131309

# **ICPSR** Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research

# Cross-Validation of the Iowa Offender Risk Assessment Model in Michigan, 1980-1982

131309

#### **U.S. Department of Justice** National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material in microffiche only has been granted by
Inter-university Constorium

f<u>or Political and Social Re</u>search to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

Richard Alfred Bradshaw **ICPSR 9236** 

# CROSS-VALIDATION OF THE IOWA OFFENDER RISK ASSESSMENT MODEL IN MICHIGAN, 1980-1982

(ICPSR 9236)

Richard Alfred Bradshaw

First ICPSR Edition Fall, 1989

Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

# BIBLIOGRAPHIC CITATION, ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF ASSISTANCE AND DATA DISCLAIMER

All manuscripts utilizing data made available through the Consortium should acknowledge that fact as well as identify the original collector of the data. In order to get such source acknowledgment listed in social science bibliographic utilities, it is necessary to present them in the form of a footnote or a reference. The bibliographic citation for this data collection is:

Bradshaw, Richard Alfred. CROSS-VALIDATION OF THE IOWA OFFENDER RISK ASSESSMENT MODEL IN MICHIGAN, 1980-1982 [computer file]. East Lansing, MI: Michigan State University, 1989 [producer]. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, 1989 [distributor].

The ICPSR Council also urges all users of ICPSR data to follow some adaptation of the following assistance/disclaimer statement, with the parentheses indicating items to be filled in appropriately or deleted by the individual user.

The data (and tabulations) utilized in this (publication) were made available (in part) by the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research. The data for CROSS-VALIDATION OF THE IOWA OFFENDER RISK ASSESSMENT MODEL IN MICHIGAN, 1980-1982 were originally collected and prepared by Richard Alfred Bradshaw. Neither the collector of the original data nor the Consortium bears any responsibility for the analyses or interpretations presented here.

In order to provide funding agencies with essential information about use of archival resources and to facilitate the exchange of information about ICPSR participants' research activities, each user of ICPSR data resources is expected to send two copies of each completed manuscript or thesis abstract to the Consortium. Please indicate in the cover letter which data were used.

#### DATA. COLLECTION DESCRIPTION

Richard Alfred Bradshaw
CROSS-VALIDATION OF THE IOWA OFFENDER RISK ASSESSMENT MODEL IN MICHIGAN,
1980-1982 (ICPSR 9236)

SUMMARY: These data were collected in an attempt to cross-validate the 1984 and 1985 versions of the Iowa model for assessing risk of offending while on parole by applying the model to a Michigan sample of male parolees over a follow-up period of two and one-half years. Different measures of predictors such as prior criminal history, current offense, substance abuse history, age, and recidivism on parole are available. The first file contains information on parolees such as demographic characteristics, drug use history, prior criminal history, risk scores, and parole history. The second file includes parolees' detailed criminal histories including the total number of violent and nonviolent felony arrests and dates, and charges and dispositions of each arrest with a maximum of eight arrests. CLASS IV

UNIVERSE: Male parolees in the state of Michigan in 1980.

SAMPLING: A random sample of 676 male parolees was selected from the population of 4,084 inmates released on parole by the Michigan Parole Department during calendar year 1980.

EXTENT OF COLLECTION: 2 data files

DATA FORMAT: Card Image

Part 1: Parolees File

File Structure: rectangular

Cases: 676

Variables: 38

Record Length: 80

Records Per Case: 1

Part 2: Crimes File

File Structure: rectangular

Cases: 617

Variables: 112

Record Length: 80

Records Per Case: 6

#### RELATED PUBLICATION:

Bradshaw, R.A. "Multivariate Actuarial Prediction of Felonious Recidivism of Male Parolees: Comparative Cross-Validation of Two Risk Assessment Models on a Michigan Sample." Unpublished dissertation, Michigan State University, 1986.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

			page no.
			•
Part 1:	Parolee file		1
Part 2:	Crimes file		15

# Details Regarding Coding and Recoding of Data

The following information is a detailed extension of material provided in Chapter IV (Methods).

# Machine-Readable Data from Michigan Department

The Program Bureau of the Michigan Department of Corrections collected data from the criminal files of over 640 male offenders who had been released on parole during the 1980 calendar year. For individuals with multiple files, provisions were made to ship all discharged files to the coding site. This procedure aided in the reduction of missing data and permitted more thorough analysis of each offender's history. When information was not available from Department of Corrections files regarding prior criminal history, these data were obtained from state police records. A pilot study was included to identify discrepancies between variable definitions and coding procedures in the Iowa model, and data available in Michigan files. Coding formats were developed to ensure comparable study designs, when cross-validating the 1983 version of the Iowa Offender Risk Assessment model on the Michigan sample.

Using the Michigan codebook and instructions provided in Figure G-1 data were extracted from the files and coded by three coders. Narrative reports of arrests, summaries of court proceedings, psychological histories and pre-sentence investigations in each file were read to obtain information regarding criminal history, substance abuse history, age and current offense. State police "rap" sheets and parole files were reviewed by coders to determine whether or not sufficient evidence existed that charged individuals had actually committed the alleged offenses; that is, reported behavior was consistent with the charges for which arrests were made. Intercoder agreement was determined for each variable using randomly selected cases (selected biweekly) throughout the four-month data collection and coding period. Coding was not even commenced until the three coders had achieved intercoder agreement above 95 percent and this level of interrater agreement was maintained as a minimum throughout the data collection and coding phase. In all, 34 variables were coded for each case, including indicators of recidivism on parole over a 2 1/2 year follow-up period. These data (on computer tape), along with the "rough" offender file worksheets upon which they were based, comprised the sample for the present study.

### Recoded and created variables from Michigan machinereadable data and worksheet information.

Offender file worksheets (Appendix F) were used to record "raw" variables for coding to complete a cross-validation of the 1983 version of the Iowa model on Michigan data (Murphy, 1985). To code operational measures of criminal history for the 1984 and 1985 versions of the Iowa model, a combination of information from the Michigan machine-readable data for and of the file worksheets was used. First, a number of

measures were extracted and used directly from the machine-readable data set, including: number of prior arrests, prior probations, prior adult jail terms, prior juvenile commitments, prior adult commitments, evidence of a juvenile felony arrest, property (nonviolent) disposition greater than one year, person (violent) disposition greater than one year, felony history (coded "first offender"—yes/no), and number of major non-bondable misconducts (measures 2-6, 17-20 and 22 in Table 4.2).

Secondly, all prior felony charges, convictions and incarcerations were coded from the offender file worksheets. Regardless of the legal outcome, prior charges were coded using the numerical format displayed in Figure G-2. The recency of each charge was coded in street time extending backward in time from the arrest for the current offense. Charges which had occurred more than 99 months (street time) prior to the current offense arrest date were coded "99 months," since the exact "age" of any charge older than 99 months in street time was not critical for any analysis in the study. The numbers of charges coded in this manner were then grouped seperately according to whether or not they were for violent or non-violent felonies and, further, according to whether or not they had occurred in the last 12 months, 24 months, 36 months or 5 years of street time prior to the arrest date for the current offense. These resulting criminal history measures are numbered 7 through 16 in Table 4.2.

Charges listed on offender file worksheets were accompanied by titles of the offenses for which criminals were ultimately convicted. A typical example involving a larceny would indicate an original charge for "larceny over \$100" or "larceny from a store" which was reduced to "simple larceny" or "larceny under \$100" for conviction. When there was doubt as to whether or not a particular offense of conviction qualified as a felony, the list of "felonies of the same type," provided in Murphy's (1985) code book (Figure G-1) was consulted. The disposition of each charge was also provided in the worksheets (number of months on probation, amounts of fines or inclusive incarceration dates). Only charges for which one or more of these three outcomes was indicated qualified for inclusion in the Iowa model criminal history variables, since these are concerned exclusively with convictions. If the conviction resulted in commitment to an adult or juvenile correctional facility, a disposition multiplier of 1.25 was assigned. Otherwise, a disposition multiplier of 0.75 was indicated.

Computation of the number of years of street time since 14 years of age (measure 1 in Table 4.2) involved several steps. Initially, all periods of incarceration in each offenders history were coded. For lengthy incarcerations (over one year) the incarceration and release dates were both recorded in month- day-year format. Shorter periods such as 90 days in jail or four months in a juvenile facility were added together and then treated in the same way as lengthy incarcerations. Occasionally a somewhat ambiguous set of incarcerations was presented on worksheets (particularly involving juvenile histories). An example is case number 85, which reads "22 arrests as a juvenile. First criminal at 7-26-63 for larceny from a building. Had 6 juvenile commitments with 3 probations." In such cases the severity and recency of convictions had to be estimated, as did the lengths of incarcertaions. This was

done by examining other similar cases and considering minimum sentences for the most common offenses. Periods of incarceration were then computed using a series of SPSS:X (SPSS Inc., 1986) functions which convert dates to time periods. Interested readers are referred to Figure G-3 which is a copy of the computer program developed to compute most of the operational measures for the study from coded data, including the 1984 and 1985 versions of the Iowa Offender Risk Assessment model. Upon reviewing the commands in the program pertaining to conversion of dates to time intervals, the reader will note that these were then summed and subtracted from age at parole (excluding the first fourteen years) to determine number of years of street time since 14 years of age. Other measures incorporating street time were computed in a similar manner.

The variable "multiple different charges with single arrest" (measure 21 in Table 4.2) was coded "1" if more than one charge was indicated for a given arrest and the charges were for very different offenses. In general terms, the list of "felonies of the same type" provided in Appendix H was referred to; however, variations of burglary and larceny were considered similar rather than different offenses. To illustrate this point, an arrest for attempted burglary, larceny from a building and possession of burglar's tools would be coded "0"; whereas, an arrest for robbery and attempted rape would be coded "1".

Computations for the "complex measures" listed in Table 4.2 (measures 23-26) are self-evident from their titles in the table. For details regarding computations of the criminal history measures used in the Iowa Model the reader is referred to Appendices C and D (coding instructions) and Figure G-3 (computer program).

Operational measures of current offense were all derived from the current offense codes in the machine-readable data set prepared by The State of Michigan Corrections Department. The Michigan offense list is provided in Appendix G-1 and the conversion of these codes is illustrated in Appendix G-4. Readers interested in the details of recoding and computing to produce current offense components of the Iowa model from measures 1 in Table 4.3 are invited to consult the computer program provided in Figure G-3.

Preliminary coding for measures of substance abuse history was quite involved. The substance abuse history information used to cross-validate the 1983 version of the Iowa model in Michigan had to be reccoded for compatibility with the format used in the 1984 and 1985 versions. Specifically, all categories of substance abuse involving cocaine or marijuana had to be replaced with other categories for substance abuse history. In most cases no other form of substance abuse was indicated so a "0" code (No History as Above) was asigned. The first recoding procedure is illustrated in Figure G-5. For all cases which had been previously (during the 1983 Iowa model cross-validation) assigned codes of 2 (Cocaine), 5 (Marijuana) or 7 (Other) for history of problem use; or codes 2 (Cocaine) or 5 ("Occasional" use of Marijuana) for history of non-problem use, offender file worksheets were reviewed for other forms of substance abuse. Michigan Department of Corrections coders had been instructed to list all substances abused on worksheets, if these that were substances abused on worksheets.

slang terms or names of chemicals which would be unfamiliar to anyone without an extensive knowledge of drug abuse. For this reason, a chapter on drug abuse in Achenbach (1982) was consulted to assist in categorizing these substances. The resulting list of definitions for substance abuse categories is provided in Figure G-6. An "update" function, available in Version 2.1 of SPSS:X (SPSS Inc., 1986), was used to replace all incompatible previous values for substance abuse history with these new values coded from the worksheets.

The operational measures in Table 4.4 were recoded from the 1984/85 Iowa Substance Abuse History Scale described in the previous paragraph. Modifications to produce these other measures are illustrated in Figure G-7. It should be noted that when the dichotomous "dummy" measures were coded (moving from most to least severe forms of substance abuse), these measures were hierarchically inclusive. As an example, the dichotomous variable 'prior abuse of some substance' is coded as a "O" for any substance abuse category less severe than "alcohol problem" and "1" for all other categories (2 through 9).

Operational measures related to age were among the least complex to compute and code. 'Age at first criminal arrest' (measure 2 in Table 4.5) was extracted and used directly from the machine-readable data set prepared by The Michigan Department of Corrections. 'Age at parole release' was computed from the combination of birth date and parole release date, using a function in SPSS:X (SPSS Inc., 1986) for converting dates to time intervals. Computations are included in the computer program provided in Figure G-3.

Preliminary coding for operational measures of recidivism on parole has been discussed briefly, earlier in this chapter, relative to the first three measures in Table 4.6. Readers interested in details of coding instructions for The Michigan Parole Recidivism Score are directed to the last four pages in Figure G-1. The last measure in Table 4.6, labelled 'number of months under parole supervision' was developed from the date at time of arrest for recidivism on parole (or discharge from parole if no arrest) and the date of release on parole. Both of these dates were provided in the machine-readable data set prepared by The Michigan Department of Corrections. Details concerning coding of these dates are provided in Figure G-1. An SPPS:X (SPSS Inc., 1986) function for converting dates to time intervals was used, and the parole release time interval was subtracted from the recidivism scoring time interval. These computations are included in the computer program, provided in Figure G-3.

#### Basic Instructions

- Go to master list and cross number off; check if more than one parole listed for 1980. If more than one parole listed, make sure file is for the first parole in time period.
- If a person escaped and was convicted and the escape file is not in box, put file aside and note. If escape file is available but instant offense file is not, put aside and note.
- After a person is coded, go to printout and list missing information next to name; also list any other discharge dates in the case of missing information.
- 4. If a file is not in the appropriate box, note on lot and box sheet.
- 5. When coding, please note the following:
  - a. Put initials on top of coding sheet.
  - b. Identify date coded on left-hand margin at top of page.
  - c. Keep your coding sheets together.
  - d. Number each code sheet started.
  - e. At the end of the day, put ID's in numerical order by sheet number.
- Instant offense refers to that crime the resident is serving on when he received his parole. Remember that escapes are not counted as instant offenses.
- 7. Unless specified, blanks should reflect missing data. Missing data may occur in two ways. First, the information is not available and second, the same source is conflicting and you can't determine the answer with reasonable accuracy. In most cases, missing data is designated as 9 or 99, therefore blanks should be ok'd by project director.
- 8. Secondary sources should be used to clarify or support information from the primary source. The only time a secondary source may be used in place of a primary source is if it is underlined in the manual.
- 9. If more than one file, check all of them for certain background information if necessary. However, for variables concerning the instant offense (i.e., marital status at time of offense) you must use instant offense file.

#### Source Instructions

In certain instances, secondary sources are identified. For example, if the presentence describes the background information but does not provide specific dates for those variables requiring them (i.e., age at first arrest), then supplement with the psych evaluation if available. Another situation would be if the presentence raises questions but does not provide enough information to make a decision. If the presentence says he was in trouble as a youth but does not say when or for what, then see if psych provides the missing information. One must designate those questions (i.e., age of first arrest) missing with appropriate code when a determination cannot be made. Also, juvenile information may be found in cases where more than one file is available.

Michigan codebook and instructions.

Example: Using the previous criminal history example in conjunction with the specific risk designation category of "1 + prior conviction for a felony against persons in last 2 years street time."

- 1) Commitment date = 6-20-68
- 2) Subtract 2 years street time = 6-20-66
- 3) Subtract time incarcerated, 6-30-65 to 1-26-67 = 1 yr 7 mo = 11-20-64


Since the date of the previous robbery arrest (a person offense) was 4-26-65, the answer is yes. Use arrest date of prior's since conviction date is not always available.

Note: In many cases where no person offenses are checked, you only have to determine those nonperson categories. Refer to Appendix C for a list of comparable crimes.

When a special risk category refers to crimes instead of felonies, <u>include</u> misdemeanor arrests.

When a special risk category refers to TOTAL felonies, include the INSTANT OFFENSE.

PAROLEE FILE



When determining parole behavior, use the following procedures:

- 1. Enter the parole date in the appropriate columns (48-53).
- 2. Flip through parole information for any pink or green sheets; also review any written correspondence; read all green sheets to determine the nature of the behavior; briefly review any pink sheets for criminal behavior.
- 3. List all arrests, violations.
- Enter parole discharge or termination date.
   If absconder at end of file, code 999999.
- 5. Determine recidivism and other criminal history codes.
- 6. Add 2½ years to parole date and examine State Police rap sheet for this time period.
- 7. If no additions or changes, code 0 for variable.
- 8. If rap sheet would lead to a different recidivism score, then note appropriately (1 or 2).
- 9. Coding is completed.

NOTE: Remember, recidivism refers to actual behavior. For criminal behavior occurring outstate, make sure specific arrests are specified. Do not count "possible" arrests. When counting PV techs each must be listed on separate green sheets. In the case of multiple violations listed on one green sheet, then count as one. When counting misdemeanors, count each arrest. Finally, review recidivism instructions and bring all questionable cases to the project director.

First File

NUMBER	COLUMN	VARIABLE	CODE
1	1-3	Study Number (Master List)	
2	4-9	Prison Number (Jacket Cover, Face Shee	<b>t)</b>
3	10-15	Corrected Date (Jaket Cover, Face)	MMDDYY
4	16	Race (Face Sheet)	0 = White 1 = Non-white
5	17-22	Birth Date (Face Sheet)	MMDDYY
6	23	Sex (Pre-sentence)	0 = Male 1 = Female
7	24	Single at Time of Crime (Never Married) (Pre-sentence, <u>Face Sheet</u> )	0 = No 1 = Yes
		Substance Abuse History	
8	25	History of Problem Use	0. None 1. Heroin/Morphine 2. Cocaine
	For examp heroin an dence of Problem u use. For	multiple problems, use rank order. le, if subject has a problem with d alcohol, code 1 for heroin. Evi- injections would constitute problem. se of marijuana refers to excessive example, marijuana must be used on r excessive basis. Other drugs must	<ol> <li>Hallucinogen</li> <li>Glue</li> <li>Marijuana</li> <li>Alcohol</li> <li>Other</li> <li>Can't Determine</li> </ol>
	be used o Regular u	n a regular basis (e.g., hallucinogens). se of heroin would constitute a problem. of abuse is also a problem.	
9	26	History of Non-Problem Use	O. None 1. Heroin 2. Cocaine
	Code yes Examples: marijuana	multiple drug use, use rank order. if ever used on nonregular basis. "Have used heroin twice"; Code only if used occasionally. ence, Psych Report)	3. Hallucinogen 4. Glue 5. "Ocassional" Use of Marijuana 6. Can't Determine
		Prior Criminal History	
10	27-28	# of prior arrests	
11	29-30	# of prior probations	
12	31-32	# of prior adult jail	
13	33	Property disposition > 1 yr.	0 = No 1 = Yes

NUMBER	COLUMN	VARIABLE	CODE	
14	34	# of prior juvenile commitments		
15	35	Person disposition > 1 yr.	0 = No 1 = Yes	
16	36	# of prior adult commitments		
	criminal section etc., se ments fo offenses licence.	se worksheet for each case; Determine prior history with pre-sentence criminal history and rap sheet. Count each arrest, probatic parately; Include juvenile arrests and common status offenses and crimes. Exclude training of a non-criminal nature such as speeding, Include DUIL, etc. tence, RAP sheet)	y on, mit- ffic	
17	37-38	Age at first <u>criminal</u> arrest	99 = Can¹	t Determin
18	39-40	Current Offense (Instant Offense)	Refer to	Appendix A
	a person A for ap serious offenses on a pro multiple ask proj & E to d	nstant Offense is the most serious offense is currently serving on. Refer to Appendipropriate code. Violent offenses are more than nonviolent offenses. For similar, use longest minimum. If a person is serverty crime that is assaultive in nature or charges that include an assaultive offense ect director how to classify. Also, read E etermine aggravation.  Cover, Pre-sentence)	ving <u>r</u> ē,	
19	41	First Offender	0 = No 1 = Yes	
		ode yes if no prior felony arrest as le or adult.		
20	42	Serving on current escape or jail break	0 = No 1 = Yes	
	Note: M	ust have an escape sentence		
21	43	Major Non-Bondable Misconduct (Misconduct Reports)	0 = None 1 = One 2 = 2 or	More
	hearing	lip through file and use misconduct reports. Major misconducts are n Appendix D.		
		Special Risk Factors		
		Risk Factor 1	0-8	

. :

NUMBER	COLUMN	VARIABLE	CODE
		or Variables 22 through 25, use workshes s yes, terminate coding. If V22 is no,	e <b>t.</b>
	Do not le	eave V22 blank. See worksheet for inst	ructions.
23	45	Risk Factor 2	0-5 9 = Not Applicabl
24	46	Risk Factor 3	1-4 9 = Not Applicabl
25	47	Risk Factor 4	1-3 9 = Not Applicabl
	tinctions	fer to instruction sheet for dis- between crimes, felonies, total and prior offenses.	
		PAROLE VARIABLES	
26	48-53	Date of 1980 parole	MMDDYY
	Note: Us parole.	e date on parole board order for	
2,7	54	Recidivism Score (Behavioral Analysi	ls)
		<pre>1 = No Illegal Activities 2 = Technical Violation or     Absconder ONLY (no other     illegal behavior) 3 = Misdemeanor 4 = Nonviolent Felony 5 = Violent Felony</pre>	1 - 5
	director	clude traffic viiolations; ask project on all questionable cases; refer to m handout.	
28	55-60	Date of Recid Score	MMDDYY
	If If	recid score is 1, use discharge date recid score is 2, use first PV violatio recid score is 3, use first misdemeanor recid score is 4 or 5, use felony viola	date
		wing 2 variables refer to separate crims occurring before recidivism score.	inal

Number of Misdemeanors

29

NUMBER	COLUMN	VARIABLE	CODE
30	62	Number of Nonviolent Felonies	
		Code number of violations occurring ec. Score; 2) Code the behavior. each).	
	Post Rec to felon	idivism: The following 2 variables refer ies committed after recidivism score.	
31	63	Number of nonviolent felonies committed after recid. score.	0 = 3
32	64	Number of violent felonies committed after recid. score.	
33	65	Returned to prison	0 = No 1 = Yes
34	66-71	Date of parole discharge or incarceration. (From parole file)	MMDDYY 999999 - abscond
	Note: Use immediate prison.	e date of confinement if in jail ely prior to discharge or return to	
35	72	Rap Sheet (2-1/2 yr. follow-up)	0 = No 1 = Yes, Higher
	Note: Co	de yes only if discrepancy results in a lower recid score.	2 * Yes, Lower
36	73	Conviction for Recidivism Score	1 = No Felony 2 = Yes Convicte 3 = Not Convicte
37	74	Coder I.D.	
38	75	Evidence of Juvenile Felony	0 = No 1 = Yes

•

# Appendix: Current Offense Codes

Mic	higan Offense List	19	084/85 Iowa Offense List
08	Robbery Armed Robbery Unarmed Attempted Robbery	1	Robbery/Attempted Robbery
17 18	Larceny from Person (Assaultive) Larceny from Person (Non-Assaultive)	2	Larceny from a Person
21	Aggravated Burglary	3	Aggravated Burglary
	Arson-Dwelling Only Arson-Building	4	Arson/Attempted Arson
	Homicide Attempted Murder	5	Murder/Attempted Murder
06	Abduction, Kidnapping	7	Kidnapping
04 05	CSC, Rape Attempt to Assault to CSC, Rape	8	Rape/Attempted Rape
12	Sociony	9	Sodomy
22	Burglary	10	Burglary/Attempted Burglary
29	Drugs	11	Selling Narcotics
24	Auto Theft	12	Motor Vehicle Theft
	Forgery-Uttering & Publishing Embezzlement Bad Checks	13	Forgery/Bad Checks/Fraud
03 10 11	Assault with Intent to Murder Assault with Intent to Rob Other Assaults (Felonious, Assault to Main, etc.)	14	Aggravated Assault/Terrorism
16	Extortion	15	Extortion
23	Larceny (Includes Larceny Auto)	16	Larceny/Stolen Property
28	Malicious Destruction	17	Vandalism
14 15 30 31 32	Children Offenses (Other) Negligent Homicide	18	None of Above

#### APPENDIX B

#### PERSON FELONIES (VIOLENT)

#### OFFENSE

Homicide: first degree murder Homicide: second degree murder

Homicide: manslaughter

Homicide: negligent homicide Rape - Criminal Sexual Conduct

Sodomy
Extortion
Abduction - Kidnapping
Robbery Armed and Unarmed
Larceny from Person
Attempt to Murder or Commit Robbery
All Assaults and Assaults with Intent
Arson: burning dwelling house
Offenses Against Children: torture
Offenses Against Children: cruelty
Offenses Against Children: exposure

Indecent Liberties with Child

#### HIGH RECIDIVISM OFFENSES

Burglary Motor Vehicle Theft Forgery Bad Checks Robbery

Gross Indecency

Assault (Attempt to commit murder, serious injury, felonious assault and assault with intent to commit harm or injury)

#### PERSON MISDEMEANORS

Assault and Battery
Aggravated Assault
Assaulting Police Officer

# APPENDIX C

	FELONIES OF THE SAME TYPE
	rder, manslaughter, feticide and attempts, felonious assault, assault wit tent to harm or maim.
ra	pe, attempted rape, criminal sexual conduct I and III, assault with intent t pe or commit criminal sexual conduct, sodomy, gross indecency, incest, othe x offenses.
Κſ	dnapping, conspiracy and attempts.
	bbery, attempted robbery, assault with intent to rob, extortion, larceny from person.
Bu bu	rglary, attempted burglary, entering without breaking, possession orglar's tools.
La ce	rceny from building, by conversion, false pretenses, receiving and conaling, larceny over \$100.
U.	D.A.A., All motor vehicle offenses.
Emi	bezzlement.
	rgery, uttering and publishing, check offenses, possession of counterfeites.
Ar	son, vandalism (malicious destruction), explosives offenses, bomb threat
We	apon offenses (CCW, attempted CCW, etc.).
Dri	ug offenses (sale and use).
AT (	cohol offenses (drunk driving).
Par	ndering, pornography.
Esc	cape, Jailbreak.
Br	bery, perjury, obstruction.
Nec	plect, abandonment, child offenses.

### NONBONDABLE MAJOR MISCONDUCTS

Assault and Battery
Attempt to Escape
Escape
Fighting
Homicide
Incite to Riot or Strike
POssession of Dangerous Contraband
Rioting or Striking
Sexual Assault
Threatening Behavior

### RECIDIVISM SCORE

This scale is a behavioral index of the inmate's most SERIOUS behavior while on parole. For example, if a parolee had only committed a minor technical violation on parole and nothing else, he would be coded a two on this scale. If he had committed both a misdemeanor (three) and a violent felony (five) while on parole, he would receive a "five". The coding criteria for this scale should be based upon written descriptions from police and/or agent records whenever possible. The criteria do not rest upon arraignments nor convictions but reflect as closely as possible the actual reported behavioral description of the man's activity.

We are interested in behavior on parole, <u>not</u> legal dispositions. It is necessary for the coder to review the file carefully. If a person is arrested during parole, examine the police report and/or sheet and decide if enough evidence exists to determine guilt.

# I. General definitions of recidivism categories.

- 1) No criminal behavior: No arrests for criminal behavior.
  Only arrests which are mistakes and the person is released.
- 2) PV Technical and Absconder: No criminal behavior but cited for a PV or he absconds. No other indicators of criminal behavior.
- 3) <u>Misdemeanor</u>: Arrest and behavior is for a misdemeanor such as drunk and disorderly, petty larceny, etc.
- 4) Non-Violent Felony: Behavior and evidence constitutes a felony via arrest.\* If convicted of a non-violent felony that is actually violent, it is a violent Rec. 2.
- 5) Violent Felony: Behavior in arrest constitutes violence and evidence supports it (i.e., eyewitness, etc.). Violence is defined by intimidation either verbally or physically.

<sup>\*</sup> Under certain circumstances, arrests may not occur for serious felony involvement. Past examples have included a parolee shot during the commission of a felony. However, instances where an arrest does not occur are very infrequent and should be verified by the project director. It is more common for a charge not to reflect behavior. If a felony involved intimidation, then violent felony is appropriate regardless of charge. In a few cases concerning male parolees, the failure to prosecute a rape has resulted in the arrest for a related non-violent felony (i.e., Breaking & Entering). If a rape occurred you would be expected to code 5 and not a 4.

# II. Specific Examples:

- 1) No criminal behavior: A person is on parole for a year. He is arrested for robbery armed and later released. The file contains no evidence to indicate his involvement, therefore, he is coded a 1. The most common case in this category is the person that is never arrested and has no technical violations on parole.
  - 2) PV Tech./Absconder A person is seen in a bar by his agent and is cited for a technical violation. Any parole violations that do not constitute criminal behavior belong in this category. If a person absconds upon release and his file indicates no further activities of a criminal nature, then he would be coded a 2.
- 3) <u>Misdemeanor</u>: An offender is arrested for disorderly conduct, assault and battery, etc. If the investigator feels that he was involved in the incident, he would be coded a 3.
- 4) Non-Violent Felony: For the most part, non-violent felonies are determined by the arresting charge and evidence. It is important to realize that we are not interested in plea bargaining and other legal maneuvers. For instance, if a person is arrested for selling heroin and the description supports the case but he pleas to a misdemeanor of use, then he is coded a 4. If a person commits a series of misdemeanors and one non-violent felony, he is considered a 4. A person is coded for his most serious behavior on parole. An important issue to be aware of is the case where a person commits a non-violent felony and is given probation and then later commits a violent felony. He would be a 5.
- Violent Felony: A violent felony is the most serious recidivism score a person can receive regardless of degree. For instance, if a person commits a robbery and then later commits a rape, the robbery is sufficient to code as a 5. A common problem occurs when a person is arrested for robbery armed and pleas to larceny. The person is a 5 not a 4 if the description supports intimidation. A more serious coding problem occurs when a person commits a crime and is discharged before the trial. This happens more frequently with violent crimes than the other categories. Regardless of discharge, he is a if the evidence supports the decision.

# III. Common Problems Encountered

In most cases, past experience has shown that the descriptions provide sufficient information to make a clear decision on recidivism. However, there are certain problems that appear to

cause confusion and require some deliberation. Therefore, the following list focuses on common and frequent issued concerning recidivism.

- Always code his most <u>serious</u> behavior <u>not</u> necessarily his first crime.
- 2) When a person is convicted of a property crime and is given probation, make sure he doesn't commit a violent felony later. In that case he would be given a 5, not a 4.
- 3) Frequently, a felony does not go to trial until a person is on parole for various reasons:
  - a) <u>Plea bargain</u>: Ignore the plea bargain if the original charge <u>and</u> supporting evidence indicates otherwise.
  - b) Pending trial, parole sheet says "let the courts decide". Many times a parole decision will state that he will be continued on parole while the trial is pending. Consequently, he may be discharged before trial. The coders are to ignore these parole decisions. If the witnesses, descriptions, etc. support a felony decision, he is coded accordingly with the recidivism definitions.
  - c) Waiting in jail pending court date, discharged off parole: Ignore and use his behavior to decide.
  - d) Absconder, wanted for a felony: Again, use the description of his behavior to determine if he committed a felony.

Points a, b, c, and d above all emphasize one point: We are not interested in legal or administrative decisions but the behavior involved.

4) Domestic Disputes: These are one of the most difficult cases to resolve. The rule of thumb is not to count domestic disputes if they are a case of minor fighting. For instance, if a parolee has a clean record on parole but her spouse calls police and she is arrested and released, she would normally be counted as a 1 (no criminal activity). The rationale for this is that a large number of our population experience domestic problems before and after prison. More importantly, there are usually two sides to the story. Consequently, an Assault and Battery for shoving or pushing should be examined carefully. The only traditional exceptions to this is where serious bodily injury occurs. In that case, it is either an Assault and Battery or a felony depending on the arrest.

- 5) AWOL, traffic tickets, prior fugitive warrants, and prior warrants are to be ignored. We are interested in parole criminal behavior.
- 6) In certain instances, a parole agent is sure of the parolee's guilt but the charges are dropped. The agent may state that the victim called him and he has been threatened. The parolee should be coded according to the behavior.
- 7) In instances where the evidence is not clear and there is no basis for making a decision, then the general rule of thumb is to code in <u>favor of the parolee</u>. A case was noted where a parolee was arrested for UDAA. Yet the owner of the vehicle supported the parolee's version of borrowing the car. In this case, the code should be in favor of the parolee. (Do not presume guilt but be aware that there are situations where the circumstances are clear but the charges are dropped.)
- 8) The general rule of thumb for category #2 (technical violation) is to rely on a green sheet in the file. Do not presuppose the violations of parolees. The exception may be with those parolees with special conditions. For instance, the parolee is not to use drugs but is caught using them. Because of program guidelines, agents are not required to formally reprimand them.
- 9) Those incidences where a person absconds and is arrested in another state for a felony but no description is provided may require that recidivism be left blank.
- 10) A source of information in files that may assist in determining recidivism is the Parole Board hearings where the arresting officer, agent, etc., reviews the circumstances.

In most cases, the problems above should not be encountered. Past experience has shown that a good file leads to little confusion. Hopefully, where questions remain, the above discussion will be of assistance.

Violent Offense	Prior Offense Code	1984/85 Iowa Severity Code	Felony Offense Title
***********	01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	80 70 70 70 70 70 70 60 60 60 60 60 60 50 50 50 50 40 40	Murder Attempted Murder Rape Kidnapping for Ransom Aggravated Robbery Aggravated Burglary Arson of a Dwelling Selling Narcotics to Minors Voluntary Manslaughter Attempted Rape Sodomy Kidnapping Robbery Larceny from a Person Felony Assault Terrorism Arson Involuntary Manslaughter Attempted Robbery Extortion Going Armed with Intent Escape Jailbreak Aggravated Assault Attempted Arson Conspiracy to Commit a Violent Felony
	27 28 29 30	30 30 30 30	Burglary Motor Vehicle Theft Forgery Selling Narcotics (opiates or cocoaine)
	31 32 33 34 35 36	20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Larceny Stolen Property Vandalism Bad Checks/Fraud Weapons Offense Conspiracy to Commit a Non- Violent Felony (above) All Other Offenses, e.g., lascivious acts, selling drugs, drunken driving.

Offense-specific coding for prior felonies

CRIMES FILE

# FULL VARIABLE NAMES FOR ABBREVIATIONS USED IN COMPUTER PROGRAMS

Abbreviation or Acronym	Full Name
COMMDATE BIRTHDAY PARODATE RECIDATE DISCDATE COMARDT1 RLPJDT1 PAROAGE MUS V18 IOCURR GCURR VCURR CHGE1.1	Date of Commitment for Current Offense Date of Birth Date of Parole Release Date of Coding Recidivism Score Date of Discharge from Parole Date of Commitment (for Arrest Number 1) Date of Release from Prison or Jail (Arrest 1) Age at Parole Release Months Under Supervision Current Offense (Michigan Coding) Current Offense (1984-85 Iowa Coding) Severity Coding for Current Offense (General) Severity Coding for Current Offense (Violent) Offense Code for Charge 1.1
VFLSV1.1 CMHST1.1 AGE1.1 S1.1 DISP1.1 SH1.1 P C CPRIME STSG STSV	Severity Code for Violent Felony (Charge 1.1) Severity Code for All Felonies (Charge 1.1) Recency of Charge 1.1 (in months of street time) Raw Score for Prior Violent Felony (Charge 1.1) Disposition Multiplier for Charge 1.1 Raw Score for Prior Felony (Charge 1.1) Prior Violence Score (sum for all charges) Criminal History Score (sum for all charges) Criminal History Score/yrs. of street time x .10 Street Time Score (General) Street Time Score (Violent) Criminal History Score (General)
CHSG CESG SUBUHT SASV SOC1 PVSV PYRAG14R	Current Escape Score (General/Nonviolent) Substance Abuse History Substance Abuse Score (Violent) Serious Offender Classification (Code Number 1) Prior Violence Score (Violent) Age at Parole, Minus 14 yrs., rounded to the nearest whole number
STRTM2R GRA VRA XG X SRA VRA85	PYRAG14R minus total years committed, rounded to the nearest whole number General Risk form - 1984 Iowa version Violence Risk form - 1984 Iowa version X-Score (General) - 1985 Iowa model X-Score - 1985 Iowa version Safety Risk form - 1985 version Violence Risk form - 1985 version

Second File: Parolees' follow-up criminal history

```
Variable
              Variable
Names
              Labels
STUDYID
              case ID number
RECRDNO
              record number
              Total num. of all violent felony charges (V.F.C.)
VFELTTL
              total num. of V. F. C. in last 12 months of street time
VFEL12
              total num. of V. F. C. in last 24 months of street time
VFEL24
              total num. of V. F. C. in last 36 months of street time
VFEL36
              total num. of V. F. C. in last five years of street time
VFEL5YR
              total num. of non-violent felony charges (N.F.C.)
NVFELTTL
              total num. of N. F. C. in last 12 months of street time
NVFEL12
NVFEL24
              total num. of N. F. C. in last 24 months of street time
              total num. of N. F. C. in last 36 months of street time
NVFEL36
NVFEL5YR
              total num. of N. F. C. in last five years of street time
              multiple different charges with single arrest
MLTCHGE
COMMARDTO
             date of commitment for current offense
COMMARDT1
             date of commitment for prior arrest 1
CHGE1.1
             offense code for charge 1.1
DISP1.1
              disposition multiplier for charge 1.1
AGE1.1
             recency of charge 1.1 (in months of street crime)
CHGE1.2
             offense code for charge 1.2
              disposition multiplier for charge 1.2
DISP1.2
AGE1.2
             recency of charge 1.2 (in months of street crime)
CHGE1.3
             offense code for charge 1.3
DISP1.3
              disposition multiplier for charge 1.3
AGE1.3
             recency of charge 1.3 (in months of street crime)
RLPJDT1
              date of release from prison and jail (arrest 1)
```

(COMMARDT1 to RLPJDT1 will repeat 8 times and each represents a prior arrest.)

#### Second File

Listing of R2 at 22:33:55 on MAY 13, 1986 for CCid=RAB.

```
TITLE 'CRIMINAL HISTORY'
       FILE HANDLE CRMHST/NAME='CRMHST'
       FILE HANDLE HSTDTA/NAME='HSTDTA'
 4
       DATA LIST FILE*CRMHST RECORDS=5
        /1 STDYID 1-3 RECROND 4 VFELTTL 5-6 VFEL12 7-8 VFEL24 9-10 VFEL36 11-12
 5
 6
        VFEL5YR 13-14 NVFELTTL 15-16 NVFEL12 17-18 NVFEL24 19-20 NVFEL36 21-22
 7
        NVFEL5YR 23-24 MLTCHGE 25 COMMARDTO 26-34(ADATEB)
        /2 STDYID 1-3 RECRDNO 4 COMMARDT1 5-13(ADATE8) CHGE1.1 14-15 DISP1.1 16-18(2)
 8
 9
        AGE1.1 19-20 CHGE1.2 21-22 DISP1.2 23-25(2) AGE1.2 26-27 CHGE1.3 28-29
        DISP1.3 30-32(2) AGE1.3 33-34 RLPJDT1 35-43(ADATE8) COMMARDT2 44-52(ADATE8) CHGE2.1 53-54
10
11
        DISP2.1 55-57(2) AGE2.1 58-59 CHGE2.2 60-61 DISP2.2 62-64(2) AGE2.2 65-66
        CHGE2.3 67-68 DISP2.3 69-71(2) AGE2.3 72-73
12
        /3 STDYID 1-3 RECRDNO 4 RLJPDT2 5-13(ADATE8) COMMARDT3 14-22(ADATE8) CHGE3.1 23-24
13
14
        DISP3.1 25-27(2) AGE3.1 28-29 CHGE3.2 30-31 DISP3.2 32-24(2) AGE3.2 35-36
15
        CHGE3.3 37-38 DISP3.3 39-41(2) AGE3.3 42-43 RLPUDT3 44-52(ADATE8)
        CDMMARDT4 53-61(ADATE8) CHGE4.1 62-63 DISP4.1 64-66(2) AGE4.1 67-68 CHGE4.2 69-70 DISP4.2 71-73(2) AGE4.2 74-75 CHGE4.3 76-77 DISP4.3 78-80(2)
16
17
18
        /4 STDYID 1-3 RECRDNO 4 AGE4.3 5-6 RLPJDT4 7-15(ADATE8)
19
        COMMARDT5 16-24(ADATEB) CHGE5.1 25-26 DISP5.1 27-29(2) AGE5.1 30-31
20
        CHGE5.2 32-33 DISP5.2 34-36(2) AGE5.2 37-38 CHGE5.3 39-40 DISP5.3 41-43(2)
        AGE5.3 44-45 RLPUDT5 46-54(ADATE8) COMMARDT6 55-63(ADATE8) CHGE6.1 64-65
21
22
        DISP6.1 66-68(2) AGE6.1 69-70 CHGE6.2 71-72 DISP6.2 73-75(2) AGE6.2 76-77
23
        /5 STDYJD 1-3 RECRDNO 4 CHGE6.3 5-6 DISP6.3 7-9(2) AGE6.3 10-11
        RLPJDT6 12-20(ADATE8) COMMARDT7 21-29(ADATE8) CHGE7.1 30-31 DISP7.1 32-34(2)
24
        AGE7.1 35-36 CHGE7.2 37-38 DISP7.2 39-41(2) AGE7.2 42-43 CHGE7.3 44-45
25
26
        DISP7.3(2) AGE7.3 49-50 RLPUDT7 51-59(ADATE8) COMMARDT8 60-68(ADATE8) CHGE8.1 69-70
27
        DISP8.1 71-73(2) AGES.1 74-75 CHGES.2 76-77 DISP8.2 78-80(2)
28
        /6 STDYID 1-3 RECRDNO 4 AGEB.2 5-6 CHGEB.3 7-8 DISP8.3 9-11(2) AGEB.3 12-13
        RLPJDT8 14-22(ADATE8)
29
30
       SAVE OUTFILE=HSTDTA/MAP
       FINISH
```

OFFENDER FILE WORKSHEETS

# WORKSHEET

I)	ID NUMBER	<b>R</b>			<del></del>			
1)	IF V5 1s	OTHER, LIST	DRUG					
(11)	Arrest		Crimin	1 History		Dates	Person (	,
		Offense		Dispositi	on	Incarcerate	d Offense	
			•					
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<del></del>			
			<del></del>		<del></del>			<del></del>
		<del> </del>			<del></del>		<del></del>	-
							<del></del>	
<del></del>								
	<del></del>	<del></del>					<del></del>	
-					•	<del></del>		
			•					
	:		•				<del></del>	V
		<del> </del>	· ·	,				
					<del></del>			
-	·	<del></del>		<del></del>		<del></del>		·
			<del> </del>	7		<del> </del>		<del></del>
						<del></del>		
			<del></del>			<del></del>	<del></del>	
Pr	ior Arres	ts			<del> </del>			
Pr	for Proba	tions	<u></u>	<u> </u>				
J Ad	ult Jail				<u> </u>			
1011-	vebbent fo so. over	elony 0=no lvr. l≡yes	1					
	venile Cor						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
7101	ent felon	y with O-no	<del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del>		<del></del>	-
	. over 1 '	vear 1-yes		<del></del>				

#### PAROLE VARIABLES

Parole Date =

24 Years =

#### Parole File

Violation Arrest	i e				
ATMIGRATION WITER					
Date Date	Offense	Convicted		Misd. Felony	
Dene Date	011656		 		

#### Recidivism Score =

If above is blank, are there any PV violations?

#### Police Rap Sheet

Arrest Date	Offense	Convicted	Misd. Felony	

#### Recidivism Score =

- 4 of Misd. Before Highest Recid. =
- # of Nonviolent Pelonies Before Recid =
- # of Nonviolent Felonies After Recid =
- f of Violent Felonies After Recid

HIGHEST RECID SCORE = (Enter Column 54)

If Rap Sheet Score Different, NOTE if HIGHER