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## FOREWORD

The principal investigators in this study were Sorrel Wildhorn (study director) and James S. Kakalik. Members of the Lós Angeles law firm of Munger, Tolles, Hills, and Rickershauser conducted the analysis of the legal issues and contributed significantly to the suggested policy and statutory guidelines.

Inquiries concerning this report should be directed to Sorrel Wildhorn at The Rand Corporation

## PREFACE

This report is one of a series of five describing a 16 -month study performed by The Rand Corporation under Grant NI-70-057 from the National Institute of Law Enforcement and Griminal Justice (NILEC)), Law Enforcement Assistance Administration of the United States Department of Justice.

The broad purposes of the study are essentially twofold. First, we seek to describe the nature and extent of the private police industry* in the United States, ts problems, its present regulation, and how the law impinges on it. And second, we have attempted to evaluate the benefits, costs, and risks to society of current private security and, as specifically requested by the NILEGJ, to develop preliminary policy and statutory guidelines for improving its future operations and regulation. The results of the study are intended for use by the private police industry and by the governmental agencies that regulate it, as well as by the general public.
The five reports comprising the study are:
R-869-DOJ Private Police in the United States, Findings and Recommendations

This comprehensive summary report draws on information contained in R-870-DOJ, R-871-DOJ, and R-872 DOJ to develop the overall finding and recommendations of the study.

R-870-DOJ The Private Police Industry: Its Nature and Extent

This descriptive report covers the nature, size, growth, and operation of the industry and its personnel. It also de-

* Throughout this study we have used the term private police to include all privately employed guards, investigators, personnel performing similar functions.
scribes the results of a survey of private security employees
R-871-DOJ Current Regulation of Private Police: Regulatory Agency Experience and Views
Licensing and regulation of the industry in every state and several cities is described. This report also includes extensive data on regulatory agency experience, complaints; disciplinary actions taken, and the views of 42 agencies on needed changes in regulation.


## R-872-DOJ The Law and Private Police

This report discusses the law as it elates to the private police industry. It includes a general discussion of the sources of legal limitations upon private police activities and personnel and sources of legal powers, and an examination of specific legal problems raised by these activities and by the relationships between the users and providers of private security services. The legal doctrines governing particular security activities are evaluated and recommendations for improvement are offered.

R-873-DOJ Special-Purpose Public Police
Descriptive information is presented on certain types of public forces not having general law-enforcement responsibilities. These include reserve police, special-purpose federal forces, special local law-enforcement agencies, and campus police. These data provide a useful context for analyzing the role of private police.
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## II. CURRENT STATE LICENSING AND REGULATION

## OVERVIEW

The licensing and regulation of private security at the state level is characterized by a lack of uniformity and comprehensiveness. Typically, the laws exclude many types of security businesses and personnel operating within the states.

There is wide variation among states in the extent of regulation. The industry is virtually unregulated in 12 states-typically, those states that are neither heavily industrialized nor densely populated. The remaining 38 states exhibit a wide range in quality and extent of regulation, from virtually automatic licensing of private investigative agencies only, to comprehensive regulatory programs covering most types of security agencies, with high licensing standards plus mandatory state screening and registration of employees. Regulation of the industry is undergoing rapid change; several states have enacted new laws recently or are presently considering enacting new ones.

While several current state laws regulating private security are relatively strict, and while nearly every regulatory feature suggested in $\mathrm{R}-869-\mathrm{DOJ}$ (the summary volume of this series) exists in some state law, no single law presently in force has sufficient scope and quality. In short, no state today has a model law, in our view. A model statute would incorporate the more desirable features of several state and local statutes plus a few not now in any existiry law: In our view, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Illinois, Michigan, New York, Ohio, Texas, and Wisconsin have some of the better statutes, in terms of standards and scope. In contrast, some state statutes are silent on nearly all topics except licensing fees. For example, Alabama, Alaska, Louisiana, and Tennessee appear to have no specific regulation beyond the collection of a business or occupational license fee; this fee ranges from $\$ 25$ to a percentage ( 0.25 percent or 0.5 percent) of the gross business receipts of contract guard and investigative agencies.
The data used in this report were compiled from information provided by governmental officials in
each state. The data were collected between December 1970 and August 1971 by correspondence, by an extensive survey questionnaire sent to state regulatory agencies, and in some cases by personal interview. Copies of each state's laws were obtained and capsule summaries for each state were prepared (see Appendix A). In some cases the information displayed is incomplete because the state regulatory agency was not completely cooperative. Administrative interpretations of the statutes and detailed administrative regulations were not obtained in several cases for similar reasons.

## TERMANOLOGY

To aid in understanding the terms used through out this report, the following broad descriptions of categories of personnel and businesses are offered. They are intended to be brief and hence are not precise in a legal sense. Within the various city and state laws related to the private security industry, there is little uniformity and much variation in the terms used and intended to describe various security personnel. We found over 60 different titles used to describe security personnel in those statutes. For example, a security guard in one state might be called a special policeman in a neighboring state. We have substituted our terminology in our summaries of the laws, to enhance understanding. The reader is cautioned to refer directly to the full text of any law that is of particular interest to clarify subtle differences between that law's and our definitions.
Private police, for the purposes of this study, include all privately employed guards, investigators, patrolmen, alarm and armored-car personnel, and any other personnel performing similar functions.

Private investigation includes investigation by a privately employed person for the purpose of obtaining information on
a. Crimes or wrongs done or threatened.
b. The identity, habits, conduct, movements, whereabouts, associations, transactions, credibility reputa-
tion, employment history, criminal record, or char acter of any person, group, or business, for any purpose.
c. The location of lost or stolen property.
d. The causes and responsibility for fires; libel, slander, losses, accidents, or injjuries.
Contract investigative agencies provide private invstigative services for a fee; these agencies include partnerships and corporations, as well as individuals who are self-employed.
In-house investigative forces provide private ,investigative services exclusively in connection with the affairs of the one business that employs them.
Private investigators are generally personnel who conduct private investigations for a contract investiga tive agency. Statute definitions of private investizators include undercover agents employed by contract agencies, but in-house investigative-force employees are usually excluded. Credit investigators and insurance investigators and adjusters are also generally excluded

Credit investigation agencies and investigators are businesses and persons who conduct investigation primarily to furnish information as to the business and financial standing and credit responsibility of persons, firms, or corporations. These agencies and investigators usually also conduct investigations for noncredit purposes.
Guards are persons who protect or attempt to protect persons or property from damage, injury, loss, or any criminal act. Other terms often used to describe such personnel are watchman, security officer, protective agent, merchant guard, and special officer.
Paltrolmen.. perform, the same functions as guards but do so at a number of different physical locations; they usually travel (on foot or in a vehicle) on public property between these locations.

Contract guard or patrol agencies supply privately employed guards or patrolmen for a fee and include partnerships and corporations, as well as individuals who are self-employed.
In-house guard forces provide private guard services exclusively in connection with the affairs of the one business that employs them.
Armored-transport agencies provide armed personnel to convey valuable articles for a fee.

Central station alarm agencies provide alarm serv-
ices to numerous clients, with each alarm communicating to a central monitoring station. The agency may send, a man to he scene of the alarm and/or it may call the public police.
Special police generally are defined in statutes as private security personnel who are commissioned by a public agency and given some degree of law-enforcement authority above that possessed by normal citizens. Some private organizations call their guards special police," but we have not included these guard personnel within the term unless they are commissioned.

Polygraph examiners are persons who use any device that records an examinee's physiological data, such as blood pressure, pulse, and breathing patterns, for the purpose of letecting deception

Private security agency, force, industry, and personneh are comprehensive generic terms that are used in this report to include all types of contract and in-house services such as investigation, guard, patrol, lie detector, alarm, armored transport, and the furnishing of security advice.
Licensing is the requiring of permission from a specified governmental agency before a business or person can lawfully engage in an activity. To obtain a license, minimum qualifications usually must be satisfied. Note that some jurisdictions use the terms permit, commission, or appointment in lieu of the term license.
Registration is the requiring of a business or person to submit certain information to a specified governmental agency within a specified time after beginning to engage in an activity. The governmental agency usually may deny the registration if minimum qualifications are not satisfied. The standards for registration are usually lower than those for licensing. For security personnel, registration typically involves submitting the name and fingerprints of an individual fc a police records check to ascertain if he has any convictions for a felony or for a crime involving moral turpitude. (Several states require a police records check by a state agency for each private security employee but do not call the procedure registration.)

## STATE REGULATORY AGENGIES

Typically, state-level regulation of the private security industry is conducted by only one agency in each state. However, a regulatory agency that is not a police agency may utilize the criminal records and
investigative personnel of the state's law-enforcement agency. The primary responsible regulatory agencies are shown in Table 1.

Table 1
State regulatory agencies

| Agency | Numbei of States |
| :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory Board. . |  |
| Department of Public Safety or State Police. | 10 |
| State Department. . | 7 |
| Revenue Department. | 4 |
| Justice Department. . | 3 |
| Commerce Depa itment. | 1 |
| County Court. | 1 |
| None. | 12 |
| Total. . | 50 |

Of the 12 states with no state regulatory agency, some explicitly delegate regulatory authority to the cities and/or counties, but most do not mention the security industry in any state statutes. The 38 states that do regulate the industry usually allow additional local regulation, but in a few cases it is expressly prohibited.
The tightest regulation is concentrated in states where a unit of the State Police or a special regulatory board is given the responsibility. The weakest regulation, concentrated in states where the Revenue Department has responsibility, consists of little more than collecting a license tax for the privilege of conducting business.

## Types of Business and Personnel Regulated

The categories of business and personnel that are regulated vary widely among states. Twelve states do not regulate at all. Some states, such as Alaska, license only contract investigative agencies. Other states, such as Wisconsin, license contract investigative, guard, and patrol businesses, and license or register all employees of contract investigative, guard, and patrol agencies, but do not regulate polygraph examiners and in-house security forces. In contrast, Florida has a very stringent licensing requirement for individual polygraph examiners but does not register employees of contract investigative, guard, or patrol argencies. One of the weak points in many state laws is the complete omission of major categories of security busio nesses and personnel from regulation. Table 2, which summarizes the categories regulated in each state, reveals some of the gaps in regulation. Note that a
total of 34 states regulate private investigative businesses; 26 regulate guard or patrol businesses; 17 license or register private contract investigative employets; and 12 license or register private contract guards or patrol employees. Twelve states do not regulate any category at the state level, and no state has mandatory regulation of in-house guards or investigators. However, in some cases, local jurisdictions impose regulations which fill some gaps. (A sampling of, the stronger local regulations is given in Chap. ter III.)
Businesses that are less numerous than guard and investigative agencies tend to be less regulated, even though they perform significant security functions and are susceptible to many of the same problems as are the guard and investigative segments of the industry. To our knowledge, only 4 states explicitly regulate the central station alarm companies,* 6 states explicitly regulate armored-transport companies,* 11 states license polygraph examiners, ${ }^{* *} 4$ states license repossessors, and only 1 state licenses insurance investigators. Several states regulate the special police, who are given some law-enforcement powers not granted to ordinary citizens.

Many categories of private investigators and guards are explicitly excluded from licensing requirements for reasons which are not clear to us. Even though they perform the same types of investigative activities as contract investigators, both insurance and credit investigators are explicitly exempted from licensing in 22 states. Most of the remaining states that license contract investigators implicitly exclude most insurance* and credit investigators (who work for a single employer and are not "for hire") by licensing only contract investigators and excluding in-house investigators. Similarly, in-house guards are not regulated at the state level, even though they perform exactly the same jobs as contract guards, in many cases. Note that both contract and in-house personnel may have to deal with the public. Thus, current state regulation seems to be aimed not at specific types of security activities or at personnel that make contact with the

* These services may be included in guard or patrol categories in some additional states.
** An additional 12 states limit or prohibit certain uses of the polygraph. For more details on polygraph legislation than we present in this report, refer to C. Romig, "The
Status of Polygraph Legislation of the Fifty States," Parts I II, and III, appearing in the September, October, and November 1971 issues of Police. Romig also makes recommendations for changes in legislation.
* Insurance laws in some states may provide minimum regulation of insurance company personnel, including in-
vestigators.

Table 2
types of regulation at the state level

|  | Licensing of contract security businesses |  |  | Licensing or registration of employees of contract security businesses |  |  | No <br> State <br> level regulation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Investigative | Guard | Patrol | Investigative | Guard | Patrol |  | Other |
| Alabama.......... | L |  |  |  |  |  | ....... |  |
| Alaska... | L | ..... | . $\cdot$..... | ....... | ...... | ...... |  |  |
| Arizona.... | ..... | ....... | ....... | . | ....... | ....... | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Arkansas. | L | .... | ...... | L | ........ | ....... | ..... | License polygraph examiners. |
| California. . . | L | L | L | ...... |  |  |  | License certain insurance adjustors, central alarm agencies, armored transport companies, repossessors, and polygraph examiners. |
| Colorado...... ... | L | ...... | ....., | ...... | ...... | .... |  |  |
| Connecticut. | L | L | L | R | R | R | ........ | License special police; license armored transport agencies and register their employecs. |
| Delaware.......... | L | L | L | R | R | R | ....... | License polygraph examiners. |
| Florida............ | L | L | L |  |  |  |  | License polygraph examiners and repossessors. |
| Georgia. | ... | ..... | ..... | ....... |  |  | ....... | License polygraph examiners. |
| Hawaii.. | L | L | L | ..... | ...... | ...... | ....... |  |
| Idaho.......... | ...... | .... |  | $\because$ | ...... | ..... | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Illinois. | L | L | L | R | R | R | ........ | License polygraph examiners. |
| Indiana....... | L | L | L | R | \% | R | ........ |  |
| Iowa. | L | L | L | ........ | ...... | ...... | ....... |  |
| Kansas.. | L |  |  | ..... |  |  |  |  |
| Kentucky. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | License special police and polygraph examiners. |
| Louisiana . | L | L | L | ....... | ....... | ..... | ....... |  |
| Maine...... | L |  |  |  |  |  | ..... |  |
| Maryland......... | L | L | L | R | .... |  |  | License special police. |
| Massachusetts. | L | L | L |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2 (Cont.)

|  | Licensing of contract security businesses |  |  | Licensing or registration of employees of contract security businesses |  |  | No <br> State level regulation | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Investigative | Guard | Patrol | Investigative | Guard | Patrol |  |  |
| Michigan... | L | L | L | R | R | R | ....... | License special police; license central alarm and armored transport agencies and register their employees. |
| Minnesota......... | L | L | L | . |  |  | ....... | License armored transport agencies. |
| Mississippi.... |  | ..... | ... | ..... |  |  | $\ldots$ | License polygraph examiners. |
| Missouri.......... | . . . | ...... | .... | ...... |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Montana. . | ..... | $\ldots$ |  | .... |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Nebraska. | L | L | L | L |  |  |  | License central alarm, armored transport agencies, and repossessors. |
| Nevada. | L | $\ldots$ | L | R |  | R | , | License process servers, repossessors, and polygraph examiners. |
| New Hampshire... | ... | . $\cdot .$. |  |  | ....... | ....... | $\checkmark$ | " |
| New Jersey... | L | L | L | R | R | R | ..... |  |
| New Mexico. . | L | L | L | R | R | R | ....... | License polygraph examiners. |
| New York. | L | $L$ | L | R | R | R | ........ |  |
| North Carolina.... | L | L | L | ....... | $\ldots$ | ...... | ........ | License special police. |
| North Dakota.... | L | L | L | ...... |  |  | ....... | License polygraph examiners. |
| Ohio. . . . . . | L | L | L | R | R | R | ........ |  |
| Oklahoma,. |  | .... | ...... | ..... |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Oregon. |  | ........ |  | ....... |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Pennsylvania....... | L | L | L | R | R | R |  |  |
| Rhode Island.... | ..... | ..... | ..... | ...... | ....... |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| South Carolina... | L |  |  | L |  |  |  |  |
| South Dakota. ..... | ....... |  |  | .... |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Tennessec........ | L | L | L | ....... |  |  |  |  |
| Texas.. | L | L | L | R | ...... |  |  | License central alarm, armored car agencies, and polygraph examiners. |

Table 2 (Cont.)

|  | Licensing of contract security businesses |  |  | Licensing or registation * of employees of contract security bi inesses |  |  | No <br> State level regulation | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Investigative | Guard | Patrol | Investigative | Guard | Patrol |  |  |
| Washington. . |  | ... | ..... |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Vermont.......... | L | .... | .... | ..... | ...... | ..... | ...... |  |
| Virginia....... | ....... | ..... | ...... | ....... | ....... | ....... | ........ | License polygraph examiners. |
| Washington..... |  |  | ..... | ....... | ....... | ...... | $\checkmark$ |  |
| West Virginia... | L | L | L | ..... | ...... |  | ........ |  |
| Wisconsin. . . . . . . | L | L | L | L | L | L | ........ |  |
| Wyoming. . . . . . . |  | ..... | ...... |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| TOTAL.... | 34 | 25 | 26 | 17 | 11 | 12 | 12 |  |

## $\mathrm{L}=$ License

## R=Registration

public but, rather, at the businesses that sell security services.

## Methods of Regulation

Licensing is the method of regulation for private security agencies in all the 38 states that impose any controls. Certain types of employees, usually investigators or polygraph examiners, must be licensed in 14 states; a total of 13 states register certain categories of security employees; the remainder, typically, set standards for employees but play no direct role in the screening of personnel. The registration of employees (submission of employee data to the state for approval) takes a variety of forms. The weakest form of registration requires only that employee fingerprints, without names, be submitted to a state agency for a criminal records check. In New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania, state approval of employees is not required, but it is illegal to employ persons convicted of certain crimes. Those states simply notify both the employer and the State Attorney General's office if such a conviction record is discovered through the check of employees' fingerprints. Other states, such as Indiana and Michigan, go a step further and require specific approval by the State Police for continued employment of the person.

Stronger registration requirements, such as those in Connecticut, Delaware, New Mexico, Ohio, Texas, and Wisconsin, specify that detailed data on each employee be submitted to the state regulatory agency. Specific approval of employees is required in those states for continued employment, although the approval in one case consists only of a letter from the local police. In Ohio the state issues an identification card if the employee is approved, and any employee not yet possessing the card may work for a client only if the client is warned that the employee has not yet completed registration.
The registration rules generally specify that employee data must be submitted to the state within a brief time after date of employment. The time periods range from "immediately" to 10 days. Temporary employees are excluded from registration requirements in 3 states.
Only 4 states have mandatory licensing for any contract guards, investigators, or patrolmen, but 11 states license polygraph examiners. Arkansas licenses contract investigative employees if they have been hired for more than 30 days work. Nebraska licenses plainclothes contract investigative employees. South Carolina licenses contract investigators. Wisconsin
licenses contract guards, investigative employees, and patrolmen; however, guards need not be licensed if they are registered by a local jurisdiction.

Several states have discretionary licensing (appointment) of special policemen who are granted legal authority above that possessed by normal citizens These may be either in-house or contract personne in most cases.

## Licensing Requirements

The administrative requirements for obtaining a license generally follow a similar format in each state An application is completed, an investigation is made concerning the applicant, and if he is found to be o "good character, integrity, competency, and ability," he is required to post a bond and is issued the license.
The details of licensing requirements for contract guard, patrol, and investigative agencies and their employees are summarized below. The requirement for other types of security personnel are quite similar to those for contract guards or investigators. Further details on requirements for other categories, such as polygraph examiners, are given in Appendix. A, which summarizes the statutes for each individual state.* These summaries are based on the statutory require ments, which are often supplemented by administratively established rules and regulations. It should not be concluded that a regulation on a certain topic does not exist simply because statutes are silent on that topic. In many cases specific regulations, which are set administratively by the regulatory agency, were not available to us. Thus, numbers appearing in this summary represent lower bounds of the number of states with a particular regulation; the actual number is probably higher.

Typical statutory provisions for a licensee and his employees include the following:

1. A license period of 1 or 2 years is specified.
2. An average licensing fee of $\$ 150$ is charged, plus $\$ 3$ per employee if registration is required.
3. An average bond of $\$ 6,000$ must be posted.
4. A criminal records check of the licensee must be types of security agencies, and a similar check of each employee is required in half of those states
5. Grounds for denial usually include a conviction for a felony or crime involving moral turpitude, or not being of "good moral character, integrity, competency, reputation, or honesty."
6. Grounds for revocation usually include all of the grounds for demial plus violation of any regulation or "demonstrated unworthiness or incompetency."

* Table 2 serves as a guide to Appendix A

No provisions exist concerning how the regulatory gency is to learn of or handle complaints, bond or insurance claims, or court proceedings against a licensee or his employees.
8. Penalties of up to an average of $\$ 1,100$ and/or 7 months imprisonment may be imposed for violation of regulations or provisions of the licensing act.
9. No provision exists to grant private security offl cers special legal police powers not possessed by ordinary citizens.
10. The minimum age requirement for private security officers is 21 ; there is no maximum age limit.
11. There is a requirement of U.S. citizenship but not of state residency.
12. No minimum level of required education is specified.
13. Prior relevant experience of $21 / 2$ or 3 years duration is required for licensees, but none is required for employees.
14. There is no provision for a written examination, except for polygraph examiners.
15. There is no training requirement, except for polygraph examiners.
16. Approved identification cards must be carried, and guard uniforms and badges must not resemble those of the public police.
17. A handgun permit (additional) is mandatory if such weapons are to be carried.
8. Licensing or registration is required for some, bu not all, types of contract security employees in about half the states that license some contract

The principal difference between current regulation of private investigative forces and that of private guard forces is not in what detailed regulations are established, but rather in whether any regulations are established at all. In states that regulate both guard and investigative forces, the regulations for each are, typically, very similar. However, 34 states license con tract investigative forces, while only 26 license eithe contract guard or contract patrol forces

The principal differences between the regulation of private security firms and that of employees are much more marked than are the differences between the ways in which different types of firms are regulated. First, the number of states that regulate firms is twice as large as the number that regulate employees. Sec ond, private security firms are licensed before begin ning in business, and at least one person in the firm must meet detailed standards, but employees typically need undergo only a criminal records check after they begin work.
License periods between renewals are almost always 1 or 2 years, but some are as long as 5 years. The average is 1.6 years. If employees are registered rather than licensed, they usually are not required by statute to reregister periodically. We did not determine
whether such reregistration is generally required by administrative regulation.

Fees are assessed for licensing in most states. These range from $\$ 10$ to $\$ 500$ for contract investigative, guard, or patrol agencies, but one state charges 0.25 percent or 0.5 percent of gross receipts, depending on the size of the receipts. The average license fees are $\$ 145$ and $\$ 154$ for contract guard and investigative agencies, respectively. Renewal fees are generally slightly lower, as are fees for individuals with no employees. Registration fees for employees range from zero to $\$ 5$; the average fee is $\$ 3$ per employee.

A bond or insurance is required in 26 states. The 24 states that require bonds set amounts ranging from $\$ 500$ to $\$ 400,000$, with the average mandatory onds for contract guard and investigative agencies being $\$ 5,500$ and $\$ 6,800$, respectively. These bonds normally cover the "faithful and proper" performance of the duties for which the agencies are licensed. In some states, such as California, an action on the bond may be brought by any person to recover damages suffered because of "the willful, malicious, or wrongul act of the principal" or his agent. Michigan requires either a $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 10,000$ bond or insurance of $\$ 20,000$ for property damage and $\$ 200,000$ for personal-injury claims. Ohio requires insurance of $\$ 100,000$ for property-damage claims and $\$ 300,000$ or personal-injury claims or a $\$ 400,000$ corporate bond.

Investigation of applicants and screening procedures are not specifically detailed by the statutes. The acts normally do provide that certain background information be provided by the applicant and that an investigation be conducted upon this information. Photographs of license applicants are required by 18 states, and fingerprints are required by 23 states. Twelve states require fingerprints of contract guard employees, and 17 states require them of investigative personnel.

Criminal records checks are required for licensees in at least 27 state statutes, and we assume that most of the remainder of the states conduct such an investigation as a matter of course. However, criminal records checks are probably not conducted in those states that only collect a business privilege tax from the security agencies. Eleven states check the record of each employee of all contract guard and investigative agencies.

Grounds for denial of licenses are varied; in many
states grounds are characterized by phraseology such as "not of gocd moral character, integrity, competency, reputation, ør honesty." The most common statutory grounds for denial are conviction for a felony or some other specified crime. Convictions are sometimes over looked if they occurred more than a specified numbe of years ago-from 5 years (in Wisconsin) to 7 and 20 years (in two other states).
Of course, failure to meet requirements such as bond, age, experience, residence, and training would result in denial of the license. Other grounds are listed in Table 3.

Table 3
STATUTORY GROUNDS FOR DENIAL OF LICENSES

| Grounds | Number of States |
| :---: | :---: |
| Failure to meet standards of age, citizenship, experience, etc. | 31 |
| Not of good character, etc. | 25 |
| Conviction of a felony | 25 |
| Conviction of a crime involving moral turpitude.. | 18 |
| Conviction of specified misdemeanors (usually alcohol, drug, theft, or weapons-related crimes). | 12 |
| Falsified statements on application. | 8 |
| Operating without a license. | 4 |
| Public law-enforcement employee | 3 |
| Possession of other than an honorable military discharge. . | 3 |
| History of mental disorder | 2 |

Only one state statute was silent on grounds fo denial of a license. Most statutes requiring employee registration also specify that employees cannot have been convicted of certain crimes. Three states specify that except for the experience requirements, employ ees must meet the same standards as licensees.
Grounds for revocation of licenses usually inclüde all of the grounds for denial plus the violation, by the licensee or his employees, of any provision of the icensing law or of any regulation administratively established by the regulatory agency. Other grounds specified in the statutes are listed in Table 4. Administrative interpretations and detailed regulations set by the regulatory agencies are not included, so the number of states listed is probably a lower bound on he actual number that would revoke a license for any secified reason.

Table 4

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | Gumber <br> of <br> of |
| States |  |

Complaints against private security personnel are specifically mentioned in three statutes. In Wisconsin, a petition signed by six citizens is sufficient to demand a hearing on a complaint. In New Mexico, both the licensee and the bonding company must immediately report any claims against the bond, and all court proceedings, to the state regulatory agency. Michigan requires that the district attorney report all convictions of persons for violation of any provisions of the licensing act.
Penalties, in addition to the normal criminal and civil sanctions applicable to all citizens, are usually defined. Licenses may be suspended in 10 states and may be revoked in nearly all licensing states. In Florida, the regulatory agency may impose administrative fines of up to $\$ 100$ for infraction of regulations. In 17 states, violation of the provisions of the licensing statute is a misdemeanor. Maximum fines range from $\$ 20$ to $\$ 5,000$, with maximum imprisonments ranging from 2 months to 1 year; the average fine is $\$ 1,100$, and the average imprisonment, 7 months. The stiffest penalty specified provides for up to 5 years imprisonment for falsification of photograph or fingerprints in an application.
The legal authority of private security personnel is usually not mentioned in the licensing statute. We interpret this to mean that the powers granted private
security personnel are no greater than those possessed by other citizens. Six states explicitly specify that private security personnel have no special legal authority or powers. Generally, the "special police" (a small percentage of private security personnel) are excepted, since they are commissioned and are thereby granted certain legal powers of a public policeman. The primary added power given special policemen is the authority to arrest. This added power is usually limited to the specified hours and location where the special policeman is on duty. Special-police commis sioning, unlike licensing, is not mandatory but is a special privilege granted by the state. For example, Michigan does not require the licensing of in-house guards, but in-house guards may apply for a license which, if granted, grants them special-police powers.

Age restrictions for licensees are set by statute at a minimum of 21 years in 16 states, while 9 states specify 25 years as a minimum. Employees are generally required to be at least 21 years old, but 2 states allow 18 -year-olds to perform security work if granted spe cial permission by the regulatory agency. We are aware of no state that has a maximum age restriction. The remaining states do not specify age restrictions by statute, but we suspect that in some of those states such restrictions are set administratively.
U.S. citizenship is explicitly required by statute for licensees in 22 states. We suspect that some of the other states establish this requirement administratively.
State residency requirements are established by 7 states for licensees but not for employees. The length of residency required ranges from zero to 2 years.

Minimum educational levels for contract guard, in vestigative, and patrol agency licensees are typically not specified by statute, although 2 states do require high-school diplomas for licensees and an eighth-grade education for employees. One other state requires literacy for licensees. Two states require high-school graduation and one requires a college degree for polygraph examiners. In some states, college-level education may be submitted for required security experience.

Required minimum prior work experience is specified by statute for licensees in 23 states, but prior experience is not required of employees in any state. From 1 to 10 years of experience are required for licensees for contract guard, patrol, or investigative agencies; the average is 3 years for investigative agencies and 2.6 years for guard and patrol agencies. Typically, only one person in the agency management
need satisfy these experience requirements. Thus, he may be only a "front man" to satisfy the statute.
The amount of experience required for investigators may depend on the nature of that experience. For example, a state may require 2 years experience as an investigator for a public law-enforcement agency, or 3 years experience in a public law-enforcement role above the level of patrolman, or 4 years experience as a private investigative employee. Most frequently, however, the investigative experience requirement can be met by having worked the same specified number of years in any public or private investigative capacity. An exception is the New Jersey statute, which recognizes only public law-enforcement experience as satisfactory.
For a polygraph examiner's license, 7 states specify a mandatory 6 to 12 months internship under a licensed examiner. Five other states require up to 5 years experience as an investigator but will accept extain types of Bacheler's degrees as a substitute for experience.
Written examinations are required for contract guard and patrol agency licensees in 8 states and for contract investigative agency licensees in 11 states, but exams are not required of a licensee's employees. Seven states conduct examinations of prospective polygraph examiners

Training is generally not mentioned in the statutes. There are exceptions, however: California will be instituting a mandatory weapons-training program for armed personnel; Ohio requires 120 hours of training at an approved school for all private security personnel who must be commissioned by local jurisdiction, ${ }^{*}$ and for every armed person employed at an educational institution; Vermont requires private investigative agency licensees to pass either an approved raining program or a comprehensive examination; and 10 states which license polygraph examiners require graduation from an approved training school and/or 6 to 12 months of internship.

Uniforms and identification are regulated by many states, usually with the intent of lessening the chance that citizens will mistake private security personnel for public law-enforcement officers. Special identification cards are required for contract investigators in 20 states, and for contract guards in 18 states. Ten states have statutory requirements concerning the

* Commissioning by cities and counties does not necesarily imply that special-police powers are granted. For details, refer to Appendix $B$.
badges guards may use; typically, such badges must be approved by the regulatory agency or must no resemble a public badge in either appearance or words used (e.g., the word "police" is often prohib ited). Nine states specify controls on investigators' badges, with an additional 3 states forbidding any badges for private investigators. Ten states specify that guard uniforms must not resemble those of public officers.

Special handgun or concealed-weapons permits are required by many states and typically are not automatically granted with the agency license or employee registration. Most licensing statutes are silent on this point, but 12 indicated that an additional weapons permit must be secured. The fees for the permit ranged from $\$ 2$ to $\$ 3$, and a bond of $\$ 300$ to $\$ 2,000$ is required in some states. Two statutes specify that handguns may be carried by employees only while on duty. As indicated earlier, California will require training for all armed employees.
Special regulations, in adation to those categoites of regulations summarized above, appear in many of the statutes. These rather specific rules each appear in no more than 10 states' statutes, and frequently appear in fewer:

- Licensees must keep written records of all investigative reports for at least 2 years; oral reports must be summarized in wriving.
- The regulatory agency may inspect any and all rec ords maintained by licensees.
- Licensees must supply any information related to their business activities at the request of the regulatory agency.
- No local government may impose additional licensing requirements above those imposed by the state.
Local governments may provide additional regula tions beyond those set by the state.
No licensee or his employee may divulge privileged information obtained in the course of business, ex the state regulatory agency, or in response to court order.
Licensees may not employ public law-enforcement officers.
- Guard or patrol licensees may not perform investigations, unless they are in direct connection with incidents encountered in providing guard or patro services.
- Impersonating public law-enforcement officers or misleading persons into believing the licensee affiliated with a public agency is forbidden.
- False advertising, or any advertising disapproved by the regulatory agency, is forbidden.
- Licensees may not conduct busibess using any name other than that printed on the license.
in all must be include in all advertisements.

Licensees may not accept business that would result in adverse effects for any present or former client because of information possessed by the licensee about that client.

- False reporting and the manufacture of evidence are forbidden.
- Polygraph examiners must tell the subject of the
requested by the subject, must disclose 'the results of the examination.
- The regulatory agency may waive certain lizensing requirements if the applicant is licensed in another state.
- The regulatory agency may adopt additional regulations necessary to implement the intent of the statute.


## III. CURRENT LOCAL LICENSING AND REGULATION

Licensing and regulation of private security at the local level, like that at the state level, is characterized by its lack of uniformity and comprehensiveness. Typically, local laws exclude many types of security businesses and personnel from regulation. Furthermore, according to one survey of city police departments,* only 54 percent of the cities with population over 25,000 regulate any portion of the private security industry. Some relevant data from the survey are presented in Table 5. Of the types of private security employees considered, special police are most often regulated. Forty-five percent of the survey respondents indicated that certain categories of private security personnel possessed some police powers above those granted to every citizen. We suspect that regulation is less prevalent at the local level than at the state level because localities have been preempted in this field by the states. However, several states specifically authorize additional local regulation beyond that imposed by the states themselves.

Table 5

## local regulation of private security

SERVICESa

| Item | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Percent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { respondents } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Type of service: |  |
| Guard... | 28 |
| Detective. | 31 |
| Special police. | 43 |
| Private patrol. | 32 |
| Alarm. | 24 |
| Form of regulation: |  |
| Licensing...... | 43 |
| Testing. | 4 |
| Screening. | 28 |
| Training. | 13 |

[^0] Private Police Services," The Police Chief, March 1971.

While several current local laws are relatively strict, and while nearly every regulatory feature suggested in R-869-DOJ* is in some local statute, no single law presently in force has sufficiert scope and quality to be considered a model statute. However, in our view, Dallas, Denver, Oakland, and St. Louis have some of the better, existing statutes, in terms of standards and scope. Both Dallas and Qakland have particularly comprehensive alarm statutes. For example, the Dallas alarm statute specifies stringent and detailed controls on the alarm businesses, on alarm devices, and on operating procedures; but it fails to specify significant controls on the private security personnel that respond to the alarms.
To further illustrate the stricter types of regula tions of the private security industry established by cities and counties, we shall describe the statutes of 19 localities. Qur information has been compiled primarily from statutes, because in several cases detailed administrative regulations established by the local regulatory agency were not available. The cities and counties selected either were located in state with no regulation at the state level or reportedly had "exemplary" (i.e., strict) regulatory programs. The regulatory agencies in some of these 19 selected localities also responded to Rand's survey** with details of administratively established regulations which do not appear in the local ordinances. The 19 statutes are summarized below. For detailed descriptions, see Appendix B.

## REGULATORY AGENCIES

The local police departments are responsible for administering the regulations in 15 of the 19 localities The city manager, comptroller, commissioners, or director of licensing performs this function in the remaining localities

## TYPES OF REGULATION

The methods of regulation and the types of busi-

[^1]** See Chapters IV and V of this report.
ness and personnel regulated are shown in Table 6 for each of the localities. Contract investigative agencies were licensed by 8 localities and 11 of the states in which the 19 localities are located. In 2 of the cities, both the state and the city license these investigative businesses. Contract guard and private patrol agencies were licensed by 10 and 13 localities, respectively, and by 9 of the states in which the 19 cities are located. In 5 cases, both local and state licenses were required by the patrol agency.*

Some localities regulate in situations where states do not; for example, some localities took a significantly stronger stand than their respective states on the issue of employee licensing or registration. Contract investigators were licensed in 6 localities but registered in only 5 of the states in which the 19 cities are located; contract guards were licensed or registered by 10 of the localities but only by 3 of those states; contract patrolmen were licensed or registered by 13 localities but only by 3 of those states. Finally; several cities, but no states, license or register in-house security personnel. Oakland, for example, licenses in-house uniformed or armed security personnel but does not give them special police powers. In contrast, no state has mandatory licensing of in-house guards or inviestigators.

## LICENSING REQUIREMENTS

Typically, the specific standards and requirements that personnel must meet are the same for ail categories which are licensed or registered in a single locality. Typical statutory provisions for a licensee or his employees in the 19 localities summarized irclude:

1. A license period of 1 year.
2. A licensing fee of from $\$ 5$ to $\$ 250$ for agencies, with an average fee of $\$ 57$. A fee of $\$ 3$ to $\$ 10$ per employee, with an average fee of $\$ 7$.
3. A mandatory bond of from $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 25,000$, with an average bond of $\$ 5,000$. One locality requires $\$ 200,000$ insurance, but no bond. Another requires $\$ 100,000$ bond or insurance.
4. A criminal records check of the security agency manager and all registered or licensed employees.
5. Grounds for denial that usually include a convic-
tion for a felony. Other common grounds are conviction of a crime involving moral turpitude or not being of "good character." One or more localities deny a license for conviction of a misdemeanor, drug addiction, a false statement on the application, a dishonorable military discharge, certain

[^2] business.
types of arrests, or violation of any regulation of the licensing statute.
6. Grounds for revocation that usually include all of the grounds for denial plus the violation of any regulation. Other reasons are a justified complaint, action not in the public interest, failure to go to the scene of an alarm, or not rendering competent service.
7. Penalties of revocation and from $\$ 100$ to $\$ 1,000$ maximum fines and/or 2 months to 2 years maximum imprisonment. The average maximum fine and imprisonment were $\$ 400$ and 7 months, respectively.
8. Provisions for granting certain types of private security officers special police powers not possessed by ordinary citizens (in 9 is tolly en-duty huurs at assigned locations.
9. A minimum age requirement of 21 years in half A minimum age requirement of localities, but never a maximum age limit.
10. Citizenship requirements in 9 , but residency re. quirements in only 6 localities.
11. Educational requirements in only 3 of the 19 localities. Literacy or high-school graduation were the two levels specified.
12. Prior relevant law-enforcement or security work experience of 2 or 3 years duration in only 3 localities.
13. A provision for a written examination in one locality.
14. Mandatory training requirements ranging from 5 to 120 hours in length in 4 localities
15. Requirements that approved identification cards be carried, and that guard uniforms and badges not resemble those of the public police.
16. A mandatory (additional) handgun permit if such weapons are to be carried in 9 localities. Such permits may $\alpha \approx$ may not be required in the remaining localities, but the licensing statutes are silent on this point. Seven localities require a weapons proficiency test. Four allow the loaded gun to be carried on duty only. Five require thaî every weapon discharge must be reported.
17. The provision that specific regulations may be established by the regulatory agency. The "other
information" section of each local statute sum. information section of each local statete sum-
marized in Appendix B provides examples of these special regulations. The Dallas alarm statute summary is especially indicative of the detail to which regulations are sometimes specified Further examples are provided in the "special regulations" section of the state regulation summary in this report.
The differences between state and local regulation are basically twofold. First, approximately half the cities with population over 25,000 regulate some aspect of the industry, but about 70 percent of all states regulate the industry. Second, cities tend to regulate categories of the security industry not regulated by the states. In general, it appears that state and local regulations complement each other.

TYPES OF REGULATION 6
Types of regulation at the logal level

| Locality | Licensing of contract security businesses |  |  | Licensing or registration of contract security employees |  |  | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Investigative | Guard | Patrol | Investigative | Guard | Patrol |  |
| Birmingham, Ala | SL, LL |  |  | LL |  |  | City commissioning of private policemen. |
| Tucson, Ariz. . | LL | LL | LL |  |  |  | City licensing of alarm and armored-transport agencies. |
| Los Angeles, Calif.... | SL | SL | SL, LL |  |  | LL | City licensing of alarm agencies and employees. |
| Oakland, Calif. | SL | SL, LL | SL, LL |  | LL | LL | City licensing of alarm agencies and emnloyees, and uniformed or armed inhounc guädd and investigators. |
| San Jose, Caiiif. | SL | SL | SL, LL |  |  | LR |  |
| Denver, Colo.. | SL | LL. | LL |  | LL | LL | City licensing of in-house guards, central station alarm, and armored-transport agencies and employees. |
| Miami, Fla, | SL, LL | SL, LL | SL, LL |  | LR | LR | City appointment of special police. |
| Baltimore, Md. | SL | SL | SL | SR |  |  | City appointment of special police. |
| Kansas City, Mo. | LL | LL | LL | LL | LL | LL |  |
| St. Louis, Mo. | LL | LL | LL |  | LL | LL | City licensing of in-house guard personnel and alarm and armored-transport personnel. |
| St. Louis County, Mo. |  |  |  | LL | LL | LL | City licensing of in-house guard, patrol, and investigative personnel. |
| Newark, N.J.. | SL | SL | SL | SR | SR | SR | Gity appointment of special police. |
| New York, N.Y.... | SL | SL | SL | SR | SR | SR | Gity appointment of special police. |
| Cleveland, Ohio..... | SL | SL | SL | SR | SR | SR | City appointment of special police. |
| Oklahoma City, Okla. |  | LL | LL |  | LL | LL | City licensing of alarm and armored-transport agencies and their armed employees. |
| Dallas, Tex......... | SL | SL | SL, LL | SR |  | LL | City licensing of alarm companies; in-house guards or patrolmen also may be licensed, but such a license is not mandatory. |
| King County, Wash. . | ${ }_{\text {LL }}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{LL}}{\mathrm{LL}}$ | LL | LL | LL | LL |  |
| Seattle, Wash. | LL | LL | LL | LL | LL | LL |  |
| Washington, D.C.... | LL | LL | LL | LL | LL | LL | City appointment of special police. |

SL: State licensing.
LL: Local licensing.
LR: Local registration.
IV. REGULATORY AGENCY EXPERIENCE: PROBLEMS AND SANCTIONS

## SURVEY DESCRIPTION

Thirty-one states and many U.S. cities and counties have public agencies responsible for regulation of the private security industry. These agencies typically establish administrative rules and regulations to implement the statutes, receive and screen applications for licenses, handle complaints, and take punitive action when necessary by suspending or revoking licenses or by seeking criminal prosecution. Thus, these regulatory agencies were an important source of information leading to our recommendations for changes in the regulation of the industry.
To tap this source of information and experience, we surveyed those agencies in 31 states, 3 counties, and 46 cities that regulate private security. Cities selected were either in states with no regulation at the state level or in states that reportedly had exemplary regulatory programs. The survey, conducted by mail questionnaire, was preceded by a letter from the Acting Director of the U.S. Department of Justice's National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice, seeking cooperation. Approximately one month after the initial mailing, a follow-up reminder letter went to those who had not yet responded. Gopies of the questionnaire and mailing list appear in Appendixes C and D.

The survey covered current statutes, numbers and types of businesses and personnel regulated, number and nature of complaints against the security industry and its employees, and the numbers of, and reasons for, license denials, revocations, or other penalties. Finally, we asked for each agency director's views and recommendations regarding improvements or alternatives to current provisions and methods of regulation. Despite the length of the questionnaire20 pages-the response rate was a gratifying 52 percent (see Table 7). Many of the respondees elaborated on their answers, further indicating their high level of interest in regulatory improvements. Unfortunately, and perhaps significantly, several agencies indicated they could not respond because of lack of available persionnel to complete the questionnaire.

Table 7
regulatory agency survey response rate

| Regulatory level | Number of questionnaires |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sent | Returned |
| State. | 31 | 18 |
| Local. | 49 | 24 |
| Total. . | 80 | 42 |

## OVERVIEW

We have drawn four main implications from the survey responses. First, the regulatory agencies' effec. tiveness is limited because they typically have very little reliable data on the private security industry's problems. With the exception of reviewing license applications, the typical regulatory agency has very limited, and in some cases, no contact with the industry. Second, the agencies' effectiveness is limited because they very seldom use the postlicensing powers they have to correct problems in the industry. Suspensions, revocations, and fines are rare. We do not mean to say the regulatory agencies fail to take action in specific situations that come to their attention. Rather, the agencies have such limited resources and such ineffective channels for learning of problems that most problem situations do not come to their attention. Hence, controls are very rarely exercised. Third, there are wide variations in toughness of enforcement of the regulations among regulatory agencies. And fourth, nearly every regulatory agency responding to our survey recommended that some aspect of the regulation of the industry within its state be stronger than it presently is. Details of those recommendations are presented in Chapter V.
There is an apparent inconsistency between the rather strong recommendations offered by the regulatory agencies with respect to future regulation and the rather weak enforcement of present regulations. We
speculate that the current enforcement is weak because of limited resources and lack of effective information channels for learning of problems. We view licensing and related regulation as a viable but undernourished means for controlling the private police industry.

The remainder of this section provides detailed information on regulatory agency activity.

## NUMBERS AND TYPES OF LICENSES

The information on numbers and types of licenses issued in the 17 states that responded to our survey is summarized in Table 8. A total of 6,345 licenses are currently in effect in these states-an average of 373 licensees per state. The numbers of licensees range from 17 in North Dakota to 2,271 in California. In 1970, these same states issued an average of 32 new licenses for every 100 currently valid licenses. The states reported 3,502 current contract investigative agency licenses, 1,448 contract guard or patrol agency licenses, 1,596 contract investigative employee licenses, and 1,975 special police licenses. The contract investigative agencies, which account for the largest number of licenses, typically employ very few personnel each.

The 24 responding cities and counties have 24,604 licenses currently in effect, with an average of 1,025 per locality. In 1970, these localities issued an average of 51 licenses per 100 currently valid licenses. The localities reported 69 contract investigative agency licenses, 299 contract guard or patrol agency licenses 372 contract investigative employee licenses, 10,262 contract guard or patrolman licenses, and 9,015 special police licenses or registrations. Since the predominance of the reported local licenses and registra tions are for employees, it appears that average annual employee turnover probably exceeds 51 percent in employee turnover probably exceeds 51 percent in
those localities. Although the state licenses are usually issued to agencies, rather than individuals, there is still a relatively high new-license rate- 32 percent. This compares to a much lower 4.7 percent net annual growth rate between 1958 and 1967 for the total number of contract security establishments in the United States.

## LICENSE DENIALS

In 1970, the proportion of license denials (i.e., the percentage of the applications denied) varied markedly among states, indicating an apparent large difference in "toughness" of applicant screening. The
minimum denials were in North Dakota and Cali fornia, with 0 percent and 6 percent, respectively The highest percentages ( 42 percent) were reported in Indiana and Nevada. The average denial rate in the states reporting was 20.3 percent. A total of 258 licenses were denied by these states in 1970. The overall denial rate in all reporting states was 15.3 pereent. The difference between the 15.3 and 20.3 percent rates is due to the relatively higher denial rates observed in states with fewer license applications. The average denial rate in the cities reporting was 16.2 average denial rate in the cities reporting was 16.2
percent. Overall, the cities reported a total of 658 percent. Overall, the cities reported a total of
denials in 1970, for a denial rate of 6.0 percent. Again, the cities with a higher volume of applications tend to have lower denial rates. This may imply that high volume of license applications means higher workload per agency employee, making it impossible to conduct a thorough background investigation of each applicant.
Reasons for license denial were reported by 14 states and 18 cities. The most prevalent reason was the criminal record of the applicant (9 states and 18 cities). Other major reasons are "lack of character," insufficient experience, failure to obtain bond, and falsified applications. Vague and subjective grounds for denial, such as "lack of character" (or some similar wording), was cited in 14 states and cities. The fact that 13 states and cities reported falsified applications illustrates the need for thorough investigation of each applicant. Full details on numbers of and reasons for denials are given in Table 9.

## ROUTINE MONITORING AND <br> INVESTIGATION OF LICENSEE

Eleven regulatory agencies indicated that they do some checking on private security agencies and personnel after licenses are issued and without receiving a specific complaint. Maryland, Michigan, and the city of St. Louis appear to have the best monitoring programs; they all conduct random unannounced inspections of the licensees' offices, books, and personnel at work locations. Florida conducts "regular inspections"; New Jersey periodically inspects licensees' offices; California checks on repossessors; and Delaware, City A,* Salem (Oregon), Dallas (Texas), and King County (Washington) check employees on the job.

[^3]| Jurisdiction | Number of licenses in effect | Number of new licenses issued |  |  | 1970 new licenses as percent of total licenses | Category of licensee |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Contract | Contract | Contract | Contract |  |  |
|  |  | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 |  | gation agency | patrol agency | gative employee | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fatrol- } \\ & \operatorname{man} \end{aligned}$ | Special police | Other |
| States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| California. . . . . . . . | ${ }^{\text {a }} 2,271$ | 279 | 327 | 429 | 19 | 1,359 | 417 |  |  |  | 370 insurance adjusters. |
| Connecticut. . | 97 | 6 | 16 | 10 | 10 | 15 |  | 42 |  |  |  |
| Delaware. | 31 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Florida. | 711 | 148 | 151 | 172 | 24 | 402 | 205 |  |  |  | 104 ploygraph examiners. |
| Indiana, | 142 | NA | 27 | 26 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iowa. | 100 | NA | NA | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kentucky | - 147 | 176 | 268 | - 313 |  |  |  |  |  | 147 |  |
| Maryland. . . . . . . . | 155 | NA | NA | NA |  | 155 |  | 1,232 |  | 1,826 |  |
| Michigan. . . . . . . . | 359 | 140 | 201. | 163 | 45 | 158 | 188 |  |  | 2 | 5 armored-car companies. 6 alarm companies. |
| Minnesota. | 93 | NA | NA | 9 | 10 | d 93 | d 93 |  |  |  |  |
| Nebraska. | 524 | NA | NA | NA |  | 36 |  | 72 |  |  | 423 collection agencies and men. |
| Nevada. . | 55 | NA | 3 | 15 | 27 |  |  |  |  | ...... |  |
| New Jersey. . . . . . . . | 312 | 27 | 32 | 52 | 17 | d 312 | d 312 |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |
| New York......... | 957 | 72 | 99 | 107 | 11 | 849 | 108 |  |  | ..... |  |
| North Dakota. . . . | 17 | 8 | 12 | 10 | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ohio (Peace Officer Training Council). | NA | b 392 | b 932 | b 970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas............ | 327 |  |  | 265 | 81 | 76 | 125 | 250 |  |  |  |
| West Virginia. . . . . | 47 |  |  |  |  | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Reported... | 6,345 | 1,251 | 2,068 | 2,551 |  | 3,502 | 1,448 | 1,596 |  | 1,975 |  |
| Average Reported. | 373 | 125 | 173 | 182 | 32 | 318 | 206 | 399 |  | 658 |  |



[^4]| Jurisdiction | Number of licenses denied |  |  | 1970 <br> denials <br> as a percent of applications ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Reasons for denials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Category of applicant denied |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 等 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| California... |  |  | 25 | 6 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Connecticut. | 3 | 5 | 6 | 38 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\ldots$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delaware. | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9 |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | Contract agency. |
| Florida. | 9 | 15 | 39 | 18 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | Contract invest. agency (25). ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Polygraph examiner (2). Guard agency (12). |
| Indiana. | NA | 10 | 19 | 42 | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\ldots$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iowa. | NA | NA | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | Contract invest. agency ( $25 \%$ ). |
| Kentucky............ | 45 | 74 | 55 | 15 | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | . | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | Contract guard (49). <br> In-house guard (6). |
| Maryland. | 12 | 14 | 20 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | Contract investigator (20). |
| Michigan. | 15 | 31 | 35 | 18 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  | Contract guard (21). <br> Contract investigator (14). |
| Minnesota. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nebraska. | 2 | 1 | 0 |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nevada. | NA | 1 | 11 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | Contract investigator (11). |
| New Jersey........... | 21 | 13 | 16 | 24 | ... | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York.... | 0 | 8 | 30 | 22 | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | Guard (8); investigator ${ }^{(22)}$. |
| North Dakota. . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ohio (Peace Officer Training Council).. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas.... | NA | NA | NA |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Virginia. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Reported. | 108 | 168 | 258 |  | 9 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 4 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Average Reported... | 11 | 13 | 18 | 20.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



[^5]Table 10


${ }^{2}$ Total complaints where major regulatory action was taker.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Total justified complaints.


## NUMBER OF COMPLAINT <br> against licensees

Five of the 17 states responding to the survey did not have data available on the number and nature of complaints against licensees. Another 3 states, Delaware, Iowa, and Minnesota, reported they had not received any complaints against licensees in 1970! The remainder of the states responding reported a total of 369 complaints in 1970, or an average of 31 complaints per reporting state. The proportion of complaints, as a percentage of licensees, ranged from 0 percent in the 3 states with no complaints to 18 percent, in Michigan. The average complaint rate against licensees was 6 percent in the reporting states. This does not imply that over 94 percent of the licensees are perfect, but rather that the number of complaints reaching the regulatory agency averages 6 per 100 licensees per year in the reporting states. We suspect that the actual number of situations where a complaint could be justifiably registered is considerably higher than the reported number. There may be several reasons for this, including (1) inadequate information channels from potential complainants to the regulatory agency; (2) lack of public knowledge as to the limitations of the authority of private security personnel; and (3) handling of complaints via the normal channels of the local police, rather than by regulatory personnel.
Since we are concerned about the number of actual problems in the industry, rather than the reported numbers, we asked the regulakory agencies to estimate the number of unreported incidents where a complaint would have been justified. The six that ventured an estimate said that there were $27,1,2,2,2$, or 3 times as many unreported as reported incidents.
Of the 24 local regulatory agencies surveyed, 7 reported no data available, 3 reported no complaints, and the remainder reported a total of 841 complaints (an average of 53 per reporting agency). The total reported complaints amounted to 4.3 percent of the number of licensees. St. Louis reported the highest rate, 25 justified complaints per 100 licensees. However, only 41 of the 644 complaints in St. Louis were regarded as requiring major action by the regulatory agency. Full details of complaints reported to each agency are found in Table 10 (pp. 22-23).

## TXPES OF COMPLAINTS

## against licensees

Each regulatory agency was asked to list the 5 most prevalent types of complaints received against licens-
ees. Their responses are shown in Table 10. The two types of complaints cited most often were

- Impersonating a public law-enforcement officer
- Filure to perform services as agreed

Other frequently cited complaints were improper uniform or identification, improper conduct, use of ex cessive force, operating an unlicensed business, misrepresentation of fees, and "violation of regulations."
The numbers of complaints of each type received by the regulatory agencies are given in Table 11 (pp. 24$25)$. Note that only 17 of the 42 responding agencie were able to present a detailed breakdown of the types of complaints received. Such data are simply not collected and used by most of those agencies. However, for those few agencies reporting, the most common complaints were "violation of regulations" (413), im proper uniform or I.D. (369), shootings (55), impersonating a police officer (34), theft (29), failure o serve as agreed (29), misrepresentation of service or fees (28), violation of gun regulation (22), illegal access to police records (18), assault (13), negligence (13), operating an unlicensed business (13), drunkenness (12), conviction of a crime (9), offensive language (8), and killings (8). Clearly, the numbers of complaints reported by the regulatory agencies are far less than the number of incidents that occur Gordon Bishop, the Director of the California State Bureau of Collection and Investigative Services, has pointed out that the reported volume of complaints per individual licensed or employed in the security ndustry is low.* He was uncertain as to whether this meant that the industry is "performing that faultessly" or that people are unaware of complaint channels. The Bureau receives virtually no complaints regarding guard and patrol services from the public or rom purchasers of the security services. Such complaints come from competitors and occasionally from local police department irritated with some aspect of the private security service. Mr. Bishop indicated that he had some reason to believe that with over 9,000 armed men on duty in the state, shooting incidents do occur. However, very few are reported to he Bureau. In addition, he further speculated that dozens of unreported incidents of improper conduct by investigators occur monthly in connection with insurance fraud cases, but very rarely is an investigator convicted. In Los Angeles alone, a local news. paper reported that 3 people were killed by security guards in a recent 3-month time span. Nevertheles, the types of complaints registered are probably in dicative of the major types of abuses occurring

* Private communication.


## UNLICENSED PERSONS ENGAGED IN PRIVATE SECURITY ACTIVITIES

Regulatory agencies learn of unlicensed persons illegally engaged in the security business primarily from the local police or from licensed competitor within the industry. Table 12 indicates other sources of information.

Table 12
SOURCES OF INFORMATION ON UNLICENSED SECURITY BUSINESS OPERATION

| Source | Number of regulatory agencies reporting using source |
| :---: | :---: |
| Public police. | 21 |
| Competitor licensees. | 20 |
| Advertising. | 9 |
| Citizens... | 8 |
| Complaints. | 3 |
| Clients. | 2 |
| Regulatory agency investigation | 2 |
| Yellow Pages of phone book. | 1 |
| News....... | 1 |
| Industry watchdog group | 1 |
| Court records. | 1 |

Table 13
sources of complaints about private security activities


## SOURCES OF COMPLAINTS

Regulatory agencies were asked to report the 5 most prevalent sources of complaints. The 3 most frequently cited sources were citizens, the public poliee, and competitive licensees. Table 13 lists the other sources reported.

Lawyers were cited as sources of complaints only twice, but citizens were cited 23 times. It may be that citizens who think they have been abused try to handle matters by themselves rather than turning to a lawyer. Also, the telephone was cited as a source 5 times and thus appears to be a significant information channel on which complaints should be accepted.

## CATEGORIES OF LICENSEES

## RECEIVING COMPLAINTS

In listing the 5 categories of licensees receiving the most complaints, regulatory agencies cited contract guard agencies and personnel most often, followed by contract patrol and contract investigative businesses and personnel. It is not at all surprising that these categories receive the most complaints, because they are the most often regulated. While more investigative than guard licenses are issued, guard agencies have more personnel, and hence more exposure, than do investigative agencies. This' would help explain why guard agencies receive the most complaints. Table 14 contains the detailed responses from each regulatory agency.

## SUMMARY OF DISPOSITION OF 1969 COMPLAINTS IN SELECTED JURISDICTIONS

Since summary statistics sometimes obscure signifi cant details, each regulatory agency surveyed was asked to provide detailed data on the disciplinary actions taken on up to 50 complaints received in 1969 . In Table 15 we encapsulate the data received from 2 states and 3 cities. Two major points should be noted: (1) the disciplinary actions taken in many instances seem very lenient in comparison with the offense, and (2) considerable variation in toughness exists among regulatory agencies. The disciplinary sanctions imposed by all responding regulatory agencies are summarized below.

## Bond and Insurance Claims

Since many of the licensing statutes require that licensees obtain insurance or a bond, we inquired about the number of times claims are paid. Only 3
of the 42 responding regulatory agencies were able to supply any information on claims paid. North Dakota reported no such claims paid; California reported receiving 1 or 2 inquiries per week for the name of the bonding agent; and Michigan reported 1 known payment of $\$ 460,000$ for an assault. In short, the regulatory agencies are totally uninformed as to how well this method of redress works in practice. Such information would not only be useful but costs little to obtain.

## License Suspensions

Regulatory agencies seldom invoke suspensions as a sanction against licensees or to protect the public during the investigation of a complaint. Thirty states and localities reported a total of only 45 license suspensions in 1970. These temporary suspensions ranged from 2 weeks to 6 months duration, and averaged about 3 months. They were typically imposed because of the arrest of the licensee, termination of bond or insurance, or for violation of regulations such

Table 14
REGULATORY AGENGY SURVEY: CATEGORIES OF LICENSEES RECEIVING COMPLAINTS


| Table 14 (Cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Contract investigator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Con- } \\ & \text { tract } \\ & \text { guard } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Con- } \\ \text { tract } \\ \text { patrol } \end{gathered}$ | Alarm agency | In- <br> house <br> investi- <br> gator | Inhouse guard | Repossessor | Special police | Armored car |
| St. Louis County. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newark, N.J |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cleveland, Ohio... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Okla. City, Okla. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salem, Oreg....................... $\quad \downarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pitsburgh, Pa. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Richmond, Va. |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washingtox, D.C. ............... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City A. | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City C. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Reporting. | 2 | 6 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 |

as inipersonating public law-enforcement officers Total suspensions were 0.3 percent of total licensees and 5.2 percent of total complaints for the 13 reporting states as a group. Overall, the 17 reporting localand 3.4 suspensions equal to 0.12 percent of licensees and 3.4 percent of total complaints. Details for each reporting regulatory agency are presented in Table 16.

## License Revocations

The revocation of licenses is seldom invoked by regulatory agencies. The 29 reporting agencies revoked 116 licenses in 1970. However, 17 of the agencies reported no revocations. Four agencies-Michigan (7) St. Louis (67), Los Angeles (17), and Kansas City (7)-accounted for 84 percent of all the reported revocations. Taken as a group, the reporting states revoked 0.3 percent of the licenses in 1970, with total revocations equaling 4.0 percent of total complaints. The reporting local agencies revoked 0.9 percent of the licenses in 1970, with total revocations equal to 14 percent of total complaints. Reasons for revocations included breaking and entering, false reporting, extortion, felony conviction, falsification of applicathe wiretapping, interfering with or impersonating the public police, unethical conduct, use of excess force, fraud, drunkenness, improper conduct bond revocation, assault, arrest for a major crime, and
repeated rule violations. Details are provided in Table
1\%.

## FINES AND IMPRISONMENT OF LICENSEES

Seventeen of the 42 responding regulatory agencies said that information on fines or imprisonment licensees was not available in their offices. Since thes fines are almost always* imposed by the courts these than the regulatory agency, such lack of information is understandable. However, such information would certainly be of value in deciding to renew (or revole) a license.

Twenty-two regulatory agencies reported a total of 20 fines imposed in 1970. They ranged from $\$ 50$ to $\$ 500$ and averaged $\$ 167$ and $\$ 67$ in the reporting states and localities, respectively. Fines were most often imposed for conducting an unlicensed business or for violation of a licerising statute regulation such as the prohibition against impersonating the public police, or use of unapproved uniforms. Taken as a group, the reporting states fined 0.3 percent of the licensees in 1970. Total fines numbered 3.9 percent of total complaints reported by the states. In the reporting localities, fines numbered 0.05 percent of licensees * We are imposed by the regulatory agency.

Table 15
EGULATORY AGENCY SURVEY: DESCRIPTION AND DISPOSITION OF
COMPLAINTS IN SELECTED JURISDICTIONS

| Jurisdiction | Nature and number of complaints | Category of licensec complained against | Typical disciplinary action taken |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Florida. | Impersonate police (4). | Contract guard...... Contract investigator. | Warning. Warning. |
| Do. | Fail to provide service (10) | All categories. | Unfounded complaints. |
| Do. | Unlicensed (15). | Al. . .do..... | Warning. |
| Do...... | Unlicensed (30)........ |  | Warning. |
| Do...... | Negligence in duties (11) |  |  |
|  | Violation of regulations (10). | ...do............. | Warning. $\$ 100$ fine. |
| Do. | Continuc to operate unlicensed (1). | Contract investigator. | Warning. |
| Do.. | Improper advertising (3). | Contract guard. | \$75 fine. |
| Michigan. Do. D | Impersonate police (4). | . . . . do. . . . . . | \$200 fine. |
| Do... | Inmproper advertising (2)...... Assault and battery (21)...... |  | $\$ 50$ fine. |
| Do. | Assault and battery (31). Gun law violation (33). | do. | Charges reduced or dismissed. |
| Do. | Drunkenness (5)...... | do. | \$25 fine. |
| Do.. | Badge or uniform violation (4) |  | Revoke license. |
| St. Louis. | Excess force, assault (1) | . do. | Require retraining or revoke license |
| Do. | Violate firearms regulation (2) |  | Revoke license. |
| Do. | Comnit crime (3)........ | - . . do. | Revoke license. |
| Do..... Salem, Oreg | Conduct unbecoming (4)..... <br> Violate firearms regulation (1) |  | Reprimand. |
| Salem, Oreg. Do.... | Violate firearms regulation (1) Passes stolen property (1).... | . . . do. . . . . . . . . . . | Jail sentence. |
| Do. | Illegal search, impersonate police (1) | Contract patrol. | Revoke license. Fined. |
| Dallas, Tcx | Impersonate police (4). | Contract guard. | Fired by employer. |
| Do. | False crime reporting (1).... |  | Warning. |
| Do. | Fail to provide services (several) |  | Registered. |
| Do.. | Operate unlicensed (several) | .do. | Revoke license. |
| Do. | Excess force, assault (2)....... | ....do. | Fine or revoke license. |
| Do. | Violate firearms regulation (4) | . . . . .do. | Fined. |
| Do. | Drunkenness (2)...................) Badge or uniform violation (several) | do. | Warned. |
| Do. | Badge or uniform violation (several) Theft (4) | . . . . do. | Revoke license. |
| Do. | Commit crime (3). | do. | Fined. <br> Fined, license revoked. |
| Do. | Interfere with arrest (1) | .do |  |

and 0.7 percent of complaints. Michigan and Dallas reported the highest number of fines ( 5 each). See Table 18 for details.
Only 3 regulatory agencies reported any sentences mposed on licensees or their employees: St. Louis ( 3 sentences of 6 to 12 months duration were imposed for gun-law violations or working on a suspended license), Salem, Oregon (1), and Dallas (1 licensee received 2 years probation).
Table 19 contains the detailed responses from the regulatory agencies.

## OTHER TYPES OF DISCIPLINE BY <br> REGULATORY AGENCIES

Each agency surveyed was asked if any disciplinan actions were taken other than suspensions, revocation fines and imprisonment. Reprimands were reported used by City A, Oakland, St. Louis, California (5 per year) Florida, Iowa, Maryland, Michigan per year), How (10 per yea Nebraska, North Dakota, and Now (ore repor for failure to register employees or failure to report
to clients). St. Louis may require retraining of the to clients). St. Louis may require retraining of the
employee. The head of the Denves, Colorado, regula

Regulatory agency survey: License suspensions



Table 18
REGULATORY AGENCX SURVEY: FINES IMPOSED

| Area | Number of fines imposed |  |  | 1970 <br> fines as percent of total licensees | 1970 fines as percent of total complaints | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aver- } \\ & \text { age } \\ & \text { fine } \\ & \text { (\$) } \end{aligned}$ | Maximum fine (\$) | Reasons for fines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 |  |  |  |  |  |
| States: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| California. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Connecticut. | NA | 1 | 1 | 1.0 | 14 |  | 100 | Theft, wage law violation. |
| Delaware.. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Florida.. | NA | NA | 2 | 0.3 | 3 |  | 500 | Violation of regulations. |
| Indiana. | NA | NA | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iowa. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 100 | Unlicensed. |
| Kentucky . | NA | NA | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maryland. | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1.3 | 15 | 250 | 250 | Unlicensed. |
| Michigan. | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1.4 | 8 | 50 | 179 | Unlicensed, improper uniform, not fingerprinting employees. |
| Minnesota. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Nebraska. . | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Nevada. . | NA | NA | NA |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| New Jersey.......... | NA | NA | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York. ........., | 4 | 5 | 4 | 0.4 | 3 | 250 | 500 | Violate regulations, false application, fraud, demonstrated incompetence. |
| North Dakota. . . . . . | NA | NA | NA |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |
| Ohio (Peace Officer Training Council). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas............. | NA | NA | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Virginia. . . . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total reported. . . . | 6 | 8 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average number reported. | . 8 | . 9 | 1.4 | . 4 | 5.4 | '167 | 271 |  |
| Cities and counties: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birmingham, Ala., | NA. | NA | 1 | 4.7 |  | 170 | 170 | Carry concealed weapon. |
| Los Angeles, Calif. . . Oakland, Calif.. . | NA | NA | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oakland, Calif...... San Jose, Calif...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| San Jose, Calif. . . . . | NA | NA | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Diego County . . Denver, Colo. . . . . | NA | NA | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denver, Colo. . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Wichita, Kans....... | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 100 | Drunk driving, draw deadly weapon, unlicensed. |
| Baltimore, Md....... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Kansas City, Mo..... | NA | NA. | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Louis, Mo... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\because 0$ |  |  |  |
| St. Louis County. Newark, N.J. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ...... |  |  |  |
| Cleveland, Ohio. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ...... |  |  |  |
| Okla. City, Okla. . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salem, Oreg. . | NA | NA | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pittsburgh, Pa....... | NA | NA | NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dalla ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Tex......... | NA | NA | 5 | 0.2 | 12 | 50 | 200 | Unlicensed, improper uniform, imper sonate police, carry weapon off dutt |



Table 19 (Cont.)

| Arca | Number of security personnel sentenced |  |  | Number sentenced as percent of licensees | Number sentenced as percent of complaints | Length of imprisonment | Reason for sentencing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 |  |  |  |  |
| Cities and counties: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birmingham, Ala.... | NA | NA | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Los Angeles, Calif.... | NA | NA | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Oakland, Calif..... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . |  |
| San Jose, Calif. ..... | NA | NA | NA |  |  |  |  |
| San Diego County... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denver, Colo... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Wichita, Kans. . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Baltimore, Md....... | A | ${ }^{0}$ | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Kansas City, Mo..... | NA | NA | NA |  |  |  | Violate gun law, work oin suspended license. |
| St. Louis, Mo....... | NA | NA | 3 | . 1 | . 4 | months | Violate gun law, work ois suspended licne. |
| St. Louis County.... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , |  |  |
| Newark, N.J. ....... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cleveland, Ohio..... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | ;-................... |
| Okla. City, Okla.... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salem, Oreg. | ${ }^{0}$ | ${ }^{0}$ | 1 | 3.4 | 50 |  |  |
| Pittsburgh, $\mathrm{Pa} . . .$. | NA | NA | NA. |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas, Tex........ | NA | NA | 1 | . 3 | 2.3 | 2 yr pro- bation |  |
| Richmond, Va..... | NA | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | ........ |  |
| Seattle, Wash...... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| King County, Wash. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Washington, D.C. City A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| City B............. | NA | NA | NA |  |  |  |  |
| City C............ | NA | NA | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Total reported.... | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Average number reported...... | 0 | 0 | 0.35 | 0.27 | 5.9 | 7 months |  |

tory agency sometimes meets with the licensee agency managers as a group to discuss problems.

## TOTAL NUMBER OF MAJOR SANCTIONS

Table 20 summarizes the total number of suspen sions, revocations, fines, and sentences imposed on licensees in 1970 for each reporting regulatory agency. We caution that only 13 of the 42 responding regulatory agencies had data available on each of these 4 major types of sanctions. Thus, the actual number of such sanctions imposed may be considerably higher than the number reported here. We also note that when sanctions are compared to complaints, the re-
sults may be misleading, since some regulatory agen cies keep records only of complaints that result in major sanctions being imposed.
Fourteen state regulatory agencies reported a total of 45 major sanctions against licensees in 1970. The 1970 state averages are 3.2 sanctions per state regulatory agency, 0.7 sanctions per 100 state licensees, and 12.2 sanctions per 100 reported complaints. Michigan has the "toughest" agency, with 4.7 major sanctions per 100 licensees, and 26.1 major sanctions per 100 complaints. We would not conclude from this that the private security business in Michigan is more problemprone than it is in other states, but rather that the Michigan agency is more vigilant than most others. sanctions against licensees in 1970. The 1970 averages are 7.4 sanctions per local regulatory agency, 0.6 sanc-
tions per 100 local licensees, and 16.7 sanctions per 100 reported complaints. St. Louis, Missouri, has one of the strongest local regulatory agencies.

Table 20
REGULATORY AGENGY SURVEY: NUMBER OF MAJOR SANCTIONS TAKEN AGANST LIGENSEES (1970)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Jurisdiction \& Total a known suspensions, revocations, fines and imprisonments \& Total a reported sanctions as percent of licensees \& Total ${ }^{2}$ sanctions as percent of complaints \& Jurisdiction \& Total s known suspensions, revocations, fines and imprisonments \& Total a reported sanctions as percent of licensees \& Total ${ }^{\text {s }}$ reported sanctions as percent of complaints <br>
\hline States: \& \& \& \& Cities and eounties-Cont. \& \& \& <br>
\hline California. \& ${ }^{\square} 7$ \& 0.3 \& 7.8 \& San Jose, Calif. . . . . . \& a 0 \& . 0 \& <br>
\hline Connecticut. \& 1 \& 1.0 \& 14.2 \& San Diego County... \& $\therefore 0$ \& . 0 \& . . . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br>
\hline Delaware. \& ${ }^{\text {a }} 0$ \& . 0 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Florida.. \& - 3 \& . 4 \& 4.7 \& Denver, Colo. . \& 0 \& . 0 \& <br>
\hline Indiana. \& NA \& \& \& Wichita, Kans. \& 3 \& . 6 \& 37.5 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& Baltimore, Md. \& 1 \& . 1 \& 100.0 <br>
\hline Iowa...... \& $\xrightarrow{0} 0$ \& . 0 \& \& Kansas City, Mo. \& - 8 \& . 5 \& 100.0 <br>
\hline Kentucky. \& - 0 \& . 0 \& . 0 \& St. Louis, Mo.... \& 85 \& 3.3 \& 12.4 <br>
\hline Maryland. \& - 5 \& 3.2 \& 38.5 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Michigan. \& 17 \& 4.7 \& 26,1 \& St. Louis County. \& a 0 \& . 0 \& <br>
\hline Minnesota. \& 0 \& . 0 \& \& Newark, N.J. . \& \& \& <br>
\hline Nebraska. \& \& \& \& Cleveland, Ohio...... \& 4 \& 4 \& <br>
\hline Nebraska. \& a

1 \& .0
1.8 \& .0
50.0 \& Okla. City, Okla \& \& \& <br>
\hline New Jersey. \& a 1 \& . 3 \& \& Salem, Oreg \& ${ }^{\text {a }} 3$ \& 10.3 \& 100.0 <br>
\hline New York... \& ${ }^{-10}$ \& 1.0 \& 8.5 \& Pittsburgh, Pa. \& NA \& \& <br>
\hline North Dakota. \& $\stackrel{0}{ }$ \& . 0 \& \& Dailas, Tex... \& . 96 \& . 2 \& 14.0 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& Richmond, Va. \& $\therefore 0$ \& . 0 \& . 0 <br>
\hline Ohio (Peace Officer Training Council) \& \& \& . \& Seattie, Wash......... \& 0 \& . 0 \& <br>
\hline Texas............. \& NA \& \& \& King County, Wash... \& - 0 \& . 0 \& . 0 <br>
\hline West Virginia. . . . . . \& \& \& \& Washin \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& City A. \& 0 \& . 0 \& . 0 <br>
\hline Total Reported... \& 45 \& \& \& City B . \& NA \& \& <br>
\hline Average \& \& \& \& City C . \& ${ }^{\text {a } 11}$ \& . 1 \& 84.6 <br>
\hline Reported...... \& 3.2 \& . 9 \& 16.6 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Cities and counties: \& \& \& \& Total Reported... \& 141 \& .... \& .......... <br>
\hline Birmingham, Ala..... \& -17 \& 4.8 \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Los Angeles, Calif. ... Oakland, Calif. \& a 17
2 \& 1.0
3 \& 22.0
28.5 \& $\underset{\text { Average }}{\text { Reported...... }}$ \& 7.4 \& 1.1 \& 41.6 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Partial total, since the number of impositions of some types of sanctions was reported to be unavailable.

The recommendations of the various regulatory agencies were expressed in response to our survey questionnaire which appears in Appendix C.* Neither the special expertise and experience of the persons completing the questionnaire nor the amount of complations is known thought that preceded recommendations to represent to us. However, haccumulated wisdom of a very substantial cross-section of the private security regulatory agencies in the United States. As such, they are of significant value and should be given considerable weight in any decisions regarding regulation of the industry.

A total of 16 state and 23 local regulatory agencies responded to our survey with recommendations fo various types of private security regulation. Thos recommendations are summarized in this chapter.

## LICENSING AND REGISTRATION

Each regulatory agency surveyed was given a list of various types of private security personnel and businesses and was asked to indicate which types they felt should be licensed. Licensing was explained as "requiring some form of approval by the regulatory "requir", before the business or employee could engage agency" before the business or employee could engage in private security work. The recommendations are presented in Table 21. The great majority of the responding agencies recommended that all types of contract security agencies, and all armed personnel, be licensed. A smaller majority indicated that all types of contract personnel, polygraph examiners, and special police should be licensed. A significant minority recommended that all types of in-house security forces and personnel be licensed. The percentages of forces and personnel be licensed. The percentages of the responding regulatory agencies that recommended licensing of various private
personnel are given below:


* The survey is further described at the beginning of Chapter IV.

| Contract alarm | 61 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Armored-transport agencies. |  |
| Contract investigative emplo | 72 |
| Contract guard employees. | 69 |
| Contract patrol employees. | 56 |
| Contract alarm employees. |  |
| Armored-transport employees |  |
| In-house investigative forces |  |
| In-house guard forces. |  |
| In-house police forces. |  |
| In-house investigative employ |  |
| In-house guard employees. |  |
| In-house police employes |  |
| Any armed personnel. |  |
| Polygraph examiners. |  |
| Insurance investigators. |  |
| Repossessors. |  |
| Process server |  |
|  |  |

Four regulatory agencies indicated that every cateory should be licensed, while two agencies declined to answer the question.
After the initial survey questionnaire had been sent, we noted that credit investigators had been inadvertently left off the list. Five of 6 state regulatory agen cies responding to our queries felt that credit investigaion agencies should be licensed, while 3 of the 6 states felt the credit investigative employees should be licensed.
Some of the regulatory agencies indicated reasons for and against licensing of various types of private security businesses and personnel. Those comments are presented in Appendix E.

## LICENSE PERIOD AND FEE

All regulatory agencies recommended a licensing All 2 years. Rec period betw fees ranged from $\$ 10$ to $\$ 500$ for private ommended security businesses, and from $\$ 5$ to $\$ 50$ for privale security employees. The average state license fee recommendations were $\$ 179$ for businesses and $\$ 14$ for employees. Average local fee suggestions were $\$ 31$ and $\$ 19$ for businesses and employees, respectivel Table 22.

Table 21
LICENSING RECOMMENDATIONS


Several of the regulatory agencies recommended hat initial license fees be somewhat higher than renewal fees, and that a deposit be required on badges issued by the regulatory agency. (At present, St. Louis charges a $\$ 25$ training fee.) It was also frequently mommended that fees be set to cover costs of processcommeding the background of the applicant. Ther jelt that fees should The Newark, New Jersey, just the applicant , not fees to processing costs. The City C agency would set fees to cover costs, but within the means of low-income applicants. The Oakland, California, agency indicated the
processing cost per applicant is approximately $\$ 46$.

## AGE, EDUCATION, AND RESIDENCY

Minimum personnel age requirements were recommended by 32 of the 39 regulatory agencies. The minimum age was never set higher than 21 years and in five cases was set as low as 18 years. The Washington, D.C., agency recommended 18 years of age for guards, but 21 years for investigators. The Maryland and New Jersey agencies specified 21 years for armed guards but 18 years for those that are not armed guards, butas incer indicated that persons under 21 The Dallas agency indicated that persons under 21 are usually not mature enough to accept the responsibility of carrying a gun unless extensively trained. The Connecticut agency suggested that personnel be tween 18 and 21 be allowed to work as trainees.

Only 7 regulatory agencies recommended setting maximum age limits. The maximum ages recommended range from 60 to 65 years. The Kansas City agency suggested that no maximum age should be set, since many companies hire pensioners. St. Louis County agency officials felt the maximum age limit hould depend on the type of job and the physical apability of the employee. The City A agency asserted that most present guards are too old to be proficient in the use of a gun.
Mandatory minimum educational requirements were recommended by 21 and opposed by 13 regulatory agencies. Literacy or an eighth-grade education was recommended by 2 agencies for guards and by 5 agencies for all personnel. High-school education was recommended by 9 agencies for all personnel, by an additional 5 agencies for investigators, by an additional 1 agency for patrolmen, and by 2 agencies for all armed personnel. Two agencies recommended polygraph-school graduation for lie-detection examiners. The City $\mathbf{C}$ agency suggested that educational standards be set for any personnel working with the public, and the Newark agency recommended some
college education for all supervisors. City A indicated that educational standards are necessary because, with the low rate of pay for guaeds, agencies are not conmed with education. The North Dakota agency cernended that ideally, private investigators should responded that, ideall, privat a minimum of one have a college educatro year of law-school training. However, the Salem, Oregon, agency pointed out that since patrolmen's wages are very low and those persons accepting such jobs are quite old for the work, it is doubtful that qualified persons could be obtained if educational standards were above the high-school level. The Dallas agency reasoned that literacy is necessary so that personnel can understand the laws governing them.

Residency or citizenship requirements were recomResidency or mended by 26 Florida agency felt such requireonly 8 agencies. The Florida agency felt such requirements should be placed on security managers but not on employees. Five agencies indicated that citizenship requirements would be desirable, but not residency requirements. Six months, 1 year, or 3 years were the periods of residency specifically recommended. The St. Louis County, Missouri, agency felt that residency restrictions are beneficial because they help maintain jurisdictional and regional control over licensees. The jursor indicated that it is often Nort Dis juricion over a nonresident pri. difficult to acquire jurisdicion arrer vate investigator who has committed a misdemeanor which is in violation of the licensing laws. The Dallas, Texas, agency reasoned that licensees or managers should be local residents for at least 3 years so that their criminal record and reputation would be easily ascertainable.

Detailed responses on age, education, citizenship, and residency requirements are given in Table 23.

## EXPERIENCE

Thirteen state regulatory agencies favored, and 2 opposed, setting minimum requirements of prior rele vant work experience for licensees. In contrast, only 7 local regulatory agencies favored such requirements while 13 opposed them. The suggested minimum length of experience for investigative agency operators ranged from 2 to 5 years, while the range for guard ranged from 2 to agency managers was lower- 1 to 4 years. No regulaagency managers was lower-1 to 4 years. No regula
tory agency recommended that security employees be tory agency recommended that security employees
required to have prior security experience. The St required to have prior security experience. The
Louis County agency indicated that prior experience need not be required of employees because they woul

Table 22
REGULATORY AGENGY SURVEY: LICENSE-PERIOD AND FEE RECOMMENDATIONS


Table 23
REGULATORY AGENGY SURVEY: AGE, EDUCATION, AND RESIDENCY RECOMMENDATIONS


a 18 , if mature and pass psychological test.
b 18 , with special permission from regulatory
$\cdots$.
be trained prior to licensing in that county. Table 24 gives the detailed survey responses.

## BACKGROUND CHECK

Thirty-three regulatory agencies recommended federal, state, and local criminal records checks for all licensees. Another 4 agencies recommended that 2 of the 3 levels of records checks. be conducted. Nearly every agency would deny a license to a convicted felon, although 3 agencies would ignore convictions occurring more than 5,10 , or 20 years ago. Fifteen agencis would deny a license on the basis of a convidion a crime involving moral turpitude, and for certain misdemeanor convictions. Five agencies said that any arrest record might result in a denial. The St. Loui County, Missouri, agency cautions that criminal rec ords checks should be conducted in the applicants ormer cities of residence, even if they are out of tate; the agency also suggests that acceptance or re jection should not be based on the simple presence or absence of arrests or convictions, but rather should be determined by the total profile of the applicant. Th Kentucky agency feels that renewals should be granted only after updating criminal records checks. In New Jersey, the fingerprint check has revealed that more than 20 percent of the employees of private detective 20 percent of the employees of private arrest records.
Of the 39 responding regulatory agencies, 27 would check an applicant's personal reference, 26 would check his neighbors, and 33 would check his past employers. Some agencies recommeneck has credit, education, military record, or church activities response othence, the St. Louis police pointed out that certain otherwise undetectable traits may be revealed by background investigation of neighbors, realed by a past employment. On the other hand, the $K$ ances, and Missouri, agency indicated that alt,
background checks are desirable, they are unreal istically demanding in terms of the manpower they would require.

Additional details on the survey responses concerning background checks are given in Table 25.

## BONDING AND INSURANCE

Every responding state regulatory agency recommended that bonding be mandatory. They recommended bond levels of $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 300,000$ for all licensees. Fifteen localities favored mandatory bonding, while 7 opposed it. The average state and local recommended bond levels were $\$ 28,000$ and $\$ 15,000$, respectively.
Only 3 regulatory agencies recommended mandatory insurance, at levels ranging from $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 500,000$. Refer to Table 26 for further details.
The New Jersey agency cautioned that bonds are typically conditioned for "faithful and honest conduct" of the private detective business and indicated that successful legal action against these bonds is infrequent because of the vagueness of the bondin conditions. The Oakland agency raised the legal question of the possible liability of the licensing governmental body. They felt it necessary to indemnify the mental body. They felt it necessary to indemnify the
governmental body agains: actions of the contract governmental body agains: actions of the contract agencies. The Dallas agency indicated that withou being bonded or insured, only a few of the major private security companies would be able to defend the rights of their employees or customers.

## LICENSEE TESTING

Two-thirds of the regulatory agencies surveyed fa vored mandatory written examinations for new licensees. All but 2 agericies opposed written tests for license renewals. The test topics suggested are presented in Table.27. Generally, it was recommended

REGULATORY AGENCY SURVEY: MINIMUM EXPERIENGE RECOMMENDATIONS (years)


[^6]REGULATORY AGENCY SURVEI Table 25

|  |  | Grounds for license denial based on criminal record |  | Other checks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States: |  |  |  |  |
| California. | $\checkmark \quad v \quad 1$ | Felony or moral turpitude crime conviction. <br> Felony or mal | $\checkmark$ | Credit, education, military. |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Connecticut | $\checkmark \quad \downarrow$ |  |  |  |
| Floridara.. |  | viction. | $\checkmark \quad \vee \quad \downarrow$ |  |
|  | $\sqrt[v]{v} \underset{v}{v}$ | Felony, morals, theft or assault conviction. |  |  |
| Indiana. . |  |  |  |  |
| Iowa. | $\checkmark \downarrow$ | Felony conviction. | $\begin{array}{llll}\checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark\end{array}$ |  |
| Kentucky, | $\begin{array}{ccc}\checkmark & \checkmark & \\ \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark\end{array}$ | Felony arrests or conviction. <br> Felony or serious | $\begin{array}{lll}v & v & v\end{array}$ | Credit, education, military. |
| Maryland. | $\begin{array}{llll}\checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark\end{array}$ |  | $\left.\begin{array}{lll} v & v & v \\ v & v & v \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  |
| Michigan. |  | Felony, morals, or specified misdemeanor conviction. | $\checkmark \quad v$ | Extensive, |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Nebraska.. | $\begin{array}{lll}\checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark \\ & \checkmark & \checkmark \\ & \checkmark\end{array}$ | Felony conviction. |  |  |
| Nevada... | $\begin{array}{lll}v & v & v\end{array}$ | Felony conviction. | $\begin{array}{lll}v \\ v & \checkmark & \checkmark \\ v & \checkmark & v\end{array}$ |  |
| New Jersey. |  | conviction. <br> Felony or moral turpitude crime conviction. <br> Felony or specified misdemeanor conviction. <br> Habitual offender. |  | Credit, church. |
| North Dakota |  |  |  | Credit, other state license bureaus. |
| Ohio (Peace Officer Trainirg Council), | $\begin{array}{lll}\checkmark & \checkmark & \\ \checkmark\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark \begin{array}{lll}\checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark\end{array}$ |  | $\checkmark$ V |  |
| Texas........ | $\checkmark$ v $\quad \downarrow$ |  | $\checkmark \begin{array}{lll} \\ \checkmark & \checkmark\end{array}$ |  |
| Cities and counties: |  |  |  |  |
| Birmingham, Ala. |  | Felony, morals, drunk, or dishonesty crime conviction. <br> Felony or moral turpitude crime conviction. | $\checkmark \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow$ |  |
| Los Angeles, Calif. | $\checkmark \quad \downarrow$ V |  |  |  |
| Oakland, Calif. | $\checkmark$ V |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| San Jose, Calif. |  |  |  |  |
| San Diego Couriy | $\begin{array}{lll}v & \checkmark & \checkmark \\ \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark\end{array}$ | viction. <br> Felony conviction. |  |  |
| Denver, Colo... |  | Felony, sex, or narcotics. <br> Felony conviction in last 10 years |  |  |
| Wichita, Kans | $\begin{array}{ccc}v & \checkmark & \checkmark \\ \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | ( Felcny and certain misdemeanor con- | $\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow$ |  |
| Batumore, Md... Kansas City, Mo. | $\begin{array}{lll}\checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| Kansas City, Mo. |  | Felony conviction or numerous arrests | $\checkmark \quad \downarrow \quad \vee$ |  |
| St. Louis, Mo. | $\checkmark \begin{array}{lll}\downarrow & \checkmark & \checkmark\end{array}$ | of any type. |  |  |
| St. Louis County. ..... Newark, N.J. |  | Violent crime or felony convictions, morals arrest. |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{lll} \\ v & v & v \\ v & v & v\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | Adult convictions, or arrests indicating | $\cdots \begin{array}{lll}\checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark \\ \checkmark & \checkmark & v\end{array}$ |  |
| Cleveland, Ohio. |    <br> $v$ $v$  <br> $v$ $v$  <br> $v$   <br> $v$ $v$  <br>    | pattern of criminality. <br> Felony conviction in last 20 years...... <br> Felony or morals conviction. <br> Felony conviction. $\square$ <br> .... |  |  |
| Okla. City, Okla. |  |  | $\begin{array}{llll} & \checkmark & \checkmark \\ & \checkmark & \checkmark \\ \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark \\ \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark \\ & & \\ \checkmark\end{array}$ |  |
| Salem, Oreg... |  |  |  |  |
| Pitsburgh, Pa. |  |  |  |  |

Table 25 (Cont.)

that tests cover topics relevant to the private security occupation, with the most frequently advocated topic being the law and the legal powers of the security personnel. The Kentucky agency recommended that situation-reaction questions be included.
The Director of the California Licensing Board expressed his belief that testing was a very imprecise expressed his belief that testing was a very imprecise
measure of competency. However, he felt that if testing is set at a level oi difficulty that permits an acceptable number of applicants to pass, it tends to "weed out those who are totally unfit."
Nine localities, but only 2 states, favored psychological testing of employees. Two respondents indicated that psychological testing would be desirable but very expensive. Three agencies questioned whether a usable, accurate psychological test was available. The North Dakota respondent felt that such tests would have to be sufficiently accurate to indicate mental instabilities of a nature that would preclude issuance of a license.

## TRAINING AND RETRAINING

Twenty-six responding agencies advocated manda tory training for certain types of private security per sonnel, while only 2 opposed it. A smaller majority, 18 agencies, far ored mandatory retraining, while only 5 opposed it. Those recommending retraining typically
favored firearms retraining one to four times each year, and other types of retraining once or twice each year. The length of retraining programs recommended by survey respondents ranged from 3 to 24 hours and averaged 12 hours. The length of recommended training programs ranged from 12 to 150 hours and averaged 58 hours. The Ohio Peace Officer Training Council, which has studied the issue of training private security personnel in some detail, recommends a 120 -hour program. The detailed 120 . hour curriculum currently in use in Ohio is described in companion report R-870-DOJ.

Detailed recommendations concerning training and retraining are presented in Table 28. The areas in which initial training was most frequently suggested are the use of firearms, the law, and the legal author ity of private security personnel. A detailed descrip. tion of the amount of training and retraining time recommended by each regulatory agency for specifo topics is presented in Appendix E.

## BADGES, IDENTIFICATION CARDS, AND UNIFORMS

All but one regulatory agency recommended thal private security employees be required to carry specid. employee identification cards. The agencies unarit mously recommended that regulations be establishel governing allowable types of private security und

REGULATORY AGENCY SURVEY; Table 26


Table 27
REGULATORY AGENGY SURVEY: RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TESTS

regulatory agency survey: training and retraining recommendations

|  | Mandatory training | Mandatory retraining | Frequency of retraining |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Firearms range (times per year) | Other retraining (times per year) |
| States: |  |  |  |  |
| California | Yes. |  | 4 | 1 |
| Connecticut. | Yes. | Yes. | 1 | 1 |
| Delaware. |  |  |  |  |
| Florida. | Yes. | Yes. | 2 | . 1 |
| Indiana. |  |  |  |  |
| Iowa. |  | Yes. | 24 | 2 |
| Kentucky. | Yes....... | No. | 1 |  |
| Maryland. | No. | No. |  |  |
| Michigan | Yes. | Yes. | 4 | . |
| Minnesota. | Yes. | No. |  |  |
| Nebraska.. |  |  |  |  |
| Nevada. |  |  |  |  |
| New Jersey.. |  |  |  |  |
| North Dakota... | No. | No. |  |  |
| Ohio (Peace Officer 'Training Council). | Yes. |  |  |  |
| 'Texas... | Yes. | Yes. | 2 | 1 |
| Average.... |  |  | 5.4 | 1.2 |
| Cities and counties: |  |  |  |  |
| Birminghara, Ala.. | Yes ${ }^{\text {a }}$.... |  |  |  |
| Los Angeles, Calif. | Yes. | Yes. |  |  |
| Oakland, Calif. | Yes. | Yes. | 4 | None |
| San Jose, Calif. . . | Yes. | Yes. | 2 | 1 |
| San Diego County. | Yes. | Yes. | 6 | 1 |
| Denver, Colo. .... | Yes. | Yes. | 2 | 12 |
| Baltimore, Md. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kansas City, Mo. | Yes. |  |  |  |
| St. Louis, Mo.... | Yes. |  |  |  |
| St. Louis County. | Yes. | Yes. | 1 | 1 |
| Newark, N.J.... | Yes. | Yes, | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salem, Oreg..... Pittsburgh, Pa.... | Yes, | Yes. | 3 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| King County, Wash. | Yes, | Yes. | 2 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| City A. | Yes. | Yes.. | 12 | 2 |
| City $\mathrm{B} .$. City C. | Yes. |  | 4 | 2 |
| Average. |  | Yes.. | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 3.3 | 2.4 |

[^7]forms, insignia, and badges and that such regulations require uniforms and badges to be distinctly different from those of the public police.

The Oakland, California, agency suggested that identification cards should bear the holder's picture and physical description. The North Dakota agency fit that use of any type of uniform or identifica ton card causes the public to tend to associate pri ate investigators or security personnel with state lawnforcement personnel; and the licensees themselves arcement personnel; and are agents of the stateoften begin to think as if they are agh Louis, Misa possibly dangerous situation. The souri, police noted that special and distinctive idention cation cards, badges, and uniforms make inspection and control easier; these devices also identify private security personnel, their authority, and more mallas portantly, the limitations of that authority. The Dallas respondent reasoned that uniforms should belice so tinctly different from those of the pould not expec that people, other than custom agencies and personnel the same service from private agence.

## WEAPONS

Certain types of security and investigative personnel on certain types of assignments would be prohibited from carrying firearms by 19 regulatory agencies, but 9 agencies would impose no such prohibitions. Similar recommendations were made regarding concealed frearms. Two agencies would allow only special police orry firearms. Others would prohibit guns for onary firearms. On in nonhazardous jobs, and offinvestigators, persons in nonhazardous jobs, andions, duty personnel, or in especially volathe allow firearms such as strikes. Three agencres would allo
only if personnel were adequately trained.
Sixteen agencies would prohibit certain weapons other than firearms, but the same number would not. The California Licensing Director suggested that batons or mace might be permitted in lieu of firearm in certain cases. Other specific recommendations are presented in Table 29.

## CONTROL OF PRIVATE SECURITY

## METHODS AND PROCEDURES

Private security and investigative personnel and agencies employ a wide variety of methods and operating procedures. Each of the following methods or procedures was recommended by at least one regulaprocedures tory agency:

No person engaged in any private business shall use any title similar to those used by public agency personnel. No private person shall represent himself a use any sign, word, or dewat he is acting or purport. false or mistaken balf of governmental agency.
ing to act on behalf of any ghall connect an auto.

- No burglar-alarm company to the public police matic-dialing device withoum that automatically dial the public police could flood the telephone lines in the event of a widespread emergency such as an earthquak. this reason, it is recommended that automato-dacial ing alarms be either prohibited or keyed into spethat numbers at the public police departms.
they cannot tie up the regular numbers.
Every person maintaining a burglar-alarm shall post a notice containing the names, notified to and telephone numbers of persons the hour of the day render repair or serilarm rings.
No burglar alarm shall be installed that makes a Nound similar to that of a siren used on emergency vehicles.
- Upon notification by the public police department - Upon nother source that a burglar alarm is ringing the permittee shall immediately dispatch an agen to the location. In no event shall a delay in excess of 1 hour be permitted. When a sleall dispatch an has been activated, the permittee shall copent shall agent immediately to the be permitted.
a delay in excess of 1 hour be permitted.
- Each alarm permittee shall clearly inseration of the scribers in the proper use and on the faction that can cause false alarms. Periodic inspections shall be made by the permittee to reeducate the sub. scriber.
- Each alarm system shall be inspected and service at least once each year
- To help control the number of false alarms, a fee shall be charged for every time the public police respond to the alarm (presently, 95 to 98 perces on of all alarms are false). Fees could be based public police costs; an average of 40 murglar-alamm of public police the alarm company might charge clients voluntarily for false alarms.
- Private security officers may perform their dutite - only at the specific location authorized by the licens: or registration.
- Private securite personnel shall furnish the Chief 0 - Police with any and all reports when requested.
- Upon discharging his firearm or becoming enga in physical combat or serious altercation, a priva security officer shall telephone the headquarters the police division in which the incident occurm as soon as possible.
- Private security officers shall not perform ${ }^{\text {their duan }}$ while under the influence of any intoxicant or wal cotic, nor shall they parcaniform and not on dut
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${ }^{4}$ Prohibit under certain conditions.

Private security personnel may not carry firearms Private securny
capable of being concealed except when in
and
or with special authorization from the po suspend the commission of any private security employee upo commission of armation that the employee has been arrested or has been ac used rules and regulations.

- Any employee in the licensed private detective business who willfully makes a false report with respect to any manner or thity of a misdemeanor.
On notice from the responsible regulatory agency,
- On notice from the responsible advertising, seal, or card which, in the opinion of the regulatory agency, card which, in thislead the public.
- Only the licensed address and business name of a private security organization may be used in any advertisement, letterhead, etc.
No licensee shall publish, or cause to be published, any fraudulent or misl ment.
- No person who is or has been an employee of a licensee shall divulge to anyone other than his employer, unless directed by his employer and excep as he may be required by law, any information acquired by him during such employment in rest to any of the work to which he was assigned, or any other information related to the business of hisoemployer gained during such emplos ciation.
- Any advertisement by the licensee soliciting business shall contain his name and addr
in the record of the State Police.
- Any use of devices or be prohibited, because a person vade privacy sho state always carries the apparent licensed by the state always carries doing, since the authority to do whatever he is dimg as a state public
officer.
No licensee shall offer to do business at a location No licensee shaly the location of an answering service which is merely the fare of that fact is made in th advertisement or offer.
- No private investigator or agency may perform any services on a contingent or percentage basis. That is, the compensation to be paid wholly contingent services may not be partially or who of money or upon a percentage of dependent in any way upon property recoverieved.
Active public police officers may not operate as pri-- Active public po
vate detectives. - No private client or a former client related to a matter with respect to which the investigator has obtained confidential information by reason of, or in the course of, his employment by such a or former client.
- All stakeouts or surveillance situations
municated to the local police department.
A patrol agency shall have a competent person con-
tantly in attendance between 6 p.m. receive and send telephone messages.
Private patrol services shall furnish the police de partment the names and times a year.
- A private investigator must have the approval of - local Chief of Police to conduct an investigation.
- Guards in armored cars and privatio.
must learn the proper use of the radio.
- Solicitation for personal-injury investig.
for attorney referrals shall be regulated. the public
Private policemen shall volunteer to
- Private policemen shall voluntion they may have or police department all information thinal.
receive related to any crime or are armed may carry
Private security persy when on duty at the premises or
their weapons only district guarded, or when going directly to and from such premises or districts.
- Private security officers must file a report with the licensing agency every time their firearm is dis. charged away from a training range.
- If a private security officer is arrested, detained, taken into custody for questioning or of the inciden! having committed a crime, licensing agency within must be
24 hours.
- The public police department shall prepare, pubisi - The public police depar of rules and regulations. and maintain a bublic policemen should be pro
- Moonlighting moonlighting policemen are saying effect, "We can't protect you as public servants, bu with private pay we can," a definite conflict of inter est, since the employer is buying public police pro est, since the his security dollar.
tection with
- Each person, partnership, firm, or corporation erating under the provisions of the Private gator's Act is required to mations and reports mad record of all business transaction of the ageng in connection when or agency receives a verbal When any de of its agents, a summary shall be maul port from verbal report and this summary, togethy with written reports, shall be kept on file at leas with w.
- Polygraph examiners shall notify each subject of $u$ - Polygraph exam nature of the examination. Upy vequest, the subject shall be told the results of to examination.
- All records of licensees should be subject to ingse tion by the regulatory agency


## DEPUTIZATION

A majority, 19, of the responding regulatory age cies did not recommend giving any private secul cies dunnel full public police powers. However, agencies would allow deputization in certain circu stances. The following are represencative of the ments made by the regulatory agencies conceri deputization:

Only those who are charged with the protection of persons and/or property should be deputized.

- Private industry has a real need for full police powers. However, at this time, the system of hirin could not permit this.
- Private security personnel might be deputized in times of an extreme emergency, such as a tornado, flood, uncontrolled fire, or riot.
- All types of trained security officers could be issued temporary deputization permits during an emergency and only for the duration of the emergency.
- Private security officers should not be deputized because if more polic hired by the city.
- Private security personnel may be deputized where public building.
- Licensed personnel should have full police powers only while on duty and only while on licensed premises.
- Certain agents employed at large businesses should be deputized to allow these businesses the capability of having readily available officers at no cost to the public.


## INTERACTIONS BETWEEN PUBLIC POLICE AND PRIVATE SECURITY

Several thoughtful replies were submitted in response to an open-ended question on interactions between public police and private security, proper roles for private security, and crime reporting. The most frequent recommendation (made by 12 of the responding regulatory agencies) was that it be mandatory for private security personnel to report any and all knowledge of all crimes. The following responses were also given:

- The purpose of both public and private security Investigative personnel is to protect persons and property. Public police should be directed at the overall picture; in other words, all persons should be protected and all property should be protected ard. Private investigative or security agencies are designed for those firms or persons that are in need of additional investigative or security measures and are able and willing to pay for such services.
- Public police should respond to calls for assistance from private investigative or security agencies with the same degree of efficiency as to calls from zany other citizen.
Mutual cooperation between public and private security officers should. be based on a predetermined policy.
Complete cooperation between public and private
security officers is the eyes and ears of both. Watchmen should be police department. Their actions should be limited to detaining suspects, by arrest if necessary until the public police arrive. All information and/or
vidence gathering must remain the responsibility of the public police officer.
The St. Louis Police Department prepares and maintains a manual of rules and regulations for licensed private security officers as well as a central personnel hile on all private security personnel. The Police private security officers to insure compliance with all rules and regulations.
- With proper training, a guard can be an effective tool for law enforcement. Working hand-in-hand with the public police force, more areas can be everywhere at one time, many areas are left directly unprotected. Hiring of private security personnel would give protection to the unprotected areas and also allow the public police to spend more time patrolling high-crime areas. Some guards, as a result of their license, have the same power of arrest as a public police officer. They do not have any other powers of an officer; that is, they are not empowered to write traffic summons, make official police reports, patrol outside their assigned premises, etc. Private police working in conjunction with the permanent public police force can provide a mor ffective maner ond figy crime
not be privy to records noi normally mannel should to the public in general.
- Private security personnel should act as an extension of the public police service as it pertains to their client's interest, and at no time should they assume responsibility for or perform acts which public police are held responsible for. Public police should bc called at any time when a public domain is threatened or when the private investigation indi ates something outside the scope of the private responsibility.
edge of any known or suspected crimes immediately Once the report is made, further action on their part should be undertaken only with the approval of the public police.
- Both public and private officers need to know the limitation of each other's authority. The private officer must undertake only those duties which he is authorized to perform and must contact the police on all other violations that are observed. The officer effects an arrest or encounters difficulty that is of such a nature as to demand police action tha public agency.
- Definite policy interactions between private and public police should be established. Private security personnel should not provide services normally assigned to the public police. Procedures for crime reporting should be established whereby incident normally coming within public police jurisdiction would be reported.
- Contract agencies must maintain 24 -hour communi cation capability with the local police.
- Private police may be called if the situation has gotten out of hand and cannot be controlled by the
public polise. They should be under the direct super wision and control of the local public police.
- Private agencies should be called on to assist with
- traffic control.
- Thete should be a good working agreement between - Thete should be a goolice; for instance, during a riot public police should not have to maintain security at a factory known to be protected by privere police, Pubiic police should be called whenever there is a violation of the law. This is difficuit in cases of minor charges at the present time departments.
manpower problems of rity officer may be envisioned
- A second level of sechigly trained and closely inte--a quasipolicom law enforcement, performing all those grated with law enforcement, pers prepared to delegate. Should such a category of private officers evolve, it must be the creature of law enforcement, based upon its assessment of how private security can best function for the public good.


## ADEQUACY OF CURRENT <br> REGULATORY BUDGETS, STAFE <br> LEVELS, AND WORKLOADS

The average private security regulatory agency has a staff of 3.5 full-time personnel, split roughly equally a staff of clerical and inyestigative personnel. Two between clerical and hey had no full-time personagencies reported that they had inda, has 14 full-time nel, while one agency, in Forida, has 14 . One state employees (the largest staff reported). One state agency reported that the heensing statute administered by one public police invesgator This dition to his other normal investigative duties. This investigator reportedly devotes, at best, less than 10 investigator hepent of his time to the licensing of all private depectives in the entire state. Data on budget and staft levels for each agency are given in Table 30.
The average regulatory agency budget for the states was $\$ 49,400$ per year. Localities averaged somewhat less, spending an average of $\$ 44,000$ per year.* Caliless, spending ant average of reporting agency, $\$ 105$,cormin spent the we note that some of the reported 000. However, we note inat some salaries, expenses, budgets were too low to include all salaries, expent the and overhead. Also, several agencies supplement the regular staff with part-time public police invest gators who are probably not included in the budget.

Data on the regulatory staff workload are presented in Table 31. The average state agency reported expending 14 man hours on each license appl. The aver17 man-hours on each complaint received. The aver-
The nctual average figure of $\$ 15,000$ shows in Table
So does not include salaries of the St. Louis agency person-
nel. Assuming ressonable values for these salaries brings the nel, Assuming reasonable
averago spending up to $\$ 44,000$.
age figure for local regulatory agencies was 5 manhours per complaint or license application. But time spent on individual applications varied from hours. Time spent investigating complaints also varied widely among regulatory agencies, from 1 to 40 hours per complaint.

The number of existing licensees or registrants per regulatory staff member ranged from 6 in North Dakota and Salem, Oregon, to 596 in Los Angeles, California. The state average was 109 . 240 . The staff member, and the city average was highar city average probably reflects the higher pro. portion of employee registrants in relation to security portion licensees.

Halt of the regulatory agencies responding to our survey indicated that they did not have sufficient per. sonnel to perform assigned functions adequately. The arencies reporting a current staff-level inadequacy felt they needed an additional 1 to 6 employees, felt they neerm would be investigators. The average to 4 of whom pould beed for a 126 percent increase state agency noted a need for a 126 percent increase in. in. in total employees and a 92 percent increase inded vestigators. The average local agency indicated need to increase the number of total employees and investigators by 77 and 96 percent, respectively (see Table 32). The additional personnel would be mo often used to conduct background investigations applicants. Some agencies felt that background in vestigations were adequately performed, but tha vestigatint investigations and enforcement of regula complains would benefit from additional personnel.

## ADEQUACY OF PRESENT REGULATION

Eleven regulatory agencies felt that present regula. tions, enforcement mechanisms, and sanctions wert inadequate; 14 found them adequate; and 14 woul not venture an opinion. However, as indicated in pre ceding sections, nearly all of the agencies recom mended improvements in some aspect of curren regulation.
Table 33 indicates the regulators' estimates of ner staff members required to fully implement all recorit mended changes in regulation. The range is from to 12 total new employees, 0 to 8 of whom would . investigators.
Several of the agencies recommended changes regulatory mechanisms or sanctions. Those not cor ered in earlier sections of this report are describe below.

Table 30
REGULATORY AGENGY SURVEY: BUDGET AND STAFF LEVEL


Table 31 SURE WORKLOAD


REGULATORY AGENGY SURVEY: ADEQUAGY OF GURRENT STAFF LEVEL

|  | Is the current regulatory staff level adequate to implement the present statute? | New staff needed |  | Use of personnel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total/percent New personnel | Total/percent new investiga-tory personnel |  |
| States: |  |  |  |  |
| California.... | Yes............. |  |  |  |
| Connecticut. | No............ | 2/33 | 2/100 | Background investigations. |
| Delaware..... | Yes. .............. |  |  |  |
| Florida. . | No............... | $6 / 43$ $5 / 500$ | 4/57 | All regulatory functions. |
| Indiana.. | No. .............. | 5/500 | 4/- | Field investigations. |
| Iowa.. | No.. | $1 / 50$ | 1/100 |  |
| Kentucky. | Yes. |  |  | , |
| Maryland. | No. | 3/75 | 2/100 | Spot checks in the field. |
| Michigan............ | No. | 3/86 | 2/100 | Investigate complaints, enforce regulations. |
| Minnesota............. | No. | $1 / 50$ | 1/- |  |
| Nebraska.. | Yes............... |  |  |  |
| Nevada... | Yes............... |  |  |  |
| New Jersey. . . . . . . . . | No. | 3/100 | 2/100 | Investigation of applicants and complaints. |
| North Dakota......... | Yes. |  |  |  |
| Ohio (Peace Officer Training Council). |  |  |  |  |
| Texas................ | No................ | 4/200 | 2 - | All functions. |
| Average State........ |  | 1126 | 192 |  |
| Cities and counties: |  |  |  |  |
| Birmingham, Ala...... |  |  |  |  |
| Los Angeles, Calif. . . . . | Yes, |  |  |  |
| Oi kland, Calif. ....... | No. | 2/29 | 1/25 | Records and correspondence. |
| San Jose, Calif. . . . . . . |  |  |  |  |
| San Diego Co., Calif. . . | No. | . $2 / 100$ | 1/100 | Background and field investigations. |
| Wichita, Kans | No. | 2/100 | 2/100 | Background investigations. |
| Baltimore, Md......... Kansas City, Mo. | Yes................. |  | ............. |  |
| St. Louis, Mo.......... | Yes................... |  |  |  |
| St. Louis Co., Mo. | No. | $2 / 100$ | 1/100 | Inspection and liaison. Background investigations. |
| Newark, N.J.......... |  |  |  |  |
| Cleveland, Ohio..... | Yes. |  |  |  |
| Oklahoma City, Okla. . |  |  |  | ; |
| Salem, Oreg. ........ | Yes. |  |  |  |
| Pitsburgh, Pa......... |  |  |  |  |
| Dallas, Tex........... | Yes................ |  |  |  |
| Richmond, Va. ........ | Yes................ |  |  |  |
| King Co., Wash........ <br> Washington, D.C. | No. | $2 / 100$ | 2/200 |  |
| City A.......... | Yes . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  |
| City B................ | No................... | $1 / 33$ | $11 / 50$ |  |
| City C............... |  |  |  |  |
| Average City. |  | 177 | 196 |  |

Table 33
regulatory agency survey: adequacy of present regulation


## Oakland, California

Oakland presently has an ordinance requirin specified levels of physical protection for businesses

## California

The disciplinary procedure in the state of Cailifornia is perhaps more cumbersome and unwieldy than most. The exacting, expensive, and very lengthy procedure limits the utilization of formal discipline for budgetary reasons, if for no other. The statute makes no pro vision for informal settlements, but in practio pos agency is successful in securing compliance and justing disputes in all the lesser complaint
Fines should be provi

## Kansas City, Missouri

Present ordinances provide for legal action against the individual employee. Legal sanctions against the private security agency itself would be
desirable.

## St. Louis, Missouri

Better control might be achieved if the contract emproper suppliers were licensed by the city. Then would provide the regulatery of the security agency against the business regulatory agency with recourse vidual licensed employee as well as against the indi-

## New Jexsey

Offenses now classified as indictable misdemeanors of in Municipal ded so that they could be disposed

## North Dakota

Rather than licensing private investigators and state shoull seling forth what they ean do, enact laws require a sizable bond, issue no licenses, cannot do and forth what private investigator tend to remo and provide stiff penalties. This would
an erroneous image of state sanction.
LEVEL OF REGULATION: STATE OR LOCAX
cies unanimously, the present state regulatory agendustry should be regulat that the private security intheir opinion we regulated at the state level. However fecieral regulations divided equally on whether local or agencies would allow should also exist. Seven state 4 felt that some federal renal local regulation, while priate (see Table 34).

The present local regulatory agencies were unan agously in favor of local regulation and unanimously against any federal regulation. However, 11 favored state regulation, while only 2 opposed it
Opiniohs expressed on the division of regulatory ments typically inecorded below. In brief, the comments typically indicate a desire for state-level regulabut also a duplication and encourage uniformity, ion of certain aspects of the tailoring and implementathat local agencies have closer colion on grounds industry.

## California

Employees should be cleared by locai jurisdictions avoicing once cleared, there should be means for jurisdictions.*

Local regulatory agencies are infinitely better and prosecute miscondugencies to detect, investigate, employees. The negligible on the part of security ployee misconduct that number of cases of emBuree misconduct that was reported to the State Bureau in California demonstrates (i) that a state agency is not a handy place to turn to and (2) that the localities must be handling their own problems.
Most private security graphic areas too small agencies operate in geo practical and too small to make federal regulation jurisdictions to control withow cities and other local Basically, state regulation is bestessive duplication. ulating the use of communications Federal laws regof the mail, and crimes in interstatevices, the use sufficient. Localities should regulate uniforms onse are ons permits, employee clearangate uniforms, weapthe penal code.

## Denver, Colorado

Regulation should be at the local level, since prob lems are local in nature.

## Kentucky

Regulation should be vested primarily at the state level, since many private police agencies operate across local, political subdivision jurisdictions. How ever, final approval for licensing of agencies showrequire endorsements of the public police in eard locality where they maintain an office.

[^8]REGULATORY AGENGY SURVEY: GOVERNMENTAL LEVEL OF REGULATION

| Jurisdiction | Recommended governmental level of regulation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Local | State | Federal |
| States: |  |  |  |
| California | Yes. | Yes....... | Yes. |
| Connecticut. |  | Yes...... | No. |
| Delaware. | No.... | Yes. | No. |
| Florida. . | Yes. | Yes. |  |
| Indiana. |  |  |  |
| Iowa,.... | No. | Yes. | No. |
| Kertucky... | Yes, | Yes. |  |
| Maryland. | Yes. | Yes. | Yes. |
| Michigan. . | No. |  | No. |
| Minnesota. | No. | Yes. | No. |
| Nebraska. |  | Yes. |  |
| Nevada. | No...... | Yes. |  |
| New Jersey. | Yes. | Yes. |  |
| North Dakota. | Yes. | Yes. | Yes. |
| Ohio (Peace Officer Training Council) |  | Yes. |  |
| Texas. | Yes, | Yes. | Yes. |
| Cities and counties: |  |  |  |
| Birmingham, Ala.. |  |  |  |
| Los Angeles, Calif. | Yes. |  |  |
| Oakland, Calif. | Yes. | Yes. | No. |
| San Jose, Calif.... |  | Yes. |  |
| San Diego County... |  |  |  |
| Denver, Colo. | Yes. |  |  |
| Wichita, Kans., | Yes. | Yes. |  |
| Baltimore, Md. . |  |  |  |
| Kansas City, Mo. |  | Yes, |  |
| St. Louis, Mo. . | Yes. | No. | No. |
| St. Louis County. | Yes | Yes. |  |
| Newark, N.J... |  | Yes |  |
| Cleveland, Ohio... | Yes. |  |  |
| Oklahoma City, Okla |  |  |  |
| Salem, Oreg. . | Yes | Yes. |  |
| Pittsburgh, Pa. . |  |  |  |
| Dallas, Tex... | Yes. | Yes. |  |
| Richmond, Va... | Yes. |  |  |
| King County, Wash. | Yes | No. | No. |
| Washington, D.C. |  |  |  |
| City A . | Yes. | Yes. |  |
| City $\mathbf{B}$. | Yes. | Yes. |  |
| City C. | Yes. . | Yes. |  |

## Maryland

Federal responsibility might be desirable if the private security agency operation extends beyond state boundaries.

## Michigan

Enforcement is difficult now and would be that much more difficult if federal regulations were de-
veloped. If local agencies regulated, there would by tou many regulatory agencies.

## innesot

Regulation at more than one level involves needes overlap and inefficiency.

## Kansas City, Missouri

State regulation would assure uniformity.

## St. Louis, Missouri

Since the public responsibility for the contact and actions of any personnel exercising police powers rests on the local police, all control should be at the local level.

## St. Louis County, Missouri

The regulation of security agencies and employe should be established by state law. The state sloyee of private security of private security officers, the qualifications of each category, bonds, fees, and type of training.

The administration of the program should be at the agency.

The type of regulations could be distinguished upon armed.

## Nevada

State control eliminates the duplication a when agencies do business in two or more localities

## Newark, New Jersey

State regulation would appear to be most logical reas, eogran while most of the security agencies cross nature and coves. Regulations should be general in and cover the entire industry.

Ohio
Uniform regulations across localities are needed.

## Salem, Oregon

Regulations should be standard throughout the state. This could be accomplished by setting up rules and regulations governing this type of business. However, agencies should be licensed by the city in which they operate.

## Texas

The only federal regulation should be to assure constitutional rights and to all states. Also, a federal encourage uniformity in clearinghouse for all state agency could serve as a and local agencies.

## Richmond, Virginia

Locai authorities can relate more closely to the leans eacountered. a better understanding of prob-

## City $B$

Licensing should be done at the state level with form regulations weapon permis, establishment of uni form regulations and equipment requirements, and registering of all employees done on the county level so that regulations can be best suited to the problems
indigenous to the

'SS means statute is silent.

## Other information:

Any other regulation is at city and/or county level.


- SS means statute is silent.


## Other information:

 Other regulation is at the city and/or county level.regulation of private security by state of arkansas
(page 1 of 2 pages)

## Business or personnel regulated:



## - SS means statute is silent.

- in hestigators, or special police officers appointed by the state or a city. Act does not apply to insurance or in-house investigators, or special police oficens appores

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY STATE OF ARKANSA (page 2 of 2 pages)

Details of regulation $\quad$| Business or personnel regulated: |
| :---: |
| Polygraph axal |

Regulatory agency
Method of regulation


Licensing requirements:
Written examination
Length of residency
U.S. citizen

Photograph
$\qquad$
Fingerprints
$\qquad$
Prior investigative, security
or law enforcement experien
Educational level $\qquad$
Criminal record check $\qquad$
Grounds for denial $\qquad$

Grounds for suspension or revocation -

Penalties $\qquad$

License or registration period
License fees
Bond/insurance $\qquad$
Special ID cards
Special badge
Training required

$\qquad$
Legal authority above that of
ordinary citizen
Handsuns; Additiona
license required
Restrictions
Proficiency test


Investigator Licensing Board.
Licensing.

Yes.
None
Minimum 21 years old
SS :
SS.
See Education
Bachelor's degree or 5 years investigative experience.
Yes.
Conviction of a felony or misdemeanor involving moral turpitude; false application violation of rules established by the Board; being a drunkard or mental incompetent; demonstration of unworthiness or incompetency; not honest, truthful, morally fit or person of integrity

Same as grounds for denial, plus failure to inform a subject of the nature and of the voluntariness of the examination, or failure to inform the subject of the result of the examination upon the subject's request.

Misdemeanor for violation of Act- $\$ 100$ to $\$ 1,000$ fine and/or 6 months imprison ment

One year.
$\$ 20$ examination fee; $\$ 60$ license fee.
$\$ 1,000$.

SS.
SS.
Ss.
One year internship, or 6 months internship plus polygraph school completion.

SS.

SS.

SS.
" SS means statute is silent.
Other information:
Act of one examiner or trainee will not affect employer. Must inform the person being examined of th nature and voluntariness of the examination. Results of the examination must be disclosed to the subject on request.

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY STATE OF CALIFORNIA (page 1 of 4 pages)

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Private contract investigator agency |
| :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory agency .---------------- | Bureau of Collection and Investigative Services. |
|  | Licensing. |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination --..-.-.-. | Yes. |
| Length of residency -......---- | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Yes, |
|  | Minimum 21 years old. |
| Photograph -------------------- | Yes. |
| Fingerprints ------------------ | Yes, two sets required. |
| Prinr investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | Two years prior experience in investigative work. |
|  | Ss. |
| Criminal record check -------- | Yes. |
|  | Felony conviction; falsification of fingerprints or photographs; illegally using or carrying weapons; bad moral character; previous refusal or revocation of license; being a partner, officer, or manager of one who had license revoked: making false statements on application; while unlicensed, committing any act that required a license; or committing any act of fraud. |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation _ | False statement on application; violation of rules of Director; conviction of fel ony; impersonation of a law-enforcement officer of the United States; committing assault, battery, or kidnapping; knowing violation of any court order; commission of any act that is grounds for denial of application; using letterhead or advertisement that misleads public; using name different than that under which he is currently licensed; commission of any act of dishonesty or fraud, such as falsification of records or application, illegal means of collecting debts, or manufacture of evidence. |
| Penalties ------------------------- | Suspension or fine, at discretion of Director. |
| License or registration period .------ | Two years. |
|  | \$100. |
|  | \$2,000. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | No. |
|  | Must not be similar to public police uniforms. |
|  | Firearms training will become mandatory under new regulations. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | No. |
| Hatdguns: Additional |  |
| license required ------------------- | Yes. |
|  | ss. |
| Proficiency test -.-.-.-.-.-.-.-...- | SS. |

- SS means statute is silent.

Other information:
A person may not act as manager of licensee until his qualifications have been demonstrated by a written or oral examination and his good character proves. The licensee must notify the Director of any change in management within 30 days. Licensee is responsible for the good conduct of his employees. Licensee may act as a private patrol operator. Act does not employee of the U.S. Government or Cy only one employer in connection with the affairs of the employer; an officer or cial ratings (Dunn and Bradstreet); and patrol special officers appointed by the police commission of any city or county Local authoritics may impose local regulations on private patrol operators, or the employees, such as registration with an agency designated by the city. Licensec shall maintain employee records-name, address, commencing date of employment agency designated by the city. Licensec shan

| Details of regulation |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory agency | Bureau of Collection and Licensing. |
| Method of regulation |  |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination <br> Written examination | At discretion of Director <br> SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$. <br> Yes. <br> Minimum 21 years old. <br> Yes. <br> Two sets required. |
| Lengh of residency -------------------- |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Fingerprints $\qquad$ |  |

Business or personnel regulated:
rivate contract guard or patrol
Bureau of Collection and Investigative Services.
Licensing.

At discretion of Director.
Yes.
Yes.

One year as a watchman or the equivalent
SS.
Yes.

Same as for Investigative Agency (see page 1).

Same as for Investigative Agency.

Penalties
License or registration period
icense fees
Bond/insurance $\qquad$
Special ID cards
pecial badge -
pecial uniform
$\qquad$
Training required $\qquad$
Legal authority above that of
ordinary citizen that of
Handguns: Additional
license required
Restrictions
Proficiercy test


## ${ }^{*}$ SS means statute is silent.

Other information:
Licensee may make no investgations
hation" regarding Investigative Agations other than those incidental to role in a guard or pate

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Insurance adjuster (business) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory agency ------..-------- | Bureau of Collection and Investigative Services. |
| Method of regulation ---.-.---.---- | Licensing. |
| Licensing requirements: <br> Written examination |  |
|  | SS ${ }^{\text {. }}$ |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Minimum 21 years old. |
|  | Yes. |
| Fingerprints ------------------ | Two sets required. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | One year as an adjuster or the equivalent. |
| Educational level --.----..---- | ss. |
| Criminal record check _--..--- |  |
|  | Same as for Investigative Agency (see page 1). |
|  | 1 |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | Same as for Investigative Agency. |
|  | Same as for Investigative Agency. |
| License or registration period ------ | Two years. <br> $\$ 25$ for application; $\$ 50$ for license. |
|  |  |
|  | \$2,000. |
|  | Yes. <br> No. <br> SS, <br> SS, |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | No. |
| Handguns: Additional | * |
| license required $\qquad$ Restrictions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yes. } \\ & \text { SS. } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ss. |

${ }^{2}$ SS means statute is silent.

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SEGURITY BY STATE OF GALIFORNIA



[^9]REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY STATE OF CONNECTICUT (page 1 of 3 'pages)

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Private contracs investigator business |
| :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory agency .-.-.-.-.-...-- | State Police. |
| Method of regulation --.-.---.---- | Licensing. |
| Licensing requirements: Writen examination | SS *. |
|  | SS. |
|  | Yes, |
|  | Minimum 25 years old. |
|  | Yes, |
|  | Yes. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | Five years experience as investigator with state, federal, municipal, or private agency. May substitute educational preparation for occupation for one year experience. |

Educational level $\qquad$ Criminal record check $\qquad$
Grounds for denial $\qquad$

Grounds for suspension or revocation -

## Penalties

License or registration period
Licenss fees
-
Bond/insurance $\qquad$
Special ID cards
special badge -
Special uniform
special uniform
Training required
Legal authority above that of
ordinary citizen -
Handguns: Additiona
license required
license required
Restrictions
Proficiency test
' $\$ 8$ means statute is silent.
Other information:
No public police officer may be appointed as detective, inestigator, guard, or watchman

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY STATE OF CONNECTICUT (page 2 of 3 pages)

regulation of private security by state of delaware


[^10]Regulation of private security by State of florida


Criminal record check .-........... Yes.
Grounds for denial $\qquad$
civil rights havacter, competency, or integrity; conviction of a tude or dishonest dealing restored; conviction of crime involving moral turp tude or dishonest dealings; falsifying application; operating unlicensed.
Fraud or willful misrepresentation
of any of the provisions of the Act by the licensee or any of hiswing violation
the licensee or any the licensee or anyone in his employ thas been adjudged guilty of a crimes in
volving moral turpitude a has been in his employ; a false statement by the licensee that any person is or or any of his employees is incomperent of a professional secret; if the licensee est of the general public, or has been convicted of a felony; fagainst the inter-
bond; impersanation render to a client services or anfo، iement officer; willful failure or refusal to any person except in self defense or in the agreed; use of force or violence on ner or a capper for any attorney; commissiofense of a client; acting as a runa denial of an application for license.
Misdemeanor $\because$
imprisonizient. Civil penalty may provisions- $\$ 100$ to $\$ 1,000$ and/or 1 yeai exceed $\$ 100$. Civil penalty may be assessed by Department of State iot year

| Penalties |
| :--- |
| License or registration period |
| License fees |
| Bond/insurance |
| Special ID cards |
| Special badge |
| Special uniform |
| Training required |
| Legal authority above that of |
| ordinary citizen |
| Handguns: Aditional |
| license required |
| Restrictions |
| Proficiency test |
| RS |

One year
$\$ 25$ to $\$ 100$.
$\$ 5,000$.
Yes.
Ss.
ss.

SS.
$\underset{\mathrm{SC} .}{\mathrm{Y} \text {. }}$

Ss.

## statute is silent

Other information:
or credit investigators.
Io insurance adjusters, in-house forces, central station burglar and fire alarm

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SEGURITY BY STATE OF FLORIDA
(page 2 of 2 pages)

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Polygraph examiner |
| :---: | :---: |
| Kegulatory agency .-.-.-...-...--- | Secretary of State. |
|  | Licensing of.personnel. |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination .....-...... | No. |
| Length of residency .-.........- | One year. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Minimum 21 years old. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Yes. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | (also applies to Education, below) Bachelor's degree may be waived for 5 years experience as an investigator with state, federal, or local police, plus high school graduation. |
| Educational level --_--..- | Bachelor's degree or high school graduation, plus experience. |
| Grounds for denial --.-.-.-.-.-.-.-- | Same as for investigative license (see page 1), plus not having reputation for fair dealing, or not having honorable military discharge. |
|  |  |
| Groands for suspension or revocation - | Same grounds as for private investigator. |
|  | Misdemeanor violations of provisions of Act- $\$ 100$ to $\$ 1,000$ and/or 1 year in. prisonment. |
| License or registration period --.-.- | One year. |
|  | \$50 for examiner, \$10 for intern. |
| Bond/insurance ------------------- | \$5,000. |
| Special ID cards _-_- | SS. |
|  | SS. |
|  | SS. |
|  | Sizweek course at approved training school, plus 1 year internship under licensed polygraph examiner prior to obtaining examiner's license. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | SS. |
| Handguns: Additional |  |
| license required .-.-.-.-.-.------ | SS. |
|  | SS. |
| Proficiency test | Ss. |

## * SS means statute is silent,

## Other information:

License for interns has same requirements as license for examiners, with the exception of the 1 year internship

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY STATE Of GEORGI



- SS means statute is silent.

[^11]
## regulation of private security by state of illinois

(page 1 of 2 pages)

| Details of regulation |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Regulatory agency |  |
| Method of regulation |  |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination |  |
| Length of residency |  |
| U.S. citizen |  |
| Age limits |  |
| Photoraph |  |
| Fingerprints |  |
| Prior investigative, security, |  |
| or law enforcement experience |  |

## Department of Registration and Education.

Licensing agency; registering employees.
Yes ( $\$ 50$ fee).
SS ${ }^{\text {Y }}$
Minimum 21 years old.
Yes.
Yes (licensee and employees)
Three years as a (1) private detective, (2) employee of a private security agency (3) federal investigator, (4) sheriff or deputy, or (5) municipal policeman at a rank higher than a patrolman.

Educational level $\qquad$ ss.
Yes.
Gonviction of a felony; dishonorable discharge from military service; or not honest truthful, competent, or of integrity.

Fraud or willful misrepresentation in obtaining a certificate; violation of any of the terms and provisions of the Act; conviction of a felony, or conviction of any crime involving moral turpitude; willful betrayal of a professional secret or secrets; making false or misleading advertisement or statements; having demon. strated unworthiness or incompetency.

Penalties
License or registration period .-. License fees

Bond/insurance

|  |
| :---: |

500 fine and/or 6 months imprisonment
$\$ 100 /$ agency; $\$ 3 /$ each employee; $\$ 50$ /agency renewal.
\$1,000.
Special ID cards
Special badge
Special uniform
$\qquad$ Yes.
SS.
SS.

Legal authorit-- above that of
ordinary citizen
ss.
Handguns: Additional
license required
Restrictions
Proficiency test

## - SS means statute is silent.

Other information:
Law does not apply to credit investigators and in-house security forces. Employees must be registered with the Directord Registration and Education and meet minimu'n qualifications, which are similar to those for the licensee, with the excepliu of the experience and examination requirement.

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SEGURITY BY STATE OF ILLINOI


Penalties
License or registration perio
License fees
$\$ 25$ to $\$ 500$ and/or 6 months imprisonment.
One year.
Bond/insurance $\qquad$ $\$ 25$
Ss.

SS.
SS.
SS.
Special ID cards
Special badge
Special uniform
Training required $\qquad$ SS.
SS.

Legal authority above that o
diary citizen --
license required
license required
Restrictions


SS.

## SS means statute is silent

## Other information:

Nolicense required if exams are conducted for less that
Practice.

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: <br> Private contract patrol, guard, or detective business |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Statc Police. |
| Method of regulation ---.----------- | Licensing business; registering employees. | Written examination

Length of residency
U.S. citizen

Age limits
Photograph
Fingerprints ---.-...-.-.
Prior investigative, security,
or law enforcement experienc
Educational level $\qquad$
Criminal record check
Grounds for denial $\qquad$

Grounds for suspension or revocation

## Penalties

$\qquad$
License or registration period .-
Lice.1se fees
Bond/insurance $\qquad$
Special ID cards
Special badge
Training required $\qquad$

Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen
---
Handguns: Additiona
Restrictions

Proficiency test
Licensing business; registering employees.

SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$.
One year.
Yes.
Minimum 21 years old
Yes.
Yes.
Two years under a licensec or equivalent as determined by superintendent.
ss.
Yes.

Conviction of felony or possession of dangerous weapon; conviction of an act constituting fraud or dishonesty; bad moral character, intemporate habits or bad reputation for truth, honesty, or integrity; license issuance refused under the Ac action which would result in suspension or revocation of license if licensed.

False information given in connection with ar application; violation of any provi sions of the Act or rules established by the superintendent; conviction of a felony or any crime involving moral turpitude, illegally using, carrying, or possessing dangerous weapon; commission of any act in the course of the neesnsee's business
constituting dishonesty or fraud or forcibly and without the consent of the person within lawful possession, entered any building or portion thereof; impersonation of or permitting or aiding and abetting an employee to impersonate a. law en forcement officer; commission of any act which is ground for a denial for an application for a license under this Act. (Continued in footnote b.)
$\$ 100$ to $\$ 1,000$ fine and/or 1 year imprisonment.
Two years
$\$ 150$ for agency plus $\$ 10$ per employee (every 2 years)
$\$ 5,000$.
Yes, all employees except office clerks,
SS.
SS.
SS.
SS.

S3.

SS.
SS.
SS.

## SS means statute is silent.

The superintendent may suspend or revoke a license if he determines that the licensee knowingly employed or has in his employment any person who (a) has committed any act, which, if committed by a licensee, would be cause, under this Act, fo evocation of a license; (b) has committed any act in violation of this statute; (c) has had a license wivoked or suspended Other iniormation:
Credit and insurance investigators, armored car companies, collection agencies, and contract security firms providing service only at industrial plants are excluded from licensing. Licensee is responsible for good conduct for employees, and may not hir anyone with a felony or moral turpitude crime conviction, Employer must keep record of employees-photograph and finger prints-and submit a set of fingerprints of every employee (except clerical) to State Police.

Regulation of private segurity by state of iowa


Penalties
License or registration period
ber $\qquad$
Bond/insurance $\qquad$
Special ID card $\qquad$
Special badge
ecial uniform $\qquad$
Legal auchority above that of
ordinary citizen
Handguns: Additional
Hicense
Restrictions


Proficiency test


## $\$ 100$ to $\$ 500$ fine, and/or 6 months imprisonment

One year.
$\$ 25$ fee for an agency; $\$ 10$ fee for an individual.
$\$ 2,000$ for an agency; $\$ 1,000$ for an individual.
Yes.
Yes.
S.
SS.
ss.

SS.
SS.
ss.

## means statute is silent.

Other information:
are specifically exempted from person licensing.
invalving moral turine, provisions of law, conviction for crime
of surensee in the course of conduct of the private dethonan obtained surety bond, and failure of applicant to comply with business, insolvency

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Contract detective agency |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory agency -.--------------- | Attorney General. |  |
| Method of regulation ---.-.-.-. | Licensing. |  |
| Licensing requirements: |  |  |
| Written examination ....-...--- | SS * |  |
| Length of residency --..---...- | Ss. |  |
|  | SS. |  |
|  | SS. |  |
|  | SS. |  |
| Fingerprints --------------- | Yes. | $\because$ |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | SS. |  |
| Educational level ----------- | ss. |  |
| Griminal record check .------- | Yes. |  |
|  | Not of good moral character; rot of good reputation. |  |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | See Grounds for Deniai. |  |
|  | \$500 to \$2,500 fine and/or 6 months imprisonment. |  |
| License or registration period License fees $\qquad$ | \$50. |  |
| Bond/insurance ------------------- | \$1,000 to \$10,000. |  |
| Special ID cards ----.------..--- | SS. |  |
|  | SS. |  |
| Special uniform -------------------1-1 | SS. |  |
| Training required ------------------- | Ss. |  |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | SS. |  |
| Handguns: Additional <br> license required $\qquad$ | Yes. <br> $\checkmark$ |  |
| Proficiency test ----------------- | ss. |  |
| * SS means statute is silent. |  |  |
| Other information: Additional regulation may be pro | ded by cities and/or counties. |  |
| 86 |  |  |

# CONTINUED 

## 1053

eg lation of private security by state of kansas


## - SS means statute is silent

Other information:
Additional regulation may be provided by cities and/or counties.

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY STATE OF KENTUCK:

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Special policemen |
| :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory agency --.-.-.-.-.-- | Department of Public Safety. |
| Method of regulation ------------- | Appointment. |
| Licensing requirements: | (Nonmándatory) |
| Writen examination |  |
| Length of residency --..-...-- | Two years in county. |
|  | SS. |
|  | Minimum 21 years old. |
|  | Yes. |
| Fingerprints ------------------ | Yes. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | SS. |
| Educational level ...-.......-- | SS. |
| Crimiral record check .-.-...-- | Yes. |
|  | Conviction of crime involving moral turpitude. |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | Ss. |
|  | \$10 to \$100 fine and/or 30 days imprisonment. |
| License or registration period .----- | Four years. |
|  | \$5. |
| Bond/insurance -------------------- | \$500. |
| Special ID cards .---------------- | SS. |
|  | SS. |
| Special uniform -------...----.---- | Must clearly irdicate "special police" status; must not look like public police uniform. |
|  | SS. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | Yes, on the properties specified in the commission or while in "hot pursuit". |
| Handguns: Additional <br> license required | SS. |
|  | SS. |
| Proficiency test --------------- | ss. |
| 'SS means statute is silent. |  |
| Other information: <br> All special police are considered to urer from funds paid by the employer | ive all authority from commission by the governor and are paid by the State Treashe officers. |


regulation of private security by state of maine


# REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY STATE OF MARYLAND 

 (page 1 of 2 pages)

## REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY STATE OF MASSACHUSETTS

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Private contract investigative agencies |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Commissioner of Public Safety. |
| Method of regulation .-.---------- | Licensing. |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination --.-.------ | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$. |
| Length of residency .-..--...--- | SS, |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Minimum 25 years old. |
| Photograph .-------------------- | SS. |
|  | SS. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | Three years as a detective (state, federal, or municipal), or policeman at a rank higher than patrolman within the state. |
|  | SS. |
| Criminal record check .------- | Yes. |
|  | Conviction of a felony or crime involving turpitude; not honest or of good moral |
|  | - |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | Failure to comply with licensing regulations; knowingly hiring persons convicted of felony or crime involving moral turpitude. |
|  | \$50 to \$100 fine and/or 1 year imprisonment. |
| License or registration period --.-- | One year. |
|  | \$500 (\$200 renewal). |
| Bond/insurance --.----------------- | \$5,000. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Must not say "police". |
|  | Investigators may not wear uniforms. |
|  | SS. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | SS. |
| Handguns: Additional |  |
| license required ---------------- | Yes. |
|  | SS. |
|  | SS. |
| * SS mears statute is silent. |  |
| Other information: <br> Provisions do not apply to in-house | estigators, credit bureaus, insurance adjusters, or attorneys. |

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY STATE OF MASSACHUSETT


Licensing requirements:
Written examinatio $\qquad$ SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$.
U.S. citizen


Age limits
Fingerprints

or lawe enforis \&, security,
or law enforcement experienc
Educational level $\qquad$
Criminal record check --.....--
Grounds for denial $\qquad$

Grounds for suspension or revocation

Penalties $\qquad$
License or registration period
License fees
$\qquad$
Bond/insurance


Special ID cards
Special badge
Special uniform
Training require $\qquad$

Legal authority above that of
ordinary citizen
Handguns: Additional
license
license required
Restriction
Proficiency test
SS means statute is silent

[^12]$\$ 50$ to $\$ 1,000$ fine and/or 1 year imprisonment
One year.
$\$ 500$ ( $\$ 200$ renewal).
$\$ 5,000$.
Yes.
SS,
Yes.
Yes.
Minimum 25 years old.
ss.
None required.
SS.

Same as for Investigative Agency (see page 1),

Same as for Investigative Agency.

Yes.
Yes.
SS.

SS.
$\underset{\text { YS. }}{\text { Y. }}$
ss.


[^13]regulation of private segurity by state of michigan (page 2 of 2 pages)

| Business or personnel regulated: |
| :---: | :---: |

Details of regulation
Regulatory agency
-----------------

Method of regulation

|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |

Licensing requirements:
$\qquad$
Written examination
U.S. citizen

Age limits
Photograph $\qquad$
Fingerprints
$\qquad$
Prior investigative, security
or law enforcement experienc
Educational level $\qquad$ Criminal record check _-_

Grounds for denial - $\qquad$

Grounds for suspension or revocation

Penalties.
Kicense or registration period
License fees
Bond/insurance $\qquad$
Special ID cards
pecial badge -
frecial uniform $\qquad$

Legal authority above that of
ordinary citizen $\qquad$
Handguns: Additional
license required
Restrictions
Proficiency test
lest …-...-.........--

## 'SS means statute is silent

Other information:
Municipalities prohibited from licensing. In-house security forces may voluntarily seek licensing and if licensed, are given special police authority when trained, on duty on the employer's premises, and in uniform. Agency or person holding investivertise in disapprover guard, etc., services, but not vice versa. Mus hor if
regulation of private security by state of minnesota
(page 1 of 2 pages)

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Private contract detective agency |
| :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory agency .-.-. | Department of Publir Safety. <br> Licensing. |
| Method of regulation .------------- |  |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination .-.-.-.-.-- | SS *. <br> SS. <br> Yes. <br> SS. <br> Yes. <br> Yes. |
| Length of residency .-.-.....-- |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | One principal in company must have 3 years public law enforcement experience at a rank higher than patrolman or equivalent special training. |
| Educational level --.-.-.-.-- | SS.Yes. |
| Criminal record check .-.-.-.--- |  |
|  | Conviction of a felony or assault, theft, larceny, unlawful entry, extortion, defama. tion, buying or receiving stolen property, using, possessing, or carrying weapons or burglar tools, or escape; making false statements on applications. |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | Any violation of regulations. |
| Penalties .-------------------------- | Revocation of license or $\$ 500$ fine and/or i year imprisonment. |
| License or registration period .-.-. - | Two years. <br> \$125/individual; \$250/corporation, |
|  |  |
| Bond/insurance -.----------------- | \$5,000. |
|  | Yes. <br> May not imitate police badges or emblems. Uniforms must not be similar to police uniforms. SS. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Training required ----------------- |  |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | SS. |
| Handguns: Additional |  |
| license required Restrictions $\qquad$ | SS. |
|  | ss. |
| * SS means statute is silent. |  |
| Other information: <br> Localities are prohibited from requi hold a license. Licensee must not act to a protective agent without obtainin detective. | additional licenses. No licensee shall knowingly employ any person not qualifed 10 collective agency. A licensed private detective may perform those duties attributh b additional license, but a protective agent may not perform the duties of a privet |

## regulation of private security by statè of minnesota

|  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Other info

"Other Information" for Investigative Agency (page 1).



Other information: Before commencing business in the torney and sheriff and the local city or town

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY STATE OF NEBRASKA

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: <br> Private contract detective, guard, patrol, alarm, or armored car business |
| :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory agency .-.-...-.-.-.- | Secretary of State. |
| Method of regulation ............ | Licensing of businesses and plainclothes investigative employees. |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination --....---- | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Length of residency .-.-.-.---- | SS. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Minimum 21 years old. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Yes. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | Experience and competency consonant with public interest and welfare. |
|  | SS. |
| Criminal record check .-....--- | Yes. |
|  | Conviction of felony or moral turpitude offenses; not of good moral character, temperate habits, good reputation for truth, honesty, and integrity. |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | Violation of law or regulations; improper conduct of principal or employee of the business. |
|  | \$100 to \$500 fine and/or 6 months imprisonment for violation of licensing provisions. |
| License or registration period .-...- | Two years. |
|  | \$10. |
|  | \$10,000. |
| Special ID cards .-.............- | SS. |
| Special badge .-.-.-.-...-...-...- | SS. |
|  | SS. |
|  | SS. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | SS. |
| Handguns: Additional |  |
|  | ss. |
|  | SS. |
|  | SS. |

## SS means statute is silent.

## Other information:

Secretary of State may make and adopt rules and regulations.

|  | Business or jersonnel 'regulated: <br> Details of regulation$\quad$ Private contract investigation, patrol, process serving, repossessing, |
| :---: | :---: |
| or polygraph operator business |  |

## Regulatory agency

$\qquad$
Method of regulation $\qquad$
Licensing requirements:
Written examinatio

U.S. citizen

Age limits
Photograph
Fingerprints
Prior investigative, security,
or law enforcement experience
Educational level $\qquad$
Criminal record check
Grounds for denial $\qquad$

Grounds for suspension or revocation -

Penalties
License or registration period License fees $\qquad$
Bond/insurance $\qquad$
Special ID card
Special badge
Special uniform
Training required $\qquad$
Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen
Handguns: Additional
license required
Restrictions
Proficiency test $\qquad$
Conviction of a felony or crime involving moral turpitude or illegally using, carry ing, or possessing a dangerous weapon; bad moral character, intemperate habis or bad reputation for truth, honesty and integrity; a license has been refused or revoked; performance of an act that required a license while unlicensed; falsifica tion of application.

Conviction of a felony or any offense involving moral turpitude; violation of any of the provisions of this law; a false statement by the licensee that any person is or has been in his employ; any unprofessional conduct or unfitness of the licenset on; any person in his employ; false information in connection with ang ableting an employee in the impersonation of a law enforcement offcer; willful failure or refusal to render to a client services or a report as agreed between the parties, for which compensation has been paid or tendered in accordance with the of the parties; any act which is a ground for denial of an application.
$\$ 500$ fine and/or 1 year imprisonment; suspension or revocation of license.
One year.
$\$ 75$ for license; $\$ 25$ for the examination.
$\$ 10,000$ for private investigators and repossessors; $\$ 2,000$ for private patrolmen process servers, and polygraph operators.

Yes.
Ss.
ss.

SS.
SS.

REGULATION OF PRIYATE SECURITY BY STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: <br> None |
| :---: | :---: |

- 

Licensing requirements: Written examination
Length of residency.
U.S. citizen.

Photograph
Photograph.
Prior investigative, security,
or law enforcement experience.
Educational level.
Crininal record check
Grounds for denial.

- SS means statu

Aher information: $\quad$ dicense holders must be registered with the state within 10 days of hiring. In-house investigatol All unlicensed employees of license holders mast collection agencies are excmpted from licensing.

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: <br> Private contract investigation, guard, or patrol business |
| :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory agency -------.-.-- | State Police. |
| Method of regulation | Licensing of business; registering employees. |
| Licensing requirements: | SS ${ }^{\text {. }}$, ,' |
| Length of residency .-.....-- | SS. . |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Minimum 25 years old. |
|  | SS. |
|  | Yes. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | Five years. |
|  | SS. |
| Criminal record check .-...-.-- | Yes. |
|  | Conviction of a high misdemeanor or other specified crimes; bad moral character, intemperate habiss, or a bad reputation for truth, honesty, and integrity; knowingly making a false material statement in his application; practicing fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation, including but not limited to (a) knowingly making a false statement or written report relating to evidence or information obtained in the course of employment, (b) manufacture of evidence, (c) acceptance of employment adverse to a client or former client relating to a matter with respect to which the license has obtained confidential information by reason of or in the course of his employment by such client or former client; demonstration of in. competence or untrustworthiness in his licensee's actions; failure to maintain a proper surety bond; failure to meet or continue to meet the requirements for licensure provided by the Act and these rules. |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | See Grounds for Denial. |
| Penalties .--------- | Suspension or revocation. |
| License or registration period .-.-. | Five years. |
|  | \$200 for an individual; \$5,000 for an agency. |
| Bond/insurance ---------- | \$3,000 for an individual; \$5,000 for an agency. |
| Special ID cards .------------------ | Yes, for watchmen, guards, or private patrolmen. |
| Special badge .-.-.-. | May not be similar to that of public police. |
|  | SS. |
| Trainirg required ---------------- | ss. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | SS. : |
| Handguns: Additional <br> license required | Pistol permit. * |
|  | ss, |
| Proficiency test .-.------------- | Ss. |

[^14]

## SS means statute is silent.

Other information:
act excludes insurance investigators, financial investigators, and debt collection personnel from licensing. Local regulation Divulge information only to employer, police, and clients all clerical must be registered within 2 weeks. Must not impersonate police. Remployees on payroll more than five days excep
employee registrater employee registration for same grounds as license denials or revocations. All begulatory agency may more than five days excep
reported by both to register or revok reported by both the licensee and the bonding company.

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SEGURITY BY STATE OF NEW MEXICO (page 2 of 3 pages)

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Private contract guard or patrol business |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Bureau of Private Inivestigators. |
| Method of regulation .-.-.-.-...- | Licensing business; registering employees. |
| Licensing requirements: | ss: |
| Length of residency ------------- | SS. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Minimum 21 years old. |
| Photograph --------------------- | Yes (licensee and employees). |
|  | Yes (licensee and employees). |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | One year as guard or patrolman. |
| Educational Ievel ------------ | SS. |
| Criminal record check .------- | Yes. |
|  | Same as for Investigator Business (see page 1). |
|  | : |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | Same as for Investigator Business. |
| Penalties .------------------------- | Revocation or suspension. |
| License or registration period .----- | Two years. |
|  | Same as for investigators. |
|  | \$2,000. |
| Special ID cards ----------------- | Yes (licensee and employees). |
|  | May be worn only on duty and when in uniform. |
| Special uniform -------------------1-1- | Uniform not similar to that of public police. |
| Training required -----------------1-1- | SS. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | SS. |
| Handguns: Additional |  |
| license required $\qquad$ Restrictions $\qquad$ | SS. <br> SS. |
|  | SS. |

## - SS means statute is silent

## Other information:

Same as "Other Information" for Investigator Business (see page 1) except in-house guard forces are exempt from licensing. May carry unconcealed weapons when in uniform on duty.

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SEGURITY BY STATE OF NEW MEXICO


| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Private contract investigator agency |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Division of Licensing Services, Department of State. |
| Method of regulation | Licensing of agency; criminal records check of each employee by the state. |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination --...---- | Yes. |
| Length of residency .-...... | None. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Minimum of 25 years old for licensee. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Yes. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | Three years police experience at rank above patrolman; sheriff; U.S. Government investigator; state police; or private investigator. |
| - Educational level ------- | SS *. |
| Criminal record check .-.-- | Yes. |
| Grounds for denial | Conviction of a felony, using or carrying dangerous weapon, possession of burglar's tools, receiving stolen property, aiding escape from prison, possession or distribution of dangerous narcotics, jostling, or lewdness; not of good character, com. petency, or integrity. |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | Revocation or suspension for rule violations, fraud or deceit, misstatement, incom. petence, or untrustworthiness. |
| Penalties ------------...-- | Revocation, suspension, or fine to $\$ 5,000$ and/or 1 year imprisonment. |
| License or registration period .----- | Two years. |
|  | \$200/individual; \$300/corporation. |
|  | \$10,000. |
| Special ID cards .-.-.-...-......-- | Yes. |
|  | None allowed. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | SS. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | SS. |
| Handguns: Additional |  |
|  | SS. |
|  | SS. |
|  | S8. |
| *SS means statute is silent. <br> Other information: <br> An agency holding an investigation license may perform guard, watch, and patrol services, but not vice versa. All emio ployees must be fingerprinted; fingerprints must be sent to the state agency within 24 hours; must not have been convicted of z felony, offense involving moral turpitude or any offenses described above for licensee. Statute does not apply to: busineses who furnish information as to credit standing; personal habits and financial responsibility of insurance applicants; insurance adjustez; or fire or central alarm businesses. |  |
|  |  |

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY STATE OF NEW YORK

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Contrac: watch, guard, |
| :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory agency .-.-.-.-...--...- | Division of lican |
|  | Division of Licensing Services, Department of State. <br> Licensing of agency; criminal records check of each |
| Licensing requirements: Written examication | a |
| Length of residency -------- | Yes. |
| U.S. citizen ------------------- | None. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Minimum 25 years old. |
|  |  |
| Prior investigative, sècurity, or law enforcement | Yes. |
| Educational | Two years with a watch, guard, or ${ }^{\text {a }}$, |
| Criminal record check | Yes. |
| Grounds for denial _-............. | Same as for investigator licensee (see page 1). |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation _ | Same as for investigator licensee. |
| Penalties |  |
| License or registration period | 㑑 |
| License fees .---.-.-------- | Two years. <br> $\$ 100 /$ individual; $\$ 300 /$ corporation |
|  | $\$ 10,000$. |
| Special ID cards |  |
|  | Yes. |
| Special uniform -------------------------- | Yes, |
|  |  |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ |  |
| Handguns: Additional <br> license required |  |
| Restrictions $\qquad$ | SS. SS. |
|  | SS. |

## 'SS means statute is silent.

## Other information: <br> See "Othe

"Other Information" for investigators (page 1)

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA


## ${ }^{4}$ SS means statute is silent.

## Other information:

Insurance and credit investigators as well as in-house security personnel are exempt from licensing requirements.

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY STATE OE NORTH CAROLINA


## REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

(page 1 of 2 pages)

| Details of regulation | Business or persunnel regulated: <br> Private contract guard, patrol, or detective agency |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Attorney General. |
|  | Licensing. |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination -..-.-.-.-- | Yes. |
| Length of residency -....-.-. | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Minimum 21 years old. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Yes. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | SS. |
| Educational level. Criminal record check | Yes. |
|  | Felony conviction; not a person of honesty, truthfulness, and integrity. |
|  | , |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | Fraud in obtaining dicense, violation of licensing law; guilty of crime of moral turpitude; betraying protessional secrets; failure to maintain bond. |
|  | Suspension er revocation. Also, any person who violates any provision of Act or falsely states or represents his job status shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and fined $\$ 25$ to $\$ 500$ and/or 6 months imprisonment. |
| License or registration period .-....- | One year. |
| License feas ----------------------- | \$50 initially; \$25 for renewal. |
|  | \$2,500. |
|  | Yes (to license holders). |
|  | Ss. |
|  | SS. |
|  | SS. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | SS. |
| Handguns: Additional |  |
| license required <br> Restrictions | SS. SS. |
|  | ss. |

## * SS means statute is silent

Other information:
In house security personnel, and insurance and credit investigators are exempted from licensing.

## REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY STATE OF NORTH DAKOT



Conviction of felony or crime involving moral turpitude; not havin military discharge; not an honest, truthful, and morally fit persong honorable

Basically the same as for investigators (see page 1), plus demonstrated incom-
petency, mental capability petency, mental capability problem, or failure to provide the Attorney General with information requested as the result of a complaint

## Suspension or revocation.

One year.
$\$ 25$ initially; $\$ 10$ for renewal
SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$.
SS.
SS.
Yes.
ss.

SS.
SS.
ss.

## "SS means statute is silent

OHer information:
ay waive examinatiou if licensed in another state.



| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: <br> Private contract guard, patrol, and detective business |
| :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory agency | None at the state level. <br> State licensing law administered by County Court of Quarter Sessions; fingerprints of all employees must be submitted for criminal record check. |
| Method of regulation |  |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination $\qquad$ <br> Length of residency $\qquad$ | SS ${ }^{2}$. <br> SS. <br> Yes. <br> Minimum 21 years old. <br> Yes. <br> Yes, <br> Three years as investigator (state, federal), or municipal policeman at rank higher than patrolman. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Age limits ----.-.-.-.- |  |
|  |  |
| Fingerprints Prior investigative, security, |  |
| or law enforcement experience |  |
| Educational level -----...-.- | SS.Yes. |
| Criminal record check -------- |  |
| Grounds for denial --..- | Conviction of a felony, or any of the following offenses: illegally using, carrying, or possessing a pistol or other dangerous weapon; making or possessing burglar's instruments; buying or receiving stolen property; unlawful entry of a building; aiding escape from prison; unlawfully possessing or distributing habit forming narcotic drugs; picking pockets or attempting to do so; or soliciting any person to commit sodomy or other lewdness. |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | Violation of licensing law. |
| Penalties | \$500 to \$5,000 fine and/or 1 year imprisonment. |
| License or registration period .----- | Two years. <br> $\$ 200$ individual, $\$ 300$ for an agency. |
|  |  |
| Bond/insurance | \$10,000. |
|  | Yes. Yes. |
|  | Yes.SS. |
| Special uniform - |  |
| Training required ------------------ | SS. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | SS. |
| Handguns: Additional |  |
| license required -........-.....- | ss.SS. |
|  |  |
| Proficiency test .--.-.-.-.-..-- | ss. |
| 'SS means statute is silent. | 湤 |
| Other information: <br> All employees must meet background ployees with the County Court. Gredit known felon and must not falsify em and be fingerprinted; all this informat <br> of fingerprints carries a $\$ 5,000$ fine, | standards provided for license holders. Licensee must file fingerprints of all emand insurance investigators exempted from licensing. Employers may nos employ a yee records. Employee must fill out detailed application, undergo criminal check, is to be filed with the Clerk of the County Court of Quarter Sessions. Falsification prisonment, or both if convicted. |


| Details of regulation | Business or persoinnel regulated: Private contract detectives | Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: <br> Private contract detectives and detective agencies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory agency .--------------- | Authority delegated to cities. |  |  |
| Method of regulation ...-.-.-.-.-.--- | Licensing. | Method of regulation .-...- | State Law Enforcement Division. |
| Licensing requirements: | SS* | Licensing requirements: | se agencies and investigative personnel. |
|  | SS. | Written examination .-......-. |  |
|  | SS. | Length of residency -------------- | SS. |
|  | SS. |  | SS. |
| Photograph ---------------------- | SS. | Ahe lograph | Ss. |
| Fingerprints ------------------- | SS. |  | SS. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | SS. | Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | SS. |
| Educational level ---_-.-. | ss. |  | Experience as an investigator-correspondence courses do |
| Criminal record check _...--- | SS. | level | ss. <br> SS. |
|  | SS. | Grounds for denial .-................ | Found not to be reputable and competent. |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | "For cause." | Grounds for suspension or revocation - | Conducting business in an unsatisfactory manner or violating provision of Licensing Act. |
|  | $\$ 50$ to $\$ 200$ fine and/or 6 months imprisonment for operating without a license in localities where required. |  | Maximum of $\$ 200$ or 60 days imprisonment for misdemeanor violations of tions. |
|  |  | License or registration period ...... |  |
|  |  |  | One year. <br> $\$ 25$. |
| License or registration period |  | Bond/insurance ----...-.......- | \$2,000. |
|  | One year. $\$ 10$. | Special ID cards |  |
|  |  | Special badge ----------------- | SS. |
|  | \$3,000. |  | SS. |
|  |  | Training required | SS. |
|  | SS. | -quired ------------------- | SS. |
|  | SS. | Legal authority above that of |  |
|  | Ss. | ordinary citizen |  |
| Training required $\qquad$ <br> Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | Ss. |  | No. |
|  | No powers to sheriff, deputy, police officers, or constables. | Handguns: Additional <br> license required <br> Restrictions $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | SS. Firearms prohibited |
| Fandguns: Additional | SS. | Proficiency test |  |
| license required $\qquad$ Restrictions $\qquad$ |  | [ss man | SS. |
| Proficiency test .--.------------ | SS. | SS means statute is silent. <br> Other information: <br> Detailed |  |
| * SS means statute is silent. |  | sled in the name of a from does not author salso licensed. | t by the Chief of the Law Enforcement Division. A private detective's license isze a firm to send an investigator, employed by the firm, ualess the investigator |
| 120 |  |  |  |




## - SS means statute is silent

Other information:
Any other regulatio

124
regulation of private security by state of texas

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated; <br> Private contract investigator, contract guard, patrol, central alarm, or armored car business |
| :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory agency | State Board of Private Detectives, Investigators, Patrolmen, Guards, and Managers. |
| Method of regulation | Licensing businesses; registering investigative employes. |
| Licensing requirements: Written examination | Yes. |
| Length of residency - | Must be state citizen. |
| U.S. citizen - | Yes. |
| Age iimits ---- | Minimum 21 years old. |
| Photograph | Yes. |
| Fingerprints | Yes. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | Three years. |
| Educational level | SS ${ }^{\text {. }}$ |
| Criminal record check | Yes (letter from police or sherif's office attesting to character). |
| Grounds for denial | Commission of any act constituting dishonesty or fraud; commission of any acit, which, if committed by a licensee, would be a ground for the suspension or revocation of a license under the Act; commission of any act resulting in conviction of a felony or a crime involving moral turpitude; bad moral character, intemperate habits, or a bad reputation for truth, honesty, and integrity; previous refusal or revocation of a license under the Act; knowingly making any false statements in his application. |

Grounds for suspension or revocation

Penaltics
License or registration period ...... License fees .-
Bond/insurance
Special ID cards
Special badge
Special uniform
Training required -
Legal authority above that of
ordinary citizen -..
Handguns: Additional
license required
Restrictions
Proficiency test
$\qquad$

SS means statute is silent.
${ }^{\circ}$ (3) has a bad moral character, intemperate habits, or a bad reputation for truth, honesty, and integrity; using any letterhead, advertisement, or other printed matter, or in any manner illegally representing that he is an instrumentality of the fed eral government, the state, or a political subdivision of either; committing any act in the course of the licensee's business con-
stituting dishonesty or fraud. "Dishonesty or fruad" as used in this connection, includes (1) knowingly making a false statement relating to information obtained in the course of employment, or knowingly publishing a slander or a libel in the course of business, (2) manufacture of evidence, or (3) acceptance of employment adverse to a client or former client if licensec has obtained confidential information by reason of his employment by such client.
Other information:
Credit and insurance investigators, and in-house security forces are exempted from licensing. Investigative employees must be registered within 2 weeks after initial employment date on application, which will include name, residence, photograph, fingerprints, and local police approval letter. Undercover agents, clerical, anß ${ }^{\text {and }}$ other noninvestigative personnel need not res
ister

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Polygraph examiner |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Board of Polygraph Examiners. |
| Method of regulation --------------- | License personnel. |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination -_-.---.-...- | Yes. |
| Length of residency ..........- | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Minimum, 21 years. |
|  | SS. |
|  | SS. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | See Education. |
| Educational level --.-------- | Bachelor's degree or 5 years investigative experience. |
| Criminal record check .-.....- | Yes. |
|  | Not a person of honesty, truthfulness, integrity, or moral fitness; conviction of a felony or a crime involving moral turpitude. |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | Same as Grounds for Denial, plus failure to iniorm suspect of the nature and voluntariness of the examination; material misstatement on examination; violation of provisions of Licensing Act; misleading advertising; demonstrated unworthiness or incompetency; habitual drunkenness or mental incompetency; or failure to inform subject of results of examination if so requested. |
|  | \$100 to \$1,000 fine or 6 months maximum imprisonment. |
| License or registration period --.--- | One Year. |
|  | \$60 for examiners; \$30 for interns. |
|  | \$5,000. |
|  | SS. |
| Special badge | SS. |
|  | SS. |
|  | Twelve months internships, or graduation from an approved polygraph school and six months internship. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | SS. |
| Handguns: Additional license required. |  |
|  | SS. * |
|  | SS. |

Other information:
Polygraph charts shall be marked to indicate the place on the chart where the question was asked and answered; charts and question sheets shall be retained for at least 2 years.
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REGULATION OF private security by state of utah

| Details of regulation <br> Regulatory agency <br> Method of regulation. | Business or personnel regulated: |
| :--- | :--- |
| None |  |

## Licansing

Writtequirements:
Written examination.
Length of residency.
U.S. citizen.
Age limits.

Age limits.
Fingograph
Fints.
Prior investigative, security,
or law enforcement experience.
Educational level.
Criminal recordl check.
Grounds for denial.

Grounds for suspension or revocation

Penalties.
License or registration period
License
License fees
Boad/insurance.
Speccial ID cards.
Special badge.
Special uniform.
Training required.
Legal authority above that of
ordinary citizen.
Mandguns: Additional
license required.
Restrictions.
Proficiency test.
ther information:
Any reation:
Any regulation is provided at the city and/or county level
regelation of private segurity by state of vermont


## - SS means statute is silent.

Other information:
License holder is responsible for all actions of employees. Credit and in-house investigators are exempt from licensing

## Oher information:

County, city or to
Regulation of special or correction institutions, state hospital, or places whers of the Peace at designated locations, e.g., Regulation of special police and other categories of investigative and security personnel is at the gatherings of people.

REGULATION of private security by state of virginia (page 2 of 2 pages)

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Polygraph examiner |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Department of Professional and Occupational Registration. |
| Method of regulation .-.-.-...-. | Licensing personnel. |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination ..........-- | SS ${ }^{\text { }}$. |
| Length of residency ..........- | SS. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Minimum, 21 years. |
|  | SS. |
|  | Yes. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | SS. |
| Educational level ------------ | High school graduate. |
| Griminal record check .-..-...- | Yes. |
|  | Conviction of a misdemeanor involving moral turfitude, or of a felony; material misstatement on application. |
|  | : |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | Same as Grounds for Denial, plus willful disregard for or violation of Act; mislead ing of false advertising; mental illmess or deficiency. |
|  | \$100 to \$500 fine and/or 12 months maximum imprisonment. |
| License or registration period .-.-.- | One year. |
| License fees ---------------------- | \$30 initial; \$25 renewal. |
|  | SS. |
|  | SS. |
|  | SS. |
|  | SS. |
| Training required ----------------1-2- | Completion of at least 180 hours of polygraph instruction at an approved polygraph school. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | SS. - |
| Handguns: Additional license required. |  |
|  | ss. |
|  | ss. |

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY bY STATE OF WASHINGTO

| Details of regulation |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Regulatory agency <br> Method of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: <br> None |
| None at the state level. |  |

Licensing requirements: Written examination
Length of residency.
Age limits.
Age limits.
Fingerprints.
Prior investigative, security
or law enforcement experience
Educational level.
Criminal record check.
Grounds for denial.

Grounds for suspension or revocation

## Penalties.

License or registration period
License
icense fees.
Bond/insurance.
Special ID cards.
Special badge
Training required
Legal authority above that of
ordinary citizen.
Handguns: Additional
icense required.
Restrictions.
Proficiency test.
Other information:
Any regulation is provided at the city and/or county level
egulation of private security by state of west virginia

|  | Business or personnel regulated: <br> Private contract detective, guard, or patrol agency |
| :---: | :---: |
| Details of regulation |  |
| Regulatory agency ----------------- | Secretary of State. |
| Method of regulation ------------- Licensing. |  |
|  |  |
| Licensing requirements: - |  |
|  | Ss. |
| Length of residency <br> Length of $\qquad$ | SS. |
|  | SS. |
| Photograph ------------------------ | Yes. |
| Fingerprints ---------------- Prior investigative, security, | (es. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prior investigative, ,ent experience } \\ & \text { or law enforcement } \end{aligned}$ | or university or licensed private detective agency. |
| Educational level -.---.------- | SS. |
|  |  |
| Grounds for denial ------------------- | making or possessing butglar tools; buying or receiving stolen property; unlawful entry into a building; possessing. or distributing habit forming drugs; moral turpitude (the conviction need not result in denial if the parole board has granted a certificate of good conduct). |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | See "Grounds for Denial". |
| Penalties .-------------------------- | $\$ 100$ to $\$ 1,000$ fine for practicing without a license or violation of regulations. |
| License or registration period .-.--- | $\$ 50$ for an individual; $\$ 100$ for an agency; $\$ 500$ for a nonresident individual or <br> One Year. corporation. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Special uniform $\qquad$ <br> Training required $\qquad$ | See "Experience". |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | SS. |
| Handguns: Additional | SS. a |
| Restrictions |  |
| Proficiency test .-...-...- | SS. |

## - SS means statute is silent.

Other information: $\quad$ burglary or fire alarm services, in-house security personnel, collection agencies Regulation does not apply to central station burglary or fine investigators.

## REGULATION OF PRIVATE SEGURITY BY STATE OF WISCONSIN

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: <br> Private contract detective, guard, or patrol agency |
| :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory agency | Department of Licensing and Regulation. |
| Method of regulation ------------- | License agency; license investigative employees; local registration of guards (see Other Information, below). |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination --- | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$. |
| Length of residency .......... | One year. |
| U.S, citizen ---.---..- | Yes. |
|  | 25 years old for business principal; 21 years for individual agent. |
|  | SS. |
| Fingerprints --------------- | SS. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | SS (requirements may be established by administrative rule). |
| Educational level -- | SS (requirements may be established by administrative rule). |
| Criminal record check _-......- | Yes. |

Grounds for denial $\qquad$

Grounds' for suspension or revocation

Penalties $\qquad$
License or registration period
License fees
Bond/insurance $\qquad$
Special ID cards
Special badge
Special uniform
Special uniform
Training required $\qquad$
Legal authority above that of
. ordinary citizen
Handguns: Additional
license required
Restrictions
Proficiency test $\qquad$
Felony conviction in last 5 years; not of good character, competence, or integrity. Other requirements set by administrative rule.

Petition presented to regulatory agency signed by six citizens (requires a hearing) commitment of a felony; misrepresentation on application; or conduct refecting adversely on the individual's professional qualifications
Misdemeanor: $\$ 100$ to $\$ 500$ fine and/or 3- to 6 -moniths imprisonment for conduct ing business without a license.

One year.
$\$ 200$ for agency; $\$ 2$ for individual employee.
$\$ 10,000$ for agency; $\$ 2,000$ for individual.
SS.
SS.
SS.
SS.
ss.

Yes, for concealed weapons.
SS.
SS.

- SS means statute is silent.

Other information:
In-house security forces and credit and insurance investigators are exempted from licensing and registration. All aspects of the law apply to the employees of the agency, except that an employee of any licensed agency supplying uniformed security employees to patrol exclusively on the private property of industrial plants, business establishments, schools, hospitals, exhibits, Such permits locality must issue or deny the municipalities if the employee has not

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY STATE OF WYOMING


## ${ }^{4}$ " SS means statute is silent.

Other information:
Any regulation is at the city and/or county level.

APPENDIX B: Summary of Private Security Regulation in Selected Localities

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SEGURITY BY BIRMINGHAM, ALA.
(page 1 of 2 pages)

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Private policeman |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory agency .-..-_-.-.-.-- | Police Department. |  |
|  | Commissioning by Mayor |  |
| Licensing requirements: | Ss: |  |
| Written examination .-....-.-- | SS ${ }^{2}$. | 1 |
| Length of residency .-.-.....- | SS. |  |
|  | SS. |  |
|  | SS. |  |
|  | SS. |  |
|  | SS. |  |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | SS. |  |
|  | SS. |  |
| Criminal record check -...----- | SS. |  |
| Grounds for denial ------------------ | SS. |  |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | ss. |  |
|  | ss. |  |
| License or registration period ......- | SS. |  |
|  | SS. |  |
| Bond/insurance. ------------------- | \$2,000. |  |
|  | SS. |  |
|  | Yes, furnished by city police. |  |
| Special uniform _-_-_-_-......... | SS. |  |
| Training required --.-...-.-.-...- | SS. |  |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | SS. |  |
| Handguns: Additional |  |  |
|  | SS. |  |
| Restrictions -------------------- | Ss. |  |
|  | ss. |  |

Other information:
Private policemen are subject to the orders of the police chief and governed by the rules of the police department.
regulation of private security by birmingham, ala.
(page 2 of 2 pages)


[^15]regulation of private security by tucson, ariz.

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Contract detective, patrol, or guard agency |
| :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory agency --.------.-.--- | Police Department. |
|  | Licensing of agency. |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination ---------- | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Length of residency ---.---.-- | SS. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | SS. |
|  | SS. |
| Fingerprints ----------------1-1 | SS. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | SS. |
| Educational level -.----...-...- | SS. |
| Criminal record check .-.------ | Yes. |
| Grounds for denial .-.-.-.-.-.------- | If convicted of a felony or offenses against community morals or decency; not of good moral character. |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | Violation of regulations; failure to maintain bond. |
|  | \$300 fine and/or 6 months imprisonment. |
| License or registration period ------ | One year. |
|  | Fee required. |
|  | \$2,500. |
|  | Must not look like peace officers. |
|  | Must not look like peace officers. |
|  | Must not look like peace officers. |
| Training required .-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-- | SS. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | SS. |
| Handguns: Additional |  |
|  | May be required. |
| Proficiency test |  |
| (1) 'ss means statute is silent. |  |
| Other information: <br> Central station alarm and armored | ansport agencies are included. |

regulation of private security by los angeles, calif
(page 1 of 2 pages)

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Contract patrol agency |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Board of Police Commissioners. |
| Method of regulation -------------- | Licensing of agency and employees. |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination ---_----- | SS ${ }^{2}$. |
| Length of residency --.-.-.-_ | SS. |
| U.S. citizen ----------------1 |  |
|  | Minimum 21 years old. |
|  | Yes. |
| Fingerprints ----------------1-1 | Yes. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | SS. |
| Educational level ----------- | Ss. |
| Criminal record check ........... | Yes. |
|  | Conviction of a felony; drug addiction; not having an honorable military discharge; making a false statement on the application; arrests if released on a technicality; serious complaints when previously commissioned; or "not a fit and proper" applicant. |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | "Not fit and proper to hold a license;" conduct not conducive to public welfare; or violation of any of the rules set by the Board. Temporary suspensions can be made pending investigation of a complaint. |
|  | \$500 fine and/or 6 months imprisonment. |
| License or registration period .-.... | SS. |
|  | SS. |
|  | SS. |
| Special ID cards .-.............- | Yes. |
|  | \$2 fee for badge issued; must be worn on duty. |
|  | Yes, not like that of public police. |
|  | SS. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | SS. |
| Handguns: Additional |  |
|  | Concealed weapons can be carried if authorized. |
|  | Firearm must be inspected by the police. |
| Proficiency test .-.------------- | Yes. |

## *SS means statute is silent.

## Other information:

Batons and firearms are the only weapons allowed; must repyort each discharge of a firearm to the police. May work only at locations or areas specified by permit (only two patrol agencies are allowed to operate in each geographice area). Must not use a car marked like those of the public police.

REGULATION of PRIVATE SECURITY by los angeles, Calif.

L.

Wing requirements:
Written examination
Length of residency $\qquad$ $-----$
Age límits
Photograph
ingerprints $\qquad$
Prior investigative, security,
or law enforcement experienc
Educational level $\qquad$
Criminal record check --.-.-.-.-.-.
Grounds for denial $\qquad$

Grounds for suspension or revocation

## Penalties

$\qquad$
License or registration period
on period .-......
Bond/insurance $\qquad$
SS
SS

Special ID card $\qquad$
Special badge $\qquad$
ecial uniform


Legal authority above that o
Legal authority above that of
ordinary citizer
Handguns: Additional
license required
Restrictions $\qquad$ Ss.
SS.
SS.
Proficiency test

## SS means statute is silent.

## Other information:

Must post the nam
ne hour at police request; must instruct subscribers on factors causing alarmed location; must proceed to the scene within vices directly from the alarm to the public police without permissiong false alarms; may not connect automatic calling deevery alarm at least once per year.

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SEGURITY BY OAKLAND, CALIF.
(page 1 of 2 pages)

|  | Business or personnel regulated: <br> Contract guard or patrol agency; in-house guard force |
| :---: | :---: |
| Details of regulation |  |
|  | Gity Manager. |
| Method of regulation --------------- | Licensing of contract agency, contract employees, and employees. |
| Licensing requirements: | SS ${ }^{\text {. }}$ |
| Written examination --.-------------- | SS. |
| Length of residency ---------------- | Ss. |
|  | SS. |
| Age limits $\qquad$ | Yes. |
|  | Yes. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | SS. |
| Educational level ---...-.----- | SS. |
| Educational level Criminal record check | Yes. |
|  | If convicted of a felony or crime involving <br> good character, integrity, honesty, or sobriety; or not able to read, write, or speak English. |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | For cause; for any of the grounds for denial; or for not rendering competent or efficient service. |
|  | Ss. |
| License or registration period ------ | Ss. |
| License fees ------------------------ | \$5. |
|  | \$2,000 for individuals; \$25,000 for |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Yes, must be approved. |
| Special badge $\qquad$ | Yes, must be approved. |
| Special uniform $\qquad$ <br> Training required | SS. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | - ss. |
| Handguns: Additional | - SS. |
| license required $\qquad$ | - SS. |
| Proficiency test .-.---.-.-.---- | - SS. |

## - SS means statute is silent.

Other information: 4 a.m.; must submit, quarterly, names, addresses, and Must have person on duty at place of busis
regulation of pruvate security by oakland, calif. (page 2 of 2 pages)

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Alarm agency |
| :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory agency .-...........-. | Gity Police. |
|  | Licensing of agency and employees. |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination .---.---.-- | SS ${ }^{\text {. }}$ |
| Length of resielency .-.......- | SS. |
|  | SS. |
|  | SS. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Yes. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | Yes. |
| Educational level ----------- | SS. |
| Criminal record check ...---- | Yes. |
|  | If convicted of a felony or certain specified misdemeanors within the past 5 years. |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | Violation of regulations; good cause; or any of the grounds for denial. |
| Penalties --------------------------- | SS. |
| License or registration period ----- | SS. |
| License fees .----------------------- | SS. |
|  | SS. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | SS. |
|  | SS. |
|  | SS. |
| Legal authority above that of orchnary citizen $\qquad$ | SS. |
| Handguns: Additional |  |
| license required .-...-...-.-.-.-- | SS. |
| 1 Restrictions .------------------ | Ss. |
| Proficiency test .-._-_-_-_-_- | SS. |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ SS means statute is silent. <br> Other information: <br> Alarms may not be similar to sirens. Clients shall be instructed in operation of the alarm and avoidance of false alarms. Name of the serviceman on 24 -hour call must be posted outside at location of alarm; serviceman must proceed to scene at request of the police. Fermission must be obtained to connect an alarm directly to police headquarters. |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |



## - SS means statute is silent

Other information:
tion: to determine whether or not such additional patrol service is needed in an are

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Special police |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | City Police. |
| Method of regulation --_-_--..- | Licensing. |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination .-..-.-.-. | Yes. |
| Length of residency .-.-.-.-.-- | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Minimum 21 years old. |
|  | Yes. |
| Fingerprints ----------------- | Yes. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | SS. |
| Educational level ---....----- | Ss. |
| Criminal record check .-.-.-..- | Yes. |
|  | If applicant is undeserving of power and authority, has falsified his application, or has been convicted of a felony. |
|  | 1 |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | SS. |
|  | $\$ 500$ fine and/or 2 months imprisonment for wearing a uniform similar to that of public police. |
| License or registration period -...-. | One year. |
|  | \$5. |
|  | \$1,000 bond or \$200,000 insurance. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Not similar to that of public police. |
|  | Not similar to that of public police. |
|  | SS. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | Yes, same as that of public police except no traffic arrests may be made. |
| Handguns: Additional |  |
| license required ---------------- | Yes, $\$ 1,000$ bond also required. |
| Restrictions ------------------- | Must repost each discharge of a firearm. |
|  | Yes. |

[^16]
## Other information:

Applicants receive detailed study curriculum. Chemical weapons prohibited.

REGULATION OF PRYVATE SEGURITY BY' MIAMI, FLA

| Details of regulation <br> Regulatory agency <br> (page 2 of 3 pages) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Business or personnel regulated: <br> Contract detective agency |

Method of regulation
Licensing requirements:
Written examination
Length of residency
U.S. citizen

Age limits
Photograph

Prior investigative, security,
or law enforcement experience
Educational level $\qquad$ Criminal record check $\qquad$
Grounds for denial

Grounds for suspension or revocation
SS.

SS.
License or -
License or registration period
License fees


SS.
SS.
Bond/insurance
special ID card
Special badge
special badge
Special uniform
Training required
Legal authority above that of
ordinary citizen $\qquad$
Handguns: Additional
license required
Restrictions
Proficiency test


[^17]| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Contract guard and patrol agency |
| :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory agency ------.---.--- | City Police. |
|  | Licensing of agency; registration of employees. |
| Licensing requirements: <br> Written examination $\qquad$ | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$. |
| Length of residency .-.-.-.-.-- | SS. |
| U.S. citizen ------------------ | ss. |
|  | SS. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Yes. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | SS. |
|  | ss. |
| Criminal record check .-.-.-.- | Yes. |
|  | Conviction of a felony or crime involving moral turpitude. |
|  | , |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | SS. |
| Penalties ------------------------ | $\$ 300$ fine and/or 2 months imprisonment for wearing uniform similar to that of public police. |
| License or registration period .-..... | SS. |
|  | \$47 or more per employer; ${ }^{\text {4 }} 4$ per employee. |
|  | SS. |
| Special ID cards --------------- | SS. |
|  | Not similar to that of public police. |
|  | Not similar to that of public police. |
| Training required ----------------- | SS. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | SS. |
| Handguns: Additional |  |
| license required -----.----------- | SS. |
|  | SS. |
|  | SS. |

*SS means statute is silent.

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY BAL̀TIMORE, MD.


| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: <br> Contract guard, patrol, and investigation agencies |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Police Commission. |
| Method of regulation --.---------- | Licensing of employees and agency. |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination .-.-.-....- | SS *. |
| Length of residency .-.-.-.-.-- | One year in the state. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Minimum 21 years old. |
|  | Yes. |
| Fingerprints ---------------- | Yes. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | Two years investigative experience for investigators only. |
| Educational level ------------ | High school, for investigators only. |
| Griminal record check _-.-.-.-- | Yes. |
|  | Conviction of a felony or charge of moral turpitude; not of good moral character. |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | Violation of regulations. |
|  | Up to $\$ 1,000$ fine and/or 2 years imprisonment for firearms law violation. |
| License or registration period ----- | One year. |
| License fees -..---------------------- | \$22. |
|  | \$10,000. |
| Special ID cards ------------------ | Yes, supplied by city. |
| Special badge ---.-------------------- | Yes, supplied by city. |
|  | Yes, not similar to those of the police department. |
| Training required --.-.-.-.-.----- | SS. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | Yes, but only on the private property to which they have been assigned, or in "hot pursuit." |
| Handguns: Additional |  |
| license required $\qquad$ Restrictions $\qquad$ | Yes. <br> May carry loaded firearm only when on duty; concealed weapons prohibited; must |
|  | file report each time firearm discharged. |
|  | Yes. |

## *SS means statute is silent

Other information:
In-house employees are exempt from the licensing requirement. Thirty-day temporary commission may be given if the ap-
plicant's fingerprints clear the police department so that he can work while awaiting his final clearance. A person may be employed for more than one company in the same capacity but must have a commission card for each company.

## Regulation of private security by st. louis, mo

| Details of regulation |
| :---: |
| Regulatory agency .-...-_-_- |
| Method of regulation .-............ |
| Licensing requirements: |
| Length of residency |
| U.S. citizen ----------- |
|  |
| Photograph |
| Fingerprints |
| Prior investigative, security |

Prior investigative, security,
or law enforcement experienc
Educational level check ------------
Grounds for denial $\qquad$

Grounds for suspension or revocation

## Penalties

License or registration perio
License fer registration period
Bond/insurance


Special ID cards
Special badge


Special uniform


Training required $\qquad$
SS.

Legal authority above that of
ordinary citizen
Handguns: Additional
license required
d $\qquad$
Restrictions $\qquad$

| Proficiency test $\ldots-\ldots-\ldots$ |
| :--- |

## SS means statute is silent.

## ther information:

Licensee is accountable for the good conduct of his employees, who need not be licen

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY ST. LOUIS, MO.
(page 2 of 2 pages)
Details of regulation
Regulatory agency
Method of regulation
Licensing requirements:
Written examination
Length of residency
U.S. citizen
Age limits
Photograph
Fingerprints
Prior investigative, security,
or law enforcement experience
Educational level
Criminal record check

Grounds for denial

Grounds for suspension or revocation _

Penaltics
Liense or registration period -----
License fees
Bond/insurance
Special ID card
Special badge
Special uniform
Training required
Legal authority above that of
ordinary citizen
Handguns: Additional
license required
Restrictions
Proficiency test

Business or personnel regulated:
Contract and in-house guards, patrolmen, alarm, and armored transport personnel

## Board of Police Commissioners.

Licensing of personnel; licensing of contract agencies.
SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$.
State resident
Yes.
Minimum 21 years old
SS.
SS.
SS.
Read and write English.
Yes.
If applicant is not of good moral character; has been convicted of a felony or offense related to moral turpitude; has an unfavorable employment or military record; or has misrepresented facts on his license application.

Conviction of any felony, misdemeanor, or certain other specified offenses; drinking intoxicants on duty; assumption of any police powers when not on duty; conduct detrimental to the public peace or welfare; interference with any police office overbearing or oppressive conduct in the discharge of his duties; failure to obe a reasonable order by an officer of the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department any conduct or actions which might jeopardize the reputation or integrity of the St. Louis Police Department; carrying a loaded firearm between his place of residence and his licensed area; failure to wear the badge, cap piece, and have in his possession a valid identification card while in uniform; or failure to have in his possession his badge and identification card when working in civilian attire.

## SS. <br> One year.

$\$ 12.50$ or higher for contract agencies (depending on gross receipts); other fees determined by the Board of Police Commissioners.
SS.
SS.
Yes, must always be carried while on duty.
Yes, issued upon satisfactory completion of training.
Yes, not similar to that of public police; those exempted from wearing uniform must always carry the letter granting this.
Yes, special course of instruction offered twice per month ${ }^{\text {b }}$
May exercise full public police powers in the area designated to be under their jurisdiction.
No, but those who are not to carry arms have ID marked as such
Carry only while on duty; firearms inspected by public police; discharge of weapon must be reported to the public police.
Yes.

- SS means statute is silent.
${ }^{-}$At the St. Louis Police Training Academy courses will be conducted for three days (one day devoted to firearms). Training will include: rules and regu'ations governing watchmen; an introduction to criminal law; arrest, search. and seizuwe rules; ramifications of recent court decisions on criminal arrest; court and warrant office procedures; crime scene investigations; firearm instruction; plant and store protection techniques; and first aid.
Other information:
A person may not be licensed as a private detective and a private watchman at the same time. If an applicant appears to meet the qualifications for licensing, the Commissioner may issue a temporary license permitting the applicant to work, unarmed, but the applicant may not exercise powers of arrest while the temporary license is being used.
regulation of private security by st. louis county, mo.

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: <br> Contract and in-house guards, patrolmen, and investigators |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | County Superintendent of Police. |
| Method of regulation --- | Licensing of personnel employed in uni |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Length of residency | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| U.S. citizen ---- | Must be state resident. |
| Age limits --- | Yes. |
| Photograph --- | Minimum 21 years old. |
| Fingerprints ------- |  |
| Prior investigat:ve, security, or Jaw enforcement experience | Yes. |
| Educational level |  |
| Criminal record check -------------- | Must be literate. Yes. |
| Grounds for denial | Conviction of |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation |  |
| Oruns for suspension or revocation _ | Noncompliance with licensing regulations; conduct unbecoming; or conviction of a felony or other specified crime. |

Penalties
License or registration period
License fees
Bond/insurance
Special ID cards
Special badge
Special uniform
Training required

Legal authority above that of
ordinary citizen -.......

## Handguns: Additiona

license required
Restrictions

Proficiency test


## - SS means statute is silen

## Other information:

Each discharge of a firearm must be reported to the police. Detention for questioning, or arrest of any licensee must be re-
ported to the county police within 24 hours.

Business or personnel regulated

Details of regulation
Regulatory agency
Method of regulation
Licensing requirements:
Written examination
Length of residency
U.S. citizen

Age limits
Photograph
Fingerprints
Prior investigative, security,
or law enforcement exprrience
Educational level
Criminal record check $\square$
Grounds for denial $\qquad$

Grounds for suspension or revocation -

Penalties
License or registration period
License fees
Bond/insurance $\qquad$

Special ID cards
Special badge
Special uniform
Training required
$\qquad$

Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen
Handguns: Additional
license required
Restrictions
Proficiency test

Business or personnel regulated: Special policemen

Police Department.
Appointment.

SS *.
One year in Newark.
Yes.
Minimum 21 years old
Yes.
ss.
Literate.
Yes.
If convicted of any crime; not of good moral character; addicted to drugs, alcohol, or other bad habits; or not of strong mental or physical health, energy, or courage.

No cause or hearing needed.

## Revocation of appointment.

One year.
$\$ 5$ first year; $\$ 3$ resiewal.
$\$ 100,000 / \$ 300,000$ insurance.
Yes.
Yes ( $\$ 10$ fee).
Yes.
Yes.

Yes.

SS.
Gäry only when on duty or on way to or from work.
SS.

## - SS means statute is silent.

Other information:
Special policemen are subject to orders of the public police.

# REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY NEW YORK CITY, N.Y 

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Special police |
| :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory agency ---------------- | City Police Department. |
|  | Licensing of personnel. |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination --.-------- | SS ${ }^{\text {: }}$ |
| Length of residency --.-...-.- | One year in New York City. |
| U.S. citizen ------------------ | Yes. |
|  | SS. |
|  | SS. |
|  | Yes. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | SS. |
|  |  |
| Criminal record check .-.-.-.-- | Yes. |
| Grounds for denial .-.-.-.-...-. | SS. |
| Grounds for suspension or revoc: ${ }^{\text {a }}$, ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | May be revoked without giving cause; also, for noncompliance with any regulation or aspect of the law. |
|  | Revocation; \$100 fine and/or 60 days imprisonment. |
| License or registration period .-_-_ | SS. |
| License fees .--------------------------- | \$10. |
|  | SS. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Yes, provided by the NYC police |
| pecial uniform $\qquad$ | Yes, of different color than that of the NYC Police Department. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | SS. |
| Handguns: Additional license required |  |
|  | may disapprove. <br> Carry only while on duty. |
| Proficiency test .-.-_-_-_-_-_- | SS. |

'SS means statute is silent.
Oher information
Special police are subject to public police order; they must report monthly, giving proof of employment; they may not wear
niform off duty.

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel negulated: Special police |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Director of Public Safety. |
| Method of regulation ------------- | Licensing of personnel. |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination .-...-.---- | SS ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Length of residency .-.......-- | SS. |
|  | SS. |
|  | SS. |
| Photograph ------------------- | SS. |
|  | SS. |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience. | SS. |
|  | SS. |
| Criminal record check -------- | SS. |
|  | SS. |
|  | : |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | Just complaint; violation of regulations. |
|  | Revocation of license. |
| License or registration period ------ | SS. |
|  | SS. |
|  | \$1,000, if armed with a firearm that may be concealed. |
|  | Yes. |
|  | Yes, if approved. |
|  | Yes, if approved. |
|  | 120 hours of a training program approved by the Onio Peace Officers Training Council. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | Yes, at specified locations. |
| Handguns: Additional |  |
|  | SS. |
|  | SS. |
|  | SS. |

## - SS means statute is silent

## Other information

Private policemen are responsible for the full enforcement of all laws; are subject to the orders of the chief of police and to regulations set by the Director of Public Safety; must aid public police in distress; and must report all crimes.

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY OKLAHOMA GITY, OKLA

| Details of regulation | Business or personnel regulated: Contract guard or patrol ngency |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Police Department. <br> Licensing of agency and armed employees. |
| Method of regulation ------------- |  |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination ----------- | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> SS. <br> Yes. <br> Minimum 21 years old. <br> Yes. <br> Yes. |
| Length of residency ----------- |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Photograph --------------..--- |  |
|  |  |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience | SS. |
| Educational level -..---...-...- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SS. } \\ & \text { Yes. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Criminal record check .-------- |  |
|  | If convicted of a felony or an offense involving moral turpitude; drug addiction. |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | Violation of any provision of this act, or any of the grounds for denial. |
|  | SS. |
| License or registration period .----- | One year. <br> $\$ 50$ annually for the agency; $\$ 10$ per individual employee. |
|  |  |
| Bond/insurance --------------------- | \$10,000 for each employee or \$100,000 for the agency (may be bond or insurance). |
|  | Commission card must be carried. |
|  |  |
| Special uniform --------------------1-1 | Yes, not like that of public police. |
| Training required ------------------1-1 | Must attend orientation classes conducted by public police. |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | No. |
| Handguns: Additional |  |
|  | Yes. SS. |
|  |  |
|  | Yes. |

' SS means statute is silent
ther information:
Police must approve change in patrol area; agency must notify police of client additions before beginning service; failure malal interv conthol employees results in revocation of license; betore issuance of the license, the applicant must have a peronal interview with the public police; temporary permit may be issued provided just cause is shown
aegulation of private security by dallas, tex
(page 1 of 2 pages)

|  | Business or personnel regulated: Contract patrol agency |
| :---: | :---: |
| Details of regulation |  |
| Regulatory agency ------------------ | City Police. |
| Method of regulation -------------- | Licensing of agency and employees. |
| Licensing requirements: |  |
| Written examination ---------- |  |
| Length of residency ---------- |  |
| U.S. citizen --------------------- | SS. |
| Age limits ----------------------------- | SS. |
| Photograph ------------------- | Ss. |
| Fingerprints ---------------- |  |
| Prior investigative, security, or law enforcement experience. | SS. |
| Educational level ------------ | SS. <br> Yes. |
| Criminal record check -------- |  |
| Grounds for denial -------------------- | If applicant has been convicted of a felony; made false statement on the application; is not of good moral character; or has had prior unsatisfactory employment in a similar position. |
|  | ' |
| Grounds for suspension or revocation - | Same as Grounds for Denial. |
| Penalties ---------------------------- | \$200 fine. |
| License or registration period | \$50 for agency; \$10 for each employee. |
|  | ss. |
|  |  |
| Special ID cards ------------------ |  |
| Special badge "----------------------- | Yes, must be approved by police. SS. |
| Special uniform ------------------------ |  |
| Training required ------------------- |  |
| Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen $\qquad$ | SS. |
| Handguns: Additional | May only carry handgun while on duty at special premises, or on way to or from <br> SS. work. |
| license required $\qquad$ |  |
|  | ss. |

## - SS means statute is silent.

Other information:
. 10 days. Patrol agency must file written report on each City police must make recommendation on

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SEGURITY BY DALLAS, TEX
(page 2 of 2 pages)

Details of regulation
Regulatory agency
Method of regulation

Licensing requirements:
Written examination
Length of residency.
U.S. citizen

Age limits
Photograph
Prior investizative secur
or investigative, security
or law enforcement experience.
ducational level
Criminal record check
Grounds for denial $\qquad$
Grounds.for suspension or revocation Penalties

License or registration period
License fees $\qquad$
Bond/insurance
Special ID cards
$\qquad$
Special ID car
Special badge $\qquad$
Special badge -
Special uniform $\qquad$
Training required $\qquad$
Legal authority above that of
ordinary citizen
itional
license required
Restrictions
Proficiency test. .-.

## ${ }^{\prime}$ SS means statute is silent

Other information:
Very extensive and detailed regulations have been established. Prerecorded alarm messages may not be transmitted to regula police emergency telephone numbers but may be transmitted to special police emergency telephone numbers; only licensed businesses may install prerecorded alarm message equipment; locations of alarms and service representatives may be furnished every 3 months for certain classes of alarms; prerecorded messages must be of approved format, not over 15 seconds long, and may be transmitted to the police only three times; overly sensitive or errorprone alarms must not be used; devices nus
be in good repair; alarm clients must receive alarm $u$ ' $a$ instructions approved by the police; alarm service man must be avail able 24 hours a day; police may require certain unacceptable alarm devices to be disconnected; police may inspect alarm installations; central station protective system must comply with National Fire Protection Standard 7.1-1970 and Under writers Laboratory Standard NL 611-1968; certain alarm data $n$ ist be furnished to the police on request; locations where alarms are monitored must be approved, locked, and staffed 24 hours per day; certain alarms shall be tested every 60 days and clients shall be notified whenever an alarm is out of service.

EGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY KING COUNTY, WASH
(page 1 of 2 pages)


Grounds for denial $\qquad$

Grounds for suspension or revocation

Penalties
License or registration period
License fees $\qquad$
Bod/rara
Special ID cards
Special ID car
Special badge
Special uniform $\qquad$
Training required $\qquad$ SS.
SS.

Legal authority above that of ordinary citizen

Handguns: Additional
license required
Restrictions
Proficiency test

## - SS means statute is silent.

## Other information

Licensee may not disclose confidential information. Credit bureaus, investigators, and insurance adjusters are exempted from licensing.
regulation of private security by king county, wash

regulation of private security by seattle, wash.

| Details of regulation |
| :--- |
| Regulatory agency |
| Method of regulation |
| Licensing requirements: |
| Written examination |
| Length of residency |
| U.S. citizen |
| Age limits |
| Phiotograph |
| Frior inntestigative, security, |
| or law enforcement experience. |
| Educational level |
| Criminal record check |
| Grounds for denial |



Penalties
License or registration period
License fees

Bond/insurance $\qquad$
Special ID cards
Special badge - $\qquad$
Special uniform $\qquad$
$\qquad$

Legal authority above that of
ordinary citizen
Handguns: Additional
license required
Restrictions
Proficiency test

Business or persornel regulated: Contract guard, patrol, or investigative agency

## City Comptroller.

Licensing of agency and employees.

## SS ${ }^{2}$. <br> SS. SS. <br> Yes. <br> Yes. <br> ss. <br> SS.

Engaging in security business without a license; not of good moral character; con viction of a felony within 10 years of application, or of a misdemeanor involvin moral turpitude, or of intent to defraud, or having been released from a penal institute or from active supervision on parole as a result of such conviction. Hew ever, the city council may waive 5 years of such a period upon the applicant's satisfactorily showing rehabilitation

See Grounds for Denial.
$\$ 300$ fine and/or 90 days in city jail.
One year.
$\$ 250$ initial investigative agency fee ( $\$ 100$ renewal), $\$ 25$ to $\$ 100$ patrol agency fee; $\$ 5$ per employee.

## \$2,000.

Yes.
SS.
SS.
SS.
ss.

SS.
SS.

SS.

## - SS means statute is silent

Other information:
Licensee may not release confidential information to unauthorized persons. Credit and insurance investigators are exempted from the licensing requirement. The City Comptroller, upon recommendation of the Chief of Police, pending completion of the entire investigation, may issue a temporary permit, to anyone who has been a resident of the State of Washington for 5 years (permit is valid for 45 days)

## REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY WASHINGTON, D.C

(page 1 of 2 pages)


Grounds for suspension or revocation -
Failure to supply the Commissioner with information he needs to determine if business is being operated properly; or any of the grounds for denial.
Penalties
License or registration period
License fees
Bond/insurance
Special ID cards
Special badge
Special uniform
Training required
Legal authority above that of
ordinary citizen
Handguns: Additional
license required
Restrictions
Proficiency test
$\$ 300$ fine and/or 90 days imprisonment.
One year.
SS.
$\$ 5,000$.

Yes.
Ss.

SS.
SS.
SS.
ss.
ss.

Must be commissioned as special police officer to carry a firearm SS.

SS.

REGULATION OF PRIVATE SECURITY BY WASHINGTON, D.C (page 2 of 2 pages)


* SS means statute is silent.


## APPENDIX C: Survey Questionnaire of Private Security Regulation

This study of the private security industry being conducted by The Rand Corporation for the United States Department of Justice encompasses the following types of private security personnel and businesses :

## Guards

Watchmen
Patrolmen
Armored Car Personnel
Private Investigators
Private Police Force
Central Station Alarm Services
Insuráance Investigàtors
Repossessors
Process Servers
Special Police (e.g., airport, park, or railroad police forces)
police forces)
Polygraph Operators
We are concerned with the businesses which oper-
ate in these areas of private security, as well as the personnel who carry out the actual security functions. Furthermore, we are concerned both with independent "contract" security agencies, such as Pinkerton's, Inc., and the William J. Burns International Detective Agency, as well as the "in-house" guards and investigators who are individually employed by such firms as department stores, manufacturing firms, and insurance companies.

The study will result in a description of the state of the private security industry, the present government regulation of the industry, and recommendations for future regulatory efforts. In this survey, we ask for your data on the size of the industry and its basic problems. We also would like to know the extent of your regulation of the industry, and your sugges. tions on possible improvements or changes in such regulation.

Thank you for your cooperation.

## SURVEY OF PRIVATE SECURITY REGULATION

## I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

1. Name and address of regulatory agency:
$\qquad$
2. Name and telephone number of agency administrator:
3. Name and telephone number of person or persons completing this questionnaire:
4. What is the geographical jurisdiction of your agency?
5. What are the names and addresses of other agencies in your state that also regulate private security?
6. Do you desire that we treat certain answers as confidential If so, which question numbers do you want to be confidential?

Please send all the current rules and regulations for private security businesses, personnel, and activities promulgated by your agency, or by related agencies in your jurisdiction.

## II. LICENSING

8. Do you issue licenses for any of the following categories of security related businesses or personnel? each category you license.
Contract agencies*

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Contract agenclesn } \\
& \text { Contract guard agencies }
\end{aligned}
$$ - Contract investigative agencies

__Contract patrol agencies - Contract police agencies __Central station alarm companies
_- Armored car companies ——Retail credit agucies
$\qquad$ Contract Personne?
$\qquad$ Contract guardsContract guards
Contract investigato _Contract patrolmen __Contract policemen __Central station alarm personnel
_ Polygraph operators
_ Armored car personnel
(a) What is the total number of all such licenses currently outstanding?
(b) How many new licenses did your agency issue in 1970? $\qquad$ 1969? $\qquad$ 1968? $\qquad$
Please state the number of licenses currently outstanding for each of the categories (listed above) which you license.


[^18]8. (continued)
(d) How do you learn about organizations or individuals illegally operating without the necessary license?
9. How many licenses for security related firms or personnel were denied by your agency in 1970? 1969? 1968?
(a) What are the five most prevalent reasons for such denials?
(1) $\qquad$
(5)
category of firms and personnel listed in Question 8, please state the number of licenses denied in 1970 and the major reaions for denial.

| Category of <br> firm or employee | Number of <br> licenses <br> denied | Reasons for <br> denials |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

III. COMPLAINTS AND SANCTIONS AGAINST SECURITY BUSINESSES AND PERSONNEL
10. Please state the total number of complaints against security personnel and businesses received by your agency in 1970
1968 $\qquad$
(a) What are the five most prevalent types of complaints against security businesses received by your agency? You may want to consult a list of typical complaints contained in Question 19:
(1) $\qquad$
(2) $\longrightarrow \square$
(4)
(5) $\qquad$
(b) Of the categories of security businesses and personnel listed in Ques tion 8 , which five generate the rnost complaints?
(1) $\qquad$ (2)
(3)
(4)
(5) $\qquad$
(c) Please list the five most common ways by which complaints first come to your agency's attention
(1)
(2) $\qquad$
10. (c) (continued)
(3)
(4) $\longrightarrow$
11. How many licenses were revoked by your agency in 1970 ? $\qquad$ 1969?
(a) $\mathbf{~} 969$ ?

What
(1)
(1)
(2)
1)
(3)
(4)
(5)
$\qquad$
ny licenses were suspended by your agen 1969? 1968? ___
(a) What are the five most prevalent grounds for license suspension?
(1) $\qquad$
(3)
(4)
(b) What is the average length of time for which a license is suspended?
13. Has your agency or have the courts imposed any fines on licensees or their employees for improper conduct?
(a) If so, how many fines were imposed in 1970? $\qquad$ 1969? $\qquad$ 1968 $\qquad$
(b) What are the five most prevalent grounds for imposing fines?
(1)
(2)
(2)
(3)
(4)
(c) What is the amount of the fine usually imposed? $\qquad$
(d) What is the largest fine your agency or the courts have imposed since 1968? $\qquad$
14. Please state the total number of private security employees sentenced to jail as a result of improper conduct in 1970 $\qquad$ 1969 1968 $\qquad$
(a) What is the average sentence inposed in such cases? $\qquad$
(b) What are the five most prevalent incidents or abuses that lead to prosecution?
(1)
12)
(3)
(4)
4)
(5)
14. (continued)
(c) What part did your agency play in bringing about such prosecutions?
$\qquad$
15. Apart from revocation or suspension of license, fines, or prosecution, what other kinds of disciplinary action has your agency taken with regard to illegal actions by private security firms or personnel? How frequently is each of these other kinds of disciplinary action taken? For what types of
incidents?
$\qquad$
16. Does your agency know the number of times the private secuxity firms insurance companies or bonding companies paid claims as a result of improper security employee actions in 1969?
(a) If so, how many insurance claims were paid in 1969?
(b) What is the size of typical insurance claim payments?
(c) What are the five most prevalent improper actions by security employees that result in insurance ciaims?
(1)
(2) $\longrightarrow$
(3)
(4)
(5)
(d) If so, how many bond claims were paid in 1969?
(e) What is the size of a typical bond claim payment?
$\qquad$
f) What are the five most prevalent improper $\qquad$ -
what are the five most prevalent improper actions by security employees that result in bond claims?
(1) $\qquad$
(3) $\longrightarrow \ldots$
(4)
(3)
(5) $\qquad$
17. Does your agency investigate the conduct of licensed security businesses or personnel without receiving a complaint?
If so, please describe the nature of these investigative efforts.
$\qquad$
18. Please complete the following table, describing each complaint received by your agency in 1969. If you received more than 50 complaints during 1969 please select and describe representative types of complaints and indicate the approximate number of each type.


## 1V. COMMON INCIDENTS INVOLVING PRIVATE SECURITY PERSONNEL AND BUSINESSES

19. The following table contains a list of some common incidents involving private security businesses and their personnel.
Please fill out the table, indicating for each type of incident the following three items:
(a) The number of such incidents reported to your agency in 1970*
(b) The number of such incidents which you suspect occurred within your jurisdiction during 1970* but were not reported to your agency.
(c) The prevalence and importance of each type of incident, by ranking each from number 1 (low incidence-not a problem) to number 3 (average p.oblem) to number 5 (very prevalent-serious problem).

| Type of incident | Number of incidents reported during 1970* | Estimated number of incidents unreported during 1970 * | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Rank } \\ (1 \text { to } 5) \\ \text { in } \\ \text { importance } \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Death caused by security personnel |  |  |  |
| Shooting by security personnel |  |  |  |
| Assault, use of excessive force by security personnel |  |  |  |
| False arrest |  |  |  |
| Improper detention |  |  |  |
| Slander |  |  |  |
| Defamation of character |  |  |  |
| Malicious prosecution |  |  |  |
| Trespass |  |  |  |
| Impersonating public police or investigator |  |  |  |
| Improper uniform or equipment |  |  |  |
| Improper search |  |  |  |
| Improper interrogation |  |  |  |
| Breaking and entering |  |  |  |
| Bugging or wiretapping |  |  |  |
| Invasion of privacy (except bugging or wiretapping) |  |  |  |
| Offensive language |  |  |  |
| Negligence in performing seciurity duties |  |  |  |
| Extortion |  |  |  |
| Theft, burglary, or robbery by a security employee |  |  |  |
| Drunkenness |  |  |  |
| Ilegal access to confidential police records |  |  |  |


| 19. (continued) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of incident | Number of incidents reported during $1970^{*}$ | Estimated number of incidents unreported lduring 1970* | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rank } \\ \text { (1.to } 5 \text { ) } \\ \text { importance } \end{gathered}$ |
| Violation of rules established by agency regulating private security (specify) $\qquad$ |  |  | * |
| Failure to perform services paid for |  |  |  |
| Misrepresentation of services to be performed or of price |  |  |  |
| Gaining entry by deceptive means |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |
| Other (specify) | $\cdots$ |  |  |
| Other (specify) |  | * |  |
| Grand Total (all types) |  |  |  |

(
Ten alth various areas of potential regulation. The following series of questrons if on this questionnair: to allow you to fully sufficient space views, please attach additional shzets.
on the adequacy of current regulation and RUSPONSTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENTS SHOULD REFLECT THE VIEWS OF THE RESPONSIBLE ADMINISTRATOR.
20. Please check the types of private security firms and employees that you feel should be licensed. Licensing, as the term is used here, means requiring ome form of approval by a regulatory agency before the organization or some form to be "licensed" is allowed to perform security or investigative employee to be "licensed" is allowed the individual employees should be licensed work. Your ins on whether

## _ Contract guard agencie

—_Contract investigative agencies
_ Contract patrol agencies
Contract police agenci
Central station alarm companies
_ Armored car companies
__Contract guards

- Contract investigators
___Contract patrolmen
___Contract policemen
_Central station alarm personnel

Armored car personne
-_Polygraph operators
-Any security employee armed with a gun _In-house guard forces —In-house investigative forces _In-house police forces -In-house guards In-house investigators __In-house police Insurance investigators __Repossessors __ Process servers
20. (continued)
_. Special police (e.g., airport, park, or rail police forces)
__Other (specify)
$\qquad$ _ Other (specify) Retail credi - Retail credit investigato

Please describe the reasons why you feel the agencies and employees you checked should be licensed, and why the ones you didn't check shouldn't be licensed.*
21. What should be the length of time between license renewals?
22. What are reasonable fees to charge for licensing?

| Category of <br> firm or employee <br> (see question 20) | Application <br> fee | Licnnse <br> fee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Remarks:
23. What are reasonable amounts of insurance and/or bond for private security and investigative agencies and employees to carry?


Should these levels of insurance or bond be mandatory?
Remarks: $\qquad$
24. Should the licensee be required to pass a written test prior to obtaining a new license? $\qquad$ a renewal? $\qquad$
If so, describe the topics that should be covered.


* Please attach additional pages if necessary to fully explain your views.

24. (continued)

Remarks:
(b) Should any individual employees be required to pass a psychological test prior to beginning work? If so, which categories of employees?
2.. Should minimum educational standards be established for private security and investigative employees?
If so, describe below.

| Category of <br> employee <br> (see question 20) | Minimum <br> educational <br> requirement |
| :---: | :---: |
| - |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Remarks:

26. Should minimum prior security work experience standards be established? If so, describe.

| Category of <br> employee or <br> licensee | Minimum <br> experience <br> required |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Remarks:
27. Should age restrictions be placed on employeas?

II so, describe.

|  | Category <br> of employee |
| :---: | :---: | | Age |
| :---: |

28. Should residency or citizenship restrictions be placed on employees or licensees?
If so, describe:
29. For the various types of licensees and employees, describe the type of criminal records check you recommend and the type of arrest record or conviction record that should prohibit employment

| Category of licensee <br> or employee | Recommended <br> records checks <br> (federal, state, local) | Reasonable grounds <br> for refusing license <br> or employment (types <br> of arrests and/or <br> convictions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Remarks:
$\qquad$
30. Should a prospective licensee's or employee's references, neighbors, or pasi employers be checked? ___ If so, describe.

| Category of <br> licensee or employee | Recommended <br> background checks |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

31. Should all private security and investigative employees be required to carry a specified identification card?
Should regulations governing allowable types of uniforms, insignia, and badges be established?

Should uniforms be distinctly different from those of the public police?

Remarks: $\qquad$
32. What initial training (legal powers, operating procedures, weapons on and off range, first aid, fire prevention, etc.) would you recommend for private security and investigative personnel?


Please mark with an asterisk those topics that you feel can be taught after the employee begins his security work.

Do you feel that a minimum of training should be made mandatory? ch

Remarks: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
33. What periodic retraining would you recommend?

| Category of <br> personnel | Desirable training |  | Minimum acceptable <br> training |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hours | Topics |  | Hours |
|  |  |  |  | Topics |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

If a man is armed and you recommended periodic range qualification, how frequently should that be given? $\qquad$
How frequently should retraining, other than firearms qualification, be given?

Do you feel that a minimum fevel of retraining should be made mandatory? $\qquad$

Remarks: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
34. Would you prohibit certain types of security and investigative personnel on certain types of assignments from carrying firearms? $\qquad$ or concealed firearms? $\qquad$
If so, what types of personnel and job assignments? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
35. Would you prohibit personnel from carrying certain other weapons (baton, blackjack, chemical agents, etc.)?
If so, please elaborate
$\qquad$
36. Should any private security or investigative personnel be deputized (given full police powers)? $\qquad$ If so, describe the types of personnel and job assignments that you feel require deputization

What are your views on how the public police and private security and investigative personnel should interact? On the appropriate functions for private personnel to perform? On crime repoxting by private personnel? On when the public police should be called for assistance?*
$\qquad$
_
$\qquad$
38. Private sacurity and in Please describe any methods and procedures in performing their work. Please describe any methods and operating procedures that you would either make mandatory or prohibit.*
39. We would appreciate any additional suggestions or conmments regarding regulation of the private security industry in areas not covered above.*
$\qquad$
40. Are present methods of regulation, enforcement mechanisms, and penalties for violations adequate? How would you change them?*
$\qquad$ -

* Please attach additional pages if necessary to fully explain your views.

41. Should regulation be carried out at the federal, state, or local level? Or on two or three levels? Why? If you feel that regulatery responsibilities should be divided, how would you divide them? Should the level of regulation differ for different types of security firms and personnel? If so, how?*
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ -
*Please attach additional pages if necessary to fully explain your views.

## VI. REGULATORY AGENCY.PERSONNEL AND BUDGET

42. What was the total number of personnel* in your agency in 1970 engaged in licensing, investigation, and regulation of private security activities? - $\qquad$ Of the total, how many are clerical employees? How many are investigators? $\qquad$
43. What was your agency's total budget for licensing and regulating private security activities* for 1970?
44. How many manhours does your agency spend verifying the information on a typical license application?
45. How many total man-hours does your agency spend processing a typical complaint against a private security firm or employee?
46. Do you have sufficient personnel to adequately perform your assigned functions? ___ If not, how many new personnel do you feel are needed $\qquad$ How many of these new personnel would be investiga tors? Which of your presently assigned functions are not receiving adequate attention because of lack of personnel? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
47. In a previous section, we asked for suggestions for changes in the present laws and regulations on private security activities. If your suggested changes were to be implemented fully, how many total new staff members would be required? $\qquad$ How many of these new staff members would be investigators?

If your agency also regulates activities other than private security, please indicate only the portion of the budget and the number of "fultime-equivalent" personnel devoted to regulating private security.
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## APPENDIX E: Detailed Regulatory Agency Comments on

 Licensing and Training
## LICENSING COMMENTS

## Alabama

Birmingham. The entire question of which private security forces and personnel should be regulated and the manner of regulation is currently under intensive study. Generally, any person performing a law-enforcement-related duty should be licensed.

## California

Firms that represent to the public that they are qualified to perform certain types of security work should be ticensed by the state to preclude undesirables and tctally incompetent persons from victimizing the public. Categories of licensing should be cautiously drawn to ąvoid restrictions on competitive enterprise in areas where the public interest is not essentially affected. Frequently, licensing statutes are instigated by self-serving industry groups and entry into vocations becomes restricted by regulations that could more effectively be controlled by competition. The California Bureau of Collection and Investigaive Services used to register the employees of investigators, insurance adjusters, and ropossessors The gators, insurance adjusters, and repossessors. The lotal result was a monumental headache with scanty results to show for It. Licensees would neglect to report new employees-but even worse, they would frget to report their terminations. The paper mill imply became an and in itself, a then benally imply becane an end in iself, a typical bureaucratic operation. The measure of the resuits of that registration program should be indicated by the number of persons denied employment as unfit and those removed from employment for improper conduct. The following data are for the three-year time period (1966-1968) :

Number of registrations granted. over 4,000
Number of applicants taken
to hearing
Number of applicants denied
after hearing icants denied
Number of registrations revoked
after hearing $\qquad$
verage length of time between occurrence of misconduct and date of revocation, months --
employment before the mis-
conduct occurred $\qquad$
Number of residents who left employment before hearing decision was rendered ........Number of registrants removed from employment because of revocation or misconduct ...--

This survey, of course, cannot count the number of undesirables who may have been deterred from becoming employed in those industries because of the necessity of fingerprinting and registration. This is perhaps a more significant figure if it were available. But from the data above, it would have to be a starting figure to balance the dismal failure of the program evidenced by the data.
During the 20 months since the registration program has been repealed, the Bureau has become aware of only one single instance where the absence of the control was missed, where it would have seemed bene ficial to the public interest to have denied an individual employment as an investigator
Los Angeles. Agencies and employees should be licensed or registered if they are sometimes armed, provide protection and service at various locations, use public streets, are in contact with citizens, or are entrusted with items and information of trust. Licensing or registration is not recommended for agencies serving a fixed location not on public streets, with employees who are under the control and acting in the interest of their employer
Oakland. Agencies performing police-related tasks, frequently allowing easier access to otherwise confidential records, information, and otherwise secure premises and persons, should be licensed
San Jose. Licensing of in-house security personnel is not recommended because they are hired by private businesses.

## Connecticut

All agencies who furnish security for hire should be investigated before licensing and regulated after licensing to protect the welfare of the public.

## Florida

Individual employees should be investigated as to character and should be known to the regulatory agency, but not licensed.
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Los Angeles. Agencies and employees should be licensed or registered if they are sometimes armed, provide protection and service at various locations, pre public streets, are in contact with citizens, or are use public streets, are in contact wion of trust. Licensentrusted with items and information or for agencies ing or registration is not recom public streets; with employees who are under the control and acting in the interest of their employer.
Oakland. Agencies performing police-related tasks, frequently allore conrequently allowing earmation, and otherwise secure premises and persons, should be licensed. San fose. Licensing because they are hired by private businesses.

## Gonnecticut

All agencies who furnish security for hire should Anvestigated before licensing and regulated after licensing to protect the welfare of the public.

## Florida

Individual employees should be investigated as to haracter and should be known to the regulatory agency, but not licensed

San Jose. Licensing of in-house security personnel

Contract agencies and personnel should be licensed to insure honest people in the field of security for the protection of clients. In-house people are the responsibility of the employer.

## Kentucky

Any security force authorized to carry firearms, exercise police powers, or conduct criminal investigations should be licensed and subject to regulations.

## Maryland

Registration of all employees prior to beginning work assignments should be required to obtain effective pre-employment screening of individual employees.

## Michigan

These agencies and employees should be licensed in order for the general public to be protected agains unauthorized, unlicensed, and unethical operations b persons in the varibus fields of protection. Polygraph operators should be licensed to protect the industry against the establishing of businesses by unethical persons who are unqualified and without the proper training and background.
Financial company investigators often investigate other nonfinancial matters and are on the borderine regarding whether or not they should be licensed. Contract private detective agencies often complain of such operations.

## Missouri

Kansas City. Those categories recommended for licensing perform police functions of either conducting investigations or providing protection of people or property. The duties of insurance adjusters, repossessors, process servers, and polygraph operators do not include police-related activity and are not a proper subject for police regulation.

St. Louis. The United States Supreme Court in the case of the National Labor Relations Board vs. Jones and Laughlin Steel Corporation, 91 L.2d., page 1575, 1.c. 1583 , discussed the status of private watchmen and guards who are licensed and appointed by either state or city officials: ". . . it is common practice in this country for private watchmen or guards to be vested with the powers of policemen, sheriffs, or peace officers to protect the private property of their employers. And when they are performing their police functions, they are acting as public officers and assume all the powers and limitations attached thereto."

The above principle of law seems to cleaily estab lish that private watchmen, guards, etc., have both public authority and the attendant responsibilities in Missouri. The St. Louis Board of Police Commissioners are the public agency responsible for the protection and policing of the city. Therefore, the coatrol of all phases of private security is clearly the responsibility of the Board of Police Commissioners.
St. Louis County. The security employees checked should be licensed because they are performing certain duties of a policeman. They are generally armed and work in plain view of the public. If they are given certain police powers they should be well trained in the law-eriforcement field. Also, a license would serve as proof of training and act as a method of control over the entire program. The agencies and employees with duties which are not those of a public policeman should not be licensed.

## Nevada

In-house security personnel are not recommended for licensing because the employer' can afford to get background information on the employee himself.

## New Jersey

There is a trend in the security industry to large corporations operating in several states. Such out-ofstate corporations hire "'managers" who operate the business in the State of New Jersey but are not licensed or investigated by the state police. The "qualifying person," upon whose experience a corporation's license is granted, frequently has no part in the management of the business in the State of New Jersey and only holds a title such as assistant vicepresident, merely to comply with the licensing requirement.
Neviark. Licensing would allow minimum standards to be set. The expansion of contractual security services requires regulation. In-house service agencies do not require regulation because they are limited in their interaction with the police function.

## North Dakota

All categories of private security should be licensed, since each deals with either invasion of privacy, use or carrying of weapons, prerequisite technical proficiency, or representation to the public as being a quasi-governmental officer.

## Ohio

Cleveland. Certain types of private security officers and agencies should be licensed so that public agen-
cies will have some control over the police action taken by them.

## Texas

Certain agencies should be licensed and regulated because they are dealing with the general public's privacy. In-house employees are regulated by their employers and the public is protected to a certain extent by these established firms. Therefore, it is no so important that in-house personnel be licensed.

Dallas. Any person who must be armed for the purpose of protecting persons or property should be investigated.

## Virginia

Richmond. Private agencies should be required to be responsible for the actions of their employees and only individuals with special police powers would need to be licensed.

## Washington

Seattle. A task force is in the process of revising licensing for private security personne?. No recommendations are presently available.

## City A

In-house guards, investigators, and other security employees are employees of the one business involved and as such are the responsibility of said business.

## City 1

All categories of agencies should be licensed by the regulatory agency and not allowed to operate until specific qualifications are met. All categories of agency operators and their employees should be required to register with the police department in the county in which they have a license to do business. This would be an excellent control factor and would supply criminal backgrounds of the employer and employees as well as fingerprints.

The present licensing program provides special officer permits to only employees of establishments, primarily shoplifting details, that provide a service to the city by expediting the arrest procedure and thereby reducing out-of-service time of public police patrol units.

## City $\mathbf{C}$

A determining factor in whether private security personnel should be licensed or not should be whethe he deals in any way with the public. If his work is strictly in-house guard duty, no license is necessary. Agencies should be licensed because of the quasi-
public function they perform. Since insurance adjusters perform a private business function, it is not necessary that they be licensed.

## TRAINING RECOMMENDATIONS

## California

| Category of per | onnel: Topics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Training uniformed armed personnel | 12 hours on legal and moral aspects of the use of weapons, defensive firing, weapon safety, weapon familiarization, written policy, and firing range practice | (6 hours min.) |

California legislation in. 1970 authorized mandatory training for uniformed armed employees.

## Los Angeles, California

## Category of personnel:

Cors Topics guard and 2 hours on gun safety patrol 8 hours on when to shoo arrest
ours on the scope of ( 2 hours min.)
( 8 hours min.)
( 2 hours min.) hours on the scop
Training of private security personnel can better be done by a public state-wide agency than by a private training school. Some private training schools would be nothing more than "mail order diploma" schools.

## Oakland, California

## ategory of personnel:

Armed $\quad 16$ hours on frearms
personnel safety and qualification
Mandatory training is an absolute necessity for employee who, by the nature of his work, carries a weapon.

## San Diego County, California

Training should be state wide in the interest of uniformity. Patrolmen should be trained in the legal and moral use of firearms, the basic laws of arrest, first aid, and basic criminal law.

## San Jose, California



## Denver, Colorado

| Category of pers | onnel: Topics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Guards | 16 hours on the law of arrest, search and seizure and interrogation | - (8 hours min.) |
| Connecticut |  |  |
| Category of personnel: Topics |  |  |
| All licensed personnel | 10 hours on the knowledge of laws governing |  |
|  | their respective fields | (10 hours min.) |
|  | 12 hours on the laws |  |
|  | 10 gours on first aid | (12 hours min.) |
|  | 10 hours on firs | (10 hours min.) |
|  | prevention | (10 hours min. |

## Florida

Minimum training should be made mandatory. The details are under study.

## Iowa

Training should be comparable to the recruit train ing given police; for investigators, training should be comparable to that required for police who ente the Detective Bureau.

## Wichita, Kansas

A 40-hour course covering specified topics has been proposed. Current thinking is that security companie should be required to train their own people, with courses subject to police approval rather than having a law-enforcement agency provide the training.

## Kentucky

Training all categories of personnel in basic police science should be mandatory.

## Maryland

The cost of training security guards and private police is prohibitive, especially for the smaller com panies. The local political subdivisions may have to assume this cost in the future.

## Michigan

The present Michigan law requires all private inhouse security forces seeking (nonmandatory) licensing to comply with training requirements as prescribed by the Michigan State Police.
Category of personnel:
Topics

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { All guards } \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Use of weapons } \\
\text { Legal authority of }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

Qategory of Fersonnel: Topic
Guards with 6,hours on arrest, $\begin{array}{ll}\text { powers } & \text { search and seizure } \\ 2 \text { hours on juvenile } \\ & \end{array}$

6 hours on juvenile criminal law
2 hours on evidence
2 hours on bombs
1 hour on court
testimony
10 hours on firearms
10 hours on defensive
tactics
5 hours on
5 hours on traffic
2 hours on police.
courtesy
3 hours of handling
${ }^{\text {abnormal persons }}$
2 hours on fire safety

## Minnesota

Category of personnel: Topics
Investigators 20 hours on basic investi-
5 gative techniques
evidence
5 hours on public
relations
(10 hours min.)
( 5 hours min.)
0 hours on criminal law
( 5 hours min.)
20 hours on civinal law
( 10 hours min.)
Security 20 hours on civil law. ( 10 hours min)
patrol security patrol and
personnel $\quad 5$ hours on criminal law ( 10 hours min.)
5 hours on criminal law
hours on public
5 hours on uniforms,
equipment, and first
(2 hours min.)
aid
(2 hours min.)

## Kansas City, Missouri

The training program should be conducted by the employer rather than the police department. Other police responsibilities are far more pressing.

## St. Louis County, Missouri

| Category of personnel: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| All guards | 2 hours on the law of |
| and | arrest, search and |
| investi- | seizure |
| gators | 2 hours on the ordi- |
|  | nances and state |
|  | statutes |
|  | 1 hour on first aid |
|  | 1 hour on the |
|  | mechanics of making |
|  | an arrest and basic |
|  | self-defense |
|  | 2 hours on crime scene |
|  | discussion and |
|  | warrant application |

Minimum training requirements would be one-half of the above times.

When entrusting a person with certain powers of a police officer, he should be given the training nec ${ }^{5}$ sary to properly function with these powers.

## St. Louis, Missour

In-house guards, private patrolmen, and contract guards are licensed by the City of St. Louis and may exercise the same powers as a public policeman while on duty at their specified work location. Each individual licensee must satisfactorily pass a prescribed 3-day course of instruction at the St. Louis Police Training Academy. The 3-day employee training program covers rules and regulations, criminal law, arrest, search and seizure, criminal evidence, court and warrant procedures, crime investigations, defense tactics, firearms instruction, business protection techniques, and first aid.

## Nevada

All private security personnel should have sufficient training to pass a rigic examination.

## Newark, New Jersey

| Category of perso Patrolmen and guards | nnel: Topics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10 hours on patrol and security functions | ( 5 hours min.) |
|  | 10 hours on legal code | ( 5 hours min.) |
|  | and laws of arrest, search and seizure | (5 hours min.) |
|  | 2 hours on interviewing and interrogation | (2 hours min.) |
|  | 10 hours on defense tactics | (5 hours min.) |
|  | 15 hours on first aid | ( 5 hours min.) |
|  | 5 hours on report writing | (3 hours min.) |
|  | 5 hours on community relations | (2 hours min.) |
|  | 5 hours on court procedure and |  |
|  | testimony | (2 hours min.) |
|  | 40 hours on firearms | (40 hours min.) |

Category of personnel: Topics
2 hours on interaction
with local police agencies
1 hour on personal hygiene

All training should be given prior to deployment on work assignments.
Investigator and supervisor training should be applicable to their area of responsibility

## Ohio

Local jurisdictions in the State of Ohio may require the commissioning of private security employees. Com missioning does not necessarily give the employee any legal authority or power above that of every citizen The state law requires that all commissioned priva The state personnel successfully pass a 120 -hour mandatory training program at an approved school, with approved instructors, and following a curriculum prescribed by the Ohio Peace Officer's Training Coun cil.* The training must be completed within on year of the date of employment. It would be manda tory for all private security personnel to receive some type of training.

## Cleveland, Ohio

A 120-hour course should include the law of arrest, rules of evidence, first aid, and firearms training.

| Salem, Oregon |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category of personnel: Topics |  | (1 hour min.) |
| Private detective and patrol | 2 hours on legal aspects of the job <br> 2 hours on police relations |  |
| Texas |  |  |
| Category of personnel: Topics |  |  |
| Private investigator | 12 hours on legal powers | (12 hours min.) |
|  | 12 hours on first aid | ( 12 hours min.) |
|  | 16 hours on operating procedures | ( 16 hours min.) |
| Security employee | 12 hours on legal powers | ( 12 hours min.) |
|  | 12. hours on first aid | (12 hours min.) |
|  | 16 hours on operating procedures | (16 hours min.) |
|  | 10 hours on firearms training | (10 hours min.) |

## Dallas, Texas

| Category of personnel: Topics |  | (2 hours min.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All types of personne! | 4 hours on legal powers 2 hours on operating |  |
|  | procedures | (1 hour min.) |
|  | 6 hours on weapons | (4 hours min.) |
|  | 10 hours on all other |  |
|  | topics | (6 hours min.) |

## City A

| Category of pe | el: Topics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investigators | 50 hours on surveillance <br> 50 hours on interviewing <br> 50 hours on the legality of wiretapping and bugging | ( 25 hours min. ( 25 hours min.) <br> ( 25 hours min.) |
| Guards | 50 hours on the use of firearms <br> 10 hours on first aid <br> 10 hours on crowd control | ( 50 hours min. ( 10 hours min.) <br> ( 10 hours min.) |
| Armored car personnel | 25 hours on the identification of cars and license plates <br> 50 hours on the use of weapons | (25 hours n <br> ( 50 hours |

Some present guards have never fired a weapon.

## City $B$


All training should be completed within 90 days.

## City C

Minimum training should be required for job where employees must deal directly with control of the public. A minimum state training program should be instituted and paid for by the employer. Topics would include the use of force, law of power of arrest, and public relations.

## RETRAINING RECOMMENDATIONS

## Oakland, California

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Category of personnel: } & \text { Topics } \\
\text { Any armed } & 3 \text { hours on firearms } \\
\text { personnel } & \text { safety and qualifica- }
\end{array}
$$

(2 hours min.)
Mandatory firearms training is necessary due to the need for the personal safety of the employee as well as any persons he may deal with.

## San Diego County, California

Private patrolmen should receive retraining on firearms and first aid.

```
San Jose, California
Category of personnel: Topics
    Private 6 hours on frearms (4 hours min.)
        lutal}\begin{array}{l}{\mathrm{ patrol }}\\{\mathrm{ retraining }}
            ment aw enforce-
                ment and new laws
                            (4 hours min.)
```


## California

```
Category of personnel: Topics
Uniformed, 3 hours on a firing armed range
```


## Denver, Colorado

Refresher of initial training topics.

## Connecticut

All categories of licensed personnel should be retrained annually in the same topics in which they were originally trained.

## Florida

A minimum level of retraining should be mandatory. Details are currently under study.

## Michigan

Category of personnel: Topics
Guards with 4 hours on firearms
police $\quad 2$ hours on first aid
arrest Hours as needed on court
powers rulings pertaining
to arrest, search, and seizure

## St. Louis, Missouri

Retraining is always desirable, but the burden on staff and budget expenditures is controlling.
nances and state

All contract $\quad 1$ hour on new innova-
and in- tions in patrol techniques, shoplifting fire prevention, and firearms
1 hour on first aid and public relations 4 hours on firearm range training

Newark, New Jersey
Category of personnel: Topics
Fatrolmen $\quad 2$ hours review on and general security topic $\begin{array}{ll}\text { and } & \text { general security top } \\ \text { guards } & 1 \text { hour on new laws }\end{array}$ with respect to arrest, search and seizure
1 hour on community
2 hours on defense
tactics
8 hours on fir retrainin
( 1 hour min.
(1 hour min.)
(1 hour min.)
(2 hours min.)
( 8 hours min.)
Retraining for other security personnel is best determined by the private security industry.

## Dallas, Texas

Category of personnel-
Topics
All cate- $\quad 4$ hours on legal powers
gories of personnel and operating procedures 4 hours on weapons 2 hours on other topics

## Texas

Private investigators and private security employee hould receive the same amount of retraining on th same topics as they receive in their initial training

## King County, Washington

## Category of personnel: Topics <br> All cate- 10 hours on police <br> gories of procedures

personnel $\quad 2 \begin{gathered}\text { hours on cou } \\ \text { procedures }\end{gathered}$

City $\mathbf{A}$
Category of personnel: Topics Guards $\quad 10$ hours on first aid weapons weapons
 ( 10 hours min.) (102 pertaining to their pertaining to
rmored car 10 hours weapons
( 10 hours min.)
The problem concerning retraining should be the esponsibility of the owner of the agency and not the police department.

City B
Category of personnel: Topics $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Topics } \\ \text { All security } & 8 \text { hours on legal powers } \quad(8 \text { hours min.) }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { All security } & \begin{array}{l}8 \text { hours on legal powers } \\ \text { and in- }\end{array} & 2 \text { hours on firearms }\end{array} \quad$ (2 hours min.) $\begin{array}{lll}\text { min.) }\end{array}$ vestigative 4 hours on relations personnel with law-enforcement agencies (4 hours min.)

## City C

The brief refresher course should be made mandatory by state law and should be at the employers' expense.


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Source: Post, op. cit

    * This survey, to which 121 of 357 city police departments responded, is reported in Richard S. Post, "Relations with

[^1]:    * The summary report of this series.

[^2]:    * Recali that by licensing we mean requiring some form of approval before the agency or personnel may conduct

[^3]:    \# Three cities surveyed (designated A, B, and C) re
    quested anonymity.

[^4]:    a 14,000 unarmed and 9,000 arined personnel are employed by licensees.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Training certificates only (required for new licensees).

    - Possible error in survey responses.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Includes both contract guard and investigative agencies.
    ${ }^{-}$Businesses.
    ${ }^{1}$ Men.

[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Assumes total applications = new licenses granted + licenses denied.

    - Arrest or conviction record.

[^6]:    *Formal law enforcement school education

[^7]:    For some types of employees.

[^8]:    *A good example is Los Angeles County, in which a con as well as in uniscorporated county territory

[^9]:    - SS means statute is silent.

[^10]:    - SS means statute is silent.

    Other information
    Law excludes insurance and credit investigators, and alarm businesses from licensing. Firms prohibited from hiring persons with felony and certain types of misdemeanor convictions. Detailed data and ingerprints on each employ must be submitted to the state Police within 48 hours after employment. No misleading advertising or unauthorit disclosure of privileged information permitted

[^11]:    Other information:
    Act does not apply to credit bureaus, insurance adjusters, or attorneys. Licensee may not employ any person who has bet Act does not apply to credit bureaus, insurance adjusters,

[^12]:    Ther information
    Does not apply to in-house personne

[^13]:    
    Other information:
    Must keep investigative reports two years. May not divulge information except act excludes insurance investigare Must keep investigative reports two years. Localities may not license. Stace Act employee records may be inspenor must be reported. No misleading advators, and in-house investigators from of moral turpitude crime or high collection personnel, financial investigat not employ felon or person con

[^14]:    * SS means statute is silent.

    Other information:
    Employees' fingerprints must be sent to the State Police within 48 hours of employment, and a list of employees must be sent annually to the Superintendent of State Police. Employers may not employ anyone convicted of high misdemeanors or certait other specified crime3. Anyone currently employed as a police officer may not be issued a private investigator's license. Inurance and credit investigators, as well as in-house and alaim business security personnel, are exempted from licensing.

[^15]:    * SS means statute is silent.

[^16]:    * SS means statute is silent.

[^17]:    '3S means statute is silen

[^18]:    *Contract agencies are those businesses, such as Pinkerton's, Inc. or William J. Burns Inter*Contract agencies are those businesses, such as Pinkerton's, inc. or wiliam national Detective Agency, who sell security services to vorious other businesses or persons on as contract basis, Contract personnel are those persons who work or Pinkerton's. In-house forces and personnel ar eneral Motors.

