139560

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

Public Domain/OJP/BJS

U.S. Department of Justice

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

Bureau of Justice Statistics Bulletin

National Pretrial Reporting Program

JAN 28 1993

Pretrial Release of Felony Defendants, 1990

By Brian A. Reaves, Ph.D. BJS Statistician

An estimated 65% of the defendants who had felony charges filed against them in the Nation's 75 most populous counties during May 1990 were released prior to the disposition of their case. Approximately 1 in 4 released defendants had a bench warrant issued for their arrest because they did not appear in court as scheduled. A third of these defendants, representing 8% of all felony defendants, were still fugitives after 1 year.

These findings are drawn from a sample of felony cases filed in State courts during May 1990. The cases were followed for up to one year as part of the National Pretrial Reporting Program (NPRP) of the Bureau of Justice Statistics.

Other findings include the following:

- Among the 35% of defendants who were not released, 5 out of 6 could not post the required bail amount, and 1 in 6 were held without bail. Defendants held without bail comprised 6% of all felony defendants.
- When bail was set, the higher the amount, the less likely that the defendant secured pretrial release. Defendants with bail set at under \$2,500 were released over twice as often as those with bail set at \$20,000 or higher (69% versus 28%).
- Among defendants with a bail set, those charged with a violent offense (26%) were

November 1992

This Bulletin, reporting on the second survey of the National Pretrial Reporting Program, provides valuable insights into how the criminal justice system responds to the need to preserve public safety while recognizing the rights of accused defendants. In making the decision to release or detain an alleged felon before trial, State courts are clearly taking into account the gravity of the charged offense and the extent of the defendant's criminal record. These data enable analysts to examine the outcomes of these decisions across the course of 12 months.

I extend my sincere appreciation to the 39 counties participating in this statistical program. Without their assistance, this report could not have produced the findings that have import for the whole Nation.

Steven D. Dillingham, Ph.D., LL.M. Director

twice as likely to have a bail of \$20,000 or more as other defendants. Nearly two-thirds of murder defendants with a bail set had a bail of \$20,000 or more.

 At ball amounts of \$10,000 or more, about 40% of defendants charged with a drug offense secured release, compared to about 30% of other defendants.

- Among defendants who were already on pretrial release when arrested on the current felony charges, about two-thirds were released again. About half of those who were on probation at the time of arrest and a third of those on parole were released.
- About half of the defendants with one or more prior felony convictions were granted pretrial release, compared to two-thirds of those who had only misdemeanor convictions, and four-fifths of those with no prior conviction record.
- Among defendants who were released prior to disposition of their case, 54% were released within 1 day of arrest, 80% within a week of arrest, and 93% within a month of arrest.
- Released property defendants (28%) and drug defendants (26%) failed to appear in court as scheduled about twice as often as public-order defendants (13%). The failure-to-appear rate for released defendants charged with a violent offense was 19%.
- Among released defendants who had failed to appear in court at least once on a previous charge, 39% had a bench warrant issued because they failed to appear during the current case. This was twice the failure-to-appear rate of other released defendants.

Table 1. Felony defendants released before or detained until case disposition, by type of release and the most serious arrest charge, 1990

										argest countie	8				
							<u>ndants re</u>	leased be	fore case			 	Percent of		
				FI.	nancial re	ease			Nonti	nancial releas	θ	• .	tained unti		
Most serious	Number of de- fendants	Total released	Total	Surety bond	Full cash bond	Deposit bond	Other	Total	Recog- nizance*	Conditional	Unsecured bond	Emergency release	Total detained	Held on ball	Held without bail
All offenses	53,664	65%	25%	15%	7%	3%	1%	39%	26%	8%	5%	1%	35%	28%	6%
Violent offenses	13,777	63%	25%	13%	8%	3%	1%	38%	28%	7%	3%		37%	29%	8%
Murder	652	37	27	16	8	1	1	10	7	3	0	0	63	29	34
Rape	771	54	27	11	8	6	S	27	21	5	0	0	46	41	5
Robbery	4,607	51	15	6	7	2		36	29	3	4	0	49	39	10
Assault	6,232	75	29	17	8	4	1	45	33	10	2		25	21	5
Other violent	1,514	65	33	18	11	3	2	31	19	8	4	••	35	27	9
Property offenses	17,956	67%	21%	14%	5%	2%		45%	28%	11%	6%	1%	33%	27%	6%
Burglary	5,418	56	15	9	4	2	••	39	24	9	6	2	44	37	8
Theft	7,577	67	23	16	5	2	1	43	27	12	4	, 1	33	27	6
Other property	4,961	78	24	16	5	2		53	32	12	9	1	22	18	4
Drug offenses	17,849	65%	28%	18%	7%	3%	1%	35%	23%	6%	6%	1%	35%	30%	5%
Sales/trafficking	10,047	61	33	22	7	4	1	27	17	7	3	1	39	33	5
Other drug	7,801	70	21	12	7	2	**	46	30	6	10	3	30	26	5
Public-order															
offenses	4,083	69%	34%	20%	10%	3%		34%	23%	8%	3%	1%	31%	24%	6%
Driving-related	1,255	72	41	32	8	1	1	31	22	7	1	0	28	24	5
Other public-orde	r 2,829	68	31	- 15	- 11	4	••	36	24	8	4	.1	32	25	7

Note: Data on specific detention/release outcomes were available for 95% of all cases.

Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

*Released on own recognizance.

--Less than 0.5%.

- About 18% of released defendants were rearrested while on pretrial release.
 Released defendants with at least 1 prior conviction (25%) were about twice as likely to be rearrested as those with no prior convictions (13%).
- The median number of days from arrest to case disposition was 125 days for released defendants, compared to 37 days for defendants detained until case disposition.
- Defendants who were detained until case disposition were nearly 3 times as likely to be eventually convicted and sentenced to State prison as those who were released (39% versus 14%).

National Pretrial Reporting Program

The Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) initiated the biennial National Pretrial Reporting Program (NPRP) in February 1988 to collect detailed criminal history, pretrial processing, adjudication, and sentencing information on felony defendants in State courts in large urban counties. The NPRP data do not include Federal defendants.

The 1990 NPRP collected data for approximately 14,000 felony cases filed in 39 counties during May 1990. These cases were part of a 2-stage sample that was representative of the 57,000 felony cases filed in the Nation's 75 most populous counties during that month.¹ Cases were tracked for up to 1 year.

Types of pretrial release

Nonfinancial release

Among the 65% of felony defendants in the 75 largest counties who were granted release prior to case disposition, about 3 in 5 were released on monfinancial terms that required no posting of ball (table 1). (In this report, "pretrial release" and "released prior to case disposition" are used interchangeably. See *Methodology* on pages 13 and 14 for definitions.)

About two-thirds of all nonfinancial releases involved the release of a defendant on his or her own recognizance.

Generally, the only condition placed on the defendant under this type of release is a

Tin 1990, the 75 largest counties accounted for about 37% of the Nation's population and nearly 50% of all crimes reported to law enforcement agencies.

written agreement to appear in court as scheduled. The recognizance release category used in this report refers to a decision made by the court in nearly all cases; however, about 4% of the releases included under this heading are citation releases made by law enforcement personnel.

Release on recognizance, granted to 26% of all defendants and 40% of all released defendants, was the single most common type of pretrial release; however, 5 of the 39 counties included in the NPRP survey did not use this type of release for any felony defendants, and 9 others used it for less than 10% of all pretrial releases involving felony defendants.

The second most common type of nonfinancial release, conditional release, was used in 8% of the NPRP cases. About 1 in 5 nonfinancial releases (1 in 8 releases overall) involved this type of release. Of the 39 counties included in the 1990 NPRP survey, 30 reported the use of conditional release for felony defendants, and 15 of them used it for more than 10% of all defendants who were granted pretrial release.

About 82% of all conditional releases included an agreement by the defendant to maintain regular contact with a pretrial program through telephone calls or personal visits. The remainder of conditional releases involved regular drug monitoring and/or treatment or a third party custody agreement.

Most defendants placed on conditional release were supervised by a pretrial release program. Such programs, which also interview arrestees and provide information to judicial officers, were operating in 37 of the 39 NPRP counties during 1990.

Approximately 1 in 13 releases (1 in 8 nonfinancial releases) were on unsecured bond. Although this type of release does not require financial payment, it does specify a bail amount to be forfeited if the defendant does not appear in court as scheduled. Eleven of the 39 NPRP counties reported the use of unsecured bond for felony defendants, but 5 of them accounted for nearly all of the releases on unsecured bond that occurred in the NPRP jurisdictions.

Financial release

Overall, about 2 in 5 defendants released prior to case disposition obtained release through financial terms involving the posting of a surety, full cash, or deposit bond. Deposit and full cash bonds require payment directly to the court, while surety bonds involve the services of a ball bondsman.

Release on surety bond, the most common type of financial release for felony defendants, was used in three-fifths of all financial releases, and about a fourth of all pretrial releases. Surety bond was used in 31 of the 39 NPRP counties surveyed, although it was used in less than 10% of all pretrial releases in 7 of these counties.

About 1 in 10 pretrial releases of felony defendants, including 1 in 4 financial releases, were on full cash bond. Full cash bond was used in all but four of the NPRP counties.

Deposit bond was used to secure release for about 1 in 24 released defendants, including 1 in 9 defendants placed on financial release. Deposit bond was used for the release of felony defendants in 13 of the NPRP counties. In seven of these counties, more than 10% of all pretrial releases were on deposit bond.

Emergency release

Overall, about 1% of felony defendants were released as part of an emergency release ordered because of jail crowding. Generally, these emergency releases did not involve the use of any of the financial or nonfinancial release conditions described above. Emergency releases occurred in 4 of the 39 NPRP countles, with 1 county accounting for three-fourths of all emergency releases.

Factors affecting probability of pretrial release

Overall, 35% of the felony defendants included in the NPRP sample were detained until the court disposed of their case. Most of these detainees (82%) had a bail amount set but were unable to post the money required to secure release. The remainder, representing 18% of detained defendants and 6% of all defendants, were ordered held without bail.

While denial of bail offers the court an absolute assurance that a defendant will not be released prior to case disposition, the NPRP data also show that when a bail amount is set, the higher it is, the lower the probability of release. When ball was set at \$20,000 or more, the defendant was eventually released in 28% of the cases (table 2). Among cases where the bail amount was set in the \$10,000 to \$19,999 range, 39% of the defendants secured release, and when ball was set in the \$2,500 to \$9,999 range, 55% of the defendants secured release. When bail was set under \$2,500, 69% of the defendants obtained release prior to case disposition.

The effect of ball amount on the likelihood of a defendant's being released varied according to the type of arrest charge. When the ball amount was set at \$20,000 or more, drug defendants (34%) secured release more often than defendants charged with a violent offense (26%),

Table 2. Felony defendants released before or detained until case disposition, by ball amount set and the most serious arrest charge, 1990

			t of felony defenda s with a ball amour		
Bail amount set and the most serious arrest charge	Number of de- fendants	Total	Released before case disposition	Detained until case disposition	
\$20,000 or more					
All offenses	5,191	100%	28%	72%	
Violent offenses	2,005	100	26	74	
Property offenses	1,045	100	21	79	
Drug offenses	1,751	100	34	66	
Public-order offenses	390	100	25	75	
\$10,000 to \$19,999					
All offenses	5,015	100%	39%	61%	
Violent offenses	1,554	100	34	66	
Property offenses	1,400	100	30	70	
Drug offenses	1,821	100	50	50	
Public-order offenses	240	100	39	61	
\$2,500 to \$9,999					
All offenses	10,068	100%	55%	45%	
Violent offenses	1,979	100	60	40	
Property offenses	3,284	100	49	51	
Drug offenses	3,990	100	57	43	
Public-order offenses	815	100	61	39	
Under \$2,500					
All offenses	11,178	100%	69%	31%	
Violent offenses	2,116	100	73	27	
Property offenses	4,106	100	69	31	
Drug offenses	3,925	100	63	37	
Public-order offenses	1,030	100	82	18	
Tubic-order Olletises	1,000			10	

Note: Data on both bail amount set and detention/release outcome were available for 92% of all cases. Table includes only defendants for whom a ball amount was set.

public-order offense (25%), or property offense (21%). This pattern was also found among defendants with ball set in the \$10,000 to \$19,999 range. In such cases, half of drug defendants secured release compared to about a third of other defendants. When ball was set at \$2,500 or more, defendants charged with a property offense were less likely to secure release than other defendants.

Courts' decisions about bail are primarily based on the probability that the accused will subsequently appear in court as scheduled. In most States as well as in the Federal courts, the potential danger that a defendant may pose to the community is also considered. Many jurisdictions have established additional criteria that must be considered when setting ball. Examples of such criteria are personal character and mental condition, employment and financial resources, family and community ties, offense seriousness, criminal justice status at the time of arrest, prior court appearance record, prior criminal record, the weight of the evidence against the defendant, and the sentence which may be imposed upon conviction.

While the NPRP survey does not provide data on all of these factors, it does provide information on the seriousness of the current offense, criminal justice status at the time of arrest, prior criminal record, and prior court appearance record. The NPRP data illustrate how the ball system is used in conjunction with these factors to affect the probability of release.

Seriousness of offense

The NPRP data indicate that defendants charged with murder were the least likely of all felony defendants to be granted pretrial release (table 1). Murder defendants (37%) were released about half as often as defendants charged with driving-related offenses (72%) or aggravated assault (75%). Defendants charged with murder also had a lower release rate than those charged with robbery (51%), rape (54%), burglary (56%), or drug sales (61%).

Murder defendants had the lowest release rate mainly because they were the most likely to be denied bail or to have bail set at a high amount. About 34% of murder defendants were denied bail, compared to 10% or less for defendants charged with another offense. Murder defendants who were not denied bail were likely to have bail set at a high amount. Nearly two-thirds of murder defendants with a bail amount set had it set at \$20,000 or higher (table 3).

Defendants charged with rape (45%) were the next most likely to have bail set at \$20,000 or more. Overall, defendants whose most serious arrest charge involved a violent offense were about twice as likely as other defendants to have a bail of \$20,000 or more.

Among defendants who were held on ball, the median bail amount that had been set was \$7,500 (table 4). This amount was considerably higher for detained defendants charged with murder (\$50,000) or rape (\$20,000). Released defendants had a median bail amount of \$3,000, with a higher median ball amount (\$10,000) for released defendants charged with murder or rape.

1000

Table 3. Bail amount set, by the most serious arrest charge, 1990

	• •				• •	
		Perce	nt of felon	y defenda	nts in the 75	;
					amount of:	
Most serious	Number of		Under	\$2,500-	\$10,000-	\$20,000
arrest charge	defendants	Total	\$2,500	\$9,999	\$19,999	or more
All offenses	31,514	100%	36%	32%	16%	16%
Violent offenses	7,654	100%	28%	26%	20%	26%
Murder	351	100	7	12	17	64
Rape	524	100	16	16	23	45
Robbery	2,638	100	24	26	22	28
Assault	3,199	100	35	30	19	17
Other violent	943	100	27	23	20	30
Property offenses	9,865	100%	42%	33%	14%	11%
Burglary	3,137	100	31	34	20	16
Theft	4,168	100	44	34	13	8
Other property	2,559	100	51	30	10	8
Drug offenses	11,515	100%	34%	35%	16%	15%
Sales/trafficking	7,028	100	32	32	19	18
Other drug	4,487	100	38	39	11	11
Public-order offenses	2,480	100%	42%	33%	10%	16%
Driving-related	825	100	39	35	9	16
Other public-order	1,655	100	43	32	10	15

Note: Table includes only defendants for whom a ball amount was set. Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Table 4. Median ball amount set for felony defendants, by detention/release outcome and the most serious arrest charge, 1990

Most serious arrest charge	Median be for felony Released	all amount defendants Detained	
All offenses	\$3,000	\$7,500	
Violent offenses	\$5,000	\$10,000	
Murder Rape Robbery Assault Other violent	10,000 10,000 5,000 3,000 5,000	50,000 20,000 10,000 10,000 15,000	
Property offenses	\$2,500	\$5,000	
Burglary Theft Other property	3,000 2,500 2,500	7,500 5,000 5,000	
Drug offenses	\$5,000	\$5,000	
Sales/trafficking Other drug	3,500 5,000	8,500 5,000	
Public-order offenses	\$2,500	\$7,500	
Driving-related Other public-order	2,500 2,000	10,000 5,500	

Note: Table includes only defendants for whom a ball amount was set.

Criminal iustice status

The NPRP data indicate that a defendant's criminal justice status at the time of arrest is also related to the probability of pretrial release. Among felony defendants who had no active criminal justice status at the time of arrest, 72% were released before case disposition (table 5). In contrast, 33% of defendants on parole and about 49% of defendants on probation at the time of the current arrest were granted pretrial release. Among defendants who were already on pretrial release for a pending case when arrested, 66% were released pending disposition of the current charge.

Defendants on parole at the time of arrest were the most likely to be denied bail; this occurred in 30% of such cases. This was a much higher percentage than for defendants on probation (9%), on pretrial release for a previous case (5%), or with no criminal justice status at the time of arrest (4%).

Court appearance history

A defendant's court appearance history is also likely to be considered by the court when setting bail and the terms of release for the current felony charge. About twothirds of the defendants included in the NPRP study had previously been arrested and required to appear in court. Among defendants who made all scheduled court appearances related to prior arrests, 64% were released prior to disposition of the current case (table 6). The probability of release was somewhat lower for defendants who had failed to appear in court once previously (57%), and lowest for defendants who had falled to appear more than once on prior criminal charges (52%).

Prior conviction record

Defendants with a prior conviction record were also less likely to be released. The lowest probability of release was found for defendants with multiple prior convictions or with a felony conviction record; about 50% of such defendants were released prior to case disposition (table 7). Defendants who had a single prior conviction or only misdemeanor convictions were able to obtain release about 66% of the time. The release rate for defendants with no prior convictions was 79%.

Defendants who had a prior felony conviction were the most likely to be denied bail. About 12% of these defendants were held without bail, compared to 3% for other defendants.

Table 5. Felony defendants released before or detained until case disposition, by criminal justice status at the time of arrest, 1990

			Percent of felony defendants in the 75 largest countles								
Criminal Justice	Number			Released		Detained					
status at time of arrest	of de- fendants	Total	Total	Finan- cial	Non- financial	Total	Held on bail	Held without bail			
On parole	2,596	100%	33%	15%	18%	67%	37%	30%			
On probation	6,525	100%	49	23	26	51	43	9			
On pretrial release	4,554	100%	66	30	36	34	29	5			
None	25,563	100%	72	32	40	28	24	4			

Note: Data on both criminal justice status at time of arrest and specific detention/release outcome were available for 72% or all cases. Defendants who had more than 1 type of criminal justice status at the time of arrest are not included in the table. Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Table 6. Felony defendants released before or detained until case disposition, by court appearance history, 1920

			Percent o	f felony de	efendants in t	he 75 large	st countie	8
Court	Number			Release	d	Detained		
	of de- fendants	Total	Total	Finan- cial	Non- financial	Total	Held on ball	Held without bail
With prior arrests								
Failed to appear								
more than once	6,799	100%	52%	18%	34%	48%	38%	10%
Failed to appear once Made all court	7,223	100	57	21	36	43	37	6
appearances	16,012	100	64	27	37	36	29	7
No prior arrests	14,698	100	81	31	50	19	16	3

Note: Data on court appearance history and specific detention/release outcome were available for 79% of all cases.

Table 7. Felony defendants released before or detained until case disposition, by prior conviction record, 1990

	Number			felony defer Released			Detained		
Prior conviction record	of defendants	Total	Total	Financial	Non- financial	Total	Held on bail	Held without bai	
Number of prior convictions*									
5 or more	9,841	100%	46%	18%	28%	54%	43%	11%	
2-4	10,354	100	54	24	29	46	37	9	
1	6,531	100	66	31	35	34	29	5	
None	22,895	100	79	- 29	50	21	18	3	
Most serious prior convictions									
Violent felony	6,416	100%	48%	18%	30%	52%	38%	14%	
Nonviolent felony	11,682	100	49	22	26	51	40	11	
Misdemeanor	8,739	100	65	30	35	35	32	3	
None	22,895	100	79	29	50	21	18	3	

Note: Data on both prior convictions and specific detention/release outcome were available for 88% of all cases. Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

*Number of convictions refers to charges.

Time from arrest to pretrial release

A majority (54%) of all pretrial releases occurred either on the day of arrest or on the following day, and 93% occurred

within 1 month of arrest (table 8). The amount of time from arrest to release was related to the type of release conditions imposed, the ball amount set (if any), and to the type of arrest charge.

Table 8. Time from arrest to release for felony defendants released before case disposition, by type of release, ball amount, and the most serious arrest charge, 1990

Type of release, bail amount, and the most serious	Number of de-	75 largest	felony defende counties releas sition within:		
arrest charge	fendants	1 day	1 week	1 month	
Ali released					
defendants	34,663	54%	80%	93%	
Type of release					
Recognizance	13,692	52%	82%	94%	
Surety bond	8,147	50	76	91	
Conditional	4,361	72	82	94	
Full cash bond	3,403	34	70	87	
Unsecured bond	2,748	76	90	97	
Deposit bond	1,487	49	75	94	
Emergency	536	42	83	92	
Bail amount set*					
\$20,000 or more	995	32%	59%	85%	
\$10,000-\$19,999	1,495	41	71	90	
Under \$10,000	10,441	48	76	91	
Most serious					
arrest charge					
Violent offenses	8,653	50%	77%	92%	
Property offenses	12,048	60	82	94	
Drug offenses	11,518	50	79	92	
Public-order offenses	2,819	56	81	92	

Note: Data on time from arrest to pretrial release were available for 99% of all cases involving a defendant who was released prior to case disposition. Release data were collected for 1 year. Defendants released after the study period are excluded from the table.

*Includes defendants released on surety, full cash, or deposit bond.

Table 9. Number of prior convictions of felony defendants, by whether released or detained and the most serious current arrest charge, 1990

Detention/release outcome and the	Number	P	ercent of felon Total v				ounties conviction	200
most serious cur- rent arrest charge	of defendants	Total	No prior convictions	Prior con- victions	10 or more	5-9	2-4	1
Released defendants								
All offenses	33,085	100%	56%	44%	5%	9%	17%	13%
Violent offenses	8,452	26	15	11	1	2	4	4
Property offenses	11,481	35	20	15	2	3	5	4
Drug offenses	10,474	32	17	15	1	3	6	5
Public-order offenses	2,678	8	4	4		1	2	1
Detained defendants								
All offenses	18,348	100%	29%	71%	11%	20%	27%	13%
Violent offenses	4,933	27	. 9	18	2	. 5	. 7	4
Property offenses	6,143	33	10	24	4	7	8	4
Drug offenses	6,027	33	9	24	4	6	10	4
Public-order offenses	1,245	7	1	6	1	2	2	1

Note: Data on both number of prior convictions and detention/release outcome were available for 91% of all cases. Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

--Less than 0.5%.
*Number of convictions refers to charges.

About three-fourths of defendants released on unsecured bond or on conditional release were discharged on the day of arrest or on the following day, compared to a third of those who were eventually released by posting a full cash bond. About half of those released on surety bond, deposit bond, or on their own recognizance were released within a day of their arrest.

When bail was involved and the defendant was required to post money to secure release (surety, cash, or deposit bond), the time from arrest to pretrial release increased as the bail amount did. When the bail amount was set at \$20,000 or more, about 1 in 3 defendants secured release within a day. About 1 in 2 did so when the bail amount was under \$10,000.

A slight variation in time from arrest to release was found when examined by most serious arrest charge, with defendants charged with violent or drug offenses somewhat less likely than other defendants to be released on the day of arrest or the following day.

Released versus detained defendants

About twice as many of the released defendants (56%) had no prior conviction record as those who were detained (29%) (table 9). Among released defendants, 31% had more than 1 prior conviction, and 5% had 10 or more. Among detained defendants, 58% had more than 1 prior conviction, and 11% had 10 or more.

About half of detained defendants had at least one prior felony conviction, compared with about a fourth of the defendants who

received pretrial release (table 10). About 1 in 5 detained defendants had at least 1 prior conviction for a violent felony,

Table 10. Most serious prior conviction of felony defendants, by whether released or detained and the most serious current arrest charge, 1990

Detention/release				felony defend					
outcome and the most serious cur-	Number of		Total No prior	Prior	Ine	/iction Misde-			
ent arrest charge defendants		Total	convictions	convictions	Total	Felon Violent	Nonviolent	meanor	
Released defendant	•								
All offenses	33,155	100%	56%	44%	27%	10%	18%	17%	
Violent offenses	8,472	26	15	11	6	3	3	5	
Property offenses	11,509	35	20	15	10	3	6	5	
Drug offenses	10,496	32	17	15	9	2	7	6	
Public-order offenses	2,678	8	4	4	2	1	1	, 2	
Detained defendants									
All offenses	18,390	100%	29%	71%	53%	19%	34%	18%	
Violent offenses	4,946	27	9	18	13	7	6	5	
Property offenses	6,151	33	10	24	18	5	. 13	6	
Drug offenses	6,048	33	9	24	18	6	12	6	
Public-order offenses	1,245	7	1.	6	4	2	3	2	

Note: Data on most serious prior conviction and detention/release outcome were available for \$1% of all cases. Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Percent of felony defendants who were released

Table 11. Characteristics of defendants released before case disposition, by type of release, 1990

	before case disposition in the 75 largest counties								
	F	inancial rele			nancial releas				
Defendant	Surety	Full cash	Deposit			Unsecured	Emergency		
characteristic	bond	bond	bond	Recognizance	Conditional	bond	release		
Most serious arrest charge									
Violent offenses	22%	30%	29%	28%	22%	14%	1%		
Property offenses	30	23	26	36	45	40	44		
Drug offenses	38	35	36	29	26	42	48		
Public-order offenses	10	12	9	7	8	5	7		
Sex									
Male	82%	89%	87%	83%	79%	86%	88%		
Female	18	11	13	17	21	14	12		
Race									
Black	42%	49%	64%	55%	50%	71%	86%		
White	57	46	36	43	47	28	14		
Other -	2	5	0	1	3	1	0		
Age									
Under 21	18%	25%	23%	26%	23%	24%	26%		
21-34	56	55	55	55	55	58	57		
35 or older	25	19	22	19	22	19	17		
Most serious prior conviction		•							
Felony	27%	32%	35%	25%	25%	29%	22%		
Misdemeanor	23	18	10	16	15	13	10		
None	50	50	55	58	60	58	68		
Court appearance history									
Failed to appear	22%	32%	22%	30%	12%	34%	10%		
Made all appearances	36	37	38	32	41	22	31		
Had no prior arrests	42	32	40	38	47	44	59		

Note: Table is based on the following number of defendants in each release category: surety bond, 8,175; full cash bond, 3,596; deposit bond, 1,491; recognizance, 13,805; conditional, 4,373; unsecured bond, 2,774; and emergency, 544.

compared to 1 in 10 released defendants. About 7% of detained defendants were under a current charge for a violent felony and had at least one prior conviction for a violent felony. About 3% of released defendants had these two characteristics.

Defendant characteristics by type of release

A substantially smaller percentage of defendants released on surety bond were black (42%) than those released on deposit bond (64%), unsecured bond (71%), or emergency release (86%) (table 11). Defendants released on surety bond (18%) were also less likely to be under the age of 21 than were defendants released under other methods (25%).

Half of defendants released on surety bond or full cash bond had a prior conviction for either a misdemeanor or a felony, a slightly higher proportion than for other types of release. However, the percentage of defendants with one or more prior felony convictions was higher among those released on deposit bond (35%) than those released on surety bond (27%). Defendants on emergency release were the least likely to have a prior conviction record, with about a third of them having at least one prior conviction.

Defendants released on unsecured bond (34%), full cash bond (32%), or on their own recognizance (30%) were the most likely to have previously had a bench warrant issued for failure to appear in court. About 22% of defendants released on surety or deposit bond had previously failed to make a scheduled court appearance. Defendants on conditional release (12%) or emergency release (10%) were the least likely to have missed a previous court appearance.

Failure to appear in court

One of the primary goals of any pretrial release decision is to ensure the defendant's subsequent appearance in court as scheduled. Among those felony defendants who were released prior to trial, 76% made all scheduled court appearances. A fugitive bench warrant was issued for the arrest of the remaining 24% because they had missed one or more court dates (table 12). Two-thirds of these defendants had been returned to the court by the end of the one-year study period, while a third of them remained fugitives.

The percentage of defendants who failed to appear varied according to the type of arrest charge and the type of release. Bench warrants for failure-to-appear were issued twice as often for released property defendants (26%) and drug defendants (28%) as for defendants charged with public-order offenses (13%). The failure-to-appear rate for defendants charged with a violent offense was 19%.

Male and female defendants had about the same fallure-to-appear rate, while defendants age 35 or older had a slightly better court appearance record than younger defendants. About 3 in 10 black defendants had a bench warrant issued for missing a court date, compared with 2 in 10 white defendants.

A defendant's court appearance history was related to the probability of failing to appear on the current charges. For those who had missed 1 or more court dates in the past, the failure-to-appear rate on the current charges was 39%, about twice that of other defendants.

By type of release, defendants on emergency release (49%) were the most likely to have a bench warrant issued because they falled to appear for a court date within the 1-year study period (in 9 out of 10 such cases they were returned to the court). The next highest failure-to-appear rates were for defendants released on unsecured bond (36%) or their own recognizance (29%). Bench warrants for failure-to-appear were less likely to be issued for defendants released on deposit bond (19%), surety bond (14%), or conditional release (14%).

In cases where a defendant missed a court date and it resulted in the issuance of a bench warrant, the failure-to-appear occurred within 1 week of release in 12%

of the cases, within 1 month of release in 38% of the cases, and within 3 months in 71% of the cases. For all defendants who had a bench warrant issued for their arrest because they falled to appear in court, the median time between pretrial release and the initial missed court date was 44 days.

Time from re- lease to failure to appear	Percent of released defendants
1 week	12%
1 month	38
3 months	71
6 months	90
1 year	100
Median	44 days

Return of fugitive defendants to the court

Overall, about 8% of released felony defendants had failed to appear and were still fugitives at the end of the year-long study. The percentage of defendants who were fugitives at the end of the study was higher when the method of release was recognizance (11%) or unsecured bond (10%) than when it was conditional release (4%) or surety bond (3%).

About a third of the defendants for whom a bench warrant was issued were returned to the court within 1 month of their failure to appear, and about half had been returned

Table 12. Released felony defendants who falled to make a scheduled court appearance, by selected defendant characteristics, 1990

Defendant characteristic	Number of de- fendants	defendan	of released felon its who failed to in the 75 largest Returned	appear	
All released defendants	34,831	24%	16%	8%	
Most serious arrest charge					
Violent offenses Property offenses Drug offenses Public-order offenses	8,606 11,990 11,466 2,769	19 28 26 13	12 19 17 9	6 9 8 4	
Sex					
Male Female	28,672 5,624	24% 23	16% 15	8% 7	
Race					
Black White Other	16,399 14,119 599	29% 19 11	19% 13 6	10% 6 5	
Age					
Under 21 21-24 25-29 30-34 35 or older	8,136 6,241 7,239 5,612 7,017	23% 25 26 24 20	15% 17 18 17 12	7% 8 8 8	
Court appearance history from prior arrests					
Falled to appear* Made all appearances Had no prior arrests	7,704 10,192 11,776	39% 20 17	29% 12 10	11% 7 7	
Type of release					
Recognizance Surety bond Conditional Full cash bond Unsecured bond Deposit bond Emergency	13,543 7,841 4,297 3,520 2,738 1,451 520	29% 14 14 24 36 19 49	18% 11 10 15 26 10	11% 3 4 9 10 8 5	

Note: Data on the court appearance record for the current case were available for 99% of cases involving a defendant released prior to case disposition. Some defendants counted as fugitives may have been returned after the 1-year study period. Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

*See page 14 for the definition of *fallure to appear.*

after 3 months. At the end of the 1-year study period, two-thirds of all defendants who had failed to appear had been returned to the court.² The remaining third were still fugitives.

Percent of those defendants under a bench warrant returned to court within:

1 week	14%
1 month	32
3 months	52
6 months	62
1 year	67
Not returned	33%

Among those who failed to appear, the percentage of defendants who were still fugitives at the end of the study was highest for those who had been released on deposit bond (44%), recognizance (38%), or full cash bond (36%). Among defendants released on unsecured bond or conditional release, 28% of those who failed to appear were not returned to the court by the end of the study. Defendants for whom a bench warrant had been issued were least likely to remain a fugitive when they had been released on surety bond (18%) or emergency release (11%).

Type of pretrial release	Percent of fugitive defendants not returned within 1 year
All types	33%
Deposit bond	44%
Recognizance	38
Full cash bond	36
Conditional	28
Unsecured bor	Id 28
Surety bond	18
Emergency	11

Rearrest of defendants on pretrial release

in addition to considering the likelihood that a released defendant may not return for scheduled court appearances, courts in most States may also assess the potential risk to the community if a defendant is granted pretrial release. Rearrest data collected during the 1-year study indicated that about 18% of released defendants were rearrested while on pretrial release (table 13).

Defendants in different age groups and those with different criminal backgrounds

were rearrested at different rates. Defendants under age 21 had a significantly higher rearrest rate (22%) than defendants age 35 or older (14%). Public-order defendants, who were older on average than other types of defendants, had the lowest rearrest rate (9%). This was about half the rearrest rate for released defendants whose most serious original arrest

charge was a property offense (21%), drug offense (20%), or violent offense (16%). Released defendants with five or more prior convictions had a felony rearrest rate (32%) that was more than twice that of defendants who had no prior convictions (13%). Defendants with no prior arrests had a rearrest rate of 8%. Among those arrested for a new felony following pretrial

Table 13. Released felony defendants who were rearrested while on pretrial release, by selected defendant characteristics, 1990

	Felony	defendants /	released	before ca	se dispositi	on in the 7	/5 largest	counties
		Perc	ent of de	fendants b	y their mos	st serious	rearrest c	narge
Defendant characteristic	Number of de- fendants	Total rearrested	Total felony	Violent offense	Property offense	Drug offense	Public- order offense	Mis- demeanor
Ail released defendants	33,363	18%	11%	2%	4%	4%	1%	7%
Most serious original arrest charge								
Violent offenses Property offenses Drug offenses Public-order offenses	8,390 11,525 10,740 2,707	16% 21 20 9	8% 13 14 5	4% 3 1 1	2% 8 2 1	1% 2 9 1	1% 1 1 2	8% 8 6 4
Sex								
Male Female	27,726 5,461	20% 12	12% 6	3% 1	4% 2	4% 3	1%	8% 6
Race								
Black White Other	15,681 13,868 584	23% 14 10	15% 8 2	4% 1 2	5% 3 1	5% 3 0	1% 1 0	8% 6 8
Age								
Under 21 21-24 25-29 30-34 35 or older	7,899 5,999 7,036 5,443 6,828	22% 20 18 17 14	14% 12 12 10 8	4% 3 2 2 1	4% 4 4 4 3	4% 4 4 4 3	1% 1 1 	8% 8 7 7 6
Types of release								
Recognizance Surety bond Conditional Full cash bond Unsecured bond Deposit bond Emergency	13,341 7,608 4,243 3,509 2,038 1,342 408	22% 13 11 21 23 13	12% 9 7 13 18 9	3% 2 1 3 3 3	4% 3 3 3 9 3	5% 3 2 6 5 2	1% 1 1 1 2 1 0	10% 4 4 8 5 4
Number of prior convictions								
5 or more 2-4 1 None	4,464 5,464 4,212 17,758	32% 23 21 13	18% 15 12 8	4% 2 3 2	8% 5 3 3	5% 6 5 3	1% 1 1	14% 9 9 5
Most serious prior conviction								
Felony Misdemeanor None	8,640 5,561 17,758	28% 21 13	18% 11 8	3% 3 2	7% 3 3	6% 4 3	1% 1 1	11% 10 5

Note: Rearrest data were collected for 1 year. Rearrests occurring after the end of this study period are not included in the table. Information on rearrests in jurisdictions other than the one granting the pretrial release was not always available. Rearrest data were available for 94% of released defendants. Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

--Less than 0.5%.

²Some defendants returned to the court voluntarily, and the bench warrant for their arrest was withdrawn.

release, about half were rearrested for the same type of offense as the original charge that preceded their release.

For rearrested defendants the median time from pretrial release to the commission of an alleged new offense was 49 days — ranging from 42 days for those released after being charged with a property offense to 58 days for those released after a

charge for a drug offense (table 14). About 13% of the new charged offenses occurred within a week of pretrial release, 39% occurred within 1 month, and 69% occurred within 3 months of the defendants' release.

About 60% of the released defendants who were rearrested were once again granted pretrial release. Re-release was more likely to occur if the rearrest offense was a

misdemeanor (70%) than if it was a felony (54%). Among defendants rearrested for a felony, re-release was less likely if the rearrest was for a violent offense (45%) rather than a property offense (60%).

Rearrest offense		nt of sted defendants ere re-released
Total		60%
Felony		54%
Violent Property Drug		45 60 53
Public-o	rder	52
Misdemea	anor	70%

Adjudication

The median time from the original felony arrest to adjudication of that charge was greater for released defendants (125 days) than for those who had remained in detention (37 days) (table 15). A month after arrest, detained defendants (45%) were 3 times as likely as released defendants (15%) to have been adjudicated on their felony arrest charges.

By the end of 1 year, 96% of the cases of detained defendants and 81% of the cases of released defendants had been adjudicated. Among detained defendants, those charged with a violent offense (91%) were less likely than other detained defendants (98%) to have their case adjudicated within a year of their arrest. This was especially true for detained murder defendants, about a third of whom were still awaiting adjudication of their case at the end of 1 year.

Table 14. Time from pretrial release to alleged commission of a new offense, by the most serious original arrest charge, 1990

Most serious original	Number of de-	Median number	Percent of released and rearrested felony defendants in the 75 largest countles who committed a new offense within:								
arrest charge	fendants	of days	1 week								
All offenses	4,556	49	13%	39%	69%	88%					
Violent offenses	1,014	47	14	41	64	90					
Property offenses	1,715	42	16	42	74	89					
Drug offenses	1,649	58	9	34	67	85					
Public-order offenses	179	46	7	37	68	98					

Note: Data cover only those defendants rearrested within 1 year of a pretrial release. Data on time from pretrial release to commission of a new offense for which the defendant was rearrested were available for 96% of all cases involving a rearrest. Information on rearrests in jurisdictions other than the one granting the pretrial release was not always available. Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Table 15. Time from arrest to adjudication, by whether released or detained and the most serious original arrest charge, 1990

Detention/release	Felony defendants in the 75 largest counties										
outcome and most serious original	Number of de-	Median number		;	Percent not adjudicated						
arrest charge	fendants	of days	1 week	1 month	3 months	3 months 6 months		within 1 yea			
Released defendants	3										
All offenses	35,398	125	2%	15%	40%	63%	81%	19%			
Violent offenses	8,764	131	2	15	39	61	81	19			
Property offenses	12,152	116	2	16	42	66	82	18			
Drug offenses	11,639	138	2	12	38	59	80	20			
Public-order offenses	2,843	105	1	17	47	71	86	14			
Detained defendants	•										
All offenses	19,628	37	12%	45%	73%	89%	96%	4%			
Violent offenses	5,343	66	9	33	59	80	91	9			
Property offenses	6,463	30	14	50	79	93	98	2			
Drug offenses	6,512	35	14	47	78	91	98	2			
Public-order offenses	1,309	30	9	50	79	91	98	2			

Note: Data on time from arrest to adjudication were available for 97% of all adjudicated cases. Because of violation of the conditions of release (such as failure to appear in court or rearrest), 12% of the defendants who had been on pretrial release were in custody at the time of adjudication. These defendants are included under "released." The median for time from arrest to adjudication includes cases still pending at the end of the study. Knowing the exact times for these cases would not change the medians reported.

Overall, a higher percentage of detained defendants (77%) than released defendants (56%) were convicted (table 16). The lowest conviction rate was for released defendants who were charged with a violent offense (42%). This was roughly half the conviction rate for detained property defendants (81%) and detained drug defendants (80%).

The felony conviction rate among detained defendants was 64%, compared to 41% of released defendants. Among released defendants, about half of those charged with a drug effense or a public-order offense were convicted of a felony, a higher percentage than for those charged with a property offense (40%) or a violent offense (28%). Across all four offense categories, more than half of the detained defendants were convicted of a felony, with detained drug defendants (69%) having the highest probability of a felony conviction.

Sentencing

Convicted defendants who had been detained until case disposition were twice as likely as released defendants to receive a State prison sentence (table 17). Upon conviction, 89% of detained defendants were sentenced to incarceration, with 50% receiving a prison sentence and 39% a jail term. About 59% of the released defendants who were convicted were sentenced to incarceration, with more receiving a jail sentence (37%) than a prison sentence (25%). In cases where they were convicted but not sentenced to incarceration, about 90% of both released and detained defendants received a probation sentence.

Among released defendants who were subsequently convicted, drug defendants had the highest probability of being sentenced to incarceration (66%), with 30% receiving a prison sentence. Among detained defendants, the probability of being sentenced to incarceration upon conviction did not vary significantly by offense type; however, detained defendants who had been charged with a violent offense and convicted were more likely to receive a prison sentence than other detained defendants (58% versus 48%).

Two-thirds of defendants who were detained until case disposition were

eventually convicted and sentenced to incarceration compared with a third of those who were released. Detained defendants were about 3 times as likely as released defendants to eventually be convicted and sentenced to State prison.

These differences can be attributed mainly to the fact that some of the factors that affect sentencing decisions, such as seriousness of offense and prior criminal record, are the same ones that affect the probability of pretrial release.

Table 16. Adjudication outcome for felony defendants, by whether released or detained and the most serious original felony arrest charge, 1990

		Percen	t of felony d	<u>efendante</u>	in the 75 larges	t counties	<u> </u>	_	
Detention/release				Convicte	ed	Not convicted			
outcome and most	Number			Most	serious	Total	Dis-	Other	
serious original	of de-		Total	conv	iction offense	not con-	noncon-		
felony errest charge	fendants	Total	convicted	Felony	Misdemeanor	victed	acquitted	viction	
Released defendants	3								
All offenses	27,896	100%	56%	41%	15%	44%	37%	7%	
Violent offenses	6,927	100	42	28	14	58	54	4	
Property offenses	9,644	100	58	40	18	42	33		
Drug offenses	9,056	100	63	51	12	37	28	9	
Public-order offenses	2,359	100	63	48	16	37	31	6	
Detained defendants	ı								
All offenses	18,397	100%	77%	64%	13%	23%	21%	2%	
Violent offenses	4,714	100	69	58	11	31	29	2	
Property offenses	6,198	100	81	64	16	19	18	2 2	
Drug offenses	6,242	100	80	69	11	20	18	3	
Public-order offenses	1,244	100	76	60	16	24	23	1	

Note: Thirteen percent of all cases were still awaiting adjudication at the conclusion of the 1-year study period. Information on adjudication outcome was available for \$7% of all cases that had reached the adjudication stage at the end of 1 year. Cases where the most serious conviction charge was a violation are included under "misdemeanor." Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Table 17. Sentencing outcome for convicted defendants, by whether released or detained and the most serious original felony arrest charge, 1990

Detention/release outcome and the most serious original felony arrest charge	Number of de- fendants	Perce Total	Sente	ny defen enced earcerati Prison	on	Not to in	rgest cour sentenced carceration Probation	i n	·
Released defendants									
All offenses	14,374	100%	59%	25%	34%	41%	37%	4%	
Violent offenses Property offenses Drug offenses Public-order offenses	2,543 5,199 5,215 1,417	100 100 100 100	60 54 66 53	24 21 30 19	36 33 36 34	40 46 34 47	36 43 31 39	4 3 3 8	
Detained defendants									
All offenses	13,516	100%	89%	50%	39%	11%	10%	1%	
Violent offenses Property offenses Drug offenses Public-order offenses	3,077 4,702 4,823 914	100 100 100 100	90 88 89 87	58 48 48 48	32 39 42 39	10 12 11 13	9 11 10 10	1 1 1 4	

Note: Information on sentencing outcome was available for 93% of all cases involving a conviction that had been adjudicated at the end of 1 year. Detail may not add to total because of rounding. *Includes sentences that also involved probation.

Pretrial release of felony defendants in the 75 largest counties, 1988 and 1990

14 Page 1997		ent of
	defen 1988	1990
	1800	1990
All defendants		
Released prior to case disposition	66%	65%
Violent	59	63
Property	62	67
Drug	72	65
Public-order	70	69
Released defendants		
Released within 1 day of arrest	68%	54%
Violent •	49	50
Property	82	60
Drug	64	50
Public-order	75	56
Failing to appear in court	24%	24%
Violent	20	19
Property	25	28
Drug	28	26
Public-order	14	13
Failing to appear in court and remaining a fugitive	8%	8%
Violent	6	6
Property	8	9
Drug	10	8
Public-order	5	4
Rearrected while on pretrial release	18%	18%
Violent	16	16
Property	18	21
Drug	19	20
Public-order	12	9

The first NPRP data collection was based on cases filed in February 1988. The completion of the latest study, based on cases filed in May 1990, allows for comparisons to be made to assess both consistency and variation in the criminal justice system processing of felony defendants.

Although the overall rate of pretrial release for felony defendants in the 75 largest counties was consistent from 1988 to 1990, some variation within offense category did occur. The percentage of felony drug defendants who were released before case disposition declined from 1988 (72%) to 1990 (65%). This was offset by slight increases in the release rate for violent defendants (from 59% in 1988 to 63% in 1990) and property defendants (from 62% to 67%).

Comparison of the 2 years suggests that defendants who were charged with a nonviolent offense and subsequently granted pretrial release had to wait longer for release in 1990 than in 1988. The percentage of released defendants who were released within 1 day of arrest declined from 82% in 1988 to 60% in 1990 among those charged with property

offenses, from 64% to 50% for drug defendants, and from 75% to 56% for public-order defendants. Among released defendants who were facing a charge for a violent offense, the percentage released within 1 day of arrest was about 50% in both 1988 and 1990.

The percentage of defendants for whom a bench warrant was issued because they failed to appear in court remained stable at 24% from 1988 to 1990. In both years property and drug defendants were the most likely to have a bench warrant for failure to appear, and public-order defendants, the least likely.

Among defendants for whom a failure-toappear bench warrant was issued, the proportion that were still fugitives after a year was about a third for all four offense categories in 1988 and 1990. In both surveys 8% of all released defendants were fugitives at the end of 1 year.

The rearrest rate for defendants on pretrial release was 18% in 1990, the same as in 1988. Without controlling for other factors, public-order defendants had the lowest rearrest rate in both years.

Methodology

The NPRP sample was designed and selected by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. It is a 2-stage stratified sample with 40 of the 75 most populous countles selected at the first stage (1 county having to be dropped without substitution) and a systematic sample of felony filings (defendants) within each county selected at the second stage.

The 40 counties were divided into 4 first-stage strata based on court filing information obtained through a telephone survey. Fourteen counties were included in the sample with certainty because of their large number of court filings. The remaining 26 counties were allocated to the 3 non-certainty strata based on the variance of felony court dispositions.

The second-stage sampling (filings) was designed to represent all defendants who had felony cases filed with the court during the month of May 1990. The participating jurisdictions included every defendant who had a felony case filed on selected days during that month. The days selected depended on the first-stage stratum in which the county had been placed. Each jurisdiction was provided with 5, 10, 15, or 31 days in May 1990 from which to sample all defendants who had felony charges filed. Jurisdictions that did not select a full month of filings were weighted to represent the full month.

Data on 13,597 sample felony cases were collected from the 39 sampled jurisdictions. This sample represented 56,807 weighted cases filed during the month of May 1990 in the 75 most populous counties. Cases that could not be classified into one of the four major crime categories (violent, property, drug, public-order) because of incomplete information were omitted from the analysis. This reduced the weighted total for this report to 56,615 cases. The data collection effort was supervised by the Pretrial Services Resource Center of Washington, D.C.

This report is based on data collected from the following jurisdictions: Arizona (Maricopa); California (Los Angeles, Orange, Sacramento, San Bernardino, San Diego, Santa Clara); District of Columbia; Florida (Broward, Dade, Duval, Hillsborough, Palm Beach, Pinellas); Georgia (Fulton); Hawaii (Honolulu); Illinois (Cook); Massachusetts (Essex, Suffolk); Michigan (Wayne); Missouri (St. Louis); New Jersey (Essex); New York (Bronx, Erle, Kings, Monroe, New York, Queens); Ohio (Hamilton); Pennsylvania (Allegheny, Montgomery, Philadelphia); Tennessee (Shelby); Texas (Dallas, Harris, Tarrant); Utah (Salt Lake); Virginia (Fairfax); and Washington (King).

Because the data came from a sample, a sampling error (standard error) is associated with each reported number. In general, if the difference between two numbers is greater than twice the standard error for that difference, we can say that we are 95% confident of a real difference and that the apparent difference is not simply the result of using a sample rather than the entire population. All differences discussed in this report were statistically significant at or above the 95-percent confidence level.

Offense categories

Felony offenses were classified into 12 categories for this report. These categories were further divided into the four major crime categories of violent offenses, property offenses, drug offenses, and public-order offenses. The following listings contain a representative summary of most of the crimes contained in each category; however, these lists are not meant to be exhaustive. All offenses, except for murder, include attempts and conspiracies to commit.

Violent offenses

Murder — Includes homicide, nonnegligent manslaughter, and voluntary homicide. Does not include attempted murder (which is classified as felony assault) or negligent homicide, involuntary homicide, and vehicular manslaughter (which are classified as "other violent crime").

Rape — Includes forcible intercourse, sodomy, or penetration with a foreign object. Does not include statutory rape or nonforcible acts with a minor or someone unable to give legal consent, nonviolent sexual offenses, and commercialized sex offenses.

Robbery — Includes the unlawful taking of anything of value by force of threat of force.

Assault — Includes aggravated assault, aggravated battery, attempted murder, assault with a deadly weapon, felony assault or battery on a law enforcement officer, or other felony assaults. Does not include extortion, coercion, or intimidation.

Other violent offenses — Includes vehicular manslaughter, involuntary manslaughter, negligent or reckless homicide, nonviolent or nonforcible sexual assault, kidnaping, unlawful imprisonment, child or spouse abuse, cruelty to child, reckless endangerment, hit-and-run with bodily injury, intimidation, and extortion.

Property offenses

Burglary — Includes any type of entry into a residence, industry, or business with or without the use of force with the intent to commit a felony or theft, such as forcible entry and breaking and entering. Does not include possession of burglary tools, trespassing, and unlawful entry where the intent is not known.

Theft — Includes grand theft, grand larceny, motor vehicle theft, or any other felony theft. Does not include receiving or buying stolen property, fraud, forgery, or deceit.

Other property offenses — Includes receiving or buying stolen property, forgery, fraud, embezzlement, arson, reckless burning, damage to property, criminal mischief, vandalism, bad checks, counterfeiting, criminal trespassing, possession of burglary tools, and unlawful entry.

Drug offenses

Drug sales/trafficking — Includes trafficking, sales, distribution, possession with intent to distribute or sell, manufacturing, or smuggling of controlled substances. Does not include possession of controlled substances.

Other drug offenses — Includes possession of controlled substances, prescription violations, possession of drug paraphernalia, and other drug law violations.

Public-order offenses

Driving-related — Includes driving under the influence of drugs or alcohol, driving with a suspended or revoked license, or any other felony in the motor vehicle code.

Other public-order offenses — Includes flight/escape, parole or probation violations, prison contraband, habitual offender, obstruction of justice, rioting, libel and slander, weapons offenses, treason, perjury, prostitution/pandering, bribery, and tax law violations.

Terms relating to pretrial release

Released defendant — Includes any defendant who was released from custody prior to the disposition of his or her case by the court. Includes defendants who were detained for some period of time before being released and defendants who were returned to custody after being released because of a violation of the conditions of pretrial release.

Detained defendant — Includes any defendant who remained in custody from the time of arrest until the disposition of his or her case by the court. Includes defendants whose cases were disposed of in such a short time that they had no opportunity for pretrial release. This report also refers to detained defendants as "not released."

Fallure to appear — Occurs when a court issues a bench warrant for a defendant's arrest because he or she has missed a scheduled court appearance.

Types of financial release

Full cash bond — The defendant posts the full ball amount in cash with the court. If the defendant makes all court appearances, the cash is returned. If the defendant fails to appear in court, the bond is forfeited.

Deposit bond — The defendant deposits a percentage (usually 10%) of the full ball amount with the court. If the defendant falls to appear in court, he or she is liable to the court for the full amount of the ball. The percentage ball is returned after the disposition of the case, but the court often retains a small portion for administrative costs.

Surety bond — A bail bondsman signs a promissory note to the court for the full bail amount and charges the defendant a fee for the service (usually 10% of the full ball amount). If the defendant falls to appear, the bondsman is liable to the court for the full bail amount. Frequently the bondsman requires the defendant to post collateral in addition to the fee.

Types of nonfinancial release

Unsecured bond — The defendant pays no money to the court but is liable for the full amount of ball should he or she fall to appear in court.

Release on recognizance — The court releases the defendant on the promise that he or she will appear in court as required.

Citation release — Arrestees are released pending their first court appearance on a written order issued by law enforcement personnel. Citation release is included in the recognizance release category in this report.

Conditional release — Defendants are released under conditions which are supervised by a pretrial services agency. This type of release is also known as supervised release.

Other type of release

Emergency release — Defendants are released solely in response to a court order placing limits on a jail's population.

Bureau of Justice Statistics Bulletins are written primarily by Bureau analysts. Brian Reaves wrote this report. Lawrence A. Greenfeld edited it, assisted by Tom Hester. Pheny Z. Smith provided statistical review. Marilyn Marbrook administered production, assisted by Jayne Pugh.

NCJ-139560 November 1992

The Bureau of Justice Statistics is a component of the Office of Justice Programs which also includes the Bureau of Justice Assistance, the National Institute of Justice, the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, and the Office for Victims of Crime.

Data used in this report are available from the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data at the University of Michigan, 1-800-999-0960. The dataset is archived as the National Pretrial Reporting Program, 1990.

Bureau of Justice Statistics reports

See order form on last page

vised November 1992)

II toll-free 800-732-3277 to order BJS reports, to be added to one of the BJS mailing lists, or to speak to a reference specialist in statistics at the Bureau of Justice Statistics Clearinghouse, National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Box 6000, Rockville, MD 20850. For drugs and crime data, call the Drugs & Crime Data Center & Clearinghouse, 1600 Research Blvd., Rockville, MD 20850, toll-free 800-666-3332.

BJS maintains these mailing lists:

- Law enforcement reports
- . Drugs and crime data
- · Justice expenditure and employment
- National Crime Victimization Survey
- Corrections
- Courts · Privacy and security of criminal histories
- and criminal justice information policy Federal statistics
- BJS bulletins and special reports
 Sourcebook of Criminal Justice

Statistics (annual)

Single copies of reports are free, use NCJ number to order. Postage and handling are charged for bulk orders of single reports. For single copies of multiple titles, up to 10 titles are free; 11-40 titles \$10; more than 40, \$20; libraries call for special rates.

Public-use tapes of BJS data sets and other criminal justice data are available from the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data (formerly CJAIN), P.O. Box 1248, Ann Arbor, MI 48106 (toll-free 800-999-0960).

ntional Crime Victimization urvey

Criminal victimization in the U.S.:

Criminal victimization in the U.S.: 1990 (final), NCJ-134126, 2/92 1973-88 trends, NCJ-129392, 7/91 1989 (final), NCJ-129391, 6/91 Crime victimization in city, suburban, and rural areas, NCJ-135943, 6/92 School crime, NCJ-131645, 9/91 Teenage victims, NCJ-128129, 5/91 Female, victimes of victions of victimes and victims of victimes of victims. Female victims of violent crime, NCJ-126826, 1/91

The Nation's two crime measures: Uniform Crime Reports and the National Crime Survey, NCJ-122705, 4/90

Redesign of the National Crime Survey, NCJ-111457, 3/89

The seasonality of crime victimization, NCJ-111033, 6/88

BJS bulletins

Criminal victimization 1991, NCJ-136947,

Crime and the Nation's households, 1990, NCJ-136950, 7/92

The crime of rape, NCJ-96777, 3/85 Household burglary, NCJ-96021, 1/85 Measuring crime, NCJ-75710, 2/81

BJS special reports

Elderly victims, NCJ-138330, 10/92 Elderly Victims, NCJ-138330, 10/92
Handgun crime victims, NCJ-123559, 7/90
Black victims, NCJ-122562, 4/90
Hispanic victims, NCJ-120507, 1/90
The redesigned National Crime Survey:
Selected new data, NCJ-114746, 1/89
Motor vehicle theft, NCJ-109978, 3/88
Elderly victims, NCJ-107676, 11/87 Violent crime trends, NCJ-107217, 11/87 Robbery victims, NCJ-104638, 4/87

Violent crime by strangers and non-strangers, NCJ-103702, 1/87

Preventing domestic violence against women, NCJ-102037, 8/86
Crime prevention measures, NCJ-100438, 3/86

he use of weapons in committing crimes, NCJ-99643, 1/86
Reporting crimes to the police,
NCJ-99432, 12/85
The economic cost of crime to victims,

NCJ-93450, 4/84

BJS technical reports

New directions for NCS, NCJ-115571, 3/89 Series crimes: Report of a field test, NCJ-104615, 4/87

Crime and older Americans information package, NCJ-104569, 5/87, \$10 Victimization and fear of crime: World

perspectives, NCJ-93872, 1/85, \$9.15
The National Crime Survey: Working papers,
Current and historical perspectives, vol. I, NCJ-75374, 8/82

Methodology studies, vol. II, NCJ-90307, 12/84

Corrections

BJS bulletins and special reports Capital punishment 1991, NCJ-136946, 10/92

Drug enforcement and treatment in prisons, 1990, NCJ-134724, 7/92 Prisoners in 1991, NCJ-134729, 5/92

Prisoners in 1991, NOJ-134729, 5/92 Women in prison, NOJ-127991, 4/91 Violent State prison inmates and their victims, NOJ-124133, 7/90 Prison rule violators, NOJ-120344, 12/89 Recidivism of prisoners released in 1983, NO

NCJ-116261, 4/89 Drug use and crime: State prison inmate

survey, 1986, NCJ-111940, 7/88 1986, NCJ-121753, 2/90
Time served in prison and on parole, 1984, NCJ-108544, 12/87 NCJ-135945, 11/92

Profile of State prison inmates, 1986, NCJ-109926, 1/88 Imprisonment in four countries, NCJ-103967, 2/87

Population density in State prisons, NCJ-103204, 12/86

Prisoners at midyear 1992 (press release), NCJ-138541, 10/92 Correctional populations in the United

1990, NCJ-134946, 7/92

1989, NCJ-130445, 10/91 Census of State and Federal correctional facilities, 1990, NCJ-137003, 6/92

Prisons and prisoners in the United States, NCJ-137002, 4/92

National Corrections Reporting Program: 1989, NCJ-138222, 11/92 1988, NCJ-134929, 4/92 1987, NCJ-134928, 4/92

1986, NCJ-132291, 2/92 State and Federal Institutions, 1926-86: Race of prisoners admitted, NCJ-125618,

Historical statistics on prisoners, NCJ-111098, 6/88

Census of jails and survey of jail inmates

BJS bulletins and special reports
Drunk driving: 1989 Survey of Inmates
of Local Jalls, NCJ-134728, 9/92
Jall Inmates, 1991, NCJ-134726, 6/92
Women in jall, 1989, NCJ-134732, 3/92 Wolfiel III Jail, 1998, NCJ-134/2, 3/92 Drugs and jail inmates, NCJ-130836, 8/91 Jail inmates, 1990, NCJ-129756, 6/91 Profile of jail inmates, 1989, NCJ-129097, 4/91 Jail inmates, 1989, NCJ-123264, 6/90

Population density in local jails, 1988, NCJ-122299, 3/90

Census of local jails, 1988, NCJ-121101, 2/90

Census of local jails, 1988: Summary and methodology, vol. I, NCJ-127992, 3/91

Data for individual jails in the Northeast, Midwest, South, West, vols. II-V, NCJ-130759-130762, 9/91 Census of local jails, 1983: Selected

findings, methodology, summary tables, vol. V. NCJ-112795, 11/88

Probation and parole

BJS bulletins and special reports Probation and parole: 1990, NCJ-133285, 11/91 1989, NCJ-125833, 11/90 Recidivism of young parolees, NCJ-104916, 5/87

Juvenile corrections

Children in custody: Census of public and private juvenile detention, correctional, and shelter facilities, 1975-85, NCJ-114065,

Survey of youth in custody, 1987 (special report), NCJ-113365, 9/88

Expenditure and employment

Justice expenditure and employment: 1990 (BJS bulletin), NCJ-135777, 9/92 1988 (full report), NCJ-125619, 8/91 Extracts, 1984, '85, '86, NCJ-124139, 8/91

Justice variable pass-through data, 1990: Anti-drug abuse formula grants (BJS technical report), NCJ-133018, 3/92

Courts

BJS bulletins

Prosecutors in State courts, 1990,

NCJ-134500, 3/92 Pretrial release of felony defendants, 1988,

NCJ-127202, 2/91
Felony sentences in State courts, 1988, NCJ-126923, 12/90

Criminal defense for the poor, 1986, NCJ-112919, 9/88

State felony courts and felony laws, NCJ-106273, 8/87

The growth of appeals: 1973-83 trends, NCJ-96381, 2/85

BJS special reports

Recidivism of felons on probation, 1986-89, NCJ-134177, 2/92

Felony case processing in State courts, 1986, NCJ-121753, 2/90

The prosecution of felony arrests: 1988, NCJ-130914, 2/92 1987, NCJ-124140, 9/90

Felons sentenced to probation in State courts, 1986, NCJ-124944, 11/90 Felony defendants in large urban counties, 1988, NCJ-122385, 4/90

Profile of felons convicted in State courts, 1986, NCJ-120021, 1/90 Felony laws of 50 States and the District of

Columbia, 1986, NCJ-105066, 2/88, \$14.60 State court model statistical dictionary: Supplement, NCJ-98326, 9/85 1st edition, NCJ-62320, 9/80

Privacy and security

Criminal justice information policy: Report of the National Task Force on

Report of the National Task Force on Criminal History Record Disposition Reporting, NCJ-135836, 6/92
Attorney General's program for improving the Nation's criminal history records: BJS implementation status report, NCJ-134722, 3/92
Identifying felons who attempt to purchase firearms, NCJ-128131, 3/91, \$9.90

Assessing completeness and accuracy of criminal history record information: Audit guide, NCJ-133651, 2/92 Forensic DNA analysis: Issues, NCJ-128567, 6/91

Statutes requiring use of criminal history record information, NCJ-129896, 6/91 Survey of criminal history information

systems, NCJ-125620, 3/91 Original records of entry, NCJ-125626, 12/90

Strategies for improving data quality, NCJ-115339, 5/89 Public access to criminal history record

information, NCJ-111458, 11/88 Juvenile records and recordkeeping systems, NCJ-112815, 11/88

Automated fingerprint identification systems: Technology and policy issues, NCJ-104342, 4/87

Criminal justice "hot" files, NCJ-101850,

BJS/SEARCH conference proceedings: National conference on improving the quality of criminal history information: NCJ-133532, 2/92

Criminal justice in the 1990's: The future of information management, NCJ-121697, 5/90, \$7.70

Juvenile and adult records: One system, one record? NCJ-114947, 1/90 Open vs. confidential records, NCJ-113560, 1/88

Compendium of State privacy and security legislation: 1992, NCJ-137058, 7/92

1992 full report (1, 500pp, microfiche \$2, call for hard-copy price), 7/92

Computer crime

Electronic fund transfer systems fraud, NCJ-100461, 4/86 Expert witness manual, NCJ-77927, 9/81, \$11.50

Law Enforcement Management and Administrative Statistics

LEMAS, 1990: Data for individual agencies with 100 or more officers, NCJ-134436, 9/92

BJS bulletins and special reports Drug enforcement by police and sheriffs' departments, 1990, NCJ-134505, 5/92 State and local police departments, 1990, NCJ-133284, 12/91 Sheriffs' departments, 1990, NCJ-133283,

12/91 Police departments in large cities, 1987,

NCJ-119220, 8/89 Profile of State and local law enforcement agencies, 1987, NCJ-113949, 3/89

Drugs & crime: 800-666-3332

Drugs and crime facts: 1991, NCJ-134371, 9/92 1990, NCJ-128662, 8/91

State drug resources: 1992 national directory, NCJ-134375, 5/92 Catalog of selected Federal publications

on illegal drug and alcohol abuse, NCJ-132582, 10/91

Federal drug data for national policy, NCJ-122715, 4/90

Federal justice statistics

Federal criminal case processing, 1980-90, with preliminary data for 1991, NCJ-136945, 9/92

Compendium of Federal Justice statistics: 1989, NCJ-134730, 5/92 1988, NCJ-130474, 1/92

The Federal civil justice system (BJS bulletin), NCJ-104769, 8/87

Federal offenses and offenders

BJS special reports Federal sentencing in transition, 1986-90, NCJ-134727, 6/92

Immigration offenses, NCJ-124546, 8/90 Federal criminal cases, 1980-87, NCJ-118311, 7/89 Drug law violators, 1980-86, NCJ-111763,

Pretrial release and detention: The Bail Reform Act of 1984, NCJ-109929, 2/88

General

BJS bulletins and special reports
Patterns of robbery and burglary
in 9 States, 1984-88, NCJ-137368, 11/92
Forgery and fraud-related offenses
in 6 States, 1983-88, NCJ-132445, 1/92
BJS telephone contacts, '91, NCJ-130133,
7/01

Tracking offenders, 1988, NCJ-129861, 6/91 International crime rates, NCJ-110776, 5/88

BJS national update: Oct. '92, NCJ-138540, 9/92 July '92, NCJ-137059, 7/92 April '92, NCJ-135722, 4/92 Jan. '92, NCJ-133097, 12/91

Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, 1991, NCJ-137369, 9/92

State justice sourcebook of statistics and research, NCJ-137991, 9/92 BJS application information, FY 1992 programs, NCJ-134644, 3/92

Perestrolka and the Procuracy: The changing role of the prosecutor's office in the former USSR (BJS discussion paper),

NCJ-134501, 3/92 Violent crime in the United States,

Violent crime in the United States, NCJ-127855, 3/91 BJS data report, 1989, NCJ-121514, 1/91 Publications of BJS, 1985-89: Microtiche library, PRO30014, 5/90, \$190 Bibliography, TBO030013, 5/90, \$17.50 Publications of BJS, 1971-84: Microfiche library, PRO30012, 10/86, \$203 Bibliography, TBO30012, 10/86, \$17.50 1990 directory of automated criminal justice information systems, Vol. 1, corrections.

sou directory of automated criminal justice Information systems, Vol. 1, Corrections, \$10.60; 2, Courts, \$11.50; 3, Law enforcement, free; 4, Probation and parole, \$11.50; 5, Prosecution, \$11.50; NCJ-122226-30,

Report to the Nation on crime and justice: Second edition, NCJ-105506, 6/88 Technical appendix, NCJ-112011, 8/88

See order form on last page

spending, policies, programs Federal statistics—data describing Federal case processing, from investigation through prosecution, adjudication, and corrections Drugs and crime—sentencing and time served by drug offenders, drug use at time of crime by jail inmates and State prisoners, and other quality data on drugs, crime, and law enforcement Justice expenditure & employment—annual spending and staffing by Federal, State, and local governments and by function (police, courts, corrections, etc.) BJS bulletins and special reports—timely reports of the most current justice data in all BJS data series timely reports of the most current justice data in all BJS data series Prosecution and adjudication in State courts—case processing from prosecution through court disposition, State felony laws, felony sentencing, public defenders, pretrial release Corrections reports—results of sample surveys and censuses of jails, prisons, parole, probation, and other corrections data	ms, ucts alog, ts
To be added to any BJS mailing list, please copy or cut out this page, fill in, fold, stamp, and mail to the Justice Statistics Clearinghouse/NCJRS. Name: Organization: Street or box:	
You will receive an annual renewal card. If you do not return it, we must drop you from the mailing list. City, State, Zip: Daytime phone number: Criminal justice interest:	
To order copies of recent BJS reports, check here and circle items you want to receive on other side of this sheet. Put your organization and title here if you used home address above:	
U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs Bureau of Justice Statistics Office of Justice Programs Penalty for Private Use \$300 DOJ/BJS Permit No. G-91	D

Washington, D.C. 20531

Bulletin