


148548



# **MINORS SECURELY DETAINED IN CALIFORNIA LAW ENFORCEMENT FACILITIES 1992 REPORT**

148548

**U.S. Department of Justice  
National Institute of Justice**

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

California Dept. of the  
Youth Authority

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

148548

**State of California  
Department of the Youth Authority  
Research Division**

**April 1994**

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA

**PETE WILSON  
GOVERNOR**

**YOUTH AND ADULT CORRECTIONAL AGENCY**

**JOE G. SANDOVAL  
SECRETARY**



## DEPARTMENT OF THE YOUTH AUTHORITY

**Elaine Duxbury, Chief  
Research Division**

**Rudy Haapanen  
Research Manager**

**\* \* \***

**Author:**

**Robert F. Wedge  
Research Analyst II**

**\* \* \***

**Jackie Hummel  
Statistical Clerk**

**Karen Deering  
Office Technician**

**Wm. B. Kolender  
Director**

**Francisco J. Alarcon  
Chief Deputy Director**

**Richard W. Tillson, Deputy Director  
Institutions and Camps Branch**

**George McKinney, Deputy Director  
Parole Services and Community Corrections Branch**

**Barbara Allman, Deputy Director  
Administrative Services Branch**

## Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Highlights .....	iii
Legislation Affecting Secure Confinement of Minors .....	1
Role of the Youth Authority .....	2
Monitoring System .....	2
Data Collection System .....	3
Definitions .....	4
1992 Annual Survey .....	5
Number of Minors in Secure Confinement .....	6
Changes in Number of Minors in Secure Confinement .....	7
Conclusions .....	8
Appendix A: Monthly Log for the Confinement of Juveniles .....	11
Appendix B: Annual Survey of Jails and Lockups: 1992 Calendar Year .....	13
Appendix C: Monthly Report on the Secure Detention of Minors in Jails/Lockups .....	15
Appendix D: Law Enforcement Facilities That Confined Minors for More Than 24 Hours During 1992 .....	17
Appendix E: Agencies Reporting Confinement of Minors During 1992: Change in Confinements From 1990 and Maximum Length of Confinement in 1992 .....	19

## List of Tables

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE 1: Results of 1992 Annual Survey of Law Enforcement Agencies.....	5
TABLE 2: Number of Agencies That Confined Minors During 1992, by Type of Facility and Length of Confinement.....	6
TABLE 3: Number of Minors Confined for Any Time During the Years 1988 to 1992 .....	7
TABLE 4: Number of Minors Confined 6 to 24 Hours and Over 24 Hours, 1984 to 1992 .....	9

## **Highlights**

### **Annual Survey**

- ☐ The 1992 Annual Survey of Minors Securely Detained in Law Enforcement Facilities (jails and lockups) was distributed to 570 law enforcement agencies. The response rate was 100%.
- ☐ Of the agencies surveyed, 311, or 55%, reported that they placed one or more minors in secure detention during 1992. Of these 311 agencies:
  - 237 held minors only for less than 6 hours.
  - 67 held minors over 6 but less than 24 hours.
  - 7 held minors over 24 hours.

### **Monthly Reporting System**

- ☐ Monthly reports submitted by the 311 agencies indicated that 34,995 minors were securely confined during 1992. Previously, there were 45,270 such confinements in 1991.
- ☐ Of the confinements in 1992, 34,601 were securely confined for periods of less than 6 hours, 253 were held between 6 and 24 hours, and 141 were held over 24 hours. Of the 141 held over 24 hours, 139 were confined by a W&I 707(b) order of the court.
- ☐ In 1984, there were 11,249 minors confined between 6 and 24 hours. This number decreased to 636 in 1987, the year SB 1637 passed placing additional limits on the confinement of minors. Since 1967, the number of these confinements has decreased annually, reaching 253 in 1992.
- ☐ Confinements over 24 hours dropped 71% since 1984, from 485 to 141.

# **MINORS SECURELY DETAINED IN CALIFORNIA'S LAW ENFORCEMENT FACILITIES**

## **1992 Monitoring Report**

This annual monitoring report contains information on the number of minors 17 years or younger placed in secure detention conditions in California law enforcement facilities during calendar year 1992. The Department of the Youth Authority is authorized to collect data on such confinements by various sections of the State Welfare and Institutions Code: 207.1, 209 (b) and (c), and 1752.7. In addition, regulations of the Federal Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) require that each state adequately monitor the population of minors in jails and other detention facilities and that the results of such monitoring be reported annually to OJJDP.

There are further reasons for the collection of law enforcement monitoring data. The Welfare and Institutions (W&I) Code directs law enforcement facilities that confine minors to self-certify that secure detention standards have been met. The monitoring system is the method that identifies those facilities required to make self-certification.

Furthermore, W&I Code Section 209(c) directs the Youth Authority to publish annual reports on the number of minors securely confined by law enforcement agencies. Lastly, it is hoped that the information contained in these reports will be useful to local agencies in planning and assessing detention needs for juveniles.

### **Legislation Affecting Secure Confinement of Minors**

On January 1, 1987, Senate Bill 1637 made several additions and revisions to the Welfare and Institutions Code.<sup>1</sup> Among the additions was Section 207.1, which prohibits the use of adult jails for the confinement of a minor, unless the minor is 16 or 17 years old and has been remanded

---

<sup>1</sup> Senate Bill 1637 (Chapter 1271), Statutes of 1986, amended W&I Code Sections 206, 207, 209, and 707.1. The following sections were added: 207.1, 209(b), 209(c), and 210.2.

to adult court for proceedings on a serious or violent felony (W&I Code 707(b)). Lockups (defined separately from jails—see below) may be used for temporary confinement of a minor—not to exceed 6 hours—if all of the following conditions are met. The minor is:

1. 14 years or older;
2. charged with a law violation (W&I 602);
3. a "serious security risk of harm to self or others."

The W&I Code requires agencies that securely confine minors to maintain some form of log describing each confinement and to report such confinements to the Youth Authority. To assist agencies, the Youth Authority has provided an example of a log that might be used to satisfy this requirement (see Appendix A).

### **Role of the Youth Authority**

The SB 1637 legislation, which continued in effect during 1992, directed the Youth Authority to carry out the following tasks:

1. provide information to law enforcement agencies as to the provisions of SB 1637;
2. provide, upon request, technical assistance to develop alternatives to the use of jails and lockups for the confinement of minors;
3. inspect any jail that reported holding minors in excess of 24 hours to determine if it meets standards for the secure confinement of minors.

### **Monitoring System**

The system in effect prior to 1992 stipulated that each lockup that confined or planned to confine minors was to certify the facility's conformance with standards for the confinement of minors. During that time, the Youth Authority had the option to inspect any facility it had reason to believe was not in compliance with standards. Lack of compliance included the holding of a minor in secure confinement in excess of the allowable six hours. If it was found that a facility had not been operated in conformance with standards, the Youth Authority would then give the facility 60 days to achieve compliance. If compliance was not achieved, the Department might

then decertify the facility for the secure detention of minors (i.e., it might prohibit such detention) until such time that re-inspection determined that the conditions that rendered the facility unsuitable had been remedied and the facility now met all requirements of the law.

Recent legislation. In 1992, Senate Bill 97 was passed. This bill revised a number of W&I Code sections. In part, the new legislation suspended until July 1, 1995, the Youth Authority's authority to conduct inspections of jails and lockups. Inspections and enforcement of confinement standards continue to be within the purview of the juvenile court judge and the local juvenile justice commission.

### Data Collection System

In 1987, to effectuate the purposes of SB 1637, the Youth Authority developed a data collection system designed to obtain the following information for its required annual report:

1. Identification of law enforcement facilities that securely confine minors for any length of time.
2. Type of youths confined (W&I 602 or 707).
3. Length of confinement.
4. Type of facility (i.e., jail or lockup).

The data monitoring system developed by the Youth Authority uses two separate reporting forms: The Annual Survey of Jails and Lockups (Appendix B) and the Monthly Report on the Secure Confinement of Minors in Jails/Lockups (Appendix C).

Annual survey. In January of each year, an annual survey is mailed to all law enforcement agencies in the state. Recipients include sheriffs' departments (county jails and substations) and police departments (stations and precincts). Each detention location is counted separately. For instance, when surveys are mailed to the San Diego City Police Department, they are sent to a headquarters agency and each of six local stations or precincts.

The survey is used to identify those agencies that securely confined minors during the preceding year. The survey asks whether or not any minors had been securely confined and, if so,



what was the maximum length of confinement: (1) 6 hours or less, (2) more than 6 but not over 24 hours, or (3) over 24 hours.

Monthly report. Those agencies identified as having securely confined one or more minors in the survey year are required to submit monthly reports during the subsequent year, even for months in which no minors were confined.

### Definitions

Type of facility. W&I Code 207.1(i) provides the following definitions for type of facility:

Jail - Any building which contains a locked facility, the purpose of which is to detain (1) adults charged with violations of criminal law pending trial or (2) adult offenders sentenced for less than one year.

Lockup - Any locked room or secure enclosure in a law enforcement agency which is primarily used for the temporary confinement of adults upon arrest.

For purposes of monitoring, any agency not classified as a jail, but which securely confines minors, is considered a lockup. This includes any police or sheriff's station that temporarily places a minor behind a locked door.

Secure confinement. W&I Code 207.1 does not contain an explicit definition of secure confinement. However, the code implicitly defines such confinement as occurring when a minor is securely detained, even if only temporarily, by a law enforcement agency. Prior to the implementation of SB 1637, the Youth Authority and an advisory committee containing several law enforcement members developed a mutually comprehensible definition of secure confinement. After undergoing further revisions and refinements, the following definition evolved and has been incorporated for use in the Youth Authority's monitoring system:

Secure confinement occurs when a minor is either (1) detained in a jail or (2) locked in a room/enclosure and/or secured to a cuffing rail or other stationary object while in custody in a law enforcement facility.

This definition is consistent with the purpose of the monitoring system and the monthly reports, which is to obtain a count of youths securely confined by law enforcement agencies. In this report, the terms "confinement" and "detention" are considered to have the same meaning.

### 1992 Annual Survey

Table 1 shows the number of agencies included in the 1992 annual survey. Of the 570 agencies surveyed, 311 reported holding one or more minors. Most of the 311 agencies (n=237) confined minors for only six hours or less. Of the remainder, 67 agencies sometimes confined a minor for more than six hours (but less than 24). Seven agencies held a minor for more than 24 hours.

TABLE 1

Results of 1992 Annual Survey of  
Law Enforcement Agencies

Survey Response	No. of Agencies		
	Total	Sheriff	Police
TOTAL SURVEYS DISTRIBUTED	570	188	382
Did Not Hold Minors	259	136	123
REPORTED HOLDING MINORS	311	52	259
6 Hours or Less Only	237	32	205
Over 6 But Under 24 Hrs.	67	13	54
Over 24 Hours	7	7	0

Table 1 also indicates how many sheriff and police agencies confined minors. Of the 188 county jails and stations known to be operated by sheriffs' departments, 52 (28%) reported holding minors. Of the 382 police department facilities, 259 (68%) reported holding minors.

Type of facilities surveyed. Each law enforcement agency that securely confines minors is classified as either a jail or lockup, as defined earlier. The only facilities that may confine youths over 24 hours are jails (and youths must be WIC 707(b) cases). Other minors may be temporarily confined in lockups (never in jails), but not for more than six hours. The Youth Authority has attempted to determine appropriate classifications for all law enforcement facilities, based on information supplied by the agencies.

### **Number of Minors in Secure Confinement**

Table 2 shows that 34,995 minors were reported as being securely confined for some period of time in 311 facilities. Nearly all confinements (34,849) occurred in 303 lockups; 146 minors were confined in eight jail facilities. The latter eight facilities are listed in Appendix D. Appendix E contains a list of all 311 law enforcement facilities. It shows the number of minors confined and the maximum length of time any minor was confined in each facility.

Of the total of 34,995 confinements, 34,601 (98.9%) were for periods of six hours or less. In fact, many agencies have indicated that their policy is to process and release or transfer minors in less than four hours (and some policies direct a maximum of two hours).

TABLE 2

Number of Agencies That Confined Minors During 1992,  
by Type of Facility and Length of Confinement

Length of Confinement	Total		Lockups		Jails	
	Facilities	Minors	Facilities	Minors	Facilities	Minors
TOTAL	311 <sup>a</sup>	34,995	303	34,849	8	146
6 Hrs. or Less	237	34,601	235	34,595	2	6
Over 6, But Under 24	67	253	67	253	0	0
Over 24 Hrs.	7	141	1	1	6	140

<sup>a</sup>Number of minors based on monthly confinement reports from 296 agencies. The additional 15 agencies reported securely confining one or more minors, but number of such confinements was not available. These 15 agencies began reporting complete data in 1993.

There were 253 minors held over six but less than 24 hours in 67 facilities. In 34 of these facilities, only one or two minors were held over six hours. There were 141 minors held in excess of 24 hours (down from 158 in 1991). Of these, nearly all (n=139) were identified as being confined in conjunction with a court order.

#### **Changes in Number of Minors in Secure Confinement**

Although the Youth Authority began monitoring jails and lockups as early as 1976, mid-1987 marked the beginning of the current system which counts confinements of 6 hours or less as well as those over 6 but less than 24 hours and those over 24 hours. Therefore, 1988 was the first year complete data were available on total number of minors in confinement for any length of time. Data on total reported confinements are shown in Table 3 for the years 1988 to 1992. The number of confined minors has decreased each year, dropping from 51,669 in 1988 to 34,995 in 1992.

TABLE 3

Number of Minors Confined for Any Time  
During the Years 1988 to 1992

	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992
No. of Agencies Surveyed	534	555	560	564	570
No. of Agencies Reporting Secure Confinement	286	301	306	299	311
No. of Minors Confined for Any Length of Time	51,669	50,636	49,660	45,270	34,995

However, in considering these figures, it must be taken into account that the accuracy and comprehensiveness of the reporting system is continually changing and improving. The following factors influence the monitoring of numbers:

- Agencies not reporting in the past are added to the system;

- Police departments disband and contract for services with a sheriff's department, which may or may not securely confine minors;
- Agencies become aware of reporting requirements, and begin reporting for the first time;
- Agencies develop prohibitions or alternatives to confinement of minors, and they cease reporting;
- Agencies underreport numbers because of a misunderstanding of what constitutes secure confinement;
- Agencies overreport numbers for the same reason as above.

Since 1989, several agencies were added to the monitoring list each year. It was determined via the annual survey that these additional agencies had confined minors in the preceding year but had not reported the numbers. Adding in the missing data on confinements by those agencies would serve to make actual annual decreases in confinements appear larger.

Since 1984, data have been collected on confinements of more than six hours. These data are shown in Table 4. The number of confinements for 6 to 24 hours decreased from 11,249 in 1984 to 636 in 1987, the year SB 1637 became effective. The number decreased to 562 in 1988, and has decreased annually to 253 in 1992. Confinements over 24 hours have decreased from 485 in 1984 to 141 in 1992. All but two of the 141 minors held in jail over 24 hours were ordered held by the juvenile court under W&I Section 707(b). Appendix D lists those jails that confined minors for more than 24 hours during 1992.

Appendix E shows the number of secure confinements reported by individual agencies in 1991 and 1992, and the number in 1992 held over 6 and over 24 hours.

### **Conclusions**

The monitoring system developed by the Youth Authority in response to SB 1637 seems to be operating efficiently. Accuracy of the reporting system is continually improving. Since passage of SB 1637, frequent contacts between Youth Authority and law enforcement staff have served to remove much misconception and erroneous reporting. The Youth Authority's Parole

Services and Community Corrections Branch staff have worked with local agencies to reduce inappropriate confinements of minors and to improve their systems for reporting information to the Youth Authority. The Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention has conducted an ongoing audit of a selected number of law enforcement agencies and other detention facilities to determine compliance with federal requirements. These efforts have added to the accuracy of the system.

TABLE 4

Number of Minors Confined 6 to 24 Hours  
and Over 24 Hours, 1984 to 1992

Year	6 to 24 Hours		Over 24 Hours		
	No. of Agencies	No. of Minors	No. of Agencies	No. of Minors	W&I 707(b) Cases Included
1984	80	11,249	29	485	N/A
1985	75	9,995	27	315	N/A
1986	74	7,691	20	190	N/A
1987	51	636	9*	114	81
1988	76	562	9*	168	133
1989	63	534	9	112	87
1990	71	404	9	186	182
1991	70	342	5	158	153
1992	67	253	7	141	139

\*Includes one agency which did not submit monthly reports enumerating confinements over 24 hours.

The data for 1992 indicate that just about 35,000 minors were securely confined in law enforcement facilities during the year. This figure is considerably less than half the 100,000-plus confinements that were recorded by the Youth Authority's monitoring system each year from

1976 to 1982. The numbers confined over 6 hours decreased from over 11,000 in 1984 to 253 in 1992. Those held over 24 hours decreased from 485 in 1984 to 141 in the current year.

The data confirm that the number of minors being securely confined in sheriff and police facilities continues to decrease each year. Credit should be given to law enforcement for changing old and established practices and developing new policies and procedures for the handling of minors. The activities of monitoring agencies have also contributed to these changes, and the present data monitoring system has clearly documented the decreasing numbers.

# APPENDIX A

## MONTHLY LOG FOR THE CONFINEMENT OF JUVENILES

Instructions on reverse

Month

Year

County

Facility

NOTE: Juveniles are those persons under 18 years of age.

A Complete this section for each juvenile placed in secure detention or non-secure custody.										B Complete this section when juvenile is released.				
Juvenile's Name	Sex	Age	Type of Custody S=Secure NS=Non-Secure	Location Where Confined Identify Cell, Rm., etc.	Reason for Confinement		When Confined		Officer Initials	When Released		Total Time Confined	Type of Release	Officer Initials
					Code* See Reverse	Penal Code Section	Mo./Day	Time		Mo./Day	Time	Hrs./Min.	Code* See Reverse	

\*See Codes on reverse side.



## JUVENILE CONFINEMENT LOG

Section 207.1(d)(6) W&I Code requires that a log or written record be maintained by each law enforcement agency that holds one or more juveniles in secure confinement for any period of time.

This log is to assist you in maintaining information on juveniles placed in secure detention or non-secure custody. At the end of each month, only the entries of secure confinements on the log should be tallied and entered on the monthly Report on the Secure Confinement of Juveniles in Jails and Lockups. This form is for optional local use and submission to the Youth Authority is not required. However, it may be useful in complying with requirements of Section 207.1(d)(6) W&I Code.

If you have any questions, contact the CYA Jail Data Section at (916) 262-1493.

### INSTRUCTIONS

#### SECTION A

##### Confinements

Enter information for each juvenile in secure confinement for any length of time. In addition, you may also wish to record information on youths in non-secure custody. At this time only secure confinements are to be included in the pink monthly report for submission to CYA. See definition of secure confinement on the monthly report.

##### Reason for Confinement Codes

1. Juvenile detained following certification (remand) to adult court, W&I Code, Section 707(b).
2. Disciplinary transfer from county camp or juvenile hall.
3. CYA, detainee, hold, etc.
4. Accused of a felony crime against persons, including homicide, forcible rape, mayhem, kidnapping, aggravated assault, robbery, or extortion.
5. Accused of other felony not listed in #4.
6. Accused of misdemeanor.
7. Hold for another agency.
8. Detained for other reasons.

#### SECTION B

##### Type of Release Codes

1. Released on own recognizance.
2. Released on bail.
3. Released to parents/guardians/other.
4. Transferred to juvenile hall.
5. Transferred to other custody (jail, CYA, other).
6. Other type of release.

## APPENDIX B

Department of the Youth Authority  
 Research Division  
 4241 Williamsborough Drive, Ste. 216  
 Sacramento, CA 95823

Agency Name (or address label)

**ANNUAL SURVEY OF JAILS AND LOCKUPS: 1992 Calendar Year**  
**YA 10.400 (12/92)**

The State Welfare and Institutions Code authorizes the Youth Authority to conduct surveys of law enforcement agencies on the processing of minors. Instructions on reverse side.

**ALL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES ARE REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THIS SURVEY**  
**—INCLUDING AGENCIES CURRENTLY REPORTING TO YA.**

**I. CHECK THOSE STATEMENTS THAT DESCRIBE PROCEDURES IN YOUR FACILITY DURING 1992.**

- ☐ A. MINORS IN CUSTODY WERE NOT BROUGHT INTO THIS FACILITY IN 1992. If you check this box, no further statements are necessary. Sign and return this form.
- ☐ B. MINORS BROUGHT TO THIS FACILITY DURING 1992 WERE HELD IN NONSECURE CUSTODY ONLY. See definition of "nonsecure custody" on reverse. Go to next statement.
- ☐ C. ONE OR MORE MINORS WERE TEMPORARILY HELD IN SECURE DETENTION DURING 1992. See definition of "secure detention" on reverse.

**IF YOU CHECKED BOX C, COMPLETE SECTION II BELOW.**

**II. CHECK THOSE STATEMENTS THAT PERTAIN TO SECURE DETENTION IN YOUR FACILITY DURING 1992.**

- ☐ A. ONE OR MORE MINORS WERE SECURELY DETAINED, BUT NONE FOR MORE THAN 6 HOURS.
- ☐ B. ONE OR MORE MINORS WERE SECURELY DETAINED FOR MORE THAN 6, BUT NOT IN EXCESS OF 24 HOURS.
- ☐ C. ONE OR MORE MINORS WERE SECURELY DETAINED IN EXCESS OF 24 HOURS.

**Important Note:** Those agencies that held one or more minors in secure detention for any length of time are required to submit monthly reports. See reporting requirements on reverse side.

**COMMENTS:**

Signature of Reporting Person	Title	
Print or Type Name	Telephone No.	Date
Signature of Department Head	Title	

# ANNUAL SURVEY OF CALIFORNIA JAILS AND LOCKUPS

## INSTRUCTIONS

First, read the definitions of *nonsecure custody* and *secure detention* below. Then carefully read each statement in Section I on front page. Check those that pertain to procedures in your facility during the preceding year.

**If you checked Box C** in Section I—signifying that one or more minors were held in secure detention for any period of time—complete Section II. Then sign and return form.

### Monthly Reporting Requirements

If you checked Box C in Section I, or any box in Section II, you are required to submit a report each month to the Youth Authority, even if no minors were held in secure detention during a given month. The report requires information on the number of minors held in secure detention and for what length of time. A supply of blank monthly report forms may be obtained from the address below.

PLEASE SUBMIT YOUR COMPLETED ANNUAL SURVEY BY FEBRUARY 15, 1993 TO:

Department of the Youth Authority  
Research Division, Jail Data Section  
4241 Williamsborough Drive, Ste. 216  
Sacramento, CA 95823  
Telephone (916) 262-1493

## DEFINITIONS

**Minor:** A person under 18 years of age.

**Jail:** Any building which contains a locked facility, the purpose of which is to detain adults charged with violations of criminal law pending trial or to hold convicted adult criminal offenders sentenced for less than one year. A minor may be securely confined in a jail only if found unfit for juvenile court for an offense listed in 707(b) WIC. (See Section 207.1(b) WIC.)

**Lockup:** Any locked room or secure enclosure under the control of a sheriff or other peace officer which is primarily for the temporary confinement of adults upon arrest. A minor may be securely confined in a lockup only as provided in Section 207.1(d) WIC.

**Nonsecure Custody:** "Nonsecure custody" means that a minor's freedom is controlled by the staff of the facility; and (1) the minor is under constant personal visual observation and supervision by staff of the law enforcement facility; (2) the minor is not locked in a room or enclosure; and (3) the minor is not physically secured to a cuffing rail or other stationary object.

**Secure Detention:** Occurs when a person is either (1) detained in a jail or (2) locked in a room/enclosure or secure to a cuffing rail or other stationary object while in custody in a law enforcement facility--for any length of time.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
DEPARTMENT OF THE YOUTH AUTHORITY  
**MONTHLY REPORT ON THE SECURE DETENTION OF  
MINORS IN JAILS/LOCKUPS**  
YA 10.402 (4/93)

APPENDIX C

Agency Name (or address label)

Period Covered by Report (Month and Year)

--	--	--	--

(1-4)

Agency Code (From Label)

--	--	--	--	--	--

(6-10)

--	--

(12)  
J or L

**INSTRUCTIONS:** Complete this form the first of each month for the preceding month. A form must be completed for each month even if no minors were securely detained that month.

- If no minors were securely detained in your facility during the month, complete only Section I, sign and return the form.
- If one or more minors were securely detained for any length of time during the month, complete Section II, sign, and return the form.

**DEFINITIONS:** For purposes of this report "Secure Detention" occurs when a minor (under 18) is either: (1) detained in a jail or (2) locked in a room/enclosure and/or secured to a cuffing rail or other stationary object while in custody in a law enforcement facility.

**MINORS SECURELY DETAINED IN THIS FACILITY DURING THE MONTH**

I. ☐ Check here if no minors were securely confined during the month. Omit Section II, sign and return form.

II. Number of Minors Securely Detained This Month:

Reason for Confinement	6 Hours or Less	Over 6 But Not More Than 24 Hours	More Than 24 Hours	Total: For Any Length of Time																
WIC 602 Offense	<table><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table> (14-16)					<table><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table> (18-20)					<table><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table> (22-24)					= <table><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table> (26-28)				
WIC 707(b) Remand (Unfit for Juvenile Court)	<table><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table> (30-32)					<table><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table> (34-36)					<table><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table> (38-40)					= <table><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table> (42-44)				

**Example:** If 12 minors were detained, enter 

	1	2
--	---	---

; or if 6 minors were detained, enter 

		6
--	--	---

. If no minors were held in a category leave box blank. Add numbers across each row and enter total in last set of boxes to the right.

Signature of Reporting Person	Title	
Print or Type Name	Telephone No.	Date
Signature of Department Head	Title	

Upon completion, please return to the address on the reverse side by the 10th of each month. For forms or information call (916) 262-1493.



## APPENDIX D

### Jail Facilities That Confined Minors During 1992

Jail Facility	No. of Minors Confined, by Length of Stay			
	Less Than 24 Hrs.	Over 24 Hrs. W&I 602	Over 24 Hrs. W&I 707	Total
Lake Co. Jail <sup>a</sup>	n/a	0	0	n/a
L.A. Co. Jail - Sybil Brand	1	1	0	2
L.A. Co. Jail - Hall of Justice	0	0	71	71
L.A. Co. - Central Jail <sup>b</sup>	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Orange Co. Jail	4	0	57	61
Sacramento Co. - Rio Consumnes	1	0	0	1
San Luis Obispo Co. Jail	0	0	2	2
Tulare Co. Jail	0	0	9	9
Total Minors in 8 Facilities	6	1 <sup>c</sup>	139	146

<sup>a</sup>Lake Co. Jail reported via the annual survey that one or more minors had been securely confined, but none for over 24 hours. Number of confinements not available.

<sup>b</sup>L.A. Co. Central Jail reported in the annual survey that one or more minors were confined over 24 hours, but number of such confinements was not available.

<sup>c</sup>One other minor was held over 24 hours in the Placer County Tahoe Sheriff's Station, classified as a lock-up.



# APPENDIX E

## Agencies Reporting Confinement of Minors During 1992: Change in Confinements From 1991 and Maximum Length of Confinement in 1992

Agency Name	Total Annual Confinements			Maximum Confinement in 1992		
	1991	1992	Change	6 Hours or Less	Over 6, Not More Than 24	Over 24 Hrs.
<b>ALAMEDA COUNTY</b>						
Alameda PD	10	30	20	30	0	0
Berkeley PD	394	323	-71	323	0	0
Dublin PD	N/A	1	1	1	0	0
Hayward PD	323	268	-55	268	0	0
Livermore PD	101	14	-87	14	0	0
Newark PD	255	26	-229	26	0	0
Oakland Youth Services	2,127	1,882	-245	1,882	0	0
San Leandro PD	20	18	-2	18	0	0
Union City PD	22	20	-2	19	1	0
<b>BUTTE COUNTY</b>						
Chico PD	289	325	36	315	10	0
Oroville PD <sup>a</sup>	N/A	22	22	22	0	0
Paradise PD	141	1-7	-35	106	0	0
<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY</b>						
Antioch PD	165	109	-56	109	0	0
Brentwood PD	119	133	14	133	0	0
Concord PD	144	1	-143	1	0	0
El Cerrito PD	5	8	3	8	0	0
Martinez PD	14	9	-5	9	0	0
Pinole PD	146	119	-27	119	0	0
Pleasant Hill PD	81	59	-22	59	0	0
San Pablo PD	261	222	-39	219	3	0
Walnut Creek PD	131	73	-58	73	0	0
<b>EL DORADO COUNTY</b>						
Placerville PD	10	4	-6	4	0	0
<b>FRESNO COUNTY</b>						
Clovis PD	543	643	100	639	4	0
Coalinga PD	76	60	-16	60	0	0
Firebaugh PD	63	2	-61	2	0	0
Fresno PD	35	22	-13	22	0	0
Huron PD <sup>b</sup>	N/A	N/A				
Reedley PD	87	45	-42	39	6	0
Selma PD	179	151	-28	146	5	0



## APPENDIX E (Continued)

Agency Name	Total Annual Confinements			Maximum Confinement in 1992		
	1991	1992	Change	6 Hours or Less	Over 6, Not More Than 24	Over 24 Hrs.
<b>HUMBOLDT COUNTY</b>						
Hoopa SO	55	32	-23	32	0	0
Eureka PD	17	17	0	17	0	0
Fortuna PD	4	2	-2	2	0	0
<b>IMPERIAL COUNTY</b>						
Winterhaven PD <sup>b</sup>	N/A	N/A				
Calexico PD	211	312	101	312	0	0
El Centro PD	46	79	33	79	0	0
<b>KERN COUNTY</b>						
Arvin PD	147	1	-146	1	0	0
Bakersfield PD	2	3	1	3	0	0
Taft PD	41	58	17	58	0	0
<b>KINGS COUNTY</b>						
Corcoran PD	55	39	-16	39	0	0
Hanford PD	30	45	15	45	0	0
Lemoore PD	40	37	-3	37	0	0
<b>LAKE COUNTY</b>						
Lake PD <sup>b</sup>	N/A	N/A				
<b>LOS ANGELES COUNTY</b>						
<b>SHERIFF'S FACILITIES</b>						
LASO Antelope Valley	31	14	-17	14	0	0
LASO Avalon <sup>a</sup>	N/A	17	17	8	9	0
LASO Carson	101	44	-57	44	0	0
LASO Central	N/A	N/A				
LASO Crescenta Valley	51	73	22	70	3	0
LASO East Los Angeles	44	25	-19	25	0	0
LASO Firestone	133	120	-13	113	7	0
LASO Hall of Justice	90	71	-19	0	0	71
LASO Industry	907	311	-596	311	0	0
LASO Lakewood	1,979	1,028	-951	1,028	0	0
LASO Lennox	16	31	15	31	0	0
LASO Lomita	46	6	-40	6	0	0
LASO Lost Hills	1	23	22	22	1	0
LASO Norwalk	32	431	399	431	0	0
LASO Pico Rivera	41	23	-18	23	0	0
LASO Santa Clarita Valley	40	45	5	45	0	0
LASO Sybil Brand	9	2	-7	1	0	1
LASO Temple City	404	76	-328	74	2	0
LASO Walnut	130	102	-28	102	0	0
LASO West Hollywood	18	2	-16	2	0	0

APPENDIX E (Continued)

Agency Name	Total Annual Confinements			Maximum Confinement in 1992		
	1991	1992	Change	6 Hours or Less	Over 6, Not More Than 24	Over 24 Hrs.
<b>POLICE DEPARTMENTS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY</b>						
Arcadia PD	109	61	-48	61	0	0
Azusa PD	313	302	-11	300	2	0
Baldwin Park PD <sup>c</sup>	255	204	-51	204	0	0
Bell Gardens PD	334	484	150	484	0	0
Bell PD	209	270	61	268	2	0
Beverly Hills PD	1	1	0	1	0	0
Burbank PD	4	6	2	4	2	0
Claremont PD	150	55	-95	51	4	0
Compton PD	1,080	1,041	-39	1,041	0	0
Covina PD	44	29	-15	29	0	0
Culver City PD	32	43	11	43	0	0
Downey PD	29	15	-14	15	0	0
El Monte PD	441	345	-96	345	0	0
El Segundo PD	2	11	9	11	0	0
Gardena PD	146	95	-51	95	0	0
Glendale PD	293	151	-142	138	13	0
Glendora PD	29	39	10	39	0	0
Hawthorne PD	166	124	-42	120	4	0
Hermosa Beach PD	54	56	2	56	0	0
Huntington Park PD	72	19	-53	19	0	0
Inglewood PD	483	73	-410	67	6	0
LAPD 77th Street	969	487	-482	487	0	0
LAPD Central	366	343	-23	343	0	0
LAPD Devonshire	88	98	10	98	0	0
LAPD Foothill	164	155	-9	155	0	0
LAPD Harbor	736	632	-104	632	0	0
LAPD Hollenbeck	534	465	-69	465	0	0
LAPD Hollywood	253	83	-170	83	0	0
LAPD Newton Street	1,258	165	-1,093	165	0	0
LAPD No. Hollywood	628	451	-177	451	0	0
LAPD Northeast Division	303	240	-63	240	0	0
LAPD Pacific	262	325	63	325	0	0
LADP Parker	50	1	-49	1	0	0
LAPD Rampart	920	843	-77	842	1	0
LAPD Southeast LA	735	567	-168	567	0	0
LAPD Southwest Div.	404	405	1	405	0	0
LAPD Van Nuys	206	183	-23	183	0	0
LAPD West Valley	8	30	22	30	0	0
LAPD West Los Angeles	330	151	-179	151	0	0
LAPD Wilshire	319	124	-195	124	0	0

APPENDIX E (Continued)

Agency Name	Total Annual Confinements			Maximum Confinement in 1992		
	1991	1992	Change	6 Hours or Less	Over 6, Not More Than 24	Over 24 Hrs.
<b>POLICE DEPARTMENTS IN L.A. COUNTY (Cont'd)</b>						
Laverne PD	17	4	-13	4	0	0
Los Angeles Airport <sup>a</sup>	N/A	7	7	7	0	0
Maywood PD	132	85	-47	84	1	0
Monrovia PD	93	22	-71	21	1	0
Monterey Park PD	18	15	-3	13	2	0
Palos Verdes PD	81	34	-47	34	0	0
Pasadena PD	8	3	-5	3	0	0
Pomona PD	1,119	887	-232	887	0	0
Redondo Beach PD	99	43	-56	38	5	0
San Fernando PD	108	182	74	182	0	0
San Gabriel PD	322	178	-144	178	0	0
San Marino PD	20	4	-16	4	0	0
Santa Monica PD	278	293	15	293	0	0
Signal Hill PD	74	60	-14	60	0	0
So. Pasadena PD	69	104	35	104	0	0
South Gate PD	230	56	-174	53	3	0
Torrance PD	59	52	-7	49	3	0
Vernon PD	95	75	-20	75	0	0
West Covina <sup>a</sup>	N/A	4	4	4	0	0
Whittier PD	674	598	-76	591	7	0
<b>MARIN COUNTY</b>						
Mill Valley PD	82	66	-16	66	0	0
Novato PD	15	5	-10	5	0	0
San Anselmo PD	31	7	-24	7	0	0
San Rafael PD	67	46	-21	46	0	0
Sausalito PD	8	2	-6	2	0	0
Twin Cities PD	1	1	0	1	0	0
<b>MENDOCINO COUNTY</b>						
Fort Bragg PD	90	159	69	159	0	0
<b>MERCED COUNTY</b>						
Atwater PD	24	27	3	27	0	0
Merced PD	14	22	8	22	0	0
<b>MONTEREY COUNTY</b>						
Carmel PD	3	9	6	9	0	0
Gonzales PD	61	110	49	110	0	0
Greenfield PD	16	8	-8	8	0	0
King City PD <sup>a</sup>	N/A	10	10	10	0	0
Marina Dept. Public Safety	1	18	17	18	0	0
Monterey PD	200	58	-142	58	0	0

APPENDIX E (Continued)

Agency Name	Total Annual Confinements			Maximum Confinement in 1992		
	1991	1992	Change	6 Hours or Less	Over 6, Not More Than 24	Over 24 Hrs.
<b>MONTEREY COUNTY (Cont'd)</b>						
Pacific Grove PD	154	120	-34	120	0	0
Salinas PD	82	31	51	31	0	0
Seaside PD	204	210	6	210	0	0
Soledad PD <sup>a</sup>	N/A	33	33	33	0	0
<b>NAPA COUNTY</b>						
Calistoga PD <sup>a</sup>	N/A	27	27	27	0	0
Napa PD	11	15	4	15	0	0
St. Helena PD	8	5	-3	5	0	0
<b>ORANGE COUNTY</b>						
Orange Co. Jail	60	61	1	2	2	57
Anaheim PD <sup>a</sup>	N/A	11	11	11	0	0
Brea PD	11	9	-2	8	1	0
Buena Park PD	135	73	-62	72	1	0
Cypress PD	42	24	-18	24	0	0
Fountain Valley PD	49	57	8	53	4	0
Fullerton PD	186	288	102	288	0	0
Garden Grove PD	15	11	-4	11	0	0
Huntington Beach PD	48	94	46	87	7	0
Irvine PD	193	185	-8	176	9	0
La Habra PD	30	12	-18	10	2	0
La Palma PD	4	5	1	5	0	0
Laguna Beach PD	34	32	-2	32	0	0
Los Alamitos PD <sup>b</sup>	N/A	N/A				
Newport Beach PD	23	12	-11	11	1	0
Orange PD	349	183	-65	281	3	0
Placentia PD	23	15	-8	9	6	0
San Clemente PD	115	1	-114	1	0	0
Santa Ana PD	535	754	219	753	1	0
Seal Beach PD	20	7	-13	7	0	0
Westminster PD	278	319	41	316	3	0
<b>PLACER COUNTY</b>						
Placer So. Tahoe <sup>a</sup>	N/A	2	2	1	0	1
Rocklin PD	13	6	-7	6	0	0
<b>RIVERSIDE COUNTY</b>						
Elsinore SO	188	198	10	198	0	0
Hemet SO	127	122	-5	122	0	0
Lake Hemet SO <sup>a</sup>	N/A	4	4	4	0	0
Moreno SO	1,004	922	-82	899	23	0
Palm Desert SO <sup>b</sup>	N/A	N/A				
Riverside Station <sup>a</sup>	N/A	3	3	3	0	0

APPENDIX E (Continued)

Agency Name	Total Annual Confinements			Maximum Confinement in 1992		
	1991	1992	Change	6 Hours or Less	Over 6, Not More Than 24	Over 24 Hrs.
<b>RIVERSIDE COUNTY (Cont'd)</b>						
Temecula SO <sup>a</sup>	N/A	117	117	117	0	0
Cathedral City PD	175	123	-52	122	1	0
Corona PD	358	324	-34	310	14	0
Hemet PD	76	56	-20	47	9	0
Indio PD	99	136	37	136	0	0
Palm Springs PD	41	55	14	53	2	0
Perris PD	34	20	-14	19	1	0
San Jacinto PD <sup>b</sup>	N/A	N/A				
<b>SACRAMENTO COUNTY</b>						
Rio Consumes SO	1	1	0	1	0	0
Folsom PD	94	142	48	142	9	0
Galt PD	48	23	-25	23	0	0
Sacramento PD	352	189	-163	189	0	0
Sacramento PD South	5	22	17	22	0	0
<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY</b>						
Morongo SO	153	169	16	168	1	0
Twin Peaks SO	79	35	-44	35	0	0
Victor Valley SO <sup>b</sup>	N/A	N/A				
Yucaipa PD	1	4	3	4	0	0
Adelanto PD	33	21	-12	19	2	0
Barstow PD	103	61	-42	61	0	0
Chino PD	572	506	-66	506	0	0
Colton PD	318	374	56	366	8	0
Fontana PD	161	26	-135	26	0	0
Montclair PD	31	15	-16	14	1	0
Ontario PD	176	186	10	183	3	0
Redlands PD	440	301	-139	301	0	0
Rialto PD	325	126	-199	122	4	0
Upland PD	79	54	-25	54	0	0
<b>SAN DIEGO COUNTY</b>						
Encinitas SO	45	10	-35	8	2	0
Imperial Beach SO	81	53	-28	51	2	0
Lemon Grove SO	75	104	29	95	9	0
Poway SO	11	14	3	12	2	0
Ramona SO	12	38	26	38	0	0
San Marcos SO <sup>b</sup>	N/A	N/A				
Santee SO	257	169	-88	169	0	0
Valley Center SO <sup>b</sup>	N/A	N/A				
Carlsbad PD	52	27	-25	27	0	0
Chula Vista PD	90	15	-75	15	0	0
Coronado PD	23	21	-2	21	0	0

APPENDIX E (Continued)

Agency Name	Total Annual Confinements			Maximum Confinement in 1992		
	1991	1992	Change	6 Hours or Less	Over 6, Not More Than 24	Over 24 Hrs.
<b>SAN DIEGO COUNTY (Cont'd)</b>						
El Cajon PD	110	27	-83	27	0	0
Escondido PD	23	5	-18	5	0	0
La Mesa PD	218	11	-207	11	0	0
National City PD	85	39	-46	39	0	0
Oceanside PD	302	303	1	301	2	0
San Diego PD	66	36	-30	35	1	0
SDPD Eastern	200	213	13	208	5	0
SDPD Northeastern	70	48	-22	48	0	0
SDPD Northern	147	55	-92	55	0	0
SDPD Southeastern	114	62	-52	61	1	0
SDPD Southern	178	261	83	260	1	0
SDPD Western	218	56	-162	56	1	0
<b>SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY</b>						
SFPD Southern	48	63	15	63	0	0
SFPD Central	164	179	15	179	0	0
SFPD Ingleside	109	135	26	135	0	0
SFPD Mission	118	271	153	271	0	0
SFPD Northern	139	175	36	175	0	0
SFPD Park	35	105	70	105	0	0
SFPD Potrero	307	202	-105	202	0	0
SFPD Richmond	80	56	-24	56	0	0
SFPD Taraval	282	194	-88	194	0	0
SFPD Tenderloin <sup>a</sup>	N/A	119	119	119	0	0
<b>SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY</b>						
Lodi PD	22	12	-10	12	0	0
Manteca PD	194	82	-112	82	0	0
Ripon PD	37	47	10	46	1	0
Stockton PD	92	58	-34	58	0	0
<b>SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY</b>						
San Luis Obispo Co. Jail	0	2	2	0	0	2
Arroyo Grande PD	88	9	-79	9	0	0
Grover City PD	45	49	4	49	0	0
Morro Bay PD	26	26	0	26	0	0
Paso Robles PD	22	26	4	26	0	0
Pismo Beach PD	22	17	-5	17	0	0
San Luis Obispo PD	63	12	-51	12	0	0
<b>SAN MATEO COUNTY</b>						
Atherton PD	8	2	-6	2	0	0
Belmont PD	30	5	-25	5	0	0

**APPENDIX E (Continued)**

Agency Name	Total Annual Confinements			Maximum Confinement in 1992		
	1991	1992	Change	6 Hours or Less	Over 6, Not More Than 24	Over 24 Hrs.
<b>SAN MATEO COUNTY (Cont'd)</b>						
Burlingame PD	28	5	-23	5	0	0
Daly City PD	25	17	-8	17	0	0
East Palo Alto PD	33	75	42	75	0	0
Half Moon Bay PD	20	22	2	22	0	0
Menlo Park PD	13	13	0	13	0	0
Pacifica PD	23	14	-9	14	0	0
Redwood City PD	33	6	-27	6	0	0
San Bruno PD	182	166	-16	166	0	0
San Carlos PD	20	17	-3	17	0	0
San Mateo PD	75	20	-55	20	0	0
So. San Francisco PD	36	5	-31	5	0	0
<b>SANTA BARBARA COUNTY</b>						
Carpinteria PD	11	4	-7	4	0	0
Lompoc PD	6	10	4	10	0	0
Santa Barbara PD	198	30	-168	30	0	0
Santa Maria PD	50	78	28	78	0	0
<b>SANTA CLARA COUNTY</b>						
Campbell PD	111	36	-75	36	0	0
Gilroy PD	118	21	-97	21	0	0
Los Altos PD	31	26	-5	26	0	0
Los Gatos PD	28	8	-20	8	0	0
Milpitas PD	179	181	2	181	0	0
Morgan Hill PD	143	98	-45	98	0	0
Mountain View PD	144	155	11	154	1	0
Palo Alto PD	98	82	-16	82	0	0
Santa Clara PD	266	122	-144	119	3	0
Sunnyvale PD	137	117	-20	117	0	0
<b>SHASTA COUNTY</b>						
Anderson PD <sup>a</sup>	N/A	3	3	3	0	0
Redding PD	40	19	-21	19	0	0
<b>SOLANO COUNTY</b>						
Benicia PD	73	119	46	116	3	0
Dixon PD <sup>a</sup>	N/A	63	63	63	0	0
Fairfield PD	271	330	59	330	0	0
Rio Vista PD	2	12	10	12	0	0
Vacaville PD <sup>b</sup>	N/A	N/A				
Vallejo PD	179	210	31	210	0	0

## APPENDIX E (Continued)

Agency Name	Total Annual Confinements			Maximum Confinement in 1992		
	1991	1992	Change	6 Hours or Less	Over 6, Not More Than 24	Over 24 Hrs.
<b>SONOMA COUNTY</b>						
Cloverdale PD	50	39	-11	39	0	0
Cotati PD	23	13	-10	13	0	0
Healdsburg PD	57	36	-21	36	0	0
Petaluma PD	62	31	-31	31	0	0
Rohnert Park	40	25	-15	25	0	0
Santa Rosa PD	68	61	-7	61	0	0
Sebastopol PD	65	42	-23	42	0	0
Sonoma PD	3	1	-2	1	0	0
<b>STANISLAUS COUNTY</b>						
Ceres PD <sup>b</sup>	N/A	N/A				
Oakdale PD	54	23	-31	23	0	0
Turlock PD	35	10	-25	10	0	0
<b>SUTTER COUNTY</b>						
Yuba City PD	54	3	-51	3	0	0
<b>TEHAMA COUNTY</b>						
Red Bluff PD	59	44	-15	44	0	0
<b>TULARE COUNTY</b>						
Tulare Co. Jail	0	9	9	0	0	9
Dinuba PD	127	68	-59	67	1	0
Porterville PD	473	642	169	642	0	0
Tulare PD	59	37	-22	36	1	0
Visalia PD	42	56	14	56	0	0
<b>VENTURA COUNTY</b>						
SO East Valley	50	89	39	89	0	0
SO Ojai	54	34	-20	34	0	0
SO Santa Clara Valley	186	123	-63	123	0	0
SO West	21	25	4	25	0	0
Port Hueneme PD	51	20	-31	20	0	0
Santa Paula PD	157	221	64	221	0	0
Simi Valley PD	41	18	-23	16	2	0
Ventura PD <sup>a</sup>	N/A	41	41	41	0	0
<b>YOLO COUNTY</b>						
Davis PD	11	9	-2	9	0	0
West Sacramento PD	274	270	-4	270	0	0
Woodland PD	176	190	14	190	0	0



APPENDIX E (Continued)

Agency Name	Total Annual Confinements			Maximum Confinement in 1992		
	1991	1992	Change	6 Hours or Less	Over 6, Not More Than 24	Over 24 Hrs.
YUBA COUNTY Marysville PD	90	89	-1	89	0	0
STATE TOTAL						
No. Confinements	45,270	34,995	-10,275	34,601	253	141
No. Agencies	299	311	+12			

N/A = Not available.

<sup>a</sup>Began reporting confinements in 1992.

<sup>b</sup>Via 1992 annual survey, this agency reported securely confining one or more minors. Will begin submitting monthly reports in 1993.

<sup>c</sup>Baldwin Park PD also held minors for the Baldwin Park School District Police.