# AMONG INSTITUTIONALIZED JUVENILE BOYS <br> AT RED WING 



Prepared By
Research, Information \& Data Systems
November 1972

MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

SURVEY OF DRUG USE
AMONG INSTITUTIONALIZED JUVENILE BOYS AT RED WING

Prepared By
Research, Information \& Data Systems

## November 197.2

This survey represents a sample of 114 boys from the Red Wing Training School. Whatever boys were available at the time of the survey were given the questionnaire in groups of 15 to 20 boys each. It is the first survey of drug use by institutionalized youth in Minnesota. The purpose was to determine the extent of drug use by youth committed to the State Training School and to determine the attitudes toward drugs by both users and nonusers. It was felt that these attitudes must be analyzed before setting up a drug education program.

This study compares experience with, and attitudes toward drugs of youth in the rural, urban, and metropolitan areas. Eighteen boys did not designate their hometown area and are included as a fourth category. The unknowns will not be useful when comparing geographic areas, but the responses of the unknowns should not be disregarded.

Two changes were made in classifying the extent of drug use. The first change in determining usage was the ommission of chemical sniffing and alcohol because it was found that many boys who had indicated they used either or both of these drugs but none of the others, did not consider themselves users when they responded to many of the other questions. Thus, the classification, Non User, Experimenter, and User are determined by the following drugs; marijuana/hashish, amphetamines, barbiturates, cocaine, narcotics, and hallucinogens.

The second change was made when grouping boys into one of three drug use categories. This change was in the non-user category and was necessary because it was felt that a person who had only experimented with marijuana or hashish and had not tried any other drug did not really qualify as an experimenter. The rationale for this is the generally accepted attitude of users that one does not get high the first few times he smokes marijuana or hashish. It wās felt that such a person is more similar to a non-user than he is to one who had experimented with drugs such as acid, coke, or heroin. If such a person had experimented with marijuana or hashish and also with any of the other drugs, he was then classified as an experimenter. Anyone who had used any of the drugs (except chemical sniffing and alcohol) at least five times, was considered a user. Thus, those who had only used alcohol or chemicals were classified as non-users. Only two boys reported that they had never used alcohol, and only nine reported they had never experimented with marijuana. There were no boys who had cnly used chemicals.

The following tables present the characteristics of the sample boys compared with the total population in the institution as of June 30, 1971.

| TABLE 1: Mean Age |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Samples | STSB Boys as <br> Of $06-30-71$ |  |
| Mean Age | 16.5 | 17.1 |

The tables in Part I deal with drug use itself while the tables in Part II deal with attitudes towards drugs.

The testing was done in groups of 15 to 20 boys at a time by two volunteers from the University of Minnesota. There were no follow-up interviews and the information is entirely from the responses on the questionnaire. A copy of the questionnaire is included in the Appendix.

TABLE 1: Breakdown of Drug Experience By Area And Use.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-User } \\ & \# \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Experimenter \# |  | User |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metro | 8 | 18.6 | 1 | 2.3 | 34 | 79.1 | 43 | 37.7 |
| Urban | 6 | 19.4 | 2 | 6.5 | 23 | 74.2 | 31 | 27.2 |
| Rural | 6 | 27.3 | 1 | 4.6 | 15 | 68.2 | 22 | 19.3 |
| Unknown | 1 | 5.6 | 2 | 11.1 | 15 | 83.3 | 18 | 15.8 |
| TOTAL | 21 | 18.4 | 6 | 5.3 | 87 | 76.3 | 114 | 100.0 |

As can be seen in Table 1 , over three-fourths of those tested fell within the user category. Less than one-fifth were classified as non-users. Out of 21 non-users, eight reportedly had experimented with marijuana but were listed as non-users for reasons stated earlier. The low number of experimenters should be considered when reviewing their responses in subsequent tables

TABLE 2: Race

|  | SAMPLE |  | STSB |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White | 84 | 77.1 | 177 | 82.0 |
| Black | 12 | 11.0 | 18 | 8.3 |
| Indian | 10 | 9.2 | 19 | 8.8 |
| Other | 3 | 2.7 | 2 | 0.9 |
| Unknown | 5 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 114 |  | 216 |  |

TABLE Place of Residence

|  | SAMPLE |  | STSB |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Rural | 22 | 22.9 | 39 | 18.2 |
| Urban | 31 | 32.3 | 84 | 39.3 |
| Metropolitan | 43 | 44.8 | 91 | 42.5 |
| Unknown | 18 |  | 2 |  |
| TOTAL | 114 | 216 |  |  |

It seems probable that the use of drugs among STSB boys is at least somewhat over-stated. The sample selected leads to some bias, because both non-whites and metropolitan area youth are over-represented. Almost $90 \%$ of the non-whites were classified as users and $76 \%$ of the whites. Similarly $79 \%$ of metropciitan area youth were characterized as users and $68 \%$ of rural youth were classified as users.

TABLE 2: Drug Use by Area and Typo of Drug

|  | Metro afea |  | URBAN APEA |  | RURAL AREA |  | Unwwown |  | total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $x$ |  | * |  | * |  | * |
| Alcotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Over 10 times | 35 | 89.4 | 22 | 71.0 | 18 | 81.8 | 16 | 88.9 | 9. | 79.8 |
| 5-10 times | 3 | 7.0 | 5 | 16.1 | 3 | 13.6 |  |  | 11 | 9.7 |
| Experimented | 3 | 7.0 | 3 | 9.7 | 1 | 4.5 |  |  | 7 | 6.1 |
| Never used No resperise | 2 | 4.7 | 1 | 3.2 |  |  | 2 | 11.1 | 5 | 4.4 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.1 | 31 | 100.0 | 22 | 99.9 | 18 | 100.0 | 114 | 100.0 |
| mari uana |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Over 10 times | 34 | 72.1 | 17 | 54.8 | 11 | 50.0 | 11 | 61.1 | 70 | 61.4 |
| 5-10 times | 2 | 4.7 | 4 | 12.9 | 2 | 9.1 | 3 | 16.7 | 11 | 9.7 |
| Experimented | 5 | 11.6 | 5 | 16.1 | 5 | 22.7 | 2 | 11.1 | 17 | 14.9 |
| Never used | 5 | 11.6 | 5 | 16.1 | 3 | 13.6 | 2 | 11.1 | 15 | 13.2 |
| No response |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4.6 |  |  | 1 | . 9 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.0 | 31 | 99.9 | 22 | 100.0 | 18 | 100.0 | 114 | 100.0 |
| hallucinogen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Over 10 times | 21 | 48.8 | 9 | 29.0 | 6 | 27.3 | 9 | 50.0 | 45 | 39.5 |
| 5-10 times | 4 | 9.3 | 5 | 16.1 | 1 | 4.6 |  |  | 10 | 8.8 |
| Experimented | 2 | 4.7 | 3 | 9.7 | 4 | 18.2 | 2 | 11.1 | 11 | 9.7 |
| Never used | 14 | 32.6 | 14 | 45.2 | 11 | 50.0 | 7 | 38.9 | 46 | 40.4 |
| No recponse | 2 | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1.8 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.0 | 31 | 100.0 | 22 | 100.1 | 18 | 100.0 | 114 | 100.2 |
| AMPHETAMINES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Over 10 times | 18 | 41.9 | 10 | 32.3 | 9 | 41.0 | 7 | 38.9 | 44 | 38.6 |
| 5-10 times: | 9 | 20.9 | 5 | 16.1 | 2 | 9.1 | 4 | 22.2 | 20 | 17.5 |
| Experimented | 3 | 7.0 | 2 | 6.5 | 2 | 9.1 | 5 | 27.8 | 12 | 10.5 |
| Never used | 11 | 25.6 | 14 | 45.2 | 9 | 44.0 | 2 | 11.1 | 36 | 31.6 |
| No response | 2 | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1.8 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.1 | 31 | 100.1 | 22 | 100.2 | 18 | 100.0 | 114 | 100.0 |
| CHEMICALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Over 10 times | 14 | 32.6 | 7 | 22.6 | 8 | 36.4 | 5 | 27.8 | 34 | 29.8 |
| 5-10 times | 4 | 9.3 | 8 | 25.8 | 2 | 9.1 | 3 | 16.7 | 17 | 14.9 |
| Experimented | 10 | 23.3 | 5 | 16.1 | 7 | 31.8 | 3 | 16.7 | 25 | 21.9 |
| Nover used | 14 | 32.6 | 11 | 35.5 | 5 | 22.7 | 7. | 38.9 | 37 | 32.5 |
| No response | 1 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | . 9 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.1 | 31 | 100.0 | 22 | 100.0 | 18 | 100.1 | 114 | 100.0 |


| barbiturates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over 10 times | 14 | 32.6 | 4 | 12.9 | 4 | 18.2 | 5 | 27.8 | 27 | 23.7 |
| 5-10 times | 6 | 14.0 | 5 | 16.1 | 2 | 9.1 | 3 | 16.7 | 16 | 14.0 |
| Experimented | 7 | 16.3 | 8 | 25.8 | 3 | 13.6 | 5 | 27.8 | 23 | 20 |
| Nover used | 14 | 32.7 | 14 | 45.2 | 12 | 54.6 | 5 | 27.8 | 45 | 39. |
| No response | 2 | 4.7 |  |  | 1 | 4.6 |  |  | 3 | 2.6 |
| total | 43 | 100.3 | 31 | 100.0 | 22 | 100.1 | 18 | 100.1 | 114 | 100. |

narcotics

| Over 10 times | 10 | 23.3 | 3 | 9.7 | 1 | 4.6 | 3 | 16.7 | 17 | 15.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5-10$ times | 3 | 7.0 | 5 | 16.1 | 3 | 13.6 | 2 | 11.1 | 13 | 11.4 |
| Experimented | 7 | 16.3 | 5 | 16.1 | 2 | 9.1 | 3 | 16.7 | 17 | 15.0 |
| Nover used | 20 | 46.5 | 18 | 58.1 | 15 | 68.2 | 10 | 55.6 | 63 | 55.3 |
| No response | 3 | 7.0 |  |  | 1 | 4.6 |  |  | 4 | 3.5 |
| TotAL | 43 | 100.1 | 31 | 100.0 | 22 | 100.1 | 18 | 100.1 | 114 | 100.2 |

TAELE 2: Drug Use by Aree and Type of Orug, (Cont'd)

|  | METRO AREA |  | URBAN AREA |  | RURAL AREA |  | UNKNOW 1 |  | total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | 8 | II | \% | \# | \% | \# | $\otimes$ |
| COCAINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Over 10 times | 3. | 7.0 | 3 | 9.7 | 1 | 4.6 | 1 | 5.6 | 8 | 7.0 |
| 5-10 times | 6 | 14.0 | 2 | 6.5 | 3 | 13.6 | 1 | 5.6 | 12 | 10.5 |
| Experimented | 4 | 9.3 | 2 | 6.5 | 2 | 9.1 | 2 | 11.1 | 10 | 8.8 |
| Never used | 26 | 60.5 | 24 | 77.4 | 15 | 68.2 | 14 | 77.8 | 79 | 69.3 |
| No response | 4 | 9.3 |  |  | 1 | 4.6 |  |  | 5 | 4.4 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.1 | 31 | 100.1 | 22 | 100.1 | 18 | 100.1 | 114 | 100.0 |

Table 2 indicates a high percentage of users in all four area categories. Many of the boys surveyed used more than one drug listed above more than 10 times and it appears that the users have had experience with a wide variety of drugs. Table 2 lists the drugs in the order of most common usage.

TAQE 3: Of Five of Your Friends, How Many Have Ever Tried Oruge to Get Hight

|  | NON USER |  | Experimenter |  | USER |  | TOTL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| METRO AREA | 1 | $x$ |  |  | 1 | $\underline{x}$ | $\pm$ | $x$ |
| 0 Friands | 2 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4.7 |
| 1 Frierd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Friends | 1 | 12.5 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2.3 |
| 3 Friends | 1 | 12.5 |  |  | 1 | 2.9 | 2 | 4.7 |
| 4 Friends | 1 | 12.5 |  |  |  | 2.9 | 2 | 4.7 |
| 5 Friends Ho Response | 3 | 37.5 | 1 | 100.0 | 32 | 94.1 | 36 | 83.7 |
| TOTAL | 8 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 34 | 99.9 | 43 | 100.1 |
| URBAN AfEA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 Frionds | 2 | 33.3 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 6.5 |
| 1 Friend |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4.4 | 1 | 3.2 |
| 2 friends | 1 | 16.7 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3.2 |
| 3 Friends | 1 | 16.7 |  |  | 1 | 4.4 | 2 | 6.5 |
| 4 Priends |  |  | 2 | 100.0 | 1 | 4.4 | 3 | 9.7 |
| 5 Frionda | 2 | 33.3 |  |  | 20 | 87.0 | 22 | 71.0 |
| Ho Rozponse |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 6 | 100.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 23 | 100.2 | 31 | 100.1 |
| RURAL AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 Friends | 2 | 33.3 |  |  | 1 | 6.7 | 3 | 13.6 |
| 1 Friend | 1 | 16.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Friends 3 Friends | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 9.1 |
| 4 Friends | 1 | 16.7 | 1 | 100.0 | 2 | 33.3 | 4 | 18.2 |
| 5 Frionde |  |  |  |  | 12 | 80.0 | 12 | 54.6 |
| TOTM. | 6 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 15 | 100.0 | 22 | 100.1 |
| afea ungoin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 Friends |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6.7 | 1 | 5.6 |
| 1 Friend |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Friande |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6.7 6.7 | 1 2 | 5.6 11.1 |
| 3 Frionds |  |  | 1 | 50.0 | 1 | 6.7 | 2 | 11.1 |
| 4 Frisurds Ho Response | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 50.0 | 11 1 | 73.3 6.7 | 13 1 | $\begin{gathered} 72.2 \\ 5.6 \end{gathered}$ |
| TOTM. | 1 | 100.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 15 | 100.1 | 18 | 100.1 |
| all miens |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 Frianda | 6 | 23.6 |  |  | 2 | 2.3 | 8 | 7.0 |
| 1 Friend | 1 | 4.8 |  |  | 1 | 1.1 | 2 | 1.8 |
| 2 friende | 2 | 9.5 |  |  | 1 | 1.1 | 3 | 2.6 |
| 3 Friende | 4 | 19.1 | 1 | 16.7 | 3 | 3.5 | 8 | 7.0 |
| 4 Friende | 2 | 9.5 | 3 | 50.0 | 4 | 4.6 | 9 | 7.9 |
| 5 Frionds | 6 | 28.6 | 2 | 33.3 | 75 | 86.2 | 83 | 72.8 |
| no respewse |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1.1 | , | , |
| toinl | 21 | 100.1 | 6 | 100.0 | 87 | 99.9 | 114 | 10.0 |

Table 3 illustrates that users are more apt to have friends who are users. In the metropolitan area for example, $25 \%$ of the non-users reported that none of their friends ever used a drug to get high, while none of the users stated this. On the contrary, $94.1 \%$ of the metropolitan users said that out of 5 friends, all 5 had used drugs to get high. This is also true in the urban and rural areas although the percentages are not as great.

All of the metropolitan area users reported that at least 3 out of 5 friends have taken a drug to get high. In the urban area this figure is 95.7\% and in the rural area 93.3\%.

| WETRO AREA | MOK-USER |  | EXPERIMEATER |  | USER |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | * | $x$ | \# | \% | 1 | x | * | * |
| 5 Friends | 2 | 25.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 17 | 50.0 | 20 | 46.5 |
| 4 Friends |  |  |  |  | 3 | 8.8 | 3 | 7.0 |
| 3 Frienda | 1 | 12.5 |  |  | 6 | 17.7 | 7 | 16.3 |
| 2 Friende | 1 | 12.5 |  |  | + | 11.8 | 5 | 11.6 |
| 1 Friend | 1 | 12.5 |  |  | 1 | 2.9 | 2 | 4.7 |
| 0 Friends | 3 | 37.5 |  |  | 2 | 5.9 | 5 | 11.6 |
| No Response |  |  |  |  | . 1 | 2.9 | 1 | 2.3 |
| TOTAL | 8 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 34 | 100.0 | 43 | 100.0 |
| urban area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Friends | 1 | 16.7 |  |  | 8 | 34.8 | 9 | 29.0 |
| 4 Friends |  |  |  |  | 3 | 13.0 | 3 | 9.7 |
| 3 Friends | 1 | 16.7 |  |  | 4 | 17.4 | 5 | 16.1 |
| 2 Friends | 1 | 16.7 | 1 | 50.0 | 5 | 21.7 | 7 | 22.6 |
| 1 Friond |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 Frisends | 1 | 16.7 | 1 | 50.0 | 1 | 4.4 | 3 | 9.7 |
| No Reesponas | 2 | 33.3 |  |  | 2 | 8.7 | 4 | 12.9 |
| TOTAL | 6 | 100.1 | 2 | 100.0 | 23 | 100.0 | 31 | 100.0 |
| RURAL AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Friends |  |  |  |  | 4 | 26.7 | 4 | 18.2 |
| 4 Friends |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6.7 | 1 | 4.6 |
| 3 Friande |  |  |  |  | 2 | 13.3 | 2 | 9.1 |
| 2 Frionds | 2 | 33.3 | 1 | 100.0 | 2 |  | 5 | 22.7 |
| 1 Friend 0 Friends |  |  |  |  | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 20.0 $i 2.3$ | 3 | 13.6 27.3 |
| (to Responso | 4 | 66.7 |  |  | 2 1 | i2.3 | 1 | 27.3 4.6 |
| TOTAL | 6 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0- | 15 | 100.0 | 22 | 100.1 |
| Gll ArEas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Friende 4 Friend: | 3 | 14.3 | 1 | 16.7 | 34 8 | 39.1 9.2 | 38 88 | 33.3 7.0 |
| 3 Frienda | 2 | 9.5 |  |  | 15 | 17.2 | 17 | 14.9 |
| 2 Friends | 4 | 19.1 | 3 | 50.0 | 12 | 13.8 | 19 | 16.7 |
| 1 Friond | 1 | 4.8 |  |  | 4 | 4.6 | 5 | 4.4 |
| 0 Friande | g | 42.9 | 1 | 16.7 | 8 | 9.2 | 18 | 15.8 |
| No Reaponse | 2 | 9.5 | 1 | 16.7 | 6 | 6.9 | 9 | 7.9 |
| TOTAL | 21 | 100.1 | 6 | 100.1 | 87 | 100.0 | 114 | 100.0 |

Table 4 illustrates how many of five friends have used drugs regularly. Again the number of users who say that five out of five friends use drugs regularly is quite high. The highest percent is in the was highest with 91.38 of the users and $83.3 \%$ of the non-users indicating they knew someone who they would consider as having a drug problem. For the rural area the figures are $66.7 \%$ of the non-users and $86.7 \%$ of the users.

Metropolitan area youth were less likely to know anyone with a drug problem although 62.5\% of the users and $82.4 \%$ of non-users did state they knew someone with a drug problem. This may be because metropolitan youth are less likely to view drug use as harmful. Because of the nature of the questionnaire many youth may have included alcohol as a drug problem.

|  | Non-User |  | Experimenter |  | User |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | 응 | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Yes | 15 | 71.4 | 4 | 66.7 | 73 | 83.9 | 92 | 80.7 |
| No | 4 | 19.1 | 2 | 33.3 | 4 | 4.6 | 10 | 8.8 |
| Maybe | 2 | 9.5 |  |  | 9 | 10.3 | 11 | 9.7 |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Response |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | . 9 |
| total | 21 | 100.0 | 6 | 100.0 | 87 | 100.0 | 11.4 | 100.1 |

What constituted a drug problem was left to the individual to define. The purpose of the question was to get an indication of how many boys had friends who had an experience with drugs that could be interpreted as being a problem. The responses were high in all area roupings as well as in the non-user and user categories. The urban area

PABLE 6: If You Have Ever Used Drugs To Get High, Did Your Parents Or Guardian Ever Know About It.

|  | USERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Metro |  | Urban |  | Rural |  | Unknown |  | Total |  |
|  | \# | 8 | \# | 告 | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Yes | 26 | 76.5 | 13 | 56.5 | 11 | 73.3 | 9 | 60.0 | 59 | 67.8 |
| No | 1 | 2.9 | 5 | 21.7 | 2 | 13.3 | 3 | 20.0 | 11 | 12.6 |
| Not Sure | 7 | 20.6 | 5 | 21.7 | 2 | 13.3 | 2 | 13.3 | 16 | 18.4 |
| No Response |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6.7 | 1 | 1.1 |
| TOTAL | 34 | 100.0 | 23 | 99.9 | 15 | 99.9 | 15 | 100.0 | 87 | 99.9 |

Two thirds of the boys stated that their parents realize they are experimenting with drugs. How many of these are referring to alcohol but not other drugs is not known. However, in classifying users, alcohol and chemical sniffing were omitted. Therefore, all of the above respondents have used at least one of the other drugs more than five times in order to be classified as a user. From the above data we can assume that many parents are aware of their childrens' drug experience. The parents of urban users are least likely to know that their child uses drugs; the parents of metropolitan users are more likely to know. Over 50 percent of the users say their parents know about their drug use. In the metropolitan area this figure is $76.5 \%$ and in the urban areas 73.3\%.

TABLE 7: When Was The Last Time You Took A Drug To Get High. USERS

|  | Metro |  | Urban |  | Rural |  | Unknowns |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | 운 | \# | \% | \# | 응 | \# | \% |
| Over 6 Mos. | 12 | 35.3 | 12 | 52.2 | 8 | 53.3 | 3 | 20.0 | 35 | 40.2 |
| 1-6 Month | 17 | 50.0 | 8 | 34.8 | 7 | 46.7 | 7 | 46.7 | 39 | 44.8 |
| Past Month | 5 | 14.7 | 3 | 13.0 |  |  | 3 | 20.0 | 11 | 12.6 |
| Past Week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . O R Response |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 13.3 | 2 | 2.3 |
| TOTAL | 34 | 100.0 | 23 | 100.0 | 15 | 100.0 | 15 | 100.0 | 87 | 99.9 |

None of the boys admitted to using drugs in the past week. The time since last use is probably related to the length of time in the institution. In a later question asking why the respondent quit drugs one option offered a fill-in response. The most common answer written in was "because I was sent up."

Thise 8: If You Have Evex Taken Drugs To Get High, Where Did You Normally
Do So.


Table 8 indicates that drug use is a social affaic. Almost 40 percent of the respondents stated they were most apt to use drugs when other people were present. Eighteen percent reported they normally got high at home. This does not indicate if they were alone or with other users.

Not only do metropolitan youth use drugs more frequently, but they also begin at an earlier age. Table 9 above shows that $41.2 \%$ of the metropolitan area respondents first used drugs to get high at age 12 or 13 while $39.1 \%$ of the urban area and only $26.6 \%$ of the rural are youth did so at this age. Some boys reported that they did not remember exactly when they first began using drugs

The median age for the users is 16.3 years. The median age for the users first experience with drugs is 13.5 years. By area, the median ages of the users are; 16.5 years for metropolitan youth, 15.7 years for urban youth and 16.3 years for rural youth. As for first experience with drugs, the median ages are; 13.3 years for metropolitan youth, 13.8 years for urban youth, and 14.5 years for rural youth.

|  | USERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Metro |  | Urban |  | Rural |  | Unknown |  | Total |  |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Friend |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| My Age | 18 | 52.9 | 14 | 60.9 | 9 | 60.0 | 9 | 60.0 | 50 | 57.5 |
| Adult | 2 | 5.9 | 2 | 8.7 | 3 | 20.0 | 1 | 6.7 | 8 | 9.2 |
| Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gathering | 7 | 20.6 | 2 | 8.7 | 1 | 6.7 | 4 | 26.7 | 14 | 16.1 |
| Other | 6 | 17,7 | 5 | 21.7 | 2 | 13.3 |  |  | 13 | 14.9 |
| No Response | 1 | 2.9 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6.7 | 2 | 2.3 |
| rotal | 34 | 100.0 | 23 | 100.0 | 15 | 100.0 |  | 100.1 | 87 | 100.0 |

The most common response to this question was the category, friends my age, This accounted for $57.5 \%$ of the total responses. Next most common was the group gathering such as a party or gang. Very few, only 9.2\%, were introduced to drugs by an adult although the 'other' category may include adults. The other category was a write in option. The most common answer given was a brother, cousin or other relative.


TABLE 13: Do You Drink Coffee Or Tea.
USERS

|  | Metro |  | Urban |  | Rural |  | Unknowns |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{ }$ | 8 | \# | 옹 | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Yos | 12 | 35.3 | 9 | 39.1 | 11 | 73.3 | 10 | 66.7 | 42 | 48.3 |
| No | 10 | 29.4 | 5 | 21.7 | 1 | 6.7 | 1 | 6.7 | 17 | 19.5 |
| Occasionally | 10 | 29.4 | 9 | 39.1 | 3 | 20.0 | 4 | 26.7 | 26 | 29.9 |
| No Response | 2 | 5.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2.3 |
| Total | 34. | 100.0 | 23 | 99.9 | 15 | 100.0 | 15 | 100.1 | 87 | 100.0 |

boy out of 114 stated that his information on drugs came from his parents.

TABLE 15: Do You Feel The Use Of Drugs Can Affect Performance At School Or Work Enough To Be Harmful To The User.

|  | Non-User |  | Experimenter |  | User |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Yes | 19 | 90.5 | 3 | 50.0 | 54 | 62.1 | 76 | 66.7 |
| No |  |  |  |  | 13 | 14.9 | 13 | 11.4 |
| Maybe | 2 | 9.5 | 3 | 50.0 | 19 | 21.8 | 24 | 21.1 |
| No Response |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | . 9 |
| Total | 21 | 100.0 | 6 | 100.0 | 87 | 100.0 | 11.4 | 100.1 |

None of the non-users in any area category responded 'no' to this question and only two responded with 'maybe'. The users did not agree as strongly, however. In the metropolitan area, $55.9 \%$ agreed, $17.7 \%$ disagreed, and $26.5 \%$ stated maybe. The urban area had $65.2 \%$ agreeing, $8.7 \%$ disagreeing and $21.7 \%$ stating maybe.

Eighty percent of rural boys felt that drug use could affect performance and $20 \%$ responded that it might affect performance.

This table indicates that most of the boys rely on their friends and experimentation to learn about drugs. Only 1 out of 21 non-users and 2 out of 87 users reported that they learned about drugs and their effects through school. Many of the non-users in all three areas reported they did not know much about drugs. Of the users in the rural area, $53.3 \%$ stated they learned from friends. This dropped to $35.3 \%$ in the metropolitan area and to $26.1 \%$ in the urban area. only one.

TABLE 16: Do You Think Drugs Are Habit Forming. (exclude narcotics: opium, heroin etc.)

|  | Non-User |  | Experimenter |  | User |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | q | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Yes | 9 | 42.9 | 4 | 66.7 | 42 | 48.3 | 55 | 48.3 |
| No | 5 | 23.8 | 1 | 16.7 | 30 | 34.5 | 36 | 31.6 |
| Not Sure | 6 | 28.6 | 1 | 16.7 | 13 | 14.9 | 20 | 17.5 |
| No Response | 1 | 4.8 |  |  | 2 | 2.3 | 3 | 2.6 |
| Total | 21 |  | 6 |  | 87 |  | 114 |  |

Less than half of the boys felt that drugs (excluding the narcotics) are habit forming. There is not a great deal of difference between user and non-user in this regard, although the non-user was more likely to respond 'not sure'.

As a whole boys from rural areas were more likely to feel that drugs are habit forming (63.6\%); metropolitan area youth were least likely to feel that drugs are habit forming (39.5\%).

TABLE 17: Are You Interested In More Information on Drugs.


TABLE 18: Of The Information You Have Seen On Drugs, Do You Believe It Is Accurate

|  | Non-User |  | Experimenter |  | User |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | 8 | \# | \% |
| Yes | 10 | 47.6 |  |  | 23 | 26.4 | 33 | 29.0 |
| No | 2 | 9.5 | 2 | 33.3 | 27 | 31.0 | 31 | 27.2 |
| Not Sure | 9 | 42.9 | 4 | 66.7 | 35 | 40.2 | 48 | 42.1 |
| No Response |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2.3 | 2 | 1.8 |
| Total | 21 | 100.0 | 6 | 100.0 | 87 | 99.9 | 114 | 100.1 |

These figures show that the users are less likely to believe in the accuracy of drug information than are the non-users. This may be due to the fact that the users can rely on their own and frienas' experience to ascertain the validity of drug information while the nonusers can not do so. Of more importance is the number of users or non-users who responded with not sure. In all areas this response was the most. common. Of the total respondents, 69.3\% either are not sure or do not believe the information they have been given on drugs.

This may indicate that youtr no longer believe the drug information and drug warnings they recei\% from the schools and news media; however, very few youth indicated they learned about drugs from their schools. Over 66 percent reported that they learned about drugs from their friends or by experimentation.

TABLE 19: Which Do You Feel Is Worse, A Drinking Problem Or A Drug Problem.
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Non-users are much more likely to feel that a drug problem is worse than a drinking problem. Twenty-one percent of users feel that a drinking problem is worse compared with only 4.8 percent of nonusers. Users were also more likely to claim that both were equally bad.

TABLE 20: Why Do You Think School Kids Take Drugs.

| I2ANK | Curiosity | Someone Urges Them | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Confor- } \\ & \text { mity } \end{aligned}$ | Thrill | Rebellion | To Escape Forget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 31.3 | 30.0 | 25.0 | 21.7 | 1.6 | 32.9 |
| 2 | 18.6 | 27.1 | 15.6 | 15.9 | 8.2 | 11.0 |
| 3 | 24.3 | 20.0 | 7.8 | 26.1 | 8.2 | 9.8 |
| 4 | 7.1 | 8.6 | 23.4 | 18.8 | 18.0 | 11.0 |
| 5 | 7.1 | 8.6 | 14.1 | 14.6 | 31.1 | 11.0 |
| 6 | 10.0 | 5.7 | 14.1 | 2.9 | 30.0 | 21.9 |
| 7 | 1.5 |  |  |  | 3.3 |  |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 20 presents the percentage in ranks 1-7 for each category. Respondents were asked to rank the reasons that school kids take drugs. The reason most often ranked first was "want to escape, forget"; it was also often ranked as number six. Curiosity was also often ranked first. If mean rankings are used (Table 2l) a slightly different picture emerges. There is general agreement among users and non-users on the primary reason most kids take drugs; that is, they both rank "because someone urged it" near the top of the scale. They both tend to rank "rebellion" at the bottom of the scale.

TABLE 21: Mean Rank Scores

|  | Non-User | User | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Because someone urged it | 2.58 | 2.59 | 2.56 |
| Curiosity | 3.17 | 2.73 | 2.76 |
| Thrill | 3.40 | 2.89 | 2.94 |
| Escape, to forget | 2.83 | 3.41 | 3.32 |
| Conformity | 2.70 | 3.45 | 3.59 |
| Rebellion | 4.58 | 4.71 | 4.70 |

There was a difference, too, between rural, urban and metropolitan youth. The biggest differences were in the mean, ranking of "Escape, to forget" and in "Conformity." Rural youth were more likely to give a high ranking to "Escape, to forget" than were urban or metropolitan youth. Rural and urban youth were more likely to rank "Conformity" lower than 'were metropolitan youth.

TABLE 22: If You Have Used Drugs More Than Once And Quit; Why.

|  | Experimenter |  | User |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Realized something bad was happening to me | 2 | 33.3 | 26 | 31.3 | 28 | 31.5 |
| Got caught a punished | 1 | 16.7 | 11 | 13.3 | 12 | 13.5 |
| Saw someone suffer | 1 | 16.7 | 5 | 6.0 | 6 | 6.7 |
| Did nothing for me | 1 | 16.7 | 8 | 9.6 | 9 | 10.1 |
| Don't know | 1 | 16.7 | 12 | 14.5 | 13 | 14.6 |
| Got sent to institution |  |  | 12 | 14.5 | 12 | 13.5 |
| No response |  |  | 9 | 10.8 | 9 | 10.1 |
| TOTAL | 6 | 100.1 | 83 | 100.0 | 89 | 100.0 |

Almost one-third of the boys who claimed to have quit using drugs did so because they realized something bad was happening. Perhaps many of those who responded 'got sent to an institution' really had no intentions of quitting. In fact one could assume from these responses that all the experimenters and users in the sample have quit the use of drugs; and that most of them quit for reasons other than the unavailability of the drugs.

TABLE 23: Drugs Ranked By Harmfulness - All Respondents ( $1=$ most harmful $6=$ least harmful)

| RANK | Alcohol |  | Marijuana |  | Barbiturates$\qquad$ |  | Amphetamines <br> \# \% |  | Hallucinogens$\#$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Narcotics } \\ & \# \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | 1 | . 9 | 5 | 4.4 | 7 | 6.1 | 13 | 11.4 | 84 | 73.7 |
| 2 | 10 | 8.8 | 1 | . 9 | 14 | 12.3 | 14 | 12.3 | 36 | 31.6 | 12 | 10.5 |
| 3 | 10 | 8.8 | 1 | . 9 | 30 | 26.3 | 29 | 25.4 | 13 | 11.4 | 1 | - 9 |
| 4 | 4 | 3.5 |  |  | 27 | 23.7 | 30 | 26.3 | 20 | 17.5 | 4 | 3.5 |
| 5 | 42 | 36.8 | 19 | 16.7 | 9 | 7.9 | 7 | 6.1 | 8 | 7.0 |  |  |
| 6 | 20 | 17.5 | 63 | 55.3 |  |  | 2 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |
| No Resp. | 28 | 24.6 | 29 | 25.4 | 29 | 25.4 | 25 | 21.9 | 24 | 21.1 | 13 | 11.4 |
| TOTAL | 114 | 100.0 | 114 | 100.1 | 114 | 100.0 | 114 | 99.9 | 114 | 100.0 | 114 | 100.0 |

This table illustrates how the respondents categorized each drug as to harmfulness. For example, none of the respondents ranked alcohol as most harmful but 84 stated they felt that narcotics were most harmful. None felt that narcotics were least harmful and 20 felt alcohol was least harmful while 63 or $55.3 \%$ felt that marijuana was least harmful.

In the three area groupings, narcotics were considered to be the most harmful by both the users and non-users. In the metropolitan area, $79.1 \%$ felt this way. In the rural area this figure was "1.0\% and in the urban it was $71.0 \%$. The non-users in each area group ranked hallucinogens as harmful more often than the users did.

TABLE 24: If You Had A Drug Problem, Who Would You Be Most Likely To Contact For Help.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Motropolitan } \\ & \# \end{aligned}$ |  | \# Urban |  | \# Rural |  | Unknown, |  | \#Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adult Friend of Faraly or Close Friend | 35 | 34.0 | 22 | 26.8 | 23 | 35.4 | 19 | 44.2 | 99 | 33.9 |
| Family doctor, Hosp. Drug House | 21 | 20.4 | 21 | 25.6 | 12 | 18.5 | 5 | 11.6 | 59 | 20.1 |
| Hember of Family | 23 | 22.3 | 14 | 17.1 | 9 | 13.8 | 8 | 18.6 | 54 | 18.4 |
| Ex-Addict | 7 | 6.8 | 11 | 13.4 | 8 | 12.3 | 5 | 11.6 | 31 | 10.6 |
| Teacher, Counselor Group Leader, Clergyman | 11 | 10.7 | 9 | 11.0 | 8 | 12.3 | 2 | 4.7 | 30 | 10.2 |
| Other | 5 | 4.9 | 4 | 4.9 | 4 | 6.2 | 2 | 4.7 | 15 | 5.1 |
| Dealer or Pusher | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 1.2 | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 4.7 | 5 | 1.7 |

$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { TOTAL } & 103 & 100.0 & 82 & 100.0 & 65 & 100.0 & 43 & 100.1 & 293 & 100.0\end{array}$

The instructions were to indicate first, second, and third choice but some respondents checked one or two, or checked items without ranking.

Over one-third of the sample boys reported that if they had a drug problem they would most likely seek help from a close friend or a friend of the family. Less than twenty percent would seek help from members of their own family. Urban youth would be more likely to contact the family doctor, a hospital or a drug house for addicts than would rural or metropolitan youth. Only $10 \%$ felt that they would contact teachers, courselors, group leaders or a clergyman.


When each occupation or relationship was taken individually, the ex-addict was ranked "third" Ranked first was close friend and member of family. The following is a breakdown of the total responses for each option.

| Close Friend | $26.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Family Member | 18.4 |
| Ex-addict | 10.6 |
| Hospital | 8.5 |
| Adult Friend | 7.5 |
| Drug House | 6.4 |
| Family Doctor | 5.2 |
| Other | 5.1 |
| Group Leader | 4.5 |
| Counselor | 3.7 |
| Dealer/Pusher | 1.7 |
| Teacher | 1.0 |
| Clergyman | 1.0 |
| Police |  |

Most who chose "other" wrote in a relative like a cousin or brother in the space provided.

The results of this survey indicate that many youth at the Red Wing Training school have used a wide variety of drugs. Boys were classified as non-users, experimenters or users. A user was defined as one who used any drug, except chemical sniffing and alcohol, at Jeast five times. An experimenter was defined as one who used these drugs less than five times and a non-user was defined as one who used no drugs, but who may have used alcohol. Most of the users indicated that they had used many of the drugs over 10 times. We may assume that these boys are fairly well experienced with a wide variety of drugs. Less than one-fifth of the 114 boys indicated they had never experimented with non-prescription drugs. Not only have the boys at Red Wing used drugs, but many of their friends have used drugs. Almost $73 \%$ indicated that of five friends, all had tried drugs; $33 \%$ indicated that all of these five friends use drugs regularly. Eighty percent responded that they knew someone who has or has had a drug problem. Whether it was a physical or mental dependency on drugs or a bummer trip is not known. What is important is that so many boys seem to be exposed to drugs and drug users.

The boys were asked who they would turn to for help with a drug problem. A close friend was preferred by most of the respondents and a member of family was next. Most of the professions like doctor, teacher, counselor, clergyman that were offered as possibilities received very few responses. In other words, those that could help the most would be sought the least while the least helpful would be sought the most.

This survey is being conducted by the Research Department for the purpose of gaining Information about drug use by teenagers in order to determine the need for drug education programs. Your answers will be held STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL, therefore we ask that you do not put your names on the questionnaire. No individual responses
will be given out to anyone.

| 1. Circle any drugs which you have used to fet himh. | How maciy times have you used these drungs? |  |  |  | Do you want to or intend to use in the future? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEVER } \\ & \text { USED } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXPERS- } \\ & \text { MENTEO } \\ & \text { WITH } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5-10 \\ & \text { TTMES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { MORE } \\ \text { THAN } 10 \\ \text { TIMES } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Yes | NO |
| A. Marijuana Hashish |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. Aranetamines: <br> speed, uppers, hearts, pep pills, roses, footballs, bennies, comilots, dexies, crystal, white crosses, black dex other: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. Barblturates: downers, blue heaver, blese, reds, red devils, noof balls, rainbovs other: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D, Cocalne |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E. Narcotics: oplum, morphine, codeine, heroln, demerol, methadone |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F. Chemicals (snifiting) Qlue, cement, gasoline, palnt thinner, turpentine, other: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G. Hollucinogens: <br> L.SD, STP(DOM), THC, PCP; MDA, DMT, angel dust, peyote, mescaline, psilocybin |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H. Alcohol: <br> beer, wine, hard liquor |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$ AGE $\qquad$ PACE $\qquad$ RELIGION $\qquad$
SCHOOL GRADE $\qquad$ HOME TOWN: (CIRCLE ONE): RURAL URBAN METROPOLITAN ARE For the following questions, answer as best you can. Try to answer all of them if possible.

1. Of five of your friends, how many have ever tried drugs to get high? $\qquad$
2. Of these five, how many do you feel use drugs regularly? $\qquad$
3. Do you know or have you ever known anyone personnally who has or has had a drug problem? $\qquad$ (yes, no, maybe).
4. If you have ever used drugs to get high, did your parents or guardian ever know about it?
a. yes

- no
c. I have never used drugs to get high
d. they may have susperted but vere not sure

5. When was the last time you took a drug to get high?
a. today
b. during the past week
c. during the last month
d. between a month and six months ago
e. have never taken drugs to get high
f. over six months ago
6. If you have taken drugs, where did you narmally do so?
a. at home
b. at schoo!
c. driving around
d. group gatherings (party, gang, etc.)
e. alone
f. never take drugs
7. How did you learn about different drugs and their effects? a. reading on my own
. from friends
d. from schoolt
d. froin parents
e. from experimentation
f. from experimentation
f. dontt $\qquad$
B. Do you feel the use of drugs can affect performance at school or work enough to be harmful to the user? $\qquad$ (yes, no, maybe).
8. Do you think druns are abit forming? (exclude narcotics, opium, heroin, etc.) a. yes c. not sure
9. What age were you when you first used a drug to get high? $\qquad$ (put never if you have not used drugs to get high)
10. Who first introduced you to the use of druos?
a. frinot use drugs
-. a friend my age
C. an adult
d. a group gathering (party, gang, etc.)
11. Which drug would you condisder to be the most harmful to the user? (rank 1 through 6 with I being the most harmful)
mar
amphetamines (uppers)

| barblurates (downers) hallucinogens (acid) narcotics (heroin, etr. alcohol |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

3. Have you ever known anyoie who has tried any of the following:
a. sniffed chemicals

- marijuana
c. acid
d. amphetamines
e. barbiturates
- gotten drunk
g. Injected any drug


14. Are you interested in obtaining more information on ar
15. Of the information you have seen on drugs, do you believe it is accurate?
a. yes
. not sure
16. If you had a drug problem, please indicate who you would be most likely to contac for help. Please indicate your Ist, 2nd, and 3rd choice _ member of my family adult friend of family family doctor
teacher
group lead
_ close frlend

| clergyman <br> hospital <br> police <br> drug house for addicts <br> ex_addict <br> dealer or pusher <br> other: |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

. Have any members of your family used drugs to your knowledge? yes $\qquad$
$\qquad$
18. Which arug do you consider to be most harmful to the user? $\qquad$
9. Whith do you feel is worse, a drinking problem or a arug problem?
a. a drinking problem
b. at drug problem
c. both are equally bad
d. neither are bad

20. Why do you think school kids take drugs? (rank in order lst irequent reason) | $\quad$ curiosity |
| :--- |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { because someone urged it } \\ \text { conformity }\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { thrill } \\ \text { ——ebellion } \\ \text { wanted to escape or forget }\end{array}$ |
| other: |
21. If you have used drugs more than once, and have since quit, why?
a. realized something bad was happening to me
b. got caught and punished
c. saw someone else suffer and decided to quit
d. it did nothing for me
e. donit
. other: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
22. Do you smoke cigarettes requiarly? yes no ___
23. Do you drink coffee or tea?
a. yes
a. no
c. ocrassionally

END

