2

C7

PR-73-20

. ...

THE PHYSICAL ACTIVITIES SURVEY OF POLICE OFFICERS IN NEW JERSEY

Leo S. Goldstein, Ph.D.



## THE PHYSICAL ACTIVITIES SURVEY

## OF

POLICE OFFICERS IN NEW JERSEY

Final Report

Study Conducted

for

Department of Civil Service

and the

State Law Enforcement Planning Agency

State of New Jersey

Ъу

Educational Testing Service Princeton, New Jersey •

Project Director: Leo S. Goldstein, Ph.D.

June, 1973

.

## Table of Contents

.

		Page
Acknowle	edgement	. i
Jurisdic	ctions participating in PAS	. iii
Introduc	tion	. 1
Developm	ment of the PAS questionnaire	. 2
Design o	of the Survey	. 4
Represen	ntativeness of the sample	. 5
Results		. 7
1. C	Characteristics of police officers related to	
c	community size	. 7
2. P	Physical characteristics and health status of the	
r	respondents	. 9
3. P	Physical activities required by the job	. 10
4. E	Evaluation of the physical performance test battery	. 11
~. F	Factors related to physical activities required by	
· t	the job	. 12
6. F	Factors related to present health status	. 15
7. C	Other indices of health awareness	. 19
Discussi	ion	. 2Ò
Appendix	K	
A. F	Physical Activities Survey questionnaire	. 24
B. I	Letter to Chiefs of Police from Director of Examinations,	
. · I	Department of Civil Service	. 25

## Acknowledgement

с.	Letter to Chiefs of Police from project director	•	•	•	26
D.	Directions for Administration of PAS questionnaires	•	•	•	27
Ε.	Return request card for copy of final report of PAS	•	•	•	28
Tables	1-51		•	•	29 et seq.

Page

Although this report of the Physical Activities Survey bears the sole authorship of the undersigned, whatever success the survey may have achieved is due mainly to the contributions in time and/or thought of these individuals and organizations: The Department of Civil Service, State of New Jersey and the New Jersey State Law Enforcement Planning Agency for supplying the necessary funding.

Wayne Boyd, Director of Examinations and his colleagues, in the Department of Civil Service, William Parikas, Robert Maurer and Thomas Johnson for providing appropriate support, criticisms, and suggestions for improving the study. Leo Culloo, Leonard Harrison, and Robert Walsh of the New Jersey Police Training Commission for reviewing the questionnaire and for suggesting improved methods of administration.

Mike Prisco and his brother officers of the Lakewood Police Department for their invaluable assistance in the field trial of the PAS questionnaire.

Samuel Barnett, my colleague at ETS, for giving me the benefit of his experiences as a police officer, a researcher, and a human being. Linda Staples, who helped with the distribution and coding of

the questionnaires. Rob Patrick, who directed the processing of

i

## Civil service jurisdictions participating

in the Physical Activities Survey

the data from purching through computer print-out. Pat Fisher, for her secretarial skills and beaming visage.

Finally, the deepest appreciation and gratitude is expressed to the chiefs and their men who contributed the information on which the study is based. Hopefully, their contribution will serve to benefit their brother officers.

None of the above bear responsibility for any errors of omission or commission which may have found their way into this report. Such responsibility is that of

> Leo S. Goldstein, Ph.D. Research Psychologist

County	Municipatity	County	Municipality
Bergen	Bergenfield East Rutherford	Gloucester	Monroe
	Edgewater Elmwood Park Fairlawn Fairview	Hudson	Bayonne Jersey City Kearney North Bergen
	Fort Lee Garfield Hackensack		Union City Weehawken
	Lodi North Arlington Ridgewood Rutherford Teaneck	Mercer	Ewing Hamilton Lawrence Trenton
	Wallington Wood-Ridge	Middlesex	New Brunswick Perth Amboy Savreville
Burlington	Burlington City Burlington Township Florence		South Plainfield Woodbridge
	Maple Shade Mount Laurel Riverside Willingboro	Monmouth	Belmar Freehold Boro Keyport Long Branch
Camden	Gloucester City Gloucester Township		Matawan Township Middletown
	Pennsauken	Morris	Boonton Dever Weren
Cape May	Cape May Lower Township Wildwood Crest		Jefferson Township Montville Morristown Bockaway Tormship
Cumberland	Bridgeton Millville Vineland	Ocean	Jackson Lakewood
Essex	Belleville Bloomfield East Orange Irvington		Pt. Pleasant Pt. Pleasant Beach Seaside Heights Seaside Park
•	Millburn Newark Nutley Orange South Orange Verona	Passaic	Clifton Paterson Pompton Lakes Ringwood West Milford
	West Orange		
	iii		

ii

PHYSICAL ACTIVITIES SURVEY

Municipality County Newton Sussex Sparta Clark Union Elizabeth Hillside Linden Plainfield Rahway

Scotch Plains

Municipality County Warren

Washington

Unfortunately, questionnaires from Ventnor City (Atlantic) and Edgewater Park (Burlington) were returned too late for inclusion in the study.

We wish to acknowledge the receipt of completed questionnaires from the police jurisdictions listed below. However, since civil service requirements are not used in these municipalities, the data could not be included in the study.

> Bernardsville Bound Brook Bridgewater Closter Dover Township Englewood Fairfield Glassboro

Lambertville Maplewood Princeton Boro Ramsey Secaucus Spotswood Wayne

Introduction

The police officer's job has changed greatly over the last three decades. Much of this change is a reflection of changes in society generally which have come about since the era of World War II. Increases in population, tremendous scientific advances, "minority" pressures for equality in education and employment, for example. have necessarily had their effect on the police profession. Even considering the police officer's job as a profession is a fairly recent innovation.

Because of these, and other changes, today's police officer is subject to a variety of dangers and pressures not previously present. The generally sedentary nature of police activities coupled with irregularly occurring demands for instantaneous physical acuity and exertion make it imperative that police officers be in excellent physical condition not only upon entering the profession but particularly through their later years on the force. Many police officers can relate at least one instance in which a brother officer, confronted with the need for sudden physical demand succumbed to failure of either heart or central nervous system. The State of New Jersey Department of Civil Service and the State Law Enforcement Planning Agency, cognizant of the physical demands of the police officer's role, authorized ETS to conduct a survey of police officer's physical activities in jurisdictions

within the state. The prime objective of this survey was to collect

information about the kinds of physical activities police officers perform, their present health status, what measures they take to maintain good physical condition and their appraisal of the present civil service physical performance test battery. Another aspect of the study was to examine the relation between and among these measures and the effects of other factors such as age, experience, rank, and type of assignment on present health status. This information would be used to assist the Department in an evaluation of the efficacy of the constituent parts of the present physical performance test, to uncover any significant relations between job requirements and the maintenance of good health, and to signal the need for specific action that the Department, SLEPA, or other state or local agencies might take in order to assist police officers in maintaining good physical condition.

## Development of the Physical Activities Survey Questionnaire

In order to accomplish the stated objective a Physical Activities Survey questionnaire was developed to elicit information about the name of the community in which the respondent worked, his rank, duty assignment, length of experience, age, height, weight and the percent of time spent during an "average" day in each of 18 activities covering a broad range of physical actions police officers might perform. On the basis of critiques received from Department of Civil Service personnel and members of the Police Training Commission, the questionnaire was completely revised in order to accomplish a much broader investigation.

Rather than having respondents react to a predetermined list of physical activities, the revised questionnaire asks the respondent to name those physical activities most often required by his job. In addition to the background information requested on the earlier form, the later version collects information about weight change since appointment, a self-estimate of present physical condition, recency of physical examination, changes in visual and auditory acuity since appointment, medical complaints or conditions developed, type and regularity of exercise, importance of physical condition to the job, availability of a physical fitness program in the jurisdiction, attitude toward each part of the civil service physical performance test (whether to keep, eliminate or change it) and whether the respondent could pass each part if he were tested now. This second form of the questionnaire was submitted to Civil Service and Police Training Commission personnel for review. Some relatively minor, but nevertheless helpful, changes were suggested and incorporated into the final version. In order to detect any unforeseen or undiscovered difficulties with the questionnaire or the directions for its administration, a field test was conducted with ten members of the Lakewood Police Department. This trial went smoothly; there was no apparent need for additional changes. The Physical Activities Survey (PAS) questionnaire was then distributed to police officers within New Jersey, in accordance with the research design.

-3-

-2-

## Design of the Survey

The frequency and severity of the kinds of demands made on police officers may be related to and/or affected by the community in which the police officer performs his duty. Therefore, a broad sampling was made of police jurisdictions within the state. Initially, communities within each of the 21 counties were selected from an alphabetical listing of police departments which indicated the total number of men in each department as well as the number at each rank from patrolman to chief. Within each county, the largest community and the smallest (with at least 10 men) were identified. Of the remainder, every third or fourth community was chosen for inclusion in the survey. In this manner, 108 jurisdictions were selected for the first contact.

-4-

A number of PAS questionnaires equal to approximately 25 - 30 percent of the men in the jurisdiction were addressed and mailed to the Chief of Police of each selected department. Accompanying each mailing was a letter from the Director of Examinations, Department of Civil Service, to the Chiefs of Police, describing the intent of the survey and requesting their cooperation. In addition, a letter from the project director and directions for administration of the questionnaires were included. (See Appendix for copies of these documents). The requested date for returning the completed questionnaires was approximately 10 days after the estimated time of their receipt at the designated police headquarters.

\*Report prepared by the State of New Jersey, Police Training Commission, 1968.

Inadvertently, questionnaires were sent to communities which do not utilize civil service procedures for selecting their police officers. Thirty-nine of the first 108 communities contacted are in this category. In order to compensate for the reduction in sample size, another selection was made from a roster of civil service communities.

Since relatively few departments (with ten or more men) then remained unsampled, it was decided to include them in the study. Thus, the police departments of 132 communities which select their police officers through the state civil service were contacted as potential participants in this survey.

## Representativeness of the sample

Since questions pertaining to the civil service physical performance tests are an integral part of the questionnaire and the respondents' attitudes toward these tests are of paramount interest to the sponsors of the survey, questionnaires returned from non-civil service jurisdictions were not included in the study. Although a comparative study was suggested, the small size (approximately 150) of the non-civil service sample makes this impracticable. Of the 187 civil serivce jurisdictions, questionnaires were sent to 132. Completed PAS questionnaires were received from 92 of these jurisdictions in time for inclusion in the study. Returns from two communities arrived too late to be processed. Therefore, seventy percent of the civil service police departments contacted supplied the

data for this survey.

-5-

One of the first questions which must be asked of a survey of this type is "How representative of the population being studied is the sample of completed returns?" The key word here, of course, is "representative".

Jurisdictions from 17 of the state's 21 counties are represented in the sample. Two of the counties not represented (Somerset and Hunterdom) have no jurisdictions which use civil service procedures for the selection of their police officers. Only Atlantic and Salem counties are unrepresented because none of their civil service jurisdictions elected to participate in the survey. On this gross county level, the sample is representative.

A report, prepared by the Police Training Commission referred to earlier, lists the number of men at each rank from patrolman to chief in the organized police departments in the state as of April, 1968. This personnel breakdown or "census" provides a base for comparison for the PAS sample. For the 17 counties represented in the PAS, the "fit" between the 1968 census data and the PAS sample is quite close (Table 1). The largest deviation, that for Essex county, is less than 6%. The "overrepresentation" of Mercer and Union counties is less than 3% in each case.

In addition to representativeness by county, we can examine representativeness by rank. The number and percent of men at each rank from patrolman to chief, for the 1968 data and for the PAS sample, are given in Table 2. Here, the differences in percent at each rank are relatively small. The largest, an "underrepresentation"

of patrolmen, is only 3.5%. The "overrepresentation" of sergeants and detectives is less than 2.5% in each case. If, for each rank, the ratio of men in the PAS sample to the number in the 1968 data is considered, we note that between 12 and 20 percent of each rank except Inspector and Chief is represented in the PAS sample. Since, however, the number of chiefs is directly related to the number of departments, the 13 chiefs represent almost 15 percent of the jurisdictions sampled.

The distribution of men across assignments is given in Table 3. Although no comparative figures are available it is assumed that the large proportion of men assigned to patrol duties is not unrepresentative of general statewide conditions.

In general, the PAS sample of 1875 police officers in 92 departments located in 17 counties of New Jersey reflects fairly closely the county and rank distributions of the population of police officers in the state. Generalization of the findings of the PAS is defensible.

## Results

1. Characteristics of police officers related to community size. The PAS sample was classified into four categories of community size. The number and percent of sample questionnaires in each category within each of the 17 counties is shown in Table 4. Six percent of the total sample comes from police departments in communities of fewer than ten thousand persons. The greater portion of these returns come from Bergen, Burlington, Cape May,

-6-

-7-

Monmouth and Ocean counties. More than 28 percent of the sample comes from communities of ten to thirty thousand. Bergen, Burlington, Morris and Union counties provide the majority. Most of the returns from communities in the thirty to fifty thousand category, which accounts for almost 27 percent of the total, come from Bergen, Essex, Hudson, Middlesex and Union. The largest category, 39.1 percent of the sample, is from communities with more than fifty thousand people. Police jurisdictions in Essex, Hudson, Mercer, Passaic and Union supply more than 80 percent of these completed questionnaires.

Is there a relationship between the size of the community and certain characteristics of its police officers such as years of experience, age, height, and weight? Analysis of the data of Table 5 and Table 6 reveals a significant difference in both the experience and age of police officers between communities of different size. In both cases there is a straight line trend; the men in departments in larger communities, on the average, have more years of service and are older than police officers in less populated jurisdictions. Although similar differences hold across rank, i.e., men in higher ranks are, on the average, older and more experienced than men in lower ranks, significant differences do not exist, within rank, between communities of different sizes.

Exploring the possible influence of community size on the height and weight characteristics of its police force, Table 7 indicates no such effect. As would be expected, taller police officers generally

are heavier than their shorter peers. However, there is no evidence Although the inter-community differences in experience and

-9-

to indicate significant differences in weight between men serving in communities of different size, either across or within height. age are statistically significant, the magnitude of the largest difference (between the smallest and largest communities) is less than three-and-a-half years. For subsequent analyses, the data are pooled across communities; no differentiations are made on the basis of community size.

## 2. Physical characteristics and health status of the respondents

The typical New Jersey police officer, as defined by the PAS sample, is approximately 37.5 years old, stands just under 5'11", weighs almost 189 pounds and has been on the job for 11.5 years (Tables 8 through 11). He has gained more than 14 pounds since appointment (Table 12). If asked to describe his present physical condition, he would most likely answer "good" (Table 13). He probably did not wear glasses when he was appointed. Chances are still about two-to-one that he doesn't use glasses for reading and about nine-to-one that he doesn't need them for driving (Tables 14, 15, 16). In all likelihood, his hearing hasn't become impaired (Table 17). Although men fitting this description may actually exist, this "typical" police officer is only a statistical concoction. (See Document A in Appendix)

Of more immediate concern, however, is the health status of New Jersey's police officers as reflected by the PAS sample.

-8-

By self-report, 88.8% of the respondents claim to be in good or excellent health (Table 13). Nevertheless, more than half the group, (57%) which checked those physical symptoms or diseases they had developed since appointment checked at least one (Table 18). More than a quarter of the group (28.6%) checked two or more complaints. An examination of these specific conditions (Table 19) shows back trouble, loss of teeth, and hemorrhoids to be those most frequently mentioned. A not inconsequential percent of the group lists high blood pressure, ulcer, and nervous disorder, while more than ten percent indicate other unclassified physical problems. A closer investigation of how these complaints relate to other characteristics of the police officer and his job is made later in this report.

How important is good physical condition for the effective performance of the police officer's job? Sixty-nine percent of those replying to this question said that it is very important (Table 20). This attitude is probably reflected in the data of Table 21 which show that more than 63 percent of the respondents do some exercise regularly each week. Table 22, in addition, shows that those who feel most strongly about the importance of physical condition are also those most likely to engage in some regular exercise. Calisthenics is the most popular exercise, although 36.5 percent perform some exercise other than those listed (Table 23).

## 3. Physical activities required by the job

The sedentary nature of the police officer's job is evidenced by the data of Table 24. Sitting is identified by more than three-

fourths the group as the physical activity required most on the job. Walking is named by over half the group, standing by almost six percent, and driving by five percent. Of those activities requiring somewhat more exertion, running is most frequently mentioned (11.7%). Wrestling (some form of body contact), lifting and climbing evoke a response from less than three percent of the group. We will return later to a fuller examination of how these physical activities on the job relate to other personal and occupational aspects. 4. Evaluation of the physical performance test battery. Thus far, we have made an overview of the kinds of physical activities police officers perform, their present health status, and the measures they take to maintain good physical condition. Let us now explore the PAS sample's appraisal of the six tests of the civil service physical performance battery (Table 25). The 100-yard agility test is the most popular. Nine out of ten officers responding elect to keep it in the battery as it is without change. In contradistinction, less than three-fourths of the group would keep the squat jump; 16.1% would eliminate it completely. The remaining tests are accepted, as is, by about

eighty percent of the group, although chinning and broad jump would be eliminated by more than ten percent.

Which parts of the physical performance cest battery do the respondents think they could pass if they were to be tested today? The differential responses are supplied in Table 26 which shows that the largest percentage of the group feels that it could pass

-10-

the sit ups test (82.8%) while the squat jump would be passed by only 69.1%.

How is the officer's confidence about passing the test related to his attitude about keeping it in the battery, eliminating it or changing it? This question is answered by the data of Table 27. For each test, the relation between ability to pass the test and attitude toward its retention in the battery is highly significant. Generally, those officers who feel they could pass the test are more strongly in favor of keeping it as it is; those who feel they couldn't psss are more strongly in favor of eliminating the test.

5. Factors related to physical activities required by the job.

It was noted earlier (Table 24) that sitting, walking, and running are the physical activities mentioned most frequently by the respondents as being required on the job. In this section, the relation between these activities and other personal and occupational factors is examined more closely.

If we discount the ranks above captain, because of the relatively small numbers represented in the sample, we note the increased tendency for "sitting" to be reported as a required activity as rank increases (Table 28). This probably is a reflection of the increase in administrative duties with rank. Walking appears to be an activity more related to the work of detectives than men of other ranks.

Those engaged in administrative duties do proportionately more sitting than men with other assignments (Table 29). This corroborates the findings of Table 28 mentioned above. Men assigned to narcotics

divisions report the least amount of sitting and the greatest amount of running. Training, traffic, and records people do the most standing, while those in juvenile work and traffic report the greatest incidence of driving on the job. Presumably, these findings reflect directly the nature of work done by men assigned to the different divisions.

The relation of experience to physical activities (Table 30) is almost identical with that of age to physical activities (Table 31). Older and more experienced officers tend to spend a greater proportion of time sitting than do younger, less experienced men. Conversely, running as part of the job is reported more frequently by the less experienced, younger police officers; it decreases as a reported activity directly with an increase in age and experience. A change in an individual's weight may be regarded as an index of both aging and health status. Table 32 shows that men with the greatest gain in weight since appointment report the greatest percent of sitting and the least of running. Those men with extreme weight losses also report a high proportion of sitting but they walk and run more than do the men who have gained more than 30 pounds. Is there some relation between the kinds of activities an officer performs on the job and the symptoms or diseases he claims to have? The answer to this question is contained in the findings of Table 33 which indicate that the relationship, if one exists, is not very strong. A note of explanation about the

interpretation of this table is needed before proceeding.

-12-

-13-

The percents shown are for the men who report specific activity and the presence of a specific disease or symptom, i.e., "yes" to both the activity and the disease. What is not indicated is the percent of men who claim the activity but not the disease or the percent who claim the disease but not the activity, i.e., the "yes-no" and "no-yes" cases. Therefore, although a percent reported in the table may be higher than another, for a specific disease, it may not be statistically significant while a smaller percent entry is. For the 1334 men who report "sitting" as a required activity, a significantly high percent report having hemorrhoids. The incidence of varicose veins for this group is significantly less than would be expected. The percent of cases of hemorrhoids reported by the men who walk on the job is also lower than chance expectation. Those police officers who report running as a job requirement also report less-than-chance percents of back trouble and loss of teeth. This may be an indication that those men who are required to walk or to run on the job may be in better health than those who are less active. This contention seems warranted on the basis of the entries in the "None" column. A significantly small percent of those who sit on the job claim to have no diseases. However, the proportion of those who walk or run on the job and who claim no symptoms or diseases is significantly greater than expected.

6. Factors related to present health status. The PAS questionnaire provides two indices of present health status; the self-report of present physical condition and the symptoms or diseases claimed by the respondent. We look first at some factors which may be related to the self-report of present

From Table 34, we note that reported health status appears to vary inversely with age, i.e., those officers reporting themselves in excellent health are, on the average, younger than those claiming good, fair, or poor health. Average age increases as reported health status worsens. This general age effect is also apparent in Table 35. As years of experience as a police officer increase, the percent reporting excellent or good health decreases.

physical condition.

The relation of rank to reported health status, Table 36, tends to parallel that of age and experience, although the small number of respondents at the higher ranks makes this evaluation somewhat equivocal. The disparity in the number of respondents in the various assignment categories also makes the interpretation of the data of Table 37 somewhat difficult. However, those assigned to the Narcotics division and those in Training do report the largest percent of respondents in excellent or good health; those in Communications and Records and Identification report the largest percents of fair and poor health status.

The effect of extreme weight gain on reported physical condition is reflected in Table 38. More than 20% of those gaining more than

-14-

-15-

30 pounds since appointment consider themselves to be in fair or poor health.

The presence of diseases or symptoms, too, apparently influences the individual's estimate of his health status. Compared with those who state that they have no symptoms or diseases, those respondents claiming at least one disease report relatively higher incidences of fair or poor health (Table 39). This is particularly true for those claiming lung disease, heart disease, varicose veins, high blood pressure, or nervous disorder.

The police officer's evaluation of his present physical condition is directly related to the confidence he expresses in his ability to pass the civil service physical performance tests (Table 40). In each case, the relation is clear and strong; the higher the estimate of personal health status the greater the confidence in one's ability to perform successfully.

As proposed above, the presence of disease or symptoms is another index of the officer's health status. An indication of the effect of age on the reported presence of disease is clearly evident in Tables 41 and 42. All the diseases and symptoms investigated, except flat feet and lung disease, show a significant increase in incidence beginning sometime in the fourth decade of life. Less than forty percent of respondents in each of the age categories above 30-34 report complete absence of disease or symptoms, compared with incidences (of no disease) above 50% for those in the younger age categories. This finding is reproduced almost identically in the effect of experience. Incidence increases dramatically after approximately 10-14 years as a police officer for all diseases, with the two exceptions noted earlier. This length of experience is achieved usually by age thirty to thirty-five. The relatively high incidences of heart disease and high blood pressure for men of 45 and older as for those with 20 or more years experience, should be noted. Back trouble, in particular, and hemorrhoids should also be cited because of their inordinately high frequency of occurrence. These data may signal the need for police jurisdictions, on the local or state level, to take some preventive or ameliorative actions in regard to these conditions which may endanger the police officer's life or cause him much discomfort.

The relation of presence of disease to rank, Table 43, is not as clear. There is a tendency for men at the ranks of sergeant and higher to report a higher percent of complaint. Loss of teeth, ulcer, and other unclassified conditions are of significance here. (The data for flat feet, although statistically significant, should be viewed with suspicion because of the extremely low incidence of the condition and because of the low number of respondents in the ranks above captain.) Hemorrhoids, back trouble and high blood pressure tend to be reported more frequently by men at the sergeant's rank or higher.

Heart disease, hernia, and the lack of disease seem to be associated with a man's assignment (Table 44). To a lesser extent, this appears to be so also for the complaint "loss of teeth."

-17-

The highest percents of incidence of heart disease are reported by men in unclassified assignments, traffic, communications, records and identification, and detective divisions. Hernia occurs most frequently among men in communications, detective division, and other unclassified assignments. Proportionately, the highest incidence of the presence of at least one disease or symptom is reported by men in communications work. Administrators, traffic, records and identification, detective, and juvenile assigned personnel report incidences of more than 60%.

Table 45 examines the association of extreme weight changes with the reported presence of disease. Perhaps not unexpectedly, back trouble and high blood pressure can be singled out as being significantly related to extreme gains, i.e., twenty or more pounds. This is true too for hemorrhoids, loss of teeth, and ulcer (at a lower level of statistical significance.) These data suggest that the significantly higher percents of older and more experienced officers reporting back trouble and high blood pressure might be associated with increased weight. Further investigation of this point might indicate the need for preventive measures such as more carefully regulated diet and regular exercise schedules.

There is some evidence, Table 46, that performing some form of exercise regularly is associated with a lower reported incidence of disease. Lung disease, loss of teeth, and ulcer are conditions reported significantly more frequently be respondents who engage in no regular exercise than by those who do some exercise either regularly or irregularly. Calisthenics, the most popular form of exercise, is practiced by a greater proportion of younger men (those under 35). Swimming is preferred to a greater degree by men over 40 years of age. Lifting weights and jogging tend to be performed more by younger men although the age differentiation is not as pronounced as with calisthenics (Table 47).

Among the men who report some form of exercise on a regular or irregular basis, Table 48, there appears to be an underlying age relationship. A greater proportion of men over 40 exercise irregularly while younger men, in the main, exercise' regularly one to three times per week.

7. Other indices of health awareness.

By far, the largest portion of those reporting, almost 73%, have had a doctor's examination within the past year; more than half of these within the last six months (Table 49). A relatively small number, less than 12%, had their last physical examination by a doctor more than two years ago. These data indicate a positive attitude toward the maintenance of good health.

Another aspect of this general attitude is evident in Table 50. Almost 80% of the respondents representing jurisdictions without physical fitness programs express the view that such programs should be made available. Using as a benchmark the percent of participation in communities which do provide fitness programs, Table 51, we would estimate that more than half this group would avail itself of the opportunity to maintain good physical condition if a program were made available.

-18-

-19-

## Discussion

The responses to the Physical Activities Survey questionnaire of 1875 police officers employed in 92 municipalities of 17 of New Jersey's 21 counties were analyzed; the findings have been presented in the preceding sections. Attention has been focused primarily on the kinds of activities police officers perform on the job and how these are related to other occupational and health factors. We have also been concerned with the present health status of these men and how it is associated with job characteristics. An evaluation of the respondents' attitudes toward the civil service physical performance tests has also been presented.

-20-

A study such as this would be remiss if it merely presented its findings without interpreting the results or without attempting, however feebly, to draw conclusions on which future actions might be based. In order not to be derelict in this regard, the following interpretations and suggestions are offered.

In comparison with many other occupations, that of police officer is staffed by selected individuals who are required to meet and comply with fairly exacting intellectual, physical, and psychiatric standards. However, particularly in the physical domain, time and the nature of the police officer's job soon appear to have deleterious effects. Within ten to fifteen years after first donning the uniform, police officers begin to increase sharply the frequency of their physical complaints. This upward trend continues over time.

A substantial portion of this diminishing health status appears age-related but much of it may also be due to the largely sedentary

features of the job. We have evidence that this decline is somewhat less severe for those who are more active either on the job or because of a self-imposed routine of physical exercise. Extreme increases in weight also seem to be implicated in physical deterioration. Therefore, some remedies or suggestions for the maintenance of good physical health are almost self-evident. Some form of regular exercise combined with a program of weight control might be quite effective in maintaining or improving physical condition thereby reducing the incidence of complaints. The implementation of such programs at the local level probably should include some preliminary diagnostic work-ups in order to identify those men who are most in need. For these, the program should be mandatory and should provide for periodic examination or evaluation to determine its effectiveness. For those men who are in good physical condition, the program would be voluntary until a change in condition necessitated making it mandatory. Since four out of five respondents indicated their receptiveness to the idea of a physical fitness program, putting a program such as that outlined above into effect statewide might be accomplished, with federal and/or state

assistance in funding and planning.

The New Jersey Police Training Commission has recently recommended that a mandated physical training program be introduced in the police academies in order to help trainees "improve the general muscle tone and sharpen the mental process, . . . . prepare for participation in Defensive Tactics, First Aid, Firearms Training and other activities of a physical nature, . . . (and) through teaching proper lifting

-21-

techniques and giving exercises aimed at strenghtening seldom used back and stomach muscles to reduce the incidence of occupational hazards such as 'bad backs', hernias, muscle strains or tears, etc."<sup>1</sup> The trainee soon develops into the experienced veteran who, in the absence of appropriate maintenance measures, will fall prey to the rigors of ageing and the job. Why not then see to it that a program of this type is made available to the men after they have completed academy training?

The large proportion of complaints of "back trouble" and "hemorrhoids" might well be related to the high incidence of sitting, either on a chair or in a patrol car. Here, several possibilities suggest themselves. The simplest one might be getting off the chair or the car seat periodically and just bending or stretching or walking around. As a second resort, the ingenuity of orthopedic specialists and engineers might be enlisted to design more comfortable and more efficient seats. Where feasible, rotation of assignments might be made periodically so there is alternation between sedentary and more active roles.

According to our data, heart disease and high blood pressure tend to increase rather sharply after the age of 45. A program of exercise and weight control might have some positive effect here. Another preventive measure, however, would be the instituting of an annual physical examination by a physician for all men over 45 and somewhat less frequently for younger officers. Again, there is a cost factor to be considered but, certainly, sources of funding should be explored.

Going off on a different tangent, let us consider some possible suggestions regarding the civil service physical performance tests. One overriding question is: "Should the present battery of six tests be retained?" Although more than seventy percent of the respondents elected to keep all tests as they are, a fairly substantial minority voted to eliminate the squat jump, broad jump, and chinning bar tests. Any changes in this area would be at the discretion of civil service authorities. What has been uncovered here is an indication of discontent. Probably some sort of physical ability measures are needed for selection, but one wonders whether some measures might be developed which bear closer relation to the requirements of the job and which might be predictive of future physical condition.

Whatever decisions may be made regarding these and earlier suggestions, it is the hope of at least one researcher that the data presented herein may in some way improve the "policeman's lot" which, alas, is not a happy one.

-23-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Curriculum Survey Report, State of New Jersey Police Training Commission, April, 1972.

	THISTCAL ACTIVITIES SURVEL
1.	Name of municipality 5. Age 37.6years
.2.	Number of years as police officer 11.5 years 6. Height ft. 5 in.10 1/2
3.	Rank or grade Table 2 7. Weight 188.9 pounds
4.	Assignment (for example, patrol, narcotics, juvenile) Table 3
8.	Approximately how many pounds did you weigh when you were appointed? Table 12
9.	Your present physical condition is: excellent 32.6 good 56.2 fair 10.3 poor 1.0
10.	How long ago did a doctor give you a physical examination? months Table 49
î1.	Did you wear eyeglasses at the time you were appointed? yes 7.6 no 92.4
12.	Do you wear eyeglasses for driving? yes12.1 no 87.9 13. for reading? yes34.9 no65.1
14.	Since appointment, has hearing with either ear become difficult? yes 7.8 no 92.2
15.	Check all of the following that you have developed since appointment:hemorrhoids 16.4loss of teeth 20.6flat feet 1.9high blood pressure8.8lung disease 1.2varicose veins 3.2hernia 4.7nervous disorder 7.2back trouble 24.0heart disease 3.0ulcer 7.4none 43.0
16.	Check all of the following exercises that you do routinely:lift weights16.0jog 20.5other (describe) 36.5calisthenics37.4swim 25.3none24.0
17.	Approximately how often do you do the exercise(s) checked above: 1-3 times a week <u>42.1</u> 4-7 times a week <u>21.5</u> irregularly <u>35.2</u> never <u>1.2</u>
18.	How important is good physical condition for the effective performance of your job? very important 69.0 of average importance 28.8 of little importance 2.3
19.	Why do you feel this way?
20.	Does your jurisdiction have a physical fitness program? yes <u>5.2</u> no <u>94.8</u>
21.	If yes, do you participate? yes 52.2 no 47.8 22. Is it mandatory? yes26.7 no 73.3
23.	If no program is provided, do you think one should be? yes 79.1 no 20.9
24.	Indicate whether each part of the present civil service physical performance test should be kept as is, eliminated or changed, by checking the appropriate boxes. (Passing performance for each part is shown in parentheses)
	1 2 3 4 5 6
	Chinning Sit Broad Push Agility Squat

Document A

	1	2	3	4	5	6	_					
1	Chinning Bar (5)	Sit Ups (22)	Broad Jump (6'6")	Push Ups (15)	100 yd. Agility Run (25 sec.)	Squat Jump (28)						
Keep as is	78.4	82.4	82.5	81.4	90.1	73.5						
Eliminate	10.9	4.0	11.8	4.1	5.1	16.1						
Change	10.7	13.6	5.7	14.5	4.8	10.4	ł					
25. If you took	the test t	oday, which	parts would you	ı pass? 7 <u>1.4</u>	82 <sup>2</sup> .8 77 <sup>3</sup> .3 7	9.3 75.7	69 <sup>6</sup>					
26. What physical activities (such as walking, sitting) does your job require most? Sitting: 77.3 Walking: 54.7 Running: 11.7 Standing: 5.9 Driving: 5.0 Lifting: 2.3												

\*Describe all changes on the back of this sheet. Add any other comments you wish. Climbing:2.5 Wrestling:2.1 Other:3.5

Copyright @ State of New Jersey 1973 Means or percentages based on number of PAS sample responding to each item.

-24-

PHYSTCAL ACTIVITIES SURVEY

Document C



State of New Jersey

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL SERVICE ARNOLD CONSTABLE BUILDING FRONT AND MONTGOMERY STREETS TRENTON, N. J. 08625

JAMES A. ALLOWAY PRESIDENT

WILLIAM DRUZ CHIEF EXAMINER & SECRETARY

March 29, 1973

TO: Chiefs of Police in Selected New Jersey Communities

SUBJECT: Physical Activities Survey

As part of its continuing program for upgrading selection procedures the Department of Civil Service, in association with the State Law Enforcement Planning Agency, has arranged for Educational Testing Service to conduct a survey of physical activities of policemen in New Jersey. The information collected will aid the Department in making decisions concerning the use of physical performance tests and related matters.

As Chief of Police of one of these selected communities for this study, your cooperation, and that of the men in your command, is being requested. Your assistance will result in findings which can be beneficial to police departments throughout the state.

Very truly yours,

Warne S. Bo.

Wayne S. Boyd Director of Examinations

Dear Chief:

The New Jersey State Department of Civil Service, in cooperation with the State Law Enforcement Planning Agency, has requested Educational Testing Service to conduct a survey of policemen in order to collect information which will be helpful in evaluating physical performance tests now used for selection of police candidates.

Through the use of random sampling methods, your community has been selected for participation in this study. Your cooperation can help it succeed.

Please have the accompanying questionnaire forms distributed to a sample of men in your command. The men are to be instructed <u>not</u> to put their names on the forms; all responses are to be anonymous. It will take approximately ten to fifteen minutes to fill out a form. All completed forms should be returned to ETS in the enclosed postage-paid envelope.

If you wish to receive a copy of the final report of this study, please fill out and return the enclosed form in the envelope with the completed questionnaires. I will be pleased to answer any questions you may have about this study. Please have the questionnaires returned by \_\_\_\_\_\_. Thank you for your interest and cooperation.

-26-

LSG:pf

enc

April 4, 1973

Sincerel

Leo S. Goldstein, PhD Research Psychologist

## PHYSICAL ACTIVITIES SURVEY

## Directions for Administration

- \*1) Assemble a sample of men in your command, in one central location. Include representatives of all ranks, if possible.
- 2) Instruct the men to write their answers to all questions on the form. Remind them not to put their names on the form; all replies are to be anonymous.
- 3) The back of the form is to be used to describe any recommended changes in the present civil service physical performance test. Any additional comments concerning the questionnaire, physical performance testing or related matters should also be written on the back of the form.
- 4) When everyone in the group has completed filling out the form, appoint one member of the group to collect all forms, insert them in the enclosed return envelope, and seal the envelope. Insert the request form for a copy of the final report in the envelope before it is sealed.

\*The number of men sampled should equal the number of questionnaires which have been sent in this packet. If the entire sample cannot be assembled at one session, additional sessions should be held.

Please send me a copy of the final report of the Police Physical Activities Survey,

Name:

Address:

-28-

-30-

<u>Table 2</u>

## <u>Table 1</u>

Number and percent of police officers in 1968 census and PAS sample, for counties represented in Physical Activities Survey.

Number and percent of men at each rank in the PAS sample and the 1968 census data.

.

1

	Police	Officers	Police	Officers	s % Difference PAS-1968			
_	19	00	I AD A	рашрте	IND-1700			
County	N	%	N	%				
Bergen	1633	13.7	255	13.6	-0.1			
Burlington	230	1.9	63	3.4	1.5			
Camden	592	5.0	37	2.0	-3.0			
Cape May	157	1.3	19	1.0	-0.3			
Cumberland	103	0.9	54	2,9	2.0			
Essex	2619	22.0	308	16.4	-5.6			
Gloucester	141	1.2	5	0.3	-0.9			
Hudson	1750	14.7	301	16.1	1.4			
Mercer	481	4.0	125	6.7	2.7			
Middlesex	826	6.9	132	7.0	0.1			
Monmouth	591	5.0	53	2.8	-2.2			
Morris	508	4.3	67	3.6	-0.7			
Ocean	261	2.2	54	2.9	0.7			
Passaic	766	6.4	143	7.6	1.2			
Sussex	42	0.4	23	1.2	0.8			
Union	1149	9.7	230	12.3	2.6			
Warren	43	0.4	6	0.3	-0.1			
Total	11892	100.0	1875	100.1				

D - 1	19	68	]	PAS	۳ÅS
Kank	Ĺ,	%	N	%	1968
Chief	378	3.2	13	0.7	3.4
Deputy Chief	75	0.6	9	0.5	12.0
Inspector	27	0.2	2	0.1	7.4
Captain	434	3.6	71	3.8	16.4
Lieutenant	855	7.2	162	8.7	18.9
Sergeant	1489	12.5	274	14.8	18.4
Detective	965	8.1	192	10.4	19.9
Patrolman	7669	64.5	1130	61.0	14.7
Total	11.892	99.9	1853	100.0	

1853 100.0

-31-

-32-

# Table 3

Number and percent of PAS sample distributed by assignment.

		•
Assignment	N	184
Patrol .	1197	64.8
Detective	195	10.6
Administration	118	6.4
Narcotic	65	3.5
Traffic	58	3.1
Records and Identification	45	2.4
Juvenile	44	2.4
Training	40	2.2
Communications	16	6°0
Other	69	3.7

## Table 4

Total

1847

100.0

Number and percent of PAS sample of police officers distributed by community size across 17 counties.

Community size (in 000s)	Ber <u>N</u>	gen <u>%</u>	Burl <u>N</u>	ington <u>%</u>	Ca <u>N</u>	nden <u>%</u>	Cape <u>N</u>	May <u>%</u>	Cumbe <u>N</u>	rland <u>%</u>	Е <u>N</u>	ssex <u>%</u>	Glouc <u>N</u>	ester <u>%</u>	Hu <u>N</u>	dson <u>%</u>	Me: <u>N</u>	rcer <u>%</u>
Less than 10	31	27.7	14	12.5	0	0.0	14	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
10 - 30	113	21.4	49	9.3	17	3.2	5	0.9	29	5.5	44	8.3	5	0.9	20	3.8	1.0	1.9
30 - 50	111	22.2	0	0.0	20	4.0	0	0.0	25	5.0	105	21.0	0	0.0	60	12.0	13	2.6
50 or more	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.	0.0	0	0.0	159	21.7	0	0.0	221	30.2	102	13.9
Total	255	13.6	63	3.4	37	2.0	19	1.0	54	2.9	308	16.4	5	0.3	301	16.1	125	6.7

S,

							•		D		5		11-4	<b>~</b>	War	ron	Tot	a1
Community size (in 000s)	Midd <u>N</u>	lesex <u>%</u>	Mon <u>N</u>	mouth <u>X</u>	Mor <u>N</u>	ris <u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	ean <u>%</u>	N N	aic <u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>_%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
Less than 10	0	0.0	15	13.4	9	8.0	14	12.5	0	0.0	9	8.0	0	0.0	6	5.4	112	6.0
10 - 30	15	2.8	9	1.7	58	11.0	40	7.6	25	4.7	14	2.6	76	14.4	0	0.0	52 <b>9</b>	28.2
30 - 50	68	13.6	19	3.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	80	16.0	0	0.0	501	26.7
50 or more	49	6.7	10	1.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	118	16.1	0	0.0	74	10.1	0	0.0	733	39.1
Total	132	7.0	53	2.8	67	3.6	54	2.9	143	7.6	23	1.2	230	12.3	6	0.3	1875	100.0
								•										

Table 4 (continued)

<u>Table 5</u>

Mean years of experience for police officers of specified rank in communities of specified size.

Community size (in 000s)	<u>N</u>	Patrolu <u>MEAN</u>	an <u>S.D.</u>	D <u>N</u>	etective <u>MEAN</u>	<u>s.D.</u>	s <u>N</u>	ergeant <u>MEAN</u>	<u>S.D.</u>	Li <u>N</u>	eutenant <u>MEAN</u>	<u>s.D.</u>	<u>N</u>	Captain <u>MEAN</u>	<u>S.D.</u>
Less than 10	64	5.38	4.19	5	13.60	4.88	23	12.00	5.30	8	14.25	3.67	5	21.20	3.31
10 - 30	304	7.06	6.07	46	11.65	6.60	81	12.74	5.13	50	18.12	5.21	28	19.11	4.10
30 - 50	290	9.16	7.57	51	12.12	7.26	72	14.38	5.86	37	21.00	5.28	20	22.40	4.44
50 or more	437	9.30	7.52	87	14.15	8.00	90	16.77	5.14	59	21.75	4.53	16	23.56	3.28
Total	1095	8,41	7.11	189	12.98	7.50	266	14.43	5.65	154	20.00	5.33	69	21.25	4.40

ą.

-33--33-

-34-

						Table 5 (	continu	ed)						1	
								Chief		Un	specified	S.D.	N	MEAN	<u>s.D.</u>
		Tuspector		Dep	uty Chief MEAN	S.D.	N	MEAN	S.D.	N		0.0	108	9.03	6.62
Community size (in 000s)	N	MEAN	<u>S.D.</u>	1	24.00	0.0	2	21.50	3.50	0	7.33	4.64	519	10.30	7.30
Less than 10	0	0.0	0.0	1 2	23.50	0.50	5	24.00	4.47	3	16.00	0.0	477	11.94	8.19
10 - 30	0	0.0	0.0	1	25.00	0.0	3	24.67	2.62	1	0.0	0.0	696	12.42	8,38
30 - 50	2	22.00	4.00	5	23.40	3.72	2	32.00	0.0	U	•••				
50 or more	0	0.0	0.0	2						4	9,50	5.50	1800	11.48	8.00
	ŋ	22.00	4,00	9	23.67	2.83	12	25.08	4.11	4					

Total

## <u>Table 6</u>

Mean age of police officers of specified rank in communities of specified size.

Community size (in 000s)	<u>N</u>	Patrolman <u>MEAN</u>	n <u>S.D.</u>	N	Detective <u>MEAN</u>	<u>S.D.</u>	<u>N</u>	Sergeant <u>MEAN</u>	<u>S.D.</u>	L <u>N</u>	ieutenant <u>MEAN</u>	<u>S.D.</u>	N	Captain <u>MEAN</u>	<u>S.D.</u>
Less than 10	66	31.53	6.03	5	42.00	8.94	23	38.91	6.92	8	39.50	4.33	6	47.17	2.85
10 - 30	307	33.06	8.00	46	37.59	8.52	78	38.65	7.35	52	45.48	6.61	28	45.79	6.44
30 - 50	297	35.12	9.38	51	37.90	9.00	78	40.18	7.62	37	48.19	6.16	21	50.05	5.38
50 or more	455	34.90	10.09	89	41.45	10.11	92	43.64	6.58	64	47.42	5.36	16	50.13	3.76
Total	1125	34.26	9.23	191	39.59	9.61	271	40.81	7.45	161	46.58	6.24	71	48.14	5.75

-35-

-36-

Table 5 (continued)															
1		Inspector		Dep	uty Chief	e D	N	Chief MEAN	<u>S.D.</u>	บ <u>N</u>	nspecified <u>MEAN</u>	<u>s.D.</u>	<u>n</u>	Total <u>MEAN</u>	<u>S.D.</u>
(in 000s)	<u>N</u>	MEAN	<u>S.D.</u>	<u>N</u>	MEAN	<u>5.D.</u>		<u> </u>	3,50	0	0.0	0.0	111	35.31	7.85
Less than 10	0	0.0	0.0	1	47.00	0.0	Z	43.50	4 96	4	42,50	10.64	523	36.50	9.08
10 20	0	0.0	0.0	2	46.00	1.00	6	48,50	4.00	-	30 00	0.0	491	38.01	9.82
T0 - 20	- 0	45.00	3.00	1	50.00	0.0	3	50.67	5.56	T	39.00	0.0	723	38,43	10.48
30 - 50	2	-2000	0.0	5	50.20	2.32	2	58.00	3.00	0	0.0	0.0	125	501 /2	
50 or more	0	0.0	0.0	-											
Total	2	45.00	3.00	9	48.89	2.56	13	49.69	6.22	5	41.80	9.62	1848	37.59	9.83

## <u>Table 7</u>

## Mean weight of police officers of specified height in communities of specified size.

Community size (in 000s)	<u>N</u>	67" or <u>MEAN</u>	less <u>S.D.</u>	N	68" <u>MEAN</u>	S.D.	<u>N</u>	69" <u>MEAN</u>	<u>s.p.</u>	<u>N</u>	70" <u>MEAN</u>	<u>s.D.</u>	<u>N</u>	71" <u>MEAN</u>	<u>S.D.</u>	N	72" <u>MEAN</u>	<u>S.D.</u>
Less than 10	6	179.00	11.47	18	182,22	18.80	12	179.42	10,10	17	175.76	9.07	22	185.32	21.33	18	197.67	27.21
10 - 30	30	163.80	17.87	68	173.99	18.00	63	183.25	21.15	96	182.74	20.92	90	185.68	18.14	87	191.47	17.27
30 - 50	31	168.84	18.87	69	178.96	19.65	59	181.92	19.68	84	188.30	20.40	85	189.73	26.20	67	195.81	21.78
150 or more	35	165.29	13.14	101	176.02	18.74	72	184.82	19,43	142	185.39	18.77	135	189.37	19.90	100	194.39	21.70
Total	102	166.74	16.81	256	176.71	18.95	206	183.19	19,69	339	184.88	19.68	332	188.19	21.44	272	194.02	20.93

-37-

-38-

Community size		73"			74"			75"	C D	7 N	6" or mon	ce S D	N	Total MEAN	S.D.
(in 000s)	N	MEAN	<u>S.D.</u>	N	MEAN	<u>S.D.</u>	N	MEAN	5.0.	M	HERI	0.01			
Less than 10	12	205.92	19.25	4	236.00	14.16	3	215.00	18.71	0	0.0	0.0	112	189.20	23.31
10 - 30	42	207.64	21.16	18	209.94	29.87	14	209.64	24.46	11	214.55	24.44	51 <del>9</del>	186.89	23.32
30 - 50	39	208.31	25.27	30	205.50	29.07	13	208.46	25.07	12	223.33	17.83	489	190.30	25.36
50 or more	72	203.44	24.46	43	208.02	27.72	13	215.62	26.07	13	219.92	23.09	726	189.33	23.65
Total	165	205.84	23.62	95	208.77	28.76	43	211.47	24.99	36	219.42	22.22	1846	188.89	24.04

-

Table 7 (continued)

Tota1	50 and over	45-49	40-44	35-39	30-34	25-29	less than 25	Age	Distribution of age
1865	282	265	230	225	388	376	66	N	of PAS
1		•							samp

÷ ħ f PAS

# Table 8

-40-

Į

-39-

Ż



Table 9

ï

-41-

Distribution of heights of police officers of the PAS sample.

Distribution of weights of police officers of PAS sample.

					Weight	N
Height (in.)	N	<u>%</u>			160 lbs or loss	
67 or less	104	5.6			TOO TOS. OI TESS	201
68	257	13.8			161-170	241
69	208	11.1			171-180	351
70	342	18.3			181-190	317
70	227	18 1			191-200	272
71	337	10.1			201-210	179
72	278	14.9			211-220	110
73	166	8.9			001 11	
74	95	5.1			221 lbs. or more	167
75	43	2.3				
76 or more	36	1.9			Total	1847
			x	s.d.		
Total	1866	100.0	70.6	2.1		

<u>%</u> 10.9 13.0 19.0 17.2 14.7 9.7 6.4 9.0 x s.d. 100.0 188.9 24.0

Distribution of years as police officer for PAS sample.

Net All States

## Distribution of weight changes since appointment of police

Table 12

officers of PAS sample.

Years as police officer	N	<u>%</u>				
less than 5	457	25.2			Weight Change	N
5-9	419	23.1			loss of more than 20 lbs.	32
10-14	277	15.3			loss of 11-20	48
15~19	271	14.9			loss of 1-10	138
20 or more	391	21.5			gain of 0-10	767
			x	s.d.	gain of 11-20	396
· Total	1815	100.0	11.5	8.00	gain of 21-30	165
					gain of more than 30 lbs.	277

Total

1823

-43-

<u>%</u>

1.8
2.6
7.6
42.1
21.7
9.1
15.2

x	s.d.

14.2 16.5

· ,	<u>Tabl</u>		Table 14					
Present physical	condition as rep	orted by PAS	sample respondents.		Number a	and percent	of PAS	sample wea
		N	<u>%</u>	1				N
	Excellent	609	32.6			yes		141
	Good	1051	56.2			no		1726
	Fair	192	10.3					
	Poor	18	1.0			Tota	1	1867
	Total	1870						
		<i>•</i>						

Number and percent of PAS sample using glasses for reading.

	N
yes	640
no	1192
Total	1832

-45-

}

1

-46-

earing glasses at appoint-

<u>%</u> 7.6

92.4

<u>%</u> 34.9 65.1

Number and percent of 12 physical symptoms or diseases checked Number and percent of PAS sample using glasses for driving. by PAS sample of police officers. % N Number of Symptoms 12.1 222 yes or Diseases checked N 87.9 1615 no 0 738 1 487 1837 Total 2 258 3 138 4 56 5 21 6 10 7 1 8 3 9 0 Table 17 10 0 Number and percent of PAS sample reporting hearing difficulty. 11 3 12 0 % N 7.8 144 yes Total 1715

92.2

1711

1855

no

Margarowe

Total

-47-

## Table 16

-48-

## <u>Table 18</u>

<u>%</u>	Cumulative
43.0	100.0
28.4	57.0
15.0	28.6
8.0	13.6
3.3	5.6
1.2	2.3
0.6	1.1
0.1	0,5
0.2	0.4
0.0	0.2
0.0	0.2
0.2	0.2
0.0	0.0

## 100.0

## <u>Table 19</u>

-49-

## Number and percent of police officers in PAS sample indicating presence of 12 specific physical symptoms or diseases.

Symptom or Disease	N	<u>%</u>
Hemorrhoids	282	16.4
Lung Disease	20	1.2
Back Trouble	411	24.0
Loss of Teeth	353	20.6
Varicose Veins	55	3.2
Heart Disease	52	3.0
Flat Feet	33	1.9
Hernia	80	4.7
Ulcer	127	7.4
High Blood Pressure	151	8.8
Nervous Disorder	124	7.2
Other	182	10.6
Total	1870	109.0*

\*Multiple responses account for more than 100% response.

the Contest Sugaran

Number and percent of PAS sample reporting importance of physical condition for the job.

> Importance Very important Average Little importance

> > Total

-50-

Table 20

N	<u>%</u>
1287	69.0
537	28.8
42	2.2

1866

-52-

## \_able 23

Number and percent of PAS sample of police officers routinely . performing one or more of specified exercises.

Beegwoney	N	%	Exercise	N
Frequency	298	21.5	Lift weights	295
4-7 times a week	583	42.1	Calisthenics	690
1-3 times a week	488	35.2	Jog	378
Irregularly	16	1.2	Swim	468
Never	10		Other	675
	1005	100.0		
Total	1382	100.0	Total	2506

Importance of physical condition in relation to regularity of exercise for PAS sample responding to both items.

		Re	gularit	у				
Importance	4-7/v N	reek <u>%</u>	1-3/v <u>N</u>	veek <u>%</u>	Irregu <u>N</u>	lar <u>%</u>	Nev <u>N</u>	er <u>%</u>
Verv	 248	24.1	463	45.0	313	30.4	6	0.6
Average	48	14.6	109	33,2	163	49.7	8	2.4
Little	2	9.1	9	40.9	9	40.9	2	9.1
Total	298	21.6	581	42.1	485	35.1	16	1.2

-51-

## Table 21

Number and percent of PAS sample reporting frequency of

exercise.

Table 22

\*Multiple responses account for more than 100.0% total.

<u>%</u> 16.0 37.4 20.5 25.3 36.5

135.7\*

Tota1	Other	Wrestling	Climbing	Lifting	Driving	Standing	Running	Walking	Sitting	Activity	activities required most on job.
3092*	65	39	47	44	93	110	220	1025	1449	N	
165.0*	ມ ບ	2.1	2.5	2.3	5.0	5.9	11.7	54.7	77.3	120	

# \*Multiple responses

## <u>Table 25</u>

Number and percent of PAS sample electing to keep, eliminate or change Civil Service physical performance tests.

	Chinning Bar		Sit Ups		Bro Jui	bad p	Push Ups		100 yd. Agility		Squ Jឃ	uat mp
	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>
Кеер	1390	78.4	1452	82.4	1458	82.5	1434	81.4	1580	90.1	1300	73.5
Eliminate	193	10.9	70	4.0	209	11.8	72	4.1	90	5.1	285	16.1
Change	189	10.7	240	13.6	100	5.7	256	14.5	84	4.8	1.84	10.4

ŝ,

.

-53-

Number and percent of PAS sample indicating specific physical

Table 24

## -54-

Total 1772 100.0 1762 100.0 1767 100.0 1762 100.0 1754 100.0 1769 100.0

ļ
1

1551

Number and percent of PAS sample indicating present ability

to pass each part of the Civil Service physical performance battery.

Test	N	<u>8</u>
Chinning	1338	71.4
Sit Ups	1553	82.8
Broad Jump	1449	77.3
Push Ups	1486	79.3
100 yd. Agility	1419	75.7
Squat Jump	1296	69.1

## Table 27

Presumed ability to pass Civil Service physical performance test related to attitude toward retention of that test in battery.

		Chinning Bar			Sit Ups						Broad Jump				
	Pa	SS	Fa	il	Pa	SS	Fa	il		Pa	.55	Fa	il.		
	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>%</u>		N	<u>%</u>	N	5		
Keep	1047	82.0	343	69.3	1225	82.9	227	79.9		1183	85.8	275	70.9		
Eliminate	83	6.5	110	22.2	38	2.6	32	11.3		129	9.4	80	20.6		
Change	147	11.5	42	8.5	215	14.5	25	8.8		67	4.9	33	8.5		

-56-

Total 12

N. A.

1277100.0495100.01478100.0284100.01379100.1388100.0 $\chi^2_{=13.8}$  $\chi^2_{=51.4}$  $\chi^2_{=47.70}$ P < .001P < .001P < .001

## Table 27 (Continued)

				4	100 yd. Agility						Squat Jump				
		Push	Ups				Fail			Pas	ss	Fail			
	Pa	ISS	Fa	i1	Pa	35		9/		N	%	N	%		
	N	%	N	%	N	<u>%</u>	N	<u>/</u>		966	78.3	334	62.4		
Voon	1162	82.1	272	78.4	1255	93.3	325	79.5		150	10 3	1 3 3	24.9		
кеер		2.3	39	11.2	38	2.8	52	12.7		152	12.5	100	10 7		
Eliminate		15 5	36	10.4	52	3.9	32	7.8		116	9.4	68	12.7		
Change	220	12.2	.)0					_		103/	100.0	535	100.0	្រ	
Total	1415	99.9	347	100.0	1345	100.0 م	409	100.0		1234	$\chi^2_{=5}$	3.2		-7	
		$\chi^{2}_{=59}$	9.8			ν = 7 P <b>ζ</b> .	6.7 001				Р <b>&lt;</b> .	001			
		Р <b> (</b> .(	100			•									

## Table 28

Percent of PAS sample at each rank reporting performance of specific physical activities on the job.

Rank	Sitting	Walking	Running	Standing	Driving	Lifting	Climbing	Wrestling	Other	Number in Category	
Patrolman	72.7	55.0	13.8	6.5	4.6	3.1	2.4	2.1	4.0	1130	
Detective	75.0	70.8	9.9	5.2	6.3	0.0	5.2	1.6	3.6	192	
Sergeant	83.9	51.1	10.9	5.5	6.2	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.2	274	
Lieutenant	91.4	45.7	6.8	5.6	4.3	0.0	1.2	1.9	1.9	162	
Captain	95.8	46.5	2.8	2.8	4.2	1.4	1.4	0.0	5.6	71	-58-
Inspector	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	
Deputy Chief	88.9	44.4	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9	
Chief	92.3	53.8	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13	
Total	77.4	54.8	11.7	5.9	5.0	2.3	2.5	2.0	3.5	1853	

Percent of PAS sample at each assignment category reporting performance of specific physical activity on

the job.

										Number in
Aggigamont	Sitting	Walking	Running	Standing	Driving	Lifting	Climbing	Wrestling	Other	Category
Training	70.0	52.5	17.5	12.5	5.0	0.0	0.0	7.5	25.0	40
Patrol	76.0	52.4	12.9	5.7	4.7	3.2	2.5	2.2	2.7	1197
Detective	81.0	68.2	8.7	4.1	6.7	0.5	3.6	1.5	4.6	195
Narcotic	64.6	55.4	23.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	J'D	4.6	6.2	65
Juvenile	79.5	79.5	18.2	6.8	11.4	0.0	6.8	0.0	4.5	44
Rec. & Id.	82.2	64.4	2.2	11.1	2.2	0.0	4.4	0.0	2.2	45
Communication	81.3	6.3	0.0	6.3	6.3	6.3	0.0	0.0	6.3	16
Administration	89.8	39.0	4.2	5.1	2.5	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	118
Traffic	74.1	60.3	5.2	12.1	10.3	3.4	0.0	1.7	3.4	58
Other	78.3	65.2	13.0	7.2	8.7	0.0	4.3	2.9	<b>4.</b> 3	69
Total	77.2	54.6	11.9	5.8	5.0	2.3	2.5	2.1	3.5	1847

## Table 30

Percent of PAS sample having specified years of experience as police officer reporting performance of specific physical activities on the job.

Years as police officer	Sitting	Walking	Running	Standing	Driving	Lifting	Climbing	Wrestling	Other	Number in Category	
less than 5	72.0	54.7	16.8	6.3	4.8	3.3	2.6	2.4	5.0	457	
5-9	76.8	56.1	17.7	6.7	5.3	3.1	2.6	3.8	3.1	419	
10-14	79.8	51.3	10.5	5.1	5.8	2.9	2.9	1.4	2.2	277	
15–19	83.0	55.4	7.4	5.2	6.3	1.8	1.8	1.1	2.2	271	-60-
20-24	80.2	56.3	2.7	7.2	3.8	0.0	3.0	0.4	2.7	263	•

25 or more	79.7	50.0	1.6	4.7	3.9	1.6	1.6	0.0	4.7	128
Total	77.7	54.5	11.5	6.1	5.1	2.4	2.5	1.9	3.4	1815

\_\_\_\_\_\_

-59-

Tab	le	31

Percent of PAS sample in each age category reporting performance of specific physical activities on the

job.

Age	Sitting	Walking	Running	Standing	Driving	Lifting	Climbing	Wrestling	Other	Number in Category
less than 25	66.7	54.5	24.2	10.1	2.0	3.0	0.0	4.0	14.1	99
25-29	72.3	58.2	20.7	6.9	4.5	3.2	2.7	4.0	3.7	376
30-34	76.3	52.6	13.1	3.9	5.9	3.4	3.4	2.8	2.6	388
35-39	79.6	52.0	12.9	8.4	6.2	2.2	2.7	1.8	3.6	225
40-44	83.0	51.7	7.8	3.5	6.1	2.6	0.9	0.9	1.3	230
45-49	82.3	54.0	4.2	7.2	4.5	0.8	2.3	1.1	2.6	265
50 or more	77.3	57.8	3.2	4.6	3.9	1.1	3.5	0.0	3.2	282
Total	77.2	54.6	11.8	5.9	5.0	2.4	2.5	2.1	3.5	1865

-61-

-62-

## Table 32

Percent of PAS sample within each weight change category reporting performance of physical activity on the job.

Weight change	Sitting	Walking	Running	Standing	Driving	Lifting	Climbing	Wrestling	Other	Number in Category
Loss of 21 lbs. or more	e 78.1	59.4	12.5	9.4	6.3	0.0	0.0	3.1	3.1	32
Loss of 11-20	79.2	60.4	18.8	4.2	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	8.3	48
Loss of 1-10	73.2	58.0	10.9	5.8	5.8	2.2	1.4	6.5	4.3	138
Gain of 0-10	<sup>7</sup> 4.7	55.1	13.3	5.6	4.0	2.5	2.0	1.8	3.9	767
Gain of 11-20	78.0	55.1	11.1	7.8	4.8	2.8	3.8	1.5	3.3	396

Gain of 21-30	78.8	51.5	11.5	6.7	7.9	1.2	2.4	0.6	2.4	165
Gain of 30 lbs. or a	more 83.4	53.1	8.3	3.6	5.8	2.2	3.2	2.2	2,2	277
Total	77.2	54.9	11.8	5.9	4.9	2.3	2.5	2.1	3,5	1823

Percent of PAS sample reporting performance of specific physical activities on the job and claiming specific symptoms or diseases.

	Hemorrhoid	Lung Disease	Back Trouble	Loss of Teeth	Varicose Veins	Heart Disease	Flat Feet	Hernia	Ulcer	High Blood Pressure	Nervous Disorder	None	Number i Category	n
Sitting	17.4 <sup>a</sup>	1.1	24.8	21.1	2.7 <sup>a</sup>	3.0	1.9	4.2	8.0	8.5	7.8	41.5 <sup>b</sup>	1334	_
Walking	14.6 <sup>a</sup>	0.9	22.6	20.1	3.6	2.9	1.9	4.9	7.4	7.9	6.9	45.3 <sup>a</sup>	936	
Running	12.6	1.1	16.8 <sup>b</sup>	10.5 <sup>c</sup>	2.6	1.6	1.1	2.1	6.3	5.3	8.4	58,9 <sup>c</sup>	190	
Standing	17.3	1.9	31.7	22.1	2.9	2.9	0.0	4.8	11.5	5.8	8.7	43.3	104	-63
Driving	19.5	0.0	32.2	24.1	1.1	3.4	4.6	4.6	8.0	5.7	6.9	37.9	87	Υ
Lifting	15.8	2.6	34.2	13.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	10.5	13.2	7.9	50.0	38	
Climbing	9.5	0.0	19.0	21.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	11.9	4.8	2.4	45.2	42	
Wrestling	23.5	0.0	14.7	8.8	2.9	0.0	0.0	2.9	2.9	8.8	5.9	58.8	34	
Other	10.2	1.7	13.6	27.1	1.7	3.4	1.7	3.4	5.1	8.5	6.8	52,5	59	
Total a P b P c P	16.4 05 02 001	1.2	24.0	20.6	3.2	3.0	1.9	4.7	7.4	8.8 .	7.2	43.0	1715	
Tota I	13-19 20-24 25 or more	5-9 10-14	Years as <u>police office</u> less than 5	officer repor or poor.	Percent	μ		Ър РЯ	о н	Litemsetves as	theme 1			
32.5 56.2	24.4 62. 19.5 62. 25.0 57.	36.7 54. 27.1 60.	<u>er Excellent Goo</u> 46.5 47.	rting present physical co	<u>Table 35</u> of PAS sample with state	lotal 37.6 9	40.1	Fair 41.3 9 Poor 43.7	Excellent 34.9 9 Good 38.4 9	s being in either excell Health Status X	percent, and mean age o	Table 3	-64	
N	0 6 2	ο u	6 d	onditi	ed num	8.0	•	6 6	9.1 9.9	ent, : s.d.	of PAS	<b>4</b>	Ť Ĩ	

respondents reporting

good, fair or poge dealth.

1860	18	190	1047	605	N
100.0	1.0	10.2	56.3	32.5	%

ber of years as a police on as excellent, good, fair

10.2	18.0	15.6	10.7	11.6	7.9	5.9	Fair
1.0	0.0	2.3	2.6	1.1	0.5	0.0	Poor
1810	128	262	270	277	417	456	Number in Category

ŧ,

## <u>T.Jle 36</u>

Percent of PAS sample at stated rank reporting present physical condition as excellent, good, fair or poor.

Rank	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Number in Category
Patrolman	35.1	54.5	9.3	1.1	1127
Detective	35.1	54.5	9.9	0.5	191
Sergeant	28.9	59.3	11.4	0.4	273
Lieutenant	23.5	58.6	16.0	1.9	162
Captain	19.7	66.2	12.7	1.4	71
Inspector	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	2
Deputy Chief	22.2	77.8	0.0	0.0	9
Chief	38,5	46.2	15.4	0.0	13
Total	32.6	56.1	10.4	1.0	1853

Percent of PAS sample with stated assignment reporting present physical condition to be excellent, good, fair or poor.

Assignment	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Number in Category
Training	40.0	52.5	7.5	0.0	40
Patrol	34.1	54.7	10.1	1.0	1193
Detective	32.0	55,2	11.3	1.5	194
Narcotic	30.8	64.6	4.6	0.0	65
Juvenile	47.7	43.2	9.1	0.0	44
Records & Identification	22.2	62.2	15.6	0.0	45
Communication	18.8	62.5	12.5	6.3	16
Administration	26.3	62.7	10.2	0.8	118
Traffic	29.3	58.6	12.1	0.0	58
Other	18.8	69.6	10.1	1.4	69
Total	32.6	56.2	10.2	1.0	1842

-65-

## Table 37

-66-

-67-

Percent of PAS sample with stated weight change reporting present physical condition as excellent, good, fair or poor.

Weight change	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Number in Category
Loss of 21 1bs. or more	45.2	48.4	6.4	0.0	31
Loss of 11-20	35.4	58.3	6.3	0.0	48
Loss of 1-10	32.6	54.3	12.3	0.8	138
Gain of 0-10	40.5	52.6	6.3	0.6	766
Gain of 11-20	25.9	60.9	13.2	0.0	394
Gain of 21-30	29.9	61.0	7.9	1.2	164
Gain of 30 lbs, or more	19.9	59.6	17.0	3.6	277

Total

अ{**न** २<sup>हर</sup>ा#

1818

Percent of PAS respondents claiming presence of specific symptom or disease reporting persent physical condition as excellent, good, fair or poor.

<u>Table 39</u>

Disease	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Number in Category
Hemorrhoids	19.5	62.1	16,7	1.7	282
Lung Disease	20.0	25.0	40.0	15.0	20
Back Trouble	19.0	62.0	16.3	2.7	410
Loss of Teeth	19.7	61.5	16.5	2.3	351
Varicose Veins	5.5	52.7	34.5	7.3	55
Heart Disease	5.8	38.5	44.2	11.5	52
Flat Feet	21.2	51.5	18.2	9.1	33
Hernia	18.8	65.0	15.0	1.2	80
Ulcer	12.7	64.3	19.8	3.2	126
High Blood Pressure	12.7	52.0	32.0	3.3	150
Nervous Disorder	13.0	58.5	22.0	6.5	123
Other	16.5	63.2	19.2	1.1	182
None	44.1	51.3	4.5	0.1	737

Percent of PAS sample in health status categories indicating

present ability to pass physical performance tests.

Total	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	
71.4	33.3	51.6	69.0	82.9	Chinning Bar
82.9	33.3	63.0	82.3	91.6	Sit Ups
77.3	ນ ນີ້. ນີ	56.8	75.7	87.7	Broad Jump
79.3	44.4	59.4	77.7	89.3	Push Ups
75.7	33.3	51.6	73.5	88,3	100 yd. Agility
69.1	22.2	46.4	65.Ţ	83.7	Squat Jump
1870	18	192	1051	609	Number in Category

## Table 41

Percent of PAS sample in each age category claiming presence of disease or symptom.

Age	Hemorrhoid	Lung Dísease	Back Trouble	Loss of Teeth	Varicose Veins	Heart Disease	Flat Feet	Hernia	Ulcer	High Blood Pressure	Nervous Disorder	Other	None	Number in Category
Less than 25	3.5	0.0	1.2	2.4	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.0	1,2	2.4	88.2	85
25-29	9.3	0.6	12.8	6.6	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.5	3.6	1.5	1.2	7.5	67.8	335
30-34	13.6	0.6	20.8	8.1	0.6	0.6	2.0	2.0	3,8	6.4	5.8	7.8	53.5	346
35-39	17.9	2.5	32.8	17.4	6.5	1.5	2.5	3.5	10.0	10.9	9.0	10.0	39.8	201
40-44	20.9	0.9	28.4	26.0	3.3	3.3	2.8	5.1	7.4	8.8	12.1	14.9	33.5	215
45-49	22.0	1.2	33.9	31.1	5.5	5.5	1.6	9.8	9.8	12.6	8.3	13.4	22.8	254

-69-

-70-

50 or more	22.6	2.2	29.6	47.8	7.0	9.3	3.0	8.9	14.4	18.5	12.2	15.6	14.8	270
Significançe level of Z	.001	n.s.	.001	.001	.001	.001	n.s.	.001	.001	.001	.001	.001	.001	

Table	42

,

Percent of PAS sample with specified years experience as police officer claiming presence of disease or symptom.

Years as police officer	Hemorrhoid	Lung Disease	Back Trouble	Loss of Teeth	Varicose Veins	Heart Disease	Flat Feet	Hernia	Ulcer	High Blood Pressure	Nervous Disorder	Other	None	Number in Category	-
Less`than 5	6.0	0.0	9.7	5.0	0.2	0.2	0.7	1.5	1.5	0.7	1.5	4.7	76.1	401	
5-9	13.7	1.1	19.7	9.5	0.8	0.3	1.8	2.1	5.5	6.1	4.7	8.9	52.4	380	
10-14	22.6	2.4	32.9	18.3	5.2	2.0	2.8	3.2	8.7	12.7	9.5	10.7	33.3	252	
15-19	20.7	0.4	30.9	31.3	3.9	4.7	2.7	7.0	8.2	10.9	12,5	16.0	27.3	256	-7
20-24	26.0	1.2	33.9	40.6	7.1	6.7	2.4	10.6	16.1	13.4	9.8	13.0	17.3	254	۲
25 or more	18.0	4.1	30.3	46.7	7.4	12.3	2.5	9.8	11.5	23.0	11.5	16.4	13.1	122	
Significance level of X	.001	.01	.001	.001	.001	.001	n.s.	.001	.001	.001	.001	.001	.001		

## Table 43

<u>n manan watan ngar</u>ana

Percent of PAS sample in specified rank claiming presence of disease or symptom.

Rank	Hemorrhoid	Lung Disease	Back Trouble	Loss of Teeth	Varicose Veins	Heart Disease	Flat Feet	Hernia	Ulcer	High Blocd Pressure	Nervous Disorder	Other	None	Number in Category	
Patrolman	13.9	1.0	21.3	16.0	2.5	2.2	1.7	3.2	5.8	7.3	6.3	8.1	52.1	1016	
Detective	14.9	1.1	21.0	2.2.7	0.6	4.4	0.6	7.7	7.2	6.6	5.0	11.0	39.2	181	
Sergeant	22.0	1.2	32.9	25.6	6.1	2.8	4.1	5.3	7.3	11.8	9.3	16.3	28,9	246	
Lieutenant	19.6	2.5	30.4	31.6	5.1	4.4	2.5	6.3	10.8	13.3	10.1	16.5	26.6	158	-7
Captain	27.1	1.4	22.9	38.6	8.6	8.6	0.0	7.1	18.6	11.4	10.0	10.0	21.4	70	21
Inspector	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	
Deputy Chief	22.2	0.0	11.1	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2	33.3	11.1	9	
Chief	23.1	0.0	46.2	23.1	0.0	7.7	0.0	7.7	23.1	30.8	7.7	15.4	7.7	13	
Significance level of X2	.01	• •	.01	.001	.01	n.s.	.001	.01	.001	.01	n.s.	.001	.001		

Assignment	Hemorrhoid	Lung Disease	Back Trouble	Loss of Teeth	Varicose Veins	Heart Disease	Flat Feet	Hernia	Ulcer	High Blood Pressure	Ner ous Disorder	Other	None	Number in Category
Training	5.4	0.0	18.9	16.2	5.4	2.7	0.0	0.0	8.1	5.4	2.7	10.8	62.2	37
Patrol	16.5	1.0	24.6	17.7	3.0	1.6	2.2	3.6	6.6	8.1	6.9	9.3	47.5	1083
Detective	14.8	3.8	23.0	23.5	4.4	5.5	1.1	9.8	9.8	9.3	7.7	10.9	35.5	183
Narcotic	15.8	0.0	17.5	24.6	0.0	3.5	3.5	1.8	1.8	3.5	0.0	8.8	43.9	57
Juvenile	22.0	0.0	31.7	19.5	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	7.3	12.2	39.0	41
Records & Iden.	20.9	0.0	18.6	20.9	0.0	7.0	0.0	4.7	4.7	18.6	14.0	14.0	32.6	43
Communication	21.4	0.0	28.6	42.9	7.1	7.1	0.0	21.4	14.3	14.3	21.4	21.4	14.3	14
Administration	19.5	0.0	24.8	31.0	4.4	3.5	1.8	5.3	12.4	14.2	8.0	15.9	27.4	113
Traffic	17.5	1.8	22.8	28.1	1.8	8.8	0.0	1.8	10.5	8.8	5.3	15.8	31.6	57
Other	12.7	1.6	22.2	25.4	4.8	11,1	1.6	9.5	9.5	12.7	7.9	11.1	36.5	63
Significance level of X	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	.01	n.s.	.001	n.s.	.001	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	.001	

-73-

Percent of PAS sample in each assignment category claiming presence of disease or symptom.

Table 45

Percent of PAS sample in each weight change category claiming prosence of disease or symptom.

Weight Change	Hemorrhoid	Lung Disease	Back Trouble	Loss of _Teeth	Varicose Veins	Heart Disease	Flat Feet	Hernia	Ulcer	High Blood Pressure	Nervous Dísorder	Other	None	Number in Category	•
Loss of more than 20 lbs.	11.5	0.0	15,4	15.4	3.8	7.7	3.8	7.7	15.4	0.0	0.0	3.8	42.3	26	
Loss of 11-20	19.0	0.0	16.7	14.3	2.4	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.4	2.4	4.8	7.1	52.4	42	
Loss of 1-10	19.4	0.0	18.5	20.2	3.2	5.6	0.8	3.2	8.1	6.5	8.1	10.5	47.6	124	
Gain of 0-10	12.5	0.7	20.3	16.6	2.6	2.4	1.7.	3.6	5.2	6.7	6.6	8.9	51.9	698	-74-
Gain of 11-20	19.2	2.2	26.9	23.1	2.7	3.0	1.1	5.2	10.2	6.6	7.1	11.8	36.8	364	•
Gain of 21-30	20.4	0.7	23.7	25.0	3.9	3.9	2.6	7.2	6.6	15.1	7.9	9.9	34.2	152	
Gain of more than 30 lbs.	19.8	2.3	35.1	25.6	5.7	3.1	3.8	5.3	10.3	16.0	9.5	14.5	29.8	262	
Significance level of Z	.02	n.s.	.001	.02	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	.02	.001	n.s.	<b>D.</b> 5.	.001		

Percent of PAS sample in each frequency of exercise category claiming presence of disease or symptom.

Frequency of exercise	Hemorrhoid	Lung Dísease	Back Trouble	Loss of Teeth	Varicose Veins	Heart Disease	Flat Feet	Hernia	Ulcer	High Blood Pressure	Nervous Disorder	Other	None	Number in Category	-
4-7 times a week	12.3	0.0	22.3	17.1	2.2	4.5	1.1	5.2	4.5	7.8	4.1	8.6	49.8	519	
1-3 times a week	13.7	1.2	19.7	15.2	2.1	2.7	2.1	4.4	6.9	6.9	6.6	9.2	49.7	269	
Irregular	20.1	0.7	28.7	25.8	3.8	2.4	1.1	4.0	7.7	9.7	7.9	12.6	36.9	453	
Never	28.6	14.3	42.9	42.9	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	35.7	14.3	28.6	28.6	21.4	14	-7/5-
Significance level of X2	.01	.001	.01	.001	n.s.	n,s.	n.s.	n.s.	.001	n.s.	.01	.05	.001		

stated exercises.			
Age	Lift Weights	Calis- thenics	Jog
Less than 25	21.4	58.2	29.6
25-29	24.1	48.9	26.8
30-34	19.2	46,8	26.5
35-39	17.6	35.3	23.1
40-44	14.4	31.9	16.2
45-49	7.7	19.2	12.3
50 or more	5.8	24.0	9.8

-76-

Table 47

# Percent of PAS in specified age categories routinely performing

33.1	26.5	32.3	24.9	20.3	21.4	18.4	Swim
46.9	42.3	33.6	33.9	31.2	34.1	36.7	Other
25.5	33.5	25.3	28.1	20.8	19.7	11.2	None
275	260	229	221	385	370	86	Number in Category

ò

σ.

:

1 Yart

Percent of PAS in specified age categories performing exercise on regular or irregular basis.

Age	4-7 times a week	1-3 times a week	Irregular	Number in Category
Less than 25	24.4	48.8	26.7	86
25–29	20.9	53.2	24.9	297
30-34	20.9	45.5	33.2	301.
35-39	20.5	42.9	36.5	156
40-44	17.9	36.9	44.6	168
45–49 <sup>^</sup>	22.9	31.8	44.1	170
50 or more	24.4	29.9	41.3	201

Number and percent of PAS sample having physical examination

in selected time periods.

## Time of last physical examination

6 months or less

7 - 12 months

13 - 24 months

2 years or more

Total

-77-

## -78-

Table 49

<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>
760	41.9
562	31.0
283	15.6
208	11.5

1813 100.0

Number and percent of PAS sample reporting attitude toward physical fitness program. "If no program is provided, do you think one should be?"

Total

	N	%
Yes	1350	79.1
No	356	20.9
Total	1706	100.0

## Table 51

"If your jurisdiction has a physical fitness program, do you

participate?"

	N	%
Yes	48	52.2
No	44	47.8
Total	92	100.0

-79-

