

STATE OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

THE STATE OFFICE BUILDING CAMPUS

ALBANY, N.Y. 12226

THOMAS A. COUGHLIN III



CHESTER H. CLARK ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER

Division of Program Planning, Research and Evaluation

PROFILE OF SECOND FELONY NON-VIOLENT OFFENDERS

The New York State Penal Law requires that persons convicted of a second felony offense be committed to state prison. Proposals before the New York State Legislature would amend the penal law to provide judges the option of considering alternatives to a state prison sentence for second felony offenders convicted of a class D or E non-violent crime. This report presents a profile of second felony offenders convicted of class D or E non-violent offenses who were committed to the Department of Correctional Services during 1990. Information on commitment offense, prior felony offense, minimum sentence, age, ethnicity, sex and region of commitment is presented. The report is preceded by a summary of the main findings.

March 1991

138784

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material in microfiche only has been granted by

New York State Department of Correctional Services

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS)

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

Report prepared by:

William R. Chapman
Program Research Specialist III
Division of Program Planning,
Research and Evaluation

SUMMARY

- 1. Recent proposals before the New York State Legislature would amend the Penal Law to provide judges the option of considering alternatives to a State prison sentence for certain second felony offenders convicted of a class D or E non-violent crime.
- 2. In calendar year 1990, the New York State Department of Correctional Services received 4,891 new court commitments who were second felony offenders convicted of a class D or E non-violent felony crime and whose prior conviction was also a non-violent felony.
- 3. Of these 4,891 second felony class D and E non-violent commitments, 64% were convicted of drug offenses, 29% were convicted of property offenses, and 7% were convicted of offenses that had some element of coercion (see Table 2, p.6).
- 4. For these 4,891 offenders, the prior felony crime was a drug offense for 57% of the cases, a property offense for 32% of the cases and an offense involving some element of coercion for 11% of the cases (see Table 3, p.7).
- 5. The median minimum sentence for second felony class D and E non-violent offenders was 24 months. Seventy-six percent of these offenders received the lowest legally allowable minimum sentence (see Table 6, p.12 and Table 5, p.11).
- 6. Second felony class D and E offenders committed from New York City were most likely to be convicted of a drug offense (71% of cases), as were those committed from Suburban New York (56% drug offenses). For the Upstate counties, offenders in this group were most likely to have been convicted of a property offense (64%) (see Table 7, p.13).
- 7. Of the 4,891 second felony offenders committed for class D and E non-violent crimes, 89% were male, 11% female. Ten percent were white, 49% black, 40% Hispanic and 1% Asian or Native American (see Table 8, p.15).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

													F	AGES
Summary	• , • •	•		•	. •	. •	•	•	•	•	•	•		i
Table of	Conte	ents	•	. •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠.		ii
Introduc	tion	•		•	• ,	•	•	•	•		. •			1
Proposed	Legis	slat	ion R	eqa:	rdi	ng	Sec	ond	Fe	lon	У			
Offend	_	. •		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
Profile	of Sec	cond	Felo	ny (Off	end	lers	Co	nvi	cte	d o	f		
Class	D and	E No	on-vi	ole	nt	Cri	mes	•	•		• ,	• -	•	3
A.	Crime	e by	Felo	ny (Cri	me	Cla	ss	•	•	• ,	• ,	•	4
в.	Crim	e by	Sex	•	•	•	•	•.	•.	•	• .	•,	•	4
c.	Prio	r Co	nvict	ion	Of	fer	ise	. •	•	•	•	•	•	4
D.	Mini	mum :	Sente	nce		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
E.	Regio	on o	f the	st	ate	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	10
F,	Age,	Ethi	nic S	tati	us	and	i Se	x	•	•	•	•		10

PROFILE OF SECOND FELONY NON-VIOLENT OFFENDERS

The New York State Penal Law (Section 70.06) requires that persons convicted of a second felony offense must receive a sentence to state prison. This legislation was enacted in 1973 along with dramatic changes in the laws pertaining to use and sale of controlled substances (often referred to as the Rockefeller drug laws). In recent years, the question has been asked whether the incarceration of non-violent lower felony class category (e.g. class D and E) offenders is an appropriate use of criminal justice resources. The New York Times summarizes the issue as follows:

The law had one noble purpose. It was intended to insure prison time for recidivists who financed drug habits with muggings and burglaries. But as New York City police mounted aggressive sweeps to rescue neighborhoods from crack, huge numbers of small-time drug dealers became subject to the law. Now the state's severe fiscal problems force the question of whether it makes sense to imprison relatively minor, nonviolent offenders in cells that cost more than \$100,000 each to construct and \$25,000 per year to operate. (New York Times 2/25/91 Final Edition p. A-18)

In response to this concern, amendments to the New York State Penal Law and the New York State Criminal Procedure Law have been proposed which would permit judges to sentence certain class D and E non-violent felons to probation or some other sentencing alternative other than an indeterminate sentence to state prison. The information presented below provides a brief profile of the subset of second felony offenders that would be affected if recently introduced proposals were to be enacted into law.

PROPOSED LEGISLATION REGARDING SECOND FELONY OFFENDERS

The most recent proposal concerning the second felony offender law is contained in the Community Corrections Act (Senate Bill #3014; referred to Senate Finance Committee; Assembly Bill 4514, referred to Assembly Ways and Means Committee). These proposals would provide greater discretion to prosecuting attorneys and to judges at sentencing.

More Discretion to Prosecuting Attorney

The Community Correction Bill would amend subdivision 2 of section 400.21 of the Criminal Procedure Law to provide that a defendant could not be sentenced as a second felony offender (under 70.06 of the Penal Law) "unless a statement regarding a predicate felony conviction has been filed" (emphasis added). This predicate felony statement to be filed by the prosecutor contains the date and place of each alleged prior felony conviction along with the location and length (including specific dates) of any prior confinement that resulted from these prior Section 400.21 of the Criminal Procedure Law would convictions. be further amended to provide that the predicate felony statement (document setting forth date and place of each alleged predicate felony conviction) "...may be filed by the prosecutor before sentence is imposed..." In brief, a defendant cannot be sentenced as a second felony offender unless a predicate felony statement has been filed, and, it would be the option of the prosecuting attorney to file such a statement. This discretion A defendant not sentenced as a second felony is significant. offender under Article 70.02 would be sentenced as if he were a first felony offender which could mean a shorter sentence if a sentence to state prison is handed down (i.e. the minimum term for a first felony offender cannot exceed one-third of the maximum sentence; in contrast, the minimum period of imprisonment for a second felony offender must be fixed at one-half the maximum term; further, sentences for second felony offenders are generally more severe than those for first felony offenders). Furthermore, certain defendants convicted of class C, class D or class E offenses would (if not sentenced as a second felony offender under 70.06 of Penal Law) be eligible for alternative sentences such as probation, conditional discharge, unconditional discharge, fine, split sentence (jail followed by probation), restitution, or various combinations of these options (see Section 65.00 New York State Penal Law or New York State Sentence Charts 1990).

More Discretion to Judges at Sentencing

The Community Corrections Act would also extend to judges the discretion to sentence certain second felony offenders convicted of class D or class E non-violent felonies to probation or other sentencing alternatives rather than to State prison. Subdivision 2 of Section 70.06 of the Penal Law (Sentence of Imprisonment for Second Felony Offender) requires that where the court finds the person to be a second felony offender "the court must impose an indeterminate sentence of imprisonment." The Community Corrections Act would add the following language to this Subdivision:

However, in cases involving class D and E felonies otherwise subject to sentence under this section, where the predicate offense did not subject the offender to a sentence pursuant to the provisions of Section 70.02 of this article, the court may, in the interest of justice, sentence the offender pursuant to the terms of Section 65.00 or 70.00 of this chapter.

In brief, for second felony offenders convicted of class D and E non-violent felony offenses and where the prior offense was not a legislatively designated violent felony crime, the judge may sentence the defendant to probation or other alternative sentence (under Section 65.00 of New York State Penal Law), or, where a state prison sentence is handed down, the judge may apply the less severe terms of imprisonment that apply to first felony offenders (under Section 70.00 of the Penal Law).

PROFILE OF SECOND FELONY OFFENDERS CONVICTED OF CLASS D AND CLASS E NON-VIOLENT FELONY CRIMES

During calendar year 1990 the New York State Department of Correctional Services received 7,247 new court commitments who were sentenced as second felony offenders and who had been convicted of class D and class E non-violent felony crimes. Felony offenses in New York State are grouped into felony class categories that range from A-I to E with the more serious crimes categorized as class A-I, class A-II or class B and the less serious offenses categorized as class D or class E. "non-violent" distinction stems from the violent felony offender legislation enacted in 1978 in which the Legislature designated certain crimes as "violent felonies" and provided for more severe sentences for people convicted of these crimes and restrictions on plea negotiation for people arrested for these crimes. people affected by this legislation are those convicted of the less serious class D and class E felony offenses and where the instant offense is <u>not</u> a lagislatively designated violent felony.

The proposal in the Community Corrections Act further stipulates that the <u>predicate offense</u> could not have been a legislatively designated violent felony. That is both the instant offense and prior offense must be a "non-violent felony." When this requirement is taken into consideration, the figure of 7,247 is reduced to 4,891 persons. Accordingly, the Department of Correctional Services received 4,891 new court commitments in 1990 who were second felony offenders convicted of a class D or class E non-violent felony offense <u>and</u> whose prior felony conviction was not a legislatively designated violent felony.

Crime by Crime Class; Crime by Sex

Table 1 presents the felony crime class and the specific offenses that fall into the target group. Sixty percent of commitments are class D felony offenders, 40% are class E felony offenders. Four of the largest categories include Criminal Sale of Controlled Substance 5th (36.0%, N=1,759), Criminal Possession of Controlled Substance 5th (9.4%, N=461), Attempted Criminal Sale of Controlled Substance 5th (9.8%, N=480), and Attempted Criminal Possession of Controlled Substance 5th (6.5%, N=318). Other large categories include Burglary 3rd (5.8%, N=283), Attempted Burglary 3rd (5.5%, N=271), and Grand Larceny 4th (5.1%, N=247).

In Table 2, the specific offenses have been grouped into several larger categories. Overall, 64% of the class D and E non-violent group is made of people convicted of drug offenses, 29% for property and other felonies, 7% for offenses that involve some element of coercion such as Robbery 3rd or Attempted Assault 2nd.

It can also be seen in Table 2 that there is a higher concentration of drug offenders (84%) among female offenders convicted of class D and E non-violent felonies than among the males (61% drug offenders).

Prior Conviction Offense

In the debate on the proposed legislation, a number of observers have indicated that the nature of the prior offenses is relevant. As noted above, the language of the proposed amendments to the penal law would require that neither the instant conviction nor the prior conviction could be a legislatively designated violent felony. Information on the nature of the prior conviction offense is available for 3,743 of the class D and E non-violent offenders in the study. Table 3 presents a distribution of these prior conviction offenses.

As shown in Table 3, the largest categories for prior conviction offense are Criminal Sale of Controlled Substance 3rd (31.4%), Criminal Sale of Controlled Substance 5th (8.9%), and Burglary 3rd (13.3%), and Robbery 3rd (9.0%). More generally, for 56.5% of the cases the prior crime was a drug offense, 32.3% a property offense, and 11.2% an offense involving some element of coercion.

TABLE 1
CRIME AND CRIME CLASS FOR 2ND FELONY CLASS D AND E NON-VIOLENT OFFENDERS
1990 NEW COURT COMMITMENTS; NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

CRIME AND	2ND FELONY I	NON VIOLENT
FELONY CRIME CLASS	NUMBER	PERCENT
CLASS D FELONY		
ATT MANSLAUGHTER 2ND VEHICULR MANSL 1ST RAPE 2ND ROBBERY 3RD RECK ENDANGER 1ST VEHIC ASSAULT 1ST BURGLARY 3RD GRAND LARCEN 2ND GRAND LARCEN 3RD FORGEN 2ND STOLEN PROP 3RD FORGERY 2ND POS FORGE INS 2ND SODOMY 2ND DRUG PARAPHER 1ST ATT CSCS 4TH ATT CPCS 4TH CCSCS 5TH CCSCS 5TH CCCS 5TH CTC SALE MARI CRIM SALE MARI ATT CRIM SAL	1 1 2 119 17 28 1 28 1 28 1 20 17 46 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.0% .0% .0% .0% .2.4% .3% .0% .0% .0% .0% .18% .6% .7% .1% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0
TOTAL	2953	60,4%
CLASS E FELONY		
CRIM NEGLI HOMICIDE RAPE 3RD ATT ROBBERY 3RD ATT ROBBERY 3RD ATT RECK ENDANGIST VEHIC ASSAULT 2ND ATT BURGLARY 3RD GRAND LARCEN 3RD GRAND LARCEN 3RD GRAND LARCEN 4TH GR LAR 3RD AUTO UNAU USE VEHIC 2ND ATT GR LAR 3RD AUTO GR LAR 4TH AUTO STOLEN PROP 2ND ATT STOLN PROP 3RD STOLEN PROP 4TH FALSE INSTRUMENT CRIM USURY 2ND DEFRAUD 1ST ATT FORGERY 2ND ATT SEX ABUSE 1ST INCEST ATT CSCS 5TH ATT CSCS 5TH ATT CR POS MARI 3 CRIM POS MARI 3 CRIM POS MARI 3 CRIM POS MARI 3 DRIVE INTOX 2 OFF FALSE REPORT 1ST PROMO BOOKMAKE 1ST ATT CR HISCHIEF2ND CRIM MISCHIEF 3RD ESCAPE 2ND ATT CONTRABAND 1ST BAIL JUMP 1ST ATT BRIBERY 3RD CONSPIRACY 4TH CRIM FACIL 3RD	57 131 46 33 271 18 247 19 18 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	.1% .1% .7% .9% .1% .9% .1% .5.5% .0% .4% .2% .4% .0% .1% .5% .4% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0
TOTAL	1938	39.6%
GRAND TOTAL	4891	100.0%

TABLE 2

CRIME BY SEX FOR 2ND FELONY CLASS D AND E NON-VIOLENT OFFENDERS
1990 NEW COURT COMMITMENTS; NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

CRIME	MAI	LE	FEM	ALE	то:	TAL
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
DRUG OFFENSES				4 1		
DRUG OFFENSES	2666	61.2%	453	83.9%	3119	63.7%
SUBTOTAL	2666	61.2%	453	83.9%	3119	63.7%
PROPERTY OFFENSES		, i			,	
BURGLARY 3RD GRAND LARCENY FORGERY STOLEN PROPERTY DRIVE INTOXICATED ALL OTHR FELONIES	549 335 86 320 5	12.6% 7.7% 2.0% 7.4% .1% 1.2%	5 30 18 16 0 6	.9% 5.6% 3.3% 3.0% .0% 1.1%	554 365 104 336 5	11.3% 7.5% 2.1% 6.9% .1% 1.2%
SUBTOTAL	1347	30.9%	75	13.9%	1422	29.1%
OTHER COERCIVE						
MANSLAUGHTER 2ND OTHER HOMICIDE ROBBERY 3RD ATT ASSAULT 2ND OTHER SEX OFFENSES OTHER COERCIVE	1 6 240 45 16 32	.0% .1% 5.5% 1.0% .4% .7%	0 0 10 1 0	.0% .0% 1.9% .2% .0% .2%	1 6 250 46 16 33	.0% .1% 5.1% .9% .3% .7%
SUBTOTAL	340	7.8%	12	2.2%	352	7.2%
GRAND TOTAL	4351	100.0%	540	100.0%	4891	100.0%

TABLE 3
PRIOR OFFENSE FOR 2ND FELONY CLASS D AND E NON-VIOLENT OFFENDERS
1990 NEW COURT COMMITMENTS; NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

CRIHE AND FELONY CLASS	NUMBER	PERCENT
DRUG OFFENSES		
SALE DNG DRUGS 3 POS DNG DRUGS 3RD USE NARCOTIC 1ST PUBLIC HEALTH LAW CRIM POS PRECURSORS CSCS 1ST CPCS 1ST CSCS 2RD CPCS 2RD CSCS 3RD CPCS 3RD CPCS 3RD CPCS 4TH OLD LAW CSCS 4TH CPCS 4TH CSCS 4TH CPCS 4TH CPCS 5TH CPCS 5TH CPCS 5TH CRIM SALE HARI 1 CRIM SALE HARI 2 CRIM POS HARI 2 CRIM POS HARI 3 CSCS AT SCHOOL CRIM SALE DRUGS 2ND	1 1 1 1 1 1 2 13 8 1174 132 17 62 93 332 149 8 1 1 2	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .3% .2% .31.4% .5% .5% .2% .4.3% .2% .4.3% .6% .6% .6% .6% .6% .6% .6% .6% .6% .6
SUBTOTAL	2115	56.5%
PROPERTY AND OTHER OFFENSES		
PROHOTING SUICIDE BURGLARY 3RD GRAND LARCEN 2ND GRAND LARCEN 1ST OF LAR 2ND AUTO GRAND LARCEN 1ST UNAU USE VEHIC 1ST UNAU USE VEHIC 1ST UNAU USE VEHIC 2ND GR LAR 3RD AUTO CPSP 1ST CPSP 2ND C	177266181779621160077120749121121127114158311121112153	.0% 13.3% .6% 1.6% .2% .2% .7% .0% .0% .6% .8% .8% .8% .8% .8% .8% .9% .9% .9% .9% .9% .9% .9% .9% .9% .9
SUBTOTAL OTHER COERCIVE	1209	32.3%
HANSLAUGHTER 2ND CRIM HEGLI HOMICIDE RAPE 2ND RAPE 3RD ROBBERY 3RD RECK ENDANGER 1ST ARSON 3RD ARSON 4TH SODOMY 2ND SODOMY 2ND SODOMY 3RD INCEST UNLAWFUL IMPRIS 1ST COERCION 1ST TAMP WITNESS 2ND RIOT 1ST CONSPIRACY 2ND CONSPIRACY 4TH	20 6 1 9 338 23 8 3 1 1 1 1 1 1	.5% .2% .2% .2% .2% .9.0% .6% .2% .1% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%
SUBTOTAL	419	11.2%
GRAND TOTAL	3743	100.0%

Table 4 presents a crosstabulation of instant offense by prior conviction offense for the 3,743 cases where information on the nature of the prior conviction is available. Looking first at those whose current crime is a drug offense, it can be seen that for 77% of these cases the prior crime was also a drug offense, for 15% of the cases the prior crime was a property offense and for 8% an offense involving some element of coercion. So, for most of the class D and E non-violent offenders whose current crime is a drug offense, the prior conviction crime is also a drug offense.

For cases where the instant conviction is a property offense, 70% had a prior felony conviction for a property offense, 15% for a drug offense, and 15% for other coercive offenses. Those convicted of the low level property offenses tend to repeat these same low level property offenses. Persons convicted of other coercive offenses do not show the strong concentration in any of the prior offense categories. Forty-seven percent were previously convicted of a property offense, 30% for a coercive offense, and 23% for a drug offense.

Since the proposed legislation would exempt persons whose prior conviction was a legislatively designated violent felony, it is not unexpected that the prior convictions would be for such offenses as sale of drugs, third degree burglary, or criminal possession of stolen property.

Minimum Sentence Length

Felony offenders committed to prison in New York State receive an indeterminate sentence. That is, the defendant receives a minimum sentence (fixed by the court) which reflects the minimum period that must be served prior to consideration for parole and a maximum sentence which reflects the longest period of time that the state has legal custody of an individual. The sentence for a particular crime depends in part on the felony class category of the offense (e.g., class A-I, class B). Within each felony class category there is an upper and lower limit on the length of the minimum and maximum sentence and the judge must select a sentence that falls within the legally prescribed range.

For a second felony offender convicted of a class D or E non-violent felony offense the legally permissible range of maximum sentences is 4 to 7 years; the corresponding range of minimum sentences is 2 to 3 1/2 years. At the class E level the maximum sentence range is from 3 to 4 years and the minimum sentence from 1 1/2 to 2 years.

TABLE 4

CURRENT CRIME BY PRIOR FELONY CRIME FOR 2ND FELONY CLASS D AND E NON-VIOLENT OFFENDERS 1990 NEW COURT COMMITMENTS; NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

CURRENT	T		PRTOR	OFFENSE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		то	ΥΔΙ
CRIME	DRUG O	FFENSES	PROPERTY		OTHER C	OERCIVE	NUMBER 4	PERCENT
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT		
DRUG OFFENSES								
DRUG OFFENSES	1902	77.4%	366	14.9%	190	7.7%	2458	100.0%
SUBTOTAL	1902	77.4%	366	14.9%	190	7.7%	2458	100.0%
PROPERTY OFFENSES								
BURGLARY 3RD GRAND LARCENY FORGERY STOLEN PROPERTY DRIVE INTOXICATED ALL OTHR FELONIES SUBTOTAL	45 57 4 43 0 11	10.7% 21.0% 5.4% 17.5% .0% 26.2%	316 167 57 173 0 24	75.1% 61.4% 77.0% 70.3% .0% 57.1%	60 48 13 30 2 7	14.3% 17.6% 17.6% 12.2% 100.0% 16.7%	421 272 74 246 2 42	100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%
OTHER COERCIVE								
MANSLAUGHTER 2ND OTHER HOMICIDE ROBBERY 3RD ATT ASSAULT 2ND OTHER SEX OFFENSES OTHER COERCIVE	1 1 40 8 0	100.0% 20.0% 24.0% 30.8% .0% 15.8%	0 1 75 12 8 10	.0% 20.0% 44.9% 46.2% 80.0% 52.6%	0 3 52 6 2 6	.0% 60.0% 31.1% 23.1% 20.0% 31.6%	1 5 167 26 10 19	100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%
SUBTOTAL	53	23.2%	106	46.5%	69	30.3%	228	100.0%
GRAND TOTAL	2115	56.5%	1209	32.3%	419	11.2%	3743	100.0%

One possible indicator of whether judges might consider alternative sentences if they had the option is the percent of offenders who received the lowest legally permissible minimum term. For persons sentenced as second felony offenders the minimum sentence must be fixed at one-half the length of the maximum sentence. As noted above, the judge may select the maximum term from a range of legally permissible maximum sentences. The judge's decision regarding an appropriate minimum sentence is reflected by his decision on length of maximum sentence (since the minimum term will be one-half the maximum term).

Table 5 shows the number of cases in each specific offense category where the defendant received the shortest legally permissible minimum term, those that received the longest permissible term, and those that received a minimum term that fell somewhere between the high and low end of the range.

Looking at the bottom row of Table 5, it can be seen that 76% of second felony class D and E offenders received the lowest legally permissible minimum term, 18% a sentence that fell between the highest and lowest possible term, and 6% received the longest legally permissible term. For class E felony offenders, 88% received the minimum-minimum sentence. For class D felony offenders 69% received the minimum-minimum. In general, the large majority of class D and E second felony offenders received the shortest legally permissible minimum sentence.

Table 6 presents information on length of minimum sentence by conviction offense. The average minimum sentence for class D and E second felony offenders is 23.5 months (see bottom right-hand corner of Table 6). The far right-hand column in Table 6 shows the minimum sentence distribution for offenders in the study. The three largest categories of minimum sentence length are those whose minimum was 18 months (36%), 24 months (44%), and 30 months (15%).

Age, Ethnic Status, Sex and Region of Commitment

Table 7 shows the crime distribution for class D and E second felony offenders according to the region of the state from which the offender was committed. For persons committed from New York City fully 71% are convicted of drug offenses, 23% for property offenses and 6% for other coercive offenses. Among persons committed from Suburban New York (Rockland, Suffolk, Westchester and Nassau Counties), 56% were convicted of drug offenses, 39% for property offenses and 6% for other coercive offenses. The Upstate counties show a marked contrast in conviction offense. For both upstate urban counties (those upstate counties with a city of 50,000 or more) and upstate rural

TABLE 5
CRIME AND CRIME CLASS BY MINIMUM SENTENCE CATEGORY FOR 2ND FELONY CLASS D AND E NON-VIOLENT OFFENDERS
1990 NEW COURT COMMITMENTS; NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

CRIME AND FELONY CRIME CLASS	HINIHU	1 SENTENCE	LENGTH	TOTAL
	MINIMUM MINIMUM	MIDDLE RANGE	HAXIHUH HINIHUH	
CLASS D FELONY				
ATT MANSLAUGHTER 2ND VEHICULR MANSL 1ST RAPE\2ND ROBBERY 3RD RECK ENDANGER 1ST VEHIC ASSAULT 1ST BURGLARY 3RD GRAND LARCEN 2ND ATT GRAND LAR 2ND GRAND LARCEN 3RD GR LAR 3RD AUTO ATT STOLN PROP 2ND STOLEN PROP 3RD FORGERY 2ND POS FORGE INS 2ND DODOMY 2ND DRUG PARAPHER 1ST ATT CSCS 4TH ATT CSCS 4TH CSCS 5TH CPCS 5TH CPCS 5TH CPCS 5TH ATT CR SALE MARI ATT CR POS MARI1 CRIM SALE MARI 2 CRIM HISCHIEF 2ND ESCAPE 1ST CONTRABAND 1ST HINDER PROSEC 1ST COERCION 1ST BAIL JUMPING 1ST CRIM SOLI 2ND ATT CRIM FACIL 2ND	0 0 0 73 12 0 192 1 28 5 2 1 1 7 26 1 1 9 5 1 1 8 5 2 2 1 0 1 1 1 0 3 2 2 1	1 1 37 31 60 00 7 20 11 12 56 39 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	0 0 19 20 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1 1 2 119 17 283 35 8 20 35 87 31 32 46 1759 461 11 42 21 11 21 33
TOTAL	2028 -68.7%	848 28.7%	2.6%	2953° 100.0%
CLASS E FELONY				
CRIM NEGLI HOMICIDE RAPE 3RD ATT ROBBERY 3RD ATT ROBBERY 3RD ATT RECK ENDANGIST VEHIC ASSAULT 2ND ATT BURGLARY 3RD GRAND LARCEN 3RD ATT GRAND LAR 3RD GRAND LARCEN 4TH GR LAR 3RD AUTO UNAU USE VEHIC 2ND ATT GR LAR 3RD AUTO GR LAR 4TH AUTO STOLEN PROP 3RD STOLEN PROP 3RD ATT STOLN PROP 3RD STOLEN PROP 4TH FALSE INSTRUMENT CRIM USURY 2ND DEFRAUD 1ST ATT FORGERY 2ND ATT FORGERY 2ND ATT SEX ABUSE 1ST INCEST ATT CRC 5TH ATT CRC 5TH ATT CRC 5TH ATT CR POS MARI 3 CRIM SALE MARI 3 CRIM POS MARI 3 CRIM POS MARI 3 CRIM SALE MARI	250 120 120 138 221 163 11 11 12 163 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	0020002000004110001010090000000000000000	32981 16122283303400242000940001100000040002000	57 131 466 3 271 18 247 49 11 18 19 187 21 480 318 11 14 11 11 23 32
TOTAL	1708 88.1%	23 1.2%	207 10.7%	1938 100.0%
GRAND TOTAL	3736 76.4%	871 17.8%	284 5.8%	4891 100.0%

-12-

TABLE 6 MINIMUM SENTENCE BY CRIME FOR 2ND FELONY CLASS D AND E NON-VIOLENT OFFENDERS 1990 NEW COURT COMMITMENTS; NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

MINIHUM SENTENCE	DRUG OFFENSES			PROPERTY	OFFENSES				ОТІ	IER COERC	IVE			GRAND
(IN MONTHS)	DRUG OFFENSES	BURGLARY 3RD	GRAND LARCENY	FORGERY	STOLEN PROPERTY	DRIVE INTOXICA TED	ALL OTHR FELONIES	MANSLAUG HTER 2ND	OTHER HOMICIDE	ROBBERY 3rd	ATT ASSAULT 2ND	OTHER SEX OFFENSES	OTHER COERCIVE	TOTAL
12.00	.1%	. 5%	.8%		.6%	60.0%				.4%			3.0%	16 .3%
16,00			1 %8.											.0%
18,00	753 24.1%	247 44.6%	258 70.7%	39 37.5%	227 67.6%	20.0%	64.9%		33.3%	125 50.0%	38 82.6%	37.5%	27.3%	1740 35.6%
19.00			.3%	į l	,		1.8%							.0%
20.00	.0%	·	,		.3%			·		.8%				.1%
21.00	.3%	.4%	.3%	1.0%	1.2%							6.3%	3.0%	18 .4%
22.00										.4%				, o,
24.00	1615 51.8%	210 37.9%	87 23.8%	41 39.4%	74 22.0%	20.0%	14 24.6%		33.3%	74 29.6%	17.4%	3 18.8%	15 45.5%	2144 43.8%
25.00	.0%			1	. ,3%									. 0%
27.00	10 .3%	. 4%						ŧ		.8%				.3%
28.00	.0%	,												.0%
30.00	621 19.9%	35 6.3%	2.2%	8.7%	2.7%		3.5%		16.7%	20 8.0%		6.3%	6.1%	708 14.5%
31.00	.0%								,		,			.0%
32.00	.0%					,	1.8%	-						.0%
33.00	10 .3%	.2%		1.0%	.6%				,		,			.3%
36.00	1.8%	28 5.1%	.8%	4.8%	3,0%			100.0%	16,7%	5.6%	1	6.3%	6.1%	122 2.5%
42 1	37 1.2%	4.7%	.8%	7.7%	1.8%		3.5%			4.4%		25.0%	9.1%	100 2.0%
TOTAL	3119 100.0%	554 100.0%	365 100.0%	100.0%	336 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	250 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	4890 100.0%
AVERAGE MEDIAN	24.2 24.0	23.3 24.0	20.1 18,0	25.8 24.0	21.0 18.0	15.6 12.0	21.1 18,0	36.0 36.0	25.0 24.0	23.1 18.0	19.0 18.0	38.1 24.0	25.4 24.0	23,5 24,0

TABLE 7
CRIME BY REGION FOR 2ND FELONY CLASS D AND E NON-VIOLENT OFFENDERS
1990 NEW COURT COMMITMENTS; NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

CRIME	NEW YO	RK CITY	SUBURBAN	NEWYORK	UPSTAT	E URBAN	UPSTAT	E RURAL	TO	TAL
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
DRUG OFFENSES										
DRUG OFFENSES	2716	70.7%	285	55.7%	58	21.9%	60	22.0%	3119	63.7%
SUBTOTAL	2716	70.7%	285	55.7%	58	21.9%	60	22.0%	3119	63.7%
PROPERTY OFFENSES					<u>.</u>]	į.			
BURGLARY 3RD GRAND LARCENY FORGERY STOLEN PROPERTY DRIVE INTOXICATED ALL OTHR FELONIES	326 255 21 240 1 37	8.5% 6.6% .5% 6.2% .0% 1.0%	84 43 17 48 1 5	16.4% 8.4% 3.3% 9.4% .2% 1.0%	65 35 37 22 2 7	24.5% 13.2% 14.0% 8.3% .8% 2.6%	79 32 29 26 1	28.9% 11.7% 10.6% 9.5% .4% 3.3%	554 365 104 336 5	11.3% 7.5% 2.1% 6.9% .1% 1.2%
SUBTOTAL	880	22.9%	198	38.7%	168	63.4%	176	64.5%	1422	29.1%
OTHER COERCIVE	'									
MANSLAUGHTER 2ND OTHER HOMICIDE ROBBERY 3RD ATT ASSAULT 2ND OTHER SEX OFFENSES OTHER COERCIVE	2 200 29 2 13	.0% .1% 5.2% .8% .1%	0 2 20 5 0 2	.0% .4% 3.9% 1.0% .0%	0 0 19 7 3	.0% .0% 7.2% 2.6% 1.1% 3.8%	0 2 11 5 11 8	.0% .7% 4.0% 1.8% 4.0% 2.9%	1 6 250 46 16 33	.0% .1% 5.1% .9% .3%
SUBTOTAL	247	6.4%	29	5.7%	39	14.7%	37	13.6%	352	7.2%
GRAND TOTAL	3841	100.0%	512	100.0%	265	100.0%	273	1,00.0%	4891	100.0%

counties, only 22% of class D and E second felony offenders are persons convicted of drug offenses; approximately 64% have been convicted of property offenses, and approximately 14% for other coercive offenses.

In brief, offenders committed from New York City and, to a lesser degree, from Suburban New York tend to be convicted of drug offenses. Those from upstate counties tend to be concentrated among property offenses.

Table 8 shows the age, ethnic status, and sex breakdown of persons who fell into the second felony class D and E non-violent offense category. Eighty-nine percent are males, 11% are females. In 1990, females made up 7.3% of total new court commitments, and, as noted, females make up 11% of the second felony class D and E group. The proposed legislation might have a greater proportional impact on the number of females committed to state prison than on males.

Ten percent of the offenders in this group are white, 49% black, 40% Hispanic and 1% are Asian or Native American.

Table 8 also shows the breakdown of sex by ethnic status. Black males make up 44% and Hispanic males 35% of the class D and E second felony group.

Table 8 also shows the age distribution for sex and ethnic status subgroups. In general, males in the second felony class D and E group tend to be younger than females. Twenty-seven percent of males are 24 or younger compared with only 20% of females.

Table 9 presents the region of commitment for sex and ethnic status subgroups. White males are spread across the four regions. When compared to blacks and Hispanics, white males show the lowest percent of commitments from New York City (30%) and the highest percent of commitments from upstate rural counties (31%). Black males are committed primarily from the New York City (75%) and then from Suburban New York (15%). Hispanic males are almost exclusively (95%) committed from New York City.

More so than males, white females in the class D and E second felony group tend to be committed from New York City (57%). Black females, like black males, are committed primarily from New York City (77%) with some representation in Suburban New York (15%). Hispanic females are almost exclusively committed from New York City (96%).

Looking briefly at modal categories, the class D and E second felony offender group would be described as black or Hispanic male, average age 29, committed for Criminal Sale of Controlled Substance 5th, and whose prior offense was also for sale of drugs.

TABLE 8
SEX, ETHNIC STATUS AND AGE OF 2ND FELONY CLASS D AND E NON-VIOLENT OFFENDERS
1990 NEW COURT COMMITMENTS; NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

SEX AND						AGE AT RI	CEPTION	:					TO	TAL
ETHNIC STATUS	16-18 YR	19-20 YR	21-24 YR	25-29 YR	30-34 YR	35-39 YR	40-44 YR	45-49 YR	50-54 YR	55-59 YR	60-64 YR	65 AND OVER	NUMBER	PERCENT
MALE														
WHITE BLACK HISPANIC OTHER	22 17 1	35 113 87 5	87 468 327 3	147 686 500 10	99 463 349 6	48 233 200 0	17 100 109 1	10 36 51 0	6 12 36 0	4 9 9 0	1 4 10 0	3 0 1 0	463 2146 1696 26	9.5% 44.1% 34.9% .5%
SUBTOTAL	46	240	885	1343	917	481	227	97	54	22	15	4	4331	89.0%
FEMALE]			İ
WHITE BLACK HISPANIC OTHER	0 0 1 0	1 4 7 0	6 39 49 0	5 88 73 0	7 70 57 1	7 29 35 0	17 13 0	2 5 5 0	1 2 2 0	0 1 1 0	0 0	0 0	35 255 243 1	.7% 5.2% 5.0%
SUBTOTAL	1	12	94	166	135	71	36	12	5	2	0	. 0	534	11.0%
GRAND TOTAL	47	252	979	1509	1052	552	263	109	59	24	15	4	4865	100.0%
ETHNIC STATUS								:						
WHITE BLACK HISPANIC OTHER	22 18 1	36 117 94 5	93 507 376 3	152 774 573 10	106 533 406 7	55 262 235 0	23 117 122 1	12 41 56 0	7 14 38 0	10 10 0	1 4 10 0	3 0 1 0	498 2401 1939 27	10.2% 49.4% 39.9%
TOTAL	47	252	979	1509	1052	552	263	109	. 59	24	15	4	4865	100.0%

TABLE 9
SEX, ETHNIC STATUS AND REGION OF COMMITMENT FOR 2ND FELONY CLASS D AND E NON-VIOLENT OFFENDERS
1990 NEW COURT COMMITMENTS; NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

SEX AND				REGION OF	COMMITHENT				то	TAL
ETHNIC STATUS	NEW YO	RK CITY	SUBURBAN	NEWYORK	UPSTAT	E URBAN	UPSTAT	E RURAL	NUMBER	PERCENT
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	· ·	
HALE			:							
WHITE BLACK Hispanic Other	139 1618 1607 18	30.0% 75.4% 94.8% 69.2%	89 324 49 - 3	19.2% 15.1% 2.9% 11.5%	89 131 14 1	19.2% 6.1% .8% 3.8%	146 73 26 4	31.5% 3.4% 1.5% 15.4%	463 2146 1696 26	100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%
SUBTOTAL	3382	78.1%	465	10.7%	235	5.4%	249	5.7%	4331	100.0%
FEMALE	l ·	:								
WHITE BLACK Hispanic Other	20 195 234 1	. 57.1% 76.5% 96.3% 100.0%	2 37 5 0	5.7% 14.5% 2.1% .0%	7 12 1 0	20.0% 4.7% .4% .0%	6 11 3 0	17.1% 4.3% 1.2%	35 255 243 1	%0.001 %0.001 %0.001 %0.001
SUBTOTAL	450	84.3%	44	8.2%	20	3.7%	20	3.7%	534	100.0%
GRAND TOTAL	3832	78.8%	509	10.5%	255	5.2%	269	5,5%	4865	100.0%
ETHNIC STATUS										
WHITE Black Hispanic Other	159 1813 1641 19	31.9% 75.5% 94.9% 70.4%	91 361 54 3	18.3% 15.0% 2.8% 11.1%	96 143 15 1	19.3% 6.0% .8% 3.7%	152 84 29 4	30.5% 3.5% 1.5% 14.8%	498 2401 1939 27	100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%
SUBTOTAL	3832	78.8%	509	10.5%	255	5.2%	269	5.5%	4865	100.0%