137347

COUNTY CHARACTERISTICS AND JUVENILE JUSTICE RESOURCES FOR WISCONSIN IN 1990

COMMUNITY PROGRAM SECTION BUREAU OF COMMUNITY RESOURCES DIVISION OF YOUTH SERVICES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES

137347

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been

Wisconsin Dept. of Health

and Social Services

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

COUNTY CHARACTERISTICS AND JUVENILE JUSTICE PROGRAMS FOR WISCONSIN IN 1990

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
	INTRODUCTION
I.	COUNTY CHARACTERISTICS 4
II.	COUNTY JUVENILE JUSTICE RESOURCES 40
III.	UNUSUALLY SUCCESSFUL PROGRAMS 59
IV.	1992 YOUTH AIDS COUNTY CONTACTS 120

INTRODUCTION

County Characteristics and Juvenile Justice Programs is the Division of Youth Services' first effort to provide county agencies and others working in the juvenile justice field with a comprehensive and current reference document.

The Division believed that a document which combined juvenile justice indicators with county profiles, summaries of unusually successful programs, and a list of the county Youth Aids contact persons, would be more valuable to the principal users than a series of separate reports. Indices, county profiles, and special program abstracts will be updated biennially; therefore, user input on the format of this document, recommendations on other indicators to consider, and alternative ways of presenting the county profile information will be appreciated.

To use this document, a county agency may begin by looking for other counties which rank close in terms of youth population and/or socio-economic status. Depending on the questions being raised, the agency may compare the data for its county against similar counties or the state averages. For example, arrests and out-of-home placement tables may then reveal dissimilarities among otherwise like counties. These tables are found in Section I.

By referring to the tables in Section II, the agency can determine whether or not counties with similar populations but different arrest, petition, or placement data have the same mix of juvenile justice programs. The agency may want to compare its mix of programs with those available in the comparison counties. If specific types of community programs are of interest, the summaries of unusually successful programs in Section III can help an agency obtain advice on setting them up.

I. County Characteristics

The section on juvenile justice indicators consists of a series of tables that depict youth population, socio-economic conditions, arrest and court outcome data, out-of-home placements, and Youth Aids allocations by county. With two exceptions, each table contains at least one column that ranks the seventy-two counties. In most cases, the county ranked number one has the highest standing in terms of that indicator. For example, Milwaukee County has more youth ages twelve through seventeen than any other county, and thus is Rank 1, while Menominee County, with the fewest youth, is Rank 72.

Because counties which rank in the single digits (roughly 1-10) are those which have greater incidence or needs, the ranking

system is reversed for per capita income. For this indicator only, the county with the lowest per capita income is Rank 1, suggesting a relatively greater incidence of poverty.

Tables are preceded by a brief description of the source of data, the formulas from which numbers or percentages were derived, limitations on the use of the data, or caveats that should be taken into account. For example, while most data are county-specific, high school dropout rates are collected by school districts, which may cross county lines.

II. County Juvenile Justice Resources

The next major section is a profile of each county's juvenile justice program, based on information collected on the 1990 Juvenile Justice Resource Inventory. Despite efforts to assure accuracy in reporting and recording this information, it became apparent when compiling the profile pages that there were problems with some definitions. Moreover, it appears that a few counties did not have access to certain pieces of information; others probably made estimates when hard figures were not available. Thus, this section should not be considered inclusive of services and programs offered by or through county agencies. Despite this limitation, the profile provides a first look at the range of responsibilities and programs that comprise the Wisconsin juvenile justice system.

The Division of Youth Services will especially welcome input on this section of <u>Juvenile Justice At A Glance</u>. Some information contained in the <u>1990 Juvenile Justice Resource Inventory</u> does not appear in this report because the program detail would have resulted in unusually complicated tables. (The <u>Resource Inventory</u> asked whether a program was located within or outside the county, whether it was administered by the Department or under contract, whether it was freestanding or part of a broader program, caseloads, etc.) This detailed information from the <u>Resource Inventory</u> is available upon request by contacting Mary Erikson, Youth Aids Coordinator, Division of Youth Services, P.O. Box 8930, Madison, WI 53708-8930, telephone (608) 267-9802.

The 1990 profile primarily indicates whether a program or service is available to youth in a given county, either directly or through referral to another agency. Your comments on the elements you would like to see incorporated in the next report, which will be based on the 1992 Juvenile Justice Resource Inventory, will greatly assist the Division in improving this document for juvenile justice service providers.

III. Unusually Successful Programs

Summaries of "unusually successful" juvenile justice programs make up the third section of <u>County Characteristics And Juvenile Justice Programs</u>. When completing the <u>1990 Juvenile Justice Resource Inventory</u>, several counties indicated that one or more of their programs or service components "demonstrated a high rate of success with clients and workers, based on verifiable and objective standards." Recently, these counties were asked to summarize the goals, costs, and number and types of youth served by these programs, for inclusion in this report.

Summaries are organized by service category (i.e., early intervention, detention alternatives, et cetera) rather than by county. For the most part, this section follows the outline of the 1990 Juvenile Justice Resource Inventory.

IV. 1992 Youth Aids County Contact Directory

The final section of <u>County Characteristics and Juvenile</u> <u>Justice Programs</u> is a current list of County Youth Aids staff contacts. Similar lists have been provided in the past, and the Division will continue to update this material annually.

SECTION I. COUNTY CHARACTERISTICS

TABLE 1: POPULATION (1990 CENSUS)

The 1990 Census Report is the most current and accurate population count available. The "total population" column contains the total number of persons who lived in the county as of the April, 1990 Census date. The "youth population" is a subset of the total county population, providing the Census count of residents ages 12 through 17.

Population is one of several indicators that suggests an appropriate size for a county program, based on the number of youth the program may need to serve. Counties are ranked three ways: by total population; by youth population; and by percent of the county population that is 12 through 17 years old.

On this table, counties are ranked from those with the most residents (Rank 1) to those with the fewest (Rank 72). Only one formula was used to construct this table, as follows:

% of County Pop. = Population Ages 12-17 (1990 Census)
Ages 12 Through 17 Total County Population (1990 Census)

TABLE 1: 1990 COUNTY POPULATION

COUNTY	TOTAL RANK COUNTY HIGHEST POP. POPULATION IS #1	NUMBER OF YOUTH AGE 12-17	RANK HIGHEST POP. IS #1	% OF COUNTY POP. AGES 12-17	RANK HIGHEST % IS #1
<u>IDAM</u> S	15,682 59	1,087	66	6.93X	71
AND	16,307 56	1,349	59	8.27%	59.5
ON	40,750 30	3,573	29	8.77%	35
AYFIELD	14,008 63	1,192	62	8.51%	49
ROWN UFFALO	194,594 4 13,584 65	16,477 1,176	4 64	8.47X 8.66X	53.5 39
URNETT	13,084 66	1,119	65	8.55%	46
ALUMET	34,291 36	3.258	34	9.50%	7
HIPPEWA	52,360 23	4,691	24	8.96%	19
LARK	31,647 39 45,088 28	3,107	36	9.82%	3
DLUMBIA RAWFORD	45,088 28 15,940 58	3,897 1,568	28 53	8.64% 9.84%	41.5
ANE	367,085 2	24,156	3	6.58%	72
DOGE	76,559 17	6,455	18	8.43%	56
OOR	25,690 43	2,170	45	8.45%	55
DUGLAS	41,758 29	3,541	30	8.48%	51
JNN AU CLAIRE	35,909 34 85,183 15	2,790 6,492	37 16	7.77% 7.62%	62 65
ORENCE .	4,590 71	406	72	8.85%	29.5
OND DU LAC	90,083 14	8,005	13	8.89%	24.5
DREST	8,776 68	814	68	9.28%	11.5
ANT	49.264 25	4.396	25	8.92%	23
REEN	30,339 40	2,684	40	8.85%	29.5
EEN LAKE	18.651 52 20,150 47	1,579 1,789	52 50	8.47%	53.5 26.5
ON	6,153 70	477	70	7.75%	63
CKSON	16.588 55	1,483	55	8.94%	22
FFERSON	67,783 21	5,790	20	8.54%	47.5
INEAU	21,650 46	1,925	46	8.89%	24.5
NOSHA	128, 181 9	10,597	8	8,27%	59.5
WAUNEE	18,878 51	1,795 7,237	49	9.51%	5.5
CROSSE FAYETTE	97,904 12 16,076 57	1,439	14 56	7.39% 8.95%	68 20.5
NGLADE	19,505 48	1,811	47	9.28%	11.5
NCOLN	26,993 42	2,572	41	9.53%	4
NITOWOC	<u> 80.421 16 </u>	6.865	15	8,54%	47.5
RATHON	115,400 10	10,467	9	2.07%	17
ETTE	40,548 31	3,520	31	8.68%	37.5
ETTE NOMINEE	12,321 67 3,890 72	1,036 432	67 71	8.41% 11.11%	57 1
LWAUKEE	959,275	72,429	1	7.55%	67
NROE	36,633 33	3,380	32	9.23%	13.5
ONTO	30,226 41	2,705	39	8.95%	20.5
IEIDA	31,679 38	2,427	42	7.66%	64
ITAGAMIE	140,510 6	12,202	6	8.68%	37.5
AUKEE Pin	72,831 20 7,107 69	6,297	19 69	8.65% 9.40%	40 8.5
ERCE	32,765 37	2,777		8.48%	52
LK	34,773 35	3,208	38 35	9.23%	52 13.5
RTAGE	61.405 22	4.959	22 57.5	8.08% 9.05%	61
ICE	15,600 60	1.412	57.5	9.05%	18
CINE	175,034 5	1 15.130	5	8.64%	41.5
CHLAND CK	17,521 54 139,510 8	1,539 11,947	54 7	8.78% 8.56%	34 45
SK	15,079 61	1,412	57.5	9.36%	10
UK	46,075 27	4,038	27	8.76%	36
WYER	14,181 62	1,203	27 61	8.48%	50
AWANO	37, 157 32	3,301	33	8.88%	26.5 32.5
EBOYGAN	103,877	9,146	11	8.80%	32.5
. CROIX	50.251 24 18,901 50	4,725 1,798	23 48	9,40%	8.5
YLOR EMPEALEAU	18,901 50 25,263 45	2,177	40	9.51% 8.62%	5.5 43
RNON	25,263 45 25,617 44	2,269	43	8.86%	28
LAS	17,707 53	1,263	60	7.13%	70
LWORTH	75,000 18	5,682	21	7.58% 8.57%	66
SHBURN	13,772 64	1,180	63	8.57%	44
SHINGTON	95,328 13	8,716	12	9.14%	16
UKESHA	304,715 3	27,917	2 26	9.16% 8.80%	15 32 5
UPACA USHARA	46,104 26 19,385 49	4,059 1,608	26 51	8.30%	32.5 58
NNEBAGO	140,320 7	10,094	10	7.19%	69
IOD	73,605 19	6,485	17	8.81%	31
	4,890,869	403,370		8.25%	
	3,931,594			1	

SOURCE: U.S. CENSUS

TABLE 2: 1989 PER CAPITA PERSONAL INCOME

Per Capita Personal Income is computed by dividing each county's total personal income by the 1990 county population. Total personal income estimates are produced by the federal Bureau of Economic Analysis. Personal income is reported in millions of dollars.

Some error is introduced by applying the 1990 Census figures against 1989 personal income data. However, depending on the county, estimated 1989 populations were either higher or lower than the actual count. By using the two reasonably accurate measures of population and income, the magnitude of error is believed to be less than the error that would have resulted from applying population estimates to the personal income figure.

The Bureau of Economic Analysis reports Shawano and Menominee Total Personal Income as one figure. Because it was not possible to separate the total per capita incomes for these counties, on Table 2 no per capita figure is given for Menominee County, and the Shawano figure was calculated by dividing reported personal income by the combined Menominee and Shawano county populations.

Per Capita Personal Income provides some indication of a county's financial ability to develop and enhance program resources.

NOTE: This indicator is ranked from the county with the lowest per capita personal income (Rank 1) to the county with the highest per capita personal income (Rank 72). The formula used is:

Est. Per Capita Income, 1989 = <u>Total 1989 Personal Income in County</u> 1990 County Population

TABLE 2: 1989 PER CAPITA PERSONAL INCOME

YTNUX	TOTAL COUNTY POPULATION	ESTIMATED PER CAPITA INCOME 1989	RANK LOW \$ IS #1
AMS	15,682	\$10,968	3
SHLAND	16,307	\$13,125	18
RROH	40,750	\$13,890	30
YFIELD	14.008	\$12,065	9
ROWN	194,594	\$16,527	62
FFALO	13,584	\$15.386	48
RNETT	13,084 34,291	\$12,000	8 51
LUMET IIPPEWA	52,360	\$15,719 \$14,706	42
ARK	31,647	\$12,956	17
LUMBIA	45,088	\$15,858	54
AWFORD	15,940	\$12,422	12
NE	367,085	\$18,517	70
DOGE	76,559	\$14,329	35
XOR	25,690	\$16,232	58
UGLAS	41,758	\$13,794	26
INN	35,909 85,183	\$12,671 \$14.358	15 36
ORENCE	4,590	\$10,894	2
ND DU LAC	90,083	\$16,408	61
REST	8,776	\$10,142	1
ANT	49,264	\$14,555	40
EEN	30,339	\$17,503	67
EEN LAKE	18,651	\$14,959	44
WA .	20,150	\$14,293	34
ON	6, 153	\$11,540	4
CKSON	16,588	\$13,143	21
FFERSON	67,783	\$15,712	50
NEAU	21,650 128,181	\$13,395	24
NOSHA		\$16,360 \$15,300	60 47
WAUNEE CROSSE	18,878 97,904	\$15,309 \$15,832	53
FAYETTE	16,076	\$15,178	46
NGLADE	19,505	\$13,125	19
NCOLN	26,993	\$13,337	23
NITOWOC	80,421	\$14,822	43
RATHON	115,400	\$15,026	45
RINETTE	40,548	\$13,688	25
RQUETTE	12,321	\$13,879	29
NOMINEE **	3,890	0.7 (0)	10.5
LWAUKEE	959,275	\$17,496	66
NROE ONTO	36,633 30,226	\$12,503 \$13,135	14 20
EIDA	31,679	\$14,426	38
TAGAMIE	140,510	\$15,950	55
AUKEE	72,831	\$22,642	72
PIN	7,107	\$14,071	32
ERCE	32,765	\$15,963	56
LK	34,773	\$14,063	31 33
RTAGE	61,405	\$14,266	33
I CE	15,600	\$13,847	28
CINE	175,034	\$17,403	65
CHLAND	17,521 130,510	\$11,986 \$15,727	7
<u> </u>	139,510	\$15,727 \$11,038	52
SK	15,079 46,075	\$11,938 \$15,671	6 49
JK WYER	46,075 14,181	\$15,671 \$11,565	49. 5
AWANO **	37, 157	\$12,109	10.5
EBOYGAN	103 877	\$17,165	64
. CROIX	103,877 50,251	\$18,229	69
YLOR	18,901	\$13,915	27
EMPEALEAU	25,263	\$14,409	37
RNON	25,617	\$12,804	16
LAS	17,707	\$13,329	22
LWORTH	75,000	\$16,054 \$12,400	57
SHBURN	13,772	\$12,490 \$17,708	13
SHINGTON	95,328 304,745	\$17,708 \$20,055	68
UKESHA	304,715	\$20,955 \$14,443	.71 /1
UPACA	46,104 10,385	\$14,663 \$14,496	41
USHARA NUERAGO	19,385 140,320	\$14,496 \$16,327	39 59
NNEBAGO OD	140,320 73,605	\$16,327 \$16,888	63
~	73,000	₹10,000	
TAL	4,890,869		

SOURCE: Federal Bureau of Economic Analysis
** Population and personal income figures are combined for Menominee and Shawano counties.

TABLE 3: 1990 AVERAGE PERCENT OF POPULATION RECEIVING AFDC

The number of persons on Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) by county for 1990 was obtained from the Department of Health and Social Services, Division of Economic Support, Bureau of Systems Support. The system provides a total of recipients each month, and the annual average is calculated by dividing the sum of January through December recipients by twelve.

The Redcliff, Stockbridge, Lac Du Flambeau, Bad River, and Oneida Indian Tribes administer AFDC independently. To obtain county-wide figures, AFDC recipients found eligible by the tribal agencies were added to the total for the county in which the reservation is located. Therefore, the AFDC totals for Bayfield, Shawano, Vilas, Ashland, Outagamie, and Brown counties are greater than the number reported by the county human/social service agency.

The Oneida Reservation is located in both Outagamie and Brown counties, making it necessary to apportion the number of AFDC recipients between them. Although exact figures are not available, under the advice of Harrier Reiter, the Oneida Tribal Relief to Needy Indian Persons/Income Maintenance Director, 75 percent of the persons on AFDC from the Oneida Tribe were added to Brown County and 25 percent were added to Outagamie County.

AFDC recipient data is one indication of poverty. The annual average percent of county population receiving AFDC is ranked from the county with the highest percentage (Rank 1) to the smallest percentage (Rank 72). Formulas used are:

Total Persons on AFDC, 1990 = Total number of persons found eligible for AFDC-Regular and AFDC-Unemployed by county.

Monthly Average No. of = <u>Total Persons on AFDC in 1990</u> AFDC Recipients 12

Avg. % of County = Monthly Avg. No. of AFDC Recipients by County Pop. on AFDC Total County Population

TABLE 3: 1990 AVERAGE MONTHLY PERCENT OF POPULATION RECEIVING AFDC

COUNTY	1990 COUNTY POPULATION	MONTHLY AVERAGE # OF AFDC RECIPIENTS	AVG. % OF COUNTY POP. ON AFDC	RANK HIGHEST % 15 #1
ADAMS	15,682 16,307	884.9 1,151.2	5.64% 7.06%	12 6
NG NG	40,750	1,799.3	4.42%	6 22
BROWN	14,008 194,594	763.5 7,498.4	5.45% 3.85%	15 29
BUFFALO	13.584	382.8	2,82%	46
BURNETT	13,084	804.1	6.15%	8
CALUMET CHIPPEWA	34,291 52,360	461.2 2,283.8	1.34% 4.36%	68 24
CLARK	31,647	871.4	2.75%	24 48
COLUMBIA	45,088	958.6	2.13%	59
CRAWFORD DANE	15,940 367,085	550,5 8,609.1	3,45% 2,35%	34 55
DOOGE	76.559	1,314.7	1.72%	65
DOOR	25,690 41,758	579.3 2,964.1	2.25% 7.10%	57 5
DUNN	35,909	1,580.9	4.40%	23
EAU CLAIRE	85,183	4,719.4	5.54%	13
FLORENCE FOND DU LAC	4,590 90,083	214.5 2,314.9	4.67% 2.57%	20 49
FOREST	8,776	682.1	7.77%	4.
GRANT GREEN	49,264 30,339	1,222.9 692.5	2.48% 2.28%	52 56
GREEN LAKE	18,651	442.0	2.37%	56
IOWA	20.150	394.8	1.96% 2.50% 5.28%	60
IRON JACKSON	6,153 16,588	153.8 875.8	2.50% 5.28%	51 16
JEFFERSON	16,588 67,783	1,259.8	1.86%	62
JUNEAU	21,650 128,181	939.2 7,636.3	4.34% 5.96%	25 9
KENOSHA KEWAUNEE	18,878	313.2	1.66%	66
LA CROSSE	97,904	4,865.2	4.97%	18
LAFAYETTE LANGLADE	16,076 19,505	387.6 827.6	2.41% 4.24%	53 26
LINCOLN	26,993 80,421	860.9	3.19%	41
MANT/TOHOC	80.421	2.263.8	2.81%	47
MARATHON METTE	115,400 40,548	3,730.2 1,437.7	3.23% 3.55%	40 33
ETTE .	12,321	413.4	3.36%	33 38
MILWAUKEE	3,890	1,343.5 108,270.9	34.54%	1
MONROE	959,275 36,633	1,378.9	11.29% 3.76%	2 31
OCONTO	30,226	869.8	2.88%	44
ONEIDA OUTAGAMIE	31,679 140,510	1,280.7 3,097.6	4.04% 2.20%	27 58
OZAUKEE	72.831	326.8	0.45%	72
PEPIN	7,107 32,765	240.8	3.39%	37
PIERCE POLK	34,773	591.3 1,662.1	1.80% 4.78%	63
PORTAGE	61,405	2,182.8	3.55%	32 45
PRICE RACINE	15,600	446.1 10,899.8	2.86%	45 7
RICHLAND	175,034 17,521	660.2	6.23% 3.77% 5.79%	30
ROCK	139,510	8,080.3	5.79%	11:
RUSK SAUK	15,079 46,075	775.8 817.2	5.15% 1.77%	17 64
SAWYER	46,075 14,181	1,274.7	8.99%	64 3
SHAWANO	37.157	1,452.6	3.91%	28
SHEBOYGAN ST. CROIX	103,877 50,251	1,148.0 2.936.0	1.11%	70 10
TAYLOR	18,901	353.7	1.87%	61
TREMPEALEAU VERNON	25,263 25,617	767.2 839.3	3.04% 3.28%	42 39
VILAS	25,617 17,707	979.3	5.53%	14
WALWORTH	75,000 13,772	1,202.8	1.60%	67
WASHBURN WASHINGTON	13,772 95,328	614.9 1,112.6	4.46X 1.17X	21 69
WAUKESHA	304,715	2,973.0	0.98%	71
WAUPACA	46,104	1,171.7	0.98% 2.54% 3.44%	50 36
WAUSHARA WINNEBAGO	19,385 140,320	667.4 4,190.3	2.99%	36 43
MOOD	73.605	2,540.9	2.99% 3,45%	35
	4,890,869	237,254.0	4.85%	
W/O MILWAUKEE	3,931,594	128,983.1	3.28%	

SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES Division of Economic Support

TABLE 4: THREE YEAR AVERAGE (1988, 1989 & 1990) SUB-SUBSTANTIATED CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT CASES (C.A.N.)

This indicator looks at the average number of <u>substantiated</u> cases of Child Abuse and Neglect (C.A.N.) over the three year period from 1988-1990. Data was obtained from the Human Services Reporting System, Division of Community Services.

The number of substantiated cases is used instead of the number of reported cases, because the former is believed to be a more accurate indicator. However, a great deal of caution should be used in comparing counties, because no standardized method or definition is used throughout the State for reporting substantiated C.A.N. cases.

Despite this limitation, the counties have been ranked by standardizing the county average substantiated C.A.N. cases per 1,000 children ages 0 through 17 years. The county with the highest three-year average cases per thousand children is Rank 1, and the lowest is Rank 72. The formulas used are:

3 Year Average
Subst. C.A.N. = (Avg. C.A.N. Victims in 3 Years) * 1000
per 1000 Youth Number of Youth age 0 thru 17

TABLE 4: AVERAGE SUBSTANTIATED CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT (C.A.N.) CASES, 1988-1990

UNTY	1990 POPULATION AGES 0-17	3 YEAR AVG. SUBST. C.A.N. AGES 0 -17	3 YEAR AVG. SUBST. C.A.N./ 1,000 YOUTH	RANK HIGH AVG. IS #1
AMS	3,034	19.3	5.8	53
HLAND	4,417	54.7	12.4	14
RRON	11,300	55.0	4.9	62.5
YFIELD	3,728	32.0	8.6	37
OWN	52,651	500.0	9.5	28.5
FFALO	3,672	15.0	4.1	68
RNETT	3,273	38.7	11.8	15
LUMET	10,493	69.7	6.6	_ 47
IPPEWA	14,830	135.3	9.1	32.5
ARK	9,569	87.3	2.1	32.5
LUMBIA	11,877	89.7	7.6	43.5
AWFORD	4.621	51.0	11.0	18.5
NE	83,337	483.7	5.8	53
OGE	20,450	103.3 152.0	5.1	58
OR	6,659		22.8	. 3
UGLAS NN	10,681	199.0	18.6	5
	8,847 21,095	94.3	10.7	20 36
U CLAIRE		184.0 30.3	8.7	
ORENCE ND DU LAC	1,222 24,569	426.7	24.8 17.4	2 • 6.5
ND DU LAC REST	24,369	44.7	17.4	0.5
ANT	13,176	99.7	7.6	43.5
EEN	8,269			
	4,846	66.7 55.3	8.1 11.4	38.5
EEN LAKE	5,761	33.0	5.7	16 55
HA N	1,311	53.0 6.3		
on Ckson	1,311	22.0	4.8	65 43 5
FFERSON	4,502 17,572	168.7	4.9	62.5
NEAU	11,314	27.7	9.6	27 66
NOSHA	5,914 34,333	314.3	4.7 9.2	00 31
VAUNEE	5,315	19.7	3.7	69
CROSSE	26 110	150.0	6.2	50.5
FAYETTE	24,110 4,692	26.0	5.5	50.5 56
IGLADE	5,203	51.3	9.9	23
ICOLN	7,271	92.0	12.7	25 13
11 TOWOC	21.336	233.7	11.0	18.5
RATHON	32,453	263.3	8.1	38.5
RINETTE	10,898	104.0	9.5	28.5
RQUETTE	3,025	34.3	11.3	17
NOMINEE	1,600	129.0	80.6	'1
WAUKEE	246,302	4047.7	16.4	8
ROE	10,692	99.0	9.3	30
OTO	8,231	28.0	3.4	70
IDA	7,524	131.0	17.4	6.5
AGAMIE	39,920	310.7	7.8	41
UKEE	19,685	97.3	4.9	62.5
IN	2,050	10.0	4.9	62.5
RCE	8,848	85.7	9.7	26
K	9,928	77.0	9.7 7.8	41
RTAGE	15,744	78.0	5.0	59.5
CE	4,133	27.0	6.5	48.5
INE	48,621	280.0	5.8	53
HLAND	4,760	48.7	10.2	22
K .	37,859	565.0	14.9	9
SK	4,160	27.0	6.5	48.5
JK .	12,772	113.7	8.9	35
IYER	3,716	53.7	14.5	10
WANO	9,980	53.0	5.3	57
BOYGAN	27,855	365.3	13.1	12
CROIX	15.117	160.0	10.6	21
LOR	5,710	80.0	14.0	11
MPEALEAU	6,593 7,027	64.7	9.8	24.5
NON	7,027	19.3	2.7	72 45
.AS	3,935	29.7	7.5	45
.WORTH	17,979 3,617	90.0	5.0	59.5
HBURN	3,617	35.3	9.8	24.5
HINGTON	27,043	78.7	2.9	71
JKESHA	83,110	511.7	6.2	50.5
JPACA	12,383	56.3	4.5	67
JSHARA	12,383 4,796	37.3	7.8	41
INEBAGO	33,797	229.3	6.8	46
00	20,532	185.0	9.0	34
AL	1,288,982	12,837.7	10.0	

SOURCE: Division of Community Services, Human Service Information System

TABLE 5: TWO YEAR AVERAGE ANNUAL HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUT RATE

High school dropout rates (grades 9-12) were obtained from the Wisconsin Department of Public Instructions, Center for Educational Statistics. All dropouts are attributed to the county in which the school district office is located. Since school districts cross county lines, the high school dropouts might reside in one county but be reflected in the school district statistics of another county. There is no data available which attributes school dropouts to county of residence.

The number of youth who dropped out of school during the 1988-89 and 1989-90 school terms were added to produce a two-year total number of dropouts in the district.

Data in this table is not ranked. For the most part, the county high school dropout rates are fairly uniform, and the Division believed that establishing ranks would suggest differences that are relatively inconsequential. The counties having unusually high or low dropout rates are readily apparent from the table. The definitions and formulas used are:

Dropouts = high school (grades 9 through 12) dropouts in Wisconsin public school districts for school years 1988-1989 and 1989-1990.

Enrollment = Total high school enrollment in Wisconsin public schools for school years 1988-89 and 1989-90.

Annual Dropout Rate = (<u>Dropouts in District</u>) * 100 Enrollment in District

Average Annual = <u>Annual Rate '88-'89 + Annual Rate '89-'90</u>
Dropout Rate 1988-90 2

TABLE 5: AVERAGE ANNUAL HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUT RATE, 1988-1989 SCHOOL YEARS

COUNTY		COUNTY & SCHOOL DISTRICT PUBLIC H.S. ENROLLMENT 9/88-6/90	NO. OF DROPOUTS 9/88 - 6/90	AVERAGE ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE
COUNTY				NAILE
ADAMS		1,058	32	3.0%
SHLAND	1	1,761	30	1.7%
ARRON .		4,804	. 82	1.7%
BAYFIELD		1,222 19,701	10	0.8% 1.1%
BROWN BUFFALO	, in the second second	1,479	213 14	0.9%
BURNETT		1,223	8	0.7%
CALUMET		3,102	42	1.4%
CHIPPEWA		5,730	71	1.2%
CLARK		3,816	61 .	1.6%
COLUMBIA		4,976	73	1.5%
CRAWFORD		1.724	20	1.2%
DANE		29,377 4,944	767 71	2.6% 1.4%
DODGE DOOR		2,618	14	0.5%
DOUGLAS		4,353	138	3.2%
DUNN		3,201	33	1.0%
EAU CLAIRE		7,485	. 127	1.7%
FLORENCE		515	18	3.5%
FOND DU LAC		9,056	255	2.8%
FOREST		1,036	19	1.8%
GRANT Green		5,643 3,302	50 48	0.9% 1.5%
GREEN LAKE		2,308	36	1.6%
IOWA		1,976	12	0.6%
IRON	:	572	 	0.9%
JACKSON		1,771	11	0.6%
JEFFERSON		7.445	126	1.7%
JUNEAU		2,579	37	1.4%
ENOSHA EWAUNEE		11,887 2,424	536 17	4.5% 0.7%
A CROSSE		8,121	94	1.2%
AFAYETTE		2,027	13	0.6%
ANGLADE		2,494	17	0.7%
LINCOLN		3,123	43	1.4%
MANITOWOC		7,409	200	2.7%
ARATHON		11,624	214	1.8%
ARINETTE		4,403	55 24	1.2%
ARQUETTE ENOMINEE		1,210 527	34 22	2.8% 4.2%
HILWAUKEE		76,793	7,351	9.6%
ONROE		4,056	85	2.1%
CONTO		2,851	31	1.1%
NEIDA		3,665	91	2.5%
NUTAGAMIE		14,580	230	1.6%
DZAUKEE		7,553	82	1.1%
PEPIN		1,064 4,277	,5,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0.5%
POLK		4,339	44 65	1.0%
ORTAGE	1	5,605	27	0.5%
RICE		1,847	25	1.4%
RACINE		16.679	505	3.0%
RICHLAND	1	1,220	22	1.8%
ROCK		14,884	413	2.8%
RUSK		1,862	16	0.9%
SAUK		5,782 1,402	149	2.6%
SAWYER Shawano		1,402 3,635	32 72	2.3% 2.0%
SHEBOYGAN		11,218	272	2.4%
ST. CROIX		5,190	34	0.7%
AYLOR		2,215	16	0.7%
TREMPEALEAU		3,654	24 15	0.7%
VERNON		2,822	15	0.5%
VILAS		1,048	.10	1.0%
WALWORTH		6,768	141	2.1%
WASHBURN WASHINGTON		1,693 11,115	19 143	1.1%
WAUKESHA		32,724	555	1.7%
WAUPACA		6,255	108	1.7%
WAUSHARA		1.554	34	2.2%
WINNEBAGO		11,854 8,290	360	2.2% 3.0%
1000	<u> </u>	8.290	115	1.4%
OTAL		472,520	14,759	3.1%
W/O MILWAUKEE		395,727	7,408	1.9%

SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, Center for Educational Statistics

TABLE 6: 1990 UNEMPLOYMENT

Unemployment data was obtained from the Department of Industry, Labor and Human Relations, the Bureau of Labor Market Statistics, in cooperation with the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

The Civilian Labor Force includes all individuals ages 16 years and older who are in the labor market, whether or not they are covered by unemployment compensation. The Department of Industry, Labor and Human Relations (DILHR) uses a complex calculation process to derive a county estimated Civilian Labor Force. Unemployment estimates are produced by a 43-step method that includes persons who are considered unemployed but who are not covered by unemployment compensation.

Each month, DILHR samples unemployment rates among select industries, and generates a monthly <u>estimated</u> unemployment rate. The annual unemployment rate is an average of the twelve monthly unemployment rates.

Again, because the unemployment rate in most counties is so similar, this indicator is not ranked. The formula used is:

% Civilian Labor = <u>Average Number Unemployed in 1990</u>
Force Unemployed Average Total Civilian Labor Force in 1990

TABLE 6: 1990 AVERAGE UNEMPLOYMENT RATE

YTY	CIVILIAN LABOR FORCE (AGES 16+)	AVG. NUMBER UNEMPLOYED/ MONTH	
MS	5,400	310	5.74%
ILAND	7,700	500	6.49%
RRON (FIELD	20,900 6,500	1,300 430	6.22% 6.62%
OWN .	111,400	4,400	3.95%
FALO	111,400 7,300	380	5.21%
RNETT	5,500	320	5.82%
UMET	19,800	1,620	8.18%
IPPEWA ARK	26,100 14,100	1,450 940	5.56% 6.67%
UMBIA	24,500	1,300	5.31%
WEORD	8,600	460	5.35%
IE	. 226,800	5,800	2.56%
GE	38,800 14,000	1,880	4.85%
OR	19,000	880 940	6.29% 4.95%
IN .	17,900	770	4.30%
CLAIRE	45,500	2,100	4.62%
RENCE	1,810	70	3.87%
D DU LAC	47,400	2,300	4.85% 6.22%
EST .	3,700 25,400	230 1 560	6.22% 6.14%
EX	16,400	1,560 870	5.30%
EN LAKE	8,000	570	7.13%
Α [13,600	590	4.34%
N	2,800	160	5.71%
KSON	7,900 37,200	480	6.08%
FERSON EAU	11,500	1,580 690	4.25% 6.00%
OSHA	53.300		6.38%
AUNEE	9.700	450	4.64%
CROSSE	52,600	1,980	3.76%
AYETTE	8,300	430	5.18%
GLADE	9,300	450	4.84%
COLN LTOVOC	15,100 39,800	790 2.100	5.23% 5.28%
ATHON	63,700	2,900	4.55%
INETTE	16,800	1,120	6.67%
QUETTE	7,000	380	5.43%
OMINEE	920	200	21.74%
WAUKEE ROE	501,100 17,100	20,600 1,010	4.11% 5.91%
NTO	13,500	930	6.89%
IDA	16,400	720	4.39%
AGAMIE	76,100	2,900	3.81%
UKEE	40,200	1,150	2.86%
IN	3,400	160	4.71%
RCE	17.000 15,000	660 870	3.88% 5.80%
TAGE	33,000	1,420	4.30%
CE	7,600	400	5.26%
INE	89,800	4,200	4.68%
HLAND	9,000 74,200	410	4.56%
K I	74,200	3,900 510	5.26% 6.99%
K I	29,000	1,400	6.99% 4.83%
YER	6,700	450	6.72%
WAND	17,700	910	5.14%
BOYGAN	58,500	2,700	4.62%
CROIX	25.500	890	3.49%
LOR MPEALEAU	10,500 14,500	640 810	6.10% 5.50%
NON	- 13.400	620	5.59% 4.63%
AS	7,700	430	5.58%
MORTH	39,000	1,290	3.31%
HBURN	6,300	430	6.83%
HINGTON	50,000 167,000	1,850 5,300	3.70%
KESHA Paca	167,900 23,000	5,300 1,260	3.16% 5.48%
SHARA	23,000 8,100	520	6.42%
NEBAGO	78,200	3,000	3.84%
D	38,300	1.720	4,49%
AL	2,587,030	113,140	4.37%

SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRY, LABOR AND HUMAN RELATIONS, Bureau of Labor Market Statistics

TABLE 7: AVERAGE ANNUAL JUVENILE ARRESTS (1988-1990)

Total juvenile arrest data is published annually by the Office of Justice Assistance, Statistical Analysis Center in <u>Crime and Arrests in Wisconsin</u>. Tables 7 through 11 are produced using their reported juvenile arrest data from 1988, 1989, and 1990.

While this is the most accurate data available, the Office of Justice Assistance believes that a few law enforcement jurisdictions over-report juvenile crime and arrests, and a few others under-report.

In all tables except the one portraying violent arrests as a percent of Part I offenses, counties are ranked from the county with the most juvenile arrests per 1,000 population ages 12-17 (Rank 1) to the county with the fewest juvenile arrests per thousand (Rank 72). (For most counties, the number of juvenile arrests for violent offenses was small, and the rate per 1,000 showed relatively little variation among these counties.)

According to the Office of Justice Assistance reports, an average of 92,100 youth are arrested each year. Nearly 30 percent of these arrests are for Part I offenses; 43 percent of the Part II arrests were for status offenses.

Formulas used for Table 7 are:

3 Year Average # of = 1988 + 1989 + 1990 Juvenile Arrests <u>Arrests Arrests Arrests</u>

Average
Total Arrests = <u>(3 Year Avg. Total Arrests)</u> * 1000
per 1000 Youth Youth Population Ages 12 thru 17

TABLE 7: ANNUAL AVERAGE JUVENILE ARRESTS, 1988-1990

YTRUC	1990 POPULATION AGES 12-17	3 YEAR AVG. # OF JUVENILE ARRESTS	AVERAGE ARRESTS/ 1,000 YOUTH	RANK Highest Avg. is #1
AMS	1,087	165.7	152.4	36
SHLAND	1,349	392.3	290.8	11
ARRON	3,573	280.3	78.5	62
YFIELD	1,192	108.3	90.9	57
ROWN	16,477	3,699.7	224.5	21
JFFALO	1,176	113.3	96.4	55
JRNETT	1,119 3,258	161.0 390.7	143.9 119.9	40 49
ALUMET HIPPEWA	4,691	607.0	129.4	44
ARK	3,107	276.3	88.9	58
LUMBIA	3,897	532.0	136.5	41
AWFORD	1,568	170.7	108.8	53
NE.	24,156	4,395.0	181.9	31
DGE	6,455	822.3	127.4	46
OR:	2,170	323.7	149.2	37
UGLAS	3,541	1,670.7	471.8	2
NN LL:CLATE	2,790 6,492	472.7 1,991.3	169.4 306.7	33 7
U CLAIRE ORENCE	406	18.0	44.3	69
ND DU LAC	8,005	2,021.0	252.5	16
REST	814	155.3	190.8	29
ANT	4,396	480.0	109.2	52
EEN	2,684	386.7	144.1	39
EEN LAKE	1,579	215.0	136.2	42
JA .	1,789	214.3	119.8	50
ON	477	61.7	129.3	45
CKSON	1,483	277.3	187.0	30
FFERSON NEAU	5,790	1,728.7 177.0	298.6 91.9	8 56
NOSHA	1,925 10,597	3,347.3	315.9	5
VAUNEE	1,795	192.7	107.3	54
CROSSE	7,237	2,154.3	297.7	9
AYETTE	1,439	76.0	52.8	68
IGLADE	1.811	461.3	254.7	15
ICOLN	2,572	759.3	295.2	10
11TOWOC	6.865	1.729.3	251.9	17
RATHON	10,467	2,004.7	191.5	28
RINETTE	3,520	698.3	198.4	26
RQUETTE	1,036 432	45.7 357.3	44.1	70
WAUKEE	434 72 420	22,696.3	827.2 313.4	1 6
ROE	72,429 3,380	380.3	112.5	51
OTNO	2,705	97.7	36.1	71
IDA	2,427	510.3	210.3	24
TAGAMIE .	12,202	2,717.0	222.7	22
UKEE	6,297	1,318.7	209.4	. 25
PIN	668	50.0	74.9	64
RCE	2.777	213.0	76.7	63 59
K	3,208	274.0	85.4 154.5	59 75
TAGE	4,959 1,412	776.0 178.0	156.5 126.1	35 47
ICE CINE	15,130	4,188.0	276.8	13
CHLAND	1,539	125.3	81.4	61
K	11,947	4,081.7	341.6	4
K	1,412	104.0	73.7	65
JK i	4,038	717.3	177.6	32
√YER	1,203	102.0	84.8	60
WANO	3,301	430.0	130.3	43
BOYGAN	9,146	2,523.7	275.9	. 14
CROIX	4,725	345.0	73.0	66
LOR MPEALEAU	1,798 2,177	223.3 35.3	124.2 16.2	48 72
NON	2,269	125.3	55.2	67
.AS	1,263	524.0	414.9	3
WORTH	5,682	1,379.3	242.8	18
HBURN	1,180	194.0	164.4	
SHINGTON	8,716	1,890.0	216.8	23
JKESHA	27,917	6,499.0	232.8	19
JPACA	4,059	943.7	232.5	20
	1,608	233.7	145.3	38
JSHARA	10,094	2,810.3	278.4	12
USHARA NNEBAGO	10,074	-,0.0.0	407.5	
USHARA NNEBAGO OD	6,485	1.279.0	197.2	27
JSHARA NEBAGO	6,485 403,370	1,279,0 92,099.7	197.2	27

SOURCE: OFFICE OF JUSTICE ASSISTANCE, Statistical Analysis Center

TABLE 8: THREE YEAR AVERAGE (1988, 1989 & 1990) OF PART I ARRESTS

Part I Arrests are those for murder, negligent manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, theft, motor vehicle theft, and arson. Data is drawn from Crime and Arrests in Wisconsin, published by the Office of Justice Assistance.

Formulas used for Table 8 are:

Avg. # of Part I = Total Part I + Total Part I + Total Part I Arrests, 1988-1990 in 1988 in 1989 in 1990

Avg. Part I Arrests = <u>3 Year Avg. Part I Arrests</u> * 1000 per 1,000 Youth Youth Population Ages 12 thru 17

Part I Arrests
as a % of Total = 3 year Average Part I Arrests
Arrests 3 year Average Total Arrests

TABLE 8: ANNUAL AVERAGE JUVENILE ARRESTS FOR PART I OFFENSES*, 1988-1990

COUNTY	1990 POPULATION AGES 12-17	AVG. # PART I ARRESTS 1988-1990	AVG. PART I ARRESTS/ 1,000 YOUTH	RANK HIGH AVG. IS #1	PART I ARRESTS/ TOTAL ARRESTS
ADAMS	1,087	45.0	41.4	40	27.2%
ASHLAND	1,349	73.7	54.6	29	18.8%
RRON	3,573	98.7	27.6	56	35.2%
YFIELD	1,192	55.3	46.4	36	51.1%
BROWN	16.477	1,222.3	74.2	18	33.0%
BUFFALO	1,176	27.3	23.2	59	24.1%
BURNETT	1,119	54.7	48.9	_ 34	34.0%
CALUMET	3,258	102.0	31.3	54	26.1%
CHIPPEWA	4,691	190.0	40.5	43	31.3%
CLARK	3,107	84.3	27.1	57	30.5%
COLUMBIA	3,897	141.0	36.2	47	26.5%
CRAWFORD	1.568	49.7	31.7	53	29.1%
DANE	24,156	1,830.7	75.8	15	41.7%
OODGE	6,455	236.0	36.6	46	28.7%
DOOR	2,170	100.3	46.2	37	31.0%
OUGLAS	3,541	441.7	124.7	.1	26.4%
DUNN EAU CLAIRE	2,790 6,492	112.0 585.0	40.1	. 44 7	23.7%
	406	7.7	90.1	62	29.4%
FLORENCE FOND DU LAC	8,005	564.3	70.5	21	42.6% 27.0%
OREST	814	67.3	82.7	9	27.9% 43.3%
RANT	4,396	82.0	18.7	63	43.3% 17.1%
REEN	2,684	106.7	39.7	45	27.6%
REEN LAKE	1,579	57.0	36.1	48	26.5%
OWA	1,789	60.3	33.7	51	28.1%
RON	477	7.3	15.4	66	11.9%
ACKSON	1,483	85.0	57.3	27	30.6%
EFFERSON	5,790	512.3	88.5	8	29.6%
UNEAU	1,925	69.0	35.8	49	39.0%
ENOSHA	10,597	824.0	77.8	13	24.6%
EWAUNEE	1,795	28.0	15.6	65	14.5%
A CROSSE	7,237	763.3	105.5	3	35.4%
AFAYETTE	1.439	17.7	12.3	68	23.2%
ANGLADE	1,811	138.7	76.6	14	30.1%
INCOLN	2,572	206.3	80.2	10	27.2%
ANITOWOC	6 865	514.7	<u>75.0</u>	16	29.8%
ARATHON	10,467	652.3	62.3	24	32.5%
ARINETTE	3,520	187.0	53.1	30	26.8%
RQUETTE	1,036	12.3	11.9	69	27.0%
NOMINEE	432	31.0	71.8	20	8.7%
TLWAUKEE	72,429 3,380	6,742.3	93.1	_5	29.7%
ONROE	2,705	140.3 23.3	41.5	39	36,9%
CONTO	2,700	193.0	8.6	71 11	23.9%
NEIDA UTAGAMIE	2,427 12,202	904.7	79.5 74.1	11 19	37.8% 33.3%
ZAUKEE	6,297	258.7	41.1	41	19.6%
EPIN	668	9.7	14.5	67	19.3%
1	2.777	45.7	16.4	64	21.4%
IERCE OLK	3,208	138.0	43.0	64 38	50.4%
ORTAGE	4,959	297.0	59.9	26	38.3%
RICE	1,412	36.0	25.5	26 58	20.2%
ACINE	15,130	1,372.0	90.7	6	32.8%
ICHLAND	1,539	34.0	22.1	61	27.1%
OCK	1,539 11,947	1,124.0	94.1	4	27.5%
USK	1,412	43.7	30.9	55	42.0%
AUK	4,038	204.7	50.7	31	28.5%
AWYER	1,203	41.3	34.4	50	40.5%
HAWANO	3,301	186.0	56.3	50 28 17	43.3%
HEBOYGAN	9,146	684.0	74.8	17	27.1%
T. CROIX	4.725	106.0	22.4	60 52	30.7%
AYLOR	1,798	59.3	33.0	52	26.6%
REMPEALEAU	1,798 2,177	4.7	2.1	72	13.2%
ERNON	2,269	24.0	10.6	70	19.1%
ILAS	1,263	138.3	109.5	70 2 35	26.4%
ALWORTH	5,682	268.0	47.2	3 5	19.4%
ASHBURN	1,180	57.7	48.9	33	29.7%
ASHINGTON	8,716	548.7	62.9	23	29.0%
AUKESHA	27,917	1,375.7	49.3	32	21.2%
AUPACA	4,059	247.7	61.0	32 25 42	26.2%
AUSHARA	1,608	65.7	40.8	42	28.1%
INNEBAGO	10,094	697.7	69.1	22	24.8%
000	6.485	511.3	78.8	12	40.0%
OTAL	403,370	27,058.3	67.1		29.4%

*PART I OFFENSES: Murder, Forcible Rape, Robbery, Aggravated Assault, Burglary,
. Theft, Motor Vehicle Theft, Arson, Negligent Manslaughter
SOURCE: OFFICE OF JUSTICE ASSISTANCE, Statistical Analysis Center

TABLE 9: THREE YEAR TOTAL (1988, 1989, & 1990) ARRESTS FOR VIOLENT OFFENSES

Violent offenses are murder, negligent manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery, and aggravated assault. They are a subset of Part I offenses. Because juvenile arrests for violent offenses are few, especially in comparison to numbers of Part II arrests and arrests for status offenses, the three year total (instead of average) figure is used in Table 9.

This table does not have a column depicting arrests per thousand youth, as that would compare a one-year population against a three-year total arrest figure. Moreover, for most counties, the number of arrests for violent offenses is relatively small, and the arrests per 1,000 youth so similar that a rank would suggest differences that (for most counties) are minuscule.

Again, data is taken from <u>Crime and Arrests in Wisconsin</u>, published by the Office of Justice Assistance.

Total Violent Arrests = Reported Arrests of Juveniles for Violent
Offenses in 1988, 1989 and 1990

Violent Offenses
as a % of Part I = 3 Year Total Violent Arrests
Arrests 3 year Total Part I Arrests

TABLE 9: TOTAL JUVENILE ARRESTS FOR VIOLENT OFFENSES*, 1988-1990

UNTY	1990 POPULATION AGES 12-17	VIOLENT ARRESTS 1988-1990	VIOLENT OFFENSES/ PART I ARRESTS	RANK High % Is #1
AMC	1,087	•	7/.0	
AMS	1,007	1	.74%	66
HLAND	1,349	2 6	.90%	64
RRON	3,573	•	2.03%	49
YFIELD	1,192	2	1.20%	63
OMN	16,477 1,176	25	.68%	67
FFALO	1,176		1,22%	61.5
RNETT	1,119	0	.00%	71
LUMET	3,258	14	4.58%	27.5
IPPEWA	4 601	8	1 409	58
	4,691 3,107	4	1.40% 1.58%	50 57
ARK	3,107		1.70%	53
LUMBIA	3,897	22	5.20% 4.70%	21
AWFORD	1.568		4.70%	26
NE	24,156	209	3.81%	32
DGE	6,455	57	8.05%	6
OR	2,170	1	.33%	69
UGLAS	3,541	11	.83%	65
	2,700	1	4 /00	67
NN	2,790	5 5	1.49%	54
U CLAIRE	6,492			59
ORENCE	406	0.	.00%	71
ND DU LAC	8,005	. 119	7.03%	10
REST	814	13	6.44%	12
ANT	4,396	16	6.50%	11
	2 201	11	7 //4	77
EEN	2,684 _1,579		3.44% 3.51%	37
EEN LAKE	<u> </u>	6	5.51%	35
AA.	1,789	4	2.21%	48
NC	477	2	9.09%	4
CKSON	1 483	2 8	3.14%	40
FFERSON	5,790 1,925 10,597	8	.52%	68
NEAU	1 025	3	1.45%	56
	1,747	450	1.434	
AHRON	10,397	152	6.15%	14
AUNEE	1,795	,4 ,	4.76%	25
CROSSE	7,237	46	2.01%	50
AYETTE	1,439	46 3	5.66%	18
IGLADE	1,811	10	2.40%	18 45
ICOLN	2,572	46	7.43%	8
	6,865	55	1.43A 7 ELN	0 7/
11TOHOC	0,602	22	3.56%	34
RATHON	10,467	70	3.58%	33
RINETTE	3,520	14	2.50%	44
RQUETTE	1,036	14 3	8.11%	5
OMINEE	432	. 24	25.81%	1
WAUKEE	72 420	2,113	10.45%	3
ROE	72,429 3,380	8	1.90%	52
	3,380		1.90%	
OTAC	2,705	_ <u>1</u>	1.43%	57
IDA	2,427	25	4.32%	31
AGAMIE	2,427 12,202	64	2.36%	46.5
UKEE	6,297	20	2.58%	43
IN	668	0	.00%	71
		9		
RCE	2.777		1.46%	55
.K	3,208	12	2.90%	41
TAGE	4,959 1,412 15,130	21	2.90% 2.36% 5.56% 5.83%	46.5
CE	1.412	6	5.56%	19
INE	15, 130	240	5.83%	16
HLAND	1 530	6	5.88%	15
	1,539 11,947	108	3.20%	39
K .	11,741			<u>~~~</u>
K	1,412	_6 *	4.58%	27.5
K	4,038	20	3.26%	38
IYER	1,203	6	4.84%	23
WANO	3,301	11	1.97%	51
BOYGAN	9,146	113	5.51%	20
ייים מאם	9,148 4,725	113 44	J.J(A 7 //V	20 74
CROIX	4.(62		3.46%	36
LOR	1,798	11	6.18%	13
MPEALEAU	2,177	1	7.14%	9
NON	2,269	9	12.50%	2
AS	1,263	' 31	7.47%	2 7
WORTH	5,682	10	1 244	60
	1,180	10	1.24% 5.78%	17
HBURN		<u>IU</u>	7.10%	
HINGTON	8,716	20	1.22%	61.5
IKESHA	27,917	180	4.36%	30
JPACA	4,059	20	2.69%	42
JSHARA	1,608	9	4.57%	20
INEBAGO	10,094	100	4.78%	29 24
	10,074	100	M.10A	<u> </u>
00	6,485	76	4.95%	22
AL	403,370	4,285	5.28%	

*VIOLENT OFFENSES:

Murder, Negligent Manslaughter, Forcible Rape, Robbery, Aggravated Assault

SOURCE: OFFICE OF JUSTICE ASSISTANCE, Statistical Analysis Center

TABLE 10: THREE YEAR AVERAGE (1988, 1989 & 1990) OF PART II ARRESTS

Part II Arrests are for all offenses that are not defined as Part I, including "operating a motor vehicle while intoxicated," but excluding all other traffic violations. Part II arrests include forgery, fraud, embezzlement, stolen property, vandalism, weapons, prostitution, sex offenses, gambling, family offenses, disorderly conduct, drug violations, drug sales, drug possession and status offenses.

Counties are ranked from the county with the most Part II arrests per 1,000 population ages 12-17 (Rank 1) to the county with the fewest (Rank 72). As in the preceding three tables, data are drawn from Crime and Arrests in Wisconsin. Formulas used are:

Avg. # Part II = Total Part II + Total Part II + Total Part II Arrests, 1988-1990 in 1988 in 1989 in 1990

Avg. Part II Arrests = (3 year Avg. Part II Arrests) * 1000 per 1000 Youth Youth Population Ages 12 thru 17

Part II Arrests
as a % of Total = 3 year Average Part II Arrests
Arrests 3 year Average Total Arrests

TABLE 10: AVERAGE JUVENILE ARRESTS FOR PART II* OFFENSES, 1988-1990

YTAUC	1990 POPULATION AGES 12-17	AVG. # PART II ARRESTS 1988-1990	AVG. PART II ARRESTS/ 1,000 YOUTH	RANK HIGH # IS #1	PART II ARRESTS/ TOTAL ARRESTS
DAMS	1,087	120.7	111.0	34	72.8%
SHLAND	1,349	318.7	236.2	6	81.2%
ARRON	3,573	181.7	50.8	61	64.8%
YFIELD	1,192	53.0	44.5	65	48.9%
ROWN	16,477	2,477.3	150.4	23	67.0%
JFFALO	1.176	86.0	73.1	23 53	75.9%
JRNETT	1,119	106.3	95.0	44	66.0%
LUMET	3,258	288.7	88.6	50	73.9%
IPPEWA	4,691	417.0	88.9	49	68.7%
ARK	3,107	192.0	61.8	56	69.5%
LUMBIA	3,897	391.0	100.3	41	73.5%
	1,568	121.0	77.2	52	73.34
AWECRD					70.9%
NE	24,156	2,567.3	106.3	36	58.4%
OGE	6,455	586.3	90.8	47	71.3%
OR	2,170	223.3	102.9	39	69.0%
UGLAS	3,541	1,229.0	347.1	. 2	73.6%
NN I	2,790	360.7	129.3	28	76.3%
U CLAIRE	6,492	1,407,3	216,8	8	70,7%
ORENCE	406	10.3	25.5	71	57.4%
ND DU LAC	8,005	1,456.7	182.0	17	72.1%
REST	814	88.0	108.1	35	56.7%
ANT	4,396	398.0	90.5	48	82.9%
EEN	2,684	280.0	104.3	38	72.4%
EEN LAKE	1,579	158.0	100.1	42	73.5%
WA LANE	1,789	154.0	86.1	51	71.9%
ON	477	55.3	116.0	32	89.7%
	7// 4 /QZ		120.7	26	40 /8
CKSON	1,483	192.3	129.7	27	69.4%
FFERSON	5,790	1,216.3	210.1	10	70.4%
NEAU	1,925 10,597	108.0	56.1	60	61.0%
NOSHA	10,597	2,523,3	238.1	. 5	75.4%
JAUNEE	1,795	164.7	91.7	45	85.5%
CROSSE	7,237	1,391.0	192.2	14	64.6%
AYETTE	1,439	58.3	40.5	68	76.8%
NGLADE	1,811	322.7	178.2	18	69.9%
ICOLN	2,572	553.0	215.0	9	72.8%
VITOWOC	6.865	1.214.7	176,9	19	70.2%
RATHON	10,467	1,352.3	129.2	29	67.5%
RINETTE	3,520	511.3	145.3	25	73.2%
QUETTE	1,036	38.7	37.3	69	84.7%
OMINEE	432	326.3	755.4	ĺ	91.3%
WAUKEE	72,429	15,954.0	220.3	7	70.3%
IROE	3,380	240.0	71.0	54	63.1%
	2,705	74.3	27.5	70	
OTAC	2,703	317.3			76.1%
IDA	2,427	317.3 1.043.7	130.8	26	62.2%
TAGAMIE	12,202	1,812.3	148.5	24	66.7%
AUKEE	6,297	1,060.0	168.3	21	80.4%
PIN	668	40.3	60.4	57	80.7%
RCE	2.777	167.3	60.3	58 67	78.6%
.K	3,208	136.0	42.4	67	49.6%
RTAGE	4 ,9 59	479.0	96.6	43	61.7%
CE	1.412	142.0	100.6	40	79.8%
INE	15.130	2,816.0	186.1	15	67.2%
CHLAND	1,539 11,947	91.3	59.3	59	79.8% 67.2% 72.9% 72.5%
:K	11.947	2,957.7	247.6	4	72.5%
SK .	1,412	60.3	42.7	66	58.0%
jk	4,038	512.7	127.0	30	71.52
IYER	1,203	60.7	50.4	63	71.5% 59.5%
WANO	3,301	210.7	63.8	55	49.0%
	0 1/4	1 870 7	201.0	40	77.04
BOYGAN	9,146 4,725	1,839.7	201.1 50.6	12 62	72.9% 69.3%
CRO1X	4.72	239.0	20.0	96	<u> </u>
LOR	1,798	164.0	91.2	46 73	73.4%
MPEALEAU	2,177	30.7	14-1	72	86.8%
RNON	2,269	101.3	44.7	64	80.9%
AS	1,263	385.7	305.4	3	73.6%
.WORTH	5,682	1,111.3	195.6	13	80.6%
SHBURN	1,180	136.3	115,5	33	70,3%
SHINGTON	8,716	1,341.3	153.9	22	71.0%
JKESHA	27,917	5,123.3	183.5	16	78.8%
JPACA	4,059	696.0	171.5	20	73.8%
JSHARA	1,608	168.0	104.5	37	71.9%
NNEBAGO	10 004	2,112.7	209.3	11	75.2%
	10,094 6,485	6,116.1 747 7	110 /	31	13.66
00	0.402	767.7	118.4		60.0%
	403,370	65,049.7	161.3		70.6%
TAL	405,510	,0.,,,	1		,

PART II OFFENSES: All crimes not designated as Part I, excluding all traffic violations except operating a motor vehicle while intoxicated.

SOURCE: OFFICE OF JUSTICE ASSISTANCE, Statistical Analysis Center

TABLE 11: THREE YEAR AVERAGE (1988, 1989, & 1900) OF STATUS OFFENSES

Status offenses are a subset of Part II arrests.

The term "status offense" is not used in statute, but is defined by the Office of Justice Assistance as an offense which is only against the law when committed by a juvenile. Status offenses consist of curfew violations, running away, and liquor law violations.

Reporting of status offenses can vary greatly among counties, thus figures may be under-reported or over-reported to the Office of Justice Assistance.

Formulas used in Table 11 are:

Avg. # Status Total Total Total
Offenses = Status Offenses + Status Offenses + Status Offenses
1988-1990 in 1988 in 1989 in 1990

Avg. Status Offenses = (3 Year Avg. of Status Offenses) * 1000 per 1000 Youth Youth Population Ages 12 thru 17

Status Offenses
as a % of Total = 3 year Average of Status Offenses
Arrests 3 year Average of Total Arrests

TABLE 11: AVERAGE NUMBER OF JUVENILE ARRESTS FOR STATUS OFFENSES*, 1988-1990

YTHUC	1990 POPULATION AGES 12-17	AVG. # STATUS OFFENSES 1988-1990	AVG. STATUS OFFENSES/ 1,000 YOUTH	RANK HIGH AVG. IS #1	STATUS OFFENSES/ TOTAL ARRESTS
AMS	1,087	74.3	68.4	22	44.9%
HLAND	1,349	188.0	139.4	3	47.9%
RRON	3,573	94.7	26.5	60	33.8%
YFIELD	1,192	24.3	20.4	64	22.5%
NOWN	16,477	1,518.7	92.2	13	41.0%
IFFALO	1.176	63.3	53.9	36	55.9%
IRNETT	1,119	57.3	51.2	39	35.6%
LUMET	3,258	154.3	47.4	. 43	39.5%
	7,401	197.3	72.1	. 43	77.56
IIPPEWA	4,691	197.3	42.1	48	32.5%
ARK	3,107	99.7	32.1	55	36.1%
LUMBIA	3,897	218.3	56.0	34	41.0%
AWFORD	1.568	58.3	37.2	53	34.2%
NE	24,156	1,154.3	47.8	42	26.3%
DGE	6,455	268.3	41.6	. 49	32.6%
OR .	2,170	120.7	55.6	35	37.3%
UGLAS	3,541	518.0	146.3	2	31.0%
NN I	2,790	193.3	69.3	21	40.9%
U CLAIRE	6,492	428.7	66.0	25	21.5%
ORENCE	406	3.3	8.2	71	18.5%
ND DU LAC	8,005	629.3	78.6	15	31.1%
REST	814	34.3	42.2	47	22.1%
ANT	4,396	197.7	45.0	46	41.2%
EEN	9,370 9 4d/	143.0	67.7	37	77 00
	2,684	79.3	53.3 50.2	31	37.0%
EEN LAKE	1.579			40	36.9%
WA	1,789	54.3	30.4	57	25.3%
ON	477	43.0	90.1	14	69.7%
CKSON	1,483	94.0	63.4	29 6	33.9%
FFERSON	5,790	685.3	118.4	6	39.6%
IEAU	1,925	35.3	18.4	66	20.0%
NOSHA	10,597	679.7	64.1	28	20.3%
JAUNEE	1,795	103.0	57.4	33	53.5%
CROSSE	7,237	746.7	103.2	9	34.7%
AYETTE	1,439	38.3	26.6	59	50.4%
GLADE	1.811	119.0	65.7	59 26	25.8%
ICOLN	1,811 2,572	255.0	99.1	12	33.6%
ITOWOC	6,865	448.3	65.3	27	25.9%
RATHON	10,467	700.0	66.9	27 23	34.9%
RINETTE	3,520	232.7	66.1	24	33.3%
	3,360 4 074			69	
ROUETTE	1,036	12.0	11.6		26.3%
OM I NEE	432	243.0	562.5	1	68.0%
WAUKEE	72,429	5,508.0	76.0	17	24.3%
IROE	3,380	88.0	26.0	61	23.1%
отис ј	2,705	43.7	16.1	68	44.7%
EIDA	2,427	129.0	53.2	38	25.3%
TAGAMIE	12,202	956.7	78.4	16	35.2%
\UKEE	6,297	391.7	62.2	31	29.7%
PIN I	668	32.0	47.9	41	64.0%
RCE	2,777				48.8%
.K	3,208	104.0 32.7	37,5 10.2	52 70	11.9%
TAGE	4,959	234.3	47.3	44	30.2%
CE	1,412	50.7	35.9	54	28.5%
INE	15, 130	605.3	40.0	50	14.5%
HLAND	1 570	29.7	19.3	65	23.7%
	1,539 11,947	873.3	73.1	18	21 /4
K	11,747		73.1	10 47	21.4%
K	1,412	24.3	17.2	67	23.4%
IK	4,038	290.0	71.8	19	40.4%
YER	1,203	29.0	24.1	63	28.4%
WANO	3,301	91.3	27.7	58	21.2%
BOYGAN	9,146 4,725	935.7	102.3	11	37.1%
CROIX	4.725	118.0	25.0	62	34.2%
LOR	1,798	112.7	62.7	30	50.4%
MPEALEAU	2,177	9.7	4.4	72 56 4	27.4%
NON	2.269	69.0	30.4	56	55.1%
AS	1,263	173.7	137.5	4	33.1%
WORTH	5,682	694.0	122.1	5	50.3%
HBURN	1,180	45.0	38.1	51	23.2%
HINGTON	8,716	615.7	70.6	20	32.6%
	27 017			10	26.0%
JKESHA	27,917 / 050	2,858.7	102.4	IU 0	44.0%
JPACA	4,059	424.0	104.5	8	44.9%
JSHARA	1,608	94.3	58.7	32 7	40.4%
INEBAGO	10,094	1,134.3 294.3	112.4 45.4	7	40.4%
20	6.485	294.3	45.4	45	23.0%
TAL	403,370	28,101.3	69.7	• **	30.5%
			i		1

STATUS OFFENSES: Curfew violations, Runaways, Liquor Law Violations, etc. SOURCE: Office of Justice Assistance

TABLE 12: 1990 YOUTH AIDS ALLOCATION

The Division of Youth Services, Department of Health and Social Services, distributed \$73,108,324 in 1990 to county departments of human or social services on a formula basis. These dollars are allocated by Wisconsin Statute, section 46.26, the Community Youth and Family Aids Program.

The indicator is ranked twice, once by the county receiving the greatest number of dollars (Rank 1) to the least (Rank 72), and secondly by the allocation per youth ages 12 through 17. Again, the county that received the greatest number of dollars per youth is Rank 1, and the county that received the least per youth is Rank 72.

The following formula was used:

Youth Aids
Allocation = 1990 Census Youth Pop. Ages 12 thru 17 in County
per Pop. 1990 Youth Aids Allocation per County
12-17

TABLE 12: 1990 YOUTH AIDS ALLOCATION

COUNTY	1990 POPULATION AGES 12-17	1990 YOUTH AIDS ALLOCATION	RANK HIGHEST \$ IS #1	YOUTH AIDS ALLOCATION/ POP. 12-17	RANK Highest \$ is #1
ADAMS	1,087	\$207,483	47	\$190.88	9
ASHLAND	1,349	\$185,954	53	\$137.85	21
N	3,573	\$383,365	34	\$107.29	43
ELD BROWN	1,192 16,477	\$132,836 \$1,864,821	60 7	\$111.44 \$113.18	37 34
BUFFALO	1,176	\$50,278	70	\$42.75	72
BURNETT	1,119	\$172,024	55	\$ 153. <i>7</i> 3	17
CALUMET	3,258 4,691	\$246,826 \$485,743	41 25	\$75.76	64
CHIPPEWA	3,107	\$485,763 \$412,642	25 32	\$103.55 \$132.81	45 25
COLUMBIA	3,897	\$312.935	38	\$80.30	60
CRAWFORD	1,568	\$146.520	59	\$93.44	50
DANE DODGE	24,156 6,455	\$4,760,362 \$569,391	2 23	\$197.07 \$88.21	7 53
DOOR	2,170	\$173,397	54	\$79.91	63
DOUGLAS	3,541	\$992,390	15	\$280.26	3
DUNN	2,790	\$196,583	49	\$70.46	65
FLORENCE	6,492 406	\$918,657 \$47,487	16 71	\$141.51 \$116.90	19 32
FOND DU LAC	8,005	\$872,976	17	\$109.05	40
FOREST	814	\$77,706	67	\$95.46	49
GRANT	4,396	\$234,278	44	\$53.29	68
GREEN GREEN LAKE	2,684 1,579	\$215,139 \$106.584	46 63	\$80.16 \$67.50	62 66
IOWA	1,789	\$148,166	58	\$82.82	59
IRON	477	\$40,389	72	\$84.67	57
JACKSON	1,483	\$165,523 \$587,470	56	\$111.61	36
JEFFERSON JUNEAU	5,790 1,925	\$587,630 \$203,469	20 48	\$101.49 \$105.70	46 44
KENOSHA	10,597	\$2,712,144	6	\$255.94	5
KEWAUNEE	1,795	\$92,272	64	\$51.41	70
LACROSSE LAFAYETTE	7,237 1,439	\$1,205,025	11	\$166.51 \$46.10	14
LANGLADE	1,811	\$66,336 \$330,644	68 37	\$182.58	71 11
LINCOLN	2,572	\$343,441	36	\$133.53	23
MANITOWOC	6.865	\$587,048	21	\$85.51	55
MARATHON MARINETTE	10,467 3,520	\$1,397,402 \$423,002	9 31	\$133.51 \$120.17	24 30
ETTE	1,036	\$88,174	65	\$85.11	56
INEE	432	\$434,677	30	\$1,006.20	. 1
HOUDOS	72,429	\$26,980,383	1	\$372.51	2
MONROE DOONTO	3,380 2,705	\$609,483 \$300,464	19 39	\$180.32 \$111.08	<u>13</u> 38
ONEIDA	2,427	\$461,446	27	\$190.13	10
CUTAGAMIE	12,202	\$1,464,538	. 8	\$120.02	31
OZAUKEE PEPIN	6,297 668	\$571,815 \$53,623	22 69	\$90.81 \$80.27	52 61
PIERCE	2.777	\$278,003	40	\$100.11	47
POLK	3,208	\$361,169	35	\$112.58	35
PORTAGE	4,959	\$451,494	28	\$91.05	51 54
PRICE RACINE	1,412 15,130	\$123,520 \$3,726,279	61 3	\$87.48 \$246.28	6
RICHLAND	1,539 11,947	\$81,373	66 5	\$52.87	69
ROCK	11.947	\$3,111,561	5	\$260,45	4
RUSK	1,412	\$220,620 \$387,534	+45 33	\$156.25 \$05.37	15 48
SAUK SAWYER	4,038 1,203	\$387,536 \$186,900	33 52	\$95.97 \$155.36	48 16
SHAWANO	3,301	\$462,958	26	\$140.25	20
SHEBOYGAN	9,146	\$1,121,306	13	\$122.60	28
ST. CROIX	4.725 1,798	\$512.832 \$195,210	24	\$108.54 \$108.57	42
TAYLOR TREMPEALEAU	2,177	\$193,210	50 62	\$108.57 \$56.73	41 67
/ERNON	2,269	\$191,331	51 I	\$84.32	58
VILAS	1,263	\$246,208	42	\$194.94	8
MALWORTH MASHBURN	5,682 1,180	\$712,649 \$161,591	18 57	\$125.42 \$136.04	27 22
JASHINGTON	8,716	\$1,067,565	14	\$136.94 \$122.48	29
WAUKESHA	27,917	\$3,171,891	4	\$113.62	33
WAUPACA	4,059	\$443,380	29	\$109.23	39
JAUSHARA	1,608	\$237,632 \$1,321,72/	43	\$147.78	18
HINNEBAGO	10,094 6,485	\$1,321,724 \$1,176,591	10 12	\$130.94 \$181.43	26 12
TOTAL	403,370	\$73,108,324	1	\$181.24	
ILWAUKEE	330,941	\$46,127,941		#170 70	
TILWAUKEE }	JJU, 74 I	340, I 67, 741		\$139.38	

SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES, Division of Youth Services

TABLE 13: 1990 OUTCOME OF JUVENILE COURT PETITIONS

Data in Table 13 was collected by the county court clerks and reported to the Wisconsin Supreme Court, Court Information System. Extending over two pages, this table looks at outcomes of delinquency petitions filed with the court, from dismissal through supervision. These figures do not include alleged offenders who are handled informally by law enforcement or social service agencies, with no formal petition being filed and no official court action taken. The total number of cases that resulted in dismissal, waiver, consent decree, forfeiture or placement on supervision does not equal the total number of petitions, as transfers to state custody are not shown here. (Juvenile correctional institutional placements are depicted in Table 14.)

Milwaukee County provided a total number of petitions filed, but was not able to break out the outcome information. Thus, there are no numbers for this county in the columns displaying the outcomes of petitions, nor are Milwaukee petitions counted when calculating the percent of petitions that have a specific outcome (dismissal, waiver, etc.).

Definitions used are:

Waiver: jurisdiction for a juvenile case is transferred to adult court, based on a court's granting of a waiver petition.

Consent decree: a court establishes conditions that are agreed to by the youth and his/her parents, without a formal finding of delinquency. This is an alternative to adjudication of delinquency.

Forfeitures: after a finding of delinquency, the court establishes a fine to be paid by the offender.

Supervision: after a finding of delinquency, the court establishes reporting requirements for the offender.

Counties with the highest percent of total petitions resulting in each outcome are assigned Rank 1, and the countiwa with the lowest percent resulting in each disposition received Rank 72. All percentages were determined by the following formula:

TABLE 13: REPORTED OUTCOME OF JUVENILE COURT PETITIONS, 1990

OUNTY	DELINQUENCY PETITIONS FILED	NUMBER Dismissed	% OF TOTAL PETITIONS DISMISSED	NUMBER WAIVED TO ADULT COURT	% OF TOTA PETITION WAIVED
DAMS	27	2	7.41%	2	7.41%
SHLAND	54	7	12.96%	9	16.67%
MARRON	46	8	17.39%	j j	6.52%
AYFIELD	18	Ī	5.56%	Ō	0.00%
ROWN	105	. 0	0.00%	46	43.81%
UFFALO	12	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
URNETT	36	5	13.89%	2	5.56%
ALUMET	34	8	23.53%	. 1	2.94%
HIPPEWA	116	3	2.59%	6	5.17%
LARK	33	2	6.06%	2	6.06%
OLUMBIA	74	14	18.92%	4	5.41%
RAWFORD	33	4	12.12%	2	6.06%
ANE	891	153	17.17%	63	7.07%
ODGE	151 62	22 8	14.57% 12.90%	9	5.96%
OOR OUGLAS	104	26	25.00%	1 7	1.61% 2.88%
UNN	90	23	25.56%	2	2.22%
AU CLAIRE	116	10	8.62%	10	8.62%
LORENCE	5 1	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
OND DU LAC	39	7	17.95%	1	2.56%
OREST	13	4	30.77%	o	0.00%
RANT	45	7	15.56%) 9	20.00%
REEN	27	3	11.11%	ó	0.00%
REEN LAKE	17		11.76%	1	5.88%
AHC	15	2	13.33%	Ô	0.00%
RON	1 1	Ō	0.00%	Ō	0.00%
CKSON	- 33	5	15.15%	1	3.03%
EFFERSON	141	9	6.38%	13	9.22%
JNEAU	7	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
NOSHA	396	42	10.61%	25	6.31%
WAUNEE	26	1	3.85%	1	3.85%
ACROSSE	268	21	7.84%	14	5.22%
AFAYETTE	_0	0	_ NA	0	NA
NGLADE	55	12	21.82%	7	12.73%
INCOLN	58	17	29.31%	<u>2</u>	3.45%
ANITOWOC	196	54	27.55%	7	3.57%
ARATHON	148	16	10.81%	15	10.14%
ARINETTE	61	4	6.56%	1	1.64%
RQUETTE	18	. 5	27.78%		5.56%
ENOMINEE ILWAUKEE	26	NA	7.69%	Na.	3.85%
ONROE	5,520 79	NA 7	NA 8.86%	NA 7	NA 8.86%
CONTO	13	ó	0.00%	3	23.08%
NEIDA	39	2	5.13%	3	7.69%
JTAGAMIE	296	108	36.49%	13	4.39%
AUKEE	137	6	4.38%	10	0.002
PIN	14	Õ	0.00%	ĭ	7.142
ERCE	30	ž	5.13%	1 1	
LK	39 68	16	23.53%	2	2.567 2.947 15.227
RTAGE	92	7	7.61%	14	15.229
ICE	21	5	23.81%	1	4.76
CINE	402	50	12.44%	21	5.22
CHLAND	27	50 3	11.11%	1 2	7.419
CK	707	159	22.49%	35	4.95
SK	14	2	14.29%	4	28.577
UK	75	12 7	16.00%	6	8.00
WYER	78	7	8.97%	15	19.23
AWANO	111	6	5.41%	14 28 5	12,61
EBOYGAN	248	43 16	17.34%	28	11.29
CROIX	76	16	21.05%	5	6.58
YLOR	13	3	23.08%	0	0.00
EMPEALEAU	55	7	12.73%	8	14.55
RNON	31	2	6.45%	2	6.45
LAS	75	. 8	10.67%	6	8.00
LWORTH	101	8	7.92%	13	12.87
SHBURN	42	9	21.43%	9	21.43
SHINGTON	175	17	9.71%	5	2.86
UKESHA	650	113	17.38%	64	9.85
UPACA	122	16	13.11%	20	16.39
USHARA	29	.5	17.24%	1	3.45
NNEBAGO	408	67	16.42%	11	2.70
000	103	10	9.71%	3	2.91
TAL	13,457	1227*	15.46%*	581*	7.32%

^{*} Milwaukee figures are not available, therefore, this does not reflect statewide activity. SOURCE: SUPREME COURT, Wisconsin Court Information System

TABLE 13: REPORTED OUTCOME OF JUVENILE COURT PETITIONS, CONTINUED

YTHUC	NUMBER OF CONSENT DECREES	% OF PETITIONS THAT RESULTED IN CONSENT DECREES	NUMBER OF FORFEITURES	NUMBER PLACED ON SUPERVISION	% OF PETITIONS THAT RESULTED IN SUPERVISION
AMS	. 4	3.70%	0	19	70.37%
SHLAND	ò	16.67%		25	46.30%
ARRON	7	15.22%	i i	26	56.52%
	4	5.56%	0	16	
YFIELD	1				88.89%
OWN	Ų	0.00%	0	49	46.67%
FFALO	4	33.33%	<u> </u>		66.67%
RNETT	12	33.33%	0	16	44.44%
LUMET	1	2.94%	1 1	20	58.82%
IPPEWA	43	37.07%	19	41	35.34%
ARK .	20	60.61%	0	8	24.24%
LUMBIA	32	43.24%	1 1	21	28.38%
AWFORD	5	15.15%		20	60.61%
NE .	216	24.24%	37	392	44.00%
OGE	30	19.87%	. 6	83	54.97%
OR	. 19	30.65%	0	32	51.61%
UGLAS	9	8.65%	0	58	55.77%
NN	12	13.33%	0	53	58.89%
U CLAIRE	30	25,86%	21	63	54.31%
ORENCE	1	20.00%	0	2	40.00%
ND DU LAC	5	12.82%	0	22	56.41%
REST	ō	0.00%	1 1	8	61.54%
ANT	ŏ	0.00%	i i	28	62.22%
	10	37.04%	1	12	
EEN				14	44.44%
EEN LAKE	<u>\$</u>	11.76%	<u> </u>	12	70.59%
WA	6	40.00%	3	4	26.67%
ON	0	0.00%	0	. 1	100.00%
CKSON	3	9.09%	0	24	72 . 73%
FFERSON	5	3.55%	1 1	111	78.72%
NEAU	4	57.14%	0	3	42.86%
NOSHA	74	18,69%		225	56.82%
WAUNEE	9	34.62%	3	11	42.31%
			1 1		
CROSSE	44	16,42%	2	153	57.09%
FAYETTE	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
NGLADE	3	5.45%	0	33	60.00%
NCOLN	- 10	17.24%	1 1	26	44.83%
NITOWOC	53	27.04%	0 1	81	41.33%
RATHON	27	18.24%	0	80	54.05%
RINETTE	2	3.28%	11	42	68.85%
RQUETTE	5	27.78%	i o l	6	33.33%
NOMINEE	Š	19.23%	i	12	46.15%
LWAUKEE	. NA	NA NA	NA NA	_NA	NA .
NROE	25	31.65%	0	34	43.04%
ONTO	2	15.38%	0	7	53.85%
EIDA	21	53.85%	0	9	23.08%
TAGAMIE	70	23.65%	0	96	32.43%
AUKEE	54	39.42%	3	70	51.09%
PIN	1	7.14%	Ō	12	85.71%
ERCE	11	28.21%	1 1	22	56.41%
LK	5	7.35%	2	42	61.76%
	70	1.33A E7 3/8		4 <i>6</i>	01./0/s
RTAGE	49	53.26%	0	21	22.83%
ICE	_1	4.76%	_! _!	13	61.90%
CINE	33	8.21%	36	246	61.19%
CHLAND	2	7.41%	0	19	70.37%
CK	87	12.31%	53	345	48.80%
SK	0	0.00%	Ō	6	42.86%
JK .	10	13.33%	3	39	52.00%
WYER	, ,	2.56%		46	58.97%
	10 2 9				
AWANO	. y	8.11%	7	67 07	60.36%
EBOYGAN	75 22	30.24%	2	93	37.50%
. CROIX		28.95%	0	26	34.21%
YLOR	0	0.00%	0	10	76.92%
EMPEALEAU	16	29.09%	0 -	23	41.82%
RNON	3	9.68%	Ò	24	77.42%
LAS	13	17.33%	ŏ	47	62.67%
	21	20.79%	1 1	57	56.44%
LWORTH	- 41			21	30,44% /E 3/8
SHBURN	0	0.00%	ļ	19	45.24%
SHINGTON	45	25.71%	4	99	56.57%
UKESHA	175 23	26.92%	9	279	42.92%
UPACA	23	18.85%	1 1	60	49.18%
USHARA	11	37.93%	i i	8	27.59%
	41	10.05%	24		62.75%
NNEBAGO OD	16	15.53%	0	256	02.136 22.000
(E)	10	12.22%	1 U 1	68	66.02%

^{*} Milwaukee figures are not available, therefore, this does not reflect statewide activity.

TABLE 14: 1990 POPULATION AND COSTS FOR JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS (JCI)

Tables 14 through 19 look at out-of-home placement of delinquents and status offenders in child care institutions, juvenile correctional institutions, group homes and foster homes. The six tables are compiled based on data from the Human Services Reporting System, Children in Substitute Care Module, and the Division of Youth Services' Information System. Only placements charged (at least in part) against Youth Aids are included in the child care institution, group home and foster home placement figures.

For each setting, this indicator is ranked from the county with the highest rate of out-of-home placements per 1,000 youth ages 12 through 17 (Rank 1) to the county with the lowest rate (Rank 72).

Each table also includes data on cost for out-of-home care in the setting, and compares these costs to the county's Youth Aids allocation. Cost and percent of Youth Aids are ranked individually, with the counties having the highest expenditures and greatest percentages being Rank 1.

Given the similarities in Tables 14-19, they are not separated by narrative explanations. Titles for the tables that follow are:

- TABLE 14: 1990 PLACEMENTS AND COSTS FOR JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS (JCI)
- TABLE 15: 1990 YOUTH AIDS (YA) POPULATION AND COSTS FOR CHILD CARE INSTITUTIONS (CCI)
- TABLE 16: 1990 YOUTH AIDS POPULATION AND COSTS FOR JCI AND CCI PLACEMENTS
- TABLE 17: 1990 YOUTH AIDS POPULATION AND COSTS FOR GROUP HOME (GH) PLACEMENTS
- TABLE 18: 1990 YOUTH AIDS POPULATION AND COSTS FOR FOSTER HOME (FH) PLACEMENTS
- TABLE 19: 1990 YOUTH AIDS POPULATION AND COSTS FOR ALL OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENTS

The general formulas used are:

Facility Placements per = (1990 Facility Population) * 1000 1000 Youth Youth Population Ages 12 thru 17

Facility Cost per = 1990 Facility Cost
YA Allocation 1990 YA Allocation

TABLE 14: 1990 PLACEMENTS AND COSTS FOR JUVENILE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS

COUNTY	1990 POPULATION AGES 12-17	1990 JCI Placements	JCI PLACEMENTS 1,000 YOUTH	RANK HIGH # IS #1	1990 JCI COSTS	RANK HIGH \$ IS #1	JCI COSTS/ YOUTH AIDS	RANK HIGH % IS #1
ADAMS	1,087	4	3.7	9	\$108,477	22	52.28%	7
ASHLAND	1,349	3	2.2	17.5	\$56,969	45	30.64%	21
IELD ON	3,573 1,192	4	1.1	46 54	\$43,953 \$22,292	50 59	11.46X 16.78X	52 42
BKOWN	16,477	16	1.0	48.5	\$301,219	10	16.15%	43
BUFFALO	1,176	0	0.0	68	\$0	68	0.00%	68
BURNETT	1,119 3,258	0	0.0 1.2	68 42.5	\$0 \$72,414	68 34	0.00%	68 25
CHIPPEWA	4,691	9	1.9	22	\$134,427	19	27.67%	28
CLARK	3,107	3	1.0	48.5	\$57,059	44	13.83%	46
COLUMBIA	3,897 1,568	5	1.3 1.9	39 22	\$97,966 \$69,901	25 38	31.31%	18
CRAWFORD DANE	24,156	56	2.3	15	\$1,051,890	3	47.71% 22.10%	36
DODGE	6,455	6	0.9	51	\$71,111	36	12.49%	50
DOOR	2,170	3	1.4	36.5 27.5	\$77,037 \$63,769	32 39	44.43%	11
DOUGLAS DUNN	3,541 2,790	<u>ر</u> 0	6.6	68	\$05,769	68	6.43% 0.00%	61 68
EAU CLAIRE	6,492	12	1.8	24.5	\$178,754	18	19.46%	38
FLORENCE	406	0	0.0	68 71	\$0	68	0.00%	68
FOND DU LAC FOREST	8,005 814	13 2	1.6 2.5	31 12.5	\$201,353 \$57,164	16 43	23.07% 73.56%	34 2
GRANT	4,396	0	0.0	68	\$0	68	0.00%	68
GREEN	2,684	1	0.4	61.5	\$16,193	61	7.53%	59
GREEN LAKE IOWA	1,579 1,789	0	1.3 0.0	39 68	\$72,200 \$0	35 68	67.74%	- <u>3</u> - 68
IRON	477	1	2.1	20	\$24,376	56	60.35%	5
JACKSON	1,483	2	1.3	39	\$50,855	47	30.72%	20
JEFFERSON JUNEAU	5,790 1,035	4 3	0.7 1.6	56.5 31	\$76,196 \$58,941	33 42	12.97%	49 27
KENOSHA	1,925 10,597	58	5.5	5	\$817,476	42 5	28.97% 30.14%	23
KEWAUNEE	1,795	3	1.7	27.5	\$59,376	41	64.35%	4
LACROSSE	7,237 1,439	18 0	2.5 0.0	12.5 68	\$326,960	9 68	27.13%	29 68
LAFAYETTE LANGLADE	1,811	2	1.1	46	\$0 \$29,318	54	0.00% 8.87%	56
LINCOLN	2,572	3	1.2	42.5	\$46,361	49	13.50%	47
MANITOWOC	6.865	11	1.6	31	\$233,444	13	39.77%	14
MARATHON MARINETTE	10,467 3,520	19 2	1.8 0.6	24.5 59	\$357,268 \$32,386	7 51.5	25.57% 7.66%	32 58
JUETTE	1,036	. 2	1.9	22	\$11,567	62	13.12%	48
MINEE	432	5	11.6	. 1	\$82,428	30	18.96%	39
MITEWAUKEE MONROE	72,429 3,380	750 5	10.4	2 34.5	\$12,885,798 \$69,998	1 37	47.76% 11.48%	. 8 51
OCONTO	2,705	4	1.5	34.5	\$87,973	27	29.28%	26
ONEIDA	2,427	9	3.7	9	\$206,994	15	44.86%	10
OUTAGAMIE OZAUKEE	12,202 6,297	27 5	2.2 0.8	17.5 54	\$383,503 \$82,419	6 31	26.19%	30 45
PEPIN I	668	Õ	0.0	68	\$0	68	0.00%	68
PIERCE	2.777	4	1.4	36.5	\$85,956	28	30.92%	19
POLK	3,208	2	1.2	42.5 61.5	\$23,324	58 6 0	6.46%	60 62
PORTAGE PRICE	4,959 1,412	0	0.4	68	\$21,642 \$0	68	0.00%	-68
RACINE	15,130	92	6.1	4	\$1,238,911	2	33.25%	68 15
RICHLAND	1,539	1	0.6	59 7	\$32,386	51.5	39.80%	13 22
ROCK RUSK	11,947 1,412	<u>55</u>	4.6 0.7	56.5	\$940,198 \$23,659	57	30,22%	54
SAUK	4,038	5	1.2	42.5	\$91,705	26	23.66%	33
SAWYER	1,203	, 6	5.0	6	\$106,460	23	56.96%	6
SHAWANO	3,301	11	3.3	11 14	\$83,497 \$353,592	29 8	18.04%	40
SHEBOYGAN ST. CROIX	9,146 4,725	22	2.4 0.2	63	\$353,392 \$7.781	63	31.53% 1.52%	17 63
TAYLOR	1,798	4,	2.2	17.5	\$31,124	53	15.94%	44
TREMPEALEAU	2,177	2	0.9	51	\$27,005	55	21.86%	37
VERNON VILAS	2,269 1,263	2 9	0.9 7.1	51 3	\$49,172 \$198,344	48 17	25.70% 80.56%	31 1
WALWORTH	5,682	6	1.1	46	\$62,433	40	8.76%	57
WASHBURN	1,180	2	1.7	27.5	\$51,418	46	31.82%	16
WASHINGTON WAUKESHA	8,716 27,917	7 17	0.8 0.6	54 59	\$121,200 \$289,791	21 12	11.35% 9.14%	53 55
WAUPACA	4,059	7	1.7	27.5	\$132,992	20	30.00%	55 24
WAUSHARA	1,608	6	3.7	9	\$100,505	24	42.29%	12
WINNEBAGO	10,094	22	2.2 1.5	17.5 33	\$293,751	11	22.22%	35
HOOD TOTAL	6,485 403,370	10 1384	3.4		\$208.227 \$23,252,853	14	17.70% 31.81%	41
Milwaukee	330,941	617	1.9		\$10,367,055		22.47%	

SOURCE: Human Services Reporting System

TABLE 15: 1990 YOUTH AIDS PLACEMENTS & COSTS FOR CHILD CARE INSTITUTIONS

UNTY	1990 POPULATION AGES 12-17	1990 CCI PLACEMENTS	CCI PLACEMENTS/ 1,000 YOUTH	RANK HIGH # IS #1	1990 CCI COSTS	RANK HIGH \$ IS #1	CCI COSTS/ YOUTH AIDS	RANK HIGH : IS #1
AMS	1,087	0	0.0	65.5	\$0	65.5	0.00%	65.5
ULAND	1,349	7	5.2	3	\$66,264	35	35.63%	16.5
DN PIELD	3,573 1,192	0 5	0.0 4.2	65.5 7	\$0 \$19,095	65.5 51	0.00% 14.37%	65.5 38
OWN	16,477	24	1.5	34	\$349,891	12	18.76%	33
FFALO	1,176	0	0.0	65.5	\$0	65.5	0.00%	65.5
RNETT	1,119	3	2.7	14 50	\$33,146	48	19.27%	31 54
LUMET IPPEWA	3,258 4,691	2 3	0.6 0.6	50 50	\$9,991 \$42,430	53 44	4.05% 8.73%	54 51
ARK	3,107	11	3.5	11	\$256,628	16	62.19%	4
LUMBIA	3,897	2	0.5	52.5	\$17,878	52	5.71%	52
AWFORD	1.568	2	1.3	38	\$44.785	42	30.57%	22
NE OGE	24,156 6,455	41 14	1.7 2.2	31 21.5	\$877,229 \$343,390	13	18.43% 60.31%	34 5
OR	2,170	2	0.9	44	\$56,950	38	32.84%	20 43
UGLAS	3,541	12	3.4	12	\$115,407	26	11.63%	43
NN	2,790	1	0.4	55 17	\$9,692	55	4.93%	53 42
U CLAIRE ORENCE	6,492	16 0	2.5	65.5	\$108,665 \$0	65.5	11.83%	65.5
ND DU LAC	8,005	16	2.0	27.5	\$353,646	11	40.51%	11
REST	814	0	0.0	65.5	\$0	65.5	0.00%	65.5
ANT	4,396	3	0.7	47	\$24,492	49	10.45%	46
EEN LAKE	2,684 1,579	4 7	1.5 1.9	34 29	\$58,353 \$73,747	37 34	27.12% 69.19%	25 2
WA	1,789	ő	0.0	65.5	\$0	65.5	0.00%	65.5
ON	477	0	0.0	65.5	\$0	65.5	0.00%	65.5
CKSON	1,483	1.	0.7	47	\$21,171	50	12.79%	40
FFERSON NEAU	5,790 1,925	4. 5	0.7 2.6	47 15	\$89,151 \$97,543	31 30	15.17% 47.94%	37 8
NOSHA	10,597	23	2.2	21.5	\$326,653	14	12.04%	41
WAUNEE	1,795	0	0.0	65.5	\$0	65.5	0.00%	65.5
CROSSE	7,237	7	1.0	42	\$118,197	24	9.81%	_48
FAYETTE	1,439	0	0.0 3.9	65.5 9	\$0 \$150,526	65.5 20	0.00% 45.53%	65.5 9
NGLADE NCOLN	2,572	. 6	2.3	20	\$139,324	21	40.57%	10
N1TOWOC	6,865	17	2,5	17	\$448,130	8	76.34%	1
RATHON	10,467	13	1.2	39.5	\$273,402	15	19.57%	30
PINETTE	3,520 1,036	3 0	0.9	44 65.5	\$98,796 \$0	65.5	23.36%	27 65.5
VETTE	432	Ž	9.3	1	\$39,791	45	9.15%	50
LWAUKEE	72,429	337	4.7	, <u>Ś</u>	\$10,072,498	1	37.33%	13
NROE	3,380	11	0.3	57	\$2,576	58	0.42%	58
ONTO EIDA	2,705 2,427	1 5	0.4	55 24.5	\$9,988 \$88,344	54 32	3.32% 19.15%	55 32
TAGAMIE	12,202	26	2.1	24.5	\$487,370	6	33.28%	19
AUKEE	6,297	9	1.4	36.5	\$205,963	18	36.02%	14
PIN	668	0	0.0	65.5	\$0	65.5	0.00%	65.5
ERCE	2.777 3,208	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	0.0	65.5 39.5	\$0 \$48,800	65.5 40	0.00%	65.5 39
LK RTAGE	4,959	1 1	1.2 0.2	58	\$49,494	39	13.51% 10.96%	45
ICE	1,412	Ö	0.0	65.5	\$0	65.5	0.00%	65.5
CINE	15,130	74	4.9	4.	\$851,567	3	22.85%	28
CHLAND	1,539	0	0.0	65.5	\$0	65.5	0.00%	65.5
<u>ck</u> sk	11,947	29 2	2.4	19 36.5	\$490,852 \$5,040	5 56	15.78% 2.28%	36 56
UK UK	4,038	8	2.0	27.5	\$39,414	46	10.17%	. 47
WYER	1,203	- 5	4.2	7	\$116,858	25	62.52%	3
AWANO	3,301	7	2.1	24.5	\$44,395	43	9.59%	49
EBOYGAN . CROIX	9,146 4,725	10	1.1	41 55	\$126,341 \$248,761	23 17	11.27% 48.51%	44
YLOR	1,798	i	0.6	50	\$3,150	57	1.61%	57
EMPEALEAU	2,177	1	0.5	52.5	\$46,475	41	37.63%	12
RNON	2,269	4	1.8	30	\$111,296	27	58.17%	6
LAS	1,263 5,682	8 12	6.3 2.1	2 24.5	\$84,167	33 19	34.19% 27.71%	18 24
LWORTH SHBURN	1,180	12	4.2	7	\$197,478 \$36,225	47	27.71%	24 29
SHINGTON	8,716	14	1.6	32	\$381,258	10	35.71%	15
UKESHA	27,917	24	0.9	44	\$535,525	4	16.88%	15 35
UPACA	4,059	6	1.5	34	\$130,423	22	29.42%	23
USHARA	1,608 10,094	6 31	3.7 3.1	10 13	\$61,836 \$470,978	36 7	26.02% 35.63%	26 16.5
NNEBAGO OD	6.485	16	2.5	17	\$470,978 \$384.098	9	32.64%	21
Z AL	403,370	910	2.3		\$19,995,533		27.35%	
7	1 703,510	1 ,,,,	1		-1,1,1,1,1,1		-1.000	

SOURCE: Human Services Reporting System

TABLE 16: JUVENILE CORRECTIONS AND CHILD CARE INSTITUTION PLACEMENTS AND COSTS

COUNTY	1990 POPULATION AGES 12-17	1990 JCI & CCI PLACEMENTS	JCI & CCI PLACEMENTS/ 1,000 YOUTH	RANK HIGH # IS #1	1990 JCI & CCI COSTS	RANK HIGH \$ IS #1	JCI & CCI COSTS/ YOUTH AIDS	RANK HIGH % IS #1
ADAMS	1,087	4	3.7	23.5	\$108,477	44	52.28%	27
ASHLAND	1,349	10	7.4	7	\$123,233	40	66.27%	14
RRON	3,573	4	1.1	61	\$43,953	57	11.46%	64
YFIELD BROWN	1,192 16,477	6 40	5.0 2.4	14 42	\$41,387 \$651,110	58 10	31.16% 34.92%	49 43
BUFFALO	1,176	1 70	0.0	69.5	\$0.	69.5	0.00%	69.5
BURNETT	1,119	3	2.7	36.5	\$33,146	60	19.27%	57
CALUMET	3,258	6	1.8	52.5	\$82,405	48	33.39%	46
CHIPPEWA CLARK	4,691 3,107	12 14	2.6 4.5	38.5 16	\$176,857 \$313,687	30 18	36.41% 76.02%	42 10
COLUMBIA	3,897	'7	1.8	52.5	\$115,844	42	37.02%	39
CRAWFORD	1.568	5	3.2	31	\$114.686	43	78.27%	7
DANE	24,156	97	4.0	22	\$1,929,119	.3	40.52%	37
DODGE	6,455	20	3.1 2.3	33.5 43	\$414,501	17	72.80%	12
DOOR DOUGLAS	2,170 3,541	5 18	5.1	11.5	\$133,987 \$179,176	36 29	77.27% 18.06%	8 58
DUNN	2,790	10	0.4	66	\$9,692	66	4.93%	66
EAU CLAIRE	6,492	28	4.3	17,5	\$287,419	21	31,29%	48
FLORENCE	406	0	0.0	69.5	\$0	69.5	0.00%	69.5
FOND DU LAC	8,005	29	3.6 2.5	25 40.5	\$554,999 •57,147	13 56	63.58%	17
FOREST GRANT	814 4,396	2	0.7	62	\$57,164 \$24,492	63	73.56% 10.45%	11 65
GREEN	2.684	5	1.9	49.5	\$74,546	49	34.65%	44
GREEN LAKE	1.579	5	3.2	31	\$145,947	35	136.93%	1
IOWA	1,789	0	0.0	69.5	\$0	69.5	0.00%	69.5
IRON JACKSON	477	1	2.1 2.0	46.5 48	\$24,376 \$72,026	64 53	60.35%	18 34
JEFFERSON	1,483 5,790	3 8	1.4	58.5	\$165,347	33 31	43.51% 28.14%	52
JUNEAU	1,925	8	4.2	19.5	\$156,484	34	76.91%	- 5
KENOSHA	10,597	81	7.6	6	\$1,144,129	5	42.19%	36
KEWAUNEE	1,795	3	1.7	55	\$59,376	55	64.35%	15
LACROSSE	7,237	25 0	3.5 0.0	27 69.5	\$445,157	16 69.5	36.94% 0.00%	40 69.5
LAFAYETTE LANGLADE	1,439	9	5.0	14	\$0 \$179,844	28	54.39%	24
LINCOLN	2,572	9	3.5	27	\$185,685	27	54.07%	26
MANITOWOC	6.865	28	4.1	21	\$681.574	9	116.10%	3
MARATHON	10,467	32	3.1	33.5	\$630,670	11	45.13%	33
MARINETTE RQUETTE	3,520 1,036	5 2	1.4 1.9	58.5 49.5	\$131,182 \$11,547	37 65	31.01% 13.12%	50 61
NOMINEE	432	9	20.8	47.5	\$11,567 \$122,219	41	28.12%	53
MILWAUKEE	72,429	1087	15.0	ż	\$22,958,296	i	85.09%	5
MONROE	3,380	6	1.8	52.5	\$72,574	51	11.91%	63
OCONTO	2,705	5	1.8	52.5	\$97,961	45	32.60%	47
ONEIDA OUTAGAMIE	2,427 12,202	14 53	5.8 4.3	9 17.5	\$295,338 \$870,873	19 6	64.00% 59.46%	16 20
OZAUKEE	6,297	14	2.2	44.5	\$288,382	20	50.43%	28
PEPIN	668	Ò	0.0	69.5	\$0	69.5	0.00%	69.5
PIERCE	2.777	4	1.4	58.5	\$85,956	47	30.92%	51
POLK	3,208	8	2.5	40.5	\$72,124	52	19.97%	56
PORTAGE PRICE	4,959 1,412	3	0.6	64 69.5	\$71,136 \$0	54 69.5	15.76% 0.00%	60 69.5
RACINE	15,130	166	11.0	37.4	\$2,090,478	2	56.10%	23
RICHLAND	1,539	1	0.6	64	\$32,386	61	39.80%	38
ROCK	11,947	84	7.0	8	\$1,431,050	4	45.99%	32
RUSK	1,412	3	2.1	46.5	\$28,699 \$171,110	62 70	13.01%	62
SAUK	4,038	13 11	3.2 9.1	31 5	\$131,119 \$223,318	38	33.83%	45
SAWYER SHAWANO	1,203 3,301	18	5.5	10	\$127,892	26 39	119.49% 27.62%	2 54
SHEBOYGAN	9.146	32 3	3.5	27	\$479,933	15	42.80%	35
ST. CROIX	4.725	3	0.6	64	\$256,542	25	50.02%	30
TAYLOR	1,798	5	2.8	35	\$34,274	59	17.56%	59
TREMPEALEAU	2,177 2,269	3 6	1.4	58.5 38.5	\$73,480 \$160,468	50 33	59.49%	19
VERNON VILAS	1,263	17	13.5	30.3	\$160,468 \$282,511	22	83.87% 114.74%	6
WALWORTH	5,682	19	3.3	29	\$259,911	24	36.47%	41
WASHBURN	1,180	6	5.1	11.5	\$87,643	46	54.24%	25
WASHINGTON	8,716	19	2.2	44.5	\$502,458	14	47.07%	31
WAUKESHA	27,917	45	1.6	56	\$825,316	7	26.02%	55
WAUPACA	4,059 1,608	11 8	2.7 5.0	36.5 14	\$263,415 \$162,341	23 32	59.41% 68.32%	21 13
WAUSHARA WINNEBAGO	10,094	42		19.5	\$764,729	8	57.86%	22
WOOD	6.485	42 24	4.2 3.7	23.5	\$592.325	12	50.34%	29
TOTAL	403,370	2,277	5.6		\$43,248,386		59.16%	
o Milwaukee	330,941	1,196	3.6		\$20,290,090		43.99%	
n wit Mankee	220,741	1,170	3.0		#EU, E7U, UYU		43.77%	

SOURCE: Human Services Reporting System

TABLE 17: 1990 YOUTH AIDS PLACEMENTS AND COST FOR GROUP HOMES .

COUNTY	1990 POPULATION AGES 12-17	1990 GROUP GROUP (GH) PLACEMENTS	GH PLACE- MENTS/ 1,000 YOUTH	RANK HIGH # IS #1	1990 GROUP HOME COSTS	RANK HIGH \$ IS #1	GH COSTS/ YOUTH AIDS	RANK HIGH % IS #1
ADAMS	1,087	0	0.0	68.5	\$0	68.5	0.00%	68.5
ASHLAND	1,349	1	0.7	- 53	\$1,208	63	0.65%	63
ARRON AYFIELD	3,573 1,192	. 5	0.3 4.2	63 5	\$23,850 \$21,383	42 44	6.22% 16.10%	45 31
BROWN	16,477	24	1.5	39	\$241,673	7	12.96%	34
BUFFALO	1,176	1 1	0.9	47.5 33.5	\$16,661	47 60	33.14%	
BURNETT CALUMET	1,119 3,258	2	0.6	56.5	\$4,702 \$10,737	56	2.73% 4.35%	58 53 10 62 13
CHIPPEWA	4,691	19	4.1	6	\$138,228	14	28.46%	10
CLARK COLUMBIA	3,107 3,897	1 7	0.3 1.8	61.5 33.5	\$3,196 \$79,595	62 22	0.77% 25.43%	62 13
CRANEORD	i.568	ż	1.3	42	\$30,594	38	20.88%	16
DANE	24,156	43 18	1.8	33.5 14.5	\$390,651	4	8.21%	38 17
DODGE DOOR	6,455 2,170	5	2.8 2.3	25.5	\$118,873 \$43,283	18 34	20.88% 24.96%	14
DOUGLAS	3,541	- 9	2.5	22.5	\$61,108	28	6.16%	14 46
DUNN	2,790 6.492	9 21	3.2 3.2	9.5 9.5	\$37,792 \$125,755	36 15	19.22% 13.69%	22 33
EAU CLAIRE FLORENCE	406	0	0.0	68.5	\$123,733	68.5	0.00%	68.5
FOND DU LAC	8,005	31	3.9	7	\$265,267	5	30.39%	4
FOREST GRANT	814 4,396	0	0.0	68.5 68.5	\$0 \$0	68.5 68.5	0.00%	68.5 68.5
GREEN	2.684	7	2.6	20	\$63,145	26	29.35%	. 7
GREEN LAKE	1.579	2	1.3	42	\$18.901	46	17.73%	25
IOWA IRON	1,789 477	0	0.0	68.5 68.5	\$0 \$0	68.5 68.5	0.00%	68.5 68.5
JACKSON	1,483	3	2.0	30	\$28,018	39	16.93%	29.5
JEFFERSON	5,790	17	2.9 3.1	13 11	\$119,933	17	20.41% 28.45%	18 11
JUNEAU KENOSHA	1,925 10,597	6 20	1.9	31	\$57,890 \$122,198	30 16	4.51%	51
KEWAUNEE	1,795	1	0.6	56.5	\$9,869	58	10.70%	37
LACROSSE LAFAYETTE	7,237 1,439	22 1	3.0 0.7	12 53	\$178,538 \$13,236	10 54	14.82% 19.95%	32 19
LANGLADE	1,811	10	5.5	2	\$95,354	20	28.84%	g .
LINCOLN	2,572	7	2.7	17	\$68,065	25	19.82%	20 6
MANITOWOC MARATHON	6,865 10,467	12 28	1.7	37 17	\$176,418 \$180,262	11	30.05% 12.90%	35
MARINETTE	3,520	9	2.6	20	\$72,641	24	17.17%	27
MARQUETTE	1,036	0	0.0	68.5	\$0	68.5	0.00%	68.5
MILWAUKEE	432 72,429	1 131	2.3 1.8	25.5 33.5	\$896 \$1,907,402	. 64 1	0.21% 7.07%	64 41
MONROE	3,380	13	3.8	88	\$104,820	19	17.20%	26
OCONTO ONEIDA	2,705 2,427	2 3	0.7 1.2	53 44	\$11,257 \$35,114	55 37	3.75% 7.61%	55 40
OUTAGAMIE	12,202	33	1 2.7	17	\$248,042	6	16.94%	28
OZAUKEE	6,297	11	1.7	37	\$153,328	12	26,81%	12
PEPIN PIERCE	668 2,777	0	0.0	68.5 53	\$0 \$15.635	68.5 49	0.00% 5.62%	68.5 47
POLK	3,208		0.9	47.5	\$13,720		3.80%	
PORTAGE	4,959	3 2	0.4	59.5	\$20,890	52 45	4.63%	54 50
PRICE RACINE	1,412 15,130	3 12	2.1 0.8	28 49.5	\$43,526 \$49,110	33 31	35.24% 1.32%	2 61
RICHLAND	1,539	4	2.6	20	\$24,719	41	30.38%	5
ROCK	11,947	52	4.4	4	\$554,150	3	17.81%	23
RUSK SAUK	1,412 4,038	1	0.7 0.2	53 64	\$4,310 \$10,106	61 57	1.95% 2.61%	60 59
SAWYER	1,203	1	0.8	49.5	\$15,262	50 51	8.17%	59 39 56 48 8
SHAWANO	3,301	8	2.4	24	\$14,912	51 20	3.22%	56
SHEBOYGAN ST. CROIX	9,146 4.725	19 2	2.1	28 59.5	\$58,994 \$149,238	29 13	5.26% 29.10%	46 8
TAYLOR	1,798	2	1.1	45	\$13,422	53	6.88%	42
TREMPEALEAU VERNON	2,177 2,269	6 3	2.8 1.3	14,5 42	\$21,964 \$40,912	43 35	17.78% 21.38%	42 24 15
VILAS	1,263	6	4.8	3	\$27,672	40	11.24%	36
WALWORTH	5,682	3	0.5 1.7	58	\$47,899	32	6.72%	44 52
WASHBURN WASHINGTON	1,180 8,716	2 18	2.1	37 28	\$7,182 \$205,359	59 8	19.24%	21
WASHINGTON	27,917	9	0.3	61.5	\$92,520	21	2.92%	57
WAUPACA	4,059	4	1.0	46	\$75,061	23	16.93%	29.5
WAUSHARA WINNEBAGO	1,608 10,094	4 85	2.5 8.4	22.5 1	\$16,078 \$602,986	48 2	6.77% 45.62%	43 1
MOOD	6.485	9	1.4	40	\$61.465	27	5.22%	49
					1			1
TOTAL	403,370	801	2.0		\$7,466,975		10.21%	

TABLE 18: 1990 YOUTH AIDS PLACEMENTS AND COSTS FOR FOSTER HOMES (FH)

OUNTY	1990 POPULATION AGES 12-17	1990 FOSTER HOME (FH) PLACEMENTS	FH PLACE- MENTS/ 1,000 YOUTH	RANK HIGH # IS #1	1990 FOSTER HOME COSTS	RANK HIGH \$ IS # 1	FH COSTS/ YOUTH AIDS	RANK HIGH IS #
DAMS	1,087	6	5.5	10	\$7,550	48	3.64%	35
SHLAND	1,349	4	3.0	33	\$2,080	64	1.12%	61
ARRON	3,573	3	0.8	59	\$11,730	42	3.06%	43
AYFIELD	1,192	6	5.0	12.5	\$5,279	55	3.97%	33
ROWN	16,477	9	0.5	63.5	\$33,922	15	1.82%	54
UFFALO	1.176	2	1.7	46.5	\$5,922	51	11.78%	8.5
URNETT	1,119	7	6.3	7	\$16,036	35	9.32%	11
ALUMET	3,258	12	3.7	21	\$5,772	52	2.34%	49
HIPPEWA	4,691	16	3.4	26	\$28,573	18	5.88%	21
LARK	3,107	11	3.5	23.5	\$23,570	24	5.71%	22
OLUMBIA	3,897	5	1.3	52.5	\$5,513	53	1.76%	55
RAWFORD	1,568	8	5.1	11	\$16,267	33	11.10%	10
ANE	24,156	46	1.9	41.5	\$170,905	1	3.59%	37
DOGE	6,455	9	1.4	51	\$49,047	10	8.61%	14
OOR	2,170	Ó	4.1	16	\$12,797	41	7.38%	15
		11	3.1	31		27	2.23%	50
OUGLAS	3,541				\$22,153			
JNN	2,790	14	5.0	12.5	\$25,226	23	12.83%	5
U CLAIRE	6.492	24	3.7	21	\$47.470	12	5.17%	28
ORENCE	406	0	0.0	70	\$0	70	0.00%	70
ND DU LAC	8,005	13	1.6	48.5	\$26,525	21	3.04%	44
REST	814	6	7.4	. 6	\$18,280	30	23.52%	1
ANT	4,396	8	1.8	44	\$28,028	19	11.96%	- 7
EEN	2,684	15	5.6	9	\$4,476	58	2.08%	. 51
EEN LAKE	1,579	11	0.6	61	\$1,532	_66	1,44%	60
XVA AVX	1,789	3	1.7	46.5	\$5,229	56	3.53%	39
ON .	477	Ō	0.0	70	\$0	70	0.00%	70
CKSON	1,483	5	3.4	26	\$14,818	38	8.95%	12
FFERSON	5,790	16	2.8	34.5	\$33,037	17	5.62%	23
NEAU	1,925	1	0.5	63.5	\$10,919	45	5.37%	27
NOSHA	10.597	42	4.0	17	\$108,702	3	4.01%	32
		0	0.0	70	\$100.702	70	0.00%	70
WAUNEE	1,795	28						
CROSSE	7,237		3.9	18	\$79,446	7	6.59%	16
FAYETTE	1,439	1	0.7	60	\$3,589	60	5.41%	26
NGLADE	1,811	2	1.1	54.5	\$1,745	65	0.53%	64
NCOLN	2,572	7	2.7	36.5	\$20,813	29	6.06%	18
NITOWOC	6,865	3	0.4	66	\$2,920	63	0.50%	66
RATHON	10,467	36	3.4	26	\$82,742	6 .	5.92%	20
RINETTE	3,520	7	2.0	40	\$23,509	25	5.56%	25
RQUETTE	1,036	1	1.0	56.5	\$741	. 67	0.84%	62
NOMINEE	432	7	16.2	2	\$6,724	50	1.55%	57
LWAUKEE	72,429	39	0.5	63.5	\$113,546	2	0.42%	67
NROE	3,380	Ó	0.0	70	\$0	70	0.00%	70
ONTO	2,705	7	2.6	38	\$4,437	59	1.48%	59
EIDA	2,427	4	1.6	48.5	\$3,224	62	0.70%	63
	12 202		0.5		\$27,750	20	1.89%	53
TAGAMIE	12,202	6 7		63.5 54.5		40		
AUKEE	6,297		1.1	54.5	\$14,330		2.51%	47
PIN	668	0	0.0	70	\$0	70	0.00%	70
ERCE	2,777	13	4.7	14	\$37,635	14	13.54%	4
LK [3,208	10	3.1	31	\$11,369	43	3.15%	40
RTAGE	4,959	29	5.8	8	\$68,916	.8	15.26%	3
ICE	1,412	11	7.8	5	\$7,599	47	6.15%	17
CINE	15,130	57	3,8	19	\$101,297	4	2.72%	46
CHLAND	1,539	3	1.9	41.5	\$4,920	57	6.05%	19
CK	11.947	40	3.3	28	\$96,884	5	3.11%	41
SK	1,412	5	3.5	23.5	\$5,413	54	2.45%	48
ŬŔ	4,038	13	3.2	29	\$14,935	37	3.85%	34
WYER	1,203	20	16.6	1	\$22,683	26	12.14%	. 6
AWANO	3,301	9	2.7	36.5	\$9,216	46	1.99%	52
						32	1.53%	
EBOYGAN	9,146	9	1.0	56.5	\$17,102			58°
. CROIX	4,725	6	1.3	52.5	\$15,784	36	3.08%	42
YLOR	1,798	8	4.4	15	\$10,923	44	5.60%	24
EMPEALEAU	2,177	4	1.8	44	\$14,547	39	11.78%	8.5
RNON	2,269	2	0.9	58	\$3,349	61	1.75%	56
LAS	1,263	13	10.3	3	\$21,951	28	8.92%	13
LWORTH	5,682	12	2.1	39	\$25,807	22	3.62%	36
SHBURN	1.180	10	8.5	4	\$33,056	16	20.46%	2
SHINGTON	8,716	16	1.8	44	\$37,904	13	3.55%	38
UKESHA	27,917	7	0.3	67	\$16,260	34	0.51%	65
UPACA	4,059	6	1.5	50	\$18,187	31	4.10%	30
	1,608	6	3.7	21	\$7,094	49	2.99%	45
USHARA						9		
NNEBAGO	10,094	31	3.1	31	\$55,836		4.22%	29
000	6,485	18	2.8	34.5	\$47,710	11	4.05%	31
DTAL	403,370	825	2.0		\$1,833,251		2.51%	
	770.014	70/			64 740 705		7 770	
/o Milwaukee	330,941	786	2.4		\$1,719,705		3.73%	

TABLE 19: 1990 TOTAL YOUTH AIDS OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENTS AND COSTS

ASHILAND	COUNTY	1990 POPULATION AGES 12-17	1990 OUT- OF-HOME PLACEMENTS	OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENTS/ 1,000 YOUTH	RANK HIGH # IS #1	1990 OUT- OF-HOME COSTS	RANK High \$ is # 1	OUT-OF HOME COSTS/ YOUTH AIDS	RANK HIGH % IS # 1
ASSILAND 1,340 15 11.1 14 5126,521 48 66,04% 30 68 ASSILAND 1,340 15 11.1 14 5126,521 48 66,04% 30 67 BAFFERD 1,477 77 8 4.2 6.5 5 570,330 55 5 20,75% 67 BAFFERD 1,477 77 8 4.2 6.0 8 60,730 55 9 51,65% 67 BAFFERD 1,477 77 8 4.2 6.0 8 60,730 55 9 51,65% 67 BAFFERD 1,477 77 8 4.2 6.0 6.2 12.2 65.7 6 7 6.2 12.2 65.7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6	ADAMS	1.087	10	9.2	24	\$116,027	40	55 92%	30
BARROU 1, 707 B 2, 2 65.5 1379,333 55 20,75% 67 8 8 8 8 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1.349	15.	11.1	14	\$126,521	48	68.04%	30
BROWN 16,477 73 4.4 60 972-755 97 40,69X 47 BUTALL 110 13 125 12.7 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 125		3,573		2.2		\$79,533	55	20.75%	67
BURNETT 1,119 12 10.7 15.5 333,864 62 31.322 58 CALUMET 3,228 20 6.1 48 395,914 53 40.0772 53 CHIPPELA 4,678 77 10.2 20 6.1 48 395,914 53 40.0772 53 CHIPPELA 4,678 77 10.2 20 6.1 48 31,322 50 COLUMBIA 3,897 19 6.4 4 31 3340,563 23 70.782 27 6 COLUMBIA 3,897 19 6.4 4 31 3340,563 23 70.782 27 6 COLUMBIA 3,897 19 6.4 4 31 3340,563 23 70.782 27 6 COLUMBIA 3,897 19 6.4 4 31 32 50 COLUMBIA 3,897 19 6.4 5 23 5161,567 40 110,263 46 20 COLUMBIA 3,897 19 7.8 2 50 COLU		1,192	17			\$68,049	59	51.23%	43
BURNETT 1,119 12 10.7 15.5 333,864 62 31.322 58 CALUMET 3,228 20 6.1 48 395,914 53 40.0772 53 CHIPPELA 4,678 77 10.2 20 6.1 48 395,914 53 40.0772 53 CHIPPELA 4,678 77 10.2 20 6.1 48 31,322 50 COLUMBIA 3,897 19 6.4 4 31 3340,563 23 70.782 27 6 COLUMBIA 3,897 19 6.4 4 31 3340,563 23 70.782 27 6 COLUMBIA 3,897 19 6.4 4 31 3340,563 23 70.782 27 6 COLUMBIA 3,897 19 6.4 4 31 32 50 COLUMBIA 3,897 19 6.4 5 23 5161,567 40 110,263 46 20 COLUMBIA 3,897 19 7.8 2 50 COLU		1.176	/3			\$20,700 \$22 583	67	49.09%	50
CALIMET 3, 258 20 6.1 40 10.0 20 33.3,458 23,158 23 27,758 27,78 27,78 28,169 28,169 28,169 28,169 28,169 28,169 28,169 28,169 28,169 28,169 28,169 28,169 28,169 28,169 28,169 28,169 28,170 29 20,170		1,119		10.7	15.5	\$ 53,884	62.		58
CLARK 3, 107 26 8, 4 31 32, 107 35 60, 107 36 60, 107 60, 108 60		3,258	20		48	\$98,914	53	40.07%	53
COLUMBIA 3,697 19 4,9 58 \$200,952 35 64,22x 33		4,691	47		20		22	70.75%	27
CRAMPORD 1,568 150 DARE 20,155 166 7,7 35 82,090,675 2 5,252 42 DOGGE 6,455 47 7,13 38 532,421 16 102,297 10 DOGGE 5,455 47 7,13 38 532,421 16 102,297 10 DOGGE 6,455 47 7,13 38 532,421 16 102,297 10 DOGGE 6,455 47 7,13 38 532,421 16 102,297 10 24 8,6 30 S22,7710 57 36,992 55 540,001 10 DUNN 2,790 24 8,6 30 S27,710 57 36,992 55 540,001 12 57 10,143 45 54 FLORENCE 400 0 0 0 0 0 0 1,5 1,5 1,5 1,5		3,107	19				23 35	64.22%	10 33
DOOGE 6,455 47 7,3 38 5382,421 16 102,29X 10 DOOR 2,1770 19 8.8 28 3190,067 36 100,61X 7 DOUGLAS 3,541 38 11.6 535 \$282,437 30 26.442 64 DOUGLAS 3,541 38 11.6 535 \$282,437 30 26.442 64 FLORENCE 6,406 70 10.0 71.5 \$160,640 11.5 \$0.100 11.5 \$1.6 11.5 \$1.5 \$		1,568	15	9.6	23		40	110.26%	6
DOOR 2,170 19 8.8 28 \$190,067 36 109,61% 7 DOWN 2,151 38 10.7 15.5 \$262,437 30 26.44% 66 DUMN 2,750 24 8.6 1.7 15.5 \$262,437 30 26.44% 66 DUMN 2,750 24 8.6 1.7 15.5 \$262,437 30 26.44% 66 DUMN 2,750 24 8.6 1.7 15.5 \$262,437 30 26.44% 66 DUMN 2,750 24 8.6 1.7 15.5 \$36,99% 55 ENGLAIRE 6.462 70 10.0 11.5 14.60,664 71.5 36.99% 55 FORD DU LAC 8.005 73 9.1 25.5 \$846,710 12 07.00% 71.5 FOREST 814 8 9.8 22 \$75,444 56 97.00% 71.5 GRANT 4,356 11 2.5 63 \$52,520 63 22,42% 66 GREEN 1,579 8 5.1 56.3 \$166,580 30 156,10% 1 ERRALAKE 1,579 8 5.1 56.3 \$166,580 30 156,10% 1 ERRALAKE 1,579 8 5.1 56.3 \$166,580 30 156,10% 1 ERRALAKE 1,579 41 7.4 37 \$114,662 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 41 7.4 37 \$114,662 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 41 7.4 37 \$114,662 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 41 7.4 37 \$114,662 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 41 7.4 37 \$114,662 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 41 7.4 37 \$114,662 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 41 7.4 37 \$114,662 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 41 7.4 37 \$114,662 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 41 7.4 37 \$114,662 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 45 2.6 65 869,265 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 45 2.6 65 869,265 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 45 2.6 65 869,265 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 45 2.6 65 869,265 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 45 2.6 65 869,265 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 45 2.6 65 869,265 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 45 2.6 65 869,265 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 45 2.6 65 869,265 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 45 2.6 65 869,265 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 45 2.6 65 869,265 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 45 2.6 65 869,265 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 45 2.6 65 869,265 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 27 50 60 60,265 50 69,35% 25 ERREN LAKE 1,579 27 50 60 60,265 50 6		24,156	186		35	\$2,490,675	.2	52.32%	42
DOUGLAS 3, 541 338 10.77 15.5 \$262,437 30 26.44% 64 DUNN 2,760 24 8.6 30 \$77,710 57 30 26.44% 64 EAU CALLERE 6.452 73 11.2 13 \$460,646 71 15 50,142 45 EAU CALLERE 6.452 73 11.2 13 \$460,646 71 15 50,142 45 EAU CALLERE 7.814 8 9.8 9.8 22 \$77,444 56 CREEN 1.876 11 2.5 63 \$52,520 63 22.422 66 GREEN 1.876 11 2.5 63 \$52,520 63 22.422 66 GREEN 1.877 8 5 1.1 56,55 \$166,380 39 44 65,08% 32 GREEN 1.877 8 5 1.7 69 \$52,227 70 63,333 70 100A 1.787 3 1.7 69 \$52,227 70 63,333 70 100A 1.787 3 1.7 69 \$52,227 70 63,333 70 100A 1.787 3 1.7 69 \$52,227 70 63,333 70 100A 1.787 3 1.7 69 \$52,227 70 63,333 70 100A 1.787 3 1.7 69 \$52,227 70 63,333 70 100A 1.787 3 1.7 69 \$52,227 70 63,333 70 100A 1.787 3 1.7 69 \$52,227 70 63,333 70 100A 1.787 3 1.7 69 \$52,227 70 63,333 70 100A 1.787 3 1.7 69 \$52,227 70 63,333 70 100A 1.787 3 1.7 69 \$52,227 70 63,333 70 100A 1.787 3 1.7 69 \$52,227 70 63,333 70 100A 1.787 3 1.2 67 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70		6,455				\$582,421 \$100.047	16 74		10
DUMN 2,700 24 8.6 30 \$772,710 \$7 36,992 \$5 FLORENCE 6,462 73 11.2 13 \$460,644 18 \$9,01,42 45 FLORENCE 70.5 0.005 73 9.1 25.5 \$846,79 71.5 0.002 71.5 FORD ULL 8,005 73 9.1 25.5 \$846,79 71.2 97,002 112 FORD ULL 8,005 73 9.1 25.5 \$846,79 71.2 97,002 112 FORD ULL 8,005 73 9.1 25.5 \$846,79 71.2 97,002 112 FORD ULL 8,005 73 9.1 25.5 \$846,79 71.2 97,002 112 FORD ULL 8,005 73 9.1 25.5 \$846,79 71.2 97,002 112 FORD ULL 8,005 73 9.1 25.5 \$846,79 71.2 97,002 112 FORD ULL 8,005 73 9.1 25.5 \$846,79 11.2 97,002 112 FORD ULL 8,005 73 9.1 25.5 \$846,79 11.2 97,002 112 FORD ULL 8,005 73 9.1 25.5 \$846,79 11.2 97,002 112 FORD ULL 8,005 71 11 19 \$142,167 44 66.6 66.083 32 GREEN LAKE 1,579 8 5.1 76.5 \$166,180 39 156,102 1 IGOM 1,789 3 1.7 69 \$5.22 97 0.3 153 70 IRON 477 1 2.1 67 \$24,376 66 60,353 35 IRON 443 11 7.4 37 \$114,602 50 66,392 29 JEFFERSON 5,790 41 7.4 37 \$114,602 50 66,392 29 JEFFERSON 1,463 111 7.4 37 \$114,602 50 66,392 29 JEFFERSON 1,797 125 73.8 31 \$12,713 33 \$181,317 7,74 49 JUNEAU 1,727 15 73.8 31 \$22,533 33 11,77 4,40 \$1,727 4,4		3.541		10.7	15.5	\$262,437	30 30		
EAU CLAIRE 6.692 73 11.2 13 \$460.644 18 50,14% 45 FOREBUCE 406 0 0.0 71.5 \$20.00 71.5 0.00 71.5 \$20.00	DUNN	2,790	24	8.6	30	\$72,710	57		55
FOLD ULCC 8,005 73 9.1 25.5 \$846,701 12 77.00% 12. FOREST 814 8 9.8 22 \$75,444 56 97.00% 11. GRANT 4,356 11 2.5 63 552,520 63 22,422 66 68EEN 2,664 27 10.1 19 \$142,167 44 66.00% 32 66 68EEN 2,664 27 10.1 19 \$142,167 44 66.00% 32 66 68EEN 1,57 8 5 1.5 6.3 \$162,520 70 10.10% 32 7		6,492	73	The second section is a second section of the second section of the second section is a second section of the section		\$460.644	18	50.14%	45
FOREST 4814 8 9.8 22 \$75,444 56 \$7.09\$ 11 CANALY 4,396 11 2.5 63 \$52,520 63 \$24,42\$ 66 GREEN LAKE 1,579 8 5.1 56,5 \$166,380 39 156,100 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		406 8 005			71.5		71.5	0.00%	
GRANT 4,396 11 2.5 63 852,520 63 22,42% 66 GREEN 2,664 27 10.1 19 \$142,167 44 66.08% 32 GREEN LAKE 1,579 8 5.1 56.5 \$166,380 39 156,10% 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		814					56		11
GREEN LAKE 1.579 8 5.1 56.5 \$166.380 39 166.08% 32 68EEN LAKE 1.579 8 5.1 56.5 \$166.380 39 166.10% 1 7.00 1	GRANT	4,396	. 11	2.5	63	\$52,520	63	22.42%	66
TOMA		2,684	27		19	\$142,167			32
IRON		1,2/9							
JACKSON 1, 483 11 7.4 37 \$114,862 50 69.30% 29 JEFFERSON 5,700 41 7.1 39 \$318,317 27 54,17% 40 JUNEAU 1,925 15 7.8 34 \$225,293 33 110,73% 5 KENOSHA 10,597 143 13.5 11 \$1,375,029 6 50,70% 44 KENALINEE 1,795 4 2.2 65.5 \$69,245 58 75.04% 25 LARRAGETTE 1,439 2 1.4 70 \$16,825 68 25.36% 65 LARRAGETTE 1,439 2 1.4 70 \$16,825 68 25.36% 65 LARGADE 1,811 21 11.6 12 \$276,943 28 83,76% 15 LINCOLN 2,572 23 8.9 27 \$274,563 29 79.94% 19 MANITOMO 6,855 43 6.3 46.5 \$860,912 11 146,65% 2 LINCOLN 2,572 23 8.9 27 \$274,563 29 79.94% 19 MARITOMO 6,855 43 6.3 46.5 \$860,912 11 146,65% 2 LINCOLN 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$893,67% 10 63.95% 34 MARITOMO 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$893,67% 10 9.2 35 \$1,156.5 \$44 9.2 9.2 9.2 35.7 5.0 9.2 20,000 9.2 9.2 111 46.6 6.5 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2		477	1.		67	\$24,376	66	60.35%	35
JUNEAU 1,925 15 7.8 34 \$225,523 33 110,73% 5 KENOSHA 10,597 143 13,5 111 \$1,375,029 6 50,70% 44 KEWANNEE 1,795 4 2.2 65.5 \$69,245 58 75.04% 25 LARRAKETTE 1,735 2 10.4 18 \$703,141 14 58.55% 38 LARRAKETTE 1,439 2 1.4 70 \$16,825 68 25,36% 65 LARGANEE 1,795 2 1.4 70 \$16,825 68 25,36% 65 LARGANEE 1,811 21 11.6 12 \$276,943 28 83,76% 15 LINCOLN 2,572 23 8.9 27 \$276,563 29 79.94% 19 MARITONO 6,85 43 6.3 46.5 \$860,912 11 146,65% 2 MARITONO 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$893,674 10 63,95% 34 MARITONO 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$893,674 10 63,95% 34 MARITONO 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$893,674 10 63,95% 34 MARITONO 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$893,674 10 63,95% 34 MARITONO 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$893,674 10 63,95% 34 MARITONO 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$893,674 10 63,95% 34 MARITONO 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$893,674 10 63,95% 34 MARITONO 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$893,674 10 63,95% 34 MARITONO 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$893,674 10 63,95% 34 MARITONO 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$893,674 10 63,95% 34 MARITONO 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$893,674 10 96,95% 34 MARITONO 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$893,674 10 96,95% 34 MARITONO 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$893,674 10 96,95% 34 MARITONO 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$893,674 10 96,95% 34 MARITONO 10,467 96 9.2 21 14.0 49.1 \$122,839 46 29.67% 60 MILWAUKEE 72,429 1257 17.4 4 \$22,81% 13 92,85% 13 MARITONO 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$893,674 10 96,95% 35 96,97% 40 97,97% 30 97,97% 30 97,97% 30 97,97% 30 97,97% 30 97,95% 30 97	JACKSON	1,483		7.4	37	\$114,862	50	69.39%	29
KENDSHA 10.597 143 13.5 11 \$1.375,029 6 50,70% 44 KEMAUNEE 1,795 4 2.2 65.5 \$69,245 58 75.04% 25 LARGOSSE 7,237 75 10.4 18 \$703,141 14 58.35% 38 LARGATETTE 1,439 2 1.4 70 \$16,825 68 25.36% 65 LARGATHON 10,467 22 23 8.9 27 \$274,563 29 79.4% 19 MARITOMOC 6,865 43 6.3 46.5 \$860,912 11 146,65% 2 MARTHON 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$893,674 10 63.59% 34 MARTHONITE 3,520 21 6.0 49.1 \$227,332 32 53.74% 41 MARTOMITE 1,036 3 2.9 61 \$12,308 69 13.56% 69 MEROMINEE		5,790				\$318,317	27		40
KEHANINE 1,795 4 2,2 65,5 \$69,245 58 75,04% 25 LARROSSE 7,237 75 10.4 18 \$703,141 14 58,35% 38 LARGROSSE 7,237 75 10.4 70 \$16,825 68 25,36% 65 LARGROSSE 2,572 23 8,9 27 \$274,563 28 83,76% 15 LINCOLN 2,572 23 8,9 27 \$274,563 29 79,94% 19 HAMITOMO 6,85 43 6,3 46,5 \$893,674 10 63,95% 34 MARINETTE 1,036 3 2,9 41 \$12,208 69 13,96% 69 PERKONINE 432 17 39,4 1 \$12,308 69 13,96% 69 MILWAUKEE 72,429 1257 17,4 4 \$24,979,244 1 92,58% 13 MONROE 3,380 <th< td=""><td></td><td>1,925</td><td>143</td><td>13.5</td><td></td><td>\$425,293 \$1 375 029</td><td></td><td></td><td>44</td></th<>		1,925	143	13.5		\$425,293 \$1 375 029			44
LACROSSE 7,237 75 10.4 18 \$703,141 14 \$8.35% 38 LAFAYETTE 1,439 2 1.1.4 70 \$16,825 68 £3.36% 65 LANGLADE 1,811 21 11.6 12 \$276,943 28 £3.76% 15 LINCOLN 2,572 23 8.9 27 \$274,563 28 £3.76% 15 LINCOLN 2,572 23 8.9 27 \$274,563 29 79,94% 19 MARITOWOC 6,865 43 6.3 46.5 \$860,912 11 166,65% 2 MARATHON 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$800,912 11 166,65% 2 MARATHON 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$800,912 11 166,65% 2 MARATHON 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$800,912 11 166,65% 2 MARATHON 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$803,674 10 63.95% 34 41 \$12,308 69 13.96% 69 MENONINEE 1,036 3 2.9 61 \$12,308 69 13.96% 69 MENONINEE 432 17 39.4 1 \$129,839 46 29.87% 60 MILWAUKE 72,429 1257 17.4 4 \$224,979,244 1 92.58% 13 MONROE 3,380 19 5.6 53 \$4177,394 38 29.11% 62 COCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$4.5 \$4177,394 38 29.11% 62 COCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$4.5 \$4177,394 38 29.11% 62 COCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$4.5 \$4173,594 38 29.11% 62 COCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$4.5 \$4173,594 38 29.11% 62 COCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$4.5 \$4173,594 38 29.11% 62 COCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$4.5 \$4173,594 38 29.11% 62 COCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$4.5 \$4173,594 38 29.11% 62 COCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$4.5 \$4173,594 38 29.11% 62 COCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$4.5 \$4173,594 38 29.11% 62 COCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4173,594 38 29.11% 62 COCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4173,594 38 29.11% 62 COCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4173,594 38 29.11% 62 COCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4173,594 38 29.11% 62 COCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$4.5 \$4173,594 38 29.11% 62 COCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4173,594 38 29.11% 62 COCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4173,594 38 29.11% 62 COCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4173,594 38 29.11% 62 COCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4173,594 38 29.11% 62 COCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4173,594 38 29.11% 62 COCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4.5 \$4.5	KEWAUNEE	1,795					58	75.04%	25
LANGLADE 1,811 21 11.6 12 \$276,943 28 83.76% 15 LINCOLN 2,572 23 8.9 27 \$274,563 29 79.94% 19 MANITOWOC 6,865 43 6.3 46.5 \$860,912 11 146,65% 2 MARATHON 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$893,674 10 63.95% 34 MARINETTE 3,520 21 6.0 49.1 \$227,332 32 53.74% 41 MARQUETTE 1,036 3 2.9 61 \$12,308 69 13.96% 69 MENOMINEE 432 17 39.4 1 \$129,839 46 29.87% 60 MILWAUKE 72,429 1257 17.4 4 \$24,979,244 1 92.58% 13 MONROE 3,380 19 5.6 53 \$24,77,394 38 29.11% 62 COUNTO 2,705 14 5.2 \$45.5 \$113,655 51 37.83% 54 ONEIDA 2,427 12 8.8 7 29 \$333,676 24 72.31% 26 OUTAGAMIE 12,202 92 77.5 36 \$1,166,665 7 78.30% 22 OZAUKEE 6,297 32 5.1 56.5 \$45.6 00 19 79.75% 20 OVERLAM 12,202 92 77.5 36 \$1,166,665 7 78.30% 22 OZAUKEE 6,297 32 5.1 56.5 \$45.6 00 19 79.75% 20 OVERLAM 13,208 21 6.5 44 \$977,213 54 26.92% 63 PORTAGAM 4 1,512 14 9.9 21 55.1 56.5 \$45.6 00 71.5 0.00% 71.5 PORTAGAM 4 1,512 14 9.9 21 55.1 56.5 \$45.6 00 19 79.75% 20 OVERLAM 5 14 512 14 9.9 21 55.1 56.5 \$45.6 00 19 79.75% 20 OVERLAM 5 14 512 14 9.9 21 55.1 125 64 41.39% 51 64 64 11.39% 51 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64	LACROSSE	7,237	75	10.4	18	\$703,141	14	58.35%	38
LINCOLN 2,572 23 8.9 27 \$27,563 29 79,94x 19 MANATTOWOC 6,865 43 6.3 6.3 6.3 6.5 8860,912 11 146,655 2 MARATHON 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$880,912 11 146,655 2 MARATHON 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$880,912 11 146,655 2 MARATHON 10,467 96 9.2 25.5 \$880,912 10 6.0 6,913,96x 34 41 MARQUETTE 1,036 3 2.9 61 \$12,308 69 13,96x 69 13,96x 69 MILWAUKEE 72,429 1257 17.4 4 \$24,979,244 1 92,58x 43 MONROE 3,380 19 5.6 53 \$117,394 38 29,11x 62 OCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 54.5 \$113,655 51 37,88x 54 ONEIDA ONEIDA 0,2,427 21 8.7 29 \$333,676 24 72,31x 26 OUTAGARIE 12,202 92 7.5 36 \$1,146,665 7 78,30x 22 OZAUKEE 6,297 32 5.1 56.5 \$456,040 19 79,75x 20 PEPIN 668 0 0.0 71.5 \$168 \$26 \$3,208 \$21 6.5 44 \$97,125 \$37,125 \$45 \$50,000 71.5 \$168 \$17,125		1,439	2				68 20	25.36%	65 15
MANITOMOC 6,865 43 6.3 46.5 \$880,912 11 146,65% 2		2.572	23			\$274.563	29		19
MARINETTE 3,520 21 6.0 49.1 \$227,332 32 53.74x 41 MARQUETTE 1,036 3 2.9 61 \$12,308 69 13.96x 69 MENOMINEE 432 17 39.4 1 \$129,839 46 29.87x 60 MILMAUKEE 72,429 1257 17.4 4 \$24,979,244 1 92.58x 13 MONROE 3,380 19 5.6 53 \$177,394 38 22,11% 62 OCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 54.5 \$113,655 51 37,63x 54 ONEIDA 2,427 21 8.7 29 \$333,676 24 72.31% 26 OUTAGAMIE 12,202 92 7.5 36 \$1,146,665 7 78.30x 22 OZAUKEE 6,297 32 5.1 56.5 \$456,040 19 79,75% 20 PEPIN 668 0 0 0.0 71.5 \$0 71.5 \$0 77.5 20 PEPIN 668 0 0 0.0 71.5 \$0 71.5 \$0 0.00x 71.5 POIK 3,208 21 6.5 44 \$139,226 45 50.08x 46 POIK 3,208 21 6.5 44 \$97,213 54 26.92X 63 POIK 4,959 34 6.9 40 \$160,042 41 35.65% 56 PRICE 1,412 14 9.9 21 \$51,125 64 41.39x 51 RACINE 15,130 235 15.5 7 \$2,240,885 3 60.14% 35. RICHLAND 1,539 8 5.2 54.5 \$62,025 60 76.22X 24 RCCK 11,947 176 14.7 8 \$2,002,004 4 66.91% 31 RUSK 1,412 9 6.4 45 \$33,422 65 17.42X 68 SAUK 4,038 27 6.7 42 \$156,160 42 40.30x 52 SAUYER 1,203 32 26.6 3 \$261,263 31 139,79x 3 SHAMAND 3,301 35 10.6 17 \$152,020 43 32.84X 57 SHEBOYGAN 9,146 60 6.6 43 \$556,029 17 49,59x 48 ST. CROIX 4,725 11 2.3 64 \$421,564 20 82.20X 17 TATLOR 1,798 15 8.3 32 \$58,619 61 30.03X 59 SHAMAND 3,301 35 10.6 17 \$152,020 43 32.84X 57 TATLOR 1,798 15 8.3 32 \$58,619 61 30.03X 59 SHAMINGTON 9,146 60 6.6 43 \$556,029 17 49,59x 48 ST. CROIX 4,725 11 2.3 64 \$421,564 20 82.20X 17 TATLOR 1,798 15 8.3 32 \$58,619 61 30.03X 59 SHAMINGTON 9,146 60 6.6 45 \$533,617 25 46.81X 49 VENNON 1,265 33 50.2 55.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44X 18 MAUSHARA 1,569 23 55.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44X 18 MAUSHARA 1,608 22 15.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44X 18 MAUSHARA 1,608 22 15.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44X 18 MAUSHARA 1,608 22 15.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44X 18 MAUSHARA 1,608 22 15.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44X 18 MAUSHARA 1,608 22 15.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44X 18 MAUSHARA 1,608 22 15.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44X 18 MAUSHARA 1,608 22 15.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44X 18 MAUSHARA 1,608 22 15.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44X 18 MAUSHARA 1,608 22 15.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44X 18 MAUSHARA 1,608 22 15.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44X 18 MAUSHARA 1,608 22 15.7 52 \$356,663 21 50	MANITOWOC	6,865	43	6.3	46.5	\$860,912	11	146,65%	2
MARQUETTE			96				10	63.95%	34
MENOMINEE		3,320	41	2.0			32 60	13 064	
MILWAUKEE 72,429 1257 17.4 4 \$22,979,244 1 92,58% 13 MONROE 3.380 19 5.6 53 \$177.394 38 29.11% 62 OCONTO 2.705 14 5.2 54.5 \$113,655 51 37.83% 54 ONEIDA 2.427 21 8.7 29 \$333,676 24 72.31% 26 OXITAGAMIE 12,202 92 7.5 36 \$1,146,665 7 78.30% 22 OZAUKEE 6.297 32 5.1 56.5 \$456,040 19 79.75% 20 PEPIN 688 0 0.0 71.5 \$0 71.5 0.00% 71.5 PIERCE 2.777 19 6.8 41 \$139,226 45 50.08% 46 POLK 3.208 21 6.5 44 \$97,213 54 26.92% 63 PORTAGE 4,959 34 6.9 40 \$160,942 41 35.65% 56 PRICE 1,412 14 9.9 21 \$51,125 64 41.39% 51 ARACHINE 15,130 235 15.5 7 \$2,240,885 3 60.14% 36 RICHLAND 1,539 8 5.2 54.5 \$62,025 60 76.22% 24 ROCK 11,947 176 14.7 8 \$208,208 4 66,91% 31 RUSK 1,412 99 6.4 45 \$38,422 65 17.42% 68 SAUK 4,038 27 6.7 42 \$156,160 42 40.30% 52 SAUYER 1,203 32 26.6 3 \$261,263 31 139.79% 3 SHAMANO 3,301 35 10.6 17 \$152,020 43 32.84% 57 TRAYLOR 1,203 36 12.5 8 33 2 \$26.6 3 \$261,263 31 139.79% 3 SHAMANO 3,301 35 10.6 17 \$152,020 43 32.84% 57 TRAYLOR 1,708 15 8.3 32 \$256,60 77,708 15 8.3 32 \$26.6 20 77 \$2.200 43 32.84% 57 TRAYLOR 1,708 15 8.3 32 \$256,029 17 49.55% 48 \$1.7425 11 2.3 64 \$421,564 20 82.20% 17 TAYLOR 1,708 15 8.3 32 \$586,619 61 30.03% 59 TREMPEALEAU 2,177 13 6.0 49.1 \$109,91 52 89.05% 14 WERNON 2,269 11 4.8 59 \$204,729 34 107.00% 9 TREMPEALEAU 2,177 13 6.0 49.1 \$109,91 52 89.05% 14 WERNON 2,269 11 4.8 59 \$204,729 34 107.00% 9 TREMPEALEAU 2,177 13 6.0 49.1 \$109,91 52 89.05% 14 WERNON 2,269 11 4.8 59 \$204,729 34 107.00% 9 TREMPEALEAU 2,177 13 6.0 49.1 \$109,91 52 89.05% 14 WERNON 2,269 11 4.8 59 \$204,729 34 107.00% 9 TREMPEALEAU 2,177 13 6.0 49.1 \$109,91 52 89.05% 14 WERNON 2,269 11 4.8 59 \$324,729 34 107.00% 9 TREMPEALEAU 2,177 15 6.3 46.5 \$75,721 13 69.85% 28 MAUKESHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45% 61 MAUPACA 4,059 23 57 52 \$356,663 21 80.44% 18 MAURSHAR 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 70.1,500 55 59.62% 37 TOTAL 403,370 3,920 9.7 \$\$52,548,612 71.88%		432	17	39.4	i	\$129,839	46	29.87%	.60
OCONTO 2,705 14 5.2 54.5 \$113,655 \$1 37,83% 54 ONEIDA 2,427 21 8.7 29 \$333,676 24 72.31% 26 OUTAGAMIE 12,202 92 7.5 36 \$1,146,665 7 78.30% 22 DEPIN 668 0 0.0 71.5 \$0.007 71.5 0.00% 71.5 PIERCE 2,777 19 6.8 41 \$139,226 45 50,08% 46 POLK 3,208 21 6.5 44 \$97,213 54 26,92% 63 PORTAGE 4,959 34 6.9 40 \$160,942 41 35,65% 56 PRICE 1,412 14 9.9 21 \$\$1,125 64 41,39% 51 RACINE 15,130 235 15.5 7 \$2,240,885 3 60.14% 36 RILLAND 1,539 8 <t< td=""><td></td><td>72,429</td><td>1257</td><td></td><td></td><td>\$24,979,244</td><td></td><td>92.58%</td><td>13</td></t<>		72,429	1257			\$24,979,244		92.58%	13
ONEIDA 2,427 21 8.7 29 \$333,676 24 72.31% 26 OUTAGAMIE 12,202 92 7.5 36 \$1,146,665 7 78.30% 22 OZAUKEE 6,297 32 5.1 56.5 \$456,040 19 79.75% 20 PEPIN 668 0 0.0 71.5 \$50 71.5 0.00% 71.5 PIERCE 2,777 19 6.8 41 \$139,226 45 50.08% 46 POLK 3,208 21 6.5 44 \$97,213 54 26,92% 63 POLK 3,208 21 6.5 44 \$97,213 54 26,92% 63 POLK 3,208 21 40 \$160,942 41 35.65% 56 PRICE 1,412 14 9.9 21 \$51,125 64 41.39% 51 RACK 11,947 176 14.7 8		3,380					<u>38</u>		
OUTAGAMIE 12,202 92 7.5 36 \$1,146,665 7 78.30% 22 OZAUKEE 6,297 32 5.1 56.5 \$456,040 19 79.75% 20 PEPIN 688 0 0.0 71.5 \$0.00% 71.5 0.00% 71.5 PIERCE 2,777 19 6.8 41 \$139,226 45 50.08% 46 POLK 3,208 21 6.8 41 \$139,226 45 50.08% 46 PORTAGE 4,959 34 6.9 40 \$160,942 41 35.65% 56 PRICE 1,412 14 9.9 21 \$51,125 64 41,33% 51 RACINE 15,130 235 15.5 7 \$2,240,885 3 60.14% 36 RICHAND 1,539 8 5.2 54.5 \$62,025 60 76.22% 24 ROCK 11,947 176		2,703		8.7		\$333.676		72.31%	
PEPIN 668 0 0.0 71.5 \$0.00x 71.5 0.00x 71.5 PIERCE 2.777 19 6.8 41 \$139.226 45 50.08x 46 POLK 3.208 21 6.5 44 \$97.213 54 26.92x 63 PORTAGE 4,959 34 6.9 40 \$160,942 41 35.65x 56 PRICE 1,412 14 9.9 21 \$51,125 64 41.39x 51 RACINE 15,130 235 15.5 7 \$2,240,885 3 60.14x 36 RICHLAND 1,539 8 5.2 54.5 \$62,025 60 76.22x 24 ROCK 11.947 176 14.7 8 \$2.082.084 4 66,91x 31 RUSK 1,412 9 6.4 45 \$38,422 65 17.42x 68 SAUK 4,038 27 6.7 42 \$156,160 42 40.30x 52 SAWYER 1,203 32 26.6 3 \$261,263 31 139.79x 3 SHAWAND 3,301 35 10.6 17 \$152,020 43 32.84x 57 SHEBOYGAN 9,146 660 6.6 43 \$556,029 17 49.59x 48 ST. CROIX 4,725 11 2.3 64 \$421,564 20 82.20x 17 TAYLOR 1,798 15 8.3 32 \$58,619 61 30.03x 59 TREMPEALEAU 2,177 13 6.0 49.1 \$109.991 52 89.05x 14 VERNON 2,269 11 4.8 59 \$204,729 34 107.00x 9 VILAS 1,663 36 28.5 2 \$332,432 66 134,90x 4 WASHBURN 1,180 19 16.1 6 \$127.831 47 79.14x 21 MASHBURN 1,180 19 16.1 6 \$127.831 47 79.14x 21 MASHBURN 1,180 19 16.1 6 \$127.831 47 79.14x 21 MASHBURN 1,180 19 16.1 6 \$127.831 47 79.14x 21 MASHBURN 1,180 19 16.1 6 \$127.831 47 79.14x 21 MASHBURN 1,180 19 16.1 6 \$127.831 37 79.10x 23 MANUESHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45x 61 MAUPACA 4,059 23 5.7 62 \$332,155 5 107.70x 8 MOOO 6,485 53 8.2 33 \$5701,500 15 59.62x 37 MINNEBAGO 10,094 169 16.7 5 \$1,423,551 5 107.70x 8 MOOO 6,485 53 8.2 33 \$5701,500 15 59.62x 37		12,202	. 92	7.5	36	\$1,146,665	. 7	78.30%	22
PIERCE 2,777 19 6.8 41 \$139,226 45 50.08% 46 POLK 3,208 21 6.5 44 \$97,213 54 26.92% 63 PORTAGE 4,959 34 6.9 40 \$160,942 41 35.65% 56 PRICE 1,412 14 9.9 21 \$51,125 64 41.39% 51 RACINE 15,130 235 15.5 7 \$2,240,885 3 60.14% 36 RICHLAND 1,539 8 5.2 54.5 \$62,025 60 76.22% 24 ROCK 11,947 176 14.7 8 \$2,082,084 4 66,91% 31 RUSK 1,412 9 6.4 45 \$38,422 65 17.42% 68 SAUK 4,038 27 6.7 42 \$156,160 42 40.30% 52 SHAWANO 3,301 35 10.6 </td <td></td> <td>6,297</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>79.75%</td> <td>20</td>		6,297						79.75%	20
POLK 3,208 21 6.5 44 \$97,213 54 26.92X 63 PORTAGE 4,959 34 6.9 40 \$160,942 41 35.65X 56 PRICE 1,412 14 9.9 21 \$51,125 64 41.39X 51 RCIB 15,130 235 15.5 7 \$2,240,885 3 60.14X 36 RICHLAND 1,539 8 5.2 54.5 \$62,025 60 76.22X 24 ROCK 11.947 176 14.7 8 \$2,082,084 4 66,91X 31 RUSK 1,412 9 6.4 45 \$38,622 65 17.42X 68 SAUK 4,038 27 6.7 42 \$156,160 42 40.30X 52 SAWYER 1,203 32 26.6 3 \$261,263 31 139.79X 3 SHABANDO 3,301 35 10.6 <td></td> <td>668 2 777</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>71.5 /5</td> <td></td> <td></td>		668 2 777					71.5 /5		
PORTAGE 4,959 34 6.9 40 \$160,942 41 35.65% 56 PRICE 1,412 14 9.9 21 \$51,125 64 41.39% 51 RACINE 15,130 235 15.5 7 \$2,240,885 3 60.14% 36 RICHLAND 1,539 8 5.2 54.5 \$62,025 60 76.22% 24 ROCK 11,947 176 14.7 8 \$2,082,084 4 66.91% 31 RUSK 1,412 9 6.4 45 \$38,422 65 17.42% 68 SAUK 4,038 27 6.7 42 \$156,160 42 40.30% 52 SAWYER 1,203 32 26.6 3 \$261,263 31 139.79% 3 SHAWANO 3,301 35 10.6 17 \$152,020 43 32.84% 57 SHEBOYGAN 9,146 60 6.6 43 \$556,029 17 49.59% 48 ST. CROIX 4,725 11 2.3 64 \$421,564 20 82.20% 17 TAYLOR 1,798 15 8.3 32 \$58,619 61 30.03% 59 TREMPEALEAU 2,177 13 6.0 49.1 \$109,991 52 89.05% 14 VERNON 2,269 11 4.8 59 \$204,729 34 107.00% 9 VILAS 1,263 36 28.5 2 \$332,134 26 134.90% 4 WALWORTH 5,682 33 5.8 51 \$333,617 25 46.81% 49 WASHBURN 1,180 19 16.1 6 \$127,881 47 79.14% 21 WASHBURN 1,180 19 16.1 6 \$127,881 47 79.14% 21 WASHINGTON 8,716 55 6.3 46.5 \$745,721 13 69.85% 28 WAUKESHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45% 61 WAUKESHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45% 61 WAUKESHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45% 61 WAUKESHA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WINNEBAGO 10,094 169 16.7 5 \$1,423,551 5 107.70% 8 WOOD 6,485 53 8.2 33 \$701,500 15 59.62% 37 TOTAL 403,370 3,920 9.7 \$52,548,612 71.88%						\$97.213			
RACINE 15,130 235 15.5 7 \$2,240,885 3 60.14% 36 RICHLAND 1,539 8 5.2 54.5 \$62,025 60 76.22% 24 ROCK 11,947 176 14.7 8 \$2,082,084 4 66.91% 31 SAUK 1,412 9 6.4 45 \$38,422 65 17.42% 68 SAUK 4,038 27 6.7 42 \$156,160 42 40.30% 52 SAUK 4,038 27 6.7 42 \$156,160 42 40.30% 52 SAUK 4,038 27 6.7 42 \$156,160 42 40.30% 52 SAUK 57 SHAWAND 3,301 35 10.6 17 \$152,020 43 32.84% 57 SHAWAND 3,301 35 10.6 17 \$152,020 43 32.84% 57 SHEBOYGAN 9,146 60 6.6 43 \$556,029 17 49.59% 48 ST. CROIX 4,725 11 2.3 64 \$421,564 20 82.20% 17 TAYLOR 1,798 15 8.3 32 \$58,619 61 30.03% 59 TREMPEALEAU 2,177 13 6.0 49.1 \$109,991 52 89.05% 14 VERNON 2,269 11 4.8 59 \$204,729 34 107.00% 9 VILAS 1,263 36 28.5 2 \$332,134 26 134.90% 4 WALWORTH 5,682 33 5.8 51 \$333,617 25 46.81% 49 WASHINGTON 8,716 55 6.3 46.5 \$745,721 13 69.85% 28 WASHINGTON 8,716 55 6.3 46.5 \$745,721 13 69.85% 28 WASHINGTON 8,716 55 6.3 46.5 \$745,721 13 69.85% 28 WASHINGTON 8,716 55 6.3 46.5 \$745,721 13 69.85% 28 WASHINGTON 8,716 55 6.3 46.5 \$745,721 13 69.85% 28 WAUKESHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45% 61 WAUKESHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45% 61 WAUKESHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45% 61 WAUKESHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45% 61 WAUKESHA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WAUKESHA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WAUKESHA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WAUKESHA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WAUKESHA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WAUKESHA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WAUKESHA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WAUKESHA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WAUKESHA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WAUKESHA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WAUKESHA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WAUKESHA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WAUKESHA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WAUKESHA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WAUKESHA 2,609 46.85 53 8.2 33 \$701,500 15 59.62% 37		4,959	34	6.9	40	\$160,942	41	35.65%	56
RICHLAND ROCK 11,947 176 14.7 8 \$\$2,082,084 4 66,917 31 RUSK 11,412 9 6.4 45 \$38,422 65 17.427 68 \$AUK \$AUK 4,038 27 6.7 42 \$156,160 42 40.307 52 \$SAWYER 1,203 32 26.6 3 \$261,263 31 139.777 3 \$SHAWANO 3,301 35 10.6 17 \$152,020 43 32.847 57 \$SHEBOYGAN 9,146 60 6.6 43 \$556,029 17 49.597 48 \$ST. CROIX 4,725 11 2.3 64 \$4(21,564 20 82,207 17 TAYLOR 1,798 15 8.3 32 \$58,619 61 30.037 59 TREMPEALEAU 2,177 13 6.0 49.1 \$109,991 52 89.057 14 VERNON 2,269 11 4.8 59 \$204,729 34 107.007 9 VILAS 1,263 36 28.5 2 \$332,134 26 134,907 4 WASHINGTON 8,716 55 6.3 46.5 \$745,721 13 69.857 28 WAUKACA 4,059 23 55.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.447 18 WAUKESHAA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.457 61 WAUKESHAA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$\$185,513 37 78.077 23 WINNEBAGO 10,094 169 16.7 5 \$1,423,551 5 107.707 8 8 \$20,828 4 4 66,917 31 4 66,6917 31 4 66,6917 31 4 66,6917 31 4 66,6917 31 4 66,6917 31 4 4 66,917 31 4 4 66,917 31 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 4 4 4 4 4 5 4 4 4 4 5 4		1,412	14	9.9		\$51,125			
ROCK 11,947 176 14.7 8 \$2,082,084 4 66,91% 31 RUSK 1,412 9 6.4 45 \$38,422 65 17.42% 68 SAUK 4,038 27 6.7 42 \$156,160 42 40.30% 52 SAWYER 1,203 32 26.6 3 \$261,263 31 139.79% 3 SHAWANO 3,301 35 10.6 17 \$152,020 43 32.84% 57 SHEBOYGAN 9,146 60 6.6 43 \$556,029 17 49.59% 48 ST. CROIX 4,725 11 2.3 64 \$421,564 20 82,20% 17 TAYLOR 1,798 15 8.3 32 \$58,619 61 30.03% 59 TREMPEALEAU 2,177 13 6.0 49.1 \$109,991 52 89.05% 14 VERNON 2,269 11 4.8 59 \$204,729 34 107.00% 9 VILAS 1,263 36 28.5 2 \$332,134 26 134,90% 4 WALWORTH 5,682 33 5.8 51 \$333,617 25 46.81% 49 WASHBURN 1,180 19 16.1 6 \$127,831 47 79.14% 21 WASHINGTON 8,716 55 6.3 46.5 \$745,721 13 69.85% 28 WAUKESHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45% 61 WAUPACA 4,059 23 5.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44% 18 WAUSHARA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WINNEBAGO 10,094 169 16.7 5 \$1,423,551 5 107.70% 8 WOOO 6,485 53 8.2 33 \$701,500 15 59.62% 37 TOTAL 403,370 3,920 9.7 \$52,548,612		15,150		15.5		⊅ ∠,∠4∪,885 € ⋌2 ∩25			
RUSK 1,412 9 6.4 45 \$38,422 65 17.42% 68 SAUK 4,038 27 6.7 42 \$156,160 42 40.30% 52 SAUYER 1,203 32 26.6 3 \$261,263 31 139.79% 3 SHAWAND 3,301 35 10.6 17 \$152,020 43 32.84% 57 SHEBOYGAN 9,146 60 6.6 43 \$556,029 17 49.59% 48 ST. CROIX 4,725 11 2.3 64 \$421,564 20 82,20% 17 TAYLOR 1,798 15 8.3 32 \$58,619 61 30.03% 59 TREMPEALEAU 2,177 13 6.0 49.1 \$109,991 52 89.05% 14 VERNON 2,269 11 4.8 59 \$204,729 34 107.00% 9 VILAS 1,263 36 28.5 2 \$332,134 26 134,90% 4 WALWORTH 5,682 33 5.8 51 \$333,617 25 46.81% 49 MASHBURN 1,180 19 16.1 6 \$127.831 47 79,14% 21 WASHINGTON 8,716 55 6.3 46.5 \$745,721 13 69.85% 28 MAUKESHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45% 61 WALWARDACA 4,059 23 5.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44% 18 WALWARDACA 4,059 23 5.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44% 31 80.44% 18 WALWARDACA 4,059 23 5.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44% 31		11.947			8		4		31
SAWYER 1,203 32 26.6 3 \$261,263 31 139.79% 3 SHAWANO 3,301 35 10.6 17 \$152,020 43 32.84% 57 SHEBOYGAN 9,146 60 6.6 43 \$556,029 17 49.59% 48 ST. CROIX 4,725 11 2.3 64 \$421,564 20 82,20% 17 TAYLOR 1,798 15 8.3 32 \$58,619 61 30.03% 59 TREMPEALEAU 2,177 13 6.0 49.1 \$109,991 52 89.05% 14 VERNON 2,269 11 4.8 59 \$204,729 34 107.00% 9 VILAS 1,263 36 28.5 2 \$332,134 26 134.90% 4 AMALWORTH 5,682 33 5.8 51 \$333,617 25 46.81% 49 MALWORTH 5,682 33 5.8 51 \$333,617 25 46.81% 49 MASHBURN 1,180 19 16.1 6 \$127.881 47 79.14% 21 WASHINGTON 8,716 55 6.3 46.5 \$745,721 13 69.85% 28 WAUKESHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45% 61 WAUPACA 4,059 23 5.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44% 18 WAUPACA 4,059 23 5.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44% 18 WAUSHARA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WINNEBAGO 10,094 169 16.7 5 \$1,423,551 5 107.70% 8 WOOO 6,485 53 8.2 33 \$701,500 15 59.62% 37	RUSK	1,412	9	6.4	45	\$38,422	65	17.42%	68
SHAWANO 3,301 35 10.6 17 \$152,020 43 32.84% 57 SHEBOYGAN 9,146 60 6.6 43 \$556,029 17 49.59% 48 ST. CROIX 4,725 11 2.3 64 \$421,564 20 82,20% 17 TAYLOR 1,798 15 8.3 32 \$58,619 61 30.03% 59 TREMPEALEAU 2,177 13 6.0 49.1 \$109,991 52 89.05% 14 VERNON 2,269 11 4.8 59 \$204,729 34 107.00% 9 VILAS 1,263 36 28.5 2 \$332,134 26 134,90% 4 WALWORTH 5,682 33 5.8 51 \$333,617 25 46.81% 49 HASHBURN 1,180 19 16.1 6 \$127.881 47 79.14% 21 WASHINGTON 8,716 55 6.3 46.5 \$745,721 13 69.85% 28 WAUKESHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45% 61 WAUSHARA 1,608 22		4,038	27		42		42		52
SHEBOYGAN 9,146 60 6.6 43 \$556,029 17 49.59% 48 ST. CROIX 4,725 11 2.3 64 \$421,564 20 82,20% 17 TAYLOR 1,798 15 8.3 32 \$58,619 61 30.03% 59 TREMPEALEAU 2,177 13 6.0 49.1 \$109,991 52 89.05% 14 VERNON 2,269 11 4.8 59 \$204,729 34 107.00% 9 VILAS 1,263 36 28.5 2 \$332,134 26 134.90% 4 WALWORTH 5,682 33 5.8 51 \$333,617 25 46.81% 49 MASHBURN 1.180 19 16.1 6 \$127.881 47 79.14% 21 WAUKESHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45% 61 WAUSHARA 1,608 22 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>32 35</td> <td></td> <td>3 17</td> <td></td> <td>31 43</td> <td></td> <td>5 57</td>			32 35		3 17		31 43		5 57
ST. CROIX 4,725 11 2.3 64 \$421,564 20 82,20% 17 TAYLOR 1,798 15 8.3 32 \$58,619 61 30.03% 59 TREMPEALEAU 2,177 13 6.0 49.1 \$109,991 52 89.05% 14 VERNON 2,269 11 4.8 59 \$204,729 34 107.00% 9 VILAS 1,263 36 28.5 2 \$332,134 26 134.90% 4 WALWORTH 5,682 33 5.8 51 \$333,617 25 46.81% 49 MASHBURN 1.180 19 16.1 6 \$127.831 47 79.14% 21 WASHINGTON 8,716 55 6.3 46.5 \$745,721 13 69.85% 28 WAUKESHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45% 61 WAUSHARA 1,608 2		9,146	60		43	\$556,029	17		
TREMPEALEAU 2,177 13 6.0 49.1 \$109,991 52 89.05% 14 VERNON 2,269 11 4.8 59 \$204,729 34 107.00% 9 VILAS 1,263 36 28.5 2 \$332,134 26 134.90% 4 WALWORTH 5,682 33 5.8 51 \$333,617 25 46.81% 49 WASHBURN 1.180 19 16.1 6 \$127.881 47 79.14% 21 WASHINGTON 8,716 55 6.3 46.5 \$745,721 13 69.85% 28 WAUKESHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45% 61 WAUPACA 4,059 23 5.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44% 18 WAUSHARA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WINNEBAGO 10,094 169 16.7 5 \$1,423,551 5 107.70% 8 WOOD 6,485 53 8.2 33 \$701,500 15 59.62% 37	ST. CROIX	4,725	11	2.3	64	\$421,564	20	82.20%	17
VERNON 2,269 11 4.8 59 \$204,729 34 107.00% 9 VILAS 1,263 36 28.5 2 \$332,134 26 134.90% 4 WALWORTH 5,682 33 5.8 51 \$333,617 25 46.81% 49 WASHBURN 1.180 19 16.1 6 \$127.881 47 79.14% 21 WASHINGTON 8,716 55 6.3 46.5 \$745,721 13 69.85% 28 WAUKESHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45% 61 WAUPACA 4,059 23 5.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44% 18 WAUSHARA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WOOD 6,485 53 8.2 33 \$701,500 15 59.62% 37 TOTAL 403,370 3,920 <td></td> <td>1,798</td> <td>15 17</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>61</td> <td></td> <td></td>		1,798	15 17				61		
VILAS 1,263 36 28.5 2 \$332,134 26 134.90% 4 WALWORTH 5,682 33 5.8 51 \$333,617 25 46.81% 49 WASHBURN 1.180 19 16.1 6 \$127.881 47 79.14% 21 WASHINGTON 8,716 55 6.3 46.5 \$745,721 13 69.85% 28 WAUKESHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45% 61 WAUPACA 4,059 23 5.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44% 18 WAUSHARA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WINNEBAGO 10,094 169 16.7 5 \$1,423,551 5 107.70% 8 WOOD 6,485 53 8.2 33 \$701,500 15 59.62% 37 TOTAL 403,370 3,9		2.260	11				34		
WALWORTH 5,682 33 5.8 51 \$333,617 25 46.81% 49 WASHBURN 1.180 19 16.1 6 \$127,831 47 79.14% 21 WASHINGTON 8,716 55 6.3 46.5 \$745,721 13 69.85% 28 WAUKSHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45% 61 WAUPACA 4,059 23 5.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44% 18 WAUSHARA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WINNEBAGO 10,094 169 16.7 5 \$1,423,551 5 107.70% 8 WOOD 6,485 53 8.2 33 \$701,500 15 59.62% 37 TOTAL 403,370 3,920 9.7 \$52,548,612 71.88%		1,263	36	28.5	2	\$332,134	26 ⋅		4
WASHINGTON 8,716 55 6.3 46.5 \$745,721 13 69.85% 28 WAUKESHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45% 61 WAUPACA 4,059 23 5.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44% 18 WAUSHARA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WINNEBAGO 10,094 169 16.7 5 \$1,423,551 5 107.70% 8 WOOD 6,485 53 8.2 33 \$701,500 15 59.62% 37 TOTAL 403,370 3,920 9.7 \$52,548,612 71.88%	WALWORTH	5,682	33	5.8		\$333,617	25	46.81%	49
WAUKESHA 27,917 57 2.0 67 \$934,096 8 29.45% 61 WAUPACA 4,059 23 5.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44% 18 WAUSHARA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WINNEBAGO 10,094 169 16.7 5 \$1,423,551 5 107.70% 8 WOOD 6,485 53 8.2 33 \$701,500 15 59.62% 37 TOTAL 403,370 3,920 9.7 \$52,548,612 71.88%			19				17		
WAUPACA 4,059 23 5.7 52 \$356,663 21 80.44% 18 WAUSHARA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 WINNEBAGO 10,094 169 16.7 5 \$1,423,551 5 107.70% 8 WOOD 6,485 53 8.2 33 \$701,500 15 59.62% 37 TOTAL 403,370 3,920 9.7 \$52,548,612 71.88%		27,917	57	2.0	67		8		
WAUSHARA 1,608 22 13.7 10 \$185,513 37 78.07% 23 10,094 169 16.7 5 \$1,423,551 5 107.70% 8 WOOD 6,485 53 8.2 33 \$701,500 15 59.62% 37 TOTAL 403,370 3,920 9.7 \$52,548,612 71.88%		4,059	23	5.7	52	\$356,663	21	80.44%	18
WOOD 6,485 53 8.2 33 \$701,500 15 59.62% 37 TOTAL 403,370 3,920 9.7 \$52,548,612 71.88%	WAUSHARA	1,608	22	13.7	10	\$185,513	37	78.07%	23
TOTAL 403,370 3,920 9.7 \$52,548,612 71.88%			169				5 15		
w/o Milwaukee 330,941 2,663 8.0 \$27,569,368 59.77%			, ,	,			<u></u>		31
	w/o Milwaukee	330,941	2,663	8.0		\$27,569,368		59.77%	

TABLE 20: 1990 YOUTH AIDS (YA) FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR IN-HOME COMMUNITY PROGRAMS

This indicator looks only at the portion of Youth Aids left over after all out-of-home placement expenditures have been subtracted. The percent of allocation is ranked from the county with the greatest percent of funds remaining (Rank 1) to the county with the lowest percent (Rank 72). The formula used is:

% of YA
Available = YA Funds Remaining after Out-of-Home Expenditures
for Community Total 1990 YA Allocation
Programs

TABLE 20: 1990 YOUTH AIDS IN EXCESS OF OUT-OF-HOME EXPENDITURES

COUNTY	YA AVAILABLE FOR IN-HOME COMM. PROGRAMS	RANK Most \$ Is # 1	% OF YA AVAIL. FOR COMM. PROGRAMS	RANK HIGHEST % IS # 1
ADAMS	\$91,456	39	44.08%	34
ASHLAND	\$59,433	48	31.96%	43
BARRON	\$303,832	20	79.25%	6
RAYFIELD	\$64,787	47	48.77%	30
BROWN	\$938,116	_7	50.31%	26
BUFFALO	\$27.695	56	55.08%	23
BURNETT	\$118,140 \$1/7,013	36 20	68.68%	15
CALUMET	\$147,912 \$142,105	29 31	59.93% 29.25%	20 46
CLARK	\$72,189	45	17.49%	57
COLUMBIA	\$111,983	38	35.78%	40
CRAWFORD	(\$15,027)	65	(10.26%)	67
DANE	\$2,269,687	1	47.68%	31
DODGE	(\$13,030)	63	(2.29%)	63
DOOR	(\$16,670)	66	(9.61%)	66
DOUGLAS	\$729,953	_8	73.56%	9
DUNN	\$123,873	35	63.01%	18
EAU CLAIRE	\$458.013	13	49.86%	28
FLORENCE	\$47,487	54	100.00%	1.5
FOND DU LAC	\$26,185 \$2,262	57 42	3.00%	61
FOREST	\$2,262 \$181,758	62 28	2.91% 77.58%	62 7
GREEN	\$72,972	43	33.92%	41
GREEN LAKE	(\$59,796)	68 68	(56.10%)	72
IOWA	\$142,937	30	96.47%	3
IRON	. \$16,013	60	39.65%	38
JACKSON	\$50,661	52	30.61%	44
JEFFERSON	\$269,313	22	45.83%	33
JUNEAU	(\$21,824)	67	(10.73%)	68
KENOSHA	\$1,337,115	55	49.30%	29
KEWAUNEE	\$23,027	58	24.96%	48
LACROSSE	\$501,884	11	41.65%	35
LAFAYETTE	\$49,511	53	74.64%	. 8
LANGLADE	\$53,701	49	16.24%	58
LINCOLN	\$68,878	46	20.06%	54
MANITOWOC	(\$273,864) \$503,738	<u>72</u> 10	(46.65%)	<u>71</u> 39
MARATHON	\$503,728 \$195,670	25	36.05% 46.26%	39 32
MARINETTE MARQUETTE	\$75,867	42	86.04%	4
MENOMINEE	\$304,838	19	70.13%	13
MILWAUKEE	\$2,001,139	ž l	7.42%	60
MONROE	\$432,089	14	70,89%	11
OCONTO	\$186,809	26	62.17%	19
ONEIDA	\$127,770	34	27.69%	47
OUTAGAMIE	\$317,873	17	21.70%	51
OZAUKEE	\$115,775	37	20.25%	53
PEPIN	\$53,623	50	100.00%	1.5
PIERCE	\$138,777	32	49.92%	27
POLK	\$263,956	23	73.08%	10
PORTAGE	\$290,552 \$23,705	21	64.35%	17
PRICE	\$72,395 \$1,485,304	44	58.61%	22 37
RACINE RICHLAND	\$1,485,394 \$19,348	4 59	39.86% 23.78%	37 49
ROCK	\$19,348 \$1,029,477	6	33.09%	42
RUSK	\$182,198	27	82.58%	5
SAUK	\$231,376	24	59.70%	21
SAWYER	(\$74,363)	69	(39.79%)	70
SHAWANG	\$310,938	18	67.16%	16
SHEBOYGAN	\$565,277	9	50.41%	25
ST. CROIX	\$91,268	40	17.80%	56
TAYLOR	\$136,591	33	69.97%	14
TREMPEALEAU	\$13,519	61	10.95%	59
VERNON	(\$13,398)	64	(7.00%)	64
VILAS	(\$85,926)	70	(34.90%)	69
WALWORTH	\$379,032	15	53.19%	24
WASHBURN	\$33,710	55	20.86%	52
WASHINGTON	\$321,844 \$3,277,705	16	30.15%	45 43
WAUKESHA	\$2,237,795	2	70.55%	12
WAUPACA	\$86,717	41	19.56%	55
WAUSHARA	\$52,120 (\$101,827)	51 71	21.93%	50 45
WINNEBAGO	(\$101,827) \$475,001	71 12	(7.70%) 40.38%	65 36
11000	\$475,091	12	40.30%	36
W000				
TOTAL	\$20,559,712		28.12%	

SECTION II: COUNTY JUVENILE JUSTICE RESOURCES

In June, 1987, the Department of Health and Social Services published a document titled <u>Sourcebook Juvenile Justice System</u>, which was based on the results of a research and professional literature review. The <u>Sourcebook</u> described the foundations for an effective and comprehensive community juvenile justice program. It emphasized the importance of making a sufficient range of services available to meet the individual needs of juveniles who enter the system. That is, intervention and treatment services should be designed to meet the needs of the offender, rather than expecting one or two general community programs to adequately serve all juveniles in the juvenile justice system.

When the Division of Youth Services designed the county survey tool known as the 1990 Juvenile Justice Resource Inventory, it took into consideration what was learned from the 1987 literature review, as well as what was known about current Wisconsin programming and practices. The survey document (1990 Juvenile Justice Resource Inventory) gathered information from each county on their programs and services, grouped under the following fifteen major headings:

- I. Early intervention programs.
- II. Custody and court intake.
- III. Secure and non-secure detention alternatives.
- IV. Court related services.
- V. Juvenile supervision.
- VI. Family based services.
- VII. Restitution and community services programs.
- VIII. Youth employment and training programs.
- IX. Day treatment or alternative school programs.
- X. Community and alternative care programs.
- XI. Juvenile correctional institution placements in 1989.
- XII. Aftercare programs.
- XIII. Independent living skill programs.
- XIV. Services to deal with substance abuse, mental health and
 - physical health.
- XV. Other programs.

Agencies identified the programs or services they conducted or co racted for within each of these categories. They provided specific information on whether programs or services were available within the county or from an agency located outside the county, and whether services were attached to the court, operated directly by the department, or available through a contract between the department and another agency. Some programs were jointly sponsored (e.g., by the department and the school district); some services were components of a broader program.

Many services were provided based on written agreements with other agencies, and required a referral by the department. A few had a female or minority focus, and several were believed to be unusually successful (see Section III).

When caseload information was applicable and available, agencies provided the number of youth participating at the time the 1990 Juvenile Justice Resource Inventory was completed.

With a few exceptions, the following tables do not reflect the depth or scope of detail that can be extracted from the 72 county inventories. Due to difficulties in designing tables that were both comprehensive and understandable, the Division limited the tabulations to a simple indication of whether or not a program was available to youth of each county. Agencies wanting more information (e.g., of the departments that have independent living programs, which program components are more frequently offered; do more counties administer in-home family treatment programs or contract for this service) can contact Mary Erikson, Youth Aids Coordinator, Community Program Section, Division of Youth Services, P.O. Box 8930, Madison, Wisconsin 53708-8930, or call (608) 267-9802.

It is possible, however, to relate some general statements to the summary information presented in the following tables.

I. Early Intervention Programs for At-Risk Children. These include:

School Programs: collaborative efforts with elementary and secondary schools to identify children at risk, involve the parents, and provide a variety of intervention, support and skill building programs for the child as well as his/her siblings and parents.

<u>Law Enforcement Intervention Programs</u>: short term programs for youth who may have committed status offenses or delinquent acts.

Runaway Programs: crisis intervention services, such as 24-hour hotlines, face-to-face counseling with youth and parents, temporary shelter, information and referral, and follow-up.

Recreational/Skill Development Programs: social meetings during which youth are coached in appropriate social behavior while participating in group outings.

<u>Wilderness/Adventure Experiences</u>: programs which stress personal development through unfamiliar activities that have a perception of risk.

Volunteer Programs: programs to link adult volunteers with

youth at risk or receiving supervision services.

Gang Prevention: programs targeted at 8-12 year old children at risk of gang involvement.

Gang Diversion: programs to provide positive alternatives to gang association and membership, including daily living support, recreational activities, positive role modeling, tutoring in academics, vocational and employment goals, parenting skills training for families of target youth, and supervision and communication skills within the family.

Counseling Programs: counseling could be provided on an individual basis (focused on the behavioral, psychiatric or legal problems of individual clients); group basis (led by a professional therapist or social worker and may focus on a special problem such as alcohol abuse or sexual offenses); family basis (may provide separate individual treatment in addition to sessions with the family of the referred clients); or intensive in-home treatment (teams of therapists work with both the individual youth and the family unit, with the offender remaining the focal point).

Programs for "At-Risk Youth in the School System" and "Law Enforcement Intervention Programs" are all located within the reporting counties. Fewer than five counties noted they also used school-based or law enforcement intervention programs operated by agencies outside the county. Referral mechanisms were in place within nearly all counties that report having School Programs and Law Enforcement Intervention Programs.

Nearly half of the "Runaway" programs are located outside the reporting county. Again, counties reported that youth participate based on referral. Compared to the previous set of programs, runaway services are slightly more likely to be components of other programs.

About one-fifth of the "Recreation/Skill Development Programs" and one-half the "Wilderness/Adventure Programs" are located outside the reporting county. Four counties operate their own "Recreational/Skill Development Program" and one county has it's own "Wilderness/Adventure Program." Other counties refer youth to programs operated by other agencies.

With a few exceptions, the "Adult Volunteer Programs for Youth" are provided within the reporting county, and the department refers youth for enrollment in these programs.

Most "Counseling" and "Intensive In-Home Family Treatment" programs are also located within the reported county. Nearly three-fourths of the individual and family counseling programs are operated by the human/social service department, based on

information provided by counties via the 1990 Juvenile Justice Resource Inventory; about one-half of the group counseling and intensive in-home family treatment are provided by other agencies.

II. Intake. This includes:

<u>Custody Intake</u>: requires being available 24-hours a day, and assessable to law enforcement officials, social services workers or others who have taken children into custody and not released them.

<u>Court Intake</u>: responsible for taking appropriate actions with youth within the juvenile justice system, including discharge at intake, counseling and discharge, informal supervision, or referral to the district attorney.

Custody and court intake is always done within the county, and usually by the department. However, seven counties reported that the intake processes are attached to the court; in another six counties the court and department share responsibility. Very few counties reported differences in how custody and court intake were administered.

III. Detention Alternatives

Non-Secure Detention: can include in-home detention, shelter care, attendant/proctor programs, report programs, or electronic surveillance.

In-home detention allows a youth to be maintained in his/her home, a foster home or a group home. The youth is placed on restriction and a worker is in regular contact with the youth, family, and caretaker.

Shelter care is an unlocked facility used to supervise youth for up to 60 days, and is licensed by the Wisconsin Department of Social Services. A shelter care facility offers a safe, secure environment which is structured for support during times of crisis and transition.

Attendant and proctor programs assign an adult to be with the youth for approximately 16 to 24 hours in a time of crisis.

Report programs make the youth responsible for reporting to a case worker or telephoning from designated sites and at designated times.

Electronic surveillance is conducted by attaching a transmitter to the youth, which emits a signal when the youth goes outside the perimeter of the monitor.

Secure Detention: can be in a juvenile detention center which

is specifically constructed and programmed to meet the secure detention needs of juveniles, or in an approved jail detention unit, which is approved by the Wisconsin Department of Corrections for secure detention of juveniles.

Of the counties that reported using in-home detention as a non-secure alternative, 32 (71 percent) administered the program directly, and 9 others contracted for the service. Six others were attached to the court. All in-home detention programs were located within the county.

In contrast, shelter care facilities were located outside 21 of the 52 counties that used them as a non-secure detention alternative. Only 9 of the facilities were administered by the reporting department.

With one exception, the other non-secure alternatives were available through contracts with other agencies located within the county.

During 1989, a reported 9,740 juveniles were placed in Juvenile Detention Centers or Approved Jail Detention areas -- 45 percent of them in Milwaukee County. There is no way to determine whether these detentions resulted from Part I or Part II offenses (including status offenses) and/or traffic violations.

With one exception, counties using secure facilities in other counties placed fewer than 100 youth in detention in 1989; most of them placed less than 30. According to the 1990 Juvenile Justice Resource Inventory, three counties had no secure juvenile detentions that year.

IV. Court Related Services

These services include investigation, interviewing, assessment, providing reports and recommendations to the court, case planning and monitoring, and case review. The function is statutorily required.

V. Juvenile Supervision

<u>Informal Supervision</u>: supervision of youth alleged to have committed a delinquent act or status offense, prior to court adjudication and upon mutual agreement between the agency, the youth and his/her parents. (Does not include consent decrees.)

Only one county did not report having an informal supervision program; this may have been an oversight. The table on page 54, under the area designed "Section V.A, Informal Supervision" has four columns dealing with the number of times youth on informal supervision are seen. Using Adams County as an example of what

these columns indicate, 40 percent of youth on informal supervision are seen once (with their parents), another 40 percent are seen 2-4 times, 10 percent see their caseworker 5-7 times, and the remaining 10 percent are seen 8 times or more.

Every county offered or provided other programs and services to youth on informal supervision and their parents. Forty-two counties did so "frequently" and another 21 did so "always."

Consent Decrees: conditions established by the court during the fact finding hearing or prior to adjudication which, if agreed to by the youth and parents, provide an alternative to adjudication. In contrast to informal supervision, which is an option available to the agency with no court petition required, consent decrees are entered into after the petition is filed, but before delinquency is established. If the conditions agreed to in the consent decree are not met, the court can reconvene the fact finding hearing, find the youth delinquent, and make a disposition.

Consent decrees were reportedly used in all but two counties. Among the counties that reported that the court entered into consent decrees with juvenile offenders, most (77%) believed that this alternative was used in 25 percent of the cases or less.

County Regular/Formal Supervision: supervision of youth who have been adjudicated as delinquents or children in need of protection or services (CHIPS) status offenders, with regular surveillance and counseling.

Counties provided information on their average caseloads, which reportedly ranged between "less than 20" to "more than 60." Most agencies reported caseloads in the "20-40" range.

<u>County Intensive Supervision</u>: similar to formal supervision, but more intensive in nature with a worker supervising no more than 19 youth on a full-time basis.

The following tables, based on the 1990 Juvenile Justice Resource Inventory, may not accurately depict county activity within this program area. While only 9 counties reported operating or contracting for intensive supervision services, 27 counties reported having intensive supervision caseloads ranging from 5 to more than 19. For 13 of the 27 counties, caseloads fell within the "5-9" grouping.

VI. Family Based Services: viewing the client as symptomatic of a dysfunctional family, this approach treats the family, attempting to keep it intact, and uses crisis as an opportunity to produce family change. These services need to be flexible, tailored to the family needs, highly intense and time limited to minimize family dependence on outside

services. At least some services as well as the family assessments are provided in the home.

All but one county reported some family based activity within the county, usually operated by the department. A few counties used contracts with other agencies for their family based services, and some indicated that they use more than one approach in providing these services.

- VII. Community Restitution and Community Service: youth and parents may voluntarily agree to restitution as a condition of informal supervision, or a court may order restitution. Forms of restitution are:
 - a) Monetary, which requires the agency to provide services to the youth to enable him/her to make payments for attorney fees, court costs, community work obligations, and victim compensation;
 - b) Community service, which allows the youth to repay the community for violation of community standards;
 - c) Direct victim service, which allows the youth to work for the victim to repair damage done or otherwise compensate the victim for loss;
 - d) Victim offender reconciliation, which involves mediation by a professional in bringing the victim and perpetrator together for a non-threatening and informal encounter to help desensitize the victim and have a therapeutic effect on both victim and perpetrator.

All counties had monetary restitution programs and most had community service programs for youth offenders. All of these programs, as well as the direct victim service programs and victim offender reconciliation programs, were located within the county. Usually, but not always, the programs were operated by the department. In eleven counties, the monetary restitution program was attached to the court; in eight others, it was operated by a contract agency.

The restitution program was formally structured in 37 counties, and informally structured in 38 others. (Three counties reported having both informal and formal restitution programs.)

VIII. Youth Employment and Training Programs: programs to provide training, skills, and information as well as employment to the Youth Aids target group.

When employment and training programs were reported, they usually were located within the county and accessible to juveniles via referral from the department.

Under this category, the 1990 Juvenile Justice Resource Inventory asked whether the program included the following elements: assessment of the youth's employment skills, case planning, supervision, education and job skills development, training stipends, job placement, job subsidy, employer incentives or subsidies, monitoring and review, and/or work-related transportation. The comprehensiveness of youth employment and training programs varied widely among the 47 counties that reported making these services available.

IX. Day Treatment and Alternative School Programs: day treatment programs may include evaluation and assessment, individualized service plans and treatment, family counseling or therapy, peer group support for parents, job skill development and assistance with getting a job, supervised work programs, structured group and recreation activities, and others.

An alternative school is jointly sponsored with the school system, and provides structured and supervised educational opportunities to youth with severe problems, for whom a regular school setting is not an appropriate alternative. Alternative schools may provide some of the same services as day treatment programs.

Some counties reported having day treatment programs that offered one or two of the sixteen components listed on the 1990 Juvenile Justice Resource Inventory. Other county programs included nearly all of these sixteen services. Day treatment programs are reported to be available in eight counties.

When alternative school programs are available, they tend to be much more comprehensive than day treatment or employment and training programs.

X. Community and Alternative Care Programs: this category includes foster homes which provide substitute families or mentor arrangements for four youth or less, usually on a short-term basis, and group homes licensed to provide living arrangements for five to eight youth when living with peers or siblings is judged to be most beneficial.

Section X of the following series of tables (page 57) provides counts of youth placed in foster homes and group homes in 1989. Every county that made foster home placements had access to at least one facility within the county; some placed youth both within the county and in other counties.

In contrast, half of the sixty-two counties that reported on the location of group homes they used indicated that the facilities were located in another county.

The numbers of youth that counties reported placing in child

care institutions in 1989 are also included in Section X.

XI. Juvenile Correctional Facilities: secure facilities to serve adjudicated delinquent youth with histories of serious or repeated offenses. These facilities are operated by the Division of Youth Services.

The numbers of youth placed in juvenile correctional institutions in 1989 are provided in Section XI.

XII. Aftercare Programs: these programs are established to meet the individual treatment, education, vocational and daily living needs of youth who return to the community, and to continually monitor the youth's risk to the community.

Regular aftercare includes supervision of a caseload of twenty or greater, with usually two or fewer contacts per week with the youth and family, and few or no contacts with schools or other agencies.

Intensive aftercare includes supervision of a caseload of no more than twenty, three or more contacts per week with the youth, weekly contacts with parents, and several contacts each month with the school and other agencies.

According to data from the 1990 Juvenile Justice Resource Inventory, all county regular aftercare programs (49) are operated by the department of human/social services. Five of these counties also have intensive aftercare programs, four of which are operated by the departments, and one by a contract agency.

In addition to the five counties that had both regular and intensive aftercare programs, four reported having county intensive aftercare only.

Nineteen counties reported that do not have aftercare programs, and that they contract with the Division of Youth Services for regular or intensive aftercare, or both. An additional 15 counties reported that they contract with the Division to supplement their regular or intensive aftercare programs.

XIII. Independent Living Programs: may include one or more of the following components.

Transition services assist youth living in community-based alternative care to develop attitudes and skills needed to made the transition to independent and self-sufficient living. Services can include counseling to improve self esteem and self image, training in skills for day-to-day living, coaching to help youth develop decision-making skills, verbal and nonverbal communication skills, and techniques needed to enter

the work force.

Post services provide an independent living arrangement, under the close supervision of a social worker, designed to assist youth in the transition to adult independence.

Support network development programs utilize volunteersponsored families and individual adults as mentors, and create partnerships with training programs, community resources, and employers in the community to assist youth in an independent living setting to achieve and maintain independence and self-sufficient living.

Thirty-two counties reported having independent living programs. Similar to the youth employment and training and day treatment programs, the independent living programs range from basic to comprehensive. Counties wishing to contact agencies that have the more extensive programs in place can get additional information from the Division of Youth Services.

XIV. Related Programs to Maintain & Improve Health: programs to intervene into the lives of chemically dependent, and mentally or physically impaired clients and their families to reduce or eliminate dependency and dysfunction. Financing may come from a variety of public and private sources, including 51.42 financing and Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Supplemental Youth Aids. However, other Youth Aids formula grants may not be used to buy services from the 51.42 agency.

Nearly all counties provided estimates of the percent of delinquents and status offenders who needed services because of chemical abuse problems. Counties consistently noted where services were available (inside or outside of the county) and how these services were operated (by the department, under contract, etc.).

Most counties also estimated the percentages of youth on supervision who had mental disorders that impaired daily functioning. Sixty-four counties estimated the number of youth on formal supervision who had mental problems; of these, 30 counties placed that estimate at 5 percent or less. Forty-four counties estimated that 5 percent or less of youth on informal supervision had mental disorders. These are obviously well below the estimated percentages of youth with chemical abuse problems, which are depicted in Section XIV of the tables which follow (page 58).

The 1990 Juvenile Justice Resource Inventory did not ask counties to provide estimates of the percentage of delinquent youth or status offenders who had physical health problems. Consequently, counties noted whether outpatient and inpatient services were available within or outside the county, and noted whether referral arrangements were in place.

XV. Other Programs

Ten counties have one or more programs that could not be described under one of the preceding headings. Information on the nature of these programs is available from the Division of Youth Services.

1. EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAMS FOR AT-RISK CHILDREN

LAW ENFORCEMENT INTERVENTION PROGRAMS

OTHER INTERVENTION PROGRAMS

SCHOOL PROGRAMS FOR

AT-RISK YOUTH JUVENILE SCHOOL REC./ED CRIME REC/SKILL WILDERNESS GRADE MIDDLE HIGH OFFICER LIAISON EDUCATION PREVENTION OTHER RUNAWAY DEVELOP. ADVENTURE COUNTY PROGRAM SCHOOL SCHOOL DIVERSION **PROGRAMS** PROGRAM **PROGRAMS PROGRAM** PROGRAM **PROGRAM** X X X X X ASHLAND X X X X X XXX BARRON X X X X X X X BAYFIELD X X X X X BROWN BUFFALO BURNETT X X X X CALUMET X X X CHIPPEWA X X X X X X CLARK X COLUMBIA X X X X X X X CRAWFORD X X DANE X X X X X XXX DODGE Х X X Χ Х X X X DOOR X X. X **DOUGLAS** X X X DUNN X X EAU CLAIRE FLORENCE X X X X X X X FOND DU LAC X FOREST XXX X X X GRANT GREEN X GREEN LAKE X XXXX X IOWA X IRON **JACKSON** XX **JEFFERSON** X X X JUNEAU X X KENOSHA KEWAUNEE X X X LA CROSSE X X XXX LAFAYETTE X X X X X LANGLADE X X LINCOLN X TOMOC HON MAKINETTE X X X X X X X X MARQUETTE X MENOMINEE Х X X Х MILWAUKEE X X X X X X X X X MONROE OCONTO X X X X X XXX X ONEIDA X X X X X X. X X OUTAGAMIE X OZAUKEE X X X X PEPIN X X PIERCE X X X POLK X X X **PORTAGE** X X X X PRICE XXX X X X X X X RACINE X X X X X RICHLAND ROCK RUSK X X X X SAUK X X X X X XXX SAWYER X ኧ X X SHAWANO X X SHEBOYGAN X X ST. CROIX X TAYLOR X X X X TREMPEALEAU X VERNON X X VILAS X WALWORTH X X X X X X Χ WASHBURN X WASHINGTON X X X X X X X X WAUKESHA X X X X X WAUPACA X WAUSHARA X X X WINNEBAGO X X X X X 70 TOTAL 58 46 29 50 56 25 32 69.4% 56.9% 6.9% 80.6% 93.1% 97.2% 63.9% 40.3% 77.8% % OF COs 34.7% 44.4%

I. EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAMS FOR AT-RISK CHILDREN, CONTINUED

GANG-RELATED PROGRAMS

ADULT VOLUNTEER PROGRAMS

COUNSELING PROGRAMS INTENSIVE **ADULT** EIG BIG VOLUN-IN-HOME BROTHER SISTER SUPPORT/ TEERS IN OTHER GANG GANG FAHILY COUNTY TUTORING PROGRAMS MODEL MODEL MENTOR PROBATION PREVENTION DIVERSION INDIVIDUAL GROUP FAMILY TREATMENT XXX XXX ASHLAND X XXXX BARRON X Χ X BAYFIELD Х X BROWN X X X BUFFALO BURNETT X XXX X CALUMET ' X X X X CHIPPEWA X XXX CLARK X X X COLUMBIA CRAWFORD DANE X X X X X X X X X XXX DODGE X DOOR XXX X **DOUGLAS** X DUNN X Χ EAU CLAIRE X XX FLORENCE X X X X XXXX FOND DU LAC X FOREST X X **GRANT** X X X X X. **GREEN** X GREEN LAKE X X X IOWA XXX XXXX X IRON **JACKSON** X X X X **JEFFERSON** X X JUNEAU X KENOSHA KEWAUNEE X XXXX XXX LA CROSSE X X X LAFAYETTE X X LANGLADE LINCOLN X X X TOWOO X XX THON X X MARINETTE X X X MARQUETTE X X MENOMINEE X MILWAUKEE X X X X X X X MONROE XX X X OCONTO X CHEIDA X X **OUTAGAMIE** X X XXX X X **OZAUKEE** X X PEPIN PIERCE X X POLK XXXX X PORTAGE PRICE X X XXX XX X X RACINE X RICHLAND X ROCK RUSK XXX X XXX XX SAUK X SALTER X X SHAWANO X SHEBOYGAN X ST. CROIX XXX TAYLOR X X TREMPEALEAU X **VERNON** X XXX X VILAS X X WALWORTH X X X WASHBURN XXX WASHINGTON X WAUKESHA X Χ X X X X WAUPACA X X X WAUSHARA X X X LUNEBAGO X X X X X X 7 9.7% 6 8.3% 5 6.9% TOTAL 19 69 46 57 47 41 63.9% 4.2% 5.6% 95.8% 79.2% 93.1% % OF COs 65.3% 26.4% 56.9%

		NON-	SECURE DETENTION		1	SECURE DI	ETENTION	
COUNTY	CUSTODY COURT	IN-HOME SHELTER DETENTION CARE	ATTENDANT REPORT PROGRAM PROGRAM	ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE	IN	OUT OF COUNTY	APP'D JAIL IN COUNTY (1989 place	OUT OF COUNTY
S ASHLAND BARRON BAYFIELD BROWN BUFFALO	x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	X X X X X X	x		200	10 5 19 5	4 15 3	0 6
BURNETT CALUMET CHIPPEWA CLARK COLUMBIA CRAWFORD	X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	X			11 33 1 10	8 12 35 11	28
DANE DOOGE DOOR DOUGLAS DUNN EAU CLAIRE	X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	x		194	27 5 0 8	7 8 15 9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
FLORENCE FOND DU LAC FOREST GRANT GREEN GREEN LAKE	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X			111	1 5	109 24 30	0 1 7
IOWA IRON JACKSON JEFFERSON JUNEAU KENOSHA	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X		X		3 2 30 9 297	3 16 23	54
KEWAUNEE LA CROSSE LAFAYETTE LANGLADE LINCOLN TOWOC	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	×		169	9 3 25 29	0 15 15	15
HON AKINETTE ARQUETTE BENOMINEE ALWAUKEE ONROE	X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X		X	4,408	83 1 0	19 111 49	17 3 45
CONTO DEIDA DUTAGAMIE DZAUKEE EPIN DIERCE	X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X				20 22 1 27 0 2	168 19	30
OLK PORTAGE PRICE ACINE LICHLAND OCK	X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X		X	859	1 1 2	8 17 3 35 7 398	
RUSK SAUK SAWYER SHAWANO SHEBOYGAN ST. CROIX	X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X				0 5 31	15 125	76 16
AYLOR TREMPEALEAU /ERNON /ILAS /ALWORTH /ASHBURN	X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X				19 13 5 10 43 5	6	17 31 0
VASHINGTON VAUKESHA VAUPACA VAUSHARA VILINEBAGO	X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	X		373	2	24 57 41 312 36	
TOTAL	72 72 100% 100%	45 52 62.5% 72.2%	2 2.8% 4.2%	3 4.2%	6,736	841	1,817	346

B. CONSENT DECREES ISSUED

A. INFORMAL SUPERVISION

	PROVIDES	PROVIDES INFORMAL	# OF	TIMES Y	OUTH ARE	SEEN	OFFERS OTHER	vra	PERCENT	OF CA	SES
COUNTY	COURT-RELATED SERVICES	SUPERVISION	1_	2-4	5-7	8+	SERVICES	YES/	<10% 1	0-25%	>25%
ND	X X	X X	40% 5%	40% 35%	10% 35%	10% 25%	FREQ.	Y	×	X	
BAKRON	l	ĺ ŝ	20%	70%	10%	LJA	FREQ.	İ	^	x	
BAYFIELD	×	X	55%		45%		ALWAYS	Ý	X	•	
BROWN	\ X	X	15%	25%			FREQ.	Y.			X
BUFFALO BURNETT	X	X	8%	10%	38%	38%	FREQ. ALWAYS	Y		_ x	
CALUMET	X	l û	8%	7%	10%	75%	ALWAYS	↓	Х	X	
CHIPPEWA	l	l ĝ	25%	5%	35%	35%	FREQ.	ΙÝ	^		x
CLARK	×	X	35%	60%	3%	2%	FREQ.	Y	X		
COLUMBIA	<u>X</u>	X	70%	30%	004	re	FREQ.	Y			X
CRAWFORD DANE	X	X	5%		90%	5%	FREQ.			X	
DODGE	x		18%	80%	2%		FREQ.	l , ,	X		. X
DOOR	X	. X	5%	12%	75%	12%	FREQ.	Ý		X	
DOUGLAS	, X	X	5%	10%	40%	45%	ALWAYS	Y		X	
DUNN	X	X	50%	50%	704	150	FREQ.	Y	v	X	
EAU CLAIRE FLORENCE	X	X	5% 10%	40% 30%	40% 30%	15% 30%	OCCAS.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	X	
FOND DU LAC	Ŷ	Î		10%	80%	10%	FREQ.	, Ý	X	^	
FOREST	x	X	5%	•	95%		OCCAS.	N			
GRANT	X	X	60%	4=		40%	FREQ.	N			
GREEN Green lake	X	X X	50% 5%	15% 30%	20% 35%	15% 30%	ALWAYS	Ĭ,		X	
IOWA	X	- x	63%	37%	33%	30%	FREQ.			- X -	
IRON	Ŷ	x	30%	10%	30%	30%	ALWAYS	l Ý	X	^	
JACKSON	X	X	30%	35%	20%	15%	FREQ.	Ϋ́			X
JEFFERSON	X	X					FREQ.	Y	X		
JUNEAU KENOSHA	X	X X	70%	20% 30%	40%	40%	FREQ.	Y	Ú		Х
KENOSHA	-	X	10%	25%	25%	50%	FREQ.	-	X	Х	
LA CROSSE	x	χ̈́			50%	50%	FREQ.	Ý		â	
-AFAYETTE	. X	X		15%		50%	FREQ.	Y.	X		
LANGLADE	X	X	20%	30%		25%	FREQ.	Y	X		
LINCOLN M <u>AN</u> ITOWOC	X	X X	40%		30%	30%	OCCAS. ALWAYS	Y Y		X	×
HON	x		15%	30%	25%	30%	FREQ.	· Ÿ		X	
ETTE	×	ж	30%	10%	20%	40%	ALWAYS	ý	X	••	
TARQUETTE	X	Х					OCCAS.	Y		X	
MENOMINEE	X	X	2%	8%	15%	75%	FREQ.	Y		X	
MILWAUKEE MONROE	X	X ·					ALWAYS ALWAYS	Y		X	
CONTO	X	- x	22%	15%	25%	38%	FREQ.	'	X	^	
DNEIDA	X	Ÿ				100%	FREQ.	Ý	••		X
DUTAGAMIE	X	X	85%	5%	5%	5%	FREQ.	Y		ar e	X
DZAUKEE	X	X	50%	20%	20%	10%	FREQ.	Y			X
PEPIN	₹	X ·	20% 15%	50% 10%	20% 75%	10%	ALWAYS OCCAS.	Y	X		٧
POLK	x	- x	90%	5%	5%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ALWAYS	Y	X		^
PORTAGE	X	x ·	2%	28%	28%	42%	FREQ.	Ý		X	
PRICE	, X	Х .	15%		85%		ALWAYS	Y :	X		
RACINE	X		100%	E08			ALWAYS	Y	X		
RICHLAND ROCK	X	X	50% 75%	50%		25%	FREQ. ALWAYS	Y		X	. X
RUSK	X	- x	30%	30%	20%	20%	FREQ.	Y	х		^
SAUK	X	X	35%	65%			ALWAYS) Y		X	
SAWYER	X	X	30%	40%	20%	10%	FREQ.	Y		Χ,	
SHAWANO	X	X	5%	5%	80%	10%	ALWAYS	Y	X		U
SHEBOYGAN ST. CROIX	X	X X	20%	5% 60%	90% 10%	5% 10%	FREQ. FREQ.	Y			×
TAYLOR		- x	5%	50%	25%	20%	FREQ.	├ -	X		
TREMPEALEAU	x	x	5%	45%		50%	OCCAS.	Ý,		X	
VERNON	X	Х Х	2%	75%	22%	1%	OCCAS.	Y	X		•
VILAS	X	X	31,	10%	65%	22%	OCCAS.	, y	X	v	
JALWORTH JASHBURN	X	X X	10% 10%	90% 30%	50%	10%	OCCAS. ALWAYS	Ţ	X	X	
JASHINGTON	Ŷ	- x	60%		15%	25%	FREQ.	'		Х	
JAUKESHA	x	Х	75%	15%	5%	5%	FREQ.	Į į		••	X.
VAUPACA	x	X	15%	50%	25%	10%	ALWAYS	Y			X
JAUSHARA	X	X	6%	10%	20%	64%	ALWAYS	Į Y	v	X	
WINNEBAGO	X	X X			40%	60%	FREQ. FREQ.	Y	X	v	
		<u> </u>			40%	00%	FNEW.	<u>'</u> -		Χ	
. .	72	71						Y=70	25	29	16
X OF COs	72 100%	98.6%						97.2%		40.3%	22.2%
	·							1			

C. COUNTY REGULAR SUPERVISION

D. COUNTY INTENSIVE SUPERVISION

UNTY	<20	20-30	AVERAGE CA 31-40 4	1-50 5	1-60	>60			COUNTY PROGRAM	5-	AVERAGE 9 10-14	CASELOAD) >19
AMS		X		,						X			1
AND		X								X			
ON YFIELD		X	. X						X	X			
OWN I		x ·							, ^	.^			
FFALO		<u>X</u>						ļ		X		<u> </u>	
RNETT LUMET		X	Y '						•				
IPPEWA			X X										
ARK		X							X			X	
LUMBIA AWFORD			· X			X				· x			
NE		Х							•	X			
DGE Dr					X					· X	X		
JGLAS			X X	1				1		^			
NN I		X						ļ					
J CLAIRE DRENCE	x	X											
ND DU LAC	^		X							•		1	
REST		X].					
ANT EN		X						1			v		
EN LAKE				x				·		x	. X		
IA .		X	•								A games, September 19		
ON CKSON		X											
FERSON		Х				X				X		x	
IEAU				X]					
IOSHA IAUNEE		- V	<u> </u>						X			X	
CROSSE		X	X									x ·	
AYETTE		X.								X		•	
GLADE					X								
COLN (- X	X										
ATHON					X								
METTE			X										
ETTE		· X	X										
.WAUKEE			^			. X			X	•			X
IROE		X						<u> </u>					
ONTO IDA			X	x				1					
AGAMIE	, , , , ,		X										
UKEE			X										
RCE		¥ ·	, X										
.K			X			**************************************					:		
RTAGE		X											
CE			X,			X ·			×		x		
HLAND			X			^			^ .		^	X	
:K						X							
JK		X	¥										
IYER			X	X ·									
WANO			X										
BOYGAN CROIX		Y			X								
LOR		^_	X		:			1		Х			
MPEALEAU			X X							X			
NON AS			X X					1	v	×			
.WORTH			^ ,		X ·			1	X X	^	· x		
HBURN	·	X		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
HINGTON KESHA				v					Х			X	
IPACA				X	X -			1				X	
JSHARA -			X		••								
INEBAGO			X						X		••		X
)O		X				 				···	X_		
	1 26 1.4% 36.		27 37.5%	5		6	5		9	13 8.1%	5	7 9.7%	₹ 2.8%
	1.4% 36.			6.9		8.3%	6.9%		2.5% 1		6.9%		

COUNTY	FAMILY SYSTEM APPROACH	FAMILY SYSTEM A PROGRAM COMPONENT	VARIOUS FORMS OF TREATMENT	MONETARY RESTITUTION	COMMUNITY SERVICES	DIRECT VICTIM SERVICES	VICTIM/ OFFENDER RECONCILI- ATION	RESTIT STRUC FORMAL (cases)		YOUTH EMPLOYMENT TRAINING PROGRAMS
ADAMS AND ON BAYFIELD BROWN BUFFALO	X X X	X X	X	X X X X X	X X X X	x x	X X X	21 15	15 35 35 10	X X X
BURNETT CALUMET CHIPPEWA CLARK COLUMBIA	X X	х х х		X X X X	x x	X		30 25	20 15 10	X X
CRAWFORD DANE DODGE DOOR DOUGLAS DUNN	х х х	×	×	X X X X	X X X X		x	101 7 52 60	42 60	X X X
FLORENCE FOND DU LAC FOREST GRANT GREEN	x x		X X X	X X X X X	X X X X X	X X		55 11 120 21 5	18 16	X X X
GREEN LAKE IOWA IRON JACKSON JEFFERSON JUNEAU	×	×	X X X	X X X X X	X X X X X	X X X	×	20 45 19	12 20 60	X X X X
KENOSHA KEWAUNEE LA CROSSE LAFAYETTE LANGLADE LINCOLN		х х х	X X X	X X X X X	X X X	X	X	138 89	25 5 22 12	X X X
MANITOWOC MARATHON METTE ETTE MENOMINEE MILWAUKEE		X	X X X X	X X X X X	X X X X	x x	X	58 30 12 493	90 17 3	X
MONROE OCONTO ONEIDA OUTAGAMIE OZAUKĘE PEPIN	X	x x	x x x	X X X X X	X X X	X X X	×	129 50	34 21	X X X
PIERCE POLK PORTAGE PRICE RACINE	X X	X X		X X X X	X X X X	X	x	9	35 39 160 318	X X X X
RICHLAND ROCK RUSK SAUK SAWYER SHAHANO	X X	х х х		X X X X X	X X X X	X X X	<u> </u>	69	15 35 25 60	X X X
SHEBOYGAN ST. CROIX TAYLOR TREMPEALEAU VERNON	<u> </u>	x x x	X	X X X X	X X X	X X X		70 16 15	75 41	x x x
VILAS WALWORTH WASHBURN WASHINGTON WAUKESHA WAUPACA	X X	х х х	X	X X X X X	X X X X	X	×	77 55 * X 84	* X * X	X X
WAUSHARA WINNEBAGO WOOD	23 31.9%	28 38.9%	24 33.3%	X X X 72 100.0%	X X X 63 87.5%	25 34.7%	X X 14 19.4%	35 150 100 2,303	1,531	X X X 47 65.3%

^{*} County has a program but could not provide caseload information.

	IX. DAY TREATMENT AND ALTERNATIVE SCHOOL PROGRAMS	X. COMMUNITY	AND ALTERNATI	VE CARE	XI. JUVENILE CORRECTIONS	XII. AFTERCARE PROGRAMS
COUNTY	DAY ALTERNATIVE TREATMENT SCHOOL PROGRAMS PROGRAMS	# PLACED IN FOSTER HOMES (1989)		# PLACED IN CCIs (1989)	# PLACED IN JCIs (1989)	COUNTY COUNTY REGULAR INTENSIVE AFTERCARE AFTERCARE
	x	4	2	0	1	
BANKON		4 3	6	9	1	X
BAYFIELD		17	3	3	Ó	x
BROWN BUFFALO		42 3	36 3	20 0	18	X
BURNETT CALUMET		3 10	1	2	1,	X
CHIPPEWA	x	22	11	3	5	x
CLARK COLUMBIA	×	32 2	4 8	10 2	7 5	X
CRAWFORD DANE		10 57	<u>2</u> 62	<u>3</u> 58	2	, x
DODGE	X	13	17	8	55 8	
DOOR DOUGLAS		4 31	8 12	2 12	5 8	X
DUNN EAU CLAIRE	x	9 35	3 15	1	Õ	X
FLORENCE	×	2	0	<u>4</u> 0	8	X
FOND DU LAC	x	8 5	24 0	15 0	10 2	X
GRANT		15	Ī	1 5	0	X
GREEN GREEN LAKE	×	11	8 3	5 6	2	X
IOWA IRON		8 3	2	1 0	1	X X
JACKSON.	X	5	2	3	3	X
JEFFERSON JUNEAU		12 3	26 7	6 4	3 7	X
KENOSHA KEWAUNEE	<u> </u>	100	<u>25</u>	<u>28</u> 1	37	<u>X</u>
LA CROSSE	x x	21	17	15	14	X
LAFAYETTE LANGLADE		4 7	7	· 0	0 2	X
LINCOLN MANITOWOC		8 6	4 12	5 18	4 9	
HON	X	30	14	. 7	23	X
MAKQUETTE	××	10 2	8 0	0	0	X
MILWAUKEE	×	37 43	12 112	7 313	6 593	X X
MONROE		4	9	2	2	x
OCONTO ONEIDA		11	0	2	7	X X
OUTAGAMIE OZAUKEE		6 5	39 11	20 8	45 3	X
PEPIN		1	1	0	2	X
PIERCE		19 8	7 3	<u>2</u> 6	3 1	<u> </u>
PORTAGE PRICE	x	40	5	1	1 0	
RACINE	x x	6 52	4	64	95	X X
RICHLAND ROCK	x x	3 48	2 · 49	1 45	0 50	x
RUSK	X	3 .	. 0	3		X
SAUK SAWYER	×	5 8	6 1	9 1	12 6	X
SHAWANO SHEBOYGAN	×	20 6	8 19	10 5	9 18	X
ST. CROIX		9	4	9	4	X
TAYLOR TREMPEALEAU		7 24	2 17	. 0 3	0	X
VERNON . VILAS		2 8	6 5	2 10	3 7	X x
WALWORTH		7	4	17	6	
WASHINGTON		20 16	11	6 16	1 4	X
WAUKESHA WAUPACA	X X	8	2 2	25 8	21 6	X
WAUSHARA		25 5	1	3	2	X
WINNEBAGO	X X	13 40	20 11	38 21	8 7	X
	8 22	1,081	736	920	1,175	49 9
	11.1% 30.6%	1,001	130	720	1,173	68.1% 12.5%

	XII. AFTERCARE F		XIII. INDE	PENDENT LIVING PROGRAMS	SKILLS	XIV. ALCOH	OL AND OTHER ABUSE PROGRAMS	xv.	PROGRAMS NOT PREVIOUSLY COVERED
COUNTY		DYS NTENSIVE FTERCARE	INDEPENDENT LIVING PROGRAM	POST SERVICES IN INDEPENDENT LIVING		% OF YOUT ON FORMAL SUPERVISI			# OF PROGRAMS
ADAMS	x	X	x			30%	15%		1
AND			•			80%	50%		
BAY FIELD	X		×			75% 67%	25% 50%		
BROWN]) x	X :		75%	60%		2
BUFFALO BURNETT						50% 75%	35% 15%		
CALUMET	X	,				80%	50%		
CHIPPEWA CLARK		1	x			60% 40%	50% 20%		. 1
COLUMBIA CRAWFORD			, ×			60% 15%	10% 5%		
DANE	x **		x			50%	50%		1
DODGE DOOR	X	X				55% 50%	35% 50%		
DOUGLAS	î					25%	25%		
DUNN EAU CLAIRE	v		X X			80% 60%	50% 20%		
FLORENCE	Î	1							1
FOND DU LAC FOREST	X		,			90%	50% 100%		•
GRANT			X			50%	50%		
GREEN LAKE	X		x			85% 75%	50% 75%		
IOWA IRON						50% 25%	35%	•	
JACKSON	<u> </u>					50%	10% 10%		
JEFFERSON JUNEAU			:			50% 80%	35% 80%		
KENOSHA	<u> </u>		X	x	x	30%	20%	1	3
KEWAUNEE LA CROSSE	X		X			10% 30%	10% 20%		
LAFAYETTE	^		•			10%	10%		
LANGLADE LINCOLN	x					60% 10%	50% 10%		:
MANITOWOC	X					50%	40%		
MARATHON VETTE	X		X X	X		60% 70%	25% 70%	:	3
ETTE MENOMINEE	X		X			7% 95%	33% 50%		
MILWAUKEE	X	X.	, â	x	X			•	
MONROE OCONTO			x	X		50% 50%	35% 50%		
ONEIDA			x			90%	70%		
OUTAGAMIE OZAUKEE	X	X		•		85% 25%	40% 1%		ı.
PEPIN						10%	20%		
PIERCE	 x		×	•	• •	35% 40%	25% 20%		
PORTAGE	X		X			25%	25%		1
PRICE RACINE		X	X X	X		60% 40%	40% 20%		1
RICHLAND	X	x	×	X	x	35%	25%		
RUSK			X	^ .		50%	20%	-	
SAUK SAWYER	X					85% 50%	30% 50%		ı
SHAWANO						60%	30%		
SHEBOYGAN ST. CROIX			X	X		75% 20%	50% 0%		
TAYLOR		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. X	×		50%	35%	······································	
TREMPEALEAU VERNON	X		X	•		10%	10%		
VILAS	X					65%	35%		1
WALWORTH WASHBURN	, X			· 		90% 25%	35% 25%		1
WASHINGTON	X	:	v			65%	50%		
WAUKESHA Waupaca	X		X	X		70% 75%	70% 25%		
WAUSHARA	X		X			80%	60%		
WINNEBAGO WOOD	x		X X			85% 75%	90% 60%		
	34	6	32	11	3			:	9
	47.2%	8.3%	32 44.4%	16.0%	4.2%	1			12.5%
	1		,			l		1	

SECTION III: UNUSUALLY SUCCESSFUL PROGRAMS

When completing the 1990 Juvenile Justice Resource Inventory, several counties noted that one or more of their programs could be considered unusually successful. This was defined as having "demonstrated a high rate of sucess with clients and workers, based on verifiable and objective standards."

In late 1991, the counties that had indicated some of their programs met this definition of unusually successful were asked to provide a brief description of the program goals, costs, staffing and participants. The following summaries may assist other counties that are interested in initiating similar programs. Each program description includes the name, address and telephone number of a county contact person knowledgeable about the program operation.

The Division realizes that several innovative and successful programs may not be included in this document. Some may have been developed or evaluated after the 1990 Juvenile Justice Resource Inventory was completed; or the person who completed the survey may not have checked the "unusually successful" column. This section of County Characteristics and Juvenile Justice Programs will undoubtedly be expanded in future years.

The program descriptions are organized by the juvenile justice program categories used in the previous sections of this document. If two or more programs are described under the same heading and subheading (for example, early intervention programs using adult volunteers), they are presented in alphabetical order by county.

EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAM - SCHOOL PROGRAM

La Crosse County Leadership Program

Sponsor:

Family and Children's Center

Address:

2507 Weston Street, La Crosse, WI 54601

Contact Person:

Karen Blomquist

Telephone:

608/781-7010

Program Summary:

LEADERSHIP works with 12 to 18 year old ED, LD, and delinquent youth who are in danger of dropping out of school. The intensely structured program offers services to ensure success including: enforced attendance; no suspensions/expulsions; group, individual, and family counseling; an after hours program for students kept after school due to inappropriate behavior or poor school work; outdoor stress challenge adventures; and vocational training. Students earn credits while learning socially acceptable behavior. As their grades rise, so does their self-esteem.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$178,000

Cost/youth/day: \$82.40

Staff:

4 full time, 5 part time, 2 volunteers.

The EEN (Exceptional Educational Needs) teacher and regular education teacher have Bachelor Degrees from four-year colleges. All staff are trained in Nonviolent Crisis Intervention Prevention. Volunteers and part-time staff must be at least a junior in a four-year college program.

Clients: 17 in 1990. 15 to 25 can be served at any one time.

Years of Operation: 2 years

Criteria to Determine Success:

Clients attend school regularly, earn middle/high school credits, improve their grades, improve their behavior at school, home, and in the community; can be reintegrated into their regular home school, and graduate from high school.

Participant Detail:

1.	Status	of	youth	in	the	juvenile	justice	system.

<u>X</u>	Early Intervention
<u>X</u>	Intake
<u>X</u>	Alleged-Informal
<u>X</u>	Consent Decree
<u>X</u>	Adjudicated In-Home
<u>X</u>	Adjudicated In Out-of-Home Care
	Aftercare
	Other (please specify):

2. Types of clients.

<u>X</u>	Male	<u>X</u>	Female	
X X X X	Adjudica Drug Al	Alleged Offenders Adjudicated Offenders Drug Abusing Offenders Chronic Offenders		
	Serious/Violent Offenders			
	Sexual Offenders			
<u>X</u>	Truants			
<u>X</u>	Runaways			
<u>X</u>	Uncontrolled Youth			
	Other (p	lease spec	ify):	

EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAM - SCHOOL PROGRAM

La Crosse County Southeast Asian Leadership (SEAL) Program

Sponsor:

Western Wisconsin Private Industry Council, Inc.

Address:

P.O. Box 2908, La Crosse, WI 54602-2908

Contact Person:

Leanne Poellinger

Telephone:

608/785-9936

Program Summary:

The SEAL program was developed with the La Crosse area Hmong Mutual Assistance Association to provide positive options for Southeast Asian youth at risk of dropping out of school. The youth are required to attend two evening classes each week for at least 12 weeks. Support, guidance and training in the areas of academics, employment, self-esteem and cultural awareness are provided through a case management design. Individualized training plans listing individual goals, strategies to achieve goals, and services needed are prepared with each youth and continually reviewed and updated. The case manager works closely with each young person, his/her family, school and significant others to move the youth toward academic success and economic self-sufficiency.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$16,000.

Cost/youth: \$889.

Staff:

1 full time; the number of volunteers varies (used as guest speakers, tutors, job

supervisors).

The staff person is Executive Associate Director for Hmong Mutual Assistance Association and has years of experience working with Southeast Asian population.

Clients: 18 in 1990. 18 can be served at any one time

Years of Operation: Approximately 3 years

Criteria to Determine Success:

Of the 18 youth enrolled, 5 obtained permanent employment and are still working, 5 achieved competency in preemployment/work maturity and basic educational skills (measured by 3-tiered competency system, and 5 are still in the program and making fine progress. All except one have remained in school and one has graduated.

Participant Detail:

1.	Status of	youth in the juvenile justice system
	<u>X</u> X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Early Intervention Intake Alleged-Informal Consent Decree Adjudicated In-Home Adjudicated In Out-of-Home Care Aftercare Other (please specify):
2.	Types of	clients.
	<u>X</u>	Male X Female
	X X X X ——————————————————————————————	Alleged Offenders Adjudicated Offenders Drug Abusing Offenders Chronic Offenders Serious/Violent Offenders Sexual Offenders Truants Runaways Uncontrolled Youth Other (please specify):

EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAM - CRIME PREVENTION

Forest County Second Chance Program

Sponsor:

Forest County Department of Social Services

Address:

Courthouse, Box 9, Crandon, WI 54520

Contact Person:

Jane Wilson

Telephone:

715/478-3351

Program Summary:

In an effort to decrease detention of juveniles in adult jails and to decrease the number of repeat offenders, the Second Chance Program offers a series of classes on three Saturdays. Young offenders learn about Chapter 48 and the legal processes that affect them, the effects of drugs on adolescents, the impact of their actions on others, and their obligation to be accountable for their actions.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$7,195.21

Staff:

1 full time staff, 2 part time staff contracted with University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee.

The full time staff member has a Bachelors Degree in Social Work, and the two contract staff work for Adult Probation and Parole and have Masters Degrees in Social

Work.

Clients: 30 in 1990. 12 to 15 can be served at any one time.

Years of Operation: 2

Criteria to Determine Success:

Success was measured by the number of first offenders who were not detained for a second offense. The Second Chance program is used as a educational tool with first offenders to prevent repeat referrals to juvenile court intake.

Participant Detail:

1.	Status of	tatus of youth in the juvenile justice system.		
	X X X X X	Early Intervention Intake Alleged-Informal Consent Decree Adjudicated In-Home Adjudicated In Out-of-Home Care Aftercare Other (please specify):		
2.	Types of	clients.		
	<u>X</u>	Male X Female		
	X X X X X	Alleged Offenders Adjudicated Offenders Drug Abusing Offenders Chronic Offenders Serious/Violent Offenders Sexual Offenders Truants Runaways Uncontrolled Youth Other (please specify):		

EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAM - WILDERNESS AND ADVENTURE EXPERIENCE

Kenosha County Weekend Challenge Program

Sponsor:

Lutheran Social Services

Address:

5820 Third Avenue, Kenosha, WI 53140

Contact Person:

Philip Duket

Telephone:

414/658-3154

Program Summary:

The Weekend Challenge Program is a rigorous, year-round outdoor experiential counseling program designed for chronic juvenile offenders. The youth are challenged through a series of designed adventurous activities (rock climbing, caving, cross country skiing, backpacking, canoeing) offering perceived high risks and high rewards. As the youth develop decision-making and problem-solving skills, they begin to recognize that the seemingly difficult is often attainable. After struggling through self-imposed limits, the youth see themselves as more capable and successful, thereby developing self-confidence and self-esteem. The youth must complete 12 weekends to successfully graduate from the program.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$137,800.

Cost/youth/hour: \$7.90

Staff:

2 full time, 8 part time.

Staff have professional education and training in social work or other social, educational or behavioral sciences, and a minimum of a Bachelor's Degree, proven work experience and relevant skills in wilderness-based counseling and recreational services to troubled youth.

Clients: 51 in 1990. 8 to 10 can be served at any one time.

Years of Operation: 3 years

Criteria to Determine Success:

Success is measured by the number of clients who were not referred to juvenile intake services during their involvement with the program. In 1990, 71.4 percent of participants had no repeat involvement with juvenile intake.

Participant Detail:

1. Status of youth in the juvenile justice sys			
	X	Early Intervention Intake Alleged-Informal Consent Decree Adjudicated In-Home Adjudicated In Out-of Aftercare Other (please specify	of-Home Care
2.	Types of	clients.	
	<u>X</u>	Male	Female
	_X _X _X	Alleged Offenders Adjudicated Offender Drug Abusing Offender	

Chronic Offenders

Sexual Offenders

Uncontrolled Youth Other (please specify):

Truants Runaways

Serious/Violent Offenders

EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAM - ADULT VOLUNTEER PROGRAM

La Crosse County Youth Case Management Program

Sponsor:

Coulee Region Community Action Program (program operations) and

Western Wisconsin Privates Industry Council (funding source)

Address:

P.O. Box 2908, La Crosse, WI 54602-2908

Contact Person:

Leanne Poellinger 608/785-9936

Telephone:

Program Summary:

The Youth Case Management program is intended to link at-risk youth with a caring adult (case manager) and community services for approximately six months to one year. Case managers work closely with each young person, his/her family, school and significant others to move the young person toward academic success and economic self-sufficiency. Each youth works with his/her case manager to develop an individualized training plan designed to achieve specific goals. This plan may include but is not limited to: assessment, self-esteem and life skills training, career exploration/preemployment training, work experience, mentoring, tutoring and/or supportive services.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$170,000. (approx.)

Cost/youth: \$1,700. (approx.)

Staff:

10 (approx.) part time

The staff employed by Coulee Region Community Action Program have experience in providing employment and training services. The Western Wisconsin Private Industry Council has provided some case management training.

Clients: 100 (approx.) in 1990. Approximately 75 can be served at any one time.

Years of Operation: 2 years

Criteria to Determine Success:

Performance standards used to measure success are the Entered Employment Rate (EER) and the Employability Enhancement Rate (EEN). The latter considers whether the participant stayed in school and obtained competency in three-tiered system. Coulee Community Action Program's 1990 EER standard was 48.1 percent and their actual rate was 62.2 percent; their 1990 EEN standard was 37 percent and their actual rate was 43.2 percent.

Participant Detail:

1. Status of youth in the juvenile justice sy	ystem.
---	--------

<u>X</u>	Early Intervention
X	Intake
X	Alleged-Informal
	Consent Decree
X	Adjudicated In-Home
X	Adjudicated In Out-of-Home Care
X	Aftercare
	Other (please specify):

2. Types of clients.

<u>X</u>	Male	<u>X</u>	Female	
<u>X</u> <u>X</u>		Alleged Offenders Adjudicated Offenders		
<u>X</u>	Drug Abusing Offenders			
	Chronic Offenders			
	Serious/Violent Offenders			
	Sexual Offenders			
<u>X</u>	Truants			
<u>X</u>	Runaways			
<u>X</u>	Uncontrolled Youth			
	Other (p	lease spec	ify):	

EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAM - ADULT VOLUNTEER PROGRAM

Rusk County Kinship Program

Sponsor:

Kinship of Rusk County, Inc.

Address:

P.O. Box 324, Ladysmith, WI 54848

Contact Person:

Donna Wishowski

Telephone:

715/532-3993

Program Summary:

The Kinship program matches youth between ages 5 to 17 with a responsible adult, who serves as an appropriate role model, and facilitates the development of a positive relationship. The program also focuses on the youth's family, as most have not developed a sense of belonging with the school or community. Kinship provides many opportunities to model relationships, caring, structure, behavior management techniques, etc.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$47,026.

Staff:

2 part time, 70 volunteers.

Volunteers attend a series of orientation and in-service trainings.

Clients: 60+ in 1990.

Years of Operation: 10 years

Criteria to Determine Success:

Program staff have observed that many children participating in the Kinship Program improve their behavior at home, in school, and in the community. Participating youth tend to stay out of the juvenile justice system.

Participant Detail:

1.	Status of	youth in the juvenile jus	tice sy	stem.				er i				
	X X X X X X X X	Early Intervention Intake Alleged-Informal										
	X	Consent Decree										
	X	Adjudicated In-Home										
	X	Adjudicated In Out-of-I	Home (Care								
	X	Aftercare	ı									
	<u>X</u>	Other (please specify):	Any y	outh v	who m	nay be	enefit ca	n be	refe	rred.	Kinsl	nip is
			not li	mited	to yo	outh c	connecte	d to	the	juver	ile ju	<u>istice</u>
			syster	<u>n.</u>								
•												
	<u>. </u>			,								
2.	Types of	clients.										
	37	Mala W Ta	1.									
	<u>X</u>	Male X Fe	emale									
		Alleged Offenders										
		Adjudicated Offenders										
		Drug Abusing Offender	S									
		Chronic Offenders	_									
		Serious/Violent Offende	ers									
*.		Sexual Offenders				-		•				
		Truants										
	*	Runaways										
	•	Uncontrolled Youth										
	<u>X</u>	Other (please specify):					benefit					The
						nited	to those	<u>invol</u>	ved	with t	<u>he juv</u>	<u>enile</u>
			Justice	e syste	m.							

EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAM - ADULT VOLUNTEER PROGRAM

Waukesha County Children's Center Structured Activities

Sponsor:

Wisconsin Correctional Service

Address:

414 West Moreland Boulevard, Waukesha, WI 53188

Contact Person:

Jeanine Maly

Telephone:

414/544-5431

Program Summary:

Within the framework of providing entertaining and/or educational diversions for juveniles detained by the court at the Waukesha County Children's Center, the Structured Activities Program seeks to increase residents' self-esteem and their ability to function in society. Volunteers are role models who set examples of appropriate social behavior while showing a genuine interest in young people, and respect for them as individuals with feelings and potential. Volunteers take residents on outings, participate in activities or visit with them in the Center. Most activities (crafts, sports, special presentations and outings) incorporate a sense of progression, provide small accomplishments, and/or broaden horizons.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$19,963.

Cost/youth/week: \$383.90

Staff:

1 part time, 50 (approx.) volunteers.

The Volunteer Coordinator/Recreation Planner must have a Bachelor Degree and experience in social services, public relations, education or recreation. After an initial information and screening session, volunteers receive a two-hour tour and orientation at the Children's Center, and a comprehensive handbook detailing procedures and requirements. Their first activities are supervised.

Clients: 1,165 in 1990. 30 can be served at any one time.

Years of Operation: 2 years as of January 1, 1992.

Criteria to Determine Success:

Clients and volunteers state that they look forward to these activities. Forms to generate measurable feedback to evaluate the Structured Activities Program are being prepared for 1992.

	<u>1989</u>	<u>1990</u>
# Activities and Events	n/a	323
# Volunteer Contacts - Shelter	168	1,052
# Volunteer Hours - Shelter Care	678	743
# Volunteer Contacts - Secure	131	324
# Volunteer Hours - Secure Detention	298	199
# Staff Contacts - Shelter & Secure	n/a	99

Participant Detail:

1.	Status	of	youth	in	the	juvenile	justice	system.

Early Intervention
Intake
Alleged-Informal
Consent Decree
Adjudicated In-Home
Adjudicated In Out-of-Home Care
Aftercare
Other (please specify):

<u>X</u>	Male X Female
X	Alleged Offenders
<u>X</u>	Adjudicated Offenders
<u>X</u> X	Drug Abusing Offenders
<u>X</u>	Chronic Offenders
<u>X</u>	Serious/Violent Offenders
<u>X</u>	Sexual Offenders
<u>X</u>	Truants
<u>X</u>	Runaways
X	Uncontrolled Youth
<u>X</u>	Other (please specify): Juveniles detained by the court at the Children's Center.

EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAM - GANG RELATED PROGRAM

Kenosha County Youth Project

Sponsor:

Kenosha County Department of Social Services and Community Impact

Programs

Address:

6233 - 39th Avenue, Kenosha, WI 53140

Contact Person:

Daniel J. Baran

Telephone:

414/654-1004

Program Summary:

The Kenosha County Youth Gang Diversion Project (Youth Project) is a comprehensive early intervention/prevention program designed to offer Kenosha County adolescents a positive alternative to youth gang involvement. It is designed to involve low income youth from "high youth gang activity areas" in recreational and other prosocial activities. Youth Project personnel are active in helping parents develop local (neighborhood) support networks as well as aiding them in accessing locally available resources.

Regularly scheduled informational meetings are held to inform and educate teachers, law enforcement agencies, and other community groups regarding intervention techniques, local resources, and referral procedures.

Core treatment/program goals are: 1) to prevent delinquent behavior and/or youth involvement in gang activity; 2) to encourage positive social interaction in home, school, and community; 3) to reduce the number of offenses during program involvement; and 4) to encourage a crime-free and chemical-free lifestyle.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$55,000.

Staff:

1 full time, 4 part time, 4 to 6 volunteers.

These positions are considered entry level and do not carry the same personnel requirements as other agency programs. In addition, this project is designed to offer at-risk youth tangible alternatives to "street life". To this end, all of the current Youth Project part-time staff are former participants in the program. All are currently residing in the community, attending college (full time) at one of the local university and working (part time) for the program.

Clients: 425 in 1990. 50 to 75 can be served at any one time.

Years of Operation: Since 1986

Criteria to Determine Success:

Success is measured by the percent of participants who remain free of Juvenile Intake Service referral while in the program (74 percent in 1990), and the percent diverted from gang involvement according to the Kenosha Police Department Gang Squad - (70 percent in 1990).

Participant Detail:

Ì.	. Status of youth in the juvenile justice system							
		Early Intervention Intake Alleged-Informal Consent Decree Adjudicated In-Home Adjudicated In Out-of-Home Care Aftercare						
		Other (please specify):						
2.	Types of X	clients. Male X Female						
	X X X X X ————————————————————————————	Alleged Offenders Adjudicated Offenders Drug Abusing Offenders Chronic Offenders Serious/Violent Offenders Sexual Offenders Truants Runaways Uncontrolled Youth						
Other (please specify):								

EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAM - COUNSELING PROGRAM

Kenosha County Parents and Children Together (PACT)

Sponsor:

Wisconsin Correctional Service

Address:

6225 - 22nd Avenue, Kenosha, WI 53143

Contact Person:

Manuel Phillip

Telephone:

414/658-0055

Program Summary:

PACT is a treatment program providing intensive in-home counseling services to children (between the ages of 10 to 17) and their families. Clients are ordered by the court to participate in the program. Programs goals are to prevent or reduce out-of-home placements and future contact with the juvenile justice system, and to improve family functioning. The PACT program provides the following services: intensive monitoring of client's behaviors at home, in school, and in the community in an effort to secure community safety; individual, group, and family counseling; recreational activities; and parent support/education groups.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$150,000.

Cost/youth/hour: \$28.

Staff:

4 full time, 1 part time.

Staff members must have a Bachelor's Degree in Social Work or related field, and must attend training and continuing education classes annually.

Clients: 51 in 1990. 30 can be served at any one time

Years of Operation: 3 years

Criteria to Determine Success:

Success is measured by the number of clients who accomplish their objectives, which are defined by the social worker on the referral form.

Participant Detail:

1. Status of youth in the juvenile justice system.

X Early Intervention
Intake
Alleged-Informal
X Consent Decree
X Adjudicated In-Home
X Adjudicated In Out-of-Home Care
X Aftercare
X Other (please specify): CHIPS

70%	Male	30%	Female		
X	Adjudice Drug Al Chronic Serious/ Sexual (Truants Runawa Uncontr	Offenders ated Offenders Offenders Violent Offenders Offenders ys olled Youth	nders	ally ret	arded.
	Cinci (t	rease shoor	J). 1410114	<u>.,,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	<u></u>

DETENTION ALTERNATIVES - NONSECURE DETENTION

Waukesha County Home Detention

Sponsor:

Wisconsin Correctional Service

Address:

414 West Moreland Boulevard #200, Waukesha, WI 53188

Contact Person:

Dolly Martin

Telephone:

414/544-4600

Program Summary:

The Home Detention Program provides a nonsecure custody alternative for alleged delinquents and status offenders to reduce the number of out-of-home placements while ensuring that youth make their court appearances. The program also provides a dispositional alternative of intensive in-home monitoring for juveniles under court-ordered supervision to ensure that these youth comply with the conditions of their orders.

Administrative Detail: .

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$93,315.

Staff:

3 full time.

Program staff must have a Bachelor's Degree in social work, criminology, psychology or related field; basic interviewing skills; and an understanding of the juvenile court process.

Clients: 224 in 1990. 36 can be served at any one time.

Years of Operation: 13

Criteria to Determine Success:

Program success is determined by the level of compliance with court expectations during home detention or intensive supervision, stable behavior during and after home detention/intensive supervision, and the youth's availability for court appearances.

Participant Detail:

1. Status of youth in the juvenile justice system.

<u>X</u>	Early Intervention	
<u>X</u>	Intake	
<u>X</u>	Alleged-Informal	
<u>X</u>	Consent Decree (Intensive supervision only)	•
<u>X</u>	Adjudicated In-Home (Intensive supervision only)	
X	Adjudicated In Out-of-Home Care (Intensive supervision only)	

X Aftercare
X Other (please specify): Used with both alleged and adjudicated delinquents and status offenders.

<u>X</u>	Male X Female
X X X X X X X X X	Alleged Offenders Adjudicated Offenders (Intensive supervision only) Drug Abusing Offenders Chronic Offenders Serious/Violent Offenders Sexual Offenders Truants Runaways Uncontrolled Youth Other (please specify):

DETENTION ALTERNATIVES - SECURE DETENTION

Waukesha County Shelter Care/Secure Detention

Sponsor:

Waukesha County Human Services/Children's Center

Address:

521 Riverview Avenue, Waukesha, WI 53188

Contact Person:

William Weber

Telephone:

414/548-7731

Program Summary:

The Waukesha County Children's Center is a co-ed juvenile detention facility operated by the Human Services Department to provide short-term care and supervision of youth in both secure and nonsecure settings. All placements and releases are authorized through juvenile court. Secure detention is a locked setting and is very restricted. It is licensed for 16 youth, ages 12 through 17 years old. Shelter care is a non-secure, unlocked environment and is less restrictive. It is licensed for 18 youth, ages 10 through 17 years old.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$1,013,156.

Cost/youth/day: \$147.

Staff:

24 full time, 5 part time, 10 on call.

Staff hold Bachelors Degrees and/or have previous experience in working with youth.

Clients: 1,241 in 1990. 34 can be served at any one time.

Years of Operation: 8

Criteria to Determine Success:

Success is measured by the level of utilization.

	<u>1989</u>	<u>1990</u>
New Admissions	1,256	1,241
Child Care Days	7,560	7,659
Annual Revenue	\$125,465	\$176,395
Operating Capacity	64.8%	64.2%

Participant Detail:

1. Status of youth in the juvenile justice system.

<u>X</u>	Early Intervention
<u>X</u>	Intake
<u>X</u>	Alleged-Informal
<u>X</u>	Consent Decree
<u>X</u>	Adjudicated In-Home
<u>X</u>	Adjudicated In Out-of-Home Care
<u>X</u>	Aftercare
	Other (please specify):

<u>X</u>	Male	<u>X</u>	Female						
<u>X</u> <u>X</u>	Adjudic	Offenders ated Offen	ders						
<u>X</u>		busing Off							
<u>X</u>	Chronic	Chronic Offenders							
X	Serious/Violent Offenders								
X	Sexual (Offenders							
<u>X</u>	Truants								
X	Runawa	ys							
X	Uncontr	olled Yout	h						
	Other (p	olease spec	ify):						

RESTITUTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Fond du Lac County Juvenile Restitution Project

Sponsor:

Fond du Lac County Department of Social Services

Address:

160 South Macy Street, Fond du Lac, WI 54935

Contact Person:

Mary Jo Keating/Jim Gaffney

Telephone:

414/929-3078

Program Summary:

The Juvenile Restitution Project assists delinquent youth in obtaining employment so that they can make monetary restitution to their victims. Youth are counseled in job-seeking skills and the program will schedule interviews for the youth when necessary.

Administrative Detail:

Cost: Annual Cost: \$52,000.

Staff: 1 full time, 1 part time.

Clients: 122 in 1990.

Years of Operation: 11

Criteria to Determine Success:

Program success is measured by the number of victims who receive restitituion or services. In 1990, 94.3 percent of all youth referred to the Juvenile Restitution Project were successful in meeting program objectives.

Participant Detail:

1. Status of youth in the juvenile justice system.

Early Intervention

X Intake

X Alleged-Informal

X Consent Decree

X Adjudicated In-Home

X Adjudicated In Out-of-Home Care

Aftercare

Other (please specify):

107	Male	15	Female				
28 94 X X	Adjudica Drug Al	Offenders ated Offenders Offenders					
X	Serious/Violent Offenders Sexual Offenders						
X	Truants						
		ys olled Yout lease speci					

RESTITUTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Fond du Lac County Juvenile Offender Work Program

Sponsor:

Fond du Lac County Department of Social Services 160 South Macy Street, Fond du Lac, WI 54935

Address: Contact Person:

Mary Jo Keating/Jim Gaffney

Telephone:

414/929-3078

Program Summary:

The Juvenile Offender Work Program enforces a court obligation that holds youthful offenders between the ages of 12 and 18 accountable for their behavior. Youth perform uncompensated community service work at 37 public sector worksites such as Walleye Weekend, Wisconsin Folk Fair, and the International Aerobatics Competition. In 1990, youth performed 4,479 hours of supervised community service.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost:

Staff:

1 full time, 1 part time.

Clients: 234 in 1990.

Years of Operation: 13

Criteria to Determine Success:

Fulfillment of community service obligations and recidivisn are used to measure success. In 1990, 97.5 percent of all youth referred to the program completed all assigned community service hours. The recidivism rate was 11.8 percent.

Participant Detail:

1. Status of youth in the juvenile justice system.

Early Intervention

X Intake

X Alleged-Informal

X Consent Decree

X Adjudicated In-Home

X Adjudicated In Out-of-Home Care

Aftercare

Other (please specify):

2. Types of clients.

RESTITUTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Outagamie County Restitution and Community/Victim Service Programs

Sponsor:

Outagamie County Department of Human Services

Address:

401 South Elm, Appleton, WI 54911

Contact Person:

Scott Kornish

Telephone:

414/832-5161

Program Summary:

Monetary restitution is one component of our four-part restitution program. The goal is to collect as much monetary restitution as possible while making work a meaningful experience for the offender. Although the program has access to a few employers, youth are encouraged and assisted in finding a job on their own. Job seeking skills are developed through contacts with the coordinator.

Community service is a second component. Its goal is to have delinquent youth provide meaningful service to the community in lieu of monetary restitution. Site placement is coordinated to provide a meaningful experience, and also to be geographically accessible to the youth.

Through the Victim Offender Reconciliation/Restitution segment of this program, a willing victim is involved in mediation/reconciliation with the juvenile offender. Each meeting is conducted by the restitution coordinator and allows the victim to question the offender and to talk about the feelings he/she experienced as a result of the offense. When appropriate, the victim and offender reach an agreement on restitution, and if the offender is remorseful, he apologizes.

No direct victim services have been provided recently. However, this repayment option has been used in the past. The use of direct victim services depends in large part on the victim's willingness to accept services from the offender or be involved with the youth. This service option must be monitored closely.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$45,056.

Cost/youth/hour: \$17.896

Staff: 1 full time staff.

The program coordinator has a Bachelor's Degree in Human Development and is a social worker.

Clients: Monetary restitution -- 90 in 1990, 45 at any one time.

Community service -- 187 in 1990, 80 at any one time.

Victim/offender reconciliation -- 39 in 1990, number involved at any one time varies.

Direct victim service -- 0 in 1990, 2 at any one time.

Years of Operation: 5

Criteria to Determine Success:

Monetary restitution: success is measured by the amount of money collected as compared to that ordered. Individual case success is based on completion of restitution payments.

Community service: success is measured by acceptable completion of community service hours assigned.

Victim/offender reconciliation: success is defined by the extent to which the offender is respectful, listens to the victim and answers questions. The ideal is an expression of remorse by the offender.

Direct victim service: success is determined by the extent to which the offender completes work to the satisfaction of the victim, and by whether problems arise while on the job.

Participant Detail:

1. Status of youth in the juvenile justice system.

	Early Intervention
<u>X</u>	Intake
<u>X</u>	Alleged-Informal
<u>X</u>	Consent Decree
<u>X</u>	Adjudicated In-Home
<u>X</u>	Adjudicated In Out-of-Home Care
<u>X</u>	Aftercare
	Other (please specify):

<u>X</u>	Male	<u>X</u>	Female							
<u>X</u> _X		Offenders	d 0 = 0							
<u> </u>	•	Adjudicated Offenders Drug Abusing Offenders								
<u>X</u>	Chronic Offenders									
<u>X</u>	Serious/Violent Offenders									
<u>X</u>	Sexual C	Offenders								
	Truants									
	Runaway									
	Uncontro	olled Yout	h							
	Other (p	lease speci	ify):							

RESTITUTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Ozaukee County Supervised Work and Payback (SWAP)

Sponsor:

The Youth Project, Inc.

Address:

314A North Franklin Street, Port Washington, WI 53074

Contact Person:

D. Bruce Kremin

Telephone:

414/284-7188

Program Summary:

The Ozaukee Youth Projects' Supervised Work and Payback Program (SWAP) is a combination Restitution and Community Service referral program which serves alleged and adjudicated delinquents in Ozaukee County. Referrals are accepted directly from Ozaukee County Circuit Court, the Department of Social Services, and juvenile police officers. Funding is provided to the program through Ozaukee County Youth Aids dollars on an annual award basis.

The community service component of the program designs approaches, develops sites, and monitors uncompensated service time ordered to the client population. The Restitution Program accepts responsibility for securing timely repayment to victims based on the juvenile's ability to pay, and advises the Circuit Court, Department of Social Services, and local juvenile officers on restitution amounts when requested.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$34,000.

Cost/youth/day: \$1.50

Staff:

1 full time.

Current staff requirements include a minimum of a Bachelors Degree in Social Work or an equivalent, with some exposure to juvenile justice system preferred.

Clients: 205 in 1990. 60 can be served at any one time.

Years of Operation: 6 (1985-1990)

Criteria to Determine Success:

Program success is based on the percentage of restitution and community service hours which are secured against the full amount ordered at disposition. From 1985 through 1990, SWAP secured an aggregate of 94 percent for restitution and 98 percent for community service.

Participant Detail:

1. St	atus o	f youth	in	the	juvenile	justice :	system.
-------	--------	---------	----	-----	----------	-----------	---------

<u>X</u>	Early Intervention								
<u>X</u>	Intake								
<u>X</u>	Alleged-Informal								
<u>X</u>	Consent Decree								
<u>X</u>	Adjudicated In-Home		. '						
<u>X</u>	Adjudicated In Out-of-I	Iome Care							
	Aftercare								
<u>X</u>	Other (please specify):	SWAP has	served	a lim	ited	<u>number</u>	of	clients	referred

informally by law enforcement agents.

<u>X</u>	Male X Female													
<u>X</u>	Alleged Offenders													
<u>X</u>	Adjudicated Offenders													
<u>X</u> X	Drug Abusing Offenders Chronic Offenders													
	Serious/Violent Offenders													
<u>X</u>	Sexual Offenders			•										
X	Truants Runaways													
X	Uncontrolled Youth													
<u>X</u>		P has							er o	f inf	forn	nal r	efer	<u>rals</u>
	for ex	arly ir	iter	<u>/enti</u>	on 1	our	ose	<u>s.</u>						

RESTITUTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Washington County Project Payback

Sponsor:

Washington County Department of Social Services

Address:

320 South Fifth Avenue, West Bend, WI 53095

Contact Person:

Karen Bougneit

Telephone:

414/335-4644

Program Summary:

Project Payback is a prevention and intervention program for youth who have committed delinquent or status offenses. The offender may have either restitution or community service obligations, or both. Approximately 40 percent of the wages paid to youth on the restitution program comes from a subsidy paid to private employers. Another 40 percent is entirely funded by private employers. Work crews were reintroduced in 1990 due to the large number of youth being referred. Community services hours were donated to city government, a nursing home, post-parade clean-up, Goodwill stores, day care centers, recycling centers, recreation centers, schools, etc.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$69,120.

Staff:

Clients: 155 in 1990.

Years of Operation: Since 1988

Criteria to Determine Success:

Youth are tracked for two years following the date their cases are closed, or until they turn 18. Data is available on youth who commit new offenses while in the program; within one year of closing; and within two years of closing but before age 18. Participants are grouped by those who completed the program, dropped out, or were rejected.

Participant Detail:

1.	Status	of	youth	in	the	juvenile	justice	system.
----	--------	----	-------	----	-----	----------	---------	---------

_____ Early Intervention
_____ Intake
_____ Alleged-Informal
_____ Consent Decree
_____ Adjudicated In-Home
_____ Adjudicated In Out-of-Home Care
_____ Aftercare
_____ Other (please specify):

74%	Male	26%	Female
X	Alleged	Offenders	
<u>X</u>	Adjudic	ated Offenc	lers
	Drug Al	ousing Offe	enders
<u>X</u>	Chronic	Offenders	
	Serious/	Violent Of	fenders
	Sexual (Offenders	
<u>X</u>	Truants		
-	Runawa	ys	
	Uncontr	olled Youth	1
	Other (r	lease speci	fv):

RESTITUTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

Waukesha County Restitution/Community Service

Sponsor:

Wisconsin Correctional Service

Address:

414 West Moreland Boulevard, Waukesha, WI 53188

Contact Person:

Dolly Martin

Telephone:

414/544-4600

Program Summary:

This program provides work experiences to assist juveniles in meeting their court-ordered restitution and community service obligations. While participating in the program, juvenile offenders are taught that they are responsible for their actions and accountable to their victims.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$96,352.

Staff:

2 full time.

Staff have experience with job site development, counseling and the juvenile court process. They receive basic interviewing skills training.

Clients: 507 in 1990. 200 to 250 can be served at any one time.

Years of Operation: 8

Criteria to Determine Success:

Success is measured by the extent to which participants pay restitution or complete their community service obligations in a timely manner.

Participant Detail:

	1.	Status	of youth	in the	juvenile	justice	system
--	----	--------	----------	--------	----------	---------	--------

Early Intervention

Intake

Alleged-Informal

Consent Decree

Adjudicated In-Home

Adjudicated In Out-of-Home Care

Aftercare

Other (please specify):

2. Types of clients.

X Male _X_ Female

Alleged Offenders

Adjudicated Offenders

X X X X X X X X X Drug Abusing Offenders

Chronic Offenders

Serious/Violent Offenders

Sexual Offenders

Truants

Runaways

Uncontrolled Youth Other (please specify):

YOUTH EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING PROGRAM

Waukesha County Preemployment and Placement (PEP)

Sponsor:

Wisconsin Correctional Service

Address:

414 West Moreland Boulevard #200, Waukesha, WI 53188

Contact Person:

Dolly Martin

Telephone:

414/544-4600

Program Summary:

PEP provides education and counseling to prepare youth for employment through career exploration and job readiness skill training.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$38,000.

Staff:

1 part time staff.

The staff position requires knowledge of employment law/rules, knowledge of job skills and job seeking skills, interviewing skills, and knowledge of job placement resources.

Clients: 102 in 1990. 25 to 30 can be served at any one time.

Years of Operation: 6

Criteria to Determine Success:

Success is measured by the youth acquisition and maintenance of employment, and by whether the youth exhibit persistence and age-appropriate competence when seeking jobs.

Participant Detail:

1. Status of youth in the juvenile justice system.

X Early Intervention
X Intake
X Alleged-Informal
X Consent Decree
X Adjudicated In-Home
X Adjudicated In Out-of-Home Care
X Aftercare
Other (please specify):

<u>X</u>	Male	<u>X</u>	Female
<u>X</u>	Alleged	Offenders	
<u>X</u>	Adjudica	ted Offen	ders
<u>X</u>	Drug Ab	using Off	enders
<u>X</u>		Offenders	
<u>X</u>	Serious/	Violent Of	fenders
<u>X</u>	Sexual O	ffenders	
<u>X</u>	Truants		
<u>X</u>	Runaway	'S	
<u>X</u>	Uncontro	lled Yout	h
	Other (pl	ease speci	ify):

DAY TREATMENT AND ALTERNATIVE SCHOOL PROGRAMS

Kenosha County Total Interagency Model for Education (TIME) Program

Sponsor:

Kenosha County Department of Social Services/Kenosha Unified School

District and Community Impact Programs

Address:

6233 - 39th Avenue, Kenosha, WI 53140

Contact Person:

Daniel J. Baran

Telephone:

414/654-1004

Program Summary:

TIME is an alternative school based at Hillcrest School and jointly funded by the Kenosha County Department of Social Services (KCDSS) and the Kenosha Unified School District (KUSD). It assists the client in improving his/her ability to comply with behavioral and academic expectations, and in refraining from delinquent activities by encouraging constructive recreational activities and development of adaptive social skills.

This project serves adjudicated youth and high-risk nonadjudicated youth between the ages of 12 and 18 who require an alternative school setting and intensive counseling and support services. Clients are also at risk of placement in a residential or correctional setting because of family and community difficulties.

Services include specialized education, counseling and recreation. The program is designed to provide clients with an atmosphere that is conducive to the development of positive and constructive relationships with adults who are also authority figures. TIME is designed to promote a greater sense of personal control and willingness to resolve conflicts at home, school, and within the community. TIME offers school-based counseling and intervention services, AODA counseling, afternoon and evening activities, truancy interventions, family outreach and counseling, token-economy behavior management and facilitation of support groups.

Core treatment goals include: 1) returning the client to a less restrictive school setting; 2) developing a crime-free and chemical-free lifestyle; 3) encouraging positive social interaction in school and the community; and 4) addressing problems in the family and resolving or modifying these problems.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$170,000.

Cost/youth/hour: \$8.

Staff: 5 full time, 2 part time

Staff generally have previous experience working with at-risk and delinquent youth and their families. Although a degree in a related field is required, it is not the sole criteria utilized to determine if an individual is appropriate for a position in the program. All personnel in the TIME program are closely supervised by senior staff from the Department of Social Services, the School District, and Community Impact Programs. Supervision takes the form of weekly internal staffings with the agency psychologist and weekly staffings with KCDSS case mangers. All staff are encouraged to increase their job skills through agency sponsored and/or financed training.

Clients: 54 in 1990. 32 can be served at any one time.

Years of Operation: Since 1985

Criteria to Determine Success:

Success indicators are:

- 47.4 percent remained free of further placement;
- 35.5 percent remained free of JIS referrals while in treatment.

Failure is indicated by:

- 26.3 percent were transferred to corrections;
- 5.3 percent were transferred to a CCI;
- 15.8 percent transferred to a more restrictive, noninstitutional setting, and
- 5.3 percent were waived to adult court.

Participant Detail:

•	Status of	youth in the juvenile justice system.		
		Early Intervention		
		Intake		
	<u>X</u>	Alleged-Informal		
	<u>X</u>	Consent Decree		
	<u>X</u>	Adjudicated In-Home		
		Adjudicated In Out-of-Home Care		
		Aftercare		
		Other (please specify):		

<u>X</u>	Male	<u>X</u>	Female						
<u>X</u>		Offenders							
<u>X</u>		ated Offen							
<u>X</u>	Drug Abusing Offenders								
<u>X</u>	Chronic Offenders								
<u>X</u>	Serious/	Violent Of	fenders						
<u>X</u>		Offenders							
<u>X</u>	Truants								
<u>X</u>	Runawa	ys							
<u>X</u>	Uncontro	olled Youtl	h						
	Other (p	lease speci	fy):						

DAY TREATMENT AND ALTERNATIVE SCHOOL PROGRAMS

Waukesha County Community Day Treatment Program

Sponsor:

St. Aemelian's-Lakeside, Inc./Waukesha County/Elmbrook School Systems

Address:

Contact Person:

Ed Renner, COT; Jeanette Braun, Waukesha County; Director of Special

Education, School Systems

Telephone:

414/781-3500, Ext. 54

Program Summary:

The Community Day Treatment Program of St. Aemelian's Child Care Center, Inc. and Elmbrook School District is a flexible educational/therapeutic program providing intensive and comprehensive social, educational, and mental health services to emotionally and behaviorally disturbed children and their families. Services are provided within the home, school and in community environments, and include: individual, group, and family therapy; ongoing assessment of social, emotional, physical, and psychological needs of client children and their families; and crisis intervention for the family on a 24-hour basis. The Program assists teachers in structuring educational and prevocational curriculum, and coordinates educational programs with other home and community services. It is a unique, jointly funded program paid for by the child's local school district (educational portion) and the Waukesha County Community Human Services Board (treatment portion).

Each school district determines when this self-contained educational program is appropriate for one if its students. The Day Treatment Program is used primarily when all programming at a district level has been exhausted.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$79,175.

Cost/youth/month: Approximately \$733.

Staff:

5 full time, 1 volunteer (Bachelor's Degree student).

Staff include a social worker with a Master's Degree, a Registered Occupational Therapist, a teacher certified in special education, and a teaching assistant.

Clients: 10 in 1990. 10 can be served at any one time.

Years of Operation: 15 years (developed in 1977)

1. Status of youth in the juvenile justice system.

Criteria to Determine Success:

Individual and family treatment plans and Individual Education Plans (IEP) are developed when the child enters the program. These plans are continually evaluated and the goals modified as necessary. The overall measure of program success is the student's successful transition to an appropriate less restrictive setting.

Participant Detail:

<u>X</u>	Early Intervention	
	Intake	
<u>X</u>	Alleged-Informal	
X	Consent Decree	
<u>X</u>	Adjudicated In-Home	
	Adjudicated In Out-of-Home Care	
	Aftercare	
<u>X</u>	Other (please specify): Aftercare from residential treatme	<u>ent.</u>

<u>X</u>	Male	<u>X</u>	Female
<u>X</u>	Alleged (Offenders	
<u>X</u>	Adjudicat	ed Offen	ders
X	Drug Abı	using Off	enders
	Chronic (Offenders	3
	Serious/V	iolent O	ffenders
	Sexual O	ffenders	
X	Truants		
X	Runaway	S	
X	Uncontro		th
	Other (pl	ease spec	ify):

DAY TREATMENT AND ALTERNATIVE SCHOOL PROGRAMS

Waukesha County Lauer Center/Alternative School

Sponsor: Address:

St. Charles Youth and Family Services 320 South Street, Waukesha, WI 53186

Contact Person:

Terry Donini 414/584-7384

Telephone:

Program Summary:

The Alternative School is a collaborative effort of the Waukesha Department of Human Services, Waukesha Public Schools, and the Lauer Center to provide individualized instruction to students who have problems with truancy or social skills. Attendance prepares students for a transition to regular school, with a half-day concentration on academic subjects, and the other half day for supervised work, volunteer experience, or group activities. These activities are focused on social or living skills, conflict resolution, employment skills, alcohol and other drug abuse, human sexuality, communication, etc. The school day ends with a planned and supervised recreational activity. Parents are expected to support their children in the program by maintaining communication with staff and requiring the students to attend classes.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$197,755.

Cost/youth/day: \$38.02

Staff:

5 full time.

The Program Director has a Master's Degree in Social Work, experience with community-based outreach services and knowledge of the public education system. The Education Coordinator, Teacher, Teacher's Aide and Recreation Specialist have appropriate bachelor degrees, and experience in alternative or exceptional education, curriculum development, and recreation programming.

All positions require a willingness to provide community-based outreach services to students and their families. Each team member's area of expertise lends unique support

and experience for families to draw upon.

Clients: 23 served in 1990 and 32 staffed. 20 can be served at any one time.

Years of Operation: 5 years

Criteria to Determine Success:

Program success is determined by improvements in the following: attendance and behavior at school; academic performance; family communication and functioning; child's self-esteem; child's peer relationships, and a reduction in behaviors leading to juvenile court action.

Participant Detail:

1.	Status	of youth in the juvenile justice system.
	<u>X</u>	Early Intervention
	<u>X</u>	Intake
	<u>X</u>	Alleged-Informal
	X	Consent Decree
	<u>X</u>	Adjudicated In-Home
	<u>X</u>	Adjudicated In Out-of-Home Care
		Aftercare
		Other (please specify):

<u>X</u>	Male	<u>X</u>	Female
<u>X</u>	Alleged	Offenders	
<u>X</u>	Adjudica	ated Offen	ders
X	Drug Abusing Offenders		
X	Chronic	Offenders	
X	Serious/	Violent Of	ffenders
X	Sexual C	Offenders	
X	Truants		
X	Runawa	ys	
X	Uncontr	olled Yout	h
		lease spec	

COMMUNITY ALTERNATIVE CARE PROGRAM

Burnett County Proctor Home Program

Sponsor:

Burnett County Department of Social Services

Address:

7410 County Road K #130, Siren, WI 54872

Contact Person:

Daniel Brown

Telephone:

715/349-2131

Program Summary:

The Proctor Home Program goals include reducing out-of-county placements by 50 percent, developing, implementing, and maintaining adequate nonsecure alternatives for alleged juvenile offenders, and reducing the number of juveniles detained in the Burnett County Jail by 75 percent.

The target population is juveniles who allegedly committed a delinquent act, and who may or may not be eligible for release. The proctor parents provide a supportive home-like atmosphere and ensure that the juveniles meet all school and court obligations.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$39,206.

Staff:

1 full time staff.

The staff person is responsible for recruiting and training the proctor parents, coordinating placements with the juvenile court worker, providing back-up relief to parents, maintaining up-to-date records and providing counseling to youth. Training is conducted on a continuing basis. The proctor parents are trained in many areas, including substance abuse, guidance and discipline and communication skills.

Clients: 8 in 1990. 8 can be served at any one time.

Years of Operation: Approximately 2 years.

Criteria to Determine Success:

The criteria used in determining success are the reduction in repeat offenses by participanting youth, the development of individualized placement plans, and the extent to which proctor parents receive ongoing support services.

Participant Detail:

1.	Status of youth in the juvenile justice system.			
	<u>X</u> <u>X</u>	Early Intervention Intake Alleged-Informal Consent Decree Adjudicated In-Home Adjudicated In Out-of-Hor Aftercare Other (please specify):	me Care	
2.	Types of	clients.		
	<u>X</u>	Male X Fema	ale	
	X X X ————————————————————————————————	Alleged Offenders Adjudicated Offenders Drug Abusing Offenders Chronic Offenders Serious/Violent Offenders Sexual Offenders Truants Runaways Uncontrolled Youth Other (please specify):		

COMMUNITY ALTERNATIVE CARE PROGRAM

Waukesha County Foster Care

Sponsor:

Waukesha County Department of Human Services

Address:

500 Riverview, Waukesha, WI 53188

Contact Person:

Telephone:

Peter Siesar 414/548-7259

Program Summary:

This program is designed to provide a temporary living environment that is supportive and therapeutic for youth unable to live in their own homes. The program assists youth in learning the skills necessary to live in a family environment.

Casework services to youth, foster parents and parents are provided by Human Service Department staff. These services are also purchased from private agencies for those cases for which no HSD resource exists. Target youth are all county residents under 18 years old.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$511,637.

Staff:

5 + 1 full time recruiter/licenser.

Social workers must meet general agency requirements for social worker eligibility.

Clients: 124 in 1990.

Years of Operation: Continually since agency started.

Criteria to Determine Success:

Success is defined by the bility to locate appropriate foster homes and to maintain placements while providing a therapeutic environment. Numerical standards for determining success do not presently exist.

Participant Detail:

1. Status of youth in the juvenile justice system	1.	Status	of	youth	in	the	juvenile	justice	system
---	----	--------	----	-------	----	-----	----------	---------	--------

	Early Intervention
<u>X</u>	Intake
<u> X</u>	Alleged-Informal
<u>X</u>	Consent Decree
	Adjudicated In-Home
<u>X</u>	Adjudicated In Out-of-Home Care
	Aftercare
	Other (please specify):

2. Types of clients.

<u>x</u>	Male	<u>X</u>	Female
X		Offenders	
<u>X</u>	Adjudic	ated Offen	ders
X	Drug Al	ousing Off	enders
<u>X</u>	Chronic	Offenders	
	Serious/	Violent Of	ffenders
	Sexual (Offenders	
<u>X</u>	Truants		
<u>X</u>	Runawa	ys	
X	Uncontr	olled Yout	h
	Other (r	lease spec	ify):

COMMUNITY ALTERNATIVE CARE PROGRAM

Waukesha County Group Homes

Sponsor:

Waukesha County Department of Human Services

Address:

500 Riverview, Waukesha, WI 53188

Contact Person:

Peter Slesar

Telephone:

414/548-7259

Program Summary:

This program provides temporary placement in a therapeutic setting for youth unable to remain in their own homes. Group dynamics are used to assist clients in improving peer interaction, learn independent living skills, and recover from AODA problems. Services are purchased from contracted agencies and are available to county residents under 18 years old.

Administrative Detail: .

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$294,910.

Cost/youth: Varies, depending on facility.

Staff:

1 full time staff.

Social workers must meet general agency requirements for social worker eligibility.

Clients: 31 in 1990.

Years of Operation:

Group home services have been utilized throughout the history of the

agency.

Criteria to Determine Success:

Success is determined by the ability to locate appropriate resources, to maintain placements, and to discharge youth to less restrictive community settings. Specific numerical criteria for determining success do not presently exist.

1.	Status of	youth in	the juvenile	e justice system.
		Intake Alleged- Consent Adjudica Adjudica Aftercare	Decree ted In-Hon ted In Out-	of-Home Care
2.	Types of	clients.		
	<u>X</u>	Male	_X_	Female
	X	Drug Abu Chronic (Serious/V Sexual Or Truants Runaways Uncontrol	ted Offende using Offer Offenders Violent Offe ffenders	nders

AFTERCARE PROGRAM

Kenosha County Intensive Aftercare Program

Sponsor:

Kenosha County Department of Social Services (KCDSS) and Professional

Services Group (PSG)

Address:

6233 - 39th Avenue, Kenosha, WI 53142

Contact Person:

Daniel J. Baran

Telephone:

414/654-1004

Program Summary:

The Intensive Aftercare Program is designed to reduce successive institutional placements and coordinate the reintegration of youth discharged from institutional settings back into the community without compromising community safety. The program focuses on youth currently in institutiona and those who have returned to the community.

Services are provided in successively less restrictive phases: institutional monitoring and liaison, prerelease counseling, community reintegration, community monitoring, on-call crisis support and family counseling. Electronic monitoring is an optional service component.

Core treatment goals are: 1) reduce Juvenile Services Intake (JIS) referrals during treatment and within six months after discharge; 2) reduce the number of offenses during treatment and within six months after discharge; 3) reduce the average length of placement in corrections and residential treatment; and 4) increase the number of youth remaining at home or in a nonrestrictive setting after institutional care.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$175,000.

Cost/youth/hour: \$8.04

Staff:

5 full time, 2 part time.

Staff generally have experience working with at-risk and delinquent youth and their families. A college degree is preferred, but is not the sole criteria used to determine whether a person is appropriate for the program. All personnel are closely supervised by staff from the KCDSS and PSG. Supervision occurs during weekly internal staffings with the agency psychologist and weekly staffings with KCDSS case managers. All staff are encouraged to increase their skills through agency-sponsored and/or funded training.

Clients: 143 in 1990. 60 can be served at any one time.

Years of Operation: Since 1987

Criteria to Determine Success:

Success is indicated by the percent of youth who remain free of further placement (71 percent in 1990), and the percent who remain free of Juvenile Intake Service referrals while in treatment (70.1 percent in 1990).

Failure is indicated by the 9.6 percent transferred to corrections, 4.1 percent transferred to more restrictive nonresidential settings, 5.5 percent discharged from the program prior to successful completion, 1.4 percent of clients and/or their families who refused to participate, and 8.2 percent waived to adult court.

1. Status o	of youth in	the juveni	le justice system
	Intake Alleged Consent Adjudic Adjudic Aftercar		ome it-of-Home Care
2. Types o	of clients.		
<u>X</u>	Male	<u>X</u>	Female
X	Adjudic Drug Al Chronic Serious/ Sexual (Truants Runawa	Offenders ated Offen busing Off Offenders Violent Offenders ys	ders enders ffenders

AFTERCARE PROGRAM

Waukesha County Intensive Aftercare Program

Sponsor:

Wisconsin Correctional Service

Address:

414 West Moreland Boulevard #200, Waukesha, WI 53188

Contact Person:

Dolly Martin

Telephone:

414/544-4600

Program Summary:

The Intensive Aftercare Program goals are to reduce recidivism of adjudicated delinquent youths returning to the community from correctional or CCI placements and to reduce the length of placement. The program provides highly intensive supervision (a minimum of two hours per day, seven days a week), for at least 90 days following discharge from the institution. Counseling, crisis intervention, coordination and transportation services are available.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$88,321.

Staff:

2 full time.

Staff are required to have one year experience in social work, counseling or related fields; a Bachelor's Degree in Social Work, Counseling or a related field; experience working with adolescents; and an understanding of juvenile court process.

Clients: 10 in 1991. 6 can be served at any one time.

Years of Operation: 1

Criteria to Determine Success:

Success is measured by the number of participants who do not recidivate. The Division of Youth Services tracking system/forms are used to determine this.

1.	Status of	youth in t	he juvenile	justice system.
		Adjudicat Aftercare	informal Decree ted In-Hon ted In Out-	of-Home Care
2.	Types of	clients.		
	<u>X</u>	Male	<u>X</u>	Female
	X X X X X	Drug Abu Chronic C Serious/V Sexual Of Truants Runaways Uncontrol	ed Offende using Offer Offenders iolent Offe fenders	nders enders

INDEPENDENT LIVING SKILLS

Brown County Independent Living Program

Sponsor:

Brown County Social Services

Address:

111 North Jefferson, Green Bay, WI 54301

Contact Person:

Lynn Wade

Telephone:

414/436-7029

Program Summary:

This program targets youth ages 16 to 19 years old. A trainer provides the youth with oneon-one independent living skills training to achieve mutually acceptable goals. Examples of services are: apartment seeking skills, job application information, how to open and balance a checking account.

Administrative Detail: .

Cost:

Annual Cost:

Cost/youth/month: \$15.00 (averages 10 hours per client)

Staff:

3 part time staff trainers who are hired by contract and work one to one with youth.

Although there are not specific education requirements, trainers must have experience working with youth and community resources. Trainers also need a background in social work or related field, and be willing to work with teens.

Clients: 14 in 1990. Up to 9 can be served at any one time.

Years of Operation: 3-1/2

Criteria to Determine Success:

Success is measured by whether clients meet their individual goals and attend a specified number of training sessions.

1.	Status of	youth in the juvenile jus	tice sys	tem.						
	<u>X</u>	Early Intervention					•			
	_X	Intake								
	-A-V	Alleged-Informal Consent Decree								
	X	Adjudicated In-Home								
	X X X X X	Adjudicated In Out-of-I	Home C	are						
		Aftercare								
		Other (please specify):								
2.	Types of	clients.								
	<u>X</u>	Male X Fe	emale						-	
	X	Alleged Offenders								
	X X X X X X	Adjudicated Offenders	•							
		Drug Abusing Offender	'S							
		Chronic Offenders								
		Serious/Violent Offende	ers							
	<u>X</u>	Sexual Offenders								
	<u>X</u>	Truants								
	X	Runaways						•		
		Uncontrolled Youth	Vouth	ے ماروں			amitami-	managed lear	~£	
	<u>X</u>	Other (please specify):			meet	program	спіепа	regardless	OI	past
			history	<u>.</u>						

INDEPENDENT LIVING SKILLS

Kenosha County Independent Living Program

Sponsor:

Kenosha Youth Development Services, Inc. (KYDS)

Address:

5407 Eighth Avenue, Kenosha, WI 53140

Contact Person:

Bryon Wright

Telephone:

414/657-7188

Program Summary:

The Independent Living Program prepares the older adjudicated adolescent to make a successful transition to living independently. The program consists of: 1) self sufficiency assessments for youth, 2) individualized treatment plans, 3) an array of placements ranging from an independent living group home to supervised apartment living, and 4) teaching independent living skills that the youth lack. The program has an array of living arrangements: apartments, rooming houses, boarding homes, restaurant resources, structured group home, and structured boarding home. Youth are expected to be enrolled in an educational program, be employed and remain crime free.

The program is flexible in planning to meet individual needs, identifying appropriate community resources to meet these needs, and has high expectations for the youth. Both tangible and intangible independent living skills are emphasized. The program works with the youth to adddress their immediate as well as long-term needs.

KYDS also uses its entire staff in a weekly peer review for ongoing training and consultation on difficult cases. The CIC (Community Intervention Center) assesses KYDS program participants for referral to counseling. Youth know they can call juvenile crisis should problems arise outside of working hours.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$316,549.

Group Home - \$70.00 per diem; Independent Living - \$22.75 per diem; Skills

Assessments - \$20.00 per hour

Staff:

6 full time, 6 part time.

The Program Coordinator has a Bachelor's Degree, graduate course work, and experience. All other staff have Bachelor's Degrees plus group home or crisis experience.

Clients: 72 in 1990. 20 can be served at any one time.

Years of Operation: Since 1985 (6 years)

Criteria to Determine Success:

Criteria used to measure success are the number of youth who are crime free, the number who move to less restricted living circumstances, and the number who have jobs or are in school.

Pai	ticipant I	<u>Detail</u> :											
1.	Status of	youth in the juvenile justi	ice system	1.									
		Early Intervention											
		Intake											
		Alleged-Informal											
		Consent Decree Adjudicated In-Home											
	X	Adjudicated In Out-of-H	ome Care	,									
	X	Aftercare											
	<u>X</u>	Other (please specify):				<u>19 iı</u>	n fos	ter c	are	with_	no p	<u>ossib</u>	ility
		•	of going l	home.	•								
2.	Types of	clients.											
	<u>X</u>	Male X Fer	male										
		Alleged Offenders											•
		Adjudicated Offenders					,						
	v	Drug Abusing Offenders Chronic Offenders											
	$\frac{\Lambda}{X}$	Serious/Violent Offender	rs										
		Sexual Offenders											
		Truants											
	X	Runaways											
	X	Uncontrolled Youth Other (please specify):											
		(hyanna ahaarr)),											

PROGRAMS TO IMPROVE PERSONAL, PHYSICAL AND MENTAL HEALTH

Outagamie County AODA Aftercare

Sponsor:

Outagamie County and Several Halfway Houses

Address:

401 South Elm Street, Appleton, WI 54911

Contact Person:

Mary Resch

Telephone:

414/832-5235

Program Summary:

Outagamie County places four to five youth in an AODA Halfway House per month. While there, the youth contine in a recovery program that builds on a successful completion of inpatient treatment. Family involvement is expected.

Administrative Detail:

Cost:

Annual Cost: \$149,040.

Staff:

Varies.

Staff must receive AODA training.

Clients: 11 in 1990. 4 to 5 can be served at any one time.

Years of Operation: 9 years

Criteria to Determine Success:

Program success is determined by the completion of treatment and continued recovery while in placement.

Status Of	youth in the juvenile justice system
	Early Intervention Intake Alleged-Informal Consent Decree Adjudicated In-Home Adjudicated In Out-of-Home Care Aftercare Other (please specify):
Types of	clients.
<u>X</u>	Male X Female
<u>X</u>	Alleged Offenders Adjudicated Offenders Drug Abusing Offenders Chronic Offenders Serious/Violent Offenders Sexual Offenders Truants Runaways Uncontrolled Youth Other (please specify):
	X X X Types of

IV. YOUTH AIDS COUNTY CONTACT DIRECTORY -- 1992

DISTRICT/ COUNTIES	YOUTH AIDS CONTACT	TELEPHONE NUMBER
SOUTHERN DISTRICT		
Columbia	Jan Jameson	608/742-9227
Crawford	Sandy Drobnick	608/326-0248
Dane	Maureen Torphy	608/242-6404
Grant	Annette Perkins	608/723-2136
Iowa	Bonnie Metcalf	608/935-9311
Green .	Kathleen Turner	608/328-9393
LaFayette	Paul Godfrey	608/776-4800
Richland	Stephen Sardeson	608/647-8821
Rock	Edward Schultz (Program)	608/755-2100
•	Judy Steinbicer (Fiscal)	608/756-5255
Sauk	Tim Green	608/356-4866
Vernon	Roberta Ward	608/637-2135
WESTERN DISTRICT		
Buffalo	Stuart Berg	(00/(05 4410
	Stamt Borg	608/685-4412
Chippewa	Jim Ziegert	715/723-2285
Chippewa Clark	<u>-</u>	
	Jim Ziegert	715/723-2285
Clark	Jim Ziegert Ken Arneson	715/723-2285 715/743-5233
Clark Dunn	Jim Ziegert Ken Arneson Larry Rhead	715/723-2285 715/743-5233 715/232-1116
Clark Dunn Eau Claire	Jim Ziegert Ken Arneson Larry Rhead Bernie Hoefgen	715/723-2285 715/743-5233 715/232-1116 715/833-1977
Clark Dunn Eau Claire Jackson	Jim Ziegert Ken Arneson Larry Rhead Bernie Hoefgen Carl Herrmann	715/723-2285 715/743-5233 715/232-1116 715/833-1977 715/284-4301
Clark Dunn Eau Claire Jackson La Crosse	Jim Ziegert Ken Arneson Larry Rhead Bernie Hoefgen Carl Herrmann Peter Dwyer	715/723-2285 715/743-5233 715/232-1116 715/833-1977 715/284-4301 608/785-6050
Clark Dunn Eau Claire Jackson La Crosse Monroe	Jim Ziegert Ken Arneson Larry Rhead Bernie Hoefgen Carl Herrmann Peter Dwyer Kent Jacobsen	715/723-2285 715/743-5233 715/232-1116 715/833-1977 715/284-4301 608/785-6050 608/269-8600
Clark Dunn Eau Claire Jackson La Crosse Monroe Pepin	Jim Ziegert Ken Arneson Larry Rhead Bernie Hoefgen Carl Herrmann Peter Dwyer Kent Jacobsen Phil Wicktor	715/723-2285 715/743-5233 715/232-1116 715/833-1977 715/284-4301 608/785-6050 608/269-8600 715/672-8941

DISTRICT/ COUNTIES	YOUTH AIDS CONTACT	TELEPHONE NUMBER
NORTHERN DISTRICT		
Rhinelander Office		
Barron	Linda Duerkop	715/537-6346
Florence	Robert J. Macaux	715/528-3296
Forest	Sallie Deer	715/478-3351
Oneida	Paul Spencer, Jr.	715/362-5695
Polk	Rich Kammerud	715/485-3133
Rusk	Phil Strop	715/532-2116
Vilas	Audrey Roecker	715/479-3668
Ashland Office		
Ashland	Connie Newman	715/682-7004
Bayfield	Cheryl Huenink	715/373-6127
Burnett	Pam Peterson	715/349-2131
Douglas	Steve Koszarek	715/394-0307
Iron	Lawrence Samardich	715/561-3636
Sawyer	Patricia Acheson	715/634-4806
Price	Thomas Bentz	715/339-2158
Washburn	Dennis Boland	715/468-7878
CENTRAL DISTRICT		
Adams	Jay Wendlick	608/339-3356
Green Lake	Susan Sleezer	414/294-4070
Juneau	Pat Liston	608/847-9400
Langlade	Jim Meisinger	715/627-4750
Marathon	Tom Buttner	715/847-5700
Lincoln	Curtis Moe	715/536-6200
Marquette	Kenneth Ramminger	608/297-9135
Portage	Jerry Koeppel	715/345-5350
Taylor	Craig Alwin	715/748-3332
Waupaca	Dave Forsberg	715/258-6300
Waushara	David Davies	414/787-3303
Wood	Ronald Schroeder	715/421-1010

DISTRICT/ COUNTIES	YOUTH AIDS CONTACT	TELEPHONE NUMBER
EASTERN DISTRICT		
Brown	Karen Anthony	414/436-7043
Calumet	Mary Kennedy	414/849-1400
Door	Beth Lewis	414/746-2300
Fond du Lac	Mary Jo Keating	414/929-3078
Kewaunee	Giles J. Hanson	414/388-3777
Manitowoc	Mary Brandt	414/683-4235
Marinette	David Harper	715/732-7700
Menominee	Barbara Nelson	715/799-3861
Oconto	Mike Lommis & Greg Benesh	414/834-3865
Outagamie	Mary Resch (Services)	414/832-5235
	Diane Doden (Fiscal)	414/832-5638
Shawano	Richard Kane	715/526-4700
Sheboygan	Ann Wondergem	414/459-3251
Winnebago	John C. Meltz	414/236-4641
SOUTHEASTERN DIST	TRICT	
Dodge	Sue Milarch	414/386-3750
Jefferson	Beverly Marten	414/674-3105
Kenosha	Seymour J. Adler	414/653-6516
Ozaukee	Bob Haupt	414/284-8200
Racine	Hugh Griffith (Program)	414/636-3570
	Janet Payne (Planning)	414/636-3523
Walworth	Judy Sicard (Program)	414/741-3200
	John Bigler (Planning)	414/741-3200
Washington	Mike Bloedorn	414/335-4617
Waukesha	Tracey Priestley	414/548-7226
	Pat Voss	414/548-7366
MILWAUKEE DISTRIC		
Milwaukee	Kathy Malone	414/257-7704