

Data Resources Program of the
National Institute of Justice

Data Set JU.2428

CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT, AND VIOLENT CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR

Cathy Spatz Widom

A User's Guide
To the Machine-Readable Files and Documentation

U.S. Department of Justice
National Institute of Justice

145341
(parts 1 & 2)

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this ~~copyrighted~~ material has been granted by

Public Domain/NIJ

U.S. Department of Justice

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the ~~copyright~~ owner.

July 1990

Sociometrics Corporation
170 State Street, Suite 260
Los Altos, CA 94022-2812
(415) 949-3282

145341
Pt. 1

CONTENTS OF THE DATA SET

Machine-Readable

- (1) Demographic Information Data File (1 data file; 1,575 records; 1,575 cases; 6 variables)
- (2) Abuse/Neglect Data File (1 data file; 2,724 records; 908 cases; 28 variables)
- (3) Family and Perpetrator Data File (1 data file; 1,816 records; 908 cases; 30 variables)
- (4) Adult Criminality Data File (1 data file; 2,578 records; 2,578 cases; 8 variables)
- (5) Juvenile Criminality Data File (1 data file; 1,101 records; 1,101 cases; 5 variables)

Paper

User's Guide to the Machine-Readable Files and Documentation (this document; 23 pages)

Original Codebook (15 pages)

Variable names; variable descriptions; value descriptions; record and column locations; formats

Suggested Bibliographic Citation for the Data Set (All Machine-Readable Files and Paper Documentation)

Widom, C. S. (1990). *Child abuse, neglect, and violent criminal behavior* (Data Set JU.2428, Kuipers, K. J., & Peterson, J. L., Archivists) [machine-readable data files and documentation]. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University, Departments of Criminal Justice and Psychology (Producer). Los Altos, CA: Sociometrics Corporation, Data Resources Program of the National Institute of Justice (Distributor).

Suggested Bibliographic Citation for the User's Guide Alone

Kuipers, K. J., & Peterson, J. L. (1990). *Child abuse, neglect, and violent criminal behavior: A user's guide to the machine-readable files and documentation* (Data Set JU.2428). Los Altos, CA: Sociometrics Corporation, Data Resources Program of the National Institute of Justice.

145341
Pt. 1

Data Set JU.2428

Child Abuse, Neglect, and Violent Criminal Behavior

Award No. 86-IJ-CX-0033

Original Investigator:

Cathy Spatz Widom

**Departments of Criminal Justice and Psychology
Indiana University
302 Sycamore Hall
Bloomington, Indiana 47405**

Documentation Produced by

**Kathy J. Kuipers
James L. Peterson**

Sociometrics Corporation

**1st ed., 1990
(revised March, 1991)**

Distributed by

**Data Resources Program of the
National Institute of Justice**

**Sociometrics Corporation
170 State Street, Suite 260
Los Altos, California 94022-2812
(415) 949-3282**

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Child Abuse, Neglect, and Violent Criminal Behavior has been deposited at the Data Resources Program of the National Institute of Justice, Sociometrics Corporation, for public distribution, by Cathy Spatz Widom of the Departments of Criminal Justice and Psychology, Indiana University. Data collection was funded by the National Institute of Justice under Award No. 86-IJ-CX-0033. Funding for the work done by the Data Resources Program to prepare the data for public use was provided by the U. S. Office of Justice Programs under Contract No. OJP-89-C-008 to Sociometrics Corporation.

Users of the data are strongly urged to inform the Data Resources Program of any errors or discrepancies. They are further urged to bring to the attention of the Data Resources Program all problems and difficulties encountered, particularly those that may prevent effective and convenient use of the data.

All manuscripts based on data made available through the Data Resources Program should acknowledge that fact as well as cite the data set (see suggested citation format, inside front cover). Users of these data are urged to follow some adaptation of the following statement.

The data used in this publication were made available by the Data Resources Program of the National Institute of Justice, Sociometrics Corporation, 170 State Street, Suite 260, Los Altos, CA 94022-2812. The study entitled *Child Abuse, Neglect, and Violent Criminal Behavior* was conducted by Cathy Spatz Widom, Departments of Criminal Justice and Psychology, Indiana University, 302 Sycamore Hall, Bloomington, Indiana 47405. Data collection was funded by the National Institute of Justice (Award No. 86-IJ-CX-0033). Funding support for preparing the revised documentation for public distribution was provided by a contract (OJP-89-C-008) between the U. S. Office of Justice Programs and Sociometrics Corporation. Neither the original investigators, nor the funding agency, nor the Data Resources Program bear any responsibility for the analyses or interpretation presented here.

In order to provide funding agencies with essential information about use of archival resources and to facilitate the exchange of information about Data Resources Program participants' research activities, each user of these facilities is requested to send a copy of each completed manuscript, thesis abstract, or reprint to the Data Resources Program of the National Institute of Justice, Sociometrics Corporation, 170 State Street, Suite 260, Los Altos, CA 94022-2812.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Summary	1
General Study Overview	2
Study Identification	2
Key Words	2
Purpose of the Study	2
Methods	2
Overview	2
Files 1 through 3	3
Files 4 and 5	3
Summary of Contents	4
Files 1 through 3	4
Files 4 and 5	5
Geographic Coverage	5
Evaluation	5
File Structure	6
Reports and Publications	6
Description of Machine-Readable Files and Supplementary Documentation	8
Contents of Machine-Readable Files	8
Paper Documentation	8
Ordering Information	8
Specifications for Machine-Readable Files	9
Mainframe Orders	9
Microcomputer Orders	9
Data Completeness and Consistency Report	10

SUMMARY

This project examined the relationship between childhood abuse and/or neglect, and later criminal and violent criminal behavior. Using a prospective cohorts design, cases of physical and sexual abuse and neglect for children under 12 years of age during the years 1967 through 1971 were sampled from a metropolitan area in the Midwest. Adult and juvenile criminal histories of sampled cases were compared to those of a matched control group with no official record of abuse or neglect.

Data were collected from 1986 through 1988 and are organized into five files. File 1 includes demographic information, such as race, sex, and date of birth; it contains 1,575 cases (908 cases in the abuse/neglect group and 667 cases in the control group) with 6 variables. File 2 includes information on the abuse/neglect incident, such as the type of abuse or neglect, the duration, whether and for how long the child was removed from the home; it contains 908 cases with 28 variables. File 3 includes information on the family living situation and the perpetrator of the abuse/neglect incident; it contains 908 cases with 30 variables. File 4 includes information on the adult criminal histories of both the abuse/neglect group and the control group; it contains 2,578 cases with 8 variables, each case corresponding to a separate adult criminal charge. File 5 includes similar information on the juvenile histories of both groups; it contains 1,101 cases with 5 variables, each case corresponding to a separate juvenile criminal charge.

GENERAL STUDY OVERVIEW

Source: Widom, C. S. (1989). *Child abuse, neglect, and violent criminal behavior*. Final report to the National Institute of Justice.

Study Identification

Child Abuse, Neglect, and Violent Criminal Behavior

Cathy Spatz Widom

*Departments of Criminal Justice and Psychology
Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana*

Award No. 86-IJ-CX-0033

Key Words

Childhood victimization, child abuse, physical abuse, sexual abuse, neglect, delinquency, adult criminality, violent criminal behavior.

Purpose of the Study

This project examined the cycle-of-violence hypothesis. Researchers asked whether being a victim of violence and/or neglect in early childhood leads to being a criminal offender in adolescence or early adulthood. In particular is there a relationship between childhood abuse or neglect and arrests as a juvenile, arrests as an adult, and arrests for violent offenses? Specific hypotheses designed to be tested with the data include: abused and neglected children are more likely than others to be arrested for delinquency, to be arrested for criminal offenses as adults, to commit violent crimes as adults, to be arrested at an earlier age, and to be chronic offenders.

Methods

Overview

There are five separate, topically organized data files. File 1 (JU24W.DAT) contains basic demographic information on 908 abuse/neglect cases and 667 matched control group cases. File 2 (JU25W.DAT) contains information on the abuse/neglect incident for the 908 abuse/neglect cases. File 3 (JU26W.DAT) contains family and perpetrator information for the 908 abuse/neglect cases. These three files can be linked through case numbers (variable CASENO). File 4 (JU27W.DAT) contains information on adult criminal charges filed in arrest incidents involving members of both the abuse/neglect group and the control group. There are 2,578 charges/cases. File 5 (JU28W.DAT) contains information on juvenile criminal charges filed in arrest incidents involving members of both groups. There are 1,101 charges/cases. Files 4 and 5 can be linked through case numbers (variable CASENO) with each other and with Files 1 through 3. Files 4 and 5 can also be linked through group numbers (variable GRP), with each other and with File 1 where GRP distinguishes between abuse/neglect cases and controls. Files 2 and 3 include only abuse/neglect cases and are not coded for the variable group (GRP). Because of the differences in the unit of analysis among these files, information for Files 1 through 3 is presented separately from information for Files 4 and 5.

Files 1 through 3

Sources of Information

This research used existing official records on individual cases from a metropolitan area in the Midwest. Descriptions of abuse and neglect were obtained from county juvenile court and juvenile probation department records. A control group was selected using county birth records or school records. Juvenile probation department records were also used to check for the presence of abuse and neglect within the control group, and for records of delinquent activities within all groups.

Sample

This study employed a prospective cohorts research design where a cohort of cases of childhood abuse and/or neglect was matched with a control group cohort on the basis of sex, race, age, and approximate family socioeconomic status during the time period of the abuse and neglect incidents (1967-1971). The cohorts were chosen so as to differ from each other only in terms of the variable of interest—abuse and/or neglect from ages 0 through 11. A large sample ($N=908$) of cases of physical and sexual abuse and neglect was obtained. To insure that cases were chosen in which possible delinquency did not precede child abuse and/or neglect, cases were restricted to those in which children were 11 years of age or less at the time of the incident. Specifically, cases were defined as any persons ages 0 through 11 in 1967-1971 who appeared in the records of the juvenile court and juvenile probation department as victims of abuse and/or neglect. The abuse/neglect incident was substantiated by investigation and the intervention of agencies on behalf of the child at that time. The control group of individuals with no official record of abuse or neglect ($n=667$) was matched in one of two ways. For abuse/neglect cases who were known to be under school age at the time of the abuse or neglect ($n=318$), controls were selected using county birth records and matching on the basis of sex, race, date of birth (plus or minus one week), and hospital of birth. For the 318 cases, a total of 229 matched controls were found in this way. For the 89 remaining cases, no matched controls were found. For abuse/neglect cases who were known to be of school age ($n=587$), controls were selected matching on the basis of sex, race, date of birth (plus or minus 6 months), and the same class in the elementary school system. A total of 438 matched controls were found in this way.

Cases and their matched controls are given the same case number to indicate the matched pairs. Therefore it is necessary to use a combination of the variable for case number (CASENO) and the variable for group (GRP) to uniquely identify each person in the file.

Response Rates

Information was collected for 1,575 individuals who met the above criteria.¹

Dates of Data Collection

The data were collected from 1986 through 1989 from records covering the period from 1967 to 1971.

Files 4 and 5

Sources of Information

This research used existing official records of charges as a result of arrest incidents for individuals from both cohorts. Juvenile probation department records were used to check for delinquent activities within both groups. Adult criminal histories for all cases were searched at three levels: local, state, and federal.

¹ For a complete discussion of research design and response rates, see Widom, C. S. (1989). Child abuse, neglect, and adult behavior: Design and findings on criminality, violence, and child abuse. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 59, 355-367.

Additionally, Bureau of Motor Vehicle records were searched to locate subjects and find social security numbers for tracing. Marriage license bureau records were used to find married names for the females.

Sample

Data were collected from arrest records at the local, state, and federal levels. Specifically, the unit of analysis is defined as charges resulting from adult arrest incidents for File 4 and charges resulting from juvenile arrest incidents for File 5. Information on charges was collected for individuals from both cohorts. A given individual from either cohort could have no arrests on record, in which case that individual would not be present in File 4 or 5. In contrast, a given individual may have one or more than one arrest and each arrest could involve one or more than one charge. Therefore an individual could be present in either file two or more times.

Response Rates

Information was collected for 2,578 charges resulting from adult arrest incidents for File 4 and 1,101 charges resulting from juvenile arrest incidents for File 5. These incidents were taken from records sought for all 1,575 individuals in both cohorts. However, juvenile arrest records were found for only 348 individuals, and adult arrest records for 417 individuals. Because sampled individuals could have either juvenile or adult arrest records in localities not searched by the investigators, a complete record of charges may not have been obtained.

Dates of Data Collection

The data were collected from August 1, 1986, through December 31, 1988, from records covering the period from 1967 to 1988.

Summary of Contents

Special Characteristics of the Study

The 1967-1971 time period was chosen for sampling to balance two conflicting demands. One, a period *sufficiently far* in the past was needed to maximize the likelihood that the cases of abuse and neglect were closed and to allow for the maturing of the individuals; and two, a period *not too far* in the past was needed to avoid problems associated with older files.

Files 1 through 3

Description of Variables

The variables for data File 1 include demographic information such as group (abuse/neglect or control), age (at the time of petition to the court for cases of abuse and neglect), race, sex, date of birth, and match type (school or hospital of birth).

Variables for data File 2 include information on the abuse/neglect incident: the type of abuse or neglect and duration of the incident; whether the child was removed from the home, for how long, and the results of the placement; and whether the individual is still alive. No information on members of the control cohort is included in this file.

Variables for data File 3 include information on the family: with whom the child was living at the time of the incident, family disruptions, and who reported the abuse or neglect; and information on the perpetrator of the incident: relation to abused/neglected, age, race, sex, and whether living in the home of the victim. No information on members of the control cohort is included in this file.

Presence of Common Scales

None.

Unit of Observation

For Files 1 through 3, the unit of observation is the individual at age 11 or younger.

Files 4 and 5

Description of Variables

Variables for data File 4 include information on the charges filed within adult arrest incidents: occasion for arrest, multiple counts of the same type of charge, year and location of arrest, and type of offense or charge.

Variables for data File 5 include information on the charges filed within juvenile arrest incidents: year of juvenile charge, number of arrests, and type of offense or charge. Juvenile arrests referred to arrests before the individual was 18 years old.

Presence of Common Scales

None.

Unit of Observation

For File 4, the unit of observation is the charge within the adult arrest incident. For File 5, the unit of observation is the charge within the juvenile arrest incident.

Geographic Coverage

A metropolitan area in the Midwest. No information on what area or its characteristics is provided in order to protect the confidentiality of the individual cases.

Evaluation

Data Quality

Checks for out-of-range values suggest that the five data files are relatively free from detectable coding errors. However, for File 2, 36% of the variables have missing values (see *Data Limitations*) and 42% of the relational edit checks performed between related variables had some cases failing. For File 3, 73% of the variables have missing values (see *Data Limitations*) and 67% of the relational edit checks between related variables revealed failing cases.

Data Limitations

Files 2 and 3 appear to contain a large number of missing data. The code of 99 or "unknown" is used to indicate missing information as well as questions which do not apply to a case. Therefore, for some variables, it is not possible to distinguish between truly missing and inapplicable responses without crosstabulating these variables with related indicator variables.

File 2 contains an additional problem because the code of 98 or "unknown if applicable" was used as well as

the code of 99 or "unknown". By crossstabulating these variables with related indicator variables, code 98 was found to be used for both applicable and inapplicable cases.

Based on official records, the data apply only to reported and substantiated cases of childhood victimization. Because the time period for which data were collected was before the passage of child abuse laws requiring reporting, such cases represent only a small proportion of abuse/neglect cases. Because of reporting biases, these cases are skewed toward the lower end of the socioeconomic spectrum, and toward the extreme end of abuse/neglect incidents.

The user should be aware that misdemeanor criminal behavior for individuals may not show up in the records checked for a variety of reasons. If individuals had moved or traveled from the midwestern metropolitan area originally sampled, it would be possible to keep track of arrests only at the federal level. Even with the help of Department of Motor Vehicles records and marriage license records, it would be difficult to keep track of mobile individuals at the state and local levels.

File Structure

- Data Files (5):
- (1) Demographic information (JU24W.DAT).
 - (2) Abuse/neglect (JU25W.DAT).
 - (3) Family and perpetrator (JU26W.DAT).
 - (4) Adult criminality (JU27W.DAT).
 - (5) Juvenile criminality (JU28W.DAT).
- Unit:
- (1) Individuals from either the abuse/neglect group or the control group.
 - (2) Individuals from the abuse/neglect group.
 - (3) Individuals from the abuse/neglect group.
 - (4) Charges within adult arrest incidents.
 - (5) Charges within juvenile arrest incidents.
- Variables:
- (1) 6
 - (2) 28
 - (3) 30
 - (4) 8
 - (5) 5
- Cases:
- (1) 1,575
 - (2) 908
 - (3) 908
 - (4) 2,578
 - (5) 1,101

Reports and Publications

Ames, A., & Widom, C. S. (1988, November). *Childhood sexual abuse and later delinquency and criminal behavior*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Society of Criminology. Chicago, IL.

Rivera, B., & Widom, C. S. (1990). Childhood victimization and violent offending. *Violence and Victims*, 5, 19-35.

Widom, C. S. (1989). Early child abuse, neglect, and violent criminal behavior. In D. A. Brizer & M. Crowner (Eds.), *Current Approaches to the Prediction of Violence*. Washington, D. C.: American Psychiatric Press.

- Widom, C. S. (1989). Intergenerational transmission of violence. In N. A. Weiner & M. E. Wolfgang (Eds.), *Pathways to criminal violence* (pp. 137-201). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Widom, C. S. (1989). Child abuse, neglect, and adult behavior: Design and findings on criminality, violence, and child abuse. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 59, 355-367.
- Widom, C. S. (1989). Child abuse, neglect, and violent criminal behavior. *Criminology*, 27, 251-271.
- Widom, C. S. (1989). Does violence beget violence? A critical examination of the literature. *Psychological Bulletin*, 106, 3-28.
- Widom, C. S. (1989). The cycle of violence. *Science*, 244, 160-166.
- Widom C. S. (in press). Childhood victimization: Risk factor for delinquency. In M. E. Colten & J. Gore (Eds.), *Adolescent stress: Causes and consequences*. New York: Aldine de Gruyter.
- Widom, C. S. (in press). Avoidance of criminality in abused and neglected children. *Psychiatry*.
- Widom, C. S. (1990). *The role of placement experiences in mediating the criminal consequences of childhood victimization*. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- Widom, C. S. & Ross, B. (1988, November). *Pathways to delinquency and adult criminality*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Society for Research in Psychopathology. Cambridge, MA

DESCRIPTION OF MACHINE-READABLE FILES AND SUPPLEMENTARY DOCUMENTATION

Contents of Machine-Readable Files

Machine-readable Archive files are available in both mainframe and microcomputer formats. Unless otherwise requested, files formatted for a mainframe computer are provided on a 9-track tape at a density of 6250 bpi, in EBCDIC recording mode with IBM Standard Labels. Files formatted for a microcomputer are provided in ASCII format on low- or high-density, 5¼" or 3½" diskettes, at the user's request. A description of the contents of each file is given below.

File 1 (Demographic Information Data File): Basic demographic information.

File 2 (Abuse/Neglect Data File): Information on the abuse/neglect incident.

File 3 (Family and Perpetrator Data File): Family and perpetrator information for abuse/neglect victims only.

File 4 (Adult Criminality Data File): Charges within adult arrest incidents.

File 5 (Juvenile Criminality Data File): Charges within juvenile arrest incidents.

Paper Documentation

A paper copy of the codebook is included.

Ordering Information

Machine-readable files and paper documentation can be ordered from the Data Resources Program of the National Institute of Justice, Sociometrics Corporation, 170 State Street, Suite 260, Los Altos, California 94022-2812.

SPECIFICATIONS FOR MACHINE-READABLE FILES

Mainframe Orders

	Contents	LRECL	BLKSIZE	Feet of tape at 6250 bpi
File 1	Demographic Data	80	32,720	2.8
File 2	Abuse/Neglect Data	80	32,720	4.1
File 3	Family/Perp Data	80	32,720	3.1
File 4	Adult Crim Data	80	32,720	4.0
File 5	Juvenile Crim Data	80	32,720	2.3

Microcomputer Orders

Low-Density 5¼" Diskettes

	Contents	Diskette	File Name	Bytes
File 1	Demographic Data	1	JU24W.DAT	53,550
File 2	Abuse/Neglect Data	1	JU25W.DAT	194,312
File 3	Family/Perp Data	1	JU26W.DAT	87,168
File 4	Adult Crim Data	2	JU27W.DAT	128,900
File 5	Juvenile Crim Data	2	JU28W.DAT	28,626

All Other Media (High-Density 5¼" Diskette, Low- or High Density 3½" Diskette)

	Contents	Diskette	File Name	Bytes
File 1	Demographic Data	1	JU24W.DAT	53,550
File 2	Abuse/Neglect Data	1	JU25W.DAT	194,312
File 3	Family/Perp Data	1	JU26W.DAT	87,168
File 4	Adult Crim Data	1	JU27W.DAT	128,900
File 5	Juvenile Crim Data	1	JU28W.DAT	28,626

DATA COMPLETENESS AND CONSISTENCY REPORT

This section presents information regarding the quality of the data in this Data Set. Tables *n.1* and *n.2* (where *n*=1 to 5 and is a reference to the file number) indicate the extent and location of out-of-range values; Tables *n.3* and *n.4* summarize the incidence of missing data. Tables *n.5* provides information regarding the consistency of the data as reflected in the logical relations between particular items. The checks in Tables *n.5* are meant to be illustrative, and are not necessarily exhaustive.

File 1

Number of Cases: 1,575
 Number of Variables: 6

Table 1.1. Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Out-of-Range Values: File 1

Percentage of Cases With Out-of-Range Values	Corresponding Number of Cases	Number of Variables	Percentage of Variables
0%	0	5	83.3%
> 0% to 1%	1 to 15	1	16.7%
> 1% to 100%	16 to 1,575	0	0.0%
Total		6	100.0%

Table 1.2. List of Variables With Out-of-Range Values: File 1

Variable Name and Label	Out-of-Range Values	Number of Cases
DOB Date of birth	12-22-54	1

Note. For DOB, individuals should have been born from "1-55" to "12-71" in order to meet the criteria for inclusion in the study.

Table 1.3. Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values: File 1

Percentage of Cases With Missing Values	Corresponding Number of Cases	Number of Variables	Percentage of Variables
0%	0	4	66.7%
> 0% to 1%	1 to 15	2	33.3%
> 1% to 100%	16 to 1575	0	0.0%
Total		6	100.0%

Table 1.4. List of Variables With Over 5% Missing Values (79 Missing Values or More): File 1

None.

Table 1.5. Report on Consistency Checks: File 1

Comparisons Examined	Number of Cases Passing Consistency Check	Number of Cases Failing Consistency Check	Number of Cases Not Applicable
Example of a Relational Edit Check			
1. GRP "Abuse/neglect or control group" <i>compared with</i> MATCHTYP "How control matched"	1,575	0	0

Note. To pass this check, cases coded as "control group" on GRP should be coded "birth" or "school" on MATCHTYP.

File 2

Number of Cases: 908
Number of Variables: 28

Table 2.1. Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Out-of-Range Values: File 2

Percentage of Cases With Out-of-Range Values	Corresponding Number of Cases	Number of Variables	Percentage of Variables
0%	0	27	96.4%
> 0% to 1%	1 to 9	1	3.6%
> 1% to 100%	10 to 908	0	0.0%
Total		28	100.0%

Table 2.2. List of Variables With Out-of-Range Values: File 2

Variable Name and Label	Out-of-Range Values	Number of Cases
NOREL Mention of relatives to care for child	0	5

Table 2.3. Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values: File 2

Percentage of Cases With Missing Values	Corresponding Number of Cases	Number of Variables	Percentage of Variables
0%	0	17	60.7%
> 0% to 1%	1 to 9	2	7.1%
> 1% to 3%	10 to 27	1	3.6%
> 3% to 5%	28 to 45	0	0.0%
> 5% to 10%	46 to 90	0	0.0%
>10% to 20%	91 to 181	1	3.6%
>20% to 40%	182 to 363	4	14.3%
>40% to 100%	364 to 908	3	10.7%
Total		28	100.0%

Table 2.4. List of Variables With Over 5% Missing Values (46 Missing Values or More): File 2

Variable Name and Label	Number of Cases
SEXDUR Duration of sex abuse	842
SEXIJ Injuries from sexual abuse	103
PLAC1 Where child initially placed	268
PLYR Year initial placement	189
PMON Month initial placement	189
PLDY Day initial placement	201
PLTIM How long in placement	628
FOS1 Placement outcome of this petition	486

Table 2.5. Report on Consistency Checks: File 2

Comparisons Examined	Number of Cases Passing Consistency Check	Number of Cases Failing Consistency Check	Number of Cases Not Applicable
Example of a Relational Edit Check			
1. NEG1 "Type of neglect" <i>compared with</i> NEG3 "Third type of neglect"	203	0	705

Note. To pass this check, those cases coded as "No apparent neglect of this child" for type of neglect (NEG1) should also be coded as "No apparent neglect of this child" for the third type of neglect (NEG3).

Relational edit checks were also performed by comparing the following pairs of variables.

2.	PHY1	<i>compared with</i>	PHY2	725	2	181
3.	PHY1	<i>compared with</i>	PHY3	727	0	181
4.	PHY1	<i>compared with</i>	PHY4	727	0	181
5.	PHY1	<i>compared with</i>	PHYDUR	725	2	181
6.	NEG1	<i>compared with</i>	NEG2	202	1	705
7.	NEG1	<i>compared with</i>	NEG4	203	0	705
8.	NEG1	<i>compared with</i>	NEGDUR	199	4	705
9.	SEX1	<i>compared with</i>	SEX2	739	0	169
10.	SEX1	<i>compared with</i>	SEXDUR	0	740	168
11.	SEX1	<i>compared with</i>	SEXIJ	739	0	169
12.	OTH1	<i>compared with</i>	OTH2	693	2	213
13.	OTH1	<i>compared with</i>	OTH3	695	0	213
14.	PLAC1	<i>compared with</i>	PLYR	145	0	763
15.	PLAC1	<i>compared with</i>	PMON	145	0	763
16.	PLAC1	<i>compared with</i>	PLDY	145	0	763
17.	PLAC1	<i>compared with</i>	FOS1	143	2	763
18.	DEAD1	<i>compared with</i>	DEAD2	904	0	4
19.	DEAD1	<i>compared with</i>	DEAD3	1	3	904

File 3

Number of Cases: 908
 Number of Variables: 30

Table 3.1. Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Out-of-Range Values: File 3

Percentage of Cases With Out-of-Range Values	Corresponding Number of Cases	Number of Variables	Percentage of Variables
0%	0	26	86.7%
> 0% to 1%	1 to 9	2	6.7%
> 1% to 40%	10 to 363	0	0.0%
> 40% to 100%	364 to 908	2	6.7%
Total		30	100.1%

Table 3.2. List of Variables With Out-of-Range Values: File 3

Variable Name and Label	Out-of-Range Values	Number of Cases
CRIMCT Consequence of criminal charges?	0	767
COMPL1 Complainant	98	8
SEPAR Recent family disruption?	99	560
INSTN1 First instance of abuse/neglect?	0	4

Table 3.3. Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values: File 3

Percentage of Cases With Missing Values	Corresponding Number of Cases	Number of Variables	Percentage of Variables
0%	0	8	26.7%
> 0% to 1%	1 to 9	2	6.7%
> 1% to 3%	10 to 27	6	20.0%
> 3% to 5%	28 to 45	4	13.3%
> 5% to 10%	46 to 90	3	10.0%
> 10% to 20%	91 to 181	3	10.0%
> 20% to 40%	182 to 363	0	0.0%
> 40% to 100%	364 to 908	4	13.3%
Total		30	100.0%

Table 3.4. List of Variables With Over 5% Missing Values (46 Missing Values or More): File 3

Variable Name and Label		Number of Cases
COMPL1	Complainant	149
PERAGE1	Perpetrator1's age	103
PERRCE1	Perpetrator1's race	85
PERAGE2	Perpetrator2's age	79
PERRCE2	Perpetrator2's race	46
REPORT	Complaint reported to	132
MOVES	Family moved during petition year	691
NFATH	Children born from same father	700
SAGE	Sex perpetrator1's age	774
SEXDISP	????	756

Note. "SEXDISP" is not included in the codebook.

Table 3.5. Report on Consistency Checks: File 3

Comparisons Examined	Number of Cases Passing Consistency Check	Number of Cases Failing Consistency Check	Number of Cases Not Applicable
----------------------	---	---	--------------------------------

Example of a Relational Edit Check

1.	PERP1	"Relation of perpetrator1 to abused/neglected" <i>compared with</i>	1	4	903
	PERAGE1	"Perpetrator1's age"			

Note. To pass this check, those cases coded as "identity of perpetrator unknown" for the relation of perpetrator1 to the abused/negelected (PERP1), should also be coded as "age of perpetrator unknown" for perpetrator1's age (PERAGE1).

Relational edit checks were also performed by comparing the following pairs of variables.

2.	PERP1	<i>compared with</i>	PERSEX1	842	14	52
3.	PERP1	<i>compared with</i>	PERRCE1	0	5	903
4.	PERP1	<i>compared with</i>	INHOME1	3	2	903
5.	PERP2	<i>compared with</i>	PERAGE2	531	3	374
6.	PERP2	<i>compared with</i>	PERSEX2	886	6	6
7.	PERP2	<i>compared with</i>	PERRCE2	531	3	374
8.	PERP2	<i>compared with</i>	INHOME2	531	3	374
9.	PERP1	<i>compared with</i>	DESCRIP	1	4	903
10.	PAR1	<i>compared with</i>	PAR2	42	0	866
11.	SEXPERP	<i>compared with</i>	SRACE	756	0	152
12.	SEXPERP	<i>compared with</i>	SAGE	0	756	152
13.	SEXPERP	<i>compared with</i>	SEXIN	756	0	152
14.	SEXPERP	<i>compared with</i>	SEXPERP2	756	0	152
15.	SEXPERP	<i>compared with</i>	SEXDISP	?	?	?

Note. For the variable sex perpetrator1's age (SAGE), the code for "no sex perpetrator1" was unused. The 756 cases where there was no sexual abuse have been coded as "age of sex perpetrator1 unknown".

File 4

Number of Cases: 2578
 Number of Variables 8

Table 4.1. Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Out-of-Range Values: File 4

Percentage of Cases With Out-of-Range Values	Corresponding Number of Cases	Number of Variables	Percentage of Variables
0%	0	7	87.5%
> 0% to 1%	1 to 25	1	12.5%
> 1% to 100%	26 to 2578	0	0.0%
Total		8	100.0%

Table 4.2. List of Variables With Out-of-Range Values: File 4

Variable Name and Label	Out-of-Range Values	Number of Cases
MULT Multiple counts of one type	1	9

Table 4.3. Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values: File 4

Percentage of Cases With Missing Values	Corresponding Number of Cases	Number of Variables	Percentage of Variables
0%	0	6	75.0%
> 0% to 1%	1 to 25	2	25.0%
> 1% to 100%	26 to 2578	0	0.0%
Total		8	100.0%

Table 4.4. List of Variables With Over 5% Missing Values (1,289 Missing Values or More): File 4

None.

Table 4.5. Report on Consistency Checks: File 4

There were no consistency checks that could be performed.

File 5

Number of Cases: 1101
 Number of Variables: 5

Table 5.1. Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Out-of-Range Values: File 5

Percentage of Cases With Out-of-Range Values	Corresponding Number of Cases	Number of Variables	Percentage of Variables
0%	0	4	80.0%
> 0% to 1%	1 to 11	1	20.0%
> 1% to 100%	12 to 1101	0	0.0%
Total		5	100.0%

Table 5.2. List of Variables With Out-of-Range Values: File 5

Variable Name and Label	Out-of-Range Values	Number of Cases
TYPE Type of offense	60	2

Table 5.3. Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values: File 5

Percentage of Cases With Missing Values	Corresponding Number of Cases	Number of Variables	Percentage of Variables
0%	0	4	80.0%
> 0% to 1%	1 to 11	1	20.0%
> 1% to 100%	12 to 1101	0	0.0%
Total		5	100.0%

Table 5.4. List of Variables With Over 5% Missing Values (56 Missing Values or More): File 5

None

Table 5.5. Report on Consistency Checks: File 5

There were no consistency checks that could be performed.

14 341
Pt. 2

CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT AND VIOLENT CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR

Cathy Spatz Widom

Codebook

145341

U.S. Department of Justice (parts 1 & 2)
National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this [redacted] material has been granted by

Public Domain/NIJ

U.S. Department of Justice

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the [redacted] owner.

July 1990

Sociometrics Corporation
170 State Street, Suite 260
Los Altos, CA 94022-2812
(415) 949-3282

Note: Column locations of variables are presented on pages 13-15 of this codebook.

Data file 1: JU24W.DAT (GRPDATA.PRC)

CASENO: 3 digit numeric case identification number.

GRP: Whether abuse/neglect or control group.

- 1 - Control
- 2 - Abuse/neglect

AGE: Age, in years, at time of petition

(Variable deleted from data file by investigator)

RACE:

- 1 - Black
- 2 - White
- 3 - Hispanic
- 9 - Race unknown

SEX:

- 1 - Female
- 2 - Male

DOB: Date of birth (string variable)

Several formats for recording date of birth are used. In general order of frequency these are:

MM-DD-YY	Exact date of birth
YYYY-YY	Approximate year of birth
MM-YY	Month and year of birth
YYYY	Year of birth
??	Date of birth unknown

MATCHTYP: Whether Control is birth match or school match.

- 1 - Birth
- 2 - School
- 3 - Abuse/neglect

Data file 2: JU25W.DAT (INDIV.PRC)

CASENO: 3 digit numeric case identification number.

PHY1, PHY2, PHY3, PHY4: Physical abuse codes

- 0 - No apparent physical abuse to this child nor to other children in family.
- 1 - No apparent physical abuse to this child but physical abuse to a sibling.
- 2 - Mention of physical abuse but no mention of injuries sustained.
- 3 - Bruises, welts
- 4 - Sprains, dislocations
- 6 - Freezing
- 7 - Burns, scalding
- 8 - Abrasions, lacerations
- 9 - Wounds, cuts, punctures
- 10 - Internal injuries
- 11 - Bone fractures (other than skull)
- 12 - Skull fracture
- 14 - Teeth knocked out
- 51 - Failure to thrive
- 52 - Tied up
- 98 - Other
- 99 - Physical injuries possibly sustained, not certain (old scars, etc)
Confinement (locks child up): Coded in NEG1 to NEG4 -- Code #12

PHY2, PHY3, PHY4 - O IF NO OTHER PHYSICAL ABUSE

PHYDUR: Duration of abuse, ie., whether isolated instance or longer term:

- 0 - Isolated instance, otherwise in years or portions of years (eg.--1.5 = one and a half years)
- 1 - Exact duration unknown, evidence of long standing duration.
- 98 - Not applicable
- 99 - Duration not known

Data file 2: JU25W.DAT (INDIV.PRC) Continued

NEG1, NEG2, NEG3, NEG4: Type neglect

- 0 - No apparent neglect of this child nor other children in family
 - 1 - No apparent neglect of this child but neglect of a sibling
 - 2 - Physical neglect: bodies not kept clean, does not provide for adequate, food, clothing, or housing (unclean home, bodies, etc).
 - 3 - Physical neglect: does not provide adequate medical attention (Including other physical complaints as pain, fatigue).
 - 4 - Neglect related skin disorders (infections, etc.)
 - 5 - Does not provide adequate supervision, child left alone for periods of time (but not directly abandoned).
 - 6 - Cannot keep child in school regularly (Educational neglect).
 - 7 - Abandoned by mother and father (or father unable to care, dead, whereabouts unknown)
 - 8 - Mother (or other person in charge of child) does not wish to keep child (whether permanently or temporarily)
 - 9 - Child in care of someone other than mother (or other parent) who does not wish to continue care (whether permanently or temporarily)
 - 10 - Verbal abuse (swearing, threats, etc)
 - 11 - Does not keep appointments with welfare department or school officials
 - 51 - Emotional neglect
 - 99 - Not certain whether incidence of neglect.
- Malnutrition: Coded in PHY1 to PHY4 -- Code #5

NEG2, NEG3, NEG4 = 0 IF NO OTHER NEGLECT

NEGDUR: Duration of neglect, i.e., whether isolated incident or longer term.

- 0 - Isolated instance, otherwise in years or portions of years (eg.--1.5 = one and a half years)
- 1 - Exact duration unknown, evidence of long standing duration.
- 98 - Not applicable
- 99 - Duration not known

SEXI AND SEX2: Sexual abuse codes (SEX2 CODED 0 IF NO OTHER SEXUAL ABUSE)

- 0 - No apparent sexual abuse of this child nor other children in family
- 1 - No indication of sexual abuse to this child but mentioned in relation to a sibling.
- 2 - Fondling, touching in obscene manner.
- 3 - Sexual abuse but specifics not given (eg., A & B with intent)
- 4 - Vaginal penetration with penis.
- 5 - Vaginal penetration with other than penis.
- 6 - Sodomy/anal penetration.
- 7 - Forced to perform sexual acts.
- 8 - Evidence of sexually transmitted disease.
- 9 - Evidence of sibling incest.
- 10 - Forced to perform oral sodomy.
- 11 - Forced to submit to oral sodomy.
- 12 - Evidence of parental incest.
- 13 - Exposing to child
- 14 - Tried to entice into car
- 51 - Allegations of sexual abuse, uncertain

Data file 2: JU25W.DAT (INDIV.PRC) Continued

SEXDUR: Duration of sex abuse, ie., whether isolated instance or longer term:

- 0 - Isolated instance, otherwise in years or portions of years (eg.--1.5 = one and a half years)
- 1 - Exact duration unknown, evidence of long standing duration.
- 98 - Not applicable
- 99 - Duration not known
- Blank - Missing data

SEXIJ: Injuries sustained as a consequence of sexual abuse:

- 0 - No, no mention
- 1 - Yes, mention
- 98 - Not applicable
- 99 - Unknown

OTH1, OTH2, OTH3: Other, non-abuse/neglect, reasons for petition

- 0 - None or no other mentioned.
- 1 - Needed wardship for placement of this child (in clinic, home for the retarded, etc.)
- 2 - Wardship needed since other(s) willing to assume care but wish to have guardianship established legally
- 3 - Mother or other legal guardian temporarily unable to provide for child because of medical reasons
- 4 - Mother or other legal guardian temporarily unable to provide for child for financial reasons,
- 5 - Mother or other legal guardian temporarily unable to provide for child because in prison, girls school, or jail
- 6 - Mother or other legal guardian temporarily unable to provide for child because in mental hospital or mentally incapable.
- 7 - Mother or other legal guardian temporarily unable or unwilling to provide for child because institutionalized, type not known
- 8 - Mother or other legal guardian temporarily unable to provide for child, reason not given
- 9 - Moral environment objectionable to officials (eg.: mother living with man she's not married to, frequent pregnancies without being married, alcohol or drug use, etc.)
- 10 - Death of guardian(s) left child orphaned
- 11 - Violence in the home not directed at child (eg., wife abuse)

PLAC1: If petition involved removal of child from home, where was child initially placed?

- 0 - Child was not removed, no placement involved
- 1 - Guardian Home (or other public facility for non-delinquent)
- 2 - Relative's home
- 3 - Foster care
- 4 - Medical facility
- 5 - Psychiatric facility
- 6 - Home/school for the mentally retarded
- 7 - Adopted
- 8 - Other, please list
- 98 - Not known if placed or not
- 99 - Child placed but where not known

Data file 2: JU25W.DAT (INDIV.PRC) Continued

PLYR: Date initial placement, year (99 = unknown, 98 = Unknown if placed)

PMON: Date initial placement, month (99 = unknown, 98 = Unknown)

PLDY: Date initial placement, day (99 = unknown, 98 = Unknown)

PLTIM: How long was child in this initial placement, in months?

88 - Until age 18

89 - Permanent

98 - Unknown if placed.

99 - Unknown amount of time in placement.

FOSI: Placement in a foster home was an outcome of this petition

1 - Yes

2 - Placed in foster but not as consequence of this petition.

88 - Until age 18

99 - No, unknown

NOREL: Was there mention that there were no other relatives willing to assume care for this child?

1 - Yes

99 - No information

DEAD1: Indication that child is now dead

0 - No

1 - Yes

DEAD2: Age at death in years (e.g., 9.5 = 9 and one half years).

98 - Not applicable

99 - Age at death unknown

DEAD3: Cause of death:

1 - Natural causes (disease)

2 - Related to parental abuse/neglect

3 - Outcome of other violent act

4 - Accident

5 - Suicide

99 - Not applicable

Data file 3: JU26W.DAT (FAMILY.PRC)

CASENO: 3 digit numeric case identification number.

PYR: Year petition filed.

CRIMCT: This case was a consequence of criminal charges being brought

- 1 - Yes
- blank - No evidence for criminal charges

COMPL1: Complainant (charges brought by).

- 1 - Mother
- 2 - Father
- 3 - Stepmother or female companion
- 4 - Grandmother
- 5 - Other related female adult (aunt, etc.)
- 6 - Stepfather, or male companion
- 7 - Grandfather
- 8 - Other related male adult (uncle, etc.)
- 9 - Child in family
- 10 - Other, non-related adult (neighbor, babysitter, etc.)
- 11 - Welfare Department officials
- 12 - Police Department officials
- 13 - School officials
- 14 - Hospital, clinic, or other health officials
- 99 - Initiator unknown

COMP2: Other involved in initiating complaint. Code as above; if no other initiator, code 99

PERP1: Relation of Perpetrator(1) (known or suspected) to abused/ neglected child(ren)

- 1 - Mother
- 2 - Father
- 3 - Stepmother
- 4 - Female companion
- 5 - Stepfather
- 6 - Male companion
- 7 - Grandmother
- 8 - Grandfather
- 9 - Other related adult (uncle, aunt, etc)
- 10 - Other adult with legal guardianship (foster, adoptive parent)
- 11 - Other, non-related adult but known to family (neighbor, etc.)
- 12 - Other, non-related adult, not known to family (stranger)
- 13 - Male, uncertain relation, in household
- 14 - Male, unknown if known to family
- 99 - Identity of perpetrator unknown

PERAGE1: Age of perpetrator1, in years

- 99 - Age of perpetrator unknown

PERSEX1: Sex of perpetrator1

- 1 - Female
- 2 - Male

Data file 3: JU26W.DAT (FAMILY.PRC) Continued

PERRCE1: Race of perpetrator1:

- 1 - Black
- 2 - White
- 3 - Other (Hispanic, Oriental, etc).
- 99 - Race unknown

INHOMEL: Was perpetrator(1) living in the home of the victim at the time?

- 0 - No
- 1 - Yes
- 99 - Unknown

PERP2: Other perpetrator (known or suspected) involved in incident. Code as PERP1 above except:

- 99 - Unknown if other perpetrator

PERAGE2: Age of perpetrator2, in years

- 99 - Age of perpetrator2 unknown or no second perpetrator.

PERSEX2: Sex of perpetrator2

- 1 - Female
- 2 - Male
- 99 - Sex of perpetrator2 unknown or no second perpetrator.

PERRCE2: Race of perpetrator2:

- 1 - Black
- 2 - White
- 3 - Other (Hispanic, Oriental, etc).
- 99 - Race unknown or no second perpetrator.

INHOMEL: Was perpetrator(2) living in the home of the victim at the time?

- 0 - No
- 1 - Yes
- 9 - Unknown or no second perpetrator.

DESCRIP: Description given is given by whom?

- 1 - Mother
- 2 - Father
- 3 - Stepmother or female companion
- 4 - Grandmother
- 5 - Other related female adult (aunt, etc.)
- 6 - Stepfather, or male companion
- 7 - Grandfather
- 8 - Other related male adult (uncle, etc.)
- 9 - Child in family
- 10 - Other, non-related adult (neighbor, etc.)
- 11 - Welfare Department officials
- 12 - Police Department officials
- 13 - School officials
- 14 - Hospital, clinic, or other health officials
- 15 - Court officials
- 99 - Unknown

Data file 3: JU26W.DAT (FAMILY.PRC) Continued

REPORT: Complaint initially reported to:

- 1 - Police
- 2 - Welfare or other social service agency
- 3 - School officials
- 4 - Hospitals
- 5 - Court officials
- 99 - Unknown, cannot tell from description given

PAR1: With whom was child living regularly at time of incident?

(= mother if mother involved with care of child at time).

- 1 - Mother
- 2 - Father
- 3 - Stepmother or female companion
- 4 - Stepfather or male companion
- 5 - Grandmother
- 6 - Grandfather
- 7 - Other related adult (uncle, aunt, etc)
- 8 - Other adult with legal guardianship (foster, adoptive parent)
- 9 - Other, non-related adult (neighbor, friends, etc)
- 10 - Child was institutionalized at the time
- 99 - Unknown

PAR2: Other person involved with care of child at time. Coded as above, = 99 if no other person known to be involved with care.

MOVES: Evidence of family moving two or more times during the year preceding petition?

- 1 - Yes,
- 0 - No
- 99 - Can't tell

SEPAR: Evidence of recent family disruption (divorce, separation, death of family member)?

- 0 - No
- 1 - Yes
- Blank - Can't tell

NFATH: Children in this family born from more than one father.

- 0 - No
- 1 - Yes
- 99 - Unknown

INSTN1: Was this the first instance of abuse/neglect brought to the attention of the courts/police/welfare authorities? (i.e., no mention of previous instances).

- 1 - Yes, only this instance.
- 2 - One previous instance.
- 3 - Two prior instances, etc.
- 88 - More than one prior instance, exact number unknown.
- 99 - Unknown.

Data file 3: JU26W.DAT (FAMILY.PRC) Continued

INSTN2: Are there reports of subsequent instances of abuse/neglect, not directly a consequence (or follow-up) of the current case which were brought to the attention of the courts/police/welfare authorities?

- 0 - No, no further dealings with these systems concerning abuse/neglect.
- 1 - Yes, one subsequent instance.
- 2 - Yes, two subsequent instances, etc.
- 88 - More than one prior instance, exact number unknown
- 99 - Unknown.

SEXPERP: Relation of Sex perpetrator(1) (known or suspected) to sexually abused child

- 1 - Mother
- 2 - Father
- 3 - Stepmother
- 4 - Female companion
- 5 - Stepfather
- 6 - Male companion
- 7 - Grandmother
- 8 - Grandfather
- 9 - Other related adult (uncle, aunt, etc)
- 10 - Other adult with legal guardianship (foster, adoptive parent)
- 11 - Other, non-related adult but known to family (neighbor, etc.)
- 12 - Other, non-related adult, not known to family (stranger)
- 13 - Male, uncertain relation, in household
- 14 - Male, unknown if known to family
- 99 - Not sexual abuse.

NOTE: NO CODE FOR SEX OF SEX PERPETRATOR #1 SINCE ALL MALE.

SRACE: Race of sex perpetrator1:

- 1 - Black
- 2 - White
- 3 - Other (Hispanic, Oriental, etc).
- 9 - Race unknown
- 99 - No sex perpetrator.

SAGE: Age of sex perpetrator1, in years

- 98 - No sex perpetrator.
- 99 - Age of sex perpetrator1 unknown.

SEXIN: Was sex perpetrator1 living in the home of the victim at the time?

- 0 - No
- 1 - Yes
- 9 - Unknown
- 99 - No sex perpetrator.

SEXDISP: (Undocumented variable)

SEXPERP2: Perpetrator2 of sexual abuse incident; Coded as SEXPERP above except:

- 98 - Not sexual abuse incident
- 99 - No second sex perpetrator

Data file 4: JU27W.DAT (ADLTCRM.PRC)

CASENO: 3 digit numeric case identification number.

GRP: Whether abuse/neglect or control group

- 1 - Control
- 2 - Abuse/neglect

INST#: Number of each occasion of arrest, i.e., each separate arrest incident numbered uniquely (i.e., may be more than one type adult charge for any arrest incident)

CHARGE#: Unique charge numbers within each arrest incident.

MULT: If, for any one offense there are multiple counts of the same type, the number of counts for that type. Example--a person is charged with two traffic offenses, TYPE = 46 and MULT = 2. 99 = only one charge of a particular type

CRYR: Year, adult criminal arrest charge (99 = unknown)

PLACE: Location of arrest (where offense committed).

- 1 - City (local)
- 2 - State
- 3 - Out of state

TYPE (OF OFFENSE): Charges pertaining to both juveniles and adults

- 7 - Theft/ conversion/ shoplifting/ offense against property act (OAPA)
- 8 - Burglary/attempted burglary
- 9 - Unlawful entering/breaking & entering
- 10 - Robbery
- 11 - Possession of stolen property/intention to receive
- 12 - Larceny
- 13 - Arson
- 14 - Fraud/forgery/bad checks/false id
- 15 - Embezzlement
- 16 - Conspiracy/ assisting a criminal
- 17 - Gambling
- 18 - Criminal mischief/ vandalism/ trespassing/ recklessness
- 19 - Disorderly conduct (DOC)/ breach of peace
- 20 - Visiting a common nuisance (VCN)/ keeping a common nuisance
- 21 - Alcohol offenses/ public intoxication/ 1935 Beverage Act
- 22 - Violation, controlled substance act (VCSA)/ drug offenses
- 23 - Resisting arrest/ fleeing a police officer/ taunting a police officer/ resisting law enforcement (RLE)/ leaving the scene of a crime/ refusing ID/ interfering
- 24 - Intimidation
- 25 - Possession of a firearm/ firearms act (FAA)
- 26 - Assault
- 27 - Assault and battery
- 28 - Battery with injury

TYPE contined on next page:

Data file 4: JU27W.DAT (ADLTCRM.PRC) Continued

TYPE continued...

- 29 - Battery
- 30 - Aggravated assault
- 31 - Manslaughter/ reckless homicide/ involuntary manslaughter/motor vehicle accident resulting in death
- 32 - Confinement
- 33 - Kidnapping
- 34 - Murder/ attempted murder
- 35 - Injury to morals
- 36 - Prostitution
- 37 - Incest
- 38 - Child molestation
- 39 - ALB with intent to gratify
- 40 - Rape, sodomy
- 41 - Peeping
- 42 - Public indecency
- 43 - Criminal deviant conduct
- 44 - Other sex
- 45 - Driving while intoxicated (OMVUIL)
- 46 - Traffic (NOL, etc.)
- 47 - Violation of probation or parole (VT, VSRC)
- 48 - Burglary with injury
- 49 - Robbery with injury
- 50 - Child abuse/neglect
- 51 - Contempt
- 52 - Bribery
- 53 - Habitual offender
- 54 - Vagrancy
- 55 - Fugitive
- 56 - Failure to appear
- 57 - Escape/ AUOL
- 58 - Contributing to the delinquency of a minor
- 59 - False crime report
- 98 - Other
- 99 - Unknown what type offense

Data file 5: JU28W.DAT (JUVCRIM.PRC)

CASENO: 3 digit numeric case identification number.

GRP: Whether abuse/neglect or control group.

- 1 - Control
- 2 - Abuse/neglect

YR: Year of juvenile charge.

NUM: Each separate arrest incident numbered uniquely (i.e., may be more than one type juvenile charge for any arrest incident)

TYPE (OF OFFENSE): Charges pertaining only to juveniles:

- 0 - Delinquent child
- 1 - Runaway
- 2 - Beverage act/ minor in possession
- 3 - Truancy
- 4 - Ungovernable/incorrigible
- 5 - Curfew
- 6 - Injury to health

For other charges, coded as above for adults.

Formats for data files

Output file: JU24W.DAT (GRPDATA.PRC)

1 record has been written for each case, Number of cases = 1575

Variable	Record Number	Columns	Format
CASENO	1	2 - 4	Numeric
GRP	1	6 - 6	Numeric
RACE	1	8 - 14	Numeric
SEX	1	16 - 21	Numeric
DOB	1	23 - 30	String
MATCHTYP	1	32 - 32	Numeric

Output file: JU25W.DAT (INDIV.PRC)

3 records have been written for each case, Number of cases = 908

Variable	Record Number	Columns	Format	Number of Decimals (if not 0)
CASENO	1	1 - 3	Numeric	
PHY1	1	5 - 10	Numeric	
PHY2	1	12 - 17	Numeric	
PHY3	1	19 - 24	Numeric	
PHY4	1	26 - 31	Numeric	
PHYDUR	1	33 - 40	Numeric	2
NEGI	1	42 - 47	Numeric	
NEG2	1	49 - 54	Numeric	
NEG3	1	56 - 61	Numeric	
NEG4	1	63 - 68	Numeric	
NEGDUR	1	70 - 77	Numeric	2
SEX1	2	1 - 6	Numeric	
SEX2	2	8 - 13	Numeric	
SEXDUR	2	15 - 22	Numeric	2
SEXIJ	2	24 - 30	Numeric	
OTH1	2	32 - 37	Numeric	
OTH2	2	39 - 44	Numeric	
OTH3	2	46 - 51	Numeric	
PLACI	2	53 - 59	Numeric	
PLYR	2	61 - 66	Numeric	
PMON	2	68 - 73	Numeric	
PLDY	3	1 - 6	Numeric	
PLTIM	3	8 - 15	Numeric	2
FOSI	3	17 - 22	Numeric	
NOREL	3	24 - 30	Numeric	
DEADI	3	32 - 37	Numeric	
DEAD2	3	39 - 45	Numeric	2
DEAD3	3	47 - 52	Numeric	

Formats for data files

Output file: JU26W.DAT (FAMILY.PRC)

2 records have been written for each case, number of cases = 908

Variable	Record Number	Columns	Format
CASENO	1	1 - 3	Numeric
PYR	1	5 - 6	Numeric
CRIMCT	1	8 - 9	Numeric
COMPLI	1	11 - 12	Numeric
COMP2	1	14 - 15	Numeric
PERPI	1	17 - 18	Numeric
PERAGE1	1	20 - 21	Numeric
PERSEXI	1	23 - 24	Numeric
PERRCE1	1	26 - 27	Numeric
INHOME1	1	29 - 30	Numeric
PERP2	1	32 - 33	Numeric
PERAGE2	1	35 - 36	Numeric
PERSEX2	1	38 - 39	Numeric
PERRCE2	1	41 - 42	Numeric
INHOME2	1	44 - 45	Numeric
DESCRIP	1	47 - 48	Numeric
REPORT	1	50 - 51	Numeric
PARI	1	53 - 54	Numeric
PAR2	1	56 - 57	Numeric
MOVES	1	59 - 60	Numeric
SEPAR	1	62 - 63	Numeric
NFATH	1	65 - 66	Numeric
INSTNI	1	68 - 69	Numeric
INSTN2	1	71 - 72	Numeric
SEXPERP	1	74 - 75	Numeric
SRACE	1	77 - 78	Numeric
SAGE	2	1 - 2	Numeric
SEXIN	2	4 - 5	Numeric
SEXDISP	2	7 - 7	Numeric
SEXPERP2	2	9 - 10	Numeric

Formats for data files

Output file: JU27W.DAT (ADLTCRM.PRC)

1 record has been written for each case, number of cases = 2578

Variable	Record Number	Columns	Format
CASENO	1	2 - 4	Numeric
GRP	1	6 - 6	Numeric
INST#	1	8 - 13	Numeric
CHARGE#	1	15 - 21	Numeric
MULT	1	23 - 27	Numeric
CRYR	1	29 - 33	Numeric
PLACE	1	35 - 40	Numeric
TYPE	1	42 - 46	Numeric

Output file: JU28W.DAT (JUVCRIM.PRC)

1 record has been written for each case, number of cases = 1101

Variable	Record Number	Columns	Format
CASENO	1	2 - 4	Numeric
GRP	1	6 - 6	Numeric
YR	1	8 - 10	Numeric
NUM	1	12 - 18	Numeric
TYPE	1	20 - 22	Numeric

Note: This file, unlike the adult criminal record file (JU27W.DAT) does not contain a variable, such as CHARGE#, uniquely identifying each charge. However, the file is organized the same way with each record corresponding to a different charge by type of charge. There may be more than one charge type per arrest incident
