

THE 1989 JAIL REPORT:

Minors Detained in California

Jails and Lockups in 1989

129871

U.S. Department of Justice
National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

California Department of the
Youth Authority

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

129871

State of California

Department of the Youth Authority

Research Division

March 1991

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PETE WILSON,
GOVERNOR



YOUTH AND ADULT CORRECTIONAL AGENCY

JOE G. SANDOVAL,
Secretary

DEPARTMENT OF THE YOUTH AUTHORITY

Elaine Duxbury, Chief
Research Division

Ted Palmer
Research Manager

* * * *

Author:
Robert F. Wedge
Research Analyst II

* * * *

Jackie Hummel
Statistical Clerk

Marian Navin
Student Assistant

Karen Deering
Office Technician (Typing)

B. T. Collins,
Director

Francisco J. Alarcon,
Chief Deputy Director

Clyde McDowell, Deputy Director
Institutions and Camps Branch

George McKinney, Deputy Director
Parole Services Branch

Wilbur A. Beckwith, Deputy Director
Prevention and Community Corrections Branch

Barbara Allman, Deputy Director
Administrative Services Branch

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Highlights.....	iii
Legislation Affecting Secure Confinement of Minors.....	1
Role of the Youth Authority.....	2
Monitoring System.....	3
Definitions.....	4
1989 Annual Survey.....	5
Number of Minors in Secure Confinement.....	7
Number of Minors in Confinement, 1984 Through 1989.....	8
Conclusions.....	9
APPENDIX A: Monthly Log For the Confinement of Minors.....	11
APPENDIX B: Annual Survey of Jails and Lockups.....	13
APPENDIX C: Monthly Report on the Secure Confinement of Minors in Jails/Lockups.....	15
APPENDIX D: Law Enforcement Agencies That Confined Minors for More Than 24 Hours During 1989 and Agencies That Confined Minors for More Than 24 Hours in 1987, 1988, and 1989.....	17
APPENDIX E: Agencies Reporting Confinement of Minors During 1989: Change in Confinements from 1988 and Maximum Length of Confinement in 1989.....	19

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1: Results of 1989 Annual Survey of Law Enforcement Agencies....	6
TABLE 2: Number of Agencies That Confined Minors During 1989, by Type of Facility and Length of Confinement.....	7
TABLE 3: Number of Minors Placed in Secure Confinement by Police and Sheriff Agencies and by Type of Facility in 1989.....	8
TABLE 4: Number of Minors Confined 6 to 24 Hours and Over 24 Hours, 1984 to 1989.....	9

MINORS IN JAILS AND LOCKUPS
1989 Monitoring Report
Highlights

Annual Survey

- The 1989 Annual Survey of Minors in Jails and Lockups was distributed to 555 law enforcement agencies. The response rate was 100%.
- Of agencies surveyed, 301 (54%) reported that they placed one or more minors in secure confinement during 1989.

Monthly Reporting System

- Monthly reports submitted by these agencies indicated that 50,636 minors were securely confined during 1989. There were 51,669 such confinements in 1988.
- Of the 1989 confinements, 49,990 were securely confined for less than 6 hours, 534 were held between 6 and 24 hours, and 112 were held over 24 hours. Of those held over 24 hours, 87 were confined by order of the court.
- From 1984 to 1989, the number of minors confined between 6 and 24 hours dropped from 11,249 to 534. The number of agencies that confined minors from 6 to 24 hours decreased from 80 to 63.
- During the same period, confinements over 24 hours dropped 77%, from 485 to 112.

MINORS IN JAILS AND LOCKUPS
1989 Monitoring Report

This annual monitoring report contains information on the number of minors 17 years or younger placed in secure confinement conditions in California law enforcement facilities during calendar year 1989. The Department of the Youth Authority is authorized to collect data on such confinements by various sections of the State Welfare and Institutions Code: 207.1, 209 (b) and (c), and 1752.7. In addition, regulations of the Federal Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) require that each state adequately monitor the population of minors in jails and other detention facilities and that the results of such monitoring be reported annually to OJJDP.

There are further reasons for the collection of law enforcement monitoring data. The Welfare and Institutions (W&I) Code directs the Youth Authority to inspect or certify facilities that confine minors in order to ascertain that confinement standards have been met. The monitoring system is the method by which such facilities are identified.

Furthermore, these data published by the Youth Authority represent the only information available on the number of minors confined in law enforcement facilities. Lastly, it is hoped that the information contained in these reports will be useful to local agencies in planning and assessing detention needs for juveniles.

Legislation Affecting Secure Confinement of Minors

On January 1, 1987, Senate Bill 1637 made several additions and revisions to the Welfare and Institutions Code.¹ Among the additions was Section 207.1,

¹Senate Bill 1637 (Chapter 1271), Statutes of 1986, amended W&I Code Sections 206, 207, 209, and 707.1. The following sections were added: 207.1, 209(b), 209(c), and 210.2.

which prohibits the use of adult jails for the confinement of a minor, unless the minor is 16 or 17 years old and has been remanded to adult court for proceedings on a serious or violent felony (W&I Code 707(b)). Lockups (defined separately from jails—see below) may be used for temporary confinement of a minor—not to exceed 6 hours—if all of the following conditions are met: The minor is:

1. 14 years or older;
2. charged with a law violation (W&I 602);
3. "serious security risk of harm to self and others."

The W&I Code stipulates that agencies that securely confine minors are required to maintain some form of a log describing each confinement and to report such confinements to the Youth Authority. To assist agencies, the Youth Authority provided an example of a log that might be used to satisfy this requirement (see Appendix A).

Role of the Youth Authority

Existing legislation requires that the Youth Authority inspect any facility that reported holding one or more minors in excess of 24 hours. The legislation of 1987 directed the Youth Authority to carry out the following additional tasks:

1. advise each law enforcement agency as to the provisions of SB 1637;
2. provide, upon request, technical assistance to develop alternatives to the use of jails and lockups for the confinement of minors;
3. inspect any jail or lockup that reported holding minors for any length of time in 1984 or 1985 to determine if it is an appropriate place to securely confine minors.

Annually, each jail and lockup that confines or plans to confine minors shall certify that the facility is in conformity with standards for confinement of minors. The Youth Authority may then inspect any facility it has reason to believe may not be in compliance with standards. Lack of compliance would include holding a minor in secure confinement in excess of the allowable six hours. If it is found that a facility is not being operated in conformity with standards, the Youth Authority may make such an announcement and give the facility 60 days to achieve compliance. If compliance is not achieved, the Department may decertify the facility for the secure detention of minors (i.e., prohibit such detention) until such time that re-inspection determines that the conditions that rendered the facility unsuitable have been remedied and the facility now meets all requirements of the law.

Monitoring System

Enactment of SB 1637 required the Youth Authority to develop an appropriate data monitoring system designed to obtain the following information:

1. Identification of law enforcement facilities that securely confine minors for any length of time.
2. Type of youths confined (W&I 602 or 707).
3. Length of confinement.
4. Type of facility (i.e., jail or lockup).

The data monitoring system developed by the Youth Authority uses two separate reporting forms: the Annual Survey of Jails and Lockups (Appendix B) and the Monthly Report on the Secure Confinement of Minors in Jails or Lockups (Appendix C).

Annual survey. Usually during the first week of January, the Annual Survey is mailed to all law enforcement agencies in the state. Recipients include sheriff departments (county jails and substations) and police departments (stations and precincts). Each detention location is counted separately. For instance, when surveys are mailed to the San Diego City Police Department, they are sent to a headquarters agency and each of six local stations or precincts.

The purpose of the survey is to identify those agencies that securely confined minors during the preceding year. The survey asks whether or not minors had been securely confined and, if so, what was the maximum length of confinement: (1) 6 hours or less, (2) more than 6 but not over 24 hours, or (3) over 24 hours.

Monthly report. Those agencies identified as having securely confined one or more minors in the survey year are required to submit monthly reports during the subsequent year, even for months when no minors were confined.

Definitions

Type of facility. W&I Code 207.1(i) provides the following definitions for type of facility:

Jail - Any building which contains a locked facility, the purpose of which is to detain (1) adults charged with violations of criminal law pending trial or (2) adult offenders sentenced for less than one year.

Lockup - Any locked room or secure enclosure in a law enforcement agency which is primarily for the temporary confinement of adults upon arrest.

For purposes of monitoring, any agency not classified as a jail, but which securely confines minors, will be considered a lockup.

Secure confinement. W&I Code 207.1 does not contain an explicit definition of secure confinement. However, the code implicitly defines such confinement

as occurring when a minor is held, even if only temporarily, in either a jail or lockup. Prior to the implementation of SB 1637, the Youth Authority and an advisory committee containing several law enforcement members developed a mutually comprehensible definition of secure confinement. After undergoing further revisions and refinements, the following definition evolved and has been incorporated for use in the Youth Authority's monitoring system:

Secure confinement occurs when a minor is either (1) detained in a jail or (2) locked in a room/enclosure and/or secured to a cuffing rail or other stationary object while in custody in a law enforcement facility.

This definition is consistent with the purpose of the monitoring system and the monthly reports, which is to obtain a count of youths securely confined by law enforcement agencies.

1989 Annual Survey

Table 1 shows the number of agencies included in the 1989 annual survey. Of the 555 agencies surveyed, 301 reported holding one or more minors. The majority (n=229) only confined minors for six hours or less. Of the remainder, 63 agencies sometimes confined a minor for more than six hours (but less than 24). Nine agencies held a minor for more than 24 hours.

Table 1 also indicates how many sheriff and police agencies confined minors. Of the 169 county jails and stations operated by sheriffs' departments, 46 (27%) reported holding minors. Of the 386 police department facilities, 255 (66%) reported holding minors.

Type of facilities surveyed. Each law enforcement agency that securely confines minors is classified as either a jail or a lockup, as defined earlier. The only facilities that may confine youths over 24 hours are jails

TABLE 1
Results of 1989 Annual Survey of
Law Enforcement Agencies

Survey Response	No. of Agencies		
	Total	Sheriff	Police
TOTAL SURVEYS DISTRIBUTED	555	169	386
Did Not Hold Minors	254	123	131
REPORTED HOLDING MINORS	301	46	255
6 Hours or Less	229	28	201
Over 6 But Under 24 Hrs.	63	9	54
Over 24 Hours	9	9	0

(and youths must be WIC 707(b) cases). Other minors may be temporarily confined in lockups (never in jails), but not for more than six hours.² The Youth Authority has attempted to determine appropriate classifications for all law enforcement facilities, based on information supplied by the agencies.

Length of confinement. Table 2 shows the maximum length of confinement reported, by type of facility. Of the 13 facilities classified as jails, eight held minors over 24 hours. Of the 288 lockups, 62 held one or more minors for more than 6 but less than 24 hours and one held a minor for more than 24 hours. Appendix D lists the nine agencies that reported confinement of one or more minors for more than 24 hours during 1989.

²The law (SB 236) allowed counties with no juvenile halls (and an offshore facility) to request an exemption from the provisions of SB 1637 through July 1, 1990.

TABLE 2

Number of Agencies That Confined Minors During 1989,
by Type of Facility and Length of Confinement

Maximum Length of Confinement	Type of Facility		
	Total	Jails	Lockups
6 Hours or Less	229	3	226
Over 6 But Under 24 Hrs.	63	0	63
Over 24 Hours	9	9	0
TOTAL	301	12	289

Number of Minors in Secure Confinement

During 1989, the annual survey indicated that 301 law enforcement agencies had placed one or more minors in temporary secure confinement.³ These agencies are listed in Appendix E. The list shows the number of minors confined and for what lengths of time.

Table 3 shows that a total of 50,636 minors were securely confined. Of this number, 49,990 (98.7%) were held for periods of six hours or less. In fact, many agencies have indicated that their policy is to process and release or transfer minors in less than four hours (and some policies direct a maximum of two hours).

There were 534 minors held over six but less than 24 hours in 63 facilities. In 30 of these facilities, only one or two minors were held over six hours. There were 112 minors held in excess of 24 hours (down from 168 in 1988). Of these, 87 were identified as being confined in conjunction with a court order.

³However, nine of these agencies had not yet been placed on the monthly reporting system. Therefore, numbers of minors confined in these nine facilities are not available. These agencies began reporting monthly in 1990.

TABLE 3

Number of Minors Placed in Secure Confinement
by Police and Sheriff Agencies in 1989

Length of Confinement	Total Minors	Type of Agency	
		Police	Sheriff
TOTAL	50,636	45,924	4,712
6 Hrs. or Less	49,990	45,523	4,467
Over 6 But Under 24 Hrs.	534	401	133
Over 24 Hrs.	112	0	112

Number of Minors Placed in Secure Confinement
by Type of Facility in 1989

Length of Confinement	Total Minors	Type of Facility	
		Jail	Lockup
TOTAL	50,636	189	50,447
6 Hrs. or Less	49,990	69	49,921
Over 6 But Under 24 Hrs.	534	8	526
Over 24 Hrs.	112	112	0

Number of Minors in Confinement, 1984 Through 1989

Since 1984, data have been collected on confinements of more than six hours. These data are shown in Table 4. The number of confinements 6 to 24 hours decreased from 11,249 in 1984 to 636 in 1987, the year SB 1637 became effective. The number decreased to 562 in 1988 and to 534 in 1989. Confinements over 24 hours have decreased from 485 in 1984 to 112 in 1989. Table 4 indicates a slight increase from 1987 to 1988 in 24-hour confinements. This is totally due to an increase in the number of adult court remands (see

note, Table 4). Appendix D lists those agencies that confined minors for more than 24 hours during the three years since the passage of SB 1637 (1987, 1988, and 1989).

TABLE 4

Number of Minors Confined 6 to 24 Hours
and Over 24 Hours, 1984 to 1989

Year	6 to 24 Hours		Over 24 Hours	
	No. of Agencies	No. of Minors	No. of Agencies	No. of Minors
1984	80	11,249	29	485
1985	75	9,995	27	315
1986	74	7,691	20	190
1987	51	636	9*	114
1988	76	562	9*	168
1989	63	534	9	112

*Includes one agency which did not submit monthly reports enumerating confinements over 24 hours.

Note. Of the 114 confinements in 1987, 81 were W&I 707(b) cases. In 1988, 133 of the 168 cases were so classified. Therefore the increase in confinements over 24 hours from 1987 to 1988 is totally due to an increase in W&I 707(b) cases.

Conclusions

The monitoring system developed by the Youth Authority in response to SB 1637 seems to be operating efficiently. In the course of the annual survey, 19 additional agencies were identified and added to the master list of agencies to be surveyed annually. Also, 28 agencies that were not reporting confinements in previous years began reporting in 1989.

Accuracy of the reporting system is continually improving. During 1989 and 1990, frequent contacts between Youth Authority and law enforcement staff have served to remove much misconception and erroneous reporting. Prevention and Community Corrections Branch staff have worked with local agencies to reduce inappropriate confinements of minors and to improve their systems for reporting to the Youth Authority. The Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention has conducted an audit of a selected number of law enforcement agencies and other detention facilities. These efforts should add to the accuracy of the system.

The reports for 1989 indicate that over 50,000 minors were securely confined in law enforcement facilities during the year. This figure is about half the 100,000-plus confinements that were recorded by the Youth Authority's monitoring system each year from 1976 to 1982. The numbers confined over 6 hours decreased from over 11,000 in 1984 to 534 in 1989. Those held over 24 hours decreased from 485 in 1984 to 112 in the current year. The number of minors placed in county jails and other sheriff's facilities has been reduced from nearly 27,000 in 1981 to less than 5,000 in 1989.

The data confirm that fewer minors are now being securely confined in sheriff and police facilities. Credit should be given to law enforcement for changing old or established practices and developing new policies and procedures in the handling of minors. The activities of monitoring agencies have contributed to these changes, and the present data monitoring system has clearly documented the decreasing numbers.

APPENDIX A

MONTHLY LOG FOR THE CONFINEMENT OF JUVENILES

Instructions on reverse

Month

Year

County

Facility

Note: Juveniles are those persons under 18 years of age.

A Complete this section for each juvenile placed in secure detention or non-secure custody.									B Complete this section when juvenile is released.			
Juvenile's Name	Sex	Age	Type of Custody	Location Where Confined	Reason for Confinement		When Confined		When Released		Total Time Confined	Type of Release
			S=Secure NS=Non-Secure	Identify Cell, Room, etc.	Code*	Penal Code Section	Mo. Day	Time	Mo. Day	Time	Hrs/Min.	Code*

*See codes on reverse side

JUVENILE CONFINEMENT LOG

Section 207.1(d)(6) W&I Code requires that a log or written record be maintained by each law enforcement agency that holds one or more juveniles in secure confinement for any period of time.

This log is to assist you in maintaining information on juveniles placed in secure detention or non-secure custody. At the end of each month, only the entries of secure confinements on the log should be tallied and entered on the monthly Report on the Secure Confinement of Juveniles in Jails and Lockups. This form is for optional local use and submission to the Youth Authority is not required. However, it may be useful in complying with requirements of Section 207.1(d)(6) W&I Code.

If you have any questions, contact the CYA Data Section at (916) 427-4832.

INSTRUCTIONS

SECTION A

Confinements

Enter information for each juvenile in secure confinement for any length of time. In addition, you may also wish to record information on youths in non-secure custody. At this time only secure confinements are to be included on the pink monthly report for submission to CYA. See definition of secure confinement on the monthly report.

Reason for Confinement Codes

1. Juvenile detained following certification (remand) to adult court, W&I Code, Section 707(b).
2. Disciplinary transfer from county camp or juvenile hall.
3. CYA, detainee, hold, etc.
4. Accused of a felony crime against persons, including homicide, forcible rape, mayhem, kidnapping, aggravated assault, robbery, or extortion.
5. Accused of other felony not listed in #4.
6. Accused of misdemeanor.
7. Hold for another agency.
8. Detained for other reasons.

SECTION B

Type of Release Codes

1. Released on own recognizance.
2. Released on bail.
3. Released to parents/guardians/other.
4. Transferred to juvenile hall.
5. Transferred to other custody (jail, CYA, other).
6. Other type of release.

APPENDIX B

Department of the Youth Authority
Research Division
4241 Williamsborough Drive, Room 216
Sacramento, CA 95823

Agency Name (or address label)

ANNUAL SURVEY OF JAILS AND LOCKUPS: 1989 Calendar Year

YA 10.400 (11/89)

The State Welfare and Institutions Code authorizes the Youth Authority to conduct surveys of law enforcement agencies on the processing of minors under age 18.

ALL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES ARE REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THIS ANNUAL SURVEY. See instructions and definitions on reverse.

I. CHECK THOSE STATEMENTS THAT PERTAIN TO OPERATIONS IN YOUR FACILITY DURING 1989.

- ☐ A. MINORS IN CUSTODY WERE NOT BROUGHT INTO THIS FACILITY IN 1989. If you check this box, no further statements are necessary. Sign and return this form.
- ☐ B. ONE OR MORE MINORS WERE HELD IN NONSECURE CUSTODY DURING 1989. See definition of "nonsecure custody" on reverse. Go to next statement.
- ☐ C. ONE OR MORE MINORS WERE TEMPORARILY HELD IN SECURE CONFINEMENT DURING 1989. See definition of "secure confinement" on reverse.

IF YOU CHECKED BOX C, COMPLETE SECTION II BELOW.

II. CHECK THOSE STATEMENTS THAT PERTAIN TO SECURE CONFINEMENT IN YOUR FACILITY DURING 1989.

- ☐ A. ONE OR MORE MINORS WERE SECURELY CONFINED, BUT NONE FOR MORE THAN 6 HOURS.
- ☐ B. ONE OR MORE MINORS WERE SECURELY CONFINED FOR MORE THAN 6, BUT NOT IN EXCESS OF 24 HOURS.
- ☐ C. ONE OR MORE MINORS WERE SECURELY CONFINED IN EXCESS OF 24 HOURS.

Important Note: Those agencies that held one or more minors in secure confinement for any length of time are required to submit monthly reports. See reporting requirements on reverse side.

COMMENTS:

Signature of Reporting Person

Title

Print or Type Name

Tel. No.

Date

Signature of Department Head

Title

ANNUAL SURVEY OF CALIFORNIA JAILS AND LOCKUPS

INSTRUCTIONS

First, read the definitions of "nonsecure custody" and "secure confinement" below. Then carefully read each statement in Section I. Check those that pertain to operations in your facility during the preceding year.

If you checked Box A in Section I (no minors in custody), sign and return form. No further statements are required.

If you checked Box C in Section I (one or more minors held in secure confinement), complete Section II. Sign and return form.

Monthly Reporting Requirements

If you checked Box C in Section I, or any box in Section II, you are required to submit a report each month to the Youth Authority, even if no minors were held in secure confinement during a given month. The report requires information on the number of minors held in secure confinement and for what length of time. A supply of monthly report blanks may be obtained from the address below.

PLEASE SUBMIT YOUR COMPLETED ANNUAL SURVEY BY FEBRUARY 10, 1990 TO:

Department of the Youth Authority
Jail Data Section, Suite 216
4241 Williamsborough Drive
Sacramento, CA 95823
Telephone (916) 427-4832

DEFINITIONS

Minor: A person under 18 years of age.

Jail: Any building which contains a locked facility, the purpose of which is to detain adults charged with violations of criminal law pending trial or to hold convicted adult criminal offenders sentenced for less than one year. A minor may be securely confined in a jail only if found unfit for juvenile court for an offense listed in 707(b) WIC. (See Section 207.1(b) WIC.)

Lockup: Any locked room or secure enclosure under the control of a sheriff or other peace officer which is primarily for the temporary confinement of adults upon arrest. A minor may be securely confined in a lockup only as provided in Section 207.1(d) WIC. Your mailing label shows whether you have been designated as a jail (J) or as a lockup (L).

Nonsecure Custody: "Nonsecure custody" means that a minor's freedom is controlled by the staff of the facility; and (1) the minor is under constant personal visual observation and supervision by staff of the law enforcement facility; (2) the minor is not locked in a room or enclosure; and (3) the minor is not physically secured to a cuffing rail or other stationary object.

Secure Confinement: Occurs when a person is either (1) detained in a jail or (2) locked in a room/enclosure and/or secured to a cuffing rail or other stationary object while in custody in a law enforcement facility—for any length of time.

APPENDIX C

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF THE YOUTH AUTHORITY
MONTHLY REPORT ON THE SECURE CONFINEMENT
OF MINORS IN JAILS/LOCKUPS
YA 10.402 (10/87)

Agency Name (or Address Label)

Period Covered by Report (Month and Year) Agency Code (From Label)
(1-4) (5-10) (10)
J or L

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete this form the first of each month for the preceding month. A form must be completed for each month even if no minors were securely confined that month.

- If no minors were securely confined in your facility during the month, complete only Section I, sign and return the form.
- If one or more minors were securely confined for any length of time during the month, complete Section II, sign, and return the form.

DEFINITIONS: For purposes of this report "Secure Confinement" occurs when a minor (under 18) is either:
(1) detained in a jail or (2) locked in a room/enclosure and/or secured to a cuffing rail or other stationary object while in custody in a law enforcement facility.

MINORS SECURELY CONFINED IN THIS FACILITY DURING THE MONTH

I ☐ Check here if no minors were securely confined during the month. Omit Section II, sign and return form.

II Number of Minors Securely Confined This Month:

Reason for Confinement	6 Hours or less	Over 6 But Not More Than 24 Hours	More Than 24 Hours	Total: For Any Length of Time
WIC 602 Offense	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> (11 - 13)	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> (14 - 16)	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> (17 - 19)	= <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> (20 - 22)
WIC 707(b)/707.1 (Unfit for Juvenile Court)	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> (23 - 25)	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> (26 - 28)	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> (29 - 31)	= <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> (32 - 34)

Example: If 12 minors were confined, enter ; or if 6 minors were confined, enter . If no minors were held in a category leave box blank. Add numbers across each row and enter total in last set of boxes to the right.

Signature of Reporting Person	Title	
Print or Type Name	Telephone No.	Date
Signature of Department Head	Title	

Upon completion, please return to the address on the reverse side by the 10th of each month.

APPENDIX D

Law Enforcement Agencies That Confined Minors for More Than 24 Hours During 1989

Agencies	No. of Minors Confined Over 24 Hours		
	Total	W&I 602	W&I 707(b)
Total	112	25	87
Del Norte Co. Jail	2	2	0
Inyo Co. Jail ^a	1	1	0
L.A. Co. Jail - Sybil Brand	19	19	0
L.A. Co. Jail - Hall of Justice	71	0	71
L.A. Co. Sheriff-Avalon Station	1	1	0
Mono Co. Jail ^a	2	2	0
Orange Co. Jail	12	0	12
Sacramento Co. Jail - Rio Consumnes	2	0	2
Tulare Co. Jail	2	0	2

^aCounty had temporary exemption from the removal of minors in jails.

Agencies That Confined Minors for More Than 24 Hours In 1987, 1988, and 1989

Agencies	No. of Minors Confined Over 24 Hours		
	1987	1988	1989
Total	115	168	112
Contra Costa Co. Jail	0	1	0
Del Norte Co. Jail	1	0	2
Inyo Co. Jail ^a	0	0	1
L.A. Co. Jail - Sybil Brand	24	21	19
L.A. Co. Jail - Hall of Justice	69	118	71
L.A. Co. Sheriff-Avalon Station	0	0	1
Mariposa Co. Jail ^a	1	0	0
Mono Co. Jail ^a	5	12	2
Orange Co. Jail	12	12	12
Perris Police Dept.	0	1	0
Sacramento Co. Jail - Rio Consumnes	0	0	2
San Bernardino Co. Jail - Morongo Station	1	0	0
San Luis Obispo Co. Jail	1	1	0
Tulare Co. Jail	1	2	2

^aCounty had temporary exemption from the removal of minors in jails.

APPENDIX E

Agencies Reporting Confinement of Minors During 1989: Change in Confinements From 1988 and Maximum Length of Confinement in 1989

Agency Name	Total Annual Confinements			Maximum Confinement in 1989		
	1988	1989	% Change	6 Hours or Less	Over 6, Not More Than 24	Over 24 Hrs.
ALAMEDA COUNTY						
Alameda PD	111	82	-29	82		
Berkeley PD	1,017	639	-378	639		
Hayward PD	188	315	+128	315		
Livermore PD	37	50	+13	48	2	
Newark PD	133	199	+66	199		
Oakland Youth Services	2,725	2,901*	+176	2,897	4	
Pleasanton PD	370	402	+32	396	6	
San Leandro PD	292	23	-269	23		
Union City PD	90	119	+29	117	2	
BUTTE COUNTY						
Chico PD	167	147	-20	147		
Paradise PD	84	99	+15	99		
CALAVERAS COUNTY						
County Jail	44	24	-20	24		
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY						
Antioch PD	82	85	+3	84	1	
Brentwood PD	73	68	-5	68		
Concord PD	22	15	-7	15		
El Cerrito		a				
Martinez	Unk.	8	Unk.	8		
Pinole PD	128	172	+44	172		
Pittsburgh PD	225	13	-212	13	0	
Pleasant Hill PD	66	58	-8	58		
Walnut Creek PD	86	179	+93	179		
DEL NORTE COUNTY						
County Jail	0	2	+2	0	0	2
EL DORADO COUNTY						
Placerville PD		a		a		
So. Lake Tahoe PD		a		a		

^aAnnual Survey indicates secure confinements occurred in 1989, but the number is not available from agency. Added to 1990 monitoring system.

*Site visits and audits by consultants have shown that this facility over-reported secure confinements in 1989. Corrected figures are not available.

APPENDIX E (Continued)

Agency Name	Total Annual Confinements			Maximum Confinement in 1989		
	1988	1989	% Change	6 Hours or Less	Over 6, Not More Than 24	Over 24 Hrs.
FRESNO COUNTY						
Coalinga PD	102	133	+31	130	3	
Clovis PD	183	405	+222	405		
Firebaugh PD	11	18	+7	18		
Fresno PD	494	543	+49	543		
Mendota PD	33	28	-5	28		
Reedley PD	86	74	-8	74		
Selma PD	37	93	+56	93		
HUMBOLDT COUNTY						
Hoopa Sheriff's Station	38	51	+13	50	1	
^b Arcata PD	25	4	-21	4		
Eureka PD	55	27	-28	27		
Fortuna PD	5	5	0	5		
IMPERIAL COUNTY						
Calexico PD	207	231	+24	231		
El Centro PD	117	136	+19	136		
Holtville PD	59	63	+4	63		
INYO COUNTY						
County Jail	19	14	-5	13	0	1
Bishop PD	5	6	+1	1	5	
KERN COUNTY						
Arvin PD	36	81	+45	81		
Bakersfield PD	13	5	-8	5		
Delano PD	503	346*	-157	345	1	
^b Ridgecrest PD	16	22	+6	22		
Taft PD	49	56	+7	56		
KINGS COUNTY						
Hanford PD	23	11	-12	11		
Lemoore PD	Unk.	82	Unk.	82		
LOS ANGELES COUNTY						
SHERIFF'S FACILITIES						
LASO Antelope Valley	171	42	-129	42		
LASO Lakewood	610	670	+60	670		
LASO Lennox	1,242	873*	-369	773	100	
LASO Norwalk	164	73	-91	73		
LASO San Dimas	14	7	-7	7		

^bCeased secure confinement of minors during 1989.

*Site visits and audits by consultants have shown that this facility over-reported secure confinements in 1989. Corrected figures are not available.

APPENDIX E (Continued)

Agency Name	Total Annual Confinements			Maximum Confinement in 1989		
	1988	1989	% Change	6 Hours or Less	Over 6, Not More Than 24	Over 24 Hrs.
LOS ANGELES COUNTY						
SHERIFF'S FACILITIES (Cont'd)						
LASO Sybil Brand	31	23	-8	2	2	19
LASO Lynwood	163	76	-87	76		
LASO Carson	78	Unk.	Unk.			
LASO Hall of Justice	118	71	-47	0	0	71
LASO Industry	652	275	-377	275		
LASO Crescenta Valley	114	99	-15	89	10	
LASO East Los Angeles	700	153	-547	153		
LASO Firestone Park	167	177	+10	177		
LASO Lomita	200	232	+32	230	2	
LASO Malibu	31	49	+18	48	1	
LASO Pico Rivera	127	403	+276	396	7	
LASO Santa Clarita Valley	55	54	-1	53	1	
LASO Temple City	217	194	-23	192	2	
LASO West Hollywood	16	2	-14	2		
LASO Avalon	16	1	-15	0	0	1
LASO Walnut	582	48	-534	48		
POLICE DEPTS. IN L.A. CO.						
Alhambra PD	691	597	-94	597		
Arcadia PD	160	118	-42	118		
Azusa PD	113	63	-50	57	6	
^c Bell-Cudahy PD	180	277	+97	277		
Bell Gardens PD	302	432	+130	432		
^d Beverly Hills PD	173	72	-101	71	1	
Burbank PD	410	11	-399	8	3	
Claremont PD	116	146	+30	143	3	
Compton PD	1,371	1,356	-15	1,356		
Covina PD	46	47	+1	46	1	
Culver City PD	234	232	-2	232		
Downey PD	6	9	+3	9		
El Monte PD	649	562*	562			
El Segundo PD	32	8	-24	8		
Gardena PD	170	132	-38	132		
Glendale PD	457	294	-163	285	9	

^cFor most of 1989, Bell PD also provided confinement facilities for Cudahy PD. Separate confinement numbers were reported: 149 for Bell PD, 128 for Cudahy. Beginning in 1990, Cudahy will utilize the LASO East LA Station for secure confinement of its minors.

^dBeverly Hills confinement facility closed for part of 1989. This agency confined minors as necessary at a neighboring facility.

*Site visits and audits by consultants have shown that this facility over-reported secure confinements in 1989. Corrected figures are not available.

APPENDIX E (Continued)

Agency Name	Total Annual Confinements			Maximum Confinement in 1989		
	1988	1989	% Change	6 Hours or Less	Over 6, Not More Than 24	Over 24 Hrs.
POLICE DEPTS. IN L.A. CO. (Cont'd)						
Glendora PD	234	306	+72	306		
Hawthorne PD	42	100	+58	94	6	
Hermosa Beach PD	66	18	-48	18		
Huntington Park PD	170	69	-101	69		
Inglewood PD	599	544*	-55	544		
Laverne PD	218	149	-69	149		
Manhattan Beach PD	241	239	-2	239		
Maywood PD	182	210	+28	209	1	
Monrovia PD	185	153	-32	153		
Monterey Park PD	288	312	+24	306	6	
Palos Verdes Estates PD	110	71	-39	71		
Pasadena PD	Unk.	16	Unk.	16		
Pomona PD	868	846	-22	846		
Redondo Beach PD	670	371	-299	355	16	
San Gabriel PD	465	450	-15	450		
Santa Monica PD	409	423	+14	423		
San Fernando PD	154	177	+23	177		
San Marino PD	23	22	-1	22		
Signal Hill PD	133	46	-87	46		
South Gate PD	Unk.	598	Unk.	489	109	
South Pasadena PD	Unk.	112	Unk.	110	2	
Torrance PD	174	221	+47	221		
Vernon PD	68	105	+37	104	1	
Whittier PD	620	586	-34	570	16	
LAPD Parker Center	52	53	+1	53		
LAPD Devonshire	71	39	-32	39		
LAPD Foothill	144	90	-54	90		
LAPD Harbor	343	338	-5	338		
LAPD Hollywood	165	177	+12	177		
LAPD No. Hollywood	445	365	-80	365		
LAPD 77th Street	930	959	+29	956	3	
LAPD Southeast LA	1,021	802	-219	787	15	
LAPD Southwest Div.	425	207	-218	207		
LAPD Van Nuys/Valley	367	31	-336	31		
LAPD Pacific	1,093	691	-402	691		
LAPD West LA	315	303	-12	303		
LAPD West Valley	8	2	-6	2		
LAPD Wilshire	172	170	-2	165	5	
LAPD Central Div.	284	372	+88	372		
LAPD Hollenbeck	985	689	-296	689		
LAPD Newton Street	564	1,205	+641	1,161	44	
LAPD Northeast Div.	210	295	+85	295		

*Site visits and audits by consultants have shown that this facility over-reported secure confinements in 1989. Corrected figures are not available.

APPENDIX E (Continued)

Agency Name	Total Annual Confinements			Maximum Confinement in 1989		
	1988	1989	% Change	6 Hours or Less	Over 6, Not More Than 24	Over 24 Hrs.
POLICE DEPTS. IN L.A. CO. (Cont'd)						
LAPD Rampart	496	587	+91	587		
LAPD South Bureau	32	18	-14	17	1	
MADERA COUNTY						
Chowchilla Detention Fac.	85	58	-27	58		
MARIN COUNTY						
Mill Valley PD	75	50	-25	50		
Novato PD	46	95	+49	95		
San Anselmo PD	Unk.	19	Unk.	19		
San Rafael PD	48	26	-22	26		
Sausalito PD	2	3	+1	3		
Tiburon PD	Unk.	30	Unk.	30		
Twin Cities PD	14	6	-8	6		
MENDOCINO COUNTY						
Fort Bragg PD	80	116	+36	116		
^b Ukiah PD	30	30	0	30		
Willits PD	17	4	-13	4		
MERCED COUNTY						
Atwater PD	22	21	-1	21		
Dos Palos PD	74	33	-41	33		
Merced PD	44	37	-7	37		
MONO COUNTY						
^b County Jail	19	13	-6	6	5	2
Mammoth Lakes PD		a		a		
MONTEREY COUNTY						
Carmel PD	9	8	-1	8		
Gonzales PD	37	24	-13	24		
Greenfield PD	69	79	+10	79		
King City PD	43	63	+20	63		
Marina Dept. Pub. Safety	8	4	-4	4		
Monterey PD	155	202	+47	201	1	
Pacific Grove PD	68	153	+85	153		
Salinas PD	292	644	+352	644		
Seaside PD	153	217	+64	217		

^aAnnual Survey indicates secure confinements occurred in 1989, but the number is not available from agency. Added to 1990 monitoring system.

^bCeased secure confinement of minors during 1989.

APPENDIX E (Continued)

Agency Name	Total Annual Confinements			Maximum Confinement in 1989		
	1988	1989	% Change	6 Hours or Less	Over 6, Not More Than 24	Over 24 Hrs.
NAPA COUNTY						
Calistoga PD	13	5	-8	5		
Napa PD	43	22	-21	22		
NEVADA COUNTY						
Grass Valley PD		a		a		
ORANGE COUNTY						
County Jail	12	12	0	0	0	12
Brea PD	177	55	-122	52	3	
Buena Park PD	156	103	-53	93	10	
Cypress PD	270	190	-80	190		
Fountain Valley PD	115	66	-49	66		
Fullerton PD	102	89	-13	89		
Garden Grove PD	9	63	+54	63		
Huntington Beach PD	13	21	+8	15	6	
Irvine PD	224	236	+12	235	1	
Laguna Beach PD	61	33	-28	32	1	
La Habra PD	21	19	-2	19		
La Palma PD	Unk.	5	Unk.	5		
Newport Beach PD	823	164	-659	161	3	
Orange PD	Unk.	237	Unk.	237		
Placentia PD	17	13	-4	9	4	
San Clemente PD	87	58	-29	51	7	
Santa Ana PD	50	152	+102	152		
Seal Beach PD	94	131	+37	131		
Westminster PD	250	278	+28	277	1	
PLACER COUNTY						
Rocklin PD	16	12	-4	12		
Roseville PD	116	118	+2	118		
PLUMAS COUNTY						
County Jail	1	8	+7	8		
RIVERSIDE COUNTY						
Elsinore Sheriff's Station	158	141	-17	141		
Cathedral City PD	115	126	+11	126		
Corona PD	Unk.	218	Unk.	211	7	
Desert Hot Springs PD	Unk.	52	Unk.	52		
Hemet PD	48	67	+19	51	16	
Indio PD	174	138	-36	138		
Palm Springs PD	346	151	-195	143	8	
Perris PD	114	159	+45	156	3	

^aAnnual Survey indicates secure confinements occurred in 1989, but the number is not available from agency. Added to 1990 monitoring system.

APPENDIX E (Continued)

Agency Name	Total Annual Confinements			Maximum Confinement in 1989		
	1988	1989	% Change	6 Hours or Less	Over 6, Not More Than 24	Over 24 Hrs.
SACRAMENTO COUNTY						
SO - Rio Consumnes	0	2	+2	0	0	2
Folsom PD	48	93	+45	93		
Galt PD	44	41	-3	41		
Sacramento PD	274	309	+35	309		
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY						
SO Morongo Basin	110	68	-42	67	1	
SO Yucaipa	10	4	-6	4		
SO Twin Peaks	92	81	-11	81		
^b SO Big Bear		a				
Adelanto PD	31	22	-9	22		
Barstow PD	75	78	+3	78		
Chino PD	560	651	+91	651		
Colton PD	116	156	+40	156		
Fontana PD		a				
Montclair PD	173	199	+26	196	3	
Ontario PD	570	466	-104	444	22	
Redlands PD	380	405	+25	402	3	
Rialto PD	945	915	-30	915		
Upland PD	6	30	+24	29	1	
SAN DIEGO COUNTY						
SO Encinitas	113	88	-25	88		
SO Imperial Beach		a		a		
SO Lemon Grove	63	59	-4	59		
SO Poway	Unk.	4	Unk.	4		
SO Santee	105	238*	+233	238		
SO Julian	Unk.	2	Unk.	2		
Carlsbad PD	34	61	+27	61		
Chula Vista PD	84	77	-7	77		
Coronado PD	55	48	-7	48		
El Cajon PD	383	183*	-200	183		
Escondido PD	192	138	-54	131	7	
La Mesa PD	184	262	+78	262		
National City PD	83	246	+163	245	1	
Oceanside PD	129	256	+127	256		
San Diego PD	114	98	-16	98		
SDPD Eastern Station	297	327	+30	327		

^aAnnual Survey indicates secure confinements occurred in 1989, but the number is not available from agency. Added to 1990 monitoring system.

^bCeased secure confinement of minors during 1989.

*Site visits and audits by consultants have shown that this facility over-reported secure confinements in 1989. Corrected figures are not available.

APPENDIX E (Continued)

Agency Name	Total Annual Confinements			Maximum Confinement in 1989		
	1988	1989	% Change	6 Hours or Less	Over 6, Not More Than 24	Over 24 Hrs.
SAN DIEGO COUNTY (Cont'd)						
SDPD Northeastern Station	162	129	-33	129		
SDPD Northern Station	157	173	+16	173		
SDPD Southeastern Station	330	440	+110	440		
SDPD Southern Station	61	93	+32	93		
SDPD Western Station	303	327	+24	327		
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY						
SFPD Southern	Unk.	35	Unk.	35		
SFPD Central	Unk.	128	Unk.	128		
SFPD Ingleside	Unk.	404	Unk.	404		
SFPD Mission	Unk.	338	Unk.	338		
SFPD Northern	Unk.	185	Unk.	185		
SFPD Park	Unk.	77	Unk.	77		
SFPD Potrero	Unk.	330	Unk.	330		
SFPD Richmond	Unk.	163	Unk.	163		
SFPD Taraval	Unk.	175	Unk.	175		
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY						
Lodi PD	970	582*	-388	582		
Manteca PD	43	83	+40	83		
Stockton PD Juvenile	Unk.	52	Unk.	52		
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY						
Arroyo Grande PD	115	76*	-39	76		
Grover City PD	61	35	-26	35		
Morro Bay PD	12	7	-5	7		
Paso Robles PD	116	103	-13	103		
Pismo Beach PD	Unk.	45	Unk.	45		
San Luis Obispo PD	106	29	-77	29		
SAN MATEO COUNTY						
Belmont PD	14	11	-3	11		
Daly City PD	Unk.	277	Unk.	275	2	
East Palo Alto PD	33	67	+34	67		
Half Moon Bay PD	20	18	-2	18		
Menlo Park PD	19	16	-3	16		
Pacifica PD	13	26	+13	26		
Redwood City PD	612	689	+77	689		
San Bruno PD	88	136	+48	136		
San Carlos PD	23	11	-12	11		
San Mateo PD	276	282	+6	282		
So. San Francisco PD	53	59	+6	57	2	

*Site visits and audits by consultants have shown that this facility over-reported secure confinements in 1989. Corrected figures are not available.

APPENDIX E (Continued)

Agency Name	Total Annual Confinements			Maximum Confinement in 1989		
	1988	1989	% Change	6 Hours or Less	Over 6, Not More Than 24	Over 24 Hrs.
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY						
Carpenteria PD	22	4	-18	4		
Lompoc PD	36	16	-20	16		
Santa Barbara PD	184	184	0	184		
Santa Maria PD	35	38	+3	38		
SANTA CLARA COUNTY						
Campbell PD	44	52	+8	52		
Gilroy PD	111	228	+117	227	1	
Los Altos PD	14	7	-7	7		
Los Gatos PD	39	26	-13	26		
Milpitas PD	Unk.	176	Unk.	176		
Morgan Hill PD	138	98	-40	98		
Mountain View PD	127	128	+1	128		
Palo Alto PD	101	86	-15	86		
San Jose PD	1,137	1,193	+56	1,193		
Santa Clara PD	193	94	-99	94		
Sunnyvale PD	133	182	+49	177	5	
SHASTA COUNTY						
Redding PD	54	42	-12	42		
SOLANO COUNTY						
Benicia PD	109	134	+25	134		
Fairfield PD	236	261	+25	261		
Vallejo PD	262	127	-135	127		
SONOMA COUNTY						
Cloverdale PD	26	29	+3	29		
Cotati PD	84	98	+14	98		
Healdsburg PD	76	97	+21	97		
Petaluma PD	247	96	-151	96		
Rohnert Park PD	23	39	+16	39		
Santa Rosa PD	104	87	-17	87		
Sebastopol PD	113	117	+4	117		
Sonoma PD	5	5	0	5		
STANISLAUS COUNTY						
Oakdale PD	48	58	+10	58		
Turlock PD	109	110	+1	110		
SUTTER COUNTY						
Yuba City PD	Unk.	37*	Unk.	37		

*Site visits and audits by consultants have shown that this facility over-reported secure confinements in 1989. Corrected figures are not available.

APPENDIX E (Continued)

Agency Name	Total Annual Confinements			Maximum Confinement in 1989		
	1988	1989	% Change	6 Hours or Less	Over 6, Not More Than 24	Over 24 Hrs.
TEHAMA COUNTY Red Bluff PD	1	3	+2	3		
TULARE COUNTY County Jail	2	4	+2	1	1	2
Dinuba PD	94	176	+82	176		
Porterville PD		a				
Tulare PD	110	93	-17	91	2	
Visalia PD	Unk.	30	Unk.	30		
TUOLUMNE COUNTY County Jail	56	23	-33	23		
VENTURA COUNTY SO East Valley	186	175	-11	175		
SO Ojai/West County	46	47	+1	47		
SO Santa Clara Valley	94	112	+18	112		
SO West Co. Patrol	33	18	-15	18		
Port Hueneme PD	171	104*	-67	102	2	
Santa Paula PD	137	175	+38	175		
Simi Valley PD	92	34	-58	27	7	
YOLO COUNTY Davis PD	33	39	+6	39		
^b Winters PD	Unk.	2	Unk.	2		
Woodland PD	31	18	-13	18		

^aAnnual Survey indicates secure confinements occurred in 1989, but the number is not available from agency. Added to 1990 monitoring system.

^bCeased secure confinement of minors during 1989.

*Site visits and audits by consultants have shown that this facility over-reported secure confinements in 1989. Corrected figures are not available.