

A STUDY OF
JUVENILE SERVICES ACTIVITIES
IN MARYLAND

As Related to Recidivism and/or Re-Referrals to the
Maryland Department of Juvenile Services

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20540

18352 dup

Fiscal Years
1968-1973

STATE OF MARYLAND
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND MENTAL HYGIENE
Neil Solomon, M.D., Ph.D., Secretary

DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE SERVICES
Robert C. Hilson, Director

NOTICE

FEB 17 1975

ACQUISITIONS

Prepared by
Division of Special Services
- Research and Analysis -
February, 1975

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Foreword.	1
Introduction.	3
Purpose and Scope of Study.	7
Definition of Terms	10
Cases Versus Individuals.	12
Table Users - Explanation	13
Re-referrals By Complaint Category - Highlights	17
Table 1: Statewide - Re-referral by Complaint Code.	19
Table 2: Allegany County.	20
Table 3: Anne Arundel County.	21
Table 4: Baltimore County	22
Table 5: Calvert County	23
Table 6: Caroline County.	24
Table 7: Carroll County	25
Table 8: Cecil County	26
Table 9: Charles County	27
Table 10: Dorchester County.	28
Table 11: Frederick County	29
Table 12: Garrett County	30
Table 13: Harford County	31
Table 14: Howard County.	32
Table 15: Kent County.	33
Table 16: Montgomery County.	34
Table 17: Prince George's County	35
Table 18: Queen Anne's County.	36
Table 19: St. Mary's County.	37
Table 20: Somerset County.	38
Table 21: Talbot County.	39
Table 22: Washington County.	40
Table 23: Wicomico County.	41
Table 24: Worcester County	42
Table 25: Baltimore City	43
Re-referrals By General Category - Highlights	44
Table 25a: Re-referral Rates By General Category.	46

FOREWORD

	Page
Re-referrals By Disposition - Highlights	47
Table 26: Statewide - Referrerral By Disposition	50
Table 27: Allegany County	51
Table 28: Anne Arundel County	52
Table 29: Baltimore County	53
Table 30: Calvert County	54
Table 31: Caroline County	55
Table 32: Carroll Ccunty	56
Table 33: Cecil County	57
Table 34: Charles County	58
Table 35: Dorchester County	59
Table 36: Frederick County	60
Table 37: Garrett County	61
Table 38: Harford County	62
Table 39: Howard County	63
Table 40: Kent County	64
Table 41: Montgomery County	65
Table 42: Prince George's County	66
Table 43: Queen Anne's County	67
Table 44: St. Mary's County	68
Table 45: Somerset County	69
Table 46: Talbot County	70
Table 47: Washington County	71
Table 48: Wicomico County	72
Table 49: Worcester County	73
Table 50: Baltimore City	74
Conclusion	75

A traditional measurement of program treatment of offenders which enjoys broad utilization is the concept of recidivism. While its limitations are well known and despite the fact that its definition is as varied as the sources which employ the concept, the inability of the social disciplines to develop more valid quantitative evaluation methodology has contributed greatly to the continued reliance upon this means of measuring rehabilitative effort in the crime and delinquency area.

Recidivism cannot be considered the ultimate tool in determining the effectiveness of program performance. Objections to the use of recidivism as a criterion of "successful" treatment may be made on several grounds. Recidivism indicates only one aspect of the effectiveness of a program of rehabilitation. Improvements in work habits, education orientation, family adjustments or personality characteristics are not necessarily indicated by the fact that a new offense is or is not committed. In addition, a person may commit numerous infractions of the law without arrest or conviction and still be regarded as a success.

Nevertheless, an avowed goal of corrections is to inhibit a return to crime and delinquency. Short of daily surveillance of individual cases or reliable community sources of informal information concerning them, the available information for estimating effectiveness in reaching this goal is the official record of juvenile referrals and their dispositions.

The present study represents an initial research effort to determine to what extent the number and categories of juveniles referred to the State Department of Juvenile Services (DJS) between 1968 and 1973 were referred back again during the same period. Although not purely a recidivism study, since the population analyzed includes such non-delinquent categories as dependent and neglected children, the principles followed in this research are similar to those used in recidivism investigations. Also, the findings of this study, as well as the data system used itself, can prepare the way for DJS recidivism research activities in the future.

The programmed routine which made possible this study was funded by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration through the Governor's Commission on Law Enforcement and the Administration of Justice.

A STUDY OF JUVENILE SERVICES ACTIVITIES IN MARYLAND

INTRODUCTION

An information system for corrections should provide performance measures that serve as a basis for evaluation on two levels - overall performance or systems review as measured by recidivism and other performance measures, and program reviews that emphasize more immediate program goals achieved.

This statement in Criminal Justice Systems, one of six reports recently released by the National Advisory Commission on Criminal Justice Standards and Goals, endorses the use of recidivism as a measure of performance evaluation. Urging adoption of measurement based on standardized criteria, the article further states,

A standard definition for recidivism is proposed that should be adopted nationally by all correctional agencies to facilitate comparisons among jurisdictions and compilation of national figures.

Measurement of recidivism should be pursued for at least 3 years after release of the offender from all correctional supervision.

These quotations constitute an endorsement of the use of recidivism as an evaluation tool on a national basis, a much needed step toward necessary standardization of measurement.

The Maryland Department of Juvenile Services concurs with the value of recidivism as an important measure of total program effectiveness. However, current literature on evaluative research support the Department's contention that recidivism studies should not be taken as the sole means of assessing program performance. Other criteria of success could be employed, such as the juvenile's adjustment to school or the work community, subsequent to his contact with the juvenile justice system. Also, in addition to evaluation studies designed to determine program effectiveness

(such as recidivism studies), other types of evaluation efforts are possible. In Edward Suchman's classic Evaluative Research, he notes such possibilities as "effort" evaluations, which measure the quantity and quality of activity that takes place; "adequacy of performance" evaluations, measuring the degree to which effective performance is adequate to meet the total amount of need; and "process" evaluations, in which one determines the how and why a program does or does not work. The latter type, while probably one of the most useful approaches to evaluation, also is most costly in terms of time and resources. Thus, there are various possible types of evaluation approaches, as well as numerous measures (criteria) of success which could be taken as the focal point of evaluative research.

Whereas recidivism studies often are considered among criminal justice system personnel as the approach to evaluation, the realm of evaluation research is more complex than normally realized, as the above discussion briefly illustrates. Although limitations in resources might preclude any other type of research, one should at least be aware that while recidivism studies can provide valuable information as to what happens to persons leaving the criminal justice system, it cannot tell one why what happens, does occur. This is only in part due to the limitations of recidivism studies as a method of evaluation.

Another important reason why recidivism studies do not determine the why issues is because there are many factors in the community to which a juvenile or adult offender must at some point return, over which the correctional system has but limited knowledge, control and influence but which can have a decisive impact on whether rehabilitation occurs. The importance of these other factors are vividly pointed out by two prominent national commissions.

In The Challenge to Crime in a Free Society, the President's Commission on Law Enforcement and the Administration of Justice states:

Many Americans think controlling crime is solely the task of the police, the courts, and correctional agencies. In fact as the Commission's report makes clear, crime cannot be controlled without the interest and participation of schools, businesses, social agencies, private groups, and individual citizens.

The Commission goes on to state that:

The prevention of crime covers a wide range of activities: Eliminating social conditions closely associated with crime; improving the ability of the criminal justice system to . . . reintegrate into their communities those who commit crimes

Every effort must be made to strengthen the family

Slum schools must be given enough resources

Employment opportunities must be enlarged and young people provided with more effective vocational training and individual job counseling.

Similar recommendations have been made by the National Advisory Commission on Criminal Justice Standards and Goals, in their report, Community Crime Prevention:

If this country is to reduce crime, there must be a willingness on the part of every citizen to give of himself, his time, his energy, and his imagination.

.

Awakening the conscience of America is a necessity: if the multiplicity of factors that produce crime and delinquency are not recognized and remedied, more crime will occur

. . . Effective crime prevention is possible only through broad-based community awareness and involvement

. . . There also is a strong indication that delinquency and crime occur more frequently where poverty, illiteracy, unemployment, drug abuse, and inadequate recreational and mental health resources exist. To the extent that effective and responsive delivery of public and private sources promotes individual economic and social well-being, the Commission believes that it will contribute to a reduction in crime.

As the above discussions point out, both the prevention (primary and secondary) of crime and delinquency, and the measurement of correctional program success to rehabilitate are complex issues.

This discussion does not suggest that correctional and other subsystems of criminal/juvenile justice should not be held accountable for their efforts. However, it does suggest that one should be cautioned as to how much can be expected of the justice systems alone. Also, it suggests that in interpreting data from evaluation efforts such as recidivism studies, one should be cautious so as not to attribute too much to their findings. These types of studies can only be regarded as additional input for decision-making -- the best available data within current resource limitations. While they do not provide the total answer to what is happening, they do provide pertinent information, if appropriately analyzed and utilized.

Before concluding the present discussion, an important caveat is warranted. The Department's ability to perform recidivism and other forms of research are limited by two factors. First, the current size of the Department's Research and Analysis staff precludes any form of extensive research, such as large-scale cost-effectiveness or process evaluations. Secondly, and most devastating to future research efforts, as a result of the passage and implementation of House Bill 1427 (effective July 1, 1974), juvenile courts are not providing the Department's data system with the names of juveniles handled by the Department's intake and probation staff. As a result, there is no way of assessing recidivism rates in the future. In a time of national and statewide concern at making the criminal justice system more accountable for its activities, this piece of legislation represents an anomaly.

Purpose and Scope of Study

The current study represents an analysis of the referrals and re-referrals handled by the State Department of Juvenile Services. Because of the study's inclusion of more than just delinquents and Children in Need of Supervision (i.e., juvenile status offenses), it cannot be regarded as a pure recidivism study. (However, the findings of the research do provide some insight as to recidivism).

Although most of the Department's efforts are devoted to the handling of delinquents and Children in Need of Supervision (CINS), the Department does perform preliminary inquiries on referred, alleged dependent, neglected, dependent and neglected, and mentally handicapped children. Recommendations for disposition pertaining to these juveniles are made to juvenile court. If these youths are not also alleged to be delinquent or CINS, no further services are provided by the Department. Although Department of Juvenile Services contacts with these youths are minimal, they are included in the Juvenile Services' data system and, therefore, reflected in this study.

Another reason why the Department does not regard this as purely a recidivism research, is because the case and rate statistics presented in the tables of the report include the initial referral statistics, as well as re-referral data. As we define recidivism, it should only relate to subsequent contacts with the justice system.

The present study represents an attempt to obtain increased knowledge as to the numbers and types of re-contacts the Department has with those juveniles referred to it. As is the situation with most initial research efforts, the results of the study have raised many questions and issues which require follow-up research. In

particular, the Department sees the need for an indepth study to determine the reasons for delinquent and CINS recidivism, as a logical follow-up to this study, which only provides some quantitative measurement of the nature and scope of the problem. However, as mentioned previously, under current legislation the Department's ability to conduct recidivism studies is severely limited due to the unavailability of the names of juveniles handled by intake and probation staff subsequent to June 30, 1974.

As implied above, one major question is addressed in this study: to what extent do those referred to the Department of Juvenile Services become involved again with the Department through referral. By designing this study to measure the number of juveniles who are referred back to the system, regardless of the disposition of the previous referral, the findings give a thorough and candid self-appraisal of Juvenile Services in Maryland.

For the first time, this study provides the Department of Juvenile Services the capability of identifying the number of youngsters who do not return to it. In addition, it allows Juvenile Services to identify the number of cases for which each individual is responsible.

This massive, quantitative treatment of the problem over such an extended period of time provided an empirical base of data sufficient in scope and magnitude to satisfy the most exacting of requirements in this type of approach. Such a large data base provides the means for a more detailed analysis of select characteristics, with minimal danger of introducing errors resulting from data cell insufficiency. For example, this study provides data for each of the State's twenty-four subdivisions, sorted by each of

twenty-eight juvenile offenses and twenty-three juvenile court dispositions. Ordinarily, such a detailed breakdown of data, particularly in a small rural county, introduces a strong probability of error due to the limited quantitative aspect of the data at that level; however, the comprehensive nature of the data base covering such an extensive period of time minimizes this possibility.

This type of study is made possible only through the availability of a computer. Without the capability of a computerized data system, it would be virtually impossible to trace the records of almost 100,000 individual juveniles, over a period of six years, examining more than 150,000 court records in each of twenty-four juvenile court jurisdictions by the type of offense and court disposition. Sampling estimates in dealing with this type of problem are found to be wholly inadequate and the ability to calculate rates using the entire target population greatly improves the accuracy and reliability of the achieved results.

A limitation of this study is the inability to follow juvenile offenders into the adult correctional system. This tracking capability would be very desirable in terms of allowing each agency to learn more about its own type of offender (for example, learning the mitigating effects of specific treatment programs on early criminal behavior patterns and characteristics). However, Juvenile Services administrative and policy issues, especially in the area of confidentiality of juvenile records and the non-criminality of juvenile offenses, preclude at this point such an inter-agency approach to the recidivism problem.

Definition of Terms

For purposes of this study, the term "re-referral" means referral back to this agency on the occasion of a new referral requiring some form of disposition by DJS,⁹ and occurring on a date subsequent to that of the original reason for referral. By definition, a minimum of two contacts with DJS are necessary. In such cases, the type or reason of contact (i.e., delinquency versus dependency and neglected) is defined by the first of the two contacts. In the case of three or more offenses, each separated by a specific time interval, the reason for referral immediately preceding the last similarly defines the type of contact. Generally, youth are referred back on the same type of contact as the original; however, there are exceptions. For example, if a youth's next to last referral was for delinquency, and his last is for CINS, he is listed in the tables as a re-referral under the delinquency category. Similarly, if the youth's next to last referral is for delinquency, and he returns as a neglected child, he is listed as a delinquency re-referral, even though the last act is not delinquent. If the child's next to last referral is for dependency and he returns as a delinquent, he is listed as a re-referral under dependency. Further, a single juvenile may have had several contacts over a period of time, shifting back and forth between delinquency, CINS, and/or non-delinquency categories. This shifting about among categories provides further explanation as to why the present study is regarded as a re-referral study, rather than pure recidivism research, for we are studying the interrelationships among delinquents, CINS, and non-delinquent categories.

In examining the tables included in the study, it should be noted that the column labeled "Cases," subdivided into "re-referral cases" and "total cases," refers collectively to the group of cases for which

"juvenile re-referrals" are responsible (including the original referral) and the total of all the cases. The "rate per 100," refers to the percentage of "total cases" for which juvenile re-referrals are responsible (including the original reason for referral). Similarly, the adjacent column of "individual juveniles" which is subdivided into "juvenile re-referrals" and "total juveniles," refers respectively to the number of "individual re-referrals" (i.e., persons) and to the group of "total individual juveniles." Rates for individuals are compiled similarly as those for "cases." Therefore, the rate per 100 for juvenile re-referrals includes the original reason for referral.

* Department of Juvenile Services

Cases Versus Individuals

Historically, the terms "recidivism" and "recidivists" have been used synonymously, even though "recidivist" refers to the individual who is referred back to court, while "recidivism" refers to the total volume of cases committed by the individual recidivist. (For example, if one recidivist commits 10 new offenses, the given recidivism rate would be 10 for the single recidivist).

The terms have been used synonymously because, historically, statistics were only available on the number of recidivism cases. Data collection methods lacked the capability of separating individuals from cases on such a large scale.

In this study, Maryland had the capability of identifying both the number of individual youths who were re-referrals (juvenile re-referrals) and the number of cases they accounted for (re-referral cases). Having adopted re-referral cases as one criterion of assessing what happens to juveniles in contact with DJS, the Department also felt that it is important, programmatically and administratively, to know the number of youngsters returning to DJS, as it is to know the total volume of services being provided for all youths coming into and returning to the system.

This approach of distinguishing cases from individuals is applicable both to recidivism studies, as well as studies addressing any other reasons for referral, such as for dependency, neglect, and mentally handicapped children. Consequently, this important distinction between cases and individuals has been made in the current research and, hopefully, can be continued in any subsequent research the Department can conduct to assess recidivism rates.

Table Users - Explanation

In order to facilitate a better understanding of the tables presented in the report, a discussion of their content, the data base used and the method employed in compiling them will enable the reader to visualize the concept more clearly and thus better understand their intent and purpose. The following paragraphs provide such a description.

Each table lists separately delinquency offenses, CINS (Children In Need of Supervision) and non-delinquency referrals, and each column has in addition to its regular heading a letter (A, B, C, D) under it. This is done to facilitate a discussion of the interrelationship of each. A description of the statewide table (see Table 1) is discussed below; each of the county tables are identical except for the numbers.

Column A - Total Cases represents 151,672 cases which were examined in the study for the period 1967-1973. This sum includes 99.7% of all the formal and informal cases handled by the agency during the period.* These cases are sorted by offense, or referral reason.

Column B - Total Juveniles represents 98,783 different individual juveniles who were involved in the 151,672 cases in Column A. Through use of the computer it was possible to maintain the individual identity, and no individual is represented more than once in this count. These individuals are also sorted by offense, or referral reason.

* Due to incomplete data input in certain cases, .3% of the cases were excluded.

Column C - Juvenile Re-Referrals represents a total of 24,211 individual juvenile re-referrals who were identified from the group of 98,733 individuals in Column B. This identity was established on the basis of the individual being referred to the agency on two or more occasions on new charges. Irrespective of the number of referrals which he represents however, the individual re-referral is counted only once in this group.

Column D - Re-Referral Cases represents the total collective group of 74,844 cases represented by the 24,211 individual re-referrals in Column C (inclusive of the original referral), and which are part of the total cases in Column A. These are also sorted by the offense, or reason for referral.

To provide a better understanding of the data base used and the method employed to compile the data shown in these columns, the following illustration will describe an abbreviated format of a computer printout showing both the manner in which cases and date elements are stored in this data base and the manner in which the data for this study was identified and compiled. The names, dates, and other information shown are fictitious.

Data Base Printout & Compilation - Simulated

Re-Referral Cases	Re-Referral Cases	Re-Referral Individuals	Individuals	Last Name	First Name	Middle Initial	Date of Birth	Disposition Date	Offense Code
1	1	1	1	Adams,	James	C.	10/12/58	01/12/68	12
1	1	0	0	Adams,	James	C.	10/12/58	02/24/68	11
1	1	0	1	Ajax,	William	R.	11/11/59	07/01/67	02
1	1	1	0	Ajax	William	R.	11/11/59	08/02/68	04
1	1	0	0	Ajax,	William	R.	11/11/59	09/03/60	05
	1	0	1	Amen,	John	I.	12/10/55	01/04/70	02
5	6	2	3						
(D)	(A)	(C)	(B)						

The left half of the illustration is an abbreviated version of the tables used. The headings are similar as are the letters under each column (D), (A), (C), (B). The right half is a simulation of the IBM data base printout. In the data base, names are filed alphabetically and each line represents a new case. Each new case is filed chronologically after the last case for that same juvenile. Example: Adams, James C.'s first case was disposed of on 01/12/68 for offense 12; his second offense referral was disposed of on 02/24/68 for code 11 when he became one re-referral involving two cases. Ajax, William R.'s first case was disposed of on 07/01/67 for offense code 02; his second offense referral was disposed of on 08/02/68 for offense code 04 and his third offense referral was disposed of on 09/03/69 for offense code 05. He is identified as one re-referral individual with three cases. Amen, John T., appearing only once on 01/04/70 for offense code 02 is not recorded as a re-referral.

In compiling the tabulation as shown on the left, in column (D) there are 5 cases involving re-referrals, 2 for Adams, John T. and 3 for Ajax, William R. Column A shows a total of all the cases, which includes in addition 1 case for Amen, John T. Column C totals only two individual re-referrals, Adams, John C. and Ajax, William R. By definition, Amen, John T. is not an individual re-referral and is not included. However, in Column B, all three people, Adams, John C., Ajax, William R., and Amen, John T. represent individual juveniles and therefore are counted as such in the total.

As stated earlier, the reason for referral to the Department immediately preceding the last contact, defines the type of referral. For example, if a youth's next to last referral was for delinquency, and his last is for CINS, he is listed in the tables as a re-referral

under the delinquency category. Conversely, if the youth's next to last referral is for CINS, and he returns as a delinquent child, he is listed as a CINS re-referral, even though the last act was delinquent. Generally however, youth are referred back on the same type of referral - i.e., delinquent-delinquent, CINS-CINS, etc.

This basic procedure was used by the computer to tabulate the data for all counties and on a Statewide basis. A variation of this same procedure, using disposition code, rather than offense code, was used to compile data in the second section of this study dealing with disposition as treatment mode.

Conceptually, this study represents a bilateral approach to the study of re-referrals. Traditionally, studies have concentrated on a recidivism case study approach, tabulating collectively groups of multiple cases without attempting to track and identify the individuals responsible. This study adds two other dimensions with the help of modern technology and the computer: (1) an assessment of CINS, delinquent and non-delinquent referrals; and (2) distinguishing cases from individuals.

RE-REFERRALS BY COMPLAINT CATEGORY

Highlights

Slightly more than 75% of the individual juveniles referred to Juvenile Services between 1968 and 1973 were involved in the system only one time and were never referred back. Conversely, only 25% of the individuals referred to Juvenile Services in this six-year period were involved in re-referrals (as defined in this study - a new referral on a new charge on a date subsequent to that of the original charge). This 25% accounts for nearly 50% of the cases referred.

Within the various subdivisions, the rates vary from a high of 43% of the individuals re-referred, accounting for nearly 72% of the cases referred in Howard County, to a low of 14% of the individuals accounting for 26% of the total cases referred in Queen Anne's County.

The general pattern shows both the individual and case re-referral rates to be highest in the urban counties, and lowest in the more rural ones.

In terms of reason for referral ("complaint code"), those cases and individual juveniles who were involved in Auto-Theft, Robbery and Burglary appeared to be most frequent re-referrals, with a re-referral rate higher than 30%, accounting for more than 60% of those categories of the cases. Two other offense categories, Assault and Larceny exhibited very similar high rates. Because of the limited number of cases involved in two other high rate categories, Purse Snatching and Glue Sniffing, final determination will require more substantive corroboration.

The re-referral CINS (Child in Need of Supervision) rates of Runaway, Truancy, and Ungovernable, averaging 34% of the individuals

and accounting for 57% of those types of cases, was almost as high as the highest delinquency offenses (Auto Theft, Robbery, and Burglary, as noted above). Re-referral rates for Dependency and Neglect cases were the lowest for the entire group, averaging only 11% for the individuals, and accounting for 21% of the cases referred.

Only 16% of the individuals committing Narcotics Violation offenses were re-referred in the six-year period. However, nearly 47% of all the cases referred for that offense were re-referral cases. In comparing rates of individuals and cases by offense, this category has the highest rate; 3.65 cases per individual re-referral.* This suggests that the Narcotics Violator was more apt to repeat as a Narcotics Violator rather than for a different offense. The third highest such rate was Glue Sniffing and other Inhalents, which had a rate of 3.39 cases per individual re-referral. Two other offenses with similar high rates of cases per individual were Auto Theft, and Burglary/Breaking and Entering, with respective rates of 3.56 and 3.39.

In the following pages listing rates by the various subdivisions, there is considerable variation county by county and offense by offense, particularly in relation to urban-rural patterns. In general, it appears that the incidence and type of offense committed is largely a function of demographic factors related to the type of population distribution.

As an aid to analysis and interpretation of the tables in this section, non-delinquency referrals are presented separately from delinquency and CINS referrals. A more detailed discussion of this separation, as well as its lack of purity as mutually exclusive categories, were discussed earlier.

* As noted earlier, case rates include the initial reason for referral.

TABLE 1: Statewide - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Code Number	Statewide Delinquency - CINS Offenses	Cases			Individual Juveniles		
		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
01	DELINQUENT						
02	Arson	475	1,038	45.8	160	645	24.8
03	Assault	7,482	14,592	51.3	2,301	9,627	23.9
04	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	5,370	8,262	65.0	1,508	4,406	34.2
05	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	10,709	17,755	60.3	2,173	9,823	32.3
06	Larceny	6,934	14,046	49.4	2,131	8,872	24.0
07	Robbery	1,692	2,715	62.3	498	1,566	31.8
08	Disorderly Conduct	3,747	6,537	50.4	1,045	4,273	24.5
09	Sex Offense	426	908	46.9	149	595	25.0
10	Vandalism	2,338	5,370	43.5	783	3,589	21.8
11	Narcotics Violation	1,610	3,447	46.7	441	2,731	16.1
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents	358	552	64.9	115	337	34.1
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	737	1,720	42.8	217	1,365	15.9
14	Shoplifting	1,588	5,199	30.5	543	4,303	12.6
15	Purse Snatching	161	246	65.4	50	146	34.2
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation	505	888	56.9	155	609	25.5
17	Rec/Pass of Stolen Goods	245	456	53.7	73	312	23.4
18	Trespassing	825	1,685	49.0	292	1,168	25.0
19	False Fire Alarm	61	156	39.1	21	124	16.9
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	44,863	85,672	52.4	13,659	54,493	25.1
21	CINS						
22	Runaway	4,214	7,512	57.4	1,383	4,414	24.3
23	Treachery	2,989	5,703	52.4	1,130	3,392	33.3
	Ungovernable	9,135	15,886	58.8	3,304	8,952	36.9
	TOTAL CINS	16,338	29,101	57.2	5,817	16,738	34.7
40	OTHERS						
60	Mentally Handicapped	93	271	34.3	22	237	9.3
90	Special Proceedings	269	604	44.5	81	457	17.7
24	Violation of Supervision	146	153	95.4	33	107	30.8
	Miscellaneous	8,922	18,785	47.7	2,884	12,373	23.3
	TOTAL OTHERS	9,460	19,813	47.7	3,026	13,174	22.9
	Non-Delinquency Referrals						
30	NON-DELINQUENT						
31	Neglect	1,939	7,184	27.0	889	5,763	15.4
32	Dependency & Neglect	1,309	6,383	20.5	528	5,445	9.7
	TOTAL NON-DELINQUENT	3,248	13,567	18.0	1,417	11,208	9.6
	GRAND TOTAL	74,844	151,672	49.3	24,211	98,733	24.5

(B)

(C)

(A)

(D)

TABLE 2: Allegany County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Allegany County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
01	DELINQUENT Arson		7	0		7	0
02	Assault	38	94	40.4	8	75	10.7
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	33	59	55.9	12	38	31.6
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	71	150	47.3	17	112	15.2
05	Larceny	75	168	44.6	23	111	20.7
06	Robbery	2	5	40.0	1	4	25.0
07	Disorderly Conduct	13	41	31.7	4	30	13.3
08	Sex Offense	3	11	27.3	2	8	25.0
09	Vandalism	22	53	41.5	9	40	22.5
11	Narcotics Violation	9	26	34.6		26	0
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents						
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	28	89	31.5	11	72	15.3
14	Shoplifting	13	52	25.0	4	47	8.5
15	Purse Snatching		2	0		2	0
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation	2	5	40.0		4	0
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods	4	7	57.1	2	5	40.0
18	Trespassing		1	0		1	0
19	False Fire Alarm	1	4	25.0	1	3	33.3
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	314	774	40.6	94	585	16.1
	CINS						
21	Runaway	50	68	73.5	19	35	54.3
22	Truancy	28	59	47.5	16	37	43.2
23	Ungovernable	141	210	67.1	51	118	43.2
	TOTAL CINS	219	337	65.0	86	190	45.2
	OTHERS						
40	Mentally Handicapped	1	1	100.0		1	0
60	Special Proceedings	105	249	42.2	34	192	17.7
90	Violation of Supervision	12	12	100.0	4	8	50.0
24	Miscellaneous	580	1,337	43.4	223	976	22.8
	TOTAL OTHERS	698	1,599	43.7	261	1,177	22.2
	Non-Delinquency Referrals						
	NON-DELINQUENT						
30	Neglect	53	143	37.1	31	105	29.5
31	Dependency	32	67	47.8	22	43	51.2
32	Dependency & Neglect	43	75	57.3	22	47	46.8
	TOTAL NON DELINQUENCY	128	285	44.9	75	195	38.5
	GRAND TOTAL	1,359	2,995	45.4	516	2,147	24.0

(D) (A) (C) (B)

TABLE 3: Anne Arundel County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Anne Arundel County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
01	DELINQUENT Arson	49	89	55.1	11	49	22.4
02	Assault	374	827	45.2	126	566	22.3
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	374	554	67.5	93	290	32.1
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	722	1,145	63.1	218	598	36.5
05	Larceny	413	802	51.5	131	486	27.0
06	Robbery	51	74	68.9	17	40	42.5
07	Disorderly Conduct	191	393	48.6	61	270	22.6
08	Sex Offense	11	34	32.4	4	26	15.4
09	Vandalism	188	419	44.9	61	279	21.9
11	Narcotics Violation	154	317	48.6	41	251	16.3
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents	25	43	58.1	9	26	34.6
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	79	170	46.5	24	133	18.0
14	Shoplifting	52	174	29.9	19	143	13.3
15	Purse Snatching	1	1	100.0	1	1	100.0
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation	16	39	41.0	9	28	32.1
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods	19	35	54.3	4	29	13.8
18	Trespassing	32	63	50.8	13	37	33.1
19	False Fire Alarm						
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	2,751	5,179	53.1	842	3,251	25.9
	CINS						
21	Runaway	164	280	58.6	50	157	29.9
22	Truancy	471	781	60.3	185	427	43.3
23	Ungovernable	489	814	60.1	161	453	35.5
	TOTAL CINS	1,124	1,875	60.0	396	1,037	37.8
	OTHERS						
40	Mentally Handicapped	3	11	27.3		11	0
60	Special Proceedings		1	0		1	0
90	Violation of Supervision	16	17	94.1	2	15	13.3
24	Miscellaneous	578	1,093	52.9	167	670	24.9
	TOTAL OTHERS	597	1,122	53.2	169	697	24.2
	Non-Delinquency Referrals						
	NON-DELINQUENT						
30	Neglect	131	283	46.3	58	150	30.5
31	Dependency	106	239	35.3	47	228	20.6
32	Dependency & Neglect	9	19	47.4	4	14	28.6
	TOTAL NON DELINQUENCY	246	601	40.9	109	392	25.2
	GRAND TOTAL	4,718	8,777	53.8	1,516	5,427	27.9

(D) (A) (C) (B)

* Counted as individual in county where previous offense was committed

TABLE 4: Baltimore County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Baltimore County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
DELINQUENT							
01	Arson	18	52	34.6	7	40	17.5
02	Assault	776	1,525	50.9	242	1,001	24.2
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	803	1,170	68.6	217	571	38.0
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	1,031	1,930	53.4	323	1,175	27.5
05	Larceny	850	1,631	52.1	254	1,024	24.8
06	Robbery	73	118	61.9	22	71	31.0
07	Disorderly Conduct	580	1,107	52.4	183	672	27.2
08	Sex Offense	52	126	41.3	17	88	19.3
09	Vandalism	232	529	43.9	78	350	22.3
11	Narcotics Violation	308	764	40.3	82	637	12.9
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents	46	68	67.6	11	36	30.6
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	132	311	42.4	40	246	16.3
14	Shoplifting	322	807	39.9	116	612	19.0
15	Purse Snatching	4	9	44.4	1	6	16.7
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation	75	103	72.8	27	52	51.9
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods	10	19	52.6	2	13	15.4
18	Trespassing	77	176	43.8	30	127	23.6
19	False Fire Alarm	1	4	25.0		4	0
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	5,390	10,449	51.6	1,652	6,725	24.6
CINS							
21	Runaway	628	956	65.7	211	505	41.8
22	Truancy	466	824	56.6	177	466	38.0
23	Ungovernable	829	1,314	63.1	277	738	37.5
	TOTAL CINS	1,923	3,094	62.2	665	1,709	38.9
OTHERS							
40	Mentally Handicapped	7	21	33.3	1	19	5.3
60	Special Proceedings	2	9	22.2		9	0
90	Violation of Supervision	51	52	98.1	12	3	36.4
24	Miscellaneous	980	2,136	45.9	313	1,400	22.4
	TOTAL OTHERS	1,040	2,218	46.9	326	1,461	22.3
Non-Delinquency Referrals							
NON-DELINQUENT							
30	Neglect	436	1,238	35.2	179	911	19.6
31	Dependency	90	361	24.9	32	308	10.4
32	Dependency & Neglect	103	380	28.4	59	310	19.0
	TOTAL NON DELINQUENCY	629	1,979	32.0	270	1,529	17.7
	GRAND TOTAL	8,987	17,740	50.7	2,913	11,424	25.5

(D) (A) (C) (B)

TABLE 5: Calvert County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Calvert County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
DELINQUENT							
01	Arson	3	7	42.9	1	6	16.7
02	Assault	14	45	31.1	4	36	11.1
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	8	17	47.1	4	12	33.3
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	54	123	43.9	15	79	19.0
05	Larceny	51	124	41.1	15	87	17.2
06	Robbery	2	3	66.7		2	0
07	Disorderly Conduct	15	37	40.5	8	26	30.8
08	Sex Offense	2	8	25.0	1	7	14.3
09	Vandalism	15	40	37.5	3	33	9.1
11	Narcotics Violation	18	40	45.0	5	28	17.9
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents						
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	12	46	26.1	3	40	7.5
14	Shoplifting	5	19	26.3		16	0
15	Purse Snatching		1	0		1	0
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation	5	9	55.6	3	6	50.0
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods	2	3	66.7		3	0
18	Trespassing	4	15	26.7	2	12	16.7
19	False Fire Alarm		4	0		2	0
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	210	541	38.9	64	396	16.2
CINS							
21	Runaway	24	57	42.1	9	39	23.1
22	Truancy	27	79	34.2	9	57	15.8
23	Ungovernable	56	110	50.9	25	66	37.9
	TOTAL CINS	107	246	43.5	43	162	26.5
OTHERS							
40	Mentally Handicapped	1	1	100.0	1*		100.0
60	Special Proceedings						
90	Violation of Supervision						
24	Miscellaneous	16	70	22.9	6	59	10.2
	TOTAL OTHERS	17	71	23.9	7	59	11.9
Non-Delinquency Referrals							
NON-DELINQUENT							
30	Neglect	5	32	15.6		30	0
31	Dependency	4	14	28.6	2	11	18.2
32	Dependency & Neglect	2	63	3.2	1	62	1.6
	TOTAL NON DELINQUENCY	11	109	10.1	3	103	2.9
	GRAND TOTAL	345	967	35.7	117	720	16.3

(D) (A) (C) (B)

* Counted as individual in county where previous offense was committed

TABLE 6: Caroline County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Caroline County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
DELINQUENT							
01	Arson						
02	Assault	2	18	11.1		17	0
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	5	8	62.5	2	6	33.3
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	13	30	43.3	5	13	21.7
05	Larceny	17	47	36.2	8	37	21.6
06	Robbery		4	0		4	0
07	Disorderly Conduct		5	0		5	0
08	Sex Offense		1	0		1	0
09	Vandalism	7	14	50.0	3	9	33.3
11	Narcotics Violation		1	0		1	0
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents						
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	2	3	63.7		2	0
14	Shoplifting	5	9	62.5	2	5	40.0
15	Purse Snatching						
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation		2	0		2	0
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods						
18	Trespassing	1	2	50.0		2	0
19	False Fire Alarm						
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	52	143	36.4	10	114	8.8
CINS							
21	Runaway	11	20	55.0	5	15	33.3
22	Truancy	14	38	35.8	8	26	30.8
23	Ungovernable	5	76	46.0	13	58	22.4
	TOTAL CINS	30	134	44.8	26	99	26.5
OTHERS							
40	Mentally Handicapped		2	0		2	0
60	Special Proceedings		2	0		2	0
90	Violation of Supervision						
24	Miscellaneous	20	45	44.4	7	30	19.4
	TOTAL OTHERS	20	49	40.8	7	32	17.5
Non-Delinquency Referrals							
NON-DELIQUENT							
30	Neglect	10	112	17.0	9	100	9.0
31	Dependency	10	111	9.0	5	97	5.2
32	Dependency & Neglect		11	0		11	0
	TOTAL NON DELIQUENCY	20	234	12.4	14	208	6.7
	GRAND TOTAL	61	560	31.5	67	461	14.5

(C) (B)

TABLE 7: Carroll County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Carroll County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
DELINQUENT							
01	Arson	8	15	53.3	1	12	8.3
02	Assault	20	37	54.1	6	12	28.6
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	21	42	50.0	11	28	39.3
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	53	107	54.2	23	68	33.8
05	Larceny	43	124	34.7	15	96	15.6
06	Robbery	1	1	100.0		1	0
07	Disorderly Conduct	0	37	16.2		37	2.9
08	Sex Offense		2	0		2	0
09	Vandalism	10	41	24.4	5	32	15.6
11	Narcotics Violation	13	33	39.4	5	29	10.3
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents	1	1	100.0	1	1	100.0
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	9	17	52.9	5	10	50.0
14	Shoplifting	2	5	40.0		5	0
15	Purse Snatching						
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation						
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods	5	7	71.4	1	3	33.3
18	Trespassing	1	3	33.3	1	2	50.0
19	False Fire Alarm	1	3	33.3	1	2	50.0
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	199	475	41.9	74	346	21.4
CINS							
21	Runaway	17	50	34.0	8	38	21.1
22	Truancy	8	19	42.1	1	14	7.1
23	Ungovernable	24	57	42.1	11	41	26.8
	TOTAL CINS	49	126	38.9	20	93	21.5
OTHERS							
40	Mentally Handicapped		2	0		2	0
60	Special Proceedings						
90	Violation of Supervision	3	3	100.0	1	2	50.0
24	Miscellaneous	44	111	39.6	11	83	13.3
	TOTAL OTHERS	47	116	40.5	12	87	13.8
Non-Delinquency Referrals							
NON-DELIQUENT							
30	Neglect	20	127	15.7	8	112	7.1
31	Dependency		32	0		32	0
32	Dependency & Neglect	4	48	8.3	3	45	6.7
	TOTAL NON DELIQUENCY	24	207	11.6	11	169	5.8
	GRAND TOTAL	319	924	34.5	117	715	16.4

(D) (A) (C) (B)

* Counted as individual in county where previous offense was committed

TABLE 8: Cecil County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Cecil County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referral	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
DELINQUENT							
01	Arson	16	58	27.6	4	46	8.7
02	Assault	50	116	43.1	20	78	25.6
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	49	94	52.1	20	60	33.3
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	93	194	47.9	31	137	22.6
05	Larceny	104	233	44.6	35	163	21.5
06	Robbery	3	9	33.3		8	0
07	Disorderly Conduct	66	167	39.5	27	117	23.1
08	Sex Offense	3	9	33.3	3	6	50.0
09	Vandalism	48	112	42.9	23	76	30.3
11	Narcotics Violation	9	39	23.1	3	35	8.6
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents		2	0		2	0
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	16	40	40.0	4	35	11.4
14	Shoplifting	2	12	16.7		11	0
15	Purse Snatching	1	1	100.0	1*		100.0
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation	3	5	60.0	1	4	25.0
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods		2	0		2	0
18	Trespassing	5	18	27.8	1	15	6.7
19	False Fire Alarm	1	3	33.3	1	2	50.0
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	469	1,114	42.1	174	797	21.8
CINS							
21	Runaway	52	161	32.3	15	127	11.8
22	Truancy	81	120	67.5	28	61	45.9
23	Ungovernable	97	176	52.1	35	102	34.3
	TOTAL CINS	230	457	50.3	78	290	26.9
OTHERS							
40	Mentally Handicapped	2	9	22.2		9	0
60	Special Proceedings	1	2	50.0	1	1	100.0
90	Violation of Supervision						
24	Miscellaneous	62	154	40.3	19	117	16.2
	TOTAL OTHERS	65	165	39.4	20	127	15.7
Non-Delinquency Referrals							
NON-DELIQUENT							
30	Neglect	13	60	21.7	8	50	16.0
31	Dependency	11	70	15.7	4	63	6.3
32	Dependency & Neglect	7	38	18.4	2	36	5.6
	TOTAL NON DELIQUENCY	31	168	18.5	14	149	9.4
GRAND TOTAL		795	1,904	41.8	286	1,363	21.0

(D) (A) (C) (B)
* Counted as individual in county where previous offense was committed

TABLE 9: Charles County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Charles County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
DELINQUENT							
01	Arson	4	14	28.6	1	12	8.3
02	Assault	41	119	34.5	14	99	14.1
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	43	73	58.9	18	42	42.9
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	64	147	43.5	27	109	24.8
05	Larceny	53	139	38.1	19	104	18.3
06	Robbery	8	18	44.4	2	15	13.3
07	Disorderly Conduct	3	19	15.8	1	18	5.6
08	Sex Offense	8	13	61.5	3	7	42.9
09	Vandalism	27	80	33.8	11	68	16.2
11	Narcotics Violation	14	36	38.9	1	33	3.0
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents		2	0		2	0
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	16	41	39.0	3	38	7.9
14	Shoplifting	11	60	18.3	3	56	5.4
15	Purse Snatching						
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation	2	7	28.6		7	0
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods	5	16	31.3	2	13	15.4
18	Trespassing	3	7	42.9	2	5	40.0
19	False Fire Alarm		1	0		1	0
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	302	792	38.1	107	629	17.0
CINS							
21	Runaway	59	137	43.1	25	94	26.6
22	Truancy	68	184	37.0	28	138	20.3
23	Ungovernable	84	178	47.2	38	119	31.9
	TOTAL CINS	211	499	42.3	91	351	25.9
OTHERS							
40	Mentally Handicapped	1	5	20.0		5	0
60	Special Proceedings	1	2	50.0	1	1	100.0
90	Violation of Supervision	6	7	85.7	1	5	20.0
24	Miscellaneous	42	140	30.0	18	114	15.8
	TOTAL OTHERS	50	154	32.5	20	125	16.0
Non-Delinquency Referrals							
NON-DELIQUENT							
30	Neglect	54	162	33.3	26	129	20.2
31	Dependency	4	22	18.2	1	19	5.3
32	Dependency & Neglect	1	6	16.7		6	0
	TOTAL NON DELIQUENCY	59	190	31.1	27	154	17.5
GRAND TOTAL		622	1,635	38.0	245	1,259	19.5

TABLE 10: Dorchester County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Dorchester County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
01	DELINQUENT Arson	5	11	45.5	2	7	28.6
02	Assault	42	69	60.9	14	45	31.1
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	11	24	45.8	5	18	27.8
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	36	72	50.0	9	50	18.0
05	Larceny	84	182	46.2	38	121	31.4
06	Robbery	1	2	50.0	1	1	100.0
07	Disorderly Conduct	41	90	45.6	10	64	15.6
08	Sex Offense	2	11	18.2	1	9	11.1
09	Vandalism	49	102	48.0	20	69	29.0
11	Narcotics Violation	4	11	36.4	2	8	25.0
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents	2	2	100.0		2	0
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	12	27	44.4	1	23	4.3
14	Shoplifting	16	42	38.1	5	34	14.7
15	Purse Snatching						
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation		1	0		1	0
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods	3	3	100.0	1	1	100.0
18	Trespassing	4	4	100.0	3*		100.0
19	False Fire Alarm						
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	312	653	47.8	112	453	24.7
	CINS						
21	Runaway	10	31	32.3	5	25	20.0
22	Truancy	23	41	56.1	8	25	32.0
23	Ungovernable	30	48	62.5	12	27	44.4
	TOTAL CINS	63	120	52.5	25	77	32.5
	OTHERS						
40	Mentally Handicapped	2	8	25.0	1	7	14.3
60	Special Proceedings		1	0		1	0
90	Violation of Supervision	1	1	100.0		1	0
24	Miscellaneous	34	72	47.2	15	47	31.9
	TOTAL OTHERS	37	82	45.1	16	56	28.6
Non-Delinquency Referrals		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
30	NON-DELINQUENT Neglect	16	40	40.0	6	29	20.7
31	Dependency	28	113	24.8	16	94	17.0
32	Dependency & Neglect	4	23	17.4	1	22	4.5
	TOTAL NON DELINQUENCY	48	176	27.3	23	145	15.9
GRAND TOTAL		460	1,031	44.6	176	731	24.1

* Three counted as individuals in county where previous offenses were committed

TABLE 11: Frederick County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Frederick County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
01	DELINQUENT Arson	16	22	72.7	7	10	70.0
02	Assault	117	165	70.9	43	89	48.3
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	69	90	76.7	21	40	52.5
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	170	252	67.5	57	130	43.8
05	Larceny	151	292	51.7	45	170	26.5
06	Robbery	21	28	75.0	8	14	57.1
07	Disorderly Conduct	52	83	62.7	13	49	26.5
08	Sex Offense	5	9	55.6	1	6	16.7
09	Vandalism	34	59	57.6	13	31	41.9
11	Narcotics Violation	29	51	56.9	13	38	34.2
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents	2	2	100.0	1	1	100.0
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	7	15	46.7	1	14	7.1
14	Shoplifting	6	16	37.5	2	14	14.3
15	Purse Snatching						
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation	1	3	33.3		3	0
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods	1	2	50.0	1	1	100.0
18	Trespassing	3	4	75.0		4	0
19	False Fire Alarm		1	0		1	0
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	684	1,094	62.5	226	615	36.7
	CINS						
21	Runaway	71	135	52.6	26	84	31.0
22	Truancy	28	60	46.7	7	39	17.9
23	Ungovernable	95	133	71.4	38	70	54.3
	TOTAL CINS	194	328	59.1	71	193	36.8
	OTHERS						
40	Mentally Handicapped	2	3	66.7	1	2	50.0
60	Special Proceedings	5	7	71.4	2	5	40.0
90	Violation of Supervision	10	11	90.9	3	8	37.5
24	Miscellaneous	133	247	53.8	46	153	30.1
	TOTAL OTHERS	150	268	56.0	52	168	31.0
Non-Delinquency Referrals		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
30	NON-DELINQUENT Neglect	3	12	25.0	1	11	9.1
31	Dependency	6	17	35.3		16	0
32	Dependency & Neglect	1	17	5.9		17	0
	TOTAL NON DELINQUENCY	10	46	21.7	1	44	2.2
GRAND TOTAL		1,038	1,736	59.8	350	1,020	34.3

TABLE 12: Garrett County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Garrett County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
DELINQUENT							
01	Arson	4	5	80.0	2	3	66.7
02	Assault	12	27	44.4	6	18	33.3
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	11	20	55.0	4	16	25.0
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	19	35	54.3	8	23	34.8
05	Larceny	13	51	25.5	3	45	6.7
06	Robbery		1	0		1	0
07	Disorderly Conduct	5	25	20.0	3	22	13.6
08	Sex Offense	5	6	83.3		6	0
09	Vandalism	3	14	21.4		12	0
11	Narcotics Violation	7	22	31.8	1	21	4.8
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents						
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	3	5	60.0		4	0
14	Shoplifting	1	6	16.7		6	0
15	Purse Snatching						
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation	1	1	100.0		1	0
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods						
18	Trespassing	1	7	14.3	1	6	16.7
19	False Fire Alarm						
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	85	225	37.8	28	184	15.2
CINS							
21	Runaway	16	26	61.5	4	15	26.7
22	Truancy	10	23	43.5	3	19	15.8
23	Ungovernable	27	52	51.9	13	36	36.1
	TOTAL CINS	53	101	52.5	20	70	28.6
OTHERS							
40	Mentally Handicapped	1	1	100.0		1	0
60	Special Proceedings		4	0		4	0
90	Violation of Supervision						
24	Miscellaneous	45	152	29.6	18	125	14.4
	TOTAL OTHERS	46	157	29.3	18	130	13.8
Non-Delinquency Referrals		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
NON-DELINQUENT							
30	Neglect	16	97	16.5	7	89	7.9
31	Dependency		7	0		7	0
32	Dependency & Neglect	9	12	75.0	7*	3	100.0
	TOTAL NON DELINQUENCY	25	116	21.6	14	99	14.1
GRAND TOTAL		209	599	34.9	80	483	16.6

* Four counted as individuals in county where previous offenses were committed

TABLE 13: Harford County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Harford County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
DELINQUENT							
01	Arson	5	23	21.7	2	19	10.5
02	Assault	120	271	44.3	35	203	17.2
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	96	166	57.8	28	103	27.2
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	222	395	56.2	67	242	27.7
05	Larceny	271	547	49.5	101	337	30.0
06	Robbery	17	24	70.8	6	13	46.2
07	Disorderly Conduct	88	246	35.8	29	185	15.7
08	Sex Offense	13	18	72.2	4	8	50.0
09	Vandalism	69	210	32.9	25	164	15.2
11	Narcotics Violation	48	113	42.5	12	97	12.4
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents	4	11	36.4	2	9	22.2
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	43	120	35.8	15	100	15.0
14	Shoplifting	53	225	23.6	22	197	11.2
15	Purse Snatching	1	1	100.0		1	0
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation	12	22	54.5	3	16	18.8
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods	2	6	33.3	1	5	20.0
18	Trespassing	40	81	49.4	14	62	22.6
19	False Fire Alarm	1	1	100.0		1	0
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	1,105	2,480	44.6	366	1,762	20.8
CINS							
21	Runaway	131	280	46.8	50	192	26.0
22	Truancy	210	323	65.0	76	170	44.7
23	Ungovernable	224	339	66.1	88	184	47.8
	TOTAL CINS	565	942	60.0	214	546	39.2
OTHERS							
40	Mentally Handicapped	1	4	25.0		4	0
60	Special Proceedings	12	27	44.4	2	20	10.0
90	Violation of Supervision	3	3	100.0	1	1	100.0
24	Miscellaneous	252	467	54.0	87	286	30.4
	TOTAL OTHERS	268	501	53.5	90	311	32.2
Non-Delinquency Referrals		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
NON-DELINQUENT							
30	Neglect	92	231	39.8	36	171	21.1
31	Dependency	34	145	23.4	16	119	13.4
32	Dependency & Neglect	25	81	30.9	13	67	19.4
	TOTAL NON DELINQUENCY	151	457	35.2	65	357	18.2
GRAND TOTAL		2,089	4,380	47.7	735	2,976	24.7

TABLE 14: Howard County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Howard County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referral	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
DELINQUENT							
01	Arson	11	14	78.6	4	5	66.7
02	Assault	107	142	75.4	34	68	50.0
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	142	166	85.5	26	53	49.1
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	275	344	80.0	75	133	56.4
05	Larceny	163	214	76.2	50	94	53.2
06	Robbery	12	17	70.6	7*	6	100.0
07	Disorderly Conduct	61	81	75.3	16	36	44.4
08	Sex Offense	6	11	54.5	3	6	50.0
09	Vandalism	64	88	72.7	23	44	52.3
11	Narcotics Violation	27	42	64.3	6	33	18.2
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents	6	8	75.0	3	4	75.0
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	2	8	25.0		7	0
14	Shoplifting	12	47	25.5	4	42	9.5
15	Purse Snatching	4	4	100.0	1	2	50.0
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation	11	13	84.6	3	5	60.0
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods	3	8	37.5		7	0
18	Trespassing	7	9	77.8	3	6	50.0
19	False Fire Alarm		3	0		3	0
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	913	1,219	74.9	258	555	46.5
CINS							
21	Runaway	80	106	75.5	18	44	40.9
22	Truancy	32	34	94.1	11*	6	100.0
23	Ungovernable	136	169	80.5	40	76	52.6
	TOTAL CINS	248	309	80.3	69	126	54.8
OTHERS							
40	Mentally Handicapped	5	7	71.4		5	0
60	Special Proceedings	8	8	100.0		3	0
90	Violation of Supervision	4	4	100.0		3	0
24	Miscellaneous	207	296	69.9	55	135	40.7
	TOTAL OTHERS	224	315	71.1	55	146	37.7
Non-Delinquency Referrals							
NON-DELIQUENT							
30	Neglect	67	120	55.8	26	81	32.1
31	Dependency	35	96	36.5	17	69	24.6
32	Dependency & Neglect	6	24	25.0	5	18	27.8
	TOTAL NON DELINQUENCY	108	240	45.0	48	168	28.6
GRAND TOTAL		1,493	2,083	71.7	430	995	43.2

(D) (A) (C) (B)
* Six counted as individuals in county where previous offenses were committed

TABLE 15: Kent County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Kent County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
DELINQUENT							
01	Arson		2	0		2	0
02	Assault	13	37	35.1	4	32	12.5
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	8	11	57.1	4	9	44.4
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	31	62	50.0	10	43	23.3
05	Larceny	38	81	46.9	9	57	15.8
06	Robbery	5	5	100.0	1	1	100.0
07	Disorderly Conduct	19	45	42.2	8	33	24.2
08	Sex Offense	1	5	20.0		5	0
09	Vandalism	12	37	32.4	3	30	10.0
11	Narcotics Violation	7	12	58.3	3	9	33.3
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents						
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	6	15	40.0	2	13	15.4
14	Shoplifting	1	1	100.0		1	0
15	Purse Snatching						
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation	3	3	100.0	1	2	50.0
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods	1	1	100.0	1*		100.0
18	Trespassing	3	4	75.0	1	2	50.0
19	False Fire Alarm						
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	148	324	45.7	47	239	19.7
CINS							
21	Runaway	6	24	25.0	1	23	4.3
22	Truancy	6	11	54.5	2	6	33.3
23	Ungovernable	45	69	62.3	17	43	39.5
	TOTAL CINS	57	104	52.9	20	72	27.8
OTHERS							
40	Mentally Handicapped						
60	Special Proceedings						
90	Violation of Supervision	2	2	100.0	2*		100.0
24	Miscellaneous	29	67	43.3	15	42	35.7
	TOTAL OTHERS	31	69	44.9	17	42	40.5
Non-Delinquency Referrals							
NON-DELIQUENT							
30	Neglect	14	89	15.7	11	75	14.7
31	Dependency	16	68	23.5	7	58	12.1
32	Dependency & Neglect		14	0		14	0
	TOTAL NON DELINQUENCY	30	171	17.5	18	147	12.2
GRAND TOTAL		264	668	39.5	102	500	20.4

(D) (A) (C) (B)
* Three counted as individuals in county where previous offenses were committed

TABLE 16: Montgomery County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Montgomery County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referral	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
DELINQUENT							
01	Arson	15	63	23.8	5	38	13.2
02	Assault	285	621	45.9	99	417	23.7
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	253	436	58.0	66	245	26.9
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	646	1,193	54.1	193	629	30.7
05	Larceny	710	1,629	43.6	218	1,072	20.3
06	Robbery	97	183	53.0	24	120	20.0
07	Disorderly Conduct	190	377	50.4	66	226	29.2
08	Sex Offense	39	90	43.3	14	60	23.3
09	Vandalism	210	504	41.7	64	316	20.3
11	Narcotics Violation	99	225	44.0	29	178	16.3
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents	5	6	83.3	2	3	66.7
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	48	96	50.0	11	80	13.8
14	Shoplifting	5	16	31.3		15	0
15	Purse Snatching						
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation	10	19	52.6		18	0
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods	3	4	75.0	2	2	100.0
18	Trespassing	51	101	50.5	19	68	27.9
19	False Fire Alarm	4	5	80.0	2	2	100.0
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	2,670	5,568	48.0	814	3,489	23.3
CINS							
21	Runaway	1,358	2,014	67.4	377	967	39.0
22	Truancy	75	154	48.7	22	83	26.5
23	Ungovernable	581	1,041	55.8	208	578	36.0
	TOTAL CINS	2,014	3,209	62.8	607	1,629	37.3
OTHERS							
40	Mentally Handicapped		3	0		2	0
60	Special Proceedings	4	8	50.0	1	5	20.0
90	Violation of Supervision	1	1	100.0			
24	Miscellaneous	804	2,350	34.2	252	1,746	14.4
	TOTAL OTHERS	809	2,362	34.3	253	1,753	14.4
Non-Delinquency Referrals		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
NON-DELINQUENT							
30	Neglect	6	42	14.3	2	35	5.7
31	Dependency	162	975	16.6	72	835	8.6
32	Dependency & Neglect	3	12	25.0	1	11	9.1
	TOTAL NON DELINQUENCY	171	1,029	16.6	75	881	8.5
GRAND TOTAL		5,664	12,168	46.5	1,749	7,751	22.6

TABLE 17: Prince George's County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Prince George's County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
DELINQUENT							
01	Arson	92	191	48.2	32	112	28.6
02	Assault	1,330	2,721	48.9	408	1,804	22.6
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	1,382	2,323	59.5	400	1,305	30.7
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	1,967	3,182	61.8	550	1,713	32.1
05	Larceny	1,128	2,528	44.6	346	1,722	20.1
06	Robbery	330	605	54.5	91	393	23.2
07	Disorderly Conduct	633	1,264	50.1	208	814	25.6
08	Sex Offense	87	159	54.7	35	95	36.8
09	Vandalism	485	1,019	47.6	162	654	24.8
11	Narcotics Violation	397	814	48.8	116	629	18.4
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents	30	49	61.2	11	30	36.7
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	159	298	53.4	51	213	23.9
14	Shoplifting	527	2,087	25.3	183	1,781	10.3
15	Purse Snatching	3	6	50.0		6	0
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation	108	188	57.4	24	132	18.2
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods	122	217	56.2	37	139	26.6
18	Trespassing	248	474	52.3	85	324	26.2
19	False Fire Alarm	5	23	21.7	3	20	15.0
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	9,033	18,148	49.8	2,742	11,886	23.1
CINS							
21	Runaway	913	1,564	58.4	296	927	32.1
22	Truancy	476	857	55.5	175	523	33.5
23	Ungovernable	2,084	3,467	60.1	712	1,910	37.3
	TOTAL CINS	3,473	5,888	59.0	1,183	3,359	35.3
OTHERS							
40	Mentally Handicapped	17	56	30.4	2	51	3.9
60	Special Proceedings	22	58	37.9	15	33	45.5
90	Violation of Supervision	2	2	100.0	1*		0
24	Miscellaneous	1,663	3,410	48.8	556	2,133	26.1
	TOTAL OTHERS	1,704	3,526	48.3	574	2,217	25.9
Non-Delinquency Referrals		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
NON-DELINQUENT							
30	Neglect	273	1,229	22.2	126	1,034	12.2
31	Dependency	262	1,379	19.0	94	1,180	8.0
32	Dependency & Neglect	8	46	17.4	4	41	9.8
	TOTAL NON DELINQUENCY	543	2,654	20.5	224	2,255	9.9
GRAND TOTAL		14,753	30,216	48.8	4,723	19,713	24.0

* Counted as individual in county where previous offense was committed

TABLE 18: Queen Anne's County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Queen Anne's County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
01	DELINQUENT Arson	1	2	50.0	1	1	100.0
02	Assault	6	40	15.0	3	35	8.6
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	6	8	75.0	2	5	40.0
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	22	42	52.4	9	30	30.0
05	Larceny	26	57	45.6	12	38	31.6
06	Robbery	1	5	33.3	1	2	50.0
07	Disorderly Conduct	3	12	25.0	1	10	10.0
08	Sex Offense		3	0		3	0
09	Vandalism	6	25	24.0	2	23	8.7
11	Narcotics Violation	1	5	20.0		5	0
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents						
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	7	20	35.0	4	16	25.0
14	Shoplifting	8	18	44.4	3	15	20.0
15	Purse Snatching						
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation	1	5	20.0	1	4	25.0
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods						
18	Trespassing	2	11	18.2		11	0
19	False Fire Alarm						
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	90	251	35.9	39	198	19.7
21	CINS Runaway	1	17	5.9	1	16	6.3
22	Truancy	10	26	38.5	3	20	15.0
23	Ungovernable	20	56	35.7	5	46	10.9
	TOTAL CINS	31	99	31.5	9	82	11.0
40	OTHERS Mentally Handicapped	1	3	33.3		2	0
60	Special Proceedings	1	1	100.0		1	0
90	Violation of Supervision	1	1	100.0		1	0
24	Miscellaneous	40	140	28.6	21	112	18.8
	TOTAL OTHERS	43	145	29.7	21	116	18.1
Non-Delinquency Referrals		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
30	NON-DELINQUENT Neglect	25	186	13.4	16	168	9.5
31	Dependency	42	203	20.7	15	180	8.3
32	Dependency & Neglect	9	42	21.4	5	33	15.2
	TOTAL NON DELINQUENCY	76	431	17.6	36	381	9.4
GRAND TOTAL		240	926	25.9	105	777	13.5

(D) (A) (C) (B)

TABLE 19: St. Mary's County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

St. Mary's County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
01	DELINQUENT Arson	13	36	36.1	6	30	20.0
02	Assault	18	67	26.9	7	54	13.0
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	21	44	47.7	8	27	29.6
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	56	156	35.9	25	120	20.8
05	Larceny	26	86	30.2	14	68	20.6
06	Robbery		3	0		3	0
07	Disorderly Conduct	17	43	39.5	5	34	14.7
08	Sex Offense	2	10	20.0	1	9	11.1
09	Vandalism	10	53	18.9	6	45	13.3
11	Narcotics Violation	16	44	36.4	4	35	11.4
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents		3	0		3	0
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	14	39	35.9	5	31	16.1
14	Shoplifting	6	36	16.7	2	30	6.7
15	Purse Snatching						
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation	5	6	83.3	3	3	100.0
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods	3	7	42.9	1	6	16.7
18	Trespassing	7	20	35.0	2	17	11.8
19	False Fire Alarm	2	3	66.7		2	0
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	216	656	32.9	89	517	17.2
21	CINS Runaway	31	85	36.5	10	67	14.9
22	Truancy	22	79	27.8	12	62	19.4
23	Ungovernable	28	140	20.0	13	117	11.1
	TOTAL CINS	81	304	26.6	35	246	14.2
40	OTHERS Mentally Handicapped						
60	Special Proceedings						
90	Violation of Supervision						
24	Miscellaneous	47	160	29.4	18	126	14.3
	TOTAL OTHERS	47	160	29.4	18	126	14.3
Non-Delinquency Referrals		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
30	NON-DELINQUENT Neglect	5	53	9.4		50	0
31	Dependency	2	35	5.7		31	0
32	Dependency & Neglect	2	12	16.7		12	0
	TOTAL NON DELINQUENCY	9	100	9.0		93	0
GRAND TOTAL		353	1,220	28.9	142	982	14.5

(D) (A) (C) (B)

TABLE 20: Somerset County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

38

Somerset County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
01	DELINQUENT						
01	Arson	1	3	33.3	1	2	50.0
02	Assault	11	26	42.3	4	17	23.5
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	8	13	61.5	4	8	50.0
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	30	63	47.6	10	47	21.3
05	Larceny	39	82	47.6	14	60	23.3
06	Robbery	2	4	50.0		2	0
07	Disorderly Conduct	22	76	28.9	10	62	16.1
08	Sex Offense	1	1	100.0		1	0
09	Vandalism	19	50	38.0	8	39	20.5
11	Narcotics Violation	1	1	100.0		1	0
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents						
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation						
14	Shoplifting	1	6	16.7	1	5	20.0
15	Purse Snatching						
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation						
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods	3	5	60.0		5	0
18	Trespassing		1	0		1	0
19	False Fire Alarm						
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	138	331	41.7	52	250	20.8
	CINS						
21	Runaway	8	23	34.8	2	19	10.5
22	Truancy	3	7	42.9		7	0
23	Ungovernable	20	50	40.0	8	36	22.2
	TOTAL CINS	31	80	38.8	10	62	16.1
	OTHERS						
40	Mentally Handicapped						
60	Special Proceedings						
90	Violation of Supervision						
24	Miscellaneous	13	40	32.5	5	32	15.6
	TOTAL OTHERS	13	40	32.5	5	32	15.6
Non-Delinquency Referrals		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
	NON-DELINQUENT						
30	Neglect	9	78	11.5	5	72	6.9
31	Dependency	3	65	4.6	2	62	3.2
32	Dependency & Neglect						
	TOTAL NON DELINQUENCY	12	143	8.4	7	134	5.2
GRAND TOTAL		194	594	32.7	74	478	15.5

(D)

(A)

(C)

(B)

TABLE 21: Talbot County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

39

Talbot County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
01	DELINQUENT						
01	Arson	4	8	50.0	3	4	75.0
02	Assault	19	50	38.0	6	42	14.3
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	13	29	44.8	1	27	3.7
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	13	26	50.0	7	17	41.2
05	Larceny	37	79	46.8	14	52	26.9
06	Robbery	1	1	100.0	1*		100.0
07	Disorderly Conduct	3	13	23.1	2	11	18.2
08	Sex Offense	3	8	37.5	3	5	60.0
09	Vandalism	11	44	25.0	6	37	16.2
11	Narcotics Violation	14	30	46.7	3	22	13.6
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents	1	1	100.0	1*		100.0
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	5	13	38.5		10	0
14	Shoplifting	4	12	33.3	1	11	9.1
15	Purse Snatching						
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation						
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods						
18	Trespassing	3	4	75.0	1	3	33.3
19	False Fire Alarm	1	2	50.0		2	0
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	132	320	41.3	49	243	20.2
	CINS						
21	Runaway	6	15	40.0	3	11	27.3
22	Truancy	11	23	47.8	9	13	69.2
23	Ungovernable	33	74	44.6	16	52	30.8
	TOTAL CINS	50	112	44.6	28	76	36.8
	OTHERS						
40	Mentally Handicapped		6	0		6	0
60	Special Proceedings	2	3	66.7		3	0
90	Violation of Supervision	3	3	100.0		3	0
24	Miscellaneous	10	50	20.0	4	42	9.5
	TOTAL OTHERS	15	62	24.2	4	54	7.4
Non-Delinquency Referrals		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
	NON-DELINQUENT						
30	Neglect	5	64	7.8	1	59	1.7
31	Dependency	1	26	3.8		26	0
32	Dependency & Neglect		1	0		1	0
	TOTAL DELINQUENCY	6	91	6.6	1	86	1.2
GRAND TOTAL		203	585	34.7	82	459	17.9

(D)

(A)

(C)

(B)

* Two counted as individuals in county where previous offenses were committed

TABLE 22: Washington County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Washington County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
01	DELINQUENT Arson	13	23	56.5	6	12	50.0
02	Assault	87	179	48.6	31	130	23.8
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	62	93	66.7	15	45	33.3
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	159	256	62.1	48	152	31.6
05	Larceny	145	280	51.8	48	162	29.6
06	Robbery	19	28	67.9	5	12	41.7
07	Disorderly Conduct	50	80	62.5	22	46	47.8
08	Sex Offense	4	12	33.3	3	8	37.5
09	Vandalism	26	70	37.1	5	53	9.4
11	Narcotics Violation	5	22	22.7		21	0
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents	6	8	75.0	1	4	25.0
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	29	59	49.2	6	47	12.8
14	Shoplifting	14	49	28.6	4	41	9.8
15	Purse Snatching						
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation	4	9	44.4	2	6	33.3
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods	6	15	40.0	4	11	36.4
18	Trespassing	5	11	45.5	1	9	11.1
19	False Fire Alarm	4	6	66.7	1	3	33.3
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	638	1,200	53.2	202	762	26.5
21	CINS Runaway	146	213	68.5	48	124	38.7
22	Truancy	75	116	64.7	31	63	49.2
23	Ungovernable	189	310	61.0	77	177	43.5
	TOTAL CINS	410	639	64.2	156	364	42.9
40	OTHERS Mentally Handicapped	8	10	80.0	3	6	50.0
60	Special Proceedings	60	90	66.7	14	60	23.3
90	Violation of Supervision	17	19	89.5	6	12	50.0
24	Miscellaneous	1,208	1,917	63.0	414	1,097	37.7
	TOTAL OTHERS	1,293	2,036	63.5	437	1,175	37.2
Non-Delinquency Referrals		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referral	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
30	NON-DELIQUENT Neglect	68	283	24.0	40	224	17.9
31	Dependency	112	365	30.7	40	293	13.7
32	Dependency & Neglect	22	72	30.6	7	63	11.1
	TOTAL NON DELIQUENCY	202	720	28.1	87	580	15.0
GRAND TOTAL		2,543	4,595	55.3	882	2,881	30.6

(D) (A) (C) (B)

TABLE 23: Wicomico County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Wicomico County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
01	DELINQUENT Arson	1	3	33.3	1	2	50.0
02	Assault	25	57	43.9	10	41	24.4
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	22	55	40.0	10	38	26.3
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	63	154	40.9	27	111	24.3
05	Larceny	107	333	32.1	45	259	17.4
06	Robbery	5	9	55.6	2	7	28.6
07	Disorderly Conduct	19	33	57.6	5	23	21.7
08	Sex Offense		3	0		3	0
09	Vandalism	21	81	25.9	11	62	17.7
11	Narcotics Violation	16	45	35.6	4	39	10.3
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents						
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	2	16	12.5		16	0
14	Shoplifting	16	60	26.7	3	56	5.4
15	Purse Snatching	1	2	50.0		2	0
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation	2	5	40.0	1	4	25.0
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods	3	7	42.9		7	0
18	Trespassing		3	0		3	0
19	False Fire Alarm						
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	303	866	35.0	119	673	17.7
21	CINS Runaway	18	37	48.7	12	22	54.5
22	Truancy	16	47	34.0	8	32	25.0
23	Ungovernable	26	59	44.1	13	40	32.5
	TOTAL CINS	60	143	42.0	33	94	35.1
40	OTHERS Mentally Handicapped	4	6	66.7	2	4	50.0
60	Special Proceedings	5	6	83.3		6	0
90	Violation of Supervision		135	37.8	22	101	21.8
24	Miscellaneous	51	147	40.8	24	111	21.6
	TOTAL OTHERS	60	147	40.8	24	111	21.6
Non-Delinquency Referrals		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
30	NON-DELIQUENT Neglect	22	121	18.2	11	106	10.4
31	Dependency	25	224	11.2	9	214	4.2
32	Dependency & Neglect	4	43	9.3	2	40	5.0
	TOTAL NON DELIQUENCY	51	388	13.1	22	360	6.1
GRAND TOTAL		474	1,544	30.7	198	1,238	16.0

(D) (A) (C) (B)

TABLE 24: Worcester County - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Worcester County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
01	DELINQUENT Arson	4	6	66.7		2	0
02	Assault	10	48	20.8	5	42	11.9
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	30	49	61.2	14	23	60.9
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	40	88	45.5	10	64	15.6
05	Larceny	60	190	31.6	22	151	14.6
06	Robbery	4	11	36.4		10	0
07	Disorderly Conduct	30	121	24.8	11	99	11.1
08	Sex Offense		5	0		5	0
09	Vandalism	12	63	19.0	3	54	5.6
11	Narcotics Violation	60	156	38.5	20	130	15.4
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents		2	0		2	0
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	6	8	75.0	2	6	33.3
14	Shoplifting	6	23	26.1	3	20	15.0
15	Purse Snatching						
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation	3	8	37.5	1	6	16.7
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods	6	15	40.0	2	11	18.2
18	Trespassing	3	31	9.7	2	29	6.9
19	False Fire Alarm						
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	274	824	33.3	95	654	14.5
21	CINS Runaway	158	417	37.9	56	289	19.4
22	Truancy	19	29	65.5	8	15	53.3
23	Ungovernable	43	73	58.9	18	44	40.9
	TOTAL CINS	220	519	42.4	82	348	23.6
40	OTHERS Mentally Handicapped						
60	Special Proceedings						
90	Violation of Supervision	9	9	100.0		9	0
24	Miscellaneous	286	940	30.4	109	763	14.3
	TOTAL OTHERS	295	949	31.1	109	772	14.1
Non-Delinquency Referrals		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
30	NON-DELIQUENT Neglect	34	86	39.5	13	68	19.1
31	Dependency	7	43	16.3	3	40	7.5
32	Dependency & Neglect	1	23	4.3	1	22	4.5
	TOTAL NON DELIQUENCY	42	152	27.6	17	130	13.1
GRAND TOTAL		831	2,444	34.0	303	1,904	15.9

TABLE 25: Baltimore City - Re-referral by Complaint Code
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Baltimore City		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Code Number	Delinquency-CINS Offenses	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
01	DELINQUENT Arson	192	384	50.0	63	223	28.3
02	Assault	3,965	7,291	54.4	1,172	4,697	25.0
03	Auto Theft-Unauthorized Use	1,900	2,715	70.0	523	1,397	37.4
04	Burglary-Breaking & Entering	4,854	7,609	63.8	1,409	4,018	35.1
05	Larceny	2,330	4,147	56.2	652	2,356	27.7
06	Robbery	1,037	1,559	66.5	309	838	36.9
07	Disorderly Conduct	1,240	2,242	55.3	355	1,386	25.6
08	Sex Offense	179	353	50.7	54	221	24.4
09	Vandalism	758	1,663	45.6	239	1,069	22.4
11	Narcotics Violation	354	598	59.2	93	425	21.9
12	Glue Sniffing/Other Inhalents	230	344	66.9	73	213	34.3
13	Alcoholic Beverage Violation	100	264	37.9	29	209	13.9
14	Shoplifting	500	1,418	35.3	166	1,140	14.6
15	Purse Snatching	146	219	66.7	46	126	36.5
16	Firearms/Deadly Weapon Violation	241	435	55.4	76	305	24.9
17	Rec/Poss of Stolen Goods	44	77	57.1	12	49	24.5
18	Trespassing	325	635	51.2	111	422	26.3
19	False Fire Alarm	40	93	43.0	12	76	15.8
	TOTAL DELINQUENT	18,435	32,046	57.5	5,394	19,170	28.1
21	CINS Runaway	356	796	44.7	132	574	23.0
22	Truancy	810	1,769	45.8	303	1,083	28.0
23	Ungovernable	4,001	6,871	58.2	1,415	3,821	37.0
	TOTAL CINS	5,167	9,436	54.8	1,850	5,478	33.8
40	OTHERS Mentally Handicapped	41	118	34.7	13	102	12.7
60	Special Proceedings	42	126	33.3	9	112	8.0
90	Violation of Supervision						
24	Miscellaneous	1,808	3,254	55.6	483	1,976	24.4
	TOTAL OTHERS	1,891	3,498	54.1	505	2,190	23.1
Non-Delinquency Referrals		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per 100	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Juveniles	Rate Per 100
30	NON-DELIQUENT Neglect	553	2,296	24.1	269	1,864	14.4
31	Dependency	317	1,646	19.3	124	1,420	8.7
32	Dependency & Neglect	367	2,457	14.9	161	2,205	7.3
	TOTAL NON DELIQUENCY	1,237	6,399	19.3	554	5,489	10.1
GRAND TOTAL		26,730	51,379	52.0	8,303	32,327	25.7

RE-REFERRALS BY GENERAL CATEGORY

Highlights

A comparison of re-referral rates, by category, reveals some very interesting statistics. For this comparison, the data were categorized into four groups, delinquency, CINS, other, and Non-Delinquency (dependency and/or neglect) cases. This "other" group includes "adult contributing" and "adult non-support" cases, mentally handicapped, special proceedings, violation of supervision, and a number of miscellaneous offenses which were not specifically identified in the data bank and could not therefore be placed in a specific category.

An analysis of the statistics indicate that on an average basis, each juvenile re-entering the system had been referred slightly more than three times. This rate of cases per individual re-referral varies within the several groups. The delinquent re-referral was referred most frequently, an average of 3.28 times, while the non-delinquent was referred least frequently - only 2.26 times. The rate for CINS falls between these two, at an average of 2.86 cases per individual re-referral.

In terms of individual rates, it is interesting to note that re-referrals in the CINS category were referred at a rate 9% higher than the delinquency referral. However, when "case" rates are compared, the CINS case rate was only about 5% greater than the delinquency case rate. This indicates that, while more individual CINS are re-referred, they are not referred as many times. This is confirmed by the rate of cases per individual re-referral showing a difference of .42 cases between the two groups. Multiplied by the

number of individual delinquent re-referrals, this rate difference accounts for a significant difference of 5,736 cases.

These statistics point out several aspects of the re-referral situation. The number of individual re-referrals is as important as the number of times each is referred. Each finding leads to different programmatic implications. For example, a greater number of individual re-referrals with fewer returns per individuals, such as shown by the CINS group, could be interpreted as being more amenable to treatment. Conversely, a smaller number of individual re-referrals with more frequent returns per individual (as with the delinquency group) could suggest resistance to a particular treatment approach, or inadequacy of treatment for that type of problem. In either case, the complexity of the problem of re-referral is illustrated by the many facets which must be considered in attempting to gain insight or perspective regarding its implications.

TABLE 25a
Re-referral Rates By General Category
July 1, 1968 - June 30, 1973

Category	Cases			Individuals			Cases Per per Juvenile Re-referrals
	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Case	Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juvenile	
Delinquency	44,863	85,672	52.4%	13,659	54,493	25.1%	3.28
CINS	16,638	29,101	57.2%	5,817	16,758	34.7%	2.86
Others *	9,460	19,813	47.7%	3,020	13,174	22.9%	3.13
Non-Delinquency Referrals							
	3,883	17,086	22.7%	1,715	14,308	12.0%	2.26
Total	74,844	151,672	49.3%	24,211	98,733	24.5%	3.09

*Others includes Mentally Handicapped, Special Proceedings, Violation of Supervision and miscellaneous offenses.

RE-REFERRALS BY DISPOSITION
Highlights

In this section, the disposition made regarding the re-referral cases identified in the preceding section is compared with the total disposition for all cases and a rate determined on this basis. Consistent with our operational definition regarding re-referrals, the disposition immediately preceding the final one will be the one used in the following tables.

An examination of the list of dispositions, (as shown in the tables) reveals that the first two, Petitions Withdrawn, and Dismissed, are actually "non-convictions" or "non-adjudicated." However, in recompiling the rates without these two groups, it was found that the re-referral rate for individuals in these two categories was very similar to the overall State rate of individual re-referrals. The fact that such a large group were consistently being referred back even though they were initially not adjudicated, is in itself significant and merits follow-up research to determine why. For this reason, these two categories are included in the overall rates.

Committed to Social Services, involving Dependency and Neglect cases, was found to have the lowest re-referral case rate -- 27%. Warned, Adjusted or Counseled, involving mostly informal cases, and Custody Awarded and Referred to Other Agency, also involving mostly dependency and neglect cases, also had low case rates, with a sufficiently large data base to warrant an acceptable degree of confidence. Probation Without Verdict had a 34.4% case rate; however, the relatively small data base was inadequate to assure the required level of confidence. Further corroboration is needed for this category.

Three other dispositions, Committed to Mental Institution, Juvenile Services, and Group Homes, showed high re-referral case rates, although the individual re-referral rates were below 30%. However, the number of cases involved in these categories is relatively small, because the three categories are relatively new.

Protective Supervision appeared to have a lower re-referral rate than Probation. However, prior to establishment of the CINS category by law, juvenile counselors handled delinquency and CINS cases without such distinction. Therefore, the apparently lower rate of Protective Supervision is inconclusive at this time.

Jurisdiction Waived reveals a surprisingly high re-referral rate, with 31% of the individuals accounting for 72% of the total cases in that group. The rate is surprisingly high, because most cases are waived to the adult correctional system. Apparently, the re-referral individuals in this group were still young enough to be referred back to the juvenile system on a new charge after their disposition in the adult courts. Here, the inability to track juveniles into the adult system precludes a more accurate determination of this aspect of recidivism.

Committed To Training Schools shows that 44% of the individuals treated in this manner had previously been through one of the 23 disposition modes at least once. These individuals accounted for 80% of the cases that committed youth to one or more of the juvenile institutions. Continued Case Without Finding had the highest individual re-referral rate, with 45% of the individuals returning to the system. While this individual rate is slightly higher than Committed to Training School (44%), the case rate for Continued Case Without Finding was considerably lower - 58.6% (as compared with 79.5% for the training school cases). This indicates that while the number

of individual juvenile re-referrals was high in this latter category, each was responsible for an average of only 2.4 referrals as compared with individual re-referrals Committed to Training Schools who were responsible for an average of 3.9 referrals to the juvenile justice system.

A cursory examination would suggest that the rate of re-referral appears inversely related to the intensity of services provided. Cases involving extensive or prolonged contact with the system, such as a training school commitment, have higher re-referral rates while cases involving minimal contact, such as Warned, Adjusted or Counseled, have lower rates.

However, if it is assumed that treatment, or disposition, is also based on the severity of the activity involved, it appears that the more favorable rates associated with minimal involvement in the system reflect the fact that more juveniles and more cases are minimally involved and consequently are being treated by a spectrum of alternative treatment modes allowing less depth of involvement in the system. In general, this data reveals that juveniles commit fewer of the more serious offenses that require extended treatment, and a greater number of the less serious offenses that require minimal only involvement.

TABLE 26: Statewide
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Statewide		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	1,596	3,457	46.2	514	2,321	22.1
02	Dismissed	11,799	24,183	48.8	3,433	16,338	21.0
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	18,782	44,470	42.2	6,836	30,151	22.7
04	Jurisdiction Waived	2,065	2,877	71.8	531	1,712	31.0
05	Continue Case Without Finding	1,575	2,688	58.6	647	1,439	45.0
06	Custody Awarded	1,610	3,985	40.4	522	2,875	18.2
07	Committed Social Services	3,188	11,887	26.8	1,168	9,673	12.1
08	Committed Training School	7,224	9,089	79.5	1,864	4,147	44.9
09	Probation	16,011	28,130	56.9	5,148	16,496	31.2
10	Referred to Other Agency	1,171	2,711	43.2	514	1,765	29.1
11	Restitution or Fine	323	725	44.6	102	473	21.6
12	Support Ordered or Revised	1,086	2,306	47.1	393	1,589	24.7
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution	65	135	48.1	14	101	13.9
14	Suspended Sentence	322	499	64.5	106	277	38.3
15	Other	5,566	9,805	56.8	1,765	5,718	30.9
16	Committed Mental Institution	138	198	69.7	32	146	21.9
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care	12	28	42.9	6	19	31.6
18	Stet	1,110	1,871	59.3	285	1,331	21.4
19	Probation Without Verdict	105	305	34.4	29	261	11.1
20	Committed Juvenile Services	435	626	69.5	122	418	29.2
21	Purchase of Care	7	16	43.8	3	12	25.0
22	Group Home	39	56	69.6	10	43	23.3
23	Protective Supervision	821	1,883	43.6	224	1,535	14.6
Total		75,050	151,930	49.4	24,268	98,840	24.6
		(D)	(A)		(C)	(B)	

TABLE 27: Allegany County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Allegany County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	13	27	48.1	5	19	26.3
02	Dismissed	53	167	31.7	21	131	16.0
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	78	203	38.4	30	163	18.4
04	Jurisdiction Waived	8	10	80.0	1	9	11.1
05	Continue Case Without Finding	8	21	38.1	3	15	20.0
06	Custody Awarded	158	309	51.1	52	228	22.8
07	Committed Social Services	47	75	62.7	35*	34	100.0
08	Committed Training School	112	137	81.8	21	83	25.3
09	Probation	314	783	40.1	131	548	23.9
10	Referred to Other Agency	7	10	70.0	3	5	60.0
11	Restitution or Fine	12	51	23.5	8	38	21.1
12	Support Ordered or Revised	145	315	46.0	49	228	21.5
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution	22	44	50.0	7	34	20.6
14	Suspended Sentence	24	45	53.3	11	30	36.7
15	Other	289	624	62.3	122	431	28.3
16	Committed Mental Institution	10	11	90.9	2	9	22.2
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care		2	0		2	0
18	Stet	12	22	54.5	1	21	4.8
19	Probation Without Verdict	11	65	16.9	5	59	8.5
20	Committed Juvenile Services	16	18	88.9	1	14	7.1
21	Purchase of Care	2	2	100.0	1*		100.0
22	Group Home	4	5	80.0	1	4	25.0
23	Protective Supervision	8	44	18.2	5	39	12.8
Total		1,353	2,990	45.3	515	2,144	24.0
		(D)	(A)		(C)	(B)	

* counted as individual in county where previous offense was committed

TABLE 28: Anne Arundel County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Anne Arundel County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	505	974	51.8	145	622	23.3
02	Dismissed	505	1,079	46.8	144	739	19.5
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	990	2,387	41.5	426	1,595	26.7
04	Jurisdiction Waived	133	207	64.3	35	88	39.8
05	Continue Case Without Finding	450	844	53.3	132	585	22.6
06	Custody Awarded	303	506	59.9	91	295	30.8
07	Committed Social Services	251	467	53.7	102	276	37.0
08	Committed Training School	286	326	87.7	70	97	72.2
09	Probation	808	1,218	66.3	220	662	33.2
10	Referred to Other Agency	72	112	64.3	26	45	57.8
11	Restitution or Fine	20	43	46.5	8	29	27.6
12	Support Ordered or Revised	10	27	37.0	4	22	18.2
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution						
14	Suspended Sentence						
15	Other	324	455	71.2	90	242	37.2
16	Committed Mental Institution	5	5	100.0	2 *	1	100.0
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care						
18	Stet		1	0		1	0
19	Probation Without Verdict						
20	Committed Juvenile Services	28	33	84.8	12	16	75.0
21	Purchase of Care	2	2	100.0		2	0
22	Group Home						
23	Protective Supervision	119	225	52.9	33	173	19.1
Total		4,811	8,911	54.0	1,540	5,490	28.1

* counted as individual in county where previous offense was committed

TABLE 29: Baltimore County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Baltimore County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	23	53	43.4	9	40	22.5
02	Dismissed	1,509	3,472	43.5	515	2,246	22.9
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	2,508	5,667	44.3	868	3,997	21.7
04	Jurisdiction Waived	121	154	78.6	22	94	23.4
05	Continue Case Without Finding	4	6	66.7	2	3	66.7
06	Custody Awarded	195	404	48.3	60	282	21.3
07	Committed Social Services	585	1,556	37.6	214	1,157	18.5
08	Committed Training School	802	956	83.9	218	443	49.2
09	Probation	1,836	3,079	59.6	600	1,733	34.6
10	Referred to Other Agency	210	431	48.7	103	264	39.0
11	Restitution or Fine	2	4	50.0		4	0
12	Support Ordered or Revised	11	12	91.7	3	7	42.9
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution	3	5	60.0	1	2	50.0
14	Suspended Sentence	6	15	40.0	1	13	7.7
15	Other	820	1,312	62.5	208	683	30.5
16	Committed Mental Institution	27	39	69.2	5	29	17.2
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care	1	5	20.0	1	4	25.0
18	Stet	219	319	68.7	57	213	26.8
19	Probation Without Verdict	68	161	42.2	18	131	13.7
20	Committed Juvenile Services	71	89	79.8	25	47	53.2
21	Purchase of Care						
22	Group Home						
23	Protective Supervision	26	44	59.1	9	32	28.1
Total		9,047	17,783	50.9	2,939	11,424	25.7

TABLE 30: Calvert County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

54

Calvert County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn						
02	Dismissed	34	83	41.0	5	61	8.2
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	152	488	31.1	54	379	14.2
04	Jurisdiction Waived	6	13	46.2		10	0
05	Continue Case Without Finding	6	7	85.7	3 *	2	100.0
06	Custody Awarded	1	37	2.7		37	0
07	Committed Social Services	12	66	18.2	3	59	5.1
08	Committed Training School	38	59	64.4	12	30	40.0
09	Probation	68	149	45.6	28	96	29.2
10	Referred to Other Agency	6	24	25.0	5	18	27.8
11	Restitution or Fine	1	1	100.0		1	0
12	Support Ordered or Revised		1	0		1	0
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution		4	0		4	0
14	Suspended Sentence		1	0		1	0
15	Other	16	28	57.1	4	18	22.2
16	Committed Mental Institution	2	2	100.0	2 *		100.0
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care						
18	Stet						
19	Probation Without Verdict						
20	Committed Juvenile Services	2	2	100.0	1	1	100.0
21	Purchase of Care						
22	Group Home						
23	Protective Supervision	1	3	33.3		3	0
Total		345	968	35.6	117	721	16.2

(D) (A) (C) (B)

* three counted as individuals in county where previous offenses were committed

TABLE 31: Caroline County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

55

Caroline County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	5	10	50.0	2	8	25.0
02	Dismissed	19	41	46.3	8	30	26.7
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	41	105	39.0	26	68	38.2
04	Jurisdiction Waived	11	21	52.4		17	0
05	Continue Case Without Finding	7	17	41.2	2	15	13.3
06	Custody Awarded	7	107	6.5	3	95	3.2
07	Committed Social Services	22	130	16.9	8	120	6.7
08	Committed Training School	7	14	50.0		14	0
09	Probation	15	42	35.7	6	34	17.6
10	Referred to Other Agency	9	17	52.9	9 *	8	100.0
11	Restitution or Fine	1	4	25.0	1	3	33.0
12	Support Ordered or Revised		7	0		7	0
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution						
14	Suspended Sentence						
15	Other	7	28	25.0		27	0
16	Committed Mental Institution						
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care						
18	Stet	1	1	100.0	1 *		100.0
19	Probation Without Verdict						
20	Committed Juvenile Services						
21	Purchase of Care						
22	Group Home	3	4	75.0		4	0
23	Protective Supervision	6	14	42.9	1	13	7.7
Total		161	562	28.6	67	463	14.5

(D) (A) (C) (B)

* two counted as individuals in county where previous offenses were committed

TABLE 32: Carroll County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Carroll County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	8	24	33.3	5	17	29.4
02	Dismissed	35	108	32.4	11	91	12.1
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	48	160	30.0	26	116	22.4
04	Jurisdiction Waived	11	27	40.7	2	19	10.5
05	Continue Case Without Finding	6	13	46.2	1	10	10.0
06	Custody Awarded	13	33	39.4	4	28	14.3
07	Committed Social Services	27	178	15.2	9	159	5.7
08	Committed Training School	27	40	67.5	9	19	47.4
09	Probation	122	259	47.1	41	187	21.9
10	Referred to Other Agency						
11	Restitution or Fine	2	10	20.0	1	9	11.1
12	Support Ordered or Revised		2	0		2	0
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution		1	0		1	0
14	Suspended Sentence		1	0		1	0
15	Other	15	44	34.1	7	33	21.2
16	Committed Mental Institution		1	0		1	0
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care						
18	Stet						
19	Probation Without Verdict						
20	Committed Juvenile Services	5	9	55.6	1	8	12.5
21	Purchase of Care						
22	Group Home	1	2	50.0		2	0
23	Protective Supervision	1	13	7.7	1	12	8.3
Total		321	925	34.7	118	715	16.5

(D) (A) (C) (B)

TABLE 33: Cecil County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Cecil County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	26	64	40.6	14	44	31.8
02	Dismissed	84	196	42.9	30	127	23.6
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	330	840	39.3	125	588	21.3
04	Jurisdiction Waived	25	44	56.8	3	40	7.5
05	Continue Case Without Finding	10	18	55.6	3	11	27.3
06	Custody Awarded	13	48	27.1	3	44	6.8
07	Committed Social Services	21	108	19.4	10	96	10.4
08	Committed Training School	75	96	78.1	22	53	41.5
09	Probation	130	284	45.8	47	208	22.6
10	Referred to Other Agency	14	39	35.9	8	28	28.6
11	Restitution or Fine	10	30	33.3	4	24	16.7
12	Support Ordered or Revised	2	2	100.0	1*		100.0
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution		2	0		2	0
14	Suspended Sentence	1	1	100.0		1	0
15	Other	41	98	41.8	14	69	20.3
16	Committed Mental Institution	1	2	50.0		2	0
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care		1	0		1	0
18	Stet						
19	Probation Without Verdict	2	3	66.7		2	0
20	Committed Juvenile Services	4	4	100.0	1	2	50.0
21	Purchase of Care	1	3	33.3	1	2	50.0
22	Group Home	2	3	66.7		3	0
23	Protective Supervision	4	17	23.5	1	15	6.7
Total		796	1,903	41.8	287	1,362	21.1

(D) (A) (C) (B)

* counted as individual in county where previous offense was committed

TABLE 34: Charles County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Charles County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	12	19	63.2	6	11	54.5
02	Dismissed	68	168	40.5	37	118	31.4
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	229	783	29.2	104	616	16.9
04	Jurisdiction Waived	9	12	75.0	2	9	22.2
05	Continue Case Without Finding	15	21	71.4	3	18	16.7
06	Custody Awarded	7	39	17.9	3	36	8.3
07	Committed Social Services	17	69	24.6	3	65	4.6
08	Committed Training School	77	107	72.0	23	70	32.9
09	Probation	113	237	47.7	37	186	19.9
10	Referred to Other Agency	17	38	44.7	12	20	60.0
11	Restitution or Fine		5	0		5	0
12	Support Ordered or Revised						
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution						
14	Suspended Sentence	2	2	100.0		2	0
15	Other	31	82	37.8	8	57	14.0
16	Committed Mental Institution	4	5	80.0	1	4	25.0
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care		1	0		1	0
18	Stet	6	19	31.6	1	18	5.6
19	Probation Without Verdict	1	1	100.0		1	0
20	Committed Juvenile Services	2	4	50.0	1	3	33.3
21	Purchase of Care						
22	Group Home						
23	Protective Supervision	12	23	52.2	4	19	21.1
Total		622	1,635	38.0	245	1,259	19.5
		(D)	(A)		(C)	(B)	

TABLE 35: Dorchester County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Dorchester County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	2	5	40.0		4	0
02	Dismissed	33	78	42.3	9	54	16.7
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	91	279	32.6	40	213	18.8
04	Jurisdiction Waived	80	146	54.8	30	105	28.6
05	Continue Case Without Finding	11	17	64.7	11*	6	100.0
06	Custody Awarded	15	70	21.4	4	63	6.3
07	Committed Social Services	25	82	30.5	11	70	15.7
08	Committed Training School	73	99	73.7	18	53	34.0
09	Probation	90	161	55.9	37	95	38.9
10	Referred to Other Agency	13	21	61.9	7	13	53.8
11	Restitution or Fine	2	10	20.0	1	8	12.5
12	Support Ordered or Revised		4	0		4	0
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution		1	0		1	0
14	Suspended Sentence		1	0		1	0
15	Other	18	35	51.4	7	22	31.8
16	Committed Mental Institution	1	1	100.0		1	0
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care	2	4	50.0		3	0
18	Stet	1	6	16.7		5	0
19	Probation Without Verdict						
20	Committed Juvenile Services		3	0		3	0
21	Purchase of Care		1	0		1	0
22	Group Home	2	2	100.0		2	0
23	Protective Supervision		4	0		4	0
Total		459	1,030	44.6	175	731	23.9
		(D)	(A)		(C)	(B)	

* five counted as individuals in county where previous offenses were committed

TABLE 36: Frederick County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Frederick County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	2	5	40.0	1	4	25.0
02	Dismissed	70	129	54.3	17	87	19.5
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	214	556	38.4	83	393	21.1
04	Jurisdiction Waived	64	78	82.1	16	52	30.8
05	Continue Case Without Finding	60	62	96.8	28 *	6	100.0
06	Custody Awarded	12	19	63.2	4	13	30.8
07	Committed Social Services	28	64	43.8	11	45	24.4
08	Committed Training School	99	114	86.8	23	64	35.9
09	Probation	139	195	71.3	30	139	21.6
10	Referred to Other Agency	26	35	74.3	12 *	10	100.0
11	Restitution or Fine	2	5	40.0		5	0
12	Support Ordered or Revised						
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution						
14	Suspended Sentence	1	2	50.0	1	1	100.0
15	Other	298	436	68.3	117	175	66.9
16	Committed Mental Institution	2	3	66.7	1	2	50.0
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care						
18	Stet						
19	Probation Without Verdict						
20	Committed Juvenile Services	2	4	50.0	1	3	33.3
21	Purchase of Care						
22	Group Home						
23	Protective Supervision	14	25	56.0	4	21	19.0
Total		1,033	1,732	59.6	349	1,020	34.2

(D)

(A)

(C)

(B)

* twenty-four counted as individuals in county where previous offenses were committed

TABLE 37: Garrett County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Garrett County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	5	19	26.3	4	14	28.6
02	Dismissed	14	93	15.1	10	81	12.3
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	25	82	30.5	12	67	17.9
04	Jurisdiction Waived	16	20	80.0	1	18	5.6
05	Continue Case Without Finding	6	6	100.0	3 *	2	100.0
06	Custody Awarded	5	33	15.2	2	30	6.7
07	Committed Social Services	11	53	20.8	3	49	6.1
08	Committed Training School	42	54	77.8	8	38	21.1
09	Probation	68	178	38.2	31	131	23.7
10	Referred to Other Agency	1	12	8.3	1	11	9.1
11	Restitution or Fine		2	0		2	0
12	Support Ordered or Revised						
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution	5	9	55.6	1	8	12.5
14	Suspended Sentence		2	0		2	0
15	Other	7	21	33.3	2	17	11.8
16	Committed Mental Institution	1	1	100.0		1	0
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care						
18	Stet	1	1	100.0		1	0
19	Probation Without Verdict	2	12	16.7	2	10	20.0
20	Committed Juvenile Services						
21	Purchase of Care						
22	Group Home						
23	Protective Supervision						
Total		209	598	34.9	80	482	16.6

(D)

(A)

(C)

(B)

* counted as individual in county where previous offense was committed

TABLE 38: Harford County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Harford County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	19	51	37.3	8	37	21.6
02	Dismissed	114	266	42.9	31	201	15.4
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	674	1,869	36.1	264	1,424	18.5
04	Jurisdiction Waived	19	31	61.3	3	18	16.7
05	Continue Case Without Finding	164	212	77.4	74 *	55	100.0
06	Custody Awarded	25	67	37.3	8	52	15.4
07	Committed Social Services	127	371	34.2	52	288	18.1
08	Committed Training School	158	184	85.9	50	79	63.3
09	Probation	538	849	63.4	156	503	31.0
10	Referred to Other Agency	60	126	47.6	32	78	41.0
11	Restitution or Fine	18	44	40.9	8	26	30.8
12	Support Ordered or Revised	3	9	33.3		9	0
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution	7	9	77.8	1	7	14.3
14	Suspended Sentence						
15	Other	159	256	62.1	41	153	26.8
16	Committed Mental Institution	3	4	75.0		4	0
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care	1	2	50.0	1	1	100.0
18	Stet	9	9	100.0	1	5	20.0
19	Probation Without Verdict	7	14	50.0	1	12	8.3
20	Committed Juvenile Services	8	15	53.3	2	13	15.4
21	Purchase of Care						
22	Group Home	1	1	100.0	1 *		100.0
23	Protective Supervision	10	21	47.6	3	17	17.6
Total		2,124	4,410	48.2	737	2,982	24.7
		(D)	(A)		(C)	(B)	

* twenty counted as individuals in county where previous offenses were committed

TABLE 39: Howard County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Howard County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	13	36	36.1	6	29	20.7
02	Dismissed	251	405	62.0	67	248	27.0
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	81	216	37.5	34	158	21.5
04	Jurisdiction Waived	28	39	71.8	6	23	26.1
05	Continue Case Without Finding	108	111	97.3	42 *	6	100.0
06	Custody Awarded	358	416	86.1	126 *	102	100.0
07	Committed Social Services	40	112	35.7	18	82	22.0
08	Committed Training School	55	59	93.2	11	18	61.1
09	Probation	207	284	72.9	59	134	44.0
10	Referred to Other Agency	13	13	100.0	2	2	100.0
11	Restitution or Fine	8	8	100.0	2	4	50.0
12	Support Ordered or Revised	7	25	28.0	1	16	6.3
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution						
14	Suspended Sentence	3	3	100.0	1 **		100.0
15	Other	284	307	92.5	46	141	32.6
16	Committed Mental Institution	1	1	100.0		1	0
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care						
18	Stet						
19	Probation Without Verdict	7	13	53.8	2	11	18.2
20	Committed Juvenile Services	26	28	92.9	4	13	30.8
21	Purchase of Care						
22	Group Home						
23	Protective Supervision	10	14	71.4	4	8	50.0
Total		1,500	2,090	71.8	431	996	43.3
		(D)	(A)		(C)	(B)	

* sixty-one counted as individuals in county where previous offenses were committed

TABLE 40: Kent County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Kent County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	8	11	72.7	2	4	50.0
02	Dismissed	12	56	21.4	3	47	6.4
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	50	115	43.5	21	82	25.6
04	Jurisdiction Waived	14	19	73.7	2	16	12.5
05	Continue Case Without Finding	3	5	60.0	1	4	25.0
06	Custody Awarded	4	43	9.3	3	39	7.7
07	Committed Social Services	25	96	26.0	13	79	16.5
08	Committed Training School	31	40	77.5	10	22	45.5
09	Probation	73	185	39.5	34	128	26.6
10	Referred to Other Agency	1	6	16.7		6	0
11	Restitution or Fine	4	13	30.8		13	0
12	Support Ordered or Revised	3	24	12.5	1	22	4.5
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution	1	1	100.0		1	0
14	Suspended Sentence		1	0		1	0
15	Other	17	28	60.7	9	17	52.9
16	Committed Mental Institution						
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care						
18	Stet						
19	Probation Without Verdict						
20	Committed Juvenile Services	4	4	100.0	2*	1	100.0
21	Purchase of Care						
22	Group Home	5	6	83.3	1	5	20.0
23	Protective Supervision	9	15	60.0		13	0
Total		264	668	39.5	102	500	20.4
		(D)	(A)		(C)	(B)	

* counted as individual in county where previous offense was committed

TABLE 41: Montgomery County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Montgomery County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	9	38	23.7	4	30	13.3
02	Dismissed	456	1,388	32.9	148	1,033	14.3
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	2,623	5,171	50.7	817	3,169	25.8
04	Jurisdiction Waived	42	71	59.2	11	47	23.4
05	Continue Case Without Finding	163	377	43.2	61	239	25.5
06	Custody Awarded	123	364	33.8	40	266	15.0
07	Committed Social Services	205	661	31.0	63	495	12.7
08	Committed Training School	343	437	78.5	91	162	56.2
09	Probation	1,288	2,461	52.3	383	1,417	27.0
10	Referred to Other Agency	31	82	37.8	7	59	11.9
11	Restitution or Fine	24	58	41.4	6	37	16.2
12	Support Ordered or Revised	79	405	19.5	30	362	8.3
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution		4	0		4	0
14	Suspended Sentence	27	41	65.9	6	19	31.6
15	Other	224	556	40.3	73	373	19.6
16	Committed Mental Institution	2	4	50.0		4	0
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care						
18	Stet		5	0		5	0
19	Probation Without Verdict	1	7	14.3		7	0
20	Committed Juvenile Services	11	17	64.7	3	12	25.0
21	Purchase of Care						
22	Group Home	6	9	66.7	4	4	100.0
23	Protective Supervision	5	10	50.0	3	5	60.0
Total		5,663	12,166	46.5	1,750	7,749	22.6
		(D)	(A)		(C)	(B)	

TABLE 42: Prince George's County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Prince George's County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	226	531	42.6	81	358	22.6
02	Dismissed	1,396	2,769	50.4	403	1,800	22.4
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	3,926	10,097	38.9	1,464	7,158	20.5
04	Jurisdiction Waived	360	484	74.4	130	181	71.8
05	Continue Case Without Finding	360	560	64.3	190	230	82.6
06	Custody Awarded	209	799	26.2	63	670	9.4
07	Committed Social Services	523	1,708	30.6	187	1,347	13.9
08	Committed Training School	862	1,073	80.3	195	477	40.9
09	Probation	2,988	4,677	63.9	829	2,489	33.3
10	Referred to Other Agency	497	1,309	38.0	185	936	19.8
11	Restitution or Fine	152	299	50.8	47	175	26.9
12	Support Ordered or Revised	2	4	50.0	2	2	100.0
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution	4	8	50.0	1	5	20.0
14	Suspended Sentence	43	72	59.7	12	38	31.6
15	Other	1,984	3,804	52.2	611	2,412	25.3
16	Committed Mental Institution	36	44	81.8	8	28	28.6
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care	6	9	66.7	4	4	100.0
18	Stet	854	1,476	57.9	223	1,055	21.1
19	Probation Without Verdict	1	2	50.0		2	0
20	Committed Juvenile Services	101	155	65.2	23	119	19.3
21	Purchase of Care						
22	Group Home	4	6	66.7	1	5	20.0
23	Protective Supervision	222	332	66.9	65	222	29.3
Total		14,756	30,218	48.8	4,724	19,713	24.0
		(D)	(A)		(C)	(B)	

TABLE 43: Queen Anne's County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Queen Anne's County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	4	17	23.5	3	14	21.4
02	Dismissed	17	85	20.0	3	77	3.9
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	49	135	36.3	23	103	22.3
04	Jurisdiction Waived	7	18	38.9	2	15	13.3
05	Continue Case Without Finding	4	14	28.6	4	10	40.0
06	Custody Awarded	19	76	25.0	10	63	15.9
07	Committed Social Services	7	57	12.3	3	53	5.7
08	Committed Training School	14	25	56.0	5	17	29.4
09	Probation	46	147	31.3	20	118	16.9
10	Referred to Other Agency	2	10	20.0	1	9	11.1
11	Restitution or Fine	2	6	33.3		5	0
12	Support Ordered or Revised	56	290	19.3	25	255	9.8
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution						
14	Suspended Sentence	1	1	100.0		1	0
15	Other	8	38	21.1	5	31	16.1
16	Committed Mental Institution						
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care						
18	Stet						
19	Probation Without Verdict						
20	Committed Juvenile Services						
21	Purchase of Care						
22	Group Home	3	4	75.0	1	3	33.3
23	Protective Supervision	1	2	50.0		2	0
Total		240	925	25.9	105	776	13.5
		(D)	(A)		(C)	(B)	

TABLE 44: St. Mary's County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

St. Mary's County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn		1	0		1	0
02	Dismissed	53	159	33.3	14	130	10.8
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	107	407	26.3	52	331	15.7
04	Jurisdiction Waived	3	16	18.8		15	0
05	Continue Case Without Finding	14	42	33.3	8	31	25.8
06	Custody Awarded	5	21	23.8		16	0
07	Committed Social Services	4	68	5.9	1	66	1.5
08	Committed Training School	33	72	45.8	8	55	14.5
09	Probation	95	327	29.1	43	255	16.9
10	Referred to Other Agency	7	20	35.0	3	15	20.0
11	Restitution or Fine	1	1	100.0		1	0
12	Support Ordered or Revised						
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution		2	0		1	0
14	Suspended Sentence						
15	Other	19	65	29.2	11	50	22.0
16	Committed Mental Institution	2	3	66.7		3	0
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care	1	2	50.0		2	0
18	Stet	3	6	50.0	1	3	33.3
19	Probation Without Verdict						
20	Committed Juvenile Services	2	2	100.0		2	0
21	Purchase of Care						
22	Group Home	1	1	100.0		1	0
23	Protective Supervision	3	5	60.0	1	4	25.0
Total		353	1,220	28.9	142	982	14.5
		(D)	(A)		(C)	(B)	

TABLE 45: Somerset County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Somerset County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn		1	0		1	0
02	Dismissed	9	36	25.0	1	33	3.0
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	72	205	35.1	33	154	21.4
04	Jurisdiction Waived	34	66	51.5	8	54	14.8
05	Continue Case Without Finding	9	41	22.0	5	34	14.7
06	Custody Awarded	3	26	11.5	1	25	4.0
07	Committed Social Services	8	80	10.0	4	75	5.3
08	Committed Training School	12	15	80.0	5	7	71.4
09	Probation	38	103	36.9	13	79	16.5
10	Referred to Other Agency						
11	Restitution or Fine						
12	Support Ordered or Revised						
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution						
14	Suspended Sentence						
15	Other	6	9	66.7	2	6	33.3
16	Committed Mental Institution						
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care						
18	Stet						
19	Probation Without Verdict						
20	Committed Juvenile Services						
21	Purchase of Care						
22	Group Home	1	2	50.0		2	0
23	Protective Supervision	2	10	20.0	2	8	25.0
Total		194	594	32.7	74	478	15.5
		(D)	(A)		(C)	(B)	

TABLE 46: Talbot County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Talbot County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	4	10	40.0	1	8	12.5
02	Dismissed	29	68	42.6	7	51	13.7
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	48	131	36.6	26	95	27.4
04	Jurisdiction Waived	22	33	66.7	4	24	16.7
05	Continue Case Without Finding		3	0		3	0
06	Custody Awarded	9	57	15.8	1	54	1.9
07	Committed Social Services	1	32	3.1	1	29	3.4
08	Committed Training School	12	26	46.2	2	22	9.1
09	Probation	40	139	28.8	21	112	18.8
10	Referred to Other Agency	5	18	27.8	3	15	20.0
11	Restitution or Fine	1	6	16.7	1	5	20.0
12	Support Ordered or Revised						
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution						
14	Suspended Sentence	1	3	33.3	1	2	50.0
15	Other	23	43	53.5	11	26	42.3
16	Committed Mental Institution						
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care						
18	Stet						
19	Probation Without Verdict						
20	Committed Juvenile Services						
21	Purchase of Care	1	7	14.3	1	6	16.7
22	Group Home	1	1	100.0		1	0
23	Protective Supervision	5	16	31.3	2	14	14.3
Total		202	593	34.1	82	467	17.6
		(D)	(A)		(C)	(B)	

TABLE 47: Washington County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Washington County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	32	77	41.6	12	57	21.1
02	Dismissed	169	354	47.7	62	245	25.3
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	192	380	50.5	71	251	28.3
04	Jurisdiction Waived	117	162	72.2	29	97	29.9
05	Continue Case Without Finding	125	217	57.6	51	117	43.6
06	Custody Awarded	94	305	30.8	34	242	14.0
07	Committed Social Services	114	327	34.9	37	255	14.5
08	Committed Training School	231	265	87.2	57	127	44.9
09	Probation	238	576	41.3	101	385	26.2
10	Referred to Other Agency	16	34	47.1	11	19	57.9
11	Restitution or Fine	41	76	53.9	13	53	24.5
12	Support Ordered or Revised	767	1,178	65.1	276	652	42.3
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution	22	30	73.3	3	17	17.6
14	Suspended Sentence	213	308	69.2	73	164	44.5
15	Other	107	184	58.2	29	118	24.6
16	Committed Mental Institution	13	16	81.3	1	14	7.1
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care	1	2	50.0		1	0
18	Stet	2	3	66.7		2	0
19	Probation Without Verdict	4	22	18.2	1	21	4.8
20	Committed Juvenile Services	15	21	71.4	11 *	8	100.0
21	Purchase of Care						
22	Group Home	1	1	100.0	1 *		100.0
23	Protective Supervision	18	49	36.7	6	36	16.7
Total		2,532	4,587	55.2	879	2,881	30.5
		(D)	(A)		(C)	(B)	

* four counted as individuals in county where previous offenses were committed

TABLE 48: Wicomico County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Wicomico County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	13	27	48.1	4	20	20.0
02	Dismissed	44	122	36.1	15	99	15.2
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	86	375	22.9	44	305	14.4
04	Jurisdiction Waived	47	81	58.0	11	64	17.2
05	Continue Case Without Finding	8	22	36.4	5	15	33.3
06	Custody Awarded	23	147	15.6	8	139	5.8
07	Committed Social Services	29	187	15.5	11	172	6.4
08	Committed Training School	64	115	55.7	20	71	28.2
09	Probation	121	362	33.4	60	273	22.0
10	Referred to Other Agency	2	12	16.7	2	10	20.0
11	Restitution or Fine						
12	Support Ordered or Revised	1	1	100.0	1 *		100.0
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution						
14	Suspended Sentence						
15	Other	34	80	42.5	16	58	27.6
16	Committed Mental Institution						
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care						
18	Stet		1	0		1	0
19	Probation Without Verdict		1	0		1	0
20	Committed Juvenile Services						
21	Purchase of Care		2	0		2	0
22	Group Home						
23	Protective Supervision	2	8	25.0	1	7	14.3
Total		474	1,543	30.7	198	1,237	16.0

(D)

(A)

(C)

(B)

* counted as individual in county where previous offense was committed

TABLE 49: Worcester County
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Worcester County		Cases			Individual Juveniles		
Disposition (Treatment)		Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01	Petition Withdrawn	1	4	25.0	1	3	33.3
02	Dismissed	63	144	43.8	19	117	16.2
03	Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	518	1,710	30.3	199	1,341	14.8
04	Jurisdiction Waived	25	54	46.3	3	48	6.3
05	Continue Case Without Finding	18	29	62.1	9	12	75.0
06	Custody Awarded	7	46	15.2	2	44	4.5
07	Committed Social Services	38	100	38.0	12	83	14.5
08	Committed Training School	29	34	85.3	9	21	42.9
09	Probation	82	227	36.1	30	177	16.9
10	Referred to Other Agency	24	44	54.5	9	24	37.5
11	Restitution or Fine						
12	Support Ordered or Revised						
13	Sentenced Correctional Institution		3	0		3	0
14	Suspended Sentence						
15	Other	24	38	63.2	9	21	42.9
16	Committed Mental Institution		1	0		1	0
17	Referred to Psychiatric Care						
18	Stet						
19	Probation Without Verdict		2	0		2	0
20	Committed Juvenile Services		2	0		2	0
21	Purchase of Care	1	1	100.0		1	0
22	Group Home						
23	Protective Supervision		2	0		2	0
Total		830	2,441	34.0	302	1,902	15.9

(D)

(A)

(C)

(B)

TABLE 50: Baltimore City
Re-referral by Disposition
July 1, 1967 - June 30, 1973

Baltimore City Disposition (Treatment)	Cases			Individual Juveniles		
	Re-referral Cases	Total Cases	Rate Per Cases %	Individual Juvenile Re-referrals	Total Individual Juveniles	Rate Per Individual Juveniles %
01 Petition Withdrawn	666	1,453	45.8	201	976	20.6
02 Dismissed	6,761	12,717	53.2	1,853	8,492	21.8
03 Warned, Adjusted or Counsel	5,650	12,107	46.7	1,994	7,383	27.0
04 Jurisdiction Waived	863	1,071	80.6	210	649	32.4
05 Continue Case Without Finding	16	23	69.6	6	10	60.0
06 Custody Awarded	2	13	15.4		12	0
07 Committed Social Services	1,021	5,240	19.5	357	4,519	7.9
08 Committed Training School	3,742	4,742	78.9	977	2,105	46.4
09 Probation	6,554	11,208	58.5	2,191	6,407	34.2
10 Referred to Other Agency	138	298	46.3	73	170	42.9
11 Restitution or Fine	20	49	40.8	2	26	7.7
12 Support Ordered or Revised	1	12	8.3		11	0
13 Sentenced Correctional Institution						
14 Suspended Sentence						
15 Other	811	1,234	65.7	323	538	60.0
16 Committed Mental Institution	28	55	50.9	10	41	24.4
17 Referred to Psychiatric Care						
18 Stet	2	2	100.0		1	0
19 Probation Without Verdict	1	2	50.0		2	0
20 Committed Juvenile Services	138	216	63.9	34	151	22.5
21 Purchase of Care						
22 Group Home	4	7	57.1		5	0
23 Protective Supervision	343	987	34.8	79	866	9.1
Total	26,761	51,436	52.0	8,310	32,364	25.7

(B)

(C)

(A)

(D)

CONCLUSION

Although the present study's method of analyzing re-referral rates among delinquents and CINS included non-delinquency reasons for referral, some tentative conclusions (or, more appropriately, hypothesis) can be stated relating to the Department's contact with juvenile offenders. On the basis of the study, there is evidence to suggest that approximately 75% of all individual delinquents referred to DJS will not become re-referrals. About 66% of all CINS probably will not be re-referred. In addition, it was found that a relatively small number of individual delinquent and CINS re-referrals account for a disproportionate amount of the total cases referred for delinquency (52.4%) and CINS (57.2%).

Research such as the present study as well as other types of evaluative efforts are vitally necessary to the Department of Juvenile Services, in order that DJS might assess the impact of its programs on juveniles, and provide information on the reasons for this impact. On-going research of this type can provide valuable information to be utilized in the Department's planning and decision-making process, so that evaluative research findings serve to help the Department to appropriately modify its programs to meet the current needs of juvenile delinquents and CINS. Only through such an interrelated, on-going, planning and evaluation process can we hope to have a meaningful impact on the nature and extent of CINS and delinquent offense rates, and, in the long-run, adult criminality.

The services and facilities of the Maryland State Department of Health and Mental Hygiene are operated on a non-discriminatory basis. This policy prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, sex or national origin, and applies to the provision of service, use of facilities, opportunity to participate, practice of employment and granting of advantages, privileges and accommodations.

END