

148406

COLLECTIVE ACTIONS AND DISORDERS

An Annotated Bibliography

Prepared under Grant Number 79-NI-AX-0035 from the National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice, National Institute of Justice, U.S. Department of Justice. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

A STUDY OF COLLECTIVE DISORDERS
INSTITUTE OF LABOR AND INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS
The University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, Michigan

December 1980

Elaine Selo, Project Director

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 1

OVERVIEW 4

HISTORICAL AND CROSS-NATIONAL 123

RIOTS AND CIVIL DISORDERS 169

COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY STUDENT MOVEMENTS 368

OTHER SOCIAL MOVEMENTS AND COLLECTIVE ACTIONS

 Black Activism 413

 Poor People's Movements 435

 Peace Movements 450

 Feminism and Women's Liberation 472

 Labor Conflicts 489

 Environmental Movements 501

 Conflicts Around Racial Segregation 509

 Militancy in Secondary Schools 515

 Other Youth Movement Activities 521

 Right Wing Crusades 529

 Miscellaneous 540

BIBLIOGRAPHY 549

148406

**U.S. Department of Justice
National Institute of Justice**

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this ~~copyrighted~~ material has been granted by

Public Domain/NIJ
U.S. Department of Justice

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the ~~copyright~~ owner.

INTRODUCTION

In the last fifteen to twenty years, the field of collective behavior and social movements has grown by leaps and bounds. Researchers and theorists in many disciplines, including sociology, social psychology, psychology, anthropology, political science, economics, and history, have sharpened their methodological tools and developed a variety of conceptual schemes based on the dramatic confrontations of the 1960s and 1970s. In part the growth of this area can be attributed to changes in patterns of government funding of research, reflective of strong public concern and interest in such phenomena as the civil rights movement, anti-war demonstrations and student power activities on college campuses, the urban ghetto riots, the unionization of farm workers and strikes in the public sector, anti-busing activities, and movements around other issues such as women's liberation or nuclear power. Attention to the area may also be a function of the degree to which these activities have impinged on the scientists themselves. Many of these events occurred in close physical proximity to colleges and universities, shattering the relative peace and calm of the ivory tower and forcing otherwise "objective" observers of these events into a re-examination of their meaning and significance.

Between 1969 and 1977 at least twenty-four books dealing directly with collective behavior were published while far less than half this number appeared in the previous 25 years (Marx, 1977). In Morrison and Hornback's bibliography on collective behavior published in 1976, a large part of the many thousands of articles and books are of recent origin. In a computerized search of recent literature in this area, we retrieved over 7,000 articles and books considered relevant for a comprehensive and complete bibliography.

The voluminous amount of published material in the area of collective behavior and social movements is also due to unfocused and often disparate definitions of the field. Collective actions and disorders have usually been derided as events involving property damage or personal injury or other forms of violence engaged in by varying numbers of people acting in concert; traditional phenomena such as "riots," "civil disorders," "crowd actions," "crazes," and "panics" have been the focus of attention. Some theorists argue that disorders are not always violent and that the relevant criterion for such a classification is the degree to which there is substantial disagreement among contending parties over the rules and meanings of particular situations. Still other theorists maintain that it consists of people acting together in pursuit of common interests and that almost all organized collective events are included.

In this bibliography, a broad range of published material is included from the areas of "collective behavior" and "social movements" as well as from related fields which are relevant to the understanding of collective actions and disorders, such as "social change," "social conflict," "political sociology," "race relations," and "criminology." Obviously it would have been impossible to annotate all relevant publications so several criteria were used to select articles and books for this monograph. Journals and books published between 1958 and 1979 were major sources and particular attention was paid to empirical research on specific collective actions and disorders involving or threatening violence. Although concerted efforts were made to locate

materials whose findings could be readily applied to the amelioration or prevention of collective violence, much of the material is more relevant to the development of theoretical propositions and methodological advances. The parameters of this bibliography were loosely drawn around conflicts and confrontations between groups in which there was at least the possibility of violence. Certain types of confrontations were excluded, such as prison riots, violence in secondary schools, domestic violence, and acts of terrorism. Unpublished material, including papers presented at professional meetings and doctoral dissertations, also were deleted although many of them contain information that should be contained in any comprehensive review of the field.

The books and articles in this bibliography are organized into five main categories:

- (1) Overview--general definitions of the field of collective behavior and social movements; typologies; literature reviews and critiques; theoretical perspectives; and methodological problems and solutions
- (2) Historical and Cross-National--comparative and case studies of social movements and collective violence in American history and in other countries
- (3) Riots and Civil Disorders--the antecedents, causes, participants, dynamics and processes, and outcomes of the urban ghetto disorders of the 1960s and early 1970s
- (4) College and University Student Movements--student power movement and student activism on campuses during the 1960s and 1970s
- (5) Other Social Movements and Collective Actions--this section is subdivided into the following subcategories:
 - a) Black Activism--the civil rights movement, the black student movement on college and university campuses, and black involvement in voluntary associations
 - b) Poor Peoples' Movements--the welfare rights movement, rent strikes, and urban renewal protests
 - c) Peace Movements--anti-Vietnam demonstrations and anti-war organizing
 - d) Feminism and Women's Liberation--feminism on college campuses, the origin and growth of women's liberation, and organizing around the ERA
 - e) Labor Conflicts--strikes and work stoppages in the public and private sectors
 - f) Environmental Movements--anti-pollution and environmental quality activities
 - g) Conflicts around Racial Segregation--demonstrations and community conflicts regarding the integration and segregation of public accommodations, schools and housing

- h) Militancy in Secondary Schools--boycotts, protests and school closings involving teachers, students and parents
- i) Other Youth Movement Activities--Yippies, Hippies, and the New Left
- j) Right Wing Crusades--The John Birch Society, anti-textbook controversy, and anti-pornography crusades
- k) Miscellaneous--consumers' meat boycott and public attitudes toward protest and violence

Within each of these sections, the entries are alphabetized by author's surname. Each annotation is classified according to the general approach or approaches used in the article or book--theoretical, historical, descriptive, empirical, prescriptive, and so forth--and the empirical materials are further classified according to predominant methodology--controlled experiment, content analysis, case study, analysis of existing data, observations, survey research, participant observation, literature review, and so forth. Following a fairly detailed annotation of each piece, there are brief comments which are usually methodological critiques or assessments of the relevance of the material for different audiences. These comments are necessarily subjective and may often be controversial. We are resigned to the fact that they will be useful to some readers and not to others and that they may reflect the views of only a small proportion of researchers and practitioners in this area. However, because there is so much material available in this area, some initial sifting of the literature is probably necessary in order to point out contradictions, inaccuracies and methodological flaws for readers who may be interested.

An alphabetical (by author surname) list of articles and books annotated in this bibliography as well as others which were not annotated is contained in an Appendix in order to facilitate the efforts of those who wish a more comprehensive review of the available material.

OVERVIEW

Abrahamson, Mark, Howard F. Taylor, Kenneth Kessin, Kenji Ima,
 "The Self or the Collectivity: Simulation of a Marxian Hypothesis,"
Social Forces, 47, 3, 1969, 299-305.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Controlled Experiment

The authors designed an experiment to test the Marxian hypothesis that exploitation plus awareness of common position produces an orientation toward achieving collective benefits rather than self-advancement. Marx's original variable, exploitation, was deemed empirically unworkable, since a given degree of exploitation tends to be objectively attributed rather than subjectively felt. An operational variable, relative deprivation, was substituted in its place. The two independent variables, then, were relative deprivation and awareness.

Forty-eight male undergraduates were randomly assigned to four experimental groups, corresponding to a dichotomized 2 by 2 design including all possible pairings of the two variables. The subjects, identified with the proletariat, were paid on an incentive basis to assemble jigsaw puzzles, but had to turn over half their earnings to an experimental assistant, a member of the bourgeoisie. Conditions of high and low deprivation were simulated by presenting the assistantship as either an ascribed or an earned position. Response to post-experimental query validated the simulation, showing that subjects who perceived the assistant's status as ascribed (because he was a social science major) indeed felt more deprived than those who perceived it as earned (through hard work as a subject in the past). Conditions of high and low awareness were simulated by either allowing or restricting discussion of financial arrangements; in this case also the effectiveness of the simulation was confirmed by postexperimental query.

Two multiple-item scales were devised for the experiment. The first scale, assessing collective revolt (the dependent variable), consisted of five items dealing with feelings toward the system and desires to change it. The second scale, assessing the degree to which subjects were motivated by money (a conditional variable introduced during the experiment to ascertain which subjects most closely matched the Marxian hypothesis that money means survival and therefore has an unquestionable bearing on motives), consisted of four items dealing with desired earnings and perceived limitations on those earnings.

Before controlling for money-motivation, the conditional variable, the expected correlation between a high-deprivation/high-awareness condition and a high collective revolt response failed to occur. After controlling for the conditional variable, however, the expected correlation did occur: money-motivation was high. Since money-motivation was high in the system on which Marx based his predictions, the authors conclude that their experiment validated his hypothesis. Finally they suggest that their model need not be restricted to classic Marxian class struggles. The three variables -- ascribed rather than achieved

status of the superior, subordinate's awareness of a common position, and strong desires for financial gain -- could operate in any moderately complex organizational system.

Comments:

The stated objective of the experiment was to test the hypothesis that deprivation plus awareness produces an orientation toward collective, rather than self-betterment, but in the heat of simulation the authors apparently lost sight of that goal. The items in the "collective revolt scale" were both confusing and inappropriate for measuring "dissatisfaction with a system, a willingness to utilize any means to change the system and an orientation to collective rather than individual change." The measurement of subjective deprivation was not presented; we were only told that the conditions of high and low deprivation were invoked by varying the credentials of the assistant.

To demonstrate attitudes favorable to collective revolt as the authors claim to have done, is one thing; demonstrating an actual collective orientation would be quite another. Some opportunity for subjects to act as a collectivity at the expense of self should have been built into the experiment -- perhaps through some such action as paying union dues.

Berk, R.A., "The Controversy Surrounding Analyses of Collective Violence: Some Methodological Notes," Ch. 8 in Analysis of Collective Violence, James F. Short Jr. and Marvin Wolfgang (Eds.), Chicago: Aldine, 1972.

Approach: Theoretical

According to Berk, those who study crowd behavior are often strongly motivated by personal and political concerns. Despite the varying accessibility of data and in the face of little information on mob processes, some investigators feel compelled to "analyze crowds" and "take a position," when caution would be more advisable. Berk concludes that the "shape of the controversy surrounding crowd behavior is a function of the motivation of the investigator and the kinds of data that are available...."

Patterns of mob action are extremely difficult to study. Events occur quickly; many occur at once; actions span a wide geographic area; it is difficult to anticipate the outbreak of any disturbances; the way mobs develop leaves few traces; participants are unlikely to take time to answer an investigator's queries; participants have salient interests in the interpretations of the events they are taking part in; and the high risk of personal injury induces many to conduct their investigations from a distance. As a result, one can profitably examine the precursors and results of mob action but little in between. Nevertheless, some researchers focus on crowd actions despite the lack of data.

Interpretations of crowd behavior based on such research suffer from a number of drawbacks. First, crowd behavior is sometimes characterized as demonic, implicitly irrational and otherworldly; and although not verified by empirical results, this characterization of crowd behavior has become a part of the literature. Berk maintains that what happens to people in crowds is an empirical question on which social researchers have little information.

Second, extensive use is made of metaphor to cover the absence of facts. Berk's major criticism on this point concerns the degree to which metaphors are employed to introduce into the analysis ideas that are not explicitly examined.

Third, the actions of mobs may be viewed from different levels and the availability of data varies at each level. This is an example of the fallacy of inferring generalizations from concepts developed for individuals or small groups. The solution to this problem, Berk states, is for researchers to be more careful in specifying the level of their analysis and in selecting concepts that are appropriate for that level.

Fourth, it is unwise to assume that people in crowds are moved by the same interests or are nearly identical in other ways.

Finally, conclusions may be distorted by speculations based on events preceding and results following the collective behavior. Berk notes that his intention is not to belittle the typical elaborative speculations about crowd behavior, but rather to encourage the collection of relevant data. The McCone Commission is cited as an example of extrapolation and a series

of resulting distortions. Banfield's The Unheavenly City is also used as an example of distortion following extrapolation. Banfield "extrapolates that the resulting mob processes initiating most civil disorders are due to the 'animal spirits' of lower class male participants."

Berk concludes that far more data on what happens in crowds will have to be collected if characterizations are to be accurate. Students of crowd behavior should be aware of the sources of their material and should use original data wherever possible.

Comments:

This is a very readable summary that beginning students of crowd behavior should use in conjunction with their own independent data collection.

Bittner, Egon, "Radicalism and the Organization of Radical Movements,"
American Sociological Review, 28, December 1963, 928-40.

Approach: Theoretical
 Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

The following statement summarizes the author's intention:

This is an essay attempting a theoretically pure description of radicalism. On the basis of the writings of Max Weber radicalism is defined as differing in one crucial aspect from the socially sanctioned outlook of common sense. Because of this difference, radical beliefs have a polemic disadvantage vis a vis the outlook of common sense which jeopardizes the integrity and continuity of radical movements. To cope with this pernicious flow is the principal organizational task of such movements. A number of features commonly associated with radical, extremist or totalitarian doctrines and actions are interpreted as solutions of this organizational problem. Previous social and psychological inquiries are related in the proposed argument.

Bittner, often using arcane language, attempts to construct a philosophy, and hence a pure theory, of radicalism. He begins with this premise: radical movements cannot function without persons pre-disposed to participate; but since this is true of any and all social behavior one can eliminate the motives behind actions in the search for causes. He believes motives fall into two categories. One expresses the common-sense attitude to life which is the "ordinary, traditionally sanctioned world view." The other, represented by science challenges this accepted world by questioning many of its assumptions and its logic.

Bittner discusses Max Weber's ideas about prophets and prophecy, seeing many similarities between science and religion. They both demand some kind of world order; but religion requires a "unity of meaning," whereas science calls for a unity of logic which is itself morally neutral. Bittner then extrapolates his theory of radicalism from both of these demands and concludes that radical movements, to be successful, must on the one hand abandon pure doctrine and on the other eliminate any elements discrediting the doctrine. He lists three necessary conditions or mechanisms for accomplishing this end: 1) psychological satisfaction of participants; 2) internal harmony without great expenditure of energy; and 3) strong group boundaries. On the basis of these formal criteria and descriptions of past "radical" movements, Bittner then lists seven "substantive features of belief and conduct" (including charisma, purity of belief, a notion that suffering is integral to group progress, etc.). These "pure" features of a movement, he insists,

tell the other half of the story, the first half being the participants' actual motives which "get the movement going." "It is necessary," he notes, "to show that personal attitudes are socially sanctioned and that these sanctions are social facts precisely in the sense Durkheim gave the term. ...Movements are not the product of the presence of persons... (they are the products of structure)." Bittner concludes, therefore, that successful radical movements feed on both the emotional (irrational) aspects of behavior and the rational (goal-oriented) ones. These structural features are hence essential to the success of radical movements.

Comments:

This is a philosophical article. Despite its avowedly theoretical approach, it does not supply any data, variables or the like, to bear out the conclusions. The article has many interesting points, however, especially the comparison between science and religion, normally thought to be at opposite poles. Bittner's concern with the motives of participants in radical movements lends a strange perception to a pure theory, but may be useful since many of his conclusions seem sound.

Brannon, Robert, Gary Cyphers, Sharlene Hesse, Sharon Hesselbart, Roberta Keane, Howard Schuman, Thomas Viccaro, and Diana Wright, "Attitude and Action: A Field Experiment Joined to a General Population Survey," American Sociological Review, 38, 5, October 1973, 625-36.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Survey Research; Experiment

This unique research used the 1969 Detroit Area Study sampling procedures and findings to test the validity of survey measures and the link between attitudes and behavior. Three months after survey researchers had measured (640 randomly selected) Detroit residents' attitudes toward open housing laws, "petitioners" (actually researchers) appeared at their door to enlist action (petition signing of varying degrees of publicity) for or against the position they had taken in the survey. Eighty-two percent of whites opposed open housing according to the 1969 D.A.S. data; of these, 81.5% behaved consistently in the "action" experiment. Of those favoring open housing (16%) similar results were found, except that a somewhat smaller percent were willing to act on this (obviously unpopular) stand, but those acting were willing to act more strongly, by allowing the publication of their names, when compared to open housing opponents. The authors feel this unusually strong attitude-action link resulted from: a) assessing well formed and stable attitudes, b) the use of a specific and constant attitude object (housing laws in both cases), and c) using household (as opposed to classroom, or other) interviews.

Comments:

An excellent sample with very good controls is used to measure a critical question. The link with D.A.S. data offers very useful methodological opportunities. One of the very few randomly selected populations for an action experiment representing an entire city subpopulation (whites).

Couch, Carl, "Collective Behavior: An Examination of Some Stereotypes,"
Social Problems, 15, 3, 1968, 310-322.

Approach: Prescriptive
 Methodology: Literature Review

Contemporary theorists have taken issue with LeBon's notion that crowds are literally subhuman; but according to Couch, most continue to think of crowd behavior as "pathological or, at best, a more primitive form of conduct than non-crowd behavior." As a result of LeBon's influence, he argues, the favorite approach of collective behavior theorists has largely focused on the causal roots of "collective deviance," rather than on the crowd as an interactive social system.

One by one he refutes the traditional stereotypes. Crowds are destructive? Not compared to established authorities, whose superior organization and means of coercion have traditionally enabled them to inflict damage with relative impunity. "In the entire history of mankind," he writes, "crowds have been responsible for only a very small percentage of the lives taken by fellow humans. In fact, it appears that systems with a highly authoritarian structure are more given to killing humans and are far more effective at it." During the revolutions in France and Cuba, the author points out, newly established authorities, not angry mobs, brought about the execution of thousands of opponents. Crowds are irrational? Not as long as numbers decide the success of efforts to alter the structure of authority; on the contrary, members of crowds would appear "highly irrational if they attempted to achieve the desired result as individuals." Crowds are spontaneous? No. Surprise is crucial to success; hence organizers tend to maintain a low profile, though they are always active, shaping the violent, or what is equally likely, the nonviolent orientation of the crowd. The author contends that crowds differ from institutionalized social systems only in that their organizers "are not expected by tradition to plan the activities of collectivities." Crowds represent the riff-raff? No. "Those of the very lowest prestige do not command sufficient respect to organize others; nor do they usually have the skill and know-how to organize others."

The author calls it misguided to accept the dominant sociological perspective on crowd behavior: that such behavior is abnormal, a pathology rooted in the culture or environment of the participants. Instead sociologists should have been looking at the ways in which "cultural elements are created, modified, and adopted in interaction." From this point of view, the crowd appears as "a social system human beings adopt to take action with reference to other systems," chiefly distinguished by its ad hoc uninstitutionalized character.

Comment:

Although this article is well written and occasionally incisive, much of its original impact has been lost since it was published in 1968, thanks to the work of Gary Marx, Charles Tilly, and others who began where this article ends, taking for granted that collective behavior is part of the standard repertoire of human actions and basing their descriptions, classifications, and analyses on that premise.

"Criminal Law -- The Controlled Use of Police Discretion to Avert Civil Disorder," Wisconsin Law Review, 1970, No. 3, 907-915.

Approach: Prescriptive

Methodology: Content Analysis; Analysis of Existing Data

This article explores the legal contradiction when the most appropriate tactics for crowd control require that police permit some violations lest a more serious confrontation occur. Although effective, such discretion may not have legislative authorization and should be subject to external controls. Its use in flagrant situations may anger the public and encourage disrespect for the law. Corrective action requires training, administrative procedural changes, and legislation.

In two civil incidents at Madison the decision to follow or not to follow a strict interpretation of a statute is thought to have had an important bearing on the degree of disorder and violence. Police intervention with arrests, in response to a spontaneous block party, is believed to have precipitated the subsequent three days of violence and disorder. In another case, decisions not to arrest individuals who were protesting proposed welfare cuts without a permit are given credit for the peaceful resolution of the incident.

Although an effective tactic, police discretion does not appear to be sanctioned by Wisconsin law and may be abused if not officially recognized and made subject to controls. Legislation should encourage actions which sustain peaceful behavior and protect individual rights; it should not demand "full enforcement at all costs." Decisions regarding the use of discretion should be transferred from the police to more accountable officials, such as the mayor, and their decisions should be subject to judicial review. Centralized police training units should develop guidelines which reflect the legislative intent and should make these known to both mayors and police departments. Such actions should reduce violence and significantly improve police-community relations.

Comments:

The article recognizes the value of police discretion and the problem of its control but offers only slight support for the assumption that the decision regarding arrest was solely responsible for the difference in the outcome of the incidents in Madison. It is not evident that discretion in the hands of the mayor would result in less abuse.

Currie, Elliott, and Jerome H. Skolnick, "A Critical Note on Conceptions of Collective Behavior," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Sciences, 391, September 1970, 34-35.

Approach: Theoretical
Methodology: Critical Book Review

In contrast to what they consider the antidemocratic bias of theories of traditional collective behavior, which view collective acts as destructive, irrational, and inappropriate, the authors have earlier argued for an approach to the study of collective violence which takes seriously the historical and political context and the point of view of the people involved, as well as the specific "natural history" of the episodes. Using the work of Neil Smelser as an example of this antidemocratic bias, they argue that his efforts suffered from an excessive emphasis on definition and classification, did not differ much from earlier approaches, depend largely on undemonstrated assertions about the characteristics of collective behavior, and adopted the perspective of official control agencies in interpreting and dealing with these events.

In particular, they use selected statements from Smelser's Theory of Collective Behavior to show that it provides a "potentially misleading implication that social movements are necessarily based on distorted beliefs...and that presumably those who do not hold distorted beliefs seek social change through established institutions." Moreover, they assert that Smelser tended to discredit the beliefs of participants on a priori grounds and to accept uncritically the testimony of "officials" or of clearly hostile observers. He regarded collective behavior, they say, as something requiring control or containment, and he thus "underestimates the role of agencies of social control in the origins and the content of the 'outburst' itself, rather than merely affecting its outcome."

Comments: This is a thorough and provocative review of Smelser's work, although it is somewhat biased against his point of view.

Davies, James, "Toward a Theory of Revolution," American Sociological Review, 27, 1, February 1962, 5-19.

Approach: Theoretical/Descriptive

Methodology: Case Study; Analysis of Existing Data

Denying the traditionally held Marxist view that revolutions are born from degradation and poverty, Davies argues that revolutions are most likely to occur when a prolonged period of objective economic and social development is followed by a short period of sharp reversal. People then subjectively fear that ground gained with great effort will be quite lost; their mood becomes revolutionary. The evidence from Dorr's Rebellion in Rhode Island, the Russian Revolution, and the Egyptian Revolution in 1952 supports this notion; tentatively, so do data on other civil disturbances.

This theory is often graphed, with time and "needs" showing a steady upward bent until some catastrophic event causes the line to dip. Half-way through this process a certain section of the line is marked as a "tolerable gap." Just before the catastrophe, the gap has widened to an "intolerable gap." This graphed line--often referred to as the J-Curve, is purported to explain why in times of seeming progress in objective social and economic status, violent and often what is seen as counter-productive behavior ensues.

Comments:

There are many problems with Davies' argument, his assumptions and his choice of examples. Aside from these problems, Davies does not adequately define his most critical measures. What constitutes a tolerable versus an intolerable gap is never spelled out, and what is considered a "long" versus a "short" period of time varies with each individual case examined. Similarly, the measure of "needs" is overwhelmingly vague, with rising expectations equated with increased demands for goods in the Dorr Rebellion, while in the case of the Russian Revolution it is "measured" by magnanimous acts of the Czar. In this attempt to combine psychology and history, Davies ends up using ill-defined concepts as "needs and expectations" (measured differently in each case) to discern "revolutionary mood."

Davies, J.D., "The J-Curve and Power Struggle Theories of Collective Violence: Comments," American Sociological Review, 39, 4, 1974, 607-10.

Approach: Theoretical
Methodology: Critical Comment

This article is a response to a critical analysis of theories of collective violence conducted by Snyder and Tilly (Hardship and Collective Violence in France: 1830-1960, American Sociological Review, 37, 1972, 520-32. Snyder and Tilly find that theories which assert that political violence is a product of a widening gap between what people want and what they get do not explain incidents of violence in France between 1830-1960. Snyder and Tilly then propose a theory which asserts that violence is a byproduct of political struggle among groups over resources.

Davies responds that though the data used by Snyder and Tilly are nationwide data, no nationwide collective violence occurred in the period studied and that data on the localities in which the incidents studied occurred is required to analyze and test the J-curve theory. Davies identifies other sources of weakness in the Snyder and Tilly critique as a reliance on variables for which data are easily gathered and quantified and thus a substitution of statistical significance for empirical significance; the sampling of time periods within the years 1921-29 which may have obscured variations in the magnitude of incidents of collective violence; and their measures of the gap between expectations and gratifications which do not address changes in real income levels and thus cannot demonstrate a change in standard of living as Snyder and Tilly assert.

Davies sees the crucial research problem shared by all theorists in this area as one of measurement. How one measures those concepts of theories which are most critical--e.g., the cognitive and psychological gap between expectations and gratifications or given the existence of this gap, the point at which violence is likely to erupt--are at this time just as significant as the substance of the theories themselves.

Finally, Davies sees the Snyder and Tilly theory as tautological. Violence is a type of conflict just as political struggle is. They are two strategies of competition for resources. Why a group chooses to compete and why it chooses one strategy rather than another, Snyder and Tilly don't tell us.

Drew, Paul, "Domestic Political Violence: Some Problems of Measurement," Sociological Review, 22, 1, February 1974, 5-25.

Approach: Theoretical

The basic argument contained in this paper is that events should be classified according to the meaning they hold for participants rather than social scientists, and that labeling events a priori as collective violence or disorders results in comparing nonequivalent phenomena particularly in cross-national analyses. He states that "social scientists have generally been concerned to elucidate the concepts of 'instability' and 'disorder' and in so doing have imposed on categories of action such as 'demonstrations,' 'revolts,' 'riots,' etc. a spurious equivalence resulting from the social scientist's own understanding of these events, in ignorance of the meaning and significance they may have for the members of particular societies in particular contexts.

Most social scientists, for example, categorize events as "political violence" because they inevitably disturb members' expectations about the 'normal' operation of the political process. This tends to limit the search for causes to certain social structural conditions that promote instability and assumes that the 'normal' political process is nonviolent. Moreover, there may be multiple definitions of observably similar events even within a particular society. Some of these events would not be considered as political violence in our culture but may be so defined elsewhere--for example some actions of authorities which, though are officially defined as 'legitimate' may be defined by some members as politically and violently repressive.

In a priori definitions of collective disorders, collective violence, political violence, and so forth, the researcher "misses the significance of the processes by which members produce definitions in accounting for whether and to what extent, events are disordering...Questions as to whether and to what extent events disrupt political organizations must be treated as empirical problems."

Violent events are not necessarily disorders. In certain cultural contexts, particular types of violent activity may not have the same disruptive significance for the political system that they have in other countries. For Drew, an event can only be defined as a "disorder" if either the parties apply different rules or for some reason are unable to apply rules in common, so that they make different sense of the same situation; or if any of the parties are unable to apply any rule, for at least a temporary period, to an 'unusual' event. Whether or not an event is 'disruptive' and the extent to which it is, can only be decided within the context of what is considered by members to be 'perceivedly normal' in a particular situation.

Comments:

This is a useful corrective to the tendency of many researchers to ignore the varying meanings attached to events which are similar in form, magnitude, or duration by members of the same society and especially by members of different cultures. Drew argues that the cross-national compar-

isons typically ignore the cultural context and assume that the researchers' measures of the severity of a violent event indicates the degree to which it indicates or is a cause of instability in the political system. Yet this is often spurious because even the same event may be defined as a "riot" by some members of a society, a "social protest" by others, or as simple "criminality" by others.

Erlanger, Howard S. and Fred Persily, "Estrangement, Machismo, and Gang Violence," Discussion papers of the Institute for Research on Poverty. Wisconsin University, Madison, Wisconsin, September 1976.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Interviews

In considering whether subcultural values are associated with violence, it is critical to determine both the precise content of such values and the way in which the subculture interacts with structural forces to affect the level of violence. This paper is a qualitative inquiry into the way these questions bear on adolescent gangs in the Chicano barrios of East Los Angeles, the largest group with Mexican heritage outside of Mexico. The research suggests that the literature has generally misunderstood the meaning and role of machismo in Chicano life, and that the frequency of gang violence depends strongly on political conditions in the community.

Studies of Chicano culture have emphasized the subcultural valuation of machismo as a primary cause of violence. Sociological conceptions of the subculture (or "contraculture") of violence suggests that violence--and, in East Los Angeles, especially gang violence--is encouraged by admiration of physical aggression. The authors believe machismo to be a cultural trait that may contribute to physical aggression, but only indirectly and under certain structural conditions.

The Glaser and Strauss model for the development of empirically grounded theory was used to conduct open-ended interviews with 25 East Los Angeles Chicanos. These were nonrandomly selected because they were then active or had been active in gang activities which included violent confrontations. Interviews were also conducted with various police, school, and community representatives. The products of this inquiry are qualitative and suggest, rather than test, plausible hypotheses.

It is argued that "machismo means 'having courage,' 'not backing down,' or 'being ready to fight'." But it does not imply physical aggression. For example, Cesar Chavez's non-violent activism was considered very "macho" by virtually all respondents in this study. Machismo connotes to them a courageous toughness in exhibiting "manhood," but this concept included many positive social goals and neither specifically included nor required violence or physical aggression.

The cultural value of machismo might, however, contribute to violence under specified conditions, according to the authors, who then detail the conditions responsible for structurally induced anomie among Chicanos. They use a model of "internal colonialism" to summarize widespread racial and cultural discrimination, political

disenfranchisement, and limited educational and occupational opportunities. This social "estrangement" apparently focuses adolescent attention and loyalties on the local groups, demarcated by neighborhoods and barrios. Gang violence would logically be augmented by the search for machismo whenever daily involvement with territorial defense and intergang rivalry estranges the members from wider social concerns.

Interview material (cited throughout) details several ways in which the politicization of the Chicano movement from 1967 to 1972 served to reduce gang violence. Largely because a wider group identification and a sense of efficacy was engendered by a clearer path toward possible goals, intergang rivalries appeared to be dramatically reduced during this five-year period. Other kinds of group action and excitement were available through a movement which directly stressed brotherhood between Chicanos. This seemed to be an era of increasing machismo and decreasing gang violence. In fact, a substantial -- though difficult to document -- decrease in gang violence was recalled by many observers.

According to Erlanger and Persily it might seem that "an excessive concern with values such as courage and dignity escalates trivial affronts into major physical confrontations. We have shown that in East Los Angeles the explanation is much more complicated than this, and that this type of impetus to gang fighting operates only in an estranged setting." Recent increases in gang violence may therefore result from a decline of clearly visible community efforts to affect social change. "When...political consciousness...(is) combined with a sense of unity and power, fundamental changes in the perception and handling of status threats could ensue."

Comments:

This study carefully assesses the interaction between individually espoused cultural values and the sociopolitical context in which such values are expressed in action. "Findings" are largely suggestions; the authors offer useful ideas about topics for further investigation.

Fireman, Bruce and Gamson, William A., "Utilitarian Logic in the Resource Mobilization Perspective," In The Dynamics of Social Movements, Mayer Zald and John D. McCarthy (Eds.), Cambridge: Winthrop Publishers, 1979, pp. 8-44.

Approach: Theoretical

Building on a critique of Mancur Olson's utilitarian approach to social movements, the authors generate an expanded theory of how individuals and groups can be mobilized for collective action. Olson's theory emphasized the difficulties in getting people involved in such actions and argued that personal self-interest is the major determinant of mobilization --people act collectively only if they are provided with "selective incentives" (i.e., constraints or inducements that mean something to them as individuals). Firestone and Gamson don't disagree that selective incentives are important in mobilizing for collective action but they argue that other factors are also important and often more critical in organizing potential constituents.

"We argue that most social movements would never get off the ground if their constituents' decisions to participate were based exclusively, or even primarily, upon individual self-interest. Social movements are often facilitated by the solidarity of a group of actors sharing common interests. Rapid mobilization of social movements is often precipitated by conspicuous threats to common interests and by conspicuous opportunities for common interests to be defended by collective action. Self-interest is only one basis for mobilization."

From materials written by organizers and accounts of organizing attempts, they suggest strategies that can be used or have been used to mobilize people for collective action. One set of strategies relates to increasing solidarity by developing clubs and voluntary associations and cultural events. "Social events, even rituals, can be useful in strengthening the solidary relations that help to sustain collective actors during quiescent times and then facilitate rapid mobilization when collective action is urgent." Another set of strategies relates to how to align the program of a social movement with the interests of a solidary constituency. This can be accomplished easily when the social movement organizers are long-standing leaders of the constituent group but if they are not, they must either woo the solidary group (through the leaders or key actors) or sidestep the core leaders of the old solidary group and woo more sympathetic subgroups. In all of these instances the organizer needs to earn the trust of the constituents by either sharing some of their characteristics (e.g., age, class, occupation) or having at least a cursory familiarity with their experiences.

Individuals as well as groups have interests that can be mobilized for collective action. The authors discuss briefly some strategies organizers have used to raise personal interest and these include appealing to their principles through political education, ideological discussion, consciousness-raising sessions, newsletters and the like. "Sometimes events occur that present mobilizing agents with consciousness-raising opportunities.

Sometimes they can stage their own events or actions. Yippie activities, such as throwing dollar bills on members of the New York Stock Exchange, or guerilla theater have such a purpose."

Finally, mobilization is more likely when the collective action is viewed as urgent--that is, it is seen as necessary for achieving the interests of the constituents and the opportunity for the action is there. Constituents' consciousness of the necessity of collective action is increased by events that threaten their interests, undermine their trust in authorities, and discredit rival possibilities of collective action. "Organizers may...try to precipitate these events, but they must act discreetly in order not to discredit themselves." The opportunity for collective action is increased by events that raise the credibility of the collective actor (the organizer), throw its antagonists into disarray, and make available coalition partners and third-party support. "The basic strategy is to demonstrate influence by picking a target that offers promise of a quick success, thus showing potential constituents that the social movement actor is one to be reckoned with and that opportunities exist for collective action."

Although the authors have presented other determinants of mobilization besides selective incentives, they note that there are instances when self-interest is paramount.

"When social movement organizations have the armed force it takes to tax and draft soldiers, or when they control access to crucial goods and services (e.g., jobs, patronage), they may have the capacity to maintain or extend their levels of mobilization primarily by means of selective incentives...Some social movement organizations mobilize enough resources from one constituency on the basis of solidarity and responsibility so that they can mobilize another constituency on the basis of self-interest."

However, since self-interest is such a limited basis for mobilizing a constituency, they suggest that research focus on how organizers raise consciousness of common interests, develop opportunities for collective action, and tap constituents' solidarity and principles.

Comments:

This corrective to the strictly utilitarian perspective on mobilization of a potential constituency by reference to their self-interests is useful because it helps to understand the emergence and growth of social movements in which the actors may gain no concrete benefits. Moreover, it suggests a new source of data for understanding what strategies and tactics may be effective or ineffective in particular situations--organizers' own accounts of their experiences.

Firestone, Joseph, "Continuities in the Theory of Violence," Journal of Conflict Resolution, 18, 1, March 1974, 117-42.

Approach: Theoretical
Methodology: Literature Review

This is an in-depth critique of the model of collective violence found in T. Gurr's Why Men Rebel. The author begins with a discussion of two important earlier theoretical works on collective violence. He notes that both Smelser (1963) and Kornhauser (1959) move from the abstract to behavior -- from "discontinuities" to violence -- without specifying the kinds of discontinuities which exist and therefore render their theories untestable. Both are too inclined to look for a single cause, rather than ask why the discontinuities in authority, society, or community arise initially. If causes are "transactional," however, he says, "then it is necessary to view the onset of conflict from the standpoint of the interaction of elites and masses."

He notes that Gurr at least attempts to convert these illustrations into cause-and-effect variables of conflict and violence (i.e., a dynamic model) but Gurr too ends up with Smelser's and Kornhauser's categories and concludes that no objective criteria can tell us which "social conditions" will produce violence and therefore which ones ought to command study. The reasons lie in Gurr's adherence to frustration-aggression theories about violence and in his uncritical application of its basic tenet that aggressive tendencies are in all men. Gurr errs, says Firestone, when he assumes that if relative deprivation can be documented, it must necessarily lead to aggression: Why not to withdrawal or drugs? Why violence? And why only an irrational reaction? Why not organized, rational protest? Gurr does not sufficiently distinguish collective violence from political violence.

Yet, after all his criticism, he concludes that "Gurr's hypotheses ought to have a prominent place in future models of social conflict" as long as he attempts a transactional approach by which he could then "postulate a continuous social process giving rise to violence." This he regards as only a short step.

Comments:

This critique illustrates the primitive state of the theory on collective behavior. It also implicitly reveals the lack of a good quantitative data base. Data cited to support the author's positions tend to be descriptive. The basic weakness of the theories lies in the lack of operational specificity.

Flacks, Richard, "Protest or Conform: Some Social Psychological Perspectives on Legitimacy," Journal of Applied Behavioral Science, 5, 2, April-May-June, 1969.

Approach: Prescriptive
Methodology: Literature Review

According to Flacks, there is widespread speculation among scholars that "instances of defiance, resistance, and disruption by young people, directed against established authority, represent a trend leading to the erosion and destruction of the legitimacy of military and other authority." This is perhaps surprising, says Flacks, since the small amount of empirical research (he cites the Milgram experiment as a pioneer study of the nature and legitimacy of authority) suggests that mass conformity, not mass protest, best characterizes our society. Flacks remarks that "Milgram's subjects and draft resisters stand for opposing aspects of the same culture and social system." The attempt to use social analysis to predict which of these best represents our future, he says, is like applying meteorology to say whether the planet will be hotter or colder twenty years hence: change is expected, but in what direction?

Flacks, a priori, sides with protest and against authority. To support his position he reiterates his "new working class" argument: children reared according to the egalitarian precepts of the rising class of intellectual workers naturally challenge the legitimacy of authority. He then cites the black movement as another source of skepticism toward authority. Children raised under oppressive conditions also naturally challenge authority. The implication in both cases is that the family, as the prime agent of political socialization, undermines authority. Among more privileged parents, of course, the formative influence has the opposite effect: the child of the favored class "sees a vision of holiness when he chances to glance in the direction of government." Nevertheless Flacks declares that the presence of the "two countercultures, socializing their children quite differently," significantly challenges the dominant mode of rearing children and by extension the legitimacy of the American system. In particular he feels that the increasing exposure of the children of the new working class to liberal education is disturbing the legitimacy of authority: the personal competence and independence inculcated by such education mix badly with the bureaucracies into which these young workers are increasingly drawn.

Comment:

There are some interesting observations here but no structure to hold them together. The conceptual focus of the article -- the legitimacy of authority -- is laid down at the outset and is followed by what looks like a brainstorming session. But the idea is never quite clear. Flacks seems to look for a way to work his "new working class" theory into an issue of general sociological concern: the issue of legitimacy gives the antiauthoritarian instincts of new working class youth a central significance. In today's uncertain world, however, Flacks' remark that "there appears to be a fundamental incompatibility between the commitment of American national authority to the maintenance of a world empire and the continued legitimacy of that authority" seems questionable to say the least.

Freeman, Jo, "Resource Mobilization and Strategy: A Model for Analyzing Social Movement Organization Actions," In The Dynamics of Social Movements, Mayer N. Zald and John D. McCarthy (Eds.), Cambridge: Winthrop Publishers, 1979, pp. 167-89.

Approach: Theoretical

In looking at the determinants of the strategy of a social movement, Freeman utilizes the resource mobilization perspective and draws on her earlier work on the women's liberation movement as well as on data from other contemporary social movements. She developed a model which highlights the resources available to a social movement organization at one point in time, the limitations they face on the use of these resources, and aspects of the organizational structure of the group and expectations about potential targets that affect the ways these resources become deployed.

Resources can be both tangible (e.g., money, space, publicity) and intangible (e.g., people) and they can be specialized in the sense of being possessed by only a few participants (e.g., expertise, access to networks, access to decision-makers, status) or unspecialized (e.g., time and commitment). In contrast to established interest groups which tend to mobilize tangible resources, social movements are low in these and tend to emphasize the deployment of people resources. There are three major sources of mobilizable resources: the beneficiary constituency (i.e., those who both benefit from it and provide resources); the conscience constituency (i.e., those who are sympathetic but are not beneficiaries and do provide resources) and nonconstituency institutions (i.e., those who are independent of the movement but can potentially be coopted by it, such as the government). One of the major factors making mobilization of resources easier is the density of them--those that are concentrated, such as students, can be mobilized fairly easily. Another factor is the existence of a cooptable communications network. Freeman notes:

"The reason many different movements tend to appear during the same historical period is not that different groups just happen to discover their grievances at the same time, or even that the example of one group alerts others to opportunities to alleviate their own grievances. Rather, it is that the resources one movement generates can be used for cognate movements. Organizing or publicizing skills gained in one movement are readily transferable. One movement's conscience constituency can become the next movement's beneficiary constituency."

However, all resources have constraints upon their use attached to them and she identifies five of these: values, past experiences, reference groups, expectations, and relations with target groups. The differences in the strategies and tactics used by the older and newer branches of the women's liberation movement were analyzed in light of these constraints on the use of resources. For example, the reference groups that were significant for the newer "consciousness raising" branch were other radical groups and their goal was revolution and preparation for a plan for women's roles in a revolutionary society. Consciousness raising and study groups were two ways of doing this. In contrast, the older branch was oriented to their past professional and political associations so their goal was to be effective by making demands for

small changes and to concentrate resources on a few specific areas, particularly those that would give women legal parity with blacks.

Once these different organizational structures were created, they molded the strategic possibilities and though both branches have made some efforts to change these structures, they have remained essentially the same.

The search for effective strategies also involves a set of expectations about potential targets and the external environment, particularly, the structure of available opportunities for action; possible social control measures that might be taken; and the effect on bystander publics. The structure of available opportunities varies according to whether the group has leverage points within the political system, has some minimal knowledge or access to the political system, can substitute resources such as the time and commitment of their members for large voting blocs, or has no capacity (because of the alienation or ignorance of its constituency, incompatible structure or values, or demands which cannot be accommodated by the available channels) to act. Social control measures can have a variety of effects and Freeman argues that it is the perceived and not the real opposition that is important. Although some opposition is necessary to unify and solidify the group, an opposition of great strength (real or perceived) can destroy a movement. Finally, the reactions of bystander publics not only affect the decisions about strategies a movement will pursue, but can also affect the outcomes of those actions.

Comments:

Pointing to some flaws in her model, particularly the fact that it is a static one which ignores accidents of history that are often crucial to success or failure, Freeman still argues that the model could help social movement organization leaders to analyze their strategic options and help outside observers explain why certain actions were chosen. Her skillful analysis of several contemporary movements using this framework reaffirms this assertion.

Gamson, William, Power and Discontent, Homewood, Ill.: The Dewey Press, 1968.

Approach: Theoretical

This book examines political relations between citizens and authorities: who will try to influence authorities; what means of influence citizens will use; and how authorities will respond to these attempts. It argues that understanding discontent requires examining it from the standpoint of both potential partisans and authorities. Its author clearly assumes that groups act so as to increase their benefits relative to their costs, suggesting that neither political activism nor acquiescence is necessarily irrational. He proposes that groups' resources and perceptions of their situation affect their political behavior.

Resources affect political mobilization as follows:

The greater the total amount of resources controlled by a solitary group, the higher the probability of its attempting influence....The more liquid and uncommitted are the resources controlled by a solitary group, the higher the probability of its attempting influence (pp. 146-47).

Two kinds of political perceptions, efficacy and trust, affect participation in both ordinary politics and social movements. Efficacy is the probability perceived by a group that it can do something to influence a political system (or part of it). Groups that have a low degree of efficacy are unlikely to try to influence authorities because such behavior would be irrational. Trust is the perceived probability that a political system (or some part of it) will produce results preferred by the group, without its doing anything to get these results. Hence, trust can be a cause of political inactivity (pp. 46-48).

Gamson uses variations in trust to identify three groups of potential partisans: "alienated groups" have a low degree of trust, "confident groups" a high degree, and "neutral groups" an intermediate degree. He argues that alienated and confident groups, if they have a sufficient degree of efficacy, are likely to try to influence authorities (pp. 155-62). Alienated groups are likely to use constraints, such as disorders or threats of disorder, as a means of influence; confident groups will generally prefer to use persuasion, and neutral groups to use inducements (see pp. 164-71). Authorities will tend to respond to alienated groups with "insulation," limiting their access to resources; with confident groups they will choose persuasion; and with neutral groups, inducements (pp. 180-83). These arguments reflect a fundamental symmetry in relations between citizens and authorities.

Gamson suggests that authorities might modify a potential partisan group's trust as follows:

A confident group can be moved toward neutrality or alienation by heavy reliance on sanctions and insulation. A neutral group can be made more confident by involving its members in relationships that increase identification with authorities and encourage potential partisans to internalize the authorities' goals. A neutral group can be made more alienated by heavy reliance on constraints and by insulating it from relationships that increase identification and internalization. An alienated group can be made more confident by involving it in a system of exchange with authorities and a series of relationships which encourage identification and internalization (p. 183).

This book indicates that when citizens in a neighborhood, city, or nation have a high degree of political efficacy and a low degree of political trust they are most likely to be mobilized to political actions with a high potential for collective disorder. When citizens have a low degree of political efficacy and trust, they are least likely to be mobilized to take part in ordinary politics or social movements.

Comments:

The author characterizes this book as "a discursive essay...on a topic of endless complexity" (p. vii). A nonacademic reader may find the detailed discussion of concepts tedious and the integration of arguments complex. The work focuses on solitary groups, "collections of individuals who think in terms of the effect of political decisions on the aggregate and feel that they are in some way personally affected by what happens to the aggregate" (p. 53) rather than on individuals. Hence the book does not directly take up the question of whether or how a particular person will try to influence authorities.

Granovetter, Mark, "Threshold Models of Collective Behavior," American Journal of Sociology, 83, 6, 1978, 1421-43.

Approach: Theoretical

The norms, preferences, motives, and beliefs characterizing collective behavior do not sufficiently explain its outcome. The following model of collective behavior addresses this deficiency and applies to any situation in which individuals make such yes-or-no decisions as: whether or not to riot, strike, vote for a particular candidate, go to college, or to leave a social gathering.

The threshold model of collective behavior assumes that an actor has two mutually exclusive alternatives and that the costs and benefits depend partly on how many others make the same or a contrary choice. Individuals are assumed to choose rationally, given their goals, preferences, and perceptions of their situation.

Each person's threshold represents the number or proportion of other people who would have to join an activity before he would do so himself. In other words, it is the point where the benefits to himself that he perceives exceed the perceived costs, assuming that the more participants the lower the costs. Different individuals have different thresholds. In a riot, for example, different degrees of safety and different benefits will be required before they will join in a riot. Individual riot thresholds may range from zero (among "instigators" who do not require that others join) to 100 percent (for persons who would not participate no matter how many others did). Thresholds for individuals may change during a single event, if the costs and benefits of participating change, as a result, for example, of the arrival of armed police.

A domino effect occurs when a crowd with an instigator will recruit a member with a threshold of one so that a person with a threshold of two will join, and so on. With only one instigator and no one in the crowd with a threshold of one, however, no one will join and no riot will develop. One crowd may riot and another, quite similar, may create only a minor disturbance because of differences in threshold distribution. In a city with a constant distribution of thresholds, one crowd's actions may be radically different from another's because of sampling variability: each crowd can be conceived of as a random sample taken from the total city population; therefore, different crowds in the same city will vary in their threshold distribution. Because large cities have more disorders than small cities, the threshold distribution of a crowd in a large city will be more likely to produce a major riot. Clusters of individuals in a large area during a given period will also show different patterns because of sampling variation; and results may be complicated by the movement of individuals from group to group. The number of people who know each other in a group may also affect the outcome.

Comments:

To test the model, Granovetter suggests recording the threshold distribution in an incident of collective behavior, analyzing the socioeconomic characteristics of the individuals, and predicting threshold distributions for new groups on the basis of their socioeconomic characteristics and attitudes. As the author notes, however, measurement errors, imperfect information, and the chance that personal events unrelated to the situation may play a role in a person's threshold make such an attempt hazardous. One might loosely reconstruct the threshold distribution of a riot by asking participants when they joined, what proportion of the crowd was active at that point, and why they joined at that exact time. This reconstruction would be too vague to predict accurate threshold distributions for similar crowds, however, and therefore is more useful theoretically as a "domino theory of riot participation" emphasizing interaction among people rather than disclosing long-term underlying causes.

Grimshaw, Allen, "Interpreting Collective Violence: An Argument for the Importance of Social Structure," The Annals of The American Academy of Political and Social Science, 391, September 1970, 9-20.

Approach: Theoretical

This article focuses on a sociological explanation of "social violence" which locates its source in differences in the access to power at different social levels. "Social violence" is defined as the physical assault upon an individual or his property solely or primarily because of his membership in a social category. By means of an analytic framework constructed by George Simmel, instances of social conflict which vary greatly in content can be understood in the abstract by identifying their form. Four forms of interaction which inevitably result in violence are "competition," "conflict," "accommodation," and "assimilation."

Accommodation is the characteristic interaction between potentially conflicting parties in all societies where there is no open conflict. But it is an unstable superordinate-subordinate relationship since sharp differences in power usually encourage attempts to establish parity or reverse the power structure.

Grimshaw makes two generalizations on social violence. First, it is likely under three conditions: 1) if an accommodative relationship breaks down (when a superordinate group loses its vitality or when a subordinate group either gains power or realizes its existing latent power); 2) if the legitimacy accorded the superordinate group declines because it abuses its power or new ideas about legitimacy take hold; and 3) if a real or perceived change in the available commodities or patterns of allocation results in relative deprivation or rising expectations. Second, Grimshaw suggests that social violence is more likely if control agencies are perceived as weak or partisan.

Comments:

Simmel's analytic framework and Grimshaw's generalizations seem useful in analyzing past instances of social violence. Their value in predicting violence or understanding how it develops is less apparent. For example, the conditions under which an accommodative relationship loses its viability are difficult to fix precisely. At what point can we say -- except in retrospect -- that a superordinate group has "lost its vitality" or has "abused its power"?

Grimshaw's second generalization is theoretically flawed. If a superordinate-subordinate relation exists in society, the control agencies will probably be partisan with a mandate to maintain the status quo. Furthermore, instead of being an independent factor, the relative strength or weakness of the control agencies may be merely a function of the "viability" or "legitimacy" of the accommodative structure.

Gurr, Ted Robert, *Why Men Rebel*, Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 1970; see also, Firestone, Joseph, "Continuities in the Theory of Violence," *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 18, 1, March 1974, 117-42.

Approach: Theoretical

This book focuses on the view that the intensity of relative deprivation explains the potential for violent political disorders. Relative deprivation arises from a perceived discrepancy between the goods, services, and conditions people believe they are justly entitled to and the goods, services, and conditions they believe they could attain given the opportunities currently available to them. The hypothetical linkage between deprivation and discontent has been implicit, if not explicit, in theoretical notions that the causes of violence can be traced to such factors as frustration, alienation, exigency, and strain.

Gurr argues that the causal sequence of political violence is first the development of discontent, second the focusing of that discontent on political objects or actors, and finally the realization of the discontent in violent action against those targets. He outlines the theoretical perspective as follows:

"Discontent arising from the perception of relative deprivation is the basic, instigating condition for participants in collective violence." Social factors that increase expectations without increasing capabilities heighten the discontent. Among these factors are the gains of other groups and the promise of new opportunities. Social factors that decrease people's capabilities without lowering their expectations similarly create a stronger sense of deprivation, hence greater discontent. Among these factors are deteriorating and increasingly limited opportunities.

Deprivation-induced discontent provides a general impulse to action. Specific actions are then determined by people's beliefs about the sources of deprivation, and about the normative and utilitarian justification for violent acts against targets believed to be responsible for deprivation. Social factors that affect the focusing of discontent include cultural restraints against overt aggression, the extent and success of previous political violence, the legitimacy of the government, and its responses to relative deprivation. The belief that violence is useful in obtaining scarce resources can be an independent source of political violence, but it is most likely to provide a secondary, rationalizing motive.

Although extreme discontent is a necessary condition for political violence, its scope, intensity, and the forms it takes are affected, in part, by officials' responses to disorders. There is evidence that coercive measures to prevent or control disorder can heighten the initial discontent and even trigger full-scale revolutionary movements. Political violence is also affected by the relative power of officials and dissidents: when both groups have approximately equal power, especially when the officials have fewer supporters, the probability

and magnitude of violence are geatest.

Gurr further suggests:

The three stages in the process of political violence -- those in which discontent is generated, politicized, and actualized in political violence -- are each dependent on the preceding one... . It is likely but not necessarily the case that there is a temporal relationship among the three stages, whereby a sharp increase in the intensity of discontent precedes the articulation of doctrines that justify politically violent action, with shifts in the balances of coercive control and institutional adherence occurring subsequently (p. 15).

Comments:

The arguments developed in Gurr's book "are not intended to provide a ready-made explanation of any given act of political violence," (p. 356) but they do challenge certain notions:

There is not much support here for the view that political violence is primarily a recourse of vicious, criminal, deviant, ignorant, or undersocialized people... . Nor is political violence "caused" by pernicious doctrines, or at least by doctrines alone... . The belief that some kinds of social arrangements or political institutions are intrinsically immune from violence or capable of satisfying all human desires is only a partial truth (pp. 357-358).

This perspective tries to finesse a critical point: "There is some discontent among almost all members of all societies" (p. 84). If grievances and discontent can be defined, created, or manipulated by dissidents, then the notion of a linkage between relative deprivation and discontent is suspect.

Gurr, Ted R., "The Calculus of Civil Conflict," Journal of Social Issues, 28, 1, 1972, 27-47.

Approach: Literature Review

This review of the literature on recent quantitative, comparative studies of violent conflict within nations examines some of their assumptions and results, and the prospects they offer. Studies by Eckstein (1963), Rummel (1963), and Russett (1964) and the impact of the urban riots and other revolutionary movements are said to provide a critical mass for what is now an "influential subfield of quantitative comparative conflict studies."

Gurr notes several assumptions in these studies:

1. Diverse events such as demonstrations, coups d'etat, and terrorism were generally considered subtypes of the same social phenomena and could be explained by similar theories. Most of the studies were concerned with conflict having some political object or impact, since the state is always thought to be implicated in overt conflict by acts of either commission or omission.
2. There are general as well as specific reasons why civil conflict in different countries is of a particular kind or intensity. Yet opinions differ greatly about the properties of strife -- such as the frequency of certain events, their pervasiveness, duration, or severity -- that cross-national studies should consider, and what their causes might be: discontent, material well-being, socioeconomic change, coerciveness of the regime, and foreign conflict, for example.
3. Comparisons should be between nations, despite gross differences among the nations studied. Very few of these studies looked at variations within nations or compared single nations at different times.
4. Methodological and statistical techniques are sufficiently advanced for the results to be accurate and useful. Gurr notes, however, that many of these studies are based on questionable data, and a credibility gap exists between concepts and indicators. Moreover, he says, "these studies have been characterized by extensive use of sophisticated statistical sledgehammers to crack half-ripe chestnuts."

In reviewing a few significant results that different studies have corroborated, Gurr looks at the forms of civil conflict, the relation between socioeconomic changes and civil conflict, the psychological origins of strife, the association between coercive regimes and conflict, and linkages between internal and external conflict.

Although civil conflicts comprise a dimension of national characteristics that are distinct from other characteristics, they can be divided

into at least two types: those representing an intense and organized bid for political power, such as revolution and subversion, and those which express a more diffuse popular turbulence, such as riots and demonstrations. If grievances, however serious, are largely felt by the masses and do not significantly affect elites, they are likely to induce riots and demonstrations. If the elite classes also experience the grievances, revolutionary behavior is more likely.

The incidence of violent conflict tends to be moderate in underdeveloped, traditional societies, greatest in developing nations, and least in modern nations. The transition to modernity does more to lessen revolutionary activity than to curb riots and demonstrations, but rapid social change apparently threatens political stability; whereas rapid economic growth tends to diminish conflict. The research supports the obvious conclusion that when the legitimacy of a political system is accepted, violent political conflict is less likely.

Studies agree that there is a curvilinear relationship between public coerciveness and violent civil conflict; civil conflict is greatest in regimes which use moderate coercion and less where coercion is either strong or very slight -- an indication that moderate coercion induces more public hostility than fear.

Domestic and foreign conflicts give little indication of being related by cause, effect, or other links. Foreign intervention is likely to intensify domestic conflict rather than minimize or stop it.

Comments:

An interesting review of selected studies of internal collective disorders.

Hirschman, Albert, Exit, Voice and Loyalty: Responses to Decline in Firms, Organizations, and States, Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1970.

Approach: Theoretical

This book examines two responses to discontent: voice (i.e., an attempt to change an objectionable situation) and exit (i.e., escape from an objectionable situation), and defines the conditions under which each option will prevail. Whenever exit is not feasible, the only way that dissatisfied customers, members, or citizens can register their discontent is by voice.

In many situations exit will be a response of last resort after voice has failed: removing oneself means losing the opportunity to use voice, but the reverse is not true. Voice can therefore substitute for exit or complement it. Whenever both options are feasible, there is an important bias in the favor of exit: voice often involves sequential choices but exit requires only a single decision. The presence of the exit alternative may therefore retard development in the art of voice.

This perspective has several implications for collective disorders. First, Hirschman suggests that severe limitations on and penalties for exit are likely to delay the recourse to voice but to intensify its use, once it has begun, more than would ordinarily be the case (p. 93-98). Second, he suggests an explanation for the radicalization of politics: an organization that responds more to voice than to exit is likely to be more responsive to the discontent of its remaining members than to those who leave.

The day-to-day policies of these movements tend to be influenced -- especially when they are out of power -- by their present activist members rather than by the preoccupation with losing the favor of all members and voters. Hence a shift toward the center which antagonizes the...activist members is likely to be resisted more strenuously than a radical shift, even though the latter might lead to the exit of...members and voters. One could conjecture that radicalization of political movements predicted by this model would assert itself more strongly the longer the interval between elections. ...But this whole matter is further complicated by the phenomenon of organizational loyalty. (p. 75)

Loyalty is a key concept "because, as a result of it, members may be locked into their organizations a little longer and thus use the voice option with greater determination and resourcefulness than would otherwise be the case. It is helpful also because it implies the possibility of disloyalty, that is, exit" (p. 82).

Hirschman argues that the use of voice becomes more likely as the degree of loyalty increases (p. 77). This argument implies that discontented patriots rather than the alienated are potential participants in social movements. Voice and loyalty are intertwined:

A member with considerable attachment to a product or organization will often search for ways to make himself influential, especially when the organization moves in what he believes is the wrong direction; conversely, a member who wields (or thinks he wields) considerable power in an organization and is therefore convinced that he can get it "back on the track" is likely to develop a strong affection for the organization in which he is powerful (pp. 77-78).

Comments:

Political scientists have thought about discontent expressed in protests (voice), and economists have thought about dissatisfaction with products expressed in a withdrawal of demand (exit). Hirschman argues that both processes are at work and suggests the implications of their interactions. The concept of loyalty in this essay is diffuse, confusingly intertwined with voice. It seems plausible that a specific commitment rather than loyalty generally will determine the intensity of voice, but not make it more likely to be used.

Kelley, Jonathan and Herbert S. Klein, "Revolution and the Rebirth of Inequality: A Theory of Stratification in Postrevolutionary Society," American Journal of Sociology, 83, 1, July 1977, 78-99.

Approach: Theoretical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

Drawing on the outcomes of a number of "peasant revolutions" which have occurred in modern times--Mexico, 1910; Russia, 1917; China, beginning 1921; Bolivia, 1952; Cuba, 1958--the authors set forth theoretical and mathematical formulations relating to the short-and long-term effects of revolution on status inheritance and distribution of wealth. (The authors assume that revolutions seek to overcome deviation from a hypothetical mean level of wealth and status.) Defining the sequence of events in which a traditionally exploited peasantry is liberated at the expense of a traditionally exploitative elite as a "radical revolution," the authors propose the following: In the short run a radical revolution leads to more equal distribution of physical capital and less status inheritance; renders human capital (that is, agricultural, educational, or linguistic skills) more valuable than physical capital as a source of occupational status and income, causing a shift in the basis of stratification; and "does not immediately benefit the poorest of its supporters as much as it benefits those who possess human capital or have been able to retain physical capital." The authors argue that, although in the short-term revolutions lead to a net reduction in social inequality, the achieved redistribution of wealth--necessarily implemented by means of newly created bureaucratic, commercial, and political jobs--gives rise to a new stratification which favors that segment of the peasantry which by virtue of its unequal reserve of human and/or physical capital can most readily enter these newly created positions.

The foregoing argument hinges on the fact that even where revolutionary governments try to enact their ideological commitment to equalitarianism through the abolition of private capital, this in itself is "not enough to prevent the long-term growth of inequality, since much (indeed most) inequality arises from differences in education, skills, language, and other forms of human capital which are almost immune to redistribution." In the long run, this advantaged sector tends to consolidate its ascendancy by means of a steady increase in the prevailing degree of status inheritance; inequality is thus restored. The argument is qualified, however, by a distinction between "modern revolutions," which promote economic development and hence favor the formation of a new 'peasant bourgeoisie,' and "classical peasant revolutions" (the example given is Punjab in the late 19th century), which because they do not entail economic development tend not, over time, to erase gains in social equality.

The authors proceed to quantify the foregoing in the form of a series of mathematical equations expressing the relationships between and among different wealth and status inheritance variables. The major observation drawn from the mathematical model is that, in a "modern revolution," if the mean wealth of the society as a whole is low to begin with, the new 'peasant bourgeoisie' will more readily exceed the mean and social inequality will be the more likely result than if the initial mean is somewhat higher.

The authors conclude by describing two styles of dealing with these postrevolutionary forces of stratification. In Bolivia and Chile, they argue, a laissez faire approach predominated and the new status quo was more or less simply accepted as inevitable. In China and in Cuba, on the other hand, there have been continuing efforts to "root out even the smallest vestiges of private property." Both approaches are problematic, and the article ends with the statement that "a revolution must either quietly turn conservative, allowing inequality and inherited privilege to grow steadily in the countryside, or turn radical and embark with uncertain prospects on an arduous struggle to remake the entire economy and culture."

Comments:

While the thesis set forth here is probably quite valid, the approach should have been oriented toward description rather than mathematical quantification. This is not to say that data cannot be presented descriptively; Charles Tilly, for example, in From Mobilization to Revolution, has given a virtuoso demonstration of the conceptual dimensions which raw data, appropriately graphed, can be made to yield. Kelley and Klein, on the other hand, generate equation after complex equation in a gratuitous fashion which serves merely to obstruct rather than to convey meaning. In addition, the underlying assumption that the mean level of wealth in a society is an adequate (or the sole) measure of revolutionary success or failure is qualified only by a brief and unsatisfactory discussion of the level of the mean itself and the extent of deviation from the mean--and what these factors say about the society. Finally, the article is poorly organized: despite the orderly presentation of numbered hypotheses, the argument does not follow a clear logical progression.

Kornhauser, William, The Politics of Mass Society, New York: The Free Press, 1959.

Approach: Theoretical

Kornhauser combines two criticisms of mass society, the democratic and the aristocratic, in order to formulate a general theory of mass society. The aristocratic criticism, propounded by Ortega y Gasset and others, defines mass society in terms of the vulnerability of elites to intervention by the masses, warning that such intervention weakens the integrity of political and cultural life. The democratic criticism, reversing the aristocratic view, defines mass society in terms of the vulnerability of the masses to domination by elites. Here the danger is that such domination verges on totalitarianism. Two concepts of freedom are at stake. The aristocratic notion that elites should have a free rein, within constituted limits, to exercise authority wars against the democratic notion that the freedom to participate in the exercise of authority should be shared by others. What links the two points of view, according to Kornhauser, is a mode of direct intervention--either of elites in the affairs of nonelites or of nonelites in the affairs of elites. This naked opposition of the two poles of society, says Kornhauser, jeopardizes both kinds of freedom, and is the defining characteristic of mass society.

Kornhauser plots the relative vulnerability of elites against the relative vulnerability of nonelites:

VULNERABILITY OF NONELITES

		Low	High
VULNERABILITY OF ELITES	Low	communal society	totalitarian society
	High	pluralist society	mass society

Low vulnerability signifies a condition where elites and nonelites are to some degree bound or permanently fixed within the social structure; high vulnerability designates a relatively detached condition. In communal society, for example, elites are not very vulnerable, since the force of tradition upholds their ascendancy. Nonelites are invulnerable also, but because strong ties of kinship and community bind them to their subordination. Pluralist society is characterized by the high vulnerability of elites, the result of competition among various independent elite groups for positions of power, and by the low vulnerability of nonelites, stemming from their vigorous participation in autonomous groups and associations. In both communal and pluralist societies, nonelites are fairly invulnerable--that is, firmly bound to the social structure--which means that such populations resist mobilization by elites.

Mass and totalitarian societies, on the other hand, are characterized by relatively vulnerable nonelites who are easily mobilized because they are not insulated from elites through participation in intervening groups or associations. In a mass society, where elites are vulnerable, "large numbers of people are pulled toward activist modes of intervention in vital centers of society" in the sort of philistine uprising feared by Ortega y Gasset. Kornhauser argues that a mass society may easily become totalitarian if elites, having gained control of the means of coercion and persuasion, use them to manipulate the alienated masses.

In summary, those at both poles of society, elite and nonelite, require a certain level of autonomy--that is, of insulation from each other--if freedom is to be preserved. A society which embodies a fully articulated set of social relations by means of which both elites and nonelites are integrated into the social order affords maximum freedom. Individuals within such a society are in one sense less free, in that they are firmly bound to the social order. Nevertheless, the social order as a whole can, thanks to its pluralistic structure, accommodate a wide range of interests and still remain fairly stable. In mass or totalitarian societies, on the other hand, intermediate social relations have collapsed, and whites and nonelites contend directly with one another. While individuals within such societies are not subject to intermediate constraints, their collective conduct is determined by broad shifts of public opinion in a mass society, or by dictatorial decree in a totalitarian society. In either case, freedom is precarious or altogether absent.

Mass movements are characterized by: remote and extreme objectives; activist modes of intervention; mobilization of uprooted and atomized sections of the population; and the lack of an internal structure of independent or decentralized groups. They differ from "traditional movements" which arise within communal society (e.g., revival movements); "reform movements" which arise within pluralist societies (e.g., labor movements); and the "totalitarian movement" in totalitarian societies. The development of mass movements is not necessarily related to the degree of democratization, urbanization, or industrialization of a society except to the extent that these processes occur so rapidly that social atomization results. Persons with the fewest social ties within all social strata are most responsive to these mass movements.

Comments:

In this classic exposition of the Durkheimian perspective relating collective behavior to the fragmentation of existing social ties and anomie, three basic hypotheses relating to collective disorders have been drawn:

- 1) Extremist movements will flourish in societies with few voluntary, occupational, religious, civic, and other types of associations;
- 2) The alienated uprooted nonparticipants in such associations will be the first and most active members of extremist movements;
- 3) In societies characterized by multiple memberships in associations there will be more integration of group members which will inhibit participation in extremist movements.

Much of the empirical evidence on extremist movements, such as McCarthyism, the Radical Right of the 1960s, and community movements such as anti-fluoridation in the United States as well as the Nazi movement in Germany, however, does not support these hypotheses (Oberschall, 1973).

Krammick, Isaac, "Reflections on Revolution: Definition and Explanation in Recent Scholarship," History and Theory, 11, 1, 1972, 26-63.

Approach: Theoretical

Methodology: Critical Literature Review

Abstract:

This attempt to evaluate current scholarly writing about revolution is by an admitted outsider to the field. In reviewing the often "confused, complicated, and untidy set of observations" of revolutionary theorists, he describes the approaches in terms of taxonomy (the classification and categorization of revolutions), etiology (the examination of their causes), and periodization (generalizations about stages, patterns, processes, and dynamics of revolutionary momentum).

Before these approaches can be used, however, the term "revolution" must be defined or described, and he indicates that recent efforts have focused on three aspects of political change: its mode, impact, and purpose. Noting that many writers describe revolutions as simply the presence of violence in the political process, Krammick suggests that this view denies the possibility of nonviolent revolution and overlooks the existence of nonrevolutionary violence. He argues that to be considered a revolution an event must reach and affect the entire society and must also intend a new structuring of society -- a fundamental change in world view.

This latter point is analogous to Thomas Kuhn's description of scientific revolutions: an established paradigm collapses in the face of an unexplained anomaly, to be replaced by a fundamentally different set of conditions which resolves the problem. In political terms, the paradigm is the established practices, authority structures, and value and belief patterns used to cope with the problems faced by the system. When the system can no longer cope, as when a scientific theory cannot explain experimental results, it gives way to a new political paradigm, one which can cope. This theme recurs in the author's assessment of four causal theories of revolutions (political, economic, sociological, and psychological).

Political explanations see revolution as primarily a political event with political causes and characteristics. The work of Samuel Huntington is a good contemporary example. According to Huntington, the essence of revolution is the expansion of political participation by new, assertive groups and classes, which have been mobilized too fast for the old order to assimilate them. Only when the old institutions are too rigid to accommodate these demands for participation will revolutions occur.

Economic explanations focus on the material conditions and the relation of property to power, although there is little consensus pointing to revolutions as the product of either prosperity or poverty. Using the theory of J.C. Davies to characterize the economic

explanation, Krammick cites it as economic determinism -- the assumption that psychic states of frustration and aggression derive solely from economic factors such as unemployment, living costs, and increases in GNP/CAP. According to Davies, revolutions are precipitated when stable or rising expectations regarding economic improvement are suddenly and decisively dashed, creating an intolerable gap between what people want and what they get. Criticizing Davies, the author argues that one cannot assume a high correlation between moods of frustration and economic conditions without some empirical demonstration. Moreover, economic theorists tend to ignore noneconomic factors that might contribute to the event. These theorists also do not account for the fact that the frustration is vented on a particular target -- the governing regime; nor do they say what connection there is between the locus of frustration and the movement of people to revolution.

In characterizing the sociological level of explanation, Krammick argues that it turns attention away from individual motives, intentions, and responsibilities and directs it instead to interpersonal data such as group affiliations, societal roles, divisions of labor, hierarchy, and value structure. He considers the most ambitious sociological interpretation of revolution to be that of Chalmers Johnson, a political scientist. Johnson's argument is that revolutions are produced by dysfunctional social systems (those in which the existing equilibrium has been destroyed because challenges to the system have not been integrated or accommodated). When the systems become dysfunctional, either conservative or revolutionary change can occur, and such changes are distinguished by their use or avoidance of violence. No matter what produces the disequilibria, however, they are not sufficient to explain revolution; what ultimately precipitates revolution is the political elite's inability to meet needs creatively and effectively. Krammick criticizes Johnson's theory on the grounds that the significance of the political performance of the elite is a last-minute addition and that the gist of his argument is simply a translation of old theories into new and vague language.

The psychological explanation is concerned primarily with the states of mind and personality traits of revolutionary actors and their movements. The author sets up two subdivisions: one explains the actions of groups or crowds and the other examines the personality structure of individual revolutionaries. The first, which builds on the work of LeBon and Fromm, is exemplified by the recent approach of Ted Gurr who claims that group aggression is primarily a response to frustration occasioned by feelings of relative deprivation. In contrast to Davies, Gurr is less interested in why the gap between aspirations and opportunities occurs than in the ways in which it determines the likelihood and magnitude of violence. Krammick criticizes this approach because it fails to explain why frustration is directed toward the government and why it results in revolution rather than other forms of civil strife.

In the second major subdivision, particular personality types are isolated as being predisposed toward revolutionary action as

leaders and followers (e.g., Harold Laswell, Lewis Feuer). This view is exemplified in the more recent work of E.V. Wolfenstein. Wolfenstein used the lives of Lenin, Trotsky, and Gandhi to show that their political careers were a function of the displacement of psychic tensions. Krammick disagrees with this theory because it belittles the revolutionary and does not explain why people of many different personalities share the same ideological beliefs. The approach is also culture-bound and has little relevance to revolutionaries in contexts other than western and modern. Moreover it neglects the social structure in which these actions occur.

Comments:

This extremely useful review of some of the more significant approaches to the study of revolution summarizes clearly and concisely some of the familiar criticisms of them. Suggesting that any theory of the causes of revolution must take into account the political factor, as well as the others, Krammick patently believes that the political role seems to be decisive and that it is encompassed in the other approaches. The review is not comprehensive, since it deals only with a few of the theorists who have contributed to the literature in this area.

Kreps, Gary A. and Dennis G. Wenger, "Toward a Theory of Community Conflict: Factors Influencing the Initiation and Scope of Conflict," Sociological Quarterly, 14, 1973, 158-74.

Approach: Theoretical

Methodology: Propositional Inventory

This article represents an attempt to develop a formal systematic theory of community conflict, with particular attention to: 1) the setting and initiation of conflict; 2) its dynamics, with particular reference to factors influencing its scope; and 3) intervening circumstances that extend the conflict. Conflict is defined as a "social process in which overt opposition occurs between two or more interacting units because of an event that is related to the vested interests of these units." Community conflict is the occurrence of opposition because of a specific event related to one of five basic community functions: 1) production-distribution-consumption; 2) socialization; 3) social control; 4) social participation; and 5) mutual support. Because communities differ in the relative importance they attach to these functions, conflicts will differ among communities. Conflict is more likely to develop around an event related to the functions considered most important. For example, if production-distribution-consumption is not carried out locally, but socialization is frequent -- as it would be in residential suburbs -- the community is unlikely to experience labor disputes but may have disputes over schools. Conflict will not occur without an intervening event that impinges on one or more of the community functions and affects social units within the community in different ways. Community members must also have an opportunity to respond to the event.

The first to become involved will be groups with a vested interest in the issue. For example, educational issues will draw the attention of the school system, the PTA, and parents. Once conflict occurs, participation by community members will be determined by these conditions: 1) how far the political structure of the community is involved; 2) the way power is shared among members of the community; 3) the amount of conflict the community has experienced in the past; 4) the degree to which conflicts and the issues associated with them are publicized by the news media and other agencies; and 5) how greatly members of the community are structurally integrated, for example, through overlapping memberships in organizations.

As the scope of conflict increases 1) a greater number of issues will be associated with the conflict; 2) general issues will become more important; 3) the community will be more polarized; and 4) more partisan organizations will develop.

When conflict leads to change in the structure of the community the author asserts that structural change always accompanies conflict -- one can identify the structural changes by looking at the same variables which influence the scope of the conflict. After conflict ends,

the community will be characterized by different positions toward each variable.

In summary, the author theorizes that structural strain in a community will result in conflict when some event makes community members aware of an inability to meet some basic social needs in the community. The scope of the conflict will be influenced by the manner in which the community participates, especially its political participation. Conflicts will change in the way community members structure their participation.

Comments:

This formal and rather abstract theory of community conflict contains 35 propositions derived from the work of Gans (1966), Crain et al. (1969), Hillery (1971), and Coleman (1957), along with suggested ways of measuring the variables involved. To this extent, it is extremely useful in initial stages of designing research toward the analysis of conflict and disclosure of the conditions and settings in which it occurs and expands. The theory does not deal with the resolution of such conflict, however, except by implying that it may result from the structural change within the community that accompanies the dispute. Other literature on this topic -- Skolnick, The Politics of Protest, for example -- suggests that structural change is not an inevitable outcome of conflict, particularly when the conflict is not very intense. All of the propositions oversimplify complex relationships. For example, as a conflict increases in scope the author says that will be greater polarization in the community, because disagreement will cut across existing personal and organizational attachments and create barriers between people with contrary interests. But other possibilities -- such as that these very attachments may inhibit conflict -- must be considered. The propositions advanced here are nevertheless clear and capable of being tested; hence they could well be the object of further empirical analysis.

Lang, Gladys and Kurt Lang, "Some Pertinent Questions on Collective Violence and the News Media," Journal of Social Issues, 28, 1, 1972, 93-110.

Approach: Theoretical

The information available suggests that the media are rarely a major influence on the amount of violence in any specific situation and that they function as much to deter as to incite violence. Yet, if media reports of both actual and potential violence affect expectations, then this will influence the nature of public protest as well as the official response to it.

This article discusses the effects of media coverage (especially TV) of major public events on the violent or nonviolent outcome of these events. Collective violence is defined as an attribute of conflict situations involving illegal physical force resulting in physical injury or destruction of property.

The media both contribute to the appearance of increased frequency of protest and accelerate the cycle of protest by magnifying protest taking place and being inattentive to protest still in the embryonic stages. For example, availability of media coverage gives organizers incentive and attracts participants, but when media decide the movement is old hat, they begin to ignore it and this may affect the turnout and result in a loss of momentum (i.e., the Vietnam Moratorium Committee).

The presence of the media may act more as a deterrent of violence in potentially violent situations than as an instigator. Media offers protesters a nonviolent channel to express their grievances and illustrate their potential power for violence. The media may also offer a restraining influence to individuals, including law enforcement officers, who don't want their unlawful acts recorded or to have an adverse effect on public opinion. The impact of reporting of violence in progress depends on the availability of alternative channels of communication. News blackouts may be ineffective in keeping minor incidents from spreading since people near the site of violence are most likely to get involved, most often get their news by word of mouth, and are least dependent on the official news media.

Once the size of a demonstration reaches a point where control depends on spontaneous cooperation by the mass of demonstrators, the likely course of events depends on the intent of those who have turned out to resist or participate in violence. Who is attracted and who is deterred by media predictions of violence determines in large measure whether that prediction becomes self-fulfilling or self-defeating. Insofar as the media stress the likelihood of violence but also the preparations and intent of demonstrators and law enforcement agencies to keep order, they may contribute to the nonoccurrence of violence.

The likelihood of collective violence in any specific situation depends on who is assembled, where they are assembled, how they are physically distributed, and the provisions that have been made for minimizing the eruption of incidents and containing any outbreaks of violence.

Comments:

This article is essentially a response to the Kerner Commission report and other official reports which have expressed concern over the role played by the news media in the conditions leading to violence, its eruption, its spread or abatement. Arguing that the news media must be seen as "essential ingredients of a complex network through which the spokesmen for various publics seek recognition and...that hard as newsmen may try...they can never be neutral transmitters," they offer a plea for empirical analyses rather than polemical statements about the role of the media in collective violence. In many ways their hypotheses are useful correctives to the untested assumptions about the effects of the media in promoting and escalating violence.

Libman-Rubenstein, Richard E., "Group Violence in America: Its Structures and Limitations," In Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives, Hugh Davis Graham and Ted Robert Gurr (Eds.), Revised Edition, Beverly Hills: Sage Publications, 1979.

Approach: Theoretical

In this essay, Libman-Rubenstein argues that American society has "a high propensity for historical amnesia" about its continuing episodes of mass political violence, aided by the reliance on theories of consensus and pluralism for explanations of the political process. He states that violent politics have played a significant role in American political history and cites examples beginning with American Indian revolts in the 17th century and ending with the disorders during the New York City power failure of 1976. Further, he notes that the "norm" in American politics has not been peaceful progress but reformism, both violent and nonviolent.

Despite the frequency of political violence in American history, most of the episodes have been localized--an expression of specific group interests and emotions rather than a more general interest (e.g., class) in system change. "Most domestic revolts have been conceived, to begin with, as defensive responses to outside aggression and have retained their localistic, reformist, autonomy-oriented character even after serious escalation has taken place." This is a result both of the nonrevolutionary goals of most of the groups involved as well as the responses of control agents. Class consciousness has not been fully developed because of the sociocultural differences among members of the groups. The ambiguous responses of social control agents to mass political violence, "alternating the carrot of moderate reform with the stick of suppression," has also effectively ended many domestic revolts.

Whereas the ghetto revolts and student disorders of the 1960s were seen as "independence" rebellions, seeking for each group a local power base which would permit participation by their leaders in American life, the author argues that domestic rebellions will tend to assume other reforms in the future. Although there will be continued culture group conflict based on race (urban blacks) and ethnicity (Mexican and Spanish-speaking immigrants), most episodes will be based on class conflict between workers and owners of monopoly enterprises. "The persistence of economic crisis in the United States suggests that future episodes of domestic violence will have more in common with the labor-management conflicts of the 1930s than with past episodes of culture-group rebellion."

Comments:

In this Marxist analysis, Libman-Rubenstein argues that the limited demands and limited responses characteristic of past mass political activity in the United States is unlikely to continue. If boundaries continue to blur between ethnic and occupational groups and if the economic crisis persists, the large class-based groups are increasingly likely to challenge the capitalist system nationally and the issues are likely to be revolutionary not reformist. This speculative essay can serve as a set of predictions

about the future but the reader must note that in other Western European countries where class lines have been more sharply drawn, the consequences have not been more revolutionary in the Marxist sense and this may largely be due to the similar responses given to demands by authorities.

Lipsky, Michael, "Protest as a Political Resource," The American Political Science Review, 62, December 1968, 1144-1158.

Approach: Theoretical/Descriptive
Methodology: Conceptual

The author develops a conceptual scheme for analyzing protest activity, focusing particularly on the strains that protest leaders undergo in appealing to four separate constituencies. They must: 1) "nurture and sustain an organization comprised of people with whom they may or may not share common values"; 2) "articulate goals and choose strategies so as to maximize their public exposure through communications media"; 3) "maximize the impact of third parties in the political conflict"; and 4) "try to maximize chances of success among those capable of granting goals." His concept hinges on the assumption that a protest group by definition lacks conventional political resources. In other words, it is powerless. Hence its only hope is to influence the group in power, the government, indirectly through a third party or "reference public." This latter can take in all voters or certain groups promoting political reform or civic welfare, but it must be both sensitive to the plight of the protest group (or capable of becoming so under pressure) and respected by the group in power.

Not surprisingly, this circuitous route to power is hazardous. One major problem, according to the author, is that if the group in power defers to a third party, not the protest group, it will grant concessions to the third party that do little to improve the plight of the protest group. As the author puts it, the group in power may dispense symbolic rather than material rewards. The problem for protest leaders is that if they deride such sham concessions they risk alienating the third party altogether. Conflict also occurs because protest leaders must often resort to militant rhetoric so that the media will present their grievances to the reference public. But such militancy gives the group in power an excuse to bar protest leaders from policy-making councils. As the author points out, "People in power do not like to sit down with rogues." Or, it can alienate the more moderate in the group and at the same time inadvertently encourage the radical fringe.

The author concludes that protest by relatively powerless groups is an uncertain and probably futile way to achieve long-term, concrete gains. It is too difficult to conjure political bargaining power out of a diverse and shifting amalgam of interest groups. Following radical organizer Saul Alinsky, he suggests that for such groups "long-run success will depend upon the acquisition of stable political resources which do not rely for their use on third parties.

Comment:

This article is an interesting, well-written, and generally useful statement of the "group dynamics" of protest. Piven and Cloward, of course, would take exception to the conclusion that organization-building is the best way to wrest concessions from authority.

Lopreato, Joseph, "Authority Relations and Class Conflict," Social Forces, 47, 1, 1968, 70-79.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

In this assessment of Ralf Dahrendorf's theory that class conflict is produced by differences in the relationship to authority, the author uses a national survey of male heads of families conducted in Italy in 1963 to test the following hypotheses: 1) members of the "command class" (i.e., proprietors, managers, and officials) will be more likely than the "obey class" (i.e., skilled, semi-skilled, and unskilled workers) to accept as legitimate the existing authority structure in their organizations and to acquiesce in its control system; 2) to the extent that nonacquiescence and a perception of illegitimacy are present within the "command class" they will not reflect class differences within that class.

The original sample of 1,569 respondents yielded a reduced sample of individuals who can be classified according to Dahrendorf's criteria, consisting of 146 members of the command class and 520 members of the obey class. Respondents' perception of whether the nature of authority was legitimate or illegitimate was indicated by statements such as that work superiors "did their duty" or "took advantage of their position." Attitudes of acquiescence were measured by whether respondents reported that they themselves "obeyed or had patience" as opposed to "finding it hard to obey or getting mad."

The first hypothesis was partially confirmed in that the command class was significantly more likely than the obey class to regard existing authority relations as legitimate, but the command class was considerably less acquiescent than the obey class. Differences were noted within the command class, both in the perception of legitimacy and attitudes of acquiescence. The upper echelon, consisting of proprietors and managers, was more likely to regard the authority structure as legitimate and more acquiescent than the officials making up the lower echelon. In fact the lower echelon accounted for the lower acquiescence of the command class, compared with the obey class.

In testing the second hypothesis, it was important to determine whether the refusals to accept legitimacy and to acquiesce among members of the command class were a function of differences in the authority their positions gave them rather than arising simply from "stresses and tensions." Finding that those in the lower echelon of the command class were most likely to consider themselves members of the obey class, whereas upper echelon respondents were most likely to consider themselves in the command class, Lopreato concluded that "the discord and rebelliousness that have so far emerged within the dominant class represent real class cleavages within that class."

Dahrendorf's theory is thus held to be inadequate either for delineating the two classes involved in conflict or for explaining why internal conflict within the command class is often more pronounced than conflict between the two classes. In trying to account for this conflict within the command class, Lopreato argues that dissatisfaction with existing authority relationships is likely to be more intense when persons have a little authority than where they have none at all. Such persons, he explains, have to deal frequently and continuously with one another but have too low a standing in the authority structure to control the conflicts such a situation entails.

Comments:

Although this article is particularly useful for understanding conflict within an organization, it is relevant to the study of collective action and disorders on a wider scale because it argues that power conflicts will be more intense among those who already have some power and authority than among those without any at all. Although there are some serious problems in the operationalization of the concepts of "legitimacy" and "acquiescence" which limit their applicability, the analysis is interesting and provocative.

Manning, R.O., "A Critical Analysis of Contemporary Collective Behavior Theory," Sociological Focus, 4, 4, 1971, 99-106.

Approach: Theoretical
Methodology: Critical Review

The author of this critical analysis of Smelser's theory of collective behavior favors his attempt to create an economic collective behavior by using illustrations from financial panics and his efforts to broaden the field, but considers Smelser's use of the value-added scheme to be unfortunate. Manning argues that the extreme breadth and imprecision which characterize the five "necessary conditions" or "determinants" make it impossible to disprove the theory, which must therefore be considered unscientific. In particular, "the lack of specificity of the 'necessary conditions,' together with the disclaimer that they need not necessarily occur in the suggested order, insure that nothing has been contended and that nothing can be questioned. In any case, if the 'determinants' are sufficiently nebulous, almost any sequence of actual events can later be associated with them."

Comments:

Manning's review suggests why most of the empirical work based on Smelser's theory uses the framework to impose some order on explanations of past events rather than as a basis for prediction.

Marx, Gary, "Issueless Riots," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, September 1970, 21-33.

Approach: Descriptive/Comparative
Methodology: Critical Analysis

By identifying not only sources of strain which can lead to riots but also "generalized beliefs" which give a riot shape and political significance, modern sociology has produced a healthy corrective to the work of pioneering theorists like LeBon, who emphasized the atavistic and irrational character of mob behavior. Yet this author argues that because of the current fashion investigators may automatically ascribe political significance to rioting where protest, ideology, and grievance are in fact relatively insignificant. In particular, Marx argues, the term "generalized belief" -- which Neil Smelser believes to be the crucial defining characteristic of collective behavior -- is not necessarily applicable to all instances of noninstitutionalized, hostile, collective action.

In order to distinguish different kinds of riots the author proposes a typology along two lines:

1. To what degree is a generalized belief present?
2. To what degree are riotous actions themselves instrumental in collectively solving a group's problems?"

The two are combined and illustrated in the following typology:

		GENERALIZED BELIEF PRESENT	
		<u>Yes</u> I	<u>No</u> III
COLLECTIVELY INSTRUMENTAL	<u>Yes</u>	Bread riots Luddites Prison riots	Riots misinterpreted by authorities
		II	IV
	<u>No</u>	Pogroms Communal Riots	Riots during police strikes Riots in victory

After briefly discussing the typology, the author offers short descriptions of several riots of the fourth type -- those during police strikes in Liverpool and Boston; and rioting in celebration of athletic victories, successful elections and the end of World War II -- which illustrate the absence of specific grievance, protest, or ideology. He concludes that acknowledgment of variation in types of rioting will advance the general understanding of collective behavior.

Comment:

While the author satisfactorily describes type I, II, and IV riots, he nowhere indicates what he means by a type III riot. Apart from this lack, the article is a useful exercise in the good old Aristotelian tradition.

Marx, Gary T., "External Efforts to Damage or Facilitate Social Movements: Some Patterns, Explanations, Outcomes and Complications," In The Dynamics of Social Movements, Mayer N. Zald and John D. McCarthy (Eds), Cambridge: Winthrop Publishers, 1979, pp. 94-125.

Approach: Theoretical

In this review of government actions intended to facilitate or damage social movements in the United States during the last two decades, Marx found that (1) movements on the Left received the most attention, followed by those on the Right, with relatively little government action directed to organizations and groups in the center; (2) efforts to damage social movements were far more common than efforts to facilitate them; and (3) the tendency for government intervention in social movements has expanded over the last forty years.

The major governmental actions designed to damage movements included: creating an unfavorable public image (e.g., passing on negative information to the media, provoking the movement to illegal actions or carrying out such actions and attributing them to the movement, blocking the publication of favorable materials); information gathering (e.g., infiltration, wiretaps, physical surveillance, mail openings); inhibiting the supply of resources and facilities (e.g., revoking tax-exempt status, denial of government funding, getting activists fired from jobs); derecruitment (e.g., contact persons close to activists and encourage them to dissuade and threaten them, directly appealing to activists and giving them damaging information about others, deprogramming); destroying leaders (e.g., legal sanctions, tax difficulties, cooptation, efforts to displace them); create internal conflict (e.g., encourage schisms based on disagreements, accuse key activists of being informants); encourage external conflict (e.g., prevent coalitions and cooperative actions, create alternative social movement organizations to compete); and sabotaging particular actions (e.g., use of tactics of misinformation to tell participants that events were cancelled, restrictive parade routes, permit denials, encourage counter-demonstrators).

Although the major governmental actions were designed to inhibit social movements, certain movements have been aided, including anti-Communists, the Klan, and the labor, civil rights and women's movements. The predominant forms of support were immunity and information. Sometimes the government helps a movement (or segments of it) not for purposes of helping it obtain its goals but as a way of exercising partial control over it (e.g., the moderate Klan organizations created by the FBI).

Five types of explanations for the general expansion of government intervention, particularly at the federal level, in social movement affairs were advanced. First, the reactive crisis-response model argues that social control efforts expanded because the threats or perception of threats from their activities have increased. Second, the pro-active anticipation-response model argues that the government actions are based on an anticipated crisis and the emphasis is put on offensive action. Third, the bureaucratic and individual aggrandizement model argues that social programs may take on a life of their own as vested interests develop around them and they may become vehicles for career advancement and organizational perpetuation and

growth. Fourth, the resource expansion-temptation model argues that with the development of more sophisticated technology for data gathering, storing, retrieving and analyzing as well as a larger pool of personnel skilled in covert operations, the temptation as well as the resources are available for major expansions in social control operations. Finally, the "society-needs-devils" model argues that government attention to dissident groups may be seen as a way of integrating a loosely organized society by serving as a reminder to others to stay in line. It may also take mass attention away from more basic sources of grievance.

Marx also argues that the efforts of government agencies may result in some unintended outcomes and that there are six factors that increase the likelihood of these unplanned outcomes: the secrecy involved; the frequent illegality of the actions; ineffective intervention techniques; the need for government agents (e.g., infiltrators) to establish credibility through seemingly loyal actions; and the reactive processes that occur when a movement comes under attack by social control agents (e.g., neutral groups may provide resources or attempt to disrupt the activities of government agents).

Underscoring the problem of determining the effect of efforts at social control or facilitation on a given outcome, the author looked at the decline of the student and anti-war movements and asked:

"Is the splintering of a sectarian group into two rival groups more a function of the efforts of authorities to create internal conflict, or of the seemingly endemic tendency of such groups to factionalism, even where no social control efforts are present? Is a high degree of turnover, sporadic participation, and ebbs and flows in mass participation more a function of authorities' ability to damage morale and create an unfavorable public image and the myth of surveillance and repression, or of the general problems involved in sustaining a mass movement, where many of the rewards for participation may be minimal and some may be available (if the movement succeeds) to nonparticipants as well?"

Comments:

Marx's article draws attention to the impact of government practices on the dynamics and processes of social movements--an area of inquiry that has involved much speculation but little empirical work.

McPhail, Clark and David Miller, "The Assembling Process: A Theoretical and Empirical Examination," American Sociological Review, 38, 6, 1973, 721-35.

Approach: Theoretical; Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Abstract:

This paper sets out primarily neither to define nor to explain collective action, but asks how people come together when they do, since previous literature suggests that much collective behavior requires large assemblies of people. Four dominant theoretical types of orientation (contagion, convergence, value-added, and emergent norm) were reviewed. The authors conclude that all of them gave inordinate weight to the individual predispositions of people and that communication processes and ecological factors have been underplayed and underdeveloped. They advance and test a different interpretation of the assembling process, which focuses on the sources and processes by which people are notified of and directed to their location.

McPhail and Miller use the following variables to examine the assembling process by which people "move from disparate points in space at time one to a common location at time two:"

1. Variations in sources of instructions. For example, short-range notice is available to people near the location; long-range notice, which takes from several hours to several days, is necessary for those farther away. Non-verbal notice occurs when people see others converging. The authors suggest that the assembling instructions people receive affect their subsequent behavior.
2. Variation in location access. In the authors' view, the closer people are to an event the more likely they are to decide for themselves whether to move toward or away from it, whereas people who are distant are more dependent on others for instruction. Moreover, assemblage is a simple function of the number and geographical range of people having physical access to events such as those occurring on college campuses and in ghettos.
3. Variation in prior and ongoing behaviors. When people have been labeled in certain ways -- as a black militant, let us say, or a sports fan -- because of their prior or current behavior, and when the motive for assembling is relevant to these labels, the authors believe such people will be more likely to receive instructions from others and to instruct themselves to assemble at the scene of an event.
4. Variation in availability. Persons subject to fewer competing demands are considered to be more available for assembling at odd hours, such as evenings and weekends.

5. Space-time lag, sequence and frequency of instructions. The greater the distance and the longer the time between notice of a pending event and its actual occurrence, the more likely it is that people will be deflected. Thus, unless the assembling instructions are repeated, people will not go on to the scene of the event.

To study the assembling process, a questionnaire was administered in March 1968 to 531 students at a state university. The questionnaire asked whether students had attended an assembly that took place three weeks earlier. This was a gathering at the local airport which was planned as a welcome home for the victorious basketball team, but which subsequently turned violent. Two and a half hours before the arrival of the team, the radio station broadcasting the game had announced the time and place of the celebration, and a crowd of 3,000 to 5,000 people had responded.

The following indices were used to measure the major variables in this study:

1. Assembling instructions index: whether the respondents had been requested, invited or directed to go to the airport during five time periods, and the sources of the message.
2. Social density index: where respondents were and how many others were with them at two different times.
3. Transportation access: whether respondents had access to private transportation.
4. Prior behavior indices: respondents were asked about three types of behavior, whether they had attended earlier home basketball games, whether they had made plans to listen to the broadcast of the game in question, and whether they had actually listened to the game.
5. Index of relative availability: whether respondents had jobs, family obligations, or school work that competed with the assemblage that night and the following morning.
6. Space-time proximity to the assembly location: since most respondents were some distance from the airport and heard announcements from the media of the event at least one hour before it took place, space-time proximity was treated as a constant.
7. Sex and year in school.
8. Admitted presence at the assemblage.

The multiple correlation between all variables and presence at the assembly was .67 ($R^2 = .45$). "Assembling instruction (AI) was by far the most important predictor of presence at the assembly ($r=.59$) and remained high ($r=.49$) after controlling individually for the other

variables. Transportation access and attendance at prior games were next in importance, with zero order correlations of .44 and .42 respectively, both dropping to .23 after controlling for AI. The social density index had a .31 correlation with presence at the assembly, but dropped to .09 after controlling for AI. Relative availability was not highly correlated with presence at the assembly ($r=.20$), but it remained constant after controlling for AI. Sex was not considered a good predictor of assemblage ($r=.26$).

In showing a strong relation between the receipt of instructions which direct people to a specific location at a given time and their gathering at that location, the authors consider their alternative theory of the assembling process to be supported. They do note, however, that the theory may only be relevant to events which are not routine, which disclose a comparatively long interval between the announcement and the time of the gathering, and which demand access to transportation because of the distances involved. Further, they admit that this study ignored the interruptions and deflections in the assembling process, and the impact of the "directional content" of assembling instructions.

Comments:

This article is particularly interesting because it draws attention to the influence of such ecological factors as distance from events and density of population, and to the role of various channels of communication impelling people to participate in collective events. Yet we cannot really conclude from the study that theories based on individual predispositions are invalidated, since they were not tested at all in this research. One would hope that further research would effectively combine the range of attitudinal, ecological, and background factors that must be considered in a thorough examination of the assembling process.

Molotch, Harvey, "Media and Movements," In The Dynamics of Social Movements, Mayer N. Zald and John D. McCarthy (Eds), Cambridge: Winthrop Publishers, 1979, pp. 71-93.

Approach: Theoretical

The basic premise for this chapter is that the media and social movements need one another but function in a context with a high potential for tension. Media coverage provides a means for organizational goal attainment but also gives participants a sense of dignity and effectiveness--regardless of the type of coverage received. Social movements can provide "interesting" copy, can fill the daily news hole, and can help to see attentive audiences to advertisers.

In order to have media coverage be useful to movements they must shape their behavior so that the behavior is actually reported and at the same time portrayed in such a way as to be effective in boosting morale and gaining strength. Because of the fact that the mass media are "under the control of the ruling class" their coverage of the movement has opposite goals--"the media wins if the status quo is enhanced as a result of the media coverage." The media become preoccupied with "world view" of dissidents in which they are seen as incompetent, irrational, or dangerous, and where coverage is limited to the personal pathologies of movement participants, rather than the issues important to the activists themselves.

Movements can respond to this world view by 1) providing behaviors that generate media curiosity such as confrontation politics, or 2) capitalize on the presumed insanity of the movement by behaving absurdly (e.g., the Yippies). Debates within movements about the net benefits of these strategies can often be extremely bitter but the outcome of these debates affect the success of the movement, shape its leadership, and determine its processes.

In a rambling discussion of the strategies of the media and conditions under which they do cover dissident groups, the author makes some interesting points. He suggests that favorable coverage will be given to movements by publications who have a fusion of interests between advertisers and readers committed to these movements or to particular segments of them. Moreover, certain movements may be particularly useful to media interests and these will also receive favorable stories. Often in order to maintain legitimacy, the media will have to cover events which had eye witnesses who would think something was amiss if there was no coverage. Finally, he argues that reporters may be able to cover stories that editors and publishers would prefer to ignore. "Not being as sensitive to the news needs of the ruling class are the members of that class themselves, they may at times make coverage decisions at variance with those that would be made by higher-ups." However, when coverage of movements is viewed as a real threat to the status quo, the media--as a conscious, deliberate policy--will pull out, using as a rationalization, "journalistic responsibility."

Comments:

This Marxian perspective on the relationship between the media and social movements has, as the author notes, "pushed a certain perspective quite hard and has been, at times, quite speculative." His insights, however, gleaned from his own experiences as an activist and a researcher, are important and often valuable.

Morrison, Denton E., "Some Notes Toward Theory on Relative Deprivation, Social Movements and Social Change," American Behavioral Scientist, 4, 5, 1971, 675-90.

Approach: Theoretical

In identifying shortcomings in the relative deprivation theory and offering further ideas about its application to social movements, Morrison builds on his previous research on the National Farmers' Organization. He stresses the ways that relative deprivation leads to certain beliefs about social structure, and the structural conditions that foster these beliefs.

"Relative deprivation" refers to discontent arising when comparisons with other groups intensify the difference between what people want and what they have. This feeling develops when people have legitimate expectations that they know will not be fulfilled. But it arises only from sudden perception of blocked opportunities since the expectations depend on some hope of fulfillment. Certain structural conditions are believed to lead to relative deprivation because they produce rapid social changes that 1) increase upward social mobility so fast that hopes rise more quickly than opportunities occur ("aspirational deprivation"); 2) lessen the opportunity to realize longstanding expectations ("decremental deprivation"); or 3) cause aspirational deprivation followed closely by decremental deprivation ("progressive deprivation").

This gap between expectations and opportunities leads people to find their own solutions. The author lists four avenues such individuals may take: 1) to blame it on their own lack of talent, luck, resources, motivations, and so on, the solution being to change their expectations; 2) to believe that their expectations will be fulfilled with a little patience, in other words, to change their beliefs about blocked opportunities; 3) to change their situation so that opportunities will correspond with expectations; or 4) to see themselves as part of a group hampered by some larger social structure and to organize group action to change the structural obstacles.

This fourth mode of resolving feelings of relative deprivation is what leads to social movements. Morrison outlines the main conditions under which beliefs in structural blockage and structural solution are most likely to emerge and spread when perceptions of relative deprivation are strong: 1) a large population undergoing relative deprivation; 2) close interaction, communication, and proximity among this population; 3) corresponding roles, status, race, or sex among the group; 4) stratification with clear boundaries and visible differences in power at each level; and 5) much voluntary association between members of the society.

Finally, since the structural conditions and feelings of relative deprivation that first give rise to the movement may not be enough to maintain and strengthen it, one must also examine goal-displacement, oligarchy, and coercive tendencies, including violence, in social movements.

Comments:

Many of the measurements of relative deprivation in recent research have been far from adequate. Morrison's clear definition and detailed examination of relative deprivation may increase our understanding of this phenomenon and facilitate more accurate measurements.

In his contentions about the main structural conditions that link feelings of relative deprivation to participation in social movements, Morrison's arguments are the most speculative and they are probably incomplete. For example, he considers a stratification system where boundaries are clear and power differences visible to be one of the structural conditions that help to foster and spread beliefs in structural blockage and structural solutions among those suffering relative deprivation. Since he has restricted his analysis to power movements in fairly open, democratic societies that stress individual mobility, however, the meaning of this condition is not clear. Furthermore, others have argued that a stratification system with clear boundaries has deterred social movements in traditional societies because people then tended to accept the social order as legitimate.

Nieburg, H.L., "Violence, Law, and the Social Process," American Behavioral Scientist, March-April 1968, 17-19.

Approach: Theoretical

"The function of the police power of the state," writes Nieburg, "is to maintain a threshold of force to deter and/or contain the everpresent margin of anti-social acts by individuals and groups." When so exercised, that power is legitimate. However, contemporary urban riots in America manifest a disturbing pattern: police action tends to exacerbate rather than to suppress anti-social violence, touching off a vicious cycle of escalation. The author discusses the resulting situation in terms very similar to those employed by Charles Tilly in From Mobilization to Revolution. Violence is associated with a challenger's effort to penetrate the polity, become a member, and claim a share in the control of the government. The government resists the claim because to accede would 1) involve reallocation of resources under government control, and 2) change the rules of membership so as to allow further challengers entry to the polity. Repressive agents of the state (police) therefore act to put down the challenger. But the challenging group (urban blacks) has little or nothing to lose, so it escalates the level of violence to a point at which the cost of repressing the challenger becomes unacceptable to the government.

Nieburg goes on to elaborate just what an "unacceptable cost" would mean. He distinguished between the legality and the legitimacy of authority. Legality refers to the formally constituted system of law and order, which is useful in preserving the status quo but becomes a hindrance when processes of change are afoot. Legitimacy, on the other hand, refers to the vital popular consensus which supports authority; it can be denied for a while but will ultimately reassert itself. When legality is upheld at the cost of legitimacy, the position of the government is weakened:

"A state system whose central and primary values become the negative and costly ones of internal security and repression is soon riddled by subversions, interventions, assassinations, and extremist fits and seizures of all sorts."

Nieburg concludes that all state systems (presumably the American system in particular) must integrate into the power structure these groups which successfully organize as challengers (presumably insurgent blacks in particular).

Comments:

This article is both sophisticated and eloquently written. Unfortunately there is an air of unreality about it. It is all too easy to contradict the author's assertion that "the notion of a totalitarian regime able to ride roughshod over practically all its citizens, enforcing from above dogmatic ideological norms and/or preventing political change from below, is a myth." There are too many living examples of that myth.

Oberschall, Anthony, "Group Violence: Some Hypotheses and Empirical Uniformities," Law and Society Review, 5, 1, August 1970, 61-92.

Approach: Theoretical

In this essay specific testable hypotheses and empirical uniformities of group violence are presented in order to move toward answers to the question: Under what conditions will conflict tend to be violent rather than nonviolent, and what accounts for the magnitude of violence. Violence is one means used to pursue conflict and it simply means using physical coercion for personal and group ends.

While some theorists link both an increase in conflict and the likelihood of violence to rapid social change which produces dislocations, grievances and strain, others emphasize the importance of intervening variables in this relationship such as the amount and type of social control. According to these theorists if the authorities are unresponsive, block channels of communication, do not provide the opportunity for peaceful protest, refuse to make concessions, and so on, the likelihood of violent conflict increases. While conflict frequency might be accounted for by strain and grievances, the forms and magnitude the conflict takes is likely to be better explained by the interaction between authorities and protestors. Factors such as the degree of consistency, leniency, and repression exercised by authorities are linked to the magnitude of violence.

A series of hypotheses are then presented, based on a review of the literature and research in this area:

1. Protestors use violent means only after they have attempted other nonviolent means of seeking redress for their grievances.
 - a. The protest group waits for some time for reforms and only after these reforms have proved ineffective do they resort to violent means of protest.
 - b. Violent actions are initiated by protest groups when authorities break promises or agreements to reform and thus provide little or no opportunity for nonviolent means of effecting change.
2. In violent confrontations the authorities' actions produce the bulk, or at least more than half of the casualties.
 - a. Violence is more often initiated by the authorities and their agents than by the protestors, as when they break up peaceful demonstrations or attack marchers.
 - b. In confrontations between two hostile groups, the casualties tend to be higher when the authorities either openly side with one group against another, or don't intervene at all and legitimize the actions of the aggressor.
 - c. Casualties will be greater if social control agents know they will not be held accountable for them.

- d. If the protestors belong to a stigmatized group who have not enjoyed full citizenship rights and have traditionally been violently repressed, casualties will tend to be high.
 - e. There will be less violence if a third impartial party intervenes as a buffer or mediator in the conflict.
3. When a majority group attacks a numerical minority, casualties will be especially high if the minority group lives in small clusters dispersed among the hostile majority rather than in compact settlements.
 4. Casualties tend to be higher if there is a weapons disparity between the two sides.
 - a. If there is no weapons disparity, the possession of firearms by both sides is not itself productive of higher casualties.
 - b. Violent clashes in rural areas between two hostile groups will be more productive of casualties than clashes in cities, because a larger number of participants have access to weapons in rural areas.
 5. The number of grievances, the magnitude of strain, the magnitude of the frustrations experienced by the protestors, while making conflict more likely and increasing the intensity of the conflict, has no relationship to the probability of violence and the magnitude of the casualties.
 6. Conflict is likely to involve more people, last longer and have a higher intensity if the opposing groups are separated by a number of divisions (ethnic, religious, class, etc.) but these reinforcing social cleavages have no relationship to the likelihood and magnitude of violence.
 7. The ideological level of the demands bears no systematic relationship to the likelihood and magnitude of violence.
 8. The lower the level of organization of both conflict groups, the higher the likelihood and magnitude of violence.
 - a. If the protest group is split into rival camps and factions with competing leaders, the likelihood of violence is greater than if it is internally united.
 9. If the authorities recognize the right to protest and are willing to negotiate, the likelihood and magnitude of violence tends to be lower than if recognition is not granted or is being withdrawn.
 - a. Even after violence has already broken out, it is likely to diminish when recognition is granted, but it is likely to continue if it is not granted.
 10. If modern protest movements go unrecognized and are not crushed by the authorities, they will tend to become highly organized and more ideological and the likelihood of protracted violent conflict will be high.

Oberschall then goes on to test some of these hypotheses using strikes which occurred between 1870 and 1930 in the coal, railroad, iron and steel, mining, and textile industries. Using data from the index of Commons, strikes were rated on intensity of violence (none, property damage but no fatalities, or one or more fatalities) and degree of recognition (issues of wages etc., "union weakening" issues such as harassment or busting, or demands for collective bargaining or the right to organize). Hypothesis 9 was supported in this analysis. When the patterns of violence in strikes were examined separately for each industry, other interesting patterns were found. The low incidence of violence in the railroad industry was attributed to the recognition granted by management of the brotherhoods and the fact that they tended to accept outside mediation in railroad disputes by governmental bodies (Hypotheses 9 and 2e). In coal mining strikes were especially numerous and violent and this was explained by the lack of recognition given to the United Mine Workers as well as the fact that employers tended to import strikebreakers and armed guards and harass strikers. Also, local authorities tended to side with the authorities, had low accountability, and the strikes took place in remote mountain districts by powerless ethnic immigrant work forces (Hypotheses 9 2a,b,c,d).

Comments:

This is an extremely interesting and provocative article not only because of its relevance to modern collective violence but also because it clearly and simply synthesizes a large amount of descriptive historical material.

Oberschall, Anthony, "Protracted Conflict," In The Dynamics of Social Movements, Mayer N. Zald and John D. McCarthy (Eds.), Cambridge: Winthrop Publishers, 1979, pp. 45-70.

Approach: Theoretical

In this essay, the dynamic aspects of group conflict--its course, duration, intensity, and outcome--are viewed as the result of a sequence of choices made by the contesting parties: choices about means of confrontation, conciliation, escalation, repression, and withdrawal.

The means of confrontation are dichotomized into nonconventional means (e.d., marches and demonstrations, picketing, strikes, boycotts, civil disobedience, civil disorders, riots, terrorist acts, kidnappings, sabotage, and guerrilla warfare) and conventional means (i.e., persuasion, influence, bargaining in negotiations, and routine political transactions). Oberschall argues that a "negatively privileged challenger" in facing a "positively privileged target" will resort partly to nonconventional means because the challenger has no resources to offer the target. These nonconventional strategies necessitate a response from the authorities which then creates new or derivative issues, such as did the police use of unnecessary force. The response of the target, whether it is conciliation, repression, or escalation of the conflict, will affect the actions and survival of the challenger group.

Conciliation refers to the "recognition of and negotiation with representatives of the opposition in order to reach an agreement that will lead to a cessation of nonconventional conflict." If challengers are not organized with a leadership capable of enforcing discipline among followers, negotiations will not be attractive to the target. Moreover, conditions favorable to conciliation decrease as the duration of coercive conflict increases for a variety of reasons outlined by the author. "Conciliation in destructive conflict will in many cases be undertaken only as a result of third-party intervention based on superior force.

The benefits and costs of various means of confrontation are then examined for both antagonists in a conflict--the challenger and the target. Despite the fact that the target usually has enormous resources compared to the challenger, they also face legal, political, and constitutional constraints in the exercise of social control. Three types of social control are defined: 1) confrontation control which refers to means used by social control agents during confrontations such as crowd control and riot control, 2) preventive control which refers to such things as regulations governing meetings and marches, laws dealing with possession and use of weapons, censorship, preventive detention, etc., 3) judicial control which refers to deterrent effects on future conflicts such as prosecution of law violators and the imposition of penalties. Oberschall maintains that the target will have an incentive to be conciliatory if it is restrained from social control that would make confrontation costly to the challenger, and if repression is counterproductive because it creates sympathy and support for the challenger.

The challenger is constrained in his choice of the means of conflict by his size, dependence on outside sources of support, and by the degree to which he is an organized entity. Oberschall hypothesizes that there is a

curvilinear relationship between size and the probability of a conciliatory response to the challenge by the target. The larger the size of the challenging group, the more collective action it will undertake, and the greater the incentive of the target to conciliate because the social control costs will be high. However, if the challenging group is too large, it may result in a repressive response from the target because the costs of providing a collective good to the entire group will be higher and because the group will appear so threatening as to invite an attempt at ultimate suppression. In addition, he suggests that the incentive for conciliation on both sides is much diminished when outsiders share in the costs of confrontation. "Maintaining pressure by nonconventional confrontation on even a fairly conciliatory opponent in order to draw a more repressive response will be a rational tactic for a weak challenger counting on increased outside support." Finally, unorganized or loosely structured movements face a different set of problems than highly organized groups. Because the first individuals to attempt collective action run high personal risks, because there are free rider tendencies, and because the length of time involved before gains are realized is substantial, organized challenges by these movements are unlikely. If the collective action is of short duration, the costs for individual participants will be less. Moreover, if there are a large number of participants, the costs for individual participants will be less and the probability of success will be greater.

Using the 1960s Black riots as a case example, the author argued that the rioting occurred precisely where and when the conditions for strategic interaction (i.e., where individuals could assess the likelihood of others participating) were present and would lead to massive participation and low costs for the individuals involved: large size of black population; ease of informal communication in black neighborhoods in the warm months; and weekends when there was less chance of lost wages. The growth of a riot ideology helped explain the increase in frequency and severity over the years. He argues that the riot wave came to an abrupt end in 1969 because the costs of the rioting outweighed the expected benefits once the political leadership was no longer responsive to this form of confrontation. Unilateral concessions made by the authorities satisfied and demobilized a majority of the participants, leaving a small group of full-time activists who turned to more violent and anonymous means of conflict. And eventually this small group would abandon the challenge or would be tracked down by the authorities, or more likely, both.

Comments:

Using rational choice and resource mobilization theory, an interesting and often provocative set of hypotheses were developed as part of an emerging theory of conflict dynamics. The author concedes that much more theoretical work in this vein remains as well as empirical tests of deductions and generalizations, and he makes some suggestions for the most promising avenues.

Olson, Mancur, Jr., The Logic of Collective Action: Public Goods and the Theory of Groups (revised edition), New York: Schlocken Books, 1971.

Approach: Theoretical

This book argues that public goods, unlike private goods, pose a problem in political behavior. A public good is defined as a good that is not exclusive; that is, once a public good has been purchased it is available to the purchaser as well as to others. Consumption of a private good is at the purchaser's discretion. The provision of public goods by means of voluntary contributions incurs problems for a group sharing common interests because some can at least temporarily enjoy a free ride, that is, benefit from a public good without contributing to its purchase. Individuals who put their own interests first are likely to elect a free ride rather than to act jointly with others to further the group's common interests.

The problem of free riders is not particularly serious for small groups.

(In) some small groups each of the members, or at least one of them, will find that his personal gain from having the collective good exceeds the total cost of providing some amount of that collective good; there are members who would be better off if the collective good were provided, even if they had to pay the entire cost of providing it themselves, than they would be if it were not provided....Thus, in a very small group, where each member gets a substantial portion of the total gain because there are few others in the group, a collective good can often be provided by the voluntary self-interested action of members of the group (pp. 33-34).

Olson contends that large, unorganized or "latent" groups ordinarily will not act to promote common interests when such behavior conflicts with action in the immediate interest of each individual. "(The very large group) is distinguished by the fact that, if one member does or does not help provide the collective good, no other member will be significantly affected and therefore none has any reason to react. Thus an individual in a 'latent' group, by definition, cannot make a noticeable contribution to any group effort, and since no one in the group will react if he makes no contribution, he has no incentive to contribute" (p. 50). The "logic of collective action" leads to a halt in the voluntary provision of public goods.

Olson argues that any large group with common interests must use some strategy to solve the dilemma of its public goods. One is to provide, in addition to public goods, one or more private goods.

Professional groups use this device, offering some selective individual benefits which are not available elsewhere. An individual's support for the lobbying efforts of these organizations then becomes a by-product of his receiving a particular benefit (see pp. 132-67). Another solution is a mechanism such as the "closed-union shop" that allows members of a group to coerce each other into paying for public goods (pp. 66-97).

This book asserts that "group theories" of political behavior which claim that "groups will act when necessary to further their common or group goals" (p. 1) are faulty because they neglect the problem of free riders. These theories assume not only that participation in voluntary associations is virtually universal but that small groups and large organizations tend to attract members for the same reasons (p. 20).

In the appended materials Olson suggests that demands for a government or organization to provide public goods can increase divisiveness and make disorder more likely. Although each person can consume private goods according to particular tastes or preferences, everyone receives about the same amount and type of public goods where they are provided (p. 172). Consequently one suspects that many of those provided with such public goods as roads, schools, or means of national defense will be either less than satisfied with their quantity or quality or feel that these are excessive.

Comments:

The author uses a "strong free-rider" hypothesis which predicts that under ideal-typical conditions, no group member should contribute voluntarily to the provision of a public good. Individuals are faced with a paradox similar to the "prisoner's dilemma," in which -- no matter how other members behave -- they are best off not contributing. Of course if everyone behaves this way individuals are worse off than if everyone contributed.

According to Samuelson's weaker version of this hypothesis, under ideal-typical conditions, provision of a public good will be less than optimal. Even if some of a good is supplied by those particularly interested in making it available, other members will have a free-ride. The group will thus get less of a good than if a single member made an economic decision on the optimal amount each would provide.

Olson, in fact, seems somewhat uncomfortable with a broad application of the "strong free-rider" hypothesis. His footnotes (pp. 6, 160) suggest that contributions to religious and philanthropic organizations differ from voluntary contributions to other instrumental organizations in that the motives go beyond self-interest.

Pepitone, Albert, "The Social Psychology of Violence," International Journal of Group Tensions, 2, 2, 1972, 19-32.

Approach: Theoretical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

Abstract:

For a deep understanding of collective violence, one must identify the social psychological processes that are involved and see how they are related. The author considers the present theoretical orientation of social psychology -- the theory of frustration-aggression -- to be too simplistic, excluding many kinds of destructive acts and disregarding the multiple causal processes.

Social psychologists must understand how people perceive and define violence, for this will affect their analysis of the phenomenon. The concept of violence has been so politicized that its very definition is affected by political values -- for example, some persons view government decisions as acts of violence. Political attitudes can lead directly to violent behavior: violence is often used deliberately by political groups to provoke counterviolence. The political conceptions of violence held by social scientists studying these events will affect both their selection and their analysis and interpretation of data. The political interests of the investigators should therefore be stated. With the politicization of violence, the concept itself has also become vague and general, to include wars, homicides, evictions of poor families, and barroom brawls. Though these events may have common effects, they should not be grouped into a single category; in most sciences the decisive criterion is whether events have a common cause. Although the author does not define collective violence in the paper, he refers to "urban disorders," juvenile gang wars, police riots, campus battles, etc.

In applying the frustration-aggression theory, social psychologists have focused almost entirely on individual aggression and have ignored aggression that was not a response to injury. In particular, they ignore instrumental aggression that is a deliberate political tactic to provoke police brutality or justify violent intervention by the police, explosions of anger and rage, or violence carried out as part of role requirements and constraints. Moreover, frustration is not the only condition causing collective violence.

Going beyond the frustration-aggression theory, Pepitone discusses other processes making up the background of violence: discrepancies between aspirations and achievement, especially under circumstances of rising expectations, increasing deprivation of the group compared to other groups or to conditions in the past, instances of perceived injustice and states of being without norms, power or identity. The discontent of individuals becomes translated into mass violence against people and things by a sequence of attribution processes, involving face-to-face interaction and communication in which individuals

begin to share their discontent. An "enemy" becomes defined; the diffused discontent then becomes focused anger which triggers violent tendencies. Whether or not these tendencies actually erupt in violence depends on how the given situation develops.

The situations in which violence explodes have features in common, including these: (1) a collection of oppressed actors; (2) the presence of actual or symbolic enemies; (3) issues of conflict which are at a critical stage; and (4) some decisive event like an edict or a show of force. The outbreak of violence would then depend upon the anger that the situation -- especially the triggering event -- aroused, how greatly ordinary restraints against the expression of violence were broken down, and each party's estimates of its power and of the amount of resistance it will encounter. Studies on "disinhibition" are cited, suggesting that the anonymity furnished by masks, darkness, or the uniformity of participants reduces external restraints and the "deindividuation" occurring when people in small groups cease to pay attention to each other reduces internal restraints against collective violence. "Contrast theory" research has shown that when serious punishment is not allowed, the use of a less severe punishment is increased; and Pepitone argues that when police are allowed to carry lethal weapons but urged to use less harmful weapons they may be more likely to make excessive use of these lesser weapons. If so, it follows that to reduce the use of clubs, the police should not be allowed to carry lethal weapons at all. The article also discusses the impact of sympathetic arousal, that is, physiological arousal "transferred" to unrelated outlets, and uses this concept to explain instances where crowds become converted from celebrants at football games to wild, destructive mobs.

Comments:

This is a sound theoretical analysis in the mainstream of social psychological tradition. Empirical research in the field is integrated into generic theoretical and research prescriptions. Although more recent empirical finds could not be included, they would be welcome.

Perrow, Charles, "The Sixties Observed," In The Dynamics of Social Movements,
Mayer N. Zald and John D. McCarty (Eds.), Cambridge: Winthrop Publishers,
1979, pp. 192-211.

Approach: Theoretical

In this essay abstracts of news stories that appeared in the New York Times Annual Index were used to understand the dynamics of several movements or issues from 1950 through 1972 in the United States. A variety of popular and academically respectable theories of the origin and demise of social movements are examined using examples from the civil rights and black power movements, the student movements, welfare rights, farm workers and women's liberation movements, among others, to illustrate the limitations of each explanation.

The first set of theories (labeled demographic-generational) explained the emergence of disorder by reference to the bulge in the youth population, particularly in age-segregated institutions (colleges), which led to the development of specific ideologies and values as well as the resources available for collective action. This theory is criticized because there was no sudden influx of youth when the student movement started or when other movements began; because the proportion of youth as a fraction of the total population was lower during those periods than during periods of relative quiet; the increase in college enrollment during that period was not dramatic; because students were not the backbone of most movements; because the demise of these movements was not associated with a decrease in the youth population; and because even the student movement itself was more oriented to opposition to the war than to the draft. "If there had been no Vietnam war, and no (gradual) rise in the youth and college population, I would argue that the 1960s still would have been stormy."

The second set of theories (resource mobilization arguments) are subdivided into two types. The first (espoused by Oberschall, Tilly and Gamson) argues that protest grows out of the ongoing political process and that the resources available for generation of disorder are critical. Perrow does not really contest this view. The second type of resource mobilization theory (espoused by Zald and McCarthy and Moynihan) argues that movements arise because organizers from outside the aggrieved collectivity make a profession of leading dissident groups, supported by surplus funds in the federal budget because of a rise in discretionary income. This theory is criticized using examples from both the civil rights and anti-war movements in which the leadership emerged from within the aggrieved constituency. Moreover, although prosperity led to the increased funding of liberal social causes, the question of which came first, the social movements or the funding, has not been established. In addition, the demise in these movements while affluence remained relatively high casts doubt on the argument.

The third theory, which Perrow labeled "the greening of America," explains these movements as occurring as part of a cultural revolution involving a rapid and deep-seated liberalization. He admits having a strong bias in favor of this explanation but notes that these theorists spend little time trying to account for the emergence of this cultural revolution and that the demise of the movements is not well understood, except by arguing that the revolution succeeded and the movements moved into more respectable, institu-

tional and moderately successful phases.

The final theory, labeled "the greying of America," accounts for the emergence of these movements by the inability of world capitalism to handle its contradictions, resulting in the alienation of major sectors of the society. Although each social movement started out with a "safe program," once alienation was made manifest and disaffection unloosed, each movement escalated to radical and even hysterical demands, to the surprise of elites. Elites then repressed them, leaving only a few nonthreatening movements such as the environmental movement, which actually serve the interests of government. Perrow notes:

"Distracted by the collection of soft drink cans, the public avoided any serious consideration of requiring that business and industry pay for their externalities out of dividends or out of funds reinvested for the great god Growth."

Although Perrow leans to a mixture of each of these perspectives for an explanation of particular movement dynamics in the 1960s, he cautions the reader that "society is sufficiently complex and social processes are sufficiently random, accidental, unpredictable, and disorderly to make us wary of citing even 'major' causes."

Comments:

In criticizing many of the theories, Perrow argues that they failed because the explanations given for the cause or emergence of a movement were not consistent with reasons given for the decline. In the end of the essay he modifies that criterion noting that this requirement may not be found in reality and that it might be enough to use certain explanations for the emergence and other explanations for the decline. In developing a theoretical framework for the dynamics of social movements, this may be the appropriate next step.

Pinard, Maurice, "Poverty and Political Movements," Social Problems, 15, 2, 1967.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

This article offers empirical evidence bearing on a common sociological observation (by Trotsky, Crane Brinton, Turner and Killian, Searles and Williams, Jackson and Johnson, and others): the poorest, most downtrodden members of society are not the most likely to join and support a mass movement. Their circumstances are so desperate, the argument goes, that day-to-day survival consumes the energy which might otherwise fuel an uprising. Those somewhat closer to the median income are more likely to participate in a mass movement. They are less constrained by circumstances, and as employed workers their expectations are alternately raised, and dashed by the instability of industrial capitalism.

To support his argument the author cites a survey of 998 Quebec residents, conducted in 1962 when the Social Credit Party, never before powerful in Quebec, suddenly won 26 percent of the vote. Respondents were classified by income (low, middle, or high, according to standards set by the Conference on Economic Progress) and political preference. A curvilinear relationship was found in which support for the blossoming Social Credit Party was weakly correlated with low income, more strongly correlated with middle income, and weakly correlated with high income. The additional finding that support for the Social Credit Party was also strongly correlated with unemployment suggests to the author a corollary of the central hypothesis: unlike the poor, who still have something to lose, the unemployed have nothing to fear from supporting a social movement. Moreover, the same curvilinear relationship between income and social activism is evident, according to the author, in existing studies of the Poujade movement in France and the Freedom Ride movement in Baltimore.

Finally, the author discusses another result of his Quebec survey: among persons who did not report feeling significantly worried and hopeless, people with low incomes were no more likely than those with middle income to become active in social causes. Among persons who did report feeling worried and hopeless, however, those with middle incomes were significantly more likely than those with low incomes to join social movements, in accordance with the curvilinear relationship specified in the article. The author suggests that the effects of worry differ with income; people with low incomes become paralyzed while those with middle incomes are spurred to action.

Comment:

There are several problems with this article. The most glaring is that the hypothesis generally refers to mass social movements, yet the movements dealt with in the article are all institutionalized political movements, and the behavior interpreted as supporting these movements is strictly self-reported voting behavior. These are serious limitations. Yet the meteoric rise of the Social Credit Party does bear a resemblance to a social movement in the broad sense, and the voting statistics did correlate (or rather, did

not conflict) with official voting and census figures. The premise of the article, furthermore, is supported by data concerning other social movements. While the article as a whole by no means proves the hypothesis, it does offer at least the beginning of an empirical confirmation.

Rosenbaum, H. and Peter Sederberg, "Vigilantism: An Analysis of Establishment Violence," Comparative Politics, 6, 1974, 541-70.

Approach: Theoretical

In contrast to violence aimed at changing the established order (i.e., revolution), vigilantism is defined as "acts or threats of coercion in violation of the formal boundaries of an established sociopolitical order, which, however, are intended by the violators to defend that order from some sort of subversion." Another term for it is "establishment violence." In this article, the authors try to determine conditions likely to produce vigilante action, develop a typology of vigilantism, and discuss the effects of these actions on the societies in which they occur.

Vigilantism is most likely to occur under conditions of "decremental deprivation"--when there is a sharp decline in the perceived value capabilities of groups but their value expectations remain constant. This perception of threatening challenges by groups interested in altering the status quo is likely to lead to vigilantism when established groups feel that a regime is ineffective in thwarting these challenges to the prevailing sociopolitical order. Moreover, "the magnitude of vigilante violence appears negatively related to the ability of the regime to defend its formal boundaries against this type of breeching and positively related to the scope and coherence of social support for the vigilante movement."

A typology of vigilantism was developed based on the intended purpose of the actions. Three types were distinguished: crime control, social group control, and regime control and the participants in each of these types could be either private or public persons. Crime control vigilantism is directed against persons believed to be committing illegal acts who have escaped justice because of government inefficiency, corruption, or the system of due process. Although this is usually associated with the acts of private persons, law enforcement personnel may also engage in it especially when using excessive physical compulsion in apprehending criminals. Social group control vigilantism refers to acts of violence against groups that are competing for, or advocating a redistribution of values within the system. The target groups can be either communal (racial, religious, etc.), economic, or political, and vigilantes can be either public or private persons. Regime control vigilantism is establishment violence intended to alter the regime, in order to make the "superstructure" into a more effective guardian of the "base."

Arguing that vigilantism is basically "negative" because its essential aim is to suppress any threat to the status quo and is "disorderly" because it inhibits the development of "reasonably accurate and stable behavioral expectations," the authors state that it tends to be dysfunctional over the long run because it cannot replace formal political institutions and is probably antithetical to their growth. In the short run, however, it may stabilize the political process.

Comments:

This is a rather superficial survey of existing material on vigilante groups in several different countries. Since the typology and definition of vigilantism is based on the intentions of the participants, other relevant distinguishing characteristics of these actions are not discussed including size, structure, duration, and power of the group.

Russell, Gordon W., "The Perception and Classification of Collective Behavior," Journal of Social Psychology, 87, 2, 1972, 219-27.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Controlled Experiment

Russell proposes a model for classifying collective behavior based on a perceptual dimension obtained through multidimensional scaling. This method allows a certain flexibility in viewing events.

The author discusses past efforts by social scientists to define and classify instances of collective behavior. A priori frameworks are generally proposed to classify events, but some invariably defy such simple categorization. Existing explanatory systems are said to provide ex post facto analyses, but fail to provide testable predictions, since it is not known how to label and weight relevant concepts.

Multi-dimensional scaling techniques are applied to a wide range of collective behaviors -- from fads and crazes through riots and revolutions -- to determine the attributes used by judges in cognitively distinguishing such events. From this, the relative order and distances among concepts can be represented in N-dimensional space. A college class on collective behavior, numbering 42 students, who had shared readings and used Lang's text, rated 19 names of collective events on a 9-point rating scale. A 4-dimensional solution was found: 1) degree of violence; 2) degree to which the collectivity was amorphous or focused; 3) anomie; and 4) ideology. The results suggest that the method may specify the causal perceptual space of collective events. Comparing these perceptions of individuals in various groups might be useful.

Comments:

The approach could contribute toward more sophisticated models for classifying and predicting and the author's methodology could be useful in further study.

Sawyer, Jack, "Relative Deprivation: A Politically Biased Concept,"
Psychiatry, 34, 1, 1971, 97-99.

Approach: Theoretical
Methodology: Literature Review

This very brief article makes a single point: dominant institutional values influence the choice of concepts used by social scientists. These values must be explicitly acknowledged and alternative concepts based on other values must be explored. The sense of discontent or dissatisfaction inherent in the concept of relative deprivation, as currently used, is a function of the discontented persons' achievement judged by some standard they employ for comparison.

Discontent may be defined in a number of ways. Individualistic discontent is fostered when one's present situation or future outlook is poor compared to one's past or current state. Competitive discontent is a product of comparisons with another person or group that disclose a failure to develop one's own possibilities. Equality-oriented discontent, also arises from comparisons with other persons or groups; but instead of resulting from failure to maximize one's own position it is generated by perceived inequities between the groups. According to the author, research has made the individualistic definition less plausible than the competitive definition, which is dominant in the literature. Laboratory findings show, however, that the equality-oriented definition is useful in understanding feelings of discontent among some people and groups.

Relative deprivation is a built-in concept, given the competitive orientation of our dominant institutions. However, in laboratory studies when subjects perceived the absence of the equality they desired they tended to reallocate resources until they achieved a more nearly equal status.

Comments:

The author reviews only laboratory studies that support his point of view, but his object is merely to illustrate the point, not to prove it. Further research might be directed toward ascertaining the extent that the three concepts of discontent described above influence participants in collective disorders, and how greatly such disorders have narrowed or widened the differences in status and achievement that are part of these concepts.

Schumaker, Paul D., "The Scope of Political Conflict and the Effectiveness of Constraints in Contemporary Urban Protest," Sociological Quarterly, 19, Spring 1978, 168-84.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data; Survey Research

In reviewing past research and theory on the effectiveness of militant tactics and constraints for protest movements, the author found conflicting and often weak relationships. While some researchers (e.g., Gamson, 1975; Astin et al., 1975; and Tilly et al., 1975) found that the use of violence was associated with successful outcomes, others (e.g., Welch, 1975; Snyder and Kelly, 1976; Crain, 1969) found that nonviolent tactics seemed to be more effective.

In this article Schumaker hypothesizes that the effectiveness of the use of direct action tactics and violence by protest groups depends on the conditions of the conflict, particularly the degree and direction of public involvement in the protest incident.

The effectiveness of the use of "constraints" or militant tactics is in part a function of the number of parties involved in the conflict, according to the major hypothesis:

"When a political conflict is confined to the protest group and their target (when the scope of the conflict is narrow), the use of constraints will usually enhance the chances of a successful outcome for the protest group. Conversely, when the public is involved in the resolution of a political conflict (when the scope of conflict is broad), the use of constraints will usually reduce the chances of a successful outcome for protest groups."

Several subsidiary hypotheses are also examined. The first one suggests that the scope of the conflict is a function of the types of demands made by the protesters: When protesters make demands that impinge on the resources of other citizens, the scope of the conflict will be broadened and the greater will be the public involvement in opposition to the protesters. The second one suggests that when protesters are relatively powerless and have little hope of appealing to the authorities and the public, constraints may be the only tactics available that can be effective: In a context where protest groups confront an activated and hostile public, constraint utilization may be positively related to protester success. The third one suggests that when the public is initially uncommitted, protesters may wish to involve them and broaden the scope of the conflict by the use of militant tactics: When protest groups employ strategies that involve the use of constraints, the scope of the conflict will usually be enlarged. However, in using constraints in societies which view disruption and violence as illegitimate tactics, protesters may activate the public against them: The more that a protest group utilizes constraints, the more opposition it will attain from the relevant public.

To test the hypotheses, Schumaker examines how the scope of conflict (the extent to which members of the public are involved), public hostility (the extent to which the public opposes or supports the protesters), and constraint utilization (the extent to which protesters use disruptive and violent tactics), interact to determine policy responsiveness (the extent to which the target adopts policies congruent with the protesters' demands). "Zero-sumness of demands" (the extent to which the protesters' demands are burdensome to others) is used as a control variable, because of the possibility that groups making zero sum demands would be both more likely to use constraints and less likely to achieve positive outcomes.

Two different samples, totalling 212 conflicts between protest groups and political authorities were used to test the hypotheses. One sample consisted of data from content analysis of existing case studies of protest incidents which occurred during the 1960s. The other sample consisted of data gathered in 1970-71 by mail questionnaire; officials of public agencies were asked questions about the most recent incident targeted at their agency. Incidents in the case studies tended to be larger and more sustained than the incidents in the questionnaire sample.

The results tend to support the hypotheses, albeit weakly. Overall, there is no significant relationship between the use of tactics of direct action and violence and the degree to which policy changes were achieved. These constraint tactics were more likely to lead to successful outcomes for the protesters when the public was uninvolved in the conflict, however. In situations where the public was highly involved (i.e., the scope of the conflict was broad), the use of constraints was more effective if the public was hostile rather than supportive of the protesters. The author interprets this finding to mean "that when protesters are operating in a supportive environment, the use of constraints is counterproductive because it alienates those who would otherwise be allies. But when protesters are operating in a hostile environment, they have few allies which can be alienated by the use of constraints."

The results also indicate that the more the protesters' demands impinged on the resources of others (i.e., zero-sum demands), the greater the level of hostile public involvement. Similarly, the use of constraint tactics also activates the public in opposition to the protesters.

Since the public is rarely uninvolved and unconcerned with the outcome of a protest incident but generally is not strongly opposed to the protest group at the start, the use of constraints is most likely to enhance public hostility toward the protesters and thus are not very effective strategies.

Comments:

This article is provocative in its attempt to refine the questions being asked about the effectiveness of the use of constraints by protest groups. However, methodological problems limit the usefulness of the study: Differences between the two samples and non-random sampling techniques make it difficult to interpret the results, particularly because of the discrepancies in the two samples; no response rate is given for the mail questionnaire sample and no assessment of the overall quality of the data in either sample is given; significance levels are not reported and all relationships are weak. As noted by the author, policy responsiveness

is only one way of measuring successful outcomes and additional indicators would be useful for future research.

Overall, this article should be viewed as suggestive rather than conclusive. A better data base and more adequate variables for measuring concepts such as success are necessary to test the hypotheses appropriately. Conditions of the conflict other than the degree and direction of public involvement also need to be specified in order to accurately assess when the use of constraints is most effective and least effective.

Skolnick, Jerome, The Politics of Protest. New York: Simon and Schuster, 1969.

Approach: Theoretical/Empirical
Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

This volume reports the findings of the Task Force on Violent Aspects of Protest and Confrontation of the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence, whose research was conducted under Skolnick's supervision. Field study occupied only five months, the bulk of the report being based on the literature of collective violence rather than original data.

The author defines violence as the intentional use of force to injure or kill or to destroy property. In this country violence is defined through the political process and always implies a disruption of order, but order too is politically defined. According to the author, violence has not always been condemned in this society and the decision by agents of social control to use violence is a political one.

The author labels as a myth the view that social mobility in this country has been achieved through the peaceful pursuit of group goals. He cites numerous violent conflicts between people at all income levels and from all ethnic groups. In his view the need for protest originates in the orientation of American institutions toward progress of the individual rather than of the group, although group identification has continued to be significant to Americans. He names the modes of resolving conflict that have been relied on in the past: massive military suppression, as in the American Civil War; urbanization and industrialization; and organizing the activities of protesters through institutions such as labor unions.

Skolnick discerns two sources of bias in current views of collective behavior. One is the failure of theorists to recognize how authorities are destructive and irrational and how collective behavior is constructive and rational. Bias is also inherent in the view of authorities that collective behavior is without purpose and direction and that violence doesn't pay, when in fact it has paid for some American groups at certain periods.

The author concludes that society should rather try to change fundamentally the conditions against which groups protest than to emphasize the control and containment of protest.

Comment:

This volume gives only a brief description of the research methods employed by the Task Force on Violent Aspects of Protest and Confrontation of the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence, and hence it is difficult to judge the soundness of the conclusions.

Though Skolnick offers no new theory and shows existing theories to be inadequate, he makes few constructive and substantive recommendations about what a new theory of collective disorder should contain. Perhaps the differing needs of the intended audience made it impossible to do very much toward developing theory. He succeeds in identifying possible biases in existing theories of

collective behavior (e.g., in the concepts of strain and the irrationality of collective behavior), but he fails to offer any alternatives to explain the very real phenomena which these inadequate concepts attempt to describe. Skolnick's concept of collective disorders as instrumental political action is not linked with strain (though it is linked with institutional crises) or with the ways in which collective disorders differ from other modes of instrumental political action.

This work raises several important issues: 1) Under what circumstances are existing institutions likely to label protests violent and to react violently to protests; 2) Under what circumstances has peaceful progress toward specified ends been achieved by a collectivity? 3) How do collective disorders differ from other modes of instrumental political action? 4) In what ways can the concept of institutional crisis, which Skolnick mentions briefly, be linked to strain as it is defined in contemporary literature?

Smelser, N.J., Theory of Collective Behavior, New York: Free Press, 1963; see also, Currie, Elliott and Jerome H. Skolnick, "A Critical Note on Conceptions of Collective Behavior," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 391, September 1970, 34-35; and Manning, R.O., "A Critical Analysis of Contemporary Collective Behavior Theory," Sociological Focus, 4, 4, 1971, 99-106.

Approach: Theoretical

This book documents an attempt to develop a sociological theory of the processes associated with particular forms of collective behavior. Specified in this theory are the definition of collective behavior, events which meet the terms of this definition, the components of social action, the determinants of collective behavior, the concept of "value-added" by which the determinants of collective behavior and the components of social action are organized and analyzed, and the concepts of short-circuiting and generalized beliefs. Historical data supporting this theory are analyzed.

Collective behavior is "mobilization on the basis of a belief" which redefines social action." Beliefs are assessments of the extraordinary consequences which will follow if the collective behavior is successful. They may be considered magical beliefs. Collective behavior is not institutionalized behavior. The situations on which it focuses are undefined and unstructured.

Five types of events are considered in this volume: 1) the panic response; 2) the craze; 3) the hostile outburst; 4) the norm-oriented movement; and 5) the value-oriented movement. Four components of social action are named and arranged hierarchically. Changes in a given component will require changes in all the components below it. Listed from the top down, the components are:

- 1) Values -- general sources of legitimacy
- 2) Norms -- regulatory standards for interaction
- 3) Mobilization of individual motivations into organized roles
- 4) Situation factors -- facilities, information, skills, tools, and obstacles in the path toward concrete goals

The determinants of collective behavior include: 1) structural conduciveness; 2) structural strain; 3) growth and spread of generalized belief; 4) precipitating factors; 5) mobilization of participants for action; and 6) the operation of agents and mechanisms of social control both before the collective behavior and after it. Precipitating factors provide a concrete setting or event toward which collective behavior can be directed. The order in which the determinants are listed above is based on the prior conditions that must be met before a given determinant will take effect. Thus the sixth determinant implies the occurrence -- either sequentially or simultaneously -- of the preceding five; and the fifth determinant implies the occurrence of the preceding four, etc. This scheme for organizing the determinants is based on the concept of value added.

An especially problematic concept that is not well handled in most related theories is the structural strain underlying collective behavior. Strain is defined as "an impairment of the relations among and consequently inadequate functioning of the components of action. Evidence of strain will show up first at the lowest levels of a component of social action. To eliminate strain occurring in a given component of social action, participants in collective behavior will turn to those operating at some higher level among the social action component.

Because collective behavior is uninstitutionalized, only a generalized belief holds the collectivity together in lieu of the normal social supports. A common culture is created which defines the context of the concerted action. The search upward through the components is a search for a solution to the strain which is the focus of the collective behavior. Once these higher levels are settled on, participants in collective action try to redefine the commonly accepted meaning attached to these higher levels in order to guarantee the resolution of the strain either negatively (as in hysteria) or positively (as in wish fulfillment).

Another feature of collective behavior that is critical in the search for solutions and in the implementation of the solutions settled upon is short-circuiting. Collective episodes tend to skip hierarchical levels as they proceed from redefinition back to the source of original structural strain.

Comments:

Smelser's theoretical definition of strain, despite his many empirical examples, does not permit easy application. What essential characteristics underlie all strain? A more workable formulation of strain might be that strain exists 1) when there is a discrepancy between the expected expression of values, norms, or patterns of behavior; 2) when this discrepancy is judged to be unacceptable; and 3) when the discrepancy cannot be reduced or eliminated by the existing institutional modes of social action. If one accepts this formulation of strain, Smelser's point that collective behavior relies on belief in extraordinary means and ends makes more sense. What the formulation neglects is the requirement that normal alternative solutions have been judged inadequate -- perhaps by existing institutions as well as participants in collective behavior.

Though available solutions have been judged inadequate in meeting the concerns of a collectivity, the short-circuited solution developed by the collectivity have not been tested for either their fairness or their effectiveness. Thus the belief in extraordinary means and ends has more to do with the necessity for trial and error than with the irresponsibility of participants in collective behavior.

Smelser fails to say how collective behavior is structurally functional for a society. If extraordinary means and ends are developed through collective behavior, the outcome may be innovative solutions which for some reason do not develop the existing institutional structure.

Smelser also neglects the interaction between existing institutions and instances of collective behavior in that he fails to explain how repeated episodes of collective behavior become institutionalized. The way agents and mechanisms of social control are used may obstruct or facilitate this process. It is not clear, for example, whether strikes by auto workers and teachers meet Smelser's definition of collective behavior. At present such strikes do not involve belief in extraordinary means and ends (i.e., they are successful in their aims), though their initial occurrence may have been so labeled by contemporary institutions. Because the process of labeling is omitted in Smelser's theory, it is impossible to predict what collective behavior will become institutionalized and why.

The most serious shortcoming of Smelser's theory is that he did not show in an increasingly specific manner how the theoretical concepts of strain and

extraordinary beliefs operate before he began to analyze the historical data. This failure undermines the credibility of his framework and makes the linkage between structural strain, extraordinary beliefs, and incidents of collective behavior appear farfetched.

Questions for further analysis and research include these: 1) Under what conditions is repeated collective behavior likely to be institutionalized?
2) Which types of collective behavior are most likely to be institutionalized?
3) Under what conditions are the innovations associated with some collective behavior (e.g., particular forms of collective bargaining preceding a strike) likely to become institutionalized?

Snyder, David and Kelly, William, "Strategies for Investigating Violence and Social Change: Illustrations from Analyses of Racial Disorders and Implications for Mobilization Research," In The Dynamics of Social Movements, Mayer N. Zald and John D. McCarthy (Eds.), Cambridge: Winthrop Publishers, 1979, pp. 212-37.

Approach: Theoretical

Citing the relative neglect of the relationship between violence (or other forms of collective action) and social change (or other consequences or outcomes), the authors argue that "the investigation of consequences is critically important for understanding the determinants of changes in the extent and form of (sometimes violent) collective action, including how resources are mobilized and applied." The consequences of violent action have been neglected largely because the major theories of their determinants (i.e., rapid change/social disorganization and relative deprivation) tend to treat violence as meaningless and irrational without any major structural consequences. In contrast, resource mobilization theories are more applicable because they view violence as a more rational tactic with outcomes dependent on the relative power and strategies of the parties involved.

The causes of violence and the outcomes of violence are seen as interconnected in at least three ways. First, the outcome will affect the level of resources than can then be mobilized by the group (e.g., if there is a "successful outcome," additional workers are typically recruited). Second, the outcome will affect the forms of collective action (i.e., strategies) the groups will use in the future (e.g., if there is a successful outcome and more workers are recruited, then more "expensive" strategies can be used in the future). Third, the outcome will affect the relationship between resources and strategies (e.g., the relationship between resources and the use of violence as a strategy may be linear until a successful outcome is achieved and then there may be no relationship). Thus in the analytic model the authors propose, social movements and their organizations "do not necessarily, or even typically, move through a (nearly) linear sequence in which there is a single outcome (or at most a few). Instead, they are entities that persist through time, during which they continually mobilize resources, apply them in various forms of collective action or 'tactics,' and experience the consequences of those strategies in a fully interrelated process that also affects subsequent 'rounds' of mobilization, action, and outcome."

The model is designed to be used for the analysis of collective action conducted by movement organizations and for spontaneous forms of action in ecological units such as cities, but certain modifications in the key variables are made for the analysis of the two types of action. The framework is seen as especially useful because it specifies the kinds of questions that can be asked about collective action; rather than simply asking "Is violence effective?" it suggests the question "Under what conditions are various tactics of collective action relatively more or less effective in generating the outcomes that aid or hinder groups in accomplishing their collective goals?" It also directs attention to the processes that help explain the termination of disorder.

Some conceptual and methodological issues were also discussed, including how to designate types of social change, how to determine relevant goals and outcomes, how to measure and define collective action, and how to specify empirical models for analysis.

In designing research on collective action and its outcome, using events as the unit of analysis is seen as less appropriate than looking at organizational and ecological units. They argue that in concentrating on events, only immediately group-specific consequences are examined and longer run and/or systemic outcomes are neglected. Further, this strategy does not allow one to study situations where change occurs but collective action does not. Instead, they suggest studying outcomes using movement organizations as the unit of analysis (e.g., a modification of Gamson's strategy, 1975) or using ecological units such as cities (e.g., a modification or extension of the work on racial disorders done by Spilerman, 1970).

Comments:

This article is extremely relevant for researchers in this area because it provides specific suggestions for developing research designs around the consequences of collective action as well as an analytic model for looking at the interaction between strategies and outcomes.

Spiegel, John O., "The Dynamics of Violent Confrontation," International Journal of Psychiatry, 10, No. 3, September, 1972, 93-105.

Approach: Prescriptive
Methodology: Case Study

Adopting an interdisciplinary, transactional approach, the author examines the dynamics of violent confrontation from three foci: (1) intrapsychic processes, both conscious and unconscious, (2) group processes, and (3) processes based on cultural value orientations. He sets up a paradigm of social roles -- Hero-Villain-Fool -- which he applies generally to conflict and specifically to the Attica tragedy.

The Hero is one who, displaying courage, honor, and intelligence, risks life and limb to resolve conflict for a just cause. The Villain uses guile and aggression to escalate the conflict on behalf of an unjust cause. The Fool is a third party who attempts to resolve the struggle by pursuing right ends for the wrong reasons or wrong ends for the right reasons and gets caught in the middle. As a key to prevention, the author offers the reduction of external dangers and ambiguities through institutionalized third-party intervention on the labor-management model.

For the Attica case, the author alters the model triad slightly, it becomes the Protagonist, the Antagonist, the Mediator (or Negotiator); the Oppressor, the Victim, the Rescuer; and the Confidant, the Betrayer, the Betrayed. One is left free to determine whom are the Hero and the Villain, but the Fool in this case was the Committee of Observers, a third party of mediators. The crux was that neither the Hero nor the Villain saw the Committee as neutrally arbitrating, but as betraying their interests. Thus neither party allowed negotiating to go undisturbed until a solution could be arrived at. Nor was either party sure of its motives or position. This confusion caused the tragedy.

The author argues that through the intervention of skilled mediators ambiguity will be reduced since both parties will know, within limits, what to expect. Second, the danger of violence will be reduced since the adversaries will be partitioned.

Comments:

The argument that negotiation and better communication between parties involved in a conflict might alleviate much of the potential for violence and escalation of antagonism could have been made rather more simply and clearly. Beyond that, this article is of limited value.

Spiegel, John P., M.D., "Psychosocial Factors in Riots - Old and New,"
The American Journal of Psychiatry, 125, 3, 1968, 37-41.

Approach: Theoretical

With the assumption that "collective violence is responsive to the same set of underlying factors, no matter what group manifests the behavior," the author discusses the social and psychological factors associated with the following collective outbreaks: (1) violence of whites against blacks; (2) violence of blacks against whites; and (3) violence of police against protesters. Collective violence is defined as "behavior exhibited by a group of three or more people acting in concert, characterized by extremely destructive physical aggression directed toward persons, objects, or organizations." An "explosiveness" quality distinguishes collective violence from other forms of organized aggression, meaning that it will be released suddenly and fully, close to the limit or intensity of which the person or group is capable. Organized aggression, such as war or revolution, is more rationally and deliberately directed toward the attainment of particular goals. Collective violence is occasioned by insult or injury to a group member or to the group as a whole, a threat or loss of social status, or a severe frustration of the group's desire for higher social status.

In detailing the origins of contemporary collective violence, Spiegel identifies the main social strain in American history as the "incompatibility between its democratic ideals and its authoritarian practices." He focuses on one way in which authoritarianism in the United States is maintained: by excluding certain social groups from the democratic process. "Reconstructivists" -- those seeking to broaden the principles of inclusion -- have recruited their followers from that social group most likely to succeed in breaking through the elitist barrier at a given time (e.g., Irish Catholics in the 1940s, blacks and youth since World War II). Their violence is primarily directed towards impersonal targets, such as buildings and machinery, and the aim is to disrupt law and order, not to kill people. "Nativists" seek to prevent change and draw their recruits from social groups most immediately threatened by the current wave of "reconstructivists," whom they see as an alien force corrupting the American way of life. Violence by "nativists" is aimed at persons in order to intimidate them and eliminate their pressure for change.

In his "general theory of violence," Spiegel finds that the distinction between "nativists" and "reconstructivists" can account not only for the violence of whites against blacks and of blacks against whites, but also for police violence. Whites and police are seen as "nativists," and blacks are considered "reconstructivists." He also posits the theory that Americans are more willing to settle for violence than to remedy the social ills which generate it, "just as many persons are willing to settle for their neurotic reactions rather

than undergo the experience of resolving their emotional conflicts."

In order to resolve this conflict between democratic ideals and authoritarianism, Americans, especially the elite, must acknowledge its existence. Attempts to share power with previously excluded groups should reduce the incidence of collective violence.

Comments:

The article draws on a few interesting examples of recent incidents and material from historical analyses of American conflicts in speculating on the causes of all collective violence. The attempt is ambitious; and the conclusions unwarranted, because the author almost totally neglects immediate causes, precipitating events, or processes that may distinguish one type of collective violence from another.

Tilly, Charles, From Mobilization to Revolution, New York: Addison-Wesley, 1978.

Approach: Theoretical

From Mobilization to Revolution is primarily a handbook for the study of collective processes. Although Tilly advances a certain line of argument relating the evolution of collective action to the rise of the national state, industrialization, and proletarianization, he does so in a self-conscious fashion, enumerating at every point the exact configuration of variables with which he is working, acknowledging the assumptions behind those variables, and pointing to other possible lines of argument which would proceed from different assumptions and configurations of variables. More than anything else it is a book about variables, an exercise in (pardon the phrase) conceptual multi-dimensionality.

The basic variables are these:

- Interest - gains and losses resulting from a group's interaction with other groups
- Organization - that aspect of a group's structure which most directly affects its capacity to act on its interests
- Mobilization - the process by which a group acquires collective control over the resources needed for action (labor power, goods, weapons, etc.)
- Opportunity - changes in the environment which make it favorable for a group to act on its interests
- Collective Action - people acting together in pursuit of common interests.

Tilly's approach employs game-theory--the study of the struggle for some collective good, given contenders with fixed interests and a range of alternative decision rules governing the outcome of contention--in order to create microeconomic simulations of collective processes. Implicit in game-theory is a kind of ongoing cost-benefit analysis: every move by a contender entails a certain cost, reckoned in terms of resources expended (labor power, capital, etc.) and brings a certain return in collective goods.

By way of integrating the variable components of collective action illustrated in Chapter 2, Tilly's third chapter sets forth a polity model, consisting of a government, a number of contending groups which employ their resources in efforts to influence the government (subdivided into members of and challengers to the polity), one or more coalitions between contenders and/or governments, and the polity itself, consisting of the collective action of members and government. Within such a framework the variable characteristics of contenders (interest, organization, etc.) are now defined in relation to other contenders. As a result many of the variables turn out to be double-edged; what represents an opportunity to one contender may be a threat to another, mobilization can be offensive (to capitalize on an opportunity) or defensive (to guard against a threat). New interactive variables also emerge. Repression, for example, refers

to any action by another group (most likely a government) which raises a contender's cost of collective action, facilitation to any action by such a group which lowers that cost. Chapters 3 and 4 explore potential combinations of these variables. A simple graph which plots "collective goods obtained" as a function of "resources expended" provides a basic conceptual picture of collective action, and that picture turns into a series of increasingly complex and subtle "snapshots" as variables are built into the graph. Tilly pushes the series to a rather startling level of abstraction and then calls a halt. Having made the point that such a series of snapshots could in theory dissolve (like a motion picture) into a fluid account of reality, he calls attention to the methodological problem that approach entails: it demands meaningful quantification of all component variables, and measurement of that sort is beyond the current state of the art. Exhorting others to continue developing suitable methods of quantification, Tilly himself plunges "headlong into the world of time and qualitative variation" in an effort to apply his abstract models to major historical problems in the study of collective action.

Chapter 5 deals with the changing forms of collective action. Like art forms, Tilly points out, forms of collective action vary from period to period. Strikes, for example, occurred only rarely at the beginning of the 19th century (although other forms of collective action--food riots, tax rebellions--were common) but by the end of the century strikes were routine facts of working-class life. Why? Tilly argues that the shift from task compensation to time-effort compensation deprived workers of control over a valuable resource--their own labor--while the increasing concentration of workers in common workplaces lowered the cost of defensively mobilizing to regain control over that resource.

In Chapter 6 Tilly discusses collective action in terms of the polity model, representing collective violent actions as clusters of events associated with efforts by challengers to penetrate the polity. A challenger's claim to membership threatens 1) to reallocate resources under government control, and 2) to redefine the rules of membership, allowing further challengers to penetrate the polity.

After discussing revolution in Chapter 7, Tilly closes in Chapter 8 with some general observations concerning the historical study of collective action. First, he points out that the collective action of a period intersects the interests of the common people in their day-to-day lives--a valuable perspective indeed. If the theoretical method set forth by Tilly has any general validity it should enable investigators to "hold constant" situational variables in order to make the record reveal that everyday profile. But if, as Gaston Roupnel suggests, the texture of life in 17th century Burgundy (for example) requires an approach fundamentally different from any appropriate today, then, says Tilly, that in itself would be worth knowing. As it happens, Tilly disagrees with Roupnel. Although rural collective action in Burgundy before the Revolution was very different from rural collective action afterward, Tilly finds significant continuities linking the two periods. The rural Burgundians of whom Roupnel speaks felt the effects of expanding capitalism and the concentration of power in the hands of the state. Yet earlier forms of collective action (food riots etc.) did not simply vanish upon encountering capitalism and the all-engulfing state, to be abruptly replaced by later forms

(strikes demonstrations)--rather, the earlier forms evolved into the later ones. In that context the Revolution of 1830 serves not as a barrier dividing two incompatible forms of life but as a lens in which a whole continuum is brought into focus:

"The Revolution brought an extraordinary level of collective action, a politicization of all interests and thus of almost all the means of action, a centralization of power and thus of struggles for power, a frenzy of association and thus of action on the basis of associations, a promotion of the conditions for the development of capitalism and bourgeois hegemony and thus of a mounting threat to noncapitalist, nonbourgeois interests. If that summary is correct, the Revolution acted as a fundamental stage in the course of a transformation far longer and larger than the Revolution itself."

Tilly, Charles, "Collective Violence in European Perspective," In Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives, H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), Revised Edition, Beverly Hills: Sage Publications, 1979.

Approach: Historical/Theoretical

In this revision of his earlier essay on collective violence in Europe, Tilly adds some "afterthoughts from the seventies" to buttress his theoretical arguments and to clarify his "resource mobilization" perspective on the phenomena. Reviewing his empirical work on France, England, and other Western European countries from the Middle Ages to the present, he argues that collective violence is "normal" in the sense of being an enduring and persistent phenomena throughout history and that it is one of the best social indicators of the basic political processes occurring in a society.

In contrast to mass society perspectives on the emergence of various forms of protest, Tilly argues that violence grows most directly from the struggle for established places in the structure of power. That is, the processes most regularly producing collective violence are those by which groups acquire or lose membership in the political community. While mass society theorists stress the feelings of anomie and anxiety generated by the social disorganization of urbanization and industrialization as productive of violence, Tilly argues that the process is more direct:

"The impact of large structural changes, such as Organization, industrialization, and population growth, it seems to me, comes through their creation or destruction of groups contending for power and through their shaping of the available means of coercion...Collective violence clusters in those historical moments when the structure of power itself is changing decisively --because there are many new contenders for power, because several old groups of power-holders are losing their grips, or because the locus of power is shifting from community to nation, from nation to international bloc, or in some other drastic way. Violence flows from politics, and more precisely from political change."

He identifies three basic types of collective violence which differ in the form of the action (e.g., inter-village fights versus strikes), the sort of social groups involved (e.g., peasant communities versus political parties), and the relationship of the groups to the structure of power (e.g., acquiring rights versus losing rights). The three types are labeled "primitive," "reactionary," and "modern." Primitive varieties of collective violence are of small scale, local scope, with inexplicit and unpolitical objectives in which local communal groups oppose other communal groups (e.g., feuds, religious attacks). Reactionary disturbances are also of small scale but their target is representatives of those who hold power, as a reaction to some changes which they regard as depriving them of rights they had once enjoyed; they are backward-looking (e.g., food riots, tax rebellions). Modern forms of collective disturbance are often of larger scale and involve specialized associations with relatively well-defined objectives, organized for political or economic action. The

participants are forward-looking, that is, they regard themselves as striking for rights due them but not yet enjoyed (e.g., demonstrations and violent strikes).

In all countries, there was a transition from one type to another as nonviolent political life was also transformed by the victory of the national state over localized power and as complex special-purpose associations (such as parties, firms, unions, and clubs) grew and became prominent. "Just as industry shifted its weight from the small shop to the large factory and population rushed from little town to big city, collective violence moved from the normal congregations of the communal groups within which people used to live most of their lives toward the deliberate confrontations of special-purpose associations."

Tilly then goes on to identify two dimensions of a typology of collective violence from which his three types are a subset. The two dimensions are: the organizational base (communal groups versus associational groups) and the relationship of those groups to the existing structure of power (acquiring position, maintaining a position, and losing position). When the other boxes in the typology are filled in, it looks like this:

RELATION TO STRUCTURE OF POWER

ORGANIZATIONAL BASE	<u>Acquiring Position</u>	<u>Maintaining Position</u>	<u>Losing Position</u>
Communal	Other Worldly (Millenarian Movements)	Primitive	Reactionaly
Associational	Offense (Modern)	Interest- group	Defensive

He hypothesizes that groups maintaining their position will be less likely to pay attention to rights and justice than groups trying to change their positions and that the actions of these latter groups are likely to be more violent than those maintaining their position. He also suggests that the communal group actions will result in more violence because associational groups have more control over their own actions and thus are permitted a show of force without damage or bloodshed. Over the short run, the extent, location, and timing of collective violence depends heavily on the way authorities and their agents handle these challenges but over the long run it depends more on the way the entire political system apportions power and responds to grievances.

In rethinking this essay for the revised edition, Tilly admits to some major complications in using the typology of collective violence as more than a useful preliminary sorting device. First of all there is not a perfect correlation between the form of the action and its purposes (e.g., strikes have not often served defensive purposes). Secondly, the basic actions in the scheme are not usually violent and are actually interactions between challengers and opponents. This scheme thus "lacks an analysis of the actions of their opponents, and an account of interactions between

underdogs and their opponents. As an unintended result, the lopsided argument ends up suggesting that collective violence is an expression of underdog experience alone." Finally there is very little attention paid to the role of interests in generating collective action.

Comments:

This is an extremely interesting and thoughtful essay on collective violence in general and insights from the European experience are generalized into a more theoretical view of the phenomena as a whole. Moreover, it is a very clear and consistent explication of the resource mobilization perspective on collective action.

Tilly, Charles, "Major Forms of Collective Action in Western Europe, 1500-1975," Working Paper No. 123, Center for Research on Social Organization, University of Michigan, October 1975. (adapted from chapter three of From Mobilization to Revolution, Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1978).

Approach: Theoretical/Historical
Methodology: Descriptions/Case Studies

Tilly classes collective actions according to the claims that agents assert: (1) competitive; (2) reactive; (3) proactive. He argues that the evolution of these claims from 1500 to the present parallels the concurrent rise of capitalism and the modern state. As an example of the earliest class, the competitive, Tilly offers the rural charivari (known to readers of Hardy as a "skimmity ride"), a ritual mock serenade of a remarried widower and his bride by village youths objecting to the depletion of the precious resource that eligible females represent. Such collective action is characteristic of an essentially feudal order in which small-scale contenders vie for local resources; and according to Tilly it prevailed during the sixteenth century, before national states appeared and began to edge out communal groups like "craft brotherhoods, families, peasant communities."

As resources were absorbed by the all-engulfing new institutions, competitive claims became less meaningful. Under this encroachment, local contenders lashed out with reactive claims, "efforts to reassert established claims when someone else challenges or violates them." Tilly lists the reactive repertoire of seventeenth and eighteenth century Europe: "food riots, machine-breaking, tax rebellions and local actions against military conscription: all moved directly against someone who had unjustly deprived, or tried to deprive, a local population of a precious resource."

Once the resource base of Europe was almost entirely under central control, roughly in the nineteenth century, the reactive response in its turn became obsolete, and a new proactive set of collective actions was undertaken to "assert group claims which have not previously been exercised." This type, still with us includes a number of characteristically modern public actions: the strike for higher wages, the public meeting, the demonstration. Contenders also had by this stage evolved from a communal organization, in which natural constituencies mobilized to protect some local resource, to an associational mode in which artificial constituencies mobilize for attack, pooling their political strengths to influence governmental allocation of certain resources.

Comment:

In From Mobilization to Revolution Tilly proposes that changing forms of collective action reflect evolving patterns of resource distribution, a profound notion that invites further research. He explores it in a dialogue between abstract social, political, and economic theory on the one hand and historical facts on the other. To one who has read the book, the present paper (a version of Chapter 3) is perfectly understandable. By itself, however, unsupported by the mass of primary data graphed and tabulated elsewhere in the book, uninitiated readers may find it long on description and short on substantiation.

Traugott, Mark, "Reconceiving Social Movements," Social Problems, 26, 1, 1978, 38-49.

Approach: Theoretical

Methodology: Definition of Field of Inquiry

The fact that theoretical principles have not been adequately developed in the study of social movements results from a tendency to lump these movements together with "collective behavior" and to disregard differences in the unit of analysis and institutional orientation of the two fields. This author argues that unlike "collective behavior," which has been dominated by a psychological and individualistic perspective as well as a focus on "noninstitutional" behavior (characterized by spontaneity and lack of internal structure), the field of "social movements" should use a sociological perspective that presents the group as the unit of analysis; it should also be concerned with "antiinstitutional" organizations, those with a high degree of internal order, formed with the purpose of challenging existing institutions.

The author examines the historical development of the two fields, collective behavior and social movements, and indicates where distinctions in unit of analysis and institutional orientation would clarify or change the sociological perspective of LeBon, Smelser, Blumer, and the "Chicago School."

Studies of social movements should examine groups which exhibit "bonds of positive solidarity that make it possible for them to generate common ideology, internal organization, continuity of leadership, and a sense for strategic necessity." These groups must also have an "antiinstitutional orientation...in that the movement engages in or envisions acts that when successful bring it into an inevitable confrontation with the existing order." The demarcation of the field by means of these two criteria would have two effects, according to Traugott: 1) it would resolve the present tendency to view the group's actions as irrational because inappropriate units of analysis are used; and 2) it would increase our understanding of movement dynamics in several specific ways. The two specialties, collective behavior and social movements, would then be "free to pursue their separate paths to mutual advantage...and the sociology of social movements would regain its proper focus: the analysis of large-scale social change outside institutional channels."

Comments:

This essay thoughtfully analyzes many of the problems in studying both social movements and collective behavior and it points out in a clear and readable fashion many of the deficiencies in the approaches by LeBon, Smelser and others. The attempt to demarcate the field is quite useful and clarifies many of the differences between the perspectives of the "resource mobilization" school (to which the author is particularly sympathetic) and the more psychological theories. Traugott's

effort to limit the field of social movements by their degree of "antiinstitutionalism" is less convincing, however, than the criterion of "positive solidarity."

Turner, Ralph, "The Public Perception of Protest," American Sociological Review, 34, 6, December 1969, 815-30.

Approach: Theoretical

In this paper five theoretical perspectives are used to predict when a public will and will not view a major disturbance as an act of social protest, rather than as crime or deviance or as rebellion or revolution. Social protest is defined as protest that is serious in the telling of grievance that moves it and in the intent to provoke ameliorative action. In contrast crime and deviance are identified chiefly by their nonconforming, illegal, or harmful character and the causes are seen as individual aberrations. Rebellion and revolution differ from social protest in that the former constitute direct action rather than communication and their aim is to destroy the authority of the existing system.

The first theoretical perspective uses the folk concept of social protest to determine when events will be seen as credible protests. Examination of relevant materials such as letters to newspapers, essays, and feature articles suggests that the folk concept of social protest includes the following features: Participants must be a major part of a group whose grievances are already well documented, who are believed to be powerless to correct their grievances and who are "deserving." The disturbance must follow an extended period in which the powerlessness and the grievances have been repeatedly and strongly advertised and in which the group's past or current behavior has been restrained and virtuous. It must be seen as either a spontaneous, unplanned and naive outburst or as an openly organized protest of more limited nature that got tragically out of hand. It cannot be seen as occurring for self-aggrandisement, the settlement of private feuds, or for enjoyment of violence and destruction and must show some indications of restraint. Depending on what reference group is used, violence and disruption may be seen as justified because of the injustice suffered by the protesting group. Thus, groups who are clearly advantaged in comparison with the "protestors" will find the claim of injustice more credible than groups less advantaged and groups who see themselves as even more disadvantaged than the protestors are least likely to grant their claim. Groups who see their situation as about the same as that of the protestors also do not find it easy to consider the activity a protest. Finally, the assumptions that persons have about the society and the degree of consensus that exists will influence their definitions of events as protests, rebellions, or criminal activities. Rebellion will only be perceived by those whose disaffection with the society makes them delight in the threat of its disintegration. Crime and deviance will be perceived by people who understand society as a sort of jungle accommodation and who have more day to day experience of crime. In contrast, persons who believe that there is a fundamental consensus over values in the society even though there is disagreement over the means and opportunities of reaching these values are readier to make protest interpretations of the same events. Turner argues that these people are more likely to be members of the middle class.

The second theoretical perspective argues that disruption will be labeled as protests when there is an optimal balance between the appeals and threats involved. The experience of threat cannot be excessive so

that the appeals are lost and it is seen as a rebellion, but there must be enough threat of personal involvement and proximity to the events and of the ability to see the limits and patterns of disorder realistically. Thus persons close enough to fear any spread of disorders but not close enough to correct exaggerated reports from personal experience may find it most difficult to see the activities as protest. The degree of identification with the group under attack will also influence the amount of threat experienced in these events. Since the optimal balance of appeal and threat is difficult to maintain over time, it may be difficult to keep the awareness of protest dominant for a long period. Repeated unescalated disturbances are likely to reduce the amount of threat experienced and lead to a replacement of the protest definition by a deviance definition.

A third perspective suggests that defining an event as a protest is often an act of conciliation in order to forestall, reduce, or conclude a conflict without victory or surrender. Faced with potential conflict, the dominant group can either ignore or deprecate it by defining it as deviance or call it rebellion and respond to it with retaliatory suppression or join with the dissidents and repudiate their own group identification or finally to extend an offer of conciliation. If conciliation is the strategy, then the prospect of conflict is accepted as real and there is public acknowledgement of injury done to the protestor as well as promises of repentance and corrective actions. Interpreting violent and disruptive action as protest is following exactly this pattern because it acknowledges that there is some basis in the behavior of one's own group for the antagonism displayed by the protestor. This definition will most often occur when there is some apparent danger to the target group; when there are strong norms, values, or sentiments against doing injury to others; when there is great interdependency between groups; when there is a great commitment to activities or resources that would be sacrificed by conflict; and when the costs of conciliation are seen as modest. However, this definition of disorder is inherently unstable and gives way to the interpretation of the events as rebellion if there is no discontinuance of insults and threats and no retraction of earlier attacks.

The fourth perspective argues that defining disorder as protest may be a way for third parties to extend an invitation to form a coalition or prepare to enter into one. When a coalition among the groups for mutual gain seems possible, then the third party is inclined toward understanding the disruption as social protest. These coalitions with disruptive groups are more likely to work for groups of higher standing whose own position is strengthened by adding the threat of disorder from the protesting group to their own established power and who then help to legitimate the grievance claims and apply pressure on the target group.

The fifth theoretical perspective views defining a disruption or protest as a first step in a bargaining relationship between officials and disruptive groups. It permits a restrained handling that does not create the expectation of immediate suppression of disturbances but allows for a shift toward a harder line if the situation changes. However, as bargaining becomes routinized and impersonal, public sanction for the protest interpretation will weaken because the disturbance is no longer seen as spontaneous and naive. Then the disturbance comes to be seen as a move in a competitive game, to be met by minimal and calculated concessions.

What all of this means is that 1) the definition of a disturbance as deviance, protest, or rebellion is a function of the relationships between the relevant groups and will change as these relationships change, 2) the definition will also depend on who is involved and what their roles are. The definitions of partisans, targets, and officials will differ, and 3) Interpreting public disorders as social protest is an unstable and precarious act for it requires an optimally balanced set of conditions and is difficult to maintain over time. Because of this, Turner argues that "...reformers should not overestimate what can be gained by disorderly protest in relation to the many other means for effecting change."

Comments:

This is an extremely insightful and provocative analysis of the ways in which disorders are defined by a variety of publics. Although a number of studies have labeled the riots and civil disorders as protests based on specified objective criteria, this perspective is useful in underscoring the subjective values involved in those definitions.

Wallis, R. "Relative Deprivation and Social Movements: A Cautionary Note, British Journal of Sociology, 25, 3, 1975, 360-63.

Approach: Theoretical
Methodology: Critical Comment

This comment on the application of the concept of "relative deprivation" to the analysis of social movements raises a number of theoretical and methodological objections. The usual examination of a movement and its beliefs uncovers a gap between the actual and the desirable and leads to the conclusion that this disparity was experienced by members before they joined the movement, indeed that it was a major factor in their joining.

Wallis' first criticism is that this line of thought results in overprediction: more people are presumed to be deprived than actually join such movements. Thus we need to be told whether those who join are actually more deprived or whether other reasons operated; otherwise one has to argue that relative deprivation is a necessary but not a sufficient condition for participation. His second criticism is that many types of deprivation have developed which have not been clearly understood or described, making one suspect that "a plausible type can be invented to fit each and every social movement." His third criticism is that relative deprivation, though thought to be a subjective experience, is usually measured by objective indicators. Finally, Wallis argues that applying the concept to social movements is tautological, since social movements are in fact defined as alleviating some disparity between the aspirations and expectations of members. One must begin an analysis of social movements by listening to the accounts of participants to determine their needs and motives rather than by guessing at them. "The relative deprivationists in their aspiration to achieve a mono-causal explanation, or a simple set of necessary or sufficient conditions for social movement formation or affiliation, are doomed to permanent (cognitive) deprivation.

Comments:

Wallis offers a useful corrective to some persistent tendencies among proponents of the relative deprivation theory, but many of the criticisms are overstated.

Weiss, Robert, "Defection from Social Movements and Subsequent Recruitment to New Movements," Sociometry, Vol. XXVI, 1963; reprinted in Studies in Social Movements, B. McLaughlin (Ed.), New York: The Free Press, 1969.

Approach: Theoretical

Using four psychological processes from learning theory (stimulus generalization, extinction, displacement, and counterconditioning), a theory of recruitment to social movements following defection is developed. Characteristics of the original defection are hypothesized to determine the tendency to join a new movement and the choice of the particular movement. The reasons for the original defection include: a) the unavailability of the original movement (e.g., the member moves away or the movement collapses, b) the strength of belief or participation is decreased by nonreward, c) the strength of belief or participation is decreased by punishment, or d) the strength of belief or participation in an alternative movement is increased and is incompatible with that of the original social movement.

Predicting defection from one movement to another depends on which psychological process is involved, whether both belief and participation in the movement are equally affected, and the social conditions at the time of re-recruitment (especially the characteristics of the new movement). For example, when defection occurs because the original movement became unavailable to the member, stimulus generalization leads to recruitment in the available movement most nearly similar to the original movement. However, when punishment for belief and participation led to the defection, displacement leads to recruitment into a social movement of moderate similarity to the original movement, not to the most nearly similar movement available. Under this latter condition, there is a weaker tendency to join any new movement than when the defection occurred because the original movement was unavailable. When defection occurred because of non-reward of both belief and participation, the process of extinction will result in a somewhat greater resistance to recruitment to similar movements than among people who had not previously been movement members and if any recruitment took place it would most likely be to the old movement.

Weiss also discusses some measurement problems in determining the similarity of the old and new social movements and assessing the magnitude of the rewards and punishments inflicted on members. Since many diverse continua may be involved in comparing social movements, he suggested using multi-dimensional scaling to measure their similarity. However, the researcher must be aware that the defector's evaluation of the similarity of the movements may change from when he defected to after he became recruited. Although it is difficult to determine what rewards and punishments were given to members on the basis of historical records or contemporary studies of social movements, he suggested administering questionnaires to defectors as well as to other informants such as members and ex-members of the movement who knew the defector.

Comments:

This theory is designed to analyze only members who voluntarily joined and made commitments to movements. It specifically excludes those who comply under duress, who have only opportunistic associations with the movement, and where defection is organized and occurs in factions. It does not concern itself with individual personality traits which may conceivably predispose some individuals to the indiscriminate joining of social movements. While historical illustrations were used as examples, any critical test of the theory would involve individual level analysis of the actions and attitudes of the defectors.

Weller, Jack M. and E.L. Quarantelli, "Neglected Characteristics of Collective Behavior," American Journal of Sociology, 79, 3, 1973, 665-85.

Approach: Theoretical

This article attempts to overcome the lack of theoretical ties between general sociological perspectives and middle-range theories of collective behavior by suggesting a social-organizational conception of collective behavior. Current efforts to apply sociological analysis to collective behavior have concentrated on social conditions and their consequences, but they still describe collective behavior in terms of participants' psychological states or atypical actions among them. The authors suggest that "not only the search for social conditions and social consequences of collective behavior, but also all problems of its analysis (should) be defined at a social level." Concentration on individual participants in collective behavior has obscured the fact that the acts are those of collectivities whose characteristics resemble -- but are not the same as -- those whose behavior is institutionalized. The central problems are these: What are the social properties of the groups that engage in collective behavior? How do they compare conceptually with the properties of groups engaged in institutionalized behavior?

If one grants that social action by any group is based on social norms and relationships, institutionalized behavior is distinguished from collective behavior along those two lines. Institutionalized behavior is based on enduring systems of both social norms and social relationships. In contrast, collective behavior rests on emergent social norms or emergent social relationships, or both of these. Collective behavior also includes previously dissociated systems of norms, and relationships are integrated with each other.

Examples of four types of collective behavior are given. The first, where enduring social relations are combined with emergent norms as a basis of social action, is evident when organizations respond to disasters by different rules and policies. The second type, where enduring normative patterns are combined with emerging social relations, include lynchings, looting during civil disorders, and the like. The third type, where both norms and relations were emergent, is the "purest type" of collective disorder, and includes much of what is called crowd behavior. The fourth type, involving the "confluence of previously dissociated norms and relationships," is exemplified in an organization which borrows the norms of another organization, as happens when a teachers' association adopts the norms of collective bargaining.

The authors feel this typology has important implications for the theory and study of collective behavior. First, it offers a definition of collective behavior: social action engaged in by one of four types of collectivities. Second, it avoids combining the features that delineate different kinds of collective behavior with factors that serve to explain the behavior or record its consequences. Third, it undermines the importance of the crowd as a prototype of collective behavior.

Comments:

According to this notion, collective behavior includes all group activity. Any collective action in which the rules, policies and norms, as well as the roles of participants, are changing is considered collective behavior as opposed to institutionalized behavior. Although this alerts us to the idea that actions generally considered "irrational" or "individualistic" are essentially an emergent form of institutionalized group activity, such a definition may well be so broad as to be meaningless.

With respect to social relationships and social norms, this analysis helps us realize that collective behavior involves more than emergent norms and that new patterns of social relations can be just as dynamic as the old. Whether the typology makes it easier to differentiate between social actions that may stem from different conditions and have different consequences remains to be seen.

Williams, R.M., "Conflict and Social Order: A Research Strategy for Complex Propositions," Journal of Social Issues, 28, 1, 1972, 11-26.

Approach: Theoretical

Arguing that the legacy of past studies of conflict has provided a valuable array of hypotheses, empirical generalizations, conceptual schemes, and proto-theories, Williams suggests that approaches for further research should include multivariate models which do not try to account for all variance. Rather, researchers should be prepared to take seriously variables which may only contribute to rather than fully explain conflict.

As an example of this strategy, the author tried to develop a simple multivariate "law" of collective conflict. Given the existence of two sets of interacting persons who are different from one another, who are interdependent for the realization of vital needs, who interact frequently, and who are unequal in terms of authority or other scarce values, there will be a very high probability of overt disagreements leading to conflict if there is a marked and continuing decrease in interaction between the two groups. This will not occur, however, if: 1) There are strong affective ties between members of both groups, 2) The shared values of the two groups are so strong that they negate the predicted consequences, and 3) Negative feedback occurs so that there are counter processes of social control which reduce the probability of conflict.

Departing from this model, the author then goes on to try and account for student unrest in the 1960's. The proximity of large numbers of persons of the same age and social status (i.e., student) in the ecological context of a university provides the context for high interaction. Yet students are more heterogeneous than ever before and so collective unity is unlikely on very many specific issues which are closely related to these differences such as social class, religion, ethnicity, race, and educational aspirations. Collective action is more likely to be based on abstract and inclusive symbols, a common threat, or a common opposition. Thus, students are most likely to be mobilized on the basis of broad ideological appeals or diffuse loyalties or against something. There will be a tendency to move toward a strategy of threats and to be led by charismatic or demagogic leaders.

Williams then lists some predictive hypotheses for further research on the processes of collective conflict. First, escalation in the means used for conflict will be more likely and more rapid when there are multiple contenders for leadership, rapid turnover of both leaders and followers, and lack of definiteness in the powers, rights, duties, and privileges of spokesmen, organizers, representatives. Second, the number of issues involved in a conflict will be less among individuals or groups having responsible involvements in social systems (i.e., jobs, families, religion, or politics). Third, the greater the number of interactions between individuals or groups that cross-cut specific cleavages, the greater the number of issues that will be seen as relevant to a given conflict. Thus, groups whose members lack responsible involvements in the major activities of the community are likely to be extreme in their demands and tactics in a dispute.

Comments:

This is a useful source of hypotheses for further research but as the author notes, "the approach does not in itself cope with the extremely difficult problems of specific research design, techniques and modes of analysis."

Williams, Robin M., "Relative Deprivation Versus Power Struggle? 'Tension' and 'Structural' Explanations of Collective Conflict," Journal of Social Relations, 11, 1, 1976, 31-38.

Approach: Theoretical

This review of the literature focuses upon the relative adequacy of concepts and variables commonly used in the analysis and interpretation of collective conflict. The author's view is that the hundreds of nontrivial hypotheses and generalizations about collective conflict which have substantial empirical support may be classified in the following manner: 1) as hypotheses emphasizing discontent, grievances, tensions, deprivations, and the like as independent variables, and 2) as hypotheses emphasizing such structural or organizational factors as size of the collectivity, distribution of power, etc., as independent variables. After roughly sorting the literature of collective conflict into these two categories, the author notes important reviews of the conceptual problems of defining collective conflict, though he does not report the substance of these reviews. The author makes two general recommendations for dealing with the problems of selecting appropriate methods and concepts for the study of collective conflict: 1) The concepts and methodology used in the study of collective conflict must allow the answering of the following questions-- Why did the conflict occur where it did? Why did it happen when it did? Why did it appear among some social positions or formations and not among others? Why did some individuals and not others participate in certain ways? 2) Indicators of collective conflict must be disaggregated in order to make clear the interdependency of theory and methods; for example, total value of strike activity can be separated into the three components of strike proneness, extensity (number of workers per strike per 1000 workers) and intensity (number of work days idle per worker).

In reviewing research findings, Williams notes that many studies have incorporated both grievance-level factors (e.g., discontent, relative deprivation) with structural factors (e.g., legitimacy of political system, coercive capacity of authorities, region of the country, nonwhite population size) in their explanations. It is important to remember that it is under conditions of "relative deprivation and hope" rather than "absolute deprivation and despair" that conflict flourishes but a high level of discontent by itself will not accurately predict collective conflict. Similarly, certain conditions such as rapid social change or inequality may contribute to political conflict but they are not sufficient conditions.

Moreover, there may be quite different explanations for those individuals or groups who initiate and lead as compared to those who later follow or acquiesce in collective conflicts. The type of conflict also makes a difference in the explanatory variables: Some kinds of popular discontent are linked to spontaneous and unorganized conflicts but much organized political violence seems to arise from purposive, rational, organized attempts to take advantage of perceived opportunities or to avert perceived threats. Conflict arising from rising aspirations which are blocked but where hope still remains differs from conflict arising from despair but both types must be included in a comprehensive scheme.

Arguing that complex, multivariate models are most suitable, Williams generated a series of hypotheses based on results of past research. Structural factors, particularly the type of stratification, institutional imbalance, and organizational conditions, are likely to produce important social tensions leading to collective conflict, according to this strategy. And the most important collective conflicts are expected to arise only when there is the confluence of a quite complex sequence of conditions. This sequence begins with a group of people occupying similar social positions who are subjected to similar threats, deprivations or blockages. This produces mass discontent which is shared, leading to a collectivity. If the collectivity is either rising in power or is already powerful, it will mobilize and develop organization and leadership. This will also lead to countermobilization. This may escalate into large scale strife or it may be resolved depending on other conditions but once it has become severe and large-scale its dynamics will differ from the processes that led to the conflict in the first place.

Comments:

This is a well written and comprehensive review of the controversy between the relative deprivation and resource mobilization perspectives on conflict and disorder. It is particularly useful in differentiating the types of conflict and the stages of the conflict for which different explanatory models might be most effective. And it elevates the controversy from one of simplistic opposition between two perspectives to an attempt to integrate them both in an overarching theory.

Wilson, John, "Social Protest and Social Control," Social Problems, 24, 4, 1977, 469-81.

Approach: Theoretical

Wilson has developed theoretical propositions about the relation between social control agents and protest groups, using as a descriptive base the civil rights and antiwar movements in the United States in the 1960s. The chief aim was to formulate hypotheses about specific effects of social control on social movements, as well as to identify the agents of control. Social control was defined as "criminalization", labeling and treating dissenters as deviants; and the agents of control are officials, exercising an acknowledged authority, who identify and deal with deviants.

The target group -- that is, those whom the movement is trying to influence or win over because they have the decisive power to affect the issue in question -- must not be confused with the agents of social control. Although these two groups may act with a common purpose, at times they may not, "and even a heavily 'politicized' view of social control operations must grant them independent causal significance." The following features of social control agencies lead to a complex and fluid relationship between them, the target groups, and the protest groups:

- 1) Different situations and events lead to different decisions about which agency of social control will be responsible and the strategies it will use. The observer should not assume a priori knowledge of who will act and what tactics will be employed.
- 2) Many strategies of social control are discretionary and heavily influenced by informal rules. These too can only be discovered by a close examination of each situation.
- 3) Avoiding the formal positions and responsibilities of social control agents may not give an adequate understanding of their behavior because they are an autonomous professional group with distinctive attitudes toward social issues; their relations with both target and protest groups may actually provoke a clash of subcultures.
- 4) The structure of social control agencies -- their status in the bureaucracy, their technological resources, and interorganizational competition -- will affect the strategies they use in handling protest groups.

From the literature dealing with the effects of social control on attitudes, three propositions were developed about the manner in which "criminalization" and violent police restraint influence demonstrators' and bystanders' commitment to issues and tactics. Demonstrators' commitment to the issues is likely to increase if police control is abrupt, unexpected, and violent, if the protest group believes that the police and the target group are indistinguishable, and if they perceive that the community strongly supports the demonstration.

Wilson argues that the completeness, severity, and extensiveness with which control agencies regard protests as criminal acts will affect sympathizers' willingness to mobilize for action, whether marching or licking stamps. The more complete the "criminalization," the more severe the sanctions, and the more extensive the control, the less the likelihood of mobilization.

Under certain conditions, the tendency to treat protest as a crime is believed to make the social movements more radical. Radicalism is thought to occur under four conditions: 1) when the target group and social control agents are closely identified with "criminalization"; 2) when police actions force the most moderate faction out of the movement; 3) when support by buffer groups -- i.e., potentially helpful elites -- which keep target groups and social control agents separate; and 4) when moderate and extreme factions are united by "criminalization."

Social control will also affect the organization of social movements. Wilson suggests that it fosters internal solidarity if it is not extreme, and if the group's structure is resilient and includes means of coping with tension. A threat of "criminalization" intensifies the need for better coordination and compliance; hence the more stringent the social control, the more centralized and formal a movement will become.

Because political demonstrations and other forms of protest are both collective and ideological, the traditional theory of labeling must be modified before one applies it to the effects of social control on protest movements. For example, demonstrators may deliberately commit deviant acts in order to be labeled, and this may increase their commitment to a cause. Other protesters may resist being called deviant because they believe their acts were legal, but agents of social control might forestall demonstrators' attempts to resist being classed as criminals. Finally, Wilson suggests that the most significant effect of labeling is not on those labeled but on bystanders and sympathizers.

Comments:

This article is extremely useful in synthesizing a vast amount of material about the effects of social control on collective disorders and in developing testable hypotheses for future research.

Zald, Mayer N. and John D. McCarthy, "America and the Rise of Social Movements," New Society, 29, June 1972, 670-72.

Approach: Theoretical

The traditional view that social movements are spontaneously created by troubled people would predict that as affluence increases, social movements ought to decrease in rate and intensity. Zald and McCarthy contend, however, that the recent increase in social movements in America (defined as attempts by a group of people to change some aspect of the social structure, or their own relationship to it) is in part due to affluence, which has made possible massive changes in the financing of social movements, the development of transient, part-time and full-time social movement careers, and television.

As affluence has increased, the middle-and upper-middle classes have increasingly supported their values by contributions. Contributions from philanthropic foundations, churches and governments (War on Poverty, VISTA) have increased as well. Affluence is also associated with an increase in the number of people active in social movements. There has been an increase in the number of students, the size of faculties, and members of the upper white-collar class with flexible work schedules who are able to make short term commitments to social movements. With the nation's affluence, decently paid careers in social movements are also available. However, organizations may get tied to a fight for survival because of the needs of those with careers in the movement rather than the needs of its membership. Television acts as an advertisement for social movement causes, helping member recruitment and funding. Portrayal on television can confer legitimacy and can shape the priorities of political decision makers.

Funding, activist careers and the media have transformed the structure of operation of social movements in the U.S. The split of the funding and personnel base from the membership base (e.g., Common Cause) is not new; what is new is the extent to which the social structure supports a social movement industry. The authors predict that "in all open societies that allow social movements to flourish, affluence will contribute to the pressure to change our social and political institutions.

Comments:

Zald and McCarthy make a strong argument that the increase in social movement activity cannot be attributed solely to the level of deprivation in society; the ability to mobilize resources plays an important role as well. It is not clear, however, that poor people's movements are affected by affluence in the same manner. Social movements that advocate redistributing the nation's wealth, for example, are unlikely to get the financial support of the middle classes, government and philanthropic institutions whatever the current level of affluence may be.

Zygmunt, Joseph, "Movements and Motives: Some Unresolved Issues in the Psychology of Social Movements," Human Relations, 25, 5, November 1972, 449-67.

Approach: Theoretical

In this examination of the motivational analysis of social movements (i.e., the study of why people are attracted to, join, and remain in them), questions of its theoretical and methodological adequacy are raised "so that its proponents might sharpen and extend their inquiries to maximize their theoretical yield." Zygmunt distinguished the motivational approach which has tended to be concerned primarily with why people join social movements from the structural-functional and the interactional approaches. Structural-functional theorists have focused on conditions such as structural strain or societal disequilibrium which are conducive to the emergence of movements and which may be resolved by the existence of the movement. Interactional theorists concentrate more on the collective processes involved in the development, maintenance and change of the movements once they have emerged.

Recruitment of members has been the central concern of the motivational approach and the emphasis has been on states of psychic disequilibrium (e.g., "anomic," "neurotic," "frustrated," "discontented," "relative deprivation," "powerlessness") which make potential members susceptible. There are variations in the relative weight assigned to historical, social-situational, or deeply rooted characterological factors in generating this susceptibility and theorists also differ in whether they argue that susceptible persons will join almost any movement or whether there are specific predispositions for particular movements.

An adequate theory of the recruitment process must include attention to four basic processes: 1) alienation (i.e., how existing institutional and group ties are broken); 2) attraction (i.e., how individuals come within the influence of the movement and choose to remain within it); 3) conversion (i.e., how recruits are shaped to meet the movement's own organizational requirements); and 4) post-conversion management (i.e., how the movement fortifies and controls its members and what the career patterns are).

With regard to the process of alienation, the major weaknesses of the motivational approach has been that there has been a selective focus on the symptoms (e.g., discontent) or a simplistic explanation of the process (e.g., frustration of basic needs). Very little attention has been paid to the interaction of disaffected individuals (e.g., ethnic groups or social classes), to the social structural conditions such as periods of chaos, or to the role of the movements themselves in generating these alienative predispositions.

Perhaps the most serious shortcoming of the motivational approach, according to the author, is in the explanation of the process of attraction to a social movement. In trying to understand the appeal of these movements, theorists have generally inferred them from ideological pronouncements or from observations about the kinds of groups who have joined.

Most of the motivational assumptions have been untested with little or no research on how the recruits themselves perceived or defined the appeal. In some cases there has been a kind of "psychological debunking" of the movements and their ideologies in the search for more sinister motives. Moreover, there has been a neglect of factors such as social networks and interactional relationships or external factors such as public perceptions of the movement in explaining affiliation.

Very little attention has been paid to the process of conversion although the motives which lead to attraction and affiliation may be quite different from those that insure continued participation. Similarly, with regard to post-conversion management, the motivational approach should be extended to embrace this process and to understand the movement's role in maintaining, strengthening, or changing the motivations of its members in the face of adversity, disappointment or boredom.

Comments:

This article provides a sophisticated theoretical analysis of literature on social movements prior to 1970. It provides incisive critiques and promising paths for further theory and research. The issues it raises and the need for critical assessment of this approach remain relevant.

HISTORICAL AND CROSS-NATIONAL

Birrell, Derek, "Relative Deprivation as a Factor in Conflict in Northern Ireland," Sociological Review, 20, 3, August 1972, 317-43.

Approach: Descriptive

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

In this paper the concept of relative deprivation is examined for its explanatory value in understanding the violence in Northern Ireland. Impressionistic evidence of discontent expressed by Catholics regarding their socioeconomic deprivation in comparison to Protestants is discussed along with statistics indicating a factual basis for this perception. Scanty socioeconomic measures are used to show that economic conditions were improving in the sixties in the period leading up to the intense expression of grievances and the theory of rising expectations was advanced to explain the formation of radical social movements and political activity during this period. When these channels were obstructed and the demands not met, frustration increased and provided conditions leading to violence. Given the strong reactions to these processes as well as demands from the Protestant community and the police forces, the preconditions for collective disorders were established.

Comments:

This is little more than a descriptive and rather superficial account of the situation in Northern Ireland which is illustrated but not illuminated by the theory of relative deprivation.

Brown, Richard Maxwell, "The American Vigilante Tradition," In Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives, H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.) for the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence, New York, 1969.

Approach: Historical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

In what is basically an historical recitation of events preceding, forming and following the vigilante movement, Brown traces its development from a revolutionary beginning and its association with democracy, to its great flowering in the frontier (both in actual practice and in the literary traditions of the 19th century), its bastardized form in the 20th century where it was used to punish ethnic groups, political radicals, and union organizers. Brown seems sympathetic to the earliest forms of vigilantism --that somehow justice in the hands of community leaders was better than no justice at all--but comes down hard on "neovigilantes" who are prejudiced bigots--not upholders of social and moral justice. As an epilogue, Brown recites events, by year, beginning in 1964 and extending to 1968, which he considers vigilantism of the 60s. Almost all of these occurred in New York, and were responses of whites to threats of black violence in their communities. Brown seems to think this is a swing of the pendulum back to the earlier ideals associated with vigilantes: "...citizens join together for self-protection under conditions of disorder and lawlessness..." A 13-page appendix following the article lists, in alphabetic order by state, vigilante movements, their type (large, medium or small), their dates, the number killed, and the number of members in the movement.

Comments:

Brown's article, though a massive historical study, does not connect these movements with any other socioeconomic processes occurring in America and is too value-laden to be of much use for modern historians and sociologists wishing to form policy. Brown also lumps together many types of violence which many may not consider real "vigilantism"--and this too tends to weaken his arguments.

Brown, Richard Maxwell, Strain of Violence: Historical Studies of American Violence and Vigilantism, New York: Oxford University Press, 1975.

Approach: Historical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

The author maintains that violence is endemic in American history and has been imprinted upon the popular consciousness. Violence reinforces itself by creating a propensity toward violent behavior patterns and the belief that violence solves problems. The inertia of historical tradition condemns Americans to more violent behavior.

American violence is typed as conservative because it has been used to protect social stability. Participants have been drawn from all social strata, but usually were led by community elites as in the case of vigilantism. These elites in most cases represented business interests. Vigilantism, which is the only category of conservative violence extensively examined, received considerable support from many recognized and responsible national leaders in the 19th and early 20th centuries. According to the author, the unsettled nature of American life motivated Americans to invoke the concept of "popular sovereignty" in order to justify group behavior outside of the bounds of positive law. Violence has often been sanctioned by the belief that the "people's will" can intercede when law enforcement is incapable of solving disorders.

Comment:

Outside of the sound chapter on vigilantism, the author presents no typology of conservative violence. He tries to demonstrate that American violence is prevalent, contagious, and reinforcing of itself, but offers no cross-national data. Further, this study relies heavily on a psycho-historical explanation of collective violence, as in the extended chapter which argues that President Johnson's bellicose position on Vietnam had its roots in the violent background of his central Texas homeland. Lastly, this study concentrates only on reactive or conservative forms of violence. It ignores the numerous instances of "progressive" violence as well as the dimension of the role of the state in violent confrontations between social classes, ethnic groups and other associations.

Brown, Richard Maxwell, "Historical Patterns of Violence in America," In Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives, H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), for the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence, Revised Edition, New York, 1979.

Approach: Historical/Descriptive

Using a descriptive analysis of historical literature on American violence, Brown argues that "violence has accompanied virtually every stage and aspect of our national existence...that time and time again it has been the instrument not merely of the criminal and disorderly, but of the most upright and honorable." He emphasizes the conservative nature of much of American violence--that it has represented the attempt of established Americans to preserve their elite positions in the social, economic, and political order. In making a distinction between "negative aspects of our history" such as criminal activity, political assassinations, and racial conflict, and "positive events" such as the American revolution, the Indian Wars, the Civil War, vigilante violence, and police violence, Brown describes the violence used in both types of actions, and emphasizes that "Americans have never been loath to employ the most unremitting violence in the interest of any cause deemed to be a good one."

Comments:

This is a fairly superficial descriptive survey of a battery of events in American history in which violence (in the form of property destruction or personal injury) occurred. The attempt to characterize some of these events as positive and others as negative is questionable at best.

Davies, James C., "The J-Curve of Rising and Declining Satisfaction as a Cause of Some Great Revolutions and a Contained Rebellion," in Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives, H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), for the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence, New York, 1969, 671-791.

Approach: Historical
Methodology: Case Study

In challenging the traditionally held views concerning "why men revolt," Davies combines historical data with "causes of revolution and rebellion, psychologically considered" to develop his ideas on the causes of unrest. In the very first paragraph he asserts his definition of the J-Curve: "Revolution is most likely to take place when a prolonged period of rising expectations and rising gratifications is followed by a short period of sharp reversal, during which the gap between expectations and gratifications quickly widens and becomes intolerable." He then proceeds to test this notion using four case studies (the French Revolution, the Nazi Revolution, the American Civil War, and the uprisings of blacks in the 1960's - which he calls rebellion). A fifth case, that of student rebellions in the 1950s and 60s is only briefly discussed. These cases together are believed to support Davies' assertions, i.e., that "the J-curve is a necessary though not sufficient part of the explanation of at least several revolutions and some contained rebellions...a psychological, not a sociological explanation." Gratification of human needs is the root of all happiness, and the deterrent to all violence: "And it is that universal a phenomenon. Lawmakers as well as clerks, businessmen as well as laborers...would react the same if suddenly they were deprived of all the goods and dignity they had come to expect in the normal course of life. They would be less than human if they did not become angry."

Comments:

The basic thrust of Davies' argument, that psychological gratifications are the source of violence, is poorly defined and only incidentally supported by his choice of case studies. Not only are his terms "rebellion" and "revolution" (and war) poorly articulated, but the incomparability of these cases with those of blacks is a real drawback to his logic. Thus, for the Nazi case, he uses data on personal income, and numbers of unemployed, while for blacks he is content to use Supreme Court decisions regarding transportation, housing, and education, without any reference to actual conditions for blacks. This leads the reader to suspect that the data were used to selectively support the original contentions which amount to pseudo-scientific measures of "expectations" or "gratifications." These concepts need to be more rigorously defined, and better measures of them used, including subjective ones.

Feierabend, Ivo K., Rosalind L. Feierabend, and Betty A. Nesvold, "Social Change and Political Violence: Cross-National Patterns, In H. Graham, and T. Gurr (Eds.), Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives, for the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence, New York, 1969.

Approach: Theoretical/Empirical/Historical
Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data; Content Analysis

This study attempts to examine the relationship between social change, political violence and political stability. The basic concepts pursued in this article are that rapid socio-economic changes lead to violence, a violence which is an expression of frustration resulting from severe and persisting grievances which are not resolved. Their conclusions are derived from looking at information on 84 countries after World War II. These data are derived from two sources: Deadline Data on World Affairs and The Encyclopedia Britannica Yearbooks. Each political event is coded on 16 characteristics into 28 non-overlapping categories. These data are then used to create political stability indices for these countries; these are then compared separately with other indices of "modernity," "economic development," "political development," "coerciveness of regimes," and "political violence."

The authors conclude that there is a strong relationship between rapid change and political violence and suggest thresholds for stability involving minimum requirements for numbers of radios, newspapers, physicians, calories, and telephones, coupled with levels of literacy, urbanization and base incomes. Their ultimate conclusion is that political violence is more likely in countries which are either "modern" or "traditional"; those countries dubbed "transitional" (i.e., undergoing change) are more likely to be violent.

Comments:

This attempt to link psychological motivations toward violence with historical and political data on many diverse countries suffers from 1) data on a very short time span; 2) poorly defined concepts such as "modern," "traditional," "stability," "change," etc.; and 3) an ethnocentric perception and application of these concepts to these countries without recourse to colonial histories, or cultural characteristics which might be critical in understanding violence in non-Western societies.

Feldberg, Michael, "The Crowd in Philadelphia History: A Comparative Perspective," Labor History, Summer 1974, 323-36.

Approach: Historical/Theoretical
Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

In this article the author summarized the models of pre-industrial collective violence proposed by social historians including George Rude', Eric Hobsbawm, Edward Thompson and Charles Tilly. Studies of Britain and France in the period 1750 to 1850 led scholars to alter the earlier view that pre-industrial crowds were bloodthirsty and irrational. The work of Rude' and others supported the view that as localized economies were becoming industrialized and politically centralized, urban and rural rioters struggled against modernization to preserve traditional social values and economic systems. The pre-industrial crowd, which Tilly labelled "reactive" or "backward looking" defended itself against modern commercial capitalism and centralizing national governments. For the most part, Rude' and others propose that only after industrialization and centralization occurred did the lower orders of European society become a working class which pursued "forward looking" goals of obtaining a fair share and some influence in the new economic and political order. With the new order came larger crowds whose unity was based on class consciousness rather than residence or craft association; this working class unity was also encouraged by capitalist violence.

Feldberg used this model of pre-industrial violence to explain incidents of collective violence in Philadelphia between 1830 and 1850. In this period the urban economy shifted from a pre-industrial to an industrial stage. Factories and machines altered the textile, clothing, and shoemaking industries and caused dislocation and unemployment among skilled artisans. Industrial change, coupled with commercial dislocations which occurred when the developing national network of trade brought the city's commerce into competition with the rest of the country, brought violent strikes in its wake. He believes that for incidents of collective violence such as the weaver's strikes of 1842-43 and the railroad riots of 1840-42, the model is supported. Skilled weavers struck against suburban textile mills and against the railroad's disruption of neighborhood life and its threat to local trade through transportation monopoly, i.e., they resorted to violence to protect old ways of life.

On the other hand, the author suggests that the European model of Rude' and Hobsbawm are too "technologically bound" to fully explain the element of racial and ethnic violence found in America. To explain incidents of nativist attacks on Irish immigrants and abolitionists or Irish attacks on blacks, he used Tilly's thesis that in addition to periods of economic change, violence will also occur when competitive social groups gain or lose influence in the political power structure. Feldberg points out that unlike European crowds, however, Philadelphia crowds did not fight on one side and the representatives of the state on another. In the U.S. government often stood aside or in between when private groups clashed. In the early 19th century Philadelphia's municipal government rarely protected unpopular minorities, except when violence against them

threatened respectable neighborhoods. It was not until the later 19th century that the state had the capacity to intervene and to perpetrate significant violence itself; when it did, it came in on the side of socially and politically dominant groups.

The author concluded that riot was easily employed as a method of direct action by which groups regulated their relations because Jacksonian Philadelphia lacked strong or purposeful authority to mediate between groups or keep them from each other's throats. Violence was part of the political process of social bargaining by groups for political power, influence and economic position.

Comments:

This analysis suggests that any examination of inter-group violence should include a study of existing channels of communication between groups before and during confrontations and that determination should be made of the group loyalties and influence on police officials in any violent conflict. Knowing what participants expect to gain is important in understanding collective violence, but knowing why participants feel their goals cannot be reached or grievances settled in ways other than violent ones is also important to that understanding.

Gamson, William, "Violence and Political Powers: The Meek Don't Make It," Psychology Today, 8, 2, July 1974, 35-41.

Approach: Historical; Empirical
Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

Abstract:

In examining the relation between the ability and willingness of participants in social movements to use violence to achieve their goals and the likelihood of their success, Gamson used a "random" sample of 53 social movements and formal organizations that challenged the political and economic system between 1800 and 1945. A "challenging group" was defined in the following way: (1) its membership is not already sufficiently organized to form, for instance, an established interest group, and (2) the group must demand from an antagonist some change that its own membership can't provide.

The measures of success were whether "other power holders came to accept the group as a valid representative of legitimate interests" and whether the group gained new advantages for its constituents and accomplished its goals. More than half of the sample of social movements was successful judging by one measure or the other, but only 33 percent were successful on both counts.

Very few (15) of these groups had participated in any kind of violent exchange, but these, having either initiated the violence or fought back, had a higher than average success rate than those who did not do either. Groups that used violent tactics were more likely to be successful if their goals were limited and if they sought to co-exist with, rather than displace, established groups. The least effective strategy appeared to be advocating violence without having the strength to use it. Disruptive tactics -- strikes, boycotts, and efforts to humiliate or embarrass those in power -- were often successful. Gamson observed from his data that violence seemed to grow from "an impatience born of confidence and a sense of rising power," rather than to result from frustration and desperation. Groups which had a bureaucratic structure and centralized power were most likely to accomplish their goals: those that tried to avoid an internal hierarchy were less likely to be successful.

Comments: This interesting look at the strategies and characteristics of activist groups to see why some succeeded and others failed, challenges some of the traditional ideas about American pluralism. The author sees violence as the "spice of protest"; the successful group in American politics is "not the polite petitioner who carefully observes all the rules, but rather the rambunctious fighter, one with limited goals, that can elbow its way into the arena." At the same time, a word of caution is due about the size of the sample and the conclusions drawn from it. Gamson indicates that he drew a random sample from the hundreds of challenging groups that surfaced in American between 1800 and 1945. After eliminating those that did not fit his definition, he was left with 64 valid groups and found that information was

available on 53. Of this number only 15 met with any violence in their history and only 8 of these were willing to fight back. The finding that the 8 which actually participated in violence were more successful than the 7 nonviolent victims of attack, though suggestive, must be tempered by the realization that other factors than the willingness to use violence and the ability to organize for it may have been influential. Characteristics of the challenge itself, the antagonists, and environmental factors may be necessary considerations as well and may do much to explain the assumed causal relation between the use of violence and the success of movements.

Gurr, Ted, "Urban Disorder: Perspectives from the Comparative Study of Civil Strife," American Behavioral Scientist, 11, March 1968, 50-55.

Approach: Theoretical/Empirical
Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

This article is divided into two sections. The first of these summarizes some propositions about psychological factors that dispose people to violence and suggests their implications for research on urban disorders. The second section reports on a cross-national study designed to determine the effects of various social conditions on the outcome of discontent; and suggests some implications for the civil disorders in the United States in the late sixties.

The basic psychological source of turmoil, according to Gurr, is relative deprivation which is a discrepancy between people's expectations about what they deserve and their perceived chances of getting and keeping these things. He suggests several factors that affect the intensity of the response to relative deprivation: anger and aggression will increase when the extent of the discrepancy increases, when there are few alternatives to the blocked opportunity for reaching goals, when the expectations are held with great intensity, and when the deprivations are viewed as unjustified or illegitimate. Thus, "we need to know which groups feel most deprived, for these are the people who are most likely to become alienated to the point of violence. We need to assess the intensity of their anger because this is a major determinant of the intensity of their action. Most important for policy purposes, we need to know--not just to assume that we know--the content of their deprivation, for effective solutions can be devised only on the basis of accurate diagnosis." Although he believes that relative deprivation is a necessary condition and a major source of civil strife, he also recognizes that it is not a sufficient condition and patterns of social control and facilitation also have a great deal to do with the responses.

In his cross-national study of 114 countries with populations over one million, he used news information to categorize their short-term and persisting deprivation and the magnitude of civil strife (i.e., turmoil, conspiracy, and internal war). Most of the analyses were made with regard to turmoil, which is defined as "relatively spontaneous, unstructured, mass strife, including demonstrations, political strikes, riots, political clashes, and localized rebellions." Four intervening variables between deprivation and strife were developed: 1) the legitimacy of the political regime (measured by the length of time the political system remained stable and the extent to which it was developed indigenously rather than imported or imposed); 2) the coercive potential (measured by the relative size of military and police forces proportional to population and by their size and loyalty to the regime); 3) institutionalization of the expression of discontent (measured by the relative strength of unions, the stability of the political party system, and the fiscal resources of government); and 4) social facilitation of aggression (measured by history of civil strife violence and a composite measure of present size and activity of Communist parties, amount of rugged terrain available for sanctuary of rebels and the direct assistance to rebels given by foreign countries).

He found that all but one of these variables were significantly related to the measures of strife. Large military and police forces alone seemed to be unrelated to the amount of turmoil but in some cases turmoil tended to increase as the coercive forces increased in size. Multiple regression analyses showed that the amount of civil strife was well accounted for by the eight variables with an R of .806, but turmoil as one facet of strife was less well explained by the set of factors. He suggested that "a great many temporary and local conditions of kinds not represented in the summary measures of deprivation are probably responsible for much turmoil." Only three variables were direct and important causes of turmoil: long-term deprivation (i.e., economic and political discrimination, political separatism, religious cleavages, and lack of educational opportunity); a history of civil strife, and the illegitimacy of the political system. Thus, short-term deprivation is unlikely to lead to violence if the coercive forces are large and loyal, if few facilitative conditions are present, and if there is no tradition of civil violence.

In generalizing to the situation of American Blacks in the 1960s, Gurr suggests a sharp increase in short-term deprivation because the civil rights activism of the early 1960s led to repression which has effects similar to deprivation in that it infuriates its victims. Moreover, there is a chronic history of violence in the United States which is joined by a new pattern of racial violence which has potent facilitative effects on deprivation in the future. Along with these factors is the growth of extremist organizations which advocate covert and violent protest, and the persistent racial discrimination in this country. "The inference is that turmoil will be chronic in the near future."

Comments:

This study generated an impressive array of significant correlations. Its weaknesses, however, are concentrated at its initial stages. First and foremost is the lack of congruence between the opening discussion of psychological sources of turmoil and the subsequent examination of the economic and political correlates of such turmoil. The reliance on data derived exclusively from news sources is also a considerable limitation; checks against data from other sources were needed. Finally, brief and incomplete descriptions of the measures used and the limited presentation of the results prevent this from being a comprehensive report of the research.

Gurr, Ted R., "Sources of Rebellion in Western Societies: Some Quantitative Evidence," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 391, 1970, 128-44.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

This study was one in a series which utilized data on civil strife (during 1961-65) collected for 114 contemporary nations to understand the extent, types, and causes of protest and rebellion in 21 Western countries. Three hypotheses were tested: 1) the greater the intensity and scope of discontent in a population, the greater the magnitude of strife (defined as collective, overt, violent or nonviolent, nongovernmental attacks on people or property within a political system); 2) the greater the normative and utilitarian justifications for strife in a discontented group, the greater the magnitude of strife; and 3) the greater the institutional support and coercive capacities of the dissidents relative to regime capacities, the greater the magnitude of strife.

Magnitude of strife was indexed using proportional man-days of strife and deaths from strife. Four component strife scores were determined by distinguishing between violent and nonviolent strife, and between turmoil and rebellion. Turmoil was defined as spontaneous, unorganized strife with substantial popular participation. Rebellion included the organized strife with limited participation which characterizes conspiracy, and the organized strife with widespread participation found in internal wars. There were three summary measures of deprivation: short-term economic deprivation, short-term political deprivation, and long-term deprivation (persisting structural conditions causing enduring discontent, such as discrimination, lack of educational opportunities, and religious cleavages).

Analysis of the data indicated that levels of peaceful protest were not significantly affected by any of the deprivation measures. Both the measures of total turmoil (violent and nonviolent) and total strife (turmoil and rebellion) correlated moderately with short-term political deprivation and persisting deprivation. Rebellion, however was significantly correlated with each of the three forms of deprivation. Thus, intense and persisting discontents in Western societies would most likely lead to the more intense and violent strife associated with rebellion.

The justification of protest and rebellion was determined for each country by measures of governmental legitimacy, extent of past strife (1946-1959), and the historical success of strife. It was found that half of the differences in magnitude of strife among Western nations could be explained by the differences in justifications. Furthermore, each aspect of justification was significantly correlated with magnitude of strife, and the relationship was all the more pronounced when nonviolent strife alone was considered. The measures of justification thus proved to be more strongly associated with total strife and turmoil, and more weakly related to rebellion, than were the deprivation measures. Therefore, it seems that demonstrations and riots (turmoil) are less the result of intense grievances than of people's perceptions of their government and the desirability of protest. But the most serious forms of strife (such as terrorism and extremely violent riots) are related to intense and persisting frustrations.

The data on institutional support and coercive capacities showed that increases in dissident institutional support were likely to lead to greater nonviolent protest, whereas the prospects for rebellion were enhanced by increases in their coercive capacities. Regime institutional support, however, had a moderate effect of minimizing all forms of strife. Although the regime's coercive capacity had a minimizing effect on rebellion, it had little effect on the other forms of strife.

In conclusion, the institutional/coercive balance proved to be a significant and negative determinant of all forms of strife. Of equal importance but positive association were normative and utilitarian justifications. Finally, deprivation was found to have only significant associations with nonviolent protest (negative correlation) and rebellion (positive).

Comments:

As a part of a series of related studies, this study relies on the others for presentation of such basic information as the sample, sources of data, procedures used, and the development of the measures. Throughout this article the author continually equates causal and correlational analyses but the data do not support claims for causality.

Gurr, Ted Robert, "Political Protest and Rebellion in the 1960s: The United States in World Perspective," In Violence in America: Historical and Comparative Perspectives, H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), for the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence, Revised Edition, Beverly Hills: Sage Publications, 1979, pp. 49-76.

Approach: Theoretical

In this chapter the characteristics of political protest and rebellion in 87 of the world's largest countries during the 1960s are examined and special attention is paid to the United States. All overt collective confrontations between groups contending over political issues, violent and nonviolent, gathered from material reported in the New York Times and specialized regional news services were identified and coded, providing data on 2200 episodes of conflict. These episodes were categorized as either protests (conflicts over limited issues such as strikes, demonstrations, riots or clashes) or as rebellions (conflicts over more fundamental issues such as coups, guerrilla warfare, and terrorist campaigns).

Protests were far more common than rebellions and were far less deadly. In the United States the great majority of episodes were both legal and peaceful but the U.S. had more widespread and intense civil conflict than most other Western democracies though far less than many countries in Latin America, Africa, and Asia during the 1960s.

In trying to discover what kinds of people were most likely to take part in civil conflict, Gurr found that protest was not solely or primarily a lower class phenomenon. In contrast, rebellion was engaged in largely by military, police and public officials. The kinds of organizations which were involved in these episodes varied according to the level of economic development of the country. Associational groups (economic and open political organizations) were the major sources of dissidence in economically developed countries whereas conflict was relatively more likely to originate with communal and clandestine groups in the least developed countries. A country's type of political system (democratic or authoritarian) had less effect on the sources of organized dissidence in the 1960s than its level of economic development.

Nearly all dissidence in the United States had limited aims of reform rather than revolution, but countries differed significantly in the relative importance of different motives. In democratic countries, dissidents very rarely had revolutionary objectives but the less developed a country, the more likely was conflict waged over the revolutionary issues of who should rule and how and the less likely it was to be limited to questions of policies and personnel.

In the 1960s not only did the United States have more protest and rebellion than most other Western societies, but these episodes differed in two other ways: 1) right-wing groups resorted to violence in political causes and 2) the authorities responded to some kinds of protest with violence. Gurr noted that "The United States is unique among contemporary Western democracies in nurturing an enduring tradition of private political murder in resistance to change and...It was all too clear in the 1960s that the nonviolent response to protest which characterizes other Western

democracies had not taken root in the United States."

Comments:

This is an interesting and comprehensive survey of collective confrontations in the United States during one decade with a comparison to incidents in other countries, but the limitations in data collected from newspaper stories must be considered in assessing the conclusions.

Gusfield, Joseph R., "Functional Areas of Leadership in Social Movements,"
Sociological Quarterly, 7, 2, 1966, 137-56.

Approach: Historical/Theoretical
 Methodology: Case Study

The author discusses the persistent demands made upon leaders to simultaneously head a hierarchy of authority and decision-making within the movement, and represent the movement to an environment of clients, enemies, and potential recruits. An analysis of the leadership of the Women's Christian Temperance Union during two different periods--at the turn of the century and in the mid-1950s--provides the base from which the author examines tensions between these two areas.

As the mobilizer of the movement, the leader is expected to express the disjuncture between the values of society and those of the movement, and promote the development of a separate identity for the movement and cohesiveness among its members. The leader must also serve as an articulator of the movement to the larger society and must both communicate and negotiate the social movement's interests and doctrines. As a result of the dual functions of mobilization and articulation, demands develop within the movement for divergent modes of leadership behavior. Whereas these frequently exist at the same time and are often inconsistent, they can also result in an alternating sequence of leadership patterns.

Presidential transition in the WCTU in the mid-1950s highlights the interplay of these demands. The outgoing president was known for her strict adherence to the doctrines of total abstinence and Prohibition. Her zealous commitment to doctrine, and intransigence toward other dry groups that took a moderating stance, made the group increasingly subject to outside ridicule and disapproval, though she was quite successful in recruiting and mobilizing members. The demand for a balance between mobilization and articulation led to the election of a president admired for her ambassadorial qualities who was called upon to find the common ground which WCTU could share with other organizations within and without the Temperance movement.

Whereas the "natural history" approach to leadership suggests a sequence in which mobilizing leadership is supplanted by articulating leadership as a movement matures, the WCTU presents another picture. The second president of the Union (Frances Willard, 1879-1898) was particularly adroit at selling the movement to other groups, but a mobilizing movement within the WCTU eventually forced her to moderate her drift toward anti-WCTU alliances. Thus, rather than the natural history sequence of an evolving emphasis on articulation, the WCTU was characterized by a cyclical change between emphases on articulation and mobilization.

It is the author's view that "the disjuncture between ideology and the adaptive problems of the movement constantly raises the issue of too much or too little accommodation; or renunciation of the mission or overrighteous indignation." But the resulting tensions in leadership role-sets can be minimized by: minimal visibility, insider pose (seemingly disloyal behavior excused as a temporary expedient), separation of mobilization and articulation functions through dual leadership, colorless leadership

(the mediocre, compromise candidate), and cycles or stages of leadership. The history of the Women's Christian Temperance Union indicates that this social movement has been characterized by a cyclical sequence of leadership types in which the functions of each role have been met by a succession of leaders.

Comments:

Although the choice of the two time periods makes for a disjointed case study, it appears likely that these periods provide clear instances of organizational shifts between the mobilization and articulation components of presidential leadership. The selection also effectively counters the natural history view while providing support for the author's belief that such components are persistent aspects of organized groups operating within more than one social system. The essential point, then, is the existence within social movements of a "general and persistent need for internal authority, supervision, and morale at the same time as a need for control of external forces which shape and limit the organization."

Hackney, Sheldon, "Southern Violence," in Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives, H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.) for the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence, New York, 1969; also American Historical Review, LXXIV, February 1969, 906-25.

Approach: Empirical/Descriptive
Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

A tendency toward violence has always been one of the traits most frequently attributed to Southerners. The author reviews data supporting this regional variation in the pattern of violence. He demonstrates that violence in the South compared to that in the North has three aspects: high rates of homicide and assault, a moderate incidence of crime against property, and low suicide rates.

He examines several possible explanations of the pattern of southern violence which he finds unsatisfactory, including anomie, frustration-aggression, economic backwardness, the business cycle, child-rearing practices, colonial status, and Cash's Southern cultural pattern position.

Hackney concludes that social science offers no clear valid evidence for predicting a relation in different populations between homicide and suicide rates. He then cites what he considers to be a promising line of research, the relation between a tendency toward depression (and suicide?) and a tendency toward paranoia (and homicide?) with socialization patterns. Depressed patients come from families which try to force children to behave as their parents wish through positive "ought" channels. The children so trained come to see the environment as not threatening, it is something to be manipulated. The person acts on the environment. Paranoid patients, on the other hand, were forced to behave acceptably by "ought not" demands. To such persons the environment has potentially harmful properties that he cannot control and that he must in some way avoid. The environment acts on the person.

The author cites crosscultural data which support a relation between perceptions that the social and physical environment are potentially harmful and a high homicide rate. No such data were then available with respect to the South, but Hackney notes that the southerners have from the first exhibited a siege mentality, created by the need to protect a peculiar institution -- the South -- from threats originating outside the region. He then traces events in the South since the Civil War and concludes that "being Southern involves a feeling of persecution at times and a sense of being a passive insignificant object of alien impersonal forces."

Comments:

The homicide-suicide pattern the author is trying to account for is based on 1940 findings which may be out of date. That is, the further urbanization of the South and the heavy increase in northern urban violent crime may show a different regional pattern today.

Hudson, M.C., "Political Protest and Power Transfers in Crisis Periods: Regional, Structural and Environmental Comparisons, Comparative Political Studies, October 1971, 259-94.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

This comparative analysis of 95 countries focuses on the relation between patterns of protest and governmental change during periods of crisis. Taking each country's most violent year (the year in which most political deaths were reported) between 1949 and 1966, the author examines the frequency of incidents of protest and of governmental change compared with the year immediately preceding and immediately following the crisis year. Similar comparisons between the countries are based on three types of variables -- regional, structural, and environmental.

The author classified protests reported in daily news publications into three groups. 1) Protest demonstrations. These were nonviolent (i.e., they did not cause major injury or destruction) gatherings of people organized to protest the policies, ideology, or actions of a regime, or a governmental or political leader. 2) Riots. These were relatively unstructured gatherings of large numbers of people which caused material damage or bloodshed. 3) Armed attacks. These were highly organized gatherings where people joined to commit violent acts against other organized groups in an effort to weaken or destroy the power of the group under attack.

Events indicating governmental change fell into four groups. 1) renewals of executive tenure, for example, presidential elections won by incumbents and votes of confidence won by parliamentary regimes; 2) regular executive transfers, that is, changes in the office of the national executive accomplished through conventional legal or customary procedures and unaccompanied by actual or directly threatened physical violence; 3) irregular executive transfers or changes in the office of the national executive outside the conventional legal or customary procedures and accompanied by actual or threatened violence; and 4) attempted irregular executive transfers, that is, reported efforts to remove and replace the incumbent national executive outside the conventional procedures for transferring formal power (this group did not include plots discovered before they could materialize).

The regions that were compared included Asia, Latin America, the Middle East, Western Europe-North America, Oceania, and the Communist countries. The two structural variables examined were the age of the state in its current form and party fragmentation, that is, the dispersion of political party representation in lower houses. Definitions for environmental variables were not given, but these variables included GNP growth rate and ethnolinguistic fragmentation.

The author found that on the average the most violent year experienced by countries after World War II was characterized by many

armed attacks but few demonstrations or riots, "suggesting that these milder forms of activity cannot be taken as hallmarks of systemic crisis." Moreover, his data suggested that violent crises and major governmental change tended to be independent of each other; nor was any close relation found between the intensity or frequency of violent events and the frequency or irregularity of governmental changes. During the three-year crisis period, the number of protests rose from the first year then dropped off in the year following the crisis year. This was especially true of armed attacks and of irregular governmental changes; but regular transfers of executive power rose throughout the period. Although there is little regional variation in these patterns, Europe-North America was first in protest demonstrations, the Middle East in riots, and Asia in armed attacks. In general, demonstrations tended to predominate the older states, riots in the middle-aged states, and armed attacks in the newest states. The number of protests is greatest among the new states and those with low rates of economic growth; and it is lowest in the middle-aged states and those with high growth rates. Countries with the most ethnolinguistic fragmentation in the population ranked highest in the number of all types of protest as well as in the number of irregular transfers and renewals.

Thus, Hudson concludes, "Countries with low economic growth rates and high ethnolinguistic fragmentation would appear to be the most prone to high levels of protest and irregular transfers in crisis periods. Young countries with low party fragmentation appear most prone to high levels of protest while old countries show high levels of irregular change and high party fragmentation countries show high levels of regular change."

Comments:

This is an ambitious though exploratory attempt to understand what kind of violence and power transfers occurred while a country was undergoing its greatest period of violence, and to examine the sequence of such events. The methodological limitations are detailed in the article, particularly in the reliance on existing reports of events and the selection of the crisis year on the basis of the number of reported political deaths.

It is useful to challenge certain common beliefs about the genesis and aftermath of political protest, as the author does here. A thorough examination of how political protest is linked to power transfers, however, requires some attention also to social and economic changes that could bear on the question. Without this, the effectiveness of protest as a mode of political action is not fully disclosed, since power transfers may not do much to change basic conditions.

Jeffreys-Jones, Rhodri, Violence and Reform in American History, New York and London: New Viewpoints, 1978.

Approach: Historical

This study discusses the interaction between violence, the propensity to respond to violence with political reform, and the rhetoric of violence in 20th century America, with special attention given to the years between 1900 and 1917. The author argues that these crises of order were exaggerated by social reformers and in reality reflected a crisis of the "middle-class mind" rather than an incipient social cataclysm. For example, he found that industrial disorders were only slightly higher in the U.S. than in Western Europe, despite the conventional view that industrial violence in the U.S. has been significantly more pronounced.

The United States has an unwarranted reputation for collective industrial violence, according to the author, because social reformers, the military, the national guard, and private detective agencies exaggerated disorders for self-serving reasons. This contributed to an inevitable political reaction in the 1920s and late 1960s and 1970s which destroyed the achievements of reform and clouded rational examination of social disorders.

Comments:

The author may be right in asserting that there was a "crisis" of the bourgeois mind in the generation or so before World War I. The sense of an impending clash between social classes was common to all the advanced capitalist nations of the period. What the author does not explore and which therefore weakens his argument, is whether this crisis had its origins in clearcut material conditions. Incidentally, the author used only one index of violence--deaths in industrial disputes--to compare European and U.S. labor disputes. When other criteria have been used, violence in U.S. labor disputes seems to have been greater than in Europe.

Lyman, Stanford A., "Red Guard on Grant Avenue," Trans-Action,
April 1976, 21-35.

Approach: Historical; Descriptive
Methodology: Case Study

This article describes conflicts in San Francisco's Chinatown. At odds are the traditional authority of the clan associations and secret societies, the emerging organizations made up of the American-born bourgeoisie, and the gangs of disaffected youth. The author discusses and analyzes the relations between these groups and their internal problems.

Using changes in American immigration policies, the increasing birth rates among the indigenous population, changing educational characteristics of the Chinese, and actual conditions in the ethnic enclave, he traces the development of rebellion among American-born youth and Hong King immigrants, comparing the traditional authority of the secret societies to that of the newer organizations, and detailing the potential conflicts between Chinatown and the larger metropolis.

Hobsbawm's discussion of social bandits and primitive rebels leads the author to pay particular attention to the emergence of politicized rebellion among such populist groups as the Red Guards and the conservative factions of Hwa Ching. These groups of disaffected youths are in conflict with each other and are separated by differences in their place of birth, ideology, and organizational ties. The Red Guards, composed primarily of American-born Chinese, have revolted not only against the traditional power and authority exerted by the secret societies and clan associations but against the white power elite.

The Hwa Ching, composed primarily of youths from Hong Kong, was thwarted in its original attempts to provide a vocational training program for young immigrants, and its bitterness and anger resulted in its complete fragmentation. Rather than turning to populist revolt, its members either resumed their criminal activities in the streets or accepted a place in the old order's secret societies as paid guards. According to the author, members of the Hwa Ching who aligned themselves with the old order rather than adopting the radical militancy of the Red Guards did so to serve their immediate practical needs, to make up for their poor command of English, and in answer to their fear of political persecution and possible deportation.

The recruitment of these newly arrived and disaffected immigrant youths has done much to rejuvenate the clan associations and secret societies, which had been declining in power and authority as a result of the aging of their members and the acculturation of the American-born Chinese. Yet these new members are expected to challenge the old order from within.

In addition to the "illegitimate" rebellion of the Red Guards in Chinatown, there is a "legitimate" resistance by adults to the communitywide authority of the old order, particularly by the middle-class American-born Chinese in several associations. With all these pressures, "the crust of Chinatown's cake of customary control may be beginning to crumble...The politics as well as the 'crimes' of Chinatown are coming to resemble those of the larger society, with alienation, race consciousness, and restive rebelliousness animating a new generation's social and organizational energies."

Comments:

A detailed and fascinating account of the organizational challenges to a traditional power structure in an ethnic enclave, this study provides many insights into the dilemmas of emerging social movements and the motives of different participants.

Maier, Pauline, "Popular Uprising and Civil Authority in 18th Century America," William and Mary Quarterly, 27, 1970, 3-35.

Approach: Historical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

The author maintains that popular non-institutionalized crowd action was an accepted social force in 18th century America and that colonial elites did not by and large view crowd action as an illegitimate form of protest. It was not unusual for contentious gatherings to draw participants from all social classes. Crowds acted as extra-legal arms in defending community interests. In many cases, they sought to serve the community where no law existed or when the authorities could not act due to unsettled frontier conditions, as vigilante groups.

A typology of crowd action and civil disturbances can be inferred from the author's several examples. Communal brawls were notably lacking in this period. But, disturbances between communities over land cessions precipitated mob action which was distinctly non-political in nature. Political disturbances were usually precipitated by British imperial policies which either upset traditional practices or assaulted general notions of social justice. For example, customs riots preceded the American Revolution as did anti-impressment commotions. Both types of disturbances saw the emergence of a politically forward-looking crowd activity. The character of Anglo-American law enforcement explains popular uprising and its persistence in the 18th century. Because no professional police forces or militias were established, authorities depended on informal systems of control. The uprisings of the North Carolina Regulators, Fries Rebellion, the Whiskey Rebellion, and Shays Rebellion grew to large dimensions due to the state's lack of policing power.

Comments:

This is a largely derivative article. It does not tell us much more about the crowd than we have learned from Rude' and others. Absent is an explanation of how crowd action was politicized into a revolutionary movement beyond the immediate control of local elites. Nor does this essay contrast rural and urban violence in an effective fashion.

Miller, Floyd, "Black Protest and White Leadership: A Note on the Colored Farmer's Alliance," Phylon, 33, 2, Summer 1972, 169-174.

Approach: Historical/Descriptive
Methodology: Case Study

To refute the notion of many historians that class interests may override racial distinctions, Miller points to the experience of the Colored Farmer's Alliance. This alliance, formed in the 1880s, consisted of a black majority led by whites.

Among the reasons why "a large black organization committed to protesting both racial and economic injustices allowed whites to serve as its chief spokesmen," the author contends that organization beyond the local level required resources that only white leaders could provide and that the organization's ideology was compatible with white leadership. Although the early leader of the alliance, Richard Manning Humphrey, was a paternalistic "economic radical with liberal racial views" who often differed with the members regarding federal supervision of elections and other issues, he was useful to the organization because he supplied access to financial and other support from whites. Moreover, blacks within the organization expressed a clear desire to unite with whites to advance common interests, a position in harmony with white leadership. Humphrey was also able to support blacks in their economic demands.

According to the author, racial issues were becoming so important to the members of Humphrey's organization that he could not have retained his leadership if the organization had survived the formation of the Populist Party in 1892. The Colored Farmer's Alliance did not fail because militant black leaders were lacking, Miller asserts, but because of the temper of the South at that time. He implies that the organization had depended wholly on the white leaders and eventually died because "the decade of the 'nineties!.. was not the time for a black protest organization to succeed--even exist--in the South."

Comment:

According to Miller, the white leadership of this black protest organization represented a means by which a politically and economically powerless population could accumulate needed resources, acquire legitimacy, and form alliances with whites on the basis of class interests. The observation that the usefulness of such leadership was limited both by the stage of the organization's development and the degree that its leaders reflected the needs and desires of members has important implications for other such groups, including many of the later civil rights organizations.

Monti, Daniel, "Mob Action and Civic Responsibility: The New York Case," New York Affairs, 4, Summer/Fall 1977.

Approach: Theoretical/Prescriptive
Methodology: Analysis of Secondary Data

Monti is concerned with demonstrating the positive utility of collective violence in New York City's history. Contrary to some suggestions in the report of the Task Force on Disorders and Terrorism (1976), he contends that altering traditional political processes to control and prevent violence would exacerbate tensions and eliminate avenues of protest. Public policy should direct and manage collective action along moderate lines, not try to eliminate it.

On the basis of a selective study of collective violence in New York City, the author argues that this form of disorder has been an established part of the city's life for three centuries. Violence originated in the unsettled conditions of urban life and generally has been "conservative" in that its goal has been to protect parochial interests rather than to foster insurrection. Most violence before 1850 was committed by lower-class mobs who were manipulated by the city's elites to serve the elites' interests. After 1850 the elites withdraw support for mob actions and reorganized the police force in order to deal with disorders. This did not mean that upper-class people or politicians no longer cared about protecting their positions. They merely relied on municipal police forces to carry out their wishes, rather than on working and lower-class persons.

Even the draft riots of 1863 were reactionary or conservative, in the author's view, since the rioters did not seek or receive sweeping economic and political reforms or relief from the hated draft laws imposed by the federal government. Monti also considers most labor violence conservative since it most often occurred when workers could not represent themselves. Moreover these disorders were hardly the violent confrontations that revolutions are made of, despite the industrialists' fears. Monti believes that New York City's history and probably other cities' as well furnishes few precedents for using mob action deliberately to threaten existing institutions. Yet violence traditionally aimed at comparatively moderate ends "would seem to argue against the need to subvert the political processes of cities just so people fail to riot."

Predictions about collective violence included the notion that urban riots will be more radical than those of the 1960s and that they could involve gangs as well as such special interest groups as labor unions and civil rights organizations. Distasteful as mass rioting may be to most Americans, it is over fairly soon and would only be replaced by terrorist activities if it were suppressed.

Comments:

The argument that civil unrest is often effective when conventional legislation fails is well stated in this article, and some interesting examples are given of violence as a political device in New York City.

Richards, Leonard, Gentlemen of Property and Standing: Anti-Abolition Mobs in Jacksonian America, New York: Oxford University Press, 1970.

Approach: Historical

This study has two main goals: first, to uncover the causes behind elite participation in the violent and organized anti-abolition riots of the 1830s; and secondly, to examine the ebb and flow of collective violence against abolitionists, what types of riots there were, the social characteristics of the participants, and the reasons for the rise and decline of anti-abolition riots.

Anti-abolition crowds were not motivated by the oftentimes inflammatory rhetoric of the anti-slavery movement. According to the author, violence was precipitated by the establishment of organized and well-funded abolitionist societies which threatened the older colonization societies, favoring the repatriation of blacks to Africa, not racial assimilation.

Drawing upon Niles' Register (a compilation of nationwide newspaper reports), the author established that collective violence against abolitionists affected all parts of the country, rural as well as urban areas. The rhythm of anti-abolitionist violence was affected, again, not by the rhetoric of the abolitionists but by their actions. The more abolitionists agitated through the postal system, in the halls of Congress, and in street demonstrations, the greater was the violent response of the anti-abolitionists. Mob action against the abolitionists declined when the abolition societies ran out of funds in the late 1830s and when the question of slavery in the territories gained national prominence.

The typical anti-abolition mob was a well-planned and well-organized group action, led by prominent local citizens. The author has drawn an interesting profile of these elites by examining city registers, manuscript censuses, newspaper reports, and some arrest records. These rioters were likely to be native born professional men engaged in commercial enterprise, "high church" Protestants (Episcopalian), and were in most cases part of the local political establishment. It was not unusual for sheriffs, district attorneys, businessmen, doctors and other well-placed citizens to become part of an anti-abolitionist mob. In contrast, abolitionists were drawn from the rising manufacturing class, artisans, and journeymen workers, and were more often foreign born and members of lesser Protestant churches. In the author's view, the anti-abolition mobs were threatened by abolitionism not simply because some northerners stood to gain by the continued existence of slavery, but because radical abolitionism bypassed traditional patterns of power and influence and provided a vision of society based on a non-hierarchical egalitarianism. Anti-abolition mobs were an aggrieved elite class of men who sought to protest their social dominance and the traditional values of deference, hierarchy and elite leadership in the face of sweeping economic and cultural change.

Comments:

The basic question which the author has not faced in this outstanding work is why elites resorted to violence. Presumably, if elites organized and directed mob action, they were also in a position to manipulate the political system in favor of their own position. The resort to violence was a desperate action by men in an apparently non-desperate situation.

Rosen, George, "Social Change and Psychopathology in the Emotional Climate of Millennial Movements," The American Behavioral Scientist, 16, 2, 1972, 153-67.

Approach: Historical/Theoretical
Methodology: Descriptive

This is an overview of the psychological characteristics and social conditions of "millennial movements" in Europe, Asia, Africa, North or South America, and Oceania. The doctrine of millennium is defined as the "idea of intervention by a define leader who would deliver his people from oppression and usher in a promised age of peace and plenty." Movements based on this belief occur as a response of people who cannot improve their condition by ordinary means and turn to "religious developments for salvation." The impulse occurs under conditions where a group of people finds itself dominated by another group or where people believe themselves collectively trapped in a situation. In seeking to escape, they may try to destroy the old order and create a new and better one, or they may withdraw into a compensatory inner world.

In these situations, "messiahs" may appear who promise to free the group from their troubles either through material or spiritual means. Members of these movements, sometimes called "revitalization movements," frequently exhibit such bizarre behavior as frenetic dancing in group, falling into trances, twitching, convulsions, which observers attribute to mass psychopathology. The group may harbor or even be led by mentally disturbed or emotionally disordered individuals. According to this author the movements occur in emotional, explosive situations where a contradiction exists between cultural goals and the means of attaining them. Such movements may help disordered individuals function and may be vehicles by which frustrated individuals can express their need for action.

Comments:

This paper, attempting in only a few pages to summarize centuries of history and social movements, lacks focus and seems to ramble, although the purpose -- interpreting millennial movements as social as well as psychological events and viewing them in their historical context -- could be usefully carried out in a longer, more rigorous study. Such a work would define the dynamics of millennial movements more thoroughly and help to interpret current examples, like the Jonestown group.

Rude', George, The Crowd in History: A Study of Popular Disturbances in France and England, 1730-1848, New York: Wiley, 1964.

Approach: Historical

This study is divided into two basic parts. In the first part, the author examines rural and urban crowd action in England and France as well as a number of specific riots, insurrections, and other instances of collective violence. The second part is an analysis of the "preindustrial crowd" as a distinct historical phenomenon.

The author maintains that this period warrants study because it served as a transitional bridge between distinctly medieval forms of crowd action and more modern types of collective behavior. The millenarian social protest movements of the middle ages, especially among the rural population, were largely unrealistic attempts to establish otherworldly goals in an inhospitable social climate. In contrast, modern collective action is characterized by mass political organizations with well-defined, forward-looking goals that can realistically be achieved. The preindustrial period (roughly 1700-1850) saw the beginning and ultimate triumph of the modern type of collective action.

In examining this transitional period, the author drew upon traditional and non-traditional sources, including newspapers, police records, and parish registers to understand who the participants were, why they acted, and how their actions affected the responses of the authorities.

Many of the chapters on specific riots and rebellions offer a view of how uneven, slow, and at times mixed the transition was to modern collective action. For example, the typical form of social protest in rural areas was the food riot, precipitated by the desire of villagers to lower the price of grain in times of distress. Except for the harassment of merchants and millers, there were no political overtones in these affairs until the French Revolution when the food riot was turned into an explicitly political action. Rude' sees new ideas of social justice and the political philosophy of the Enlightenment as important in modernizing the older forms of collective action.

Urban riots were more varied and distinctly more political than rural food riots. In the Wilkite riots of the 1760s and 1770s protest was aimed directly at government policies, but not to capture state power. In the development of mass urban crowd action, the period 1798 to 1850 was crucial because it saw the growth of popularly based social movements aimed at bringing about wider political participation, as in the case of English Chartism, and a direct assault on aristocratic power as in the French Revolutions of 1830 and 1848.

From these case studies, the author establishes several important points about the crowd in this period. He argues that the riff-raff theory of LeBon and other crowd psychologists does not fit the preindustrial world. Participants in collective violence were not vagrants, criminals or other undesirable social elements. Rather, the vast majority

were sober householders and citizens with established, though usually modest, positions in the community. In rural areas participants were usually small peasant proprietors, rural craftsmen, or landless laborers. In urban centers they were typically small shopkeepers, artisans, servants, laborers, miners, or weavers. Again, contrary to LeBon, the author asserts that crime and social protest were not synonymous in these disturbances. At times criminals may have taken advantage of social conflict, but the slum elements of the great cities were not the shock troops of crowd action. Crowds were motivated by principals and beliefs that show a mix between pre-modern and modern collective action. In the first half of this period, motives and "ideology" were often based on the attempt to preserve traditional practices against the encroachments of new technologies and the demands of the state. The later half of the period saw the emergence of more forward-looking social protest in which demands were made not for the restoration of lost rights and practices, but for a new configuration of political participation and power. Clearly, the author concludes, the preindustrial crowd was not fickle, irrational, or pathological. It knew what it wanted and over the years learned how to achieve some of its goals.

Comments:

This important study suggests several possibilities for research in the American context and shows that crowd action and social protest are not static phenomenon, but involve processes that require much time in which to develop and mature.

Schwartz, David C., "On the Ecology of Political Violence: The Long Hot Summer as a Hypothesis," American Behavioral Scientist, 11, 6, 1968, 24-28.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

Abstract:

This attempt to see if any relation exists between climate and the frequency of certain types of political violence tests two hypotheses: (1) the "long hot summer" theory that violence is greatest in the warmest months and the warmest regions; and (2) the "temperate weather activity" theory that violence is most frequent in zones and periods of moderate climate.

Using a sample of 51 nations (excluding North America) for which data on violent incidents were available in the Feierabends' Cross-National Data Bank from 1948 to 1964, Schwartz constructed frequency measures of five forms of political violence: coups, assassinations, terrorism, guerilla warfare, and revolts. He identified 340 events, using the formal code index of these activities. Monthly data were also gathered on average maximum temperature, median relative humidity, and average rainfall for representative sites in each of the 51 nations.

Political violence in the countries was found to be disproportionately associated with periods of moderate temperature, high humidity, and high monthly rainfall. Climate was less important as a factor in assassinations, incidents of terrorism, and other, less organized and more spontaneous forms of political violence than in more organized forms. In fact, revolts, which are one of the most organized forms of sustained violence were most clearly associated with particular climatic conditions, being especially frequent in countries with hot humid weather. Countries with high humidity and those with more temperate climates tended to have more violence than the less humid nations, but they were also less likely to be economically developed. In short, these data do not support the "long hot summer" thesis that extreme temperatures lead to violence, but they do lend some validity to the "moderate temperature activity" hypothesis.

Comments:

Unfortunately Schwartz was limited by a lack of precise data on both climate and violence. The exact location and time of the outbreaks of violence were unknown and so the data on violence were aggregated at the national level and the weather locales were similarly constructed. Moreover, the weather data were aggregated into quartile periods consequently the high average rainfall associated with political violence, for example, would not necessarily mean that these events occurred when it was raining. Since the climatic conditions were designated on the basis of temperature and humidity during an average three-month period, it was impossible to compare the results with other findings, which

have shown that riots tend to break out immediately after spells of unusually hot weather.

Throughout the analysis, Schwartz notes an interaction between climate and the level of development of the nation: "nations which significantly overrepresent violence in the temperate quartiles are more developed, judged by per capita gross national product, than the other nations," and "nations which are higher in relative humidity do tend to be more violent than their less humid -- and significantly less developed -- counterparts." But he does not control for this fact in his analysis. In his conclusions he suggests that the level of development of the nation may influence the degree to which violent events are reported, and for this reason he acknowledges that to some extent his findings may be invalid. But he does not come to terms with the possibility that the significance of climate may be a spurious conclusion because of the correlation between climate and economic development.

Scott, Joan W., The Glassworkers of Carmaux, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1975.

Approach: Historical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

In this study of glassworkers in the town of Carmaux, Department of the Tarn, in southern France during a critical period of transition in their status from highly skilled, respected, and mobile workers to unskilled proletarians, Scott challenges several traditional explanations of how and why workers react under the process of proletarianization. She maintains that traditional arguments about a linear evolution of working-class consciousness are not adequate. Workers whose skilled status had been diluted by technological changes did not turn to protest and socialist ideology because they had become proletarianized. Rather, protest was a consequence of skilled worker mobilization to prevent proletarianization. In Carmaux the glassworkers formed a union as a last ditch effort to block further degradation of their status. Socialist ideology and leadership were not determinative in mobilizing protest.

Prior to 1880, French glassworkers enjoyed virtually total control over conditions of employment. A strict hierarchy of skill governed who was admitted to the trade (usually sons of glassworkers), when advancement within the trade would take place, and what quality standards were acceptable. Glassworkers were highly mobile, moving from town to town as market factors dictated. Mobility also isolated glassworkers from the more sedentary groups of workers in the towns where they worked. High wages and job control were also important factors in explaining the political conservatism of glassworkers. Beginning about 1880, however, technological advancements in glassmaking undermined the status, job control, and wages of glassworkers. As the ancient secrets of the trade became mechanized and less difficult to learn, industrialists increased their own control of working conditions vis-a-vis the glassworkers. Throughout the 1880s and 1890s the hierarchy of decision-making among glassworkers was replaced by management control. Fewer sons of glassworkers entered the trade, while unskilled peasants from the surrounding areas easily gained entry into an increasingly unskilled industry. The development of the glassworkers union had its origins in the attempt to recapture control over job conditions and production standards. The decision to unionize and to embrace socialist politics was not made by fully proletarianized workers, but by skilled workers in the process of proletarianization.

Despite the fact that the union arose as an agency of skilled workers, technological changes far outstripped labor's ability to protect its position. By the time of the great strike of 1895 the union in reality represented a body of semi-skilled and unskilled workers. Scott has done a good job of examining the records of the local police, church, and industrialists to show how conservative elites combined to defeat the strike and the socialists in the subsequent elections.

New workers at Carmaux resisted unionization because wages and conditions in the old plant were an improvement over their former peasant status. The decline of the union at Carmaux accompanied the passing of the occupational crisis which marked the lives of the older skilled workers. New

workers accepted proletarianization, while the older workers attempted to establish an alternative structure of job control. Thus, the decline of militancy was occasioned by the emergence of a new kind of glassworker.

Comments:

Scott's historiographical methods are in the main traditional. She has culled the census returns to determine the characteristics of glassworkers and their families before and after the crisis of the 1880s. In addition, her work in the police archives demonstrates how police acted as agent provocateurs and informers. Control over the police was in the hands of the local notables, and this control was used to disrupt labor meetings and at times to imprison laborers under dubious pretenses.

Taft, Philip and Philip Ross, "American Labor Violence: Its Causes, Character and Outcome," in H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives, for the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence, New York, 1969.

Approach: Historical/Descriptive
Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

In what is essentially an historical recitation of violent events connected to the rise of labor unions in America from 1870 to the present, the authors argue that "...the U.S. had the bloodiest and most violent labor history of any industrial nation in the world... Labor violence was unquestionably pervasive and intense, occurring in every region, in almost every type of industry and with great frequency in almost every decade from the 1870s to the 1930s. At one of its peaks, between 1902 and 1904, the loss of life reportedly exceeded that of recent ghetto riots in both absolute and relative terms."

They conclude that the most consistent reason for violence was the refusal of those in authority to allow the aggrieved the right to organize and protest; and that the attitudes taken by management in response to unresolved disputes led to increased violence. However, they also note that despite the elimination of this cause (i.e., the acceptance of unions), violence in labor has persisted. However, "present day violence is by and large the result of accidental and random events which occasionally erupt in a picket line confrontation."

Comments:

This is basically a very detailed historical article. Those interested in specific labor strikes will find great amounts of information here. However, the authors themselves wonder if labor violence is a unique type of violence or whether it is part of larger societal problems.

Tilly, Charles, Louis Tilly, and Richard Tilly, The Rebellious Century, 1830-1930, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1975.

Approach: Theoretical/Historical

This study examines the way in which urbanization and industrialization transformed the character of collective violence in France, Italy, and Germany over a one-hundred year period. The authors challenge the so-called "breakdown" theories of LeBon, Durkheim, Weber, and Smelser, and posit a "solidarity-articulated interest" theory of their own to explain collective action. This theory emerges from an analysis of the relationship between collective violence and more traditional indices of social disorders such as crime, suicide, strike activity, and political action.

In the first section on France, Charles Tilly summarizes Durkheim's theory of anomie and social discontinuity which posited that rioting, criminal extremism in crowds, and personal disorientation are direct results of the collapse of traditional solidarity bonds. While conceding that anomie occurs on the individual level, Tilly argues that it is not translated into collective outbursts of violence, and modernization does not in itself cause collective violence. He maintains that there are three stages of collective violence. The first stage, primitive collective violence, characterized by family feuds and communal brawls, essentially died out by the eighteenth century. The second stage, reactive violence, exemplified the efforts of parochial interest groups such as peasants or workers to resist the new demands made by the state or industrialization. In this stage participants in violence sought to restore traditional rights and resource allocation. The final stage, called proactive, involved the modernization of action and violence. Here violence was instigated not as a restorative measure but as a forward-looking effort, usually by industrial workers to redesign the configuration of power and the division of resources based on new economic and political conditions. The Rebellious Century concentrates on the transition from the second to the third stage and the maturation of collective action.

In examining time series data on social disorders, the authors found that criminal activity did not closely correspond to the fluctuation of collective violence such as strikes or political rebellions, or with the pace of urbanization and industrialization. By analyzing officially published data on suicides and vagrancy supplemented with his own time series on strikes, lockouts, and political violence, he argued that the rise in arrests for vagrancy showed little relation to the shape of economic or political violence. In short, the personal disorientation observed by LeBon and Durkheim in nineteenth-century France did not accumulate into a mass movement for change; collective violence was not a result of intolerable strain.

Unlike the breakdown theorists, Tilly maintains that four conditions promoted the organizational solidarity in industry and elsewhere that led to sustained collective action: 1) the absence of hereditary membership in community and family (the shift from involuntary or communal associations to voluntary associations); 2) a large population in daily contact; 3) the accumulation of common lore, grievances, and political experience; 4) the

visible presence of an antagonist. The shift in the basis of collective action from communal to associative reflected the shift from a society organized in classes based on landed wealth to one stratified according to control of capital and labor. Inevitably, argues Tilly, the changing nature of collective action changed collective violence as well. In France, for instance, the shift towards proactive action and violence (c. 1830) corresponded to the decline of the food riot, which called for the imposition of a "just price," and the rise of the strike which had as its object an increase in wages. Clearly, the new industrial bases of association among workers changed the complexion of collective actions.

In Louise Tilly's chapter on Italy and in Richard Tilly's chapter on Germany, similar conclusions are drawn. Louise Tilly found little collective violence in Italy as a result of the deprivations created by industrialization, and in referring to David Snyder's study of Italian strikes between 1878 and 1924, argued that there is no real relation between the fluctuations of industrial activity and strike activity. Richard Tilly made the same claims for Germany.

In rejecting the Durkheimian theory of collective violence, the Tillys have embraced what they call a "solidarity-articulated interests" point of view. Rejecting the position that collective violence is a result of blind rage, manipulation, or large scale structural weaknesses caused by immiseration or anomie, violence is seen as one outcome of collective action--the result of a struggle for power when one group resists the claims of another. Although the distribution of collective violence is not random, neither does it correspond to the pace of urbanization or industrialization in a direct sense. The crests of collective violence occurred at times of national struggles for power (France-1830, 1848-51, 1879-71; Italy-1890s, 1921-22; Germany-1920-33) in which new claims of social justice emerge to challenge the dominant ideas about controlling the distribution of resources.

Comments:

The Tillys have done much to effectively refute the LeBon-Durkheim position on collective action, and have also offered a counter theory. Collective action and violence were not the result of modernization per se, but of newly articulated demands for social justice based on modern associative ties. It is unfortunate that in limiting the time period from 1830-1930, the discussions of Fascist violence in Italy and Nazi violence in Germany are not developed. This would be particularly desirable since all three authors claim that the levels of collective violence in each country are closely associated with struggles for power on the national level.

Tilly, Charles, "Repertoires of Contention in America and Britain, 1750-1830,"
 In The Dynamics of Social Movements, Mayer N. Zald and John D. McCarthy
 (Eds.), Cambridge: Winthrop Publishers, 1979, pp. 126-55.

Approach: Historical/Theoretical

The basic argument of this chapter is that "repertoires of collective action" (i.e., the forms or strategies generally used) differ according to the particular time, place and population. These repertoires are well defined and quite limited in comparison to the range of actions that are theoretically available to contesting parties, change slowly, and significantly constrain the strategy and tactics of collective actors. A flexible repertoire leaves some room for change and for the pursuit of relatively unfamiliar tactics, but still constrains the actor by setting limits beyond which he will not go. A rigid repertoire is highly constraining and confines the actor to familiar tactics except perhaps in crises.

By sketching the repertoires of collective action in America and England between 1750 and 1830, he found both similarities and differences. There was a parallel movement away from the actions occurring at authorized public ceremonies to preplanned meetings of people organized around a particular interest. Yet, there were differences between the two countries during the same time periods. The food riot which was a frequent occurrence in Britain in the 18th century was rare in America.

In trying to develop a set of factors to account for change and variation in the repertoires, he concentrates on four major variables: the pattern of repression; the accumulated experience of the actor with prior collective action; the daily routines and organization of the population; and the standards of rights and justice that prevail.

"The line of analysis...does not deny the relevance of interests or dissatisfactions to a population's action. But it insists on the importance of mediating factors: the internal organization of groups, the current opportunities to act effectively, the available repertoires of contention. It suggests, furthermore, that changing interests and dissatisfactions account for little of the short-run variation in contention, whereas changing opportunities and internal organization account for a great deal of it."

Comments:

In this attempt to dissect the reasons for the choice of particular strategies, tactics, and modes of contention used by various challenging groups, Tilly finds that there is some support for the notion that most collective action is undertaken by actors with flexible repertoires and that some innovation of tactics does take place. However, he concedes that the similarities in the forms of action used in America and Britain could have resulted from the similarities in interests, organizations, and opportunities in those two countries rather than because they had similar repertoires that constrained their actions. Tilly may have also neglected to look at the impact of diffusion processes (or lack of them) in accounting for changes and variations in these repertoires.

Tilly, Charles and Abdul Lodhi, "Urbanization, Crime and Collective Violence in 19th Century France," American Journal of Sociology, 79, 2, 1973, 296-318.

Approach: Historical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

During the period 1831-1861, France experienced a surge of industrialization and urbanization. Drawing on three sources of data--national censuses conducted at five year intervals, newspapers and political year-books, and annual reports of criminal justice in France--the authors explore the relationships between and among urbanization, crime (both against persons and against property), and collective violence. In dealing with these data the authors seek to distinguish two general kinds of arguments: structural arguments, which stress the "impact of organization and setting on the form of individual and collective action," and tension arguments, which treat "disapproved behaviors as responses to strains and disorientations." That distinction is paralleled concretely by the (operationalized) concepts of urbanity, which refers to a structural condition--the proportion of a population "living in communes of 10,000 persons or more at a given point in time," and urbanization, which refers to the rate of change in that proportion, which may produce tension.

The authors cite data for three particular census years--1841, 1846, and 1851--because the data for those years is exceptionally complete. These 'shapshots' reveal that in each of these three years there was a strong positive relationship between property crimes and urbanity, and a somewhat weaker correlation between urbanity and crimes against persons. Yet throughout the overall study period, property crimes declined as a function of increasing urbanization. While the authors offer no explanation for this decline, they point out that it casts doubt on the popular notion that urbanization causes crime. That notion is grounded on the assumption that urbanization creates tension and that crime represents the release of that tension. Rather, the authors favor the notion that organizational conditions associated with a high level of urbanity are responsible for high levels of property crimes--that is, they favor a 'structural' argument over a 'tension' argument. Crimes against persons showed "a minor and irregular decline" during the study period, casting further doubt on the 'tension' model.

"Collective violence fluctuated independently of crimes against property and crimes against persons," according to the authors, "and much more sharply than either one of them." Collective violence showed no relation to urbanization, but varied as a function of struggles for power at the national level--again, a negative conclusion with regard to the 'tension' hypothesis.

The authors conclude that, at least for France during the period studied, the 'structural' argument, which treats crime and collective violence in terms of "the structure of power, and the capacity of deprived groups for collective action," is a more reasonable explanation of these phenomena than the 'tension' argument, which, reminiscent of Durkheim, operates on the assumption that increasing urbanization leads to "individual malaise" and the dissolution of social ties.

Comments:

Although the interpretation made by the authors rejected the 'tension' theories of social disorganization causing collective violence and crime, they admit that "one simple way to hold on to the idea of both phenomena as responses to normlessness (or some related condition) is to claim that normlessness is intrinsic to urban organization itself instead of a consequence of change in that organization...Our evidence cannot rule it out." Moreover, they remind the reader that the pattern of findings might be a result of changes or variations in reporting procedures rather than actual changes in criminal or collective behavior. Still, the article is quite important in proposing that criminal activity and collective behavior be treated as quite different sorts of behavior, each requiring substantially different explanations and methods of investigation.

Wallace, Michael, "The Uses of Violence in American History," The American Scholar, 41, 1, Winter 1970-71, 81-102.

Approach: Historical

The article is a survey of the kinds of violence that have marked American history. The author focuses on violence as an aspect of group relations and examines incidents of racial, economic and ethnic conflict. He proposes that the bulk of violence in America has been repressive violence, i.e., violence used by powerful groups against subordinate groups in society.

In support of this thesis he points to the racial suppression of blacks by whites both before and after the Civil War, the economic oppression of labor by capital, and the political and economic oppression of recent immigrants by established ethnic groups.

With regard to racial conflict, he gives examples of white attacks on black communities in the North before the Civil War, prompted by racism and by fear of economic competition from liberated blacks. Wallace identifies the years of Reconstruction in the South as a major period of racial violence in the U.S. The commonest violence in the 1860s and 1870s was individual intimidation of blacks by whites who were led in many cases by local officials and police on rampages of burning and lynching. When the federal government ceased to use force to maintain radical reconstruction governments in the South, the black communities were left defenseless against white violence. While the author did not fully explain the decline of lynching as a form of racial violence in the 20th century, he does mention black migration to the North and an increase in black assertiveness as related to the emergence of a new form of racial violence--race riot. He pointed out that in the course of the 20th century, the pattern of white aggression changed from spontaneous violence against blacks to systematic police repression. At the same time, black resistance to discrimination, unemployment, and police violence became more militant and led to the urban disorders of the 1960s.

Wallace chooses to limit his discussion of economic violence to confrontations between labor and capital. Wildcat strikes and outbursts against managers and business property in the early 19th century were caused by the legal proscription of labor unions. After the 1840s with the increasing discipline of trade unions, labor violence declined but violence on the part of owners and managers increased. Capitalists developed private resources of violence which were reinforced by local militias and federal troops. His central point is that with the development of union discipline in the later 19th century, labor seldom initiated violence except for the occasional destruction of employers' property. In the "60 years of industrial war" (1870-1930) labor violence was directed against strike breakers more often than against capital. The 1930s was a period in which the government managed to call a truce between labor and capital and began to assume a role of arbitration rather than one of support for the dominant economic interests. It was also a period in which the strength of organized labor grew sufficiently to make violence counter-productive and too expensive for capitalists.

Wallace saw a similar pattern of repressive violence in ethnic conflict in the U.S. However, the successive waves of immigration kept a rigid ethnic hierarchy from developing so that no single ethnic group controlled the state in the way that whites and capitalists did. Ethnic groups confronted one another in competition for economic opportunity, housing, and in an effort to win elections. Violence against newly arrived ethnic groups most often was the result of nativist fears of economic and political competition.

Comments:

The examples which the author gives to support his view that the bulk of violence in America has been that of the strong against the weak generally supported his thesis; however, much more data could and should have been used. No effort was made to catalog and quantify all incidents of violence in the three categories he discusses. The examples illustrate his points, but do not offer final proof of the overarching hypothesis. The author does suggest that there are other types of purposive violence to probe and that the interaction between domestic and international violence should be explored (e.g., why most racial violence occurs during wartime and how racism shapes U.S. foreign policy).

Wood, Gordon, "A Note on Mobs in the American Revolution," William and Mary Quarterly, 23, 4, October 1966, 635-42.

Approach: Theoretical/Historical

In this essay, the author draws upon the recent work of European historians to challenge the traditional notion that 18th century American crowd action was unique. Many American historians have suggested that American mobs behaved differently from their European counterparts. Where European mobs have been seen as extraordinarily violent, spontaneous, nondiscriminating about the objects of their attacks, and seized by an irrational mental state, the American crowd was viewed as moderate, rational and motivated by "real" grievances. The author rejects this position. He maintains that European and American crowds were in fact very similar to one another. Both behaved rationally, moderately, and in response to genuine grievances. According to the author, the work of George Rude' has established this point beyond question and has destroyed the myth of American uniqueness. The basic distinguishing feature of European and American mobs was the almost total lack of resistance to mob action by the authorities in America. The author suggests that the American Revolution may have escaped the escalated violence of the French Revolution and later revolutions because of the dearth of resistance to popular crowd action.

Comments:

The author may be correct, that official non-resistance to crowd action was probably the reason for the "moderate" tone of the American Revolution, or any other social conflagration. Unfortunately, the reasons behind the acquiescence of the American authorities were not explored or developed.

RIOTS AND CIVIL DISORDERS

Abudu, Margaret J.G., Walter J. Raine, Stephen L. Burbeck and Keith L. Davison, "Black Ghetto Violence: A Case Study Inquiry into the Spatial Pattern of Four Los Angeles Riot Event-Types." Social Problems, 19, 3, 1972, 408-26.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

A riot is not "all hell breaking loose" but a complex sociopolitical process -- a form of attempted communication which is as articulate as more conventional forms of political expression, such as voting or writing one's congressman. Under this assumption, the authors attempted to analyze the structure and patterns of four types of rioting during the Watts disorder of 1965. Many of the independent structural variables used in the study of more conventional forms of political behavior were relied on here.

The authors first review the studies of riots using attitudinal/behavior variables and structural/environmental factors; then they examine four specific types of action during the Los Angeles riot (fires, false alarms, lootings, and rock throwing) as these relate to selected environmental indices. Data on the rioting were obtained from police and fire department records, unedited television film, newspaper accounts, and the McCone Commission Report. Measures to avoid duplication revealed that 1,793 separate "events" took place. Data on the sociostructure of the 135 census tracts in the curfew area included the total number of retail establishments of certain types, as well as 62 additional census variables, of which 14 final factors were selected for regression analyses.

These census tracts showed a tremendous spread in the incidence and frequency of each of the four types of rioting. Very few tracts had no rioting. Clearly the riot was not a local phenomenon but was widespread, involving heterogeneous census tracts.

Stepwise regression analyses found that fires tended to occur in "black" tracts, those having large nonwhite populations and mostly nonwhite unemployment, and tracts having large numbers of department stores and retail establishments, and a higher proportion of the nonwhite population with schooling through the eighth grade. These factors accounted for 44 percent of the variance among census tracts in the incidence of fires. False alarms also tended to occur in tracts of this kind, but only 29 percent of the variance was explainable by these characteristics. About 22 percent of the variance among tracts in instances of rock throwing was explained by seven factors: a high degree of "blackness," a large number of department stores, less dilapidation in the black neighborhood, a higher proportion of respondents who had completed the eighth grade, less deterioration in black housing, lower unemployment among black males, and larger numbers of single, young black males. The authors infer that "rock throwing is more prevalent in areas with large numbers of young black males who are to some extent socially isolated, though tending not to be unemployed."

Looting revealed a very different pattern from the other events: 61 percent of the variance among tracts was accounted for by the number of

department stores and other retail establishments, high black male unemployment, and higher proportions of nonwhites who had completed the eighth grade. "Looting appears to have been much more of an 'economic thing' though, to be sure, carrying obvious political overtones; the stores and the merchandise were present in substantial quantities, as were significant numbers of unemployed black males, and the riot presented an unparalleled opportunity for a redefinition of property rights and a corresponding redistribution of property."

According to the authors these findings confirm the work of Spilerman in showing that three of the four types of rioting are explained to a remarkable degree by the number of blacks in a given tract; but they refute the work of Banfield who attempted to characterize rioting as a lower-class rather than a racial phenomenon. "This suggests that the phenomenon of a concentration of ghettoized blacks in certain tracts creates a community consciousness and mass base from which, even in the absence of the incentive for quick material gain, personally risky and outwardly hostile commissions of violence can comfortably spring and calls upon us to view the black ghetto as an emerging social movement." They argue further that because different kinds of rioting have different structures and spatial patterns the past simplistic classifications and explanations of rioting are no longer valid.

Comments:

This article is both interesting and provocative in relating specific types of rioting to neighborhoods or census tracts with certain characteristics. It is weakened, however, by problems in the measurement of the independent variables and by interpretations far removed from the analysis itself. The factor analyses performed on the 62 census variables, reducing them to 14, made many of the indices unclear and confused. Several of the factors overlapped; for example, the variable of black unemployment was contained in three different factors. Many of the clusters contained variables that were not clearly related to each other theoretically -- and certainly not to the label attached to the factor. Further, the characteristics of census tracts are related only to riot events. These relations cannot be used to describe participants in the actions, only the location of the incidents. Rioters and counterrioters often cross tract lines and might have been likely to attack more populated, commercial areas because these were more accessible or less subject to law enforcement.

Akins, Carl, "The Riot Commission Report and the Notion of 'Political Truth'," Social Science Quarterly, 40, 3, 1968, 469-74.

Approach: Theoretical
Methodology: Critical Review

This article examines the Report to the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders with respect to actual issues and "political truth." This Riot Commission is contrasted with the McCone Commission which investigated the Watts riots of 1965 to clarify the notion of political truth.

According to several evaluations of the McCone Commission, its reported findings were not supported by the data it collected. Specifically, this commission was charged with having ignored racism in spite of the evidence that the Watts disorders were manifestations of race problems rather than class conflict. In contrast, the Riot Commission was credited with providing ample evidence for its findings and conclusions. Yet the notion of political truth is often invoked to explain the work of a particular commission, and neither the McCone or Riot Commission is an exception.

The notion of political truth is simply that such "truth" is more a product of political acceptability than objectivity. Thus, the McCone Commission advanced the "riff-raff" explanation for the Watts riots in spite of the conflicting evidence provided by social scientists. The Riot Commission did not find this explanation valid, nor did it find evidence of any conspiracy. The evidence suggests that the Riot Commission did not seek or find political truth: Akins thinks otherwise. His view is that the political truth was the commission's major findings of pervasive racism -- a finding which largely ignores all the poverty also described by the commission. Both poverty and racism are fully documented, and the author contends the former is at least as pervasive as the latter. The Commission's emphasis on racism is therefore construed as a political truth.

Akins considers racism to be a comforting explanation for the riots: supporters approve of the commission's recommendations for they find justification for existing programs on welfare and the "War on Poverty"; opponents approve because the problem then concerns the "hearts of men," which cannot be changed by law. "Racism is as nebulous as the notion of collective guilt -- meaningful yet vaguely disturbing. Both upset a little but not too much, and neither is specific enough to require meaningful action." Thus the finding of racism is a politically acceptable answer for the seeker of political truth.

The explanation based on racism is also described by Akins as a political truth in the sense that it has presented a new problem for the attention of the public and media alike. In his view, an emphasis on the "dull and unpleasant" problem of poverty could not be expected to have the impact that charges of racism would. So, although the commission found a different and unexpected political truth, it exemplifies the

conscious or unconscious ploy of using "a group of 'moderates' (after careful study) to advocate a set of 'radical' conclusions and policy recommendations."

The reception of the commission's report by most political leaders has been unfavorable, but Akins sees no clear reason for rejection. The two major criticisms leveled at the Report -- failure to give credit for what has been done and failure to consider costs -- do not challenge the veracity of the findings or the need for the recommendations. Akins contends that the public's reception of this and other commission reports and their subsequent use should be systematically studied. Moreover, he calls on political scientists to consider in such study the question of whether their efforts contribute to objective truth or political truth.

Comments:

Akins' commentary makes a valid point concerning the nature of political truth, but it seems to carry the notion to its limit in the case of the Riot Commission. The report of the commission clearly documents poverty and its recommendations deal less with racism than with poverty. While the media may have capitalized on the findings of racism, it was hardly a "new problem" that would stir the collective imagination. Akins does not make it clear whether the "political truth" of pervasive racism has its source in the commission or in the interpreters of its Report. Nevertheless the primary need is still to recognize the influence of both poverty and racism in civil disorder. The Report's conclusions and recommendations suggest just such an interplay of these factors.

Aldrich, Howard, Albert Reiss, "The Effect of Civil Disorders on Small Businesses in the Inner City," Journal of Social Issues, 26, 1, 1970, 187-206.

Approach: Descriptive
Methodology: Survey Research

This paper deals with the impact of the 1967 and 1968 racial disorders on small business firms. Studied were the damages suffered and changes in the problems and attitudes of managers or proprietors. Data were obtained from a 1966 panel study of small businesses in the inner cities of Boston, Chicago, and Washington, D.C. with a follow-up study in 1968.

Among all businesses in riot areas, 24 percent were materially affected by the disorders, suffering either damage or looting. Arson and looting were directed against 31 percent of the retail businesses and 18 percent of the service businesses. Little racial turnover in ownership was found.

As for insurance, cancellation rates were found much higher in riot areas than elsewhere. Virtually no difference in rates of premium increases was found, however, since companies are normally prohibited from charging different rates in different parts of a city. Difficulties in obtaining insurance also affected ability to obtain credit, and these problems, along with riot losses, combined to make white owners of businesses in the riot area more eager to relocate their firms than white owners in other areas. Black owners were less desirous of moving, however, owing to ties to the community and the difficulty of establishing businesses in white areas.

White businessmen had become more pessimistic about crime: 83 percent of those in riot areas thought crime was increasing in 1968, as opposed to 61 percent in 1966. Those in nonriot areas were also pessimistic, but not to the same extent (62 percent and 48 percent respectively). Attitudes about the effect of the civil rights movement on police work showed little change, though most sentiments were negative. In both riot and nonriot areas, there was a shift toward believing the police were too lenient with lawbreakers.

Riot area businesses were found to have taken more protective measures: of those who had taken 0-3 measures in 1965, 31 percent increased this to 4-7. A greater percentage of these firms were taking the larger number of measures than was true in nonriot areas. More riot area businessmen had guns, but this had been so before the riots. A higher percentage of black businessmen had guns. Though it seemed paradoxical, businessmen in riot areas were more likely to give or lend money to residents.

Comments:

Interpreting the findings about attitudes among residents of riot

areas, this paper presents the small businessman as having a siege mentality and being fearful of the residents. A direct comparison of the attitudes held by the two groups could be illuminating: what did residents feel about their local merchants and how did the merchants perceive the situation?

Allen, Vernon L., "Toward Understanding Riots: Some Perspectives,"
Journal of Social Issues, 26, 1, 1970, 1-18.

Approach: Theoretical
Methodology: Literature Review

This essay introducing a selection of articles "organized to reflect the view that an adequate explanation of riots requires coordination of conceptual systems, frames of reference, and empirical data taken from several social science disciplines" integrates much of the current material on collective disorders and urban riots. Allen contrasts six views of riots: 1) they were senseless and devoid of message or meaning; 2) they were mere manifestations of large-scale criminal behavior incited by outside elements; 3) they continued an old American tradition of race riots; 4) they were part of the worldwide revolutionary movement to overthrow white colonialism; 5) they chiefly result from a class conflict; and 6) they represent protest against ghetto life. In his opinion only the last explanation is valid.

Theories and research on riots must specify the conditions that provoke riots and do so with such precision that a particular set of conditions can be recognized as leading to riots, and others as inducing different forms of collective behavior or individual reactions. They must also explain why riots occur at certain times and places and explore variations in the degree and type of participation. Other features to be studied are the attitudes of rioters, their relations with each other, and the influence exerted by the crowd. Also important is the way control is exercised and its effectiveness. Finally, the consequences of riots cannot be ignored.

Comments:

This is a clear and concise discussion of the literature on riots, based largely on the material in the rest of the journal. It is a useful means of reviewing the conclusions as well as the theoretical gaps of research on this topic.

Anderson, William A., "The Reorganization of Protest: Civil Disturbances and Social Change in the Black Community," American Behavioral Scientist, 16, 3, 1973, 426-39.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

Anderson discusses some of the changes that occurred in the black community as a result of the civil disturbances of the 1960s, using data collected from semistructured interviews with members of black groups and organizations in several cities. The thesis is that the riots created a disturbance for the black as well as the white community because they called into question the adequacy of the black community's established approach to civil rights.

The urban disturbances of the 1960s were viewed as "protopolitical movements" because they represented an early form of political behavior which might result in more structured political activity. In this article the restructuring of black community organizations during and after the disturbances involved changes in roles of black leaders, the emergence of new groups, and changes in established groups, as well as modifications in intergroup relations.

Many of the new groups emphasized black nationalism and self-determination for the black community, economically, culturally, and politically. Some of these groups were only on the periphery of the black protest movement because of their dependence on white sources, such as the War on Poverty, for funds.

Many established civil rights groups were embarrassed by the riots which symbolized the black masses' repudiation of their leadership and because white officials often criticized these groups for failing to enforce nonviolent means of protest. Many of these older groups assumed a more militant posture and emphasized greater grassroots involvement in order to win more esteem from the black poor and to meet the challenge to their leadership posed by the emerging militant groups. Other groups which had not been political expanded their activities to include political or quasi-political projects and programs so that they would be more responsive to the problems of the black community.

Open breaks and power struggles developed between militant and moderate factions in some cities, but solidarity in the black community was growing. Eventually this resulted in a symbiotic relationship between some of the new black militant groups and established core groups. "The militants provided the dramatic confrontation and political rhetoric often required before bargaining could take place over black grievances. Moderate black leaders in turn pointed to the spectre of militant groups and the politicized black masses, who were seemingly poised for action, as a lever for securing concessions from the white community." At the same time, the black and white communities and groups were increasingly polarized; and black leaders became more cautious about working with white groups as a result of rising black militancy and self-determination.

Comments:

This article is an interesting essay about the ramifications of the riots for black organizations, but it is rather superficial and lacks the specific detail and systematic evidence needed to support most of the assertions.

Anderson, William, Russell Dynes, E.L. Quarantelli, "Urban Counter-rioters," Society, 11, 3, Mar/Apr 1974, 50-55.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Case Study

The Kerner Report hypothesized, without supporting evidence, that "counterrioters" (i.e., people attempting to reduce conflict by appealing to others to refrain from acts of violence) during the urban riots by blacks in the 1960s were supporters of the status quo. The Disaster Research Center conducted interviews with "key individuals and groups" (including some counterrioters) in six midwestern cities (no date given). Three of these cities had major disturbances, two had minor disorders, and one experienced no violence.

Four distinct types of black counterrioters were described according to their motives for trying to restore peace. Opportunistic counterrioters become involved mainly from private ambition or interest, for example, members of street gangs who hope to achieve status and become leaders. Moral counterrioters object to violence because of a strong sense of duty and responsibility, although they often believe in the goals of blacks. Many of these people are ministers and traditional civil rights activists. Functionary counterrioters are those required to become involved as a result of their official positions in government and community agencies. Political counterrioters are those who have often advocated violence by blacks, but use the riot for political gain. They agree to help restore order in return for political and economic concessions to the black community. Counterrioters indicated in interviews that they were far more interested in protecting blacks by removing any excuse for counterviolence by police than they were in preserving the established structure.

The relation between the police and counterrioters during racial crisis may be one of conflict, accommodation or cooperation, depending on the earlier patterns of action between the police and these individuals, as well as the specific circumstances during the disturbance. The authors argue that the type of interaction is often quite independent of the type of counterrioter. When political counterrioters become part of the scene their interaction with the police is frequently marked with conflict because they call for a shift in authority and control arrangements in the ghetto, and the police view such a call as a challenge to their legitimate role. This is particularly true when the counterrioters are black militants or nationalists, because their earlier experiences with the police have been marked by mutual mistrust and hostility.

Moral counterrioters are often accommodated by the police, particularly when they are recognized moderate or traditional black leaders. Their record of leadership gives them legitimacy which the police cannot completely ignore despite a feeling by some that these leaders are in the way. Both functionary and opportunistic counterrioters are more likely to have received cooperation and mutual support from the police and may actually be recruited by the police and other authorities to help quell disturbances.

Comments:

Although this article is useful in suggesting that not all counter-rioters have the same motives for trying to keep peace, we are not given enough information to evaluate the argument. No empirical data are provided on the socio-demographic characteristics of these four types of counterrioters, the kinds of disturbances they were involved in, the effectiveness of their efforts, their relations with the police department or the black community, or their attitudes toward the riots. The article is purely speculative, although it was apparently based on field studies in six cities. Further, there may be other, more important ways of differentiating counterrioters than by their motives, such as the various means they used to counterriot or their base of support for such activity.

Baron, Robert A. and Victoria M. Ransberger, "Ambient Temperature and the Occurrence of Collective Violence: The 'Long Hot Summer' Revisited," Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 36, 4, 1978, 351-60.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

This study examined archival data to see whether the relation between ambient temperature and the likelihood of collective violence was curvilinear and whether the temperature most likely to be accompanied by violence is the mid-to upper 80s. The authors also discuss the possibility that collective outbreaks are related to shifts or changes in temperature.

Between 1967 and 1971 there were 102 separate instances of collective violence in the United States which were recorded in the Report of the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders (1968) and Violence in the United States (1974). Fires, looting, and rock-throwing that lasted at least one day, drew sizable crowds, and occasioned intervention by law enforcement authorities were the only forms of violence selected for study. Sixteen of these were related to Martin Luther King's assassination and were analyzed separately, as well as being included with the other incidents. All geographical regions of the United States were represented, and no apparent relation was recorded between the general climatic conditions in those areas and the frequency of riots.

The frequency of these incidents increased with a rising ambient temperature approximately through the mid-80s. But further increases in temperature sharply lessened the frequency of incidents. Less than 9 percent of the disorders occurred when temperatures were over 90 degrees; such events therefore seem much more likely to occur when it is moderately rather than extremely hot.

During the disorders related to Martin Luther King's assassination as well as the others, the ambient temperature, which had risen before the riot, dropped during the following days. The temperature remained fairly high and stable during the actual rioting. The authors also found that riots were more likely to occur during the warm summer months than at other times.

To see if public celebrations of athletic victories showed the same relation to temperature, the authors used newspapers and magazines to identify 58 such celebrations in the victors' hometowns during 1967 and 1971. Public celebrations of this type were not found to be more likely at certain temperatures than at others; they were related more closely to specific events than to weather conditions.

Although they consider other explanations briefly, the authors suggest from these results and earlier laboratory studies that "up to some determinable level, increments in subjective discomfort cause individuals to become increasingly irritable or annoyed, thus enhancing the likelihood that they will respond aggressively to provocation, the presence of aggressive models, or other aggression-evoking conditions. Beyond this point, however, they experience such high levels of discomfort that res-

ponses incompatible with aggression become prepotent, and the likelihood of such behavior is reduced."

Comments:

Obvious limitations in this study, recognized and discussed by the authors, resulted from restricting the study to serious civil disorders in the United States and the reliance on archival data. As they acknowledge, collective violence represents highly complex behavior, and it is undoubtedly influenced by many variables which were not measured in this study. Their explanation that riots are due to the discomfort and irritability of individuals is too simple; it disregards other factors associated with temperature: the number of people on the street, the consumption of alcoholic beverages, and seasonal scarcities of jobs for youths, for example. Moreover, it fails to explain why riots did not occur in many other areas with similar climatic conditions and why the violence occurred in particular neighborhoods.

Baskin, Jane A., Ralph G. Lewis, Joyce H. Mannis and Lester W. McCullough Jr., Race Related Civil Disorders 1967-1969, Report No. 1 Lemberg Center for the Study of Violence, 1971; The Long, Hot Summer? An Analysis of Summer Disorders, 1967-1971, Report No. 2, Lemberg Center for the Study of Violence, Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts.

Approach: Descriptive
Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

The primary source of data in these reports is newspaper accounts obtained through a commercial service of civil disorders defined by the Civil Disorder Clearing House. The authors note certain disadvantages in using newspaper accounts: information is not always reliable; details showing the actual sequence of events during disorders or their consequences consequences of disorders are almost unobtainable; and the actual incidence of civil disorders throughout the country does not show up in newspapers since minor incidents may fail to qualify as good news stories.

Civil disorders are defined as events in which crowds cause injury or damage to persons or property, defy civil authority, or commit aggressive disruptions which violate civil law, such as seizing buildings. Race-related civil disorders are events in which members of one racial or ethnic group commit aggressive or violent acts against members of another group or their property, either real or symbolic.

Report No. 1: 1967-69

For the three-year period 1,816 accounts of race-related disorders were obtained. Serious events, those involving arson, firebombing, looting, sniping, or some combination of these numbered 701. Disorders tended to be concentrated in the most urbanized regions of the country and in cities with the largest proportions of black residents. A fourth of all disorders, however, took place in communities with total populations of less than 25,000, and almost a third in cities where less than 10 percent of the population was black. Violent acts were as likely to occur in small communities as in large cities and where there were relatively small as well as large black populations.

Disorders attributed to blacks or to racially mixed groups were usually spontaneous outbursts of aggression, combined with hostile actions between crowds of civilians and civil authorities. That is, there was no connection between the incident that attracted the crowd and the eventual outbreak of disorder, and a large proportion of the violence was precipitated by interaction with civil authorities. The larger share of the disorders attributed to whites took place in small towns, in the least-educated communities, and in southern states.

The character of the disorders changed between 1967 and 1969. A shift occurred in the racial distribution of participants: almost 80 percent were black in 1967, compared to 40 percent in 1969. The

primary site of the disorders shifted from city streets to school buildings. Disorders were spread throughout the year rather than concentrated in the summer months, and the incidents became less serious.

The authors recommend improved training for police, particularly in crowd control and human relations. They note that the disorders they discuss are symptoms of problems; the immediate causes of disorders need to be understood and those social injustices which are the underlying causes need to be eliminated.

Report No. 2: 1967-71, Summer Disorders

The number of summertime disorders has decreased annually from a high of 176 in 1967 to a low of 46 in 1971. In 1967 most of the disorders took place in the summer, but in 1968-71 they became progressively more diffused throughout the year. Civil disorders also became less serious. During this period the disorders have tended to occur in large cities with large black populations; they are less often attacks by blacks on property and more often open hostilities between two or more groups.

Changes have also taken place in the responses by civil authorities to race-related disorders. There are fewer arrests, fewer calls on the National Guard, and more occasions when authorities are willing to negotiate.

Comments:

As the authors note, journalistic accounts are unsatisfactory sources of data because the thoroughness of the coverage and the reliability of the content are uncertain. Although the authors give a general definition of race-related civil disorders, they furnish no details or examples to clarify the concept. Because the analysis was primarily based on inspection of two variable tables, such factors as interaction effects could not be detected.

Bellisfield, Gwen, "White Attitudes Toward Racial Integration and the Urban Riots of the 1960s," Public Opinion Quarterly, 36, 4, Winter 1972-73, 579-84.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

A popular notion that urban riots in the 1960s undermined support for racial integration is tested with data collected in National Opinion Research Surveys for the years 1963-68, except 1967. Percentile trends in white support for racial integration are compared for 1) the nation as a whole, 2) for metropolitan areas (NORC sampling points) enclosing cities which experienced serious riots, and 3) for metropolitan areas which experienced no riots, roughly matched to riot-torn areas in terms of population size and geographic region. Metropolitan riot areas were identified through comparative reliability checks of several published lists of cities experiencing serious riots.

During the years 1963 to 1968, the study finds an overall upward trend in white support for integration of schools and housing among respondents of both sexes, living in urban and rural areas throughout the country, and in all age and education groups. But in areas affected by riots, positive sentiment grew more slowly following a riot, relative to the trend in the same areas in non-riot years, and relative to trends in matched non-riot areas during riot years. In conclusion, the author finds no evidence of a "white backlash" as a result of urban riots in the 1960s.

Comments:

Matched non-riot areas tended to be smaller in population size than their riot equivalents since most major metropolitan areas experienced at least one riot during the study years. These smaller population areas may also have had smaller populations of blacks in terms of absolute numbers and in proportion to the overall population. Thus, low density of the black population might by itself lead to support of integration in non-riot control areas.

"White backlash" can also be interpreted to mean support for increased social control, i.e., increased support for police force to quell ghetto disturbances, in spite of expressed sentiments favoring racial integration. There was, in fact, widespread public support for the use of violent police tactics against ghetto rioters. Further, despite verbalization of support for racial integration, large segments of the white population in riot-affected areas such as Detroit deserted the city and moved to suburban areas. In sum, perhaps it may be more accurate to say that whites' responses to survey questions about integration were conditioned by what appeared socially acceptable during a period of widely publicized civil rights agitation, while their feet led them in another direction.

Berk, R.A., "The Emergence of Muted Violence in Crowd Behavior: A Case Study of an Almost Race Riot," in James F. Short Jr., and Marvin Wolfgang, Collective Violence, Chicago: Aldine, 1972.

Approach: Theoretical/Descriptive
Methodology: Case Study

Berk presents an analysis of data on a new elaboration of the "Northern" race riot. His paper updates Grimshaw's division of racial violence into "Southern" and "Northern" style riots. Southern style riots are more or less organized, one-sided attacks by whites against blacks and the black community. The 1906 Atlanta riot and the 1908 Springfield, Illinois riot are examples.

Causes are secular in the Northern type of riots, which grow out of a long period of constantly increasing tensions and black-initiated or reactive violence. The Chicago riot of 1919 and the riots in the 1960s are examples of Northern race riots. Grimshaw believes that Northern style riots will be the form future urban riots will take.

Janowitz's "commodity riots" and militant black organizational ideologies have been intensively reported by the media in recent years. As a result, it is reasonable to expect that whites have greatly altered their views about blacks, including their capacity for violence. Berk demonstrates that the new white respect for the capacity of the black community to engage in mass (or organized) violence alters the form that the Northern style race riot can take.

The data are presented in an analytical context based on Roger Brown's social psychological approach to mass violence. Payoff matrices are employed to represent the processes occurring within each member of the crowd. Each matrix is a one-person or a two-person game in which the individual tries to achieve the greatest payoff consistent with the actions he can expect from others and his own actions. The decision of the group to engage in action is thought to result from each individual's attempt to get the highest payoff cell in the matrix. The data consist of Berk's personal observations in Baltimore shortly after the assassination of Martin Luther King. The documented events show how "broad changes in white perceptions of ghetto blacks may influence the behavior of crowds during race riots and how individuals involved in the mob processes act in a manner that appears consistent with the theoretical concept of costs and benefits, payoff matrices, group decisions, and emergent norms."

The scenario was this: blacks began four days of looting and arson on April 6, 1968. A crowd of whites gathered on a boundary separating the white and black communities and began to discuss the spreading black riot, the need to protect the white community from attack, making various racial innuendoes aimed at "keeping blacks in their place." Members of the crowd were classed as agitators or followers in Berk's analysis.

Agitators circulated through the crowd trying to convince small groups of followers that the crowd must act. They tried to prove that the benefits of a confrontation would greatly outweigh the cost of taking action. Symbolic gestures, emotional displays, and tentative actions were used to persuade the crowd. For agitators, the benefits of acting always greatly outweigh the costs.

Followers differ considerably from actors, primarily in the benefits they expect from confrontation. The costs for the followers are: the risk of personal injury at the hands of blacks or police, the danger of arrest, and some psychological inhibitions regarding the proposed tactics. The fact that benefits closely approximate costs for followers partly accounts for their ambivalence and tendency to vacillate.

The events that Berk documents show the processes that moved agitators and followers during the Baltimore riot. Physical violence was very slight when confrontations did occur between the white crowd and rioting blacks. Berk noted that the violence was very restrained, marked by an absence of the weapons commonly used in weekend brawls among these groups. Instead, Berk said the atmosphere suggested a sporting event, with rock-throwing and cheering supporters on both sides. The race riot did not encourage additional violence, but apparently brought about a lessening of hostilities between the groups. In effect, it served as a "cease fire."

H.L. Nieburg characterized ritual action as action "expressed in a kind of muted symbolic display with a symbolic response which changes attitudes and values without major and unlimited conflict." Berk feels that the actions he witnessed in Baltimore can be reasonably explained as a kind of ritual action. Violence was muted, and there was a wide range of symbolic actions. The decision not to engage in a full-scale race war resulted from a number of processes in addition to the obvious verbal exchanges of information that took place within the crowd. A consensus may have emerged among crowd members concerning payoff matrices. Although they lacked the information and evaluative procedures used by Berk, many crowd members may have independently arrived at the same or very similar conclusions. Many kinds of information were also exchanged through nonverbal communication. The tone and style of the crowd cued members to perform ritual actions that the crowd could evaluate.

Berk concludes that it might be useful to characterize mobs the same way other groups of people are characterized. Mobs may differ from other kinds of human groups only in the degree of their discipline and self-control. In a reformulation of Grimshaw's "northern style" race riot, Berk suggests that when racial confrontation involves high risks to both whites and blacks, both groups may seek less costly ways to accomplish their goals. Symbolic conflict then takes the place of or becomes salient in actual racial confrontation. When both groups believe each other capable of armed racial war, they may choose not to fight.

Comments:

Berk's account of the fluidity of mob behavior suggests the possi-

bility and feasibility of intervention by social control agents. Their strategy would be to counter the agitators by attempting to change the perceived payoff matrices with respect to either lower rewards or greater risks, or both of these.

Berk, Richard A. and Howard E. Aldrich, "Patterns of Vandalism During Civil Disorders as an Indicator of Selection of Targets," American Sociological Review, 37, 5, October 1972, 533-47.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Using data from two independent surveys, this paper examines retail merchants in segregated, run-down neighborhoods whose stores are likely to be attacked during civil disorders. By linking the attributes, behavior, and attitudes of merchants with vandalism during riots, the authors set up and evaluate five hypotheses about the selection of targets.

The first sample consisted of 150 store owners and merchants in five cities which had severe civil disorders in 1967. Quota sampling techniques yielded a varied distribution of stores and a significant number of black merchants and inner city locations. Merchants were interviewed in spring 1968; this sample therefore included only businesses that had survived or begun operations after the disorders.

The second sample contained longitudinal data on three cities with riots in spring 1968. Although the original study was based on interviews with merchants in two parts of each city, this analysis included only that section of each city where riots occurred in 1968. Again, owners or managers of businesses were interviewed. About 100 merchants in each area had been interviewed before the riots, in 1966, and a follow-up study in the summer of 1968 yielded responses from two-thirds of these original respondents.

The five hypotheses about types of vandalism and selection criteria were measured somewhat differently in the two samples; but no major contradictions appeared in the findings, and they tended to support each other. The first hypothesis was that the more attractive the merchandise was, the more likely the store was to be vandalized. Attractiveness was measured by the price of merchandise in both samples, and by the amount of customers traffic in the second sample only. Stores with more expensive items and those used more regularly were more likely to be vandalized, a finding that substantially supported this first hypothesis.

The second, "choice of targets by retaliation," suggested that if a merchant behaved abrasively, his store would more probably be attacked. Four measures were used to test this idea: 1) an index of negative attitudes toward black customers (in the first sample) and negative attitudes about civil rights (in the second sample); 2) whether stores sold on credit (in the first sample); 3) evaluation of three business practices that exploit customers (in the first sample); and 4) offers of customer services, such as cashing payroll checks (in both samples).

Merchants who were not favorably disposed to black customers and did not support civil rights were found more likely to be vandalized, but so were stores that provided customer services. This unusual finding was taken to mean that customer services might seem manipulative or patronizing to "ghetto" residents. This second hypothesis was thus only modestly

supported and the interpretations were very open to doubt.

The third hypothesis, "targets as symbols," suggested that white shopkeepers would have a high vandalism rate because they symbolize white society. Race was only important, however, when many other variables were controlled. Even then its effect was not large, and this gave only limited support to the hypothesis. Absentee ownership, the percentage of white employees, and whether or not the merchant was Jewish were unimportant.

According to the fourth hypothesis rioters would attack familiar stores because this would satisfy their need for some sense of control over the situation and because these stores would immediately come to mind. In the second sample this tendency was measured by the estimated percentage of black customers the store owner reported during the interview; stores with more black customers were shown to be more likely targets of vandalism. In the first sample, two measures were used: the amount of customer traffic and the merchants' awareness of the problems of blacks. The finding that stores with more customer traffic and more awareness of blacks' problems were more likely targets could support the familiarity hypothesis; but as the authors recognized, it could also support the idea of retaliation, and both explanations "rest on assumptions that we have been unable to measure effectively."

The final hypothesis was that stores closer to intense rioting would be more exposed to vandalism. In the second sample, exposure was measured by the stores' location in business rather than residential or mixed districts. More vandalism was found in business districts.

Regarding the probability of being vandalized, about 23 percent of the variance in the first sample and 21 percent in the second sample were accounted for by the six independent variables used in each analysis, and the "attractiveness of merchandise" hypothesis was the most convincingly demonstrated.

The authors argue that these findings strongly imply choices of targets for vandalism, but that motives of rioters vary from personal gain to collective protest and retaliation. Moreover the evidence, though limited, that selection is based on race and abrasive actions by merchants suggests that some rioters may have had a "prepolitical" not apolitical perspective.

Comments:

Because so much speculation about the victims of vandalism during ghetto riots is unsupported, this thoughtful article is particularly interesting. The authors admit they have only begun to understand the motives behind the selection of targets, and in particular that they have neglected not only variables relating to group processes during civil disorders but external forces which affect these activities, such as the presence of police, weather, time of day, and street patterns.

Unfortunately, the methodological problems that plague this analysis make it useful only as an exploratory effort. The measurement of critical

concepts is very poor, and many interpretations are less than plausible. Often we are not told whether information from the second sample came from interviews before or after the riots. How closely the information from the first sample and the follow-up study applies to all merchants in the community is questionable because a significant proportion of businesses folded after the riots and thus were not included.

Finally, other accounts of vandalism during the disorders suggest that certain stores, especially liquor stores, were especially hard hit, and that other characteristics, such as size or gross income, might be important. Yet this analysis covered only the attitudes of merchants and their reports about their own practices; and these might be a function of other structural characteristics of the stores themselves.

Berk, Richard, Peter Rossi and Betteye Eidson, The Roots of Urban Discontent, New York: Wiley and Sons, 1974.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

The Roots of Urban Discontent was originally undertaken in order to answer a question posed by the Kerner Commission: Why did urban disorders affect some cities but not others? A comparative study was proposed in which a number of cities, varying in their 1967 civil disorder experiences, would be extensively surveyed, and the results analyzed in order to determine the relative effects of such factors as the responsiveness of municipal institutions and the nature of black leadership on the probability of civil disorder. As it turned out, although the study revealed significant differences from city to city, these differences "were simply not related to whether the city had experienced civil disorders in 1967, 1968, or in any other year." In a sense, the project was over before it began. The authors realized, however, that they had accumulated a valuable fund of data--in all, fifteen cities were surveyed. They decided to analyze the data in the light of a new question: What are the sources of intercity differences? Whereas the Kerner Commission's question had been, in retrospect, a limited one, implicitly attributing civil disorder to local rather than national conditions, the authors hoped that their new research orientation would yield a general understanding of the structural causes of urban discontent.

The actual survey research was carried out by two groups--the University of Michigan's Survey Research Center and a Johns Hopkins University research group. Together they performed a three-tiered study of the fifteen cities, targeting their efforts toward 1) municipal elites, 2) institutional agents, and 3) rank-and-file city residents. The first category included mayors, police chiefs, school superintendents, and sundry lesser officials. The second category was defined in terms of six occupational roles: police, welfare workers, retail merchants, major employers, educators, and political party workers. The third category consisted of a total of 2,800 black, and 2,600 white city residents.

Interviews with municipal elites revealed a variety of styles of urban leadership. In Chicago (then) Mayor Daley overshadowed all other political actors, and was unquestionably the dominant influence on the scene. In Cincinnati, on the other hand, the mayor's influence (as perceived by Cincinnati's municipal elites, that is) was rated the lowest of the fifteen cities, yet the influence of Cincinnati's city council was rated higher than that of any other council--a logical result, the authors point out, given Cincinnati's "council-manager" form of government in which the mayor's function is more or less ceremonial. In Washington neither the mayor nor the city council was considered a dominant force, a peculiarity which the authors attribute to Washington's unique situation: a "company town" catering to the biggest corporation of them all, the U.S. government. In general, two patterns of organization emerged--cities dominated by a strong mayor, and cities dominated by business interests. Nevertheless, each city retained its own unique profile, and these patterns could not, in the opinion of the authors, properly be called typologies.

Interviews with "institutional agents" led the authors to conclude that "there are clear and consistent differences among occupational groups. Indeed, the groups tend to cluster into a liberal set--educators, social workers, and political party workers--and a more conservative set--retail merchants, police, and personnel officers." By and large, members of the conservative set were characterized by a contradictory posture the authors term "optimistic denial." For example, 86% of personnel officers interviewed acknowledged their social responsibility to hire and promote blacks and other minorities, yet 83% believed that few blacks are qualified to hold professional or white collar jobs. The liberal set, on the other hand, was genuinely sympathetic toward the plight of minorities--educators most of all. This dichotomy was graphically illustrated during the 1967 riots: retail stores, local representatives of the oppressive economic establishment, were commonly sacked, but schools, representing no such enemy, almost never were.

Interviews with city residents yielded mixed results. On the one hand, mayors who were rated "responsive to black grievances" by their city councils tended to be similarly rated by their black constituencies--a finding which suggests that the political leadership of a city is, through its institutional agents, intimately involved with that city's rank-and-file. On the other hand, there was no correlation at all between resident satisfaction with school systems and elite recognition of the political salience of school-related issues, which may be interpreted to mean that "grass-roots sentiment is divorced from the issues that are of some concern on the level of decision-making in the community."

Comments:

The authors themselves declare that "much of the value of this book lies in its methodology." What should be a tool designed to accomplish a specific task is here assigned an intrinsic interest.

Berkowitz, Leonard, "Frustrations, Comparisons, and Other Sources of Emotion Arousal as Contributors to Social Unrest," Journal of Social Issues, 28, 1, 1972, 77-91.

Approach: Theoretical

Berkowitz objects to what he considers the onesided view that riot activity is solely a rational pursuit of social, economic and political goals. Aggressive behavior, especially that which occurs in the early phases of a riot, is more an impulsive reaction resulting from a high level of emotional arousal caused by frustration or other sources.

Frustration (the extent to which expected gratification is not realized) increases the probability of aggression, but other factors help determine whether violence will actually occur. Two such factors are the presence of aggressive stimuli in the environment (i.e., hot weather, police brutality) and the frustrated individual's belief that he has some control over the outcome of his actions. Recent research indicates that 1) if not anticipating advancement, people are less frustrated by failure to realize this gratification; 2) prolonged deprivation tends to be less frustrating; 3) a sense of personal efficacy may heighten frustration experienced by individuals but also leads to a greater willingness to fight the frustrating conditions; 4) attitudes of self-pride and efficacy distinguish militants from nonmilitants.

Berkowitz also questioned whether the relative deprivation of blacks is based on a comparison with white standards of living. He suggests that because blacks associate more frequently and intimately with other blacks and are more similar as well as more attracted to other blacks, unfavorable comparisons with other blacks, as opposed to with whites, are more likely to contribute to dissatisfactions.

He contended that impulsive aggression is less likely to be affected by feelings of personal efficacy and more likely to be affected by the interaction of strong emotional arousal and certain stimuli in the environment. Persons aroused (caused by pain, frustration, sexual stimuli, sports victories or defeats, or even loud noises) are more responsive to aggressive cues around them (such as police brutality).

Comments:

Many people who support the interpretation that riots are rational and purposive would not argue with Berkowitz that the behavior characteristic of the beginning of a riot is an impulsive reaction to a precipitating event. But that does not mean that the behavior is irrational. It could be that such behavior is instrumental but that it takes unusual conditions for people to overcome their initial aversion to violence and make use of it as a political statement.

Berkowitz, Leonard, "The Study of Urban Violence: Some Implications of Laboratory Studies of Frustration and Aggression," In S.S. Guterman (Ed.), Black Psyche: The Modal Personality of Black Americans, Berkeley: Glendessary, 1972; also in American Behavioral Scientist, 11, 4, 1968, 14-17.

Approach: Theoretical

The eruption of violence in the urban riots of the 1960s is explained from a frustration-aggression perspective. It is argued that frustrated expectations of socioeconomic improvements set the stage for aggressive behavior; aggressive potential is then actuated under precipitating conditions of exposure to aggressive stimuli. This view differs markedly from Lorenz's concept of an inherent aggressive drive which is automatically released when inhibitions are relaxed.

Laboratory studies show that aggression originates in a physiological capacity, rather than in an innate drive, which is actuated through exposure to aggressive stimuli. Experimental studies with animals which were conditioned to respond to stimuli through positive reward incentives show that withdrawal of an anticipated reward leads to a burst of unfocused "activity" which in turn becomes aggressive behavior only when a suitable target is introduced (e.g., another animal). In the case of ghetto rioters, thwarted expectations of socioeconomic improvements create frustration and aggressive potential, but specific aggressive stimuli are still required to lower inhibitions and incite aggressive action. For instance, findings of laboratory studies show that observed aggression may stimulate aggressive behavior, which suggests that people viewing rioters in action may come to view their behavior as safe or proper: "...if other people do this sort of thing, maybe it isn't so bad." Other aggression-precipitating events may include attacks on blacks by whites, reports of police brutality, the sight of aggressive objects such as weapons, and even the police themselves insofar as they may be seen as hostile enforcers in some communities.

Rioting can be inhibited through massive deployment of police: clearing the streets allows emotions charged by the precipitating event to cool off, and removes the aggressive stimulus of the sight of people burning and looting. Berkowitz suggests that another way to inhibit violence may be to use "Negroes from outside the regular police department" to break-up crowds, since these "amateur law enforcers" would not be associated with aggression and arbitrary frustration by the rioters, and thus do not constitute aggression stimuli.

Comments:

The theory developed here is less than coherent. On the one hand, the sight of police is itself an aggressive stimulus, according to Berkowitz, yet in the next breath he advocates deployment of massive numbers of police to quell riots by removing aggressive stimuli--rioters--from the view of other potential rioters, thereby inhibiting aggression. This appears to rationalize the use of overwhelming police force in the form of arrests, beatings and intimidation to suppress violent demands

for social change. Somewhere in his proposed techniques for inhibition of violent riots, Berkowitz lost sight of his prior argument that urban violence arises out of frustrations due to unmet expectations of socioeconomic change.

Betz, Michael, "Riots and Welfare: Are they Related?" Social Problems, 21, 3, 1974, 345-55.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

This paper tests Piven and Cloward's assertion that cities experiencing the most acute turmoil in the 1960s would have the greatest expansion in their welfare rolls. The thesis is that political pressure by an oppressed group leads to growth of the relief system because this service could be expanded without threatening other interest groups. The author argues that the original analysis by Piven and Cloward was inadequate because it did not specify the effects on local welfare programs (except for AFDC) and because it did not identify the cities which had experienced the greatest pressures and turmoil.

Using the changes in total monies generated from all sources for local use in welfare programs between 1960 and 1969 in the 43 largest cities in the United States, Betz was able to compare major riot cities and minor or nonriot cities in terms of the expansion of welfare expenditures. During the 1960s, the major riot cities (n=23) experienced, on the average, a 6.2 percent yearly increase, whereas the other cities (n=20) had only a 3.3 percent yearly increase. Whether or not the riots in these cities occurred in 1965, 1966 or 1967, the highest percentage increase in welfare expenditures was found in the year following the riot, whereas the other cities had low increases during the entire period. For example, the average changes for the 1967 riot cities (n=16) were a 3.5 percent decrease in expenditures preceding the riots, a 5.6 percent increase the year following the riots, and a 5.1 percent decrease after the one-year effect of the riots. The data showed that neither increasing numbers of nonwhites nor city growth could explain why this relation between riots and the expansion of welfare.

The author recognizes that the explanation of this relationship requires further research. Other factors related to both riots and welfare expansion -- such as unemployment and poverty -- may be involved, but these were not examined. Nor do we know whether the expansion in welfare was needed because of the destruction from the riot itself or whether the riot encouraged eligible people to apply for and insist on aid. There was some evidence that there were postriot increases in applications and in the applications-approval ratio.

Another explanation could be based on the theory of internal colonialism which argues that the visibility of black rioters made it less easy for city officials to make concessions without jeopardizing their support from white constituencies. "Welfare, while expensive, would be (and probably was) easier to give than for the interests of other groups in the cities to be threatened. Also welfare could be expanded by local officials in the hope that it would quiet and control those who were discontented." A more sympathetic explanation was also provided: once they were aware of discontent, government officials responded with expanded welfare programs and perhaps other changes in

policy. Betz also suggests further avenues of research.

Comments:

This article is extremely interesting and provocative in the light of the work by Piven and Cloward.. Although the aggregate statistics here cannot shed much light on the "social logic and rationale connecting welfare expansion and rioting," the tentative hypothesis is useful. Unfortunately, however, the changes in welfare expenditures are averaged across major riot cities as a group and minor nonriot cities as a group so we cannot tell whether these changes occurred in each of the cities. The patterns noted in the article may well apply only to a few cities in each group and expenditures and riots in most cities be unrelated. Furthermore, cities where riots occurred in 1965 and 1966 experienced fairly large increases in welfare expenditures before the riots, whereas the minor and nonriot cities had only slight increases in welfare monies over this period. The causal direction of the relationship thus remains in question.

Blauner, Robert, "Internal Colonialism and Ghetto Revolt," Social Problems, 16, 4, 1969, 393-408.

Approach: Theoretical

This author discusses urban riots, cultural nationalism, and the movement for "ghetto" control as the collective responses of black Americans to the status of colonials. In making a distinction between colonization as a process and colonialism as a social, economic, and political system, Blauner asserts that black Americans share the experience of colonization with nonwhite people of the third world. White racism is an insufficient explanation for the continued subordinate position of blacks in American society because it ignores the stake that white Americans have in racism and maintaining segregation.

Blauner lists four basic components of colonization.

1. Colonization begins with a forced entry.
2. The policy of the colonizing power constrains, transforms, or destroys indigenous values, orientations, and ways of life.
3. The colonized group is generally administered by representatives of the dominant power.
4. Colonized people are exploited, controlled and oppressed socially and psychically by the more powerful group, a form of racism.

Black Americans, according to Blauner, differ from other immigrant ethnic minorities in the degree of external economic, political, and administrative control their segregated communities are still subject to.

Blauner contends that black protests during the 1960s can be seen as a rebellion against colonial status. The guiding impulse of the riots in the 1960s was not a desire for assimilation, as the Kerner Report argued, but rather an attempt by blacks to control their community and "clear out an alien presence," the targets being white-owned businesses and property and the police. The most profound impact of the riots may have been the organizing momentum generated within black communities. The recent cultural revitalization movements are essential to establish black identity and to provide a sense of solidarity. Programs to increase economic, political and administrative power for blacks are a necessary first step toward home rule of "ghettos."

Comments:

Blauner's article offers an interesting interpretation of riots. If, as he says, they assert a territorial claim, this explains the looting and assaults on police, but not the attacks on white communities. The study does not help us to understand why the riots took place during the 1960s, rather than earlier or later.

Bloombaum, Milton, "The Conditions Underlying Race Riots as Portrayed by Multidimensional Scalogram Analysis: A Reanalysis of Lieberman and Silverman's Data," American Sociological Review, 33, 1, 1968, 76-91.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

A portion of Lieberman and Silverman's data on the conditions underlying race riots is reanalyzed here, using a recently developed technique of nonmetric data analysis. Multidimensional scalogram analysis (MSA) was applied to data on nine demographic conditions characterizing two groups each comprising 24 matched cities: one in which rioting between Negroes and whites occurred from 1925 and 1963, and one where riots did not occur. This analysis enabled Bloombaum to see if the conditions considered together would be more predictive of race riots than each individual one used in the original study. Comparison of riot and control cities was based on: the proportion of Negroes in the local population and the proportion occupying substandard housing; the proportion of Negroes in traditional occupations and in the police force; the proportion of Negro store owners, the percentage of Negroes and whites who were unemployed; the growth in population during 1925-63; and the population per city councilman. The analysis indicated that these conditions studied jointly did distinguish riot cities from the control group. As in the original study, however, no single item enabled one to discriminate very well between the cities likely to have riots and those where rioting was unlikely.

Due to the interrelationship of the conditions listed above in discriminating between cities, Bloombaum concludes that "Northern riots occurring before the end of World War II, and their controls, are substantially different from Southern riots occurring after World War II, and their controls." Moreover the analysis seemed to predict riots occurring in the North before the end of World War II -- i.e., it separates the riot cities from the control cities -- better than riots in the South after World War II. For this reason, he concludes the theory of institutional breakdown advanced by Lieberman and Silverman and measured by the nine demographic conditions, "appears to be of diminishing utility as we move from North to South and forward in time."

Comments:

Since completeness of data was the main criterion in selecting the 24 riot cities (and their controls), the sample cannot be assumed to be representative of the population as a whole.

Boesel, David, "The Liberal Society, Black Youths, and the Ghetto Riots," Psychiatry, 33, 2, May 1970, 265-81.

Approach: Theoretical/Descriptive

Methodology: Review of Existing Data/Case Study

This author believes that, in contrast to the relatively insignificant and infrequent racial incidents before World War I and the defensive and retaliatory race riots immediately after the war, the ghetto riots of the 1960s constitute "the most massive black revolt in American history to date." He analyzes the social and economic changes since the Civil War which established the conditions for this revolt and uses a case study of the 1967 riot in Plainfield, New Jersey to illustrate the processes involved in these recent protests.

Boesel's principal thesis is that until the New Deal liberalism and the economic and technological changes decreased the need for racism as an economic prop, systematic and forceful repression of blacks had given them small chance to carry out any effective and enduring rebellion. The repressive conditions exemplified in a formal caste system were present in the South under slavery and Jim Crow, and some of them were also found in the North before the New Deal. Between 1932 and 1945, however, massive social and economic changes occurred, paving the way for a violent assertion of black power led by the current generation of ghetto youth in the North.

Existing data on blacks' participation in riots and their attitudes toward protest are used to argue that young blacks born in the North have higher self-esteem, more racial pride, and a greater inclination to favor black control of institutions affecting blacks than those born in the South. Although they are more highly educated, they have not been able to close the long-standing gap in employment, and hence they are alienated and discontented. These youths are more inclined toward violence and feel more alienated from social control agencies such as the police, than their elders, and their individual conflicts with the police have begun to take on a collective character.

Boesel argues in favor of the "New Urban Black" theory, which asserts that the riots are attempts by the more militant, race-conscious, and politically aware young blacks to seize control of the ghetto from whites. In a detailed description of the Plainfield riot, he points to the collective rationality in the participants' actions. He sees "much more deliberateness in the riot action than is evident at first glance. Past grievances are very much present and connected to the action; often in the midst of the rioting, meetings between young blacks and public authorities are held; demands are articulated and sometimes acted on; efforts to work out a 'truce' proceed from both sides." Although the riot is a beginning exercise in black power, he suggests such violence may be giving way to more disciplined means of asserting black claims.

Comments:

Although very little of the material presented in this article is original or innovative, it does summarize much of the Kerner Commission material in an interesting way. Moreover, it reaffirms the importance of looking at the processes inherent in the riot actions themselves and emphasizes the dynamic interactions between participants and social control agencies.

Boskin, Joseph, "The Revolt of the Urban Ghettos, 1964-1967," The Annals of the American Academy of Social and Political Science, 382, 1969, 1-14.

Approach: Theoretical

Boskin believes that in 1964-67 urban riots highlighted the failure of American society to recognize problems of blacks in cities. He traces this failure to racism and to the public's ambiguous attitudes towards their cities.

Cities have long been portrayed as corrupt places where individuals are lost and where, although material prospects may be better, one would not want to raise a family. Millions of affluent whites fled to the suburbs in the 1950s when expressways enabled them to commute to city jobs, leaving the inner city to the poor and nonwhites. Meanwhile, living under slum conditions drew blacks together, creating an "urban consciousness" out of which the riots developed. The riots were to a great extent unplanned and unorganized, participated in or supported by a large proportion of the ghetto community. They expressed class antagonism as well as resentment against racial prejudice. Businesses owned by whites and members of the police were the chief targets for attack, an indication that the black residents were retaliating against the white power structure.

The riots in the summer of 1967 marked a change from the spontaneous, violent outbursts of the preceding three years. During the Detroit riot of 1967, a number of whites joined in the looting, a fact suggesting the development of a unified economically deprived class. The second change, was that black militant organizations began playing an important role in organizing slum residents and redirecting their energies from disorganized outbursts to actions serving more specific militant goals.

Comments:

Boskin's emphasis on the role the city itself played in the urban riots is interesting. A number of researchers have noted a correlation between the outbreak of riots and the number of blacks in a city. Spilerman interpreted this to result from the grievances common to all blacks: the more blacks in a city, the higher the probability of a riot. Boskin's approach, however, suggests that the effect of large numbers of blacks in a city is more than the sum of individual grievances. Blacks in large ghettos develop a more clearly defined urban consciousness, resulting in stronger feelings of resentment and hostility against white society.

Bowen, Don R., Elinor Bowen, Sheldon R. Gawiser, and Louis H. Massotti, "Deprivation, Mobility and Orientation Toward Protest of the Urban Poor," The American Behavioral Scientist, March/April 1968, 20-23.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Noting that dissatisfaction has traditionally been linked to political and social unrest and has been cited as the major explanation of protests and riots, the authors join other theorists in arguing that objective deprivation is less important than subjective or felt deprivation in understanding these phenomena. Using an opinion survey conducted in largely black areas of Cleveland which had been officially designated as poverty areas, they sought to compare the efficacy of four hypotheses derived from relative deprivation theories in accounting for attitudes toward protests.

The four hypotheses were: 1) feeling deprived because one's present and future position compares unfavorably with "the best possible life (one) could achieve" leads to support of protest; 2) individuals who perceive themselves to be upwardly mobile would most clearly and strongly support protests; 3) those who consider themselves downwardly mobile would most often support protests; and 4) any degree of change in mobility (either upward or downward) would lead to greater support of protest than no change at all.

Interviews with 500 residents of Cleveland's nine officially designated poverty areas were conducted nine months after the Hough riot. The respondents, a 1.4 percent sample, were selected on the basis of a block quota design. The authors derived an index called an "orientation toward protest" from a factor analysis of fifteen questions regarding attitudes toward and participation in various kinds of conventional and unconventional political activities. The four-item index clusters support approval of protests that are expressed through picketing, marching, demonstrating, and rioting, but excludes actual participation in such acts.

The first hypothesis was partially confirmed: a current feeling of deprivation was significantly associated with an orientation toward protest, but the expectation of future deprivation was not. Neither the second nor the third hypothesis was confirmed, but the four yielded statistical significance. Those individuals who expected change in their status between the present and five years in the future supported protest activities to a greater degree than those who expected no change. Among those not expecting change, those with a stronger feeling of deprivation at the time were very likely to support protest, while those who did not feel deprived were unlikely to do so. Thus, the authors argue "feelings of deprivation are associated most strongly with approval of protest activities for those people who have no expectation of upward or downward mobility."

Comments:

The findings from this study suggest that links between felt deprivation and perceived mobility may help to account for differences -- the degree that the urban poor support political activities. Unfortunately the measurement of support for protests is uncorrelated with actual participation. The authors explain this by the fact that only 32 respondents admitted engaging in any of these activities; and, of these, 26 did so as members of striking unions. Neither feelings of deprivation nor mobility seemed therefore to be linked to actual participation in protests. The operationalization of relative deprivation was limited to a comparison of one's present or future state with individual aspirations and did not include comparisons with other groups, as has often been done in testing relative deprivation theories.

Campbell, James S., "The Usefulness of Commission Studies of Collective Violence," Annals of the American Academy of Social and Political Science, 1970, 168-70.

Approach: Theoretical

The cliché has it that national commissions to study collective violence are useless because their recommendations are not followed. But according to Campbell the real utility of these commissions lies in their ability to present significant facts to those who possess political power and make political decisions. Judging by this standard, he feels that many national commissions have been highly successful. In this paper he suggests some ways to improve commission fact-finding about collective violence.

Because commission reports are far more informative than news stories and more immediate and visible than thorough scientific or historical studies, they can inform the public quite promptly and effectively about the causes and consequences of collective violence. It is hence important that the social sciences provide them with sharper analytical tools.

Campbell illustrates this point by discussing two of the Kerner Commission's findings: 1) the recent urban riots were political acts; and 2) they were caused by white racism. With regard to the first issue, Campbell argues that social science should help commissions to be rather clearer than they are now about their standards for determining whether and to what extent collective violence is "political." One might ask, for example, whether the rioters have developed an ideology capable of attracting adherents and paralyzing opponents in order to change patterns of power and authority. In regard to the second issue, the "cause" of the riots, he felt that the commission should have attempted a more explicit and systematic distinction between the immediate and the underlying causes. There might be other underlying causes than white racism or even deeper ones demanding a historical and sociological analysis of the sources of prejudice against blacks in this country, and the actual conditions of their lives. Thus, "a careful application of the hoary distinction between necessary and sufficient causes might have prodded the Kerner Commission into a more detailed discussion of why the riots occurred in the latter half of the decade of the 1960s."

Comments:

The criticisms of the Kerner Commission Report should help sharpen the conceptual and methodological tools used by those studying this area. The usefulness of national advisory commissions in helping to shape public policy is still in question, however. Even if they fulfill their function of fact-finding, we still do not know how much they actually influence the general public and policymakers.

Caplan, Nathan, "The New Ghetto Man: A Review of Recent Empirical Studies," Journal of Social Issues, 26, 1, 1970,

Approach: Theoretical/Methodological
Methodology: Literature Review

Using findings from some of the major studies of riot participation in the 1960s, the author describes the black militant as the "New Ghetto Man." This view contrasts with the "riff raff theory," which sees black militants as social or personal deviants who are peripheral to organized society and have no broad social or political concerns.

To Caplan the new ghetto man is a militant in search of practical responses to "arbitrary institutional constraint." He attributes the riots to a growing sense of black consciousness and pride without commensurate improvement in opportunities. Data from selected surveys of attitudes and behavior among ghetto residents show that about one-third to one-half expressed support for riots and militant civil rights positions, although only a small number reported active participation in militant actions.

Rioters were not from the lowest income groups, were less likely than nonrioters to feel a sense of relative deprivation because of disparities between their income and that of whites, and were better educated than those not participating. They are not the hard core unemployed but did evidence a tendency to move in and out of the labor market. They were better integrated into the black community than nonrioters and tended to have committed fewer serious crimes. They were more often natives of the northern urban areas in which the disorders occurred. Although many had strong feelings of racial pride and even superiority, this group did not show generalized feelings of hostility toward whites. Instead, they wanted to have the same freedom and opportunities as whites and to attain these through the existing political system. Although their sense of personal competence and efficacy has grown, they feel that they still have less political, social, and economic control over their lives than whites.

Comments:

Although this review offers a useful new perspective for judging the "riff raff theory" of militancy, it looks very selectively at the research on this topic and shows a less than critical focus. Miller, Bolce, and Halligan, assessing the theory of the new urban black, pointed to a fundamental flaw in Caplan's essay -- the failure to distinguish protesters from rioters. "Because Caplan treated militants alike, irrespective of whether they were protestors or rioters, he summarized without distinction studies that focused on protestors and those that focused on rioters." In some cases this failure led to certain contradictory findings that Caplan ignored in his conclusions. For example, he cited both Tomlinson (1970) finding that militants in Watts were less distrustful of elected officials than nonmilitants and the Caplan and Paige study (1968), which found that rioters were more distrustful of politicians than nonrioters. These discrepancies are never resolved or discussed further.

Caplan, Nathan and Jeffrey Paige, "A Study of Ghetto Rioters," Scientific American, 219, 2, August 1968, 15-20.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

To explain the outbreak of riots in black urban ghettos during the 1960s, the authors consider three major hypotheses. (1) The riff-raff theory. Rioters are social deviants: hard-core unemployed; psychologically unbalanced, criminal types; or recent migrants who have been unable to adjust to urban life. (2) The relative deprivation theory. Expectations of blacks have been rising faster than their actual economic and social conditions warrant; or the gap between economic and social conditions of whites and blacks is perceived to be increasing. (3) The blocked opportunity theory. Blacks are reacting to discrimination that prevents them from bettering their economic and social situation.

The first two theories imply that the blame for the riots rests on the rioters themselves, while the third blames white institutions.

In early 1968 a representative sample was taken from census tracts in Detroit and Newark, where violence and damage had occurred during riots. In Detroit 437 black male and female respondents over the age of 15 were selected, and in Newark 236 black males between the ages of 15 and 35 were selected. The interviews sought to measure characteristics and attitudes of the respondents. Participation in the riots was determined by asking the respondents whether they had been active during the riot and what specific activity they had engaged in.

The authors rejected the riff-raff theory on the grounds that the rioters did not differ from nonrioters in income and were only somewhat more likely to be unemployed or to hold unskilled rather than semiskilled or better jobs. In fact, in some respects, such as education and social activity, they fared better than the nonrioters. The rioters were also more likely than nonrioters to be long-term residents of the city.

Regarding the relative deprivation theory, no difference was found in the percentage of rioters and nonrioters who thought conditions had become better (or worse) for their family in the past few years, or who thought the gap between whites' and blacks' income had increased (or decreased). Rioters were more likely, however, to think that the income gap between blacks within the community was increasing.

The hypothesis of blocked opportunity was supported by the following findings. The rioters were more likely than nonrioters to feel that they had been discriminated against in school and in the job market; they tended to have stronger feelings of racial pride and superiority; and they were more angry at both whites and affluent blacks

According to the authors, the facts support the blocked opportunity hypothesis that discrimination against blacks in economic and social life is the fundamental cause of riots. Recently the self-confidence of blacks has improved, but because their chances for a better life have not, they feel anger and are moved to violence.

Comments:

This is a well-written and well-organized presentation of three basic hypotheses about the causes of rioting, using relatively standard measures for testing them. However, the rejection of the "relative deprivation" hypothesis in favor of the "blocked opportunity" argument is premature. Relative deprivation measurements were applied only to respondents in Newark, whereas the two other hypotheses were tested in both Newark and Detroit; and the measures were inadequate. Over a third of both rioters and nonrioters reported that the gap between blacks' and whites' income was increasing; and rioters more often than nonrioters felt that the gap in income among blacks was increasing. This seems to suggest that comparisons with other blacks as well as with whites might lead to a sense of relative deprivation which could induce rioting. Further, while the finding that rioters disproportionately felt subjected to racial discrimination was used to support the "blocked opportunity" hypothesis, it certainly supports "relative deprivation" arguments, since discrimination involves a comparison with whites with the same education and training. Even more basically, the study suffers from the usual problem of postriot studies, in that rioters' attitudes could have resulted from rather than caused their participation.

Comer, James P., "The Dynamics of Black and White Violence," in Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives, H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), for the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence, New York, 1969.

Approach: Historical/Descriptive

Comer is interested in accounting for the apparent paradox of Negroes rioting after many years of submissive behavior and during a period of substantial improvement in occupational opportunities and income.

He examines and discounts three explanations generally offered. First, low socioeconomic position: this is an inadequate explanation because the Negro's position has been improving. Second, racism: this is not a sufficient cause because racism has existed for many years. Third, weak control over impulses as a result of disintegrating families: this too cannot be related to the events of the sixties. He concludes that racism, poverty, and a lack of control, though they may be involved, do not satisfactorily explain the conflict's complexities and incongruities.

Comer then traces the effect of a legacy of slavery on the development of the Negro psyche. The slaves were shorn of their normal social means of developing an identity and were placed in a submissive and dependent role. Identification with the aggressor -- the master -- became the common mode of adaptation involving attitudes of self-hatred, anger toward self, and presumption of black incompetence. After the Civil War, these feelings were reinforced by segregation and exclusion. The Civil War had ended control and exploitation by masters, but legislation, judicial, and extra legal control through intimidation, violence, and economic reprisals were established. Control and authority had now been extended to all whites -- many of them economically vulnerable and needing scapegoats. The psychological tie of powerless blacks to powerful whites was as important in deterring black retaliation as the probable physical consequences. To express hostility toward whites, on whom they still depended, was to risk the loss of a major source of security. This state existed largely intact into the twentieth century.

But there was a change. In time, the segregated community developed leaders: physicians, teachers, preachers, and small businessmen. These were successful people whom they could identify with, and who gave young Negroes the opportunity to develop a positive self-image.

Perhaps more important, after the migration to urban centers, Negroes, were no longer largely employed in southern agriculture, and consequently less vulnerable to economic reprisal. Actually, as their lot improved they came to represent a large enough consumer group that collectively they were themselves capable of economic reprisal.

Greater independence permitted the anger and rage against injustice to be expressed and gave Negroes an identity that was free of the white

power structure. Breaking the bond brought on acceptance of blackness and a sense of outrage which was energizing and potentially explosive. By now many Negroes have experienced good relations with individual white people, so it is the symbolic enemy with which they do battle: they battle and plunder the symbols of continued oppression.

Comments:

The author, a psychiatrist, does an excellent job of tracing the development and change in the American Negro psyche within a historical and social context.

Crawford, Thomas, Murray Naditch, "Relative Deprivation, Powerlessness, and Militance: The Psychology of Social Protest," Psychiatry 33, 2, 1970, 208-23.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

The most widely accepted social psychological explanation of recent increases in Negro American discontent and violent protest cites frustration stemming from feelings of relative deprivation. The main purpose of the present paper is to extend the relative deprivation theory systematically to take into account not only the perceived discrepancy from important goals, but also the perceived means, if any, for reducing the discrepancy between people's success in attaining goals.

To see whether feelings of relative deprivation on the part of northern urban Negro-Americans are associated with a propensity for racial militancy and violent protest, a representative sample of 107 male black residents of the Detroit riot area (18-45 years old) were interviewed several weeks after the 1967 riot. Respondents who felt that their present status was relatively close to their ideal life were more likely to believe that the riots hurt the Negro cause, that most Negro Americans disapprove of riots, and that persuasion is more effective than force in changing white attitudes. They were also more likely to disapprove of Black Power and to feel less sympathetic to Black Muslims and closer to the NAACP than respondents who experienced high relative deprivation.

The authors then suggest that "while knowing the individual's level of relative deprivation will be of some use in predicting his feelings of discontent and political disaffection, precise behavioral predictions can only be made when the individual's level of relative deprivation is considered in conjunction with the behavior means for reducing relative deprivation which he perceives as available to him." In cross-classifying relative deprivation with variables measuring locus of reinforcement or control (feelings of efficacy and powerlessness) the authors derived four types: 1) "content fatalists" (low relative deprivation and low efficacy); 2) "discontent fatalists" (high relative deprivation but low efficacy); 3) "discontent activists" (high relative deprivation and high efficacy); and 4) "content activists" (low deprivation and high efficacy).

Discontent fatalists were believed to be the most dangerous and disruptive for the social system because their behavior is expected to be expressive and explosive. In contrast, discontent activists will use legitimate means to attain goals if such means seem available; hence social transitions can occur without disruptions. "However indistinguishable violent threats to the existing order may appear to those who wish to maintain it, the revolutions of the discontent activists differ sharply from the riots of the discontent fatalists in that the former are planned, purposeful implementations of means to

achieve desired goals."

Data from Ransford's study of the 1965 Watts riot and the 1966 National Opinion Research Center's (NORC) survey for the U.S. Civil Rights Commission were used in analyzing the behavioral consequences of these four types. The Watts study suggested that "discontent fatalists" were the most willing to use violence, and "content activists" were the least willing. In the NORC study, both types of activists were the most likely to attend a civil rights rally; "discontent activists" were the most likely to participate in demonstrations, and "content activists" were most often members of the NAACP. Very few of the "discontent fatalists" engaged in these three activities. Thus, "the psychology of social change must consider perceived means as well as subjective goals, efficacy as well as relative deprivation,"

Comments:

This is a useful treatment of the way behavior and attitudes correlate with feelings of relative deprivation and powerlessness, but neither relative deprivation nor powerlessness is adequately specified and measured. Relative deprivation refers in this study only to the discrepancy between a present state and some ideal condition, not to a comparison with other groups in the society. Powerlessness refers in some of the data to personal feelings of efficacy, while in others it is measured by perceptions of power vis a vis the social system. The confusion here in definitions and measures of powerlessness, as well as the very narrow use of the concept of relative deprivation, suggest the need for further work along the lines of Paige (1971) and Ransford (1972).

Crosby, Faye, "Relative Deprivation Revisited: A Response to Miller, Bolce, and Halligan," American Political Science Review, 73, March 1979, 103-111.

Approach: Theoretical
Methodology: Critical Review

This rejoinder to the article by Miller, Bolce, and Halligan, "The J-Curve Theory and the Black Urban Riots," is an attempt to demonstrate the theoretical inaccuracies and methodological problems that lead to their premature rejection of relative deprivation as an explanation of black unrest. The author contends that their analyses misrepresented the literature on relative deprivation and used faulty measures of felt deprivation. Although Miller et al. considered the J-curve theory of relative deprivation of Gurr, they omitted the other three standard models of relative deprivation by Davis, Runciman and Crosby. They denied that relative deprivation explained their data, partly because they found no correspondence between present and future perceptions and because they believed that such a connection was necessary. This author, however, asserts that relative deprivation theory does not assume a correspondence between present conditions and future expectations; in fact the proposition that expectations are not simply a function of present status is central to these theories.

She argues that the empirical analysis of data in the article by Miller, Bolce, and Halligan is characterized by the usual lack of correspondence between operational definitions and theoretical concepts found in the literature on relative deprivation. In particular, their measure of "perceived relative deprivation" is not what any of the four theorists meant by relative deprivation. A sense of relative deprivation commonly includes an emotion, not simply a perception of one's financial situation. She also criticized the lack of information about the sampling, the grouping of the data by region rather than by the occurrence or absence of riots, and the possibility that the differing temporal patterns among the four samples were merely a function of differences in sample sizes. She made suggestions for different measures that would test the four models of relative deprivation and argued that "fast and loose 'tests' of relative deprivation have probably outlived their utility; the time for methodological rigor has arrived."

Comments:

This is an extremely well written and rigorous attempt to specify the components of relative deprivation theories and to devise some crude measures for testing them.

Danzger, Herbert M., "Validating Conflict Data," American Sociological Review, 40, October 1975, 570-84.

Approach: Theoretical/Empirical
Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

The strikingly similar findings in studies of the factors conducive to racial conflicts by Danzger and Spilerman, despite the fact that they used different operational definitions of conflict and different time periods, led Danzger to suspect that both sets of results may have been based on similarly tainted data. In an examination of the data sources used, he found that both researchers as well as many other sociologists relied heavily for their reports of conflicts on wire service stories. "If the reporting process distorted the data so that conflicts were more often reported in some cities than others, not because conflict occurred more often in fact, but because it was better reported, then this would explain the remarkable similarity...and more conflicts would be reported to have occurred in those cities with fuller reporting services than in others."

Reanalyzing his original data by adding a new variable, whether or not a city had a wire service bureau office in it, he found that cities with wire service offices reported a greater number of conflict events than other cities. He then presented an overview of the literature critical of newspaper reports as a source of data. Three types of criticisms were examined: 1) that newspapers present inaccurate and distorted facts, 2) that they are biased in the sense of slanting the news or favoring one side in their coverage and placement, and 3) that they suppress stories than run counter to the views of the reporter or the policies of the newspaper.

He rejected the first criticism by arguing that the inaccuracies that might occur in a given report are likely to become corrected quickly once the wire services become involved because they act as an alarm signaling the existence of a story and attracting a number of reporters who exchange information with each other and correct each others' mistakes. "Thus, utilizing a sequence of reports, one is likely to have accurate facts." He added an additional caution and that is that events such as riots which involve no prior notice, have intense violence, and reporters have severely restricted movement and are dependent on the accounts of others. They are thus more difficult to report and thus are more inaccurately documented than demonstrations.

In regard to the second criticism of bias, he agreed that evidence substantiates that it exists, but "hard" facts are not affected by it. "In a case of conflict whether an action was a march or a boycott, involved ten or one hundred, the police or the clergy, are all hard facts. The attitudes of rioters--i.e., are their actions part of a 'Christmas in August' looters' syndrom or are they trying to get a message through to the power structure; is an attempt to break up a mob intentional harassment or a reasonable action--all these are open to question."

In order to explore the third criticism, that is that news is suppressed at a local level, Danzger compared cities which had either AP or UPI offices with all other cities, hypothesizing that more stories would be reported in cities with AP or UPI bureaus than in other cities. His sample consisted of all civil rights conflict reports cited in The New York Times between 1955 and 1965 (including demonstrations, marches, sit-ins, and the posting of guards around schools to facilitate or prevent integration, etc.), in cities with populations larger than 25,000. The correlation between presence of AP/UPI bureaus and conflict reports was quite high, indicating that reports are an artifact of the location of AP/UPI offices and the absence of a report may not be an indication that an event did not occur. Conclusions concerning the factors that produce conflict based on newspaper reports are therefore likely to be misleading.

He concluded that the facts reported in newspapers are generally reliable because of the network of news gathering, and especially if they are gathered for a time sequence rather than for a single event. But statistical comparisons of events, especially those which attempt to account for why events occurred in one place but not another, are unreliable because the lack of reports for specific places may not be indications that events did not occur. If the analyst needs to compare newspaper reports of the occurrence of events, it is necessary to make sure that the news reporting networks are similar in the cities compared and so one possibility is to compare only cities with wire service offices or to add to this base other cities in which several conflicts have been reported because then the news networks will be more accurate.

Finally, in assessing his previous work and that of Spilerman, Danzger asserted that the number nonwhite in a population still remains the critical factor in the occurrence of conflict.

Comments:

In this methodological inquiry regarding the validity of newspaper data, the author provides a useful set of techniques for evaluating the adequacy of this data for sociological studies of the incidence and dynamics of collective disorders. While he seemed generally aware of the problems of bias and suppression, he seemed to gloss over some of the very real problems of inaccuracy and distortion of facts by suggesting that these errors are corrected by the interactive network of communication in news agencies. Further empirical work to substantiate that argument needs to be done.

Davis, E.E., and Marget Fine, "The Effects of the Findings of the U.S. National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders: An Experimental Study of Attitude Change," Human Relations, 28, 3, 19 , 209-27.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Controlled Experiment

The major purpose of this study was to measure the effects of the Kerner Commission Report on the social attitudes of white students and to examine the interrelations and correlates of these attitudes. Students from four junior colleges on the east coast took part in this pre-test, post-test experiment: 37 in the experimental group and 29 as controls. These 66 students, along with 53 others in a classroom filled out a pre-test questionnaire covering a number of variables, including their attitudes toward blacks and toward various social issues, their perceptions about the status of blacks, their factual knowledge about civil rights issues, and their social distance from persons of different races and different beliefs about civil rights movements. The experimental group was given an abridged version of the Kerner Commission Report while the control group received "dummy" reading material dealing with science fiction. After a three-week interval, the post-test questionnaire was administered to both groups.

Among the 119 students who responded to the pretest questionnaire, the respondents whose attitudes corresponded with classical anti-Negro stereotypes were most likely to favor calling in the National Guard for racial riots, to oppose the busing of children for school integration, to oppose federal enforcement of open housing, to estimate that a high percentage of Negroes favored intermarriage, and to have low scores on information about civil rights issues. Respondents taking a liberal position on the issues expressed low social distance toward whites regardless of their position on civil rights and toward blacks who opposed civil rights action. However, liberal respondents expressed high social distance toward blacks who strongly favored civil rights action. The authors suggest that Negroes who strongly favor civil rights action may be threatening to the respondents, even the liberal ones. "It may provide a clue to the depth and pervasiveness of racism in the United States and may also be explainable on the basis of the fear -- even among white liberals -- which was prevalent at that time."

In general, the experimental material containing the salient points made in the Kerner Commission Report had a "liberalizing" influence on the students, even though the post test was administered after an interval of three weeks, rather than immediately after presenting the material. More liberal attitudes toward such policies as federal enforcement of open housing, busing, calling in the National Guard, and toward persons who favored civil rights action, more awareness of discrimination, and a better factual knowledge of civil rights issues were found among this experimental group during the three-week period. Conversely the control group tended to move in a more negative direction during the same period.

Comments:

Since this experiment involved only white students in a class the results might not apply to a larger population. Simple exposure to written material, even from a respected source, may not be enough to induce major shifts in deeply rooted attitudes.

De Fronzo, James, "Comment on 'The Causes of Racial Disturbances':
A Comparison of Alternative Explanations," American Sociological
Review, 36, 3, 1971, 515-16, reply.

Approach: Theoretical
Methodology: Critical Review

De Fronzo presents four major criticisms of Spilerman's contention that the size of the black population and the percentage of blacks in a city are major causal factors in disorders. First, De Fronzo claims that Spilerman rejects factors in disorders that exist in zero-order correlations (e.g., blacks in disorder-prone cities tend to have higher incomes, more desirable occupations, and more education than blacks of other cities). Second, De Fronzo notes that a larger black population might result in improved conditions for blacks, increasing their expectations and hence indirectly leading to disorder. Third, the types of disorders under consideration weren't differentiated. Fourth, De Fronzo cites two studies that contradict Spilerman's view that social disorganization factors have no significance. He believes that Spilerman's conclusions are too general and that they are based on faulty interpretation of correlational data. He also notes the need for a more thorough consideration of the part played by a combination of variables (demographic, social psychological, and social structural) in disorders.

To these criticisms Spilerman replies by correcting two errors in De Fronzo's representation of the original study. He says he did not emphasize the percentage of nonwhites in the city, and he did identify "spontaneous" aggressive outbursts as the type of disorder under discussion. Spilerman justifies rejecting rising expectations as a variable because significant zero-order correlations are not enough to establish causal relationships. He notes also that since multicollinearity (between black population size and indicators of absolute and relative deprivation) was not high, it was possible to separate the relative contributions to the variance from these sources. Countering De Fronzo's contention that rioters differ from nonrioters and that the article ignores this, Spilerman replies that his unit of analysis is the city, not the individual, and that studies about characteristics of riot-prone individuals have produced contrary findings.

Spilerman does not understand De Fronzo's claim that "this conclusion is questionable since it rules out the specific situation," agreeing that there are idiosyncratic causes of disorders, but noting that the original intent was rather to consider systematic causes.

Comments:

Although De Fronzo may have inaccurately represented two aspects of Spilerman's original thesis, the particular misrepresentations are given relatively little significance in De Fronzo's discussion, being merely mentioned and not pursued.

Spilerman's treatment of correlational analyses is appropriate, since he is looking for relationships between characteristics of cities and the number of disorders they experience, using regression analysis. The original zero-order relation between the other variables and the number of disorders is reduced to insignificance when black population size is controlled.

Spilerman seems prematurely to reject the idea that "idiosyncratic" causes of disorders are of interest and to search instead for more systematic causes. We still know little about the causes of disorders, and investigation of these "idiosyncratic" causes might well contribute to a more thorough understanding of why they occur.

Donnerstein, Edward, Marcia Donnerstein, Seymour Simon, and Raymond Ditrichs,
"Variables in Interracial Aggression: Anonymity, Expected Retaliation
and a Riot," Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 22, 2,
May 1972, 236-45.

Approach: Empirical/Descriptive
Methodology: Controlled Experiment

This study examined the effects that anonymity, expected retaliation, race of target, and a racial disturbance at a campus exerted on delivered and anticipated aggression (electric shock) in two experiments. A sample of 108 white males displayed fewer direct and more indirect forms of aggression against blacks than against white targets when there was an opportunity for the target to retaliate. When retaliation was unlikely, more direct aggression was aimed at black than white targets. After the racial disturbance (Experiment II), direct aggression toward black targets increased and was less dependent on opportunity for retaliation. In both experiments more direct retaliation was anticipated from black than from white targets. According to these findings, white persons have learned to fear black retaliation, but this fear inhibits only direct aggression in certain situations.

Comments:

The authors' first experiment indicates a general fear of black retaliation, at least among middle-class white students at Northern Illinois University.

The second experiment, following a racial disturbance on campus, suggested a white backlash, evidenced by increases in direct aggression toward blacks.

The laboratory experiments were conducted properly and represent a timely exploitation of a campus event. The usual problem is present, however, of how far the laboratory findings can be applied to other populations and in a larger social context.

Downes, Bryon T., "A Critical Reexamination of the Social and Political Characteristics of Riot Cities," Social Science Quarterly, 51, 2, 1970, 349-60.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

This article reexamines an earlier one (1968) concerning the effect of objective deprivation on the occurrence and severity of urban riots. Here Downes has extended his original data base, changed some of his analytical techniques, and compared his findings with those of Ford and Moore (1970) and Wanderer (1969). He argues that although these authors raised questions about some findings in his original study, their studies suffered from severe methodological problems. These, along with dissimilar data, make it extremely difficult to compare their findings with his work.

The data base, extended from the original study, now includes the 72 months between 1963 and 1968, a period in which 283 incidents occurred in cities with populations of over 25,000. Of the 676 cities in the study, 149 had one or more riots according to the New York Times, the primary source of information. Data on the independent variables were collected from the 1960 Census and the 1963 Municipal Yearbook. As in the earlier article, Downes found that cities experiencing riots generally had very distinctive social and to a lesser extent political structures. Riot cities tended to "be our largest, least rapidly growing, most densely populated central cities, whose citizens have less education, lower incomes, and higher unemployment rates than those in municipalities in which no incidents of racial violence have taken place. They also tend to have higher proportions of nonwhites and nonwhite populations that have increased, sometimes quite substantially since 1950."

In measuring the intensity of riots, the author used a four-point ordinal index which included only cities where riots took place. This was a departure from his original study and those of Ford and Moore and Wanderer, which included all cities. Downes found, however, that when the nonriot cities were dropped from the analysis, the size of both the gamma and product-moment correlations decreased and signs often changed. Anchoring the scale with cities in which no violence took place thus affects the results. Analysis showed no particularly strong correlations, except between the total population in 1960 and riot intensity.

Downes makes several points about the difficulty of comparing these results with those of Ford and Moore and Wanderer. One serious problem is the general lack of agreement on the meaning -- and hence measurement -- of objective deprivation. Another is the scant attention the other authors pay to clarifying or specifying the theory underlying their research; thus they cannot answer questions about why these objective characteristics are associated with riots. Downes suggests that adverse conditions do not "cause" hostile outbursts but must be associated with attitudes and beliefs of blacks which are ready to be mobilized. Whether or not they are actually mobilized will depend on a number of factors: How well can the authorities control an outbreak? How widespread and intense

are the hostile attitudes among blacks? What is the nature of the precipitating event? Downes also argues that we cannot be content with apolitical explanations of racial violence, which reduce its causes to psychological and personal factors or objective conditions. Instead the institutional arrangements that sustain the ghetto, institutional racism, must also be examined.

Comments:

This is an important article, first because it carefully reanalyzes data on the socioeconomic and political characteristics of cities which are associated with racial violence, and second, because it documents the extent to which objective deprivation may explain these incidents. In reaffirming some of the methodological differences between his work and the studies of Ford and Moore and Wanderer, Downes provides clues for the disparities in findings and pinpoints the need for more coherent statements of the theoretical questions involved. It is of course, unfortunate, as Ford and Moore point out, that the independent variables were not direct measures of the conditions among the nonwhite populations of these cities, since the conclusions imply that they are.

Dynes, Russell, and E.L. Quarantelli, "Organization and Victim in Mass Civil Disturbances," Issues in Criminology, 5, 2, 1970, 181-93.

Approach: Theoretical/Descriptive

In most of the major civil disturbances between 1964 and 1969, vandalism, looting, arson and sniping occurred. These acts were not committed against individuals, however, but against organizations. The two authors examine some of the patterns and the conditions associated with the organization as victim in mass civil disturbances. They use the term "mass civil disturbance" to distinguish the riots in the urban ghettos in the middle and late 1960s from earlier confrontations between groups of whites and blacks. In contrast to the earlier incidents, these urban disturbances arose from large numbers of people attacking the symbols or representatives of the larger society. Discrimination was used in selecting objects for attack, and the behavior during these incidents was collective rather than individual, partly socially supported rather than totally condemned, and public rather than private.

The objects of attack were primarily small retail business establishments and the police. Direct interaction as an explanation for the choice of victims was rejected because many looters and arsonists had had no contact with the targeted stores and there were no immediate confrontations. Retaliation for economic exploitation was also felt to be an inadequate motive: although some evidence was found that specific targets of attack were chosen because of the retail practices or white ownership or management, contradictory evidence also appeared.

The authors conclude that "other factors appear to be more influential in the selective process than whether the objects of attack do or do not actually have certain objectionable features. More important is how organizations, especially classes of them, come to be perceived. In essence, what appears to be involved is best described as a collective definitional process." This process is always going on among dissatisfied groups and can involve the rejection of traditional definitions of property and the creation of symbols of economic and political exploitation, such as retail stores and the police. Retail stores are most likely to be considered collectively to be economically exploitative because they bring home to people the realities of a limited income and show concretely which goods they could obtain if property rights were different. Similarly the police evoke images of political exploitation, and symbolize political and repressive power.

The authors argue that these collective definitions may change quickly, particularly when other more important values enter into the situation, as they may do in crises.

Comments:

The essence of this article is that the illegal acts committed during the civil disorders of the 1960s cannot be interpreted as simple criminal behavior but rather as symbolic retaliation by ghetto residents. Unfortunately, the evidence presented is suggestive but not definitive, and the "collective definitive process" by which targets are selected is not presented clearly or adequately.

Dynes, Russell and E.L. Quarantelli, "What Looting in Civil Disturbances Really Means," Trans-Action, 5, May 1968, 9-14; Dynes, Russell and E.L. Quarantelli, "Looting in Civil Disorders: An Index of Social Change," American Behavioral Scientist, 7, March/April 1968, 7-10.

Approach: Theoretical/Descriptive

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data/Observation

In both of these articles, looting is viewed as an index of social change, signaling the end of a period "when existing 'rights' in a community will be automatically accepted by a significant proportion of Negroes therein as being given." Using data from personal interviews with organizational officials, supplemented by systematic observations and analyses of unpublished agency reports, the authors argue that the civil disturbances of the 1960s represent temporary and local redefinitions of property rights and that the presence of selective and socially sanctioned looting is the indicator of this trend.

Three stages in looting are described. In the first, which is primarily symbolic, little looting occurs but intense and destructive attacks are targeted at symbolic sources of conflict like the police and white merchants. This stage often seems to be initiated by alienated adolescents or ideologically motivated agitators in the area. Many of the outbreaks never progress beyond this first stage. In the second stage, there is conscious and deliberate looting, an organized and systematic plunder of goods for pragmatic economic reasons, frequently seeming to be influenced by delinquent gangs and groups of thieves. In the third stage, widespread and nonsystematic looting takes place, which is socially supported. This looting is quite open and often collective, and there is an almost total absence of competition or conflict by looters over plundered goods. Moreover looters are from all segments of the local community.

Rather than being "meaningless" or "criminal," the authors interpret looting as a major structural device for change in the American social system. "However, it would seem that American society, if it wishes to insure domestic tranquility, should move to institutionalize non-violent means for redistributing certain property rights. Looting can only be a temporary and localized redefinition of property rights."

Comments:

This is a very superficial and selective analysis of the data available on looting in civil disorders. The interested reader is directed to the more important work of Aldrich and Reiss.

Feagin, J.R., "Social Sources of Support for Violence and Nonviolence in a Negro Ghetto," Social Problems, 15, 4, 1968, 432-41.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Using data collected by the National Opinion Research Center shortly after the 1964 riot in New York's Bedford-Stuyvesant ghetto, the degree of support for the violence among the 200 residents interviewed was linked to their social demographic and associational characteristics. Only 17 percent of the sample were classified as violence-oriented by their answer to the following question: Do you think that Negroes will be able to get equal rights, better jobs, and such, by using nonviolent means like sit-ins, or will they have to use violence like riots and fighting to get them?

These violence-oriented respondents were found to be younger, more often male, very recent residents of the area, more often Northern born, in the two lowest income groups, of lower educational levels, less active in the church but more active in organizations such as unions and civil rights groups, and more regular readers of newspapers than the residents who were not oriented to violence. However, there were some discrepancies from this pattern. The very lowest income bracket (the "very poor") were less likely to be violence-oriented than the poor just above them and over half of the violence-oriented had incomes above the poverty level. College-educated respondents had the highest percentage of violence-oriented and ambivalent responses of any of the educational groups. And about half of the violence-oriented respondents attended church at least twice a month or more often.

Comments:

While the author tries to argue that members of certain socioeconomic groups were more likely to be violence-oriented than others, his analysis is based on only 34 respondents who were classified as violence-oriented because of their answer to one abstract question. Even though the riot had occurred two weeks before the interview, there was apparently no attempt to assess whether those who were violence prone had actually participated in it. The size of the sample also precluded an attempt at multivariate analysis to determine whether or not all of the variables were equally important in predicting violence orientation.

Feagin, Joe R. and Paul B. Sheatsley, "Ghetto Resident Appraisals of a Riot," Public Opinion Quarterly, 32, 3, 1968, 352-62.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Abstract:

This paper takes an original line by presenting the view of a sample of rank-and-file Negro ghetto residents on the issues of who starts riots, the "real" causes of riots, and the purposes and characteristics of rioters, since opinions about these matters are usually obtained from either white or Negro leaders.

The riot in question occurred in July 1964 following the shooting of a Negro boy by a white policeman. It began in Harlem and spread to Brooklyn's Bedford-Stuyvesant section. The rioting lasted six days, involved approximately 8,000 persons, and resulted in 188 injuries and 465 arrests.

The method of research was to interview a "block quota" probability sample of the residents of Bedford-Stuyvesant shortly after the riot. The eligible sample consisted of 200 respondents (all black) in the 50-block area, with a proper representation of men and women and a reasonable proportion of employed females and younger males. All interviewers were black.

In trying to judge why the riot occurred, residents were asked about both the precipitating event and what they considered the real cause of the riot. Most respondents saw the riot as a response to the shooting of the Negro boy by the policeman. Most journalistic accounts also followed this line, but when residents were asked about the real causes of the riot and the purposes of the rioters, an interesting shift occurred. A substantially larger proportion emphasized the importance of underlying conditions such as social and economic discrimination, deprivation, and police brutality. Very few (about 9 percent) felt that the riot had been stirred up by agitators or extremists. This view contrasts sharply with those of whites, according to public opinion polls. Feagin and Sheatsley attribute the difference to the fact that many whites believe conditions for blacks have greatly improved, and thus they blamed outside agitators for the violence. Moreover, since the rioting made no apparent sense to the whites, they imposed meaning to the actions by viewing it as a conspiracy. This is believed to be an explanation which fits well with the individualistic American value orientation and might soothe a sense of guilt of which the whites were only half aware.

When residents were asked about the actions of various groups during the riot they disapproved most sharply with the actions of the white establishment (the police and the mayor), with Black Nationalists and Black Muslims, and with the neighborhood teenagers. The great majority approved of the actions taken by the Congress on Racial Equality and the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, the churches, and most adults in the neighborhood. Most of

the residents saw some room for improvement in media coverage of the events.

When asked about riot participants, only two of the two hundred respondents admitted that they themselves took part, but 22 percent reported actually having seen some of the rioting. There was very little consensus about who the rioters were. When asked whether the event helped or hindered the cause of civil rights, about 61 percent of the residents felt that the effect had been negative, but a substantial minority felt that it had been good. Residents were asked about the future of racial strife and whether it could be avoided: 60 percent felt that more rioting was likely in other northern cities in the next two years, and 40 percent thought it might happen in their neighborhood in the next month or two. Yet two-thirds of the respondents said that they would not have predicted the recent riot.

Most of the respondents felt that something could have been done to avert the riot, citing actions such as proper investigation of precipitating events, community discussion of incidents in a biracial fashion, and eradication of the underlying causes--discrimination and deprivation.

Comments:

This is an extremely interesting public opinion survey regarding the attitudes of local residents of a riot-torn area, and the sampling and interviewing procedures are quite adequate. It might have been enlightening to ascertain other characteristics of the participants themselves and relate them to differences in perceptions of the experience by participants, according to age, sex, and residential area. Unfortunately, the views of the respondents who actually saw some of the rioting were not contrasted with opinions formed only from media representation of the events.

Firestone, Joseph M., "Theory of the Riot Process," American Behavioral Scientist, 15, 6, 1972, 859-82.

Approach: Theoretical
Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

This author objects to the unintegrated and competitive character of riot theories so far, as well as to their tendency to locate causes primarily in the actions of dissidents. He presents a new theoretical framework, based on Siegel's stage theory of the riot process, that he hopes will integrate some of the previous theories and incorporate the actions of both dissident and dominant groups. His approach is "transactional," emphasizing the mixture of rational and irrational elements in urban riots in which an "extremely sensitive, goal-directed system subject to environmental constraints and human influence is driven at one moment toward peaks of violence, and at the next toward a return to normalcy, in response to changes in the environmental constraints or in human purposes."

Seven propositions, derived from Spiegel's state theory, delineating some patterns of change in the riot process as a result of interaction between the motives of the dissident group and the actions of the dominant group:

1. Some precipitating event will crystallize grievances which impel the aggrieved toward violence. Their motives can be rational (violence is an end in itself, a way of releasing anger and aggression). In this part of the process, the effectiveness of calming agents compared to promoters of the riot is important.
2. Offers to negotiate may dampen the urge toward violence, but they will lose their effectiveness once the irrational motives take over until some of the frustration has been released through aggression.
3. The effectiveness of early negotiation in cooling down the incidents will thus depend on the combination of rational and irrational impulses toward violence.
4. Although efforts to suppress violence tend to dampen rational motives toward violence, they increase resentment and encourage irrational action. Severe suppression can weaken irrational drives but the resentment will continue and could lead to a later resurgence of riots.
5. Riots have turning points in which the impulses (both rational and irrational) toward violence are weak and will falter unless a crystallizing of grievances occurs as a result of conciliatory or suppressive tactics.

6. If the riot passes through the turning point and is renewed it can develop to a stage where irrational drives, fed by fear and mistrust, preclude direct communication.
7. If the irrational motivation then leads to the release of aggression, exhaustion may become the dominant condition and negotiation can again take place.

According to Firestone, the internal processes by which the dominant group mobilizes the police, National Guard, or the like to curb violence resemble to a strong degree the dissident groups' internal processes, and so the same basic propositions can be used to describe them. He then translates this conceptual framework into a mathematical model to show that "verbal theoretical frameworks can provide a useful heuristic basis for mathematical model construction."

Comments:

The author recognizes many of the limitations in this article and views it as work in progress. His aim is to produce a comprehensive conceptual framework for relating the diverse findings from case studies, time-series analyses, and cross-sectional research to riots.

Fogelson, Robert M., "Violence and Grievances: Reflections on the 1960s Riots," Journal of Social Issues, 26, 1, 1970, 141-63.

Approach: Theoretical/Descriptive

Methodology: Observations/Review of Existing Data

This article's main thesis is that the violence of the 1960 riots can only be explained and understood as a manifestation of grievances by those in the black ghettos. The author dismisses other explanations, including such common precipitating factors as police brutality, the breakdown of public order, long hot summer days, the social class of participants, and the irrationality of crowd behavior, because they cannot account for the critical features of these riots.

Using a selective set of observations and analyses of data, Fogelson looks at four specific types of violent behavior -- rioting, looting, arson, and assault -- and argues that the nature of these actions, their targets, and the degree of restraint exercised will reveal the nature of the grievances and the meaning of the riots in general. Rioting was defined as "milling in the streets, defying patrolmen, denouncing authorities, threatening reporters, and violating curfews." It was the most common activity and particularly attracted young adult males born in the area. Rioting was most prominent just after the triggering incidents; but it went on during all the violence, eliciting a series of emotions that included a sense of camaraderie, exhilaration, and accomplishment. Looting, like rioting, was very common and not very serious. It was theft from property, not persons, and attracted a large and broad segment of the ghetto population, who stole openly without remorse, "driven by acute and chronic economic deprivation." Although arson was more destructive than either rioting or looting, it was less widespread and was largely the act of teenage and young adult males. It was also selective, bypassing stores owned by blacks and hitting only stores which charged excessive prices and sold inferior merchandise." Assault was defined as the whole range of violence directed at people, including attacks on white passers-by, overturning automobiles, tossing bricks and stones, hurling Molotov cocktails, and firing rifles at police and National Guardsmen. Fogelson argues that assault was not common, but it evidenced black racism, being directed primarily toward whites. It also signaled a growing sense of territorial rights among blacks, since assaults were intended to drive whites out of the ghettos. The assaults were very restrained, however; very few whites were attacked and even fewer were deliberately killed.

Fogelson argues that the most remarkable feature of the riots was the restraint and selectivity shown by participants, which to him implies that "the blacks' disaffection (though not their dissatisfaction) is probably somewhat less acute than is commonly thought." Yet he also maintains that disaffection among blacks was rapidly increasing, partly because of their rising expectations and the

growing dependence on white merchants and the perceived need for self-determination.

Fogelson concludes by prescribing a course of action for both blacks and whites: blacks must "abide by the unwritten, yet effective, rule whereby American society tolerates the rioting precisely because it is restrained and selective"; whites must "eliminate racial discrimination, economic deprivation, consumer exploitation, and involuntary residential segregation as quickly and completely as possible."

Comments:

This article compiles a very selective set of data from the Kerner Commission reports and informal observations to try and account for the restraint and selectivity shown by riot participants in the 1960s. Many of the data and the conclusions drawn from them are questionable because the original studies relied on inadequate methods, yet Fogelson does not acknowledge their deficiencies. A number of unquestioned assumptions are made throughout the article, and there is a general reliance on the "New Urban Black Man" theory of riots.

Ford, William F. and John H. Moore, "Additional Evidence on the Social Characteristics of Riot Cities," Social Science Quarterly, 51, 2, September 1970, 339-48.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

This article is a response to an earlier one by Bryan Downes (1968) in which he tested the "deprivation thesis" of rioting. According to that thesis, the readiness of ghetto dwellers to riot is related to their bleak socioeconomic and political prospects. Downes found that cities experiencing "hostile outbursts" since 1964 had a much higher proportion of nonwhites, lower levels of education among residents, lower median incomes, and poor housing conditions, particularly among blacks. Using essentially the same data base but different methods of analysis and somewhat different measures, Ford and Moore found that those conclusions required modification.

Their data on the incidence and severity of disorders came from a summary of major riots and civil disorders between 1965 and September 1967, prepared by the Permanent Subcommittee on Government Operations of the U.S. Senate (the McClellan Committee). To account for differences in the incidence and severity of disturbances, Downes had used data from the whole city population and only indirectly measured the conditions of the nonwhites. In contrast, Ford and Moore used direct measures of characteristics of the nonwhite population, but extended them to cover the larger metropolitan area. They also used more sophisticated analytical techniques -- multiple regression -- and somewhat more precise measures of population characteristics than Downes had done.

The analyses included 135 cities, 60 of which had riots. The authors tested two separate hypotheses: 1) Differences in socioeconomic characteristics of the nonwhite populations "explain" observed differences among the cities in the incidence and intensity of civil disorders (objective deprivation). 2) Differences in the socioeconomic characteristics of the white and nonwhite population may "explain" the incidence and intensity of these disorders in cities (relative deprivation).

Over 40 percent of the variance in the number and intensity of disorders was accounted for by the five variables measuring socioeconomic characteristics of the nonwhite population of the cities: education, income, home ownership, crowding, and the proportion of Negroes. Thirty-six percent of the variance in the rate of occurrence and 26 percent of the variance in the intensity of disorders were accounted for by the five variables measuring socioeconomic differences between blacks and whites. The higher proportion of variance explained by the first set of variables is seen as "possibly indicating that the lot of the nonwhite population relative to that of the white population is less important than the status of the nonwhite population considered alone."

With regard to the specific variables contained in the regression equations, the findings of Ford and Moore either contradicted or failed

to confirm the earlier work of Downes. These authors found that nonwhite income levels were positively correlated with the incidence of disorders, rather than negatively as Downes had reported. They also found that the role of educational achievement was not clear cut and that neither the occurrence nor the severity of disturbances was directly related to the proportion of Negroes in the area. Disorder in cities was less intense where home ownership was higher among the nonwhite population. Cities where home ownership among blacks was much lower than among whites had a greater incidence of riots and they were more intense. The hypothesis that crowded living conditions were related to civil disturbances was not supported. When separate regressions were run on data excluding the southern states, the amount of variance accounted for by the variables was reduced, but there was a high degree of consistency.

The general conclusion is that "simple economic models of the disturbances are not very illuminating. In itself, this is hardly surprising and would not merit emphasis were it not that many recent policy proposals have been directed at improving the economic lot of minority groups, as if this alone will alleviate the causes of the disorders."

Comments:

Because they used multiple regression, rather than simpler measures of association such as gammas, the authors argue that their work "involves more sophisticated analysis of data related to some of the variables that Downes studied." And they used this analysis to rebut several of the empirical patterns that Downes had observed in data on the riot cities. Unfortunately, their analysis does not present important data adequately and their interpretation and conclusions are faulty.

They used only summary statistics from the multiple regression equations in drawing conclusions, without examining or presenting the zero order correlations that existed between the independent and dependent variables. In several instances this may have led to major errors in the findings. For example, their argument that riots were not directly related to the relative size of a city's nonwhite population was based only on the fact that in three of the four equations, the percentage of Negroes did not emerge as a significant independent predictor. We are not given any information about the zero order correlation between this variable and the incidence or severity of disturbances, and hence we cannot know whether any relationship exists. Quite possibly such a relationship could exist, but not show in the multiple regression because of the multicollinearity of the independent variables.

Moreover, although over 40 percent of the variance in both the incidence and severity of disturbances was accounted for by the socioeconomic characteristics of the city's nonwhite population, these authors state that "it is clear from the R^2 values that the variables included in the regressions explain relatively little of the variation in the dependent variables." They then conclude that economic models are not very helpful. It seems to us that a fairly large proportion of variance has been accounted for by the five variables in this model.

In a later article (1970), Downes indicates a number of other critical problems in the article by Ford and Moore. Their source for the information on racial violence was the McClellan Committee, which tended to underreport smaller less violent incidents even more than the source used by Downes. Since Ford and Moore studied only a 31-month period, compared to Downes' 54-month period, neither the periods nor the riots studied are fully comparable. Ford and Moore used the metropolitan area (SMSA) as their unit of analysis, rather than the city as Downes did, and hence the two studies were probably asking different questions. Finally, Ford and Moore anchored their measure of the severity of violence to metropolitan areas in which no racial violence took place. More than half of the cities had no racial violence yet they were all included. As a consequence, there was considerable skewing and probably other affects as well.

Generally, one should use considerable caution in drawing conclusions from this study, although the precision in measuring the socio-economic characteristics of the nonwhite population of the metropolitan areas is an improvement over earlier work.

Forrest, Thomas R., "Needs and Group Emergence - Developing a Welfare Response," American Behavioral Scientist, 16, 3, 1973, 413-25.

Approach: Descriptive
Methodology: Case Study

This article, using analytical dimensions from Turner's "emergent norm theory of collective behavior" describes the formation and transformation of the Interfaith Emergency Center (IEC) during the Detroit Riot of 1967. As the crisis developed, the established welfare organizations could not cope because they were not structurally equipped to deal with such an urgent and immediate situation. Because Detroit's clergymen had established previous patterns of interaction and a firm foundation of friendship and trust, they were able to meet quickly and exchange information and ideas. Through observation and questioning about what occurred and why, they began to analyze the crisis and plan a course of action.

One highly respected church executive suggested that instead of merely calling for law and order they try to establish an information center. The group agreed, and the IEC was formed to distribute food, communicate information, reunite families separated by arrests, and help homeless families find permanent housing. Coordinated action was made easier by a core leadership group, who began to carry out and organize the activities of the center. Forrest was primarily concerned with analyzing the first phase of the IEC, which lasted twelve days, although the actual organization functioned for almost four months, during which time it adapted to changing needs of its clients.

Comment:

This is an interesting account of how a crisis center emerged in the midst of a large-scale urban disorder and the conditions under which such an effort succeeds. The focus on already formed networks to provide leadership, and on their ability to mobilize support from diverse segments of the community, is particularly useful.

Geschwender, James A., "Social Structure and the Negro Revolt: An Examination of Some Hypotheses," Social Forces, 43, 2, December 1964, 248-56.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

Census data comparing the education, occupation, and incomes of whites and nonwhites provide the author with a structural explanation for the "Negro revolts of 1963." He derives five propositions from sociological literature and evaluates them for their consistency with the census. According to these hypotheses, dissatisfaction and rebellion would result from the following circumstances: 1. Worsening objective economic conditions (the "vulgar Marxist" hypothesis); 2. Improvement in objective economic conditions that creates higher aspirations than can be achieved (the "rising expectations" hypothesis); 3. Less rapid improvement of economic conditions than that enjoyed by another group (the "relative deprivation" hypothesis); 4. A temporary improvement in conditions followed by a reversal (the "rise and drop" hypothesis); 5. Gaps between the occupation, income, and education of one group compared to another (the "status inconsistency" hypothesis).

Geschwender rejects the "vulgar Marxist" and "rise and drop" hypotheses because there appeared to be a steady improvement in education, occupation, and incomes of nonwhites between 1940 and 1960. This improvement made the "rising expectations" hypothesis consistent with the data. The "relative deprivation" hypothesis also found support in the fact that the gap between white and nonwhite incomes was increasing in terms of both current and constant dollars. Finally, the "status inconsistency" hypothesis was also consistent with the data, since nonwhites seemed to be improving their education but were "being denied the occupational mobility or income level which would 'normally' be associated with such progress."

The reason why the hypotheses ("rising expectations," "relative deprivation," and "status inconsistency") were consistent with the census data was that all three have a common basis in the concept of relative deprivation. The author argues against taking his results as a claim that feelings of relative deprivation will, regardless of objective conditions, produce rebellion. He does suggest that certain objective conditions will produce feelings of relative deprivation which will, in turn, produce rebellion.

Comments:

This article clearly distinguishes between several of the major theories of discontent and rebellion, shows some of their similarities, and points to complementary features in an attempt to reconcile them. Obviously the use of census data to support theories of relative deprivation entails problems, but these data were used merely to suggest that the objective conditions would lead to feelings of relative deprivation.

Geschwender, James A., "Civil Rights Protest and Riots: A Disappearing Distinction," Social Science Quarterly, 49, 3, 1968, 474-84.

Approach: Theoretical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

This article challenges the assumption that civil rights activities and urban riots are different and contradictory phenomena. To that end, the author discusses several sociological conceptions of social movements and riots and the characteristics of recent urban disorders.

On the basis of Smelser's conceptual framework, the crucial distinction between hostile outbursts (riots) and norm-oriented movements (social movements) lies in the growth and spread of generalized beliefs. Both collective actions begin with ambiguity, anxiety, the attachment of anxiety to some agent, and the exaggeration of the threat from that agent. Their development diverges, however, when their belief systems are enacted. "If the episode of collective behavior is seen as a direct attempt to attack or punish the agents of evil (in this case, police and white businessmen), then it is classed as a hostile outburst. However, if the episode of collective behavior is seen as a means of bringing about normative change to prevent the agents from working their evil, then it is termed a social movement." This classification recognizes that violence and assigning scapegoats can be part of either behavior.

Disorders are usually labeled riots if they meet three criteria: 1) looting was the main activity of most participants; 2) the disorder was spontaneous, relatively unorganized, and leaderless; and 3) the participants did not attempt to seize permanent control of an area or specify political demands. The Watts and Detroit riots indicate that the targets of looting and arson were whites and blacks with the same reputation for exploitation. These riots gave looters a chance not only to acquire goods but also to attack the system of distributing property. Looting as an attack against exploitation, rather than exploiters, is thus an act which is more characteristic of social movements than of hostile outbursts.

As for the second criterion, the Kerner Commission asserted that urban disorders were spontaneous and gave no evidence of being organized. But this alone is not a basis for concluding that such disorders are not part of a social movement. According to the Langs view, a social movement has "organized associations at its core that provide general direction and focus; but it also includes large, unorganized segments pushing in the same direction but not integrated with the core associations." Thus, unorganized participants in demonstrations and urban disorders can be part of a social movement as long as they share the same general goals. To know whether the latter condition is fulfilled one must examine the motives of the participants.

The third criterion involves the issue of tactics. In Geschwender's view, the reluctance to consider urban disorders as part of the civil rights movement stems from the propensity to define the movement in terms of its organized core associations and its use of such respectable

tactics as court suits, nonviolent action, and drives to register voters. Further, he thinks it plausible that dissatisfaction with the slow rate of change accomplished by these tactics has led to more extreme methods of quickening and broadening social change. The evolution of tactics is thus extended from "creative disorder" accomplished by nonviolent resistance to "creative rioting" that, while also disruptive of social processes, goes a step farther by including deliberate violence against property and persons. As for the absence of political demands, the Kerner Commission reported that during 21 of 24 disturbances they surveyed, some discussion or negotiation of grievances and issues occurred.

The characteristics of riot participants in Detroit and Newark provide additional insight into the nature of the disorders. These participants evidenced the blocked-mobility syndrome considered to be typical of potential revolutionaries. Inconsistency in their status was also considered typical of activists: the Detroit and Newark rioters tended to be better educated than those not involved and were "considerably less likely to be able to bring their occupational status, income, or employment status up to a level comparable to their level of education."

Although evidence of thwarted aspirations and gaps between education and standing does not in itself demonstrate that riots are a part of social movements, an additional factor lends credence to this interpretation. The Ransford study of Watts Negroes supported the suggestion by Marx that isolating aggrieved persons into an interacting collectivity is likely to produce conflict from a group with a high degree of group consciousness and awareness of a common enemy. Furthermore, this group will probably be willing to use violence in seeking redress for their grievances. The Newark and Detroit data "strongly suggest that rioters are individuals largely isolated from whites, that they interact with blacks who share common grievances, that they develop a high level of hostility towards whites, combined with a high level of black consciousness, and that they subsequently participate in riots as a means of attacking the system. In short, they are participating in a social movement that may or may not reach revolutionary proportions."

Comments:

The argument that the urban disorders of the late 1960s should be labeled creative rioting because they involved the conscious and deliberate use of violent attacks against property and persons deemed part of a system of exploitation is based on evidence from the riots in Watts, Newark and Detroit. Many of these studies used inadequate methods of data gathering, and other studies contradict their findings. In particular, the work of Aldrich and Reiss on the selection of targets casts doubt on many of Geschwender's conclusions about looting. Although the civil rights movement may very well have incorporated the urban disorders into its ideology and tactical design, this does not mean that when these disturbances occurred these "creative riots" were clearly a part of the movement's evolutionary pattern.

Geschwender, James A. and Benjamin D. Singer, "Deprivation and the Detroit Riot," Social Problems, 17, 4, 1970, 457-63.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Survey Research

The portrait of the black rioter as a relatively well-educated male has been drawn from studies that did not control for age. The authors of this study therefore proposed to test the relative deprivation of rioters and nonrioters by using appropriate age controls in examining the 1967 Detroit riots.

Interviews were conducted in the jails, internment locations, and prisons where those arrested in the riot were being held. The first sample consisted of 499 black males (of approximately 6,500 adults arrested during the period) who agreed to be interviewed about the event. A test for bias from the self-selection of study participants indicated that criminal charges were similarly distributed among the sample and the population of those arrested. A second sample of 499 respondents was matched with all those arrested on the basis of sex, race, and residence. This second group represented a quota sample based on how near they lived to an arrested person.

Although the arrested sample had a slightly higher median for years of schooling, an age-controlled analysis determined that at every age a higher proportion of the community sample had completed high school.

Those in the arrested sample were found to be much less likely to be in white-collar jobs, more likely doing skilled and semiskilled work, and about as well represented in the unskilled and service occupations as those in the other group. This somewhat lower occupational status was not due to the younger age of the jail sample, for age standardization increased their relative deficit.

Age standardization also increased the relative deficit in the weekly incomes of those arrested. They had significantly lower incomes, with a higher proportion in each of the income categories of less than \$100 per week; in contrast, the community sample had a higher proportion in each category over \$100.

Finally, a smaller proportion of those arrested were unemployed at the time they were interviewed, and their history of employment was different. A much higher proportion of the arrested sample had been unemployed at some time during the year preceding the interview and had been unemployed for two months or longer.

Participants in ghetto riots are thus considered likely to be from the more deprived segment of that community. Age controls not only established the higher educational achievement of riots as an artifact of their youth, but also that those arrested during the riot were more deprived than others in their neighborhood. The authors, therefore, con-

sidered it premature to reject the deprivation hypothesis to explain riots.

Comments:

This study compared those arrested for rioting to members of the same community who were not arrested. Although the former were charged with participation in the riots, whether or to what degree their comparison group participated was not determined. The results of this study are hence not directly comparable with those of studies which specifically compared riot participants to nonparticipants. These other studies, however, would have benefited from the use of age controls. No evidence was presented showing how well the arrested sample represented all rioters.

Goldberg, Louis C., "Ghetto Riots and Others: The Faces of Civil Disorder in 1967," Journal of Peace Research, 2, 1966, 116-32.

Approach: Theoretical

The "Negro riots" in 1967 varied in many critical respects. The author examines 23 such disturbances and groups them on the basis of their most prominent features. Two, those in Detroit and Newark, can be described as "general upheavals" that were beyond the control of control agents or community leaders. Both riots followed two phases. In the first, collective violence was initiated by blacks; from precipitating encounters with the police it escalated spontaneously into a general rebellion against white authority. Social control agents were overwhelmed; they lacked the resources and the decisiveness needed to maintain order. Old people, women and children then joined the predominantly young male rioters. In the second phase, the agents of social control reestablished their dominance. Reinforcements from the state police and the National Guard arrived, police became less disciplined, and expressed racist attitudes through lawless acts against blacks. Violence ended with the presence of massive social control forces, their withdrawal from the area, or the exhaustion of both social control agents and rioters.

Some riots can best be described as political confrontations. These disturbances, although violent, were never entirely beyond the control of community leaders. Where blacks were sufficiently organized to select the leaders for negotiation; or community leaders were accepted as spokesmen, then militant negotiation could substitute for militancy on the streets.

Few disorders can be described simply as expressive rampages, in which the rioters' behavior gained little focus or direction in the course of time. When such riots did occur, however, negotiations with community leaders were ineffective because the rioters were "organized around drinking and chaotic emotional expression" rather than political grievances.

Some riots developed as the fulfillment of anticipations, in which the first acts of collective aggression came not from blacks, but from the expectation by social control forces that a riot would develop. Subsequent black responses tended to be defensive, protective, or retaliatory.

The spread of news about disorders through media channels and friends and relatives was considerably more important a factor in triggering attempts to instigate violence. The kind of grievance may be more important than the intensity of feelings in determining whether a riot will develop -- for instance, responses to police brutality are more volatile than those aroused by bad schools. The process of seeking redress for grievances can be a source of grievance, since it illustrates how much white authorities care about black constituents. Finally, competition may be involved in the development of disorders; sometimes negotiations fail because blacks and police want violence.

Comments:

This article is interesting in that it describes different types of 1967 urban riots, especially because it points out that a number of riots were instigated by the police with the expectation that blacks were going to riot anyway. Such incidents in a sample would represent a problem in a study examining underlying conditions and grievances felt in riot cities.

Gordon, Leonard, "A Comment on 'Political Orientation and Riot Participation'," American Sociological Review, 37, 3, 1972, 379.

Approach: Commentary

This brief commentary recommends the modification of J.M. Paige's thesis that "radical political action depends on a combination of a strong sense of personal political competence (i.e., "efficacy") combined with a deep distrust of the political system" (ASR, October, 1969: 810), since Gordon considers it important to distinguish between trust in government and trust in government officials.

To support this view he cites the Kerner Commission and other studies of black counterrioters, where the combination of political efficacy and distrust produced the opposite result, that is, opposition to radical political action. Since "protest among the non-rioting proportion of Paige's four efficacy-trust types often assumes non-rioting forms," the author considers this indicative of confidence in government institutions but not in the officials operating within them.

Comments:

Paige's point is that rioters demonstrated a deep distrust of the political system, and thereby a lack of confidence in the institutions and officials that make up that system. It appears unlikely that the distinction between trust in government and in its officials, which holds for counterrioters, has relevance for rioters.

Grimshaw, Allen D., "Three Views of Urban Violence: Civil Disturbance, Racial Revolt, Class Assault," The American Behavioral Scientist, 11, 4, March/April, 1968, 2-7.

Approach: Theoretical

Grimshaw discusses labels and interpretations of the urban riots of the 1960s and attempts to relate rioting to the places within the social structure occupied by chief participants. The label one chooses is a function of one's perspective, that is, race, ideology, and distance from the disturbance. It also depends on motives and tactical outlooks -- whether one is a police officer or a black militant -- as well as on the usual variables such as age, sex, education, and income. Grimshaw emphasizes that blacks should not be viewed as a homogeneous group. Their perspectives on goals and tactics also depend on their particular circumstances.

When communities become polarized, pressures from peers and reference groups may also influence one's labeling, often forcing people to accept or reject ideological and tactical positions. Labels may shift as a consequence of changes in policy and in the information received about the scope and magnitude of disorders. The labels chosen by policy makers strongly influenced not only the immediate changes of improving ghetto conditions, but the prospects of avoiding major urban violence in the future.

Grimshaw discusses three labels applied to the riots and describes the individuals or groups adopting each label. The terms civil disturbance and insurrection have no racial overtones. Radical rightists use these terms to suggest that the disturbances result from community agitation or from a general breakdown of the normative order. They hope to discourage sympathy for blacks which could lead to appeasement and thus encourage more violence. Others who tend to use a label with no racial overtones are elected moderates hoping to avoid a black or white backlash, people concerned with the implications of rioting in relation to foreign policy, and officials in law enforcement or the military who are interested only in tactics.

Racial revolt has been the label used by militant blacks to define explicitly the boundaries of a conflict group and thus rally support within the black community that could force moderate black leaders to take a more militant stance. Moderate black leaders took advantage of such labeling to convince white decision makers that meeting their demands was preferable to dealing with the militants.

Class assault was the term chosen by people -- leftists, poverty workers, and some social scientists and journalists -- who wished to emphasize the economic factors causing the violence. Grimshaw suggests that there was, in fact, a division between lower-class and middle-class blacks and the beginning of class solidarity among lower-class blacks and whites. The latter was nipped in the bud by the

"questionable practices" of the police and National Guard, reminding blacks of their common identity.

Comments:

Labeling is important, as Grimshaw points out, because the choice of labels reveals people's perspectives, motives, tactical outlooks, and socioeconomic position. One must keep this fact in mind when evaluating an individual's interpretation of an incident.

Groves, W. Eugene and Peter Rossi, "Police Perceptions of a Hostile Ghetto," American Behavioral Science, 13, 5, 6, 727-744, May-August, 1970.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

This study is an examination of the perceptions of hostility by policemen assigned to duty in ghetto areas. The researchers propose two models to explain police/community relations: 1) that the hostility perceived by police is based on the level of friendliness or hostility that actually exists; 2) that police perceptions of hostility may arise from prior attitudes, influences of other policemen, or actual situations generated by the policeman himself through his own actions. The authors' data tends to support this later model.

Data for the study were drawn from interviews conducted in 1967 as part of the Kerner Commission Report. The sample consisted of 515 policemen assigned to ghettos in thirteen cities. If police perceptions of hostility were based on objective experiences the researchers would have expected to find significant differences between cities regarding the amount of hostility the police perceived to be present. Overall, the findings indicated that a large proportion of the police (60%) interviewed perceived the ghetto residents as either hostile or indifferent. In fact, only 8.7 percent of the variation in perceived hostility could be explained by differences between the cities, and this was because the cities studied had experienced varying amounts of violence during the period studied. It was shown that individual characteristics of the policemen had a great deal more impact on their perceptions of hostility than community characteristics.

Comments:

There are problems in the measurement of both active and psychological riot participation: active participation is merely self-reported overall activity (i.e., very active, somewhat active, etc.) without reference to specific behaviors and without differentiating an activity such as looting from counterriot activity. Psychological riot activity suffers from even more severe measurement problems since it only indicates that the respondent witnessed activity or believed others in the neighborhood to be involved.

Five variables were significantly related to perceived hostility: these were race, rank, age, prejudice, and acquaintance with residents. Race was highly correlated with prejudice. The results indicated that for whites, prejudice was highly related to perceived hostility, but the data indicated that a larger proportion of the variation in perceived hostility of black policemen could be explained by city differences. Similarly, age was highly with rank: the results indicated that younger policemen perceived more hostility than older policemen. This may be

because younger police are given more dangerous tasks in patrolling the ghetto or that young white policemen are more the target of black hostility than the older policemen, but this is not explored further. Finally, police who had more acquaintance with community members perceived less hostility.

The police interviewed for the Kerner Commission Report were selected by the police departments and thus the sample may be biased. If the sample were randomly selected, they might have found an even higher percentage of perceived hostility, more differences among cities, and reversals of the age/perceived hostility relationship.

These interviews were completed before the assassination of Martin Luther King, which sparked a number of civil disorders, and the amount of variation explained by city differences might have been higher if the interviews had been conducted several months after the outbreaks. In fact, one of the major defects in the study may be the assumption of differences among cities in the actual level of hostility ghetto residents had for the police; that is the finding of few significant differences among cities may be a reflection of the reality, not of characteristics of police.

Hahn, Harlan, "Cops and Rioters: Ghetto Perceptions of Social Conflict and Control," American Behavioral Scientist, 13, 5-6, August 1970, 761-79.

Approach: Empirical/Descriptive
Methodology: Case Study

Part of an entire issue of this journal devoted to "Police and Society," Hahn's article deals with attitudes among black residents of Detroit about riot control and police conduct before, during, and after the 1967 riot. Hahn concludes that attitudes toward police were not the major factor in the riot, but that unemployment and housing were the chief grievances. He says, however, that police should work harder to gain public support for their methods of riot control.

Hahn states that "the most demanding problem confronting law enforcement officers today is the outbreak of civil disorder....Local police must assume the major responsibility for curbing urban violence." His paper represents an attempt to see what part police behavior plays in setting the stage for a major riot. It is a combination of several studies. One was made in 1965-66 by the University of Michigan School of Public Health. In the other, carried out shortly after the riot, Metro Opinion Research Corporation of Detroit interviewed 270 black adults from the 12th Street area, the center of the Detroit riots, who had been interviewed before the riot.

The major findings were these:

1. Most respondents reported that jobs and housing, not police brutality, were the chief causes of the riot.
2. Approval ratings of police behavior by both whites and blacks dropped eight points shortly after the riot.
3. A majority of blacks approved of police behavior, even after the riot.
4. Among those who thought the "low profile" of the police during the initial stages of the riot was due to a policy of restraint, most endorsed the later use of force to halt the rioting.
5. Among those who thought the police were slow to act because they were outnumbered, most believed the later show of force was excessive.
6. Most respondents considered that police conduct after the riot would improve. This was especially true of those who explained the initial inaction of the police as the result of policy.

The author concludes that police restraint may have been an important requirement, not only for securing public acceptance of riot control measures but also for restoring social controls in the area after the rioting ended.

Comments:

Details about the 12th Street respondents were limited to the area of residence and the fact that a modified quota sampling procedure was used. The sampling procedure and a listing of other details about respondents though probably available elsewhere, are missing from this article.

The author's conclusion concerning the ways that initial police restraint affected attitudes goes beyond the data. The problem is intrinsic to having an N of 1, the city of Detroit.

Hahn, H. and J.K. Feagin, "Rank and File Versus Congressional Perceptions of Ghetto Riots," Social Science Quarterly, 51, 2, September 1970, 361-73.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data; Survey Research

To explain the political effects of the riots between 1964 and 1967, the congressional responses were contrasted with those of members of the general public, both black and white. Perceptions about the causes and cures of the riots were gathered by surveying black residents of Detroit's Twelfth Street area shortly after the 1967 riot and through similar postriot surveys in other black ghettos. Congressional data were obtained from a mail questionnaire to which about half of all senators and representatives responded.

Most black respondents singled out discrimination, deprivation, and police brutality as the main reasons for riots. Most whites cited outside agitation or criminal elements in the ghetto. Even in the ghetto itself many in the predominantly white occupational groups--policemen, merchants and employers--seemed inclined primarily to blame agitators, militants, or criminals. In contrast, those in the predominantly black occupational groups --teachers, social workers, and political workers--were more inclined to attribute the riots to unheeded Negro complaints.

Given this black-white polarization of opinion among the rank and file, the authors sought to discover whether the orientation of a predominantly white Congress would follow that of the white public or whether the disorders would make its members more sympathetic to the problems of blacks. Congressmen most frequently cited "joblessness and idleness, especially among young Negroes" as the cause of the riots, and nearly half of them felt that "outside Negro agitators" were greatly to blame. These congressmen also tended strongly to blame political institutions other than the federal government, especially state and local governments. Of course, these opinions varied according to the member's party and the region represented.

Most ghetto residents in Detroit felt that the riot was bound to happen sooner or later, but touched on possible ways to prevent future disorders: "giving black people equal rights" and improving slum conditions. Fewer than one-fifth of the residents suggested the need for tougher control, a finding reported in other postriot surveys. Rank-and-file whites, however, do not emphasize the need for crash programs to deal with discrimination and ghetto conditions. Like the rank-and-file white citizens, most congressmen felt that greater penalties for rioters and larger, better-paid police forces would do much to prevent riots. "As reflected in this survey, the general mood of Congress seemed to represent a desire to avoid major social reform or--at best--to escape the responsibility for preventing future riots by delegating it to other public and private agencies."

Comments:

Although there are weaknesses in the congressional survey and in many of the postriot surveys cited in this article, the contrast in perspectives between black and white Americans is both revealing and dramatic. The impact of the riots on the attitudes of white Americans is particularly interesting in the light of the Kerner Report.

Himes, Joseph, "A Theory of Racial Conflict," Social Forces, 51, 1, September 1971, 53-60.

Approach: Theoretical

Abstract:

According to this author, racial conflict could develop against the background of the traditional racial structure and become sociologically inevitable when four conditions were fulfilled: first, blacks would have to become motivated toward conflict; next, they would have to create resources for social power; third, organizational devices for mobilizing would have to be developed; and fourth, tactical devices would have to be produced.

Why did racial conflict erupt across the nation in the 1960s, instead of in 1950 or 1970? Himes claims that racial conflict is inevitable in a given situation -- in this case the traditional racial structure in which blacks and whites are segregated and interdependent -- when certain factors are added in a particular sequence with cumulative effect.

Adequate motivation by blacks entails heightened frustration, a belief that change is possible, a perception by black people of their collective power, and ideological support for conflict, such as Martin Luther King's "I Have a Dream" speech. Sources of power are deliberately sought by leaders of violent movements. In this case, they found power in the black masses themselves, by tapping the moral guilt of whites, and by using the mass media. Organization was needed in order to inspire and galvanize blacks to fit them into divisions of labor, to impose control over relevant behavior, to sustain morale and enthusiasm, and to develop what Himes called the "mass-participating conflict activity pattern." Invented or borrowed tactics for manipulating and delivering power included direct action, confrontation, intimidation, and controlled violence.

According to the author, "the times" produced this combination of factors, one "that triggered conflict as the expected outcome of change" and "eliminated any other possible outcome."

Comments:

Himes does little more than list the events leading up to the racial conflicts of the 1960s as a basis for an alleged theory that these events led to conflict. It would be more convincing if he showed that those factors were present in many other countries at different times and in different settings. Do they appear in situations that did not lead to conflict?

The author claims that an "adequate" theory should account for all types of conflict behavior. Are the factors causing other kinds of conflict the same as those causing specifically racial conflict?

Racial conflict is not defined, and Himes's inclusion of King's "I Have a Dream" speech as exemplifying support for conflict implies quite a broad definition. Under those terms there has been racial conflict throughout American history.

Hippler, Arthur, "The Game of Black and White at Hunter's Point,"
Trans-Action, 7, 6, April 1970, 56-63.

Approach: Descriptive
Methodology: Participant Observation

This case study of the 1966 riot at Hunter's Point in the southeastern section of San Francisco, describes the underlying conditions, the precipitating event, the dynamics and development of the disorder, and its aftermath. Hippler was himself engaged in field work in the area both before and after the five-day riot.

Hunter's Point is described as a "true ghetto, despised and neglected as far as possible by the rest of the city." Its population is largely black, with high unemployment, substandard housing, inadequate community control, and unpredictable and unsatisfactory relations between residents and the police.

The 128-hour riot began when a black teenager was killed by a white policeman; it seemed a tragedy in which community leaders and the police were completely ineffectual. The Negro leaders of the middle-class community could exert no influence on the young male rioters; the organization of former gang leaders who maintained a liaison between the police and the participants had no strength, and the mayor was considered incapable of understanding the roots of the discontent. "Vague promises could not compensate for abandoning the sense of power which the riot gave its participants."

The police made a major tactical error by opening fire on the settlement house and engaging in some willful property damage. The community then believed that the police wanted to kill them and would do so. "The police undoubtedly considered the residents as riotous and dangerous long before they had become so, and this perception determined the actions of the police and finally the actions of the rioters." Yet very few violent acts by Negroes against whites were said to occur in the course of the rioting, and the reason given by Hippler is the Negroes' fear of whites coupled with a holiday mood.

The riot caused even greater disintegration of the community. Blacks and whites are farther apart than ever, very few community organizations still function, few of the jobs promised for black youth have materialized, and separatism between the races is increasingly supported.

Comments:

This is a very readable case study of a tragic episode.

Hofstetter, C. Richard, "Political Disengagement and the Death of Martin Luther King," Public Opinion Quarterly, 33, 2, 1969, 174-79.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Survey Research

Ethnic groups are believed to become integrated into political systems at the beginning by ethnic leaders who serve as brokers or links for the group. Hofstetter suggests here that the murder of Dr. Martin Luther King would sever this connection for many blacks, disengage them from the political system, and intensify their mistrust of whites, and of major political institutions and leaders. Data were from an on-going study of political attitudes, with comparisons of attitudes before and after the murder among a stratified random sample of the Columbus, Ohio, metropolitan area. The results showed a somewhat more selective pattern of change than had been predicted: 1) the only effect on whites was a slight polarization toward and against national politicians; 2) the effect on the ties blacks felt to political objects was much greater; 3) negative attitudes toward police increased sharply; 4) negative feelings toward whites doubled in frequency; 5) black's resentments about national politicians, and Richard Nixon in particular, grew more negative; 5) positive feelings increased for the N.A.A.C.P. and other blacks.

Comments: The greatest weakness is that only 29 blacks took part before the murder and only 27 after it.

Janowitz, Morris, "Patterns of Collective Racial Violence," In Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives. H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), for the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence, New York, 1969, 393-422.

Approach: Historical/Theoretical

Janowitz states his purpose at the very beginning as being an attempt to "...trace the transformation of patterns of collective racial violence in urban areas over the last fifty years in three phases: the World War I race riots (1919); the World War I ghetto riots; and the political riots of the 1960s." Janowitz assumes that the "extent and form of collective racial violence" is an expression of the "social structure and agencies of social change and social control" and specifically targets the mass media (newspapers in the 20s; TV in the 60s) and the police as causal agents. He builds a typology of race riots, linked with each historic period. The first type, Communal ("contested area") riots, were inter-racial clashes typical of the post World War I period. They occurred on the periphery of expanding black neighborhoods and represented the white community's desire to put blacks back "in their place." Beginning with the Harlem riot of 1943 and climaxing during the 1960s, communal riots gave way to large scale violent disorders within the black urban ghettos. This second type is termed "commodity" riots because violence by blacks was directed towards property and retail establishments rather than against persons. The third kind of riot, 'political terror waged by small groups of people with crude ideologies' is what happened in the 1960s.

Janowitz contends that styles and strategies of intervention and control of black-white tensions and actual riots by police have a tremendous effect on the actual dynamics of protest. He specifically cited police overreaction and excessive use of firepower as a cause in the escalation of violence in riots.

The role of the mass media has been significant. During communal riots newspapers contributed to the violence by publishing inflammatory reports. During the 1960s, violence spread contagion style throughout urban areas and the nation via television. The media disseminated the rationalizations of rioters and presented information about tactics of participation and the gratifications that were derived from violence.

The decline in the scope and intensity of 1968 racial riots is due in part to the development of new tactics and organizations that facilitate the expression of black interests and solidarity as well as to changes in the role of the police: improved police community relations, higher levels of police professionalism, and improved police training. Janowitz predicts the next phase of collective racial violence will involve a shift from "expressive" outbursts to a more instrumental and goal oriented use of violence by blacks. The failure of society to meet needs of the black community will contribute to an environment in which conspiratorial violence will flourish. The role of the police will involve covert surveillance of militant organizations, thus escalating violence as happened during the communal and commodity phases.

Comments:

In the three types of collective racial violence outlined by Janowitz, a variety of dimensions are used to distinguish them but their essential similarities to other nonracial violent events are not noted. It would seem to be useful and indeed necessary to show that each of the types--communal, commodity, and political riots--represent types of violence which have occurred over issues other than racial issues throughout our history. For example, communal riots are essentially defined by the fact that a dominant or establishment group attacked a minority group when there is a perceived threat of loss of status. These types of riots are similar to vigilante actions in the same or earlier periods of American history. Commodity riots and political violence on the other hand, represent efforts by a minority or oppressed group to secure concessions from dominant groups and differ more in the choice of strategy and tactic than in target. Both of these types of violence have also had their counterparts in nonracial collective actions such as labor violence.

Jeffries, Vincent, and H. Edward Ransford, "Interracial Social Contact and Middle-Class White Reactions to the Watts Riot," Social Problems, 16, 3, 1969, 312-24.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Abstract:

Shortly after the Watts riot, the reactions of a sample of white, middle-class residents were studied to see whether friendly, equalitarian social contacts with blacks predicted to any extent the way they reacted to blacks immediately after the riot, and to assess their perception of the riot itself. The authors assume that uncompetitive interracial contact develops tolerance and a better knowledge among whites of the problems and grievances of blacks.

They hypothesized that, compared to whites with social contact, those lacking such contact would (1) estimate a higher proportion of blacks participating in the riot; (2) be more aware of the presence of blacks in day-to-day activities following the riot; (3) be more likely to blame outside agitators for the riot; (4) display more fearful reactions to blacks; (5) feel more alienated from blacks because of the riot; and (6) be more in favor of punitive measures to prevent riots.

Social contact was measured two to four weeks after the riot by asking 103 white respondents if they had been associated with blacks in a series of situations, and whether they had engaged in such social activities with these blacks as visiting back and forth, going out together, and so on. Of these respondents, 52 lived in an integrated neighborhood on the periphery of the riot zone, while the other 51 lived in all-white areas 15-20 miles farther away. In both areas homes were valued between \$25,000 and \$40,000. No social contact was reported by 74 respondents; 29 reported some social contact. The housing units and respondents were not specified. The interviewer was given a random corner and direction to take.

The data supported the hypotheses, but sometimes with significance as low as $p < .20$. To control for prejudice, the authors eliminated 27 extremely prejudiced respondents who agreed with the statement that "Negroes are lazy and ignorant." Even in this smaller, more tolerant sample, social contact with blacks remained an important predictor of most reactions to the riot. After controlling for proximity to the riot, all the original relationships between social contact and riot reactions remained; in fact, whites with no social contact living 15-20 miles from the riot zone were more likely to fear attacks by blacks than was true of whites with social contact who lived close to the riot zone.

Comments:

Unfortunately the sample was not large enough to allow much intricate analysis. Only 29 respondents reported having had social contact with blacks. The authors view the study as exploratory because of the small

sample and the fact that every household in Los Angeles did not have an equal probability of representation. In addition, there may have been some systematic biases in the interviewers' selection of respondents, in that the housing units in the white areas were not specified.

There is no evidence that social contact determines attitudes toward blacks and toward the riot itself. It appears equally probable that attitudes have determined whether social contact takes place. It is also conceivable that a third variable, such as personality, determines both social contact and attitudes toward blacks. Finally, since it is not clear how the indices of social contact were constructed, it is difficult to evaluate their validity.

148406

**U.S. Department of Justice
National Institute of Justice**

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this ~~document~~ material has been granted by

Public Domain/NIJ

U.S. Department of Justice

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the ~~document~~ owner.

Jeffries, Vincent, Ralph H. Turner and Richard T. Morris, "The Public Perception of the Watts Riot as Social Protest," American Sociological Review, 36, 3, 1971, 433-51.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

Abstract:

Using data gathered soon after the Watts riot, the authors test several hypotheses regarding the conditions under which people will identify a collective disturbance as a social protest. Social protest is defined as an action that "expresses a grievance, a conviction of wrong or injustice; the protestors are unable to correct the condition directly by their own efforts; the action is intended to draw attention to the grievances; the action is further meant to provoke ameliorative steps by some target group; and the protestors depend upon some combination of sympathy and fear to move the target group in their behalf.

Three determinants of the perception of an event as social protest were examined in this study: the "credibility of the protest image to the individual," the "communication balance between appeal and threat," and "conduciveness to gestures of conciliation." Data consisted of 583 interviews with whites living in six Los Angeles communities: an all-white and a racially mixed community for each of three levels of socioeconomic status - high, middle and low. The authors constructed an Index of Protest Definition (IPD) by combining responses to three questions: Was the Watts riot a protest? What were its causes? What steps might prevent further riots? They found that 48 percent of the sample viewed the Watts riot as a social protest in answering at least two of the three questions.

The "credibility of the protest image to the individual" was believed to be based on three factors: (1) some prior sensitizing experience, knowledge or attitudes, such as a belief that discrimination was practiced against Negroes; (2) some similarity between respondents' generalized conception of protest and this particular event; for example, a belief that protests involve substantial support from the group they represent and that this is true of the particular event; and (3) an evaluation of another group's complaints by comparison with their own situation; that is, groups that are better off than the complaining group can find the claim of injustice more credible than groups that are worse off.

To measure the first factor the authors looked at respondents' attitudes toward discrimination and toward the civil rights movement, as well as their participation in activities supporting civil rights, measured after the riot, and found that they were substantially related to definitions of protest. Respondents who agreed that Los Angeles blacks suffer from discrimination, those who approved of the civil rights movement, those who had participated in civil rights protests, and those who believed the requests of blacks were just, tended to view the Watts riot as protest, according to the summarized data, after controlling for respondents' attitudes towards blacks.

The authors assumed that most individuals believe protests involve substantial support and little opposition from a given constituency, and so they asked people how much support, participation, and opposition to the disturbance they observed in their neighborhoods. They found only a weak relation between these perceptions and protest definitions.

Data on reported income, occupation, educational attainment, and the degree of discrimination the respondents perceived toward low income whites as well as toward themselves showed only a slight or insignificant relation between the demographic variables and protest definition; the relation between definitions of protest and discrimination against low income whites and the respondents themselves was weak.

Finding that a perception of discrimination against low income whites or oneself strongly affects only the number of persons with high socioeconomic status who define the Watts riot as a social protest, the authors suggest that "subjective common cause affects protest definition only among those who are in a clearly more advantaged position than the protestors."

The "communication balance between appeal and threat" was believed to make it more likely that a disturbance would be interpreted as a social protest. The identification of grievances and the appeal for help had to be loud enough to be heard, but the intimidation or threat could not be too loud to drown out the appeal.

Respondents were asked about the positive or negative responses other people made to the riot. Were whites now more aware of or more sympathetic to "the Negro problem" than before? Respondents who believed that whites were now more aware and more sympathetic, those who felt the gap between the races had not increased, and those who felt the riot had helped "the Negro's cause" were more likely to define the riot as protest. Most of these relationships held up after controlling for attitudes towards blacks, and this fact was interpreted as supporting the hypothesis. Those respondents who felt threatened by the riot were expected to be less likely to perceive the riot as protest. Respondents were asked whether they feared for themselves or the safety of their families during the riot, whether they considered using firearms for protection, and whether they approved of whites buying guns to protect themselves during a riot. Two of these measures of threat were negatively associated with the perception of the riot as social protest.

The final determinant the authors examined was "conciliation." If defining an event as a protest is taken to be an act of conciliation toward blacks, then whites are likely to redefine the riot as something other than protest, if blacks continue to attack them. The authors tested this hypothesis by asking the respondent if he thought blacks were ungrateful, whether blacks were in general violent people, and whether most Los Angeles blacks respect law and order. The hypothesis received no significant support after controlling for attitudes towards blacks.

The strongest finding in this study is the establishment of a relation between a sensitizing experience or predisposing ideology and the definition of social protest, over and above general attitudes toward blacks. The authors suggest that strategies to increase public awareness of discrimination will be helpful in reducing racial inequality in the United States.

Comments:

The measurements used in this study are not good tests of either the hypotheses or the concepts. For example, the respondent's perception of whether other whites felt that the riot had widened the gap between the races, or whether other whites were now more sympathetic to black people's problems, is taken to indicate the respondent's perception of the riot as an identification of grievances and a call for help. Neither the respondent's own attitude nor the authors' concept of appeal is measured. The authors themselves state that some of the relations may have been clear "if the items had been designed as indicators of the basic theoretical propositions," an understatement of the measurement problem.

A more detailed definition of a "sensitizing experience or attitude" is required to justify the use of "attitudes towards blacks" as a control. The authors' definition "some prior experience or knowledge or attitude that prepares the individual for what he encounters," appears to include a respondent's attitude towards blacks.

Among respondents of higher socioeconomic status, there was a relationship between the belief in discrimination against themselves and lower-class whites and their definition of the riot as a social protest. This was seen as support of the common cause theory. On the other hand, a relation between such a definition and a belief that lower-class whites are discriminated against would support the comparison theory, unless the respondents which were better off believed as they did because of "sympathy from shared misfortune." Without knowing which part of the question the respondent agreed with, or the part played by sympathy, we cannot conclude that either theory is supported.

The authors point out the "pervasive" problem of causality. "Tentatively, it is reasonable to regard attitudes towards the Civil Rights Movement and beliefs about discrimination against blacks in Los Angeles as both prior to and somewhat more generalized than interpretations of the particular event of Watts." It is also possible that the "observed" relationships may be spurious; a conceptually prior variable may have determined the definition of the riot as well as beliefs about discrimination.

Jiobu, Robert M., "City Characteristics, Differential Stratification, and the Occurrence of Interracial Violence," Social Science Quarterly, 52, 1971, 508-20.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

According to the author, many of the theories relating urban structure to interracial violence are piecemeal and ad hoc. This article uses path analysis to test a model linking a city's characteristics to differences between blacks and whites in education, occupation, and income that have led to segregated housing and finally racial violence.

Three urban characteristics were considered: population size, percentage of blacks, and regional location. Larger cities were expected to have more racial violence because violence was more likely to have some legitimacy and because a sense of anonymity and impersonality among people was a more common problem. Cities with larger black populations were believed to have more violence because discrimination and blocked opportunities are more frequent. Southern cities were expected to have less racial violence because of their castelike system of racial accommodation and the higher degree of social control by whites. Cities with greater gaps between whites and blacks in education, occupation, and income were expected to have more violence in protest against the prevalent accommodative structure. Moreover, segregation was thought not only to lead to violent acts as an expression of black solidarity but also to promote cohesiveness among blacks which could be mobilized in support of the violence.

With regard to relationships among these variables, Jiobu argues that city size will be negatively related to the comparative differences between education, occupation, and income of blacks and whites because attitudes about racial equality would follow the national pattern, and race would be less likely to create differences in education, occupation and income of residents. Large cities were expected to have more residential segregation, however, and differences in the education, occupation and income of blacks and whites were expected to be associated with segregated housing.

Seventy-four cities were included in the analysis. Each had a population of over 100,000, including at least 2,500 blacks. Since at least 85 percent of the nonwhites in these cities were black, the nonwhite statistics could be said to refer to blacks. Reports of 73 undefined incidents of "interracial violence" occurring between 1964 and 1967 were obtained from the Christian Science Monitor, the New York Times, U.S. News and World Report, and the Kerner Report. More than half (54 percent) of the cities experienced at least one outbreak of such violence.

As predicted, city size was directly related to racial violence. This effect was virtually independent of other variables included in the model, "a finding consistent with the argument that size reflects city centrality in society and urbanism, both of which can lead to interracial violence." In contrast to size, the percentage of blacks had

hardly any direct effect on racial violence, on differences in occupation and income, or on residential segregation. As the authors expected, the direct effect of regional location was great: southern cities were relatively immune from violent incidents with respect to this one variable. Differences between education, income and occupation of blacks and whites were in general not related to racial violence, although where blacks held lower jobs there was a likelihood that less racial violence would occur. Residential segregation also had little effect on the incidence of violence, contrary to the hypothesis.

Differences in the socioeconomic status of blacks and whites thus exerted a generally negligible influence. Size, followed by region, clearly represented the most important of the variables explaining violence. The author argues that "while interracial violence may change accommodative structures, there is little support for the proposition that present accommodative structures lead to violence."

Comments:

The use of causal models, careful construction of indices in which blacks -- not nonwhites -- are the units of measurement, and clearly formulated hypotheses make this article important in the continuing examination of the relation between urban characteristics and racial violence. Unfortunately because the author does not define the incidents covered by the term "interracial violence," it is difficult to compare the results with those of Spilerman or Morgan and Clark.

Keniston, Kenneth, "How Community Mental Health Stamped Out the Riots (1968-1978)," Trans-Action, 5, 8, July/August 1968, 21-29.

Approach: Satirical Essay

Keniston presents here a view of the future in which the combined efforts of law enforcement, the military, and community mental health organizations have effectively suppressed dissent and urban violence. The vehicle is an imagined speech that might be given to the "Community Mental Health Organization" ten years after this article was written. Satirized are the euphemisms and labels attached to strategies of control and containment. The author reaffirms the danger of treating dissent and protest as manifestations of individual and social pathology. He also suggests that mental health workers might be asked to police the behavior of dissidents and violent protesters, envisioning a transfer by which the traditional tactics used to promote community mental health are devoted to the control and repression of urban inner-city dwellers.

Comments:

An amusing and thought provoking essay revealing the policy implications of meshing the efforts of control and treatment organizations to prevent disorder and dissent.

Kerner, Otto, et al., Report of the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders, U.S. Government Printing Office, 1968.

Approach: Descriptive/Prescriptive
Methodology: Case Study

The report is divided into three parts. Part I contains profiles of disorders which occurred in 10 cities during the 1967 wave of urban unrest, selected from a total of 23 cities which were investigated. Part II analyzes the causes of the 1967 disorders: discrimination in employment, education, and housing; increasing demographic concentration of blacks in the cities and whites in suburbs; frustration bred by the powerful contrast between the utopia portrayed by TV and the press and the reality of impoverished life in deteriorating neighborhoods. In Part III the Commission tries to answer the question, "What can be done?" This review examines a number of the recommendations in response to that question.

Several aim at reducing the social and political isolation which characterizes ghetto life. The commission found that the trigger incidents in many of the 1967 disturbances were themselves relatively small, yet because of an accumulation of unresolved grievances they led to enormous disruption. The commission therefore recommends "Neighborhood Action Task Forces," coalitions between ghetto leaders and city government to cut some of the bureaucratic entanglements which prevent ghetto leaders from obtaining an orderly redress of grievances. Since such redress of grievances requires knowledge of and participation in the legal system, the commission also recommends low-cost legal assistance for the urban poor generally. The commission also recommends a campaign by city governments to hire, train, and promote ghetto residents. Other recommendations deal with the relation between police and ghetto communities. As the commission points out, the police are the most visible representatives of city government, and if they are abusive or insensitive (as a certain percentage undeniably are) the already touchy relations between ghetto residents and local authority can explode into full-scale disorder. The commission therefore recommends that officers with bad reputations in ghettos should be transferred to other districts and replaced by outstanding officers attracted to work in such areas by special incentives. More generally the commission suggests that the role of police departments should extend beyond law enforcement -- which in itself engenders paranoia and suspicion -- to include a range of community services. Police departments, like other branches of city government, should also actively recruit members of the ghetto community. All of these recommendations aim at overcoming the barriers which separate urban authorities from their ghetto constituencies.

A different sort of recommendation set forth by the commission deals with the news media. The commission investigated the charge that media coverage of the disorders was inflammatory and concluded that for the most part it was not. The commission realized during the investigation, however, that the issue of media and the ghetto goes beyond the behavior of reporters during riots and includes the general idea about the ghetto conveyed by the media. The failure of the news sources to report the

urban ghetto and its problems realistically is reprehensible for two reasons: 1) when news sources do not report ghetto problems regularly, the outburst of stories during urban disorders will inevitably shock an unprepared public; and 2) given the central role of the media in socialization, failure to present the ghetto as a part of a larger society perpetuates the alienation felt by ghetto dwellers. The commission therefore recommends the establishment of permanent media coverage of the urban ghetto. Also, citing the failure of the professional journalists and news sources to hire, train, and promote blacks, the commission urges the profession to recruit blacks more actively by instituting training programs in colleges and even high schools.

Regarding the future of U.S. Cities and of race relations in general the commission declares that the current trend of black migration into cities and whites to the suburbs shows no signs of diminishing and will increasingly polarize U.S. society unless actively opposed. Effective opposition would have to include a national fair housing law to support black migration away from urban centers, a move that would in turn enable blacks to follow industry in its exodus from the cities. (Another strategy -- government subsidy of renewed urban industrialization -- is less attractive.) Such opposition would also involve a serious effort to rectify the present gross discrepancies between educational opportunities for blacks and for whites.

Comments:

In terms of commission reports, this one is outstanding, both in terms of the sheer magnitude of the effort and the involvement of experts both from the policy and research spheres of the society. Data collected for the report have been useful in a variety of later efforts to dissect the causes and characteristics of the urban riots.

Killian, Lewis M., "The Significance of Extremism in the Black Revolution," Social Problems, 20, 1, 1972, 41-49.

Approach: Theoretical

Killian examines the various connotations of the term extremism as used with reference to the black movement. "Extremism" is a vague term of opprobrium used by black leaders who wish to seem moderate and is frequent in news reports. His definition of black extremism is derived from Gary Marx's review of fifteen opinion and attitude surveys of blacks in 1967 and 1968. Black extremism is characterized by the following: 1) approval of violence as a tactic; 2) pessimism concerning past changes or expected ones; 3) support for separatist goals; 4) hostility toward and mistrust of whites; 5) positive evaluation of black power (black control of the black community); and 6) support for Stokely Carmichael and the Nation of Islam versus the NAACP and Ralph Abernathy. Marx also suggests that the extremist is deeply committed to his cause.

Before addressing the significance of extremism in the current black movement, Killian examines it in earlier periods in black history. He concludes that what is considered extreme in one period may be considered moderate or reactionary later. A. Philip Randolph is cited as an example of the shifting ideological preferences in the black movement.

Six propositions concerning the possible significance of extremism in the current black movement are presented. Extremists can accomplish these gains: 1) increase the bargaining power of moderate leaders by making them appear more reasonable; 2) provide a constant corrective to what may be illusions of progress; 3) identify unresolved issues and define new ones; 4) radicalize a growing part of the movement's membership and increase the polarization between the movement and its opposition; 5) focus the attention of the opposition and public on new issues; and 6) evoke extreme repressive measures from the opposition.

Killian notes that the extremist plays a dangerous game in making things worse so that they can get better. This is often a self-defeating strategy. It can evoke drastic repression of the whole movement. The stakes he plays with are not just his but those of the moderates as well.

Comments:

This was an interesting analysis. The author describes the reaction of moderates, leaders of the opposition, and the news media to extremists and notes the pay-off, especially for the moderates, in successfully labeling a person as extremist.

Knopf, Terry Ann, "Race, Riots and Reporters," Commonweal, July 1970, 336-40; see also, Knopf, T.A., "Race, Riots and Reporting," Journal of Black Studies, 4, 3, March 1974, 303-27; and Knopf, T.A., "Media Myths on Violence," Civil Rights Digest, 4, 1, Winter 1971, 2-9.

Approach: Descriptive
Methodology: Content Analysis

This article argues that serious problems characterize press coverage of urban disorders, despite technical improvements. "Glaring instances of inaccuracy, exaggeration, distortion, misinterpretation, and bias have continued at every level--in newspapers and news magazines large and small, Northern and Southern, liberal and conservative."

Knopf attributes the problems to the system of reporting in which the wire services, nationally known newspapers, and the news magazines constantly exchange information and tend to reproduce rather than examine each other's views. In the main, she says, these views reflect the bias of the "vast American middle class." "Both the general public and the press share the same dislike of the protestors; both are unable to understand the violence as expressions of protest against oppressive conditions. Both prefer the myth of orderly, peaceful change, extolling the virtues of private property and public decorum."

Surveys of white attitudes conducted by the Lemberg Center, compared with research on the riots themselves, she says, show that the findings in the riot studies have had little impact on the American public. Most Americans continue to believe that outside agitators were a major or contributing cause of the disorders, and the reason in part is the failure of the press.

Comments:

Although the article presents convincing evidence about the biases of the American press, the coverage of the media reports is selective and unsystematic. Further, this is a relatively superficial analysis of the factors tending to reinforce and maintain inadequate press coverage of disorders.

Kreps, Gary A., "Change in Crisis-Relevant Organizations: Police Departments and Civil Disturbances," American Behavioral Scientist, 16, 3, 1973, 356-67.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

How the civil disturbances of the late 1960s affected "crisis-relevant" organizations like police departments is the focus of this article. Police departments in fifteen unnamed cities with varying civil disturbances between 1965 and 1969 were selected for testing fifteen hypotheses about the impetus for organizational change, the development of change, and important factors associated with it. Change referred to relatively permanent modifications of the internal workings of the environment as a consequence of threats of civil disorders.

The first set of hypotheses stated, 1) that the greater the civil disturbances the greater the organizational changes; 2) as information gathering increased, more contact was made with other police departments, the range of problem-solving broadened, and the organizations became more complex. Police departments of larger sizes which were more complex and had more funds, and where they were more professionally trained were believed to experience more change.

The data came chiefly from 68 interviews with police officials who described the changes that had occurred in response to the threat of civil disturbance; but documentary information about each organization was also used as well as information from official records about the structural characteristics of the departments.

Spearman rank-order correlations supported most of the hypotheses, but organizational changes were more closely associated with perceived threats of civil disturbances than with actual disorders. Professional training of department personnel showed consistently higher correlations with the organizational changes than other structural characteristics of the organizations such as size, wealth, and complexity. Two case studies were presented to trace the organizational change in more detail.

Kreps concluded that "in these police departments, increasing amounts of information, activated by some definitions of civil disturbance threat, were associated with increasing amounts of change. Furthermore the existence or activation of interpolice contacts, as a source of information, were also an impetus to change."

Comments:

This is a very superficial analysis of how organizational change takes place in the police departments, and the documentation of methods is deficient. We are given no information about the characteristics of the fifteen selected cities, the kinds of disorders they experienced, their responses to the disorders, or many other factors which might be important.

Lang, Kurt and Gladys Engel Lang, "Racial Disturbances as Collective Protest," American Behavioral Scientist, 11, 4, 1968, 11-13.

Approach: Theoretical

This article reviews the dynamics of racial disturbances, defined as "a spontaneously shared collective defense, i.e., a collectively sanctioned defense against demoralization through the spontaneous coalescence of individual reactions in a distressing situation." In such situations, aggrieved persons are considered to act directly, coercively, and often violently to assert certain norms against established authority.

In the authors' opinion, any group is capable of violent reactions. The potential for violence increases when the means of institutional redress are limited or lacking, and when a perceived threat touches on common moral sentiments. Police arrest is especially likely to elicit violence because "there is a disposition common to all segments of the population to view the use of force by police as provocative and offensive." This is all the more true of people isolated from the mainstream of society, those whose self-esteem has been undermined by authorities, and those who recognize the limitations of civil disobedience. Residents of Negro ghettos are therefore especially at risk.

Once a given incident, usually involving a victim of an apparent outrage by police, mobilizes the sentiments of bystanders, a spontaneous collective defense is likely to ensue. This tendency to side with the victim and against police depends upon the presence of a "critical mass" of individuals who are ready to act against authority when provoked. The disorder which follows usually involves only a small number of residents; they are most likely to be young black males. Expansion into a full-scale riot, however, is the work of two groups: "sectarian agitators ready to foment trouble and/or to exploit any incidents for their own purpose, and those who normally participate in all sorts of illegal activities and are therefore prepared to take advantage of any disorder as a cover for their usual pursuits."

The next issue considered by the Langs is whether or not rioters employ violence as a political instrument. The fact that many riots were similar and occurred within a limited period suggests a social movement, however spontaneous the precipitating events appeared to be. Yet two observations seem to contradict the political explanation: the disturbances usually coincided with hot weather -- not politically significant events; and even the militant rank and file of the civil rights movement dissociated themselves from the disorders. The authors consider that the diffuse nature of the acts indicates that the disturbance was an occasion for "collective license" and personal gain. The deliberate selection of riot targets is thought to suggest that revenge was a motive.

The Langs observed that authorities often focus on troublemakers themselves rather than negotiation of issues. This tendency is magnified

by the attention reporters pay to violent incidents and to the would-be leaders who advocate violence. Although the maladjusted initiate protest, "as efforts at reasonable negotiations are frustrated, and alternative collective solutions to problems appear, even psychologically adjusted persons will be prone to tactics that include or invite violence." These authors therefore conclude that "the resort to violence is indicative of social, and not an individual, pathology."

Comments:

An underlying ambivalence is apparent throughout this article. On the one hand, the authors consider that violence is possible among groups having limited prospects of redress and feeling threatened by authority. When common sentiments are mobilized, hostile action takes the form of a spontaneously shared collective defense. Yet the Langs also assert that an incident is expanded into rioting by groups of troublemakers and criminals. They further contend that "among the main carriers of movements of radical protest in their early phases are persons who, because of their poor adjustment, exhibit many facets of pathology." Thus they belie their main argument that racial disturbances represent a collective protest by their own emphasis on the role of troublemakers, individual pathology, and "collective license."

Levy, Burton, "Cops in the Ghetto: A Problem of the Police System,"
The American Behavioral Scientist, March-April 1968, 31-34.

Approach: Prescriptive

Methodology: Observational/Literature Review

The riots in Newark and Detroit and the actual and threatened civil disorders in other urban areas in the summer of 1967 led this author to question the efficacy of traditional programs aimed at bridging the gulf between the black community and the police. Using years of "intensive experience with police in all parts of the nation, combined with results of other studies and statements by police officers themselves," Levy argues that the money spent on police recruitment, in-service training, community relations programs, and professionalization of police will not measurably improve the relations between police and Negroes in the urban centers.

He first cites evidence that the hostility to the police expressed by blacks is of long standing and is not confined to the poor or criminal. Moreover, every actual or potential civil disorder in the urban ghetto began with an encounter between a police officer and a black citizen. Systematic evidence of police brutality is difficult to obtain; but after an independent review apparatus was set up there were significantly more convictions against police departments than earlier departmental grievance procedures had produced.

Efforts to upgrade the education, training, and salaries of police have provided no evidence of change in their actual attitudes and behavior. Programs to ease relations between the black community and the police have not been evaluated for effectiveness, but the author believes they are probably not effective in changing the behavior of police or the attitudes of blacks. Attempts to bring more blacks into the police force have generally failed because "with one or two minor exceptions, Negroes simply are not employed in any number in any department."

His own observations as well as a study by Arthur Niederhoffer, Behind the Shield, lead Levy to argue that the problem is not a few "bad eggs" but rather a police system that recruits a significant number of bigots, reinforces the bigotry through the department's value system and association with older officers, and then takes the worst of the officers and assigns them to duty in the ghetto. Although other forms of institutional racism have been addressed by civil rights organizations, and the black community, and at least some of the white community, the police issue has been neglected because information is lacking and because of the defensiveness and secrecy exhibited by both the more professional police and the "old-line cops." Levy believes that to confront the issue mayors and police chiefs must be strong and committed to control of the police system. This will require a political base, a sensitive power structure, and changes in the attitudes held by a large number of white citizens.

Comments:

In contrast to earlier work by this author recommending that meaningful change in the relations between blacks and police could be brought about by the police department itself, Levy here proposes that the political centers of power must take the initiative to restructure the entire system. The argument would be considerably strengthened by a more detailed documentation to support the charge that current programs are ineffective. It is misleading to assert that certain programs will not work, when they have not even been tried.

Levy, Sheldon G., "Communications During the Detroit Riot," Journalism Quarterly, 1971, 48 (2), Sum., 339-342.

Approach: Descriptive, Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

This paper examines the flow of information during the 1967 Detroit riot in a random sample of 504 adults (16 years and older) in the city of Detroit and a few suburban areas. Interviews were conducted with 310 whites and 194 blacks between September and December, 1967.

Although the riot broke out on Sunday, July 23, 1967, at about 4 a.m., the major news media suppressed information about it until about 6 p.m. on Sunday. Nevertheless many of the respondents (51 percent of whites and 66 percent of blacks) had heard about the riot by that time. Information had spread earlier and through more informal channels for blacks than for whites. "It is apparent that, in spite of efforts to restrict the flow of information, informal networks disseminated the information rapidly, especially within the Negro community."

Most of the respondents heard about the disturbance while they were at home, and hearing it by word of mouth was more common among those persons who were at home. Most of the respondents followed the news about the riot and the greatest number of blacks and whites relied on television. Only 6 percent of the whites and 4 percent of the blacks said that newspapers were the most important source of information. Less than 5 percent of either group cited informal sources. Negroes spent more time following accounts of the riot than whites did.

Comments:

Readers should remember that the data on information source and first knowledge of the incident come from respondents' own reports as much as five months after the riot and that memories become vague, particularly about details after so long an interval.

It is interesting to compare these results with those of Warren (1972), who analyzed the influence of the media in Detroit during a later racial crisis. Warren also found that blacks relied more on friends and relatives for information than whites did, but that for whites newspapers were more important sources than for blacks. Warren went far beyond Levy in trying to analyze the way the sources of information affected respondents' perceptions of the incident.

Lieberson, Stanley, Arnold Silverman, "The Precipitants and Underlying Conditions of Race Riots," American Sociological Review, 30, 6, 1965, 887-898.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Content Analysis

The authors suggest that race riots tend to be triggered by confrontations in which one racial group feels deeply wronged by the other. Cities where economic competition between the races is very keen and city councils do not adequately represent their constituents are more likely to have a riot.

A riot differs from other forms of collective violence in that persons and property are attacked simply because they represent a given subgroup of the community. The first hypothesis here is that the immediate cause of race riots tends to be the "actual or rumored violation of one group by a member of the other." The second hypothesis is that certain conditions in the community make it more likely that an incident will lead to a riot.

A search through back issues of the New York Times revealed that 76 race riots occurred during 1913-63. To test the first hypothesis the events assumed to have precipitated the riots were classified. It was found that precipitating incidents often involved highly charged offenses (real or rumored) committed by members of one group against the others. Riots may be precipitated by violations of symbols rather than persons or taboos (e.g., the burning of an American flag by Negroes).

For the second hypothesis, a paired-comparison analysis was used: each city experiencing a riot was compared to a city similar in size and region that had not had a riot. By means of a nonparametric sign test, pairs of cities were compared in terms of growth rate of white and black population, proportion of black men employed in traditionally black occupations, difference between proportions of white and black men in traditionally black occupations, frequency of black store ownership in ghetto areas, white and black unemployment rates, difference between white and black unemployment rates, median white and black incomes, the difference between white and black median incomes, the percentage of blacks in substandard housing, the number of black policemen for every thousand blacks in the population, the manner in which city council members are elected (at large or by district), and relative size of city council.

Riots are not randomly distributed; there is a systematic reason why riots occur when and where they do. Although not all the relations were statistically significant, the difference between the occupations of whites and blacks was less marked in the riot cities and white income was lower, indicating more intense economic competition between

the races. The percentage of black store owners was smaller and black policemen were fewer relative to the total black population. These cities also tended to have at-large elections and larger population per city council member, implying that these city governments were less capable of reflecting and responding to constituents' needs.

Going beyond the data, Lieberman and Silverman suggest that riots are likely to take place when social institutions are not functioning adequately and thus fail to resolve grievances quickly and fairly.

Comments:

The support of the first hypothesis is weak, since it depends on brief subjective newspaper accounts. Data for the second hypothesis come from the most recent census, which could be as much as ten years old, a particular problem when measuring unemployment. The authors were unable to differentiate between white and black riots and they do not control for the severity of the riots. The analysis does not go beyond ecological correlations and is therefore suggestive but not conclusive.

Lipsky, Michael, "Social Scientists and the Riot Commission,"
The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social
Science, March 1971, 72-83.

Approach: Descriptive

A wide gap exists between the ideal in recruiting social scientists to serve on presidential commissions and the actual contribution toward the commission's goals. Social scientists are appointed to add "to the status and reputation of the commission's work in general and to its research and recommendations in particular." However, because of "temporal, political, conceptual and methodological constraints," they are able to provide little more than window dressing to the research effort as a whole.

Lipsky illustrates his point through the research engaged in by the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders, the Kerner Commission. "A Harvest of American Racism," an interim report by social scientists was rejected because "it was not authoritative enough to win the support of the Commissioners." However, the fact that it contained politically unpalatable conclusions was one of the bases for its rejection. Viewpoints politically acceptable required little documentation; those more controversial faced much stiffer standards of evidence. Other outside research did not meet time requirements because the social scientists under contract "proved unable or unwilling to conduct sophisticated research on short notice and with abbreviated procedures." Consequently the commissioners had to continue their deliberations without the benefits of these studies.

Lipsky notes that the final report of the Kerner Commission does not suggest the "unsystematic nature of the interviewing, the hazards of recreating a day's interviewing in an evening of dictation, or a theoretical context in which data were collected and informally classified."

Comments:

A provocative point of view is expressed here, summed in Lipsky's statement that commissions are formed partly because they "symbolically reassure mass publics that events are under control...when, in fact, successful intervention remains elusive."

MacDonald, A.P. Jr., "Black Power," Journal of Negro Education, 44, 4, Fall 1975, 547-54.

Approach: Theoretical

MacDonald suggests that the first urban riots of the 1960s were dominated by blacks who believed external forces were the cause of their problems. When blacks tending to believe in internal control saw that the riots were achieving positive results, they began to think of rioting as a strategy. The author believes future research will show that "internal" blacks were overrepresented in riots of the later 1960s.

MacDonald interprets the concept of Black Power to refer to the black man's power to exercise control over himself. The belief that one can control his lot -- that is, that there is a relationship between what a person does and what happens to him -- is based on what is called an "internal locus of control." The belief that people's lives are controlled by such outside forces as fate or chance derives from a belief in "external locus of control." A considerable body of literature indicates that blacks and other minority groups have a greater tendency to believe in an external locus than is found in the white middle class owing to their harsher experience in the socioeconomic system. The author believes that recent events affecting blacks, including the Black Power movement, are bringing about a radical change in blacks and making them more likely to assign importance to internal causes.

MacDonald proposes a typology relating the discontent of "externals" and "internals" to their behavior. Where discontent is either high or low, the typical behavior of "internals" will be instrumental (i.e., goal oriented); in contrast, the "externals'" behavior will be "expressive-passive" where discontent is low and "expressive-explosive" where it is high.

MacDonald suggests that black rioters in the early 1960s largely believed in an external locus and that those focusing on internal causes tended not to participate because they did not believe rioting would be effective. According to his argument, the earlier riots changed the interpretation of rioting. Though traditionally thought of as expressive behavior, it was then viewed as instrumental by "internals," and they therefore became active in the later riots.

MacDonald presents no data of his own, but he cites two contradictory studies. The Ransford study, conducted in 1965 shortly after the Watts riot and published in 1968, found that discontented blacks who felt powerless were most willing to resort to violent protest. Forward and Williams (1970) collected data on the 1967 Detroit riot. They found that blacks who had a high sense of personal efficacy had the strongest belief in the efficacy of rioting for Black rights. MacDonald concludes that both results, given the times they were obtained, may be correct within his framework.

Comments:

MacDonald's hypothesis is interesting, although the studies he cites offer only weak support for his position and he ignores other possible explanations for the differences, such as different methods of sampling and measurement.

His point is nevertheless thought-provoking. Its chief implication is that differences in findings over time may reflect a dual psychic change in blacks, or perhaps a changed interpretation of the instrumental nature of various kinds of behavior.

Marx, Gary, "Civil Disorder and the Agents of Social Control,"
Journal of Social Issues, 26, 1, 1970,

Approach: Theoretical
 Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

A review of police behavior in the summer race riots of 1967 indicated to the author that the agents of social control seemed to create disorder as much as they controlled it. As he is quick to point out, the police as a group have been greatly maligned: and he disclaims any intention of blaming them for racial disorder. Nevertheless he proposes to examine how police behavior can inadvertently contribute to disorder.

To provide historical perspective, Marx reviews the literature on police behavior in earlier twentieth century racial disorders: the 1900 New York riot, the 1906 Atlanta riot, and 1917 East St. Louis riot, the 1919 riots in Washington and Chicago, the 1943 Detroit riot, and others. Three criticisms of police behavior emerge: "a) the police were sympathetic to (white) rioters and sometimes joined the riot themselves, b) police often failed actively to enforce the law, and c) when police did try to maintain law and order this often was not done in a neutral and impartial manner."

Marx finds some improvement in police behavior during the 1960s. One reason, he argues, is the increasing professionalism of police departments: better organization, improved methods of communication, and more resources all added up to better social control. Another reason, however, reflects less credit on the police. With the increasing politicization of blacks in the 1960s a qualitative difference in racial disorders appeared: "Rather than whites attacking Negroes under the guise of an ideology of white supremacy (also) held by police, we find Negroes attacking stores and police under the guise of an ideology clearly not held by the latter. The task of restoring law and order today coincides with the repression of Negroes, rather than of whites as earlier." While police in the 1960s may no longer have been guilty of passivity or contributing to rioting, evolving circumstances prevent one from calling their changed behavior an outright improvement.

Police behavior in recent disturbances -- up to 1967 -- are then analyzed in the light of the initial thesis: that the agents of social control often inadvertently foster disorder. He defines three weaknesses in police tactics: inappropriate methods of control, lack of coordination among control units, and breakdowns in police organization.

Inappropriate methods of control usually stem from a state-of-siege mentality among police which leads them to use force. Such methods are not only damaging in themselves, but they rule out more appropriate tactics such as negotiation or building on counterriot sentiment within the community. (The author notes a curious contradiction in such "get-tough" thinking: on the one hand it assumes that the symbolic value of an overwhelming display of force will induce rioters to weigh

their actions and subside; on the other hand, in a way reminiscent of LeBon, it suggests that rioters are wildly irrational creatures who must be smashed into submission.)

Lack of coordination and organizational breakdown are more creditable reasons for ineffectiveness than inappropriate control methods. Coordination, for example, often suffered when state or federal forces supplemented the local force, yet this disadvantage was offset by the freshness and greater impartiality of the outside forces. Police organization often broke down late in the riots when members of the force were battle-worn and understandably susceptible to confusion, panic, and frustration.

Marx concludes that as long as racism and poverty exist American society needs protest, but it also needs police. Acknowledging that police are the ones who must deal directly with the consequences of social inertia, he hopes they will behave as humanely as possible until change is forthcoming.

Comments:

This is a useful and extensively documented survey, providing both concrete examples of riots and anecdotal material. The descriptive classification in this article breaks no new ground in the theory of collective behavior but is certainly a necessary foundation for building on this theory.

McCord, William and John Howard, "Negro Opinions in Three Riot Cities,"
The American Behavioral Scientist, 11, 4, 1969, 24-27.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Review of Existing Survey Research

In this review of data collected in three cities in which riots occurred during the late 1960s, the authors compared what blacks considered to be their main problems, what these blacks thought the impact of the riots had been, and how effective they believed violence to be. Formal interviews with randomly selected blacks were conducted in both Houston and Oakland: in Houston 572 respondents were interviewed a year before the riot; in Oakland 187 respondents were surveyed during the disturbances. Informal "natural dialogue" interviews were conducted in Watts with 426 randomly selected blacks two years after that riot. All the interviewers were blacks of approximately the same social class and from the same area as the respondents.

When asked about their main problems, the majority of respondents in Houston and Oakland mentioned jobs. In Watts, no particular problems dominated, but a larger percentage of respondents mentioned problems with the police and the power structure than was true in the other cities. When asked specifically about their opinions of the schools, housing, and police, the respondents in the three cities differed considerably. Respondents in Oakland showed a higher degree of resentment about their schools and police than those in the other cities, and residents of Houston appeared to be most satisfied. Very few of those surveyed in any of the cities reported "having taken part in the civil rights movement -- by protesting, picketing, boycotting stores, etc." Only a minority of the respondents could correctly identify the mayor of their city. Both in Watts and Oakland the respondents appeared to be more militant than those in Houston, but even in Houston only a small proportion of those interviewed said they were always opposed to violence. When asked whether the Watts riot and disorders in other cities had helped or hurt matters, the number of respondents who believed that the riots had been helpful was larger in Houston and Oakland (cities which had not undergone a full-scale disorder) than in Watts.

The authors also explored the possibility that occupation, education, age, sex, and religious convictions of the groups in Houston and Watts could be related to their views about violence. Holding age constant, they found that residents of Watts were more discontented in every way than those in Houston, but that the young were not more dissatisfied or more militant than older people. Militant attitudes were more prevalent among the least religious. The only differences between men and women in this regard concerned the utility of violence: a higher proportion of women condemned the use of violence under any circumstances, but a large number of both sexes felt that violence was sometimes useful. There were no major differences between occupational groups in Watts; but in Houston the unemployed were -- predictably -- more dissatisfied and militant than those with jobs, particularly those in more highly paid jobs. Similarly, respondents in Watts showed more uniformity in

attitudes regardless of education than the Houston group, leading the authors to suggest that "unification may be one effect of a major riot upon urban Negroes." They further argue from all these facts "that the rash of civil disorders which has spread in American cities during the last few years will not soon abate -- without fundamental changes in the urban American social structure."

Comments:

This article is an interesting descriptive account of the opinions of urban blacks, but it is flawed by an attempt to be comparative and prescriptive. Because the sample sizes of the three cities, as well as the interviewing methods and questions, differed, rigorous comparisons were impossible. Although the authors cite differences between the three cities in expressed militancy and dissatisfaction, they could only provide impressionistic explanations and so resorted to a finding of "unexpected unanimity in the opinions expressed by urban Negroes." This weakness in the study is particularly unfortunate because opportunities to compare cities before, during, and after the outbreak of riots are rare.

McElroy, J.L., L.D. Singell, "Riot and Nonriot Cities: An Examination of Structural Contours," Urban Affairs Quarterly, 8, 3, 1973, 281-302.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

In trying to explain the location of the 1960s riots, the authors hypothesize that 1) cities where riots have occurred differ significantly from other cities in their social, economic, and political structure; 2) these differences are consistent with both the "relative" and "absolute" deprivation theories. A sample of 129 large standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSAs), including 64 which experienced racial violence between 1965 and 1968, provided data for discriminant analysis to distinguish riot cities from others according to social, economic, and political variables, and to explain the degree of property damage and economic loss they suffered.

Independent variables consisted of 64 surrogate measures of socioeconomic conditions constructed from the 1960 Census of Manufacturing, and 1960 Census of Population, and previous research on riots. Comparisons were made of riot and nonriot SMSAs on the basis of socioeconomic variables, and a regression analysis was used to see what relations could be found between socioeconomic variables and the intensity of racial violence.

Analysis of the variables reflecting socioeconomic structure shows clear differences between riot and nonriot SMSAs, a finding that reverses some findings of earlier studies. In comparison with nonriot SMSAs, riot SMSAs have more rapid population growth, greater growth of family income, higher educational levels, a smaller percentage of people with less than six years of education, a smaller percentage of nonwhite policemen in juvenile divisions, a higher rate of increase in the number of private household workers, a lower rate of increase in clerical and other white-collar workers, and greater ratios of population to each council member. The degree of racial segregation found in the two types of SMSAs did not differ significantly.

Between 1950 and 1960, however, the SMSAs where riots occurred showed a decline in residential segregation, and the nonriot SMSAs an increase. Two explanations of these findings on segregation have been advanced. One is that tension in the riot SMSAs was caused when residential integration and social and economic improvements preceded at a different rate. The other explanation is that decline in segregation in the riot SMSAs resulted in greater interaction between blacks and whites and thus a greater perception of relative deprivation. The authors' central explanation of the locus of riots is that "it is the high levels of economic performance and social development in the large SMSA juxtaposed with the inferior levels in the central city and the ghetto which basically distinguish riot and nonriot cities."

To account for the intensity of the riots, the extent of property damage and economic loss in the 64 SMSAs which had experienced disorders

was used to classify them as cities of low, medium, or high intensity and discriminant analysis and multiple regression were used to see if the measure of intensity could be associated with measures of their social and economic structures. In general the authors had difficulty in obtaining a set of structural conditions which explained riot intensity, but they did find that many of the significant variables were related to urban size. Thus they argue that "the interaction of riot-conducive characteristics produces 'threshold levels' associated with explosions. The conditions most generally surrounding intense riots are, in addition to large urban size and correspondingly large ghettos, factors which reflect inequality between the central city and the larger metropolitan area." And they conclude that improvements in social and economic conditions without reducing the inequalities between the races may also lead to more violence.

Comments:

This abbreviated version of what must have been a much more comprehensive and detailed analysis raises a number of questions that cannot be answered because the authors failed to define many of their terms, such as relative deprivation. Instead of providing concrete specifications of their indicators (e.g., riot cities, versus nonriot), they often rely on questionable "surrogate" indicators of abstract structural conditions. For example, they used per capita expenditures on welfare as an index of "socioeconomic dysfunction." The tendency to use more than sixty variables when less than ten show statistical significance, and to neglect the possibility that apparent relations were the result of chance, makes many of their conclusions questionable. Important also is that the article examines differences in structural conditions underlying only one type of protest, riots; and thus it may have obscured associations between many of the independent variables and other forms of collective action, such as demonstrations that were not violent.

McPhail, Clark, "Civil Disorder Participation: A Critical Examination of Recent Research," American Sociological Review, 36, 6, 1971, 1058-73.

Approach: Theoretical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data; Literature Review

Most research on the civil disorders in the 1960's examines the relation between individual attributes and participation in disorders. This paper summarizes the results of these studies, assesses the adequacy of the "deprivation-frustration-aggression" (DFA) explanation of individual participation, and evaluates the focus of these studies. According to the DFA explanation, urban blacks feel deprived when they compare their situation to that of whites. Their resulting frustration leads to aggression against white society expressed in the form of civil disorder.

The author examines individual participation in five riots: Watts, 1965; Omaha, 1966; and Detroit, Milwaukee and Newark, 1967. Five operational measures of riot participation were used in these studies: status of those arrested; respondents' reported status; respondents' testimony about others' behavior; respondents' reported status and testimony about others' behavior; and respondents' reported status and their own behavior. McPhail used 24 independent variables which he divided into six categories: 1) statements of attitudes toward jobs, housing and the political system; 2) social relationships and patterns of interaction such as extent of respondents' interracial contact, marital status, number and types of groups in which they reported membership; 3) socioeconomic attributes. e.g., education, income, employment, SES, etc.; 4) experiences of and opinions about discrimination toward blacks; 5) demographic attributes, e.g., age, sex, place of origin; and 6) participation in politics, voting, civil rights activity.

Among the 287 associations between independent variables and measures of participation, 91 were not statistically significant at the .05 level, 177 had low magnitude (less than .29), 17 were moderate (.30 to .59), and 2 were .6 or higher.

The deprivation-frustration-aggression explanation received little empirical support when variables bearing on this argument were examined in relation to individuals' riot participation. Of 173 instances where deprivation (such as low socioeconomic status and experience with police brutality) and frustration (expressed in attitudes about the police, the political system, and whites) were associated with participation in riots, only 12 had moderate magnitudes and 1 had a high magnitude. Five of the moderately associated variables related opinions about police brutality to riot participation. But in all such cases the respondents' statements about abusive police action were made after their arrest and may therefore be a result of their arrest, not the reason for rioting.

Inferences from associations between attitude statements and riot participation demand caution: 1) Frequently a respondent's attitude after the disorder is taken to be the same as it was before the event and to be the cause of this joining in the disorder, whereas his participation might have produced the reported attitude. 2) Studies did not yield consistent evidence that attitudes at one time produced particular behavior at a later time. 3) It is doubtful that one can account for participation in terms of attributes. Measures of participation fail to sample variations in respondents' behavior through-

out the disorder and many rioters may intermittently refrain from rioting, or even engage in counterrioting. Interaction with other people during the riot may have had a stronger influence on an individual's readiness to riot than his personal attributes or his previous attitudes.

Research on riots has focused on individual attributes and attitudes and given less attention to the immediate actions of people in relation to each other. To find the necessary conditions for civil disorder, one must answer the following questions:

1. In what settings and at what times are large numbers of persons in the same general area? For example, according to the Kerner Report most of the disorders it examined began on weekends or evenings at major intersections in densely populated areas.
2. What are the steps by which people learn about and are drawn to the scene of the event?
3. What individual and joint actions do people engage in during the disorder?
4. What produces, maintains, and alters these different actions?

Comments:

McPhail's review of a large number of associations between individual variables and riot participation does an important service in organizing the massive amount of empirical material generated in the 1960s and in providing a perspective on its quality and statistical significance. Yet the article does not spell the end of the deprivation-frustration-aggression theory as an important explanation of riot participation; it only reaffirms the methodological flaws and conceptual inadequacies that have consistently plagued these studies. Quite clearly, multivariate analyses are necessary; but of the 287 associations reported in this article, 172 were bivariate with no controls, and we were not informed about the control variables for the remaining 115 associations.

McPhail has suggested that researchers abandon the deprivation-frustration-aggression thesis and study instead the process and dynamics of rioting, focusing on the interactions of individuals before and during the incidents. Although this approach is important in understanding the immediate context of rioting and the way the incident develops, it is not a substitute for theories which explore the deeper underlying conditions, including deprivation or class conflicts.

Meier, August and Elliott Rudwick, "Negro Protest and Urban Unrest,"
Social Science Quarterly, 49, 3, 1968, 438-43.

Approach: Theoretical

This paper examines the causes assigned to the riots of the 1960s and the historical sketch of the Negro protest movement in the Kerner Report of the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders.

Meier and Rudwick claim that the cause of the riots was not white racism as claimed by the report, pointing out that if white racism had been the precipitating factor, the riots would have occurred where racist repression was most severe and where most Negroes were to be found -- in the South. Data on the 1967 riot show that this was not the case. Rather, the authors conclude, the riots of the 1960s occurred because of heightened expectations of equality and racial justice, and these had grown greatly because white racism had declined.

Although the report noted that the civil rights movement was crucial in bringing about changes that resulted in heightened expectations, it did not consider that certain policies of the Johnson administration made the Negro protest movement in the mid 60s even more fragmented and ineffective, a condition which did much to alienate black militants.

The 1964 Democratic Party's compromise offer of two delegates-at-large divided Negroes: some, like the Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee (SNCC) and the Congress of Racial Equality (CORE) rejected the compromise as an insincere gesture; others like the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) urged its acceptance.

The Vietnam war also divided those engaged in the Negro protest movement: members disagreed on such issues as whether the war diverted attention from domestic problems, kept Negroes in a subordinate status, or was irrelevant to the movement. Later the movement suffered financially. After the riots of 1964 and the following summer, the refusal of direct action organizations like CORE to accept the delegation's compromise, and by 1965 these organizations' opposition to the Vietnam war diminished the willingness of whites to help support the organizations financially.

The antipoverty program also hurt the protest movement. Emphasis by the Office of Economic Opportunity (OEO) on the development of local community organizations to handle problems of Negroes impaired the traditional civil rights activities of certain national organizations and fragmented the Negro protest movement by, for example, depriving it of its most capable leaders. The resulting prominence of militant types from CORE and SNCC in antipoverty programs brought further fragmentation, as the struggle between the more moderate middle-class leaders like those in the NAACP, and the radical, militant types became more intense. In addition, OEO had inadvertently added to the frustration of poor blacks by raising their expectations but failing to deliver. This heightened

the militance among the poor, who pressed for government resources and programs to be initiated and administered by ghetto dwellers.

The authors conclude that the report oversimplifies the cause of the riots and the historical development of the Negro protest movement, although it deals well with the description of "typical rioter" and some other matters.

Comments:

Meier and Rudwick briefly analyze riot data and other examples of disorders to support their contentions; but their conclusions are quite speculative and should be viewed cautiously. Though the authors rightly note the complexity of the issues and circumstances in the riots of the 1960s and the civil rights protest movement, many other factors they ignore, such as social events and political climate, may also have been influential.

Meier, August and Elliott Rudwick, "Black Violence in the 20th Century: A Study in Rhetoric and Retaliation," In Violence in American, Historical and Comparative Perspectives, H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), for the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence, New York, 1969.

Approach: Historical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

Although black retaliatory violence has been characteristic of recent racial rioting, neither the rhetoric nor the practice of black retaliation is new. The tendency toward black retaliatory violence is correlated to a considerable extent with periods of generally heightened militance among blacks, and is a product of frustrations resulting from growing disparity among blacks' status in American society and their rising expectations. Using historical accounts of race riots and the rhetoric of black organizations, they found an increase in militant rhetoric as well as actual retaliatory violence against whites occurring immediately after World War I and during the 1960s. The violence itself differed during these two periods; in the years after World War I black retaliatory violence was characterized by armed defense against attacking white mobs, while during the 1960s the violence was characterized by "the symbolic destruction of 'white' through his property." This difference was attributed to an increased sensitivity among whites to the plight of blacks as well as the growth of black urban ghettos, making repression by police difficult. Relative deprivation was the major explanation for blacks advocating and adopting retaliatory violence. Thus, while black militant rhetoric increased after World War I, black retaliatory violence did not, because during these years the position of blacks in American society was improving fast enough to keep pace with their rising expectations, resulting in low levels of frustration and relative deprivation.

Comments:

While the authors assume high levels of relative deprivation among blacks during the period immediately after World War I and during the 1960s, and low levels at other times in our history, they cite no supporting evidence. In fact, they acknowledge that there is little systematic work on "negro advocacy of violence prior to World War II, and that a careful analysis of race riots of the 19th century" has not been made. An alternate hypothesis is that black retaliatory violence and rhetoric are linked to the current level of repression as well as the relative power of blacks in terms of their numbers and level of organization. For example, the lack of retaliatory violence and Booker T. Washington's rhetoric of accommodation to white supremacy may be a result of the extremely high levels of repression used against blacks at the turn of the century. Again, the retaliatory violence during the 1960s may be more a function of the increased power of blacks due to their numbers and level of organization than of relative deprivation. One could test this theory by determining if levels of repression correlate with black retaliatory violence. In all examples, retaliatory violence occurred in cities, where blacks had power in numbers with the exception of Elaine, Arkansas. However, even in this case, blacks had been attempting to unionize. Their increased level of organization undoubtedly increased their position of power, resulting in retaliatory violence rather than submission when they were attacked by white mobs.

Meier, Richard, "Violence: The Last Urban Epidemic," The American Behavioral Scientist, 2, March-April 1968, 35-37.

Approach: Theoretical

The major threats to life in the cities have been eliminated. The only serious one that remains is epidemic violence. Meier approaches this problem from the perspective of public health, offering speculations on how to deal with violence.

He first explores urban planning. Since violence is associated with newcomers unused to the prevailing culture, one option would be to attempt to exclude them altogether. Since this does not appear possible, the newcomers are concentrated in ghettos. Spreading of disorders then depends on transportation: mass transit systems tend to confine them, while automobiles allow enough mobility to make the entire metropolitan area vulnerable. In developing countries, newcomers to the cities may be confined to the periphery where space prevents encroachment by rival groups or crowding.

Urban violence can also be studied from the perspective of the individual. Since tranquilizing the population is obviously not practical, such violence as arises from frustration and suggestions that aggression is expected would be best dealt with by measures to remove the frustration -- providing jobs, for example. Crowding, shown to be a severe cause of stress, could be lessened by designing living arrangements with privacy in mind.

Comments:

This paper is by no means an extensive treatment of the subject of urban violence, but it does offer novel insights.

Midlarsky, Manus I., "Analyzing Diffusion and Contagion Effects: The Urban Disorders of the 1960s," American Political Science Review, 72, 3, 1978, 996-1008.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

Earlier studies of the riots of the 1960s (Spilerman; Morgan and Clark), gave the size of the black population as the primary factor in explaining the frequency of such disorders. Their conclusion that a diffusion effect was absent is unsatisfactory to Midlarsky because they fail to explain why the number of these disorders increased steadily between 1965 and 1968, and because they failed to use longitudinal analyses or a theoretical model of the diffusion process that can be tested.

In analyzing the frequency of disorders in cities where one or more occurred during 1966 and 1967, the lognormal distribution is used as a means of understanding overdispersion phenomena that have resulted from diffusion processes. This disclosed two types of diffusion. The first is a classic diffusion effect in which disorders are precipitated by events which are independent of each other (such as interactions between police and black city residents) but lead to similar outcomes (such as a proportional number of arrests) which are proportional to previous disorders. Here the lognormal distribution is clearly applicable and was found to apply to several intervals in the time period: 1966, and the first and last portions of 1967. The second process is contagious. Disturbances occur because smaller cities, those with a black population of less than 40,000, imitate the larger ones, such as Newark and Detroit where riots have occurred. This process was observed between July 14 and August 3, 1967.

In general the author theorizes that "initially the disorders would likely follow diffusion processes caused by some interaction between a minority population and elements of its environment. The transition to a contagious process would be slow...and in fact takes several weeks. In addition, a salient event such as a lengthy disorder in a large visible city like Newark or Detroit would be required. Thus the infrequent summer disorders which have occurred periodically in cities during the 1970s are not likely in themselves to result in a major contagious process. However, if there were to be an extended disturbance in a large visible city then it could result in the fairly widespread contagion of disorders throughout the country."

Midlarsky suggests that in large cities the frequency of disorders is closely associated with the size of the police force, because greater interaction between black residents and police occurs when more police are present. On the other hand if residents of smaller cities learn from the media that a major disorder is taking place in a large city, they would not wait for a separate precipitating event. Contagion could thus cause disorder in smaller cities without any actual local incident.

Comments:

This perspective on diffusion and contagion helps to show why particular types of collective violence and collective action may occur almost simultaneously in many different places. Although the conclusions seem to make sense, the fact that only smaller cities were affected by such contagion is not explained. Residents of larger cities should be equally aware of the large riots through media reports, and hence equally susceptible to suggestion.

Miller, Abraham, Bolce, Louis, and Halligan, Mark, "The New Urban Blacks," Ethnicity, 3, 4, December 1976, 338-67; see also, Crosby, Faye, "Relative Deprivation Revisited: A Response to Miller, Bolce, and Halligan," American Political Science Review, 73, March 1979, 103-11.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

The authors contend that the black urban riots have given rise to two separate myths. The first is the so-called riff-raff theory, which identified rioters as coming from the lowest black society, primarily the uneducated, unemployed, and socially unintegrated, who were likely to be migrants from the South. The second is the new urban black theory, which holds that rioters were the cream of urban Northern-born black youth; impatient to correct racial injustice and committed to social change. In their reanalysis of data from the Kerner Commission Report and from Sears' and McConahay's study of Watts, they argue that the widely cited theory of the new urban black is not sustained.

The limitations of the Sears and McConahay study include a sample which is not random and therefore not amenable to statistical testing, a poor measure of riot participation, and small and undisclosed cell frequencies in many of the tables. The Kerner Commission data are criticized because of methodological deficiencies in the tables, the use of biased data on arrestees, and arbitrary segmentation of the data on education. In recalculating the Kerner Commission data on education on the basis of the study by Caplan and Paige in Detroit, Miller and his co-authors found that "contrary to the Commission's original statement, the higher one's education, the more likely an individual was to attempt to stop violence, not participate in it...There is no indication that the better educated were more likely to riot." In reanalyzing Sears' and McConahay's data and controlling for age, these authors found that in the 30-44 age group southern migrants were more than twice as likely to participate in riots as Los Angeles natives were. In the 45-and-older group, there was no difference in the behavior of northern and southern migrants.

Arguing that many of these studies demonstrate faulty methods and considerable sloppiness as well as a failure to distinguish protesters from rioters, the authors buttress their argument by turning to the study done by Campbell and Schuman for the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders. This sample of 3,181 black respondents interviewed in 1968 showed that the rioters were most likely to be 16-29 years of age, to have only a grammar school education, and to be in poorly paid occupations. In contrast, nonviolent protesters tended to come from the older groups having higher incomes and better occupations and education. Northern upbringing had absolutely no influence on riot proneness or action as nonviolent protesters. Men were more prone to riot than women. Among men, those raised in broken homes and those who were unmarried were more likely to riot. Thus, "it is clear that the rioters were not the most socially integrated nor the best element of the community...If there is a new urban black, he is a militant nonviolent protester who has emerged from among the upper ranks and middle-aged segments of the black community. He possesses the demographic characteristics that bespeak of social integration and not social alienation."

Although these authors admit that their results partly support the riff-raff or underclass theories, they note that they have no evidence on motives for rioting and that their results do not preclude a political interpretation of the events.

Comments:

This is a very useful corrective to many of the haphazard interpretations of postriot surveys in which faulty information is continually reinforced and reproduced. Unfortunately, however, the authors fell into the same trap as many of the predecessors with regard to the measurement of riot participation. The "riot prone" category includes 77 respondents who reported direct involvement as well as a "series of surrogate measures... tapping orientations toward violence." Further, counterrioters as a class are ignored in the reanalysis of the Campbell and Schuman data, and we are not told whether they were included among the riot prone, the protesters, or in another category.

Moinat, Sheryl E., Walter J. Baine, Stephen L. Burbeck, and Keith K. Davison, "Black Ghetto Residents and Rioters," Journal of Social Issues, 28, No. 4, 1977, 45-62.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

This study compares riot participants with nonparticipants in the 1965 Los Angeles riot to see if participation could be predicted. The hypotheses tested were: 1) active riot participation (defined by an "active" response on the self-reported activity question) can be predicted; 2) psychological riot participation can be predicted (measured by questions such as "how active were the people in your neighborhood" or asking what kinds of riot activity the respondent witnessed.

The data were interviews conducted in 1965-66 from "a representative sample of blacks" (n=586) in what had been the core zone during the LA riot - the black ghetto and surrounding racially mixed neighborhoods.

A stepwise linear regression and Woods' algorithm were used with 80 variables (socioeconomic, demographic, attitudinal, etc.) accounting for 36% and 38% of the variance in active and psychological participation respectively. Each variable by itself explained very little variance and the authors concluded that neither hypothesis was supported.

Prediction improved when the active participant sample was divided into four groups by age and sex. Ten variables concerning attitudes toward police brutality; discrimination; evaluation of the riot; contact with whites; social distance from whites and evaluation of organizations accounted for 78% of the variance for young males, 48% of the variance for young females, 50% for older males and 42% for older females. However, the authors warn that the stepwise linear regression technique tends to maximize fortuitous prediction and think that the explained variance for the four groups is exaggerated.

The authors are critical of studies that try to sketch the characteristics of the typical rioter. Because independent variables are not independent of each other, a multivariate study is required to give us a profile of the urban rioter, rather than strings of individual percentages, as in the Kern report. It is highly likely that certain conditions prevalent in the ghetto predispose nearly everyone living under them to riot. The authors conclude that one cannot predict who will riot, but one can say that given the conditions prevalent in the ghetto, there will be riots, i.e., rioting is a community phenomenon.

Comments:

There are severe problems in the measurement of both active and psychological riot participation. Active riot participation is merely self-reported overall activity without reference to specific behaviors and without differentiating such activities as looting from counterrioting activity. Psychological riot participation suffers from even more severe measurement problems since it only indicates that the respondent witnessed activity or believed others in the neighborhood to be involved.

Morgan, William R. and Terry Nichols Clark, "The Causes of Racial Disorders: A Grievance-Level Explanation," American Sociological Review, 38, 5, 1973, 611-24.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

In this spin-off of Spilerman's attempt in 1970 to account for the location of the urban disorders of the 1960s, the authors argue that other things than the size of the nonwhite population affect the frequency of such disorders, that the severity and the frequency of these incidents are accounted for on different grounds, and that the severity of disorders is strongly associated with the grievances of the black population.

They used the NORC Permanent Community Sample to select 42 cities, ranging in population from 50,000 to 750,000 (1960 census). Data on racial disorders were obtained from the Lemberg Center for the Study of Violence. Disorders occurred in 23 of the 42 cities in the sample. Racial disorders are defined by 1) crowd behavior (although the definition calls for four or more people acting in concert, all disorders included in this study had at least fifty participants); and 2) aggressive behavior by either blacks against whites or vice versa, involving damage to either persons or property or defiance of civil authority. All the disorders occurred in 1967, and the authors expect that blacks valued racial disorders highly as a means of social protest at this time.

Variables included severity of the disorder (total arrests, duration, injuries, property damage, militancy, number of rioters and police at the scene), a precipitation index (degree of instigation by blacks rather than by whites or civil authorities) and a measure of disorder frequency.

Using a stepwise discriminant function analysis, the authors found that the size of the total population, not of the nonwhite population, was the single best predictor of disorder frequency. Cities with disorders had an average population of 382,738, compared with 171,244 for cities without disorders, a fact explained by the added probability of confrontations between black youths and the police in larger cities. Part of the variance in disorder frequency (34 percent) was accounted for by the size of the nonwhite population and the police force.

These variables accounted for only 11 percent of the variance in disorder severity, however, and thus offered further evidence that "the community conditions critical to the dynamics of initial confrontation were not those critical for the dynamics of escalation." A grievance-level approach was used as the principal theoretical link between the objective social conditions of cities and the subjective decision of individuals to participate in disorders. The present test of the grievance-level model emphasized determinants of dissatisfaction with conditions in which they lived and included measures of 1) racial inequal-

ity in housing and jobs; 2) the "central city syndrome" (high total population, residential segregation, population density, increase in nonwhite population, and so forth); and 3) government service (per capita expenditures on urban renewal, the city budget, and police salaries). Fifty-eight percent of the variance in disorder severity was explained by inequality in housing and jobs and in city size. Although inequality in housing increased the severity of disorders, inequality in jobs had the opposite effect. This was explained in two ways. First inequality in jobs would reduce the opportunity for contacts with whites with equal status and thus lessen comparisons that lead to feelings of relative deprivation. Second, long-term inequality in jobs would reduce blacks' expectations and keep them satisfied with their current jobs. "Or it may simply be that the conception of an adequate life style for blacks is more tied to housing inequality than to job equality." Citing four Gallup polls, the authors found that blacks in the 1960s tended to be more satisfied with their jobs than whites but less satisfied with their housing. In contrast to the strong link between inequality in housing and jobs and the severity of disorders, only 1 percent of the variation in frequency was accounted for by these variables.

Mobilization for a disorder thus seems likely in cities with large black populations, although it also requires a large number of social control agents such as the police. Community conditions are not causally related to the frequency of disorders, but factors which induce grievances among blacks had a strong effect on the severity of disorders regardless of the size of the population. The authors suggest that "to decrease these tensions, housing conditions for blacks should be improved...for clear discrepancies in job and housing patterns exist across cities, and these significantly affect the grievance level."

Comments:

Particularly useful is the authors' suggestion that the variables accounting for the outbreak itself may be quite different from those explaining its severity. In 1967 the outbreak of a disorder, according to this analysis, followed a far less uniform pattern than was seen in the subsequent escalation, which coincided with widespread feelings of grievance among blacks.

The reader must note, however, that there is no measure of the grievance felt among blacks, only a set of variables tapping the "determinants" of these attitudes. The finding that inequality in jobs and housing emerged as the most significant predictor of severity could well have resulted from the regression procedures. The degree of multicollinearity among the independent variables was not discussed, and although a simple additive model (looking at community conditions for blacks and whites separately) explained as much of the variance as the interaction model, the authors chose to use the interaction model (looking at the inequality between blacks and whites) because they believed it theoretically superior. Further, limiting the study to cities with populations between 50,000 and 750,000 omitted many of the larger cities where massive disorders took place in 1967, including Newark, Detroit and Chicago.

Morris, Richard T. and Vincent Jeffries, "Violence Next Door," Social Forces, 46, 3, 1968, 352-58.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

This study investigated white reactions to the Watts riot of August 1965. Face-to-face interviews were conducted with 583 respondents, selected through block quota sampling techniques. They represented six all-white or integrated communities in Los Angeles, and three socio-economic levels.

The authors considered it important to understand why there was little violence by the fearful but aroused white population during the riot. Findings showed that a large number of whites were sympathetic to the rebellion, but the majority felt it would have a bad effect upon the Negro cause and on Negro-white relations. These perceptions of the rebellion were strongly influenced by general feelings of either antagonism or sympathy toward Negroes in everyday social situations.

Antagonism was defined as a "set of attitudes toward a particular group involving sentiments such as avoidance, incompatibility, opposition, mistrust, dislike, or hatred," including belief in stereotypes and prejudice. Such antagonism was measured by respondents' answers to five questions about social distance from blacks; for example, "Do you think you would ever find it distasteful to eat at the same table with a Negro person?" and "Do you think (the rebellion) helped or hurt the Negro's cause?" Twelve percent of the antagonistic whites and 26 percent of the sympathetic ones thought the rebellion helped.

To understand the roots of antagonism, the authors looked at respondents' values and their contact with Negroes. They began by assuming that people whose values tend to pit one party against another will show more antagonism than those valuing a "spirit of love, mutual aid and cooperation." Altruism or egoism was thus related to antagonism, and the assumption was confirmed: the egoistic respondents showed more antagonism than the altruists; without regard to their occupation, education, age, sex, and political party.

The frequency of contacts with Negroes in six situations had no apparent effect on antagonism. But the degree of intimacy, measured by asking whether the contacts were social, was strongly related to antagonism regardless of other variables: 59 percent of the respondents who reported no intimate social contact with Negroes were antagonistic; only 29 percent of those who had such contact showed antagonism. The authors conclude that to prevent violence by whites in future racial clashes, we must "provide opportunities for voluntary intimate social contact with Negroes, and in some way, certainly not clear at this time, to inculcate different values."

Comments:

As Morris and Jeffries admit, "The implications that may be drawn from the results of the study are open to all the doubts, arguments, and differences in interpretation which are common properties of survey research

carried out at one point in time and asking for retrospective materials." Beyond the difficulties in assigned causes, and more specifically, we are given no information about the response rate. Other factors which might account for both antagonism and reaction to the riot, such as distance from Watts, personal involvement in or loss and injury from the riot, and first-hand knowledge of the events, were unfortunately not studied.

Mueller, Carol, "Riot Violence and Protest Outcomes," Journal of Political and Military Sociology, 6, 1, 1978, 49-63.

Approach: Descriptive/Empirical
Methodology: Content Analysis

The black ghetto riots of the 1960s have been discussed chiefly in terms of their causes. But if riots are interpreted as protests to gain influence, one must consider their political consequences. Mueller evaluates two consequences of riots in 77 cities during 1967 and 1968: 1) symbolic reassurances, cooling-off measures that officially recognize grievances but do not transfer resources; and 2) long-term commitments to the black community. Data for this study were obtained from analysis of local newspapers.

Measuring the success of protest is an inexact process, varying with the frame of reference and complicated by a lack of formal definitions of grievances. Several variables were identified, including recognition, advantages, meetings, tokenism, diplomacy, investigation, and appointments. Factor analysis of measures of these variables yielded two factors. The symbolic reassurances factor accounted for two-thirds of the explained variance and was dominated by recognition and the number of meetings. The second factor, long-term commitments, was dominated by advantages, appointments, and personal diplomacy.

In measuring violence, a set of six factors was found to account for 67 percent of the variance. These were size, assault on whites, duration, assault on police, arson, and "warfare." The first factor, size, explained the greatest amount of variance and was heavily loaded by the numbers of arrests, police, and participants. These variables alone have been frequently employed as the sole measure of riot violence, but this study includes other measures to provide a more complete treatment.

Besides measures of violence, three other variables were assessed to ascertain their effect on the two measures of outcomes: 1) the size of the nonwhite population; 2) whether the city was in the South; and 3) the time of the riot, since riots following the assassination of Martin Luther King were included. None of these factors were found to affect long-term commitments, though the timing affected symbolic reassurances, which dropped off after Dr. King's assassination.

Finally, violence and outcomes were related. Violence to persons was the key component contributing to symbolic reassurances. Injuries to blacks were seen to spur long-term commitments. The period before the assassination month (April 1968) differed in the number of symbolic reassurances; more long-term commitments were followed by a return to symbolic reassurances.

The relation between violence against persons and symbolic reassurances was explained as a threshold effect: violence triggered official recognition. Injuries to blacks were believed to increase

black solidarity, making demands for long-term commitments more effective. The variation in outcomes before and after the assassination of Dr. King was explained by a unique combination of responses following the murder: remorse and outrage for blacks, and guilt and fear for whites.

Comments:

This was an excellent study with sophisticated measures of riot characteristics and outcomes.

Oberschall, Anthony, "The Los Angeles Riot of August, 1965," Social Problems, 15, 3, 1968, 322-42.

Approach: Descriptive
Methodology: Case Study

This sociological analysis of the Los Angeles (Watts) riot of 1968 uses Neil Smelser's analytic framework, which emphasizes a number of conditions that must be present before a riot will occur. Along with high unemployment, low income, well-defined racial cleavages, alienation from authorities and other socioeconomic conditions, this approach stresses that the population must share a belief which explains the situation and pins the blame on specific agents or groups, who then become the targets of hostility. Oberschall's scheme is also useful in calling attention to the part played by control operations in influencing the size and course of the collective outburst.

Data from the McCone Commission Report and other studies of the riot, show that both the conspiracy and criminal riff-raff theories are unsupported, since participants came from all social strata in the predominantly lower-class black area, and no evidence of a conspiracy was found. He then states that the key to a sociological explanation is the police-Negro relationship before the riot: "it was a major source of Negro frustrations and accounts for the presence of a generalized - belief which is a necessary ingredient in producing collective action."

The police as a symbol of a white-dominated world bore the full brunt of the accumulated frustrations and hostility of the community. Moreover the cleavage between blacks and the police had been longstanding -- a product of arbitrary and abusive police practices toward minority groups, made worse because no independent police review board existed to hear complaints and exert discipline. Police had recently given up their beats in favor of police cars, thereby losing the "special knowledge and contacts with neighborhood which alone makes law enforcement bearable especially in lower class neighborhoods."

The riot was precipitated by the arrest of a young black male for a traffic violation. Oberschall explains its escalation into a full-fledged riot by the characteristics of the precipitating event, communications among the riot-prone population, the "ecology" of the area, and the way the police tried to control the disturbance. The arrest occurred on a very hot night when people were hanging around outside. At the same time it was rumored that police had beaten a pregnant woman. All this fit in with a general belief in police brutality and led to a violent reaction from the gathering crowd. Because the initial incident was widely reported in the news, the original site of the disturbance acted as a magnet for angry crowds on the next day, and the riot eventually spread through south Los Angeles. A curfew was declared, but the area was very large and could not be enclosed to seal off the destruction. Hence the looting and other disorder spread from one site to another, making it impossible for the police to interfere. Moreover, officials at all levels underestimated the riot at the start; the police were outnumbered and undermanned and their efforts to disperse crowds were ineffective.

Oberschall argues that "the partial show of force happened to be a demonstration of vulnerability and weakness and acted more as an incitement than a deterrent to the riot."

Unlike theorists who argue that riotous acts are essentially irrational, Oberschall saw considerable evidence that the rioters kept within certain bounds. They did not kill people. They directed their aggression at specific targets, the main target being the Los Angeles police and white store owners. They selected appropriate means for achieving their ends: they observed traffic laws even when they were carrying away stolen goods. Rioting was a group activity in which participants and bystanders "experienced a sense of solidarity, pride, and exhilaration ...a collective celebration in the manner of a carnival." He argues that the Watts outbreak was indeed a riot, because its main purpose was to damage certain groups or individual members, such as police, merchants, or whites in general. If the aim had been to overthrow the government or authorities, it would be labeled a revolt, rebellion, or uprising.

Comments:

This is a very thorough and detailed case study of the causes and processes of one of the most significant riots in the 1960s. It suggests useful approaches to the study of collective disorders in other cities during that period and the present. The sources of many of the data are of course questionable, particularly the McCone Commission Report, and some of the explanations rely on causal relations that require more specific treatment. For example, in the author's opinion, removing police from foot patrol to police cars "caused" much of the alienation that led to the riots, but this policy change may just as well have been a response to hostility that was already present.

Paige, Jeffrey, "Political Orientation and Riot Participation," *American Sociological Review*, 36, October 1971, 810-20; see also, Gordon, Leonard, "A Comment on 'Political Orientation and Riot Participation'," *American Sociological Review*, 37, 3, 1972, 379.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Abstract:

This paper analyzes the relation between political trust, political efficacy, and riot participation. It is based on interviews with 237 young black males living in the riot zone in Newark, New Jersey, six months after the 1967 disorders.

Two independent but interacting factors are assumed to affect riot participation: political efficacy, or personal political competence, and political trust, or the belief that government is generally acting in one's interests.

In contrast to the more traditional writers about extremist politics, who have attributed radical or revolutionary behavior to alienated or apathetic individuals who lack feelings of political efficacy, Paige draws on the work of Almond and Verba as well as Gamson to suggest that radical political action depends on a combination of high political efficacy and deep distrust of the political system. In situations where both efficacy and trust are great, the predominant political orientation will be allegiance to a responsive regime. Where both efficacy and trust are weak, the tendency will be toward alienation and withdrawal rather than radical action. With weak efficacy and strong trust, citizens will make a passive adjustment, believing that government is largely run in their best interests. Assuming that riot participation is a form of revolutionary activity, the author views it as a response to an unresponsive and untrustworthy regime by politically sophisticated activists.

Riot participation was determined by respondents' admission of involvement, and rioters were defined as those who said they were "active" and confessed to some specific activity like throwing rocks or arson. Noting that it is difficult to construct a measure of efficacy which is not contaminated by trust, a measure of political information was used to approximate the concept of efficacy. Specifically, respondents were asked to identify the race of nine political figures. Trust was measured simply by asking respondents how much they could trust the government of Newark.

Statistical analysis of the survey responses clearly supports the author's hypotheses. The group displaying high efficacy (as measured by political information) and low trust showed the highest incidence of participation in riots. The low efficacy-low trust group had the lowest incidence, but participation among the other groups -- high efficacy-high trust (allegiant) and low efficacy-high trust (subordinate)-- was almost as low.

It was further suggested that among individuals with high efficacy (information) the exact form of political participation depends on the degree to which they trust the government. Those with extreme trust would be most likely to use persuasive tactics, neutral people would be more likely to use inducements, and those who believe that authorities are unlikely to act in their behalf would have little to lose and would rely on constraints. Riot participation was used as a measure of the reliance on constraints, and civil rights activity as a measure of milder constraints. Being registered to vote was used as an indicator of the use of inducements.

Voting registration was highest among those relatively high in trust, falling off linearly with decreasing trust. Rioting was most common among those lowest in trust and decreased with increasing trust. Civil rights activity peaked among those with an intermediate degree of trust, falling off at either extreme.

The author's conclusion was that "rioting can be profitably considered a form of disorganized political protest engaged in by those who have become highly distrustful of existing political institutions."

Comments:

This is a significant addition to the literature on the characteristics of riot participants because it clarifies the components of the traditional measure of political efficacy and reaffirms the strong relation between participation and political awareness and sophistication. The measurement of variables and the sample have limitations, however, that must be taken into consideration in assessing the results. The sample consists only of black males between the ages of 15 and 35, and hence generalizations must be limited to this age group. In addition we are not told how these respondents were selected or the extent to which they represent the black youth of the area. Participation was measured by self-reports and it is difficult to show external validity, although the responses would seem more accurate than official arrest statistics. Efficacy was measured by one item of political knowledge, the race of certain political figures, and this is not an adequate index of people's feeling of personal political competence. It would have been better to ask respondents directly about their capacity to assess the actions of government, their understanding of its operations, and the degree to which they think they are capable of influencing it. Because this was not a longitudinal study and no measure exists of attitudes of efficacy and trust before the riots, it is difficult to conclude that the causal sequence posited by Paige is in fact correct. One could equally well argue that riot participation resulted in feelings of high political efficacy and low trust.

Paige, Jeffrey, "Changing Patterns of Anti-White Attitudes among Blacks,"
Journal of Social Issues, 26, 4, 1970, 69-86.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

This article examining changes in black attitudes toward whites from 1945 to the late 1960s argues that the correlates of antiwhite attitudes changed in response to the exigencies of political conflict. As minorities develop strategies to combat discrimination by majority groups, the attitudes giving rise to these strategies will also become more widespread. Those who have adopted the successful strategy will have a strong tendency to resolve the dissonance between their behavior and their attitudes by changing the attitudes.

Three strategies in interaction with whites have been characterized by Pettigrew (1964) and are described here. "Moving away" (the earliest mode of response) involved either passive withdrawal or active separatism and was associated with apathy, self-hatred, avoidance and hostility toward whites. This tendency gave way to "moving toward," attempting to achieve integration and become assimilated into white culture; it was associated with trust and affiliation with whites. By the late 1960s a third strategy developed: "moving against," in which there were direct confrontations with whites and open hostility toward them.

Using survey data from a postriot study of Newark in 1968, and comparing this study with one at Cornell in 1949, Paige attempts to show that "if moving against is a new and increasingly important strategy in ghetto areas, then the subgroups most receptive to change would be the most likely to adopt the new strategy and its corresponding anti-white attitudes...Thus the old, the female, the married, and the Southern born should not be less anti-white than the young, the male, the unmarried, and the Northern born."

The Newark sample showed more antiwhite feeling than the Cornell sample, but there was little difference in social distance. This finding supports Paige's contention that black males were more hostile towards whites in 1968 than during the "moving towards" period (1949). The younger group (15-35) was more antiwhite than the older group (25-35) with respect to both hatred of whites and social distance in the Newark sample. Those who had grown up in the North were also more hostile to whites than those reared in the South. Authoritarian attitudes (measured by the California F scale) were associated with antiblack feelings, as expected, but there was no significant relationship with attitudes toward whites. Militancy, measured by self-reported riot participation, was correlated with antiwhite and problack feelings.

The finding that attitudes toward whites are closely tied to the political strategy that is most useful in furthering black interests at a given point in history has the following implications. First, psychological explanations that link antiwhite attitudes with a low self-image and "scapegoating" are not enough to explain the change in black's attitudes towards whites. Secondly, because certain black subgroups are more or less open to change, attitudes toward whites will vary throughout black communities, especially

during times of social change. Finally, if and when a new political strategy becomes useful to black interests, we can expect a corresponding change in blacks' attitudes towards whites.

Comment:

This study is very appealing in its contention that attitudes result from political conflict and social reality, rather than primarily from personality traits or early influences. Given the changes in blacks' attitudes towards whites since the turn of the century, the more reasonable explanation seems to be the concurrent changes in political and social conditions, rather than differences in childrearing or the distribution of personality types within the black population.

There are problems with the study, however. It is not clear, for example, that attitudes of blacks in 1949 were not just as antiwhite as in 1968. If respondents believed that a strategy of integration was in their best interests, they may have been more likely to conceal the extent of their antiwhite attitudes. Blacks may also have been more likely in 1949 to think that expressing antiwhite feelings would place them in personal danger. To a certain extent, therefore, the study has measured expressed rather than actual attitudes, and it is not clear whether the relationship between them was the same in 1949 as in 1968.

The hypothesis that young black males are more receptive to change -- and therefore likely to show most clearly the corresponding shift in attitudes towards whites -- did not receive much support from the data, except that age appears to be an important factor. Women were not included in the sample, and another cited study did not reveal significant differences in the attitudes of black men and women towards whites. Although attitudes of northern and southern blacks were significantly different, it is not clear whether this would be true after controlling for age, since other research has shown that older urban blacks are more likely than young blacks to have been raised in the South.

Paletz, David and Robert Dunn, "Press Coverage of Civil Disorders: A Case Study of Winston-Salem, 1967," Public Opinion Quarterly, 33, 3, 1969,

Approach: Empirical/Descriptive
Methodology: Case Study

The authors examine the Winston-Salem Journal's treatment of that city's riot in November 1967 to assess the charge, raised by studies of the Los Angeles riot in 1965, that the press and TV use sensational reporting to gain bigger audiences and thereby exacerbate civil disorders. First they present an account of the disorders in Winston-Salem, based on a variety of newspapers and interviews with editors, journalists, participants, and eyewitnesses.

On October 15, 1967, James Eller, a thirty-two year old black was clubbed by a white policeman while resisting arrest for drunkenness. He died of a fractured skull on October 28, and Mrs. Eller charged the policeman, W.E. Owens, with murder. On October 30, Municipal Judge Leroy Sams dismissed the case. At Eller's funeral on November 2 it was announced that a grand jury might reopen the case; but sporadic violence began and it escalated when police tried to control the disorders. All told, in the four-day period, November 2-5, almost 200 people were arrested and an estimated \$750,000 worth of property damage occurred.

The authors found that, far from treating the Winston-Salem riot sensationally, the Journal not only played down the racial aspects but promoted the efforts by authorities to check the violence and restore order. The paper mentioned that whites were among the looters, and there were blacks in the police force; it stressed the calm, business-as-usual atmosphere prevailing in most parts of the city. The Journal's reference to the Eller case as muted: the disturbances were said to be "in connection with unrest created by the recent death of James Eller, Negro, who died after injuries believed sustained when he resisted arrest and was hit by Patrolman W.E. Owens."

The authors attribute the Journal's slant to its way of operating: some reporters were stationed at the riot-control center at City Hall and some traveled with police; editors obtained information from the police broadcasts. Working so closely with local officials, the Journal inevitably reflected the concern of authorities to suppress the rioting; and just as inevitably they failed to sympathize with the grievances of the rioters. Newsmen from outside, who were independent of local authority, were more truthful. An AP story was headlined "Racial Outbreak in Winston-Salem," and a UPI story declared bluntly that Eller died "after he was blackjacked by a white policeman."

The authors conclude that while sensational reporting may have played a part in the 1965 Los Angeles riot, its outcome and the later wave of civil eruptions led the media to shift toward authoritarianism. While exacerbating the protest is damaging, they contend, denying its significance is in a different way, just as damaging.

Comments:

This article is both provocative and unsettling. The interplay between media and authority is worth examining. Also very interesting is the implication that the nearer the news source to the disruptive event, the more it may be distorted in the printed reports. The authors should not have proclaimed what amounts to a national trend, however, on the basis of a single case study.

Ponting, J. Rick, "Rumor Control Centers: Their Emergence and Operations,"
American Behavioral Scientist, 16, 3, 1973, 391-401.

Approach: Descriptive
 Methodology: Case Study

This is a descriptive study of rumor control centers -- their origin, internal structure, functions, clientele, interorganizational relations, operational problems, and future.

Rumor control centers (RCCs) investigate rumors and gather and disseminate accurate information that will curb or correct rumors. Most are affiliated with city governments. The prototype for RCCs in this country was established in Chicago in 1967. More were founded in 1968 following the local riots and other civil disturbances, the tensions, and the rumors that increased after the assassination of Martin Luther King, Jr.

This survey of 16 rumor control centers was begun after identifying a universe of RCCs that were active in 1969. Of those surveyed, 12 were affiliated with municipal commissions on community relations. Data were collected through oral questionnaires administered to center supervisors.

Most RCCs were found to have been established in 1968. The Chicago center was credited by the President's National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders with preventing an outbreak of civil disorder in the city. Structurally, RCCs are "intermittent" organizations that remain dormant until needed, at which time the parent organization lends staff. It is assumed that they will alleviate social unrest caused by rumors. Their functions are: to exert social control, to mediate disputes, to facilitate the work of emergency organizations, to disseminate information, to offer psychological relief, and to serve as a buffer between racial groups. Clientele are predominantly white; only two centers served minorities more than whites. The data suggest that, instead of functioning to prevent rioting in which property is destroyed -- the pattern of the 1960s -- they have been used to inhibit the classic interracial riots that were common in the 1940s. They alleviate the fears and anger of whites and occasionally of blacks. Blacks, however, tend to consider them part of the establishment. Government based RCCs encountered conflict when faced with having accurate but inflammatory information. The future of RCCs is in doubt, for the decrease in civil disturbances has made them less necessary. Some now act as ombudsmen or general information centers. The author thinks they may be extended to serve blacks, or may simply fade away or be attached to more local establishments like schools or factories.

Comments:

Rumor control centers have not generally succeeded in their initial purpose -- rumor control in the ghettos.

Quarantelli, E.L., Russell Dynes, "Property Norms and Looting: Their Patterns in Community Crises," Phylon, 31, Summer 1970, 168-82.

Approach: Theoretical

This paper views looting during civil disturbances as a "subcultural pattern that becomes manifest under appropriate stress circumstances and is not different in this respect from other normative behavior." Unlike most explanations that trace looting to deviant behavior of individuals suffering from shallow, incomplete, or faulty socialization, this theory regards looting as a temporary manifestation of a new group norm based on a redefinition of property rights within a community.

Although the authors do not give specific sources of data to buttress these arguments, they draw on a variety of unpublished estimates, including insurance records, material from several congressional committees, and information from the Lemberg Center for the Study of Violence and Ohio State University's Disaster Research Center.

They dismiss frustration-aggression formulations with regard to looting because arrest records showed that most looters were employed, married, and long-time residents of their cities. Unlike looting accompanying natural disasters, plundering during civil disorders is widespread, collective, and public, and is undertaken by local people who show selectivity and are supported in their actions by the community. The authors note that looting is more common when the disorder focuses explicitly on protest. It has also become a somewhat institutionalized response when disorders grow beyond a minimal point.

Comments:

The arguments here must be considered suppositions rather than conclusions because many of the data are questionable, primarily based on arrest records. Further, the authors do not question why looting was more frequent in certain locations and at certain times. If looting stems from a redefinition of property rights, then we must be able to explain why this occurs in some cities or neighborhoods more than in others and why it tends to happen at different stages of an outbreak. We must also understand why only certain businesses are subject to these redefinitions.

Quarantelli, E.L., "Changes in Ohio Radio Station Policies and Operations in Reporting Local Civil Disturbances," Journal of Broadcasting, XV, 3, 1971, 287-92.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Abstract:

Since the Watts riots of 1965 there has been extensive criticism of the broadcasting industry for its reporting of civil disturbances, but few reports on what the radio stations are actually doing. This article attempts three lines of inquiry: 1) Have any changes occurred in the formal policies of stations regarding the handling of news about similar events? 2) What internal or external factors brought about these changes in policy? 3) Have any changes occurred in the reporting of such news? The sample consisted of personal interviews with news directors or station managers in 42 stations in the 8 largest cities in Ohio. All of the communities had had civil disturbances of some intensity since 1966.

The author found that policy changes between 1965 and 1969 were neither widespread nor radical, and most of the stations had not developed any written policy about reporting such disturbances. There were no discernible differences between communities; but the larger stations were apparently more likely to evolve some formal policy, perhaps because they were more often affiliated with networks and had more experience in such reporting. Stations seemed reluctant to develop such policies, fearing to create inflexibility in their responses to events and anxious to protect the professional status of their personnel.

News directors and station managers believed that stories about local racial controversies were scheduled in the same way as other news; the reports were broadcast without delay or checking with the authorities. The actual broadcasts, however, were handled with more caution and sensitivity. Often the sounds accompanying the disturbances were not aired, and the reporting was frequently "softened" by making it brief or giving minimal attention to the more extreme forms of behavior. There was also a tendency to report the event from the official point of view, i.e., to use community control agencies as sources rather than participants.

Comments:

Although this article provides a few insights into the official point of view regarding the reporting of civil disturbances by radio stations, the treatment is fairly superficial. After finding that stations had no written policies regarding the reporting of civil disturbances (an undefined term), they concluded that there were no clearcut guidelines. However, it is important to recognize that policies need not be written to be effective, and as the author states, "There may have been an informal consensus among station personnel on how

news of local disturbances should be handled." He did not describe or discover any of these informal practices, and all of his information was gathered by talking to administrators, not broadcasters. The observations on the actual reporting of such events were based on what news directors said their stations broadcast rather than on a content analysis of the actual coverage of such events or interviews with other station personnel involved.

Quarantelli, E.L., Rick Ponting and John Fitzpatrick, "Police Department Perceptions of the Occurrence of Civil Disturbances," Sociology and Social Research, 59, 1, October 1974, 30-38.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Case Study

A study of police department perceptions of four different civil disturbances in American cities, through interviews with key organizational personnel, yields five general propositions about organizational perceptions of riot participants and dynamics. In general, social control agencies saw specific events and particular types of people rather than sequential happenings and social conditions as being responsible for the occurrence of the disturbances, although they did not perceive the disorders as being organized or planned.

Objecting to many explanations of riots which purport to give a "true" picture, the authors concentrate on the perceptions of law enforcement groups which responded to riots. Their article offers an organizational rather than a social-psychological perspective of the parties involved in riots.

It is derived from a larger work which used data collected by the Disaster Research Council (DRC) during 1968 in four cities where rioting took place. These data included 100 interviews collected from public agencies in each city six to nine months before the riots, as well as 50 taped interviews obtained by DRC teams from police, fire and National Guard officials a few days to several weeks after the riot. Additional documents from various agencies included arrest records, memos, and the like.

Responses to the riot, as reported by the police and others, could be summed up as follows:

1. Riots were perceived as the result of a particular event.
2. Individuals, not social conditions, were blamed, despite the fact that "social control personnel acknowledged the existence of unemployment, poverty, substandard housing, poor education, etc." (This view is sometimes called the riff-raff theory of riot participation.)
3. Although they believed the riots were unplanned and unorganized, police often blamed outside agitators and specific trouble-makers. This belief diminished as one looked higher in the police hierarchy: officers and especially police intelligence units were the least likely to blame agitators.

4. Participants in riots were classed by police as belonging to certain psychological categories: agitators, enacters, sustainers, supporters, nonparticipants, and counterrioters.
5. The police never thought they were themselves responsible for the riot.

Comments:

This study has value in showing the extent of the misunderstanding of riots by those supposedly controlling them. Although the authors do not say so specifically, it becomes evident that more knowledge about who the rioters are and why they engage in riots might lead to better handling of such events by social control agencies. The discovery that police do not think their behavior contributed to the riots is important.

Ransford, H. Edward, "Isolation, Powerlessness, and Violence: A Study of Attitudes and Participation in the Watts Riot," American Journal of Sociology, 73, 5, March 1968, 581-591.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

This study attempts to test the "mass society" explanation for participation in radical protest and mass movements. It is based on data from a sample of 312 Los Angeles black males who were interviewed shortly after the Watts riot to ascertain their willingness to use violence. The mass society thesis uses two related approaches to explain extreme political behavior. According to the first, the degree to which the individual is isolated by circumstances from community institutions affects his vulnerability to an authoritarian outlook and his availability for volatile mass movements. According to the second approach, the individual's awareness and evaluation of his isolated condition may heighten his readiness to engage in extreme behavior. The following hypotheses were tested:

- 1) Blacks who have little intimate contact with whites will have fewer channels for communicating their grievances and will feel little commitment to the leaders and institutions of the community. Thus they will be more willing to use violent protest than those with greater involvement in white society.
- 2) Blacks who feel powerless to change their situation or control crucial decisions that affect them will be more willing to use violent means to get their rights than those who believe they exert some control within the social system.
- 3) Blacks who feel that they are treated badly because of their race will be more willing to use violence than those who are satisfied with their treatment.
- 4) Racial isolation will be most likely to induce participation in violence when individuals feel powerless or when they are highly dissatisfied with the treatment of their race.

Empirical evidence supported the contention that blacks who were more disengaged from the society because they were isolated and because they felt powerless and dissatisfied were more likely to think violence necessary to the attainment of racial justice than those more firmly tied to the society. Although only 5 percent of the sample admitted to violent action for Negro rights, the same feelings of powerlessness, dissatisfaction, and isolation were reported among those who were merely willing to use violence. The fourth hypothesis was also confirmed: that isolation was a strong predictor of violence only among people who already felt powerless and dissatisfied. The relatively satisfied and those with a sense of control did not respond significantly to the fact of being isolated by resorting to violence. But, among blacks who were isolated, and who also felt powerless and voiced strong disaffection because of discrimination, 65 percent were willing to use violence, compared to 12 percent among those who were integrated, satisfied, and felt they had some control over their lives.

Feelings of powerlessness and dissatisfaction did not lead the college-educated to favor violence. Ransford suggested that college-educated blacks may be unwilling to risk their position, despite their feeling powerless and dissatisfied, or this group may prefer nonviolent strategies. In contrast, he says, the less educated and alienated blacks may use "violence as a means of communicating with white society: anger can be expressed, control exerted -- if only for a brief period."

Comment:

This study of the attitudes of black males regarding the use of violence does not test the mass society argument or study participation in the Watts riot, as the title suggests. It is not a test of the mass society thesis because it does not measure "structural isolation" but only the amount of contact respondents had with white people in a number of different situations. And it is not a study of participation in the Watts riot since respondents were not asked about their activities in that disturbance; rather they were asked whether they would be willing to use violence and whether they had ever used violence to get Negro rights.

Moreover, like all postriot explanations of attitudes, this one suffers from the problem that the causal direction of the variables cannot be ascertained. One interesting point is that the area where the respondents lived had an effect on their propensity to violence, though this was not explored in the article. As the data show quite clearly, respondents in the localities surrounding the riot (South Central Los Angeles and Watts) were more willing to use violence than those living further away, around Crenshaw, though both groups reported the same degree of contact with whites, and were alike in their feelings of powerlessness and dissatisfaction.

Rossi, Peter H. and Richard E. Berk, "Local Political Leadership and Popular Discontent in the Ghetto," The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 391, 1970, 111-27.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

To understand how public opinion is formed and how leaders affect the perception of grievances, the authors study the sources of variation in the grievances felt by the black populations in fifteen cities. They concentrate on grievances stemming from business practices of ghetto merchants and behavior by police. Data had been collected independently from four sources:

1. Censuses, labor force statistics, and "documentary sources"
2. Qualitative interviews with forty community leaders in each city
3. Structured interviews with forty ghetto merchants and fifty police in each city
4. Probability samples of two hundred respondents: half of those interviewed were black, half were white

If a belief that services to ghetto residents by local merchants were inferior to those offered in white neighborhoods and could give rise to grievances against local merchants, under what conditions would these differences in services be translated into grievances and public issues? An elite model seems to fit the data best, based on correlations. Elites must identify merchants' sharp practices and make them a public issue before mass dissatisfaction with such practices will develop. This interpretation is consistent with Berk's previous finding that sharp practices are not the best indicators of which stores will be vandalized during a riot or in ordinary times. Furthermore, customers often do not know how ghetto merchants' practices compare with those outside the ghetto unless they are told.

In all fifteen cities, complaints were voiced about discriminatory behavior of police in the ghetto. On the basis of correlations, the authors propose a causal model in which the proportion of blacks in a community affects the responsiveness of the police chief, which in turn is associated with the abusive practices of the police. According to interviews with blacks, abusive practices by police and their knowledge of black residents, both based on interviews with police, and the responsiveness of the police chief as determined by interviews with local black elites, affect ghetto residents' personal experience of police abuse. The police chief's responsiveness to black grievances and abuse of blacks by police make police brutality a salient local issue. Both the populist and the elitist models fit the data; police brutality as a local issue is a function of the reputation of the chief of police as well as the level of black residents' grievances.

Comments:

The correlations are based on a sample of fifteen cities, a small sample that permits much chance for error. However, the authors note that the fifteen cities represent the largest cities of the Midwest and Northeast. The models developed, while suggestive, suffer from the shortcoming that the only variables which could be investigated were those for which data existed from other studies.

Schmidt, Charles, "Multidimensional Scaling Analysis of the Printed Media's Explanations of the Riots of the Summer of 1967," Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 24, 1, October 1972, 59-67.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Experiment

A multidimensional scaling analysis of explanations in the press of the 1967 summer riots was used to understand the relation between the evaluation, or perceived legitimacy, of the disturbances and the type of explanation proposed. A partially representative sample of U.S. newspaper and magazine editorials and feature articles dealing with the riots was drawn from 22 publications, ranging from the political right to left. Forty male students were asked to sort through 76 statements compiled from these publications and to class them according to their explanation for the riots.

A two-dimensional representation of the explanations provided a very satisfactory fit with these classes. The explanations varied according to the internal-external causes -- the participants themselves or forces outside -- and according to social institutions or the physical environment -- because of the failure of government and other institutions or because of physical conditions surrounding the participants.

An additional group of five people was asked to judge the 76 statements according to the two causes mentioned above as well as their legitimacy or illegitimacy -- the extent to which the statement implied that the rioting was legitimate or illegitimate. As expected, statements that seemed to imply an internal cause also seemed to imply that the rioting was illegitimate. Conversely, statements that focused on an external cause seemed to confer more legitimacy on the rioting.

Publications classed as left of the center tended to assign external causes to the rioting, those of the right and the far left asserted internal motives -- whether they saw participants as heroes or villains. Some evidence also showed an effect from the passage of time: in the first wave of articles individual actors were presumed to be blameworthy causes of rioting (internal attribution); later articles were more likely to cite external conditions such as poverty, slums, racism, and so on, predisposing such individual actions or "reactions."

Comments:

The theoretical explanations for collective disorders can also be classified according to the three criteria cited in this article. The findings suggest that these explanations probably reflect the theorists' own evaluation of the disturbances and that most of our theories are a function of our political ideas.

Sears, David O., and John B. McConahay, "Participation in the Los Angeles Riot," Social Problems, 17, 1, 1969, 3-20.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

The authors first surveyed a black community in Los Angeles to estimate the size and scope of the 1965 Los Angeles riot. The size of the riot was then related to the black community's ideology about it and the relevance of the "riff-raff" theory of riot participation. They took a random sample of 586 "curfew zone" residents, with oversampling of census tracts at the poverty level to compensate for residential transience. Interviews were conducted by black interviewers in late 1965 and early 1966, and they also examined an "accidental" sample of 124 blacks arrested during the riot. Although this sample was not representative, the authors found it a useful reference point.

Respondents' reports of their activity and their estimates of how many area residents took part in the riot indicate that approximately 20 percent of Watts residents participated. Of the entire sample 15 percent were classified as rioters; they reported not only having seen looting, burning, and large crowds of people, but taking part in the riot. Another 31 percent were labeled "close spectators": they saw looting, burning and large crowds but said they were not themselves active. "Distant spectators," comprising 27 percent, saw only some kinds of rioting, and "stay-at-homes," numbering 27 percent, did not see looting, burning, or large crowds of people. On the basis of census data this translates into 31,000-35,000 rioters and 64,000-72,000 close spectators.

Those classed as rioters were most favorable towards the event and most optimistic about its aftermath. Although the close spectators had negative views about the action itself they expressed sympathy for the rioters. Few attempts to curb the riot were reported. Over half the sample reported that they saw looting, burning of stores, and large crowds of people, an indication that the riot represented a "large and proximate experience" in most residents' lives.

A "protest ideology" scale was constructed from responses to four questions: "Was it a Negro protest?" "Did it have a purpose?" "What was its cause?" "Were the main targets attacked by chance?" Most respondents perceived the riot as a purposeful, deliberate, Negro protest. Protest ideology was not related to personal contact with the riot, however, or to perceptions of the extent that the community was involved. That personal contact with the riot or perceptions of its size had little to do with interpreting the riot as a protest is supported by data from a concurrent sample of white Los Angeles residents. Although white respondents believed community participation was quite strong and they were fairly distant from the scene, few viewed the riot as a "Negro protest."

According to the "riff-raff" theory, the Watts riot was the work of a small number of disreputable "ghetto" residents, chiefly the uneducated

and unemployed, recent migrants, and criminals. The data do not support this theory. Many of the residents were active participants in the riot: at least half of the community were "close spectators," and a number were sympathetic with the rioters and critical of the police. Although somewhat more likely to be unemployed, those reporting participation in the riot were representative in terms of their own and their parents' education, occupation, subjective social class, and the status of their neighborhood. The only distinguishing characteristics of the rioters were sex, age, and place of birth: they tended to be young, male, and native born.

Comments: .

Although the authors felt their estimates to be more accurate than the McCone Commissions' which were founded on press and police estimates, they recognized possible problems because the questions probing riot participation were purposely indirect. Moreover, the respondents may very well have exaggerated or played down their participation in the riot.

Abraham H. Miller, Louis H. Bolce and Mark R. Halligan, in their article "The New Urban Blacks," (Ethnicity, 3, 1976, 338-67), further suggest that the association between place of birth and riot activity could be a matter of age since "as a result of the changing demography of the black population, it is the young who are most likely to be born and reared in the North. Consequently, any relationship between Northern residence and riot activity might simply result from the concomitant variation of age with both variables." In later studies of the Watts riot, Sears and McConahay attempted to control for age when looking at background of respondents.

Sears, David O. and John B. McConahay, "Racial Socialization, Comparison Levels, and the Watts Riot," Journal of Social Issues, 26, 1, 1970, 121-39.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

This article attempts to explain the urban race riots of the 1960s, seeing them as "mass eruptions" for which "there has been virtually no precedent," and citing the alleged passivity of blacks in this country in the face of "uninterrupted frustration and privation." The social psychological factors underlying the Watts riot of 1965 receive particular attention.

The authors offer the basic hypothesis "that the outbreak of mass violence was an almost inevitable consequence of the major population changes that American Negroes have been undergoing in recent years," and that these "fundamental and irreversible social changes in the location and characteristics of the black population have produced important psychological changes within individual blacks." These changes have increased enormously, they say, the "probability that blacks will respond to white racism with intransigence, vigorous protest, and even violence." These points about the black population are stressed:

(It) has become much more urbanized, Northernized, and considerably better-educated. It is a young and physically vigorous population. Yet it remains highly segregated, crammed into relatively small and deteriorated urban areas, underemployed, and underpaid. It is also a population generally regarded as inferior by the white majority.

To test whether such demographic changes are responsible for the rioting the authors attempt to determine whether the young, northern urban, and better-educated blacks took a greater part in the rioting than those representing the earlier pattern of black life. Their data come from a sample survey conducted in the south central black ghetto in Los Angeles during late 1965 and early 1966, soon after the Watts riot of August 1965. Two samples were used: 1) a representative sample of 586 adults (aged 15 and older), living in the area cordoned off during the riot -- the "Curfew Zone"; 2) an accidental sample of 124 blacks arrested during the riot. Persons who were most involved in the riot were identified in three ways: arrested persons; those in the Curfew Zone sample who admitted being "active"; and those who reported that they had seen at least four of the following: shooting, stone-throwing, burning of stores, looting of stores, and crowds in the street. The findings were that the bulk of those arrested were young; in the Curfew Zone sample a considerably larger portion of young persons than old reported that they were either active or had witnessed the events listed above. Natives of Los Angeles were considerably more likely to have been active than migrants from the South

or from northern cities. Educational level or other indices of socioeconomic status meant little in attempting to predict participation in riots.

In trying to understand how the demographic changes exemplified by the "new urban black man" led to rioting and other violent behavior, the authors used two social psychological theories: the effects of early socialization and relative deprivation. They hypothesized that: 1) socialization among the black youths, particularly in the North, has become substantially more militant, partly because the civil rights battles of the late 1950s and early 1960s served as a model for open confrontations with white authorities; and 2) migration from the South to join the more affluent and better-educated population of the North raised black expectations which in turn made disappointment -- a sense of relative deprivation in comparison with other groups -- more probable.

Using the data from the Watts riot, the authors tested the mediating effect of early racial socialization. Were young, Northern-reared black males more disaffected in their attitudes toward conventional institutions and the whites in office than was true of older, migrant Negroes? Were rioters more likely than others to feel that conventional mechanisms of redressing grievances were useless in serving the needs of ghetto residents? In answer, considerable evidence showed greater disaffection toward government among the young, Northern urban blacks, particularly those raised in Los Angeles. Yet this same group was more enthusiastic about black militant groups such as the Muslims. Compared to those who did not participate, riot participants were more disaffected with regard to local government, more cynical about mechanisms of redressing grievances, more likely to think of the protest as aimed at specific persons and institutions, and more optimistic about the outcome. Both groups, however, held grievances against the police and felt that the riot was a Negro protest, a finding cited to show that these attitudes were not merely post facto rationalization by rioters of their participation in the disorders.

Young black men who were native to Los Angeles, especially those taking part in the riots, had higher aspirations and stronger feelings of relative deprivation than older migrants. In fact most respondents felt that things were better in Los Angeles than in the South; and Los Angeles natives thought their chances of getting an education were better, although their feelings of relative occupational deprivation were higher than those of southern migrants. Riot participants were more optimistic about attaining additional education, but they had stronger feelings of relative deprivation than those who did not riot.

The authors conclude by offering this opinion:

The apparently centrifugal movement represented by the Deacons, the Black Panthers... (is) not merely representative of a small minority's peculiar and disreputable ideas. They symbolize, although in more

extreme form, the direction being taken by young ghetto natives throughout the country. Our data suggest that the direction and thrust of this movement are irreversible without extreme measures that white Americans would presumably not condone.

Comments:

This article and others by these authors significantly helped to discount the traditional "riff raff" theories of riot participation in favor of the "new urban black" views of activism and militancy. Nevertheless their conclusions have been criticized for being based on "inappropriate analysis and questionable data, unexamined citations of the work of other researchers, and exaggerated reinterpretations. These objections are detailed in the article "The New Urban Blacks" by Miller, Bolce, and Halligan.

Sears, David, Tomlinson, T.M., "Riot Ideology in Los Angeles: A Study of Negro Attitudes," Social Science Quarterly, 49, 3, December 1968, 485-503.

Approach: Descriptive
Methodology: Survey Research

The reaction among white people to the urban riots of the 1960s was characterized by three widely held myths about the black communities' responses to the riots. One was that only a tiny segment of the black communities participated in and supported the riots. The riots were also said to be purposeless outbursts of criminality. The third myth was that blacks did not expect any benefit from them. This paper presents evidence that disputed these three beliefs about blacks' responses to the 1960s riots, specifically the Watts riot in 1965, on the basis of data from a sampling of black residents of the riot area, persons arrested during the riot, and whites surveyed soon after the riot.

According to the survey, about 15 percent of the blacks living in the riot area participated in the riot, and about one-third supported it. Thus the first myth, that support and participation were confined to a tiny portion of the population, was unfounded. Nor was there any evidence for the second, that the riot was an expression of purposeless disregard for law and order: over a third of those interviewed described the riot in revolutionary terms. Substantial majorities felt that the riot represented a black protest and that the targets deserved what they got. Similarly, the belief that blacks foresaw no benefits from the riot had no foundation in fact. A full 58 percent expected beneficial effects. These views were generally shared by both participants and others and contrasted with whites' attitudes, which were considerably less favorable and more in accord with the myths.

Reactions to the riot can be evaluated according to the degree that it is considered an instrument of protest. The black community was found to be generally sympathetic toward the events and participants. That is, the actual events were widely condemned, but the majority expressed remorse and regret rather than outright repudiation. More described riot supporters in sympathetic terms rather than as "hoodlums" or Communists." The authorities' handling of the riot was judged poor by nearly two-thirds of the respondents. They believed the riot was caused by legitimate grievances. In sharp contrast, whites approved the behavior of authorities and were more willing to attribute the riots to agitators or to chance.

Most black respondents felt the purpose of the riot was to call attention to black problems. They believed the main targets to be merchants, white people in general, and the police, all sources of grievance.

Blacks expected that the riot would persuade whites to begin redressing their grievances. They looked forward to help from whites, as well as greater awareness of black problems, anticipating neither white backlash nor greater black solidarity. In contrast, the white respondents, though reporting greater awareness of black problems, did not expect greater sympathy for blacks to result from the events, but rather an increased gap between the races.

Despite their sympathetic view of the riot, blacks predominantly believed that the tactics necessary for dealing with their grievances were conventional, moderate ones. Violence was not frequently advocated, though the possibility of further riots was not dismissed.

Comment:

This paper portrays the Watts riot as an expression of protest. The black community viewed events and their participants sympathetically and believed the riot to have a purpose. Thus, from the black community's point of view, the riot was a popular rebellion.

The contrast between the reported attitudes of blacks and whites was clear. If they became bases for action, the response from white authorities could be stern and in the eyes of the black community unjustifiable, thus leading to further polarization.

Many of the reported findings were based on open-ended questions. The detailed discussion of the content analysis and such issues as reliability are reported in other articles.

Shellow, Robert and Derek V. Roemer, "The Riot that Didn't Happen,"
Social Problems, 14, 2, Fall 1966, 221-33.

Approach: Descriptive; Prescriptive
Methodology: Participant Observation

Shellow and Roemer examine the role of social science in communities facing civil disorder. Specifically they treat the possibility that social scientists could study developing riots while working to prevent them. The authors present a narrative, anecdotal account of their participation in a six-week preparation for potential violence during a national motorcycle race on Labor Day weekend, 1965, at Upper Marlboro, Maryland (population 500,000).

On the basis of newspaper reports, the authors theorized that riots related to recreational sports events are characterized by a large influx of "outsiders," who exhibit distinguishing common features, such as being of the same age, and differ from locals in dress and other outward signs. Rioting seemed to occur when: (1) city facilities were "flooded" by overwhelming numbers of visitors; (2) authorities resorted to ineffectual, provocative measures in their efforts at control; and (3) group solidarity developed among crowd members.

Circumstances favoring violence existed prior to the "riot that didn't happen": rumors that Hell's Angels from California planned to appear; minimal advance coordination between the local cycle club and police; inadequate facilities for the event; and little advance coordination between local police departments. The authors also describe several strategies that were used to prevent violence: the police were highly visible and dispersed throughout the community, and good communication networks were established.

After the event, command officers and the county commissioner considered the control efforts successful, though local "short-hair" groups thought the police had shown too much restraint. Reactions from outside cycling groups were not available.

Shellow and Roemer concluded that the planning and preparation were justified, since hoodlums would otherwise have succeeded in creating mob friction. Four factors were considered crucial in preventing violence: police strength, fairness and neutrality; authorities' restraint from interfering with camping and drag racing on private property until extreme violence seemed imminent; a flow of intelligence before and during the event; and "good luck." It was suggested that the local cycle club's involvement in the control effort would have decreased the severity of the fighting. Other suggestions for better handling of such events included the provision of activities and campsites for visitors, more coordination and consultation with other police departments, and a registry of visitors to eliminate their anonymity.

Comments:

Although this case study of a "riot that didn't happen" characterizes in interesting detail a recreational disturbance and the police methods used in dealing with it, some biases in the data-gathering strategies and the underlying assumptions mar the work: the authors were unable to interview significant participants in the event, specifically the cyclists from outside, and much of their information about the outside group came from talking to one local cycle dealer and from rumors. This hindrance may have been due in part to the authors' clear identification and affiliation with the local law enforcement authorities. At any rate one must doubt some of their assumptions, specifically that these outside cycling groups have an "inherent need for collective action, excitement and violence." Moreover, there appears to have been no systematic attempt to evaluate evidence or substantiate contentions. For example, the claim that the riot didn't happen because police were fair, strong, and neutral was based on official reports of three near-disturbances. Further, the authors contend that sober planning by the police was critical in avoiding disaster but they admitted that very little planning and coordination actually occurred.

Spilerman, Seymour, "The Causes of Racial Disturbances: A Comparison of Alternative Explanations," American Sociological Review, 35, 4, 1970, 627-49; see also, De Fronzo, James, "Comment on 'The Causes of Racial Disturbances': A Comparison of Alternative Explanations," American Sociological Review, 36, 3, 1971, 515-16.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Content Analysis

Spilerman examines the relation between frequency of urban riots during the 1960s and variations in ghetto conditions. Data were collected for 341 instances of racial violence during the period 1961-68. The sample was restricted to "spontaneous" racial disorders where aggressive acts were committed by 30 or more black participants. Information came from various sources, including the Lemberg Center's Riot Data Review, and the New York Times Index.

Spilerman compared the actual distribution of racial disorders among the cities with predictions made from mathematical models that incorporated the following assumptions: 1) the probability of a riot is the same in all cities through time; 2) structural characteristics of cities are related to the frequency of racial outbreaks; 3) a disorder may alter the probability of a repetition of violence in the same city; and 4) disorders tend to cluster in time and location. Only structural characteristics account for the distribution of disorders, he found, although some of the other factors may have had a minor influence on the distribution.

Several hypotheses were tested to analyze the relation between variation in community conditions and racial disorders. The "social disorganization" thesis -- that disorder results from the absence of social links which normally permit the exercise of informal control -- was examined by looking at the percentage change in population from 1950-60, the percentage change in nonwhite population during this period, and the percentage of dilapidated housing.

The "underclass theory" -- that rioting is most likely among the most disadvantaged -- is measured through the percentage of the nonwhite male labor force employed in low status occupations, the nonwhite male unemployment rate, and the median family income and median education of nonwhites.

"Relative deprivation" assumes that blacks compare their living conditions and status to those of a white reference group and as a result feel so deprived and frustrated that they riot. If we assume that the white reference group comprises white residents of the same community, the variables are those indicators for the underclass theory divided by the corresponding values for whites (i.e., family income for whites and nonwhites).

In testing whether frequent disorders are more likely in cities which have unresponsive political structures, Spilerman included variables for nonpartisan election, mayor-council government, population per councilman, and proportion of city council elected at-large.

Significant zero-order correlations were found between some variables and the number of disorders; there were at least two significant associations in each of the above sets of variables. Variables positively correlated to frequency of disorder were nonwhite population, percentage of nonwhite population, nonwhite median income -- both absolute and relative to income of whites -- nonwhite median education relative to whites, population per councilman, and the presence of a mayor-council government. Variables negatively correlated with frequency of disorder were the percentage change in total population; percentage of dilapidated housing; percentage of nonwhite males employed in low status occupations, absolute and relative to whites; and a dummy variable for the South. In short, communities in which conditions for nonwhites were better, on the average, were more prone to disorders. However, when the South and the nonwhite population were controlled for, most of these correlations became insignificant. Spilerman's interpretation of this finding is that the significant correlations were with "incidental characteristics of cities with large Negro populations," rather than causal conditions. The total explained variance for all the independent variables, when entered into a multiple regression after nonwhite population and the South, was only 4.5 percent, while nonwhite population and the South explained 48.8 percent of the variance.

Spilerman concludes that cities outside the South which have a large number of nonwhites were more likely to experience numerous racial disorders during the 1960s, that the susceptibility of an individual to participating in a disorder does not depend on the structural characteristics of his community. He conceptualizes the community's propensity to be an aggregate of the individual propensities; the larger the black population, the greater the likelihood of disorders. He speculates that vacillating federal leadership in civil rights, television, and the development of black solidarity and consciousness has had such a strong influence on blacks that variations in community characteristics appear negligible.

Comments:

See Spilerman, 1975, for comments.

Spilerman, Seymour, "The Causes of Racial Disturbances: Tests of an Explanation," American Sociological Review, 36, 3, 1971, 427-42.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Content Analysis/Analysis of Existing Data

In this article Spilerman evaluates his previously proposed explanation for the location and frequency of racial disorders, in which the region and the size of the nonwhite population account for most of the variance.

Data on racial disorders during 1961-68 were gathered from the Lemberg Center's Riot Data Review, the Congressional Quarterly's Civil Disorder Chronology, and the New York Times Index. The only instances considered were spontaneous outbreaks of thirty persons or more, characterized by black aggression.

Spilerman finds an S-shaped relation between black population size and proneness to disorder for both southern and other cities. In other words, a community requires a black population of a certain size before racial disorders as defined above can occur. Beyond this minimum, disorders per black individual increase with black population size, hit a ceiling and then decrease. This nonlinear relationship explains 73 percent of the variance elsewhere than in the South, and 34 percent in the South.

Spilerman then examines the unexplained variance. He simulates a situation in which proneness to disorder can be accounted for by the size of the nonwhite population, but the incidents which trigger the disorders are considered random events. In such a situation only 75 percent of the variance outside the South and 48 percent for the South can be explained by the size of the nonwhite population, even though all the information about the generative process is contained in that variable. By this reasoning the explained variance for the actual disorder looks better: 98 percent and 72 percent respectively.

Spilerman examines the explanatory power of 16 community characteristics and finds that indicators of political responsiveness account for an additional 6 percent of explained variance in the South. The number of disorders is positively correlated with the presence of nonpartisan elections and a mayor-council structure. One explanation for this finding is that politicians in nonpartisan towns are extremely vulnerable to public opinion, and therefore they are less likely to take controversial action that benefits blacks.

Finally, Spilerman attempts to predict the disorders during 1968 from the pattern of disorder proneness during 1961-67 using only black population size and region as variables. Prediction was good for cities outside the South, but disorders in the South were more accurately predicted by the S-curve for other areas, indicating that southern blacks' responses to frustrations are becoming more like those of blacks elsewhere during the 1960s. Perhaps southern blacks were shocked out of

their passivity by the assassination of Martin Luther King.

These findings do not explain the variation in the number of disorders over the years, which is a result of national phenomena; and therefore we should hesitate to use these findings generally to predict future disorders or to explain disorders before 1961. For example, the election of black mayors and the conservative turn in federal policy since the election of Nixon might well alter the trend in racial disorders.

Comments:

See abstracts on Spilerman 1970 and 1975.

Spilerman, Seymour, "Comment: Strategic Considerations in Analyzing the Distribution of Racial Disturbances," American Sociological Review, 37, 1972, 493-99.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

Allan Mazur criticized Spilerman's study of the locations of racial disorders on four grounds. First, he argued that a postulate of identical riot-proneness values for all cities cannot be rejected. Second, he found support for a reinforcement assumption, indicating that an outbreak in a city raises that probability of subsequent disorder. Third, that riots can spread geographically by contagion appears possible. Finally, the apparent insignificance of community characteristics may result from crude indicators. This article refutes these criticisms.

Mazur argued that identical riot-proneness can be tested with a yearly Poisson distribution, rather than aggregating data for the entire 1961-68 period. Even if one accepts this assertion, Spilerman says, there is no confirmation of identical riot-proneness. He also considers a yearly disaggregation unwise, because, if Mazur is correct, an aggregate distribution should still be Poisson; such disaggregation weakens statistical testing and no substantive issues are raised that would call for it. Indications of randomness prove nothing, since differing riot-proneness would still involve randomness, and inferences Mazur drew from this are inappropriate to a distributional model.

Mazur's argument in favor of reinforcement, according to Spilerman, was obtained by statistically incorrect means. Using a sequence of estimates of the expected number of disorders, he obtained values supporting Mazur's position but also consistent with heterogeneous riot-proneness. The latter explanation is further supported by the effect of Negro population size on riot-proneness and a regression analysis which found the effect of riots in a previous year or prior disturbances in the same year to be insignificant. The reinforcement thesis was not only unnecessary, he believes, but contradicts Mazur's idea of identical riot-proneness.

The theory of geographic contagion was tested by using regression equations that took into account the Negro population of neighboring states, the number of disorders elsewhere in a state, and the number of disorder-susceptible communities in a state. Since in no case were these factors significant, their finding contradicts the idea of contagion.

Mazur's criticism concerning crude indicators was answered by pointing out that several factors were used for each construct, that more refined measures would be highly correlated with the indicators used, that unexplained variations can be explained without further variables relating to community situation, and that other research has reduced the importance of community variables.

Comments:

The author's response to the fourth criticism was the weakest - a half-dozen (inter-correlated) weak measures of a construct are not as good as one highly reliable and valid measure. When he controls for black population size, the association of his other measures largely becomes insignificant; there is no basis for arguing that this would necessarily occur with better measures of community characteristics.

Spilerman, Seymour, "Structural Characteristics of Cities and the Severity of Racial Disorders," American Sociological Review, 41, 5, 1976, 771-93.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Content Analysis

This study attempts to ascertain whether particular structural arrangements and demographic features of a community were responsible for especially severe disturbances during the 1960s. Spilerman found that 1) severity of disorders declined as a function of the number of prior outbreaks in a city, and 2) there is evidence for a temporal effect: the disturbances after Martin Luther King's assassination were unusually destructive. Only nonwhite population size and a dummy term for the South were related to severity. Leaving aside these variables, various indicators of black disadvantages in a community failed to reveal significant associations with severity.

Spilerman investigated the relation between the severity of ghetto riots during 1967-68 and differences in living conditions. He tested the hypothesis that the more the community was deprived, the more severe the riot would be. Severity was measured by the size of the crowd, number of arrests, number of injuries, and whether rocks were thrown, fighting occurred, property was damaged, or looting and arson took place. The data were collected from the New York Times and the Lemberg Center's Riot Data Review (1968b). A total of 322 incidents from 300 cities met the definition of violence: instances of black aggression having a "spontaneous" origin and thirty or more participants. Multiple regression was used to analyze the data.

Variables for "South" and "nonwhite population size" were used as controls because the data indicated that disorders in the South were less severe than elsewhere, and that the larger the nonwhite population the more severe the riots.

When the time of the disorder was examined with respect to severity it was found that after each racial disorder, the severity of disorder in the same city declined. According to Spilerman, this might result from fading interest or exhaustion among the participants. Disorders during April 1968, immediately after Martin Luther King was assassinated, were found to be substantially more severe than during other periods.

Spilerman then tested his main hypothesis that the variation in severity across cities corresponds with variations in ghetto conditions. He examined two versions of the "social disorganization" thesis: 1) disorder results from an absence of social links which normally permit informal control to be exercised; 2) it arises from negative attitudes of ghetto dwellers toward their community because of the crime, filth, dilapidated housing, etc., that they are exposed to. To test these two versions, Spilerman looked at the percentage change in population, percentage of nonwhites living in housing with substandard plumbing, and percentage of nonwhites living in housing built before 1950.

Spilerman examined the hypothesis that ghetto violence results from a city government's lack of responsiveness to minority problems and demands. He included in his model variables for nonpartisan elections, mayor-council government, population per councilman, and proportion of city council elected at large.

The effects of absolute and relative deprivation were also examined. Indicators of absolute deprivation were the percentage of nonwhite males employed in low status occupations, nonwhite unemployment rates for 1960 and 1970, and nonwhite family income and median education. The relative deprivation theory was tested by using the same indicators divided by the corresponding value for whites (e.g., nonwhite family income/white family income).

While some zero order correlations were significant between the above community characteristics and disorder severity, control for region, Negro population size, and temporal effects reduced all associations to insignificance. Spilerman examined the joint contribution from each cluster (e.g., all of the indicators of absolute relative deprivation), but none added significantly to explained variation over the control variables. He concluded that the severity of the racial disorders under study was not a function of variations in Negro living conditions or variations in social and economic status in a community. He suggests that the riots in the mid-1960s were a national phenomenon and reflected nationwide frustrations. The extensive news coverage of the Newark riots provided a model for angry blacks, and TV and press reports on civil rights demonstrations contributed to black solidarity.

Comments:

Although this study employs sophisticated statistical and analytical methods, what such an analysis yields depends on what was put in. The weaknesses here are in the measurement of variables, and this the author acknowledges. Newspaper accounts were used to decide whether an incident met the criteria for a riot and what degree of severity it represented. Census data are relied on for indicators supporting various theories about social unrest. The author warns against individual level relations from ecological data: differences in important determinants of well-being are not sufficient for expecting a corresponding variation in the frustration felt by inhabitants of different ghettos.

Spilerman, Seymour and Jules J. Wanderer, "Comments on Wanderer's Article on Riot Severity and Its Correlates" and "The Author Replies," American Journal of Sociology, 75, 4, 1970, 556-61.

Approach: Critique

Abstract:

Spilerman seriously criticizes Wanderer's attempt to relate community characteristics to riot severity, objecting to both the conceptualization and the methodology. First, he says Wanderer failed to present a rationale for his selection of variables affecting the severity of disorders, relying rather on the work of Lieberman and Silverman who were concerned with another question -- the location of a riot. Second, the few significant correlations Wanderer reported could have been due to sampling errors, particularly since nothing was said about why some variables showed associations and most of the others did not. Third, the findings of Wanderer cannot be compared with those of Lieberman and Silverman, since the two studies covered different periods and vastly different phenomenon. (The work of Lieberman and Silverman covered a 50-year period before 1963 and focused on interracial violence, while Wanderer's data were based on disorders in the summer of 1967 which were instances of "Negro rebellion".) Moreover, several of the measures that Wanderer considered the same as those used by the earlier researchers were in fact different. Fourth, Wanderer's methodology was flawed; it ignored the possibly large within-scale-type variation because he averaged the city values on each dependent variable within each scale type. Fifth, he was not able to test for spurious effects between riot severity and a community's characteristics because he used the Spearman coefficient, which has no partial. In particular, he was not able to control for Negro population size when he asserted a relation between the percentage increase of nonwhites and severity. In recalculating Wanderer's data, Spilerman found that one of the two community characteristics which had been significant (the proportion living in dwellings less than ten years old) was uncorrelated with riot severity, while the second characteristic (the increase in the nonwhite population) became insignificant when the numerical size of the Negro population, a conceptually prior variable, was controlled.

Refuting this criticism, Wanderer said that he was not trying to replicate or compare the work of Lieberman and Silverman with his own. He also said that he did not raise the issue of causality and did not imply that he was giving a comprehensive analysis of the causes of severity. He admitted that many of Spilerman's criticisms were correct but objected to the use of analysis of variance in reanalyzing the data.

Comments:

Spilerman's criticisms are harsh but seem for the most part deserved. In fact, others could be included: for example, problems with the Index of Riot Severity. Wanderer's reply appears merely defensive in that he argues fervently against points Spilerman never made.

Stark, Rodney, "Police Riots: An Anatomical Report," Urban Life & Culture, 1, 1, April 1972, 7-38.

Approach: Descriptive

Methodology: Observational; Case Study

This article describes police riots (i.e., collective disturbances in which the police are the principal rioters) and their stages of escalation. Through a case study of such a riot in Berkeley, California, in June 1968 the author explores the unique characteristics of these disorders and the reasons why they occur.

A police riot occurs "when roving bands of policemen set upon nonprovocative persons or property in an excessively violent manner...involving more than one attack in a single location." One necessary condition is a certain intent to employ force in violation of reasonable standards governing its lawful use. Two major indicators of police attacks or riots are these: 1) Police assault and abandon persons without arresting them in situations where arrest is possible. 2) Police destroy or damage property without filing a report attributing this action to the necessities of duty.

Police riots go through six stages. In the first, convergence, a relatively large number of civilians and policemen are present at the same time in a fairly restricted area. In stage two, confrontation, police and civilians exhibit a conflict of interest, mutual hostility, or different ideas about appropriate behavior. In the third stage, dispersal, police rely on their own discretion in attempting to close the incident by dispersing the civilians. The fourth stage, utilization of force, occurs when the crowds either refuse to disperse or cannot do so. At this stage the use of force by the police tends rapidly to become excessive, particularly if the crowd offers any significant resistance or is made up of persons disliked by the police. At the fifth, or limited, stage police cease their attacks when the crowd has dispersed completely or very shortly afterward. The final stage, the extended police riot, is reached if police attacks continue well beyond the crowd's dispersal. This stage is more likely if the confrontation develops in the citizens' neighborhood, or where they habitually congregate and where dispersal is therefore not complete.

In this study of a police riot in Berkeley in June 1968, data were collected by a crisis research team consisting of the author and six other observers who were on the streets during the crisis and who interviewed more than five hundred persons variously associated with it. They were also able to examine affidavits, filed with the ACLU, and other accounts given by victims and witnesses.

The riot began with a peaceful rally sponsored by the Young Socialist Alliance in support of the French students' strike. The gathering was declared an illegal assembly by the Berkeley police chief and the police attempted to disperse the crowd but left no routes open. Police then resorted to tear gas and apparently began at random to club people who had not been involved. Authorities sent massive police forces into the area, including all off-duty officers, and notified the county sheriff's department, the California highway patrol, the Oakland police department, and the National Guard. By the

next night the crowd was larger and included more young people, but it had begun to diminish when, without warning, the police struck violently and in great numbers. They blocked all exits and beat many citizens. The rumor that militants had attacked highway patrolman occasioned the most furious outburst of violence by police during the entire crisis, which altogether lasted four days.

The typical victims were white males over 25 years old and "straight" in appearance. Many persons refused to be interviewed, however, and hence the data may be systematically biased to suggest a lower estimate than the actual number of police beatings.

In trying to explain these actions in a city with a model police department, the author cites the growing hostility toward youth in Berkeley as well as the belief by police that the rally was organized by anarchists who planned to flout law and order. Apparently FBI agents had been warning the Berkeley police about the impending arrival of radicals into the state. From that point police preparations and behavior followed a self-fulfilling course.

Comment:

In this sketch of a "police riot," Stark tries to show that collective disorders where police use excessive and unrestrained violence have distinct features which he describes by citing the typical stages and interactions. All collective disorders can become police riots in this sense, however, and the important thing is to differentiate between the conditions that make violence inevitable and those which might defuse it. This is not done in this article. The description of the Berkeley riot pays very little attention to actions by regulatory agencies in the community, the news media, the university, or other organizations which could conceivably have restrained the police. Moreover the actions of the demonstrators were not detailed sufficiently to show what provocations the police may have experienced. Stark argues that the hostile actions of the citizens, whatever they may have been, are beside the point because "regardless of how many people steal, it is the job of the police to catch them, not to become thieves themselves." In order to understand actions by the police, however, one must also consider the actions of the citizens.

Stallings, Robert A., "On Theory in Collective Behavior and Empirical Patterns in a Riot Process," American Sociological Review, 41, 4, 1976, 749-51.

Approach: Theoretical
Methodology: Critical Review

Although Stallings commends Stark, Raine, Burbeck, and Davison (1974) for unraveling the complex spatial/temporal differences in the frequency and intensity of riots, he argues that their data do not actually test propositions about crowd behavior. First, they imply that assertions about the processes of riot behavior apply equally to the behavior of crowds, whereas the two demand separate lines of inquiry. The authors should therefore have referred only to instances of "riot activity" rather than to "crowd action." Further, in testing and rejecting the proposition that riot participants are likeminded, they used inadequate data because they located only the incidents, not the actors or their motives. Stallings also criticizes the failure to define a crowd, which is equated with riot, and to disclose that their "crowd actions" were in fact instances of group activity. Finally, he says that Stark et al. do not really describe the detailed circumstances of crowd actions, such as the scope, structural complexity, or dynamics of the episodes but rather focus on the results of the action, i.e., looting or arson.

Comments:

Stallings makes a clear and accurate assessment of the weaknesses in the article and its attempt to relate limited empirical findings to a general theory of collective behavior. Yet he also recognizes its merit, particularly in clarifying some controversial issues in the analysis of riot processes.

Stark, Margaret J. Abudu, Walter, J. Raine, Stephen L. Burbeck, and Keith K. Davison, "Some Empirical Patterns in a Riot Process," American Sociological Review, 39, 6, 1974, 865-76; see also, Stallings, Robert A., "On Theory in Collective Behavior and Empirical Patterns in a Riot Process," American Sociological Review, 41, 4, 1976, 749-51.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data; Case Study

In this article the riot process itself, rather than participants or riot-prone communities is examined. More than 1850 instances of action recorded in the Watts riot of 1965 were used to focus on spatial and temporal patterns of "crowd actions," a term used to cover assemblies, fires, false alarms, looting, and the throwing of rocks and other objects. The authors reconstructed the date, time, and exact location of such incidents from records of the fire, police and sheriff's departments, the McCone Commission Report, newspaper reports, and unedited films of the riot. After details of each event were coded, data were examined by computer and by hand to eliminate any duplication of incidents.

The authors found that census tracts where one type of crowd action was frequent did not necessarily show a high frequency of other types; and a great deal of variation among the tracts was noted for each action. All five types of crowd action were recorded in the entire curfew area. Relatively few tracts had no "crowd actions"; most had a wide range. "Clearly the riot was not localized; nor did it encompass only homogeneous tracts." In fact it appeared to develop in an almost random spatial pattern.

Most of the rioting occurred at night: 62 percent between 8:00 p.m. and 4:00 a.m. The rate of "crowd actions" increased during the first half of the riot period and declined during the second. When arson and looting were examined separately, these two types of action showed very different time-space spreads: they occurred at the same time and place far less often than one might expect.

In examining the instances where "crowd actions" spread to a new geographical area the authors found that only 21 percent spread to a contiguous tract; most of the activity spread to a tract widely separated from the point of origin. Tracts where rioting broke out on the first day tended to adjoin other tracts having riots. This was not true later on, however. Tracts which had riots on the first day tended to become quiet after the fourth day. In those whose rioting began on the second day, the intensity of the action was generally low but likely to grow until the fifth day when it again became slight. In those areas where the riot began on the third day, a much higher intensity was evidenced but activity decreased the next day. When the rioting did not start until the fourth and fifth day, the intensity remained low and the rioting usually lasted only one day.

Stark, et al. suggest that a widespread riot may contain several smaller ones occurring at the same time and that coherent patterns may be discernible within more limited temporal and spatial frames.

They argue for an approach which addresses rioting as a "complex, diverse, dynamic and interactive process."

Comments: This article adds significantly to the literature on riots in the 1960s because it focuses on what actually happens during a riot. Most studies of the subject examine riots as the outcome of circumstances affecting individuals or the characteristics of communities but fail to see them as processes with inner dynamics of their own. This study alerts the reader to the fact that riots are not monolithic phenomena, and shows the value of classifying the different actions they encompass. Yet the authors themselves recognize certain problems in the methodology (e.g., the limitations of documenting riot behavior on the basis of official records).

Because the findings are not related to a theoretical perspective, this is an exploratory effort. Several of the conclusions are very shaky. For example, after finding that looting and arson had different temporal and spatial profiles, the authors concluded that these acts were committed by different groups with different motives. They cite no evidence that this was so except that the events occurred at different times and places. Similarly the authors consider the activities they studied to be "crowd actions," but there is no certainty from their coding that these events were indeed group activities; in fact many, including arson and looting, may have been the acts of individuals. Robert Stallings' comments on this article, detail many of these criticisms.

Tomlinson, T.M., "The Development of a Riot Ideology Among Urban Negroes," The American Behavioral Scientist, March/April 1968, 27-31.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Following the Watts riot of 1965, a random probability sample of 585 Negroes residing in the curfew area were interviewed. From the data thus obtained the author argues that riots today resemble a popular movement and that this development has implications for society as a whole. In particular, he says, "it is the thesis of this paper that urban riots in the North will continue until the well of available cities runs dry. They will continue because the mood of many Negroes in the urban North demands them, because there is a quasi-political ideology which justifies them, and because there is no presently effective deterrent or antidote."

The legitimacy of the riots in the eyes of the Negro community is attested, he says, by the fact that 62 percent of the respondents saw it as a Negro protest, 58 percent expected it to produce favorable results, at least 34 percent sympathized with the supporters, and 15 percent claimed to have participated. Those who sympathized with the riots (about 30 percent of the sample) were more likely to be young males with urban backgrounds who were long-term residents, better educated, more religious and had a more positive self-image than those who decried the violence. Their militancy stemmed from disenchantment with whites, anger towards the police and the news media, and a feeling that change should come from the whites. Compared to the bulk of the Negroes surveyed, they showed a greater hope for better race relations, but were more approving of violent measures. In this author's opinion riots are not produced by differences in the economic or political structures of cities but are rather traceable to "the shared agreement by most Negro Americans that their lot in life is unacceptable, coupled with the view by a significant minority that riots are a legitimate and productive mode of protest."

Tomlinson dismisses many current approaches to riot control such as costly but nonrepressive programs of amelioration, commission reports, self-restraint by the Negro community, counterviolence by social control agencies, because they are either ineffective or unlikely to be implemented. After the riots have run their course and if nothing is done to relieve the underlying conditions, he believes, politically motivated black organizations may very well actively foment civil disturbance tending toward revolution. He prescribes: 1) the infusion of massive amounts of money and industrial resources into the ghetto; 2) union of street militants and middle-class Negroes to carry out economic and political projects; and 3) demonstrations of faith in Negro equality by white society and open access to housing, trade unions, courts, and other institutions.

Comments:

This article, another version of the "new urban black man" theory of riot participation, relates it to a general view of American race relations. The author offers little systematic evidence to support many of the conclusions, particularly those regarding deterrents and antidotes. Moreover, his assertions that the ideology leading to riots represents a "popular movement" are questionable: his own data suggest that at least half the respondents did not express a sympathetic understanding of the riots, and at least three-fourths were troubled by the destruction.

Tomlinson, T.M., "Ideological Foundations for Negro Action: A Comparative Analysis of Militant and Non-militant Views of the Los Angeles Riot," Journal of Social Issues, 26, 1, 1970, 93-119.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Tomlinson compares three groups of blacks with respect to their demographic characteristics, the intensity of their grievances, their attitudes toward the news media, their relations with whites, political attitudes, and their evaluation of and participation in the Los Angeles (Watts) riot of 1965. This article is largely an extension of his earlier study, "The Development of a Riot Ideology Among Negroes" (1968). The data were obtained from a random probability sample of 586 black respondents from the "curfew area" who were interviewed in late 1965.

In response to the question, "How well do you think the Black Muslims are doing?" those expressing a positive evaluation were labeled "militants" (30 percent of the sample), and those with negative views "conservatives" (35 percent); the neutral or undecided were considered "uncommitted" (35 percent).

In comparison to the other two groups, "militants" were more often male youths, brought up in an urban setting, somewhat better educated, long-term residents, equally or more attached to some religion and possessed of a more positive self-image. Slightly more of them claimed lower-class status, though they were as likely as the nonmilitants to have jobs. They were the most deeply aggrieved and claimed to have more experience of police brutality. They also tended to be more politically sophisticated and more of them thought the communications media portray Negro problems unfairly. Although they were not markedly antiwhite, they were considerably more disenchanted with whites than nonmilitants were. They were the most active politically, and they supported any method necessary to advance the cause of blacks, including violence. They more often considered the riot to be a revolutionary act and tended to view it more favorably than the other two groups; they also reported higher rates of participation. They were more hopeful of positive changes in race relations as an aftermath of the riots, but placed the responsibility for these changes clearly on whites.

Although differences between these three groups of blacks were stressed, the author also documented the fact that most of those in the sample were angry, aggrieved and disaffected. At least 70 percent of all respondents believed that discrimination existed in housing, jobs, and schools, and in the practices of landlords; a majority did not trust the police. Respondents as a whole thought the white daily newspapers treated black concerns less fairly than was true of black newspapers or the television and radio stations. Moreover over half the sample had no social contact with whites, and within this large segment of the black population are "imbedded a group of sophisticated, activist young people who have provided the riot with political interpretations of purpose."

According to Tomlinson the riot occurred because the community was

moved by a collective and contagious idea that violent measures to effect social change were justified. The Watts riot simply lowered the kindling point for later urban disorders, so that conditions of Negro life that had always existed were at last felt to be intolerable. Riots are thus produced by shared beliefs that these conditions are unacceptable, especially when a significant minority feels that riots are a legitimate and productive mode of protest. Because these conditions are fairly uniform from city to city, riots are not related to gross political and economic differences between cities. Thus, "little could have been done to stem the tide of urban disorders until they ran their course. There were no immediate responses within the repertoire of any agency of person which were (and are) sufficient to expunge the outrage that gave and continues to give birth to collective black rebellion."

Comments:

The author gives two reasons for using attitudes toward the Black Muslims as an indicator of militancy; at the time of the riot in Los Angeles the Black Muslims were the only exponents of black radicalism in the eyes of most black and white citizens; and this was the only such organization receiving national attention from the news media. Whether or not these assertions are true, there may have been better ways to conceptualize and measure black radicalism without risking the tautology inherent in this article.

Wanderer, Jules J., "1967 Riots: A Test of the Congruity of Events,"
Social Problems, 16, 2, 1968, 191-98.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

In an attempt to refute the traditional view of crowd behavior as "bizarre, pathological, sociologically primitive and without order," the reports of 75 civil-criminal disorders which took place in the summer of 1967 were analyzed using Guttman scaling. These disorders, which were reported by mayors' offices in 67 different cities to a Senate sub-committee investigating the riots between 1965 and 1967, were coded according to whether or not they contained the following ordered factors: vandalism, interference with firemen, looting, sniping, calling of state police, calling of national guard, and the killing of law enforcement officers or civilians.

These variables fit a Guttman scale, based on the severity of the disorder, with a coefficient of reproducibility of 92 percent. Since one of the properties of a Guttman scale is cumulativeness, this indicates that in general, cities which experienced the most severe factors in their disorders (e.g., the killing of a law enforcement officer or civilian) also reported the presence of all of the less severe factors.

Wanderer concludes that 1) the factors clearly constitute a continuum of the severity of the disorder and cities can be classified according to the seriousness of their riots, based on how many of the factors were present; 2) the factors may be seen as supporting a developmental model of disorders where vandalism precedes interference with firemen, then looting occurs, and so on; and 3) riots are not incongruous for the "events characterizing the riots reported here conform to a relatively rigorous criterion of congruity, i.e., they scale."

Comments:

Although the attempt to show that riots and civil disorders are patterned is interesting, there are substantial problems with this analysis and the interpretations of the results. The inclusion of law enforcement procedures (i.e., the calling of state police and the national guard) with dimensions of violence (e.g., vandalism, looting, etc.) serves to weaken the scale and confuse the typology. Quite clearly factors independent of the severity of the disorder could affect whether or not the state police and national guard were called, including the size of the city and its resources and the political climate. A number of variables that might also have been related to the severity of the disorder (and which were collected as data) such as whether or not arson occurred, whether or not law enforcement officers or civilians were injured, and the estimated financial losses were not included in the scale and no reason for their absence was given. Moreover, there was no independent verification of the data obtained from mayors' offices and no information was provided regarding whether the cities that provided data were representative of all of the cities that experienced riots that summer.

The interpretations are also suspect. Wanderer suggests that because the Guttman scale could be viewed as a developmental model, "the problem-oriented individual might surmise that timely intervention could stop the development of a riot, e.g., the way to prevent killing is not to call the national guard." Yet his own data suggest the opposite. In eight of the riots national guard were called and there was killing but in 13 riots national guard were called and there was no killing.

Unfortunately no definition of riot or criminal civil disorder is provided so we cannot evaluate the adequacy of a sample in which nearly a quarter of the cities had "riots" which included only vandalism or none of the scale items.

Wanderer, Jules J., "An Index of Riot Severity and Some Correlates," American Journal of Sociology, 74, 1, 1969, 400-05; see also, Spilerman, Seymour and Jules J. Wanderer, "Comments on Wanderer's Article on Riot Severity and Its Correlates," and "The Author Replies," American Journal of Sociology, 75, 4, 1970, 556-61.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

Abstract:

This article focuses on the severity of riots in different cities. Assuming that some variables have more influence on the severity of a riot, once it has begun, and less to do with causing the riot, the author sets up a Guttman scale of riot severity and relates it to community characteristics.

Riot data for 75 urban riots and civil-criminal disorders (undefined) during the summer of 1967 were obtained from mayors' offices and reports to a U.S. Senate subcommittee. The Riot Severity Index thus constructed included the following actions by rioters and authorities, ordered by severity: killing, calling of the national guard, calling of the state police, sniping, looting, interference with firemen, and vandalism.

Lieberson and Silverman's 1965 study guided the selection of 21 community characteristics that the author correlated with riot severity. The increase of nonwhites as a percentage of the total population between 1950 and 1960 was significantly related to riot severity, but racial composition (measured by the average percentage of blacks in each scale type) was not. Of eight variables concerning housing -- the age, condition, and value of the structure, the amount of crowding, the rent level and the percentage of nonwhite homeowners -- only one was significantly correlated with riot severity. The smaller the proportion of nonwhites living in housing less than 10 years, the greater the severity of the riot.

Population density was not significantly related to severity, nor were two measures of a "criminal tradition," based on aggravated assault and larceny rates. Examination of eight items measuring police preparation -- inservice training, riot training, existence of and riot training for an auxiliary police force, mutual aid arrangements with neighboring law enforcement agencies, special riot units and plans, and the use of dogs to control crowds -- reveals that only the presence of a special riot plan was positively correlated with riot severity. This particular finding can be interpreted in at least two ways: either the decision to draw up a riot plan was based on an accurate prediction of the severity of the coming riot, or police expectations of a severe riot were self-fulfilling. Similarly, the lack of correlation among the other measures of police preparation has ambiguous implications. Police were either overprepared for the actual severity, or they were adequately prepared and were therefore able to minimize what was potentially a severe riot.

As Wanderer concludes, although Lieberman and Silverman found that certain variables were not correlated with the presence or absence of a riot, they do influence the severity of a riot. The fitting of a Guttman scale indicates a pattern in the severity of riots and civil-criminal disorders that helps predict the riot's severity following a given sequence of events.

Comments:

Among the problems with the author's index of riot severity is the fact that calling the national guard and state police is not necessarily an indication of riot severity. Immediately after the assassination of Martin Luther King in 1967 a number of cities called in the national guard in anticipation of a severe riot. Furthermore, large cities are much less likely than small cities to seek aid from the state police because they have large police forces of their own.

Second, the size of crowds, the extent of looting and vandalism, the number of casualties, and the number of police at the scene as well as the time they remained are not included in the unidimensional index.

A test of validity for Wanderer's index calls into question the rationale of the entire study. Did the five cities with more than one riot register equal severity each time? It seems unreasonable to expect that they would, but if a significant relation between riot severity and community characteristics is assumed, we should expect the riots in a given city to be close to each other in severity.

Citywide aggregate data as a basis for measuring community characteristics are too general for large cities, where the riot involves only a small proportion of all the black neighborhoods in the city. The use of such data may hide significant relationships or may indicate relationships that in fact have no bearing on the severity of the riot.

Warheit, George and Jerry Waxman, "Operational and Organizational Adaptations of Fire Departments to Civil Disturbances," American Behavioral Scientist, 16, 3, January 1973, 343-55.

Approach: Descriptive

One feature of the urban riots of the 1960s was the massive and widespread arson, necessitating the total involvement of city fire departments. This paper examines operational and organizational adaptations by fire departments of six cities, including Los Angeles, in the face of major disturbances.

These departments changed their operations as follows: 1) a task force concept was developed to protect men and equipment from hostile action by rioters; 2) box alarms were disregarded because of the large number of false alarms, and primary reliance shifted to telephone reports and direct inspection by police; 3) a priority for responses was established -- hazards to life were at the top and trash and automobile fires at the bottom; 4) efforts to suppress fires were limited to the minimum time needed to put out the blaze; 5) mutual aid pacts were established, freeing firemen to work in the disturbed area, with neighboring departments covering more distant localities; 6) decision-making was decentralized, giving commanders at the scene more control; and 7) the organization's focus was shifted from fire prevention to fire suppression.

Four functional divisions -- administrative, fire prevention, fire suppression, and communications -- became the basis of analyzing organizational adaptations in the six departments. Three had experienced "fluctuating stress"; that is, the disturbances would peak at night and abate during the day. The other three reported "peak stress"; that is, the disturbances started at night but continued to build the next day until activity reached a peak, after which it began to subside.

The administrative division found that stress was greatest at the beginning of the disturbance, when the primary decisions had to be made about whether the incident should be treated as a civil disturbance and how this should be done. Once these decisions were made, however, field officers in charge of task forces took over the major operational decisions, and the administrative section acted largely in a coordinating role. Regardless of the nature of the stress, the administrative section easily handled the demands upon it.

Since fire suppression was for a time the main goal, the resources and manpower of the fire prevention sections were dispersed to other sections. Structurally the sections ceased to exist, and their functions were abandoned for the duration. The fate of these sections varied with the situation. In cities with fluctuating stress, the respite during the day allowed time for problems to be handled. In contrast, a pattern of peak stress, which allowed no time for a section to organize and adapt to the situation, overwhelmed the fire suppression section and required massive intervention of social control agencies, especially

the Army and National Guard. Under both types of stress, the sections underwent organizational changes. Task forces and decision-making were established in the field, but the functions of the sections remained the same.

The communication sections retained their basic structure under both types of stress and operated well under established procedures for handling civil disturbances. Establishing links with the police was the major difficulty faced by communications sections.

Four types of internal adaptation to the stress situation were identified: 1) No changes were made in either the structure or function of the unit; this was exemplified by such peripheral sections of the fire department as budget or finance. 2) The unit's function remained unchanged but the structure was modified to make them more decentralized task forces. Fire suppression units seemed to fit this type of adaptation. 3) The structure remained the same but the functions of the unit changed. This was true of the communications and administration sections. Communications sections helped units to respond to calls and served as an interorganizational link with the police department. Administrative units coordinated efforts to suppress fires rather than initiating organizational decisions. 4) Both the structure and the function of the fire prevention units changed, these units disappeared as a distinct section during the crisis and personnel were transferred to other sections.

Comments:

This article is useful in portraying the various ways that collective disorders affect the internal structures of fire departments in several cities, and in showing how the dynamics of the disorders determine the stress that social control agencies undergo. Unfortunately, we are given no specific information about any of the characteristics of these departments, the names of the cities, or the effectiveness of their efforts. It is thus difficult to evaluate the conclusions. The article implies that all six fire departments reacted in the same ways to these events and that the differences in their success were due solely to the dynamics of the riots.

Warren, Donald I., "Neighborhood Structure and Riot Behavior in Detroit: Some Exploratory Findings," Social Problems, 16, 4, 1969, 464-84.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Survey Research; Analysis of Existing Data

In trying to discover the relationships between types of neighborhood and several types of riot behavior in Detroit in 1967 the author relies on a typology of neighborhoods according to their orientation toward a local or a larger community and the extent to which the neighborhood is viewed as a positive reference group. The neighborhood types are then related to three types of involvement in riots: rioting, counterrioting behavior, and neutral or withdrawal behavior.

Six types of neighborhoods, differentiated by their degree of informal and formal local organization, their orientation to the larger community, and how much the neighborhood is viewed as a positive reference group, are characterized:

1. Integrated--the neighborhood is high in formal and informal organization, strongly oriented toward the larger community, and is a positive reference group.
2. Parochial--the neighborhood is high in formal and informal local organization, but weakly oriented toward the larger community; it is a positive reference group.
3. Diffuse--although the neighborhood is a positive reference group, it has little informal or formal local organization and only a weak orientation to the larger community.
4. Stepping-stone--the neighborhood is not a positive reference group, but there is a strong informal or formal organization and a strong orientation to the larger community.
5. Transitory--the neighborhood is not a positive reference group; there is little informal or formal local organization but a strong orientation to the larger community.
6. Anomic--the neighborhood is not a positive reference group; it has little formal or informal local organization and only weak ties to the larger community.

Suggesting that the role of the local neighborhood is more significant in a black ghetto than in white communities, Warren further believes that parochial, stepping-stone, and transitory neighborhoods will be more prevalent in ghettos. A major hypothesis is that rioting would be more likely to occur in the diffuse, transitory, or anomic neighborhoods than in the others and that this would also be true of withdrawal from action. Counter-riot efforts were expected to take place in integrated, parochial or stepping stone neighborhoods.

The chief portion of this analysis is based on a study conducted in the two main zones of a riot a month after the event. A block sample yielded personal interviews with 417 black respondents. In addition, preriort data were generated from two surveys: a 1963 study surveyed 593 Negro mothers

in eight school districts, and a 1964 study, 260 respondents in the same eight school districts. Only six of the 19 neighborhoods in the postriot survey were also included in the preriot studies.

Three indices of involvement in riots were constructed from self-reports by respondents. Participation included five specific activities: entering broken stores, taking home goods, breaking windows, making fire bombs, and throwing them. Counterriot activity included six kinds of action; such as trying to stop the riot, and helping to put out fires. Withdrawal signified leaving the neighborhood or staying at home and not going out during the disturbance. Using ecological correlations, Warren found that neighborhoods which ranked high in admissions of participation in the rioting also ranked high in counterriot activity and in withdrawal. Neighborhoods with high reported counterriot activity, however, had low rates of withdrawal.

The type of behavior was also related to the length of time respondents had lived in the neighborhood. Neighborhoods which had higher proportions of new arrivals tended to have more rioting and more "withdrawal" but less counterriot activity. Neighborhoods with higher percentages of long-term residents (within the neighborhood and from the Detroit area) were likely to have more respondents counterrioting.

Behavior during riots was also related to informal social contact in the neighborhood. Neighborhoods with higher numbers reporting counterriot behavior also had more frequent contacts between neighbors, whereas the neighborhoods characterized by withdrawal had the least amount of such contact. The preriot data also indicated that visiting among neighbors was infrequent among the residents of the four neighborhoods where the riots occurred.

Using attitudinal measures to show the reference group's orientation toward the neighborhood (including their perception of common interests with neighbors, the degree to which they would inform on former neighbors, and their preference for living in an all black neighborhood) Warren found no consistent pattern for predicting withdrawal from or attempts to counter riots, but he did find that riot participation tended to be higher in neighborhoods in which respondents had negative reference group orientation.

To measure attitudinal ties to the larger community, questions focused on respondents feelings of patriotism toward the country, their belief that blacks can solve their problems without help from whites, and the extent to which they thought that riots were caused by a failure of black leadership or inadequate social training by black parents. In the post-riot data, neighborhoods with high rates of both rioting and withdrawal were also those in which higher percentages of respondents felt the country was not worth fighting for, that blacks could solve their problems without the help of whites, and that the causes of riots were weak black leadership or the failure of parents. In contrast, neighborhoods with a higher incidence of counterriot activity were those where respondents felt strong links to the country as a whole and the need for help from whites and who more often attributed the riots to weak black leadership and a lack of social control by black parents.

Relating these patterns to his classification of neighborhoods, Warren concludes that: 1) integrated neighborhoods were characterized by counterriot behavior; 2) parochial neighborhoods were not riot areas; 3) diffuse neighborhoods typically showed withdrawal behavior; 4) stepping-stone neighborhoods were somewhat likely to be counterriot areas; 5) transitory neighborhoods were characteristically scenes of rioting; and 6) anomic neighborhoods had high rates of both withdrawal and rioting. He also found that in most cases riot and counterriot neighborhoods were more like each other than like withdrawal areas. Both riot and counterriot neighborhoods had extensive ties with the larger community, and counterriot localities also had extensive neighborhood interaction. In contrast, neighborhoods where withdrawal was common lacked informal social ties to the larger white and black communities.

Comments:

Warren's neighborhood approach to the study of urban riots is quite interesting; further research conducted in this area should prove fruitful. However, the details of his methodology are extremely unclear (e.g., construction of riot activity indices, categorization of neighborhoods according to riot participation, etc.). Therefore, it is difficult to evaluate his findings. Despite this confusion, some definite flaws in the study are apparent. For example, a number of indicators of neighborhood structure are not only inadequate, but their validity is extremely questionable.

Warren, Donald, "Mass Media and Racial Crisis: A Study of the New Bethel Church Incident in Detroit," Journal of Social Issues, 28, 1, 1972, 111-31.

Approach: Empirical/Descriptive
Methodology: Case Study

Twenty months after the 1967 Detroit riot, a racial crisis involving a shoot-out occurred between police and members of an African Separatist group meeting at Detroit's New Bethel Church. The coverage of this incident by the television and newspapers and the racial tension generated by it are detailed by this case study analyzing media reports as well as interviews with 1,130 Detroit residents.

Coverage by three newspapers, the Detroit News, the Detroit Free Press, and the Michigan Chronicle (a black weekly), and by the two television stations which the FCC permitted to air editorial comments were studied and their portrayal of the incident contrasted. The newspaper accounts emphasized the "ambush theme." In this view the shooting started when armed militants ambushed the police. They also criticized the black judge who released most of those arrested. Television coverage was also at variance with facts established by the court proceedings, although both newspapers and television stations later tried to reverse some of the earlier impressions they had fostered and to relieve some of the tension the incident aroused.

In an ongoing study based on an area probability design, special questions about the incident were inserted in interviews with 507 blacks and 623 whites immediately after the riot. Perceptions of the incident were based on three questions: 1) What do you think happened at the church? 2) What do you think happened at the court hearing? 3) How do you feel about the police going into the church in this kind of situation?

Extremely large racial differences in these perceptions were found. Over half the whites but only 15 percent of the blacks believed that the shooting started because the armed militants ambushed the police. Nearly half the whites but only 8 percent of the blacks believed that the judge released most of the arrested persons because of his personal feelings. The view that the police were right in going into the church was held by 84 percent of the whites but 57 percent of the blacks thought the police were wrong.

Respondents were also asked to rank the importance of the various sources of information about the incident. Whites, regardless of education, were much more likely to rely on newspapers than blacks were. Blacks were more likely to rely on friends, relatives, and neighbors for information than whites.

The differences in the percentage of whites and blacks subscribing to three views of the incident were the basis of an "Index of Polarization." Among respondents who relied most on friends and relatives for information, blacks varied extremely from whites in their views of what happened at the church and at court. Among those who relied most on

newspapers and television (the majority of respondents), differences in their perceptions about the behavior of the police were extreme. The relatively few respondents who relied primarily on neighbors for information evidenced the least black-white polarization on the issues.

Varying combinations of information sources were also analyzed and related to education as well as the time elapsed since the incident. Those relying on newspapers more than any other source lost the original perspective derived from the mass media. Whites to whom television was the most important source of information persisted in the original view, which differed even more from that of blacks.

In a situation of tension and conflict like that in Detroit during the late 1960s, Warren argues that the polarization between blacks and whites is not reduced by the media. He argues that "racial tension is an area where the mass media play a particularly significant role in shaping the perceptions of individuals...because of the low degree of primary-group ties existing between members of the black and white community."

Comments:

In this rather serendipitous addition to ongoing research, Warren demonstrates that blacks and whites diverged sharply in their perception of a particularly dramatic incident and that the source of information was seemingly related to these perceptions. Yet the arguments -- and the measures used to buffer them -- are often so convoluted and difficult to follow that the reader is left unsatisfied. For example, the degree of attention commanded by particular sources of information is not broken down, and whether the coverage by the Detroit News, for example, compared to that of the Michigan Chronicle had any effect on these views is left unanswered.

Warren, Donald, "Some Observations from post-Riot Detroit: The Role of the Social Researcher in Contemporary Racial Conflict," Phylon, 34, 2, June 1973, 171-86.

Approach: Prescriptive
Methodology: Observational

Two case studies of research failures in post-riot Detroit in the 1960s dramatize the problems in investigating race relations in relation to policy. Three "working hypotheses" guide this essay. The first is that tension occurring when scientific detachment and relevant research are conflicting goals hamper the testing of both accepted and unconventional explanations of society. Researchers who step outside of the institutional value system must defend their role and then selection of problems as well as consider conditions under which their research must be abandoned and "hostile" findings suppressed.

The second hypothesis is that researchers who derive their credentials solely from the academic world are increasingly distrusted in black communities, and for them access to information can be a major problem. The third hypothesis is that research can become the scapegoat for white and black groups preoccupied with direct action and political ascendancy, since many studies heighten expectations of material gains.

A number of points gleaned from these cases are particularly important toward improving the quality of future research. Warren argues that a major reason why several efforts failed was that surveys were carried out by persons without previous experience who did not seek guidance from indigenous leaders of the communities or specialists in interracial confrontation, and that interviewing did not even follow the matched-race principle. Many fail to face the assumptions about political and social value implied in the design. "Nineteen of the twenty-three proposals underscore the idea of the white community that something is wrong with blacks as individuals or with their community. In sharp contrast only six studies made such imputations about the white community." Moreover, the subjects of research are rarely considered to have any stake in the research findings and are not consulted in designing the studies. Moreover, there is an attempt to avoid controversy. According to Warren, this leads to "a kind of academic Gresham's Law. The social scientists who wish to scientifically test hypotheses are driven away. Left are the purveyors of outdated findings, appliers of easy simplifications ('the culturally deprived'), or technicians designed for existing institutional assumptions -- whether social action, social welfare-bureaucratic, or purely academic."

Comments:

Although parts of the article are difficult to follow, and the arguments are often rather convoluted, it raises a number of issues central to policy-oriented research on such controversial subjects as race relations and collective violence.

Weller, Jack M., "The Involuntary Partisans: Fire Departments and the Threat of Violence," American Behavioral Scientist, 16, 3, 1973, 368-77.

Approach: Descriptive

During the 1960s, urban fire departments in the United States, in fighting fire during civil disturbances, had to adapt to a frequently hostile milieu. This study explores the problems that six urban fire departments now anticipate during civil disturbances, the contemplated organizational changes, and the major factors shaping these changes.

Fire departments anticipated two classes of problems during civil disturbances: 1) extended and excessive demands on their equipment and manpower, and 2) danger of injury to men and damage to equipment. The solutions most often included were these: adopting emergency call-up procedures to mobilize off-duty firefighters; 2) adding some reserve and protective equipment; 3) developing mutual aid pacts with county and municipal departments; 4) writing operations plans for task force and other operations, cutting down salvage and overhaul operations, checking for false alarms, and changing communications equipment; and 5) adopting policies explicitly limiting the conditions under which they would respond in civil disturbances.

To cope with such disturbances, departments began to cooperate more frequently with each other. The result among local organizations was a generally informal "understanding" about what they could expect of each other. Agreements with the police and National Guard were the most important because they would supply the protection for secure fire operations.

Although the federal government financed an extensive network of organizations to help police departments make the changes necessitated by these new responsibilities, fire departments were not given the funds for meeting their problems and could do less to develop and change than the police could. "In every one of fourteen cities where information on both police and fire departments was available, police changed more. Doubtless there are other factors involved in this consistent difference, but as our interviews make clear, the contrast would not be so great if the interorganizational network assisting fire department changes had disbursed money as well as encouragement and advice." Because of these limitations, many of the permanent changes in fire departments derived from "on the spot" adaptations made during civil disturbance responses.

Many of the changes were attempts to heal relations with minorities that had been tarnished because the firefighters had to extinguish fires caused by civil disturbances. Although most departments did not adopt such community relations programs, they cited expense as the reason, rather than a lack of interest. They resisted several innovations extending their responsibilities for social control because they hoped to recapture their image of a nonpartisan organization serving all citizens and accepted by all.

Comments:

The way civil disturbances affected the organization and capabilities of fire departments is described in this article, but the information about the data collection, the characteristics of the sample, or even the hard data available is wholly inadequate.

Wenger, Dennis, "The Reluctant Army: The Functioning of Police Departments During Civil Disturbances," American Behavioral Scientist, 16, 3, January/February 1973, 326-42.

Approach: Descriptive

The outbreak of a civil disturbance causes transient but significant changes in the command and control arrangements of the typical police department. Much of the ineffectiveness of the police during civil disturbances might stem from their attempts to resolve "the stresses, strains, and conflicts produced by these alterations."

Wenger asserts that the power of command in a police department is officially borne by hierarchical arrangements closely resembling a "military model" of organization. In the "military model," authority and subordination are delineated by "military rank, titles, and insignia"; decision-making powers are vested in the highest echelons; "decisions are transmitted through a one-way communication channel to the operational level"; and close supervision of personnel is maintained at all times.

During normal operations, however, the resemblance between a police department's organization and the "military model" is slight. Deployment in response to citizens' requests for service, or situations where the police have statutory responsibility, is in reality decided by the dispatcher in the department's communications center. Officers in the field are accustomed by training, experience, and tradition to fairly independent action in dealing with small groups of law-abiding citizens, for example, or resistance from individual criminals, as well as with "mass behavior," as in controlling traffic and normal crowds. In this sense, "mass behavior" is defined as "isolated individual acts concentrated in time and space."

In contrast, a civil disturbance is "collective behavior" by a group which favors coherent actions that contravene legally constituted authority. Such collective behavior imposes strains on police organization because its manpower and equipment must be deployed in a military fashion. The police tradition enjoining minimum use of force in isolated contexts is set aside, and preparations are made to resort to extensive and substantial force. Field personnel are called on to shed their customary autonomy in favor of tactical disposition in platoon-like formations led by officers directly responsible to the department's top commanders. Instances of police officers breaking ranks to attack individuals taking part in a disturbance can be interpreted as spontaneous protest against the sudden loss of autonomy entailed by tactical operations. The excessive force police frequently resort to in handling collective behavior is also attributed to the extended chain of command created by the emergency: no one knows who can properly authorize the use of more than routine force, and this uncertainty leads to many misguided and unproductive acts.

According to Wenger, the effectiveness of police decisions during civil disturbances may also be impaired by a tendency to treat unlawful

collective behavior as mass behavior: police fail to note the division of labor and other organizational aspects of the disturbance and to differentiate between types of participants. To substantiate this point Wenger reports that curfews imposed by police -- and the wholesale clearing of the streets that a curfew implies -- frequently prove successful because collective behavior can thus be treated like the more familiar mass behavior.

Wenger also argues that police decision-making and operational control in civil disturbances are hindered by the sudden need to integrate police activities with those of the fire department and the National Guard. Although fire department and National Guard commanders often join police officials at emergency headquarters and field command posts, joint action is often inhibited by the persistence of autonomous chains of command, differences in terminology, organization and traditions, and incompatible telephone and radio equipment. He notes that problems of coordination are sometimes partly overcome by having a "third party," such as a state police commander, assume overall control of operations to halt unlawful collective behavior.

Comments:

Wenger assumes that a police department's organization is derived from a military tradition, and that a department adheres more closely to it in civil disturbances than in routine operations. But he presents no evidence to support his belief. The idea that a police department is a latent military organization seems neither accurate nor especially useful. The organization required in military operations is probably no more like that needed in police work than war between nations is like civil disorder.

COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY STUDENT MOVEMENTS

Adamek, Raymond J. and Jerry M. Lewis, "Social Control Violence and Radicalization: Behavioral Data," Social Problems, 22, 5, 1975, 663-74.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

This study of the sociopolitical behavior of Kent State students before and after May 4, 1970 was directed toward the effects of the violent measures used to control the student demonstrators. Two plausible hypotheses were examined: 1) the radicalization hypothesis suggests that social control measures such as those used by the National Guards at Kent State lead to greater activity by protesters; 2) the pacification hypothesis suggests that such measures would check the protest and make protesters more passive, or induce more conventional sociopolitical activity.

The subjects for this study were students on campus in 1972 (when the data were collected), who had also been at Kent State on May 4, 1970 when the fatal shootings occurred. The 208 respondents comprised 124 persons who had gone to the May 4 rally to demonstrate or observe, and 84 who did not go. The present data were collected as part of another research project in which those arrested in a 1972 sit-in were compared with students who were not arrested. Thus the respondents constitute a subsample of a larger data base obtained through two strategies of data collection: data from 31 of the subjects, those arrested in 1972, came from interviews; the information from the rest of the subjects, those not arrested, came from mailed questionnaires sent to a random sample of juniors and seniors.

Participants and nonparticipants in the May 4 rally, compared in relation to 23 variables, including race, age, academic major, religion, social class, were found to be quite similar. They did differ significantly, however, in that those who attended the rally were more likely to be males, to attend church less frequently, and to describe their own and their mothers' political views as liberal or radical.

Respondents were also asked how many times they had engaged in various protest and other sociopolitical activity before and after May 4, 1970. Students present at the May 4 rally engaged in more such activities both before and after the rally than the students who had not attended. Moreover, those present at the rally participated in protests from three to six times more after May 4 than they had before. "Participation" included attending rallies, picketing or marching, engaging in civil disobedience, and nonviolent or violent confrontations with authorities. Those present at the May 4 rally, also maintained or increased their previous amount of other sociopolitical endeavors, such as canvassing, petitioning, and working for social action or social causes. The results therefore indicated that the use of violent measures resorted to by the National Guard radicalized rather than pacified the students most directly affected by them.

Comments:

This study attempted to examine the changes in behavior -- as opposed to attitudes -- resulting from exposure to violent methods of social control. Although based on participants' own reports, which relied on their recollections after two or three years, the data consistently suggested that the effect of such exposure was to increase radicalization. The data of those studied were presented as mean frequencies and percentages, however, without the benefit of tests of significance. The authors discuss these findings in another article, "Social Control Violence and Radicalization: The Kent State Case," in Social Forces, March 1973.

Bay, Christian, "Political and Apolitical Students: Facts in Search of Theory," Journal of Social Issues, 23, 3, 1967, 76-91.

Approach: Theoretical
Methodology: Literature Review

This article tries to explain why activist students tend to be more academically able, intelligent, and intellectually oriented than more apolitical students. This fact has been acknowledged since the 1920s, but the theoretical basis for understanding the relationship is lacking. The author attempts to fill this void.

To show the academic and intellectual superiority of liberal and radical students, the author reviews data from three research categories: traditional attitude measurement studies (e.g., Newcomb and Stouffer); studies of authoritarianism, dogmatism, and other neurotic tendencies (e.g., Adorno, McClosky); and contemporary studies of student activists (e.g., Selvin and Hagstrom, Sommers, Heist, and Watts and Whittaker). In his theory of the psychological basis for radical versus conservative political attitudes, he emphasizes the functions that political attitudes and behaviors serve in meeting personality and social needs.

For example, the association of right-wing views with indices of neurosis might be a function of ego-defensive motives stemming from "unresolved childhood anxieties." Other conservative attitudes may be a function of social acceptance motives (i.e. a wish to impress reference groups and persons favorably). Since in large part the reference groups are conservative or at most mildly liberal, "more conservative views, among students or adults generally, are likely to be less rationally, less independently motivated, compared to more radical-liberal views." Bay further argues that neurotic motivation for political attitudes is probably more frequent the farther away the politically active person is from the left side of the political spectrum. In other words, the holder of liberal or radical attitudes could effectively resist the pressures of early childhood and the practices of social organizations which would have led him to more conservative attitudes. His intelligence was freed because of a secure and sheltered childhood and the freedom and autonomy granted him through educational and social processes.

Comment:

A prime example of a "cream of the crop" theory of political and social activism, this article suffers from a very selective perusal of the relevant literature, inattention to other dimensions of the problem such as the environment in which the individual is moored, and failure to address some basic inconsistencies in the cited data.

DeMartini, Joseph, "Student Activists of the 1930's: A Comparison of the Social Base of Two Student Movements," Youth and Society, 6, 4, 1975, 395-422.

Approach: Historical/Empirical
Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data/Case Study

In this case study of political protest by students at the University of Illinois, Urbana, the background and social profiles of selected student activists in the 1930s and 1940s were compared to those of the student body as a whole and those of activists of the 1960s. Using data from student newspapers and other archival material, the author identified 134 members of Socialist and Communist student organizations active on the campus between the academic years 1932-33 and 1941-42.

Four variables were used to describe student activists and compare them to the student body as a whole: urban-rural background (size of home town), social class (father's occupation), social integration (academic major and participation in extracurricular activities), and religion. Left-wing student organizations attracted only about 1 percent of the university's average enrollment between 1934 and 1941; the average membership of each radical student organization during those years was 34. The student activists were described as more urban; their fathers were more likely to be engaged in professional occupations than in skilled or unskilled jobs; these students were more likely to be Jewish and to be less integrated into the traditional student culture, with lower membership rates in fraternities and sororities than nonactivists. Their academic majors were more often in the arts and sciences than in business, agriculture, and engineering, an indication that they were less oriented toward taking traditional jobs immediately after graduation. In comparing these findings with studies of student activists in the 1960s, DeMartini finds marked similarities in the social bases of student protest in these periods, and "this suggests the possibility of developing theoretical explanations for both the rise of and participation in such movements which encompass different socioeconomic historical conditions."

Comments: This study has serious limitations in the data supplied and the implications drawn from them. Clearly the sample was neither random nor representative of all student activists in the 1930s or 1940s, and the activists who were identified may have represented a systematically biased sample of even left-wing protesters. The information on the entire student body was available for only one of the years studied, so DeMartini compared the characteristics of activists of three time periods to the student body of 1939-40. Since the tables he presented clearly indicated different characteristics of activists in the three periods, it seems inappropriate to compare them to the characteristics of the entire student body for only one year. Another problem is the lack of data on some identified activists. For nearly two-thirds of the activists, data regarding fathers' occupations

were missing, yet the study concluded that the social class of activists differed from that of the rest of the student body. The only information on religion was an estimate by the dean of men of the religious backgrounds of the members of one organization, yet DeMartini concluded that Jews were overrepresented among the activist population. Similar problems in measurement of social integration plagued this study. Assumptions underlying some of the conclusions were equally shaky. For example, because activists were more likely than nonactivists to be enrolled in the college of liberal arts and sciences and less likely to be in the colleges of business, engineering and agriculture, he concludes that activist students were "less likely to plan, through academic specialization, for an integration into adult occupational roles supportive of the dominant culture."

Dunlap, Riley, "A Comment on 'Multiversity, University Size, University Quality, and Student Protest: An Empirical Study,'" by Scott and El-Assal; Roos, Philip, "A Comment on 'Student Protest,'" Scott, Joseph W., "A Rejoinder to Dunlap and Roos," American Sociological Review, 35, 3, 1970, 525-30.

Approach: Theoretical
Methodology: Commentary/Critical Review

This article comments on the Scott and El-Assal study of the relation between multiversities and student demonstrations. Dunlap disagrees with the interpretation that attributes the disproportional amount of protest at these universities to the bureaucracy and impersonality which characterize them. Instead, Dunlap believes protests originate in a concern with the problems of racism, militarism, and poverty and in the failure of academic institutions to combat these problems, rather than dissatisfaction with the educational experience at the multiversity.

At issue is the Scott and El-Assal assertion that "the more the students are personally separated from administrators, faculty members, and other students by structural complexity and social heterogeneity, the more likely it is that the students will feel separated, neglected, manipulated, and dehumanized to the extent that they will engage in protest activities," implying that protesters are unhappy about campus conditions and hence that these conditions are responsible for campus demonstrations. Although this view is popular, in other studies cited by Dunlap, the relevant data do not support it.

Case studies of two periods of student protest provide the base from which Dunlap develops his dissent: studies of Berkeley and a nationwide survey cover the period studied by Scott and El-Assal, 1964-65; case studies of Berkeley and Columbia, along with national surveys, cover the period from 1967 to 1969. Data from the earlier period indicate that students felt little dissatisfaction with their educational experience. Peterson's national survey included all of the country's 996 four-year educational institutions, compared with Scott and El-Assal's purposive sample of 104. Although Peterson's work revealed that large public universities did experience more protest than other institutions, student protest was also found to involve off-campus social and political issues rather than campus conditions.

Another survey conducted by Peterson during the second period, however, indicated slightly more concern with instructional matters but substantially more interest in increasing students' participation in policy-making within their schools. Studies by Somers, Bell and Trimberger clarify this latter finding: they suggest that demands for student power are not made to improve the lot of the student but to force "the university to divorce itself from existing centers of power, and to contribute to the solutions of major social and human problems."

Advocates of student power were more concerned with the multiversity's ties to an oppressive establishment than with its impersonality and bureaucracy. While Dunlap does not deny that students' dissatisfaction with an impersonal, bureaucratic administration has played a part in producing protest, he maintains that this factor has been minor compared to the concern of students with such basic issues as civil rights and the Vietnam war.

Scott's rejoinder to Dunlap is that he and El-Assal did not try to account for the variety of issues involved in the demonstrations: they attempted only to account for the rate of demonstrations per school. Their research had this basis: whatever the issue of student protests, they are differently distributed over the campuses of academe, and they correlate with different degrees of administrative complexity and different degrees of social heterogeneity of the school bodies."

Scott also considers that Dunlap errs in emphasizing a clear dichotomy between social problems and campus conditions. In Scott's view, one is reflected in the other, the evidence being that protests against militarism take the form of anti-R.O.T.C. demonstrations, and protests against racism are directed against racial discrimination in student housing. Furthermore, Scott considers that "most, if not all, of the societal issues identified to date are also 'campus conditions' by virtue of the multiversity's complicity with the political, economic, and military establishments of this country."

Comments:

The source of the disagreement is the assumption by Scott and El-Assal that students are likely to feel separated and manipulated as a result of the structural complexity and social heterogeneity of their educational institutions. Their data, however do not show whether students actually felt alienated, although one can conclude from their findings that their measures of institutional complexity and heterogeneity are associated with the rate of protest demonstrations by students. In fact, neither the Scott and El-Assal data nor studies reviewed by Dunlap provide insight into why demonstrations are more likely at large and complex universities, and more likely to be frequent.

Dynes, Russell, E.L. Quarantelli, and James L. Ross, "Police Perspectives and Behavior in a Campus Disturbance," Journal of Police Science and Administration, 2, 3, September 1974, 344-51.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Case Study

This article describes a study done of police attitudes and behavior during a particularly violent campus disturbance in Columbus, Ohio (1970) in which large numbers of people were arrested and a substantial amount of injuries incurred. Questionnaires were submitted to all sworn police officers and about 50% responded. The theoretical approach taken was that attitudes and actions of police are in part determined by their occupational position and from organizational definitions of the police function rather than their personal characteristics. The picture that emerged was one of a police force that viewed itself as in danger from a hostile crowd--which justified the strong actions taken to suppress crowd behavior. The authors found that most officers viewed their job as "law enforcement" not "peace keeping." Since they found almost no differences in the attitudes of police, regardless of age, rank, education, and assignment, they concluded that the nature of the occupation was of overriding concern in establishing attitudes and actions.

However, police who had more experience with earlier disturbances tended to have higher arrest records in this incident; similarly, experience in previous riots also tended to provide higher estimates of the number of students involved in the university disturbance. Previous experience was also more likely to lead to heightened criticism of police planning for the disturbance and to recommend more forceful techniques of control in the future. In addition, those who reported injuries to themselves and to other officers during the campus disorders were also more likely to estimate larger numbers of crowd participants.

Although the study did not measure perceptions of other participants in the demonstrations, content analyses of newspapers were done in an attempt to compare police reports with media coverage of the incidents. Great discrepancies were found between newspaper and police reports--except in terms of what they saw as the "causes" of the incidents. Mass media accounts reported police actions (particularly those which involved the use of force or weapons) which the police did not report, saw crowds as less hostile than police viewed them, did not report subjective reactions of officers, and did reporting in such a manner as to make judgments of bias difficult to consider. The police officers said they were more afraid, reported more personal attacks, and saw more fellow officers injured than was reported in the news.

Comments:

The authors themselves cite three limitations to this study. First, the data were taken from one particular event which was atypical because of its large size. Thus the generalizability of findings is in question. Secondly, there were large gaps in data since only police were interviewed. Also, the police responded quite differently to a second phase of the

disturbance (with more provocation) so the reasons for the strong show of force in the one incident is not clear. Finally, it is not clear whether the actions taken were based on attitudes of police about their professional role, or on centralized organizational decisions.

Friedman, Samuel R., "Perspectives on the American Student Movement,"
Social Problems, 20, 3 Winter, 1973, 283-299.

Approach: Theoretical
 Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

Sources of student discontent are the focus of this paper. The review of research and discussion address two factors related to student movements: the conditions of the initial organization of the movement, and the matter of why students are available for radical political activity. These factors are examined in order to understand some of the basic causes of student unrest and activism among white college and university students.

It is argued that a major basis for student discontent is the alienation (in the Marxist sense) of intellectual labor. Traditional arguments regarding the growth of the multiversity and the "liberated generation" are subsumed in this approach; that is, arguments that point to general political and social issues as the main causes of student unrest are held to be inadequate since they fail to answer the question of why students are so much more active than other constituencies.

Regarding the factor of the conditions of the initial organization of the student movement, the implications for analysis are set forth in the terms of Dahrendorf's model of the preconditions for organization, namely: 1) collection of initial leaders (civil rights and peace movement leaders); 2) enough political freedom so potential members can get together (they must come from liberal schools and be immune from punishment; 3) communication among potential membership base (student newspaper, intercampus visiting, intercampus transferring of students); 4) structurally ordered selection into social groups that comprise a potential group (self selection creates the sense of commonality and consciousness).

With respect to the factor of why students are available for radical political activity, the implications for analysis are: 1) for those who don't drop out, it (radical student activity) produces a willingness to take part in activist movements, especially those which symbolize the powerlessness of students; 2) traditional sanctions against radical political behavior have lost impact because alienated students are less willing to put up with unpleasant conditions or arbitrary authority to which they are increasingly exposed because of the multiversities; 3) the grading system and impersonal nature of education carry a message about the nature of intellectual jobs after graduation.

The author notes that while the argument that intellectual labor has become increasingly alienated has been documented, awareness of this by undergraduate students has not been discussed in relation to faculty activism, or lack thereof -- given the understanding that "the arrived" would presumably be more aware of not having control over the nature and uses of their work than would "the aspirants."

Comments:

Whereas the argument that intellectual labor has become increasingly alienated is applied to undergraduate students, it was not applied to faculty who presumably would have an even greater basis for discontent -- for the "arrived" would presumably be more aware of not having control over the nature and uses of their work than would the "aspirants." If the argument is really correct, we would expect to find that faculty members would be at the forefront of the movement, particularly those without tenure and in situations of little power.

Gamson, Zelda F., Jeffrey Goodman, and Gerald Gurin, "Radicals, Moderates and Bystanders During a University Protest," Paper read at the meeting of the American Sociological Association, San Francisco, August 1967.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Few if any systematic attempts have been made to understand why some people join in protest movements while others do not -- even when their attitudes are similar. These authors studied a sample of students with like attitudes on an issue and a strong interest in it. Their object was to see what conditions produce activists and what prevents some people from social action.

The research, done at the University of Michigan in January 1967, followed a minor student rebellion in the fall of 1966. The "movement" focused on student rights within the university. Under attack were the administration's agreement to give the House Committee on Un-American Activities membership lists of several radical student groups, its policy of submitting class ranks to Selective Service boards, its refusal to accept the results of a referendum in which nearly 10,000 students voted against this policy, and the ban on student sit-ins in university buildings.

As part of an ongoing longitudinal study (the Michigan Student Study) a subsample of 151 strongly anti-administration seniors interested in student power were studied. They were classified according to three degrees of activity: "bystanders" did nothing to support the protest except possibly to vote in the referendum; "moderates" engaged in minor activities such as attending a teach-in or campus rally; "activists" engaged in direct action like the sit-in in the Administration Building. For each group, the stability of their interest was considered and they were further classified according to this criterion into six groups: bystanders with increased interest (25), bystanders with a stable interest (16), moderates with increased interest (40), moderates with a stable interest (17), activists with increased interest (26), and activists with stable interest (27).

The largest number in each of these groups, except bystanders and activists with increased interest, were male. Stable activists were much more likely to come from large metropolitan areas (85 percent) than stable bystanders or moderates. Compared to other groups, stable moderates were much more likely to come from Protestant and Republican backgrounds and to differ with their parents on issues of race and religion. Activists were more likely to have parents who were Jewish and Democratic. The authors argue that conflict between students and their parents "is a consequence rather than a cause of the students' activities and beliefs." They feel that the pressure is particularly intense for the moderates because these students had broken away from their backgrounds to a greater extent and thus showed more restraint in acting on their beliefs.

Bystanders were most likely to be majoring in natural science or mathematics, moderates were more often humanities majors, and activists tended to be in the social sciences. Activists excelled in measurements of intellectualism and academic achievement. Stable bystanders emerged as rather isolated individuals who did not join groups or discuss issues of national and international importance.

The semantic differential was used to assess personality characteristics of these groups. Stable bystanders were described as the prototype of the inner-directed person, concerned with issues on an intellectual level but detached and not participating. They seemed "beset by several obstacles which inhibit their acting on their beliefs," including background, social support at the university, and their personalities." Activists with an increased interest seemed, among all the groups, the most unsure and dependent, with more personal crises and problems. They also seemed involved in more temporary activity and subject to other influences. Stable activists were much more comfortable and self-confident and less inclined to focus on their personal problems. They were "characterized by an absence of obstacles which prevent them from fully acting on their beliefs." Both groups of moderates "pursue their beliefs without openly rejecting their past...a choice which involves some personal conflict."

If the best students are found in the stable activist group, the authors suggest that the answer must be found in a structural explanation of why a social system's successes lead to protests against it.

Comments:

This is an interesting study of student activism because it seeks to understand why similar attitudes held with similar degrees of intensity are not expressed in similar actions. Rather than arguing, as others have, that conflict with parents leads to radical activity as a means of rebellion, the authors contend that the probability of such conflict makes students less ready to act on their beliefs.

Certain limitations of the study must be noted. The sample includes only seniors, and thus, as the authors note, excludes other students who may have been most active in the rebellion. Since the respondents were interviewed two months after the protests, some of the responses may be subject to either faulty memory or exaggeration. The socio-political attitudes they expressed at the later date could also have been influenced by the event itself.

Kahn, Roger M., and William J. Bowers, "The Social Context of the Rank-and-File Student Activist: A Test of Four Hypotheses," Sociology of Education, 43, 1970, 38-55.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Colleges of varying quality provided the locale for this study of the activism of students. The object was to investigate characteristics, such as socioeconomic background, academic commitment, major academic field, and intellectual orientation, that in previous studies were found associated with political activism.

The sample drawn from 97 institutions, included 946 students, mostly seniors, who responded to mailed questionnaires in a 1966 follow-up survey of a national study of academic dishonesty done in 1963.

Activists were classified as those who answered affirmatively to either one of these questions: "Have you ever participated in a social protest demonstration or march?" "Have you ever violated the law in a deliberate act of civil disobedience on behalf of a social cause?" About one-fifth (18.7 percent of the sample) had participated in one or both of these actions. The distribution by sex for activists and others was not reported.

The measure of school quality was based on the proportion of applicants accepted for admission. Four categories were used: "not very selective" (accepted 80 percent or more), "modestly selective" (accepted 50-79 percent), "highly selective" (accepted less than 50 percent), and "top ranking" (accepted less than 50 percent and included the very top schools in the sample). Those in the top category were identified by a measure of their prestige as the very best schools in the nation.

Activists compared to others in the sample had more highly educated mothers and fathers, fathers with higher occupational status, higher family incomes, and higher scores on an index of status based on the parents' education, the father's occupation and family income; they had a greater academic commitment and better performance, as indicated by longer hours of study and higher grade averages, and were more likely to major in social science and humanities than in physical science and preprofessional fields. They also placed more importance on intellectual ideas and problems, the arts, and intellectual skill and knowledge, and they scored higher on an index of intellectual orientation.

When school quality was controlled, the authors found no association between activism and socioeconomic status. A positive association between activism and academic commitment showed up only at the two most highly ranked schools, where a relation was also seen between activism and choice of the humanities and social sciences as a major. A positive association between activism and the intellectual orientation index was found in all the institutions. Controlling for school size had no effect; top-ranking schools, in particular, had higher rates of

activism than the others, regardless of size.

The authors conclude: 1) activism is more frequent among students from high status families primarily because they are concentrated in the highly ranked schools and this fosters a student activist sub-culture; 2) academic performance is associated with activism only at the better institutions largely because the better students there are stimulated to think critically and are likely to come in contact with activist faculty members; 3) activist students are more common among social science and humanities majors, particularly in the better institutions because the quality of the school makes them more likely to express their critical views in social and political action; 4) students who are more intellectual feel, regardless of the quality of the institution, that they should participate actively in social and political affairs; and 5) the top-ranking schools encourage activism among their most able and intellectual students by providing a supportive climate and a symbolic and strategic target for student activists. Because these institutions play an important role in community and national affairs, they are especially vulnerable to the judgments of social critics.

Comments:

This study is useful in directing attention to the mediating role of institutions in the relation between student characteristics and activism; but the findings should be considered only suggestive. Statistical tests of the data showed that activism was not significantly related to the father's education or occupation, to academic commitment (either hours spent in study or total grade average) or to the importance students attributed to intellectual skills and knowledgeability in general. Nor was it related to the socioeconomic status of students at the highly selective schools.

It is difficult to devise a measure of school quality that appeals to everyone. But there seems little justification for using only an index of selectivity of applicants and reputation and then inferring that schools ranking highest have "the most activist faculty members," "Provide a broad and general education," and are "associated most closely with the most powerful institutions in the society." Because virtually nothing is said about other characteristics of these institutions -- auspices, geographical region, student/faculty ratios, average tuition, or total size (not just the number of undergraduates) -- it is unsafe to assert that school quality is the most important determinant.

The measurement of activism is also inadequate, since it fails to indicate whether the participation in protests reported by students occurred at the university or before, and the study does not differentiate between types of activism, issues, or the frequency of protests. It is also unfortunate that the variables were not related to race and sex.

Keniston, Kenneth and Michael Lerner, "Campus Characteristics and Campus Unrest," The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 395, May 1971, 39-53.

Approach: Literature Review

The authors evaluated five widespread beliefs about student activism and campus unrest, using published research on student and campus protests: 1) campus protests are typically violent; 2) campus discipline is too permissive (or repressive); 3) higher education indoctrinates its students; 4) American campuses have been politicized; and 5) student discontent with higher education causes unrest."

The authors found no support for the alleged frequency of violent protests: violent campus protests were extremely rare in the period 1968-70, involving only 7 to 9 percent of college campuses, but there has been a sharp increase recently in non-violent campus protests focused on social, political, and off-campus issues. Studies of campus discipline show that universities frequently disciplined protesters, but that police were usually not involved in systematic repression of students. There is little evidence to support higher education's indoctrination of students: faculty leadership of violent protests was rare and faculty members only supported the protests which were non-violent. Most faculty members believed, however, that "campus disruptions by militant students are a threat to academic freedom," and "students who disrupt the functioning of the college should be expelled or suspended." Allegations that universities "indoctrinate students with a military-industrial, racist-imperialist mentality" are undermined by research showing that students have become more open-minded, less dogmatic in college and are hence unlikely to be indoctrinated. The finding of faculty commitment to academic freedom and institutional neutrality and the very small dependence by universities on military research deny the charge of politicization of campuses. That dissatisfaction with higher education is a cause of campus unrest is contradicted most strongly by the fact that no relation between student activism and educational dissatisfaction has been found. This conclusion is borne out by another study, showing that after controlling for entering freshmen's characteristics only one of 58 campus characteristics was related to the percentage of students in antiwar protests.

Since student characteristics have been shown to be most predictive of involvement in protest, the authors conclude that better clues to the source of campus dissent may be found in "protest-prone" students. In particular, they urge that students' "moral values and their perceptions of the world around them" are important indicators of students' inclination to protest.

Comments:

This article is a useful article, albeit selective, of some of the major studies of student protests in the 1960s and 1970s. In organizing the review of the literature around five major propositions which have

traditionally had the support of both the right and the left, the authors clarify many of the assumptions underlying these positions. Yet they fail to evaluate critically much of the research they cite, and they ignore some other factors which might account for the protests during that period.

For example, in refuting the argument that campus discipline is too repressive, they use one incident as evidence that the overwhelming majority of students involved in demonstrations met a restrained response from civil authorities. In their enthusiasm for studies of "protest-prone" students, they argue that the "best way to predict the presence or size of protests on any given campus is to study the characteristics of incoming freshmen." Yet they also admit that it is fairly easy to predict the institutions that will have war or race-related protests, but very difficult to say which individual students will be actively involved in them. In that case, we should suspect that other causes contributing to protests have been ignored, including characteristics of the universities and the larger environment.

Laufer, Robert, "Sources of Generational Consciousness and Conflict,"
The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Sciences,
395, May 1971, 80-97.

Approach: Descriptive

The author begins with the observation that generational conflict is largely a middle-class phenomenon. He attributes this development to the middle-class orientation of the new technological or "post-industrial" society, where the middle class furnishes its academically trained manpower and is showered with an unprecedented cornucopia of consumer products.

Student protest against the postindustrial society is the exemplar of contemporary generational conflict for the author, writing during Nixon's first term. It is thus defined as intraclass conflict, since university students, by and large, hail from the upper-middle and middle classes. One structural precondition for such conflict is the extended training required of the young by the new technological society; while universities provide such training they also provide an intellectual milieu for challenging the postindustrial ideology.

A second precondition involves a new pattern of childhood socialization. Current parents were indoctrinated with the mystique of upward mobility, Laufer argues, and learned to weigh carefully the demands of public life (institutionalized sacrifice) and private life (human enjoyment) if they hoped to succeed. The consequent sublimation of human impulses bred in their generation a desire for a vicarious integrated existence through their offspring -- today's youth. But when these youths began actually to fashion such an integrated existence for themselves, declaring that institutions conflicted with their inner needs, their parents' generation became upset: institutions meant security and one must put up with them.

A third precondition, according to Laufer, is the repressive reaction by the parents' generation to the countercultural life flaunted by youth, a reaction which consolidates the counterculture by forcing it into a defensive posture. Likewise youth encounters hostility when it tries to extend its integrated life-style into the political realm and create change there. The author regards the episodes at Kent State and the Democratic National Convention in Chicago as having significantly radicalized the young.

He concludes that student protest, changing patterns of social development, and punitive authoritarian responses combine to create a generational conflict of the first magnitude. Insofar as the issues at stake remain unsolved, he fears continued conflict of an increasingly bitter and violent nature.

Comments:

This article bears on no central issue. Apparently in the early seventies everything was suffused with a special angst which itself served as a focus, although hindsight shows the issues were there in plenty. Most disturbing is the way in which such short-lived phenomena as styles of dress and isolated political events weigh as heavily in the analysis as presumably longer-lived phenomena like the social ramifications of postindustrialism. The author's thesis on changing patterns of social development would be worth looking into if it could be rescued from the general confusion.

Levenson, Hanna and James Miller, "Multidimensional Locus of Control in Sociopolitical Activists of Conservative and Liberal Ideologies," Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 33, 2, 1976, 199-208.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Three studies were conducted to examine the relationship between locus of control (the extent to which events are seen as contingent on their own behavior, fate, or powerful others) and sociopolitical activism while controlling for the effects of political ideology. The locus of control measure used was a multidimensional one designed especially to distinguish between internal control and two types of external control: those persons who feel that events are beyond their control and are determined by fate or chance; and those who feel their lack of control results from the control powerful others have over them. The scales of Chance and Powerful Others, in addition to the one for Internal Control, were expected to clarify the relationship between activism and locus of control. Thus, it was hypothesized that the activists in the early 1960s believed in their own power to change society (Internal Control) whereas the activists of the late 60s believed that the "system" had the power to control outcomes (External Control of Powerful Others). Activists would not believe that events were controlled by fate or chance.

In the first study, a purposive sample of 98 male college students (48 of whom had been identified as highly active in conservative or liberal causes) completed an Actual Activism Scale, a measure of conservatism-liberalism, and the locus of control measure. To avoid the usual confounding of political involvement and political ideology, liberal and conservative students were compared on the basis of their level of political activism. It was specifically hypothesized that activist liberals would score significantly higher on the Powerful Others scale than would activist conservatives, because internal items on the scale are more appealing to conservatives and those supporting a protestant ethic philosophy. As hypothesized, highly activist liberals believed significantly more in control by powerful others than highly activist conservatives. In general, increases in expectancies of control by powerful others were positively associated with increases in activism for liberals; for conservatives, activism decreased as expectancies of such control increased. It was interpreted that liberals perceive this power as inhibiting their rights and potential, while conservatives tend to see power as legitimate.

The second and third studies examined the locus of control scores for female college students who differed in the extent of their participation in leftist political activities. The second study compared female activists attending an S.D.S. conference (N=9) with female political science majors who were similar to the activists in political ideology but were inactive (N=17). In the final study, activists in a small lesbian group (N=12) which was actively working to change sexist laws and attitudes was compared with a group of feminists (N=28) from a relatively inactive chapter of a national women's liberation organization.

The findings from these later studies indicated no significant differences between activists and nonactivists on the scale, indicating that events were controlled by chance. Although the groups from Study 2 did not differ on their Internal Control scores, the activist lesbians of the third study indicated significantly less personal internal control over their lives than did the feminists. The latter finding was considered to reflect either the limited success obtained by the activist lesbians through their own efforts, or their internalization of society's negative view of homosexuals.

As for the major hypothesis, both of these studies also found significant differences in scores on the Powerful Others scale; activists expected more control by powerful others than did nonactivists.

The authors assert that the belief in control by powerful others can lead to activism because "in such situations, the potential for change with increased effort exists because the situation is relatively stable and predictable." In contrast, the belief in external control because of chance or fate would make activism frustrating and noninstrumental.

Comments:

These studies offered several research controls not employed in previous studies. Controlling for the effects of political ideology is a definite improvement over earlier studies which compared liberal activists with liberal and conservative nonactivists, or very active liberals with slightly active conservatives. The behavioral indices of activism used in the two later studies provide potentially more accurate data than that derived from the self-reported activism found in related studies. That accuracy, however, is countered by the very small sample sizes and the selection procedures used. The subjects for the second and third studies were tested as they appeared for their respective group meetings. And although they completed only the locus of control measure, with no mention made of activism or political ideology, it is possible their responses reflect the knowledge that they were being tested as members of "radical" groups.

The use of the differentiated locus of control measure is also an advantage in these studies. It is evident that the "fine tuning" of the measure increases its explanatory power, but there remains the tendency to treat locus of control as a stable trait or orientation rather than as a variable dimension of behavior. What is lacking in these and other studies is adequate attention to the situational cues that activate the various control orientations.

Lewis, Steven H. and Robert F. Kraut, "Correlates of Student Political Activism and Ideology," Journal of Social Issues, 28, 4, Fall, 1970, 131-150.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Survey Research

In the fall of 1960, a group of randomly selected Yale freshmen were mailed questionnaires as part of the first stage of a longitudinal panel study designed to explore political development in college. The sample of 400 students (from a class of 1,250) was reduced to 164 by a response rate of 55% and the exclusion of data from women and nonwhites. Most of the 400-item questionnaire (one hour to complete) was devoted to statements of personal political belief. Also included were sociodemographic items, the New Left Scale, an alienation measure, versions of the Machiavellianism (Mach IV) and Social Desirability (SD) scales, and adjective checklists describing self and ideal-self. To assess student political belief, the Left-Right Ideology Scale was developed from high factor loadings on the political items; students were then divided into quartiles (conservative, moderate, liberal, and radical) on the basis of mean scores on this nine-item scale. The measure of political activism (active/nonactive) was the student's (single-item) global estimate of the extent of his high school political activism. No demographic variables distinguished between groups of students differing on ideology or activism. A strong relationship was found between student political ideology and parental political beliefs. Leftists, activist or not, reported significantly more personal and political conflict with their parents than did rightists. Democratic and permissive upbringing was not found to be related to political activism or radical ideology. Other findings were: leftists emphasize humanism and idealism while rightists emphasize stability and responsibility; leftists describe themselves as being and wanting to be different from others; radicals and liberals are more likely to have no religion or to be indifferent to it. Student activism was found to be associated with the importance to the parents of political concerns to and with frequency of family political discussions. Finally, activists scored lower on the Machiavellianism scale, and had lower self-ideal-self discrepancies than did non-activists.

Comments

Yale is an elite university which may have a highly homogeneous population; the questionnaire moreover was excessively lengthy and apparently complex which may have been the reason for the low response rate. The measure of political activism was inadequate because it reflected only high school activism.

Lewis, Jerry, "Review Essay: The Telling of Kent State," Social Problems, 19, 2, Fall 1971, 267-79.

Approach: Descriptive
Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

This article reviews ten books written shortly after the May 1970 riots at Kent State which resulted in the death of several student demonstrators. The author then analyzes the events according to the perspectives outlined in the accounts.

Coverage of the incident included the report of the President's Commission, presenting the results of investigations by one hundred FBI agents, a report by I.F. Stone, a series of articles by James Michener for the Reader's Digest, articles in the Knight newspapers, and a book by Davies. Only one of the writers was a behavioral scientist. All of them focused on the students, the administration, the National Guard, and -- to a lesser extent -- the general public. James Michener, who lived in Kent from August to November 1970, also wrote a long book about the tragedy, which Lewis includes in his review.

Lewis notes that all the writers asked the same questions. How many students were involved and did they have a right to be there? No author asked whether the Guard, which had taken over the campus on orders from the mayor, had a right to be there. What was the influence of radical students? Little. Few were even there; yet most of the general public blamed the radical students rather than the Guard. Did the Guard use proper crowd control procedures? No. What was the effect of the continuing student-Guard interaction? The Guard was sent by the governor at the mayor's request; university officials had not approved this action. Most authors concluded that the "ineptness of the Guard was beyond belief." Did the university president respond adequately? More or less. How was the faculty involved? Letters to the editor in the school paper showed that radical faculty members stimulated students but were not "agitators." How did the public react to the shootings? According to letters to local papers, there was tremendous public hostility toward the students.

Lewis also looks at the motives of the different authors. He notes that I.F. Stone criticized the Scranton Commission Report; and he himself criticizes others among the selected works as "too journalistic." Yet he also complains that too many of the authors interpreted the "facts" for the reader and that none of the books succeeded in conveying an understanding of this event and "its enormous social and political implications. This is a challenge to sociology."

Comments:

Although this is a purely descriptive, nonempirical study, it nevertheless represents an important approach to the events at Kent

State, going beyond the motives of the participants or their demographic characteristics and looking at reactions to the disorder. Lewis doesn't stress this point, but these books clearly fail to analyze how the political forces of the mayor, the governor and the university officials interacted to bring the Guard on campus. By not asking the relevant questions, the authors in effect deny the importance of this issue. A line of research which deserves more attention is how the media portray an event and to what extent they create conditions favoring a repetition of such incidents.

Lewis, Jerry, "A Study of the Kent State Incident Using Smelser's Theory of Collective Behavior," Sociological Inquiry, 42, 2, 1972, 87-96.

Approach: Theoretical

The author, who personally witnessed the Kent State shootings, describes them in the light of Smelser's series of five increasingly all-encompassing ("value additive") principles: structural conduciveness, structural strain, growth of a generalized hostile belief, mobilization of participants for action, and finally, the control of hostile outbursts. The presence of the National Guard was structurally conducive to a hostile outburst on the part of the students; their continuing presence created strain which increased the likelihood of an outburst; these factors contributed to the formation of a generalized hostile belief toward the Guard; the generalized belief in turn encouraged a group of students to aggressively mobilize and actually pursue Guard members; all of the preceding constituted the context in which a control response could (and did) occur. The author concludes that he found Smelser's theory "extremely useful in organizing the large body of information that has been generated by the Kent State episode."

Comments:

This article is a sorry piece of work. Its conclusion reveals the mind-set of the author, who is more concerned with filing events in their proper Smelserian drawers than with conducting any analysis by means of, or evaluation of, Smelser's theory. The hopelessly stilted formality of the writing throughout signals the author's failure to work things through for himself, to accomplish anything other than a drowsy recitation of Smelser's ideas.

Lewis, Jerry M. and Raymond J. Adamek, "Anti-R.O.T.C. Sit-in: A Sociological Analysis," Sociological Quarterly, 15, 1974, 542-47.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Survey Research

This study compared the social characteristics, political activities and past involvement in protests of participants and nonparticipants in a nonviolent, anti-R.O.T.C. sit-in at Kent State University. It also examines the effect of social control measures used to end the protest. Survey data were collected from 116 undergraduate students who were arrested at the sit-in, and from a random sample of 273 juniors and seniors, whose class standing made it likely that they had experienced the violence two years earlier, in May 1970. Interviews with participants in the sit-in were based on the same questionnaire that was mailed to nonparticipants.

The sociodemographic data indicated that those who participated were more likely than the others to be male, social science or humanities majors, to be Jewish or with no religious affiliation, to call themselves politically radical, and to have mothers enjoying a higher status in their occupations. The two groups were similar in their financial dependence on parents, the total income of their parents, and the education attained by their parents.

If students participated in a sit-in where arrest was a possibility, the authors assumed that they would be more likely to have engaged in a wide variety of political activities and protests than students who had never taken part in such a sit-in. Political activity was defined as work on a project to help the poor or disadvantaged, service as an officer of a social action organization, work in a political campaign, or support for a cause by canvassing or petitioning. Actions classed as protests were attending a rally or meeting to protest public policy, picketing or marching on behalf of a cause, engaging in civil disobedience with or without risking arrest, and violent or nonviolent confrontation with authorities.

Sit-in participants indicated more political and protest activity than nonparticipants both before and after the Kent State shootings of May 4, 1970. Moreover, participants reported a significant increase in both protests and political activities after that date. While no significant changes were found in the political activity of nonparticipants before and after the shootings, their participation in such protests as attending rallies, picketing, engaging in acts of civil disobedience (without arrest), marching, and in nonviolent confrontations became more frequent after the shootings.

The second major hypothesis was that students who were directly exposed to violent control by the police, National Guard, or other authority, would be more likely to join in a sit-in at the risk of arrest than students not so exposed. A higher proportion of participants

reported such exposure than nonparticipants, and more participants in the sit-in reported demonstrating at the May 4, 1970 shootings than was true of nonparticipants. From this the authors concluded that "exposure to social control violence served to stiffen the demonstrators' commitment against the war, and in this instance, to accept the discipline of nonviolence."

Comments:

Critical problems in the sampling design of this study limit its credibility. The sample of "nonparticipants" consists of juniors and seniors who responded to a mailed questionnaire and who presumably were not arrested during the sit-in. The "participants" were mostly freshmen and sophomores who were interviewed after being arrested. The response rates in the two samples differed considerably, as did other relevant characteristics such as age and grade point average. Moreover, the authors give little information about the actions of "nonparticipants" at the time, except that they were not arrested. Conceivably, like most of those involved in the sit-in, they could have taken part and then left in time to avoid arrest.

Using respondents' reports of their own activity at the Kent State shootings two years before the sit-in as one of the major indicators of exposure to violent control measures was also extremely misleading since few respondents were present at the earlier event.

McPhail, Clark, "Student Walkout: A Fortuitous Examination of Elementary Collective Behavior," Social Problems, 16, 4, 1969, 441-55.

Approach: Theoretical/Empirical
Methodology: Case Study

This paper attempts a short-cut approach to social behavior across substantively different areas. Blumer and others distinguish routine social behavior from crowd behavior. The former occurs "because people have common understandings and expectations." The latter arises "spontaneously and is not due to preestablished understandings or traditions." It lacks "any intricate organization."

McPhail questions whether "different principles of explanation are required for the substantively different patterns which may be observed in collective versus routine social behavior settings." Ten minutes before the end of his sociology class all but two of his 25 students walked out. Later he collected statements from most of the students, and he uses these to illustrate nonroutine collective behavior: 1) participants fit together a routine sequence of behavior; 2) they then deflect that sequence; 3) finally they try to resolve the problematic sequence of behavior.

McPhail develops the following fundamental principles which apply to the way social behavior is produced, maintained, and altered in routine and collective settings.

1. New lines of coordinated behavior are begun in response to the deflection of previous lines of behavior and to proposals and organizational efforts by at least some participants. New coordinated behavior always involves a new or different sequence of behavior, skill, and knowledge. In this example, McPhail himself had mentioned an experiment in which a lecturer had left the classroom in mid-sentence. Later several students discussed among themselves the possibility of staging a walkout.
2. The development and implementation of a new coordinated behavior requires a new relationship among participants, and this is established by the participants themselves. In this case, a senior sociology student was designated the leader, and the professor was to be the object of the new behavior.
3. The success of a new line of coordinated conduct is directly related to the participants' commitment to it. When some are not deflected from the chosen course, the likelihood of compliance by the others increases. As more students expressed a commitment to walk out, and each deflection from challenge or dissent occurred, the action was increasingly viewed as legitimate.
4. When people commit themselves to a coordinated action but do not specify procedures for synchronizing their actions, no coordinated action can develop. In this example, the class had agreed to a walkout, but had not agreed on a time.

5. A new line of conduct toward someone not involved in its proposal and organization is helped if the person continues to perform an old action or makes a disorganized response to the new behavior. The professor's confusion at the start of the walkout served to synchronize the students' new behavior.

Comments:

This is an extremely interesting article and may illustrate the "emergent relationships/established norms" in Weller and Quarantelli's model of collective behavior ("Neglected Characteristics of Collective Behavior," AJS, 39, 3, 1973).

Meier, Harold C. and G. William, "Student Legitimation of Campus Activism: Some Survey Findings," Social Problems, 19, 1970, 181-92.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

The authors' aim in investigating the activism among students at the University of New Mexico was to isolate some of the factors that might account for students' approval or disapproval of activism. The variables that previous studies had found to be associated with student protesters were assumed to be also associated with the legitimation of protests.

Four systematic samples of undergraduates (n=672) were surveyed during 1969-70. Questionnaires made up of twelve items furnished the basis for a scale of student activism legitimacy (SAL). Respondents scoring in the two highest categories of five scale types were designated as "legitimators," as opposed to "nonlegitimators," whose scores were in the low and middle ranges of the scale. The SAL score measured attitudes about such questions as the role of student protest, characteristics of protesters, university authority, and responses by the university to protest. "Legitimators" were students who indicated approval of student activists and what they did, or disapproval of the role of the university or its authority.

In contrast to findings pertaining to activists, data on legitimators showed no significant relations to social class, sex, or parents' education, but other variables provided a pattern like that found for activists. Thus, legitimation of activism was found to be associated with: 1) peers as reference groups rather than parents; 2) educational goals not oriented to income or status; 3) a choice of academic rather than vocational curricula; and 4) highly secular rather than religious leanings.

The influence of peers in relation to activism was assessed by asking whether it would be harder to bear parents' or friends' disapproval if the student considered joining an organization approved by one but not the other. Those scoring high on the SAL were more oriented toward their peers than their parents. Educational goals were classified according to whether they emphasized income and status mobility, vocational self-fulfillment rewards, or humanistic and intellectual rewards. Students who approved of activism were likely to have humanistic and liberal goals. Academic fields such as the humanities, and the natural and behavioral sciences were clearly favored by those approving of activism. "Legitimators" were likely to have no religious or Christian beliefs, but Roman Catholics who attended church infrequently were as likely to be in the legitimator group as students professing no religious faith.

Findings related to sex showed that male "legitimators" were likely to have an A or B grade-point average and also to have Spanish surnames, probably because of the university's location in New Mexico

and the emergence of local Mexican-American action groups. Among females, legitimation of student activism was highest among those attending college away from home, living in unsupervised residences, having nontraditional educational goals and choices of subjects, avoiding conventional campus organizations and extracurricular activities, and revealing alienation from organized religion.

The authors summarize their results by suggesting that "for students of both sexes, activism orientation (legitimation) is strongly related to alienation from institutional authority, freedom from or evasion of traditional controls, strong peer reinforcement, and in intellectual or humanistic orientation to education -- and by implication to life in general."

Comments:

Data are reported for "legitimators" only (n=139); the other three types are not described or discussed. The findings here parallel those obtained in several other studies; the essential difference is that the contrast between approval and disapproval was substituted for that between participants in protests and nonparticipants. This substitution brings out a finding for women: although they are less likely to be student activists than their male peers, they appear to be just as approving of activism. The authors do not try to explain why no relation is found between approval of activism and the parents' social status and education. Since the student activism legitimacy scale is merely a variation on the measures developed by other studies of student activism, the importance of parents' social status and education would be expected to be considered here. Multivariate partition analysis was used effectively in exploring the interactions of the major variables.

Ong, Walter, "Agonistic Structures in Academia: Past to Present," Daedalus, Vol. 1, Fall 1974, 229-38.

Approach: Theoretical
Methodology: Observation

Walter Ong is a cultural historian. He is especially interested in the psychological and sociological changes occurring as techniques of managing knowledge have evolved from the oral mode to the written, and now to the electronic. In all his published writings he shows how modes of storage and retrieval not only support civilization, but also serve individual consciousness.

This article brings his unique perspective to bear on the campus upheavals of the 1960s. Surprisingly, he believes that the struggle within the academic world did not begin in the 1960s, but rather that it disappeared; the primary, of seldom articulated, object of protests in this period was the final dismantling of the traditional combative or agonistic mode of education.

According to Ong, agonistic education grew out of the need of early cultures to repeat the cliches and formulas which comprised all that was known. The oral mode, says Ong, demanded virtuoso feats of memory and oration, which in turn dictated a system of competitive performances -- a dialectical version of the athletic contest. It also fostered an extreme conservatism with regard to the known, since "knowledge in an oral culture is managed and manageable only if formulas are kept relatively rigid and unchanged: otherwise it is distorted and vanishes." Oral cultures therefore encourage agonistic performance "with a single-minded intensity sure to affect formal schooling when it finally begins."

Having shown that formal education at its inception was vitally linked to the oral agonistic tradition, Ong goes on to show how that was inherently masculine, and how as a result education was initially tailored to male specifications. Physically, Ong points out, "the typical male voice can articulate words in far greater volume than the typical female voice." Before electronic amplification, women were incapable of delivering the bellowing orations that enabled males to dominate audiences of hundreds or even thousands and thus to succeed in the civic roles their education prepared them to play. "A second connection between masculinity and oral polemic," says Ong, "is psychological or psycho-physiological. This is the connection via ceremonial combat... (which) not only among human beings but pretty well through the whole animal kingdom, is significantly, and often spectacularly, more the business of males than of females." In the various male arenas -- military, athletic, academic -- the insecurity of males, resulting not only from their biological expendability but also from their psychological need to reject the female, nurturing environment, worked itself out in the ceremonial struggle of males with males. The ceremonial nature of these different conflicts becomes clear, says Ong, when one considers a critical aspect of gaming common to all: the recognition of the sanctity of surrender, of stepping out of bounds.

Yet in the 1960s, he says, faculty members found that they could no longer simply withdraw from their involvement with students by retreating into their private lives: "Combat had moved from the ceremonial arena and had become an ad hominem attack, in which the attacker pursued his opponent anywhere

and everywhere." Students were largely unaware that their contentiousness in itself was not so revolutionary as their refusal to respect the traditional rules of the game. Ong concludes with the suggestion that "connections between the campus crisis and women's liberation movements were more direct, and at the same time more profound and veiled, than many have made them out to be."

Comment:

Even though this approach involves social structures which are deeply buried in the past and in the collective unconscious, the link that Ong sees between student and feminist movements seems an extremely promising way of bridging what has been a conspicuous gap in the study of social movements.

Rogers, Evan, "Intelligence and Student Political Activism," Social Science Quarterly, 53, 3, December 1972, 557-62.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

"A positive correlation between intellectual ability and leftist ideology is an empirically well established generalization." Following Seymour Lipset's critique of this generalization on the grounds that only Left-oriented activists are compared to the general student population, Rogers explores the possibility that activism itself is positively related to intelligence by defining four categories of student activism--Right, Right Center, Left Center, and Left--and comparing the intelligence of students in each of these categories to that of the general student population. Activists were identified as all elected office-holders in 39 student political organizations at the University of Minnesota over a 10-year period from 1955-1964. Intelligence was defined in terms of performance on standard college entrance examinations like the SAT and ACT; altogether nine ability variables were measured. The potential problem of unequal representation of ideological positions (only 2 groups were considered rightist, whereas 13 were termed leftist) was handled by independent variance analysis for each of the four ideological categories on each of the nine variables; variance was found to be negligible, and the author reports that there were no significant differences in intellectual ability among groups of different ideological persuasion. Finally, the author concludes that activism in general is positively related to intelligence--"political activists as a whole have higher average scores than approximately 70-75% of all entering freshmen"--thus affirming Lipset's critique.

Comments:

Although the effort to substantiate Lipset's critique seems worthwhile, the presence of only two rightist groups (as opposed to 13 leftist groups) among the total 39 organizations is curious in a study critical of the predominantly leftist orientation of prior studies. Granting the scarcity of rightist organizations, the study should have been extended beyond the University of Minnesota so as to include more of them; variance analysis alone is an unconvincing way to bolster such a small sample.

More generally, the criterion of political activism (formal office-holding) may exclude some of the most committed activists--as well as persons who hold offices in nonstudent organizations.

Salter, Brian, "Explanations of Student Unrest: An Exercise in Devaluation," British Journal of Sociology, 24, 3, 1973, 329-40.

Approach: Theoretical
Methodology: Criticism

This author argues that social scientists have by and large sought to "explain away" student unrest in the 1960s, analyzing the social and psychological circumstances to avoid dealing with the ideological impetus behind the movement. The author presents and then criticizes five common arguments used to explain student unrest:

1) The multiversity argument: The increasingly bureaucratic character of modern universities has alienated students and thrown them into confusion. The solution is to compensate by giving students greater access to the university's resources -- faculty, libraries, laboratories. The author criticizes this argument on the grounds that it focuses solely on the machinery of the university and excludes the possibility that students were also reacting to society as a whole. He also cites evidence that support for demonstrations was not related to feelings of dissatisfaction with the university.

2) The adolescence argument: According to this argument student protests and panty raids are on the same level and have the same cause -- adolescent exuberance. A variant, which emphasizes the need for public exhibition, is likewise a reductive approach, denying larger significance to the unrest.

3) The "permissive family socialization" argument: Salter considers R. Flack's conclusion in Psychology Today (October 1967) that an "egalitarian, permissive, democratic, and individuating" family environment produced many student activists too vague. He notes that other writers (Miller and Swanson in The Changing American Parent) thought permissiveness and egalitarianism would produce a generation of docile, well-adjusted workers in the 1960s.

4) The issues argument: According to this view, student activism is a transitory response to specific stimuli (the civil rights issue, Vietnam) and cannot outlive those stimuli. Salter concedes that the argument is largely true, but says it nonetheless misrepresents the case because the issues may serve to focus a broad and more permanent discontent.

5) The conspiracy argument: Seymour Martin Lipset suggests that creative intellectuals in the liberal arts, particularly graduate students, are made insecure by their marginal social position and uncertainties about their careers. They consequently tend to blame the world for their problems and this attitude is nurtured by extremists on campus. Salter also calls this approach reductive: it denies that activists exercise free will and that the protest movement has any ideological content of its own.

Each of these arguments, according to the author, is based on objective or external aspects of the protests; in one way or another each sets forth conditions preceding the beliefs in question. But any complete sociological explanation of human behavior must take into some account the beliefs which are enacted in behavior; and that requires some sort of internal approach -- some willingness to dwell in the belief, to see through the eyes of the believer. Salter offers Max Weber's concept of "understanding," closely akin to Michael Polanyi's notion of "indwelling" as the missing ingredient that will correct the weakness.

Comments:

More could have been done to document the arguments Salter criticized, but his article as a whole is incisive and its conclusion well taken.

Scott, Joseph W. and Mohamed El-Assal, "Multiversity, University Size, University Quality and Student Protest: An Empirical Study," American Sociological Review, 34, October 1969, 709-09; see also, Dunlap, Riley, "A Comment on 'Multiversity, University Size, University Quality and Student Protest: An Empirical Study,'" by Scott and El-Assal; Roos, Philip, "A Comment on 'Student Protest'"; and Scott, Joseph, "A Rejoinder to Dunlap and Roos," American Sociological Review, 35, 3, 1970, 525-30.

Approach:

Methodology:

Abstract:

The study attempts to test the hypothesis that the more nearly a university constitutes a "multiversity," the higher the rate of protest demonstrations. Multiversities are characterized by complex formal structures and a socially heterogeneous student body, both of these leading to structural separations among the various segments of the community. Under these conditions, the authors believe, students will be more likely to "feel separated, neglected, manipulated, and dehumanized to the extent that they will engage in protest activities."

A purposive sample of 104 state-supported colleges and universities was selected, reflecting a diversity of size of institutions, resident communities, and geographical region. On the basis of secondary sources, sociodemographic profiles were compiled for each school, as well as a list of the number and types of demonstrations each experienced in 1964 and 1965. Questionnaires were sent to the dean of students and the editor of the school newspaper at each school to ascertain characteristics of the demonstrations; these had a response rate of 66 percent.

The independent variable, "multiversity," was measured through a composite index combining structural complexity and social heterogeneity. In general a school that was considered complex (a multiversity) was above the median in these categories: the number of departments granting doctoral, master's, bachelor's, and professional degrees; the number of students living off campus; the number of outstate, foreign, and graduate students; and the ratio of professors to students. The dependent variable was the number of demonstrations (an undefined term). Intervening variables included: the size of the school measured by the number of full-time students; the quality of the students, based on the ratio of library books to students, the percentage of faculty members with doctoral degrees, and the percentage of graduates receiving scholarships and honors; and the size of the community.

Complex schools that had 10,000 students or more and were located in large or medium sized communities had disproportionately more demonstrations than those ranking lowest. Regardless of complexity, all the large schools except one reported having had some demonstrations, but most small complex schools also had demonstrations. Complexity was important in both large and small communities, regardless of the school's quality. Using both nonparametric and stepwise regression analysis, the authors found that school size was the most important predictor of the number of demonstrations, because "it seems to give rise to those structural and social features which lead us to term an institution...a multiversity."

Comments:

This is a significant effort to account for the institutional characteristics that are associated with protest and militant behavior by the use of both structural and contextual analyses. The measurement of key variables like "quality of the university," "multiversity," and protest demonstrations, however, presents serious problems. For example, why should colleges with a greater ratio of professors to students be considered more like a multiversity than those with a lower ratio? Moreover, only the number of protest demonstrations -- not the duration, seriousness, or type -- was used as the dependent variable, despite the fact that extensive data were presumably collected on all of these indicators. No indication is given that validity checks were made on the multiple reports by respondents to questionnaires and secondary sources. Although the basic argument is that the conditions of the multiversity lead students to feel alienated and hence to protest, no evidence is furnished to relate these demonstrations to campus conditions or to show that the protesting students actually felt alienated.

Thistlewhaite, Donald L., "Impact of Disruptive External Events on Students' Attitudes," Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 30, 2, August 1974, 228-42.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

The Cambodian incursion, the deaths at Kent State University, and the Jackson State College shootings were reference points in this attempt to see how such events affect the frequency of protest demonstrations and the attitudes of college students. Questionnaires, which had been mailed to students in 1969, one year before these events, were used as Wave 1. Two more sets were mailed: one immediately after the events, Wave 2, 1970; and one a year after, Wave 3, 1971. The sample comprised randomly chosen male freshmen and juniors from 25 public and private universities in various parts of the country.

Trend analyses revealed a peak in campus protest or demonstrations in Wave 2. The goals of these protests, when classified, showed that incidents related to minority and student-administration issues peaked with Wave 1; those related to war and the military peaked with Wave 2. Participation by respondents themselves in campus demonstrations and activity on behalf of social issues also peaked with Wave 2. Three-fourths of the freshmen and two-thirds of the juniors reported some participation in such activities during the 1969-70 academic year; but only about 9 percent had actually "helped to plan and organize the actions."

College Press and Attitudes Scales, where subjects characterized the attitudes of teachers (Faculty Press) and peers (Student Press), as well as their own (Attitudes Scale), were used on a 5-point (Likert) rating scale. Factor analyses were performed on scale intercorrelations to identify factor clusters.

Marked increases in pacifist attitudes occurred, particularly among freshmen, from Wave 1 to Wave 2. The authors note the possible influence of the Vietnam war as well as the rise in conservatism. Peaks in civil rights scales and in campus demonstrations related to minority groups occurred in Wave 2. Political participation also peaked in Wave 2. The authors suggest that if this peaking was due to the November 1970 elections, the same thing would have occurred in Wave 3.

According to the scales, students' orientation toward science and practical matters, affluent jobs and interest in preparation for jobs, decreased from Wave 1 to Wave 2, but feelings of alienation and interest in social issues peaked. Since the faculty were considered especially sympathetic during Wave 2, the authors suggest that many faculty members increased their rapport with students as a result of these disruptive events. By Wave 3, there seemed to be a return to "business as usual": attitude and press scores leveled and campus demonstrations dwindled.

According to a test-retest product moment correlation coefficient for each class during the 1-year and 2-year intervals, scores were relatively predictable on the basis of Wave 1 scores, with juniors exhibiting more stability in attitudes and reported press than freshmen.

The authors conclude that since most of the scales showing score variation were highly significant, the events did affect the attitudes and press of students. They also believe these effects were relatively transient, given the V and inverted-V trends noted (e.g., political participation), and that the test-retest product moment correlation coefficient results showed individual differences to be stable enough to be considered fairly permanent.

Comments:

Because the universities in the sample had at least 5,000 students, generalizations apply only to institutions of this size; smaller universities or colleges might differ both in the characteristics of their students and the influence exerted by distant events. Sampling procedures also introduce some biases. The sample is limited to males who were freshmen or juniors in 1968-69. Moreover, only about 36 percent of the questionnaires mailed as Wave 1 were returned, creating a base sample of 1,036 respondents. The researchers were unable to determine the nature or extent of the resulting bias, but comparisons of the responses suggested that subjects who completed all three waves were probably more conservative than those who did not.

The authors made consistently detailed attempts to measure the reliability of the measures and paid attention to the use and development of scales showing evidence of validity in past studies. Unfortunately they did not measure the degree to which respondents were actually aware of or impressed by these three events or others such as recent elections or the Vietnam War, which may also have affected them. One must therefore be cautious in attributing the changes in attitudes to these particular incidents. Finally, the authors tell us nothing about how these changes may have occurred -- through the influence of peers, in response to sentiments on other campuses, as a consequence of news stories, etc.

Trent, James W. and Judith L. Craise, "Commitment and Conformity in the American College," Journal of Social Issues, 23, 3, 1967, 34-51.

Approach: Empirical/Prescriptive
Methodology: Review of Literature

The major thesis of this paper is that the intense political activism recently observed on some campuses is not pervasive and represents only a small proportion of college students in the United States. Further, they say that most students today manifest in large measure the apathy and conformity that have characterized students of the past, rather than the kind of commitment and autonomy that leads to political activism or serious social and political activism. The authors believe intellectual involvement to be a healthy departure from commonly accepted goals and norms.

This article is based in part on research on college students generally, including work by others as well as the authors' own study of nearly 10,000 who were interviewed first in 1959, as high school graduates, and again in 1963. Findings showed the typical college students to be nonactivists, to have very little political interest or sophistication, and to be largely satisfied with their college experience and not concerned about social or religious issues. Research more closely directed toward activists involved a sample of Free Speech members who risked arrest and a representative group of Berkeley students who were seniors at that time. Using the Omnibus Personality Inventory to compare their national sample of students with the Berkeley seniors and the Free Speech members, the authors found that the activist members of the Free Speech Movement had unusually high scores on intellectualism and autonomy, although they also showed high degrees of anxiety.

Other studies of activist students have found them to be not only highly autonomous and intellectually disposed but also to be more often in the humanities and social sciences rather than technical fields and education. The activists, regardless of major, were most likely to be students at a few select liberal arts colleges and universities.

The authors' national sample also disclosed that students who had been in college for the entire four years between 1959 and 1963 showed significantly greater intellectual disposition and autonomy (even if this growth was not exceptional). Those who took jobs after high school tended to have regressed on both of those counts during the four year period. "Therefore...college does have some bearing on personality development, particularly on those traits which, to a more intense degree, characterize student activists."

Comments:

In their view that student activism is characteristic of only a small minority, but that these are the "cream of the crop," the authors

are primarily concerned with personality traits as evidence and fail to discuss more concrete manifestations of intellectual disposition and autonomy, such as grades, productive accomplishment, or social development. Moreover they do not explore in any depth the characteristics of the colleges themselves or the fields of study that might be associated with activism. Nor do they discuss the extent to which activism is a result of self-selection or socialization.

Waters, Carrie Wherry, "Comparison of Protesting and Non-protesting Students," Psychological Reports, 33, 1973, 543-47.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

This study, comparing characteristics of student protesters and non-protesters to determine whether the two groups resembled those found in earlier research on student activism in the 1960s began after the research site (a midwestern state-supported school with 18,000 students) was temporarily closed as a result of several incidents of "protesting or rioting on the part of a small segment of the student body." Protests expressed resistance to "fee increases, complaints about ROTC, dissatisfaction with war, (and) felt comradeship with student 'causes' at other universities." Some of those involved in the protests were arrested or disciplined by the university.

The protest sample included 127 students identified in some cases from photographs; of these 96 were arrested and 31 disciplined by the university. Using official data on 23 variables assessing personal adjustment, ability and achievement, and family, biographical, and college-related factors, the author found that the protest sample, compared with the control sample, did not show significant differences in ability but did show lower in high school and college achievement; and a higher socioeconomic level. The protest group had a higher proportion of out-of-state and Jewish students and more students from fine arts and government or history than from business, education, engineering and science. More students in the protest group had a history of past university disciplinary offenses, and a greater number had obtained personal counseling. Concluding that these protesters, unlike those frequently described in the literature, were not brighter and more achievement-oriented than their peers, the author suggests that they should be termed "alienated" rather than "activist," using Keniston's definitions, because they were likely to be disturbed psychologically and were less committed to academic values and intellectual achievement than the non-protesters."

Comments:

The findings of this study must be considered tentative, at best, because of some critical methodological problems and inadequate reporting of procedures. How the protest sample was drawn or how well it represented the actual participants in these different events is not shown. Since there was no attempt to validate the official classifications by self-report data on participation, many of these "protesters" may have been merely bystanders or not present at all (especially when identification was from photographs). The types of protest in which they "participated" were not differentiated or identified. Members of the control sample may have also engaged in these protests without being arrested, disciplined, or photographed. The overrepresentation in the protest sample (and thus also in the control sample) of male underclassmen is atypical of what has been found in previous studies and may also limit the general validity of the findings.

Waters considers the protesters "alienated" primarily because they were more often subject to university discipline and because they more frequently sought personal counseling. They were selected as protesters in part because they were disciplined by the university, however, and the article does not describe the nature of the offense. Clearly, therefore, these variables do not necessarily indicate maladjustment or alienation. University discipline might have resulted from the protests themselves. Furthermore, the article does not reconcile with the absence of a significant relationship between protest behavior and high school counselor's recommendations regarding emotional stability or the need for vocational counseling. Perhaps because her bibliography and review of the literature are inadequate, the author overemphasizes the differences between her findings and those of previous researchers in this area. Actually only about half of her findings are inconsistent with previous research on activism and these may be a function of methodological problems rather than actual differences in student protesters.

OTHER SOCIAL MOVEMENTS AND COLLECTIVE ACTIONS

Black Activism

Abeles, Ronald, "Relative Deprivation, Rising Expectations, and Black Militancy," Journal of Social Issues, 32, 2, 1976, 119-37.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Using secondary analysis of data collected in the late 1960s in Cleveland, Ohio, and Miami, Florida, Abeles investigated the relationship of relative deprivation and rising expectations to two types of black militancy. Black militancy is defined as "a cluster of attitudes centering around the rejection of the traditional, passive and subordinate Negro role and around demands for more black control over the destiny of black people." Two fundamentally different types of militancy are distinguished: "moving towards the oppressor" (seeking equal treatment, integration, and civil rights nonviolently); and "moving against the oppressor" (seeking equal treatment but ambivalence toward integration and use of both violent and nonviolent tactics).

A random sample of 400 black males and females residing in Cleveland's poverty zones were interviewed in the spring of 1969, and 530 residents of three predominantly black districts in and adjacent to Miami were surveyed in the spring of 1968. In Cleveland the measure of militancy consisted of attitudes of "moving against the oppressor," while militancy in Miami was measured more in the sense of "moving toward the oppressor." There were also differences in the ways in which the concepts of relative deprivation (both personal and fraternal) and rising expectations were measured.

In Cleveland, militancy was most strongly correlated with a perceived discrepancy between "well-educated blacks" and other groups, particularly between well-educated blacks and "white collar workers" and "professionals." In the author's view this means that fraternal relative deprivation was more critical than personal relative deprivation in causing militancy. If a respondent believed that another group's economic gains were merited, he was likely to be militant; those who felt that they had made few gains in the past were also likely to feel such militancy. Younger and male respondents were more militant than their female counterparts. All of the independent variables placed in a path analysis accounted for about 18 percent of the variance in militancy in this sample.

In Miami, fraternal relative deprivation was not measured, but personal relative deprivation was not related to militancy. Respondents who felt that whites and Cubans were doing better than they were, however, were more militant than those who felt that blacks or unspecified groups were better off. If they disapproved of the "better off group," they were also more militant. Respondents who had made moderate gains or who expected to make such gains were more likely to be militant than those who had made or expected to make small or large gains. A variety of other variables such as age, sex, education, income, and employment status were significantly related to militancy, and the path analysis accounted for about 22 percent of the variance.

Because the mediating role of relative deprivation and rising expectations was not as powerful as anticipated, the author suggests their role as causes of militancy changes as a function of the success and popularity of a social movement. Perhaps they are important during the early stages, spurring on the movement's initiators. But as the movement grows and gains acceptance, its ideology is transferred to new members and to the general public through socialization and diffusion of norms. Relative deprivation and rising expectations might continue to predispose some individuals toward militancy, but these other factors would become increasingly more dominant as the movement becomes more and more successful. Relative deprivation and rising expectations may thus explain the participation of leaders but not followers who learn attitudes through socialization from the elite opinion leaders.

Comments:

As the author admits, methodological problems with the data may partly explain the low correlation between militancy and relative deprivation and rising expectations. The measure of rising expectations is particularly lacking because it does not tap the discrepancy between aspirations and achievements; and the measures of militancy (though not specified) appear to be entangled with measures of relative deprivation.

Coles, Robert, "Social Struggle and Weariness," Psychiatry, Vol. XXVII, 1964; reprinted in B. McLaughlin, Studies in Social Movements, New York: The Free Press, 1969.

Approach: Clinical
Methodology: Case Study

The author describes here his experiences as a psychiatrist treating members of the civil rights movement who were suffering from depression and fatigue. Coles lived in the South and worked with civil rights demonstrators during the 1960s. His primary interest is in how members of the civil rights movement managed the stresses of being part of a full-scale social struggle. His approach was to look at those who did not manage, who suffered from depression and mental fatigue and who dropped out of the movement.

Most members of the civil rights movement in the South during the early 1960s, according to Coles, were college students or recent graduates, both men and women. They not only had to face local officials who considered their activities illegal, but also the larger black community, which was often illiterate, apathetic, and fearful of what they were doing.

Some of the students developed the clinical symptoms of battle fatigue: depression, exhaustion, frustration, and rage at their inability to change the social order. In many cases, the author suggests, leaders of such movements should be able to spot early symptoms of this syndrome -- drinking, unwillingness to cooperate, gloom -- and help these young people see their problems.

Comments:

This article takes an interesting view of social movements, particularly the civil rights movement. The situation of those engaged in it is equated with war: and their illness a form of battle fatigue. They are combat casualties. Such a syndrome may exist in other types of sustained social movements and one might ask, for example, whether some of the hippies who dropped out or joined the drug culture were "casualties" of the student protests of the 1960s.

Evans, Dorothy A. and Sheldon Alexander, "Some Psychological Correlates of Civil Rights Activity," Psychological Reports, 26, 1970, 899-906.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

This study investigated the relation between the civil rights activity of Northern students, both Negro and white, and several personality and demographic variables. The sample comprised males and females with varying degrees of civil rights involvement in 1965. Students from two Illinois universities, who participated in 50 percent or more of the activities of the Students' Nonviolent Coordinating Committee (SNCC) or NAACP for six months at least were categorized as "active" (16 Negroes, 13 whites). The remaining 23 black members constituted the "joiners." The study also included 58 nonmembers from Negro fraternities and sororities at the two universities.

Subjects responded to Byrne's repression-sensitization measure, Barron's ego-strength scale, the Marlowe-Crowne social desirability scale, Rotter's I-E scale, and questions designed to determine socioeconomic aspiration, parents' occupation, and the students' membership in groups other than those being tested.

Two-factor analyses of variance yielded several significant results. Negro "actives" showed more repression than white "actives," Negro "joiners" and non-members. These findings suggested that only Negroes with strong repression could function actively and non-violently, whereas white activists, not personally threatened by failure of a civil rights movement, would not rely on repression as a defense.

The finding that white "actives" showed less regard for social approval than Negro "actives" or nonmembers may reflect their disagreement with the normative values of young, middle-class whites, or their feelings of alienation from society in general.

In contrast to findings about the civil rights activity of southern Negroes, these Negro "actives" came from a less affluent socioeconomic background than Negro nonmembers and the degree of civil rights activity was not related to internal or external control of reinforcement or to membership in other groups. The differences found between northern and southern Negroes may be due to the fewer opportunities for socioeconomic advancement in the South, methodological differences in the studies, and more on-campus extracurricular opportunities for blacks in the North than in the South.

Men showed higher socioeconomic aspirations than women: and in all groups except the white "actives," men were more likely to attribute reinforcement to their own actions than females.

The authors argue that although the study shows that psychological variables are related to civil rights activity, since civil rights activities have become more militant, a fresh examination of the relation

between civil rights activism and personality measures is warranted.

Comments:

A number of problems in this study including the measure of civil rights activity, the small sample, and the total neglect of other explanatory variables such as region of birth and parents' residence, make the findings and interpretations questionable. The design prevents the causal direction of these relationships from being ascertained and hence the observed associations could be a function of membership in an organization rather than a cause of it, as the authors suggest.

Henderson, Vivian, "Blacks and Change in Higher Education," Daedalus,
103, 4, Fall 1974, 72-79.

Approach: Descriptive

Methodology: Observation/Description

The author, a faculty member at Clark University, offers a sympathetic critique of the black student movement. From the perspective of a black university, she says, the instant popularity of black studies at white universities was grimly amusing: black universities had been offering courses in black studies for many years, while white campuses had "treated anything pertaining to blacks solely as subjects for doctoral theses." When black studies finally appeared on predominantly white campuses, however, they were taught almost exclusively by and for blacks -- a grievous limitation, according to the author, because whites need at least as much education about blacks experience as blacks themselves do.

The author compares the effects of black activism on both black and white campuses. Whereas black activists on white campuses sought to counter institutional racism by establishing enclaves of black culture -- separate classes, living quarters, and social centers -- activists on black campuses took institutional racism for granted and envisioned the black university as a "training ground for building a separatist society."

In response to black activism at white universities, the author suggests, white administrations acted rashly and frantically, with "crisis management" rather than measured policy. "Black students had the gall to formulate the programs," she writes, "and administrators had the even greater gall not only to let them do it, but also to let them select and appoint personnel to teach the courses."

In contrast to this chaotic abdication of authority, she reports, students at Clark University were "placed on the board of trustees and on policy-making committees of the college with the clear understanding that these were not short-term responses to the national campus crisis, but ideas whose time had come." Despite these orderly concessions, however, Clark refused steadfastly to accede to the separatist demands of radical activists, since such demands were incompatible with the constituted goals of the college (a position which the author attributes to black colleges in general).

She concludes that "black students and black colleges have special roles to play in nonviolent social change." While she realizes that "it is good that higher education has recovered some of the respect and confidence it must have," she fears a return to the status quo and hopes that black colleges will continue in their "historic role of providing a base for challenging the status quo in race relations and social behavior."

Comment:

Although the author makes no effort to document her assertions, this article is valuable because so little has been written on black student activism. It would be interesting to check her assertion about the orderly transfer of power. Does Clark University still place students on the board of trustees and on policy-making committees? It would also be useful to have more evidence about the refusal of black colleges to accede to separatist demands.

Kalikow, Deborah W., and Lester Carr, "Determinants of Civil Rights Activists," Journal of Social Psychology, 74, 1, 1968, 111-16.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Content Analysis

Kalikow and Carr observe that armchair speculations regarding the "personality dynamics" of social activists have not been sustained in any of a series of recent experiments. A large sample study by Carr, for example, showed that none of 134 variables accounted for more than 9 percent of the variance in the degree of involvement in social action.

During 1963 and 1964, 168 southern college students -- classified by race, sex, and actual participation in sit-ins -- wrote statements about their goals and the progress they had made in achieving them. Independent scorers rated the groups under these seven headings: vocational goals; societal or helping goals; progress in achieving goals; materialism; specificity; self-centeredness; and conformity to white, middleclass standards. Nine separate analyses of variance revealed no reliable associations between any of these variables and race, sex, activist involvement, or any of their interactions.

Comments:

The principal shortcoming of this study was the reliance on content analysis of written paragraphs to measure goals. Such writing assignments have several weaknesses. They are open to a wide variety of interpretations of the nature of the task. The results are affected by different styles of writing and varying degrees of skills in handling language. Such an assignment also assumes that the respondent has a clear idea of his goals and is not merely saying what he thinks his goals ought to be.

Korman, Abraham, K., "A Disguised Measure of Civil Rights Attitudes," Journal of Applied Psychology, 1974, 59 (2), 239-240.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey

The purpose of this study was to construct and validate two knowledge tests at different skill levels as disguised measures of civil rights attitudes. The assumption underlying the approach is that persons become more knowledgeable about matters they are more interested in. Korman tested the hypothesis that scores on civil rights knowledge are related to independent measures of attitudes and behaviors with regard to civil rights.

Two separate tests were developed to gauge knowledge of events concerning civil rights: a difficult 69-item form completed by 329 subjects and an easier 29-item form completed by 193 subjects. All subjects were asked about membership in civil rights groups, and rated themselves on a five point scale measuring their attitude toward civil rights (1 = anti...5 = pro). Subjects who had jobs responded by mail, students in class sessions.

The correlation coefficients indicate a significant positive relation between knowledge of civil rights events, attitudes towards civil rights, and membership in groups concerned with civil rights. Scatter plot analyses showed that findings were not limited by nonlinearity of regressions, and further analyses ruled out intelligence as a factor.

Further research is needed to determine why the consistent relationship that showed up are "sometimes modest in magnitude"; one explanation might be the unreliability of the author's single-item measure for both civil rights attitude and behavior.

Noting the substantiated relation between educational level, liberal racial attitudes and general knowledge, Korman suggests that the practical value of a test like this is limited to samples with much the same education. Yet, if educational level is held constant, other variables -- like positive interest -- may influence the relation between knowledge and attitudes among persons at each educational level. These possible relations need to be validated with behavioral criteria.

Comments:

Korman acknowledges some of the limitations in this study: the possibly modest reliability of the single items representing civil rights attitude and behavior, and the fact that his sample was not random. Other problems are the lack of a standard method of administering the questionnaires (some were mailed, others distributed in classes), and the fact that the students were required to respond, while workers were volunteers. Other variables, such as age, sex, and race, might be controlled to produce clearer results.

It remains to validate the two knowledge tests using more objective, behavioral indices of civil rights attitudes and behaviors, such as membership in civil rights groups ascertained from membership lists.

London, Bruce and John Hearn, "The Ethnic Community Theory of Black Social and Political Participation--Additional Support," Social Science Quarterly, 57, 4, 1977, 885-91.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Abstract:

The article attempts to assess the relative efficacy of the ethnic community and compensatory explanations of black participation (the fact that participation in voluntary associations and the degree of political activism is disproportionately higher among blacks than among whites of similar status) by first creating indicators of both "ethnic community identification" and "compensatory behavior" and subsequently specifying their relative impact as predictors of voluntary association involvement and political participation.

The "ethnic community" hypothesis suggests that the activism of blacks can be explained by the increasing race and class consciousness within black communities; blacks who identify themselves with an ethnic minority will be more active than those who don't. In contrast, the compensatory explanation suggests that participation in such organizations is a way of "compensating" for a subordinate status; thus, individuals who feel themselves to be alienated are most likely to be politically active.

Data were collected as part of a 1966 survey of three central cities in Connecticut in which respondents were selected on a probability basis; 297 blacks constituted the sample. Two types of collective action by these respondents were studied: their voluntary involvement in both issue-oriented and social organizations and their political participation. Ethnic identification and community identification indices were constructed, using a factor analysis of attitudes on 20 questions, and the index of compensatory behavior was based on respondents' feelings of alienation or estrangement.

A stepwise multiple regression analysis showed that ethnic identification, community identification, and compensatory behavior accounted for about 7 percent of the variance in issue-oriented organizational involvement and 10 percent of the variance in social organization involvement. Ethnic identification was the strongest predictor of involvement based on issues, whereas community identification was most important in involvement in social organizations. Compensatory behavior was only slightly significant in predicting social involvement and insignificant in issue-oriented organizations. In the prediction of political participation, the authors included involvement in voluntary associations as an independent variable and found that they could thus explain over 20 percent of the variance. Ethnic identification explained more of the variance than all of the other variables combined, but compensatory behavior also accounted for a significant portion.

London and Hearn see in these findings strong support for the ethnic community theory, but attenuated support for the compensatory theory since ethnic identifiers tended to join issue-oriented organizations and those in the compensatory group joined social organizations. Moreover, ethnic identification explained more of the activism in issue-oriented organizations than compensatory behavior did. These authors also affirm the importance of separating categories of organizations, because entirely different dynamics motivate the joining of the two kinds of organizations. Similarly they stress the need to recognize the heterogeneity of the black population. They suggest that while compensatory behavior may have been the best explanation of high black participation in the past, ethnic community theory has become more useful in the context of the 1960s and 1970s.

Comments:

Although this study helps greatly to differentiate the organizations blacks join and the dynamics involved in the process, the authors neglect factors other than individual attitudes that may account for such participation. They fail to mention the relative availability of such organizations to different groups within the black community (e.g., sex and age groups), the impact of competing or alternative activities (e.g., child rearing, jobs), or social conditions that might also account for the development of interest in issues and organizations that support them. Moreover there is a cause-and-effect problem here: ethnic identification or a sense of alienation could very well result from participation rather than occasion it.

Serious gaps exist between the measurement of ethnic identification and compensatory behavior, and the concepts themselves. Ethnic identification was measured by two sets of questions specifying the recognition of "ethnic newsmakers" (their assessment of Martin Luther King and Earl Warren) and by "race-oriented problem identification" (e.g., were race relations mentioned as the most important city problem?). Neither of these sets of questions attempted to find out how important the respondents actually considered their black identity to be or the strength of their sense of identification with other blacks. Similarly, compensatory behavior was measured by feelings of "self-alienation," using such questions as whether they expected to stay on a job, how strongly they believed that city issues were not resolved publicly, or whether they thought unemployment was an important cause of poverty. Such clearly inadequate measures cast serious doubt on the authors' conclusions. Moreover, since they did not look at the characteristics of the organizations in which respondents were involved, we do not know whether most were black or civil rights organizations, or the extent to which the membership was heterogeneous.

Nam, Tai Y., "A Manifesto of the Black Student Activists in a Southern Black College Under the Integration Order," Journal of Negro Education, 46, No. 2, Spring, 1977.

Approach: Descriptive
Methodology: Case Study

This article describes a period of turbulence in a predominantly black college which became affiliated with a white state university system. Black students' demands for the resignation of several university officials were seen as reflecting their fears of being submerged in a primarily white system and the need for a more militant black leadership.

The brief period of conflict took place at a small (2200 students) Arkansas university during the autumn of 1972. The author, a political science professor at the university, conducted his research 1) through daily observation of student activities, 2) through interviews with student leaders, and 3) through analysis of literature circulated during the conflict. The unrest at the University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff is viewed as stemming largely from the school's recent affiliation with the predominantly white Arkansas state college system. Until July, 1972, the school existed under the name Agricultural, Mechanical and Normal College. The affiliation was seen as triggering a wave of militancy among U.A.K.E. students struggling to reaffirm their identity as blacks under threat of white dominance. Rejection of the older black university officials, particularly the chancellor, who acquiesced with the merge (merge was supported by the NAACP) was the result of the student expression for new black demands. The major grievance cited was the decline in student population which was blamed on the merge. Both boycott of classes and a vote taken on the chancellor's resignation indicated considerable student support for the resignation. Class "walk-ins" and bomb threats were reported during this time. The chancellor's response threatening harsh punitive gestures towards boycotting students put an almost immediate end to the disruptive activities. By the spring, conciliatory gestures were exchanged between the chancellor and the major student protest leader, with the administration agreeing to expand student participation in decision making. The author concludes that the students' major concern--establishing some sort of new black power base on campus--was ultimately frustrated, although they did achieve a few desired reforms.

Comments:

The article is poorly written and organized, with its major premise -- that campus tensions were a result of the school's fusion into a primarily white university system -- difficult to evaluate because of the lack of relevant information. Insufficient data about the perceived ramifications of the affiliation as well as about the characteristics of the black students involved in the conflict were provided. But the article is interesting and moving and the situation is vividly (if clumsily) described so that the reader is given a vivid portrayal of the depth of emotion of the contending parties.

Peterson, Paul, "Organizational Imperatives and Ideological Change: The Case of Black Power," Urban Affairs Quarterly, 14, 4, June 1979, 465-85.

Approach: Theoretical/Historical

Peterson considers and finally rejects two theories of ideological change--the "group interest thesis" and the "lower class culture thesis" --as explanations of the transition from the ideology of the civil rights movement, integrationist and assimilative, to the Black Power ideology, segregationist and nationalistic. According to the "group interest thesis" it was the despair of blacks at ever attaining real integration which forced them to adopt the Black Power ideology. Yet, Peterson points out, "If white Americans have never acquiesced in genuine integration, their resistance clearly weakened in the sixties." Demonstrable advancement in the late sixties--Congressional approval of civil rights bills, the appointment of blacks to cabinet positions, the dramatic increase of black voting in southern states--casts suspicion on the theory that blacks, disgruntled en masse, spontaneously produced a new more radical ideology out of sheer desperation. According to the "lower-class culture thesis" Black Power ideology represented "the values, culture, and aspirations of a new lower-class elite." In other words, the politicization of blacks (what Ortega y Gasset would call the rise in their historical level) enhances the otherwise minimal political visibility of the "riff-raff" of black society (welfare mothers, dropouts, semi-skilled workers) who then, according to Martin Kilson, a proponent of the theory, "stamp the politics of black ethnicity with a lower-class style." But some evidence undermines this theory as well. Peterson cites one study claiming that "the upper income segment of the black community was clearly the most favorable to black power," and another showing that "militant black elites...were seldom from the lower-class segments of the population."

Peterson then proposes his own model of ideological change--an analysis of the structural interplay between political organization and ideological formulation. For Peterson it was not the failure but rather the undeniable success of the civil rights movement which created the need for a new ideology. As growing black political awareness demanded more and more from the civil rights organization, the problems inherent in the integrationist ideal of the organization were magnified: in particular, black resentment of white occupation of positions of power, and white dissatisfaction with less visible positions, contributed to organizational strain and led to the creation of independent black organizations. A black political elite propounded a new ideology which both stemmed from and justified these organizational changes, focusing on black separateness and individuality rather than ignoring the issue of race.

According to Peterson, the much-publicized violent rhetoric of some Black Power advocates tended to obscure the real political function of the new ideology; in fact, the Black Power ideology represented the logical and necessary continuation of the civil rights movement given the organizational imperatives generated by an aroused black constituency. On another level, it functioned as mediator between the impetus toward equality and the inertia of the American political system: whereas early civil rights demands seemed to threaten the homes, neighborhoods, and schools of white

Americans, Black Power demands for community control, affirmative action, and independent assertion of political influence produced less immediate anxiety among whites. More significantly, Black Power demands were negotiable as opposed to those of earlier integrationists, which involved redistributive issues that could not be resolved without explicitly choosing between black and white interests.

Peterson then tests this functional model of ideological change by applying it to the ideological transformation of the trade union movement in Britain at the close of World War I. Briefly, the Labor Party, junior partner in an uneasy alliance between the Labor and Liberal parties, shifted toward a more radical socialist ideology in the course of asserting its organizational independence. (Class conflict in this case closely parallels racial conflict in the case of the U.S.) The "group interest thesis" and the "lower-class culture thesis" are advanced and rejected as explanations of the ideological shift, and then superseded by a variant of Peterson's structural model of the interplay among political elites, organizational necessities, and ideological formulation.

Finally, Peterson draws on the outcome of the Labor-Liberal struggle (once sharing of power was achieved the Labor commitment to socialism significantly declined) to tentatively predict the future of the Black Power ideology: "one can now expect revisions in Black Power beliefs so that it no longer is so clearly differentiated from the earlier civil rights ideology."

Comments:

Peterson deserves credit for an elegant and rigorously well-organized handling of a complex range of phenomena. One might feel that the extreme tidiness of his historical analogy is too good to be true, but again to his credit he ventures an intriguing hypothesis with which to test the soundness of that analogy. All in all, a fascinating and worthwhile piece of analysis.

Pinard, Maurice, Jerome Kirk, and Donald Von Eschen, "Processes of Recruitment in the Sit-In Movement," Public Opinion Quarterly, 33, 3, 1969, 355-69.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Using data from participants in a sit-in demonstration in 1961, the authors examine the role of strain in recruitment for (or attraction to) a social movement and in the intensity of activity. In their view the greater the strain -- that is, deprivation -- the more intense will be the people's activity in a social movement once they join it. More stable and enduring strains, however, hinder the "generalized beliefs" that are essential for translating grievances into political action. The most deprived are, therefore, not early joiners of social movements generally; they are rather recruited when the movement has attained some success and can persuade them of its beliefs.

The authors, who participated in the Route 40 "freedom ride" staged by members of CORE and other civil rights organizations, distributed questionnaires to other participants. They felt that the data obtained from 386 freedom riders would give an undistorted picture of the group.

Using participant's own self reports on their actions in demonstrations as the indicator of activity in the movement, they found that those with lower socioeconomic status, measured by their present job or the job they were in training for in school, were most active. Those who were not students and whose occupation was lower than their father's were more active than the others, and those whose status was inconsistent with their father's also tended to be more active. Thus, for both whites and Negroes, there was a direct linear relationship between strains and intensity of activity in the sit-in demonstrations, although the movement was still predominantly white and upper middle class.

Within the movement, those at a relatively lower socioeconomic level tended to be relatively late joiners, even though they became the most active. Early joiners were generally only moderately deprived; neither the least deprived nor the most deprived tended to be early recruits. The movement in its early stages had to rely on the relatively small Negro middle class and on a largely marginal part of the white middle class.

To explain why those affected by permanent deprivation did not join the movement until later, the authors suggest these people could not develop sophisticated ideologies and that they suffered from "retreatist alienation." Ideologues (those whose political preferences were to the left of their mother's or who were Socialists) were the most active, and even when their status was low they tended to be the earliest joiners. Others seemed to be prevented by alienation (as measured by those who agreed that "most politicians are corrupt") from becoming early joiners; whereas alienation among ideologues increased the probability of their being recruited at an early stage.

Because the most deprived are likely to defer joining a movement

until they can translate their grievances into political terms and develop a sense of efficacy, the authors argue that early recruitment must often be aimed at middle-class people and reachable targets. "Thus it may be functional at times for movements to select as initial targets goals that are only dimly related to the core problems faced by the deprived population, if in this way they develop faith in the movement's power to change things and thus maximize long-run recruitment."

Comments:

As this article emphasizes, we need to look at differences between participants in social movements, particularly those between early and late joiners. We must also recognize that certain theories (such as relative deprivation) may help to explain the intensity of participation, while others (such as theories of resource mobilization and efficacy) may be more important in explaining the process of recruitment. The methodological weaknesses of the article, however, as well as the incomplete specification of research design make the conclusions speculative at best. The distinction between early and late joiners is premature since the study was made in 1961 and many more people joined must later. In fact, all the freedom riders could conceivably be called early joiners of the civil rights movement. The measurement of certain key variables such as activity, alienation, and ideology is less than adequate. An interesting point is that the measure of socioeconomic status is based on the respondent's own occupation or expected occupation rather than on family background or parents' occupation as is usual in studies of this type.

Sank, Zachary B. and Bonnie Strickland, "Some Attitudinal and Behavioral Correlates of a Belief in Militant or Moderate Social Action," Journal of Social Psychology, 90, 2, August 1973, 337-38.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

The authors wished to distinguish between moderate and militant black social activists. Since previous personality studies had viewed all black activists as a "monolithic group," militant students, according to their assumption, would be more likely to feel that fate or external forces controlled their lives, be more dogmatic, and show more variable responses to success and failure than the "moderate" group.

Seventy-four black male students at Emory University in Atlanta, Georgia, were studied in the spring of 1969; sample selection criteria and methods are unspecified. A pretested questionnaire distinguished "militants," those who endorsed "revolutionary" change including the use of "force, riot or destruction" from the "moderates," those endorsing work within the "current social structure toward evolutionary change."

Militant students were slightly more likely to be "external" on Rotter's I-E scale ($r=.20$; variance accounted for =4 percent). Rokeach's dogmatism scale proved to be unrelated to a student's militance or moderacy. Militants did perform differently on a laboratory-type measure of level of aspiration (L.O.A.) and were claimed to be significantly more "maladaptive" (relative to some unspecified adaptation model for the particular task given). Militants were also less likely to follow the standardized "lower aspiration after failure -- higher aspiration after success" motivational pattern. That is, militants' aspirations, or expectations of success were less constrained by recent and local experience and, hence, were more "variable" than the aspirations of moderates.

In this study -- whose representativeness is open to question -- militant black males were likely to be slightly more external, no more dogmatic, and significantly more "variable" than moderates. In other words, militants appeared not to rely on "immediate past performance in estimating future success." Meriting further research is the question raised but not resolved, are militants - those who have found their environments less responsive to their efforts than is true of moderates, and have they somehow substituted long-range goals rather than their recent experiences as the criterion for predicting future success? These questions are variants of the "rising expectations" hypothesis, which suggests that high expectations occur with -- and in spite of -- a record of little success in the past.

Comments:

The variance in the social action category accounted for by the Internal-External measures and level of aspiration was slight (about 4 and 10 percent respectively). Since the selection of subjects was not explained, the general validity of the results would be difficult to establish.

Searles, Ruth and J. Allen Williams, "Negro College Students' Participation in Sit-Ins," Social Forces, 40, 3, March 1962, 215-20.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

In contrast to literature suggesting that protests occur primarily among people who are profoundly alienated and in déspair, this article proposes that "sit-ins and other forms of Negro protest led by middle- and upper-class Negroes are best interpreted by reference group theory and the concept of relative deprivation." The shift from accommodation to militancy among Negroes is seen as a consequence of their no longer evaluating their social position in relation to other blacks, but comparing it instead to that of white persons with similar position and training. This shift has occurred, with improvements in the socio-economic position of blacks, because of the continuing disparity between the goals and attainments of the Negro middle class, accompanied by greater social mobility which makes comparisons of status more likely.

In particular, black college students are believed likely to have a strong sense of relative deprivation which would predispose them to protest segregation and discrimination. In the survey, 827 students at three Negro colleges in North Carolina were given closed-ended questionnaires at class meetings. Nearly half the students were from upper middle-class families, and these indicated strong agreement with the position on segregation and discrimination taken by their college peers and by college-educated adults in the black community. In addition nearly three-fourths of those surveyed agreed with the positions taken by northern white college students. A very large majority endorsed sit-ins, picketing, and negotiation, but fewer than 1 percent accepted the use of violence. Over 90 percent of the respondents indicated that they had participated in some way in the protest movement.

Frequent participators (24 percent of the total) were more often from higher social status backgrounds than less frequent participants and were more optimistic about the amount of black support for the protests. Frequent participators were less likely to hold white people responsible for the failure of protests and were more active in extra-curricular activities than the infrequent participators.

Comments:

Despite the authors' contention that a shift in reference groups accounts for the movement from accommodation to militant behavior, there are no longitudinal data to support such a statement. Moreover no measures of relative deprivation (perceived or actual) are used to explain participation in sit-ins. As the authors admit, other forms of Negro protest arise from alienation and disaffection, but sit-ins as a form of nonviolent protest may appeal particularly to black college students with strong orientations toward the white middle class.

Shorter, D.L. (Kumea), "Towards Developing Black Activists: The Relationship of Beliefs in Individual and Collective Internal-External Control," Journal of Black Psychology, 3, 1, August 1976, 59-70.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Shorter attempts here to discover how blacks who become politically active differ from those who do not. Political activism is defined as "any action directed at the politico-economic system which is designed either to support or change the distribution of power and/or resources within the politico-economic system or the politico-economic system itself. The author suggests:

1. Politically active blacks tend to believe that blacks as a group control their lives and destiny. A sense of personal control (as measured by Levenson's Internal Scale) is not a distinguishing characteristic between the more and less politically active.

2. Politically active blacks tend to believe that fate or chance has little effect on the lives and destiny of blacks as a group. A sense that chance or fate controls one's own life (as measured by Levenson's Chance Scale) does not distinguish the less politically active persons from the more active.

A questionnaire was answered by 81 black undergraduates, divided into three groups on the basis of their responses to the Kerpelman and Weiner Activity Scale. For purposes of analysis, four internal-external control scales were used; one of these, the Kujichagulia scale developed by the author, measures an individual's beliefs about the control blacks have as a group.

The first of the two hypotheses listed above was rejected, the second supported. Highly active black students believe that blacks as a group are not controlled by chance or fate.

The author concludes that a contradiction between a sense of personal control and perceptions of blacks as a group controlled by outside forces stimulates action. To develop black activists, blacks therefore need to feel they have power over their own lives. They also need to develop an awareness that blacks are controlled by other powerful forces and that chance or fate do not control their lives.

Comments:

It is difficult to evaluate the reported findings because of a major design flaw. The author either did not control for sex or failed to report it if he did. Sex differences could be expected for all of the scales used, and if there were sex differences on the political activity scale (as is likely) the findings could have showed sex differences rather than differences in activism. The differences reported, though apparently significant, were slight and preclude sweeping generalizations. Finally, the Kujichagulia scales were developed for this study. No data on their reliability or validity are presented.

Turner, Castellano B., and Wilson, William J., "Dimensions of Racial Ideology: A Study of Urban Black Attitudes," Journal of Social Issues, 32, 2, 1976, 139-152.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Throughout American history there have been shifts in black people's attitudes toward racial interaction. Most recently, support for interracial cooperation in the early 1960s was followed by pessimism and alienation in the late 1960s and early 1970s. The intent of this study was to determine current black perspectives by empirically defining aspects of racial ideology and relating these to social and demographic characteristics.

A sample of 1,934 blacks was taken at random from one northern and one southern city, and their social, political, and economic attitudes were probed by black interviewers. Through factor analysis, six salient aspects of racial ideology were determined: separatism, black alienation, fears of genocide, racial consciousness, interracial cooperation, and violence. These were then related to social and demographic characteristics: age, sex, geographical area, and socioeconomic status (education, income, occupation, housing, and neighborhood).

Blacks who favor black separatism tend to be alienated, fearful of race genocide, and conscious of race. Neither interracial cooperation nor attitudes about violence was correlated with the other factors, except negatively with each other. Young black adults living in a northern city who had less than twelve years of education, earned lower incomes, rented their living quarters, and lived in a lower-income neighborhood tended to be separatist, alienated, and fearful of genocide, but they also scored high on interracial cooperation. Blacks under thirty who had lower incomes and rented their dwellings tended to be most favorable toward racial violence. After controlling for age, the only variable significantly related to racial violence was sex: males of all ages showed more approval of racial violence than females reported.

The authors conclude that the less secure a black individual feels about his socioeconomic position, the more receptive he is to belligerence and hostility towards whites. Age is most consistently related to negative feelings towards whites, reflecting the relation between security and age; that is, as people grow older they tend to have more stable jobs and homes. This study reinforces previous findings that more competitive race relations in the North result in greater disillusionment and hostility when blacks encounter racial barriers. This may mark an emerging emphasis in the black community on developing their own economic, political and social resources rather than attacking white institutions.

Comment:

Readers need an explanation showing why support of interracial cooperation coincides with attitudes of alienation, separatism, and fears of genocide among certain groups. Data are from only two cities and therefore do not furnish sufficient evidence of regional differences. It is also questionable whether the racial attitudes are mutually exclusive or have been accurately

tapped by the interviews.

No date is given for the collection of data. This is an ironic oversight, given the authors' position that black perspectives "ebb and flow" as a result of improvements or setbacks in race relations.

The identification of six aspects of racial ideology was noteworthy and should be used in future research. More sophisticated multivariate techniques would have helped in the analysis of social and demographic variables.

The fact that these data were collected in only one northern and one southern city, each having a population about one-third black, limits one's confidence in the application of the findings to all urban blacks.

OTHER SOCIAL MOVEMENTS AND COLLECTIVE ACTIONS

Poor Peoples' Movements

Fellman, Gordon, Barbara Brandt, and Roger Rosenbault, "Dagger in the Heart of Town: Mass Planners and Cambridge Workers," Trans-Action, September 1970, 39-47.

Approach: Descriptive
Methodology: Case Study

This article reports on a road construction controversy in Cambridge, Massachusetts. Data presented in this article were collected by a team of sociological observers who surveyed and participated in the every day lives of some 5,000 residents of the Brookline-Elm Street neighborhood, an area slated to be destroyed by the construction of a freeway. People living in the area were characterized as being of predominantly lower and lower middle income brackets (working class people of immigrant origins).

In 1965, Save Our Cities (SOC), the citizen's anti-highway group for the Brookline-Elm Street area was formed by the joint efforts of local leaders from the community and a large group of external supporters, most of them academics and professionals in city planning. Their main goal was to halt the construction of the belt and suggest alternative possibilities for its new location. However, because this article was written before any definitive action was taken on their case we are only given information to their success in temporarily holding off its construction.

We are told that two major problems which hindered SOC's protest efforts were:

- 1) the organizations' inability to rally enough support among the residents most affected because they felt powerless
- 2) the highway authority's lack of sensitivity in understanding the needs of those being affected by their plan.

From this experience, the authors suggest that there is a need for a person who can act as a representative for the less fortunate in the planning process, and at the same time transmit the assumptions and procedures being proposed by planners to those who may later become affected by their decisions.

Comments:

This article is interesting reading for those desiring to understand:

- 1) how legal coercive measures may themselves catalize or encourage the development of protest activities in an urban situation, and
- 2) what occurs when the leadership organization of a protest movement is not fully integrated with the social environment of its constituency--in this case most of the supporters for SOC were not residents of the area affected and did not share any of the background characteristics of the affected group. SOC subsequently failed in being able to relate to any of their constituency's immediate needs and could not encourage them to become supportive of SOC protest activities.

Gelb, Joyce and Alice Sardell, "Strategies for the Powerless: The Welfare Rights Movement in New York City," American Behavioral Scientist, 17, 4, March/April 1974, 507-30.

Approach: Theoretical/Descriptive
Methodology: Case Study

The welfare rights movement in New York City in the late 1960s is used to examine alternative strategies for giving the poor a greater voice in decisions affecting their interests. Using open-ended interviews with key participants in the welfare rights organization, as well as material from dissertations and press reports, the authors looked at successes and failures in these directions: 1) attracting members and sustaining the organization; 2) gaining support from key "reference publics" and allies; and 3) influencing "target groups," that is political decision-makers.

The "minimum standards campaign," in which recipients were encouraged to apply, in large numbers and at the same time, for all the special grants to which they were entitled, and to demonstrate in favor of the immediate processing of these grants, enjoyed a short-lived success. It was eventually defeated, however, by the response of the Welfare Administration, lack of support from sympathetic third parties, and a political environment hostile to public welfare spending.

The authors concluded that "ultimately neither community organization nor protest (is) likely to provide the poor with a sufficient degree of access to the policy-making process." They agree with Saul Alinsky that such movements cannot be sustained without the material incentives supplied by organization, but they deny Alinsky's assumption that mere existence of an organization guarantees success. Protest cannot influence public policy unless key actors in the political system are sympathetic, and such support is particularly hard to attract when the issue directly challenges certain societal values. Although the welfare rights movement had some national effect in helping to defeat the family assistance program, the reason was that this instance did not involve any commitment to an unpopular outlay of funds for welfare. Moreover, the success of the movement at the national level could not reverse the decline of the local welfare rights organizations because it could not provide direct material benefits to members.

Comments:

In this study of the relative efficacy of protest and community organization in making the political system more accessible to poor people, the authors compared a variety of theories and suggested some critical deficiencies in their application. They contrast the "protest strategies" suggested by James Q. Wilson and Cloward and Piven with the "community organization strategies" of Saul Alinsky and of Lipsky and Levi. Their conclusion is that no strategy will be really effective in the long run unless the political environment is ripe for change.

Hertz, Susan, "The Politics of the Welfare Mothers Movement: A Case Study,"
Signs, 2, 3, 1977.

Approach: Empirical/Descriptive
Methodology: Case Study

The author uses participant observation and intensive interviews to describe and explain the growth of welfare rights organizations in Minnesota in the late 1960s and early 1970s. Particular attention is given to the AFDC (Aid to Families with Dependent Children) League and the MWRO (Minnesota Welfare Rights Organization). Information was obtained primarily through interviews with 34 long-term members of both organizations.

The AFDC League was first organized in 1964 and its strategy was to participate directly in legislative politics and in self-help activities. Its primary goal was to change the public image of welfare recipients and to improve the welfare system by direct participation in the political process. The organization disappeared for two major reasons: 1) the organizers really believed in self-help and many of them went back to school, got jobs, and took themselves out of the welfare system, 2) the emergence of the MWRO, a more militant organization, which took over the activities of the League.

The MWRO was a local chapter of the national organization and its growth was characterized by two phases. In the first phase the group concentrated on mobilizing recipients into the organization and its tactics were much more militant than the AFDC League (although nonviolent and usually legal). Organization leaders discouraged self-help, arguing that it was the system that must change. Welfare administrators in Minnesota were unprepared to deal with the militant tactics of the MWRO and consequently the welfare mothers rarely received satisfactory responses to their demands.

The second phase of the MWRO strategy was to move into more conventional areas of politics. Leaders felt they had gained legitimacy as representatives of the poor and could successfully move into the political arena. In Minnesota, there was a reluctance to abandon militant tactics. Several unsuccessful campaigns plus the fact that the state MWRO organizer left the organization to go into other areas marked the collapse of the organization.

The author concludes that although welfare mothers groups disappeared, the movement had a positive effect on their self-image and involved them in the political process. Many reported an increased feeling of power. In this sense the movement brought about personal and political change among women who had not been reached by other movements or organizations.

Comments:

While the article provides an interesting description of the natural history of welfare mothers organizations in Minnesota, it offers very little information about the participants themselves. It would be important to know more about the characteristics of the leaders of the groups and how they differed from other members. It would also be useful to know how much membership overlap existed between the two organizations. In order to test the author's conclusions, we would need to know about previous organizational involvement the members may have had and also whether or not their experiences in the movement led them to engage in other types of political activity. The author focuses primarily on the leadership of the organizations in drawing her conclusions and offers very little information about the movement's effect on the rank and file members.

Hobsbawm, E.J., "Should the Poor Organize?" New York Review of Books,
March 23, 1978.

Approach: Theoretical
Methodology: Criticism

In their book Poor People's Movements, Francis Piven and Richard Cloward argue that 1) "the capacity of subordinate groups to defy institutional norms and to disrupt institutional life is their only important political resource," and 2) "the building of mass-based bureaucratic organizations generates oligarchical tendencies, facilitates the cooptation of movement leadership by dominant groups, and, most important, diminishes the use of disruptive tactics...in favor of an electoral strategy that wins little."

Hobsbawm, reviewing Poor People's Movements, supports the first statement. He applauds Piven and Cloward for their elucidation of a principle unknown, according to Hobsbawm, to many sociologists: people cannot defy institutions to which they do not contribute -- one such institution, Hobsbawm points out, being Congress. He approves of Piven and Cloward's structuralist interpretation of protest, their notion of how the very forms of protest are institutionally determined: the choice between strike and riot depends on whether the factory hires or not. And he reports with genuine enthusiasm these authors' conclusion that, given institutional constraints, the poor are most likely to improve their position by withdrawing their cooperation, on which those institutions -- governments, corporations, whatever -- ultimately depend for their existence.

Yet while he accepts the first statement, Piven and Cloward's definition of the political power of subordinate groups, he is suspicious of the second, their critique of bureaucratic organization as a means of bettering the status of subordinate groups. True, Hobsbawm admits, the student movement of the 1960s was effective, not despite its loose unstructured format, but precisely because this enabled it to "catch and propagate a mood at a crucial moment." Nevertheless, Hobsbawm cautions that in practical terms a policy of spontaneous and inarticulate institutional disruption licenses governments to repress subordinate groups right out of existence. "It is not enough," Hobsbawm writes, "to push and see what will happen." At the very least, Hobsbawm insists that some collective body which formulates the goals of subordinate groups is necessary to prevent institutions from taking over the formulation of goals. Hobsbawm also thinks that Piven and Cloward's pessimism about poor people's organizations should be balanced by pessimism about capitalist institutions -- themselves disoriented by global economic crises, a crumbling work ethic, and upheavals in the social order. "In short," Hobsbawm writes, "if the masses are incapable of controlling, or even predicting, their destiny, neither at present are the elites."

Comments:

Hobsbawm's review of Piven and Cloward's work is thorough and generally supportive. When he parts company with Piven and Cloward, he does so in order to place their conclusions, which are specifically directed at egalitarian activists struggling against unyielding institutional elites, in a larger contest, in which the elites themselves are variable factors.

Jackson, Larry and William Johnson, Protest by the Poor: The Welfare Rights Movement in New York City. Lexington, Mass.: Lexington Books, 1974.

Approach: Historical/Descriptive
Methodology: Case Study

Protest by the Poor is an account of the growth and decline of New York City's welfare rights movement. Noting that New York City, by the latter part of the 1960s, led a welfare dependency trend of national proportions, the authors address themselves to the questions: to what extent, and in what ways, was this trend related to welfare rights activism? There is never any doubt that the movement and the trend were positively correlated, as two facts make abundantly clear: 1) by 1968 the city boasted over 70 NWRO-affiliated organizations, and 2) between 1965 and 1968 the city's AFDC caseload nearly doubled.

To provide an historical context in which to pose their central questions, the authors chronicle the rise of welfare rights activism in the city. They contend that the movement was born of hope rather than frustration. Although by the mid-1960s the waning civil rights movement had created expectations among poor blacks which it could not bring to fulfillment, resulting in the urban riots of that era, a procedure for dealing with collective grievances had been developed and, with varying success, applied. Because the civil rights issue had once served as a political focal point around which a movement and an organization coalesced, it was reasonable to hope that the issue of welfare rights might become a new focus, might lead to new advancement. Moreover, the authors stress the fact that the welfare rights movement was recipient-oriented--it dealt with those who were already wards of the state and, as a result, expecting a certain level of well-being, rather than the unattached down-and-outers with no hope of relief.

During the winter of 1965 (in New York) the CWF (Committee of Welfare Families), under the aegis of MFY (Mobilization for Youth), launched a winter clothing campaign. In theory special grants were available through the welfare system which would enable eligible families to purchase badly needed winter clothing: in practice, these grants were scarce. By educating welfare recipients as to their rights in this matter, encouraging them to demand that these rights be honored, and locating leaders from among the recipient population and specially training them to organize the appeal, CWF sought to close the gap between what was promised and what was given. According to the authors, "the campaign was successful in obtaining winter clothing grants for 80 of the 110 clients who applied for them." Thus, while the campaign was relatively small in scope, it was successful in that it exposed the recipient population to a protest tactic which resulted in tangible personal gain. On the other hand, the ultimate goal--automatic dispensation of winter clothing grants "in the same manner that New York dispensed school clothing grants"--was denied.

Much of the early welfare rights activity which followed took the form of the winter clothing campaign: showing welfare clients what was available under the law, concentrating on a specific tangible benefit. By 1967 there was an explosion of requests for fair hearings concerning welfare benefits, which recipients now began to realize were legally, if not

yet actually theirs. Side by side with this legal strategy, a new militant strategy calling for the visible disruption of welfare centers began to evolve. In 1967 and 1968 the welfare rights movement in New York City was in full flower, characterized by three main elements--its focus on tangible benefits (largely in the form of special grants), its argument that clients were entitled to a certain minimum level of well-being, its militant stance. The movement was successful--so successful in fact that by April 1968 the New York State legislature began to think about terminating the special grant. The movement responded by escalating the level of protest for the period May-August 1968--staging daily demonstrations in all parts of the city--at the end of which time the grant nevertheless came to an end, and the movement itself soon followed.

Now, between 1965 and 1968, as mentioned above, the city's AFDC caseload nearly doubled. (In addition, throughout the 1960s, but particularly between 1966 and 1968, there was a substantial increase in the average grant level paid to the city's AFDC families.) The authors attempt to determine the exact nature of the welfare rights movement's influence upon the city's caseload. To do so, they isolate three "decision variables"--the application rates, the acceptance rate, and the closings rate. Multiple regression analysis identifies the individual effects attributable to each of the component variables. Liberalized acceptance was found to be least significant, undermining the claim that lax administration was the cause of the increased caseload. Applications, on the other hand, was most significant, and the authors think it reasonable to see the rising applications rate as a direct result of the welfare rights movement's campaign for minimum standards. The authors point out that even though the movement was recipient-oriented, and its primary effect was to motivate welfare clients to apply for special grants, the cornucopia of tangible rewards it produced had the secondary effect of inducing non-clients to apply for basic benefits.

The authors conclude that the welfare rights movement was highly effective while it lasted--that is, while the special grant lasted. In order to survive, welfare rights organizations must be able to deliver the goods (tangible benefits) to their constituencies; their failure to thrive has been largely the record of their failure to find or develop the equivalent of the special grant.

Comments:

This is an interesting and provocative analysis of the welfare rights movement in New York City.

Lipsky, Michael, "Rent Strikes: Poor Man's Weapon," Trans-Action, 6, 4, 1969, 10-15.

Approach: Theoretical/Descriptive
Methodology: Case Study

Because protest leaders must balance the ways in which they appeal to four separate constituencies (members of their own organization, mass media, third parties, and the targets of the protest), protest in general is not a reliable political weapon and is unlikely to prove successful in the long run. In order to illustrate this thesis, Lipsky describes the Harlem rent strikes of 1963 and 1964. By protest, he means any "political activity designed to dramatize an objection to some policies or conditions, using unconventional showmanship or display and aimed at obtaining rewards from the political system while working within that system." It has become "one tactic the poor can use to exert power and gain greater control over their lives."

Members of a leader's own organization can curtail the flexibility of his actions. In order to preserve the basis of their support, leaders must sustain involvement by intangible rewards and promises of material rewards, but must also limit protest in line with what they can reasonably expect of their followers. Thus they cannot plan strategies that involve a great deal of money or create much anxiety and danger. The limitations on the skill and resources of the protest organization's members may necessitate getting volunteer assistance from lawyers, students, and skilled nonprofessionals but usually these are scarce.

In order to get coverage from the mass media, protest leaders may take strong and extreme positions that will then alienate them from the target of the protest. The need to get support from third parties such as the general public, sympathetic liberals and others who can put pressure on the target of the protest may also conflict with what the protest leader must do to retain the interest and support of his followers. This is exemplified in situations where leaders bargain with public officials and then find that their supporters become outraged and discouraged. In order to deal with some of these tensions between different constituencies, the author suggests having a divided leadership structure so that "one leader may bargain with city officials while another continues rhetorical guerilla warfare" and one leader takes responsibility for administration while another takes responsibility for mobilization. He also suggests that successful "public relations" would reduce the strain between the militancy required to gain and maintain support and the reasonableness necessary to obtain concessions from target groups.

Protest success depends on a number of factors: enlarging the number of groups and individuals who are concerned about the issues, influencing the shape of the decision, not merely whether or not there will be a decision, attracting people of high status to work for their proposals, and presenting data that public officials find "objective" and "reasonable." But since public agencies are most responsive to their regular critics and immediate organizational allies, they will try to deflect pressure from these reference

groups without meeting any of the protest demands. Lipsky outlines six tactics available to the targets of the protest: 1) providing symbolic satisfactions such as ribbon cutting ceremonies, temporary procedures to alleviate the pressure, and other tactics which "take the heat off," 2) provide token satisfactions such as dramatic responses to a few cases, 3) changing internal procedures and organization so that the most desperate situations can be alleviated and thus deflect pressure for more general solutions, 4) arguing that their resources are limited or their options are few, 5) discrediting protest leaders and organizations, and 6) postponing action until public sympathy for the protest cools and the issues are forgotten, e.g., "studying the situation."

Thus, protest can be a tactic to build an organization, to increase or change the political consciousness of people, or to gain short run goals in a potentially sympathetic political environment. "But ultimately relatively powerless groups cannot rely on the protest process alone to help them obtain long-run goals, or general improvements in conditions. What they need for long-run success are stable political resources--in a word, power."

Comments:

While this article used a case study to make a rather convincing theoretical argument, further research should employ a comparative strategy to test it on protests engaged in by persons with varying degrees of access to the polity, with varying levels of sophistication and resources, and different styles of leadership.

Roach, Jack and Janet Roach, "Mobilizing the Poor: Road to a Dead End," Social Problems, 26, 2, December 1978; Piven, Frances Fox and Richard Cloward, "Social Movements and Societal Conditions: Response to Roach and Roach," Social Problems, 26, 2, December 1978; Roach, Jack and Janet Roach, "Disunity and Unity of the Working Class: Reply to Piven and Cloward," Social Problems, 26, 2, December 1978

Approach: Theoretical
Methodology: Criticism

In the first of this series of articles Roach and Roach take issue with what they consider the central theme in writings by Piven and Cloward: "...the poor, on their own, can effect a substantial improvement in their position in society, if they are militant enough." Piven and Cloward's position is appraised largely by criticizing their analysis of the failure of the National Welfare Rights Organization (NWRO), which Roach and Roach claim to be the "major test case for substantiating their views."

Roach and Roach agree with Piven and Cloward that the NWRO was unable to sustain a mass base or to gain significant concessions for its constituency. But they disagree with the lesson they say the authors drew from that failure: that independent collective action (disruptive protest) would have served the interests of the poor better than cooperative collective action (an organized assault on the electoral-representative system). This conclusion is contested on the grounds that 1) independent collective action by the poor entails the risk of repressive backlash, to which the poor are by definition highly vulnerable; 2) such independent action, tantamount to separatist organizing, alienates the poor from their most promising ally -- organized labor. Piven and Cloward's prescription for the poor is simply, these critics say, irrelevant because the poor have no real social power, and hence a militant strategy would fail. Organized labor, on the other hand, exists at "the point of production"; its power is commensurate with its crucial role in production. Roach and Roach therefore suggest that activists seeking to advance the interests of the poor ought to concentrate on "promoting socialist consciousness among the rank and file in the trade unions" -- a strategy they recognize to be indirect, but believe can be inherently more effective than Piven and Cloward's.

In their response Piven and Cloward argue that Roach and Roach's prescription for the poor derives from nineteenth century Marxist doctrine, and that such a perspective fails to account for the institutional realities of twentieth century capitalism. Whereas, for example, Marx expected an increase in the political power of the proletarian proportionate to the increasing concentration of workers in industrial work places, Piven and Cloward point out that institutional developments not anticipated by Marx (such as "the balkanization of the proletariat," whereby the proliferation of job titles within a complex bureaucracy fosters disunity and competition) limit that political power in form and extent.

Piven and Cloward's position is that all popular movements take place within a social and institutional context and are subject to similar constraints. They criticize Roach and Roach for arguing from historically specific circumstances (the rise and fall of the NWRO) to a doctrinal injunction (the NWRO should have aligned itself with organized labor); they reject the notion that general lessons can be abstracted in this way from a set of historical circumstances.

Their own position is that no such "rhetorical" injunctions can meaningfully be superimposed upon popular movements: "...popular insurgency does not proceed by someone else's rules or hopes; it has its own logic and direction. It flows from historically specific circumstances, and it is limited by those circumstances." In the case of the NWRO, the mechanization of agriculture and the subsequent migration of southern blacks to northern cities made their increased demand for relief inevitable. Moreover, racism -- the residue of a historical institution -- foreordained the hostility of the white working class toward blacks' demands and thus precluded any alignment with organized labor.

Piven and Cloward dispute the allegation by Roach and Roach that in supporting action by poor blacks against the relief system they are advocating separatist movements. Rather, they contend, in a society that denied them any means of support, poor blacks had no alternative. In reply to the criticism that protest entails a repressive backlash, Piven and Cloward concede that backlash is inherent in all class struggles, but is the price of progress. Moreover, they point out, even if gains by insurgent blacks are transient, they may erode the legal foundations of institutionalized racism, and to that extent pave the way for more broadly based struggles by the working class.

Finally Piven and Cloward summarize the major themes of their published works: 1) "The capacity of subordinate groups to defy institutional norms and to disrupt institutional life is their only important political resource" and 2) The building of mass-based organizations like the NWRO "generates oligarchical tendencies, facilitates cooptation of movement leadership by dominant groups, and, most important, diminishes the use of disruptive tactics...in favor of an electoral strategy that wins little."

The third article of the series presents the reply of Roach and Roach to Piven and Cloward. Two groups predominate in society, it is argued: the capitalist class and the working class. Subordinate groups seeking social gains appeal ultimately to one or the other. By adopting the strategy recommended by Piven and Cloward, taking independent collective action instead of aligning themselves with the working class, such groups inevitably turn to the capitalist class for concessions. These concessions are limited in scope and granted largely at the expense of the working class, hence they not only fail to advance the interests of the subordinate group, but they hinder the class struggle as a whole. On the other hand, Roach and Roach's strategy of aligning

with the working class permits such limited power as the subordinate group possesses to be applied where it is most likely to further the class struggle and hence to promote progressive social change.

Comments:

The actual content of Poor People's Movements justifies its authors' allegation that Roach and Roach approached the work with doctrinal prejudices. One significant distortion they commit is in claiming that the NWRO is the "major test case" that Piven and Cloward rely on to substantiate their views. In fact, "The Structuring of Protest," the first chapter of Poor People's Movements, contains a theoretical exposition which the authors rightly claim as their major contribution, and it is substantiated in the next four chapters with four separate case studies. Two are from the 1930s, "The Unemployed Workers' Movement" and "The Industrial Workers' Movement"; the other are from the 1960s, "The Civil Rights Movement" and "The Welfare Rights Movement." Since two of these chapters deal with the upsurge of the (predominantly white) working class during the Great Depression, the labor movement itself might well constitute Piven and Cloward's "major test case." Curiously, Roach and Roach, so concerned about the cause of organized labor, ignore the very chapters where Piven and Cloward explicitly discuss labor -- a puzzling lapse.

Another omission by Roach and Roach distorts Piven and Cloward's stand, which they claim to be that "the poor, on their own (Roach and Roach's emphasis), can effect a substantial improvement in their position in society if they are militant enough." This charge ignores the first chapter of Poor People's Movements, where Piven and Cloward set forth the context in which social movements are possible. In that light their actual position might be paraphrased as follows:

When calamitous change unsettles the institutional framework of the dominant regime, the ability of that institutional framework to insure the quiescence of subordinate groups is weakened, and the potential for rebellion--always latent within subordinate groups but ordinarily suppressed by the regulatory power of the regime--may be actualized. Defiance during such periods may result in gains for subordinate groups, but the nature, extent, and permanence of those gains is wholly contingent upon the new configuration of order into which the regime settles; gains wrested from a weakened regime are likely to be revoked once the regime again consolidates itself; unless those gains are somehow compatible with the new institutional framework. Thus for example unionization of labor, achieved during an economic crisis, proved to be a useful means of regulating labor and so became a permanent feature of the capitalist regime. Had labor demanded, say, collective ownership, it would still have attained unionization,

if anything, because that was what the regime was prepared to concede.

In Piven and Cloward's terms then, the poor, no matter how militant, can achieve only what the regime which determines their poverty is prepared to concede: moreover, their militancy itself depends upon the relative stability of the regime. That is a far cry from exhorting the poor to act on their own.

What Piven and Cloward do say is that activists seeking to advance the interests of the poor ought to nourish rebellion when it appears, taking the attitude that the regime is favorably unstable, and thus getting the greatest possible concessions. While Roach and Roach find such a strategy farfetched, it is certainly less indirect than their own plan of calling on activists to "promote socialist consciousness among the rank and file in the trade unions" in hopes that the gains of labor will trickle down to the unorganized poor.

They could not have issued such a prescription if they acknowledged the maxim Piven and Cloward set forth in their chapter "The Structuring of Protest": "People cannot defy institutions to which they have no access, and to which they make no contribution." The unorganized and unemployed poor can hardly be expected to align themselves with organized labor in the struggle against capital, the reason being precisely that they do not live at "the point of production." Piven and Cloward take great pains to show how the institutional contexts in which people live not only regulate their lives, when the institutions function, but regulate their defiance, when the institutions fail. Protest essentially is the refusal to cooperate with an institutional system, and the way in which cooperation is withheld depends entirely on the particular norm of cooperation imposed by the system. Factory workers normally cooperate with the assembly line; when they rebel, they walk off the job. That is appropriate. But what of the unorganized poor? To align themselves with labor in the struggle against capital, as Roach and Roach prescribe, would be wildly inappropriate. Instead, Piven and Cloward ask, "How do the poor normally cooperate with the system which denies them means of survival?" And they come up with the answer, "Normally, the poor acquiesce." Institutional conditions make only one form of protest available to the poor: to withdraw their silent cooperation and riot in the streets. Admittedly that is a harsh and troubling conclusion. But by failing to address the theoretical considerations out of which Piven and Cloward draw that conclusion, Roach and Roach forfeit the debate.

OTHER SOCIAL MOVEMENTS AND COLLECTIVE ACTIONS

Peace Movements

Abrams, Lloyd, "Commitment Via Public Protest as a Determinant of Attitudes Concerning U.S. Defense Policy and Political Dissent," Psychological Reports, 32, 1973, 251-54.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Controlled Experiment

Questionnaires assessing attitudes about U.S. defense policy and political protest were administered to 72 undergraduate volunteers at New York University four days before, and then two weeks after, the 1969 march on Washington. Students were tested both individually and in group (classroom) situations to determine whether their anti-war attitudes were strengthened as a result of participation in the march.

Participants in the march, as a whole, showed an increase in anti-war attitudes while nonparticipants showed a decrease. There was a strong effect for males but no effect for females of participation in the march which "could be explained by the fact that the males faced the draft and the females did not."

Comments:

The author makes no effort to explain the fact that the nonparticipants in the march exhibited a net decrease in anti-war attitudes, nearly equivalent in absolute value to the net increase shown by participants. Moreover, nonparticipating males showed an even stronger decrease in these attitudes than nonparticipating females, a result which cannot be explained in terms of their susceptibility to the draft.

Berkowitz, William R., "The Impact of Anti-Vietnam Demonstrations Upon National Public Opinion and Military Indicators," Social Science Research, 2, 1, 1973, 1-14.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

The national impact of anti-Vietnam protests was studied by analyzing five major demonstrations in the United States during 1965-71 for their effect on public opinion, American troop strength, and American expenditures on munitions. The demonstrations were selected on the basis of crowd size (from 10,000 to 250,000 participants in a single location), how closely they followed other demonstrations, and pages of coverage in Life, Time and Newsweek.

The effect on public opinion was ascertained from three Gallup Poll questions which periodically asked respondents if 1) they thought the United States made a mistake in sending fighting troops to Vietnam; 2) approved or disapproved of the way the president was handling the Vietnam situation; 3) approved or disapproved of his handling the job of president. Indicators of the impact on Vietnam policy consisted of 1) the number of U.S. troops in South Vietnam, and 2) the munitions expended in Indochina by months. Time intervals of 1, 3, 6, 12, and 24 months were chosen to permit analysis of both immediate and long-term effects.

Recognizing the problems in measuring the effectiveness of any attempts at social change, including protests -- e.g., the smallness of samples, a lack of comparable cases, and risks in attributing long-term effects to independent variables -- Berkowitz searched for a pattern of results by way of multiple investigations in which deviations from the trend line formed by indicator data were examined, and t-test comparisons were made between the mean amount of change over each interval for those sets of data in which a demonstration had either occurred or not occurred during the first month of the interval. Out of 25 separate t-test comparisons, 3 significant results were obtained indicating that approval for the president's handling of the Vietnam situation increased significantly after a major demonstration compared to equal periods without demonstrations, when one month and three months intervened between demonstration and measurement. There was also a greater increase in approval of the president's handling of his job after major demonstrations, compared to equal periods without demonstrations. Since much of the demonstrating expressed dissatisfaction with presidential actions, these increases in approval indirectly suggest that the demonstration had an unwanted effect. Such an interpretation is open to doubt, however, since the same effect did not show up in opinions about sending troops. Moreover the large number of comparisons makes chance findings possible, and all effects diminished over time. Finally, no significant effects were turned up by military indicators.

Besides noting that the data may well indicate that demonstrations did not affect public opinion, Berkowitz suggests that these results may be related to the methodology used in the study. The demonstrations may not have been large enough or attracted enough attention to influence

public opinion, or the dependent variables' might have been inadequate indicators. Analysis is also limited by problems in assessing the effects of a number of demonstrations over long intervals and the possible failure of the t-test to reveal complexities in the data. Berkowitz suggests ways to discover effects despite these limitations, and others, although he admits that with such complex events a given determinant will account for little of the variance.

For these reasons, although the demonstrations appeared to produce some relatively weak and transient negative effects, as shown by two questions from two public opinion polls, the author concluded that the "data in general do not reveal any consistent, dramatic, or lasting effects of the demonstrations one way or the other." In suggesting why the findings were inconclusive, Berkowitz noted that perhaps only the very largest demonstrations had significant effects, or the effect of a single demonstration might be less important than continuation of the movement as a whole. Perhaps other indicators should have been used -- he mentions several possibilities -- and other designs and analytic techniques. It is always possible, according to Berkowitz, that social protests in general may have little or no impact: "Americans may have become fed up with the Vietnam War not because of demonstrations, and certainly not because their perceptions concerning patriotism, morality or America's role in the world have changed at all, but rather because they have independently seen its persistence and inconclusiveness."

Comments:

The failure to establish any effect for the 15 selected demonstrations is suggestive but not conclusive, since more than 750 demonstrations took place between 1964 and 1971, all varying in size and prominence but deserving study for their possible impact. The measures of effectiveness were likewise too selective, and they suffered from recognized problems with questions on public opinion as well as inadequate data on military involvement. Other useful information relating to the effects of demonstrations might have been gained by examining the location of demonstrations, the time of their occurrence, the duration of each incident, and the response by police and other control agencies. None of these were analyzed.

Bolton, Charles, "Alienation and Action: A Study of Peace Group Members," American Journal of Sociology, 73, 3, November 1972, 537-61.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Survey Research

The membership of three peace organizations and a random sample of nonmembers in a suburban university community of about 11,000 were given questionnaires in 1972 designed to understand the relationship of social-psychological orientations and political attitudes to affiliation and activity in these groups. The three peace groups varied in degree of radicalness of ideology and action and a complete sample of their memberships was used (n=95). A random sample of households produced 234 respondents for the nonmember component of the study. In addition a small sample (30) of radical pacifists from outside the community composed of persons known to have engaged in tactics such as civil disobedience, lengthy peace marches and vigils who devoted a much higher proportion of their time to peace work than members of the other three peace groups was drawn.

Two ideological scales (political attitudes and foreign policy attitudes) as well as four alienation scales (powerlessness, meaninglessness, isolation and normlessness) were constructed and comparisons between all the groups studied were made.

Although the peace group sample as a whole was markedly more liberal in political attitudes and more oriented to international and pro-disarmament attitudes than the nonmember sample, there was considerable overlap between the two samples. "Thus, it appears that political and foreign policy attitudes alone are not sufficient to account for peace group membership, although their influence, particularly when taken in combination, is very considerable." In all four types of alienation, the peace group and nonmember samples differ significantly. Relative to the nonmember samples, peace group members are high in normlessness and isolation but low in meaninglessness and very low in feelings of powerlessness. "Most striking is the fact that peace group members are high in normlessness--that is, alienation from the economic-political structure--but very low in feelings of powerlessness to influence that structure." This was verified by data showing that peace group members were far more active in a wide range of political action than any nonmember sample or subsample. From data on the nonmember sample, the author found that there was a considerable number of people of comparable social status in essential agreement with peace group members on political and international questions, but who felt powerless to influence political affairs and did not become involved in organizations such as peace groups or political parties.

Using a scale measuring an underlying orientation to social phenomena (social explanation), feelings of powerlessness were found to be associated with social explanation. "It appears that political and foreign policy liberals who tend toward a biopsychological mode of social explanation have a sense of powerlessness and manifest a low level of political activity. Political and foreign policy liberals who tend toward a sociological mode of social explanation have a sense of social power and

are likely to be politically active, including activity in such organizations as peace groups."

Among the four peace-activist samples (the three peace groups and the sample of radical pacifists), normlessness, isolation, and meaninglessness all increased with degree of radical activity while powerlessness decreased as radicalism increased. The social explanation scale was also highly predictive of radicalism. "Thus, it seems reasonable to conclude that a high degree of meaninglessness, normlessness, and isolation, in combination with a high sense of being able to influence a social environment perceived in terms of a sociological mode of causation, predisposes people toward radical social action." Membership in groups can be explained primarily by reference to political and foreign policy attitudes but the radicalness or level of the activity of members is best explained by a combination of the social psychological orientations of meaninglessness, normlessness, isolation and powerlessness.

Comments:

This is an extremely interesting study of the effects of feelings of political efficacy and alienation in a specific type of collective group action. Bolton identifies certain problems with the analysis that must be considered in interpretation of findings. First, there are indefinite numbers of other orientations besides those considered which could be related to kinds and level of peace group activity. Second, the problems in attributing causality are unresolved since there was no before and after data to judge whether the social psychological orientations cause or result from group involvements. In that context, however, he tacked on 25 interviews with active members and leaders of peace groups which showed that 1) members of these groups were less often self-selected than recruited through their social networks (e.g., friends who already belonged, through groups such as a cooperative parents nursery, or from distinguishable status groups in the community), and 2) that the predisposing orientations developed in youth and early adulthood, prior to actual membership in a peace group.

Burton, Michael, "Elite Disunity and Collective Protest: The Vietnam Case," Journal of Political and Military Sociology, 5, 1977, 169-83.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Content Analysis

Using an "elitist" interpretation of the development of collective protests, this paper argues that an erosion of elite support for Vietnam policies occurred before popular disenchantment and protest and that this created the conditions necessary for the development of an anti-war protest of national significance. Elites include nationally prominent politicians, leading businessmen, top military officers, high-level civil servants, trade union leaders, and prominent intellectuals such as academicians, journalists, and social critics.

Although no major segment of the elite was fundamentally opposed to existing political institutions (which is a major precondition of revolution), they clearly became disunited on Vietnam policies and this is a major precondition of nationally significant collective protest.

Using a variety of admitted impressionistic evidence including criticisms appearing in the "Week in Review" section of the New York Times from 1962-1968, the author argues that 1) there was a publicly visible erosion of elite support for Vietnam policies well before the first major anti-war demonstration in 1965 and by this time elites had articulated all major rationales for opposing the war; 2) there were many widely publicized additional erosions of elite support for Vietnam policies preceding the other major protest in 1967; 3) a number of public elite figures were directly involved in encouraging, organizing, sponsoring and leading anti-war demonstrations, such as the Teach-In movement; 4) there was no similar anti-war protest against the Korean War largely because elites were highly unified in support of Korean War policies.

Elite disunity over national policies makes resources available for protest mobilization including leadership, general protection of constitutional rights, permission to use public facilities, access to communication networks, and moral, financial, and organizational support. In fact, "although many Americans thought protest of the Vietnam War was treasonous, relatively few sanctions were applied to anti-war protesters because so many prominent and powerful Americans also opposed the war."

These observations are used to raise serious doubts about past research suggesting that anti-war protest is evidence of a genuine youth rebellion; rather they suggest that the interpretation of conditions of strain and objective deprivation by elite persons determines whether nonelites will identify their grievances and be willing to protest them. This elitist approach is seen to complement recent resource mobilization theories because it is elite disunity that makes mobilization resources available. It also complements Smelser's notion of structural strain because it argues that strain is operant in a society to the extent that powerful persons perceive strain and are willing to articulate it.

Comments:

While this argument is persuasive for the development and emergence of a movement in which sophisticated and ideologically liberal people were involved, there is a need to underscore conditions in which this elite approach may not be particularly useful. It may be less helpful in understanding extremist movements involving less sophisticated and right wing participants and may also be less useful in predicting processes and developments once the movement has emerged. It would seem necessary in really testing this theory to trace the linkages between protest participants and elites and to determine the extent to which protest participants were really aware of the actions and attitudes of these elites.

Granberg, Donald and William May, "I-E and Orientations Toward the Vietnam War," Journal of Social Psychology, 88, 1, October 1972, 157-58.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Previous research has shown that nonviolent civil rights activists were disproportionately internal--and those willing to use violence, disproportionately external--in Locus of Control (i.e., whether or not external causal events are perceived to be contingent upon internally motivated actions). In April 1971, 325 sociology undergraduates (University of Missouri) completed questionnaires including a semantic differential for the war, a locus of control test, and a list of twenty-three types of protest actions in which they might have engaged. Correlational analysis and multiple regression analysis showed that, in this sample: 1) most students opposed the war in Vietnam, checking an average of 3.2 protest action items; 2) locus of control was not associated with dovish vs. hawkish actions or attitudes; 3) subjective social class, sex, and dogmatism were also unrelated to S's position toward the war; 4) taking protest action was somewhat related to each of the following: attitudes toward the war (.39), year in school (.21), authoritarianism (-.20), and religiosity (-.16)--these four factors accounted for 43% of the sample variance. The authors hypothesize that earlier in the war matters may have been different, and they continue to suspect that "internals will be disproportionately present in the early stages of a conventional, reform oriented social movement which has an assumption of the responsiveness of the social system."

Comments:

This is a sparse description, but a useful nonfinding with a good sized sample.

Hayes, James R., "The Dialectics of Resistance: An Analysis of the GI Movement," Journal of Social Issues, 31, 4, 1975, 125-39.

Approach: Descriptive/Theoretical

Social scientists have given little attention to the attempt by a small number of military personnel to create an antiwar, anti-military protest movement in the armed forces of the Vietnam era. Hayes' article briefly describes and analyzes this movement, focusing particularly on: a) some of the instrumental factors in starting and developing the GI movement, and b) the military's response to internal resistance.

In contrast to the continuing presence on a small scale of internal dissent within the United States military, the GI movement was a full-scale protest movement, unique to the Vietnam era. It emerged between 1965 and 1967 in a rather piecemeal and disorderly fashion, marked by individual acts of resistance. Many of these initial acts of resistance to the war were by officers, protesting independently with no real communication with others in the movement. These early resisters paid for their isolated acts of protest with prison sentences and dishonorable discharges.

Collective dissent regarding both anti-war and anti-military issues began to replace individual acts in 1968. At first it was expressed through uncoordinated groups of resisters, but joint interaction by these groups eventually came through the development of the GI underground press and through specific organizations to support the movement. The issue of the constitutional rights of military personnel came to the forefront in 1969, and GI participation in antiwar demonstrations continued to increase.

Throughout the rise of antiwar sentiment and antiwar confrontations, the military authorities responded with harsh suppression and punitive actions, a policy that not only heightened the sense of struggle among activist GIs but also occasioned much publicity and public support for their cause. Civilian antiwar and civil liberties groups began to view the dissident GIs as allies and provided legal and financial support. Eventually the military used administrative discharges in lieu of more repressive measures: and after this change, which coincided with the end of the war and the draft, the movement effectively quieted.

Comments:

This is a fascinating account of the emergence of a social movement within an organization devoted to order and discipline. It provides an analysis of the formation and transformation of the movement based on characteristics of participants, environmental and organizational factors, and the response of control agencies.

Kritzer, Herbert M., "Political Protest and Political Violence: A Nonrecursive Causal Model," *Social Forces*, 55, 3, March 1977, 630-40.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

What accounts for violence by both protesters and the police in the course of political protests, defined as "public group activity utilizing confrontation politics to apply stress to specific targets for the purpose of affecting public policy?" To answer this question, a nonrecursive causal model was developed and tested using data from 126 largely antiwar demonstrations, one-fourth of which involved violence.

The model suggests that the outbreak of violence at protests is a dynamic process, resulting from events specific to the settings themselves. Three underlying causes of violence by protesters were suggested: 1) beliefs about the morality and function of violence in general (normative choices); 2) a perception that violence is an effective tool both in general and in the specific situation; and 3) provocation by violent police action in the specific situation. Violence by police was said to result from 1) an anticipation of trouble from protesters and in some cases a conscious intention or at least a readiness to commit violence; 2) provocation, verbal or symbolic, by protesters, and 3) violence by protesters.

All data were derived from the key event questionnaire designed by MacCannell which produces specific information on 145 aspects of each event. A mail survey yielded 118 descriptions of events from persons who had participated in nonviolent action training programs; data on the remaining eight events, which took place during the spring 1971 antiwar demonstrations in Washington, D.C., were from observers employed on a research project to study nonviolent direct action. It was not possible to test the model directly since no information was available on several of the variables, but the actual departures from the original model were minor. Six Guttman scales were constructed to reduce the original items: 1) "arrests" (the nature of arrests made), 2) "equipment" (the presence of heavy police equipment), 3) "cops" (the type of police present), 4) "CD" (the nature of the civil disobedience by protesters), 5) "Police" (the use of violence by police), and 6) "protesters" (the use of violence by protesters, including verbal violence). Three other individual items were used: 1) whether demonstrators used a finger as a sign of contempt, 2) whether they shouted obscenities; and 3) whether they were trying to avoid being violent.

The model appeared to predict quite well the use of violence by protesters, the police and the nature of arrests. The most important factor accounting for violence during any single event was action by police and protesters. Protesters' violence was primarily the result of violence by police, and police violence was most commonly only the result of violence by the other side.

Comments:

This article is both provocative and interesting because it asserts that the violence is less a function of long-standing beliefs, decisions, or strategies of police and dissidents than a result of their immediate interaction. Kritzer does not confront the question of what sets off the violence and argues that this present analysis makes it an issue for further research. Since the sequence of events was not specified, we do not know whether protesters or police tend to initiate the violence.

The findings must be viewed cautiously because of limitations in the sample and in the response rate to the questionnaires. Most of the descriptions of events were by persons who had participated in training programs in nonviolent action, and most of the events were demonstrations against war. Although we are not given any information about the time covered, or the types of participants, the events can apparently be generalized only to a subset of political protests which are largely nonviolent, strongly ideological, and relative short. The actual response rate to the mailed questionnaire is not given, but a yield of only 118 usable questionnaires from a 20 percent mailing to several thousand respondents would be about 20 percent (which is extremely low). Yet as an exploratory attempt to understand the conditions under which violence will occur and as an interesting methodological model, this article should interest most readers.

Mann, Leon, "Counting the Crowd: Effects of Editorial Policy on Estimates," Journalism Quarterly, 51, 2, 1974, 278-85.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Content Analysis

Estimates of crowds attending large, well-publicized demonstrations have aroused much controversy because they are believed to indicate the strength of dissent. This study suggests that press reports of attendance at anti-war rallies tend to reflect the newspaper's position on the Vietnam issues.

Two major anti-war rallies in Washington on November 27, 1965 and October 21-22, 1967, were selected to test whether the crowds estimated by hawkish newspapers differed in general from those reported by the dove press. The crowd at the first rally was estimated by the sponsors at 40,000-50,000; the National Park Police estimated 20,000-25,000. Estimates for the second rally diverged even more; organizers of the march estimated 150,000-200,000 participants; the National Park Police guessed 37,000. Accounts of each rally in more than twenty newspapers were analyzed regarding their reports on crowd size and their editorial policy. The newspapers were then classified as "hawk," "probable hawk," "probable dove," and "dove;" the crowd estimate was taken to be the figure or an average of the figures each newspaper reported for attendance at the demonstration.

For both rallies, "dove" dailies, giving equal weight to the estimates by police and by the sponsor, had the highest crowd estimate. "Hawks," which relied exclusively on the police estimate or offered an estimate of their own, were lowest. The hawkish newspapers thus tended to rely on the lower estimates of the Park Police, while the newspapers on the side of the doves followed the higher Metropolitan Police estimate.

The author suggests that "the political position of a newspaper had some bearing on the sources it chose to quote, which in turn affected its report of attendance at the demonstration. Since hawks and doves drew their stories from wire services and from their own staffs, it would appear that for this event, editorial policy, rather than lack of information was responsible for the selective reporting of crowd estimates." He also argues, however, that large discrepancies between the crowd counts of newspapers would not be likely if the estimate offered by an authoritative source indicated that the gathering was so impressive that an attempt to arrive at an exact figure would be meaningless.

Comments:

The fact that the political position of news sources influences their "factual reporting" of collective disorders is demonstrated in this article which suggests that their reports can provide important evidence for or against media bias.

Morse, Stanley and Stanton Peele, "A Study of Participants in an Anti-Vietnam War Demonstration," Journal of Social Issues, 127, 4, 1971, 113-35.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Data gathered from 410 protesters traveling on buses and trains from Chicago to an anti-Vietnam war rally in Washington, D.C. in 1967 were used to understand the way in which political attitudes, feelings of nationalism, and socioeconomic characteristics affect political actions such as participation in demonstrations. The basic framework of the research was that these demonstrators would stress political action aspects of the national role of citizens and would react more positively toward America's ideological base than toward its traditional symbols.

The backgrounds of these political activists were similar to those of students involved in on-campus political actions as measured by previous research efforts. Nearly all were white and from urban backgrounds and most were planning an education beyond college. They came from relatively high socioeconomic backgrounds and had parents with relatively high academic achievement. Both the student and nonstudent demonstrators had high educational levels but the 91 nonstudent protesters in the sample were most often female and had more heterogeneous income levels than the students. Most of the demonstrators came from Jewish backgrounds.

The demonstrators shared a belief that a good citizen should play an active role in the political process and they responded more positively toward ideological (e.g., the Bill of Rights) than traditional (e.g., Congress) U.S. symbols. They were more oriented toward the international rather than national political system and were concerned more with humanity in general than with any particular political system.

There were important differences in the beliefs of the student and nonstudent protesters. Over three-fourths of the nonstudents favored one of the socialist parties, while only 39% of the students favored them. Nonstudents were significantly more alienated from the political system and less optimistic about their political efficacy than students. The authors argue that there was a good deal of indirect evidence that one segment of the nonstudents (the older ones) seemed to be continuing a Socialist tradition of protest that had its heyday in the 1930s.

In an attempt to compare the protesters with a sample of "typical Americans" (i.e., a random sample of respondents in Ann Arbor, Michigan in 1967), they found that the protesters were less oriented toward traditional U.S. symbols, were more concerned with ideology and its symbolic representations, and more often felt that the United States had markedly diverged from the ideals on which it was founded.

Arguing that further research on the role conceptions of other Americans, including right-wing protesters, politically inactive but radical Americans, and just plain citizens, is necessary they suggest that the "protesters' tendency to empathize with humanity is probably a complex phenomenon resulting from the interaction of sociological factors and certain social

psychological forces in their histories."

Comments: Unfortunately, this study is largely descriptive and lacks any real attempt to systematically measure differences in ideology between different types of protesters or between protesters and nonprotesters. Moreover, there is only a meager effort to explain the few significant findings that emerged.

Peele, Stanton and Stanley Morse, "On Studying a Social Movement,"
Public Opinion Quarterly, 33, 3, 1969, 409-11.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

In this methodological note on the authors' experiences in administering a questionnaire to people participating in an anti-Vietnam war demonstration in Washington, D.C. in 1967, some pointers for others engaged in this type of research are given.

Rather than trying to draw a sample of participants in Washington, they decided to select the sample from those persons coming from a particular city because this would ease the problem of access and availability of respondents. They chose Chicago as the site for sampling because it was far enough from Washington so that most individuals would be traveling in groups and also because it was near their own home base. Although the movement was haphazardly organized, they did find that one group, the Chicago Peace Council (CPC) was coordinating the transportation of the demonstrators and was happy to cooperate with the researchers. Access to respondents was facilitated by shared anti-war sentiments on the part of the researchers and similarity in dress (long hair and beards) as well as their willingness to participate in some of the routine clerical duties of CPC. They also hired "bus captains" to administer the questionnaire on the buses and trains en route to Washington, which gave them information on the attitudes and perspectives of protestors prior to their actual participation in the demonstration. The questionnaire avoided highly controversial questions, required little writing and began with a relatively complete letter of introduction and set of instructions. In this letter, the researchers stressed the desire to gain accurate information, in contrast to the often biased characterizations of demonstrators which appeared in the press. The approach "worked remarkably well, yielding a more than 90 percent response rate."

Comments:

This short methodological note is extremely useful in designing research in which sensitive populations are involved. The response rate to the questionnaire may, however, be an artifact of the relatively captive population, more than the other aspects of the research procedures outlined.

Rinaldi, Matthew, "The Olive-Drab Rebels: Military Organizing During the Vietnam Era," Radical America, 8, 3, May-June 1973, 17-52.

Approach: Descriptive/Historical

Methodology: Observation

The author chronicles the rise and fall of dissent in the armed forces during the Vietnam war. He found that dissent did not by and large originate within the armed forces but rather was imported, after a certain time lag, from the organized civilian left. However, the radicalization of the armed forces was not, as might have been expected, primarily due to the drafting of civilian dissenters. As a Pentagon study showed, 64% of chronic AWOL's during the war years were enlistees, a fact which the author explains by means of a relative deprivation hypothesis: draftees went in for two years, expecting the worst, keeping their heads down and waiting it out, whereas enlistees, who signed up for three or four years looking for the glamorous career the recruiters had promised them, were unprepared for the ugly reality of the war and became violently disaffected.

Two major foci of political organizing during the war years were underground newspapers and off-base coffeehouses. The newspapers were prepared and circulated by members of the organized left who found themselves in an ideologue's paradise: class discrimination, which had never been more than a vague abstraction to working-class youth, became a life-and-death matter in the army. The disproportionate number of working-class GI's (who had neither the education nor the wealth to take advantage of college or other means of avoiding induction) created a volatile situation, the worsening of the war provided the catalyst: GI's embraced the radical viewpoint articulated in the underground newspapers. The coffeehouses provided environments in which GI's could share and consolidate their new-found radicalism. The coffeehouses were staffed by civilians, and although the military brass could have taken action against them (subverting soldiers being, after all, a crime) the fact that they did not indicated their respect for the growing anti-war movement among the civilian population at large.

Anti-war organizing within the military faded away, of course, as the war ground to a halt. The author concludes with a few remarks about the overall effect of the phenomenon. To what extent did the attempt by the left to organize the U.S. working class while in uniform and subjected to the atrocious circumstances of a floundering war carry over once the war had ended? In the author's opinion, although the working class is not now visibly more radical, "at the very least, the military tradition in the U.S. working class suffered a major setback."

Comments:

A very interesting article. The description of the vulnerability of the U.S. army to leftist organizing is particularly intriguing in light of the failure of the left to organize the larger society.

Solomon, Frederic and Jacob Fishman, "Youth and Peace: A Psychosocial Study of Student Peace Demonstrators in Washington, D.C.," The Journal of Social Issues, 20, 4, October 1964, 54-73.

Approach: Empirical/Descriptive
Methodology: Survey Research; Observation

This is a report of the findings of an "on the spot" research project carried out during a two-day demonstration by student peace demonstrators in Washington in 1962. Short answer questionnaires were filled out by 218 participants selected "randomly" by a group of medical student research assistants and in-depth interviews were conducted with 29 other subjects by four psychiatrists and one psychologist. This sample represented approximately 6 percent of the total number of registered demonstrators (4,000). Informal observations were also made and group interviews were conducted with peace demonstrators as well as with some of the opposing counter-pickets from conservative student groups. Eighteen months later a follow-up questionnaire was sent to some of the peace demonstrator sample.

Some of the results of the study of demonstrators included:

- 1) The demonstrators were quite young, with a mean age of 18 ½ years.
- 2) They tended to have no well formed, comprehensive political ideology and many spoke directly of the civil rights movement as the model for political action.
- 3) The majority of the demonstrators were male, with homes in urban areas, from small middle-class families, majoring in the humanities or social sciences, with no religious preference.
- 4) Their perceived goals of the demonstration were mainly to strengthen and expand the student peace movement itself and the majority did not really expect any change in policy or in the attitudes of government officials. Almost everyone hoped that the publicity given the demonstration would somehow result in increased public arousal and awareness of the issues.
- 5) Personal motivations to participate included the reduction of feelings of isolation, to combat feelings of helplessness, anxiety and uncertainty about the future, a desire for political action, a sense of guilt about inactivity, and "striving for purity."
- 6) The majority of students came from politically liberal families, but they were "rebellious" in going far beyond parental experience in the realm of public action. Half of the participants who filled out questionnaires felt that there was a definite risk because government agents were observing them and that government jobs might be later denied to participants. However, very few students felt that there was any real risk academically because of lost time or school disapproval or from family disapproval or physical harm.

- 7) Three-fourths of the demonstrators were members of some organization sponsoring the rally. Only 3 percent of the sample were totally alone in that they knew no one else in the demonstration. Indeed, the vast majority of students reported having six or more friends who also had come to demonstrate in Washington.
- 8) The data strongly suggests that the development of the student civil rights movement had a profound influence in mobilizing the potential for student social action, in demonstrating the possibility of such action being effective, and in focusing attention on the techniques of nonviolent public action and civil disobedience.
- 9) The age period in which first feelings for social or political causes were most likely to develop was 12 to 15 years, and these first experiences and activities usually came about outside of and fairly independent of family experience and conscious influence.

Interviews conducted with ten counter-pickets from various conservative student groups were used to compare data on general characteristics and attitudes with those of the peace demonstrators. All of the counter-pickets were white and predominantly Catholic, and were generally preparing for careers in businesses, law, or foreign service. The difference in attitudes between these students and the peace group seem only partially related to rationally formulated positions and partly to basic psychosocial attitudes such as trust-distrust, cowardice-bravery, etc.

Twenty-one percent of the original questionnaire group responded to a follow-up questionnaire mailed eighteen months later. Of these a clear majority were still actively engaged in some sort of political and social action but less with issues of peace and disarmament than with civil rights.

Comments:

"On the spot" research is always plagued with methodological problems and this study is no exception. The sample size is small and though the attempt to "randomize" it was well intentioned, it was probably not successful since the research assistants were allowed to pick anyone and were paid for each completed questionnaire. The orientation of the researchers, particularly the interviewers, who were psychiatrists and psychologists, led to a focus on psychosocial factors underlying participation and ignored some of the situational and ideological determinants. For example, no attention was given to how these students happened to become involved in the organizations which sponsored the demonstration and why they chose this particular form of protest as a vehicle for their psychosocial motivations.

Teevan, Richard C. and Louis W. Stamps, "A Motivational Correlate of Viet Nam Protest Group Members," Psychological Reports, 33, 3, 1973, 777-78.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Controlled Experiment

An earlier series of studies by Teevan and his colleagues demonstrated that persons who scored high on the Hostile Press Thematic Apperception Test projective test exhibited the following: a) fear of failure, b) defensiveness toward authority, c) sensitivity to hostility in the environment, d) responsiveness to group approval, e) "paranoid-like" anxiety, f) an anxious regard for the future, and g) a generalized aggressive response to externally imposed restrictions. Teevan and Stamps then hypothesized that this projective measure might distinguish antiwar protestors from nonprotestors.

Undergraduates at a large state university wrote projective protocols in response to four T.A.T. slides. Sixty subjects had not been involved in protests; 39 were then members of active antiwar protest groups. Marginally significant results showed higher scores for protest group members. The variance explained by this difference is not specified, but it is likely to be quite small. Nonetheless, the authors conclude that "it is possible to say that protest group members are reacting to the externally imposed restrictions placed upon them by the United States government -- the Viet Nam policy -- with a form of generalized aggression -- the demonstrations. Because the people high in hostile press are filled with manifest anxiety and paranoid-type anxiety and feel an anxious regard for the future while perceiving much hostility in their environment, they might find it difficult to handle this anxiety about the future without some form of anxiety-releasing (tension-releasing) mechanisms."

Comments:

How these projective reactions exceed the expectable "reactance" is not clear. Stamps and Teevan are also remiss in failing to specify the magnitude of the differences they found. Perhaps more seriously, they confuse the requisites of causal evidence in their effort to validate this Hostile Press personality characteristic. A student's engagement in antiwar activities might very well itself affect the projective measures. Such measures cannot then demonstrate that a "hostile personality" caused the person to protest. One could just as reasonably conclude that the anger a man feels after being mugged represents a trait that explains the mugging.

Useem, Michael, "Ideological and Interpersonal Change in the Radical Protest Movement," Social Problems, 19, 4, Spring 1972, 451-69.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Protest movements, in seeking to expand by recruiting new members relatively rapidly, face severe problems in maintaining their original goals, ideologies and identities. The author theorizes that:

"If the influx of new initiates is relatively rapid and large, if the membership criteria are not restrictive, and if there is little formal organization in the movement, two developments are possible. The new people, lacking interpersonal loyalties and political commitments to the movement, may swing the organization toward a less cohesive and less radical direction as their numbers, thinking, and external commitments come to dominate the movement. On the other hand, the radical character of the movement may survive if socializing processes lead to effective integration of the newcomers. In the first instance newcomer identity is preserved while the character of the movement is altered. In the second case the movement retains its identity while the newcomers experience a transformation in their social and political identity."

In his case study of the American draft resistance movement of 1967-68, he focuses on the second outcome--the ideological and interpersonal changes experienced by newcomers to the resistance movement. Although most of the early organizers of the movement had been active in New Left groups, for a significant fraction of the newcomers the resistance was their first acquaintance with radical protest politics. Membership criteria were not restrictive. All that was required was opposition to the draft and the Vietnam war. There was little formal organization at a national level and local resistance groups developed little structure. Yet the movement retained its radical orientation throughout its existence, which meant that many of those who joined it underwent significant transformations in their social and political identities rather than that the movement was transformed by the influx of newcomers.

The resisters who were relatively radical prior to participation in the movement were more likely to have entered the resistance as a means of strengthening a movement for change (e.g., to increase the political effectiveness of the movement) whereas those without such a background placed greater emphasis on the individual and moral implications of their involvement (e.g., as an expression of personal convictions). Despite the initial distance between organizers and those new to the left, the newcomers not only came to accept the radical tenets of the movement but in many cases advanced considerably beyond the perspective of those who originally knit the movement together.

Several characteristics of the resistance movement were seen as critical in facilitating this conversion. The act of burning one's draft card was very risky and tended to require not only a strong resolution prior to doing

it but also further action afterwards to justify it and to demonstrate seriousness of the action. Thus there was a strong tendency for draft card burners to become highly involved in movement activities right away. This was also partially because the movement offered support and solace in the face of presumed imminent arrest and prosecution. Moreover since many newcomers entered at the same time, they "kept the oldtimers and their philosophy from being too overpowering or intimidating." But the fact that there were seasoned activists around provided a structured opportunity for direct personal contact with them.

For many resisters, especially those without left backgrounds, it was a period of alienation from close associates and parents and friends and diminished contact with non-movement persons. Although a significant fraction of the pre-resistance friends were retained, they were also undergoing considerable radicalization.

Comments:

This is an interesting portrait of the process of socialization following mass recruitment into a social movement and is considerably enhanced by the raw material from the interviews contained within the article. Unfortunately, there are some questions about the sampling method not answered in the article which affect the validity of the conclusions. Although the author mentions that a total of 274 names were generated through the snowballing technique, the sample consisted of only 97 persons. While he explained that 50 names were deleted due to refusal to participate, inability to locate respondents, or the fact that they turned out not to have been draft resisters, he did not tell us why the remaining 224 names led to only 97 interviews. He also indicated that the snowball technique seemed to involve a bias toward respondents who were more amenable to interviews, more active in resistance programs, and of greater prominence in the movement. This would seem to cast some doubt on the adequacy of data from these respondents in accounting for the effectiveness of the movement in socializing and incorporating the bulk of newcomers.

OTHER SOCIAL MOVEMENTS AND COLLECTIVE ACTIONS

Feminism and Women's Liberation

Arnott, Catherine, "Feminists and Anti-Feminists as 'True Believers'," Sociology and Social Research, 57, 3, April 1973, 300-06.

Approach: Empirical/Descriptive
Methodology: Case Study

"In 1951 Eric Hoffer maintained (in The True Believer) that proponents of opposing ideologies (radical and reactionaries) are drawn from the same 'personality pool'--'frustrated failures'." Data drawn from a questionnaire comparison of married women in feminist--NOW--(n=20) and anti-feminist--Fascinating Womanhood--(n=41) groups provide an opportunity to examine Hoffer's thesis from a sociological viewpoint. The findings show that feminists were slightly younger, better education, more often married to professionals, less religious and had fewer children than anti-feminists. Both groups of women had strong and close relationships with their husbands who supported their extra-marital activities; and more feminists had careers other than "wifing." What the author concludes from this is that both groups are "true believers" but "neither gave evidence of being "frustrated failures"..."

Comments:

The data brought to bear on Hoffer's hypothesis is so inadequate as to make the findings almost meaningless. The samples are incredibly small and the author herself admits "...the special characteristics of the sample must be kept in mind when making any generalizations from...this study..."

Arrington, Theodore S. and Patricia A. Kyle, "Equal Rights Amendment Activists in North Carolina," Signs, 3, 3, 1978, 666-80.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Survey Research

This article examines the nature and characteristics of Equal Rights activists in North Carolina in 1975, using the hypothesis that ERA activists are similar to other political party activists in political activity, political attitudes, personality characteristics, socioeconomic status, religion, social cross-pressures, geography, and parental influences.

Data on these ERA activists was collected by mail questionnaires sent to 200 persons randomly selected from signed letters to the editor of widely circulated newspapers, newspaper stories about ERA, speeches before the state legislature, organizational leaders of active women's groups, and membership lists of involved groups. Four separate samples of 50 persons each were drawn: Women for ERA, women against ERA, men for ERA, and men against ERA.

All of the respondents took an active role in the ERA dispute in North Carolina, but women for ERA spent many more hours on ERA work than the other three groups and women against ERA were most likely to report that they had never been involved in a public issue before. Among the pro-ERA activists, women were reported performing the more visible functions, but in the anti-ERA camp, men were most visibly active. There was a good deal of political partisanship among respondents with the pro-ERA activists espousing other liberal causes such as increasing the domestic social program budget and ending the death penalty while anti-ERA activists were opposed to busing to achieve racial balance, believed in buttressing law enforcement agencies in order to control crime, and so on. Many of the pro-ERA activists were liberal activist Democrats and the majority of anti-ERA men were Republican activists but most of the anti-ERA women identified themselves as Independents.

With regard to ERA issues, all of the pro-ERA activists felt that there was discrimination against women and that the government should take action to achieve equal pay for equal work for the two sexes. Most women against ERA denied significant discrimination but the men against ERA were equally divided on this question. The major division between the pro and anti forces was between those that wanted additional action by the government and those who did not. The anti group was largely opposed to abortion on demand while most of the pro people favored it.

Using four personality scales (political alienation, dogmatism, trust in people, and personal competence) they found no statistically significant differences among the groups. They all felt capable and politically effective, were open minded, were highly trusting and felt personally competent.

When compared with the population of North Carolina, ERA activists were better educated, with higher incomes, and younger. However, there were some significant differences between the four groups. The men were better educated than the women, with women against ERA being the least well educated. While almost all the pro-ERA women worked outside the home and more than half

were in professional occupations, women against ERA were primarily housewives. While most of the men against ERA had wives who stayed at home, most of the pro-ERA men had working wives who were professionals. Almost all of the respondents were married and white. The overwhelming majority of anti-ERA women belonged to the major fundamentalist religions while the other three groups were mainly members of nonfundamentalist religious or not members of any religious group. Most of the ERA activists were raised in small town urban areas.

The authors find the anti-ERA women to be the most interesting because they are the 'single issue activists' "about whom so little has been written, who were mobilized primarily by fundamentalist ministers to oppose passage of the ERA and...because the personal and political characteristics of its members do not fit most of the hypothesized characteristics ascribed to opponents of women's liberation."

Comments:

Although this article attempted to comprehensively characterize ERA activists in North Carolina, the low response rate of 45 percent overall (only 27 percent for anti-ERA activists) and the small initial sample severely limit the credibility of the study.

It is not clear that the "typical, traditional" U.S. political activist with which the authors compared ERA activists really exists as the authors used extremely selective sources in forming their existence and identifying their characteristics. Moreover, why would one expect all ERA activists to be similar to this "typical" activist. The authors themselves noted that previous studies found unfavorable attitudes towards women's liberation to be associated with dogmatism, authoritarianism, and lack of trust of others--personality characteristics dissimilar to those of the 'typical' activist.

A third problem of this study is that the authors do not interpret the data accurately or completely. In some cases their interpretations go beyond the data reported. For instance, although the anti-ERA women did tend to be first-time political participants and members of fundamentalist churches, these findings do not support the interpretation that these women were "mobilized primarily by fundamentalist ministers to oppose the passage of the ERA." In other instances the authors fail to interpret the data they presented. For example, without accounting for the real differences in work experience between the pro- and anti-ERA women or the differences in family background between anti-ERA women and the pro- groups, etc., the authors contended that demographic factors were not sufficient to explain the different behavior of the pro- and anti-ERA forces.

Overall, this study should be viewed as an initial attempt to identify the characteristics of ERA activists. Additional research with a refined research design is needed. Initial hypotheses should specify both similarities and differences which can be expected among the various pro- and anti-ERA groups. More systematic comparisons, e.g., between anti- and pro-ERA women, between women and men against ERA, between early and late joiners to the cause, and between ERA activists in various roles would be useful.

Fowler, Marguerite G., Robert L. Fowler, and Hani Van De Riet, "Feminism and Political Radicalism," Journal of Psychology, 83, 1973, 237-42.

Approach: Empirical/Descriptive
Methodology: Survey Research

This study examined the political attitudes of feminist women in relation to those of their contemporary female peers. The subjects were 100 women aged 17-54: the feminist group (n=50) was composed of women selected from those attending the 1972 Women's Symposium at the University of Florida; the group of peers (n=50) was drawn from classes of upper-division university women. Both groups completed the Attitudes Toward Feminist Belief-Pattern Scale, the Conservatism-Radicalism Opinionnaire, and a questionnaire providing biographical information and opinions regarding feminine and political topics.

The feminist and peer groups did not differ significantly in age, educational level, marital status, and political party preference (although there were more Democrats among the feminists; more Republicans among the peers). They did, however, vary significantly on their feminism and conservatism-radicalism scores. Subjects in the feminist group scored higher on feminism and lower on political conservatism than did their peer counterparts. Furthermore, a high feminism score was found to be very reliably related to a high radicalism score (radicalism is here defined as an expectation of and striving for social change and new values).

Questionnaire items on various political topics did not significantly differentiate between the groups. Exceptions were the importance attributed by peers to the issue of inflation, and the admiration expressed for a woman in public life: feminists selected Shirley Chisholm, whereas their peers most frequently endorsed Patricia Nixon. No difference was evident from questions on whether the women's vote in 1972 would expand women's rights and opportunities, and whether or not the subject would "cast the same vote in the 1972 presidential election as that of the primary man in her life." It was suggested that this lack of significant differences between feminist women and their female peers on several political and feminist issues, in spite of their measured differences in political and feminist orientations, may be accounted for by differing levels of activism.

Comments:

The data were incompletely presented and thus it is not known what issues (other than Vietnam and inflation) were included in the measure concerning attitudes toward political issues. It also is not known if the feminist issues were limited to the three mentioned above--nor were data provided for the three. Thus, in addition to not being an ambitious study, it is inadequately described, the results are not fully presented, the reliability and validity of the attitude scales are not addressed, and the results are weakened by a 50% response rate for feminists and an unreported rate of response by members of the peer group. Although the authors assert a more radical, more activist orientation among feminists, all of the women in this study scored relatively--and "equally"--high on radicalism. Little can be asserted about feminists--as distinct from nonfeminists--when the critical measures fail to distinguish them from the "control"

group. While it seems clear that the "feminists" in this study were feminists, it is not clear what population was represented by the "nonfeminist radicals" in the college sample.

Freeman, Jo, "The Origins of the Women's Liberation Movement," American Journal of Sociology, 78, 4, 1973, 792-811.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Case Study; Participant Observation

Research on the emergence of a movement must embrace questions such as: From where do the people come who make up the initial organizing cadre? How do they come together, and how do they come to share a similar view of the world in circumstances that compel them to political action? In what ways does the way in which a movement emerges affect its future development? Arguing that although theorists have analyzed causes of movements and motivations of participants, almost none of them have looked at origins, Freeman looks at the structure and origins of the women's liberation movement.

From her vantage point as a founder and participant in the younger branch of the Chicago women's liberation movement from 1967 to 1969, editor of the first national newspaper, and member of Chicago NOW, she did a dissertation on the movement based on correspondence, interviews and examination of early papers. Three propositions are developed and supported in this analysis.

- 1) The need for a preexisting communications network of infrastructure within the social base of the movement is a primary prerequisite for a generalized movement.
- 2) The communications network must be composed of like-minded people whose background experiences, or location in the social structure make them receptive or "co-optable" to the ideas of a specific new movement.
- 3) Given the existence of a communications network, a crisis is all that is necessary to galvanize it into spontaneous action in a new direction. If the communications network is rudimentary, an organizing cadre of one or more persons is necessary who are skilled and have a fertile field in which to work.

Freeman distinguished two branches of the women's liberation movement. The older branch had at its core the National Organization for Women (NOW) and concentrated its activities on legal and economic problems of working women. The style of organization was traditionally formal, with top-down national organizations, lacking a mass base. Conversely, the younger branch consists of many small groups, decentralized, "eschewing structure and damning the idea of leadership, it has carried the concept of 'everyone doing her own thing' to the point where communication is haphazard and coordination is almost nonexistent." Although both branches tend to be predominantly white, middle class and college educated, the younger branch was more homogeneous because the small groups tended to form among friendship networks.

Both groups emerged in similar ways. The older branch was formed by women who had been politically active in federal and state commissions and who had shared a growing awareness and concern over women's issues that was intensified by the refusal of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) to give equal enforcement to the sex provision of Title VII as was given to the race provision. They formed NOW in 1966. The younger branch

was begun by "radical women" who had been involved in the New Left movement conferences and demonstrations and who used the opportunity to talk with other women around the country about the women's movement. "In spite of public derision by radical men, or perhaps because of it, young women steadily formed new groups around the country."

Thus, both the Commissions on the Status of Women and the "radical community" created a communications network through which these women initially interested in creating an organization could easily reach others. Both of these networks were co-optable because the members had common experiences (e.g., sex discrimination experiences) which predisposed them to be receptive to the ideas of the new movement and were not faced with structural or ideological barriers to action. For both branches these perceptions were strengthened by crises or precipitating events such as the refusal of the EEOC to enforce the sex provision and the minor crises faced by radical women working with men unconcerned with their problems.

The ways in which the two branches originated also has influenced their further development. The older branch was created as a national structure with short range goals and traditional political activities. The younger branch was based on local level small groups with an emphasis on personal change and consciousness-raising. Both have retained their original structures and are limited by these structures in adapting to new tasks and recruiting new members.

Comments:

This is an extremely important and insightful analysis of the women's liberation movement, using a resource mobilization perspective.

Goldschmidt, Jean, Mary Gergen, Karen Quigley, Kenneth Gergen, "The Women's Liberation Movement: Attitudes and Action," Journal of Personality, 1974, December, 42, No. 4, A. 9.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

This is a study of experiences, social characteristics and attitudes of university women as they are related to their belief and participation in the women's liberation movement.

Four groups of factors were hypothesized to have an effect on their commitment to the causes and activities of the women's movement: 1) family background; 2) educational and social experiences in college; 3) personal beliefs and values; and 4) the individual's expectations and career aspirations.

The sample was composed of 448 undergraduate women from four different types of settings. Multiple Classification Analysis, a form of multiple regression, was used to test the capacity of each variable to predict activism and belief in the women's movement. Women coming from non-religious families were most likely to be active in the movement and Catholic and Jewish women least likely. The data suggested a modelling effect in terms of the mother's politics.

Students with high grades tended to be more active, and students majoring in the social sciences were more likely to share the ideology and participate. Quantity and quality of heterosexual relationships related to both actions and attitudes. Women with the least number of relationships and women more moderately active were likely to share the ideology of the movement. Women who had plans for marriage, who did not expect to have a career, and who did not aspire to graduate degrees were least likely to become active in the movement. The overriding factor beyond people's own experiences and extraneous factors like religion, grades, etc., was belief in the ideology of the movement.

The findings of this study may have dispelled some of the stereotypes about the women's liberation movement that were current at the time of the study. Activists did not come from broken homes, were not rebelling against values and attitudes espoused by their parents, did not necessarily come from a higher socioeconomic status family and were no more likely to have low interest in heterosexual relationships. They were strongly activated toward professional careers, were more politically liberal and tended to be more aggressive. Initially, the authors had proposed that they might find similarities between the characteristics of liberationists and participants in radical antiwar activity. They concluded that in at least half of the predictors the relationships did not follow the same pattern for membership in the liberation movement and radical antiwar protest and that the liberation movement is thus unique in some important respects.

Comments

It might be important to assess changes in the later stages of the movement in terms of the characteristics of the women and their ideology.

Morris, Monica, "The Public Definition of a Social Movement: Women's Liberation," Sociology and Social Research, July 1973, 526-43; see also, Morris, Monica, "Newspapers and the New Feminists: Black Out as Social Control?" Journalism Quarterly, 50, 1, Spring 1973, 37-42.

Approach: Empirical/Descriptive

Methodology: Observation; Content Analysis

In order to discover the effect of the public definition of a movement conveyed by the mass circulation newspapers upon the ability of an organization to recruit members, to grow, and to act, the women's liberation movement and its coverage by two local newspapers was studied in Los Angeles County, California between 1969 and 1971. Content analysis of the newspapers by the size of the coverage of women's issues and the slant of the coverage was carried out for a two-year period (July 1968 through June 1969 and March 1970 through February 1971). Observations of more than 20 women's liberation meetings were recorded, telephone and personal interviews with participants were conducted and membership records of the groups were also assessed.

For the first time period (July 1968 through June 1969) there was very little media coverage of the movement and most of it was neutral. But despite this virtual black-out of information about it by the press, the movement had experienced a sizeable growth in its membership and had acted in a variety of ways. Between the first and second time periods, the movement had grown some four to five times in size, was producing its own newsletters, and had established a coordinating council. And the two major local newspapers had increased their coverage of women's liberation activities by more than 50 times. Yet the newspaper coverage of the movement and the public definition it created did not reflect what was actually happening at the group meetings. There was considerable factionalism within and between groups at both points in time and there was a strong left-wing orientation and revolutionary flavor to the movement which were not contained in the public definition given by the media. Morris seems to argue that the media presentation of the movement as a reform rather than a revolutionary effort is in effect cooptation and will "result in slight, slow changes in the status of women." Thus, whether to spurn or court the media can be a critical issue to movement organizations who may be able to grow and recruit without the publicity.

Comments:

The author seems for the most part to be simply toying with Turner and Killian's ideas with no very clear notion of how she intends to incorporate them into a thesis of her own. For example, her contention that the Turner/Killian paradigm should be modified to account for the growth of the movement in the absence of media reportage is puzzling. Turner and Killian, in the paragraph quoted by the author, do not exclude recruitment in the absence of public definition but rather state that once public definition is attained (and the movement recognized as a movement) it becomes a crucial determinant of tactics of opposition, circumstances of recruiting, and legitimacy. The sampling method is questionable too since the author winds up guessing at the whole picture from only a few pieces of the puzzle. Why not survey a solid five year stretch on a weekly or bi-weekly rather than a daily basis?

Riger, Stephanie, "Locus of Control Beliefs and Female Activism,"
Psychological Reports, 41, 3, December 1977, 1043-46.

Approach: Empirical
 Methodology: Survey Research

According to earlier findings on activists, the belief that rewards are controlled by external forces, independent of a person's own actions, is correlated with political militancy. In this study, veteran members of women's consciousness-raising groups in 1972 are compared with new members and with women never involved in these groups. The object was twofold: First, to learn whether members or nonmembers had a stronger belief in external control over their lives, and second, to learn if this belief among members precedes or resulted from their participation in feminist groups.

A sample of white women from the Ann Arbor, Michigan area were given a 23-item locus of control scale measuring their beliefs about their own lives and those of women in general, and three factors were isolated in the scale: 1) beliefs about the desirability of collective strategies compared to individual efforts to counter discrimination against women (feminist ideology); 2) the importance of discrimination and limited opportunities compared to hard work and effort in women's success or failure ("system blame"); and 3) the extent that these beliefs applied to the respondent's own life ("personal control"). Forty-three women in the sample were "veterans" -- members of consciousness-raising groups for at least six months, 38 were "neophytes," or new recruits; and 41 were not involved in any such groups, having been randomly selected through University of Michigan student and staff directories ("comparisons"). There were no significant differences between the three groups in age, or marital, socioeconomic and occupational status.

Rigor found that female activists were much more likely to believe in external control on all three scales than women who were not involved in consciousness-raising groups, and the beliefs of "neophytes and veterans" were the same. Rigor thinks this indicates "that the female activists' ideology exists upon joining a women's group and is not altered by group participation. Participation does not change one's locus of control profile, but it may offer an opportunity to translate that set of beliefs into congruent patterns of behavior and self-definition."

Comments:

This study emphasizes personal choice rather than group influence as a determinant of militancy. The high overall response rate of 95 percent is very good. It would be interesting to know whether the degree of involvement in consciousness-raising groups varied directly with a belief in external control, and whether such a belief was related to other forms of political militancy. Gamson and Paige found that a belief in external control of one's personal life was inversely related to political participation, which contradicts these findings. They suggest a sense of personal efficacy is needed for participation, whereas Rigor found this belief was strongest in women not belonging to consciousness-raising groups.

Sanger, Susan Phipps and Henry Alker, "Dimensions of Internal-External Locus of Control and the Women's Liberation Movement," Journal of Social Issues, 28, 4, 1972, 115-30.

Approach: Empirical/Descriptive
Methodology: Survey Research

In this study possible similarities between the fate control profile of the black militant and feminist are explored using Rotter's Internal-External scale on fifty women active in feminist organizations at a large eastern university and a control group of forty-six female students and four recent female graduates of the same school. They hypothesized that fate control was not a unitary personality dimension for women, but rather that as with blacks, there are several dimensions that must be differentiated, particularly personal control and control ideology. They posited that relative to the controls a group of feminists would be more internal in their own lives (i.e., believe that they control their own lives) yet more external in their control ideologies (i.e., believe that in the culture at large forces outside oneself control rewards), and more critical of the system as responsible for women's inferior status in our society.

Each subject completed a ten-page questionnaire which included background questions, Rotter's I-E Scale, feminist ideology items composed by the authors, twenty-four of thirty-five items on the Crowne-Marlowe Social Desirability Scale, and questions about women's liberation. Analysis of this data indicated no differences between the two groups on background characteristics. Factor analysis isolated three dimensions which were very similar to those found by Gurin. While the personal control (PC) items by themselves did not discriminate between the two groups, the protestant ethic ideology (PEI) items did. It is the latter set of items which correspond with Gurin's control ideology factor. The third dimension, feminist ideology, served simply as a confirmation of the original distinction between the women's liberation and control groups. As hypothesized, the women's liberation group was relatively more internal along the personal control dimension (but not significantly so), and was significantly more external on the PEI than were the controls. It was also found that feminists had more positive attitudes toward bisexuality than did controls. The results were viewed as supportive of the blocked opportunity theory of militancy.

Comments:

The women's liberation subjects modified or skipped significantly more of the I-E items and this is discussed in relation to conflict over forced choice. Such test-taking behavior throws any interpretation into question. The samples were small and non-random. The protestant ethic ideology in college women as discussed by the authors present an interesting perspective on the impact of socialization. Finally, one major limitation of Rotter's I-E scale is item transparency and the resulting social desirability response sets. Although a measure of social desirability was included in the questionnaire, its main effect with PEI externality is mentioned but not discussed.

Slaby, Andrew and Joan Sealy, "Black Liberation, Women's Liberation,"
American Journal of Psychiatry, 130, 2, 1973.

Approach: Clinical
 Methodology: Interviews

To understand why "most black women reject a major concern for liberation of women at present and instead devote their energies to the more general liberation of the black people," the authors began interviewing 74 "randomly chosen" Yale freshman in 1970 and again in 1971 during their sophomore year.

Finding that the major concern of the black students was black identity and not religious or philosophical problems, Slaby and Sealy attribute the contrasts they found between black and white female students to the fact that the mothers of the black students were more frequently engaged in highly regarded occupations than the fathers, and that the oppression these students felt derived from their blackness not their gender. White students, on the other hand, often reported a clearly lower occupational status for their mothers, despite the fact that they considered both parents intelligent, sensitive, and well educated. White female students were believed to be pursuing roles at odds with their childhood experiences and their predominant models, their mothers. Presumably this conflict led to a concern about women's liberation. Since the black female students felt less disparity between their roles and those of their mothers, they had become more aware of the lack of opportunities for blacks in general, and more interested in blackness than gender as an issue.

Comments.

This potentially interesting inquiry into the low profile of black women in the women's liberation movement is all but invalidated by the inadequacy of the authors' sampling method. The extent to which 74 Yale freshmen represent the majority of college students, especially black female college students must be doubted. Of these 74 students, 42 were white. In reality, then, only 32 black students were interviewed, with no indication of their sex. (We are told that "there are 32 blacks and 29 women in the group of 74" -- a statement which conceals the number of black females.)

The conclusion itself -- that black females experience less discrimination as females because they enjoy educational and occupational dominance within the black community -- is probably just and certainly merits further research. But we are given no idea of how much the students in the study actually participate in and support Women's Liberation. Instead of raising questions relating to black matriarchy within American society and its ramifications for collective action, such as whether it will lead to a black male liberation movement, the authors conclude with a paragraph, which in this context adds little light on the subject:

"...should such an all-black society be of a patriarchal nature with greater educational and occupational opportunities for men than for women and with a discrepancy between men and women in occupational status, as in the white American culture; one could postulate that someday in its history, too, cries of sexism would arise and a movement would form to liberate the women of that society."

Stoloff, Carolyn, "Who Joins Women's Liberation?" Psychiatry, 36, 3,
August 1973, 325-40.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

In response to the profusion of publications and activities dealing with the Women's Movement, a first effort is made to specify some of the member characteristics.

Predisposing factors for involvement in Women's Liberation groups were sought through the analysis of questionnaire responses from carefully matched groups of randomly selected women graduate students at the U of M (n=44). A variety of tentative findings are cited. Feminists (relative to controls) were more likely to have come from middle and upper-middle class families, much more likely to have grown up in large cities (vs. small towns), and had parents who were higher on the expression of political concern, but lower on ratings of religiosity. Family size and position relative to the age and sex of siblings were not found to differ in the two groups. Mothers of feminists were rated as being more independent, competitive, articulate, and politically active. Rather than "rebellious" against family values, feminists identified themselves as being more similar to (as well as more radical than) their mothers when compared to non-feminists. Countering the "sexually frustrated women" hypotheses, which then still had some plausibility, feminists were, in fact, more experienced in sexual matters, being less likely to have had no, or only one, sexual partner in the past (age matched). Both groups agreed with the general goals of the movement (due to education and achievement?) and having perceived oneself as a "tomboy" described about half of both groups of women. Feminists were, however, more politically aware, involved, and committed.

Comments:

Although the sample size limits the generalizability of the study, good control group and high response rates as well as useful suggestive findings make this research interesting.

Tavris, Carol, "Who Likes Women's Liberation - and Why: The Case of the Unliberated Liberals," Psychology Today; reprinted in Journal of Social Issues, 29, 4, 1973, 175-98.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

A questionnaire was designed to survey respondents' attitudes about women's roles and then printed in a 1973 issue of Psychology Today. Out of 20,000 returned questionnaires, a sample of 890 men, 616 women not involved in any women's group and 778 women who were members of various women's groups was selected for analysis. The purpose was to see what background experiences and beliefs contributed to a person's support or opposition of the women's liberation movement. As expected, respondents agreed quite strongly with certain liberal issues. They supported the movement's demand for equality in work, housekeeping and childcare, etc. However, only 25% were strongly in support of the movement itself and fewer than half felt that WLM members were psychologically healthy.

Using a Multiple Classification Analysis, the study looked at a number of predictor variables as they related to attitudes toward women's liberation. Among these variables were political and religious identification, experiences with professional and sexual discrimination, attribution of stereotypic characteristics to men and women, effects of marriage and the family. Both political and religious liberalism are related to support for the women's movement. As expected, experience with professional discrimination correlated with support of the movement, but sexual issues were more complex. Feelings of being used as a sex object were not strongly related to support for the WLM. The author hypothesizes that some women may feel that being a sex object is desirable and not a negative component of the women's role. Eighty percent of the sample believed that men and women do differ on a number of individual characteristics but there were wide differences in whether these characteristics were due to cultural or biological influence. Respondents who felt these differences were culturally determined were more likely to support the WLM. Beliefs about marriage and the family followed expected patterns. Both men and women who supported traditional family roles were less likely to support the WLM. Women who work and are fully responsible for household duties are the most likely to be supportive of WLM.

Comments:

The author notes that the sample was neither random nor representative. Readers of Psychology Today are likely to have higher incomes, be more educated, and hold more liberal views than the general public.

While the study is concerned with attitudinal supporters and nonsupporters of the women's movement, it unfortunately did not ask about tangible support in terms of membership in or financial support to organizations such as NOW.

OTHER SOCIAL MOVEMENTS AND COLLECTIVE ACTIONS

Labor Conflicts

Bisanz, Charles, "The Anatomy of a Mass Public Protest Action: A Shutdown by Independent Truck Drivers," Human Organization, 36, 1, 1977, 62-66.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Case Study

Using data from a field study of independent truckers (drivers who own and operate their own trucks), Bisanz attempts to explain their mass public protest actions, between December 1973 and February 1974. He is particularly interested in explaining why persons with a nonradical orientation (i.e., beliefs in law and order and the importance of working through established institutional channels in seeking redress of grievances) might use militant tactics and violence.

Interviews with and observations of independent truckers who either participated in blockades and two national shutdowns of the trucking industry or were active in either of two large trucker organizations were conducted.

The trucker culture was described as including a view of truck driving as a way of life rather than a job; the importance of being self-reliant and independent, working hard and making it on one's own; a communication network, facilitated by the citizen band radio network and various social networks among the truckers (because of common residential patterns, hangouts, and long established trucker organizations). Furthermore, there was some previous experience with mass militant tactics within the trucker culture; many truckers had participated in earlier trade union strikes.

Prior to the initial blockade, the independent truckers had numerous experiences with federal regulatory agencies, the Teamsters (who were supposed to represent the interests of both company drivers and independent drivers), and trucking companies when seeking help for economic problems. The truckers had come to believe that these institutional channels for obtaining redress of grievances were closed to them. In addition, most truckers perceived there to be few, if any, viable alternatives to independent truck driving; they generally believed that they would have to take unskilled factory jobs if forced out of business. Immediately preceding the mass actions, many truckers were operating at a loss even when they worked an illegal number of hours and/or hauled overweight loads. Operating costs, especially the cost of fuel, had increased rapidly and severely while hauling rates remained fixed.

The scenario of the truckers' militant actions and the government's response was described as follows: In December of 1973, the Ohio Turnpike and highways in various other states were blockaded by independent truck drivers. A major confrontation between the truckers and the national guard and highway patrol in Ohio occurred. Following these initial events, there was extensive media coverage of the truckers' problems and discussion among truckers by citizen band radio, at truck stops, etc. Independent drivers throughout the nation came to realize they were experiencing similar problems. Numerous new trucker organizations emerged within a month and a national shutdown of the trucking industry was agreed upon. The first shutdown, which included blockades, the running of trucks off the

road and shootings, produced no government response. However, during the second shutdown, the independent truckers were granted a rate hike and recognized as a separate part of the trucking industry.

Bisanz draws three major implications from the data: The first hypothesis he generates from the data suggests that whether or not an individual (or group) uses militant tactics depends, in part, on what is at stake--how serious the grievance is, and the individual's perception of the feasibility of using more conventional strategies or changing his own life so that the grievance is no longer important:

When an individual (or group) is confronted with a threat to his way of life, the more cut-off he perceives himself to be from established channels of institutional response and the fewer desirable alternative ways of life he perceives to be attainable, the more likely he will be to engage in militant actions to effect social change.

The second hypothesis is that the use of mass militant tactics partially depends upon the affected group of individuals' potential to organize. More specifically, Bisanz suggests that existing communication and/or social networks among a group of unorganized individuals provide the individuals with the potential to organize for mass action.

Lastly, Bisanz suggests that the use of militant tactics partially depends upon a person's (group's) previous experience with such actions:

Each time an individual (or group) engages in militant actions, his appreciation for an intensity of involvement in such actions increases.

Comments:

This article provides an interesting and well organized descriptive account of the mass protest actions by independent truck drivers in 1973-74 and the factors which both prompted and made possible those actions. Although the author states that the purpose of the study is to generate new theory rather than verify existing theory, the data appear to be extremely useful for corroborating aspects of existing theory.

However, there are two major limitations to the usefulness of this article: First, the mandated short length of the article does not allow the author to develop the theoretical section adequately. The few insights presented are not elaborated upon and numerous insights suggested by the data, e.g., the influence of law enforcement actions on the organizing effort, are disregarded. Secondly, it is not clear that Bisanz has generated new theory. For instance, the importance of existing communication networks in organizing mass actions has been studied by Freeman (1975) while the relationship between previous experience with mass actions and subsequent action has been examined by numerous researchers, e.g., Tilly, Snyder and Kelly, and appears to be more complex than Bisanz suggests.

Overall, this article provides an insightful descriptive account of a major protest occurrence and the study provides a rich data base with the potential for refining theory pertaining to mass protest actions.

Eisele, C. Frederick, "Organization Size, Technology, and Frequency of Strikes," Industrial and Labor Relations Review, 27, 4, July 1974, 560-71.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

This paper represents an investigation of the relationship between organization size and frequency of strikes among manufacturing organizations classified according to their technology. Organization size is defined by the number of blue collar employees covered by labor-management agreements. Strikes refer to all union authorized work stoppages and lockouts except irregular concerted activities such as wildcat strikes. Technology is grouped into three categories: "unit and small batch", "large batch and mass", and "process."

A considerable body of literature suggests that the effect of increasing size on human relations and human behavior variables is negative or undesirable. In light of this, the author hypothesizes that, all other things being equal, as organization size increases, so does the frequency of strikes. Some empirical evidence supporting this is described.

The author is also concerned with the effects of manufacturing technology. Adopting Woodward's categories, in order of increasing complexity, of "unit and small batch", "large batch and mass", and "process" (i.e., chemical refining), he terms them, respectively, Types I, II and III. Type II, in particular, features a high degree of product standardization and extensive use of assembly lines and machine tending. The second hypothesis was that for a given size, Type II plants will experience a higher strike frequency than Type I or Type III plants.

Questionnaires were sent out to 2400 plants employing more than 50 persons in 14 states representing a wide range of sizes and varieties of manufacturing technologies. Information as to the number of strikes, both authorized and wildcat, within a twenty year period or however long the plant had been unionized was obtained, as well as other data relating to internal organization. Only 282 usable returns were analyzed.

Analysis of the data received provided only qualified support for the hypothesized positive correlation between size and strike frequency. For Type I plants this hypothesis was strongly confirmed for smaller facilities but strongly rejected for larger ones. For Types II and III, a mild overall confirmation was seen, but the relation was found to be much more complex. Additionally, strike frequencies for Type I and II plants were found to peak in the middle ranges. As for the second hypothesis, that Type II plants should experience higher strike frequencies for any given size plant, the data pointed toward rejection for all sizes, with the possible exception of the very largest.

In reflecting on the analysis of the data, two explanations for the peaks in strike frequencies in the middle ranges of Type I and II plants were given. First, it was found that the average number of persons reporting to the chief plant executive (span of control), the average number of employees per supervisor, the span of control of first line supervisors,

and the percentage of skilled workers, all had the largest standard deviations in the middle range of plant size, with no such effect for Type II plants. This was taken to indicate unsettled plant organization, perhaps due to growth, which could, because the plant is "out of balance," lead to strikes. Another possible explanation for the middle range peak in strike frequencies given was that smaller plants might tend to capitulate to union demands, while larger plants would tend to have more sophisticated bargaining processes, leaving the middle range plants to fight it out with unions.

The lack of a middle range peak for Type II plants was explained by the small variations in organizational factors. It was suggested that assembly line technology locks in a management structure, leading to stability.

Wildcat strikes were also examined, although not extensively. Preliminary analysis suggested that neither size nor technology are important variables in predicting them. Neither was any significant correlation between authorized and wildcat strikes found. This was attributed to their spontaneous nature.

Comments:

This paper is interesting in its treatment of strikes as conflicts arising out of organizational factors, rather than economic ones. Both plant size and manufacturing technology were seen as having organizational consequences which in turn would have their impacts on labor relations. That the expected correlations were not found could either imply that the organizational consequences of size and technology were not as assumed, or that they do not have a significant effect, or that other factors, not taken into account, are at work.

Lane, Roger, "The Squeaky Wheel Gets the Oil: Independent Truckers and the OPEC Embargo of 1973-74." In Roger Lane and John Turner, Riot, Rout and Tumult: Readings in American Social and Political Violence, Westport, Connecticut: Greenwood Press, 1978.

Approach: Descriptive
Methodology: Case Study

This article describes the response of independent truckers to the Nixon administration's handling of the 1973-74 oil crisis. Although these truckers lacked a formal organization for staging protests against measures clearly harmful to their economic well-being, they managed a successful work stoppage.

Triggered by the administration's reduction of the speed limit, informal, local work stoppages soon became nation-wide as independent truckers exercised their political and economic power across the country. In this nation-wide embargo, truckers demanded several concessions and enforced their demands mainly through violence and terror.

The first set of demands was rejected by the somewhat uninterested and preoccupied Nixon administration. A few weeks later, the independent truckers returned with a second set of demands which replaced the speed-limit question in favor of issues with more mass appeal. These demands were accepted without delay.

The author concludes that the right amount of violence in the right situation seems very useful to groups striving for recognition and the settlement of grievances. He also points out that those engaging in such outbreaks of lawlessness are relatively safe: none of the truckers who kept the stoppage going were ever brought to trial for their actions.

Comment:

While the article is both entertaining and interesting, it lacks theoretical content. In particular, the author fails to exploit the opportunity to analyze the way informal organizations become linked when their common interests are threatened. He does mention that a greater sense of organization developed in the second confrontation with the administration, but neglects to say how the increased planning among the informal groups took place after the truckers abandoned their main issue, the lower speed limit.

Smith, Michael, "Institutional Setting and Industrial Conflict in Quebec,"
American Journal of Sociology, 85, 1, 1979, 109-133.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

Snyder has produced an interpretation of temporal variations in industrial conflict hinging upon the extent of the institutionalization of collective bargaining and the political position of labor. Prior to the acceptance of collective bargaining and unions, industrial conflict is determined by political and organizational factors. When bargaining is institutionalized and government is responsive to labor, then economic factors determine industrial conflict. This paper criticizes and refines Snyder's theory, based upon data from postwar Quebec.

Several problems with Snyder's interpretations were cited. His differentiation between pre and post-World War II periods is problematic. And the assumption that economic factors were only significant when bargaining is institutionalized and government was sympathetic to labor is open to question, based on the historical record. Finally, the use of the nation as the unit of analysis may disregard significant regional variations, such as in the province of Quebec.

An alternative interpretation of industrial conflict assumes that while economic inducements to strike are always operative, the major element in interpreting industrial conflict should be the ability of unions to mount well organized and well timed strikes. This requires that unions be willing to strike, that they have centralized control for prudent strike decisions, and the ability to learn effective tactics through experience. In Quebec after 1960, there was a shift in the major union's attitudes towards the greater use of the strike weapon and an increase in its strike funds and central control. Competition between central unions put a premium on performance and made them more aggressive. This increased tendency to strike produced a stronger relationship between strikes and economic conditions after 1960. There also was a trend to greater numbers of strikes in the post 1960 period, not accountable by increased union membership, which was attributed to having had earlier favorable strike outcomes.

In conclusion, the author sees the institutional bases of calculative behavior on the part of unions to be the most significant factor affecting industrial conflict, rather than employer willingness to bargain or the political position of labor. That is, however, in keeping with Snyder's stress on the importance of unionization.

Comments:

Like Snyder's theories, this paper presents a valuable hypotheses that could be applied generally to participant groups in collective actions. Here Snyder is modified to stress the importance of organizational effectiveness and ability as a determinant of collective actions.

Stern, Robert N. and Omar R. Galle, "Industrial Conflict and the Intermetropolitan Structure of Production," Social Science Quarterly, 59, 2, September 1978, 257-273.

Approach: Theoretical/Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

The authors contend that there is a relationship between levels of industrial conflict and the economic specialization of cities. Data on strike activity for all SMSAs from 1968-70 showed that 1) 50% of the variation in strikes could be accounted for by the characteristics of a metro area's functional specialization; and 2) that levels of conflict within metro areas are not simply an additive function of the industrial labor force composition of the area, but are intimately tied to functional linkages between metro areas within the system of cities in the national economy.

The authors recount past research on the subject of industrial conflict which they feel has ignored the political motivations of behavior. To test their theory, the authors distinguish three measures of strike activity: frequency; breadth (number of workers involved); and duration, and related them to the following dimensions of the functional differentiation of cities: size; specific function; general function; and locus of control.

It would be useful to see if this analysis would be confirmed if it were applied to areas of small population, and if some measures of political differences among cities were used in the analysis.

Thus four hypotheses were tested using the strike data for SMSAs of metro size between 1968-70: 1) the researchers found the larger the SMSA the less frequently strikes occurred, but the broader was participation in the strike. Duration of the conflict bore no relationship to size; 2) no significant relationship was found between the type of specific function and the breadth, duration and frequency -- except in specified industries; 3) cities dependent on manufacturing have more independent conflict on all three dimensions - breadth, duration and frequency; 4) the prediction that locus of control reduces frequency and duration of strikes was also confirmed: nationally controlled bargaining structures were associated with both more and longer strikes. The authors concluded that "regression analysis provides evidence of the ability of four aspects of metro function to predict different levels of industrial conflict."

Comments:

The attempt to separately test the determinants of three measures of strike activity -- frequency, breadth, and duration -- is particularly noteworthy and is a useful corrective to many of the studies which have concentrated only on the frequency of industrial disputes. The empirical manipulations are rather impressive but unfortunately the theoretical explanations for the observed phenomena are less well developed.

Waganaar, Theodore G., "Activist Professionals: The Case of Teachers,"
Social Science Quarterly, 55, 2, 1974, 372-79.

Approach: Empirical
 Methodology: Survey Research

This study focuses on participation in teachers' organizations as one kind of activism and a precursor of more extensive forms of militancy. It examines the connection between personal characteristics and contextual and organizational variables on the one hand and activism by teachers on the other. The various effects of such activism on education are also studied.

Data were gathered from 567 questionnaires given to supervising teachers of Teacher Corps interns as well as to control teachers in ten states during 1968-70. Seventy-seven percent of the respondents belonged to the National Education Association (NEA) or its affiliates, and 23 percent to the American Federation of Teachers (AFT) or its affiliates. With the exception of race -- there were about 20 percent more blacks -- the characteristics of the sample, such as sex, marital status, education, socio-economic status, and experience, were very similar to those of all teachers.

Most of the measures are based on teachers' reports; a few were from school principals. Activity in NEA or AFT was measured by an ordinal scale: 1 represented "not a member, listed member only, or dues-paying member, and the highest, 6, signified office-holders.

The active member of NEA or its affiliates was generally an older more experienced male who had been in the school for some time and on the basis of his degree and certification was better qualified than colleagues less active in the organization. Members of AFT or its affiliates were generally married -- particularly the males -- older, and in the school for some time. They were more likely to be teaching in a very rigid school system than colleagues less active in the group. The greater activism of male teachers was seen as a function of different socialization patterns and relative deprivation, while the data on age, experience, and tenure suggested that being well integrated in the local school and system gave teachers the firm footing necessary to engage in activism. Only one of the several contextual, situational and organizational variables was related to activism; a perceived lack of flexibility and freedom in their teaching was only related to activism among AFT members.

Several aspects of "outcomes" were thought to vary according to degrees of activism. These included commitment to teaching in culturally deprived schools; the number and effectiveness of contacts with students; identification with the local community, attitudes toward it, and interaction with it, professional contacts with the principal and with other teachers; career-orientation and "dedication." On none of these counts were active NEA members distinguishable from inactive members. The results indicated, however, that "teachers most active in the AFT have the least effective communication with their students,

principals, peers, and communities...and are less client-oriented. ...This reinforces the stereotype the AFT has attained, a concern for personal gains with little concern for how these gains may relate to educational outcomes." Noting that activity -- whether in the NEA or the AFT -- is not related to desirable educational outcomes, the author argues that teachers' organizations allow them to insulate themselves from the influence of students and parents and pursue their own self-interests.

On the other hand, Waganaar recognizes that membership in teacher organizations may not always indicate activism: membership may not be voluntary, or some of the most active teachers may not choose to join.

Comments:

Problems in many measurements and the unrepresentative sample make considerable caution advisable in using the results of this study. In measuring teachers' activism the author gave respondents who were not members of a teachers' organization the same score as those who were members and paid dues, and his ordinal scale took no account of many activities members of these organizations might pursue or how often they engaged in them. Nor are we told how the situational and organizational factors were measured. The little information given about how "outcomes" were measured makes the validity of the indices quite dubious.

The sample consisted only of teachers who were members of one of the two teachers' organizations; the results could certainly not be taken as generally applicable to all teachers. Since we are given no information on the control group and no analysis of differences between the teachers of the Teacher Corps and the controls, the results cannot even be linked to teachers in low-income schools who are members of such organizations. Moreover, Waganaar says nothing about differences between those not responding to the questionnaire and the final sample; hence the bias that might well exist is impossible to judge.

Walsh, Edward J., "Mobilization Theory Vis-a-Vis a Mobilization Process: The Case of the United Farm Workers Movement," in Research in Social Movements and Change, Volume I, Louis Kriesberg (Ed.), Greenwich, Connecticut: JAI Press, Inc., 1978, pp. 155-77.

Approach: Theoretical/Empirical

Methodology: Participant Observation; Case Study

In this case study of farm worker mobilization efforts in California over a twenty to thirty year period, Walsh contrasts the "breakdown perspectives" of Kornhauser and Smelser with the "solidarity" theories of Tilly, Gamson, and Oberschall in their ability to account for the dynamic processes underlying the effort. Using published analyses and descriptions of the conflict and unpublished manuscripts and papers, as well as his own interviews and field notes from participant observation in the daily operations of the movement during 1974 and 1975, Walsh reconstructed five distinct periods in the conflict: the pre-1958 century; the period from 1958 to 1965; the period from 1965 to 1970; 1970 to 1974; and from 1975 to the present.

Prior to 1958, discontent among the farm workers had existed for almost a century but attempts by other unions to mobilize them had failed for a variety of reasons including the distraction of World War I and II; the strength of agribusiness in excluding farm workers from the Wagner Act and in recruiting Mexican laborers; ethnic cleavages among the workers; lack of support from organizations and the general public; the geographical dispersion, lack of collective consciousness and transiency of farm labor; and the involvement of the Communist Party in organizing attempts. Smelser's theory is criticized as inadequate because it does not focus on the chronic strain or the internal structures within the protesting collectivity, and because what sporadic outbursts did occur were a result of concrete and quite reasonable demands rather than irrational generalized beliefs. In contrast, Oberschall's hypotheses about the importance of pre-existent communal ties and associational memberships for successful mobilization are confirmed.

Between 1958 and 1965 there was a general loosening of social control and the beginning of liberal reforms which made the time ripe for mobilization of farm workers. Cesar Chavez began preparing internal structures to sustain the inevitable strikes and attempted to build these on a communally homogeneous ethnic base rather than with isolated individuals.

Between 1965 and 1970, the UFW grew and became well known because of strikes in the fields, Chavez's fast for nonviolence, and the grape boycott, but there were internal cleavages in the farm workers' organization as well as continuing and defiant refusal of growers to bargain with the UFW. The intra-Union pluralism around whether or not to use religious symbolism, whether or not to support the anti-Vietnam war movement, and whether to use violent or nonviolent tactics are cited to indicate problems with Smelser's notion of a "common culture" as necessary for collective action.

From 1970 to 1974 there is evidence that the growers, the Nixon administration and the Teamsters Union conspired to eradicate the UFW but their efforts were thwarted by a very charismatic (i.e., Chavez), a system of ranch committees, an ideology of sacrifice, loyal allies, and a lack of structural alternatives for the majority of UFW members. Walsh argues that it was not

Smelser's factor of the "failure of social control" that kept the UFW alive in the face of massive opposition but rather the presence of both powerful allies in the environment and particularly durable internal structures.

Up to the present the UFW has been successful in forcing growers to permit union representation elections and the balance of power has been significantly altered in favor of the farm workers. In general Walsh found Smelser's value-added theory to be inadequate because of the central importance of generalized beliefs and the essentially conservative assumptions underlying this model. On the other hand, he found Oberschall's hypotheses to be generally supported. However, he felt that Oberschall underestimated the importance of emergent and preexistent ideologies which can be used by skillful leaders either to legitimate repression or insurgency. He also expressed disagreement with Gamson's argument that both the use of violence and support from other organizations are associated with successful mobilization. Instead, the UFW's adoption of nonviolence was critical in obtaining support from churches, labor unions, and the boycotting public. Walsh strongly emphasizes the importance of an effective leader in successful mobilization efforts.

"The history of this particular movement...appears to challenge all overly deterministic mobilization theories--whether of the Smelserian or Oberschallian variety--and prompts one to echo Lenski's suggestion that sociologists take a new look at the 'great man' theory of leadership lest their fascination with social determinism blind them to the operation of actual social processes."

Comments:

This is an extremely interesting analysis of the farm workers movement, particularly when compared with the work of Jenkins and Perrow (1977) who emphasized the disunity of the military-political-industrial elite as the key factor in the success of the movement. Unfortunately the other theorists representing the breakdown and solidarity perspectives such as Gurr, Tilly, Zald and McCarthy were not included in this contrast between the two frameworks but the analyses of the theories of Smelser and Oberschall are sound--and the criticisms are relevant to improving future hypotheses.

OTHER SOCIAL MOVEMENTS AND COLLECTIVE ACTIONS

Environmental Movements

Buttel, Frederick and William Flinn, "Environmental Politics: The Structuring of Partisan and Ideological Cleavages in Mass Environmental Attitudes," The Sociological Quarterly, 17, Autumn 1976, 477-90.

Approach: Empirical/Theoretical
Methodology: Survey Research

This article explores the relationships between and among two political variables (party identification and political ideology) and two dimensions of mass environmental beliefs--awareness of the environmental problem and support for environmental reform. The authors advance three hypotheses:

1) There are no significant correlations between party identification and environmental attitudes. The argument here is based on studies which claim that although at the elite level the Democratic Party shows a strong alignment with environmental support relative to the Republican Party, no such correlation holds true for rank-and-file Democrats and Republicans. This suggests to the authors that American political parties are "poor vehicles of ideological crystallization among the mass electorate."

2) Anti-laissez-faire liberalism (the authors' term for liberal reform policies favoring governmental control of big business) should correlate with support for environmental reform more strongly among the middle class than among the working class. The authors base this prediction on the presumed concern among the working class that environmental reform represents a threat to economic security, relative to the presumed freedom of the more privileged middle class from such a concern.

3) There will be more substantial political-ideological differences in support for environmental reform than in awareness of environmental problems (especially among the middle class) because the necessity of securing reforms probably led to the cultivation of alliances with other reform liberal causes. At the same time the awareness of environmental problems at least in the early stages of the movement was generally independent of partisan or ideological overtones.

Data from a 1974 statewide Wisconsin survey of 548 respondents were used to test these hypotheses. Scales of awareness of environmental problems (e.g., Would you feel that air pollution in this area is a very serious, somewhat serious, small or no problem?) and support for environmental reform (e.g., Pollution laws have gotten too strict in recent years) were found to be separate dimensions of environmental concern. Anti-laissez faire liberalism was measured by a scale including items such as "are you for less government regulation of business?"

Results indicated a confirmation of hypothesis 1--Democratic Party affiliation showed only a weak positive correlation ($r=.082$) with support for environmental reform, and the corresponding Republican correlation was only weakly negative ($r=-.132$). Hypothesis 2 was also confirmed--anti-laissez-faire liberalism was strongly correlated with support for environmental reform among the middle class ($r=.442$), weakly correlated among the working class ($r=.060$). Finally, hypothesis 3 was supported by the finding that laissez-faire political ideology was more closely related to support for environmental reform ($r=.233$) than to awareness of environmental problems ($r=.096$).

In addition to tentatively confirming their hypotheses, the authors suggest generally that "ideological sources of support for environmental reform exist despite political parties, and the political party structure might well be viewed as a major mechanism of muting the conflicts necessary to stimulate effective environmental policies."

Clearly, awareness of environmental problems was not strongly determined by either political partisanship or laissez-faire ideology. They suggest that "the translation of public awareness of environmental problems into support for liberal environmental reforms has been quite limited... and we believe this shortcoming is largely due to the channeling of environmental agendas into mainstream reform liberal politics...the political party structure might well be viewed as a major mechanism of muting the conflicts necessary to stimulate effective environmental policies."

Comments:

The concluding argument about the failure of the political party structure to mobilize environmental action dovetails neatly with the argument based on the discrepancy between awareness of environmental problems and support for environmental reform, which predicts politicization of the movement in the direction of ("non-partisan") liberal reform ideology. Although complex and difficult to read, this article merits attention both for its dextrous manipulation of interdependent variables and its convincing theoretical discussion of those variables.

Kronus, Carol, "Mobilizing Voluntary Associations into a Social Movement: The Case of Environmental Quality," The Sociological Quarterly, 18, 2, 1977, 276-83.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

In this study of how voluntary associations, rather than individuals, are mobilized into a social movement, data was collected from telephone interviews with officers of 209 voluntary associations in a town in central Illinois with a population of 100,000. Two basic theoretical perspectives (resource mobilization and motivational) are used to develop the hypotheses.

The resource mobilization perspective argues that "wealthy" organizations are more likely to be mobilized than "poor" groups who will tend to conserve their limited resources for present organizational goals. The motivational perspective argues that organizations with goals that overlap with the social movement will be more likely to be mobilized and that organizations that accept responsibility for assuming the aims of the social movement will be more easily recruited.

The social movement studied was the environmental quality movement in 1972. Three measures of the organizational resources of voluntary associations were developed: 1) Whether or not it had a national affiliation; 2) the size of the local active membership; and 3) the age of the membership. Motivational variables included: the main purpose or goal of the voluntary association (education, social/hobby, sports, church-related, fraternal/service, and job-related); the leader of the group's personal score on the Household Pollution Behavior Index; the leader's assessment of the responsibility the group should assume for improving local environmental conditions; and the leader's opinion about the amount of responsibility other organizations in the community should take. The degree to which voluntary associations were mobilized into the environmental quality movement was measured by the degree to which the leader expressed an interest in environmental quality issues and the number of environmental quality activities in which the organization participated during the year previous to the interview.

Results indicated that 48 percent of the club leaders professed an interest in EQ issues and 35 percent of the interested groups carried out one EQ activity during the past year. Negative mobilization against the goals and activities of the EQ movement was a rare phenomenon. As predicted, interest in the EQ movement was greatest in the educational groups and lowest in social/hobby and church-related organizations. The overwhelming reason why some organizations were not mobilized was that their leaders felt that EQ goals and issues were irrelevant to their organizations.

Of the resource mobilization variables only the size of the active local membership was a significant predictor of mobilization. The larger the organization's manpower base the more likely that it became involved in EQ issues, and undertook a larger number of EQ activities. The organization's affiliative locus and age structure had weak and inconsistent effects on mobilization behavior. Organizations with national ties and youthful members were more likely to be attracted to EQ issues but among

those organizations which were mobilized, those with local or regional bases and older members were more active in carrying out EQ activities.

Organizations that delegated a major share of responsibility for local improvement to other groups were the least active while those leaders who accepted organizational obligation and did not delegate the responsibility to other community organizations were the most highly mobilized.

In general, the author concluded that the congruence of interests had only an indirect influence in mobilizing community associations; although education groups were more interested in the EQ movement it was mainly because they were bigger and had a stronger sense of community obligation. Group resources played a secondary and independent role in affecting mobilization. In voluntary associations a large number of members permits greater, not less, flexibility in adopting the aims of the outside social movement and expanding their repertoire of organizational activities to act upon these additional goals. Mobilization was strongly and directly related to the organizational decision to accept self-responsibility and not to wait for other organizations in the community to act. "The critical influence on the decision to ignore or respond to the mobilization appeals of the EQ movement emanated from the organizational perception of its social or moral role in relation to other organizations in its environment... and this leads me to hypothesize that the greater the number of organizations in a community, the lower the individual sense of responsibility felt by organizations to work for some collective benefit. Thus, in large communities with a dense network of voluntary associations, I would predict less organizational activity aimed at benefitting the whole community."

Comments:

This is an important study largely because it focuses on the process of bloc mobilization, the mobilization of groups rather than individuals directly into social movements and because it combines at least two theoretical perspectives to draw testable hypotheses. However, it suffers from some methodological problems that make the results questionable. Since most of the measures of dependent and independent variables were obtained from the leaders of the organizations and were quite often expressions of their own opinions, some of the relationships may be tautological. Further, it is questionable whether these measures actually tap the mobilization of an organization rather than the mobilization of the group leader.

Levenson, Hanna, "Perception of Environmental Modifiability and Involvement in Antipollution Activities," The Journal of Psychology, 84, 1973, 237-39.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Although this survey hypothesized that people involved in antipollution activities would be more likely to expect that something positive could be done to improve environmental conditions than those not involved, this was not found.

Subjects were 32 males and females who were randomly selected from a membership list of an antipollution group; 32 persons who had been sent a letter about the group but who did not join; and 32 who had not been informed about the group (nonmembers). The 96 subjects were matched for sex and socioeconomic status.

A 6-item Likert type Environmental Modifiability Test (EMT) was constructed for this study and administered to subjects during individual interviews. The EMT was not significantly correlated with the Marlowe-Crowne Social Desirability Scale and demonstrated high internal consistency in pretesting.

Members scored significantly lower on the EMT than did nonmembers, indicating that members were more pessimistic about improving the environment. These results might be explained by an examination of the EMT item content, which indicates that members were less favorable about using technological methods to decrease pollution and thought that improvement of the environment would require difficult, extensive changes in life styles and economic and moral values.

The author notes the limitation in the use of correlational measurement, and suggests that research relating perception of the environment to antipollution involvement is needed.

Comments:

Limitations in this study involve the use of correlational analyses as well as inadequate indices of environmental attitudes and perceptions of efficacy.

Stallings, Robert A., "Patterns of Belief in Social Movements: Clarifications from an Analysis of Environmental Groups," Sociological Quarterly, 14, 4, Fall 1973, 465-80.

Approach: Theoretical/Empirical
Methodology: Case Study; Survey Research

While many of the leading explanations of the emergence of social movements rest on the assumption that participants share a set of beliefs differentiating them from nonparticipants (e.g., relative deprivation or other generalized beliefs), previous studies of the environmental movement have suggested a heterogeneity in attitudes and beliefs among members. Stallings reviews several factors which may have led to the assumption of homogeneity of beliefs, including the tendency to search for modal or average responses, to focus on the leaders and spokesmen of organizations, to confuse movement ideology with individual belief structures, and the denial of differences between leaders and members in the presence of researchers. Further, the assumption of homogeneity of beliefs may be due in part to restricting members to those who actually participate in movement activities.

In this study of a "core group" within the environmental movement a comparison of the beliefs of the most active and least active group members as well as those in between was made to determine how homogeneous the belief system actually was. A questionnaire was mailed to the entire group census of 100 people, including formal members, people who had attended public strategy sessions, and/or who had made financial contributions. A 64 percent response rate was attained. A group centrality index based on whether the respondent was a formal member of the group, had ever served on one of the group's committees, and/or had ever been an officer of the group was used to develop four types of participants: leaders, active members, inactive members, and nonmembers. Nonmembers were included because they had either supported the activities of the group by attending public meetings or rallies during a local conflict or contributed their financial support to the group.

Results showed a great deal of heterogeneity in the belief structure of various types of participants. The more central members (leaders and active members) attached higher priorities to environmental quality than local economic conditions, had more radical solutions to solving environmental problems, perceived the group's efforts as more effective in affecting local environmental outcomes, and thought that the group was viewed more favorably by outsiders than less central members. In general, group members and active supporters exhibited greater consensus over general priorities and goals but showed more dissensus over means for solving environmental problems. In most cases members at the very center of group activity possessed more "orthodox" beliefs than those participating in increasingly more peripheral fashion.

Despite this heterogeneity in beliefs, during the two-year history of this Core Group there had been no noticeable internal conflict, leadership struggles, or factional politics, from field observations of meetings or in-depth interviews with participants. Stallings explains this seeming

contradiction by arguing that: 1) conflicting ideas need not necessarily lead to conflict behavior because there is a gap between attitudes and behavior, 2) general support of the group and its aims may have overcome individual differences on specific points, 3) individual members might disagree with other participants on one issue but agree on another issue which mitigates against internal cleavages and factional conflict, 4) self-selection may operate so that members who disagree completely would gravitate to other groups, and 5) there was a great deal of consensus among leaders so conflicts seem less probable than if there were sharp differences within the leadership category.

Thus, he concludes that contrary to Smelser's theory of generalized beliefs as necessary conditions for the emergence of norm-oriented social movements, "homogeneity of ideas, beliefs, attitudes, or whatever is neither a defining characteristic nor a necessary condition for the appearance of a social movement."

Comments:

The delineation of various forms of participation in a social movement and the exploration of heterogeneity in the beliefs of members is valuable in a field which has tended to focus on consensus and uniformity among adherents to these movements. The extent to which nonmembers should be included as participants in a social movement is a matter of some controversy, however, and may differ according to the type of movement, stage of development, and degree of activity involved.

OTHER SOCIAL MOVEMENTS AND COLLECTIVE ACTIONS

Conflicts Around Racial Segregation

Blumberg, Herbert H., "Accounting for a Nonviolent Mass Demonstration,"
Sociological Inquiry, 38, 1, 1968, 43-50.

Approach: Theoretical/Descriptive
Methodology: Case Study

This study describes and analyzes a mass demonstration against racial segregation that took place in 1963 in Durham, North Carolina. No violence accompanied the incident. Blumberg attempts to account for participants, the form, and the site of the event through the use of a utility model. Face-to-face interviews with local student leaders, advisors, and business and political figures yielded data showing how the demonstration spread and was reinforced as it evolved.

According to the data, the form and timing of such major protests as sit-ins and mass demonstrations could be determined by earlier protests in other cities such as Birmingham. The object of protests, however, which in this case was segregated business establishments, depended on specific local grievances. The assumption underlying the utility model used by Blumberg is that each person involved in a protest will do whatever he thinks will bring as much reward and as little punishment as possible. The processes of evolution, contagion, and reinforcement which conform to this model are used to help answer the following questions: 1) Of all the actions that protesters might take, why did they choose this particular combination? 2) What determines which people in a given city will become nonviolent participants? 3) Of all possible targets, which will be selected for persistent protests?

The form of the protest is thought to depend on a process of evolution; that is, the most rewarding or most fitting forms will evolve with time. Particular strategies are selected partly on the basis of known events in other localities and partly by people's own experience; the choice of a particular form depends on the circumstances, the alternatives, and the motives of the individual. Behavior which does the most toward gaining objectives or is satisfying in itself is likely to be repeated. Members of a protest movement who communicate with each other directly or through the mass media should accumulate strategies for a variety of occasions.

Participation patterns are considered a function of the processes of "contagion" and diffusion through the available communications network. If a core of protesters in a given community persuade their friends to join in the protest, the demonstration will grow if many members can reach nonmembers and the likelihood is high that these nonmembers will become members. This does seem to occur in high schools and other situations favoring "contagion." Action taken in one community can also suggest similar action in another if communication between communities is good and if their circumstances are similar. Both cases apply to the spread of particular strategies such as sit-ins, as well as to the tone and intensity of the protest.

The site and target of activity depend at least partly on the process of reinforcement -- the rewards to the group from protests at this and

other sites. Once the grievance is voiced, of course, the question is whether the establishment will change its policy. Some potential targets did not materialize because the group's demands were met quietly and immediately; in other instances the protest was extinguished by a policy of sitting tight and doing nothing.

The outcome of such protests is not predictable on the basis of a utility model: first, unforeseen events may have profound effects; and second, one cannot predict how a protest will evolve or attract support.

Comments:

A utility model intended to explain the form, target, and participation pattern of collective action is a healthy corrective to models which make the behavior of individuals seem irrational or stupid. This analysis suffers, however, from a tendency to oversimplify the dynamics and a failure to provide adequate evidence for the processes it treats. Information is lacking on: the organization of the demonstration, the demographic characteristics of participants, the sequence of events during the three days, the responses of police, and news coverage. Further, the validity of the information here is in doubt, because we are not told how many persons were interviewed, how they were selected, or their characteristics.

The process of evolution, "contagion," and reinforcement were not only oversimplified but dealt with in isolation, ignoring the fact that they might bear on the incident in other ways than those discussed here. For example, "reinforcement" could clearly be used to explain the form and participation patterns as well as the choice of target; and "contagion" could help account for the choice of form and target of the protest as well as the popular support it gained.

The analysis and description of certain features of the demonstration were particularly weak. For example, in discussing the way the choice of certain restaurants as targets was linked to reinforcement and "extinction," the author disregarded many circumstances which might have been crucial: their size, location, and clientele, for example. A more thorough examination of the two or three actual targets and a comparison with establishments not the object of protest would have been preferable.

Danzger, H., "A Quantified Description of Community Conflict," The American Behavioral Scientist, 12, 2, 1968, 9-14.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

In this article findings from a larger study by the author of community conflict and power structure are described. Using data on almost 1000 civil rights conflict events occurring in 19 communities between 1955 and 1965 which were covered by the New York Times, Danzger coded them according to dimensions of the conflict, characteristics of participants, and issues involved. The work of James Coleman, Norton Long, and Roland Warren on community structure and power served as sources for hypotheses.

Six dimensions of the conflict were considered: 1) duration (the number of days it was reported in the newspaper), 2) legitimacy-normalcy of tactics used (from voting and legal action to physical violence), 3) degree of violence (no confrontation to riots), 4) who initiated the conflict (segregationists or integrationists), 5) site of the conflict (community, state, etc.), and 6) outcomes (extent to which the issue was resolved). Participants were classified according to: 1) numbers, 2) breadth (geographic spread of participants), 3) group structure (formal organizations, associations, unpaid workers, elites, or collectivities), and 4) group subtype (specific description of the group).

In comparing the coverage of these events by the New York Times with other newspapers and the house organs of CORE and the NAACP and interviews with experts, "the overwhelming weight of evidence indicated that the Times stories were in fact highly accurate with regard to the items in the coding scheme."

The importance of extra-community agents in triggering these incidents was quite marked. Integrationists triggered about four-fifths of all the conflict events and actors outside the community raised issues three times as often for the integrationists as for the segregationists. Integrationists relied much more on nationwide support.

Formal organizations were used much more by segregationists and these were largely local government agencies, especially between 1958 and 1962. Between 1963 and 1965 they came to rely more on associations. Integrationists primarily operated through associations in these conflicts. Relatively few conflicts were the work of unaffiliated individuals (2-3%) or elites (2-7%).

Throughout the entire period the bulk of conflict activity centered around public accommodation. For integrationists the issues of public accommodations and school desegregation and police problems involved participants primarily within the community while conflicts around jobs and housing, political rights and civil rights involved high proportions of outsiders. Thus, when issues change, groups of different breadth become involved. He also argues that groups defending the status quo (i.e., segregationists) probably rely on organizations while those attacking the status quo rely primarily on associations.

Thirteen of the communities had been studied in terms of their power-structure and those which had a pyramidal structure differed from non-pyramidal communities in terms of dimensions of conflict. Conflict in pyramidal communities was not as extreme or as violent but tended to be sustained and even over time, with the conflict starting earlier and showing fewer seasonal changes than nonconflict in nonpyramidal communities. Pyramidal power structures had conflicts that involved smaller integrationist groups but more integrationist organizations while associations tended to be used in nonpyramidal communities.

Finally, conflicts in pyramidal communities seemed to be focused on clearcut issues such as school desegregation while the issues in non-pyramidal communities seemed to be more diffuse (e.g., violence, civil rights, political rights). "This suggests that the existence of a clear-cut power structure may be a factor in formulating clearcut goals, or in getting groups with clearcut goals involved in the conflict. Or, conversely, it may indicate that the lack of a clearcut power structure may frustrate groups seeking clearcut goals, causing their goals to be diffuse and unclear."

Comments:

Although this article is brief and the conclusions are quite tentative, it paves the way for the use of the research instrument in further studies of community conflict.

Van der Zanden, James, "Resistance and Social Movements," Social Forces, 37, 4, May 1959, 312-18.

Approach: Theoretical/Historical
Methodology: Analysis of Existing Data

This article argues that the white racist movement in the South, organized in response to the Supreme Court's 1954 decision calling for desegregation of schools, fits Heberle's criteria of a "social movement" with one exception. Van der Zanden suggests a new definition of social movement that will also apply to this movement.

Van der Zanden begins his argument that white racism constitutes a bona fide resistance movement by discussing cultural persistence and social change among many groups of people. Quoting much anthropological literature on the subject, he notes that "social relations tend to be carried on unchanged" once they are established. He also claims that previous research on social movements has concentrated too much on changes and revolution and has ignored groups that resist change, although in fact, "counter movements frequently influence the speed, degree and nature of social change."

The rise of organized white resistance to the Supreme Court's decision illustrates such a counter-movement. Actions by the resisting group fulfill five out of Heberle's six criteria for a resistance movement. The exception is Heberle's first criterion that such groups initiate social change -- but it can be altered in such a way that white racists can be included.

Comments:

The point of this article is essentially academic. Van der Zanden is correct when he observes that resistance to change has been overlooked by researchers, but he gives us no sense of how such resistance movements affect the process of change.

OTHER SOCIAL MOVEMENTS AND COLLECTIVE ACTIONS

Militancy in Secondary Schools

Pitts, James, "Boycott Participation and School Organization Memberships," Education and Urban Society, 3, 4, 1971, 383-97.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Self-reported participation in a boycott each Monday of classes in a black high school in Chicago in 1968 was studied to understand how membership in different student organizations affected black nationalist activities and ideologies. The boycott, which began in a few schools with school-racial issues, such as the teaching of Afro-American history by black instructors, soon spread throughout the black community. Data were gathered from interviews with 159 black students in a school where most pupils were black and most teachers white. The interviews were conducted by black college students more than five months after the boycott. The respondents were all members of ten school organizations, including two race-related associations -- the Afro-American History Club and a more militant group.

Respondents who were members of the race-related organizations reported more frequent participation in the boycotts than those in the rest of the ten organizations. In the race-related organizations there was little indication that participation was influenced by respondents' sex or church attendance, but in the other organizations the girls and those who reported attending church at least once a month were less likely to have joined in the boycott than their counterparts. The author suggests that the unimportance of sex or church attendance in the race-related organizations suggests that the interest in black nationalism strongly influenced students' participation in the boycott.

Because most of the students were dissatisfied with the education offered black youth, discontent was not the major explanation for those who joined in the boycott. Instead, the ability to recall the issues involved, the inclination to mention the black nationalist focus of those issues, and the perception of peer group support for the boycott seem to distinguish the members of race-related organizations.

Comments:

Although it is reasonable to believe, as the author does, that "membership in black nationalist high school organizations has a strong effect on expressed attitudes toward racial solidarity and active commitment to movements aimed at achieving objectives commensurate with that goal" the data from this study do not prove the point. Problems in the design of the research, the measurement of the variables, and the sampling make the study less than adequate. To understand the effect of membership in organizations one would have to compare members with students who were not in any organizations, and of course the membership would have to precede the boycott. Some attempt should also be made to understand factors that might explain both organizational memberships and boycott participation: differences in socioeconomic status, career and post-high school plans, class, parents' memberships in organizations, age, rank or grades.

Puckett, Myron, "Successful Protest Movements in School Districts: Limiting Factors," Urban Education, 11, 1, April 1976, 31-48.

Approach: Empirical/Descriptive
Methodology: Case Study

Using the analytical framework for analyzing the problems of protest movements developed by Lipsky (1967), the author describes a protest of Mexican-American students, parents, community groups, political organizations and labor groups against the Los Angeles Board of Education in 1968. This protest which involved thirty-six demands for the improvement of educational procedures for Mexican-American youth resulted in little change in goals or policies.

The author, who was a member of a study team investigating decision-making procedures in the Los Angeles City Board of Education, conducted in-depth interviews with board members, third party influentials, and protest members, as well as direct observation of the protest sites and meetings of the Board of Education.

Several of the tactics described by Lipsky were used by the Board of Education to try and defuse the protest without granting significant concessions and these included dispensing symbolic reassurances (e.g., a special televised meeting of the board in which a student read the demands and the officials read responses to them); dispensing token material satisfactions (e.g., appointing a minority person as principal of a high school with much fanfare); appearing constrained in their ability to grant protest goals (e.g., claiming poverty); discrediting protest leaders and organizations (e.g., "non-pupil agitators" were blamed); and postponing action (e.g., several of the demands were referred for further study).

Gaining the favorable attention of the media was also quite difficult. Reporters all agreed not to show up for one demonstration and later restricted the amount and kind of coverage given to the movement. Some media persons castigated the protest leadership for not having the backing of the people when one demonstration failed. Although third parties were drawn into the conflict, there were also problems because they became fickle and also then attracted counter groups who spoke in opposition to the protest group. Third party representatives were not around for the final meetings "because they are prevented from full-time participation due to job and family constraints...and for another, they are easily satisfied by symbolic gestures made by a board." Moreover, bargaining was limited to "insiders" in the school system and decisions were made behind closed doors.

It was also difficult to maintain the commitment of members of the protest movement itself. Issues rich in symbolic content such as police brutality facilitated cohesion but leaders found it difficult to rally people to demand the transfer of a teacher. Open divisions in the ranks of the protestors subverted the group and volunteer workers left due to the press of their own occupational and educational needs. Some of the more bizarre actions of the protestors such as an occupation of the school board offices had severe repercussions for the group in the long run.

Comments:

This is a nice illustration of the Lipsky perspective but there are a lot of questions it leaves unanswered because it is such a brief article.

Ritterband, Paul, "Ethnic Power and the Public Schools: The New York City School Strike of 1968," Sociology of Education, 47, 1974, 251-67.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Militancy among teachers in this country has been growing concurrently with the rise in militancy among blacks. The two movements collided in the strike of the United Federation of Teachers (UFT) in New York City in the fall of 1968. While the immediate issues were community control of the schools versus the integrity of the contract and civil service procedures, ethnicity became the key in determining whether schools would be open or closed. This paper explores the way ethnicity worked to decide the fate of the schools in the conflict.

Data were obtained from questionnaires completed by union chapter chairman before and after the strike and from Board of Education records. The amount of time that each school was closed during the strike as well as reports of ethnic composition came from estimates by the chapter chairmen.

Although the ethnicity of the pupils in the schools accounted for 41 percent of the variance in school closings, Ritterband assumed that ethnicity worked through forces in the school or community. A path analytic model was created in which four sets of variables were inter-related; the rates of ethnic enrollment were assumed to affect the ethnicity of teachers as well as pupils' achievement. These in turn were linked to instruments of power, including rates of UFT membership, teachers' seniority, and communal response, variables which were then directly and indirectly related to whether or not a school was closed.

The initial finding that schools with a large proportion of black pupils were likely to remain open, while those with a largely Jewish student population tended to be closed was interpreted through intermediate variables. One set of intermediate variables, labeled "proximate instruments of power," included differential rates of UFT membership, teachers' seniority, and community responses in the schools. The author found that rates of membership in the union were significantly related to school closings and that the rates of membership were higher in schools with large Jewish enrollments, where many of the teachers were themselves Jewish. He also found that the older the teachers in the school were, the more likely it was to be closed. Moreover, the older teachers were found in the white schools, largely because teachers with seniority have more choice in their assignments and tended to go to schools where pupils ranked high in achievement. In addition, overt acts showing community members' disapproval of the strike were highly correlated with a school's remaining open, and these acts were largely a function of the black enrollment in the school.

The ethnicity of teachers and the pupils' achievement were introduced to provide an explanatory link between pupil's ethnicity and

these "proximate instruments of power" in the schools discussed above. Their residual effects were also examined. The percentage of black teachers was correlated with school closings, even when other variables were controlled; but pupils' achievement had no significant impact on school closings apart from its indirect effect through pupils' ethnicity and teachers' seniority.

Using the reduction in the partial path from pupils' ethnicity to school closing as an indicator of the effectiveness of union membership, teachers' seniority and community reaction for each ethnic group, Ritterband found that union membership and teachers' seniority accounted almost entirely for the direct effect of Jewish pupil's ethnicity, but not for that of black and Puerto Rican schools. He suggested that whites used their leverage as senior teachers and union members in the school system, while nonwhites worked outside the system, using direct confrontation.

Comments:

This is an impressive analysis of the direct and indirect effects of ethnicity on a complex network of power alliances within a large school system. Unfortunately, the available data were almost entirely from the chairmen of the union, and so the data on school-related variables were much better than data on neighborhood and community factors.

OTHER SOCIAL MOVEMENTS AND COLLECTIVE ACTIONS

Other Youth Movement Activities

Lewis, George, "The Structure of Support in Social Movements: An Analysis of Organization and Resource Mobilization in the Youth Contra-Culture," British Journal of Sociology, 27, 2, June 1976, 184-96.

Approach: Descriptive/Historical
Methodology: Observational

The author discusses the evolution of the head-shop industry in terms of the problematic relationship between a social movement and its resource base, using the resource mobilization perspective of McCarthy and Zald. An examination of 1960s culture from the standpoint of consumerism among youth is appropriate, in the author's view, because although "teenagers" as such were unknown prior to World War II they have been major actors in the drama of consumerism which has been going on ever since.

The author points out that head-shops began as "free stores" which embodied a redistributive rather than a profit-making ethic, functioning as centers where goods and services were exchanged. In addition, they functioned as centers where information was exchanged--they were loci of ideological dissemination. Two factors undermined "free stores": 1) the general coming-into-fashion of "contraculture" artifacts and paraphernalia, which represented to the stores a vast untapped resource base, and 2) the impossibility of financing the kinds of activities which the stores were (as a matter of ideological principle) supposed to support without tapping that resource base. Despite the development of a "Robin Hood" ideology, however, it became increasingly difficult to rationalize what was in reality sheer cooptation of the movement. The author concludes that although affluent youth comprise a social class economically potent enough to spawn "enduring transgenerational social movements," that very potency attracts outside resources which tend to obscure political purposes.

Comments:

The analysis of the rise and fall of head-shops is in itself straightforward, but the relationship between the analysis and its context (the phenomenon of affluent youth) is problematic. Does the author view the head-shops as active disseminators of an alternative ideology which were corrupted by capitalism, or as by-products of a period of affluence, corrupt in themselves, which pretended to be disseminating an alternative ideology for purely capitalistic reasons? It is unclear; the link between affluence and social movements is never satisfactorily articulated.

Miller, Paul, "Social Activists and Social Change: The Chicago Demonstrators," American Journal of Psychiatry, 126, 12, June 1970, 1752-59.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

This paper is a study of social activists who participated in the demonstrations at the Democratic National Convention in Chicago in 1968. The sample consisted of 107 arrested demonstrators, contacted through the Legal Defense Committee of Chicago, who filled out self-administered questionnaires anonymously.

The demonstrators were white, mostly male, youthful (75% between 18 and 25), and most had never been arrested before, except for traffic violations and protest demonstrations. They were well educated and nearly all were from middle-class backgrounds. Most aspired to practicing a profession or a career in the creative arts, and their parents tended to be well educated and liberal.

These demonstrators were politically left, giving strong support to the peace movement and the New Left. Though conceding the presence in the demonstrations of anarchists and communists, they strongly disagreed with Chicago officials' charges that such elements had taken over the demonstrations.

Nearly three-quarters believed in non-violence, either as a universal principle or for themselves individually. Despite the nearly universal claim that they had not resisted arrest, 54% claimed they had been attacked by police. Most demonstrators had been charged with non-violent offenses.

Most intended to remain activists by demonstrating, participation in the electoral process, formation of activist groups, and education of nonactivists.

The author sees this youth culture as existing distinct from adolescent and adult culture--the result of American affluence not requiring every able bodied person to work, allowing many to wait until their late twenties before beginning work, and as a result of a unifying communication network. It is the author's contention that social activists should be viewed as the political vanguard of this youth culture.

Comments:

Apart from the fact that the sample "represents perhaps one percent of all demonstrators" and "there is no way to test whether it is representative," the article is a fairly conventional report of the profile of activist youth.

Miller, Paul R., "Revolutionists Among the Chicago Demonstrators,"
American Journal of Psychiatry, 127, 6, 1970, 752-58.

Approach: Clinical/Descriptive
 Methodology: Survey Research

A sample of 107 activists who demonstrated and were arrested at 1968 Democratic National Convention in Chicago provided data for comparing the characteristics of "revolutionaries" and demonstrators classed as "nonrevolutionaries." On the basis of questionnaires and interviews, 19 self-designated revolutionaries -- that is, activists who believe that overthrowing the government is acceptable if other techniques fail to change national policies -- were compared to the 88 nonrevolutionary demonstrators. All of the activists were white, most were male, young (75 percent were between 18 and 25), students or full-time employees, well-educated, and from middle-and-upper-class families. For most of them this was their first arrest and in most cases they were charged with nonviolent acts.

Direct comparisons of the two groups indicated that the revolutionaries were much more likely to reside outside of Illinois, to consider social activism as a career, to have parental support for their activism, to acknowledge the presence of anarchists and Communists in the demonstrations, to believe that capitalism is a major social problem in the United States, and to support anarchism, communism, and the S.D.S. Revolutionaries also differed significantly from other arrested demonstrators in that they did not support the McCarthy and Kennedy wings of the Democratic Party; nor did they advocate electoral activity, educational projects, and community action groups as means of accomplishing social and political change. Unlike their nonrevolutionary counterparts, they were not committed to non-violence.

The author discusses conversion from activist to revolutionary as a consequence of an increased awareness that conventional activist techniques have limitations, the radicalizing effects of violent coercion, new perspectives about the use of power to combat governmental inaction on racism, poverty, war, and militarism, and a sense of inadequate representation. The author's view was that these revolutionaries were not advocating political revolution so much as "conducting a cultural revolution by introducing radical changes in non-political areas of life," that is, by rebelling against prevailing American values and modes of life.

Comments:

Nineteen revolutionaries represent an exceedingly small sample, a fact which limits the ability to generalize from the findings. The survey instruments and procedures are not described, and the data were incompletely presented. For example, revolutionaries are said to have committed more previous acts of civil disobedience, but neither the source of the data nor tests of significance are supplied.

Myerhoff, Barbara, "The Revolution as a Trip: Symbol and Paradox," The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 391. September 1970.

Approach: Descriptive

Methodology: Participant Observation; Interviews; Historical Reconstruction

According to Levi-Strauss and other writers, symbol and ritual function to unify opposing and paradoxical elements within a given belief-system. In the context of this anthropological generalization, the author examines the particular belief-system exemplified by a small group of student political leaders involved in a university strike during May 1970. The situation was this: a "large, privately funded, generally conservative" American university declared a moratorium on classes for several days in the wake of the Kent State and Jackson State incidents, ostensibly to encourage the development of positive programs of action addressed to critical national issues, but covertly to defuse an imminent student strike. The students struck anyway--that is, they vied with the administration for control of the event, seeking both to make a political statement and to impress upon it the indelible stamp of the counter-culture.

The article focuses on the activities of the group which coordinated that effort, described by the author as "student leaders" only for lack of a better term, since the "leaders" themselves shunned any such hierarchical designation. That as much as anything exemplified their paradoxical ideology. Following Yippie ideologues Hoffman and Rubin they styled themselves as "poet-warriors" rather than politicians--demonstrators of an integrated way of life combining work and play, organization and spontaneity, concrete political goals and joyous cultural celebration. Yet despite their denial of the term they did unmistakably function as leaders. What the author suggests is that via (their own use of) the symbol "poet-warrior" they were able to resolve the leader/non-leader paradox inherent in their chosen system of belief; more generally, the opposing but equally valued elements "culture" and "politics" merged in this symbol.

Counter-culture rituals--drugs, music, costume--served to ease dissonance created by the awareness that the strike was not, after all, their own doing but a treacherous administrative ploy. If the university had undermined the political side of their program (which it effectively had, anticipating boycotting of classes and beating the students to it) then the students would not emphasize politics, for that would mean ceding control of the event to the university. Instead they would make the campus resonate to their music, fill the air with their incense and reefer, construct their kind of architecture--gaudy psychedelic bubbles. (Not incidentally, the "leaders," being aware that their media image was more interesting than that of the university, strove at every point for outrageous visual effects.) By exuberantly living out counter-culture rituals, then, they were able to shape a paradoxical situation into their own unmistakable creation. And if their political program was a failure, their cultural program was, in the author's opinion, a significant triumph--creating a mood of religious celebration (thanks to the successful operation of symbol and ritual) and subverting the university's image in the eyes of the public.

Comments:

This is an extremely attractive essay. The author deserves credit for applying anthropological theory and techniques to a contemporary situation without losing either her objectivity or her sympathy--a delicate balancing act indeed. Her thesis is a fascinating one; her argument elegant and convincing.

Nieburg, H.L., "Agonistics--Rituals of Conflict," The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 391, September 1970, 56-73.

Approach: Descriptive

"Agonistics," writes Nieburg in his abstract, "is a term used by ethologists to denote animal conflict behavior that is playful, symbolic, or ritualistic. The ethological literature shows a remarkable consensus about the social function of agonistic animal behavior: it is political." The political function of ritualistic conflict behavior is twofold--it initiates members of a group into a prevailing ordered set of relationships, and it serves as a means by which these relationships can be modified at relatively low cost to the group. The author discusses the youth movement, among other things, in these terms.

Comments:

The foregoing is necessarily brief because the article is in fact a fraud. The thesis he presents in his abstract is promising indeed, but the article bears little or no resemblance to it. Under the aegis of serious ethology Nieburg heaps scorn upon the political and cultural claims made for the Woodstock gathering and upon ceremonial social gatherings in general. The total absence of the positive connotations attributed to ritual in the author's abstract is a mystery; in the article itself the term "ritual"--applied indiscriminately to anything and everything--connotes vague ridicule. The author seems to have reduced a credible ethological theory to a "proof" that ritualistic group behavior such as was manifest at Woodstock is "merely childish."

O'Brien, James, "The Development of the New Left," The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, May 1971, 15-25.

Approach: Historical

In this article O'Brien chooses the time February 1969, when black student sit-ins in the South touched off sympathy picketing in the North, to mark the inception of the New Left.

During the first such period--1960-63--three major issues fueled the movement: southern racial discrimination, atmospheric nuclear testing, and the activities of the House Un-American Activities Committee. Although the number of participating activists remained small, a number of political groups formed, including the National Student Association, the Student Peace Union, the Young People's Socialist League, the Young Socialist Alliance, and Students for a Democratic Society.

The Birmingham demonstrations in 1963 marked the beginning of the next period which, according to the author, culminated in the 1965 Watts riot. This period was dominated by the civil rights issue, and was characterized by a deepening involvement of the activist minority exemplified by the hundreds of students who went into the Deep South to work for the Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee (SNCC) and other civil rights groups.

In February 1965, the escalation of bombing in North Vietnam made the Vietnam War into a major issue and the movement into an anti-war movement. This was the beginning of the third period, 1965-67, characterized by public demonstrations and teach-ins.

The fourth period, from 1967 up to the time the article was written (1971), was characterized by the development of serious draft resistance, obstructive campus protests against symbols of the military, the emergence of cultural radicalism, support (during the 1968/69 school year) for black students' demands--in short, a variety of manifestations of frustration-fed revolt all centered on the continuing U.S. presence in Vietnam.

The author concludes that despite the traditional Marxist assertion that socialist revolution must emerge from the working class, the development of the new student Left--and in particular certain aspects of its ideology such as rejection of the consumer ethic and dedication of cooperative social arrangements--may augur a socialist revolution from that quarter.

Comments:

As this decade has made plain, the author's prediction was way out in left field. Apart from that, the segmentation of events into distinct historical periods hardly justifies this uninspiring rehash of the sixties.

OTHER SOCIAL MOVEMENTS AND COLLECTIVE ACTIONS

Right Wing Crusades

Broyles, J. Allen, "The John Birch Society: A Movement of Social Protest of the Radical Right," Journal of Social Issues, 19, 2, 1963, 51-62.

Approach: Descriptive
Methodology: Case Study

This article summarizes the conclusions drawn from a study of the John Birch Society in 1962. The organization, ideology, and activities of this organization are analyzed from a social-psychological perspective, with particular attention paid to the type of social conflict in which Birch Society supporters engaged.

According to Broyles, the Birch Society functions as a "fundamentalist reaction" to its social setting with a major appeal for members and leaders being its ideology and activity designed "to expose, protest, and if possible destroy Communism wherever the leaders or members of the Society perceive it."

John Birch Society publications were examined and field research was conducted through mail questionnaires to determine the sites for on-site research. Observers and active participants on both sides of local controversies were then interviewed in nine cities which had been identified as centers of activity of local Birch Society chapters.

A variety of conclusions were drawn from this field study. The top leadership tended to be charismatic (focused on the founder Robert Welch) but it also embodied an unstable mixture of both charismatic and rational bureaucratic elements, with a paid staff. This led to certain strengths, primarily because of the capacity to multiply the efforts of the leader with a fairly high rate of efficiency but also had certain weaknesses in that the efficiency might undermine the emotionalism and loyalty aroused by the charismatic leader. The author predicted that if the society grew, the rational-bureaucratic structure would increase and be strengthened but if the society continued to shrink, the charismatic leadership would assume a dominant position.

A second set of findings pertain to the ideology of the Society which reflected a conspiratorial view of society in which most leaders of major societal institutions in the United States were knowing or unwitting Communist agents. Members of the Society tended to accept the "total package" of beliefs; they tended to accept the basic concepts as given "facts," and, consequently, refrained from examining their validity. He suggests that these characteristics of rigidity and invulnerability were partially a function of the close-minded nature characteristic of Society members.

The ideology served the following functions: it provided certainty, understanding and direction; it provided a sense of being "right" and superior to opponents; it provided justification for and a target for direct aggression. According to this interpretation, uprooted elites and others who were frustrated by the transformation of societal institutions were drawn by the charismatic leader of the Birch Society and its ideology which allowed them to focus and act upon their frustration.

Broyles found that the conflicts in which the society engaged tended to be characterized as follows: 1) the tone of the conflict, both initially and as the conflict progressed, was highly acrimonious, 2) the intent of the conflict was to attract allies from the uncommitted public rather than to resolve differences between the conflicting parties, 3) the conflict broadened as it progressed, and 4) the conflict was resolved by being abandoned rather than by any negotiation of differences. He suggests that these characteristics were a function of the initial perception that the conflicting parties have of each other: They perceive each other as pursuing illegitimate ends and using illegitimate means. There is no common ground to make negotiation or compromise possible. Instead, the conflict is one of the "good" against the "evil," consists of charges and countercharges, and ends when the parties become emotionally exhausted.

Broyles concludes by suggesting that if opponents of the Society refrain from engaging in this acrimonious propagandistic conflict--"noncommunal conflict"--and force Society members to rationally debate the issues, then the John Birch Society might become a functional and constructive element of society.

Comments:

Although dated, this article provides an interesting descriptive account of a radical right organization and the type of conflict it engaged in. Particularly provocative is the suggestion that ideology may be more important in explaining persons' participation in social movement organizations and the types of activities the organization engages in than researchers generally acknowledge.

Nevertheless, there are methodological problems which make this article clearly suggestive rather than conclusive. No indication is given as to how many persons participated in the study or who refused and why. In general, the author tends to offer conclusions without providing a discussion of the data, making it difficult to evaluate them.

Kirkpatrick, R. George, "Collective Consciousness and Mass Hysteria: Collective Behavior in Durkheimian Perspectives," Human Relations, 28, 1, 1975, 63-84.

Approach: Theoretical
Methodology: Case Study

This article develops from two Durkheimian postulates:

1. Societies are based on a normative consensus or shared trust. A deviant act that threatens the commonality and thus the very basis of social order may call down punishment whose severity is much greater than the effects of the act warrant.
2. With increasing size, division of labor, and specialization of functions in a community or society, the strength of the collective consensus decreases; and acts contrary to public morality will incur less repression and hysteria.

Antipornography movements in two American cities, Midville (pop. 100,000) and Southtown (pop. 250,000) were studied. Observations by nonparticipants, documents, and structured and unstructured interviews were used to flesh out a Durkheimian model of collective consciousness and mass hysteria. Southtown's population was both larger and more diverse than Midville's. According to Durkheim's model, Southtown would therefore respond less hysterically than Midville to threatened pornography.

Before formally advancing the Durkheimian model, however, Kirkpatrick tested a Freudian model for its predictive value. In Freud's view, crusades for decency are not primarily a collective phenomenon but rather an extreme manifestation of individual repressions. Accordingly, attitude scales measuring sexual repression were administered to samples of both populations. Since residents of Southtown showed significantly more repression than Midville residents, Freud's model then, would predict the reverse, that Southtown would respond more hysterically than Midville to the threat of pornography. Surveys of antipornography movements in both cities showed that collective hysteria was actually greater in Midville than in Southtown, a finding which undermined the Freudian and supported the Durkheimian model.

On the basis of the two surveys, the author suggests a number of intervening variables and corresponding generalizations by way of elaborating the Durkheim model. One of the intervening variables was "public designation of deviance by prestige figures," In Midville a 'national expert' lectured against pornography; no such figure appeared in Southtown. Another was "central threat to value system." In Midville the only dealer in pornography had his store in the heart of the downtown business district, a direct threat to its respectability. In Southtown the threat was more diffuse. Generally, the author says, "The more public the threat to the collective consciousness, the more hysteria..." Finally, he draws attention to the Durkheimian notion of "the symbolic nature of crime, and the relationship between the degree of insult to the collective consciousness and the reaction to deviance,

irrespective of the seriousness of the act itself."

Comments:

The thesis of this article touches on the very roots of collective behavior, and the two case studies are fairly convincing. Unfortunately the article itself is so poorly organized as to be almost impenetrable. The author tries to perform too many operations upon the case studies: first, he simplifies them to outline the thesis; then, having "confirmed" the thesis, he adds one variable after another, purportedly to bridge the gap between model and reality, but actually blurring the initial premise. For example, the "style" of the deviant -- the degree of ostentation or conformity he presents to the collective consciousness -- is introduced as a crucial variable interacting with the collective consciousness to produce hysteria. But the author makes no attempt to relate this variable to the basic model, and its introduction raises more questions than it answers: Is the deviant a product of his community or an independent agent? How might the deviant's style be a function of community size and relative homogeneity? By overextending himself in this way the author detracts from the force of his thesis.

Page, Ann and Donald Clelland, "The Kanawha County Textbook Controversy: A Study of the Politics of Life Style Concern," Social Forces, 57, 1, September 1978, 265-81.

Approach: Descriptive
Methodology: Case Study

Kanawha County, West Virginia is the scene of a continuing protest over the content of textbooks used in the public schools. This protest is analyzed within a reformulated theoretical framework of status politics derived from Weber and Gusfield. The textbook controversy is viewed as a group phenomenon in which an attempt is made to defend a way of life by controlling "the means of symbolic production through which reality is constructed." Kanawha County is described on the basis of Census data, and the controversy is summarized and discussed from the resulting socio-cultural profile.

The anti-textbook protestors held marches and rallies, circulated petitions, appealed to elected officials, and boycotted the school system by keeping their children home or sending them to private Christian schools. The controversy also involved violence in the form of sniping, vandalism, and fire-bombing. The leadership of the anti-textbook groups reflected the movement's strong traditional religious base and the lower educational levels of the group members. Demographic data suggested a rural-urban schism was also related to the controversy.

The investigators analyzed the published statements and pamphlets of protest leaders and letters to the editor and isolated four categories of complaint: disrespect for traditional orthodox conceptions of God and the Bible; use of profanity and vulgar language; disrespect for authority; and advocacy of moral relativism. Examples of these complaints are provided, and the "cultural fundamentalism" that they reflect is compared with the opposing cosmopolitan influence exerted in Kanawha school policy. The question as to why this movement arose is discussed in relation to structural conduciveness, sociocultural factors, the presence of a charismatic leader, other movements extant in the region, and relative power bases.

Comments:

The authors seem adept at drawing data from a variety of sources and effectively utilizing them to substantiate their theoretical points. Their presentation makes for a fascinating sociopolitical case study.

Zurcher, Louis A. and Russell L. Curtis, "A Comparative Analysis of Propositions Describing Social Movement Organizations," The Sociological Quarterly, 14, Spring 1973, 178-88.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Case Study

Research on two anti-pornography crusades and the organizations that coordinated and directed them is used to assess the plausibility of propositions developed by Zald and Ash regarding the characteristics and dynamics of social movement organizations. Organization records, observations at meetings, and unstructured interviews with participants and others were used to compile "natural histories" of two crusades -- in Midville and Southtown -- which differed in geographical location, size and affiliation of the organizations, strategies and tactics, duration of the groups and other relevant facts.

The Midville crusade took place in a heavily industrialized north-central city of 100,000 residents. It was begun by a small group of citizens from various organizations whose principal tactic was direct action against an adult book store. When the book store retaliated by filing a lawsuit, which was settled without judgment, the organization continued to operate on a smaller scale against other targets.

The Southtown crusade occurred in a southwestern state capital with a population of 250,000. The goal of the religious fraternal organization which began it was to modify the state's anti-pornography statutes, but the group never engaged in direct action against businesses. After the legislature passed two statutes that they had lobbied for, the organization disbanded. It had been in existence for five months.

The authors examine four sets of propositions, elaborated by Zald and Ash: 1) Organizations with exclusive membership requirements are likely to have more stable goals, to be less susceptible to pressures for maintaining the organization, to have fewer coalitions or mergers but more schisms, to have leaders who mobilize the members for tasks, and to have a longer life span. 2) The success of the organization depends greatly on the quality and commitment of the leaders and their tactics. The charisma of leaders will be used to foster conservative tendencies in the dominant core of the organization as well as to encourage radical splinter groups. 3) Movement organizations created by other organizations tend to disband when the goal is achieved. Such an organization will be more likely to establish new goals if it has its own recruiting and fund-raising base. 4) Organizations whose goals are oriented toward changing people are said to be less susceptible to pressures to maintain the organization, to have more stable goals, and to last longer than those aimed at changing society. Organizations which have specific goals are likely to cease operations when goals have been accomplished.

Most of the hypotheses were supported. Exclusiveness, as in Southtown, was not associated with longer life, however; and the

organization attempting to change people in Midville did not have less pressure for organizational maintenance or more stable goals. In accounting for the lack of support for these hypotheses, the authors argue that "in the small or emerging social movement organization, the variables leadership orientation, goal specificity, and incentive structure are significant and perhaps overriding independent variables, accounting for much of the variance in other organizational characteristics."

Comments:

On the whole this article is interesting because it contrasts two organizations which focus on the same issue but differ sharply in the internal dynamics of their organizations. Those already familiar with Zald and Ash's work on the structure and process of social movement organizations will find the application interesting and provocative, even though, as the authors admit, the sample is too small to be a serious test of their propositions. Unfortunately, they do not provide enough information to allow us to place these two organizations in relation to many of the independent variables. For example, they argue that Southtown's membership requirements were more exclusive than Midville's but provide little support for this contention. Moreover, in accounting for differences between the two movements, they neglect other variables besides those concerning the internal organization that Zald and Ash specified, including the support derived from external sources.

Zurcher, Louis Jr., and Robert Kirkpatrick, Citizens for Democracy: Anti-pornography Crusades as Status Defense, Austin, University of Texas Press, 1976; also Zurcher, L. R. Kirkpatrick and C. Bowman, "Ad Hoc Antipornography Organizations and Their Active Members: A Research Summary," Journal of Social Issues, 29, 3, 1973, 69-94; also, Wilson, K. and L. Zurcher, "Status Inconsistency and Participation in Social Movements: An Application of Goodman's Hierarchical Modeling," Sociological Quarterly, 17, 4, Autumn 1976, 520-33; also, Zurcher, L. R. Kirkpatrick, C. Bowman, "The Antipornography Campaign: A Symbolic Crusade," Social Problems, 12, 2, Fall 1971; and, Curtis, Russell and Louis Zurcher, "Stable Resources of Protest Movements: The Multi-Organizational Field," Social Forces, 52, September 1973, 53-60.

Approach: Descriptive/Empirical
Methodology: Case Study

This book describes the emergence of two antipornography crusades to curtail the sale of sexually explicit materials within their communities and examines some of the attitudes and attributes of crusade participants ("Conporn") and their opponents ("proporn"). One crusade occurred in "Southtown" the hometown of the researchers; the other occurred in "Midville," a city in the northern midwest.

Drawing on Gusfield's work, the major hypothesis is that antipornography efforts "reflect status politics, escalated to the level of symbolic crusades by the concerted activities of status discontents, individuals who perceive as threatened the prestige (and power) of the life style to which they are committed" (p. 9). This hypothesis suggests three specific propositions: First, the goals, strategies, and tactics of antipornography crusades and the speeches, actions, attributions, responses and concerns of crusaders should reveal status discontent and efforts to resolve it. Second, crusaders will tend to fit a pattern of over-rewarded status inconsistency (high income with lower education and/or lower occupation). Third, opponents will tend to fit a pattern of under-rewarded status inconsistency (low income with higher education and/or higher occupation).

In addition to Gusfield's theory of status politics in symbolic crusades, the authors need several other perspectives on social movement phenomena, namely: Smelser's value-added theory of collective behavior; Gamson's propositions concerning political efficacy and trust; Zald and Asch's propositions concerning the growth and transformation of social movement organizations; and Turner's propositions specifying the determinants of movement strategy.

Researchers collected both quantitative and qualitative data. Structured interviews with "conporn," "proporn," and "controls" provided quantitative data. The samples of "conporn" and "proporn" respondents were drawn using a "snowball" technique (that is, by each respondent naming others who should be interviewed). "Control" respondents were drawn from the neighborhoods in which the "conporn" lived. Observation, documents, and unstructured interviews provided qualitative data. In "Southtown" researchers conducted over 150 unstructured interviews and 113 structured interviews (49 "conporn," 26 "proporn," 38 "controls") from

January to June 1969. In "Midville" they completed over 50 unstructured interviews and 73 structured interviews (36 "conpornns," 25 "propornns," and 12 "controls") during 17 days in June and July 1969.

Community leaders, the press, and the police did not oppose the goals and actions of these crusades. Nevertheless, crusaders were concerned about unwanted side effects from their activities and the potential for their opponents to become organized. "Conpornns" tactics were more orderly than disorderly, but their accomplishments were more dramatic than effectual: after these crusades the availability in these communities of sexually explicit materials had not been decreased.

Portions of this research had been published previously in journals and as research reports to the funding agent, the Commission on Obscenity and Pornography (see pp. xiv-xv for citations). The introductory chapter provides a review of the various theoretical perspectives on social movement phenomena which guided the research. The next six chapters provide not only detailed descriptions of the two crusades but also brief theoretical interpretations. The eighth and ninth chapters focus respectively on individual and organizational characteristics. The tenth chapter synthesizes conclusions.

Zurcher and Kirkpatrick summarize their conclusions as follows:

"Throughout the preceding chapters we have interpreted the natural history, individual and organizational data to support our hypothesis. The Conpornns were indeed status discontents, engaged in a symbolic crusade to defend the integrity of their life-style" (p. 307).

They also note that the data were insufficient to test (in a strict sense of the term) the assorted propositions they had presented. In fact, contrary to their propositions,

"Notable percentages of Conpornns were low status consistents; notable percentages of Propornns were high status consistents. Nearly one-third of the Conpornns were under-rewarded status inconsistent (the same percentage as those who were over-rewarded)" (p. 269).

The authors, nevertheless, synthesize their conclusions in a broad explanatory framework, presenting it in predictive form, listing a series of statements concerning the emergence of antipornography crusades specifically and symbolic crusades generally. They suggest that symbolic crusades can be "liberal" (e.g., pro-marijuana) as well as "conservative."

Comments:

This book appears conceptually elegant but analytically crude. The data on individual characteristics seem extremely weak. For example,

"Thirty-one percent of the Midville Conpornns indicated that they were not official members of the antipornography organization... 42 percent of (its) members could not accurately name their cru-

sade; 20 percent of (the Southtown) membris could not accurately name theirs" (p. 300).

The authors' warning about conclusions concerning the support (or lack of it) for the hypothesis and propositions must not be ignored.

OTHER SOCIAL MOVEMENTS AND COLLECTIVE ACTIONS

Miscellaneous

Hopple, Gerald W., "Protest Attitudes and Social Class: Working Class Authoritarianism Revisited," Sociology and Social Research, 60, 3, 1976, 229-46.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Past research has employed the F-scale and other agree-disagree attitude measures to establish the existence of a relationship between authoritarian attitudes and lower social class suggesting that less educated and lower status subgroups would oppose protest activity more than better educated and higher status persons. The author, however, argued that aspects of a particular protest situation determine responses within all social strata. This situational hypothesis predicted that attitudes toward a given protest activity would vary as a function of the context, issue, and participants.

The Protest Situational Attitude Scale (PSAS) was designed to measure attitudes toward ten protest situations and three nonprotest situations. The PSAS was administered to eighty-four high school teachers and mailed to 500 labor union members. (A return rate of 24% of the mail questionnaires resulted in data for 119 blue collar respondents.)

Results suggested that the teachers clearly differentiated between coercive or disruptive protests and nonviolent legal types. Union member results indicated that they were far from intolerant of all protest behavior: the range of positive and negative responses showed that their attitudes varied with the given issue. In fact, their responses were predominantly favorable toward protest in opposition to busing and the use of anti-religious books in school, and protest activity by neighborhood and veterans groups.

Although the working class respondents were less supportive of due process, and of protest behavior by liberal students, blacks, or other minority persons, the two occupational groups were quite similar in their disapproval of coercive or unruly protest situations.

Comments:

These findings should be accepted with caution because the samples are small and unrepresentative (especially by age and sex) and the response rate by the blue collar workers was quite low. But the findings do support the research hypothesis and, furthermore, they suggest that the PSAS is useful in assessing the range of tolerance for protest behavior within and between diverse subgroups.

Kahn, Robert L., "The Justification of Violence: Social Problems and Social Solutions," Journal of Social Issues, 28, 1, 1972, 155-75.

Approach: Theoretical/Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

In this brief discussion of his research on the level of violence people are prepared to justify, Kahn argues that the level of violence considered necessary for social control and the level of violence considered necessary for social change are important social indicators of the quality of life in a society. Using interviews with about 1400 American men, chosen on a stratified random basis, they found that these men were prepared to justify very substantial amounts of force and injury by the police for the sake of social control but were much less likely to feel that violence for the sake of social change was necessary.

When asked to define problems of violence, the respondents mentioned racial problems, civil disturbances, student protest, and crime, but very few mentioned war or police violence. The causes of violence were largely seen as social problems rather than individual pathology or criminality but when asked to suggest cures, there was much more emphasis on threat and punishment, along with economic and social change.

A statistical model is developed to describe violence justifiers. Those who supported high levels of violence for social control (given multiple choice options for potential events) were more likely to live in the South, to identify with police, believe in retributive justice, define many forms of protest as violence (draft card burning, for example), believe looting is violent but that shooting looters is not, and so on. The profile of those who believe property damage and injury are necessary for social change--i.e., those who justify violence for social change--are more likely to identify with minorities and protesters rather than police, disapprove of police shooting looters and beating students, see the source of violence in social problems, and so on.

Recommendations are made for national improvements in education and the (distribution of) income, both inversely associated with the justification of violence, and for continued data gathering on attitudes which justify violence..a proposed social indicator. Kahn concludes with messages to the supporters of violence. To justifiers of violence for social control (the majority): Since over 90% of American men agree upon the need for social change, and only a small minority of all men (and a larger minority of Black men) are skeptical and angry enough to conclude that changes will not occur without violence, it is the responsibility of the majority to convince the minority through visible progress and support for change, that violence is not necessary. To the justifiers of violence for social change, Kahn points out that most citizens are not pessimistic about change and do not feel it must be brought about by violence, and in fact endorse the use of violence by police to crush protests involving damage to property or injury to individuals. Thus he encourages nonviolent efforts to stimulate the present--if dormant--conscience of the majority since..."any minority that uses violence in an attempt to produce social change may well face a majority-supported response of counter-violence, and at any escalated level of destructiveness...the resort to violence is likely to wreak

disproportionate havoc among those who seek change."

Comments:

Excellent theoretical analysis, a wealth of survey data from an impressive sample, well chosen and well analyzed. It is unfortunate that no attitudes of women are measured or even discussed. Also missing is a profile of the American men who approved of minimal violence by either police or protesters, i.e., citizens who do not justify violence. He makes another quite critical point for all researchers in this area--"Violence, to a considerable extent, is in the eye of the beholder; its meaning is not comprehended by the dictionary definition of force and injury."

Leahy, Peter and Mazur, Allan, "A Comparison of Movements Opposed to Nuclear Power, Fluoridation and Abortion," In Research in Social Movements, Conflicts and Change, Volume I, Louis Kriesberg (Ed.), Greenwich, Connecticut: JAI Press, Inc., 1978, pp. 143-54.

Approach: Empirical

Methodology: Survey Research; Analysis of Existing Data

This article is a report of a comparative study of citizen movements against fluoridation, nuclear power plants, and legalized abortion, in which striking similarities among them are reviewed and discussed. All three are viewed as reactions to technological innovations in which there are clear disputes among experts over the consequences of the technology. The disagreements among experts in each of these areas revolve around the fact that they often address different questions, exploit ambiguities in the scientific data available, exaggerate the strength or significance of their findings, and interpret the same facts in different ways.

Interviews with representative samples of leaders of the movements opposed to nuclear power plants and legalized abortion were compared to existing data on anti-fluoridation activists and the authors found the leadership of the movements to be similar in many respects, not only to each other, but also to leading spokespeople for many other political issues. They argue that the leaders are older, middle class or higher, knowledgeable, appear to be well-integrated into the society, have a history of political activism, and have the time to be active. They are recruited into these movements through prior occupational or avocational interests and organizations, and through the personal influence of friends and acquaintances. Although their ideologies do differ, they all perceive that the innovation which they oppose is dangerous and they usually express their opposition both in an ideological context as well as in technological criticisms.

The authors argue that none of the innovations are intrinsically liberal or conservative and the politically partisan nature of the leadership is accidental.

"We suggest that the leaders of a given movement are oriented to the left or right by 'historic accident.' The political complexion of each movement was set early in the controversy and remained stable throughout its course...The social process of recruitment acts to preserve this initial political orientation."

Comments:

Although the attempt to compare three quite different "reactionary" movements is both interesting and provocative, this article is too brief a summary of previous research findings to allow the careful reader to evaluate the degree of similarity among them. Caution must also be attached to the way in which the samples were drawn and the fact that the number of respondents is relatively small. The leadership of nuclear power plant opponents is based on 30 interviews conducted with members of a prominent national coalition of groups in 1973 and the anti-abortion leadership is represented by 31 interviews with board members of National Right to Life Inc. in 1975. Anti-fluoridation activists are described on the basis of 570 respondents to a poll conducted by an anti-fluoridation newspaper in 1971 and from interviews with newspaper editors in communities which experienced opposition to fluoridation.

Ransford, Edward H., "Blue Collar Anger: Reactions to Student and Black Protest," American Sociological Review, 37, 3, 1972, 333-46.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

Ransford tests the hypothesis that the working class is especially antagonistic toward movements sponsored by blacks and students, using a stratified probability sample of 477 white Los Angeles residents. Personal interviews were conducted with males and females in predominantly skilled and semiskilled positions, who were employed during late 1969 and early 1970. The author was interested in whether blue-collar workers and those with less than a high school education would be more likely to 1) express punitive attitudes toward student demonstrators; 2) oppose granting students more power; and 3) feel blacks are pushing too hard for things they do not deserve, compared to respondents with more education and better jobs.

The author gives three somewhat overlapping reasons why working people may be more antagonistic toward demands by students and blacks than people higher in the class structure. First, the blue-collar environment stresses respect for authority, hence protests which seem to flout authority and downgrade traditional institutions will anger workers. Second, the working class has traditionally believed in hard work and the openness of the American system; they are hostile to black demands for preferential treatment and resent students' assertions that the system is racist, elitist, and exploitive. Third, because working-class people feel powerless to check "radical" changes and influence political decisions directly affecting their lives, they are especially antagonistic to groups that seem directly threatening.

The analysis gave moderate support to the idea that white working-class people are highly antagonistic toward students and blacks who demand massive changes. Although blue-collar workers (especially males and those scoring a strong sense of political powerlessness) were more antagonistic than white-collar workers, fairly sizable segments of all educational and occupational levels reacted in anger to the protests of the 1960s. Blue-collar workers, regardless of their educational level, were most opposed to protests by students and blacks. White-collar workers varied more in their outlook depending on their educational achievement. All three explanations for the greater antagonism of the blue-collar worker were confirmed, but the antagonism toward blacks was not fully explained by these theories. The greatest polarization was found among young adults: young blue-collar workers and young well-educated professionals were worlds apart in their attitudes toward student power and black demands.

According to the author, "much of the workingman's anger is a rational response to tangible strains, independent of personal bigotry. ... Apparently the potential exists for black as well as white militant action when individuals perceive a distributive injustice and, in addition, feel blocked in gaining redress through institutional channels."

Comments:

Certain aspects of the sampling procedure used in this study make it difficult to apply the findings to larger working-class populations. All respondents were employed in predominantly skilled (probably union) jobs, lived chiefly among whites, and were the only wage-earners in the household. Only white persons who voted in the 1969 mayoral election were included, and they were interviewed at a time of local unrest on the campus and intense racial friction. All of these factors may have heightened the antagonism toward students and blacks expressed by a selected group at a particular time.

Ransford's hypothesis needs to be tested by adopting a more rigorous definition and application of socioeconomic class distinctions. The study design would be improved by sampling at different times and using a more representative sample of socioeconomic groups stratified by region and degree of ethnic homogeneity within communities. Interpretations of the data must not only account for variations in attitude by industry and occupation, but also look at the effect of union membership.

Zikmund, William and Stephen Miller, "Internal/External Control of Reinforcement and Women's Participation in Direct Social Action," Psychological Reports, 34, 3, June 1974, 1163-66.

Approach: Empirical
Methodology: Survey Research

An individual's belief in internal or external control of reinforcement has been linked to social action, with internal control positively related to such behavior. Evidence as to the effect of this variable on women, however, has been mixed. This paper presents a study of the power of internal/external control of reinforcement in predicting female activism in a socioeconomic event "clearly within the female domain," the national meat boycott of April 1973. The hypothesis was that those who felt they had internal control over their own fate would be more active in the boycott. In a mailed survey of 600 Oklahoma women, 166 usable responses were obtained.

Contrary to expectations, survey results failed to show any greater support for the boycott among internally oriented women than externals. And externals were actually more involved in boycotting than were internals. The authors conclude that their results confirm the earlier studies in suggesting that the "prediction of women's social action is more difficult than men's using Rotter's I/E scale."

The argument that this result could be attributable to economic class factors was belied by the lack of differences in boycott participation across income, education, and occupation lines. In a post hoc analysis of the results, the authors suggest that the greater involvement of women with external loci of control in the boycott might be a function of the fact that persons with internal loci of control have a greater capacity to discriminate between controllable and uncontrollable situations. If they did not see the meat prices as "controllable," they may have opted to react to the situation by selective shopping or changing their diets rather than boycott participation.

Comments:

The general design and timing of the study provide a valuable real-world context for the study of this issue. Unfortunately, several factors impair the evidential value of the findings: Technically, of course, these data treat only the unpredictability of women's activism using the I/E scale as no men were studied. The study would have been improved if I/E scores had been shown to predict some corresponding male activity using this same methodology. The fact that food-purchasing is done for the family may well have reduced women's degrees of freedom to follow personal inclinations, especially for those unaware of adequate nutritional substitutes.

The lack of independence in the food-purchasing role is troublesome. The low questionnaire return rate raises questions of sample self-selection, and it is not likely that a median-split of the (self-administered and slightly modified) I/E scale meaningfully distinguishes the traits of this population. The test-retest unreliability of I/E scales virtually assures

categorization errors (of the most serious sort in this study) from so fine a distinction. One final, egregious omission is the failure to provide any "repeated measure" (or even self-report) comparison of behaviors during the boycott with behaviors during some non-boycott period. Checking the response "We did not eat any meat" does not necessarily indicate boycotting behavior (especially for vegetarians and the poor), while respondents checking the option "We frequently ate meat" might have done so less frequently than normal or in smaller "amounts per meal" as a form of participation in the boycott. This complex of methodological oversights renders the findings of this study substantially uninterpretable.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abeles, Ronald P., "Relative Deprivation, Rising Expectations, and Black Militancy," Journal of Social Issues, 32, 2, 1974, 119-39.
- Abrahamson, Mark, Howard F. Taylor, Kenneth Kessin, and Kenji Ima, "The Self or the Collectivity: Simulation of a Marxian Hypothesis," Social Forces, 47, 3, 1969, 299-305.
- Abramowitz, Stephen I., "Internal-External Control and Social-Political Activism: A Test of the Dimensionality of Rotter's Internal-External Scale," Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 40, 2, April 1973, 196-201.
- Abramowitz, Stephen I., "Personality, the Sociocultural Environment, and Student Political Activism: Toward a Field Theory of Social Behavior," Journal of Psychology, 88, 1, September 1974, 103-12.
- Abrams, Lloyd, "Commitment Via Public Protest as a Determinant of Attitudes Concerning U.S. Defense Policy and Political Dissent," Psychological Reports, 32, 1, February 1973, 251-54.
- Abramson, Harold J. and Rosalio Wences, "Campus Dissent and Academic Punishment: The Response of College Professors to Local Political Activism," Sociology of Education, 45, 1, Winter 1972, 61-75.
- Abudu, Margaret J., Walter J. Raine, Stephen Burbeck, and Keith Davison, "Black Ghetto Violence: A Case Study Inquiry Into the Spatial Pattern of Four Los Angeles Riot Event-Types," Social Problems, 19, 3, Winter 1972, 408-26.
- Adamek, Raymond J. and Jerry M. Lewis, "Social Control Violence and Radicalization: Behavioral Data," Social Problems, 22, 5, June 1975, 663-74.
- Adams, John S., "The Geography of Riots and Civil Disorders in the 1960s," Economic Geography, 48, 1, January 1972, 24-42.
- Akins, Carl, "The Riot Commission Report and the Notion of 'Political Truth'," Social Science Quarterly, 49, 3, December 1968, 469-73.
- Aldrich, Howard, Albert J. Reiss, Jr., "The Effect of Civil Disorders on Small Business in the Inner City," Journal of Social Issues, 26, Winter 1970, 187-206.
- Allen, Vernon L., "Toward Understanding Riots: Some Perspectives," Journal of Social Issues, 26, 1, Winter 1970, 1-18.
- Allerbeck, Klaus R., "Some Structural Conditions for Youth and Student Movements," International Social Science Journal, 24, 2, 1972, 257-70.
- Altheide, David L, and Robert P. Gilmore, "The Credibility of Protest," American Sociological Review, 37, 1, February 1972, 99-108.
- Anderson, William A., "The Reorganization of Protest: Civil Disturbances and Social Change in the Black Community," American Behavioral Scientist, 16, 3, January-February 1973, 426-39.

- Anderson, William A., Russel Dynes, and E.L. Quarantelli, "Urban Counter-rioters," Society, 11, 3, March-April 1974, 50-55.
- Apter, David E., "An Epitaph for Two Revolutions that Failed," Daedalus, 103, 4, Fall 1974, 85-103.
- Arnott, Catherine, "Feminists and Anti-Feminists as 'True Believers'," Sociology and Social Research, 57, 3, April 1973, 300-06.
- Aron, William S., "Student Activism of the 1960s Revisited: A Multivariate Analysis Research Note," Social Forces, 52, 3, March 1974, 408-14.
- Arrington, Theodore S. and Patricia A. Kyle, "Equal Rights Amendment Activists in North Carolina," Signs, 3, 3, 1978, 666-80.
- Backman, Earl L. and David J. Finaly, "Student Protest: A Cross-National Study," Youth and Society, 5, 1, September 1973, 3-46.
- Baron, Robert A. and Victoria M. Ransberger, "Ambient Temperature and the Occurrence of Collective Violence: The 'Long, Hot Summer' Revisited," Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 36, 4, April 1978, 351-60.
- Bay, Christian, "Political and Apolitical Students: Facts in Search of a Theory," Journal of Social Issues, 23, 3, 1967, 76-91.
- Bayer, Alan E., "Institutional Correlates of Faculty Support of Campus Unrest," American Council on Education Research Reports, 6, 1, February, 1971.
- Bayer, Alan E. and Alexander W. Astin, "Violence and Disruption on the U.S. Campus, 1968-1969," Educational Record, 50, 4, Fall 1969, 337-50.
- Bellisfield, Gwen, "White Attitudes Toward Racial Integration and the Urban Riots of the 1960s," Public Opinion Quarterly, 36, 4, Winter 1972-73, 579-84.
- Berk, R.A., "The Controversy Surrounding Collective Violence: Some Methodological Notes," In J.F. Short and M. Wolfgang (Eds.), Collective Violence. Chicago: Aldine-Atherton, 1972.
- Berk, R.A., "The Emergence of Muted Violence in Crowd Behavior: A Case Study of an Almost Race Riot," In J.F. Short and M. Wolfgang (Eds.), Collective Violence. Chicago: Aldine-Atherton, 1972.
- Berk, R.A. and Howard E. Aldrich, "Patterns of Vandalism During Civil Disorders as an Indicator of Selection of Targets," American Sociological Review, 37, 5, October 1972, 533-47.
- Berk, Richard, Peter Rossi, and Betteye Eidson, The Roots of Urban Discontent, New York: Wiley and Sons, 1974.
- Berkowitz, Leonard, "Frustrations, Comparisons, and Other Sources of Emotion Arousal as Contributors to Social Unrest," Journal of Social Issues, 28, 1, 1972, 77-91.

- Berkowitz, Leonard, "The Study of Urban Violence: Some Implications of Laboratory Studies of Frustration and Aggression," In S.S. Guterman (Ed.), Black Psyche: The Modal Personality Patterns of Black Americans. Berkeley: Glendessary, 1972. Also in American Behavioral Scientist, 2, March-April 1968, 14-17.
- Berkowitz, William R., "The Impact of Anti-Vietnam Demonstrations Upon National Public Opinion and Military Indicators," Social Science Research, 2, 1, March 1973, 1-14.
- Berkowitz, William R., "The Impact of Protest: Willingness of Passersby to Make Antiwar Commitments at Anti-Vietnam Demonstrations," Journal of Social Psychology, 93, 1, June 1974, 31-42.
- Betz, Michael, "Riots and Welfare: Are They Related?" Social Problems, 3, 21, 1974, 345-55.
- Bigelow, Gordon S. and Robert W. Kennedy, "Attitudes of Kent State Students Before and After the Events of May 4, 1970," Journal of College Student Personnel, 15, 1, January 1974, 17-21.
- Birrell, Derek, "Relative Deprivation as a Factor in Conflict in Northern Ireland," Sociological Review, 20, 3, August 1972, 317-43.
- Bisanz, Charles, "The Anatomy of a Mass Public Protest Action: A Shutdown by Independent Truck Drivers," Human Organization, 36, 1, 1977, 62-66.
- Bittner, Egon, "Radicalism and the Organization of Radical Movements," American Sociological Review, 28, December 1963, 928-40.
- Blau, Peter M. and Ellen L. Slaughter, "Institutional Conditions and Student Demonstrations," Social Problems, 18, 4, Spring 1971, 475-87.
- Blauner, Robert, "Internal Colonialism and Ghetto Revolt," Social Problems, 16, 4, 1969, 393-408.
- Block, Jeanne H., Norma Haan and M. Brewster Smith, "Socialization Correlates of Student Activism," Journal of Social Issues, 25, 4, Fall 1969, 143-77.
- Bloombaum, Milton, "The Conditions Underlying Race Riots as Portrayed by Multidimensional Scalogram Analysis: A Reanalysis of Lieberman and Silverman's Data," American Sociological Review, 33, 1, February 1968, 76-91.
- Blumberg, Herbert H., "Accounting for a Nonviolent Mass Demonstration," Sociological Inquiry, 38, 1, Winter 1968, 43-50.
- Blumenthal, Monica D., "The Belief Systems of Protesting College Students," Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 2, 2, June 1973, 103-23.
- Blumenthal, Monica D., "Predicting Attitudes Toward Violence," Science Magazine, 176, June 1972, 1296-1303.
- Blumenthal, Monica D., "Resentment and Suspicion Among American Men," American Journal of Psychiatry, 130, 8, August 1973, 876-80.

- Blumer, Herbert, "Social Movements," In A.M. Lee (Ed.), New Outline of the Principles of Sociology, Barnes and Noble, Inc., 1951; reprinted in B. McLaughlin (Ed.), Studies in Social Movements, New York: The Free Press, 1969.
- Boesel, David, "The Liberal Society, Black Youths, and the Ghetto Riots," Psychiatry, 33, 2, May 1970, 265-81.
- Bolton, Charles, "Alienation and Action: A Study of Peace Group Members," American Journal of Sociology, 78, 3, November 1972, 537-61.
- Bordua, D.J. (Ed), The Police: Six Sociological Essays, New York: Wiley, 1967.
- Boruch, Robert F. and John A. Creager, "A Note on Stability of Self-Reported Protest Activity and Attitudes," Measurement and Evaluation in Guidance, 5, 2, July 1972, 332-38.
- Boskin, Joseph, "The Revolt of the Urban Ghettos, 1964-1967," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 382, March 1969, 1-14.
- Bowen, Don R., Elinor Bowen, Sheldon R. Gawiser, and Louis H. Massotti, "Deprivation, Mobility, and Orientation Toward Protest of the Urban Poor," The American Behavioral Scientist, 2, March-April 1968, 20-23.
- Brannon, Robert, et al., "Attitude and Action: A Field Experiment Joined to a General Population Survey," American Sociological Review, 38, 5, October 1973, 625-36.
- Braungart, Richard G. and Margaret M. Braungart, "Protest Attitudes and Behavior Among College Youth: A U.S. Case Study," Youth and Society, 6, 2, December 1974, 219-48.
- Bressler, Marvin and Judith Higgins, The Political Left on Campus and in Society: The Active Decades, Washington D.C.: Office of Education, U.S. Government Printing Office, December 1972, 67 pps.
- Brinton, C., The Anatomy of Revolution, New York: Prentice-Hall, 1965.
- Brissett, Dennis, "Collective Behavior: The Sense of a Rubric," American Journal of Social Issues, 23, July 1967, 70-78.
- Brown, Donald R., "Student Stress and the Institutional Environment," Journal of Social Issues, 23, July 1967, 92-107.
- Brown, Richard Maxwell, "The American Vigilante Tradition," In H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives. For the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence, New York, 1969.
- Brown, Richard M., "Historical Patterns of Violence in American," In H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives. For the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence, New York, 1969.
- Brown, Richard, Strain of Violence: Historical Studies of American Violence and Vigilantism, New York: Oxford University Press, 1975.

- Broyles, J. Allen, "The John Birch Society: A Movement of Social Protest of the Radical Right," Journal of Social Issues, 19, 2, 1963, 51-62.
- Burton, Michael G., "Elite Disunity and Collective Protest: The Vietnam Case," Journal of Political and Military Sociology, 5, 2, Fall 1977, 169-83.
- Buttel, Frederick H. and William L. Flinn, "Environmental Politics: The Structuring of Partisan and Ideological Cleavages in Mass Environment Attitudes," Sociological Quarterly, 17, 4, Autumn 1976, 477-90.
- Button, Warren and Phyllis N. McGruder, "Hometown Strike," Urban Education, 12, 1, April 1977, 85-96.
- Bynum, Jack E., "Recalling the Riot Commission: A Middle-Class Microcosm," Free Inquiry, 4, 1, May 1976, 63-76.
- Callahan, Thomas W. and Richard L. Knoblauch, Guidelines for the Chief of Police. Criminal Justice Research. Prevention and Control of Collective Violence, Volume I. Washington, D.C.: National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice, June 1973.
- Callahan, Thomas W. and Richard L. Knoblauch, Guidelines for Community Relations Personnel. Criminal Justice Research. Prevention and Control of Collective Violence, Volume II. Washington, D.C.: National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice, June 1973.
- Callahan, Thomas W. and Richard L. Knoblauch, Guidelines for Intelligence Personnel. Criminal Justice Research. Prevention and Control of Collective Violence, Volume III. Washington, D.C.: National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice, June 1973.
- Callahan, Thomas W. and Richard L. Knoblauch, Guidelines for the Patrol Commander. Criminal Justice Research. Prevention and Control of Collective Violence, Volume IV. Washington, D.C.: National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice, June 1973.
- Campbell, James, "The Usefulness of Commission Studies of Collective Violence," The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, September 1970, 168-76.
- Caplan, Nathan, "The New Ghetto Man: A Review of Recent Empirical Studies," Journal of Social Issues, 26, 1, Winter 1970, 59-73.
- Caplan, Nathan and Jeffrey Paige, "A Study of Ghetto Rioters," Scientific American, 210, 2, August 1968, 15-20.
- Carden, Maren Lockwood, The New Feminist Movement, New York: Russell Sage, 1974.
- Chapko, Michael K. and Robert R. Revers, "Contagion in a Crowd: The Effects of Crowd Size and Initial Discrepancy from Unanimity," Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 33, 4, April 1976, 382-86.
- Chesler, Mark, James E. Crowfoot, and Bunyan A. Bryant, "The Institutional Contexts of School Desegregation: Contrasting Models of Research and Practice," Working Paper No. 199, Ann Arbor: Center for Research on Social Organization, University of Michigan, July 1979.

- Clarke, James W. and Joseph Egan, "Social and Political Dimensions of Campus Protest Activity," Journal of Politics, 34, 2, May 1972, 500-23.
- Coche, Eric and Ronald H. Bohr, "Teachers on Strike: Value Conflict and Participation in Protest," International Review of Applied Psychology, 23, 2, October 1974, 83-87.
- Cocozza, Joseph J., "Intensity of Riots and the Social Characteristics of Riot Cities," Case Western Reserve Journal of Sociology, 2, June 1968, 21-32.
- Cohen, Erik, "The Black Panthers and Israeli Society," Jewish Journal of Sociology, 14, 1, June 1972, 93-109.
- Cole, Stephen and Hanelore Adamsons, "Determinants of Faculty Support for Student Demonstrations," Sociology of Education, 42, 4, Fall 1969, 315-29.
- Coles, Robert, "Social Struggle and Weariness," Psychiatry, 27, 1964, 305-15.
- Comer, James P., "The Dynamics of Black and White Violence," In H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), The History of Violence in America. New York: Bantam Books, 1969.
- Conforti, Joseph M., "Racial Conflict in Central Cities: The Newark Teachers' Strikes," Society, 12, 1, November-December 1974, 22-33.
- Cooper, Mark N., "The Occurrence of Mutiny in World War I: A Sociological View," International Behavioural Scientist, 4, 3, September 1972, 1-10.
- Corsetti, George L., "The Private Sector and Its Relationship to the Political Police," unpublished paper.
- Couch, Carl J., "Collective Behavior: An Examination of Some Stereotypes," Social Problems, 15, 3, 1968, 310-22.
- Crawford, Thomas J. and Murray Naditch, "Relative Deprivation, Powerlessness and Militancy: The Psychology of Social Protest," Psychiatry, 33, 2, May 1970, 208-23.
- Cressey, Donald R., "A Confrontation of Violent Dynamics," International Journal of Psychiatry, 10, 3, September 1972, 109-30.
- "Criminal Law: The Controlled Use of Police Discretion to Avert Civil Disorder," Wisconsin Law Review, 3, 1970, 907-15.
- Cromwell, P.E. and R.L. Lewis, "Crowds, Mobs, and Riots: A Sociological Analysis," Police, 16, 1971, 30-32.
- Crosby, Faye, "Relative Deprivation Revisited: A Response to Miller, Bolce, and Halligan," The American Political Science Review, 73, March 1979, 103-11.
- Cryns, Arthur G., "Public Letter Writing in Response to Campus Unrest and Prison Riots," Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 31, 3, March 1975, 516-21.

- Cryns, Arthur G. and Jeremy D. Finn, "A Multivariate Analysis of Some Attitudinal and Ideological Correlates of Student Activism," Sociology of Education, 46, 1, Winter 1973, 127-42.
- Currie, Elliott and Jerome H. Skolnick, "A Critical Note on the Conceptions of Collective Behavior," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 391, September 1970, 34-45.
- Curtis, Russell L., Jr. and Louis A. Zurcher, "Stable Resources of Protest Movements: The Multi-Organizational Field," Social Forces, 53, 1, September 1973, 53-61.
- Danzger, Herbert, "Validating Conflict Data," American Sociological Review, 40, 5, October 1975, 570-84.
- Danzger, Herbert, "A Quantified Description of Community Conflict," American Behavioral Scientist, 12, 2, November 1968, 9-14.
- Davies, James C., "The J-Curve of Rising and Declining Satisfactions as a Cause of Some Great Revolutions and a Contained Rebellion," In H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives. New York: Bantam Books, 1969.
- Davies, James C., "Toward A Theory of Revolution," American Sociological Review, 27, 1, February 1962, 5-19.
- Davies, James, When Men Revolt and Why: A Reader in Political Violence and Revolution, New York: The Free Press, 1971.
- Davies, James C., "The J-Curve and Power Struggle Theories of Collective Violence," American Sociological Review, 39, 4, August 1974, 607-10.
- Davis, E.E. and Margret Fine, "The Effects of the Findings of the U.S. National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders: An Experimental Study of Attitude Change," Human Relations, 28, 3, April 1975, 209-27.
- Davis, J.A., "A Formal Interpretation of the Theory of Relative Deprivation," Sociometry, 1959, 280-96.
- DeFronzo, James, "Comment on 'The Causes of Racial Disturbances': A Comparison of Alternative Explanations," American Sociological Review, 36, 3, June 1971, 515-16.
- DeMartini, Joseph R., "Student Activists of the 1930s and 1960s: A Comparison of the Social Base of Two Student Movements," Youth and Society, 6, 4, June 1975, 395-422.
- Dercole, K.L. and W.G. Davenport, "Social Psychophysics: Measurement of Attitudes Toward Violence," Perceptual and Motor Skills, 38, 1, February 1974, 135-45.
- Diamond, Michael J. and W. Charles Lobitz, "When Familiarity Breeds Respect: The Effects of an Experimental Depolarization Program on Police and Student Attitudes Toward Each Other," Journal of Social Issues, 29, 4, 1973, 95-109.

- Donnerstein, Edward, Marcia Donnerstein, Seymour Simon, and Raymond Ditrichs, "Variables in Interracial Aggression: Anonymity, Expected Retaliation and a Riot," Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 22, 2, May 1972, 236-45.
- Douglas, Jack D., Youth in Turmoil. America's Changing Youth Cultures and Student Protest Movements. Crime and Delinquency Issues: A Monograph Series. Chevy Chase, Md.: National Institute of Mental Health, no date, 253 pps.
- Downes, Bryan T., "A Critical Reexamination of the Social and Political Characteristics of Riot Cities," Social Science Quarterly, 51, 2, September 1970, 349-60.
- Downes, B.T., "Social and Political Characteristics of Riot Cities: A Comparative Study," Social Science Quarterly, 49, 3, December 1968, 501-20.
- Drew, P., "Domestic Political Violence: Some Problems of Measurement," Sociological Review, 22, 1, February 1974, 5-25.
- Dunlap, Riley, "A Comment on Multiversity, University Size, University Quality and Student Protest: An Empirical Study," American Sociological Review, 35, 3, June 1970, 525-28.
- Dunlap, Riley E. and Dennis L. Peck, Student Activism: A Bibliography of Empirical Research. Exchange Bibliography No. 709. Monticello, Ill.: Council of Planning Librarians, 1974.
- Dwyer, Lynn E., "Social Movement Success: Some Theoretical Considerations," Paper prepared for the North Central Sociological Association meeting, 1978.
- Dynes, Russell and E.L. Quarantelli, "organization as Victim in Mass Civil Disturbances," Issues in Criminology, 5, 2, Summer 1970, 181-93.
- Dynes, Russell, E.L. Quarantelli and James L. Ross, Police Perspectives and Behavior in a Campus Disturbance, Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, Washington, D.C., 1972. Reprinted in Journal of Police Science and Administration, 2, 3, September 1974, 344-51.
- Eisele, Frederick C., "Organization Size, Technology and Frequency of Strikes," Industrial and Labor Relations Review, 27, 4, July 1974, 560-71.
- Eisenberg, Leon, "Student Unrest: Sources and Consequences," Science, 167, 3926, March 1970, 1688-1962.
- Eisenstadt, Shmuel Noah, "Generational Conflict and Intellectual Antinomianism," The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 395, May 1971, 68-79.
- Eisinger, Peter K., "The Conditions of Protest Behavior in American Cities," American Political Science Review, 67, 1, March 1973, 11-28.
- Epstein, Yakov M., Peter Suedfeld, and Daniel Bresnahan, "Reaction to a Campus Confrontation," Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 1, 1, January 1971, 56-65.

- Erlanger, Howard S. and Fred Persily, "Estrangement, Machismo, and Gang Violence," Institute for Research on Poverty, Discussion Papers 362-76, Rockville, Md.: National Institute of Mental Health, September 1976, 44 pps.
- Evans, Dorothy A. and Sheldon Alexander, "Some Psychological Correlates of Civil Rights Activity," Psychological Reports, 26, 3, June 1970, 899-906.
- Ewens, William L. and Howard J. Erlich, "The Relative Influence of Reference Others with Regard to Civil Rights Activism," Sociological Focus, 6, 1, Winter 1973, 1-13.
- Feagin, Joe R., "Social Sources of Support for Violence and Nonviolence in a Negro Ghetto," Social Problems, 15, 4, 1968, 432-41.
- Feagin, Joe R. and H. Hahn, Ghetto Revolts: The Politics of Violence in American Cities, New York: Macmillan, 1973.
- Feagin, Joseph R. and Paul B. Sheatsley, "Ghetto Resident Appraisals of a Riot," Public Opinion Quarterly, 32, 3, Fall 1968, 352-62.
- Feierabend, Ivo K., Rosalind Feierabend, and Betty Nesvold, "Social Change and Political Violence: Cross-National Patterns," In H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives, for the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence, New York: Bantam Books, 1969.
- Feldberg, Michael, "The Crowd in Philadelphia History: A Comparative Perspective," Labor History, Summer 1974, 323-36.
- Fellman, Gordon, Barbara Brandt, and Roger Rosenblatt, "Dagger in the Heart of Town: Massachusetts' Planners and Cambridge Workers," Trans-Action, 7, 11, September 1970, 38-47.
- Fendrich, James M., "Activists Ten Years Later: A Test of Generational Unit Continuity," Journal of Social Issues, 30, 3, Summer 1974, 95-118.
- Fendrich, James M. and Alison T. Tarleau, "Marching to a Different Drummer: Occupational and Political Correlates of Former Student Activists," Social Forces, 52, 2, December 1973, 245-53.
- Ferrari, Arthur, "Social Problems, Collective Behavior and Social Policy: Propositions from the War on Poverty," Sociology and Social Research, 59, 2, January 1975, 150-62.
- Fireman, Bruce, William Gamson, Steve Rytina, and Bruce Taylor, "Encounters with Unjust Authority," Working Paper No. 167, Ann Arbor: Center for Research on Social Organization, The University of Michigan, January 1977.
- Fireman, Bruce and William Gamson, "Utilitarian Logic in the Resource Mobilization Perspective," In The Dynamics of Social Movements, Mayer Zald and John D. McCarthy (Eds.), Cambridge: Winthrop Publishers, 1979, 8-44.

- Firestone, Joseph M., "Continuities in the Theory of Violence," Journal of Conflict Resolution, 18, 1, March 1974, 117-42.
- Firestone, Joseph M., "Theory of the Riot Process," American Behavioral Scientist, 15, 6, July 1972, 859-81.
- Flacks, Richard, "Making History vs. Making Life: Dilemmas of an American Left," Sociological Inquiry, 46, 3-4, 1976, 263-80.
- Flacks, Richard, "Protest or Conform: Some Social Psychological Perspectives on Legitimacy," Journal of Applied Behavioral Science, 5, 2, April-June 1969, 127-60.
- Flacks, Richard, "Student Activists: Result Not Revolt," Psychology Today, 1, 6, 1967, 18-23, 61.
- Flacks, Richard, "Social and Cultural Meanings of Student Revolt: Some Informal Comparative Observations," Social Problems, 17, 3, Winter 1970, 340-57.
- Flacks, Richard, "Young Intelligentsia in Revolt," Trans-Action, 7, 8, June 1970, 46-55.
- Flanagan, Thomas, "Social Credit in Alberta: A Canadian 'Cargo Cult'?" Archives de Sociologie des Religions, 34, July-December 1972, 39-48.
- Fogelson, Robert M., "Violence and Grievances: Reflections on the 1960s Riots," Journal of Social Issues, 26, 1, Winter 1970, 141-63.
- Fogelson, Robert M., Violence as Protest: A Study of Riots and Ghettos, Garden City, N.Y.: Doubleday, 1971.
- Fogelson, Robert M. and Richard Rubenstein, Mass Violence in America, A Collection of 43 Books Revealing America's Collective Aggression from Pre-Revolutionary Days to the Present, New York: Arno Press, 1969.
- Ford, William F. and John H. Moore, "Additional Evidence on the Social Characteristics of Riot Cities," Social Science Quarterly, 51, 2, September 1970, 339-48.
- Forrest, Thomas R., "Needs and Group Emergence: Developing a Welfare Response," American Behavioral Scientist, 16, 3, January-February 1973, 413-25.
- Forward, John and Jay R. Williams, "Internal-External Control and Black Militancy," Journal of Social Issues, 26, 1, Winter 1970, 75-92.
- Foss, Daniel A. and Ralph W. Larkin, "From 'The Gates of Eden' to 'Day of the Locust': An Analysis of the Dissident Youth Movement of the 1960s and Its Heirs of the Early 1970s -- the Post Movement Groups," Theory and Society, 3, 1, Spring 1976, 45-64.
- Foster, John, Class Struggle and the Industrial Revolution: Early Industrial Capitalism in Three English Towns, London: Weidenfeld and Nicolson, 1974.
- Fowler, Marguerite G., Robert L. Fowler, and Hani Van De Riet, "Feminism and Political Radicalism," Journal of Psychology, 83, 2, March 1973, 237-42.

- Fox, William S. and Michael H. Wince, "The Structure and Determinants of Occupational Militancy Among Public School Teachers," Industrial and Labor Relations Review, 30, 1, October 1976, 47-58.
- Francis, John B., Steven A. Lewis, and Ira S. Rubin, "Faculty Attitudes Toward Student Protest," Research in Higher Education, 1, 4, 1973, 347-66.
- Freeman, David M., "Social Conflict, Violence, and Planned Change: Some Research Hypotheses," Co-Existence, 9, 2, November 1972, 89-100.
- Freeman, Jo, "The Origins of the Women's Liberation Movement," American Journal of Sociology, 78, 4, January 1973, 792-811.
- Freeman, Jo, The Politics of Women's Liberation, New York: David McKay Co., 1975.
- Freeman, Jo, "Resource Mobilization and Strategy: A Model for Analyzing Social Movement Organization Actions," In The Dynamics of Social Movements, Mayer N. Zald and John D. McCarthy (Eds.), Cambridge: Winthrop Publishers, 1979, 167-89.
- Friedenberg, Edgar, "The High School as a Focus of 'Student Unrest'," The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, May 1971, 117-26.
- Friedman, Samuel R., "Perspectives on the American Student Movement," Social Problems, 20, 3, Winter 1973, 283-299.
- Frisbie, Parker, "Militancy Among Mexican American High School Students," Social Science Quarterly, 53, 4, March 1973, 865-83.
- Fugita, Stephen S. and David J. O'Brien, "Economics, Ideology and Ethnicity: The Struggle Between the United Farm Workers Union and the Nisei Farmers League," Social Problems, 25, 2, December 1977, 146-56.
- Gamson, William, Power and Discontent, Homewood, Ill.: Dorsey Press, 1968.
- Gamson, William, The Strategy of Social Protest, Homewood, Ill.: Dorsey Press, 1975.
- Gamson, William, "Violence and Political Power: The Meek Don't Make It," Psychology Today, 8, 2, July 1974, 35-41.
- Gamson, William, "Understanding the Careers of Challenging Groups: A Commentary on Goldstone," American Journal of Sociology, 85, 5, 1980, 1043-60.
- Gamson, Z.F., J. Goodman, and G. Gurin, "Radicals and Moderates, and Bystanders During a University Protest," Paper presented at the meeting of the American Sociological Association, San Francisco, August 1967.
- Gehien, Frieda L., Thomas Winfree, Robert Anderson, and Ted Bartell, "Citizen Reaction to a Police Strike," Paper prepared for the Southwest Sociological Association meeting, 1977.
- Gelb, Joyce, and Alice Sardell, "Strategies for the Powerless: The Welfare Rights Movement in New York City," American Behavioral Scientist, 17, 4, March-April 1974, 507-30.

- Gergen, K.J., and M.M. Gergen, "Higher Education: Missing in Action," Paper presented at the American Psychological Association meetings, Miami, September 1970.
- Geschwender, James A., "Civil Rights Protest and Riots: A Disappearing Distinction," Social Science Quarterly, 49, 3, December 1968, 474-84.
- Geschwender, James A., Class, Race and Worker Insurgency: The League of Revolutionary Black Workers, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1977.
- Geschwender, James A., "Social Structure and the Negro Revolt: An Examination of Some Hypotheses," Social Forces, 43, 2, December 1964, 248-56.
- Geschwender, James A., et al., "Socialization, Alienation, and Student Activism," Youth and Society, 5, 3, March 1974, 303-26.
- Geschwender, James A. and Benjamin D. Singer, "Deprivation and the Detroit Riot," Social Problems, 17, 4, Spring 1970, 457-62.
- Gibbon, Peter, "The Origins of the Orange Order and the United Irishmen," Economy and Society, 1, 2, May 1972, 134-63.
- Gold, Alice R., et al., "The Anatomy of Revolutionists," Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 1, 1, January-March 1971, 26-43.
- Goldberg, Albert I., "The Local Community and Teacher Strike Solidarity," Industrial Relations, 13, 3, October 1974, 288-98.
- Goldberg, Louis C., "Ghetto Riots and Other: The Faces of Civil Disorder in 1967," Journal of Peace Resistance, 2, 1968, 116-32.
- Goldschmidt, Jean, Mary M. Gergen, Karen Quigley, and Kenneth Gergen, "The Women's Liberation Movement: Attitudes and Action," Journal of Personality, 42, 4, December 1974, 601-17.
- Goldstein, Rhoda L., "Involvement in Civil Rights: The Case of White Women," Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Society for the Study of Social Problems, New York, August 28, 1976.
- Goldstone, Jack A., "The Weakness of Organization: A New Look at Gamson's 'The Strategy of Social Protest'," American Journal of Sociology, 85, 5, 1980, 1917-42.
- Gordon, Leonard and Jeffrey M. Paige, "A Comment on Political Orientation and Riot Participation," American Sociological Review, 37, 3, June 1972, 379.
- Graham, Hugh, "The Paradox of American Violence: A Historical Appraisal," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 391, September 1970, 74-82.
- Granberg, Donald and William May, "Internal-External Orientations Toward the Vietnam War," Journal of Social Psychology, 88, 1, October 1972, 157-58.
- Granovetter, Mark, "Threshold Models of Collective Behavior," American Journal of Sociology, 83, 6, May 1978, 1420-43.

- Grimshaw, Allen, "Interpreting Collective Violence: An Argument for the Importance of Social Structure," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, September 1970, 9-20.
- Grimshaw, Allen, "Three Views of Urban Violence: Civil Disturbance, Racial Revolt, Class Assault," American Behavioral Scientist, 11, 4, March-April 1968, 2-7.
- Groves, Eugene W. and Peter H. Rossi, "Police Perceptions of a Hostile Ghetto: Realism or Projection," American Behavioral Scientist, 13, 5-6, May-August 1970, 727-43.
- Gunning, Patrick J., "An Economic Approach to Riot Analysis," Public Choice, 13, Fall 1972, 31-46.
- Gurr, Ted R., "The Calculus of Civil Conflict," Journal of Social Issues, 28, 1, 1972, 27-47.
- Gurr, Ted R., "A Causal Model of Civil Strife: A Comparative Analysis Using New Indices," American Political Science Review, December 1968, 1104-24.
- Gurr, Ted R., "Sources of Rebellion in Western Societies: Some Quantitative Evidence," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 391, 1970, 128-44.
- Gurr, Ted, R., "Urban Disorder: Perspectives from the Comparative Study of Civil Strife," American Behavioral Scientist, 2, 4, March-April 1968, 50-55.
- Gurr, Ted R., Why Men Rebel, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1970.
- Gurr, Ted Robert, "Political Protest and Rebellion in the 1960s: The United States in World Perspective," In Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives, H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), for the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence, Revised Edition, Beverly Hills: Sage Publications, 1979, 49-76.
- Gusfield, Joseph R., "Functional Areas of Leadership in Social Movements," Sociological Quarterly, 7, 2, Spring 1966, 137-56.
- Gusfield, Joseph R., Symbolic Crusade: Status Politics and the American Temperance Movement, Urbana, Ill.: University of Illinois Press, 1963.
- Hackney, S., "Southern Violence," In H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives. New York: Bantam Books, 1969.
- Hahn, Harlan, "Civil Responses to Riots: A Reappraisal of Kerner Commission Data," Public Opinion Quarterly, 34, 1, Spring 1970, 101-07.
- Hahn, Harlan, "Cops and Rioters: Ghetto Perceptions of Social Conflict and Control," American Behavioral Scientist, 13, 5-6, May-August 1970, 761-79.
- Hahn, Harlan and Joseph R. Feagin, "Rank-and-File vs. Congressional Perceptions of Ghetto Riots," Social Science Quarterly, 51, 2, September 1970, 361-73.

- Halaby, Charles N., David Snyder, and Charles Tilly, "Hardship and Collective Violence in France: A Comment," American Sociological Review, 38, 4, August 1973, 495-503.
- Hall, Robert L. and Saul Rosenthal, "Education and Antagonism to Protest," Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Sociological Association, Chicago, September 1977.
- Halliburton, R., Jr., "The Tulsa Race War of 1921," Journal of Black Studies, 2, 3, March 1972, 333-57.
- Hammett, Theodore, "Two Mobs of Jacksonian Boston: Ideology and Interest," Journal of American History, 62, 4, March 1976, 845-68.
- Harris, Edward E., "Urban Interracial and Intraracial School Conflict," Education, 93, 3, February-March 1973, 233-40.
- Hayes, James R., "The Dialectics of Resistance: An Analysis of the GI Movement," Journal of Social Issues, 31, 4, Fall 1975, 125-39.
- Hayes, James R., "Student Activism: Some Reconsiderations;" Sociological Focus, 5, 4, Summer 1972, 74-96.
- Helfgot, Joseph, "Professional Reform Organizations and Symbolic Representation of the Poor," American Sociological Review, 39, 4, August 1974, 475-91.
- Hendel, S. (Ed.), The Politics of Confrontation, New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1971.
- Henderson, Vivian, "Blacks and Change in Higher Education," Daedalus, 103, 4, Fall 1974, 72-79.
- Hermann, Chris, "The Structure of Protest Demonstrations and the Crowd-Police Interaction Effect," Mimeographed paper, December 1979.
- Hertz, Susan H., "The Politics of the Welfare Mothers Movement: A Case Study," Signs, 2, 3, Spring 1977, 600-11.
- Himes, Joseph S., "A Theory of Racial Conflict," Social Forces, 50, 1, September 1971, 53-60.
- Hindus, Michael, "A City of Mobocrats and Tyrants: Mob Violence in Boston, 1747-1863," Issues in Criminology, 6, 2, Summer 1971, 55-83.
- Hirschman, Albert, Exit, Voice and Loyalty: Responses to Declines in Firms, Organizations, and States. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1970.
- Hobsbawm, .EJ., "Should the Poor Organize?" New York Review of Books, 25, 4, March 23, 1978, 44-49.
- Hockney, Dennis W., "Anatomy of a Strike: Internal Tension Amidst Community Support," Viewpoints, 52, 6, November 1976, 33-42.
- Hofstetter, Richard C., "Political Disengagement and the Death of Martin Luther King," Public Opinion Quarterly, 33, 2, 1969, 174-79.

- Hopple, Gerald W., "Protest Attitudes and Social Class: Working Class Authoritarianism Revisited," Sociology and Social Research, 60, 3, April 1976, 229-46.
- Horn, Daniel, "Youth Resistance in the Third Reich: A Social Portrait," Journal of Social History, 7, 1, Fall 1973, 26-50.
- Horn, John L. and Paul D. Knott, "Activist Youth of the 1960s: Summary and Prognosis," Science, 171, 3975, March 1971, 979-85.
- Hudson, Michael C., Conditions of Political Violence and Instability: A Preliminary of Three Hypotheses. Beverly Hills: Sage Publications, 1970.
- Hudson, Michael C., "Political Protest and Power Transfers in Crisis Periods: Regional, Structural and Environmental Comparisons," Comparative Political Studies, 4, 3, October 1971, 259-94.
- Hunt, Larry L. and Janet G. Hunt, "Black Religion as Both Opiate and Inspiration of Civil Rights Militancy: Putting Marx's Data to the Test," Social Forces, 56, 1, September 1977, 1-14.
- Jackson, Larry and William Johnson, Protest by the Poor: The Welfare Rights Movement in New York City. Lexington, Mass.: Lexington Books, 1974.
- Jacobs, David, "Inequality and Police Strength: Conflict Theory and Coercive Control in Metropolitan Areas," American Sociological Review, 44, December 1979, 913-25.
- Janowitz, Morris, "Patterns of Collective Racial Violence," In H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives. New York: Bantam Books, 1969.
- Janowitz, Morris, Social Control of Escalated Riots, Chicago: University of Chicago Center for Policy Study, 1968, 44 pps.
- Jeffreys-Jones, Rhodri, Violence and Reform in American History. New York: New Viewpoints, 1978.
- Jeffries, Vincent and H. Edward Ransford, "Interracial Social Contact and Middle-Class White Reactions to the Watts Riot," Social Problems, 16, 3, Winter 1969, 312-24.
- Jeffries, Vincent, Ralph H. Turner, and Richard T. Morris, "The Public Perception of the Watts Riot as Social Protest," American Sociological Review, 36, 3, June 1971, 443-51.
- Jensen, Robert G. and F.L. Marcuse, "Student Involvement in and Information About a University Strike," Journal of College Student Personnel, 13, 6, November 1972, 522-24.
- Jiobu, Robert, "City Characteristics, Differential Stratification and the Occurrence of Interracial Violence," Social Science Quarterly, 52, 1971, 508-20.
- Johnson, Elmer H., "A Sociological Interpretation of Police Reaction and Responsibility to Civil Disobedience," Journal of Criminal Law, Criminology and Police Science, 58, 3, 1967, 405-09.

- Johnson, Norris R., Deborah Hunter, and James G. Stemler, "Crowd Behavior as 'Risky Shift': A Laboratory Experiment," Sociometry, 40, 2, June 1977, 183-87.
- Johnson, Paula G., David O. Sears, and John B. McConahay, "Black Invisibility, the Press, and the Los Angeles Riot," American Journal of Sociology, 76, 4, January 1971, 698-721.
- Kahn, Robert L., "The Justification of Violence: Social Problems and Social Solutions," Paper presented at the American Psychological Association Annual Convention, Washington, D.C., 1971; reprinted in Journal of Social Issues, 28, 1, 1972.
- Kahn, Robert L., "Violent Man: Who Buys Bloodshed and Why," Psychology Today, 6, 1, June 1972, 46-48, 82-84.
- Kahn, Roger and William J. Bowers, "The Social Context of the Rank-and-File Student Activist: A Test of Four Hypotheses," Sociology of Education, 43, 1, Winter 1970, 38-55.
- Kalikow, Deborah W. and Lester Carr, "Determinants of Civil Rights Activities," Journal of Social Psychology, 74, 1, 1968, 111-16.
- Kamens, David and Gian Sarup, "Reward Systems, Faculty Alienation, and Militancy: Academic Dilemmas in the Middle of the Academic Profession," Research in Higher Education, 8, 3, 1978, 227-40.
- Kapsis, Robert E., "Continuities in Delinquency and Riot Patterns in Black Residential Areas," Social Problems, 23, 5, June 1976, 567-80.
- Karton, Robert M., "Mob Violence and the Prosecuting Attorney," Journal of Criminal Law, Criminology and Police Science, 59, 2, June 1963, 167-70.
- Kasschau, Patricia L., et al., "Generational Consciousness and Youth Movement Participation: Contrasts in Blue Collar and White Collar Youth," Journal of Social Issues, 30, 3, Summer 1974, 69-94.
- Katz, Daniel, "Group Process and Social Integration: System Analysis of Two Social Movements," Journal of Social Issues, 23, 1, January 1967, 3-22.
- Kelley, Jonathan and Herbert S. Klein, "Revolution and the Rebirth of Inequality: A Theory of Stratification in Postrevolutionary Society," American Journal of Sociology, 83, 1, July 1977, 78-99.
- Kelman, Herbert C., "A Social-Psychological Model of Political Legitimacy and Its Relevance to Black and White Student Protest Movements," Psychiatry, 33, 2, May 1970, 224-46.
- Keniston, Kenneth, "How Community Mental Health Stamped out the Riots, 1968-78," Trans-Action, 5, 8, July-August 1968, 21-29.
- Keniston, Kenneth, "Report Analysis: Fact Finding Commission on Columbia Disturbances," Harvard Educational Review, 39, 2, Spring 1969, 373-79.

- Keniston, Kenneth, "The Sources of Student Dissent," Journal of Social Issues, 23, 3, 1967, 108-37.
- Keniston, Kenneth and Michael Lerner, "Campus Characteristics and Campus Unrest," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 395, May 1971, 39-53.
- Kerpelman, Larry C., "Student Political Activism and Ideology: Comparative Characteristics of Activists and Nonactivists," Journal of Counseling Psychology, 16, 1, 1969, 8-13.
- Kerr, Clark et al., Industrialism and Industrial Man. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1960.
- Kerschner, Paul A. (Ed.), Advocacy and Age: Issues, Experiences, Strategies. Los Angeles: Ethel Percy Andrus Gerontology Center, University of Southern California, June 1976, 155 pps.
- Killian, Lewis M., "The Significance of Extremism in the Black Revolution," Social Problems, 20, 1, Summer 1972, 41-49.
- Kirby, Douglas, "A Counter-Culture Explanation of Student Activism," Social Problems, 19, 2, Fall 1971, 203-16.
- Kirkpatrick, George R., "Collective Consciousness and Mass Hysteria: Collective Behavior and Anti-Pornography Crusades in Durkheimian Perspective," Human Relations, 28, 1, February 1975, 63-84.
- Kitch, Thomas D., "Police Perception of Riot Activity," Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency, 7, 2, July 1970, 120-56.
- Knopf, Terry Ann, "Media Myths on Violence," Civil Rights Digest, 4, 1, Winter 1971, 2-9.
- Knopf, Terry Ann, "Race, Riots and Reporters: Press Coverage of Urban Disorders," Commonweal, 92, 14, July 1970, 336-40.
- Knopf, Terry Ann, "Race, Riots, and Reporting," Journal of Black Studies, 4, 3, March 1974, 303-27.
- Korman, Abraham K., "A Disguised Measure of Civil Rights Attitudes," Journal of Applied Psychology, 59, 2, April 1974, 239-40.
- Kornberg, Alan and Mary L. Brehm, "Ideology, Institutional Identification, and Campus Activism," Social Forces, 49, 3, March 1971, 445-59.
- Kornhauser, W., The Politics of Mass Society. Glencoe, Ill.: The Free Press, 1959.
- Korpi, W., "Conflict, Power, and Relative Deprivation," American Political Science Review, 68, December 1974, 1569-78.
- Kramnick, Isaac, "Reflections on Revolution: Definition and Explanation in Recent Scholarship," History and Theory, 11, 1, 1972, 26-63.

- Kreps, Gary A., "Change in Crisis-Relevant Organizations: Police Departments and Civil Disturbances," American Behavioral Scientist, 16, 3, January 1973, 356-67.
- Kreps, Gary A. and Dennis Wenger, "Toward a Theory of Community Conflict: Factors Influencing the Initiation and Scope of Conflict," Sociological Quarterly, 14, 2, Spring 1973, 158-74.
- Kreps, Gary A., Jack M. Weller, "The Police-Community Relations," American Behavioral Scientist, 16, 3, January-February 1973, 402-12.
- Kriesberg, Louis (Ed.), Research in Social Movements, Conflicts and Change, Vol. 1. Greenwich, Ct.: JAI Press, Inc., 1978.
- Kritzer, Herbert M., "Political Protest and Political Violence: A Nonrecursive Causal Model," Social Forces, 55, 3, March 1977, 630-40.
- Kronus, Carol L., "Mobilizing Voluntary Associations into a Social Movement: The Case of Environmental Quality," Sociological Quarterly, 18, 2, Spring 1977, 267-83.
- Kuo, Wen H., "Black Political Participation: A Reconsideration," Journal of Political and Military Sociology, 5, 1, Spring 1977, 1-16.
- Lader, Lawrence, Power on the Left: American Radical Movements Since 1946. New York: W.W. Norton & Co., 1979.
- La Gaipa, John J., "Student Power and Dogmatism," Journal of Psychology, 73, 2, 1969, 201-207.
- Lane, Roger, "The Squeaky Wheel Gets the Oil: Independent Truckers and the OPEC Embargo of 1973-74," In R. Land and J. Turner (Eds.), Riot, Rout and Tumult: Readings in American Social and Political Violence. Westport, Ct.: Greenwood Press, 1978.
- Lane, Roger, "Urbanization and Criminal Violence in the 19th Century: Massachusetts as a Test Case," In H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives. New York: Bantam Books, 1969.
- Lang, Gladys and Kurt Lang, "Some Pertinent Questions on Collective Violence and the News Media," Journal of Social Issues, 28, 1, 1972, 93-110.
- Lang, Kurt and Gladys Lang, "Racial Disturbances as Collective Protest," American Behavioral Scientist, 11, 4, March-April 1968, 11-13.
- Lauer, Robert H., "Social Movements: An Interactionist Analysis," Sociological Quarterly, 13, 3, Summer 1972, 315-28.
- Laufer, Robert S., "Institutionalization of Radical Movements and The Maintenance of Radical Identity in the Life Cycle," Youth and Society, 7, 4, June 1976, 367-98.
- Laufer, Robert S., "Sources of Generational Consciousness and Conflict," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 395, May 1971, 80-94.

- Lehtiniemi, L., "A Tested Theory of Student Unrest," Alberta Journal of Educational Research, 18, 1, March 1972, 51-58.
- Levenson, Hanna, "Perception of Environmental Modifiability and Involvement in Antipollution Activities," Journal of Psychology, 84, 2, July 1973, 237-39.
- Levy, Burton, "Cops in the Ghetto: A Problem of the Police System," American Behavioral Scientist, March-April, 1968, 31-34.
- Levy, Sheldon G., "Communications During the Detroit Riot," Journalism Quarterly, 48, 2, Summer 1971, 339-43.
- Levy, Sheldon G., "Distance of Politically Violent Events from Newspaper Sources over 150 Years," Journalism Quarterly, 51, 1, Spring 1974, 28-32.
- Levy, Sheldon G., "A 150 Year Study of Political Violence in the United States," In H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives. New York: Bantam Books, 1969.
- Levy, Sheldon, "The Psychology of Political Activity," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 391, September 1970, 83-96.
- Lewis, George H., "The Structure of Support in Social Movements: An Analysis of Organization and Resource Mobilization in the Youth Contra-Culture," The British Journal of Sociology, 27, 2, June 1976, 184-96.
- Lewis, Jerry M., "Review Essay: The Telling of Kent State," Social Problems, 19, 2, Fall 1971, 267-79.
- Lewis, Jerry M., "A Study of the Kent State Incident Using Smelser's Theory of Collective Behavior," Sociological Inquiry, 42, 2, 1972, 87-96.
- Lewis, Jerry M. and Raymond J. Adamek, "Anti-R.O.T.C. Sit-In: A Sociological Analysis," Sociological Quarterly, 15, 4, Fall 1974, 542-47.
- Lewis, Ralph G. and Jerome R. Corsi, The Police in Society: Governmental Responses to Protest and Disorder. Michigan State University, School of Criminal Justice (Mimeographed).
- Lewis, Steven H. and Robert E. Kraut, "Correlates of Student Political Activism and Ideology," Journal of Social Issues, 28, 4, 1972, 131-49.
- Li, Richard and William Thompson, "The Coup Contagion Hypothesis," Journal of Conflict Resolution, 19, 1975, 63-84.
- Libman-Rubenstein, Richard E., "Group Violence in America: Its Structure and Limitations," In Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives, H. D. Graham and T. R. Gurr (Eds.), Revised Edition, Beverly Hills: Sage Publications, 1979.

- Lieberman, Stanley and Arnold Silverman, "The Precipitants and Underlying Conditions of Race Riots," American Sociological Review, 30, 6, 1965, 887-98.
- Liebman, Robert and Michael Polen, "Perspectives on Policing in Nineteenth Century America," Working Paper No. 176, Ann Arbor: Center for Research on Social Organization, University of Michigan, April 1978.
- Lipset, S.M. and E.C. Ladd, "College Generations -- From the 1930s to the 1960s," Public Interest, 25, Fall 1971, 99-113.
- Lipsky, Michael, "Protest as a Political Resource," American Political Science Review, 62, December 1968, 1144-58.
- Lipsky, Michael, "Rent Strikes: Poor Man's Weapon," Trans-Action, 6, 4, February 1969, 10-15.
- Lipsky, Michael, "Social Scientists and the Riot Commission," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, March 1971, 72-83.
- Lodhi, A.Q. and C. Tilly, "Urbanization, Crime and Collective Violence in 19th Century France," American Journal of Sociology, 79, 1973, 296-318.
- Logan, John R., "Affluence, Class Structure, and Working-Class Consciousness in Modern Spain," American Journal of Sociology, 83, 2, September 1977, 386-402.
- London, Bruce and John Hearn, "The Ethnic Community Theory of Black Social and Political Participation: Additional Support," Social Science Quarterly, 57, 4, March 1977, 883-91.
- Long, Samuel, "Malevolent Estrangement: Political Alienation and Political Justification Among Black and White Adolescents," Youth and Society, 7, 2, December 1975, 99-129.
- Longus, Pharnal, "Factors that Influence Collective Behavior," Journal of Black Studies, 6, 3, March 1976, 291-98.
- Lopreato, Joseph, "Authority Relations and Class Conflict," Social Forces, 47, 1, 1968, 70-79.
- Lundman, Richard J. and James C. Fox, "Maintaining Research Access in Police Organizations," Criminology, May 1978, 87-97.
- Lyman, S., "Red Guard on Grant Avenue," Trans-Action, April 1970, 21-35.
- MacDonald, A.P., Jr., "Black Power," Journal of Negro Education, 44, 4, 1975, 547-54.
- Mackintosh, Douglas R. and Gordon T. Stewart, "A Mathematical Model of Communal Disorder," Human Biology, 44, 2, May 1972, 215-23.
- Maier, Pauline, "Popular Uprising and Civil Authority in Eighteenth Century America," William and Mary Quarterly, 27, 1970, 3-35.

- Manheim, Jarol B. and Melanie Wallace, Political Violence in the United States: 1875-1974 -- A Bibliography. New York: Garland Publishing, 1975.
- Mann, Leon, "Counting the Crowd: Effects of Editorial Policy on Estimates," Journalism Quarterly, 51, 2, Summer 1974, 278-85.
- Mann, Michael, Consciousness and Action Among the Western Working Class. London: MacMillan, 1973.
- Mann, Philip A. and Ira Iscoe, "Mass Behavior and Community Organization: Reflections on a Peaceful Demonstration," American Psychologist, 26, 1, January 1971, 108-13.
- Manning, Peter, "The Researcher: An Alien in the Police World," In Arthur Niederhoffer and Abraham Blumberg (Eds.), The Ambivalent Force: Perspectives on the Police. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1976.
- Manning, Peter K. and John Van Maanen, Policing: A View from the Streets. Santa Monica, Calif.: Goodyear Publishing, 1978.
- Manning, R.O., "A Critical Analysis of Contemporary Collective Behavior Theorizing," Sociological Focus, 4, 4, 1971, 99-106.
- Manning, Roy O., "Review: Fifteen Years of Collective Behavior," Sociological Quarterly, 14, 2, Spring 1973, 279-86.
- Marsh, Judith, "Patterns of Conflict in American Society, 1952-1968," Sociology and Social Research, 57, 3, April 1973, 315-34.
- Marx, Gary, "Civil Disorders and the Agents of Social Control," Journal of Social Issues, 26, 1970, 19-57.
- Marx, Gary, "Issueless Riots," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, September 1970, 21-33.
- Marx, Gary T., "External Efforts to Damage or Facilitate Social Movements: Some Patterns, Explanations, Outcomes and Complications," In The Dynamics of Social Movements, Mayer N. Zald and John D. McCarthy (Eds.), Cambridge: Wanthrop Publishers, 1979, 94-125.
- Marx, Gary and James L. Wood, "Strands of Theory and Research in Collective Behavior," Annual Review of Sociology, 1, 1975, 363-428.
- Marx, John H. and Burkart Holzner, "The Social Construction of Strain and Ideological Models of Grievance in Contemporary Movements," Pacific Sociological Review, 20, 3, July 1977, 411-38.
- Masotti, Louis and Jerome Corsi, Shoot-Out in Cleveland: Black Militants and the Police, July 23, 1968, New York: Praeger, 1969.
- Mattick, Hans W., "The Form and Content of Recent Riots," University of Chicago Law Review, 35, 4, Summer 1968, 660-85.
- Matza, David, "Rebellious Youth: 1969," Youth and Society, 1, 4, June 1970, 455-72.

- Meier, August and Elliott Rudwick, "Black Violence in the 20th Century: A Study in Rhetoric and Retaliation," In H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives. New York: Bantam Books, 1969.
- Meier, August and Elliott Rudwick, "Negro Protest and Urban Unrest," Social Science Quarterly, 49, 3, December 1968, 438-43.
- Meier, Harold C. and William Orzen, "Student Legitimation of Campus Activism: Some Survey Findings," Social Problems, 19, 2, 1971, 181-92.
- Meier, Richard, "Violence: The Last Urban Epidemic," American Behavioral Scientist, 2, March-April 1968, 35-37.
- Messinger, Sheldon, "Organizational Transformation: A Case Study of a Declining Social Movement," American Sociological Review, 20, 1955; reprinted in B. McLaughlin (Ed.), Studies in Social Movements, New York: The Free Press, 1969.
- Meyer, Jon K., Bibliography on the Urban Crisis: The Behavioral, Psychological, and Sociological Aspects of the Urban Crisis, Chevy Chase, Md.: National Institute of Mental Health, 1969, 452 pps.
- Midlarsky, Manus, "Analyzing Diffusion and Contagion Effects: The Urban Disorders of the 1960s," American Political Science Review, 72, 3, September 1978, 996-1008.
- Miller, Abraham H. et al., "The New Urban Blacks," Ethnicity, 3, 4; December 1976, 338-67.
- Miller, Floyd J., "Black Protest and White Leadership: A Note on the Colored Farmers' Alliance," Phylon, 33, 2, Summer 1972, 169-74.
- Miller, Paul R., "Revolutionists Among the Chicago Demonstrators," American Journal of Psychiatry, 127, 6, December 1970, 752-58.
- Miller, Paul R., "Social Activists and Social Change: The Chicago Demonstrators," American Journal of Psychiatry, 126, 12, June 1970, 1752-59.
- Misner, Gordon E., "The Response of Police Agencies," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 382, March 1969, 109-19.
- Mock, Kathleen R., "The Potential Activist and His Perception of the University," Journal of Applied Behavioral Science, 7, 1, January 1971, 3-13.
- Moinat, Sheryl M., Walter J. Raine, Stephen L. Burbeck, and Keith Davison, "Black Ghetto Residents as Rioters," Journal of Social Issues, 28, 4, 1972, 457-62.
- Molm, Linda D. and Alexander W. Astin, "Personal Characteristics and Attitude Changes of Student Protesters," Journal of College Student Personnel, 13, 3, May 1973, 239-49.

- Molotch, Harvey, "Media and Movements," In The Dynamics of Social Movements, Mayer N. Zald and John D. McCarthy (Eds.), Cambridge: Winthrop Publishers, 1979, 71-93.
- Montgomery, David, "The Shuttle and the Cross: Weavers and Artisans in the Kensington Riots of 1844," Journal of Social History, 4, 1972, 411-46.
- Monti, Daniel J., "The Evolution of Collective Violence in New York City," Unpublished paper, no date.
- Moore, Barrington, Jr., Social Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy: Lord and Peasant in the Making of the Modern World. Boston, Beacon Press, 1966.
- Moore, Connie et al., Negotiations Bibliography, Kansas City: School of Education, Missouri University, June 1975, 56 pps.
- Morgan, William R. and Terry N. Clark, "The Causes of Racial Disorders: A Grievance Level Explanation," American Sociological Review, 38, 5, October 1973, 611-24.
- Morris, Monica B., "Newspapers and the New-Feminists: Black-Out as Social Control?" Journalism Quarterly, 50, 1, Spring 1973, 37-42.
- Morris, Monica B., "The Public Definition of a Social Movement: Women's Liberation," Sociology and Social Research, 57, 4, July 1973, 526-43.
- Morris, Richard T. and Vincent Jeffries, "Violence Next Door," Social Forces, 46, 3, March 1968, 352-58.
- Morrison, Denton E., "Some Notes Toward Theory on Relative Deprivation, Social Movements, and Social Change," American Behavioral Scientist, 14, 5, May-June 1971, 675-90.
- Morrison, Denton E. and Kenneth E. Hornback, Collective Behavior: A Bibliography, New York: Garland Publishing, 1976.
- Morse, Stanley J. and Stanton Peele, "A Study of Participants in an Anti-Vietnam War Demonstration," Journal of Social Issues, 27, 4, 1971, 113.
- Mueller, Carol McClurg, "Riot Violence and Protest Outcomes," Journal of Political and Military Sociology, 6, 1, Spring 1978, 49-63.
- Mullins, Patrick, "The Social Base, Stake, and Urban Effects of a Brisbane Urban Social Movement," Australian and New Zealand Journal of Sociology, 13, 1, February 1977, 29-35.
- Murray, Paul T., "War and Riots: International Conflict as a Cause of American Racial Violence," Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Society for the Study of Social Problems, 1977.
- Myerhoff, Barbara, "The Revolution as a Trip: Symbol and Paradox," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, May 1971, 105-16.

- McCarthy, John D. and Mayer N. Zald, "Resource Mobilization and Social Movements: A Partial Theory," American Journal of Sociology, 82, 6, May 1977, 1212-41.
- McCone, J.A., Chairman, Violence in the City -- An End or a Beginning, A Report by the Governor's Commission on the Los Angeles Riots, December 1965.
- McCord, William and John Howard, "Negro Opinions in Three Riot Cities," American Behavioral Scientist, 11, 4, March-April 1968, 24-27.
- McDaniel, Clyde O. Jr., "Structural Conduciveness, Structural Strain, and the Occurrence of Race Riots," Journal of Sociology, 22, April 1971, 3-22.
- McElroy, Jerome L. and Larry D. Singell, "Riot and Nonriot Cities: An Examination of Structural Contours," Urban Affairs Quarterly, 8, 3, March 1973, 281-302.
- McEvoy, James, Mark Chesler and Richard Schmuck, "Content Analysis of a Super Patriot Protest," Social Problems, 14, 4, Spring 1967, 455-462.
- McEvoy, James and Abraham Miller, "'On Strike -- Shut it Down': The Crisis at San Francisco State College," Trans-Action, 6, 5, March 1969, 18-23, 61-62.
- McGee, Henry W., "Arrests in Civil Disturbances: Reflections on the Use of Deadly Force in Riots," Rutgers Law Review, 22, 1968, 716-732.
- McPhail, Clark, "Civil Disorder Participation: A Critical Examination of Recent Research," American Sociological Review, 36, 3, December 1971, 1058-1073.
- McPhail, Clark, "Student Walkout: A Fortuitous Examination of an Elementary Form of Collective Behavior," Social Problems, 16, 4, 1969, 441-445.
- McPhail, Clark and David Miller, "The Assembling Process: A Theoretical and Empirical Examination," American Sociological Review, 38, 6, December 1973, 721-735.
- McWorter, Gerald A. and Robert L. Crain, "Subcommunity Gladiatorial Competition: Civil Rights Leadership as a Competitive Process," Social Forces, 46, 1, September 1967, 8-21.
- Nahrendorf, Richard O., "Social Change and Violence," Review Internationale de Sociologie, 6, 1-3, December 1970, 355-390.
- Nam, Tae Y., "A Manifesto of the Black Student Activists in a Southern Black College Under the Integration Order," Journal of Negro Education, 46, 2, Spring 1977, 168-185.
- National Advisory Committee on Criminal Justice Standards and Goals, Report of the Task Force on Disorders and Terrorism, Disorders and Terrorism, Washington, D.C., 1976.
- Nelson, Harold A., "Social Movement Transformation and Pre-Movement Factor-Effect: A Preliminary Inquiry," Sociological Quarterly, 15, 1, Winter 1974, 127-142.

- Nieburg, H.L., "Agonistics -- Rituals of Conflict," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 391, September 1970, 56-73.
- Nieburg, H.L., "Violence, Law and the Social Process," American Behavioral Scientist, March-April 1968, 17-19.
- Niederhoffer, A., Behind the Shield: The Police in Urban Society, New York; Doubleday, 1967.
- Nikelly, Arthur G., "Ethical Issues in Research on Student Protest," American Psychologist, 26, 5, May 1971, 475-478.
- Northwestern University Law Student Editorial Board, "Civil Disturbances, Mass Processing and Misdemeanants: Rights, Remedies and Realities," Journal of Criminal Law, Criminology and Police Science, 61, 1, 1970, 39-51.
- Oberschall, Anthony, "Group Violence: Some Hypotheses and Empirical Uniformities," Law and Society Review, 5, 1, August 1970, 61-92.
- Oberschall, Anthony, "The Los Angeles Riot of August, 1965," Social Problems, 15, 3, Winter 1968, 322-342.
- Oberschall, Anthony, "Some Observations on the Watts-Los Angeles Riots of 1965," Makerere Sociological Journal, 1, 5, June 1966, 33-39.
- Oberschall, Anthony, "Protracted Conflict," In The Dynamics of Social Movements, Mayer Zald and John D. McCarthy (Eds.), Cambridge: Winthrop Publishers, 1979, 45-70.
- O'Brien, James, "The Development of the New Left," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, May 1971, 15-25.
- Ochberg, Frank M. and Edison Trickett, "Administrative Responses to Racial Conflict in a High School," Community Mental Health Journal, 6, 6, December 1970, 470-482.
- O'Kane, James M., "Student Activists, Downward Mobility and Social Change," Youth and Society, 6, 3, March 1975, 376-392.
- Olson, Mancur, Jr., The Logic of Collective Action: Public Goods and the Theory of Groups (revised edition), New York: Schlocken Books, 1971.
- Ong, Walter, "Agonistic Structures in Academia: Past to Present," Daedalus, Vol. 1, Fall 1974, 229-38.
- Orcutt, James D. and Rebecca Inmon, "The Impact of Student Activism on Female Sex Role Attitudes: Longitudinal and Cross-Sectional Perspectives," Paper presented at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Montreal, 1974.
- Orum, Anthony M. and Amy W. Orum, "The Class and Status Bases of Negro Student Protest," Social Science Quarterly, 49, 3, December 1968, 521-33.
- Orum, Anthony M., "On Participation in Political Protest Movements," Journal of Applied Behavioral Science, 10, 2, April-June 1974, 181-207.

- Page, Ann L. and Donald A. Clelland, "The Kanawha County Textbook Controversy: A Study of the Politics of Life Style Concern," Social Forces, 57, 1, September 1978, 265-81.
- Paige, Jeffrey M., "Changing Patterns of Anti-White Attitudes Among Blacks," Journal of Social Issues, 26, 4, Fall 1970, 69-86.
- Paige, Jeffrey M., "Political Orientation and Riot Participation," American Sociological Review, 35, 5, October 1971, 810-20.
- Peele, Stanton and Stanley J. Morse, "On Studying a Social Movement," Public Opinion Quarterly, 33, 3, Fall 1969, 409-11.
- Pepitone, Albert, "The Social Psychology of Violence," International Journal of Group Tensions, 2, 2, 1972, 19-32.
- Peterson, Paul, "Organizational Imperatives and Ideological Change: The Case of Black Power," Urban Affairs Quarterly, 14, 4, June 1979, 465-84.
- Perrow, Charles, "The Sixties Observed," In The Dynamics of Social Movements, Mayer N. Zald and John D. McCarthy (Eds.), Cambridge: Winthrop Publishers, 1979, 192-211.
- Pickvance, C.G., "On the Study of Urban Social Movements," Sociological Review, 23, 1, February 1975, 29-49.
- Pierce, Robert A. and Allan J. Schwartz, "Personality Styles of Student Activists," Journal of Psychology, 79, 2, November 1971, 221-31.
- Pinard, Maurice, "Poverty and Political Movements," Social Problems, 15, 2, 1967, 251-63.
- Pinard, Maurice, The Rise of a Third Party: A Study in Crisis Politics, Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1971.
- Pinard, Maurice, Jerome Kirk and Donald Von Eschen, "Processes of Recruitment in the Sit-In Movement," Public Opinion Quarterly, 33, 3, Fall 1969, 355-69.
- Pinner, Frank, "Students -- A Marginal Elite in Politics," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, May 1971, 127-38.
- Pitcher, Brian L., Robert L. Hamblin and Jerry L. Miller, "The Diffusion of Collective Violence," American Sociological Review, 43, 1, February 1978, 23-35.
- Pitts, James P., "Boycott Participation and School Organization Memberships," Education and Urban Society, 3, 4, August 1971, 383-97.
- Pitts, James P., "Self-Direction and the Political Socialization of Black Youth," Social Science Quarterly, 56, 1, June 1975, 93-104.
- Piven, Frances Fox and Richard Cloward, Poor People's Movements, New York: Pantheon, 1977.

- Platt, A.M., The Politics of Riot Commissions 1917-1970: A Collection of Official Reports and Critical Essays, New York: Collier Books, 1971.
- Ponting, J. Rick, John Fitzpatrick, E.L. Quarantelli, "Police Perceptions of Riot Participants and Dynamics," International Journal of Group Tensions, 5, 3, September 1975, 163-70.
- Ponting, J. Rick, "Rumor Control Centers: Their Emergence and Operations," American Behavioral Scientist, 16, 3, January-February 1973, 391-401.
- Puckett, Myron, "Successful Protest Movements in School Districts: Limiting Factors," Urban Education, 11, 1, April 1976, 31-48.
- Pugh, M.D., Joseph B. Perry, Eldon E. Snyder and Elmer Spreitzer, "Faculty Support of Student Dissent," Sociological Quarterly, 13, 4, Fall 1972, 525-32.
- Pugh, M.D., Joseph B. Perry, Eldon E. Snyder and Elmer Spreitzer, "Participation in Anti-War Demonstrations: A Test of the Parental Continuity Hypothesis," Sociology and Social Research, 56, 1, October 1971, 19-28.
- Quarantelli, E.L., "Changes in Ohio Radio Station Policies and Operations in Reporting Local Civil Disturbances," Journal of Broadcasting, 15, 3, Summer 1971, 287-92.
- Quarantelli, E.L. and Russell Dynes, "Looting in Civil Disorders: An Index of Social Change," American Behavioral Scientist, 2, 4, March-April 1968, 7-10.
- Quarantelli, E.L. and Russell Dynes, "Property: Norms and Looting: Their Patterns in Community Crises," Phylon, 31, Summer 1970, 168-82.
- Quarantelli, E.L. and John Fitzpatrick, "Police Department Perceptions of the Occurrence of Civil Disturbances," Sociology and Social Research, 59, 1, October 1974, 30-38.
- Rada, Stephen E., "Manipulating the Media: A Case Study of a Chicano Strike in Texas," Journalism Quarterly, Spring 1977, 109-13.
- Ransford, H.E., "Blue Collar Anger: Reactions to Student and Black Protest," American Sociological Review, 37, 3, June 1972, 333-46.
- Ransford, H.E., "Isolation, Powerlessness and Violence: A Study of Attitudes and Participation in the Watts Riot," American Journal of Sociology, 73, 5, March 1968, 581-91.
- Reiss, A.J., The Police and the Public, New Haven: Yale University Press, 1971.
- Rice, Joy K. and Juliette L. Redding, "Personal Adjustment and Social Orientation among Activist and Nonactivist Student Groups," Youth and Society, 7, 1, September 1975, 84-96.
- Richards, Leonard, Gentlemen of Property and Standing: Anti-Abolition Mobs in Jacksonian America, New York: Oxford University Press, 1970.

- Ricks, Francie, George Matheson and Sandra W. Pyke, "Women's Liberation: A Case Study of Organizations for Social Change," Canadian Psychologist, 13, 1, January 1972, 30-39.
- Riger, Stephanie, "Locus of Control Beliefs and Female Activism," Psychological Reports, 41, 3, December 1977, 1043-46.
- Rimlinger, Gaston, "The Legitimation of Protest: A Comparative Study in Labor History," Comparative Studies in Society and History, 2, 3, April 1960, 329-43.
- Rinaldi, Matthew, "The Olive-Drab Rebels: Military Organizing During the Vietnam Era," Radical America, 8, 3, May-June 1973, 17-52.
- Ritterband, Paul, "Ethnic Power and Public Schools: The New York City School Strike of 1968," Sociology of Education, 47, 2, Spring 1974, 251-67.
- Ritterband, Paul and Richard Silberstein, "Group Disorders in the Public Schools," American Sociological Review, 38, 4, August 1973, 461-77.
- Roach, Jack L. and Janet K. Roach, "Disunity and Unity of the Working Class: Reply to Piven and Cloward," Social Problems, 26, 3, February 1979, 267-70.
- Roach, Jack L. and Janet K. Roach, "Mobilizing the Poor: Road to a Dead End," Social Problems, 26, 2, December 1978, 160-71.
- Roche, John and Stephen Sachs, "The Bureaucrat and the Enthusiast: An Exploration of the Leadership of Social Movements," The Western Political Quarterly, VIII, 2, June 1965; reprinted in Studies in Social Movements, B. McLaughlin (Ed.), New York: The Free Press, 1969.
- Rogers, Evan D., "Intelligence and Student Political Activism," Social Science Quarterly, 53, 3, December 1972, 557-62.
- Roper, Brent S. and Bruce A. Chadwick, "Student Participation in Civil Rights Protest: A Multivariate Analysis," Sociological Focus, 8, 4, October 1975, 343-57.
- Rosen, George, "Social Change and Psychopathology in the Emotional Climate of Millennial Movements," American Behavioral Scientist, 16, 2, November-December 1972, 153-67.
- Rosenbaum, H.J. and P.C. Sederberg, "Vigilantism: An Analysis of Establishment Violence," Comparative Politics, 6, 1974, 541-70.
- Rossi, P.H. (Ed.), Ghetto Revolts, Chicago: Aldine, 1973.
- Rossi, Peter and Richard Berk, "Local Political Leadership and Popular Discontent in the Ghetto," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 391, 1970, 111-127.
- Roth, Guenther, "Socio-Historical Model and Developmental Theory: Charismatic Community, Charisma of Reason and the Counterculture," American Sociological Review, 40, 2, April 1975, 148-57.

- Rotter, Julian B., "External Control and Internal Control," Psychology Today, 5, 1, June 1971, 37-42, 58-59.
- Rubenstein, J., City Police, New York: Farrar, Strauss and Giroux, 1973.
- Rubenstein, R.E., Rebels in Eden: Mass Political Violence in the U.S., Boston: Little, Brown and Co., 1970.
- Rubin, Lillian B., "White Against White: School Desegregation and the Revolt of Middle America," School Review, 84, 3, May 1976, 373-89.
- Rubinstein, Eli A. "Paradoxes of Student Protests," American Psychologist, 24, 2, 1969, 133-41.
- Rude, George, The Crowd in History: A Study of Popular Disturbances in France and England, 1730-1848, New York: Wiley, 1964.
- Rude, George, "The Pre-Industrial Crowd," In George Rude, Paris and London in the Eighteenth Century: Studies in Popular Protest, New York: The Viking Press, 1970.
- Russell, Gordon W., "The Perception and Classification of Collective Behavior," Journal of Social Psychology, 87, 2, August 1972, 219-27.
- Salter, Brian, "Explanation of Student Unrest: An Exercise in Devaluation," British Journal of Sociology, 24, 3, September 1973, 329-40.
- Sampson, Edward E., "Student Activism and the Decade of Protest," Journal of Social Issues, 23, 3, 1967, 1-33.
- Sanger, Susan P. and Henry A. Alker, "Dimensions of Internal-External Locus of Control and the Women's Liberation Movement," Journal of Social Issues, 28, 4, 1972, 115-29.
- Sank, Zachary B. and Bonnie R. Strickland, "Some Attitudinal and Behavioral Correlates of a Belief in Militant or Moderate Social Action," Journal of Social Psychology, 90, 2, August 1973, 337-38.
- Sawyer, Jack, "Relative Deprivation: A Politically Biased Concept?" Psychiatry 34, 1, February 1971, 97-99.
- Schlemmer, Lawrence, "Political Adaptation and Reaction Among Urban Africans in South Africa," Social Dynamics, 2, 1, June 1976, 3-18.
- Schmidt, Charles F., "Multidimensional Scaling Analysis of the Printed Media's Explanations of the Riots of the Summer of 1967," Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 24, 1, October 1972, 59-67.
- Schoenfeld, Stuart L., "Analysis of Urban Violence: Dahrendorf's Conflict Theory as an Alternative to Smelser's Theory of Collective Behavior," Case Western Reserve Journal of Sociology, 2, June 1968, 46-55.
- Schreiber, E.M., "Anti-War Demonstrations and American Public Opinion on the War in Vietnam," British Journal of Sociology, 27, 2, June 1976, 225-36.

- Schreiber, E.M., "Opposition to the Vietnam War Among American University Students and Faculty," British Journal of Sociology, 24, 3, September 1973, 288-302.
- Schwartz, David C., "On the Ecology of Political Violence: 'The Long Hot Summer' as a Hypothesis," American Behavioral Scientist, 11, 6, July-August 1968, 24-28.
- Schweitzer, R.A. and Steven C. Simmons, "Interactive Direct-Entry Approaches to Contentious Gathering Event Files," Working Paper No. 183, Ann Arbor: Center for Research on Social Organizations, University of Michigan, October 1978.
- Scott, Joan W., The Glassworkers of Carmaux: French Craftsmen and Political Action in a Nineteenth Century City, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1974.
- Scott, Joseph W. and Mohamed El-Assal, "Multiversity, University Size, University Quality and Student Protest: An Empirical Study," American Sociological Review, 34, 5, October 1969, 702-09.
- Searles, Ruth and J. Allen Williams, "Negro College Students' Participation in Sit-Ins," Social Forces, 40, 3, March 1962, 215-20.
- Sears, David O. and John B. McConahay, "Participation in the Los Angeles Riot," Social Problems, 17, 1, 1969, 3-20.
- Sears, David O. and John B. McConahay, "Racial Socialization, Comparison Levels and the Watts Riot," Journal of Social Issues, 26, 1, Winter 1970, 121-40.
- Sears, David O. and T.M. Tomlinson, "Riot Ideology in Los Angeles: A Study of Negro Attitudes," Social Science Quarterly, 49, 3, December 1968, 485-503.
- Seeley, John, "Stances and Substances," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, May 1971, 95-104.
- Shea, Brent Mack, The End of Student Activism. Sociological Symposium: Youth and Politics -- No. 10, Available from EDRS, Fall 1973.
- Shea, Brent Mack, "Why the Student Movement Ended," Social Science Record, 11, 1, Autumn 1973, 12-15.
- Shellow, Robert, "Reinforcing Police Neutrality in Civil Rights Confrontations," Journal of Applied Behavioral Science, 1, 3, July-September 1965, 243-54.
- Shellow, Robert and Derek V. Roemer, "The Riot That Didn't Happen," Social Problems, 14, 2, Fall 1966, 221-33.
- Short, James F. and Marvin E. Wolfgang, "On Collective Violence: Introduction and Overview," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, September 1970, 1-8.
- Shorter, D.L., "Towards Developing Black Activists: The Relationship of Beliefs in Individual and Collective Internal-External Control," Journal of Black Psychology, 3, 1, August 1976, 59-70.

- Shorter, E. and Charles Tilly, Strikes in France 1830-1968, New York: Cambridge University Press, 1974.
- Silvern, Louise E. and Charles Y. Nakamura, "Powerlessness, Social-Political Action, Social-Political Views: Their Interrelation Among College Students," Journal of Social Issues, 27, 4, 1971, 137-55.
- Simpson, John H. and Walter Phillips, "Understanding Student Protest in Canada: The University of Toronto Strike Vote," Canadian Journal of Higher Education, 6, 1, 1976, 59-67.
- Singer, B.D., R.W. Osborn and J.A. Geschwender, Black Rioters: A Study of Social Factors and Communications in the Detroit Riot, Lexington, Mass.: Heath Lexington, 1970.
- Skolnik, Jerome, The Politics of Protest, New York: Simon and Schuster, 1969.
- Slaby, Andrew and Joan Sealy, "Black Liberation, Women's Liberation," American Journal of Psychiatry, 130, 2, February 1973, 196-200.
- Smelser, Neil, "Some Additional Thoughts on Collective Behavior," Sociological Inquiry, 42, 2, 1972, 97-103.
- Smelser, Neil, Theory of Collective Behavior, New York: The Free Press, 1962.
- Smelser, Neil, "Two Critics in Search of a Bias: A Response to Currie and Skolnick," Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 391, 1970, 46-55.
- Smith, Edward A., "Individual Behavior in a Collectivity: A Theoretical Model for the Study of Collective Behavior," Sociological Focus, 5, 4, Summer 1972, 87-104.
- Smith, Michael, "Institutional Setting and Industrial Conflict in Quebec," American Journal of Sociology, 85, 1, 1979, 109-33.
- Smith, Robert B., "The Vietnam War and Student Militancy," Social Science Quarterly, 52, 1, June 1971, 133-56.
- Snyder, David, "Institutional Setting and Industrial Conflict: Comparative Analyses of France, Italy and the United States," American Sociological Review, 40, 3, June 1975, 259-78.
- Snyder, David and William Kelly, "Strategies for Investigating Violence and Social Change: Illustration from Analyses of Racial Disorders and Implications for Mobilization Research," In The Dynamics of Social Movements, Mayer N. Zald and John D. McCarthy (Eds.), Cambridge: Winthrop Publishers, 1979, 212-37.
- Snyder, David and Charles Tilly, "Hardship and Collective Violence in France, 1830-1960," American Sociological Review, 37, October 1972, 520-32.
- Snyder, David and Charles Tilly, "On Debating and Falsifying Theories of Collective Violence," American Sociological Review, 39, August 1974, 610-13.

- Solomon, Fredric and Jacob R. Fishman, "Youth and Peace: A Psychosocial Study of Student Peace Demonstrations in Washington, D.C.," Journal of Social Issues, 20, 4, October 1964, 54-73.
- Spaulding, Charles B., Carl C. Hetrick and Henry A. Turner, "Political Activism and Attitudes of Academically Affiliated Sociologists," Sociology and Social Research, 57, 4, July 1973, 413-28.
- Special Committee on Campus Tensions, "Campus Tensions: Analysis and Recommendations," American Psychologist, 25, 8, August 1970, 694-726.
- Spiegel, John P., "Campus Disorders: A Transactional Approach," Psychoanalytic Review, 57, 3, 1970, 472-504.
- Spiegel, John P., "The Dynamics of Violent Confrontation," International Journal of Psychiatry, 10, 3, September 1972, 93-108.
- Spiegel, John P., "Psychosocial Factors in Riots: Old and New," American Journal of Psychiatry, 125, 3, 1968, 281-85.
- Spilerman, Seymour, "The Causes of Racial Disturbances: A Comparison of Alternative Explanations," American Sociological Review, 35, 4, August 1970, 627-49.
- Spilerman, Seymour, "The Causes of Racial Disturbances: Tests of an Explanation," American Sociological Review, 36, 3, 1971, 427-42.
- Spilerman, Seymour, "Comments on Wanderer's Article on Riot Severity and Its Correlates," American Journal of Sociology, 75, 4, January 1970, 556-60.
- Spilerman, Seymour, "Strategic Considerations in Analyzing the Distribution of Racial Disturbances," American Sociological Review, 37, 1972, 193-99.
- Spilerman, Seymour, "Structural Characteristics of Cities and the Severity of Racial Disorders," American Sociological Review, 41, 5, October 1976, 771-93.
- Stallings, Robert A., "Patterns of Belief in Social Movements: Clarifications From an Analysis of Environmental Groups," Sociological Quarterly, 14, 4, Fall 1973, 465-80.
- Stallings, Robert A., "On Theory in Collective Behavior and Empirical Patterns in a Riot Process," American Sociological Review, 41, 4, August 1976, 749-51.
- Stark, Margaret J., Walter J. Raine, Stephen Burbeck, and Keith K. Davison, "Some Empirical Patterns in a Riot Process," American Sociological Review, 39, 6, December 1974, 865-76.
- Stark, Rodney, "Police Riots: An Anatomical Report," Urban Life and Culture, 1, 1, April 1972, 7-38.
- Stark, Rodney, Police Riots: Collective Violence and Law Enforcement, Belmont, California: Wadsworth Publishing, 1972.

- Stern, Robert N. and Omer Galle, "Industrial Conflict and the Intermetropolitan Structure of Production," Social Science Quarterly, 59, 2, September 1978, 257-73.
- Stoloff, Carolyn, "Who Joins Women's Liberation?" Psychiatry, 36, 3, August 1973, 325-40.
- Suedfeld, Peter, Stephen Bochner and Carol Matas, "Petitioner's Attire and Petition Signing by Peace Demonstrators: A Field Experiment," Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 1, 3, July 1971, 278-83.
- Suedfeld, Peter and Yakov M. Epstein, "Attitudes, Values and Ascription of Responsibility: The Calley Case," Journal of Social Issues, 29, 4, 1973, 63-71.
- Swan, L. Alex, "The Harlem and Detroit Riots of 1943: A Comparative Analysis," Berkeley Journal of Sociology, 16, 1971-72, 75-93.
- Switkin, Linda R. and Malcolm D. Gynther, "Trust, Activism and Interpersonal Perception in Black and White College Students," Journal of Social Psychology, 94, 1, October 1974, 153-54.
- Taft, Philip and Philip Ross, "American Labor Violence: Its Causes, Character and Outcome," In Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives, H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), for the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence, New York, 1969.
- Tavris, Carol, "Who Likes Women's Liberation and Why: The Case of the Unliberated Liberals," Journal of Social Issues, 29, 4, 1973, 175-98.
- Teevan, Richard C. and Louis W. Stamps, "A Motivational Correlate of Vietnam Protest Group Members," Psychological Reports, 33, 3, December 1973, 777-78.
- Teger, Allen I., "The Effects of a Campus Building Occupation on Attitudes and Images of the Conflict," Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 1, 3, July 1971, 292-304.
- Terchek, Ronald J., "Protest and Bargaining," Journal of Peace Research, 11, 2, 1974, 133-44.
- Thistlethwaite, Donald L., "Impact of Disruptive External Events on Student Attitudes," Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 30, 2, August 1974, 228-42.
- Thistlethwaite, Donald L., "Impact of Episodes of May 1970 Upon American University Students," Research in Higher Education, 1, 3, 1973, 225-43.
- Thomas, L. Eugene, "Political Generation Gap: A Study of Liberal and Conservative Activist and Nonactivist Students and Their Parents," Journal of Social Psychology, 84, 2, August 1971, 313-14.
- Tilly, Charles, "Collective Action in England and America, 1765-1775," Discussion Papers in Western European Studies, Ann Arbor: Center for Western European Studies, University of Michigan, March 1976.

- Tilly, Charles, "Collective Violence in European Perspective," In Violence in America, Historical and Comparative Perspectives, H. Graham and T. Gurr (Eds.), for the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence, New York, 1969.
- Tilly, Charles, From Mobilization to Revolution, New York: Addison-Wesley, 1978.
- Tilly, Charles, "Getting It Together in Burgundy, 1675-1975," Theory and Society, 4, 4, Winter 1977, 479-504.
- Tilly, Charles, "Major Forms of Collective Action in Western Europe, 1500-1975," Working Paper No. 123, Ann Arbor: Center for Research on Social Organization, University of Michigan, October 1975.
- Tilly, Charles, "Repertoires of Contention in America and Britain, 1750-1830," In The Dynamics of Social Movements, Mayer N. Zald and John D. McCarthy (Eds.), Cambridge: Winthrop Publishers, 1979, 126-55.
- Tilly, Charles, "Social Movements and National Politics," Working Paper No. 197, Ann Arbor: Center for Research on Social Organization, University of Michigan, May 1979.
- Tilly, Charles, Louise Tilly and Richard Tilly, The Rebellious Century, 1830-1930, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1975.
- Tilly, Charles and Abdul Lodhi, "Urbanization, Crime and Collective Violence in 19th Century France," American Journal of Sociology, 79, 2, 1973, 296-318.
- Tomlinson, T.M., "Determinants of Black Politics: Riots and the Growth of Militancy," Psychiatry, 33, 2, May 1970, 247-64.
- Tomlinson, T.M., "The Development of a Riot Ideology Among Urban Negroes," American Behavioral Scientist, 11, 1968, 27-31.
- Tomlinson, T.M., "Ideological Foundations for Negro Action: A Comparative Analysis of Militant and Non-Militant Views of the Los Angeles Riot," Journal of Social Issues, 26, 1, 1970, 93-119.
- Torrence, William D. and John J. Vitton, "Faculty Work Stoppages in Post-Secondary Education," Journal of Collective Negotiations in the Public Sector, 6, 1, 1977, 11-18.
- Traugott, Mark, "Reconceiving Social Movements," Social Problems, 26, 1, October 1978, 38-49.
- Trent, James W. and Judith L. Craise, "Commitment and Conformity in the American College," Journal of Social Issues, 23, 3, 1967, 34-51.
- Tuchman, Gaye and Herbert M. Danzger, "The News' Manufacture of Sociological Data," American Sociological Review, 41, 6, December 1976, 1065-71.
- Turner, Castellano B. and William J. Wilson, "Dimensions of Racial Ideology: A Study of Urban Black Attitudes," Journal of Social Issues, 32, 2, Spring 1976, 139-52.

- Turner, Jonathan H., "Marx and Simmel Revisited: Reassessing the Foundations of Conflict Theory," Social Forces, 53, 4, June 1975, 618-27.
- Turner, Ralph H., "Determinants of Social Movement Strategies," in Human Nature and Collective Behavior: Papers in Honor of Herbert Blumer, T. Shibutani (Ed.), New Brunswick, New Jersey: Transaction Books, 1973.
- Turner, Ralph H., "The Public Perception of Protest," American Sociological Review, 34, 6, December 1969, 815-30.
- Tygart, Clarence E. and Norman Holt, "Examining the Weinberg and Walker Typology of Student Activists," American Journal of Sociology, 77, 5, March 1972, 957-70.
- Useem, Bert, "Solidarity Model, Breakdown Model, and the Boston Anti-Busing Movement," Unpublished paper from the Center for Research on Social Organization, University of Michigan, undated.
- Useem, Bert, "Trust in Government and the Boston Anti-Busing Movement," Unpublished paper from the Center for Research on Social Organization, University of Michigan, undated.
- Useem, Michael, Conscription, Protest and Social Conflict: The Life and Death of a Draft Resistance Movement, New York: Wiley Interscience, 1973.
- Useem, Michael, "Ideological and Interpersonal Change in the Radical Protest Movement," Social Problems, 19, 4, Spring 1972, 451-69.
- Van den Haag, E., Political Violence and Civil Disobedience, New York: Harper and Row, 1972.
- Vander Zanden, James, "The Non-Violent Resistance Movement Against Segregation," American Journal of Sociology, 68, March 1963, 544-50.
- Vander Zanden, James, "Resistance and Social Movements," Social Forces, 37, 4, May 1959, 212-15.
- Vasquez, John A., "A Learning Theory of the American Anti-Vietnam War Movement," Journal of Peace Research, 13, 4, 1976, 299-314.
- Wade, Richard, "Violence in the Cities: A Historical View," in Urban Violence, C. Daly (Ed.), Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1969.
- Wagenaar, Theodore C., "Activist Professionals: The Case of Teachers," Social Science Quarterly, 55, 2, September 1974, 372-79.
- Wanderer, Jules J., "Index of Riot Severity and Some Correlates," American Journal of Sociology, 74, 5, March 1969, 500-05.
- Wanderer, Jules J., "1967 Riots: A Test of the Congruity of Events," Social Problems, 16, 2, 1968, 193-98.
- Warheit, George J. and Jerry Waxman, "Operational and Organizational Adaptations of Fire Departments to Civil Disturbances," American Behavioral Scientist, 16, 3, January-February 1973, 343-55.

- Warren, Carol A.B. and Joann S. DeLora, "Student Protest in the 1970s: The Gay Student Union and the Military," Urban Life, 7, 1, April 1978, 67-90.
- Warren, Donald I., "Mass Media and Racial Crisis: A Study of the New Bethel Church Incident in Detroit," Journal of Social Issues, 28, 1, 1972, 111-31.
- Warren, Donald I., "Neighborhood Status Modality and Riot Behavior: An Analysis of the Detroit Disorders of 1967," Sociological Quarterly, 12, 3, Summer 1971, 350-66.
- Warren, Donald I., "Neighborhood Structure and Riot Behavior in Detroit: Some Exploratory Findings," Social Problems, 16, 4, 1969, 464-84.
- Warren, Donald I., "Some Observations From Post-Riot Detroit: The Role of the Social Researcher in Contemporary Racial Conflict," Phylon, 34, 2, June 1973, 171-86.
- Wasburn, Philo, C., "Cognition and Political Demonstrations: A Pilot Study," Social Science Quarterly, 51, 3, December 1970, 617-27.
- Wasburn, Philo C., "Student Protesters and Gang Delinquents: Toward a Theory of Collective Deviance," Sociological Focus, 9, 1, January 1976, 27-46.
- Waters, Carrie Wherry, "Comparison of Protesting and Non-Protesting Students," Psychological Reports, 33, 2, October 1973, 543-47.
- Watson, G. Llewellyn, "Social Structure and Social Movements: The Black Muslims in the U.S.A. and the Ras-Tafarians in Jamaica," British Journal of Sociology, 24, 2, June 1973, 188-204.
- Watts, Meredith W., "Efficacy, Trust, and Orientation Toward Socio-Political Authority: Students' Support for the University," American Journal of Political Science, 17, 2, May 1972, 282-301.
- Watts, William, Steve Lynch and David Whittaker, "Alienation and Activism in Today's College-Age Youth: Socialization Patterns and Current Family Relationships," Journal of Counseling Psychology, 16, 1, 1969, 1-7.
- Weinberg, Ian and Kenneth Walker, "Student Politics and Political Systems: Toward a Typology," American Journal of Sociology, 45, 1, July 1969, 77-96.
- Weiss, Robert F., "Defection from Social Movements and Subsequent Recruitment to New Movements," Sociometry, 26, 1, March 1963, 1-20.
- Welch, Susan and Alan Booth, "Crowding as a Factor in Political Aggression: Theoretical Aspects and an Analysis of Some Cross-National Data," Social Science Information, August-October 1974, 151-62.
- Weller, Jack M., "Current Sociological Approaches to Collective Behavior: Some Problems and Possible Solutions," Paper presented at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, 1971.
- Weller, Jack M., "The Involuntary Partisans: Fire Departments and the Threat of Violence," American Behavioral Scientist, 16, 3, January-February 1973, 368-77.

- Weller, Jack M. and E.L. Quarantelli, "Neglected Characteristics of Collective Behavior," American Journal of Sociology, 79, 3, November 1973, 665-85.
- Wenger, Dennis, "The Reluctant Army: The Functioning of Police Departments During Civil Disturbances," American Behavioral Scientist, 16, 3, January 1973, 326-42.
- Westby, David L. and Richard G. Braungart, "Class and Politics in The Family Backgrounds of Student Political Activists," American Sociological Review, 31, 5, October 1966, 690-92.
- White, A.G., Organized Violence in Urban Areas, A Selected Bibliography, Monticello, Ill.: Council of Planning Librarians, 1973.
- Whittaker, David and William A. Watts, "Personality Characteristics Associated with Activism and Disaffiliation in Today's College-Age Youth," Journal of Counseling Psychology, 13, 3, May 1971, 200-06.
- Williams, Robin M., "Conflict and Social Order: A Research Strategy for Complex Propositions," Journal of Social Issues, 28, 1, 1972, 11-26.
- Williams, Robin M., "Race and Ethnic Relations," Annual Review of Sociology, 1, 1975, 125-64.
- Williams, Robin M., "Structural Explanations of Collective Conflict," Cornell Journal of Social Relations, 11, 1, Spring 1976, 31-38.
- Williams, Robin M., "Relative Deprivation Versus Power Struggle? 'Tension' and 'Structural' Explanations of Collective Conflict," Journal of Social Relations, 11, 1, 1976, 31-38.
- Willis, John, "Generations and Social Movements of the 60s and 70s," Revised version of a paper presented at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Chicago, 1977:
- Wilson, J., "The Effects of Social Control on Social Movements," Paper presented at the American Sociological Association Annual Meeting, Montreal, 1974.
- Wilson, J., Introduction to Social Movements, New York: Basic Books, 1973.
- Wilson, J., "Social Protest and Social Control," Social Problems, 24, 4, April 1977, 469-81.
- Wilson, Kenneth L. and Louis A. Zurcher, "Status Inconsistency and Participation in Social Movements: An Application of Goodman's Hierarchical Modeling," Sociological Quarterly, 17, 4, Autumn 1976, 520-33.
- Wilson, O.W., "Civil Disturbances and the Rule of Law," Journal of Criminal Law, Criminology and Police Science, 58, 2, 1967, 155-59.
- Wilson, W., Power, Racism and Privilege, New York: MacMillan, 1973.
- Wilson, W., "Rank Order of Discrimination and Its Relevance to Civil Rights Priorities," Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 15, 2, 1970, 118-24.

- Winter, David G. and Frederick A. Wiecking, "The New Puritans: Achievement and Power Motives of New Left Radicals," Behavioral Science, 16, 6, November 1971, 523-30.
- Wood, Gordon S., "A Note on Mobs in the American Revolution," William and Mary Quarterly, 23, 4, October 1966, 635-42.
- Worchel, Philip, Philip G. Hester, and Philip S. Kopala, "Collective Protest and Legitimacy of Authority: Theory and Research," Journal of Conflict Resolution, 18, 1, March 1974, 37-54.
- Wright, Nathan Jr., Ready to Riot, New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1968.
- Yang, Sung Chul, "Student Political Activism: The Case of the 1960 April Revolution in South Korea," Youth and Society, 5, 1, September 1973, 47-60.
- Zald, Mayer and Roberta Ash, "Social Movement Organizations: Growth, Decay and Change," Social Forces, 44, 3, March 1966, 327-41.
- Zald, Mayer and Michael Berger, "Social Movements in Organizations: Coup d'Etat, Insurgency and Mass Movements," American Journal of Sociology, 83, 4, January 1978, 823-61.
- Zald, Mayer and John McCarthy, "America and the Rise of Social Movements," New Society, 20, 509, June 1972, 670-72.
- Zald, Mayer and John McCarthy, The Dynamics of Social Movements, Cambridge: Winthrop Publishers, 1979.
- Zikmund, William G. and Stephen J. Miller, "Internal-External Control of Reinforcement and Women's Participation in Direct Social Action," Psychological Reports, 34, 3, June 1974, 1163-66.
- Zurcher, Louis and Russell Curtis, "A Comparative Analysis of Propositions Describing Social Movement Organizations," Sociological Quarterly, 14, 2, Spring 1973, 175-88.
- Zurcher, Louis and George Kirkpatrick, Citizens for Decency: Antipornography Crusades as Status Defense, Austin: University of Texas Press, 1976.
- Zurcher, Louis, George Kirkpatrick, Robert Cushing and Charles Bowman, "Ad Hoc Antipornography Organizations and Their Active Members: A Research Summary," Journal of Social Issues, 29, 3, 1973, 69-94.
- Zurcher, Louis, George Kirkpatrick, Robert Cushing and Charles Bowman, "The Antipornography Campaign: A Symbolic Crusade," Social Problems, 19, 2, Fall 1971, 217-38.
- Zygmunt, Joseph, "Movements and Motives: Some Unresolved Issues in the Psychology of Social Movements," Human Relations, 25, 5, November 1972, 449-67.