

ANNUAL REPORT ON THE COURTS OF KANSAS

July 1, 1977 through June 30, 1978



OFFICE OF THE JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATOR

13

Office of Judicial Administrator

Unified Judicial Department

State of Kansas



Kansas Judicial Center 301 West 10th Topeka, Kansas 66612 913 296-2256

November 1, 1978

To the Honorable Alfred G. Schroeder, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, and to the members of the Judicial Council:


In compliance with the provisions of Rule No. 1.03(c) of the Supreme Court, this annual report on the judicial business of the courts of Kansas for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1978, is respectfully submitted.

As explained in the preface within, this annual report is of special significance in that it presents data for the first fiscal year of court activity under both the unified trial court structure and the two-tiered appellate court structure. Moreover, for the first time, it includes information on appellate court caseload and on all those cases formerly reported exclusively in the Judicial Council Bulletin.

The changes in the judicial system structure precipitated major revisions to the caseload information system. The impact of these revisions fell most heavily on the district court clerks, who bear the responsibility for reporting all cases. Their response to this burden was gratifying and typical of the dedication they have demonstrated in the past. We are indebted to them for their continued diligence.

James R anes

James R. James Judicial Administrator



JAMES R. JAMES Judicial Administrator

ANNUAL REPORT

ON THE

COURTS OF KANSAS

F I S C A L 1 9 7 8

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Preface | 1 |
|----------------------------------|----|
| Kansas Judicial System Structure | 3 |
| Map of Judicial Districts | 4 |
| History of the System | 5 |
| Description of the System | 6 |
| Cost of the System | 8 |
| Administration of the Courts | 9 |
| Statistical Information | |
| The Appellate Courts, Combined | 17 |
| The Supreme Court | 18 |
| The Court of Appeals | 21 |
| | 27 |
| | 27 |
| | 29 |
| | 34 |
| | 57 |
| Judges of the Kansas Courts | |
| The Supreme Court | 71 |
| The Court of Appeals | 72 |
| The District Court | |
| | 87 |

Y

PREFACE

The publication of this Annual Report on the Courts of Kansas marks the first time that the Office of the Judicial Administrator has produced a report which incorporates statistical information on all types of cases at both the trial and appellate court levels. In years past, the annual report has contained only district court data. Publication of data on the appellate courts and on the limited and special jurisdiction courts then in existence was left to the Judicial Council. The unification of the lesser jurisdiction courts into the district court in January, 1977, meant that all cases formerly brought into those courts were filed in the district Hence, the number of district court cases filed in fiscal court. 1978 was almost ten times the number filed in fiscal 1976. The jurisdictional change also meant that production of the Judicial Council Bulletin in its previous format would have been replicative of the district court publication. Only appellate court information would have remained unique to the Bulletin. Since it had served, however, as the sole source of the total statistical picture of the Kansas courts, it was felt that that integrity of depiction should be maintained by publishing the appellate court data with the district court data in this new annual report.


The publication also is distinguished by being the first annual report to include narrative segments on the court system. Descriptions of its structure, history, jurisdictions, and administrative operations are presented. It is hoped that these will enhance the reader's understanding of the Kansas courts and, thereby, serve as an aid in interpreting the statistical data.

Any document which represents such a significant departure from past practice must be accompanied by words of caution. The changes brought about by unification necessitated major changes to the caseload reporting systems used previously in the district and limited and special jurisdiction courts. Procedures, forms, and, in some cases, definitions were altered in effectuating a more detailed and useful depiction of district court caseload data. Only a few categories of cases were left unscathed by changes either in jurisdiction or in reporting procedures. The statistical information on district courts is, therefore, very difficult to compare with data from past years. Consequently, the comparative data and annual trends assessments presented are few and limited in scope.


This document replaces an annual report which had remained in essentially the same format for approximately ten years. The caseload information system which provides the data for this new report replaced a system that had experienced only minor changes in the ten years of its existence. Alteration had become a necessity in order to serve a forward-looking judiciary and to provide a better tool for its improvement. The new caseload information system and the newly formatted <u>Annual Report on the Courts of Kansas</u> will meet these needs and provide a comparative base for the future.



THE KANSAS JUDICIAL SYSTEM



KANSAS



(as of January 13, 1975)

4

.

A BRIEF HISTORY OF THE KANSAS JUDICIAL SYSTEM

The Kansas judicial system, consisting of district courts and the Supreme Court, was instituted with the advent of statehood in 1861. The next hundred years brought little structural change. Separate county courts of limited jurisdiction heard probate, juvenile, minor civil, and traffic cases. Justices of the peace administered the law throughout much of the state.

Change began in the mid-1960's. The Office of Judicial Administrator was established in 1965 to assist the Supreme Court in exercising its administrative and supervisory responsibilities over the judicial system. In 1968, justices of the peace were abolished, in effect, by severely limiting their jurisdiction. Their former jurisdiction was transferred to county courts; and in most counties the functions of the probate, juvenile, and county courts were consolidated under one judge. In 1972, a new judicial article of the Kansas constitution was adopted. It brought many improvements, paving the way for unification of the trial courts and permitting the establishment of a court of appeals. A citizens' study committee was appointed in 1973 for the purpose of examining the judicial system and recommending changes to implement fully the judicial article.

The work of this committee ultimately resulted in the unification of the state's trial courts in January, 1977. Probate, juvenile, and county courts were abolished, as were magistrate-level courts of countywide jurisdiction. The jurisdictions of these courts were consolidated into the district court. At the same time, a court of appeals was established to improve the judicial system's handling of its appellate caseload.

Change has not stopped with the functional unification of the trial court. In 1978, the legislature authorized the initiation of state funding of the personnel costs of the nonjudicial employees of the district courts. The program of gradually phasing in full funding of salaries by the state will begin in January 1979.

THE COURTS OF KANSAS

The Kansas Constitution provides that there shall be one court of justice divided into a supreme court, district courts and other courts as provided by law. Statutory provisions which took effect in January, 1977, established a court of appeals as an intermediate appellate court. These three courts constitute the unified state judicial system. Municipal courts exist as entities of the cities which establish them, but they have jurisdiction over ordinance violations only and, therefore, are not state courts. The constitution provides the supreme court with administrative authority over all courts in the state.

The Supreme Court

The Supreme Court is Kansas' highest court. It consists of seven justices, each of whom is selected by the governor. He appoints from a list of three qualified individuals submitted to him by the supreme court nominating commission. After the first year in office, a justice is subject to a retention vote in the next general election. If a majority of electors votes to retain the justice, he or she remains in office for a term of six years. Justices are subject to a similar retention vote at the conclusion of each term.

The justice who is senior in terms of continuous service is designated by the constitution as the chief justice, unless he declines or resigns the position. The chief justice exercises the administrative authority of the Supreme Court. It is his responsibility to implement and carry out the administrative rules and policies established by the court.

The Supreme Court is provided by the constitution with original jurisdiction in proceedings in quo warranto, mandamus, and habeas corpus. Its jurisdiction over direct appeals in criminal cases is limited to those involving the two most serious classes of felony or life imprisonment. Its appellate jurisdiction also extends to any case in which a Kansas or United States statute has been declared unconstitutional. The Court has discretionary power of review over decisions of the Court of Appeals, and may transfer cases from one court to the other. The Supreme Court has exclusive jurisdiction over the admission and discipline of attorneys. It also may retire, discipline, suspend, or remove for cause judges who fail to observe the Code of Judicial Conduct.

The Court of Appeals

The Court of Appeals is an intermediate appellate court. It consists of seven judges, each appointed in the same manner as Supreme Court justices. The same nominating commission serves for both courts. Court of Appeals judges must stand for retention election after the first year in office and, thereafter, at the conclusion of each four-year term. The chief judge of the Court is appointed by the Supreme Court.

The Court of Appeals sits in panels of three judges as well as <u>en banc</u>. Although Topeka is designated as the site of the Courts' principal offices, it is authorized by statute to hear oral arguments in any county courthouse in the state. In the interest of expediting the disposition of its caseload and in consideration of the sources of cases and other factors, the court travels extensively. Therefore, the three-judge panel is used frequently. The Court of Appeals has jurisdiction over all appeals from the district court except those reviewable in the district court and those in which direct appeal to the Supreme Court is required. Writs of habeas corpus also may be prosecuted in the Court of Appeals. The Court may request the transfer to the Supreme Court of any case before it. (Effective July 1, 1978, the court was provided exclusive jurisdiction over the review of orders or decisions of the state corporation commission in public utility rate cases.)

The District Court

Since the unification of the courts in January, 1977, '_nere are no state courts of limited or special jurisdiction. The state has a single trial court of general jurisdiction, the District Court. It is a court of record exercising both original jurisdiction over civil and criminal matters and limited appellate jurisdiction.

There are three types of judges of the District Court. District judges exercise the full power and authority of the Court. Associate district judges have jurisdiction similar to that of district judges, except that they lack jurisdiction over class actions and actions in quo warranto or mandamus. District magistrate judges have jurisdiction over probate and juvenile matters, misdemeanors, felony preliminary examinations and certain civil actions involving amounts of \$3000 or less. The decisions of a district magistrate judge are appealable within the district court. Appeals from decisions of district or associate district judges go to the appellate courts.

The state is divided into twenty-nine judicial districts, comprising from one to seven counties. There are seven single-county districts and twelve districts of five or more counties. There is at least one district judge in each district but not all districts have associate district and district magistrate judges. As of June 30, 1978, there were 69 district judges, 63 associate district judges, and 78 district magistrate judges in the state.

Judges of the district court are selected in one of two ways. In twenty-three districts, the voters have approved nonpartisan selection of judges by a district nominating commission with appointment by the governor. A judge thus appointed must stand for retention election after one year in office. If a majority of votes cast favors his or her retention, the judge then serves a full four-year term. Thereafter, he or she is subject to a retention vote at the conclusion of each four-year term.

In the remaining six districts, judges run for office on a partisan ballot. Judges so elected serve terms of four years.

THE COST OF THE SYSTEM

8

The state funds the operations of the appellate courts. In addition to the salaries and operating expenses of the appellate judges and their office staffs, this funding includes the judicial administrator's office, the appellate clerk's office, the law library, the Judicial Council, and the disciplinary administrator's office. Base salaries and travel expenses of district and associate district judges and court reporters also are borne by the state.

For the district courts, county governments furnish physical facilities, utilities, magistrate judge and nonjudicial personnel costs, salary supplements (in some counties) for district and associate district judges, and all other operating expenses. A state grant to counties of \$1,725,000 in Federal Revenue Sharing funds was used in fiscal 1978 to defray partially court-related salary expense at the local level.

As reported by the state budget director, the total estimated fiscal 1978 state budget, less capital improvements, was \$1,612,899,244. Of this amount, \$12,569,414, or less than one percent, was identified as court-related expense. Since the only fiscal data available for counties are appropriations totals and then only for the calendar year, no reliable estimate of total county or county district court-related expenditures is available.

ADMINISTRATION OF THE COURTS

The Kansas constitution provides the Supreme Court with "general administrative authority over all courts in this state." In exercising this authority, the court establishes the rules and policies governing the administration of the judicial system.

The chief justice serves as the administrative head of the system. As such, his supervisory responsibilities extend to all aspects of system management. He is empowered by statute to delegate his responsibility and authority as necessary to insure the smooth and efficient operation of the system.

The statutes further provide that the court and each justice may have additional powers and duties prescribed by law. The Judicial Department Reform Act of 1965 divided the judicial districts into departments. Currently, there are six, each of which is assigned to a justice who is responsible for the supervision of all administrative activities within his or her department. Each is given the power to assign judges from one district to another and to require such reports as necessary to keep abreast of the business of the courts.

A district judge is appointed by the Supreme Court as administrative judge for each district. He is responsible for the clerical and administrative functions of the court or courts in his district and directs the assignment of cases within the district.

The administrative structure of the court system places the responsibility for management at each level with judges. As a consequence, judges who fulfill these administrative roles have less time available to perform their adjudicative functions. Kansas has recognized the need for professional administrative staffs to aid judges in managing the system at both state and district levels.

Office of the Judicial Administrator

The Judicial Department Reform Act of 1965 created the position of Judicial Administrator as an appointee of the Supreme Court. The administrator is responsible for the implementation of the rules and policies of the Court as they apply to the operation and administration of the courts. Other duties may be prescribed by law or specified by the Court. The statutes provide that all state expenditures for district court operations be approved by the judicial administrator and, further, that all district court wage and salary claims to be paid by the state be certified by him.

The duties delegated to the Judicial Administrator by the Supreme Court have expanded his role beyond the relatively narrow statutory delineation. They include:

examination of district court dockets and determination of need for judicial assistance;

compilation of statistics on activities of all state courts and preparation of annual report;

assessment of periodic need for interdistrict assignment of judges with appropriate recommendation to departmental justices; supervision and examination of administrative practices in district courts;

administrative guidance to the district courts;

preparation and administration of the budget under the direction of the supreme court;

training of judicial and nonjudicial personnel;

representation of the court to the legislative and executive branches and to the public.

The scope of these duties is such that the court has appointed a staff to assist the Judicial Administrator. The professional staff members have specific areas of responsibility in aid of the administrator. A brief description of these responsibilities by general function follows.

Fiscal Operations

The Office of the Judicial Administrator is responsible for the administration of budgeting, payroll, and general accounting activities for the judicial system. These tasks are accomplished by an accounting staff under the direction of a controller, who also maintains liaison with executive agencies in related fields. During the past year the functions of personnel and inventory record-keeping were handled by the accounting staff. It also keeps financial records for and provides reports to the appellate courts and other state-level administrative departments.

The state funds a program to provide court-appointed counsel and other necessary legal assistance to poor persons who are charged with felonies. The Judicial Administrator is responsible for the administration of the expenditures for the program under the guidance of the Board of Supervisors of Panels to Aid Indigent Defendants. He certifies attorneys' claims for payment and authorizes payments as appropriate. Included in the program are public defender offices in three districts; and funds also are provided to a nonprofit corporation which provides legal assistance to indigent inmates of correctional facilities. Total expenditures from the fund to Aid Indigent Defendants were \$2,452,877 in FY 1978.

Education and Training

The Office of the Judicial Administrator takes a major role in the development and coordination of training programs for court personnel. The focus of the training effort is on: improving judicial and administrative functions and procedures; interpreting statutory responsibilities and requirements; and improving individual skills and job performance. Many educational programs are initiated by the staff, but it also works closely with committees representing various judicial and nonjudicial personnel groups.

The office aids in the development of major statewide conferences each year for judicial and nonjudicial personnel. In addition, seminars on special topics are conducted throughout the year for juvenile probation staff, court transcriptionists, court reporters, clerks, chief clerks and court administrators, municipal judges, administrative judges and other judges of the district court. An orientation program is presented for new judges. The staff also coordinates the out of state training activities of both judicial and other staff personnel.

The assimilation of courts of limited jurisdiction into the district court under unification brought with it a requirement for certification of nonlawyer judges by the Supreme Court. The Office of the Judicial Administrator provides the staff function for the committee of judges which has developed, and now maintains, a reference manual and the certification examination as required by the Court. Moreover, the administrator's staff coordinates specialized instruction for these judges and the administration of the examination.

Assistance to the Trial Courts

The Judicial Administrator has the responsibility for improving the management of the district courts in aid of the administrative judges of each district. The administrative assistance provided to the courts includes: analysis and development of improved managerial procedures and practices; maintenance of reference manuals; provision and analysis of information affecting court operations; revision of district court budget forms; and staff support in development of the court personnel rules. The recommendations for improvements in these areas take many forms, from memoranda to individual clerks to policy suggestions for administrative judges to proposed administrative orders of statewide application.

Compilation and Assessment of Court Caseload Information

The Judicial Administrator is charged with the responsibility for compiling statistical information and producing the annual report on court activity. In fulfillment of this obligation, his staff compiles annualized data for the appellate courts and administers an extensive system for the reporting of all cases filed in the district court. This caseload reporting system consitutes a management information system, the primary uses of which are to:

determine composition of caseload, as to type;

determine whether caseload backlog exists and, if so, its composition;

pinpoint and examine trends in filings and terminations; monitor age of pending cases; assess causes of delay in case disposition;

forecast caseload;

provide data on court workload;

determine need for additional for fewer permanent personnel; determine need for temporary assignment of personnel from one court to another. The clerk of the district court in each county is responsible for the accurate and timely reporting of all cases. The Office of the Judicial Administrator provides detailed instructions and conducts training sessions periodically to explain reporting procedures, answer questions, and solve problems. It also is responsible for the development, production, and distribution of various monthly and quarterly reports which provide management information for the administrative staff, district administrators, clerks, judges, and justices. STATISTICAL INFORMATION ON THE COURTS OF KANSAS

We cannot use even the best and most scientifically compiled statistics to solve the fundamental problems of jurisprudence. They cannot give us a measure of values of competing claims, or a criterion of justice, or a theory of what we are seeking to bring about by means of law. But it does not follow that we have no use for statistics. On the contrary, ... we must learn how to use statistics to control the quality of the output of the operations by which the legal order is maintained and carried on.

> Pound, Judicial Councils and Judicial Statistics 28 A.B.A.J. 98 (1942)



THE APPELLATE COURTS



The changes in the appellate rules which accompanied the establishment of the Court of Appeals in January, 1977, have made year-to-year comparisons of appellate caseload data difficult. Modifications to the procedures have meant that cases are docketed at the appellate level at an earlier stage than under the prior rules. Because some of these cases would have been terminated prior to docketing under the old rules, real growth in the total number of cases docketed (commencements) is somewhat obscured by the adjustment to the rules. For example, since fiscal 1976, the last full year under the old structure, cases docketed at the appellate level have increased 116%, from 438 to 944. Over the same period, cases terminated have increased 127%, from 340 to As illustrated in these figures, the actual growth in cases 772. docketed is masked by the "statistical" growth due to the change in appellate rules.

Comparison of the number of cases terminated by opinion would provide a more accurate measure of real growth. In fiscal 1976, 276 opinions were rendered; in fiscal 1978 this number was 571, an increase of 107%. In 1976, the Supreme Court had seven justices and two commissioners. In 1978, there were seven justices and seven Court of Appeals judges. Although the increased number of appellate judges would be expected to produce more opinions, the number of judges increased by only 56%. It is apparent, then, that there has been significant growth in appellate court filings and terminations since fiscal 1976.

Following is a single table which presents total caseload data for both appellate courts. Following that are separate sets of tables for each court.

SUMMARY OF APPELLATE COURT CASELOAD FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

| | Civil Appeals | Criminal Appeals | Original Actions | Total |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------|
| Pending 7/1/77 | 479* | 257* | 7* | 743* |
| Commenced | 623 | 296 | 25 | 944 |
| Terminated | 450 | 297 | 25 | 772 |
| Pending 6/30/78 | 652 | 256 | 7 | 915 |

 Pending figures as of 7/1/77 have been adjusted from totals previously reported.

SUMMARY OF ACTIVITY

| | Civil Appeals | Criminal Appeals | Original Actions | Total |
|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------|
| Pending 7/1/77 | 187* | 164* | 7* | 358* |
| Commenced | 21 | 106 | 25 | 152 |
| Transferred from C.A. | _10 | 8 | 0 | 18 |
| Total caseload | 218 | 278 | 32 | 528 |
| Terminated | 124 | 176 | _25 | 325 |
| Pending 6/30/78 | 94 | 102 | 7 | 203 |

* Pending figures as of 7/1/77 have been adjusted from totals previously reported.

SUMMARY OF DISPOSITIONS OF APPEALS

| | Civil | Criminal | Total |
|-----------------------|-------|----------|-------|
| Without opinion: | | | |
| Voluntary dismissals | 14 | 8 | 22 |
| Dismissals by court | 6 | 6 | 12 |
| Total without opinion | 20 | 14 | 34 |
| By opinion: | | | |
| Dismissed | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Affirmed | 66 | 131 | 197 |
| Affirmed in part, | 6 | 10 | 16 |
| reversed in part | | | |
| Reversed | 29 | 21 | 50 |
| Remanded | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total, by opinion | 104 | 162 | 266 |
| Total dispositions | 124 | 176 | 300 |
| | | | |

Of the 104 opinions rendered in civil cases, 71 were signed and 33 were rendered per curiam. Ten were memorandum opinions. Of the 162 opinions rendered in criminal cases, 92 were signed and 70 were rendered per curiam. Twenty-two of these were memorandum opinions.

AGE OF CASES TERMINATED BY OPINION

Number Terminated, By Age From Readiness

| | Civil | <u>Criminal</u> | <u>Total</u> |
|--------------------|-------|-----------------|--------------|
| Less than 7 months | 26 | 132 | 158 |
| 7-9 months | 32 | 23 | 55 |
| 10-12 months | 16 | 3 | 19 |
| 13 or more months | 30 | 4 | 34 |

The readiness date is the date of filing of the appellee's brief. In a few cases in which that brief was not filed, its due date is used instead.

Average Age Of Cases Terminated

| | | | | <u>Civi</u> | <u>1</u> | Criminal |
|------|-----------|----|-----------|-------------|----------|----------|
| From | docketing | to | readiness | 4.6 | mo. | 6.0 mo. |
| From | readiness | to | decision | 9.1 | mo. | 5.2 mo. |
| From | docketing | to | decision | 13.7 | mo. | 11.2 mo. |

SUMMARY OF ORIGINAL CASE DISPOSITIONS

| Nature of action: | Dismissed | Granted | Denied | Remanded | <u>Other</u> | Total |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------|--------------|-------|
| Habeas Corpus | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Mandamus | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 10 |
| Other Writs | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | l |
| Disciplinary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 |

STATUS OF APPEALS PENDING 6/30/78

| | <u>Civil</u> | Cri | minal |
|--|---------------|----------------|------------------|
| Submitted as of 6/30/78 Not submitted or set: | 30 |) | 16 |
| Ready Appellant only ready No briefs filed | 45 7 12 | 26 18 42 | |
| Total not submitted: Total appeals pending | | | <u>86</u> 102 |

.....

AGE, FROM DATE DOCKETED, OF APPEALS PENDING 6/30/78

| | Civil | Criminal | Total |
|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Less than 7 months | 15 | 48 | 63 |
| 7-12 months | 10 | 40 | 50 |
| 13 or more months | 69 | 14 | 83 |
| Average age | 13.6 mo. | 7.0 mo. | 10.2 mo. |

PETITIONS FOR REVIEW IN THE SUPREME COURT OF COURT OF APPEALS DECISIONS

In fiscal 1978 there were 112 petitions for review in the Supreme Court of cases decided in the Court of Appeals. Of these petitions 77 were denied, 14 were granted, and 21 were pending as of June 30, 1978. The petitions granted were disposed of in the following ways:

| Dismissed | 0 |
|--------------------|----|
| Affirmed | 5 |
| Affirmed in part, | 3 |
| reversed in part | |
| Reversed | 5 |
| Remanded | 1 |
| Total dispositions | 14 |

MOTIONS

In regard to Supreme Court cases, there were 950 motions filed during fiscal 1978 with the clerk of the appellate courts. Of these, 428 were disposed of by the clerk and 522 were disposed of by the court. There were 43 motions for rehearing, only one of which was granted.

COURT OF APPEALS

SUMMARY OF ACTIVITY

| | Civil | Criminal | Total |
|---------------------|-------|----------|-------|
| Pending 7/1/77 | 292* | 93* | 385* |
| Commenced | 602 | 190 | 792 |
| Total caseload | 894 | 283 | 1,177 |
| Terminated | 326 | 121 | 447 |
| Transferred to S.C. | 10 | 8 | 18 |
| Pending 6/30/78 | 558 | 154 | 712 |

* Pending figures as of 7/1/77 have been adjusted from totals previously reported.

SUMMARY OF DISPOSITIONS OF APPEALS

| Without opinion. | Civil | Criminal | Total |
|--|-------|----------|-------|
| Without opinion: Voluntary dismissals | 88 | 13 | 101 |
| Dismissals by court | 26 | 5 | 31 |
| Other | 10 | Ō | 10 |
| Total without opinion | 124 | 18 | 142 |
| By opinion: | | | |
| Dismissed | 7 | 1 | 8 |
| Affirmed | 133 | 78 | 211 |
| Affirmed in part, | 21 | 2 | 23 |
| reversed in part | | | |
| Reversed | 39 | 21 | 60 |
| Remanded | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Other | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Total, by opinion | 202 | 103 | 305 |
| Total dispositions | 326 | 121 | 447 |
| | | | |

Of the 202 opinions rendered by the Court of Appeals in civil cases, 190 were signed and 12 were rendered per curiam. Fifty-eight of these were memorandum opinions. Of the 103 opinions rendered in criminal cases, 99 were signed and 4 were rendered per curiam. Twenty-five were memorandum opinions.

AGE OF CASES TERMINATED BY OPINION

Number Terminated, By Age From Readiness

| | Civil | Criminal | Total |
|--------------------|-------|----------|-------|
| Less than 7 months | 129 | 87 | 216 |
| 7-9 months | 60 | 14 | 74 |
| 10-12 months | 11 | 1 | 12 |
| 13 or more months | 2 | 1 | 3 |

The readiness date is the date of filing of the appellee's brief. In a few cases in which that brief was not filed, its due date is used instead.

Average Age of Cases Terminated

| | | | | <u>Civil</u> | Crin | ninal |
|------|-----------|----|-----------|--------------|-------|---------|
| From | docketing | to | readiness | 4.2 | mo. 5 | 5.0 mo. |
| From | readiness | to | decision | 5.7 : | mo. 4 | 1.3 mo. |
| From | docketing | to | decision | 9.9 1 | mo. 9 | .4* mo. |

* Adjusted to compensate for rounding.

STATUS OF APPEALS PENDING 6/30/78

| | Civil | <u>Criminal</u> | |
|--|-------|-----------------|--|
| Submitted as of 6/30/78 Not submitted or set: | 41 | 9 | |
| Ready | 200 | 42 | |
| Appellant only ready | 80 | 31 | |
| No briefs filed | 237 | 72 | |
| Total not submitted | 517 | 145 | |
| Total appeals pending | 558 | 154 | |

AGE, FROM DATE DOCKETED, OF APPEALS PENDING 6/30/78 .

| | Civil | <u>Criminal</u> | Total |
|--------------------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| Less than 7 months | 282 | 96 | 378 |
| 7-12 months | 213 | 47 | 260 |
| 13 or more months | 63 | 11 | 74 |
| Average age | 6.7 mo. | 5.6 mo. | 6.5 mo. |

MOTIONS

There were 2,157 motions filed with the clerk of the appellate courts in fiscal 1978 relating to Court of Appeals cases. Of these, 1,207 were disposed of by the clerk and 950 were disposed of by the court. There were 35 motions for rehearing; all were denied.



THE DISTRICT COURT



THE CASELOAD INFORMATION SYSTEM

The unification of the state trial courts in January, 1977, necessitated major revisions to the caseload reporting mechanisms in use at that time. There had been separate systems for the district courts and for the limited and special jurisdiction courts. The former system, which used electronic data processing, was administered by the Office of the Judicial Administrator; the latter, which involved only manual processing, was administered by the Judicial Council. For the period from January through the end of fiscal year 1977 in June, only minor changes were made to each system. Ultimate responsibility for compilation of statistical data remained divided between the judicial administrator's office and the Judicial Council.

Effective with fiscal year 1978, the Judicial Council through its chairman, the Chief Justice, turned over its responsibility for caseload data collection to the Judicial Administrator. On July 1, 1977, a new set of forms was implemented for the reporting of all cases filed in the district court. The diverse reporting procedures based on case type were retained: general jurisdiction cases are reported under a process which uses a computer to store and summarize data; special jurisdiction cases are reported and summarized manually. The segment of the system which uses electronic data processing can be described as transaction-based. In other words, the reporting of certain information on an individual case is triggered by a specific event in the life of that case. The segment involving only manual processes is a summary system in that cases are reported quarterly on forms which allow for logging procedures. Cases reported under the transaction-based segment are: all nontraffic criminal cases, all regular civil cases, including domestic relations actions, and all limited civil actions (involving amounts of \$3,000 or less and using the special limited actions procedures), excluding small claims. All remaining cases are reported in summary fashion and data is compiled manually. These cases include: formal juvenile cases, probate cases of all types, small claims, traffic cases, and other miscellaneous cases.

Although the procedural distinction based on case type was retained, extensive modifications were made to the forms used in the reporting system. In the computerized segment, in order to collect more detailed and useful information, three forms were instituted to replace the previous single form. Computer output was modified as well. A series of monthly caseload activity reports was added for the first time and the quarterly reports were redesigned completely. The manual segment also incurred extensive revisions. The number of forms was reduced to four from the eight that had been used under the previous structure. All the remaining forms were new and represented major changes in the information gathered. Moreover, the reporting requirements were switched from an annual basis to a quarterly one. The statistical tables which follow comprise information from both segments of the reporting system. They present a general overview which incorporates information on all types of cases. The full detail available is portrayed in only a few tables depicting statewide caseload activity.

As was indicated in the earlier sections of this document, the annual report has undergone extensive revisions in both format and content. Much information, previously not provided in the district court report, is depicted for the first time. These modifications, coupled with the changes which have occurred in the judicial branch and in its caseload reporting systems in the past eighteen months, severely limit the comparability of this report's data with that from previous years. Any attempts at such comparisons should be made with extreme caution; the variables affecting such analyses are both numerous and complex.

INDEX OF DISTRICT COURT TABLES

Statewide Summary Data


Summary of District Court Caseload for the State 33 Summary of Domestic Relations Caseload for the State . . . 37 Summary of Domestic Relations Caseload Activity, By County . 38 Summary of Regular Civil Caseload for the State. 43 Summary of Regular Civil Caseload Activity, By County. . . 44 Summary of Criminal Caseload for the State - Felonies. . . 48 Summary of Criminal Caseload for the State - Misdemeanors. . 49 Summary of Criminal Caseload Activity, By County 50

| Summary | Data | Ву | District |
|---------|------|----|----------|
|---------|------|----|----------|

| Civil Cases By District | 57 |
|---|-----|
| Criminal Cases By District | |
| Footnotes to Civil and Criminal Case Summary Tables | |
| Traffic Caseload Activity, By County, By District | 118 |
| Formal Juvenile Caseload Activity, By County, By District | 128 |
| Decedent Estate Caseload Activity, By County, By District | 138 |
| Guardianship & Conservatorship Estates Caseload Activity, By County, By District | 148 |
| Other Probate Matters | |
| Miscellaneous Matters | 163 |

; ٠ ć

S T A T E W I D E S U M M A R Y D A T A




SUMMARY OF DISTRICT COURT CASELOAD FOR THE STATE YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

| 2 % | | ding 1/77 | Cases Filed | Cases Terminated | Pending 6/30/78 |
|--|--------|--------------|----------------|---|--------------------|
| Civil Cases: | | | | | |
| Regular Actions | 11, | 242 | 15,592 | 14,253 | 12,581 |
| Domestic Relations | 8, | 744 | 24,000 | 22,777 | 9,967 |
| Total *, under regular procedures | 19,9 | 986 | 39,592 | 37,030 | 22,548 |
| Criminal Cases: | | | | | |
| Felonies | 3,9 | 926 | 10,303 | 10,232 | 3,997 |
| Misdemeanors | 3, | 993 | 14,908 | 14,819 | 4,082 |
| Total, criminal | _7, | 919 | 25,211 | 25,051 | 8,079 |
| SUBTOTAL ** | 27, | 905 | 64,803 | 62,081 | 30,627 |
| Limited civil actions | | | 29,345 | 26,363+ | |
| Traffic cases | | | 264,024 | 250,028 | |
| Formal juvenile cases | | | 15,653 | 12,521 | |
| Decedent estates | | | 6,225 | 5,085 | |
| Guardianship/ Conservatorship estates | | | 1,958 | 899 | |
| Trusts | | | 384 | 179 | |
| TOTAL | | | 382,392 | 357,156 | |
| Other Actions: | | | | المستعمون بالمستعمون بالمستعمل ويوم بالمستعمر المستعمر والمستعمر والمستعمر والمستعمر والمستعمر والمستعمر والمس ويونون والمستعمر المستعمر المستعمر والمستعمر والمستعمر والمستعمر والمستعمر والمستعمر والمستعمر والمستعمر والمست | |
| Small claims | 10,670 | Info | rmal juver | ile cases | 13,434 |
| Adoptions | 1,973 | Dete | rminations | of descent | 2,055 |
| Treatment proceedings | 1,929 | Misc | ellaneous | probate actions | 1,202 |


- * Due to the changes in the reporting system, this civil total is the most comparable to the civil caseload totals in the annual district court reports of the past.
- ** This subtotal provides the most comparability with total caseload figures published in past annual reports.
- + This figure includes an adjustment for the termination of pending cases not previously reported under this system.

DOMESTIC RELATIONS AND OTHER REGULAR CIVIL CASES FILED, STATEWIDE, FY 73-78



The graph above depicts total annual domestic relations and other regular civil cases filed in the district court for fiscal 1978 and the preceding five fiscal years. Only these civil cases are shown because the method of reporting them has not been changed and, therefore, comparability is relatively unaffected by external change. The trend in filings of these cases has moved steadily upward, at an average annual growth rate of 4.7% since fiscal 1973.

CRIMINAL CASES FILED STATEWIDE FY 73-78



1

This graph depicts total criminal cases filed in the district court for fiscal years 1973 through 1978. It is presented to demonstrate the dramatic effect of court unification in January, 1977, on the number of criminal cases filed in the district court. Prior to unification most misdemeanor cases were filed in special jurisdiction courts; also, most felony cases were taken through the preliminary hearing phase at that lower level. Consequently, many criminal cases did not rise to the district court level and, thus, were not counted as district court filings. Since January, 1977, all criminal cases are filed originally in the district court. This structural change makes it difficult to assess trends since unification. It does seem certain, based on other available data, that the steady growth rate experienced prior to unification has continued, but it is impossible to say whether that rate has accelerated.

.

SUMMARY OF DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASELOAD FOR THE STATE YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

| ······································ | | FILING | S | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------|--------|----------|
| Nature | | iginal tions | Retrial Reinstatem | • | ppeals | Total |
| Divorce, annulment | | | | - 7. | | |
| or separate main [.] | tenance l | .9,483 | 126 | | 10 | 19,619 |
| U.R.E.S.A., inward | | 1,763 | 14 | | 6 | 1,783 |
| U.R.E.S.A., outward | E | 1,313 | 7 | | 8 | 1,328 |
| Other | | 1,244 | | | 5 | 1,270 |
| TOTAL FILINGS | 2 | 23,803 | 168 | | | 24,000 |
| | | TERMINATI | ONS | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Dismiss Dismiss Total o Contest Unconte Other | sals by agn dismissals ted cases * | ack of acti ceement | 3,4 on 6 <u>1,6</u> | 97 | | |
| Di Di An Sej | 304 | denied 4 denied 1 denied 5 | | ÷ | | |
| | | DENDING | ACTEC | | | |
| | | PENDING C | <u>ардо</u> | | • | |
| | 6 mos. | 7-12 | 13-18 | 19-24 | Over | . |
| | <u>or less</u> | months | months | months | 24 mos | |
| Number | 7,091 | 1,740 | 631 | 250 | 255 | 9,967 |
| Percent of total | 71.1% | 17.5% | 6.3% | 2.5% | 2.6% | 1009 |

^{*} The definition of this dispositional category was changed effective with cases filed on or after 7/1/77. Cases filed prior to that date which terminated during the year were to be considered "contested" if an answer was filed. The new definition restricted the term to mean actual trials. Therefore, this total contains contested cases under both definitions and is not comparable to past data.

38 SUMMARY OF DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

| | CASES PENDING 06/30/77 | CASES FILED | CASES TERMI- NATED | CASES PENDING 06/30/78 |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| DISTRICT OL ATCHISON LEAVENWORTH | 51 274 325 | 156 725 881 | 145 618 763 | 62 381 443 |
| TOTAL | 52 5 | 001 | 105 | |
| DISTRICT 02 | 2 F | 79 | 69 | 35 |
| JACK SON JEFFERSON | 25 18 | 80 | 71 | 27 |
| POTTAWATOMIE | 26 | 100 | 78 | 48 |
| WABAUNSEE | 3 | 21 | 17 | 7 117 |
| TOTAL | 72 | 280 | 235 | |
| DISTRICT 03 | | | | |
| SHAWNEE | 574 | 1,876 | 1,790 1,790 | 660 660 |
| TOTAL | 574 | 1,876 | 1+190 | 000 |
| DISTRICT 04 | | | | |
| ALLEN | 63 | 154 | 157 51 | 60 13 |
| ANDER SON COFFEY | 13 19 | 51 71 | 80 | 10 |
| FRANKLIN | 51 | 197 | 175 | 73 |
| OSAGE | 24 | 74 | 53 | 45 |
| WOODSON | 9 | 26 | 26 | 9 |
| TOTAL | 179 | 573 | 542 | 210 |
| DISTRICT 05 | | | | |
| CHASE | 9 | 25 | 22 | 12 |
| LYON | 139 | 331 | 317 339 | 153 165 |
| TOTAL | 148 | 356 | 766 | 105 |
| DISTRICT 06 | | | | |
| BOURBON | 42 | 145 | 147 | 40 34 |
| LINN | 18 50 | 51 178 | 35 169 | 59 59 |
| MIAMI Total | 110 | 374 | 351 | 133 |
| | | | | |
| DISTRICT 07 | 25 2 | 494 | 514 | 232 |
| DOUGLAS TOTAL | 252 | 494 | 514 | 232 |
| | | | | |
| DISTRICT OB | | 100 | 120 | 43 |
| DICKINSON | 48 190 | 123 574 | 128 563 | 201 |
| GEARY MARION | 18 | 58 | 69 | 7 |
| MORRIS | 16 | 38 | 45 | 9 |
| TOTAL | 272 | 793 | 805 | 260 |
| DISTRICT 09 | | | | |
| HARVEY | 95 | 209 | 231 | 73 |
| MCPHERSON | 81 | 178 | 164 | 95 |
| TOTAL | 176 | 387 | 395 | 168 |

SUMMARY OF DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

| | C ASES PEND ING 06/30/77 | CASES FILED | CASES TERMI – NATED | CASES PENDING 06/30/78 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| DISTRICT 10 | | | | |
| J CH NS ON T OT AL | 909 909 | 2,308 2,308 | 2,051 2,051 | 1,166 1,166 |
| DISTRICT 11 | | | | |
| CHEROKEE | 118 | 207 | 188 | 137 |
| CRAWFORD LABETTE | 98 | 304 | 265 | 137 |
| NEDSHO | 79 52 | 245 | 218 | 106 |
| WILSON | 28 | 172 140 | 160 127 | 64 |
| TOTAL | 375 | 1,068 | 958 | 41 485 |
| DISTRICT-12 | | | | - |
| CLOUD | 24 | 63 | 63 | 24 |
| JEWELL | 12 | 25 | 29 | 8 |
| LINCOLN | 6 | 22 | 25 | 3 |
| MITCHELL REPUBLIC | 8 15 | 38 | 36 | 10 |
| WASHINGTON | 13 | 28 31 | 33 35 | 10 |
| TOTAL | 78 | 207 | 221 | 9 64 |
| DISTRICT 13 | | | | |
| BUTLER | 135 | 398 | 370 | 163 |
| CHAUTAUQUA | 16 | 37 | 37 | 16 |
| ELK | 3 | 22 | 16 | 9 |
| GREENWOOD Total | 30 184 | 65 | 73 | 22 |
| | 104 | 522 | 496 | 210 |
| DISTRICT 14 | | | | |
| MONTGOMERY | 148 | 511 | 475 | 184 |
| TOTAL | 148 | 511 | 475 | 184 |
| DISTRICT 15 | | | | |
| GRAHAM | 5 | 21 | 11 | 15 |
| ROOKS SHERIDAN | 15 | 34 | 35 | 14 |
| SHERMAN | 31 | 72 | 15 64 | 3 39 |
| THOMAS | 12 | 56 | 52 | 16 |
| TOTAL | 66 | 198 | 177 | 87 |
| DISTRICT 16 | | | | |
| CLARK | 3 | 16 | 13 | 6 |
| COMANCHE | 2 | 15 | 12 | 5 |
| FORD GRAY | 82 | 230 | 222 | 90 |
| KIDWA | 12 | 26 18 | 21 24 | 13 6 |
| MEADE | 13 | 26 | 28 | 11 |
| TOTAL | 120 | 331 | 320 | 131 |

SUMMARY OF DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

| | CASES PENDING 06/30/77 | CASES FILED | CA SES TERMI – NATED | CASES PENDING 06/30/78 |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| DISTRICT 17 CHEYENNE DECATUR NORTON OSBORNE PHILLIPS RAWLINS SMITH TOTAL | 8 7 4 11 10 9 5 54 | 19 20 36 38 43 25 25 206 | 18 20 24 30 39 20 24 175 | 9 7 16 19 14 14 6 85 |
| DISTRICT 18 SEDGWICK TOTAL | 1,805 1,805 | 5+255 5+255 | 5,102 5,102 | 1,958 1,958 |
| DISTRICT 19 BARBER COWLEY HARPER KINGMAN PRATT SUMNER TOTAL | 23 121 16 24 30 79 293 | 51 432 42 56 83 216 880 | 58 414 43 63 85 200 863 | 16 139 15 17 28 95 310 |
| DISTRICT 20 BARTON ELLSWORTH RICE RUSSELL STAFFORD TOTAL | 99 5 22 22 12 160 | 334 33 73 75 37 552 | 286 30 67 67 38 488 | 147 8 28 30 11 224 |
| DISTRICT 21 CLAY RILEY TOTAL | 24 186 210 | 62 475 537 | 57 380 437 | 29 281 310 |
| DISTRICT 22 BROWN DONIPHAN MARSHALL NEMAHA TOTAL | 21 21 15 15 72 | 73 67 69 36 245 | 54 63 62 38 217 | 40 25 22 13 100 |
| DISTRICT 23 ELLIS GOVE LOGAN TREGO WALLACE TOTAL | 37 2 7 10 0 56 | 160 13 10 21 9 213 | 137 9 15 23 5 189 | 60 6 2 8 4 80 |

40

,

SUMMARY OF DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

| | CASES PENDING 06/30/77 | CASES FILED | CASES TERMI- NATED | CASES PENDING 06/30/78 |
|-----------------|------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| DISTRICT 24 | | | | |
| EDWARDS | 13 | 32 | 32 | 1 3 |
| HODGEMAN | 4 | 3 | <u>ح</u> | 13 |
| LANE | 10 | 14 | 15 | 9 |
| NESS | 12 | 16 | 18 | 10 |
| PAWNEE | 25 | 60 | 43 | 42 |
| RUSH | 10 | 15 | 15 | 10 |
| TOTAL | 74 | 140 | 127 | 87 |
| DISTRICT 25 | | | | |
| FINNEY | 86 | 257 | 258 | 85 |
| GREELEY | 4 | 16 | 15 | 5 |
| HAMILTON | 11 | 25 | 28 | 8 |
| KEARNY | 7 | 20 | 21 | 6 |
| SCOTT | 13 | 40 | 32 | 21 |
| WICHITA | 10 | 21 | 26 | 5 |
| TOTAL | 131 | 379 | 380 | 130 |
| DISTRICT 26 | | | | |
| GRANT | 29 | 66 | 72 | 23 |
| HASKELL | 7 | 27 | 24 | 10 |
| MORTON | 4 | 19 | 16 | 7 |
| STANTON | 8 | 24 | 24 | 8 |
| STEVENS | 23 | 33 | 32 | 24 |
| SEWARD | 122 | 204 | 192 | 134 |
| TOTAL | 193 | 373 | 360 | 206 |
| DISTRICT 27 | | | | |
| REND | 286 | 733 | 674 | 345 |
| TOTAL | 286 | 733 | 674 | 345 |
| DISTRICT 28 | | | | |
| OTTAWA | 15 | 36 | 32 | 10 |
| SALINE | 320 | 605 | 601 | 19 324 |
| TOTAL | 335 | 641 | 633 | 343 |
| | | - • - | | 5,5 |
| DISTRICT 29 | | | | |
| WYANDOTTE | 1,087 | 2,687 | 2,700 | 1,074 |
| TOTAL | 1,087 | 2,687 | 2,700 | 1 •0 74 |
| TOTAL FOR STATE | 8,744 | 24,000 | 22,777 | 9,967 |

ĩ

SUMMARY OF REGULAR CIVIL CASELOAD FOR THE STATE

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

| Nature | _ | Original Actions | | etrials/ statements | Appeals | Total |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Contract | | 6,470 | <u> </u> | 59 | 17 | 6,546 |
| Product liability | | 101 | | 0 | 0 | 101 |
| Personal injury: | | | | | - | 204 |
| Auto negligence Medical neglige | | 1,334 145 | | 6 0 | б 0 | 1,346 145 |
| Property damage | | 396 | | 3 | · 4 | 403 |
| Other tort | | 1,224 | | 26 | · 4 | 1,254 |
| Real property | | 2,214 | | 11 | 50 | 2,275 |
| Personal property | | 416 | | 4 | 2 | 422 |
| Appeals | | 75 | | 2 | 67 | 144 |
| Special writs | | 264 | | 0 | 3 | 267 |
| Other | | 2,492 | | 18 | 179 | 2,689 |
| TOTAL FILINGS | | 15,131 | | <u>129</u> | 332 | <u>15,592</u> |
| Diemi | ssals for | CAUSA | | 2.865 | | |
| Dismi Dismi Total | | c lack of a agreement | action | 2,865 231 <u>2,711</u> 5 | ,807 | |
| Cou Jur Total | rt * Y conteste tested | ed | | | ,625 ,291 530 | |
| TOTAI | TERMINA | TIONS | | 14 | ,253 | ι. |
| | | PENDIN | IG CASES | | | |
| | 6 mos. or less | 7-12 months | 13-18 months | 19-24 months | Over 24 mos. | Total |
| Number | 5,382 | 2,789 | 1,715 | 1,107 | 1,588 | 12,581 |
| Percent of total | 42.8% | 22.2% | 13.6% | 8.8% | 12.6% | 100% |

* The definition of this dispositional category was changed effective with cases filed on or after 7/1/77. Cases filed prior to that date which terminated during the year were to be considered "contested" if an answer was filed. The new definition restricted the term to mean actual trials. Therefore, this total contains contested cases under both definitions and is not comparable to past data.

SUMMARY OF REGULAR CIVIL CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

| | C A S E S P E ND I NG 06/30/77 | C ASES F I L ED | CASES TERMI- NATED | CASES PENDING 06/30/78 |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| DISTRICT O1 ATCHISDN LEAVENWORTH TDTAL | 102 258 360 | 85 297 382 | 100 213 313 | 87 342 429 |
| DISTRICT O2 JACKSON JEFFERSON POTTAWATOMIE WABAUNSEE TOTAL | 27 41 43 13 124 | 68 91 59 17 235 | 54 75 83 24 236 | 41 57 19 6 123 |
| DISTRICT 03 Shawnee Total | 1,055 1,055 | 1,130 1,130 | 934 934 | 1,251 1,251 |
| DISTRICT 04 ALLEN ANDERSON COFFEY FRANKLIN OSAGE WOODSON TOTAL | 58 39 20 57 64 26 264 | 110 62 63 180 80 29 524 | 96 61 52 179 72 23 483 | 72 40 31 58 72 32 305 |
| DISTRICT 05 CHASE Lyon Total | 25 132 157 | 19 155 174 | 22 148 170 | 22 139 161 |
| DISTRICT 06 BOURBON LINN MIAMI TOTAL | 69 36 95 200 | 129 46 101 276 | 130 40 75 245 | 68 42 121 231 |
| DISTRICT 07 DOUGLAS TOTAL | 266 266 | 276 276 | 303 303 | 239 239 |
| DISTRICT 08 DICKINSON GEARY MARION MORRIS TOTAL | 51 99 44 27 221 | 117 133 58 36 344 | 111 136 73 35 355 | 57 96 29 28 210 |
| DISTRICT 09 HARVEY MCPHERSON TOTAL | 152 134 286 | 152 127 279 | 189 143 332 | 115 118 233 |

SUMMARY OF REGULAR CIVIL CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

| | C A S E S P E ND I NG 06/30/77 | CASES F1LED | CASES TERMI- NATED | C ASES PEND ING 06/30/78 |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| DISTRICT 10 Johnson Total | 1,546 1,546 | 1,624 1,624 | 1,287 1,287 | 1,883 1,883 |
| DISTRICT 11 CHEROKEE CRAWFORD LABETTE NEOSHO WILSON TOTAL | 108 91 63 39 30 331 | 135 236 142 83 82 678 | 150 177 130 76 80 613 | 93 150 75 46 32 396 |
| DISTRICT 12 CLOUD JEWELL LINCOLN MITCHELL REPUBLIC WASHINGTON TOTAL | 27 8 10 23 19 17 104 | 71 18 18 46 39 41 233 | 63 15 17 44 30 33 202 | 35 11 11 25 28 25 135 |
| DISTRICT 13 BUTLER CHAUTAUQUA ELK GREENWODD TOTAL DISTRICT 14 | 145 25 15 44 229 | 280 56 37 84 457 | 264 54 36 95 449 | 161 27 16 33 237 |
| MONTGOMERY TOTAL | 175 175 | 353 353 | 312 312 | 216 216 |
| DISTRICT 15 GRAHAM ROOKS SHERIDAN SHERMAN THOMAS TOTAL | 28 30 20 65 48 191 | 15 49 21 62 62 209 | 25 46 31 58 76 236 | 18 33 10 69 34 164 |
| DISTRICT 16 CLARK CDMANCHE FDRD GRAY KIOWA MEADE TOTAL | 0 8 138 21 10 25 202 | 10 15 246 50 39 34 394 | 1 12 244 47 30 34 368 | 9 11 140 24 19 25 228 |

SUMMARY OF REGULAR CIVIL CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

| | C A S E S P E ND I NG 06/30/77 | C A S E S F I L E D | CASES TERMI- NATED | CASES PENDING 06/30/78 |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| DISTRICT 17 CHEYENNE DECATUR NORTON OSBORNE PHILLIPS RAWLINS SMITH TOTAL | 16 29 19 21 23 8 6 122 | 12 25 37 . 36 34 18 20 182 | 17 24 35 20 24 16 20 156 | 11 30 21 37 33 10 6 148 |
| DISTRICT 18 Sedgwick Total | 1,635 1,635 | 2,977 2,977 | 2,929 2,929 | 1,683 1,683 |
| DISTRICT 19 BARBER COWLEY HARPER KINGMAN PRATT SUMNER TOTAL | 22 92 31 33 53 104 335 | 46 163 49 59 92 125 534 | 42 169 41 48 85 132 517 | 26 86 39 44 60 97 352 |
| DISTRICT 20 BARTON ELLSWORTH RICE RUSSELL STAFFORD TOTAL | 205 19 34 56 24 338 | 331 41 58 90 69 589 | 277 26 42 65 54 464 | - 259 34 50 81 39 463 |
| DISTRICT 21 CLAY RILEY TOTAL | 18 149 167 | 40 185 225 | 22 155 177 | 36 179 215 |
| DISTRICT 22 BROWN DONIPHAN MARSHALL NEMAHA TOTAL | 63 36 47 33 179 | 53 35 65 27 180 | 56 39 59 21 175 | 60 32 53 39 184 |
| DISTRICT 23 ELLIS GOVE LOGAN TREGO WALLACE TOTAL | 97 19 16 19 7 158 | 148 19 26 28 21 242 | 152 25 26 25 16 244 | 93 13 16 22 12 156 |

SUMMARY OF REGULAR CIVIL CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

| | C A SE S P E ND I NG 06/30/77 | CASES FILED | CASES TERMI- NATED | C A S E S P E N D I N G 06/30/78 |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--|
| DISTRICT 24 | | | | |
| EDWARDS | 22 | 50 | 10 | |
| HDDGENAN | 19 | 11 | 48 | 24 |
| LANE | 55 | 15 | 14 23 | 16 |
| NESS | 23 | 21 | 22 | 48 |
| PAWNEE | 60 | 79 | 69 | 22 70 |
| RUSH | 35 | 20 | 21 | 34 |
| 1 OTAL | 215 | 196 | 197 | 214 |
| DISTRICT 25 | | · | | |
| FINNEY | 132 | 260 | 247 | 145 |
| GREELEY | 10 | 34 | 26 | 18 |
| HAMILTON | 17 | 27 | 29 | 15 |
| KEARNY | 12 | 23 | 18 | 17 |
| SCOTT | 27 | 42 | 34 | 35 |
| WICHITA | 15 | 20 | 25 | 10 |
| TOTAL | 213 | 406 | 379 | 240 |
| DISTRICT 26 | | | | |
| GRANT | 27 | 50 | 39 | 38 |
| HASKELL | 41 | 55 | 45 | 51 |
| MORTON | 21 | 36 | 37 | 20 |
| STANTON | 13 | 29 | 28 | 14 |
| STEVENS | 43 | 38 | 31 | 50 |
| SEWARD | 80 | 166 | 133 | 113 |
| TOTAL | 225 | 374 | 313 | 286 |
| DISTRICT 27 | | | | |
| REND | 285 | 397 | 264 | 418 |
| TOTAL | 285 | 397 | 264 | 418 |
| DISTRICT 28 | | | | |
| OTTAWA | 15 | 20 | 18 | 17 |
| SALINE | 188 | 249 | 202 | 235 |
| TOTAL | 203 | | 220 | 252 |
| DISTRICT 29 | | | | |
| WYANDOTTE | 1,456 | 1,453 | 1,360 | 1,529 |
| TOTAL | 1,456 | 1,453 | 1,380 | 1,529 |
| TOTAL FOR STATE | 11,242 | 15,592 | 14,253 | 12,581 |

SUMMARY OF CRIMINAL CASELOAD FOR THE STATE YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978 FELONIES

| | | FIL | INGS | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------|--------------|
| | Class | Class B | Class | Class | Class E | Unclass. | <u>Total</u> |
| Original actions | 173 | 509 | 984 | 5,193 | 3,106 | 133 | 10,098 |
| Retrials/Reinstatements | 4 | _17 | 17 | 102 | 64 | 1 | 205 |
| TOTAL FILINGS | 177 | 526 | 1,001 | 5,295 | 3,170 | 134 | 10,303 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | TERMIN | ATIONS | | | | |
| Dismissals by prosecutor | 30 | 71 | 195 | 1,002 | 1,214 | 52 | 2,564 |
| Dismissals by judge | 15 | 45 | 187 | 527 | 413 | 106 | 1,293 |
| Guilty pleas | 69 | 241 | 464 | 2,968 | 1,336 | 74 | 5,152 |
| Trials to court | 3 | 14 | 40 | 108 | 60 | 12 | 237 |
| Jury trials | 26 | 79 | 98 | 201 | 46 | 20 | 470 |
| Other | 6 | 14 | 35 | 281 | 164 | _16 | 516 |
| TOTAL TERMINATIONS | 149 | 464 | 1,019 | 5,087 | 3,233 | 280 | 10,232 |
| * | * * * ¶ | rial V | erdicts | * * * * | | | |
| Guilty | 25 | 67 | 89 | 223 | 85 | 25 | 514 |
| Not guilty | 4 | _26 | 49 | 86 | _21 | 7 | <u>193</u> |
| TOTAL TRIALS/VERDICTS | 29 | 93 | 138 | 309 | 106 | 32 | 707 |

| | PENDING CASES | | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| | 6 mos. or less | 7-12 months | 13-18 months | 19-24 months | Over 24 mos. | Total |
| Number | 2,182 | 811 | 701 | 194 | 109 | 3,997 |
| Percent of total | 54.6% | 20.3% | 17.5% | 4.9% | 2.7% | 100% |

SUMMARY OF CRIMINAL CASELOAD FOR THE STATE YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978 MISDEMEANORS

| | | FILI | NGS | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Class A | Class B | Class C | Unclass. | Total <u>Msdmr.</u> | Total All Criminal |
| Original actions | 8,935 | 941 | 1,479 | 2,795 | 14,150 | 24,248 |
| Retrials/Reinstatements | 29 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 43 | 248 |
| Appeals | 24 | 6 | 8 | 677 | 715 | 715 |
| TOTAL FILINGS | 8,988 | 950 | 1,490 | 3,480 | 14,908 | 25,211 |
| | | DISPOSI | TIONS | | | |
| Dismissals by prose- | | | | | | |
| cutor | 2,222 | 249 | 195 | 245 | 2,911 | 5,475 |
| Dismissals by judge | 957 | 127 | 124 | 398 | 1,606 | 2,899 |
| Guilty pleas | 5,091 | 395 | 974 | 2,367 | 8,827 | 13,979 |
| Trial to court | 259 | 93 | 93 | 147 | 592 | 829 |
| Jury trials | 46 | 18 | 4 | 42 | 110 | 580 |
| Other | 440 | 51 | 67 | 215 | 773 | 1,289 |
| TOTAL DISPOSITIONS | 9,015 | 933 | 1,457 | 3,414 | 14,819 | 25,051 |
| * | * * * 1 | rial Ve | rdicts * | * * * * | <u></u> | <u> </u> |
| Guilty | 245 | 78 | 63 | 149 | 535 | 1,049 |
| Not guilty | 60 | 33 | 34 | 40 | 167 | 360 |
| TOTAL TRIALS/VERDICTS | 305 | <u>111</u> | 97 | 189 | 702 | 1,409 |
| | F | PENDING | CASES | | | |
| | 6 mos. or less | 7-12 months | 13-18 month | | | Total |
| Number | 1,709 | 936 | 1,133 | 8 228 | 76 | 4,082 |
| Percent of total | 41.9% | 22.9% | 27.8 | 38 5.6 | 8 1.98 | 100% |
| TOTAL, ALL CRIMINAL | 3,891 | 1,747 | 1,834 | 422 | 185 | 8,079 |
| | 48.2% | 21.6% | 22.7 | 78 5.2 | १ 2,3१ | 100% |

SUMMARY OF CRIMINAL CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

| | CASES PENDING C6/30/77 | CASES FILED | CASES TERMI- NATED | CASES PENDING 06/30/78 |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| DISTRICT OF | | | | |
| DISTRICT O1 ATCHISON | - | | | |
| LEAVENWORTH | 77 | 280 | 288 | 69 |
| TOTAL | 93 | 455 | 259 | 289 |
| TOTAL | 170 | 735 | 547 | 358 |
| DISTRICT 02 | | | | |
| JACKSON | 42 | 207 | 178 | |
| JEFFERSON | 34 | 308 | 300 | 71 42 |
| POTTAWATOMIE | 192 | 397 | 457 | 132 |
| WABAUNSEE | 15 | 75 | 75 | 15 |
| TOTAL | 283 | 98 7 | 1,010 | 260 |
| | | | | |
| DISTRICT 03 | 510 | | | |
| SHAWNEE Total | 518 | 1,680 | 1,688 | 508 |
| TOTAL | 518 | 1,680 | 1,688 | 508 |
| DISTRICT 04 | | | | |
| ALLEN | 35 | 145 | 124 | F (|
| ANDERSON | 31 | 126 | 124 | 56 39 |
| COFFEY | 15 | 133 | 109 | 39 |
| FRANKLIN | 96 | 300 | 320 | 59 76 |
| OSAGE | 67 | 183 | 122 | 128 |
| WOODSON | 15 | 61 | 64 | 12 |
| TOTAL | 259 | 948 | 857 | 350 |
| DISTRICT OF | | | | |
| DISTRICT 05 | | | | |
| CHASE LYON | 16 | 42 | 49 | 9 |
| TOTAL | 99 | 336 | 209 | 226 |
| , UTAL | 115 | 378 | 258 | 235 |
| DISTRICT 06 | | | | |
| BOURBON | 54 | 176 | 183 | 17 |
| LINN | 28 | 228 | 178 | 47 78 |
| MIAMI | 42 | 184 | 175 | 51 |
| TOTAL | 124 | 588 | 536 | 176 |
| | | | | 210 |
| DISTRICT 07 | | | | |
| DOUGLAS | 348 | 445 | 492 | 301 |
| TCTAL | 348 | 445 | 492 | 301 |
| DISTRICT 08 | | | | |
| DICKINSON | 102 | 337 | 241 | |
| GEARY | 217 | 280 | 361 423 | 78 74 |
| MARION | 22 | 170 | 172 | 20 |
| MORRIS | 17 | 108 | 79 | 46 |
| TOTAL | 358 | 895 | 1,035 | 218 |
| DICTORE CO | | | | ~ ~ ~ |
| DISTRICT 09 | · | • | | |
| HARVEY MCPHERSON | 134 | 243 | 263 | 114 |
| TOTAL | 74 208 | 217 | 179 | 112 |
| I UINE | 200 | 460 | 442 | .226 |
| | | | | |

SUMMARY OF CRIMINAL CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

| | CASÉS PENDING 06/30/77 | CASES FILED | CASES Termi- Nated | CASES PENDING 06/30/78 |
|-------------|------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| DISTRICT 10 | | | | |
| JCHNSON | 577 | 1,588 | 1,530 | 635 |
| TOTAL | 577 | 1,588 | 1,530 | 635 |
| | | 1,000 | 19000 | |
| DISTRICT 11 | | | | |
| CHERCKEE | 52 | 231 | 125 | 158 |
| CRAWFORD | 136 | 483 | 415 | 204 |
| LABETTE | 112 | 260 | 305 | 67 |
| NEOSHO | 27 | 179 | 159 | 47 |
| WILSCN | 36 | 111 | 132 | 15 |
| TOTAL | 363 | 1,264 | 1,136 | 491 |
| DISTRICT 12 | | | | |
| CLOUD | 47 | 201 | 1.02 | 15 |
| JEWELL | 4 | 201 95 | 183 | 65 |
| LINCCLN | 30 | 100 | 108 | 14 22 |
| MITCHELL | 7 | 124 | 116 | 15 |
| REPUBLIC | 17 | 56 | 46 | 27 |
| WASHINGTON | 6 | 76 | 72 | 10 |
| TOTAL | 111 | 652 | 610 | 153 |
| | | | 010 | |
| DISTRICT 13 | | | | |
| BUTLER | 109 | 465 | 428 | 150 |
| CHAUTAUQUA | 21 | 96 | 64 | 53 |
| ELK | 6 | 48 | 43 | 11 |
| GREENWOOD | 50 | 299 | 273 | 76 |
| TOTAL | 186 | 912 | 808 | 290 |
| DISTRICT 14 | | | | |
| MONTGOMERY | 111 | 517 | 534 | 100 |
| TOTAL | 111 | 517 | 526 526 | 102 102 |
| | * * * | J. 1 | 520 | 102 |
| DISTRICT 15 | | | | |
| GRAHAM | 9 | 89 | 72 | 26 |
| ROOKS | 36 | 107 | 115 | 28 |
| SHERIDAN | 7 | 23 | 27 | 3 |
| SHERMAN | 90 | 261 | 273 | 78 |
| THOMAS | 77 | 247 | 288 | 36 |
| TOTAL | 219 | 727 | 775 | 171 |
| DISTRICT 16 | | | | |
| CLARK | 4 | 1. K. | | 10 |
| CEMANCHE | 5 | 46 19 | 40 13 | 10 11 |
| FORD | 173 | 229 | 275 | 127 |
| GRAY | 18 | 74 | 69 | 23 |
| KIOWA | 25 | 110 | 98 | 37 |
| MEADE | 12 | 86 | 91 | 7 |
| TOTAL | 237 | 564 | 586 | 215 |
| | | | | |

SUMMARY OF CRIMINAL CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

| | CASES PENDING 06/30/77 | CASES FILED | CASES TERMI- NATED | CASES PENDING 06/30/78 |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| DISTRICT 17 CHEYENNE DECATUR NORTON OSBORNE PHILLIPS RAWLINS SMITH TOTAL | 6 8 37 8 26 7 12 104 | 19 29 94 32 70 76 76 396 | 18 24 65 34 82 66 81 370 | 7 13 66 6 14 17 7 130 |
| DISTRICT 18 SEDGWICK TOTAL | 854 854 | 2,349 2,349 | 2,546 2,546 | 657 657 |
| DISTRICT 19 BARBER Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total | 17 42 35 84 64 114 356 | 55 476 182 144 261 307 1,425 | 50 430 149 165 274 370 1,438 | 22 88 68 63 51 51 343 |
| DISTRICT 20 BARTON ELLSWORTH RICE RUSSELL STAFFORD TOTAL | 159 37 69 72 17 354 | 431 196 257 103 109 1,C96 | 515 196 234 90 94 1,129 | 75 37 92 85 32 321 |
| DISTRICT 21 CLAY RILEY TOTAL | 18 121 139 | 8C 619 699 | 60 687 747 | 38 53 91 |
| DISTRICT 22 BROWN DONIPHAN MARSHALL NEMAHA TOTAL | 45 13 19 23 100 | 252 99 168 52 571 | 200 92 153 54 499 | 97 20 34 21 172 |
| DISTRICT 23 ELLIS GOVE LOGAN TREGO WALLACE TOTAL | 88 15 11 36 2 152 | 262 36 28 155 15 496 | 292 36 27 166 8 529 | 58 15 12 25 9 119 |

SUMMARY OF CRIMINAL CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

| | CASES PENDING 06/30/77 | CASES FILED | CASES TERMI- NATED | CASES PENDING 06/30/78 |
|-----------------|------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| DISTRICT 24 | | | | |
| EDWARDS | 23 | 45 | 40 | 28 |
| HÖDGEMAN | 10 | 27 | 33 | 4 |
| LANE | 7 | 51 | 35 | 23 |
| NESS | 15 | 38 | 33 | 20 |
| PAWNEE | 42 | 288 | 161 | 169 |
| RUSH | 40 | 90 | 58 | 72 |
| TOTAL | 137 | 539 | 360 | 316 |
| DISTRICT 25 | | | | |
| FINNEY | 133 | 738 | 792 | 79 |
| GREELEY | 14 | 32 | 41 | 5 |
| HAMILTON | 15 | 44 | 51 | 8 |
| KEARNY | 10 | 34 | 33 | 11 |
| SCOTT | 54 | 195 | 203 | 46 |
| WICHITA | 11 | 44 | 46 | 9 |
| TOTAL | 237 | 1, C87 | 1,166 | 158 |
| DISTRICT 26 | | | | |
| GRANT | 17 | 138 | 141 | 14 |
| HASKELL | 13 | 56 | 37 | 32 |
| MORTON | 6 | 23 | 16 | 13 |
| STANTON | 38 | 61 | 76 | 23 |
| STEVENS | 16 | 78 | 46 | 48 |
| SEWARD | 75 | 148 | 151 | 72 |
| TOTAL | 165 | 504 | 467 | 202 |
| DISTRICT 27 | | | | |
| RENO | 162 | 706 | 658 | 210 |
| TOTAL | 162 | 706 | 658 | 210 |
| DISTRICT 28 | | | | |
| OTTAWA | 8 | 103 | . 97 | 14 |
| SALINE | 541 | 971 | 1,194 | 318 |
| TOTAL | 549 | 1,074 | 1,291 | 332 |
| DISTRICT 29 | | | | |
| WY AN COTTE | 425 | 92 S | 1,015 | 339 |
| TOTAL | 425 | 925 | 1,015 | 339 |
| | | | | |
| TOTAL FOR STATE | 7,921 | 25,211 | 25,051 | 8,079 |

SUMMARY DATA BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT



FIRST DISTRICT CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

| - | | FILINGS | 507 1570 | 57 |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| | _ | lannan ya kuta kana kana kuta kuta kuta kuta kuta kuta kuta kut | | |
| | Atchison | Leavenworth | | TOTAL |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 21 21 18 <u>25</u> 85 | 87 41 44 <u>125</u> 297 | | 108 62 62 150 382 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status3 Other Total LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ | 133 23 156 | 486 239 725 | | 619 262 881 |
| Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | $ \begin{array}{r} 210 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ \hline 221 \\ 462 \end{array} $ | $326 \\ 14 \\ 23 \\ 19 \\ \overline{382} \\ 1,404$ | | 5362023246031,866 |
| | | ISPOSITIONS | | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 48 29 1 19 <u>3</u> 100 | 88 41 5 68 <u>11</u> 213 | | 136 70 6 87 <u>14</u> 313 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | 42 38 62 <u>3</u> 145 | 128 84 357 49 618 | | 170 122 419 <u>52</u> 763 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other* Total TOTAL, All Disps. | 70 6 0 87 <u>56</u> 219 464 | 49 33 0 127 <u>122</u> 331 1,162 | | 119 39 0 214 <u>178</u> 550 1,626 |
| | AGE OF C | IVIL CASES PENDI | ING | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months | 128 50% 63 | 438 50% 193 | | 566 50% 256 |
| 13-18 Months | 25% 15 6% | 22% 102 12% | | 23% 117 10% |
| 19-24 Months | 10 4% | 52 6% | | 62 5% |
| Over 24 Months TOTAL | 39 <u>15</u> % 255 | 98 11% 883 | | 137 <u>12</u> % 1,138 |
| TOTUD | * Included | is an estimate o | of terminations of on not converted to the | cases which |

SECOND DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

٠

÷

÷

FILINGS

| | Jackson | Jefferson | Pottawatomie | Wabaunsee | TOTAL |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 21 6 10 <u>31</u> 68 | 25 12 26 28 91 | 21 6 16 16 59 | 9 2 4 2 17 | 76 26 56 <u>77</u> 235 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total | 75 <u>4</u> 79 | 74 <u>6</u> 80 | 85 <u>15</u> 100 | 15 <u>6</u> 21 | 249 <u>31</u> 280 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | $ \begin{array}{r} 28\\ 3\\ 0\\ \underline{1}\\ 32\\ 179 \end{array} $ | 4 3 0 <u>61</u> 68 239 | 33 2 1 $-\frac{6}{42}$ 201 | 20 4 0 <u>2</u> 26 64 | 85 12 1 70 168 683 |
| | | DISPOSIT | IONS | - <u> </u> | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 17 14 6 15 <u>2</u> 54 | 16 28 4 14 13 75 | 28 31 3 18 <u>3</u> 83 | $ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ -1 \\ -24 \end{array} $ | 71 76 13 57 <u>19</u> 236 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | 11 18 40 <u>0</u> 69 | 21 17 31 71 | 27 27 21 <u>3</u> 78 | 2 1 12 <u>2</u> 17 | 61 63 104 7 235 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | 11 4 0 7 <u>6</u> 28 151 | $16 \\ 7 \\ 0 \\ 19 \\ - \frac{6}{48} \\ 194$ | 15 4 0 12 9 40 201 | 2 1 0 14 0 17 58 | 44 16 0 52 21 133 604 |
| | 1 | | SES PENDING | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 64 76% | 66 61% | 64 84% | 17 77% | 211 73% |
| 7-12 Months | 12 14% | 31 29% | 7 9 % | 5 23% | 55 19% |
| 13-18 Months | 5 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 15 |
| 19-24 Months | 6% 0 0% | 7% 2 2% | 3% 1 1% | 0% 0 0% | 5% 3 1% |
| Over 24 Months | 3 48 | 1 1% | 2 3% | 0 0 원 | 6 28 |
| TOTAL | 84 | 108 | 76 | 22 | 290 |
| | | | | rminations of onverted to th | |

THIRD DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Shawnee | TOTAL |
|---|---|--|
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 299 255 151 <u>425</u> 1,130 | 299 255 151 425 1,130 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total | 1,581 295 1,876 | 1,581 295 1,876 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | 3,283 2 266 53 $3,6046,610$ | 3,283 2 266 <u>53</u> 3,604 6,610 |
| ₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩ | DISPOSITIONS | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 499 175 14 217 <u>29</u> 934 | 499 175 14 217 <u>29</u> 934 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | 573 190 1,019 <u>8</u> 1,790 | 573 190 1,019 <u>8</u> 1,790 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other* Total TOTAL, All Disps. | 748 67 0 1,585 <u>615</u> 3,015 5,739 | 748 67 0 1,585 615 3,015 5,739 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | AGE OF CIVIL CASES PENDING | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 2,027 | 2,027 |
| 7-12 Months | 65% 539 | 65% 539 |
| 13-18 Months | 17% 229 | 178 229 |
| | 78 | 78 |
| 19-24 Months | 130 4% | 130 48 |
| Over 24 Months | 186 6% | 186 6% |
| TOTAL | 3,111 | 3,111 |
| | * Included is an estimate of terminations of ca were pending 7/1/77 and not converted to this | |

. ;

ι,

FOURTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | | - | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| | Allen | Anderson | Coffey | Franklin | Osage | Woodson | TOTAL |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 67 16 22 5 110 | 42 3 11 <u>6</u> 62 | 31 7 17 <u>8</u> 63 | 109 15 22 <u>34</u> 180 | 55 11 11 <u>3</u> 80 | 17 7 3 <u>2</u> 29 | 321 59 86 <u>58</u> 524 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total | 143 <u>11</u> 154 | 38 _ <u>13</u> _51 | 60 _ <u>11</u> 71 | 186 _ <u>11</u> 197 | 66 <u>8</u> 74 | 20 6 26 | 513 <u>60</u> 573 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | 16 1 0 <u>0</u> 17 281 | $ 12 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 14 \\ 127 $ | $ 10 \\ 0 \\ -11 \\ -21 \\ 155 $ | 96 5 0 4 105 482 | 94 4 0 2 100 254 | 6 0 1 7 62 | 234 10 0 <u>20</u> 264 1,361 |
| | | DISI | POSITION | IS | | | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 42 13 0 33 <u>8</u> 96 | 22 14 0 13 12 61 | 13 20 0 18 <u>1</u> 52 | 48 28 3 84 <u>16</u> 179 | 26 18 1 26 1 72 | 7 3 0 13 <u>0</u> 23 | 158 96 4 187 38 483 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | 40 21 96 <u>0</u> 157 | 13 5 30 <u>3</u> 51 | 15 26 38 <u>1</u> 80 | 32 45 67 <u>31</u> 175 | 10 18 25 0 53 | 6 3 17 <u>0</u> 26 | 116 118 273 35 542 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | 0 0 11 <u>14</u> 25 278 | 4 1 0 3 <u>13</u> 21 133 | $3 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 14 \\ 146$ | 29 9 0 29 <u>36</u> 103 457 | $ 13 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 21 \\ 14 \\ 51 \\ 176 $ | 1 0 2 <u>1</u> 4 53 | 50 16 0 69 <u>83</u> 218 1,243 |
| | AG | E OF CIV | IL CASES | S PENDING | | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months | 83 60% 24 | 34 60% 8 | 38 72% 14 | 132 80% 28 | 100 55% 47 | 14 32% 10 | 401 63% 131 |
| 13-18 Months | 17% 11 8% | 14% 5 9% | 26% 1 2% | 17% 3 2% | 26% 14 8% | 23% 8 18% | 218 42 |
| 19-24 Months | 7 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 6 | 7원 36 |
| Over 24 Months | 5% 13 9% | 14% 2 4% | 0% 0 0 | 2% 0 0% | 78 8 48 | 14% 6 14% | 6% 29 5% |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | 639 cases which his system. |

I

FIFTH DISTRICT

.

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Chase | Lyon | | | TOTAL |
|--|---|--|------------------------------|-----|--|
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 9 4 4 2 19 | 65 40 18 <u>32</u> 155 | | | 74 44 22 <u>34</u> 174 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status3 Other Total | $\begin{array}{r} 21\\ -4\\ -25 \end{array}$ | 279 52 331 | | | 300 <u>56</u> 356 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | 90 1 1 <u>0</u> 92 136 | 173 8 2 <u>4</u> 187 673 | | | 263 9 3 <u>4</u> 279 809 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | DISP | OSITIONS | | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | $ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 2\\ 0\\ 8\\ -0\\ -22 \end{array} $ | 68 35 1 43 $\underline{1}$ 148 | | | 80 37 1 51 <u>1</u> 170 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | $\begin{array}{r} 4\\15\\3\\-\underline{0}\\-\underline{22}\end{array}$ | 88 61 162 <u>6</u> 317 | | | 92 76 165 <u>6</u> 339 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | 13 5 0 46 <u>6</u> 70 114 | 49 16 0 38 88 191 656 | | | 62 21 0 84 <u>94</u> 261 770 |
| | AGE | | L CASES PEND | ING | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 37 60% | 225 60% | | | 262 60% |
| 7-12 Months | 14 238 | 74 20원 | | | 88 20% |
| 13-18 Months | 6 | 24 | | | 20% 30 7% |
| 19-24 Months Over 24 Months | 10% 3 5% 2 3% | 68 26 78 27 78 | ··· | | 78 29 7६ 29 7६ |
| TOTAL | | 376 uded is | an estimate $7/1/77$ and r | | 438 s which |

SIXTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | | Bourbon | Linn | Miami | | | TOTAL |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------|---------------|--|
| _ | REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 72 7 34 16 129 | 18 4 17 7 46 | 27 24 31 <u>19</u> 101 | | | $ 117 \\ 35 \\ 82 \\ 42 \\ 276 $ |
| | DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total | 119 <u>26</u> 145 | 44 | $ \begin{array}{r} 155 \\ \underline{23} \\ 178 \end{array} $ | | | 318 <u>56</u> 374 |
| | LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | 41 0 0 -2 -43 317 | 50 1 0 $-$ 51 148 | 94 14 0 <u>1</u> 109 388 | | | 185 15 0 3 203 853 |
| | | | DISPC | SITIONS | | | |
| | REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 32 19 1 76 <u>2</u> 130 | 21 10 0 8 <u>1</u> 40 | 38 8 5 22 <u>2</u> 75 | | | 91 37 6 106 <u>5</u> 245 |
| | DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | 49 30 64 <u>4</u> 147 | 4 25 35 | 37 44 85 <u>3</u> 169 | | | 90 78 174 <u>9</u> 351 |
| | LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | 6 1 0 21 28 305 | 7 0 1 <u>3</u> 11 86 | 21 6 0 38 <u>44</u> 109 353 | | | 34 7 0 39 <u>68</u> 148 744 |
| | | AGE (| OF CIVII | CASES | PENDING | | |
| | 6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months | 88 61% 31 22% | 53 45% 48 40% | 107 48% 55 25% | | | 248 51% 134 28% |
| | 13-18 Months | 14 | 7 | 30 | | | 51 11% |
| | 19-24 Months | | 6% 10 | 14% 18 | | | 34 |
| | Over 24 Months | 48 5 | 8% 1 | 8% 13 | | | 78 19 49 |
| | TOTAL | | | | ate of terminat | | |
| | | were | pending | //1//// | and not convert | eu to this sy | stem. |

SEVENTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Douglas | TOTAL |
|--|---|--|
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | $ 79 76 40 \frac{81}{276} $ | 79 76 40 <u>81</u> 276 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status3 Other Total | 434 <u>60</u> 494 | 434 $\frac{60}{494}$ |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | $ \begin{array}{r} 423 \\ 48 \\ 77 \\ \underline{1} \\ 549 \\ 1,319 \end{array} $ | 423 48 77 <u>1</u> 549 1,319 |
| | DISPOSITIONS | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 146 82 7 54 14 303 | 146 82 7 54 14 303 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | 122 79 232 <u>81</u> 514 | 122 79 232 <u>81</u> 514 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | $ \begin{array}{r} 79\\ 14\\ 0\\ 210\\ \frac{303}{606}\\ 1,423 \end{array} $ | 79 14 0 210 <u>303</u> 606 1,423 |
| | AGE OF CIVIL CASES PENDING | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 414 | 414 |
| 7-12 Months | 59% 181 | 59% 181 |
| 13-18 Months | 26% 47 | 26% 47 |
| 19-24 Months | 78 29 48 | 7% 29 4% |
| Over 24 Months | 4% 36 <u>5</u> % | 48 36 58 |
| TOTAL | 707 * Included is an estimate of termination | 707 |
| | were pending 7/1/77 and not converted | |

EIGHTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

.

| \mathbf{F} | ILINGS |
|--------------|--------|
| | |

| | Dickinson | Geary | Marion | Morris | | TOTAL |
|--|--|---|---|--|-------------------------|---|
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 57 12 24 24 117 | 59461315133 | 24 7 19 <u>8</u> 58 | 14 6 9 7 36 | | $154 \\ 71 \\ 65 \\ 54 \\ 344$ |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS Marital Status ³ Other Total | 104 <u>19</u> 123 | 485 89 574 | 50 <u>8</u> 58 | 31 | | 670 <u>123</u> 793 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | 70 4 2 0 76 316 | 160 14 0 9 183 890 | $ \begin{array}{r} 62\\0\\-1\\-63\\179\end{array} $ | 21 1 0 <u>1</u> 23 97 | | 313 19 2 <u>11</u> 345 1,482 |
| <u></u> | | DISPOSI | TIONS | | | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 40 28 1 42 0 111 | $ \begin{array}{r} 62\\ 24\\ 3\\ 44\\ \underline{3}\\ 136 \end{array} $ | 27 20 5 17 <u>4</u> 73 | 13 11 0 10 <u>1</u> 35 | | 142 83 9 113 8 355 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | $\begin{array}{c c} & 44 \\ & 29 \\ & 54 \\ & 1 \\ \hline & 128 \end{array}$ | 157313696563 | 17 13 33 <u>6</u> 69 | $ \begin{array}{r} 16\\ 8\\ 21\\ -0\\ 45 \end{array} $ | | 234 81 477 <u>13</u> 805 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | 15 2 0 29 28 74 313 13 | 30 18 0 82 43 173 872 | 16 0 19 <u>11</u> 46 188 | $ \begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 1 \\ $ | | 69 21 0 137 <u>87</u> 314 1,474 |
| | AGE O | F CIVIL C | ASES PENI | DING | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months | 86 67% 27 | 255 69% 52 | 46 74% 9 | 20 44% 19 | | 407 67% 107 |
| 13-18 Months | 21% 4 | 14% 38 | 15% 5 | 42% 3 | | 18% 50 |
| 19-24 Months | 3% | 10% 7 | 88 0 | 7원 0 | | 8% 13 |
| Over 24 Months | 5% 5 4% | 2% 17 5% | 0% 2 3% | 08 3 78 | | 2% 27 5% |
| TOTAL | 128 * Includ | 369 ed is an | 62 estimate | 45 of termir | nations of erted to the | $\overline{604}$ cases which |

NINTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

| | | FILINGS | 5 | | 65 |
|--|--|--|----------------------------------|----------------|---|
| | Harvey | McPherson | - | | TOTAL |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 85 38 20 <u>9</u> 152 | 69 21 11 <u>26</u> 127 | | | $154 \\ 59 \\ 31 \\ 35 \\ 279$ |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total | 183 <u>26</u> 209 | 148 <u>30</u> 178 | | | 331 <u>56</u> 387 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | $ \begin{array}{r} 69\\ 9\\ 0\\ \underline{4}\\ 82\\ 443 \end{array} $ | 58 13 1 <u>1</u> 73 378 | | | 127 22 . 1 <u>5</u> 155 821 |
| | | DISPOSITIO | ONS | | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 59 61 2 61 <u>6</u> 189 | 74 21 0 46 2 143 | | | $ \begin{array}{r} 133\\ 82\\ 2\\ 107\\ \underline{8}\\ 332\end{array} $ |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | $54 \\ 35 \\ 140 \\ 2 \\ 231$ | 42 32 88 2 164 | | | 96 67 228 <u>4</u> 395 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | 19 7 0 24 <u>28</u> 78 498 | 11 0 25 <u>23</u> 59 366 | | | $ \begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 7 \\ 0 \\ 49 \\ \underline{51} \\ 137 \\ 864 \end{array} $ |
| | AGE | OF CIVIL CASE | ES PENDING | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months | 115 53% 46 | 132 53% 57 | | · · · · | 247 53% 103 22% |
| 13-18 Months | 21% 28 13% | 23% 29 12% | | | 57 12% |
| 19-24 Months | 9 48 | 15 | | | 24 5% |
| Over 24 Months TOTAL | 21 <u>10%</u> 219 * Inc | $ \begin{array}{r} 17 \\ -7\% \\ 250 \\ 1uded is an example. $ | stimate of ter /77 and not co | | $ \begin{array}{r} 38 \\ \underline{88} \\ \underline{469} \\ cases which \end{array} $ |
| | wer | e benarud //1, | | MYELCEU LU LI. | its system. |

TENTH DISTRICT CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Johnson | TOTAL |
|--|--|--|
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract | 645 | 645 |
| Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 456 196 <u>327</u> 1,624 | 456 196 <u>327</u> 1,624 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total | 1,885 423 2,308 | 1,885 423 2,308 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total | 2,212 11 417 <u>60</u> 2,700 | $2,212 \\ 11 \\ 417 \\ 60 \\ \hline 2,700 \\ \hline 2,622 \\ \hline$ |
| TOTAL, All Filings | 6,632 | 6,632 |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: | DISPOSITIONS | |
| Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 694 267 23 283 20 1,287 | 694 267 23 283 20 1,287 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | $ \begin{array}{r} 424 \\ 767 \\ 850 \\ 10 \\ \hline 2,051 \end{array} $ | 424 767 850 10 2,051 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | 386 100 0 1,178 748 2,412 5,750 | 386 100 0 1,178 <u>748</u> 2,412 5,750 |
| | AGE OF CIVIL CASES PENDING | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 1,984 | 1,984 49% |
| 7-12 Months | 49% 1,060 | 1,060 |
| 13-18 Months | 26% 358 9% | 26% 358 9% |
| 19-24 Months | 240 6% | 240 6% |
| Over 24 Months | 68 437 118 | 437 118 |
| TOTAL | <pre>4,079 * Included is an estimate of termi were pending 7/1/77 and not conv</pre> | |

ELEVENTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

67

| | F ILLING5 | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | Cherokee | Crawford | Labette | Neosho | Wilson | TOTAL | | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 38 13 60 <u>24</u> 135 | 111 42 34 49 236 | 50 24 43 25 142 | 54 10 6 13 83 | 42 11 17 12 82 | 295 100 160 <u>123</u> 678 | | |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status3 Other Total | 187 20 207 | 251 53 304 | 202 43 245 | 143 29 172 | 124 <u>16</u> 140 | 907 <u>161</u> 1,068 | | |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | 40 5 <u>6</u> 398 | 116 18 11 <u>2</u> 147 687 | 123 6 1 2 132 519 | 117 1 0 2 120 375 | 42 9 <u>0</u> 55 277 | $ \begin{array}{r} 438 \\ 34 \\ 26 \\ \underline{12} \\ 510 \\ 2,256 \\ \end{array} $ | | |
| | | DISPOSIT | IONS | | | | | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 42 43 2 61 <u>2</u> 150 | 70 35 7 60 <u>5</u> 177 | 44 39 4 29 <u>14</u> 130 | 18 22 1 32 <u>3</u> 76 | 36 17 2 24 <u>1</u> 80 | 210 156 16 206 25 613 | | |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | 59 32 96 <u>1</u> 188 | 59 26 136 <u>44</u> 265 | 58 51 85 24 218 | 32 29 91 <u>8</u> 160 | 48 21 53 5 127 | 256 159 461 <u>82</u> 958 | | |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | $ \begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 14 \\ \underline{17} \\ \overline{38} \\ 376 \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 35 \\ 13 \\ 2 \\ 47 \\ \underline{34} \\ 131 \\ 573 \end{array} $ | 27 7 56 <u>17</u> 107 455 | $ \begin{array}{r} 24 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 66 \\ \underline{21} \\ 113 \\ 349 \\ 349 \\ \end{array} $ | 16 7 0 19 <u>9</u> 51 258 | 108 30 2 202 <u>98</u> 440 2,011 | | |
| AGE OF CIVIL CASES PENDING | | | | | | | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months | 139 53% 65 25% | 205 61% 99 29% | 158 72% 39 18% | 110 79% 17 12% | 67 79% 12 14% | 679 65% 232 22% | | |
| 13-18 Months | 37 14% | 15 5% | 15 7% | 7 5용 | 5 6욱 | 79 8% | | |
| 19-24 Months | 11 | 9 38 | 5 2% | 5 48 | 0 08 | 30 3% | | |
| Over 24 Months TOTAL | 4% 13 <u>5</u> % 265 | 3% 9 <u>3</u> % 337 | 2% 3 <u>1</u> % 220 | 48 0 08 139 | 1 18 85 | 26 3% 1,046 | | |
| | * Includ | ed is an e | stimate o | f termin | ations of | f cases which | | |

were pending 7/1/77 and not converted to this system.

TWELFTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

,

FILINGS

| | | | · | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| | Cloud | Jewell | Lincoln | Mitchell | Republic | Washington | TOTAL |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 46 9 11 5 71 | 2 3 2 <u>11</u> 18 | 9 2 4 <u>3</u> 18 | 27 4 12 <u>3</u> 46 | 16 1 14 <u>8</u> 39 | 27 6 7 <u>1</u> 41 | 127 25 50 <u>31</u> 233 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total | 57 <u>6</u> 63 | 23 25 | $\frac{18}{4}$ | 36 38 | 27 | 26 31 | 187 20 207 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | 29 2 3 <u>0</u> 34 168 | 2 0 4 6 49 | 16 0 1 17 57 | 21 0 2 23 107 | 11 2 0 <u>0</u> 13 80 | 29 1 0 <u>1</u> 31 103 | 108 5 3 <u>8</u> 124 564 |
| | | D | ISPOSITI | ONS | <u> </u> | | <u></u> |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 17 10 2 32 <u>2</u> 63 | 5 3 0 6 1 15 | 5 1 0 9 <u>2</u> 17 | 21 1 0 22 0 44 | 9 3 2 16 <u>0</u> 30 | 12 6 0 15 <u>0</u> 33 | 69 24 4 100 5 202 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | 9 11 43 <u>0</u> 63 | 12 0 17 0 29 | 3 7 14 1 25 | 9 2 25 0 36 | 5 11 17 <u>0</u> 33 | 9 12 13 -1 35 | $47 \\ 43 \\ 129 \\ 2 \\ 221 $ |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | $ \begin{array}{r} 3\\0\\25\\-\underline{14}\\42\\168\end{array} \end{array} $ | 0 0 5 <u>1</u> 50 | 6 0 9 <u>2</u> 17 59 | 8 0 10 <u>2</u> 20 100 | 6 0 3 <u>2</u> 11 74 | 7 5 0 14 <u>3</u> 29 97 | 30 5 0 66 <u>24</u> 125 548 |
| | AC | E OF C | IVIL CAS | ES PENDIN | G | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 42 65% | 11 55% | 11 61% | 29 71% | | 30 77% | 154 68% |
| 7-12 Months | 14 22% | 4 20% | 2 11% | 8 20% | 5 12% | 8 21% | 41 18% |
| 13-18 Months | 7 118 | 20% 1 5% | 2 118 | 208 3 78 | 12% 6 14% | 218 1 38 | 20 98 |
| 19-24 Months | 2 | 3 15% | 2 | 0 08 | 08 | 0 08 | 7 3% |
| Over 24 Months | 08 | 1 5% | 1 6% | 1 2% | 0 8 0 8 | 0% 0 0% | 3 3 18 |
| TOTAL | 65 * Inc | 20 luded i | <u> 18</u> .s an est | 41 imate of | 42 terminati | 39 ons of case d to this s | 225 s which |

THIRTEENTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | | ······································ | - | | |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Butler | Chautauqua | Elk | Greenwood | TOTAL |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | $124 \\ 50 \\ 55 \\ 51 \\ 280$ | 24 3 18 <u>11</u> 56 | 2 0 2 <u>33</u> 37 | 30 18 25 11 84 | 180 71 100 <u>106</u> 457 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total | 341 57 398 | 32 5 37 | 18 | 58 7 65 | $\begin{array}{r} 449\\ \underline{73}\\ \overline{522}\end{array}$ |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | 269 19 18 <u>10</u> 316 994 | 15 1 0 <u>3</u> 19 112 | 0 0 <u>8</u> 67 | 23 0 -2 33 182 | 307 28 18 <u>23</u> 376 1,355 |
| | | DISPOSITIO | ONS | | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 92 66 9 87 <u>10</u> 264 | 20 11 3 20 -0 54 | 10 2 0 20 <u>4</u> 36 | 24 18 1 37 <u>15</u> 95 | 146 97 13 164 <u>29</u> 449 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | 108 70 177 <u>15</u> 370 | 10 6 21 0 37 | 3 1 9 <u>3</u> 16 | 13 16 26 <u>18</u> 73 | 134 93 233 <u>36</u> 496 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | 42 10 150 <u>55</u> 258 892 | 3 1 0 9 7 20 111 | 0 0 4 <u>3</u> 7 59 | $ \begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 12 \\ \underline{22} \\ 45 \\ 213 \\ \end{array} $ | 56 11 175 <u>87</u> 330 1,275 |
| | AGE | OF CIVIL CASE | ES PEND | ING | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 302 69% | 32 64% | 19 66% | 48 68% | 401 68% 115 |
| 7-12 Months | 87 20% | 11 22% | 6 21% | 11 16% | 208 |
| 13-18 Months | 14 3% | 1 2% | 2 7왕 | 9 | 26 48 |
| 19-24 Months | 16 48 | 3 6% | 0 0 응 | 2 · 3% | 21 48 |
| Over 24 Months | 18 4% | 3 6ૃ% | 2 78 | 1 1% | 24 4% |
| TOTAL | | | | | ons of cases which |
| | were p | pending 7/1/7 | 7 and r | ot converte | d to this system. |

FOURTEENTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Montgomery | TOTAL |
|--|---|--|
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 184 54 69 46 353 | $ \begin{array}{r} 184\\54\\69\\\underline{46}\\353\end{array} \end{array} $ |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total | 407 <u>104</u> 511 | 407 <u>104</u> 511 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | 298 28 3 <u>2</u> 331 1,195 | 298 28 3 2 331 1,195 |
| | DISPOSITIONS | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 111 65 6 112 <u>18</u> 312 | 111 65 6 112 <u>18</u> 312 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | 106 68 262 <u>39</u> 475 | 106 68 262 <u>39</u> 475 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | 51 12 0 156 <u>40</u> 259 1,046 | 51 12 0 156 <u>40</u> 259 1,046 |
| | AGE OF CIVIL CASES PENDING | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 304 61% | 304 61% |
| 7-12 Months | 139 28% | 139 28% |
| 13-18 Months | 288 33 78 | 20% 33 7% |
| 19-24 Months | 14 | 14 |
| Over 24 Months TOTAL | 3% 12 2% 502 * Included is an estimate of t | |
| | were pending 7/1/77 and not | converted to this system. |

FIFTEENTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Graham | Rooks | Sheridan | Sherman | Thomas | TOTAL |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 0 3 0 <u>12</u> 15 | 21 5 8 <u>15</u> 49 | $ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 0\\ 4\\ \underline{5}\\ 21 \end{array} $ | 36 12 7 <u>7</u> 62 | 38 13 4 <u>7</u> 62 | $ \begin{array}{r} 107 \\ 33 \\ 23 \\ 46 \\ 209 \end{array} $ |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total | $\begin{array}{r}16\\-5\\-21\end{array}$ | 28 6 34 | 12 <u>3</u> 15 | 65 72 | 49 | 170 <u>28</u> 198 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | 0 0 0 - 4 - 4 40 | 47 0 4 <u>0</u> 51 134 | 8 0 0 | 68 2 1 -1 72 206 | 13 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 1 3 | 136 3 5 <u>6</u> 150 557 |
| | | DIS | POSITIONS | | | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | $ \begin{array}{r} 14\\ 2\\ 0\\ 7\\ \underline{2}\\ 25\end{array} \end{array} $ | 14 7 1 24 0 46 | 4 14 0 10 <u>3</u> 31 | 23 10 1 21 <u>3</u> 58 | 11 20 3 41 <u>1</u> 76 | 66 53 5 103 <u>9</u> 236 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | 6 2 3 0 11 | 9 5 21 <u>0</u> 35 | 6 4 4 <u>1</u> 15 | 25 19 20 <u>0</u> 64 | 8 0 44 <u>0</u> 52 | 54 30 92 $\frac{1}{177}$ |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | $2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -10 \\ -12 \\ 48$ | 9 1 26 <u>10</u> 46 127 | $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - \frac{2}{6} \\ 52 \end{array} $ | 17 5 0 26 <u>22</u> 70 192 | $ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 0 \\ 9 \\ \underline{1} \\ 13 \\ 141 \end{array} $ | 32 9 0 61 <u>45</u> 147 560 |
| | AGE | OF CIV | IL CASES P | ENDING | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 19 54% | 34 55% | 7 448 | 73 53% | 31 59% | 164 54% |
| 7-12 Months | 2 6% | 17 27% | 4 258 | 18 13% | 14 26% | 55 18% |
| 13-18 Months | 7 20웅 | 4 7웅 | 2 138 | 20 15% | 2 4웅 | 35 12% 21 |
| 19-24 Months | 1 3% | 3 5% | 2 138 | 11 8% | 4 8% | 21 7% |
| Over 24 Months | 6 17% | 4 7응 | 1 6% | 16 12% | 2 48 | 29 _10% |
| TOTAL | 35 * Inclu | 62 ded is | -16 an estimat | 138 te of term | 53 Minations Everted to | 304 of cases which this system. |
| and the second | | | | | | |

والمتر المردر

SIXTEENTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Clark | Comanche | Ford | Gray | Kiowa | Meade | TOTAL |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 5 4 0 <u>1</u> 10 | 2 2 1 <u>10</u> 15 | 198 11 14 23 246 | 33 1 12 <u>4</u> 50 | 26 2 7 <u>4</u> 39 | 22 2 10 0 34 | 286 22 44 <u>42</u> 394 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total | 14 16 | 10 5 _15 | 204 _26 230 | 23 <u>3</u> 26 | $\frac{14}{-18}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25\\ \underline{1}\\ 26 \end{array}$ | 290 <u>41</u> 331 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS:4 Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | 3 0 <u>2</u> 31 | 5 0 <u>2</u> 7 37 1 | 567 18 1 <u>587</u> ,063 | $54 \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{55} \\ 131$ | 12 2 0 | 11 1 0 <u>1</u> 13 73 | 652 21 1 <u>7</u> 681 1,406 |
| | | | POSITI | | | | ₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩ |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 0 0 1 0 1 | 4 2 0 6 0 12 | 93 45 7 96 3 244 | 11 10 3 22 1 47 | 17 3 1 9 <u>0</u> 30 | 8 11 0 11 <u>4</u> 34 | 133 71 11 145 <u>8</u> 368 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | 3 2 8 0 13 | 5 3 4 | 56 63 98 <u>5</u> 222 | 9 5 7 21 | 7 3 14 0 24 | 5 6 17 <u>0</u> 28 | 85 82 148 -5 320 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | $1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ -\frac{4}{8} \\ 22$ | $3 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ -4 \\ -9 \\ -33 1$ | 156 13 0 330 100 599 ,065 | 10 1 21 <u>16</u> 48 116 | 6 0 5 <u>6</u> 17 71 | 5 1 0 <u>6</u> <u>12</u> 24 86 | 181 15 0 367 <u>142</u> 705 1,393 |
| | AG | E OF CIV | IL CAS | SES PH | ENDING | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 9 56% | 14 78% | 223 70% | 42 72% | 15 54% | 22 55% | 325 68% |
| 7-12 Months | 6 38% | 2 | 59 19% | 14 | 8 | 8 20웅 | 97 20% |
| 13-18 Months | 588 0 08 | 1 | 20 6% | 0 | 5 18% | 6 15% | 32 7% |
| 19-24 Months | 1 6% | 1 6% | 10 3% | 0 0 응 | 0 0 원 | 0 0 % | 12 3% |
| Over 24 Months | 0 0 | 0 08 | 6 28 | 2 3% | 0 8 | 4 10% | 12 3% |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | 478 ons of cases which d to this system. |
| | 1 | | | | | | |

SEVENTEENTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Cheyenne | Decatur | Norton | Osborne | Phillips | Rawlins | Smith | TOTAL |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 9 0 2 <u>1</u> 12 | 17 3 4 -1 25 | 17 7 10 <u>3</u> 37 | 17 4 10 -5 36 | 16 10 5 <u>3</u> 34 | 11 1 4 <u>2</u> 18 | 12 0 4 - <u>4</u> 20 | 99 25 39 <u>19</u> 182 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status3 Other Total | 15 <u>4</u> 19 | 17 <u>3</u> 20 | 33 3 36 | 30 <u>8</u> 38 | 37 <u>6</u> 43 | 24 1 _25 | 23 | 179 <u>27</u> 206 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | 25 3 0 <u>0</u> 28 59 | 7 1 0 <u>3</u> 11 56 | 92 2 1 <u>2</u> 97 170 | 6 0 1 7 81 | 22 0 1 0 23 100 | 6 0 <u>2</u> 8 51 | $\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 0\\ 0\\ -\underline{2}\\ 4\\ 49 \end{array}$ | 160 6 2 <u>10</u> 178 566 |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: | | DISI | POSITION | IS | | | | |
| Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | $ \begin{array}{c} 7\\ 1\\ 0\\ 8\\ -1\\ 17 \end{array} $ | 16 0 8 0 24 | $ \begin{array}{r} 13\\5\\0\\15\\\underline{2}\\35\end{array} \end{array} $ | 3 4 0 10 <u>3</u> 20 | 7 4 11 <u>11</u> 24 | 6 1 9 <u>0</u> 16 | 9 3 0 7 <u>1</u> 20 | 61 18 1 68 <u>8</u> 156 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | 6 3 9 <u>0</u> 18 | 5 2 13 <u>0</u> 20 | 8 3 13 <u>0</u> 24 | 5 1 23 <u>1</u> 30 | 6 5 25 <u>3</u> 39 | 8 1 10 <u>1</u> 20 | 2 3 19 0 24 | $40 \\ 18 \\ 112 \\ 5 \\ 175$ |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | 6 0 1 <u>16</u> 23 58 | 3 0 1 | 27 21 0 21 <u>31</u> 100 159 | 0 0 2 5 7 57 | 3 1 0 14 <u>6</u> 24 87 | 1 3 0 1 <u>0</u> 5 41 | 2 0 1 0 3 47 | 42 25 0 41 <u>63</u> 171 502 |
| | AGE | OF CIVI | L CASES | PENDING | m | | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months | 16 578 6 | 17 39% 8 | 31 71% 5 | 26 43% 14 | 31 57% 9 | 16 59% 6 | 8 62% 5 | 145 54% 53 |
| 13-18 Months | 21% | 18% 6 | 11% 3 | 23% 11 | 17% 6 | 228 0 | 398 0 | 20% 30 |
| 19-24 Months | 14% 1 48 | 14% 2 5% | 7% 3 7% | 18% 1 2% | 11% 5 9% | 0% 4 15% | 08 0 08 | 11% 16 6% |
| Over 24 Months | 1 48 | 11 25% | 2 5% | 9 15% | 3 6% | 148 | 0 0% | 27 10% |
| TOTAL | 28 * Inclu | $\overline{44}$ aded is a | $\frac{44}{4}$ an estin | $\frac{61}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$ | 54 erminatio | $\overline{27}$ ons of ca | $\overline{13}$ ases wh | $\overline{271}$ nich |
| | were | penaing | //1/// | anu not | converted | | a ayare | <u> </u> |

EIGHTEENTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| TOTAL | | |
|---|---|--|
| 1,197 766 298 <u>716</u> 2,977 | REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | |
| 4,011 1,244 5,255 | DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total | |
| 5,645 511 1,932 629 8,717 6,949 | LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | |
| | | |
| 1,311 661 54 795 108 2,929 | REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | |
| 1,251 493 3,343 15 5,102 | DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | |
| 1,042 244 0 4,902 1,858 8,046 6,077 | LIMITED ACTIONS:' Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | |
| | | |
| 4,010 | 6 Mos. Or Less | |
| 68% 1,421 | 7-12 Months | |
| 24% 290 5% | 13-18 Months | |
| 77 | 19-24 Months | |
| 1% 77 1% | Over 24 Months | |
| 5,875 | TOTAL | |
| which tem. | | |
| 1 3 5 1 4186 4 1 5 w | Uncontested Other Total DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. 6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months 13-18 Months 19-24 Months Over 24 Months | |

NINETEENTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Barber | Cowley | Harper | Kingman | Pratt | Sumner | TOTAL |
|--|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 23 8 8 7 46 | 77 35 32 <u>19</u> 163 | 28 9 4 | 33 7 11 <u>8</u> 59 | 53 15 14 <u>10</u> 92 | 54 24 23 <u>24</u> 125 | 268 98 92 76 534 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total | 42 9 51 | 325 107 432 | 39 3 42 | 50 6 56 | 70 <u>13</u> 83 | 174 42 216 | 700 <u>180</u> 880 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | 16 0 <u>2</u> 18 115 | 204 18 2 2 226 821 | 0 0 0 0 91 | $ \begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -18 \\ 133 \end{array} $ | 97 3 0 <u>4</u> 104 279 | 35 2 2 <u>17</u> 56 397 | 369 23 4 <u>26</u> 422 1,836 |
| | | DISI | POSITION | S | | | , |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 17 5 0 20 <u>0</u> 42 | 54 38 2 70 <u>5</u> 169 | 16 11 13 _0 41 | 12 19 1 12 <u>4</u> 48 | 25 23 33 <u>1</u> 85 | 46 39 1 45 <u>1</u> 132 | 170 135 8 193 <u>11</u> 517 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | 13 14 30 <u>1</u> 58 | 98 74 223 <u>19</u> 414 | 10 13 19 -1 43 | 11 14 33 -5 $\overline{63}$ | $ \begin{array}{r} 14\\22\\45\\-\underline{4}\\85\end{array} \end{array} $ | 47 38 111 4 200 | 193 175 461 <u>34</u> 863 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontestad Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | $ 1 1 0 9 3 \overline{14} 114 $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 37 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ 124 \\ 46 \\ \overline{213} \\ 796 \end{array} $ | 0 0 0 8 8 9 2 | $ \begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 0 \\ 6 \\ -\frac{19}{31} \\ 142 \end{array} $ | 15 7 40 <u>15</u> 77 247 | 16 2 0 22 <u>22</u> 62 394 | 75 16 0 201 <u>113</u> 405 1.785 |
| | AGI | E OF CIV | IL CASES | PENDING | | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months | 35 71% 7 14% | 192 68% 56 20% | 26 48% 14 26% | 39 58% 17 25% | 82 63% 30 23% | 130 63% 39 19% | 504 64% 163 21% |
| 13-18 Months | 2 | 18 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 12 | 47 68 |
| 19-24 Months | 48 2 | 6% 11 | 6% 6 | 38 4 | 88 2 | 6% 18 | 4.3 |
| Over 24 Months | 48 3 68 | 48 4 18 | 11% 5 9% | 68 5 88 | 28 6 58 | 98 9 48 | 5% 32 4% |
| TOTAL | 49 * Incl | $\overline{281}$ uded is | 54 an estim | ित7 ate of te | 130 rminati | 208 ons of c | 789 cases which s system. |

TWENTIETH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Barton | Ellsworth | Rice | Russell | Stafford | TOTAL |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 235 29 20 <u>47</u> 331 | 25 9 4 <u>3</u> 41 | 25 5 9 _ <u>19</u> 58 | 60 6 10 <u>14</u> 90 | 46 11 9 <u>3</u> 69 | 391 60 52 <u>86</u> 589 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total | 291 <u>43</u> 334 | 30 <u>3</u> 33 | 67 <u>6</u> 73 | 65 <u>10</u> 75 | 32 | 485 <u>67</u> 552 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | 349 3 1 <u>5</u> 358 1,023 | 13 1 4 19 93 | 34 0 0 34 165 | 53 0 -0 54 219 | 31 3 1 -1 36 142 | 480 8 3 <u>10</u> 501 1,642 |
| | | DISPOSI | TIONS | | | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 97 46 0 133 <u>1</u> 277 | 6 5 0 15 <u>0</u> 26 | 17 13 1 10 <u>1</u> 42 | 26 16 0 23 <u>0</u> 65 | 15 20 2 1.5 <u>2</u> 54 | $161 \\ 100^{*} \\ 3 \\ 196 \\ \frac{4}{464}$ |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | 61 65 158 <u>2</u> 286 | 7 5 18 <u>0</u> 30 | 15 8 44 <u>0</u> 67 | 12 17 38 0 67 | 5 14 19 <u>0</u> 38 | $ \begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 109 \\ 277 \\ \underline{2} \\ \overline{488} \end{array} $ |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | 113 8 2 214 <u>39</u> 376 939 | 4 0 5 <u>5</u> 14 70 | 4 0 6 <u>5</u> 16 125 | 31 0 0 0 <u>20</u> 51 183 | 4 1 0 20 <u>14</u> 39 131 | 156 10 2 245 <u>83</u> 496 1,448 |
| | AGE | OF CIVIL C | ASES P | ENDING | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 263 | 31 | 59 | 72 | 45 | 470 55% |
| 7-12 Months | 52% 111 | 60% 13 | 58% 22 | 54% 35 | 75% 8 | 189 |
| 13-18 Months | 22% 46 9% | 25% 4 8% | 22% · 10 10% | 26% 17 13% | 13% 4 7% | 22% 81 10% |
| 19-24 Months | 51 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 64 |
| Over 24 Months | 10% 37 7% | 4응 2 4응 | 5% 5 5% | 4% 4 3% | 2% 2 3% | 8% 50 6% |
| TOTAL | 508 * Inclu | 52 ded is an e | 101 estimat | $\overline{133}$ e of term | 60 ninations of nverted to th | $\overline{854}$ cases which |

TWENTY-FIRST DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

77

| | Clay | Riley | ر | TOTAL |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Toțal | $ \begin{array}{c} 21 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ -8 \\ -40 \end{array} $ | 106 48 11 <u>20</u> 185 | | 127 53 17 <u>28</u> 225 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status3 Other Total | 55 | 396 79 475 | | 451 <u>86</u> 537 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | 11 1 0 <u>0</u> 12 114 | 53 10 0 <u>3</u> 66 726 | | 64 11 0 <u>3</u> 78 840 |
| | | DIS | POSITIONS | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 7 2 0 13 <u>0</u> 22 | 72 26 3 51 <u>3</u> 155 | | 79 28 3 64 <u>3</u> 177 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | 8 9 38 <u>2</u> 57 | 70 37 246 <u>27</u> 380 | | 78 46 284 <u>29</u> 437 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | $ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ \underline{15} \\ 23 \\ 102 \end{array} $ | 21 2 0 12 <u>28</u> 63 598 | | 24 2 0 17 <u>43</u> 86 700 |
| | AG | E OF CIV | IL CASES PENDING | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months | 37 54% 14 21% | 242 49% 77 16% | | 279 50% 91 16% |
| 13-18 Months | 6 9% | 95 19% | | 101 18% |
| 19-24 Months | 5 78 | 39 8% | | 44 8% |
| Over 24 Months TOTAL | 6 9% 68 * Incl | 42 9% 495 uded is | an estimate of terminations of cases w 7/1/77 and not converted to this syst | 48 9% 563 hich |
| | | 1 | | |

TWENTY-SECOND DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| Brown | Doniphan | Marshall | Nemaha | | TOTAL |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| 24 5 7 <u>17</u> 53 | 12 8 12 <u>3</u> 35 | 32 12 2 19 65 | 4 6 4 <u>13</u> 27 | | 72 31 25 52 180 |
| 58 <u>15</u> 73 | 60 | 58 <u>11</u> 69 | 29 | | 205 40 245 |
| $35 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 39 \\ 165$ | 25 0 2 27 129 | 49 2 0 <u>0</u> 51 185 | 10 0 0 <u>0</u> 10 73 | | $ 119 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 127 \\ 552 $ |
| | DISPO | SITIONS | | | |
| 23 14 2 15 <u>2</u> 56 | 15 13 1 9 1 | 22 5 1 28 3 | 11 7 1 2 <u>0</u> 21 | | 71 39 54 <u>6</u> 175 |
| 11 16 27 <u>0</u> 54 | 9 10 40 <u>4</u> 63 | $ \begin{array}{r} 14\\ 15\\ 28\\ \underline{}\\ \underline{}\\ 62\end{array} $ | 8 7 21 <u>2</u> 38 | | 42 48 116 <u>11</u> 217 |
| 540122344154 | $\begin{array}{r} 4\\ 0\\ 0\\ 12\\ \underline{5}\\ 21\\ 123 \end{array}$ | $ 8 8 0 10 \frac{17}{43} 164 $ | 2 1 0 4 <u>5</u> 12 71 | | 19 13 0 38 <u>50</u> 120 512 |
| AGI | OF CIVIL | CASES PEN | IDING | | |
| 53 45% 25 | 29 43% 16 | 45 45% 36 | 27 47% 8 | | 154 45% 85 |
| 21% 8 | 24% 9 | 36% 6 | 148 7 | | 25% 30 |
| 7% 11 | 13% 2 | 6% 8 | 128 4 | | 9% 25 |
| 22 | 12 | 6 | 11 | | 7% 51 15% |
| 119 * Incl | 68 uded is ar | 101 n estimate | 57 of termin | | |
| | 24 5 7 17 53 58 15 73 35 30 1 39 165 23 14 2 39 165 23 14 2 56 11 16 27 0 54 5 4 0 12 23 44 154 5 4 0 12 23 44 154 5 3 45% 25 21% 8 7% 119% 22 19% 119% 119% | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 24 12 32 5 8 12 7 12 2 17 3 19 53 35 65 58 60 58 15 7 11 73 67 69 35 25 49 3 0 2 0 0 0 1 2 0 39 27 51 165 129 185 DISPOSITIONS 23 15 22 14 13 5 2 1 1 15 9 28 2 1 1 15 9 28 2 1 1 15 9 28 2 1 1 15 9 28 2 1 1 15 9 28 0 4 5 54 63 | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |

TWENTY-THIRD DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Ellis | Gove | Logan | Trego | Wallace | | TOTAL |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 70 29 17 <u>32</u> 148 | 8 3 7 <u>1</u> 19 | $ \begin{array}{r} 13\\ 3\\ -4\\ -26 \end{array} $ | 13 8 5 2 28 | 16 1 2 <u>2</u> 21 | | 120 44 37 41 242 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total | 139 <u>21</u> 160 | 12 | 7 3 10 | $\frac{17}{-4}$ | 7 2 9 | | $\frac{182}{31}$ |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | $ 128 \\ 10 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 147 \\ 455 $ | 3 0 0 <u>1</u> 36 | 16 0 0 16 52 | 5 0 0 5 54 | 6 0 0 <u>0</u> 6 36 | | 158 10 3 7 178 633 |
| | | | ISPOSIT | | | | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 62 51 8 28 <u>3</u> 152 | 6 10 1 7 <u>1</u> 25 | $ \begin{array}{r} 12\\2\\3\\7\\-2\\-26\end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 3\\10\\2\\10\\-0\\25\end{array} \end{array} $ | 5 0 11 -0 16 | | 88 73 14 63 <u>6</u> 244 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | 41 52 38 <u>6</u> 137 | 3 1 5 <u>0</u> 9 | 0 4 11 <u>0</u> 15 | 2 7 13 <u>1</u> 23 | 0 0 5 <u>0</u> 5 | | 46 64 72 7 189 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | 46 18 0 35 <u>32</u> 131 420 | 2 0 2 0 4 38 | 8 0 8 <u>4</u> 20 61 | 1 0 3 <u>4</u> 8 56 | 0 0 2 6 8 29 | | $57\\18\\0\\50\\46\\171\\604$ |
| | AG | E OF C | IVIL CA | SES PEN | DING | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 144 738 | 14 748 | 15 71% | 21 62% | 13 65% | | 207 71% |
| 7-12 Months | 38 19% | 3 16% | 4 19% | 6 18% | 3 15% | | 54 19% |
| 13-18 Months | 12 6% | 2 11% | 0 08 | 7 21% | 3 15% | | 24 88 |
| 19-24 Months | 1 18 | 0 0 응 | 1 5% | 0 0 응 | 1 5% | | 3 18 |
| Over 24 Months | 3 2% | 0 0 | 1 58 | 0 0응 | 0 8 | | 4 8 |
| TOTAL | | 19 luded : | | | | ations of case erted to this s | |

TWENTY-FOURTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Edwards | Hodgeman | Lane | Ness | Pawnee | Rush | | TOTAL |
|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|--|
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 39 1 6 <u>4</u> 50 | 9 1 0 <u>1</u> 11 | 6 1 6 <u>2</u> 15 | 10 2 5 4 21 | 30 11 4 <u>34</u> 79 | $ \begin{array}{r} 13\\ 4\\ 1\\ -2\\ -20\\ \end{array} $ | | 107 20 22 <u>47</u> 196 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total | 29 <u>3</u> 32 | 3 0 3 | $\begin{array}{r} 13\\ \underline{1}\\ \underline{14} \end{array}$ | 14 16 | 51 <u>9</u> 60 | $\frac{14}{15}$ | | 124 <u>16</u> 140 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | 17 0 1 18 100 | $ \begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - 0 \\ - 12 \\ 26 \end{array} $ | 33 1 0 1 35 64 | 8 2 0 0 10 47 | $ \begin{array}{r}1\\0\\-1\\-2\\141\end{array} $ | 5 0 0 | | 76 3 0 -3 82 418 |
| | | DISP | OSITIC | NS | | | | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 16 18 0 14 <u>0</u> 48 | 6 5 0 3 | 6 5 1 9 <u>2</u> 23 | 8 2 0 11 <u>1</u> 22 | 41 1 24 <u>1</u> 69 | 6 0 4 | | 84 37 3 65 <u>8</u> 197 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | $\begin{array}{r} 4\\11\\17\\-0\\32\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 4\\ 0\\ \hline 0\\ \hline 4 \end{array}$ | 0 6 9 0 15 | 6 10 1 <u>1</u> 18 | $ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 3\\ 27\\ \underline{1}\\ 43 \end{array} $ | 2 4 4 5 15 | | 24 38 58 <u>7</u> 127 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | 3 1 0 8 -30 42 122 | 2 0 5 <u>3</u> 10 28 | 4 2 0 19 <u>8</u> 33 71 | 3 1 2 <u>10</u> 16 56 | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - \frac{3}{3} \\ 115 \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -3 \\ -4 \\ 40 \end{array} $ | | 12 4 0 35 57 108 432 |
| | AGI | OF CIVI | L CASE | S PEI | NDING | | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 28 65% | 9 36% | 13 20% | 16 44% | 58 51% | 15 32% | | 139 42% |
| 7-12 Months | 4 9 왕 | 6 24号 | 6 9% | 7 19웅 | 22 19% | 6 13% | | 51 15% |
| 13-18 Months | 4 98 | 6 24% | 10 15% | 8 22% | 14 12% | 6 13% | | 48 15% |
| 19-24 Months | 1 2% | 1 48 | 12 18% | 1 3% | 11 10% | 8 17% | | 34 |
| Over 24 Months | 6 14% | 3 12% | 25 38% | 4 _11% | 9 88 | 12 26% | | 10% 59 _18% |
| TOTAL | 1 | | | | | | ons of cases w d to this syst | |

TWENTY-FIFTH DISTRICT CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Finney | Greeley | Hamilton | Kearny | Scott | Wichita | TOTAL |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | $164 \\ 29 \\ 31 \\ 36 \\ 260$ | 24 1 6 3 | $ \begin{array}{r} 19\\ 2\\ 4\\ -2\\ -27\\ \end{array} $ | 15 1 5 -2 23 | 25 10 0 7 42 | $ \begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ \underline{4} \\ \overline{20} \end{array} $ | 261 43 48 54 406 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total | 221 <u>36</u> 257 | 15 | 21 4 5 | $\frac{17}{3}$ | 31 | 20 21 | 325 <u>54</u> 379 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | 251 15 24 <u>6</u> 296 813 | 15 2 0 <u>1</u> 18 68 | 6 1 0 <u>6</u> 13 65 | $ \begin{array}{r} 61\\ 0\\ -2\\ \overline{63}\\ 106 \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 24\\ 0\\ 0\\ -24\\ 106 \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - 0 \\ - 12 \\ 53 \end{array} $ | 369 18 24 <u>15</u> 426 1,211 |
| | | DISPO | SITIONS | | | | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 87 51 6 84 <u>19</u> 247 | $ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 19 \\ \underline{1} \\ 26 \end{array} $ | 11 4 0 14 0 29 | 6 5 0 2 <u>5</u> 18 | 14 9 0 9 <u>2</u> 34 | 9 0 13 <u>3</u> 25 | 130 72 6 141 <u>30</u> 379 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | 69 64 92 <u>33</u> 258 | 5 4 6 0 15 | 7 8 13 0 28 | 8 6 7 0 21 | 16 10 6 0 32 | 7 0 19 <u>0</u> 26 | 112 92 143 <u>33</u> 380 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | 45 20 0 113 79 257 762 | 7 1 7 - <u>0</u> 15 56 | 3 3 0 2 <u>1</u> 9 66 | $ 19 \\ 0 \\ 31 \\ 25 \\ 75 \\ 114 $ | 3 0 8 <u>3</u> 14 80 | 4 0 7 <u>3</u> 14 | 81 24 0 168 <u>111</u> <u>384</u> 1,143 |
| | AGE | | CASES PEN | | | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 225 70% | 16 62% | 16 55% | 21 57% | 45 65% | 11 69% | 334 67% |
| 7-12 Months | 61 19% | 6 23% | 9 31% | 8 22% | 14 20% | 2 13% | 100 20% |
| 13-18 Months | 15 5% | 1 48. | 3 10% | 5 14% | 6 98 | 0 0 % | 30 68 |
| 19-24 Months | 8 3% | 3 12% | 0 0 웅 | 0) | 3 48 | 2 13% | 16 3% |
| Over 24 Months | 13 4% | 0 0% | 1 3% | 3 8% | 1 1% | 1 6% | 19 48 |
| TOTAL | 322 * Inclue | 26 led is an | 29 estimate /1/77 and 1 | 37 of termi | 69 nations | 16 of cases | 499 which |
| | | • | | | | - | |

TWENTY-SIXTH DISTRICT CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Grant | Haskell | Morton | Stanton | Stevens | Seward | TOTAL |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 30 3 7 <u>10</u> 50 | 41 1 7 <u>6</u> 55 | 28 1 <u>6</u> 36 | 21 3 4 <u>1</u> 29 | 25 5 4 <u>4</u> 38 | 104 19 11 <u>33</u> 166 | 249 31 34 <u>60</u> 374 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total | 50 <u>16</u> 66 | 23 | 14 | 19 5 24 | 31 | 177 27 204 | 314 59 373 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | $ \begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 104 \\ 220 \end{array} $ | $ 86 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ \overline{91} \\ 173 $ | 42 0 0 4 46 101 | 30 0 0 30 83 | $ \begin{array}{r} 66\\ 6\\ 0\\ -0\\ 72\\ 143 \end{array} $ | 168 45 8 <u>1</u> 222 592 | 492 57 9 <u>7</u> 565 1,312 |
| | | D | ISPOSIT: | IONS | | | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 14 6 0 19 <u>0</u> 39 | 17 7 20 <u>1</u> 45 | 19 2 0 15 <u>1</u> 37 | 9 3 1 14 <u>1</u> 28 | 9 4 2 16 <u>0</u> 31 | 50 26 3 51 <u>3</u> 133 | 118 48 6 135 <u>6</u> 313 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | $ \begin{array}{r} 17\\ 19\\ 35\\ \underline{1}\\ 72\end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 8\\ 2\\ 14\\ -0\\ -24 \end{array} $ | 3 1 12 0 16 | 3 1 17 <u>3</u> 24 | 7 5 20 0 32 | 34 58 95 <u>5</u> 192 | 72 86 193 <u>9</u> 360 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | $ \begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 58 \\ 34 \\ 105 \\ 216 \\ \end{array} $ | 17 1 43 <u>17</u> 79 148 | 10 1 20 9 40 93 | 2 0 15 5 22 74 | $ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 23 \\ \underline{12} \\ 49 \\ 112 \end{array} $ | $56 \\ 15 \\ 0 \\ 96 \\ 49 \\ 216 \\ 541$ | 106 21 3 255 <u>126</u> 511 1,184 |
| , | <u> </u> | AGE OF C | IVIL CAS | SES PEND | ING | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months | 59 62% 26 27% | 52 58% 20 22% | 27 64% 11 26% | 19 54% 12 34% | 43 37% 31 27% | 148 49% 68 22% | 348 51% 168 25% |
| 13-18 Months | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 29 | 25% 55 |
| 19-24 Months | 2 | 4% 13 | 5% 0 | 0% 2 | 12% 11 | 10% 28 | 8% 56 |
| Over 24 Months | 2% 2 2% | 14% 1 1% | 08 2 58 | 6% 2 6% | 10% 16 14% | 98 30 108 | 88 53 88 |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | of cases which |
| | we | re pendi | ng 7/1/ | 77 and n | ot conve | rted to | this system. |

TWENTY-SEVENTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

| \mathbf{F} | Ι | \mathbf{L} | Ι | N | GS | |
|--------------|---|--------------|---|---|----|--|
|--------------|---|--------------|---|---|----|--|

| | ************************************** | |
|--|---|---|
| | Reno | TOTAL |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 52 27 38 <u>280</u> 397 | 52 27 38 <u>280</u> 397 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total | 665 <u>68</u> 733 | 665 <u>68</u> 733 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | 1,010 72 45 <u>80</u> 1,207 2,337 | 1,010 72 45 80 1,207 2,337 |
| 101110/ 1122 - 22 | DISPOSITIONS | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | 122 63 5 65 9 264 | 122 63 5 65 9 264 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | $ 149 137 387 _1 \overline{174} $ | 149 137 387 <u>1</u> 674 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | 174 50 0 546 <u>481</u> 1,251 2,189 | 174 50 0 546 <u>481</u> 1,251 2,189 |
| | AGE OF CIVIL CASES PENDING | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 692 58% | 692 588 |
| 7-12 Months 13-18 Months | 299 25% 83 | 299 258 83 |
| 19-24 Months | 7% 39 | 78 39 |
| Over 24 Months | 38 89 78 | 38 89 78 |
| TOTAL . | 1,202 * Included is an estimate of ter were pending 7/1/77 and not co | minations of cases which |

TWENTY-EIGHTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Ottawa | Saline_ | TOTAL |
|-------------------------------|---------|---|--|
| REGULAR ACTIONS: | | | |
| Contract | 11 | 137 | 148 |
| Tort ¹ | 2 | 53 | 55 L48 |
| Real Property | 7 | 40 | 47 |
| Other ² | 0 | 19 | 19 |
| Total | 20 | 249 | 269 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: | | | |
| Marital Status ³ | 33 | 506 | 539 |
| Other | 3 | 99 | 102 |
| Total | 36 | 605 | 641 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ | | | |
| Contract | 3 | 421 | 424 |
| Tort ¹ | 0 | 26 | 26 |
| Real Property | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other ⁵ | | 5 | 5 |
| Total | 3 59 | 452 | 455 |
| TOTAL, All Filings | 59 | 1,306 | 1,365 |
| | | DISPOSITIC | DNS |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed | 7 | 99 | |
| Contested Court ⁶ | 5 | 33 | 106 |
| Tried By Jury | 0 | 8 | 38 |
| Uncontested | 6 | 61 | 8 6 7 |
| Other | 0 | 1 | ر ہ ۱ |
| Total | 18 | 202 | $\overline{220}$ |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: | | | 220 |
| Dismissed | 11 | 195 | 206 |
| Contested Court ⁶ | 4 | 105 | 109 |
| Uncontested | 17 | 295 | 312 |
| Other | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| Total | 32 | 601 | <u>633</u> |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ | | | |
| Dismissed | 0 | 88 | 88 |
| Contested Court | 0 | 36 | 36 |
| Tried By Jury | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uncontested | 0 | 201 | 201 |
| Other * | 0 | <u> 96 </u> | 96 |
| Total | 0 50 | 421 | 421 |
| TOTAL, All Disps. | | 1,224 | 1,274 |
| | AGE O | F CIVIL CASI | ES PENDING |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 24 | 392 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | 62% | 53% | 416 |
| 7-12 Months | 9 | 200 | 53% |
| | 23% | 27% | 209 278 |
| 13-18 Months | 3 | 99 | 27% |
| | 88 | 13% | 138 |
| 19-24 Months | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| Over 24 Months | 08 | 28 | 28 |
| Over 24 Months | 3 8용 | 39 | 42 |
| TOTAL | 39 | 58 | 5% |
| 101111 | | $\overline{746}$ | 785 timate of terminations of cases which |
| | were | ending 7/1/ | 77 and not converted to this system. |
| | | | and not converted to this system. |

Į

TWENTY-NINTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Wyandotte | TOTAL |
|--|---|--|
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total | 249 548 289 367 1,453 | 249 548 289 <u>367</u> 1,453 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total | 2,129 <u>558</u> 2,687 | 2,129 558 2,687 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings | 3,001 497 1,789 80 5,367 9,507 | 3,001 497 1,789 - 80 5,367 9,507 |
| | DISPOSITIONS | |
| REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total | $ \begin{array}{r} 421 \\ 550 \\ 36 \\ 293 \\ \underline{80} \\ 1,380 \end{array} $ | 421 550 36 293 <u>80</u> 1,380 |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total | 843 491 1,341 25 2,700 | 843 491 1,341 <u>25</u> 2,700 |
| LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. | 59725542,473 $1,0644,3938,473$ | 597 255 4 2,473 <u>1,064</u> 4,393 8,473 |
| | AGE OF CIVIL CASES PENDING | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 2,570 | 2,570 |
| 7-12 Months | 57% 1,163 | 57% 1,163 |
| 13-18 Months | 26% 280 | 26% 280 |
| 19-24 Months | 6% 222 | 6% 222 |
| Over 24 Months | 5% 250 | 5% 250 |
| TOTAL | <u>6</u> % <u>4,485</u> | 68 4,485 |
| | * Included is an estimate of te were pending 7/1/77 and not o | erminations of cases which |

•

FIRST DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Atchison | Leavenworth | | TOTAL |
|---|---|--|----|--|
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 99 181 0 280 | 164 279 <u>12</u> 455 | | 263 460 12 735 |
| | | DISPOSITIONS | | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals | 204 80 0 2 2 288 0 2 | 143 96 13 4 <u>3</u> 259 11 6 | | 347 176 13 6 <u>5</u> 547 11 |
| | ۷ | 0 | | 8 |
| | | CRIMINAL CASES PENDI | NG | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 37 54% | 127 44% | | 164 46% |
| 7-12 Months | 7 10% | 117 41% | | 124 35% |
| 13-18 Months | 21 30% | 35 12% | | 56 16% |
| 19-24 Months | 4 6% | 4 1% | | 8 2% |
| Over 24 Months | 0 % | 6 2% | | 6 8 |
| TOTAL | 69 | 289 | | 358 |
| | | | | |

J

CONTINUED 10F3

SECOND DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Jackson | Jefferson | Pottawatomie | Wabaunsee | TOTAL |
|--|--|---|---------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Felonies | 53 | 26 | 95 | 26 | 200 |
| Misdemeanors | 154 | 282 | 298 | 49 | 783 |
| Appeals ⁸ | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>4</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>4</u> |
| TOTAL | 207 | 308 | 397 | 75 | 987 |
| | | DISPOSI | TIONS | | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | 106 64 2 1 <u>5</u> 178 | $ \begin{array}{r} 36 \\ 243 \\ 7 \\ 3 \\ \underline{11} \\ 300 \end{array} $ | 943252792457 | 23 47 3 1 <u>1</u> 75 | 259 679 39 14 19 1,010 |
| Trial Convictions | 2 | 6 | 31 | 2 | 41 |
| Trial Acquittals | 1 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 12 |
| | AGE | OF CRIMINAL | CASES PENDING | <u>.</u> | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 24 | 28 | 19 | 10 | 81 |
| | 34% | 67% | 14% | 67% | 31% |
| 7-12 Months | 32 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 46 |
| | 45% | 1,4% | 58 | 7% | 18% |
| 13-18 Months | 10 | 7 | 106 | 1 | 124 |
| | 148 | 17% | 80% | 7% | 48% |
| 19-24 Months | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| | 4% | 2% | 0 % | 13% | 2% |
| Over 24 Months | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| | 3% | 8 | 0% | % | 1% |
| TOTAL | 71 | 42 | 132 | 15 | 260 |

THIRD DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Shawnee | | TOTAL |
|--|---|--------------------|--|
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 808 791 81 1,680 | | 808 791 <u>81</u> 1,680 |
| | DISI | POSITIONS | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | 440 773 140 80 255 1,688 | | 440 773 140 80 <u>255</u> 1,688 |
| Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals | 155 65 | | 155 65 |
| | AGE OF CRIM | INAL CASES PENDING | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 402 79% | | 402 79% |
| 7-12 Months | 78 15% | | 78 15% |
| 13-18 Months | 24 5% | | 24 5% |
| 19-24 Months | 2 .4% | | 2 .48 |
| Over 24 Months | 2 4% | | 2 4% |
| TOTAL | 508 | | 508 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

FOURTH DISTRICT

CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| · | Allen | Anderson | Coffey | Franklin | Osage | Woodson | TOTAL |
|--|---|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 626716145 | $ \begin{array}{r} 16\\109\\ \underline{1}\\126 \end{array} $ | 42 91 0 133 | 101 196 <u>3</u> 300 | 35 144 4 183 | 8 53 <u>0</u> 61 | 264 660 <u>24</u> 948 |
| | | DI | SPOSITI | ONS | | | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | $ \begin{array}{r} 37 \\ 69 \\ 11 \\ 3 \\ \frac{4}{124} \end{array} $ | 23 85 5 0 <u>5</u> 118 | 34 56 14 2 <u>3</u> 109 | 65 236 11 7 <u>1</u> 320 | 34 74 7 1 <u>6</u> 122 | 2 53 7 0 <u>2</u> 64 | 195 573 55 13 21 857 |
| Trial Convictions | 13 | 4 | 15 | 13 | 7 | 7 | 59 |
| Trial Acquittals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| | A | GE OF CRI | MINAL C | CASES PENI | DING | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 20 | 23 | 24 | 21 | 78 | 1 | 167 |
| | 36% | 59% | 62% | 28% | 61% | 88 | 48% |
| 7-12 Months | 18 | 12 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 58 |
| | 32% | 31% | 36% | 1% | 10% | 0% | 17% |
| 13-18 Months | 18 | 3 | 1 | 54 | 24 | 11 | 111 |
| | 32% | 8% | 3% | 71% | 19% | 92% | 32% |
| 19-24 Months | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| | 0% | 0왕 | 0% | 0 | 8% | 0 % | 3% |
| Over 24 Months | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| | 8 | 3% | 8 | 8 | 8 | 0% | 1% |
| TOTAL | 56 | 39 | 39 | 76 | 128 | 12 | 350 |

FIFTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Chase | Lyon | | | TOTAL |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|---|---|
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 8 34 <u>0</u> 42 | 128 187 <u>21</u> 336 | | | 136 221 <u>21</u> 378 |
| | | DISPOS | ITIONS | | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other - TOTAL | 6 41 0 <u>1</u> 49 | 69 120 3 10 7 209 | | | 75 161 4 10 $\frac{8}{258}$ |
| Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals | 1 0 | 12 1 | | | 13 1 |
| | AGE C | OF CRIMINA | L CASES PENDIN | G | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 5 56% | 87 39% | | | 92 39% |
| 7-12 Months | 1 11% | 58 26% | | | 59 25% |
| 13-18 Months | 1 11% | 71 31% | | | 72 31% |
| 19-24 Months | 1 11% | 2 1% | | | 3 1% |
| Over 24 Months | 1 _11% | 8 4% | | | 9 4 |
| TOTAL | 9 | 226 | | | 235 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

SIXTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

1

FILINGS

| <u></u> | | Bourbon | Linn | Miami | | TOTAL |
|----------|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------|---|
| | Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 61 113 <u>2</u> 176 | 57 169 2 228 | 81985184 | | 199 380 <u>9</u> 588 |
| <u> </u> | | | DIS | POSITIC | ONS | |
| | Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | $ \begin{array}{r} 68\\ 85\\ 16\\ 5\\ 9\\ \overline{183}\\ \end{array} $ | 51 120 3 1 3 $-\overline{178}$ | 81 74 14 <u>5</u> 175 | | 200 279 33 7 <u>17</u> 536 |
| | Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals | 18 3 | 2 2 | 14 1 | | 34 6 |
| | 6 Mos. Or Less | AGE | OF CRIM | IINAL CA | ASES PENDING | 76 |
| | | 30% | 35% | 698 | | 43% |
| | 7-12 Months | 15 32% | 43 55% | 6 128 | , | 64 36% |
| | 13-18 Months | 18 38% | 8 10∛ | 7 148 | | 33 19% |
| | 19-24 Months | 0 0왕 | 0 0% | 1 28 | | 1 1% |
| | Over 24 Months | 0 8 | 0 8 | 2 4% | | 2 1% |
| | TOTAL | 47 | 78 | 51 | | 176 |
| | | | | | | |

SEVENTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Douglas | 'TOTAL |
|--|---|---|
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | $ \begin{array}{r} 184\\ 252\\ \underline{9}\\ \overline{445} \end{array} $ | 184 252 <u>9</u> 445 |
| | DISPOSITIONS | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | 216 221 34 16 $\frac{5}{492}$ | 216 221 34 16 <u>5</u> 492 |
| Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals | 37 13 | 37 13 |
| | AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING | 3 |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 109 36% | 109 36% |
| 7-12 Months | 37 12% | 37 128 |
| 13-18 Months | 82 27% | 82 27१ |
| 19-24 Months | 68 23% | 6 8 2 3 ୧ |
| Over 24 Months | 5 2% | 5 2१ |
| TOTAL | 301 | 301 |
| | | |
| | | |

×.

EIGHTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Dickinson | Geary | Marion | Morris | TOTAL |
|--|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 95 239 <u>3</u> 337 | $ \begin{array}{r}128\\145\\-\frac{7}{280}\end{array} $ | 25 142 -3 170 | 24 83 <u>1</u> 108 | 272 609 <u>14</u> 895 |
| | | DISPOS | ITIONS | | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | 194 129 19 2 17 361 | 254 97 9 7 <u>56</u> 423 | 18 149 1 0 4 172 1 7 1 | 28 37 4 0 <u>10</u> 79 | 494 412 33 9 <u>87</u> 1,035 |
| Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals | 18 3 | 10 6 | l O | 4 0 | 33 9 |
| | AGE OF | CRIMINA | AL CASES P | PENDING | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 27 35% | 38 51% | 11 55% | 18 39% | 94 43% |
| 7-12 Months | 15 19% | 17 23% | 3 15% | 19 41% | 54 25% |
| 13-18 Months | 11 14% | 16 22% | 6 30% | 9 20% | 42 19% |
| 19-24 Months | 14 18% | 3 48 | 0 08 | 0 08 | 17 8% |
| Over 24 Months | 11 _14% | 0 8 | 0 8 | 0 8 | 11 |
| TOTAL | 78 | 74 | 20 | 46 | 218 |
| | | | | | |

NINTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| والمتعاريف والمرابعة والمرابعة والمتعاريفة والمرابعة و | Harvey | McPherson | | TOTAL |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|------------|--|
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 111 123 <u>9</u> 243 | 85 130 <u>2</u> 217 | | 196 253 <u>11</u> 460 |
| | | DISPOSITIONS | <u>S</u> | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL Trial Convictions | 112 138 5 3 263 7 3 | 53 103 1 3 <u>19</u> 179 3 1 | | $ \begin{array}{r} 165 \\ 241 \\ 6 \\ 8 \\ 22 \\ \overline{442} \\ 10 \\ 4 \end{array} $ |
| Trial Acquittals | 3 | ٦. | | 4 |
| | AGE | OF CRIMINAL CASI | ES PENDING | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 46 40% | 67 60% | | 113 50% |
| 7-12 Months | 23 20% | 21 19% | | 44 20% |
| 13-18 Months | 28 25% | 17 15% | | 45 20% |
| 19-24 Months | 16 14% | 3 3% | | 19 8% |
| Over 24 Months | 1 1% | 4 48 | | 5 8 |
| TOTAL | 114 | 112 | | 226 |

÷

TENTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | | Johnson | | TOTAL |
|---------|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| | Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 932 462 <u>194</u> 1,588 | | 932 462 194 1,588 |
| | | | DISPOSITIONS | |
| | Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | 773 641 36 57 <u>23</u> 1,530 | | 773 641 36 57 <u>23</u> 1,530 |
| | Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals | 66 27 | | 66 27 |
| <u></u> | N | AGE O | F CRIMINAL CASES PENDING | |
| | 6 Mos. Or Less | 349 55% | | 349 55% |
| | 7-12 Months | 104 16% | ** | 104 16% |
| | 13-18 Months | 93 15% | | 93 15% |
| | 19-24 Months | 53 - 8% | | 53 8% |
| | Over 24 Months | 36 6% | | 36 6% |
| | TOTAL | 635 | | 635 |
| | | | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | |
| | | 1 | | |

ELEVENTH DISTRICT - CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Cherokee | Crawford | Labette | Neosho | Wilson | TOTAL |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 88 143 <u>0</u> 231 | $ \begin{array}{r} 175 \\ 306 \\ \underline{2} \\ \overline{483} \end{array} $ | 90 168 <u>2</u> 260 | 53 126 <u>0</u> 179 | 41 64 <u>6</u> 111 | 447 807 <u>10</u> 1,264 |
| | | DISPO | SITIONS | | | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL Trial Convictions | 35 76 1 0 <u>13</u> 125 1 | 154 250 1 7 3 415 5 | $ \begin{array}{r} 168 \\ 103 \\ 19 \\ 13 \\ \underline{2} \\ 305 \\ 22 \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 38 \\ 104 \\ 7 \\ 3 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 159 \\ 7 \end{array} $ | 59 47 7 <u>16</u> 132 8 | 454 580 35 26 <u>41</u> 1,136 43 |
| Trial Acquittals | 0 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 18 |
| | AGE | OF CRIMIN | AL CASES | PENDI | NG | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 74 478 | 53 26% | 29 43% | 22 478 | 14 93% | 192 39% |
| 7-12 Months | 56 35% | 75 37% | 13 19% | 21 45% | 0 0 % | 165 34% |
| 13-18 Months | 25 16% | 75 37% | 24 36% | 3 6% | 1 7왕 | 128 26% |
| 19-24 Months | 2 18 | 0 08 | 0 0 용 | 1 2% | 0 0% | 3 1% |
| Over 24 Months | 1 1% | 1 1% | 1 2% | 0 8 | 0 % | 3 1% |
| TOTAL | 158 | 204 | 67 | 47 | 15 | 491 |

TWELFTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Cloud | Jewell | Lincoln | Mitchell | Republic | Washington | TOTAL |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 60 139 <u>.2</u> 201 | $ \begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 77 \\ \underline{1} \\ \overline{95} \end{array} $ | 24 75 <u>1</u> 100 | 33 91 0 124 | 21 35 <u>0</u> 56 | 17 59 | 172 476 4 652 |
| | | ••••••• | DISPOSIT | IONS | | | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | 35 97 15 2 <u>34</u> 183 | 9 76 0 <u>0</u> 85 | 18 86 3 1 <u>0</u> 108 | $ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 101 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ \overline{116} \end{array} $ | 12 29 4 1 0 46 | 49 17 2 3 <u>1</u> 72 | $ \begin{array}{r} 133 \\ 406 \\ 26 \\ 7 \\ \underline{38} \\ \overline{610} \end{array} $ |
| Trial Convictions | 13 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 21 |
| Trial Acquittals | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 12 |
| | A | GE OF C | CRIMINAL | CASES PEN | IDING | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 24 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 61 |
| | 37% | 71% | 41% | 73% | 15% | 30% | 40% |
| 7-12 Months | 19 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 38 |
| | 29% | 218 | 14% | 7% | 26% | 50% | 25% |
| 13-18 Months | 20 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 38 |
| | 31% | 7% | 278 | 13% | 26% | 20% | 25% |
| , 19-24 Months | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 11 |
| | 3% | 0용 | 18% | 7% | 15% | 0 왕 | 7% |
| Over 24 Months | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | _198 | 0% | 3% |
| TOTAL | 65 | 14 | 22 | 15 | 27 | 10 | 153 |

THIRTEENTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

۰.

FILINGS

| | Butler | Chautauqua | Elk | Greenwood | TOTAL |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 223 238 8 469 | 42 54 <u>0</u> 96 | 13 35 0 48 | 61 237 <u>1</u> 299 | 339 564 <u>9</u> 912 |
| | | DISPOSIT | IONS | | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | 93 312 8 7 <u>8</u> 428 | 18 21 17 2 <u>6</u> 64 | 7 33 2 0 <u>1</u> 43 | 27 221 2 13 10 273 | 145 587 29 22 <u>25</u> 808 |
| Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals | 12 3 | 9 , 10 | 2 0 | 10 5 | 33 18 |
| | AGE | OF CRIMINAL | CASES | PENDING | f |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 72 48% | 24 45% | 6 55% | 28 378 | 130 45% |
| 7-12 Months | 39 26% | 14 26% | 2 18% | 24 328 | 79 278 |
| 13-18 Months | 30 20% | 8 15% | 3 27% | 22 29% | 63 228 |
| 19-24 Months | 6 4% | 0 0% | 0 0% | 0 0 % | 6 2 |
| Over 24 Months | 3 % | 7 _13% | 0 9 | 2 38 | 12 4% |
| TOTAL | 150 | 53 | 11 | 76 | 290 |

FOURTEENTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Montgomery | TOTAL |
|---|--|---|
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 276 218 <u>23</u> 517 | 276 218 <u>23</u> 517 |
| | DISPOSITIONS | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL Trial Convictions | $ 174 299 . 3 9 \frac{41}{526} 8 $ | 174 299 3 9 <u>41</u> 526 8 |
| Trial Acquittals | 4 | 4 |
| | AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 70 69% | 70 69왕 |
| 7-12 Months | 14 14% | 14 14% |
| 13-18 Months | 9 9 % | 9 9 % |
| 19-24 Months | 6 6% | େ ୧୫ |
| Over 24 Months | 3 3& | 3 |
| TOTAL | 102 | 102 |
| | | - ž |
| | | |
| | | |

FIFTEENTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Graham | Rooks | Sheridan | Sherman | Thomas | | TOTAL |
|--|--|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------|---|---|---|
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 23 66 <u>0</u> 89 | 37 70 0 107 | 9 14 <u>0</u> 23 | 35 219 7 261 | 50 197 <u>0</u> 247 | | 154 566 7 727 |
| | | <u>D</u> : | ISPOSITION | 5 | | | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | $ \begin{array}{r} 24\\ 46\\ 0\\ -2\\ 72\\ \end{array} $ | 23 86 0 5 1 115 | $ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 13 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ -27 \end{array} $ | 59 207 4 1 2 273 | $ \begin{array}{r} 68 \\ 210 \\ 7 \\ 0 \\ \underline{3} \\ \overline{288} \end{array} $ | | 184 562 11 6 <u>12</u> 775 |
| Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals | 0 | 5 0 | 0 0 | 4 1 | 7 0 | | 16 1 |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 8 | E OF CR | IMINAL CASI | ES PENDIN 15 | <u>G</u> 11 | | 51 |
| | 318 | 50% | 100% | 19% | 318 | | 308 |
| 7-12 Months | 15 58% | 3 11% | 0 0% | 5 6% | 21 58% | | 44 26% |
| 13-18 Months | 2 8% | 10 36% | 0 0 | 48 628 | 4 11% | • | 64 378 |
| 19-24 Months | 0 0% | 0 0 % | 0 0 % | 1 18 | 0 08 | | 1 1% |
| Over 24 Months | 1 4% | 1 48 | 0 08 | 9 12% | 0 0% | | 11 6% |
| TOTAL | 26 | 28 | 3 | 78 | 36 | | 171 |
| | | | | | | | |

ni. Shine ya shi ·}-

SIXTEENTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

Ť

| | Clark | Comanche | Ford | Gray | Kiowa | Meade | | TOTAL | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 8 38 <u>0</u> 46 | 5 14 <u>0</u> 19 | 96 133 <u>0</u> 229 | 25 49 74 | 23 87 <u>0</u> 110 | 25 61 0 86 | | $ \begin{array}{r} 182 \\ 382 \\ \underline{0} \\ \overline{564} \end{array} $ | | | |
| | | DISPOSITIONS | | | | | | | | | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | 4 36 0 0 | 1 12 0 0 0 | 74 170 1 28 275 | 11 57 0 0 1 69 | 18 77 0 0 - <u>3</u> 98 | 11 77 2 0 <u>1</u> 91 | | 119 429 3 2 33 586 | | | |
| Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals | 0 0 | 0 0 | 3 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 2 0 | | 5 0 | | | |
| | AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 2 20% | 4 368 | 22 17% | 7 30% | 13 35% | 1 14% | | 49 238 | | | |
| 7-12 Months | 5 50% | 3 27% | 15 12% | 7 30% | 17 46% | 1 14% | | 48 22% | | | |
| 13-18 Months | 2 20% | 4 36% | 89 70% | 4 17% | 7 19% | 2 29% | | 108 50% | | | |
| 19-24 Months | 0 0용 | 0 0% | 1 18 | 0 | 0 0웅 | 3 43% | | 4 2% | | | |
| Over 24 Months | 1 _ <u>10</u> % | 0 9 | 0 8 | 5 8 | 0 9 | 0 8 | | 6 38 | | | |
| TOTAL | 10 | 11 | 127 | 23 | 37 | 7 | | 215 | | | |

.

102

. مر

SEVENTEENTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Cheyenne | Decatur | Norton | Osborne | Phillips | Rawlins | Smith | TOTAL | |
|---|---|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | $ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 6\\ -1\\ 19 \end{array} $ | 12 16 <u>1</u> 29 | 26 68 0 94 | 12 18 2 32 | 13 57 <u>0</u> 70 | 21 55 <u>0</u> 76 | 15 61 76 | $ \begin{array}{r} 111\\ 281\\ \underline{4}\\ \overline{396}\end{array} $ | |
| | | DISI | POSITION | IS | | | | | |
| Dismissals ⁹ | 0 | 11 | 9 | 5 | 12 | 18 | 25 | 80 | |
| Guilty Pleas | 13 | 13 | 51 | 28 | 66 | 44 | 54 | 269 | |
| Court Trials | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 14 | |
| Jury Trials | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Other | <u>1</u> | <u>0</u> | 2 | <u>0</u> | <u>1</u> | <u>3</u> | 0 | <u>7</u> | |
| TOTAL | 18 | 24 | 65 | 34 | 82 | 66 | 81 | 370 | |
| Trial Convictions | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 13 | |
| Trial Acquittals | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| | AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING | | | | | | | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 1 | 4 | 23 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 5 | 48 | |
| | 14% | 31% | 35% | 33% | 7% | 71% | 71% | 378 | |
| 7-12 Months | 2 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 26 | |
| | 29% | 46% | 12% | 33% | 14% | 29% | 14% | 20왕 | |
| 13-18 Months | 3 | 3 | 14 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 34 | |
| | 43% | 23% | 21% | 33% | 79% | 0% | 14% | 26% | |
| 19-24 Months | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | |
| | 0% | 08 | 32% | 0% | 0% | 0 % | 0 응 | 16% | |
| Over 24 Months | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| | _14% | % | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 1% | |
| TOTAL | 7 | 13 | 66 | 6 | 14 | 17 | 7 | 130 | |

EIGHTEENTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Sedgwick | TOTAL |
|--|---|---|
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 1,901 410 <u>38</u> 2,349 | 1,901 410 <u>38</u> 2,349 |
| | DISPOSITIONS | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | 751 1,323 5 116 <u>351</u> 2,546 | 751 1,323 5 116 <u>351</u> 2,546 |
| Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals | 59 62 | 59 62 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 439 678 | 439 67% |
| 7-12 Months | 122 19% | 122 19% |
| 13-18 Months | 69 11% | 69 11% |
| 19-24 Months | 21 3% | 21 3% |
| Over 24 Months | 6 1% | 6 1% |
| TOTAL | 657 | 657 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

104

.

NINETEENTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Barber | Cowley | Harper | Kingman | Pratt | Sumner | TOTAL | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 20 34 55 | $ \begin{array}{r} 191 \\ 285 \\ 0 \\ \overline{476} \end{array} $ | 44 136 <u>2</u> 182 | 22 121 1 144 | 78 183 <u>0</u> 261 | 75 229 <u>3</u> 307 | 430 988 7 1,425 | |
| | | D | SPOSIT: | LONS | | | | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | 19 28 2 0 <u>1</u> 50 | $ \begin{array}{r} 167 \\ 251 \\ 6 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ \overline{430} \end{array} $ | 43 74 32 0 0 149 | 66 82 9 4 4 165 | $ \begin{array}{r} 64\\ 201\\ 4\\ 3\\ 2\\ 274\\ \end{array} $ | 151 216 0 1 <u>2</u> 370 | $510 \\ 852 \\ 53 \\ 9 \\ 14 \\ 1,438$ | |
| Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals | 1 1 | 4 3 | 32 0 | 5 8 | 7 0 | 1 0 | 50 12 | |
| | AGI | AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING | | | | | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 5 23% | 65 748 | 33 49% | 19 30왕 | 16 31% | 27 53% | 165 48% | |
| 7-12 Months | 9 41% | 14 16% | 17 25% | 14 228 | 2 48 | 3 6% | 59 178 | |
| 13-18 Months | 4 18% | 9 10% | 15 22% | 17 278 | 32 63१ | 21 41% | 98 298 | |
| 19-24 Months | 3 | 0 0% | 2 3% | 10 16% | 1 28 | 0 08 | 16 5% | |
| Over 24 Months | 1 5% | 0 9 | 1 ?% | 3 5% | 0 8 | 0 8 | 5 2% | |
| TOTAL | 22 | 88 | б8 | 63 ⁻ | 51 | 51 | 343 | |

105

 $\sim \infty$

TWENTIETH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | | Barton | Ellsworth | Rice | Russell | Stafford | TOTAL | | |
|---------|--|---|--|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| | Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 139 278 <u>14</u> 431 | 28 167 <u>1</u> 196 | 59 190 <u>8</u> 257 | 51 47 <u>5</u> 103 | 37 72 0 109 | 314 754 <u>28</u> 1,096 | | |
| <u></u> | | | DISPO | SITION | S | | | | |
| ·. | Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | $ \begin{array}{r} 102 \\ 383 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \underline{30} \\ 515 \\ \end{array} $ | 57 136 1 0 <u>2</u> 196 | $ \begin{array}{r} 73 \\ 149 \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ \overline{234} \end{array} $ | 22 59 1 3 5 90 | 25 67 2 0 0 94 | 279794143391,129 | | |
| | Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals | 0 0 | 1 0 | 9 1 | 2 2 | 2 0 | 14 3 | | |
| <u></u> | AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING | | | | | | | | |
| | 6 Mos. Or Less | 4 5% | 14 38% | 32 35% | 20 24% | 23 728 | 93 29% | | |
| | 7-12 Months | 10 13% | 13 35% | 35 38% | 14 17% | 5 16% | 77 248 | | |
| | 13-18 Months | 53 71% | 10 27% | 25 27% | 50 59% | 3 98 | 141 448 | | |
| | 19-24 Months | 5 78 | 0 0% | 0 08 | 1 1% | 1 3% | 7 28 | | |
| | Over 24 Months | 3 9 | 0 8 | 0 8 | 0 8 | 0 8 | 3 1% | | |
| | TOTAL | 75 | 37 | 92 | 85 | 32 | 321 | | |

TWENTY-FIRST DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Clay | Riley | | | TOTAL | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|-------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 39 38 <u>3</u> 80 | 186 432 <u>1</u> 619 | | | 225 470 <u>4</u> 699 | | | |
| | | DISPOSI | TIONS | | | | | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | 8 47 5 0 <u>0</u> 60 | 176 496 6 7 2 $\overline{687}$ | | · · · | 184 543 11 7 <u>2</u> 747 | | | |
| Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals | 4 1 | 11 2 | | | 15 3 | | | |
| | AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING | | | | | | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 16 42% | 31 59% | | | 47 528 | | | |
| 7-12 Months | 7 18% | 11 21% | | | 18 20% | | | |
| 13-18 Months | 12 32% | 8 15% | | | 20 22% | | | |
| 19-24 Months | 1 3% | 3 6% | | | .4 4 % | | | |
| Over 24 Months | 2 5% | 0 8 | | | 2 2% | | | |
| TOTAL | 38 | 53 | | | 91 | | | |

TWENTY-SECOND DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Brown | Doniphan | Marshall | Nemaha | TOTAL | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Felonies | 77 175 0 252 | 23 | 38 | 28 | 166 | | | | | |
| Misdemeanors | | 74 | 129 | 23 | 401 | | | | | |
| Appeals ⁸ | | <u>2</u> | <u>1</u> | <u>1</u> | <u>4</u> | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | 99 | 168 | 52 | 571 | | | | | |
| | DISPOSITIONS | | | | | | | | | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | 66 119 8 6 <u>1</u> 200 | 12 71 5 1 <u>3</u> 92 | 51 84 2 0 <u>16</u> 153 | $ 13 \\ 32 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ \underline{1} \\ 54 $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 142 \\ 306 \\ 22 \\ 8 \\ \underline{21} \\ 499 \end{array} $ | | | | | |
| Trial Convictions | 10 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 22 | | | | | |
| Trial Acquittals | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 | | | | | |
| | AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 51 | 11 | 17 | 9 | 88 | | | | | |
| | 53% | 55% | 50% | 43% | 51% | | | | | |
| 7-12 Months | 29 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 49 | | | | | |
| | 30% | 20웅 | 32% | 24% | 29% | | | | | |
| 13-18 Months | 17 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 30 | | | | | |
| | 18% | 25% | 18% | 10% | 17% | | | | | |
| 19-24 Months | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | | | | | |
| | 0% | 0 % | 0% | 10% | 18 | | | | | |
| Over 24 Months | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | | | | | |
| | 8 | 8 | 8 | _148 | 2% | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 97 | 20 | 34 | 21 | 172 | | | | | |

TWENTY-THIRD DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Ellis | Gove | Logan | Trego | Wallace | TOTAL | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Felonies | 93 | 10 | 13 | 26 | 8 | 150 | | | |
| Misdemeanors | 168 | 26 | 14 | 129 | 7 | 344 | | | |
| Appeals ⁸ | <u>1</u> | 0 | <u>1</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>2</u> | | | |
| TOTAL | 262 | 36 | 28 | 155 | 15 | 496 | | | |
| | | D | ISPOSITI | IONS | | | | | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | 157 127 1 4 <u>3</u> 292 | 7 26 0 3 36 | 1 22 2 0 <u>2</u> 27 | 29 134 0 <u>3</u> 166 | 5 3 0 0 <u>0</u> 8 | 199 312 3 4 <u>11</u> 529 | | | |
| Trial Convictions | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | | | |
| Trial Acquittals | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | | | |
| | AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING | | | | | | | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 19 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 34 | | | |
| | 33% | 20웅 | 17% | 28% | 33% | 298 | | | |
| 7-12 Months | 13 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 31 | | | |
| | 22% | 13% | 17% | 36% | 56% | 26% | | | |
| 13-18 Months | 22 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 47 | | | |
| | 38% | 67% | 50응 | 36% | 0 | 40% | | | |
| 19-24 Months | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | | | |
| | 78 | 0% | 8% | 0응 | 0 % | 4% | | | |
| Over 24 Months | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | | | |
| | 8 | 8 | 8% | 8 | _11% | 2% | | | |
| TOTAL | 58 | 15 | 12 | 25 | 9 | 119 | | | |

7

TWENTY-FOURTH DISTRICT

CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Edwards | Hodgeman | Lane | Ness | Pawnee | Rush | | TOTAL | |
|--|---|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | $\begin{array}{r} 22\\22\\-1\\-45\end{array}$ | 8 19 <u>0</u> 27 | 20 31 0 51 | 15 21 | $ \begin{array}{r} 62\\225\\\underline{1}\\288\end{array} $ | 17 73 <u>0</u> 90 | | $ \begin{array}{r} 144\\391\\\underline{4}\\\overline{539}\end{array} $ | |
| | | DISPO | SITIO | NS | | | | | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | 11 25 1 0 <u>3</u> 40 | 4 23 5 1 | $ \begin{array}{r} 18\\9\\1\\0\\-7\\-35\end{array} \end{array} $ | 8 23 1 1 <u>0</u> 33 | 65 88 0 0 8 161 | 8 44 0 2 4 58 | | $ \begin{array}{r} 114\\ 212\\ 8\\ 4\\ \underline{22}\\ \overline{360} \end{array} $ | |
| Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals | 1 0 | 5 1 | 1 0 | 1 1 | 0 0 | 2 0 | | 10 2 | |
| | AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING | | | | | | | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 14 50% | 3 75왕 | 10 44% | 4 20% | 96 57% | 29 40% | | 156 49% | |
| 7-12 Months | 4 14% | 0 0% | 9 398 | 5 25% | 54 32% | 13 18% | | 85 27% | |
| 13-18 Months | 9 32% | 1 25% | 4 17% | 6 30% | 18 11% | 18 25% | | 56 18% | |
| 19-24 Months | 0 0% | 0 0 % | 0 0 % | 1 5% | 0 08 | 2 3% | | 3 1% | |
| Over 24 Months | 1 48 | 0 % | 0 8 | 4 8 | 1 1% | 10 14% | | 16 5% | |
| TOTAL | 28 | 4 | 23 | 20 | 169 | 72 | | 316 | |

TWENTY-FIFTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

| | Finney | Greeley | Hamilton | Kearny | Scott | Wichita | TOTAL | |
|--|--|------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| Felonies | 210 | 13 | 16 | 9 | 33 | 21 | 302 | |
| Misdemeanors | 522 | 19 | 28 | 25 | 162 | 20 | 776 | |
| Appeals ⁸ | <u>6</u> | <u>0</u> | 0 | 0 | <u>0</u> | <u>3</u> | <u>9</u> | |
| TOTAL | 738 | 32 | 44 | 34 | 195 | 44 | 1,087 | |
| | | DIS | POSITIONS | 5 | | | | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | 245 522 19 3 <u>3</u> 792 | 19 20 2 0 | 16 26 1 1 7 51 | $\begin{array}{r} 6\\ 23\\ 1\\ 0\\ -\underline{3}\\ 33 \end{array}$ | 75 118 8 0 -2 203 | 22 24 0 0 | $ \begin{array}{r} 383 \\ 733 \\ 31 \\ 4 \\ \underline{15} \\ 1,166 \end{array} $ | |
| Trial Convictions | 17 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 28 | |
| Trial Acquittals | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | |
| | AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING | | | | | | | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 51 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 18 | 8 | 86 | |
| | 65% | 20% | 38% | 46% | 39% | 89% | 548 | |
| 7-12 Months | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 29 | |
| | 9왕 | 60% | 13% | 9% | 35% | 11% | 18% | |
| 13-18 Months | 21 | 1 . | 4 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 41 | |
| | 27% | 20% | 50% | 36% | 24% | 0 % | 26% | |
| 19-24 Months | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | |
| | 0% | 08 | 0% | 9% | 2% | 0 % | 1% | |
| Over 24 Months | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 0% | |
| TOTAL | 79 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 46 | 9 | 158 | |

TWENTY-SIXTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| • • • | Grant | : Haskell | Morton | Stanton | Stevens | Seward | | TOTAL | |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Felonies Misdemeanor Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | rs 31 107 <u>0</u> 138 | 18 38 <u>0</u> 56 | 11 12 0 23 | 12 49 <u>0</u> 61 | 35 43 0 78 | 79 59 <u>10</u> 148 | | 186 308 <u>10</u> 504 | |
| | | Ī | DISPOSIT | IONS | | | | | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Plea Court Trial Jury Trials Other TOTAL | ls 119 .s 2 | 7 25 4 1 | 7 7 2 0 <u>0</u> 16 | 25 45 5 0 1 76 | $ \begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 26 \\ 14 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ -46 \end{array} $ | 76 38 36 1 0 151 | | $ \begin{array}{r} 136 \\ 260 \\ 63 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ \overline{467} \end{array} $ | |
| Trial Convi Trial Acqui | | 4 1 | 2 0 | 5 0 | 14 0 | 34 3 | | 59 7 | |
| | AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING | | | | | | | | |
| 6 Mos. Or I | ess 8 57% | 5 16% | 7 548 | 5 22% | 24 50% | 32 448 | | 81 40% | |
| 7-12 Months | े । 7१ | 19 59% | 0 0 응 | 4 178 | 16 33% | 8 11% | | 48 248 | |
| 13-18 Month | ls 2 14% | 3 9% | 6 46% | 14 61% | 5 10% | 19 26% | | 49 248 | |
| 19-24 Month | us 2 14% | 5 16% | 0 0 % | 0 0 용 | 1 2% | 7 10% | | 15 78 | |
| Over 24 Mor | ths 1 | 0 8 | 0 08 | . 0 08 | 2 4% | 6 88 | | 9 5% | |
| TOTAL | 14 | 32 | 13 | 23 | 48 | 72 | | 202 | |

TWENTY-SEVENTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | Reno | | TOTAL |
|--|--|---------------------------|---|
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 295 297 114 706 | | 295 297 <u>114</u> 706 |
| | | DISPOSITIONS | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | $ 149 \\ 365 \\ 32 \\ 18 \\ 94 \\ \overline{658} $ | | $ \begin{array}{r} 149 \\ 365 \\ 32 \\ 18 \\ \underline{94} \\ \overline{658} \end{array} $ |
| Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals | 44 6 | | 44 6 |
| | AGE | OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 148 71% | | 148 718 |
| 7-12 Months | 44 21% | | 44 21% |
| 13-18 Months | 14 78 | | 14 78 |
| 19-24 Months | 2 1% | | 2 18 |
| Over 24 Months | 2 1% | | 2 1% |
| TOTAL | 210 | | 210 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

113

••

TWENTY-EIGHTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

| | | <u>F'1</u> | LINGS | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Ottawa | Saline | | TOTAL |
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 26 77 <u>0</u> 103 | 283 683 <u>5</u> 971 | | 309 760 <u>5</u> 1,074 |
| | | DISPO | SITIONS | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | 18 72 1 <u>5</u> 97 | 624 517 27 6 20 1,194 | | 642 589 28 7 25 1,291 |
| Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals | 2 0 | 31 2 | | 33 |
| | AGE | OF CRIMIN | IAL CASES PENDING | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 10 71% | 91 29% | | 101 30% |
| 7-12 Months | 1 7% | 27 9% | | 28 88 |
| 13-18 Months | 3 21% | 99 318 | | 102 31% |
| 19-24 Months | 0 0% | 96 30용 | | 96 29% |
| Over 24 Months | 0 8 | 5 2% | | 5 •2% |
| TOTAL | 14 | 318 | | 332 |
| | - | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

TWENTY-NINTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

• ... * *n

115

| | Wyandotte | TOTAL |
|--|---|---|
| Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL | 742 129 <u>58</u> 929 | 742 129 <u>58</u> 929 |
| | DISPOSITIONS | |
| Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL | 432 402 45 105 <u>31</u> 1,015 | 432 402 45 105 <u>31</u> 1,015 |
| Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals | 114 36 | 114 36 |
| | AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING | |
| 6 Mos. Or Less | 205 61% | 205 61% |
| 7-12 Months | 75 22% | 75 22% |
| 13-18 Months | 41 12% | 41 12% |
| 19-24 Months | 10 3% | 10 3% |
| Over 24 Months | 8 2% | 8 8 |
| TOTAL | 339 | 339 |
| | | |
| | | |



FOOTNOTES TO CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CASE SUMMARY TABLES

- 1. Included in this category are: product liability cases; personal injury cases arising from auto accidents; medical malpractice actions; property damage suits, and all other torts.
- Included in this category are: personal property suits, small claims and tax appeals; actions under KSA 60-1507; habeas corpus actions; workmen's compensation cases; and all other regular civil actions excluding domestic matters.
- 3. This category includes divorce, annulment, and separate maintenance cases.
- "Limited Actions" are those involving claims of \$3,000 or less, filed under the Kansas Code of Civil Procedure for Limited Actions.
- 5. Included in this category are: personal property suits; appropriate small claim case appeals; and all other limited actions.
- 6. The definition of cases to be terminated with this type of disposition was changed July 1, 1977. Any case filed on or after that date was to be reported with a "contested court" disposition only if the case went to trial (both sides appearing to present testimony or evidence with the intent that the judge, or jury, will render a verdict). Prior to that date a case was considered "contested" if an answer was filed. Any case filed prior to July 1, 1977 was terminated under the previous system with its definitions. Therefore, this figure includes "contested" cases of both kinds and the "formal trial" count is somewhat less. The value of comparing this figure with previous years' is limited due to this definitional change.
- 7. Limited actions cases were reported under this system for the first time in FY 78. Cases pending on July 1, 1977 were not transferred due to the volume of work such a requirement would have generated. Consequently, the dispositions shown here have been adjusted to include those cases. Experience has indicated that the vast majority would have terminated within a year of their filing dates. Therefore, we have postulated that all those pending were terminated during the year.
- 8. This includes appeals both from municipal courts and from decisions of district magistrate judges.
- 9. This category includes any appeals dismissed.

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| F | ΊI | II. | NG | S |
|---|----|-----|----|---|
| | | | | |

| | | * | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Reckless Driving | Driving Under Influence | Fleeing Police | All Other | Total |
| <u>District 1</u> | | | | | |
| Atchison Leavenworth Total | 8 <u>8</u> 16 | $\begin{array}{r}11\\-72\\-83\end{array}$ | 2 <u>3</u> 5 | 2,044 <u>3,515</u> 5,559 | 2,065 <u>3,598</u> 5,663 |
| District 2 | | | | | |
| Jackson Jefferson Pottawatomie Wabaunsee Total | 5 9 14 <u>13</u> 41 | $ \begin{array}{r} 14\\ 12\\ 32\\ \underline{24}\\ 82 \end{array} $ | 6 1 4 <u>3</u> 14 | 2,065 2,656 2,537 5,494 12,752 | 2,090 2,678 2,587 <u>5,534</u> 12,889 |
| <u>District 3</u> | | | | | |
| Shawnee | 40 | 220 | 7 | 13,985 | 14,252 |
| District 4 | | | | | |
| Allen Anderson Coffey Franklin Osage Woodson Total | $4 \\ 6 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 7 \\ - 1 \\ 48$ | 17 5 20 13 27 18 100 | $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -3 \\ 3 \end{array} $ | 1,160 1,690 1,691 3,768 3,974 2,760 15,043 | 1,182 1,701 1,726 3,797 4,008 2,780 15,194 |
| District 5 | | | | | |
| Chase Lyon Total | 4 <u>15</u> 19 | 27 <u>97</u> 124 | 0 | 1,965 <u>7,998</u> 9,963 | 1,996 <u>8,114</u> 10,110 |
| District 6 | | | | | |
| Bourbon Linn Miami Total | 2 6 <u>9</u> 17 | $ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 24\\ \underline{47}\\ \overline{83} \end{array} $ | $0\\1\\-4\\5$ | 1,798 1,407 <u>3,607</u> 6,812 | 1,812 1,438 <u>3,667</u> 6,917 |
| District 7 | | | | | |
| Douglas | 10 | 88 | 4 | 5,840 | 5,942 |
| District 8 | | | | | |
| Dickinson Geary Marion Morris Total | 14 18 2 <u>5</u> 39 | 34 34 13 <u>11</u> 92 | 1 0 1 <u>2</u> 4 | 2,336 3,995 2,066 <u>833</u> 9,230 | 2,385 4,047 2,082 <u>851</u> 9,365 |
| | | | | | |

TRAFFIC CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

.

| ı | Guilty Pleas | Bond Forfeitures | Dismissals | Trials <u>To Court</u> | Trials <u>By Jury</u> | Total |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| District 1 | | | | | | |
| Atchison Leavenworth Total | 165 <u>3,032</u> 3,197 | $ \begin{array}{r} 1,712 \\ \underline{311} \\ 2,023 \end{array} $ | 66 <u>97</u> 163 | 19 <u>12</u> 31 | 5 0 5 | 1,967 <u>3,452</u> 5,419 |
| District 2 | | | | | | |
| Jackson Jefferson Pottawatomie Wabaunsee Total | 1,587 2,635 2,239 <u>4,339</u> 10,800 | 235 76 11 <u>860</u> 1,182 | 34 54 49 <u>143</u> 280 | 35 16 12 <u>30</u> 93 | 0 0 0 0 | 1,891 2,781 2,311 <u>5,372</u> 12,355 |
| District 3 | | | | | | |
| Shawnee | 12,711 | 1,564 | 238 | 147 | 7 | 14,667 |
| District 4 | | | | | | |
| Allen Anderson Coffey Franklin Osage Woodson Total | 911 1,092 1,596 2,909 .3,008 2,467 11,983 | 41 68 253 703 121 <u>88</u> 1,274 | 2337631234111298 | 17 3 23 2 5 53 | 7 8 0 1 0 <u>0</u> 16 | 999 1,208 1,915 3,759 3,172 2,571 13,624 |
| District 5 | | | | | | |
| Chase Lyon Total | 1,351 5,150 6,501 | 515 <u>1,700</u> 2,215 | 40 <u>154</u> 194 | 19 _ <u>58</u> 77 | 2 3 5 | 1,927 7,065 8,992 |
| District 6 | | | | | | |
| Bourbon Linn Miami Total | 1,715 1,211 <u>3,105</u> 6,031 | 1 59 <u>249</u> 309 | 13 16 <u>127</u> 156 | 5 17 <u>81</u> 103 | 0 0 <u>0</u> 0 | 1,734 1,303 <u>3,562</u> 6,599 |
| District 7 | | | | | | |
| Douglas | 4,723 | 84 | 67 | 49 | 1 | 4,924 |
| District 8 | | | | | | |
| Dickinson Geary Marion Morris Total | 2,041 3,084 1,993 775 7,893 | 172 624 247 0 1,043 | 125 412 19 <u>37</u> 593 | 14 11 12 0 37 | 0 0 0 0 | 2,352 4,131 2,271 <u>812</u> 9,566 |

•

÷

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | Reckless Driving | Driving Under Influence | Fleeing Police | All Other | <u>Total</u> |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| District 9 | | | | | |
| Harvey McPherson Total | 4 <u>12</u> 16 | 72 <u>44</u> 116 | 0 0 0 | 4,930 <u>5,285</u> 10,215 | 5,006 <u>5,341</u> 10,347 |
| District 10 | | | | | |
| Johnson | 98 | 110 | 8 | 9,473 | 9,689 |
| District 11 | | | | | |
| Cherokee Crawford Labette Neosho Wilson Total | 38 16 15 7 <u>2</u> 78 | 47 125 33 61 <u>25</u> 291 | 5 4 1 0 <u>0</u> 10 | 1,838 3,896 2,699 2,136 <u>1,388</u> 12,007 | 1,978 4,041 2,748 2,204 1,415 12,386 |
| District 12 | | | | | |
| Cloud Jewell Lincoln Mitchell Republic Washington Total | 6 1 2 4 7 <u>1</u> 21 | 16 30 11 32 10 17 116 | $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ -4 \end{array} $ | 1,583 982 572 859 1,266 <u>681</u> 5,943 | 1,606 1,013 585 895 1,284 701 6,084 |
| District 13 | | | | | |
| Butler Chautauqua Elk Greenwood Total | 9 1 0 <u>21</u> 31 | 67 9 8 <u>29</u> 113 | $ \begin{array}{r} 13\\0\\4\\-\underline{6}\\23\end{array} $ | 7,733 178 688 <u>2,146</u> 10,745 | 7,822 188 700 2,202 10,912 |
| District 14 | | | | | |
| Montgomery | 5 | 30 | 4 | 2,662 | 2,701 |
| District 15 | | | | | |
| Graham Rooks Sheridan Sherman Thomas Total | 3 6 0 7 <u>3</u> 19 | 8 5 9 25 <u>21</u> 68 | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ - \frac{1}{3} \end{array} $ | 924 616 432 2,992 <u>2,864</u> 7,828 | 935 627 442 3,025 <u>2,889</u> 7,918 |

TRAFFIC CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

| | Guilty Pleas | Bond Forfeitures | <u>Dismissals</u> | Trials <u>To Court</u> | Trials <u>By Jury</u> | Total |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| District 9 | | | | | | |
| Harvey McPherson Total | 3,928 <u>4,258</u> 8,186 | 815 <u>761</u> 1,576 | 71 _17 _88 | 27 <u>30</u> 57 | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ $ | 4,841 <u>5,067</u> 9,908 |
| District 10 | | | | | | |
| Johnson | 8,034 | 478 | 552 | 87 | 1 | 9,152 |
| District 11 | | | | | | |
| Cherokee Crawford Labette Neosho Wilson Total | 1,371 3,468 2,091 1,303 <u>1,239</u> 9,472 | 52 289 327 480 42 1,190 | 13 171 52 41 14 291 | 18 19 47 26 <u>48</u> 158 | 0 0 1 0 | 1,454 3,947 2,518 1,850 <u>1,343</u> 11,112 |
| District 12 | | | | | | |
| Cloud Jewell Lincoln Mitchell Republic Washington Total | 1,455 852 503 838 1,014 <u>598</u> 5,260 | 96 138 54 27 227 <u>61</u> 603 | 26 23 16 2 30 <u>25</u> 122 | 13 7 3 9 6 <u>14</u> 52 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1,590 1,020 576 876 1,277 <u>698</u> 6,037 |
| District 13 | | | | | | |
| Butler Chautauqua Elk Greenwood Total | 5,423 164 637 <u>1,743</u> 7,967 | 1,699 6 36 <u>242</u> 1,983 | 92 5 3 21 121 | 108 7 5 5 125 | 4 6 0 <u>0</u> 10 | 7,326 188 681 <u>2,011</u> 10,206 |
| District 14 | | | | | | |
| Montgomery | 2,218 | 169 | 39 | 20 | 0 | 2,446 |
| District 15 | | | | | | |
| Graham Rooks Sheridan Sherman Thomas Total | 787 514 284 1,369 <u>1,780</u> 4,734 | 61 40 38 1,515 <u>1,216</u> 2,870 | 52 8 2 45 <u>10</u> 117 | 3 0 30 <u>10</u> 43 | 0 0 0 0 0 | 903 562 324 2,959 <u>3,016</u> 7,764 |

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

FILINGS

| Distantists 10 | Reckless Driving | Driving Under Influence | Fleeing Police | All Other | Total |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| District 16 Clark Comanche Ford Geary Kiowa Meade Total | 0 4 9 5 3 <u>8</u> 29 | 10 4 31 13 21 <u>18</u> 97 | 0 0 2 1 3 <u>0</u> 6 | 343 90 2,265 1,031 1,129 <u>939</u> 5,797 | 353 98 2,307 1,050 1,156 <u>965</u> 5,929 |
| District 17 | | | | | |
| Cheyenne Decatur Norton Osborne Phillips Rawlins Smith Total | $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 8 \\ 2 \\ -21 \\ -34 \end{array} $ | 1 10 3 28 3 <u>25</u> 71 | 0 1 0 2 0 <u>1</u> 5 | 298 1,514 765 520 1,608 401 <u>1,390</u> 6,496 | 300 1,517 777 523 1,646 406 <u>1,437</u> 6,606 |
| District 18 | | | | | |
| Sedgwick | 41 | 245 | 9 | 22,000 | 22,295 |
| District 19 | | | | | |
| Barber Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total | 4 18 4 6 11 <u>13</u> 56 | 8 93 5 45 20 <u>30</u> 201 | 2 1 0 0 <u>2</u> 6 | 1,317 2,036 895 3,574 2,626 5,086 15,534 | 1,331 2,148 905 3,625 2,657 <u>5,131</u> 15,797 |
| District 20 | | | | | |
| Barton Ellsworth Rice Russell Stafford Total | $ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 5\\ 1\\ -6\\ 20\\ \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 24 \\ 48 \\ 12 \\ 37 \\ \underline{16} \\ 137 \end{array} $ | 3 4 0 1 <u>0</u> 8 | 3,135 4,086 1,314 3,401 <u>1,048</u> 12,984 | 3,1634,1431,3273,4461,07013,149 |
| District 21 | | | | | |
| Clay Riley Total | 5 <u>13</u> 18 | 5 | $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 513 <u>6,253</u> 6,766 | 524 <u>6,346</u> 6,870 |

;

TRAFFIC CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

| | Guilty Pleas | Bond Forfeitures | Dismissals | Trials <u>To Court</u> | Trials By Jury | Total |
|---|---|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| District 16 | | | | | | |
| Clark Comanche Ford Geary Kiowa Meade Total | 267 88 1,860 934 901 <u>806</u> 4,856 | 55023262141124614 | 9 5 47 10 48 77 196 | 2 1 18 10 10 <u>5</u> 46 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 333 94 2,157 1,016 1,100 <u>1,012</u> 5,712 |
| District 17 | | | | | | |
| Cheyenne Decatur Norton Osborne Phillips Rawlins Smith Total | $200 \\ 1,117 \\ 595 \\ 444 \\ 1,220 \\ 302 \\ 1,015 \\ 4,893$ | 88 332 125 30 266 64 <u>299</u> 1,204 | 5 30 17 2 36 14 12 116 | 3 7 0 3 50 3 <u>6</u> 72 | | 296 1,486 737 479 1,572 383 <u>1,332</u> 6,285 |
| <u>District 18</u> | | | | | | |
| Sedgwick | 16,802 | 1,990 | 1,179 | 704 | 0 | 20,675 |
| District 19 | | | | | | |
| Barber Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total | 1,142 1,926 805 2,982 1,877 <u>3,593</u> 12,325 | 133 112 65 453 577 <u>1,015</u> 2,355 | 8 44 16 184 79 <u>78</u> 409 | 5 39 4 27 4 <u>30</u> 109 | 3 0 0 0 0 | 1,291 2,121 890 3,646 2,537 <u>4,716</u> 15,201 |
| District 20 | | | | | | |
| Barton Ellsworth Rice Russell Stafford Total | 3,099 3,231 1,219 2,644 933 11,126 | 66 696 8 587 <u>31</u> 1,388 | 91 55 56 34 <u>27</u> 263 | 96 25 11 2 <u>7</u> 141 | 36 1 0 0 <u>0</u> 37 | 3,388 4,008 1,294 3,267 <u>998</u> 12,955 |
| District 21 | | | | | | |
| Clay Riley Total | 489 <u>5,868</u> 6,357 | 7 | 4 <u>78</u> 82 | 3 <u>22</u> 25 | 0 0 0 | 503 <u>6,043</u> 6,546 |

į

: 47,

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | Reckless Driving | Driving Under Influence | Fleeing Police | All <u>Other</u> | Total |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| <u>District 22</u> Brown | 28 | 41 | 1 | 3,191 | 3,261 |
| Doniphan Marshall | 27 18 | 56 64 | - 3 1 | 1,788 1,760 | 1,874 1,843 |
| Nemaha Total | $\frac{-6}{-79}$ | $\frac{14}{175}$ | $\frac{-3}{8}$ | <u>1,021</u> 7,760 | $\frac{1,044}{8,022}$ |
| District 23 | | | | , · | - , |
| Ellis | 3 | 49 | 7 | 4,407 | 4,466 |
| Gove Logan | 11 34 | 17 15 | 2 0 | 2,002 662 | 2,032 711 |
| Trego Wallace | 0 11 | 28 3 | 1 2 | 3,277 233 | 3,306 249 |
| Total | $\frac{11}{59}$ | $\frac{3}{112}$ | $\frac{2}{12}$ | 10,581 | 10,764 |
| District 24 | | | | | |
| Edwards Hodgeman | 3 1 | 8 9 | 1 0 | 1,146 242 | 1,158 252 |
| Lane | 0 | 5 | 0 | 46 | 51 |
| Ness Pawnee | 6 1 | 8 19 | 2 | 413 1,092 | 429 1,114 |
| Rush Total | $\frac{2}{13}$ | <u> 6</u> 55 | $\frac{-1}{6}$ | $\frac{1,062}{4,001}$ | $\frac{1,071}{4,075}$ |
| District 25 | | | | ., | ., |
| Finney | 11 | 71 | 3 | 3,586 | 3,671 |
| Greeley Hamilton | 8 5 | 4 5 | 2 0 | 123 · 112 | 137 122 |
| Kearny Scott | 8 2 | 18 15 | 1 0 | 529 436 | 556 453 |
| Wichita | 0 | 11 | $-\frac{0}{6}$ | 205 | 216 |
| Total | 34 | 124 | 6 | 4,991 | 5,155 |
| District 26 | | | | | |
| Grant Haskell | 1 3 | 30 10 | 1 1 | 759 354 | 791 368 |
| Morton | 1 | 5 | 2 | 258 | 266 |
| Seward Stanton | 17 | 27 8 | 3 1 | 1,898 406 | 1,945 415 |
| Stevens | <u>5</u> 7 | 8 5 85 | <u>0</u> | 621 | 631 |
| Total | 27 | 85 | 8 | 4,296 | 4,416 |
| District 27 | 10 | | | | |
| Reno | 18 | 105 | 6 | 6,070 | 6,199 |

TRAFFIC CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

| | Guilty Pleas | Bond Forfeitures | Dismissals | Trials <u>To Court</u> | Trials By Jury | Total |
|--|---|---|---|---|---------------------------------|---|
| District 22 | | | | | | |
| Brown Doniphan Marshall Nemaha Total | 210 859 727 <u>172</u> 1,968 | 2,484 896 936 <u>810</u> 5,126 | 31 21 49 <u>34</u> 135 | 50 13 22 <u>5</u> 90 | 0 1 0 <u>0</u> 1 | 2,775 1,790 1,734 <u>1,021</u> 7,320 |
| District 23 | | | | | | |
| Ellis Gove Logan Trego Wallace Total | 2,926 934 392 1,222 197 5,671 | $1,080 \\ 1,027 \\ 111 \\ 2,034 \\ 31 \\ 4,283$ | 215 55 8 90 <u>8</u> 376 | 59 7 0 3 <u>1</u> 70 | 2 0 0 0 | 4,282 2,023 511 3,349 237 10,402 |
| District 24 | | | | | | |
| Edwards Hodgeman Lane Ness Pawnee Rush Total | 927 200 47 393 844 <u>880</u> 3,291 | 169 16 0 9 63 34 291 | 40 15 0 7 81 <u>35</u> 178 | $ \begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 18 \\ -4 \\ -32 \\ \end{array} $ | 0 0 1 0 | 1,142 234 47 411 1,006 <u>953</u> 3,793 |
| District 25 | | | | | | |
| Finney Greeley Hamilton Kearny Scott Wichita Total | 2,980 82 105 199 371 <u>185</u> 3,922 | 156 16 13 296 18 <u>8</u> 507 | 64 14 4 32 0 <u>5</u> 119 | $ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 4\\ 3\\ 9\\ 7\\ -7\\ -42 \end{array} $ | 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 | $3,213 \\ 116 \\ 125 \\ 536 \\ 396 \\ 205 \\ 4,591$ |
| District 26 | | | | | | |
| Grant Haskell Morton Seward Stanton Stevens Total | 732 292 239 1,491 365 539 3,658 | 2221171753530300 | 28 16 12 105 10 <u>12</u> 183 | 7 5 0 4 2 0 18 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 789 334 268 1,775 412 581 4,159 |
| District 27 | | | | | | |
| Reno | 5,468 | 101 | 159 | 20 | 0 | 5,748 |

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | Reckless Driving | Driving Under Influence | Fleeing Police | All Other | Total |
|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| District 28 | | | | | |
| Ottawa Saline Total | 5 <u>13</u> 18 | 44 <u>192</u> 236 | 1 4 5 | 1,359 <u>6,037</u> 7,396 | 1,409 <u>6,246</u> 7,655 |
| District 29 | | | | | |
| Wyandotte | 26 | 95 | 3 | 6,599 | 6,723 |
| STATE TOTAL | 970 | 3,538 | 188 | 259,328 | 264,024 |

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

| District 28 | Guilty Pleas | Bond Forfeitures | Dismissals | Trials <u>To Court</u> | Trials By Jury | Total |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Ottawa Saline Total | 1,142 <u>4,436</u> 5,578 | 289 <u>1,331</u> 1,620 | 56 <u>260</u> 316 | 5 <u>146</u> 151 | 0 0 0 | 1,492 <u>6,173</u> 7,665 |
| District 29 | | | | | | |
| Wyandotte | 5,203 | 550 | 188 | 262 | 2 | .6,205 |
| STATE TOTAL | 200,828 | 38,974 | 7,218 | 2,914 | 94 | 250,028 |

2

¢

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| District 1 | Delinquent | <u>Miscreant</u> | Wayward or Truant | Dependent/ Neglected | Traffic Offense | Total |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Atchison Leavenworth Total | 49 77 126 | $\frac{46}{64}$ | 50 <u>61</u> 111 | 20 62 82 | $\frac{12}{111}$ 123 | 177 <u>375</u> 552 |
| District 2 | | | | | | |
| Jackson Jefferson Pottawatomie Wabaunsee Total | 8 26 26 <u>22</u> 82 | $\begin{array}{r} 4\\14\\11\\\underline{18}\\47\end{array}$ | 7 13 11 11 42 42 | 9 4 1 0 14 | 21 25 7 <u>11</u> 64 | 49 82 56 <u>62</u> 249 |
| District 3 | | | | | | |
| Shawnee | 348 | 343 | 432 | 243 | 9 | 1,375 |
| District 4 | | | | | | |
| Allen Anderson Coffey Franklin Osage Woodson Total | 25 4 1 14 20 <u>6</u> 70 | 4 4 3 3 | 5 2 7 12 8 <u>0</u> 34 | 6 0 1 19 5 <u>0</u> 31 | 18 1 5 0 11 <u>17</u> 52 | 58 11 17 48 47 <u>23</u> 204 |
| District 5 | | | | | | |
| Chase Lyon Total | 0 <u>35</u> 35 | 3 <u>37</u> 40 | 0 52 | 2 <u>51</u> 53 | 3 <u>16</u> 19 | 8 <u>191</u> 199 |
| District 6 | | | | | | |
| Bourbon Linn Miami Total | 28 12 <u>19</u> 59 | 24 8 <u>13</u> 45 | 15 11 <u>12</u> 38 | 21 8 -13 42 | 46 19 <u>36</u> 101 | 134 58 <u>93</u> 285 |
| District 7 | | | | | | |
| Douglas | 50 | 41 | 37 | 11 | 21 | 160 |
| District 8 | | | | | | |
| Dickinson Geary Marion Morris Total | 29 27 13 <u>10</u> 79 | 42 12 3 15 72 | 11 17 5 <u>6</u> 39 | 39 45 10 <u>4</u> 98 | 13 30 7 <u>2</u> 52 | 134 131 38 <u>37</u> 340 |

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

| District 1 | Juris. Waived | Dismsd. | Placed Under Supervision | | Committed Youth Center/ Other Facility | Traffic Disp. | Other | Total |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | |
| Atchison Leavenworth Total | $\frac{1}{0}$ | 22 <u>43</u> 65 | 39 <u>82</u> 121 | 17 87 | 21 | 7 <u>103</u> 110 | 2 <u>10</u> 12 | 109 <u>315</u> 424 |
| District 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Jackson Jefferson Pottawatomie Wabaunsee Total | 0 0 | 1 9 14 <u>10</u> 34 | 4 17 2 <u>19</u> 42 | 3 11 33 7 54 | 1 4 3 <u>3</u> 11 | 19 22 5 <u>10</u> 56 | 2 4 1 | 30 67 58 49 204 |
| District 3 | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Shawnee | 0 | 419 | 345 | 199 | 57 | 10 | 55 | 1,085 |
| District 4 | | | | | | | | |
| Allen Anderson Coffey Franklin Osage Woodson Total | 0 0 0 0 0 | 2 1 7 1 <u>0</u> 12 | 12 1 0 12 11 <u>0</u> 36 | $ \begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 2 \\ 7 \\ 21 \\ 6 \\ \underline{4} \\ 53 \\ \end{array} $ | 7 0 1 0 <u>0</u> 8 | 16 1 4 0 8 . 17 46 | 0 1 0 1 0 2 | 50 6 12 41 27 <u>21</u> 157 |
| District 5 | | | | | | | | |
| Chase Lyon Total | 0 <u>3</u> 3 | $\frac{1}{40}$ | 1 5 56 | 3 <u>34</u> 37 | 0 | 2 _ <u>16</u> _18 | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ \underline{32} \\ \overline{32} \end{array}$ | 7 <u>187</u> 194 |
| District 6 | | | | | | | | |
| Bourbon Linn Miami Total | 0 0 0 0 | 10 3 <u>9</u> 22 | 35 6 50 | 32 18 -14 -64 | $\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 1\\ \underline{}\\ \underline{}\\ 4 \end{array}$ | 46 20 <u>28</u> 94 | 2 2 <u>4</u> 8 | 127 50 <u>65</u> 242 |
| District 7 | | | | | | | | |
| Douglas | 3 | 3 | 65 | 26 | 26 | 18 | 22 | 163 |
| District 8 | | | | | | | | |
| Dickinson Geary Marion Morris Total | 0 0 0 0 | 22 27 2 53 | 30 13 1 -0 -44 | 40 22 18 <u>18</u> 98 | 0 11 0 <u>0</u> 11 | $ \begin{array}{r} 12\\20\\6\\\underline{3}\\41\end{array} $ | 8 2 0 <u>4</u> 14 | 112 95 27 <u>27</u> 261 |

•

٠.

129

2

.

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | | | Wayward or | Dependent/ | Traffic | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Delinquent | Miscreant | Truant | Neglected | Offense | Total |
| District 9 | | | | | | |
| Harvey McPherson Total | 53 <u>23</u> 76 | 52 <u>10</u> 62 | $\frac{16}{\underline{6}}$ | 18 <u>8</u> 26 | 30 30 | $ \begin{array}{r} 169 \\ \underline{47} \\ 216 \end{array} $ |
| District 10 | | | | | | |
| Johnson | 287 | 319 | 279 | 103 | 320 | 1,308 |
| District 11 | | | | | | |
| Cherokee Crawford Labette Neosho Wilson Total | $ \begin{array}{r} 31 \\ 84 \\ 51 \\ 26 \\ \underline{15} \\ 207 \end{array} $ | 23 53 37 26 <u>17</u> 156 | 25 84 24 10 <u>10</u> 153 | 25 55 30 21 9 140 | 26 66 69 15 <u>23</u> 199 | $ \begin{array}{r} 130 \\ 342 \\ 211 \\ 98 \\ 74 \\ \overline{855} \end{array} $ |
| District 12 | | | | | | |
| Cloud Jewell Lincoln Mitchell Republic Washington Total | $ \begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ -4 \\ -48 \\ \end{array} $ | $9 \\ 9 \\ 4 \\ 11 \\ 3 \\ -10 \\ -46$ | 8 0 4 0 2 | 7 4 5 4 5 <u>3</u> 28 | 6 3 0 14 -4 -30 | 41 17 21 28 38 <u>23</u> 168 |
| District 13 | | | | | | |
| Butler Chautauqua Elk Greenwood Total | 66 1 5 <u>26</u> 98 | 57 4 6 <u>17</u> 84 | 26 5 2 <u>11</u> 44 | 13 5 1 -4 -23 | 3 4 -13 -24 | 165 19 18 <u>71</u> 273 |
| District 14 | | | | | | |
| Montgomery | 93 | 44 | 33 | 26 | 0 | 196 |
| District 15 | | | | | | |
| Graham Rooks Sheridan Sherman Thomas Total | 12 13 1 16 5 47 47 | 3 8 0 30 <u>18</u> 59 | 3 3 1 10 <u>2</u> 19 | 4 6 0 18 7 35 | 11 5 0 17 <u>0</u> 33 | 33 35 2 91 <u>32</u> 193 |

DISPOSITIONS

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| District 9 | Juris. Waived | Dismsd. | Placed Under Supervision | | Committed Youth Center/ Other Facility | Traffic Disp. | 0ther | <u>Total</u> |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Harvey McPherson Total | 1 0 _1 | 7 <u>1</u> 8 | 5 22 27 | $\frac{16}{\underline{6}}$ | 11 _0 _11 | 27 | 49 <u>3</u> 52 | 116 <u>32</u> 148 |
| District 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Johnson | 2 | 65 | 93 | 512 | 69 | 314 | 71 | 1,126 |
| District 11 | | | | | | | | |
| Cherokee Crawford Labette Neosleo Wilson Total | 0 0 1 3 <u>0</u> 4 | 18 28 29 5 -11 $\cdot 91$ | $21 \\ 105 \\ 40 \\ 37 \\ 17 \\ 220$ | 41 54 38 27 <u>13</u> 173 | 2 19 7 4 <u>0</u> 32 | 25 59 72 15 23 194 | 7 23 3 5 <u>0</u> 38 | 114 288 190 96 <u>64</u> 752 |
| District 12 | | | | | | | | |
| Cloud Jewell Lincoln Mitchell Republic Washington Total | 0 3 0 0 | 5 0 5 1 <u>5</u> 17 | $ 19 1 3 12 5 _1 41 $ | 5 13 7 12 10 <u>2</u> 49 | 2 0 1 1 0 <u>1</u> 5 | $ \begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 13 \\ -1 \\ 24 \end{array} $ | $\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ -\frac{3}{3} \end{array}$ | 37 16 21 26 29 <u>13</u> 142 |
| District 13 | | | | | | | | |
| Butler Chautauqua E1k Greenwood Total | 0 0 0 0 | 23 3 0 <u>3</u> 29 | 72 2 3 9 86 | 24 5 7 <u>12</u> 48 | 10 0 1 -2 13 | 4 3 4 <u>-11</u> 22 | 9 0 2 <u>12</u> 23 | 142 13 17 <u>49</u> 221 |
| District 14 | | | | | | | | |
| Montgomery | 6 | 41 | 37 | 60 | 30 | 0 | 3 | 177 |
| District 15 | | | | | | | | |
| Graham Rooks Sheridan Sherman Thomas Total | 0 0 0 0 0 | $ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 13 \\ \underline{3} \\ 19 \end{array} $ | 3 0 23 <u>8</u> 34 | 7 9 0 12 <u>8</u> 36 | $\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 1\\ 4\\ -\underline{1}\\ 6\end{array}$ | $ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 17 \\ -0 \\ -30 \\ \end{array} $ | 4 10 0 1 <u>3</u> 18 | 25 24 1 70 <u>23</u> 143 |

ц.

FORMAL JUVENILE CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

132

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

FILINGS

| District 16 | Delinquent | Miscreant | Wayward or Truant | Dependent/ Neglected | Traffic Offense | Total |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| Clark Comanche Ford Gray Kiowa Meade Total | 0 56 0 7 7 70 | 4 0 58 6 15 <u>3</u> 86 | 0 0 6 1 3 <u>0</u> 10 | 0 0 10 1 5 <u>0</u> 16 | 4 1 58 15 0 <u>2</u> 80 | 8 1 188 23 30 <u>12</u> 262 |
| District 17 | | | | | | |
| Cheyenne Decatur Norton Osborne Phillips Rawlins Smith Total | 3 1 8 5 8 3 -1 29 | 1 0 4 3 14 8 <u>8</u> 38 | 2 0 6 3 4 1 <u>1</u> 17 | 1 0 3 6 2 3 | $2 \\ 16 \\ 0 \\ 11 \\ 9 \\ -6 \\ -44$ | 9 17 21 17 39 24 <u>20</u> 147 |
| District 18 | | | | | | |
| Sedgwick | 901 | 1,063 | 130 | 253 | 1,086 | 3,433 |
| District 19 | | | | | | |
| Barber Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total | 7 47 6 10 22 <u>35</u> 127 | 7 99 8 57 22 <u>104</u> 297 | 3 62 4 15 17 <u>34</u> 135 | $ \begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 31 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ \underline{10} \\ 52 \end{array} $ | 17 13 17 29 28 <u>50</u> 154 | 34 252 37 116 93 <u>233</u> 765 |
| District 20 | | | | | | |
| Barton Fllsworth Rice Russell Stafford Total | 96 4 34 18 <u>17</u> 169 | 89 31 23 17 6 166 | 93 1 4 16 <u>0</u> 114 | $ \begin{array}{r} 13\\ 0\\ 6\\ 7\\ \underline{-8}\\ 34\end{array} $ | 43 20 10 <u>1</u> 76 | 334 38 87 68 <u>32</u> 559 |
| District 21 | | | | | | |
| Clay Riley Total | 12 <u>42</u> 54 | 18 <u>-38</u> 56 | 8 _ <u>22</u> | 2 <u>6</u> 8 | 6 59 | 46 <u>161</u> 207 |

÷

FORMAL JUVENILE CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978 BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

•

.

F K

| District 16 | Juris. Waived | Dismsd. | Placed Under Supervision | | Committed Youth Center/ Other Facility | Traific Disp. | Other | Total |
|---|---------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| Clark Comanche Ford Gray Kiowa Meade Total | 0 2 0 0 0 2 | 1 0 24 0 0 0 25 | 0 0 75 0 8 <u>1</u> 84 | $3 \\ 0 \\ 12 \\ 3 \\ 11 \\ -5 \\ -34$ | 0 0 1 0 2 0 3 | 4 51 14 0 <u>2</u> 72 | 0 2 6 6 - 2 16 | 8 167 23 27 <u>10</u> 236 |
| District 17 | | | | | | | | |
| Cheyenne Decatur Norton Osborne Phillips Rawlins Smith Total | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ -1 \\ 10 \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ - 2 \\ 13 \end{array} $ | 5 0 7 22 4 <u>10</u> 55 | 0 0 1 3 1 0 <u>0</u> 5 | 2 11 0 0 11 8 <u>-</u> 7 39 | 0 0 2 0 0 1 | 7 12 18 14 37 17 <u>20</u> 125 |
| <u>District 18</u> | | | | | | | | |
| Sedgwick | 17 | 287 | 606 | 188 | 112 | 783 | 71 | 2,064 |
| District 19 | | | | | | | | |
| Barber Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total | 0 1 0 0 0 | $ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 63 \\ 4 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 32 \\ 123 \\ \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 94 \\ 0 \\ 28 \\ 16 \\ \underline{88} \\ 227 \\ \end{array} $ | 3 42 3 4 17 <u>15</u> 84 | 1 5 1 2 2 | 19 12 15 29 28 39 142 | 0 3 2 5 <u>19</u> 37 | 27 220 31 76 78 <u>213</u> 645 |
| District 20 | | | | | | | | |
| Barton Ellsworth Rice Russell Stafford Total | 0 0 0 0 0 | 71 1 10 1 - <u>5</u> 88 | $ 101 \\ 14 \\ 31 \\ 18 \\ 11 \\ 175 $ | 32 1 2 17 <u>0</u> 52 | 5 1 1 5 -0 12 | 42 1 18 10 <u>1</u> 72 | 20 3 0 9 <u>0</u> 32 | $271 \\ 21 \\ 62 \\ 60 \\ 17 \\ 431$ |
| District 21 | | | | | | | | |
| Clay Riley Total | 0 <u>1</u> 1 | 6 <u>24</u> 30 | 17 _52 _69 | 7 <u>10</u> 17 | 1 9 10 | 6 52 58 | 0 8 8 | 37 <u>156</u> 193 |

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| District 22 | Delinquent | Miscreant | Wayward or Truant | Dependent/ Neglected | Traffic Offense | <u>Total</u> |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Brown Doniphan Marshall Nemaha Total | $ \begin{array}{r} 13\\ 10\\ 8\\ -7\\ -38\\ \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 19\\ 7\\ 4\\ -\underline{2}\\ 32\end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 16\\2\\8\\-\underline{1}\\27\end{array} $ | 23 2 4 <u>6</u> 35 | 4 14 <u>6</u> 38 | 75 35 38 <u>22</u> 170 |
| District 23 | | | | | | |
| Ellis Gove Logan Trego Wallace Total | $ \begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 7 \\ 11 \\ 0 \\ -0 \\ -34 \end{array} $ | 20 8 7 15 <u>1</u> 51 | 18 0 1 0 <u>1</u> 20 | 14 2 0 4 - <u>0</u> 20 | 0 5 29 11 <u>0</u> 45 | 68 22 48 30 <u>2</u> 170 |
| District 24 | | | | | | |
| Edwards Hodgeman Lane Ness Pawnee Rush Total | $ \begin{array}{c} 11\\ 1\\ 5\\ 4\\ 20\\ -\frac{0}{41} \end{array} $ | 8 0 4 7 14 -2 35 | 1 3 2 2 4 <u>1</u> 13 | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ - \\ 8 \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 12 \\ 10 \\ \underline{20} \\ 57 \\ 57 \\ \end{array} $ | 33 5 13 28 52 <u>23</u> 154 |
| District 25 | | | | | | |
| Finney Greeley Hamilton Kearny Scott Wichita Total | 68 0 7 7 2 <u>4</u> 88 | 95 1 2 7 29 0 134 | 52 0 1 2 1 <u>0</u> 56 | $\begin{array}{c} 25\\0\\2\\2\\4\\-\underline{1}\\34\end{array}$ | 22 2 1 8 42 | 262 3 13 26 78 <u>7</u> 389 |
| District 26 | | | | | | |
| Grant Haskell Morton Seward Stanton Stevens Total | $ \begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 3 \\ 7 \\ 19 \\ 3 \\ \underline{-6} \\ 53 \\ \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 32 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 13 \\ 9 \\ \underline{1} \\ 64 \end{array} $ | 5 0 1 23 7 <u>0</u> 36 | 5 0 8 7 0 <u>1</u> 21 | 5 3 5 13 9 <u>-8</u> 43 | 62 15 21 75 28 <u>16</u> 217 |
| District 27 | | | | | | |
| Reno | 104 | 238 | 95 | 34 | 174 | 645 |

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

| District 22 | Juris. Waived | Dismsd. | Placed Under Supervision | | Committed Youth Center/ Other Facility | Traffi Disp. | c Other | Total |
|--|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| Brown Doniphan Marshall Nemaha Total | 0 0 1 1 | 8 5 0 <u>0</u> 13 | 33 8 8 <u>8</u> 57 | 14 5 9 <u>3</u> 31 | 3 0 1 | 4 13 12 5 34 | $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ -4 \end{array} $ | 63 32 30 <u>19</u> 144 |
| District 23 | | | | | | | | |
| Ellis Gove Logan Trego Wallace Total | 1 0 0 0 | 20 0 6 0 26 | 9 1 0 1 <u>1</u> 12 | 19 16 1 9 0 45 | $ \begin{array}{c} 3\\0\\0\\0\\-1\\-4\end{array} \end{array} $ | 0 5 29 10 0 44 | 6 0 10 0 <u>0</u> 16 | 58 22 40 26 <u>2</u> 148 |
| District 24 | | | | | | | | |
| Edwards Hodgeman Lane Ness Pawnee Rush Total | 0 0 1 0 0 1 | 2 0 2 5 | 5 0 7 4 11 <u>0</u> 27 | 2 0 5 10 - <u>2</u> 19 | 0 0 2 0 5 <u>0</u> 7 | 8 0 3 12 7 <u>17</u> 47 | 2 3 0 1 0 <u>1</u> 7 | 19 3 12 25 38 <u>20</u> 117 |
| District 25 | | | | | | | | |
| Finney Greeley Hamilton Kearny Scott Wichita Total | 0 0 0 0 1 1 | 59 1 0 1 6 | $ \begin{array}{r} 69\\ 0\\ 2\\ 4\\ 21\\ \underline{1}\\ 97\end{array} $ | 29 0 1 7 4 <u>2</u> 43 | 10 0 2 2 0 14 | 18 1 8 42 <u>2</u> 72 | 38 0 2 2 0 0 42 | 223 2 6 24 75 <u>6</u> 336 |
| District 26 | | | | | | | | |
| Grant Haskell Morton Seward Stanton Stevens Total | 0 -0 -1 | 16 0 9 6 3 | 12 3 0 17 4 <u>3</u> 39 | $ \begin{array}{r} 1.3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 13 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ \overline{31} \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - 2 \\ 2 \end{array} $ | 6 3 5 11 5 | 6 6 7 5 2 0 26 | 54 14 21 53 17 <u>8</u> 167 |
| District 27 | | | | | | | | |
| Reno | 2 | 162 | 159 | 51 | 30 | 171 | 96 | 671 |

Ϊ,

'я

FORMAL JUVENILE CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

٠

136

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

FILINGS

.

| District 28 | Delinquent | Miscreant | Wayward or Truant | Dependent/ Neglected | Traffic Offense | Total |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Ottawa Saline Total | 7 <u>98</u> 105 | 3 <u>-81</u> | $\frac{1}{47}$ | 5 <u>86</u> 91 | 3 29 | 19 <u>338</u> 357 |
| District 29 | | | | | | |
| Wyandotte | 464 | 462 | 283 | 319 | 77 | 1,605 |
| STATE TOTAL | 3,982 | 4,291 | 2,365 | 1,899 | 3,116 | 15,653 |

FORMAL JUVENILE CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978 BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

| | Juris. | | Placed Under | Custody Parental/ | Committed Youth Center/ | Traffi | Lc | |
|-------------|--------|---------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|--------|--------------|-------------------|
| | Waived | Dismsd. | Supervision | Non. Par. | Other Facility | Disp. | <u>Other</u> | Total |
| District 28 | | | | | | | | |
| Ottawa | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 14 |
| Saline | 1 | _30 | 90 | 82 | 35 | _23 | _20 | <u>281</u> 295 |
| Total | 1 | 31 | 91 | 90 | 36 | 26 | 20 | 295 |
| District 29 | | | | | | | | |
| Wyandotte | 8 | 253 | 340 | 325 | 103 | 62 | 419 | 1,510 |
| STATE TOTAL | 60 | 2,077 | 3,293 | 2,583 | 691 | 2,660 | 1,157 | 12,521 |

138

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

FILINGS

| | Under Std. Proc. | Under Simp. Proc. | Total | Out of County | Out of State |
|--|--|---|--|---------------------------|--|
| District 1 | 564. 1156. | Dimp. 110C. | IOCAL | obuilty | <u>blate</u> |
| Atchison Leavenworth Total | 46 <u>72</u> 118 | 6 15 | 52 <u>81</u> 133 | $\frac{2}{-0}{2}$ | 1 1 |
| District 2 | | | | | |
| Jackson Jefferson Pottawatomie Wabaunsee Total | 13 14 58 <u>13</u> 98 | 23 4 3 <u>8</u> 38 | $36 \\ 18 \\ 61 \\ 21 \\ 136$ | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 |
| District 3 | | | | | |
| Shawnee | 221 | 64 | 285 | 0 | 2 |
| District 4 | | | | | |
| Allen Anderson Coffey Franklin Osage Woodson Total | 48 50 31 8 50 24 211 | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 54 50 35 55 68 <u>24</u> 286 | 5 2 0 1 2 | 0 2 1 0 0 <u>0</u> 3 |
| District 5 | | | | | |
| Chase Lyon Total | 8 <u>83</u> 91 | 7 - <u>7</u> 14 | . <u>90</u> 105 | 0 4 4 | 0 0 0 |
| District 6 | | | | | |
| Bourbon Linn Miami Total | 28 22 <u>51</u> 101 | 7 7 <u>6</u> 20 | 35 29 57 121 | 0 0 | 1 2 <u>1</u> 4 |
| District 7 | | | | | |
| Douglas | 73 | 20 | 93 | 0 | 0 |
| District 8 | | | | | |
| Dickinson Geary Marion Morris Total | 40 4 42 <u>14</u> 100 | 16 62 14 <u>6</u> 98 | 56 66 56 20 198 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 <u>1</u> 1 |

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

139

DISPOSITIONS

| District 1 | Letters Refused | Lett Inv./Val. | ers Granted Inv./App. | <u>No Inv.</u> | Total Disp. | Age A 12 Mo. Or Less | at Dispositi <u>13-24 Mo.</u> | on Over <u>24 Mo</u> . |
|--|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Atchison Leavenworth Total | 2 2 | 40 <u>42</u> 82 | 13 <u>36</u> 49 | $ \begin{array}{c} 11 \\ -1 \\ 12 \end{array} $ | 66 <u>79</u> 145 | 46 _40 _86 | 16 <u>35</u> 51 | 4 4 8 |
| District 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Jackson Jefferson Pottawatomie Wabaunsee Total | 0 0 0 0 | 2 34 30 <u>11</u> 77 | 0 4 19 <u>13</u> 36 | 0 1 0 <u>0</u> 1 | 2 39 49 <u>24</u> 114 | 2 28 28 <u>12</u> 70 | 0 11 20 <u>11</u> 42 | 0 0 1 <u>1</u> 2 |
| District 3 | | | | | | | | |
| Shawnee | 0 | 108 | 161 | 35 | 304 | 142 | 90 | 72 |
| District 4 | | | | | | | | |
| Allen Anderson Coffey Franklin Osage Woodson Total | | 15 14 26 26 22 <u>8</u> 111 | 23 13 10 24 13 9 92 | 0 3 0 0 4 7 | 38 30 36 50 35 <u>21</u> 210 | 26 17 26 35 19 <u>11</u> 134 | $ \begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 13 \\ 9 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ \underline{6} \\ \overline{61} \end{array} $ | 1 0 1 4 5 <u>4</u> 15 |
| District 5 | | | | | | | | |
| Chase Lyon Total | 1 1 | $-\frac{18}{24}$ | 8 <u>21</u> 29 | 0 0 0 | 15 <u>39</u> 54 | $ \frac{19}{25} $ | 9 <u>10</u> 19 | 0 <u>10</u> 10 |
| District 6 | | | | | | | | |
| Bourbon Linn Miami Total | 2 0 <u>4</u> 6 | 16 10 <u>25</u> 51 | 14 6 <u>11</u> 31 | 5 0 <u>1</u> 6 | 37 16 <u>41</u> 94 | 22 7 <u>28</u> 57 | $ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 8\\ \underline{13}\\ 33\end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 3\\ 1\\ -0\\ -4 \end{array} $ |
| District 7 | | | | | | | | |
| Douglas | 0 | 24 | 60 | 0 | 84 | 38 | 29 | 17 |
| District 8 | | | | | | | | |
| Dickinson Geary Marion Morris Total | 1 1 | 56 9 18 13 96 | 18 3 49 <u>9</u> 79 | 0 4 0 0 4 | 75 17 68 <u>23</u> 183 | 53 13 43 <u>9</u> 118 | 15 4 21 <u>12</u> 52 | 7 0 4 2 13 |

140

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| F | I | L | Ι | N | G | S |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| _ | _ | | - | | - | |

| | Under <u>Std. Proc.</u> | Under Simp. Proc. | Total | Out of County | Out of State |
|---|---|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| District 9 | | | | | |
| Harvey McPherson Total | 86 <u>40</u> 126 | 3 <u>45</u> 48 | 89 <u>85</u> 174 | 4 4 | 2 2 |
| District 10 | | | | | |
| Johnson | 262 | 76 | 338 | 0 | 6 |
| District 11 | | | | | |
| Cherokee Crawford Labette Neosho Wilson Total | 92 67 50 0 <u>43</u> 252 | 3 3 1 62 <u>18</u> 87 | 95 70 51 62 <u>61</u> 339 | 4 3 0 0 <u>5</u> 12 | 2 0 0 <u>2</u> 4 |
| District 12 | | | | | |
| Cloud Jewell Lincoln Mitchell Republic Washington Total | 30 8 22 27 55 <u>52</u> 194 | $ \begin{array}{r} 20 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 21 \\ 7 \\ -1 \\ 62 \end{array} $ | 50 14 29 48 62 <u>53</u> 256 | $\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 1\\ 0\\ \underline{}\\ \underline{}\\ 3 \end{array}$ | 2 0 0 0 <u>1</u> 3 |
| District 13 | | | | | |
| Butler Chautauqua Elk Greenwood Total | 56 11 29 <u>61</u> 157 | $ \begin{array}{r} 18\\ 4\\ 0\\ \underline{2}\\ 24\\ \end{array} $ | 74 15 29 <u>63</u> 181 | 6 0 1 7 | 2 3 0 <u>0</u> 5 |
| District 14 | | | | | |
| Montgomery | 93 | 25 | 118 | 2 | 1 |
| District 15 | | | | | |
| Graham Rooks Sheridan Sherman Thomas Total | 13 12 17 32 <u>23</u> 97 | 17 22 2 5 <u>15</u> 61 | 30 34 19 37 <u>38</u> 158 | $\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ -\frac{2}{2} \end{array}$ | 0 0 1 3 <u>0</u> 4 |

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

| | _ | _ | | | | Age At Disposition | | |
|---|--|--|--|------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| | Letters <u>Refused</u> | Lett Inv./Val. | ers Granted Inv./App. | | Total Disp. | 12 Mo. Or Less | <u>13-24 Mo.</u> | Over 24 Mo. |
| District 9 | | | | | | | | |
| Harvey McPherson Total | $\begin{array}{c} 0\\ -1\\ 1 \end{array}$ | 61 _24 _85 | 20 _ <u>10</u> | $\frac{1}{\frac{7}{8}}$ | 82 <u>42</u> 124 | 35 <u>35</u> 70 | 39 <u>6</u> 45 | 8 9 |
| District 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Johnson | 20 | 253 | 37 | 3 | 313 | 175 | 111 | 27 |
| District 11 | | | | | | | | |
| Cherokee Crawford Labette Neosho Wilson Total | $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 9 \\ -1 \\ 11 \end{array} $ | 0 42 30 5 <u>30</u> 107 | 1 45 11 23 15 95 | 6 8 7 2 <u>2</u> 25 | 8 95 48 39 <u>48</u> 238 | 8 36 30 26 <u>35</u> 135 | $ \begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 24 \\ 16 \\ 10 \\ \underline{13} \\ 63 \end{array} $ | 0 35 2 3 <u>0</u> 40 |
| District 12 | | | | | | | | |
| Cloud Jewell Lincoln Mitchell Republic Washington Total | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 24 3 12 12 24 20 95 | 15 22 19 38 33 <u>17</u> 144 | 0 4 0 5 0 9 | 39 25 35 50 62 37 248 | 17 9 27 29 37 <u>19</u> 138 | 19 14 8 18 15 <u>15</u> 89 | 3 2 0 3 10 <u>3</u> 21 |
| District 13 | | | | | | | | |
| Butler Chautauqua Elk Greenwood Total | 0 0 <u>0</u> 0 | 49 1 15 <u>21</u> 86 | 56 14 13 <u>19</u> 102 | 2 0 1 <u>1</u> 4 | 107 15 29 <u>41</u> 192 | 41 6 13 <u>28</u> 88 | 43 8 12 <u>12</u> 75 | 23 1 4 <u>1</u> 29 |
| District 14 | | | | | | | | |
| Montgomery | 2 | 39 | 21 | 1 | 63 | 33 | 22 | 8 |
| District 15 | | | | | | | | |
| Graham Rooks Sheridan Sherman Thomas Total | 0 0 0 0 0 | 9 23 14 4 <u>15</u> 65 | 14 10 11 13 <u>10</u> 58 | 3 1 0 | 26 34 25 17 <u>29</u> 131 | 10 19 15 5 <u>11</u> 60 | 15 14 9 6 <u>15</u> 59 | $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 6 \\ -3 \\ 12 \end{array} $ |

142

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | | FILINGS | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| | Under Std. Proc. | Under Simp. Proc. | <u>Total</u> | Out of County | Out of State |
| District 16 | | | | | |
| Clark Comanche Ford Gray Kiowa Meade Total | 13 11 11 9 24 3 71 71 | 1 0 49 8 1 <u>27</u> 86 | 14 11 60 17 25 <u>30</u> 157 | $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -3 \\ \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - 0 \\ - 3 \\ \end{array} $ |
| District 17 | | | | | |
| Cheyenne Decatur Norton Osborne Phillips Rawlins Smith Total | 15 15 28 30 40 17 34 179 | 0 18 9 20 5 3 <u>2</u> 57 | $ \begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 33 \\ 37 \\ 50 \\ 45 \\ 20 \\ \underline{36} \\ \overline{236} \end{array} $ | 0 1 0 3 1 2 <u>1</u> 8 | $0 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 6 \\ 1 \\ -4 \\ -5$ |
| District 18 | | | | | |
| Sedgwick | 542 | 91 | 633 | 0 | 3 |
| District 19 | | | | | |
| Barber Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total | 57 68 43 68 76 78 390 | 3 12 0 4 2 <u>9</u> 30 | 60 80 43 72 78 <u>87</u> 420 | 13 0 24 9 0 46 | 3 1 4 3 0 <u>1</u> 12 |
| District ²⁰ | | | | | |
| Barton Ellsworth Rice Russell Stafford Total | 109 31 71 28 <u>36</u> 275 | 27 26 7 4 <u>1</u> 65 | 136 57 78 32 <u>37</u> 340 | 4 1 6 0 <u>0</u> 11 | 2 1 4 1 <u>6</u> .14 |
| District 21 | | | | | |
| Clay Riley Total | 60 <u>53</u> 113 | 0 <u>12</u> 12 | 60 <u>65</u> 125 | 5 1 6 | 0 <u>1</u> _1 |

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

| | | | | | Age At Disposition | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| | Letters Refused | | ers Granted Inv./App. | | Total Disp. | 12 Mo. Or Less | <u>13-24 Mo.</u> | Over <u>24 Mo.</u> |
| District 16 | | | | | | | | |
| Clark Comanche Ford Gray Kiowa Meade Total | 1 0 0 0 0 1 | 0 9 42 14 1 <u>11</u> 77 | 0 10 10 9 8 <u>0</u> 37 | 0 1 0 1 <u>1</u> 3 | 1 20 52 23 10 <u>12</u> 118 | 1 7 18 4 2 <u>9</u> 41 | 0 10 25 14 5 <u>3</u> 57 | 0 3 5 3 0 20 |
| District 17 | | | | | | | | |
| Cheyenne Decatur Norton Osborne Phillips Rawlins Smith Total | 0 0 1 0 1 0 <u>0</u> 2 | 0 12 2 13 18 12 <u>18</u> 75 | 12 8 3 39 21 19 121 1 | 2 1 6 0 1 0 0 10 | 14 21 52 41 31 <u>37</u> 208 | 8 10 11 19 17 15 <u>16</u> 96 | 5 10 1 26 20 13 <u>18</u> 93 | 1 0 7 4 3 <u>3</u> 19 |
| District 18 | | | | | | | | |
| Sedgwick | 1 | 357 | 173 | 7 | 538 | 252 | 201 | 85 |
| District 19 | | | | | | | | |
| Barber Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total | $2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{3}$ | 19 47 12 5 31 <u>56</u> 170 | 21 21 42 47 13 <u>9</u> 153 | 7 0 0 2 <u>5</u> 14 | 49 68 54 52 46 71 340 | 28 39 22 22 29 <u>33</u> 173 | 16 24 22 28 16 <u>22</u> 128 | 5 5 10 2 1 <u>16</u> 39 |
| District 20 | | | | | | | | |
| Barton Ellsworth Rice Russell Stafford Total | 0 1 0 0 <u>0</u> 1 | 43 28 9 5 <u>24</u> 109 | $ \begin{array}{r} 42 \\ 14 \\ 24 \\ 15 \\ \underline{11} \\ 106 \end{array} $ | 4 0 2 2 0 8 | 89 43 35 22 <u>35</u> 224 | 35 37 12 14 <u>6</u> 104 | 45 3 15 7 <u>18</u> 88 | 9 3 1 11 32 |
| District 21 | | | | | | | | |
| Clay Riley Total | 0 0 0 | 47 <u>44</u> 91 | 3 <u>12</u> 15 | $\begin{array}{r} 13\\ -0\\ 13 \end{array}$ | 63 <u>56</u> 119 | 34 62 | 26 _24 _50 | 3 |

э

.

144

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| F | Ι | L | Ι | N | G | S | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | | | | |

| | Under Std. Proc. | Under Simp. Proc. | Total | Out of County | Out of State |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| District 22 | | | | | |
| Brown Doniphan Marshall Nemaha Total | 34 20 71 <u>33</u> 158 | 9 4 2 <u>1</u> 16 | 43 24 73 <u>34</u> 174 | 1 0 1 | 1 0 1 <u>0</u> 2 |
| District 23 | | | | | |
| Ellis Gove Logan Trego Wallace Total | 55 20 22 35 <u>19</u> 151 | 8 5 0 2 <u>0</u> 15 | 63 25 22 37 <u>19</u> 166 | 1 0 1 5 <u>2</u> 9 | 2 5 1 0 <u>-5</u> 13 |
| District 24 | | | | | |
| Edwards Hodgeman Lane Ness Pawnee Rush Total | 30 8 5 28 54 <u>31</u> 156 | 3 10 10 0 0 <u>0</u> 23 | 33 18 15 28 54 <u>31</u> 179 | 0 3 0 3 <u>1</u> 7 | 0 0 1 <u>1</u> 3 |
| District 25 | | | | | |
| Finney Greeley Hamilton Kearny Scott Wichita Total | 83 9 5 9 20 <u>15</u> 141 | 5 1 0 1 0 <u>2</u> 9 | 88 10 5 10 20 <u>17</u> 150 | 17 0 1 0 1 <u>1</u> 20 | 5 0 1 2 2 |
| District 26 | | | | | |
| Grant Haskell Morton Seward Stanton Stevens Total | 4 17 35 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 | $ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 2 \\ 24 \\ 29 \\ 2 \\ - 2 \\ \overline{69} \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 19 \\ 59 \\ 72 \\ 10 \\ \underline{38} \\ 212 \\ \end{array} $ | 0 1 18 10 4 -2 35 | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ - \frac{8}{14} \end{array} $ |
| District 27 | | | | | |
| Reno | 112 | 59 | 171 | 0 | 3 |

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

| | | | | | t Dispositi | isposition | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Letters Refused | Inv./Val. | Inv./App. | No Inv. | Total Disp. | 12 Mo. Or Less | 13-24 Mo. | Over 24 Mo. |
| District 22 | | | | | | | | |
| Brown Doniphan Marshall Nemaha Total | 2 0 0 | 15 14 22 22 73 | $12 \\ 7 \\ 16 \\ 12 \\ 47$ | 0 0 0 0 | 29 21 38 <u>34</u> 122 | 10 15 19 <u>14</u> 58 | 13 6 16 <u>7</u> 42 | 6 0 3 <u>13</u> 22 |
| District 23 | | | | | | | | |
| Ellis Gove Logan Trego Wallace Total | 0 0 0 0 0 | 7 3 1 19 <u>1</u> 31 | 60 14 12 10 <u>5</u> 101 | 6 0 0 0 0 6 | 73 17 13 29 <u>6</u> 138 | 24 11 10 18 <u>3</u> 67 | 28 5 2 10 -2 47 | $ \begin{array}{c} 21 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ - 0 \\ - 24 \end{array} $ |
| District 24 | | | | | | | | |
| Edwards Hodgeman Lane Ness Pawnee Rush Total | 0 0 1 1 0 <u>0</u> 2 | 11 0 15 8 19 <u>8</u> 61 | 6 0 3 22 24 <u>12</u> 67 | 11 0 1 3 <u>-8</u> 24 | 28 0 20 32 46 <u>28</u> 154 | 17 0 7 18 18 <u>5</u> 65 | 9 0 12 9 26 <u>18</u> 74 | 2 0 1 5 2 5 15 |
| District 25 | | | | | | | | |
| Finney Greeley Hamilton Kearny Scott Wichita Total | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $ 18 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 13 \\ - \frac{6}{45} $ | 18 5 8 0 10 <u>13</u> 54 | 1 0 3 1 0 <u>0</u> 5 | 37 10 12 3 23 <u>19</u> 104 | 7 6 2 9 <u>7</u> 33 | 17 0 3 1 12 <u>6</u> 39 | 13 4 7 0 2 <u>-6</u> 32 |
| District 26 | | | | | | | | |
| Grant Haskell Morton Seward Stanton Stevens Total | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 5 4 0 1 <u>7</u> 23 | 4 5 11 0 7 <u>2</u> 29 | 0 7 8 0 <u>-</u> 17 | 9 9 24 8 <u>11</u> 69 | 4 13 8 0 <u>11</u> 40 | 4 3 2 0 1 <u>0</u> 10 | 1 2 9 0 7 0 19 |
| District 27 | | | | | | | | |
| Reno | 1 | 110 | 46 | 0 | 157 | 88 | 52 | 17 |

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | | FILINGS | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| | Under Std. Proc. | Under Simp. Proc. | <u>Total</u> | Out of County | Out of State |
| District 28 | | | | | |
| Ottawa Saline Total | 22 <u>62</u> 84 | $-\frac{30}{32}$ | 24 <u>92</u> 116 | 0 0 0 | $0\\ \underline{1}\\ 1$ |
| District 29 | | | | | |
| Wyandotte | 132 | 93 | 225 | 1 | 4 |
| STATE TOTAL | 4,841 | 1,384 | 6,225 | 194 | 134 |

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

| | Letters Letters Granted T | | | | Total | Age At Dispositi | | |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | Refused | Inv./Val. | Inv./App. | No Inv. | Disp. | 12 Mo. Or Less | 13-24 Mo. | Over |
| District 28 | | | <u>1, 1</u> | no mv. | <u>D139.</u> | 01 1.635 | 13-24 110. | <u>24 Mo.</u> |
| Ottawa Saline Total | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{array} $ | 1 <u>34</u> 35 | 19 <u>29</u> 48 | 0 0 0 | 20 <u>64</u> 84 | 15 _43 _58 | $\frac{15}{18}$ | 2 6 8 |
| District 29 | | | | | | | | |
| Wyandotte | 0 | 198 | 15 | 0 | 213 | 131 | · 61 | 21 |
| STATE TOTAL | 61 | 2,758 | 2,036 | 230 | 5,085 | 2,637 | 1,801 | 647 |

GUARDIANSHIP AND CONSERVATORSHIP ESTATES CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | FILINGS BY TYPE BY NATURE | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | Guardianship | BY TYPE Conservatorship | Both | Total | | Involuntary | | |
| <u>District 1</u> | | | <u></u> | 1000 | voluntary | Involuncaly | | |
| Atchison Leavenworth Total | $\frac{2}{-\frac{2}{4}}$ | $\begin{array}{r}12\\-21\\-33\end{array}$ | 24 <u>15</u> 39 | 38 <u>38</u> 76 | $\frac{14}{20}$ | 32 <u>24</u> 56 | | |
| District 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Jackson Jefferson Pottawatomie Wabaunsee Total | $\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 1\\ 0\\ \underline{1}\\ 2 \end{array}$ | $ \begin{array}{r} 5\\ 4\\ 6\\ -2\\ 17 \end{array} $ | 3 9 6 <u>5</u> 23 | 8 14 12 <u>8</u> 42 | 7 8 7 -1 23 | 1 6 5 <u>7</u> 19 | | |
| District 3 | | | | | | | | |
| Shawnee | 33 | 73 | 100 | 206 | 42 | 164 | | |
| District 4 | | | | | | | | |
| Allen Anderson Coffey Franklin Osage Woodson Total | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - 1 \\ - 2 \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 4 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ \underline{5} \\ 48 \end{array} $ | 8 4 5 9 <u>1</u> 35 | 25 8 16 13 16 7 85 | 15 1 9 12 <u>6</u> 52 | 10 7 4 4 <u>1</u> 33 | | |
| District 5 | | | | | | | | |
| Chase Lyon Total | 0 - <u>1</u> 1 | $\frac{4}{11}$ | 0 | 4 <u>27</u> 31 | $\begin{array}{r} 2\\ -\underline{12}\\ 14 \end{array}$ | 2 <u>15</u> 17 | | |
| <u>District 6</u> | | | | | | | | |
| Bourbon Linn Miami Total | 0 0 <u>0</u> 0 | 5 4 <u>8</u> 17 | $ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 4\\ \underline{5}\\ 21 \end{array} $ | 17 8 <u>13</u> 38 | 6 2 <u>7</u> 15 | $ \begin{array}{c} 11\\ 6\\ \underline{-6}\\ 23 \end{array} $ | | |
| District 7 | | | | | | | | |
| Douglas | 3 | 11 | 9 | 23 | 14 | 9 | | |
| District 8 | | | | | | | | |
| Dickinson Geary Marion Morris Total | 5 7 0 <u>0</u> 12 | $ \begin{array}{r} 11\\ 12\\ 4\\ \underline{}\\ 28\end{array} $ | 12 6 3 <u>5</u> 26 | 28 25 7 <u>6</u> 66 | 17 8 3 <u>1</u> 29 | $ \begin{array}{r} 11\\ 17\\ 4\\ \underline{}\\ 37\end{array} $ | | |

CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | | DISPOSITIONS | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | TY | PE OF INVENTORY - | | Total |
| | Valuation | Appraisal | None | Closed |
| District 1 | | | | |
| Atchison | 1 | 0 | 6 | 7 |
| Leavenworth | $\frac{2}{3}$ | <u> 4 </u> | $\frac{12}{18}$ | 18 |
| Total | 3 | 4 | 18 | 25 |
| <u>District 2</u> | | | | |
| Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jefferson | 0 | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Pottawatomie Wabaunsee | 4 | 2 | 2 | 8 |
| Total | $\frac{1}{5}$ | $\frac{3}{6}$ | <u> 0</u> <u> 8</u> | $\frac{4}{19}$ |
| District 3 | | | | |
| Shawnee | 27 | 20 | 59 | 106 |
| District 4 | | | | |
| Allen | 2 | 1 | 6 | 9 |
| Anderson | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Coffey | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| Franklin Osage | 2 0 | 5 | 1 | 8 |
| Woodson | | С О | 0 | 5 1 |
| Total | $\frac{1}{9}$ | 5 5 <u>0</u> 12 | <u> 0</u> <u> 8</u> | |
| District 5 | | | | |
| Chase | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Lyon | $\frac{0}{1}$ | <u>-2</u> 3 | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 4 |
| Total | Ţ | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| <u>District 6</u> | | | | |
| Bourbon | 1 | 3 | 3 | 7 |
| Linn Miami | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Total | 1 3 <u>-6</u> 10 | <u> 4</u> 7 | $\frac{2}{6}$ | $\frac{12}{23}$ |
| District 7 | | | | |
| Douglas | 3 | 1 | 9 | 13 |
| District 8 | | | | |
| Dickinson | 8 | 2 | 4 | 14 |
| Geary | 1 2 11 | 1 3 | 8 | 10 |
| Marion | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 |
| Morris Total | $-\frac{0}{11}$ | •6 | $\frac{1}{14}$ | $\frac{1}{31}$ |
| IULAI | 11 | U | 14 14 | JL |

•

CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | FILINGS | | | BY NATURE | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| | Guardianship | BY TYPE Conservatorship | Both | Total | | |
| District 9 | | | 2000 | | voidinaly | Involuntary |
| Harvey McPherson Total | 0 1 1 | 12 5 17 | $\begin{array}{r}10\\\underline{6}\\16\end{array}$ | 22 <u>12</u> 34 | $\frac{11}{\underline{3}}$ | 11 <u>9</u> 20 |
| District 10 | | | | | | |
| Johnson | 34 | 37 | 33 | 104 | 8 | 96 |
| District 11 | | | | | | |
| Cherokee Crawford Labette Neosho Wilson Total | 0 2 5 0 <u>0</u> 7 | 6 9 5 3 <u>4</u> 27 | 21 30 26 8 <u>12</u> 97 | 27 41 36 11 <u>16</u> 131 | $ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 5\\ 2\\ -4\\ -28\end{array} \end{array} $ | 15 36 31 9 <u>12</u> 103 |
| District 12 | | | | | | |
| Cloud Jewell Lincoln Mitchell Republic Washington Total | 0 0 0 0 | 7 4 3 0 8 <u>-2</u> 24 | $ \begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ \underline{1} \\ 25 \end{array} $ | 18 10 3 12 | 6 2 3 1 7 <u>0</u> 19 | $ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 8\\ 0\\ 2\\ 5\\ -4\\ 31 \end{array} $ |
| District 13 | | | | | | |
| Butler Chautauqua Elk Greenwood Total | $\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 0\\ 0\\ \underline{1}\\ 3\end{array}$ | 10 2 1 <u></u> | 23 2 2 2 29 | 35 4 3 <u>11</u> 53 | 9 3 1 <u>10</u> 23 | 26 1 2 <u>1</u> 30 |
| District 14 | | | | | | |
| Montgomery | 3 | 11 | 13 | 27 | 17 | 10 |
| District 15 | | | | | | |
| Graham Rooks Sheridan Sherman Thomas Total | 0 0 2 0 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 2\\ 5\\ 0\\ 4\\ \underline{2}\\ 1.3 \end{array}$ | 3 1 3 | 5 6 1 9 <u>2</u> 23 | 4 6 1 2 <u>2</u> 15 | 1 0 0 7 <u>0</u> 8 |

\$

150

GUARDIANSHIP AND CONSERVATORSHIP ESTATES CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

1

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | т | DISPOSITIONS | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| | Valuation 1 | YPE OF INVENTORY Appraisal | None | Total Closed |
| District 9 | | | | |
| Harvey McPherson Total | 5 | _5 0 _5 | 14 14 | 24 0 _24 |
| District 10 | | | | |
| Johnson | 44 | 28 | 16 | 88 |
| <u>District 11</u> | | | | |
| Cherokee Crawford Labette Neosho Wilson Total | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4} \end{array} $ | 0 6 0 3 <u>1</u> 10 | $3 \\ 16 \\ 11 \\ 3 \\ -1 \\ -34$ | 3 25 11 6 <u>3</u> 48 |
| District 12 | | | | |
| Cloud Jewell Lincoln Mitchell Republic Washington Total | 3 0 1 2 1 <u>2</u> 9 | $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 8 \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 6 \\ \underline{3} \\ 10 \end{array} $ | 4 3 2 4 7 7 27 |
| District 13 | | | | |
| Butler Chautauqua Elk Greenwood Total | 2 2 0 <u>2</u> 6 | 3 1 1 <u>0</u> 5 | 6 0 0 0 6 | $ \begin{array}{r} 11\\ 3\\ 1\\ \underline{2}\\ 1.7 \end{array} $ |
| District 14 | | | | |
| Montgomery | 2 | 10 | 4 | 16 |
| District 15 | | | | |
| Graham Rooks Sheridan Sherman Thomas Total | 0 0 1 <u>0</u> 1 | $\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 3\\ 0\\ 0\\ -\underline{1}\\ -\underline{4} \end{array}$ | 2 5 0 7 <u>0</u> 14 | 2 8 0 8 <u>1</u> 19 |

152

CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978 BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | Guardianship | BY TYPE Conservatorship | Both | Total | | ATURE Involuntary |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| District 16 | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Invoruntary |
| Clark Comanche Ford Gray Kiowa Meade Total | 0 1 0 · 0 0 | $ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 0 \\ 16 \\ 1 \\ -3 \\ -23 \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 1\\ 0\\ 8\\ 4\\ 6\\ \underline{2}\\ 21\end{array} \end{array} $ | 3 1 24 5 7 <u>5</u> 45 | 1 8 1 3 <u>4</u> 18 | $2 \\ 0 \\ 16 \\ 4 \\ -\frac{1}{27}$ |
| District 17 | | | | | | |
| Cheyenne Decatur Norton Osborne Phillips Rawlins Smith Total | | 0 0 6 8 7 2 <u>2</u> 25 | 0 0 1 6 1 3 $-$ 13 | $ \begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 14 \\ 8 \\ 5 \\ -4 \\ -38 \\ \end{array} $ | $\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 5\\ 9\\ 6\\ 0\\ -\underline{4}\\ 24 \end{array}$ | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ - 0 \\ - 14 \end{array} $ |
| District 18 | | | | | | |
| Sedgwick | 40 | 124 | 129 | 293 | 60 | 233 |
| District 19 | | | | | | |
| Barber Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total | 0 2 0 0 1 <u>2</u> 5 | $ \begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 14 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ \underline{14} \\ 38 \\ \end{array} $ | 6 39 3 5 15 12 80 | 6 55 4 10 20 <u>28</u> 123 | $ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 12 \\ 3 \\ 7 \\ 4 \\ -11 \\ 40 \\ \end{array} $ | 3 43 1 3 16 <u>17</u> 83 |
| District 20 | | | | | | |
| Barton Ellsworth Rice Russell Stafford Total | 1 0 0 0 <u>0</u> 1 | $ \begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ \underline{2} \\ 26 \\ \end{array} $ | 14 3 0 2 <u>5</u> 24 | 28 5 3 8 <u>7</u> 51 | 21 2 3 8 <u>2</u> 36 | 7 3 0 0 <u>5</u> 15 |
| District 21 | | | | | | |
| Clay Riley Total | 0 6 6 | 9 - <u>8</u> 17 | 3 <u>8</u> 11 | 12 22 34 | 12 <u>8</u> 20 | 0 <u>14</u> 14 |

.

ŧ

CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| District 16 | <u>Valuation</u> | DISPOSITIONS TYPE OF INVENTORY <u>Appraisal</u> | None | Total <u>Closed</u> |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Clark Comanche Ford Gray Kiowa Meade Total | 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - \frac{1}{4} \end{array} $ | 0 5 3 5 2 <u>3</u> 18 | 0 5 7 5 2 <u>4</u> 23 |
| District 17 | | | | |
| Cheyenne Decatur Norton Osborne Phillips Rawlins Smith Total | 0 0 2 1 0 <u>0</u> 3 | 0 0 0 1 0 | 0 0 0 2 0 <u>1</u> 3 | 0 0 2 4 0 <u>1</u> 7 |
| District 18 | | | | |
| Sedgwick | 103 | 10 | 12 | 125 |
| District 19 | | | | |
| Barber Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total | 1 8 1 0 0 <u>15</u> 25 | 4 2 2 0 <u>0</u> 12 | 0 6 0 1 -16 23 | 5 18 3 2 1 <u>31</u> 60 |
| District 20 | | | | |
| Barton Ellsworth Rice Russell Stafford Total | $\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ -\frac{3}{3} \end{array}$ | $ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 0\\ 2\\ -\frac{1}{4} \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{c} 11\\ 0\\ 1\\ -\frac{1}{13} \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ \underline{5} \\ 20 \\ 20 \end{array} $ |
| District 21 | | | | |
| Clay Riley Total | $\frac{0}{2}$ | 0 2 2 | 1 _ <u>13</u> _14 | $\frac{1}{17}$ |

CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | FILINGS | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Guardianship | BY TYPE Conservatorship | Both | Total | | ATURE Involuntary | |
| District 22 | | | | | | 1 | |
| Brown Doniphan Marshall Nemaha Total | 0 1 0 <u>0</u> 1 | 5 4 8 <u>5</u> 22 | 4 6 11 <u>5</u> 26 | 9 11 19 <u>10</u> 49 | 8 1 8 <u>-7</u> 24 | $ \begin{array}{r}1\\10\\11\\\underline{3}\\25\end{array}$ | |
| District 23 | | | | | | | |
| Ellis Gove Logan Trego Wallace Total | 0 1 0 0 <u>0</u> 1 | 9 1 0 3 <u>3</u> 16 | 10 2 2 1 17 | $ \begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ -4 \\ \overline{34} \end{array} $ | 11 2 0 2 <u>3</u> 18 | 8 2 2 3 <u>1</u> 16 | |
| District 24 | | | | | | | |
| Edwards Hodgeman Lane Ness Pawnee Rush Total | 0 0 0 1 | $2 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ -4 \\ -22$ | 4 1 2 3 <u>5</u> 16 | 6 4 7 6 7 <u>9</u> 39 | 1 2 5 6 2 <u>3</u> 19 | 5 2 0 5 <u>6</u> 20 | |
| District 25 | | | | | | | |
| Finney Greeley Hamilton Kearny Scott Wichita Total | 1 1 0 0 <u>1</u> 4 | 9 3 1 3 <u>5</u> 24 | 9 1 2 1 0 <u>1</u> 14 | 19 5 4 3 <u>7</u> 42 | 3 1 2 4 1 <u>-6</u> 17 | $ \begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ \underline{1} \\ 25 \end{array} $ | |
| District 26 | | | | | | | |
| Grant Haskell Morton Seward Stanton Stevens Total | 1 0 1 0 0 | 2 2 1 5 0 <u>1</u> 11 | 1 4 1 6 0 <u>0</u> 12 | $4 \\ 6 \\ 3 \\ 11 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 25$ | 4 3 8 0 <u>1</u> 20 | 0 2 0 3 0 0 5 | |
| District 27 | | | | | | | |
| Reno | 3 | 14 | 35 | 52 | 17 | 35 | |

CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | Valuation | DISPOSITIONS PE OF INVENTORY Appraisal | None | Total <u>Closed</u> |
|--|---------------------------------|--|---|--|
| District 22 | | | | |
| Brown Doniphan Marshall Nemaha Total | 1 1 4 - <u>1</u> 7 | 1 1 5 <u>1</u> 8 | 1 4 3 <u>5</u> 13 | 3 6 12 7 28 |
| District 23 | | | | |
| Ellis Gove Logan Trego Wallace Total | 0 0 1 <u>0</u> 1 | 0 0 1 0 1 | 13 0 0 3 <u>0</u> 16 | 13 0 0 5 <u>0</u> 18 |
| District 24 | | | | |
| Edwards Hodgeman Lane Ness Pawnee Rush Total | | 0 0 4 1 <u>1</u> 6 | 1 0 2 1 2 <u>5</u> 11 | 1 0 2 5 3 <u>-6</u> 17 |
| District 25 | | | | |
| Finney Greeley Hamilton Kearny Scott Wichita Total | 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 | 0 0 0 0 <u>3</u> 3 | 3 0 0 0 <u>3</u> 6 | 3 1 0 0 0 <u>6</u> 10 |
| District 26 | | | | |
| Grant Haskell Morton Seward Stanton Stevens Total | 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 | 0 1 2 0 0 0 3 | 0 1 2 0 0 0 0 3 | 0 3 4 0 0 7 |
| District 27 | | | | |
| Reno | 14 | 8 | 14 | 36 |

156

CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| FILINGS | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| District 28 | Guardianship | BY TYPE Conservatorship | Both | Total | | NATURE Involuntary | | | | |
| Ottawa Saline Total | 0 | 1 <u>18</u> 19 | 0 _ <u>16</u> _16 | 1 <u>38</u> 39 | $\frac{1}{\frac{16}{17}}$ | 0 <u>22</u> 22 | | | | |
| District 29 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wyandotte | 21 | 32 | 52 | 105 | 38 | 67 | | | | |
| STATE TOTAL | 198 | 805 | 955 | 1,958 | 701 | 1,257 | | | | |

ŝ

CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | | DISPOSIT | TIONS | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| | Valuatio | TYPE OF INVER | | Total <u>Closed</u> |
| District 28 | | | | |
| Ottawa Saline Total | $-\frac{0}{-\frac{4}{4}}$ | $\frac{1}{\frac{2}{3}}$ | 0 <u>4</u> 4 | 1 _ <u>10</u> _11 |
| District 29 | | | | |
| Wyandotte | 10 | 12 | 6 | 28 |
| STATE TOTAL | 315 | 206 | 378 | 899 |

.

-

158

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | | TRI | USTS | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|
| | Created By Will | Created Other | Total Opened | Total Closed | Determinations Of Descent | Miscel- laneous |
| District 1 | | | | | <u></u> | <u></u> |
| Atchison Leavenworth Total | 4 0 4 | 1 1 | 5 0 _5 | 0 0 0 | 24 40 64 | 44 45 |
| District 2 | | | | | | |
| Jackson Jefferson Pottawatomie Wabaunsee Total | $\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 2\\ 0\\ -\underline{0}\\ 2\end{array}$ | 0 0 0 0 | 0 2 0 <u>0</u> 2 | 0 0 1 0 1 | 13 15 19 <u>21</u> 68 | 0 6 2 |
| District 3 | | | | | | |
| Shawnee | 20 | . 1 | 21 | 13 | 69 | 4 |
| District 4 | | | | | | |
| Allen Anderson Coffey Franklin Osage Woodson Total | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ - 0 \\ - 8 \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ -5 \\ -8 \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ -5 \\ 16 \end{array} $ | $\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 1\\ 1\\ \underline{5}\\ 7 \end{array}$ | 0 5 1 25 9 <u>11</u> 51 | 1 7 16 5 0 <u>30</u> 59 |
| District 5 | | | | | | |
| Chase Lyon Total | 1 4 5 | 0 0 0 | $ \frac{1}{4} 5 $ | 0 -1 1 | 8 <u>48</u> 56 | 18 <u>35</u> 53 |
| District 6 | | | | | | |
| Bourbon Linn Miami Total | 0 1 <u>3</u> 4 | 0 0 <u>0</u> 0 | 0 1 | $\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 0\\ -\underline{0}\\ 2 \end{array}$ | 22 18 <u>25</u> 65 | 3 29 <u>0</u> 32 |
| District 7 | | | | | | |
| Douglas | 7 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 40 | 90 |
| District 8 | | | | | | |
| Dickinson Geary Marion Morris Total | 1 41 2 <u>2</u> 46 | 0 14 0 <u>0</u> 14 | 1 55 2 <u>2</u> 60 | 0 18 1 19 | 35 0 22 <u>13</u> 70 | 36 3 0 42 |

ł

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | ب الربع بينيم وحد خدار بينيم جب الله الربي بينية | TR | USTS | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | Created By Will | Created Other | Total Opened | Total Closed | Determinations Of Descent | Miscel- laneous |
| District 9 | | | | | | - <u> </u> |
| Harvey McPherson Total | 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 | $\frac{1}{0}$ | 16 <u>32</u> 48 | $\begin{array}{c} 0\\ \underline{11}\\ 11 \end{array}$ |
| <u>District 10</u> | | | | | | |
| Johnson | 10 | 4 | 14 | 8 | 64 | 74 |
| District 11 | | | | | | |
| Cherokee Crawford Labette Neosho Wilson Total | 2 3 1 1 <u>2</u> 9 | 0 0 0 0 0 | 2 3 1 2 9 | $\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 2\\ 0\\ \hline 0\\ \hline 2\end{array}$ | 44 41 59 25 <u>19</u> 188 | 80 4 17 0 2 103 103 |
| District 12 | | | | | | |
| Cloud Jewell Lincoln Mitchell Republic Washington Total | 1 0 2 1 1 <u>0</u> 5 | | $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -0 \\ 5 \end{array} $ | 0 2 0 1 1 2 4 | 30 9 6 13 14 <u>12</u> 84 | 12 2 0 0 0 0 |
| District 13 | | | | | | |
| Butler Chautauqua Elk Greenwood Total | $ \begin{array}{r} 1\\ 0\\ 1\\ -\frac{2}{4} \end{array} $ | 0 0 0 0 | $1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ - 2 \\ - 4$ | 0 C 1 <u>0</u> 1 | 44 10 11 <u>11</u> 76 | 8 13 <u>10</u> 32 |
| District 14 | | | | | | |
| Montgomery | 4 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 69 | 62 |
| District 15 | | | | | | |
| Graham Rooks Sheridan Sherman Thomas Total | 0 1 2 <u>3</u> 7 | 0 0 4 0 <u>4</u> 8 | 0 1 5 2 -7 15 | 0 4 0 4 -7 15 | 9 9 17 11 <u>26</u> 72 | 0 22 0 11 10 43 |

3

160

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | | TRI | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| | Created By Will | Created _Other | Total Opened | Total Closed | Determinations Of Descent | Miscel- |
| District 16 | | | | | <u>or bescent</u> | laneous |
| Clark Comanche Ford Gray Kiowa Meade Total | $ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 0\\ 3\\ 2\\ -4\\ 12 \end{array} $ | 0 36 0 0 <u>0</u> 36 | $1 \\ 0 \\ 39 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 48$ | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 31 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ - 34 \end{array} $ | 2 22 11 5 - <u>2</u> 44 | 24 6 3 20 0 <u>5</u> 58 |
| District 17 | | | | | | |
| Cheyenne Decatur Norton Osborne Phillips Rawlins Smith Total | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ - \\ - \\ 4 \end{array} $ | | $\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 2\\ 0\\ 2\\ 0\\ -0\\ -4 \end{array}$ | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ - 0 \\ - 2 \end{array} $ | 13 10 0 10 17 7 <u>12</u> 69 | $2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 8 \\ 12 \\ -5 \\ -27$ |
| District 18 | | | | | | |
| Sedgwick | 38 | 1 | 39 | 13 | 141 | 34 |
| District 19 | | | | | | |
| Barber Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total | $4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ -5 \\ 12$ | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -0 \\ 3 \end{array} $ | 4 1 2 1 2 <u>5</u> 15 | 1 4 1 0 1 <u>0</u> 7 | 8 9 11 11 0 <u>27</u> 66 | 4 0 18 19 36 <u>27</u> 104 |
| District 20 | | | | | | |
| Barton Ellsworth Rice Russell Stafford Total District 21 | 9 1 1 | $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - 0 \\ - 1 \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ 15 \end{array} $ | 3 0 1 0 <u>1</u> 5 | 20 12 17 17 <u>18</u> 84 | $ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 14 \\ 2 \\ 7 \\ \underline{14} \\ 38 \\ 38 \end{array} $ |
| | , | _ | | | | |
| Clay Riley Total | 4 6 | $\frac{1}{0}$ | 5 <u>2</u> 7 | $\frac{0}{2}$ | 8 <u>11</u> 19 | 0 -2 2 |

-

OTHER PROBATE MATTERS, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | | TR | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| | Created By Will | Created Other | Total Opened | Total <u>Closed</u> | Determinations Of Descent | Miscel- laneous |
| District 22 | | | | | | |
| Brown Doniphan Marshall Nemaha Total | $ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 1\\ 0\\ -2\\ -4 \end{array} $ | 0 0 1 <u>0</u> 1 | 1 1 | 0 3 1 -1 5 | 23 20 27 <u>10</u> 80 | |
| District 23 | | | | | | |
| Ellis Gove Logan Trego Wallace Total | 3 0 0 <u>6</u> 9 | 0 0 0 7 7 7 | 3 0 0 <u>13</u> 16 | 0 0 0 | 20 3 7 7 -4 41 | 31 19 0 2 <u>2</u> 54 |
| District 24 | | | | | | |
| Edwards Hodgeman Lane Ness Pawnee Rush Tocal | $\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 1\\ 0\\ 0\\ 1\\ \underline{}\\ \underline{}\\ 4 \end{array}$ | 0 0 1 0 0 <u>0</u> 1 | 0 1 1 0 .1 <u>2</u> 5 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 8 2 5 19 7 5 46 | $26 \\ 0 \\ 21 \\ 1 \\ 23 \\ -1 \\ 72$ |
| District 25 | | | | | | |
| Finney Greeley Hamilton Kearny Scott Wichita Total | 0 1 2 0 0 0 | $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ - 0 \\ 2 \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \underline{0} \\ \overline{5} \end{array} $ | 0 0 1 0 | $ 13 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 11 \\ 6 \\ -5 \\ -44 $ | $\begin{array}{c} 22\\1\\1\\3\\-\underline{0}\\28\end{array}$ |
| District 26 | | | | | | |
| Grant Haskell Morton Seward Stanton Stevens Total | 1 9 0 0 | 0 0 17 0 0 <u>0</u> 17 | 1 26 0 0 <u>0</u> 28 | 0 0 10 0 0 0 10 | 4 3 57 0 <u>10</u> 78 | $ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 18 \\ 18 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ - 4 \\ - 52 \\ \end{array} $ |
| District 27 | | | | | | |
| Reno | 12 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 74 | 24 |

161

.

OTHER PROBATE MATTERS, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978 BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| TRUSTS | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| District 28 | Created By Will | Created Other | Total Opened | Total Closed | Determinations Of Descent | Miscel- laneous | | |
| Ottawa Saline Total | 2 6 | 0 2 2 | 2 6 8 | 0 6 6 | $\frac{11}{44}$ | $\begin{array}{r}10\\-2\\12\end{array}$ | | |
| District 29 | | | | | | | | |
| Wyandotte | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 130 | 25 | | |
| STATE TOTAL | 276 | 108 | 384 | 179 | 2,055 | 1,202 | | |

į

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | Informal Juvenile Cases Filed | Small Claims Cases Filed | Adoption Proceedings Begun | Mental Illness Treatment Proceedings | Alcoholism Treatment Proceedings |
|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| District 1 | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | |
| Atchison Leavenworth Total | 193 <u>57</u> 250 | 158 <u>191</u> 349 | 18 54 72 | $\begin{array}{r} 13\\ \underline{31}\\ \underline{44} \end{array}$ | 24 _10 _34 |
| District 2 | | | | | |
| Jackson Jefferson Pottawatomie Wabaunsee Total | 16 9 6 <u>0</u> 31 | 99 57 103 <u>16</u> 275 | 5 17 13 <u>8</u> 43 | 5 4 0 <u>3</u> 12 | 20 2 0 -21 43 |
| District 3 | | | ، مر : | | |
| Shawnee | 1,974 | 619 | 107 | 168 | 31 |
| District 4 | | | | | |
| Allen Anderson Coffey Franklin Osage Woodson Total | $ \begin{array}{r} 26 \\ 102 \\ 56 \\ 155 \\ 116 \\ \underline{1} \\ 456 \\ \end{array} $ | 143 59 32 187 31 <u>39</u> 491 | 15 6 2 19 8 $-\frac{2}{52}$ | 0 3 5 12 4 $-\frac{2}{26}$ | 31 1 4 17 <u>0</u> 54 |
| District 5 | | | | | |
| Chase Lyon Total | 2 <u>627</u> 629 | 14 <u>142</u> 156 | 2 <u>32</u> 34 | 0 | $\frac{0}{2}$ |
| District 6 | | | | · | |
| Bourbon Linn Mi <i>a</i> mi Total | 119 3 52 174 | 127 66 <u>72</u> 265 | 9 2 7 18 | 5 2 <u>67</u> 74 | 7 2 <u>30</u> 39 |
| District 7 | | | | | |
| Douglas | 248 | 357 | 45 | 14 | 0 |
| District 8 | | | | | • |
| Dickinson Geary Marion Morris Total | 22 125 15 <u>3</u> 165 | 192 171 58 82 503 | 20 57 15 <u>4</u> 96 | 20 10 2 $-\frac{1}{33}$ | $ \begin{array}{c} 11\\0\\1\\-4\\-16\end{array} $ |

...

MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

164

.

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | Informal Juvenile Cases Filed | Small Claims Cases Filed | Adoption Proceedings Begun | Mental Illness Treatment Proceedings | Alcoholism Treatment Proceedings |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| District 9 | | | | 1948 B | |
| Harvey McPherson Total | 85 <u>69</u> 154 | 81 <u>17</u> 98 | 28 <u>12</u> 40 | 27 <u>5</u> 32 | 10 <u>11</u> 21 |
| District 10 | | | | | |
| Johnson | 4,111 | 738 | 217 | 39 | 16 |
| District 11 | | | | | |
| Cherokee Crawford Labette Neosho Wilson Total | 108 40 65 37 <u>0</u> 250 | 141 293 154 95 <u>68</u> 751 | 20 15 24 15 <u>13</u> 87 | 13 20 20 3 5 61 | 2 6 12 0 <u>3</u> 23 |
| District 12 | | | ÷ | | |
| Cloud Jewell Lincoln Mitchell Republic Washington Total | 69 0 29 23 0 123 | 76 30 26 31 40 <u>36</u> 239 | $ \begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 6 \\ 3 \\ - 4 \\ - 25 \\ \end{array} $ | 5 0 1 2 <u>3</u> 11 | 9 0 3 1 <u>3</u> 16 |
| District 13 | | | | | |
| Butler Chautauqua Elk Greenwood Total | 324 65 2 <u>0</u> 391 | 95 33 23 79 230 | 48 3 0 <u>9</u> 60 | 15 3 1 <u>6</u> 25 | 5 2 1 <u>4</u> 12 |
| District 14 | | | | | |
| Montgomery | 237 | 255 | 39 | 14 | 1 |
| District 15 | | | | | |
| Graham Rooks Sheridan Sherman Thomas Total | 0 27 12 108 <u>46</u> 193 | 22 59 4 28 49 162 | 5 2 4 7 <u>4</u> 22 | 0 7 0 1 <u>2</u> 10 | 2 6 0 7 <u>2</u> 17 |

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | Informal Juvenile Cases Filed | Small Claims Cases Filed | Adoption Proceedings Begun | Mental Illness Treatment Proceedings | Alcoholism Treatment Proceedings |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| District 16 | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | <u>an a far an an an an an an an Astron</u> |
| Clark Comanche Ford Gray Kiowa Meade Total | $ \begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 0 \\ 123 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ \underline{12} \\ 146 \\ 146 \\ \end{array} $ | 9 11 85 20 8 <u>59</u> 192 | 7 2 40 5 5 <u>1</u> 60 | 0 0 14 1 1 <u>0</u> 16 | 1 0 5 0 4 <u>0</u> 10 |
| District 17 | | | | | |
| Cheyenne Decatur Norton Osborne Phillips Rawlins Smith Total | $ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 11 \\ 34 \\ 21 \\ 12 \\ 34 \\ 0 \\ 116 \end{array} $ | 10 20 85 37 50 30 <u>21</u> 253 | $ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ -2 \\ 21 \end{array} $ | 0 1 6 7 0 4 <u>7</u> 25 | 0 0 8 2 1 2 0 13 |
| District 18 | | | | | |
| Sedgwick | 35 | 1,485 | 333 | 141 | 34 |
| District 19 | | | | | |
| Barber Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total | $ \begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 48 \\ 18 \\ 126 \\ 186 \\ \underline{0} \\ 380 \\ \end{array} $ | 49 114 54 79 56 <u>118</u> 470 | $ \begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 24 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 14 \\ \underline{21} \\ 83 \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 21 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 9 \\ \underline{2} \\ 41 \end{array} $ | 2 4 1 6 7 <u>0</u> 20 |
| District 20 | | | | | |
| Barton Ellsworth Rice Russell Stafford Total | 3 0 57 <u>13</u> 73 | 154 34 56 121 <u>29</u> 394 | 29 4 3 <u>4</u> 44 | $ \begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ -1 \\ 21 \end{array} $ | 13 0 3 3 <u>2</u> 21 |
| District 21 | | | | | |
| Clay Riley Total | 18 94 112 | 41 <u>252</u> 293 | 3 <u>34</u> 37 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{0}{2}$ |

्य

1.

166

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

| | Informal Juvenile Cases Filed | Small Claims Cases Filed | Adoption Proceedings Begun | Mental Illness Treatment Proceedings | Alcoholism Treatment Proceedings |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| District 22 | | | | | <u></u> |
| Brown Doniphan Marshall Nemaha Total | 14 0 0 <u>26</u> 40 | 127 64 58 48 297 | 15 13 9 4 41 | 4 3 5 7 19 | 5 1 <u>20</u> 27 |
| District 23 | | | | | |
| Ellis Gove Logan Trego Wallace Total | $ \begin{array}{r} 403 \\ 11 \\ 4 \\ 13 \\ \underline{2} \\ 433 \end{array} $ | $212 \\ 2 \\ 18 \\ 33 \\ 21 \\ 286$ | 12 2 5 2 <u>1</u> 22 | 25 2 2 1 $-\frac{1}{31}$ | 14 1 2 0 <u>1</u> 18 |
| District 24 | | | | | |
| Edwards Hodgeman Lane Ness Pawnee Rush Total | $ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 0 \\ 13 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ \underline{11} \\ 30 \\ \end{array} $ | $26 \\ 11 \\ 4 \\ 35 \\ 56 \\ -12 \\ 144$ | 4 1 3 4 1 <u>1</u> 14 | $ \begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 129 \\ \underline{3} \\ 136 \end{array} $ | $ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 0 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ 81 \\ -2 \\ 90 \end{array} $ |
| District 25 | | | | | |
| Finney Greeley Hamilton Kearny Scott Wichita Total | 31 3 6 7 <u>4</u> 59 | $ \begin{array}{r} 101 \\ 12 \\ 6 \\ 42 \\ 81 \\ \underline{12} \\ \underline{254} \end{array} $ | 26 2 1 2 12 2 45 | 11 1 0 1 1 <u>3</u> 17 | $ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 0\\ 2\\ 0\\ 1\\ \underline{}\\ -\underline{}\\ 6\end{array} $ |
| District 26 | | | | | |
| Grant Haskell Morton Seward Stanton Stevens Total | $2 \\ 4 \\ 8 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - 0 \\ - 14$ | 44 21 115 13 <u>23</u> 237 | 4 8 1 19 1 | 0 0 1 8 1 <u>-2</u> 12 | 0 1 4 5 3 - <u>4</u> 17 |
| District 27 | | | | | |
| Reno | 165 | 499 | 64 | 38 | 25 |

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT


| District 28 | Informal Juvenile Cases Filed | Small Claims Cases Filed | Adoption Proceedings Begun | Mental Illness Treatment Proceedings | Alcoholism Treatment Proceedings |
|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Ottawa Saline Total | $\frac{16}{180}$ | 46 252 298 | 8 _ <u>50</u> _58 | 2 <u>-38</u> 40 | $\frac{1}{61}$ |
| District 29 | | | | | |
| Wyandotte | 2,265 | 80 | 154 | 107 | 40 |
| STATE TOTAL | 13,434 | 10,670 | 1,973 | 1,219 | 710 |

• . .

JUDGES

OF THE

KANSAS COURTS



THE SUPREME COURT

Honorable Alfred G. Schroeder, Chief Justice Honorable Alex M. Fromme Honorable Perry L. Owsley Honorable David Prager Honorable Robert H. Miller Honorable Richard W. Holmes Honorable Kay McFarland

THE COURT OF APPEALS

Honorable J. Richard Foth, Chief Judge Honorable Bobby Lee Abbott Honorable John E. Rees Honorable Corwin C. Spencer Honorable Sherman A. Parks Honorable Joe H. Swinehart Honorable Marvin W. Meyer

Judicial Department No. 1

Honorable Kay McFarland, Departmental Justice

District Judges

12th Judicial District

(Cloud, Jewell, Lincoln, Mitchell, Republic, Washington)
District Judge:

Hon. Richard W. Wahl, Lincoln

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Marvin L. Stortz, Concordia
Pos. 2 - Hon. Jack D. Bradrick, Mankato
Pos. 3 - Hon. Richard S. Fern, Sr., Beverly
Pos. 4 - Hon. Opal Giddings, Beloit
Pos. 5 - Hon. William E. Thompson, Belleville
Pos. 6 - Hon. Steve Kaminski, Washington

15th Judicial District

(Graham, Rooks, Sheridan, Sherman, Thomas)

District Judge:

Hon. Keith R. Willoughby, Colby

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Pauline Coker, Hill City Pos. 2 - Hon. Gerald Johnston, Stockton Pos. 3 - Hon. Ward Gilliland, Hoxie Pos. 4 - Hon. Adele Konkel, Goodland Pos. 5 - Hon. Nellie L. Blakely, Colby

(Cheyenne, Decatur, Norton, Osborne, Phillips, Rawlins, Smith)

District Judge:

Hon. Charles E. Worden, Norton

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Frederick J. Hammers, St. Francis
Pos. 2 - Hon. Elmer J. Tacha, Oberlin
Pos. 3 - Hon. Wilda June Brown, Norton
Pos. 4 - Hon. Shirley Henderson, Osborne
Pos. 5 - Hon. Martha Kellogg, Phillipsburg
Pos. 6 - Hon. Dorothy R. Reinert, Atwood
Pos. 7 - Hon. Fred Mizer, Smith Center

23rd Judicial District

(Ellis, Gove, Logan, Trego, Wallace)

District Judge:

Hon. Steven P. Flood, Hays

Associate District Judge:

Hon. Tom Scott, Ellis

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Vaudie Schaible, Gove
Pos. 2 - Hon. Annabell M. Peck, Oakley
Pos. 3 - Hon. David L. Rhoades, WaKeeney
Pos. 4 - Hon. Logan Dobbs, Sharon Springs

28th Judicial District

(Ottawa, Saline)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. Morris V. Hoobler, Salina Div. 2 - Hon. Raymond E. Haggart, Salina

Associate District Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Gene B. Penland, Salina Pos. 2 - Hon. John Weckel, Salina

District Magistrate Judge:

Hon. Adrian Lapka, Minneapolis

Honorable Alex M. Fromme, Departmental Justice

District Judges

2nd Judicial District

(Jackson, Jefferson, Pottawatomie, Wabaunsee)

District Judge:

Hon. John W. Brookens, Westmoreland

Associate District Judge:

Hon. Tracy Klinginsmith, Holton

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 2 - Hon. Dennis Lee Reiling, Oskaloosa Pos. 3 - Hon. James J. Zeller, Westmoreland Pos. 4 - Hon. Verle L. Swenson, Alta Vista

3rd Judicial District

(Shawnee)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. William R. Carpenter, Topeka Div. 2 - Hon. Michael A. Barbara, Topeka Div. 3 - Hon. E. Newton Vickers, Topeka Div. 4 - Hon. Adrian J. Allen, Topeka Div. 5 - Hon. James M. Macnish, Topeka Div. 6 - Hon. Terry L. Bullock, Topeka

Associate District Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Franklin R. Theis, Berryton
Pos. 2 - Hon. James H. Hope, Topeka
Pos. 3 - Hon. Mary Schowengerdt, Topeka
Pos. 4 - Hon. Bill G. Honeyman, Topeka
Pos. 5 - Hon. Matthew J. Dowd, Topeka

(Dickinson, Geary, Marion, Morris)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. John M. Rugh, Abilene Div. 2 - Hon. William D. Clement, Junction City

Associate District Judge:

Pos. 1 - Hon. George F. Scott, Junction City Pos. 2 - Hon. Edwin G. Westerhaus, Marion

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Tom Nold, Abilene Pos. 3 - Hon. Clarence L. Sawyer, Council Grove

21st Judicial District

(Riley, Clay)

District Judge:

Hon. Ronald D. Innes, Manhattan

Associate District Judge:

Hon. Jerry L. Mershon, Manhattan

District Magistrate Judge:

Hon. Chester W. Kent, Clay Center

Honorable Richard W. Holmes, Departmental Justice

District Judges

1st Judicial District

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. Kenneth Harmon, Leavenworth Div. 2 - Hon. James W. Lowry, Atchison

Associate District Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Frederick N. Stewart, Leavenworth Pos. 2 - Hon. John L. White, Leavenworth

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Richard A. Dempster, Atchison Pos. 2 - Hon. Dolan McKelvy, Atchison

4th Judicial District

(Allen, Anderson, Coffey, Franklin, Osage, Woodson)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. Floyd H. Coffman, Ottawa Div. 2 - Hon. Robert F. Stadler, Iola

Associate District Judge:

Hon. Donald L. White, Ottawa

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. George Gerald Levans, Iola
Pos. 2 - Hon. Lawrence M. Selanders, Garnett
Pos. 3 - Hon. Orville E. Steele, Burlington
Pos. 4 - Hon. Larry L. Coursen, Overbrook
Pos. 5 - Hon. Elmer E. Light, Yates Center

(Douglas)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. Frank R. Gray, Lawrence Div. 2 - Hon. James W. Paddock, Lawrence

Associate District Judge:

Hon. John Mike Elwell, Lawrence

22nd Judicial District

(Doniphan, Brown, Nemaha, Marshall)

District Judge:

Hon. William L. Stevenson, Hiawatha

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Michael K. Schmitt, Hiawatha Pos. 2 - Hon. Virgil W. Begesse, Troy Pos. 3 - Hon. Maxine Cumro, Marysville Pos. 4 - Hon. Francis G. Holthaus, Seneca

29th Judicial District

(Wyandotte)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. O. Q. Claflin, III, Kansas City
Div. 2 - Hon. William M. Cook, Kansas City
Div. 3 - Hon. Harry G. Miller, Jr., Kansas City
Div. 4 - Hon. J. W. Mahoney, Kansas City
Div. 5 - Hon. Leo J. Moroney, Kansas City
Div. 6 - Hon. Cordell D. Meeks, Kansas City
Div. 7 - Hon. Wayne H. Phillips, Kansas City

Associate District Judges:

| Pos. 1 | L | Hon. | James J. Lysaught, Kansas City |
|--------|-----|------|----------------------------------|
| Pos. 2 | 2 - | Hon. | David Mikesic, Kansas City |
| Pos. 3 | 3 - | Hon. | Tudor Nellor, Kansas City |
| Pos. 4 | 1 - | Hon. | Francis J. Donnelly, Kansas City |
| Pos. 5 | 5 - | Hon. | Dean J. Smith, Kansas City |
| Pos. 6 | 5 - | Hon. | Lawrence G. Zukel, Kansas City |
| Pos. 7 | 7 – | Hon. | Robert J. Foster, Kansas City |

Honorable David Prager, Departmental Justice

District Judges

6th Judicial District

(Bourbon, Linn, Miami)

District Judge:

Hon. Charles M. Warren, Fort Scott

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Samuel I. Mason, Fort Scott Pos. 2 - Hon. Deward Austin, Mound City Pos. 3 - Hon. Brooks Hinkle, Paola

10th Judicial District

(Johnson)

District Judges:

| Div. | 1 | - | Hon. | Herbert W. Walton, Olathe |
|------|---|---|------|------------------------------|
| Div. | 2 | - | Hon. | Harold L. Hammond, Olathe |
| Div. | 3 | | Hon. | Buford L. Shankel, Olathe |
| Div. | 4 | - | Hon. | Harold R. Riggs, Olathe |
| Div. | 5 | - | Hon. | Phillip L. Woodworth, Olathe |
| | | | | Lewis C. Smith, Olathe |

Associate District Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Charles A. Elliott, Olathe Pos. 2 - Hon. Earle D. Jones, Olathe Pos. 3 - Hon. Keith L. Stanley, Prairie Village Pos. 4 - Hon. Sam K. Bruner, Olathe Pos. 5 - Hon. Bill E. Haynes, Olathe Pos. 6 - Hon. Robert G. Jones, Overland Park Pos. 7 - Hon. Janette Howard, Overland Park Pos. 8 - Hon. Gerald L. Hougland, Olathe

(Cherokee, Crawford, Labette, Neosho, Wilson)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. Don Musser, Pittsburg Div. 2 - Hon. William P. Meek, Columbus Div. 3 - Hon. Charles J. Sell, Parsons Div. 4 - Hon. George W. Donaldson, Chanute

Associate District Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Richard D. Loffswold, Girard Pos. 2 - Hon. John C. Gariglietti, Pittsburg Pos. 3 - Hon. Daniel L. Brewster, Parsons Pos. 4 - Hon. Richard L. Ashley, Chanute

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. B. J. LaTurner, Galena Pos. 2 - Hon. Dwaine Spoon, Fredonia

14th Judicial District

(Montgomery)

District Judge:

Hon. David Scott, Independence

Associate District Judges:

| * | | | |
|--------------|-----------|------------|--------------|
| Pos. 1 - Hon | . Raymond | Belt, Cof: | feyville |
| Pos. 2 - Hon | . Kenneth | D. David, | Independence |
| Pos. 3 - Hon | . Bruce E | . Borders, | Independence |

Honorable Robert H. Miller, Departmental Justice

District Judges

5th Judicial District

(Chase, Lyon)

District Judge:

, S.

Hon. R. E. Miller, Emporia

Associate District Judge:

Hon. William J. Dick, Emporia

District Magistrate Judge:

Hon. Francis D. Towle, Cottonwood Falls

9th Judicial District

(Harvey, McPherson)

District Judge:

Hon. Sam H. Sturm, Newton

Associate District Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. John C. Yoder, Hesston Pos. 2 - Hon. Carl B. Anderson, Jr., Lindsborg

13th Judicial District

(Butler, Chautauqua, Elk, Greenwood)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. J. Patrick Brazil, Eureka Div. 2 - Hon. Page W. Benson, El Dorado

Associate District Judge:

Hon. John M. Jaworsky, El Dorado

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Roy Jean Bennitt, Sedan Pos. 2 - Hon. Darlene P. Royse, Howard Pos. 3 - Hon. Harriet Shumard, Eureka

(Sedgwick)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. Willis W. Wall, Wichita Div. 2 - Hon. Howard C. Kline, Wichita Div. 3 - Hon. B. Mack Bryant, Wichita Div. 4 - Hon. James V. Riddel, Jr., Wichita Div. 5 - Hon. James J. Noone, Wichita Div. 6 - Hon. D. Keith Anderson, Wichita Div. 7 - Hon. Thomas C. Raum, Jr., Wichita Div. 8 - Hon. Nicholas W. Klein, Wichita Div. 9 - Hon. David P. Calvert, Wichita Div. 10 - Hon. Tyler C. Lockett, Wichita Div. 11 - Hon. Robert L. Morrison, Wichita Div. 12 - Hon. Michael Corrigan, Wichita Div. 13 - Hon. Owen Ballinger, Wichita

Associate District Judges:

Pos. A - Hon. Elliott Fry, Wichita
Pos. B - Hon. George D. McCarthy, Wichita
Pos. C - Hon. Hal Malone, Wichita
Pos. D - Hon. David W. Kennedy, Wichita
Pos. E - Hon. James G. Beasley, Wichita
Pos. F - Hon. Paul L. Thomas, Wichita
Pos. G - Hon. Robert C. Helsel, Wichita
Pos. H - Hon. Ron Rogg, Valley Center
Pos. I - Hon. Ray Hodge, Wichita

19th Judicial District

(Barber, Cowley, Harper, Kingman, Pratt, Sumner)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. Doyle E. White, Arkansas City Div. 2 - Hon. Charles H. Stewart, Kingman

Associate District Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. David S. Lord, Arkansas City
Pos. 2 - Hon. Robert L. Bishop, Winfield
Pos. 3 - Hon. Tom Pringle, Arkansas City
Pos. 4 - Hon. Lloyd K. McDaniel, Wellington
Pos. 5 - Hon. Clarence Renner, Pratt
Pos. 6 - Hon. Thomas H. Graber, Mulvane

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Thomas L. McGuire, Medicine Lodge
Pos. 2 - Hon. John Moore, Anthony
Pos. 3 - Hon. Gene Shay, Kingman
Pos. 4 - Hon. Walter McClauskey, Pratt

Honorable Perry L. Owsley, Departmental Justice

District Judges

16th Judicial District

(Clark, Comanche, Ford, Gray, Kiowa, Meade)

District Judge:

Hon. Robert M. Baker, Ashland

Associate District Judge:

Hon. Jay Don Reynolds, Dodge City

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Glenn E. Redfield, Ashland Pos. 2 - Hon. Ralph R. Klepinger, Coldwater Pos. 3 - Hon. Maurice L. Johnson, Cimarron Pos. 4 - Hon. Pauline Schwarm, Greensburg Pos. 5 - Hon. Clara Shore, Meade

20th Judicial District

(Barton, Ellsworth, Rice, Russell, Stafford)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. Frederick Woleslagel, Lyons Div. 2 - Hon. Herbert Rohleder, Great Bend

Associate District Judge:

Hon. William J. Laughlin, Great Bend

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Clarence Kahler, Holyrood
Pos. 2 - Hon. Paul W. Cline, Lyons
Pos. 3 - Hon. A. L. Hall, Russell
Pos. 4 - Hon. Lee Nusser, St. John

··· · · · ·

CONTINUED 20F3

(Edwards, Hodgeman, Lane, Ness, Pawnee, Rush)

District Judge:

Hon. C. Phillip Aldrich, Larned

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Richard Miller, Kinsley
Pos. 2 - Hon. Francis Sinclair, Jétmore
Pos. 3 - Hon. Winston Church, Dighton
Pos. 4 - Hon. Valdah M. Bovard, Ness City
Pos. 5 - Hon. Bruce W. Janssen, Larned
Pos. 6 - Hon. Mary Lou Wheeler, LaCrosse

25th Judicial District

(Finney, Greeley, Hamilton, Kearny, Scott, Wichita)

District Judge:

Hon. Bert J. Vance, Garden City

Associate District Judge:

Hon. Harrison Smith, Garden City

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. C. Ann Kennis, Tribune
Pos. 2 - Hon. Donna L. J. Blake, Syracuse
Pos. 3 - Hon. Ella V. Neff, Lakin
Pos. 4 - Hon. Gordon Goering, Scott City
Pos. 5 - Hon. John E. Ley, Leoti

26th Judicial District

(Grant, Haskell, Morton, Seward, Stanton, Stevens)

District Judge:

Hon. Keaton G. Duckworth, Elkhart

Associate District Judge:

Hon. Leroy C. Rose, Liberal

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Herbert Noyes, Ulysses
Pos. 2 - Hon. David G. Rinehart, Sublette
Pos. 3 - Hon. Shirley A. Davis, Elkhart
Pos. 4 - Hon. Steven C. Seyb, Johnson
Pos. 5 - Hon. Verna Kay McQueen, Hugoton

(Reno)


District Judges:

۰.

Div. 1 - Hon. Porter K. Brown, Hutchinson Div. 2 - Hon. James H. Rexroad, Hutchinson

Associate District Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Richard J. Rome, Hutchinson Pos. 2 - Hon. E. Victor Wilson, Hutchinson



ASSIGNMENTS OF DISTRICT JUDGES

Judicial Department No. 1

Special Assignments

| 8-31-77 | Hon. Richard W. Wahl to Phillips County | l case |
|----------|--|---------|
| 9-21-77 | Hon. Richard W. Wahl to Saline County | 2 cases |
| 9-22-77 | Hon. Steven P. Flood to Phillips County | l case |
| 10-19-77 | Hon. Steven P. Flood to Rawlins County | l case |
| 11-22-77 | Hon. Steven P. Flood to Pawnee County | l case |
| 12-13-77 | Hon. Morris V. Hoobler to Lincoln County | l case |
| 1-9-78 | Hon. Richard W. Wahl to Thomas County | l case |
| 1-11-78 | Hon. Richard W. Wahl to Thomas County | 9 cases |
| 1-11-78 | Hon. Richard W. Wahl to Sheridan County | 2 cases |
| 1-11-78 | Hon. Richard W. Wahl to Sherman County | 2 cases |
| 1-19-78 | Hon. Morris V. Hoobler to Lincoln County | l case |
| 3-23-78 | Hon. Keith R. Willoughby to Gove County | l case |
| 6-19-78 | Hon. Morris V. Hoobler to Barton County | l case |
| 6-30-78 | Hon. Morris V. Hoobler to Rice County | l case |

General Assignments

÷

5

5

| 11-15-77 | | Morris V. Hoobler to 8th District |
|----------|------|--------------------------------------|
| 11-15-77 | Hon. | Raymond E. Haggart to 8th District |
| 11-15-77 | Hon. | John Weckel to 8th District |
| 11-15-77 | Hon. | Gene B. Penland to 8th District |
| 12-29-77 | Hon. | Steven P. Flood to the 17th District |
| 12-29-77 | Hon. | Tom Scott to the 17th District |
| 1-30-78 | Hon. | Steven P. Flood to 17th District |
| 1-30-78 | Hon. | Tom Scott to 17th District |
| 3-1-78 | Hon. | Steven P. Flood to 17th District |
| 3-1-78 | Hon. | Tom Scott to 17th District |
| 3-1-78 | Hon. | Keith R. Willoughby to 17th District |
| 3-30-78 | HOn. | Steven Flood to 17th District |
| 3-30-78 | Hon. | Keith R. Willoughby to 17th District |
| 3-30-78 | Hon. | Steven Flood to 17th District |
| 4-28-78 | Hon. | Steven Flood to 17th District |
| 4-28-78 | Hon. | Keith R. Willoughby to 17th District |
| 4-28-78 | Hon. | Tom Scott to 17th District |
| 6-30-78 | Hon. | Steven Flood to 17th District |
| 6-30-78 | Hon. | Keith R. Willoughby to 17th District |
| 6-30-78 | Hon. | Tom Scott to 17th District |

continued

General Assignments Cont'd

| 6-30-78 | Hon. | Charles E. Worden to 15th District |
|---------|------|--------------------------------------|
| 6-30-78 | Hon. | Steven Flood to 15th District |
| 6-30-78 | Hon. | Tom Scott to 15th District |
| 6-30-78 | Hon. | Charles E. Worden to 23rd District |
| 6-30-78 | Hon. | Keith R. Willoughby to 23rd District |

Assignments to Retired Judges

| 12-29-77 | Hon. | Benedict | P. Cruise to] | 17th District |
|----------|------|----------|-----------------|---------------|
| 1-10-78 | Hon. | Lewis L. | McLaughlin to | Cloud County |
| 1-17-78 | Hon. | C. E. Bi | rney to Rooks O | County |

1

Special Assignments

| 8-22-77 | Hon. | Ronald D. Innes to Scott County | 1 | case |
|----------|------|--|---|------|
| 11-22-77 | Hon. | Floyd H. Coffman to Shawnee County | 1 | case |
| 11-22-77 | Hon. | Michael A. Barbara to Wabaunsee County | 1 | case |
| 1-4-78 | Hon. | John Brookens to Shawnee County | 1 | case |
| 4-10-78 | Hon. | Ronald D. Innes to Shawnee County | 1 | case |
| 6-19-78 | Hon. | Ronald D. Innes to Shawnee County | 1 | case |

General Assignments

3-10-78 Hon. John Brookens to Johnson County

Special Assignments

| 10-5-77 | Hon. Dean J. Smith to Johnson County | l case |
|----------|--|---------|
| 10-25-77 | Hon. Robert F. Stadler to Bourbon County | 2 cases |
| 12-20-77 | Hon. Robert F. Stadler to Bourbon County | l case |
| 1-3-78 | Hon. Robert F. Stadler to Greenwood County | 2 cases |
| 1-6-78 | Hon. Floyd H. Coffman to Lyon County | 2 cases |
| 11878 | Hon. Robert F. Stadler to Bourbon County | l case |
| 2-20-78 | Hon. Robert F. Stadler to Neosho County | l case |

General Assignments

| 4-17-78 | Hon. | John | L. | White | to | 10th | District |
|---------|------|------|------|-------|----|------|----------|
| 4-1/-/8 | HON. | John | • با | wnite | τΟ | TOEN | DISTRICT |

4-24-78 Hon. Frederick N. Stewart to 10th District

Assignments to Retired Judges

| 9-6-77 | Hon. | Marvin O. Brummett to 22nd District |
|---------|------|---------------------------------------|
| 3-24-78 | Hon. | Lewis L. McLaughlin to 22nd District |
| 4-25-78 | Hon. | Marvin O. Brummett to Marshall County |

p

1

190

Special Assignments

| 7-14-77 | Hon. Richard L. Ashley to Andersor | 1 County | l case |
|----------|------------------------------------|----------|---------|
| 7-19-77 | Hon. Don H. Musser to Bourbon Cour | ity | l case |
| 11-29-77 | Hon. David H. Scott to Labette Cou | inty | l case |
| 1-20-78 | Hon. William P. Meek to Wyandotte | County | l case |
| 5-12-78 | Hon. Robert F. Stadler to Wilson (| County | 2 cases |

General Assignments

10 C 10

}

1

| 9-13-77 | Hon. | William P. Meek to 10th District |
|---------|------|---------------------------------------|
| 9-16-77 | Hon. | William P. Meek to 10th District |
| 2-1-78 | Hon. | William P. Meek to Johnson County |
| 4-24-78 | Hon. | Richard L. Ashley to 10th District |
| 5-1-78 | Hon. | Daniel L. Brewster to 10th District |
| 5-22-78 | Hon. | Charles J. Sell to 10th District |
| 5-29-78 | Hon. | John C. Gariglietti to 10th District |
| 6-5-78 | Hon. | Don H. Musser to 10th District |
| 6-12-78 | Hon. | Richard D. Loffswold to 10th District |
| 6-19-78 | Hon. | George W. Donaldson to 10th District |
| 6-26-78 | Hon. | William P. Meek to 10th District |

Assignments to Retired Judges

4-3-78 Hon. Marvin O. Brummett to Johnson County

Special Assignments

| 7-5-77 | Hon. J. Patrick Brazil to Lyon County | l case |
|----------|--|--------|
| 8-15-77 | Hon. J. Patrick Brazil to Lyon County | l case |
| 8-22-77 | Hon. J. Patrick Brazil to Lyon County | l case |
| 9-6-77 | Hon. Charles H. Stewart to Sedgwick County | l case |
| 10-18-77 | Hon. Clarence E. Renner to Sedgwick County | l case |
| 11-8-77 | Hon. Doyle E. White to Sedgwick County | l case |
| 1-3-78 | Hon. James J. Noone to Harvey County | l case |
| 4-3-78 | Hon. Page W. Benson to Lyon County | l case |
| 5-8-78 | Hon. Charles H. Stewart to Reno County | l case |
| 6-7-78 | Hon. Robert L. Bishop to Sedgwick County | l case |
| 6-26-78 | Hon. J. Patrick Brazil to Lyon County | l case |

4

3

Assignments to Retired Judges

| 8-15-78 | Hon. | John | F. | Fontron | to | Kingman | County |
|---------|------|------|----|---------|----|---------|--------|
| 5-8-78 | Hon. | John | F. | Fontron | to | Butler | County |

Special Assignments

| 8-8-77 | Hon. Herbert Rohleder to Pawnee County | 1 | case |
|----------|--|----|-------|
| 8-9-77 | Hon. Herbert Rohleder to Saline County | 1 | case |
| 9-7-77 | Hon. Herbert Rohleder to Pawnee County | 1 | case |
| 10-25-77 | Hon. C. Phillip Aldrich to Meade County | 1 | case |
| 1-19-78 | Hon. C. Phillip Aldrich to Thomas County | 12 | cases |
| 1-19-78 | Hon. Herbert Rohleder to Thomas County | 7 | cases |
| 1-30-78 | Hon. Herbert Rohleder to Thomas County | 1 | case |
| 2-20-78 | Hon. C. Phillip Aldrich to Barton County | 1 | case |
| 2-22-78 | Hon. Keaton G. Duckworth to Pawnee County | 2 | cases |
| 2-28-78 | Hon. Keaton G. Duckworth to Pawnee County | l | case |
| 4-26-78 | Hon. C. Phillip Aldrich to Thomas County | 1 | case |
| 5-2-78 | Hon. Keaton G. Duckworth to Pawnee County | 2 | cases |
| 5-8-78 | Hon. C. Phillip Aldrich to Thomas County | l | case |
| 5-22-78 | Hon. Jay Don Reynolds to Hodgeman County | l | case |
| 5-23-78 | Hon. C. Phillip Aldrich to Sheridan County | 1 | case |
| 6-5-78 | Hon, C. Phillip Aldrich to Sedgwick County | 1 | case |
| 6-13-78 | Hon. Herbert Rohleder to Osborne County | 1 | case |
| | | | |

General Assignments

| 1-3-78 | Hon. | Keaton G. | Duckwor | th to | 25th District |
|---------|------|-----------|---------|-------|---------------|
| 1-3-78 | Hon. | Bert J. V | ance to | 26th | District |
| 6-20-78 | Hon. | Bert J. V | ance to | 16th | District |

Assignments to Retired Judges

| 7-25-77 | Hon. | John F. Fontron to 27th District |
|---------|------|----------------------------------|
| 1-3-78 | Hon. | L. L. Morgan to 25th District |
| 1-3-78 | Hon. | L. L. Morgan to 26th District |
| 2-6-78 | Hon. | John F. Fontron to 27th District |
| 6-7-78 | Hon. | John F. Fontron to 27th District |

. *****

