IN

NEW MEXICO

TO LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES,

RAPE CRISIS CENTERS

AND

COURT DISPOSITIONS OF CASES

1977

BY

Jane Foraker-Thompson
Chief Planner
Planning and Research Division
New Mexico State Police Department

Revised, January 1978

**3000** 

Currently: Project Manager
New Mexico Restitution Project
Criminal Justice Department
113 Washington Avenue
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
(505) 827-5222

## REPORTING OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN NEW MEXICO TO LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES, RAPE CRISIS CENTERS AND COURT DISPOSITIONS OF CASES

1977

NCJRS

Ъу

JUN 1 8 1979

Jane Foraker-Thompson
Chief Planner
Planning and Research Division
New Mexico State Police Department

**ACQUISITIONS** 

Revised, January 1978

#### REPORTING OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN NEW MEXICO

The F.B.I. statistics for 1976 indicated that the rate of reported rape nationally was 26.4 per 100,000 population. In New Mexico during 1976 it was 40.5 per 100,000. In Bernalillo County it was 67.4 per 100,000 and in Santa Fe County it was 70.9 per 100,000 during 1976.

It must be emphasized that these are figures for <u>reported</u> rapes. Reporting goes up with the existence of a Rape Crisis Center in a community, improved public awareness and education of the nature of the crime, sensitive handling of the victim by law enforcement officers, hospital staff, improved prosecution by district attorneys, etc. In other words, these horrendous figures are undoubtedly an indication of improved attention and handling of the victims of the crime, collection of evidence, and a general change in social attitudes as reflected by juries and judges.

In the counties mentioned there are well established Rape Crisis Centers that have established working relations with local law enforcement agencies, hospitals, the district attorney's office and various other human service agencies. Rape Crisis Counselors also act as client advocates to see them through the criminal justice system, especially the necessary interviews by police detectives and the usually grueling trial process. This protection and support of the victim encourages reporting and cooperation with the criminal justice agencies.

These established Rape Crisis Centers, once they have gained the confidence of the women in the community, find that eventually women come forward to seek counseling about rape that occurred months, and even years ago. This shows that women are still ashamed or afraid to report the fact that they have been victims of rape. They often are most afraid of the reaction of their husbands, boyfriends or immediate family. It is encouraging that they are deciding to seek out the help they need to work their fear, anger, and false sense of guilt that society used to impose on victims.

However, the more help a victim is given, the greater the reporting. The crime of rape has been around a long time. It usually occurs in more densely populated areas and in cultures where there is less respect for women as human beings. However, if the rate of rape by counties throughout New Mexico is studied, it can be seen that the rate of reporting has gone up considerably in every county that has a Rape Crisis Center, or a Mental Health facility or some other general crisis intervention facility that also deals with rape victims. This does not mean that the number of rapes has increased, but only that the rate of reporting it is closer to the actual occurrence of the crime.

The F.B.I. estimates that nationally, only one tenth of the rapes committed are reported. Dr. Joanne Sterling, Assistant Director of the Bernalillo County Mental Health Center and a member of the national Rape Prevention and Control Advisory Committee, sponsored by the Department of Health, Education and Welfare, reports that New Mexico has some of the best treatment for rape victims (centered around the Rape Crisis Centers) in the nation. Therefore, our reporting rate, instead of being only 10% of the rapes that actually occur, is probably closer to 70-80% of those that actually occur; perhaps even higher in those cities where intervention on behalf of the victim is especially strong. These statistics, though disturbing, indicate a more honest and accurate count and recognition of the problem than in many states.

In New Mexico is the added benefit of the rape evidence collection kits that are used by the doctors at the hospitals to collect the evidence from the victim. This is in addition to what police officers collect from the scene of the crime. In recent months the State Police Crime Laboratory has received more cases with all the standards of evidence necessary for analysis sufficient to give to the district attorney to make a solid case. This was not true in the past. This improved collection of evidence by law enforcement officers and the hospital can be attributed to improved training and cooperation between agencies.

This brings out another benefit, but of no less significance, produced by the presence of a Rape Crisis Center in a community. The Center serves as a vehicle to educate the public about the nature of the crime and the physical and emotional trauma that a victim suffers. It helps to change social attitudes, which in turn allows the services to develop that are needed. It also serves as a vehicle to develop inter-agency cooperation and understanding among all those that deal with a rape victim—law enforcement, hospital emergency room personnel, the State Police Crime Laboratory that analyzes the evidence, district attorney's office, and in some cases, other human service agencies as well.

Therefore, even though the statistics of <u>reported</u> rape in New Mexico are way above the national rate, it is also true that the rate of reporting is way above the national average. New Mexico has accomplished two important objectives: 1) Victims in key cities receive excellent intervention, which includes counseling, medical treatment, and support in the process of assisting the district attorney's office with prosecution; 2) With increased reporting, community support and awareness, the publicity seems to have started a deterrent effect. Even with a high rate of reporting that is close to the actual occurrence, the rate of reported rape in New Mexico seems to be taking a dip in 1977 for the first time. This is a result of concerted community education and inter-agency cooperation, with the Rape Crisis Centers acting as the hub of the wheel of effort that began in New Mexico in 1972-1973. Five years later we are beginning to see the results of these efforts.

1977 is the first year that we have statewide reporting from the Rape Crisis Centers and other human service agencies that also offer assistance to rape victims. This reporting is probably not complete but it gives us a basis to begin to better analyze the extent of the need so that we may begin to plan services to assist victims return to normal, healthy lives.

The last appendixes on Origin of Case, Disposition, and Type of Sentence also exhibit a first for the State of New Mexico. This information was provided by the Office of the Court Administrator. It is the first time we have had statewide reporting on court dispositions on sexual assault crimes.

Due to a shortage of time, and because we wanted to make this information available to the second session of the Thirty-Third Legislature (1978), no analysis of the court reported information has been included in this paper. However, the information is there for people to work with themselves. It at least describes what situation exists in each judicial district.

The court information does not include all the cases that are brought to each District Attorney's Office. It is the responsibility of the District Attorney's Office to decide whether there is sufficient evidence to bring a case to trial. Sometimes a victim will decide not to prosecute before the case is ever filed. Sometimes a victim will wish to prosecute but the alleged offender can not be found or identified. In summary, the district attorneys work with many cases on a preliminary basis that never get to court. We hope that by next year we will also have a statewide report on the workload of district attorney's offices that involve sexual assault, as well as all other crimes.

#### RATE OF REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULT IN NEW MEXICO

	<del></del>				<u> </u>	
City and County Tab	oles 1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976
Population	329,300.0	339,500.0	354,400.0	258 200 0	365,200.0	376 400 O
Bernalillo County	36.0	55.0	37.0	26.0	38.0	376,600.0 62.0
Jniversity of New N		0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	3.0
Albuquerque (city)	103.0	154.0	169.0	183.0	181.0	186.0
tate Police	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0
County Total	139.0	209.0	206.0	209.0	220.0	254.0
Rate per 100,000	population 42.2	61.6	58.1	58.3	60.2	67.4
N ) :	2.200.0	2,200.0	2,200.0	2,500.0	2,100.0	2.100.0
Population Satron County	1.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rate per 100,000	45 G	0.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
221 per 100,000	populacion				<del></del>	······································
Population	45,500.0		-46,400.0	46,500.0	48,200.0	49,400.0
haves County	5.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0
Roswell (city) County Total	6.0 11.0	4.0	3.0	1.0	1.0	2.0
Race per 100,000		4.0 8.6	5.0 10.8	3.0 6.5	1.0 2.1	4.0
	population 24.2		10.0	6.7	4.1	8.1
opulation	12,100.0	12,100.0	12,400.0	12,800.0	12,900.0	13,000.0
Colfax County	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
laton (city)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	1.0
ipringer (city) State Police	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
County Total	0.0	3.0	0.0	1.0	5.0	<del>2.0</del> 4.0
Rate per 100,000		24.8	0.0	7.8	38.7	30.8
	F-5				2017	
Population '	41,500.0	40,900.0	42,800.0	42,800.0	43,200.0	44,700.0
Curry County	0.0	0.0	3.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Clovis (city) County Total	8.0	4.0	8.0 11.0	17.0	10.0	10.0
Rate per 100,000		9.8	25.7	42.1	23.1	10.0 22.4
Population	2,500.0	2,500.0.			2,600.0	2,500.0
De Baca County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Population	71,300.0	73,600.0	74,500.0	77,500.0	80,000.0	82,000.0
Dona Ana County	6-0	9.0	5.0		8.0	9.0
Las Cruces (city)	4.0	8.0	,9.0	11.0	10.0	8.0
fezilla	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
New Mexico State Un	niversity 1.0	0.0	2.0		2.0	0.0
County Total Rate per 100,000	population 15.4	17.0 23.1	16.0 21.5	19.0 24.5	21.0 26.3	18.0 22.0
Population	40,900.0	40,900.0		41,500.0	42,400.0	43,000.0
ddy County		3.0				
Artesia (city)	0.0	0.0	0.0		1.0	6.0
Carlsbad (city)	1.0	2.0	11.0		2.0	1.0
County Total Rate per 100,000		5.0 12.2	29.1	9.0 21.7	4.0 9.4	9.0 20.9
			···			
Population	22,500.0	22,600.0	23,800.0		24,600.0	23,700.0
Grant County	1.0	0.0	1.0		2.0	2.0
Sayard (city) Silver City (city)	, 0.0 1.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0 1.0
County Total	2.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	4.0	4.0
Rate per 100,000		0.0	4.3		16.3	16.9
		/ nan c	/ 700 0	/ goo o	A 000 C	8 100 O
Population Guadalupe County	4,900.0 0.0	4,900.0 1.0	4,700.0 1.0	4,900.0	4,900.0	5,100.0
Senta Rosa (city)	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
County Total	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Bate per 100,000		20.4	21.3	20.4	0.0	0.0
par 200,000			1,300.0	1,200.0	1,200.0	1,300.0
	1 100 0	י מחת ד	1.300.0	1,400.U	1,400.U	•
Populacion	1,300.0	1,300.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Population Harding County	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Population Harding County Population					5,700.0 2.0	5,300.0
Population Harding County Population Hidalgo County	4,600.0	4,600.0	4,700.0	5,400.0	5,700.0	5,300.0
Population Harding County Population Hidalgo County Lordsburg (city) County Total	4,600.0 0.0	4,600.0 0.0	4,700.0 0.0	5,400.0	5,700.0	5,300.0

<del></del> ,	w.c				APPENDIX A, page 2		
City and County Tot	nls 1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	
Populacion	49,600.0	49,400.0	48,800.0	49,800.0	51,200.0	53,000.0	
Les County	3.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	6.0	4.0	
Eunice (city)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	
Hobba (city)	3.0	0.0	10.0	7.0	4.0	8.0	
Jal (city) Lovington (city)	0.0	0.0	1.0 0.0	0.0	0.0 3.0	0.0	
County Total	6.0	4.0	13.0	11.0	13.0	13.0	
Rate per 100,000		8.1	26.6	22.1	25.4	24.5	
Population	7,800.0	8,000.0	8,400.0	9,300.0	9,500.0	9,200.0	
Lincoln County	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	
Ruidoso (city)	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
County Total	0.0	5.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	
Race per 100,000	population 0.0	62.5	0.0	21.5	42.1	32.6	
Populacion	15,400.0	15,400.0	15,400.0	15,500.0	15,900.0	16,800.0	
Los Alamos Councy	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Los Alamos (city) County Total	2.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	
Rate per 100,000		6.5	0.0	12.9	0.0	0.0	
Population Luna County	12,200.0	12,500.0	13,100.0	13,700.0	14,500.0 2.0	14.900.0	
Deming (city)	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	
County Total	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	
Rate per 100,000	population 0.0	0.0	7.6	14.6	27.6	20.1	
Population	44,700.0	46,800.0	49,300.0	48,800.0	51,200.0	51,600.0	
McKinley County	12.0	0.0	7.0	9.0	16.0	14.0	
Gallup (city)	5.0	8.0	11.0	9.0	12.0	11.0	
Zuni (city) County Total	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.0	28.0	0.0	
Rate per 100,000		9.0 19.2	20.0 40.6	18.0 36.9	28.0 54.7	25.0 48.4	
Population Hora County	4,600.0 2.0	4,500.0 0.0	4,600.0 4,0	4,800.0 0.0	4,900.0 0.0	4,200.0 1.0	
Wagon Mound (city)	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	
County Total	2.0	0.0	4.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	
Rate per 100,000		0.0	86.9	20.8	0.0	23.8	
Population	41,900.0	41,100.0	41.500.0	42,200.0	42,700.0	43,800.0	
Otero County	4.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	3.0	
Alamogordo (city)	0.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	
Mescalero (city)	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	
County Total Rate per 100,000	population 9.5	6.0 14.6	5.0 12.0	4.0 9.5	7.0 16.4	6.0 13.7	
				<u> </u>	····		
Population	11,300.0	11,000.0	11,100.0	11,200.0	11,400.0	11,800.0	
Quay County Tucumcari (city)	1.0	0.0 1.0	1.0 1.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	
County Total	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	
Rate per 100,000		9.1	18.0	0.0	0.0	16.9	
Population	25 800 0	26,000.0	26 600 0	27 400 0	28,000.0	29 100 0	
Population Rio Arriba County	25,800.0 3.0	26,000.0 0.0	26,600.0 3.0	27,400.0	28,000.0 6.0	29,100.0 1.0	
Espanola (city)	0.0	4.0	3.0	1.0	3.0	1.0	
State Police	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	
County Total	3.0	4.0	6.0	1.0	9.0	12.0	
Rate per 100,000	populacion 11.6	15.4	22.6	3.6	32.1	41.2	
Population	16,700.0	16,800.0	16 900 0	17,100.0	16,300.0	17,500.0	
Rosevelt County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,300.0	
Portales (city)	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	
County Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	
Rate per 100,000	population 0.0	0.0	0.0	11.7	0.0	11.4	
Population	18,600.0	14,300.0	20,000.0	22,400.0	22,600.0	25,000.0	
Sandoval County	2.0	0.0	6.0	2.0	5.0	7.0	
Bernalillo (city)	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	
Corrales (city) County Total		0.0	6.0	3.0	1.0	8.0	
Rate per 100,000		0.0	28.8	13.4	6.0 26.5	32.0	
Populacion	53,500.0	55,400.0	58.800.0	61,400.0	65,300.0	65,000.0	
San Juan County	0.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	
Aztec (city)	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	5.0	
Bloomfield (city)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	
Farmington (city) State Police	3.0 0.0	12.0 _0.0	5.0	10.0	16.0	1.0 11.0	
	4.0	14.0	7.0	13.0	20.0	18.0	
		25.3	11.9	21.2	30.6	27.7	
County Total Rate per 100,000	, bobarecross 112					22 500 0	
County Total	22,100.0	23,200.0	23,800.0	23,400.0	23,500.0	23,500.0	
County Total Rate per 100,000 Population San Miguel County	22,100.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	
County Total Rate per 100,000  Population San Higuel County Las Vegas (city)	22,100.0 1.0 6.0	2.0 8.0	1.0 3.0	10.0	2.0 14.0	0.0 6.0	
County Total Rate per 100,000  Population San Miguel County Las Vegas (city) State Police	22,100.0 1.0 6.0 0.0	2.0 8.0 0.0	1.0 3.0 0.0	0.0 10.0 0.0	2.0 14.0 0.0	0.0 6.0 3.0	
County Total Rate per 100,000  Population San Miguel County Las Vegas (city)	22,100.0 1.0 6.0 0.0 7.0	2.0 8.0	1.0 3.0	10.0	2.0 14.0	0.0 6.0	

•

ity and County Tota	ls 1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976
opulation	49,600.0	49,400.0	48,800.0	49,300.0	31,200.0	53,000.0
as Councy	3.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	6.0	4.0
lunice (city) loobe (city)	0.0 3.0	0.0	0.0 10.0	7.0	4.0	1.0
(al (city)	0.0	0.0	1.0	7.0 0.0	ŭ.0	9.0
Lovingcon (city)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Gounty Total Rate per 190,000 ;	5.0 populacion 12.1	4,0 3.1	13.0 25.6	11.0	13.0 25.4	13.0
yer 190,000 ;	300111111111111111111111111111111111111	3.1	23.0	44.4	4314	24.5
Population	7,300.0	3,000.0	3,400.0	9,300.0	9,500.0	9,200.0
Lincoln Councy	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.0
Ruidoso (city) County Total	0.0	5.0	0.0	2.0	2.0 4.0	3.0
Rate per 100,000 g		62.5	0.0	21.5	42.1	32.6
		i				
Population Los Alamos County	13,400.0	15,400.0	15,400.0	15,500.0	15,900.0	15,800.0
Los Alamos (city)	2.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	. 0.0
County Total	2.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
Rate per 100,000 ;	population 12.9	6.5	0.0	12.9	0.0	0.0
Populacion	12,200.0	12,500.0	13,100.0	13,700.0	14,500.0	14,900.0
luna Councy	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.0
Deming (city)	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0
County Total Rata per 100,000 ;	0.0 0.0 Collection	0.0	1.0 7.6	2.0 14.6	4.0 27.6	3.0 20.1
} }			, . u			-714
Population	44,700.0	46,800.0	49,300.0	48,800.0	51,200.0	51,600.0
McKinley County Gallup (city)	12.0 5.0	0.0	7.0	9.0	16.0	14.0
Zuni (city)	1.0	8.Q 1.0	11.0 2.0	9.0	12.0	11.0
County Total	18.0	9.0	20.0	18.0	28.0	25.C
Race per 100,000	population 40.3	19.2	40.6	36.9	54.7	48.4
Population	4,600.0	4,500.0	4,600.0	4,800.0	4,900.0	4,200.0
fora County	2.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Wagon Mound (city)	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
County Total Rate per 100,000	2.0 population 43.5	0.0	4.0 86.9	1.0 20.3	0.0	1.0 23.8
Populacion	41,900.0	41,100.0	41,500.0		42,700.0	43,800.0
Otero County Alamogordo (city)	4.0 0.0	3.0 3.0	0.0 5.0	0.0 3.0	1.0 5.0	3.0 3.0
Mescalero (city)	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
Councy Total	4.0	6.0	5.0	4.0	7.0	6.0
Rate per 100,000	populacion 9.5	14.6	12.0	9.5	16.4	13.7
Populacion.	11,300.0	11,000.0	11.100.0	11,200.0	11,400.0	11,300.0
Quay County	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tucumcari (city)	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
County Total Rate per 100,000	1.0 population 8.8	1.0	2.0 18.0	0.0	0.0	2.0 16.9
		· · · ·				
Populacion	25,800.0	26,000.0		27,400.0	28,000.0	29,100.0
Rio Arriba County	3.0 0.0	0.0 4.0	3.0 3.0	0.0 1.0	6.0 3.0	1.0
Espanola (city) State Police	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0
County Total	3.0	4.0	6.0	1.0	9.0	12.0
Rate per 100,000	population 11.5	15.4	22.6	3.6	32.1	41.2
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					17 600 6
Population	16,700.0	16,800.0	16,300.0	17,100.0	16,300.0	17,500.0
Rosevelt County Portales (city)	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	1.0
County Total	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0
Race per 100,000	population 0.0	0.0	0.0	11.7	0.0	11.4
Populacion	18,600.0	14,300.0	20,000.0	22,400.0	22,600.0	25,000.0
Sandoval County	2.0	0.0	6.0	2.0	5.0	7.0
Bernalillo (city)	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Corrales (city) County Total	<u>0.0</u> 3.0	0.0	6.0	3.0	1.0	1.0
Rate per 100,000		0.0	28.8		26.5	32.0
Populacion	33,500.0	55,400.0	58,800.0	61,400.0	65,300.0	65,000.0
San Juan County	0.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	1.0
Azcec (city)	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	5.0
Bloomfield (city) Farmington (city)	0.0 3.0	0.0 12.0	0.0 5.0	10.0	1.0 16.0	0.0
State Police	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.0
County Total	4.0	14.0 25.3	7.0 11.9	13.0	20.0 30.6	18.0 27.7
Zate per 100,000	•					
Population	22,100.0	23,200.0	23,300.0	23,400.0	23,500.0	23,500.0
San Miguel County	1.0	8.0	3.0	10.0	14.0	6.0
	917			0.0	0.0	3.0
Las Vegas (city) State Police	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Las Vegas (city)	7.0	0.0 10.0 43.5	4.0	10.0	16.0	9.0

#### SEXUAL ASSAULT BY COUNTY. NEW MEXICO - 1971

COUNTY	FORCED SEXUAL ASSAULT	ATTEMPTER SEXUAL ASSA	TOTAL	POPULATION	RATE PER
Bernalillo	201	28	229	382,200	59.9
Catron	0	0	0	2,100	0
Chaves	4	1	5	50,500	9.9
Colfax	2	0	2	13,200	15.2
Curry	7	, 1:	<b>8</b> .	45,500	17.6
De Baca	, <b>o</b>	0	0	2,500	0
Dona Ana	22	2	24	83,600	28.7
Eddy	. 9	· o · ·	9	43,900	20.5
Grant	1	0	1	24,300	4.1
Guadalupe	. 2 .	. 0	2	5,100	39.2
Harding	0	0	. 0 .	1,300	O
Hidalgo	1	0	· 1	5,400	18.5
Lea	14	2	. 16	54,100	29.6
Lincoln	0	0	0	9,600	0
Los Alamos	2	, О	2	17,300	11.6
Luna .	2	0	2	15,600	12.8
McKinley	. 26	4	30	52,800	56.8
Mora	0	0	0	4,100	0
Otero	, 6	0	6	44,600	13.5
Quay	1 .	0	1	12,000	8.3
Rio Arriba	2	0	2	29,800	6.7
Roosevelt	2	0	2	17,800	11.2
Sandova I	1 .	0	1	26,400	3.8
San Juan	21	1	22	66,300	33.2
San Miguel	4	2	6	23,800	25.2
Santa Fe	39	5	44	64,700	68.0
Sierra	3	· 2	5	8,100	61.8
Socorro	2	0	. 2	9,300	21.5
Taos	4	. 1	5	19,900	25.1
Torrance	0	. 0	. 0	7,000	O
Union	0	0	` <b>0</b>	5,000	0
Valencia	5 .	3	7	48,500	14.4
STATE TOTAL	383	51	434	1,196,300	36.3

SOURCE: Population Estimate for 1977 from New Mexico Population to 1985 and Impact on Job Outlook, by John L. Temple, Bureau and Economic Research, University of New Mexico, April, 1976. p. 21.

Crime figures from Uniform Crime Report, 1977, New Mexico State Police, includes

law enforcement agency reporting only.

1977 CASES REPORTED TO LAW ENFORCEMENT & VICTIM TREATMENT CENTERS

	Total Cases Reported To Law Enforcement	Total Known Cases Reported To RCC, MHC, Ci.		% Of Cases Reported To Rape Crisis Centers Over Those Reported To Law Enforcement			
STATE	434	709	19 facilities, 14 counties have no facilities of any kind	+ 63.4			
JUDICIAL DISTRICT			•	<del>lymborologicomania, de anti-latita anti-latita anti-latita</del>			
lst Santa Fe, Rio Arriba* Los Alamos*	48	96	Santa Fe Rape Crisis Center (Santa Fe) Los Alamos Family Council, Inc. (Los Alamos) Espanola RCC closed door for lack of funds (Río Arriba)	+100.0			
2nd Bernalillo	229	485	Albuquerque Rape Crisis Center (Bernalillo)	+111.8			
3rd Dona Ana	24	23	Southwest Community Mental Health Center Las Cruces,(Dona Ana)	- 4.2			
4th San Miguel; Mora, Guadalupe	Bridge Crisis Center, Las Vegas (San Miguel) No facilities in Mora or Guadalupe Counties						
5th Chaves, Eddy*	<u> </u>	- 76.7					
6th Grant, Luna Hidalgo*	4	8	Bridge Line, Silver City (Grant) Deming Crisis Center (Luna) No facilities in Hidalgo County	+100.0			
7th Socorro: Sierra Catron, Torrance	7	ď	No facilities in Socorro, Sierra, Catron or Torrance Counties	0			
8th Taos, Union, Colfax	. 7	16	Community Against Rape (C.A.R.) (Taos) No facilities in Union or Colfax Counties	+128.6			
9th Roosevelt; Curry	10	10	Mental Health Resources offices in Clovis (Curry) and Portales (Roosevelt) but no specific Rape Crisis Center capability in 1977 Rape Crisis Service, Clovis (Curry)	Some			
10th De Baca, Quay! Harding	1	Montal Health Resources office in Tucumcari (Quay) but no					
11th McKinley, San Juan	52	Gallup Rape Crisis Center (McKinley) have not reported San Juan Mental Health (San Juan)					
12th Otero, Lincoln	6	o	Counseling Center, Alamogordo (Otero) (Crisis Line) Have not reported No facility in Lincoln County	0			
13th Valencia* Sandoval*	h Valencia* Grants Counseling Service (Valencia) Have not reported						

Incomplete reporting for 1977 from Law Enforcement

RCC = Rape Crisis Center
PHC = Mental Health Center
CL = Crisis Line (general) known as Hotlines
Or Bridge Lines

PROGRAM NO. CARPO	90		ORMATION SYSTE		CURRENT DATE 01/26/78 CRIMINAL CASES			
CATEGORY SUBCATEGORY	MAGISTRATE APPEALS	MUNICIPAL APPEALS	APPEALS	PRELIMINARY HEARING	CHANGE OF VENUE	TOTAL		
STATEWIDE						•		
SEXUAL OFFENSES	0	1	59	14	22	107		
FELONIES MISDEMEANORS	0	Ŏ	0	0	33 0	107 0		
TOTAL	0	1	59	14	33	107		
FIRST JUDICIAL DI SEXUAL OFFENSES	STRICT (Santa	Fe, Rio Arrib	a, Los Alamos)					
FELONIES	0	1	14	0	3	18		
MISDEMEANORS	0	0	0	0	0 3	0		
TOTAL SECOND JUDICIAL D	O ISTRICT (Berna	1 lillo)	14	0		18		
SEXUAL OFFENSES		•						
FELONIES	0	0	26	1	2	29		
MISDEMEANORS TOTAL	0	0	0 26	0 1	0 2	0 29		
THIRD JUDICIAL DI			20					
SEXUAL OFFENSES	,	•		•				
FELONIES	0	0	0	0	7	7		
MISDEMEANORS	0	0	0	0	0 7	0 7		
TOTAL FOURTH JUDICIAL D			O Guadalupe)	0				
SEXUAL OFFENSES								
FELONIES MISDEMEANORS	0	0	4 0	0	0	4		
TOTAL	Ö	Ö	4	0	0	4		
FIFTH JUDICIAL DI	STRICT (Chaves	, Eddy, Lea)				<del></del>		
SEXUAL OFFENSES FELONIES	0	0	0	0	15	15		
MISDEMEANORS	ŏ	ŏ	0	ů	0	0		
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	15	15	<del></del>	
SIXTH JUDICIAL DI SEXUA OFFENSES	STRICT (Grant,	Luna, Hidalg	(0)					
FELONIES	0	0	0	0	1	1		
MISDEMEANORS	0	0	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL SEVENTH JUDICIAL	O DISTRICT (Soco	rro. Sierra.	Catron, Torran	0 ce)	1	1		
SEXUAL OFFENSES	·		•	•				
FELONIES . MISDEMEANORS	0	0	0	· 1	3 0	4		
TOTAL	0	0	Ö	1	. 3	4		
EIGHTH JUDICIAL D	ISTRICT (Taos	, Union, Colf	ax)				71	
SEXUAL OFFENSES					_	_		
FELONIES MISDEMEANORS	0	0	7 0	0	0	7 0	•	
TOTAL	Ö	Ö	7 .	ŏ	ŏ	7		
NINTH JUDICIAL DI	STRICT (Roosev	elt, Curry)		^				
SEXUAL OFFENSES								
FELONIES MISDEMEANORS	0	0	3 0	0	0	3 0		
TOTAL	ő	Ŏ	3	Ö	ő	3	_	
TENTH JUDICIAL D	ISTRICT (DeBac	a. Ouav. Hard	ling)					
SEXUAL OFFENSES	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, (,,						
FELONIES	0	0	0	0	0	0		
MISDEMEANORS	0	0	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL ELEVENTH JUDICIA	U DISTRICT (Mc	0 Kinley, San J	(uan)	0	0	00		
SEXUAL OFFENSES								
FELONIES	0	0	0	12	3	13		
MISDEMANORS	0	0	0	.0	0	0		
TOTAL TWELFTH JUDICIAL	O DISTRICT (Ote	ro, Lincoln)	0		1	13		
SEXUAL OFFENSES	(****	,						
FELONIES	0	0	· 2	0	1	3		
MISDEMEANORS	0	0	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL THIRTEENTH JUDIO	O CIAL DISTRICT (	Valencia, Sar	doval)	0	1	3		
SEXUAL OFFENSES								
FELONIES	0	0	3	0	0	3		
MISDEMEANORS	0	0	0	0	0	0		
TOTAL		0	3	0	0	3		

### COURT INFORMATION SYSTEM STATEWIDE

CURRENT DATE 01/25/78 CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS

12/31/77

PROGRAM NO. CARPOSO TYPE OF DISPOSITION

STATEWINE   STAT	CATEGORY SUBCATEGORY	DISMISSAL FAILURE TO PROSECUTE D	OTHER DISMISSAL	CHANGE OF VENUE	GUILTY/ NOLO PLEA	CHARGES DROPPED	GUILTY VERDICT	NOT GUILTY VERDICT	INSANITY VERDICT	MISTRIAL HUNG JURY	TOTAL
STANLA OPTENSES	STATEWIDE				-						
### STEPHENDRES											
TOTAL 5 14 1 16 13 9 5 0 0 82    FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (Santa Fo, Rio Arribs, Los Alasses)   SEXNAL OFFENSES	FELONES	,•									
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (Santa Fe, Rio Arriba, Los Alasse)  SEXUAL OFFENSS  FILONIES  FILONIES		0		0			_				
FELONIZE		ISTRICT (Santa		rriba, Los		1.3			<u>y</u>		<u> </u>
MISDEMANORS	SEXUAL OFFENSES										
TOTAL											
SEXUAL OFFENSES		-	-	-					_		
### FELONIES	SECOND JUDICIAL	DISTRICT (Berna	lillo)								
TOTAL 0 2 0 10 6 0 2 0 0 76  THISD UNDICAL DISTRICT (DORA ANA)  SEXUAL OFFENSES  FELORIES 4 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7  MISDEMENORS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7  FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (San Niguel, Mors, Guadalupe)  SEXUAL OFFENSES  FELORIES 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		•	•	•	10	,	•	•	•	•	~~
TOTAL 0 2 0 10 6 0 2 0 0 76  THISD UNDICAL DISTRICT (Dona Ana)  SEXUAL OFFENSES  FELORIES 4 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7  MISDEMENORS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7  FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (San Miguel, Mora, Guadalupe)  SEXUAL OFFENSES  FELORIES 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		_			0						
SEXUAL OFFENSES	TOTAL	0	2	-							20:
FELONIES		ISTRICT (Dona /	Ana)								
MISDEMEANORS		4	0	0	3	n	n '	0	0	0	7
FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (San Miguel, More, Guadalupe)  SEXUAL OFFENSES  FIG. O	MISDEMEANORS	Õ	0	0		0	0	0	0	O	Œ
SEXMIA_OFFENSES	TOTAL FOURTH HIDTOTAL				Jupe)	0	00	<u> </u>	0	00	
FELONIES				, ~~~							
TOTAL 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (Chaves, Eddy, Lea)  SEXUAL OFFENSES  FILENIES  O 1 0 7 3 4 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	FELONIES										
FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (Chaves, Eddy, Lea)  SEXMAL OFFENSES  FELONIES 0 1 0 7 3 4 0 0 0 15  MISDEMANORS 0 1 0 7 3 4 0 0 0 15  SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (Grant, Luna, Hidalgo)  SEXMAL OFFENSES  FELONIES 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		-	-								
SEXUAL OFFENSES					<u>v</u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
FELONIES   0		•									•
TOTAL	FELONIES	-									
SEXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (Grant, Luna, Hidalgo)		-	1	_					_		
FELONIES		ISTRICT (Grant	, Luna, Hi	dalgo)	<del>-,</del>						
MISDEM**NORS	SEXUAL OFFENSES		,								
TOTAL 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		-									_
SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (Socorro, Sierra, Catron, Torrance)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	-	7						
FELONIES				ra, Catro							
MISDEMEANORS   0											
TOTAL 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0											
SEXUAL OFFENSES	TOTAL	0	1	0							_
FELONIES		DISTRICT (Taos	, Union, C	olfax)							
MISDEMEANORS   0		•		^	•	_		•	•		
TOTAL											
SEXUAL OFFENSES   FELONIES   O			4		00						
FELONIES 0 2 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		TOTATOT (KOOSE	vert, Curr	<b>X</b> )						•	
MISDEMEANORS 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 TOTAL 0 3 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 5  TENTH JUDICIAL DISTIRCT (DeBaca, Quay, Harding)  SEXUAL OFFENSES  FELONIES 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	4
TENTH JUDICIAL DISTIRCT (DeBaca, Quay, Harding)  SEXUAL OFFENSES  FELONIES	MISDEMEANORS	0	1	0		0		0	Ŏ	0	1
SEXUAL OFFENSES  FELONIES			3 a, Quav. H	o ardine)	1	0	1	00	0	0	5
FELONIES		(	, ,,, "								
TOTAL 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	FELONIES	-	-								
ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (McKinley, San Juan)  SEXUAL OFFENSES  FELONIES 0 4 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 MISDEMEANORS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		-	-		-	_	-			-	
FELONIES 0 4 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0									У		
MISDEMEANORS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0											
TOTAL 0 4 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 10  TWELTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (Otero, Lincoln)  SEXUAL OFFENSES FELONIES 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		-									
TWELTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (Otero, Lincoln)  SEXUAL OFFENSES  FELONIES 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 4 MISDEMEANORS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TOTAL	ō	4	0					_		
SEXUAL OFFENSES  FELONIES 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 4  MISDEMEANORS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  TOTAL 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1  THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (Valencia, Sandoval)  SEXUAL OFFENSES  FELONIES 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1  MISDEMEANORS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		DISTRICT (Oter	, Lincoln	)							
MISDEMEANORS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		9	^	,	^	^		•	,	^	
TOTAL 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 4  THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (Valencia, Sandoval)  SEXUAL OFFENSES  FELONIES 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0											4 0
SEXUAL OFFENSES  FELONIES 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1  MISDEMEANORS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TOTAL	1	0	ĺ							<u> </u>
FELONIES 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 MISDEMEANORS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		IAL DISTRICT (V	alencia,	sandoval)							
MISDEMEANORS 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		n	0	0	1	^	•	^	^	^ '	1
										-	ō
	TOTAL	00	0	00	1	0					11

PROGRAM NO. CARP100 TYPE OF SENTENCE

COURT INFORMATION SYSTEM STATEWIDE -- CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS APPENDIX F, page 1 CURRENT DATE 01/25/78 REPORT YEAR 1977

CATEGORY SUBCATEGORY	DEFENDANTS TO TRIAL	INCAR- CERATION ONLY		INCAR- CERATION AND FINE	PROBATION ONLY	INCAR- FINE AND PROBATION			TOTAL DEFENDANTS SENTENCED
STATEWIDE									
SEXUAL OFFENSES									
FELONIES	14	28	1	1	9	5 0	4	0 0	48 0
MISDEMEANORS TOTAL	1 15	0 28	1	1	9	. 5	4	0	48
FIRST JUDICIAL			o Arr	iba, Los	Alamos)				
SEXUAL OFFENSES									
FELONIES	2 0	7 0	0	0	0	4 0	0	0	11 0
MISDEMEANORS TOTAL	2	7	ŏ	ŏ	0	4	ŏ	Ö	11
SECOND JUDICIAL	DISTRICT (B	ernalillo)				•			
SEXUAL OFFENSES						* -			
FELONIES MISDEMEANORS	2 0	6 0	0	0	6 0	0	0	0	12 6
TOTAL	22	6	Ŏ	ŏ	6	ŏ	Ö	ō	12
THIRD JUDICIAL	DISTRICT (Co	na Ana)							
SEXUAL OFFENSES	_			•		•	_		~
FELONIES MISDEMEANORS	0	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0: 0.	2° 0°
TOTAL	C	1	0	0	1	<u> </u>	Ŏ	ō	22
FOURTH JUDICIAL	DISTRICT (S	an Miguel,	Mora	, Guadalu	pe)				
SEXUAL OFFENSES						•	•	_	•
FELONIES MISDEMEANORS	1 0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1. 0.
TOTAL	11	0	1	0	ō	<u> </u>	0	ă	11
FIFTH JUDICIAL	DISTRICT (Ch	aves, Eddy	, Les	)					
SEXUAL OFFENSES	,						_	_	
FELONIES MISDEMEANORS	4 0	7 0	0	1	2 0	0	1 0	0	II 0
TOTAL	4	7	ō	<u> </u>	22	Ŏ	ì	0	11
SIXTH JUDICIAL	DISTRICT (Gr	ant, Luna,	Hida.	lgo)					
SEXUAL OFFENSES		_		_	_			_	_ ,
FELONIES MISDEMEANORS	0 0	0	0	0	· 0	0	0	0	Q:
TOTAL	0	0	0	. 0	0	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ
SEVENTH JUDICIA	L DISTRICT (	Socorro, S	ierra	, Catron,	Torrance)				
SEXUAL OFFENSES		•		_	_		_	_	_
FELONIES MISDEMEANORS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	o.
TOTAL	00	0	0	0	<u>ŏ</u>	ŏ.	Ŏ	ŏ	o o
EIGHTH JUDICIAL	DISTRICT (T	aos, Union	, Col:	fax)					
SEXUAL OFFENSES FELONIES			^	•	•	^	•		_
MISDEMEANORS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	O O	O:
TOTAL	2	00	Ō	Ü	0	Ō	0	Ō	0
NINTH JUDICIAL	DISTRICT (Ro	osevelt, C	urry)						
FELONIES	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	Z
MISDEMEANORS TOTAL	0 1	0 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	σ. 2:
TENTH JUDICIAL	DISTRICT (De				············	· ·	<del></del>		
SEXUAL OFFENSES		J-1-, que,	,	*****					
FELONIES	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0.
MISDEMEANORS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ELEVENTH JUDICI	O AL DISTRICT	(McKinley.	San .	Juan)	00	00	0	0	<u> </u>
SEXUAL OFFENSES		(, ,					٠		
FELONIES	2	5	0	0	0	1	2	0	8
MISDEMEANORS TOTAL	0 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ģ	0:
TWELFTH JUDICIA		5 Otero, Lin	coln)	0	0	1	2	0	8:
SEXUAL OFFENSES			,						
FELONIES	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.
MISDEMEANORS TOTAL	0 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
THIRTEENTH JUDI				0 doval)	0	0	0	00	<u> </u>
SEXUAL OFFENSES									
FELONIES	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
MISDEMEANORS TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	U	00	0	00	1

# END