U. S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice





Evaluation Techniques



a publication of the National Institute of Justice

About the National Institute of Justice

The National Institute of Justice is a research, development, and evaluation center within the U.S. Department of Justice. Established in 1979 by the Justice System Improvement Act, NIJ builds upon the foundation laid by the former National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice, the first major Federal research program

Carrying out the mandate assigned by Congress, the National Institute of Justice:

- Sponsors research and development to improve and strengthen the criminal justice system and related civil justice aspects, with a balanced program of basic and applied research.
- Evaluates the effectiveness of federally-funded justice improvement programs and identifies programs that promise to be successful if continued or repeated.
- Tests and demonstrates new and improved approaches to strengthen the justice system, and recommends actions that can be taken by Federal, State, and local governments and private organizations and individuals
- Disseminates information from research, demonstrations, evaluations, and special programs to Federal, State and local governments; and serves as an international clearinghouse of justice information.
- Trains criminal justice practitioners in research and evaluation findings, and assists the research community through fellowships and special seminars.

Authority for administering the Institute and awarding grants, contracts, and cooperative agreements is vested in the NIJ Director, in consultation with a 21-member Advisory Board. The Board recommends policies and priorities and advises on peer review procedures.

NII is authorized to support research and experimentation dealing with the full range of criminal justice issues and related civil justice matters. A portion of its resources goes to support work on these long-range priorities:

- Correlates of crime and determinants of criminal behavior
- Violent crime and the violent offender
- Community crime prevention
- Career criminals and habitual offenders
- Utilization and deployment of police resources
- Pretrial process: consistency, fairness, and delay reduction
- Sentencing
- Rehabilitation
- Deterrence
- Performance standards and measures for criminal justice

Reports of NIJ-sponsored studies are reviewed by Institute officials and staff. The views of outside experts knowledgeable in the report's subject area are also obtained. Publication indicates that the report meets the Institute's standards of quality, but it signifies no endorsement of conclusions or recommendations.

James L. Underwood Acting Director

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice 72009

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of

Permission to reproduce this expyrighted material has been

Public Domain National Institute of Justice

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

EVALUATION TECHNIQUES

A Selected Bibliography

compiled by
Carol Klein
edited by
Ronnie Mills

National Criminal Justice Reference Service

January 1982

U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

National Institute of Justice James L. Underwood Acting Director

Prepared for the National Institute of Justice, U.S. Department of Justice, by Aspen Systems Corp., under contract number J-LEAA-013-81. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice. Research on this project was completed in February 1980.

A limited number of paper and microfiche copies of this publication are distributed by the National Criminal Justice Reference Service. When requesting this document, please use the following identification number: NCJ 72009.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Introduction 1 |
|--|
| General Evaluation Techniques |
| Overview3 |
| Procedure Manuals and Guides |
| Criminal Justice Evaluation Techniques |
| Overview |
| Procedure Manuals and Guides41 |
| Referencé Documents57 |
| Author Index59 |
| Title Index61 |
| How To Obtain These Documentsinside back cover |

.

INTRODUCTION

Program evaluations are essential management tools, especially during times of cutbacks and limited budgets. Evaluations can be used to answer a variety of questions: Are the goals and objectives of the program being met? Are there any problem areas? Should the program be funded again?

Clearly, the conclusions drawn from an evaluation will not be valid unless effective techniques are used for organizing, managing, and administering an evaluation. Selecting the most appropriate evaluation method and instrument sets the stage for planning. During the developmental stage of evaluation planning, evaluators must consider study design, criteria, standards, analysis plans, sampling, data collection, and reporting and management plans. Further, when conducting an evaluation, evaluators must determine staff assignments, management procedures, and strategies for using the findings.

The need for evaluation is recognized as an important component of criminal justice programs. Under the Justice System Improvement Act of 1979, the National Institute of Justice is authorized to evaluate the effectiveness of projects or programs funded under the Act.

This bibliography identifies basic references that can help to make evaluation a manageable task. Its purpose is to inform researchers, planners, and practitioners about techniques used for program and project evaluations. The selections explore methods and obstacles encountered by evaluators, particularly criminal justice evaluators.

Evaluation Techniques is an update and companion volume to the 1977 selected bibliography, Techniques for Project Evaluation. Citations are arranged alphabetically by author in the following three sections:

General Evaluation Techniques

Overview — Technique issues, including strengths, weaknesses, capabilities, obstacles, utilization vs. nonutilization, experimentation vs. quasi-experimentation, and political considerations. Examples are cited from the social sciences, particularly mental health.

Procedure Manuals and Guides - Fundamentals and "how-to's" for performing an evaluation. How to organize, manage, and administer effective evaluation techniques; analysis of statistical methods and procedures.

Criminal Justice Evaluation Techniques

Overview — Technique issues specific to law enforcement, corrections, courts, and juvenile justice systems. Comprehensive criminal justice evaluation essays are presented in the Evaluation Studies Review Annuals.

Procedure Manuals and Guides — Techniques available to criminal justice evaluators, including models, frameworks, and other evaluation instruments presently being used in the field.

Reference Documents — bibliographies of evaluation techniques and methodologies.

Information about how to obtain the documents cited may be found on the inside back cover.

GENERAL EVALUATION TECHNIQUES Overview

- 1. C. C. ABT, Ed. EVALUATION OF SOCIAL PROGRAMS. 503 1976 NCJ-42044 THIS BOOK CONTAINS A COLLECTION OF PAPERS BY LEAD-ING PRACTITIONERS AND USERS OF SOCIAL PROGRAM EVALUATIONS WHICH IDENTIFY MAJOR OBSTACLES IN DOING THIS KIND OF EVALUATION AND WAYS OF OVERCOM-ING THEM. THE PAPERS ARE BASED ON THE FORMAL AND INFORMAL DISCUSSIONS AT A CONFERENCE ON SOCIAL PROGRAM EVALUATIONS HELD IN CAMBRIDGE (MA) IN SEP-TEMBER 1974. THE VOLUME IS DIVIDED INTO SEVEN PARTS, CORRESPONDING TO THE CONFERENCE'S SEVEN PANEL DISCUSSIONS. THESE ARE: THE EVALUATION OF SOCIAL EX-PERIMENTS; POLICY RESEARCH AND DECISIONS AND POLITI-CAL IMPACTS OF EVALUATION RESEARCH; PAYOFFS OF EVALUATION RESEARCH; RESEARCH VERSUS DECISION RE-QUIREMENTS AND BEST PRACTICES OF EVALUATION; EVAL-UATION OF HEALTH PROGRAMS; EVALUATION OF EDUCA-TION PROGRAMS; AND RESEARCH ALLOCATION STRATE-GIES. FOR INDIVIDUAL PAPERS IN THE COLLECTION, SEE NCJ 42045 TO 42048. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED) Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY
- 2. M. C. ALKIN, R. DAILLAK, and P. WHITE. USING EVALUA-TIONS-DOES EVALUATION MAKE A DIFFERENCE? SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212. 270 p. 1979. FIVE CASE STUDIES OF PROGRAM EVALUATION IN SCHOOLS ARE PRESENTED IN AN ANALYSIS OF EVALUATION UTILIZA-TION AND IMPACT. THE CASE STUDIES INVOLVE PROJECTS FUNDED UNDER THE ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDU-CATION ACT TITLE I AND TITLE IV-C PROGRAMS. THE PUR-POSE OF THE STUDIES IS TO DETERMINE WHETHER EVALU-ATIONS INFLUENCE PROJECT DECISIONMAKING AND OPER-ATIONS AND, IF SO, HOW. THE METHODS USED IN THE CASE STUDIES ARE DESCRIBED. EACH STUDY REPORT INCLUDES DETAILS OF THE EVALUATION PROCESS AND ITS IMPACT. ON THE BASIS OF THE STUDIES, CONCLUSIONS ARE DRAWN ABOUT THE IMPACT OF EVALUATIONS, AND A THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK OF EVALUATION UTILIZATION IS DEVELOPED. THE CASE STUDIES SHOW THAT EVALUATION DOES MAKE A DIFFERENCE, THOUGH NOT ALWAYS IMMEDIATELY AND NOT ALWAYS IN THE EXPECTED FASHION. EVALUATION UTILIZA-TION MAY BE DEFINED AS FOLLOWS: THE CONSIDERATION OF EVALUATION INFORMATION (BY A LOCAL CLIENT OR OTHER USERS) AS THE DOMINANT INFLUENCE OR AS ONE

DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

OF MULTIPLE OR CUMULATIVE INFLUENCES IN MAKING DECISIONS (OR IN SUBSTANTIATING PREVIOUS DECISIONS OR ACTIONS, OR IN ESTABLISHING OR ALTERING ATTITUDES) RELATED TO PROGRAM ESTABLISHMENT, FUNDING, OPERATIONS, STRUCTURE, METHODS, OR COMMUNITY ACCEPTANCE. THE FOLLOWING FACTORS (AND THEIR INTERRELATIONSHIPS) ARE DETERMINANTS OF EVALUATION UTILIZATION: PREEXISTING EVALUATION BOUNDS, ORIENTATION OF USERS, EVALUATOR'S APPROACH AND CREDIBILITY, ORGANIZATIONAL AND EXTRAORGANIZATIONAL FACTORS, INFORMATION CONTENT AND REPORTING, AND ADMINISTRATOR'S STYLE. A LIST OF REFERENCES IS INCLUDED.

Supplemental Notes: SAGE LIBRARY OF SOCIAL RESEARCH, VOLUME 76.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

3. D. ALLEN. DECISION-THEORETIC APPROACH TO THE EVALUATION OF COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS (FROM TRENDS IN MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATION, 1976, BY ELIZABETH MARKSON AND DAVID ALLEN). D C HEATH AND COMPANY, 125 SPRING STREET, LEXINGTON MO 20173.

14 p. 1976.

AN EVALUATION PARADIGM BASED ON DECISION AND

AN EVALUATION PARADIGM, BASED ON DECISION AND THEORY, IS PRESENTED THAT MAKES IT POSSIBLE TO USE CITIZEN PARTICIPATION AND THE PEER REVIEW PROCESS IN COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTER (CMHC) EVALUATION. THE CMHC CONCEPT IS ADVANTAGEOUS BECAUSE THE ARE COMMUNITY-BASED AND COMMUNITY-ORIENTED PROGRAMS. CMHC PLANNERS HAVE DEALT WITH PEER REVIEW ASPECTS OF SERVICE PLANNING AND EVALUATION. A USEFUL EVALUATION PARADIGM MUST SEPARATE THE SPECIFICATION OF GOALS FROM THE TECH-NICAL PLANNING AND EVALUATION OF PROGRAMS. AN AP-PROPRIATE PARADIGM FOR CMHC'S MUST ALSO MEET THE FOLLOWING REQUIREMENTS: MULTIPLE MEASUREMENT, DATA INCLUSIVENESS, RELATING CMHC PROGRAMS TO CATCHMENT DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS AND NEEDS. AND CONTINUOUS FEEDBACK. THE SIMPLE MULTIATTRIBUTE UTILITY (MAUT) PROCEDURE IS ORIENTED TOWARD EASY COMMUNICATION AND USE IN ENVIRONMENTS WHERE TIME IS LIMITED AND THERE ARE MULTIPLE DECISIONMAKERS. IT IS A METHOD THAT IS PSYCHOLOGICALLY MEANINGFUL TO DECISIONMAKERS WHO ARE REQUIRED TO RENDER INTU-ITIVELY REASONABLE JUDGMENTS. THE ESSENCE OF ANY MULTIATTRIBUTE UTILITY MEASUREMENT IS THAT EACH

OUTCOME TO BE EVALUATED IS LOCATED ON DIMENSIONS OF VALUE BY A PROCEDURE THAT MAY CONSIST OF EX-PERIMENTATION, NATURALISTIC OBSERVATION, JUDGMENT, OR SOME COMBINATION OF THESE. THE MAUT PARADIGM CONSISTS OF 10 STEPS: (1) IDENTIFY THE PERSON OR OR-GANIZATION WHOSE UTILITIES ARE TO BE MAXIMIZED; (2) IDENTIFY THE ISSUE OR ISSUES (DECISIONS) TO WHICH UTILITIES ARE RELEVANT: (3) DELINEATE PROGRAMS TO BE EVALUATED; (4) ASCERTAIN RELEVANT DIMENSIONS OF VALUE; (5) RANK DIMENSIONS IN ORDER OF IMPORTANCE; (6) RATE DIMENSIONS IN TERMS OF IMPORTANCE WHILE PRESERVING RATIOS; (7) SUM IMPORTANCE WEIGHTS, DIVIDE BY THE SUM, AND MULTIPLY BY 100; (8) MEASURE THE LOCATION OF EACH ENTITY BEING EVALUATED ON ALL DIMENSIONS; (9) CALCULATE UTILITIES FOR EACH ENTITY; AND (10) DECIDE IF A SINGLE ACT OR A SUBSET IS TO BE CHOSEN. CONSIDERATION IS GIVEN TO INTERPERSONAL AND INTERGROUP DISAGREEMENTS, RATING AND RANKING DIMENSIONS OF VALUE IN FACE-TO-FACE GROUPS. THE IN-TEGRATION OF PLANNING AND EVALUATION, AND THE USE OF BAYESIAN TOOLS IN EVALUATION UPDATING. AN ILLUS-TRATION OF THE MAUT PARADIGM'S APPLICATION IS PRE-SENTED. TABLES AND REFERENCES ARE INCLUDED.

4. G. W. BARGER. RECURRING ISSUES IN EVALUATION RE-SEARCH. UNIVERSITY OF IOWA SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK, NORTH HALL, IOWA CITY IA 52242. IOWA JOURNAL OF SOCIAL WORK, V 7, N 4, SPECIAL ISSUE (DECEMBER 1976), P96-106, PROBLEMS PERTAINING TO DATA COLLECTION, DATA ERO-SION, DEVELOPMENT OF CRITERIA, AND INTERPERSONAL RELATIONSHIPS WHICH PLAGUE EVALUATIONS OF SMALL PROJECTS ARE ASSESSED AND THEIR EFFECT ON THE FINAL EVALUATION ARE EXAMINED. ALTHOUGH SUCH PROB-LEMS PLAGUE ALL SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH, THE LACK OF CLEARLY DEFINED GOALS, THE ABSENCE OF COMPLETE DATA, AND THE SIDE EFFECTS FROM PERSONAL INTERAC-TIONS AFFECT THE EVALUATIONS OF SMALL PROGRAMS. SMALL PROGRAMS ARE DEFINED AS THOSE FUNDED AT A LEVEL OF LESS THAN \$200,000 FOR A 12-MONTH PERIOD AND WHICH MAY HAVE AN EVALUATION BUDGET OF \$12,000 OR LESS. THESE PROGRAMS OFTEN HAVE DIFFICULTIES IN SECURING EVALUATORS, FURTHERMORE, THE EVALUATION TEAM OFTEN ARRIVES ON THE SCENE MANY MONTHS AFTER THE PROGRAM IS UNDERWAY, AND PROGRAM STAFF ARE OFTEN SUSPICIOUS OR HOSTILE TOWARD THE EVALUA-TORS. ALTHOUGH THOSE IN CHARGE OF SMALL PROGRAMS ARE OFTEN EXTREMELY DEDICATED INDIVIDUALS LIVING FROM GRANT TO GRANT, THEY OFTEN LACK MANAGEMENT EXPERTISE AND ARE POOR RECORDKEEPERS. THUS. FRE-QUENTLY THE EVALUATORS ARE PUSHED INTO A CONSULT-ING ROLE. AGENCIES MAY ACCEPT OR REJECT THE EVALUA-TORS' ADVICE, THUS COMPLICATING THE OBJECTIVITY OF THE FINAL EVALUATION, A FINAL PROBLEM COMES WHEN THE EVALUATORS MEET WITH THE VARIOUS REVIEWERS OF THE REPORT. THEY MAY FIND THAT PERSONALITY CLASHES ORIGINATING IN FAR DIFFERENT CONTEXTS OFTEN INFLU-ENCE THE ACCEPTANCE OF THE EVALUATION. A FINAL PROBLEM COMES IN DEFINING WHO IS THE ULTIMATE CLIENT FOR THE EVALUATION, THE AGENCY RUNNING THE PROGRAM, THE AGENCY PROVIDING THE FUNDING, OR THE CLIENTS WHO ARE SUPPOSED TO BE SERVED. GENERALLY, THE PURPORTED CLIENT IS THE ONE MOST OVERLOOKED AND EFFORTS TO INVOLVE THE RECIPIENTS OF SERVICES IN THE PROCESS ARE NOT LIKELY TO SUCCEED. THESE PROBLEMS CANNOT BE ELIMINATED; INSTEAD, THEY SHOULD BE FACED AND INCORPORATED INTO THE FINAL REPORT. THIS WILL GIVE INSIGHT INTO THE HUMAN FAC-TORS OPERATING AS PART OF THE PROGRAM AND WILL EN-HANCE THE VALUE OF THE RESEARCH. A BIBLIOGRAPHY IS APPENDED.

- 5. W. E. BERG. EVALUATION OF TREATMENT IN THERAPEU-TIC COMMUNITIES PROBLEMS OF DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION. PERGAMON PRESS, INC, MAXWELL HOUSE, FAIR-VIEW PARK, ELMSFORD NY 10523. EVALUATION AND PRO-GRAM PLANNING. V 2, N 1 (1979), P 41-48. NC.I-61637 METHODS AND DESIGN ARE DESCRIBED FOR THE EVALUA-TION OF A THERAPEUTIC COMMUNITY FOR CHRONIC DRUG ABUSERS. THE PROGRAM EVALUATED WAS FOR CHRONIC ABUSERS WHO HAD, AS A RESULT OF THEIR DRUG-RELATED NEEDS. BEEN INVOLVED IN OTHER TYPES OF CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES, AND WHO LIVED IN A RESIDENTIAL THERAPEUTIC COMMUNITY ADMINISTERED AND OPERATED BY EX-ADDICTS WHO HAD PREVIOUSLY UNDERGONE TREAT-MENT IN SIMILAR FACILITIES. WHEN EVALUATION BEGAN, THE PROGRAM HAD BEEN IN OPERATION FOR 6 MONTHS, WITH A RESIDENT POPULATION OF ABOUT 30 CLIENTS AND 5 ADMINISTRATORS OR COUNSELORS, EVALUATION WAS UNDERTAKEN AT THE REQUEST OF THE LOCAL FUNDING AGENCIES TO DETERMINE THE DEGREE OF CONSISTENCY BETWEEN THE PROPOSED TREATMENT PLAN AND ACTUAL PROGRAM OPERATION. UNIQUE PROBLEMS IN THE DEVEL-OPMENT OF THE EVALUATION INCLUDED THE RESISTANCE OF STAFF TO THE PRESENCE AND PERCEIVED JUDGEMENTS OF 'OUTSIDERS' VIS-A-VIS THE COMMUNITY AND THE DI-VERSE RANGE OF ACTIVITIES ASSOCIATED WITH TOTAL COMMUNITY TREATMENT, WITHIN A 6-WEEK PERIOD, THE EVALUATOR HAD MOVED FROM THE POSITION OF AN OUT-SIDER TO THAT OF PARTICIPANT OBSERVER, GAINED IN-SIGHTS INTO THE OPERATIONS OF THE COMMUNITY, AND DEVELOPED A COOPERATIVE RELATIONSHIP WITH RESI-DENTS AND STAFF, WITH THEM, HE CONSTRUCTED A LIST OF TREATMENT METHODS AND ASSOCIATED ACTIVITIES, TRANSLATING THE TREATMENT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE PROJECT PROPOSAL INTO PROGRAM ACTIVITIES. THESE ACTIVITIES WERE THEN COMPARED WITH THE ACTUAL ACTIVITIES AND TREATMENT METHODS USED IN THE COMMUNITY, ON THE BASIS OF THIS REPORT, THE FUNDING AGENCIES AND COMMUNITY STAFF DISCUSSED THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PROGRAM GOALS, AS UNDER-STOOD BY THE AGENCIES, AND THE ACTIVITIES OF THE TREATMENT COMMUNITY. WHILE THE EVALUATION REPORT DID NOT SIGNIFICANTLY ALTER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, IT HELPED TO REFINE THE PERCEPTIONS OF EVERYONE IN-VOLVED IN THE PROJECT. TABULAR DATA AND REFER-ENCES ARE PROVIDED.
- 6. F. G. CARO, Ed. READINGS IN EVALUATION RESEARCH, 2ND ED. RUSSELL SAGE FOUNDATION, 230 PARK AVENUE, NEW YORK NY 10017. 448 p. 1977. EVALUATION RESEARCH IS EXAMINED WITH ATTENTION TO PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT AND SCIENTIFIC INQUIRY, ESTAB-LISHING AND MAINTAINING THE EVALUATION RESEARCH ROLE, MEASUREMENT AND DESIGN, AND CASE MATERIALS. FOLLOWING AN OVERVIEW DEALING WITH BASIC, ORGANI-ZATIONAL, AND METHODOLOGICAL ISSUES IN EVALUATION RESEARCH, GENERAL ARTICLES ADDRESS SUCH ISSUES AS THE NATURE OF THE EVALUATION TASK, THE ROLE OF EVALUATION RESEARCH IN PROGRAMS OF DIRECTED CHANGE, THE ORGANIZATIONAL CONTEXT IN WHICH EVALU-ATION RESEARCH IS CONDUCTED, AND THE METHODOLOG-ICAL STRATEGIES APPROPRIATE FOR EVALUATION RE-SEARCH. SPECIFIC ARTICLES CONSIDER THE EVALUATION OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS, PROGRAM EVALUATION MODELS AND THE IMPLEMENTATION OF RESEARCH FIND-STRAINS ORGANIZATIONAL RESEARCH-PRACTITIONER RELATIONSHIP, PROGRAM MAN-AGEMENT AND THE FEDERAL EVALUATOR, THE NEED FOR RESEARCH ON THE COMMUNICATION OF RESEARCH RE-SULTS, THE EVALUATION OF SOCIAL PROGRAMS, EVALUA-TIVE STUDIES OF INSTITUTIONS FOR DELINQUENTS, RE-SEARCH IN LARGE-SCALE INTERVENTION PROGRAMS, AND

TECHNIQUES

PITFALLS IN THE EVALUATION OF SOCIAL ACTION PROGRAMS. THE CASE MATERIALS INCLUDE TREATMENT OF PROBLEMS IN THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE EVALUATION RESEARCH ROLE AND REPORTS OF FINDINGS OF COMPLETED EVALUATION RESEARCH STUDIES, WITH ATTENTION TO THE EVALUATION OF BROAD-AIM PROGRAMS, THE EVOLUTION OF AN EVALUATION OF PROGRAMS FOR SCHOOL-AGED MOTHERS, EXPERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY AND INNOVATIVE SOCIAL PROGRAMMING, A SUMMARY OF THE KANSAS CITY (MO.) PREVENTIVE PATROL EXPERIMENT, THE IMPACT OF THE HEAD START PROGRAM, AND A NATIONAL EVALUATION OF COMMUNITY SERVICES AND THE QUALITY OF LIFE IN AMERICAN NEW TOWNS. REFERENCES ACCOMPANY MOST OF THE ARTICLES. GRAPHIC AND TABULAR DATA, AND SUBJECT AND NAME INDEXES ARE PROJUBLED.

Availability: BASIC BOOKS, 10 EAST 53RD STREET, NEW YORK NY 10022.

7. N. CARTER and B. WHARF. EVALUATING SOCIAL DEVEL-OPMENT PROGRAMS. CANADIAN COUNCIL ON SOCIAL DE-VELOPMENT, 55 PARKDALE, OTTAWA K1Y 1E5 ONTARIO, CANADA. 161 p. 1975. Canada. REVIEWS THE LITERATURE ON EVALUATION AND PRESENTS A FRAMEWORK FOR PRACTICE WHICH INCLUDES TOPICS SUCH AS A TYPOLOGY FOR SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT PRO-JECTS, THE CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION PLAN, AND INPUT TO THE EVALUATION PLAN. FOLLOWING A REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE WHICH COMMENTS ON WHY EVALUATION IS DONE, TYPES OF EVALUATIVE RESEARCH, AND ADMINIS-TRATIVE AND FIELD PROBLEMS, THE AUTHOR DEALS WITH A FRAMEWORK FOR PRACTICE. THE FIRST CHAPTER IN THIS SECTION DEFINES EVALUATION, DISCUSSES SOCIAL DEVEL-OPMENT PROGRAMS, AND COMMENTS ON THE USE OF SOCIAL RESEARCH AND THEORIES OF SOCIAL CHANGE. CHAPTER TWO PRESENTS A TYPOLOGY FOR SOCIAL DEVEL-OPMENT PROJECTS AND EXAMPLES OF PROJECTS TO WHICH THE CLASSIFICATION SCHEME HAS BEEN APPLIED. CHAPTER THREE PRESENTS A PLAN FOR EVALUATION AND DISCUSSES THE CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION PLAN, INPUT TO EVALUATION PLAN, AND TOTAL OUTCOME. RECOMMEN-DATIONS ARE PRESENTED IN CHAPTER FOUR. A 15-PAGE BIBLIOGRAPHY IS INCLUDED.

Sponsoring Agency: HEALTH AND WELFARE CANADA.

Availability: CANADIAN COUNCIL ON SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT,
55 PARKDALE, OTTAWA K1Y 1E5 ONTARIO, CANADA.

T. D. COOK and C. L. GRUDER. METAEVALUATION RE-SEARCH. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212. EVALUATION QUARTER-V 2, N 1 (FEBRUARY 1978), P 5-51. NCJ-47742 SYSTEMATIC ATTEMPTS TO STUDY EVALUATIONS ARE RE-VIEWED, AND WAYS IN WHICH SUCH 'METAEVALUATIONS' CAN BE USED TO IMPROVE THE TECHNICAL QUALITY OF EVALUATION RESEARCH ARE SUGGESTED. THREE RE-SEARCH TRADITIONS ARE RELEVANT TO METAEVALUATION: (1) THE INVESTIGATOR ACQUIRES ANOTHER INVESTIGA-TOR'S EVALUATION DATA AND REANALYZES THEM TO ANSWER EITHER THE SAME QUESTIONS OR NEW QUES-TIONS; (2) EVALUATIONS FROM DIFFERENT SOURCES ARE RATED IN ORDER TO ASSESS TECHNICAL COMPETENCE IN GENERAL; AND (3) 'RESEARCH ON RESEARCH' IS CARRIED OUT, (E.G., ATTEMPTS TO DETERMINE WHICH EVALUATION MONITORING TECHNIQUES PRODUCE THE QUICKEST FEED-BACK ON UNEXPECTED DESIGN OR MEASUREMENT PROB-LEMS THAT EMERGE ONLY AFTER THE EVALUATION HAS BEEN IMPLEMENTED). FOUR STUDIES WHOSE FINDINGS IN-DICATE THAT THE TECHNICAL QUALITY OF EVALUATION IS IN NEED OF IMPROVEMENT ARE DESCRIBED. STUDIES ILLUS-TRATIVE OF EACH OF THE THREE RESEARCH TRADITIONS ARE USED TO DEVELOP SEVEN MODELS OF HOW METAEVA- LUATION RESEARCH CAN IMPROVE THE TECHNICAL QUAL-ITY OF EMPIRICAL SUMMATIVE EVALUATIONS. THE SEVEN MODELS RELATE TO THE FOLLOWING: (1) ESSAY REVIEWS OF EVALUATION REPORTS; (2) REVIEWS OF THE LITERA-TURE ABOUT A SPECIFIC PROGRAM; (3) EMPIRICAL REEVA-LUATION OF A PROGRAM EVALUATION; (4) EMPIRICAL REE-VALUATION OF MULTIPLE DATA SETS ABOUT THE SAME PROGRAM; (5) CONSULTANT METAEVALUATION; (6) SIMULTA-NEOUS SECONDARY ANALYSIS OF RAW DATA; AND (7) MUL-TIPLE INDEPENDENT REPLICATIONS. EACH MODEL IS AP-PROPRIATE TO A DIFFERENT EVALUATION PROBLEM. IT IS POINTED OUT THAT METAEVALUATION IS NOT THE ONLY WAY OF IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF EVALUATIONS AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSIDERED A SUBSTITUTE FOR LONGER-TERM APPROACHES TO IMPROVING EVALUATION (E.G., RESEARCH ON RESEARCH METHODOLOGY).

Sponsoring Agencies: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, 1200 19TH STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20203; NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION, 1800 G STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20550.

Availability: NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY C/O THOMAS D COOK, EVANSTON IL 60201;

9. T. D. COOK and C. S. REICHARDT, Eds. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE METHODS IN EVALUATION RESEARCH.
SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEV-NCJ-64098 ERLY HILLS CA 90212. 160 p. 1979. DESIGNED TO PRESENT NOTABLE, PREVIOUSLY UNPUB-LISHED WRITING ON TOPICS OF CURRENT CONCERN TO THE EVALUATION COMMUNITY, THIS VOLUME FOCUSES ON QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE METHODS IN EVALUATION RESEARCH. WITH THE AWARENESS THAT EVALUATION HAS OUTCOMES AT BOTH THE POLICYMAKING AND SERVICE DE-LIVERY LEVELS, THIS VOLUME IS DESIGNED FOR USE BY IN-STRUCTORS AND STUDENTS OF EVALUATION, RESEARCH-ERS, PRACTITIONERS, POLICYMAKERS, AND PROGRAM AD-MINISTRATORS. PAPERS ARE LARGELY SELECTED FROM THOSE DELIVERED AT THE PREVIOUS ANNUAL MEETING OF THE EVALUATION RESEARCH SOCIETY. THESE WORKS EX-AMINE THE APPROPRIATENESS OF VARIOUS METHODS AND METHODOLOGICAL STANCES FOR CONDUCTING EVALUA-TION RESEARCH, THE ROLE OF QUALITATIVE METHODS IN EVALUATION RESEARCH, THE LEGITIMACY OF THE QUANTITATIVE-EXPERIMENTAL PARADIGM FOR PROGRAM EVALUATION, AND A CASE STUDY OF THE RECONCILIATION OF QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE ANALYSES. OTHER PAPERS DISCUSS A POSSIBLE RAPPROCHEMENT OF QUAN-TITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE METHODOLOGIES, PHOTOGRA-PHY IN AN EPISTEMOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK, ETHNOGRA-PHIC CONTRIBUTIONS TO EVALUATION RESEARCH, AND THE LINKAGE BETWEEN IMPACT AND PROCESS ANALYSES IN TRANSITIONAL WORK EXPERIENCE PROGRAM SUPPORTED WORK FOR GROUPS WHO USUALLY EXPERIENCE GREAT DIFFICULTY IN GETTING OR KEEPING REGULAR JOBS. NOTES AND REFERENCES ARE INCLUDED, AND BRIEF DE-SCRIPTIONS OF THE CONTRIBUTORS ARE PROVIDED.

Supplemental Notes: SAGE RESEARCH PROGRESS SERIES IN EVALUATION, VOLUME 1.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

10. S. CYTRYNBAUM, Y. GINATH, J. BIRDWELL, and L. BRANDT.

GOAL ATTAINMENT SCALING—A CRITICAL REVIEW.

SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212. EVALUATION QUARTERLY, V 3, N
1 (FEBRUARY 1979), P 5-40. NCJ-55192
A CRITIQUE OF THE APPLICATION OF GOAL ATTAINMENT
SCALING (GAS) AS AN EVALUATIVE TECHNIQUE, PRIMARILY
IN MENTAL HEALTH SETTINGS, IS PRESENTED. GAS IS A

MEANS OF EVALUATING PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS ON THE BASIS OF THE EXTENT TO WHICH INDIVIDUALIZED CLIENT GOALS, ESTABLISHED AT INTAKE, HAVE BEEN ACHIEVED UPON TERMINATION FROM THE PROGRAM OR UPON FOL-LOWUP. A REVIEW OF GAS LITERATURE PRODUCED 91 EM-PIRICAL STUDIES, OF WHICH 41 MET MINIMAL CRITERIA OF COMPLETENESS AND QUALITY FOR INCLUSION IN THE CRI-TIQUE. LIMITATIONS, PROBLEMS, AND IMPLICATIONS ARE IDENTIFIED IN AN ANALYSIS OF THESE STUDIES, WHICH EN-COMPASSING PROCEDURAL CONSIDERATIONS (GOAL-SETTING PROCEDURES, DETERMINATION OF LEVEL OF GOAL ATTAINMENT AT FOLLOWUP, REALISTIC GOAL SE-LECTION, TRAINING OF PERSONNEL, THE IMPORTANCE OF RECORDING BASELINE LEVELS AT INTAKE, GAS AS A TREAT-MENT TECHNIQUE) AND METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDER-ATIONS (RELIABILITY AND VALIDITY). ILLUSTRATIVE DATA FROM SELECTED STUDIES ACCOMPANY THE NARRATIVE. IT IS CONCLUDED THAT THE POPULARITY OF GAS AS AN EVAL-UATIVE TECHNIQUE IS JUSTIFIED NEITHER BY THE QUALITY OF SUPPORTIVE RESEARCH NOR BY THE FINDING OF EVEN THE BEST STUDIES. SEVERAL PROCEDURAL PROBLEMS HAVE ARISEN AS THE BASIC ASSUMPTIONS AND REQUIRE-MENTS OF THE ORIGINAL GAS MODEL HAVE BEEN VIOLAT-ED. THERE ARE SERIOUS METHODOLOGICAL QUESTIONS ABOUT THE RELIABILITY AND VALIDITY OF GAS AND ABOUT THE DESIGN OF SOME OF THE MORE FREQUENTLY QUOTED GAS RESEARCH. THERE IS CONFUSION ABOUT WHETHER THE VALIDITY OF GAS CAN BE ESTABLISHED AND ABOUT WHAT A GAS SCORE ACTUALLY MEASURES. DESPITE ITS SHORTCOMINGS IN EVALUATIVE APPLICATIONS, GAS AP-PEARS TO BE SERVING USEFUL EDUCATIONAL AND INTER-VENTION FUNCTIONS IN MENTAL HEALTH SETTINGS. AN ANALYSIS OF POLITICAL, FUNDING, IDEOLOGICAL, AND PRAGMATIC ADMINISTRATIVE CONSIDERATIONS IN THE USE OF GAS AS AN ALTERNATIVE TECHNIQUE MIGHT BE IN ORDER. CAREFUL COMPLIANCE WITH THE ORIGINAL GAS MODEL IS IMPORTANT IF GAS IS TO BE USED IN PROGRAM EVALUATION. CHARTS, INCLUDING SUMMARIES OF RELIABIL-ITY AND VALIDITY STUDIES, ARE PROVIDED, TOGETHER WITH A LIST OF REFERENCES.

11. L. N. DAVIS. PLANNING, CONDUCTING, EVALUATING WORKSHOPS. LEARNING CONCEPTS, 2501 N LAMAR, AUSTIN TX 78705. 321 p. 1974. FOR BOTH NOVICE AND EXPERIENCED ADULT EDUCATORS, THIS VOLUME PRESENTS A SYSTEMATIC APPROACH FOR MANAGING WORKSHOPS, DESCRIBES VARIOUS LEARNING THEORIES, AND EXPLORES THEIR APPLICATION TO ADULT EDUCATION. PROCEDURES FOR PLANNING THE WORKSHOP ARE DESCRIBED, INCLUDING ASSESSING NEEDS, SPECIFY-ING LEARNING OBJECTIVES, SELECTING RESOURCES, DE-SIGNING LEARNING ACTIVITIES, BUDGETING, MAKING AR-RANGEMENTS, REHEARSING, AND PACKING. SIMILARLY, DI-RECTIONS FOR CONDUCTING THE WORKSHOP ARE DIS-CUSSED, TOUCHING ON ESTABLISHING THE LEARNING CLI-MATE, AGREEING ON OBJECTIVES, AND DIRECTING LEARN-ING ACTIVITIES. FINALLY, EVALUATION TECHNIQUES ARE DE-SCRIBED IN THE CONTEXT OF FOUR COMMON WORKSHOP EVALUATIONS: FEEDBACK FROM PARTICIPANTS, POSTMEET-ING REACTION, EVALUATION BY OBJECTIVES, AND IMPACT EVALUATION. A WORKSHOP STAFF PACKET IS INCLUDED THAT CONTAINS SAMPLE FORMS FOR NEEDS ASSESSMENT, GENERAL AND SPECIFIC LEARNING OBJECTIVES, SELECTION OF LEARNING RESOURCES, RESOURCE INVENTORY, AND WORKSHOP BUDGET; A FACILITY AND ACCOMMODATIONS SURVEYS; AND OTHER WORKSHEETS AND WORKSHOP AIDS. THE ROLE OF MANAGERS IN STAFF DEVELOPMENT AND THE IMPORTANCE OF AN EFFECTIVE AGENCY POLICY STATE-MENT ARE ALSO DISCUSSED. NOTES, A BIBLIOGRAPHY, CHARTS, AND DIAGRAMS ARE INCLUDED.

Availability: LEARNING CONCEPTS, 2501 N LAMAR, AUSTIN

- 12. W. EDWARDS and M. GUTTENTAG. EXPERIMENTS AND EVALUATIONS—A REEXAMINATION (FROM EVALUATIONS AND EXPERIMENT, 1975, BY C A BENNETT AND A A LUMS-DAINE). ACADEMIC PRESS, INC, 111 FIFTH AVENUE, NEW YORK NY 10003. 55 p. 1975. NCJ-36930 THIS ARTICLE ADDRESSES THE TOTAL PROCESS OF EVALU-ATION, WITH PARTICULAR EMPHASIS ON THE DECISION PROCESSES THAT INVOLVE EXPLICIT ASSESSMENT OF THE WORTH OR MERIT OF OUTCOMES AND EMPLOY MULTIVA-LUED UTILITY ANALYSIS. THE AUTHORS CONTEND THAT EVALUATION IS DONE TO FACILITATE DECISION MAKING, AND THAT EVALUATION RESEARCH SHOULD BE CONSID-ERED A BRANCH OF DECISION ANALYSIS. FROM THIS POINT OF VIEW, THEY MAINTAIN THAT EXPERIMENTATION, IF RELE-VANT TO EVALUATION, WILL ORDINARILY BE COMBINED WITH NONEXPERIMENTAL LOGICAL AND JUDGMENTAL PRO-CEDURES TO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION NEEDED TO MAKE A DECISION. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT)
- 13. G. W. FAIRWEATHER and L. G. TORNATZKY. EXPERIMEN-TAL METHODS FOR SOCIAL POLICY RESEARCH. PERGA-MON PRESS, INC, MAXWELL HOUSE, FAIRVIEW PARK, ELMS-FORD NY 10523. 230 p. 1977. NCJ-54963 THE APPLICATION OF SCIENTIFIC METHODS TO THE SOCIAL DECISIONMAKING PROCESS AND TO SOCIAL POLICY RE-SEARCH AND FORMULATION IS DISCUSSED. CONTEMPO-RARY SOCIAL POLICY DECISIONMAKING IS INADEQUATE FOR THE LATE 20TH CENTURY. METHODS AND UNDERLYING CONCEPTS OF A BETTER APPROACH ARE SUGGESTED. PA-RAMETERS OF AN ENLIGHTENED SOCIAL DECISIONMAKING PROCESS ARE A HUMANITARIAN VALUE ORIENTATION, AN ACTIVE SOCIAL ROLE FOR THE SCIENTIST, INNOVATIVE, DEMOCRATIC PARTICIPATION, SCIENTIFIC EVALUATION, A PROBLEM-ORIENTED FOCUS, ADEQUATE INFERENCES, CON-TINUOUS MONITORING OF PROBLEM SOLUTIONS, AND USABLE PROBLEM SOLUTIONS. THE FOLLOWING ASPECTS OF APPLYING SCIENTIFIC METHODS TO THIS PROCESS ARE EXPLORED IN DETAIL: INTEGRATING SCIENCE INTO SOCIAL POLICY DECISIONS (EXPERIMENTAL SOCIAL INNOVATION), DISSEMINATING SIGNIFICANT PARAMETERS OF SOCIAL MODELS, DEFINING SOCIAL PROBLEMS AND PLANNING FOR SOLUTIONS, FORMING A RESEARCH TEAM, OBTAINING AD-MINISTRATIVE AGREEMENTS FOR THE CONDUCT OF RE-SEARCH, SELECTING RESEARCH CONDITIONS AND MAKING THEM COMPARABLE, DEFINING THE POPULATION TO BE STUDIED AND OBTAINING A SAMPLE, MEASURING IMPOR-TANT PARAMETERS IDENTIFIED IN THE RESEARCH DESIGN, ADMINISTERING THE RESEARCH PROGRAM, COMPARING EX-PERIMENTAL CONDITIONS, UNDERSTANDING SOCIAL PROC-ESSES, MAKING PERMISSIBLE INFERENCES FROM RE-SEARCH FINDINGS AND PUBLICIZING THEM, AND DEVELOP-ING AN INSTITUTIONAL CONTEXT FOR RESEARCH AND TRAINING. REFERENCES AND AN INDEX ARE INCLUDED. Availability: PERGAMON PRESS, INC, MAXWELL HOUSE, FAIR-VIEW PARK, ELMSFORD NY 10523.
- 14. R. L. FISHER. INSIDE VERSUS OUTSIDE EVALUATION RE-SEARCH-A CONTRIBUTION TO THE DEBATE. NATIONAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE ASSOCIATION, 444 NORTH CAPITOL STREET, NW, SUITE 305, WASHINGTON DC 20001. BELL-RINGER, ISSUE 7 (JULY 1978), P 7-10. NCJ-50031 PRINCIPAL ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF OUTSIDE AND INSIDE EVALUATION RESEARCH ARE DISCUSSED IN TERMS OF OB-JECTIVITY AND SENSITIVITY, AND ARRANGEMENTS FOR CONDUCTING AUTONOMOUS EVALUATIONS ARE SUGGEST-ED. THREE PRINCIPAL ARGUMENTS RELEVANT TO INSIDE VERSUS OUTSIDE EVALUATION RESEARCH ARE: (1) OUTSID-ERS TEND TO BE BRIGHTER THAN PEOPLE AVAILABLE WITHIN THE ORGANIZATION BEING EVALUATED; (2) OUTSID-ERS ARE MORE OBJECTIVE THAN INSIDERS; AND (3) INSID-ERS ARE MORE KNOWLEDGEABLE AND SENSITIVE THAN OUTSIDERS. IT IS LIKELY THAT BRIGHT PEOPLE WILL WORK

WHEREVER MONETARY COMPENSATION AND WORK CONDI-TIONS ARE ADEQUATE. MANY FIND THE SMALL RESEARCH FIRM WITH ITS UNIVERSITY-LIKE ATMOSPHERE MORE CON-GENIAL THAN THE LARGE GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY THAT OFTEN IS BEING EVALUATED. LARGE BUREAUCRACIES CAN AND DO, HOWEVER, HAVE AUTONOMOUS RESEARCH UNITS. NO CLEAR ADVANTAGE CAN ACCRUE TO INSIDERS OR OUT-SIDERS WITH REGARD TO OBJECTIVITY, GIVEN THE MULTI-PLICITY OF FACTORS AFFECTING THE OBJECTIVITY OF IN-VESTIGATORS. THE VIEW THAT INSIDERS ARE MORE KNOWLEDGEABLE AND SENSITIVE IS NOT SUPPORTED. THE CENTRAL QUESTION IN EVALUATION RESEARCH INVOLVES HOW TO GIVE EVALUATORS SUFFICIENT AUTONOMY TO EX-ERCISE THEIR BEST PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT AND SKILLS WHILE AT THE SAME TIME SUPERVISING THEM SO THAT THEIR WORK IS DIRECTED TO THE NEEDS OF CLIENTS TO OBTAIN ADEQUATE INFORMATION ON WHICH TO BASE POLICY DECISIONS. THERE ARE TWO ARRANGEMENTS FOR EFFECTIVE EVALUATION RESEARCH: (1) THE AUTONOMOUS EVALUATION WITHIN A LARGER AGENCY THAT HAS A COM-PONENT TO BE EVALUATED AND (2) A STRONG CONTRAC-TUAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN AN AGENCY AND AN OUT-SIDE EVALUATOR. FOOTNOTES ARE INCLUDED. Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

 M. S. GOLDSTEIN, A. C. MARCUS, and N. P. RAUSCH. NON-UTILIZATION OF EVALUATION RESEARCH. PACIFIC SOCIO-LOGICAL REVIEW, V 21, N 1 (JANUARY 1978), P 21-44.

NCJ-48820 FREQUENTLY CITED REASONS AND SOLUTIONS FOR THE NONUTILIZATION OF EVALUATION RESEARCH ARE EXAM-INED, AND RESEARCH IS VIEWED AS A SOCIAL PROCESS IN NEED OF SOCIOLOGICAL ANALYSIS. THE MOST FREQUENT-LY HEARD REASON FOR THE DISCOUNTING OF EVALUATION RESEARCH IS POOR METHODOLOGY AND DESIGN. THE LIT-ERATURE ON NONUTILIZATION EMPHASIZES THAT EVALUA-TORS OFTEN FAIL TO MEASURE THE MOST SIGNIFICANT VARIABLES. FOR EXAMPLE, ORGANIZATIONAL THEORY SUG-GESTS THAT ACTUAL GOALS, PROCESSES, AND THE STRUC-TURE OF AN ORGANIZATION TEND TO VARY FROM THEIR DESCRIPTIONS. IN THE MENTAL HEALTH FIELD, SELECTED OUTCOME MEASURES ARE OFTEN TOO ABSTRACT TO PRO-VIDE SATISFACTORY IMPLICATIONS FOR THE MODIFICATION OF INTERVENTION STRATEGIES. A NUMBER OF RESEARCH-ERS HAVE SUGGESTED POSSIBLE STRATEGIES FOR DEAL-ING WITH THE PROBLEMS OF EVALUATING 'PHANTOM' PRO-JECTS, SELECTING MEANINGFUL COMPARISON GROUPS. AND IDENTIFYING RELEVANT VARIABLES. WHAT THESE STRATEGIES HAVE IN COMMON IS AN EMPHASIS ON SOLV-ING PROBLEMS BY CONSULTING, COMMUNICATING, AND GENERALLY BECOMING MORE CONGRUENT WITH ADMINIS-TRATORS AND PROGRAM STAFF IN DEALING WITH ISSUES. THE STRATEGIC COMBINATION OF ETHNOGRAPHIC AND PARTICIPANT OBSERVATION FIELD METHODS, RECORD AUDITS, AND PERSONAL INTERVIEWS CAN BE USEFUL IN EVALUATING BEHAVIORAL GOALS AND ACTIVITIES OF A PROGRAM. ANOTHER WAY OF IMPROVING THE UTILITY OF EVALUATION RESEARCH IS TO STUDY THE DYNAMICS OF THE PROGRAM PROCESS. THE TRADITIONAL GOAL ATTAIN-MENT MODEL IN EVALUATING RESEARCH DOES NOT CON-SIDER THE IMPORTANT TASK OF IDENTIFYING THE DYNAM-ICS OF THE INTERVENTION THAT ARE DIFFERENTIALLY RE-SPONSIBLE FOR PROGRAM FAILURE OR SUCCESS. OTHER REASONS FOR THE NONUTILIZATION OF EVALUATION RE-SULTS CONCERN COMMUNICATION AND THE INTERESTS OF INVOLVED PARTIES (PROGRAM OFFICIALS, POLICYMAKERS, PROGRAM ADMINISTRATORS, AND EVALUATORS). THE EXTENT TO WHICH EVALUATION RESEARCH IS REDIRECTED FROM THE ASSESSMENT OF OUTCOMES AND GOAL ATTAIN-MENT TO AN EXCLUSIVE CONCERN WITH PROCESS IS A COMPLEX AND PROBLEMATIC ISSUE. REFERENCES ARE IN-CLUDED.

16. D. L. KIRKPATRICK, Ed. EVALUATING TRAINING PROGRAMS—A COLLECTION OF ARTICLES FROM THE JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT. AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT, POBOX 5307, MADISON WI 53705. 313 p. 1975.

ARTICLES PROVIDING TRAINING FOR PROFESSIONALS WITH MANY DIFFERENT IDEAS AND APPROACHES. TOPICS COVERED INCLUDE TECHNIQUES FOR EVALUATING TRAINING PROGRAMS, MEASURING THE REACTIONS OF PARTICLEMATS, MEASURING THE KNOWLEDGE, SKILLS, AND ATTITUDES THAT WERE LEARNED IN THE CLASSROOM. OTHER ARTICLES MEASURE ON-THE-JOB BEHAVIOR CHANGES THAT RESULTED FROM THE PROGRAM.

17. S. L. KLAUS. CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT PROGRAM EVALUATION. US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE NATIONAL CENTER ON CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT, 468 INDEPENDENCE AVENUE SW, WASHINGTON, DC 20013. 18 p. 1977. THE RESULTS OF A SYMPOSIUM HELD FOR THE PURPOSE OF RAISING QUESTIONS AND MAKING SUGGESTIONS ABOUT THE PROCESS OF EVALUATING CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT PREVENTION AND TREATMENT EFFORTS ARE PRESENTED. THE SYMPOSIUM'S PURPOSE WAS TO BRING TOGETHER KNOWLEDGEABLE PEOPLE IN THE AREA OF CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT AND THOSE KNOWLEDGEABLE ABOUT EVAL-UATION IN ORDER TO CONSIDER ISSUES RELATED TO PRO-GRAM EVALUATION AND TO SUGGEST WAYS IN WHICH FUTURE EVALUATIVE RESEARCH MIGHT CONTRIBUTE TO FURTHER PREVENTION AND TREATMENT EFFORTS. THE SYMPOSIUM WAS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF A PROTOTYPE CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT SERVICE DELIV-ERY MODEL. A SCHEMATIC OVERVIEW OF THE MODEL AP-PEARS IN THE REPORT. IT OUTLINES THE BROAD FUNC-TIONS WHICH HAVE TO BE PERFORMED IN THE DELIVERY OF SERVICES TO PARENTS AND CHILDREN, REGARDLESS OF THE PERSONS OR AGENCIES WHO PROVIDE THE SERV-ICES. THE BROADER CONTEXT WITHIN WHICH SYMPOSIUM PARTICIPANTS CONSIDERED THIS MODEL IS DESCRIBED. CONTENT AND CONTEXT VARIABLES, AS WELL AS OUTCOME MEASURES, ARE DEFINED. A SEPARATE SECTION PRESENTS EVALUATION QUESTIONS AND RESEARCH ISSUES BROUGHT OUT BY PARTICIPANTS. THE QUESTIONS ARE CATEGORIZED INTO THE FOLLOWING AREAS OF CONCERN: IDENTIFICA-TION/REPORTING: INTAKE/INVESTIGATION; ASSESSMENT/ PLANNING; AND TREATMENT/REFERRAL/FOLLOWUP, EACH SET OF QUESTIONS IS ACCOMPANIED BY A FLOW CHART WHICH PROVIDES MORE DETAIL ABOUT THE PARTICULAR STAGE OF THE PROCESS MODEL COVERED BY THE QUES-TIONS. IT WAS CONCLUDED THAT, ALTHOUGH THE SYMPO-SIUM DID NOT DIRECTLY CONTRIBUTE TO THE DEVELOP-MENT OF EVALUATIVE THEORY, IT DID FIND THAT THE USE OF A PROCESS MODEL FACILITATES THE CLASSIFICATION OF RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND ISSUES. A LIST OF PARTICI-PANTS IS APPENDED.

Supplemental Notes: A REPORT FROM A SYMPOSIUM ON EVALUATION QUESTIONS AND RESEARCH ISSUES, WASHINGTON, D.C., FEBRUARY 17-18, 1977.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE NATIONAL CENTER ON CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT, 468 INDEPENDENCE AVENUE SW, WASHINGTON, DC 20013.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

18. D. F. KLOSTERMAN. APPLICATION OF PERT (PROJECT EVALUATION AND REVIEW TECHNIQUE) IN EVALUATION OF HUMAN SERVICE PROGRAMS. PERGAMON PRESS, INC, MAXWELL HOUSE, FAIRVIEW PARK, ELMSFORD NY 10523. EVALUATION AND PROGRAM PLANNING, V 2, N 1 (1979), P 59-66. NCJ-61639 PROJECT EVALUATION AND REVIEW TECHNIQUE (PERT) IS USED TO EVALUATE THE COMMUNITY PLACEMENT PROCE-

DURES IN AN INSTITUTION FOR THE RETARDED FOR THE PURPOSE OF TESTING PERT'S USE WITH SUCH PROGRAMS. PERT ENABLES PROGRAM MANAGERS TO PLAN THE MOVE-MENT TOWARD PROGRAM OBJECTIVES AND MONITOR THE PROGRESS MADE TOWARD OBTAINING OBJECTIVES AT ANY POINT IN TIME. A PERT ANALYSIS IDENTIFIES A NETWORK OF ACTIVITIES, THEIR CONSEQUENCES, AND THE TIME NEEDED FOR EACH ACTIVITY. ANALYSIS OF THE PERT NET-WORK AND THE TIME ESTIMATES FOR VARIOUS ACTIVITIES PROVIDES INFORMATION TO EVALUATE A NETWORK'S ABILI-TY TO MEET TIME-LIMITED PROGRAM OBJECTIVES. POTEN-TIAL PROCESS CHANGES TO INCREASE NETWORK EFFICIEN-CY ARE IDENTIFIED BY PINPOINTING SEQUENTIAL ACTIVI-TIES THAT CAN BE PERFORMED CONCURRENTLY AND BY LOCATING ACTIVITIES THAT CAN BE STREAMLINED. IN THE CASE DECRIBED, PERT WAS USED TO ASSESS THE PRO-GRAM OF THE VOCATIONAL TRAINING UNIT AT A LARGE IN-STITUTION FOR THE RETARDED. THE PROGRAM OBJECTIVE WAS TO TRAIN AND PLACE 82 RETARDED RESIDENTS INTO VARIOUS COMMUNITY SETTINGS DURING THE 1975-1977 BI-ENNIUM. SINCE THE SITUATION INDICATED A NEED FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE CURRENT PROCEDURES AND NEEDED PROCEDURAL CHANGES, PERT WAS USED. THE STUDY ASCERTAINED THE STEPS TAKEN BY RESIDENTS FROM ENTRANCE INTO THE UNIT TO ENTRANCE INTO THE COMMUNITY. PERT NETWORKS FOR VOCATIONAL STEPS AND LIVING (COTTAGE) STEPS WERE MADE FOR TWO RESI-DENT POPULATION SAMPLES: (1) RESIDENTS PLACED INTO THE COMMUNITY AND MONITORED BY THE UNIT, AND (2) RESIDENTS RANDOMLY SELECTED FROM THE PERSONS AS-SIGNED TO THE UNIT ON AUGUST 31, 1975. THE LITERATURE RECOMMENDS THE USE OF PERT FOR ANY NEW OR NOVEL PROJECT HAVING LIMITED TIME OR MONEY RESOURCES. BASED UPON THE RESULTS ON THIS STUDY, TWO ADDITION-AL APPLICATIONS SEEM APPROPRIATE: (1) THE LARGE NUMBER OF RECOMMENDATIONS GENERATED INDICATES THAT PERT CAN BE HELPFUL FOR DEFINING POORLY UN-DERSTOOD PROCESSES AND EVALUATING THE CAPABILITY OF THOSE PROCESSES TO MEET TIME-LIMITED OBJECTIVES; AND (2) PERT-DERIVED INFORMATION HAS CONSIDERABLE VALUE AT THE CASE REVIEW LEVEL, AS KNOWLEDGE OF WAYS IN WHICH CLIENTS OBTAIN SERVICES CAN PROVIDE DATA FOR ANALYZING PROGRESS OF INDIVIDUAL CLIENTS. TABULAR AND GRAPHIC DATA AND REFERENCES ARE PRO-

19. M. G. KUSHLER and W. S. DAVIDSON. USING EXPERIMEN-TAL DESIGNS TO EVALUATE SOCIAL PROGRAMS. NA-TIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SOCIAL WORKERS, 49 SHERIDAN AVENUE, ALBANY NY 12210. SOCIAL WORK RESEARCH (1979), P 27-32. AND ABSTRACTS A POSITIVE RATIONALE FOR THE USE OF TRUE EXPERIMEN-TAL DESIGNS IN THE EVALUATION OF SOCIAL PROGRAMS IS PRESENTED; MAJOR OBJECTIONS TO RANDOMIZATION ARE REVIEWED AND ARGUMENTS TO COUNTER THEM HIGH-LIGHTED. INCREASING PRESSURE TO EVALUATE SOCIAL SERVICE PROGRAMS HAS LED TO A DEBATE REGARDING WHICH RESEARCH DESIGNS FORM THE BASIS OF SOUND ASSESSMENTS. SOCIAL SCIENTISTS ARE DIRECTLY IN-VOLVED, WITH INPUT FROM THE POLITICAL SPHERE. DE-SPITE THE NUMEROUS ESSAYS DETAILING THE CLEAR METHODOLOGICAL AND STATISTICAL SUPERIORITY OF THE SO-CALLED TRUE EXPERIMENT, WHICH MAKES USE OF THE RANDOM ASSIGNMENT OF SUBJECTS TO EXPERIMENTAL AND CONTROL GROUPS, THIS APPROACH IS NOT USED IN ALL APPROPRIATE CASES. SOME OF THE COMMON OBJEC-TIONS RAISED ABOUT RANDOMIZATION INCLUDE THE ETHI-CAL PROBLEM OF WITHHOLDING TREATMENT FROM THE CONTROL GROUP, THE PROBLEM OF CONVENIENCE, AND THE QUESTION OF COST. BUT THE GREATEST RESISTANCE STEMS FROM A MORE GENERAL FEAR AND RESISTANCE OF

EVALUATION ON THE PART OF ADMINISTRATORS AND STAFF; EVALUATION MAKES THEM FEEL THREATENED. THE FACT IS, HOWEVER, THAT A RANDOMIZED DESIGN REVEALS THE TRUE POSITIVE EFFECTS OF EXPERIMENTAL TREAT-MENT. A POSITIVE COUNTERARGUMENT TO ADMINISTRA-TORS' FEARS OF NEGATIVE EVALUATION RESULTS IS THAT NOT USING RANDOMIZATION AND A TRUE EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN IS LIKELY TO HAMPER FINDING DEMONSTRABLE POSITIVE RESULTS. THIS ARGUMENT IS ILLUSTRATED IN ONE SPECIFIC CASE IN WHICH THE USE OF A SINGLE-GROUP DESIGN EVALUATION WOULD HAVE LED TO THE CONCLUSION THAT THE PROGRAM WAS INEFFECTIVE; ONLY THROUGH USE OF A RANDOMLY DETERMINED CON-TROL GROUP WERE THE PROGRAM'S POSITIVE EFFECTS OBVIOUS. NOTES, REFERENCES, AND FIGURES ARE INCLUD-ED IN THE ARTICLE.

Sponsoring Agency: NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MENTAL HEALTH C/O CHARLES WINDLE PHD, ROOM 11C3, 5600 FISHER'S LANE, ROCKVILLE, MD 20852.

- 20. K. C. LYALL, R. C. LARSON, S. E. FIENBERG, K. LARNTZ, A. J. REISS JR, F. E. ZIMRING, and T. D. COOK. CRITIQUES AND COMMENTARIES ON EVALUATION RESEARCH ACTIVITIES— RUSSELL SAGE REPORTS. MINNEAPOLIS MEDICAL RE-SEARCH FOUNDATION, INC, 619 SOUTH FIFTH STREET, MIN-NEAPOLIS MN 55415. EVALUATION, V 3, N 1-2 (1976), P NCJ-50267 AN EXPERIMENT DESIGNED BY THE RUSSELL SAGE FOUN-DATION TO BRIDGE THE GAP BETWEEN ACADEMICS AND PRACTITIONERS PROFESSIONALLY CONCERNED WITH EVAL-UATION RESEARCH IS DISCUSSED. A SERIES OF INSERTS CONTAINS REVIEWS AND CRITIQUES OF EVALUATION STUD-IES, ASSESSMENTS, AND SHORT METHODOLOGICAL NOTES INTENDED TO INFORM PRACTITIONERS OF ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES EMERGING IN SCHOLARLY JOURNALS. FEDER-AL INITIATIVES MANDATING SYSTEMATIC EVALUATIONS OF LEGISLATIVE PROGRAMS HAVE BROADENED THE INTEREST IN AND URGENCY FOR MORE SOPHISTICATED METHODS OF ANALYSIS. CLOSER ATTENTION IS DIRECTED TOWARD AU-DITING AND COST-EFFECTIVENESS EVALUATIONS OF SOCIAL PROGRAMS AND TOWARD THE RELATIVE EFFICIEN-CY OF CENTRALIZED VERSUS DECENTRALIZED PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION AND ASSOCIATED PROBLEMS OF AC-COUNTABILITY. IT IS HOPED THAT THE CITED MATERIALS WILL INTEGRATE TECHNICAL AND METHODOLOGICAL AD-VANCES WITH POLICY AND PROGRAMMATIC ASPECTS OF EVALUATION RESEARCH. THE INSERTS CONCERN A MAJOR FIELD EXPERIMENT IN LAW ENFORCEMENT REFERRED TO AS THE KANSAS CITY PREVENTIVE PATROL EXPERIMENT IN MISSOURI, A PROPOSED REDESIGN OF THE EXPERIMENT FOR USE IN OTHER CITIES IS PRESENTED, ALONG WITH A GUIDE TO THE METHODOLOGICAL LITERATURE ON THE ANALYSIS OF NONEQUIVALENT CONTROL GROUP DESIGNS. CONSIDERATION IS GIVEN TO THE USE OF BALANCED DE-SIGNS TO DETECT SMALLER RESPONSES THAN ARE POSSI-BLE WITH RANDOM DESIGNS, CONTRASTS BETWEEN CON-TROLLED EXPERIMENTS AND RELIANCE ON THE ANALYSIS OF CROSS-SECTION DATA IN DETERRENCE LITERATURE, DE-TERRENCE POLICY ALTERNATIVES, AND ECONOMETRIC STUDIES. REFERENCES ARE INCLUDED.
- 21. S. S. NAGEL and M. NEEF. POLICY ANALYSIS—IN SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212. 240 p. 1979. NCJ-59067

 THIS BOOK IS INTENDED FOR USE IN COURSES IN METHODOLOGY THAT EMPHASIZE CONTROVERSIAL ASPECTS OF METHODOLOGY, NEWER POLICY ANAYLSIS, AND PROBLEMS OF INFERENCE AND PREDICTION. THE CONTROVERSIAL PROBLEMS FOCUSED ON INCLUDE SUCH ISSUES AS THE POSSIBILITY OF UNREPRESENTATIVE CHANCE SAMPLING AND ITS EFFECT ON THE CONFIRMATION OR REFUTATION

OF A HYPOTHESIS; THE PREDICTABILITY OF A PERSON, PLACE, OR THING ON A VARIABLE AND THE CRITERION TO USE IN DECIDING THE BEST WAY TO PREDICT; AND THE PRESENCE OF SPURIOUS, JOINT, RECIPROCAL, AND OTHER FORMS OF CAUSATION THAT MIGHT AFFECT RESULTS. THIS BOOK IMPLICITLY RECOGNIZES A HIERARCHY OF SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH METHODS AND EACH LEVEL IN THE HIERARCHY PRESUPPOSES AN AWARENESS OF MORE ELE-MENTARY LEVELS WHILE LEADING TO MORE SOPHISTICAT-ED LEVELS. PART ONE ON BASIC SOCIAL SCIENCE RE-SEARCH PRESENTS AN INVENTORY MODELING AND DECI-SION THEORY APPROACH TO DETERMINING AN OPTIMUM LEVEL OF STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE, DISCUSSES ALTER-NATIVE METHODS AND CRITERIA FOR PREDICTING RANDOM-LY, PREDICTING FROM KNOWING HOW THE DATA HAS BEEN DISTRIBUTED ON THE VARIABLE BEING PREDICTED, AND PREDICTING FROM KNOWING SOMETHING ABOUT THE RE-LATION BETWEEN THE VARIABLE BEING PREDICTED AND ANOTHER VARIABLE; AND INTRODUCES MEASURES FOR DE-TERMINING AND REJECTING CAUSATION. THE SECTION ON POLICY ANALYSIS METHODS DISCUSSES COMBINING AND RELATING GOALS AND PROCESSING THE COMBINATIONS AND RELATIONS; FINDING AN OPTIMUM CHOICE, LEVEL, OR MIX IN PUBLIC POLICY ANALYSIS; DEDUCTIVE MODELING IN POLICY ANALYSIS, INCLUDING BOTH CAUSAL AND PRE-SCRIPTIVE MODELING; AND APPLYING, POLICY ANALYSIS AND SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH TO DELAY REDUCTIONS. THIS LAST CHAPTER TAKES UP QUEUEING THEORY, OPTI-MUM SEQUENCING, CRITICAL PATH METHOD AND FLOW CHART MODELS, OPTIMUM LEVEL AND MIX ANALYSIS, OPTI-MUM CHOICE ANALYSIS, AND MARKOV CHAIN ANALYSIS. CHAPTERS CLOSE WITH CONCLUSIONS, REFERENCES, AND APPENDIXES WHICH PRESENT THE MODELS AND FORMULAS REFERRED TO IN THE TEXT. OVERVIEW APPENDIXES SUM-MARIZE THE MAIN FORMULAS IN STATISTICAL ANALYSIS AND DISCUSS PROBLEMS RELATING TO MISSING DATA. AN INDEX IS PROVIDED.

Supplemental Notes: SAGE LIBRARY OF SOCIAL RESEARCH,

Sponsoring Agencies: FORD FOUNDATION, 320 EAST 43RD STREET, NEW YORK NY 10017; ILLINOIS LAW ENFORCE-MENT COMMISSION, 120 SOUTH RIVERSIDE PLAZA, 10TH FLOOR, CHICAGO IL 60606; UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS RESEARCH BOARD.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

PATTON. UTILIZATION-FOCUSED EVALUATION. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEV-FRLY HILLS CA 90212. 304 p. 1978. THIS VOLUME COMBINES THEORETICAL AND PRACTICAL AP-PROACHES IN AN EXAMINATION OF BOTH HOW TO CON-DUCT EVALUATIONS AND WHY. THE MATERIAL PRESENTED EMERGED FROM MANY SOURCES: STUDIES OF THE UTILIZA-TION OF EVALUATION; EXPERIENCES CONDUCTING EVALUA-TION; CURRENT THEORIES OF FORMAL ORGANIZATION AND ORGANIZATIONAL DYNAMICS; RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN DECISIONMAKING THEORY AND POLICY ANALYSIS; WORK IN THE DIFFUSION OF INNOVATIONS AND UTILIZATION OF KNOWLEDGE; AND MANY SOURCES IN THE RAPIDLY GROW-ING EVALUATION RESEARCH LITERATURE. EACH CHAPTER CONTAINS BOTH A REVIEW OF THE RELEVANT LITERATURE AND ACTUAL CASE EXAMPLES TO ILLUSTRATE MAJOR POINTS. THE SUBJECT AREAS COVERED INCLUDE: THE EMERGENCE OF EVALUATION RESEARCH; AN EMPIRICAL PERSPECTIVE ON UTILIZATION OF EVALUATION IN PRAC-TICE; THE POWER OF EVALUATIONS (IN THE SENSE OF PO-LITICAL AND GROUP RELATIONS); THE IDENTIFICATION AND ORGANIZATION OF RELEVANT DECISIONMAKERS AND IN-FORMATION USERS; FOCUSING THE EVALUATION QUES-TION; GOALS CLARIFICATION; THE GOAL OF GOALS (WHETH-

ER IT IS ALWAYS DESIRABLE TO SET A FIRM GOAL); THE EVALUATION OF PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION; EVALUATING CAUSAL LINKAGES; ALTERNATIVE PARADIGMS OF EVALUATION MEASUREMENT AND DESIGN; THE ANALYSIS, INTERPRETATION, DISSEMINATION, AND UTILIZATION OF EVALUATION DATA; AND THE UTILIZATION-FOCUSED EVALUATION. A DETAILED OUTLINE OF THE UTILIZATION-FOCUSED APPROACH IS PROVIDED, AS ARE SELECTED REFERENCES. ASSORTED TABLES ARE INCLUDED.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE. BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

23. R. PERLOFF, Ed. EVALUATOR INTERVENTIONS—PROS AND CONS. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVER-LY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212. 120 p. 1979. NC.1-63678

BOTH CONCEPTUAL AND METHODOLOGICAL APPROACHES IN EVALUATIVE STUDIES ARE EMPHASIZED IN THIS SERIES OF SELECTED PAPERS ON VARIOUS ISSUES IN EVALUATION AND DECISIONMAKING. PART OF A SERIES OF UNPUBLISHED WRITINGS ON CURRENT TOPICS OF CONCERN TO THE EVAL-UATION COMMUNITY, THE FIRST ARTICLE DESCRIBES A COMPLEX EFFORT TO EVALUATE SEVEN SCHOOL DESEGRE-GATION PLANS IN LOS ANGELES. DISTRICT OFFICIALS, BOARD MEMBERS, PLAINTIFFS, AND INTERVENORS IN THE COURT CASE SUPPLIED WEIGHTS FOR THE EVALUATIVE DI-MENSIONS OF A MULTIATTRIBUTE UTILITY ANALYSIS. THE PROBABLE PERFORMANCE FOR EACH PLAN ON EACH EVAL-UATIVE DIMENSION WAS JUDGED BY DISTRICT STAFF, AND AGGREGATE MEASURES OF BENEFITS AND OF BENEFIT/ COST RATIOS WERE COMPUTED. THE EVALUATOR'S ETHICS AND RESPONSIBILITIES ARE DISCUSSED IN A SECOND ARTI-CLE WHICH DISTINGUISHES BETWEEN PROGRAM SPECIAL-ISTS, ADMINISTRATORS, FUNDING AGENTS, THE PUBLIC, AND EVALUATION SPECIALISTS AND SUGGESTS THAT EVA-LUATORS SHOULD STRIVE TO SEPARATE THEIR FUNCTIONS AS DATA GATHERERS FROM THEIR VALUES CONCERNING A PARTICULAR SOCIAL AGENDA. IN ANOTHER PAPER, THE AU-THORS FOCUS ON DECISIONMAKING THAT FOLLOWS THE EVALUATION OF A SPECIFIED PROGRAM AND INTEGRATES EVALUATION INTO THE KNOWLEDGE UTILIZATION PROCESS. THE FINAL ARTICLE DISCUSSES THE PROS AND CONS OF IN-TERVENTION, ENUMERATES THE COSTS AND BENEFITS, AND SUGGESTS THAT THE ADVANTAGES OF INTERVENTION APPEAR POSITIVE WHEN THE TOOLS ARE AVAILABLE AND WHEN EVALUATORS ARE VIEWED AS POTENTIALLY HELP-FUL. REFERENCES AND BRIEF DESCRIPTIONS OF THE AU-THORS ARE PROVIDED.

Supplemental Notes: SAGE RESEARCH PROGRESS SERIES IN EVALUATION.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCA-TION, AND WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, 1200 19TH STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20203.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

24. J. I. PORRAS and P. O. BERG. EVALUATION METHODOLO-GY IN ORGANIZATION DEVELOPMENT—AN ANALYSIS AND CRITIQUE. INSTITUTE FOR APPLIED BEHAVIORAL SCIENCE. JOURNAL OF APPLIED BEHAVIORAL SCIENCE, NCJ-50827 (APRIL-JUNE 1978), P 151-173. A STATE-OF-THE-ART REVIEW OF EVALUATION METHODOLO-GY IN ORGANIZATION DEVELOPMENT -- A TERM USED TO DE-SCRIBE A WIDE RANGE OF SOCIAL SCIENCE-BASED AP-PROACHES TO PLANNED ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE--IS PRESENTED. A LITERATURE SEARCH YIELDED 35 EMPIRICAL STUDIES IN ORGANIZATION DEVELOPMENT FOR THE PERIOD 1959 TO MID-1975. EACH STUDY WAS ANALYZED IN TERMS OF RESEARCH DESIGN, DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURES, CHARACTERISTICS OF SUBJECTS, TREATMENT DIMENSIONS, AND APPROACHES TO DATA ANALYSIS. IT IS CONCLUDED THAT THE OVERALL QUALITY OF RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

IN ORGANIZATION DEVELOPMENT HAS BEEN INCONSISTENT. RESEARCH DESIGNS HAVE BEEN FAIRLY STRONG, WITH MANY INVESTIGATORS RELYING ON QUASIEXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS. THERE HAS BEEN AN EXCESSIVE RELIANCE ON QUESTIONNAIRES AS THE SOLE APPROACH TO DATA COL-LECTION. MOST STUDIES HAVE BEEN CONDUCTED IN ONE ORGANIZATION OR IN SITUATIONS IN WHICH THE NUMBERS OF UNITS OF ANALYSIS LARGER THAN THE INDIVIDUAL WERE SMALL (LESS THAN 10). MOST PROJECTS REPORT USING MORE THAN ONE INTERVENTION. LABORATORY TRAINING (BOTH PROCESS-ORIENTED AND TASK-ORIENTED) IS THE MOST COMMON INTERVENTION. THERE HAS BEEN A STRONG SHIFT FROM PROCESS TO TASK ORIENTATION IN MORE RECENT YEARS, OVER 75 PERCENT OF THE STUDIES REPORTED USING STATISTICAL TESTS OF SIGNIFICANCE. DATA ANALYSIS IS BECOMING MORE SOPHISTICATED, BUT THE VAST MAJORITY OF STUDIES HAVE USED VERY SIMPLE ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES. RESEARCHERS IN ORGANIZA-TION DEVELOPMENT SHOULD DO THE FOLLOWING: MAKE GREATER USE OF QUASIEXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS AND THE MULTIPLE MEASUREMENT APPROACHES; FOCUS ON ORGA-NIZATION DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS IN ORGANIZATIONS THAT CONTAIN LARGE NUMBERS OF HIGHLY COMPARABLE SUBSYSTEMS; STUDY ORGANIZATIONS OVER LONGER PERI-ODS OF TIME; INCREASE THE FREQUENCY WITH WHICH RE-SEARCH VARIABLES ARE MEASURED; MAKE DATA COLLEC-TION METHODS MORE ECLECTIC (BY INTERVIEWS, OBSER-VATIONS, AND OTHER APPROACHES TO SUPPLEMENT QUES-TIONNAIRES); AND MAKE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES MORE MULTIVARIATE. A LIST OF REFERENCES IS INCLUDED. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED)

25. R. F. RICH, Ed. TRANSLATING EVALUATION INTO POLICY. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEV-ERLY HILLS CA 90212. 160 p. 1979. TRANSLATING RESEARCH RESULTS INTO POLICY IS DIS-CUSSED FROM THE PERSPECTIVES OF BOTH PRACTITION-ERS AND ACADEMICIANS. THE PRACTITIONER'S PERSPEC-TIVE IS PROVIDED IN DISCUSSIONS OF THE ROLE OF EVALU-ATION RESEARCH IN MENTAL HEALTH DECISIONMAKING. THESE PAPERS COVER EVALUATION FROM THE LAW EN-FORCEMENT PERSPECTIVE (LEAA); (2) IN RELATION TO TWO NATIONALLY SUPPORTED COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAMS, THE COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS (CMHC) AND THE COMMUNITY SUPPORT PROGRAM (CSP); IN RELATION TO A CSP IN NEW JERSEY; AND IN RELATION TO A CMHC FROM A LOCAL AGENCY VIEWPOINT. ANOTHER AR-TICLE ABOUT AID TO DEVELOPING COUNTRIES POINTS OUT THAT EVALUATION SYSTEMS SHOULD TRACK INPUTS AND OUTPUTS AGAINST SCHEDULES, MEASURE PROJECT EF-FECTS, DIAGNOSE PROBLEMS, AND PRESCRIBE SOLUTIONS. THE ACADEMICIAN'S PERSPECTIVE IS PROVIDED IN THE DE-LINEATION OF THE APPROPRIATE FRAMEWORK IN WHICH TO ORGANIZE RESEARCH FINDINGS. A STRATEGY FOR ME-DIATING COMPETING PERSPECTIVES IS SUGGESTED, AND THE NEED TO UNDERSTAND THE OVERALL PROBLEMSOLV-ING PROCESS IS IDENTIFIED. FINALLY, EMPIRICAL RESULTS ARE PRESENTED FROM STUDIES DESIGNED TO ASSESS THE EFFECTIVENESS WITH WHICH EVALUATION IS TRANSLATED INTO POLICY. TABULAR DATA, REFERENCES, AND FOON-OTES ARE INCLUDED. FOR INDIVIDUAL ARTICLES, SEE NCJ 63681-84. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED)

Supplemental Notes: VOLUME 3 OF THE SAGE RESEARCH PROGRESS SERIES IN EVALUATION.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

26. P. H. ROSSI, H. E. FREEMAN, and S. R. WRIGHT. EVALUA-TION—A SYSTEMATIC APPROACH. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212. 336 p. 1979. NCJ-65199

TO ASSIST BOTH THE PRACTICING EVALUATOR AND THE AP-PLIED SOCIAL RESEARCH STUDENT IN CONDUCTING COM-PREHENSIVE EVALUATIONS, THIS VOLUME SKETCHES PRO-GRAM REQUIREMENTS FOR EVALUATION AND DETAILS EVALUATION RESEARCH TASKS. THE USES OF EVALUATION RESEARCH ARE DISCUSSED, WITH ILLUSTRATIONS OF THE DIVERSITY OF HUMAN RESOURCES REQUIRING EVALUA-TIONS, A BRIEF HISTORICAL OVERVIEW OF CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE SYSTEMATIC APPROACH TO EVALUATIONS OF SOCIAL PROGRAMS, A DESCRIPTION OF THE TYPES OF EVALUATIONS (RESEARCH FOR PROGRAM PLANNING, PRO-GRAM MONITORING, IMPACT ASSESSMENT, AND RESEARCH OR PROJECT EFFICIENCY), AND A SUMMARY OF EVALUA-TION APPROACHES. FOR BOTH POLICY-LEVEL AND TECHNI-CAL/ADMINISTRATIVE-LEVEL EVALUATIONS, THE REQUIRE-MENTS OF DEVELOPING AN INTERVENTION MODEL AND DE-FINING TARGETS ARE DISCUSSED, INCLUDING DESCRIP-TIONS OF SUCH ELEMENTS OF THE MODEL AS THE CAUSAL HYPOTHESIS, THE INTERVENTION HYPOTHESIS, AND THE ACTION HYPOTHESIS. RESEARCH RELATED TO PROGRAM PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT IS OUTLINED AS IT PERTAINS TO THE PLANNING AND DESIGN PHASE OF INTERVENTIONS. THE GOAL IS TO DESIGN AN INTERVENTION THAT IS DIRECT-ED TO THE PROBLEM AS CONCEIVED, THAT REACHES THE TARGET POPULATION DESIRED, AND THAT DELIVERS THE SERVICES INVOLVED AS INTENDED. DISCUSSION FOCUSES ON THE ASSESSMENT OF NEEDS AS A CRITICAL FIRST STEP IN THE DESIGN OF A SOCIAL INTERVENTION, PROCEDURES FOR TARGET PROBLEM AND POPULATION IDENTIFICATION, THE SELECTION OF PROGRAM TARGETS, AND FORMATIVE RESEARCH ON DELIVERY SYSTEMS. TO HELP EVALUATORS ANSWER QUESTIONS ABOUT THE ACTUAL IMPLEMENTATION OF OPERATING PROGRAMS, PROCEDURES FOR MONITOR-ING THE PARTICIPATION OF PROGRAM TARGETS ARE CON-SIDERED, ALONG WITH METHODS OF ASSESSING DELIVERY OF SERVICES, AND RECEIPT OF FEEDBACK FROM MONITOR-ING. THIS VOLUME ALSO PRESENTS A FRAMEWORK FOR IMPACT ASSESSMENT (ESTABLISHING WHETHER AN INTER-VENTION IS PRODUCING ITS INTENDED EFFECTS). BOTH RIG-OROUS AND APPROXIMATE METHODS OF IMPACT ASSESS-MENT ARE DESCRIBED. PERSPECTIVES ON MEASURING THE EFFICIENCY OF SOCIAL PROGRAMS ARE DISCUSSED, IN-CLUDING BASIC CONCEPTS AND METHODOLOGY OF COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS, COST-EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS, AND PRACTICAL GUIDELINES FOR ASSESSING COSTS. FINAL-LY, POLICY ISSUES AND THE CONTEXTS AND ORGANIZA-TIONAL ENVIRONMENTS UNDER WHICH EVALUATIONS ARE UNDERTAKEN ARE CONSIDERED. TABULAR DATA, FOOT-NOTES, REFERENCES, SOME DEFINITIONS, AND A SUBJECT AND AUTHOR INDEX ARE INCLUDED. DEFINITIONS OF KEY CONCEPTS ARE PROVIDED FOR EACH CHAPTER.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

27. H. C. SCHULBERG and J. M. TERRELL, Eds. EVALUATOR AND MANAGEMENT. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212. 159 p. 1979.

NCJ-63825 THIS BOOK IS A COMPENDIUM OF ARTICLES CONCERNED **EVALUATION** UTILIZATION AND EVALUATOR-MANAGER RELATIONSHIP. AN INTRODUCTION ASSESSES THE PROBLEM OF INSUFFICIENT EVALUATION, ARGUES FOR METAEVALUATION DEVELOPMENT, AND SUM-MARIZES THE ARTICLES TO FOLLOW. THE AREA OF PRIMA-RY CONCERN IS EVALUATION UTILIZATION PRACTICES IN SOCIAL SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS, SINCE THESE PRESENT SPECIAL PROBLEMS TO THE EVALUATOR. SEVERAL ESSAYS DEAL WITH THE EVALUATOR-MANAGER DIFFERENCES OF PERSPECTIVE AND PRESCRIBE STRATEGIES FOR EVALU-ATOR ROLE EFFECTIVENESS. ONE CONTRIBUTOR PRE-SENTS A MODEL DERIVED FROM ANALYZING A SUCCESSFUL

COOPERATIVE EFFORT BETWEEN MANAGERS AND EVALUA-TORS ON A LEGAL REFORM PROJECT. METHODOLOGICAL SUGGESTIONS TO THE EVALUATOR INCLUDE SPECIFYING AN EVALUATION'S PURPOSE AND USING IT AS A MEASURE OF UTILIZATION. A SET OF ARGUMENTS ARE PRESENTED FOR A MORE TECHNOLOGICAL ORIENTATION FOR EVALUA-TORS TO AVOID PHILOSOPHICAL CONFLICT WITH MANAG-ERS. A STUDY OF UTILIZATION YIELDS EVIDENCE THAT METHODOLOGICAL PRACTICES AFFECT MANAGEMENT'S RE-SPONSE TO EVALUATION FINDINGS. THE MANNER IN WHICH AN EVALUATION IS DESIGNED AND CONDUCTED MAY THUS IMPEDE ITS UTILIZATION. CITIZEN PARTICIPATION IN EVALU-ATIVE UNDERTAKINGS MAY REDUCE ADMINISTRATION DIS-REGARD FOR ASSESSMENT-DERIVED CONCLUSIONS. EVALU-ATION UTILIZATION SEEMS DEPENDENT UPON IMPROVED RELATIONS AND BETTER MUTUAL UNDERSTANDING BE-TWEEN EVALUATORS AND MANAGERS. CHARTS AND TABLES AND A LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS ARE INCLUDED. REFERENCES ARE PROVIDED FOR EACH CHAPTER.

Supplemental Notes: VOLUME 4 OF THE SAGE RESEARCH PROGRESS SERIES IN EVALUATION.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

28. M. SIZEMORE and M. ERNST. METHODOLOGICAL HAZ-ARDS IN CONDUCTING EVALUATION RESEARCH. 10 p. NCJ-50522

SEVERAL PROBLEMATIC AREAS IN EVALUATION RESEARCH ARE DISCUSSED TO PREPARE RESEARCHERS TO DEAL WITH REAL SITUATIONS DURING PROGRAM EVALUATION. HAZ-ARDS WHICH MAY IMPEDE THE PROGRESS OF THE EVALUA-TION EFFORT AND THE DECISION MADE FROM THE DATA ARE REVIEWED FOR THESE PROBLEM AREAS: (1) DEFINI-TION OF THE VARIABLES TO BE ASSESSED; (2) DELINEATION OF THE RESEARCH POPULATION; (3) PROCESS OF SAMPLE SELECTION; (4) IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RESEARCH IN THE FIELD; AND (5) STATISTICAL PRESENTATION OF THE DATA. AGREEMENT SHOULD BE MADE AMONG RESEARCHERS ON THE DEFINITION OF WHAT IS TO BE STUDIED BEFORE ACTUAL MEASUREMENT AND ALL RELEVANT VARIABLES SHOULD BE CLARIFIED. THE IDENTIFICATION OF SAMPLE SUBTYPES MUST BE AGREED UPON, AND THE RESEARCHER SHOULD MAINTAIN A CONCEPTUAL PURITY REGARDING THE MIX OF SERVICE TYPES WITHIN ANY PARTICULAR SUB-GROUP. THE TIME FRAME USED FOR EVALUATION SHOULD BE SUFFICIENT TO OBTAIN LEGITIMATE RESULTS. TO ENSURE REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLE SELECTION, AGENCY COMMUNICATION BETWEEN ADMINISTRATORS AND SERVICE PERSONNEL SHOULD ALWAYS BE KEPT OPEN. PROBLEMS IN RESEARCH IMPLEMENTATION INCLUDE REFUSAL RATES AND NONCOOPERATIVE OR NONTRUTHFUL RESPONSES FROM THE SAMPLE POPULATION. IN PRESENTING DATA, THE EVALUATOR SHOULD CONSIDER POSSIBLE DIFFERENCES IN THE INTERESTS OF THE AGENCY PERSONNEL AND AVOID PREMATURE EXPOSURE TO DATA WHICH MIGHT LATER BE REVISED AFTER FURTHER ANALYSIS.

Supplemental Notes: PRESENTED AT THE SOUTHWEST SOCIAL SCIENCE CONVENTION, HOUSTON, TEXAS, APRIL 12-15, 1978.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

29) S. STRASSER and O. L. DENISTON. PRE- AND POST-PLANNED EVALUATION—WHICH IS PREFERABLE? PERGAMON PRESS, INC, MAXWELL HOUSE, FAIRVIEW PARK, ELMSFORD NY 10523. EVALUATION AND PROGRAM PLANNING, V 1, N 3 (1978), P 195-202. NCJ-54797

THE ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF THE 'PRE-PLANNED' AND THE 'POSTPLANNED' EVALUATION APPROACH ARE COMPARED AND A CHECKLIST IS PRESENTED TO HELP PROGRAM MANAGERS DECIDE WHICH PLAN TO USE DESPITE THE GROWING INTEREST IN THE USE OF PRE-

PLANNED EVALUATION, THE POSTPLANNED METHOD HAS MANY ADVANTAGES WHICH OFTEN GO UNNOTICED. PRE-PLANNING, IN WHICH THE EVALUATION IS DEVELOPED BEFORE PROGRAM ACTIVITIES BEGIN, FACILITATES THE COLLECTION OF MORE RELIABLE DATA, AIDS IN THE COL-LECTION OF BASELINE DATA (WHICH IS OFTEN UNAVAIL-ABLE IN POSTPLANNED DESIGNS), AND INCREASES INTER-NAL VALIDITY. (THAT IS, IT IS EASIER TO ESTABLISH CAUSES AND EFFECTS). THEORETICALLY, THIS APPROACH CAN BE GENERALIZED TO OTHER PROGRAMS (THAT IS, HAVE THE SAME EXTERNAL VALIDITY) AS POSTPLANNED DESIGNS, HOWEVER, THIS IS NOT TRUE BECAUSE THE EVALUATION BECOMES PART OF THE COMPLETE FABRIC OF THE PRO-GRAM LIFE. PREPLANNING MAY ALSO INTERFERE WITH PRO-GRAM FUNCTIONING AND THE EVALUATION MAY BE PER-CEIVED AS A THREAT BY PROGRAM PERSONNEL. THERE IS ALSO EVIDENCE THAT A PREPLANNED EVALUATION STRUC-TURE MAY INHIBIT FLEXIBILITY. FOR POSTPLANNED DE-SIGNS, DATA COLLECTION IS LESS COSTLY, DANGER OF IN-FLUENCING PROGRAM OPERATION IS MINIMAL, AND PER-SONNEL ARE NOT AS THREATENED. A TABLE SUMMARIZES THE CHARACTERISTICS OF EACH. SEVERAL CHARTS ILLUS-TRATE THESE DIFFERENCES AND A RECEPTIVITY CONTIN-UUM LISTS CHARACTERISTICS OF STAFF AND MANAGEMENT MOST LIKELY TO COOPERATE WITH EACH MODE. LISTS OF DECISION CRITERIA ARE GIVEN TO HELP MANAGERS PICK THE EVALUATION STYLE MOST LIKELY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PROGRAM'S EVALUATION GOALS. THE PSYCHOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF PROGRAM PERSONNEL AND PRO-GRAM CHARACTERISTICS ARE BOTH CONSIDERED. REFER-ENCES ARE APPENDED.

- 30. L. P. TRUE JR. PROBLEMS IN EVALUATION DESIGN-A BACKGROUND PAPER. US EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASH INGTON DC 2050O. 23 p. 1976. THIS PAPER IS CONCERNED WITH SELECTED THEORETICAL AND PRACTICAL PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE DESIGN OF PROGRAM EVALUATION PROJECTS. AS PART OF THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET'S PROGRAM TO FA-CILITATE THE PERFORMANCE OF VALID AND USEFUL EVALU-ATIONS BY FEDERAL AGENCIES, THE PAPER DISCUSSES COMMON PROBLEMS OF EVALUATION DESIGN, AND SUG-GESTS WAYS TO AVOID THEM. THE PAPER EMPHASIZES THE IMPORTANT MANAGEMENT FUNCTION OF A FORMAL DESIGN STEP IN AN EVALUATION PROJECT. FURTHER, THE PAPER IS AIMED AT THOSE INDIVIDUALS IN A POSITION TO DETECT AND CORRECT EVALUATION DESIGN PROBLEMS AT AN EARLY STAGE-ANALYSTS AND THEIR MANAGER ENGAGED IN IN-HOUSE PROGRAM EVALUATION, THE PREPARATION AND REVIEW OF EVALUATION REQUESTS FOR PROPOSALS AND STUDY PLANS, AND THE MONITORING OF EVALUATION CONTRACTS. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT)
- 31. US COMPTROLLER GENERAL, 441 G STREET NW, WASHING-TON DC 20548. EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS TO SUPPORT DECISIONMAKING EXPOSURE DRAFT. 57 p. 1975.

THIS DOCUMENT, INTENDED FOR FINANCIAL AUDITORS AND PROGRAM REVIEWERS, EVALUATORS, AND ANALYSTS, PLACES IN PERSPECTIVE THE NEED FOR AND VALUE OF PROGRAM EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS TO SUPPORT DECISIONMAKING. THE PUBLIC DECISIONMAKING PROCESS IS DISCUSSED, ESPECIALLY THE RESOURCE ALLOCATION PROBLEM AND ISSUES IN PRIORITIZING RESOURCES AND DETERMINING WHICH PROGRAMS SHOULD BE KEPT, REVISED, OR DROPPED. A REVIEW OF APPRAISING THE RESULTS OF POLICIES AND PROGRAMS AND ASSESSING ALTERNATIVE APPROACHES ENCOMPASSES FUNDAMENTAL ELEMENTS, SUCH AS ASCERTAINING USERS' NEEDS AND DETERMINING VALID OBJECTIVES, AS WELL AS SUCH FAC-

TORS AS MAKING VALID COMPARISONS, DEVELOPING A RANGE OF ALTERNATIVES, DETERMINING THE IMPACT OF CONSTRAINTS, AND CHECKING COMPLETENESS OF THE ASSESSMENT. THE COST OF ADOPTING ALTERNATIVES, TYPE OF DATA COLLECTION INSTRUMENT TO BE USED, GENERALIZABILITY AND STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF RESULTS, TYPE OF MEASUREMENT TOOL USED, AND CLARITY AND TIMELINESS OF STATED FINDINGS ARE COVERED AS POINTS IMPORTANT TO EVALUATION. EXAMINATION OF PRACTICAL ASPECTS OF MANAGING AND PERFORMING STUDIES INCLUDES FORMULATING AN AGENDA OF STUDIES, CONDUCTING A STUDY, AND COMMUNICATING STUDY RESULTS. A GLOSSARY OF PERTINENT TERMS AND AN ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY ARE APPENDED.

Supplemental Notes: THERE IS A CHARGE FOR MORE THAN ONE COPY.

Availability: US GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE, DISTRIBUTION SECTION, ROOM 4522, 441 G STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20548. (Microfiche)

32. S. VOJTECKY. EVALUATION FOR DECISION MAKERS. p. 1977 NCJ-45816 FOCUSING ON THE METHODOLOGY USED TO DETERMINE EVALUATION TARGETS AND ON QUESTIONS OF WHY EVALU-ATIONS SOMETIMES FAIL, THIS REPORT SUGGESTS WAYS TO CONDUCT A TIMELY, AUDIENCE-CONSCIOUS EVALUA-TION. EVALUATIONS HAVE ONE GOAL—THE ACCURATE AND TIMELY DETERMINATION OF A PROGRAM OR PROJECT'S RELATIVE SUCCESS OR EXPECTED RESULTS. AN EVALUA-TION DOES NOT, BY DESIGN, HAVE A DIRECT IMPACT ON THE PROGRAM OR PROJECT CONSIDERED. AN EVALUATION SERVES AS A SOURCE OF INFORMATION AND RECOMMEN-DATIONS FOR THE DECISIONMAKERS WHO MUST ULTIMATE-LY AFFECT A PROJECT'S FUTURE OR A PROGRAM'S AC-CEPTANCE. A PROPERLY CONDUCTED, ACCURATELY REN-DERED EVALUATION CANNOT BE BLAMED FOR THE TERMI-NATION OF GOOD PROJECTS OR THE CONTINUATION OF POOR ONES. THESE ARE MANAGERIAL OR LEGISLATIVE DE-CISIONS. BUT A MISMANAGED, INACCURATE EVALUATION CAN CAUSE THE CANCELATION OF GOOD PROGRAMS AND THE CONTINUED FUNDING OF ILL-CONCEIVED PROJECTS. EVALUATIONS FAIL WHEN: THEY ARE COMPLETED AFTER PERTINENT DECISIONS HAVE BEEN MADE; MAYORS, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, AND LEGISLATORS LACK CONFI-DENCE IN THEM; DATA REQUISITE TO THE DECISIONMAKING PROCESS IS EXCLUDED; OR INFORMATION INCLUDED IN THE EVALUATION IS MISUNDERSTOOD. AN EVALUATION MAY BE WELL PLANNED AND DEVELOPED, HAVE INVOLVED CONSID-ERABLE EFFORT, AND HAVE REACHED VALUABLE CONCLU-SIONS, YET IF IT IS COMPLETED AFTER A NEW GRANT HAS BEEN MADE, OR AFTER THE PROJECT HAS GONE ON FOR SOME TIME, THEN THE EVALUATION IS A NEAR-WORTHLESS EFFORT. DECISIONS MUST BE MADE AT SPECIFIC TIMES; AC-CURATE, TIMELY EVALUATIONS AID MANAGEMENT IN DEAL-ING WITH CHOICES QUICKLY AND FOR THE PUBLIC GOOD. MANY INFERIOR EVALUATIONS HAVE BEEN PRODUCED, AND MANAGEMENT TENDS TO REMEMBER THE POOR ONES FAR LONGER THAN EVEN THE BEST EVALUATIONS. THE QUALITY OF AN EVALUATION'S METHODOLOGY AND DATA CAN HAVE CONSIDERABLE IMPACT ON THE EVENTUAL ACCEPTANCE OF ITS RECOMMENDATIONS AND ON THE CONFIDENCE WITH WHICH FUTURE EVALUATIONS ARE COMMISSIONED AND RE-CEIVED. TAILORING THE LANGUAGE AND LENGTH OF THE REPORT TO THE NEEDS OF A PARTICULAR AUDIENCE IS CRUCIAL. WHEN DEALING WITH LEGISLATORS, AN EASILY REFERENCED SUMMARY OF THE EVALUATION'S RESULTS SHOULD ACCOMPANY LENGTHY RECOMMENDATIONS AND STATISTICAL DATA. TO WRITE A SUCCESSFUL EVALUATION, ONE MUST DECIDE WHY IT IS BEING WRITTEN AND FOR WHOM. OFTEN A SINGLE EVALUATION IS INADEQUATE FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR, THE PLANNER,

AND THE LEGISLATIVE PERSONALITIES INVOLVED. MOST EVALUATIONS ADDRESS ISSUES IMPORTANT TO THE PLANNERS AND PROJECT MANAGERS, BUT IF A CONFLICT OF AUDIENCE ARISES, IT IS BEST RESOLVED IN FAVOR OF THE LEGISLATIVE FACTOR—THE DECISIONMAKING IN CONTROL OF FUNDING.

33. J. S. WHOLEY. EVALUATION - PROMISE AND PERFORM-URBAN INSTITUTE, 2100 M STREET, NW, WASHING-ANCE TON DC 20037. 249 p. 1979. A STRATEGY FOR EVALUATING AND IMPROVING GOVERN-MENT PERFORMANCE IS PRESENTED THAT ENCOMPASSES DESIGN EVALUATION, RAPID FEEDBACK EVALUATION, PER-FORMANCE MONITORING, AND EVALUATION OF PROGRAM MANAGEMENT. THE STRATEGY IS INTENDED TO HELP GOV-ERNMENT MANAGERS CLARIFY THE INTENT OF GOVERN-MENT PROGRAMS AND IMPROVE PROGRAM EFFICIENCY, EF-FECTIVENESS, AND RESPONSIVENESS. EVALUATION OF PROGRAM DESIGN OR 'EVALUABILITY ASSESSMENT' FOCUS-ES ON OBJECTIVES, EXPECTATIONS, AND INFORMATION NEEDS OF PROGRAM MANAGERS; EXPLORES PROGRAM RE-ALITY; ASSESSES THE LIKELIHOOD THAT PROGRAM ACTIVI-TIES WILL ACHIEVE MEASURABLE PROGRESS TOWARD PRO-GRAM OBJECTIVES; AND ASCERTAINS THE EXTENT TO WHICH EVALUATION INFORMATION IS LIKELY TO BE USED BY PROGRAM MANAGEMENT. PRODUCTS OF DESIGN EVALU-ATION ARE ACCEPTABLE PROGRAM OBJECTIVES, IMPOR-TANT SIDE EFFECTS, AND PERFORMANCE INDICATORS BY WHICH A PROGRAM CAN REALISTICALLY BE HELD AC-COUNTABLE, ALONG WITH EVALUATION-MANAGEMENT OP-TIONS THAT REPRESENT WAYS IN WHICH MANAGEMENT CAN CHANGE PROGRAM ACTIVITIES, PROGRAM OBJEC-TIVES, OR USES OF INFORMATION TO IMPROVE PROGRAM PERFORMANCE. RAPID FEEDBACK EVALUATION SUMMA-RIZES READILY OBTAINABLE INFORMATION ON PROGRAM PERFORMANCE IN TERMS OF OBJECTIVES AND PERFORM-ANCE INDICATORS, ESTIMATES THE COST AND VALUE OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, AND PRESENTS DESIGNS FOR ONE OR MORE FULL-SCALE EVALUATIONS. PERFORMANCE MONITORING MEASURES PROGRAM PERFORMANCE IN TERMS OF OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE INDICATORS AND COMPARES PROGRAM PERFORMANCE WITH PRIOR OR EXPECTED PERFORMANCE. INTENSIVE EVALUATION USES COMPARISON OR CONTROL GROUPS TO ESTIMATE THE EXTENT TO WHICH PROGRAM RESULTS ARE CAUSED BY PROGRAM ACTIVITIES. EACH COMPONENT IN THIS 'SEQUEN-TIAL PURCHASE OF INFORMATION' STRATEGY IS ILLUSTRAT-ED BY EXAMPLES OF THE EVALUATION PROCESS, A DE-SCRIPTION OF IMPORTANT STEPS IN THAT PROCESS, AND A DISCUSSION OF PROBLEMS THAT OCCUR AS EVALUATORS ATTEMPT TO PRODUCE USEFUL INFORMATION. PROCE-DURES TO GUIDE MANAGERS OF EVALUATION OFFICES IN THE CREATION AND OPERATION OF DEMONSTRABLY USEFUL EVALUATION PROGRAMS ARE DETAILED. SUPPORT-ING FIGURES AND TABLES ARE INCLUDED. STEPS INVOLVED IN SAMPLE SURVEYS ARE NOTED IN AN APPENDIX, AND A BIBLIOGRAPHY IS PROVIDED.

Sponsoring Agency: FORD FOUNDATION, 320 EAST 43RD STREET, NEW YORK NY 10017.

Availability: URBAN INSTITUTE, 2100 M STREET, NW, WASH-INGTON DC 20037. Stock Order No. URI-25100.

34. D. M. WILNER, R. W. HETHERINGTON, E. B. GOLD, D. H. ER-SHOFF, and C. F. GARAGLIANO. DATABANK OF PROGRAM EVALUATIONS. MINNEAPOLIS MEDICAL RESEARCH FOUN-DATION, INC, 619 SOUTH FIFTH STREET, MINNEAPOLIS MN 55415. EVALUATION, V 1, N 3 (1973), P 3-6.

THE DATABANK OF PROGRAM EVALUATIONS (DOPE), BEGAN AT THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT LOS ANGELES IN 1972, IS DESCRIBED WITH RESPECT TO ITS USE IN A

MENTAL HEALTH AND SOCIAL ACTION PROGRAM. PROGRAM EVALUATION REQUIRES THE IDENTIFICATION OF INTERVEN-TIONS THAT ARE BEING EVALUATED AND TO CLASSIFY THEM IN SUCH A WAY AS TO PROVIDE A BRIEF BUT ACCU-RATE SUMMARY OF THE PROGRAM, HOW THE EVALUATION WAS PERFORMED, AND WHAT RESULTS WERE OBTAINED. IT IS THE GOAL OF DOPE TO PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF MAJOR PROGRAM ISSUES AND THEIR BENEFITS TO CON-SUMERS. THREE SEARCH MODES HAVE BEEN INITIATED TO IDENTIFY EVALUATION REPORTS. THE FIRST IS A REVIEW OF 100 PRESUMABLY HIGH-YIELD JOURNALS; THE SECOND IS DIRECT MAIL CONTACT WITH SELECTED EXPERT PROFES-SIONALS IN VARIOUS TOPIC AREAS; THE THIRD IS AN EX-TENDED SEARCH OF PUBLISHED MATERIALS AVAILABLE THROUGH EXISTING DATABANKS. IN DEFINING A 'HIT' FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES, THE FOLLOWING PROBLEM AREAS HAVE BEEN SPECIFIED FOR STUDY: ALCOHOL ABUSE, DIVORCE, JUVENILE DELINQUENCY, NEUROSES, SEXUAL DEVIANCY, SUICIDE, WELFARE, HEALTH PROBLEMS WITH MENTAL HEALTH OUTCOMES, CRIMINALITY, DRUG ABUSE, MENTAL RETARDATION, PSYCHOSES, SOCIOCUL-TURAL PROBLEMS, UNEMPLOYMENT, AND MENTAL PROB-LEMS OF THE ELDERLY. PROGRAM OUTCOMES ARE BEST MEASURED AGAINST STATEMENTS OF OBJECTIVES PRO-VIDED BY PROGRAM ORIGINATORS. IN ADDITION TO THE STATUS OF PROGRAM SUCCESS, DOPE PROVIDES OTHER INFORMATION ABOUT EVALUATION REPORTS: CONDITION TREATED; AGE, SEX, RACE, AND INCOME CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SAMPLE POPULATION; SAMPLE SIZE; SITE; TREAT-MENT METHOD; CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY DESIGN; WHAT IS MEASURED; WHAT MEASURES ARE USED; HOW DATA ARE COLLECTED; AND CONCLUSIONS. A SAMPLE PRINTOUT OF AN EVALUATION REPORT CONTAINED IN DOPE IS INCLUDED.

35. J. ZUSMAN and C. R. WURSTER, Eds. PROGRAM EVALUATION—ALCOHOL, DRUG ABUSE, AND MENTAL HEALTH
SERVICES. D C HEATH AND COMPANY, 125 SPRING
STREET, LEXINGTON MA 02173. 300 p. 1975.
NCJ-50594

THIS BOOK CONSIDERS TWO KINDS OF EVALUATION: AN ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL ANALYSIS OF SERVICES OF-FERED AND THE EVALUATION OF THE SUCCESS IN MEETING GOALS SET FOR EACH INDIVIDUAL WHO HAS RECEIVED SERVICE. THE TOPIC OF ACCOUNTABILITY IN EVALUATION WAS THE MAJOR FOCUS OF A CONFERENCE SPONSORED BY ALCOHOL, DRUG ABUSE, AND MENTAL HEALTH ADMINIS-TRATION HELD IN 1974. PAPERS PRESENTED BY CONFER-ENCE SPEAKERS WERE REVISED BY THEIR AUTHORS AND THEN EDITED FOR PUBLICATION IN THIS BOOK, EVALUATION RESEARCH IS DEFINED AS THE ANALYSIS OF PROGRAM EF-FECTIVENESS AND THE USE OF SCIENTIFIC METHODS IN AP-PRAISING SUCCESS. TO CARRY OUT EVALUATION, A SET OF GOALS FOR A SERVICE IS ESTABLISHED AND A COMPARI-SON BETWEEN GOALS AND SERVICE ACCOMPLISHMENTS THROUGH MEASUREMENT IS MADE. EVALUATION MAKES IT POSSIBLE TO GO BEYOND COMPARISON AMONG SERVICES OF SIMILAR AGENCIES OR PRACTITIONERS TO COMPARE SERVICES IN RELATION TO COSTS. EVALUATION STUDIES PROVIDE BOTH THE IMPETUS AND THE INFORMATION TO FACILITATE PROGRAM IMPROVEMENT. BECAUSE EVALUA-TION STUDIES ARE EXPENSIVE, TIME CONSUMING, AND RE-QUIRE HIGHLY TRAINED PERSONNEL, IT IS UNREALISTIC TO EXPECT THAT EVERY PROGRAM OR SERVICE AGENCY WILL UNDERTAKE AN EVALUATION. EVALUATION IN THIS CASE MUST BE DISTINGUISHED FROM A MANAGEMENT INFORMA-TION SYSTEM THAT OPERATES TO PROVIDE PROGRAM AD-MINISTRATORS WITH DETAILED INFORMATION ABOUT THE COST, QUALITY, MAGNITUDE, AND OPERATING CHARACTER-ISTICS OF THEIR PROGRAM. EIGHTEEN CHAPTERS IN THE BOOK ARE ORGANIZED ACCORDING TO FOUR PARTS: (1)

THEORY AND OVERVIEW--PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTS IN EVALUATION (ALCOHOL, DRUG ABUSE, AND MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAMS), STATE OF THE TECHNICAL ART IN EVALUATION, QUICK REFERENCE OUTLINE OF EVALUATION WORK, TECHNIQUES OF OUTCOME EVALUATION, AND EVAL-UATION RESEARCH IN MENTAL HEALTH; (2) EVALUATION IN PRACTICE--COMPREHENSIVE APPROACH TO VALUATION AND COMMUNITY RESEARCH, PRACTICAL APPROACHES TO AND TECHNIQUES IN EVALUATION, COST-EFFECTIVENESS STUDY DEVELOPMENT OF CALIFORNIA, A GENERIC COST-EFFECTIVENESS METHODOLOGY FOR EVALUATING PATIENT SERVICES OF A COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTER, AND DEVELOPMENT OF A COMMUNITY ASSESS-MENT STRATEGY FOR PROGRAM EVALUATION IN A COM-PREHENSIVE HUMAN SERVICE DELIVERY SYSTEM; (3) EVAL-TECHNIQUES UATION APPLIED TO INDIVIDUAL FIELDS--APPLICATIONS OF EVALUATION, ALCOHOLISM EVAL-UATION OVERVIEW, FEDERAL EVALUATION AND PROJECT PERFORMANCE, AND PROCESS AND OUTCOME MEASURE-MENT USING GOAL ATTAINMENT SCALING: AND (4) CRUCIAL ISSUES IN EVALUATION--RESPONSIBILITIES AND RIGHTS OF EVALUATORS, EVALUATION IN RELATION TO POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION, TRAINING FOR EVALUATORS, AND SERV-ICE ADMINISTRATOR'S VIEW OF EVALUATION, NOTES ARE PROVIDED AT THE END OF CHAPTERS, AND AN INDEX IS IN-CLUDED. SEE ALSO NCJ 51612-51615.

Availability: HEATH LEXINGTON BOOKS, 125 SPRING STREET, LEXINGTON MA 02173.

GENERAL EVALUATION TECHNIQUES Procedure Manuals and Guides

36. S. B. ANDERSON and S. BALL. PROFESSION AND PRAC-TICE OF PROGRAM EVALUATION. JOSSEY-BASS, INC, 433 CALIFORNIA STREET, SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104. 268 p. 1978. NCJ-59111

A GUIDE FOR PERSONS INVOLVED IN PROGRAM EVALUA-TION, THIS TEXT EXAMINES TYPES OF EXPERIMENTS. COMMON EVALUATION PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS, DATA LIMITATIONS AND ETHICAL RESTRICTIONS, AND THE FUTURE OF PROGRAM EVALUATION. BEGINNING WITH A DIS-CUSSION OF EVALUATION PURPOSES--TO CONTRIBUTE TO DECISIONS ABOUT PROGRAM INSTALLATION, CONTINU-ATION, EXPANSION, CERTIFICATION, OR MODIFICATION; TO OBTAIN EVIDENCE TO RALLY SUPPORT FOR OR OPPOSITION TO A PROGRAM; TO CONTRIBUTE TO THE UNDERSTANDING BASIC PSYCHOLOGICAL, SOCIAL, AND OTHER PROCESSES--THE BOOK TAKES UP VARIOUS ISSUES IN THE EMERGING PROFESSION OF EVALUATION. THESE INCLUDE THE PROPER ROLE AND VALUES OF EVALUATORS, THE DIS-SEMINATION, COMMUNICATION, AND USE OF EVALUATION RESULTS, THE PROBLEMS OF TRAINING AND ASSESSING EVALUATORS, AND THE EFFECTS OF EVALUATORS' PREFER-ENCES AND VALUES ON THEIR INVESTIGATIONS. EXPERI-MENTAL METHODS INCLUDING QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL AND EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES, CORRELATION METHODS, SUR-VEYS, PERSONNEL OR CLIENT ASSESSMENT, SYSTEMATIC EXPERT JUDGMENT, AND CLINICAL OR CASE STUDIES, ARE DISCUSSED, AND SPECIAL ISSUES INVOLVED IN CHOOSING AND APPLYING THE METHODOLOGIES ARE CONSIDERED. THESE INCLUDE ATTENTION TO THE CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION, POSSIBILITIES OF CONTAMINATION, PROB-LEMS OF GENERALIZING CONCLUSIONS, AND FALLACIES IN USES OF GAIN SCORES, CORRELATIONS, AND COVARIANCE ADJUSTMENTS. KINDS OF EVIDENCE THAT EVALUATORS MAY PRESENT AS A BASIS FOR CONCLUSIONS ARE CONSID-ERED, AND A TABLE DEPICTS EXAMPLES OF TYPES AND SOURCES OF EVIDENCE FREQUENTLY ASSOCIATED WITH VARIOUS METHODS OF INVESTIGATION, CONDITIONS AND SITUATIONS THAT MAKE EVALUATION UNNECESSARY ARE DISCUSSED, AND NUMEROUS EXAMPLES OF NEGATIVE AND POSITIVE EVALUATION EFFORTS ARE PRESENTED. TABLES, CHARTS, AND CHECKLISTS TO AID IN APPLYING EVALUATION PRINCIPLES ARE INCLUDED AS WELL AS RESULTS OF TWO SURVEYS THAT DESCRIBE THE TRAINING OF EVALUATORS

AND EVALUATIONS OF ADULT TRAINING PROGRAMS. A BIBLIOGRAPHY AND INDEX ARE APPENDED.

Supplemental Notes: JOSSEY-BASS SERIES IN SOCIAL AND BEHAVIORAL SCIENCE AND IN HIGHER EDUCATION.

Availability: JOSSEY-BASS, INC, 433 CALIFORNIA STREET, SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104.

37. C. C. ATTKISSON, W. A. HARGREAVES, M. J. HOROWITZ, and J. E. SORENSEN, Eds. EVALUATION OF HUMAN SERVICE **EVALUATION OF HUMAN SERVICE** PROGRAMS. ACADEMIC PRESS, INC, 111 FIFTH AVENUE, NEW YORK NY 10003. 506 p. 1978. NCJ-51554 THIS INTRODUCTION TO PROGRAM EVALUATION DISCUSSES INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS, COMMUNITY SERV-ICE NEEDS ASSESSMENT, MEASUREMENT AND EVALUATION OF PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS, AND FUTURE TRENDS. DE-SIGNED AS AN INTRODUCTION TO PROGRAM EVALUATION AT THE ADVANCED UNDERGRADUATE LEVEL OR AS A TOOL FOR PRACTITIONERS WORKING WITH SOCIAL PROGRAMS, THE ANTHOLOGY CONSIDERS THE HISTORICAL CONTEXT AND CONTEMPORARY TRENDS OF HUMAN SERVICE DELIV-ERY AND ANALYZES THE PROGRAMMATIC ROLES AND MUL-TIPLE FUNCTIONS OF EVALUATION. THE DEVELOPMENT OF INFORMATION SYSTEMS FOR HUMAN SERVICE ORGANIZA-TIONS IS EXAMINED ALONG WITH THE BASIS FOR SYSTEM DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION. A MANAGEMENT INFORMA-TION AND PLANNING SYSTEM FOR INDIRECT SERVICES IS DESCRIBED. A SECTION ON ASSESSMENT OF COMMUNITY SERVICE NEEDS DISCUSSES NEED IDENTIFICATION AND PROGRAM PLANNING IN THE COMMUNITY CONTEXT, SERV-ICE UTILIZATION, SOCIAL INDICATORS, AND CITIZEN SURVEY APPROACHES TO HUMAN SERVICE NEEDS ASSESSMENT ARE COVERED, AS ARE THE MEASUREMENT AND EVALUA-TION OF PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS. CHAPTERS CONSIDER EVALUATION OF PROGRAM OUTCOMES, GOAL ATTAINMENT SCALING. USF OF COST-OUTCOME COST-EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS FOR IMPROVED MANAGE-MENT AND ACCOUNTABILITY, AND QUALITY ASSURANCE IN PROGRAM EVALUATION. TO ILLUSTRATE EVALUATION OF IN-DIRECT SERVICES TO SCHOOLS, A MODEL FOR PROGRAM **EVALUATION OF MENTAL HEALTH CONSULTATION SERVICES** IS PRESENTED. THE FINAL SECTION FOCUSES ON PAST AC-COMPLISHMENTS AND PROBLEMS OF HUMAN SERVICE PRO-GRAM EVALUATION AND OUTLINES FUTURE DIRECTIONS FOR EVALUATION AND FOR EDUCATION OF EVALUATORS. MAJOR SECTIONS OF THE TEXT OPEN WITH INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS, AND CHAPTERS CONCLUDE WITH SUMMARIES

OR CONCLUSIONS AND LISTS OF BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES. A SUBJECT INDEX TO THE TEXT IS PROVIDED.

Availability: ACADEMIC PRESS, INC, 111 FIFTH AVENUE, NEW YORK NY 10003.

- EVALUATION ON A SHOESTRING-A SUG-38. A. BEIGEL. GESTED METHODOLOGY FOR THE EVALUATION OF COMMU-NITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES WITHOUT BUDGETARY AND STAFFING SUPPORT (FROM RESOURCE MATERIALS FOR COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAM...-SEE NCJ-50680). US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE, 5600 FISHERS NCJ-50682 LANE, ROCKVILLE MD 20852. 16 p. 1977. PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF EVAL-UATION STRATEGIES WHERE BUDGETARY AND STAFFING RESOURCES ARE LIMITED AND THE PERFORMANCE OF COST-BENEFIT ANALYSES ARE EXPLORED. EVALUATION IS DEFINED AS THE DEVELOPMENT OF INFORMATION RELAT-ING TO TREATMENT PROGRAMS THAT IS USEFUL FOR POLICY DECISIONS. A METHODOLOGY FOR THE EVALUATION OF COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES IS PRESENTED THAT MEETS STANDARDS ENCOMPASSED BY THIS DEFINI-TION FOR THE SITUATION WHERE BUDGETARY AND STAFF-ING RESOURCES ARE LIMITED. UNDERLYING EVALUATION ISSUES AND PROBLEMS CONCERN THE COMMITMENT TO UNDERTAKE EVALUATION, THE AVAILABILITY OF RECORDS, CONTINUITY OF CARE, STAFF RESISTANCE, CONTINUOUS VERSUS EPISODIC MONITORING, AND THE SELECTION OF AREAS TO BE EVALUATED. WITH REGARD TO STRATEGIES THAT CAN BE EMPLOYED SUCCESSFULLY IN EVALUATION, ATTENTION IS FOCUSED ON SERVICE AREAS IN THE FIELD OF COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH THAT RELATE TO THE PROBLEMS OF CLIENT UTILIZATION, PROGRESS, AND OUT-COME. THE EVALUATION OF DIRECT SERVICES INVOLVES SOURCES OF REFERRAL AND DISPOSITION, AN ANALYSIS OF SERVICES DELIVERED, AN ANALYSIS OF HOSPITALIZA-TION DATA, AND FOLLOWUP. THE EVALUATION OF INDIRECT SERVICES INVOLVES TELEPHONE REQUESTS FOR INFORMA-TION, THERAPEUTIC TELEPHONE CONTACTS, AND COMMU-NITY CONSULTATION CONTACTS. COST ANALYSES REPRE-SENT AN ATTEMPT TO ASCERTAIN THE IMPACT OF EVALUA-TION ON STAFF, ADMINISTRATION, LEGISLATURES AND OTHER FUNDING SOURCES, AND THE COMMUNITY. THE IM-PLEMENTATION OF EFFECTIVE EVALUATION STRATEGIES, EVEN IN THE ABSENCE OF ADEQUATE BUDGETARY AND STAFFING SUPPORT, IS CRITICAL TO THE MATURATION OF THE COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE SYSTEM CON-CEPT. REFERENCES ARE INCLUDED. Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.
- 39. E. C. BENNETT and M. WEISINGER. PROGRAM EVALUA-TION-A RESOURCE HANDBOOK FOR VOCATIONAL REHA-BILITATION. ICD REHABILITATION AND RESEARCH CENTER, 340 EAST 24TH STREET, NEW YORK NY 10010. A MANUAL ON PROGRAM EVALUATIONS, INDICATING GOALS OF PROGRAM ASSESSMENT, APPROACHES TO PROGRAM EVALUATION, AND MEASURES THAT MAY BE USED IN PRO-GRAM EVALUATION. THIS HANDBOOK IS DIRECTED TO THREE AUDIENCES-THE NEW EVALUATOR, THE EXPERI-ENCED EVALUATOR, AND PROGRAM ADMINISTRATORS. IN THE FIRST SECTION, SUCH TOPICS AS OBJECTIVITY, A SUG-GESTED MODEL FOR PROGRAM EVALUATION, AND AP-PROACHES TO PROGRAM EVALUATION ARE DISCUSSED. SPECIFIC STEPS THAT AN EVALUATOR SHOULD CONSIDER IN DOING A PROGRAM EVALUATION ARE THEN EXAMINED. THESE STEPS ARE: A STATEMENT OF THE PROGRAM EVALU-ATION PROBLEMS: SPECIFICATION OF METHODOLOGY; COL-LECTION OF THE DATA; ANALYSIS OF THE DATA; AND INTER-PRETATION OF THE DATA AND CONCLUSIONS. AN ARTICLE ON PROGRAM EVALUATION ACCOUNTABILITY IS ALSO IN-CLUDED IN THE MANUAL. A COMPREHENSIVE LISTING OF

EVALUATION MEASURES GATHERED FROM OVER 75 STUDIES AND ARTICLES CONCERNING THE EVALUATION OF VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION IS PRESENTED AS WELL. FINALLY, SIXTEEN ABSTRACTS OF PROGRAM EVALUATIONS ARE INCLUDED TO PROVIDE THE READER WITH PRACTICAL ILLUSTRATIONS OF PROGRAM EVALUATION PLANS, COMPLETED PROGRAM EVALUATIONS, SYSTEMS ANALYSIS AND COST BENEFIT STUDIES. A GLOSSARY, READING LIST, AND REFERENCE LIST ARE INCLUDED.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE SOCIAL & REHABILITATION SERVICE, 330 C STREET, SW, WASHINGTON DC 20024.

40. S. BENNETT and D. BOWERS. INTRODUCTION TO MULTI-VARIATE TECHNIQUES FOR SOCIAL AND BEHAVIORAL SCI-ENCES. HALSTED PRESS, 605 THIRD AVENUE, NEW YORK NCJ-53251 NY 10016. 168 p. 1978. INTENDED FOR RESEARCHERS LACKING A THOROUGH KNOWLEDGE OF MATRIX ALGEBRA, THIS BOOK DISCUSSES FORMS FOR DATA, APPROPRIATE MULTIVARIATE ANALYTIC TECHNIQUES, THE USE OF THESE AND THE INTERPRETA-TION OF RESULTS OBTAINED. THIS BOOK INTRODUCES A SELECTION OF GENERALLY USEFUL MULTIVARIATE ANALY-SIS TECHNIQUES. A LARGE PART OF THE BOOK DEALS WITH A GROUP OF MULTIVARIATE TECHNIQUES KNOWN GENERI-CALLY AS FACTOR ANALYSIS. FACTOR ANALYSIS IS CONSID-ERED IMPORTANT IN HELPING A BEHAVIORAL SCIENCE RE-SEARCHER REPLACE A LARGE SET OF OBSERVABLE VARIA-BLES BY FEWER UNOBSERVED CONSTRUCTS, AND IT IS USED IN THE ANALYSIS OF DATA OBTAINED IN SPECIAL, RELATIVELY UNFAMILIAR WAYS, ANOTHER CENTRAL PUR-POSE OF SOME MULTIVARIATE TECHNIQUES IS TO PROVIDE WAYS OF DISCRIMINATING BETWEEN SEVERAL GROUPS (OR SAMPLES) ON THE BASIS OF DIFFERENCES IN THEIR SCORES ON SEVERAL VARIABLES. ALTHOUGH THE WELL-KNOWN ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE CAN BE USED FOR THIS PURPOSE IN THE CASE WHERE ONLY ONE VARIABLE IS USED FOR DISTINGUISHING BETWEEN SEVERAL GROUPS, WHEN MORE THAN ONE VARIABLE IS USED, SPECIAL MULTI-VARIATE TECHNIQUES ARE REQUIRED. THESE TECHNIQUES ALSO DEAL WITH THE PROBLEMS OF CLASSIFICATION, SUCH AS THE USE OF MEASURES ON SEVERAL VARIABLES AS A BASIS FOR DECISIONS ABOUT THE ALLOCATION OF SUB-JECTS TO GROUPS. MOST OF THE TECHNIQUES IDEALLY RE-QUIRE VARIABLES TO BE MEASURABLE QUANTITATIVELY AND, IN SOME CASES, TO BE AT LEAST APPROXIMATELY NORMALLY DISTRIBUTED, MANY VARIABLES INVOLVED IN SOCIAL AND BEHAVORIAL SCIENCE, HOWEVER, ARE QUALI-TATIVE. A SELECTION OF USEFUL MULTIVARIATE TECH-NIQUES APPLICABLE TO SUCH DATA IS DISCUSSED. THE RE-LATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE VARIOUS TECHNIQUES, SOME OF WHICH CAN BE USED IN COMBINATION WITH OTHERS, ARE ILLUSTRATED. EXTENSIVE USE IS MADE OF NUMERICAL EXAMPLES.

Availability: JOHN WILEY AND SONS, INC ORDER PROCESSING EASTERN DISTRIBUTION CENTER, 1 WILEY DRIVE, SOMERSET NJ 08873.

41. W. S. BLUMENFELD and M. G. HOLLAND. MODEL FOR THE EMPIRICAL EVALUATION OF TRAINING EFFECTIVENESS. PERSONNEL JOURNAL, INC. PERSONNEL JOURNAL, (AUGUST 1971), P 637-640. NCJ-64379

A MODEL FOR THE EMPIRICAL EVALUATION OF TRAINING EFFECTIVENESS IS DISCUSSED, ALONG WITH ILLUSTRATIONS OF THE MODEL APPLICATIONS. TRAINING PROGRAMS ARE DESIGNED TO BRING ABOUT DESIRED BEHAVIOR CHANGES IN ATTITUDES, SKILLS, AND KNOWLEDGE. TRAINING EVALUATION SHOULD DETERMINE IF THE CHANGES OCCURRED AS A RESULT OF THE TRAINING; THUS, RESEARCHERS SHOULD EXAMINE RELIABLE EVIDENCE WHICH CANDEMONSTRATE EFFECTIVENESS. LEARNING IS A RELATIVELY PERMANENT BEHAVIOR CHANGE RESULTING FROM EX-

PERIENCE OR PRACTICE. LEARNING CONDITIONS ARE COM-POSED OF THREE CUMULATIVE PROCEDURES: (1) SPECIFI-CATION OF GOALS AT ONSET, (2) ARRANGEMENT OF AN EX-PERIENCE, AND (3) EVALUATION OF THE OUTCOME. THE MEASUREMENT CRITERION TO BE USED IS A SUBJECTIVE MANAGEMENT PRESPECIFICATION OF GOALS THAT IS RELE-VANT, RELIABLE, AND BIAS FREE. THE MODEL CONSISTS OF A TRAINING GROUP AND AN UNTRAINED CONTROL GROUP. BOTH GROUPS ARE MEASURED ACCORDING TO THE CRITE-RION VARIABLE PRIOR TO AND FOLLOWING THE TRAINING EXPERIENCE. A MINIMALLY ADEQUATE DESIGN INCORPO-RATES THE USE OF THE POSTTEST AND PRETEST ALONG WITH THE CONTROL GROUP PROCEDURE. A DESIGN LACK-ING EITHER OF THESE TWO CHARACTERISTICS IS NOT QUALITY EVIDENCE. THE MODEL CAN BE GENERALIZED TO ANY TRAINING EXPERIENCE AND WILL THUS BE USEFUL IN GOVERNMENT, EDUCATION, BUSINESS, AND INDUSTRY TRAINING EVALUATION. CHARTS AND REFERENCES ARE IN-CLUDED.

42. I. EPSTEIN and T. TRIPODI. RESEARCH TECHNIQUES FOR PROGRAM PLANNING, MONITORING, AND EVALUATION. 1977. THIS TEXT TO GUIDE ADMINISTRATORS AND PLANNERS OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND SOCIAL WELFARE PROGRAMS IN USE OF BASIC RESEARCH TECHNIQUES FOR PROGRAM PLANNING AND GOOD DATA COLLECTION EMPHASIZES PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS. THIS BOOK FOR PROGRAM AD-MINISTRATORS WHO HAVE NOT BEEN TRAINED IN RE-SEARCH TECHNIQUES WAS WRITTEN TO HELP SUCH PER-SONS DO THEIR OWN INFORMATION GATHERING FOR PLAN-NING, MONITORING, AND EVALUATING OR TO HELP THEM USE OUTSIDE RESEARCHERS MORE EFFECTIVELY. AL-THOUGH THE TECHNIQUES ARE DESCRIBED UNDER THE HEADINGS OF PROGRAM PLANNING, PROGRAM MONITOR-ING, AND EVALUATION, IT IS EMPHASIZED THAT EACH TECH-NIQUE CAN BE USED IN A MULTITUDE OF WAYS: FLEXIBILITY IS THE KEY TO EFFECTIVE DATA COLLECTION. TECHNIQUES DESCRIBED INCLUDE: CONSTRUCTING QUESTIONNAIRES FOR NEED-ASSESSMENT SURVEYS, INTERVIEWING FOR RE-SOURCE SURVEYS, ASSESSING RESEARCH IN PLANNING IN-TERVENTION STRATEGIES, USING OBSERVATIONAL TECH-NIQUES FOR PLANNING STAFF TRAINING PROGRAMS, USING FORMS TO CONDUCT A CLIENT CENSUS, USING FORMS TO MONITOR STAFF ACTIVITIES, SAMPLING AS A MEANS OF MONITORING STAFF PERFORMANCE, AND DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION FOR PROGRAM MONITORING. THE EVALUATION SECTION COVERS VARIOUS SURVEY DESIGNS. INCLUDING INTERRUPTED TIME SERIES, REPLICATED CROSS-SECTIONAL SURVEYS, COMPARATIVE EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS, AND CROSSOVER DESIGNS. EACH TECHNIQUE IS DISCUSSED, THEN APPLIED IN A DETAILED CASE HISTORY. AN INDEX IS PROVIDED.

Availability: COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY PRESS, 562 WEST 113TH STREET, NEW YORK NY 10025.

43. A. FINK and J. KOSECOFF. EVALUATION PRIMER. ITOL PUBLICATIONS, INC ADMINISTRATIVE RESOURCES DIVI-SION, 2430 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON DC 20037 108 p. 1978 THIS TEXT, DESIGNED TO ENABLE SOCIAL PROGRAM EVA-LUATORS TO CONDUCT AND INTERPRET EVALUATIONS, DIS-CUSSES BASIC EVALUATION PROCEDURES, THEORIES, POLI-TICS AND ETHICS, COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS, AND NEEDS AS-SESSMENT. EVALUATIONS ARE CONDUCTED TO IMPROVE OR TO DETERMINE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF A PROGRAM. THE INITIAL STEP IN EVALUATION IS THE FORMULATION OF CREDIBLE EVALUATION QUESTIONS THAT REFLECT THE PROGRAM'S GOALS AND ACTIVITIES, AS WELL AS THE CLI-ENT'S INFORMATION NEEDS. AT THE EVALUATION DESIGN STAGE, THE RESEARCHER ESTABLISHES SUBJECT AND

VARIABLE GROUPINGS, IDENTIFIES INDEPENDENT AND DE-PENDENT VARIABLES, AND ASSESSES THE INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL VALIDITY OF THE DESIGN. TO PLAN FOR INFOR-MATION COLLECTION, THE EVALUATOR MUST CONSIDER THE EVALUATION QUESTIONS, THE INFORMATION COLLEC-TION TECHNIQUES, AND THE DESIGN STRATEGY THAT IS USED TO GROUP AND SAMPLE SUBJECTS AND TO STRUC-TURE THE INFORMATION ANALYSIS. INFORMATION COLLEC-TION REQUIRES THE EVALUATOR TO HIRE AND TRAIN IN-FORMATION COLLECTORS, TO PRETEST AND OBTAIN CLEARANCE FOR THE PROCEDURES AND INSTRUMENTS, AND FINALLY TO COLLECT THE INFORMATION, THE DATA ARE THEN ANALYZED THROUGH A PROCESS OF DATA SUM-MARIZATION AND SYNTHESIZATION. INFORMATION ANALY-SIS INVOLVES PREPARING THE DATA FOR ANALYSIS, APPLY-ING APPROPRIATE ANALYTIC METHODS, AND INTERPRETING THE RESULTS. THE EVALUATOR IS ALSO RESPONSIBLE FOR REPORTING EVALUATION INFORMATION TO CLIENTS IN A FORM THAT IS EASY TO USE; I.E., REPORTS, MEMORAN-DUMS, MEETING PRESENTATIONS, OR TELEPHONE CALLS, IN ADDITION TO THE FEW FORMAL AND VERY DETAILED EVAL-UATION REPORTS. MANAGEMENT OF AN EVALUATION IN-VOLVES PREPARING AND FOLLOWING ACTIVITY SCHEDULES. ASSIGNING EVALUATION STAFF AND CONSULTANTS TO SPE-CIFIC EVALUATION TASKS, AND DEVELOPING AND ADHER-ING TO BUDGETS. THE GUIDELINES IN THE TEXT GIVE PAR-TICULAR ATTENTION TO THE DUTIES OF THE IMPROVEMENT EVALUATOR AS OPPOSED TO THE DUTIES OF AN EFFEC-TIVENESS EVALUATOR. REFERENCES AND AN INDEX ARE PROVIDED

Availability: CAPITOL PUBLICATIONS, INC ADMINISTRATIVE RESOURCES DIVISION, 2430 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON DC 20037.

44. C. T. FITZ-GIBBON and L. L. MORRIS. HOW TO CALCULATE STATISTICS. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEV-ERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212; UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCA-TION CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF EVALUATION, LOS ANGE-LES, CA 90024. 144 p. 1978. AIMED AT PROGRAM EVALUATORS, THIS VOLUME OF AN EIGHT-PART SERIES ON PROGRAM EVALUATION OUTLINES, IN LANGUAGE ACCESSIBLE TO THE LAYMAN, HOW TO CAL-CULATE STATISTICS RELEVANT TO A PROGRAM'S EVALUA-TION PHASE. EACH OF THE THREE MAIN SECTIONS OF THIS BOOK DEALS WITH ONE OF THE THREE MAJOR FUNCTIONS OF STATISTICS IN EVALUATIONS: (1) SUMMARIZING SCORES THROUGH MEASURES OF CENTRAL TENDENCY AND VARI-ANCE, (2) TESTING SIGNIFICANCE OF DIFFERENCES FOUND AMONG PERFORMANCES OF GROUPS, AND (3) CORRELA-TION. THE FIRST SECTION INVOLVES SUMMARIZING A SET OF SCORES FROM THE ADMINISTRATION OF A MEASURE TO ONE GROUP BY GRAPHING A DISTRIBUTION OF SCORES. CALCULATING A MEAN, AND CALCULATING THE STANDARD DEVIATION AND VARIANCE. THE SECOND CENTERS ON EX-AMINING THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN SCORES FROM TWO GROUPS ON THE SAME MEASURE. COMPARING SCORES FROM UNMATCHED GROUPS INVOLVES CALCULATING THE CONFIDENCE LIMITS FOR THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN TWO MEANS, THE T-TEST, OR THE MANN-WHITNEY U TEST, WHILE COMPARING SCORES FROM MATCHED GROUPS INVOLVES THE SIGN TEST, IN ADDITION TO CONFIDENCE LIMITS AND THE T-TEST. THE THIRD SECTION RELIES ON EXAMINING RE-LATIONSHIPS BETWEEN TWO MEASURES MADE ON THE SAME GROUP BY USING A GRAPH TO ILLUSTRATE A RELA-TIONSHIP, PEARSON'S PRODUCT MOMENT CORRELATION COEFFICIENT, SPEARMAN'S RANK ORDER CORRELATION COEFFICIENT, PHI COEFFICIENT, RANK BISERIAL CORRELA-TION COEFFICIENT, POINT BISERIAL CORRELATION COEFFI-CIENT, DETERMINING CONFIDENCE INTERVALS, AND CHI-SQUARE TESTING. A FINAL SECTION ON THE USE OF

COMPUTERS TO ANALYZE DATA FOCUSES ON WHAT EVA-LUATORS SHOULD KNOW ABOUT DATA ANALYSIS BY COM-PUTER, PREPARING DATA, TYPES OF ANALYSES THAT CAN BE PERFORMED, ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE, DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS, AND FACTOR ANALYSIS. TABULAR AND GRAPHIC ILLUSTRATIONS ARE PROVIDED, ALONG WITH AN INDEX.

Supplemental Notes: PROGRAM EVALUATION KIT:

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCA-TION, AND WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, 1200 19TH STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20203.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

45. C. T. FITZ-GIBBON and L. L. MORRIS. HOW TO DESIGN A PROGRAM EVALUATION. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212; VERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF EVALUATION, LOS ANGELES, CA 90024. 164 p. 1978. NCJ-58074 NOTING AVAILABLE EVALUATION DESIGN OPTIONS, AS WELL AS SUGGESTIONS FOR DESIGN IMPROVEMENTS, THIS VOLUME ONE OF AN EIGHT-PART SERIES ON PROGRAM EVALUATION OUTLINES HOW TO DEVELOP AN EFFECTIVE EVALUATION DESIGN. AIMED AT PROGRAM EVALUATORS, THIS VOLUME, IN ITS INTRODUCTORY SECTION, DESCRIBES THE LOGIC UNDERLYING THE USE OF EVALUATION DESIGN AND ITS IMPORTANCE IN OBTAINING RELEVANT DATA. THE INTRODUCTION ALSO DISCUSSES DESIGN OPTIONS OPEN TO FORMATIVE AND SUMMATIVE EVALUATORS; THE LACK OF ATTENTION TO DESIGN IN FUNDED PROGRAM EVALUA-TIONS IS UNDERSCORED AND SUGGESTIONS ARE MADE FOR GRADUALLY IMPROVING EVALUATION PRACTICE. THROUGH DIAGRAMS, STEP-BY-STEP DIRECTIONS, FLOW CHARTS, AND EXAMPLES, SUBSEQUENT SECTIONS SHOW HOW SEVERAL DESIGNS CAN BE ACHIEVED AND IMPLE-MENTED. THESE OPTIONS INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING: (1) **GROUP DESIGNS--TRUE** CONTROL CONTROL PRETEST-POSTTEST DESIGNS, TRUE CONTROL POSTTEST CONTROL DESIGNS, AND NONEQUIVALENT ONLY TIME DESIGNS; (2) SERIES PRETEST-POSTTEST DESIGNS--SINGLE GROUP TIMES SERIES AND TIME SERIES CONTROL GROUP; (3) NONEQUIVALENT BEFORE-AND-AFTER DESIGNS; AND (4) ANALYSIS OF VARI-ANCE (ANOVA) DESIGNS. WHAT TO DO WHEN EVALUATION DESIGNS GO WRONG AND HOW TO RANDOMIZE ARE ALSO COVERED, ALONG WITH METHODS FOR COLLECTING, ANA-LYZING, AND PRESENTING DATA FOR EACH DESIGN. FUR-THER READINGS ARE SUGGESTED. AN INDEX IS INCLUDED. Supplemental Notes: PROGRAM EVALUATION KIT.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCA-TION, AND WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, 1200 19TH STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20203.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

46. J. L. FRANKLIN and J. H. THRASHER. INTRODUCTION TO PROGRAM EVALUATION. JOHN WILEY AND SONS, 605 THIRD AVENUE, NEW YORK NY 10016. 243 p. 1976.

THIS REFERENCE BOOK IS INTENDED FOR USE BOTH AS A TEXT FOR FIRST-TIME EVALUATORS OR AS A SOURCEBOOK FOR PROGRAM MANAGERS AND PROGRAM EVALUATORS. THE PROBLEMS OF ORIGINATION, DEFINITION, AND LEGITI-MATION ARE DISCUSSED FROM PERSPECTIVES SHARED BY EVALUATORS AND PUBLIC PROGRAM MANAGERS. THE RE-CURRING PROBLEM OF PROPER SCOPE AND THE DEFINI-TION OF PROGRAM EVALUATION ARE EXPLORED, AND A SYNTHESIS OF PREVIOUS DEFINITIONS BASED ON CENTRAL AND CONTRIBUTORY FOCUSES IS SUGGESTED. DISCUS-SIONS OF SCOPE, DEFINITION, METHODOLOGY, APPLICA-TION, AND INTEGRATION ARE COMBINED, NOT ONLY FROM AN IDEALIZED PERSPECTIVE, BUT ALSO FROM A PRACTICAL

ONE OF COMPROMISE AND CONTRADICTION. FIRST THE VARIOUS FORMS OF PROGRAM EVALUATION ARE DIFFEREN-TIATED, INCLUDING EVALUATIVE RESEARCH, CONTINUOUS VERSUS ONE-SHOT EVALUATION, 'HIP-POCKET' AND FORMAL EVALUATION, POLICY RESEARCH, APPLIED RE-SEARCH, DECISION-ORIENTED RESEARCH, SOCIAL AUDITS, RESEARCH, **OPERATIONS** RESEARCH, ACTION RESEARCH, BASIC DISCIPLINE-RELATED RESEARCH. 'FRONT-LINE' EVALUATION, UTILIZATION REVIEW, CONTINU-OUS MONITORING, AND QUALITY CONTROL. NEXT, EVALUA-TION DESIGNS AND METHODOLOGIES ARE EXAMINED, WITH ATTENTION TO THEORY, SELECTING A MODEL, SELECTING A DESIGN, EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS, QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS, COMPARATIVE DESIGNS, BARGAIN BASEMENT DE-SIGNS, EVALUATION CRITERIA, DATA SOURCES AND DATA GATHERING TECHNIQUES, VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY, EMERGING APPROACHES TO EVALUATION, EMERGING GOAL ATTAINMENT MODELS, MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS, AND OTHER MODELS. NEXT, EVALUATION PROBLEMS AND PIT-FALLS ARE NOTED; EVALUATION PROCESS, EXECUTION OF THE RESEARCH PHASE, COMMUNICATION OF THE RESULTS, IMPLEMENTATION OF EVALUATION FINDINGS, MUTABLE TRUTHS, AND GUIDELINES FOR EVALUATION ARE DIS-CUSSED, NEXT, THE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION EFFORTS ARE UNDERSCORED; THE ORGANIZA-TIONAL PLACEMENT OF EVALUATIONS, PROGRAM MATRIX-ES, AND EVALUATIONS AS MANAGEMENT FUNCTIONS ARE DISCUSSED. FINALLY, THE ROUTINIZATION OF EVALUATIONS -FROM DATA TO INFORMATION TO AUTOMATION AND FROM AUTOMATION TO ACCOUNTABILITY AND INTEGRATION-IS DISCUSSED. REFERENCES FOLLOW EACH CHAPTER. AN EPI-LOGUE AND INDEX ARE PROVIDED. THE EXTENSIVE BILIO-GRAPHY IS ARRANGED BY THE FOLLOWING TOPICS: GENER-AL INTEREST; METHODS; MODELS AND. APPROACHES-TY-POLOGIES; STATE-OF-THE-ART; EXAMPLES; EVALUATION AND MANAGEMENT; RELATED; AND OTHER.

Supplemental Notes: NILEY-INTERSCIENCE PUBLICATION. Availability: JOHN WILEY AND SONS, 605 THIRD AVENUE, NEW YORK NY 10016.

HANDBOOK OF 47. M. GUTTENTAG and E. L. STRUENING, Eds. EVALUATION RESEARCH, V 2. 736 1975. NCJ-30417

SECOND IN A TWO-VOLUME WORK DESIGNED TO OFFER SPECIFIC, COMPREHENSIVE GUIDANCE IN BOTH THE THEORY AND PRACTICE OF EVALUATION RESEARCH WHICH EXAMINES THE POLITICS, VALUES AND COST-BENEFIT FAC-TORS UNIQUE TO THIS RESEARCH. THE HANDBOOKS' CON-TRIBUTORS (45 OF THE FOREMOST SCHOLARS AND PRACTI-TIONERS OF EVALUATION) PROVIDE AN EXTENSIVE ANALY-SIS OF EVALUATION IN MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAMS WHICH SERVES AS A MODEL FOR ADMINISTRATORS AND POLICY MAKERS IN OTHER FIELDS, SUCH AS SOCIAL WORK, EDUCA-TION, GOVERNMENT, AND PRIVATE FOUNDATIONS. THE METHODOLOGY OF EVALUATION RESEARCH IS ALSO AP-PLIED TO THE SPECIFIC CONTENT AREAS OF STUDY. THIS VOLUME CONCLUDES WITH A CUMULATIVE BIBLIOGRAPHY COVERING ALL THE WORKS CITED IN THIS STUDY, AND A FULL INDEX. (VOLUME ONE OF THIS HANDBOOK, NCJ-30416, EMPHASIZES CONCEPTUALIZATION AND THE PRACTICE OF **EVALUATION RESEARCH.)**

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

48. H. J. HAGEDON, K. J. BECK, S. F. NEUBERT, and S. H. WERLIN. WORKING MANUAL OF SIMPLE PROGRAM EVAL-UATION TECHNIQUES FOR COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS. ARTHUR D LITTLE, INC, ACORN PARK, CAM-BRIDGE MA 02140. 403 p. 1976. NCJ-50220 CONCEPTUAL, ADMINISTRATIVE, AND TECHNICAL GUIDANCE IS PROVIDED IN A MANUAL FOR PROGRAM EVALUATORS IN

NCJ-47556

COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS FUNDED BY THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MENTAL HEALTH. THE MANUAL PROVIDES BACKGROUND INFORMATION USEFUL IN DETER-MINING EVALUATION STRATEGIES AND IN PLANNING EVALU-ATIONS, DISCUSSES ISSUES TO BE CONSIDERED IN SETTING EVALUATION PRIORITIES, AND SUPPLIES INFORMATION ABOUT SPECIFIC EVALUATION TECHNIQUES IN SUFFICIENT DETAIL TO ENABLE EVALUATORS FIRST TO DECIDE WHETH-ER A PARTICULAR TECHNIQUE IS APPROPRIATE AND THEN TO APPLY THE TECHNIQUE. OPENING CHAPTERS DISCUSS THE CONTEXT OF PROGRAM EVALUATION (ATTITUDES TOWARD PROGRAM EVALUATION, LINKING EVALUATION TO PLANNING AND CHANGE, MANAGEMENT OF THE EVALUA-TION FUNCTION) AND ADMINISTRATION (A CHECKLIST OF ISSUES TO BE CONSIDERED IN EVALUATING A SERVICE PRO-GRAM, ANNUAL AND MULTIYEAR EVALUATION CYCLES). OTHER CHAPTERS COVER THE FOLLOWING: THE ROLE OF MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS IN PROGRAM EVAL-UATION; NEEDS ASSESSMENT (THE KEY INFORMANT, COM-MUNITY FORUM OR COMMUNITY IMPRESSIONS, AND NOMI-NAL GROUP APPROACHES); TECHNIQUES FOR MEASURING PATTERNS OF SERVICE USE: COST ANALYSIS: IMPACT ANAL-YSIS (CLIENT OUTCOME EVALUATION, COMMUNITY INDEX-ES); COST-OUTCOME AND COST-EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS: DETERMINING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF CONSULTATION AND EDUCATION ACTIVITIES; ASSESSING QUALITY OF CARE; AND APPROACHES TO CITIZEN REVIEW. EACH DISCUSSION OF A SPECIFIC TECHNIQUE DEFINES THE TECHNIQUE, IDENTIFIES THE TECHNIQUE'S USES AND LIMITATIONS, PROVIDES GUIDELINES FOR IMPLEMENTING THE TECHNIQUE, ESTI-MATES THE LEVEL OF EFFORT REQUIRED FOR IMPLEMEN-TATION, AND CITES SOURCES OF INFORMATION ABOUT THE TECHNIQUE. APPENDED MATERIALS INCLUDE A LIST OF TRAINING PROGRAMS IN EVALUATION AND AN OVERVIEW OF RESEARCH DESIGN (INCLUDING CAUTIONS IN THE INTER-PRETATION OF PROGRAM EVALUATION RESULTS).

Sponsoring Agency: NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MENTAL HEALTH C/O IRA S LOURIE, MD, R 512, 5600 FISHERS LANE, ROCKVILLE, MD 20857.

Availability: GPO Stock Order No. 017-024-00539-8; NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

HELPING PROGRAM MANAGERS TO IM-49. H. P. HATRY. PROVE THEIR PROGRAMS. 10 p. 1978. NCJ-53101 STRATEGIES TO MAKE EVALUATION MORE USEFUL TO PRO-GRAM MANAGERS AND APPROPRIATE EVALUATION CRITE-RIA AND POLICY VARIABLES FOR EFFECTIVE PROGRAM EVALUATION ARE EXAMINED. THE CENTRAL PURPOSE OF EVALUATION IS TO IMPROVE SERVICES. THE FOLLOWING FIVE PARTS CONSTITUTE THE EVALUATION PROCESS: THE FORMULATION OF THE EVALUATION DESIGN, THE SELEC-TION OF EVALUATION CRITERIA, THE DETERMINATION OF A SPECIFIC EVALUATION DESIGN, THE SELECTION OF POLICY VARIABLES TO BE INCLUDED IN EVALUATION, AND THE AP-PLICATION OF EVALUATION RESULTS. AT LEAST SOME PRO-GRAM MANAGERS SHOULD BE INTERVIEWED BEFORE THE START OF EVALUATION TO OBTAIN THEIR VIEWS CONCERN-ING OBJECTIVES AND CRITERIA AND TO GAIN INSIGHTS INTO HOW AN INTERVENTION IS EXPECTED TO OPERATE AND WHAT FACTORS AFFECT PROGRAM SUCCESS. IN THE SELECTION OF EVALUATION CRITERIA, IT IS NECESSARY TO FOCUS ON MEASURES OF IMPACT, TO INCLUDE CRITERIA OVER WHICH MANAGERS HAVE SOME CONTROL, TO DISAG-GREGATE CRITERIA, AND TO PROVIDE TIMELY FEEDBACK, IT IS OFTEN TECHNICALLY FEASIBLE TO UNDERTAKE RANDOM-IZED EXPERIMENTS IN THE EVALUATION DESIGN APPROACH, ALTHOUGH EVALUATION RESOURCES ARE LIKELY TO BE HIGHLY CONSTRAINED WITH SUCH AN APPROACH. POLICY VARIABLES OF CONCERN TO PROGRAM MANAGERS IN-VOLVE PROGRAM OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS SUCH AS STAFFING PATTERNS AND ORGANIZATIONAL VARIABLES.

ATTITUDES OF EMPLOYEES IN A PROGRAM BEING EVALUATED CAN BE SURVEYED, WHERE FEASIBLE, TO HELP IDENTIFY POSSIBLE BARRIERS TO MORE SUCCESSFUL PROGRAM PERFORMANCE. AT THE COMPLETION OF EVALUATION, WRITTEN SUGGESTIONS ON PROGRAM PROBLEMS AND HOW THEY MIGHT BE RESOLVED AND THE ORAL BRIEFING OF MANAGERS CONCERNING EVALUATION RESULTS ARE RECOMMENDED.

Supplemental Notes: PRESENTATION FOR SECOND NATIONAL WORKSHOP ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION, NOVEMBER 1978.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

50. H. P. HATRY, R. E. WINNIE, and D. M. FISK. PRACTICAL PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR STATE AND LOCAL GOVERN-MENT OFFICIALS. 141 p. 1973. DESIGNED TO HELP STATE, COUNTY, AND CITY OFFICIALS CARRY OUT PROGRAM EVALUATIONS, THIS REPORT IN-CLUDES METHODS OF SETTING OBJECTIVES, BASIC PROCE-DURES, DESCRIPTIONS OF CLIENTELE GROUPS, AND EVALU-ATION DESIGNS AND TECHNIQUES. THE AUTHORS EMPHA-SIZE EVALUATION APPROACHES THAT ARE PRACTICAL, AND WITHIN THE ECONOMIC AND TECHNICAL CAPABILITIES OF THE GOVERNMENTS MAKING THE STUDIES, AFTER DISCUSS-ING CURRENT EVALUATION PRACTICES, THE IDENTIFICA-TION OF PROGRAM OBJECTIVES, SETTING OF EVALUATION CRITERIA, AND THE VARIOUS EVALUATION DESIGNS AVAILA-BLE, A CASE STUDY IS PRESENTED BY WAY OF ILLUSTRA-TION. THE AUTHORS ALSO DISCUSS WHO IN LOCAL GOV-ERNMENT HAS THE PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY FOR EVALUA-TION EFFORTS, REVIEW THE ANTICIPATED COSTS AND STAFFING REQUIREMENTS, AND SUGGEST WAYS TO BEST ASSURE THAT EVALUATION FINDINGS ARE PUT TO USE IN GOVERNMENT POLICIES AND OPERATIONS.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, 451 SEVENTH STREET, SW, WASHINGTON DC 20410.

Availability: URBAN INSTITUTE, 2100 M STREET, NW, WASH-INGTON DC 20037

51. M. E. HENERSON, L. L. MORRIS, and C. T. FITZ-GIBBON. HOW TO MEASURE ATTITUDES. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212; UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF EVALUATION, LOS ANGELES, CA. 90024. 184 p. 1978. NC.1-58076

PART OF AN EIGHT-VOLUME SERIES ON PROGRAM EVALUA-TION, THIS BOOK TELLS HOW TO DESIGN CREDIBLE INSTRU-MENTS TO FIND OUT ABOUT ATTITUDES AND TO TELL WHETHER ATTITUDE AND AFFECTIVE PROGRAM OBJEC-TIVES HAVE BEEN MET. SINCE MOST EVALUATIONS ARE BETTER SERVED BY USING AN EXISTING MEASURE RATHER THAN CREATING A NEW ONE, BEFORE GIVING STEP-BY-STEP DIRECTIONS FOR CONSTRUCTING THEM INHOUSE THIS BOOK PRESENTS INSTRUCTIONS FOR FINDING ALREADY AVAILABLE MEASURES. DESCRIPTIONS OF COMMONLY AVAILABLE ATTITUDE MEASURES OF ALL TYPES ARE PRO-VIDED, ALONG WITH TIPS ON HOW TO FIND THEM AND A LIST OF REFERENCE BOOKS THAT NAME HUNDREDS OF OTHER MEASURES. THE INSTRUCTIONS FOR DEVELOPING ATTITUDE MEASURES INHOUSE FOCUS ON QUESTION-NAIRES, INTERVIEWS, WRITTEN REPORTS, OBSERVATION PROCEDURES, SOCIOMETRIC INSTRUMENTS, AND ATTITUDE RATING SCALES, INCLUDING ORDERED SCALES, AGREE-MENT SCALES, AND SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES. DIS-CUSSION OF THE VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY OF ATTITUDE INSTRUMENTS ADDRESSES THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS: (1) IS THE INSTRUMENT AN APPROPRIATE ONE TO MEASURE WHAT AN EVALUATOR WANTS TO KNOW? (2) DOES THE IN-STRUMENT PRODUCE CONSISTENT RESULTS? INTERPRET-ING VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY DATA ABOUT PUBLISHED AT-

TITUDE TESTS IS ALSO DISCUSSED, ALONG WITH SUMMARIZING, ANALYZING, AND DISPLAYING ATTITUDE DATA. THE NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF PUBLISHERS OF ATTITUDES MEASURES ARE APPENDED. AN INDEX IS INCLUDED.

Supplemental Notes: PROGRAM EVALUATION KIT.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCA-TION, AND WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, 1200 19TH STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20203.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE. BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

52. D. K. HILDEBRAND, J. D. LAING, and H. ROSENTHAL.
ANALYSIS OF ORDINAL DATA. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC,
275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212. 85
p. 1977.
THE ORDINAL MEASUREMENT OF VARIABLES IS DISCUSSED,
AND ALTERNATIVE TYPES OF PROPOSITIONS RELATING TO
ORDINAL VARIABLES ARE IDENTIFIED, TOGETHER WITH THE
PRESENTATION OF METHODS FOR USING DATA TO EVALUATE SUCH PROPOSITIONS. IT IS NOTED THAT ORDINAL DATA
IS THE TYPE OF RELIABLE INFORMATION MOST FREQUENTLY AVAILABLE TO SOCIAL SCIENTISTS. ORDINAL DATA PROVIDE AN ORDERING OF CASES FROM THE HIGHEST TO
LOWEST, HOTTEST TO COLDEST, AND BEST TO WORST. AL
THOUGH ORDINALS LACK A UNIT OF MEASUREMENT, THEY
PERMIT A COMPARISON OF ITEMS. THE BASIC STRATEGY OF
THE PAPER IS TO DEVELOP A GENERAL PREDICTION FRAME-

LOWEST, HOTTEST TO COLDEST, AND BEST TO WORST. AL-THOUGH ORDINALS LACK A UNIT OF MEASUREMENT, THEY PERMIT A COMPARISON OF ITEMS. THE BASIC STRATEGY OF THE PAPER IS TO DEVELOP A GENERAL PREDICTION FRAME-WORK AND A RELATED STATISTICAL MEASURE IN THE HAN-DLING OF ORDINAL DATA. THIS GENERAL FRAMEWORK IS THEN USED TO EXPLAIN THE MOST WIDELY USED MEAS-URES OF BIVARIATE ASSOCIATION FOR ORDINAL VARIA-BLES, INCLUDING GAMMA AND THE VARIOUS DELTA AND TAU MEASURES. IT IS NOTED THAT MANY OTHER TYPES OF PROPOSITONS CAN ALSO BE EVALUATED WITH THE GENER-AL FRAMEWORK. AFTER DEALING EXTENSIVELY WITH BI-VARIATE PROPOSITIONS, THE EVALUATION OF MULTIVAR-IATE PREDICTIONS IS BRIEFLY CONSIDERED. THE GENERAL FRAMEWORK IS CONSIDERED TO PROVIDE NOT ONLY A COMMON PERSPECTIVE FOR INTERPRETING STANDARD

BEING CONSIDERED. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED)

Supplemental Notes: ONE OF SAGE UNIVERSITY PAPERS
SERIES ON QUANTITATIVE APPLICATIONS IN THE SOCIAL
SCIENCES

MEASURES OF ASSOCIATION, BUT ALSO TO PERMIT

CUSTOM DESIGNING OF A MEASURE WHEN A RESEARCH

APPLICATION ARISES WHERE NO STANDARD MEASURE IS

APPROPRIATE TO EVALUATE THE SPECIFIC PROPOSITION

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

53. K. HILL-SCOTT and C. Z. WILSON. ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF SOCIAL PROGRAMS—PROBLEMS AND POTENTIALITIES. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212. EDUCATION AND URBAN SOCIETY, V 9, N 4 (AUGUST 1977), P 509-540.

EVALUATION APPROACHES TO ASSESSING SOCIAL ACTION PROGRAMS ARE DESCRIBED WITHIN A CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM WHICH ALLOWS SOCIAL SCIENTISTS AND ECONO-MISTS TO COLLABORATE IN DETERMINING PROGRAMS' COSTS, EFFECTS, AND BENEFITS. IN THE FIRST EVALUATION CATEGORY, THE DIAGNOSTIC-QUALITATIVE APPROACH, EVA-LUATORS' GOALS ARE TO PERFORM AN ORGANIZATIONAL AND GOAL ANALYSIS OF AN IN IN-PROCESS PROGRAM BY ASKING QUESTIONS; E.G. HOW LONG WILL IT BE BEFORE THE PROGRAM STABILIZES, HOW LONG WILL IT TAKE TO DE-VELOP ORGANIZATION CAPABILITY TO DELIVER THE EX-PECTED SERVICE, HOW DOES THE PROGRAM GO ABOUT ACHIEVING ITS GOALS, AND HOW CAN IT BE IMPROVED OR MODIFIED. EVALUATION TECHNIQUES WOULD INCLUDE CASE REPORTING, DIAGNOSTIC CONSULTING, PROGRAM OR FOR-MATIVE EVALUATION, AND ACTION RESEARCH. A SECOND CATEGORY, QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL APPROACHES, IS USED WHEN THERE IS A BASIC ASSUMPTION THAT THE SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT CAN BE MODIFIED FOR THE GOOD AND THAT THE CHANGE CAN BE MEASURED. THE EXPERIMENTS MUST BE CONDUCTED IN NATURAL SETTINGS WITH LIMITED CON-TROL OVER VARIABLES. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS IS THE THIRD APPROACH CATEGORY. IT FOCUSES UPON THE PROGRAM'S PROCESS OR THE DEVELOPMENT OF CAPABILITY, AS WELL AS OVERALL PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS. IT ALSO CONSID-ERS THE COST OF THE PROGRAM RELATIVE TO EFFECTIVE-NESS, A COMBINATION OF THE APPROACHES RESULTS IN BENEFIT-COST ANALYSIS, A WIDELY USED TECHNIQUE THAT ALLOWS INPUTS AND OUTPUTS TO BE DEFINED IN COMMON TERMS AND THEN SET UP IN A SIMPLE BASIC PARADIGM. THE LAST SECTION OF THE REPORT DISCUSSES THE INFOR-MATION CAPABILITIES OFFERED BY THE VARIOUS AP-PROACHES, THE APPROXIMATE TIME IN A PROGRAM LIFE FOR APPLICATION OF EACH TECHNIQUE, AND THE APPRO-PRIATE EVALUATIVE TECHNIQUE FOR VARIOUS PROGRAM TYPES, REFERENCES ARE PROVIDED.

54. P. W. HOUSE and J. MCLEOD. LARGE-SCALE MODELS FOR POLICY EVALUATION. JOHN WILEY AND SONS, 605 THIRD AVENUE, NEW YORK NY 10016. 347 p. 1977.

NCJ-45837

LARGE-SCALE COMPUTER SIMULATION MODELS USED TO STUDY SOCIAL ISSUES ARE DISCUSSED; THE THEORY, METHODOLOGY, DATA ADEQUACY, CAPABILITY OF PRO-GRAMMING, VALIDATION, UTILITY, AND TRANSFERABILITY ARE COMPARED. THIS BOOK FOR POLICYMAKERS AND THEIR STAFFS DISCUSSES JUST WHAT A LARGE-SCALE MODEL CAN AND CANNOT DO, HOW THE TASK OF CON-STRUCTING A MODEL SHOULD BE APPROACHED, HOW DE-VELOPMENT CAN BE SPREAD OVER A VARIETY OF TASKS SO THAT THE FINAL COST IS SHARED BY A NUMBER OF DE-PARTMENTS, AND AND THE TERMINOLOGY USED BY THE COMPUTER SOFTWARE EXPERTS WHO WILL BE CALLED UPON TO DEVELOP THE MODEL. A STEP-BY-STEP APPROACH TO MODEL BUILDING AND VALIDATION IS PRESENTED IN NONTECHNICAL LANGUAGE. ONE CHAPTER DISCUSSES MEANINGFUL IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MODEL, WAYS IN WHICH A MODEL CAN BE USED BY MORE THAN ONE DE-PARTMENT ARE ALSO EXAMINED. ANOTHER CHAPTER PRE-SENTS EXCERPTS WRITTEN BY EXPERTS IN THE FIELD COV-ERING SUCH TOPICS AS PREDICTING BEST WITH IMPERFECT DATA, FAILURE OF TRANSPORTATION MODELS, DOCUMEN-TATION, MODEL USE, MODEL POLICIES, MANAGEMENT OF A MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH PROJECT, BUILDING A MAN-MACHINE MODEL, A SPECIFIC METHODOLOGY FOR BUILDING MODELS, A METHODOLOGY FOR MODEL EVALUA-TION, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY MODEL DOC-UMENTATION STANDARDS, MODELING SINS AND GUIDE-LINES, AND THE USE OF URBAN MODELS. EACH OF THESE EXCERPTS IS A COMPLETE SCIENTIFIC PAPER, CONDENSED TO BE MORE READABLE TO THE LAYPERSON. THE FIRST AP-PENDIX PRESENTS A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF EACH OF NINE SOCIAL SYSTEMS MODELS, ALL IN USE AT THE TIME OF WRITING, WHILE THE SECOND DETAILS DATA NEEDS FOR EACH OF THESE NINE MODELS, SOURCES OF THE DATA, OUTPUTS, PROBLEMS WITH THE MODEL, USEFULNESS, AND TRANSFERABILITY. THROUGHOUT THE DISCUSSIONS, THE STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THE MODELS FOR POLICY EVALUATION ARE STRESSED. THE BOOK CONTAINS CHARTS AND TABLES COMPARING VARIOUS MODELS AND AN EXTEN-SIVE INDEX.

Supplemental Notes: WILEY SERIES ON SYSTEMS ENGINEER-ING AND ANALYSIS.

Availability: JOHN WILEY AND SONS, 605 THIRD AVENUE, NEW YORK NY 10016.

NCJ-52727

- 55. P. J. HUNT. PROGRAM EVALUATION MANUAL (IN TWO NUMBERED PARTS). PERSONNEL RESEARCH AND TRAIN-ING INSTITUTE, 13011 BOCA LIEGA AVENUE, MADEIRA BEACH FL 33708. 209 p. 1978. NCJ-50011 STEPS REQUIRED TO EVALUATE A PROGRAM AND TO USE THE RESULTS IN DECISIONMAKING PROCESSES ARE DE-SCRIBED IN A USER-ORIENTED MANUAL FOR ADMINISTRA-TORS. DEFINITIONS OF EVALUATION AND OF THE EVALUA-TOR'S ROLE ARE FOLLOWED BY A STEP-BY-STEP DESCRIP-TION OF PROGRAM EVALUATION PLANNING: MEETING WITH DECISIONMAKERS; DECIDING ON AN EVALUATION AP-PROACH (FORMATIVE, SUMMATIVE, ECLECTIC, NEEDS AS-SESSMENT); COORDINATING PROGRAM PLANNING AND EVALUATION DESIGN EFFORTS; SELECTING THE TYPE OF EVALUATION (CONTEXT, INPUT, PROCESS, PRODUCT) ON THE BASIS OF DECISIONMAKING INFORMATION NEEDS; IDENTIFYING POSSIBLE CONSTRAINTS; AND SELECTING A SAMPLING TECHNIQUE. SUBSEQUENT CHAPTERS DISCUSS EVALUATION DESIGNS, SELECTING CRITERIA AND PER-FORMANCE STANDARDS, DATA COLLECTION METHODS (QUESTIONNAIRES, SURVEYS, RATING SCALES, STANDARD-IZED REPORTING FORMS, CASE STUDIES), PREPARING DATA FOR ANALYSIS, ANALYZING EVALUATION DATA (GENERAL STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS, DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS, MEASURES OF RELATIONS, INFERENTIAL STATISTICS), COM-PUTER UTILIZATION IN PROGRAM EVALUATION (INCLUDING A COMPUTER PROGRAM SUITED FOR SOCIAL SCIENCE MAN-AGEMENT APPLICATIONS), AND WRITING AND DISSEMINAT-ING THE EVALUATION REPORT. PART II OF THE MANUAL COVERS SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS IN USING EVALUATION DATA IN THE DECISIONMAKING PROCESS, AND APPLICATION OF EVALUATION RESULTS IN POLICYMAKING, MANAGEMENT PLANNING, BUDGETING, IDENTIFYING CONSUMER NEEDS, PLANNING FOR GROWTH, DEVELOPING NEW PROGRAMS. CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS, AND GENERAL PROGRAM MAN-AGEMENT. A GLOSSARY AND AN INDEX ARE PROVIDED. Supplemental Notes: PART 1—PROGRAM EVALUATION PROCESS GUIDE, PART 2 UTILIZATION OF PROGRAM EVALU-ATION DATA IN DECISION-MAKING PROCESSES. Availability: PERSONNEL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE, 13011 BOCA LIEGA AVENUE, MADEIRA BEACH FL
- 56. S. ISAAC and W. B. MICHAEL. HANDBOOK IN RESEARCH AND EVALUATION-COLLECTION OF PRINCIPLES, METH-ODS AND STRATEGIES USEFUL IN THE PLANNING, DESIGN, AND EVALUATION OF STUDIES IN EDUCATION AND THE BE-HAVIORAL SCIENCES. EDITS PUBLISHERS. NCJ-51806 BASIC INFORMATION ON EDUCATION/BEHAVIORAL SCIENCE RESEARCH AND EVALUATION--PLANNING, STUDY DESIGNS AND METHODS, INSTRUMENTS AND MEASUREMENT, STATIS-TICAL TECHNIQUES -- IS PRESENTED. THE HANDBOOK IS DI-RECTED TOWARD PROJECT DIRECTORS, 'OCCASIONAL' RE-SEARCHERS, PROPOSAL WRITERS, EVALUATORS, REVIEW-ERS AND CONSUMERS OF RESEARCH, UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS IN BEGINNING COURSES, GRADUATE STUDENTS PREPARING THESES AND DISSERTATIONS, AND RESEARCH-ERS AND PROJECT STAFF IN NEED OF A GENERAL REFER-ENCE. THE DOCUMENT OFFERS GUIDELINES, METHODS, AND TECHNIQUES, SELECTED FROM A VARIETY OF REFER-ENCES ON RESEARCH DESIGN, MEASUREMENT, AND STA-TISTICS, THAT ARE NOT ORDINARILY AVAILABLE IN A SINGLE SOURCE. THE HANDBOOK CAN SERVE AS A RE-FRESHER FOR PERSONS WHO HAVE NOT KEPT CURRENT AND AND TECHNIQUES AS METHODS 'CHECKING-AND-COMPARING' AID FOR RESEARCHERS WHO NEED TO BE SURE THEY ARE USING THE MOST APPROPRI-ATE METHODS, GUIDELINES FOR PLANNING RESEARCH AND EVALUATION STUDIES ARE PRESENTED, TOGETHER WITH A LIST OF COMMON MISTAKES MADE IN FORMULATING THE RESEARCH PROBLEM, A DISCUSSION OF THE ADVANTAGES

OF PILOT STUDIES, PLANNING AND EVALUATION STRATEGIES, AND SPECIAL STEPS IN PLANNING FOR COMPUTER ANALYSIS AND DATA PROCESSING. A GUIDE TO RESEARCH DESIGNS, METHODS, AND STRATEGIES EXPLAINS DIFFERENCES AMONG VARIOUS TYPES OF RESEARCH AND DESCRIBES SPECIFIC RESEARCH DESIGNS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS. INFORMATION ON INSTRUMENTATION AND MEASUREMENT IS PRESENTED, TOGETHER WITH A REVIEW OF STATISTICAL TECHNIQUES (INCLUDING 'COMPUTER GUIDES' FOR 12 STATISTICAL OPERATIONS). THE CLOSING CHAPTER OFFERS CRITERIA AND GUIDELINES FOR PLANNING, PREPARING, WRITING, AND EVALUATING RESEARCH PROPOSALS. REPORTS, THESES, AND ARTICLES.

57. W. J. KROWINSKI and D. X. FITT. MODEL FOR EVALUATING MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAMS—THE FUNCTIONAL BASELINE SYSTEM. ADMINISTRATION IN MENTAL HEALTH, BOX 2088, ROCKVILLE MD 20853. ADMINISTRATION IN MENTAL HEALTH, V 6, N 1 (FALL 1978), P 22-41.

OBSTACLES TO EVALUATION OF MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES, ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF AN EVALUATION, AN EVALUATION INSTRUMENT FOR MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES, AND AN EVALUATION MODEL FOR A PARTIAL HOSPITALIZATION PRO-GRAM ARE TREATED. RESISTANCE TO EVALUATION OF MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES HAS AT LEAST FOUR MAJOR COMPONENTS: (1) ADMINISTRATORS WHO WERE FORMERLY CLINICIANS WITH LITTLE TRAINING IN SOPHISTICATED MAN-AGEMENT PRACTICES, (2) A CONCEPT OF PROFESSIONAL-ISM AMONG MENTAL HEALTH PERSONNEL THAT RESENTS 'OUTSIDE' INVOLVEMENT IN ANY PHASE OF THEIR WORK, (3) THE DIFFICULTY OF MEASURING THE INTANGIBLE ACHIEVE-MENTS OF MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES, AND (4) LIMITED FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR EFFECTIVE EVALUATION. THE ES-SENTIAL ELEMENTS REQUIRED FOR EVALUATING ANY HUMAN SERVICE PROGRAM ARE A STATEMENT OF PRO-GRAM OBJECTIVES, A FORMULATION OF THE ACTIVITIES OR 3 SERVICES TO BE IMPLEMENTED, SPECIFICATION OF THE TARGET POPULATION, DETERMINATION OF PROGRAM EF-FECTIVENESS, AND DETERMINATION OF PROGRAM EFFI-CIENCY. EACH OF THESE ELEMENTS IS BRIEFLY DISCUSSED. A PROPOSED INSTRUMENT FOR EVALUATING PSYCHIATRIC PATIENTS IS CALLED THE FUNCTIONAL BASELINE SYSTEM (FBS). THE FBS FOCUSES EXCLUSIVELY ON THE CLIENT'S FUNCTIONING IN VOCATIONAL, EMOTIONAL, AND SOCIAL AREAS. IT IS CONSTRUCTED TO ALLOW FOR RAPID ASSESS-MENT OF A PATIENT BASED ON OBSERVATIONS AND INFOR-MATION SOLICITED DURING AN INTERVIEW. THE FBS CON-SISTS OF THREE EIGHT POINT SUBSCALES -- SKILL/TASK VO-CATIONAL FUNCTIONING SCALE, SOCIAL FUNCTIONING SCALE, AND EMOTIONAL FUNCTIONING SCALE--AND AN EIGHT-POINT COMPOSITE SCALE, THE OVERALL FUNCTION-ING AND DISPOSITIONAL SCALE. A SAMPLE OF EACH OF THESE SCALES IS PROVIDED, AND RELIABILITY AND VALID-ITY ARE DISCUSSED. RESULTS OF A PILOT STUDY TESTING AN EVALUATION MODEL AT THE DAY TREATMENT CENTER OF THE READING HOSPITAL AND MEDICAL CENTER ARE RE-PORTED. THE EVALUATION STRUCTURE PROVIDES THAT PA-TIENTS BE ASSIGNED TO ONE OF FOUR GROUPS, DEPEND-ING ON ILLNESS TYPE, WITH EACH GROUP ORIENTED TOWARD REALISTIC BEHAVIORAL OBJECTIVES APPROPRI-ATE FOR THE MEMBERS OF THAT GROUP. RESULTS OF THE EVALUATION ARE REPORTED FOR EACH GROUP. TABULAR DATA AND REFERENCES ARE PROVIDED.

58. J. LEVIN. ELEMENTARY STATISTICS IN SOCIAL RE-SEARCH—SECOND EDITION. HARPER AND ROW, 10 EAST 53RD STREET, NEW YORK NY 10022. 303 p. 1977. NCJ-65925

TO INTRODUCE STATISTICS TO STUDENTS IN SOCIOLOGY AND RELATED FIELDS, THIS VOLUME DISCUSSES STATISTICAL PROCEDURES AND PRESENTS AN INTRODUCTION TO

REGRESSION ANALYSIS. USEFUL METHODS FOR DESCRIB-ING AND COMPARING RAW DATA ARE EXAMINED, INCLUD-ING FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTIONS. GRAPHIC PRESENTATIONS (PIE CHARTS, BAR GRAPHS, FREQUENCY POLYGONS), MEAS-URES OF CENTRAL TENDENCY (THE MODE, THE MEDIAN, THE MEAN), AND MEASURES OF VARIABILITY (THE RANGE, MEAN DEVIATION, AND STANDARD DEVIATION). ALSO DIS-CUSSED ARE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE NORMAL CURVE. AN IMPORTANT DESCRIPTIVE DEVICE, AND OTHER METHODS OF GENERALIZING FROM SAMPLES TO POPULATIONS. SEV-ERAL TESTS OF SIGNIFICANCE (I.E., CHI SQUARE) AND PRO-CEDURES FOR OBTAINING CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS ARE ALSO EXAMINED. THE VOLUME EMPHASIZES THE RE-SEARCH APPLICATIONS OF STATISTICS AND PROVIDES EX-ERCISES FOR STUDENTS TO SELECT APPROPRIATE STATIS-TICAL PROCEDURES FOR VARIOUS RESEARCH SITUATIONS. DETAILED STEP-BY-STEP ILLUSTRATIONS OF STATISTICAL PROCEDURES ARE INCLUDED, ALONG WITH NUMEROUS PROBLEMS FROM ACTUAL RESEARCH EXPERIENCES. AP-PENDIXES CONTAIN A REVIEW OF THE FUNDAMENTALS OF MATHEMATICS, A LIST OF FORMULAS, TABULAR DATA, REF-ERENCES, ANSWERS TO SELECTED PROBLEMS IN THE TEXT. AN AN INDEX.

Availability: HARPER AND ROW PUBLISHERS ORDER SERVICES DEPARTMENT KEYSTONE INDUSTRIAL PARK, SCRANTON PA 18512.

59. J. MAGIDSON. TOWARD A CAUSAL MODEL APPROACH FOR ADJUSTING FOR PREEXISTING DIFFERENCES IN THE NONEQUIVALENT CONTROL GROUP SITUATION. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212. EVALUATION QUARTERLY, V 1, N 3 (AUGUST 1977), P 399-420. NCJ-56271

A GENERAL AND POTENTIALLY USEFUL ALTERNATIVE TO COVARIANCE ANALYSIS (ANCOVA) FOR CONSIDERING PRE-EXISTING DIFFERENCES IN A NONEQUIVALENT CONTROL GROUP SITUATION IS PRESENTED. TRADITIONAL AP-PROACHES TO DISTRIBUTE THE EFFECTS OF CONFOUNDING FACTORS IN A NONEQUIVALENT CONTROL GROUP SITUA-TION, ONE OF THE MAIN PROBLEMS FACED BY SOCIAL PRO-GRAM EVALUATORS, CALCULATE A PARTIAL CORRELATION OR REGRESSION COEFFICIENT CONTROLLING FOR ONE OR MORE 'COVARIATES'. BUT THESE METHODS YIELD BIASED ESTIMATES OF EFFECT. IN THE ALTERNATIVE APPROACH PRESENTED HERE, HYPOTHESIZED FACTORS DO NOT HAVE TO BE ORTHOGONAL, ESTIMATES FOR THE UNRELIABILITY AND IRRELEVANCE OF COVARIATES (IN TERMS OF MEA-SUREMENT ERROR VARIANCE) NEED NOT BE AVAILABLE, AND IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO ESTIMATE FACTOR SCORES. THE MODEL FALLS UNDER THE REALM OF LINEAR STRUC-TURAL RELATIONSHIPS INVOLVING MULTIPLE INDICATORS OF UNMEASURED VARIABLES. AS SUCH, A COMPUTER PRO-GRAM IS AVAILABLE TO CALCULATE MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD OF ESTIMATES AND CHI-SQUARE GOODNESS OF FIT STATIS-TICS. THERE ARE INFINITE WAYS TO FORMULATE A CAUSAL MODEL, AND IT IS IMPORTANT FOR RESEARCHERS TO FOR-MULATE MANY MODELS SO THEY CAN DETERMINE WHETH-ER CONCLUSIONS WILL DIFFER IF A DIFFERENT SET OF AS-SUMPTIONS IS ACCEPTED. IT IS ALSO IMPORTANT TO FOLLOW CERTAIN GENERAL GUIDELINES IN BUILDING MODELS WHEN RESEARCHERS HAVE LIMITED INFORMATION ABOUT THE CAUSAL PROCESS. THE GENERAL APPROACH IS ILLUSTRATED USING DATA FROM A HEAD START EVALUA-TION IN WHICH IT WAS CLEAR THAT THE CONTROL GROUP WAS FROM A HIGHER SOCIAL CLASS THAN THE EXPERIMEN-TAL GROUP. RESULTS FROM THE HEAD START EVALUATION ARE SUMMARIZED, AND SOME ALTERNATIVES TO ANCOVA SUGGESTED IN THE LITERATURE ARE BRIEFLY DESCRIBED.

EQUATIONS RELEVANT TO THE ANALYSIS PROCEDURES AND REFERENCES ARE INCLUDED.

Sponsoring Agencies: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, 1200 19TH STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20203; NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION, 1800 G STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20550.

E. MAXWELL. MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS IN BEHA-VIOURAL RESEARCH. METHUEN AND COMPANY, 11 NEW FETTER LANE, LONDON, ENGLAND. 101 p. 1977. United Kinadom. NC.I-51624 THIS BOOK IS CONCERNED WITH MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS AS APPLIED TO THE BEHAVIORAL SCIENCE FIELD TO ASSIST STUDENTS IN THE USE OF COMPUTERS FOR MULTIVARIATE DATA ANALYSIS AND IN ADVANCED STUDY OF THE SUB-JECT. ALTHOUGH THE MAJOR PORTION OF THE BOOK DEALS WITH CLASSIC TECHNIQUES OF MULTIVARIATE ANAL-YSIS, SPECIAL ATTENTION IS DIRECTED TO THE DISRUPTIVE ROLE OF MEASUREMENT ERRORS. HISTORICAL BACK-GROUND INFORMATION IS PRESENTED FOR PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS ANALYSIS, FACTOR ANALYSIS, HIERARCHICAL CORRELATION MATRICES, NAMING FACTORS, AND THE LOW RANK OF CORRELATION MATRICES. GENERAL OBSERVA-TIONS ON MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS IN BEHAVIORAL RE-SEARCH CONCERN THE ESTIMATION OF VARIANCES AND COVARIANCES, LINEAR CONSTRAINTS ON VARIATES, MATRI-CES OF REDUCED RANK, TERMINOLOGY, THE METRIC SYSTEM, AND THE DISTRIBUTION OF VARIATES. A DISCUS-SION ON MATRICES AND DETERMINANTS COVERS MATRI-CES AND THEIR MANIPULATION, INVERSE MATRICES. LATENT ROOTS AND VECTORS OF A MATRIX, ORTHOGONAL MATRICES, MATRIX ROTATION, QUADRATIC FORMS OF MA-TRICES AND THEIR DIFFERENTIATION, THE LAGRANGE MUL-TIPLIER, AND LATENT ROOTS AND VECTORS OF NONSYM-METRIC MATRICES. THE REMAINING CHAPTERS OF THE BOOK FOCUS ON PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS ANALYSIS, FACTOR ANALYSIS, CONFIRMATORY FACTOR ANALYSIS, MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION, CANONICAL CORRELA-TIONS, DISCRIMINANT FUNCTION AND CANONICAL VARIATE ANALYSIS, CONTINGENCY TABLE ANALYSIS, ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE IN MATRIX NOTATION, MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE, AND CLUSTER ANALYSIS AND MISCELLA-NEOUS TECHNIQUES. DATA, MATHEMATICAL FORMULA-TIONS OF THE ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES, REFERENCES, AND AN INDEX ARE INCLUDED.

Supplemental Notes: ONE OF A SERIES OF MONOGRAPHS ON APPLIED PROBABILITY AND STATISTICS.

Availability: JOHN WILEY AND SONS, INC ORDER PROCESSING EASTERN DISTRIBUTION CENTER, 1 WILEY DRIVE, SOMERSET NJ 08873.

61. MDC, INC, P O BOX 1057, CHAPEL HILL NC 27514. HOW TO GET STARTED ON EVALUATION-A FIELD REPORT AND GUIDE FOR CETA (COMPREHENSIVE EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ACT) PRIME SPONSORS-FINAL REPORT, 1977. 163 p. 1977. NCJ-54158 THIS INFORMAL GUIDE COVERS BASIC SELF-EVALUATION FOR SMALL SPONSORS OF CETA (COMPREHENSIVE EM-PLOYMENT AND TRAINING ACT) PROGRAMS, INCLUDING GATHERING DATA, GOALS, PERFORMANCE AND PERFORM-ANCE ANALYSIS, AND FOLLOWUP. THE PURPOSE OF THE GUIDE IS TO TRANSLATE EVALUATION THEORY INTO LAN-GUAGE WHICH CAN BE USED BY THOSE RESPONSIBLE FOR BASIC PROGRAM PERFORMANCE. THE USE AND ABUSE OF VALIDITY OF DATA, GATHERING DATA, DATA ANALYSIS, SET-TING GOALS, SELECTING CRITERIA TO MEASURE THESE GOALS, AND FOLLOWUP OF PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS ARE ADDRESSED. A JOB-TRAINING PROGRAM IN PENSACOLA, FLA., IS DESCRIBED AS A CASE EXAMPLE. AN APPENDIX EX-PLAINS COMPUTATION OF BASIC COST COMPARISONS, COM-PUTATION OF COST PER CLIENT, CLIENT-GROUP PERFORM-

ANCE COMPARISONS, AND EARNBACK RATIOS (I.E., RATIOS BETWEEN PROGRAM COSTS AND EARNINGS INCREASES OF PARTICIPANTS). AN APPENDIX DEVOTED TO PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS CONSIDERS ADMINISTRATIVE DATA COLLECTION QUESTIONNAIRES, OPERATIONAL DATA COLLECTION QUES-TIONNAIRES, QUESTIONS FOR ANALYZING BOTH TYPES OF QUESTIONNAIRES, AND QUESTIONS WHICH SHOULD BE ASKED WHEN CONDUCTING AN OVERALL PROGRAM ANALY-SIS. THE GOALS AND MEASUREMENT DEVELOPMENT PROC-ESS IS DESCRIBED IN DETAIL. A SAMPLE GOALS SHEET IS INCLUDED AND FOLLOWUP FORMS FOR 3-MONTH, 6-MONTH, 12-MONTH, AND PARTICIPANT TERMINATION DATA ARE EX-PLAINED IN DETAIL. IN-TRAINING RECORD FORMS AND AP-PLICATION OR ENROLLMENT FORMS ARE ALSO INCLUDED AND DISCUSSED. OTHER APPENDIXES PRESENT THE DURHAM-ORANGE, N.C., MANPOWER OFFICE TERMINATION AND FOLLOWUP FORM, AND THE FOLLOWUP REPORT ON THE ESCAMBIA COUNTY (PENSACOLA), FLA., PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS FIELD TEST. THE GUIDE IS AMPLY ILLUSTRATED WITH SAMPLE DATA COLLECTION SHEETS, QUESTION-NAIRES, AND STATISTICAL ANALYSES.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, OFFICE OF POLICY, EVALUATION AND, RESEARCH, 200 CONSTITUTION AVE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20210.

Availability: NTIS. Accession No. PB-274-050. (Microfiche)

62. L. L. MORRIS and C. T. FITZ-GIBBON. EVALUATOR'S HAND-BOOK. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212; US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, 1200 19TH STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20203; UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES GRAD-UATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF EVALUATION, LOS ANGELES, CA 90024. 136 p. 1978. NCJ-58071

AIMED AT THE EVALUATOR, THIS HANDBOOK PROVIDES A BROAD OVERVIEW OF EVALUATION PLANNING AS WELL AS A PRACTICAL GUIDE TO THE DESIGN AND MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION PROGRAMS. TO CLARIFY WHAT IS EXPECTED OF A PROGRAM EVALUATOR, THE HANDBOOK DESCRIBES THE VARIOUS ROLES AN EVALUATOR MAY NEED TO ASSUME IN RELATION TO THE PROGRAM TO BE EVALUATED (I.E., A SUMMATIVE OR FORMATIVE ROLE). THE FIRST SEC-TION FOCUSES ON THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE SUMMA-EVALUATOR, WHO MUST COMPILE IN ONE PLACE--USUALLY A WRITTEN REPORT--A SUMMARY OF WHAT THE PROGRAM LOOKED LIKE AND WHAT IT APPEARS TO HAVE ACCOMPLISHED. SUMMATIVE EVALUATORS ARE SEEN AS REPRESENTATIVES OF TAXPAYERS AND EDUCA-TIONAL CONSUMERS. THE FOLLOWING SECTION DESCRIBES THE MANY-FACETED AND COMPLICATED TASKS OF THE FORMATIVE EVALUATOR, WHO ENTERS A HELPING RELA-TIONSHIP WITH A PROGRAM'S STAFF. AMONG THE JOBS A FORMATIVE EVALUATOR MAY NEED TO PERFORM ARE PRO-GRAM MONITORING, INFORMATION DISSEMINATION, AND HELPING TO APPLY REMEDIES TO IDENTIFIABLE PROGRAM PROBLEMS. THE ROLE OF THE FORMATIVE EVALUATOR IS MORE EPHEMERAL THAN THAT OF SUMMATIVE EVALUA-TORS. THE REMAINDER OF THE HANDBOOK IS MADE UP OF STEP-BY-STEP GUIDES FOR ACCOMPLISHING AND ORGANIZ-ING THREE SORTS OF EVALUATIONS: A STANDARD SUMMA-TIVE EVALUATION, A FORMATIVE EVALUATION, AND A CLAS-SIC EXPERIMENT. THESE GUIDES ARE CROSS-REFERENCED TO OTHER VOLUMES IN THIS EVALUATION SERIES TO PRO-VIDE QUICK ACCESS TO CONCRETE INSTRUCTION DURING CRITICAL PHASES OF THE EVALUATION PROCESS. SEE ALSO NCJ 58072-58078.

Supplemental Notes: PROGRAM EVALUATION KIT.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

63. L. L. MORRIS and C. T. FITZ-GIBBON. HOW TO DEAL WITH GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212: VERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF EVALUATION. LOS ANGELES, CA 90024. 80 p. 1978. NCJ-58073 STRAIGHTFORWARD, PRACTICAL ADVICE IS PROVIDED ON HOW TO STATE PLAINLY PROGRAM GOALS AND OBJEC-TIVES, PARTICULARLY WITH REGARD TO A PROGRAM'S EVALUATION. PART OF AN EIGHT-VOLUME SET ON PRO-GRAM EVALUATION, THIS CONCRETE, NONTECHNICAL DIS-CUSSION OF THE EVALUATION PHASE OF PROGRAM GOALS AND OBJECTIVES CITES EXAMPLES OF VARIOUS EVALUA-TION OPTIONS AND PROVIDES A HISTORICAL AND CONCEP-TUAL BACKGROUND ON THE USE OF GOALS AND OBJEC-TIVES AS METHODS OF ORGANIZING AN EVALUATION, GUID-ANCE IS PROVIDED ON WHEN AND HOW TO USE BROADLY STATED GOALS VERSUS DETAILED OBJECTIVES, HOW TO SPECIFY OBJECTIVES TO SERVE AS FORMULAS FOR DEVEL-OPING MEASURES OF ACHIEVEMENT AND ATTITUDES, AND WHERE TO FIND GOALS AND OBJECTIVES (I. E., SOURCES OF ALREADY-WRITTEN OBJECTIVES USEFUL FOR MOST EVALUATIONS). HOW TO WRITE BEHAVIORAL OBJECTIVES. DERIVE OBJECTIVES FROM STANDARIZED TESTS, AND USE SUCH TESTS IN PROGRAM EVALUATIONS ARE EXAMINED, ALONG WITH FIVE WAYS FOR ASSIGNING PRIORITY TO OB-JECTIVES: (1) SAMPLING OBJECTIVES, (2) SAMPLING IMPOR-TANT OBJECTIVES, (3) MATRIX SAMPLING, (4) ASSIGNING PRIORITIES THROUGH RATINGS, AND (5) ASSIGNING PRIOR-ITIES THROUGH HIERARCHIES OF OBJECTIVES. DISCUSSION OF EACH PROCEDURE IS ACCOMPANIED BY NUMEROUS EX-AMPLES, ILLUSTRATIONS, AND INSTRUCTIONS. SEVERAL CHAPTERS CONTAIN TABLES SUMMARIZING MAJOR POINTS: OTHERS SUGGEST FURTHER READING. AN INDEX IS INCLUD-

Supplemental Notes: PROGRAM EVALUATION KIT.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, 1200 19TH STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20203.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

64. L. L. MORRIS and C. T. FITZ-GIBBON. HOW TO MEASURE ACHIEVEMENT. SAGE PUBLICATIONS; INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212; UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDU-CATION CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF EVALUATION, LOS AN-GELES, CA 90024. 160 p. 1978. NCJ-58075 FOCUSING SOLELY ON TESTS FOR PROGRAM EVALUATION. THIS VOLUME OF AN EIGHT-PART EVALUATION SERIES DE-SCRIBES AND PRESCRIBES PROCEDURES FOR MEASURING ACHIEVEMENT GOALS. THE AIMS OF PROGRAM ACHIEVE-MENT EVALUATIONS ARE SUPPORTED BY THIS BOOK IN TWO WAYS: (1) IT PROVIDES SUGGESTIONS, PROCEDURES. AND RULES OF THUMB FOR PERFORMING EVALUATION TASKS RELATED TO MEASURING ACHIEVEMENT FOR PRO-GRAM EVALUATION; AND (2) IT INTRODUCES SOME OF THE THEORIES UNDERLYING THE PROCEDURES FOR DEVELOP-ING AND SELECTING ACHIEVEMENT TESTS AND INTERPRET-ING THEIR RESULTS. THE FIRST TWO CHAPTERS DEAL WITH PROBLEM OF SELECTING AN APPROPRIATE TEST--EITHER NORM- OR CRITERION-REFERENCED. A UNIQUE STEP-BY-STEP METHOD FOR COMPUTING AN INDEX OF TEST USEFULNESS FOR PROGRAM EVALUATION IS PRE-SCRIBED. THE THIRD CHAPTER PRESENTS AN ANNOTATED LIST OF RESOURCES FOR DEVELOPING INHOUSE TESTS, IN-CLUDING SUBJECT-ORIENTED PUBLICATIONS ON HOW TO ASSESS ACHIEVEMENT, READINGS ON HOW TO CONSTRUCT AND NORM AN ACHIEVEMENT TEST, UNCOPYRIGHTED BANKS OF TEST ITEMS AND OBJECTIVES, PRODUCERS OF MADE-TO-ORDER TESTS, AND FURTHER READINGS IN PRO-GRAM EVALUATION. SUBSEQUENT CHAPTERS EXAMINE THE

VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY OF ACHIEVEMENT TESTS AND USE OF ACHIEVEMENT TEST DATA. A TABLE FOR PROGRAM-TEST COMPARISONS IS APPENDED, ALONG WITH REMINDERS REGARDING COMMON ITEM CONSTRUCTION ERRORS. ILLUSTRATIONS, GRAPHS, EXAMPLES AND AN INDEX ARE INCLUDED.

Supplemental Notes: PROGRAM EVALUATION KIT.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCA-TION, AND WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, 1200 19TH STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20203.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

65. L. L. MORRIS and C. T. FITZ-GIBBON. HOW TO MEASURE PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212; UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF EVALUATION, LOS ANGELES, CA 90024. 140 p. 1978.

NCJ-58077 ONE OF AN EIGHT-PART SERIES ON PROGRAM EVALUATION, THIS VOLUME IS DESIGNED TO AID EVALUATORS IN DETER-MINING HOW WELL A PROGRAM ACHIEVES ITS OFFICIAL OB-JECTIVES. SUMMATIVE AND FORMATIVE EVALUATIONS DEMAND THAT THE PROGRAM UNDER SCRUTINY BE DE-SCRIBED IN AS MUCH DETAIL AS POSSIBLE. TO THIS END, THIS BOOK HAS TWO FUNCTIONS: (1) IT OFFERS STEP-BY-STEP METHODS FOR DESIGNING AND USING MEA-SUREMENT INSTRUMENTS TO DESCRIBE A PROGRAM IN OP-ERATION; AND (2) IT PRESENTS ARGUMENTS ABOUT THE IM-PORTANCE OF MEASURING IMPLEMENTATION. AN INTRO-DUCTORY SECTION PRESENTS A SET OF QUESTIONS IN-TENDED TO HELP EVALUATORS IDENTIFY AN EVALUATION TASK AS SUMMATIVE OR FORMATIVE AND DECIDE HOW MUCH TIME AND EFFORT TO INVEST IN DESCRIBING THE PROGRAM AND PROVIDING BACKUP DATA. AN OUTLINE OF AN IMPLEMENTATION REPORT FOLLOWS, ALONG WITH A GENERAL DISCUSSION OF MEASURING PROGRAM IMPLE-MENTATION, SUBSEQUENT SECTIONS DETAIL METHODS FOR COLLECTING IMPLEMENTATION DATA AND GATHERING CRITICAL BACKUP DATA WHERE NEEDED; METHODS INVOLV-ING RECORDS, SELF-REPORTS, AND OBSERVATION TECH-NIQUES ARE EXAMINED, AS ARE THE RELIABILITY AND VA-LIDITY OF SUCH MEASUREMENT APPROACHES, SUGGES-TIONS FOR FURTHER READING ARE INCLUDED. TABLES, GRAPHS. AND OTHER ILLUSTRATIONS ACCOMPANY THE TEXT. AN INDEX IS PROVIDED.

Supplemental Notes: PROGRAM EVALUATION KIT.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, 1200 19TH STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20203.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

66. L. L. MORRIS and C. T. FITZ-GIBBON. HOW TO PRESENT AN EVALUATION REPORT. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212; VERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION CENTER FOR THE STUDY OF EVALUATION, LOS ANGELES, CA 90024. 80 p. 1978. AS PART OF AN EIGHT-VOLUME SERIES ON PROGRAM EVAL-UATION, THIS BOOK CONTAINS PRESCRIPTIONS AND HELP-FUL HINTS FOR FORMAL AND INFORMAL (I.E., WRITTEN OR ORAL) REPORTS OF PROGRAM EVALUATION FINDINGS. THE EVALUATION REPORT BOOK IS DESIGNED TO HELP EVALUA-TORS CLEARLY AND EFFECTIVELY EXPLAIN THE INFORMA-TION COLLECTED IN THE COURSE OF A PROGRAM EVALUA-TION. THE CONTENTS OF A FORMAL REPORT ARE PRESENT-ED IN OUTLINE FORM. EACH COMPONENT OF THE OUTLINE IS ACCOMPANIED BY QUESTIONS WHICH, WHEN ANSWERED IN SEQUENCE BY AN EVALUATOR, WILL PRODUCE A WRIT-TEN EVALUATION REPORT. ANOTHER SECTION CONTAINS A SET OF POINTERS FOR COMMUNICATING TO ANY REPORT AUDIENCE; ORGANIZED AS A LIST OF TIPS, IT DESCRIBES HOW TO ADJUST EVALUATION PRESENTATIONS, WRITTEN OR ORAL, TO THE AUDIENCE AND THE MESSAGE TO BE COMMUNICATED. A FINAL SECTION CONSISTS OF A COMPENDIUM OF USEFUL AND PRACTICAL DATA PRESENTATION METHODS, INCLUDING TABLES, GRAPHS, DISPLAYING RESULTS FROM QUESTIONNAIRES, PREPARING AN AUDIENCE TO READ GRAPHS, AND OTHER TECHNICAL CONCERNS. SAMPLE TABLES AND GRAPHS ILLUSTRATE THE NARRATIVE. SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER READING ARE PROVIDED. AN INDEX IS INCLUDED.

Supplemental Notes: PROGRAM EVALUATION KIT.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, 1200 19TH STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20203.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

67. J. N. NAY, J. D. WALLER, J. W. SCANLON, and P. KAY. RESENTATION OF REALITY-MEASUREMENT MODELS IN EVALUATION. URBAN INSTITUTE, 2100 M STREET, NW. WASHINGTON DC 20037. 26 p. 1976. NCJ-37989 THIS PAPER ATTEMPTS TO ILLUSTRATE, LARGELY THROUGH EXAMPLE, SEVERAL TYPES OF MEASUREMENT MODELS FOR EVALUATIONS THAT ARE OFTEN CONSTRUCTED TO REPRE-SENT REAL PROCESSES. SINCE AN EVALUATION DESIGN IN-VOLVES A PLAN FOR A SET OF SYSTEMATIC MEASURE-MENTS, CONSIDERATION MUST BE GIVEN TO WHAT MEA-SUREMENTS ARE TO BE MADE AND TO WHAT ANALYSES AND COMPARISONS ARE TO BE PERFORMED UPON THE DATA OBTAINED FROM THOSE MEASUREMENTS. IN ORDER TO ANSWER THESE QUESTIONS ABOUT A REAL PROCESS, IT IS FREQUENTLY NECESSARY TO KEEP TRACK OF INTERRE-LATIONSHIPS BETWEEN MEASUREMENTS (TO EACH OTHER OVER TIME, IN RELATION TO OTHER PARTS OF THE PROC-ESS, IN RELATION TO FACTORS OUTSIDE THE PROCESS, ETC.). BOTH IN ORDER TO DECIDE HOW TO DO THIS AND IN ORDER TO EXPLAIN TO OTHERS WHAT IS TO BE DONE, A MEASUREMENT MODEL THAT REPRESENTS THE IMPOR-CHARACTERISTICS OF TANT, INTERRELATED REALITY-THOSE THAT WILL BE CONSIDERED -- IS FREQUENT-LY NECESSARY. THE MEASUREMENT MODEL IS AN ATTEMPT TO COMPACTLY DISPLAY INTERRELATIONSHIP AND MEA-SUREMENT POINTS. THIS PAPER ILLUSTRATES THESE MEA-SUREMENT MODELS USING AN EXAMPLE DRAWN FROM THE HOME HEATING SYSTEM: ADDITIONAL EXAMPLES DRAWN FROM GARBAGE TRANSFER AND KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER ARE ALSO PROVIDED. THE 'LOCATION' AND PERSPECTIVE OF THE OBSERVER CONSTRUCTING THE MODEL IS FOUND TO HAVE AN IMPORTANT EFFECT; THIS INFLUENCE IS BRIEF-LY EXAMINED.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

S. A. OSTRANDER, P. GOLDSTEIN, and D. HULL. TOWARD OVERCOMING PROBLEMS IN EVALUATING RESEARCH-A BEGINNING PERSPECTIVE ON POWER. PERGAMON PRESS, INC, MAXWELL HOUSE, FAIRVIEW PARK, ELMSFORD NY EVALUATION AND PROGRAM PLANNING, (1978), P 187-193. AN IDEALIZED MODEL OF EVALUATION RESEARCH IS PRE-SENTED AND THE REALITIES WHICH KEEP THIS IDEAL FROM BEING MET ARE DISCUSSED WITH CASE HISTORIES. WAYS SOCIAL RESEARCHERS CAN INCREASE THEIR INFLUENCE. ARE EXAMINED. THE EVALUATION IDEAL IS SKETCHED IN BROAD TERMS; IT INCLUDES THE GATHERING OF INFORMA-TION TO AID THE ACTION PROGRAM IN ADJUSTING ITS GOAL-ORIENTED BEHAVIOR TO COME CLOSER TO DESIRED ENDS, AND INFORMATION TO HELP THE FUNDING AGENCY DEVELOP SUCCESSFUL PROGRAMS OF THE SAME TYPE. FOUR CASE STUDIES DETAIL THE MANY ELEMENTS WHICH

TECHNIQUES

GO AWRY IN ACTUAL EVALUATION WORK. THESE INCLUDE AGENCIES WHICH HAVE NO GOALS, AGENCIES IN WHICH THE GOALS ARE MISSTATED TO GET FUNDING, POLITICAL PRESSURES TO MAKE THE PROGRAM 'LOOK GOOD,' LACK OF CONTINUITY IN PROGRAM PERSONNEL, RELUCTANCE TO OFFEND FUTURE SOURCES OF EMPLOYMENT, AND LACK OF PRESTIGE OR POLITICAL POWER OF THE EVALUATOR. IT IS CONCLUDED THAT AN EVALUATOR WHO OPERATES FROM AN INDEPENDENT BASE OF POWER HAS A GREATER CHANCE OF OVERCOMING RESISTANCE, AND STRATEGIES ARE SUGGESTED FOR SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCHERS WHO WISH TO DEVELOP SUCH POLITICAL INDEPENDENCE AND PRESTIGE. THE FIRST STRATEGY IS TO CONSISTENTLY BUILD INTO THE RESEARCH PROCESS A UTILIZATION AND A DISSEMINATION PHASE TO ENSURE THAT THE RESEARCHER WOULD BECOME A CONSULTANT FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF FINDINGS. THIS MUST BE DONE AT THE BEGINNING AND THE PROJECT MUST NOT BE DEFINED AS COMPLETE WITHOUT THE CONCLUSION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION PHASE. THE SECOND STRATEGY INVOLVES BUILDING GRASS ROOTS SUPPORT FOR CHANGE AMONG THOSE WHO ARE DISSATIS-FIED AND WISH TO SEE CHANGES. EVALUATORS ARE URGED TO ENTER THE POLITICAL ARENA TO KEEP THEIR WORK FROM BEING IGNORED. A BIBLIOGRAPHY IS APPEND-

Supplemental Notes: REVISION OF A PAPER PRESENTED AT THE ANNUAL MEETING OF THE NORTH CENTRAL SOCIOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION, MAY 1973.

69. M. Q. PATTON. QUALITATIVE EVALUATION METHODS. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEV-NCJ-67081 ERLY HILLS CA 90212. 381 p. 1980. COMBINING THE THEORETICAL WITH THE PRACTICAL, THIS BOOK EXAMINES BOTH HOW AND WHY TO CONDUCT EVALU-ATIONS, REVIEWS EVALUATION RESEARCH HISTORY, AND PRESENTS A QUALITATIVE RESEARCH MODEL. THIS UTILIZATION-FOCUSED MODEL IS DERIVED FROM MANY SOURCES, INCLUDING THE STUDY OF THE UTILIZATION OF FEDERAL EVALUATION RESEARCH CONDUCTED THROUGH THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA'S NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MENTAL HEALTH TRAINING PROGRAM IN EVALUATION. THE BOOK SUGGESTS THAT A PARADIGM OF CHOICES IS RE-PLACING THE DEBATE AND COMPETITION BETWEEN THE QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE MEASUREMENT PARA-DIGMS AND THAT TODAY'S EVALUATOR MUST MATCH RE-SEARCH METHODS TO PARTICULAR QUESTIONS AND TO THIS **DECISIONMAKERS'** NEEDS. 'ACTIVE-REACTIVE-ADAPTIVE' EVALUATOR ROLE REQUIRES A LARGE REPERTOIRE OF RESEARCH METHODS AND TECH-NIQUES. THE EMPHASIS THROUGHOUT THE TEXT IS ON STRATEGIES FOR GENERATING VALID, USEFUL, AND CREDI-BLE QUALITATIVE INFORMATION FOR THESE DECISION-MAKERS. SPECIFIC CHAPTERS ARE DEVOTED TO DEVELOP-ING DESIGNS FOR QUALITATIVE RESEARCH (INCLUDING METHODOLOGICAL MIXES AND DESIGN VARIATIONS), SE-LECTING APPROACHES TO FIELD OBSERVATIONS, STRUC-TURING AND CONDUCTING IN-DEPTH INTERVIEWS, AND CONDUCTING QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETA-TION. DISCUSSION OF THE LAST EXPLAINS HOW TO FOCUS THE ANALYSIS, HOW TO ORGANIZE THE COLLECTED DATA, HOW TO GET STARTED AND WHAT TO INCLUDE IN CASE HOW TO USE THE INDIGENOUS STUDIES. ANALYST-CONSTRUCTED TYPOLOGIES IN INDUCTIVE ANALY-SIS, AND HOW TO DEVELOP CATEGORY SYSTEMS AND USE LOGICAL ANALYSIS TO CREATE CROSS-CLASSIFICATION MA-TRIXES. A SECTION ON VALIDATING AND VERIFYING EVALU-ATIVE DATA DEALS WITH SUCH ISSUES AS TRIANGULATION, A PROCESS USED TO CHECK OUT CONSISTENCY OF FIND-INGS GENERATED BY DIFFERENT DATA COLLECTION METH-ODS AND TO CHECK OUT THE CONSISTENCY OF DIFFERENT DATA SOURCES WITHIN THE SAME METHOD. FINALLY, AP- PROPRIATE PRESENTATION OF EVALUATION FINDINGS IS DISCUSSED. TABLES, FIGURES, REFERENCES, AND APPENDIXES CONTAINING A CONTENT ANALYSIS CODEBOOK, AN ILLUSTRATIVE CASE STUDY, AND AN INTERVIEW ANALYSIS ARE INCLUDED.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

- 70. J. H. PRITLOVE. EVALUATING A GROUP HOME-PROB-LEMS AND RESULTS. BRITISH ASSOCIATION OF SOCIAL WORKERS, 16 KENT STREET, BIRMINGHAM B5 6RD, ENG-LAND. BRITISH JOURNAL OF SOCIAL WORK, V 6 N 3 NC-L-52842 (AUTUMN 1976), P 353-376. A FRAMEWORK FOR EVALUATING GROUP HOMES FOR THE MENTALLY ILL IS DEVELOPED AND APPLIED IN AN ASSESS-MENT OF A HOME HOUSING EIGHT MEN IN A NORTHERN CITY IN ENGLAND. THE NEED FOR AN EVALUATIVE FRAME-WORK IS DISCUSSED, WITH REFERENCE TO THE IMPOR-TANCE OF SEPARATING IMPLICIT AND EXPLICIT OBJECTIVES. POSSIBLE OBJECTIVES FOR GROUP HOMES ARE EXPLORED WITHIN THE CONTEXTS OF POWER AND NORMALITY--WHO DECIDES WHAT THE OBJECTIVES ARE TO BE, HOW THE OB-JECTIVES ARE DEFINED, AND WHAT THEIR RELATIONSHIP TO THE IDEA OF NORMALITY IS. ALSO CONSIDERED IS THE QUESTION OF WHETHER THE CLIENT'S INDEPENDENCE IS TO BE FOUND WITHIN THE GROUP HOME OR BEYOND IT. THE ELEMENTS OF A GROUP HOME'S REGIME--THE MEANS OF ACHIEVING OBJECTIVES -- ARE CONSIDERED. PROBLEMS IN MEASURING THE ELEMENTS, WHICH INCLUDE BOTH CON-TROL AND GROUP SUPPORT, ARE MENTIONED. AN EVALUA-TIVE SCHEME BASED ON THESE CONSIDERATIONS IS SET FORTH AND APPLIED TO A SPECIFIC GROUP HOME. THE SCHEME HAS THREE STAGES: DETERMINING THE EXPLICIT OBJECTIVES OF THE GROUP HOME FOR BOTH STAFF AND RESIDENTS, DETERMINING THE EXTENT TO WHICH THE OB-JECTIVES ARE BEING MET, AND EXAMINING THE RELATION-SHIP BETWEEN THE REGIME OF THE HOME AND THE PER-CEPTIONS OF THE HOME HELD BY STAFF AND RESIDENTS. THE EVALUATION, WHICH IS BASED ON OBSERVATIONS, IN-TERVIEWS WITH STAFF, AND REVIEWS OF CASE FILES AND POLICY DIRECTIVES, CONCLUDES THAT RESIDENTS OF THE HOME (MOST OF WHOM HAD SPENT SEVERAL YEARS IN A GOVERNMENT-OPERATED HOSTEL FOR FORMER PSYCHIAT-RIC PATIENTS) EXPERIENCED LITTLE OVERALL CHANGE IN THEIR LEVEL OF INDEPENDENCE OVER A 2-YEAR PERIOD. THE REGIME OF THE FACILITY IS FOUND TO BE ONE IN WHICH RESIDENTS ACT DEPENDENTLY TOWARD STAFF, AND STAFF PERCEPTIONS OF CONTROL AND SUPPORT DIFFER FROM THOSE OF RESIDENTS. A LIST OF REFERENCES AND SUPPORTING DATA ARE INCLUDED. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED)
 - 71. PUBLIC TECHNOLOGY, INC, 1140 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20036. PROGRAM EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS—A TECHNICAL GUIDE FOR STATE AND NCJ-50945 LOCAL GOVERNMENTS. 67 p. 1978. A PROCEDURAL GUIDE CONTAINING TOOLS AND TECH-NIQUES OF PROGRAM EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS IS OF-FERED FOR ADMINISTRATORS, ANALYSTS, AND STAFF WHO DIRECTLY CONDUCT EVALUATIONS AND ANALYSES. THIS GUIDE DESCRIBES EACH EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS TASK IN STEP-BY-STEP DETAIL, PROVIDES SELECTED DOCUMEN-TATION, AND OFFERS OPTIONS FOR ADAPTING THESE STEPS TO LOCAL CONDITIONS. THE MATERIAL IS ORGA-NIZED INTO FOUR MAJOR CATEGORIES: (1) PREPARATORY TASKS, (2) PROGRAM EVALUATION, (3) PROGRAM ANALYSIS, AND (4) FOLLOWUP PROCEDURES. THE FIRST SECTION DIS-CUSSES SELECTION OF APPROPRIATE PROGRAMS FOR EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS, DEFINITION OF THE PROJECT SCOPE, AND PREPARATION OF A PROJECT WORK PLAN. INSTRUCTIONS ARE PROVIDED FOR CHOOSING THE PROJ-

ECT TEAM MEMBERS, UTILIZING OUTSIDE RESOURCES, AND DEFINING OR REDEFINING PROGRAM GOALS AND OBJEC-TIVES. THE PROBLEM OF ESTABLISHING CRITERIA FOR MEASURING PROGRAM PERFORMANCE IS ALSO COVERED. THE SECTION ON PROGRAM EVALUATION INVOLVES SELEC-TION OF THE PROPER EVALUATION DESIGN, COLLECTION OF THE NECESSARY INFORMATION AND DATA, AND SYN-THESIZING INFORMATION AND DATA TO DRAW CONCLU-SIONS ABOUT PROGRAM RESULTS. METHODS FOR DEVEL-OPING ALTERNATIVE PROGRAM APPROACHES ARE CONSID-ERED UNDER THE SUBJECT OF PROGRAM ANALYSIS. COST ESTIMATES FOR ALTERNATIVES ARE DISCUSSED AS WELL AS EFFECTIVENESS AND FEASIBILITY EVALUATIONS. ANALY-SIS OF THE AVAILABLE OPTIONS IS ALSO CONSIDERED. THE FINAL SECTION DISCUSSES PREPARATION OF A DRAFT REPORT ON PROGRAM EVALUATION OR ANALYSIS, REVIEW OF THE DRAFT REPORT WITH INTERESTED PARTIES, AND COMMUNICATION OF THE FINDINGS TO TOP MANAGEMENT. INSTRUCTIONS ARE GIVEN FOR ORGANIZING AND MONITOR-ING THE IMPLEMENTATION EFFORT. EACH SECTION OF THE GUIDE IS ACCOMPANIED BY A FLOW CHART ILLUSTRATING ACTIVITIES TO BE UNDERTAKEN FOR THE PARTICULAR OP-ERATION. THE APPENDIXES CONTAIN THE EVALUATION THEORY, A DISCUSSION OF SAMPLE SURVEYS, AND A FUNC-TIONALLY ORGANIZED LIST OF EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS PROJECTS THAT HAVE BEEN CONDUCTED BY FIVE LOCAL GOVERNMENTS ALONG WITH INFORMATION ON CONTACT SOURCES. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED)

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT OFFICE OF POLICY DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH, WASHINGTON DC 20410.

Availability: GPO Stock Order No. 023-000-00432-1; NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

72. L. RUTMAN, Ed. EVALUATION RESEARCH METHODS-A BASIC GUIDE. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212. 241 p. 1977.

THIS GUIDE EXPLAINS THE FUNDAMENTAL PROCEDURES FOR PLANNING AND CONDUCTING AN EVALUATION AND IS DESIGNED TO HELP THE EVALUATOR ACHIEVE A HIGHER DEGREE OF TECHNICAL COMPETENCE IN BASIC RESEARCH METHODOLOGY, EACH CHAPTER HAS DESCRIPTIVE ARTI-CLES THAT FOCUS ON THE MAJOR STEPS IN PLANNING OR CONDUCTING AN EVALUATION. EVALUATION STUDY PLAN-NING IS EXAMINED, WITH ATTENTION TO DEFINING EVALUA-TION RESEARCH, PRECONDITIONS FOR TESTING PRO-GRAMS, AND DEVELOPING EVALUABLE MODELS. AN OVER-VIEW PROVIDES DIRECTION FOR PLANNING THE FORMAL EVALUATION STUDY. EVALUABILITY ASSESSMENT IS DE-SCRIBED AS A PROCEDURE WHICH ENTAILS THE ANALYSIS OF DOCUMENTS AND THE CONDUCTING OF INTERVIEWS IN ORDER TO DEPICT A MODEL OF THE PROGRAM IN QUES-TION. THE USE OF FORMATIVE RESEARCH IN DETERMINING PROGRAM EVALUABILITY IS EXAMINED. FORMATIVE RE-SEARCH CAN BE CONDUCTED NOT ONLY TO IDENTIFY A PROGRAM'S OPERATION, THE PROBLEMS WHICH IT AD-DRESSES, AND THE EFFECTS WHICH IT APPEARS TO PRO-DUCE BUT ALSO TO FACILITATE PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT. THE METHODOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF FORMATIVE RESEARCH ARE DISCUSSED. PROBLEMS IN MEASUREMENT IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE ARE UNDERSCORED, WITH EMPHASIS ON THE PURPOSES OF MEASURING CHANGE AND THE COMMON PROBLEMS INVOLVED. A CASE EXAMPLE AT MIN-NESOTA RESTITUTION CENTER IS CITED. RANDOMIZED AND QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS IN EVALUATION RESEARCH ARE INTRODUCED. TYPES OF VALIDITY ARE IDENTIFIED, AND VALIDITY MAXIMIZATION, RANDOMIZED EXPERIMENTS, AND QUASI-EXPERIMENTS ARE DISCUSSED, WITH ATTENTION TO EXPERIMENT APPROXIMATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS. PRE-LIMINARY DEFINITIONS AND ORGANIZATION, THE STANDARD

FOR ESTIMATING EFFECT (NULL CONDITIONS), METHODS OF ANALYSIS, AND GENERAL STRATEGIES FOR ANALYSIS ARE PRESENTED. A PROCESS OF INNOVATION AND DISSEMINA-TION EXPERIMENTATION IS OUTLINED. THE ELEMENTS OF SOCIAL CHANGE MECHANISMS AND THE PROCESS OF DIS-SEMINATION INCLUDE SUGGESTIONS FOR INCREASING THE LIKELIHOOD OF UTILIZATION. INFORMATION SYSTEMS FOR EVALUATION AND FEEDBACK IN MENTAL HEALTH ORGANI-ZATIONS ARE ADDRESSED. THE GENERAL CRITERIA AND SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS OF A CLINICAL INFORMATION SYSTEM AND SUGGESTIONS FOR MEETING SYSTEM CRITE-RIA ARE LISTED. THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ADMINISTRA-TIVE AND CLINICAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS ARE DE-SCRIBED, AND A GENERAL MODEL FOR A SOFT DATA INFOR-MATION SYSTEM IS DETAILED. ASSESSING AN INFORMATION SYSTEM IS ALSO DISCUSSED. COST-BENEFIT EVALUATION IS EXAMINED, WITH ATTENTION TO ESTIMATION OF COST, AND BENEFITS AND CALCULATION OF THE COST-BENEFIT ESTI-MATORS. GRAPHS RELATING TO EVALUABILITY ASSESS-MENT ARE PRESENTED. REFERENCES ARE PROVIDED AT THE END OF EACH CHAPTER, AND AN INDEX IS INCLUDED. Supplemental Notes: SAGE FOCUS EDITIONS.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

73. G. SCHWAB, Ed. EVALUATION HANDBOOK, 2D ED. DEPARTMENT OF STATE AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DE-VELOPMENT, WASHINGTON DC 20523. 122 p. 1974.

NCJ-15642 A COMPREHENSIVE MANUAL ON TYPES OF EVALUATION STUDIES, THE ANNUAL EVALUATION PROCESS, THE DESIGN OF EVALUATION STUDIES, MEASUREMENT, DATA COLLEC-TION, ANALYSIS, AND ISSUES IN PROGRAM EVALUATION. THE MATERIAL CONTAINED IN THIS HANDBOOK REPRE-SENTS A COMPILATION AND CONDENSATION OF THE AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT'S (AID) EVALU-ATION SYSTEM. ALTHOUGH EMPHASIS IS ON AID PRO-GRAMS, THE METHODOLOGY PRESENTED HERE COULD BE APPLICABLE TO EVALUATION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PRO-GRAMS. SUCH EVALUATION TYPES AS INDEPTH PROJECT LEVEL EVALUATIONS, SECTOR AND PROGRAM LEVEL EVAL-UATIONS, AND SPECIAL EVALUATIONS OF ASSISTANCE TECHNIQUES AND POLICIES ARE DESCRIBED. CRITERIA FOR DESIGNING A STUDY, A BASIC STUDY DESIGN, A CHECKLIST FOR PLANNING AN EVALUATION STUDY, SELECTION OF EVA-LUATORS, THE USE OF CONSULTANTS, DATA ANALYSIS, AND PREPARATION OF THE FINAL REPORT ARE ALSO DIS-CUSSED. SUCH TOPICS AS DATA COLLECTION, INDICATORS OF PROGRESS, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS AND QUANTITA-TIVE AND QUALITATIVE MEASURES ARE CONSIDERED AS WELL. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED)

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM

74. J. M. SELBY. CRIME DELINQUENCY PREVENTION READER, 2ND ED. HEALTH POLICY ANALYSIS AND ACCOUNTABILITY NETWORK, INC, 1310 VISTA AVENUE, SUITE 21, BOISE ID 83705. 208 p. 1978. NCJ-51365 THIS MANUAL IS DESIGNED TO PROVIDE A FRAMEWORK FOR MAKING DECISIONS ABOUT WHEN AND HOW TO USE EVALUATIONS AND TO INCREASE THE SENSITIVITY OF PRO-GRAM DIRECTORS TO DIFFERENT ISSUES IN EVALUATION. FOLLOWING AN INTRODUCTORY OVERVIEW OF PROGRAM AND PROJECT EVALUATION AND MONITORING, A PERSPEC-TIVE ON EVALUATION IS PROVIDED, WITH ATTENTION TO MANAGEMENT, THE DEVELOPMENT OF EVALUATION TECH-NOLOGY, THE DEMAND FOR EVALUATION, THE CONTEXT AND LEVELS OF EVALUATION, THE EVALUATION CONSUL-TANT'S ROLE, AND PRACTICAL CONCERNS ABOUT SUC-CESSFUL PROGRAMS, ENVIRONMENT OF HEALTH RE-SOURCES DEVELOPMENT EVALUATION, POLITICAL CON-STRAINTS ON EVALUATION, CONDITIONS FOR EVALUABILITY,

NCJ-49434

TECHNIQUES

AVAILABILITY OF HISTORICAL DATA, USE OF EVALUATION FINDINGS, AND INFLUENCE OF FUNDING SOURCES IN PRO-GRAM AND PROJECT EVALUATION. EVALUATION PHASES ARE OUTLINED. THE MONITORING PROCESS, THE SYSTEM-ATIC RECORDING OVER TIME OF DESCRIPTIVE INFORMA-TION ABOUT PATTERNS OF EVENTS AND CONDITIONS OF PROGRAM OR PROJECT OPERATIONS, IS ALSO OUTLINED. OTHER ASPECTS EMPHASIZED INCLUDE BARRIERS TO EF-FECTIVE MONITORING, DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF A MONITORING SYSTEM, IDENTIFYING SOURCES OF INFORMA-TION, CONTINUOUS COLLECTION OF MANAGEMENT INFOR-MATION, MONITORING FISCAL EDUCATION AND POLICEMEN, MONITORING RACE AND INTERESTS, GRANT-RELATED INCOME, USING QUANTITATIVE AND NAR-RATIVE PROGRESS REPORTS, AND THE USE OF SITE VISITS. SUBSEQUENT SECTIONS ON PROJECT AND PROGRAM EVAL-UATION ADDRESS THE PURPOSES OF PROJECT AND PRO-GRAM EVALUATION, BARRIERS TO EFFECTIVE EVALUATION, THEORETICAL CONSIDERATION IN SELECTING AN EVALUA-TION DESIGN, AND THE DESIGN, ESTABLISHMENT. AND IM-APPROPRIATE EVALUATION AP-PLEMENTATION OF PROACHES. ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS IN BOTH PROJECT AND PROGRAM EVALUATION ARE ALSO EXAMINED. APPEND-ED MATERIALS INCLUDE AN OVERVIEW OF A PLANNING AND EVALUATION SYSTEM, FISCAL MONITORING FORMS, BUD-GETS REVISIONS, AN EXAMPLE OF GRANT-RELATED INCOME POLICY, A PROJECT PROGRESS MONITORING FORM, AP-PROACHES TO EVALUATION, COMMON EVALUATION FRAME-WORKS, AND AN INTERRUPTED TIME SERIES DESIGN. TABU-LAR AND GRAPHIC DATA, REFERENCES, AND A GLOSSARY ARE INCLUDED.

Supplemental Notes: HPAAN (HEALTH POLICY ANALYSIS AND ACCOUNTABILITY NETWORK, INC) TECHNICAL MANUAL SERIES ON HEALTH RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE, 330 INDEPENDENCE AVENUE, SW, WASHINGTON DC 20201.

Availability: HEALTH POLICY ANALYSIS AND ACCOUNTABILITY NETWORK, INC, 1310 VISTA AVENUE, SUITE 21, BOISE ID 83705.

75. N. L. SMITH. TECHNIQUES FOR THE ANALYSIS OF GEO-GRAPHIC DATA IN EVALUATION. PERGAMON PRESS, INC, MAXWELL HOUSE, FAIRVIEW PARK, ELMSFORD NY 10523. EVALUATION AND PROGRAM PLANNING, V 2, N 2 (1979), P 119-126. NCJ-62494

THREE TECHNIQUES--GEOCODE ANALYSIS, TREND SURFACE ANALYSIS, AND SOCIAL AREA ANALYSIS -- ARE PRESENTED FOR ANALYZING GEOGRAPHIC DATA. THEIR USES IN EVALU-ATION ARE DISCUSSED. THESE TECHNIQUES WHICH CAN ENABLE EVALUATORS TO BETTER ANALYZE AND PRESENT DATA IN EVALUATION STUDIES, INVOLVE THE ASSIGNMENT OF GEOGRAPHIC LOCATIONS TO EACH PIECE OF DATA. EVA-LUATORS CAN THEN PLOT THE DATA ON GEOGRAPHIC MAPS AND EXAMINE SPATIAL RELATIONSHIPS. SUBSEQUENT ANALYSIS PROCEDURES ALLOW THE STUDY OF RELATION-SHIPS BETWEEN SPECIFIC REGIONS DISPLAYED ON THESE MAPS. GEOCODE ANALYSIS IS A TECHNIQUE DEVELOPED IN THE FIELD OF GEOGRAPHY FOR DISPLAYING AND ANALYZ-ING GEOGRAPHICALLY RELATED INFORMATION, SUCH AS THE DISTRIBUTION OF MINORITY CHILDREN WITHIN SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES. IT USES THE INDIVIDUAL AS THE UNIT OF ANALYSIS AND AGGREGATES INDIVIDUAL DATA OVER GEOGRAPHIC AREAS. TREND SURFACE ANALYSIS DIF-FERS FROM GEOCODE ANALYSIS BY USING STATISTICAL ES-TIMATES (E.G., POLYNOMIAL MODELING) TO DESCRIBE AG-GREGATED DATA: IT IS LESS COSTLY THAN GEOCODE ANAL-YSIS BUT PROVIDES LESS DETAILED INFORMATION. SOCIAL AREA ANALYSIS IS CONCERNED WITH THE STUDY OF RELA-TIONSHIPS BETWEEN GROUPS OF INDIVIDUALS AND THEIR ENVIRONMENTS; IT STUDIES GROUPS AS ORGANIZED WHOLES, DETERMINES DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS WHICH DIFFERENTIATE SUBPOPULATIONS, AND IS APPROPRIATE FOR ANY EVALUATION OF MAJOR RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN GROUPS AND GEOGRAPHIC AREAS. ALL THREE PROCEDURES REQUIRE SOPHISTICATED COMPUTER FACILITIES. REFERENCES AND AN APPENDIX ARE INCLUDED.

Supplemental Notes: PRICE QUOTED IS FOR ENTIRE ISSUE.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION,
1200 19TH STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20203.

Availability: PERGAMON PRESS, INC, MAXWELL HOUSE, FAIR-VIEW PARK, ELMSFORD NY 10523.

76. P. C. STERN. EVALUATING SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH. OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS, INC, 200 MADISON AVENUE, NCJ-61380 NEW YORK NY 10016. 250 p. 1979. THIS BOOK WAS DEVELOPED FOR A 12-WEEK COURSE ON THE EVALUATION OF SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH; THE RA-TIONALE BEHIND THE EVALUATION PROCESS IS ALSO VALID IN OTHER CONTEXTS. THE FOCUS IS ON QUESTIONS OF FACT RATHER THAN VALUE OR THEORY. FACTUAL QUES-TIONS ABOUT PEOPLE, INSTITUTIONS, INTERACTIONS, AND BEHAVIOR ARE EMPHASIZED, AS WELL AS THE VALIDITY OF PREVIOUS RESEARCH. IN WORKING THROUGH THE BOOK, INDIVIDUALS WILL BE LEARNING (1) TO ASK ANSWERABLE QUESTIONS ABOUT CAUSAL RELATIONSHIPS, EFFECTS OF EXTERNAL VARIABLES, BIASES AND OMISSIONS IN DATA GATHERING AND SAMPLE SELECTION, CORRELATION AS-SUMPTIONS, ETC.; (2) TO USE LIBRARY RESOURCES TO FIND FACTS ABOUT QUESTIONS; AND (3) TO USE STANDARDS OF EVIDENCE EMPLOYED BY SOCIAL SCIENTISTS IN JUDGING STATEMENTS OF FACT. EACH CHAPTER IN THE BOOK IS DE-VOTED TO A LIMITED NUMBER OF RELATED SKILLS. THE STUDENT'S GOAL IS NOT TO MEMORIZE TERMS AND DEFINI-TIONS BUT RATHER TO LEARN THE USE OF CONCEPTS WHEN EVALUATING SCIENTIFIC WORKS. EXERCISES AND PROBLEMS ARE INCLUDED TO ALLOW THE STUDENT TO PRACTICE UNTIL SKILLS ARE WELL ESTABLISHED. TOPICS COVERED IN THE BOOK ARE SCIENTIFIC AND NONSCIENTI-FIC STATEMENTS OF FACT, METHODS OF GATHERING SCI-ENTIFIC EVIDENCE, THE EVALUATION OF SCIENTIFIC EVI-DENCE, AND THE REVIEW OF LITERATURE. AN APPENDIX PRESENTS INFORMATION TO AID IN ASKING ANSWERABLE QUESTIONS AND FINDING SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE. REFER-ENCES AND AN INDEX ARE INCLUDED.

Availability: OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS, INC, 200 MADISON AVENUE, NEW YORK NY 10016.

77. E. L. STRUENING and M. GUTTENTAG, Eds. HANDBOOK OF EVALUATION RESEARCH, V 1. 696 p. 1975. NCJ-30416

FIRST IN A TWO-VOLUME WORK DESIGNED TO OFFER SPE-CIFIC, COMPREHENSIVE GUIDANCE IN BOTH THE THEORY AND PRACTICE OF EVALUATION RESEARCH. IT FIRST PRO-VIDES AN OVERVIEW OF PRIMARY FACTORS TO BE CONSID-ERED IN CONCEPTUALIZING A PROBLEM FOR STUDY, IN-CLUDING THE NEED FOR COMPROMISE AND THE SIGNIFI-CANCE OF EXTRA-DISCIPLINARY INPUT. NEXT, THE CONTRIB-UTORS EXPLORE THE COMPONENTS OF DEVELOPING A RE-SEARCH STRATEGY AND DESIGN: REVIEWING RELEVANT LITERATURE; COLLABORATIVE PROCESSES TO ANTICIPATE AND OVERCOME OBSTACLES; AND THE PITFALLS, LIABIL-ITIES, AND LIMITATIONS OF INDIVIDUAL TYPES OF DESIGNS. THE VOLUME GOES ON TO PROVIDE DETAILED GUIDANCE IN THE AREA OF SELECTION AND MAINTENANCE OF A SAMPLE; EXPLORES THE CHOICE OF MEASURES TO USE; DISCUSSES THE SELECTION OF PERSONNEL; ANALYZES IN DEPTH THE MAINTENANCE OF DATA COLLECTION STANDARDS OVER TIME, AND PROVIDES SUGGESTIONS FOR APPROACHES TO AND MANAGEMENT OF DATA ANALYSIS AND COMMUNICAT-ING RESULTS. IT CONCLUDES WITH A SELECTIVE BIBLIOG- RAPHY OF EVALUATION METHODOLOGY, COVERING BOOKS AND ARTICLES INSTRUMENTAL FOR BOTH INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH PROGRAMS. AN EXTENSIVE INDEX IS ALSO INCLUDED. (VOLUME TWO, NCJ-30417, APPLIES THE TECHNIQUES OF EVALUATION RESEARCH TO SELECTED CONTENT AREAS SUCH AS MENTAL HEALTH, COMPENSATORY EDUCATION, NEW CAREERS, AND PUBLIC HEALTH PROGRAMS.)

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

78. J. T. THOMPSON JR. HOW TO DEVELOP A MORE SYSTEM-ATIC EVALUATION STRATEGY. AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT, P O BOX 5307, MADISON WI TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT JOURNAL, 53705 1978), P 88-90, 92-93. NCJ-64380 THIS MODEL FOR EVALUATING TRAINING PROGRAMS FOR PROFESSIONALS DETERMINES EVALUATION DESIGN, CRITE-RIA, AND METHODS ACCORDING TO EVALUATION PURPOSE AND TARGET AUDIENCE. THE SYSTEMATIC METHOD CATE-GORIZES AUDIENCES AS (1) TRAINEES, (2) ORGANIZATIONAL DECISIONMAKERS, AND (3) TRAINERS. THE TRAINEE IS CON-CERNED WITH WHETHER THE PROGRAM MADE A DIFFER-ENCE. THIS CONCERN IS MEASURED WITH BOTH PROCESS AND RESULTS CRITERIA. PROBLEMS FACED BY TRAINING PRACTITIONERS IN EVALUATING AT THE TRAINEE LEVEL IN-CLUDE 'HAWTHORNE EFFECTS' OR EFFECTS WORK ENVI-RONMENT CHANGES, LOSS OF CONTACT WITH PARTICI-PANTS WHO ARE NEEDED FOR FOLLOWUP QUESTION-NAIRES, AND FRICTION WHEN USING COMPARISON OR CON-GROUPS. EVALUATING FOR THE SECOND CATEGORY--ORGANIZATIONAL DECISIONMAKERS--INVOLVES MAINLY INTERNAL VALIDITY (I.E., DESIRABLE EFFECTS ARE SOUGHT ALONG WITH COST EFFECTIVENESS) AND THE POS-SIBILITY OF GENERALIZING TRAINING EFFORT RESULTS. PROBLEMS INCLUDE POSSIBLE POLITICAL TRADE-OFFS, POSSIBLE GAP BETWEEN PROMISES AND RESULTS, AND LACK OF CONCURRENCE WITH OBJECTIVES. TRAINERS, THE THIRD AUDIENCE, NEED TO DETERMINE HOW THEY ARE DOING, HOW THE RESULTS CAN BE USED FOR FUTURE DECISIONMAKING, AND HOW THE TRAINING PROGRAM CAN BE TRANSFERRED TO ORGANIZATIONAL SUBSYSTEMS. EFFI-CIENCY CRITERIA MEASUREMENT SHOULD ANSWER QUES-TIONS OF TIMELINESS AND IMPROVED TRAINING INTERVEN-TIONS. TRAINING DESIGN SHOULD ASSIST TRAINERS WITH PRACTITIONER-VERSUS-RESEARCHER ISSUES AND WITH TECHNICAL EVALUATION PROBLEMS. REFERENCES AND TABULAR DATA ARE INCLUDED.

79. W. N. THOMPSON. FRAMEWORK MODEL OF EVALUATION. 17 p. 1977. A FRAMEWORK WITHIN WHICH TO DISTINGUISH AND EXAM-INE THE RELATIONS AMONG THE NUMEROUS ACTIVITIES AND PURPOSES ENCOMPASSED BY THE 'EVALUATION' UM-BRELLA IS PROPOSED. THE FRAMEWORK HAS TWO PUR-POSES: (1) IDENTIFYING AND EXAMINING THE RELATIONS BETWEEN EVALUATION AND RELATED ACTIVITIES (PLAN-NING, BUDGETING, MANAGEMENT, IMPLEMENTATION), BE-TWEEN EVALUATION AND OTHER FORMS OF INQUIRY (RE-SEARCH, SYSTEMS ANALYSIS, MONITORING, AUDIT, MEA-SUREMENT, CONTROL, ETC.), AND BETWEEN EVALUATION AND ITS VARIATIONS (DESCRIPTIVE, RETROSPECTIVE, FOR-MATIVE, SUMMATIVE); AND (2) IDENTIFYING AND EXAMINING A SPECIFIC PROPOSED OR COMPLETED EVALUATION IN ORDER TO UNDERSTAND ITS CHARACTERISTICS (WHAT IT IS, WHAT IT CLAIMS, ETC.), TO DISCOVER ITS POTENTIAL AND LIMITATIONS, TO EVALUATE IT IN COMPARISON TO ITS PROPOSED OBJECTIVES OR PURPOSE, AND TO COMPARE IT WITH SIMILAR EVALUATIONS. THE MODEL CHARACTERIZES VARIABLES OF INTEREST AS EVENTS IN SPACE AND TIME. THESE EVENTS ARE SELECTED FOR EXAMINATION BY IDEN-

TIFYING THE OBSERVER (PARTICIPANT, ACTOR, DECISION POINT) AND BY SPECIFYING THE OBSERVER'S RELATION-SHIP TO THE EVENT(S) IN TERMS OF THE CONCEPTS OF CONFIDENCE AND UTILITY. THE MODEL MAY BE USED TO DESCRIBE AND LOCATE ANY PARTICULAR EVALUATION OF INTEREST IN TERMS OF THE ACTIVITY CONTEMPLATED AND ITS PURPOSE. BY PROVIDING A STABLE SET OF REFERENTS AND EXPLICIT TRANSFORMS (ALGEBRAIC EXPRESSIONS OF RELATIONS BETWEEN ELEMENTS), THE MODEL MAKES POS-SIBLE CONSIDERATION OF RELATED OR ALTERNATIVE AC-TIVITIES AND PURPOSES. THE MODEL IS STATED VERBALLY, MATHEMATICALLY, AND GRAPHICALLY, APPLICATIONS OF THE MODEL IN DEFINING THE TERM 'EVALUATION' AND ITS VARIATIONS AND IN EVALUATING AN EVALUATION ARE IL-LUSTRATED. A LIST OF REFERENCES IS PROVIDED. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED)

Supplemental Notes: PRESENTED AT THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION, WASHINGTON, DC, FEBRUARY 1977—PANEL 32 EVALUATION PARADIGMS—OTHER APPROACHES.

Sponsoring Agencies: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION, 633 INDIANA AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20531; COUNCIL ON POPULATION AND ENVIRONMENT.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

80. M. A. TOBORG, L. I. DOGOLOFF, and M. M. BASEN. QUICK EVALUATION METHODOLOGY. US EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT SPECIAL ACTION OFFICE FOR DRUG ABUSE PREVENTION, 712 JACKSON PLACE, NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20006. 73 p. 1973. NCJ-27476 AN OUTLINE OF THE ANALYTICAL CRITERIA, DESCRIPTIVE IN-FORMATION, IMPLEMENTATION, AND ADVANTAGES OF THIS METHODOLOGY FOR SHORT, DECISION-AND PROBLEM-ORIENTED ASSESSMENTS OF TREATMENT PRO-GRAMS. QUICK EVALUATIONS FACILITATE RAPID DETERMI-NATIONS OF WHETHER PROGRAMS ARE IN SERIOUS TROU-BLE, ARE DOING ALL RIGHT, OR ARE IN NEED OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND LIKELY TO BENEFIT FROM IT. THEY ARE NOT DESIGNED TO BE IN-DEPTH ANALYSES OF PROGRAMS. TO CONSIDER THE EFFECTIVENESS OF TREATMENT (AS IN-DICATED BY CLIENT OUTCOMES) OR TO ASSESS THE QUAL-ITY OF CARE DELIVERED. IT IS STATED THAT USING THIS METHOD, TWO PEOPLE REQUIRE APPROXIMATELY TWO DAYS TO COMPLETE A QUICK EVALUATION. THE QUICK EVALUATION APPROACH PRESENTED IN THIS REPORT IS A COMPLETE SYSTEM, INCLUDING ALL THE REQUIRED DATA COLLECTION AND REPORTING FORMS, AS WELL AS AN EX-AMPLE OF THE COMPLETED REPORT. ANALYTICAL CRITERIA OF THE METHOD INCLUDE: COST PER CLIENT YEAR; RATIO OF ACTUAL TO STANDARD BUDGET; STAFF TURNOVER RATE; STAFF-CLIENT RATIO; COUNSELOR-CLIENT RATIO; LEVEL OF SERVICES PROVIDED; QUALITY OF RECORDS; SCOPE OF RECORD-KEEPING SYSTEMS, AND VALIDITY OF REPORTED DATA. DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION ON BACK-GROUND, FUNDING, CLIENTS AND STAFF IS ALSO RE-QUIRED. SUBJECTIVE ASSESSMENTS OF ALL PROGRAM AREAS ARE INCLUDED AS WELL.

Availability: GPO Stock Order No. 017-024-00441-3; NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

81. T. TRIPODI, P. FELLIN, and I. EPSTEIN. DIFFERENTIAL SOCIAL PROGRAM EVALUATION. F E PEACOCK PUBLISHER, INC, 401 WEST IRVING PARK ROAD, ITASCA IL 60143. 185 p. 1978. NCJ-51843

THIS BOOK IS WRITTEN PRIMARILY FOR ADMINISTRATORS IN HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICE AGENCIES TO PROVIDE A FRAMEWORK FOR MAKING DECISIONS ABOUT SOCIAL PROGRAM EVALUATIONS AND TO HELP EVALUATE THE EVALUATION. CHAPTERS DISCUSS THE GROWING DEMAND FOR EVALUATION, THE DEVELOPMENT OF TECHNIQUES FOR SOCIAL PROGRAM EVALUATION, AND THE STATE OF THE

TECHNIQUES

ART. PRESSURES ON PROGRAM DIRECTORS ARE DIS-CUSSED, ALONG WITH THE IMPORTANT ROLE THE PRO-GRAM DIRECTOR MUST ASSUME IN PLANNING AN EVALUA-TION. SOCIAL AND POLITICAL PROBLEMS INVOLVING EVALU-ATIONS ARE EXAMINED. THE POSITIVE RESULTS WHICH CAN ACCRUE FROM A GOOD EVALUATION, HOWEVER, ARE EM-PHASIZED. TECHNIQUES FOR EVALUATION ARE DISCUSSED: THE STAGES OF A PROGRAM'S DEVELOPMENT ARE CONSID-ERED, AND APPROPRIATE EVALUATION STRATEGIES FOR EACH STAGE ARE DETERMINED. NUMEROUS CASE EXAM-PLES ARE INCLUDED. EVALUATION OBJECTIVES AND STRAT-EGIES EXAMINED IN DETAIL INCLUDE SOCIAL ACCOUNTING, ADMINISTRATIVE AUDITS, TIME-AND-MOTION STUDIES, EX-PERIMENTS, SURVEYS, CASE STUDIES, COUNTING ANALY-SES, AND COST BENEFIT ANALYSES. THE USE OF CONSUL-TANTS IS EXAMINED ALSO. TABLES AND CHARTS ARE IN-CLUDED. EACH CHAPTER HAS REFERENCES APPENDED. THE BOOK IS INDEXED.

Supplemental Notes: REVISION OF SOCIAL PROGRAM EVALU-ATION PUBLISHED BY F E PEACOCK, 1971.

Availability: F E PEACOCK PUBLISHER, INC, 401 WEST IRVING PARK ROAD, ITASCA IL 60143.

82. B. S. WILLER, D. P. BARTLETT, and J. E. NORTHMAN. SIM-ULATING AS A METHOD FOR TEACHING PROGRAM EVALUA-TION. PERGAMON PRESS, INC. MAXWELL HOUSE, FAIR-VIEW PARK, ELMSFORD NY 10523. EVALUATION AND PRO-GRAM PLANNING, V 1, N 3 (1978), P 221-228.

THE DESIGN AND TESTING OF A SIMULATION OF THE PLAN-NING AND EVALUATION PROCESS FOR USE AS A TRAINING TOOL IS DESCRIBED. THIS 'LEARNING BY DOING' APPROACH PROVED SUCCESSFUL FOR ADMINISTRATORS UNSKILLED IN EVALUATION. AFTER POINTING OUT THAT MOST TRAINING PROGRAMS IN EVALUATION RELY ON LECTURES AND AB-STRACT DISCUSSION, THIS PILOT PROGRAM TO DEVELOP TRAINING SIMULATIONS IS DESCRIBED IN DETAIL. THE SIMU-LATION TECHNIQUE PROVIDES AN OPPORTUNITY FOR A STUDENT TO BECOME ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN SOLVING THE PROBLEMS POSED BY EVALUATION, GIVES GREATER UN-DERSTANDING, AND ALSO TEACHES HUMAN RELATIONS SKILLS. THIS SIMULATION USED A HYPOTHETICAL NEW COM-MUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE, THE ONLY OUTPATIENT MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE FOR A CITY OF 50,000. A TABLE PRESENTS THE PLANNING AND EVALUATION SKILLS COV-ERED BY THE CASE STUDY. THE SIMULATION WAS THEN USED IN A SERIES OF 5-DAY WORKSHOPS FOR GRADUATE STUDENTS, CLINICIANS, AND ADMINISTRATORS. THE WORK-SHOPS COVERED DEVELOPING AN EVALUATION PROPOSAL, SETTING EVALUATION GOALS, DEVELOPING A NEED AS-SESSMENT PROPOSAL, DEVELOPING AN INFORMATION SYSTEM, AND OUTCOME EVALUATION. THE LAST DAY THE GROUPS PRESENTED THEIR PROPOSALS TO RECOGNIZED EVALUATION EXPERTS. THE TECHNIQUE PROVED EXTREME-LY SUCCESSFUL FOR CLINICIANS AND ADMINISTRATORS. GRADUATE STUDENTS OFTEN FAILED TO GRASP THE PRAC-TICAL LIMITATIONS INHERENT IN PROGRAM EVALUATIONS. IT IS SUGGESTED THAT SPECIAL SIMULATIONS BE DE-SIGNED TO ACQUAINT GRADUATE STUDENTS WITH 'REAL WORLD' PROBLEMS. THE COURSE IS DESCRIBED IN DETAIL. TABLES OUTLINE POINTS COVERED BY THE CURRICULUM. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENTS ARE MADE. REF-ERENCES ARE APPENDED.

Availability: BARRY S WILLER, ERIE COUNTY MEDICAL CENTER, 462 GRIDER STREET, BUFFALO NY 14215.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION TECHNIQUES Overview

83. D. BERG and D. SHICHOR. METHODOLOGICAL AND THEO-RETICAL ISSUES IN JUVENILE DIVERSION—IMPLICATIONS FOR EVALUATIONS. 29 p. 1977. NCJ-54011

THIS REVIEW OF METHODLOGICAL PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE EVALUATION OF JUVENILE DIVERSION PROGRAMS IS BASED ON A 1975 EVALUATION OF THE BEHAVIORAL AS-SESSMENT AND TREATMENT SERVICES CENTER, ORANGE COUNTY, CALIF. THIS DIVERSION PROGRAM WAS SET UP TO PROVIDE A MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESOURCE TO WHICH THE POLICE COULD REFER EMOTIONALLY DISTURBED OR BE-HAVIORALLY DISORDERED JUVENILES FOR ASSESSMENT AND TREATMENT, DIVERTING THEM FROM THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM. IT UTILIZED OTHER COMMUNITY AGEN-CIES IN REACHING ITS GOAL. THE FOLLOWING EVALUATION PROBLEMS ARE SEEN: (1) DEFINING DIVERSION, (2) DEFIN-ING THE GOALS OF THE PROGRAM, AND (3) ANSWERING THE QUESTION, 'DOES THE EXISTENCE OF THIS PROGRAM EXTEND SUPERVISION TO THOSE WHO OTHERWISE MIGHT NOT BE SUPERVISED?' THE ENTIRE EVALUATION IS RE-VIEWED IN DETAIL. SINCE RANDOM SAMPLING WAS NOT POSSIBLE, A QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN WAS CHOSEN. SAMPLE CASE RECORDS FROM BOTH THE DIVERSION PRO-GRAM AND FROM THE POPULATION OF JUVENILES PLACED ON PAROLE (THE CONTROL GROUP) WERE SELECTED. THIS EVALUATION SHOWED THAT DIVERSION PROGRAM YOUTH HAD LOWER RECIDIVISM RATES. HOWEVER, EXAMINATION OF THE DATA FINDS A SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE IN THE TWO POPULATIONS. THE DIVERSION YOUTH WERE SIGNIFI-CANTLY YOUNGER, MORE LIKELY TO BE FEMALE, AND SIG-NIFICANTLY MORE LIKELY TO BE WHITE FIRST-TIME OF-FENDERS. STATUS OFFENDERS WERE ALSO OVERREPRE-SENTED. SUBSETS OF BOTH GROUPS WERE ANALYZED. IT IS FOUND THAT IF AGE, SEX, AND PREVIOUS CONTACTS WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES ARE CONTROLLED. ONLY THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MALES 13-15 YEARS OLD WITHOUT PRIOR REFERRAL TO PROBATION IS FOUND TO BE SIGNIFICANT, 16.7 PERCENT LESS FOR THE DIVERSION GROUP. GENERALLY THE PATTERNS OF REFERRAL ARE BETTER FOR YOUNGER AGE GROUPS AND WORSE FOR THOSE WITH PRIOR REFERRAL, ESPECIALLY AMONG MALES. IT IS CONCLUDED THAT ADDITIONAL RESEARCH IS NEEDED TO FIND OUT HOW MUCH OF THE PROGRAM'S SUCCESS IS DUE TO TREATMENT IMPACT, AND HOW MUCH TO CLIENT SELECTION FACTORS. MORE RIGOROUS CLARIFICATION OF

PROGRAM GOALS IS ALSO RECOMMENDED. TABLES PRESENT STUDY DATA. A BIBLIOGRAPHY IS APPENDED.

Supplemental Notes: PRESENTED AT THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION, WASHINGTON, DC, FEBRUARY 1977.

Sponsoring Agency: CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING, 7171 BOWLING DRIVE, SACRAMENTO CA 95823.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

84. P. C. BUFFUM. WHAT WORKS IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE?
SOME USES OF PROGRAM EVALUATION. 13 p. 1977.
NC.1-53854

TYPICAL OBSTACLES TO THE ACCUMULATION OF KNOWL-EDGE THROUGH THE EVALUATION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAMS ARE DISCUSSED, AND A MODEL FOR LOCAL SYSTEM EVALUATION IS PROPOSED. THE MULTIPLICITY OF EVALUATIONS OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAMS HAS FAILED TO PROVIDE A FUND OF KNOWLEDGE FROM WHICH TO DRAW FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF FUTURE PROGRAMS AND THE IMPROVEMENT OF EXISTING PROGRAMS. THIS SIT-UATION IS BELIEVED DUE TO SEVERAL FEATURES OF THE EVALUATION PROCESS ITSELF. THE OBSTRUCTING FEA-TURES IDENTIFIED AND DISCUSSED ARE: (1) THE YOKING OF EVALUATIONS TO AN EXAMINATION OF THE DEGREE OF FULFILLMENT OF OBJECTIVES SPECIFIED IN PROJECT GRANT PROPOSALS, THUS LIMITING AN EXAMINATION OF ISSUES THAT MAY HAVE DEVELOPED IN THE ACTUAL IMPLE-MENTATION OF THE PROGRAM; (2) FUNDING BASED ON YEARLY EVALUATIONS PREVENTS A THOROUGH EVALUA-TION OF EVOLUTIONARY DEVELOPMENTS OVER A PERIOD OF TIME; (3) IN EVALUATING SPECIFIC PROJECTS. THERE IS LITTLE ENCOURAGEMENT FOR COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF SIMILAR PROGRAMS, THUS LIMITING EFFORTS TO PULL TO-GETHER RESULTS FROM A NUMBER OF EVALUATIONS FOR ANALYSIS; (4) PUBLIC ACCESS TO EVALUATION INFORMA-TION IS FREQUENTLY LIMITED, CAUSING AN ADDITIONAL OB-STACLE TO COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS; AND (5) THE USE OF QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL MODELS TO MEASURE PROJECT OUT-COMES WHICH FAIL TO YIELD TRUSTWORTHY EVALUATION RESULTS. IN ELABORATING UPON THE FIFTH OBSTACLE DIS-CUSSED, SOME COMMONLY USED QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL MODELS ARE IDENTIFIED AND DEEMED INADEQUATE. IN PLACE OF INADEQUATE MODELS THAT ATTEMPT TO MEAS-URE PROJECT OUTCOME BY APPROXIMATING THE CLASSI-CAL EXPERIMENTAL MODEL, IT IS SUGGESTED THAT GREAT-

ER ATTENTION BE GIVEN TO LOCAL SYSTEM EVALUATION, PARTICULARLY IN COMBINATION WITH MORE SOPHISTICATED DATA COLLECTION. AN ILLUSTRATION OF WHAT THIS SUGGESTION MEANS IS OFFERED IN THE DISCUSSION OF AN EVALUATION CONDUCTED BY THE AUTHOR WITH RESPECT TO AFTERCARE PROGRAMS IN PHILADELPHIA, PA. USING FIELD SURVEYS OF AFTERCARE AGENCIES AND COHORT ANALYSIS, THE EVALUATION AIMED AT DEVELOPING A PICTURE OF THE TOTAL AFTERCARE SYSTEM OPERATING IN PHILADELPHIA AND ITS IMPACT AS EXPERIENCED BY THE CLIENTS SERVED. IT IS BELIEVED THE AVAILABILITY OF SUCH DATA CAN PROVIDE A 'COMPARISON GROUP' FOR MANY PROJECTS SIMULTANEOUSLY, WHILE ALSO PROVIDING A CONTEXT IN WHICH AGENCIES WITH SIMILAR GOALS CAN SEE THEIR ROLE WITHIN THE SYSTEM OF SERVICES.

Supplemental Notes: PRESENTED AT THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION, WASHINGTON, DC, FEBRUARY 1977—PANEL 33 IMPROVING EVALUATION USE.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

85. D. H. CHANG, Ed. INTRODUCTION TO CRIMINAL JUSTICE—
THEORY AND APPLICATION. KENDALL HUNT PUBLICATIONS, 2460 KERPER BOULEVARD, DUBUQUE IA 52001. 404
p. 1979. NCJ-66990

THIS COMPREHENSIVE SURVEY OF THE AMERICAN CRIMI-NAL JUSTICE SYSTEM IS PRESENTED FOR COLLEGE STU-DENTS TAKING AN INTRODUCTORY COURSE IN THE SUB-JECT, DISCUSSION ENCOMPASSES BOTH THEORETICAL AND PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS OF THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM. AN INTRODUCTION FOCUSES ON BASIC DEFINI-TIONS IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE FIELD, AS WELL AS SUG-GESTIONS FOR STUDENTS' CURRICULUMS. IT IS NOTED THAT SUCH FACTORS AS PREVENTING CRIME, FINDING NEW WAYS OF DEALING WITH OFFENDERS, ELIMINATING INJUS-TICES, IMPROVING PERSONNEL TRAINING AND INTEGRITY, SUPPORTING RESEARCH, INCREASING FINANCIAL BUDGETS, AND PLANNING AND EXECUTING CHANGES IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM ARE ESSENTIAL TO REDUCE CRIME, A MAJOR GOAL OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE. CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAM GRADUATES, IT IS POINTED OUT, HAVE EMPLOY-MENT OPPORTUNITIES AVAILABLE IN THE AREAS OF LAW ENFORCEMENT (PATROL OFFICER, GAME WARDEN, JUVE-NILE OFFICER), THE COURTS (BAILIFF, COURT ADMINISTRA-TOR, COURT REPORTER), AND CORRECTIONS (PROBATION OFFICER, CASE WORKER, CUSTODIAL OFFICER). AN EXAMI-NATION OF PROCEDURES AND PERSONNEL IN THE CRIMI-NAL JUSTICE SYSTEM PRECEDES A CONSIDERATION OF LAW, THE FOUNDATION OF A LEGAL SYSTEM. HISTORICAL AND ORGANIZATIONAL PERSPECTIVES OF THE POLICE ARE HIGHLIGHTED, FOLLOWED BY A REVIEW OF CONTEMPO-BARY LAW ENFORCEMENT, ALSO EXPLORED ARE CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION AND CRIMINALISTICS; THE JUDICIARY AS THE ARBITRATOR OF CONFLICT; AND THE HISTORY OF COR-RECTIONS INCLUDING PUNISHMENT VERSUS REHABILITA-TION. THE DISCUSSION NEXT TURNS TO PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTS CONCERNING CORRECTIONS IN AMERICA AND THE ROLE OF FIELD CORRECTION ADMINISTRATION IN PRO-BATION AND PAROLE. AN ANALYSIS OF VICTIMOLOGY COVERS BOTH THEORY AND APPLICATION, WHILE A REVIEW OF CRIME PREVENTION TAKES AN ECLECTIC APPROACH. JU-VENILE DELINQUENCY AND THE JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM ARE INVESTIGATED, FOLLOWED BY AN EXAMINATION OF THE CONTEMPORARY WOMAN IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM, FINALLY, A DESCRIPTION OF RESEARCH METHOD-OLOGY IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE CONCLUDES THE BOOK, EACH CHAPTER HAS REFERENCE NOTES AND A

Supplemental Notes: REVISION OF AN EARLIER BOOK PUBLISHED UNDER THE TITLE FUNDAMENTALS OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE—A SYLLABUS AND WORKBOOK, FIRST EDITION IN 1976, SECOND EDITION, 1977.

Availability: KENDALL HUNT PUBLICATIONS, 2460 KERPER BOULEVARD, DUBUQUE IA 52001.

86. E. CHELIMSKY, Ed. USE OF EVALUATION BY FEDERAL AGENCIES—PROCEEDINGS OF A SYMPOSIUM. NORTH-WEST MISSOURI REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION, 204 WEST SECOND STREET, MARYVILLE MO 64468; US DE-PARTMENT OF JUSTICE LEAA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 633 INDIANA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20531. 377 p. 1977.

THE EDITED TRANSCRIPT IS PRESENTED OF A 3-DAY SYM-POSIUM IN WHICH EVALUATIVE RESEARCHERS AND REPRE-SENTATIVES OF FEDERAL AGENCIES DISCUSSED EVALUA-TION PROBLEMS AND USES. THE SYMPOSIUM, WHICH WAS SPONSORED JOINTLY BY LEAA AND METREK DIVISION OF THE MITRE CORPORATION, WAS CONCERNED WITH THE RE-LATIONSHIP BETWEEN AGENCIES AND EVALUATORS AND WITH AGENCIES' UTILIZATION OF FEEDBACK FROM PRO-GRAM EVALUATIONS. BOUND IN A SPIRAL NOTEBOOK, THE TRANSCRIPT INCLUDES INTRODUCTORY MATERIALS DE-SCRIBING THE PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THE GATHERING; PRESENTATIONS FROM AGENCY AND RESEARCHER PER-SPECTIVES PANELS (THE BULK OF THE TRANSCRIPT); AND SUMMARIES OF WORKSHOPS ON IMPROVING THE UTILIZA-TION OF EVALUATION FINDINGS, THE DEFINITION OF EVALU-ATION CRITERIA, AND THE INTERFACE BETWEEN AGENCY NEEDS AND EVALUATION. ACCOMPANYING THE FORMAL PRESENTATIONS IS EXTENSIVE DOCUMENTATION OF QUESTION-AND-ANSWER SESSIONS .AND EXCHANGES AMONG SEMINAR PARTICIPANTS. MANY OF THE PRESENTA-TIONS ARE OF RELEVANCE TO THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE FIELD, EITHER DIRECTLY, AS DESCRIPTIONS AND DISCUS-SIONS OF LEAA PROGRAM EVALUATION, OR INDIRECTLY, AS DISCUSSIONS OF EVALUATION ISSUES IN GENERAL OR IN OTHER AGENCIES.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

FUNDAMENTALS OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE RE-87. R. S. CLARK. SEARCH. 197 p. 1977. THIS BOOK FOCUSES ON BASIC ISSUES OF RESEARCH DESIGN. MONITORING PERFORMANCE, AND CONSTANT REVIEW AND EVALUATION OF RESEARCH RESULTS FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROFESSIONALS. THE FIRST CHAPTER EMPHASIZES THE IMPORTANCE OF RESEARCH TO MODERN PRACTITIONERS IN EVERY FIELD, WHILE THE SECOND OFFERS TYPICAL APPLICATIONS OF SCIENTIFIC INQUIRY INTO CRIMINAL JUSTICE, STARTING WITH THE RUDIMENTS OF KNOWLEDGE AND APPLYING IT TO PRACTICAL SITUA-TIONS. SUBSEQUENT CHAPTERS PROVIDE A CONCEPTUAL GROUNDWORK FOR ISOLATING AND ANALYZING PROBLEMS; EXPLORE BASIC DILEMMAS OF FACTUAL RESEARCH—SCAL-ING, CONTROLLING, AND AUDITING; AND PROVIDE A SIMPLI-FIED REVIEW OF DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS, PRESENTING A PRACTICAL APPROACH TO UNDERSTANDING COMPUTER PRINTOUTS OF DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS. THE FINAL TWO CHAPTERS EXPLORE CONCEPTS OF SCIENTIFIC INFERENCE TO CRIMINAL JUSTICE SITUATIONS AND PROBLEMS AND OUTLINE COMPUTER CAPABILITIES FOR THE CRIMINAL JUS-TICE PRACTITIONER. THE APPENDIX PROVIDES STEP-BY-STEP GUIDELINES FOR PERFORMING SPECIFIC TECHNICAL PROCEDURES AND FOR RESOLVING SOME CUR-RENT PROBLEMS, SUCH AS HOW TO QUICKLY AND EASILY OBTAIN A SET OF DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FROM A COM-PUTER: HOW TO READ A RESEARCH REPORT (AND BY IN-FERENCE, HOW TO WRITE ONE); HOW TO APPLY FOR FED- ERAL FUNDS WITH A REASONABLE HOPE OF SUCCESS; AND OTHER RELATED MATTERS. THE EMPHASIS THROUGHOUT THE BOOK IS ON THE CONCEPTS AND TERMINOLOGY OF SCIENCE. A GLOSSARY IS PROVIDED TO CLARIFY THE SCIENTIFIC USAGES OF TERMS. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED) Availability: D C HEATH AND COMPANY, 125 SPRING STREET, LEXINGTON MA 02173.

88. T. D. COOK, M. L. DEL ROSARIO, K. M. HENNIGAN, M. M. MARK, and W. M. K. TROCHIM, Eds. EVALUATION STUD-IES—REVIEW ANNUAL, V 3—1978. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212. 784 p. 1978. NCJ-51598 THIS THIRD VOLUME IN A SERIES DISCUSSES HOW WIDELY EVALUATION RESULTS ARE USED IN POLICY DECISIONS AND EXAMINES EVALUATION METHODS AND THEIR IMPACT ON SOCIAL SERVICE, CRIMINAL JUSTICE, AND EDUCATION PRO-GRAMS. THE IMPORTANCE OF A CONTINUOUS PROCESS OF KNOWLEDGE BUILDING THROUGH EVALUATIVE RESEARCH IS STRESSED WITH SECTIONS ON EVALUATION METHOD-OLOGIES, THE POLICY AND POLITICAL CONTEXT OF EVALUA-TION, AND EVALUATIONS WHICH CAN BE CONDUCTED IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST BY NONPROFESSIONALS. THE SEC-TION ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROVIDES SELECTIONS ON POLICE PATROL, THE DETERRENT EFFECT OF CAPITAL PUN-ISHMENT, FIELD EXPERIMENTS IN GENERAL DETERRENCE, AND TRANSITIONAL AID FOR RELEASED PRISONERS. OTHER MATERIAL DEALS WITH NEGATIVE INCOME TAX EXPERI-MENTS, ISSUES RELEVANT TO NATIONAL HEALTH INSUR-ANCE PROGRAMS, MENTAL HEALTH THERAPY, AND EVALU-ATING INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMS. CERTAIN SELECTIONS PROVIDE REFERENCES AND TABULAR AND GRAPHIC DATA. NO INDEX IS INCLUDED.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

89. R. A. DAVIS, Ed. PROGRAM EVALUATION—SELECTED PAPERS FROM THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION. PRISON JOURNAL, V 57, N 1 (SPRING-SUMMER 1977), SPECIAL ISSUE, P 1-57.

SIX SELECTED PAPERS DISCUSS ISSUES PERTAINING TO EVALUATION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEMS. CONGRES-SIONALLY REQUESTED EVALUATION IN THREE MAJOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE INITIATIVES IS EXAMINED, SHEDDING LIGHT ON CONGRESS' ROLE IN PROGRAM EVALUATION. AN ENUMERATION OF THE REASONS WHY DECISION- AND POLI-CYMAKERS MAKE LITTLE OR NO USE OF AGENCY PROGRAM EVALUATION IS THE TOPIC OF ANOTHER ARTICLE. ALSO DIS-CUSSED ARE AN EVALUATION APPROACH INCLUDING EXAMI-NATION OF THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH A CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAM IS EFFECTIVE; HOW ECONOMIC CONDI-TIONS INFLUENCED RESULTS OF THE PREDICTIVE SENTENC-ING PROJECT; AND LONG-TERM IMPLICATIONS FOR OTHER PROJECTS. OTHER PAPERS DEAL WITH THE CONCLUSIONS REACHED BY THE GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTING OFFICE RE-GARDING PROBATION PREDICTION MODELS, AND EXAMINE THE HYPOTHESIS THAT SHORT-TERM INCARCERATION RE-DUCES RECIDIVISM MORE EFFECTIVELY THAN LONG-TERM INCARCERATION. THE JOURNAL INCLUDES AN UPDATE ARTI-CLE ON A SWEDISH CITIZEN-PRISONER GROUP, AND COM-MENTS AND REPLIES. REFERENCES ARE PROVIDED.

Availability: PENNSYLVANIA PRISON SOCIETY, ROOM 302, SOCIAL SERVICES BUILDING, 311 SOUTH JUNIPER STREET, PHILADELPHIA PA 19107.

90. J. EASTMAN, R. R. NADERI, and R. L. ROBINSON. STATE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION AT MISSOURI COUNCIL ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE. MISSOURI COUNCIL ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE, P O BOX 1041, JEFFERSON CITY MO 65101. 106 p. 1975. NCJ-41286 AN OVERVIEW OF THE STATE OF THE MISSOURI COUNCIL ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE'S (MCCJ) EVALUATION UNIT AS WELL AS A DETAILING OF SPECIFIC MEASURES THAT SUPPORT THE COUNCIL'S GOALS. THE MCCJ'S EVALUATION UNIT IS TO PERFORM FOUR BROAD TASKS: INTENSIVE EDUCATIONAL EFFORT TO FAMILIARIZE SUBGRANTEES WITH THE AREA OF EVALUATION AND HOW TO SELF-EVALUATE; TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE; INTENSIVE IN-HOUSE EVALUATIONS, AND GRANTING APPLICATION REVIEW ON AN ONGOING BASIS. RECOMENDATIONS IN IMPLEMENTING THESE TASKS AND APPENDIXES CONTAINING AN ACTIVITIES REPORT ARE INCLUDED. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED)

91. G. V. GLASS, Ed. EVALUATION STUDIES REVIEW ANNUAL, V 1. 672 p. 1976. NCJ-38147
THIS FIRST VOLUME IN A NEW ANNUAL SERIES OFFERS AN ANTHOLOGY OF 27 1975 AND 1976 EMPIRICAL AND THEORETICAL, NARRATIVE AND STATISTICAL ESSAYS AND STUDIES IN EVALUATION. WRITINGS COVER EVALUATION THEORY AND METHODS, AS WELL AS EVALUATION STUDIES IN SEVERAL DIFFERENT FIELDS EDUCATION, MENTAL AND PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES, WELFARE AND SOCIAL SERVICES, AND CRIME AND JUSTICE.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

92. M. GUTTENTAG and S. SAAR, Eds. EVALUATION STUD-IES—REVIEW ANNUAL, V 2, 1977. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212. THE SECOND VOLUME IN AN ANNUAL SERIES REPRINTS 32 ARTICLES ON EVALUATION THEORY AND METHODOLOGY AND ON EVALUATIVE RESEARCH IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE, EDU-CATION, AND HUMAN SERVICES. THE CONTENT OF THE VOLUME REFLECTS THE SHIFT IN EMPHASIS WITHIN THE EVALUATION FIELD TOWARD ISSUES OF DATA AGGREGA-TION AND DATA INTEGRATION; I.E., THE POLICY-RELEVANT INFERENCES THAT CAN BE MADE FROM EVALUATIVE INFOR-MATION. THE PAPERS ARE PRESENTED UNDER THE FOL-· LOWING SECTION HEADINGS: THINKING ABOUT EVALUATION (STATUS OF EVALUATION RESEARCH, EVALUATION AND SO-CIETY, POLICY-RELEVANT SOCIAL RESEARCH, POLITICAL REEXAMINATION OF EVALUATION RESEARCH); EVALUATION METHODOLOGY AND DATA INTEGRATION: EVALUATION INTO POLICY; EVALUATION IN EDUCATION; STUDIES IN CRIME AND JUSTICE (EVALUATING THE STRUCTURAL DIMENSIONS OF POLICE DIVERSION PROGRAMS, TRAFFIC LAW STUDIES, THE USE OF SENTENCING COUNCILS TO REDUCE SENTENCE DIS-PARITY, WORK RELEASE AND RECIDIVISM); AND STUDIES IN HUMAN SERVICES (E.G., MONITORING AND ANALYSIS OF MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAM OUTCOME DATA). NOTES AND REFERENCES ARE PROVIDED.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE. BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

93. W. HAWKINS and E. D. SUSSMAN, Eds. WORKSHOP ON METHODOLOGY FOR EVALUATING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF TRANSIT CRIME REDUCTION MEASURES IN AUTOMATED GUIDEWAY TRANSIT SYSTEMS—PROCEEDINGS. US DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS CENTER, KENDALL SQUARE, CAMBRIDGE MA 02142. 123 p. 1977. NCJ-54111

THE SUBSTANCE OF PANEL DISCUSSIONS AND THE TEXT OF TWO PAPERS ARE PRESENTED FROM A WORKSHOP ON NEW TRANSIT SECURITY EVALUATION METHODOLOGY, WITH PARTICULAR EMPHASIS ON AUTOMATED GUIDEWAY TRANSIT SYSTEMS. THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION/URBAN MASS TRANSPORTATION ADMINISTRATION, OFFICE OF NEW SYSTEMS AND AUTOMATION SPONSORED A SECURITY WORKSHOP CONDUCTED BY THE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS CENTER IN MAY 1976. THE PANEL ON TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS CENTE

NCJ-43277

SIT SECURITY OPERATIONS DISCUSSED PRACTICES AND PROBLEMS IN THE EVALUATION OF SECURITY MEASURES ON MASS TRANSIT SYSTEMS AND THE MEANS EMPLOYED TO IMPROVE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF CURRENT METHODS OF EVALUATION. IN THE DISCUSSIONS OF THE PANEL ON TRANSIT SECURITY RESEARCH, NEW METHODOLOGY WAS OUTLINED TO EVALUATE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF TRANSIT SECURITY MEASURES, WITH DUE CONSIDERATION FOR LIMI-TATIONS OF BOTH CURRENT AND NEW METHODOLOGY. THE PANEL ON AUTOMATED TRANSIT PLANNING DESCRIBED VARIOUS TYPES OF AUTOMATED SYSTEMS CURRENTLY IN USE AND NEW AUTOMATED SYSTEMS UNDER DEVELOP-MENT. THE PANEL ALSO EXPLORED THE ROLE OF SECURITY IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THESE SYSTEMS AND HOW THAT ROLE MIGHT BE IMPROVED. A PAPER ENTITLED 'LOSS PRE-VENTION AND SECURITY FOR TRANSIT SYSTEMS: A PER-SPECTIVE' ASSESSES THE FINANCIAL LOSSES AND HARM TO PERSONS RESULTING FROM TRANSIT-RELATED CRIME AND VANDALISM. FINANCIAL LOSSES AND SECURITY HAZARDS ARE COMPARED WITH THE POSSIBLE COSTS OF INSURANCE AND PHYSICAL DETERRENTS TO CRIME AND VANDALISM. A SECOND PAPER ENTITLED 'DEVELOPING A METHODOLOGY FOR EVALUATING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF CRIME REDUC-TION MEASURES FOR MASS TRANSIT SYSTEMS' STRESSES THE IMPORTANCE OF OBTAINING MEANINGFUL DATA RELAT-ING TO THE PROBLEM OF SAFETY AND SECURITY ON THE MASS TRANSIT SYSTEMS. PARTICULAR ATTENTION IS GIVEN TO THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CRIME ON MASS TRANSIT SYSTEMS AND CRIME IN THE NEIGHBORHOODS IN WHICH THE SYSTEMS OPERATE. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING CRIME REDUCTION EFFORTS ON MASS TRANSIT SYSTEMS ARE DE-VELOPED, AND DATA-GATHERING METHODS FOR EVALUA-TION ARE SUGGESTED. THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT IS CONSIDERED RELEVANT TO TRANSIT SECU-RITY PLANNERS AND RESEARCHERS, LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES, PLANNERS OF AUTOMATED GUIDEWAY TRANSIT SYSTEMS, AND PERSONS CONCERNED WITH THE PROB-LEMS OF CRIME AND VANDALISM IN TRANSIT SYSTEMS.

Supplemental Notes: HELD AT CAMBRIDGE (MA), MAY 25-28, 1976.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION URBAN MASS TRANSPORTATION ADMINISTRATION, 400 SEVENTH STREET, SW, WASHINGTON DC 20590.

Availability: NTIS. Accession No. PB-273-695. (Microfiche)

94. K. W. JOHNSON. STIMULATING THE USE OF EVALUATION AND ITS RESULTS -- A UNIVERSITY-COUNTY GOVERNMENT APPROACH. 36 p. 1977. TO STIMULATE DECISIONMAKERS TO UTILIZE EVALUATION. THIS PAPER REVIEWS THE LITERATURE, DESCRIBES AN IN-NOVATIVE IN-HOUSE EVALUATION PROGRAM, AND DE-SCRIBES THE USE OF EVALUATION BY MARYLAND STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES. FOLLOWING THE LITERATURE REVIEW, A DETAILED DESCRIPTION IS PROVIDED OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND AND PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY JOINT CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION PROGRAM. ON A YEAR-TO-YEAR BASIS A CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENT IS MADE BETWEEN THE UNIVERSITY AND THE COUNTY GOV-ERNMENT FOR A NUMBER OF SPECIFIC EVALUATION PRO-GRAMS. THE UNIVERSITY PROVIDES ONE PROFESSOR (ONE-THIRD TIME) AND TWO GRADUATE RESEARCH ASSIS-TANTS (ONE-HALF TIME), PLUS ANY NEEDED ADDITIONAL STUDENT HELP. THE COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE ANALYST COORDINATES THE PROGRAM. BETWEEN 1974 AND 1977 EIGHT LEAA-FUNDED PROGRAMS WERE EVALUATED. COSTS FOR EACH ARE LISTED. IN ADDITION, SEVEN STATE AND LO-CALLY FUNDED PROJECTS WERE EVALUATED AND A NUMBER OF OTHER SERVICES WERE PROVIDED. THE FINAL SECTION REPORTS ON A STUDY ON THE USE OF EVALUA-TION DATA BY VARIOUS CRIMINAL JUSTICE OFFICIALS. IT FOUND THAT ABOUT 60 PERCENT OF THE DECISIONMAKERS

WERE MADE AWARE OF THE RESULTS OF EVALUATIONS CONDUCTED IN THE COUNTY BY READING FINAL EVALUATION REPORTS PREPARED FOR DISSEMINATION. THOSE ACTUALLY INVOLVED IN SOME ASPECT OF AN EVALUATION HAD GREATER AWARENESS. THOSE WHO WERE INVOLVED IN A NUMBER OF ASPECTS OF THE EVALUATION PROGRAM HAD THE GREATEST AWARENESS. THE STUDY ALSO INDICATES THAT DECISIONMAKERS READ EVALUATION REPORTS AND DISCUSS THEM. HOWEVER, FEW REPORTED USING EVALUATION RESULTS TO MAKE ANY CHANGES. IT IS SUGGESTED THAT FURTHER RESEARCH BE CONDUCTED TO FIND THOSE FACTORS WHICH CAN INFLUENCE USE OF EVALUATION RESULTS. TABLES PRESENT STUDY DATA. AN EXTENSIVE BIBLIOGRAPHY IS APPENDED.

Supplemental Notes: FOR PRESENTATION AT THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION, FEBRUARY 1977.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

95. KOBA ASSOCIATES, INC, 2001 S STREET, NW, SUITE 302, WASHINGTON DC 20009. NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION ADMINISTRATIVE AND EVALUATION REPORT. 43 p. 1977. NCJ-42771 THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALU-ATION, HELD FEBRUARY 21-24, 1977 IN WASHINGTON, D.C., WAS DESIGNED TO PRESENT AND COMPARE THE RESULTS AND METHODS USED IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATIONS IN VARIOUS PROGRAMS. IT WAS ALSO INTENDED TO PROVIDE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR EVALUATORS AND INTERESTED CRIMINAL JUSTICE PERSONNEL TO DISCUSS THE GENERAL PROBLEMS OF CONCEPTUALIZING, MANAGING, AND UTILIZ-ING EVALUATIONS. THIS REPORT DESCRIBES THE ADMINIS-TRATIVE, PLANNING, AND LOGISTICAL FUNCTIONS INVOLVED IN CONDUCTING THE CONFERENCE, AND INCLUDES THE METHODS USED IN CONDUCTING THE PARTICIPANTS' EVAL-UATION. IT IS DIVIDED INTO FIVE MAJOR PHASES: GROUND-WORK, SELECTION OF SPEAKERS, PAPER PRESENTERS. PANEL TOPICS/PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT; THE CONFER-ENCE; CONFERENCE FOLLOW-UP AND PREPARATION OF PROCEEDINGS; AND EVALUATION REPORT ON THE CONFER-ENCE. CHANGES IN PROCEDURES, TOPIC AREAS, LENGTH AND AUDIENCE FOR THE CONFERENCE AND OTHER COM-MENTS AND SUGGESTIONS HAVE BEEN INCORPORATED INTO THE VARIOUS SECTIONS OF THE REPORT. THIS REPORT, THEREFORE, IN ADDITION TO BEING A CHRONO-LOGICAL LISTING OF EVENTS, IS A PLANNING GUIDE FOR **FUTURE CONFERENCES. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT)**

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION, 633 INDIANA AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20531.

96. R. C. LARSON. EMPIRICAL STUDY OF METHODS USED IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION—FIFTH QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT, JANUARY 1, 1979-MARCH 31, 1979. MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY OPERATIONS RESEARCH CENTER, CAMBRIDGE MA 02139. 24 p. 1979. NCJ-61384

DURING THE QUARTERLY PERIOD FROM JANUARY 1, 1979, TO MARCH 31, 1979, THE FIRST PHASE OF RESEARCH ON A SAMPLE OF 200 CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAM EVALUATIONS WAS COMPLETED BY THE MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY. THREE MAJOR PRODUCTS OF THE FIRST PHASE OF RESEARCH WERE A DRAFT VERSION OF AN INTERIM ANALYSIS OF 200 CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAM EVALUATIONS, THE PRETESTING AND REVISION OF EVALUATOR AND MANAGER/FUNDER QUESTIONNAIRES FOR THE SECOND PHASE OF RESEARCH, AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF A DATA ANALYSIS PACKET TO STRUCTURE THE ASSESSMENT OF RESPONSES TO QUESTIONNAIRES. EVALUATOR AND MANAGER/FUNDER QUESTIONNAIRES WERE DEVISED TO VERIFY RESULTS OBTAINED FROM THE FIRST PHASE OF RESEARCH. AUTHORS AND CONSUMERS OF EVALUATION

REPORTS WOULD THEN BE ABLE TO PROVIDE FURTHER INSIGHT INTO THE ACTUAL PROCESS OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION, A PERSPECTIVE OFTEN LACKING IN FINAL WRITTEN REPORTS. QUESTIONNAIRES WERE PRETESTED BY PERSONS INVOLVED IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAM EVALUATIONS IN THE EASTERN PART OF MASSACHUSETTS. MINOR CHANGES WERE MADE TO QUESTIONNAIRES BASED ON PRETESTER COMMENTS AND FURTHER INTROSPECTION, AND FINAL VERSIONS WERE PREPARED. EVALUATOR AND MANAGER/FUNDER QUESTIONNAIRES AND SAMPLE PAGES FROM THE DATA ANALYSIS PACKET ARE APPENDED.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LEAA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 633 INDIANA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20531. Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

97. M. LEWIS. EVALUATION METHODOLOGY IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE. OHIO ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE DIVISION DE-PARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, 30 EAST BROAD STREET, 26TH FLOOR, COLUMBUS, OH 43215. 188 p. 1977. NCJ-41230 THIS REPORT PRESENTS THE RESULTS OF A PROJECT TO INVESTIGATE CURRENT EVALUATION METHODOLOGIES AS THEY APPLY TO CRIMINAL JUSTICE RESEARCH AND PRO-GRAM ASSESSMENT. THE PROJECT WAS CONDUCTED BY OHIO'S DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DE-VELOPMENT TO DETERMINE THE GENERAL STATE OF THE ART IN EVALUATION AND TO DELINEATE THE CRITICAL ISSUES INVOLVED BY EXAMINING A BROAD RANGE OF SCI-ENTIFIC DISCIPLINES. ALTERNATIVE STRATEGIES FOR THE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT OF EVALUATION RESEARCH ARE OUTLINED AS ARE THE FOUNDATIONS FOR THE FORMULA-TION OF A GENERAL THEORY OF EVALUATION. (AUTHOR AB-STRACT MODIFIED)

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION, 633 INDIANA AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20531.

98. R. G. LEWIS and J. R. GREENE. IMPLEMENTATION EVALU-ATION-A FUTURE DIRECTION IN PROJECT EVALUATION. PERGAMON PRESS, INC, MAXWELL HOUSE, FAIRVIEW PARK, ELMSFORD NY 10523; ACADEMY OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SCIENCES. JOURNAL OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, V 6, N 2 (SUMMER 1978), P 167-176. NCJ-50415 THE METHODS, FINDINGS, AND IMPLICATIONS OF AN IMPLE-MENTATION EVALUATION OF PROACTIVE POLICE UNITS (SURVEILLANCE UNITS, SATURATION PATROL UNITS, RE-GIONAL DETECTIVE BUREAUS) IN MICHIGAN ARE SUMMA-RIZED. THE TENDENCY OF ORGANIZATIONAL RESEARCH-ERS, EVALUATION SPECIALISTS, POLICYMAKERS, AND PRO-GRAM DEVELOPERS TO IGNORE THE ISSUE OF PROJECT IM-PLEMENTATION IS NOTED. THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK OF THE MICHIGAN STUDY, WHICH EMPLOYED RESEARCH QUESTIONS ABOUT ENVIRONMENTAL INFLUENCES ON PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION, EFFECTIVENESS. AND INSTITUTIONALIZATION, IS OUTLINED. PRELIMINARY FIND-INGS ARE REPORTED FROM INTERVIEWS WITH POLICE PROJECT PERSONNEL REGARDING THE DEVELOPMENT OF EACH PROJECT, ITS ACTUAL OPERATION, THE SOCIAL AND POLITICAL CONTEXT OF THE PROJECT, AND THE FACTORS THAT APPEARED TO FACILITATE OR HINDER THE SUCCESS. OF THE PROJECT OR ITS INSTITUTIONALIZATION, FOUR PRI-MARY ISSUES IN THE IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS-PROJ-ECT GOAL AND OBJECTIVE CLARITY, GOAL CONSENSUS, IN-TERDEPENDENCE OF VESTED INTERESTS, AND LOCAL MOTI-VATIONS FOR OBTAINING AND USING FEDERAL SUPPORT-ARE CONSIDERED. THE EVALUATION FINDINGS IMPLY THE FOLLOWING: (1) PROBLEMS CREATED BY GOAL AMBIGUITY AND LACK OF GOAL CONSENSUS AMONG RELEVANT INDI-VIDUALS AND ORGANIZATIONS SHOULD BE DEALT WITH THROUGH MORE INTENSIVE SITE PREPARATION THAN IS CUSTOMARY FOR MOST DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS; (2)

EARLY PHASES OF IMPLEMENTATION SHOULD EMPHASIZE FORMATIVE, AS OPPOSED TO SUMMATIVE, EVALUATION SO THAT FEEDBACK RELEVANT TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROJECT WILL BE PROVIDED; (3) EVALUATION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS IS NECESSARY IF PROJECT RESULTS ARE TO BE GENERALIZED; AND (4) EMPHASIS ON THE IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS ULTIMATELY WILL ENHANCE THE ABILITY TO DRAW CAUSAL INFERENCES FROM PROJECT EVALUATIONS. A LIST OF REFERENCES AND READINGS IS INCLUDED.

99. T. LONG. DEVELOPMENT OF A LOCAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION CAPABILITY. 10 p. 1977. NCJ-53941 THE ISSUES INVOLVED IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN EFFEC-TIVE EVALUATION PROCESS ARE DISCUSSED, THE STRUC-TURE OF AN EVALUATION UNIT AND ITS FOCUS ARE DE-SCRIBED, AND THE PROCESS THAT SHOULD BE FOLLOWED IS TRACED. THIS DISCUSSION OF A MODEL METROPOLITAN CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION PROCESS IS BASED ON THE EXPERIENCES OF THE OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLAN-NING'S EVALUATION UNIT, JACKSONVILLE, FLA. THIS UNIT WAS A MODEL EVALUATION PROGRAM PARTICIPANT DURING THE 1975-1976 FISCAL YEAR, A PERMANENT EVALU-ATION UNIT IS RECOMMENDED, AS OPPOSED TO OUTSIDE CONTRACTORS, SINCE THE UNIT CAN COORDINATE WITH ALL AGENCIES AND CAN ACCUMULATE A FILE OF BASELINE DATA TO BE USED FOR MORE THAN ONE EVALUATION. IDENTIFYING EVALUATION NEEDS, FOCUSING ON IMPACT VERSUS PROCESS EVALUATIONS, AND CHOOSING THE EVALUATION METHODS BEST SUITED TO TIME AND BUDGET CONSTRAINTS ARE DISCUSSED. THE EVALUATION PROCESS IS DESCRIBED, BEGINNING WITH PREEVALUATION PLAN-NING, CONTINUING THROUGH THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE RESEARCH DESIGN, DATA COLLECTION, DATA ANALYSIS. AND ENDING WITH POSTSTUDY IMPLEMENTATION STRAT-EGY AND EVALUATION FOLLOWUP. THE POSITIVE AND NEG-ATIVE ASPECTS OF EVALUATION FOLLOWUP ARE BRIEFLY REVIEWED

Supplemental Notes: PRESENTED AT THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION, WASHINGTON, DC, FEBRUARY 1977.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

100. M. MOLOF and L. SIEGEL. POLICING URBAN MASS TRAN-SIT SYSTEMS—EVALUATION DESIGNS AND RECOMMENDA-TIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH. MITRE CORPORATION WASHINGTON OPERATIONS, 1820 DOLLEY MADISON BOULE-VARD, MCLEAN VA 22101. 37 p. 1977. THIS SUGGESTION FOR AN EVALUATION PROJECT REVIEWS TRANSIT POLICING OPERATIONS AND THE NEED TO EVALU-ATE THESE ACTIVITIES, LISTS DATA ELEMENTS REQUIRED. SUGGESTS FIVE RESEARCH DESIGNS, AND PRESENTS A HY-POTHETICAL CASE STUDY, PREVIOUS RESEARCH HAS SUG-GESTED THAT URBAN MASS TRANSIT SYSTEMS NEED TO DEVELOP AND EVALUATE PROJECTS DIRECTED TOWARD CONTROLLING JUVENILE CRIME, TO IMPROVE THE COORDI-NATION OF ELECTRONIC SECURITY EQUIPMENT WITH OTHER SECURITY MEASURES, TO DECREASE THE VULNER-ABILITY OF AUTOMATIC COIN-CHANGE AND TICKET VENDING MACHINES, AND TO IMPROVE FIRE DETECTION AND PRE-VENTION. THE FIRST STEP IN EVALUATING THESE POLICING MEASURES IS DATA COLLECTION. DIFFERENT TRANSIT POLICE UNITS USE DIFFERENT CLASSIFICATION SCHEMES FOR CRIMES, COMPLICATING COMPARISONS BETWEEN SYS-TEMS. UNIFORM CRIME REPORTING WOULD STANDARDIZE DEFINITIONS AND PROVIDE A MEANINGFUL DATA BASE, A HANDBOOK FOR MEASURING PASSENGER PERCEPTIONS OF CRIME IS ALSO NEEDED FOR EVALUATION RESEARCH, DATA NOT GENERALLY RECORDED INCLUDED OFFENDER CHAR-ACTERISTICS, VICTIM CHARACTERISTICS, AND ENVIRONMEN-TAL CONDITIONS, ALL OF WHICH WOULD IMPROVE EVALUA-

TION. THE MOST COMMONLY USED RESEARCH DESIGNS ARE ONE-GROUP, PRETEST-POSTTEST DESIGNS, NONEQUIVALENT CONTROL GROUP DESIGN, TIME SERIES, MULTIPLE-TIME SERIES, AND A SERIES OF STATISTICAL ANALYSES TECHNIQUES. AN INTENSIVE CASE STUDY OF THE WASHINGTON, D.C., METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT AUTHORITY (RAPID RAIL SYSTEM) IS RECOMMENDED AS A POSSIBLE PHASE II STUDY UNDER THE NATIONAL EVALUATION PROGRAM OF NILECJ. A 2-YEAR STUDY COSTING ABOUT \$250,000 IS DESIGNED. TABULAR DATA AND A BIBLIOGRAPHY ARE PROVIDED

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LEAA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 633 INDIANA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20531.

101. K. L. MORELL, Ed. CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION—
PAPERS FROM WASHINGTON STATE EVALUATION EXCHANGE CONFERENCES, 1975-1976. WASHINGTON LAW
AND JUSTICE PLANNING OFFICE, INSURANCE BUILDING,
ROOM 107, OLYMPIA WA 98504; UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON LAW SCHOOL, CONDON HALL, SEATTLE WA 98195;
WASHINGTON STATE OFFICE OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT; WASHINGTON STATE PLANNING AND COMMUNITY
AFFAIRS AGENCY 1306 CARITOL WAY OLYMPIA WA 98504 AFFAIRS AGENCY, 1306 CAPITOL WAY, OLYMPIA WA 98504. 1976. THIS VOLUME PRESENTS FORMAL AND INFORMAL AD-DRESSES MADE TO THE FOUR EVALUATION EXCHANGE CONFERENCES DEALING WITH EVALUATION IN COMMUNITY BASED CORRECTIONS, BURGLARY REDUCTION, YOUTH SERVICE BUREAUS, AND PUBLIC DEFENDERS. THE EVALUA-TION EXCHANGE CONFERENCES WERE HELD TO EXPLORE THE OPINIONS OF OPERATIONAL PROJECT DIRECTORS AND EVALUATORS, AND TO STIMULATE THEIR IDEAS IN TERMS OF ACCOUNTABILITY, QUALITY CONTROL, AND EFFECTIVE-NESS MEASUREMENT. THIS PUBLICATION IS A SUMMATION OF THE REMARKS MADE BY CONFERENCE SPEAKERS. TOPICS INCLUDED CASE STUDIES ON EXISTING PROGRAM EVALUATIONS, EVALUATION TECHNIQUES, AND THE PHILOS-OPHY OF EVALUATION. IN ADDITION TO THE CONFERENCE ADDRESSES, THIS DOCUMENT INCLUDES COPIES OF EACH CONFERENCE SCHEDULE.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION, 633 INDIANA AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20531.

102. NATIONAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE REFERENCE SERVICE, BOX 6000, ROCKVILLE MD 20850. HOW WELL DOES IT WORK?—REVIEW OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION, 1978. 374 p. 1979. EVALUATION IN THE FIELDS OF CORRECTIONS, COMMUNITY CRIME PREVENTION, COURTS, POLICE, AND JUVENILE DELIN-QUENCY IS PRESENTED ALONG WITH FINDINGS OF A SURVEY OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE RESEARCHERS AND EVALUA-TORS. AN EXPLORATION OF EXISTING STUDIES OF TREAT-MENTS TO REDUCE CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR THROUGH THE CORRECTIONS SYSTEM CONCLUDES THAT MOST SUCH STUDIES FAIL TO MEASURE EITHER THE STRENGTH OF THE TREATMENT (HOW INTENSIVE IT WAS) OR THE INTEGRITY (HOW CONSISTENTLY THE PLANNED TREATMENT WAS AD-MINISTERED). ANOTHER EVALUATION INDICATES THAT PRESENT RESEARCH INTO PROBATION, PAROLE, AND DE-TERMINATE SENTENCING, OFFERS NO PANACEA FOR RE-CIDIVISM BUT DOES OFFER HOPE FOR A LOWER 'FAILURE' RATE AND A BETTER OPPORTUNITY FOR REINTEGRATION OF PAST OFFENDERS WITHIN THEIR COMMUNITIES. THE EF-FICACY OF COMMUNITY CRIME PREVENTION PROGRAMS IS DEFENDED IN ONE REVIEW ARTICLE; THE LACK OF EFFEC-TIVE EVALUATION OF PROGRAM RESULTS CAUSES THESE PROGRAMS TO BE UNDERRATED. ANOTHER DISCUSSES MEASUREMENT ISSUES IN EVALUATING COMMUNITY CRIME PREVENTION PROGRAMS. A DISCUSSION OF INNOVATIONS IN COURT PROCEDURES, ORGANIZATION, SENTENCING, AND BELATED ISSUES FINDS FEW SCIENTIFIC EVALUATIONS OF THESE INNOVATIONS BUT CITES MANY LESS FORMAL AS-SESSMENTS OF THEM AS INDICATIVE OF CERTAIN APPAR-ENT TRENDS, EXPERIMENTAL FINDINGS ON TECHNIQUES OF POLICE PATROL AND OTHER FIELD ACTIVITIES ARE EX-PLORED. ALSO, LITTLE EVALUATIVE EVIDENCE IS FOUND RE-GARDING THE EFFICACY OF RECENT POLICE REORGANIZA-TIONS, PARTICULARLY THOSE IN WHICH SMALLER FORCES MERGE INTO LARGER UNITS. A CONSIDERATION OF POLICE RECRUITMENT AND TRAINING PROGRAMS CRITICIZES EXIST-ING PROGRAMS IN TERMS OF SUPPORT AND EVALUATION. NEW COMPUTER-AIDED TYPES OF POLICE CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS ARE EXPLAINED AND THE LACK OF EF-FECTIVE EVALUATION OF THEM IS DISCUSSED. FINALLY, AN INVESTIGATION OF JUVENILE DELINQUENCY CONTROL AND PREVENTION STRATEGIES CONCLUDES THAT LINKAGES BE-TWEEN SCHOOLS, WORK, AND FAMILY SHOULD BE ENCOUR-AGED AND STRENGTHENED. FINDINGS OF A SURVEY OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE RESEARCHERS AND EVALUATORS INDI-CATE THAT CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATIONS NEED IM-PROVED METHODOLOGIES AND METHODOLOGICAL PRAC-TICES; THAT EVALUATORS, PROGRAM OPERATORS, AND PO-LICYMAKERS NEED GREATER TRAINING AND UNDERSTAND-ING OF EVALUATIONS; AND THAT EVALUATION RESULTS NEED TO BE APPRECIATED AND UNDERSTOOD BY PERSONS OUTSIDE THE EVALUATION COMMUNITY. NUMEROUS REFER-ENCE NOTES AND REFERENCES ARE PROVIDED. Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LEAA NA-

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LEAA NA-TIONAL INSTITUTE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 633 INDIANA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20531. Availability: GPO Stock Order No. 027-000-00882-8; NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

103. J. R. NEWMAN and J. OBERSTONE. USE OF DECISION THEORY IN THE EVALUATION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAMS. UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. 99 p. 1972. NCJ-25072

AN INTRODUCTION TO THE MODERN THEORY OF DECISION MAKING EMPHASIZING THOSE ASPECTS THAT ARE GER-MANE TO THE EVALUATION OF ONGOING OR PROPOSED ACTION PROGRAMS IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE AREA. THE APPROACH TO DECISION MAKING PROBLEMS THAT IS TAKEN BY THE AUTHORS INVOLVES 4 STEPS: FIRST, ALL THE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES ARE IDENTIFIED WITH SPECI-FIED MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS WHEN POSSIBLE: THE PROJECT'S POSSIBLE CONSEQUENCES AND UNCERTAINTIES ARE DESCRIBED; THEN THE RELATIVE PREFERENCES ON THE DECISION MAKER FOR EACH POSSIBLE CONSEQUENCE ARE ESTABLISHED; AND, FINALLY, A REASONABLE, RATIO-NAL RULE IS ESTABLISHED FOR COMBINING THE INFORMA-TION SPECIFIED IN THE FIRST THREE STEPS. THE RULE IS USED AS AN AID IN REACHING THE FINAL DECISION ABOUT THE PROGRAM. THE BASIC ELEMENTS OF DECISION MAKING ARE PRESENTED AS WELL AS A CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM FOR VARIOUS TYPES OF DECISIONS. A SYSTEMATIC AP-PROACH TO DECISION MAKING IS GIVEN WHICH IS ILLUS-TRATED BY A COMPLETELY WORKED OUT EXAMPLE OF THE APPROACH. THE FINAL SECTION PRESENTS METHODS OF EXTRACTING EXPERT OPINION FROM GROUPS OR INDIVID-UALS. THE APPENDIX CONTAINS A BRIEF INTRODUCTION TO THE THEORY OF PROBABILITY AND STATISTICS.

Sponsoring Agency: LOS ANGELES REGIONAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING BOARD, 304 SOUTH BROADWAY, LOS ANGELES CA 90013.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

104. OHIO DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DE-VELOPMENT, 30 EAST BROAD STREET, 26TH FLOOR, CO-LUMBUS OH 43215. ASSESSMENT OF OHIO LEAA AWARDS FOR THE OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES REGION-AL PLANNING UNITS. 41 p. 1978. NCJ-60296

OBJECTIVES AND ACTIVITIES OF THE OHIO OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES ARE SUMMARIZED WITH REGARD TO PROCEDURAL ASPECTS OF MONITORING AND EVALUATING LEAA PROJECTS. THE LEAA MANDATE RELA-TIVE TO MONITORING AND EVALUATION STATES THAT MONI-TORING INVOLVES THE DESCRIPTION OF PLANNED PROJECT RESULTS AND THE COMPARISON OF THESE PLANNED RE-SULTS WITH ACTUAL PROJECT ACHIEVEMENTS WHILE EVAL-UATION INVOLVES MORE INTENSIVE ANALYSIS THAT PER-MITS INFERENCE ABOUT WHETHER CHANGES OR ACHIEVE-MENTS ARE ATTRIBUTABLE TO PROJECT ACTIVITIES. OHIO HAS DESIGNED AND IMPLEMENTED CONCEPTUAL AND OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES FOR ASSESSING PROJECT OUTCOMES: THE CONCEPTUAL METHOD IS BASED ON A MANAGEMENT BY OBJECTIVES AND RESULTS STRATEGY REGARDING PROJECT ASSESSMENT. OBJECTIVES (PROJECT OUTCOMES) AND ACTIVITIES (PROJECT MEANS) ARE STATED IN MEASURABLE TERMS AND ARE ASSESSED ON A PROJECT-BY-PROJECT BASIS. OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES INVOLVE THE USE OF THREE PRIMARY ASSESSMENT IN-STRUMENTS. THE PROJECT-SPECIFIC MONITORING INSTRU-MENT INCLUDES MEASURES OF EFFICIENCY AND EFFEC-TIVENESS. THE MONITORING AND EVALUATION PERFORM-ANCE REVIEW INSTRUMENT INCORPORATES BOTH QUANTI-TATIVE AND QUALITATIVE ELEMENTS AND ULTIMATELY ES-TABLISHES THE RELIABILITY OF PROJECT RESULTS WHILE GENERATING INFORMATION ON REASONS FOR OUTCOMES. THE NARRATIVE ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT FUNCTIONS AS A CONDUIT FOR PROJECT STAFF PERSPECTIVES AND IS SUBMITTED WITH THE PROJECT-SPECIFIC MONITORING IN-STRUMENT. FRONT-END PLANNING WHICH ENHANCES BOTH IMPLEMENTATION AND ASSESSMENT PROCESSES ENCOM-PASSES THREE STEPS: RESEARCH AND PROBLEM FORMU-LATION; DEVELOPMENT OF GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND ACTIV-ITIES; AND DEVELOPMENT OF ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENTS. SAMPLE OBJECTIVES ARE TO REDUCE THE RECIDIVISM RATE, TO REDUCE INSTITUTIONAL PLACEMENT, TO IN-CREASE CRIME REPORTING, AND TO INCREASE THE ARREST CLEARANCE RATE. TO ASSURE A SMOOTH AND RE-SPONSIVE PROCESS, THE OHIO SYSTEM FOCUSES ON DATA COLLECTION, REPORTING PROCEDURES, AND THE PURPOSE OF ANALYSIS WITH REGARD TO ASSESSMENT INSTRU-MENTS. STEPS IN PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT PROCESSES ARE OUTLINED, AND PROJECT OPERATIONAL CONCEPTS ARE ILLUSTRATED. APPENDIXES CONTAIN ASSESSMENT IN-STRUMENTS AND A FLOW CHART DEPICTING SEQUENTIAL STEPS IN THE HANDLING AND PROCESSING OF ASSESS-MENT INSTRUMENTS.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

105. C. C. RENTZ and R. R. RENTZ, Eds. EVALUATING FEDER-ALLY SPONSORED PROGRAMS. JOSSEY-BASS, INC. 433 CALIFORNIA STREET, SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104. 1978. THIS EXAMINATION OF THE VARYING APPROACHES AND AIMS OF THOSE INVOLVED IN THE EVALUATION OF FEDER-ALLY SPONSORED PROGRAMS CONTAINS CASE STUDIES FROM BOTH LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRA-TION (LEAA) AND EDUCATION PROGRAMS. A COMMON THEME THROUGHOUT THE BOOK IS THE NEED FOR USEFUL-NESS OF EVALUATION DATA. EACH OF THE SIX CHAPTERS WAS WRITTEN EITHER BY AN INDIVIDUAL FROM AN AGENCY RESPONSIBLE FOR AN EVALUATION OR BY AN OUTSIDE EVALUATOR. THE INTRODUCTORY CHAPTER EXAMINES THE CONGRESSIONAL APPROACH TO EVALUATION AS A MEANS OF MONITORING AGENCY ACCOUNTABILITY AND CON-TRASTS THIS WITH THE AGENCY APPROACH, WHICH IS USU-ALLY TO IMPROVE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT. EVALUATION DESIGNS ARE DESCRIBED FOR THREE EDUCATION PRO-GRAMS (AN EVALUATION OF A LAW TO IMPROVE EDUCA-TION OF THE HANDICAPPED, AN EVALUATION OF PRO-

GRAMS FUNDED BY THE VOCATIONAL EDUCATION ACT, AND TWO PROGRAMS FOR SMALL CHILDREN). THEN THE VARYING PERSPECTIVES ON EVALUATION THAT EXIST WITHIN LEAA ARE EXAMINED AND CRITICIZED. THE NEED FOR POLICY-RELEVANT DATA IS EXAMINED AND SUGGESTIONS ARE MADE FOR APPROACHES TO ENSURE USEFULNESS OF THE EVALUATION. MANY OF THE CHAPTERS INCLUDE REFERENCES. THE BOOK IS INDEXED.

Supplemental Notes: NEW DIRECTIONS FOR PROGRAM EVAL-UATION 2, SUMMER 1978.

Availability: JOSSEY-BASS, INC, 433 CALIFORNIA STREET, SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104.

106. A. L. SCHNEIDER and P. R. SCHNEIDER. EVALUATORS AND DECISION-MAKERS—PERCEPTIONS OF THE EVALUATION PROCESS. 58 p. 1977. NCJ-54717

THIS PAPER REPORTS ON THE NEEDS ASSESSMENT PHASE OF THE MODEL EVALUATION PROGRAM IN THE STATE OF WASHINGTON THAT WAS UNDERTAKEN AT THE REQUEST OF THE STATE'S LAW AND JUSTICE PLANNING OFFICE. THE 8-MONTH STUDY WAS CONDUCTED TO ASSESS THE PER-FORMANCE OF THE EVALUATION SYSTEM AND TO RECOM-MEND STRATEGIES FOR IMPROVING THE EVALUATION PROCESS. THE MAJOR ISSUE IN THE STUDY WAS THE IDEN-TIFICATION OF FACTORS THAT CONSTRAIN AND/OR FACILI-TATE THE USE OF INFORMATION FROM EVALUATION IN THE DECISIONMAKING PROCESS. INFORMATION ON DECISION-MAKING PROCEDURES WITHIN LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES WAS OBTAINED THROUGH IN-FORMAL INTERVIEWS WITH PERSONNEL, DOCUMENTS PRE-PARED BY AGENCIES, AND A FORMAL SURVEY, TWO MEAS-URES WERE EMPLOYED TO ESTIMATE THE EXTENT TO WHICH REGIONAL PREFERENCES PREVAILED IN THE ALLO-CATION OF FUNDS FOR 1977: THE EXTENT TO WHICH PRO-JECTS THAT ACTUALLY RECEIVED FUNDS WERE RANKED ABOVE PROJECTS THAT DID NOT RECEIVE FUNDS, AND THE PROPORTION OF ALL REGIONAL PROJECTS JUDGED AS NOT ACCEPTABLE, IN THE SURVEY, RESPONDENTS WERE ASKED QUESTIONS DEALING WITH THE NUMBER OF EVALUATION REPORTS THEY BECAME AWARE OF DURING THE 1976 PLANNING PROCESS, THE NUMBER OF EVALUATION RE-PORTS THEY REVIEWED BUT DID NOT USE THE INFORMA-TION IN THEIR WORK, THE NUMBER OF EVALUATION RE-PORTS THEY USED TO ARGUE FOR THE DISCONTINUATION OF A PROJECT, AND THE NUMBER OF EVALUATION RE-PORTS THEY USED TO ARGUE FOR MAJOR CHANGES IN PROJECT OPERATION. THERE WERE MARKED DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE STATE PLANNING PROCESS AND THE RE-GIONAL PLANNING PROCESS CONCERNING THE USE OF EVALUATION REPORTS AND THE EXTENT OF INVOLVEMENT IN DECISIONMAKING. EVALUATION TECHNIQUES AND PRO-CEDURES AND THE UTILITY OF EVALUATIVE INFORMATION ARE DISCUSSED. A DESCRIPTION OF THE SURVEY METHOD-OLOGY AND SUPPORTING DATA ARE APPENDED.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION, 633 INDIANA AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20531.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

107. L. SECHREST, S. G. WEST, M. A. PHILLIPS, R. REDNER, and W. YEATON, Eds. EVALUATION STUDIES REVIEW ANNUAL, VOLUME 4. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212. 766 p. 1979.

THE STRENGTH AND INTEGRITY OF TREATMENTS AS PROBLEMS IN CONSTRUCT VALIDITY OF EVALUATION RESEARCH IS THE PRIMARY TOPIC OF PAPERS INCLUDED IN THIS ANTHOLOGY, THE FOURTH ANNUAL REVIEW OF EVALUATION STUDIES. INTEGRATING THEORY, CONCEPTUAL ANALYSIS, AND METHODOLOGY, THE ANTHOLOGY FOCUSES ON THE IDEAL, RATHER THAN THE PRACTICE, OF EVALUATION RE-

SEARCH. IT IS A REFERENCE SOURCE FOR EVALUATION SPECIALISTS. CATEGORIZING CONTRIBUTIONS UNDER THESE MAJOR SUBJECT HEADINGS: (1) THE THEORY AND PHILOSOPHY OF EVALUATION; (2) ALTERNATIVE METHOD-OLOGIES AND STRATEGIES; (3) TECHNOLOGY OF EVALUA-TION; (4) EVALUATION STUDIES; (5) UNANTICIPATED FIND-INGS; AND (6) UTILIZATION. TAKEN AS A WHOLE, THE PAPERS ARGUE THAT CONCLUSIONS REGARDING THE EF-FECTIVENESS OF A TREATMENT CAN ONLY BE MADE FOL-LOWING KNOWLEDGE OF THE STRENGTH OF THE INITIAL TREATMENT AND AN ASSURANCE OF THE EXPERIMENT'S IN-TEGRITY. SPECIFICALLY, PAPERS DISCUSS ECOLOGY OF PROGRAM RESEARCH, ISSUES IN EVALUATING HUMAN SERVICES DELIVERY, WHAT CAN BE EXPECTED TO WORK IN EVALUATION, TREATMENT DESTRUCTION TECHNIQUES, SEN-SITIVITY AND BIAS IN IMPACT EVALUATION, RANDOM AS-SIGNMENT AND RANDOM-TIME QUOTA SELECTION, THE ETHICS OF RANDOM ASSIGNMENT TO TREATMENT, THE USE OF MULTIPLE CONTROL GROUPS IN A LARGE-SCALE CLINI-CAL TRIAL OF SURGICAL TECHNIQUES, PROBLEMS OF UNITS OF ANALYSIS, AGGREGATION, AND SPECIFICATION IN CAUSAL MODEL CONSTRUCTION, OTHER CRITICAL ISSUES IN CAUSAL MODELS, AND MORE. EVALUATION OF PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION AND TRAINING AND EVALUATING THE COMPETENCY OF EVALUATORS THEMSELVES ARE DIS-CUSSED AS PARAMOUNT TO EVALUATION OUTCOME. A VA-RIETY OF STUDIES ARE USED TO ILLUSTRATE OTHER EVAL-UATION TOPICS; A STUDY OF THE 55-MILE-AN-HOUR SPEED LIMIT CONCERNS THE USEFULNESS OF TOOLS OF NORMA-TIVE AND POSITIVE ECONOMIC ANALYSIS, FOR EXAMPLE, AND A STUDY COMPARING FAULT TO NO-FAULT DIVORCE EXAMINES INTERRUPTED TIME SERIES ANALYSIS AS AN EVALUATION METHOD. A STUDY OF CIGARETTE SMOKING EMPHASIZES THE PROBLEMS OF TIME DELAY FOR POSITIVE STUDY RESULTS. INDIVIDUAL PAPERS INCLUDE REFER-**ENCES**

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE. BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

108. L. SECHREST, S. O. WHITE, and E. D. BROWN, Eds. REHA-BILITATION OF CRIMINAL OFFENDERS—PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTS. NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 2101 CONSTITUTION AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20418. 283 1979. NC.I-62370 THE REPORT OF A PANEL THAT EXAMINED THE EFFECTIVE-NESS OF CORRECTIONAL REHABILITATION PROGRAMS AND ASSOCIATED EVALUATIVE RESEARCH IS PRESENTED, ALONG WITH RELATED PAPERS COMMISSIONED BY THE PANEL. AFTER NEARLY 2 YEARS OF STUDY, THE PANEL ON RESEARCH ON REHABILITATIVE TECHNIQUES, ESTABLISHED UNDER THE AEGIS OF THE COMMITTEE ON RESEARCH ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, CONCLUDED THAT THE RESEARCH METHODOLOGY USED TO EVALUATE OFFENDER REHABILITATION PROGRAMS IS GENERALLY SO INADEQUATE THAT ONLY A RELATIVELY FEW STUDIES WAR-RANT ANY UNEQUIVOCAL INTERPRETATIONS. IT IS RECOM-MENDED THAT RESEARCH ON OFFENDER REHABILITATION BE PURSUED MORE VIGOROUSLY, MORE SYSTEMATICALLY, MORE IMAGINATIVELY, AND MORE RIGOROUSLY. SPECIFI-CALLY, TREATMENTS SHOULD BE BASED UPON STRONG THEORETICAL RATIONALES, PERHAPS INVOLVING TOTAL PROGRAMS RATHER THAN WEAK OR PIECEMEAL TREAT-MENTS. THE FIVE COMMISSIONED PAPERS CONSIDER (1) AN EVALUATION MODEL FOR MEDICAL CARE BASED ON INFOR-MATION ABOUT PATIENT OUTCOMES AND ITS REPLICABILITY FOR OFFENDER REHABILITATION PROGRAMS; (2) METHOD-OLOGICAL FACTORS OBSTRUCTING EFFECTIVE EVALUATION OF OFFENDER REHABILITATION PROGRAMS AND WAYS OF IMPROVING CORRECTIONAL PROGRAM EVALUATIONS; (3) MODELS OF CRIMINAL RECIDIVISM DESIGNED TO PREDICT THE LENGTH OF TIME FROM RELEASE TO COMMISSION OF ANOTHER OFFENSE, BASED UPON OFFENDER CHARACTERISTICS; (4) ISSUES INVOLVED IN THE MEASUREMENT OF RECIDIVISM AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR RECIDIVISM MEASUREMENT; AND (5) AREAS OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH WITH POTENTIAL FOR IMPROVING THE EFFICIENCY OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE REHABILITATION PROGRAMS. THE APPENDIXES CONTAIN AN ASSESSMENT OF THE ACCURACY OF AN EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF CORRECTIONAL TREATMENT AND A LIST OF THOSE IN ATTENDANCE AT A PANEL CONFERENCE ON REHABILITATION HELD JULY 25-27, 1977. REFERENCES ARE PROVIDED FOR THE RELATED PAPERS. AVAILABILITY IN NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 2101 CONSTITUTION AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20418.

109. D. K. STEWART. EVALUATION FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES-PROBLEM ORIENTED DISCUSSION. 44 p. NCJ-48123 THIS REPORT DISCUSSES CONSIDERATIONS INVOLVED IN PLACING THE EVALUATION PROCESS WITHIN AN ORGANIZA-TIONAL AND PRACTICAL CONTEXT. THE DISCUSSION PRO-CEEDS FROM THE FOLLOWING PERSPECTIVES: (1) PRO-GRAM EVALUATION IS A POLICY/MANAGEMENT TOOL; (2) VARIOUS LEVELS OF POLICY AND MANAGEMENT PERSON-NEL HAVE NUMEROUS AND DIVERGENT EVALUATION INFOR-MATION NEEDS; AND (3) RARELY IS AN EVALUATION SO FA-TALLY FLAWED AS TO BE WITHOUT SOME RELEVANCE TO POLICY, THE REPORT IDENTIFIES POTENTIAL PROBLEMS IN THE CONDUCT OF PROGRAM EVALUATION SO THAT THEY CAN BE ANTICIPATED, ASSESSED, AND PREEMPTED. PIT-FALLS IN INTERPRETING DATA FOR ALTERNATIVE POLICY PURPOSES ARE EXAMINED. CONCERNS TO BE ADDRESSED BEFORE DATA COLLECTION BEGINS ARE ANALYZED TO MINIMIZE IMPEDIMENTS TO A SUCCESSFUL EVALUATION. DURING THE DATA ACQUISITION AND DATA ANALYSIS STAGES, CERTAIN INTERPRETATIONAL PROBLEMS MUST BE CONSIDERED, INCLUDING POTENTIAL DIFFICULTIES OF TRANSFERRING PROGRAMS TO NEW ENVIRONMENTS OR OF EXPANDING PROGRAMS. THE FINAL STAGE OF THE EVALUATION CYCLE IS DISCUSSED IN TERMS OF CONVERT-ING PROBLEMS INTO PRODUCTS. A SELECTED BIBLIOGRA-PHY IS INCLUDED, AND THE APPENDIXES CONTAIN TECHNI-CAL DISCUSSIONS OF VARIABLES, CORRELATION, AND EX-PERIMENTS. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED)

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION, 633 INDIANA AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20531.

Availability: GPO Stock Order No. 027-000-00710-4; NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

110. D. SZABO and S. RIZKALLA. CONDUCTING EVALUATIVE RESEARCH AND IMPLEMENTING ITS RESULTS—DILEMMAS FOR BOTH ADMINISTRATORS AND RESEARCHERS. WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, BOX 95, WICHITA KS 67208. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF, COMPARATIVE AND APPLIED CRIMINAL JUSTICE, V 2, N 1 (SPRING/SUMMER 1978), P 15-28.

THE THEORETICAL AND PRACTICAL PROBLEMS OF EVALUATION, PROBLEMS IN IMPLEMENTING EVALUATION RESULTS, AND PARTICULAR PROBLEMS RELATED TO THE MANAGEMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE INSTITUTIONS ARE DISCUSSED. DISCUSSION OF A VARIETY OF DEFINITIONS OF EVALUATION REVEALS THAT EVALUATIVE RESEARCH HAS SEVERAL FACETS WHICH CONCERN ITS OWN OBJECTIVES AS WELL AS ITS CONTENT OR THE FUNCTIONS IT CAN FULFILL. POSSIBLE APPROACHES TO EVALUATIVE RESEARCH ARE DISCUSSED UNDER THE FOLLOWING MODELS: (1) THE GOAL ATTAINMENT MODEL, WHICH PLACES EMPHASIS ON THE MEASUREMENT OF THE DEGREE OF SUCCESS OR FAILURE ENCOUNTERED BY THE PROGRAM IN REACHING PREDETERMINED OBJECTIVES; (2) THE SYSTEM MODEL, WHICH PLACES

EMPHASIS ON MEASURING THE DEGREE TO WHICH AN OR-GANIZATION REALIZES ITS GOALS UNDER A GIVEN SET OF CONDITIONS; AND (3) HARD VERSUS SOFT DATA, WHICH STRESSES THE QUANTITATIVE METHOD OF EVALUATION. THERE IS A NEED TO DEVISE MORE EFFECTIVE RELATION-SHIPS AMONG EVALUATORS, AGENCY ADMINISTRATORS, AND POLICYMAKERS IN THE PROMOTION OF CONTINUOUS RESEARCH. IN EXAMINING THIS ISSUE, THE STUDY EX-PLORES REASONS WHY A LACK OF COOPERATIVE RELA-TIONSHIPS AMONG EVALUATORS, ADMINISTRATORS, AND POLICYMAKERS HAS PREVAILED UP TO THE PRESENT, AND SOLUTIONS ARE SUGGESTED THAT WILL CREATE A FAVOR-ABLE CLIMATE FOR RESEARCH AND IMPROVE COOPERA-TION BETWEEN ADMINISTRATORS AND RESEARCHERS. IN DISCUSSING PROBLEMS IN IMPLEMENTING EVALUATION RE-SULTS, TWO SETS OF FACTORS ARE IDENTIFIED WHICH AC-COUNT FOR THE NONUTILIZATION OF RESEARCH RESULTS: (1) FACTORS LINKED WITH THE ORGANIZATIONAL SYSTEMS THAT ARE EXPECTED TO USE THE EVALUATION RESULTS AND (2) FACTORS LINKED WITH THE CURRENT STATE OF EVALUATION PRACTICE. WAYS OF DEALING WITH THESE FACTORS ARE SUGGESTED. PARTICULAR PROBLEMS RELAT-ED TO IMPLEMENTING THE RESULTS OF EVALUATION IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM AS A PUBLIC SERVICE INSTITU-TION ARE CONSIDERED. A REASONABLE DEGREE OF CON-SENSUS ON GOALS MUST BE ACHIEVED AMONG THE VAR-IOUS AGENCIES IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE AREA IF THE RE-SULTS OF EVALUATION ARE TO BE IMPLEMENTED. REFER-ENCES ARE PROVIDED.

Supplemental Notes: PRESENTED AT THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION, WASHINGTON, D.C., FEBRUARY 1977 PRICE QUOTED ABOVE IS FOR SINGLE ISSUE.

Availability: WICHITA STATE UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, BOX 95, WICHITA KS 67208.

111. S. M. TALARICO, Ed. CRIMINAL JUSTICE RESEARCH-AP-PROACHES, PROBLEMS, AND POLICY. ANDERSON PUBLISHING COMPANY, 646 MAIN STREET, CINCINNATI OH 45201. INTENDED FOR STUDENTS OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE, THIS AN-THOLOGY PRESENTS ARTICLES ON RESEARCH AP-PROACHES, PROBLEMS, AND POLICY. APPROACHES CON-SIST OF (1) RESEARCH SCOPE AND METHODS, INCLUDING BOTH THE ORIENTATION OF A PARTICULAR INVESTIGATION (BASIC OR APPLIED) AND (2) THE VARIETY OF STRATEGIES THAT CAN BE USED IN A GIVEN RESEARCH PROJECT. ARTI-CLES REPRESENTATIVE OF RESEARCH TYPES INCLUDE THOSE ON APPLICATION OF DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE RESEARCH AND ON MEASUREMENT PROBLEMS IN OFFICIAL AND SURVEY CRIME RATES. METH-ODS OF RESEARCH EXAMINED HERE ARE COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS, HISTORICAL RESEARCH, AND TIME-SERIES DATA. RESEARCH PROBLEMS ARE DIVIDED INTO METHODOLOG-ICAL PROBLEMS, WHICH CAN BE ASSOCIATED WITH THE PE-CULIAR REQUIREMENTS OF BOTH SCIENTIFIC INQUIRY AND STATISTICS, AND POLITICAL PROBLEMS, WHICH ENCOMPASS INDIRECT BUT NONETHELESS CONSEQUENTIAL FORCES THAT AFFECT RESEARCH PURSUITS. UNDER THE METHODOLOGICAL RUBRIC ARE ARTICLES DEALING WITH RESEARCH DESIGN PROBLEMS, DATA RELIABILITY AND VA-LIDITY, AND STATISTICS MISINTERPRETATION. DISCUSSIONS OF POLITICAL PROBLEMS ARE DEVOTED TO ETHICAL CON-SIDERATIONS IN EXPERIMENTAL AND EVALUATIONS RE-SEARCH AND TO THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF DATA ABOUT IN-DIVIDUALS. THE THIRD MAJOR SECTION OF THE ANTHOLO-GY DEALS WITH TWO ASPECTS OF POLICY: THE POLICY IM-PLICATIONS OF RESEARCH AND THE INTERPRETATION OF RESEARCH RESULTS. SPECIFIC ARTICLES COVER RE-SEARCH AND POLICE PATROL OFFICER SELECTION, THE DE-THE LENGTH CONVICT, CISION TO

INSTITUTIONALIZATION, AND DELINQUENT PROGRAM COMPLETION AND RECIDIVISM. FINALLY, THE SIGNIFICANCE OF INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS IS DEMONSTRATED IN A PAPER PRESENTING CONFLICTING RESEARCH RESULTS FROM DIFFERENT INVESTIGATIONS OF THE SAME TWO CASES. THE 24 ARTICLES IN THIS COLLECTION REFLECT THE BREADTH AND SCOPE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE RESEARCH, ILLUSTRATING ALSO THAT THE FOCUS OF MOST STUDIES HAS BEEN IN THE REALM OF CORRECTIONS, AT THE POSTCONVICTION LEVEL OF THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROCESS. FOOTNOTES AND TABULAR DATA ARE PROVIDED WITH INDIVIDUAL ARTICLES. MAJOR TOPICAL SECTIONS HAVE QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION AND REFERENCES.

Supplemental Notes: CRIMINAL JUSTICES STUDIES.

Availability: ANDERSON PUBLISHING COMPANY, 646 MAIN STREET, CINCINNATI OH 45201.

112. US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LEAA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 633 INDIANA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20531. NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION ABSTRACTS OF PRESENTATIONS. 341 p. NCJ-39313
COLLECTION OF ABSTRACTS OF PRESENTATIONS MADE AT THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION ORGANIZED BY THE PANEL. AMONG THE 34 TOPICS CONSIDERED WERE THE ROLE, ACTIVITIES, AND RELATIONSHIPS OF EVALUATION; EVALUATING ALTERNATIVES TO INCARCERATION; EVALUATING DRUG TREATMENT PROGRAMS, MEASURING PERFORMANCE OF THE COURTS; AND IMPROVING EVALUATION USE.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

- 113. E. VIANO, Ed. CRIMINAL JUSTICE RESEARCH. D C
 HEATH AND COMPANY, 125 SPRING STREET, LEXINGTON MA
 02173. 324 p. 1975. NCJ-34155
 COLLECTION OF INTERDISCIPLINARY WRITINGS ON THE
 POLITICS AND PRIORITIES OF RESEARCH IN CRIMINOLOGY
 CALLING FOR MORE PRACTICALLY ORIENTED RESEARCH.
 PAPERS ARE ORGANIZED INTO FIVE SECTIONS—THEORETICAL ISSUES, METHODOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVES, PLANNING,
 RESEARCH TECHNIQUES, AND CASE MATERIALS. THE BOOK
 IS DESIGNED TO ACQUAINT THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SCHOLAR WITH MANY AFFIRMATIVE AND PRODUCTIVE ASPECTS OF
 RESEARCH DESIGN, IMPLEMENTATION, INTERPRETATION,
 AND APPLICATION.
- 114. J. S. WHOLEY and J. W. SCANLON. EVALUATION POLICY ISSUES AND POSSIBLE STRATEGIES FOR THEIR RESOLU-URBAN INSTITUTE, 2100 M STREET, NW, WASHING-TON DC 20037. 79 p. 1975. LEAA'S PROGRESS TOWARD THE THREE GOALS IDENTIFIED BY THE EVALUATION POLICY TASK FORCE IN MARCH 1974 IS ASSESSED, RELATED ISSUES ARE IDENTIFIED, AND RECOM-MENDATIONS ARE OFFERED. LEAA'S THREE EVALUATION GOALS ARE THE FOLLOWING: TO OBTAIN AND DISSEMINATE INFORMATION ON THE COST AND EFFECTIVENESS OF VAR-IOUS APPROACHES TO SOLVING CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUS-TICE PROBLEMS; TO USE PERFORMANCE INFORMATION AT EACH LEAA ADMINISTRATIVE LEVEL IN PLANNING AND DECI-SIONMAKING TO ASSIST PROGRAM MANAGERS IN ACHIEV-ING ESTABLISHED GOALS; AND TO ASSIST STATE AND LOCAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM UNITS IN REALIZING THE BENEFITS OF USING EVALUATION AS PART OF THEIR MAN-AGEMENT SYSTEMS. ALTHOUGH LEAA HAS MADE MAJOR RESOURCE COMMITMENTS TO ACHIEVING THESE GOALS. EVALUATION PROGRAMS SUFFER FROM LACK OF ORGANI-ZATIONAL COMMITMENT. LEAA'S EVALUATION EFFORTS ARE FRAGMENTED AND UNDERFUNDED IN COMPARISON WITH THE EVALUATION PROGRAMS RECOMMENDED BY THE TASK

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION TECHNIQUES

FORCE. LACK OF ANALYTICAL CAPABILITY WITHIN LEAA LIMITS PROGRESS TOWARD EVALUATION GOALS. IT IS REC-OMMENDED THAT LEAA ASSIGN MAJOR RESPONSIBILITY FOR EACH EVALUATION PROGRAM TO A SPECIFIC PRO-GRAM OFFICE; PROVIDE EACH OFFICE WITH THE AUTHORI-TY, FINANCIAL RESOURCES, AND ANALYTICAL CAPABILITY TO IMPLEMENT A COORDINATED PROGRAM; AND HOLD EACH OFFICE ACCOUNTABLE FOR PROGRESS TOWARD THE EVALUATION GOAL. PROBLEMS IN IMPLEMENTING THE TASK FORCE REPORTS ARE IDENTIFIED, AND RECOMMENDATIONS PERTAINING TO THE ATTAINMENT OF EACH EVALUATION GOAL ARE PRESENTED. A SEPARATE VOLUME CONTAINS COMMENTS ON ISSUES CONCERNING MANAGEMENT OF LEAA EVALUATION PROGRAMS, DEVELOPMENT OF EVALUA-TION CAPABILITY, EVALUATION METHODOLOGY, AND EVALU-ATION UTILIZATION. APPENDED MATERIALS INCLUDE EX-CERPTS FROM THE TASK FORCE REPORT, DISCUSSIONS OF ASSESSMENT OF EVALUABILITY AND RAPID FEEDBACK EVALUATION, AND A LISTING OF POSSIBLE TOPICS FOR AN EVALUATION TRAINING PROGRAM.

Supplemental Notes: INCLUDES ATTACHMENT—COMMENTS ON POLICY ISSUES, AND APPENDIXES.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

115. K. N. WRIGHT. ORGANIZATIONAL APPROACH TO CORRECTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS. PILGRIMAGE PRESS, ROUTE 11, BOX 553, JONESBORO TN 37659. 83 p. 1979.

RESEARCH INDICATES THAT BEFORE ACCEPTANCE OF THE CONTENTION THAT CORRECTIONS CANNOT CHANGE THE PROBABILITY OF REOFFENSE, ADDITIONAL STUDY OF THE CORRECTIONAL AND COMMUNITY ENVIRONMENTS IS WAR-RANTED. THIS STUDY INVOLVES TWO CONSIDERATIONS: (1) ARE ORGANIZATIONAL DIFFERENCES IMPORTANT WHEN AS-SESSING OUTCOME DIFFERENCES? AND (2) IS THE ENVI-RONMENT, AS CONCEIVED AND MEASURED, RELATED TO OUTCOME? PROCEDURES USED IN CORRECTIONAL OUT-COME EVALUATIONS ARE ELABORATED IN ORDER TO PRO-DUCE MORE EXACT ANALYSES. SEVERAL APPROACHES TO RESEARCH IN THIS FIELD ARE EXAMINED, INCLUDING THE IDEA OF AN ORGANIZATIONAL ENVIRONMENT (THE TOTAL EFFECT OF LIVING AND WORKING WITHIN THE ORGANIZA-TION). DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVES ARE IDENTIFIED IN THE CORRECTIONAL LITERATURE WHICH HAVE BEEN USED TO STUDY THE ENVIRONMENT OF CORRECTIONAL ORGANIZA-TIONS, SUCH AS THE SOCIALIZATION PROCESS OF PRISONI-ZATION; SCOPE, PERVASIVENESS, AND SANCTION; AND SOCIAL FUNCTIONS SUCH AS POLITICAL, EDUCATIONAL, PRODUCTION, ADAPTATION, AND BOUNDARY MAINTENANCE. ANOTHER METHOD USED TO STUDY CORRECTIONAL PROC-ESSES IS A COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF DIFFERENT COR-RECTIONAL ORGANIZATIONS. THE INTENT, METHODOLOGY. AND RESULTS OF 10 CLASSICAL OUTCOME STUDIES DEM-ONSTRATE THE DEVELOPMENTAL PROCESS AND THE CUR-RENT STATE-OF-THE-ART OF CORRECTIONAL EFFECTIVE-NESS STUDIES. METHODS USED TO MEASURE THE RECIDI-VISM STATUS AND INDIVIDUAL BACKGROUNDS OF SELECT-ED SUBJECTS OF CORRECTIONAL ORGANIZATIONS FALL INTO FOUR STAGES: (1) SELECTING SAMPLES FROM EACH CORRECTIONAL ORGANIZATION, USUALLY ON A QUARTERLY BASIS; (2) OBTAINING BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON EACH SUBJECT; (3) MEASURING RECIDIVISM, USING A PAROLE FAILURE DEFINITION; (4) ANALYZING ORGANIZA-TIONAL DIFFERENCES. ONE IMPORTANT FINDING RESULTED FROM THESE ANALYSES OF PAST RESEARCH EFFORTS: CORRECTIONAL ORGANIZATIONS WITH HIGH OVERALL COM-MITMENT ARE MORE LIKELY TO HAVE HIGHER RECIDIVISM RATES THAN ORGANIZATIONS THAT DO NOT HAVE HIGH COMMITMENT BECAUSE THE INCARCERATION EXPERIENCE REINFORCES CRIMINAL IDENTITY IN THE FORMER INSTITU-TIONS. THE FOLLOWING RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE

STUDIES ARE OFFERED. (1) THEORETICAL CONCEPTS SHOULD BE REFINED AND ELABORATED IN ORDER TO PROVIDE A MORE USEFUL MODEL FOR STUDYING ORGANIZATIONAL PROCESSES; (2) IN STUDIES ON EVALUATION OUTCOME, A 3-YEAR TRACKING PERIOD SHOULD BE USED; (3) OUTCOME STUDIES SHOULD INCORPORATE METHODS TO ADJUST FOR TIME-AT-RISK; (4) DATA QUALITY CAN BE IMPROVED BY CONSULTING PERSONALLY WITH THE PAROLE OFFICER OR SUBJECT; AND (5) STUDIES SHOULD CONSIDER THE POSTCORRECTIONAL COMMUNITY ENVIRONMENT AND ITS EFFECTS ON RECIDIVISM. REFERENCES ARE PROVIDED.

AVAILABILITY: PILGRIMAGE PRESS, ROUTE 11, BOX 553, JONES-BORO TN 37659.

116. R. M. YIN. EVALUATING CITIZEN CRIME PREVENTION PRO-GRAMS.. 15 p. 1977. NCJ-53927

PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH EVALUATING CITIZEN CRIMF PREVENTION PROGRAMS ARE PRESENTED. CITIZEN PATROL GROUPS ARE SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED. THERE ARE FOUR BASIC APPROACHES THAT CRIME PREVENTION PROGRAMS MAY TAKE: (1) PAID PUBLIC POLICING ACTIVITIES, (2) ENVI-RONMENTAL DESIGN, (3) PRIVATE-MINDED ACTIONS, AND (4) PUBLIC-MINDED ACTIONS. OBSTACLES WHICH MAKE EVALU-ATION OF CITIZEN CRIME PREVENTION PROGRAMS DIFFI-CULT INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING: THE IDENTIFICATION OF MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES, THE IDENTIFICATION OF A TARGET POPULATION, THE ABILITY TO CONTROL THE PRO-GRAM, THE ABILITY TO MEASURE KEY FEATURES OF THE IN-TERVENTION PROCESS, AND THE AVAILABILITY OF SUFFI-CIENT TIME SO THAT THE SHORT AND LONG-TERM EFFECTS OF THE INTERVENTION CAN BE ASSESSED, CITIZEN PATROL GROUPS CAN BE TYPED AND INCLUDE BUILDING PATROLS, IN WHICH VOLUNTEERS OR PAID GUARDS MAINTAIN SUR-VEILLANCE OVER BUILDINGS AND NEIGHBORHOOD PA-TROLS, IN WHICH VOLUNTEERS OR PAID GUARDS MAINTAIN SURVEILLANCE OVER A SMALL GEOGRAPHIC AREA. NEIGH-BORHOOD PATROLS' EFFECTIVENESS CANNOT BE DETER-MINED BY TRADITIONAL RESEARCH METHODS BECAUSE THE AREA COVERED BY A NEIGHBORHOOD PATROL IS OFTEN POORLY DEFINED, AND THE EFFECTS OF THE PATROL CANNOT BE SUFFICIENTLY DISTINGUISHED FROM EFFECTS RELATING TO POLICE AND OTHER CRIME CON-TROL ACTIVITIES. FINALLY, THE QUALITY AND QUANTITY OF LOCAL POLICE ACTIVITIES COULD CHANGE AS A RESULT OF THE PRESENCE OF A NEIGHBORHOOD PATROL. IN CON-TRAST, THE EFFECTIVENESS OF BUILDING PATROL PRO-GRAMS CAN BE ASSESSED. COMPETITION WITH THE POLICE AND OTHER AGENCIES WOULD NOT BE A FACTOR IN THIS KIND OF AN EVALUATION, AND THE SAMPLE IS USUALLY CLEARLY DEFINED. THE IMPLICATION OF THIS RESEARCH IS THAT NEGATIVE FINDINGS OF CRIME PREVENTION PRO-GRAMS ARE NOT NECESSARILY VALID. IN EXAMINING THE FINDINGS OF AN EVALUATION, IT IS IMPORTANT TO CONSID-ER THE LIMITATIONS OF THE EVALUATION, ITSELF. LIST OF REFERENCES IS PROVIDED.

Supplemental Notes: PRESENTED AT THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION, WASHINGTON, DC, FEBRUARY 1977.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION TECHNIQUES Procedure Manuals and Guides

117. AUBURN UNIVERSITY. ALABAMA LAW ENFORCEMENT—DEVELOPMENT OF EVALUATION. 576 p. 1975.

NC.I.31546

DATA BASE OF COMPREHENSIVE INFORMATION ON STATE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP GUIDELINES FOR POLICE PROGRAM EVALUATION AND TO IMPLEMENT A SYSTEM OF CONTINOUS PROGRAM EVALUA-TION. A QUESTIONNAIRE/INTERVIEW SURVEY WAS USED TO OBTAIN INFORMATION ON THE WORKLOAD, MANPOWER, EDUCATION, SALARIES, AGE LEVELS, EQUIPMENT, FACILI-TIES, PERSONNEL, AND EXPENDITURES FOR THE 345 LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES IN THE STATE. OVER 94 PER CENT OF THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES ARE REPRE-SENTED IN THIS REPORT. SIXTY-FIVE OF 67 SHERIFF'S DE-PARTMENTS WERE ALSO INTERVIEWED. THIS REPORT PRE-SENTS INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM 63 OF THE 95 QUES-TIONS OF THE 18-PAGE SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE, AGENCIES ARE ORGANIZED INTO SIX GROUPS BY SIZE FOR PURPOSES OF ANALYSIS. THIS REPORT ALSO CONTAINS THE RESULTS OF A CITIZEN ATTITUDE SURVEY OF 1500 CITIZENS ON CRIME AND POLICE, AND CENSUS DATA (BY REGION, COUNTY, AND LOCAL JURISDICTION) FOR ALL JURISDIC-TIONS IN EXCESS OF 2500 POPULATION. IN ADDITION, THIS REPORT CONTAINS A COMPLETE DIRECTORY OF ALL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES IN ALABAMA, AN AGENCY BY AGENCY LISTING OF EXPENDITURES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1974. AND A PRELIMINARY REPORT ON THE ORGANIZATION OF AND RESOURCES FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT IN ALABAMA. SAMPLE QUESTIONS FROM THE CITIZEN ATTITUDE SURVEY AND THE COMPLETE AGENCY QUESTIONNAIRE ARE INCLUD-ED IN THE APPENDIX.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

118. S. H. BAKER and O. RODRIGUEZ. RANDOM TIME QUOTA SELECTION—AN ALTERNATIVE TO RANDOM SELECTION IN EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATIONS. VERA INSTITUTE OF JUSTICE, 30 EAST 39TH STREET, NEW YORK NY 10018. 22 p. 1977. NCJ-54782

AN EVALUATIVE RESEARCH SELECTION PROCEDURE THAT SERVES AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO RANDOM ASSIGNMENT TO EXPERIMENTAL AND CONTROL GROUPS IS DESCRIBED AS APPLIED IN THE EVALUATION OF A CRIMINAL COURT DIVERSION PROGRAM. RESEARCHERS CONDUCTING CONTROL GROUP EXPERIMENTS IN FIELD SETTINGS WHERE THE TREATMENT INVOLVES CLIENT SERVICES OFTEN ENCOUNTEER OPPOSITION BY CLIENTS AND PRACTITIONERS WHEN

CLIENTS MUST BE ASSIGNED RANDOMLY TO EXPERIMENTAL AND CONTROL GROUPS, DUE TO THE EXCLUSION OF CON-TROL GROUP MEMBERS FROM TREATMENT. THE USE OF COMPARISON GROUPS INSTEAD OF CONTROL GROUPS AND THE USE OF DELAYED TREATMENT FOR CONTROLS ARE TWO ALTERNATIVE SELECTION PROCEDURES USED. THE ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF THESE ALTERNA-TIVES ARE DISCUSSED. A THIRD ALTERNATIVE, WHICH IS THE PRINCIPAL SUBJECT OF THIS PAPER, WAS USED IN THE EVALUATION OF A PRETRIAL DIVERSION PROGRAM THAT CHANNELED DEFENDANTS INTO EMPLOYMENT SERVICES. EDUCATIONAL COUNSELING, AND OTHER REFERRAL SERV-ICES. BECAUSE OF LIMITED FUNDS, THE PROGRAM WAS NECESSARILY RESTRICTED TO A CERTAIN NUMBER OF CLI-ENTS. THE SELECTION PROCEDURE PROVIDED FOR THE SCREENING OUT ON THE BASIS OF PROGRAM CRITERIA MORE DEFENDANTS THAN COULD BE DIVERTED TO THE PROGRAM, THUS PROVIDING AN OVERFLOW OF ELIGIBLE SUBJECTS TO SERVE AS A CONTROL GROUP. A QUOTA SYSTEM WAS DEVELOPED IN ORDER TO SELECT THOSE CASES TO BE DIVERTED DURING A GIVEN TIME PERIOD (E.G., A MONTH, WEEK, OR DAY). OVER AN EXTENDED PERIOD, THESE QUOTAS WOULD EQUAL THE TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES THE PROJECT HAD FUNDS TO SERVE. THE REMAINING CASES SCREENED AND APPROVED DURING ANY TIME PERIOD WOULD CONSTITUTE AN OVERFLOW OF ELIGIBLE DEFENDANTS TO BE PROCESSED NORMALLY BY THE COURT (CONTROL GROUP). THE PROCEDURE FOR DE-TERMINING THE QUOTA PERIODS USED IN THE RESEARCH ASSIGNMENT IS DESCRIBED. THE EXPERIMENTAL AND CON-TROL GROUPS THAT RESULTED WERE COMPARED ON SO-CIOECONOMIC AND CRIMINAL BACKGROUND AND CHARAC-TERISTICS OF THEIR COURT CASES AND FOUND TO BE SIMI-LAR. SOME GUIDELINES ARE SUGGESTED FOR THE USE OF THIS SELECTION TECHNIQUE, AND ITS COMPARATIVE AD-VANTAGES IN RELATION TO OTHER ALTERNATIVES TO RANDOM SELECTION ARE DISCUSSED.

Supplemental Notes: EARLIER VERSION PRESENTED AT THE ANNUAL MEETING OF THE AMERICAN SOCIOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION, SAN FRANCISCO, SEPTEMBER 1978.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LEAA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 633 INDIANA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20531.

119. G. J. BENSINGER. DEVELOPMENT AND USE OF EVALUATION DESIGNS IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE TRAINING PROGRAMS—THE COOK COUNTY (IL) MODEL. 33 p. 1977.

THE PROCEDURE FOR DESIGNING, EVALUATING, AND IM-PROVING TRAINING PROGRAMS FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE PERSONNEL USED IN COOK COUNTY, ILL., IS DESCRIBED. IN DEVELOPING THE TRAINING NEEDS FOR THE TOTAL SYSTEM OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE IN COOK COUNTY, THE FOL-LOWING TRAINING AREAS WERE IDENTIFIED: CORRECTION-AL TRAINING, ADULT PROBATION TRAINING, COURT SERV-ICES TRAINING, LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT, AND SPECIAL SYSTEM INSTITUTES AND SEMINARS. THE TRAINING FORMAT DEVELOPED INCLUDES THE FOLLOWING NINE ELE-MENTS: (1) ASSESSMENT OF TRAINING NEEDS; (2) RANKING TRAINING PRIORITIES; (3) SETTING GENERAL TRAINING OB-JECTIVES; (4) SELECTION OF TRAINING DESIGN AND IN-STRUCTORS; (5) SETTING INSTRUCTORS' TRAINING OBJEC-TIVES; (6) CONSTRUCTION OF EVALUATION INSTRUMENTS; (7) ADMINISTRATION OF INSTRUMENTS; (8) ANALYSIS OF DATA: AND (9) IMPLEMENTATION OF CHANGE. THE NATURE OF EACH OF THESE ELEMENTS IS EXPLAINED. THE PRACTI-CAL APPLICATION OF THIS FORMAT TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN ADULT PROBATION PRESERVICE TRAINING PROGRAM IS DESCRIBED. THE EVALUATION INSTRUMENT WAS DE-SIGNED TO MEASURE THE QUALITY OF TRAINING AND ASSESS THE APPLICABILITY OF TRAINING TO PROBATION WORK IN THE COUNTY. SPECIFICALLY THE QUESTIONNAIRE PROVIDED DATA ON THE EXTENT TO WHICH TRAINING OB-JECTIVES WERE MET IN AFFECTING ON-THE-JOB BEHAVIOR, THE DESIRED DIRECTION OF CHANGE ON GENERAL CHAR-ACTERISTICS OF THE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM, INSTRUC-TOR EVALUATION, AND SUBJECTIVE FEEDBACK. RESULTS OF THE EVALUATION APPLIED TO THE PROBATION TRAINING COURSE ARE REPORTED. EVALUATION FINDINGS WERE RE-VIEWED FIRST BY THE PROJECT'S ADMINISTRATORS, COOR-DINATORS, AND THE PARTICULAR INSTRUCTORS OF THE PROGRAM, THE DATA WERE REVIEWED ALSO BY THE CHIEF ADULT PROBATION OFFICER AND HIS ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF. AFTER REVIEWING THE DATA SEPARATELY, THEY MET TO DISCUSS IMPLICATIONS FOR CHANGE AND IM-PROVEMENT IN PROBATION TRAINING. THE MAJOR DECI-SION RESULTING FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE EVALUATION FINDINGS WAS TO INCORPORATE ADDITIONAL PRACTICAL EXAMPLES OF ON-THE-JOB SITUATIONS TO MEET THE RE-QUEST OF TRAINEES FOR MORE HELP IN DEALING WITH THESE SITUATIONS. THIS PROCEDURE OFFERS A QUICK METHOD, USING FEW RESOURCES, FOR EVALUATING TRAIN-ING WITH A VIEW TO IMMEDIATE IMPROVEMENTS IN THE CONTENT AND FORMAT OF TRAINING SESSIONS. A SAMPLE OF THE EVALUATION INSTRUMENT IS PROVIDED, AND DATA FROM THE PARTICULAR EVALUATION DESCRIBED ARE RE-PORTED. A SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY IS ALSO INCLUDED.

Supplemental Notes: PRESENTED AT THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION WASHINGTON, DC, FEBRUARY 1977—PANEL 19 EVALUATION OF EDUCATION AND TRAINING PROGRAMS.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

120. P. BLOCH and D. ANDERSON. POLICEWOMEN ON PATROL—FINAL REPORT—METHODOLOGY, TABLES AND MEASUREMENT INSTRUMENTS. URBAN INSTITUTE, 2100 M STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20037. 267 p. 1974.

NCJ-1681

METHODOLOGY, DESCRIPTIONS OF THE MEASUREMENT INSTRUMENTS, A SUMMARY OF ANALYTICAL METHODS, TABLES OF RESULTS AND SAMPLE SURVEY INSTRUMENTS ARE INCLUDED IN THIS 1972-1973 EVALUATION OF POLICEWOMEN IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. THIS STUDY COMPARED THE PERFORMANCE OF NEW POLICEWOMEN ON PATROL WITH THAT OF A COMPARABLE GROUP OF NEW

MALE POLICE OFFICERS. THE GOAL OF THIS STUDY WAS TO DETERMINE THE FEASIBILITY OF HIRING WOMEN FOR PATROL ASSIGNMENTS ON THE SAME BASIS AS MEN, THE ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF SUCH PRACTICES, AND THE EFFECT THIS HIRING WOULD HAVE ON POLICE OP-ERATIONS. THE RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF THE EVAL-UATION ARE FOUND IN THE FIRST VOLUME OF THIS REPORT, NCJ-15131. THIS SECOND VOLUME CONTAINS THE METHODOLOGY, DATA TABLES, AND RESEARCH INSTRU-MENTS. IN THE DISCUSSION OF THE METHODOLOGY HISTO-RY, GENERAL DESIGN AND PURPOSE OF THE STUDY ARE DESCRIBED. DETAILED DESCRIPTIONS OF EACH MEASURE-MENT INSTRUMENT USED. INCLUDING THE SAMPLE, THE PURPOSE AND FORMAT OF EACH INSTRUMENT, AND STA-TISTICAL TECHNIQUES UTILIZED FOR EACH INSTRUMENT ARE PROVIDED. THE DATA TABLES ARE GROUPED BY SURVEY INSTRUMENT. THE MEASUREMENT INSTRUMENTS REPRODUCED HERE INCLUDE THE PATROL SURVEY. THE OFFICIALS' SURVEY, A COMMUNITY SURVEY, STRUCTURED OBSERVATION FORMS, A SERVICE SURVEY, CHIEF'S SURVEY, AND PERSONNEL JACKET FORM. (AUTHOR AB-STRACT MODIFIED)

Sponsoring Agency: FORD FOUNDATION, 320 EAST 43RD STREET, NEW YORK NY 10017.

Availability: POLICE FOUNDATION, 1909 K STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20006.

121. H. S. BLOOM. EVALUATING HUMAN SERVICE AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAMS BY MODELING THE PROBABILITY AND TIMING OF RECIDIVISM. HARVARD UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF CITY AND REGIONAL PLANNING, GUND HALL, CAMBRIDGE MA 02138. 31 p. 1978. NCJ-54099

A METHOD FOR DETERMINING THE SHORT-TERM AND LONG-TERM IMPACT OF PROGRAMS AIMED AT REDUCING RECIDIVISM AMONG OFFENDERS, DRUG ABUSERS, AND AL-COHOLICS IS PRESENTED. THE PROPOSED METHOD PRO-VIDES EVALUATORS WITH THREE CAPABILITIES: EXAMINA-TIONS OF SHORT-TERM PROGRAM IMPACTS ON THE POST-PONEMENT OF RECIDIVISM THROUGH ESTIMATES OF THE AVERAGE TIME AT WHICH RECIDIVISM OCCURS, MEASURE-MENT OF LONG-TERM IMPACT ON PREVENTION OF RECIDI-VISM THROUGH ESTIMATES OF THE ULTIMATE PROBABILITY OF RECIDIVISM, AND DETERMINATION OF WHETHER INDIVID-UALS HAVE BEEN SUCCESSFUL LONG ENOUGH TO BE CON-SIDERED 'SAFE' THROUGH ESTIMATES OF THEIR CONDI-TIONAL PROBABILITY OF FUTURE RECIDIVISM. THE STATISTI-CAL MODEL UNDERLYING THE METHOD IS BASED ON THE PREMISE THAT THE LONGER SOMEONE IS SUCCESSFUL (I.E., AVOIDS RECIDIVISM) THE MORE LIKELY HE OR SHE IS TO REMAIN SUCCESSFUL, THIS PREMISE DIFFERS FROM THAT OF AN EARILER MODEL, WHICH ASSUMED THAT AN INDIVID-UAL'S ULTIMATE SUCCESS OR FAILURE IS DETERMINED COMPLETELY UPON RELEASE. THE DERIVATION AND POLICY PARAMETERS OF THE PROPOSED MODEL ARE DESCRIBED. APPLICATION OF THE MODEL TO PROGRAM FOLLOWUP DATA IS EXPLAINED AND ILLUSTRATED IN AN EXAMPLE EM-PLOYING FOLLOWUP DATA ON 257 ILLINOIS PAROLEES. A COMPARISON OF THE RESULTS OBTAINED BY APPLYING THE PROPOSED MODEL AND THE EARLIER MODEL MEN-TIONED ABOVE TO THE SAME DATA REVEALS THAT THE TWO MODELS YIELD SIMILAR POLICY IMPLICATIONS. IT IS NOTED, HOWEVER, THAT THE PROPOSED MODEL, BECAUSE ITS BASIC ASSUMPTIONS ARE MORE PLAUSIBLE, IS LIKELY TO FIND GREATER ACCEPTANCE IN THE POLICY DETERMI-NATION PROCESS. DIRECTIONS FOR FURTHER DEVELOP-MENT OF THE PROPOSED MODEL ARE SUGGESTED. SUP-PORTING DATA AND MATHEMATICAL FORMULATIONS AC-COMPANY THE TEXT, MUCH OF WHICH IS COUCHED IN STA-TISTICAL TERMINOLOGY.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

122. COUNCIL OF EUROPE LIBRARIE BERGER-LEVRAULT, PLACE BROGLIE, STRASBOURG, FRANCE. METHODS OF EVALUA-TION AND PLANNING IN THE FIELD OF CRIME—1ST CRIMI-NOLOGICAL COLLOQUIUM—STRASBOURG, 28-30 NOVEMBER 1973. 150 p. 1974. France. NCJ-16036 PROCEEDINGS, CONTRIBUTIONS, AND DISCUSSIONS AT A MEETING OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SPECIALISTS HELD BY THE EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR CRIME PROBLEMS OF THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE. THE COLLOQUIUM WAS HELD IN STRASBOURG, FRANCE IN NOVEMBER, 1973. IT INCLUDED A REPORT ON THE PLANNING, BUDGETING, AND EVALUATION OF POLICE WORK IN THE SWEDISH SYSTEM. THE REPORT EMPHASIZED THE COORDINATION OF PLANNING EFFORTS BY DIFFERENT AUTHORITIES AND AGENCIES, AND NOTED THE DIFFICULTY IN QUANTIFYING AND EVALUATING SUCH NOTIONS AS THE ACCEPTABLE LEVEL OF RESPECT FOR LAW, THE PUBLIC SENSE OF SECURITY, AND CRIME PREVEN-TION IN GENERAL. THE COLLOQUIUM ALSO INCLUDED A REPORT ON THE METHODS USED TO EVALUATE REHABILI-TATION AND TREATMENT EFFORTS. IT CITED EVALUATIONS CARRIED OUT IN SEVERAL COUNTRIES WHICH FOUND NO DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE RESULTS OF TRADITIONAL TREATMENTS AND THE RESULTS OF CERTAIN INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS. THE REPORT CONCLUDED THAT SUCH EVALUA-TIONS HAVE CHARACTERISTICALLY CONCENTRATED ON THE OBJECTIVES OF THE TREATMENT-USUALLY TO LOWER RECIDIVISM -- AND NEGLECTED THE STUDY OF THE TREATMENT PROCESS ITSELF. THE REPORT FURTHER CON-CLUDED THAT THIS WAS A RESULT OF AN INAPPROPRIATE MEDICAL VIEW OF PENAL TREATMENT WHICH ASSUMES THAT THE CURE OF THE OFFENDER IS THE IMPORTANT TASK, AND THAT THE NATURE OF THE TREATMENT IS RELA-TIVELY EASY TO UNDERSTAND. THE REPORT RECOMMENDS EVALUATIONS WHICH COMPARE PENAL MEASURES WITH RESPECT TO THEIR ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COSTS, THEIR CAPACITY FOR GENERAL DETERRENCE, THE PROTECTION AFFORDED TO THE PUBLIC FROM THE ACTIVITIES OF KNOWN CRIMINALS, AND THE EXTENT TO WHICH THEY SAT-ISFY REQUIREMENTS OF JUSTICE AND HUMANITY. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED)

123. S. R. GUSTAFSON and J. H. LOESCH. MINNESOTA — QUAR-TERLY REPORTS FROM THE CODE (CLIENT ORIENTED DATA FOR EVALUATION) SYSTEM FOR MINIMUM DATA PRO-JECTS—A TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE REPORT. WASHING-TON STATE EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DEPARTMENT ATTN CORRECTIONS CLEARINGHOUSE, MAIL STOP KG-11, OLYM-PIA WA 98504. 24 p. 1979. NCJ-66378 THIS TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE REPORT FOR PROJECT PER-SONNEL REPRODUCES AND EXAMINES THE THREE QUAR-TERLY REPORTS FROM THE CLIENT-ORIENTED DATA FOR EVALUATION (CODE) INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR A DIFFER-ENT SAMPLE PROJECT. IT HAS BEEN DESIGNED TO CLARIFY THE CONTENTS OF THE COMPUTER-GENERATED REPORTS AND TO ANSWER QUESTIONS THAT MAY ARISE ABOUT THEIR INTERPRETATION. THE THREE REPORTS ARE THE QUARTERLY STATISTICAL REPORTS, THE ACTIVE CLIENT REPORT, AND THE CLIENT FOLLOWUP CALENDAR. A PAGE-BY-PAGE EXAMINATION OF THE CONTENTS OF THE STATISTICAL REPORTS INCLUDES A DESCRIPTION OF THE TITLE PAGE CONTAINING THE PROJECT NAME, AN ARBI-TRARILY ASSIGNED PROJECT NUMBER, THE DATE OF THE REPORT, AND A TABLE OF CONTENTS. OTHER PAGES CON-TAIN FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTIONS OF SEX, AGE, AND ETHNI-CITY FOR THREE CATEGORIES OF CLIENTS (WHEREVER POSSIBLE) AND DISTRIBUTIONS BY MONTH OF INTAKE AND TERMINATION (INCLUDING TOTAL INTAKE, TERMINATION, AND FOLLOWUP FORMS). DISTRIBUTIONS OF SOURCES OF CLIENT REFERRALS AND CLIENT RELATION TO THE CRIMI-NAL JUSTICE SYSTEM AT INTAKE ARE PRESENTED FOR EACH OF THE THREE CATEGORIES, AND TABULATIONS OF PRIMARY AND SECONDARY REASONS FOR REFERRAL TO

THE PROJECT FOR EACH CATEGORY ARE INCLUDED. FINAL-LY, TABULATIONS OF CLIENT LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT WITH THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM AT INTAKE, TERMINATION, AND FOLLOWUP (THE MOST COMPLEX TABULATIONS IN THE STATISTICAL REPORT) ARE FURNISHED. THE STATISTICAL REPORT TABULATES SERVICES PROVIDED BY THE PROJECT AND DISTRIBUTIONS OF REASONS FOR TERMINATION FROM THE PROJECT BOTH FOR CLIENTS TERMINATED WITHIN THE LAST 12 MONTHS AND FOR ALL TERMINATED CLIENTS. THE ACTIVE CLIENT REPORT LISTS THE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER, AGE AT INTAKE, SEX, ETHNIC BACKGROUND, INTAKE DATE, AND DAYS IN THE PROGRAM FOR ALL ACTIVE CLIENTS. THE CLIENT FOLLOWUP CALENDAR LISTS THE SAME INFORMATION PLUS TERMINATION DATE AND FOL-LOWUP DUE DATE. UNLIKE THE ACTIVE CLIENT REPORT, IT IS SORTED BY FOLLOWUP DUE DATE, NOT BY CLIENT IDEN-TIFICATION NUMBER. AN APPENDIX CONTAINS GROUPINGS OF REFERABLE OFFENSES.

124. H. P. HATRY, L. H. BLAIR, D. M. FISK, J. H. GREINER, J. R. HALL JR, and P. S. SCHAENMAN. HOW EFFECTIVE ARE YOUR COMMUNITY SERVICES? PROCEDURES FOR MONITORING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF MUNICIPAL SERVICES. URBAN INSTITUTE, 2100 M STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20037; INTERNATIONAL CITY MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION, 1140 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20036. 330 p. 1977.

THIS REPORT PROVIDES SUGGESTIONS FOR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES AND DATA COLLECTION WHICH CITY AND COUNTY GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS CAN USE TO EVALUATE THE QUALITY AND EFFECTIVENESS OF THEIR SERVICES. MEASURES AND DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURES ARE DIS-CUSSED FOR THE FOLLOWING BASIC SERVICES PROVIDED BY CITY AND COUNTY GOVERNMENTS: CRIME CONTROL, FIRE PROTECTION, SOLID WASTE COLLECTION AND DISPOS-AL. RECREATION, LIBRARIES, LOCAL TRANSPORTATION, WATER SUPPLY, AND HANDLING OF CITIZEN COMPLAINTS AND REQUESTS FOR SERVICES. THE EMPHASIS IS ON TECH-NIQUES WHICH ARE APPROPRIATE FOR SMALL AND LARGE COMMUNITIES. THE SECTION ON CRIME CONTROL DOES NOT CONSIDER THE POLICE ROLE IN TRAFFIC MANAGE-MENT OR EMERGENCY MEDICAL AID, THOUGH TRAFFIC CONTROL MEASURES ARE COVERED BRIEFLY IN THE TRANSPORTATION SECTION. INSTEAD, THE FOCUS IS DE-FINED AS PROMOTING THE SAFETY OF THE COMMUNITY AND A FEELING OF SECURITY AMONG THE CITIZENS, PRI-MARILY THROUGH THE DETERRENCE/PREVENTION OF CRIME AND THE APPREHENSION OF OFFENDERS, AND PRO-VIDING SERVICE IN A FAIR, HONEST, PROMPT, AND COURTE-OUS MANNER. A TABLE PRESENTS 26 SPECIFIC MEASURE-MENTS OF CRIME CONTROL SERVICES TOGETHER WITH CRIME DATA SOURCES. SLIGHT MODIFICATIONS OF CRIME STATISTIC COLLECTION ARE SUGGESTED TO AID EVALUA-TION; THESE INCLUDE PROVIDING CRIME RATES SEPARATE-LY FOR BUSINESSES AND FOR RESIDENCES, CONSIDERING TRANSIENT AS WELL AS RESIDENTIAL POPULATIONS IN DE-TERMINING CRIME RATES, AND IDENTIFYING RATES FOR THOSE CRIMES USUALLY SUSCEPTIBLE TO POLICE DETER-RENCE SUCH AS CRIME VISIBLE FROM THE STREET. EACH MEASURE SUGGESTED IN THE TABLE IS EXPLAINED IN DETAIL IN THE ACCOMPANYING TEXT. IN ADDITION TO CRIME STATISTICS, AN EVALUATION SHOULD INCLUDE A GENERAL CITIZEN SURVEY, A SURVEY OF BUSINESSES, AND A SURVEY OF PERSONS WHO HAVE CALLED FOR SERVICE. THESE SURVEYS SHOULD FOCUS ON CITIZEN PERCEPTION OF POLICE SERVICES. SUCH SURVEYS COULD COST \$10 TO \$15 PER CONTACT. THIS DATA IS ESSENTIAL TO FULLY

EVALUATE CRIME CONTROL SERVICES. SELECTED BIBLIOG-RAPHY FOR EACH CHAPTER IS PROVIDED.

Sponsoring Agencies: NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION, 1800 G STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20550; US DEPART-MENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, 451 SEVENTH STREET, SW, WASHINGTON DC 20410; NATIONAL CENTER FOR PRODUCTIVITY AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE

Availability: URBAN INSTITUTE, 2100 M STREET, NW, WASH-INGTON DC 20037.

125. T. HAUSNER. NEW METHODS TO ASSESS THE BEHAVIOR-AL CONSEQUENCES OF DELINQUENCY TREATMENT PRO-GRAMS. 121 p. 1975. THIS STUDY TESTED THE RELIABILITY AND VALIDITY OF RE-SULTS ACHIEVED USING A BEHAVIOR RATING SYSTEM DE-VELOPED BY THE AUTHOR; SCORES GIVEN BY PARENTS AND TEACHERS WERE COMPARED FOR DELINQUENT AND NONDELINQUENT YOUTHS. THE AUTHOR NOTES THAT MEASURES OF RECIDIVISM AND PERSONALITY TESTS HAVE BEEN THE TWO MAIN METHODS FOR EVALUATING THE BE-HAVIORAL CONSEQUENCES OF INTERVENTIONS SUCH AS DELINQUENCY TREATMENT PROGRAMS. THE AUTHOR RE-VIEWS THE PROBLEMS INHERENT IN BOTH THESE AP-PROACHES, AND PROPOSES THAT A METHOD WHICH AS-SESSES ACTUAL CHANGES IN BEHAVIOR IN THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT WOULD BE A MORE RELIABLE FORM OF EVALUATION. A BEHAVIOR RATING SCHEDULE WAS DEVEL-OPED, AND GIVEN TO PARENTS AND TEACHERS OF A SAMPLE OF YOUTHS INVOLVED IN THREE KENTUCKY TREAT-MENT PROGRAMS FOR JUVENILES AND A SAMPLE OF NON-DELINQUENT YOUTHS. THE AUTHOR SOUGHT TO DETER-MINE: 1) THE DEGREE OF CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN SEVERAL SIGNIFICANT AUTHORITY FIGURES WHEN THEY ARE RATING BEHAVIORS OF DELINQUENTS AND NONDELIN-QUENTS; 2) WHETHER DELINQUENTS AND NONDELIN-QUENTS DIFFER IN BEHAVIOR AT SCHOOL; 3) WHETHER RE-CIDIVISTS AND NONRECIDIVISTS DIFFER IN BEHAVIOR AT SCHOOL AND/OR AT HOME; AND 4) WHICH OF THE BEHA-VIORS MEASURED IN THIS STUDY ARE INTERCORRELATED. IT WAS FOUND THAT PARENTTEACHER RATINGS WERE IN CLOSE CORRESPONDENCE, THAT TEACHERS OBSERVED DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DELINQUENTS AND NONDELIN-QUENTS ABOVE ANY BIASES DUE TO KNOWLEDGE OF DE-LINQUENCY, AND THAT PARENTS' RATINGS MAY HAVE BEEN BETTER DISCRIMINATORS OF RECIDIVISM/NONRECIDIVISM THAN THE TEACHERS'. THE AUTHOR CONCLUDES THAT THE **EVALUATION METHODS USED IN THIS STUDY WERE PROVEN** FEASIBLE.

Supplemental Notes: UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY—DISSERTATION.

Availability: UNIVERSITY MICROFILMS, 300 NORTH ZEEB ROAD, ANN ARBOR MI 48106; NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

126. J. G. HEILMAN. EVALUATION-A PRACTICAL GUIDE FOR EVALUATORS OF SOCIAL ACTION PROJECTS. AUBURN UNIVERSITY. 77 p. 1977. NCJ-42723 INTENDED FOR THOSE INVOLVED IN EVALUATION OF SOCIAL ACTION PROGRAMS, THIS MONOGRAPH IDENTIFIES THE CHOICES AND PROBLEMS COMMON TO MOST EVALUATION WORK AND SUGGESTS METHODS OF DEVELOPING AND OP-ERATING AN EVALUATION SYSTEM. THIS GUIDE IS BASED PRINCIPALLY ON THE EXPERIENCE OF THE AUBURN CRIMI-NAL JUSTICE EVALUATION PROJECT. WHILE THE GUIDE IS BASED ON ACTUAL EXPERIENCE IN EVALUATING CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAMS, THE PROCEDURES AND CONCLUSIONS ARE SET IN A THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND RELATE GENERALLY TO THE STUDY OF ALL GOVERNMENT FUNDED PROGRAMS. INCLUDED IN THIS GUIDE ARE SUGGESTIONS ON HOW TO ESTABLISH A DATA BASE, STAFF, RECRUIT-MENT AND ORGANIZATION, DEVELOPING AN EVALUATION PROCEDURE, AND TECHNIQUES OF RESEARCH AND ANALYSES. THE GUIDE ALSO DISCUSSES SUCH IMPORTANT EVALUATION ASPECTS AS RELATIONS WITH THE SUBGRANTEES AND POLITICAL ISSUES OF EVALUATION.

127. J. G. HEILMAN and M. T. MILLER. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND CAUSAL ANALYSIS IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION. AUBURN UNIVERSITY. 14 p. 1977. NCJ-53929

A QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL RESEARCH DESIGN TERMED AN 'EX POST FACTO, STAGGERED, TIME SERIES DESIGN' IS DE-SCRIBED AND JUDGED APPROPRIATE FOR PRACTICING EVA-LUATORS CONSIDERING MOST CRIMINAL JUSTICE PRO-GRAMS. THIS PAPER WAS WRITTEN SPECIFICALLY FOR PRACTICING EVALUATORS PAID BY A GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY TO EVALUATE A RANGE OF PROJECTS AND PRO-GRAMS ON AN ONGOING BASIS, WITH RELATIVELY LIMITED FUNDING. THESE EVALUATORS NEED TO OBTAIN SUITABLE DATA AND AN APPROPRIATE ANALYTIC DESIGN WITHIN A RESTRICTED PERIOD OF TIME WITH LIMITED RESOURCES AND MOST OFTEN AFTER PROJECT ACTIVITIES HAVE COM-MENCED. TO PROVIDE A SETTING FOR THE PRESENTATION, RESEARCH DESIGNS AND POSSIBLE THREATS TO THEIR VA-LIDITY ARE DISCUSSED. CERTAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF GOVERNMENT-FUNDED CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAMS ARE FOUND TO ENCOURAGE THE USE OF QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS: (1) CONTINUATION AND FUNDING OF PROGRAMS FOR SEVERAL YEARS; (2) THE INTRODUCTION OF THE SAME OR SIMILAR PROGRAMS AT DIFFERENT TIMES IN VARIOUS LOCALES; AND (3) MUCH OF THE DATA NEEDED FOR EVALU-ATION IS AVAILABLE INDEPENDENTLY, APART FROM THE EVALUATOR'S RESEARCH EFFORTS. EX POST FACTO TIME SERIES ANALYSIS CAN BE USED ON THOSE PROGRAMS THAT HAVE BEEN OPERATING FOR A PERIOD OF TIME, THE FUNDING OF SIMILAR PROJECTS IN DIFFERENT LOCATIONS AT VARIOUS TIMES PERMITS THE USE OF STAGGERED EX-PERIMENTAL GROUPS, WHICH (COMBINED WITH A CONTROL GROUP RECEIVING THE TREATMENT) PERMITS CONTROL OF HISTORICAL EVENTS AS VALIDITY THREATS. MUCH OF THE DATA REQUIRED BY EVALUATION ALSO HAS BEEN RECORD-ED ROUTINELY BY PUBLIC AGENCIES OVER A PERIOD OF YEARS PREDATING ANY EVALUATION EFFORT. IT IS CON-CLUDED, THEREFORE, THAT AN EX POST FACTO TIME SERIES DESIGN, USING STAGGERED EXPERIMENTAL GROUPS WITH A CONTROL GROUP AND EMPLOYING DATA ALREADY GATHERED, IS AN APPROPRIATE EVALUATION DESIGN WHICH USES TO ADVANTAGE THE GIVEN CHARAC-TERISTICS OF GOVERNMENT-FUNDED CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAMS. THE APPLICATION OF THE DESIGN TO A PRO-GRAM FOR IMPROVING THE PROSECUTORIAL EFFICIENCY OF DISTRICT ATTORNEYS IS DESCRIBED. A BRIEF BIBLIOG-RAPHY IS PROVIDED.

Supplemental Notes: PRESENTED AT THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION.

Sponsoring Agency: ALABAMA LAW ENFORCEMENT PLANNING AGENCY, 2863 FAIRLAND DRIVE, BUILDING F, SUITE 49, EXECUTIVE PARK, MONTGOMERY, AL 36111.

128. M. D. INGLE, J. WILKS, and D. I. PARSONS. CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM EVALUATION CAPABILITY BUILDING A SYSTEM-WIDE APPLICATION AT THE COUNTY LEVEL. 17 p. 1977.

AN INHOUSE CONTINUING EVALUATION PLAN DEVELOPED BY THE ONONDAGA COUNTY, N.Y., CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING AND COORDINATION UNIT IS DESCRIBED. THE PLAN EVALUATES EACH PROGRAM AS PART OF THE TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM. HAVING EXPERIENCED THE PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH (1) THE ABSICE OF A SOUND KNOWLEDGE BASE, (2) THE LACK OF COST EFFECTIVENESS OF THE PROJECT-BY-PROJECT EVALUATION APPROACH, AND (3) THE INABILITY OF TRADITIONAL RESEARCH TO PRO-

VIDE ANSWERS CONCERNING THE SYSTEM-WIDE COST EF-FECTIVENESS OF SPECIFIC PROJECTS, ONONDAGA COUNTY DECIDED TO TRY A DIFFERENT APPROACH. THIS APPROACH IS BASED ON THE ASSUMPTION THAT EACH PROJECT IS PART OF A TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM AND MUST BE EVALUATED IN TERMS OF THE TOTAL SYSTEM. IT ALSO AS-SUMES THAT CLASSICAL EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS MAY BE PREMATURE AT THE CURRENT LEVEL OF KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE OPERATION OF THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM. INSTEAD. CONTRACTS ARE MONITORED BY ESTABLISHING BENCHMARKS AND MEASURING ACHIEVEMENTS AGAINST THESE BENCHMARKS. ORIGINALLY THE APPROACH WAS USED TO EVALUATE FIVE LEAA PROJECTS. SINCE MONEY AVAILABLE FOR EVALUATION WAS ONLY 3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR THE PROJECTS, INHOUSE STAFF WAS TRAINED TO GATHERED THE EVALUATION DATA, EACH PERSON IN AN ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY WAS ON THE EVALUATION TEAM AND WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE COL-LECTION OF A CERTAIN PORTION OF THE DATA. THE ESTAB-LISHMENT OF BASE RATES, THE TYPES OF DATA COLLECT-ED, AND THE ACTUAL OPERATION OF THE EVALUATION ARE DESCRIBED IN DETAIL. THE ULTIMATE PURPOSE WAS TO DE-TERMINE WHETHER THE PROJECT WAS DOING WHAT IT WAS SUPPOSED TO DO, AND (2) WHETHER WHAT IT WAS DOING WAS WORTHWHILE IN LIGHT OF MONEY AVAILABLE IN THE TOTAL SYSTEM. IT IS CONCLUDED THAT SUCH A SYSTEM-ORIENTED EVALUATION IS QUITE FEASIBLE FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENTS. APPENDED CHARTS DESCRIBE THE EVALUATIONS OF THE FIVE LEAA PROGRAMS AND ILLUS-TRATE THE EVALUATION FRAMEWORK.

Supplemental Notes: PRESENTED AT THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION, WASHINGTON, DC, FEBRUARY 1977.

Sponsoring Agency: NEW YORK DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

129. G. M. JANEKSELA. EVALUATION MODEL FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE. GEORGIA STATE UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF URBAN LIFE, ATLANTA GA 30303. CRIMINAL JUSTICE REVIEW, V 2, N 2 (FALL 1977), P 1-11. NCJ-50960 FOLLOWING A DISCUSSION OF THE PROBLEMS ENCOUN-TERED IN THE EVALUATION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PRO-GRAMS, TWO MODELS ARE ASSESSED AS POSSIBLE EVALU-ATION TOOLS. THE SOCIAL ACCOUNTING MODEL IS RECOM-MENDED. THE REASONS WHY CLASSICAL EXPERIMENTAL RESEARCH IS INFEASIBLE FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE PRO-GRAMS ARE REVIEWED. EVEN WITH QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS, A VARIETY OF PROBLEMS ARE ENCOUNTERED, AND WHENEVER THERE IS CONFLICT BETWEEN THE EVALU-ATION DESIGN AND THE NEEDS OF THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM, THE DESIGN HAS TO BE CHANGED. IT IS SUGGEST-ED THAT GENERAL EVALUATION MODELS WHICH ARE APPLI-CABLE TO ALL CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAMS SHOULD BE USED TO SUPPLEMENT TRADITIONAL RESEARCH DESIGNS. THESE MODELS SHOULD FOCUS ON ATTRIBUTES WHICH ARE COMMON ACROSS SUBSYSTEMS AND PROGRAMS. GOAL EFFECTIVENESS AND EFFICIENCY MODELS ARE DIS-CUSSED, WITH EMPHASIS ON WEAKNESSES OF THE GOAL-ORIENTED EVALUATION MODELS. THE SOCIAL AC-COUNTING MODEL, AN EFFICIENCY MODEL, PROVIDES DE-SCRIPITON OF THE SYSTEM'S SOCIAL BENEFITS AND SOCIAL COSTS AND EXPRESSES PROGRAM VALUE IN TERMS OF A NET SURPLUS OR A NET DEFICIT. THE SOCIAL ACCOUNTING MODEL ALLOWS EVALUATION ACROSS TIME, COUNTERPART SYSTEMS, PROGRAMS, AND COMMUNITIES. THE FEASIBILITY OF EXPRESSING THE COSTS OF CRIME AND THE BENEFITS OF A CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAM IN MONETARY TERMS IS DEMONSTRATED. IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT NATIONAL STANDARDS FOR THESE COSTS BE ESTABLISHED THROUGH THE FORMATION OF A CRIMINAL JUSTICE SOCIAL ACCOUNT-

ING COMMITTEE. THIS GROUP OF EXPERTS WOULD DEVELOP THE DATA BASE NECESSARY FOR SOCIAL ACCOUNTING AND HAVE AN IMPACT ON THE ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES TO CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEMS AND PROGRAMS. REFERENCES AND FOOTNOTES ARE APPENDED.

130. K. W. JOHNSON, R. TAMBERRINO, K. ZUSPAN, and W. D. FRAZIER. MULTIFACETED EVALUATION STRATEGY FOR THE FIELD OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE. PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION UNIT. 132 p. 1976.
NCI. 135514

THIS HANDBOOK DESCRIBES A STRATEGY FOR MONITOR-ING AND EVALUATING FEDERALLY-FUNDED CRIMINAL JUS-TICE PROGRAMS AT THE STATE, REGIONAL, COUNTY, AND LOCAL LEVELS. TYPES OF PROGRAM EVALUATION METHOD-OLOGIES MOST FREQUENTLY USED IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE ARE DISCUSSED AND THE CRITICAL PROGRAM EVALUATION PROBLEMS THAT HAVE TO BE OVERCOME ARE ANALYZED. THESE PROBLEMS INCLUDE THE LACK OF COLLABORATION BETWEEN EVALUATORS AND DECISION MAKERS WHO MAY HAVE SOME USE FOR EVALUATION PRODUCTS. THE INCOM-PATIBILITY OF EVALUATION PRODUCTS WITH THE USER'S NEEDS, AND THE DECISION MAKER'S LACK OF AWARENESS AND UNDERSTANDING OF PROGRAM EVALUATION AND ITS UTILITY. A PROGRAM EVALUATION STRATEGY DESIGNED TO COMBAT THESE PROBLEMS IS THEN PRESENTED, IN ADDI-TION, THE VARIOUS PHASES WHICH MAKE UP THE EVALUA-TION STRATEGY BEING VALIDATED ARE DESCRIBED IN DETAIL. THE APPENDIX CONTAINS SEVEN CASE STUDIES FROM THE EVALUATION UNIT PRESENTED TO KEY DECISION MAKERS FUNCTIONING AT THE STATE, REGIONAL, COUNTY, AND LOCAL LEVELS, AS WELL AS A GLOSSARY OF TECHNI-CAL TERMS. A BIBLIOGRAPHY IS PROVIDED. (THIS EVALUA-TION STRATEGY WAS DEVELOPED AND VALIDATED BY THE PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY (MD) CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALU-ATION UNIT.)

Sponsoring Agency: MASSACHUSETTS GOVERNOR'S COM-MISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

131. R. KATZ and M. BROWN. METHODOLOGY FOR CONDUCTING A COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF TASC (TREATMENT ALTERNATIVES TO STREET CRIME)—HIGH IMPACT ANTI-CRIME PROGRAM. MITRE CORPORATION WASHINGTON OPERATIONS, 1820 DOLLEY MADISON BOULEVARD, MCLEAN VA 22101; US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LEAA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 633 INDIANA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20531. 28 p. 1974.

PAPER DESCRIBING A METHODOLOGY TO PERFORM A COM-PARATIVE EVALUATION OF THE TREATMENT ALTERNATIVES TO STREET CRIME (TASC) PROGRAM, A NATIONAL LEVEL HIGH IMPACT ANTI-CRIME PROGRAM. TASC IS A PROGRAM DESIGNED TO REFER ARRESTED DRUG ADDICTS TO APPRO-PRIATE COMMUNITY BASED TREATMENT AND REHABILITA-TION PROGRAMS. A METHODOLOGY IS DESCRIBED FOR PERFORMING THE EVALUATION BY A PROCEDURE WHICH CLASSIFIES ADDICTS INTO HOMOGENOUS POPULATION GROUPS SHARING COMMON CHARACTERISTICS AND ESTI-MATES THE VARIOUS LEVELS OF EFFECTIVENESS OF AVAIL-ABLE TREATMENT ALTERNATIVES IN REHABILITATING THESE GROUPS. THE METHODOLOGY DEVELOPED CON-TAINS A DESCRIPTION OF STUDY OBJECTIVES IN THE FORM OF RESEARCH QUESTIONS TO BE ADDRESSED, EVALUATION PROCEDURES, AND DATA REQUIREMENTS. IT IS DESIGNED TO BE ADAPTABLE TO A WIDE RANGE OF DATA. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED)

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION, 633 INDIANA AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20531.

132. D. M. KEMP, J. D. WALLER, J. W. SCANLON, P. G. NALLEY, and C. LANCER. DESCRIPTION AND ASSESSMENTS OF THE MODEL EVALUATION PROGRAM PROJECTS. URBAN INSTITUTE, 2100 M STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20037. 408 p. 1979. NCJ-55723

EIGHT DESCRIPTIVE REPORTS ARE PRESENTED ON COM-PLETED MODEL EVALUATION PROGRAMS (MEP) SUPPORTED BY NILECJ GRANTS TO STATE AND REGIONAL PLANNING UNITS/AGENCIES TO DEVELOP AND DEMONSTRATE SUC-CESSFUL EVALUATION SYSTEMS. PROGRAM OBJECTIVES FOR THE GRANTEES WERE TO ENCOURAGE STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES TO GENERATE AND USE EVALUATION IN-FORMATION AND TO TEST WAYS IN WHICH EFFECTIVE USE OF EVALUATION INFORMATION CAN HELP STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES ACHIEVE THEIR OBJECTIVES. THESE INDI-VIDUAL ASSESSMENT REPORTS REFER TO MEP'S BY THE ALAMEDA, CALIF., REGIONAL REGIONAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING BOARD, THE PENNSYLVANIA GOVERNOR'S JUS-TICE COMMISSION, THE COLUMBIA, S.C., CENTRAL MID-LANDS REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL, THE JACKSONVILLE, FLA., OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING, THE ASSOCI-ATION OF CENTRAL OKLAHOMA GOVERNMENTS, THE VEN-TURA, CALIF., CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING BOARD, THE MICHIGAN OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAMS, AND THE ILLINOIS LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMISSION, INFORMA-TION IS PRESENTED ON EVALUATION SYSTEMS DESIGN AND RATIONALE, STRATEGIES, EXPECTATIONS, EXPERIENCES, AND OUTCOMES. SOME GENERAL CONCLUSIONS ARE (1) WITHOUT PLANNED, WELL-MANAGED PROCESSING PROCE-DURES, HIGH VOLUME DATA SYSTEMS QUICKLY BECOME UNMANAGEABLE; (2) QUALITY CONTROL AND DATA ANALY-SIS ARE EXPENSIVE; (3) STANDARD SYSTEMS ARE FEASIBLE BUT EXPENSIVE; (4) USER OWNERSHIP OF THE SYSTEM CAN FACILITATE SUCCESS (AS IN THE VENTURA SYSTEM); (5) EF-FECTIVE USE OF THE EVALUATION FRAMEWORK MAY RE-QUIRE ITS USE IN THE PROJECT DESIGN; (6) INFORMATION USERS SHOULD BE PRESENTED EVALUATION RESULTS VER-BALLY OR IN WRITTEN SUMMARY FORM, AS WELL AS IN FULL REPORTS, AND GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS THE IMPLICATIONS OF THE RESULTS; (7) DATA AND REC-ORDKEEPING ACTIVITIES OF MOST LOCAL AGENCIES ARE NOT ADEQUATE FOR AN EVALUATION SYSTEM: (8) STAFFING PROBLEMS; LACK OF PRODUCTION COMMITMENT, AND DIS-INTERESTED MANAGEMENT CAN RESULT IN SYSTEM FAIL-URE (AS IN THE PENNSYLVANIA MEP); AND (9) ESTABLISH-MENT OF A COMMITTEE OF LOCAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE REP-RESENTATIVES TO FOLLOW THE STUDY CAN BE HELPFUL (AS PROVEN IN THE ALAMEDA STUDY).

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION, 633 INDIANA AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20531.

Availability: GPO Stock Order No. 027-000-00797-0; NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

133. J. G. KINZER. EVALUATION IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAMS—GUIDELINES AND EXAMPLES. MITRE CORPORATION, P O BOX 208, BEDFORD MA 01730. 165 p. 1973.

GUIDE FOR DEVELOPING AND IMPLEMENTING PLANS TO EVALUATE CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROJECTS AND PROGRAMS. IT IS IMPORTANT THAT WHEREVER POSSIBLE CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROJECT OBJECTIVES BE STATED IN QUANTITATIVE TERMS AND THAT AN EVALUATION PLAN BE DEVELOPED IN CONJUNCTION WITH PROJECT GRANT APPLICATIONS. THIS MANUAL COMBINES AND REVISES TEN DOCUMENTS THAT WERE PREPARED BY THE MITRE CORPORATION FOR THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE (NILECJ) IN 1972 AND 1973 AS AN AID TO THE EVALUATION OF THE HIGH IMPACT ANTI-CRIME PROGRAM. AS A PACKAGE, IT IS INTENDED TO SERVE AS A REFERENCE AND

WORKING MANUAL FOR A WIDE VARIETY OF AUDIENCES. IN-CLUDED IN THIS MANUAL IS A PROGRAM MANAGER'S GUIDE FOR PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF AN EVALUA-TION PLAN AND AN EVALUATOR'S GUIDE FOR THE PREPA-RATION OF EVALUATION COMPONENTS. REPRODUCED ARE FOUR SAMPLE EVALUATION PLANS (IN THE FORM OF EVAL-UATION COMPONENTS OF HYPOTHETICAL PROJECT GRANT APPLICATIONS TO LEAA FOR HIGH IMPACT FUNDING) THAT ILLUSTRATE THE EVALUATION METHODOLOGY IN A VARIETY OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROJECTS SUCH AS A POLICE COM-MAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM AND A METHADONE MAIN-TENANCE PROJECT. FOUR EXAMPLES OF INTEGRATED EVALUATION COMPONENTS ARE PROVIDED BY A HYPO-THETICAL YOUTH SERVICES PROGRAM OUTLINE AND COM-PLETE DESCRIPTIONS OF THREE OF ITS SUBORDINATE PRO-JECTS. STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS WILL FIND THE MANAGER'S GUIDE HELPFUL IN UNDERSTANDING THE WORK OF EVALUATION IN DEVELOPING EVALUATION PLANS FOR THEIR PROGRAMS, WHEREAS EVALUATION PLANNERS WILL FIND THE EVALUATOR'S GUIDE AND THE COMPONENTS USEFUL IN PREPARING REALISTIC AND VALID EVALUATION PLANS FOR THEIR PROJECTS AND PROGRAMS. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED)

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LEAA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 633 INDIANA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20531.

Availability: GPO Stock Order No. 027-000-00210-2; NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

134. M. P. KIRBY. MANAGEMENT 1—THE ROLE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR IN EVALUATION. PRETRIAL SERVICES RESOURCE CENTER, SUITE 200, 1010 VERMONT AVENUE, NW. WASHINGTON DC 20005. 62 p. 1979. NCJ-55511 THIS BULLETIN DESCRIBES THE REQUIRED ACTIVITIES OF AN ADMINISTRATOR CONDUCTING A GOOD EVALUATION OF AN AGENCY, A FORMAT IS PROPOSED FOR AN ADMINISTRA-TOR'S EFFECTIVE INTERVENTION IN EVALUATIONS. IN ADDI-TION TO A SIX-STEP STRUCTURE OF THE EVALUATION PROCESS, HINTS AND INSIGHTS ADDRESS THE TYPES OF QUESTIONS RAISED BY PRACTITIONERS INVOLVED IN AS-SESSMENTS OF THEIR PROGRAMS. THE FIRST STEP FOCUS-ES ON UNDERSTANDING THE VALUE AND LIMITATIONS OF AN EVALUATION AND PROVIDES A FRAMEWORK BY WHICH THE ADMINISTRATOR DEVELOPS A REALISTIC CONCEPTION OF THE EVALUATION PROCESS. THE NEXT STEP DEALS WITH DEVELOPING A WORKING PAPER, AN INFORMAL DOCU-MENT WHICH ACTS AS A PLAN OR ROAD MAP TO HELP THE ADMINISTRATOR MANAGE THE STUDY DURING THE PRE-EVALUATION PERIOD. THE WORKING PAPER DESCRIBES THE ANALYTICAL STRUCTURE OF THE STUDY, INCLUDING THE GOAL STATEMENT, QUESTIONS, ASSUMPTIONS, COM-PARISONS, AND OUTCOMES; IT ALSO PROVIDES A WORK PLAN WHICH INCLUDES THE DATA GATHERING AND ANALY-SIS ACTIVITIES OF THE EVALUATION. THE THIRD STEP IN-VOLVES PREPARING A PROPOSAL AND COVERS THE STRUC-TURE OF A PROPOSAL, THE DEVELOPMENT OF A BUDGET, AND SOURCES OF EVALUATION FUNDING. THE NEXT **PROPOSAL** STEP--PREPARING REQUEST FOR Α (RFP)--PROVIDES SUGGESTIONS FOR WRITING AN EFFEC-TIVE RFP. THE FINAL TWO STEPS INVOLVE STAFFING CONCERNS--EMPLOYING A RESEARCHER AND WORKING WITH POLICYMAKERS AND STAFF. A FRAMEWORK FOR THE SELECTION OF THE MOST EFFECTIVE RESEARCHER IS OUT-LINED, ALONG WITH THE WAY IN WHICH THE ADMINISTRA-TOR INVOLVES LOCAL OFFICIALS IN THE PRE-EVLUATION PERIOD, CHARTS ARE INCLUDED.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION, 633 INDIANA AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20531.

Availability: PRETRIAL SERVICES RESOURCE CENTER, SUITE 200, 1010 VERMONT AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20005; NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

135. S. KOBRIN and M. W. KLEIN. NATIONAL EVALUATION DESIGN FOR DEINSTITUTIONALIZATION OF STATUS OF-FENDER PROGRAM. UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFOR-NIA SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH INSTITUTE, 950 WEST JEF-FERSON BLVD, LOS ANGELES, CA 90007. 150 p. 1976. NCJ-39719

THIS WORKPLAN INCLUDES PROGRAM PHASE SCHEDULES. PROCEDURES FOR COLLECTING AND ANALYZING DATA SUB-MITTED BY THE VARIOUS DEINSTITUTIONALIZATION OF STATUS OFFENDER (DSO) PROGRAMS, AND DATA COLLEC-TION INSTRUMENTS. THE NATIONAL EVALUATION OF THE DSO PROGRAM IS MASSIVE IN SCOPE AS IT WILL ENTAIL THE PROCESSING OF OVER 6,000 CLIENT-CENTERED DATA FORMS EACH MONTH FOR EIGHTEEN MONTHS, SEVEN DIF-FERENT TYPES OF STATUS OFFENDER PROGRAMS LOCAT-ED IN VARIOUS AREAS OF THE COUNTRY WILL BE REPORT-ING TO THE SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. DATA ANALYSES TO BE PERFORMED INCLUDE THE COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF CONTROL VARIABLES (DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF JUVENILE CLIENTS IN DSO PROGRAMS, INDIVIDUAL PRO-GRAM CLIENT POPULATION STATISTICS, AND COMMUNITY TOLERANCE MEASUREMENTS) WITH THE DEPENDENT VAR-OFFICIAL DELINQUENCY RECORDS. SELF-REPORTED DELINQUENCY, AND CLIENT SOCIAL AD-JUSTMENT DATA. DATA WILL BE CROSS CLASSIFIED TO DE-TERMINE THE EFFECTS OF THE VARIOUS TYPES OF DSO PROGRAMS (THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLES OF THE STUDY). THESE PROGRAMS HAVE BEEN PLACED IN THE FOLLOWING GROUPINGS: DIVERSION, DIAGNOSTIC, AND EVALUATION SCREENING UNITS; SHELTER CARE HOMES (RESIDENCY OF 30 DAYS OR LESS); GROUP HOMES (OVER 30 DAYS); FOSTER HOMES; MULTIPLE SERVICE CENTERS (SUCH AS YOUTH SERVICES BUREAUS); OUTREACH INTERVENTION (ACTIVE EFFORTS TO INTERVENE IN AND ATTEMPT TO MODIFY VAR-IOUS PHYSICAL, SOCIAL, AND EMOTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CLIENT); AND SERVICES WHICH OFFER COUNSELING ONLY, INSTRUCTIONS FOR CODING AND BLANK COPIES OF ALL THE DATA COLLECTION INSTRUMENTS ARE PROVIDED. THESE FORMS ARE DESIGNED TO BE PROCESSED BY OPTI-CAL SCANNING EQUIPMENT. PROCEDURES FOR TRACKING LOST OR INCOMPLETE DATA COLLECTION FORMS ARE DE-SCRIBED.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION, 633 INDIANA AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20531.

Availability: GPO. Stock Order No. 027-000-00514-4.

136. G. KUPERSMITH. HIGH IMPACT ANTI-CRIME PROGRAM—SAMPLE IMPACT PROJECT EVALUATION COMPONENTS.
US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LEAA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 633 INDIANA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20531. 280 p. 1974.

NCJ-42710

WHILE IN VARYING STAGES OF DEVELOPMENT, THESE EVALUATION COMPONENTS REPRESENT ACTUAL EVALUATION STRATEGIES BEING USED TO ASSESS THE EFFECTIVENESS OF ANTI-CRIME PROGRAMS IN A VARIETY OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE ENTERPRISES. PROGRAM AREAS EVALUATED INCLUDE ADULT CORRECTIONS, JUVENILE CORRECTIONS, ADJUDICATION, POLICE DEPLOYMENT, AND TARGET HARDENING. WITHIN THESE FUNCTIONAL AREA DESIGNATIONS, EACH EVALUATION COMPONENT DESCRIBES THE OBJECTIVES OF THE PROJECT, AS WELL AS THE DATA, MEASURES, AND METHODS WHICH WILL BE USED TO COMPLETE THE EVALUATION EFFORT.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LEAA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 633 INDIANA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20531.

Availability: GPO. Stock Order No. 027-000-00264-1.

137. E. A. LIND. SOME METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES OF LEGAL ISSUES. 28 p. 1978. NCJ-50389

PROCEDURAL CONSIDERATIONS IN THE STUDY OF LEGAL ISSUES ARE DISCUSSED IN TERMS OF THE METHODOLOG-ICAL DISTINCTION BETWEEN EXPERIMENTS IN A FUNCTION-ING COURT SYSTEM AND EXPERIMENTS CONDUCTED OUT-SIDE THE SYSTEM. EVALUATIVE VERSUS SIMULATION TER-MINOLOGY PLACES AN EMPHASIS ON INDUCTIVE RATHER THAN DEDUCTIVE PRINCIPLES OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH. WHEN THE GOAL OF A STUDY IS TO USE DATA TO GENER-ATE GENERAL THEORETICAL PROPOSITIONS OR TO DETER-MINE CAUSE-EFFECT RELATIONSHIPS WITHIN A PARTICULAR SYSTEM, IT IS IMPORTANT THAT RESEARCH BE CONDUCTED IN THE SYSTEM OF INTEREST OR IN A SYSTEM THAT CLOSE-LY APPROXIMATES THE SYSTEM TO WHICH RESULTS ARE TO BE APPLIED. WHEN THE GOAL OF A STUDY IS TO TEST SOME ALREADY PROPOSED THEORY, IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT THE RESEARCH SETTING MEET CONDITIONS SPECIFIED BY THAT THEORY; THAT THE STUDY SIMULATE SOME REAL SYSTEM TO WHICH THE THEORY IS THOUGHT TO APPLY IS ONLY OF SECONDARY IMPORTANCE, SINCE LEGAL EXPERI-MENTS ARE USED FOR BOTH INDUCTIVE AND DEDUCTIVE RESEARCH, TERMINOLOGY EMPHASIZING ONLY INDUCTIVE CONCERNS IS CUMBERSOME. THE FOLLOWING EXAMPLES ARE CITED TO EMPHASIZE SPECIFIC REFERENTS FOR DIS-CUSSING THE STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF EACH TYPE OF EXPERIMENT: ARBITRATION IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR CONNECTICUT, PROCEDURAL JUSTICE IN THE LABORATORY, AND SENTENCING IN THE CIRCUIT COURT. A GENERAL DESCRIPTION IS PROVIDED OF THE CHARACTER-ISTICS OF EVALUATIVE, PROXIMAL SIMULATION, AND DISTAL SIMULATION EXPERIMENTS AS APPLIED TO LEGAL RE-SEARCH, REFERENCES ARE INCLUDED.

138. J. H. LOESCH. INFORMATION SYSTEMS FOR EVALUATION RESEARCH—A CASE STUDY. MINNESOTA CRIME CONTROL PLANNING BOARD EVALUATION UNIT, 444 LAFAYETTE ROAD, ST PAUL MN 55101. 12 p. 1978. NCJ-66308

IMPROVED QUALITY AND COMPLETENESS OF DATA AND LOWERED ROUTINE OPERATING COSTS ARE REPORTED IN THIS CASE STUDY OF A COMPUTERIZED INFORMATION SYSTEM DESIGNED EXPLICITY FOR CONTINUING EVALUA-TION RESEARCH. PROBLEMS OF SIZE, REPORTING SCHED-ULES. NEED FOR FREQUENT UPDATING OF INFORMATION, AND THE NEED FOR INDIVIDUAL AND STATISTICAL INFORMA-TION LED TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF CLIENT-ORIENTED DATA FOR EVALUATION (CODE). THE SYSTEM SERVES THE EVALUATION UNIT OF THE MINNESOTA CRIME CONTROL PLANNING BOARD AND HAS COLLECTED DATA ON THE CLI-ENTS OF ALL CLIENT-ORIENTED, GRANT-FUNDED PROJECTS SINCE 1972. THE CASE STUDY DESCRIBES PROBLEMS OF DATA ACCESSING, THE DEVELOPMENT OF A PILOT PROJECT WITH SOPHISTICATED UPDATE AND INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL DATA ACCESS CAPABILITIES, AND RESULTANT COST DIFFICULTIES THAT LED TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF A NEW SCIENTIFIC IN-FORMATION RETRIEVAL (SIR) SYSTEM. MAJOR SIR DATA STRUCTURE FEATURES OF THE SIR AND CODE SYSTEMS ARE DESCRIBED IN TERMS OF THEIR ABILITY TO MINIMIZE THE TOTAL COST OF SPECIFIC INFORMATION RETRIEVAL. ADVANTAGES OF THE CODE PROJECT INCLUDE IMPROVED QUALITY AND COMPLETENESS OF DATA SUBMITTED BY PROJECTS AND LOWERED ROUTINE OPERATING COSTS COMPARED WITH THOSE OF THE OLD MASTER FILE SYSTEM, ESPECIALLY WHEN COSTS OF THE PREVIOUS METHODS OF ANALYSIS ARE INCLUDED AS A PREVIOUS OP-ERATING COST. TABULAR DATA ARE PROVIDED. FOR THE USER'S GUIDE TO CODE, SEE NCJ-66307.

139. J. H. LOESCH and R. A. SNELL. USER'S GUIDE FOR THE CLIENT-ORIENTED DATA FOR EVALUATION (CODE) INFORMATION SYSTEM. MINNESOTA CRIME CONTROL PLANNING BOARD EVALUATION UNIT, 444 LAFAYETTE ROAD, ST PAUL MN 55101. 23 p. 1979. NCJ-66307

THIS USER'S GUIDE DESCRIBES THE CLIENT-ORIENTED DATA FOR EVALUATION (CODE) INFORMATION SYSTEM CON-SISTING OF TWO DATA BASES AND A SET OF REPORT FUNC-TION FOR DATA COLLECTED FOR THE MINNESOTA CRIME CONTROL PLANNING BOARD. THE DATA BASES ARE ORGA-NIZED USING THE SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION RETRIEVAL (SIR) SYSTEM, A COMMERCIALLY MAINTAINED AND DOCU-MENTED DATA BASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM DESIGNED FOR LARGE OR COMPLEX RESEARCH DATA. THE GUIDE IN-CLUDES A DESCRIPTION OF CODE'S IMPLEMENTATION HIS-TORY AND DESIGN PHILOSOPHY. DATA CAPTURE AND CODING ARE EXPLAINED, WITH DESCRIPTIONS OF THE MINIMUM-DATA DATA BASE (MDCODE) AND THE CORREC-TIONS DATA BASE (CPCODE). THE PROCEDURES FOR UP-DATING ARE DESCRIBED, AS WELL AS THE REPORT PRO-GRAMS FOR EACH DATA BASE USED FOR PROJECT MONI-TORING AND CLIENT FOLLOWUP. COMMANDS REQUIRED TO RUN SPECIFIC REPORTS FOR EACH DATA BASE ARE PRE-SENTED. PROCEDURES FOR RESTORING FILES FROM AN SIR DATA BASE ARE NOTED. SIR HAS THE CAPABILITY TO STORE NON-SIR PROGRAMS AND OTHER FILES SO LONG AS THESE FILES ARE NORMAL TEXT AND ARE NO WIDER THAN 80 CHARACTERS. PROCEDURES ARE OUTLINED FOR BACKUP AND FILE MAINTENANCE, WITH DESCRIPTIONS OF DISK FILES AND TAPE BACKUP PROCEDURES FOR BOTH DATA BASES, STEPS REQUIRED TO RETIRE AN OLD TAPESET AND BEGIN A NEW ONE ARE NOTED, AND CARD FILE MAIN-TENANCE PROCEDURES ARE DESCRIBED. TO MINIMIZE THE AMOUNT OF WORK NECESSARY TO BACKUP AND DOCU-MENT THE DATA BASES AND ASSOCIATED FILES, BACKUP DOCUMENTATION PROCEDURES ARE ALSO BRIEFLY DE-SCRIBED.

140. LOS ANGELES REGIONAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING BOARD, 304 SOUTH BROADWAY, LOS ANGELES CA 90013.

DIVERSION EVALUATION SPECIFICATION. 149 p. 1975.

NCJ-28469

EVALUATION CRITERIA ARE PRESENTED FOR THE JUVENILE DIVERSION PROGRAMS INCLUDED IN THE PROJECT HEAVY (HUMAN EFFORTS AIMED AT VITALIZING YOUTH) ORGANIZA-TION. PROJECT HEAVY IS AN EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM IN LOS ANGELES (CA) TO CONSOLIDATE JUVENILE COURT DI-VERSION PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS IN THE CENTRAL CITY HIGH DELINQUENCY AREA. THIS SPECIFICATION DEFINES EVALUATION, EXAMINES THE TYPES OF AND APPROACHES TO EVALUATION, AND CONSIDERS THE USE OF EVALUATION IN SUPPORTING DECISIONS. IT COVERS THE POINTS MOST LIKELY TO BE OF CONCERN TO THE DIVERSION PROJECTS' MANAGERS AND ADMINISTRATORS. IT FOCUSES ON AP-PROACHES THAT WILL PROVIDE MEANINGFUL INFORMATION ADEQUATE FOR MAKING DECISIONS CONCERNING THE DEGREE OF PROGRAM IMPACT OR SUCCESS. FURTHER, IT PROVIDES FOR THOSE INVOLVED IN DIVERSION PROGRAM EVALUATION, SUGGESTED GUIDELINES, PROCEDURES, AND INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS. THIS MINIMUM SET OF CRI-TERIA MUST BE INCLUDED IN ANY EVALUATION EFFORT UN-DERTAKEN BY THE INDIVIDUAL PROJECT.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION, 633 INDIANA AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20531.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

141. G. MACGREGOR and A. ST GEORGE. EVALUATION OF STATE AND LOCAL PROGRAMS—A PRIMER. NEW MEXICO STATE PLANNING OFFICE, GREER BUILDING, 505 DON GASPER, SANTA FE NM 87503. 125 p. 1976.

NCJ-38262 THIS PRIMER IS DESIGNED FOR INTERNAL EVALUATORS, CONTRACT MANAGERS, GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS, AND OTHER PERSONS CHARGED WITH EVALUATION OF SMALL SCALE PROGRAMS WHO HAVE LITTLE FORMAL TRAINING IN ITS MORE TECHNICAL ASPECTS. IT WAS WRITTEN SPECIFI-CALLY FOR CONTRACT MANAGERS AND INTERNAL EVALUA-TORS WHO ARE REQUIRED BY LEAA OR TITLE XX TO EVALU-ATE PROGRAMS BUT MAY NOT HAVE THE EXPERTISE. BASIC ENOUGH TO BE GENERALIZED TO MANY DIFFERENT TYPES OF PROGRAMS, IT IS MEANT TO PROVIDE A STARTING POINT FOR PROGRAMS AND AGENCIES INITIATING EVALUA-TION EFFORTS, IT ALSO SERVES AS THE BASIS FOR A NEW MEXICO TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM IN EVALUATION WHICH ASSISTS CLIENTS IN CONDUCTING AN EVALUATION FROM ITS BEGINNING TO COMPLETION. THE PRIMER GIVES THE READER AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK OF EVALUATION, THE ROLE OF THE EVALU-ATOR, PLANNING AND MANAGING AN EVALUATION, CONDI-TIONS NECESSARY TO CONDUCT PROGRAM EVALUATION, MEASUREMENT, EVALUATION DESIGN, SAMPLING TECH-NIQUES, DATA COLLECTION, DATA ANALYSIS, AND INTEGRA-TION OF EVALUATION FINDINGS. APPENDED MATERIALS IN-CLUDE READINGS ON MANAGING THE EVALUATION PRO-GRAM, EVALUATION REPORT CONTENT FORMAT. BASIC STEPS INVOLVED IN GOAL FORMATION AND OBJECTIVE SET-TING, AND THE ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF DIF-FERENT TYPES OF SAMPLES. TWO BIBLIOGRAPHIES ARE ALSO PROVIDED. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED)

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE, 330 INDEPENDENCE AVENUE, SW, WASHINGTON DC 20201.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM; PROJECT SHARE, P O BOX 2309, ROCKVILLE MD 20852.

142. G. J. MCCALL. OBSERVING THE LAW—APPLICATIONS OF FIELD METHODS TO THE STUDY OF THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM. 214 p. 1975. NCJ-30443

THIS MONOGRAPH REVIEWS THE RANGE OF EXISTING FIELD METHODS IN SOCIAL RESEARCH AND DESCRIBES APPLICA-TIONS OF THESE METHODS, TECHNIQUES, AND RESEARCH DESIGNS TO THE STUDY OF SELECTED TOPICS IN CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE. THE AUTHOR FIRST REVIEWS SUCH METHODS OF DATA COLLECTION AS DIRECT OBSERVATION, INTERVIEWING, TESTS AND QUESTIONNAIRES, AND ANALY-SIS OF RECORDS. ELEMENTS OF RESEARCH DESIGN ARE THEN OUTLINED, AND THE TYPES OF RESEARCH DESIGNS-SURVEYS, EXPERIMENTS, PARTICIPANT OBSERVATION, AND JOINT DESIGNS FOR FIELD RESEARCH—ARE DESCRIBED. THE AUTHOR THEN DISCUSSES FIELD RESEARCH METHODS IN RELATION TO OBSERVATION OF CRIMINALS AND THE VICTIM, COMMUNITY, OBSERVATIONS OF THE POLICE, STUD-IES OF PROSECUTION AND DEFENSE FUNCTIONS AND PRO-GRAMS, OBSERVATIONS OF COURT FUNCTIONS, AND INVES-TIGATIONS OF CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES AND PROGRAMS. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED)

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCA-TION, AND WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MENTAL HEALTH, 5600 FISHERS LANE, ROCKVILLE, MD 20852.

Availability: GPO Stock Order No. 017-024-00452-9; NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

143. J. L. MCKINNEY and D. L. CHRISTENSEN. EVALUATION PLAN WORKBOOK—THE HOW-TO BOOK FOR PROPOSAL WRITERS AND PROJECT MANAGERS. MASSACHUSETTS COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 110 TREMONT STREET, BOSTON MA 02108. 107 p. 1979. NCJ-66385 DESIGNED PRIMARILY AS A TOOL FOR INTRODUCTORY WORKSHOPS AND FOR MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL (PAR-

TICULARLY PROJECT DIRECTORS), THIS WORKBOOK PRE-SENTS THE STEPS FOR PLANNING A SYSTEM FOR PROJECT MONITORING OR EVALUATION. OVERVIEWS HELP PORTRAY A PROJECT ANALYSIS, A MONITORING PLAN, AND AN EVALU-ATION PLAN. AN OUTLINE OF THE STEPS INVOLVED IN WRIT-ING A PROBLEM STATEMENT DURING THE COURSE OF A PROJECT ANALYSIS ADDRESSES THE IDENTIFICATION OF THE PROBLEM, THE MENTION OF A SPECIFIC CRIME OR TYPE OF CRIME, THE INDICATION OF A SPECIFIC JURISDIC-TION OR SPONSORING AGENCY OF THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM, THE DESIGNATION OF THE TARGET POPULATION, AND THE LOCATION OF THE PROJECT IN THE APPROPRIATE GEOGRAPHIC AREA. EXERCISES ILLUSTRATE THE CONVER-SION OF PROJECT STATEMENTS INTO PROJECT GOALS AND A SET OF PROJECT OBJECTIVES. INSTRUCTIONS FOR WRIT-ING MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES FOCUS (1) ON HOW TO IDEN-TIFY OBSERVABLE BEHAVIORS OF CONDITIONS THAT WILL OCCUR IF AN OBJECTIVE IS BEING MET, (2) HOW TO DE-SCRIBE THESE BEHAVIORS OR CONDITIONS CONCRETELY, AND (3) HOW TO CONVERT THESE BEHAVIORS INTO MEAS-URES FOR BOTH INDIVIDUAL CLIENTS AND THE PROJECT. A BASIC MONITORING PROJECT PLAN IS PRESENTED TO HELP ANSWER QUESTIONS REGARDING PROJECT PRODUCTIVITY, CLIENT PROGRESS THROUGH THE PROJECT, NEEDED RE-SOURCES, AND PROJECT ADMINISTRATION. FINALLY, THREE RESEARCH DESIGNS ARE PRESENTED THAT USE RANDOM-SELECTED AND COMPARISON GROUPS AND BEFORE-AND-AFTER MEASUREMENTS OF CLIENT BEHAVIOR. ILLUSTRATIVE TABLES AND EXERCISES ARE INCLUDED FOR EACH CHAPTER, AND A BIBLIOGRAPHY AND ANSWERS TO THE EXERCISES ARE GIVEN.

Sponsoring Agencies: MASSACHUSETTS COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 110 TREMONT STREET, BOSTON MA 02108; US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION, 633 INDIANA AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20531; NORTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE RESEARCH CENTER, 360 HUNTINGTON AVENUE, BOSTON MA 02115.

Availability: MASSACHUSETTS COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 110 TREMONT STREET, BOSTON MA 02108.

144. S. L. MEHAY. EVALUATING THE PERFORMANCE OF A
GOVERNMENTAL STRUCTURE THE CASE OF CONTRACT
LAW ENFORCEMENT. UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS
ANGELES INSTITUTE OF GOVERNMENT AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS, LOS ANGELES CA 90024. 69 p. 1974.
NCJ-32815

THIS STUDY DESCRIBES EFFORTS TO DEVELOP USEFUL CRI-TERIA FOR EVALUATING THE RELATIVE PERFORMANCE OF ALTERNATIVE MUNICIPAL SERVICES DELIVERY SYSTEMS: IN THIS CASE, CONTRACT LAW ENFORCEMENT IN LOS ANGE-LES COUNTY. THE MAIN OBJECTIVE OF THIS STUDY WAS TO DESCRIBE THE GENERAL EVALUATIVE CRITERIA AND TO APPLY THEM SPECIFICALLY TO POLICE SERVICES, BOTH AS AN ILLUSTRATION OF THE METHODOLOGY EMPLOYED AND AS A DEVICE FOR COMPARING THE CONTRACT SYSTEM WITH SELF-PROVISION OF POLICE SERVICES THROUGH A CITY DEPARTMENT. IN THE FIRST SECTION OF THIS REPORT, THE RELEVANT CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING SERVICE DELIV-ERY THROUGH ALTERNATIVE STRUCTURES ARE PRESENT-ED AND DISCUSSED ALONG WITH A BRIEF REVIEW OF THE 'EVALUATION' LITERATURE. THE EMPIRICAL INDICATORS NECESSARY FOR ASSESSING THE PERFORMANCE OF CON-TRACT LAW ENFORCEMENT ARE THEN PRESENTED. TWO SEPARATE STRATEGIES WERE PURSUED TO DEVELOP THE NECESSARY INFORMATION TO EVALUATE THE MULTIPLE FACETS OF THE CONTRACT STRUCTURE FOR LAW EN-FORCEMENT SERVICES. FIRST, DATA WERE COLLECTED ON VARIOUS COMPONENTS OF PERFORMANCE AND CONVEN-TIONAL STATISTICAL TECHNIQUES WERE EMPLOYED TO ANALYZE THE DATA. SECOND, POLICE CHIEFS, CHOSEN FROM INDEPENDENT CITIES IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA COUNTIES WHERE COUNTY CONTRACTING IS PREVALENT, WERE INTERVIEWED. THE RESULTS ARE COMPARED WITH THE STATISTICAL RESULTS. FINALLY, SOME TRENDS IN THE CONTRACT LAW ENFORCEMENT PLAN ARE DISCUSSED. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED)

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

145. METROPOLITAN WASHINGTON COUNCIL OF GOVERN-MENTS, 1225 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20036; BALTIMORE REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL. EVALUATION DESIGN FOR CONCENTRATED CRIME REDUC-TION PROGRAMS IN MARYLAND. 91 p. 1973. NCJ-36687

THIS EVALUATION DESIGN DESCRIBES THE METHODS TO BE USED IN COMPLETING AN EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE PROGRAMS IN BRINGING ABOUT CRIME REDUCTION AND OTHER RELATED OBJECTIVES IN MARYLAND'S FOUR URBAN COUNTIES. THESE COUNTIES ARE BALTIMORE, ANNE ARUNDEL, PRINCE GEORGE'S AND MONTGOMERY. THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A DESCRIPTION OF THE PROGRAM CONCEPTS, SETS FORTH IN SOME DETAIL THE PLANNING STEPS THROUGH WHICH EACH OF THE COUNTIES WENT BEFORE IT DEVELOPED ITS GRANT APPLICATION, THE SPECIFIC PROGRAM IN EACH OF THE FOUR COUNTIES, THE EVALUATION DESIGN ITSELF FOR ALL PROGRAMS AS WELL AS FOR EACH PROGRAM UNIQUELY, AND AN EXPLANATION OF HOW TO MAKE USE OF THE EVALUATION TOOLS PROVIDED. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT)

Sponsoring Agency: MARYLAND GOVERNOR'S COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

146. M. M. MILLER, Ed. EVALUATING COMMUNITY TREATMENT PROGRAMS—TOOLS, TECHNIQUES, AND A CASE STUDY. 139 p. 1975. NCJ-32810

THIS BOOK PROVIDES USEFUL INFORMATION, GUIDELINES, AND IDEAS FOR THE EVALUATION OF COMMUNITY TREAT-MENT PROGRAMS FOR OFFENDERS. IT DESCRIBES EVALUA-TION TECHNIQUES AND APPROACHES AND PRESENTS A CASE STUDY OF AN INTERNAL EVALUATION PRODUCED BY SOCIAL, EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, INC. (SERD) OF AN EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM OPERATED BY THE FIRM FOR OVER TWO YEARS (1971-1973). (SERD IS A DIS-TRICT OF COLUMBIA-BASED HUMAN DEVELOPMENT, SOCIAL SCIENCE, RESEARCH, AND CONSULTING ORGANIZATION ES-TABLISHED IN 1964.) THE CASE STUDY PROVIDES A COM-PARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE PRIVATELY-OPERATED SERD/ CONGRESS HEIGHTS HUMAN DEVELOPMENT CENTER PRO-GRAM WITH A SIMILAR PROGRAM OPERATED BY A PUBLIC AGENCY. IN ADDITION, IT SHOWS STEPBY-STEP, CONCRETE WAYS IN WHICH EVALUATION CAN BE EFFECTIVELY AP-PLIED, OUTLINING THE ENTIRE PROCESS OF HOW THE EVAL-UATION WAS DESIGNED, THE QUESTIONS IT WAS SUPPOSED TO ANSWER, THE TECHNIQUES USED TO GET THE AN-SWERS, AND THE CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS THAT RESULTED FROM THE EVALUATION. (THE SERD/CON-GRESS HEIGHTS HUMAN DEVELOPMENT CENTER WAS A COMMUNITY-BASED RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT FACILITY FOR CONVICTED YOUTHFUL FELONS, CONFINED TO THE DIS-TRICT OF COLUMBIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS' TWO YOUTH CENTERS IN LORTON, VIRGINIA. THE MAJOR THRUST OF THE CENTER'S COUNSELING PROGRAM WAS TO PRO-VIDE THE DIRECTION, STIMULI, AND SUPPORT NECESSARY TO ASSIST RESIDENTS IN SECURING EMPLOYMENT, TO REMAIN DRUG-FREE, AND TO PLAN AND PREPARE FOR RE-LEASE OR PAROLE.) THIS REPORT ALSO DESCRIBES AND IN-CLUDES SAMPLE OF BASIC FORMS, PROCEDURES, AND SYS-TEMS NECESSARY TO PROVIDING AN ADEQUATE DATA BASE FOR EVALUATION. IN ADDITION, A SAMPLE FORMAT IS PRESENTED FOR A MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM TESTED AND USED BY SERD TO TRACK PROGRAM RESIDENTS AND CLIENTS. A TEN-PAGE BIBLIOGRAPHY AND AN INDEX ARE INCLUDED. THE APPENDIX CONTAINS A GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODOLOGY EMPLOYED IN THE SERD CASE STUDY EVALUATION.

Availability: D C HEATH AND COMPANY, 125 SPRING STREET, LEXINGTON MA 02173.

147. R. H. MOOS. EVALUATING CORRECTIONAL AND COMMU-NITY SETTINGS. 399 p. 1975. NCJ-44172 THE DEVELOPMENT AND USE OF NEW METHODS FOR EVAL-UATING THE SOCIAL ENVIRONMENTS OF INSTITUTIONAL AND COMMUNITY BASED CORRECTIONAL PROGRAMS ARE DISCUSSED. PART I, AN OVERVIEW, PROVIDES THE BASIC CONCEPTS WHEREBY ALL TYPES OF SOCIAL ENVIRON-MENTS CAN BE EVALUATED. PART II DISCUSSES THE THEO-RETICAL RATIONALE AND METHODOLOGY INVOLVED IN CONSTRUCTING THE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS ENVI-RONMENT SCALE (CIES), A TECHNIQUE THAT ASSESSES NINE DIMENSIONS OF THE SOCIAL CLIMATE OF CORREC-TIONAL PROGRAMS. PART III ILLUSTRATES THE USE OF THIS TECHNIQUE IN PROGRAM COMPARISONS AND EVALUA-TIONS. BEHAVIOR MODIFICATION AND TRANSACTIONAL ANALYSIS; THE IMPACT OF CORRECTIONAL PROGRAMS ON RESIDENT MORALE; MODES OF ADAPTATION AND COPING; THE RESULTS OF THE CIES IN EXPERIMENTAL GROUP HOMES AND CAMPS ADMINISTERED BY THE NEW YORK STATE DIVISION OF YOUTH; AND THE INTERACTION BE-TWEEN PROGRAM, STAFF, AND RESIDENTS ARE EXPLORED. PART IV PRESENTS THE APPLICATION OF THIS WORK TO COMMUNITY-BASED CORRECTIONAL PROGRAMS, TO FAMI-LIES, AND TO MILITARY COMPANIES. IN A DISCUSSION OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DELINQUENCY AND THE FAMILY, THE FAMILY ENVIRONMENT SCALE (FES), WHICH SYSTEMATICALLY ASSESSES THE 10 MOST SALIENT DIMEN-SIONS OF FAMILY CLIMATE, IS DEVELOPED. THE FINAL SEC-TION EXPLORES THE THE SIGNIFICANT IMPLICATIONS OF THIS WORK FOR ALL TYPES OF SOCIAL SETTINGS. CIES AND FES SCORING KEYS ARE APPENDED. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED).

Sponsoring Agencies: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MENTAL HEALTH, 5600 FISHERS LANE, ROCKVILLE, MD 20852; NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON ALCOHOL ABUSE AND ALCOHOLISM; NATIONAL COUNCIL ON CRIME AND DELINQUENCY NATIONAL CENTER FOR YOUTH DEVELOPMENT; STANFORD UNIVERSITY.

Availability: JOHN WILEY AND SONS, 605 THIRD AVENUE, NEW YORK NY 10016.

148. S. MOYER and S. B. HARRIS. SELF-EVALUATION IN COMMUNITY-BASED RESIDENTIAL CENTRES, V 1-GUIDE. ONTARIO SOLICITOR GENERAL, TORONTO, ONTARIO, 94 p. 1978. Canada. NCJ-46599 REASONS FOR SELF-EVALUATION, THE SETTING OF GOALS AND OBJECTIVES, DEVELOPING INDICATORS OF SUCCESS, PROGRAM MONITORING, AND MONITORING INSTRUMENTS ARE CONSIDERED. DEFINING THE OVERALL GOAL AND THE SPECIFIC, MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES OF THE HOUSE IS PRE-SENTED AS THE FIRST STEP IN THE SELF-EVALUATION PROCESS. IDENTIFYING AND DEVELOPING INDICATORS OF SUCCESS IN TERMS OF BOTH THE RESIDENT'S PERFORM-ANCE AND THE HOUSE STAFF EFFORTS FOR EACH OF THE SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES FOLLOWS. THE FINAL STEP CONSISTS OF MONITORING THE HOUSE PROGRAM IN ORDER TO KEEP TRACK OF WHAT IS HAPPENING FOR THE PURPOSE OF ESTI-MATING THE DEGREE OF SUCCESS OF THE HOUSE IN MEET-ING ITS OBJECTIVES. IT IS BELIEVED THAT THROUGH THESE STEPS ANY COMMUNITY-BASED RESIDENTIAL CENTER CAN IMPROVE UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROGRESS MADE BY THE RESIDENTS AND INCREASE COMMUNICATION AMONG THE STAFF, EVALUATE THE SUCCESS OF PARTICULAR AS-

PECTS OF THE HOUSE'S PROGRAM, AND EVALUATE THE OVERALL SUCCESS OF THE HOUSE. SAMPLES OF SELF-EVALUATION FORMS INCLUDED IN THE REPORT ARE INITIAL ASSESSMENT OF THE RESIDENT, WEEKLY PROGRESS REPORT, RESIDENT SUMMARY FORM, DAILY LOGS, RESIDENT EMPLOYMENT HISTORY, FOLLOWUP INFORMATION ON RESIDENT, AND ADMISSION FORM.

Sponsoring Agency: ONTARIO SOLICITOR GENERAL, TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA.

Availability: ONTARIO MINISTRY OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL OF CANADA COMMUNICATION DIVISION, OTTAWA, ONTARIO K1A 0P8, CANADA.

149. S. MOYER, S. B. HARRIS, and S. B. HARRIS.
SELF-EVALUATION IN COMMUNITY-BASED RESIDENTIAL
CENTRES, V 2—PROSPECTS AND PITFALLS. ONTARIO SOLICITOR GENERAL, TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA. 217 p.
1978. Canada. NCJ-46600

METHODOLOGY FOR DEVELOPING SELF-EVALUATION DESIGN IS PRESENTED, ALONG WITH THE SELECTION, PROGRAM, CHARACTERISTICS, AND STRATE-GIES OF THE HOUSES STUDIED. PROBLEMS AND PROS-PECTS OF EVALUATION ARE TREATED. THIS IS VOLUME 2 OF A 2-VOLUME REPORT OF A SELF-EVALUATION PROJECT IN-VOLVING COMMUNITY-BASED RESIDENTIAL CENTERS. VOLUME 1 CONTAINED THE STEPS NECESSARY FOR THE SELF-EVALUATION OF HOUSE PROGRAMS. VOLUME 2 IN-CLUDES THE METHOD AND RATIONALE FOR THE DEVELOP-MENT OF THE SELF-EVALUATION PROCESS, TOGETHER WITH A DISCUSSION OF THE INITIAL REACTIONS OF THE PARTICIPATING HOUSE STAFFS TO THE CONCEPT. A SAMPLE OF 26 AGENCIES VOLUNTEERED TO PARTICIPATE IN THE SELF-EVALUATION PROJECT. WHILE UNINTENDED, THE SAMPLE IS CLOSE TO BEING REPRESENTATIVE OF THE RANGE AND TYPE OF PRIVATELY-OPERATED HALFWAY HOUSES IN CANADA. THE VARIETY OF HOUSES VISITED IS CONSIDERED SUFFICIENT FOR AN ADEQUATE TEST OF THE PRINCIPLES AND MONITORING FORMS DEVELOPED FOR SELF-EVALUATIVE APPLICATION. THE PROGRAM ELEMENTS INVOLVED IN THE SAMPLE WERE ONE-TO-ONE COUNSELING, GROUP MEETINGS, THE HOUSE ATMOSPHERE OR MILIEU, AND EMPLOYMENT AND EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE. THE INTAKE POLICIES AND PRACTICES OF THE HOUSES VISITED ARE ALSO CONSIDERED. THE PROBLEMS OF THE HOUSE PROGRAMS ARE VIEWED MAINLY IN TERMS OF THEIR ABILI-TY TO MEET THEIR GOALS AND THE NATURE OF THEIR IN-TERNAL MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE, RECOMMENDATIONS ARE PRESENTED, SPECIFYING IMMEDIATE STEPS THAT MUST BE TAKEN IN HALFWAY HOUSE MONITORING AND EVALUATION. THE APPENDIX CONTAINS A REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE ON SELF-EVALUATIVE STUDIES AND COMMEN-TARIES, RESULTS OF EVALUATIONS, AND THE SYSTEM PER-SPECTIVE OF CORRECTIONAL EVALUATION.

Sponsoring Agency: ONTARIO SOLICITOR GENERAL, TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA. $\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline \end{tabular}$

Availability: ONTARIO MINISTRY OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL OF CANADA COMMUNICATION DIVISION, OTTAWA, ONTARIO K1A 0P8, CANADA.

150. S. NAGEL. WHAT'S NEW ABOUT CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION RESEARCH? 23 p. 1978. NCJ-53112
EVALUATION MODELS FOR DEDUCING THE EFFECTS OF ALTERNATIVE POLICIES BEFORE THEIR ADOPTION ARE DISCUSSED, ALONG WITH AN EVALUATION PROCEDURE FOR
DETERMINING AN OPTIMUM POLICY FOR ACHIEVING DEFINED GOALS. TWO RELATIVELY NEW VARIATIONS ON TRADITIONAL EVALUATION RESEARCH IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE
FIELD ARE CONSIDERED. ONE NEW DEVELOPMENT AIMS AT
DEDUCING THE EFFECTS OF ALTERNATIVE POLICIES

BEFORE THEIR ADOPTION, AS CONTRASTED TO THE MORE USUAL APPROACH OF EVALUATING POLICIES BEFORE AND AFTER THEY HAVE BEEN ADOPTED. THIS DEDUCTIVE MOD-ELING INVOLVES DETERMINING THE EFFECTS OF POLICIES FROM EMPIRICALLY TESTED PREMISES, ALTHOUGH SPECIF-IC APPLICATIONS OF THE PREMISES MAY NOT HAVE BEEN EMPIRICALLY TESTED. WHILE IT IS INDICATED THAT VAR-IOUS TYPES OF DEDUCTIVE MODELING MIGHT BE INVOLVED IN SUCH AN APPROACH, THE CLASSIFICATION CONSIDERED HAS TO DO WITH PREMISES RELATED TO TYPES OF DECI-SIONMAKING. SPECIFICALLY CONSIDERED ARE: (1) MODELS OF GROUP DECISIONMAKING, SUCH AS EFFECTS ON CON-VICTION RATES OF CHANGING THE SIZE OF JURIES; (2) MODELS OF BILATERAL DECISIONMAKING, SUCH AS THE EF-FECTS ON THE JAIL POPULATION OF INCREASED PRETRIAL RELEASE WHICH FEEDS THROUGH THE PLEA BARGAINING SYSTEM; AND (3) MODELS OF INDIVIDUAL DECISIONMAKING, SUCH AS THE EFFECTS OF REQUIRING JUDGES TO PUBLI-CIZE THEIR DECISIONAL PROPENSITIES AND OTHER PER-FORMANCE INDICATORS. A SECOND NEW DEVELOPMENT DEALS WITH DETERMINING AN OPTIMUM POLICY OR COMBI-NATION OF POLICIES FOR ACHIEVING A GIVEN GOAL OR SET OF GOALS. THIS APPROACH IS TO BE CONTRASTED WITH THE MORE COMMON ONE WHERE POLICIES ARE ESTAB-LISHED FIRST AND THE EVALUATOR ATTEMPTS TO DETER-MINE THE EXTENT TO WHICH DESIRED GOALS HAVE BEEN ACHIEVED. THE MODELS DISCUSSED DEAL WITH THE FOL-LOWING GENERAL SITUATIONS: (1) DETERMINING OPTIMUM POLICY LEVEL WHERE DOING TOO MUCH OR TOO LITTLE MAY BE UNDESIRABLE; (2) DETERMINING OPTIMUM POLICY WHERE SCARCE RESOURCES NEED TO BE ALLOCATED; AND (3) DETERMINING OPTIMUM CHOICE AMONG DISCRETE AL-TERNATIVES, ESPECIALLY UNDER CONDITIONS OF UNCER-TAINTY. IT IS BELIEVED THE APPROACHES SUGGESTED CAN HELP TO AVOID THE METHODOLOGICAL AND NORMATIVE DEFECTS OF THE TRADITIONAL CROSS-SECTIONAL OR TIME SERIES ANALYSIS OF POLICIES OR TREATMENTS. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED)

Supplemental Notes: PRESENTED AT THE SECOND NATIONAL WORKSHOP ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION, WASHINGTON, DC, NOVEMBER 20-21, 1978.

Sponsoring Agencies: FORD FOUNDATION, 320 EAST 43RD STREET, NEW YORK NY 10017; ILLINOIS LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMISSION, 120 SOUTH RIVERSIDE PLAZA, 10TH FLOOR, CHICAGO IL 60606.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

151. M. PEDRAJO, M. KEATING, and S. WEBER. DADE COUNTY (FL)-METHODOLOGY FOR THE EVALUATION OF EX-OFFENDER PROJECTS. DADE COUNTY CRIMINAL JUS-TICE PLANNING UNIT. 52 p. 1974. NCJ-16894 INCLUDES MODEL OBJECTIVES FOR VARIOUS TYPES OF SERVICES FOR EX-OFFENDERS, SITE VISIT REPORTS, SUG-GESTED DATA ITEMS, SAMPLE DATA COLLECTION AND CLIENT INTERVIEW FORMS, AND STANDARDS FOR A MODEL PROGRAM. MODEL OBJECTIVES FOR EX-OFFENDER SERV-ICES PROJECTS, RESIDENTIAL PROJECTS, VOLUNTEER SERVICE PROJECTS, AND A COORDINATING PROJECT ARE INCLUDED. PROJECT SUMMARIES AND ON-SITE REPORTS FOR EIGHT PROJECTS ARE PRESENTED. PERSONAL IDENTI-FYING INFORMATION, LEGAL STATUS AND HISTORY, SERV-ICE NEEDS IDENTIFIED BY EX-OFFENDER AND STAFF, SERV-ICES PROVIDED BY THE EX-OFFENDER PROGRAM, AND AD-MINISTRATIVE DATA ARE THE DATA ITEMS SUGGESTED. STANDARDS AND GOALS IN ADMINISTRATION, PROGRAM. AND PERSONNEL FOR EX-OFFENDER PROGRAMS ARE DE-

Sponsoring Agency: FLORIDA BUREAU OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING AND ASSISTANCE, ROOM 530, CALTON BUILDING, TALLAHASSEE FL 32304.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

152. D. M. PETERSEN, Ed. POLICE WORK—STRATEGIES AND OUTCOMES IN LAW ENFORCEMENT. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC., 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212. 128 p. 1979. FACTORS AFFECTING POLICE EFFECTIVENESS AND EFFI-CIENCY ARE DISCUSSED, AND WAYS OF MEASURING POLICE OUTPUT ARE CONSIDERED IN THIS SERIES OF RESEARCH PAPERS. IN THE OPENING PRESENTATION, QUANTITATIVE TECHNIQUES ARE USED TO STUDY THE IMPACT OF EDUCA-TIONAL EXPERIENCES ON SPECIFIC POLICE ATTITUDES. THE FINDING FROM A LITERATURE REVIEW THAT ATTITUDES ARE NOT SIGNIFICANTLY RELATED TO EDUCATIONAL BACK-GROUNDS OF PATROL OFFICERS LEADS TO THE CONCLU-SION THAT POLICE PERFORMANCE OR BEHAVIORAL MEAS-URES ARE THE PREFERRED DEPENDENT VARIABLES FOR EXAMINING THE RELEVANCE OF VARIOUS TYPES OF EDUCA-TION TO POLICING. A SECOND STUDY EXAMINES THE INTER-ACTION PATTERNS BETWEEN A CORRUPT MUNICIPAL POLIT-ICAL CULTURE AND AN HONEST MUNICIPAL POLICE AGENCY THROUGH CASE STUDIES OF THE OAKLAND, CALIF., NEW-BURG, N.Y., AND LOS ANGELES, CALIF., POLICE AGENCY-POLITICAL CULTURE INTERACTIONS DURING SELECTED PE-RIODS. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN POLICE CYNICISM AND WORK ALIENATION INITIALLY POSITED BY NIEDERHOFFER IS EXAMINED EMPIRICALLY, AND CATEGORIES ARE DETER-MINED OF OFFICERS FOR WHICH CYNICISM AND WORK ALIENATION ARE SIGNIFICANTLY RELATED. USING POLICE RESPONSE TIME AS THE MEASURE OF PATROL SERVICE EQUITY AND EFFICIENCY, ONE PAPER PROPOSES A METHOD WHEREBY EQUITY AND EFFICIENCY ARE SIMULTANEOUSLY MAXIMIZED WITHIN GIVEN RESOURCE LIMITS. THE RELA-TIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE PER CAPITA NUMBER OF POLICE, THE CRIME RATE, AND VARIABLES CAUSALLY RELATED TO THE CRIME RATE ARE DETERMINED FOR 252 NORTHERN AND NORTHEASTERN SUBURBS, SHOWING A POSITIVE COR-RELATION BETWEEN POLICE FORCE AND CRIME RATE IN-CREASE. A SIXTH STUDY PURSUES THIS SAME THEME USING THREE SEPARATE POLICE-RESOURCE DEPENDENT VARIABLES AND CRIME RATES REPORTED FROM THE UNI-FORM CRIME REPORTS AND THE CENTRAL CITIES SAMPLE VICTIMIZATION SURVEYS. IN THE FINAL PAPER, AN INDEX IS DEVISED FOR MEASURING OVERALL POLICE OUTPUT RE-FLECTING DEGREE OF EFFICIENCY IN ACTUAL AND EXPECT-ED TASK PERFORMANCE. TABULAR AND GRAPHIC DATA, FOOTNOTES, AND REFERENCES ARE PROVIDED THROUGH-OUT.

Supplemental Notes: SAGE RESEARCH PROGRESS SERIES IN CRIMINOLOGY.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

153. T. H. POISTER, J. C. MCDAVID, and A. H. MAGOUN. AP-PLIED PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR SMALL AND MEDIUM-SIZE CITIES. PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION, 211 BURROWES BUILDING, UNIVERSITY PARK PA 16802. 222 p. 1977. NCJ-54511

PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS EVALUATION, AS APPLIED BY SMALL AND MEDIUM-SIZED CITIES, IS DISCUSSED, AND TWO CASE STUDIES OF EVALUATION IN PENNSYLVANIA INVOLVING HOUSING REHABILITATION AND CRIME PREVENTION ARE CITED. THE EVALUATION OF PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS INVOLVES DETERMINING THE EXTENT TO WHICH A PROGRAM IS ACHIEVING ITS INTENDED OBJECTIVES. AS SUCH, EFFECTIVENESS IS A PRIMARY MEASURE OF PROGRAM PERFORMANCE. MOVING THROUGH THE DESIGN AND CONDUCT OF PROGRAM EVALUATION REQUIRES THE EVALUATOR TO BECOME FAMILIAR WITH THE SUBSTANTIVE DESIGN AND INTENT OF A PROGRAM, TO DEVELOP MEASURES THAT WILL INDICATE WHETHER A PROGRAM IS OPERATING AS INTENDED, AND TO COLLECT AND ANALYZE

REAL-WORLD DATA. THE EVALUATION APPROACH OUTLINED IN THE REPORT CONSISTS OF SEVEN STEPS: (1) IDENTIFICA-TION OF OBJECTIVES AND SPECIFICATION OF PROGRAM DESIGN, (2) DEVELOPMENT OF EVALUATIVE CRITERIA AND A STATEMENT OF RESEARCH QUESTIONS, (3) DEVELOPMENT OF MEASURES AND IDENTIFICATION OF DATA SOURCES, (4) DESIGN OF THE OVERALL RESEARCH APPROACH, (5) DATA COLLECTION AND PROCESSING, (6) DATA ANALYSIS AND IN-TERPRETATION, AND (7) REPORT WRITING AND DISSEMINA-THE TWO CASE STUDIES FEDERALLY-FUNDED PROGRAMS. THE HARRISBURG HOUS-ING REHABILITATION PROGRAM IS PART OF AN ONGOING COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM UTILIZING FUNDS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVEL-OPMENT. THE YORK CRIME PREVENTION PROGRAM, FOCUS-ING PRIMARILY ON BURGLARIES, IS FUNDED BY THE LEAA. BOTH PROGRAMS REPRESENT LOCAL GOVERNMENT RE-SPONSE TO PRIORITY PROBLEMS. THEY UTILIZE SOMEWHAT SIMILAR EVALUATION TECHNIQUES IN THAT BOTH ARE TAR-GETED ON NEIGHBORHOOD OR CITY BLOCKS; THEY ARE ORIENTED TOWARD GEOGRAPHIC AREAS RATHER THAN TOWARD INDIVIDUAL CLIENT CHARACTERISTICS. DIFFERENT ANALYTICAL APPROACHES, HOWEVER, WERE EMPLOYED FOR EVALUATION WITHIN A QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN FRAMEWORK. EVALUATION PROCEDURES FOR THE TWO PROGRAMS ARE DETAILED, AND CONSIDERATION IS GIVEN TO CONSTRAINTS ON EVALUATION, THE INTERPRETATION OF EVALUATION RESULTS, AND THE USEFULNESS OF EVAL-UATIONS. EVALUATION-RELATED FORMS AND DATA ARE AP-PENDED. A BIBLIOGRAPHY IS PROVIDED.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION, 400 MARYLAND AVENUE, SW, WASHINGTON DC 20203.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

154. J. REYNOLDS. MANAGEMENT-ORIENTED CORRECTIONS EVALUATION GUIDELINES. CENTER FOR HUMAN SERV-83 p. 1979 NCJ-43344 THIS MANUAL, WRITTEN FOR CORRECTIONS ADMINISTRA-TORS AND **EVALUATORS PARTICIPATING** 'MANAGEMENT-ORIENTED CORRECTIONS EVALUATION' WORKSHOPS, DEALS SPECIFICALLY WITH A MANAGEMENT APPROACH TO PROGRAM EVALUATION. THE SUCCESS OF THESE WORKSHOPS AND THE USEFULNESS OF THE GUIDE-LINES ON WHICH THEY WERE BASED HAS DEMONSTRATED THAT EVALUATION CAN BE AN EFFECTIVE INSTRUMENT FOR MANAGING AND IMPROVING THE CORRECTIONAL SUBSYS-TEM OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE. THE GUIDELINES DESCRIBE A GENERIC EVALUATION PROCESS THAT CAN BE EASILY ADAPTED TO A WIDE VARIETY OF CORRECTIONS PRO-GRAMS. THE MANUAL PRESENTS THE EVALUATION PROC-ESS IN THE FOLLOWING PHASES: SELECTING THE EVALUA-TION TOPIC; DEVELOPING THE EVALUATION PLAN, INCLUD-ING JUDGMENT STEPS, DATA PROCESSING STEPS, AND MANAGEMENT; AND CONDUCTING AND MANAGING THE EVALUATION. APPENDIXES PROVIDE A SUMMARY OF THE STEPS IN THE EVALUATION PROCESS AND WORKSHEETS. TABULAR DATA AND TWO APPENDIXES ARE INCLUDED. (AUTHOR: ABSTRACT MODIFIED)

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LEAA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 633 INDIANA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20531.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

155. A. L. SCHNEIDER, P. R. SCHNEIDER, L. A. WILSON II, W. R. GRIFFITH, J. F. MEDLER, and H. F. FEINMAN. HANDBOOK FOR RESOURCES FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATORS. INSTITUTE OF POLICY ANALYSIS, 777 HIGH STREET, SUITE 222, EUGENE OR 97401. 523 p. 1978. NCJ-62064 TO HELP EVALUATORS, PLANNERS, AND DECISIONMAKERS PRODUCE EFFECTIVE CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATIONS,

THIS HANDBOOK DESCRIBES TECHNIQUES TO OVERCOME TYPICAL PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION. AN OVERVIEW OF THE PROBLEMS IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION IS PRESENTED, AND NUMEROUS PROBLEMSOLVING TECHNIQUES ARE DESCRIBED, FOCUS-ING ON PROCEDURES TO OVERCOME TECHNICAL PROB-LEMS (REVIEWING THREATS TO VALIDITY, TIME SERIES DE-SIGNS, PREDICTION METHODS, DETERMINING APPROPRIATE SAMPLE SIZES IN EVALUATION, RELIABILITY AND VALIDITY PROBLEMS, MEASURING CHANGE IN THE CRIME RATE, MEA-SUREMENT STRATEGIES FOR DETERMINING CITIZEN POLICY PREFERENCES, ETC.). A DISCUSSION OF TECHNIQUES FOR IMPROVING THE UTILITY OF EVALUATION FINDINGS IN PLAN-NING, PROJECT OPERATION, AND DECISIONMAKING EXAM-INES A SYSTEMS APPROACH TO EVALUATION, ALTERNATIVE APPROACHES FOR ESTABLISHING THE CRITERIA OF SUC-CESS, COST-BENEFIT AND COST-EFFECTIVENESS EVALUA-TION, AND THE ROLE OF EVALUATION IN RATIONAL AND BARGAINING DECISIONMAKING PROCESSES. IN ADDITION, EIGHT EVALUATIONS OF PROJECTS WITHIN THE STATE OF WASHINGTON ARE PRESENTED TO DEMONSTRATE THEIR IN-NOVATIVE OR EXEMPLARY APPROACHES FOR OVERCOMING PROBLEMS IN FIELD EVALUATION AND TO ILLUSTRATE PRIN-CIPLES DISCUSSED IN THIS VOLUME. TECHNIQUES FOR PRO-TECTING THE CONFIDENTIALITY AND PRIVACY OF DATA ARE ILLUMINATED THROUGH DISCUSSIONS OF THE TYPES OF FORMS NEEDED, THE ACTUAL EXAMPLES OF PROCEDURES THAT WERE USED, AND THE ISSUES INVOLVED IN OBTAIN-ING INFORMED CONSENT. MOREOVER, THE MOST RECENT LEAA REGULATIONS CONCERNING THE PRIVACY, CONFIDEN-TIALITY, SECURITY, AND PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS ARE INCLUDED. SOME REFERENCE SERVICES AND BIBLIO-GRAPHIC MATERIALS FOR THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALU-ATOR ARE PRESENTED TABULAR DATA, CHARTS, GRAPHS, AND SAMPLE FORMS ARE INCLUDED.

Supplemental Notes: REPRINT.

Sponsoring Agencies: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LEAA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 633 INDIANA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20531; WASHINGTON LAW AND JUSTICE PLANNING OFFICE, INSURANCE BUILDING, ROOM 107, OLYMPIA WA 98504.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

156. J. R. SEABERG and D. F. GILLESPIE. BASELINE EVALUATION—EVALUATING CONSISTENCY BETWEEN FEDERAL STANDARDS AND LOCAL PROVISIONS. JOURNAL OF SOCIOLOGY AND SOCIAL WELFARE INCORPORATED SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, WEST HARTFORD CT 06117. JOURNAL OF SOCIOLOGY AND SOCIAL WELFARE, V 6, N 5 (SEPTEMBER 1979), P 657-672.

NCJ-60929 A METHODOLOGY IS PROPOSED FOR EVALUATING LOCAL SERVICE DELIVERY PROGRAMS IN THE LIGHT OF FEDERAL STANDARDS PRESCRIBED FOR MEETING PARTICULAR SOCIAL NEEDS. THE PRIMARY FEATURE DISTINGUISHING BA-SELINE EVALUATION FROM OTHER EVALUATION FORMS IS THE EXISTENCE OF A PREDETERMINED SET OF OBJECTIVES AND SUB-OBJECTIVES IMPOSED ON THE PROGRAM EVALU-ATED FROM OUTSIDE THE PROGRAM'S DEVELOPMENT PROCESS. WITH THE PROGRAM OBJECTIVES THUS PREDE-TERMINED, THE PRIMARY CONSIDERATION IS HOW TO MEASURE AT A PARTICULAR TIME THE EXTENT TO WHICH ACTUAL PROGRAM PROCESSES AND OUTCOMES COMPARE WITH SET OBJECTIVES. IN A CASE EXAMPLE, A CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT PROGRAM IS EVALUATED AGAINST 'FEDERAL STANDARDS ON THE PREVENTION, IDENTIFICATION, AND TREATMENT OF CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT'. IN FIELD TESTING THE BASELINE EVALUATION PROCEDURE, MEA-SUREMENT FOR ALL ITEMS, OTHER THAN SERVICE ITEMS, WAS ACCOMPLISHED BY ANSWERING THESE QUESTIONS FOR EACH ITEM: (1) ARE EXISTING PROVISIONS CONSISTENT WITH THOSE PRESCRIBED IN THE STANDARDS? (2) IF NOT.

HOW DO THEY VARY? (3) ARE THERE ANY PLANS FOR CHANGING EXISTING PROVISIONS OR DEVELOPING NEW ONES RELATED TO THE MAIN THEMES OF THE STANDARDS? AND (4) TO ACHIEVE THESE CHANGES, WHAT PROBLEMS WOULD NEED CONFRONTING? ATTENDANT TO THE BASIC DESIGN OF THE BASELINE EVALUATION PROCEDURE WAS THE PROBLEM OF CASTING THE SUBSTANCE OF THE STANDARDS INTO MEASURABLE UNITS OF ANALYSIS. THIS WAS DONE BY TREATING THE PRESCRIBED GUIDELINES FOR MEETING THE STANDARDS AS UNITS FOR MEASUREMENT. DATA SOURCES FOR ANSWERING THE EVALUATION QUESTIONS ARE IDENTIFIED, AND PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINING RELIABILITY AND VALIDITY AND INTERPRETING INFORMATION ARE DESCRIBED. REFERENCES ARE PROVIDED.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE OFFICE OF CHILD DEVELOPMENT, WASHINGTON DC 20201.

157. J. R. SEABERG, D. F. GILLESPIE, J. LONG, and J. CONTE. SURVEY OF MEASURES AVAILABLE FOR EVALUATION OF CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS. UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK, SEATTLE WA 98195. 105 p. 1975. REPORT ON A NATIONAL CENTER ON CHILD ABUSE AND NE-GLECT PROJECT TO DEVELOP MEASURES WHICH CAN BE USED IN A SUMMATIVE EVALUATION OF THE CENTER'S SERIES OF DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS. A SET OF 140 QUESTIONS WAS PROVIDED TO SERVE AS A GUIDE TO THE MEASURES WHICH WERE REQUIRED. THE FIRST STEP IN THE PREPARATION OF THIS REPORT WAS A SURVEY OF AVAILABLE MEASURES. THE SUBSTANTIVE ELEMENT OF THE REPORT IS PRESENTED IN THREE MAJOR SECTIONS-PSYCHOMETRICSOCIOMETRIC MEASURES (RESULTS OF THE MEASURE SURVEY); COUNTING, LISTING MEASURES; AND OPEN-ENDED QUESTION MEASURES. THE REPORT PRO-VIDES THE VARIABLE NAME, A DEFINITION OR DISCUSSION OF THE DIMENSIONS OF THE VARIABLE, MEASURES RECOM-MENDED FOR PROJECT STAFF USE, MEASURES RECOM-MENDED FOR EVALUATOR USE, AND MEASURES NOT REC-OMMENDED. THE REPORT CONCLUDES THAT RELATIVELY FEW MEASURES OF THE TYPE DESIGNATED AS APPROPRI-ATE ACTUALLY EXIST AND NOT MANY MORE EXIST WHICH MIGHT BE APPROPRIATE FOR EVALUATOR USE WITH SAM-PLES OF THE TOTAL POPULATION SERVED BY THESE DEM-ONSTRATION PROGRAMS. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED)

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE NATIONAL CENTER ON CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT, 468 INDEPENDENCE AVENUE SW, WASHINGTON, DC 20013.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

158. R. P. SEITER. CONSIDERATIONS FOR CONDUCTING EVAL-UATIVE RESEARCH. 17 p. NCJ-37068 AFTER CRITICIZING CURRENT EVALUATION APPROACHES IN COMMUNITY BASED CORRECTIONS, THE AUTHOR DIS-CUSSES THE IMPORTANCE OF SETTING EVALUATION GOALS AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND PROPOSES A NEW, AL-TERNATIVE OUTCOME MEASURE. THE AUTHOR NOTES THAT TWO SPECIAL PROBLEMS IN EVALUATIONS OF COMMUNITY RESIDENTIAL PROGRAMS ARE: (1) DEFINING PROGRAM GOALS AND OBJECTIVES; AND (2) DETERMINING MEASURES OF OUTCOME. HE MAINTAINS THAT IN DEFINING PROGRAM GOALS AND OBJECTIVES, IT IS IMPORTANT TO REALISTICAL-LY IDENTIFY LONG RANGE GOALS, WHILE DETAILING SHORT TERM OBJECTIVES THAT RESULT FROM ACTUAL PROGRAM ACTIVITIES, LONG RANGE COMMUNITY TREATMENT GOALS MAY BE A REDUCTION IN RECIDIVISM: HOWEVER, SEVERAL INTERMEDIATE OBJECTIVES OR SUB-GOALS MAY BE MORE APPROPRIATE FOR USE IN EVALUATIVE TECHNIQUES. BASED ON THIS DISCUSSION, THE AUTHOR ARGUES THAT MEASURES OF OUTCOME SHOULD BE BASED ON PROGRAM GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. TRADITIONAL MEASURES ARE QUESTIONED, AND ALTERNATIVE OUTCOME MEASURES EX-AMINED. AN ALTERNATIVE OUTCOME MEASURE (RELATIVE ADJUSTMENT) WHICH COMBINES A GRADUATED SCALE OF CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR WITH A POSITIVE SCALE OF ACCEPT-ABLE BEHAVIOR IS PRESENTED. DATA FROM AN EVALUA-TION OF COMMUNITY RESIDENTIAL CENTERS IN OHIO IS PRESENTED AS AN EXAMPLE. FINALLY, RELATIVE ADJUST-MENT IS ALSO COMPARED TO EVALUATIVE RESULTS WHEN ONLY RECIDIVISM IS UTILIZED. THE AUTHOR CONCLUDES THAT ACCURATE ASSESSMENTS OF COMMUNITY RESIDEN-TIAL TREATMENT CENTERS CAN ONLY BE UNDERTAKEN USING METHODS SUCH AS THESE, WHICH COMBINE REALIS-TIC PROGRAM GOAL DEFINITION AND RELATED OUTCOME MEASURES. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT MODIFIED)

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION, 633 INDIANA AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20531.

Availability: INTERNATIONAL HALFWAY HOUSE ASSOCIATION, 2525 VICTORY PARKWAY, CINCINNATI OH 45206.

159. M. K. SNOOKS and H. C. DAUDISTEL. EMERGENCY MODEL FOR TRAINING EVALUATION RESEARCHERS IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES. 16 p. 1977. NCJ-54724

AN EDUCATIONAL MODEL FOR TRAINING PERSONS TO PER-FORM EVALUATION RESEARCH IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGEN-CIES AND PROGRAMS IS PRESENTED. IT IS BASED ON A 2-YEAR EVALUATIVE STUDY OF A GRADUATE TRAINING 'PRO-GRAM. ONGOING EVALUATION OF THE TRAINING PROGRAM CONSISTED OF TWO PHASES. FIRST, EMPHASIS WAS PLACED ON THE INTENDED FUNCTIONS OF THE EDUCATION-AL PROGRAM, WITH CRITERIA DEVELOPED FOR MEASURING THE ACHIEVEMENT OF TRAINING GOALS. SECOND, A SYS-TEMS ANALYSIS WAS CONDUCTED THAT FOCUSED ON VAR-IOUS COMPONENTS OF THE PROGRAM, INCLUDING PERSON-NEL, MATERIALS, AND PROCESSES AND RELATIONSHIPS. THE RESEARCH METHODOLOGY IN THE EVALUATION IN-CLUDED THE ANALYSIS OF PROJECT RECORDS AND OBSER-VATION OF INTERACTION AMONG PROGRAM PERSONNEL. THE TRAINING PROGRAM CONSISTED OF STIPEND SUPPORT FOR 16 MASTER'S DEGREE STUDENTS AND DEVELOPED FROM THE PREMISE THAT THESE STUDENTS COULD BE TRAINED TO PERFORM EVALUATION RESEARCH IN CRIMI-NAL JUSTICE AGENCIES. THE THRUST OF THE PROGRAM WAS TO GIVE TRAINEES A SOLID AND TRADITIONAL BACK-GROUND IN SOCIOLOGY, WITH ADDITIONAL COURSE WORK IN EVALUATION RESEARCH AND FIELD WORK IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES. THE TRAINING PROGRAM WAS RELA-TIVELY SUCCESSFUL IN TERMS OF THE CURRICULUM ASPECT, BUT PROBLEMS WERE ENCOUNTERED IN THE FIELD WORK ASPECT. FACTORS AFFECTING COMPLAINTS ABOUT FIELD WORK WERE THAT STUDENTS FELT INAD-EQUATELY PREPARED TO TAKE ON INTERNSHIPS IN CRIMI-NAL JUSTICE AGENCIES AND THAT ADEQUATE TRAINING COULD PROBABLY NOT BE ACCOMPLISHED THROUGH TRA-DITIONAL SEMINAR FORMATS OR BY THE TRADITIONAL CUR-RICULUM. IT WAS DETERMINED THAT STUDENTS NEEDED MORE THOROUGH TREATMENT IN THE CLASSROOM CON-CERNING REALITIES IN EVALUATION RESEARCH, A CLOSER LINKAGE AMONG PRACTICAL PROBLEMS OF A RESEARCH SITE, SHARPER SKILLS FOR IDENTIFYING RESEARCHABLE PROBLEMS, AND BROADER EXPERIENCE THROUGH IN-CREASED INTERACTION WITH FACULTY AND STUDENTS IN OTHER DISCIPLINES. COMMUNICATION AND INTERPERSON-AL PROBLEMS WERE SIGNIFICANT. ORIENTATION AND AP- PLICATION PHASES OF THE TRAINING PROGRAM ARE EXAM-INED. REFERENCES ARE CITED.

Supplemental Notes: PRESENTED AT THE NATIONAL CON-FERENCE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION, WASHING-TON, DC, FEBRUARY 22-24, 1977.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE, 5600 FISHERS LANE, ROCKVILLE MD 20852.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

160. S. R. SONNAD and P. C. FRIDAY. NEED FOR HYBRID EVAL-UATION MODELS IN MULTI-MODULE CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROJECTS. 14 p. 1977. NCJ-54010

A STRATEGY FOR EVALUATING COMPLEX CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM INTERVENTIONS CHARACTERIZED BY NUMEROUS SETS OF OBJECTIVES AND MULTIPLE CRITERIA IS PRO-POSED. THE STRATEGY WAS DEVELOPED IN THE CONTEXT OF ONE SUCH MULTIMODULE INTERVENTION--A PILOT PROJ-ECT AIMED AT IMPROVING THE PROCESSING OF MISDE-MEANANTS. THE PROJECT INVOLVED THREE AGENCIES (POLICE, PROSECUTOR, COURT); CUT ACROSS CITY, TOWN-SHIP, AND COUNTY JURISDICTIONS, AND INCLUDED SEVEN DISTINCT MODULES (POLICE CITATION SYSTEM, COURT SUMMONS SYSTEM, PROSECUTION CASE SCREENING, COM-PUTERIZED MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM, PRE-TRIAL RELEASE, SHORT-FORM PRESENTENCE REPORT, AND PROBATION). EACH MODULE HAD ITS OWN OBJECTIVES AND ORIENTATION. FOR EXAMPLE, WHEREAS THE PRETRIAL RE-LEASE MODULE WAS BASICALLY RESULT ORIENTED, THE COURT SUMMONS AND MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM MODULES WERE PRIMARILY EFFICIENCY ORIENTED AND THE SHORT-FORM PRESENTENCE REPORT WAS PROC-ESS ORIENTED. A HYBRID EVALUATION MATRIX WAS DEVEL-OPED IN WHICH EACH MODULE IS ASSIGNED A NUMERICAL RATING BASED ON ASSESSMENT BY THE APPROPRIATE CRI-TERION OR CRITERIA. A GLOBAL EVALUATION OF THE ENTIRE PROJECT, CONSISTING OF OVERALL RATINGS FOR EACH CRITERION, WAS DEVELOPED FROM THE INDIVIDUAL MODULE RATINGS. FIVE CATEGORIES OF EVALUATIVE CRI-TERIA ARE INCLUDED: OUTPUT, PERFORMANCE (OUTCOME), EFFICIENCY (COST BENEFIT AND COST EFFECTIVENESS), PROCESS, AND COMPARATIVE PREEXPERIMENTS/POSTEX-PERIMENTS AND COMPARISONS WITH OTHER PROGRAMS). THE MODULE AND GLOBAL MATRICES ARE PRESENTED, TO-GETHER WITH AN EXPLANATION OF THEIR STRUCTURE, A DISCUSSION OF THE NEED FOR A HYBRID APPROACH TO PROGRAM EVALUATION, AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE MISDEMEANANT-PROCESSING PROJECT. (THE PRESENTA-TION IS AN ILLUSTRATION OF THE HYBRID APPROACH RATHER THAN AN ACTUAL EVALUATION OF THE PROJECT. THE RATINGS CONTAINED IN THE MATRICES ARE HYPO-THETICAL.)

Supplemental Notes: PRESENTED AT THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION, WASHINGTON, DC, FEBRUARY 1977.

Sponsoring Agencies: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LEAA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 633 INDIANA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20531; US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION, 633 INDIANA AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20531.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

161. SOUTHEAST MICHIGAN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS, 800 BOOK BUILDING, 1249 WASHINGTON BLVD, DETROIT MI 48226. MODEL CORRECTIONS EVALUATION STUDY. 74 p. 1974. NCJ-19335

A TWO PART REPORT DESCRIBING THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR ESTABLISHING AN EVALUATION SYSTEM AND THE BASIC EVALUATION SYSTEM DESIGN, WHICH IS APPLICABLE TO LOCALLY BASED MICHIGAN CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES. THE FIRST PART DISCUSSES OBJECTIVES,

GOALS, SYSTEM DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF AN EVALUATION METHODOLOGY. THE SECOND SECTION DEALS WITH A GENERAL SYSTEM DESCRIPTION, INTAKE FORM PROCEDURES, INMATE PROGRAM ACTIVITY REPORT PROCE-DURES. PROJECT OBJECTIVES CALL FOR COMPREHENSIVE DATA GATHERING ACTIVITIES, SIMPLICITY, FLEXIBILITY OF APPLICATION TO THE DIVERSE CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES IN COUNTIES AND TOWNS, CONTINUAL DATA INPUT AND RE-VISIONS, AND FEASIBILITY OF APPLYING THIS SYSTEM UNDER ACTUAL WORKING CONDITIONS AT JAILS, LOCAL CORRECTIONAL PROBLEMS ARE DESCRIBED THAT MIGHT CALL FOR CHANGES IN METHODOLOGY. THE KINDS OF DATA THAT ARE USED INCLUDE - GENERAL INMATE DEMO-GRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, INMATE CHARACTERISTICS FOR SPECIFIC OFFENSE GROUPS, CHARACTERISTICS OF RE-CIDIVISTS, AND, CHARACTERISTICS OF INMATES IN REHA-BILITATION PROGRAMS. INTAKE FORMS, ACTIVITY FORMS. AND SAMPLE QUESTIONNAIRES ARE INCLUDED. REFER TO NCJ-19336 AND 19337 FOR DESCRIPTIVE NARRATIVES OF ACTIVITIES OF MEN'S AND WOMEN'S DIVISIONS AT THE DE-TROIT HOUSE OF CORRECTIONS WHICH HELPED IN THE STRUCTURING OF THIS METHODOLOGY.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

162. UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, 405 HILGARD AVENUE, LOS ANGELES CA 90024. CALIFORNIA—OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING STRATEGIC EVALUATION PLAN, V 1. 103 p. 1975. NCJ-16600

AN EVALUATION PLANNING SYSTEM FOR WHICH THE MIS-SION IS TO REDUCE AND CONTROL CRIME IN CALIFORNIA, BY MAKING THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM MORE EFFEC-TIVE AND BY IMPROVING THE FUNCTIONING OF THAT SYSTEM. THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT FOLLOW ARE ELABORATED UPON IN THE TEXT. THE FIRST RECOMMENDA-TION REQUIRES THAT THE CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING (OCJP), WHICH DEVELOPED THE STRA-TEGIC EVALUATION PLAN (SEP), RECOGNIZES THE NEED TO PLAN AND EVALUATE EFFECTIVELY AT BOTH THE MISSION AND FUNCTION LEVELS. SECONDLY, COMMITTEES SHOULD BE ESTABLISHED TO STANDARDIZE THE PROGRAM AND PROJECT EVALUATION PLANNING PROCESSES AND STAFF CAPABILITIES SHOULD BE CREATED AND MAINTAINED FOR ONGOING REVISIONS. NEXT, THE OCJP SHOULD EVOLVE AN INTEGRATED SET OF INCENTIVES AND CONTROLS THAT IN-CLUDE MORE RIGOROUS ACCEPTANCE STANDARDS FOR PROPOSALS, INTERIM REPORTS AND EVALUATION PROD-UCTS. FOURTH, FUNDING SHOULD BE GRANTED OR SOUGHT FOR MORE AND BETTER PROGRAM EVALUATIONS AND MODEL DEMONSTRATION PROJECT EVALUATIONS. THE FIFTH AND SIXTH RECOMMENDATIONS DEAL WITH STRATE-GIES FOR DISSEMINATING THE RESULTS OF EVALUATIONS AND FOR STIMULATING THE USE OF EVALUATION PROD-UCTS THAT RESULT FROM PLANNING EVALUATION IM-PROVEMENTS. FURTHERMORE, FUNDS SHOULD BE ALLO-CATED FOR EVALUATING THIS EVALUATION PLAN AND MON-ITORING ANY CHANGES THAT RESULT FROM ITS USE. THE FINAL RECOMMENDATIONS INCLUDE THE CONTINUATION OF THE SEP AND THE ALLOCATION OF FUNDS TO FULFILL ITS OBJECTIVES.

Sponsoring Agency: CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAMS.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

163. UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, 405 HILGARD AVENUE, LOS ANGELES CA 90024. CALIFORNIA—OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING STRATEGIC EVALUATION PLAN, V 2. 288 p. 1974. NCJ-16601

THIS VOLUME PROVIDES DETAILED DOCUMENTATION FOR THE STRATEGIC EVALUATION PLAN'S CONCLUSIONS AND

RECOMMENDATIONS. A BIBLIOGRAPHY LISTS THE REFERENCE MATERIAL THAT WENT INTO THIS STUDY.

Sponsoring Agency: CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAMS.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

164. P. C. UNSINGER. GANTT, PERT (PROGRAM EVALUATION AND REVIEW TECHNIQUE) AND CPM (CRITICAL PATH METHOD)—A TOOL FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT PLANNERS. SAN JOSE STATE UNIVERSITY ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE DEPARTMENT, 125 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET, SAN JOSE CA 95192. 7 p. NCJ-12736

THE APPLICABILITY OF THREE OPERATIONAL RESEARCH TECHNIQUES TO THE LAW ENFORCEMENT MANAGER/PLAN-NER IN PLANNING TO ESTIMATE THE BEST USES OF TIME AND MONEY IS EXAMINED. THE TECHNIQUES WHICH ARE CONSIDERED ARE: ONE NAMED FOR HENRY L. GANTT, ITS CREATOR (GANTT); PROGRAM EVALUATION AND REVIEW TECHNIQUE (PERT); AND CRITICAL PATH METHOD (CPM). THE GANTT CHART WAS DESIGNED TO SHOW THE RELA-TIONSHIP OF TASKS WITHIN SOME VISUAL FRAMEWORK OF TIME, IN ORDER TO DISTRIBUTE A WORKLOAD EVENLY AND KEEP ALL EFFORTS INVOLVED SMOOTH AND CONTINUOUS. THE CHART SHOWS ALL WORK ACTIVITY IN RELATION TO TIME: EACH ACTIVITY AND EVENT CAN BE DRAWN SO THAT THE MANAGER/PLANNER CAN VISUALIZE ALL THE COMPO-NENTS IN RELATION TO EACH OTHER AND SCHEDULE EVENTS TO OCCUR IN A LOGICAL AND ECONOMICAL FASH-ION. A SAMPLE GANTT CHART IS GIVEN ILLUSTRATING A HY-POTHETICAL PLANNING PROBLEM. PERT IS AN EXTENSION OF THE GANTT CHART CONCEPT: ITS FOCUS IS ALSO ON EVENTS AND ACTIVITIES, BUT THESE ARE LAID OUT IN A NETWORK INSTEAD OF A BAR/TIME GRAPH. PERT SHOWS ALL THE WORK NECESSARY TO ACHIEVE A STATED OBJEC-TIVE WHILE ALLOWING THE MANAGER/PLANNER TO PRE-DICT TIME AND COSTS UNDER A VARIETY OF CONDITIONS. IT ALSO SPOTLIGHTS UNCERTAINTIES OR PROBLEMS THAT MIGHT IMPEDE OR DELAY THE ACHIEVEMENT OF THE OB-JECTIVE. A PERT NETWORK IS PRESENTED WHICH ILLUS-TRATES THE SAME PROBLEM SHOWN IN THE SAMPLE GANTT CHART. TO CONSTRUCT A PERT NETWORK, THE MANAGER/PLANNER MUST FIRST LAY OUT A LOGICAL FLOW OF EVENTS-ESTIMATE ALL ACTIVITIES NECESSARY TO ACHIEVE THE GOAL AND CALCULATE WHICH CAN OCCUR CONCURRENTLY AND WHICH MUST AWAIT THE COMPLETION OF OTHER STEPS-AND THEN COLLECT INFORMATION ON TIME REQUIRED. EVERY PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR A PHASE OF THE WORK ESTIMATES OPTIMISTIC TIME, MOST LIKELY TIME, AND PESSIMISTIC TIME; EACH OF THESE IS PLACED INTO THE PERT NETWORK. A FORMULA IS PRO-VIDED FOR DETERMINING THE EXACT TIME AN ACTIVITY WILL PROBABLY REQUIRE, BASED ON THESE THREE ESTI-MATES. BY USING THE NETWORK, THE MANAGER/PLANNER CAN ESTIMATE HOW LONG THE ENTIRE PROJECT WILL TAKE TO COMPLETE AND HOW LONG A PARTICULAR EVENT WILL TAKE. THE CRITICAL PATH METHOD IS BASED UPON THE PERT NETWORK. IT IS THE PATH INVOLVING THE LONGEST TIMES AND IS OBTAINED BY ADDING UP ALL THE EXPECTED TIMES (THE AVERAGES OF THE THREE ESTIMATED TIMES OF EACH EVENT). IF A POLICE MANAGER/PLANNER MUST ACCELERATE A PROGRAM TO MEET A SHORTER DEADLINE THAN ORIGINALLY ANTICIPATED, HE OR SHE WOULD HAVE TO CONCENTRATE RESOURCES ON SHORTENING THE TIME INVOLVED IN THIS CRITICAL PATH. THIS ASSURES THAT PRESSURE IS BROUGHT TO BEAR ON THE CORRECT AREAS IF DOLLAR ESTIMATES CAN BE ADDED TO THE PERT NET-WORK, DOLLAR ESTIMATES CAN BE ADDED TO THE PERT NETWORK.

165. J. D. WALLER, J. W. SCANLON, D. M. KEMP, and P. G. NALLEY. DEVELOPING USEFUL EVALUATION CAPABILITY—LESSONS FROM THE MODEL EVALUATION PROGRAM. URBAN INSTITUTE, 2100 M STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20037. 156 p. 1979. NCJ-55682

THIS REPORT PRESENTS FINDINGS FROM AN ASSESSMENT OF EIGHT MODEL EVALUATION PROGRAMS (MEP) FUNDED BY NILECJ AND CONDUCTED BY STATE AND REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCIES TO DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT EVALU-ATION APPROACHES. PROGRAM OBJECTIVES WERE TO EN-COURAGE STATE AND LOCAL PLANNING AGENCIES TO GEN-ERATE AND USE EVALUATION INFORMATION AND TEST WAYS IN WHICH EFFECTIVE USE OF EVALUATION INFORMA-TION CAN HELP THESE AGENCIES TO ACHIEVE THEIR OB-JECTIVES. THIS PAPER REPORTS ON LESSONS LEARNED FROM THE MEP'S, WAYS TO MEASURE MEP SUCCESS, METHODS FOR BUILDING AN EVALUATION CAPABILITY, AND PROBLEMS TO BE EXPECTED IN IMPLEMENTING AND OPER-ATING EVALUATION SYSTEMS. IT WAS DETERMINED THAT EVALUATION SYSTEMS (1) CANNOT BE EXPECTED TO HAVE A MEASURABLE IMPACT ON THE PERFORMANCE OF STATE PLANNING AGENCIES AND REGIONAL PLANNING UNITS, SINCE THESE AGENCIES DO NOT HAVE PRIMARY OBJEC-TIVES THAT ARE BOTH VERIFIABLE AND REALISTIC. (2) ARE NOT LIKELY TO BE USEFUL TO THE PLANNING AGENCY STAFF UNLESS THE AGENCY IS BEING DIRECTED TOWARD SOME MISSION-RELATED OBJECTIVE, AND (3) CAN INCREASE THEIR CHANCES OF PROVIDING USEFUL INFORMATION BY SERVING USERS' EXISTING INFORMATION NEEDS AND AL-LOWING USERS TO CONTROL THE STUDY. EVALUATION SYS-TEMS OF VALUE TO POTENTIAL USERS CAN BE SET UP ONLY IF THE USER IS INVOLVED IN EVALUATION ACTIVITY. GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY, PLANNING AGENCIES WILL SET UP EVALUATION SYSTEMS WHICH DIFFER IN PRODUCT. COST, AND OPERATIONS, AND THESE SYSTEMS WILL NOT BE SUCCESSFUL IN LOCAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES. PERSONS SETTING UP EVALUATION SYSTEMS CAN EXPECT A STAFF TURNOVER CAUSING DELAYS AND DISRUPTIONS, RELUCTANCE FROM PROJECT PERSONNEL TO RELINQUISH DATA, AND PROBLEMS WITH CIVIL SERVICE RULES. COM-PUTER PROCESSING, AND CONTRACTING. SUCCESS OF THE MEP'S WHEN MEASURED BY ACHIEVEMENT OF AGENCY OB-JECTIVES, USE, AND CONTINUATION, WAS SLIGHT, BUT DE-MONSTRABLE SUCCESS IN HALF OF THE MEP SITES WAS NOTICEABLE AFTER MINIMIZING SUCCESS CRITERIA TO 'PROVIDING USEFUL INFORMATION TO AN IDENTIFIED MARKET.' MEP GRANTEES USED VARIOUS EVALUATION CA-PABILITIES, SUCH AS OPERATING SYSTEMS ON A FULL-SCALE BASIS, ON A PILOT BASIS, ON A ONE-TIME BASIS, OR AS A TRAINING PROJECT. BRIEF DESCRIPTIONS OF EIGHT OF THESE SYSTEMS ARE PRESENTED ALONG WITH PROBLEMS TO BE EXPECTED IN IMPLEMENTING AND OPERATING EVALUATION SYSTEMS. TABLES AND FIGURES ARE PROVIDED.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION, 633 INDIANA AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20531.

Availability: GPO Stock Order No. 027-000-00798-8; NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

166. R. F. BORUCH, A. J. MCSWEENY, and E. J. SODERSTROM. RANDOMIZED FIELD EXPERIMENTS FOR PROGRAM PLAN-NING, DEVELOPMENT, AND EVALUATION—AN ILLUSTRA-TIVE BIBLIOGRAPHY. SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212. EVALU-ATION QUARTERLY, V 2, N 4 (NOVEMBER 1978), P 655-695.

THE PURPOSE OF THIS BIBLIOGRAPHY IS TO PROVIDE EVI-DENCE ON THE FEASIBILITY AND SCOPE OF RANDOMIZED FIELD EXPERIMENTS IN PROGRAM PLANNING, DEVELOP-MENT, AND EVALUATION. REFERENCES ARE LISTED TO COVER 300 RANDOMIZED FIELD TESTS UNDERTAKEN IN SCHOOLS, HOSPITALS, PRISONS, AND OTHER SOCIAL SET-TINGS. THE LIST IS DIVIDED INTO 10 MAJOR CATEGORIES CORRESPONDING TO THE TYPE OF PROGRAM UNDER EX-AMINATION. THE CATEGORIES ARE CRIMINAL AND CIVIL JUS-TICE, MENTAL HEALTH, TRAINING AND EDUCATION, MASS COMMUNICATIONS, INFORMATION COLLECTION AND DIS-SEMINATION, RESEARCH UTILIZATION, INDUSTRY AND PUBLIC UTILITIES, SOCIAL WELFARE, HEALTH SERVICES AND MEDICAL TREATMENT, AND FERTILITY CONTROL. THE MATE-RIALS, PUBLISHED BETWEEN 1944 AND 1978, ARE AR-RANGED ALPHABETICALLY BY AUTHOR WITHIN EACH SEC-TION.

Supplemental Notes: PRICE QUOTED ABOVE IS FOR ENTIRE ISSUE

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, 1200 19TH STREET, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20203.

Availability: SAGE PUBLICATIONS, INC, 275 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, BEVERLY HILLS CA 90212.

BOSTON, Ed. TECHNIQUES FOR PROJECT EVALUATION-A SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY. NATIONAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE REFERENCE SERVICE, BOX 6000, ROCK-VILLE MD 20850. 77 p. 1977. DOCUMENTS ON DESIGNS, TECHNIQUES, AND SYSTEMS FOR EVALUATING CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAMS ARE CITED IN A 124-ENTRY ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY PREPARED BY NCJRS. THE OPENING SECTION ON TECHNIQUES AND METH-ODOLOGY FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROJECT EVALUATION IN-CLUDES SEPARATE LISTINGS OF PUBLICATIONS DEALING WITH METHODS APPLIED TO THE OVERALL CRIMINAL JUS-TICE SYSTEM AND PUBLICATIONS DESCRIBING EVALUATION TECHNIQUES APPLIED TO SPECIFIC COMPONENTS OF THE SYSTEM. SECTION II CITES DOCUMENTS THAT DESCRIBE VARIOUS EVALUATION TECHNIQUES, PROBLEMS, OR DE-

SIGNS RELEVANT TO THE PLANNING AND EVALUATION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROGRAMS. SECTION II DOCUMENTS DO NOT PERTAIN SPECIFICALLY TO LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE BUT ARE DRAWN FROM SUCH PROGRAM AREAS AS SOCIAL WELFARE, MENTAL HEALTH, AND MANPOWER ADMINISTRATION. GENERAL REFERENCE DOCUMENTS AND BIBLIOGRAPHIES ARE LISTED IN SECTION III. INSTRUCTIONS FOR OBTAINING DOCUMENTS FROM NCJRS AND OTHER SOURCES ARE PROVIDED, AS IS A LIST OF DOCUMENT SOURCE ADDRESSES KEYED TO BIBLIOGRAPHY ENTRY NUMBERS.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LEAA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 633 INDIANA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20531.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM. Stock Order No. ED147 337.

DAVENPORT. EVALUATION RESEARCH IN SOCIAL POLICY—SELECTED REFERENCES, 1970-1974. LIBRARY OF CONGRESS, 10 FIRST STREET, SE, WASHINGTON DC 20540. 8 p. 1974. TWO-PART BIBLIOGRAPHY WHICH PRESENTS 56 CITATIONS TO JOURNAL AND MONOGRAPHIC LITERATURE ON THE METHODOLOGIES OF EVALUATION RESEARCH, ITS THE-ORIES AND TECHNIQUES AND THEIR APPLICATION TO SOCIAL POLICY FORMULATIONS. THE MAJORITY OF THE CI-TATIONS ARE ANNOTATED AND HAVE BEEN CHOSEN FROM THE COMPUTERIZED BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA BASE CREATED AND MAINTAINED BY THE LIBRARY SERVICES DIVISION OF THE CONGRESSIONAL RESEARCH SERVICE. THE MATERIAL CITED IS ARRANGED ALPHABETICALLY BY MAIN ENTRY WITHIN EACH OF THE TWO SECTIONS. CITATIONS TO ITEMS IN THE CLASSIFIED COLLECTION OF THE LIBRARY OF CON-GRESS ARE PROVIDED WITH CALL NUMBERS, ITEMS DESIG-NATED LAW ARE LOCATED IN THE LAW LIBRARY AND THOSE WITH NEWSP ARE FOUND IN THE NEWSPAPER AND CUR-RENT PERIODICAL ROOM OF THE LIBRARY OF CONGRESS. ALL JOURNAL ARTICLES ARE PROVIDED WITH THE CALL NUMBER FOR THE BOUND VOLUMES OF THE JOURNAL RE-GARDLESS OF WHETHER THE ISSUES CITED HAVE BEEN BOUND AT THIS TIME. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT) Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

169. INDIANA UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN PUBLIC SAFETY, 400 EAST 7TH STREET, BLOOMINGTON IN 47401. SURVEY OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATIVE LITERATURE. 62 p. 1973. NCJ-10605

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY ON EVALUATION THEORY AND ITS SPECIFIC APPLICATION TO THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM, WITH INTRODUCTORY NOTES ON THE NATURE OF THE LITERATURE. THE MATERIALS ARE PRESENTED UNDER SEVEN TOPIC HEADINGS GENERAL EVALUATION WORKS, GENERAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM ADMINISTRATION, POLICE ACTIVITIES, COURTS, CORRECTIONS, JUVENILE DELINQUENCY, AND DRUG ABUSE. THE INDIANA UNIVERSITY LIBRARY, NCJRS, AND THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE REFERENCE AND INFORMATION CENTER OF THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN WERE THE SOURCES USED IN CONDUCTING THE SEARCH.

170. NATIONAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE REFERENCE SERVICE, BOX 6000, ROCKVILLE MD 20850. CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION—AN ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY: 60 p. 1975.

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL MATERIAL COVERING EVALUATION METH-ODOLOGY AND PROCEDURE, ENVIRONMENT AND FACILITY EVALUATION, PERSONNEL AND PERFORMANCE EVALUA-TION, EQUIPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY EVALUATION, AND PROGRAM EVALUATION. THIS LISTING OF EVALUATION SOURCE MATERIAL IS DIVIDED INTO FIVE GENERAL SEC-TIONS TO FACILITATE USAGE OF THE DOCUMENT. INCLUDED ARE EVALUATION-METHODOLOGY AND PROCEDURE, ENVI-RONMENT AND FACILITY EVALUATION, PERSONNEL AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION, EQUIPMENT AND TECHNOL-OGY EVALUATION, AND PROGRAM EVALUATION. THE MATE-RIAL HERE DOES NOT REPRESENT AN EXHAUSTIVE SEARCH OF THE LITERATURE. RATHER, IT IS INTENDED AS A BROAD SAMPLING OF A VARIETY OF EVALUATION MATERIAL, AR-RANGED TO HELP THE READER INITIATE HIS SEARCH FOR PRACTICAL REFERENCE DOCUMENTS. DOCUMENT IDENTIFI-CATION NUMBERS ARE PROVIDED TO ASSIST IN ORDERING THOSE DOCUMENTS AVAILABLE FROM THE GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE, NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE AND THE NATIONAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE REFER-ENCE SERVICE. A SUBJECT INDEX IS APPENDED.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LEAA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 633 INDIANA AVENUE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20531.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

171. S. R. STELBER. EVALUATION RESEARCH—A BIBLIO-GRAPHIC OVERVIEW. COUNCIL OF PLANNING LIBRARIANS, P O BOX 229, MONTICELLO IL 61856. 41 p. 1976.

APPROXIMATELY 185 CITATIONS PROVIDE THEORETICALLY RELEVANT SOURCES, SUITABLE METHODOLOGICAL TECH-NIQUES, SUBSTANTIVELY-ORIENTED. DATA .COLLECTION AND/OR ANALYSIS METHODS, AND EXEMPLARY CASE STUD-IES. SYSTEMS THEORY, STRUCTURAL-FUNCTIONALISM, NET-WORK ANALYSIS, ORGANIZATIONAL THEORY, COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS, OPERATIONS THEORY AND OTHERS ARE INCLUD-ED AS POTENTIALLY-USEFUL THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE EVALUATOR. IN THE SECOND SECTION, A NUMBER OF METHODOLOGICAL PROCEDURES ARE OFFERED WHICH MAY BE UTILIZED BY BOTH THE NOVICE AND THE PROFES-SIONAL. TACTICS FOR DATA COLLECTION, GUIDELINES FOR STATISTICAL ANALYSES, AND MEANS FOR FEEDBACK INTO ORGANIZATIONS OR PROGRAMS ARE PRESENTED. FUR-THER UNDERSTANDING OF THE METHODOLOGICAL TECH-NIQUES IS FACILITATED BY THE THIRD SECTION. DATA COL-LECTION METHODS MOST AMENABLE TO PARTICULAR SITU-ATIONS ARE OFFERED, AND STATISTICAL METHODS ARE GIVEN SPECIFIC APPLICATIONS. FINALLY, THE FOURTH SEC-TION, WHILE SIMILAR TO THE THIRD, PRESENTS MORE WHO-LISTIC WORKS ON EVALUATION IN THE PROGRAM SETTING. CASE STUDIES OF MANPOWER ORGANIZATIONS, MENTAL HEALTH CLINICS, PENAL INSTITUTIONS, COMMUNITY CEN-TERS, AND OTHERS PRESENT MODELS FOR THE EVALUA-TIVE RESEARCHER WISHING TO INCORPORATE A TESTED FRAMEWORK IN HIS STUDY. (AUTHOR ABSTRACT) **Availability:** COUNCIL OF PLANNING LIBRARIANS, P O BOX 229, MONTICELLO IL 61856; NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

172. F. YOSPE, D. C. GIBBONS, and G. F. BLAKE, Eds. PROGRAM EVALUATION IN CORRECTIONS—AN ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY. NATIONAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE EDUCATIONAL CONSORTIUM ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY, ASB 306, TEMPE AZ 85281. 80 p. NCJ-32000 THIS LISTING IS DIVIDED INTO FOUR SECTIONS ACCORDING TO TOPIC: EVALUATION THEORY AND METHODOLOGY, SPECIFIC EVALUATION TECHNIQUES AND PROBLEMS, SUMMARIES OF STUDIES OF CORRECTIONAL RESULTS, AND SAMPLE EVALUATION STUDIES.

Sponsoring Agency: US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION, 633 INDIANA AVENUE, NW, WASHINGTON DC 20531.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

173. W. ZARCHIKOFF. EVALUATIVE RESEARCH — A BIBLIOGRA-PHY. FRASER CORRECTIONAL RESOURCES SOCIETY, P O BOX 80702, BURNABY, BC, V5H 3Y1, CANADA. 69 p. 1975. NCJ-29959 United Kingdom. A COMPREHENSIVE LISTING OF BOOKS, JOURNAL ARTICLES, REPORTS, AND PAPERS DEALING WITH MEASUREMENT OF IMPACT EVALUATIVE RESEARCH AND ETHNOGRAPHIC DE-SCRIPTIVE EVALUATIVE RESEARCH. THIS BIBLIOGRAPHY IS INTENDED TO BE OF ASSISTANCE TO THOSE PERSONS IN-TERESTED IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF EVALUATION PLANS IN THE HUMAN SERVICES. A SPECIAL EFFORT WAS MADE TO SELECT WORKS WHICH ARE CONCERNED WITH THE DELIV-ERY OF SERVICES IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND CORREC-TIONS FIELD. THE BIBLIOGRAPHY IS DIVIDED INTO TWO SEC-TIONS: THE MEASUREMENT OF IMPACT EVALUATIVE RE-SEARCH SECTION LISTS THOSE WORKS WHICH HAVE AT-TEMPTED TO MEASURE TOTAL SYSTEMS, PROGRAMS, OR PROGRAM COMPONENTS, WHILE THE ETHNOGRAPHIC DE-SCRIPTION EVALUATIVE RESEARCH SECTION IDENTIFIES WORKS THAT PRESENT METHODOLOGICAL PROBLEMS AND DISCUSS HOW THESE ISSUES MAY OR MAY NOT BE SOLVED IN A SUCCESSFUL EVALUATION PLAN. AUTHOR AND ORIGI-NATOR INDEX IS INCLUDED.

Availability: NCJRS MICROFICHE PROGRAM.

AUTHOR INDEX

| Δ | DAUDISTEL, H. C. 159 | HALL, J. R. , JR 124 |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| . ~ | DAVENPORT, N. 168 | HARGREAVES, W. A. 37 |
| ABT, C. C. 1 | DAVIDSON, W. S. 19 | HARRIS, S. B. 148, 149 |
| ALKIN, M. C. 2 | DAVIS, L. N. 11 | HATRY, H. P. 49, 50, 124 |
| | DAVIS, R. A. 89 | HAUSNER, T. 125 |
| | DEL ROSARIO, M. L. 88 | |
| | DENISTON, O. L. 29 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| ANDERSON, S. B. 36 | DOGOLOFF, L. I. 80 | HEILMAN, J. G. 126, 127 |
| ATTKISSON, C. C. 37 | | HENERSON, M. E. 51 |
| | - | HENNIGAN, K. M. 88 |
| В | E | HETHERINGTON, R. W. 34 |
| D | | HILDEBRAND, D. K. 52 |
| BAKER, S. H. 118 | EASTMAN, J. 90 | HILL-SCOTT, K. 53 |
| | EDWARDS, W. 12 | HOLLAND, M. G. 41 |
| BALL, S. 36 | EPSTEIN, I. 42, 81 | HOROWITZ, M. J. 37 |
| BARGER, G. W. 4 | ERNST, M. 28 | HOUSE, P. W. 54 |
| BARTLETT, D. P. 82 | ERSHOFF, D. H. 34 | HULL, D. 68 |
| BASEN, M. M. 80 | | HUNT, P. J. 55 |
| BECK, K. J. 48 | _ | |
| BEIGEL, A. 38 | F | |
| BENNETT, E. C. 39 | | |
| BENNETT, S. 40 | FAIRWEATHER, G. W. 13 | • |
| BENSINGER, G. J. 119 | FEINMAN, H. F. 155 | INGLE, M. D. 128 |
| BERG, D. 83 | FELLIN, P. 81 | ISAAC, S. 56 |
| BERG, P. O. 24 | FIENBERG, S. E. 20 | 13AAC, 3. 56 |
| BERG, W. E. 5 | FINK, A. 43 | |
| BIRDWELL, J. 10 | FISHER, R. L. 14 | J |
| BLAIR, L. H. 124 | FISK, D. M. 50, 124 | 0 |
| BLAKE, G. F. 172 | FITT, D. X. 57 | JANEKSELA, G. M. 129 |
| BLOCH, P. 120 | FITZ-GIBBON, C. T. 44, 45, 51, 62, 63, 64, | |
| BLOOM, H. S. 121 | 65, 66 | JOHNSON, K. W. 94, 130 |
| BLUMENFELD, W. S. 41 | FRANKLIN, J. L. 46 | |
| BORUCH, R. F. 166 | FRAZIER, W. D. 130 | K |
| BOSTON, G. D. 167 | FREEMAN, H. E. 26 | N. |
| BOWERS, D. 40 | FRIDAY, P. C. 160 | VATZ D 404 |
| BRANDT, L. 10 | | KATZ, R. 131 |
| BROWN, E. D. 108 | G | KAY, P. 67 |
| BROWN, M. 131 | G | KEATING, M. 151 |
| BUFFUM, P. C. 84 | CARACIJANO O F | KEMP, D. M. 132, 165 |
| 3. | GARAGLIANO, C. F. 34 | KINZER, J. G. 133 |
| _ | GIBBONS, D. C. 172 | KIRBY, M. P. 134 |
| С | GILLESPIE, D. F. 156, 157 | KIRKPATRICK, D. L. 16 |
| | GINATH, Y. 10 | KLAUS, S. L. 17 |
| CARO, F. G. 6 | GLASS, G. V. 91 | KLEIN, M. W. 135 |
| CARTER, N. 7 | GOLD, E. B. 34 | KLOSTERMAN, D. F. 18 |
| CHANG, D. H. 85 | GOLDSTEIN, M. S. 15 | KOBRIN, S. 135 |
| CHELIMSKY, E. 86 | GOLDSTEIN, P. 68 | KOSECOFF, J. 43 |
| CHRISTENSEN, D. L. 143 | GREENE, J. R. 98 | |
| CLARK, R. S. 87 | GREINER, J. H. 124 | KROWINSKI, W. J. 57 |
| CONTE, J. 157 | GRIFFITH, W. R. 155 | KUPERSMITH, G. 136 |
| COOK, T. D. 8, 9, 20, 88 | GRUDER, C. L. 8 | KUSHLER, M. G. 19 |
| CYTRYNBAUM, S. 10 | GUSTAFSON, S. R. 123 | |
| - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | GUTTENTAG, M. 12, 47, 77, 92 | L |
| | | L |
| D | Н | LAINO LD 50 |
| • | П | LAING, J. D. 52 |
| DAILLAK, R. 2 | HAGEDON, H. J. 48 | LANCER, C. 132 |
| , | HAGEDON, H. J. 48 | LARNTZ, K. 20 |
| | | |

LARSON, R. C.

LARSON, R. C. 20, 96
LEVIN, J. 58
LEWIS, M. 97
LEWIS, R. G. 98
LIND, E. A. 137
LOESCH, J. H. 123, 138, 139
LONG, J. 157
LONG, T. 99
LYALL, K. C. 20

M

MCCALL, G. J. 142 MCDAVID, J. C. 153 MACGREGOR, G. 141 MCKINNEY, J. L. 143 MCLEOD, J. 54 166 MCSWEENY, A. J. MAGIDSON, J. 59 MAGOUN, A. H. 153 MARCUS, A. C. 15 MARK, M. M. 88 MATHLESS, P. 154 MAXWELL, A. E. 60 MEDLER, J. F. 155 MEHAY, S. L. 144 MICHAEL, W. B. 56 MILLER, M. M. 146 MILLER, M. T. 127 MOLOF, M. 100 MOOS, R. H. 147 MORELL, K. L. 101 MORRIS, L. L. 44, 45, 51, 62, 63, 64, 65, MOYER, S. 148, 149

Ν

NADERI, R. R. 90
NAGEL, S. 150
NAGEL, S. S. 21
NALLEY, P. G. 132, 165
NAY, J. N. 67
NEEF, M. 21
NEUBERT, S. F. 48
NEWMAN, J. R. 103
NORTHMAN, J. E. 82

О

OBERSTONE, J. 103 OSTRANDER, S. A. 68

P

PARSONS, D. I.
PATTON, M. Q.
PEDRAJO, M.
PERLOFF, R.
PHILLIPS, M. A.
POISTER, T. H.
PORRAS, J. I.
PRITLOVE, J. H.
128
22, 69
22, 69
21, 69
21, 69
21, 69
21, 69
21, 69
22, 69
23, 69
24
28
29
21, 69
21, 69
22, 69
23, 69
24
27
28
29
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20, 69
20,

R

RAUSCH, N. P. 15

REDNER, R. 107
REICHARDT, C. S. 9
REISS, A. J., JR 20
RENTZ, C. C. 105
REYNOLDS, J. 154
RICH, R. F. 25
RIZKALLA, S. 110
ROBINSON, R. L. 90
RODRIGUEZ, O. 118
ROSENTHAL, H. 52
ROSSI, P. H. 26
RUTMAN, L. 72

S

SAAR, S. 92 SCANLON, J. W. 67, 114, 132, 165 SCHAENMAN, P. S. 124 SCHNEIDER, A. L. 106, 155 SCHNEIDER, P. R. 106, 155 SCHULBERG, H. C. 27 SCHWAB, G. 73 **SEABERG, J. R.** 156, 157 **SECHREST, L.** 107, 108 **SEITER, R. P.** 158 SELBY, J. M. 74 SHICHOR, D. 83 SIEGEL, L. 100 SIZEMORE, M. 28 SMITH, N. L. 75 SNELL, R. A. 139 SNOOKS, M. K. 159 SODERSTROM, E. J. 166 SONNAD, S. R. 160 SORENSEN, J. E. 37 ST GEORGE, A. 141 STELBER, S. R. 171 **STERN, P. C.** 76 STEWART, D. K. 109 STRASSER, S. 29 STRUENING, E. L. 47, 77 SUSSMAN, E. D. 93 **SZABO, D.** 110

T

TALARICO, S. M. 111
TAMBERRINO, R. 130
TERRELL, J. M. 27
THOMPSON, J. T. , JR 78
THOMPSON, W. N. 79
THRASHER, J. H. 46
TOBORG, M. A. 80
TORNATZKY, L. G. 13
TRIPODI, T. 42, 81
TROCHIM, W. M. K. 88
TRUE, L. P. , JR 30

L

UNSINGER, P. C. 164 USLANER, E. M. 52

ν

VIANO, E. 113

VOJTECKY, S. 32

W

WALLER, J. D. 67, 132, 165 **WEBER, S.** 151 WEISINGER, M. 39 48 WERLIN, S. H. WEST, S. G. 107 WHARF, B. 7 WHITE, P. 2 WHITE, S. O. 108 WHOLEY, J. S. 33, 114 WILKS, J. 128 WILLER, B. S. 82 WILNER, D. M. **WILSON, C. Z.** 53 WILSON, L. A., II 155 WINNIE, R. E. 50 **WRIGHT, K. N.** 115 WRIGHT, S. R. 26 WURSTER, C. R. 35

Y

YEATON, W. 107 YIN, R. M. 116 YOSPE, F. 172

Z

ZARCHIKOFF, W. 173 ZIMRING, F. E. 20 ZUSMAN, J. 35 ZUSPAN, K. 130

TITLE INDEX

| Α | D | EVALUATING SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT PRO- GRAMS 7 |
|---|--|--|
| ALABAMA LAW ENFORCEMENT — DEVELOP- MENT OF EVALUATION 117 ANALYSIS OF ORDINAL DATA 52 APPLICATION OF PERT (PROJECT EVALUA- TION AND REVIEW TECHNIQUE) IN EVAL- | DADE COUNTY (FL) — METHODOLOGY FOR THE EVALUATION OF EX-OFFENDER PROJECTS 151 DATABANK OF PROGRAM EVALUATIONS 34 | EVALUATING SOCIAL SCIENCE RE- SEARCH 76 EVALUATING THE PERFORMANCE OF A GOVERNMENTAL STRUCTURE THE CASE OF CONTRACT LAW ENFORCEMENT |
| UATION OF HUMAN SERVICE PRO- GRAMS 18 APPLIED PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR SMALL AND MEDIUM-SIZE CITIES 153 ASSESSMENT OF OHIO LEAA AWARDS FOR | DECISION-THEORETIC APPROACH TO THE EVALUATION OF COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS (FROM TRENDS IN MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATION, 1976, BY ELIZABETH MARKSON AND DAVID | EVALUATING TRAINING PROGRAMS—A COLLECTION OF ARTICLES FROM THE JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT 16 EVALUATION—A PRACTICAL GUIDE FOR |
| THE OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES REGIONAL PLANNING UNITS 104 | ALLEN) 3 DESCRIPTION AND ASSESSMENTS OF THE MODEL EVALUATION PROGRAM PRO- JECTS 132 | EVALUATORS OF SOCIAL ACTION PRO- JECTS 126 EVALUATION—A SYSTEMATIC AP- PROACH 26 |
| B SALLIATION SVALARTING | DEVELOPING USEFUL EVALUATION CAPA- BILITY—LESSONS FROM THE MODEL EVALUATION PROGRAM 165 | EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS TO SUPPORT DECISIONMAKING EXPOSURE DRAFT |
| BASELINE EVALUATION—EVALUATING CONSISTENCY BETWEEN FEDERAL STANDARDS AND LOCAL PROVISIONS 156 | DEVELOPMENT AND USE OF EVALUATION DESIGNS IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE TRAINING PROGRAMS—THE COOK COUNTY (IL) MODEL 119 | EVALUATION DESIGN FOR CONCENTRATED CRIME REDUCTION PROGRAMS IN MARY-LAND 145 |
| С | DEVELOPMENT OF A LOCAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION CAPABILITY 99 DIFFERENTIAL SOCIAL PROGRAM EVALUA- | EVALUATION FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES—PROBLEM ORIENTED DIS- CUSSION 109 EVALUATION FOR DECISION MAKERS 32 |
| CALIFORNIA — OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUS- TICE PLANNING STRATEGIC EVALUATION PLAN, V 1 162 | DIVERSION EVALUATION SPECIFICATION 140 | EVALUATION HANDBOOK, 2D ED. 73 EVALUATION IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE PRO- GRAMS—GUIDELINES AND EXAMPLES |
| | | |
| CALIFORNIA — OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING STRATEGIC EVALUATION | E | EVALUATION METHODOLOGY IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE 97 |
| TICE PLANNING STRATEGIC EVALUATION PLAN, V 2 163 CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT PROGRAM EVALUATION 17 CONDUCTING EVALUATIVE RESEARCH AND | ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF SOCIAL PRO- GRAMS—PROBLEMS AND POTENTIALI- TIES 53 | EVALUATION METHODOLOGY IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE 97 EVALUATION METHODOLOGY IN ORGANIZATION DEVELOPMENT—AN ANALYSIS AND CRITIQUE 24 EVALUATION MODEL FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICATION STATEMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP |
| TICE PLANNING STRATEGIC EVALUATION PLAN, V 2 163 CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT PROGRAM EVALUATION 17 CONDUCTING EVALUATIVE RESEARCH AND IMPLEMENTING ITS RESULTS—DILEMMAS FOR BOTH ADMINISTRATORS AND RESEARCHERS 110 CONSIDERATIONS FOR CONDUCTING | ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF SOCIAL PRO- GRAMS—PROBLEMS AND POTENTIALI- TIES 53 ELEMENTARY STATISTICS IN SOCIAL RE- SEARCH—SECOND EDITION 58 EMERGENCY MODEL FOR TRAINING EVAL- UATION RESEARCHERS IN CRIMINAL | EVALUATION METHODOLOGY IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE 97 EVALUATION METHODOLOGY IN ORGANIZATION DEVELOPMENT—AN ANALYSIS AND CRITIQUE 24 EVALUATION MODEL FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE 129 EVALUATION OF HUMAN SERVICE PROGRAMS 37 EVALUATION OF SOCIAL PROGRAMS 1 |
| TICE PLANNING STRATEGIC EVALUATION PLAN, V 2 163 CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT PROGRAM EVALUATION 17 CONDUCTING EVALUATIVE RESEARCH AND IMPLEMENTING ITS RESULTS—DILEMMAS FOR BOTH ADMINISTRATORS AND RESEARCHERS 110 CONSIDERATIONS FOR CONDUCTING EVALUATIVE RESEARCH 158 CRIME DELINQUENCY PREVENTION READER, 2ND ED. 74 CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION—AN AN- | ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF SOCIAL PROGRAMS—PROBLEMS AND POTENTIALITIES 53 ELEMENTARY STATISTICS IN SOCIAL RESEARCH—SECOND EDITION 58 EMERGENCY MODEL FOR TRAINING EVAL- | EVALUATION METHODOLOGY IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE 97 EVALUATION METHODOLOGY IN ORGANIZATION DEVELOPMENT—AN ANALYSIS AND CRITIQUE 24 EVALUATION MODEL FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE 129 EVALUATION OF HUMAN SERVICE PROGRAMS 37 |
| TICE PLANNING STRATEGIC EVALUATION PLAN, V 2 163 CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT PROGRAM EVALUATION 17 CONDUCTING EVALUATIVE RESEARCH AND IMPLEMENTING ITS RESULTS—DILEMMAS FOR BOTH ADMINISTRATORS AND RESEARCHERS 110 CONSIDERATIONS FOR CONDUCTING EVALUATIVE RESEARCH 158 CRIME DELINQUENCY PREVENTION READER, 2ND ED. 74 CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION—AN ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY 170 CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION—PAPERS FROM WASHINGTON STATE EVALUATION EXCHANGE CONFERENCES, 1975-1976 | ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF SOCIAL PROGRAMS—PROBLEMS AND POTENTIALITIES 53 ELEMENTARY STATISTICS IN SOCIAL RESEARCH—SECOND EDITION 58 EMERGENCY MODEL FOR TRAINING EVALUATION RESEARCHERS IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES EMPIRICAL STUDY OF METHODS USED IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION—FIFTH QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT, JANUARY 1, 1979-MARCH 31, 1979 6VALUATING A GROUP HOME—PROBLEMS AND RESULTS 70 EVALUATING CITIZEN CRIME PREVENTION | EVALUATION METHODOLOGY IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE 97 EVALUATION METHODOLOGY IN ORGANIZATION DEVELOPMENT—AN ANALYSIS AND CRITIQUE 24 EVALUATION MODEL FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE 129 EVALUATION OF HUMAN SERVICE PROGRAMS 37 EVALUATION OF SOCIAL PROGRAMS 1 EVALUATION OF STATE AND LOCAL PROGRAMS—A PRIMER 141 EVALUATION OF TREATMENT IN THERAPEUTIC COMMUNITIES PROBLEMS OF DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION 5 EVALUATION ON A SHOESTRING—A SUGGESTED METHODOLOGY FOR THE EVALUATION OF COMMUNITY MENTAL |
| TICE PLANNING STRATEGIC EVALUATION PLAN, V 2 CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT PROGRAM EVALUATION INPLEMENTING ITS RESULTS—DILEMMAS FOR BOTH ADMINISTRATORS AND RESEARCHERS CONDUCTING EVALUATIVE RESEARCH 158 CRIME DELINQUENCY PREVENTION READER, 2ND ED. CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION—AN ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION—PAPERS FROM WASHINGTON STATE EVALUATION EXCHANGE CONFERENCES, 1975-1976 CRIMINAL JUSTICE RESEARCH CRIMINAL JUSTICE RESEARCH CRIMINAL JUSTICE RESEARCH CRIMINAL JUSTICE RESEARCH 113 CRIMINAL JUSTICE RESEARCH—APPROACHES, PROBLEMS, AND POLICY | ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF SOCIAL PROGRAMS—PROBLEMS AND POTENTIALITIES 53 ELEMENTARY STATISTICS IN SOCIAL RESEARCH—SECOND EDITION 58 EMERGENCY MODEL FOR TRAINING EVALUATION RESEARCHERS IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES 59 EMPIRICAL STUDY OF METHODS USED IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION—FIFTH QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT, JANUARY 1, 1979-MARCH 31, 1979 61 EVALUATING A GROUP HOME—PROBLEMS AND RESULTS 70 EVALUATING CITIZEN CRIME PREVENTION PROGRAMS 61 EVALUATING COMMUNITY TREATMENT PROGRAMS—TOOLS, TECHNIQUES, AND A CASE STUDY | EVALUATION METHODOLOGY IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE 97 EVALUATION METHODOLOGY IN ORGANIZATION DEVELOPMENT—AN ANALYSIS AND CRITIQUE 24 EVALUATION MODEL FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE 129 EVALUATION OF HUMAN SERVICE PROGRAMS 37 EVALUATION OF SOCIAL PROGRAMS 1 EVALUATION OF STATE AND LOCAL PROGRAMS—A PRIMER 141 EVALUATION OF TREATMENT IN THERAPEUTIC COMMUNITIES PROBLEMS OF DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION 5 EVALUATION ON A SHOESTRING—A SUGGESTED METHODOLOGY FOR THE EVALUATION OF COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES WITHOUT BUDGETARY AND STAFFING SUPPORT (FROM RESOURCE MATERIALS FOR COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAM—SEE NCJ |
| TICE PLANNING STRATEGIC EVALUATION PLAN, V 2 163 CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT PROGRAM EVALUATION ONDUCTING EVALUATIVE RESEARCH AND IMPLEMENTING ITS RESULTS—DILEMMAS FOR BOTH ADMINISTRATORS AND RESEARCHERS 110 CONSIDERATIONS FOR CONDUCTING EVALUATIVE RESEARCH CRIME DELINQUENCY PREVENTION READER, 2ND ED. 74 CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION—AN ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION—PAPERS FROM WASHINGTON STATE EVALUATION EXCHANGE CONFERENCES, 1975-1976 CRIMINAL JUSTICE RESEARCH 113 CRIMINAL JUSTICE RESEARCH—AP- | ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF SOCIAL PROGRAMS—PROBLEMS AND POTENTIALITIES 53 ELEMENTARY STATISTICS IN SOCIAL RESEARCH—SECOND EDITION 58 EMERGENCY MODEL FOR TRAINING EVALUATION RESEARCHERS IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE AGENCIES 59 EMPIRICAL STUDY OF METHODS USED IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION—FIFTH QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT, JANUARY 1, 1979-MARCH 31, 1979 EVALUATING A GROUP HOME—PROBLEMS AND RESULTS 50 EVALUATING CITIZEN CRIME PREVENTION PROGRAMS 116 EVALUATING COMMUNITY TREATMENT PROGRAMS—TOOLS, TECHNIQUES, AND | EVALUATION METHODOLOGY IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE 97 EVALUATION METHODOLOGY IN ORGANIZATION DEVELOPMENT—AN ANALYSIS AND CRITIQUE 24 EVALUATION MODEL FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE 129 EVALUATION OF HUMAN SERVICE PROGRAMS 37 EVALUATION OF SOCIAL PROGRAMS 1 EVALUATION OF STATE AND LOCAL PROGRAMS—A PRIMER 141 EVALUATION OF TREATMENT IN THERAPEUTIC COMMUNITIES PROBLEMS OF DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION 5 EVALUATION ON A SHOESTRING—A SUGGESTED METHODOLOGY FOR THE EVALUATION OF COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES WITHOUT BUDGETARY AND STAFFING SUPPORT (FROM RESOURCE MATERIALS FOR COMMUNITY |

EVALUATION - PROMISE AND PERFORM-ANCE **EVALUATION** RESEARCH -- A BIBLIO-**GRAPHIC OVERVIEW** 171 EVALUATION RESEARCH SOCIAL IN POLICY-SELECTED REFERENCES, 1970-168 EVALUATION RESEARCH METHODS-BASIC GUIDE EVALUATION STUDIES REVIEW ANNUAL, V EVALUATION STUDIES-REVIEW ANNUAL V 2 1977 EVALUATION STUDIES-REVIEW ANNUAL, V 3 - 1978 EVALUATION STUDIES REVIEW ANNUAL, **VOLUME 4** EVALUATIVE RESEARCH-A BIBLIOGRA 173 **EVALUATOR AND MANAGEMENT** EVALUATOR INTERVENTIONS-PROS AND CONS 23 EVALUATORS AND DECISION-MAKERS— PERCEPTIONS OF THE EVALUATION **PROCESS** 106 **EVALUATOR'S HANDBOOK** EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND CAUSAL ANALYSIS IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUA-TION 127 EXPERIMENTAL METHODS FOR SOCIAL POLICY RESEARCH EVALUATIONS - A EXPERIMENTS AND REEXAMINATION (FROM EVALUATIONS AND EXPERIMENT, 1975, BY C A BEN-NETT AND A A LUMSDAINE)

F

FRAMEWORK MODEL OF EVALUATION 79
FUNDAMENTALS OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE RESEARCH 87

G

GANTT, PERT (PROGRAM EVALUATION AND REVIEW TECHNIQUE) AND CPM (CRITICAL PATH METHOD)—A TOOL FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT PLANNERS 164 GOAL ATTAINMENT SCALING—A CRITICAL REVIEW 10

Н

HANDBOOK FOR RESOURCES FOR CRIMI-NAL JUSTICE EVALUATORS HANDBOOK IN RESEARCH AND EVALUA-TION-COLLECTION OF PRINCIPLES METHODS AND STRATEGIES USEFUL IN THE PLANNING, DESIGN, AND EVALUA-TION OF STUDIES IN EDUCATION AND THE BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES HANDBOOK OF EVALUATION RESEARCH, V HANDBOOK OF EVALUATION RESEARCH, V HELPING PROGRAM MANAGERS TO IM-PROVE THEIR PROGRAMS 49 HIGH IMPACT ANTI-CRIME PROGRAM SAMPLE IMPACT PROJECT EVALUATION COMPONENTS HOW EFFECTIVE ARE YOUR COMMUNITY SERVICES? PROCEDURES FOR MONI-TORING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF MUNIC-**IPAL SERVICES** 124 HOW TO CALCULATE STATISTICS HOW TO DEAL WITH GOALS AND OBJEC-TIVES

HOW TO DESIGN A PROGRAM EVALUA-TION HOW TO DEVELOP A MORE SYSTEMATIC EVALUATION STRATEGY 7
HOW TO GET STARTED ON EVALUATION-A FIELD REPORT AND GUIDE FOR CETA (COMPREHENSIVE EMPLOYMENT TRAINING ACT) PRIME SPONSORS-FINAL REPORT, 1977 61 HOW TO MEASURE ACHIEVEMENT HOW TO MEASURE ATTITUDES HOW TO MEASURE PROGRAM IMPLEMEN-**TATION** HOW TO PRESENT AN EVALUATION REPORT HOW WELL DOES IT WORK?-REVIEW OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION, 1978

ı

IMPLEMENTATION EVALUATION-A FUTURE DIRECTION IN PROJECT EVALU-ATION INFORMATION SYSTEMS FOR EVALUATION RESEARCH -- A CASE STUDY 138 INSIDE VERSUS OUTSIDE EVALUATION RE-SEARCH-A CONTRIBUTION TO THE DEBATE INTRODUCTION TO CRIMINAL JUSTICE-THEORY AND APPLICATION INTRODUCTION TO MULTIVARIATE TECH-NIQUES FOR SOCIAL AND BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES INTRODUCTION TO PROGRAM EVALUA-TION

L

LARGE-SCALE MODELS FOR POLICY EVAL-UATION 54

N

MANAGEMENT-ORIENTED CORRECTIONS **EVALUATION GUIDELINES** MANAGEMENT 1-THE ROLE OF THE AD-MINISTRATOR IN EVALUATION 134 METAEVALUATION RESEARCH METHODOLOGICAL AND T THEORETICAL ISSUES IN JUVENILE DIVERSION-IMPLI-CATIONS FOR EVALUATIONS 83
METHODOLOGICAL HAZARDS IN CONDUCT-ING EVALUATION RESEARCH METHODOLOGY FOR CONDUCTING A COM-PARATIVE EVALUATION OF TASC (TREAT-MENT ALTERNATIVES TO CRIME) - HIGH IMPACT ANTI-CRIME PRO-GRAM METHODS OF EVALUATION AND PLANNING IN THE FIELD OF CRIME-1ST CRIMINO-LOGICAL COLLOQUIUM-STRASBOURG, 28-30 NOVEMBER 1973 MINNESOTA - QUARTERLY REPORTS FROM THE CODE (CLIENT ORIENTED DATA FOR EVALUATION) SYSTEM FOR MINIMUM DATA PROJECTS-A TECHNI-CAL ASSISTANCE REPORT MODEL CORRECTIONS **EVALUATION** STUDY 161 MODEL FOR EVALUATING MENTAL HEALTH PROGRAMS-THE FUNCTIONAL BASE-LINE SYSTEM MODEL FOR THE EMPIRICAL EVALUATION OF TRAINING EFFECTIVENESS 41
MULTIFACETED EVALUATION STRATEGY FOR THE FIELD OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE

MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS IN BEHAVIOURAL RESEARCH 60

N

NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION ABSTRACTS OF **PRESENTATIONS** 112 NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION ADMINISTRATIVE AND EVALUATION REPORT NATIONAL EVALUATION DESIGN FOR DEINSTITUTIONALIZATION OF STATUS OFFENDER PROGRAM 135 NEED FOR HYBRID EVALUATION MODELS IN MULTI-MODULE CRIMINAL JUSTICE **PROJECTS** 160 NEW METHODS TO ASSESS THE BEHAV-IORAL CONSEQUENCES OF DELINQUEN-CY TREATMENT PROGRAMS 125 NONUTILIZATION OF EVALUATION RE-SEARCH 15

C

OBSERVING THE LAW—APPLICATIONS OF FIELD METHODS TO THE STUDY OF THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM 142
ORGANIZATIONAL APPROACH TO CORRECTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS 115

P

PLANNING, CONDUCTING, EVALUATING **WORKSHOPS** POLICE WORK-STRATEGIES AND OUT-COMES IN LAW ENFORCEMENT 152 POLICEWOMEN ON PATROL-FINAL REPORT-METHODOLOGY, TABLES AND MEASUREMENT INSTRUMENTS 120 POLICING URBAN MASS TRANSIT SYS-TEMS-EVALUATION DESIGNS AND REC-OMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RF. 100 **SEARCH** POLICY ANALYSIS-IN SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH 21 PRACTICAL PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT OFFI-CIALS PRE- AND POST-PLANNED EVALUATION-WHICH IS PREFERABLE? PROBLEMS IN EVALUATION DESIGN-A BACKGROUND PAPER 30 PROFESSION AND PRACTICE OF PROGRAM **EVALUATION** PROGRAM EVALUATION -- A RESOURCE HANDBOOK FOR VOCATIONAL REHABILI-TATION PROGRAM EVALUATION-ALCOHOL, DRUG ABUSE, AND MENTAL HEALTH SERV-**ICES** 35 PROGRAM EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS TECHNICAL GUIDE FOR STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS PROGRAM EVALUATION IN CORREC-TIONS-AN ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRA-PHY 172 PROGRAM EVALUATION MANUAL (IN TWO NUMBERED PARTS) EVALUATION - SELECTED PAPERS FROM THE NATIONAL CONFER-ENCE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUA-

| ı | | _ | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 7 | ^ | ١ |
| ۱ | L | • | ı |

QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE METHODS IN EVALUATION RESEARCH
QUALITATIVE EVALUATION METHODOS
QUICK EVALUATION METHODOLOGY
80

R

RANDOM TIME QUOTA SELECTION—AN ALTERNATIVE TO RANDOM SELECTION IN EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATIONS 118
RANDOMIZED FIELD EXPERIMENTS FOR PROGRAM PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT, AND EVALUATION—AN ILLUSTRATIVE BIBLIOGRAPHY 166
READINGS IN EVALUATION RESEARCH, 2ND ED. 6
RECURRING ISSUES IN EVALUATION RESEARCH 4
REHABILITATION OF CRIMINAL OFFENDERS—PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTS 108
REPRESENTATION OF REALITY—MEASUREMENT MODELS IN EVALUATION 67
RESEARCH TECHNIQUES FOR PROGRAM PLANNING, MONITORING, AND EVALUATION

S

SELF-EVALUATION IN COMMUNITY-BASED RESIDENTIAL CENTRES, V 1-GUIDE SELF-EVALUATION IN COMMUNITY-BASED RESIDENTIAL CENTRES, V 2-PROS-PECTS AND PITFALLS SIMULATING AS A METHOD FOR TEACHING PROGRAM EVALUATION SOME METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDER-ATIONS IN EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES OF LEGAL ISSUES STATE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION AT MISSOURI COUNCIL ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE STIMULATING THE USE OF EVALUATION AND ITS RESULTS-A UNIVERSITY-COUNTY GOVERNMENT APPROACH 94 SURVEY OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUA-TIVE LITERATURE 169 SURVEY OF MEASURES AVAILABLE FOR EVALUATION OF CHILD ABUSE AND NE-GLECT DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

7

TECHNIQUES FOR PROJECT EVALUATION-A SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY 167 TECHNIQUES FOR THE ANALYSIS OF GEO-GRAPHIC DATA IN EVALUATION TOWARD A CAUSAL MODEL APPROACH FOR ADJUSTING FOR PREEXISTING DIF-FERENCES IN THE NONEQUIVALENT CONTROL GROUP SITUATION TOWARD OVERCOMING PROBLEMS IN EVALUATING RESEARCH-A BEGINNING PERSPECTIVE ON POWER 68 TRANSLATING **EVALUATION** INTO POLICY 25

IJ

USE OF DECISION THEORY IN THE EVALU-ATION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PRO-GRAMS USE OF EVALUATION BY FEDERAL AGEN-CIES-PROCEEDINGS OF A SYMPO-SIUM USER'S GUIDE FOR THE CLIENT-ORIENTED DATA FOR EVALUATION (CODE) INFOR-MATION SYSTEM 139 USING EVALUATIONS—DOES EVALUATION MAKE A DIFFERENCE? EXPERIMENTAL USING DESIGNS TO **EVALUATE SOCIAL PROGRAMS** UTILIZATION-FOCUSED EVALUATION

W

WHAT WORKS IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE?
SOME USES OF PROGRAM EVALUATION 84
WHAT'S NEW ABOUT CRIMINAL JUSTICE
EVALUATION RESEARCH? 150
WORKING MANUAL OF SIMPLE PROGRAM
EVALUATION TECHNIQUES FOR COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS 48
WORKSHOP ON METHODOLOGY FOR
EVALUATING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF
TRANSIT CRIME REDUCTION MEASURES
IN AUTOMATED GUIDEWAY TRANSIT
SYSTEMS—PROCEEDINGS 93

ADDENDA

The following documents on this subject were added to the NCJRS collection too late to be included in the main section of this bibliography. However, because of their relevance to the topic, basic bibliographic facts are presented in this addenda.

ARTIFACT IN PRETEST-POSTTEST DESIGNS — HOW IT CAN MISTAKENLY MAKE DELINQUENCY PROGRAMS LOOK EF-FECTIVE. MALTZ, M.D., McDOWALL, D., GORDON, A.C., McCLEARY, R. Evaluation Review, V.4, N.2, (April 1980) p. 225-240 NCJ-71003

Sponsoring Agencies: National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice, Illinois Department of Corrections

EVALUATING PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION. LEITHWOOD, K.A. and MONTGOMERY, D.J. Evaluation Review, V.4, N.2 (April 1980) p.193-214. NCJ-66664

Sponsoring Agency: Ontario Ministry of Education

EVALUATION OF THE MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT IN-FORMATION SYSTEMS OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE. WILDERMUTH, B.L., and FOOTE, B.L. Interfaces, V.9, N.2 (February 1979) p. 42-49. NCJ 65709

EVALUATION OBJECTIVES, EVALUATION METHODOLOGY AND ACTION RESEARCH REPORT. HARLAND, A.T., WAR-REN, M.Q. and BROWN, E.J. Criminal Justice Research Center, Albany, N.Y., 1979, 121 p. NCJ-72327

Sponsoring Agency: National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

GETTING THE FACTS - A FIELDWORK GUIDE FOR EVALUATORS AND POLICY ANALYSIS. MURPHY, J.T. Goodyear Publishing Company, Santa Monica Calif., 1980, 223 p. NCJ-71062

HANDBOOK OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION. KLEIN, M.W. and TEILMAN, K.S. Sage Publications, Inc., Beverly Hills, Calif., 1980, 678 p. NCJ-73970

IMPROVING EVALUATIONS. DATTA, L. and PERLOFF, R. Sage Publications, Inc., Beverly Hills, Calif., 1979, 280 p. NCJ-64392

MODELS FOR THE EVALUATION OF TREATMENT-RELEASE CORRECTIONS PROGRAMS. KAPLAN, E.H. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Operations Research Center, Cambridge, Mass., 1979, 47 p. NCJ-59455

Sponsoring Agency: National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

NATIONAL EVALUATION PROGRAM — PHASE 1 ASSESS-MENT OF SHOPLIFTING AND EMPLOYEE THEFT PRO-GRAMS — FIELD FEASIBILITY ASSESSMENT OF NEW MEASUREMENT STRATEGIES, MARCH 1980. ROSENBAUM, D.P., BICKMAN, L., CARROLL, J.S., BAUMER, T.L., KUDEL, M.R. and PERKOWITZ, W.T., 1980, 138 p. NCJ-73534

Sponsoring Agency: National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

PARADIGMATIC CHOICES IN EVALUATION METHODOLOGY, HEILMAN, J.G., Evaluation Review, V.4, N.5 (October 1980) p. 693-712. NCJ-73165

PLANNING USEFUL EVALUATIONS — EVALUABILITY AS-SESSMENT. RUTMAN, L. Sale Publications Inc., Beverly Hills, Calif., 1980, 280 p. NCJ-67317

Sponsoring Agency: Carleton University

RECURRING ISSUES IN THE EVALUATION OF DELIN-QUENCY PREVENTION AND TREATMENT PROGRAMS. EL-LIOTT, D.S. Heath Lexington Books, Lexington, Mass. 1980, 25 p. NCJ-65355

RESEARCH METHODS IN CRIMINOLOGY AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE. JOHNSON, E.S. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1981, 429 p. NCJ-74047

SURVEY DESIGN AND ANALYSIS — CURRENT ISSUES. AL-WIN, D.F. Sage Publications, Inc., Beverly Hills, Calif., 1977, 154 p. NCJ-74033

TEST CONSTRUCTION FOR TRAINING EVALUATION. DE-NOVA, C.C. Van Nostrand Reinhold/American Society for Training and Development, Florence, Ky. 1979, 126 p. NCJ-64246

TOWARD A SYSTEMATIC APPROACH TO PROGRAM EVALUATION DESIGN. TIEN, J.M. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, V.9, N.9 (September 1979) p. 494-515. NCJ-65812

Sponsoring Agency: National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice

*U.S. GOVERMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1982 0-361-233/1833

HOW TO OBTAIN THESE DOCUMENTS

The documents cited in this bibliography have been selected from the collection of the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) and are accessible in a variety of ways. NCJRS maintains a Reading Room in the metropolitan Washington, D.C., area that is open to the public weekdays between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. Visitors are encouraged to telephone NCJRS for directions to the facility (301/251-5500). Many of the citations may also be found in public and organizational libraries.

For researchers who prefer to obtain personal copies, sales sources are identified whenever possible. Document availability changes over time, however, and NCJRS cannot guarantee continued availability from publishers or distributors. For periodical literature, there are several potential sources of reprints: Original Article Tear Sheet Service (Institute for Scientific Information, 325 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19106) and University Microfilms International (Article Reprint Department, 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106).

Government documents are commonly available from the following sources:

Documents From GPO

The letters "GPO" after a citation indicate that copies may be purchased from the Government Printing Office. Inquiries about availability and cost should include stock number and title and be addressed to:

Superintendent of Documents U.S. Government Printing Office Washington, DC 20402

Documents From NTIS

The letters "NTIS" after a citation indicate that copies may be purchased from the National Technical Information Service. Inquiries about availability and cost should include publication number and title and be addressed to:

National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161

In addition, NCJRS offers the following:

Microfiche From NCJRS

The designation "NCJRS Microfiche Program" indicates that a free microfiche copy of the document is available from NCJRS. Microfiche is a 4 x 6-inch sheet of film that contains the reduced images of up to 98 pages of text. Because the image is reduced 24 times, a microfiche reader (available at most public and academic libraries) is essential to read microfiche documents. Requests for microfiche should include the title and NCJ number and be addressed to:

NCJRS Microfiche Program Box 6000 Rockville, MD 20850

Loan Documents From NCJRS

Most of these documents may be borrowed from the National Criminal Justice Reference Service on interlibrary loan. Documents are not loaned directly to individuals. To borrow documents from NCJRS, specify the title and NCJ number and ask your librarian to submit a standard interlibrary loan form to:

NCJRS Document Loan Program Box 6000 Rockville, MD 20850

Postage and Fees Paid U.S. Department of Justice Jus 436 Third Class



Washington, D.C. 20531