Atlanta

## Special Prosecution Grant Final Evaluation Report\* April 1975

#### I. Introduction

The primary goals of the special prosecution grant are to reduce the time required for processing cases in Fulton County Superior Court and to increase the conviction rate for impact crimes. Identified impact crimes are burglary, aggravated assault, murder, rape, and robbery. The aims are to be achieved through the hiring of four additional assistant attorneys. This additional manpower is supposed to have a measurable impact on the above goals within twelve months after its inception. For purposes of evaluation baseline data were derived as follows:

Average Court Processing Time - 88 days Conviction Rate 79.4%

The above major goals will be realized if the following occur as a result of this project:

- 1) Reduce the court processing time for impact defendants in the Fulton County Superior Court from an average of 88 days to an average of 78 days within 12 months from project implementation. Court processing is defined as the time from the date bound over to the Grand Jury to the date of disposition in the Superior Court.
- 2) Increase the conviction rate for impact defendants from 79.4% to 83.4%. Conviction is defined as guilty verdict by jury for an impact crime or a plea of guilty by the defendant to the impact crime charged in the indictment.

## II. Methodolgy

The analyses performed in this report are slightly different from those previously reported because additional data are available. During January and February 1975 all cases previously reported as "Open" were re-examined. Some \*This report includes all data available at this time.



of these had since been "Closed" and thus were added to the statistics previously reported. Others of these cases remain open. Tables I and II present the same types of data previously reported but updated for later findings.

It appears that cases open for more than about 180 days are those over which the district attorney's office has little or no control - bond forfeitures, for example. Therefore, two additional analyses are added to this report: one shows the precentage of cases closed as a function of time. The other analysis presents findings relative to cases still open in early 1975 - some open for more than 16 months.

#### III. Results

Table I presents the monthly disposition of impact defendants for the period of September, 1973 through November, 1974. This project was not fully operational until January 1974, but earlier data are included for comparison. The conviction rate for the period January-November 1974 was 78%. This compares to a base line conviction rate of 79.4%. In order to measure the trend in the conviction rate a linear regression was also run on these data. The regression equation which best fits these data is:

$$y = 77.2 + .25x$$

where y is the conviction rate and x is the number of months since project inception. The small positive slope of .25 indicates that the conviction rate has increased since the project began, but the result is not significant.

Table II presents the court processing time for the same period. The average court processing time for the period January-November 1974 was 78 days. However as indicated previously this figure tends to be misleading because it includes cases over which the district attorney's office has little or no control. Table III shows the distribution of cases by length of court processing time. From September, 1973, through November, 1974, 85% of all cases which were

TABLE I. DISPOSITION OF IMPACT CASES FOR THE GIVEN PERIOD

	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Tota
Guilty-Trial	20	24	7	13	34	10	15	24	10	15	17	15	13	24	· 9 ′	
Plea	104	133	122	154	139	94	80	158	141	·96	134	.126	157	201	122	
Sub Total	124	157	129	167	173	104	195	182 .	151	111	151	141	170 .	225	131	231
Not Guilty Trial	7	7	3	6	6	6	6	8	13	4	4	2	5	5	4	
Dead Docket	.53	32	36	31	36	24	47	· 20	43	17	.30	18	45	48	26	
Nol Pros	3	11	12	15	2	6	13	6	. 4	-	5	5	5	11	2	
Transfer	1	3	-		-	-	<del>-</del> .	1	-	-	-	<b>-</b>	<b></b>	-	-	
Sub Total	64	53	51	52	44	36	66	35	60	23	39	25	55	64	32	699
Grand Total	188	210	180	219	217	140	261	217	211	134	190	166	225	289	163	3010
Conviction Rate	66%	75%	72%	76%	80%	74%	75%	84%	72%	83%	79%	85%	76%	78%	80%	772

TABLE II. COURT PROCESSING TIME

	·	•	
Month	Number of Defendants	Total Court Processing Time (Days)	Average Court Processing Time (Days)
September 1973	147	12,660	86
October	146	12,011	82
November .	123	10,249	83
December	106	8,830	83
January 1974	129	10,997	85
February	213	17,580	83
March	183	14,953	82
April	188	15,520	83
May	150	9,343	62
June	150	12,247	82
July	195	14,412	74
August	168	13,810	82
September	161	10,404	64
October	160	<b>i0,</b> 650	67
November	133	8,630	65
TOTAL	2,352	182,296	78

TABLE III. DISTRIBUTION OF COURT PROCESSING TIMES

# DEFENDANTS PROCESSED

	Total	Under 90 Days Number %			• <u>90-135 Days</u>			136-180 I			. <u></u>		
		Number	7%		Number	%		Number	%	Number	%	Total Days	Z of Total Cou
September 1973	147	131	89		2	1	•	4	3	10	7	2620	Processing Time 21
October	146	132	90		3	2		1	1	. 10	7	2431	• 20 .
November	123	102	83		9	7		4	3	8	7	2033	20
December	106	94	89		4	4		3	. 3	5	5	1140	13
January 1974	129	102	79		9	7		3	2	15	12	3623	33
February	213	191	89		6	3		2	1	. 14	7	4122	23
March	183	155	85		3	2		10	5	15	8	3986	27
April	188	134 ·	72		25 .	13		14	7	15	8	3364	. 22
May	150	137	91		6 :	4		7	5	en	-	· -	-
June	150	121	81		12	8		9	6	8	5	1810	15
July	195	170	87		13	7	•	10 .	5	2	1	402	3
August	168	142	85		7	4		14	8	. 5	3	998	10
September	161	148	92		9	6		4	2	-			- -
October	160	149	93		8	5 .		3	2			eci	-
November	133	118	89		14	11		1.	1				<u> -</u>
									****		· ·	<del></del>	
TOTAL	2352	2026	85		130	6		90	4	107	5	26519	15

closed were closed in less than 90 days. (This does not include cases still open in January and February 1975 but the district attorneys office probably has no control over these open cases. See Appendix A for further data.).

Cases open for longer than 180 days accounted for only 5% of all cases but represented 15% of total reported court processing time. Thus the reported average court processing time is a "pessimistic" estimate of accomplishment on this project. Had these cases been eliminated (because of their not being under the district attorney's control) average court processing time would have dropped to 69 days — a substantial improvement.

As mentioned previously all cases open greater than 90 days were examined in January and February 1975. For those closed cases, the results are reported in the tables. However, some cases were still open at this time — some 16 months after indictment. A tabulation of the status of these cases is given in Appendix A for the months September, 1973, through November, 1974. As can be seen from the data the majority of the cases remain open because of bond forfeiture or because the defendant was not arrested. In the aggregate the data are not too meaningful except to point out the DA's lack of control over some parameters required in this evaluation.

### IV. Summary and Conclusions

After 11 months some conclusions can be drawn concerning the effectiveness of the project.\*

The goal of reducing court processing time has been met — and exceeded if allowance is made for those cases not under control of the district attorney. However, the goal of increasing the conviction rate is not being met. A linear regression on the monthly conviction rates shows a slight positive slope but this is not significant.

<sup>\*</sup>Only 11 months data have been supplied as of the writing of this report.

# APPENDIX A:

Disposition of Cases Open at the End of 90 Days

(All Data as of January/February 1975)

1973 September: 35 Defendents 28 Indictments 12 Guilty 3 Not guilty 9 Pleas 6 Trials 15 Open 8 Bond forfeiture 1 Not arrested O Psych. exams 2 Dead dockets 1 Mistrial 1 Delayed sentence 5 Further notice (open cases) 2 Reindictments 27 Defendents / 22 Indictments 1973 October: 10 Guilty 2 Not guilty 9 Plea 3 Trial 8 Open 7 Bond forfeiture 2 Not arrested 1 Psych. Exam 4 Dead dockets 2 Mistrial

O Delayed sentence

1 Reindictment

2 Further notice (open cases)

36 Defendents 1973 November: 32 cases 22 Guilty 2 Not guilty 14 Pleas 10 Trials 8 Open 3 Bond forfeiture 1 Not arrested O Psych. exams 5 Dead docket 0 Mistrial O Delayed sentence 4 Further notice (open cases) 3 Renindictments 1973 December: 31 Defendents 23 cases 11 Guilty 1 Not guilty 9 Pleas 3 Trials **12** Open 4 Bond forfeiture 3 Not arrested 1 Psych. exams 4 Dead dockets 1 Mistrial O Delayed sentence 4 Further notice (open cases)

1 Reindictment

1 Anneal

```
1974 January:
                48 Defendents
                                     32 cases
                 28 Guilty
                . 7 Not guilty
                 26 Pleas
                  9 Trials
                  5 Open ·
                  6 Bond forfeiture
                  2 Not arrested
                  2 Psych. exams
                11 Dead dockets
                                   1 Nol Prosse
                  1 Mistrial
                  1 Delayed sentence
                  2 Further notice (open cases)
                  1 Reindictment
                  1 Appeal
                 50 Defendents
                                      37 cases
1974 February:
                 27 Guilty
                  1 Not guilty
                 24 Pleas
                  4 Trials
                 11 Open
                  7 Bond forfeiture
                  3 Not arrested
                  3 Psych. Exams
                                     2 Nol Prosse
                  7 Dead dockets
                  0 Mistrial
                  1 Delayed sentence
                  5 Further notice (open cases)
                  1 Reindictment
```

60 Defendents 42 cases 1974 March: 31 Guilty O Not guilty . 28 Plea 3 Trials 22 Open 13 Rond forfeiture 3 defendents released no bond 4 Not arrested 2 Psych, exams 7 Dead dockets 0 Mistrial O Delayed sentence 7 Further notice (open cases) 1 Reindictment 2 Appeals 106 Defendents / 66 Cases 1974 April: 47 Guilty 4 Not guilty 34 Plea 17 Trials 38 Open 9 Bond forfeiture 4 Not arrested 1 turned loose 2 Psych. exam 18 Dead dockets 4 Nol Prosse 1 Mistrial 1 Delayed sentence

16 Further notice (open cases) 3 Reindictment O Appeals 48 Defendents 37 Cases 1974 May: 19 Guilty O Not guilty 17 Pleas 2 Trials 19 Open 8 Bond forfeiture 7 Not arrested 3 Psych. exams 3 orders of dismissal 6 Dead dockets 0 Mistrial O Delayed sentence 6 Further notice (open cases) 1 Reindictment O Appeals 1974 June: 70 Defendents 53 cases 35 Guilty 7 Not guilty 28 Pleas 14 Trials 25 Open 8 Bond forfeiture 8 Not arrested 3 Psych. exams

	4 Dead dockets	
	1 Mistrial	
	1 Delayed sentence	
	11 Further notice (open cases)	
	5 Reindictment	
	0 Appeals	•
1974 July:	81 Defendents / 58 cases	
	37 Guilty	
	1 Not guilty	
	29 Pleas	
	9 Trials	
	32 Open	
	8 Bond forfeiture	
	4 Not arrested	
,	6 Psych. exams	•
•	5 Dead dockets 2 Nol Prosse	•
	0 Mistrial	•
• •	O Delayed sentence	
	20 Further notice (open cases)	
	2 Reindictment	•
	0 Appeals	
•	1 Defendent extradited to face murder trial in	ı New York
1974 August:	49 Defendents / 38 cases .	
	17 Guilty	,
	1 Not guilty	
	13 Pleas	
	5 Trial	
	•	

- 17 Open
- 8 Bond forfeitures
- 1 Not arrested
- 0 Psych. exams
- 15 Dead dockets
- 0 Mistrial
- O Delayed sentence
- 11 Further notice (open cases)
- 2 Reindictment
- 0 Appeals
- 1974 September: 45 Defendents / 32 cases
  - 18 Guilty
  - 0 Not guilty
  - 14 Pleas
  - 4 Trials
  - 22 Open
  - 9 Bond forfeiture
  - 3 Not arrested 1 escape
  - O Psych. exams
  - 3 Dead dockets
  - 0 Mistrial
  - 1 Delayed sentence
  - 11 Further Notice (open cases)
  - 2 Reindictment
  - 0 Appeals

1974 October: 51 Defendents 37 Cases 18 Guilty 1 Not guilty 15 Pleas 4 Trials 25 Open 6 Bond forfeiture 5 Not arrested 1 escape 1 Psych. exam 7 Dead dockets 0 Mistrial 1 Delayed Sentence 10 Further notice (open cases) 3 Reindictment 0 Appeals 87 Defendents 1974 November: 63 cases 30 Guilty 2 Not guilty 25 Pleas 6 Trials 53 Open 11 Bond forfeiture 0 Not arrested 4 Psych. exams 4 Dead dockets

2 Mistrial

O Delayed sentence

- 40 Further notice (open cases)
- 3 Reindictment
- 1 Appeal

TABLE I. DISPOSITION OF IMPACT DEFENDANTS

	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Total
Guilty-Trial	20	24	7	13	34	10	· 15	24	10	15 .	. 17	15	13	24	9.	
Plea	104	133	122	154	139	94	80	158	141	96	134	126	157	201	122	
Sub Total	124	157	129	167	·173	104	195	182	151	111	151	141	170	225	131	2311
Not Guilty Trial	7	7	3	6	6	6	6	8	13	4	4	2	5	5	4	
Dead Docket	53	32	36	31	36	24	47	20	43	1.7	30 ·	18	45	48	26	
Nol Pros	3	11	12	15	2	6	13	6	. 4.	-	5	5	5	11	2	:
Transfer	1	3	-	<b>-</b>	-	. <del>-</del>	· <b>-</b>	1	-	-		_	<b>-</b>	-	<u>-</u>	
Sub Total	64	53	51	52	44	36	66	35 ·	. 60	23	39	25	55	64 .	32	699
Grand Total	188	210	180	219	217	140	261	217	. 211	134	190	166	225	289	163	3010
Conviction Rate	66%	75%	72%	76%	80%	74%	75%	84%	72%	83%	79%	85%	76%	78%	80 <b>Z</b>	772

TABLE III. DISTRIBUTION OF COURT PROCESSING TIMES DEFENDANTS PROCESSED

						minimum					180 Days	*
							136-180 Da	ivs			F - 5 C	
	Total	Under 90 Number	Days %		90-135 D Number	ays %	<u>136-180 Da</u> Number	X	Number	Z	Total Days	I of Court Processing Tim
					2	1	4	3	10	7	2620	21
September 1973	147	131	89		3	2	1	1	10	7	2431	20
October	146	132	90				4	3	8	7	2033	20
November	123	102	83		9	7		3	5	5	1140	13
December	106	94	89		4	4	`3		15	12	3623	_ 33
January 1974	129	102	79		9	7	3	2			4122	23
February	213	191	89		6	3	2	1	. 14	7	•	27
	183	155	85		3	2	10	· 5	-15	8	3986	22
March		134	72		25	13	14	7	15	8	3364 .	22
April	188		91		6	4	7	· 5	-	-	-	<del>-</del>
May	150	137			12	8	9	6	8	5	1810	15
June	150	121	81			7	10	5	2 ·	1	402	. 3
July	195	170	87		13 .		14	8	5	3	998	10
August	168	142	85		7 _	4		2			<del>-</del>	
: September	161	148	92	<b>:</b>	9	6	4		_		-	•
October	160	149	. 93	•	8	5	3	2	_			•
	133		89		14	11	1	1	~	•		
Hovember	1.0					وبنين	<del></del> •			_		
			05	•	130	6	90	4	. 107	5	26519	15
TOTAL	235	2 . 2026	85				•					

# END

7 destina