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this convenient ratio does not tell the entire story. There is evidence to indicate that children of offenders often become the next generation's offenders. And when 67 per cent of our sample of interviewees at the Correction Institution for Women in New York indicate that they have childrens this does not bode well for the future.

Acting on our mandate that we draw attention to the problems of women prisoners and emphasize their need for services, the WPA initiated in July, 1972 a study of women prisoners on both a local and national level. The study was made possible by a travel-study grant from the Ford Foundation. This report reflects the findings and conclusions of two surveys. which were conducted by the travelatuay grantee.

The Introduction is a discussion of trends in female crime, statistical information on women prisoners, and an over-view of some of their problems

Part I gives the results of a survey conducted at the New York City Correction Institution for Women. Mris survey was aimed at developing an inmate profile for that institution.

Part II is the compilation of a national survey, the result of a mailing to approximately 250 correction institutions and ageneles that deal with female offenders.

The surveys were conducted over a three month period. July, 1972 - October 15, 1972. This was not adequate time
to follow up on many of the questions that were raised, and with the national survey, did not allow for on-site visits to confirm the response to questions regarding programe and services for female offenders. Also we found that in many States densgraphic data is not maintained on the prison population. Still we were encouraged by the response - approximately one-third of the questionnaires were returned. Many of the respondents pointed out the need for such a survey and joined with us in criticizing the lack of research, and ultimately, the lack of concern for women prisoners.

We hope that the information in this report will aid those instituitions and agencies that work with women offenders, and that it will prompt other groups and individuals to give both their time and resource to improving methods of assisting these women.

Finally, we hope that this information will be of interegt to those in the criminal justice system - the police, judges, and correction officials, and that it will improve their knowledge of the women who come under their jurisdiction.

## INTRODUCTION

I. FEMALE CRTME STATISTICS AND TRENDS
A. Female Crime Doubles in Last Decade

The ratio of male to female arrests for 1970 was 6 to 1. The arrests of women made up 17 per cent of the serious or Crime Index type offenses. Women were involved in 19 per cent of all property arrests and 10 per cent of the arrests for violent crimes. While this data may seem reassuring, a look at long-term trends changes the picture. Arrests rates for females have more than doubled in the past decade compared With the rates for males. Over-all, arrests of women for violent crimes increased 69 per cent from 1.960 to 1970 while the total crime rate for women rose 74 per cent during that decade. The percentage increase in the total crime rate for men was 25 per cent. ${ }^{1)}$
B. Ilise in Female Crime Related to Female Emancipation

In the absence of reliable data, we have to speculate on the reasons for this increase in female crime however we can safely suggest that changes in female criminal behavior result in part from female emancipation and increased overt behavior. It has been

1) Eederal Bureau of Investigatior: U.S. Department of 1) Eederal Bureau of Investigatior, Crime Reports Justice, Crime in the U.S.' Uniform Crime Reports -
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asserted that female crime will increase in direct proportion to the increase in emancipation, and that as emancipation becomes more evident, the degree of chivalry on the part of male dominated law enforcement agencies will decline. If we accept this proposition, then we can expect female crime to continue to increase.
C. Sex-Based Legal Discrimination Continues to Exist Sex-based legal aiscrimination continues to exist at many levels of the cximinal justice system. One of the more pronounced examples of statutory sexbased differences is Pennsylvania's Muncy Act. It prescribes different and frequently more severe treatment for females than males for the same crime. Other laws, under which women can be sentenced for longex terms than men are found in Connecticut, Maryland, Ohio, Massachusetts, and Kansas. ${ }^{2)}$ primary among these types of crime is prostitution in which its definition labels as criminal the conduct of oniy the woman.
II. WOMEN IN THE CORRECTION SYSTEM
A. No Reliable Statistics on Women Prisoners

Of 21,000 federal prisoners, the Federal Bureau of

[^0]Prisons indicates that approximately 1,000 are women. There are no reliable statistics on the number of women in state, county, and local institutions; however one source indicates that of the third of a million prisoners in the country, 5 par cent are adult women. ${ }^{3)}$ This would account for approximately 18,000 women; however we would emphasize the fact that this figure is only an estimation, and suggest that it is underestimated since there has never been a comprehensive survey done on women in county and lockl jails.
B. Female Insticutions Patterned After Male Institutions

Most women's institutions $2 r e$ pactexned after male facilities. Special requirements for women and necessary differences in programs are often ignored. In many State and local correctional agencies, the small number of female offenders necessitates that they be housed in a separate wing or a segregated area of a male institution. This arrangement often leads to total isolation and litele participation in rehabilitation and recreation programs. Although most correction officials readily agree that women present less of a security problem during incarceration, the actuality remains that most women's

[^1] Post Series, Pocket Book Edition, 1972, p. 82.
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institutions are maintained under the same atrict, maximum security measures as in male facilities.
C. Women Prisoners Have Avallable to Them Fewex Services While most male institutions have some services in the areas of education, vocational training, drug addiction, etc.. women's institutions have fewer resources. Often women are Included in these programs as an after-thought with little consideration given to the special problems of women or their needs on release It is difficult to determine the amount of federal crim control funds that go toward programs for women, but many people feel that women do not get their proportionate share.
D. Women Prisoners Have Special Problems

Women offenders often carry more burdens than other women. Our surveys indicate that the vast majority of female offenders have children, and that they are the sole means of support for these children. The social stigma of having been a convicted criminal is gieater for women than for men, making their return to their family, their community, and to society in general more difficult. Employment opportunities, limited enough for male offenders, are even scarcer for women, and job training programs almost non-existent.
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E. The Children of Women Prisoners Present Additional Problems

The children of women prisoners often become indirect victims. When women are arrested and sent to pxison, littie concern is given to the welfare of their children. In 1971, the WPA initiated the Children of the Offender Program in an attempt to identify exactly what happens to these children. Staff members worked with 123 such children during the first phase of the program. Of these 123,93 were public charges, supported either by public assistance funds or foster care funds. Eleven axe in institutions. Sixteen were born addicted, three are drug addicts, and eight have already been in conflict with the law. Although there are agencies mandated by law to protect the rights of these children, numerous service gaps exist, and in many cases thege agencles are not aware of the whereabouts of the children, nor are they cognizant of their special needs.

## PART I

## Inmate Profile at NYC Correction Institution for Women

The New York City Department of Correction does not comple detailed demographic information on offenders under its jurisdiction, therefore in the past, it has been difficult to identify exactly who the female offenders are in the New Yoxk City area. sstimates have been used, but exact information on their age, race, educational level, sarital status, criminal record, etc. has not been available.

The questionnaire used in gathering the information in this survey was developed by WPA and the travel-study grantee. It was administered by Correction Rides, a new social service group of employees in the Department of Corxection. Approximately ten Aides participated in this survey. since we were interested in determining exactly how female prisoners perceived themselves, the Aides were instructed to record verbmatim the response to the questions. Because of time and the lack of information, it was not possible to verify the responses. However, the data was shared with the Superintendent of the institution and her assessment was that the findings are consistent with her knowledge.

The NYC Correctional Institution for Women houses women from all five boroughs of New York. It serves as both a
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detention and a short term sentence institution. The population ranges around 550 females. On October 21, 1972, the sentence population was 250 and the detention population zwalting trial was 388. Women from the New York City area who are sentenced to over a year are sent to Bedford Hills, the New York Stat? Institution for Women; however some women serving consecutive sentences stay longer than the one year

Cur sample involved 158 women, 93 detention, and 65 sentenced. 'Mis represents one-fourth of the inmate population.

DEMOGRAPHIC INPORMATION
TABLE 1

|  |  |  | ETHNIC |  |  |  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  | MARITAL STATUS |  |  |  |  |  | RELIGION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | No. of <br> Female <br> Offend- <br> ers | \% | White | Black | P.R. | No Response | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less } \\ & \text { than } \\ & \text { 10th } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 10 \mathrm{th}- \\ & 12 \mathrm{th} \end{aligned}\right.$ | H.S. Equiv. | coll. | No Fesponse | Single | varc. | Sep. | Div. | Wid. | No <br> Re- <br> sponse | Prot. | Cath. | Mus 1ía | None |
| 17-22 yrs. | 41 | 26 | 3 | 32 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 25 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 33 | 5 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 28 | 9 | 1 | 3 |
| 22-30 yrs . | 81 | 51 | 7 | 60 | 11 | 3 | 12 | 48 | 9 | 12 |  | 45 | 26 | 5 | 4 | 1 |  | 44 | 14 | 6 | 17 |
| 30-40 yrs. | 22 | 14 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 13 |  | 2 |  | 10 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| 40 yrs. \& Over | 8 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 |  | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 4 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 1 |
| No Response | 6 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 3 |  | 2 |
| TOTAL | 158 |  | 14 | 117 | 21 | 6 | 32 | 90 | 15 | 20 | 1 | 89 | 41 | 13 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 87 | 37 | 8 | 26 |
| Percentage |  | 1007 | 9\% | 74\% | 13\% | 4\% | 20\% | 57\% | 9\% | 14\% |  | 56\% | 26\% | 8\% | 6\% | 4\% |  | $55 \%$ | 23\% | $6 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
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TABLE 2

| Length of Stay for Detainees |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of <br> Women | $\%$ |
| 1 day - 2 wks. | 6 | 6 |
| 2 wks. - 1 mo. | 7 | 7 |
| 1 mo. - 2 mos. | 23 | 25 |
| 2 mos. - 4 mos. | 25 | 27 |
| 4 mos. - 6 mos. | 11 | 12 |
| 6 mos. - 1 yr. | 9 | 10 |
| More than 1 yr. | 3 | 3 |
| Not Indicated | 9 | 10 |
| TOTAL | 93 | $100 \%$ |

TARLE 3
Length of Stay for Sentenced Offenders

|  | No. of <br> Women | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 day - 2 wks. |  | 0 |
| 2 wks. - 1 mo. | 3 | 5 |
| 1 mo. -2 mos. | 5 | 8 |
| 2 mos. -4 mos. | 18 | 27 |
| 4 mos. -6 mos. | 3 | 5 |
| 6 mos. - 1 yr. | 16 | 25 |
| 1 yr. or more | 18 | 27 |
| Not Indicated | 2 | 3 |
| Total | 65 | $100 \%$ |



PLACE OF BIRTH
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TABLE 5
WOMEN WITH CHILDREN


TABLE 6
WHERE CHILDREN LIVE

|  | No. of <br> Children | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. With Mother/Grandmother/Family | 109 | 55 |
| 2. Father | 16 | 8 |
| 3. Foster Parents | 17 | 8 |
| 4. Court Custody/Sheltex | 10 | 5 |
| 5. Aunt | 15 | 7 |
| 6. Godparents | 3 | 1 |
| 7. Alone | 6 | 4 |
| 8. Father's Family | 2 | 1 |
| 9. Not Indicated | 21 | 11 |
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WOMEN WITH CHILDREN

Out of 158 women, 106 have children for a total of 199 children. Only one woman in the sample indicated that she was pregnant; however, the Superintendent indicated that approximately 20 women in the total population were usually pregnant.

104 women in the sample indicated that they were the heads of their households. This number matches with the 106 who have children.

Table 6 indicates where the children of these women are presently living. This information does not indicate how many of the children lived with their mothers before incarceration, nor does it reflect the length of stay at these locations. Only $8 \%$ of the children are in foster homes; however, some of those children whose mothers indicated that they lived with "family" might be in foster homes.

些AK: 7

## 

|  | D.ETEMPTOE |  |  |  |  | 77777 | 8.4x+2mend |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charges or Convictions | Ho. of Women | 8 | Previous Offensen | IVO prevtous OEfensen | sot <br> Indiceted | 77778 | Fo. OI Nomen | \% | Provious Offensea | Ho Previoue Offenmes | Lot Indicated |
| 1emornle |  |  |  |  |  | V7III) |  | 6\% |  |  |  |
| Proltitution |  |  |  |  |  | $7 / 7171$ | 4 |  | 2 | 2 |  |
| 2. Public order |  | $3 \times$ |  |  |  | V77\% |  | 5x |  |  |  |
| Ioitering | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | V77\% | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Posts. of Wenpon | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | V77) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Errasmment |  |  |  |  |  | [1717) | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Endnngering wel- frim of chid |  |  |  |  |  | IVIII I | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 3. Drage |  | 12\% |  |  |  | V77 1 d |  | 26\% |  |  |  |
| parennopor | 11 |  | 9 | 1 | 1 | V77] | 17 |  | 17 |  |  |
| Hemerron |  | 52\% |  |  |  | VI77 |  | 20\% |  |  |  |
| Emoncide | 12 |  | 5 | 4 | 3 | $77 / 8$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roblery | 31 |  | 20 | 8 | 3 | VIIII | 12 |  | 9 | 1 | 2 |
| A ${ }_{\text {Blantut }}$ | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | VIIII 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 5. Property |  | 18\% |  |  |  | $7 / 71$ |  | 18\% |  |  |  |
| Burglary | 5 |  | 4 |  | 1 | 7174 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Grand Inceny | 6 |  | 4 | 2 |  | 17110 | 6 |  | 5 | 1 |  |
| Petty Iarceny |  |  |  |  |  | VI77 | 4 |  | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mrion | 1 |  |  | 2 |  | 177 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forgery | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 7771 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pose. 8tolen Property <br> Mail orymer | 2 |  |  |  |  | 7777 |  |  |  |  |  |
| nfil oritnaki | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 17774 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conspiring to } \\ & \text { nmbesite } \end{aligned}$ | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1777 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Other |  | 15\% |  |  |  | 17710 |  | 25\% |  |  |  |
| Parole/Probntion | 4 |  | 3 |  | 1 | 177 | 4 |  | 4 |  |  |
| Mincellnnout | 6 |  | 3 | 3 |  | V77 | 3 |  | 4 |  |  |
| No Response. | 4 |  |  | 1 | 3 | V/7A | 8 |  | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| , rercorls | 93 |  | 57 | 24 | 12 | 177 | 65 |  | 52 | 10 | 3 |
| Percentages |  | 100\% | 62 | 25 | 13 | VIID |  | 100\% | 80 | 15 | 5 |



## ARPESMS AND COMVXCTHOESS

Information on arrenta mad convictiones indicate that contrary to the prevalilng iraprewaion wonen are not gotng to prison for prosttudion. oniy $6 \%$ of the sentenced wormen indicate a convicilon for prostitution, The following table reflecta alating of previons arrests or convictionas

| TABLE 8 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| \# of times <br> Mentioned |  |
| 52 | $\%$ |
| 34 | $34 \%$ |
| 35 | $22 \%$ |
| 10 | $23 \%$ |
| 22 | $6 \%$ |
| 2 | $14 \%$ |
| 155 | $10 \%$ |
|  |  |

For those women in detention crimen againter pergons mounted to the highest percentage of mareats. 12 wouen werc detained for howicide whexem under the aentenced population there wexe no convictiona for homictae. premumbly worm come picted for homicide would receive gentencem of owar a yamy. and thus would be sent to Bedford Filla.

Arrests and convictions for drug offenses have been entimated to be as high as $80 \%$ for offenders in the Now York City area. Information hero indicates much maller percentage; however, many of the permon and property offenses could be drug related.
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The recidivism rate in New York City has been estimated to be as high as 75\%. Information on women with previous arrests or convictions substantiate these estimates. In our sample, $62 \%$ of the detained women had previous records. A certain percentage of the $13 \%$ not responding probably have had previous arrests. $80 \%$ of the sentenced women have previous records

| TABLE 9SOUSCE OF IMCOMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Previous Employment |  |  |  | \%) Hexd Housenoia |  |  |
| Source of Income | Ho. of Females | \% | Yab | No | $\frac{\text { Not }}{\text { Saded }}$ | \%O |  |
| 1. Employment | 37 | 23 | 36 |  |  | 9 | 1 |
| 2. Welfare | 39 | 25 | 28 | 11 | 26 | 11 | 2 |
| 3. None | 32 | 20 | 18 | 14 | 19 | 13 |  |
| 4. Illegal Activities (Prostitution, Saie of Drugs. theft) | 17 | 11 | 10 | 7 |  | 10 |  |
| 5. Illegal Combined w/ Welfare | 5 | 4 | 5 |  | $5$ |  |  |
| 6. Illegal Combined $w /$ mployment | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |
| 7. Parents/Pamily | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  | 4 |  |
| B. Boyfriend | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 9. No Response | 21 | 13 | 15 | 4 | $2 \quad 17$ | 4 |  |
| Total | 158 |  | 115 | 40 | $3{ }^{104}$ | 51 | 3 |
| Percentage |  | 100\% | 73\% | 25x | $2 x$ 有 $65 \%$ | 33* | 2\% |

Hiere we were interested in finding out how the wonen perceived their means of livelihood. Although only $23 \%$ indicated that their source of incowe was mpioyment, the mority, 73\%, said they had been employed. The superintendent indicated thet she felt that the $73 \%$ figure was much too high. hao we did not take into account the length of exyloyment.

In regponae to the quastion "Do you consider yourgelf the laead of your particular household?" 65\% of the women susvered, yer. mis compares with the $67 \%$ who have childran. Also. $74 \%$ of the wowen were not married.


TABLE 10
2. Clemical2. Pactory3. Restaurent/FoodA. Talephone Co
5. raleph
5. Sines
6. Beautician
6. Beautician
7. Hurges Alde
8. Powt office
9. Benik Clerk/twilex
10. Dog Grooming
11. Enundry
12. IBR Xeypunch
13. Jewelry Painter
13. Jewelry Paint
14. Lab. Worker
15. Babysitting
16. P-Ray Technician
17. Paychiatric Attendant
18. Domestic
19. Dookeeper
19. Bookeeper
20. Drug Counselor
21. Cnild Care Worker
22. Inmurance Co.
23. Upholsterer
24. Dietician
25. Dental Technician
25. Dental Technician
26. Airline Trainee
27. Amertcan Trainee
28. Dept of Socitel i
28. Dept. of Social Serv. 1
29. Dapt. of Health
TONAL $\frac{1}{153}$
*These figures indicate the number of times these particular jobs were mentioned.


TABLE 11
Inatitutional Services

|  | Servicen | Services Available | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Services } \\ & \text { Utilized } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Socini Services | 53 | 32 |
| 2. | school | 54. | 32 |
| 3. | medical/Diagnomelc | 27 | 7 |
| 4. | Correction Alde Progrem | 35 | 23 |
| 5. | Legal mide | 34 | 12 |
| 6. | John Jay college | 19 | 15 |
| 7. | Arts/Crasts/Singing/Dancing | 15 | 4 |
| 8. | Religious Survices | 20 | 15 |
| 9. | Friendiy viadtors | 11 | 3 |
| 10. | Job training | 112 | 7 |
| 11. | Library | 2 | 1 |
| 12. | Gym | 2 | 1 |
| 13. | High School Equivalency | 8 | 2 |
| 14. | Inetitutional Jobs | 6 | 3 |
| 15. | Counseling | 14 | 8 |
| 16. | Methadone Maintenance | 3 | 2 |
| 27. | Haxlex Canfrontation | 3 | 2 |
| 18. | Drug Free Program | 2 | 2 |
| 19. | Village Haven | 1 | 1 |
| 20. | Saivation Arixy | 1 | 1 |
| 21. | sewing | 19 | 14 |
| 22. | Parole Counseling | 1 | 1 |
| 23. | Inmate Councli 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 24. | Sacond Cholcel | 1 | 1 |
| 25. | Beauty Culture | 8 | 6 |

[^2]
## TMSTXTYHTOMAE SERVICIS

In this table. services available reflect the women'a perception of aervicem und programs that exist in the ingtitution mervicer utilized indlcete their participation in theac programs. The figurem indicate the numbor of tisses Chese sarvices or program were mentioned. The survey and on-mite visits by the travelmbudy grantee attogt to the fact thet there ia no deartin of progran et the NYCCCIF\%, however, an agmemment lead ui to conclurds thot these servicem are poorly coordinated and ofton duplicate one mother. Trim has remited frow in inslux over the last fen years of a number of commanty gromps involved in carxying out program in the Inotitution, developmant which should be oncouraged; howevex over-all coordination of thase gromps and other gervicem mould be a prioxity of the Department of Correction to ingure that the greateat number of women are served.

## TABLE 12

1. None9
27
2. Better Medical Services27
3. Better Education Services ..... 18
4
4. More Counseling/Therapy
5. More Correction Aides
6. More Officers
7. More Officers
. Better Recreatio
8. Better Food
9. Better Clothes
10. Post Release Services
11. Arts/Crafts
12. More Legal Assistance
13. More Social Service Staff
14. Work Release Program
15. Better Social Service
16. Open Visits
17. Orientation to Prison Living
18. Better Understanding Between Officers \&
19. Better Mall Service
20. Telephones on Floors
21. Better Dental Services
22. Magazines/Newspapers
23. Religious Services
24. Better Court Procedures
25. More Drug Programs
26. Music Appreciation
27. High School Equivalency
28. Dances for Males \& Females
29. More Friendiy Visitors
30. All Servicea Needed

## INSTITUTIONA SERVICES MEEDESU

Table 11 reflects a broad range of aervicet and programs which the women feel are lacking in the inetitution. The figure represont the number of times theae gervices were mentioned. The need for more job training was mentioned most often, and it mhould be noted that job training programs avisiable to the womance liatiod. Nowne ace sewing classes, mome typing. and lmatitutional jobsin which women wight indisrectiy hwswa a ahdil. but by no mana is there a corprehensive progrws to trada wonem to Dacoma
 reloumad.

Only one woman mantionse work raloase. Jagnily a work
 at this time only one woman owt of the antire population participates in the program.

Services Needed on Release

|  |  | No. of Tinez Mantioned | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Welfare | 58 | 26 |
| 2. | Jobs | 66 | 29 |
| 3. | Eousing | 27 | 12 |
| 4. | Finamexal Aswiatance | 10 | 4 |
| 5. | Rehabilitation/s.S./Tharapy | 18 | 9 |
| 6. | Druy Pree Program | 10 | 4 |
| 7. | Methudone Maintenance Program | 11. | 5 |
| 8. | School/Eigh School Equivalency | 10 | 4 |
| 9. | Child Care | 2 | 1 |
| 10. | clothing | 7 | 3 |
| 11. | Medical Services | 3 | 1 |
| 12. | Legal Assistance to Get Children Back | 2 | 1 |
| 13. | All Services | 2 | 1 |
|  | TOTAL | 226 | 100\% |
| 13 Answered "None" <br> 16 Did Not Respond |  |  |  |



Table 13 clearly indicates that "correction" or concern for these women cannot end at the point of release. After having been dependent upon the institution for their needs, with ilttle preparation for re-entry into the free community, they are faced now with difficult decisions and, as their responses indicate, a wide range of problems. These problems must be dealt with by the institution before the women are released; otherwise we can expect them to remain statistics in future studies of female crime.

Again, jobs are indicated as the greatest need. Consequently, because jobs are not available, welfare is chosen as the only remaining alternative. $12 \%$ of the women require housing and another $9 \%$ mention their need for a drug free treatment program or a methadone Maintenance program.

The agencies providing these services must be involved prior to the release of the women. Follow-up cannot be left to the obvious inadequacies of a referial slip. When the women are released a caseworker, or job developer, or drug counselor should be present to see that the women receive the services they require.

## PART II

## National Survey of Women Prisoners

The questionnaire used in conducting this murvey was developed by WPA and the traval-study grantee. The aing included approximately 135 correction inetitutions. federal, State, county, and municipal; 40 halfway houses; and approxiately 70 private gencies and interegted individuals.

Remponses were received on approwimately onemthird of the questionnaires:

State Institutions - 34
County and City
26
Private 8
$-\quad 8$
Private Rgenclew - 8

We were ncouraged by the number of xesponmea and convinced that this indicates the severe lack of information on wowen offenders. This suggests that those in the fisld ned sore rellable data in order to carry out their work.

Primarily we were interested in developing a demographic profile of women prisonery. In addition we posed quemtions on programs and services and encouraged the respondenta to discuss the unmet needs of women prisoners in their particular area. Most of the institutions indicated that a range of services were provided - educational, vocational training, counseling, medical - however, for us to develop a reliable picture of these services, we feel that on-site visits would
have to be made to assess such variables as time, staff allotted, and the number of women who participate in the services.

The majority of institutions and agencies maintain at least some denographic data on women offenders; however approximately one-half of the respondents recorded only partial data or gave estimatef. Still we were able to compile sufficient data for each category to indicate, what we think, is a reliable picture of women prisoners in the country.

The data from this survey is presented in three parts:
Table 1 - State Institutions
Table 2 - County and City Institutions and Community Based Facilities

Table 3 - Private Agencies
Table 4 - Gives the totals of the 3 Groups and Percentages for the data in each category.

On a few of the questionnaires, the number of female prisoners served is calculated on a yearly basis.

STATE INSTTITUTIONS

|  |  |  |  |  | ETHNIC |  |  |  |  | AGE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { Fema le } \\ & \text { offenders } \end{aligned}$ | Felons | Misd. | Pre- trial | White | Black | P/R | Mex. Am. | Other | 17-22 | 22-30 | 30-40 | 40 \& Over |
| Colorado | 75 | 75 |  |  | 37 | 28 |  | 9 | 1 | 13 | 34 | 18 | 10 |
| Connecticut | 170 | 93 | 25 | 51 | 65 | 102 | 3 |  |  | 59 | 59 | 42 | 10 |
| Hawaii | 5 | 5 |  |  | - |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |
| Idahs (contracts with Oregon) | 11 | 11 |  |  | 111 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Indiana | 151 | 92 | 59 |  | - 82 | 69 |  |  |  | 30 | 57 | 35 | 29 |
| Kentucky | 110 | 110 |  |  | - 77 | 33 |  |  |  | 24 | 35 | 23 | 23 |
| Michigan (contracts w/City of De | troit) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minnesota | 55 | 55 |  |  | 41 | 10 |  |  | 4 | 11 | 22 | 18 | 4 |
| Missouri | 91 | 91 |  |  | 55 | 39 | 1 |  |  | 14 | 35 | 17 | 25 |
| Montana (contracts with Nebraska) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nebraska | 60 | 59 | 1 |  | 34 | 21 |  |  | 5 | 24 | 26 | 6 | 4 |
| New Jersey | 250 |  |  |  | 75 | 175 |  |  |  | 47 | 133 | 47 | 23 |
| New Mexico | 23 | 23 |  |  | 9 | 3 |  | 11 | 5 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 2 |
| New York | 330 | 265 | 65 |  | 119 | 211 |  |  |  | 113 | 109 | 65 | 43 |
| No. Carolina | 373 | 238 | 135 |  | 132 | 237 |  |  | 4 | 108 | 122 | 77 | 66 |
| No. Dakota (contracts w/Nebraska) |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oregon | 76 | 76 |  |  | - 57 | 10 |  | 3 | 6 | 5 | 34 | 27 | 11 |
| Pennsylvania | 160 | 152 | 8 |  | 83 | 72 | 3 |  |  | 13 | 54 | 48 | 19 |
| So. Carolina | 150 | 150 |  |  | \% 44 | 106 |  |  |  | 32 | 50 | 15 | 50 |
| So. Dakota | 8 | 8 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 5 | 1 | 5 | 2 |  |
| Texas | 603 | 603 |  |  | 206 | 325 |  | 72 |  | 64 | 254 | 150 | 81 |
| Utah | 11 |  |  |  | - 7 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 7 | I | 1 |
| Virginia | 245 | 192 | 53 |  | 105 | 140 |  |  |  | 75 | 84 | 53 | 32 |
| Wyoming (contracts with Nebrash |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - TOTALS | 2,957 | 2,298 | 346 | 51 | 111.242 | 1,422 | 7 | 96 | 32* | 638 | 1,137 | 653 | 436 |

TABLE 1 (continued)
STATE INSTITUTIONS


COUNTY \& CITY JAILS

|  |  |  |  |  | ETHNIC |  |  |  |  | AGE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Female Offen. |  | Misd. | PreTrial | Wht. |  | P/R | Mex. <br> Am. | Other | $17-$ <br> 22 | $\begin{aligned} & 22- \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $30-$ <br> 40 | $40 \&$ Over |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { ALASKA }}{\text { Juneau }} \\ & \text { Ketchikan } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 95 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 12 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1 83 |  | 1 16 | 1 |  |  | 1 79 | 28 | 3 31 | 28 | 8 |
| $\frac{\text { ARIZONA }}{\text { Maricopa Co. (phoenix) }}$ | 30 | 22 | 8 |  | 20 | 2 |  | 3 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 7 | 4 |
| ```CALIFORNIA Los Angeles (*C.B.F.) San Diego (Work Furlough) Contra Costa Co. (Martinez) Santa Clara Co. (Sari Jose) Los Angeles Co. (Sheriff's Dept.) Vocare (*C.B.F. - OakIand) California Rehab. (Patton)``` | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ 18 \\ 21 \\ 93 \\ 756 \\ 500 \\ 185 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ 37 \\ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $7$ $16$ | 21 40 | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 10 \\ 14 \\ 60 \\ \\ 200 \\ 114 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 6 \\ 4 \\ 10 \\ 200 \\ 37 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 1 \\ 20 \\ 50 \\ 30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 1 \\ 10 \\ 50 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | 1 6 10 128 125 28 | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 415 \\ 250 \\ 148 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 138 \\ 125 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 75 \end{array}$ |
| $\frac{\text { COLORADO }}{\text { Denver }} \text { Co. Jail (Denver) }$ | 30 |  |  |  | 12 | 9 |  | 8 | 1 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { FLORIDA } \\ & \text { Dade Ce. (Miami) } \end{aligned}$ | 70 | 42 | 28 |  | 21 | 35 |  |  | 14 | 44 | 18 | 6 | 2 |
| $\frac{\text { MICHIGAN }}{\text { Detroit }}$ | 271 | 224 | 47 |  | 106 | 159 |  | 4 | 2 | 59 | 144 | 55 | 24 |
| $\frac{\text { MISSOURI }}{\left(* C_{.} B_{0} F_{0}-\text { Kansas City }\right)}$ | 2 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEW JERSEY } \\ & \text { Essex CO (Newark) } \\ & \text { Essex CO. (Caldwell) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & 53 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37 \\ 18 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 35 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \\ & 45 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 |  |  | 17 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 15 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2 \\ 11 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 18 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEW YORK } \\ & \text { Exie Co. (Alden) } \\ & \text { Nassau Co. (East Meadow) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78 \\ 28 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \\ & 22 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6 |  | 19 <br> 10 | 58 <br> 16 | 1 |  | 1 | $\begin{array}{r}18 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \\ 12 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}12 \\ 6 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 19 2 |
| $\frac{\text { PENNSYLVANIA }}{\text { Simpson Village }\left(* C_{0} B . F .-P h i l a .\right)}$ | 25 |  |  |  | 7 | 18 |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { VIRGINIA }}{\text { Richmond City }}$ | 37 | 21 | 16 |  | 7 | 30 |  |  |  | 11 | 12 | 10 | 4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { WASHINGTON } \\ & \text { Pioneer Cooperative (*C.B.F. - Seattle) } \\ & \text { Seattle City Jail } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 18 <br> 17 | $\begin{array}{r}6 \\ 17 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 13 <br> 18 | 10 11 |  |  | 1 5 | $\begin{array}{r}6 \\ 13 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 15 15 | 1 4 | 2 <br> 2 |
| TOTALS | 2,390 | 1,072 | 544 |  | 676 | 697 | 3 | 118 | $* * 177$ | 556 | 1,175 | 433 | 174 |
| *C.B.F. - Community Based Facility |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} * * 93- \\ 14= \\ 70= \end{array}$ | ndia Cuban ther |  | (cos | d) |

COUNTY \& CITY JAILS

|  | MARITAL Status |  |  |  |  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  | children |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sing1e | Marr. | Sep. | Div. | Wid. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less } \\ & 10 \mathrm{th} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10- \\ & 12 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | H.S. Equiv | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { yrs. } \\ & \text { coll. } \end{aligned}$ | Degree | With | W/Out |
| ALASKA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Juneau | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Ketchikan | 33 | 38 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 40 | 10 | 44 | 1 |  |  |  |
| ARIZONA ${ }^{\text {Maricolpa CO. (Phoenix) }}$ | 8 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 19 | 1 | 2 |  | 21 | 9 |
| CALIFORNIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Los Angeles (*C.B.F.) | 5 | 13 |  | 6 | 1 | 2 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 5 |
| ; Contra Costa Co. (Martinez) | 8 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Vocare (*C.B.F. - Oakland) | 25 | 100 | 300 | 50 | 25 | 50 | 400 | 25 | 25 |  |  |  |
| California Rehab. (Patton) | 33 | 59 | 87 |  | 6 | 97 | 86 |  | , |  | 148 | 37 |
| $\frac{\text { COLORADO }}{\text { Denver }}$ Co. Jail (Denver) | 3 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 18 | 12 |
| FLORIDA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dade Cr. (Miami) | 27 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 30 | 24 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 51 | 19 |
| MICHIGAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detroit | 110 | 61 | 45 | 50 | 16 | 46 | 180 | 47 | 5 |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { MISSOURI }}{(* \text { C. B.F. }- \text { Kansas City) }}$ | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| NEW JERSEY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Essex Co. (Newark) | 30 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 21 | 11 | 4 |  | 31 | 11 |
| Essex Co. (Caldwell) | 21 | 11 | 13 |  | 8 | 14 | 22 | 13 | 4 |  | 43 | 10 |
| NEW YORK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Erie Co. (Alden) | 36 | 26 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 13 |  | 26 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Nassau Co. (East Meadow) | 12 | 8 | 2 | 6 |  | 8 | 8 | 10 | 2 |  | 20 | 8 |
| PENNSYLVANIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Simpson Village (*C.B.F. - Phila.) | 25 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 13 | 2 |  |  | 6 | 19 |
| $\frac{\text { VIRGINIA }}{\text { Richmond City }}$ | 18 | 10 | 6 | 3 |  | 12 | 19 | 6 |  |  | 25 | 12 |
| WASHINGTON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pioneer Cooperative (*C.B.F.-Seattle) <br> Seattle City Jail | 6 22 | 3 5 | 5 1 | 9 5 | 1 | 4 | 21 13 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 17 | $\begin{array}{r}7 \\ 17 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| TOTALS | 424 | 369 | 505 | 186 | 81 | 343 | 888 | 220 | 65 | 5 | 420 | 168 |

*C.B.F. - Community Based Facility

TABLE 3
PRIVATE AGENCIES

|  |  |  |  |  | ETHNIC |  |  |  |  | AGE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Female offen. | Felons | Misd. | Pre- <br> Trial | Wht. | Blk. | P/R | Mex. Am. | other | $\begin{aligned} & 17- \\ & 22 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22- \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30- \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Jewish Family Service (Philadelphia) | 20 | 1 | 19 |  | 17 | 2 |  |  |  | 3 | 8 | 8 | 1 |
| Delaware Council on Crime \& Justice <br> (Wilmington) | 12 | 2 | 10 |  | 1 | 8 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 6 | 2 |  |
| Jewish Family Service (New York) | 4 | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Argus Community (New York) | 14 | 8 | 6 |  |  | 9 | 5 |  |  | 8 | 5 | 1 |  |
| Magdala Foundation (St, Louis) | 120 | 11.4 | 6 |  | 48 | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Osborne Assoc. (N.Y. City) | 13 | 5 | 8 |  | 2 | 7 | 4 |  |  | 4 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| Bureau of Rehab. of Nat'l. Capital Area <br> (Washington,D.C.) | 211 |  |  |  | 22 | 188 |  |  | 1 | 39 | 108 | 38 | 26 |
| Heartline (Detroit, Michigan) | 55 | 29 | 26 |  | 28 | 21 |  |  | 6 | 30 | 18 | 5 | 2 |
| TOTALS | 449 | 163 | 75 |  | 122 | 307 | 10 |  | 7 | 86 | 153 | 57 | 31 |

TABLE 3 (continued)

PRIVATE AGENCIES

|  | MARITAL STATUS |  |  |  |  | EDUCATION |  |  |  |  | CHILDREN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sing le | Marr. | Sep. | Div. | Wid. | Less 10th | $10-$ <br> 12 | H.S. Equiv. | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { yrs. } \\ & \text { Coll. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Degree | With | W/Out |
| Jewish Family Service (Philadelphia) | 8 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 4 |  | 2 | 18 |
| DeIaware Council on Crime \& Justice (Wilmington) | 3 | 3 | 4 |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  | 9 | 1 |
| Jewish Family Service (New York) |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 |  |
| Argus Community (New York) | 7 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 4 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Osborne Assoc. (N.Y (ity) | 7 | 5 | 1 |  |  | 8 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 5 | 8 |
| HeartIine (Detroit, Michigan) | 34 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 31 | 20 | 3 |  | 1 | 28 | 27 |
| totals | 59 | 22 | 20 | 10 | 4 | 54 | 40 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 48 | 53 |

s.

TABLE 4
table totals

|  |  |  |  |  | ETHNIC |  |  |  |  | AGE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Female Offen. | Felons | Misd. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pre- } \\ & \text { Trial } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | White | Black | $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{R}$ | Mex. <br> Am. | Other | 17-22 | 22-30 | 30-40 | $40 \&$ Over |
| STATE INSTITUTIONS <br> (Table 1) | 2,957 | 2,298 | 346 | 51 | 1,242 | 1,422 | 7 | 96 | 32 | 638 | 1,137 | 653 | 436 |
| county \& CITY JAILS <br> (Table 2) | 2,390 | 1,072 | 544 |  | 676 | 697 | 3 | 118 | 117 | 556 | 1,175 | 433 | 174 |
| PRIVATE AGENCIES <br> (Table 3) | 449 | 163 | 75 |  | 122 | 307 | 10 |  | 7 | 86 | 153 | 57 | 31 |
| totals | 5,796 | 3,533 | 965 | 51 | 2,040 | 2,426 | 20 | 214 | 216 | 1,280 | 2,465 | 1,143 | 641 |
| PERCENTAGES | 100\% | 78\% | 21\% | 1\% | 42\% | 49\% | 1\% | 4\% | 4\% | 23\% | 45\% | 21\% | 11\% |


|  | MARITAL STATUS |  |  |  |  | EDUCATITON |  |  |  |  | CHILDREN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single | Mari. | Sep. | Div. | Wid. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less } \\ & \text { 10th } \end{aligned}$ | 10-12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H.S. } \\ & \text { Equiv } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { yrs. } \\ & \text { coll. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Degree | With | W/Out |
| STATE TWSTITUTICNS | 914 | 670 | 424 | 417 | 212 | 1,566 | 708 | 284 | 59 | 15 | 1,393 | 681 |
| COUNTY \& CITY JAILS (Table 2) | 424. | 369 | 505 | 186 | 81 | 343 | 888 | 220 | 65 | 5 | 420 | 168 |
| PRIVATE AGENCIES (Table 3) | 59 | 22 | 20 | 10 | 4 | 54 | 40 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 48 | 53 |
| totals | 1,397 | 1,061 | 1,059 | 613 | 293 | 1,963 | 1,636 | 518 | 129 | 22 | 1,861 | 902 |
| PERCENTAGES | $31 \%$ | 24\% | 23\% | 14\% | 8\% | 46\% | 38\% | 12\% | 3\% | 1\% | 67\% | 33\% |

## A. Number of Women Prisoners Perhaps Underestimated

In our survey we've accounted for 5,796 women. In addition, the Bureau of Prisons responded to our questionnaire by indicating that approximately 1,000 women were in the federal system but gave no demographic data on these women. Together, these would account for approximately 7,000 women.

A reliable figure on the exact number of women prisoners in the country is non-existent. One source estimates that of the one-third mililion prisoners, $5 \%$ are women. This would be approximately 16,670 women. since we have accounted for approximately 7,000 through the response to our limited mailing, we would suggest that the $5 \%$ figure is underestimated. Consequently, we suggest the need for a much more extensive survey that would attempt to account for the women detained in county and local jails.
B. Analysis of Demographic Data

Many of the respondents supplied incomplete data, thus totals vary for each category, but the information lends itself to the following conclusions:
a. Although specific information on the nature of their crime is not available, $78 \%$ of the women are charged with felonies. This supports the
b. $42 \%$ of the women are white, a figure which certainly suggests that female crime is not a racial issue as is so often asserted in discussions of criminal trends
c. $45 \%$ of the women fall within the $22-30$ age group. $51 \%$ of our sample in the New York City survey fell within this age group.
d. Likewise, only $24 \%$ of the women are married compared with $26 \%$ for New York City.
e. A sharp difference is found in the education level. In the national survey, $46 \%$ of the women have less than a 10 th grade education whereas in New York City, only $20 \%$ fall within this range.
f. In both surveys, $67 \%$ of the women have children, a fact which suggests the magnitude of the problems that are facing most of these women. Also, the question of what happens to these children becomea even more critical.
C. Nature and Location of Prisons for Women

Most States have a mingle insticution for women prisoners. a fact which suggests several problems. The institutions usually are located in rural areas which means that visits from family and children are difficult. Also there are fewer resources for schooling, work-release, and specialized training.

Several of the States - five out of our sample of 24 contract with nearby States for the imprisonment of their female prisoners. This means that contact with family and children becomes even more remote. For these states with small numbers of women prisoners, the question of community based correction presents itself. Why can'c these States sponsor a small facility which would house the women near their families and lend itself to improved programs for job training, individual counseling, and schooling.

## D. Need for Improved programs

Most of the respondents indicated a range of institutional programs and services; however the nature of these programs for the most part revolved around sewing, cooking, and beauty culture. In sharp contrast with this situation is the overwhelming response that $j 0 b s$ and job eraining represent the greatest unmet needs of the women. This disparity in swrvices to the women and what their needs are remained a consistent
pattern in most of the responses. Certainiy, there is no need to question that the means of making a livelihood 18 the single most critical problem facing these women. The fact that the majority have children and are not married supports this conclusion

On the other hand, there were a few examples of institutions permitting school release, work-release, and weekend furloughs to visit family or children. These special programs should be assessed in more detail and consideration given to duplicating these programs in other parts of the country.
A. Record Keeping Procedures on Women Prisoners Need to be Revised

Police, courts, and correction agencies should revise their record keeping procedures to include case history and demographic information on women offenders. Annual reports shoulc reflect information about the nature and trends of female crime. Given the paucity of reliable data on women offenders, it is critical that a thorough, on-going statistical survey be continued. In conjunction with this, a comprehensive evaluation of institutional and post-release prograns for fetmale offenders should be undextaken to insure that new programs are designed to meet their needs.
B. Improved Methods of Treatment are Needed to Reduce Recidivism

Since female crime is increasing, an all-out effort should be made to reduce the recidivism rate before the female prison population becomes even greater. Compared with male offenders, the number of female prisoners is still relatively small, and thus conducive to new methods of treatment. Intensified rehabilitative efforts aimed at this smaller group may well lead to improved methods which would benefit the entire prison population.

## C. First Priority Should Be Jobs and Job Training

Most women prisoners are left to their own re sources to make a living, thus the first priority is to provide job training opportunities for these women. In both surveys covered in thia report, job training and joba were identified as the greatest need. Further it is meaningless to talk of reducing the recidivism rate when many of these women come out of prison without jobs. The business community and other potential employers should be alerted to this problem and, through a concerted public education program, mobilized to provide training and jobs.
D. Expansion of Diversjonary Treatment Programs

Diversionary treatment programs for women should be expanded. Imprisonment should be imposed only in the instancez when it is absolutely necessary for the protection of the community. The high rate of recidivism attests to the fact that prisons do not deter, nor do they rehabilitate. Thus alternatives must be found. Supervised diversion whereby women can maintain contact with their children and family and receive counseling, job training, job placement, medical assistance, and other services is an important step towaro providing the individual treatment which institutions, for one reason or another, cannot provide.


## STATE INSTITUTIONS - Addendum



|  | MARITAL STATUS |  |  |  |  | EDUCATION |  |  |  | CHILDREN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Single | Marr. | Sep. | Div. | Wid. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less } \\ & \text { than loth } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10- \\ & 12 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | H.S. Equiv. | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { yrs. } \\ & \text { Coll. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Degree | with | with out |
| Arizona | 13 | 18 |  | 7 | 2 | 16 | 19 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 38 |
| Arkansas | 12 | 10 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 16 | 24 | 4 | 1 |  | 31 | 14 |
| California |  |  |  |  |  | 406 | 124 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delaware | 144 | 126 | 54 | 36 |  | 306 | 36 | 18 |  |  | 234 | 126 |
| Fiorida | 188 | 98 | 58 | 38 | 19 | 217 | 103 |  |  |  | 266 | 135 |
| Iowa | 23 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 3 | 19 | 29 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 36 | 23 |
| Maine | 8 | 2 | 7 |  |  | 9 | 5 | 2 | 1 |  | 5 | 12 |
| Maryland | 60 | 36 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 84 | 30 |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| Massachusetts | 55 | 20 | 16 | 16 | 5 | 46 | 58 |  | 8 |  |  |  |
| Ohio | 95 | 137 |  |  |  | 99 | 42 | 59 | 3 | 2 | 178 | 54 |
| Tennessee | 6 | 30 | 42 | 36 | 6 | 72 | 23 | 16 | 9 |  | 102 | 18 |
| Vermont |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Virginia | 5 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 6 |  |  | 21 | 10 |
| Totals | 609 | $\overline{494}$ | 199 | 170 | 59 | 1,302. | 506 | 108 | 37 | 3 | 875 | 430 |


[^0]:    2) Fdith E. Flynn (Assistant Professor of Sociology,

    Uns versity of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois) Paper presented.
    9n71.

[^1]:    3) Ben H. Bagdikian, The Shame of the prisons, Washington
[^2]:    18 Did Not Respond
    25 Answered None
    7 Answered "All Services Available"

