

ANNUAL REPORT ON THE COURTS OF KANSAS

July 1, 1977 through June 30, 1978



OFFICE OF THE JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATOR

13

Office of Judicial Administrator

Unified Judicial Department

State of Kansas



Kansas Judicial Center 301 West 10th Topeka, Kansas 66612 913 296-2256

November 1, 1978

To the Honorable Alfred G. Schroeder, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, and to the members of the Judicial Council:


In compliance with the provisions of Rule No. 1.03(c) of the Supreme Court, this annual report on the judicial business of the courts of Kansas for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1978, is respectfully submitted.

As explained in the preface within, this annual report is of special significance in that it presents data for the first fiscal year of court activity under both the unified trial court structure and the two-tiered appellate court structure. Moreover, for the first time, it includes information on appellate court caseload and on all those cases formerly reported exclusively in the Judicial Council Bulletin.

The changes in the judicial system structure precipitated major revisions to the caseload information system. The impact of these revisions fell most heavily on the district court clerks, who bear the responsibility for reporting all cases. Their response to this burden was gratifying and typical of the dedication they have demonstrated in the past. We are indebted to them for their continued diligence.

James R anes

James R. James Judicial Administrator



JAMES R. JAMES Judicial Administrator

ANNUAL REPORT

ON THE

COURTS OF KANSAS

F I S C A L 1 9 7 8

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	1
Kansas Judicial System Structure	3
Map of Judicial Districts	4
History of the System	5
Description of the System	6
Cost of the System	8
Administration of the Courts	9
Statistical Information	
The Appellate Courts, Combined	17
The Supreme Court	18
The Court of Appeals	21
	27
	27
	29
	34
	57
Judges of the Kansas Courts	
The Supreme Court	71
The Court of Appeals	72
The District Court	
	87

Y

PREFACE

The publication of this Annual Report on the Courts of Kansas marks the first time that the Office of the Judicial Administrator has produced a report which incorporates statistical information on all types of cases at both the trial and appellate court levels. In years past, the annual report has contained only district court data. Publication of data on the appellate courts and on the limited and special jurisdiction courts then in existence was left to the Judicial Council. The unification of the lesser jurisdiction courts into the district court in January, 1977, meant that all cases formerly brought into those courts were filed in the district Hence, the number of district court cases filed in fiscal court. 1978 was almost ten times the number filed in fiscal 1976. The jurisdictional change also meant that production of the Judicial Council Bulletin in its previous format would have been replicative of the district court publication. Only appellate court information would have remained unique to the Bulletin. Since it had served, however, as the sole source of the total statistical picture of the Kansas courts, it was felt that that integrity of depiction should be maintained by publishing the appellate court data with the district court data in this new annual report.


The publication also is distinguished by being the first annual report to include narrative segments on the court system. Descriptions of its structure, history, jurisdictions, and administrative operations are presented. It is hoped that these will enhance the reader's understanding of the Kansas courts and, thereby, serve as an aid in interpreting the statistical data.

Any document which represents such a significant departure from past practice must be accompanied by words of caution. The changes brought about by unification necessitated major changes to the caseload reporting systems used previously in the district and limited and special jurisdiction courts. Procedures, forms, and, in some cases, definitions were altered in effectuating a more detailed and useful depiction of district court caseload data. Only a few categories of cases were left unscathed by changes either in jurisdiction or in reporting procedures. The statistical information on district courts is, therefore, very difficult to compare with data from past years. Consequently, the comparative data and annual trends assessments presented are few and limited in scope.


This document replaces an annual report which had remained in essentially the same format for approximately ten years. The caseload information system which provides the data for this new report replaced a system that had experienced only minor changes in the ten years of its existence. Alteration had become a necessity in order to serve a forward-looking judiciary and to provide a better tool for its improvement. The new caseload information system and the newly formatted <u>Annual Report on the Courts of Kansas</u> will meet these needs and provide a comparative base for the future.



THE KANSAS JUDICIAL SYSTEM



KANSAS



(as of January 13, 1975)

4

.

A BRIEF HISTORY OF THE KANSAS JUDICIAL SYSTEM

The Kansas judicial system, consisting of district courts and the Supreme Court, was instituted with the advent of statehood in 1861. The next hundred years brought little structural change. Separate county courts of limited jurisdiction heard probate, juvenile, minor civil, and traffic cases. Justices of the peace administered the law throughout much of the state.

Change began in the mid-1960's. The Office of Judicial Administrator was established in 1965 to assist the Supreme Court in exercising its administrative and supervisory responsibilities over the judicial system. In 1968, justices of the peace were abolished, in effect, by severely limiting their jurisdiction. Their former jurisdiction was transferred to county courts; and in most counties the functions of the probate, juvenile, and county courts were consolidated under one judge. In 1972, a new judicial article of the Kansas constitution was adopted. It brought many improvements, paving the way for unification of the trial courts and permitting the establishment of a court of appeals. A citizens' study committee was appointed in 1973 for the purpose of examining the judicial system and recommending changes to implement fully the judicial article.

The work of this committee ultimately resulted in the unification of the state's trial courts in January, 1977. Probate, juvenile, and county courts were abolished, as were magistrate-level courts of countywide jurisdiction. The jurisdictions of these courts were consolidated into the district court. At the same time, a court of appeals was established to improve the judicial system's handling of its appellate caseload.

Change has not stopped with the functional unification of the trial court. In 1978, the legislature authorized the initiation of state funding of the personnel costs of the nonjudicial employees of the district courts. The program of gradually phasing in full funding of salaries by the state will begin in January 1979.

THE COURTS OF KANSAS

The Kansas Constitution provides that there shall be one court of justice divided into a supreme court, district courts and other courts as provided by law. Statutory provisions which took effect in January, 1977, established a court of appeals as an intermediate appellate court. These three courts constitute the unified state judicial system. Municipal courts exist as entities of the cities which establish them, but they have jurisdiction over ordinance violations only and, therefore, are not state courts. The constitution provides the supreme court with administrative authority over all courts in the state.

The Supreme Court

The Supreme Court is Kansas' highest court. It consists of seven justices, each of whom is selected by the governor. He appoints from a list of three qualified individuals submitted to him by the supreme court nominating commission. After the first year in office, a justice is subject to a retention vote in the next general election. If a majority of electors votes to retain the justice, he or she remains in office for a term of six years. Justices are subject to a similar retention vote at the conclusion of each term.

The justice who is senior in terms of continuous service is designated by the constitution as the chief justice, unless he declines or resigns the position. The chief justice exercises the administrative authority of the Supreme Court. It is his responsibility to implement and carry out the administrative rules and policies established by the court.

The Supreme Court is provided by the constitution with original jurisdiction in proceedings in quo warranto, mandamus, and habeas corpus. Its jurisdiction over direct appeals in criminal cases is limited to those involving the two most serious classes of felony or life imprisonment. Its appellate jurisdiction also extends to any case in which a Kansas or United States statute has been declared unconstitutional. The Court has discretionary power of review over decisions of the Court of Appeals, and may transfer cases from one court to the other. The Supreme Court has exclusive jurisdiction over the admission and discipline of attorneys. It also may retire, discipline, suspend, or remove for cause judges who fail to observe the Code of Judicial Conduct.

The Court of Appeals

The Court of Appeals is an intermediate appellate court. It consists of seven judges, each appointed in the same manner as Supreme Court justices. The same nominating commission serves for both courts. Court of Appeals judges must stand for retention election after the first year in office and, thereafter, at the conclusion of each four-year term. The chief judge of the Court is appointed by the Supreme Court.

The Court of Appeals sits in panels of three judges as well as <u>en banc</u>. Although Topeka is designated as the site of the Courts' principal offices, it is authorized by statute to hear oral arguments in any county courthouse in the state. In the interest of expediting the disposition of its caseload and in consideration of the sources of cases and other factors, the court travels extensively. Therefore, the three-judge panel is used frequently. The Court of Appeals has jurisdiction over all appeals from the district court except those reviewable in the district court and those in which direct appeal to the Supreme Court is required. Writs of habeas corpus also may be prosecuted in the Court of Appeals. The Court may request the transfer to the Supreme Court of any case before it. (Effective July 1, 1978, the court was provided exclusive jurisdiction over the review of orders or decisions of the state corporation commission in public utility rate cases.)

The District Court

Since the unification of the courts in January, 1977, '_nere are no state courts of limited or special jurisdiction. The state has a single trial court of general jurisdiction, the District Court. It is a court of record exercising both original jurisdiction over civil and criminal matters and limited appellate jurisdiction.

There are three types of judges of the District Court. District judges exercise the full power and authority of the Court. Associate district judges have jurisdiction similar to that of district judges, except that they lack jurisdiction over class actions and actions in quo warranto or mandamus. District magistrate judges have jurisdiction over probate and juvenile matters, misdemeanors, felony preliminary examinations and certain civil actions involving amounts of \$3000 or less. The decisions of a district magistrate judge are appealable within the district court. Appeals from decisions of district or associate district judges go to the appellate courts.

The state is divided into twenty-nine judicial districts, comprising from one to seven counties. There are seven single-county districts and twelve districts of five or more counties. There is at least one district judge in each district but not all districts have associate district and district magistrate judges. As of June 30, 1978, there were 69 district judges, 63 associate district judges, and 78 district magistrate judges in the state.

Judges of the district court are selected in one of two ways. In twenty-three districts, the voters have approved nonpartisan selection of judges by a district nominating commission with appointment by the governor. A judge thus appointed must stand for retention election after one year in office. If a majority of votes cast favors his or her retention, the judge then serves a full four-year term. Thereafter, he or she is subject to a retention vote at the conclusion of each four-year term.

In the remaining six districts, judges run for office on a partisan ballot. Judges so elected serve terms of four years.

THE COST OF THE SYSTEM

8

The state funds the operations of the appellate courts. In addition to the salaries and operating expenses of the appellate judges and their office staffs, this funding includes the judicial administrator's office, the appellate clerk's office, the law library, the Judicial Council, and the disciplinary administrator's office. Base salaries and travel expenses of district and associate district judges and court reporters also are borne by the state.

For the district courts, county governments furnish physical facilities, utilities, magistrate judge and nonjudicial personnel costs, salary supplements (in some counties) for district and associate district judges, and all other operating expenses. A state grant to counties of \$1,725,000 in Federal Revenue Sharing funds was used in fiscal 1978 to defray partially court-related salary expense at the local level.

As reported by the state budget director, the total estimated fiscal 1978 state budget, less capital improvements, was \$1,612,899,244. Of this amount, \$12,569,414, or less than one percent, was identified as court-related expense. Since the only fiscal data available for counties are appropriations totals and then only for the calendar year, no reliable estimate of total county or county district court-related expenditures is available.

ADMINISTRATION OF THE COURTS

The Kansas constitution provides the Supreme Court with "general administrative authority over all courts in this state." In exercising this authority, the court establishes the rules and policies governing the administration of the judicial system.

The chief justice serves as the administrative head of the system. As such, his supervisory responsibilities extend to all aspects of system management. He is empowered by statute to delegate his responsibility and authority as necessary to insure the smooth and efficient operation of the system.

The statutes further provide that the court and each justice may have additional powers and duties prescribed by law. The Judicial Department Reform Act of 1965 divided the judicial districts into departments. Currently, there are six, each of which is assigned to a justice who is responsible for the supervision of all administrative activities within his or her department. Each is given the power to assign judges from one district to another and to require such reports as necessary to keep abreast of the business of the courts.

A district judge is appointed by the Supreme Court as administrative judge for each district. He is responsible for the clerical and administrative functions of the court or courts in his district and directs the assignment of cases within the district.

The administrative structure of the court system places the responsibility for management at each level with judges. As a consequence, judges who fulfill these administrative roles have less time available to perform their adjudicative functions. Kansas has recognized the need for professional administrative staffs to aid judges in managing the system at both state and district levels.

Office of the Judicial Administrator

The Judicial Department Reform Act of 1965 created the position of Judicial Administrator as an appointee of the Supreme Court. The administrator is responsible for the implementation of the rules and policies of the Court as they apply to the operation and administration of the courts. Other duties may be prescribed by law or specified by the Court. The statutes provide that all state expenditures for district court operations be approved by the judicial administrator and, further, that all district court wage and salary claims to be paid by the state be certified by him.

The duties delegated to the Judicial Administrator by the Supreme Court have expanded his role beyond the relatively narrow statutory delineation. They include:

examination of district court dockets and determination of need for judicial assistance;

compilation of statistics on activities of all state courts and preparation of annual report;

assessment of periodic need for interdistrict assignment of judges with appropriate recommendation to departmental justices; supervision and examination of administrative practices in district courts;

administrative guidance to the district courts;

preparation and administration of the budget under the direction of the supreme court;

training of judicial and nonjudicial personnel;

representation of the court to the legislative and executive branches and to the public.

The scope of these duties is such that the court has appointed a staff to assist the Judicial Administrator. The professional staff members have specific areas of responsibility in aid of the administrator. A brief description of these responsibilities by general function follows.

Fiscal Operations

The Office of the Judicial Administrator is responsible for the administration of budgeting, payroll, and general accounting activities for the judicial system. These tasks are accomplished by an accounting staff under the direction of a controller, who also maintains liaison with executive agencies in related fields. During the past year the functions of personnel and inventory record-keeping were handled by the accounting staff. It also keeps financial records for and provides reports to the appellate courts and other state-level administrative departments.

The state funds a program to provide court-appointed counsel and other necessary legal assistance to poor persons who are charged with felonies. The Judicial Administrator is responsible for the administration of the expenditures for the program under the guidance of the Board of Supervisors of Panels to Aid Indigent Defendants. He certifies attorneys' claims for payment and authorizes payments as appropriate. Included in the program are public defender offices in three districts; and funds also are provided to a nonprofit corporation which provides legal assistance to indigent inmates of correctional facilities. Total expenditures from the fund to Aid Indigent Defendants were \$2,452,877 in FY 1978.

Education and Training

The Office of the Judicial Administrator takes a major role in the development and coordination of training programs for court personnel. The focus of the training effort is on: improving judicial and administrative functions and procedures; interpreting statutory responsibilities and requirements; and improving individual skills and job performance. Many educational programs are initiated by the staff, but it also works closely with committees representing various judicial and nonjudicial personnel groups.

The office aids in the development of major statewide conferences each year for judicial and nonjudicial personnel. In addition, seminars on special topics are conducted throughout the year for juvenile probation staff, court transcriptionists, court reporters, clerks, chief clerks and court administrators, municipal judges, administrative judges and other judges of the district court. An orientation program is presented for new judges. The staff also coordinates the out of state training activities of both judicial and other staff personnel.

The assimilation of courts of limited jurisdiction into the district court under unification brought with it a requirement for certification of nonlawyer judges by the Supreme Court. The Office of the Judicial Administrator provides the staff function for the committee of judges which has developed, and now maintains, a reference manual and the certification examination as required by the Court. Moreover, the administrator's staff coordinates specialized instruction for these judges and the administration of the examination.

Assistance to the Trial Courts

The Judicial Administrator has the responsibility for improving the management of the district courts in aid of the administrative judges of each district. The administrative assistance provided to the courts includes: analysis and development of improved managerial procedures and practices; maintenance of reference manuals; provision and analysis of information affecting court operations; revision of district court budget forms; and staff support in development of the court personnel rules. The recommendations for improvements in these areas take many forms, from memoranda to individual clerks to policy suggestions for administrative judges to proposed administrative orders of statewide application.

Compilation and Assessment of Court Caseload Information

The Judicial Administrator is charged with the responsibility for compiling statistical information and producing the annual report on court activity. In fulfillment of this obligation, his staff compiles annualized data for the appellate courts and administers an extensive system for the reporting of all cases filed in the district court. This caseload reporting system consitutes a management information system, the primary uses of which are to:

determine composition of caseload, as to type;

determine whether caseload backlog exists and, if so, its composition;

pinpoint and examine trends in filings and terminations; monitor age of pending cases; assess causes of delay in case disposition;

forecast caseload;

provide data on court workload;

determine need for additional for fewer permanent personnel; determine need for temporary assignment of personnel from one court to another. The clerk of the district court in each county is responsible for the accurate and timely reporting of all cases. The Office of the Judicial Administrator provides detailed instructions and conducts training sessions periodically to explain reporting procedures, answer questions, and solve problems. It also is responsible for the development, production, and distribution of various monthly and quarterly reports which provide management information for the administrative staff, district administrators, clerks, judges, and justices. STATISTICAL INFORMATION ON THE COURTS OF KANSAS

We cannot use even the best and most scientifically compiled statistics to solve the fundamental problems of jurisprudence. They cannot give us a measure of values of competing claims, or a criterion of justice, or a theory of what we are seeking to bring about by means of law. But it does not follow that we have no use for statistics. On the contrary, ... we must learn how to use statistics to control the quality of the output of the operations by which the legal order is maintained and carried on.

> Pound, Judicial Councils and Judicial Statistics 28 A.B.A.J. 98 (1942)



THE APPELLATE COURTS



The changes in the appellate rules which accompanied the establishment of the Court of Appeals in January, 1977, have made year-to-year comparisons of appellate caseload data difficult. Modifications to the procedures have meant that cases are docketed at the appellate level at an earlier stage than under the prior rules. Because some of these cases would have been terminated prior to docketing under the old rules, real growth in the total number of cases docketed (commencements) is somewhat obscured by the adjustment to the rules. For example, since fiscal 1976, the last full year under the old structure, cases docketed at the appellate level have increased 116%, from 438 to 944. Over the same period, cases terminated have increased 127%, from 340 to As illustrated in these figures, the actual growth in cases 772. docketed is masked by the "statistical" growth due to the change in appellate rules.

Comparison of the number of cases terminated by opinion would provide a more accurate measure of real growth. In fiscal 1976, 276 opinions were rendered; in fiscal 1978 this number was 571, an increase of 107%. In 1976, the Supreme Court had seven justices and two commissioners. In 1978, there were seven justices and seven Court of Appeals judges. Although the increased number of appellate judges would be expected to produce more opinions, the number of judges increased by only 56%. It is apparent, then, that there has been significant growth in appellate court filings and terminations since fiscal 1976.

Following is a single table which presents total caseload data for both appellate courts. Following that are separate sets of tables for each court.

SUMMARY OF APPELLATE COURT CASELOAD FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

	Civil Appeals	Criminal Appeals	Original Actions	Total
Pending 7/1/77	479*	257*	7*	743*
Commenced	623	296	25	944
Terminated	450	297	25	772
Pending 6/30/78	652	256	7	915

 Pending figures as of 7/1/77 have been adjusted from totals previously reported.

SUMMARY OF ACTIVITY

	Civil Appeals	Criminal Appeals	Original Actions	Total
Pending 7/1/77	187*	164*	7*	358*
Commenced	21	106	25	152
Transferred from C.A.	_10	8	0	18
Total caseload	218	278	32	528
Terminated	124	176	_25	325
Pending 6/30/78	94	102	7	203

* Pending figures as of 7/1/77 have been adjusted from totals previously reported.

SUMMARY OF DISPOSITIONS OF APPEALS

	Civil	Criminal	Total
Without opinion:			
Voluntary dismissals	14	8	22
Dismissals by court	6	6	12
Total without opinion	20	14	34
By opinion:			
Dismissed	3	0	3
Affirmed	66	131	197
Affirmed in part,	6	10	16
reversed in part			
Reversed	29	21	50
Remanded	0	0	0
Total, by opinion	104	162	266
Total dispositions	124	176	300

Of the 104 opinions rendered in civil cases, 71 were signed and 33 were rendered per curiam. Ten were memorandum opinions. Of the 162 opinions rendered in criminal cases, 92 were signed and 70 were rendered per curiam. Twenty-two of these were memorandum opinions.

AGE OF CASES TERMINATED BY OPINION

Number Terminated, By Age From Readiness

	Civil	<u>Criminal</u>	<u>Total</u>
Less than 7 months	26	132	158
7-9 months	32	23	55
10-12 months	16	3	19
13 or more months	30	4	34

The readiness date is the date of filing of the appellee's brief. In a few cases in which that brief was not filed, its due date is used instead.

Average Age Of Cases Terminated

				<u>Civi</u>	<u>1</u>	Criminal
From	docketing	to	readiness	4.6	mo.	6.0 mo.
From	readiness	to	decision	9.1	mo.	5.2 mo.
From	docketing	to	decision	13.7	mo.	11.2 mo.

SUMMARY OF ORIGINAL CASE DISPOSITIONS

Nature of action:	Dismissed	Granted	Denied	Remanded	<u>Other</u>	Total
Habeas Corpus	1	0	4	0	0	5
Mandamus	3	0	4	0	3	10
Other Writs	1	0	0	0	0	l
Disciplinary	0	0	0	0	9	9

STATUS OF APPEALS PENDING 6/30/78

	<u>Civil</u>	Cri	minal
Submitted as of 6/30/78 Not submitted or set:	30)	16
Ready Appellant only ready No briefs filed	45 7 12	26 18 42	
Total not submitted: Total appeals pending			<u>86</u> 102

.....

AGE, FROM DATE DOCKETED, OF APPEALS PENDING 6/30/78

	Civil	Criminal	Total
Less than 7 months	15	48	63
7-12 months	10	40	50
13 or more months	69	14	83
Average age	13.6 mo.	7.0 mo.	10.2 mo.

PETITIONS FOR REVIEW IN THE SUPREME COURT OF COURT OF APPEALS DECISIONS

In fiscal 1978 there were 112 petitions for review in the Supreme Court of cases decided in the Court of Appeals. Of these petitions 77 were denied, 14 were granted, and 21 were pending as of June 30, 1978. The petitions granted were disposed of in the following ways:

Dismissed	0
Affirmed	5
Affirmed in part,	3
reversed in part	
Reversed	5
Remanded	1
Total dispositions	14

MOTIONS

In regard to Supreme Court cases, there were 950 motions filed during fiscal 1978 with the clerk of the appellate courts. Of these, 428 were disposed of by the clerk and 522 were disposed of by the court. There were 43 motions for rehearing, only one of which was granted.

COURT OF APPEALS

SUMMARY OF ACTIVITY

	Civil	Criminal	Total
Pending 7/1/77	292*	93*	385*
Commenced	602	190	792
Total caseload	894	283	1,177
Terminated	326	121	447
Transferred to S.C.	10	8	18
Pending 6/30/78	558	154	712

* Pending figures as of 7/1/77 have been adjusted from totals previously reported.

SUMMARY OF DISPOSITIONS OF APPEALS

Without opinion.	Civil	Criminal	Total
Without opinion: Voluntary dismissals	88	13	101
Dismissals by court	26	5	31
Other	10	Ō	10
Total without opinion	124	18	142
By opinion:			
Dismissed	7	1	8
Affirmed	133	78	211
Affirmed in part,	21	2	23
reversed in part			
Reversed	39	21	60
Remanded	0	1	1
Other	2	0	2
Total, by opinion	202	103	305
Total dispositions	326	121	447

Of the 202 opinions rendered by the Court of Appeals in civil cases, 190 were signed and 12 were rendered per curiam. Fifty-eight of these were memorandum opinions. Of the 103 opinions rendered in criminal cases, 99 were signed and 4 were rendered per curiam. Twenty-five were memorandum opinions.

AGE OF CASES TERMINATED BY OPINION

Number Terminated, By Age From Readiness

	Civil	Criminal	Total
Less than 7 months	129	87	216
7-9 months	60	14	74
10-12 months	11	1	12
13 or more months	2	1	3

The readiness date is the date of filing of the appellee's brief. In a few cases in which that brief was not filed, its due date is used instead.

Average Age of Cases Terminated

				<u>Civil</u>	Crin	ninal
From	docketing	to	readiness	4.2	mo. 5	5.0 mo.
From	readiness	to	decision	5.7 :	mo. 4	1.3 mo.
From	docketing	to	decision	9.9 1	mo. 9	.4* mo.

* Adjusted to compensate for rounding.

STATUS OF APPEALS PENDING 6/30/78


	Civil	<u>Criminal</u>	
Submitted as of 6/30/78 Not submitted or set:	41	9	
Ready	200	42	
Appellant only ready	80	31	
No briefs filed	237	72	
Total not submitted	517	145	
Total appeals pending	558	154	

AGE, FROM DATE DOCKETED, OF APPEALS PENDING 6/30/78 .

	Civil	<u>Criminal</u>	Total
Less than 7 months	282	96	378
7-12 months	213	47	260
13 or more months	63	11	74
Average age	6.7 mo.	5.6 mo.	6.5 mo.

MOTIONS

There were 2,157 motions filed with the clerk of the appellate courts in fiscal 1978 relating to Court of Appeals cases. Of these, 1,207 were disposed of by the clerk and 950 were disposed of by the court. There were 35 motions for rehearing; all were denied.



THE DISTRICT COURT



THE CASELOAD INFORMATION SYSTEM

The unification of the state trial courts in January, 1977, necessitated major revisions to the caseload reporting mechanisms in use at that time. There had been separate systems for the district courts and for the limited and special jurisdiction courts. The former system, which used electronic data processing, was administered by the Office of the Judicial Administrator; the latter, which involved only manual processing, was administered by the Judicial Council. For the period from January through the end of fiscal year 1977 in June, only minor changes were made to each system. Ultimate responsibility for compilation of statistical data remained divided between the judicial administrator's office and the Judicial Council.

Effective with fiscal year 1978, the Judicial Council through its chairman, the Chief Justice, turned over its responsibility for caseload data collection to the Judicial Administrator. On July 1, 1977, a new set of forms was implemented for the reporting of all cases filed in the district court. The diverse reporting procedures based on case type were retained: general jurisdiction cases are reported under a process which uses a computer to store and summarize data; special jurisdiction cases are reported and summarized manually. The segment of the system which uses electronic data processing can be described as transaction-based. In other words, the reporting of certain information on an individual case is triggered by a specific event in the life of that case. The segment involving only manual processes is a summary system in that cases are reported quarterly on forms which allow for logging procedures. Cases reported under the transaction-based segment are: all nontraffic criminal cases, all regular civil cases, including domestic relations actions, and all limited civil actions (involving amounts of \$3,000 or less and using the special limited actions procedures), excluding small claims. All remaining cases are reported in summary fashion and data is compiled manually. These cases include: formal juvenile cases, probate cases of all types, small claims, traffic cases, and other miscellaneous cases.

Although the procedural distinction based on case type was retained, extensive modifications were made to the forms used in the reporting system. In the computerized segment, in order to collect more detailed and useful information, three forms were instituted to replace the previous single form. Computer output was modified as well. A series of monthly caseload activity reports was added for the first time and the quarterly reports were redesigned completely. The manual segment also incurred extensive revisions. The number of forms was reduced to four from the eight that had been used under the previous structure. All the remaining forms were new and represented major changes in the information gathered. Moreover, the reporting requirements were switched from an annual basis to a quarterly one. The statistical tables which follow comprise information from both segments of the reporting system. They present a general overview which incorporates information on all types of cases. The full detail available is portrayed in only a few tables depicting statewide caseload activity.

As was indicated in the earlier sections of this document, the annual report has undergone extensive revisions in both format and content. Much information, previously not provided in the district court report, is depicted for the first time. These modifications, coupled with the changes which have occurred in the judicial branch and in its caseload reporting systems in the past eighteen months, severely limit the comparability of this report's data with that from previous years. Any attempts at such comparisons should be made with extreme caution; the variables affecting such analyses are both numerous and complex.

INDEX OF DISTRICT COURT TABLES

Statewide Summary Data


Summary of District Court Caseload for the State 33 Summary of Domestic Relations Caseload for the State . . . 37 Summary of Domestic Relations Caseload Activity, By County . 38 Summary of Regular Civil Caseload for the State. 43 Summary of Regular Civil Caseload Activity, By County. . . 44 Summary of Criminal Caseload for the State - Felonies. . . 48 Summary of Criminal Caseload for the State - Misdemeanors. . 49 Summary of Criminal Caseload Activity, By County 50

Summary	Data	Ву	District
---------	------	----	----------

Civil Cases By District	57
Criminal Cases By District	
Footnotes to Civil and Criminal Case Summary Tables	
Traffic Caseload Activity, By County, By District	118
Formal Juvenile Caseload Activity, By County, By District	128
Decedent Estate Caseload Activity, By County, By District	138
Guardianship & Conservatorship Estates Caseload Activity, By County, By District	148
Other Probate Matters	
Miscellaneous Matters	163

; ٠ ć

S T A T E W I D E S U M M A R Y D A T A




SUMMARY OF DISTRICT COURT CASELOAD FOR THE STATE YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

2 %		ding 1/77	Cases Filed	Cases Terminated	Pending 6/30/78
Civil Cases:					
Regular Actions	11,	242	15,592	14,253	12,581
Domestic Relations	8,	744	24,000	22,777	9,967
Total *, under regular procedures	19,9	986	39,592	37,030	22,548
Criminal Cases:					
Felonies	3,9	926	10,303	10,232	3,997
Misdemeanors	3,	993	14,908	14,819	4,082
Total, criminal	_7,	919	25,211	25,051	8,079
SUBTOTAL **	27,	905	64,803	62,081	30,627
Limited civil actions			29,345	26,363+	
Traffic cases			264,024	250,028	
Formal juvenile cases			15,653	12,521	
Decedent estates			6,225	5,085	
Guardianship/ Conservatorship estates			1,958	899	
Trusts			384	179	
TOTAL			382,392	357,156	
Other Actions:				المستعمون بالمستعمون بالمستعمل ويوم بالمستعمر المستعمر والمستعمر والمستعمر والمستعمر والمستعمر والمستعمر والمس ويونون والمستعمر المستعمر المستعمر والمستعمر والمستعمر والمستعمر والمستعمر والمستعمر والمستعمر والمستعمر والمست	
Small claims	10,670	Info	rmal juver	ile cases	13,434
Adoptions	1,973	Dete	rminations	of descent	2,055
Treatment proceedings	1,929	Misc	ellaneous	probate actions	1,202


- * Due to the changes in the reporting system, this civil total is the most comparable to the civil caseload totals in the annual district court reports of the past.
- ** This subtotal provides the most comparability with total caseload figures published in past annual reports.
- + This figure includes an adjustment for the termination of pending cases not previously reported under this system.

DOMESTIC RELATIONS AND OTHER REGULAR CIVIL CASES FILED, STATEWIDE, FY 73-78



The graph above depicts total annual domestic relations and other regular civil cases filed in the district court for fiscal 1978 and the preceding five fiscal years. Only these civil cases are shown because the method of reporting them has not been changed and, therefore, comparability is relatively unaffected by external change. The trend in filings of these cases has moved steadily upward, at an average annual growth rate of 4.7% since fiscal 1973.

CRIMINAL CASES FILED STATEWIDE FY 73-78



1

This graph depicts total criminal cases filed in the district court for fiscal years 1973 through 1978. It is presented to demonstrate the dramatic effect of court unification in January, 1977, on the number of criminal cases filed in the district court. Prior to unification most misdemeanor cases were filed in special jurisdiction courts; also, most felony cases were taken through the preliminary hearing phase at that lower level. Consequently, many criminal cases did not rise to the district court level and, thus, were not counted as district court filings. Since January, 1977, all criminal cases are filed originally in the district court. This structural change makes it difficult to assess trends since unification. It does seem certain, based on other available data, that the steady growth rate experienced prior to unification has continued, but it is impossible to say whether that rate has accelerated.

.

SUMMARY OF DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASELOAD FOR THE STATE YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

······································		FILING	S			
Nature		iginal tions	Retrial Reinstatem	•	ppeals	Total
Divorce, annulment				- 7.		
or separate main [.]	tenance l	.9,483	126		10	19,619
U.R.E.S.A., inward		1,763	14		6	1,783
U.R.E.S.A., outward	E	1,313	7		8	1,328
Other		1,244			5	1,270
TOTAL FILINGS	2	23,803	168			24,000
		TERMINATI	ONS			
Dismiss Dismiss Total o Contest Unconte Other	sals by agn dismissals ted cases *	ack of acti ceement	3,4 on 6 <u>1,6</u>	97		
Di Di An Sej	304	denied 4 denied 1 denied 5		÷		
		DENDING	ACTEC			
		PENDING C	<u>ардо</u>		•	
	6 mos.	7-12	13-18	19-24	Over	.
	<u>or less</u>	months	months	months	24 mos	
Number	7,091	1,740	631	250	255	9,967
Percent of total	71.1%	17.5%	6.3%	2.5%	2.6%	1009

^{*} The definition of this dispositional category was changed effective with cases filed on or after 7/1/77. Cases filed prior to that date which terminated during the year were to be considered "contested" if an answer was filed. The new definition restricted the term to mean actual trials. Therefore, this total contains contested cases under both definitions and is not comparable to past data.

38 SUMMARY OF DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

	CASES PENDING 06/30/77	CASES FILED	CASES TERMI- NATED	CASES PENDING 06/30/78
DISTRICT OL ATCHISON LEAVENWORTH	51 274 325	156 725 881	145 618 763	62 381 443
TOTAL	52 5	001	105	
DISTRICT 02	2 F	79	69	35
JACK SON JEFFERSON	25 18	80	71	27
POTTAWATOMIE	26	100	78	48
WABAUNSEE	3	21	17	7 117
TOTAL	72	280	235	
DISTRICT 03				
SHAWNEE	574	1,876	1,790 1,790	660 660
TOTAL	574	1,876	1+190	000
DISTRICT 04				
ALLEN	63	154	157 51	60 13
ANDER SON COFFEY	13 19	51 71	80	10
FRANKLIN	51	197	175	73
OSAGE	24	74	53	45
WOODSON	9	26	26	9
TOTAL	179	573	542	210
DISTRICT 05				
CHASE	9	25	22	12
LYON	139	331	317 339	153 165
TOTAL	148	356	766	105
DISTRICT 06				
BOURBON	42	145	147	40 34
LINN	18 50	51 178	35 169	59 59
MIAMI Total	110	374	351	133
DISTRICT 07	25 2	494	514	232
DOUGLAS TOTAL	252	494	514	232
DISTRICT OB		100	120	43
DICKINSON	48 190	123 574	128 563	201
GEARY MARION	18	58	69	7
MORRIS	16	38	45	9
TOTAL	272	793	805	260
DISTRICT 09				
HARVEY	95	209	231	73
MCPHERSON	81	178	164	95
TOTAL	176	387	395	168

SUMMARY OF DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

	C ASES PEND ING 06/30/77	CASES FILED	CASES TERMI – NATED	CASES PENDING 06/30/78
DISTRICT 10				
J CH NS ON T OT AL	909 909	2,308 2,308	2,051 2,051	1,166 1,166
DISTRICT 11				
CHEROKEE	118	207	188	137
CRAWFORD LABETTE	98	304	265	137
NEDSHO	79 52	245	218	106
WILSON	28	172 140	160 127	64
TOTAL	375	1,068	958	41 485
DISTRICT-12				-
CLOUD	24	63	63	24
JEWELL	12	25	29	8
LINCOLN	6	22	25	3
MITCHELL REPUBLIC	8 15	38	36	10
WASHINGTON	13	28 31	33 35	10
TOTAL	78	207	221	9 64
DISTRICT 13				
BUTLER	135	398	370	163
CHAUTAUQUA	16	37	37	16
ELK	3	22	16	9
GREENWOOD Total	30 184	65	73	22
	104	522	496	210
DISTRICT 14				
MONTGOMERY	148	511	475	184
TOTAL	148	511	475	184
DISTRICT 15				
GRAHAM	5	21	11	15
ROOKS SHERIDAN	15	34	35	14
SHERMAN	31	72	15 64	3 39
THOMAS	12	56	52	16
TOTAL	66	198	177	87
DISTRICT 16				
CLARK	3	16	13	6
COMANCHE	2	15	12	5
FORD GRAY	82	230	222	90
KIDWA	12	26 18	21 24	13 6
MEADE	13	26	28	11
TOTAL	120	331	320	131

SUMMARY OF DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

	CASES PENDING 06/30/77	CASES FILED	CA SES TERMI – NATED	CASES PENDING 06/30/78
DISTRICT 17 CHEYENNE DECATUR NORTON OSBORNE PHILLIPS RAWLINS SMITH TOTAL	8 7 4 11 10 9 5 54	19 20 36 38 43 25 25 206	18 20 24 30 39 20 24 175	9 7 16 19 14 14 6 85
DISTRICT 18 SEDGWICK TOTAL	1,805 1,805	5+255 5+255	5,102 5,102	1,958 1,958
DISTRICT 19 BARBER COWLEY HARPER KINGMAN PRATT SUMNER TOTAL	23 121 16 24 30 79 293	51 432 42 56 83 216 880	58 414 43 63 85 200 863	16 139 15 17 28 95 310
DISTRICT 20 BARTON ELLSWORTH RICE RUSSELL STAFFORD TOTAL	99 5 22 22 12 160	334 33 73 75 37 552	286 30 67 67 38 488	147 8 28 30 11 224
DISTRICT 21 CLAY RILEY TOTAL	24 186 210	62 475 537	57 380 437	29 281 310
DISTRICT 22 BROWN DONIPHAN MARSHALL NEMAHA TOTAL	21 21 15 15 72	73 67 69 36 245	54 63 62 38 217	40 25 22 13 100
DISTRICT 23 ELLIS GOVE LOGAN TREGO WALLACE TOTAL	37 2 7 10 0 56	160 13 10 21 9 213	137 9 15 23 5 189	60 6 2 8 4 80

40

,

SUMMARY OF DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

	CASES PENDING 06/30/77	CASES FILED	CASES TERMI- NATED	CASES PENDING 06/30/78
DISTRICT 24				
EDWARDS	13	32	32	1 3
HODGEMAN	4	3	<u>ح</u>	13
LANE	10	14	15	9
NESS	12	16	18	10
PAWNEE	25	60	43	42
RUSH	10	15	15	10
TOTAL	74	140	127	87
DISTRICT 25				
FINNEY	86	257	258	85
GREELEY	4	16	15	5
HAMILTON	11	25	28	8
KEARNY	7	20	21	6
SCOTT	13	40	32	21
WICHITA	10	21	26	5
TOTAL	131	379	380	130
DISTRICT 26				
GRANT	29	66	72	23
HASKELL	7	27	24	10
MORTON	4	19	16	7
STANTON	8	24	24	8
STEVENS	23	33	32	24
SEWARD	122	204	192	134
TOTAL	193	373	360	206
DISTRICT 27				
REND	286	733	674	345
TOTAL	286	733	674	345
DISTRICT 28				
OTTAWA	15	36	32	10
SALINE	320	605	601	19 324
TOTAL	335	641	633	343
		- • -		5,5
DISTRICT 29				
WYANDOTTE	1,087	2,687	2,700	1,074
TOTAL	1,087	2,687	2,700	1 •0 74
TOTAL FOR STATE	8,744	24,000	22,777	9,967

ĩ

SUMMARY OF REGULAR CIVIL CASELOAD FOR THE STATE

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

Nature	_	Original Actions		etrials/ statements	Appeals	Total
Contract		6,470	<u> </u>	59	17	6,546
Product liability		101		0	0	101
Personal injury:					-	204
Auto negligence Medical neglige		1,334 145		6 0	б 0	1,346 145
Property damage		396		3	· 4	403
Other tort		1,224		26	· 4	1,254
Real property		2,214		11	50	2,275
Personal property		416		4	2	422
Appeals		75		2	67	144
Special writs		264		0	3	267
Other		2,492		18	179	2,689
TOTAL FILINGS		15,131		<u>129</u>	332	<u>15,592</u>
Diemi	ssals for	CAUSA		2.865		
Dismi Dismi Total		c lack of a agreement	action	2,865 231 <u>2,711</u> 5	,807	
Cou Jur Total	rt * Y conteste tested	ed			,625 ,291 530	
TOTAI	TERMINA	TIONS		14	,253	ι.
		PENDIN	IG CASES			
	6 mos. or less	7-12 months	13-18 months	19-24 months	Over 24 mos.	Total
Number	5,382	2,789	1,715	1,107	1,588	12,581
Percent of total	42.8%	22.2%	13.6%	8.8%	12.6%	100%

* The definition of this dispositional category was changed effective with cases filed on or after 7/1/77. Cases filed prior to that date which terminated during the year were to be considered "contested" if an answer was filed. The new definition restricted the term to mean actual trials. Therefore, this total contains contested cases under both definitions and is not comparable to past data.

SUMMARY OF REGULAR CIVIL CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

	C A S E S P E ND I NG 06/30/77	C ASES F I L ED	CASES TERMI- NATED	CASES PENDING 06/30/78
DISTRICT O1 ATCHISDN LEAVENWORTH TDTAL	102 258 360	85 297 382	100 213 313	87 342 429
DISTRICT O2 JACKSON JEFFERSON POTTAWATOMIE WABAUNSEE TOTAL	27 41 43 13 124	68 91 59 17 235	54 75 83 24 236	41 57 19 6 123
DISTRICT 03 Shawnee Total	1,055 1,055	1,130 1,130	934 934	1,251 1,251
DISTRICT 04 ALLEN ANDERSON COFFEY FRANKLIN OSAGE WOODSON TOTAL	58 39 20 57 64 26 264	110 62 63 180 80 29 524	96 61 52 179 72 23 483	72 40 31 58 72 32 305
DISTRICT 05 CHASE Lyon Total	25 132 157	19 155 174	22 148 170	22 139 161
DISTRICT 06 BOURBON LINN MIAMI TOTAL	69 36 95 200	129 46 101 276	130 40 75 245	68 42 121 231
DISTRICT 07 DOUGLAS TOTAL	266 266	276 276	303 303	239 239
DISTRICT 08 DICKINSON GEARY MARION MORRIS TOTAL	51 99 44 27 221	117 133 58 36 344	111 136 73 35 355	57 96 29 28 210
DISTRICT 09 HARVEY MCPHERSON TOTAL	152 134 286	152 127 279	189 143 332	115 118 233

SUMMARY OF REGULAR CIVIL CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

	C A S E S P E ND I NG 06/30/77	CASES F1LED	CASES TERMI- NATED	C ASES PEND ING 06/30/78
DISTRICT 10 Johnson Total	1,546 1,546	1,624 1,624	1,287 1,287	1,883 1,883
DISTRICT 11 CHEROKEE CRAWFORD LABETTE NEOSHO WILSON TOTAL	108 91 63 39 30 331	135 236 142 83 82 678	150 177 130 76 80 613	93 150 75 46 32 396
DISTRICT 12 CLOUD JEWELL LINCOLN MITCHELL REPUBLIC WASHINGTON TOTAL	27 8 10 23 19 17 104	71 18 18 46 39 41 233	63 15 17 44 30 33 202	35 11 11 25 28 25 135
DISTRICT 13 BUTLER CHAUTAUQUA ELK GREENWODD TOTAL DISTRICT 14	145 25 15 44 229	280 56 37 84 457	264 54 36 95 449	161 27 16 33 237
MONTGOMERY TOTAL	175 175	353 353	312 312	216 216
DISTRICT 15 GRAHAM ROOKS SHERIDAN SHERMAN THOMAS TOTAL	28 30 20 65 48 191	15 49 21 62 62 209	25 46 31 58 76 236	18 33 10 69 34 164
DISTRICT 16 CLARK CDMANCHE FDRD GRAY KIOWA MEADE TOTAL	0 8 138 21 10 25 202	10 15 246 50 39 34 394	1 12 244 47 30 34 368	9 11 140 24 19 25 228

SUMMARY OF REGULAR CIVIL CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

	C A S E S P E ND I NG 06/30/77	C A S E S F I L E D	CASES TERMI- NATED	CASES PENDING 06/30/78
DISTRICT 17 CHEYENNE DECATUR NORTON OSBORNE PHILLIPS RAWLINS SMITH TOTAL	16 29 19 21 23 8 6 122	12 25 37 . 36 34 18 20 182	17 24 35 20 24 16 20 156	11 30 21 37 33 10 6 148
DISTRICT 18 Sedgwick Total	1,635 1,635	2,977 2,977	2,929 2,929	1,683 1,683
DISTRICT 19 BARBER COWLEY HARPER KINGMAN PRATT SUMNER TOTAL	22 92 31 33 53 104 335	46 163 49 59 92 125 534	42 169 41 48 85 132 517	26 86 39 44 60 97 352
DISTRICT 20 BARTON ELLSWORTH RICE RUSSELL STAFFORD TOTAL	205 19 34 56 24 338	331 41 58 90 69 589	277 26 42 65 54 464	- 259 34 50 81 39 463
DISTRICT 21 CLAY RILEY TOTAL	18 149 167	40 185 225	22 155 177	36 179 215
DISTRICT 22 BROWN DONIPHAN MARSHALL NEMAHA TOTAL	63 36 47 33 179	53 35 65 27 180	56 39 59 21 175	60 32 53 39 184
DISTRICT 23 ELLIS GOVE LOGAN TREGO WALLACE TOTAL	97 19 16 19 7 158	148 19 26 28 21 242	152 25 26 25 16 244	93 13 16 22 12 156

SUMMARY OF REGULAR CIVIL CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

	C A SE S P E ND I NG 06/30/77	CASES FILED	CASES TERMI- NATED	C A S E S P E N D I N G 06/30/78
DISTRICT 24				
EDWARDS	22	50	10	
HDDGENAN	19	11	48	24
LANE	55	15	14 23	16
NESS	23	21	22	48
PAWNEE	60	79	69	22 70
RUSH	35	20	21	34
1 OTAL	215	196	197	214
DISTRICT 25		·		
FINNEY	132	260	247	145
GREELEY	10	34	26	18
HAMILTON	17	27	29	15
KEARNY	12	23	18	17
SCOTT	27	42	34	35
WICHITA	15	20	25	10
TOTAL	213	406	379	240
DISTRICT 26				
GRANT	27	50	39	38
HASKELL	41	55	45	51
MORTON	21	36	37	20
STANTON	13	29	28	14
STEVENS	43	38	31	50
SEWARD	80	166	133	113
TOTAL	225	374	313	286
DISTRICT 27				
REND	285	397	264	418
TOTAL	285	397	264	418
DISTRICT 28				
OTTAWA	15	20	18	17
SALINE	188	249	202	235
TOTAL	203		220	252
DISTRICT 29				
WYANDOTTE	1,456	1,453	1,360	1,529
TOTAL	1,456	1,453	1,380	1,529
TOTAL FOR STATE	11,242	15,592	14,253	12,581

SUMMARY OF CRIMINAL CASELOAD FOR THE STATE YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978 FELONIES

		FIL	INGS				
	Class 	Class B	Class 	Class 	Class E	Unclass.	<u>Total</u>
Original actions	173	509	984	5,193	3,106	133	10,098
Retrials/Reinstatements	4	_17	17	102	64	1	205
TOTAL FILINGS	177	526	1,001	5,295	3,170	134	10,303
		TERMIN	ATIONS				
Dismissals by prosecutor	30	71	195	1,002	1,214	52	2,564
Dismissals by judge	15	45	187	527	413	106	1,293
Guilty pleas	69	241	464	2,968	1,336	74	5,152
Trials to court	3	14	40	108	60	12	237
Jury trials	26	79	98	201	46	20	470
Other	6	14	35	281	164	_16	516
TOTAL TERMINATIONS	149	464	1,019	5,087	3,233	280	10,232
*	* * * ¶	rial V	erdicts	* * * *			
Guilty	25	67	89	223	85	25	514
Not guilty	4	_26	49	86	_21	7	<u>193</u>
TOTAL TRIALS/VERDICTS	29	93	138	309	106	32	707

	PENDING CASES					
	6 mos. or less	7-12 months	13-18 months	19-24 months	Over 24 mos.	Total
Number	2,182	811	701	194	109	3,997
Percent of total	54.6%	20.3%	17.5%	4.9%	2.7%	100%

SUMMARY OF CRIMINAL CASELOAD FOR THE STATE YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978 MISDEMEANORS

		FILI	NGS			
	Class A	Class B	Class C	Unclass.	Total <u>Msdmr.</u>	Total All Criminal
Original actions	8,935	941	1,479	2,795	14,150	24,248
Retrials/Reinstatements	29	3	3	8	43	248
Appeals	24	6	8	677	715	715
TOTAL FILINGS	8,988	950	1,490	3,480	14,908	25,211
		DISPOSI	TIONS			
Dismissals by prose-						
cutor	2,222	249	195	245	2,911	5,475
Dismissals by judge	957	127	124	398	1,606	2,899
Guilty pleas	5,091	395	974	2,367	8,827	13,979
Trial to court	259	93	93	147	592	829
Jury trials	46	18	4	42	110	580
Other	440	51	67	215	773	1,289
TOTAL DISPOSITIONS	9,015	933	1,457	3,414	14,819	25,051
*	* * * 1	rial Ve	rdicts *	* * * *	<u></u>	<u> </u>
Guilty	245	78	63	149	535	1,049
Not guilty	60	33	34	40	167	360
TOTAL TRIALS/VERDICTS	305	<u>111</u>	97	189	702	1,409
	F	PENDING	CASES			
	6 mos. or less	7-12 months	13-18 month			Total
Number	1,709	936	1,133	8 228	76	4,082
Percent of total	41.9%	22.9%	27.8	38 5.6	8 1.98	100%
TOTAL, ALL CRIMINAL	3,891	1,747	1,834	422	185	8,079
	48.2%	21.6%	22.7	78 5.2	१ 2,3१	100%

SUMMARY OF CRIMINAL CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

	CASES PENDING C6/30/77	CASES FILED	CASES TERMI- NATED	CASES PENDING 06/30/78
DISTRICT OF				
DISTRICT O1 ATCHISON	- 			
LEAVENWORTH	77	280	288	69
TOTAL	93	455	259	289
TOTAL	170	735	547	358
DISTRICT 02				
JACKSON	42	207	178	
JEFFERSON	34	308	300	71 42
POTTAWATOMIE	192	397	457	132
WABAUNSEE	15	75	75	15
TOTAL	283	98 7	1,010	260
DISTRICT 03	510			
SHAWNEE Total	518	1,680	1,688	508
TOTAL	518	1,680	1,688	508
DISTRICT 04				
ALLEN	35	145	124	F (
ANDERSON	31	126	124	56 39
COFFEY	15	133	109	39
FRANKLIN	96	300	320	59 76
OSAGE	67	183	122	128
WOODSON	15	61	64	12
TOTAL	259	948	857	350
DISTRICT OF				
DISTRICT 05				
CHASE LYON	16	42	49	9
TOTAL	99	336	209	226
, UTAL	115	378	258	235
DISTRICT 06				
BOURBON	54	176	183	17
LINN	28	228	178	47 78
MIAMI	42	184	175	51
TOTAL	124	588	536	176
				210
DISTRICT 07				
DOUGLAS	348	445	492	301
TCTAL	348	445	492	301
DISTRICT 08				
DICKINSON	102	337	241	
GEARY	217	280	361 423	78 74
MARION	22	170	172	20
MORRIS	17	108	79	46
TOTAL	358	895	1,035	218
DICTORE CO				~ ~ ~
DISTRICT 09	·	•		
HARVEY MCPHERSON	134	243	263	114
TOTAL	74 208	217	179	112
I UINE	200	460	442	.226

SUMMARY OF CRIMINAL CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

	CASÉS PENDING 06/30/77	CASES FILED	CASES Termi- Nated	CASES PENDING 06/30/78
DISTRICT 10				
JCHNSON	577	1,588	1,530	635
TOTAL	577	1,588	1,530	635
		1,000	19000	
DISTRICT 11				
CHERCKEE	52	231	125	158
CRAWFORD	136	483	415	204
LABETTE	112	260	305	67
NEOSHO	27	179	159	47
WILSCN	36	111	132	15
TOTAL	363	1,264	1,136	491
DISTRICT 12				
CLOUD	47	201	1.02	15
JEWELL	4	201 95	183	65
LINCCLN	30	100	108	14 22
MITCHELL	7	124	116	15
REPUBLIC	17	56	46	27
WASHINGTON	6	76	72	10
TOTAL	111	652	610	153
			010	
DISTRICT 13				
BUTLER	109	465	428	150
CHAUTAUQUA	21	96	64	53
ELK	6	48	43	11
GREENWOOD	50	299	273	76
TOTAL	186	912	808	290
DISTRICT 14				
MONTGOMERY	111	517	534	100
TOTAL	111	517	526 526	102 102
	* * *	J. 1	520	102
DISTRICT 15				
GRAHAM	9	89	72	26
ROOKS	36	107	115	28
SHERIDAN	7	23	27	3
SHERMAN	90	261	273	78
THOMAS	77	247	288	36
TOTAL	219	727	775	171
DISTRICT 16				
CLARK	4	1. K.		10
CEMANCHE	5	46 19	40 13	10 11
FORD	173	229	275	127
GRAY	18	74	69	23
KIOWA	25	110	98	37
MEADE	12	86	91	7
TOTAL	237	564	586	215

SUMMARY OF CRIMINAL CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

	CASES PENDING 06/30/77	CASES FILED	CASES TERMI- NATED	CASES PENDING 06/30/78
DISTRICT 17 CHEYENNE DECATUR NORTON OSBORNE PHILLIPS RAWLINS SMITH TOTAL	6 8 37 8 26 7 12 104	19 29 94 32 70 76 76 396	18 24 65 34 82 66 81 370	7 13 66 6 14 17 7 130
DISTRICT 18 SEDGWICK TOTAL	854 854	2,349 2,349	2,546 2,546	657 657
DISTRICT 19 BARBER Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total	17 42 35 84 64 114 356	55 476 182 144 261 307 1,425	50 430 149 165 274 370 1,438	22 88 68 63 51 51 343
DISTRICT 20 BARTON ELLSWORTH RICE RUSSELL STAFFORD TOTAL	159 37 69 72 17 354	431 196 257 103 109 1,C96	515 196 234 90 94 1,129	75 37 92 85 32 321
DISTRICT 21 CLAY RILEY TOTAL	18 121 139	8C 619 699	60 687 747	38 53 91
DISTRICT 22 BROWN DONIPHAN MARSHALL NEMAHA TOTAL	45 13 19 23 100	252 99 168 52 571	200 92 153 54 499	97 20 34 21 172
DISTRICT 23 ELLIS GOVE LOGAN TREGO WALLACE TOTAL	88 15 11 36 2 152	262 36 28 155 15 496	292 36 27 166 8 529	58 15 12 25 9 119

SUMMARY OF CRIMINAL CASELOAD ACTIVITY, BY COUNTY

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

	CASES PENDING 06/30/77	CASES FILED	CASES TERMI- NATED	CASES PENDING 06/30/78
DISTRICT 24				
EDWARDS	23	45	40	28
HÖDGEMAN	10	27	33	4
LANE	7	51	35	23
NESS	15	38	33	20
PAWNEE	42	288	161	169
RUSH	40	90	58	72
TOTAL	137	539	360	316
DISTRICT 25				
FINNEY	133	738	792	79
GREELEY	14	32	41	5
HAMILTON	15	44	51	8
KEARNY	10	34	33	11
SCOTT	54	195	203	46
WICHITA	11	44	46	9
TOTAL	237	1, C87	1,166	158
DISTRICT 26				
GRANT	17	138	141	14
HASKELL	13	56	37	32
MORTON	6	23	16	13
STANTON	38	61	76	23
STEVENS	16	78	46	48
SEWARD	75	148	151	72
TOTAL	165	504	467	202
DISTRICT 27				
RENO	162	706	658	210
TOTAL	162	706	658	210
DISTRICT 28				
OTTAWA	8	103	. 97	14
SALINE	541	971	1,194	318
TOTAL	549	1,074	1,291	332
DISTRICT 29				
WY AN COTTE	425	92 S	1,015	339
TOTAL	425	925	1,015	339
TOTAL FOR STATE	7,921	25,211	25,051	8,079

SUMMARY DATA BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT



FIRST DISTRICT CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

-		FILINGS	507 1570	57
	_	lannan ya kuta kana kana kuta kuta kuta kuta kuta kuta kuta kut		
	Atchison	Leavenworth		TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	21 21 18 <u>25</u> 85	87 41 44 <u>125</u> 297		108 62 62 150 382
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status3 Other Total LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴	133 23 156	486 239 725		619 262 881
Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	$ \begin{array}{r} 210 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ \hline 221 \\ 462 \end{array} $	$326 \\ 14 \\ 23 \\ 19 \\ \overline{382} \\ 1,404$		5362023246031,866
		ISPOSITIONS		
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	48 29 1 19 <u>3</u> 100	88 41 5 68 <u>11</u> 213		136 70 6 87 <u>14</u> 313
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	42 38 62 <u>3</u> 145	128 84 357 49 618		170 122 419 <u>52</u> 763
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other* Total TOTAL, All Disps.	70 6 0 87 <u>56</u> 219 464	49 33 0 127 <u>122</u> 331 1,162		119 39 0 214 <u>178</u> 550 1,626
	AGE OF C	IVIL CASES PENDI	ING	
6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months	128 50% 63	438 50% 193		566 50% 256
13-18 Months	25% 15 6%	22% 102 12%		23% 117 10%
19-24 Months	10 4%	52 6%		62 5%
Over 24 Months TOTAL	39 <u>15</u> % 255	98 11% 883		137 <u>12</u> % 1,138
TOTUD	* Included	is an estimate o	of terminations of on not converted to the	cases which

SECOND DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

٠

÷

÷

FILINGS

	Jackson	Jefferson	Pottawatomie	Wabaunsee	TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	21 6 10 <u>31</u> 68	25 12 26 28 91	21 6 16 16 59	9 2 4 2 17	76 26 56 <u>77</u> 235
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total	75 <u>4</u> 79	74 <u>6</u> 80	85 <u>15</u> 100	15 <u>6</u> 21	249 <u>31</u> 280
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	$ \begin{array}{r} 28\\ 3\\ 0\\ \underline{1}\\ 32\\ 179 \end{array} $	4 3 0 <u>61</u> 68 239	33 2 1 $-\frac{6}{42}$ 201	20 4 0 <u>2</u> 26 64	85 12 1 70 168 683
		DISPOSIT	IONS	- <u> </u>	
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	17 14 6 15 <u>2</u> 54	16 28 4 14 13 75	28 31 3 18 <u>3</u> 83	$ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ -1 \\ -24 \end{array} $	71 76 13 57 <u>19</u> 236
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	11 18 40 <u>0</u> 69	21 17 31 71	27 27 21 <u>3</u> 78	2 1 12 <u>2</u> 17	61 63 104 7 235
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	11 4 0 7 <u>6</u> 28 151	$16 \\ 7 \\ 0 \\ 19 \\ - \frac{6}{48} \\ 194$	15 4 0 12 9 40 201	2 1 0 14 0 17 58	44 16 0 52 21 133 604
	1		SES PENDING		
6 Mos. Or Less	64 76%	66 61%	64 84%	17 77%	211 73%
7-12 Months	12 14%	31 29%	7 9 %	5 23%	55 19%
13-18 Months	5	8	2	0	15
19-24 Months	6% 0 0%	7% 2 2%	3% 1 1%	0% 0 0%	5% 3 1%
Over 24 Months	3 48	1 1%	2 3%	0 0 원	6 28
TOTAL	84	108	76	22	290
				rminations of onverted to th	

THIRD DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Shawnee	TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	299 255 151 <u>425</u> 1,130	299 255 151 425 1,130
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total	1,581 295 1,876	1,581 295 1,876
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	3,283 2 266 53 $3,6046,610$	3,283 2 266 <u>53</u> 3,604 6,610
₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩	DISPOSITIONS	
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	499 175 14 217 <u>29</u> 934	499 175 14 217 <u>29</u> 934
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	573 190 1,019 <u>8</u> 1,790	573 190 1,019 <u>8</u> 1,790
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other* Total TOTAL, All Disps.	748 67 0 1,585 <u>615</u> 3,015 5,739	748 67 0 1,585 615 3,015 5,739
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	AGE OF CIVIL CASES PENDING	
6 Mos. Or Less	2,027	2,027
7-12 Months	65% 539	65% 539
13-18 Months	17% 229	178 229
	78	78
19-24 Months	130 4%	130 48
Over 24 Months	186 6%	186 6%
TOTAL	3,111	3,111
	 * Included is an estimate of terminations of ca were pending 7/1/77 and not converted to this 	

. ;

ι,

FOURTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

		-					
	Allen	Anderson	Coffey	Franklin	Osage	Woodson	TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	67 16 22 5 110	42 3 11 <u>6</u> 62	31 7 17 <u>8</u> 63	109 15 22 <u>34</u> 180	55 11 11 <u>3</u> 80	17 7 3 <u>2</u> 29	321 59 86 <u>58</u> 524
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total	143 <u>11</u> 154	38 _ <u>13</u> _51	60 _ <u>11</u> 71	186 _ <u>11</u> 197	66 <u>8</u> 74	20 6 26	513 <u>60</u> 573
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	16 1 0 <u>0</u> 17 281	$ 12 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 14 \\ 127 $	$ 10 \\ 0 \\ -11 \\ -21 \\ 155 $	96 5 0 4 105 482	94 4 0 2 100 254	6 0 1 7 62	234 10 0 <u>20</u> 264 1,361
		DISI	POSITION	IS			
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	42 13 0 33 <u>8</u> 96	22 14 0 13 12 61	13 20 0 18 <u>1</u> 52	48 28 3 84 <u>16</u> 179	26 18 1 26 1 72	7 3 0 13 <u>0</u> 23	158 96 4 187 38 483
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	40 21 96 <u>0</u> 157	13 5 30 <u>3</u> 51	15 26 38 <u>1</u> 80	32 45 67 <u>31</u> 175	10 18 25 0 53	6 3 17 <u>0</u> 26	116 118 273 35 542
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	0 0 11 <u>14</u> 25 278	4 1 0 3 <u>13</u> 21 133	$3 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 14 \\ 146$	29 9 0 29 <u>36</u> 103 457	$ 13 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 21 \\ 14 \\ 51 \\ 176 $	1 0 2 <u>1</u> 4 53	50 16 0 69 <u>83</u> 218 1,243
	AG	E OF CIV	IL CASES	S PENDING			
6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months	83 60% 24	34 60% 8	38 72% 14	132 80% 28	100 55% 47	14 32% 10	401 63% 131
13-18 Months	17% 11 8%	14% 5 9%	26% 1 2%	17% 3 2%	26% 14 8%	23% 8 18%	218 42
19-24 Months	7	8	0	3	12	6	7원 36
Over 24 Months	5% 13 9%	14% 2 4%	0% 0 0	2% 0 0%	78 8 48	14% 6 14%	6% 29 5%
TOTAL							639 cases which his system.

I

FIFTH DISTRICT

.

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Chase	Lyon			TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	9 4 4 2 19	65 40 18 <u>32</u> 155			74 44 22 <u>34</u> 174
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status3 Other Total	$\begin{array}{r} 21\\ -4\\ -25 \end{array}$	279 52 331			300 <u>56</u> 356
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	90 1 1 <u>0</u> 92 136	173 8 2 <u>4</u> 187 673			263 9 3 <u>4</u> 279 809
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		DISP	OSITIONS		
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	$ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 2\\ 0\\ 8\\ -0\\ -22 \end{array} $	68 35 1 43 $\underline{1}$ 148			80 37 1 51 <u>1</u> 170
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	$\begin{array}{r} 4\\15\\3\\-\underline{0}\\-\underline{22}\end{array}$	88 61 162 <u>6</u> 317			92 76 165 <u>6</u> 339
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	13 5 0 46 <u>6</u> 70 114	49 16 0 38 88 191 656			62 21 0 84 <u>94</u> 261 770
	AGE		L CASES PEND	ING	
6 Mos. Or Less	37 60%	225 60%			262 60%
7-12 Months	14 238	74 20원			88 20%
13-18 Months	6	24			20% 30 7%
19-24 Months Over 24 Months	10% 3 5% 2 3%	68 26 78 27 78	···		78 29 7६ 29 7६
TOTAL		376 uded is	an estimate $7/1/77$ and r		438 s which

SIXTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

		Bourbon	Linn	Miami			TOTAL
_	REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	72 7 34 16 129	18 4 17 7 46	27 24 31 <u>19</u> 101			$ 117 \\ 35 \\ 82 \\ 42 \\ 276 $
	DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total	119 <u>26</u> 145	44 	$ \begin{array}{r} 155 \\ \underline{23} \\ 178 \end{array} $			318 <u>56</u> 374
	LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	41 0 0 -2 -43 317	50 1 0 $-$ 51 148	94 14 0 <u>1</u> 109 388			185 15 0 3 203 853
			DISPC	SITIONS			
	REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	32 19 1 76 <u>2</u> 130	21 10 0 8 <u>1</u> 40	38 8 5 22 <u>2</u> 75			91 37 6 106 <u>5</u> 245
	DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	49 30 64 <u>4</u> 147	4 25 35	37 44 85 <u>3</u> 169			90 78 174 <u>9</u> 351
	LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	6 1 0 21 28 305	7 0 1 <u>3</u> 11 86	21 6 0 38 <u>44</u> 109 353			34 7 0 39 <u>68</u> 148 744
		AGE (OF CIVII	CASES	PENDING		
	6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months	88 61% 31 22%	53 45% 48 40%	107 48% 55 25%			248 51% 134 28%
	13-18 Months	14	7	30			51 11%
	19-24 Months		6% 10	14% 18			34
	Over 24 Months	48 5	8% 1	8% 13			78 19 49
	TOTAL				ate of terminat		
		were	pending	//1////	and not convert	eu to this sy	stem.

SEVENTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Douglas	TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	$ 79 76 40 \frac{81}{276} $	79 76 40 <u>81</u> 276
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status3 Other Total	434 <u>60</u> 494	434 $\frac{60}{494}$
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	$ \begin{array}{r} 423 \\ 48 \\ 77 \\ \underline{1} \\ 549 \\ 1,319 \end{array} $	423 48 77 <u>1</u> 549 1,319
	DISPOSITIONS	
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	146 82 7 54 14 303	146 82 7 54 14 303
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	122 79 232 <u>81</u> 514	122 79 232 <u>81</u> 514
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	$ \begin{array}{r} 79\\ 14\\ 0\\ 210\\ \frac{303}{606}\\ 1,423 \end{array} $	79 14 0 210 <u>303</u> 606 1,423
	AGE OF CIVIL CASES PENDING	
6 Mos. Or Less	414	414
7-12 Months	59% 181	59% 181
13-18 Months	26% 47	26% 47
19-24 Months	78 29 48	7% 29 4%
Over 24 Months	4% 36 <u>5</u> %	48 36 58
TOTAL	707 * Included is an estimate of termination	707
	were pending 7/1/77 and not converted	

EIGHTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

.

\mathbf{F}	ILINGS

	Dickinson	Geary	Marion	Morris		TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	57 12 24 24 117	59461315133	24 7 19 <u>8</u> 58	14 6 9 7 36		$154 \\ 71 \\ 65 \\ 54 \\ 344$
DOMESTIC RELATIONS Marital Status ³ Other Total	104 <u>19</u> 123	485 89 574	50 <u>8</u> 58	31 		670 <u>123</u> 793
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	70 4 2 0 76 316	160 14 0 9 183 890	$ \begin{array}{r} 62\\0\\-1\\-63\\179\end{array} $	21 1 0 <u>1</u> 23 97		313 19 2 <u>11</u> 345 1,482
<u></u>		DISPOSI	TIONS			
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	40 28 1 42 0 111	$ \begin{array}{r} 62\\ 24\\ 3\\ 44\\ \underline{3}\\ 136 \end{array} $	27 20 5 17 <u>4</u> 73	13 11 0 10 <u>1</u> 35		142 83 9 113 8 355
DOMESTIC RELATIONS Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	$\begin{array}{c c} & 44 \\ & 29 \\ & 54 \\ & 1 \\ \hline & 128 \end{array}$	157313696563	17 13 33 <u>6</u> 69	$ \begin{array}{r} 16\\ 8\\ 21\\ -0\\ 45 \end{array} $		234 81 477 <u>13</u> 805
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	15 2 0 29 28 74 313 13	30 18 0 82 43 173 872	16 0 19 <u>11</u> 46 188	$ \begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 1 \\ $		69 21 0 137 <u>87</u> 314 1,474
	AGE O	F CIVIL C	ASES PENI	DING		
6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months	86 67% 27	255 69% 52	46 74% 9	20 44% 19		407 67% 107
13-18 Months	21% 4	14% 38	15% 5	42% 3		18% 50
19-24 Months	3%	10% 7	88 0	7원 0		8% 13
Over 24 Months	5% 5 4%	2% 17 5%	0% 2 3%	08 3 78		2% 27 5%
TOTAL	128 * Includ	369 ed is an	62 estimate	45 of termir	nations of erted to the	$\overline{604}$ cases which

NINTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

		FILINGS	5		65
	Harvey	McPherson	-		TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	85 38 20 <u>9</u> 152	69 21 11 <u>26</u> 127			$154 \\ 59 \\ 31 \\ 35 \\ 279$
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total	183 <u>26</u> 209	148 <u>30</u> 178			331 <u>56</u> 387
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	$ \begin{array}{r} 69\\ 9\\ 0\\ \underline{4}\\ 82\\ 443 \end{array} $	58 13 1 <u>1</u> 73 378			127 22 . 1 <u>5</u> 155 821
		DISPOSITIO	ONS		
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	59 61 2 61 <u>6</u> 189	74 21 0 46 2 143			$ \begin{array}{r} 133\\ 82\\ 2\\ 107\\ \underline{8}\\ 332\end{array} $
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	$54 \\ 35 \\ 140 \\ 2 \\ 231$	42 32 88 2 164			96 67 228 <u>4</u> 395
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	19 7 0 24 <u>28</u> 78 498	11 0 25 <u>23</u> 59 366			$ \begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 7 \\ 0 \\ 49 \\ \underline{51} \\ 137 \\ 864 \end{array} $
	AGE	OF CIVIL CASE	ES PENDING		
6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months	115 53% 46	132 53% 57		· · · ·	247 53% 103 22%
13-18 Months	21% 28 13%	23% 29 12%			57 12%
19-24 Months	9 48	15			24 5%
Over 24 Months TOTAL	21 <u>10%</u> 219 * Inc	$ \begin{array}{r} 17 \\ -7\% \\ 250 \\ 1uded is an example. $	stimate of ter /77 and not co		$ \begin{array}{r} 38 \\ \underline{88} \\ \underline{469} \\ cases which \end{array} $
	wer	e benarud //1,		MYELCEU LU LI.	its system.

TENTH DISTRICT CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Johnson	TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract	645	645
Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	456 196 <u>327</u> 1,624	456 196 <u>327</u> 1,624
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total	1,885 423 2,308	1,885 423 2,308
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total	2,212 11 417 <u>60</u> 2,700	$2,212 \\ 11 \\ 417 \\ 60 \\ \hline 2,700 \\ \hline 2,622 \\ \hline$
TOTAL, All Filings	6,632	6,632
REGULAR ACTIONS:	DISPOSITIONS	
Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	694 267 23 283 20 1,287	694 267 23 283 20 1,287
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	$ \begin{array}{r} 424 \\ 767 \\ 850 \\ 10 \\ \hline 2,051 \end{array} $	424 767 850 10 2,051
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	386 100 0 1,178 748 2,412 5,750	386 100 0 1,178 <u>748</u> 2,412 5,750
	AGE OF CIVIL CASES PENDING	
6 Mos. Or Less	1,984	1,984 49%
7-12 Months	49% 1,060	1,060
13-18 Months	26% 358 9%	26% 358 9%
19-24 Months	240 6%	240 6%
Over 24 Months	68 437 118	437 118
TOTAL	<pre>4,079 * Included is an estimate of termi were pending 7/1/77 and not conv</pre>	

ELEVENTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

67

	F ILLING5							
	Cherokee	Crawford	Labette	Neosho	Wilson	TOTAL		
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	38 13 60 <u>24</u> 135	111 42 34 49 236	50 24 43 25 142	54 10 6 13 83	42 11 17 12 82	295 100 160 <u>123</u> 678		
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status3 Other Total	187 20 207	251 53 304	202 43 245	143 29 172	124 <u>16</u> 140	907 <u>161</u> 1,068		
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	40 5 <u>6</u> 398	116 18 11 <u>2</u> 147 687	123 6 1 2 132 519	117 1 0 2 120 375	42 9 <u>0</u> 55 277	$ \begin{array}{r} 438 \\ 34 \\ 26 \\ \underline{12} \\ 510 \\ 2,256 \\ \end{array} $		
		DISPOSIT	IONS					
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	42 43 2 61 <u>2</u> 150	70 35 7 60 <u>5</u> 177	44 39 4 29 <u>14</u> 130	18 22 1 32 <u>3</u> 76	36 17 2 24 <u>1</u> 80	210 156 16 206 25 613		
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	59 32 96 <u>1</u> 188	59 26 136 <u>44</u> 265	58 51 85 24 218	32 29 91 <u>8</u> 160	48 21 53 5 127	256 159 461 <u>82</u> 958		
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	$ \begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 14 \\ \underline{17} \\ \overline{38} \\ 376 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 35 \\ 13 \\ 2 \\ 47 \\ \underline{34} \\ 131 \\ 573 \end{array} $	27 7 56 <u>17</u> 107 455	$ \begin{array}{r} 24 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 66 \\ \underline{21} \\ 113 \\ 349 \\ 349 \\ \end{array} $	16 7 0 19 <u>9</u> 51 258	108 30 2 202 <u>98</u> 440 2,011		
AGE OF CIVIL CASES PENDING								
6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months	139 53% 65 25%	205 61% 99 29%	158 72% 39 18%	110 79% 17 12%	67 79% 12 14%	679 65% 232 22%		
13-18 Months	37 14%	15 5%	15 7%	7 5용	5 6욱	79 8%		
19-24 Months	11	9 38	5 2%	5 48	0 08	30 3%		
Over 24 Months TOTAL	4% 13 <u>5</u> % 265	3% 9 <u>3</u> % 337	2% 3 <u>1</u> % 220	48 0 08 139	1 18 85	26 3% 1,046		
	* Includ	ed is an e	stimate o	f termin	ations of	f cases which		

were pending 7/1/77 and not converted to this system.

TWELFTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

,

FILINGS

			·				
	Cloud	Jewell	Lincoln	Mitchell	Republic	Washington	TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	46 9 11 5 71	2 3 2 <u>11</u> 18	9 2 4 <u>3</u> 18	27 4 12 <u>3</u> 46	16 1 14 <u>8</u> 39	27 6 7 <u>1</u> 41	127 25 50 <u>31</u> 233
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total	57 <u>6</u> 63	23 25	$\frac{18}{4}$	36 38	27 	26 31	187 20 207
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	29 2 3 <u>0</u> 34 168	2 0 4 6 49	16 0 1 17 57	21 0 2 23 107	11 2 0 <u>0</u> 13 80	29 1 0 <u>1</u> 31 103	108 5 3 <u>8</u> 124 564
		D	ISPOSITI	ONS	<u> </u>		<u></u>
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	17 10 2 32 <u>2</u> 63	5 3 0 6 1 15	5 1 0 9 <u>2</u> 17	21 1 0 22 0 44	9 3 2 16 <u>0</u> 30	12 6 0 15 <u>0</u> 33	69 24 4 100 5 202
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	9 11 43 <u>0</u> 63	12 0 17 0 29	3 7 14 1 25	9 2 25 0 36	5 11 17 <u>0</u> 33	9 12 13 -1 35	$47 \\ 43 \\ 129 \\ 2 \\ 221 $
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	$ \begin{array}{r} 3\\0\\25\\-\underline{14}\\42\\168\end{array} \end{array} $	0 0 5 <u>1</u> 50	6 0 9 <u>2</u> 17 59	8 0 10 <u>2</u> 20 100	6 0 3 <u>2</u> 11 74	7 5 0 14 <u>3</u> 29 97	30 5 0 66 <u>24</u> 125 548
	AC	E OF C	IVIL CAS	ES PENDIN	G		
6 Mos. Or Less	42 65%	11 55%	11 61%	29 71%		30 77%	154 68%
7-12 Months	14 22%	4 20%	2 11%	8 20%	5 12%	8 21%	41 18%
13-18 Months	7 118	20% 1 5%	2 118	208 3 78	12% 6 14%	218 1 38	20 98
19-24 Months	2	3 15%	2	0 08	08	0 08	7 3%
Over 24 Months	08	1 5%	1 6%	1 2%	0 8 0 8	0% 0 0%	3 3 18
TOTAL	65 * Inc	20 luded i	<u> 18</u> .s an est	41 imate of	42 terminati	39 ons of case d to this s	225 s which

THIRTEENTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

		······································	-		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Butler	Chautauqua	Elk	Greenwood	TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	$124 \\ 50 \\ 55 \\ 51 \\ 280$	24 3 18 <u>11</u> 56	2 0 2 <u>33</u> 37	30 18 25 11 84	180 71 100 <u>106</u> 457
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total	341 57 398	32 5 37	18 	58 7 65	$\begin{array}{r} 449\\ \underline{73}\\ \overline{522}\end{array}$
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	269 19 18 <u>10</u> 316 994	15 1 0 <u>3</u> 19 112	0 0 <u>8</u> 67	23 0 -2 33 182	307 28 18 <u>23</u> 376 1,355
		DISPOSITIO	ONS		
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	92 66 9 87 <u>10</u> 264	20 11 3 20 -0 54	10 2 0 20 <u>4</u> 36	24 18 1 37 <u>15</u> 95	146 97 13 164 <u>29</u> 449
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	108 70 177 <u>15</u> 370	10 6 21 0 37	3 1 9 <u>3</u> 16	13 16 26 <u>18</u> 73	134 93 233 <u>36</u> 496
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	42 10 150 <u>55</u> 258 892	3 1 0 9 7 20 111	0 0 4 <u>3</u> 7 59	$ \begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 12 \\ \underline{22} \\ 45 \\ 213 \\ \end{array} $	56 11 175 <u>87</u> 330 1,275
	AGE	OF CIVIL CASE	ES PEND	ING	
6 Mos. Or Less	302 69%	32 64%	19 66%	48 68%	401 68% 115
7-12 Months	87 20%	11 22%	6 21%	11 16%	208
13-18 Months	14 3%	1 2%	2 7왕	9	26 48
19-24 Months	16 48	3 6%	0 0 응	2 · 3%	21 48
Over 24 Months	18 4%	3 6ૃ%	2 78	1 1%	24 4%
TOTAL					ons of cases which
	were p	pending 7/1/7	7 and r	ot converte	d to this system.

FOURTEENTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Montgomery	TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	184 54 69 46 353	$ \begin{array}{r} 184\\54\\69\\\underline{46}\\353\end{array} \end{array} $
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total	407 <u>104</u> 511	407 <u>104</u> 511
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	298 28 3 <u>2</u> 331 1,195	298 28 3 2 331 1,195
	DISPOSITIONS	
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	111 65 6 112 <u>18</u> 312	111 65 6 112 <u>18</u> 312
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	106 68 262 <u>39</u> 475	106 68 262 <u>39</u> 475
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	51 12 0 156 <u>40</u> 259 1,046	51 12 0 156 <u>40</u> 259 1,046
	AGE OF CIVIL CASES PENDING	
6 Mos. Or Less	304 61%	304 61%
7-12 Months	139 28%	139 28%
13-18 Months	288 33 78	20% 33 7%
19-24 Months	14	14
Over 24 Months TOTAL	3% 12 2% 502 * Included is an estimate of t	
	were pending 7/1/77 and not	converted to this system.

FIFTEENTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Graham	Rooks	Sheridan	Sherman	Thomas	TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	0 3 0 <u>12</u> 15	21 5 8 <u>15</u> 49	$ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 0\\ 4\\ \underline{5}\\ 21 \end{array} $	36 12 7 <u>7</u> 62	38 13 4 <u>7</u> 62	$ \begin{array}{r} 107 \\ 33 \\ 23 \\ 46 \\ 209 \end{array} $
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total	$\begin{array}{r}16\\-5\\-21\end{array}$	28 6 34	12 <u>3</u> 15	65 72	49 	170 <u>28</u> 198
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	0 0 0 - 4 - 4 40	47 0 4 <u>0</u> 51 134	8 0 0 	68 2 1 -1 72 206	13 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 1 3	136 3 5 <u>6</u> 150 557
		DIS	POSITIONS			
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	$ \begin{array}{r} 14\\ 2\\ 0\\ 7\\ \underline{2}\\ 25\end{array} \end{array} $	14 7 1 24 0 46	4 14 0 10 <u>3</u> 31	23 10 1 21 <u>3</u> 58	11 20 3 41 <u>1</u> 76	66 53 5 103 <u>9</u> 236
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	6 2 3 0 11	9 5 21 <u>0</u> 35	6 4 4 <u>1</u> 15	25 19 20 <u>0</u> 64	8 0 44 <u>0</u> 52	54 30 92 $\frac{1}{177}$
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	$2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -10 \\ -12 \\ 48$	9 1 26 <u>10</u> 46 127	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - \frac{2}{6} \\ 52 \end{array} $	17 5 0 26 <u>22</u> 70 192	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 0 \\ 9 \\ \underline{1} \\ 13 \\ 141 \end{array} $	32 9 0 61 <u>45</u> 147 560
	AGE	OF CIV	IL CASES P	ENDING		
6 Mos. Or Less	19 54%	34 55%	7 448	73 53%	31 59%	164 54%
7-12 Months	2 6%	17 27%	4 258	18 13%	14 26%	55 18%
13-18 Months	7 20웅	4 7웅	2 138	20 15%	2 4웅	35 12% 21
19-24 Months	1 3%	3 5%	2 138	11 8%	4 8%	21 7%
Over 24 Months	6 17%	4 7응	1 6%	16 12%	2 48	29 _10%
TOTAL	35 * Inclu	62 ded is	-16 an estimat	138 te of term	53 Minations Everted to	304 of cases which this system.
and the second						

والمتر المردر

SIXTEENTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Clark	Comanche	Ford	 Gray	Kiowa	Meade	TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	5 4 0 <u>1</u> 10	2 2 1 <u>10</u> 15	198 11 14 23 246	33 1 12 <u>4</u> 50	26 2 7 <u>4</u> 39	22 2 10 0 34	286 22 44 <u>42</u> 394
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total	14 16	10 5 _15	204 _26 230	23 <u>3</u> 26	$\frac{14}{-18}$	$\begin{array}{r} 25\\ \underline{1}\\ 26 \end{array}$	290 <u>41</u> 331
LIMITED ACTIONS:4 Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	3 0 <u>2</u> 31	5 0 <u>2</u> 7 37 1	567 18 1 <u>587</u> ,063	$54 \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{55} \\ 131$	12 2 0 	11 1 0 <u>1</u> 13 73	652 21 1 <u>7</u> 681 1,406
			POSITI				₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	0 0 1 0 1	4 2 0 6 0 12	93 45 7 96 3 244	11 10 3 22 1 47	17 3 1 9 <u>0</u> 30	8 11 0 11 <u>4</u> 34	133 71 11 145 <u>8</u> 368
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	3 2 8 0 13	5 3 4 	56 63 98 <u>5</u> 222	9 5 7 21	7 3 14 0 24	5 6 17 <u>0</u> 28	85 82 148 -5 320
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	$1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ -\frac{4}{8} \\ 22$	$3 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ -4 \\ -9 \\ -33 1$	156 13 0 330 100 599 ,065	10 1 21 <u>16</u> 48 116	6 0 5 <u>6</u> 17 71	5 1 0 <u>6</u> <u>12</u> 24 86	181 15 0 367 <u>142</u> 705 1,393
	AG	E OF CIV	IL CAS	SES PH	ENDING		
6 Mos. Or Less	9 56%	14 78%	223 70%	42 72%	15 54%	22 55%	325 68%
7-12 Months	6 38%	2	59 19%	14	8	8 20웅	97 20%
13-18 Months	588 0 08	1	20 6%	0	5 18%	6 15%	32 7%
19-24 Months	1 6%	1 6%	10 3%	0 0 응	0 0 원	0 0 %	12 3%
Over 24 Months	0 0	0 08	6 28	2 3%	0 8	4 10%	12 3%
TOTAL							478 ons of cases which d to this system.
	1						

SEVENTEENTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Cheyenne	Decatur	Norton	Osborne	Phillips	Rawlins	Smith	TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	9 0 2 <u>1</u> 12	17 3 4 -1 25	17 7 10 <u>3</u> 37	17 4 10 -5 36	16 10 5 <u>3</u> 34	11 1 4 <u>2</u> 18	12 0 4 - <u>4</u> 20	99 25 39 <u>19</u> 182
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status3 Other Total	15 <u>4</u> 19	17 <u>3</u> 20	33 3 36	30 <u>8</u> 38	37 <u>6</u> 43	24 1 _25	23 	179 <u>27</u> 206
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	25 3 0 <u>0</u> 28 59	7 1 0 <u>3</u> 11 56	92 2 1 <u>2</u> 97 170	6 0 1 7 81	22 0 1 0 23 100	6 0 <u>2</u> 8 51	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 0\\ 0\\ -\underline{2}\\ 4\\ 49 \end{array}$	160 6 2 <u>10</u> 178 566
REGULAR ACTIONS:		DISI	POSITION	IS				
Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	$ \begin{array}{c} 7\\ 1\\ 0\\ 8\\ -1\\ 17 \end{array} $	16 0 8 0 24	$ \begin{array}{r} 13\\5\\0\\15\\\underline{2}\\35\end{array} \end{array} $	3 4 0 10 <u>3</u> 20	7 4 11 <u>11</u> 24	6 1 9 <u>0</u> 16	9 3 0 7 <u>1</u> 20	61 18 1 68 <u>8</u> 156
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	6 3 9 <u>0</u> 18	5 2 13 <u>0</u> 20	8 3 13 <u>0</u> 24	5 1 23 <u>1</u> 30	6 5 25 <u>3</u> 39	8 1 10 <u>1</u> 20	2 3 19 0 24	$40 \\ 18 \\ 112 \\ 5 \\ 175$
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	6 0 1 <u>16</u> 23 58	3 0 1 	27 21 0 21 <u>31</u> 100 159	0 0 2 5 7 57	3 1 0 14 <u>6</u> 24 87	1 3 0 1 <u>0</u> 5 41	2 0 1 0 3 47	42 25 0 41 <u>63</u> 171 502
	AGE	OF CIVI	L CASES	PENDING	m			
6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months	16 578 6	17 39% 8	31 71% 5	26 43% 14	31 57% 9	16 59% 6	8 62% 5	145 54% 53
13-18 Months	21%	18% 6	11% 3	23% 11	17% 6	228 0	398 0	20% 30
19-24 Months	14% 1 48	14% 2 5%	7% 3 7%	18% 1 2%	11% 5 9%	0% 4 15%	08 0 08	11% 16 6%
Over 24 Months	1 48	11 25%	2 5%	9 15%	3 6%	148	0 0%	27 10%
TOTAL	28 * Inclu	$\overline{44}$ aded is a	$\frac{44}{4}$ an estin	$\frac{61}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	54 erminatio	$\overline{27}$ ons of ca	$\overline{13}$ ases wh	$\overline{271}$ nich
	were	penaing	//1///	anu not	converted		a ayare	<u> </u>

EIGHTEENTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

TOTAL		
1,197 766 298 <u>716</u> 2,977	REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	
4,011 1,244 5,255	DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total	
5,645 511 1,932 629 8,717 6,949	LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	
1,311 661 54 795 108 2,929	REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	
1,251 493 3,343 15 5,102	DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	
1,042 244 0 4,902 1,858 8,046 6,077	LIMITED ACTIONS:' Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	
4,010	6 Mos. Or Less	
68% 1,421	7-12 Months	
24% 290 5%	13-18 Months	
77	19-24 Months	
1% 77 1%	Over 24 Months	
5,875	TOTAL	
which tem.		
1 3 5 1 4186 4 1 5 w	Uncontested Other Total DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps. 6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months 13-18 Months 19-24 Months Over 24 Months	

NINETEENTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Barber	Cowley	Harper	Kingman	Pratt	Sumner	TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	23 8 8 7 46	77 35 32 <u>19</u> 163	28 9 4 	33 7 11 <u>8</u> 59	53 15 14 <u>10</u> 92	54 24 23 <u>24</u> 125	268 98 92 76 534
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total	42 9 51	325 107 432	39 3 42	50 6 56	70 <u>13</u> 83	174 42 216	700 <u>180</u> 880
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	16 0 <u>2</u> 18 115	204 18 2 2 226 821	0 0 0 0 91	$ \begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -18 \\ 133 \end{array} $	97 3 0 <u>4</u> 104 279	35 2 2 <u>17</u> 56 397	369 23 4 <u>26</u> 422 1,836
		DISI	POSITION	S			,
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	17 5 0 20 <u>0</u> 42	54 38 2 70 <u>5</u> 169	16 11 13 _0 41	12 19 1 12 <u>4</u> 48	25 23 33 <u>1</u> 85	46 39 1 45 <u>1</u> 132	170 135 8 193 <u>11</u> 517
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	13 14 30 <u>1</u> 58	98 74 223 <u>19</u> 414	10 13 19 -1 43	11 14 33 -5 $\overline{63}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 14\\22\\45\\-\underline{4}\\85\end{array} \end{array} $	47 38 111 4 200	193 175 461 <u>34</u> 863
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontestad Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	$ 1 1 0 9 3 \overline{14} 114 $	$ \begin{array}{r} 37 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ 124 \\ 46 \\ \overline{213} \\ 796 \end{array} $	0 0 0 8 8 9 2	$ \begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 0 \\ 6 \\ -\frac{19}{31} \\ 142 \end{array} $	15 7 40 <u>15</u> 77 247	16 2 0 22 <u>22</u> 62 394	75 16 0 201 <u>113</u> 405 1.785
	AGI	E OF CIV	IL CASES	PENDING			
6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months	35 71% 7 14%	192 68% 56 20%	26 48% 14 26%	39 58% 17 25%	82 63% 30 23%	130 63% 39 19%	504 64% 163 21%
13-18 Months	2	18	3	2	10	12	47 68
19-24 Months	48 2	6% 11	6% 6	38 4	88 2	6% 18	4.3
Over 24 Months	48 3 68	48 4 18	11% 5 9%	68 5 88	28 6 58	98 9 48	5% 32 4%
TOTAL	49 * Incl	$\overline{281}$ uded is	54 an estim	ित7 ate of te	130 rminati	208 ons of c	789 cases which s system.

TWENTIETH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Barton	Ellsworth	Rice	Russell	Stafford	TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	235 29 20 <u>47</u> 331	25 9 4 <u>3</u> 41	25 5 9 _ <u>19</u> 58	60 6 10 <u>14</u> 90	46 11 9 <u>3</u> 69	391 60 52 <u>86</u> 589
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total	291 <u>43</u> 334	30 <u>3</u> 33	67 <u>6</u> 73	65 <u>10</u> 75	32 	485 <u>67</u> 552
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	349 3 1 <u>5</u> 358 1,023	13 1 4 19 93	34 0 0 34 165	53 0 -0 54 219	31 3 1 -1 36 142	480 8 3 <u>10</u> 501 1,642
		DISPOSI	TIONS			
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	97 46 0 133 <u>1</u> 277	6 5 0 15 <u>0</u> 26	17 13 1 10 <u>1</u> 42	26 16 0 23 <u>0</u> 65	15 20 2 1.5 <u>2</u> 54	$161 \\ 100^{*} \\ 3 \\ 196 \\ \frac{4}{464}$
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	61 65 158 <u>2</u> 286	7 5 18 <u>0</u> 30	15 8 44 <u>0</u> 67	12 17 38 0 67	5 14 19 <u>0</u> 38	$ \begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 109 \\ 277 \\ \underline{2} \\ \overline{488} \end{array} $
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	113 8 2 214 <u>39</u> 376 939	4 0 5 <u>5</u> 14 70	4 0 6 <u>5</u> 16 125	31 0 0 0 <u>20</u> 51 183	4 1 0 20 <u>14</u> 39 131	156 10 2 245 <u>83</u> 496 1,448
	AGE	OF CIVIL C	ASES P	ENDING		
6 Mos. Or Less	263	31	59	72	45	470 55%
7-12 Months	52% 111	60% 13	58% 22	54% 35	75% 8	189
13-18 Months	22% 46 9%	25% 4 8%	22% · 10 10%	26% 17 13%	13% 4 7%	22% 81 10%
19-24 Months	51	2	5	5	1	64
Over 24 Months	10% 37 7%	4응 2 4응	5% 5 5%	4% 4 3%	2% 2 3%	8% 50 6%
TOTAL	508 * Inclu	52 ded is an e	101 estimat	$\overline{133}$ e of term	60 ninations of nverted to th	$\overline{854}$ cases which

TWENTY-FIRST DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

77

 	Clay	Riley	ر	TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Toțal	$ \begin{array}{c} 21 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ -8 \\ -40 \end{array} $	106 48 11 <u>20</u> 185		127 53 17 <u>28</u> 225
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status3 Other Total	55 	396 79 475		451 <u>86</u> 537
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	11 1 0 <u>0</u> 12 114	53 10 0 <u>3</u> 66 726		64 11 0 <u>3</u> 78 840
		DIS	POSITIONS	
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	7 2 0 13 <u>0</u> 22	72 26 3 51 <u>3</u> 155		79 28 3 64 <u>3</u> 177
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	8 9 38 <u>2</u> 57	70 37 246 <u>27</u> 380		78 46 284 <u>29</u> 437
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ \underline{15} \\ 23 \\ 102 \end{array} $	21 2 0 12 <u>28</u> 63 598		24 2 0 17 <u>43</u> 86 700
	AG	E OF CIV	IL CASES PENDING	
6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months	37 54% 14 21%	242 49% 77 16%		279 50% 91 16%
13-18 Months	6 9%	95 19%		101 18%
19-24 Months	5 78	39 8%		44 8%
Over 24 Months TOTAL	6 9% 68 * Incl	42 9% 495 uded is	an estimate of terminations of cases w 7/1/77 and not converted to this syst	48 9% 563 hich
		1		

TWENTY-SECOND DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

Brown	Doniphan	Marshall	Nemaha		TOTAL
24 5 7 <u>17</u> 53	12 8 12 <u>3</u> 35	32 12 2 19 65	4 6 4 <u>13</u> 27		72 31 25 52 180
58 <u>15</u> 73	60 	58 <u>11</u> 69	29 		205 40 245
$35 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 39 \\ 165$	25 0 2 27 129	49 2 0 <u>0</u> 51 185	10 0 0 <u>0</u> 10 73		$ 119 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 127 \\ 552 $
	DISPO	SITIONS			
23 14 2 15 <u>2</u> 56	15 13 1 9 1	22 5 1 28 3	11 7 1 2 <u>0</u> 21		71 39 54 <u>6</u> 175
11 16 27 <u>0</u> 54	9 10 40 <u>4</u> 63	$ \begin{array}{r} 14\\ 15\\ 28\\ \underline{}\\ \underline{}\\ 62\end{array} $	8 7 21 <u>2</u> 38		42 48 116 <u>11</u> 217
540122344154	$\begin{array}{r} 4\\ 0\\ 0\\ 12\\ \underline{5}\\ 21\\ 123 \end{array}$	$ 8 8 0 10 \frac{17}{43} 164 $	2 1 0 4 <u>5</u> 12 71		19 13 0 38 <u>50</u> 120 512
AGI	OF CIVIL	CASES PEN	IDING		
53 45% 25	29 43% 16	45 45% 36	27 47% 8		154 45% 85
21% 8	24% 9	36% 6	148 7		25% 30
7% 11	13% 2	6% 8	128 4		9% 25
22	12	6	11		7% 51 15%
119 * Incl	68 uded is ar	101 n estimate	57 of termin		
	24 5 7 17 53 58 15 73 35 30 1 39 165 23 14 2 39 165 23 14 2 56 11 16 27 0 54 5 4 0 12 23 44 154 5 4 0 12 23 44 154 5 3 45% 25 21% 8 7% 119% 22 19% 119% 119%	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24 12 32 5 8 12 7 12 2 17 3 19 53 35 65 58 60 58 15 7 11 73 67 69 35 25 49 3 0 2 0 0 0 1 2 0 39 27 51 165 129 185 DISPOSITIONS 23 15 22 14 13 5 2 1 1 15 9 28 2 1 1 15 9 28 2 1 1 15 9 28 2 1 1 15 9 28 2 1 1 15 9 28 0 4 5 54 63	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

TWENTY-THIRD DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Ellis	Gove	Logan	Trego	Wallace		TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	70 29 17 <u>32</u> 148	8 3 7 <u>1</u> 19	$ \begin{array}{r} 13\\ 3\\ -4\\ -26 \end{array} $	13 8 5 2 28	16 1 2 <u>2</u> 21		120 44 37 41 242
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total	139 <u>21</u> 160	12 	7 3 10	$\frac{17}{-4}$	7 2 9		$\frac{182}{31}$
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	$ 128 \\ 10 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 147 \\ 455 $	3 0 0 <u>1</u> 36	16 0 0 16 52	5 0 0 5 54	6 0 0 <u>0</u> 6 36		158 10 3 7 178 633
			ISPOSIT				
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	62 51 8 28 <u>3</u> 152	6 10 1 7 <u>1</u> 25	$ \begin{array}{r} 12\\2\\3\\7\\-2\\-26\end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 3\\10\\2\\10\\-0\\25\end{array} \end{array} $	5 0 11 -0 16		88 73 14 63 <u>6</u> 244
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	41 52 38 <u>6</u> 137	3 1 5 <u>0</u> 9	0 4 11 <u>0</u> 15	2 7 13 <u>1</u> 23	0 0 5 <u>0</u> 5		46 64 72 7 189
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	46 18 0 35 <u>32</u> 131 420	2 0 2 0 4 38	8 0 8 <u>4</u> 20 61	1 0 3 <u>4</u> 8 56	0 0 2 6 8 29		$57\\18\\0\\50\\46\\171\\604$
	AG	E OF C	IVIL CA	SES PEN	DING		
6 Mos. Or Less	144 738	14 748	15 71%	21 62%	13 65%		207 71%
7-12 Months	38 19%	3 16%	4 19%	6 18%	3 15%		54 19%
13-18 Months	12 6%	2 11%	0 08	7 21%	3 15%		24 88
19-24 Months	1 18	0 0 응	1 5%	0 0 응	1 5%		3 18
Over 24 Months	3 2%	0 0	1 58	0 0응	0 8		4 8
TOTAL		19 luded :				ations of case erted to this s	

TWENTY-FOURTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Edwards	Hodgeman	Lane	Ness	Pawnee	Rush		TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	39 1 6 <u>4</u> 50	9 1 0 <u>1</u> 11	6 1 6 <u>2</u> 15	10 2 5 4 21	30 11 4 <u>34</u> 79	$ \begin{array}{r} 13\\ 4\\ 1\\ -2\\ -20\\ \end{array} $		107 20 22 <u>47</u> 196
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total	29 <u>3</u> 32	3 0 3	$\begin{array}{r} 13\\ \underline{1}\\ \underline{14} \end{array}$	14 16	51 <u>9</u> 60	$\frac{14}{15}$		124 <u>16</u> 140
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	17 0 1 18 100	$ \begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - 0 \\ - 12 \\ 26 \end{array} $	33 1 0 1 35 64	8 2 0 0 10 47	$ \begin{array}{r}1\\0\\-1\\-2\\141\end{array} $	5 0 0 		76 3 0 -3 82 418
		DISP	OSITIC	NS				
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	16 18 0 14 <u>0</u> 48	6 5 0 3 	6 5 1 9 <u>2</u> 23	8 2 0 11 <u>1</u> 22	41 1 24 <u>1</u> 69	6 0 4 		84 37 3 65 <u>8</u> 197
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	$\begin{array}{r} 4\\11\\17\\-0\\32\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 4\\ 0\\ \hline 0\\ \hline 4 \end{array}$	0 6 9 0 15	6 10 1 <u>1</u> 18	$ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 3\\ 27\\ \underline{1}\\ 43 \end{array} $	2 4 4 5 15		24 38 58 <u>7</u> 127
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	3 1 0 8 -30 42 122	2 0 5 <u>3</u> 10 28	4 2 0 19 <u>8</u> 33 71	3 1 2 <u>10</u> 16 56	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - \frac{3}{3} \\ 115 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -3 \\ -4 \\ 40 \end{array} $		12 4 0 35 57 108 432
	AGI	OF CIVI	L CASE	S PEI	NDING			
6 Mos. Or Less	28 65%	9 36%	13 20%	16 44%	58 51%	15 32%		139 42%
7-12 Months	4 9 왕	6 24号	6 9%	7 19웅	22 19%	6 13%		51 15%
13-18 Months	4 98	6 24%	10 15%	8 22%	14 12%	6 13%		48 15%
19-24 Months	1 2%	1 48	12 18%	1 3%	11 10%	8 17%		34
Over 24 Months	6 14%	3 12%	25 38%	4 _11%	9 88	12 26%		10% 59 _18%
TOTAL	1						ons of cases w d to this syst	

TWENTY-FIFTH DISTRICT CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Finney	Greeley	Hamilton	Kearny	Scott	Wichita	TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	$164 \\ 29 \\ 31 \\ 36 \\ 260$	24 1 6 3 	$ \begin{array}{r} 19\\ 2\\ 4\\ -2\\ -27\\ \end{array} $	15 1 5 -2 23	25 10 0 7 42	$ \begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ \underline{4} \\ \overline{20} \end{array} $	261 43 48 54 406
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total	221 <u>36</u> 257	15 	21 4 5	$\frac{17}{3}$	31 	20 21	325 <u>54</u> 379
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	251 15 24 <u>6</u> 296 813	15 2 0 <u>1</u> 18 68	6 1 0 <u>6</u> 13 65	$ \begin{array}{r} 61\\ 0\\ -2\\ \overline{63}\\ 106 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 24\\ 0\\ 0\\ -24\\ 106 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - 0 \\ - 12 \\ 53 \end{array} $	369 18 24 <u>15</u> 426 1,211
		DISPO	SITIONS				
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	87 51 6 84 <u>19</u> 247	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 19 \\ \underline{1} \\ 26 \end{array} $	11 4 0 14 0 29	6 5 0 2 <u>5</u> 18	14 9 0 9 <u>2</u> 34	9 0 13 <u>3</u> 25	130 72 6 141 <u>30</u> 379
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	69 64 92 <u>33</u> 258	5 4 6 0 15	7 8 13 0 28	8 6 7 0 21	16 10 6 0 32	7 0 19 <u>0</u> 26	112 92 143 <u>33</u> 380
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	45 20 0 113 79 257 762	7 1 7 - <u>0</u> 15 56	3 3 0 2 <u>1</u> 9 66	$ 19 \\ 0 \\ 31 \\ 25 \\ 75 \\ 114 $	3 0 8 <u>3</u> 14 80	4 0 7 <u>3</u> 14	81 24 0 168 <u>111</u> <u>384</u> 1,143
	AGE		CASES PEN				
6 Mos. Or Less	225 70%	16 62%	16 55%	21 57%	45 65%	11 69%	334 67%
7-12 Months	61 19%	6 23%	9 31%	8 22%	14 20%	2 13%	100 20%
13-18 Months	15 5%	1 48.	3 10%	5 14%	6 98	0 0 %	30 68
19-24 Months	8 3%	3 12%	0 0 웅	0)	3 48	2 13%	16 3%
Over 24 Months	13 4%	0 0%	1 3%	3 8%	1 1%	1 6%	19 48
TOTAL	322 * Inclue	26 led is an	29 estimate /1/77 and 1	37 of termi	69 nations	16 of cases	499 which
		•				-	

TWENTY-SIXTH DISTRICT CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Grant	Haskell	Morton	Stanton	Stevens	Seward	TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	30 3 7 <u>10</u> 50	41 1 7 <u>6</u> 55	28 1 <u>6</u> 36	21 3 4 <u>1</u> 29	25 5 4 <u>4</u> 38	104 19 11 <u>33</u> 166	249 31 34 <u>60</u> 374
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total	50 <u>16</u> 66	23 	14 	19 5 24	31 	177 27 204	314 59 373
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	$ \begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 104 \\ 220 \end{array} $	$ 86 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ \overline{91} \\ 173 $	42 0 0 4 46 101	30 0 0 30 83	$ \begin{array}{r} 66\\ 6\\ 0\\ -0\\ 72\\ 143 \end{array} $	168 45 8 <u>1</u> 222 592	492 57 9 <u>7</u> 565 1,312
		D	ISPOSIT:	IONS			
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	14 6 0 19 <u>0</u> 39	17 7 20 <u>1</u> 45	19 2 0 15 <u>1</u> 37	9 3 1 14 <u>1</u> 28	9 4 2 16 <u>0</u> 31	50 26 3 51 <u>3</u> 133	118 48 6 135 <u>6</u> 313
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	$ \begin{array}{r} 17\\ 19\\ 35\\ \underline{1}\\ 72\end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 8\\ 2\\ 14\\ -0\\ -24 \end{array} $	3 1 12 0 16	3 1 17 <u>3</u> 24	7 5 20 0 32	34 58 95 <u>5</u> 192	72 86 193 <u>9</u> 360
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	$ \begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 58 \\ 34 \\ 105 \\ 216 \\ \end{array} $	17 1 43 <u>17</u> 79 148	10 1 20 9 40 93	2 0 15 5 22 74	$ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 23 \\ \underline{12} \\ 49 \\ 112 \end{array} $	$56 \\ 15 \\ 0 \\ 96 \\ 49 \\ 216 \\ 541$	106 21 3 255 <u>126</u> 511 1,184
,	<u> </u>	AGE OF C	IVIL CAS	SES PEND	ING		
6 Mos. Or Less 7-12 Months	59 62% 26 27%	52 58% 20 22%	27 64% 11 26%	19 54% 12 34%	43 37% 31 27%	148 49% 68 22%	348 51% 168 25%
13-18 Months	6	4	2	0	14	29	25% 55
19-24 Months	2	4% 13	5% 0	0% 2	12% 11	10% 28	8% 56
Over 24 Months	2% 2 2%	14% 1 1%	08 2 58	6% 2 6%	10% 16 14%	98 30 108	88 53 88
TOTAL							of cases which
	we	re pendi	ng 7/1/	77 and n	ot conve	rted to	this system.

TWENTY-SEVENTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

\mathbf{F}	Ι	\mathbf{L}	Ι	N	GS	
--------------	---	--------------	---	---	----	--

	Reno	TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	52 27 38 <u>280</u> 397	52 27 38 <u>280</u> 397
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total	665 <u>68</u> 733	665 <u>68</u> 733
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	1,010 72 45 <u>80</u> 1,207 2,337	1,010 72 45 80 1,207 2,337
101110/ 1122 - 22	DISPOSITIONS	
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	122 63 5 65 9 264	122 63 5 65 9 264
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	$ 149 137 387 _1 \overline{174} $	149 137 387 <u>1</u> 674
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	174 50 0 546 <u>481</u> 1,251 2,189	174 50 0 546 <u>481</u> 1,251 2,189
	AGE OF CIVIL CASES PENDING	
6 Mos. Or Less	692 58%	692 588
7-12 Months 13-18 Months	299 25% 83	299 258 83
19-24 Months	7% 39	78 39
Over 24 Months	38 89 78	38 89 78
TOTAL .	1,202 * Included is an estimate of ter were pending 7/1/77 and not co	minations of cases which

TWENTY-EIGHTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Ottawa	Saline_	TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS:			
Contract	11	137	148
Tort ¹	2	53	55 L48
Real Property	7	40	47
Other ²	0	19	19
Total	20	249	269
DOMESTIC RELATIONS:			
Marital Status ³	33	506	539
Other	3	99	102
Total	36	605	641
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴			
Contract	3	421	424
Tort ¹	0	26	26
Real Property	0	0	0
Other ⁵		5	5
Total	3 59	452	455
TOTAL, All Filings	59	1,306	1,365
		DISPOSITIC	DNS
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed	7	99	
Contested Court ⁶	5	33	106
Tried By Jury	0	8	38
Uncontested	6	61	8 6 7
Other	0	1	ر ہ ۱
Total	18	202	$\overline{220}$
DOMESTIC RELATIONS:			220
Dismissed	11	195	206
Contested Court ⁶	4	105	109
Uncontested	17	295	312
Other	0	6	6
Total	32	601	<u>633</u>
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷			
Dismissed	0	88	88
Contested Court	0	36	36
Tried By Jury	0	0	0
Uncontested	0	201	201
Other *	0	<u> 96 </u>	96
Total	0 50	421	421
TOTAL, All Disps.		1,224	1,274
	AGE O	F CIVIL CASI	ES PENDING
6 Mos. Or Less	24	392	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	62%	53%	416
7-12 Months	9	200	53%
	23%	27%	209 278
13-18 Months	3	99	27%
	88	13%	138
19-24 Months	0	16	16
Over 24 Months	08	28	28
Over 24 Months	3 8용	39	42
TOTAL	39	58	5%
101111		$\overline{746}$	785 timate of terminations of cases which
	were	ending 7/1/	77 and not converted to this system.
			and not converted to this system.

Į

TWENTY-NINTH DISTRICT

CIVIL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Wyandotte	TOTAL
REGULAR ACTIONS: Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ² Total	249 548 289 367 1,453	249 548 289 <u>367</u> 1,453
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Marital Status ³ Other Total	2,129 <u>558</u> 2,687	2,129 558 2,687
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁴ Contract Tort ¹ Real Property Other ⁵ Total TOTAL, All Filings	3,001 497 1,789 80 5,367 9,507	3,001 497 1,789 - 80 5,367 9,507
	DISPOSITIONS	
REGULAR ACTIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Tried By Jury Uncontested Other Total	$ \begin{array}{r} 421 \\ 550 \\ 36 \\ 293 \\ \underline{80} \\ 1,380 \end{array} $	421 550 36 293 <u>80</u> 1,380
DOMESTIC RELATIONS: Dismissed Contested Court ⁶ Uncontested Other Total	843 491 1,341 25 2,700	843 491 1,341 <u>25</u> 2,700
LIMITED ACTIONS: ⁷ Dismissed Contested Court Tried By Jury Uncontested Other * Total TOTAL, All Disps.	59725542,473 $1,0644,3938,473$	597 255 4 2,473 <u>1,064</u> 4,393 8,473
	AGE OF CIVIL CASES PENDING	
6 Mos. Or Less	2,570	2,570
7-12 Months	57% 1,163	57% 1,163
13-18 Months	26% 280	26% 280
19-24 Months	6% 222	6% 222
Over 24 Months	5% 250	5% 250
TOTAL	<u>6</u> % <u>4,485</u>	68 4,485
	* Included is an estimate of te were pending 7/1/77 and not o	erminations of cases which

•

FIRST DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Atchison	Leavenworth		 TOTAL
Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	99 181 0 280	164 279 <u>12</u> 455		263 460 12 735
		DISPOSITIONS		
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals	204 80 0 2 2 288 0 2	143 96 13 4 <u>3</u> 259 11 6		347 176 13 6 <u>5</u> 547 11
	۷	0		 8
		CRIMINAL CASES PENDI	NG	
6 Mos. Or Less	37 54%	127 44%		164 46%
7-12 Months	7 10%	117 41%		124 35%
13-18 Months	21 30%	35 12%		56 16%
19-24 Months	4 6%	4 1%		8 2%
Over 24 Months	0 %	6 2%		6 8
TOTAL	69	289		358

J

CONTINUED 10F3

SECOND DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Jackson	Jefferson	Pottawatomie	Wabaunsee	TOTAL
Felonies	53	26	95	26	200
Misdemeanors	154	282	298	49	783
Appeals ⁸	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>4</u>
TOTAL	207	308	397	75	987
		DISPOSI	TIONS		
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	106 64 2 1 <u>5</u> 178	$ \begin{array}{r} 36 \\ 243 \\ 7 \\ 3 \\ \underline{11} \\ 300 \end{array} $	943252792457	23 47 3 1 <u>1</u> 75	259 679 39 14 19 1,010
Trial Convictions	2	6	31	2	41
Trial Acquittals	1	4	5	2	12
	AGE	OF CRIMINAL	CASES PENDING	<u>.</u>	
6 Mos. Or Less	24	28	19	10	81
	34%	67%	14%	67%	31%
7-12 Months	32	6	7	1	46
	45%	1,4%	58	7%	18%
13-18 Months	10	7	106	1	124
	148	17%	80%	7%	48%
19-24 Months	3	1	0	2	6
	4%	2%	0 %	13%	2%
Over 24 Months	2	0	0	1	3
	3%	8	0%	%	1%
TOTAL	71	42	132	15	260

THIRD DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Shawnee		TOTAL
Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	808 791 81 1,680		808 791 <u>81</u> 1,680
	DISI	POSITIONS	
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	440 773 140 80 255 1,688		440 773 140 80 <u>255</u> 1,688
Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals	155 65		155 65
	AGE OF CRIM	INAL CASES PENDING	
6 Mos. Or Less	402 79%		402 79%
7-12 Months	78 15%		78 15%
13-18 Months	24 5%		24 5%
19-24 Months	2 .4%		2 .48
Over 24 Months	2 4%		2 4%
TOTAL	508		508

FOURTH DISTRICT

CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

·	Allen	Anderson	Coffey	Franklin	Osage	Woodson	TOTAL
Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	626716145	$ \begin{array}{r} 16\\109\\ \underline{1}\\126 \end{array} $	42 91 0 133	101 196 <u>3</u> 300	35 144 4 183	8 53 <u>0</u> 61	264 660 <u>24</u> 948
		DI	SPOSITI	ONS			
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	$ \begin{array}{r} 37 \\ 69 \\ 11 \\ 3 \\ \frac{4}{124} \end{array} $	23 85 5 0 <u>5</u> 118	34 56 14 2 <u>3</u> 109	65 236 11 7 <u>1</u> 320	34 74 7 1 <u>6</u> 122	2 53 7 0 <u>2</u> 64	195 573 55 13 21 857
Trial Convictions	13	4	15	13	7	7	59
Trial Acquittals	1	1	1	5	1	0	9
	A	GE OF CRI	MINAL C	CASES PENI	DING		
6 Mos. Or Less	20	23	24	21	78	1	167
	36%	59%	62%	28%	61%	88	48%
7-12 Months	18	12	14	1	13	0	58
	32%	31%	36%	1%	10%	0%	17%
13-18 Months	18	3	1	54	24	11	111
	32%	8%	3%	71%	19%	92%	32%
19-24 Months	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
	0%	0왕	0%	0	8%	0 %	3%
Over 24 Months	0	1	0	0	3	0	4
	8	3%	8	8	8	0%	1%
TOTAL	56	39	39	76	128	12	350

FIFTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Chase	Lyon			TOTAL
Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	8 34 <u>0</u> 42	128 187 <u>21</u> 336			136 221 <u>21</u> 378
		DISPOS	ITIONS		
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other - TOTAL	6 41 0 <u>1</u> 49	69 120 3 10 7 209			75 161 4 10 $\frac{8}{258}$
Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals	1 0	12 1			13 1
	AGE C	OF CRIMINA	L CASES PENDIN	G	
6 Mos. Or Less	5 56%	87 39%			92 39%
7-12 Months	1 11%	58 26%			59 25%
13-18 Months	1 11%	71 31%			72 31%
19-24 Months	1 11%	2 1%			3 1%
Over 24 Months	1 _11%	8 4%			9 4
TOTAL	9	226			235

SIXTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

1

FILINGS

<u></u>		Bourbon	Linn	Miami		TOTAL
	Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	61 113 <u>2</u> 176	57 169 2 228	81985184		199 380 <u>9</u> 588
<u> </u>			DIS	POSITIC	ONS	
	Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	$ \begin{array}{r} 68\\ 85\\ 16\\ 5\\ 9\\ \overline{183}\\ \end{array} $	51 120 3 1 3 $-\overline{178}$	81 74 14 <u>5</u> 175		200 279 33 7 <u>17</u> 536
	Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals	18 3	2 2	14 1		34 6
	6 Mos. Or Less	AGE	OF CRIM	IINAL CA	ASES PENDING	76
		30%	35%	698		43%
	7-12 Months	15 32%	43 55%	6 128	,	64 36%
	13-18 Months	18 38%	8 10∛	7 148		33 19%
	19-24 Months	0 0왕	0 0%	1 28		1 1%
	Over 24 Months	0 8	0 8	2 4%		2 1%
	TOTAL	47	78	51		176

SEVENTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Douglas	'TOTAL
Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	$ \begin{array}{r} 184\\ 252\\ \underline{9}\\ \overline{445} \end{array} $	184 252 <u>9</u> 445
	DISPOSITIONS	
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	216 221 34 16 $\frac{5}{492}$	216 221 34 16 <u>5</u> 492
Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals	37 13	37 13
	AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING	3
6 Mos. Or Less	109 36%	109 36%
7-12 Months	37 12%	37 128
13-18 Months	82 27%	82 27१
19-24 Months	68 23%	6 8 2 3 ୧
Over 24 Months	5 2%	5 2१
TOTAL	301	301

×.

EIGHTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Dickinson	Geary	Marion	Morris	TOTAL
Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	95 239 <u>3</u> 337	$ \begin{array}{r}128\\145\\-\frac{7}{280}\end{array} $	25 142 -3 170	24 83 <u>1</u> 108	272 609 <u>14</u> 895
		DISPOS	ITIONS		
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	194 129 19 2 17 361	254 97 9 7 <u>56</u> 423	18 149 1 0 4 172 1 7 1	28 37 4 0 <u>10</u> 79	494 412 33 9 <u>87</u> 1,035
Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals	18 3	10 6	l O	4 0	33 9
	AGE OF	CRIMINA	AL CASES P	PENDING	
6 Mos. Or Less	27 35%	38 51%	11 55%	18 39%	94 43%
7-12 Months	15 19%	17 23%	3 15%	19 41%	54 25%
13-18 Months	11 14%	16 22%	6 30%	9 20%	42 19%
19-24 Months	14 18%	3 48	0 08	0 08	17 8%
Over 24 Months	11 _14%	0 8	0 8	0 8	11
TOTAL	78	74	20	46	218

NINTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

والمتعاريف والمرابعة والمرابعة والمتعاريفة والمرابعة و	Harvey	McPherson		TOTAL
Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	111 123 <u>9</u> 243	85 130 <u>2</u> 217		196 253 <u>11</u> 460
		DISPOSITIONS	<u>S</u>	
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL Trial Convictions	112 138 5 3 263 7 3	53 103 1 3 <u>19</u> 179 3 1		$ \begin{array}{r} 165 \\ 241 \\ 6 \\ 8 \\ 22 \\ \overline{442} \\ 10 \\ 4 \end{array} $
Trial Acquittals	3	٦.		4
	AGE	OF CRIMINAL CASI	ES PENDING	
6 Mos. Or Less	46 40%	67 60%		113 50%
7-12 Months	23 20%	21 19%		44 20%
13-18 Months	28 25%	17 15%		45 20%
19-24 Months	16 14%	3 3%		19 8%
Over 24 Months	1 1%	4 48		5 8
TOTAL	114	112		226

÷

TENTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

		Johnson		TOTAL
	Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	932 462 <u>194</u> 1,588		932 462 194 1,588
			DISPOSITIONS	
	Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	773 641 36 57 <u>23</u> 1,530		773 641 36 57 <u>23</u> 1,530
	Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals	66 27		66 27
<u></u>	N	AGE O	F CRIMINAL CASES PENDING	
	6 Mos. Or Less	349 55%		349 55%
	7-12 Months	104 16%	**	104 16%
	13-18 Months	93 15%		93 15%
	19-24 Months	53 - 8%		53 8%
	Over 24 Months	36 6%		36 6%
	TOTAL	635		635
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
		1		

ELEVENTH DISTRICT - CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

 	Cherokee	Crawford	Labette	Neosho	Wilson	 TOTAL
 Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	88 143 <u>0</u> 231	$ \begin{array}{r} 175 \\ 306 \\ \underline{2} \\ \overline{483} \end{array} $	90 168 <u>2</u> 260	53 126 <u>0</u> 179	41 64 <u>6</u> 111	447 807 <u>10</u> 1,264
		DISPO	SITIONS			
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL Trial Convictions	35 76 1 0 <u>13</u> 125 1	154 250 1 7 3 415 5	$ \begin{array}{r} 168 \\ 103 \\ 19 \\ 13 \\ \underline{2} \\ 305 \\ 22 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 38 \\ 104 \\ 7 \\ 3 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 159 \\ 7 \end{array} $	59 47 7 <u>16</u> 132 8	454 580 35 26 <u>41</u> 1,136 43
Trial Acquittals	0	3	10	3	2	18
	AGE	OF CRIMIN	AL CASES	PENDI	NG	
6 Mos. Or Less	74 478	53 26%	29 43%	22 478	14 93%	192 39%
7-12 Months	56 35%	75 37%	13 19%	21 45%	0 0 %	165 34%
13-18 Months	25 16%	75 37%	24 36%	3 6%	1 7왕	128 26%
19-24 Months	2 18	0 08	0 0 용	1 2%	0 0%	3 1%
Over 24 Months	1 1%	1 1%	1 2%	0 8	0 %	3 1%
TOTAL	158	204	67	47	15	491

TWELFTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Cloud	Jewell	Lincoln	Mitchell	Republic	Washington	TOTAL
Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	60 139 <u>.2</u> 201	$ \begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 77 \\ \underline{1} \\ \overline{95} \end{array} $	24 75 <u>1</u> 100	33 91 0 124	21 35 <u>0</u> 56	17 59 	172 476 4 652
		•••••••	DISPOSIT	IONS			
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	35 97 15 2 <u>34</u> 183	9 76 0 <u>0</u> 85	18 86 3 1 <u>0</u> 108	$ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 101 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ \overline{116} \end{array} $	12 29 4 1 0 46	49 17 2 3 <u>1</u> 72	$ \begin{array}{r} 133 \\ 406 \\ 26 \\ 7 \\ \underline{38} \\ \overline{610} \end{array} $
Trial Convictions	13	0	1	1	4	2	21
Trial Acquittals	4	0	3	1	1	3	12
	A	GE OF C	CRIMINAL	CASES PEN	IDING		
6 Mos. Or Less	24	10	9	11	4	3	61
	37%	71%	41%	73%	15%	30%	40%
7-12 Months	19	3	3	1	7	5	38
	29%	218	14%	7%	26%	50%	25%
13-18 Months	20	1	6	2	7	2	38
	31%	7%	278	13%	26%	20%	25%
, 19-24 Months	2	0	4	1	4	0	11
	3%	0용	18%	7%	15%	0 왕	7%
Over 24 Months	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
	8	8	8	9	_198	0%	3%
TOTAL	65	14	22	15	27	10	153

THIRTEENTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

۰.

FILINGS

	Butler	Chautauqua	Elk	Greenwood	 TOTAL
Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	223 238 8 469	42 54 <u>0</u> 96	13 35 0 48	61 237 <u>1</u> 299	339 564 <u>9</u> 912
		DISPOSIT	IONS		
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	93 312 8 7 <u>8</u> 428	18 21 17 2 <u>6</u> 64	7 33 2 0 <u>1</u> 43	27 221 2 13 10 273	145 587 29 22 <u>25</u> 808
Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals	12 3	9 , 10	2 0	10 5	33 18
	AGE	OF CRIMINAL	CASES	PENDING	 f
6 Mos. Or Less	72 48%	24 45%	6 55%	28 378	130 45%
7-12 Months	39 26%	14 26%	2 18%	24 328	79 278
13-18 Months	30 20%	8 15%	3 27%	22 29%	63 228
19-24 Months	6 4%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0 %	6 2
Over 24 Months	3 %	7 _13%	0 9	2 38	12 4%
TOTAL	150	53	11	76	290

FOURTEENTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Montgomery	TOTAL
Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	276 218 <u>23</u> 517	276 218 <u>23</u> 517
	DISPOSITIONS	
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL Trial Convictions	$ 174 299 . 3 9 \frac{41}{526} 8 $	174 299 3 9 <u>41</u> 526 8
Trial Acquittals	4	4
	AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING	
6 Mos. Or Less	70 69%	70 69왕
7-12 Months	14 14%	14 14%
13-18 Months	9 9 %	9 9 %
19-24 Months	6 6%	େ ୧୫
Over 24 Months	3 3&	3
TOTAL	102	102
		- ž

FIFTEENTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Graham	Rooks	Sheridan	Sherman	Thomas		TOTAL
Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	23 66 <u>0</u> 89	37 70 0 107	9 14 <u>0</u> 23	35 219 7 261	50 197 <u>0</u> 247		154 566 7 727
		<u>D</u> :	ISPOSITION	5			
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	$ \begin{array}{r} 24\\ 46\\ 0\\ -2\\ 72\\ \end{array} $	23 86 0 5 1 115	$ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 13 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -4 \\ -27 \end{array} $	59 207 4 1 2 273	$ \begin{array}{r} 68 \\ 210 \\ 7 \\ 0 \\ \underline{3} \\ \overline{288} \end{array} $		184 562 11 6 <u>12</u> 775
Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals	0	5 0	0 0	4 1	7 0		16 1
6 Mos. Or Less	8	E OF CR	IMINAL CASI	ES PENDIN 15	<u>G</u> 11		51
	318	50%	100%	19%	318		308
7-12 Months	15 58%	3 11%	0 0%	5 6%	21 58%		44 26%
13-18 Months	2 8%	10 36%	0 0	48 628	4 11%	•	64 378
19-24 Months	0 0%	0 0 %	0 0 %	1 18	0 08		1 1%
Over 24 Months	1 4%	1 48	0 08	9 12%	0 0%		11 6%
TOTAL	26	28	3	78	36		171

ni. Shine ya shi ·}-

SIXTEENTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

Ť

	Clark	Comanche	Ford	Gray	Kiowa	Meade		TOTAL			
Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	8 38 <u>0</u> 46	5 14 <u>0</u> 19	96 133 <u>0</u> 229	25 49 74	23 87 <u>0</u> 110	25 61 0 86		$ \begin{array}{r} 182 \\ 382 \\ \underline{0} \\ \overline{564} \end{array} $			
		DISPOSITIONS									
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	4 36 0 0 	1 12 0 0 0 	74 170 1 28 275	11 57 0 0 1 69	18 77 0 0 - <u>3</u> 98	11 77 2 0 <u>1</u> 91		119 429 3 2 33 586			
Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals	0 0	0 0	3 0	0 0	0 0	2 0		5 0			
	AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING										
6 Mos. Or Less	2 20%	4 368	22 17%	7 30%	13 35%	1 14%		49 238			
7-12 Months	5 50%	3 27%	15 12%	7 30%	17 46%	1 14%		48 22%			
13-18 Months	2 20%	4 36%	89 70%	4 17%	7 19%	2 29%		108 50%			
19-24 Months	0 0용	0 0%	1 18	0	0 0웅	3 43%		4 2%			
Over 24 Months	1 _ <u>10</u> %	0 9	0 8	5 8	0 9	0 8		6 38			
TOTAL	10	11	127	23	37	7		215			

.

102

. مر

SEVENTEENTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Cheyenne	Decatur	Norton	Osborne	Phillips	Rawlins	Smith	TOTAL	
Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	$ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 6\\ -1\\ 19 \end{array} $	12 16 <u>1</u> 29	26 68 0 94	12 18 2 32	13 57 <u>0</u> 70	21 55 <u>0</u> 76	15 61 76	$ \begin{array}{r} 111\\ 281\\ \underline{4}\\ \overline{396}\end{array} $	
		DISI	POSITION	IS					
Dismissals ⁹	0	11	9	5	12	18	25	80	
Guilty Pleas	13	13	51	28	66	44	54	269	
Court Trials	4	0	3	1	3	1	2	14	
Jury Trials	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	2	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	0	<u>7</u>	
TOTAL	18	24	65	34	82	66	81	370	
Trial Convictions	4	0	2	1	3	1	2	13	
Trial Acquittals	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
	AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING								
6 Mos. Or Less	1	4	23	2	1	12	5	48	
	14%	31%	35%	33%	7%	71%	71%	378	
7-12 Months	2	6	8	2	2	5	1	26	
	29%	46%	12%	33%	14%	29%	14%	20왕	
13-18 Months	3	3	14	2	11	0	1	34	
	43%	23%	21%	33%	79%	0%	14%	26%	
19-24 Months	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	21	
	0%	08	32%	0%	0%	0 %	0 응	16%	
Over 24 Months	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	_14%	%	8	9	8	8	8	1%	
TOTAL	7	13	66	6	14	17	7	130	

EIGHTEENTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Sedgwick	TOTAL
Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	1,901 410 <u>38</u> 2,349	1,901 410 <u>38</u> 2,349
	DISPOSITIONS	
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	751 1,323 5 116 <u>351</u> 2,546	751 1,323 5 116 <u>351</u> 2,546
Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals	59 62	59 62
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING	
6 Mos. Or Less	439 678	439 67%
7-12 Months	122 19%	122 19%
13-18 Months	69 11%	69 11%
19-24 Months	21 3%	21 3%
Over 24 Months	6 1%	6 1%
TOTAL	657	657

104

.

NINETEENTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Barber	Cowley	Harper	Kingman	Pratt	Sumner	TOTAL	
Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	20 34 55	$ \begin{array}{r} 191 \\ 285 \\ 0 \\ \overline{476} \end{array} $	44 136 <u>2</u> 182	22 121 1 144	78 183 <u>0</u> 261	75 229 <u>3</u> 307	430 988 7 1,425	
		D	SPOSIT:	LONS				
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	19 28 2 0 <u>1</u> 50	$ \begin{array}{r} 167 \\ 251 \\ 6 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ \overline{430} \end{array} $	43 74 32 0 0 149	66 82 9 4 4 165	$ \begin{array}{r} 64\\ 201\\ 4\\ 3\\ 2\\ 274\\ \end{array} $	151 216 0 1 <u>2</u> 370	$510 \\ 852 \\ 53 \\ 9 \\ 14 \\ 1,438$	
Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals	1 1	4 3	32 0	5 8	7 0	1 0	50 12	
	AGI	AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING						
6 Mos. Or Less	5 23%	65 748	33 49%	19 30왕	16 31%	27 53%	165 48%	
7-12 Months	9 41%	14 16%	17 25%	14 228	2 48	3 6%	59 178	
13-18 Months	4 18%	9 10%	15 22%	17 278	32 63१	21 41%	98 298	
19-24 Months	3	0 0%	2 3%	10 16%	1 28	0 08	16 5%	
Over 24 Months	1 5%	0 9	1 ?%	3 5%	0 8	0 8	5 2%	
TOTAL	22	88	б8	63 ⁻	51	51	343	

105

 $\sim \infty$

TWENTIETH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

		Barton	Ellsworth	Rice	Russell	Stafford	TOTAL		
	Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	139 278 <u>14</u> 431	28 167 <u>1</u> 196	59 190 <u>8</u> 257	51 47 <u>5</u> 103	37 72 0 109	314 754 <u>28</u> 1,096		
<u></u>			DISPO	SITION	S				
·.	Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	$ \begin{array}{r} 102 \\ 383 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \underline{30} \\ 515 \\ \end{array} $	57 136 1 0 <u>2</u> 196	$ \begin{array}{r} 73 \\ 149 \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ \overline{234} \end{array} $	22 59 1 3 5 90	25 67 2 0 0 94	279794143391,129		
	Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals	0 0	1 0	9 1	2 2	2 0	14 3		
<u></u>	AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING								
	6 Mos. Or Less	4 5%	14 38%	32 35%	20 24%	23 728	93 29%		
	7-12 Months	10 13%	13 35%	35 38%	14 17%	5 16%	77 248		
	13-18 Months	53 71%	10 27%	25 27%	50 59%	3 98	141 448		
	19-24 Months	5 78	0 0%	0 08	1 1%	1 3%	7 28		
	Over 24 Months	3 9	0 8	0 8	0 8	0 8	3 1%		
	TOTAL	75	37	92	85	32	321		

TWENTY-FIRST DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Clay	Riley			TOTAL			
Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	39 38 <u>3</u> 80	186 432 <u>1</u> 619			225 470 <u>4</u> 699			
		DISPOSI	TIONS					
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	8 47 5 0 <u>0</u> 60	176 496 6 7 2 $\overline{687}$		· · ·	184 543 11 7 <u>2</u> 747			
Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals	4 1	11 2			15 3			
	AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING							
6 Mos. Or Less	16 42%	31 59%			47 528			
7-12 Months	7 18%	11 21%			18 20%			
13-18 Months	12 32%	8 15%			20 22%			
19-24 Months	1 3%	3 6%			.4 4 %			
Over 24 Months	2 5%	0 8			2 2%			
TOTAL	38	53			91			

TWENTY-SECOND DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Brown	Doniphan	Marshall	Nemaha	TOTAL					
Felonies	77 175 0 252	23	38	28	166					
Misdemeanors		74	129	23	401					
Appeals ⁸		<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>					
TOTAL		99	168	52	571					
	DISPOSITIONS									
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	66 119 8 6 <u>1</u> 200	12 71 5 1 <u>3</u> 92	51 84 2 0 <u>16</u> 153	$ 13 \\ 32 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ \underline{1} \\ 54 $	$ \begin{array}{r} 142 \\ 306 \\ 22 \\ 8 \\ \underline{21} \\ 499 \end{array} $					
Trial Convictions	10	5	1	6	22					
Trial Acquittals	4	1	1	2	8					
	AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING									
6 Mos. Or Less	51	11	17	9	88					
	53%	55%	50%	43%	51%					
7-12 Months	29	4	11	5	49					
	30%	20웅	32%	24%	29%					
13-18 Months	17	5	6	2	30					
	18%	25%	18%	10%	17%					
19-24 Months	0	0	0	2	2					
	0%	0 %	0%	10%	18					
Over 24 Months	0	0	0	3	3					
	8	8	8	_148	2%					
TOTAL	97	20	34	21	172					

TWENTY-THIRD DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Ellis	Gove	Logan	Trego	Wallace	TOTAL			
Felonies	93	10	13	26	8	150			
Misdemeanors	168	26	14	129	7	344			
Appeals ⁸	<u>1</u>	0	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>			
TOTAL	262	36	28	155	15	496			
		D	ISPOSITI	IONS					
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	157 127 1 4 <u>3</u> 292	7 26 0 3 36	1 22 2 0 <u>2</u> 27	29 134 0 <u>3</u> 166	5 3 0 0 <u>0</u> 8	199 312 3 4 <u>11</u> 529			
Trial Convictions	3	0	2	0	0	5			
Trial Acquittals	2	0	0	0	0	2			
	AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING								
6 Mos. Or Less	19	3	2	7	3	34			
	33%	20웅	17%	28%	33%	298			
7-12 Months	13	2	2	9	5	31			
	22%	13%	17%	36%	56%	26%			
13-18 Months	22	10	6	9	0	47			
	38%	67%	50응	36%	0	40%			
19-24 Months	4	0	1	0	0	5			
	78	0%	8%	0응	0 %	4%			
Over 24 Months	0	0	1	0	1	2			
	8	8	8%	8	_11%	2%			
TOTAL	58	15	12	25	9	119			

7

TWENTY-FOURTH DISTRICT

CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Edwards	Hodgeman	Lane	Ness	Pawnee	Rush		TOTAL	
Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	$\begin{array}{r} 22\\22\\-1\\-45\end{array}$	8 19 <u>0</u> 27	20 31 0 51	15 21 	$ \begin{array}{r} 62\\225\\\underline{1}\\288\end{array} $	17 73 <u>0</u> 90		$ \begin{array}{r} 144\\391\\\underline{4}\\\overline{539}\end{array} $	
		DISPO	SITIO	NS					
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	11 25 1 0 <u>3</u> 40	4 23 5 1 	$ \begin{array}{r} 18\\9\\1\\0\\-7\\-35\end{array} \end{array} $	8 23 1 1 <u>0</u> 33	65 88 0 0 8 161	8 44 0 2 4 58		$ \begin{array}{r} 114\\ 212\\ 8\\ 4\\ \underline{22}\\ \overline{360} \end{array} $	
Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals	1 0	5 1	1 0	1 1	0 0	2 0		10 2	
	AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING								
6 Mos. Or Less	14 50%	3 75왕	10 44%	4 20%	96 57%	29 40%		156 49%	
7-12 Months	4 14%	0 0%	9 398	5 25%	54 32%	13 18%		85 27%	
13-18 Months	9 32%	1 25%	4 17%	6 30%	18 11%	18 25%		56 18%	
19-24 Months	0 0%	0 0 %	0 0 %	1 5%	0 08	2 3%		3 1%	
Over 24 Months	1 48	0 %	0 8	4 8	1 1%	10 14%		16 5%	
TOTAL	28	4	23	20	169	72		316	

TWENTY-FIFTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

	Finney	Greeley	Hamilton	Kearny	Scott	Wichita	TOTAL	
Felonies	210	13	16	9	33	21	302	
Misdemeanors	522	19	28	25	162	20	776	
Appeals ⁸	<u>6</u>	<u>0</u>	0	0	<u>0</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>9</u>	
TOTAL	738	32	44	34	195	44	1,087	
		DIS	POSITIONS	5				
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	245 522 19 3 <u>3</u> 792	19 20 2 0 	16 26 1 1 7 51	$\begin{array}{r} 6\\ 23\\ 1\\ 0\\ -\underline{3}\\ 33 \end{array}$	75 118 8 0 -2 203	22 24 0 0 	$ \begin{array}{r} 383 \\ 733 \\ 31 \\ 4 \\ \underline{15} \\ 1,166 \end{array} $	
Trial Convictions	17	1	1	1	8	0	28	
Trial Acquittals	5	1	1	0	0	0	7	
	AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING							
6 Mos. Or Less	51	1	3	5	18	8	86	
	65%	20%	38%	46%	39%	89%	548	
7-12 Months	7	3	1	1	16	1	29	
	9왕	60%	13%	9%	35%	11%	18%	
13-18 Months	21	1 .	4	4	11	0	41	
	27%	20%	50%	36%	24%	0 %	26%	
19-24 Months	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	
	0%	08	0%	9%	2%	0 %	1%	
Over 24 Months	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	9	8	8	8	8	8	0%	
TOTAL	79	5	8	11	46	9	158	

TWENTY-SIXTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

• • •	Grant	: Haskell	Morton	Stanton	Stevens	Seward		TOTAL	
Felonies Misdemeanor Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	rs 31 107 <u>0</u> 138	18 38 <u>0</u> 56	11 12 0 23	12 49 <u>0</u> 61	35 43 0 78	79 59 <u>10</u> 148		186 308 <u>10</u> 504	
		Ī	DISPOSIT	IONS					
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Plea Court Trial Jury Trials Other TOTAL	ls 119 .s 2	7 25 4 1 	7 7 2 0 <u>0</u> 16	25 45 5 0 1 76	$ \begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 26 \\ 14 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ -46 \end{array} $	76 38 36 1 0 151		$ \begin{array}{r} 136 \\ 260 \\ 63 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ \overline{467} \end{array} $	
Trial Convi Trial Acqui		4 1	2 0	5 0	14 0	34 3		59 7	
	AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING								
6 Mos. Or I	ess 8 57%	5 16%	7 548	5 22%	24 50%	32 448		81 40%	
7-12 Months	े । 7१	19 59%	0 0 응	4 178	16 33%	8 11%		48 248	
13-18 Month	ls 2 14%	3 9%	6 46%	14 61%	5 10%	19 26%		49 248	
19-24 Month	us 2 14%	5 16%	0 0 %	0 0 용	1 2%	7 10%		15 78	
Over 24 Mor	ths 1	0 8	0 08	. 0 08	2 4%	6 88		9 5%	
TOTAL	14	32	13	23	48	72		202	

TWENTY-SEVENTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

	Reno		TOTAL
Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	295 297 114 706		295 297 <u>114</u> 706
		DISPOSITIONS	
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	$ 149 \\ 365 \\ 32 \\ 18 \\ 94 \\ \overline{658} $		$ \begin{array}{r} 149 \\ 365 \\ 32 \\ 18 \\ \underline{94} \\ \overline{658} \end{array} $
Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals	44 6		44 6
	AGE	OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING	
6 Mos. Or Less	148 71%		148 718
7-12 Months	44 21%		44 21%
13-18 Months	14 78		14 78
19-24 Months	2 1%		2 18
Over 24 Months	2 1%		2 1%
TOTAL	210		210

113

••

TWENTY-EIGHTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

FILINGS

		<u>F'1</u>	LINGS	
	Ottawa	Saline		TOTAL
 Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	26 77 <u>0</u> 103	283 683 <u>5</u> 971		309 760 <u>5</u> 1,074
		DISPO	SITIONS	
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	18 72 1 <u>5</u> 97	624 517 27 6 20 1,194		642 589 28 7 25 1,291
Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals	2 0	31 2		33
	AGE	OF CRIMIN	IAL CASES PENDING	
6 Mos. Or Less	10 71%	91 29%		101 30%
7-12 Months	1 7%	27 9%		28 88
13-18 Months	3 21%	99 318		102 31%
19-24 Months	0 0%	96 30용		96 29%
Over 24 Months	0 8	5 2%		5 •2%
TOTAL	14	318		332
	-			

TWENTY-NINTH DISTRICT CRIMINAL CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

• ... * *n

115

	Wyandotte	TOTAL
Felonies Misdemeanors Appeals ⁸ TOTAL	742 129 <u>58</u> 929	742 129 <u>58</u> 929
	DISPOSITIONS	
Dismissals ⁹ Guilty Pleas Court Trials Jury Trials Other TOTAL	432 402 45 105 <u>31</u> 1,015	432 402 45 105 <u>31</u> 1,015
Trial Convictions Trial Acquittals	114 36	114 36
	AGE OF CRIMINAL CASES PENDING	
6 Mos. Or Less	205 61%	205 61%
7-12 Months	75 22%	75 22%
13-18 Months	41 12%	41 12%
19-24 Months	10 3%	10 3%
Over 24 Months	8 2%	8 8
TOTAL	339	339



FOOTNOTES TO CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CASE SUMMARY TABLES

- 1. Included in this category are: product liability cases; personal injury cases arising from auto accidents; medical malpractice actions; property damage suits, and all other torts.
- Included in this category are: personal property suits, small claims and tax appeals; actions under KSA 60-1507; habeas corpus actions; workmen's compensation cases; and all other regular civil actions excluding domestic matters.
- 3. This category includes divorce, annulment, and separate maintenance cases.
- "Limited Actions" are those involving claims of \$3,000 or less, filed under the Kansas Code of Civil Procedure for Limited Actions.
- 5. Included in this category are: personal property suits; appropriate small claim case appeals; and all other limited actions.
- 6. The definition of cases to be terminated with this type of disposition was changed July 1, 1977. Any case filed on or after that date was to be reported with a "contested court" disposition only if the case went to trial (both sides appearing to present testimony or evidence with the intent that the judge, or jury, will render a verdict). Prior to that date a case was considered "contested" if an answer was filed. Any case filed prior to July 1, 1977 was terminated under the previous system with its definitions. Therefore, this figure includes "contested" cases of both kinds and the "formal trial" count is somewhat less. The value of comparing this figure with previous years' is limited due to this definitional change.
- 7. Limited actions cases were reported under this system for the first time in FY 78. Cases pending on July 1, 1977 were not transferred due to the volume of work such a requirement would have generated. Consequently, the dispositions shown here have been adjusted to include those cases. Experience has indicated that the vast majority would have terminated within a year of their filing dates. Therefore, we have postulated that all those pending were terminated during the year.
- 8. This includes appeals both from municipal courts and from decisions of district magistrate judges.
- 9. This category includes any appeals dismissed.

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

F	ΊI	II.	NG	S

		*			
	Reckless Driving	Driving Under Influence	Fleeing Police	All Other	Total
<u>District 1</u>					
Atchison Leavenworth Total	8 <u>8</u> 16	$\begin{array}{r}11\\-72\\-83\end{array}$	2 <u>3</u> 5	2,044 <u>3,515</u> 5,559	2,065 <u>3,598</u> 5,663
District 2					
Jackson Jefferson Pottawatomie Wabaunsee Total	5 9 14 <u>13</u> 41	$ \begin{array}{r} 14\\ 12\\ 32\\ \underline{24}\\ 82 \end{array} $	6 1 4 <u>3</u> 14	2,065 2,656 2,537 5,494 12,752	2,090 2,678 2,587 <u>5,534</u> 12,889
<u>District 3</u>					
Shawnee	40	220	7	13,985	14,252
District 4					
Allen Anderson Coffey Franklin Osage Woodson Total	$4 \\ 6 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 7 \\ - 1 \\ 48$	17 5 20 13 27 18 100	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -3 \\ 3 \end{array} $	1,160 1,690 1,691 3,768 3,974 2,760 15,043	1,182 1,701 1,726 3,797 4,008 2,780 15,194
District 5					
Chase Lyon Total	4 <u>15</u> 19	27 <u>97</u> 124	0 	1,965 <u>7,998</u> 9,963	1,996 <u>8,114</u> 10,110
District 6					
Bourbon Linn Miami Total	2 6 <u>9</u> 17	$ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 24\\ \underline{47}\\ \overline{83} \end{array} $	$0\\1\\-4\\5$	1,798 1,407 <u>3,607</u> 6,812	1,812 1,438 <u>3,667</u> 6,917
District 7					
Douglas	10	88	4	5,840	5,942
District 8					
Dickinson Geary Marion Morris Total	14 18 2 <u>5</u> 39	34 34 13 <u>11</u> 92	1 0 1 <u>2</u> 4	2,336 3,995 2,066 <u>833</u> 9,230	2,385 4,047 2,082 <u>851</u> 9,365

TRAFFIC CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

.

ı	Guilty Pleas	Bond Forfeitures	Dismissals	Trials <u>To Court</u>	Trials <u>By Jury</u>	Total
District 1						
Atchison Leavenworth Total	165 <u>3,032</u> 3,197	$ \begin{array}{r} 1,712 \\ \underline{311} \\ 2,023 \end{array} $	66 <u>97</u> 163	19 <u>12</u> 31	5 0 5	1,967 <u>3,452</u> 5,419
District 2						
Jackson Jefferson Pottawatomie Wabaunsee Total	1,587 2,635 2,239 <u>4,339</u> 10,800	235 76 11 <u>860</u> 1,182	34 54 49 <u>143</u> 280	35 16 12 <u>30</u> 93	0 0 0 0	1,891 2,781 2,311 <u>5,372</u> 12,355
District 3						
Shawnee	12,711	1,564	238	147	7	14,667
District 4						
Allen Anderson Coffey Franklin Osage Woodson Total	911 1,092 1,596 2,909 .3,008 2,467 11,983	41 68 253 703 121 <u>88</u> 1,274	2337631234111298	17 3 23 2 5 53	7 8 0 1 0 <u>0</u> 16	999 1,208 1,915 3,759 3,172 2,571 13,624
District 5						
Chase Lyon Total	1,351 5,150 6,501	515 <u>1,700</u> 2,215	40 <u>154</u> 194	19 _ <u>58</u> 77	2 3 5	1,927 7,065 8,992
District 6						
Bourbon Linn Miami Total	1,715 1,211 <u>3,105</u> 6,031	1 59 <u>249</u> 309	13 16 <u>127</u> 156	5 17 <u>81</u> 103	0 0 <u>0</u> 0	1,734 1,303 <u>3,562</u> 6,599
District 7						
Douglas	4,723	84	67	49	1	4,924
District 8						
Dickinson Geary Marion Morris Total	2,041 3,084 1,993 775 7,893	172 624 247 0 1,043	125 412 19 <u>37</u> 593	14 11 12 0 37	0 0 0 0	2,352 4,131 2,271 <u>812</u> 9,566

•

÷

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

	Reckless Driving	Driving Under Influence	Fleeing Police	All Other	<u>Total</u>
District 9					
Harvey McPherson Total	4 <u>12</u> 16	72 <u>44</u> 116	0 0 0	4,930 <u>5,285</u> 10,215	5,006 <u>5,341</u> 10,347
District 10					
Johnson	98	110	8	9,473	9,689
District 11					
Cherokee Crawford Labette Neosho Wilson Total	38 16 15 7 <u>2</u> 78	47 125 33 61 <u>25</u> 291	5 4 1 0 <u>0</u> 10	1,838 3,896 2,699 2,136 <u>1,388</u> 12,007	1,978 4,041 2,748 2,204 1,415 12,386
District 12					
Cloud Jewell Lincoln Mitchell Republic Washington Total	6 1 2 4 7 <u>1</u> 21	16 30 11 32 10 17 116	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ -4 \end{array} $	1,583 982 572 859 1,266 <u>681</u> 5,943	1,606 1,013 585 895 1,284 701 6,084
District 13					
Butler Chautauqua Elk Greenwood Total	9 1 0 <u>21</u> 31	67 9 8 <u>29</u> 113	$ \begin{array}{r} 13\\0\\4\\-\underline{6}\\23\end{array} $	7,733 178 688 <u>2,146</u> 10,745	7,822 188 700 2,202 10,912
District 14					
Montgomery	5	30	4	2,662	2,701
District 15					
Graham Rooks Sheridan Sherman Thomas Total	3 6 0 7 <u>3</u> 19	8 5 9 25 <u>21</u> 68	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ - \frac{1}{3} \end{array} $	924 616 432 2,992 <u>2,864</u> 7,828	935 627 442 3,025 <u>2,889</u> 7,918

TRAFFIC CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

	Guilty Pleas	Bond Forfeitures	<u>Dismissals</u>	Trials <u>To Court</u>	Trials <u>By Jury</u>	Total
District 9						
Harvey McPherson Total	3,928 <u>4,258</u> 8,186	815 <u>761</u> 1,576	71 _17 _88	27 <u>30</u> 57	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ $	4,841 <u>5,067</u> 9,908
District 10						
Johnson	8,034	478	552	87	1	9,152
District 11						
Cherokee Crawford Labette Neosho Wilson Total	1,371 3,468 2,091 1,303 <u>1,239</u> 9,472	52 289 327 480 42 1,190	13 171 52 41 14 291	18 19 47 26 <u>48</u> 158	0 0 1 0 	1,454 3,947 2,518 1,850 <u>1,343</u> 11,112
District 12						
Cloud Jewell Lincoln Mitchell Republic Washington Total	1,455 852 503 838 1,014 <u>598</u> 5,260	96 138 54 27 227 <u>61</u> 603	26 23 16 2 30 <u>25</u> 122	13 7 3 9 6 <u>14</u> 52	0 0 0 0 0 0	1,590 1,020 576 876 1,277 <u>698</u> 6,037
District 13						
Butler Chautauqua Elk Greenwood Total	5,423 164 637 <u>1,743</u> 7,967	1,699 6 36 <u>242</u> 1,983	92 5 3 21 121	108 7 5 5 125	4 6 0 <u>0</u> 10	7,326 188 681 <u>2,011</u> 10,206
District 14						
Montgomery	2,218	169	39	20	0	2,446
District 15						
Graham Rooks Sheridan Sherman Thomas Total	787 514 284 1,369 <u>1,780</u> 4,734	61 40 38 1,515 <u>1,216</u> 2,870	52 8 2 45 <u>10</u> 117	3 0 30 <u>10</u> 43	0 0 0 0 0	903 562 324 2,959 <u>3,016</u> 7,764

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

FILINGS

Distantists 10	Reckless Driving	Driving Under Influence	Fleeing Police	All Other	Total
District 16 Clark Comanche Ford Geary Kiowa Meade Total	0 4 9 5 3 <u>8</u> 29	10 4 31 13 21 <u>18</u> 97	0 0 2 1 3 <u>0</u> 6	343 90 2,265 1,031 1,129 <u>939</u> 5,797	353 98 2,307 1,050 1,156 <u>965</u> 5,929
District 17					
Cheyenne Decatur Norton Osborne Phillips Rawlins Smith Total	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 8 \\ 2 \\ -21 \\ -34 \end{array} $	1 10 3 28 3 <u>25</u> 71	0 1 0 2 0 <u>1</u> 5	298 1,514 765 520 1,608 401 <u>1,390</u> 6,496	300 1,517 777 523 1,646 406 <u>1,437</u> 6,606
District 18					
Sedgwick	41	245	9	22,000	22,295
District 19					
Barber Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total	4 18 4 6 11 <u>13</u> 56	8 93 5 45 20 <u>30</u> 201	2 1 0 0 <u>2</u> 6	1,317 2,036 895 3,574 2,626 5,086 15,534	1,331 2,148 905 3,625 2,657 <u>5,131</u> 15,797
District 20					
Barton Ellsworth Rice Russell Stafford Total	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 5\\ 1\\ -6\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 24 \\ 48 \\ 12 \\ 37 \\ \underline{16} \\ 137 \end{array} $	3 4 0 1 <u>0</u> 8	3,135 4,086 1,314 3,401 <u>1,048</u> 12,984	3,1634,1431,3273,4461,07013,149
District 21					
Clay Riley Total	5 <u>13</u> 18	5 	$\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$	513 <u>6,253</u> 6,766	524 <u>6,346</u> 6,870

;

TRAFFIC CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

	Guilty Pleas	Bond Forfeitures	Dismissals	Trials <u>To Court</u>	Trials By Jury	Total
District 16						
Clark Comanche Ford Geary Kiowa Meade Total	267 88 1,860 934 901 <u>806</u> 4,856	55023262141124614	9 5 47 10 48 77 196	2 1 18 10 10 <u>5</u> 46	0 0 0 0 0 0	333 94 2,157 1,016 1,100 <u>1,012</u> 5,712
District 17						
Cheyenne Decatur Norton Osborne Phillips Rawlins Smith Total	$200 \\ 1,117 \\ 595 \\ 444 \\ 1,220 \\ 302 \\ 1,015 \\ 4,893$	88 332 125 30 266 64 <u>299</u> 1,204	5 30 17 2 36 14 12 116	3 7 0 3 50 3 <u>6</u> 72		296 1,486 737 479 1,572 383 <u>1,332</u> 6,285
<u>District 18</u>						
Sedgwick	16,802	1,990	1,179	704	0	20,675
District 19						
Barber Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total	1,142 1,926 805 2,982 1,877 <u>3,593</u> 12,325	133 112 65 453 577 <u>1,015</u> 2,355	8 44 16 184 79 <u>78</u> 409	5 39 4 27 4 <u>30</u> 109	3 0 0 0 0 	1,291 2,121 890 3,646 2,537 <u>4,716</u> 15,201
District 20						
Barton Ellsworth Rice Russell Stafford Total	3,099 3,231 1,219 2,644 933 11,126	66 696 8 587 <u>31</u> 1,388	91 55 56 34 <u>27</u> 263	96 25 11 2 <u>7</u> 141	36 1 0 0 <u>0</u> 37	3,388 4,008 1,294 3,267 <u>998</u> 12,955
District 21						
Clay Riley Total	489 <u>5,868</u> 6,357	7 	4 <u>78</u> 82	3 <u>22</u> 25	0 0 0	503 <u>6,043</u> 6,546

į

: 47,

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

	Reckless Driving	Driving Under Influence	Fleeing Police	All <u>Other</u>	Total
<u>District 22</u> Brown	28	41	1	3,191	3,261
Doniphan Marshall	27 18	56 64	- 3 1	1,788 1,760	1,874 1,843
Nemaha Total	$\frac{-6}{-79}$	$\frac{14}{175}$	$\frac{-3}{8}$	<u>1,021</u> 7,760	$\frac{1,044}{8,022}$
District 23				, ·	- ,
Ellis	3	49	7	4,407	4,466
Gove Logan	11 34	17 15	2 0	2,002 662	2,032 711
Trego Wallace	0 11	28 3	1 2	3,277 233	3,306 249
Total	$\frac{11}{59}$	$\frac{3}{112}$	$\frac{2}{12}$	10,581	10,764
District 24					
Edwards Hodgeman	3 1	8 9	1 0	1,146 242	1,158 252
Lane	0	5	0	46	51
Ness Pawnee	6 1	8 19	2	413 1,092	429 1,114
Rush Total	$\frac{2}{13}$	<u> 6</u> 55	$\frac{-1}{6}$	$\frac{1,062}{4,001}$	$\frac{1,071}{4,075}$
District 25				.,	.,
Finney	11	71	3	3,586	3,671
Greeley Hamilton	8 5	4 5	2 0	123 · 112	137 122
Kearny Scott	8 2	18 15	1 0	529 436	556 453
Wichita	0	11	$-\frac{0}{6}$	205	216
Total	34	124	6	4,991	5,155
District 26					
Grant Haskell	1 3	30 10	1 1	759 354	791 368
Morton	1	5	2	258	266
Seward Stanton	17	27 8	3 1	1,898 406	1,945 415
Stevens	<u>5</u> 7	8 5 85	<u>0</u>	621	631
Total	27	85	8	4,296	4,416
District 27	10				
Reno	18	105	6	6,070	6,199

TRAFFIC CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

	Guilty Pleas	Bond Forfeitures	Dismissals	Trials <u>To Court</u>	Trials By Jury	Total
District 22						
Brown Doniphan Marshall Nemaha Total	210 859 727 <u>172</u> 1,968	2,484 896 936 <u>810</u> 5,126	31 21 49 <u>34</u> 135	50 13 22 <u>5</u> 90	0 1 0 <u>0</u> 1	2,775 1,790 1,734 <u>1,021</u> 7,320
District 23						
Ellis Gove Logan Trego Wallace Total	2,926 934 392 1,222 197 5,671	$1,080 \\ 1,027 \\ 111 \\ 2,034 \\ 31 \\ 4,283$	215 55 8 90 <u>8</u> 376	59 7 0 3 <u>1</u> 70	2 0 0 0 	4,282 2,023 511 3,349 237 10,402
District 24						
Edwards Hodgeman Lane Ness Pawnee Rush Total	927 200 47 393 844 <u>880</u> 3,291	169 16 0 9 63 34 291	40 15 0 7 81 <u>35</u> 178	$ \begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 18 \\ -4 \\ -32 \\ \end{array} $	0 0 1 0 	1,142 234 47 411 1,006 <u>953</u> 3,793
District 25						
Finney Greeley Hamilton Kearny Scott Wichita Total	2,980 82 105 199 371 <u>185</u> 3,922	156 16 13 296 18 <u>8</u> 507	64 14 4 32 0 <u>5</u> 119	$ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 4\\ 3\\ 9\\ 7\\ -7\\ -42 \end{array} $	1 0 0 0 0 0 1	$3,213 \\ 116 \\ 125 \\ 536 \\ 396 \\ 205 \\ 4,591$
District 26						
Grant Haskell Morton Seward Stanton Stevens Total	732 292 239 1,491 365 539 3,658	2221171753530300	28 16 12 105 10 <u>12</u> 183	7 5 0 4 2 0 18	0 0 0 0 0 0	789 334 268 1,775 412 581 4,159
District 27						
Reno	5,468	101	159	20	0	5,748

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

	Reckless Driving	Driving Under Influence	Fleeing Police	All Other	Total
District 28					
Ottawa Saline Total	5 <u>13</u> 18	44 <u>192</u> 236	1 4 5	1,359 <u>6,037</u> 7,396	1,409 <u>6,246</u> 7,655
District 29					
Wyandotte	26	95	3	6,599	6,723
STATE TOTAL	970	3,538	188	259,328	264,024

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

District 28	Guilty Pleas	Bond Forfeitures	Dismissals	Trials <u>To Court</u>	Trials By Jury	Total
Ottawa Saline Total	1,142 <u>4,436</u> 5,578	289 <u>1,331</u> 1,620	56 <u>260</u> 316	5 <u>146</u> 151	0 0 0	1,492 <u>6,173</u> 7,665
District 29						
Wyandotte	5,203	550	188	262	2	.6,205
STATE TOTAL	200,828	38,974	7,218	2,914	94	250,028

2

¢

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

District 1	Delinquent	<u>Miscreant</u>	Wayward or Truant	Dependent/ Neglected	Traffic Offense	Total
Atchison Leavenworth Total	49 77 126	$\frac{46}{64}$	50 <u>61</u> 111	20 62 82	$\frac{12}{111}$ 123	177 <u>375</u> 552
District 2						
Jackson Jefferson Pottawatomie Wabaunsee Total	8 26 26 <u>22</u> 82	$\begin{array}{r} 4\\14\\11\\\underline{18}\\47\end{array}$	7 13 11 11 42 42	9 4 1 0 14	21 25 7 <u>11</u> 64	49 82 56 <u>62</u> 249
District 3						
Shawnee	348	343	432	243	9	1,375
District 4						
Allen Anderson Coffey Franklin Osage Woodson Total	25 4 1 14 20 <u>6</u> 70	4 4 3 3 	5 2 7 12 8 <u>0</u> 34	6 0 1 19 5 <u>0</u> 31	18 1 5 0 11 <u>17</u> 52	58 11 17 48 47 <u>23</u> 204
District 5						
Chase Lyon Total	0 <u>35</u> 35	3 <u>37</u> 40	0 52	2 <u>51</u> 53	3 <u>16</u> 19	8 <u>191</u> 199
District 6						
Bourbon Linn Miami Total	28 12 <u>19</u> 59	24 8 <u>13</u> 45	15 11 <u>12</u> 38	21 8 -13 42	46 19 <u>36</u> 101	134 58 <u>93</u> 285
District 7						
Douglas	50	41	37	11	21	160
District 8						
Dickinson Geary Marion Morris Total	29 27 13 <u>10</u> 79	42 12 3 15 72	11 17 5 <u>6</u> 39	39 45 10 <u>4</u> 98	13 30 7 <u>2</u> 52	134 131 38 <u>37</u> 340

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

District 1	Juris. Waived	Dismsd.	Placed Under Supervision		Committed Youth Center/ Other Facility	Traffic Disp.	Other	Total
Atchison Leavenworth Total	$\frac{1}{0}$	22 <u>43</u> 65	39 <u>82</u> 121	17 87	21 	7 <u>103</u> 110	2 <u>10</u> 12	109 <u>315</u> 424
District 2								
Jackson Jefferson Pottawatomie Wabaunsee Total	0 0 	1 9 14 <u>10</u> 34	4 17 2 <u>19</u> 42	3 11 33 7 54	1 4 3 <u>3</u> 11	19 22 5 <u>10</u> 56	2 4 1 	30 67 58 49 204
District 3							1	
Shawnee	0	419	345	199	57	10	55	1,085
District 4								
Allen Anderson Coffey Franklin Osage Woodson Total	0 0 0 0 0	2 1 7 1 <u>0</u> 12	12 1 0 12 11 <u>0</u> 36	$ \begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 2 \\ 7 \\ 21 \\ 6 \\ \underline{4} \\ 53 \\ \end{array} $	7 0 1 0 <u>0</u> 8	16 1 4 0 8 . 17 46	0 1 0 1 0 2	50 6 12 41 27 <u>21</u> 157
District 5								
Chase Lyon Total	0 <u>3</u> 3	$\frac{1}{40}$	1 5 56	3 <u>34</u> 37	0 	2 _ <u>16</u> _18	$\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ \underline{32} \\ \overline{32} \end{array}$	7 <u>187</u> 194
District 6								
Bourbon Linn Miami Total	0 0 0 0	10 3 <u>9</u> 22	35 6 50	32 18 -14 -64	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 1\\ \underline{}\\ \underline{}\\ 4 \end{array}$	46 20 <u>28</u> 94	2 2 <u>4</u> 8	127 50 <u>65</u> 242
District 7								
Douglas	3	3	65	26	26	18	22	163
District 8								
Dickinson Geary Marion Morris Total	0 0 0 0	22 27 2 53	30 13 1 -0 -44	40 22 18 <u>18</u> 98	0 11 0 <u>0</u> 11	$ \begin{array}{r} 12\\20\\6\\\underline{3}\\41\end{array} $	8 2 0 <u>4</u> 14	112 95 27 <u>27</u> 261

•

٠.

129

2

.

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

			Wayward or	Dependent/	Traffic	
	Delinquent	Miscreant	Truant	Neglected	Offense	Total
District 9						
Harvey McPherson Total	53 <u>23</u> 76	52 <u>10</u> 62	$\frac{16}{\underline{6}}$	18 <u>8</u> 26	30 30	$ \begin{array}{r} 169 \\ \underline{47} \\ 216 \end{array} $
District 10						
Johnson	287	319	279	103	320	1,308
District 11						
Cherokee Crawford Labette Neosho Wilson Total	$ \begin{array}{r} 31 \\ 84 \\ 51 \\ 26 \\ \underline{15} \\ 207 \end{array} $	23 53 37 26 <u>17</u> 156	25 84 24 10 <u>10</u> 153	25 55 30 21 9 140	26 66 69 15 <u>23</u> 199	$ \begin{array}{r} 130 \\ 342 \\ 211 \\ 98 \\ 74 \\ \overline{855} \end{array} $
District 12						
Cloud Jewell Lincoln Mitchell Republic Washington Total	$ \begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ -4 \\ -48 \\ \end{array} $	$9 \\ 9 \\ 4 \\ 11 \\ 3 \\ -10 \\ -46$	8 0 4 0 2 	7 4 5 4 5 <u>3</u> 28	6 3 0 14 -4 -30	41 17 21 28 38 <u>23</u> 168
District 13						
Butler Chautauqua Elk Greenwood Total	66 1 5 <u>26</u> 98	57 4 6 <u>17</u> 84	26 5 2 <u>11</u> 44	13 5 1 -4 -23	3 4 -13 -24	165 19 18 <u>71</u> 273
District 14						
Montgomery	93	44	33	26	0	196
District 15						
Graham Rooks Sheridan Sherman Thomas Total	12 13 1 16 5 47 47	3 8 0 30 <u>18</u> 59	3 3 1 10 <u>2</u> 19	4 6 0 18 7 35	11 5 0 17 <u>0</u> 33	33 35 2 91 <u>32</u> 193

DISPOSITIONS

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

District 9	Juris. Waived	Dismsd.	Placed Under Supervision		Committed Youth Center/ Other Facility	Traffic Disp.	0ther	<u>Total</u>
Harvey McPherson Total	1 0 _1	7 <u>1</u> 8	5 22 27	$\frac{16}{\underline{6}}$	11 _0 _11	27 	49 <u>3</u> 52	116 <u>32</u> 148
District 10								
Johnson	2	65	93	512	69	314	71	1,126
District 11								
Cherokee Crawford Labette Neosleo Wilson Total	0 0 1 3 <u>0</u> 4	18 28 29 5 -11 $\cdot 91$	$21 \\ 105 \\ 40 \\ 37 \\ 17 \\ 220$	41 54 38 27 <u>13</u> 173	2 19 7 4 <u>0</u> 32	25 59 72 15 23 194	7 23 3 5 <u>0</u> 38	114 288 190 96 <u>64</u> 752
District 12								
Cloud Jewell Lincoln Mitchell Republic Washington Total	0 3 0 0 	5 0 5 1 <u>5</u> 17	$ 19 1 3 12 5 _1 41 $	5 13 7 12 10 <u>2</u> 49	2 0 1 1 0 <u>1</u> 5	$ \begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 13 \\ -1 \\ 24 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ -\frac{3}{3} \end{array}$	37 16 21 26 29 <u>13</u> 142
District 13								
Butler Chautauqua E1k Greenwood Total	0 0 0 0	23 3 0 <u>3</u> 29	72 2 3 9 86	24 5 7 <u>12</u> 48	10 0 1 -2 13	4 3 4 <u>-11</u> 22	9 0 2 <u>12</u> 23	142 13 17 <u>49</u> 221
District 14								
Montgomery	6	41	37	60	30	0	3	177
District 15								
Graham Rooks Sheridan Sherman Thomas Total	0 0 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 13 \\ \underline{3} \\ 19 \end{array} $	3 0 23 <u>8</u> 34	7 9 0 12 <u>8</u> 36	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 1\\ 4\\ -\underline{1}\\ 6\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 17 \\ -0 \\ -30 \\ \end{array} $	4 10 0 1 <u>3</u> 18	25 24 1 70 <u>23</u> 143

ц.

FORMAL JUVENILE CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

132

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

FILINGS

District 16	Delinquent	Miscreant	Wayward or Truant	Dependent/ Neglected	Traffic Offense	Total
Clark Comanche Ford Gray Kiowa Meade Total	0 56 0 7 7 70	4 0 58 6 15 <u>3</u> 86	0 0 6 1 3 <u>0</u> 10	0 0 10 1 5 <u>0</u> 16	4 1 58 15 0 <u>2</u> 80	8 1 188 23 30 <u>12</u> 262
District 17						
Cheyenne Decatur Norton Osborne Phillips Rawlins Smith Total	3 1 8 5 8 3 -1 29	1 0 4 3 14 8 <u>8</u> 38	2 0 6 3 4 1 <u>1</u> 17	1 0 3 6 2 3 	$2 \\ 16 \\ 0 \\ 11 \\ 9 \\ -6 \\ -44$	9 17 21 17 39 24 <u>20</u> 147
District 18						
Sedgwick	901	1,063	130	253	1,086	3,433
District 19						
Barber Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total	7 47 6 10 22 <u>35</u> 127	7 99 8 57 22 <u>104</u> 297	3 62 4 15 17 <u>34</u> 135	$ \begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 31 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ \underline{10} \\ 52 \end{array} $	17 13 17 29 28 <u>50</u> 154	34 252 37 116 93 <u>233</u> 765
District 20						
Barton Fllsworth Rice Russell Stafford Total	96 4 34 18 <u>17</u> 169	89 31 23 17 6 166	93 1 4 16 <u>0</u> 114	$ \begin{array}{r} 13\\ 0\\ 6\\ 7\\ \underline{-8}\\ 34\end{array} $	43 20 10 <u>1</u> 76	334 38 87 68 <u>32</u> 559
District 21						
Clay Riley Total	12 <u>42</u> 54	18 <u>-38</u> 56	8 _ <u>22</u> 	2 <u>6</u> 8	6 59	46 <u>161</u> 207

÷

FORMAL JUVENILE CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978 BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

•

.

F K

District 16	Juris. Waived	Dismsd.	Placed Under Supervision		Committed Youth Center/ Other Facility	Traific Disp.	Other	Total
Clark Comanche Ford Gray Kiowa Meade Total	0 2 0 0 0 2	1 0 24 0 0 0 25	0 0 75 0 8 <u>1</u> 84	$3 \\ 0 \\ 12 \\ 3 \\ 11 \\ -5 \\ -34$	0 0 1 0 2 0 3	4 51 14 0 <u>2</u> 72	0 2 6 6 - 2 16	8 167 23 27 <u>10</u> 236
District 17								
Cheyenne Decatur Norton Osborne Phillips Rawlins Smith Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ -1 \\ 10 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ - 2 \\ 13 \end{array} $	5 0 7 22 4 <u>10</u> 55	0 0 1 3 1 0 <u>0</u> 5	2 11 0 0 11 8 <u>-</u> 7 39	0 0 2 0 0 1 	7 12 18 14 37 17 <u>20</u> 125
<u>District 18</u>								
Sedgwick	17	287	606	188	112	783	71	2,064
District 19								
Barber Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total	0 1 0 0 0 	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 63 \\ 4 \\ 11 \\ 10 \\ 32 \\ 123 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 94 \\ 0 \\ 28 \\ 16 \\ \underline{88} \\ 227 \\ \end{array} $	3 42 3 4 17 <u>15</u> 84	1 5 1 2 2 	19 12 15 29 28 39 142	0 3 2 5 <u>19</u> 37	27 220 31 76 78 <u>213</u> 645
District 20								
Barton Ellsworth Rice Russell Stafford Total	0 0 0 0 0	71 1 10 1 - <u>5</u> 88	$ 101 \\ 14 \\ 31 \\ 18 \\ 11 \\ 175 $	32 1 2 17 <u>0</u> 52	5 1 1 5 -0 12	42 1 18 10 <u>1</u> 72	20 3 0 9 <u>0</u> 32	$271 \\ 21 \\ 62 \\ 60 \\ 17 \\ 431$
District 21								
Clay Riley Total	0 <u>1</u> 1	6 <u>24</u> 30	17 _52 _69	7 <u>10</u> 17	1 9 10	6 52 58	0 8 8	37 <u>156</u> 193

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

District 22	Delinquent	Miscreant	Wayward or Truant	Dependent/ Neglected	Traffic Offense	<u>Total</u>
Brown Doniphan Marshall Nemaha Total	$ \begin{array}{r} 13\\ 10\\ 8\\ -7\\ -38\\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 19\\ 7\\ 4\\ -\underline{2}\\ 32\end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 16\\2\\8\\-\underline{1}\\27\end{array} $	23 2 4 <u>6</u> 35	4 14 <u>6</u> 38	75 35 38 <u>22</u> 170
District 23						
Ellis Gove Logan Trego Wallace Total	$ \begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 7 \\ 11 \\ 0 \\ -0 \\ -34 \end{array} $	20 8 7 15 <u>1</u> 51	18 0 1 0 <u>1</u> 20	14 2 0 4 - <u>0</u> 20	0 5 29 11 <u>0</u> 45	68 22 48 30 <u>2</u> 170
District 24						
Edwards Hodgeman Lane Ness Pawnee Rush Total	$ \begin{array}{c} 11\\ 1\\ 5\\ 4\\ 20\\ -\frac{0}{41} \end{array} $	8 0 4 7 14 -2 35	1 3 2 2 4 <u>1</u> 13	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ - \\ 8 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 12 \\ 10 \\ \underline{20} \\ 57 \\ 57 \\ \end{array} $	33 5 13 28 52 <u>23</u> 154
District 25						
Finney Greeley Hamilton Kearny Scott Wichita Total	68 0 7 7 2 <u>4</u> 88	95 1 2 7 29 0 134	52 0 1 2 1 <u>0</u> 56	$\begin{array}{c} 25\\0\\2\\2\\4\\-\underline{1}\\34\end{array}$	22 2 1 8 42 	262 3 13 26 78 <u>7</u> 389
District 26						
Grant Haskell Morton Seward Stanton Stevens Total	$ \begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 3 \\ 7 \\ 19 \\ 3 \\ \underline{-6} \\ 53 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 32 \\ 9 \\ 0 \\ 13 \\ 9 \\ \underline{1} \\ 64 \end{array} $	5 0 1 23 7 <u>0</u> 36	5 0 8 7 0 <u>1</u> 21	5 3 5 13 9 <u>-8</u> 43	62 15 21 75 28 <u>16</u> 217
District 27						
Reno	104	238	95	34	174	645

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

District 22	Juris. Waived	Dismsd.	Placed Under Supervision		Committed Youth Center/ Other Facility	Traffi Disp.	c Other	Total
Brown Doniphan Marshall Nemaha Total	0 0 1 1	8 5 0 <u>0</u> 13	33 8 8 <u>8</u> 57	14 5 9 <u>3</u> 31	3 0 1 	4 13 12 5 34	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ -4 \end{array} $	63 32 30 <u>19</u> 144
District 23								
Ellis Gove Logan Trego Wallace Total	1 0 0 0 	20 0 6 0 26	9 1 0 1 <u>1</u> 12	19 16 1 9 0 45	$ \begin{array}{c} 3\\0\\0\\0\\-1\\-4\end{array} \end{array} $	0 5 29 10 0 44	6 0 10 0 <u>0</u> 16	58 22 40 26 <u>2</u> 148
District 24								
Edwards Hodgeman Lane Ness Pawnee Rush Total	0 0 1 0 0 1	2 0 2 5 	5 0 7 4 11 <u>0</u> 27	2 0 5 10 - <u>2</u> 19	0 0 2 0 5 <u>0</u> 7	8 0 3 12 7 <u>17</u> 47	2 3 0 1 0 <u>1</u> 7	19 3 12 25 38 <u>20</u> 117
District 25								
Finney Greeley Hamilton Kearny Scott Wichita Total	0 0 0 0 1 1	59 1 0 1 6 	$ \begin{array}{r} 69\\ 0\\ 2\\ 4\\ 21\\ \underline{1}\\ 97\end{array} $	29 0 1 7 4 <u>2</u> 43	10 0 2 2 0 14	18 1 8 42 <u>2</u> 72	38 0 2 2 0 0 42	223 2 6 24 75 <u>6</u> 336
District 26								
Grant Haskell Morton Seward Stanton Stevens Total	0 -0 -1 	16 0 9 6 3 	12 3 0 17 4 <u>3</u> 39	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 13 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ \overline{31} \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - 2 \\ 2 \end{array} $	6 3 5 11 5 	6 6 7 5 2 0 26	54 14 21 53 17 <u>8</u> 167
District 27								
Reno	2	162	159	51	30	171	96	671

Ϊ,

'я

FORMAL JUVENILE CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

٠

136

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

FILINGS

.

District 28	Delinquent	Miscreant	Wayward or Truant	Dependent/ Neglected	Traffic Offense	Total
Ottawa Saline Total	7 <u>98</u> 105	3 <u>-81</u> 	$\frac{1}{47}$	5 <u>86</u> 91	3 29	19 <u>338</u> 357
District 29						
Wyandotte	464	462	283	319	77	1,605
STATE TOTAL	3,982	4,291	2,365	1,899	3,116	15,653

FORMAL JUVENILE CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978 BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

	Juris.		Placed Under	Custody Parental/	Committed Youth Center/	Traffi	Lc	
	Waived	Dismsd.	Supervision	Non. Par.	Other Facility	Disp.	<u>Other</u>	Total
District 28								
Ottawa	0	1	1	8	1	3	0	14
Saline	1	_30	90	82	35	_23	_20	<u>281</u> 295
Total	1	31	91	90	36	26	20	295
District 29								
Wyandotte	8	253	340	325	103	62	419	1,510
STATE TOTAL	60	2,077	3,293	2,583	691	2,660	1,157	12,521

138

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

FILINGS

	Under Std. Proc.	Under Simp. Proc.	Total	Out of County	Out of State
District 1	564. 1156.	Dimp. 110C.	IOCAL	obuilty	<u>blate</u>
Atchison Leavenworth Total	46 <u>72</u> 118	6 15	52 <u>81</u> 133	$\frac{2}{-0}{2}$	1 1
District 2					
Jackson Jefferson Pottawatomie Wabaunsee Total	13 14 58 <u>13</u> 98	23 4 3 <u>8</u> 38	$36 \\ 18 \\ 61 \\ 21 \\ 136$	0 0 0 	0 0 0 0 0
District 3					
Shawnee	221	64	285	0	2
District 4					
Allen Anderson Coffey Franklin Osage Woodson Total	48 50 31 8 50 24 211	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	54 50 35 55 68 <u>24</u> 286	5 2 0 1 2 	0 2 1 0 0 <u>0</u> 3
District 5					
Chase Lyon Total	8 <u>83</u> 91	7 - <u>7</u> 14	. <u>90</u> 105	0 4 4	0 0 0
District 6					
Bourbon Linn Miami Total	28 22 <u>51</u> 101	7 7 <u>6</u> 20	35 29 57 121	0 0 	1 2 <u>1</u> 4
District 7					
Douglas	73	20	93	0	0
District 8					
Dickinson Geary Marion Morris Total	40 4 42 <u>14</u> 100	16 62 14 <u>6</u> 98	56 66 56 20 198	0 0 0 0	0 0 <u>1</u> 1

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

139

DISPOSITIONS

District 1	Letters Refused	Lett Inv./Val.	ers Granted Inv./App.	<u>No Inv.</u>	Total Disp.	Age A 12 Mo. Or Less	at Dispositi <u>13-24 Mo.</u>	on Over <u>24 Mo</u> .
Atchison Leavenworth Total	2 2	40 <u>42</u> 82	13 <u>36</u> 49	$ \begin{array}{c} 11 \\ -1 \\ 12 \end{array} $	66 <u>79</u> 145	46 _40 _86	16 <u>35</u> 51	4 4 8
District 2								
Jackson Jefferson Pottawatomie Wabaunsee Total	0 0 0 0	2 34 30 <u>11</u> 77	0 4 19 <u>13</u> 36	0 1 0 <u>0</u> 1	2 39 49 <u>24</u> 114	2 28 28 <u>12</u> 70	0 11 20 <u>11</u> 42	0 0 1 <u>1</u> 2
District 3								
Shawnee	0	108	161	35	304	142	90	72
District 4								
Allen Anderson Coffey Franklin Osage Woodson Total		15 14 26 26 22 <u>8</u> 111	23 13 10 24 13 9 92	0 3 0 0 4 7	38 30 36 50 35 <u>21</u> 210	26 17 26 35 19 <u>11</u> 134	$ \begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 13 \\ 9 \\ 11 \\ 11 \\ \underline{6} \\ \overline{61} \end{array} $	1 0 1 4 5 <u>4</u> 15
District 5								
Chase Lyon Total	1 1	$-\frac{18}{24}$	8 <u>21</u> 29	0 0 0	15 <u>39</u> 54	$ \frac{19}{25} $	9 <u>10</u> 19	0 <u>10</u> 10
District 6								
Bourbon Linn Miami Total	2 0 <u>4</u> 6	16 10 <u>25</u> 51	14 6 <u>11</u> 31	5 0 <u>1</u> 6	37 16 <u>41</u> 94	22 7 <u>28</u> 57	$ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 8\\ \underline{13}\\ 33\end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 3\\ 1\\ -0\\ -4 \end{array} $
District 7								
Douglas	0	24	60	0	84	38	29	17
District 8								
Dickinson Geary Marion Morris Total	1 1 	56 9 18 13 96	18 3 49 <u>9</u> 79	0 4 0 0 4	75 17 68 <u>23</u> 183	53 13 43 <u>9</u> 118	15 4 21 <u>12</u> 52	7 0 4 2 13

140

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

F	I	L	Ι	N	G	S
_	_		-		-	

	Under <u>Std. Proc.</u>	Under Simp. Proc.	Total	Out of County	Out of State
District 9					
Harvey McPherson Total	86 <u>40</u> 126	3 <u>45</u> 48	89 <u>85</u> 174	4 4	2 2
District 10					
Johnson	262	76	338	0	6
District 11					
Cherokee Crawford Labette Neosho Wilson Total	92 67 50 0 <u>43</u> 252	3 3 1 62 <u>18</u> 87	95 70 51 62 <u>61</u> 339	4 3 0 0 <u>5</u> 12	2 0 0 <u>2</u> 4
District 12					
Cloud Jewell Lincoln Mitchell Republic Washington Total	30 8 22 27 55 <u>52</u> 194	$ \begin{array}{r} 20 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 21 \\ 7 \\ -1 \\ 62 \end{array} $	50 14 29 48 62 <u>53</u> 256	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 1\\ 0\\ \underline{}\\ \underline{}\\ 3 \end{array}$	2 0 0 0 <u>1</u> 3
District 13					
Butler Chautauqua Elk Greenwood Total	56 11 29 <u>61</u> 157	$ \begin{array}{r} 18\\ 4\\ 0\\ \underline{2}\\ 24\\ \end{array} $	74 15 29 <u>63</u> 181	6 0 1 7	2 3 0 <u>0</u> 5
District 14					
Montgomery	93	25	118	2	1
District 15					
Graham Rooks Sheridan Sherman Thomas Total	13 12 17 32 <u>23</u> 97	17 22 2 5 <u>15</u> 61	30 34 19 37 <u>38</u> 158	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ -\frac{2}{2} \end{array}$	0 0 1 3 <u>0</u> 4

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

	_	_				Age At Disposition		
	Letters <u>Refused</u>	Lett Inv./Val.	ers Granted Inv./App.		Total Disp.	12 Mo. Or Less	<u>13-24 Mo.</u>	Over 24 Mo.
District 9								
Harvey McPherson Total	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ -1\\ 1 \end{array}$	61 _24 _85	20 _ <u>10</u> 	$\frac{1}{\frac{7}{8}}$	82 <u>42</u> 124	35 <u>35</u> 70	39 <u>6</u> 45	8 9
District 10								
Johnson	20	253	37	3	313	175	111	27
District 11								
Cherokee Crawford Labette Neosho Wilson Total	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 9 \\ -1 \\ 11 \end{array} $	0 42 30 5 <u>30</u> 107	1 45 11 23 15 95	6 8 7 2 <u>2</u> 25	8 95 48 39 <u>48</u> 238	8 36 30 26 <u>35</u> 135	$ \begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 24 \\ 16 \\ 10 \\ \underline{13} \\ 63 \end{array} $	0 35 2 3 <u>0</u> 40
District 12								
Cloud Jewell Lincoln Mitchell Republic Washington Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	24 3 12 12 24 20 95	15 22 19 38 33 <u>17</u> 144	0 4 0 5 0 9	39 25 35 50 62 37 248	17 9 27 29 37 <u>19</u> 138	19 14 8 18 15 <u>15</u> 89	3 2 0 3 10 <u>3</u> 21
District 13								
Butler Chautauqua Elk Greenwood Total	0 0 <u>0</u> 0	49 1 15 <u>21</u> 86	56 14 13 <u>19</u> 102	2 0 1 <u>1</u> 4	107 15 29 <u>41</u> 192	41 6 13 <u>28</u> 88	43 8 12 <u>12</u> 75	23 1 4 <u>1</u> 29
District 14								
Montgomery	2	39	21	1	63	33	22	8
District 15								
Graham Rooks Sheridan Sherman Thomas Total	0 0 0 0 0	9 23 14 4 <u>15</u> 65	14 10 11 13 <u>10</u> 58	3 1 0 	26 34 25 17 <u>29</u> 131	10 19 15 5 <u>11</u> 60	15 14 9 6 <u>15</u> 59	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 6 \\ -3 \\ 12 \end{array} $

142

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

		FILINGS			
	Under Std. Proc.	Under Simp. Proc.	<u>Total</u>	Out of County	Out of State
District 16					
Clark Comanche Ford Gray Kiowa Meade Total	13 11 11 9 24 3 71 71	1 0 49 8 1 <u>27</u> 86	14 11 60 17 25 <u>30</u> 157	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -3 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - 0 \\ - 3 \\ \end{array} $
District 17					
Cheyenne Decatur Norton Osborne Phillips Rawlins Smith Total	15 15 28 30 40 17 34 179	0 18 9 20 5 3 <u>2</u> 57	$ \begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 33 \\ 37 \\ 50 \\ 45 \\ 20 \\ \underline{36} \\ \overline{236} \end{array} $	0 1 0 3 1 2 <u>1</u> 8	$0 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 6 \\ 1 \\ -4 \\ -5$
District 18					
Sedgwick	542	91	633	0	3
District 19					
Barber Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total	57 68 43 68 76 78 390	3 12 0 4 2 <u>9</u> 30	60 80 43 72 78 <u>87</u> 420	13 0 24 9 0 46	3 1 4 3 0 <u>1</u> 12
District ²⁰					
Barton Ellsworth Rice Russell Stafford Total	109 31 71 28 <u>36</u> 275	27 26 7 4 <u>1</u> 65	136 57 78 32 <u>37</u> 340	4 1 6 0 <u>0</u> 11	2 1 4 1 <u>6</u> .14
District 21					
Clay Riley Total	60 <u>53</u> 113	0 <u>12</u> 12	60 <u>65</u> 125	5 1 6	0 <u>1</u> _1

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

					Age At Disposition			
	Letters Refused		ers Granted Inv./App.		Total Disp.	12 Mo. Or Less	<u>13-24 Mo.</u>	Over <u>24 Mo.</u>
District 16								
Clark Comanche Ford Gray Kiowa Meade Total	1 0 0 0 0 1	0 9 42 14 1 <u>11</u> 77	0 10 10 9 8 <u>0</u> 37	0 1 0 1 <u>1</u> 3	1 20 52 23 10 <u>12</u> 118	1 7 18 4 2 <u>9</u> 41	0 10 25 14 5 <u>3</u> 57	0 3 5 3 0 20
District 17								
Cheyenne Decatur Norton Osborne Phillips Rawlins Smith Total	0 0 1 0 1 0 <u>0</u> 2	0 12 2 13 18 12 <u>18</u> 75	12 8 3 39 21 19 121 1	2 1 6 0 1 0 0 10	14 21 52 41 31 <u>37</u> 208	8 10 11 19 17 15 <u>16</u> 96	5 10 1 26 20 13 <u>18</u> 93	1 0 7 4 3 <u>3</u> 19
District 18								
Sedgwick	1	357	173	7	538	252	201	85
District 19								
Barber Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total	$2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{3}$	19 47 12 5 31 <u>56</u> 170	21 21 42 47 13 <u>9</u> 153	7 0 0 2 <u>5</u> 14	49 68 54 52 46 71 340	28 39 22 22 29 <u>33</u> 173	16 24 22 28 16 <u>22</u> 128	5 5 10 2 1 <u>16</u> 39
District 20								
Barton Ellsworth Rice Russell Stafford Total	0 1 0 0 <u>0</u> 1	43 28 9 5 <u>24</u> 109	$ \begin{array}{r} 42 \\ 14 \\ 24 \\ 15 \\ \underline{11} \\ 106 \end{array} $	4 0 2 2 0 8	89 43 35 22 <u>35</u> 224	35 37 12 14 <u>6</u> 104	45 3 15 7 <u>18</u> 88	9 3 1 11 32
District 21								
Clay Riley Total	0 0 0	47 <u>44</u> 91	3 <u>12</u> 15	$\begin{array}{r} 13\\ -0\\ 13 \end{array}$	63 <u>56</u> 119	34 62	26 _24 _50	3

э

.

144

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

F	Ι	L	Ι	N	G	S	

	Under Std. Proc.	Under Simp. Proc.	Total	Out of County	Out of State
District 22					
Brown Doniphan Marshall Nemaha Total	34 20 71 <u>33</u> 158	9 4 2 <u>1</u> 16	43 24 73 <u>34</u> 174	1 0 1 	1 0 1 <u>0</u> 2
District 23					
Ellis Gove Logan Trego Wallace Total	55 20 22 35 <u>19</u> 151	8 5 0 2 <u>0</u> 15	63 25 22 37 <u>19</u> 166	1 0 1 5 <u>2</u> 9	2 5 1 0 <u>-5</u> 13
District 24					
Edwards Hodgeman Lane Ness Pawnee Rush Total	30 8 5 28 54 <u>31</u> 156	3 10 10 0 0 <u>0</u> 23	33 18 15 28 54 <u>31</u> 179	0 3 0 3 <u>1</u> 7	0 0 1 <u>1</u> 3
District 25					
Finney Greeley Hamilton Kearny Scott Wichita Total	83 9 5 9 20 <u>15</u> 141	5 1 0 1 0 <u>2</u> 9	88 10 5 10 20 <u>17</u> 150	17 0 1 0 1 <u>1</u> 20	5 0 1 2 2
District 26					
Grant Haskell Morton Seward Stanton Stevens Total	4 17 35 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	$ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 2 \\ 24 \\ 29 \\ 2 \\ - 2 \\ \overline{69} \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 19 \\ 59 \\ 72 \\ 10 \\ \underline{38} \\ 212 \\ \end{array} $	0 1 18 10 4 -2 35	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ - \frac{8}{14} \end{array} $
District 27					
Reno	112	59	171	0	3

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

					t Dispositi	isposition		
	Letters Refused	Inv./Val.	Inv./App.	No Inv.	Total Disp.	12 Mo. Or Less	13-24 Mo.	Over 24 Mo.
District 22								
Brown Doniphan Marshall Nemaha Total	2 0 0 	15 14 22 22 73	$12 \\ 7 \\ 16 \\ 12 \\ 47$	0 0 0 0	29 21 38 <u>34</u> 122	10 15 19 <u>14</u> 58	13 6 16 <u>7</u> 42	6 0 3 <u>13</u> 22
District 23								
Ellis Gove Logan Trego Wallace Total	0 0 0 0 0	7 3 1 19 <u>1</u> 31	60 14 12 10 <u>5</u> 101	6 0 0 0 0 6	73 17 13 29 <u>6</u> 138	24 11 10 18 <u>3</u> 67	28 5 2 10 -2 47	$ \begin{array}{c} 21 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ - 0 \\ - 24 \end{array} $
District 24								
Edwards Hodgeman Lane Ness Pawnee Rush Total	0 0 1 1 0 <u>0</u> 2	11 0 15 8 19 <u>8</u> 61	6 0 3 22 24 <u>12</u> 67	11 0 1 3 <u>-8</u> 24	28 0 20 32 46 <u>28</u> 154	17 0 7 18 18 <u>5</u> 65	9 0 12 9 26 <u>18</u> 74	2 0 1 5 2 5 15
District 25								
Finney Greeley Hamilton Kearny Scott Wichita Total	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$ 18 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 13 \\ - \frac{6}{45} $	18 5 8 0 10 <u>13</u> 54	1 0 3 1 0 <u>0</u> 5	37 10 12 3 23 <u>19</u> 104	7 6 2 9 <u>7</u> 33	17 0 3 1 12 <u>6</u> 39	13 4 7 0 2 <u>-6</u> 32
District 26								
Grant Haskell Morton Seward Stanton Stevens Total	0 0 0 0 0 0	5 4 0 1 <u>7</u> 23	4 5 11 0 7 <u>2</u> 29	0 7 8 0 <u>-</u> 17	9 9 24 8 <u>11</u> 69	4 13 8 0 <u>11</u> 40	4 3 2 0 1 <u>0</u> 10	1 2 9 0 7 0 19
District 27								
Reno	1	110	46	0	157	88	52	17

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

		FILINGS			
	Under Std. Proc.	Under Simp. Proc.	<u>Total</u>	Out of County	Out of State
District 28					
Ottawa Saline Total	22 <u>62</u> 84	$-\frac{30}{32}$	24 <u>92</u> 116	0 0 0	$0\\ \underline{1}\\ 1$
District 29					
Wyandotte	132	93	225	1	4
STATE TOTAL	4,841	1,384	6,225	194	134

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

DISPOSITIONS

	Letters Letters Granted T				Total	Age At Dispositi		
	Refused	Inv./Val.	Inv./App.	No Inv.	Disp.	12 Mo. Or Less	13-24 Mo.	Over
District 28			<u>1, 1</u>	no mv.	<u>D139.</u>	01 1.635	13-24 110.	<u>24 Mo.</u>
Ottawa Saline Total	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{array} $	1 <u>34</u> 35	19 <u>29</u> 48	0 0 0	20 <u>64</u> 84	15 _43 _58	$\frac{15}{18}$	2 6 8
District 29								
Wyandotte	0	198	15	0	213	131	· 61	21
STATE TOTAL	61	2,758	2,036	230	5,085	2,637	1,801	647

GUARDIANSHIP AND CONSERVATORSHIP ESTATES CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

	FILINGS BY TYPE BY NATURE							
	Guardianship	BY TYPE Conservatorship	Both	Total		Involuntary		
<u>District 1</u>			<u></u>	1000	voluntary	Involuncaly		
Atchison Leavenworth Total	$\frac{2}{-\frac{2}{4}}$	$\begin{array}{r}12\\-21\\-33\end{array}$	24 <u>15</u> 39	38 <u>38</u> 76	$\frac{14}{20}$	32 <u>24</u> 56		
District 2								
Jackson Jefferson Pottawatomie Wabaunsee Total	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 1\\ 0\\ \underline{1}\\ 2 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 5\\ 4\\ 6\\ -2\\ 17 \end{array} $	3 9 6 <u>5</u> 23	8 14 12 <u>8</u> 42	7 8 7 -1 23	1 6 5 <u>7</u> 19		
District 3								
Shawnee	33	73	100	206	42	164		
District 4								
Allen Anderson Coffey Franklin Osage Woodson Total	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - 1 \\ - 2 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 4 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ \underline{5} \\ 48 \end{array} $	8 4 5 9 <u>1</u> 35	25 8 16 13 16 7 85	15 1 9 12 <u>6</u> 52	10 7 4 4 <u>1</u> 33		
District 5								
Chase Lyon Total	0 - <u>1</u> 1	$\frac{4}{11}$	0 	4 <u>27</u> 31	$\begin{array}{r} 2\\ -\underline{12}\\ 14 \end{array}$	2 <u>15</u> 17		
<u>District 6</u>								
Bourbon Linn Miami Total	0 0 <u>0</u> 0	5 4 <u>8</u> 17	$ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 4\\ \underline{5}\\ 21 \end{array} $	17 8 <u>13</u> 38	6 2 <u>7</u> 15	$ \begin{array}{c} 11\\ 6\\ \underline{-6}\\ 23 \end{array} $		
District 7								
Douglas	3	11	9	23	14	9		
District 8								
Dickinson Geary Marion Morris Total	5 7 0 <u>0</u> 12	$ \begin{array}{r} 11\\ 12\\ 4\\ \underline{}\\ 28\end{array} $	12 6 3 <u>5</u> 26	28 25 7 <u>6</u> 66	17 8 3 <u>1</u> 29	$ \begin{array}{r} 11\\ 17\\ 4\\ \underline{}\\ 37\end{array} $		

CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

		DISPOSITIONS		
	TY	PE OF INVENTORY -		Total
	Valuation	Appraisal	None	Closed
District 1				
Atchison	1	0	6	7
Leavenworth	$\frac{2}{3}$	<u> 4 </u>	$\frac{12}{18}$	18
Total	3	4	18	25
<u>District 2</u>				
Jackson	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	1	6	7
Pottawatomie Wabaunsee	4	2	2	8
Total	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{3}{6}$	<u> 0</u> <u> 8</u>	$\frac{4}{19}$
District 3				
Shawnee	27	20	59	106
District 4				
Allen	2	1	6	9
Anderson	1	0	0	1
Coffey	3	1	1	5
Franklin Osage	2 0	5	1	8
Woodson		С О	0	5 1
Total	$\frac{1}{9}$	5 5 <u>0</u> 12	<u> 0</u> <u> 8</u>	
District 5				
Chase	1	1	0	2
Lyon	$\frac{0}{1}$	<u>-2</u> 3	$\frac{2}{2}$	4
Total	Ţ	3	2	6
<u>District 6</u>				
Bourbon	1	3	3	7
Linn Miami	3	0	1	4
Total	1 3 <u>-6</u> 10	<u> 4</u> 7	$\frac{2}{6}$	$\frac{12}{23}$
District 7				
Douglas	3	1	9	13
District 8				
Dickinson	8	2	4	14
Geary	1 2 11	1 3	8	10
Marion	2	3	1	6
Morris Total	$-\frac{0}{11}$	•6	$\frac{1}{14}$	$\frac{1}{31}$
IULAI	11	U	14 14	JL

•

CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

	FILINGS			BY NATURE		
	Guardianship	BY TYPE Conservatorship	Both	Total		
District 9			2000		voidinaly	Involuntary
Harvey McPherson Total	0 1 1	12 5 17	$\begin{array}{r}10\\\underline{6}\\16\end{array}$	22 <u>12</u> 34	$\frac{11}{\underline{3}}$	11 <u>9</u> 20
District 10						
Johnson	34	37	33	104	8	96
District 11						
Cherokee Crawford Labette Neosho Wilson Total	0 2 5 0 <u>0</u> 7	6 9 5 3 <u>4</u> 27	21 30 26 8 <u>12</u> 97	27 41 36 11 <u>16</u> 131	$ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 5\\ 2\\ -4\\ -28\end{array} \end{array} $	15 36 31 9 <u>12</u> 103
District 12						
Cloud Jewell Lincoln Mitchell Republic Washington Total	0 0 0 0 	7 4 3 0 8 <u>-2</u> 24	$ \begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ \underline{1} \\ 25 \end{array} $	18 10 3 12	6 2 3 1 7 <u>0</u> 19	$ \begin{array}{r} 12\\ 8\\ 0\\ 2\\ 5\\ -4\\ 31 \end{array} $
District 13						
Butler Chautauqua Elk Greenwood Total	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 0\\ 0\\ \underline{1}\\ 3\end{array}$	10 2 1 <u></u>	23 2 2 2 29	35 4 3 <u>11</u> 53	9 3 1 <u>10</u> 23	26 1 2 <u>1</u> 30
District 14						
Montgomery	3	11	13	27	17	10
District 15						
Graham Rooks Sheridan Sherman Thomas Total	0 0 2 0 2	$\begin{array}{r} 2\\ 5\\ 0\\ 4\\ \underline{2}\\ 1.3 \end{array}$	3 1 3 	5 6 1 9 <u>2</u> 23	4 6 1 2 <u>2</u> 15	1 0 0 7 <u>0</u> 8

\$

150

GUARDIANSHIP AND CONSERVATORSHIP ESTATES CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

1

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

	т	DISPOSITIONS		
	Valuation 1	YPE OF INVENTORY Appraisal	None	Total Closed
District 9				
Harvey McPherson Total	5 	_5 0 _5	14 14	24 0 _24
District 10				
Johnson	44	28	16	88
<u>District 11</u>				
Cherokee Crawford Labette Neosho Wilson Total	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4} \end{array} $	0 6 0 3 <u>1</u> 10	$3 \\ 16 \\ 11 \\ 3 \\ -1 \\ -34$	3 25 11 6 <u>3</u> 48
District 12				
Cloud Jewell Lincoln Mitchell Republic Washington Total	3 0 1 2 1 <u>2</u> 9	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 8 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 6 \\ \underline{3} \\ 10 \end{array} $	4 3 2 4 7 7 27
District 13				
Butler Chautauqua Elk Greenwood Total	2 2 0 <u>2</u> 6	3 1 1 <u>0</u> 5	6 0 0 0 6	$ \begin{array}{r} 11\\ 3\\ 1\\ \underline{2}\\ 1.7 \end{array} $
District 14				
Montgomery	2	10	4	16
District 15				
Graham Rooks Sheridan Sherman Thomas Total	0 0 1 <u>0</u> 1	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 3\\ 0\\ 0\\ -\underline{1}\\ -\underline{4} \end{array}$	2 5 0 7 <u>0</u> 14	2 8 0 8 <u>1</u> 19

152

CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978 BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

	Guardianship	BY TYPE Conservatorship	Both	Total		ATURE Involuntary
District 16				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Invoruntary
Clark Comanche Ford Gray Kiowa Meade Total	0 1 0 · 0 0 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 0 \\ 16 \\ 1 \\ -3 \\ -23 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 1\\ 0\\ 8\\ 4\\ 6\\ \underline{2}\\ 21\end{array} \end{array} $	3 1 24 5 7 <u>5</u> 45	1 8 1 3 <u>4</u> 18	$2 \\ 0 \\ 16 \\ 4 \\ -\frac{1}{27}$
District 17						
Cheyenne Decatur Norton Osborne Phillips Rawlins Smith Total		0 0 6 8 7 2 <u>2</u> 25	0 0 1 6 1 3 $-$ 13	$ \begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ 14 \\ 8 \\ 5 \\ -4 \\ -38 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 5\\ 9\\ 6\\ 0\\ -\underline{4}\\ 24 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ - 0 \\ - 14 \end{array} $
District 18						
Sedgwick	40	124	129	293	60	233
District 19						
Barber Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total	0 2 0 0 1 <u>2</u> 5	$ \begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 14 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ \underline{14} \\ 38 \\ \end{array} $	6 39 3 5 15 12 80	6 55 4 10 20 <u>28</u> 123	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 12 \\ 3 \\ 7 \\ 4 \\ -11 \\ 40 \\ \end{array} $	3 43 1 3 16 <u>17</u> 83
District 20						
Barton Ellsworth Rice Russell Stafford Total	1 0 0 0 <u>0</u> 1	$ \begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ \underline{2} \\ 26 \\ \end{array} $	14 3 0 2 <u>5</u> 24	28 5 3 8 <u>7</u> 51	21 2 3 8 <u>2</u> 36	7 3 0 0 <u>5</u> 15
District 21						
Clay Riley Total	0 6 6	9 - <u>8</u> 17	3 <u>8</u> 11	12 22 34	12 <u>8</u> 20	0 <u>14</u> 14

.

ŧ

CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

District 16	<u>Valuation</u>	DISPOSITIONS TYPE OF INVENTORY <u>Appraisal</u>	None	Total <u>Closed</u>
Clark Comanche Ford Gray Kiowa Meade Total	0 0 1 0 0 0 1	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - \frac{1}{4} \end{array} $	0 5 3 5 2 <u>3</u> 18	0 5 7 5 2 <u>4</u> 23
District 17				
Cheyenne Decatur Norton Osborne Phillips Rawlins Smith Total	0 0 2 1 0 <u>0</u> 3	0 0 0 1 0 	0 0 0 2 0 <u>1</u> 3	0 0 2 4 0 <u>1</u> 7
District 18				
Sedgwick	103	10	12	125
District 19				
Barber Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total	1 8 1 0 0 <u>15</u> 25	4 2 2 0 <u>0</u> 12	0 6 0 1 -16 23	5 18 3 2 1 <u>31</u> 60
District 20				
Barton Ellsworth Rice Russell Stafford Total	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ -\frac{3}{3} \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 0\\ 2\\ -\frac{1}{4} \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 11\\ 0\\ 1\\ -\frac{1}{13} \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ \underline{5} \\ 20 \\ 20 \end{array} $
District 21				
Clay Riley Total	$\frac{0}{2}$	0 2 2	1 _ <u>13</u> _14	$\frac{1}{17}$

CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

	FILINGS						
	Guardianship	BY TYPE Conservatorship	Both	Total		ATURE Involuntary	
District 22						1	
Brown Doniphan Marshall Nemaha Total	0 1 0 <u>0</u> 1	5 4 8 <u>5</u> 22	4 6 11 <u>5</u> 26	9 11 19 <u>10</u> 49	8 1 8 <u>-7</u> 24	$ \begin{array}{r}1\\10\\11\\\underline{3}\\25\end{array}$	
District 23							
Ellis Gove Logan Trego Wallace Total	0 1 0 0 <u>0</u> 1	9 1 0 3 <u>3</u> 16	10 2 2 1 17	$ \begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ -4 \\ \overline{34} \end{array} $	11 2 0 2 <u>3</u> 18	8 2 2 3 <u>1</u> 16	
District 24							
Edwards Hodgeman Lane Ness Pawnee Rush Total	0 0 0 1 	$2 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ -4 \\ -22$	4 1 2 3 <u>5</u> 16	6 4 7 6 7 <u>9</u> 39	1 2 5 6 2 <u>3</u> 19	5 2 0 5 <u>6</u> 20	
District 25							
Finney Greeley Hamilton Kearny Scott Wichita Total	1 1 0 0 <u>1</u> 4	9 3 1 3 <u>5</u> 24	9 1 2 1 0 <u>1</u> 14	19 5 4 3 <u>7</u> 42	3 1 2 4 1 <u>-6</u> 17	$ \begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ \underline{1} \\ 25 \end{array} $	
District 26							
Grant Haskell Morton Seward Stanton Stevens Total	1 0 1 0 0 	2 2 1 5 0 <u>1</u> 11	1 4 1 6 0 <u>0</u> 12	$4 \\ 6 \\ 3 \\ 11 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 25$	4 3 8 0 <u>1</u> 20	0 2 0 3 0 0 5	
District 27							
Reno	3	14	35	52	17	35	

CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

	Valuation	DISPOSITIONS PE OF INVENTORY Appraisal	None	Total <u>Closed</u>
District 22				
Brown Doniphan Marshall Nemaha Total	1 1 4 - <u>1</u> 7	1 1 5 <u>1</u> 8	1 4 3 <u>5</u> 13	3 6 12 7 28
District 23				
Ellis Gove Logan Trego Wallace Total	0 0 1 <u>0</u> 1	0 0 1 0 1	13 0 0 3 <u>0</u> 16	13 0 0 5 <u>0</u> 18
District 24				
Edwards Hodgeman Lane Ness Pawnee Rush Total		0 0 4 1 <u>1</u> 6	1 0 2 1 2 <u>5</u> 11	1 0 2 5 3 <u>-6</u> 17
District 25				
Finney Greeley Hamilton Kearny Scott Wichita Total	0 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 <u>3</u> 3	3 0 0 0 <u>3</u> 6	3 1 0 0 0 <u>6</u> 10
District 26				
Grant Haskell Morton Seward Stanton Stevens Total	0 1 0 0 0 0 1	0 1 2 0 0 0 3	0 1 2 0 0 0 0 3	0 3 4 0 0 7
District 27				
Reno	14	8	14	36

156

CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

FILINGS										
District 28	Guardianship	BY TYPE Conservatorship	Both	Total		NATURE Involuntary				
Ottawa Saline Total	0 	1 <u>18</u> 19	0 _ <u>16</u> _16	1 <u>38</u> 39	$\frac{1}{\frac{16}{17}}$	0 <u>22</u> 22				
District 29										
Wyandotte	21	32	52	105	38	67				
STATE TOTAL	198	805	955	1,958	701	1,257				

ŝ

CASELOAD ACTIVITY, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

		DISPOSIT	TIONS	
	Valuatio	TYPE OF INVER		Total <u>Closed</u>
District 28				
Ottawa Saline Total	$-\frac{0}{-\frac{4}{4}}$	$\frac{1}{\frac{2}{3}}$	0 <u>4</u> 4	1 _ <u>10</u> _11
District 29				
Wyandotte	10	12	6	28
STATE TOTAL	315	206	378	899

.

-

158

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

		TRI	USTS			
	Created By Will	Created Other	Total Opened	Total Closed	Determinations Of Descent	Miscel- laneous
District 1					<u></u>	<u></u>
Atchison Leavenworth Total	4 0 4	1 1	5 0 _5	0 0 0	24 40 64	44 45
District 2						
Jackson Jefferson Pottawatomie Wabaunsee Total	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 2\\ 0\\ -\underline{0}\\ 2\end{array}$	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 <u>0</u> 2	0 0 1 0 1	13 15 19 <u>21</u> 68	0 6 2
District 3						
Shawnee	20	. 1	21	13	69	4
District 4						
Allen Anderson Coffey Franklin Osage Woodson Total	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ - 0 \\ - 8 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ -5 \\ -8 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ -5 \\ 16 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 1\\ 1\\ \underline{5}\\ 7 \end{array}$	0 5 1 25 9 <u>11</u> 51	1 7 16 5 0 <u>30</u> 59
District 5						
Chase Lyon Total	1 4 5	0 0 0	$ \frac{1}{4} 5 $	0 -1 1	8 <u>48</u> 56	18 <u>35</u> 53
District 6						
Bourbon Linn Miami Total	0 1 <u>3</u> 4	0 0 <u>0</u> 0	0 1 	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 0\\ -\underline{0}\\ 2 \end{array}$	22 18 <u>25</u> 65	3 29 <u>0</u> 32
District 7						
Douglas	7	0	7	2	40	90
District 8						
Dickinson Geary Marion Morris Total	1 41 2 <u>2</u> 46	0 14 0 <u>0</u> 14	1 55 2 <u>2</u> 60	0 18 1 19	35 0 22 <u>13</u> 70	36 3 0 42

ł

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

	ب الربع بينيم وحد خدار بينيم جب الله الربي بينية	TR	USTS			
	Created By Will	Created Other	Total Opened	Total Closed	Determinations Of Descent	Miscel- laneous
District 9						- <u> </u>
Harvey McPherson Total	0 0	0 0 0	0 0	$\frac{1}{0}$	16 <u>32</u> 48	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ \underline{11}\\ 11 \end{array}$
<u>District 10</u>						
Johnson	10	4	14	8	64	74
District 11						
Cherokee Crawford Labette Neosho Wilson Total	2 3 1 1 <u>2</u> 9	0 0 0 0 0	2 3 1 2 9	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 2\\ 0\\ \hline 0\\ \hline 2\end{array}$	44 41 59 25 <u>19</u> 188	80 4 17 0 2 103 103
District 12						
Cloud Jewell Lincoln Mitchell Republic Washington Total	1 0 2 1 1 <u>0</u> 5		$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -0 \\ 5 \end{array} $	0 2 0 1 1 2 4	30 9 6 13 14 <u>12</u> 84	12 2 0 0 0 0
District 13						
Butler Chautauqua Elk Greenwood Total	$ \begin{array}{r} 1\\ 0\\ 1\\ -\frac{2}{4} \end{array} $	0 0 0 0	$1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ - 2 \\ - 4$	0 C 1 <u>0</u> 1	44 10 11 <u>11</u> 76	8 13 <u>10</u> 32
District 14						
Montgomery	4	0	4	2	69	62
District 15						
Graham Rooks Sheridan Sherman Thomas Total	0 1 2 <u>3</u> 7	0 0 4 0 <u>4</u> 8	0 1 5 2 -7 15	0 4 0 4 -7 15	9 9 17 11 <u>26</u> 72	0 22 0 11 10 43

3

160

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

		TRI				
	Created By Will	Created _Other	Total Opened	Total Closed	Determinations Of Descent	Miscel-
District 16					<u>or bescent</u>	laneous
Clark Comanche Ford Gray Kiowa Meade Total	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 0\\ 3\\ 2\\ -4\\ 12 \end{array} $	0 36 0 0 <u>0</u> 36	$1 \\ 0 \\ 39 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 48$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 31 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ - 34 \end{array} $	2 22 11 5 - <u>2</u> 44	24 6 3 20 0 <u>5</u> 58
District 17						
Cheyenne Decatur Norton Osborne Phillips Rawlins Smith Total	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ - \\ - \\ 4 \end{array} $		$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 2\\ 0\\ 2\\ 0\\ -0\\ -4 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ - 0 \\ - 2 \end{array} $	13 10 0 10 17 7 <u>12</u> 69	$2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 8 \\ 12 \\ -5 \\ -27$
District 18						
Sedgwick	38	1	39	13	141	34
District 19						
Barber Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total	$4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ -5 \\ 12$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -0 \\ 3 \end{array} $	4 1 2 1 2 <u>5</u> 15	1 4 1 0 1 <u>0</u> 7	8 9 11 11 0 <u>27</u> 66	4 0 18 19 36 <u>27</u> 104
District 20						
Barton Ellsworth Rice Russell Stafford Total District 21	9 1 1 	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - 0 \\ - 1 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \\ 15 \end{array} $	3 0 1 0 <u>1</u> 5	20 12 17 17 <u>18</u> 84	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 14 \\ 2 \\ 7 \\ \underline{14} \\ 38 \\ 38 \end{array} $
	,	_				
Clay Riley Total	4 6	$\frac{1}{0}$	5 <u>2</u> 7	$\frac{0}{2}$	8 <u>11</u> 19	0 -2 2

-

OTHER PROBATE MATTERS, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

		TR				
	Created By Will	Created Other	Total Opened	Total <u>Closed</u>	Determinations Of Descent	Miscel- laneous
District 22						
Brown Doniphan Marshall Nemaha Total	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 1\\ 0\\ -2\\ -4 \end{array} $	0 0 1 <u>0</u> 1	1 1 	0 3 1 -1 5	23 20 27 <u>10</u> 80	
District 23						
Ellis Gove Logan Trego Wallace Total	3 0 0 <u>6</u> 9	0 0 0 7 7 7	3 0 0 <u>13</u> 16	0 0 0 	20 3 7 7 -4 41	31 19 0 2 <u>2</u> 54
District 24						
Edwards Hodgeman Lane Ness Pawnee Rush Tocal	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 1\\ 0\\ 0\\ 1\\ \underline{}\\ \underline{}\\ 4 \end{array}$	0 0 1 0 0 <u>0</u> 1	0 1 1 0 .1 <u>2</u> 5	0 0 0 0 0 0	8 2 5 19 7 5 46	$26 \\ 0 \\ 21 \\ 1 \\ 23 \\ -1 \\ 72$
District 25						
Finney Greeley Hamilton Kearny Scott Wichita Total	0 1 2 0 0 0 	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ - 0 \\ 2 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \underline{0} \\ \overline{5} \end{array} $	0 0 1 0 	$ 13 \\ 3 \\ 6 \\ 11 \\ 6 \\ -5 \\ -44 $	$\begin{array}{c} 22\\1\\1\\3\\-\underline{0}\\28\end{array}$
District 26						
Grant Haskell Morton Seward Stanton Stevens Total	1 9 0 0 	0 0 17 0 0 <u>0</u> 17	1 26 0 0 <u>0</u> 28	0 0 10 0 0 0 10	4 3 57 0 <u>10</u> 78	$ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 18 \\ 18 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ - 4 \\ - 52 \\ \end{array} $
District 27						
Reno	12	0	12	9	74	24

161

.

OTHER PROBATE MATTERS, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978 BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

TRUSTS								
District 28	Created By Will	Created Other	Total Opened	Total Closed	Determinations Of Descent	Miscel- laneous		
Ottawa Saline Total	2 6	0 2 2	2 6 8	0 6 6	$\frac{11}{44}$	$\begin{array}{r}10\\-2\\12\end{array}$		
District 29								
Wyandotte	6	0	6	0	130	25		
STATE TOTAL	276	108	384	179	2,055	1,202		

į

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

	Informal Juvenile Cases Filed	Small Claims Cases Filed	Adoption Proceedings Begun	Mental Illness Treatment Proceedings	Alcoholism Treatment Proceedings
District 1			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Atchison Leavenworth Total	193 <u>57</u> 250	158 <u>191</u> 349	18 54 72	$\begin{array}{r} 13\\ \underline{31}\\ \underline{44} \end{array}$	24 _10 _34
District 2					
Jackson Jefferson Pottawatomie Wabaunsee Total	16 9 6 <u>0</u> 31	99 57 103 <u>16</u> 275	5 17 13 <u>8</u> 43	5 4 0 <u>3</u> 12	20 2 0 -21 43
District 3			، مر :		
Shawnee	1,974	619	107	168	31
District 4					
Allen Anderson Coffey Franklin Osage Woodson Total	$ \begin{array}{r} 26 \\ 102 \\ 56 \\ 155 \\ 116 \\ \underline{1} \\ 456 \\ \end{array} $	143 59 32 187 31 <u>39</u> 491	15 6 2 19 8 $-\frac{2}{52}$	0 3 5 12 4 $-\frac{2}{26}$	31 1 4 17 <u>0</u> 54
District 5					
Chase Lyon Total	2 <u>627</u> 629	14 <u>142</u> 156	2 <u>32</u> 34	0 	$\frac{0}{2}$
District 6				·	
Bourbon Linn Mi <i>a</i> mi Total	119 3 52 174	127 66 <u>72</u> 265	9 2 7 18	5 2 <u>67</u> 74	7 2 <u>30</u> 39
District 7					
Douglas	248	357	45	14	0
District 8					•
Dickinson Geary Marion Morris Total	22 125 15 <u>3</u> 165	192 171 58 82 503	20 57 15 <u>4</u> 96	20 10 2 $-\frac{1}{33}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 11\\0\\1\\-4\\-16\end{array} $

...

MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1978

164

.

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

	Informal Juvenile Cases Filed	Small Claims Cases Filed	Adoption Proceedings Begun	Mental Illness Treatment Proceedings	Alcoholism Treatment Proceedings
District 9				1948 B	
Harvey McPherson Total	85 <u>69</u> 154	81 <u>17</u> 98	28 <u>12</u> 40	27 <u>5</u> 32	10 <u>11</u> 21
District 10					
Johnson	4,111	738	217	39	16
District 11					
Cherokee Crawford Labette Neosho Wilson Total	108 40 65 37 <u>0</u> 250	141 293 154 95 <u>68</u> 751	20 15 24 15 <u>13</u> 87	13 20 20 3 5 61	2 6 12 0 <u>3</u> 23
District 12			÷		
Cloud Jewell Lincoln Mitchell Republic Washington Total	69 0 29 23 0 123	76 30 26 31 40 <u>36</u> 239	$ \begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 6 \\ 3 \\ - 4 \\ - 25 \\ \end{array} $	5 0 1 2 <u>3</u> 11	9 0 3 1 <u>3</u> 16
District 13					
Butler Chautauqua Elk Greenwood Total	324 65 2 <u>0</u> 391	95 33 23 79 230	48 3 0 <u>9</u> 60	15 3 1 <u>6</u> 25	5 2 1 <u>4</u> 12
District 14					
Montgomery	237	255	39	14	1
District 15					
Graham Rooks Sheridan Sherman Thomas Total	0 27 12 108 <u>46</u> 193	22 59 4 28 49 162	5 2 4 7 <u>4</u> 22	0 7 0 1 <u>2</u> 10	2 6 0 7 <u>2</u> 17

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

	Informal Juvenile Cases Filed	Small Claims Cases Filed	Adoption Proceedings Begun	Mental Illness Treatment Proceedings	Alcoholism Treatment Proceedings
District 16				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>an a far an an an an an an an Astron</u>
Clark Comanche Ford Gray Kiowa Meade Total	$ \begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 0 \\ 123 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ \underline{12} \\ 146 \\ 146 \\ \end{array} $	9 11 85 20 8 <u>59</u> 192	7 2 40 5 5 <u>1</u> 60	0 0 14 1 1 <u>0</u> 16	1 0 5 0 4 <u>0</u> 10
District 17					
Cheyenne Decatur Norton Osborne Phillips Rawlins Smith Total	$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 11 \\ 34 \\ 21 \\ 12 \\ 34 \\ 0 \\ 116 \end{array} $	10 20 85 37 50 30 <u>21</u> 253	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ -2 \\ 21 \end{array} $	0 1 6 7 0 4 <u>7</u> 25	0 0 8 2 1 2 0 13
District 18					
Sedgwick	35	1,485	333	141	34
District 19					
Barber Cowley Harper Kingman Pratt Sumner Total	$ \begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 48 \\ 18 \\ 126 \\ 186 \\ \underline{0} \\ 380 \\ \end{array} $	49 114 54 79 56 <u>118</u> 470	$ \begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 24 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 14 \\ \underline{21} \\ 83 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 21 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 9 \\ \underline{2} \\ 41 \end{array} $	2 4 1 6 7 <u>0</u> 20
District 20					
Barton Ellsworth Rice Russell Stafford Total	3 0 57 <u>13</u> 73	154 34 56 121 <u>29</u> 394	29 4 3 <u>4</u> 44	$ \begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ -1 \\ 21 \end{array} $	13 0 3 3 <u>2</u> 21
District 21					
Clay Riley Total	18 94 112	41 <u>252</u> 293	3 <u>34</u> 37	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{0}{2}$

्य

1.

166

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT

	Informal Juvenile Cases Filed	Small Claims Cases Filed	Adoption Proceedings Begun	Mental Illness Treatment Proceedings	Alcoholism Treatment Proceedings
District 22					<u></u>
Brown Doniphan Marshall Nemaha Total	14 0 0 <u>26</u> 40	127 64 58 48 297	15 13 9 4 41	4 3 5 7 19	5 1 <u>20</u> 27
District 23					
Ellis Gove Logan Trego Wallace Total	$ \begin{array}{r} 403 \\ 11 \\ 4 \\ 13 \\ \underline{2} \\ 433 \end{array} $	$212 \\ 2 \\ 18 \\ 33 \\ 21 \\ 286$	12 2 5 2 <u>1</u> 22	25 2 2 1 $-\frac{1}{31}$	14 1 2 0 <u>1</u> 18
District 24					
Edwards Hodgeman Lane Ness Pawnee Rush Total	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 0 \\ 13 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ \underline{11} \\ 30 \\ \end{array} $	$26 \\ 11 \\ 4 \\ 35 \\ 56 \\ -12 \\ 144$	4 1 3 4 1 <u>1</u> 14	$ \begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 129 \\ \underline{3} \\ 136 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 0 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ 81 \\ -2 \\ 90 \end{array} $
District 25					
Finney Greeley Hamilton Kearny Scott Wichita Total	31 3 6 7 <u>4</u> 59	$ \begin{array}{r} 101 \\ 12 \\ 6 \\ 42 \\ 81 \\ \underline{12} \\ \underline{254} \end{array} $	26 2 1 2 12 2 45	11 1 0 1 1 <u>3</u> 17	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\ 0\\ 2\\ 0\\ 1\\ \underline{}\\ -\underline{}\\ 6\end{array} $
District 26					
Grant Haskell Morton Seward Stanton Stevens Total	$2 \\ 4 \\ 8 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - 0 \\ - 14$	44 21 115 13 <u>23</u> 237	4 8 1 19 1 	0 0 1 8 1 <u>-2</u> 12	0 1 4 5 3 - <u>4</u> 17
District 27					
Reno	165	499	64	38	25

BY COUNTY, BY DISTRICT


District 28	Informal Juvenile Cases Filed	Small Claims Cases Filed	Adoption Proceedings Begun	Mental Illness Treatment Proceedings	Alcoholism Treatment Proceedings
Ottawa Saline Total	$\frac{16}{180}$	46 252 298	8 _ <u>50</u> _58	2 <u>-38</u> 40	$\frac{1}{61}$
District 29					
Wyandotte	2,265	80	154	107	40
STATE TOTAL	13,434	10,670	1,973	1,219	710

• . .

JUDGES

OF THE

KANSAS COURTS



THE SUPREME COURT

Honorable Alfred G. Schroeder, Chief Justice Honorable Alex M. Fromme Honorable Perry L. Owsley Honorable David Prager Honorable Robert H. Miller Honorable Richard W. Holmes Honorable Kay McFarland

THE COURT OF APPEALS

Honorable J. Richard Foth, Chief Judge Honorable Bobby Lee Abbott Honorable John E. Rees Honorable Corwin C. Spencer Honorable Sherman A. Parks Honorable Joe H. Swinehart Honorable Marvin W. Meyer

Judicial Department No. 1

Honorable Kay McFarland, Departmental Justice

District Judges

12th Judicial District

(Cloud, Jewell, Lincoln, Mitchell, Republic, Washington)
District Judge:

Hon. Richard W. Wahl, Lincoln

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Marvin L. Stortz, Concordia
Pos. 2 - Hon. Jack D. Bradrick, Mankato
Pos. 3 - Hon. Richard S. Fern, Sr., Beverly
Pos. 4 - Hon. Opal Giddings, Beloit
Pos. 5 - Hon. William E. Thompson, Belleville
Pos. 6 - Hon. Steve Kaminski, Washington

15th Judicial District

(Graham, Rooks, Sheridan, Sherman, Thomas)

District Judge:

Hon. Keith R. Willoughby, Colby

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Pauline Coker, Hill City Pos. 2 - Hon. Gerald Johnston, Stockton Pos. 3 - Hon. Ward Gilliland, Hoxie Pos. 4 - Hon. Adele Konkel, Goodland Pos. 5 - Hon. Nellie L. Blakely, Colby

(Cheyenne, Decatur, Norton, Osborne, Phillips, Rawlins, Smith)

District Judge:

Hon. Charles E. Worden, Norton

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Frederick J. Hammers, St. Francis
Pos. 2 - Hon. Elmer J. Tacha, Oberlin
Pos. 3 - Hon. Wilda June Brown, Norton
Pos. 4 - Hon. Shirley Henderson, Osborne
Pos. 5 - Hon. Martha Kellogg, Phillipsburg
Pos. 6 - Hon. Dorothy R. Reinert, Atwood
Pos. 7 - Hon. Fred Mizer, Smith Center

23rd Judicial District

(Ellis, Gove, Logan, Trego, Wallace)

District Judge:

Hon. Steven P. Flood, Hays

Associate District Judge:

Hon. Tom Scott, Ellis

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Vaudie Schaible, Gove
Pos. 2 - Hon. Annabell M. Peck, Oakley
Pos. 3 - Hon. David L. Rhoades, WaKeeney
Pos. 4 - Hon. Logan Dobbs, Sharon Springs

28th Judicial District

(Ottawa, Saline)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. Morris V. Hoobler, Salina Div. 2 - Hon. Raymond E. Haggart, Salina

Associate District Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Gene B. Penland, Salina Pos. 2 - Hon. John Weckel, Salina

District Magistrate Judge:

Hon. Adrian Lapka, Minneapolis

Honorable Alex M. Fromme, Departmental Justice

District Judges

2nd Judicial District

(Jackson, Jefferson, Pottawatomie, Wabaunsee)

District Judge:

Hon. John W. Brookens, Westmoreland

Associate District Judge:

Hon. Tracy Klinginsmith, Holton

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 2 - Hon. Dennis Lee Reiling, Oskaloosa Pos. 3 - Hon. James J. Zeller, Westmoreland Pos. 4 - Hon. Verle L. Swenson, Alta Vista

3rd Judicial District

(Shawnee)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. William R. Carpenter, Topeka Div. 2 - Hon. Michael A. Barbara, Topeka Div. 3 - Hon. E. Newton Vickers, Topeka Div. 4 - Hon. Adrian J. Allen, Topeka Div. 5 - Hon. James M. Macnish, Topeka Div. 6 - Hon. Terry L. Bullock, Topeka

Associate District Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Franklin R. Theis, Berryton
Pos. 2 - Hon. James H. Hope, Topeka
Pos. 3 - Hon. Mary Schowengerdt, Topeka
Pos. 4 - Hon. Bill G. Honeyman, Topeka
Pos. 5 - Hon. Matthew J. Dowd, Topeka

(Dickinson, Geary, Marion, Morris)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. John M. Rugh, Abilene Div. 2 - Hon. William D. Clement, Junction City

Associate District Judge:

Pos. 1 - Hon. George F. Scott, Junction City Pos. 2 - Hon. Edwin G. Westerhaus, Marion

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Tom Nold, Abilene Pos. 3 - Hon. Clarence L. Sawyer, Council Grove

21st Judicial District

(Riley, Clay)

District Judge:

Hon. Ronald D. Innes, Manhattan

Associate District Judge:

Hon. Jerry L. Mershon, Manhattan

District Magistrate Judge:

Hon. Chester W. Kent, Clay Center

Honorable Richard W. Holmes, Departmental Justice

District Judges

1st Judicial District

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. Kenneth Harmon, Leavenworth Div. 2 - Hon. James W. Lowry, Atchison

Associate District Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Frederick N. Stewart, Leavenworth Pos. 2 - Hon. John L. White, Leavenworth

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Richard A. Dempster, Atchison Pos. 2 - Hon. Dolan McKelvy, Atchison

4th Judicial District

(Allen, Anderson, Coffey, Franklin, Osage, Woodson)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. Floyd H. Coffman, Ottawa Div. 2 - Hon. Robert F. Stadler, Iola

Associate District Judge:

Hon. Donald L. White, Ottawa

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. George Gerald Levans, Iola
Pos. 2 - Hon. Lawrence M. Selanders, Garnett
Pos. 3 - Hon. Orville E. Steele, Burlington
Pos. 4 - Hon. Larry L. Coursen, Overbrook
Pos. 5 - Hon. Elmer E. Light, Yates Center

(Douglas)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. Frank R. Gray, Lawrence Div. 2 - Hon. James W. Paddock, Lawrence

Associate District Judge:

Hon. John Mike Elwell, Lawrence

22nd Judicial District

(Doniphan, Brown, Nemaha, Marshall)

District Judge:

Hon. William L. Stevenson, Hiawatha

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Michael K. Schmitt, Hiawatha Pos. 2 - Hon. Virgil W. Begesse, Troy Pos. 3 - Hon. Maxine Cumro, Marysville Pos. 4 - Hon. Francis G. Holthaus, Seneca

29th Judicial District

(Wyandotte)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. O. Q. Claflin, III, Kansas City
Div. 2 - Hon. William M. Cook, Kansas City
Div. 3 - Hon. Harry G. Miller, Jr., Kansas City
Div. 4 - Hon. J. W. Mahoney, Kansas City
Div. 5 - Hon. Leo J. Moroney, Kansas City
Div. 6 - Hon. Cordell D. Meeks, Kansas City
Div. 7 - Hon. Wayne H. Phillips, Kansas City

Associate District Judges:

Pos. 1	L	Hon.	James J. Lysaught, Kansas City
Pos. 2	2 -	Hon.	David Mikesic, Kansas City
Pos. 3	3 -	Hon.	Tudor Nellor, Kansas City
Pos. 4	1 -	Hon.	Francis J. Donnelly, Kansas City
Pos. 5	5 -	Hon.	Dean J. Smith, Kansas City
Pos. 6	5 -	Hon.	Lawrence G. Zukel, Kansas City
Pos. 7	7 –	Hon.	Robert J. Foster, Kansas City

Honorable David Prager, Departmental Justice

District Judges

6th Judicial District

(Bourbon, Linn, Miami)

District Judge:

Hon. Charles M. Warren, Fort Scott

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Samuel I. Mason, Fort Scott Pos. 2 - Hon. Deward Austin, Mound City Pos. 3 - Hon. Brooks Hinkle, Paola

10th Judicial District

(Johnson)

District Judges:

Div.	1	-	Hon.	Herbert W. Walton, Olathe
Div.	2	-	Hon.	Harold L. Hammond, Olathe
Div.	3		Hon.	Buford L. Shankel, Olathe
Div.	4	-	Hon.	Harold R. Riggs, Olathe
Div.	5	-	Hon.	Phillip L. Woodworth, Olathe
				Lewis C. Smith, Olathe

Associate District Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Charles A. Elliott, Olathe Pos. 2 - Hon. Earle D. Jones, Olathe Pos. 3 - Hon. Keith L. Stanley, Prairie Village Pos. 4 - Hon. Sam K. Bruner, Olathe Pos. 5 - Hon. Bill E. Haynes, Olathe Pos. 6 - Hon. Robert G. Jones, Overland Park Pos. 7 - Hon. Janette Howard, Overland Park Pos. 8 - Hon. Gerald L. Hougland, Olathe

(Cherokee, Crawford, Labette, Neosho, Wilson)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. Don Musser, Pittsburg Div. 2 - Hon. William P. Meek, Columbus Div. 3 - Hon. Charles J. Sell, Parsons Div. 4 - Hon. George W. Donaldson, Chanute

Associate District Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Richard D. Loffswold, Girard Pos. 2 - Hon. John C. Gariglietti, Pittsburg Pos. 3 - Hon. Daniel L. Brewster, Parsons Pos. 4 - Hon. Richard L. Ashley, Chanute

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. B. J. LaTurner, Galena Pos. 2 - Hon. Dwaine Spoon, Fredonia

14th Judicial District

(Montgomery)

District Judge:

Hon. David Scott, Independence

Associate District Judges:

*			
Pos. 1 - Hon	. Raymond	Belt, Cof:	feyville
Pos. 2 - Hon	. Kenneth	D. David,	Independence
Pos. 3 - Hon	. Bruce E	. Borders,	Independence

Honorable Robert H. Miller, Departmental Justice

District Judges

5th Judicial District

(Chase, Lyon)

District Judge:

, S.

Hon. R. E. Miller, Emporia

Associate District Judge:

Hon. William J. Dick, Emporia

District Magistrate Judge:

Hon. Francis D. Towle, Cottonwood Falls

9th Judicial District

(Harvey, McPherson)

District Judge:

Hon. Sam H. Sturm, Newton

Associate District Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. John C. Yoder, Hesston Pos. 2 - Hon. Carl B. Anderson, Jr., Lindsborg

13th Judicial District

(Butler, Chautauqua, Elk, Greenwood)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. J. Patrick Brazil, Eureka Div. 2 - Hon. Page W. Benson, El Dorado

Associate District Judge:

Hon. John M. Jaworsky, El Dorado

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Roy Jean Bennitt, Sedan Pos. 2 - Hon. Darlene P. Royse, Howard Pos. 3 - Hon. Harriet Shumard, Eureka

(Sedgwick)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. Willis W. Wall, Wichita Div. 2 - Hon. Howard C. Kline, Wichita Div. 3 - Hon. B. Mack Bryant, Wichita Div. 4 - Hon. James V. Riddel, Jr., Wichita Div. 5 - Hon. James J. Noone, Wichita Div. 6 - Hon. D. Keith Anderson, Wichita Div. 7 - Hon. Thomas C. Raum, Jr., Wichita Div. 8 - Hon. Nicholas W. Klein, Wichita Div. 9 - Hon. David P. Calvert, Wichita Div. 10 - Hon. Tyler C. Lockett, Wichita Div. 11 - Hon. Robert L. Morrison, Wichita Div. 12 - Hon. Michael Corrigan, Wichita Div. 13 - Hon. Owen Ballinger, Wichita

Associate District Judges:

Pos. A - Hon. Elliott Fry, Wichita
Pos. B - Hon. George D. McCarthy, Wichita
Pos. C - Hon. Hal Malone, Wichita
Pos. D - Hon. David W. Kennedy, Wichita
Pos. E - Hon. James G. Beasley, Wichita
Pos. F - Hon. Paul L. Thomas, Wichita
Pos. G - Hon. Robert C. Helsel, Wichita
Pos. H - Hon. Ron Rogg, Valley Center
Pos. I - Hon. Ray Hodge, Wichita

19th Judicial District

(Barber, Cowley, Harper, Kingman, Pratt, Sumner)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. Doyle E. White, Arkansas City Div. 2 - Hon. Charles H. Stewart, Kingman

Associate District Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. David S. Lord, Arkansas City
Pos. 2 - Hon. Robert L. Bishop, Winfield
Pos. 3 - Hon. Tom Pringle, Arkansas City
Pos. 4 - Hon. Lloyd K. McDaniel, Wellington
Pos. 5 - Hon. Clarence Renner, Pratt
Pos. 6 - Hon. Thomas H. Graber, Mulvane

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Thomas L. McGuire, Medicine Lodge
Pos. 2 - Hon. John Moore, Anthony
Pos. 3 - Hon. Gene Shay, Kingman
Pos. 4 - Hon. Walter McClauskey, Pratt

Honorable Perry L. Owsley, Departmental Justice

District Judges

16th Judicial District

(Clark, Comanche, Ford, Gray, Kiowa, Meade)

District Judge:

Hon. Robert M. Baker, Ashland

Associate District Judge:

Hon. Jay Don Reynolds, Dodge City

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Glenn E. Redfield, Ashland Pos. 2 - Hon. Ralph R. Klepinger, Coldwater Pos. 3 - Hon. Maurice L. Johnson, Cimarron Pos. 4 - Hon. Pauline Schwarm, Greensburg Pos. 5 - Hon. Clara Shore, Meade

20th Judicial District

(Barton, Ellsworth, Rice, Russell, Stafford)

District Judges:

Div. 1 - Hon. Frederick Woleslagel, Lyons Div. 2 - Hon. Herbert Rohleder, Great Bend

Associate District Judge:

Hon. William J. Laughlin, Great Bend

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Clarence Kahler, Holyrood
Pos. 2 - Hon. Paul W. Cline, Lyons
Pos. 3 - Hon. A. L. Hall, Russell
Pos. 4 - Hon. Lee Nusser, St. John

··· · · · ·

CONTINUED 20F3

(Edwards, Hodgeman, Lane, Ness, Pawnee, Rush)

District Judge:

Hon. C. Phillip Aldrich, Larned

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Richard Miller, Kinsley
Pos. 2 - Hon. Francis Sinclair, Jétmore
Pos. 3 - Hon. Winston Church, Dighton
Pos. 4 - Hon. Valdah M. Bovard, Ness City
Pos. 5 - Hon. Bruce W. Janssen, Larned
Pos. 6 - Hon. Mary Lou Wheeler, LaCrosse

25th Judicial District

(Finney, Greeley, Hamilton, Kearny, Scott, Wichita)

District Judge:

Hon. Bert J. Vance, Garden City

Associate District Judge:

Hon. Harrison Smith, Garden City

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. C. Ann Kennis, Tribune
Pos. 2 - Hon. Donna L. J. Blake, Syracuse
Pos. 3 - Hon. Ella V. Neff, Lakin
Pos. 4 - Hon. Gordon Goering, Scott City
Pos. 5 - Hon. John E. Ley, Leoti

26th Judicial District

(Grant, Haskell, Morton, Seward, Stanton, Stevens)

District Judge:

Hon. Keaton G. Duckworth, Elkhart

Associate District Judge:

Hon. Leroy C. Rose, Liberal

District Magistrate Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Herbert Noyes, Ulysses
Pos. 2 - Hon. David G. Rinehart, Sublette
Pos. 3 - Hon. Shirley A. Davis, Elkhart
Pos. 4 - Hon. Steven C. Seyb, Johnson
Pos. 5 - Hon. Verna Kay McQueen, Hugoton

(Reno)


District Judges:

۰.

Div. 1 - Hon. Porter K. Brown, Hutchinson Div. 2 - Hon. James H. Rexroad, Hutchinson

Associate District Judges:

Pos. 1 - Hon. Richard J. Rome, Hutchinson Pos. 2 - Hon. E. Victor Wilson, Hutchinson



ASSIGNMENTS OF DISTRICT JUDGES

Judicial Department No. 1

Special Assignments

8-31-77	Hon. Richard W. Wahl to Phillips County	l case
9-21-77	Hon. Richard W. Wahl to Saline County	2 cases
9-22-77	Hon. Steven P. Flood to Phillips County	l case
10-19-77	Hon. Steven P. Flood to Rawlins County	l case
11-22-77	Hon. Steven P. Flood to Pawnee County	l case
12-13-77	Hon. Morris V. Hoobler to Lincoln County	l case
1-9-78	Hon. Richard W. Wahl to Thomas County	l case
1-11-78	Hon. Richard W. Wahl to Thomas County	9 cases
1-11-78	Hon. Richard W. Wahl to Sheridan County	2 cases
1-11-78	Hon. Richard W. Wahl to Sherman County	2 cases
1-19-78	Hon. Morris V. Hoobler to Lincoln County	l case
3-23-78	Hon. Keith R. Willoughby to Gove County	l case
6-19-78	Hon. Morris V. Hoobler to Barton County	l case
6-30-78	Hon. Morris V. Hoobler to Rice County	l case

General Assignments

÷

5

5

11-15-77		Morris V. Hoobler to 8th District
11-15-77	Hon.	Raymond E. Haggart to 8th District
11-15-77	Hon.	John Weckel to 8th District
11-15-77	Hon.	Gene B. Penland to 8th District
12-29-77	Hon.	Steven P. Flood to the 17th District
12-29-77	Hon.	Tom Scott to the 17th District
1-30-78	Hon.	Steven P. Flood to 17th District
1-30-78	Hon.	Tom Scott to 17th District
3-1-78	Hon.	Steven P. Flood to 17th District
3-1-78	Hon.	Tom Scott to 17th District
3-1-78	Hon.	Keith R. Willoughby to 17th District
3-30-78	HOn.	Steven Flood to 17th District
3-30-78	Hon.	Keith R. Willoughby to 17th District
3-30-78	Hon.	Steven Flood to 17th District
4-28-78	Hon.	Steven Flood to 17th District
4-28-78	Hon.	Keith R. Willoughby to 17th District
4-28-78	Hon.	Tom Scott to 17th District
6-30-78	Hon.	Steven Flood to 17th District
6-30-78	Hon.	Keith R. Willoughby to 17th District
6-30-78	Hon.	Tom Scott to 17th District

continued

General Assignments Cont'd

6-30-78	Hon.	Charles E. Worden to 15th District
6-30-78	Hon.	Steven Flood to 15th District
6-30-78	Hon.	Tom Scott to 15th District
6-30-78	Hon.	Charles E. Worden to 23rd District
6-30-78	Hon.	Keith R. Willoughby to 23rd District

Assignments to Retired Judges

12-29-77	Hon.	Benedict	P. Cruise to]	17th District
1-10-78	Hon.	Lewis L.	McLaughlin to	Cloud County
1-17-78	Hon.	C. E. Bi	rney to Rooks O	County

1

Special Assignments

8-22-77	Hon.	Ronald D. Innes to Scott County	1	case
11-22-77	Hon.	Floyd H. Coffman to Shawnee County	1	case
11-22-77	Hon.	Michael A. Barbara to Wabaunsee County	1	case
1-4-78	Hon.	John Brookens to Shawnee County	1	case
4-10-78	Hon.	Ronald D. Innes to Shawnee County	1	case
6-19-78	Hon.	Ronald D. Innes to Shawnee County	1	case

General Assignments

3-10-78 Hon. John Brookens to Johnson County

Special Assignments

10-5-77	Hon. Dean J. Smith to Johnson County	l case
10-25-77	Hon. Robert F. Stadler to Bourbon County	2 cases
12-20-77	Hon. Robert F. Stadler to Bourbon County	l case
1-3-78	Hon. Robert F. Stadler to Greenwood County	2 cases
1-6-78	Hon. Floyd H. Coffman to Lyon County	2 cases
11878	Hon. Robert F. Stadler to Bourbon County	l case
2-20-78	Hon. Robert F. Stadler to Neosho County	l case

General Assignments

4-17-78	Hon.	John	L.	White	to	10th	District
4-1/-/8	HON.	John	• با	wnite	τΟ	TOEN	DISTRICT

4-24-78 Hon. Frederick N. Stewart to 10th District

Assignments to Retired Judges

9-6-77	Hon.	Marvin O. Brummett to 22nd District
3-24-78	Hon.	Lewis L. McLaughlin to 22nd District
4-25-78	Hon.	Marvin O. Brummett to Marshall County

p

1

190

Special Assignments

7-14-77	Hon. Richard L. Ashley to Andersor	1 County	l case
7-19-77	Hon. Don H. Musser to Bourbon Cour	ity	l case
11-29-77	Hon. David H. Scott to Labette Cou	inty	l case
1-20-78	Hon. William P. Meek to Wyandotte	County	l case
5-12-78	Hon. Robert F. Stadler to Wilson (County	2 cases

General Assignments

10 C 10

}

1

9-13-77	Hon.	William P. Meek to 10th District
9-16-77	Hon.	William P. Meek to 10th District
2-1-78	Hon.	William P. Meek to Johnson County
4-24-78	Hon.	Richard L. Ashley to 10th District
5-1-78	Hon.	Daniel L. Brewster to 10th District
5-22-78	Hon.	Charles J. Sell to 10th District
5-29-78	Hon.	John C. Gariglietti to 10th District
6-5-78	Hon.	Don H. Musser to 10th District
6-12-78	Hon.	Richard D. Loffswold to 10th District
6-19-78	Hon.	George W. Donaldson to 10th District
6-26-78	Hon.	William P. Meek to 10th District

Assignments to Retired Judges

4-3-78 Hon. Marvin O. Brummett to Johnson County

Special Assignments

7-5-77	Hon. J. Patrick Brazil to Lyon County	l case
8-15-77	Hon. J. Patrick Brazil to Lyon County	l case
8-22-77	Hon. J. Patrick Brazil to Lyon County	l case
9-6-77	Hon. Charles H. Stewart to Sedgwick County	l case
10-18-77	Hon. Clarence E. Renner to Sedgwick County	l case
11-8-77	Hon. Doyle E. White to Sedgwick County	l case
1-3-78	Hon. James J. Noone to Harvey County	l case
4-3-78	Hon. Page W. Benson to Lyon County	l case
5-8-78	Hon. Charles H. Stewart to Reno County	l case
6-7-78	Hon. Robert L. Bishop to Sedgwick County	l case
6-26-78	Hon. J. Patrick Brazil to Lyon County	l case

4

3

Assignments to Retired Judges

8-15-78	Hon.	John	F.	Fontron	to	Kingman	County
5-8-78	Hon.	John	F.	Fontron	to	Butler	County

Special Assignments

8-8-77	Hon. Herbert Rohleder to Pawnee County	1	case
8-9-77	Hon. Herbert Rohleder to Saline County	1	case
9-7-77	Hon. Herbert Rohleder to Pawnee County	1	case
10-25-77	Hon. C. Phillip Aldrich to Meade County	1	case
1-19-78	Hon. C. Phillip Aldrich to Thomas County	12	cases
1-19-78	Hon. Herbert Rohleder to Thomas County	7	cases
1-30-78	Hon. Herbert Rohleder to Thomas County	1	case
2-20-78	Hon. C. Phillip Aldrich to Barton County	1	case
2-22-78	Hon. Keaton G. Duckworth to Pawnee County	2	cases
2-28-78	Hon. Keaton G. Duckworth to Pawnee County	l	case
4-26-78	Hon. C. Phillip Aldrich to Thomas County	1	case
5-2-78	Hon. Keaton G. Duckworth to Pawnee County	2	cases
5-8-78	Hon. C. Phillip Aldrich to Thomas County	l	case
5-22-78	Hon. Jay Don Reynolds to Hodgeman County	l	case
5-23-78	Hon. C. Phillip Aldrich to Sheridan County	1	case
6-5-78	Hon, C. Phillip Aldrich to Sedgwick County	1	case
6-13-78	Hon. Herbert Rohleder to Osborne County	1	case

General Assignments

1-3-78	Hon.	Keaton G.	Duckwor	th to	25th District
1-3-78	Hon.	Bert J. V	ance to	26th	District
6-20-78	Hon.	Bert J. V	ance to	16th	District

Assignments to Retired Judges

7-25-77	Hon.	John F. Fontron to 27th District
1-3-78	Hon.	L. L. Morgan to 25th District
1-3-78	Hon.	L. L. Morgan to 26th District
2-6-78	Hon.	John F. Fontron to 27th District
6-7-78	Hon.	John F. Fontron to 27th District

. *****

