When these data were compiled, Table 47 reveals that 74 cases (24.2%) remained open, while 231 (75.5%) were closed. One case was unfounded.

The average age of the burglary victims was 41.25. Table 48 indicates that half of the victims were under age 38. The pattern indicates that there were more older victims in this area. The oldest victim was 87 and the youngest was 16.

In Table 49, we see that most of burglary victims in the offenses reported to Post 9 were men (132 or 43.1%). Women were victims (67) in 21.9 percent of the cases.

For race, Table 50 reveals that there were 197 white victims (64.4%), one black victim and one American Indian victim. There was no information on the race of the victim in 107 (35%) cases.

Table 51 illustrates that married persons (135 or 44.1%) were most often the victims of burglary among the cases reported to Post 9. Twenty-four victims were single (7.8%) and 37 (12.1%) were divorced. The data on this variable were blank in 110 cases (35.9%).

In Table 52, we note that the majority of victims (201 or 65.7%) were individuals. The next highest category was businesses (81 or 26.5%), followed by "societies" (14 or 4.6%), government facilities, religious organizations, and other types (six or 2.0%).

In Table 53, we see that only one victim was injured among the offenses reported to Post 9. This injury was not self-inflicted. Table 54 reveals that none of the victims was under the influence of drugs or alcohol.

The residential status of the burglary victims is listed in Table 55. Three hundred-two victims (98.7%) were full-time residents of Kentucky. Three (1.0%) were part-time residents and one was not a resident.

Next, we collapsed the time period of the burglaries into three shift categories. Thus, these categories do not represent the actual deployment patterns of the Kentucky State Police. In Table 56, we see that Shift Three (midnight to 8:00 a.m.) had the most reported burglaries (162 or 52.9%) followed by Shift Two (74 or 24.2%) and Shift One (8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.; 65 or 21.2%).

Of course, the patterns in these data can be further elaborated through the use of cross-tabulations. Here, we provide several examples of this method. This type of analysis allows more precise specification of the various characteristics of the crime in the areas under consideration.

In the first example, we examine the distribution of burglary cases by shift and day for the various counties served by Kentucky State Police Post 9. In Table 57, representing Floyd County, Sunday and Monday were the days when burglaries were most likely to occur. On both days, the largest number of burglaries took place on the third shift, followed by the second shift.

In Harlan County, there was only one burglary reported during the reporting period. It took place on the third shift.

| Geographic Location: Kentucky State Police Post 9 Table 46 | | |
|--|-----------|-------------|
| Geo. Location | Frequency | Percent |
| Rural Urban | 299 7 | 97.7 2.3 |

| Status of Case: Kentucky State Police Post 9 Table 47 | | |
|---|----------------|--------------------|
| Status | Frequency | Percent |
| Open Case Closed Case Unfounded | 74 231 1 | 24.2 75.5 .3 |

| Age of Victim: Kentucky State Police Post 9 Table 48 | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---|
| Age | Frequency | Percent |
| 17 and Under 18 thru 19 20 thru 29 30 thru 39 40 thru 49 50 and Over Missing Data | 1 40 61 34 58 114 | .3 1.3 13.1 20.0 10.6 17.4 37.3 |

| Sex of Victim: Kentucky State Police Post 9 Table 49 | | |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| Sex | Frequency | Percent |
| Male Female Blank | 132 67 107 | 43.1 21.9 35.0 |

| Ken | Race of Victim tucky State Police Post Table 50 | 9 |
|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Race | Frequency | Percent |
| White Black Other Missing | 197 1 1 107 | 64.4 .3 .3 35.0 |

| Marital Status of Victim Kentucky State Police Post 9 Table 51 | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Marital Status | Frequency | Percent |
| Married Single Divorced Blank | 135 24 37 110 | 44.1 7.8 12.1 35.9 |

| Burglary Victim Type Kentucky State Police Post 9 Table 52 | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|
| Victim Type | Frequency | Percent |
| Building Government Individual Other Religious Society | 81 6 201 2 2 14 | 26.5 2.0 65.7 .7 .7 4.6 |

| Injured Burglary Victim Kentucky State Police Post 9 Table 53 | | |
|---|-----------------|--------------------|
| Injured | Frequency | Percent |
| No Yes Blank | 179 1 126 | 58.5 .3 41.2 |

| Under the Influence Kentucky State Police Post 9 Table 54 | | |
|---|------------|--------------|
| # of Burg. Vict | Frequency | Percent |
| None Blank | 178 128 | 58.2 41.8 |

| Residential Status Kentucky State Police Post 9 Table 55 | | |
|--|---------------|-------------------|
| Resid. Status | Frequency | Percent |
| Full-time Non-resident Part-time | 302 1 3 | 98.7 .3 1.0 |

| Burglaries by Shift Kentucky State Police Post 9 Table 56 | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Shift | Frequency | Percent |
| 8:00 AM- 4:00 PM 4:00 PM-12:00 AM 12:00 AM- 8:00 AM Missing | 65 74 162 5 | 21.2 24.2 52.9 1.6 |

In Johnson County, Table 58 reveals that Thursday is the peak day for burglary, followed by Saturday. A greater proportion of Johnson County burglaries took place on the third shift than was the case for Floyd County (68.3% versus 56.1%).

In Magoffin County, we see in Table 59 that the distribution of burglaries over the days of the week was fairly uniform. As was the case in Floyd and Johnson Counties, the largest number of burglaries took place on the third shift.

As in Magoffin County, Table 60 shows that the distribution of offenses in Martin County was fairly uniform. In addition, the largest number of burglaries took place on the third shift.

The pattern of reported burglaries in Pike County (Table 61) resembles that of Floyd County more than the other counties in the area. The distribution of offenses is not uniform across the days of the week. The peak day was Saturday. Also, in Pike County a smaller proportion of the burglaries took place on the third shift than in the other counties.

In our next cross-tabulation example, we look at the distribution of victim types by the time of the offense for the various counties under the jurisdiction of Post 9. In Floyd County (Table 62), we can see that most burglaries involve individual victims, followed by businesses. We can see that individuals are far more likely to be burglary victims between 8:00 a.m. and midnight, but in the time between midnight and 8:00 a.m., businesses and individuals were equally likely to be victims of burglary.

In Harlan County, the one burglary reported on the first shift involved a business.

In Johnson County, we see in Table 63 that the pattern of overall burglary victimization is roughly bimodal. That is, about the same number of businesses and individuals were burglary victims. However, there was a clear difference in the time in which these two groups were victimized. Burglaries of businesses took place almost exclusively on the third shift, while burglaries involving individuals mostly took place on the third and first shifts.

Table 64 lists only three burglaries (17.6%) of businesses in Magoffin County. All three business burglaries took place on the third shift. Over one-half (58.8%) of the burglaries involved individuals. Most of the burglaries of individuals took place on the third shift.

Table 65 notes that Martin County's business burglaries took place exclusively on the third shift, as did the majority of burglaries of individuals. Martin County is a bit unusual in that more burglaries of individuals were reported during the second shift than on the first.

Like the other counties, Pike County had more individual than business victims (Table 66). The largest number of business burglaries took place on the third shift. The pattern of individual burglaries was uniform across the shifts. However, given the business burglary pattern, overall, burglaries were more common on the third shift.

Crosstabulation of Shift By Day of the Week, Controlling for County Floyd County Table 57

| DAY | OF | WEEK |
|-----|----|------|
| | | |

| | | Mon | Tues | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun | Row Total |
|------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| C | 8:00 A.M 4:00 P.M. | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 18 16.8 |
| S H I F | 4:00 P.M 12:00 A.M. | 5 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 29 27.1 |
| T | 12:00 A.M 8:00 A.M. | 12 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 60 56.1 |
| | COLUMN TOTAL | 19 17.8 | 16 15.0 | 10 9.3 | 12 11.2 | 16 15.0 | 12 11.2 | 22 20.6 | 107 100.0 |

Crosstabulation of Shift By Day of the Week, Controlling for County Johnson County Table 58

DAY OF WEEK

| | | Mon | Tues | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun | Row Total |
|-------------|------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|
| | 8:00 A.M 4:00 P.M. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 8 19.5 |
| S H I | 4:00 P.M 12:00 A.M. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 12.2 |
| F | 12:00 A.M 8:00 A.M. | 5 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 28 68.3 |
| | COLUMN TOTAL | 5 12.2 | 3 7.3 | 2 4.9 | 12 29.3 | 4 9.8 | 9 22.0 | 6 14.6 | 41 100.0 |

Crosstabulation of Shift By Day of the Week, Controlling for County Magoffin County Table 59

| | DAY OF WEEK | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Mon | Tues | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun | Row Total | | | | | | |
| 6 | 8:00 A.M 4:00 P.M. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 23.5 | | | | | | |
| S H I F | 4:00 P.M 12:00 A.M. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 11.8 | | | | | | |
| T | 12:00 A.M 8:00 A.M. | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 11 64.7 | | | | | | |
| | COLUMN TOTAL | 2 11.8 | 1 5.9 | 2 11.8 | 2 11.8 | 3 17.6 | 3 17.6 | 4 23.5 | 17 100.0 | | | | | | |

| Crosstabulation of Shift By Day |
|-------------------------------------|
| of the Week, Controlling for County |
| Martin County |
| Table 60 |

| l | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | DAY OF WEEK | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Mon | Tues | Wed | Fri | Sat | Sun | Row Total | | | | | | | |
| <u>ر</u> | 8:00 A.M 4:00 P.M. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 7.7 | | | | | | | |
| S H I F T | 4:00 P.M 12:00 A.M. | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 23.1 | | | | | | | |
| T | 12:00 A.M 8:00 A.M. | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 18 69.2 | | | | | | | |
| | COLUMN TOTAL, | 5 19.2 | 5 19.2 | 3 11.5 | 5 19.2 | 5 19.2 | 3 11.5 | 26 100.0 | | | | | | | |

Crosstabulation of Shift By Day of the Week, Controlling for County Pike County Table 61

DAY OF WEEK Row Mon Tues Thu Fri Wed Sat Sun Total 8:00 A.M.-6 4 5 2 6 6 3 32 4:00 P.M. 29.4 4:00 P.M.-1 3 4 6 7 7 32 12:00 A.M. 29.4 F 45 12:00 A.M.-7 8 6 7 3 4 10 8:00 A.M. 41.3 23 COLUMN 13 12 16 10 18 17 109 11.9 11.0 14.7 9.2 16.5 21.1 15.6 100.0 TOTAL

Crosstabulation of Victim Type By Shift, Controlling for County Floyd County Table 62

SHIFT 8:00 A.M. 4:00 P.M. 12:00 A.M. ROW 4:00 P.M. 2:00 A.M. 8:00 A.M. TOTAL 3 1 28 32 Business 29.9 VICTIM 0 0 1 0.9 Govt. 26 15 29 70 Individ. 65.4 1 T Y P E 0 1 Other 1.9 0 1 1 2 Society 1.9 29 60 COLUMN 18 107 16.8 27.1 56.1 100.0 TOTAL

Crosstabulation of Victim Type By Shift, Controlling for County Johnson County Table 63

| | SHIFT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 8:00 A.M. 4:00 P.M. | 4:00 P.M. 2:00 A.M. | 12:00 A.M. 8:00 A.M. | ROW TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Business | 1 | 1 | 17 | 19 46.3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| V I C T I | Govt. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 2.4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| I M | Individ. | 7 | 3 | 8 | 18 43.9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| T Y P E | Religious | 0 | O | 1 | 1 2.4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| E | Society | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 4.9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | COLUMN TOTAL | 8 19.5 | 5 12.2 | 28 68.3 | 41 100.0 | | | | | | | | | | |

Crosstabulation of Victim Type By Shift, Controlling for County Magoffin County Table 64

| | SHIFT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 8:00 A.M. 4:00 P.M. | 4:00 P.M. 12:00 A.M. | 12:00 A.M. 8:00 A.M. | ROW TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | |
| 77 | Business | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 17.6 | | | | | | | | | | |
| V I C T I M | Govt. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 5.9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| I M | Individ. | 3 | 1 | 6 | 10 58.8 | | | | | | | | | | |
| T Y P E | Religious | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 5.9 | | | | | | | | | | |
| E | Society | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 11.8 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | COLUMN TOTAL | 4 23.5 | 2 11.8 | 11 64.7 | 17 100.0 | | | | | | | | | | |

Crosstabulation of Victim Type By Shift, Controlling for County Martin County Table 65

| SHIFT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 8:00 A.M. 4:00 P.M. | 4:00 P.M. 12:00 A.M. | 12:00 A.M. 8:00 A.M. | ROW TOTAL | | | | | | | | | |
| V | Business | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 26.9 | | | | | | | | | |
| CY | Individual | 2 | 5 | 10 | 17 65.4 | | | | | | | | | |
| I E M | Society | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 7.7 | | | | | | | | | |
| | COLUMN TOTAL | 2 7.7 | 6 23.1 | 18 69.2 | 26 100.0 | | | | | | | | | |

Overall, the jurisdictional data yield a great deal of information about burglaries in specific areas. If these types of data were available and presented to police departments, decisionmakers could make informed decisions about personnel placement and program development in their specific areas.

Crosstabulation of Victim Type By Shift, Controlling for County Pike County Table 66

| | SHIFT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 17 | | 8:00 A.M. 4:00 P.M. | 4:00 P.M. 12:00 A.M. | 12:00 A.M. 8:00 A.M. | ROW TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | |
| V I C T I M | Business | 0 | 3 | 15 | 18 16.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| I M | Govt. | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 2.8 | | | | | | | | | | |
| T Y P E | Individ. | 28 | 28 | 26 | 82 75.2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| E | Society | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 5.5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | COLUMN TOTAL | 32 29.4 | 32 29.4 | 45 41.3 | 109 100.0 | | | | | | | | | | |

CONCLUSION

The Uniform Offense Report data can provide information which could be valuable to officials at both the administrative (state) and operational (local) levels. This analysis is designed to serve as the starting point in the establishment of a long-term relationship between the Kentucky State Police, the Kentucky Criminal Justice Statistical Analysis Center, and the U.S. Bureau of Justice Statistics to analyze crime patterns in Kentucky.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

UNIFORM OFFENSE REPORT

UNIFORM OFFENSE REPORT COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

AGENCY COPY

UOR 1 Rev. 5-87

| 1. AGE | ENCY: | 2. ORI: | | 3. CASE NO.: | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4. TITL | LE OF INVESTIGATION | 1, 🗆 FELONY 2. 🗆 MIS | DEMEANOR 3. OTHER | CITATION NO(S): | | | | | | | | | |
| CLA | ASS DEGREE | 4. D VIOLATION 5. D ORD | DINANCE 6. TECHNICAL | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 6. VIOLATION CODE | ., | COMPLEXITY SUPPLEMENTARY | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. REP | PORTED BY | | 9. HOW REPORTED CODE: (|) TIME | | | | | | | | | |
| | LAST FIRST | MIDDLE | | RECEIVED [:] DISPATCHED [:] | | | | | | | | | |
| & ADD | DRESS PHONE | | | ARRIVED [:] CLEARED [:] | | | | | | | | | |
| | 10. EXACT LOCATION OF OFFENSE | | SECTOR NO. | DAY YEAR | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | SECTION NO. | 1. □ INSIDE 3. □ URBAN | | | | | | | | | |
| Z | | | | 2. □ OUTSIDE 4. □ RURAL | | | | | | | | | |
| $\underline{\circ}$ | CITY COUNTY | STATE | ZIP | 12. LOCATION TYPE: | | | | | | | | | |
| CATIO | 13. VICTIM'S: (PERSONAL CRIME ONLY) | 14. OFFENDERS: (PERSO | | 10005 / | | | | | | | | | |
| Ü | 1. ☐ CAR 3. ☐ HOME 2. ☐ BUSINESS 4. ☐ PROPE | 1. U C. RTY 2. [] B | AR 3. ☐ HOMI USINESS 4. ☐ PROP | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | *LOCATION TYPE CODES: 01-AIR/BUS/TRAIN TERM; 02-BANK | K/S&L 03-BAR/N. CLUB; 04-CH -GOVNT/PUB BLDG; 12-GROCEI | HURCH; 05-COMMERC/OFFICE BL | .DG; 06-CONSTR. SITE; 07-CONV. STORE; 08-DEPT/DISCNT STORE; M; 15-LAKE/WATERWAY; 15-LIQUOR STORE; 17-PKING LOT/GARAGE; | | | | | | | | | |
| - | 15. TIME OF OFFENSE EXACT | e construe dell'error di accompanyone dell'Alla della | 6. WEATHER 1. 🗆 CLEAR 2. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | DAY OF WEEK: [] DATE: [] | TIME: [:] | CONDITIONS: 3. ARAIN 4. | . □ SNOW 2. □ POOR 3. □NOT APPLICABLE | | | | | | | | | |
| | WEAPON OR TOOLS INVOLVED | 19. OFFENSE RELATED T | TO: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. NO 2. YES 3. SPECIFY 20. VEHICLES INVOLVED 21. STOLES | V VEHICLE RECOVERED |) DRUG () COMPUTER | () UNKN. 4. ☐ OTHER 22. OPERATION ID 1. ☐ YES | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | 1, [] AUTO A. (| STLN RECOVO LOCALLY; B. (|) STLN LOCALLY/RECVD OTHER | JURISD; | | | | | | | | | |
| Z |) | STEN OUT OF TOWN RECOV | PERTY CONDITION CODE) (SEE | SSN 2. 🗆 NO | | | | | | | | | |
| DATA | | <u> </u> | <u>l</u>] s | \$ <u>[] S\$</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| | R\$ R\$ | | | \$ <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | |
| 띴 | | | | COVERED PROPERTY RECOVERED PROPERTY ONDITION [] CONDITION [] | | | | | | | | | |
| FENSE | 18. TOTAL VALUE | 24. ARSON () STRUCTU | RE UNINHABITED | AMOUNT | | | | | | | | | |
| ш | S \$ R \$ 25. LARCENY BY TYPE | TYPE PROPERTY A | BU CU DU EU F | G G H G I G J G OF LOSS \$ CONTENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| u. | | E SNATCHING \$ | 3. SHOPLIFTING \$ | 4. ☐ FROM MOTOR VEHICLES \$ | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 5. MOTOR VEHICLE PARTS & ACCESSORIES \$ | 6. 🗆 | BICYCLES \$ | 7. ☐ FROM BUILDINGS \$ | | | | | | | | | |
| | 9. 🗆 FROM | ANY | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 8. ☐ FARM EQUIPMENT \$COIN | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 26. BURGLARY ONLY: 1. ☐ FORCIBLE ENTRY 28. TYPE COERCION (EXTORTION/BLACKMAIL OFFENSE ONL) | 2. NO FORCE Y) 29 OFFENSE INVOIN | 3. [] ATTEMPTED VING DRUGS (SEE JACKET FOR | 27. POINT OF ENTRY () | | | | | | | | | |
| | A.() MISUSE AUTHOR, F. () THREAT/FORCE; P. () T | THREAT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | OF PROSECUTION/HARMED REPUTATION; O.() OTHER 30. NAME: | TYPE (); | QUANTITY (/); Y | VALUE (\$) | | | | | | | | | |
| | 00.17411121 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | LAST FIRST MIDDLE | ADDRESS | CITY | STATE ZIP PHONE NO. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 31. DOB.: AGE [] 32. SEX 33 RACE | 3. AMERICAN 4, AS | | 35. OCCUPATION | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. □ M | | OR H□ N□NO CIFIC HISPANIC HISPAN | ır | | | | | | | | | |
| ابد | MONTH DAY YEAR | | NDER | 36. VICTIM TYPE: CODE ()* | | | | | | | | | |
| ATA | 37. MARITAL STATUS: 38 1. MARRIED 2. SINGLE 3. DIVORCED | I. HANDICAPPED? () YES (A. () VISUAL; B. () HEAR |) no if yes, code: () Xing; c. () phys, disabled; d. | *i-individ; B-Bus; C-fin/inst; G-Gov; R-Relig. ORG; S-Society; O-Other; U-UNKN. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 39. VICTIM STATUS: 1. INJURY TYPE: N ☐ NONE; | | 40. HOW INJURED: | () ACCIDENTAL () SELF-INFLICTED () UNKN. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | B ☐ APPARENT BROKEN BONES; D ☐ DEATH; | I 🗆 POSS. INT. INJURY | 41. MEDICAL ATTEN | I. REQUIRED () YES () NO | | | | | | | | | |
| VICTIM | L 🗆 SEVERE LACERATION; M 🗆 APPARENT MINO O 🗆 OTHER MAJOR INJURY; T 🗆 LOSS OF TEETI | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 大 | 43. KENTUCKY RESIDENT STATUS: F. □ FULL-TIME; P. □ PAR | TTIME: N / NON DECIDENT | 42. VICTIM UNDER | INFLUENCE? NO YES SPECIFY: | | | | | | | | | |
| \approx | | OPEN; I CLOSED; | | 44. CARRIED FOR UCR BY CONTRIBUTOR: 1. OTHER AGENCY 2. OTHER AGENCY 2. | | | | | | | | | |
| | C CLEARED BY ARREST; S S SUMMONED/CITE | | | 46. POLICE/KILLED OR ASSAULTED: | | | | | | | | | |
| | *BASIS FOR E: A □ DEATH; B □ PROS. DI D □ REFUSED TO COOP; E □ JUV/NO CUSTODY; | | | 1. 2. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 47. AGGRAVATED ASSAULT/HOMICIDE CIRCUMSTANCES COL | DE: 01-ARGUMENT; 02-C | | NCARCERATED; 05-LOVERS QUARREL; 06-OTHER FELONY; | | | | | | | | | |
| | 48. NEGLIGENT MANSLAUGHTER CODE: 30-CHILD PLAY W/WEAPON; 31-GUN CLEANING ACCID.; 32-HUNTING ACCIDENT; 33-OTHER NEGL. WEAPON HANDLING; 34-VEHIC. NEGL.; 35-OTHER | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ACKED FELLOW P. OFFICER; C-AT IESISTING ARREST; G-UNABLE TO | TTACKED CIVILIAN; D-FLIGHT FROM CRIME; D DETERMINE. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

PURSUANT TO KRS 15A.190 AND KRS 17.150

APPENDIX B

PROBLEMS IN DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS

PROBLEMS IN THE PREPARATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE UNIFORM CRIME REPORT/UNIFORM OFFENSE REPORT DATA: RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE ANALYSIS

This analysis represents the first collaboration between SAC and a police agency to analyze the new incident-based format of crime reporting. Naturally, all new ventures have problems in terms of determining procedures and lines of communications. We offer this appendix in the hope that it will serve to instruct others who undertake such a collaborative analysis in the future.

The first segment deals with data formatting problems. It took the SAC several months to obtain a data tape of the crime reports that was in a format amenable to analysis. The format required by the Federal Bureau of Investigation was impossible to reduce to analysis. It was finally determined that a "flat file" format, which would allow us to access each variable and obtain a count for each, was the best method. Our recommendation is that police departments should enter and maintain these data in this configuration so that they (as well as outside analysts) can easily access the data and examine the patterns of interest.

The second group we label data specification problems. As noted in the report, a great deal of the data were listed as either blank or missing. What we still do not know is whether, in some cases, the data element was "not applicable". In other words, it made no sense to fill it in for this particular case. For example, on burglaries, "not applicable" would be the most appropriate response for the variable "victim" when a business is the target of the offense. Our recommendation is that the category "not applicable" should be provided for on the Uniform Offense Report in the appropriate categories. Then, the actual extent of missing data could be determined.

Third, we include a number of suggestions made by officers attending the Southern Police Institute (SPI) at the University of Louisville. We made presentations to two classes at the SPI during the spring of 1989. Each class made similar recommendations. They noted the distinction between the data required by administrative and operational personnel in terms of crime analysis. In other words, statewide patterns, while they would benefit broad considerations of policymakers, would be of little use to operational personnel attempting to solve a particular crime pattern within their jurisdictions. We attempted to demonstrate that these data can meet this demand but one problem still remains. By the time that SAC analysts obtain the data, analyze it, and report it back to the jurisdiction, the trail is probably very cold and the results of the analysis are stale and out of date.

Fourth, there are too many missing data for several variables. Departments across the state need to impress upon their personnel the importance of completing the form. Persons processing the data also should be instructed to recover as much information as possible from street level personnel.

On the basis of this advice, we offer the following recommendation: The data compiled by each department should be maintained on the department computer and the data should be in a "friendly"

format which can be easily accessed without the use of a complicated computer program. If these data are not usable and cannot be accessed by operational personnel with little trouble and effort, this data system will never reach its full potential. Furthermore, if the street level police officer feels that this form and the data resulting from it have no practical use, the quality of the data will never improve. Valid data must be obtained or the resulting analysis will be meaningless.

APPENDIX C

LISTING FILE REPORTED BURGLARIES IN KENTUCKY STATE POLICE POST 9

V T C 5 5 C TT DI AS AH T IJ C DASS 6 E V II UCR VCODE **KRS** CASENUM VMNTH Y E Y OCITY R V D N VCTM R R 1 2 E X RACE MSTAT T I INJRD SELFINF RSDNT SHIFT 09-87-1716 58 5809 R . . 50 22022 511.030 7 62 M INN F 10 22083-1 511.040 09-87-1720 4 00 58 5810 R 2 . 1.. 34 M 1 INN 3.00 50 22083 .09-87-1722 7 4 04 77 7701 R . . S 3.00 511.040 9808 R . . 50 22021 511.020 09-87-1726 7 6 03 98 ρ 3.00 48 F 3 3 INN 09-87-1727 9818 R . . 1 3.00 50 22083 511.040 6 01 39 H INN 50 22083 511.040 09-87-1729 6 01 98 9832 R . . 42 M 1 INN F 3.00 1..1 50 22083 511.040 09-87-1730 7 6 12 98 9802 R . . 5 F 1.00 09-87-1733 9818 R . . INN F 50 22022 511.030 7 9 55 98 2.00 30 M 1 09-87-1736 7 22 25 M 50 22021 36 3612 R . . INN 2.00 511.020 09-87-1740 50 22083 511.040 6 04 36 3602 R . . 3.00 6 50 22022 09-87-1744 7 04 98 511.030 7 9865 R . . 1..I 50 M INN 3.00 50 22083 511.040 09-87-1746 7 1 01 36 3603 R 2 . 1 . . I В 3.00 09-87-1747 7 3641 R . . F 2.00 50 22083 1 17 36 0 511.040 50 22022 511.030 09-87-1754 2 04 36 3622 R . . INN 3.00 1..I 64 H 22083-1 511.040 F 50 09-87-1775 7 5 02 58 5801 U . . В 3.00 50 22042-1 511.030 09-87-1783 7 5 13 36 3645 R . . 29 M F 1.00 1 1 INN 32 H 55055 09-87-1800 1 01 80 8010 R 1 . 1 3.00 50 511.030 INN 50 22042 . 511.030 09-87-1806 5 15 36 3628 R . . 25 M INN 1.00 1..I 1 22042 09-87-1813 7 1 12 98 9840 R 3 . 1 . . I C F 50 511.030 1 INN 1.00 9826 R . . 50 22083 511.040 09-87-1823 7 3 03 98 1., I 58 M 1 INN 3.00 09-87-1862 7 23 2.00 50 22083 511.040 98 9802 R . . S 50 22042 09-87-1865 1 21 F 2,00 511.030 98 9801 R . . 1..I INN 36 M 50 22083 511,040 09-87-1773 7 5 01 80 8004 R . . В F 3.00 50 22022 511.030 09-87-1840 4 01 58 5825 R . . 69 F INN ۶ 3.00 5825 R . . 50 22083 09-87-1846 6 02 58 3.00 511.040 1 . . A I 50 22021 09-87-1867 7 77 7719 R . . 511.020 1 . . A 31 M 1 INN 50 22083 09-87-1928 7 7 03 36 3601 R . . В F 3.00 511.040 1 . . I 50 22083 511.040 09-87-1890 6 01 98 9835 R . . 1 . . I INN 3.00 50 22083 09-87-1969 5 09 98 9801 U . . 1 . . A C 1.00 511.040 50 22083 09-87-1987 4 01 5808 R . . 1 . . I 511.040 58 В 3,00 50 22083 09-87-1905 7 02 8010 R . . B F 3.00 511.040 7 80 50 22022 511.030 09-87-1921 3 01 58 5808 R . . 24 F INN 3.00 22 F 50 22022 511.030 09-87-1929 7 6 01 58 5808 R . . 1., I 1 INN 3.00 50 22083 09-87-1931 7 36 3641 R . . 1..I 1.00 511,040 1 08 В 511,030 7709 R . . F 50 22022 09-87-1935 7 7 21 77 i..A 2.00 INN 50 22083 511.040 09-87-1936 5 00 36 3622 R . . 3,00 50 22021 09-87-1947 2 21 2.00 511.020 7 36 3601 R . . 87 M INN 1 50 22042 511.030 09-87-1953 3 13 36 3602 R . . 1 . . I 55 F INN 1,00 50 22083 09-87-1962 5 04 98 9848 R . . F 3.00 511.040 7 6 50 22022 511.030 09-87-1946 36 3622 R . . 1 . . I . F 1 INN 50 22022 511.030 09-87-1967 7 5 12 98 9825 R . . 1. . I 16 F 1 INN 1.00 09-87-2231 3601 R . . 3.00 50 22021 511.020 9 2 01 36 40 M 1 3 INN 1.00 50 22083 511,040 09-87-2236 5 08 36 3601 R . . 1 INN 50 22083 09-87-2245 3 01 3612 R . . F 3,00 511.040 36 В 50 22083 09-87-2254 F 511.040 9 5 11 58 5809 R . . В 1.00 55083 09-87-1984 9 55 98 9818 R 3 . 2.00 50 511.040 8 55 M INN 1 1 50 22022 7 21 36 89 W 1 2.00 511.030 09-87-1965 8 3624 R . . 1 . . I 1 INN 50 22021 511.020 09-87-1989 1 12 36 3606 R . . 1..A 32 F 1 3 INN 1.00

| | | | | | V D | | C N | | | C R | | | | S | Δ | q | | | | | | | |
|---------|-------|---------|------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-----|-----|--------|-----|-----|-----|---------|----------|-----|---------|----------|------|-------|---------|--------|--------------|
| | | | | | A | | ſ | U | | C | | D | | S | | | | Į | U | | | | |
| UCR VCO | DDE | KRS | CASENUM | HTMMV | Y | Ε | Y OCIT | / R | V | N | | | | | | | E MSTAT | | | INJRD | SELFINF | RSDNT | SHIFT |
| 50 220 | 220 | 511.030 | 09-87-1716 | 7 | | 5 | 5809 | 7 R | | | 1 | | . І | ŧ | 52 ! | H 1 | 1 | I | N | N | | F | |
| 50 220 | 1-680 | 511.040 | 09-87-1720 | 7 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | 34 | | 1 | | N | | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 220 | 83 | 511.040 | 09-87-1722 | 7 | 4 | 04 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | S | | •• | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 220 | 15(| 511.020 | 09-87-1726 | 7 | 6 | 03 91 | | | | | | | | | 8 1 | | 3 | | N | N | | Р | 3.00 |
| 50 220 | 93 | 511.040 | 09-87-1727 | 7 | 6 | 01 98 | | | | | | . , | | | 19 1 | | 1 | | N | | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 220 | 83 | 511.040 | 09-87-1729 | 7 | 6 | 01 98 | | | | | | | | | 12 | | 1 | | N | | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 220 | | 511.040 | 09-87-1730 | 7 | 6 | 12 98 | | | | | | | | | | | | S | | ••• | | F | 1.00 |
| 50 220 | | 511.030 | 09-87-1733 | 7 | 6 | 22 98 | | | | | | | | | 10 1 | M 1 | 1 | | N | N | | F | 2.00 |
| 50 220 | | 511.020 | 09-87-1736 | 7 | 7 8 | 22 38 | | | | | | | | | 5 1 | | | | N | | | F | 2.00 |
| 50 220 | | 511.040 | 09-87-1740 | 7 | 6 |)4 | 3602 | R | | | | | | | | | | G | | | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 220 | | 511.030 | 09-87-1744 | 7 | 7 (| 98 98 | 9845 | R | | | 1 | | I | | 0 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | N | N | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 220 | | 511.040 | 09-87-1746 | 7 | 1 (| 01 38 | 3603 | R | 2. | | 1 | | I | | | | | B | | | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 220 | | 511.040 | 09-87-1747 | 7 | 1 1 | 7 36 | 3641 | R | | | 1 | | I | | | | | 0 | | | | F | 2.00 |
| 50 220 | | 511.030 | 09-87-1754 | 7 | 2 (|)4 36 | 3622 | R | , , | | | | | 6 | 4 F | 1 1 | 1 | I | N | N | | P | 3.00 |
| | | 511.040 | 09-87-1775 | 7 | 5 (| 2 58 | 5801 | U | | | | | | | | | | В | | | | F | 3.00 |
| | | 511.030 | 09-87-1783 | 7 | 5 1 | 3 36 | 3645 | R | | | 1 | | I | 2 | 9 h | 1 | 1 | | N | N | | F | 1.00 |
| 50 220 | | 511.030 | 09-87-1800 | 7 | 1 (| 1 80 | 8010 | R | | | | | | | 2 H | | 3 | | N | | | P | 3.00 |
| 50 220 | | 511.030 | 09-87-1806 | 7 | 5 1 | 5 36 | | | | | | | | | 5 M | | 3 | | N | | | F | 1.00 |
| 50 2204 | | 511.030 | 09-87-1813 | 7 | 1 1 | 2 98 | | | | | | | | C | | | 3 | | N | | | F | 1.00 |
| 50 2208 | | 511.040 | 09-87-1823 | 7 | 3 (| 3 98 | 9826 | R | | | | | | | 8 M | | 1 | | N | | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 2208 | 83 5 | 511.040 | 09-87-1862 | 7 | 7 2 | 3 98 | | | | | | | | | | | - | S | • | | | F | 2.00 |
| 50 2204 | 42 | 511.030 | 09-87-1865 | 7 | 1 8 | 1 98 | 9801 | R | | | | | | | 6 M | 1 | 1 | | N | N | | F | 2.00 |
| 50 2208 | 83 5 | 511.040 | 09-87-1773 | 7 | 5 0 | 1 80 | | | | | | | | | • | | - | В | •• | ., | | , F | 3.00 |
| 50 2202 | 22 5 | 511.030 | 09-87-1840 | 7 | 4 0 | 1 58 | | | | | í | | | | - 9 F | 1 | 5 | | N | N | | , F | 3.00 |
| 50 2208 | 83 5 | 511.040 | 09-87-1846 | 7 | 6 0 | 2 58 | 5825 | | | | | | | | | | _ | Ī | •• | •• | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 2208 | 21 5 | 511.020 | 09-87-1867 | 7 | i | 77 | | | | | 1 | | | | i M | 1 | 1 | Ī | N | N | | F | , |
| 50 2208 | 83 5 | 511.040 | 09-87-1928 | 7 | 7 0 | 3 36 | 3601 | | | | 1. | | | | | • | - | B | • | | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 2208 | 83 5 | 511.040 | 09-87-1690 | 7 | 6 0 | i 98 | 9835 | R. | | | i i | | | | 3 M | 1 | 1 | I | N I | N | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 2208 | B3 5 | 511.040 | 09-87-1969 | 7 | 5 0 | 9 98 | 9801 | U. | | | 1. | | | | | | | S | ••• | ••• | | F | 1.00 |
| 50 2208 | | 511.040 | 09-87-1887 | 7 | 40 | 1 58 | 5808 | R. | | | 1. | | | | , | | | В | | | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 2208 | | 511.040 | 09-87-1905 | 7 | 7 0 | 2 80 | 8010 | R. | | | i, | | | | | | | В | | | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 2202 | 22 5 | 511.030 | 09-97-1921 | 7 | 3 0 | 1 58 | 5808 | R. | | | 1. | | | 51 | F | | | I | N | N | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 2202 | 22 5 | 11.030 | 09-87-1929 | | 6 0 | | 5808 | | | | i. | | | | : F | 1 | | I | | | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 2208 | 33 5 | 511.040 | 09-87-1931 | 7 | 1 0 | 8 36 | 3641 | R. | | | 1. | | | | | | | В | | • | | F | 1.00 |
| 50 2202 | 22 5 | 11.030 | 09-87-1935 | 7 | 7 2 | 1 77 | 7709 | | | | 1. | | | | Ħ | 1 | 1 | I | N) | N | | F | 2.00 |
| 50 2208 | 33 5 | 11.040 | 09-87-1936 | 7 | 2 0 | 0 36 | 3855 | R. | | | 1. | | | | | | | В | | • | | , F | 3.00 |
| 50 2202 | 21 5 | 11.020 | 09-87-1947 | | | 1 36 | 3601 | | | | i. | | | | K | 1 | | Ī | N) | ų. | | , F | 2.00 |
| 50 2204 | 12 5 | 11.030 | 09-87-1953 | | 3 1 | | 3605 | | | | i. | | | | F | i | | I | | | | , F | 1.00 |
| 50 2208 | 33 5 | 11.040 | 09-87-1962 | | 5 () | | 9848 | | | | i. | | | | , | | | G . | • | • | | | 3.00 |
| 50 2202 | 22 5 | 11.030 | 09-87-1946 | 9 | | 36 | 3622 | | | | 1. | | | | F | i | | I | N A | v | | F | |
| 50 2202 | 22 5 | 11,030 | 09-87-1967 | 7 ; | 5 12 | | 9825 | | | | 1. | | | | F | i | | Ιŀ | | | | = | 1.00 |
| 50 2202 | | 11.020 | 09-87-2231 | | 2 0: | | 3601 | | | | i. | | | | M | i | | I / | | | | ; F | 3.00 |
| 50 2208 | | 11.040 | 09-87-2236 | | 2 08 | | | | | | 1. | | | | М | i | | Ιį | | | ! | | 1.00 |
| 50 2208 | | 11.040 | 09-87-2245 | | 3 0: | | | | | | i. | | | | | | | В | . 1 | 1 | 1 | | 3.00 |
| 50 2208 | | | 09-87-2254 | | i 11 | | 5809 | | | | i. | | | | | • | | В | | | 1 | | 1.00 |
| 50 2208 | | 11.040 | 09-87-1984 | | 26 | | 9818 | | | | 1. | | | | H | i | | o I M | j k | 1 | í | | 2.00 |
| 50 2202 | | | 09-87-1985 | | 21 | | | | | | 1. | | | 99 | | 1 | | I N | | | r F | | |
| 50 2202 | | | 09-87-1989 | | | | 3606 | | | | 1. | | | 35 | | i | | Ιħ | | | | | 2.00 1.00 |
| | | | | | | | | • | • | | | • | •• | | ' | • | | . 1 | , 11 | • | ſ | | 1100 |

| | | | | • | T V D I A M | C N T | | U | { F | ; | | D f | T S | S T S | G I | E | | Ų | | | | |
|-----|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------|-------------------|-------------|-------|----|--------|-----|-----|-----|--------|-------------|------------|--------|-------|---|----------|------------|--------|-------|
| UCF | VCODE | KRS | CASENUX | HTMMV | ΥE | Y | OCITY | RV | 0 1 | 1 4 | CTM | RF | 1 | 2 | Ε) | X RACE | MSTAT | Ţ | I INJI | RD SELFINF | RSDNT | SHIFT |
| 5(| 22041 | 511.020 | 09-87-2044 | 8 | 4 21 | 98 | 9948 | R. | | | 1 | | . A | 3 | 9 1 | H 1 | i | I | N | | F | 2.00 |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2285 | | 6 11 | 98 | | | | | | | | | 6 1 | M i | 1 | I | NN | | F | 1.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2283 | | 7 12 | 48 | | | | | | | | | | | | В | | | F | 1.00 |
| | 22083-1 | | 09-87-1858 | | 1 02 | 36 | | | | | | | | | | | | В | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2159 | | 7 01 | 80 | | | | | | | | | | | | В | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 52055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2126 | | 3 18 | 36 | | | | | | | | | 1 1 | F 1 | i | | NN | | F | 2.00 |
| | 22041 | 511.020 | 09-87-2127 | | 3 23 | 98 | | | | | | | | | 8 1 | | | Ī | | | F | 2.00 |
| | 22013 | 511.040 | 09-87-2097 | | 1 03 | 36 | | | | | | | | | | | - | В | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2098 | | 1 23 | 98 | | | | | | | | | 5 1 | | | | NN | | F | 2.00 |
| | 22021 | 511.020 | 09-87-2114 | | 3 01 | 77 | | | | | | | | | 5 1 | | 1 | | NN | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2117 | | 2 01 | 36 | | | | | | | | | 2 1 | | | | N | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2207 | | 6 23 | 98 | | | | | | | | | - · | | | | N N | | F | 2.00 |
| | 52055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2129 | | 3 10 | 36 | | | | | | | | | - · 9 | | | | N N | | F | 1.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2111 | | 7 22 | 36 | | | | | | | | | 5 1 | | | | N N | | F | 2.00 |
| | 12023 | 511.020 | 09-87-2151 | | 5 18 | 36 | | | | | | | | | 9 1 | | | | N N | | F | 2.00 |
| | 22021 | 511.020 | 09-87-2128 | | 3 22 | 36 | | | | | | | | | 7 1 | | | | N N | | F | 2.00 |
| | 22021 | 511.020 | 09-87-2180 | | 3 13 | 98 | | | | | | | | | 5 1 | | | | N | | F | 1.00 |
| | 22022 | 511.030 | 09-87-2060 | | 2 04 | 36 | | | | | | | | | 4 1 | | | | N N | | F | 3.00 |
| | 12023 | 511.020 | 09-87-2073 | | 4 02 | 98 | | | | | | | | | 6 1 | | | | N N | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22021 | 511.020 | 09-87-2080 | | 5 06 | 80 | | | | | | | | | 2 1 | | | | N N | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22021 | 511.020 | 09-87-2092 | | 7 02 | 98 | | | | | | | | | 2 i | | | | N N | | , F | 3.00 |
| | 22022 | 511.030 | 09-87-2100 | | 1 03 | 77 | | | | | | | | | 7 1 | | | | N N | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2165 | | 1 04 | 36 | | | | | | | | | 1 1 | | | | N N | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22021 | 511.020 | 09-87-2096 | | 1 04 | 98 | | | | | | | | | 61 | | | I | | | F. | 3.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2120 | | 2 04 | 70 98 | | | | | | | | | | | • | В | • | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22021 | 511.020 | 07-87-2150 | | 5 11 | 78 | | | | | | | | | 2 I | | i | | N | | F | 1.00 |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 07-67-2130 | | 3 00 | 70 98 | | | | | | | | | 0 1 | | | I | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2175 | | 2 12 | 78 | | | | | | | | | 4 F | | | | N N | | F | 1.00 |
| | 22021 | 511.020 | 07-67-2173 | | 5 09 | 70 98 | | | | | | | | | 2 1 | | | | N N | | F | 1.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 07-87-2174 | | 3 05 | 78 | | | | | | | | | | | • | В | 14 14 | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2143 | | 4 10 | 36 | | | | | | | | E 3 | | | í | | ИИ | | F | 1.00 |
| | 22022 | 511.030 | 07-87-2005 | | | | 3644 | | | | | | | | | H 1 | | | NN | | , F | 3.00 |
| | 22042 | 511.030 | 07-87-2053 | | 6 14 | | | | | | | | | . 3 | | | | | N N | | F | 1.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2061 | | 2 | 77 | | | | | | | | | 6 F | | | | NN | | F | |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2094 | | 6 03 | 80 | | | | | | | | | | | L | S | 14 14 | | , E | 3.00 |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2145 | | 5 16 | 98 | | | | | | | | | 5 E | F 1 | 2 | I | M | | F | 2.00 |
| | | | 07-87-2143 | | 5 20 | 70 36 | | | | | | | | | 7 1 | | | I | 14 | | F | 2.00 |
| | 22041 22042 | 511.020 | 09-87-2147 | | 5 11 | 98 | | | | | | | | | , i | | | | NN | | F | 1.00 |
| | | 511.030 511.030 | | | | 36 | 3641 | | | | | | | | , , 5 (| | | I | 14 14 | | F | 5.00 |
| | 22042 | | 09-87-2166 | | 52 1 | | | | | | | | | C 5 | | | | I | N | | F | 2.00 |
| | 22021 | 511.020 | 09-87-2167 | | 5 20 | 98 | 9854 | | | | | | | | | | | | N N | | F | 1.00 |
| | 22041 | 511.020 | 09-87-1992 | | 1 14 6 15 | 98 | 9820 | | | | | | | | 0 I 4 I | | | I | | | F | 1.00 |
| | 15055 | 511.020 | 09-87-2205 | | | 80 | | | | | | | | | 4 I 8 I | | | | N N N | | r F | 3.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2240 | | 1 02 | 36 | | | | | | | | | o 1 0 F | | | | N N | - | F | 2.00 |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-1922 | | | 98 36 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | ī | B | 14 | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2075 | | 5 00 4 01 | | | | | | | | | | 8 i | F 1 | 3 | I | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2187 09-87-2202 | | 4 VI 5 12 | 98 | | | | | | | | | | | | R | | | r F | 1.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 i | | | | N N | | F | 1.00 |
|)G | 55055 | 511,030 | 09-87-2209 | ٥ | 0 12 | 70 | 9822 | Λ. | • | | 1 | | Н | 4 | 7 Î | 1 1 | ī | 1 | 14 14 | | f | 1100 |

| | | | | | V T | C | | | C | | | S | S | | | | | | | |
|------|--------------------|---------|------------|----------|------|------|-------|-------|-----|------|-----|---|------------|------|------------|-----|----------|-----------|--------|-------|
| | | | | | D I | N | | | Ŕ | | | T | T A | S | | | | | | |
| | | | | | A M | T | | ប | C | | DA | S | S G | Ε | | V | J | | | |
| UCF | R VCODE | KRS | CASENUM | VMNTH | YΕ | Y | OCITY | RV | 0 N | VCTM | RR | 1 | 5 E | X RA | CE MSTA | TT | [INJR | D SELFINF | RSDNT | SHIFT |
| - 5(| 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2221 | 8 | 7 03 | 98 | 9822 | R. | | i | | I | | | | В | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 12025 (| 511.020 | 09-87-2211 | | 7 01 | | | R. | | | | | 38 | F | 1 1 | I | N | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22041 | 511.020 | 09-87-2176 | | 4 20 | | | R. | | | | | 37 | | 1 1 | I | N N | | F | 2.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2229 | | 1 20 | | | R. | | | | | | К | 1 1 | I | | | F | 2.00 |
| | 25055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2048 | | 6 08 | | | R. | | | | | 30 | F | 1 3 | | N N | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2067 | | 3 01 | | | R. | | | | | 38 | | 1 1 | | N N | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2460 | | 6 19 | | | R. | | | , . | | 46 | | i 1 | | N N | | F - | 2.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2013 | | 4 01 | | | Ŕ. | | | | | | • | | В | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22021 | 511.020 | 09-87-2012 | | 4 01 | | | R. | | | | | 70 | H | i i | | N N | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2437 | | 5 01 | | | R. | | | | | | •• | | В | • •• | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2401 | | 6 00 | | | . R . | | | | | · | | | B | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2328 | | 6 01 | | | R. | | | | | • | | _ | В | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2348 | | 7 08 | | | R . | | | | | • | | | В | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2361 | | 7 03 | | | R. | | | | | · | | | В | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 15055 (| 511.020 | 09-87-2436 | | 4 18 | | | R 3 | | | | | 47 | H | 1 1 | Ī | Ą | | F | 1.00 |
| |) 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2452 | | 6 08 | | | R. | | | , . | | | •• | • • - | В | • | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22031 | 511.020 | 09-87-2453 | | 6 03 | | | R. | | | | | • | | | В | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2366 | | 5 18 | | | R. | | | | | г ' | | | S | | | F | 2.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 07-87-2235 | | 2 01 | | | R. | | | | | | | • | B | | | F | 3.00 |
| |) 22083-1 | | 09-87-2244 | | 3 08 | | | R 2 | | | | | | | | В | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2354 | | 7 07 | | | R. | | | | | • | | • | В | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2465 | | 6 01 | | | R. | | | | | 46 | м | I 1 | I | I N | | F | 3.00 |
| | 25083 | 511.040 | 07-87-2481 | | 3 03 | | | R. | | | | | | | • • | В | 1 11 | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22041 | 511.020 | 09-87-2491 | | 3 16 | | | R. | | | | | 30 | E | | I | ı | | F | 2.00 |
| | 22022 | 511.030 | 09-87-2363 | | 6 23 | | | R . | | | | | , | | 1 1 | | 4 N | | F | 2.00 |
| | 22083-1 | | 07-87-2466 | | 6 08 | | | Ŕ. | | | | | , | ** | | G | 1 11 | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2469 | | 7 13 | | | R. | | | | | , | | | G | | | , F | 1.00 |
| |) 22022 | 511.030 | 09-87-2261 | | 6 20 | | | R. | | | • • | | 35 | F | 13 | | 1 N | | F | 2.00 |
| | 25083 | 511.040 | 07-87-2282 | | 1 05 | | | R . | | | | | | • | | В | • 11 | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22021 | 511.020 | 07-87-2280 | | 7 18 | | | R. | | | | | 60 | Ę | 1 1 | | 1 N | | F | 2.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 07-87-2308 | | 3 03 | | | R . | | | | | 20 | • | | 8 | · IX | | , F | 3.00 |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2252 | | 4 13 | | | R. | | | | | 30 | м | 1 1 | 1 | | | F | 1.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 07-87-2286 | | 2 01 | | | R | | | | | | 11 | | 5 | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2295 | | 7 09 | | | R 3 | | | | | 51 | M | | | 4 N | | F | 1.00 |
| | 22042 | 511.030 | 07-87-2316 | | 4 10 | | | R. | | | | | 25 | | 1 2 | | 4 N | | F | 1.00 |
| | 12023 | 511.020 | 09-87-2400 | | 6 00 | | | Ŕ. | | | | | C 34 | | 1 3 | I | | | F | 3.00 |
| |) 55055 | 511.020 | 07-87-2334 | | 2 16 | | | iR. | | | | | 60 | | 1 1 | | 4 N | | F | 2.00 |
| |) 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2353 | | 7 15 | | | R. | | | | | 29 | | 1 1 | I | N | | F | 1.00 |
| | 25083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2370 | | 4 23 | | | R. | | | | | 24 | | 1 1 | | 4 N | | F | 2.00 |
| | 55055 | | 09-87-2679 | | 5 02 | | | R. | | | | | 60 | | 1 1 | | 1 N | | F | 3.00 |
| |) 55055) EEVEE | 511.030 | 09-87-2507 | 10 10 | | | | R. | | | | | 68 | | 1 1 | I | | | F | 2.00 |
| | 22021 | 511.030 | | | 5 14 | | | R. | | | | | 77 | | 1.1 | | ₹ ₹ N | | ŗ | 1.00 |
| | | 511.020 | 09-87-2731 | | | | | R. | | | | | | | | I | | | r F | 2.00 |
| | 25055 (| 511.030 | 09-87-2535 | | 4 23 | | | R. | | | | | E 42 21 | | 1 1 1 1 | I | | • | · - | 2.00 |
| | 22042 | 511.030 | 09-87-2747 | | 4 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | r F | 1.00 |
| | 22022 | 511.030 | 09-87-2543 | | 3 18 | | | R. | | | | | | | 13 | I | 1 N | | F | 1.00 |
| | 22021 | 511.020 | 09-87-2744 | | 5 13 | | | R. | | | • • | | | п | ı C | В | 4 (4 | | r F | 2.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2803 | | 5 20 | | | | | | • • | | | c | | | J M | | r F | 1.00 |
| 20 | 22022 | 511,030 | 09-87-2832 | 10 | J 15 | . 70 | 9870 | η . | | 1 | | н | 55 | Γ | 1 1 | 1 (| 4 N | | ŧ | 1.00 |

| | | | | | VT | | | | | С | | | | SS | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-------|---------|------------|-------|--------------|------|---------|----------|---|--------|-------|------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-------|-----|-----|-------|---------|--------------|-------|
| | | | | | DI | | | 11 | | R | | | | T | | | | | | | | | |
| UCR | VCODE | KRS | CASENUM | VMNTI | A H I Y E | | ' OCITY | U R V | | C N | VCTM | | | 3 8 | | | MCTAT | ٧ | | THIDD | SELFINF | DOBNIT | CUIET |
| | | | | | | | COLI | | | 1 | 10111 | 11 1 | 1 | . <u>L</u> | L / | K NHUC | HIGH | ' | ı | THOUD | SELLINE | ואעפא | SHIFT |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2618 | 10 | 7 0 | 4 36 | 3625 | R. | | | 1 | | . I | Ī | . 1 | M 1 | | I | | N | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22021 | 511.020 | 09-87-2631 | | 4 2 | | 9824 | R. | | | | | | | | 1 1 | 1 | I | | | | F | 2.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3172 | 12 | 1 2 | 2 98 | 9836 | R. | | | i | | . I | | | | | B | | | | F | 2.00 |
| | 22021 | 511.020 | 09-87-3009 | | 7 2 | | | | | | 1 | | . A | € 7 | 2 F | 1 | 5 | I | N | N | | F | 2.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2963 | | 7 1 | | | | | | 1 | | . I | | | | | S | | | | F | 1.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2962 | | 70 | | | | | | 1 | | I | | | | | В | | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2997 | | 7 0 | | | | | | 1 | | . I | 3 | 9 F | - 1 | 3 | I | N | N | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2955 | | 4 2 | | | | | | 1 | | I | 4 | 4 F | 1 | 2 | I | N | N | | F | 2.00 |
| | 22042 | 511.030 | 09-87-2988 | | 7 1 | | | | | | 1 | | I | E 4 | 8 F | 1 | 1 | I | | N | | F | 1.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2980 | | 1 0; | | | | | | | | | 4 | | | | I | N | N | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2981 | | 1 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | I | N | N | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2982 | | 1 03 | | | | | | | | | C 4 | 5 M | l i | 1 | I | N | N | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22013 | 511.040 | 09-87-2871 | | 4 0 | | | | | | 1 | | I | | | • | | В | | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2920 | | 6 01 | | 5811 | | | | | | | | | | | S | | | | F | 3.00 |
| | | 511.040 | 09-87-2916 | | 7 03 | | | | | | | | | | | | | В | | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2919 | | 1 04 | | | | | | | | | | , | | | В | | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22041 | 511.020 | 09-87-2923 | | 1 08 | | | | | | 1 | | I | 5: | M | 1 | 1 | I | N I | N | | F | 1.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2934 | 11 | | 36 | 3605 | | | | | | | | r | | | B | | | | F | |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2952 | | 6 03 | | | | | | | | | |) K | | 1 | I | N I | V | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2647 | | 5 19 | | 9820 | | | | | ٠, | | | | | | B | | | | F | 2.00 |
| | 22042 | 511.030 | 09-87-2965 | | 7 12 | | 5801 | | | | 1 | | | | M | | | I | N I | 4 | | F | 1.00 |
| | 52055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2544 | | 7 19 | | 5013 | | | | | | | | M | | 1 | I | N N | Į | | F | 2.00 |
| | 22042 | 511.030 | 09-87-2514 | | 2 11 | | 9866 | | | | 1 | | A | 45 | М | 1 | 1 | I | ¥ | | | F | 1.00 |
| | 22022 | 511.030 | 09-87-2530 | | 1 18 | | 8001 | | | | 1 | | A | 27 | Ħ | 1 | 1 | I | 1 1 | l | | F | 2.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2545 | | 6 23 | | 7705 | | | | | | | | | | | 6 | | | | F | 2.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2651 | | 2 01 | | 5813 | | | | 1. | | | | | | | В | | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2531 | | 7 01 | | 5813 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | В | | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22022 | 511.030 | 09-87-2527 | | 2 05 | | 8005 | | | | i, | | | 25 | | | | I | l N | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22022 | 511.030 | 09-87-2505 | | 6 21 | | 9850 | | | | 1 . | | | 55 | | | | I | | | | F | 2.00 |
| | 22022 | 511.030 | 09-87-2990 | | 3 20 | | 9824 | | | | 1. | | | 19 | | 1 | | IN | | | | N | 2.00 |
| | 22022 | 511.030 | 09-87-2891 | | | 98 | 9863 | | | | | | | 44 | | 1 | | I | | | | F | 2.00 |
| | | 511.030 | | | | | 3953 | | | | 1. | | | 33 | K | 1 | 1 | IN | l N | | | F | 2,00 |
| | 22013 | 511.040 | 09-87-2943 | | | | 3656 | | | | 1. | | | | | • | | В | | | - | F | 1.00 |
| | 22022 | 511.030 | 09-87-2946 | | | | 3615 | | | | i. | | | 40 | | 1 | 1 | IN | N | | 1 | F · | 3.00 |
| | 22022 | 511.030 | 09-87-2878 | | 3 11 | | 9811 | | | | i. | | | 27 | М | 1 | 1 | I | Y | | 1 | F | 1.00 |
| | 2083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2486 | | 9 05 | | 3601 | | | | 1. | | | | | • | l | 3 | | | i | F | 3.00 |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2493 | | 4 12 | | | | | | 1. | | | 21 | | 1 | | IN | | | | F | 1.00 |
| | 2021 | 511.020 | 09-87-2501 | | 5 02 | | 8004 | | | | i. | | | 54 | | 1 | | I N | | | ĺ | F | 3.00 |
| | 2022 | 511.030 | 09-87-2506 | | 7 05 | | 9820 | | | | 1. | | | 33 | K | 1 | 1 | I N | И | | 1 | F | 3.00 |
| | 2083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2836 | | 1 06 | | 3628 | | | | í. | | | | | • | ę | 3 | | | F | = | 3.00 |
| | 2022 | 511.030 | 09-87-2841 | | 7 18 | | 9812 | | | | 1. | | | 30 | | 1 | 1 1 | N | N | | f | F . | 2.00 |
| 50 2 | | 511.030 | 09-87-2842 | | 1 14 | 98 | 9842 | | | | i. | | | 29 | M | 1 . | i 1 | N | N | | F | : | 1.00 |
| 50 2 | | 511.040 | 09-87-2521 | | | 98 | 9833 | | | | 1. | | | | | | I | } | | | F | = | 3.00 |
| 50 2 | | 511.030 | 09-87-2844 | | 1 16 | | 3403 | | | | 1. | • | I | 34 | M | i : | l I | | | | F | 7 | 2.00 |
| 50 2 | | 511.040 | 09-87-2522 | | 2 01 | | 9833 | | | | 1. | | | 1 | | | | } | | | F | . | 3.00 |
| 50 2 | | 511.030 | 09-87-2849 | | | 98 | 9836 | | | | 1. | | | 40 | | 1 | I | N | N | | F | • | 1.00 |
| 50 2 | | 511.040 | 09-87-2882 | | 5 00 | | 3601 | | | | 1. | | | 46 | | 1 | l I | N | N | | F | : | 3.00 |
| 50 2 | こくかざ | 511.040 | 09-87-2536 | 10 | 4 01 | 36 | 3613 8 | ₹. | • | | 1. | • | I | • | | • | B | i | • | | F | • | 3.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | V T | C | | | C | | | S | S | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---------|---------|------------|-----------|------------|----|-------|----------|----------|-----------------|------------|-----|------|------------|---------|---|----|---------|-----------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | ÐI | N | | | R | | | 7 | T A | | | | | | | | |
| HCR | VCODE | KRS | CASENUM | VMNTH | A M Y F | T | OCITY | U R V | C n N | VCTH | D A R R | | | | F MSTAT | V | | INJRD | SELFINF | RSDNT | SHIFT |
| CON | YGOUL | ravu | OnoLaga | 111111111 | | • | | ., , | . | * G : 11 | , | • : | | A 11114 | | ٠ | • | 1(10112 | VLL1 1111 | 1100111 | J., 12. |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2883 | | 5 00 | 36 | 3601 | | | | | | 62 | M I | 1 | | N | N | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2540 | | 4 12 | 36 | 3605 | | | | | | • | • | | B | | | | F | 1.00 |
| 50 | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2884 | | 5 00 | 34 | 3601 | | | | | | 55 | | í | | N | | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2885 | 11 | 5 00 | 36 | 3601 | R. | | i | | I | 41 | M 1 | 1 | I | N | N | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2548 | 10 | 6 04 | 36 | 3616 | R. | | 1 | , , | I | | , | | 8 | | | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2892 | 11 | 6 02 | 36 | 3623 | R. | | 1 | | I | | | | В | | | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2893 | 11 | 5 02 | 36 | 3605 | R. | | 1 | | I | | | | В | | | | F - | 3.00 |
| 50 | 55083 | 511.040 | 09~87~2549 | 10 | 6 02 | 58 | 5822 | R. | | 1 | | I | | | | R | | | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 15055 | 511.020 | 09-87-2899 | 11 | 5 14 | 36 | 3623 | R. | | i | | I | 39 | M 1 | 1 | I | H | N | | F | 1.00 |
| 50 | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2904 | 11 | 7 01 | 36 | 3630 | R. | | 1 | | I | | | | В | | | | F | 3,00 |
| 50 | 22042 | 511.030 | 09-87-2625 | 10 | 3 14 | 58 | 5809 | R. | | 1 | | ĭ | 38 | M 1 | 5 | I | | N | | F | 1.00 |
| 50 | 22022-1 | 511.030 | 09-87-2632 | 10 | 4 19 | 98 | 9854 | R. | , | 1 | | I | 50 | M 1 | 1 | I | N | N | | F | 2.00 |
| 50 | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2824 | 11 | 5 01 | 98 | 9824 | R. | | 1 | | I | | | | B | | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2830 | | 5 01 | 98 | 9824 | | | | | | | | | В | | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2786 | | 6 01 | 98 | | R. | | | | | | | | S | | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2805 | | 5 05 | 36 | 3605 | | | | | | | | | В | | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2810 | | 5 15 | 36 | 3602 | | | | | | 55 | F 1 | 3 | Ī | | N | | F | 1.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2814 | | 3 03 | 98 | 9823 | | | | | | 32 | | i | - | N | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2815 | | 3 04 | 98 | 9827 | | | | | | - | | • | В | ., | • | | F | 3.00 |
| | | 511.040 | 09-87-2823 | | 5 02 | 78 | 7823 | | | | | | • | • | | В | | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22021 | 511.020 | 09-87-2740 | | 7 11 | 77 | 7722 | | | | | | 38 | M 1 | i | | N | N | | F | 1.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2742 | | 7 18 | 80 | 8009 | | | | | | 20 | ,, , | • | S | 17 | ** | | F | 2.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2748 | | 2 00 | 36 | 3605 | | | | | | • | • | | В | | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2760 | | 2 21 | 58 | 5806 | | | | | | • | • | | G | | | • | F | 2.00 |
| | 55055 | | 07-87-2767 | | 3 12 | 98 | 9843 | | | | | | C 52 | | 1 | | N | М | | F | 1.00 |
| | | 511.030 | | | | 36 | | | | | | | נ טב | L I | 1 | I | 14 | И | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2783 | | 6 01 | | 3623 | | | | | | • | • | | _ | | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2798 | | 1 01 | 34 | 3623 | | | | | | 20 | | | B | | t.i | | F | 2.00 |
| | 22042 | 511.030 | 09-87-2837 | | 7 19 | 98 | 9825 | | | | | | 30 | | 1 | | | N | | r F | |
| | 22042 | 511.030 | 09-87-2937 | | 3 14 | 80 | 8005 | | | | | | 81 | | 5 | I | | ā I | | | 1.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2949 | | 5 03 | 36 | 3601 | | | | | | 50 | M I | 1 | | N | N | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22083 | 511,049 | 09-87-2924 | | 2 18 | 36 | 3649 | | | | | | | • | | 8 | | | | F | 2.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 07-87-2906 | | 5 00 | 58 | 5824 | | | | | | • | • | | В | | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55083 | 511,040 | 09-87-2809 | | 5 05 | | 3953 | | | | | | • | , | | B | | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | VV-87-2835 | | 6 19 | | 5809 | | | | | | | | | B | | | | F | 2.00 |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2675 | | 3 19 | | 3614 | | | | | | 29 | | 1 | | N | | | F | 00.5 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2681 | | 6 03 | | 8001 | | | | | | 65 | F i | 1 | | N | Ŋ | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2686 | | 6 03 | | 8005 | | | | | | | | | B | | | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2694 | 10 | 1 08 | 98 | 9848 | | | 1 | | I | E 33 | F 1 | 1 | I | N | N | | F | 1,00 |
| 50 | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2698 | 10 | 5 00 | 36 | 3624 | R. | | i | | I | | | | 0 | | | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2700 | 10 | 5 08 | 36 | 3601 | R. | | 1 | | I | 80 | H 1 | 1 | I | N | N | | F | 1.00 |
| 50 | 22042 | 511.030 | 09-87-2703 | 10 | 3 05 | 36 | 3624 | | | 1 | | A | 43 | F 1 | 1 | I | N | N | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2724 | 10 | 4 14 | 98 | 9867 | R. | | 1 | | I | 74 | F 1 | 2 | I | N | N | | F | 1.00 |
| 50 | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2727 | 10 | 5 00 | 80 | 8004 | Ŕ. | | 1 | , , | I | 40 | M 1 | 1 | I | N | N . | | F | 3.00 |
| | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2732 | 10 | 6 02 | 98 | 9802 | | | | | | 44 | M 1 | 1 | | N | | | F | 3.00 |
| | 12025 | 511.020 | 09-87-2737 | | 6 21 | 98 | 9817 | | | | | | 34 | | 1 | | N | | | F | 2,00 |
| | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2993 | | 6 12 | 98 | 9802 | | | | | | 35 | | 1 | 1 | | N | | F | 1.00 |
| | 22042 | 511.030 | 09-87-2739 | | 7 03 | | 3604 | | | | | | 28 | | 3 | I | | N | | F | 3.00 |
| | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2745 | | | | 9820 | | | | | | 42 | | 1 | | N | | | | 1.00 |

| | | | | | | V T D I | C N | | | | C R | | | 1 | | A | | | | VI | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|---------|------------|-------|------------|--------|-------|----------|------------|--------|---|--------------|------------|---|--------|---|-------|-----|----|-----|-----------|-------|---|-------|
| U | CR | VCODE | KRS | CASENUM | VMN7H | A M Y E | Y | OCITY | U R \ | <i>i</i> 0 | C N | | | | | G E | | CE MS | TAT | | | D SELFINF | RSDNT | , | SHIFT |
| | 50 | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2948 | 11 | 5 03 | 36 | 3601 | R | | | 1 | | . 1 | [| 62 | Н | 1 1 | | I | N N | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-2794 | 11 | 6 00 | 80 | 8001 | R. | | | 1 | | . <i>P</i> | ì | 29 | M | 1 | | I | 1 N | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 15055 | 511.020 | 09-87-3160 | 12 | 5 22 | 36 | 3632 | R | | | 1 | | . 1 | | 33 | K | 1 1 | | I | N N | | F | | 2.00 |
| | | | 511.040 | 09-87-3154 | 12 | 1 00 | 80 | 8004 | R | | | 1 | 6 . 1 | . A | ì | 37 | M | 1 | | ΙI | l N | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 22042 | 511.030 | 09-87-3189 | 12 | 2 21 | 80 | 8001 | R | | | | | | | 33 | M | 1 1 | | I | N N | | F | | 2.00 |
| | | 22021 | 511.020 | 09-87-3147 | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | 23 | | 2 | | | N | | F | | 2.00 |
| | | 15035 | 511.020 | 09-87-3211 | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | 37 | | 1 1 | | I | N N | | F | - | 2.00 |
| | | 22042 | 511.030 | 09-87-3214 | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | 77 | | 2 2 | | I | N N | | F | | 2.00 |
| | | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3219 | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | 56 | H | 1 | | I | N P | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 22041 | 511.020 | 09-87-3221 | | | 36 | | | | | | | | | 36 | | 3 | | I | N N | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3225 | | | 36 | | | | | | | | | | | | | В | | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3229 | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | В | | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3234 | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | 19 | М | 1 1 | | I | N P | | F | | 3,00 |
| | | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3242 | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | 22 | | 3 | | | N N | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3243 | | | | | | | | | | | | 42 | | 1 3 | | | N N | | F | | 2.00 |
| | | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3255 | | | | | | | | | | | | 42 | | 3 | | I | 4 N | | F | | 2.00 |
| | | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3152 | | | | | | | | | | | | 52 | | 1 | | | N N | | F | | 2.00 |
| | | 22042 | 511.030 | 09-87-3059 | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | 36 | | 1 | | II | N | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 22041 | 511.020 | 09-87-3213 | 12 | | | | | | | i | | | | 61 | | 1 2 | | | V N | | F | | 2.00 |
| | | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3158 | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | 29 | | . 3 | | | V N | | F | | 2.00 |
| | | | 511.040 | 09-87-3159 | | | | | | | | | | | | , | | | | В | | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 22042 | 511.030 | 09-87-3089 | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | ΙI | N N | | F | | 1.00 |
| | | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3119 | 12 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | В | | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3128 | 12 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | ı | | S | | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3129 | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | В | | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3130 | 12 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | , | | , | | В | | • | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3142 | 12 | 6 12 | 98 | | | | | 1 | | . 1 | | 29 | М | 1 1 | | 1 | N V | | F | | 1.00 |
| | | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3148 | 12 | 6 02 | 36 | 3614 | R. | | | 1 | | . I | • | 26 | Ħ | 3 | | 11 | N N | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 22022 | 511.030 | 09-87-3149 | 12 | 6 01 | 36 | 3612 | R | | | 1 | | . F | } | 27 | F | 1 3 | | I | N N | | ۴ | | 3.00 |
| | | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3156 | 12 | 7 02 | 77 | | | | | 1 | | . f | } | | | | | S | | | F | | 3,00 |
| | | 15055 | 511.020 | 09-87-3161 | 12 | 7 14 | 98 | 9807 | R | | | 1 | | . 1 | | 59 | M | 1 3 | | I | V N | | F | | 1.00 |
| | | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3164 | 12 | 7 07 | 36 | 3605 | R | | | 1 | | . I | | | | | | В | | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 22041 | 511.020 | 09-87-3111 | | | 77 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 1 | | I | N V | | F | | 1.00 |
| | | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3091 | | | 58 | | | | | 1 | | . A | | | | | | В | | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3092 | | | 58 | | | | | | | | ł | | | | | В | | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3093 | | | 58 | | | | | | | | | | | , | | B | | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3094 | | 1 01 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | В | | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3095 | | | 58 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 | | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3114 | | | 98 | | R | | | i | | .] | | 24 | M | 1 1 | | I | N P | | F | | 1.00 |
| | | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3115 | | | 98 | | | | | | | | | 30 | | 1 1 | | I | N N | | F | | 1.00 |
| | | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3133 | | | 98 | | R | . , | | | | | | 47 | М | 1 1 | | I | N N | | F | | 2.00 |
| | | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3033 | | | 58 | | | | | | | | | 39 | | 1 3 | | | N | | F | | 1.00 |
| | | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3034 | | | | | | | | | | | | 36 | | 1 2 | | | N F | | F | | 2.00 |
| | | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3041 | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | 19 | | 1 | | | N N | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3016 | | | 77 | | | | | | | | | 24 | | 1 1 | | | N N | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3021 | | | 77 | | | | | | . , | | | | | | | В | | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3023 | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | 53 | | 1 1 | | | N | | F | | 3.00 |
| | | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3014 | | | | 9863 | | | | | | | | 52 | | 1 3 | | | N | | F | | 2.00 |
| | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | VT | C | | | | C | | | | s s | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|-------|--------------|------------|-------|-------|-----|-------|----|-----|----|------|---|-----|-----|----|---|------|-------|---|---|-------|---------|-------|-------|
| | | | | | DI | N | | | • | R | | | | ŢŢ | A | S | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | A M | T | | U | | С | | | | s s | | _ | | | | U | | | | |
| UCR | VCODE | KRS | CASENUM | VMNTH | ΥE | γ | OCITY | R | / 0 | N | VCTM | R | R | 1 2 | Ε | X | RACE | MSTAT | T | I | INJRD | SELFINF | RSDNT | SHIFT |
| 50 | 22042 | 511.030 | 09-87-3004 | 12 | 5 05 | 98 | 9863 | R | | | 1 | | • | I | 44 | F | i | 2 | I | N | N | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2992 | 12 | 5 05 | 80 | 8003 | R. | | | 1 | | | I | 45 | Ħ | 1 | 1 | I | N | N | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 22042 | 511.030 | 09-87-3063 | 12 | 4 02 | 58 | 3648 | R | | | 1 | | ι | I | 18 | M | 1 | 1 | Ī | N | N | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 22021 | 511.020 | 09-87-3085 | 12 | 7 02 | 77 | 7720 | R. | | | 1 | , | | I | 56 | X | 1 | 5 | I | N | N | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-2516 | 10 | 7 05 | 36 | 3612 | R. | | | 1 | | | I | 33 | F | 1 | 5 | I | N | N | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3037 | 12 | 7 02 | 98 | 9827 | R. | | | 1 | | . : | I | 65 | M | 1 | 1 | I | N | N | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3081 | 12 | 6 03 | 77 | 7705 | Ŕ. | | | 1 | | | I | | | | | В | | | | F - | 3.00 |
| 50 | 22013 | 511.040 | 09-87-3104 | 12 | 5 03 | 36 | 3405 | R. | | | 1 | 1 | . : | I | | | | | В | | | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3122 | 12 | 2 05 | 98 | 9824 | R. | | | 1 | 8 | | I | 31 | F | 1 | 3 | I | N | N | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3131 | 12 | 4 01 | 98 | 9820 | Ü. | | | 1 | | . 1 | Ī | | F | 1 | 3 | I | N | N · | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3134 | 12 | 4 00 | 36 | 3601 | R. | | | 1 | | . : | I | 67 | F | i | 5 | I | N | N | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3155 | 12 | 6 15 | 58 | 5809 | R. | | | 1 | | .] | ĺ | 31 | F | 1 | 3 | I | N | | | F | 1.00 |
| 50 | 22042 | 511.030 | 09-87-3232 | 12 | 1 19 | 36 | 3635 | R. | | | 1 | | . 4 | 4 | 40 | Ħ | 1 | 3 | I | N | N | | F | 2.00 |
| 50 | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3217 | 12 | 7 23 | 98 | 9809 | R. | | | 1 | | , 1 | ĺ | 50 | F | 1 | i | I | N | N | | F | 2.00 |
| 50 | 55083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3186 | 12 | 4 01 | 58 | 5820 | R. | | | 1 | | .] | ſ | | | | | B | | | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 22083 | 511.040 | 09-87-3204 | 12 | 6 04 | 77 | 7701 | R. | • | | | | .] | | | | | | В | | | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 88055 | 511.040 | 09-87-3223 | 12 | 4 01 | 77 | 7705 | R. | | | | | . 1 | | 28 | M | 1 | i | I | N | N | | F | 3.00 |
| 50 | 55055 | 511.030 | 09-87-3226 | 12 | 1 22 | 98 | 9801 | | | | | | . 1 | | 71 | | 1 | i | | N | | | F | 2.00 |
| NUME | ER OF | CASES READ = | 306 NUMB | ER OF | CASES | LIS | | | | 96 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |