


National Criminal Justice Reference Service



This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U. S. Department of Justice.

National Institute of Justice
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20531

11/6/85

Criminal Victimization Surveys in Milwaukee

A National Crime Survey Report

U.S. Department of Justice
National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

PUBLIC DOMAIN/ BJS

US DEPT OF JUSTICE

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration
National Criminal Justice
Information and Statistics Service

34823

National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service Reports

Victimization Surveys:

- Criminal Victimization in the United States:**
A Comparison of 1974 and 1975 Findings
- Criminal Victimization in the United States:**
A Comparison of 1973 and 1974 Findings
- Criminal Victimization in the United States:**
1973
- Criminal Victimization Surveys in 13 American Cities:** National Crime Panel Surveys in Boston, Buffalo, Cincinnati, Houston, Miami, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, New Orleans, Oakland, Pittsburgh, San Diego, San Francisco, and Washington, D.C.
- Criminal Victimization Surveys in Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, New York, and Philadelphia:** A Comparison of 1972 and 1974 Findings
- Criminal Victimization Surveys in the Nation's Five Largest Cities:** National Crime Panel Survey in Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, New York, and Philadelphia, 1972
- Criminal Victimization Surveys in Eight American Cities:** A Comparison of 1971/72 and 1974/75 Findings—National Crime Surveys in Atlanta, Baltimore, Cleveland, Dallas, Denver, Newark, Portland, and St. Louis
- Crime in Eight American Cities:** National Crime Panel Surveys in Atlanta, Baltimore, Cleveland, Dallas, Denver, Newark, Portland, and St. Louis—Advance Report, 1971/72
- Crimes and Victims:** A Report on the Dayton-San Jose Pilot Survey of Victimization

National Prisoner Statistics:

- Capital Punishment:**
1976 Advance Report
1975
- Prisoners in State and Federal Institutions on December 31:**
1976 Advance Report
1975
- Census of State Correctional Facilities, 1974:**
Advance Report
- Survey of Inmates of Local Jails, 1972:**
Advance Report
- Census of Prisoners in State Correctional Facilities, 1973**
- The Nation's Jails:** A report on the census of jails from the 1972 Survey of Inmates of Local Jails
- Survey of Inmates of Local Jails, 1972:**
Advance Report
- Children in Custody:**
Advance Report on the Juvenile Detention and Correctional Facility Census of 1974

Advance Report on the Juvenile Detention and Correctional Facility Census of 1972-73
Report on the Juvenile Detention and Correctional Facility Census of 1971

National Survey of Court Organization:

- 1971
- 1975 Supplement to State Judicial Systems
- 1977 Supplement to State Judicial Systems
- Trends in Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1971-75**
- Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System: 1975 (annual)**
- Criminal Justice Agencies in Regions 1-10**
(10 volumes)
- Dictionary of Criminal Justice Data Terminology:**
Terms and Definitions Proposed for Interstate and National Data Collection and Exchange
Program Plan for Statistics, 1977-81

Utilization of Criminal Justice Statistics Project:

- Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics**
1976
- Public Opinion Regarding Crime, Criminal Justice, and Related Topics**
- New Directions in Processing of Juvenile Offenders: The Denver Model**
- Who Gets Detained? An Empirical Analysis of the Pre-Adjudicatory Detention of Juveniles in Denver**
- Juvenile Dispositions: Social and Legal Factors Related to the Processing of Denver Delinquency Cases**
- Offender-Based Transaction Statistics: New Directions in Data Collection and Reporting**
- Sentencing of California Felony Offenders**
- The Judicial Processing of Assault and Burglary Offenders in Selected California Counties**
- Pre-Adjudicatory Detention in Three Juvenile Courts**
- Delinquency Dispositions: An Empirical Analysis of Processing Decisions in Three Juvenile Courts**
- The Patterns and Distribution of Assault Incident Characteristics Among Social Areas**
- Patterns of Robbery Characteristics and Their Occurrence Among Social Areas**

Single copies are available from the National Criminal Justice Reference Service, P.O. Box 24036, S.W. Station, Washington, D.C. 20024. Multiple copies are for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Criminal Victimization Surveys in Milwaukee

A National Crime Survey Report
No. SD-NCS-C-12

July 1977

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration
National Criminal Justice
Information and Statistics Service

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration

James M. H. Gregg, Acting Administrator

Harry Bratt, Assistant Administrator
National Criminal Justice Information
and Statistics Service

Benjamin H. Renshaw, Director
Statistics Division

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report was prepared for the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration by the Bureau of the Census. In the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, general supervision was supplied by Charles R. Kindermann, assisted by Dawn D. Nelson. Collection and processing of data for the household surveys were conducted in the Bureau of the Census under the general supervision of Marvin M. Thompson, Demographic Surveys Division, assisted by Linda R. Murphy, Robert L. Goodson, Kenton R. Daniels, and Michael R. Rand. For the commercial surveys, the direction of data collection and processing activities was under the general supervision of Caesar Hill, Business Division, assisted by Chester E. Bowie. The report was prepared by the Crime Statistics Analysis Staff under the general supervision of Robert P. Parkinson. Adolfo L. Paez directed the project. The selected findings were written by Norman F. Howard. The report was reviewed for technical matters in the Statistical Methods Division under the general supervision of David V. Bateman, assisted by Louis E. Williams.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

United States. National Criminal Justice Information
and Statistics Service.
Criminal victimization surveys in Milwaukee.

(National crime survey report; no. SD-NCP-C-12)

Supt. of Docs. no.: J 1.42/3:SD-NCP-C-12

1. Victims of crimes—Wisconsin—Milwaukee.
2. Criminal statistics—Wisconsin—Milwaukee.

I. Title. II. Series.

HV6795.M5U55 1977 364 76-608196

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

Stock No. 027-000-00608-6

PREFACE

The crime statistics and selected analytical findings presented in this report derive from victimization surveys conducted early in 1974 under the National Crime Survey program. Presenting more comprehensive survey results and additional technical information, the report succeeds *Criminal Victimization Surveys in 13 American Cities*, published in June 1975.

Since the early 1970's, victimization surveys have been designed and carried out for the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (LEAA) by the U.S. Bureau of the Census for the purpose of developing information that permits detailed assessment of the character and extent of selected types of criminal victimization. Based on representative samplings of households and commercial establishments, the program has had two main elements: a continuous national survey and surveys in various cities. Although the overall objective of the program is to provide insights into the impact of crimes that are of major concern to the general public and law enforcement authorities, it is anticipated that the scope of the surveys will be modified periodically in order to address other topics in the realm of criminal justice. In addition, continuing methodological studies are expected to yield refinements in survey questionnaires and procedures.

The victimization surveys conducted in Milwaukee and 12 other central cities in 1974 enabled measurement of the extent to which city residents age 12 and over, households, and commercial establishments were victimized by selected crimes, whether completed or attempted. For those committed against individuals, the offenses covered were rape, robbery, assault, and personal larceny; for households they were burglary, household larceny, and motor vehicle theft; and for commercial establishments they were burglary and robbery. The chapter entitled "The City Surveys" includes a detailed discussion of the crimes and of classification procedures. In addition to gauging the extent to which the relevant crimes happened, the surveys have permitted examination of the characteristics of victims and the circumstances,

surrounding criminal acts, exploring, as appropriate, such matters as the relationship between victim and offender, characteristics of offenders, extent of victim injuries, economic consequences to the victims, time and place of occurrence, use of weapons, whether the police were notified, and, if not, reasons advanced for not informing them.

The surveys in Milwaukee were carried out in the first quarter of 1974 and covered criminal acts that took place during the 12 months prior to the month of interview, a reference period roughly comparable with calendar year 1973. Information was obtained from interviews with the occupants of 10,734 housing units (23,495 residents age 12 and over) and the operators of 1,378 businesses. Respondents furnished detailed personal and household data (or information about business firms) in addition to particulars on any criminal acts they incurred.

The 103 data tables in this publication are arranged by sectors, that is, by crimes against persons, households, and commercial establishments. Within each sector, the tables are further divided along topical lines. These topics are reflected in the analytical statements compiled in the section entitled "Selected Findings," which highlights certain basic survey results. The statements illustrate the types of empirical data being produced under the National Crime Survey program.

All statistical data in this report are estimates subject to errors arising both from the fact that they are based on information obtained from sample surveys rather than complete censuses, and from the fact that recording and processing mistakes invariably occur in the course of a large-scale data collection effort. As part of the discussion on reliability of estimates, these sources of error are treated in Appendixes II and III. It should be noted at the outset, however, that with respect to the effect of sampling errors, estimate variations can be determined rather precisely. In the report's selected findings, categorical statements involving analytical comparisons met statistical tests that the differences were equivalent to or greater than two standard

errors, or, in other words, that the chances were at least 95 out of 100 that each difference described did not result solely from sampling variability. Qualified statements of comparison met significance tests that the differences were within the range of 1.6 and 2 standard errors, or that there was a likelihood equal to at least 90 (but less than 95) out of 100 that the difference did not result solely from sampling variability. These conditional statements are characterized by use of the term "some indication."

Four technical appendixes and a glossary of terms have been included to facilitate further analyses and other uses of survey results. The first appendix contains facsimiles of the questionnaires used for the household and commercial surveys, whereas the second and third have tables for determining estimate variances, as well as information concerning sample design and estimation procedures. The fourth appendix consists of a series of technical notes, paralleling the topics covered by the section on selected findings and designed as guides to the interpretation of survey results.

In relation to crimes against persons, survey results are based on either of two units of measure—victimizations or incidents. A victimization is a specific criminal act as it affects a single victim. An incident is a specific criminal act involving one or more victims and offenders. For reasons outlined in the technical notes, the number of personal victimizations is somewhat greater than that of personal incidents. As applied to crimes against households and commercial establishments, however, the terms "victimization" and "incident" are synonymous. Although "crimes against commercial establishments," "commercial crimes," and other similar terms refer chiefly to victimizations of businesses, a relatively small number of offenses committed against certain other organizations also are included in results of the commercial survey, usually under the category "other"; the types of entities concerned are discussed in the introduction to Appendix III.

Attempts to compare information in this publication with data collected from local police by the Federal Bureau of Investigation and published in its

report *Crime in the United States, Uniform Crime Reports—1973* are inappropriate because of substantial differences in coverage between the surveys and police statistics. A major difference arises from the fact that police statistics on the incidence of crime are derived principally from reports that persons make to the police, whereas survey data include crimes not reported to the police, as well as those reported. Survey data reflect only those crimes experienced by residents and commercial establishments of Milwaukee; even though some acts took place outside the city; they exclude criminal acts committed within the city against nonresidents, such as visitors and suburban commuters. On the other hand, police statistics for Milwaukee include all reported crimes occurring within the city limits, irrespective of the victim's place of residence, and exclude crimes experienced by city residents in other jurisdictions. Personal crimes covered in the survey relate only to persons age 12 and over, whereas police statistics count crimes against persons of any age. The surveys did not measure some offenses, e.g., homicide, kidnaping, white-collar crimes, and commercial larceny (shoplifting and employee theft), that are included in police statistics, and the counting and classifying rules for the two programs are not fully compatible. Similarly, the correspondence between reference periods for results of the city surveys and published police statistics is not exact.

Unlike crime rates developed from police statistics, the personal rates cited in this report are based on victimizations rather than on incidents and are calculated on the basis of the resident population age 12 and over rather than on all residents. As indicated earlier, personal victimizations outnumber personal incidents. National Crime Survey rates of victimization for crimes against households and commercial establishments are based, respectively, on the number of households and businesses, whereas rates derived from police statistics for these crimes are based on the total population. A technical note entitled "Victim characteristics," Appendix IV, gives additional details on the manner in which the victimization survey rates were computed.

CONTENTS

Preface	iii
The city surveys	1
Selected findings	5
Survey data tables	9
Appendix I. Survey instruments	63
Appendix II. Household survey: Technical information and standard error tables	89
Appendix III. Commercial survey: Technical information and relative error tables	95
Appendix IV. Technical notes	99
Glossary of terms	103

TABLES

Crimes against persons

General

1. Personal crimes: Number of victimizations and victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime.	9
2. Personal crimes: Number of incidents and victimizations and ratio of incidents to victimizations, by type of crime.	10
3. Personal crimes of violence: Number and rate of victimizations, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship.	11
4. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by selected characteristics of victims and type of crime.	12
5. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations involving strangers, by type of crime and selected characteristics of victims.	12
6. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations involving strangers, by type of crime and sex and race of victims.	13
7. Personal assault: Percent of victimizations involving strangers, by race and age of victims.	13
8. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of victimizations involving nonstrangers, by type of crime and nature of relationship.	13

Characteristics of offenders

9. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of single-offender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived race of offender.	14
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----

Tables (continued)

Crimes against persons (continued)

Characteristics of offenders (continued)

10. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of single-offender victimizations, by type of crime, race of victims, and perceived race of offender.	14
11. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multiple-offender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived race of offenders.	15
12. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multiple-offender victimizations, by type of crime, race of victims, and perceived race of offenders.	15
13. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of single-offender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived age of offender.	15
14. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of single-offender victimizations, by type of crime, age of victims, and perceived age of offender.	16
15. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multiple-offender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived age of offenders.	16
16. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multiple-offender victimizations, by type of crime, age of victims, and perceived age of offenders.	17

Characteristics of victims

17. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and sex of victims.	17
18. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and age of victims.	18
19. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and race of victims.	19
20. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and annual family income of victims.	20
21. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and marital status of victims.	21
22. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by sex and age of victims and type of crime.	22
23. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and sex and race of victims.	23
24. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by sex and marital status of victims and type of crime.	23
25. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by race and age of victims and type of crime.	24
26. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by race and annual family income of victims and type of crime.	25
27. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by race, sex, and age of victims and type of crime.	26

Tables (continued)

Crimes against persons (continued)

Number of offenders

28. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and number of offenders.	26
29. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of incidents involving a single offender, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship.	27

Number of victims

30. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of incidents involving a single victim, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship.	27
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----

Physical injury

31. Personal robbery and assault: Percent of victimizations in which victims sustained physical injury, by victim-offender relationship and type of crime.	27
32. Personal robbery and assault: Percent of victimizations in which victims sustained physical injury, by selected characteristics of victims and type of crime.	28
33. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which victims sustained physical injury, received hospital care, and incurred medical expenses, by type of crime.	28
34. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which victims received hospital care, by selected characteristics of victims and type of crime.	29
35. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of victimizations in which victims incurred medical expenses, by amount.	29

Place of occurrence

36. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and place of occurrence.	30
37. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of incidents, by victim-offender relationship, place of occurrence, and type of crime.	31
38. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and geographic area of occurrence.	31

Reasons for not reporting to the police

39. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by type of crime.	32
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----

Reporting to the police

40. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship.	33
41. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and sex and race of victims.	33

Tables (continued)

Crimes against persons (continued)

Reporting to the police (continued)

42. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and age of victims. 34

Self-protective measures

43. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which victims took self-protective measures, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship. 34
44. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which victims took self-protective measures, by selected characteristics of victims and type of crime. 35
45. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of self-protective measures employed by victims, by type of measure and type of crime. 35
46. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of self-protective measures employed by victims, by selected characteristics of victims. 36

Theft and/or damage

47. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime. 36
48. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime and value of loss. 37
49. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime, race of victims, and value of loss. 37
50. Personal robbery and larceny: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by value of stolen property, including cash, and race of victims. 38
51. Personal robbery and larceny: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by proportion of loss recovered. 38

Time lost from work

52. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by type of crime. 39
53. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by number of days lost and type of crime. 39

Time of occurrence

54. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and time of occurrence. 39
55. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of incidents, by victim-offender relationship, type of crime, and time of occurrence. 40

Use of weapons

56. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of incidents in which offenders used weapons, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship. 40
57. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of types of weapons used by offenders, by type of crime. 40

Tables (continued)

Crimes against persons (continued)

Use of weapons (continued)

58. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of types of weapons used by offenders, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship. 41

Crimes against households

General

59. Household crimes: Number and rate of victimizations, by type of crime. 42
60. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by selected household characteristics and type of crime. 42

Characteristics of victimized households

61. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and age of head of household. 43
62. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and race of head of household. 44
63. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and annual family income. 45
64. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime, form of tenure, and race of head of household. 45
65. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and number of persons in household. 46
66. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and number of units in structure occupied by household. 46
67. Household burglary: Victimization rates, by race of head of household and annual family income. 47

Place of occurrence

68. Household crimes: Percent distribution of household incidents, by place of occurrence and type of crime. 48
69. Household crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and geographic area of occurrence. 48

Reasons for not reporting to the police

70. Household crimes: Percent distribution of reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by type of crime. 48
71. Household crimes: Percent distribution of selected reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by race of head of household and type of crime. 49
72. Household crimes: Percent distribution of selected reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by annual family income. 49
73. Household crimes: Percent distribution of selected reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by value of stolen property. 50

Reporting to the police

74. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and race of head of household. 50
75. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and annual family income. 51

Tables (continued)

Crimes against households (continued)

Reporting to the police (continued)

- 76. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime, race of head of household, and form of tenure. 51
- 77. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft loss reported to the police, by type of crime and value of loss. 52

Theft and/or damage

- 78. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime. 52
- 79. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by value of stolen property, including cash, and type of crime. 52
- 80. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by race of head of household, type of crime, and value of loss. 53
- 81. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by proportion of loss recovered and type of crime. 54

Time lost from work

- 82. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by type of crime. 54
- 83. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by number of days lost and type of crime. 54

Time of occurrence

- 84. Household crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and time of occurrence. 55

Crimes against commercial establishments

General

- 85. Commercial crimes: Number and rate of victimizations, by characteristics of victimized establishments and type of crime. 55
- 86. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by selected characteristics of commercial establishments. 56
- 87. Commercial crimes: Percent of establishments that were victimized, by kind of establishment. 56
- 88. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of completed and attempted victimizations, by kind of establishment and type of crime. 56

Number of offenders

- 89. Commercial robbery: Percent distribution of incidents, by kind of establishment and number of offenders. 57

Tables (continued)

Crimes against commercial establishments (continued)

Number of victimizations

- 90. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimized commercial establishments, by kind of establishment and number of victimizations incurred. 57

Place of occurrence

- 91. Commercial robbery: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and place of occurrence. 57

Reasons for not reporting to the police

- 92. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police. 57

Reporting to the police

- 93. Commercial crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by kind of establishment and type of crime. 58

Security measures

- 94. Commercial crimes: Percent of establishments with one or more security measures. 58
- 95. Commercial crimes: Percent of establishments with selected types of security measures, by kind of establishment. 58

Theft and/or damage

- 96. Commercial crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by kind of establishment and type of crime. 59
- 97. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by kind of establishment and value of loss. 59
- 98. Commercial burglary: Percent of victimizations resulting in damage loss to the premises, by kind of establishment. 59

Time lost from work

- 99. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by number of employees losing time from work. 60
- 100. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by number of man-days lost from work. 60

Time of occurrence

- 101. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and time of occurrence. 61

Use of weapons

- 102. Commercial robbery: Percent of incidents in which offenders used weapons, by kind of establishment. 61
- 103. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of weapon used by offenders. 61

Tables (continued)

Appendix II

I. Standard error approximations for estimated number of personal incidents, personal victimizations, and household victimizations, by size of estimate.	93
II. Standard error approximations for estimated personal victimization rates.	94
III. Standard error approximations for estimated household victimization rates.	94

Appendix III

IV. Relative errors for estimated number of commercial victimizations, by characteristics of establishments and type of crime.	98
V. Relative errors for estimated commercial victimization rates, by characteristics of establishments and type of crime.	98

THE CITY SURVEYS

The National Crime Survey is a program designed to develop information not otherwise available on the nature of crime and its impact on society by means of victimization surveys of the general population. Based on representative samplings of households and commercial establishments, the surveys elicit information about experiences, if any, with selected crimes of violence and theft, including events that were reported to the police as well as those that were not. By focusing on the victim, the person likely to be most aware of details concerning criminal events, the surveys generate a variety of data, including information on the circumstances under which such acts occurred and on their effect.

As one of the most ambitious efforts yet undertaken for filling some of the gaps in crime data, victimization surveys are expected to supply the criminal justice community with new insights into crime and its victims, complementing data resources already on hand for purposes of planning, evaluation, and analysis. The surveys cover many crimes that, for a variety of reasons, are never brought to police attention. They also furnish a means for developing victim profiles and, for identifiable sectors of society, yield information necessary to compute the relative risk of being victimized. Victimization surveys also have the capability of distinguishing between stranger-to-stranger and domestic violence and between armed and strong-arm assaults and robberies. They can tally some of the costs of crime in terms of injury or economic loss sustained, and they can provide greater understanding as to why certain criminal acts are not reported to police authorities. Conducted periodically in the same area, victimization surveys provide the data necessary for developing indicators sensitive to fluctuations in the levels of crime; conducted under the same procedures in different areas, they provide a basis for comparing the crime situation between two or more localities or types of localities.

Victimization surveys, such as those conducted under the National Crime Survey program, are not without limitations, however. Although they pro-

vide information on crimes that are of major interest to the general public, they cannot measure all criminal activity, as a number of crimes are not amenable to examination through the survey technique. Surveys have proved most successful in estimating crimes with specific victims who understand what happened to them and how it happened and who are willing to report what they know. More specifically, they have been shown to be most applicable to rape, robbery, assault, burglary, and both personal and household larceny, including motor vehicle theft. Accordingly, the survey program was designed to focus on these crimes. Murder and kidnaping are not covered. The so-called victimless crimes, such as drunkenness, drug abuse, and prostitution, also are excluded, as are those crimes for which it is difficult to identify knowledgeable respondents or to locate comprehensive data records, as in offenses against government entities.¹ Examples of the latter are income tax evasion and the theft of office supplies. Crimes of which the victim may not be aware also cannot be measured effectively by the survey technique. Buying stolen property may fall into this category, as may some instances of fraud and embezzlement. Attempted crimes of most types probably are underrecorded for this reason. Commercial larcenies (e.g., employee theft and shoplifting) have to date not proved susceptible to measurement or study by means of the survey approach because of the limited documentation maintained by most commercial establishments on losses from these crimes. Finally, events in which the victim has shown a willingness to participate in illegal activity also are excluded. Examples of the latter, which are unlikely to be reported to interviewers, include gambling, various types of swindles, con games, and blackmail.

¹Other than government-operated liquor stores and transportation systems, which fall within the purview of the program's commercial sector, government institutions and offices are outside the scope of the program. Pretests have indicated that government organization records on crime generally are inadequate for survey purposes.

The success of any victimization survey is highly contingent on the degree of cooperation that interviewers receive from respondents. In the victimization surveys conducted in 13 central cities during 1974, interviews were obtained in an average of 96.6 percent of the housing units occupied by persons eligible for interview. In the commercial sector, the average response rate was 98.9 percent of eligible business establishments. Details concerning the size of the sample and response rates in Milwaukee can be found in Appendixes II and III of this report.

Data from victimization surveys also are subject to limitations imposed by victim recall, i.e., the ability of respondents to remember incidents befalling them or their households, and by the phenomenon of telescoping, that is, the tendency of some respondents to recount incidents occurring outside (usually before) the referenced time frame. In continuous surveys, this tendency can be controlled by using a bounding technique, whereby the first interview serves as a benchmark, and summary records of each successive interview aid in avoiding duplicative reporting of criminal victimization experiences; such a technique is used in the National Crime Survey program's national sample. Because the city surveys have not been continuous, however, the data are subject to telescoping, and no assessment has been made concerning the magnitude of the problem.

Another of the issues related in part to victim recall ability involves the so-called series victimizations. Each series consists of three or more criminal events similar, if not identical, in nature and incurred by persons unable to identify separately the details of each act, or, in some cases, to recount accurately the total number of such acts. Because of this, no attempt is made to collect information on the specific month, or months, of occurrence of series victimizations; instead, such data are attributed to the season, or seasons, of occurrence. Had it been feasible to make a precise tally of victimizations that occurred in series and to determine their month of occurrence, inclusion of this information in the processing of survey results would have caused certain alterations in the portrayal of criminal victimization. Perhaps most importantly, rates of victimization would have been higher. Because of the inability of victims to furnish details concerning their experiences, however, it would have been im-

possible to analyze the characteristics and effects of these crimes. But, although the estimated number of series victimizations was appreciable, the number of victims who actually experienced such acts was small in relation to the total number of individuals who were victimized one or more times and who had firm recollections of each event. Approximately 6,700 series victimizations against persons and 6,100 against households, each encompassing at least three separate but undifferentiated events, were estimated to have occurred during the 12-month reference period. A table of these series victimizations, broken out by specific type of crime, appears in Appendix III of the preceding report, *Criminal Victimization Surveys in 13 American Cities*.

Although the survey-measured crimes and other terms used in this report are defined in the Glossary of Terms, the discussion that follows consists of a detailed description of the offenses and of the procedures followed in classifying victimization events. Definitions of the relevant crimes do not necessarily conform to any Federal or State statutes, which vary considerably. They are, however, compatible with conventional usage and with the definitions used by the Federal Bureau of Investigation in its annual publication *Crime in the United States. Uniform Crime Reports*.

CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS

In this study, a basic distinction is made between two types of offenses against persons: crimes of violence and crimes of theft. Personal crimes of violence (rape, personal robbery, and assault) all bring the victim into direct contact with the offender. Personal crimes of theft may or may not involve contact between the victim and offender.

Rape, one of the most serious and least common of all the crimes measured by the surveys, is carnal knowledge through the use of force or the threat of force, excluding statutory rape (without force). Both completed and attempted acts are included, and incidents of both homosexual and heterosexual rape are counted.

Personal robbery is a crime in which the object is to relieve a person of property by force or the threat of force. The force employed may be a weapon (armed robbery) or physical power (strong-arm robbery). In either instance, the victim is

placed in physical danger, and physical injury can and sometimes does result. The distinction between robbery with injury and robbery without injury turns solely on whether the victim sustained any injury, no matter how minor. The distinction between a completed robbery and an attempted robbery centers on whether the victim sustained any loss of cash or property. For example, an incident might be classified as an attempted robbery simply because the victim was not carrying anything of value when held up at gunpoint. Attempted robberies, however, can be quite serious and can result in severe physical injury to the victim.

The classic image of a robbery is that of a masked offender armed with a handgun and operating against lone pedestrians on a city street at night. Robbery can, of course, occur anywhere, on the street or in the home, and at any time. It may be an encounter as dramatic as the one described, or it may simply involve a child pinned briefly to a schoolyard fence while classmates make off with the victim's lunch money.

Assaults are crimes in which the object is to do physical harm to the victim. The conventional forms of assault are "aggravated" and "simple." An assault carried out with a weapon is considered to be an aggravated assault, irrespective of the degree of injury, if any. An assault carried out without a weapon is also an aggravated assault if the attack results in serious injury. Simple assault occurs when the injury, if any, is minor and no weapon is used. Within the general category of assault are incidents with results no more serious than a minor bruise and incidents that bring the victim near death—but only near, because death would turn the crime into homicide.

Attempted assaults differ from assaults carried out in that in the latter the victim is actually physically attacked and may incur bodily injury. An attempted assault could be the result of bad aim with a gun or it could be a nonspecific verbal threat to harm the victim. It is difficult to categorize attempted assault as either aggravated or simple because it is conjectural how much injury, if any, the victim would have sustained had the assault been carried out. In some instances, there may have been no intent to carry out the crime. Not all threats of harm are issued in earnest; a verbal threat or a menacing gesture may have been all the offender intended. The intent of the offender

obviously cannot be measured in a victimization survey. For purposes of this program, attempted assault with a weapon was classified as aggravated assault; attempted assault without a weapon was considered to be simple assault.

Although the most fearsome form of assault is the brutal, senseless attack by an unknown assailant, it is also the most rare. Much more common is the incident where the victim is involved in a minor scuffle or a domestic spat. There is reason to believe that incidents of assault stemming from domestic quarrels are underreported in victimization surveys because some victims do not consider such events crimes or are reluctant to implicate relatives or friends (see "Reliability of estimates," Appendix II).

Personal crimes of theft (i.e., personal larceny) involve the theft of cash or property by stealth. Such crimes may or may not bring the victim into direct contact with the offender. Personal larceny with contact encompasses purse snatching, attempted purse snatching, and pocket picking. Personal larceny without contact involves the theft by stealth of numerous kinds of items, which need not be strictly personal in nature. It is distinguished from household larceny solely by place of occurrence. Whereas the latter transpires only in the home or its immediate environs, the former can take place at any other location. Examples of personal larceny without contact include the theft of a briefcase or umbrella from a restaurant, a portable radio from the beach, clothing from an automobile parked in a shopping center, a bicycle from a schoolground, food from a shopping cart in front of a supermarket, etc. Lack of force is a major identifying element in personal larceny. Should, for example, a woman become aware of an attempt to snatch her purse and resist, and should the offender then use force, the crime would escalate to robbery.

In any criminal incident against a person, more than a single offense can take place. A rape may be associated with a robbery, for example. In classifying the survey-measured crimes, each criminal event has been counted only once, by the most serious act that took place during the incident and in accordance with the seriousness ranking system used by the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The order of seriousness for crimes against persons is: rape, robbery, assault, and larceny. Consequently, if a person were both robbed and assaulted during the

same incident, the event would be classified as robbery; but, if the victim was harmed by the beating, the detailed characteristics would reveal that it was robbery with injury.

CRIMES AGAINST HOUSEHOLDS

All three of the measured crimes against households—burglary, household larceny, and motor vehicle theft—are crimes that do not involve personal confrontation. If there were such confrontation, the crime would be a personal crime, not a household crime, and the victim no longer would be the household itself, but the member of the household involved in the confrontation. For example, if members of the household surprised a burglar in their home and then were threatened or harmed by the intruder, the act would be classified as assault. If the intruder were to demand or take cash and/or property from the household members, the event would be classified as robbery.

The most serious of the crimes against households is burglary. Burglary is the illegal entry or attempted entry of a structure. The assumption is that the purpose of the entry was to commit a crime, usually theft, but no additional offense need take place for the act to be classified as burglary. The entry may be by force, such as picking a lock, breaking a window, or slashing a screen, or it may be through an unlocked door or an open window. As long as the person entering had no legal right to be present in the structure, a burglary has occurred. Furthermore, the structure need not be the house itself for a household burglary to take place. Illegal entry of a garage, shed, or any other structure on the premises also constitutes household burglary. In fact, burglary does not necessarily have to occur on the premises. If the breaking and entering occurred in a hotel or in a vacation residence, it would still be classified as a household burglary for the household whose member or members were involved.

As mentioned earlier, household larceny occurs when cash or property is removed from the home or its immediate vicinity by stealth. For a household larceny to occur within the home itself, the thief must be someone with a right to be there, such as a maid, a delivery man, or a guest. If the person has no right to be there, the crime is a burglary. Household larceny can consist of the theft of jewelry, clothes, lawn furniture, garden hoses, silverware, etc.

The theft or unauthorized use of motor vehicles, commonly regarded as a specialized form of household larceny, is treated separately in the National Crime Survey program. Completed as well as attempted acts involving automobiles, trucks, motorcycles, and other vehicles legally entitled to use public streets are included.

CRIMES AGAINST COMMERCIAL ESTABLISHMENTS

Although commercial crimes, as the term is used in this report, consist primarily of victimizations of business establishments, they also include a relatively small number of offenses committed against certain other organizations, described in the introduction to Appendix III.

Only two types of commercial crimes are measured by the National Crime Survey program: robbery and burglary. These crimes are comparable to robbery of persons and burglary of households except that they are carried out against places of business rather than individuals or households. Unlike household burglary, however, commercial burglaries can take place only on the premises of business firms. In a robbery of a commercial establishment, as in a personal robbery, there must be personal confrontation and the threat or use of force. Commercial robberies usually occur on the premises of places of business, but some can happen away from the premises, such as during the holdup of sales or delivery personnel away from the establishment.

SELECTED FINDINGS

The statements that follow are illustrative of the information that can be drawn from this report's data tables. As a guide to readers, table source citations are given parenthetically after each finding. Individuals wishing to perform additional analysis on the topics covered in the selected findings are referred to Appendix IV, Technical Notes, for guidance in the interpretation of survey results.

General

The household and commercial surveys determined that an estimated 171,000 criminal victimizations were committed against Milwaukee residents and businesses in 1973.

Fifty-one percent involved individuals; 44 percent, households; and 5 percent, commercial establishments.

Personal crimes of theft outnumbered personal crimes of violence by about 1.7 to 1.

Victim characteristics

Milwaukee residents were victimized by personal crimes of violence at a rate of 61 per 1,000 persons age 12 and over [Table 1].

The victimization rate for males was about 1½ times that for females [Table 17].

Blacks had a somewhat higher rate than whites [Table 19].

Persons age 50 and over had the lowest rate of any age group—28 per 1,000 [Table 18].

Members of families with annual incomes of less than \$3,000 had the highest rate of any income group [Table 20].

Females were victimized by rape at a rate of 4 per 1,000 [Table 17].

Blacks had considerably higher burglary, household larceny, and motor vehicle theft rates than whites [Table 62].

Household victimization rates tended to rise as the number of persons in the household increased [Table 65].

The household larceny rate for households with six or more persons was about five times that of one-person households [Table 65].

Commercial establishments were burglarized at a rate of 321 and robbed at a rate of 49 per 1,000 [Table 85].

An estimated 19 percent of all businesses were victimized at least once during the year; about one-fourth of those affected were victimized two or more times [Tables 87, 90].

Reporting to the police

Thirty-four percent of all personal crimes were reported to the police [Table 40].

Women reported crimes of violence and crimes of theft slightly more often than men [Table 41].

There was no significant difference between the proportions of violent crimes reported by blacks and whites; crimes of theft, however, were reported by whites relatively more often than by blacks [Table 41].

There was no significant difference between the proportions of stranger-to-stranger and non-stranger crimes of violence reported to the police [Table 40].

Forty-five percent of all household crimes were reported to the police [Table 74].

There was no significant difference between the overall percentages of household crimes reported by whites and by blacks [Table 74].

Eighty-four percent of commercial burglaries and robberies were reported to the police [Table 93].

The most common reasons for not reporting personal, household, and commercial crimes were the

victim's beliefs that nothing could be done and that the crime was not important enough [Tables 39, 70, 92].

Time and place of occurrence

Personal crimes were about equally divided between day and night [Table 54].

Most household crimes (56 percent) took place at night [Table 84].

Most commercial burglaries (84 percent) occurred at night; 58 percent of commercial robberies occurred during the day [Table 101].

Most personal crimes (55 percent) took place on the street or in other outdoor locations; only 3 percent, inside the victim's home [Table 36].

About one-fourth of all rapes occurred inside the victim's home [Table 36].

Number of victims and offenders

Nine-tenths of all personal crimes of violence involved a single victim [Table 30].

Most personal crimes of violence (57 percent) involved a single offender [Table 28].

Single-offender crimes were relatively more likely to have involved nonstrangers than strangers [Table 29].

Most rapes and assaults were committed by a single offender [Table 28].

Most personal robberies were carried out by two or more offenders [Table 28].

Fifty-four percent of commercial robberies were committed by two or more offenders [Table 89].

Perceived characteristics of offenders

Strangers committed 78 percent of all personal crimes of violence [Table 5].

Strangers were relatively more likely to have victimized men and whites, respectively, than women and blacks [Table 5].

Victims perceived that blacks committed most single-offender personal robberies, whereas whites were perceived to have committed more single-offender assaults than blacks [Table 9].

Blacks were perceived to have committed a majority (68 percent) of multiple-offender robberies, but there was no significant difference between the proportions of multiple-offender assaults committed by whites and by blacks [Table 11].

Victims perceived most single-offender assaults as having been committed by persons age 21 and over; there was no significant difference between the percentages of single-offender personal robberies committed by persons under age 21 and those age 21 and over [Table 13].

Victims perceived that most multiple-offender violent crimes (59 percent) were committed by persons under age 21 [Table 15].

Single- and multiple-offender victimizations of blacks were only infrequently attributed to white perpetrators [Tables 10, 12].

Most (64 percent) multiple-offender robberies of whites were carried out by blacks, and more single-offender robberies of whites were committed by blacks than whites [Tables 10, 12].

Most (63 percent) single-offender assaults of whites were committed by whites [Table 10].

More multiple-offender assaults of whites were carried out by whites than by blacks [Table 12].

Most single- and multiple-offender robberies and assaults of blacks were perpetrated by blacks [Tables 10, 12].

Weapons use by offenders

Offenders used weapons in about one-third of all personal crimes of violence [Table 56].

Firearms and knives each accounted for some three-tenths of the types of weapons employed in violent crimes [Table 57].

Offenders used weapons in roughly three-fourths of all commercial robberies [Table 102].

Firearms were the most common type (66 percent) of weapon used [Table 103].

Victim self-protection

Victims took self-protective measures in most (61 percent) personal crimes of violence [Table 43].

Victims rarely used firearms or knives in self-defense, but physical force and other weapons accounted for 36 percent of all self-protective measures [Table 45].

Victim injury and economic loss

Victims were injured in 31 percent of all personal robberies and assaults [Table 31].

Robbery and assault victims of nonstrangers were somewhat more likely to have incurred injuries than were the victims of strangers [Table 31].

In 8 percent of all personal crimes of violence, the victim received hospital care [Table 33].

Seven-tenths of all personal crimes involved loss of money or property and/or property damage [Table 47].

Personal larceny was more likely than robbery to have resulted in economic loss to the victim [Table 47].

In most (65 percent) personal crimes with loss, the losses were valued at less than \$50, including items of no monetary value [Table 48].

Blacks suffered a somewhat higher proportion of losses in the \$50 and over category than did whites [Table 49].

In a majority of completed personal robberies and larcenies, no losses were recovered [Table 51].

Nine-tenths of all household crimes involved loss of money or property and/or property damage [Table 78].

Among household crimes resulting in loss, 52 percent involved amounts of less than \$50, including items of no monetary value [Table 80].

Blacks had a greater proportion of losses of \$50 or more than did whites [Table 80].

In 72 percent of all household crimes with theft, no losses were recovered; in most (70 percent) motor vehicle thefts, however, losses were fully recovered [Table 81].

Eighty-six percent of commercial burglaries and 75 percent of commercial robberies resulted in economic loss [Table 96].

Half of all commercial crimes with loss involved amounts exceeding \$50 [Table 97].

SURVEY DATA TABLES

Table 1. Personal crimes: Number of victimizations and victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime

Type of crime	Number	Rate
Crimes of violence	32,600	61
Rape	1,200	2
Robbery	9,600	18
Robbery and attempted robbery		
with injury	3,300	6
From serious assault	1,400	3
From minor assault	2,000	4
Robbery without injury	3,100	6
Attempted robbery without injury	3,200	6
Assault	21,800	41
Aggravated assault	8,800	17
With injury	2,700	5
Attempted assault with weapon	6,200	12
Simple assault	13,000	24
With injury	3,700	7
Attempted assault without weapon	9,300	17
Crimes of theft	54,900	103
Personal larceny with contact	3,900	7
Purse snatching	1,400	3
Attempted purse snatching	700	1
Pocket picking	1,800	3
Personal larceny without contact	51,000	96

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.

Table 2. Personal crimes: Number of incidents and victimizations and ratio of incidents to victimizations, by type of crime

Type of crime	Incidents	Victimizations	Ratio
Crimes of violence	27,400	32,600	1:1.19
Rape	1,100	1,200	1:1.06
Robbery	8,200	9,600	1:1.17
Robbery and attempted robbery			
with injury	2,800	3,300	1:1.20
From serious assault	1,000	1,400	1:1.36
From minor assault	1,800	2,000	1:1.11
Robbery without injury	2,700	3,100	1:1.17
Attempted robbery without injury	2,700	3,200	1:1.15
Assault	18,100	21,800	1:1.21
Aggravated assault	6,900	8,800	1:1.29
with injury	2,000	2,700	1:1.31
Attempted assault with weapon	4,800	6,200	1:1.28
Simple assault	11,300	13,000	1:1.15
with injury	3,300	3,700	1:1.12
Attempted assault without weapon	7,900	9,300	1:1.17
Crimes of theft	53,300	54,900	1:1.03
Personal larceny with contact	3,600	3,900	1:1.08
Purse snatching	1,300	1,400	1:1.04
Attempted purse snatching	700	700	1:1.00
Pocket picking	1,600	1,800	1:1.15
Personal larceny without contact	149,700	51,000	1:1.03

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding. Ratios calculated from unrounded figures.

¹Because of data processing problems, a manual weighting procedure was used for estimating the number of incidents of personal larceny without contact. Since it was not feasible to perform an adjustment for cases involving more than one victim, the estimated number of incidents may be slightly inflated.

Table 3. Personal crimes of violence: Number and rate of victimizations, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship

(Rate per 1,000 resident population age 12 and over)

Type of crime	All victimizations		Involving strangers		Involving nonstrangers	
	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
Crimes	32,600	61	25,400	48	7,200	14
Rape	1,200	2	1,000	2	200	Z
Completed rape	400	1	200	1	100	1Z
Attempted rape	800	2	700	1	100	1Z
Robbery	9,600	18	8,700	16	900	2
Robbery and attempted robbery						
with injury	3,300	6	2,900	6	400	1
From serious assault	1,400	3	1,300	2	100	1Z
From minor assault	2,000	4	1,700	3	300	1
Robbery without injury	3,100	6	2,800	5	300	1
Attempted robbery without injury	3,200	6	2,900	5	200	Z
Assault	21,800	41	15,800	30	6,100	11
Aggravated assault	8,800	17	6,500	12	2,300	4
With injury	2,700	5	1,800	3	800	2
Attempted assault with weapon	6,200	12	4,700	9	1,500	3
Simple assault	13,000	24	9,300	17	3,700	7
With injury	3,700	7	2,300	4	1,400	3
Attempted assault without weapon	9,300	17	7,000	13	2,300	4

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.

Z Less than 0.5 per 1,000.

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

12 Criminal Victimization Surveys in Milwaukee

Table 4. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by selected characteristics of victims and type of crime

Characteristic	All personal crimes	Crimes of violence	Crimes of theft
Sex			
Male (47)	55	58	53
Female (53)	45	42	47
Race			
White (84)	80	81	80
Black (15)	19	19	19
Other (1)	1	12	1
Age			
12-15 (10)	15	20	11
16-19 (10)	16	18	15
20-24 (13)	21	20	21
25-34 (17)	19	16	21
35-49 (18)	15	12	18
50-64 (19)	10	9	11
65 and over (14)	4	5	3

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to percent in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.

Z Less than 0.5 percent.

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 5. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations involving strangers, by type of crime and selected characteristics of victims

Type of crime	Both sexes	Sex		Race	
		Male	Female	White	Black
Crimes of violence	78	81	74	81	64
Rape	81	¹ 100	81	81	82
Robbery	90	93	87	93	82
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury	89	96	81	93	72
From serious assault	94	95	90	96	85
From minor assault	85	97	78	90	61
Robbery without injury	90	93	86	91	88
Attempted robbery without injury	93	91	97	95	86
Assault	72	75	68	76	54
Aggravated assault	74	77	68	80	54
With injury	68	76	58	81	41
Attempted assault with weapon	76	77	73	80	61
Simple assault	71	74	68	74	53
With injury	62	69	55	63	54
Attempted assault without weapon	75	76	74	78	53

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 6. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations involving strangers, by type of crime and sex and race of victims

Type of crime	Male		Female	
	White	Black	White	Black
Crimes of violence	82	74	80	56
Rape	1	² 100	81	² 80
Robbery	93	92	92	70
With injury	95	100	90	² 51
Without injury	92	89	93	83
Assault	78	61	74	48
Aggravated assault	81	64	80	46
Simple assault	76	57	71	51

¹No rapes of white males were recorded.

²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 7. Personal assault: Percent of victimizations involving strangers, by race and age of victims

Race and age	All assaults	Aggravated assault	Simple assault
All races ¹			
12-15	61	64	59
16-19	75	78	73
20-24	76	76	77
25-34	71	74	69
35-49	71	66	73
50-64	81	74	85
65 and over	86	² 100	80
White			
12-15	65	71	62
16-19	81	85	77
20-24	84	87	83
25-34	71	77	68
35-49	75	76	75
50-64	78	70	83
65 and over	86	² 100	80
Black			
12-15	46	² 42	48
16-19	56	60	² 50
20-24	34	² 36	² 31
25-34	71	² 66	² 81
35-49	53	² 46	² 60
50-64	93	² 87	² 100
65 and over	0	0	0

¹Includes data on "other" races, not shown separately.

²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 8. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of victimizations involving nonstrangers, by type of crime and nature of relationship

Type of crime	Related and/or well known	Casually acquainted
Crimes of violence ¹	43	57
Robbery	34	66
Assault	45	55

¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

Table 9. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of single-offender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived race of offender

Type of crime	Perceived race of offender			
	White	Black	Other	Not known and not available
Crimes of violence	49	44	5	3
Rape	57	38	14	0
Completed rape	165	135	0	0
Attempted rape	55	39	16	0
Robbery	28	66	13	13
Robbery with injury	25	72	0	12
Robbery without injury	29	64	15	13
Assault	53	39	5	3
Aggravated assault	49	43	6	12
Simple assault	55	37	5	3

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.**Table 10. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of single-offender victimizations, by type of crime, race of victims, and perceived race of offender**

Type of crime and race of victims	Perceived race of offender			
	White	Black	Other	Not known and not available
Crimes of violence				
White	59	33	5	3
Black	10	88	12	11
Rape				
White	67	33	0	0
Black	21	158	121	0
Robbery				
White	37	54	15	14
Black	0	100	0	0
Robbery with injury				
White	34	62	0	13
Black	0	100	0	0
Robbery without injury				
White	38	51	16	14
Black	0	100	0	0
Assault				
White	63	28	6	3
Black	12	86	11	11
Aggravated assault				
White	62	27	8	13
Black	16	82	0	11
Simple assault				
White	64	28	5	3
Black	17	91	12	0

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.**Table 11. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multiple-offender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived race of offenders**

Type of crime	Perceived race of offenders				Not known and not available
	All white	All black	All other	Mixed races	
Crimes of violence	34	51	3	10	2
Rape	159	115	0	126	0
Robbery	20	68	11	8	13
Robbery with injury	20	66	11	10	13
Robbery without injury	20	69	12	7	13
Assault	44	38	5	11	12
Aggravated assault	45	38	15	11	11
Simple assault	44	39	5	11	12

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.**Table 12. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multiple-offender victimizations, by type of crime, race of victims, and perceived race of offenders**

Type of crime and race of victims	Perceived race of offenders				Not known and not available
	All white	All black	All other	Mixed races	
Crimes of violence ¹					
White	39	45	3	11	2
Black	23	85	21	27	25
Robbery					
White	25	64	21	9	22
Black	0	88	22	24	26
Assault					
White	49	32	5	11	22
Black	5	84	0	29	22

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately.²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.**Table 13. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of single-offender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived age of offender**

Type of crime	Perceived age of offender						Not known and not available
	Under 12	Total 12-20	12-14	15-17	18-20	21 and over	
Crimes of violence	11	37	7	18	12	60	3
Rape	0	28	18	15	15	70	12
Robbery	0	54	9	29	17	42	14
Robbery with injury	0	54	18	26	120	40	16
Robbery without injury	0	54	9	30	15	43	3
Assault	11	33	7	16	10	63	3
Aggravated assault	11	31	6	14	11	63	5
Simple assault	12	34	7	17	10	63	12

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown, or to 100 percent, because of rounding.

Z Less than 0.5 percent.

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 14. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of single-offender victimizations, by type of crime, age of victims, and perceived age of offender

Type of crime and age of victims	Perceived age of offender			
	Under 12	12-20	21 and over	Not known and not available
Crimes of violence¹				
12-19	^a 2	66	31	^a 3
20-34	^a 2	19	77	^a 4
35-49	0	20	78	^a 2
50-64	^a 3	27	65	^a 5
65 and over	0	51	49	0
Robbery				
12-19	0	81	^a 17	^a 2
20-34	0	24	74	^a 2
35-49	0	^a 44	^a 48	^a 8
50-64	0	53	^a 36	^a 11
65 and over	0	^a 83	^a 17	0
Assault				
12-19	^a 2	64	33	^a 3
20-34	^a 2	17	79	^a 4
35-49	0	16	83	^a 1
50-64	^a 4	^a 17	78	^a 2
65 and over	0	^a 31	^a 69	0

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

Z Less than 0.5 percent.

¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

^aEstimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 15. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multiple-offender victimizations, by type of crime and perceived age of offenders

Type of crime	All under 12	Perceived age of offenders			Not known and not available
		All 12-20	All 21 and over	Mixed ages	
Crimes of violence	1	58	19	18	4
Rape	0	150	127	115	18
Robbery	12	58	19	16	5
Robbery with injury	12	45	28	18	17
Robbery without injury	12	66	15	14	14
Assault	11	59	18	19	12
Aggravated assault	0	52	24	22	12
Simple assault	12	64	14	17	13

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

^aEstimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 16. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of multiple-offender victimizations, by type of crime, age of victims, and perceived age of offenders

Type of crime and age of victims	All under 12	Perceived age of offenders			Not known and not available
		All 12-20	All 21 and over	Mixed ages	
Crimes of violence¹					
12-19	^a 2	78	5	14	^a 2
20-34	^a 2	39	31	26	^a 2
35-49	^a 3	48	28	17	^a 4
50-64	^a 1	35	34	18	^a 11
65 and over	0	64	27	^a 7	^a 2
Robbery					
12-19	0	85	^a 4	9	^a 2
20-34	^a 4	46	21	25	^a 4
35-49	^a 5	41	28	^a 20	^a 6
50-64	^a 2	30	36	^a 17	^a 14
65 and over	0	53	34	^a 10	^a 3
Assault					
12-19	^a 1	74	^a 5	17	^a 3
20-34	^a 1	34	38	26	^a 1
35-49	^a 2	54	28	^a 14	^a 2
50-64	0	44	^a 31	^a 20	^a 4
65 and over	0	93	^a 7	0	0

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

Z Less than 0.5 percent.

¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

^aEstimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 17. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and sex of victims

(Rate per 1,000 resident population age 12 and over)

Type of crime	Male (248,000)	Female (285,500)
Crimes of violence	76	48
Rape	12	4
Robbery	23	13
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury	7	6
From serious assault	4	2
From minor assault	3	4
Robbery without injury	7	5
Attempted robbery without injury	9	3
Assault	53	31
Aggravated assault	22	11
With injury	6	4
Attempted assault with weapon	16	8
Simple assault	30	19
With injury	8	6
Attempted assault without weapon	23	13
Crimes of theft	117	90
Personal larceny with contact	6	9
Purse snatching	12	5
Attempted purse snatching	^a 2	2
Pocket picking	5	2
Personal larceny without contact	112	82

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.

Z Less than 0.5 per 1,000.

^aEstimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 18. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and age of victims

(Rate per 1,000 resident population in each group)

Type of crime	12-15 (51,500)	16-19 (52,500)	20-24 (70,600)	25-34 (90,700)	35-49 (96,600)	50-64 (99,900)	65 and over (71,800)
Crimes of violence	125	112	91	58	40	31	23
Rape	12	6	5	4	11	0	12
Robbery	47	19	18	14	12	14	14
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury	7	6	5	5	6	8	7
Robbery without injury	18	5	3	5	4	3	3
Attempted robbery without injury	22	9	5	5	12	3	4
Assault	76	87	68	40	28	16	9
Aggravated assault	26	44	30	15	8	7	13
With injury	7	12	9	4	4	12	11
Attempted assault with weapon	19	32	22	11	4	5	12
Simple assault	50	43	38	25	19	9	6
With injury	18	14	10	6	5	2	12
Attempted assault without weapon	32	29	28	19	14	7	5
Crimes of theft	122	152	166	129	100	59	24
Personal larceny with contact	5	9	6	5	9	8	9
Purse snatching	12	5	12	11	4	5	7
Pocket picking	14	5	3	4	5	3	12
Personal larceny without contact	116	143	161	124	90	51	15

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.

Z Less than 0.5 per 1,000.

1 Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 19. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and race of victims

(Rate per 1,000 resident population age 12 and over)

Type of crime	White (449,100)	Black (81,300)
Crimes of violence	59	74
Rape	2	3
Robbery	17	25
Robbery and attempted robbery		
with injury	6	8
From serious assault	2	4
From minor assault	4	4
Robbery without injury	5	9
Attempted robbery without injury	6	8
Assault	40	46
Aggravated assault	15	26
With injury	4	9
Attempted assault with weapon	11	17
Simple assault	25	21
With injury	7	7
Attempted assault without weapon	18	13
Crimes of theft	98	129
Personal larceny with contact	7	11
Purse snatching	4	4
Pocket picking	3	7
Personal larceny without contact	91	118

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.

Table 20. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and annual family income of victims 20

(Rate per 1,000 resident population age 12 and over)

Type of crime	Less than \$3,000 (43,700)	\$3,000- \$7,499 (104,800)	\$7,500- \$9,999 (55,100)	\$10,000- \$14,999 (146,000)	\$15,000- \$24,999 (116,000)	\$25,000 or more (238,000)	Not available (44,300)
Crimes of violence	99	77	53	56	50	51	50
Rape	7	2	13	2	11	11	12
Robbery	34	26	13	16	13	8	15
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury	16	12	5	4	3	11	5
Robbery without injury	11	8	4	6	4	14	14
Attempted robbery without injury	8	6	4	6	6	14	6
Assault	58	49	37	38	36	41	34
Aggravated assault	24	19	14	15	15	20	15
With injury	10	5	6	5	4	15	14
Attempted assault with weapon	14	14	8	11	11	15	11
Simple assault	34	30	22	23	22	22	19
With injury	11	10	7	5	6	17	14
Attempted assault without weapon	23	20	15	17	16	14	15
Crimes of theft	96	96	121	102	114	135	60
Personal larceny with contact	17	12	6	4	6	11	5
Purse snatching	9	6	5	2	3	0	14
Pocket picking	8	6	12	2	3	11	11
Personal larceny without contact	79	85	115	98	108	134	55

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.
 *Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 21. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and marital status of victims

(Rate per 1,000 resident population age 12 and over)

Type of crime	Never married (176,400)	Married (279,700)	Widowed (39,500)	Divorced and separated (36,100)
Crimes of violence	102	35	35	95
Rape	3	2	0	7
Robbery	29	8	25	32
Robbery and attempted robbery				
with injury	7	3	16	16
From serious assault	3	2	14	15
From minor assault	4	2	12	10
Robbery without injury	10	2	5	11
Attempted robbery without injury	11	3	14	6
Assault	70	25	9	56
Aggravated assault	29	10	5	19
with injury	8	3	14	8
Attempted assault with weapon	21	7	12	12
Simple assault	41	15	14	37
with injury	13	3	13	12
Attempted assault without weapon	28	12	11	25
Crimes of theft	139	84	46	129
Personal larceny with contact	9	4	12	17
Purse snatching	4	2	10	11
Pocket picking	5	2	12	6
Personal larceny without contact	130	80	34	112

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 22. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by sex and age of victims and type of crime

(Rate per 1,000 resident population in each group)

Sex and age	All personal crimes of violence	Rape	Crimes of violence			Assault			Crimes of theft		
			All rob-beries	Robbery with injury	Robbery without injury	All assaults	Aggravated assault	Simple assault	All personal crimes of theft	Personal larceny with contact	Personal larceny without contact
Male											
12-15 (25,900)	157	11	73	10	64	82	32	50	132	15	127
16-19 (25,900)	126	0	25	9	16	101	52	49	191	17	184
20-24 (32,800)	111	0	20	14	16	91	45	46	198	15	193
25-34 (44,200)	71	0	13	13	11	57	22	36	138	6	132
35-49 (44,500)	52	0	17	10	7	35	10	25	101	7	94
50-64 (45,700)	34	0	15	7	9	19	9	10	62	4	57
65 and over (29,000)	32	0	18	7	11	14	12	12	26	13	23
Female											
12-15 (25,500)	93	14	19	15	14	70	20	51	111	15	106
16-19 (26,600)	98	11	14	13	11	73	36	37	114	12	102
20-24 (37,800)	74	9	18	7	11	42	17	30	139	6	133
25-34 (46,500)	47	8	15	7	8	24	9	14	119	13	116
35-49 (52,100)	29	11	7	13	4	21	6	15	99	11	87
50-64 (54,200)	28	0	13	9	5	15	6	9	36	10	46
65 and over (42,800)	17	11	12	7	5	5	13	3	23	12	11

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.
 *Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 23. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by type of crime and sex and race of victims

(Rate per 1,000 resident population age 12 and over)

Type of crime	Male		Female	
	White (211,100)	Black (35,600)	White (238,100)	Black (45,700)
Crimes of violence	76	79	44	71
Rape	0	11	4	5
Robbery	22	32	12	19
With injury	7	8	5	8
Without injury	15	24	7	12
Assault	54	46	28	47
Aggravated assault	22	27	9	25
Simple assault	32	19	19	22
Crimes of theft	110	160	87	105
Personal larceny with contact	4	14	9	9
Personal larceny without contact	106	146	78	97

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 24. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by sex and marital status of victims and type of crime

(Rate per 1,000 resident population age 12 and over)

Sex and marital status	Crimes of violence			Crimes of theft		
	All personal crimes of violence ¹	Robbery	Assault	All personal crimes of theft	Personal larceny with contact	Personal larceny without contact
Male						
Never married (89,100)	124	39	85	159	9	150
Married (138,400)	44	10	34	91	3	88
Widowed (6,900)	64	44	20	46	23	43
Divorced and separated (12,600)	109	51	58	145	29	136
Female						
Never married (87,300)	79	18	55	119	9	110
Married (141,300)	27	7	17	77	5	72
Widowed (32,600)	28	21	7	46	14	32
Divorced and separated (23,400)	87	22	55	120	21	99

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.

¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 25. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by race and age of victims and type of crime

24

(Rate per 1,000 resident population in each group)

Race and age	Crimes of violence			Crimes of theft		
	All personal crimes of violence ¹	Robbery	Assault	All personal crimes of theft	Personal larceny with contact	Personal larceny without contact
White						
12-15 (37,600)	132	49	82	133	7	126
16-19 (41,000)	114	23	85	153	10	143
20-24 (58,500)	89	15	69	167	5	162
25-34 (74,600)	60	12	43	124	4	120
35-49 (78,200)	40	11	28	90	6	84
50-64 (90,900)	27	12	15	55	7	48
65 and over (68,200)	24	14	9	23	9	14
Black						
12-15 (13,500)	111	43	67	87	0	87
16-19 (11,200)	105	^a 6	92	152	^a 8	144
20-24 (11,700)	109	37	64	162	^a 10	152
25-34 (15,100)	51	24	25	152	^a 10	142
35-49 (17,600)	40	15	25	140	23	118
50-64 (8,600)	68	31	36	96	^a 18	78
65 and over (3,500)	^a 13	^a 13	0	^a 39	0	^a 39

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.

¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

^aEstimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

**Table 26. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over,
by race and annual family income of victims and type of crime**

(Rate per 1,000 resident population age 12 and over)

Race and income	Crimes of violence			Crimes of theft		
	All personal crimes of violence ¹	Robbery	Assault	All personal crimes of theft	Personal larceny with contact	Personal larceny without contact
White						
Less than \$3,000 (31,700)	92	33	51	88	14	74
\$3,000-\$7,499 (79,600)	72	24	46	89	12	77
\$7,500-\$9,999 (45,100)	53	13	38	117	7	110
\$10,000-\$14,999 (128,600)	57	16	39	98	3	94
\$15,000-\$24,999 (105,300)	49	12	36	109	6	103
\$25,000 or more (22,100)	51	^a 8	42	130	^a 1	129
Not available (36,700)	47	14	32	52	^a 4	47
Black						
Less than \$3,000 (11,400)	121	41	78	113	26	87
\$3,000-\$7,499 (24,100)	92	33	57	120	11	109
\$7,500-\$9,999 (9,700)	50	^a 14	31	136	^a 2	134
\$10,000-\$14,999 (16,900)	45	12	30	130	^a 8	122
\$15,000-\$24,999 (10,200)	66	23	36	165	^a 11	154
\$25,000 or more (1,700)	^a 42	^a 14	^a 27	204	0	204
Not available (7,300)	66	^a 22	40	108	^a 9	98

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.
¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately.
^aEstimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 27. Personal crimes: Victimization rates for persons age 12 and over, by race, sex, and age of victims and type of crime

(Rate per 1,000 resident population in each group)

Race, sex, and age	Crimes of violence	Crimes of theft
White		
Male		
12-15 (18,900)	161	139
16-19 (20,600)	135	190
20-24 (28,100)	121	197
25-34 (37,800)	72	133
35-49 (36,900)	51	85
50-64 (41,300)	31	56
65 and over (27,300)	33	24
Female		
12-15 (18,700)	101	128
16-19 (20,400)	92	115
20-24 (30,400)	59	140
25-34 (36,800)	48	115
35-49 (41,300)	29	95
50-64 (49,700)	24	55
65 and over (40,900)	18	23
Black		
Male		
12-15 (6,900)	147	114
16-19 (5,100)	86	197
20-24 (4,600)	59	208
25-34 (5,900)	63	179
35-49 (7,300)	52	178
50-64 (4,200)	70	122
65 and over (1,600)	114	157
Female		
12-15 (6,600)	73	60
16-19 (6,100)	120	114
20-24 (7,100)	140	132
25-34 (9,300)	44	136
35-49 (10,300)	31	114
50-64 (4,500)	65	72
65 and over (1,900)	112	124

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to population in the group.
 †Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 28. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and number of offenders

Type of crime	One	Two	Three	Four or more	Not known and not available
Crimes of violence	57	16	10	14	3
Rape	78	18	16	16	12
Robbery	35	28	16	18	13
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury	26	33	15	20	15
From serious assault	116	31	16	26	10
From minor assault	32	34	14	17	13
Robbery without injury	35	29	17	16	13
Attempted robbery without injury	44	22	15	18	11
Assault	65	11	8	12	3
Aggravated assault with injury	65	10	5	14	7
Attempted assault with weapon	63	13	16	20	17
Simple assault with injury	65	12	4	11	7
Attempted assault without weapon	66	12	10	12	11
Simple assault without injury	65	11	10	14	10
Attempted assault without weapon	66	12	9	11	12

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.
 †Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 29. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of incidents involving a single offender, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship

Type of crime	Involving strangers	Involving nonstrangers
Crimes of violence	51	78
Rape	73	91
Robbery	33	58
Assault	59	81

Table 30. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of incidents involving a single victim, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship

Type of crime	All incidents	Involving strangers	Involving nonstrangers
Crimes of violence	90	90	91
Rape	95	93	100
Robbery	92	92	90
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury	91	92	87
From serious assault	88	89	167
From minor assault	93	93	92
Robbery without injury	93	93	93
Attempted robbery without injury	91	91	190
Assault	88	88	90
Aggravated assault with injury	85	84	87
Attempted assault with weapon	84	83	86
Simple assault with injury	85	84	88
Attempted assault without weapon	91	90	92
Simple assault without injury	93	93	95
Attempted assault without weapon	90	89	90

†Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 31. Personal robbery and assault: Percent of victimizations in which victims sustained physical injury, by victim-offender relationship and type of crime

Relationship	Robbery and assault	Robbery	Assault
All victimizations	31	35	29
Involving strangers	29	34	26
Involving nonstrangers	38	41	37

Table 32. Personal robbery and assault: Percent of victimizations in which victims sustained physical injury, by selected characteristics of victims and type of crime

Characteristic	Robbery and assault	Robbery	Assault
Sex			
Male	27	29	26
Female	37	44	33
Race			
White	30	35	28
Black	34	32	35
Age			
12-15	27	16	33
16-19	30	29	30
20-24	27	29	27
25-34	27	33	25
35-49	39	51	34
50-64	39	54	25
65 and over	41	49	28
Annual family income			
Less than \$3,000	40	46	37
\$3,000-\$7,499	35	45	30
\$7,500-\$9,999	38	42	37
\$10,000-\$14,999	25	23	26
\$15,000-\$24,999	25	23	26
\$25,000 or more	26	11	29
Not available	25	33	22

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 33. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which victims sustained physical injury, received hospital care, and incurred medical expenses, by type of crime

Item	Crimes of violence ¹	Robbery	Assault
Received hospital care	8	9	7
Emergency room only	6	7	6
Overnight or longer	2	2	1
Incurred medical expenses ²	6	7	6

¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

²Includes only those victimizations in which the victims knew with certainty that medical expenses were incurred and also knew, or were able to estimate, the amount of such expenses.

Table 34. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which victims received hospital care, by selected characteristics of victims and type of crime

Characteristic	Crimes of violence ¹	Robbery	Assault
Sex			
Male	7	6	7
Female	8	11	7
Race			
White	6	7	6
Black	13	11	13
Victim-offender relationship			
Involving strangers	7	8	6
Involving nonstrangers	8	28	9

¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 35. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of victimizations in which victims incurred medical expenses, by amount

Amount ¹	Percent
Less than \$50	
\$50-\$249	41
\$250 or more	39
	21

¹Includes only those victimizations in which the victims knew with certainty that medical expenses were incurred and also knew, or were able to estimate, the amount of such expenses.

Table 36. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and place of occurrence

Type of crime	Inside own home	Near own home	Inside nonresidential building	On street, or in park, playground, schoolground, or parking lot	Elsewhere
All personal crimes	3	3	19	55	19
Crimes of violence	10	9	15	55	11
Rape	23	18	14	50	12
Robbery	6	9	8	68	9
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury	7	12	15	72	14
Robbery and attempted robbery without injury	4	7	9	66	12
Assault	11	9	19	49	12
Aggravated assault	12	10	19	45	14
Simple assault	10	8	19	51	10
Crimes of theft	12	1	22	55	23
Personal larceny with contact	13	9	28	51	10
Personal larceny without contact	21	55	24

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.
 Z Less than 0.5 percent.
 ... Represents not applicable.
 *Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 37. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of incidents, by victim-offender relationship, place of occurrence, and type of crime

Relationship and place	Crimes of violence ¹	Robbery	Assault
Involving strangers			
Inside own home	5	4	6
Near own home	9	9	9
Inside nonresidential building	15	8	19
On street, or in park, playground, schoolground, or parking lot	61	72	55
Elsewhere	10	8	11
Involving nonstrangers			
Inside own home	25	26	23
Near own home	8	^a 12	8
Inside nonresidential building	17	^a 9	19
On street, or in park, playground, schoolground, or parking lot	33	29	35
Elsewhere	17	^a 24	15

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

^aEstimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 38. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and geographic area of occurrence

Type of crime	Inside city of residence	Inside other central city	Elsewhere
All personal crimes	90	3	7
Crimes of violence ¹	93	2	5
Robbery	97	^a 2	^a 1
Assault	92	2	6
Crimes of theft	89	3	8
Personal larceny with contact	92	^a 5	^a 2
Personal larceny without contact	89	3	9

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

^aEstimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 39. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by type of crime

Reason	All personal crimes	Crimes of violence			Crimes of theft		
		All crimes of violence ¹	Robbery	Assault	All crimes of theft	Personal larceny with contact	Personal larceny without contact
Nothing could be done; lack of proof	30	24	29	22	33	40	32
Not important enough	31	32	29	34	31	15	31
Police would not want to be bothered	5	5	6	4	5	27	5
Too inconvenient or time consuming	3	2	^a 1	2	3	^a 3	3
Private or personal matter	5	11	7	12	3	7	2
Fear of reprisal	1	2	^a 2	2	^a 2	^a 1	^a 2
Reported to someone else	13	9	7	10	14	^a 6	15
All other and not given	12	15	17	14	11	20	11

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

^z Less than 0.5 percent.

¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 40. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship

Type of crime	All victimizations	Involving strangers	Involving nonstrangers
All personal crimes	34
Crimes of violence	42	42	43
Rape	56	57	150
Robbery	51	52	40
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury	70	73	53
From serious assault	76	76	178
From minor assault	67	70	145
Robbery without injury	59	60	148
Attempted robbery without injury	22	23	19
Assault	38	36	43
Aggravated assault	48	47	50
With injury	64	62	68
Attempted assault with weapon	41	41	40
Simple assault	31	28	39
With injury	44	39	52
Attempted assault without weapon	26	25	32
Crimes of theft	29
Personal larceny with contact	40	40	115
Purse snatching	54	55	0
Pocket picking	23	24	118
Personal larceny without contact	28

... Represents not applicable.

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 41. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and sex and race of victims

Type of crime	Sex		Race	
	Male	Female	White	Black
All personal crimes	31	37	34	32
Crimes of violence	38	48	41	47
Rape	100	54	53	167
Robbery	47	57	51	50
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury	74	66	72	64
From serious assault	71	84	79	160
From minor assault	78	60	67	68
Robbery without injury	56	62	57	62
Attempted robbery without injury	20	29	22	122
Assault	34	44	37	44
Aggravated assault	43	57	48	49
With injury	58	73	59	78
Attempted assault with weapon	37	49	43	34
Simple assault	28	36	31	38
With injury	36	53	44	46
Attempted assault without weapon	25	28	25	34
Crimes of theft	27	31	30	24
Personal larceny with contact	25	48	40	40
Purse snatching	156	55	49	82
Pocket picking	23	123	27	116
Personal larceny without contact	27	30	29	23

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 42. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and age of victim

Type of crime	12-19	20-34	35-49	50-64	65 and over
All personal crimes	23	37	39	41	47
Crimes of violence ¹	31	45	54	52	62
Robbery	31	54	61	66	73
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury	53	72	68	75	86
Robbery without injury	37	73	62	67	78
Assault	30	41	51	40	43
Aggravated assault	36	50	79	49	89
Simple assault	25	35	39	33	24
Crimes of theft	16	33	33	35	32
Personal larceny with contact	16	42	45	47	47
Personal larceny without contact	16	33	32	33	24

¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately.

²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 43. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which victims took self-protective measures, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship

Type of crime	All victimizations	Involving strangers	Involving nonstrangers
Crimes of violence	61	60	64
Rape	80	78	91
Robbery	52	51	54
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury	53	52	66
From serious assault	55	54	78
From minor assault	52	51	62
Robbery without injury	26	27	23
Attempted robbery without injury	75	74	78
Assault	64	64	66
Aggravated assault	65	64	66
With injury	64	59	73
Attempted assault with weapon	65	66	62
Simple assault	63	63	64
With injury	63	58	70
Attempted assault without weapon	63	65	60

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 44. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of victimizations in which victims took self-protective measures, by selected characteristics of victims and type of crime

Characteristic	Crimes of violence	Rape	Robbery			Assault		Simple
			All robberies	With injury	Without injury	All assaults	Aggravated	
Sex								
Male	62	0	55	60	53	64	68	61
Female	60	81	47	47	47	63	59	66
Race								
White	62	83	53	56	51	64	66	63
Black	56	163	49	42	51	60	59	62
Age								
12-19	65	78	59	84	53	66	73	62
20-34	65	86	50	47	51	68	63	71
35-49	53	157	50	57	42	54	48	56
50-64	48	^a	45	36	55	50	55	46
65 and over	44	0	41	45	38	51	150	51

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.
²No rapes were recorded for this age group.

Table 45. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of self-protective measures employed by victims, by type of measure and type of crime

Self-protective measure	Crimes of violence	Rape	Robbery			Assault		Simple
			All robberies	With injury	Without injury	All assaults	Aggravated	
Used or brandished firearm or knife	2	0	12	0	11	2	4	11
Used physical force or other weapon	36	34	41	53	34	35	34	35
Tried to get help or frighten offender	16	32	16	19	14	14	12	15
Threatened or reasoned with offender	17	17	17	12	20	17	15	18
Nonviolent resistance, including evasion	29	16	25	16	31	32	35	30

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.
¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 46. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of self-protective measures employed by victims, by selected characteristics of victims

Self-protective measure	Sex			Race	
	Both sexes	Male	Female	White	Black
Used or brandished firearm or knife	2	2	11	11	15
Used physical force or other weapon	36	41	32	36	39
Tried to get help or frighten offender	16	8	25	16	12
Threatened or reasoned with offender	17	18	15	17	18
Nonviolent resistance, including evasion	29	31	27	30	26

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 47. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime

Type of crime	Percent
All personal crimes	70
Crimes of violence	31
Rape	25
Robbery	63
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury	78
Robbery without injury	100
Attempted robbery without injury	9
Assault	17
Aggravated assault	23
Simple assault	13
Crimes of theft	93
Personal larceny with contact	84
Purse snatching	69
Pocket picking	100
Personal larceny without contact	94

Table 48. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime and value of loss

Type of crime	No monetary value	Less than \$10	\$10-\$49	\$50-\$249	\$250 or more	Not known and not available
All personal crimes	3	25	37	24	4	6
Crimes of violence ¹	11	25	28	18	5	13
Robbery	3	26	26	24	7	13
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury	^a 6	15	27	30	^a 6	16
Robbery and attempted robbery without injury	^a 1	35	25	20	7	11
Assault	24	23	29	8	^a 2	14
Crimes of theft	1	26	38	26	4	5
Personal larceny with contact	^a 3	16	40	28	^a 4	9
Personal larceny without contact	1	26	38	26	4	5

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.
¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately.
^aEstimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 49. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime, race of victims, and value of loss

Type of crime and race	No monetary value	Less than \$10	\$10-\$49	\$50-\$249	\$250 or more	Not known and not available
All personal crimes ¹	3	25	37	24	4	6
White	3	27	37	23	4	7
Black	4	19	36	29	6	6
Crimes of violence ¹	11	25	28	18	5	13
White	10	26	28	18	3	15
Black	14	23	27	18	9	9
Crimes of theft ¹	1	26	38	26	4	5
White	1	27	38	24	4	5
Black	^a 1	19	38	32	5	5

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.
¹Includes data on "other" races, not shown separately.
^aEstimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 50. Personal robbery and larceny: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by value of stolen property, including cash, and race of victims

Type of crime and property value	All races ¹	White	Black
Robbery			
No monetary value	0	0	0
Less than \$10	28	30	24
\$10-\$49	26	28	18
\$50-\$99	15	16	² 14
\$100-\$249	12	9	21
\$250 or more	9	6	20
Not available	10	12	² 3
Personal larceny³			
No monetary value	1	1	² 1
Less than \$10	26	28	19
\$10-\$49	40	39	40
\$50-\$99	14	13	17
\$100-\$249	12	12	16
\$250 or more	4	3	5
Not available	3	3	3

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Includes data on "other" races, not shown separately.

²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

³Includes both personal larceny with contact and personal larceny without contact.

Table 51. Personal robbery and larceny: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by proportion of loss recovered

Proportion recovered	Robbery	Personal larceny		
		All personal larcenies	With contact	Without contact
None	71	79	61	81
All	13	9	7	9
Some	16	11	32	10
Less than half	5	4	16	3
Half or more	5	5	9	4
Proportion unknown	6	3	7	2

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown, or to 100 percent, because of rounding.

Table 52. Personal crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by type of crime

Type of crime	Percent
All personal crimes	5
Crimes of violence	
Rape	¹ 9
Robbery	¹ 13
With injury	10
Without injury	20
Assault	5
Aggravated assault	9
Simple assault	12
Crimes of theft	6
Personal larceny with contact	3
Personal larceny without contact	8
	3

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 53. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by number of days lost and type of crime

Time lost	All personal crimes	Crimes of violence	Crimes of theft
Less than 1 day			
1-5 days	38	25	62
Over 5 days	46	52	35
Amount unknown and not available	14	20	¹ 3
	¹ 2	¹ 3	0

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 54. Personal crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and time of occurrence

Type of crime	Daytime 6 a.m.-6 p.m.	Total	Nighttime		Not known	Not known and not available
			6 p.m.-midnight	Midnight-6 a.m.		
All personal crimes	49	47	32	12	3	4
Crimes of violence						
Rape	48	51	40	11	¹ 2	¹ 1
Robbery	39	61	33	28	0	0
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury	53	46	41	6	0	¹ 2
From serious assault	48	52	47	¹ 4	0	0
From minor assault	40	60	52	¹ 8	0	0
Robbery without injury	53	47	45	¹ 2	0	0
Attempted robbery without injury	62	37	30	8	0	¹ 1
Assault	49	50	45	¹ 5	0	¹ 1
Aggravated assault	47	53	41	12	¹ 2	¹ 1
With injury	42	58	43	14	0	¹ 1
Attempted assault with weapon	35	65	50	15	0	0
Simple assault	44	54	41	14	0	¹ 1
With injury	50	50	39	10	¹ 1	¹ 2
Attempted assault without weapon	50	50	39	11	¹ 1	0
Crimes of theft	50	49	39	10	¹ 1	¹ 1
Personal larceny with contact	49	45	28	13	4	6
Purse snatching	53	45	39	¹ 5	0	0
Pocket picking	59	41	38	¹ 4	0	0
Personal larceny without contact	51	49	41	¹ 8	0	0
	49	45	28	14	4	6

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown, or to 100 percent, because of rounding.

² Less than 0.5 percent.

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 55. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of incidents, by victim-offender relationship, type of crime, and time of occurrence

Relationship and type of crime	Daytime 6 a.m.-6 p.m.	Nighttime		Not known and not available	
		Total	6 p.m.-midnight		Midnight-6 a.m.
Involving strangers					
Crimes of violence ¹	46	53	42	11	² 1
Robbery	52	48	42	5	² 1
Assault	43	56	43	12	² 1
Involving nonstrangers					
Crimes of violence ¹	55	44	35	10	² 2
Robbery	64	36	27	9	0
Assault	55	45	35	10	² 2

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown, or to 100 percent, because of rounding.
¹ Less than 0.5 percent.
² Includes data on rape, not shown separately.
³ Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 56. Personal crimes of violence: Percent of incidents in which offenders used weapons, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship

Type of crime	All incidents	Involving strangers	Involving nonstrangers
Crimes of violence	34	34	31
Rape	21	24	10
Robbery	31	32	¹ 19
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury	26	27	¹ 20
Robbery without injury	34	38	0
Attempted robbery without injury	32	31	¹ 45
Assault ²	36	37	34

¹ Includes data on simple assault, which by definition does not involve the use of a weapon.
² Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 57. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of types of weapons used by offenders, by type of crime

Type of crime	Firearm	Knife	Other	Type unknown
Crimes of violence ¹	30	30	34	6
Robbery	28	35	30	² 6
Robbery and attempted robbery with injury	² 19	26	47	² 8
Robbery and attempted robbery without injury	32	39	23	² 6
Aggravated assault	32	26	37	6
With injury	12	16	62	² 10
Attempted assault with weapon	39	30	28	4

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.
¹ Includes data on rape, not shown separately.
² Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 58. Personal crimes of violence: Percent distribution of types of weapons used by offenders, by type of crime and victim-offender relationship

Type of crime	Involving strangers				Involving nonstrangers			
	Firearm	Knife	Other	Type unknown	Firearm	Knife	Other	Type unknown
Crimes of violence ¹	30	31	34	5	30	29	35	6
Robbery	28	35	29	7	25	31	44	0
Aggravated assault	32	26	37	5	31	28	34	7

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.
¹Includes data on rape, not shown separately.
²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 59. Household crimes: Number and rate of victimizations, by type of crime

(Rate per 1,000 households)

Type of crime	Number	Rate
Burglary	36,900	152
Forcible entry	13,900	57
Unlawful entry without force	13,400	55
Attempted forcible entry	9,700	40
Household larceny	31,100	128
Less than \$50	19,700	81
\$50 or more	8,000	33
Amount not available	900	4
Attempted larceny	2,400	10
Motor vehicle theft	7,100	29
Completed theft	5,100	21
Attempted theft	2,000	8

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.

Table 60. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by selected household characteristics and type of crime

Characteristic	All household crimes	Burglary	Household larceny	Motor vehicle theft
Race of head of household				
White (85)	74	71	79	69
Black (15)	26	29	21	31
Other (1)	1	2	1	0
Age of head of household				
12-19 (2)	3	3	3	2
20-34 (32)	41	43	39	43
35-49 (22)	28	26	30	31
50-64 (24)	19	19	20	18
65 and over (20)	8	9	7	5
Annual family income				
Less than \$3,000 (12)	11	13	9	4
\$3,000-\$7,499 (24)	23	26	19	21
\$7,500-\$9,999 (11)	11	11	12	13
\$10,000-\$14,999 (25)	28	24	31	29
\$15,000-\$24,999 (17)	17	15	19	20
\$25,000 or more (3)	4	4	4	5
Not available (9)	7	7	7	7
Tenure				
Owned or being bought (48)	47	43	52	45
Rented (52)	53	57	48	55
Number of units in structure				
1 ² (44)	44	41	47	40
2 (27)	29	29	30	30
3 (2)	3	3	2	2
4 (6)	6	6	6	7
5-9 (6)	6	6	6	7
10 or more (13)	11	13	8	12
Other than housing units (1)	2	2	1	1
Number of persons in household				
1 (25)	15	19	11	16
2-3 (48)	44	46	41	43
4-5 (20)	27	24	31	26
6 or more (8)	14	11	17	15

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to percent of households in the group. Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

² Less than 0.5 percent.

¹ Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

² Includes data on mobile homes, not shown separately.

Table 61. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and age of head of household

(Rate per 1,000 households)

Type of crime	12-19 (4,800)	20-34 (76,700)	35-49 (53,700)	50-64 (58,900)	65 and over (49,200)
Burglary	264	205	180	116	70
Forcible entry	88	86	55	45	24
Unlawful entry without force	138	68	72	40	26
Attempted forcible entry	138	51	52	31	20
Household larceny	175	160	174	107	47
Less than \$50	99	101	102	71	36
\$50 or more	47	40	53	26	7
Amount not available	0	5	6	3	12
Attempted larceny	129	14	13	7	13
Motor vehicle theft	137	40	41	22	7
Completed theft	132	28	29	16	5
Attempted theft	15	12	12	6	12

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.
 *Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 62. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and race of head of household

(Rate per 1,000 households)

Type of crime	White (206,800)	Black (35,300)
Burglary	126	303
Forcible entry	39	162
Unlawful entry without force	49	85
Attempted forcible entry	37	57
Household larceny	118	181
Less than \$50	76	109
\$50 or more	30	53
Amount not available	3	8
Attempted larceny	10	10
Motor vehicle theft	24	63
Completed theft	16	49
Attempted theft	7	14

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group. Detail may not total shown because of rounding.

Table 63. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and annual family income

(Rate per 1,000 households)

Type of crime	Less than \$3,000 (30,100)	\$3,000-\$7,499 (57,300)	\$7,500-\$9,999 (26,500)	\$10,000-\$14,999 (60,300)	\$15,000-\$24,999 (40,800)	\$25,000 or more (7,200)	Not available (21,300)
Burglary	165	166	152	147	136	208	119
Forcible entry	69	74	58	44	38	85	56
Unlawful entry without force	62	54	50	57	58	89	31
Attempted forcible entry	34	38	44	46	39	35	32
Household larceny	92	103	138	162	143	154	96
Less than \$50	62	64	88	101	95	71	63
\$50 or more	26	29	33	40	33	55	27
Amount not available	¹ 1	¹ 3	¹ 7	¹ 4	¹ 4	¹ 6	¹ 3
Attempted larceny	¹ 3	8	10	16	10	¹ 22	¹ 3
Motor vehicle theft	10	26	36	33	36	50	24
Completed theft	¹ 9	20	23	24	23	37	17
Attempted theft	¹ 1	6	13	10	13	¹ 13	¹ 7

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.
¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 64. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime, form of tenure, and race of head of household

(Rate per 1,000 households)

Type of crime	Owned or being bought			Rented		
	All races ¹ (117,700)	White (104,500)	Black (12,900)	All races ¹ (125,700)	White (102,300)	Black (22,400)
Burglary	136	112	324	166	139	292
Forcible entry	48	33	175	65	46	154
Unlawful entry without force	51	47	79	59	52	89
Attempted forcible entry	37	33	70	43	42	49
Household larceny	136	121	261	120	116	134
Less than \$50	91	83	156	71	68	82
\$50 or more	32	27	78	34	33	39
Amount not available	4	3	16	4	4	² 4
Attempted larceny	9	9	² 10	11	12	9
Motor vehicle theft	27	20	89	31	28	48
Completed theft	20	14	68	22	19	38
Attempted theft	7	6	21	9	9	10

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.
¹Includes data on "other" races, not shown separately.
²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 65. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and number of persons in household

(Rate per 1,000 households)

Type of crime	One (60,100)	Two or three (116,800)	Four or five (47,300)	Six or more (19,100)
Burglary	115	146	189	211
Forcible entry	44	56	72	64
Unlawful entry without force	37	50	74	95
Attempted forcible entry	34	39	43	53
Household larceny	58	108	204	281
Less than \$50	36	69	132	166
\$50 or more	16	26	51	89
Amount not available	1	3	6	8
Attempted larceny	5	10	14	18
Motor vehicle theft	19	26	40	56
Completed theft	13	18	28	46
Attempted theft	5	8	12	10

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.
¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 66. Household crimes: Victimization rates, by type of crime and number of units in structure occupied by household

(Rate per 1,000 households)

Type of crime	One ¹ (106,300)	Two (65,500)	Three (5,500)	Four (14,100)	Five-Nine (14,200)	Ten or more (32,800)
Burglary	144	165	192	168	160	147
Forcible entry	51	66	101	71	56	46
Unlawful entry without force	53	59	57	49	55	61
Attempted forcible entry	39	40	² 34	48	50	39
Household larceny	138	140	128	131	121	74
Less than \$50	91	88	75	78	68	43
\$50 or more	35	35	37	38	44	18
Amount not available	3	6	² 8	² 5	² 3	² 3
Attempted larceny	10	10	² 8	² 10	² 6	10
Motor vehicle theft	27	33	² 30	34	36	26
Completed theft	19	22	² 22	26	32	19
Attempted theft	8	11	² 9	² 8	² 5	7

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.
¹Includes data on mobile homes, not shown separately.
²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 67. Household burglary: Victimization rates, by race of head of household and annual family income

(Rate per 1,000 households)

Race and income	All burglaries	Forcible entry	Unlawful entry without force	Attempted forcible entry
White				
Less than \$3,000 (23,200)	113	33	47	34
\$3,000-\$7,499 (45,700)	136	51	51	35
\$7,500-\$9,999 (22,300)	126	42	48	37
\$10,000-\$14,999 (56,700)	126	34	48	44
\$15,000-\$24,999 (36,800)	121	30	53	38
\$25,000 or more (6,700)	192	70	92	30
Not available (18,400)	101	40	30	30
Black				
Less than \$3,000 (6,500)	356	204	117	35
\$3,000-\$7,499 (11,200)	282	165	59	57
\$7,500-\$9,999 (4,000)	305	152	67	86
\$10,000-\$14,999 (6,400)	321	131	126	64
\$15,000-\$24,999 (3,900)	282	123	105	53
\$25,000 or more (500)	435	¹ 293	¹ 46	¹ 96
Not available (2,900)	235	158	¹ 31	¹ 46

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to households in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.
¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 68. Household crimes: Percent distribution of household incidents, by place of occurrence and type of crime

Place	Burglary	Household larceny	Motor vehicle theft
Inside own home	97	16	¹ 1
Near own home	...	84	27
At vacation home, motel or hotel	3	...	¹ 2
Inside nonresidential building	4
On street, or in park, playground, school-ground, or parking lot	64
Elsewhere	¹ 3

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

... Represents not applicable.

² Less than 0.5 percent.

¹ Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 69. Household crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and geographic area of occurrence

Type of crime	Inside city of residence	Inside other central city	Elsewhere
All household crimes	96	1	3
Burglary	95	1	4
Household larceny	98	1	1
Motor vehicle theft	94	¹ 2	4

¹ Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 70. Household crimes: Percent distribution of reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by type of crime

Reason	All household crimes	Burglary	Household larceny	Motor vehicle theft
Nothing could be done; lack of proof	35	35	34	37
Not important enough	35	29	40	30
Police would not want to be bothered	8	8	9	¹ 4
Too inconvenient or time consuming	2	3	2	0
Private or personal matter	5	4	5	¹ 5
Fear of reprisal	1	¹ 1	¹ 2	¹ 1
Reported to someone else	4	5	3	¹ 6
All other and not given	11	15	7	17

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

² Less than 0.5 percent.

¹ Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 71. Household crimes: Percent distribution of selected reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by race of head of household and type of crime

Race and reason	All household crimes	Burglary	Household larceny	Motor vehicle theft
White				
Nothing could be done; lack of proof	33	33	32	34
Not important enough	38	31	43	29
All other and not given	29	35	25	37
Black				
Nothing could be done; lack of proof	41	40	42	44
Not important enough	25	20	28	¹ 31
All other and not given	34	40	29	¹ 25

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

¹ Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 72. Household crimes: Percent distribution of selected reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by annual family income

Income	Nothing could be done; lack of proof	Not important enough	All other and not given
Less than \$3,000	39	22	39
\$3,000-\$7,499	36	33	31
\$7,500-\$9,999	38	33	29
\$10,000-\$14,999	34	36	30
\$15,000-\$24,999	29	44	27
\$25,000 or more	41	35	25

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

Table 73. Household crimes: Percent distribution of selected reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police, by value of stolen property

Value	Nothing could be done; lack of proof	Not important enough	All other and not given
No monetary value	¹ 28	¹ 39	¹ 33
Less than \$10	23	60	17
\$10-\$49	37	34	29
\$50-\$99	48	12	40
\$100-\$249	44	11	44
\$250 or more	36	¹ 3	62
Not available	27	29	44

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 74. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and race of head of household

Type of crime	All races ¹	White	Black
All household crimes	45	45	47
Burglary	54	52	58
Forcible entry	73	72	75
Unlawful entry without force	46	48	40
Attempted forcible entry	36	36	37
Household larceny	28	30	19
Less than \$50	19	21	10
\$50 or more	53	57	42
Amount not available	21	31	² 2
Attempted larceny	25	28	² 6
Motor vehicle theft	77	77	78
Completed theft	91	91	90
Attempted theft	44	46	² 37

² Less than 0.5 percent.

¹Includes data on "other" races, not shown separately.

²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 75. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime and annual family income

Type of crime	Less than \$3,000	\$3,000-\$7,499	\$7,500-\$9,999	\$10,000-\$14,999	\$15,000-\$24,999	\$25,000 or more
All household crimes	45	46	40	43	49	51
Burglary	56	54	51	48	57	57
Forcible entry	71	74	72	68	77	85
Unlawful entry without force	48	36	45	43	57	41
Attempted forcible entry	40	43	29	36	38	¹ 28
Household larceny	22	24	17	31	35	35
Motor vehicle theft	80	80	79	78	74	72

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 76. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by type of crime, race of head of household, and form of tenure

Type of crime	All races ¹		White		Black	
	Owned or being bought	Rented	Owned or being bought	Rented	Owned or being bought	Rented
All household crimes	46	45	46	43	45	49
Burglary	57	52	57	48	56	58
Forcible entry	77	71	79	68	76	74
Unlawful entry without force	51	42	54	43	39	40
Attempted forcible entry	37	35	40	33	27	44
Household larceny	29	27	32	29	19	19
Motor vehicle theft	75	79	74	79	78	78

¹Includes data on "other" races, not shown separately.

Table 77. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft loss reported to the police, by type of crime and value of loss

Type of crime	Less than \$10	\$10-\$49	\$50-\$249	\$250 or more
All household crimes	14	31	60	81
Burglary	36	43	66	85
Forcible entry	62	60	78	89
Unlawful entry without force	28	33	56	76
Attempted forcible entry	¹ 18	¹ 22	¹ 100	¹ 36
Household larceny	8	25	49	77
Motor vehicle theft	²	0	87	92

¹ Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

² There were no recorded motor vehicle thefts involving losses valued at less than \$10.

Table 78. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by type of crime

Type of crime	Percent
All household crimes	90
Burglary	86
Forcible entry	96
Unlawful entry without force	87
Attempted forcible entry	71
Household larceny	95
Motor vehicle theft	88

Table 79. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by value of stolen property, including cash, and type of crime

Value	All household crimes	Burglary	Household larceny	Motor vehicle theft
No monetary value	1	¹ 2	¹ 1	0
Less than \$10	17	9	26	0
\$10-\$49	31	25	42	¹ 2
\$50-\$99	15	17	16	¹ 3
\$100-\$249	14	20	9	16
\$250-\$999	13	20	3	39
\$1,000 or more	6	6	1	38
Not available	3	3	3	4

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

¹ Less than 0.5 percent.

² Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 80. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by race of head of household, type of crime, and value of loss

Race and type of crime	No monetary value	Less than \$10	\$10-\$49	\$50-\$249	\$250 or more	Not known and not available
All races¹						
All household crimes	6	18	28	25	16	7
Burglary	11	12	22	28	18	9
Forcible entry	8	6	15	29	33	10
Unlawful entry without force	² 1	11	31	40	11	5
Attempted forcible entry	35	26	19	5	² 3	11
Household larceny	1	26	40	24	4	5
Motor vehicle theft	6	3	6	16	57	12
White						
All household crimes	6	20	30	25	12	7
Burglary	12	15	23	28	14	8
Forcible entry	9	8	16	31	26	9
Unlawful entry without force	² 1	14	33	39	9	5
Attempted forcible entry	35	26	18	5	² 3	13
Household larceny	² 1	28	40	24	3	4
Motor vehicle theft	6	² 2	6	13	61	12
Black						
All household crimes	6	11	25	26	24	8
Burglary	8	7	19	28	29	9
Forcible entry	6	4	14	25	41	11
Unlawful entry without force	0	² 3	26	46	19	² 5
Attempted forcible entry	34	26	24	² 5	² 1	² 10
Household larceny	² 1	20	41	24	7	6
Motor vehicle theft	² 6	² 5	² 5	21	51	11

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Includes data on "other" races, not shown separately.

²Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 81. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft loss, by proportion of loss recovered and type of crime

Proportion recovered	All household crimes	Burglary	Household larceny	Motor vehicle theft
None	72	74	81	10
All	15	9	11	70
Some	13	17	8	19
Less than half	3	5	1	5
Half or more	7	10	4	8
Proportion unknown	3	2	4	7

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown, or to 100 percent, because of rounding.

Table 82. Household crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by type of crime

Type of crime	Percent
All household crimes	5
Burglary	6
Forcible entry	9
Unlawful entry without force	6
Attempted forcible entry	3
Household larceny	2
Less than \$50	2
\$50 or more	3
Amount not available	1
Attempted larceny	2
Motor vehicle theft	15
Completed theft	19
Attempted theft	13

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 83. Household crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in loss of time from work, by number of days lost and type of crime

Time lost	All household crimes	Burglary	Household larceny	Motor vehicle theft
Less than 1 day	41	42	52	34
1-5 days	54	54	48	56
Over 5 days	14	13	0	10
Amount unknown and not available	1	1	0	0

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 84. Household crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and time of occurrence

Type of crime	Daytime 6 a.m.-6 p.m.	Total	Nighttime		Not known	Not known and not available
			6 p.m.-midnight	Midnight-6 a.m.		
All household crimes	32	56	24	19	11	12
Burglary	35	52	24	17	11	13
Forcible entry	38	51	25	17	10	11
Unlawful entry without force	36	49	21	15	13	15
Attempted forcible entry	28	59	28	20	11	14
Household larceny	30	59	28	20	11	11
Less than \$50	31	58	26	18	14	12
\$50 or more	33	57	30	22	5	10
Amount not available	31	53	33	15	5	17
Attempted larceny	19	74	32	31	11	6
Motor vehicle theft	29	66	31	29	6	5
Completed theft	28	66	32	27	7	6
Attempted theft	31	66	29	33	4	13

NOTE: Detail may not add to total shown, or to 100 percent, because of rounding.
 1 Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 85. Commercial crimes: Number and rate of victimizations, by characteristics of victimized establishments and type of crime

(Rate per 1,000 establishments)

Characteristic	Burglary		Robbery	
	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
All establishments (22,900)	7,300	321	1,100	49
Kind of establishment				
Retail (7,300)	3,900	538	700	100
Eating and drinking places (2,900)	1,100	369	300	102
Gas stations (600)	417	758	200	273
Other retail (3,800)	2,400	634	300	74
Wholesale (1,700)	200	138	100	29
Service (9,800)	2,300	240	200	24
Other (4,100)	800	204	100	25
Gross annual receipts				
Less than \$10,000 (3,100)	1,500	482	100	16
\$10,000-\$24,999 (3,100)	900	302	300	81
\$25,000-\$49,999 (2,600)	800	297	100	51
\$50,000-\$99,999 (3,100)	1,000	308	2	11
\$100,000-\$499,999 (4,600)	1,600	343	500	101
\$500,000-\$999,999 (1,000)	200	197	100	50
\$1,000,000 or more (1,900)	500	279	100	27
No sales (600)	200	314	0	0
Amount not available (2,800)	700	242	100	29
Average number of paid employees				
1-3 (7,700)	2,100	269	300	41
4-7 (4,200)	1,200	279	300	63
8-19 (2,600)	1,100	414	200	57
20 or more (2,700)	1,000	375	200	63
None (5,600)	2,000	357	200	39

NOTE: Numbers in parentheses refer to establishments in the group. Detail may not add to total shown because of rounding.
 Z Fewer than 50 victimizations.
 1 Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 86. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by selected characteristics of commercial establishments

Characteristic	Percent of establishments	Percent of crime
Kind of establishment		
Retail	32	55
Wholesale	7	3
Service	43	31
Other	18	11
Gross annual receipts		
Less than \$10,000	13	18
\$10,000-\$24,999	14	14
\$25,000-\$49,999	12	11
\$50,000-\$99,999	14	12
\$100,000-\$499,999	20	24
\$500,000-\$999,999	4	3
\$1,000,000 or more	8	7
No sales	3	2
Amount not available	12	9
Average number of paid employees		
1-3	34	28
4-7	18	17
8-19	12	15
20 or more	12	14
None	24	26

Table 87. Commercial crimes: Percent of establishments that were victimized, by kind of establishment

Kind of establishment	Percent
All establishments	19
Retail	30
Wholesale	15
Service	14
Other	14

Table 88. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of completed and attempted victimizations, by kind of establishment and type of crime

Kind of establishment	Burglary		Robbery	
	Completed	Attempted	Completed	Attempted
All establishments	62	38	73	27
Retail	58	42	75	25
Service	67	33	64	36
Other	64	36	77	23

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 89. Commercial robbery: Percent distribution of incidents, by kind of establishment and number of offenders

Kind of establishment	One	Two	Three or more	Not available
All establishments	42	30	24	4
Retail	45	25	27	2
Other	35	39	17	9

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 90. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimized commercial establishments, by kind of establishment and number of victimizations incurred

Kind of establishment	One	Two	Three or more
All establishments	74	13	13
Retail	78	12	10
Wholesale	100	0	0
Service	60	20	20
Other	82	16	12

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 91. Commercial robbery: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and place of occurrence

Kind of establishment	On premises	On delivery and elsewhere
All establishments	93	7
Retail	91	9
Service	100	0
Other	89	11

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 92. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of reasons for not reporting victimizations to the police

Reason	Percent
Nothing could be done; lack of proof	33
Not important enough	29
Police would not want to be bothered	10
Too inconvenient or time consuming; did not want to become involved	16
Fear of reprisal	0
Reported to someone else	9
All other and not given	14

NOTE: Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 93. Commercial crimes: Percent of victimizations reported to the police, by kind of establishment and type of crime

Kind of establishment	Burglary and robbery	Burglary	Robbery
All establishments	84	82	95
Retail	88	86	100
Wholesale	71	72	66
Service	78	77	86
Other	86	84	100

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 94. Commercial crimes: Percent of establishments with one or more security measures

Kind of establishment	Percent
All establishments	51
Retail	64
Wholesale	53
Service	39
Real estate	58
Manufacturing	57
Transportation	77
Other	50

Table 95. Commercial crimes: Percent of establishments with selected types of security measures, by kind of establishment

Type of security measure	All establishments	Retail	Service	Other
Building alarm	4	7	2	4
Central alarm - police or security service	11	15	5	14
Reinforcing device	10	14	6	9
Guard or watchman	4	3	4	5
Watchdog	5	12	2	12
Firearm	2	5	1	1
Camera	1	12	2	13
Mirror	1	4	2	0
Other	21	18	20	27

Z Less than 0.5 percent.

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 96. Commercial crimes: Percent of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by kind of establishment and type of crime

Kind of establishment	Burglary	Robbery
All establishments	86	75
Retail	85	75
Wholesale	79	67
Service	87	71
Other	86	83

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 97. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations resulting in theft and/or damage loss, by kind of establishment and value of loss

Type of crime	Less than \$10	\$10-\$50	\$51-\$250	\$251 or more	Not available
All establishments	20	26	30	20	4
Retail	19	27	28	22	4
Service	20	25	34	18	3
Other	21	30	26	20	3

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 98. Commercial burglary: Percent of victimizations resulting in damage loss to the premises, by kind of establishment

Kind of establishment	Percent
All establishments	74
Retail	77
Service	75
Other	63

Table 99. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of victimizations, by number of employees losing time from work

Number of employees who lost time	Percent
None	93
One employee	5
Two employees	2
Three or more employees	1

¹Detail may not add to 100 percent because of rounding.

Table 100. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by number of man-days lost from work

Number of man-days lost	Percent
None	93
Less than 1 day	5
1-5 days	2

Table 101. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of crime and time of occurrence

Type of crime	Daytime 6 a.m.-6 p.m.	Total	Nighttime		Not known	Not known and not available
			6 p.m.-midnight	Midnight-6 a.m.		
Burglary and robbery	13	78	16	33	29	9
Burglary	7	84	15	36	33	9
Robbery	58	40	25	15	0	2

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

Table 102. Commercial robbery: Percent of incidents in which offenders used weapons, by kind of establishment

Kind of establishment	Percent
All establishments	74
Retail	86
Service	64
Other	33

Table 103. Commercial crimes: Percent distribution of incidents, by type of weapon used by offenders

Type of weapon	All robberies	Completed robberies	Attempted robberies
Firearm	66	72	40
Knife	10	13	0
Other or unknown type	24	15	60

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

APPENDIX I SURVEY INSTRUMENTS

For the household survey, a basic screen questionnaire (Form NCS-3) and a crime incident report (Form NCS-4) were used to elicit information on the relevant crimes committed against the household as a whole and against any of its members age 12 and over. Form NCS-3 was designed to screen for all instances of victimization before details of any specific incident were collected. The screening form also was used for obtaining information on the characteristics of each household and of its members. Household screening questions were asked only once for each household, whereas individual screening questions were asked of all members age 12 and over. However, a knowledgeable adult member of the household served as a proxy respondent for 12- and 13-year-olds, incapacitated

persons, and individuals absent during the interviewing period.

Once the screening process was completed, the interviewer obtained details of each revealed incident, if any. Form NCS-4 included questions concerning the extent of economic loss or injury, characteristics of offenders, whether or not the police were notified, and other pertinent details.

In the commercial survey, basically comparable techniques were used to screen for the occurrence of burglary and robbery incidents and to obtain details concerning those crimes. Form CVS-101 contained separate sections for screening and gathering information on the characteristics of business places, on the one hand, and for eliciting data on the relevant crimes, on the other.

D.M.B. No. 41-R2661; Approval Expires June 30, 1974

FORM NCS-3 and NCS-4 (4-23-73) U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS ADMINISTRATION BUREAU OF THE CENSUS NATIONAL CRIME SURVEY CENTRAL CITIES SAMPLE FORM NCS-3 - BASIC SCREEN QUESTIONNAIRE FORM NCS-4 - CRIME INCIDENT REPORT		NOTICE - Your report to the Census Bureau is confidential by law (Title 13, U.S. Code). It may be seen only by sworn Census employees and may be used only for statistical purposes.	
		Control number	
		PSU	Serial
		Panel	HH Segment
1. Interviewer identification Code Name (010) _____		6. Tenure (cc 7) (022) 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Owned or being bought 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Rented for cash 3 <input type="checkbox"/> No cash rent	
2. Record of interview Line number of household respondent Date completed (011) _____		7. Type of living quarters (cc 11) Housing Unit (023) 1 <input type="checkbox"/> House, apartment, flat 2 <input type="checkbox"/> HU in nontransient hotel, motel, etc. 3 <input type="checkbox"/> HU - Permanent in transient hotel, motel, etc. 4 <input type="checkbox"/> HU in rooming house 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Mobile home or trailer 6 <input type="checkbox"/> HU not specified above - Describe 7 OTHER Unit 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Quarters not HU in rooming or boarding house 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Unit not permanent in transient hotel, motel, etc. 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Vacant tent site or trailer site 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Not specified above - Describe 7	
3. Reason for noninterview (cc 26d) TYPE A (012) Reason 1 <input type="checkbox"/> No one home 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Temporarily absent - Return date _____ 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Refused 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Other Occ. - Specify _____ TYPE B (013) Race of head 1 <input type="checkbox"/> White 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Negro 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Other TYPE C (014) 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Vacant - Regular 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Vacant - Storage of HH furniture 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Temporarily occupied by persons with URE 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Unfit or to be demolished 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Under construction, not ready 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Converted to temporary business or storage 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Unoccupied tent site or trailer site 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Permit granted, construction not started 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify 7 TYPE Z (015) 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Unused line of listing sheet 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Demolished 3 <input type="checkbox"/> House or trailer moved 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Outside segment 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Converted to permanent business or storage 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Merged 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Condemned 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Built after April 1, 1970 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify 7 TYPE Z Interview not obtained for 7 Line number (016) _____ (017) _____ (018) _____ (019) _____		8. Number of housing units in structure (cc 23) (024) 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 5 <input type="checkbox"/> 5-9 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 6 <input type="checkbox"/> 10 or more 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Mobile home or trailer 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Only OTHER units	
		ASK IN EACH HOUSEHOLD: 9. (Other than the . . . business) does anyone in this household operate a business from this address? (025) 1 <input type="checkbox"/> No 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - What kind of business is that? 7	
		10. Family income (cc 24) (026) 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Under \$1,000 8 <input type="checkbox"/> \$7,500 to 9,999 2 <input type="checkbox"/> \$1,000 to 1,999 9 <input type="checkbox"/> 10,000 to 11,999 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 2,000 to 2,999 10 <input type="checkbox"/> 12,000 to 14,999 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 3,000 to 3,999 11 <input type="checkbox"/> 15,000 to 19,999 5 <input type="checkbox"/> 4,000 to 4,999 12 <input type="checkbox"/> 20,000 to 24,999 6 <input type="checkbox"/> 5,000 to 5,999 13 <input type="checkbox"/> 25,000 and over 7 <input type="checkbox"/> 6,000 to 7,499	
		11. Household members 12 years of age and OVER 7 (027) _____ Total number	
		12. Household members UNDER 12 years of age 7 (028) _____ Total number 0 <input type="checkbox"/> None	
4. Household status (020) 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Same household as last enumeration 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement household since last enumeration 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Previous noninterview or not in sample before		13. Crime Incident Reports filled 7 (029) _____ Total number 0 <input type="checkbox"/> None	
5. Special place type code (cc 6c) (021) _____		CENSUS USE ONLY	
		(030)	(031)
		(032)	(033)

PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS	
14. NAME (of household respondent) KEYER - BEGIN NEW RECORD	15. TYPE OF INTERVIEW 16. LINE NUMBER (cc8)
17. RELATIONSHIP TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD (cc9b)	18. AGE LAST BIRTHDAY (cc 13)
19. MARITAL STATUS (cc 14)	20a. RACE (cc 15)
20b. ORIGIN (cc 16)	21. SEX (cc 17)
22. ARMED FORCES MEMBER (cc 18)	23. What is the highest grade (or year) of regular school you have ever attended? (ASK for persons 12-24 yrs. Transcribe for 25+ yrs.) (cc 19)
24. Did you complete that year? (cc 20)	

034 Last 1 Per 2 Tel 3 N/A Fill 16-21

035

036 1 Head 2 Wife of head 3 Own child 4 Other relative 5 Non-relative

037 1 M. 2 Wd. 3 D. 4 Sep. 5 N.M.

038 1 W. 2 Neg. 3 OI.

039 1 M 2 F

040 1 Yes 2 No

041 00 Never attended or kindergarten Elem. (01-08) H.S. (09-12) College (21-26+)

042 1 Yes 2 No

043

CHECK ITEM A Look at item 4 on cover page. Is this the same household as last enumeration? (Box 1 marked) Yes - SKIP to Check Item B No

25a. Did you live in this house on April 1, 1970? 1 Yes - SKIP to Check Item B 2 No

044 b. Where did you live on April 1, 1970? (State, foreign country, U.S. possession, etc.) State, etc. _____ County _____

045 c. Did you live inside the limits of a city, town, village, etc.? 1 No 2 Yes - Name of city, town, village, etc. _____

046 d. Were you in the Armed Forces on April 1, 1970? 1 Yes 2 No

047 **CHECK ITEM B** Is this person 16 years old or older? No - SKIP to 29 Yes

26a. What were you doing most of LAST WEEK - (working, keeping house, going to school) or something else? 1 Working - SKIP to 28a 2 With a job but not at work 3 Looking for work 4 Keeping house 5 Going to school (If Armed Forces, SKIP to 28a)

048 6 Unable to work - SKIP to 28d 7 Retired 8 Other - Specify _____

b. Did you do any work at all LAST WEEK, not counting work around the house? (Note: If farm or business operator in HH, ask about unpaid work.) 1 No 2 Yes - How many hours? _____ - SKIP to 28a

049 c. Did you have a job or business from which you were temporarily absent or on layoff LAST WEEK? 1 No 2 Yes - Absent - SKIP to 28a 3 Yes - Layoff - SKIP to 27

050

26d. Have you been looking for work during the past 4 weeks? 1 Yes No - When did you last work? 2 Up to 5 years ago - SKIP to 28a 3 5 or more years ago } SKIP to 29 4 Never worked

051 27. Is there any reason why you could not take a job LAST WEEK? 1 No Yes - 2 Already has a job 3 Temporary illness 4 Going to school 5 Other - Specify _____

052 28a. For whom did you (last) work? (Name of company, business, organization or other employer) x Never worked - SKIP to 29

053 b. What kind of business or industry is this? (For example: TV and radio mfg., retail shoe store, State Labor Dept., farm)

054 c. Were you - 1 An employee of a PRIVATE company, business or individual for wages, salary or commissions? 2 A GOVERNMENT employee (Federal, State, county, or local)? 3 SELF-EMPLOYED in OWN business, professional practice or farm? 4 Working WITHOUT PAY in family business or farm?

055 d. What kind of work were you doing? (For example: electrical engineer, stock clerk, typist, farmer)

056 e. What were your most important activities or duties? (For example: typing, keeping account books, selling cars, etc.)

Notes

Page 2

HOUSEHOLD SCREEN QUESTIONS	
29. Now I'd like to ask some questions about crime. They refer only to the last 12 months - between _____, 197__ and _____, 197__. During the last 12 months, did anyone break into or somehow illegally get into your (apartment/home), garage, or another building on your property?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? <input type="checkbox"/> No
30. (Other than the incident(s) just mentioned) Did you find a door jammed, a lock forced, or any other signs of an ATTEMPTED break in?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? <input type="checkbox"/> No
31. Was anything at all stolen that is kept outside your home, or happened to be left out, such as a bicycle, a garden hose, or lawn furniture? (other than any incidents already mentioned)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? <input type="checkbox"/> No
32. Did anyone take something belonging to you or to any member of this household, from a place where you or they were temporarily staying, such as a friend's or relative's home, a hotel or motel, or a vacation home?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? <input type="checkbox"/> No
33. What was the total number of motor vehicles (cars, trucks, etc.) owned by you or any other member of this household during the last 12 months?	037 0 <input type="checkbox"/> None - SKIP to 36 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 or more
34. Did anyone steal, TRY to steal, or use (if any of them) without permission?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? <input type="checkbox"/> No
35. Did anyone steal or TRY to steal part of (if any of them), such as a battery, hubcaps, tape-deck, etc.?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? <input type="checkbox"/> No

INDIVIDUAL SCREEN QUESTIONS	
36. The following questions refer only to things that happened to you during the last 12 months - between _____, 197__ and _____, 197__. Did you have your (pocket picked/purse snatched)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? <input type="checkbox"/> No
37. Did anyone take something (else) directly from you by using force, such as by a stickup, mugging or threat?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? <input type="checkbox"/> No
38. Did anyone TRY to rob you by using force or threatening to harm you? (other than any incidents already mentioned)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? <input type="checkbox"/> No
39. Did anyone beat you up, attack you or hit you with something, such as a rock or bottle? (other than any incidents already mentioned)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? <input type="checkbox"/> No
40. Were you knifed, shot at, or attacked with some other weapon by anyone at all? (other than any incidents already mentioned)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? <input type="checkbox"/> No
41. Did anyone THREATEN to beat you up or THREATEN you with a knife, gun, or some other weapon, NOT including telephone threats? (other than any incidents already mentioned)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? <input type="checkbox"/> No
42. Did anyone TRY to attack you in some other way? (other than any incidents already mentioned)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? <input type="checkbox"/> No
43. During the last 12 months, did anyone steal things that belonged to you from inside any car or truck, such as packages or clothing?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? <input type="checkbox"/> No
44. Was anything stolen from you while you were away from home, for instance at work, in a theater or restaurant, or while traveling?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? <input type="checkbox"/> No
45. (Other than any incidents you've already mentioned) was anything (else) at all stolen from you during the last 12 months?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? <input type="checkbox"/> No
46. Did you find any evidence that someone ATTEMPTED to steal something that belonged to you? (other than any incidents already mentioned)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? <input type="checkbox"/> No
47. Did you call the police during the last 12 months to report something that happened to you which you thought was a crime? (Do not count any calls made to the police concerning the incidents you have just told me about.) <input type="checkbox"/> No - SKIP to 48 <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - What happened? _____	058 <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
48. Did anything happen to you during the last 12 months which you thought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other than any incidents already mentioned) <input type="checkbox"/> No - SKIP to Check Item E <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - What happened? _____	059 <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>

CHECK ITEM C Look at 47. Was HH member 12 + attacked or threatened, or was something stolen or an attempt made to steal something that belonged to him? Yes - How many times? No

CHECK ITEM D Look at 48. Was HH member 12 + attacked or threatened, or was something stolen or an attempt made to steal something that belonged to him? Yes - How many times? No

CHECK ITEM E Do any of the screen questions contain any entries for "How many times?" No - Interview next HH member. End interview if last respondent, and fill item 13 on cover. Yes - Fill Crime Incident Reports.

Page 3

PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS													
14. NAME	15. TYPE OF INTERVIEW	16. LINE NUMBER	17. RELATIONSHIP TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD	18. AGE LAST BIRTHDAY	19. MARITAL STATUS	20. RACE	20b. ORIGIN	21. SEX	22. ARMED FORCES MEMBER	23. What is the highest grade (or year) of regular school you have ever attended?	24. Did you complete that year?		
KEYER - BEGIN NEW RECORD	(cc3)	(cc8)	(cc5b)	(cc13)	(cc14)	(cc15)	(cc16)	(cc17)	(cc18)	(ASK for persons 12-24 yrs. Transcribe for 25+yrs.) (cc19)	(cc20)		
Last	<input type="checkbox"/> Per <input type="checkbox"/> Tel <input type="checkbox"/> NI		<input type="checkbox"/> Head <input type="checkbox"/> Wife of head <input type="checkbox"/> Own child <input type="checkbox"/> Other relative <input type="checkbox"/> Non-relative		<input type="checkbox"/> M. <input type="checkbox"/> F.	<input type="checkbox"/> W. <input type="checkbox"/> Neg. <input type="checkbox"/> OL		<input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> F	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Never attended or kindergarten <input type="checkbox"/> Elem. (01-08) <input type="checkbox"/> H.S. (09-12) <input type="checkbox"/> College (21-26+)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
First	<input type="checkbox"/> Per <input type="checkbox"/> Tel <input type="checkbox"/> NI		<input type="checkbox"/> Head <input type="checkbox"/> Wife of head <input type="checkbox"/> Own child <input type="checkbox"/> Other relative <input type="checkbox"/> Non-relative		<input type="checkbox"/> M. <input type="checkbox"/> F.	<input type="checkbox"/> W. <input type="checkbox"/> Neg. <input type="checkbox"/> OL		<input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> F	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Never attended or kindergarten <input type="checkbox"/> Elem. (01-08) <input type="checkbox"/> H.S. (09-12) <input type="checkbox"/> College (21-26+)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
CHECK ITEM A Look at item 4 on cover page. Is this the same household as last enumeration? (Box 1 marked) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - SKIP to Check Item B <input type="checkbox"/> No													
25a. Did you live in this house on April 1, 1970? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - SKIP to Check Item B <input type="checkbox"/> No													
b. Where did you live on April 1, 1970? (State, foreign country, U.S. possession, etc.) State, etc. _____ County _____													
c. Did you live inside the limits of a city, town, village, etc.? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - Name of city, town, village, etc. _____													
d. Were you in the Armed Forces on April 1, 1970? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No													
CHECK ITEM B Is this person 16 years old or older? <input type="checkbox"/> No - SKIP to 36 <input type="checkbox"/> Yes													
26a. What were you doing most of LAST WEEK - (working, keeping house, going to school) or something else? <input type="checkbox"/> Working - SKIP to 28a <input type="checkbox"/> Unable to work - SKIP to 26d <input type="checkbox"/> With a job but not at work <input type="checkbox"/> Retired <input type="checkbox"/> Looking for work <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Keeping house <input type="checkbox"/> Going to school (If Armed Forces, SKIP to 28a)													
b. Did you do any work at all LAST WEEK, not counting work around the house? (Note: If farm or business operator in HH, ask about unpaid work.) <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many hours? _____ - SKIP to 28a													
c. Did you have a job or business from which you were temporarily absent or on layoff LAST WEEK? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - Absent - SKIP to 28a <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - Layoff - SKIP to 27													
26d. Have you been looking for work during the past 4 weeks? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No - When did you last work? 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Up to 5 years ago - SKIP to 28a 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 or more years ago - SKIP to 36 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Never worked													
27. Is there any reason why you could not take a job LAST WEEK? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - <input type="checkbox"/> Already has a job <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary illness <input type="checkbox"/> Going to school <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify _____													
28a. For whom did you (last) work? (Name of company, business, organization or other employer) <input type="checkbox"/> Never worked - SKIP to 36													
b. What kind of business or industry is this? (For example: TV and radio mfg., retail shoe store, State Labor Dept., farm) _____													
c. Were you - <input type="checkbox"/> An employee of a PRIVATE company, business or individual for wages, salary or commissions? <input type="checkbox"/> A GOVERNMENT employee (Federal, State, county, or local)? <input type="checkbox"/> SELF-EMPLOYED in OWN business, professional practice or farm? <input type="checkbox"/> Working WITHOUT PAY in family business or farm?													
d. What kind of work were you doing? (For example: electrical engineer, stock clerk, typist, farmer) _____													
e. What were your most important activities or duties? (For example: typing, keeping account books, selling cars, etc.) _____													
INDIVIDUAL SCREEN QUESTIONS													
36. The following questions refer only to things that happened to you during the last 12 months - between _____, 197__ and _____, 197__. Did you have your (pocket picked/purse snatched)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
37. Did anyone take something (else) directly from you by using force, such as by a stickup, mugging or threat? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
38. Did anyone TRY to rob you by using force or threatening to harm you? (other than any incidents already mentioned) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
39. Did anyone beat you up, attack you or hit you with something, such as a rock or bottle? (other than any incidents already mentioned) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
40. Were you knifed, shot at, or attacked with some other weapon by anyone at all? (other than any incidents already mentioned) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
41. Did anyone THREATEN to beat you up or THREATEN you with a knife, gun, or some other weapon, NOT including telephone threats? (other than any incidents already mentioned) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
42. Did anyone TRY to attack you in some other way? (other than any incidents already mentioned) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
43. During the last 12 months, did anyone steal things that belonged to you from inside any car or truck, such as packages or clothing? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
44. Was anything stolen from you while you were away from home, for instance at work, in a theater or restaurant, or while traveling? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
45. (Other than any incidents you've already mentioned) Was anything (else) at all stolen from you during the last 12 months? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
46. Did you find any evidence that someone ATTEMPTED to steal something that belonged to you? (other than any incidents already mentioned) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
47. Did you call the police during the last 12 months to report something that happened to you which you thought was a crime? (Do not count any calls made to the police concerning the incidents you have just told me about.) <input type="checkbox"/> No - SKIP to 48 <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - What happened? _____													
CHECK ITEM C Look at 47 - Was HH member 12 + attacked or threatened, or was something stolen or an attempt made to steal something that belonged to him? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
48. Did anything happen to you during the last 12 months which you thought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other than any incidents already mentioned) <input type="checkbox"/> No - SKIP to Check Item E <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - What happened? _____													
CHECK ITEM D Look at 48 - Was HH member 12 + attacked or threatened, or was something stolen or an attempt made to steal something that belonged to him? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
Do any of the screen questions contain any entries for "How many times?" <input type="checkbox"/> No - Interview next HH member. End interview if last respondent, and fill item 13 on cover. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - Fill Crime Incident Reports.													

PERSONAL CHARACTERISTICS													
14. NAME	15. TYPE OF INTERVIEW	16. LINE NUMBER	17. RELATIONSHIP TO HOUSEHOLD HEAD	18. AGE LAST BIRTHDAY	19. MARITAL STATUS	20. RACE	20b. ORIGIN	21. SEX	22. ARMED FORCES MEMBER	23. What is the highest grade (or year) of regular school you have ever attended?	24. Did you complete that year?		
KEYER - BEGIN NEW RECORD	(cc3)	(cc8)	(cc5b)	(cc13)	(cc14)	(cc15)	(cc16)	(cc17)	(cc18)	(ASK for persons 12-24 yrs. Transcribe for 25+yrs.) (cc19)	(cc20)		
Last	<input type="checkbox"/> Per <input type="checkbox"/> Tel <input type="checkbox"/> NI		<input type="checkbox"/> Head <input type="checkbox"/> Wife of head <input type="checkbox"/> Own child <input type="checkbox"/> Other relative <input type="checkbox"/> Non-relative		<input type="checkbox"/> M. <input type="checkbox"/> F.	<input type="checkbox"/> W. <input type="checkbox"/> Neg. <input type="checkbox"/> OL		<input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> F	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Never attended or kindergarten <input type="checkbox"/> Elem. (01-08) <input type="checkbox"/> H.S. (09-12) <input type="checkbox"/> College (21-26+)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
First	<input type="checkbox"/> Per <input type="checkbox"/> Tel <input type="checkbox"/> NI		<input type="checkbox"/> Head <input type="checkbox"/> Wife of head <input type="checkbox"/> Own child <input type="checkbox"/> Other relative <input type="checkbox"/> Non-relative		<input type="checkbox"/> M. <input type="checkbox"/> F.	<input type="checkbox"/> W. <input type="checkbox"/> Neg. <input type="checkbox"/> OL		<input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> F	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Never attended or kindergarten <input type="checkbox"/> Elem. (01-08) <input type="checkbox"/> H.S. (09-12) <input type="checkbox"/> College (21-26+)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
CHECK ITEM A Look at item 4 on cover page. Is this the same household as last enumeration? (Box 1 marked) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - SKIP to Check Item B <input type="checkbox"/> No													
25a. Did you live in this house on April 1, 1970? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - SKIP to Check Item B <input type="checkbox"/> No													
b. Where did you live on April 1, 1970? (State, foreign country, U.S. possession, etc.) State, etc. _____ County _____													
c. Did you live inside the limits of a city, town, village, etc.? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - Name of city, town, village, etc. _____													
d. Were you in the Armed Forces on April 1, 1970? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No													
CHECK ITEM B Is this person 16 years old or older? <input type="checkbox"/> No - SKIP to 36 <input type="checkbox"/> Yes													
26a. What were you doing most of LAST WEEK - (working, keeping house, going to school) or something else? <input type="checkbox"/> Working - SKIP to 28a <input type="checkbox"/> Unable to work - SKIP to 26d <input type="checkbox"/> With a job but not at work <input type="checkbox"/> Retired <input type="checkbox"/> Looking for work <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Keeping house <input type="checkbox"/> Going to school (If Armed Forces, SKIP to 28a)													
b. Did you do any work at all LAST WEEK, not counting work around the house? (Note: If farm or business operator in HH, ask about unpaid work.) <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many hours? _____ - SKIP to 28a													
c. Did you have a job or business from which you were temporarily absent or on layoff LAST WEEK? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - Absent - SKIP to 28a <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - Layoff - SKIP to 27													
26d. Have you been looking for work during the past 4 weeks? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No - When did you last work? 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Up to 5 years ago - SKIP to 28a 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 or more years ago - SKIP to 36 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Never worked													
27. Is there any reason why you could not take a job LAST WEEK? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - <input type="checkbox"/> Already has a job <input type="checkbox"/> Temporary illness <input type="checkbox"/> Going to school <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify _____													
28a. For whom did you (last) work? (Name of company, business, organization or other employer) <input type="checkbox"/> Never worked - SKIP to 36													
b. What kind of business or industry is this? (For example: TV and radio mfg., retail shoe store, State Labor Dept., farm) _____													
c. Were you - <input type="checkbox"/> An employee of a PRIVATE company, business or individual for wages, salary or commissions? <input type="checkbox"/> A GOVERNMENT employee (Federal, State, county, or local)? <input type="checkbox"/> SELF-EMPLOYED in OWN business, professional practice or farm? <input type="checkbox"/> Working WITHOUT PAY in family business or farm?													
d. What kind of work were you doing? (For example: electrical engineer, stock clerk, typist, farmer) _____													
e. What were your most important activities or duties? (For example: typing, keeping account books, selling cars, etc.) _____													
36. The following questions refer only to things that happened to you during the last 12 months - between _____, 197__ and _____, 197__. Did you have your (pocket picked/purse snatched)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
37. Did anyone take something (else) directly from you by using force, such as by a stickup, mugging or threat? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
38. Did anyone TRY to rob you by using force or threatening to harm you? (other than any incidents already mentioned) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
39. Did anyone beat you up, attack you or hit you with something, such as a rock or bottle? (other than any incidents already mentioned) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
40. Were you knifed, shot at, or attacked with some other weapon by anyone at all? (other than any incidents already mentioned) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
41. Did anyone THREATEN to beat you up or THREATEN you with a knife, gun, or some other weapon, NOT including telephone threats? (other than any incidents already mentioned) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
42. Did anyone TRY to attack you in some other way? (other than any incidents already mentioned) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
43. During the last 12 months, did anyone steal things that belonged to you from inside any car or truck, such as packages or clothing? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
44. Was anything stolen from you while you were away from home, for instance at work, in a theater or restaurant, or while traveling? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
45. (Other than any incidents you've already mentioned) Was anything (else) at all stolen from you during the last 12 months? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
46. Did you find any evidence that someone ATTEMPTED to steal something that belonged to you? (other than any incidents already mentioned) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
47. Did you call the police during the last 12 months to report something that happened to you which you thought was a crime? (Do not count any calls made to the police concerning the incidents you have just told me about.) <input type="checkbox"/> No - SKIP to 48 <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - What happened? _____													
CHECK ITEM C Look at 47 - Was HH member 12 + attacked or threatened, or was something stolen or an attempt made to steal something that belonged to him? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
48. Did anything happen to you during the last 12 months which you thought was a crime, but did NOT report to the police? (other than any incidents already mentioned) <input type="checkbox"/> No - SKIP to Check Item E <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - What happened? _____													
CHECK ITEM D Look at 48 - Was HH member 12 + attacked or threatened, or was something stolen or an attempt made to steal something that belonged to him? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many times? _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No													
Do any of the screen questions contain any entries for "How many times?" <input type="checkbox"/> No - Interview next HH member. End interview if last respondent, and fill item 13 on cover. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - Fill Crime Incident Reports.													

CRIME INCIDENT QUESTIONS - Continued

7d. How were you threatened? Any other way? (Mark all that apply)

1 Verbal threat of rape
 2 Verbal threat of attack other than rape
 3 Weapon present or threatened with weapon
 4 Attempted attack with weapon (for example, shot at)
 5 Object thrown at person
 6 Followed, surrounded
 7 Other - Specify _____

9b. Did you file a claim with any of these insurance companies or programs in order to get part or all of your medical expenses paid?

1 No - SKIP to 10a
 2 Yes

c. Did insurance or any health benefits program pay for all or part of the total medical expenses?

1 Not yet settled
 2 None
 3 All
 4 Part

d. How much did insurance or a health benefits program pay? \$ _____ (Obtain an estimate, if necessary)

10a. Did you do anything to protect yourself or your property during the incident?

1 No - SKIP to 11
 2 Yes

b. What did you do? Anything else? (Mark all that apply)

1 Used/brandished gun or knife
 2 Used/urged physical force (hit, chased, threw object, used other weapon, etc.)
 3 Tried to get help, attract attention, scare offender away (screamed, yelled, called for help, turned on lights, etc.)
 4 Threatened, argued, reasoned, etc. with offender
 5 Resisted without force, used evasive action (ran/drove away, hid, held property, locked door, ducked, shielded self, etc.)
 6 Other - Specify _____

11. Was the crime committed by only one or more than one person?

1 Only one
 2 Don't know
 3 More than one

a. Was this person male or female?

1 Male
 2 Female
 3 Don't know

b. How old would you say the person was?

1 Under 12
 2 12-14
 3 15-17
 4 18-20
 5 21 or over
 6 Don't know

c. Was the person someone you knew or was he a stranger?

1 Stranger
 2 Don't know
 3 Known by sight only
 4 Casual acquaintance
 5 Well known

d. Was the person a relative of yours?

1 No
 Yes - What relationship?
 2 Spouse or ex-spouse
 3 Parent
 4 Own child
 5 Brother or sister
 6 Other relative - Specify _____

e. Was he/she -

1 White?
 2 Negro?
 3 Other? - Specify _____
 4 Don't know

f. How did the person(s) attack you? Any other way? (Mark all that apply)

1 Raped
 2 Tried to rape
 3 Hit with object held in hand, shot, knifed
 4 Hit by thrown object
 5 Hit, slapped, knocked down
 6 Grabbed, held, tripped, jumped, pushed, etc.
 7 Other - Specify _____

g. Were they male or female?

1 All male
 2 All female
 3 Male and female
 4 Don't know

h. How old would you say the youngest was?

1 Under 12
 2 12-14
 3 15-17
 4 18-20
 5 21 or over
 6 Don't know

i. How old would you say the oldest was?

1 Under 12
 2 12-14
 3 15-17
 4 18-20
 5 21 or over
 6 Don't know

j. Were any of the persons known or related to you or were they all strangers?

1 All strangers
 2 Don't know
 3 All relatives
 4 Some relatives
 5 All known
 6 Some known

k. How well were they known? (Mark all that apply)

1 By sight only
 2 Casual acquaintance(s)
 3 Well known

l. How were they related to you? (Mark all that apply)

1 Spouse or ex-spouse
 2 Parents
 3 Own children
 4 Brothers/sisters
 5 Other - Specify _____

m. Were all of them -

1 White?
 2 Negro?
 3 Other? - Specify _____
 4 Combination - Specify _____
 5 Don't know

7a. What actually happened? Anything else? (Mark all that apply)

1 Something taken without permission
 2 Attempted or threatened to take something
 3 Harassed, argument, abusive language
 4 Forcible entry or attempted forcible entry of house
 5 Forcible entry or attempted entry of car
 6 Damaged or destroyed property
 7 Attempted or threatened to damage or destroy property
 8 Other - Specify _____

8a. What were the injuries you suffered, if any? Anything else? (Mark all that apply)

1 None - SKIP to 10a
 2 Raped
 3 Attempted rape
 4 Knife or gunshot wounds
 5 Broken bones or teeth knocked out
 6 Internal injuries, knocked unconscious
 7 Bruises, black eye, cuts, scratches, swelling
 8 Other - Specify _____

b. Were you injured to the extent that you needed medical attention after the attack?

1 No - SKIP to 10a
 2 Yes

c. Did you receive any treatment at a hospital?

1 No
 2 Emergency room treatment only
 3 Stayed overnight or longer - How many days? _____

d. What was the total amount of your medical expenses resulting from this incident, INCLUDING anything paid by insurance? Include hospital and doctor bills, medicine, therapy, braces, and any other injury related medical expenses. INTERVIEWER - If respondent does not know exact amount, encourage him to give an estimate.

0 No cost - SKIP to 10a
 \$ _____
 x Don't know

9a. At the time of the incident, were you covered by any medical insurance, or were you eligible for benefits from any other type of health benefits program, such as Medicaid, Veterans' Administration, or Public Welfare?

1 No
 2 Don't know
 3 Yes

CRIME INCIDENT QUESTIONS - Continued

12a. Were you the only person there besides the offender(s)?

1 Yes - SKIP to 13a
 2 No

b. How many of these persons were robbed, harmed, or threatened? Do not include persons under 12 years of age.

0 None - SKIP to 13a
 _____ Number of persons

c. Were any of these persons members of your household? Do not include household members under 12 years of age.

0 No
 Yes - How many, not counting yourself? _____

(Also mark "Yes" in Check Item 1 on page 12)

13a. Was something stolen or taken without permission that belonged to you or others in the household? INTERVIEWER - Include anything stolen from unrecognizable business in respondent's home. Do not include anything stolen from a recognizable business in respondent's home or another business, such as merchandise or cash from a register.

1 Yes - SKIP to 13f
 2 No

b. Did the person(s) ATTEMPT to take something that belonged to you or others in the household?

1 No - SKIP to 13e
 2 Yes

c. What did they try to take? Anything else? (Mark all that apply)

1 Purse
 2 Wallet or money
 3 Car
 4 Other motor vehicle
 5 Part of car (hubcap, tape-deck, etc.)
 6 Don't know
 7 Other - Specify _____

Did they try to take a purse, wallet, or money? (Box 1 or 2 marked in 13c)

No - SKIP to 18a
 Yes

d. Was the (purse/wallet/money) on your person, for instance, in a pocket or being held?

1 Yes
 2 No - SKIP to 18a

e. What did happen? (Mark all that apply)

1 Attacked
 2 Threatened with harm
 3 Attempted to break into house or garage
 4 Attempted to break into car
 5 Harassed, argument, abusive language
 6 Damaged or destroyed property
 7 Attempted or threatened to damage or destroy property
 8 Other - Specify _____

f. What was taken? What else?

Cash: \$ _____
 and/or
 Property: (Mark all that apply)
 0 Only cash taken - SKIP to 14c
 1 Purse
 2 Wallet
 3 Car
 4 Other motor vehicle
 5 Part of car (hubcap, tape-deck, etc.)
 6 Other - Specify _____

Was a car or other motor vehicle taken? (Box 3 or 4 marked in 13f)

No - SKIP to Check Item E
 Yes

14a. Had permission to use the (car/motor vehicle) ever been given to the person who took it?

1 No
 2 Don't know
 3 Yes

b. Did the person return the (car/motor vehicle)?

1 Yes
 2 No

Is Box 1 or 2 marked in 13f?

No - SKIP to 15a
 Yes

c. Was the (purse/wallet/money) on your person, for instance, in a pocket or being held by you when it was taken?

1 Yes
 2 No

Was only cash taken? (Box 0 marked in 13f)

Yes - SKIP to 16a
 No

15a. Altogether, what was the value of the PROPERTY that was taken? INTERVIEWER - Exclude stolen cash, and enter \$0 for stolen checks and credit cards, even if they were used.

\$ _____

b. How did you decide the value of the property that was stolen? (Mark all that apply)

1 Original cost
 2 Replacement cost
 3 Personal estimate of current value
 4 Insurance report estimate
 5 Police estimate
 6 Don't know
 7 Other - Specify _____

16a. Was all or part of the stolen money or property recovered, except for anything received from insurance?

1 None
 2 All
 3 Part

b. What was recovered?

Cash: \$ _____
 and/or
 Property: (Mark all that apply)
 0 Cash only recovered - SKIP to 17a
 1 Purse
 2 Wallet
 3 Car
 4 Other motor vehicle
 5 Part of car (hubcap, tape-deck, etc.)
 6 Other - Specify _____

c. What was the value of the property recovered (excluding recovered cash)?

\$ _____

CRIME INCIDENT QUESTIONS - Continued

170. Was there any insurance against theft?
 1 No } SKIP to 18a
 2 Don't know }
 3 Yes

b. Was this loss reported to an insurance company?
 1 No } SKIP to 18a
 2 Don't know }
 3 Yes

c. Was any of this loss recovered through insurance?
 1 Not yet settled } SKIP to 18a
 2 No }
 3 Yes

d. How much was recovered?
 INTERVIEWER - If property replaced by insurance company instead of cash settlement, ask for estimate of value of the property replaced.
 \$ _____ .00

173. Did any household member lose any time from work because of this incident?
 1 No - SKIP to 19a
 Yes - How many members? _____

b. How much time was lost altogether?
 1 Less than 1 day
 2 1-5 days
 3 6-10 days
 4 Over 10 days
 5 Don't know

19a. Was anything damaged but not taken in this incident? For example, was a lock or window broken, clothing damaged, or damage done to a car, etc.?
 1 No - SKIP to 20a
 2 Yes

b. (Was/were) the damaged item(s) repaired or replaced?
 1 Yes - SKIP to 19d
 2 No

c. How much would it cost to repair or replace the damaged item(s)?
 \$ _____ .00 } SKIP to 20a
 x Don't know

d. How much was the repair or replacement cost?
 x No cost or don't know - SKIP to 20a
 \$ _____ .00

e. Who paid or will pay for the repairs or replacement? (Mark all that apply)
 1 Household member
 2 Landlord
 3 Insurance
 4 Other - Specify _____

20a. Were the police informed of this incident in any way?
 1 No
 2 Don't know - SKIP to Check Item G
 Yes - Who told them?
 3 Household member } SKIP to Check Item G
 4 Someone else }
 5 Police on scene

b. What was the reason this incident was not reported to the police? (Mark all that apply)
 1 Nothing could be done - lack of proof
 2 Did not think it important enough
 3 Police wouldn't want to be bothered
 4 Did not want to take time - too inconvenient
 5 Private or personal matter, did not want to report it
 6 Did not want to get involved
 7 Afraid of reprisal
 8 Reported to someone else
 9 Other - Specify _____

Is this person 16 years or older?
 No - SKIP to Check Item H
 Yes - ASK 21a

21a. Did you have a job at the time this incident happened?
 1 No - SKIP to Check Item H
 2 Yes

b. What was the job?
 1 Same as described in NCS-3 items 28a-e - SKIP to Check Item H
 2 Different than described in NCS-3 items 28a-e

c. For whom did you work? (Name of company, business, organization or other employer)

d. What kind of business or industry is this? (For example: TV and radio mfg., retail shoe store, State Labor Dept., farm)

e. Were you -
 1 An employee of a PRIVATE company, business or individual for wages, salary or commissions?
 2 A GOVERNMENT employee (Federal, State, county or local)?
 3 SELF-EMPLOYED in OWN business, professional practice or farm?
 4 Working WITHOUT PAY in family business or farm?

f. What kind of work were you doing? (For example: electrical engineer, stock clerk, typist, farmer)

g. What were your most important activities or duties? (For example: typing, keeping account books, selling cars, finishing concrete, etc.)

187. BRIEFLY summarize this incident or series of incidents.
 CHECK ITEM H

188. Look at 12c on Incident Report. Is there an entry for "How many?"
 No
 Yes - Be sure you have an Incident Report for each HH member 12 years of age or over who was robbed, harmed, or threatened in this incident.
 CHECK ITEM I

189. Is this the last Incident Report to be filled for this person?
 No - Go to next Incident Report.
 Yes - Is this the last HH member to be interviewed?
 No - Interview next HH member.
 Yes - END INTERVIEW. Enter total number of Crime Incident Reports filled for this household in Item 13 on the cover of NCS-3.
 CHECK ITEM J

O.M.B. No. 41-R2661; Approval Expires June 30, 1974

KEYER - BEGIN NEW RECORD

Line number
 Screen question number
 Incident number

NOTICE - Your report to the Census Bureau is confidential by law (Title 13, U.S. Code). It may be seen only by sworn Census employees and may be used only for statistical purposes.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS ADMINISTRATION
 BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

CRIME INCIDENT REPORT
 NATIONAL CRIME SURVEY
 CENTRAL CITIES SAMPLE

101. You said that during the last 12 months - (Refer to appropriate screen question for description of crime). In what month (did this/did the first) incident happen? (Show flashcard if necessary. Encourage respondent to give exact month.)
 _____ Month (01-12)

102. Is this incident report for a series of crimes?
 1 No - SKIP to 2
 2 Yes - (Note: series must have 3 or more similar incidents which respondent can't recall separately)

103. CHECK ITEM A

104. In what month(s) did these incidents take place? (Mark all that apply)
 1 Spring (March, April, May)
 2 Summer (June, July, August)
 3 Fall (September, October, November)
 4 Winter (December, January, February)

105. How many incidents were involved in this series?
 1 Three or four
 2 Five to ten
 3 Eleven or more
 4 Don't know

INTERVIEWER - If series, the following questions refer only to the most recent incident.

106. About what time did (this/the most recent) incident happen?
 1 Don't know
 2 During the day (6 a.m. to 6 p.m.)
 At night (6 p.m. to 6 a.m.)
 3 6 p.m. to midnight
 4 Midnight to 6 a.m.
 5 Don't know

107. Did this incident take place inside the limits of this city or somewhere else?
 1 Inside limits of this city - SKIP to 4
 2 Somewhere else in the United States
 3 Outside the United States - END INCIDENT REPORT

108. In what State and county did this incident occur?
 State _____
 County _____

109. Did it happen inside the limits of a city, town, village, etc.?
 1 No
 2 Yes - Enter name of city, town, etc. _____

110. Where did this incident take place?
 1 At or in own dwelling, in garage or other building on property (includes break-in or attempted break-in) } SKIP to 6a
 2 At or in vacation home, hotel/motel }
 3 Inside commercial building such as store, restaurant, bank, gas station, public conveyance or station } ASK 5a
 4 Inside office, factory, or warehouse }
 5 Near own home; yard, sidewalk, driveway, carport, apartment hall (Does not include break-in or attempted break-in) } SKIP to Check Item B
 6 On the street, in a park, field, playground, school grounds or parking lot }
 7 Inside school }
 8 Other - Specify _____

111. Were you a customer, employee, or owner?
 1 Customer
 2 Employee
 3 Owner
 4 Other - Specify _____

112. Did the person(s) steal or TRY to steal anything from the store, restaurant, office, factory, etc.?
 1 Yes
 2 No
 3 Don't know } SKIP to Check Item B

113. Did the offender(s) live there or have a right to be there, such as a guest or a workman?
 1 Yes - SKIP to Check Item B
 2 No
 3 Don't know

114. Did the offender(s) actually get in or just TRY to get in the building?
 1 Actually got in
 2 Just tried to get in
 3 Don't know

115. Was there any evidence, such as a broken lock or broken window, that the offender(s) (forced his way in/TRIED to force his way in) the building?
 1 No
 Yes - What was the evidence? Anything else? (Mark all that apply)
 2 Broken lock or window
 3 Forced door or window (or tried)
 4 Slashed screen
 5 Other - Specify _____ } SKIP to Check Item B

116. How did the offender(s) get in/try to get in?
 1 Through unlocked door or window
 2 Had key
 3 Don't know
 4 Other - Specify _____

117. Was any member of this household, including respondent, present when this incident occurred? (If not sure, ASK)
 1 No - SKIP to 13a
 2 Yes

118. Did the person(s) have a weapon such as a gun or knife, or something he was using as a weapon, such as a bottle, or wrench?
 1 No
 2 Don't know
 Yes - What was the weapon? (Mark all that apply)
 3 Gun
 4 Knife
 5 Other - Specify _____

119. Did the person(s) hit you, knock you down, or actually attack you in some other way?
 1 Yes - SKIP to 7f
 2 No

120. Did the person(s) threaten you with harm in any way?
 1 No - SKIP to 7e
 2 Yes

I N C I D E N T R E P O R T

CRIME INCIDENT QUESTIONS - Continued

7d. How were you threatened? Any other way? (Mark all that apply)

- 1 Verbal threat of rape
- 2 Verbal threat of attack other than rape
- 3 Weapon present or threatened with weapon
- 4 Attempted attack with weapon (for example, shot at)
- 5 Object thrown at person
- 6 Followed, surrounded
- 7 Other - Specify _____

8a. What were the injuries you suffered, if any? Anything else? (Mark all that apply)

- 1 None - SKIP to 10a
- 2 Raped
- 3 Attempted rape
- 4 Knife or gunshot wounds
- 5 Broken bones or teeth knocked out
- 6 Internal injuries, knocked unconscious
- 7 Bruises, black eye, cuts, scratches, swelling
- 8 Other - Specify _____

8b. Were you injured to the extent that you needed medical attention after the attack?

- 1 No - SKIP to 10a
- 2 Yes

8c. Did you receive any treatment at a hospital?

- 1 No
- 2 Emergency room treatment only
- 3 Stayed overnight or longer - How many days? _____

8d. What was the total amount of your medical expenses resulting from this incident, INCLUDING anything paid by insurance? Include hospital and doctor bills, medicine, therapy, braces, and any other injury related medical expenses. INTERVIEWER - If respondent does not know exact amount, encourage him to give an estimate.

0 No cost - SKIP to 10a

1 \$ _____

2 Don't know

9a. At the time of the incident, were you covered by any medical insurance, or were you eligible for benefits from any other type of health benefits program, such as Medicaid, Veterans' Administration, or Public Welfare?

- 1 No
- 2 Don't know
- 3 Yes

9b. Did you file a claim with any of these insurance companies or programs in order to get part or all of your medical expenses paid?

- 1 No - SKIP to 10a
- 2 Yes

9c. Did insurance or any health benefits program pay for all or part of the total medical expenses?

- 1 Not yet settled
- 2 None
- 3 All
- 4 Part

9d. How much did insurance or a health benefits program pay? \$ _____ (Obtain an estimate, if necessary)

10a. Did you do anything to protect yourself or your property during the incident?

- 1 No - SKIP to 11
- 2 Yes

10b. What did you do? Anything else? (Mark all that apply)

- 1 Used/brandished gun or knife
- 2 Used/tried physical force (hit, chased, threw object, used other weapon, etc.)
- 3 Tried to get help, attract attention, scare offender away (screamed, yelled, called for help, turned on lights, etc.)
- 4 Threatened, argued, reasoned, etc. with offender
- 5 Resisted without force, used evasive action (ran/drove away, hid, held property, locked door, ducked, shielded self, etc.)
- 6 Other - Specify _____

11. Was the crime committed by only one or more than one person?

- 1 Only one
- 2 Don't know
- 3 More than one

11a. Was this person male or female?

- 1 Male
- 2 Female
- 3 Don't know

11b. How old would you say the person was?

- 1 Under 12
- 2 12-14
- 3 15-17
- 4 18-20
- 5 21 or over
- 6 Don't know

11c. Was the person someone you knew or was he a stranger?

- 1 Stranger
- 2 Don't know
- 3 Known by sight only
- 4 Casual acquaintance
- 5 Well known

11d. Was the person a relative of yours?

- 1 No
- 2 Spouse or ex-spouse
- 3 Parent
- 4 Own child
- 5 Brother or sister
- 6 Other relative - Specify _____

11e. Was he/she -

- 1 White?
- 2 Negro?
- 3 Other? - Specify _____
- 4 Don't know

11f. How many persons?

- 1 All male
- 2 All female
- 3 Male and female
- 4 Don't know

11g. Were they male or female?

- 1 All male
- 2 All female
- 3 Male and female
- 4 Don't know

11h. How old would you say the youngest was?

- 1 Under 12
- 2 12-14
- 3 15-17
- 4 18-20
- 5 21 or over
- 6 Don't know

11i. How old would you say the oldest was?

- 1 Under 12
- 2 12-14
- 3 15-17
- 4 18-20
- 5 21 or over
- 6 Don't know

11j. Were any of the persons known or related to you or were they all strangers?

- 1 All strangers
- 2 Don't know
- 3 All relatives
- 4 Some relatives
- 5 All known
- 6 Some known

11k. How well were they known? (Mark all that apply)

- 1 By sight only
- 2 Casual acquaintance(s)
- 3 Well known

11l. How were they related to you? (Mark all that apply)

- 1 Spouse or ex-spouse
- 2 Parents
- 3 Own children
- 4 Brothers/sisters
- 5 Other - Specify _____

11m. Were all of them -

- 1 White?
- 2 Negro?
- 3 Other? - Specify _____
- 4 Combination - Specify _____
- 5 Don't know

CRIME INCIDENT QUESTIONS - Continued

12a. Were you the only person there besides the offender(s)?

- 1 Yes - SKIP to 13a
- 2 No

12b. How many of these persons were robbed, harmed, or threatened? Do not include persons under 12 years of age.

0 None - SKIP to 13a

Number of persons _____

12c. Were any of those persons members of your household? Do not include household members under 12 years of age.

- 0 No
- Yes - How many, not counting yourself? _____

(Also mark "Yes" in Check Item I on page 16)

13a. Was something stolen or taken without permission that belonged to you or others in the household? INTERVIEWER - Include anything stolen from unrecognizable business in respondent's home. Do not include anything stolen from a recognizable business in respondent's home or another business, such as merchandise or cash from a register.

- 1 Yes - SKIP to 13f
- 2 No

13b. Did the person(s) ATTEMPT to take something that belonged to you or others in the household?

- 1 No - SKIP to 13e
- 2 Yes

13c. What did they try to take? Anything else? (Mark all that apply)

- 1 Purse
- 2 Wallet or money
- 3 Car
- 4 Other motor vehicle
- 5 Part of car (hubcap, tape-deck, etc.)
- 6 Don't know
- 7 Other - Specify _____

13d. Was the (purse/wallet/money) on your person, for instance in a pocket or being held?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No

13e. What did happen? (Mark all that apply)

- 1 Attacked
- 2 Threatened with harm
- 3 Attempted to break into house or garage
- 4 Attempted to break into car
- 5 Harassed, argument, abusive language
- 6 Damaged or destroyed property
- 7 Attempted or threatened to damage or destroy property
- 8 Other - Specify _____

13f. What was taken? What else?

Cash: \$ _____

and/or

Property: (Mark all that apply)

- 0 Only cash taken - SKIP to 14c
- 1 Purse
- 2 Wallet
- 3 Car
- 4 Other motor vehicle
- 5 Part of car (hubcap, tape-deck, etc.)
- 6 Other - Specify _____

14a. Had permission to use the (car/motor vehicle) ever been given to the person who took it?

- 1 No
- 2 Don't know
- 3 Yes

14b. Did the person return the (car/motor vehicle)?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No

14c. Was the (purse/wallet/money) on your person, for instance, in a pocket or being held by you when it was taken?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No

14d. Was a car or other motor vehicle taken? (Box 3 or 4 marked in 13f)

- 0 No - SKIP to Check Item E
- 1 Yes

14e. Is Box 1 or 2 marked in 13f?

- 0 No - SKIP to 15a
- 1 Yes

15a. Altogether, what was the value of the PROPERTY that was taken? INTERVIEWER - Exclude stolen cash, and enter 50 for stolen checks and credit cards, even if they were used.

\$ _____

15b. How did you decide the value of the property that was stolen? (Mark all that apply)

- 1 Original cost
- 2 Replacement cost
- 3 Personal estimate of current value
- 4 Insurance report estimate
- 5 Police estimate
- 6 Don't know
- 7 Other - Specify _____

16a. Was all or part of the stolen money or property recovered, except for anything received from insurance?

- 1 None
- 2 All
- 3 Part

16b. What was recovered?

Cash: \$ _____

and/or

Property: (Mark all that apply)

- 0 Cash only recovered - SKIP to 17a
- 1 Purse
- 2 Wallet
- 3 Car
- 4 Other motor vehicle
- 5 Part of car (hubcap, tape-deck, etc.)
- 6 Other - Specify _____

16c. What was the value of the property recovered (excluding recovered cash)?

\$ _____

CRIME INCIDENT QUESTIONS - Continued

17a. Was there any insurance against theft?
 (170) 1 No } SKIP to 18a
 2 Don't know }
 3 Yes

b. Was this loss reported to an insurance company?
 (171) 1 No } SKIP to 18a
 2 Don't know }
 3 Yes

c. Was any of this loss recovered through insurance?
 (172) 1 Not yet settled } SKIP to 18a
 2 No }
 3 Yes

d. How much was recovered?
 INTERVIEWER - If property replaced by insurance company instead of cash settlement, ask for estimate of value of the property replaced.
 (173) \$ _____

18a. Did any household member lose any time from work because of this incident?
 (174) 1 No - SKIP to 19a
 Yes - How many members? _____

b. How much time was lost altogether?
 (175) 1 Less than 1 day
 2 1-5 days
 3 6-10 days
 4 Over 10 days
 5 Don't know

19a. Was anything damaged but not taken in this incident? For example, was a lock or window broken, clothing damaged, or damage done to a car, etc.?
 (176) 1 No - SKIP to 20a
 2 Yes

b. (Was/were) the damaged item(s) repaired or replaced?
 (177) 1 Yes - SKIP to 19d
 2 No

c. How much would it cost to repair or replace the damaged item(s)?
 (178) \$ _____ } SKIP to 20a
 x Don't know

d. How much was the repair or replacement cost?
 (179) x No cost or don't know - SKIP to 20a
 \$ _____

e. Who paid or will pay for the repairs or replacement? (Mark all that apply)
 (180) 1 Household member
 2 Landlord
 3 Insurance
 4 Other - Specify _____

20a. Were the police informed of this incident in any way?
 (181) 1 No
 2 Don't know - SKIP to Check Item G
 Yes - Who told them?
 3 Household member } SKIP to Check Item G
 4 Someone else }
 5 Police on scene

b. What was the reason this incident was not reported to the police? (Mark all that apply)
 (182) 1 Nothing could be done - lack of proof
 2 Did not think it important enough
 3 Police wouldn't want to be bothered
 4 Did not want to take time - too inconvenient
 5 Private or personal matter, did not want to report it
 6 Did not want to get involved
 7 Afraid of reprisal
 8 Reported to someone else
 9 Other - Specify _____

Is this person 16 years or older? ¹⁷
 CHECK ITEM G No - SKIP to Check Item H
 Yes - ASK 21a

21a. Did you have a job at the time this incident happened?
 (183) 1 No - SKIP to Check Item H
 2 Yes

b. What was the job?
 (184) 1 Same as described in NCS-3 Items 28a-e - SKIP to Check Item H
 2 Different than described in NCS-3 Items 28a-e

c. For whom did you work? (Name of company, business, organization or other employer)

d. What kind of business or industry is this? (For example: TV and radio mfg., retail shoe store, State Labor Dept., farm)
 (187) _____

e. Were you -
 (188) 1 An employee of a PRIVATE company, business or individual for wages, salary or commissions?
 2 A GOVERNMENT employee (Federal, State, county or local)?
 3 SELF-EMPLOYED in OWN business, professional practice or farm?
 4 Working WITHOUT PAY in family business or farm?

f. What kind of work were you doing? (For example: electrical engineer, stock clerk, typist, farmer)
 (189) _____

g. What were your most important activities or duties? (For example: typing, keeping account books, selling cars, finishing concrete, etc.)

BRIEFLY summarize this incident or series of incidents.
 CHECK ITEM H _____

Look at 12c on Incident Report. Is there an entry for "How many?"
 CHECK ITEM I No
 Yes - Be sure you have an Incident Report for each HH member 12 years of age or over who was robbed, harmed, or threatened in this incident.

Is this the last Incident Report to be filled for this person?
 CHECK ITEM J No - Go to next Incident Report.
 Yes - Is this the last HH member to be interviewed?
 No - Interview next HH member.
 Yes - END INTERVIEW. Enter total number of Crime Incident Reports filled for this household in Item 13 on the cover of NCS-3.

O.M.B. No. 41-R2662; Approval Expires March 31, 1977

NOTICE - Your report to the Census Bureau is confidential by law (Title 13, U.S. Code). It may be seen only by sworn Census employees and may be used only for statistical purposes.

FORM CVS-101 (7-11-73)
 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS ADMIN.
 BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

COMMERCIAL CRIME VICTIMIZATION SURVEY
 CITY SAMPLE

INTRODUCTION
 Good morning (afternoon). I'm Mr.(s.) _____ (your name) from the U.S. Bureau of the Census. We are conducting a survey in this area to measure the extent to which businesses are victims of burglaries and/or robberies. The Government needs to know how much crime there is and where it is to plan and administer programs which will have an impact on the crime problem. You can help by answering some questions for me.

Part I - BUSINESS CHARACTERISTICS

2a. Is this establishment owned or operated as an incorporated business?
 (1) Yes - SKIP to 3
 (2) No

b. How is this business owned or operated?
 (1) Individual proprietorship
 (2) Partnership
 (3) Government - Continue interview ONLY if liquor store or any type of transportation
 (4) Other - Specify _____

7. Did anyone else operate any departments or concessions or some other business activity in this establishment during the 12 month period ending _____?
 (1) Yes - List each department, concession, or other business activity on a separate line of Section V of the segment folder, if not already listed. Complete a separate questionnaire for each one that falls on a sample line.
 (2) No

DO NOT ASK ITEM 8 UNTIL PART II AND ANY INCIDENT REPORTS HAVE BEEN COMPLETED

8. What were your approximate sales of merchandise and/or receipts from services at this establishment for the previous 12 months ending _____? (Estimate annual sales and/or receipts if not in business for entire 12 months.)
 (1) None
 (2) Under \$10,000
 (3) \$10,000 to \$24,999
 (4) \$25,000 to \$49,999
 (5) \$50,000 to \$99,999
 (6) \$100,000 to \$499,999
 (7) \$500,000 to \$999,999
 (8) \$1,000,000 and over
 (9) Other - Specify _____

3. Do you (the owner) operate more than one establishment?
 (1) Yes
 (2) No

4. Did you (the owner) operate this establishment at this location during the entire 12 month period ending _____?
 (1) Yes
 (2) No - How many months during the designated period? _____ Months

5. Excluding you (the owner) (the partners) how many paid employees did this establishment average during the 12 month period ending _____?
 (1) None
 (2) 1-3
 (3) 4-7
 (4) 8-19
 (5) 20 or more

6a. What do you consider your kind of business to be at this location?
 OFFICE USE ONLY

b. Mark (X) one box

RETAIL 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Food 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Eating and drinking 3 <input type="checkbox"/> General merchandise 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Apparel 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Furniture and appliance 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Lumber, hardware, mobile home dealers 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Automotive 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Drug and proprietary 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Liquor A <input type="checkbox"/> Gasoline service stations B <input type="checkbox"/> Other retail * WHOLESALE C <input type="checkbox"/> Durable D <input type="checkbox"/> Nondurable	MANUFACTURING E <input type="checkbox"/> Durable F <input type="checkbox"/> Nondurable REAL ESTATE G <input type="checkbox"/> Apartments H <input type="checkbox"/> Other real estate SERVICE I <input type="checkbox"/> SERVICE J <input type="checkbox"/> BANKS K <input type="checkbox"/> TRANSPORTATION L <input type="checkbox"/> ALL OTHERS - Specify _____
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

INTERVIEWER USE ONLY

9a. Record of interview
 (1) Date _____
 (2) Name of respondent _____
 (3) Title of respondent _____
 (4) Telephone Area code Number Extension

b. Reason for non-interview
TYPE A
 1 Present occupant in business at end of survey period but unable to contact
 2 Refusal and in business at end of survey period
 3 Other Type A - Specify _____
TYPE B
 4 Present occupant not in business at end of survey period.
 5 Vacant or closed
 6 Other Type B (Seasonal, etc.) - Specify _____
TYPE C
 7 Occupied by nonlistable activity
 8 Demolished
 9 Other Type C - Specify _____

Part II - SCREENING QUESTIONS

Now I'd like to ask some questions about particular kinds of theft or attempted theft. These questions refer only to this establishment for the 12 month period beginning _____ and ending _____.

10. During this period did anyone break into or somehow illegally get into this place of business?

1 Yes - How many times? _____ Number _____
(Fill an Incident Report for each)

2 No

11. (Other than the incident(s) just mentioned,) during this period did anyone find a door jammed, a lock forced, or any other signs of an ATTEMPTED break-in?

1 Yes - How many times? _____ Number _____
(Fill an Incident Report for each)

2 No

12. During this period were you, the owner, or any employee held up by anyone using a weapon, force or threat of force on these premises?

1 Yes - How many times? _____ Number _____
(Fill an Incident Report for each)

2 No

13. (Other than the incident(s) already mentioned,) did anyone ATTEMPT to hold up you, the owner, or any employee by using force or threatening to harm you while on these premises?

1 Yes - How many times? _____ Number _____
(Fill an Incident Report for each)

2 No

14. (Other than the incident(s) just mentioned,) during this period were you, the owner, or any employee held up while delivering merchandise or carrying business money outside the business?

1 Yes - How many times? _____ Number _____
(Fill an Incident Report for each)

2 No

15. (Other than the incident(s) just mentioned,) did anyone ATTEMPT to hold up you, the owner, or any employee while delivering merchandise or carrying business money outside the business?

1 Yes - How many times? _____ Number _____
(Fill an Incident Report for each)

2 No

16a. Is this establishment insured against burglary and/or robbery by means other than self-insurance?

1 Yes
2 No
3 Don't know } SKIP to 17a

b. Does the insurance also cover other types of crime losses, such as vandalism or shoplifting and employee theft?

1 Yes
2 No } SKIP to 19a

17a. Has this establishment ever been insured against burglary and/or robbery by means other than self-insurance?

1 Yes
2 No - SKIP to 18
3 Don't know - SKIP to 19a

b. Did the insurance also cover other types of crime losses, such as vandalism or shoplifting and employee theft?

1 Yes
2 No

c. Did you drop the insurance or did the company cancel your policy?

1 Businessman dropped it } SKIP to 19a
2 Insurance company cancelled policy

18. Why hasn't this establishment ever been insured against burglary and/or robbery?

1 Couldn't afford it
2 Couldn't get anyone to insure you
3 Didn't need it
4 Self-insured
5 Premium too expensive
6 Other - Specify _____

19a. What security measures, if any, are present at this location now, to protect it against burglary and/or robbery?

a. Mark (X) all that apply

1 Alarm system - outside ringing

2 Central alarm

3 Reinforcing devices, such as bars on windows, grates, gates, etc.

4 Guard, watchmen

5 Watch dog

6 Firearms

7 Cameras

8 Mirrors

9 Locks

A Comply with National Banking Act (For Banks only)

B Other - Specify _____

c. None

b. When were these security measures first installed or otherwise undertaken?

Enter the appropriate code from the list given below.

b. codes

Codes for use in Item 19b

LESS THAN 1 YEAR AGO		MORE THAN 1 YEAR
1 - January	7 - July	D - 1-2 years ago
2 - February	8 - August	E - 2-5 years ago
3 - March	9 - September	F - More than 5 years ago
4 - April	A - October	
5 - May	B - November	
6 - June	C - December	

20. INTERVIEWER CHECK ITEM

Were there "0" incidents reported in 10-15?

Yes - Detach Incident Reports, enter "0" in items 1g(1) and (2) on page 1, and continue with item 8.

No - Enter number of incidents in item 1g(1) on page 1, and continue with first incident Report.

NOTES

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS ADMIN.
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

FORM CVS-101
(7-11-73)

INCIDENT REPORT
COMMERCIAL CRIME VICTIMIZATION SURVEY
CITY SAMPLE

INCIDENT NUMBER
Record which incident (1, 2, etc.) is covered by this page

IDENTIFICATION CODE

a. PSU	b. Segment	c. Line No.	d. Panel	e. DCC
--------	------------	-------------	----------	--------

1. Incident No.

TRANSCRIBE THE IDENTIFICATION CODES FROM ITEM 1 OF THE COVER SHEET AND COMPLETE A SEPARATE INCIDENT REPORT FOR EACH INCIDENT.

You said that during the 12 months beginning _____ and ending _____ (refer to screening questions 10-15 for description of crime).

1. In what month did this (did the first) incident happen?

1 Jan. 4 April 7 July A Oct.
2 Feb. 5 May 8 Aug. B Nov.
3 Mar. 6 June 9 Sept. C Dec.

2. About what time did it happen?

1 During the day (6 a.m. - 6 p.m.)
2 At night (6 p.m. - 6 a.m.)
3 Midnight - 6 a.m.
4 Don't know what time at night
5 Don't know

3. Where did this incident take place?

1 At this place of business
2 On delivery
3 Enroute to bank
4 Other - Specify _____

4. Were you, the owner, or any employee present while this incident was occurring?

1 Yes
2 No - SKIP to 10
3 Don't know

5a. Did the person holding you up have a weapon or something that was used as a weapon, such as a bottle or wrench?

1 Yes
2 No
3 Don't know } SKIP to 6a

b. What was the weapon?

1 Gun
2 Knife
3 Other - Specify _____

6a. How many persons were involved in committing the crime?

1 One - Continue with 6b below
2 Two
3 Three } SKIP to 6e
4 Four or more
5 Don't know - SKIP to 7a

b. How old would you say the person was?

1 Under 12 4 18-20
2 12-14 5 21 or over
3 15-17 6 Don't know

c. Was the person male or female?

1 Male
2 Female
3 Don't know

d. Was he (she) -

1 White?
2 Black?
3 Other? - Specify _____ } SKIP to 7a
4 Don't know

e. How old would you say the youngest person was?

1 Under 12 4 18-20
2 12-14 5 21 or over - SKIP to 6g
3 15-17 6 Don't know

f. How old would you say the oldest person was?

1 Under 12 4 18-20
2 12-14 5 21 or over
3 15-17 6 Don't know

g. Were they male or female?

1 All male 3 Male and female
2 All female 4 Don't know

h. Were they -

1 Only white?
2 Only black?
3 Only other? - Specify _____
4 Some combination? - Specify _____
5 Don't know

7a. Were you, the owner, or any employee injured in this incident, seriously enough to require medical attention?

1 Yes - How many? _____ Number _____
2 No - SKIP to 9a

b. How many of them stayed in a hospital overnight or longer?

Number _____

8. Of those receiving treatment in or out of a hospital, did this business pay for any of the medical expenses not covered by a regular health benefits program?

1 Yes - How much was paid? \$ _____
2 No
3 Don't know

9a. Did any deaths occur as a result of this incident?

1 Yes
2 No - SKIP to 15a

b. Who was killed? (Mark (X) all that apply)

c. How many?

1 Owner(s) _____
2 Employees _____
3 Customers _____
4 Innocent bystander(s) _____
5 Offender(s) _____
6 Police _____
7 Other - Specify _____

10. Did the offender enter, attempt to enter, or remain in this establishment illegally?

1 Yes
2 No

Discontinue use of Incident Report. Enter at the top of this sheet "Out of Scope - Larceny," erase incident number, change the answers to screening questions 10-15, change number of incidents in item 1g(1), page 1, and go on to the next reported incident. If no other incidents are reported, return to page 1 and complete items 1g(2) 8, and 9 and end the interview.

11. Did the offender(s) actually get in or just try to get in?

1 Actually got in
2 Just tried to get in

12. Was there a broken window, broken lock, alarm, or any other evidence that the offender(s) forced (tried to force) his (their) way in?

1 Yes
2 No - SKIP to 14

13. What was the evidence? (Mark all that apply)

1 Broken lock or window
2 Forced door
3 Alarm
4 Other - Specify _____ } SKIP to 15a

14. How did the offender(s) get in (try to get in)?

1 Through unlocked door or window
2 Had a key
3 Other - Specify _____
4 Don't know

INCIDENT REPORT - Continued

15a. Was anything damaged but not taken in this incident? For example, a lock or window broken, damaged merchandise, etc.
 1 Yes
 2 No - SKIP to 16a

b. Was (were) the damaged item(s) repaired or replaced?
 1 Yes - SKIP to 15d
 2 No

c. How much would it cost to repair or replace the damages? (Estimate)
 \$ _____
 Don't know } SKIP to 15e

d. How much did it cost to repair or replace the damages?
 \$ _____
 No cost - SKIP to 16a
 Don't know

e. Who paid or will pay for the repairs or replacement? (Mark (X) all that apply)
 1 This business
 2 Insurance
 3 Owner of Building (landlord)
 4 Other - Specify _____
 5 Don't know

16a. Did the offender(s) take any money? (Exclude money belonging to customers or store personnel)
 1 Yes - What was the total value? \$ _____
 2 No

b. Did the offender(s) take any merchandise, equipment or supplies? (Exclude personal property belonging to customers or store personnel.)
 1 Yes - What was the total value? \$ _____
 2 No - SKIP to 17a if answer to 16a is yes; otherwise SKIP to 18a

c. How was the value determined?
 1 Original cost
 2 Replacement cost
 3 Other - Specify _____

17a. How much, if any, of the stolen money and/or property was recovered by insurance?
 \$ _____
 None - Why not?
 1 Didn't report it
 2 Does not have insurance
 3 Not settled yet
 4 Policy has a deductible
 5 Money and/or merchandise was recovered
 Don't know

b. How much, if any, of the stolen money and/or property was recovered by means other than insurance?
 \$ _____
 None
 Don't know } SKIP to 18a

c. By what means was the stolen money and/or property recovered?
 1 Police
 2 Other - Specify _____

18a. Did you, the owner, or any employee here lose any time from work because of this incident? Number _____
 1 Yes - How many people? _____
 2 No - SKIP to 19a

b. How many work days were lost altogether?
 1 Less than 1 day
 2 1-5 days
 3 6-10 days
 4 Over 10 days - How many? _____ Days
 5 Don't know

19a. Were any security measures taken after this incident to protect the establishment from future incidents?
 1 Yes
 2 No - SKIP to 20a

b. What measures were taken? (Mark (X) all that apply)
 1 Alarm system - outside ringing
 2 Central alarm
 3 Reinforcing devices, gates, gates, bars on window, etc.
 4 Guard, watchman
 5 Watch dog
 6 Firearms
 7 Cameras
 8 Mirrors
 9 Locks
 A Other - Specify _____

20a. Was this incident reported to the police?
 1 Yes - SKIP to 21
 2 No

b. What was the reason this incident was not reported to the police? (Mark (X) all that apply)
 1 Police already knew of the incident
 2 Nothing could be done - lack of proof
 3 Did not think it important enough
 4 Did not want to bother police
 5 Did not want to take the time
 6 Did not want to get involved
 7 Afraid of reprisal
 8 Reported to someone else
 9 Other - Specify _____

21. INTERVIEWER CHECK ITEM Is this the last Incident Report to be completed?
 Yes - Return to page 1 and complete items 1g(2), 8, 9, and end interview.
 No - Fill the next Incident Report.

NOTES

Page 4

O.M.B. No. 41-R2662; Approval Expires March 31, 1977
 FORM CV5-101 (7-11-75)
 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS ADMIN.
 BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

INCIDENT REPORT
COMMERCIAL CRIME VICTIMIZATION SURVEY
CITY SAMPLE

INCIDENT NUMBER
 Record which incident (1, 2, etc.) is covered by this page

IDENTIFICATION CODE

a. PSU	b. Segment	c. Line No.	d. Panel	e. DCC
--------	------------	-------------	----------	--------

f. Incident No. _____

g. Incident No. _____

h. Incident No. _____

1. In what month did this (did the first) incident happen?
 1 Jan. 4 April 7 July A Oct.
 2 Feb. 5 May 8 Aug. 9 Nov.
 3 Mar. 6 June 9 Sept. C Dec.

2. About what time did it happen?
 1 During the day (6 a.m. - 6 p.m.)
 At night (6 p.m. - 6 a.m.)
 2 6 p.m. - Midnight
 3 Midnight - 6 a.m.
 4 Don't know what time at night
 5 Don't know

3. Where did this incident take place?
 1 At this place of business
 2 On delivery
 3 Enroute to bank
 4 Other - Specify _____

4. Were you, the owner, or any employee present while this incident was occurring?
 1 Yes
 2 No - SKIP to 10
 3 Don't know

5a. Did the person holding you up have a weapon or something that was used as a weapon, such as a bottle or wrench?
 1 Yes
 2 No
 3 Don't know } SKIP to 6a

b. What was the weapon?
 1 Gun
 2 Knife
 3 Other - Specify _____

6a. How many persons were involved in committing the crime?
 1 One - Continue with 6b below
 2 Two
 3 Three } SKIP to 6e
 4 Four or more
 5 Don't know - SKIP to 7a

b. How old would you say the person was?
 1 Under 12 4 18-20
 2 12-14 5 21 or over
 3 15-17 6 Don't know

c. Was the person male or female?
 1 Male
 2 Female
 3 Don't know

d. Was he (she) -
 1 White?
 2 Black?
 3 Other? - Specify _____ } SKIP to 7a
 4 Don't know

e. How old would you say the youngest person was?
 1 Under 12 4 18-20
 2 12-14 5 21 or over - SKIP to 6g
 3 15-17 6 Don't know

f. How old would you say the oldest person was?
 1 Under 12 4 18-20
 2 12-14 5 21 or over
 3 15-17 6 Don't know

g. Were they male or female?
 1 All male 3 Male and female
 2 All female 4 Don't know

h. Were they -
 1 Only white?
 2 Only black?
 3 Only other? - Specify _____
 4 Some combination? - Specify _____
 5 Don't know

7a. Were you, the owner, or any employee injured in this incident, seriously enough to require medical attention?
 1 Yes - How many? _____ Number
 2 No - SKIP to 9a

b. How many of them stayed in a hospital overnight or longer? Number _____

8. Of those receiving treatment in or out of a hospital, did this business pay for any of the medical expenses not covered by a regular health benefits program?
 1 Yes - How much was paid? \$ _____
 2 No
 3 Don't know

9a. Did any deaths occur as a result of this incident?
 1 Yes
 2 No - SKIP to 15a

b. Who was killed? (Mark (X) all that apply)

1 <input type="checkbox"/> Owner(s)	c. How many?
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Employees	
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Customers	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Innocent bystander(s)	
5 <input type="checkbox"/> Offender(s)	
6 <input type="checkbox"/> Police	
7 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify _____	

SKIP to 15a

10. Did the offender enter, attempt to enter, or remain in this establishment illegally?
 1 Yes
 2 No

Discontinue use of Incident Report. Enter at the top of this sheet "Out of Scope-Lessons." Give incident number, change the answers to screening questions 10-15, change number of incidents in item 1g(1), page 1, and go on to the next reported incident. If no other incidents are reported, return to page 1 and complete items 1g(2), 8, and 9 and end the interview.

11. Did the offender(s) actually get in or just try to get in?
 1 Actually got in
 2 Just tried to get in

12. Was there a broken window, broken lock, alarm, or any other evidence that the offender(s) forced (tried to force) his (their) way in?
 1 Yes
 2 No - SKIP to 14

13. What was the evidence? (Mark all that apply)

1 <input type="checkbox"/> Broken lock or window	} SKIP to 15a
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Forced door	
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Alarm	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify _____	

14. How did the offender(s) get in (try to get in)?
 1 Through unlocked door or window
 2 Had a key
 3 Other - Specify _____
 4 Don't know

Page 5

INCIDENT REPORT - Continued

15a. Was anything damaged but not taken in this incident? For example, a lock or window broken, damaged merchandise, etc.
 1 Yes
 2 No - SKIP to 16a

b. Was (were) the damaged item(s) repaired or replaced?
 1 Yes - SKIP to 15d
 2 No

c. How much would it cost to repair or replace the damages? (Estimate)
 \$ _____
 X Don't know } SKIP to 15e

d. How much did it cost to repair or replace the damages?
 \$ _____
 V No cost - SKIP to 16a
 X Don't know

e. Who paid or will pay for the repairs or replacement? (Mark (X) all that apply)
 1 This business
 2 Insurance
 3 Owner of Building (landlord)
 4 Other - Specify _____
 5 Don't know

16a. Did the offender(s) take any money? (Exclude money belonging to customers or store personnel)
 1 Yes - What was the total value? \$ _____
 2 No

b. Did the offender(s) take any merchandise, equipment or supplies? (Exclude personal property belonging to customers or store personnel.)
 1 Yes - What was the total value? \$ _____
 2 No - SKIP to 17a if answer to 16a is yes; otherwise SKIP to 18a

c. How was the value determined?
 1 Original cost
 2 Replacement cost
 3 Other - Specify _____

17a. How much, if any, of the stolen money and/or property was recovered by insurance?
 \$ _____
 V None - Why not?
 1 Didn't report it
 2 Does not have insurance
 3 Not settled yet
 4 Policy has a deductible
 5 Money and/or merchandise was recovered
 X Don't know

b. How much, if any, of the stolen money and/or property was recovered by means other than insurance?
 \$ _____
 V None
 X Don't know } SKIP to 18a

c. By what means was the stolen money and/or property recovered?
 1 Police
 2 Other - Specify _____

18a. Did you, the owner, or any employee here lose any time from work because of this incident? Number _____
 1 Yes - How many people?
 2 No - SKIP to 19a

b. How many work days were lost altogether?
 1 Less than 1 day
 2 1-5 days
 3 6-10 days
 4 Over 10 days - How many? Days _____
 5 Don't know

19a. Were any security measures taken after this incident to protect the establishment from future incidents?
 1 Yes
 2 No - SKIP to 20a

b. What measures were taken? (Mark (X) all that apply)
 1 Alarm system - outside ringing
 2 Central alarm
 3 Reinforcing devices, grates, gates, bars on window, etc.
 4 Guard, watchman
 5 Watch dog
 6 Firearms
 7 Cameras
 8 Mirrors
 9 Locks
 A Other - Specify _____

20a. Was this incident reported to the police?
 1 Yes - SKIP to 21
 2 No

b. What was the reason this incident was not reported to the police? (Mark (X) all that apply)
 1 Police already knew of the incident
 2 Nothing could be done - lack of proof
 3 Did not think it important enough
 4 Did not want to bother police
 5 Did not want to take the time
 6 Did not want to get involved
 7 Afraid of reprisal
 8 Reported to someone else
 9 Other - Specify _____

21. INTERVIEWER CHECK ITEM Is this the last incident Report to be completed?
 Yes - Return to page 1 and complete items 1g(2), 8, 9, and end interview.
 No - Fill the next incident Report.

NOTES

Page 6

O.H.B. No. 41-R2662; Approval Expires March 31, 1977

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS ADMIN.
 BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

INCIDENT REPORT
 COMMERCIAL CRIME VICTIMIZATION SURVEY
 CITY SAMPLE

FORM CVS-101 (7-11-73)

INCIDENT NUMBER
 Record which incident (1, 2, etc.) is covered by this page

IDENTIFICATION CODE

a. PSU _____ b. Segment _____ c. Line No. _____ d. Panel _____ e. DCC _____

INCIDENT No. _____

7a. Were you, the owner, or any employee injured in this incident, seriously enough to require medical attention?
 1 Yes - How many? Number _____
 2 No - SKIP to 9a

b. How many of them stayed in a hospital overnight or longer? Number _____

8. Of those receiving treatment in or out of a hospital, did this business pay for any of the medical expenses not covered by a regular health benefits program?
 1 Yes - How much was paid? \$ _____
 2 No
 3 Don't know

9a. Did any deaths occur as a result of this incident?
 1 Yes
 2 No - SKIP to 15a

b. Who was killed? (Mark (X) all that apply)

c. How many?

1 Owner(s) _____
 2 Employees _____
 3 Customers _____
 4 Innocent bystander(s) _____
 5 Offender(s) _____
 6 Police _____
 7 Other - Specify _____

SKIP to 15a

10. Did the offender enter, attempt to enter, or remain in this establishment illegally?
 1 Yes
 2 No

Discontinue use of Incident Report. Enter at the top of this sheet "Out of Scope-Larceny," erase incident number, change the answers to screening questions 10-15, change number of incidents in item 1g(1), page 1, and go on to the next reported incident. If no other incidents are reported, return to page 1 and complete items 1g(2), 8, and 9 and end the interview.

11. Did the offender(s) actually get in or just try to get in?
 1 Actually got in
 2 Just tried to get in

12. Was there a broken window, broken lock, alarm, or any other evidence that the offender(s) forced (tried to force) his (their) way in?
 1 Yes
 2 No - SKIP to 14

13. What was the evidence? (Mark all that apply)

1 Broken lock or window
 2 Forced door
 3 Alarm
 4 Other - Specify _____ } SKIP to 15a

14. How did the offender(s) get in (try to get in)?
 1 Through unlocked door or window
 2 Had a key
 3 Other - Specify _____
 4 Don't know

TRANSCRIBE THE IDENTIFICATION CODES FROM ITEM 1 OF THE COVER SHEET AND COMPLETE A SEPARATE INCIDENT REPORT FOR EACH INCIDENT.

1. In what month did this (did the first) incident happen?
 1 Jan. 4 April 7 July A Oct.
 2 Feb. 5 May 8 Aug. B Nov.
 3 Mar. 6 June 9 Sept. C Dec.

2. About what time did it happen?
 1 During the day (6 a.m. - 6 p.m.)
 2 At night (6 p.m. - 6 a.m.)
 3 6 p.m. - Midnight
 4 Midnight - 6 a.m.
 5 Don't know what time at night
 6 Don't know

3. Where did this incident take place?
 1 At this place of business
 2 On delivery
 3 Enroute to bank
 4 Other - Specify _____

4. Were you, the owner, or any employee present while this incident was occurring?
 1 Yes
 2 No - SKIP to 10
 3 Don't know

5a. Did the person holding you up have a weapon or something that was used as a weapon, such as a bottle or wrench?
 1 Yes
 2 No
 3 Don't know } SKIP to 6a

b. What was the weapon?
 1 Gun
 2 Knife
 3 Other - Specify _____

6a. How many persons were involved in committing the crime?
 1 One - Continue with 6b below
 2 Two
 3 Three } SKIP to 6c
 4 Four or more
 5 Don't know - SKIP to 7a

b. How old would you say the person was?
 1 Under 12 4 18-20
 2 12-14 5 21 or over
 3 15-17 6 Don't know

c. Was the person male or female?
 1 Male
 2 Female
 3 Don't know

d. Was he (she) -
 1 White?
 2 Black?
 3 Other? - Specify _____ } SKIP to 7a
 4 Don't know

e. How old would you say the youngest person was?
 1 Under 12 4 18-20
 2 12-14 5 21 or over - SKIP to 6g
 3 15-17 6 Don't know

f. How old would you say the oldest person was?
 1 Under 12 4 18-20
 2 12-14 5 21 or over
 3 15-17 6 Don't know

g. Were they male or female?
 1 All male 3 Male and female
 2 All female 4 Don't know

h. Were they -
 1 Only white?
 2 Only black?
 3 Only other? - Specify _____
 4 Some combination? - Specify _____
 5 Don't know

Page 7

INCIDENT REPORT - Continued	
<p>15a. Was anything damaged but not taken in this incident? For example, a lock or window broken, damaged merchandise, etc.</p> <p>1 <input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> No - SKIP to 16a</p>	<p>18a. Did you, the owner, or any employee here lose any time from work because of this incident?</p> <p>1 <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - How many people? <input type="text" value="Number"/></p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> No - SKIP to 19a</p>
<p>b. Was (were) the damaged item(s) repaired or replaced?</p> <p>1 <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - SKIP to 15d</p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>b. How many work days were lost altogether?</p> <p>1 <input type="checkbox"/> Less than 1 day</p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> 1-5 days</p> <p>3 <input type="checkbox"/> 6-10 days</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> Over 10 days - How many? <input type="text" value="Days"/></p> <p>5 <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know</p>
<p>c. How much would it cost to repair or replace the damages? (Estimate)</p> <p>\$ <input type="text" value=""/></p> <p>x <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know } SKIP to 15a</p>	<p>19a. Were any security measures taken after this incident to protect the establishment from future incidents?</p> <p>1 <input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> No - SKIP to 20a</p>
<p>d. How much did it cost to repair or replace the damages?</p> <p>\$ <input type="text" value=""/></p> <p>v <input type="checkbox"/> No cost - SKIP to 16a</p> <p>x <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know /</p>	<p>b. What measures were taken? (Mark (X) all that apply)</p> <p>1 <input type="checkbox"/> Alarm system - outside ringing</p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> Central alarm</p> <p>3 <input type="checkbox"/> Reinforcing devices, grates, gates, bars on window, etc.</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> Guard, watchman</p> <p>5 <input type="checkbox"/> Watch dog</p> <p>6 <input type="checkbox"/> Firearms</p> <p>7 <input type="checkbox"/> Cameras</p> <p>8 <input type="checkbox"/> Mirrors</p> <p>9 <input type="checkbox"/> Locks</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify <input type="text" value=""/></p>
<p>e. Who paid or will pay for the repairs or replacement? (Mark (X) all that apply)</p> <p>1 <input type="checkbox"/> This business</p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance</p> <p>3 <input type="checkbox"/> Owner of Building (landlord)</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify <input type="text" value=""/></p> <p>5 <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know</p>	<p>20a. Was this incident reported to the police?</p> <p>1 <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - SKIP to 21</p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>16a. Did the offender(s) take any money? (Exclude money belonging to customers or store personnel)</p> <p>1 <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - What was the total value? \$ <input type="text" value=""/></p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>b. What was the reason this incident was not reported to the police? (Mark (X) all that apply)</p> <p>1 <input type="checkbox"/> Police already knew of the incident</p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> Nothing could be done - lack of proof</p> <p>3 <input type="checkbox"/> Did not think it important enough</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> Did not want to bother police</p> <p>5 <input type="checkbox"/> Did not want to take the time</p> <p>6 <input type="checkbox"/> Did not want to get involved</p> <p>7 <input type="checkbox"/> Afraid of reprisal</p> <p>8 <input type="checkbox"/> Reported to someone else</p> <p>9 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify <input type="text" value=""/></p>
<p>b. Did the offender(s) take any merchandise, equipment or supplies? (Exclude personal property belonging to customers or store personnel.)</p> <p>1 <input type="checkbox"/> Yes - What was the total value? \$ <input type="text" value=""/></p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> No - SKIP to 17a if answer to 16a is yes; otherwise SKIP to 18a</p>	<p>21. INTERVIEWER CHECK ITEM</p> <p>Is this the last Incident Report to be completed?</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes - Return to page 1 and complete items 1g(2), 8, 9, and end interview.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No - Fill the next Incident Report.</p>
<p>c. How was the value determined?</p> <p>1 <input type="checkbox"/> Original cost</p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement cost</p> <p>3 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify <input type="text" value=""/></p>	<p>17a. How much, if any, of the stolen money and/or property was recovered by insurance?</p> <p>\$ <input type="text" value=""/></p> <p>v <input type="checkbox"/> None - Why not?</p> <p>1 <input type="checkbox"/> Didn't report it</p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> Does not have insurance</p> <p>3 <input type="checkbox"/> Not settled yet</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> Policy has a deductible</p> <p>5 <input type="checkbox"/> Money and/or merchandise was recovered</p> <p>x <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know</p>
<p>b. How much, if any, of the stolen money and/or property was recovered by means other than insurance?</p> <p>\$ <input type="text" value=""/></p> <p>v <input type="checkbox"/> None</p> <p>x <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know } SKIP to 18a</p>	<p>17b. By what means was the stolen money and/or property recovered?</p> <p>1 <input type="checkbox"/> Police</p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify <input type="text" value=""/></p>
<p>NOTES</p>	

FORM CVS 101 (7-11-73)

Page 8

APPENDIX II HOUSEHOLD SURVEY Technical information and standard error tables

With respect to crimes against persons and households, survey results contained in this publication are based on data gathered during early 1974 from persons residing within the city limits of Milwaukee, including those living in certain types of group quarters, such as dormitories, rooming houses, and religious group dwellings. Non-residents of the city, including foreign visitors, did not fall within the scope of the survey. Similarly, crewmembers of merchant vessels, Armed Forces personnel living in military barracks, and institutionalized persons, such as correctional facility inmates, were not under consideration. With these exceptions, all persons age 12 and over living in units designated for the sample were eligible to be interviewed.

Each interviewer's first contact with a unit selected for the survey was in person, and, if it was not possible to secure interviews with all eligible members of the household during the initial visit, interviews by telephone were permissible thereafter. The only exemptions to the requirement for personal interview applied to 12- and 13-year-olds, incapacitated persons, and individuals who were absent from the household during the entire field interview period; for these persons, interviewers were required to obtain proxy responses from a knowledgeable adult member of the household. Survey records were processed and weighted, yielding results representative both of the city's population as a whole and of sectors within society. Because they are based on a sample survey rather than a complete enumeration, the results are estimates.

Sample design and size

The basic frame from which the sample was drawn for the National Crime Survey household survey in Milwaukee was the complete housing inventory for the city, as determined by the 1970

Census of Population and Housing. For the purpose of sample selection, the city's housing units were distributed among 105 strata on the basis of various characteristics. Occupied units, which comprised the majority, were grouped into 100 strata defined by a combination of the following characteristics: type of tenure (owned or rented); number of household members (five categories); household income (five categories); and race of head of household (white or nonwhite). Housing units vacant at the time of the Census were assigned to an additional four strata, where they were distributed on the basis of rental or property value. Furthermore, a single stratum incorporated group quarters.

To account for units built after the 1970 Census, a sample was drawn, by means of an independent clerical operation, of permits issued for the construction of residential housing within the city. This enabled the proper representation in the survey of persons occupying housing built after 1970.

A total of 12,069 housing units in Milwaukee was designated for the sample. Of these, 1,119 were visited by interviewers during the survey period but were found to be vacant, demolished, converted to nonresidential use, temporarily occupied by nonresidents, or otherwise ineligible for the survey. At an additional 216 units visited by interviewers it was impossible to conduct interviews because the occupants could not be reached after repeated calls, did not wish to participate in the survey, or were unavailable for other reasons. Thus, interviews were taken with the occupants of 10,734 housing units, and the rate of participation among units qualified for interviewing was 98.0 percent. Participating units were occupied by a total of 23,688 persons age 12 and over, or an average of 2.21 residents of the relevant ages per unit. Interviews were conducted with 23,495 of these persons, resulting in a response rate of 99.2 percent among eligible residents.

Estimation procedure

Data records generated by survey interviews were assigned two sets of final tabulation weights—one for crimes against persons and another for crimes against households. For interviews conducted at housing units selected from the Census housing inventory, the following elements determined the final weights: (1) a basic weight, reflecting the selected unit's probability of being included in the sample; (2) a factor to compensate for the subsampling of units, a situation which arose in instances where the interviewer discovered many more units at the sample address than had been listed in the decennial Census; (3) a within-household noninterview adjustment, applied solely in tabulating crimes against persons, to account for situations where at least one but not all eligible persons in a household were interviewed; (4) a household noninterview adjustment to account for households qualified to participate in the survey but from which an interview was not obtained; and (5) a household ratio estimate factor for bringing estimates developed from the sample of 1970 housing units into adjustment with the complete Census count of such units.

The household ratio estimation procedure was a key step, for it achieved a reduction in the extent of sampling variability, thereby reducing the margin of error in the tabulated survey results. It also compensated for the exclusion from each stratum of any households that already were included in samples for certain other Census Bureau programs. The procedure was not applied to interview records gathered from residents of group quarters or of units constructed after the Census.

In producing estimates of personal incidents (as opposed to those of personal victimizations), a further weighting adjustment was required in those cases where the basic unit of tabulation was an incident involving more than one person, thereby allowing for the probability that such incidents had more than one chance of coming into the sample. Thus, if two persons were victimized during the same incident, the weight assigned to the record for that incident (and associated characteristics) was reduced by one-half in order not to introduce double counts in the tabulated data. When a

personal crime was reported in the household survey as having occurred simultaneously with a commercial burglary or robbery, it was assumed that the incident was represented in the commercial survey, and, therefore, it was not counted as an incident of personal crime. However, the details of the outcome of the event as they related to the victimized individual would be reflected in the household survey results.

For household crimes, the final weight consisted of all steps described above except the third. In the household sector, victimizations and incidents are synonymous, since each distinctly separate criminal act was defined as having been experienced by a single household. Thus, the concept of multi-household incidents was inapplicable, and an adjustment comparable to that made in the personal sector to account for multiperson incidents was unnecessary.

In performing the estimation procedure that yielded the results appearing in this publication, there was no adjustment for bringing the survey-derived estimates into accord with any independent, post-Census estimates of the city population. Subsequent to the initial processing of survey results, however, estimates were calculated of the size of the relevant population. These estimates indicate that an undercoverage amounting to about 1.6 percent of the relevant population occurred in the 1974 survey of Milwaukee households. As a result, population figures that serve as bases for rates of victimization for crimes against persons understated the size of the population, and victimization and incident counts for crimes against persons also were too low. In order to bring estimates in this report into accord with this post-Census estimate, population control figures and levels of victimizations and incidents for crimes against persons should be increased (multiplied) by a ratio estimate factor of 1.015933. However, all relative figures—namely personal victimization rates and other data on personal crimes expressed in percentages—appearing on the data tables remain unaffected by the application of an independent population estimate, as the adjustment factor is applicable to both the numerators and denominators used in computing such figures. Furthermore, the adjustment is not applicable to data on household crimes.

Reliability of estimates

As previously noted, statistical data contained in this report are estimates. Despite the precautions taken to minimize sampling variability, the estimates are subject to errors arising from the fact that the sample employed in conducting the survey was only one of a large number of possible samples of equal size that could have been used applying the same sample design and selection procedures. Estimates derived from different samples may vary somewhat; they also may differ from figures obtainable if a complete census had been taken using the same schedules, instructions, and interviewers.

The standard error of a survey estimate is a measure of the variation among estimates from all possible samples and is, therefore, a gauge of the precision with which the estimate from a particular sample approximates the average result of all possible samples. The estimate and its associated standard error may be used to construct a confidence interval, that is, an interval having a prescribed probability that it would include the average result of all possible samples. The average value of all possible samples may or may not be contained in any particular computed interval. The chances are about 68 out of 100 that the survey estimate would differ from the average result of all possible samples by less than one standard error. Similarly, the chances are about 90 out of 100 that the difference would be less than 1.6 times the standard error; about 95 out of 100 that the difference would be 2.0 times the standard error; and 99 out of 100 chances that it would be less than 2.5 times the standard error. The 68 percent confidence interval is defined as the range of values given by the estimate minus the standard error and the estimate plus the standard error; the chances are 68 in 100 that a figure from a complete census would fall within that range. Likewise, the 95 percent confidence interval is defined as the estimate plus or minus two standard errors. Standard errors applicable to data on crimes against persons and households are presented at the end of this Appendix, preceded by instructions on their use.

In addition to sampling error, the estimates presented in this report are subject to so-called nonsampling error. Major sources of such error are related to the ability of respondents to recall victimi-

zation experiences and associated details that occurred during the 12 months prior to the time of interview. Research on the capacity of victims to recall specific kinds of crime, based on interviewing persons who were victims of offenses drawn from police files, indicates that assault is the least well recalled of the crimes measured by the National Crime Survey program. This may stem in part from the observed tendency of victims not to report crimes committed by offenders known to them, especially if they are relatives. In addition, it is suspected that, among certain societal groups, crimes that contain the elements of assault are a part of everyday life and, thus, are simply forgotten or are not considered worth mentioning to a survey interviewer. Taken together, these recall problems may result in a substantial understatement of the "true" rate of victimization from assault.

Another source of nonsampling error related to the recall capacity of respondents involves telescoping, or bringing within the appropriate 12-month reference period victimizations that occurred earlier—or, in a few instances, those that happened after the close of the period. Unlike the national sample of the National Crime Survey program, the city samples have not incorporated a bounding procedure to minimize this source of nonsampling error, and the magnitude of telescoping has not been determined.

Methodological research undertaken in preparation for the National Crime Survey program indicated that substantially fewer incidents of crime are reported when one household member reports for all persons residing in the household than when each household member is interviewed individually. Therefore, the self-response procedure was adopted as a general rule; allowances for proxy response under the contingencies discussed earlier are the only exceptions to the rule.

Additional nonsampling errors can result from incomplete or erroneous responses, systematic mistakes introduced by interviewers, and improper coding and processing of data. Many of these errors would also occur in a complete census. Quality control measures, such as interviewer observation, with retraining and reinterviewing, as appropriate, as well as edit procedures in the field and at the clerical and computer processing stages, were

utilized to keep such errors at an acceptably low level. As calculated for this survey, the standard errors partially measure only those nonsampling errors arising from random response and interviewer errors; they do not, however, take into account any systematic biases in the data.

Concerning the reliability of data from the household survey, it should be noted that estimates based on about 10 or fewer sample cases have been considered unreliable. Such estimates are qualified in footnotes to the data tables and were not used for purposes of analysis in the report's selected findings. The minimum estimate considered sufficiently reliable to serve as a base for statistics relevant to the personal and household sectors was 200.

As they appear in the report's data tables, all absolute values—including numbers of victimizations and incidents, as well as control figures (bases) shown parenthetically on rate tables—have been rounded to the nearest hundredth. Relative figures (whether rates, percentages, or ratios) were calculated from unrounded figures.

Standard error tables and calculations

For survey estimates relevant to the personal and household sectors, the standard errors displayed on tables at the end of this appendix can be used for gauging sampling variability. These errors are approximations and suggest an order of magnitude of the standard error rather than the precise error associated with any given estimate. Table I contains the standard error approximations applicable to the estimated levels, or numbers, of personal incidents, personal victimizations, and household victimizations. Standard errors pertaining to personal victimization rates are given in Table II, whereas Table III displays the standard error approximations for household victimization rates. For levels and rates not specifically listed on the tables, linear interpolation must be used to approximate the error.

To illustrate the application of standard errors in measuring sampling variability, assume that a data table in this report shows there were 8,000 personal robbery incidents in Milwaukee. Linear interpolation of values in Table I of this appendix yields a standard error of about 438 for the estimated 8,000 incidents. The chances are 68 out of 100 that the estimate would have been a figure differing from a complete census figure by less than 438, i.e., the 68 percent confidence interval associated with that level of incidents would be from 7,562 to 8,438. The chances are 95 out of 100 that the estimate would have differed from a complete census figure by less than twice this standard error (876); i.e., the 95 percent confidence interval then would be from 7,124 to 8,876.

Assume further that, for a Milwaukee population subgroup numbering 60,000, the recorded personal victimization rate was 40 per 1,000 persons age 12 and over. Two-way linear interpolation of data listed in Table II would yield a standard error of about 4.1. Consequently, chances are 68 out of 100 that the estimated rate of 40 would be within 4.1 of a complete census figure; i.e., the 68 percent confidence interval associated with the estimate would be from 35.9 to 44.1. And, the chances are 95 out of 100 that the estimated rate would be within roughly 8.2 of a complete enumeration; i.e., the 95 percent confidence interval would be about 31.8 to 48.2.

In comparing two sample estimates, the standard error of the difference between the two figures is approximately equal to the square root of the sum of the squares of the standard errors of each estimate considered separately. This formula represents the actual standard error quite accurately for the difference between uncorrelated sample estimates. If, however, there is a high positive correlation, the formula will overestimate the true standard error of the difference; and if there is a large negative correlation, the formula will underestimate the true standard error of the difference.

Table I. Standard error approximations for estimated number of personal incidents, personal victimizations, and household victimizations, by size of estimate

(68 chances out of 100)

Size of estimate	Personal		Household incidents
	Incidents	Victimizations	
50	32	36	38
100	45	51	54
250	71	80	85
500	101	113	121
1,000	144	160	171
2,500	232	254	271
5,000	338	360	385
10,000	505	512	549
25,000	915	825	891
50,000	1,529	1,201	1,312
100,000	2,709	1,790	1,994

Table II. Standard error approximations for estimated personal victimization rates

(68 chances out of 100)

Estimated rate per 1,000 persons	Base of rate												
	100	250	500	1,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	25,000	50,000	100,000	250,000	500,000	1,000,000
.5 or 999.5	11.3	7.2	5.1	3.6	2.3	1.6	1.1	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1
.75 or 999.25	13.9	8.8	6.2	4.4	2.8	2.0	1.4	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1
1 or 999	16.0	10.1	7.2	5.1	3.2	2.3	1.6	1.0	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2
2.5 or 997.5	25.3	16.0	11.3	8.0	5.1	3.6	2.5	1.6	1.1	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.3
5 or 995	35.7	22.6	16.0	11.3	7.1	5.0	3.6	2.3	1.6	1.1	0.7	0.5	0.4
7.5 or 992.5	43.7	27.6	19.5	13.8	8.7	6.2	4.4	2.8	2.0	1.4	0.9	0.6	0.4
10 or 990	50.4	31.8	22.5	15.9	10.1	7.1	5.0	3.2	2.3	1.6	1.0	0.7	0.5
25 or 975	79.0	50.0	35.3	25.0	15.8	11.1	7.9	5.0	3.5	2.5	1.6	1.1	0.8
50 or 950	110.3	69.8	49.3	34.9	22.1	15.6	11.0	7.0	4.9	3.5	2.2	1.6	1.1
100 or 900	151.8	96.0	67.9	48.0	30.4	21.5	15.2	9.6	6.8	4.8	3.0	2.1	1.5
250 or 750	219.1	138.6	98.0	69.3	43.8	31.0	21.9	13.9	9.8	6.9	4.4	3.1	2.2
500	253.0	160.0	113.2	80.0	50.6	35.8	25.3	16.0	11.3	8.0	5.1	3.6	2.5

Table III. Standard error approximations for estimated household victimization rates

(68 chances out of 100)

Estimated rate per 1,000 households	Base of rate												
	100	250	500	1,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	25,000	50,000	100,000	250,000	500,000	1,000,000
.5 or 999.5	12.1	7.6	5.4	3.8	2.4	1.7	1.2	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1
.75 or 999.25	14.8	9.3	6.6	4.7	3.0	2.1	1.5	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1
1 or 999	17.0	10.8	7.6	5.4	3.4	2.4	1.7	1.1	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2
2.5 or 997.5	26.9	17.0	12.0	8.5	5.4	3.8	2.7	1.7	1.2	0.9	0.5	0.4	0.3
5 or 995	38.0	24.1	17.0	12.0	7.6	5.4	3.8	2.4	1.7	1.2	0.8	0.5	0.4
7.5 or 992.5	46.5	29.4	20.8	14.7	9.3	6.6	4.7	2.9	2.1	1.5	0.9	0.7	0.5
10 or 990	53.7	33.9	24.0	17.0	10.7	7.6	5.4	3.3	2.4	1.7	1.1	0.8	0.5
25 or 975	84.2	53.3	37.7	26.6	16.8	11.9	8.4	5.3	3.8	2.7	1.7	1.2	0.8
50 or 950	117.5	74.3	52.6	37.2	23.5	16.6	11.8	7.4	5.3	3.7	2.4	1.7	1.2
100 or 900	161.8	102.3	72.4	51.2	32.4	22.9	16.2	10.2	7.2	5.1	3.2	2.3	1.6
250 or 750	233.5	147.7	104.4	73.9	46.7	33.0	23.4	14.8	10.4	7.4	4.7	3.3	2.3
500	269.7	170.6	120.6	85.3	53.9	38.1	27.0	17.1	12.1	8.5	5.4	3.8	2.7

APPENDIX III
COMMERCIAL SURVEY
Technical information
and relative error tables

Commercial victimization surveys conducted in central cities have focused on business establishments, but coverage has extended to other organizations, such as those engaged in religious, political, and cultural activities. Units of Federal, State, and local government operating within the city limits generally have been excluded. In applicable cities, however, government-operated liquor stores and transportation systems were within the scope of the survey, these having been the only exceptions to the general exclusion of government entities. Organizations other than businesses have accounted for a relatively small part of each city sample. Survey data were personally gathered by interviewers from the operators (usually managers or owners) of businesses and other participating organizations. Because they are based on sample surveys rather than complete enumerations, all results are estimates.

Sample design and size

For the purposes of sample selection, Milwaukee was segmented into geographical units known to have contained at least four but not more than six commercial establishments, whether retail, service, or a combination of the two kinds. Establishments of other types were not taken into consideration in designing the sample; nevertheless, visually recognizable establishments of all types and selected nonbusiness organizations located within each segment during the field survey were eligible for inclusion in the sample. Segments already being sampled in connection with the nationwide commercial victimization survey were excluded from the sample.

A total of 1,695 commercial establishments (including other organizations) was considered eligible for inclusion in the sample. Of these, 303 were found to be out of business at the time of the field

interviews, no longer operating at the designated address, or otherwise unqualified to participate. At 14 other establishments it was impossible to conduct interviews because the operator could not be reached, declined to participate in the survey, or was otherwise not available. Therefore, interviews were taken in 1,378 establishments, and the overall rate of response among those qualified to participate was 99.0 percent.

Estimation procedure

Data records produced by the survey interviews were assigned final weights, applied to each usable data record, enabling the tabulation of city-wide estimates of victimization data. The final weight was the product of the following elements: (1) a basic weight, reflecting each selected establishment's probability of being in the sample; (2) an adjustment for noninterviews; and (3) a factor to account for establishments which were in operation during only part of the survey reference period.

The noninterview adjustment was equal to the total number of data records required for each particular kind of business divided by the number of usable records actually collected. The factor to account for establishments that were not in operation during the entire 12-month time frame was applied only to the number of incidents involving such businesses and not the complete inventory of those establishments. This factor was obtained by multiplying the basic weight of each part-year operator by 12 and dividing the resulting product by the number of months the establishment was active during the reference period. Then, the result was multiplied by the ratio of required records divided by the number of usable records, the result being applied to the record of each part-year operator.

Reliability of estimates

As indicated, statistical data presented in this publication concerning the criminal victimization of commercial establishments are estimates that were derived through probability sampling methods rather than from complete enumeration. The sample used was only one of many of equal size that could have been selected within the city, utilizing the same sample design. Although the results obtained from any two samples might differ markedly, the average of a number of different samples would be expected to be in near agreement with the results of a complete enumeration using the same data collection procedures and processing methods. Similarly, the results obtained by averaging data from a number of subsamples of the whole sample would be expected to give an order of magnitude of the variance between any single subsample and the grouping of subsamples. Such a technique, known as the random group method, was used for calculating the coefficients of variation, or relative errors, for estimates generated by the survey. Because the relative errors are the products of calculations involving estimates derived through sampling, each error in turn is subject to sampling variability.

As in the household survey, estimates on crimes against businesses are subject to nonsampling errors, principal among these being the problem of recalling victimizations applicable to the 12 months prior to interview. Because of a number of factors, however, these errors probably were less prevalent in the commercial survey than they were in the household survey. These factors include the greater likelihood of recordkeeping and of reporting to the police by businesses, as well as the concentration of the survey on two of the more serious crimes, burglary and robbery. Unlike the national sample of the commercial victimization surveys, the city samples have not incorporated a bounding procedure to minimize nonsampling errors attributable to telescoping.

In addition to those relating to victim recall ability, nonsampling errors may have arisen from deficient interviewing and from data processing mistakes. However, quality control measures comparable to those used in the household survey were adopted to minimize such errors.

Commercial survey estimates based on about 10 or fewer sample cases have been considered un-

reliable. Such estimates are qualified in footnotes to the data tables. The minimum estimate considered sufficiently reliable to serve as a base for statistics on commercial crimes was 150.

The numbers of commercial victimizations and the control figures (bases) shown parenthetically in Data Table 85 have been rounded to the nearest hundredth. However, all relative figures (whether rates or percentages) were calculated from unrounded figures.

Relative error tables and calculations

In order to measure sampling variability associated with selected results of the commercial survey, relative errors are presented on two tables in this appendix. Generalized standard errors, such as those developed in connection with the household survey, were not calculated. Instead, the tables display actual calculations of relative errors from the sample observations for estimated values pertaining to selected characteristics of business establishments. Table IV applies to the estimated level of victimizations, and Table V relates to victimization rates for each of the measured crimes. Although the relative errors listed on those tables partially gauge the effect of nonsampling error, they do not take into account any biases that may be inherent in the survey results. For estimated values not shown on Tables IV and V, rough approximations of relative errors may be made by utilizing the relative errors for similar figures having bases of comparable size.

When used in conjunction with the survey results, the relative error tables permit the construction of intervals containing the average results of all possible samples with a prescribed level of confidence. Chances are about 68 out of 100 that any given survey result would differ from results that would be obtained from a complete enumeration using the same procedures by less than the relative error displayed in the tables. Doubling the interval increases the confidence level to 95 chances out of 100 that the estimated value would differ from the results of a complete count by less than twice the relative error.

To illustrate the computation and significance of these ranges, assume that one wished to test the extent of sampling variability surrounding the 7,300 commercial burglaries estimated to have

occurred in Milwaukee. Referring to Table IV, it is found that the relative error associated with the unrounded form of that figure (7,345) is 13.3 percent. Multiplying 7,345 by .133 yields 977.¹ Therefore, the 68 percent confidence level for the estimated number of incidents would be 6,368 to 8,322. If similar confidence intervals were constructed for all possible samples of the same size,

¹The calculated figure (977) is the standard error of the estimated 7,345 burglaries (shown as 7,300 on Data Table 85).

about two-thirds of these would contain the results of a complete enumeration using the same methodology. Alternatively, for a single sample, the confidence level would be about 68 out of 100 that the calculated interval would contain the results that would have been generated by a complete enumeration. If the interval were to be doubled, then the chances would be increased to 95 out of 100 that the resulting interval, in this case 5,391 to 9,299, would contain the total that would have been obtained from a complete tally.

Table IV. Relative errors for estimated number of commercial victimizations, by characteristics of establishments and type of crime

(68 chances out of 100)

Type of crime	Estimated number of incidents	Relative error
Burglary	7,345	13.3%
Completed burglary	4,529	11.3%
Attempted burglary	2,816	19.9%
Robbery	1,123	16.2%
Completed robbery	821	15.9%
Attempted robbery	302	30.7%

Table V. Relative errors for estimated commercial victimization rates, by characteristics of establishments and type of crime

(68 chances out of 100)

Characteristic	Burglary		Robbery	
	Estimated rate per 1,000 establishments	Relative error	Estimated rate per 1,000 establishments	Relative error
Kind of establishment				
All establishments	321	12.9%	49	16.0%
Retail	538	11.1%	100	14.6%
Wholesale	138	23.7%	129	39.8%
Service	240	20.6%	24	28.0%
Gross annual receipts				
Less than \$10,000	482	17.8%	16	50.0%
\$10,000-\$24,999	302	23.2%	81	26.9%
\$25,000-\$49,999	297	20.5%	151	48.7%
\$50,000-\$99,999	308	28.7%	11	73.1%
\$100,000-\$499,999	343	24.3%	101	27.0%
\$500,000-\$999,999	197	54.5%	150	40.6%
\$1,000,000 or more	279	54.4%	127	48.3%
No sales	314	41.7%	0	0.0%
Not available	242	34.8%	129	60.4%

¹Estimate, based on about 10 or fewer sample cases, is statistically unreliable.

APPENDIX IV TECHNICAL NOTES

Information provided in this appendix is designed to aid in understanding the report's selected findings and, more broadly, to assist data users in interpreting statistics in the data tables. The notes address general concepts as well as potential problem areas, but do not purport to cover all data elements or problems. The Glossary of terms should be consulted for definitions of crime categories, variables, and other terms used in the data tables and selected findings.

General

Throughout this report, victimizations are the basic units of measure. A victimization is a specific criminal act as it affects a single victim, whether a person, household, or place of business. For crimes against persons, however, some survey results are presented on the basis of incidents, not victimizations. An incident is a specific criminal act involving one or more victims and one or more offenders. For many specific categories of personal crime, victimizations outnumber incidents, a difference that stems from two contingencies: (1) some crimes were simultaneously committed against more than one person, and (2) certain personal crimes may have occurred during the course of a commercial burglary or robbery. Thus, for each personal victimization reported to survey interviewers, it was determined whether others were victimized at the same time and place and whether the offense happened during a commercial crime. A weighting adjustment in the estimation procedure (see Appendix II) protected against the double counting of incidents. If, for example, two customers were assaulted during the course of a store holdup, the event would have been classified as a single commercial robbery, *not* as an incident of personal assault. With respect to crimes against households and businesses, there is no distinction between victimizations and incidents, as each criminal act against targets of

either type were assumed to have involved a single victim, the affected household or business. In fact, the terms "victimization" and "incident" can be used interchangeably in analyzing data on household and commercial crimes.

As indicated with respect to personal crimes, victimization data are more appropriate than incident data for the study of the *effects*, or consequences, of crime experiences upon the individual victim. They also are better suited for assessing victim *reactions* to criminal attack and for examining victim *perceptions* of offender attributes. Thus, in addition to serving as a key element in computing victimization rates, victimization counts are used for developing information on victim injury and medical care, economic losses, time lost from work, victim self-protection, offender characteristics, and reporting to police. On the other hand, incident data are more adequate for the examination of the *circumstances* surrounding the occurrence of personal crimes. Accordingly, data concerning the time and place of occurrence of such offenses, as well as the use of weapons and number of victims and offenders, are based on incidents. In the hypothetical case given above, therefore, the rate data for personal assault would reflect the attack on each customer, and other victimization tables would incorporate details concerning the outcome of the crime for each person, such as any injuries, damage to clothing, and loss of time from work.

For data tables on crimes against persons, the table titles stipulate whether victimizations or incidents are the relevant units of measure.

Victim characteristics

A variety of attributes of victimized persons, households, and commercial establishments appear on victimization rate tables. The rates, or measures of the occurrence of crime, are computed by dividing the number of victimizations associated with a speci-

fic crime, or grouping of crimes, by the number of persons, households, or businesses under consideration. For crimes against persons, the rates are based on the total number of individuals age 12 and over, or on a portion of that population sharing a particular characteristic or set of traits. Household crimes are regarded as being directed against the household as a unit rather than against the individual members; in calculating a rate, therefore, the denominator of the fraction consists of the number of households in question. Similarly, the rates for each of the two crimes against commercial establishments are related to the number of businesses being examined.

As indicated previously, victimizations of households and businesses, unlike those of persons, cannot involve more than one victim during a specific criminal act. However, repeated victimizations of individuals, households, and commercial establishments can and do occur. As general indicators of the danger of having been victimized during the reference period, the rates are not sufficiently refined to represent true measures of risk for specific individuals, households, and business places. In other words, they do not reflect variations in the degree of risk of repeated, or multiple, victimizations; and, because of the manner in which they are calculated, the rates in effect apportion multiple victimizations among the population at large, thereby distorting somewhat the risk that any single person, household, or business had of being victimized.

Reporting to the police

The police may have learned about criminal victimizations directly from the victim or from someone else, such as another household member or a bystander, or because they were on (or happened upon) the scene at the time of the crime. In the data tables, however, the means by which police learned of the crime are not distinguished, the overall proportion made known to them being of primary concern.

Interviewers recorded all reasons cited by respondents for not reporting crimes to the police. Data tables on this topic distribute all reasons for each non-report, and no determination has been made of the primary reason, if any, for not reporting the crime.

Time and place of occurrence

For each of the measured crimes against persons, households, and businesses, data on when the offenses occurred were obtained for three broad time intervals: the daytime hours (6 a.m. to 6 p.m.); the first half of nighttime (6 p.m. to midnight); and the second half of nighttime (midnight to 6 a.m.).

Regarding data from the household survey, tables on place of occurrence distinguish six kinds of sites, two of which cover the respondent's home and its immediate vicinity. For certain offenses not involving contact between victim and offender, the classification of crimes is determined on the basis of their place of occurrence. Thus, by definition, most household burglaries happen at principal residences, with a small percentage at second homes or at places occupied temporarily, such as hotels and motels. Personal larceny without contact and household larceny are differentiated from one another solely on the basis of where the crimes occur. Whereas the latter transpire only in the home and its immediate environs, the former can take place at any other location. In order to have been classified as a household larceny within the victim's own home, the offense had to have been committed by a person (or persons) admitted to the residence, or by someone having customary access to it, such as a deliveryman, servant, acquaintance, or relative. Otherwise, the crime would have been classified as a household burglary, or as a personal robbery if force or its threat were used. Commercial burglaries can take place only on the premises of business firms; however, commercial robberies can occur away from the premises, or even outside the city limits, such as during the holdup of sales or delivery personnel away from the establishment.

For personal and household crimes, and in addition to information on the sites of occurrence, data are presented on the "geographical area" of occurrence. The tables distinguish between offenses that happened within the city of residence; inside another central city; and elsewhere (suburbs and nonmetropolitan places). Entries under the last two categories reflect two circumstances: (1) crimes that took place when the victims were temporarily away from their residence, such as vacationing, visiting or shopping in the suburbs, or while away on business;

and (2) crimes that took place within the reference period but at a time when the victim lived at a place other than the city being surveyed.

Number of victims and offenders

As noted previously, the number of individuals victimized in each personal crime is a key element for computing rates of victimization and other data on the impact of crime. However, the data table specifically concerning the number of individual victims per crime is based on incidents.

Two tables, also based on incidents, display data on the number of offenders involved in personal crimes of violence. In the sequence of survey questions on characteristics of offenders, the lead question concerned the number of offenders. If the victim did not know how many offenders took part in the incident, no further questions were asked about offender characteristics, and the crime was classified as having involved strangers. The terms "stranger" and "nonstranger" are defined in the Glossary.

Perceived characteristics of offenders

Some of the tables on this subject display data on the offenders only and others cover both victims and offenders. The characteristics examined are age and race. As with most information developed from this survey, offender attributes are based solely on the victim's perceptions and ability to recall the crime. Because the events often were stressful experiences, resulting in confusion or physical harm to the victim, it was likely that data concerning offender characteristics were more subject than other survey findings to distortion arising from erroneous responses. Many of the crimes probably occurred under somewhat vague circumstances, especially those at night. Furthermore, it is possible that victim preconceptions, or prejudices, at times may have influenced the attribution of offender characteristics. If victims tended to misidentify a particular trait (or a set of them) more than others, bias would have been introduced into the findings, and no method has been developed for determining the existence and effect of such bias.

In the relevant data tables, a distinction is made between "single-offender" and "multiple-offender" crimes, with the latter classification applying to those committed by two or more persons. As applied to multiple-offender crimes, the category "mixed ages" refers to cases in which the offenders in any single incident were classifiable under more than one age group; similarly, the term "mixed races" applies to situations in which the offenders were members of more than a single racial group.

Weapons use by offenders

For personal crimes of violence and commercial robbery, information was gathered on whether or not the victims observed that the offenders were armed, and, if so, the types of weapons concerned. For purposes of tabulation and analysis, the mere presence of a weapon constituted "use." In other words, the term "weapons use" applies both to situations in which weapons served for purposes of intimidation, or threat, and to those in which they actually were employed as instruments of physical attack.

In addition to firearms and knives, the data tables distinguish "other" weapons and those of unknown types. The category "other" refers to such objects as clubs, stones, bricks, and bottles. A difference exists, however, in the manner in which the types of weapons were classified in the personal and commercial sectors. For each personal crime of violence by an armed offender, the type, or types, of weapons present were recorded, not the number of weapons. For instance, if offenders wielded two firearms and a knife during a personal robbery, the crime would have been classified as one in which weapons of each type were used. With respect to each robbery of a business in which weapons of more than one type were observed, only the most lethal type was recorded. Thus, for example, if offenders used two firearms and a knife in robbing a store, the crime would have been classified as one in which firearms were used; a single entry would have been made under the category "firearms."

Victim self-protection

With reference to personal crimes of violence, information was obtained on whether or not victims

tried to avoid or thwart attack, and, if so, the measures they took. The following reactions, ranging from nonviolent to forcible, were considered self-protection measures: reasoning with the offender; fleeing from the offender; screaming or yelling for help; hitting, kicking, or scratching the offender; and using or brandishing a weapon. The pertinent tables distribute all measures, if any, employed by victims in each crime, no determination having been made of the single most important measure.

Victim injury and economic loss

Information was gathered concerning the injuries sustained by the victims of each of the three personal crimes of violence. However, during the preparation of this report, the requisite data were not available for calculating the proportion of rape victimizations in which victims were injured. Therefore, information on the percent of crimes in which victims were harmed is confined to personal robbery and assault. For each of these crimes, the types of injuries concerned are described in the Glossary, under "Physical injury."

Victims who had been injured furnished data on hospitalization and on medical expenses. With regard to medical expenses, the data tables are based solely on information from victims who knew with certainty that such expenses were incurred and also knew, or were able to estimate, their amount. By excluding victims unaware of such outlays, and of their amount, the utility of the data is somewhat restricted. Although data were unavailable on the proportion of rapes attended by victim injury, information relating to hospitalization and medical

costs were available on that crime; these results are reflected in the appropriate data tables.

With respect to economic losses incurred by persons, households, and commercial establishments, the data tables make distinctions between crimes resulting in "theft and/or damage loss" and "theft loss" only. Table titles specify the applicable category of loss. The term "theft loss" refers to stolen cash, property, or both, whereas "damage" pertains to property only. Items categorized as having "no monetary value" could include losses of trivial, truly valueless objects, or of ones having considerable sentimental importance. References to losses "recovered" apply to compensation received by victims for theft losses, as well as to restoration of stolen property or cash, although no distinction is made as to the manner of recovery. For assault, information on economic losses relates solely to property damage, because assaults attended by theft are classified as robbery. Similarly, there was no attempt to measure attempted pocket picking; by definition, therefore, all pocket pickings had the outcome of theft loss, and there may have been some cases with property damage.

For all crimes reported to interviewers, the surveys determined whether persons lost time from work after the experience, and, if so, the length of time involved. With respect to crimes against persons and households, the survey did not record the identity of the household member (or members) who lost work time, although it may be assumed that, for most personal offenses, it probably was the victim who sustained the loss. For commercial burglary and robbery, data on loss of time from work was applicable to owners, operators, and employees of the entities concerned.

GLOSSARY

- Age**—The appropriate age category is determined by each respondent's age as of the last day of the month preceding the interview.
- Aggravated assault**—Attack with a weapon resulting in any injury and attack without a weapon resulting either in serious injury (e.g., broken bones, loss of teeth, internal injuries, loss of consciousness) or in undetermined injury requiring 2 or more days of hospitalization. Also includes attempted assault with a weapon.
- Annual family income**—Includes the income of the household head and all other related persons residing in the same housing unit. Covers the 12 months preceding the interview and includes wages, salaries, net income from business or farm, pensions, interest, dividends, rent, and any other form of monetary income. The income of persons unrelated to the head of household is excluded.
- Assault**—An unlawful physical attack, whether aggravated or simple, upon a person. Includes attempted assaults with or without a weapon. Excludes rape and attempted rape, as well as attacks involving theft or attempted theft, which are classified as robbery.
- Attempted forcible entry**—A form of burglary in which force is used in an attempt to gain entry.
- Burglary**—Unlawful or forcible entry of a residence or business, usually, but not necessarily, attended by theft. Includes attempted forcible entry.
- Central city**—The largest city (or "twin cities") of a standard metropolitan statistical area (SMSA), defined below.
- Commercial crimes**—Burglary or robbery of business establishments and certain other organizations, such as those engaged in religious, political, or cultural activities. Includes both completed and attempted acts. Additional details concerning entities covered by the commercial survey appear in the introduction to Appendix III.
- Forcible entry**—A form of burglary in which force is used to gain entry (e.g., by breaking a window or slashing a screen).
- Head of household**—For classification purposes, only one individual per household can be the head person. In husband-wife households, the husband arbitrarily is considered to be the head. In other households, the head person is the individual so regarded by its members; generally, that person is the chief breadwinner.
- Household**—Consists of the occupants of separate living quarters meeting either of the following criteria: (1) Persons, whether present or temporarily absent, whose usual place of residence is the housing unit in question, or (2) Persons staying in the housing unit who have no usual place of residence elsewhere.
- Household crimes**—Burglary or larceny of a residence, or motor vehicle theft. Includes both completed and attempted acts.
- Household larceny**—Theft or attempted theft of property or cash from a residence or its immediate vicinity. Forcible entry, attempted forcible entry, or unlawful entry is not involved.
- Incident**—A specific criminal act involving one or more victims and offenders. In situations where a personal crime occurred during the course of a commercial burglary or robbery, it was assumed that the commercial victimization survey accounted for the incident and, therefore, it was not counted as an incident of personal crime. However, details of the outcome of the event as they related to the victimized individual would be reflected in data on personal victimizations.
- Kind of establishment**—Determined by the sole or principal activity at each place of business.
- Larceny**—Theft or attempted theft of property or cash without force. A basic distinction is made between personal larceny and household larceny.
- Marital status**—Each household member is assigned to one of the following categories: (1) Married, which includes persons joined in common-law unions and those parted temporarily for reasons other than marital discord (employment, military service, etc.); (2) Separated and divorced. Separated includes married persons who have a

legal separation or have parted because of marital discord; (3) Widowed; and (4) Never married, which includes those whose only marriage has been annulled and those living together (excluding common-law unions).

Motor vehicle—Includes automobiles, trucks, motorcycles, and any other motorized vehicles legally allowed on public roads and highways.

Motor vehicle theft—Stealing or unauthorized taking of a motor vehicle, including attempts at such acts.

Nonstranger—With respect to crimes entailing direct contact between victim and offender, victimizations (or incidents) are classified as having involved nonstrangers if victim and offender are related, well known to, or casually acquainted with one another. In crimes involving a mix of stranger and nonstranger offenders, the events are classified under nonstranger. The distinction between stranger and nonstranger crimes is not made for personal larceny without contact, an offense in which victims rarely see the offender.

Offender—The perpetrator of a crime; the term generally is applied in relation to crimes entailing contact between victim and offender.

Offense—A crime; with respect to personal crimes, the two terms can be used interchangeably irrespective of whether the applicable unit of measure is a victimization or an incident.

Personal crimes—Rape, robbery of persons, assault, personal larceny with contact, or personal larceny without contact. Includes both completed and attempted acts.

Personal crimes of theft—Theft or attempted theft of property or cash, either with contact (but without force or threat of force) or without direct contact between victim and offender. Equivalent to personal larceny.

Personal crimes of violence—Rape, robbery of persons, or assault. Includes both completed and attempted acts.

Personal larceny—Equivalent to personal crimes of theft. A distinction is made between personal larceny with contact and personal larceny without contact.

Personal larceny with contact—Theft of purse, wallet, or cash, by stealth directly from the person of the victim, but without force or the threat of force. Also includes attempted purse snatching.

Personal larceny without contact—Theft or attempted theft, without direct contact between victim and offender, of property or cash from any place other than the victim's home or its immediate vicinity. In rare cases, the victim sees the offender during the commission of the act.

Physical injury—The term is applicable to each of the three personal crimes of violence, although data on the proportion of rapes resulting in victim injury were not available during the preparation of this report. For personal robbery and attempted robbery with injury, a distinction is made between injuries from "serious assault" and "minor assault." Examples of injuries from serious assault include broken bones, loss of teeth, internal injuries, and loss of consciousness, or undetermined injuries requiring 2 or more days of hospitalization; injuries from minor assault include bruises, black eyes, cuts, scratches, and swelling, or undetermined injuries requiring less than 2 days of hospitalization. For assaults resulting in victim injury, the degree of harm governs classification of the event. The same elements of injury applicable to robbery with injury from serious assault also pertain to aggravated assault with injury; similarly, the same types of injuries for robbery with injury from minor assault are relevant to simple assault with injury.

Simple assault—Attack without a weapon resulting either in minor injury (e.g., bruises, black eyes, cuts, scratches, swelling) or in undetermined injury requiring less than 2 days of hospitalization. Also includes attempted assault without a weapon.

Standard metropolitan statistical area (SMSA)—Except in the New England States, a standard metropolitan statistical area is a county or group of contiguous counties that contains at least one city of 50,000 inhabitants or more, or "twin cities" with a combined population of at least 50,000. In addition to the county, or counties, containing such a city or cities, contiguous counties are included in an SMSA if, according to certain criteria, they are socially and economically integrated with the central city. In the New England States, SMSA's consist of towns and cities instead of counties. Each SMSA must include at least one central city, and the complete title of an SMSA identifies the central city or cities.

fleeing from the offender; and/or using or brandishing a weapon.

Victimization—A specific criminal act as it affects a single victim, whether a person, household, or commercial establishment. In criminal acts against persons, the number of victimizations is determined by the number of victims of such acts; ordinarily, the number of victimizations is somewhat higher than the number of incidents because more than one individual is victimized during certain incidents, as well as because personal victimizations that occurred in conjunction with either commercial burglary or robbery are not counted as incidents of personal crime. Each criminal act against a household or commercial establishment is assumed to involve a single victim, the affected household or establishment.

Victimization rate—For crimes against persons, the victimization rate, a measure of occurrence among population groups at risk, is computed on the basis of the number of victimizations per 1,000 resident population age 12 and over. For crimes against households, victimization rates are calculated on the basis of the number of incidents per 1,000 households. And, for crimes against commercial establishments, victimization rates are derived from the number of incidents per 1,000 establishments.

Victimize—To perpetrate a crime against a person, household, or commercial establishment.

Stranger—With respect to crimes entailing direct contact between victim and offender, victimizations (or incidents) are classified as involving strangers if the victim so stated, or did not see or recognize the offender, or knew the offender only by sight. In crimes involving a mix of stranger and nonstranger offenders, the events are classified under nonstranger. The distinction between stranger and nonstranger crimes is not made for personal larceny without contact, an offense in which victims rarely see the offender.

Tenure—Two forms of household tenancy are distinguished: (1) Owned, which includes dwellings being bought through mortgage, and (2) Rented, which also includes rent-free quarters belonging to a party other than the occupant and situations where rental payments are in kind or in services.

Unlawful entry—A form of burglary committed by someone having no legal right to be on the premises even though force is not used.

Victim—The recipient of a criminal act; usually used in relation to personal crimes, but also applicable to households and commercial establishments.

Victim self-protection measures—For each victimization involving a personal crime of violence, victim reactions of the following types are construed to be self-protection measures: hitting, kicking, or scratching the offender; reasoning with the offender; screaming or yelling for help;

END