
### National Criminal Justice Reference Service

# ncjrs

This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.




MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U. S. Department of Justice.

National Institute of Justice United States Department of Justice Washington, D. C. 20531

3-18-82



## **STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA**

**UNIFORM CRIME REPORT** 

ANNUAL REPORT
JANUARY—DECEMBER 1980

COMPILED BY THE
NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
POLICE INFORMATION NETWORK

NCJRS

JUL 24 1981

ACQUISITION

#### PLEASE NOTE

Figures used in this report are submitted voluntarily by law enforcement agencies throughout North Carolina. Individuals using these tabulations are cautioned against drawing conclusions by making direct comparisons between cities due to the existence of numerous factors which affect the amount and type of crime from place to place. Some of these factors are listed in this report. More valid use can be made of these figures by determining deviations from state averages and through comparisons with averages for cities in similar population groups. It is important to remember that crime is a social problem and, therefore, a concern of the entire community. The efforts of law enforcement are limited to factors within its control.

U.S. Department of Justice 8009

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

N.C. Dept. of Justice
William C. Corley

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

# STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

RUFUS L. EDMISTEN

ATTORNEY GENERAL

## POLICE INFORMATION NETWORK

WILLIAM C. CORLEY

DIRECTOR



UNIFORM CRIME REPORTING

P.O. BOX 27047

RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27601

TELEPHONE: 919/733-3171

ANNUAL REPORT — JANUARY-DECEMBER 1980



RUFUS L. EDMISTEN Attorney General of North Carolina

#### PREFACE

Day after day, people in North Carolina tell me about crime in their cities and their neighborhoods. Many people tell me about their fears to walk down a particular street or to venture out alone at night. They buy deadbolt locks for their doors and handguns for their protection. Some even carry cannisters of mace and take survival courses that are similar to military training.

Many North Carolinians have lost confidence in our criminal justice system and its ability to deter crime. In view of the facts contained in this volume, much of their concern is justifiable.

Last year the number of major crimes reported in North Carolina skyrocketed nearly 11 percent. The rate of serious crime has increased 25 percent since 1979 and over 80 percent since the first year, (1973), these statistics were collected by the Police Information Network. Crime has almost doubled in this State in less than ten years.

Many of our law enforcement agencies are investigating over 100 major crimes more per month than they were just one year ago, and they are doing this with few, if any, additional officiers, equipment, and funds. Our prisons are so full that the State is now forced to release prisoners early in many cases. The net effect of these factors is simply to recycle crime and offenders endlessly.

Law enforcement is facing a very challenging period of time. The crime rate is surging, and there is a tremendous pressure to reduce the cost of government. However, it does not make sense to reduce the budget for law enforcement during a radical upsurge in the rate of crime. Please, join me by getting involved. Ask your elected leaders to support law enforcement. We can make North Carolina a safer and better place to live. But, we must work together so we can create political pressure strong enough to really make a difference.

Rufu J. Edmuten

## Law Enforcement Code of Ethics

As a Law Enforcement Officer, my fundamental duty is to serve mankind; to safeguard lives and property; to protect the innocent against deception, the weak against oppression or intimidation, and the peaceful against violence or disorder; and to respect the Constitutional rights of all men to liberty, equality and justice.

I will keep my private life unsullied as an example to all; maintain courageous calm in the face of danger, scorn, or ridicule; develop self-restraint; and be constantly mindful of the welfare of others. Honest in thought and deed in both my personal and official life, I will be exemplary in obeying the laws of the land and the regulations of my department. Whatever I see or hear of a confidential nature or that is confided to me in my official capacity will be kep secret unless revelation is necessary in the performance of my duty.

I will never act officiously or permit personal feelings, prejudices, animosities or friendships to influence my decisions. With no compromise for crime and with relentless prosecution of criminals, I will enforce the law courteously and appropriately without fear or favor, malice or ill will, never employing unnecessary force or violence and never accepting gratuities.

I recognize the badge of my office as a symbol of public faith, and I accept it as a public trust to be held so long as I am true to the ethics of the police service. I will constantly strive to achieve these objectives and ideals, dedicating myself before God to my chosen profession . . . law enforcement.



WILLIAM C. CORLEY
Director
Police Information Network

#### **FOREWORD**

Since 1973 law enforcement agencies throughout North Carolina have voluntarily submitted information on crimes committed in their areas of responsibility to the Police Information Network (PIN). The information and statistics presented in this report are an assessment of the statewide crime problem and were compiled from the monthly Uniform Crime Reports (UCR) submitted to PIN by North Carolina law enforcement agencies for the year 1980. In turn, PIN has furnished this data to the Federal Bureau of Investigation for tabulation of the national crime figures and inclusion in their annual publication, CRIME IN THE UNITED STATES.

The staff of the Police Information Network has verified the data in this publication for accuracy and completeness to the best of their ability. It is our hope that this report will assist law enforcement administrators in adjusting, if necessary, their efforts in the fight against crime. This report should provide valuable information for other interested agencies, both public and private, which have similar goals. I sincerely hope that this publication will prove useful in attempting to curtail the serious problem of crime in our State.

We are constantly seeking ways and means of improving our publications and methods of collecting crime data. In Section One of this book is a discussion of an improvement in our reporting system called Incident Base Reporting. I would like to solicit your comments on this new reporting system or any other comments you may have by writing:

William C. Corley, Director Police Information Network P.O. Box 27047 Raleigh, N.C. 27611

I would like to extend my personal thanks to all law enforcement officials without whose concerted and consistent participation in the Uniform Crime Reporting Program would not have made this report possible.

## NORTH CAROLINA POLICE INFORMATION NETWORK UNIFORM CRIME REPORTING

STATEMENT OF POLICY FOR THE RELEASE OF UCR STATISTICAL INFORMATION

The following regulations will be observed by this agency concerning the release of Uniform Crime Reporting statistical information. Employees of this agency will observe these procedures and will not deviate from this policy without the express consent of the Director of the Police Information Network. All information to be released will originate from the Police Information Network Criminal Justice Statistics Division and will be approved prior to being released by the Director of the Police Information Network.

#### REGULATIONS

#### FOR PUBLISHED DATA:

1. This agency will publish an annual report reflecting crime in North Carolina. This report will be distributed to the Governor, to members of the Legislature, to the Attorney General, to law enjustice work.

2. All UCR data intended for publication will be released to the contributing agencies prior to its being released to individuals or agencies extraneous to the criminal justice community.

### FOR UNPUBLISHED DATA:

1. All requests for unpublished information from agencies or individuals should be directed by letter to the Director of the Police Information Network. These special requests will be honored on2. Any statistical information.

2. Any statistical information not contained in the published annual report may be released via phone, letter, etc., to any interested party only with the written consent of the agency whose

3. Law enforcement agencies may receive interim, unpublished, specialized reports identifying their agency provided the request is not unreasonable. Law enforcement agencies may also receive their respective county totals as well as any available district or statewide information.

#### **DEDICATION**

This book is dedicated to all law enforcement officers and in particular to those law enforcement officers who were feloniously killed while endeavoring to uphold the laws and serving the citizens of North Carolina in the interest of all mankind. The following men gave their lives to this cause in 1980 on the date specified.

Officer D. D. Adams Raleigh P.D. Raleigh, N.C. February 2, 1980

Officer Lloyd O. Mayse N.C. Wildlife Enforcement Division Northampton County, N.C. November 27, 1980

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

P	AGE
PREFACE — RUFUS L. EDMISTEN, ATTORNEY GENERAL	iii
LAW ENFORCEMENT — CODE OF ETHICS	iv
FOREWORD — WILLIAM C. CORLEY, DIRECTOR	· · ·
STATEMENT OF POLICY FOR RELEASE OF UCR STATISTICS	vi
DEDICATION	vii
SECTION ONE — N.C. CRIME REPORTING PROGRAM	711
Historical Background	2
The Police Information Network	3
Reporting Procedures	6
Verification Procedures	6
	7
Field Representation	
Incident Base Reporting	8 9
Contributing Agencies — Population Coverage	
UCR System Flow	10
SECTION TWO — CONSIDERATIONS FOR INTERPRETATION	11
UCR Limitations	12
The Index of Crime	14
Classification of Offenses	15
Scoring of Offenses	15
Clearances	16
Property Stolen and Recovered	16
Arrests	16
Crime Factors	17
Offense Definitions	17
SECTION THREE — N.C. CRIME INDEX DATA	21
Total Index Crime	22
N.C. Crime Clocks	24
Crime Rate by County — Map	27
Violent Crime	29
Murder	33
Forcible Rape	41
Robbery	49
Aggravated Assault	53
Property Crime	57
Burglary	61
Larceny	65
Motor Vehicle Theft	69
Arson	73
Index Crime Projections — 1981	75
N.C. Contributing Agency Profile	83

SECTION FOUR — N.C. PROPERTY CRIME DATA	145
Value of Property Stolen and Recovered by Month	146
Value of Property Stolen and Recovered by Type	146
Value of Property Stolen by Type and Month	147
Value of Property Stolen by Offense	148
Average Property Loss by Offense	149
Value of Property Stolen and Recovered by County	151
SECTION FIVE — N.C. ARREST DATA	159
Arrests by Age — 1980	160
Arrests by Sex — 1980	161
Arrests by Race / Ethnicity — 1980	162
Total Arrests: 1979 vs. 1980	163
Arrests by County: 1979 vs. 1980	165
Drug Arrests: 1979 vs. 1980	191
SECTION SIX — N.C. LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS KILLED / ASSAULTED	193
Homicide Situations: 1971 - 1980	194
Criminal History of Known Offenders	195
Officer Assaults by Month: 1979 vs. 1980	195
Assault Situations: 1979 vs. 1980	196
Assaults by Time of Day	197
Weapons Used In Assaults	197
Injury to Officers	197
Officer Assault Clearances	197
SECTION SEVEN — UNIFORM CRIME REPORTING SUMMARY	199
Offense Distribution and Clearance Rates	200
Index Arrest Rates	201
Value of Property Stolen and Recovered: 1979 vs. 1980	201
Five Year Recapitulation of Offenses	202
Crime in the United States — 1979	203
History of Contributor Participation	205
APPENDIX — GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	211

# SECTION ONE

# NORTH CAROLINA CRIME REPORTING PROGRAM



#### **HISTORICAL BACKGROUND \***

The North Carolina Uniform Crime Reporting Program is part of a voluntary national program of collecting crime counts initiated in 1930 by the Committee on Uniform Crime Records of the International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP). That same year, the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) was authorized by the Congress of the United States to serve as the national clearinghouse for statistical information on crime. Since that time, data based on uniform classifications and procedures of reporting have been obtained by the FBI from the Nation's law enforcement agencies.

In an effort to provide as complete a picture of crime as possible on a national level, the Committee on Uniform Crime Records of the IACP chose to obtain data on offenses that became known to police, since greater numbers of these data were available than in any other category of reportable crime information. A meaningful overview of crime was available through examination of seven offenses which were selected because of their seriousness, frequency of occurrence, and likelihood of being reported to police. These offenses, which now comprise the Crime Index, are murder and non-negligent mansiaughter, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny-theft, and motor vehicle theft. By congressional mandate, arson was added as the eighth Index offense in late 1973.

To provide nationwide uniformity in the reporting of data, standardized definitions were adopted for all offenses. This standardization was necessary to overcome the variation in definitions of criminal offenses throughout the country. Reporting agencies are required to submit their data in accordance with the Uniform Crime Reporting definition of offenses as set forth in Section 2 of this publication. Because of the variance in punishment for the same offenses in different state codes, there is no possibility in a program such as this to distinguish between felony or misdemeanor crimes.

Contributing agencies to the UCR Program are responsible for compiling and submitting their crime data in one of two means, either directly to the FBI or through state Programs such as the one established in North Carolina. Presently, 45 states have operational UCR Programs.

These state UCR Programs provide the advantages of increased coverage of law enforcement agencies; the provision of more direct and frequent service to law enforcement agencies in assuring completeness and quality of information provided by them; and through coordination by the state data collection agency, more readily available information for use at the state level. Also, the collection and reporting machinery for the national Program is substantially streamlined. With the development of a state UCR Program, the FBI ceases collection of data directly from individual law enforcement agencies within the state. Instead, completed information from these agencies is forwarded to the national Program through the state data collection agency (Police Information Network in N.C.).

The Committee on Uniform Crime Records, IACP, continues to serve in an advisory capacity to the FBI concerning the operation of the UCR Program. In this connection, the IACP, through surveys of law enforcement records and crime reporting systems, plays an active and effective part. The National Sheriffs' Association (NSA) in June, 1966, established a Committee on Uniform Crime Reporting to serve in an advisory role to the NSA membership and the national UCR Program. This committee actively encourages sheriffs throughout the country to fully participate in the Program.

\* Crime in the U.S., 1979: FBI, Washington, D.C.

#### THE POLICE INFORMATION NETWORK

The growing need for a central, computerized network of criminal justice information for the State prompted an extensive survey by the Governor's Law and Order Committee in 1968. This Committee's report recommended to the General Assembly that an information network be created. The General Assembly enacted legislation in 1969 (G. S. 114, art. 3.) which created the division of criminal statistics and the Police Information Network (PIN) as a part of the Department of Justice.

PIN was authorized by law to collect and correlate information regarding the administration of the criminal laws, to maintain and control the access to such information that is required for the performance of criminal justice duties, and, to make scientific studies, analyses and comparisons of this data in cooperation with local, state and national criminal justice agencies.

Today, the Police Information Network maintains a vast computerized network that links local, state and national criminal justice agencies with up to the minute information on crimes and criminals. The network operates twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week collecting, organizing and supplying information. Subscribers to the network have the ability to send messages to any one terminal or group of terminals connected to the service, including terminals in other states through the National Law Enforcement Telecommunications Systems in Arizona.

The information needs of the law enforcement community have been given the highest priority in the development of the PIN system. PIN also serves many other agencies in the state's criminal justice system, such as the courts, District Attorneys, The Department of Corrections, and the probation, parole, and pardon agencies.

With the increasing mobility of the criminal element, it is important that law enforcement keeps pace. With PIN, the communication of vital information between different law enforcement jurisdictions can be accomplished in seconds. Before the advent of PIN, the common means of communication between agencies was by telephone, telegraph or the highway patrol radio network. Incomplete or erroneous information was sometimes given. Today, PIN's computerized network is noted for its accuracy and speed.

Through linkage with the National Crime Information Center, PIN has access to up-to-date information on stolen vehicles, stolen license plates, stolen property, stolen securities, stolen boats and stolen or recovered firearms. PIN also has access to current information on wanted persons or fugitives from every jurisdiction in the country. In cooperation with the North Carolina Department of Motor Vehicles, PIN provides information concerning automobile registration and driver history in North Carolina.

In cooperation with the Motorboat Registration Division of the North Carolina Department of Wildlife, PIN maintains motorboat registration information.

PIN also maintains the SBI's case index file, criminal history information, consumer complaint records, PIN terminal user certification records, the Criminal Justice Standard Division's program information, the SBI's crime laboratory evidence analysis records, the Uniform Crime Reports statistical data, the Justice Academy's student records, as well as state and national fire investigation data and statistics.

The Police Information Network is administratively organized into four divisions: Administration, Operations, Systems, and Statistics and Field Support.

The Administrative Division is led by PIN Director William C. Corley and is responsible for the overall operation of the Network. The Director's office is directly concerned with PIN's budget, administrative procedures, the technical documentation on all computer programs, systems and hardware, operational procedures for terminal users, the collection of PIN terminal subscribers' dues and contractural support for the PIN system.

The Operations Division of PIN is handled by Deputy Director Graham Stallings and is primarily responsible for monitoring the central, main frame operations computer. The division also services PIN user terminals and works with the telephone companies to correct telephone communications problems.

Because of the critical and important nature of the services provided by PIN, high speed system reliability was the prime objective in the selection and design of the system. PIN consists of two identical computer processors. During normal operations, one processor services all the user terminals and the other works on administrative tasks such as developing new programs, compiling statistics or studying the volume of traffic. Should the computer servicing the user terminals break down, the second computer cuts in to ensure uninterruptable service to all terminals users.

To further ensure the reliability of the system, it has an uninterruptable power supply. This consists of a battery powered backup from brief power shortages and a complete motor generator system for extended outages. This system allows the network to maintain normal services to users during unforeseen commercial power failures.

The standard user terminal of the Police Information Network is the Univac Uniscrope 100 Video-Data Terminal attached to a communication output printer. The Video-Data Terminal was chosen because of its high transmission speed and ease of operation. User terminals are connected to the main computer by standard telephone lines.

Agencies which utilize the PIN system include: Sheriff's offices, Police Departments, the SBI, the FBI, the Highway Patrol, U.S. Military Agencies, the Division of Motor Vehicles, the Department of Correction, the Wildlife Enforcement Division, the Emergency Opertions Center, District Attorney's Offices, the Drug Enforcement Administration, the U.S. Customs Agency, the U.S. Secret Service, the Blue Ridge Parkway Rangers, and state university Campus Police.

There are high speed communication links designed for fast data transfer between PIN and the Division of Motor Vehicles; the FBI's National Crime Information Center (NCIC) in Washington; the National Law Enforcement Telecommunication Center (NLETS) in Phoenix, Arizona; and the Police Departments of all major cities in North Carolina. These special linkages ensure the fast and accurate transmission of the latest data on criminal activity.

The Systems Division is headed by Deputy Director Kenneth Walker and is responsible for the design, development and implementation of the Network's sophisticated computer programs. This includes highly complex computer programs that allow message switching between different terminals as well as a program that allows any terminal to direct access the National Law Enforcement Telecommunications Center in Phoenix and the National Crime Information Center in Washington.

PIN's many complex computer programs require highly skilled technical and professional experts to design, develop, implement and maintain them. Plans are always being developed to improve and refine the level of service available to terminal subscribers.

The Statistics and Field Support Division is headed by Deputy Director Doug Kappler and is primarily responsible for collecting and analyzing crime statistics and field training of PIN programs. PIN publishes a quarterly crime trend report using statistics from the five major North Carolina cities and a yearly report entitled Crime in North Carolina which analyzes crime by index offense in every county and municipality in North Carolina.

The division also certifies and trains all PIN terminal operators. From the inception of this training program in 1969, PIN has trained and certified more than 5,000 terminal operators all over the State.

This division certifies and validates for accuracy all crime data submitted by law enforcement agencies. It is also responsible for handling requests for advisory and technical assistance and supplying forms for record-keeping to enable various law enforcement agencies to better collect and maintain internal records of criminal statistics.

Another service provided by this division is statistics on incendiary and suspicious fires compiled from data submitted by fire departments all over North Carolina. PIN now publishes a yearly report called *Fire in North Carolina*.

This division also has a staff of field representatives who travel the state to help local law enforcement agencies who request assistance with compiling their criminal statistics, PIN certification training, and other PIN related functions.

The Police Information Network has an Advisory Policy Board which is composed of thirteen members. The members are drawn from all agencies in the state's law enforcement community serviced by PIN and includes the director of PIN. The Board makes recommendations and decisions regarding the existing operational capacities and proposed expansions of services of the Network.

The Police Information Network taxes stringent precautions to ensure that only those persons with rightful access to the information in PIN have access to that information and use it only for legitimate law enforcement purposes. Only the SBI can enter or modify criminal history data in the Network.

All employees of PIN have had thorough background investigations, similar to those made on prospective SBI agents, which are done before and during their employment with the agency. All information drawn from the network is recorded and the individual requesting it can be identified easily. Programming checks are made of information requested on a regular basis, and the checks are audited to ensure that security and confidentiality is maintained.

Citizens who wish to review information on them which may be contained in the system must do so under the auspices of the State Bureau of Investigation. Citizens may review only files which concern themselves. All visitors to the Police Information Network Headquarters must sign in and out, must offer positive identification, and must be accompanied at all times. The Network does this to ensure confidentiality and ensure every individual's right to privacy.

The Police Information Network is dedicated to improving law enforcement's capability to solve crimes by providing fast and accurate information on crimes and criminals. Through the cooperation of all law enforcement and criminal justice agencies, PIN has developed an outstanding system for getting vital criminal information where it is needed very quickly.

#### REPORTING PROCEDURE

In the statewide Uniform Crime Reporting Program contributing law enforcement agencies are wholly responsible for compiling their own crime reports and submitting them to the Police Information Network. The Police Information Network in an effort to maintain quality and uniformity in the data received furnishes full-time field representatives who provide training in Uniform Crime Reporting procedures. All contributors also are furnished with the Uniform Crime Reporting Handbook which outlines in detail procedures for scoring and classifying offenses. The Handbook illustrates and discusses the monthly and annual reporting forms as well as the numerous tally sheets made available to facilitate the periodic tabulation of desired data.

A centralized record system is necessary to the sound operation of any law enforcement agency. The record system is an essential basis for crime reporting by the agency. Upon request the Police Information Network makes internal records forms and technical assistance available at no cost to any law enforcement agency. This provides for the establishment or modification of a basic non-automated police records system.

On a monthly basis, law enforcement agencies (police, sheriffs, Highway Patrol, and State Bureau of Investigation, Wildlife) report the number of offenses that become known to them during the month in the following crime categories: murder and non-negligent manslaughter, manslaughter by negligence, forcible rape, robbery, assault, burglary, larceny, motor vehicle theft and arson. This count is taken from a record of all complaints of crime received by the law enforcement agency from victims, other sources, and/or discovered by officers. Whenever complaints of crime are determined through investigation to be unfounded or false, they are eliminated from the actual count. The number of "actual offenses known" in these crime categories is reported to PIN without regard to 1) whether anyone is arrested for the crime; 2) stolen property recovered; 3) the local prosecutive policy; or 4) any other restrictive considertion. Law enforcement agencies on a monthly basis report the total number of these reported crimes which they clear either by arrest or exceptional means. A separate count of crimes cleared which involve only persons under the age of 18 is shown. The number of law enforcement officers killed and assaulted and the value of property stolen and recovered during the month are reported. Arrests are reported for all criminal acts, except traffic violations, by crime category including the age, sex, and race of each person arrested. Supplementary information concerning pertinent data surrounding homicides and rapes is reported. Law enforcement employee data specifically encompasses the number of sworn and other personnel and is collected annually.

#### **VERIFICATION PROCEDURES**

An obvious concern in the collection of crime statistics is the validity and uniformity of the data received. With the receipt of monthly reports covering approximately 400 jurisdictions, prepared on a voluntary basis, the problems of attaining uniformity are readily apparent. Issuance of instructions does not complete the role of the Police Information Network. On the contrary, it is standard operating procedure to examine each incoming report not only for arithmetical accuracy but also, and possibly of even more importance, for reasonableness as a possible indication of errors.

Numerous checks and cross checks are used to ensure the accuracy of the reports. Errors of a minor nature are corrected by the UCR report verifiers without contacting the contributor. Necessary arithmetical adjustments or unusual variations are noted by the UCR verifiers and brought to the attention of the submitting agency by direct contact through a PIN field representative. Upon resubmission to PIN of a correct return from a field representative, the forms are reverified. Upon completion of all individual form verification processes, summary statistics in various forms are derived.

A great deal of the success of North Carolina's UCR Program to this point has largely been due to the full time field staff assigned to assist local agencies in record practices and crime reporting procedures. Personal contact is invaluable to the accuracy and uniformity of UCR data received.

#### FIELD REPRESENTATION

For Uniform Crime Reporting purposes the Police Information Network divides the State into eight (8) districts. Eight PIN Field Representatives are assigned to assist local agencies in many areas including record practices and crime reporting procedures. The difficult and important task of maintaining close liaison is obvious when it is realized that services now are extended by PIN, through its Field Representatives, to 470 law enforcement agencies of the State. Education of contributors to the program must be a constant operational requirement, if continued system adherence and valid statistics are to be expected.

As an adjunct to the program, the Police Information Network offers internal records keeping system assistance to those agencies who request it. Assistance is rendered by the Field Representative who provides the necessary guidance and instruction. The personal contact of the Field Representative and the contributor not only serves as a rapid means to resolve areas of report error, but also provides the Field Representative the opportunity to work in other areas with which the Police Information Network is concerned.

#### UNIFORM CRIME REPORTING DISTRICTS



- 3. E.K. Best
- 7. George El-Khouri
- 4. Julia Nipper
- 8. David Brown

#### **INCIDENT BASE REPORTING**

The Police Information Network (PIN) is undertaking the task of developing a statewide Incident Base Reporting System similar to those already operational in many other states. Incident Base Reporting is a less restrictive and more expansive method of collecting crime data as opposed to the current National UCR System from which the statistics in this publication have been drawn.

With Incident Base Reporting, PIN will overcome most of the limitations on crime analysis imposed by the National UCR System simply by changing the method by which crime data are collected and compiled in this state. Conversion to Incident Base Reporting will stop the monthly completion of time-consuming and often error-filled summary reports by the contributing agencies. Instead, agencies will submit to PIN a copy of reports already required and completed by these departments. From these reports PIN will extract the data needed to classify and score all offenses, clearance, arrests, and the like. (The names of all victims, suspects, etc. are deleted from these reports prior to their submission to PIN).

The advantages of such a reporting system are obvious: 1) less paperwork imposed upon participating agencies, 2) better overall uniformity and validity of crime data as a result of the creation of a central classification and scoring unit, 3) streamlining of the records-keeping machinery of all participating agencies offering improved communications and comparability between departments, and 4) a vastly enhanced crime data base for analysis purposes.

To fully appreciate the advantages of an enhanced data base consider there are currently no statewide data available through the UCR Program on the following occurrences: 1) kidnapping, 2) white collar crime in its various forms, 3) the writing of worthless checks and other types of fraud, 4) spouse abuse, 5) child molestation, abuse and neglect, 6) desertion/abandonment and non-support, 7) blackmail and extortion, 8) escape from custody and resisting arrest, 9) parole and probation violations, 10) court related offenses such as perjury, failure to appear, etc., and 11) thefts of farm and construction equipment and vehicles, boats, petroleum products, tobacco products, drugs, and others.

In addition to the information above, Incident Base Reporting will provide the first valid analysis of the extent of juvenile crime and the criminal misuse of handguns in North Carolina, data which would be invaluable in determining appropriate legislation for dealing with these problems. This new system would further allow an examination of the occupational tendencies of criminals and also a mechanism by which modus operandi (M.O.) could be studied and compared on a statewide basis.

Although statewide conversion to this new reporting system began in 1980, the funds to complete conversion by 1982 were not included in the N.C. Advisory Budget Commission recommendations to the State Legislature. Still, PIN will continue to support conversion to Incident Base Reporting to the extent present funding will allow. However, North Carolina is many years away from taking full advantage of this new and improved system given current funding limitations.

#### CONTRIBUTING AGENCIES AND POPULATION COVERAGE

There are currently an average of 400 law enforcement agencies throughout the State contributing monthly and directly to the North Carolina Uniform Crime Reporting Program. Each month approximately 97 out of 100 county Sheriff's Departments and 304 out of 372 Police Departments participate.

In addition, State law enforcement agencies (North Carolina State Bureau of Investigation-SBI, North Carolina Highway Patrol-SHP, North Carolina State Government Security Force-SGSF, Wildlife Protection Division, and several Campus Police Departments) submit data to the program. Uniform Crime reports received from these agencies represent statistical data covering approximately 98.31 percent of the estimated North Carolina population.


JANUARY 1, 1980 - December 31, 1980

	Total	Police	Sheriff	SHP	SGSF	SBI	Wildli{e	Campus PD's	Pop. Cov.
January	406	308	98	1	1	1	1	5	98.69%
February	403	306	97	1	1	1	1	5	98.42%
March	403	306	97	1	1	1	1	5	98.42%
April	401	304	97	1	1	1	1	5	98.38%
May	401	304	97	1	1	1	1	5	98.38%
June	401	304	97	1	1	1	. 1	5	98.38%
July	401	304	97	1	1	1	1	5	98.37%
August	401	304	97	1	1	1	1	5	98.37%
September	397	301	96	1	1	I,	1	6	98.26%
October	396	301	95	1	1	1	1	6	98.04%
November	396	301	95	1	1	.1	1	6	98.04%
December	394	299	95	1	1 /	1	1	6	97.97%
Average	400	304	97	1	1	1	1	5	98.31%

The table above sets forth the number of agencies by type that have participated in the North Carolina Uniform Crime Reporting Program through December 1980. The State Highway Patrol, Wildlife and State Bureau of Investigation are each counted as one agency even though: A) a monthly report is received from the State Highway Patrol and Wildlife reflecting activity in each county and B) a monthly report is received from each Special Agent of the State Bureau of Investigation.

#### **UCR SYSTEM FLOW**

The North Carolina Police Information Network is pleased with the progress of the Uniform Crime Reporting Program to this point notwithstanding the fact that there are many challenges yet to be met. The UCR staff is working vigorously toward achieving a program of excellence in terms of the quality of data submitted, the jurisdiction coverage represented, and the value of meaningful statistical feedback and interpretation.



## **SECTION TWO**

# CONSIDERATIONS FOR INTERPRETATION



#### **UCR LIMITATIONS**

N.C. UCR PROGRAM. Information currently collected by the North Carolina Program is generally the same as that gathered by the National system, and the methods of classifying and scoring (counting) offenses and arrests are the same. This readily enables comparisons with other states and the National (see the National Crime Rate Tables is Section VI), but the information gaps present in the National program are also inherent in North Carolina's system.

PRIMARY PURPOSE. The Uniform Crime Reporting Program has been subject to much criticism during its history, and while many of these commentaries have been valid, at least some of the shortcomings are understandable when it is remembered that the program has been developed to furnish management information for use primarily by law enforcement agencies.

The Uniform Crime Reports are not a court or corrections statistical program. They are not designed to furnish an overview of the workings of our entire criminal justice system, nor are they able to give the complete picture of law enforcement activity. The main goal of the UCR Program is to furnish police administrators with a measure of their activities and operational problems as indicated by the number of reported offenses, arrests, clearances, and the like.

Therefore, much of the criticism of the UCR Program itself is weakened when its stated purpose is kept in mind. Uniform Crime Reports data are the best crime information currently available since they reflect the key events (criminal offenses) that set in motion the various phases of our criminal justice process. The number of arrests, prosecutions, or convictions, while capable of more precise measurement, nevertheless is less indicative of the amount and nature of crime because such data is further removed from the original event. But, because the Uniform Crime Reporting Program is the only recurring crime and arrest reporting program operating on a national level, of necessity it serves as the base for assessing the many significant information gaps at the input end of the criminal justice system.

TYPE DATA COLLECTED. A first step in the control of crime is to ascertain the true dimensions of the problem. However, present statistics as gathered by the UCR Program measure neither the real incidence of crime nor the full amount of economic loss of victims. Information regarding the number of offenses and clearances is collected only for the eight Part 1 crimes and simple assault. Value and type property stolen and recovered data is requested only for property stolen in Part 1 offense categories. There is no calculation made for property damaged except in the arson offense classification.

For the Part 2 offenses (except simple assault), the only information submitted is the number of arrests for these crimes according to the age, sex, race, and ethnicity of the subject. Consequently, there is no record of the actual number of these offenses occurring.

Moreover, the broad categorization of these data does not allow an examination of the number of offenses reported nor the arrests made for such offenses as spouse abuse, the writing of worthless checks, and kidnapping. The number of these particular offenses or arrests, as well as others, are included in such general categories as Assault, Fraud, and All Other Offenses.

Although some victimization data is collected in the offense categories of Homicide and Rape, there is no record of the victims of Robbery, Assault, Burglary, and the remainder of the UCR Part 1 and 2 offenses.

DEGREE OF SERIOUSNESS. The Crime Index does not explicitly take into account the varying degrees of seriousness of its components. Each crime receives the same weight as it is added to the Index. Consequently, an auto theft is counted the same as a murder, and an aggravated assault is weighted equally with an attempted burglary. Any review of crime must consider the volume, rate, and trend of each offense that comprises the Index and the relationship between these crimes.

UCR CLASSIFICATION AND SCORING PROCEDURES. The North Carolina and National Uniform Crime Reporting Programs are designed to measure offenses committed and persons arrested, and difficulty can arise if this distinction is not kept firmly in mind. Crimes relate to events, but arrests relate to persons. The classifying and scoring of one robbery, for instance, could involve several offenders, several victims, and even the commission of other offenses which would go unreported for UCR purposes (see Scoring of Offenses in this Section).

Even more of the total crime picture is lost when arrests are scored. UCR counts only the number of people arrested and not the number of charges per person. Clearly, one arrest could involve any number of different or similar charges against one offender.

VALUE OF PROPERTY STOLEN AND RECOVERED. What effect the recent soaring rates of inflation may be having on the report of these data along with other factors affecting these sums is impossible to calculate. The UCR methods of valuing stolen property involve the acceptance of the victim's evaluation in most instances, and exaggeration of these figures is quite possible.

JUVENILE CRIME DATA. The accuracy of juvenile offense and arrest statistics varies from department to department since the procedures for handling juveniles are not nearly as uniform as those for adults. Many juvenile offenders are handled informally and, as a consequence, inaccurate or incomplete recording of the event or action may result. Furthermore, the degree of juvenile involvement in solved offenses is probably seriously misunderstood because juvenile participation in clearances is recorded only when juveniles are exclusively involved. When both adults and juveniles are subjects in a clearance, the juvenile participation is not reported.


REPORTING VARIATION. North Carolina now receives Uniform Crime Reports from approximately 400 law enforcement agencies monthly. Because the number of reporting agencies is so large, one must be aware that unintentional variations from UCR guidelines may occur and pass undetected affecting the validity of the data presented here. Municipal ordinances, local criminal justice administrative policies, efficiency and thoroughness of record keeping, and Uniform Crime Reporting proficiency and practices all affect the amount of crime and arrests reported. Furthermore, socio-economic conditions and the characteristics and attitudes of the local population influence the magnitude and nature of criminal behavior in a community.

CONCLUSION. The preceding comments should not be viewed as an indictment of the Uniform Crime Reporting Program which, admittedly, was designed to meet only the minimal operational requirements of a law enforcement agency. It is doubtful that those people tasked with creating this program some fifty years ago could ever have envisioned the informational demands now being placed on today's law enforcement. While current methods of gathering and reporting crime and arrest data provide a less than complete picture of criminality in our society, there is at present no other information system in general use that will more adequately perform this task.

#### THE INDEX OF CRIME

The crime index offense table can be used to indicate the probable extent, fluctuation, and distribution of crime for the State of North Carolina as a whole, geographic divisions, individual counties, individual cities and towns, and standard metropolitan statistical areas. The measure used is a Crime Index consisting of seven important offenses which are counted as they become known to the law enforcement agencies. Crime classficiations used in the Index are: Murder and non-negligent manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary—breaking and entering, larceny and motor vehicle theft.

The total number of criminal acts that occur is unknown, but those that are reported to Law Enforcement provide the first means of a count. Not all crimes come readily to the attention of Law Enforcement; not all crimes are of sufficient importance to be significant in an index; and not all important crimes occur with enough regularity to be meaningful in an index. The bullseye below sets forth hypothetical percentages of crime information that are derived from various sources, and, in so doing, highlights the importance of the statistics contained in this volume.



#### **CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENSES**

UCR divides offenses into two major classifications which are designated Part 1 and Part 2 offenses. This distinction is important to keep in mind because different information is collected for each. Part 1 offenses include 1) Violent Crimes; murder and non-negligent manslaughter, negligent manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery and aggravated assault; and 1) Non-Violent (Property) Crimes: burglary, larceny-theft, motor vehicle theft and arson. All other offenses are classified as Part 2 (see Offense Definitions in this section).

The Part 1 offenses, excluding negligent manslaughter and temporarily arson, are used to calculate the Crime Index and Crime Rate.

All offenses are classified on the basis of law enforcement officer investigation in accordance with UCR offense definitions (which will not necessarily coincide with N.C. statute definitions). Because UCR identifies a police problem, offense classifications are not based on the findings of a court, coroner, jury or decision of a prosecutor.

#### **SCORING OF OFFENSES**

Only the number of those offenses for Part 1 crimes and simple assault are scored (counted) for UCR. The method of scoring varies with the type of crime committed and it is important to remember that the number of offenders does not determine the number of offenses.

For murder and non-negligent manslaughter, negligent manslaughter, rape, and aggravated and simple assault, one offense is scored for each victim, regardless of the number of offenders involved. For example, three offenders could be involved in the murder of one victim, and in this case one murder would be scored.

For robbery and larceny, one offense is counted for each distinct operation which is separate in time and place. The number of victims in any one operation does not determine the numer of offenses. For example, if 10 people are robbed in a bar at the same time, only one offense is counted. However, if that robber then leaves the bar and holds up a passerby, a second offense has occurred and would be scored.

For burglary, one offense is counted for each "structure" which is illegally entered. For UCR purposes, a "structure" is generally defined as an enclosed, permanently occupied area. The illegal entries for the purpose of committing a felony or theft of such structures as dwelling houses, garages, offices, barns, and the like are considered burglaries, and one burglary is scored for each separate unit entered. The illegal entry of those structures used to house transients such as hotel rooms is scored as one burglary regardless of the number of these rooms that have been entered.

For motor vehicle theft, one offense is counted for each vehicle stolen. For UCR purposes, a motor vehicle is defined as any self-propelled vehicle that runs on the surface and not on rails or a body of water. Thefts of farm and construction equipment are excluded from this definition and are scored as larcenies.

For arson, one offense is counted for each occurrence even if a more serious offense such as murder occurred as a result of the act.

Additionally any attempts to commit any of the above are also counted with the exception of attempts or assaults to kill which are classified and scored under aggravated assaults.

For multiple offenses that occur in one crime incident (at the same "time and place"), only the most serious offense is counted with the exceptions of arson (always counted) and a combination of larceny and motor vehicle theft (only the motor vehicle theft will be counted). Part 1 crimes are ranked according to seriousness and appear in order from most serious to least serious (See order of crimes in Offense Definitions in this section). For example, a robber may seize a man's wallet and then beat him causing serious injury. Both a robbery and an aggravated assault have occurred, but because robbery is considered by UCR to be more serious, only the robbery is scored. From one perspective, this method of counting seriously understates the crime problem, but from another, it prevents undue inflation of crime statistics. A Part 2 offense that occurs in combination with Part 1 offenses or by itself is not counted.

#### **CLEARANCES**

An offense is considered cleared (solved) when at least one offender is arrested for a crime, even though several may have been involved. Offenses may also be cleared by exceptional means when the offender: commits suicide; makes a dying declaration; confesses while in custody or serving time for another crime; is prosecuted in another jurisdiction for the same offense; is a juvenile who is handled by notifying the parents; or when the victim refuses to prosecute or another jurisdiction refuses to extradite.

Clearances are counted as either "adult" or "juvenile". A "juvenile" clearance is counted only when juveniles are involved exclusively in the commission and clearance of an offense. If the arrest of both adults and juveniles results in a clearance, it is counted as an "adult" clearance.

When examining clearance data, keep in mind that not all crimes are cleared within the calendar year in which the offense occurs. Also note that the recovery of property stolen with regard to a specific offense does not, by itself, constitute a clearance for UCR purposes.

#### PROPERTY STOLEN AND RECOVERED

The figures for value of property stolen and recovered report the value at each point in time. Although property can increase in value over time, it is more likely that stolen property will be recovered in a damaged condition. Therefore recovery value does not necessarily represent a "clearance rate" for stolen property, and one cannot use it to determine law enforcement effectiveness in recovering stolen goods. Because stolen and recovered property figures indicate thefts and recoveries in the current year, it is important to note that recovered property may have been stolen in a previous year. In addition, the type and value of stolen or recovered property is reported only for Part 1 offenses and does not include property losses suffered as a result of the commission of any Part 2 offenses such as fraud or embezzlement.

As was stated in the part on UCR LIMITATIONS, these values are affected by many variables and must be considered estimates at best. It is sometimes difficult to trace the recovery of some stolen property back to the offense or even the departmental jurisdiction in which the theft occurred. This coupled with the fact that the market value at the time of recovery is used instead of at the time of the theft should prompt cautious analysis of this data.

#### **ARRESTS**

Arrest information is collected for all Part 1 and Part 2 offenses according to the age, sex, race, and ethnicity of the offender. It is not possible, however, to correlate race with sex or specific ages because the information is collected independently, thus limiting analysis. Furthermore, arrest figures cannot be directly related to the number of crimes cleared because arrest totals count all the offenders who have been arrested even if several were involved in the commission of a singular offense. Therefore, arrest and clearance totals will be equal only by coincidence.

It should be kept in mind that arrest totals are not indicative of the number of different people involved in the commission of crime. A total of three arrests may represent the arrest of three different people or the arrest of the same person on three different occasions. Moreover, arrest totals also do not indicate the number of charges placed against an individual at the time of arrest.

#### **CRIME FACTORS**

The amount and rate of crime for a particular community can sometimes be quite deceiving unless several factors are taken into consideration. Set forth next are some of the conditions which will, by type and volume, affect the crime that occurs from place to place:

- Density and size of the community population and metropolitan areas of which it is coart.
- 2. Composition of the population with reference particularly to age, sex, and race.
- 3. Economic status and mores of the population.
- 4. Relative stability of population including commuters, seasonal, and other transient types.
- 5. Climate, including seasonal weather conditions.
- 6. Educational, recreational, and religious characteristics.
- 7. EFFECTIVE STRENGTH OF THE POLICE FORCE.
- 8. STANDARDS GOVERNING APPOINTMENT TO THE POLICE FORCE.
- 9. POLICIES OF THE PROSECUTING OFFICIALS AND THE COURTS.
- ATTITUDE OF THE PUBLIC TOWARDS LAW AND ENFORCEMENT PROBLEMS.
- 11. THE ADMINISTRATIVE AND INVESTIGATIVE EFFICIENCY OF THE LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY INCLUDING THE DEGREE OF ADHERENCE TO CRIME STANDARDS.

## OFFENSE DEFINITIONS PART 1 OFFENSES

#### **CRIMINAL HOMICIDE:**

a. Murder and Non-negligent Manslaughter:

The willful, non-negligent killing of one person by another. Excludes attempts or assaults to kill (classified as aggravated assault), suicide, and accidental deaths. The killing of a felon by a private citizen or the killing of a felon by a police officer in the line of duty are considered justifiable homicides by UCR and are excluded from this count.

b. Nealigent Manslaughter:

The killing of another person through gross negligence. Traffic fatalities are not counted.

#### RAPE:

The carnal knowledge of a female through the use of force or the threat of force. Assaults to commit forcible rape are also included; however, statutory rape (without force) is not counted in this category.

#### **ROBBERY:**

The taking or attempting to take anything of value from the care, custody, or control of a person by force or threat of force and/or by putting the victim in fear.

#### AGGRAVATED ASSAULT:

The unlawful attack by one person upon another for the purpose of inflicting severe bodily injury usually accompanied by the use of a weapon or other means likely to produce death or serious bodily harm. Attempts are included since it is not necessary that an injury result when a gun, knife, or other weapon is used which could and probably would result in serious personal injury if the crime were successfully completed. Attacks using personal weapons (part of the attacker's body) must result in serious personal injury to be classified as aggravated assault. Simple assaults are excluded from this count.

#### **BURGLARY:**

The unlawful entry of a "structure" to commit a felony or theft. The use of force to gain entry is not required to classify the crime as burglary. Burglary is broken down into three subclassifications: forcible entry, unlawful entry where no force is used, and attempted forcible entry.

A "structure" is considered to include the following, but not limited to: dwelling houses, apartments, out buildings, public buildings, offices, factories, barns, cabins, etc.

#### LARCENY:

The unlawful taking or stealing of property or articles without the use of force, violence, or fraud. This includes crimes such as shoplifting, purse snatching, pocket picking, thefts from motor vehicles, thefts of motor vehicle parts and accessories, bicycle thefts, etc. This crime category does not include embezzlement, "con" games, forgery, and worthless checks. Motor vehicle theft is excluded from this category inasmuch as it is separate Part 1 offense.

#### MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT:

The unlawful taking or stealing of a motor vehicle, including attempts. This definition excludes taking for temporary use by those persons having lawful access to the vehicle.

UCR defines a motor vehicle as a self-propelled vehicle that runs on the ground and not on rails. Examples include automobiles, trucks, buses, motorcycles, mopeds, snowmobiles, etc. Thefts of farm and/or construction equipment, boats, and airplanes are not included in this category but are counted as larcenies.

#### ARSON:

The willful or malicious burning of property with or without the intent to defraud. Includes attempts.

#### **PART 2 OFFENSES**

#### **OTHER (SIMPLE) ASSAULTS:**

An unlawful attack or attempted attack upon another which does not result in serious injury to the victim and which does not involve the use of a dangerous weapon.

#### FORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING:

The making, altering, using or possession, with intent to defraud, of anything false which is made to appear true. Includes attempts.

#### FRAUD

Fraudulent conversion and obtaining money or property by false pretenses. Includes bad checks, confidence games, illegal conversion of services, etc., except forgeries and counterfeiting.

#### **EMBEZZLEMENT:**

Misappropriation or misapplication of money or property entrusted to one's care, custody, or control. Includes larceny from employer.

#### **STOLEN PROPERTY:**

The buying, receiving, and possessing of stolen property, or the attempt to do so.

#### **VANDALISM:**

The willful or malicious destruction, injury, disfigurement or defacement of real or personal property without the consent of the owner or person having custody or control. Includes attempts.

#### WEAPON!

All violations of regulations or statutes that control carrying, using, possessing, furnishing, and manufacturing deadly weapons or silencers. Includes attempts.

#### PROSTITUTION AND COMMERCIALIZED VICE:

Sex offenses and attempted sex offenses of a commercialized nature. Includes prostitution, keeping houses of ill fame, pandering, detaining women for immoral purposes, etc.

#### **ALL OTHER SEX OFFENSES:**

All other offenses against common decency and morals. Includes statutory rape (without force) and all other sex offenses not previously defined.

#### **DRUG LAWS:**

The unlawful possession, sale, use, growth or manufacture of controlled substances. For UCR purposes these offenses are broken down into four subcategories: a. Opium or cocaine and their derivatives (morphine, heroin, codeine), b. Marijuana, c. Synthetic narcotics — manufactured narcotics which can cause true drug addition, d. Dangerous non-narcotic drugs.

#### **GAMBLING:**

Promoting, permitting or engaging in illegal gambling. Includes bookmaking, numbers and lottery, etc.

#### OFFENSES AGAINST THE FAMILY OR CHILDREN:

All charges of nonsupport and neglect or abuse of family or children. Note: Most child abuse, especially that resulting in injury, has been classified as either simple or aggravated assult.

#### **DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE:**

Operating any motor vehicle or common carrier while under the influence of liquor or drugs.

#### **LIQUOR LAWS:**

Violation of state or local regulatory laws. Includes sale to minors and drinking on a public conveyance. This category excludes Driving Under the Influence and Drunk and Disorderly violations.

#### DISORDERLY CONDUCT:

Breaching the peace or attempting to do so. Includes violations of disturbing the peace, unlawful assembly, and drunk and disorderly.

#### **VAGRANCY:**

Violation of state or local statutes pertaining to being a "suspicious character or person", vagrancy, etc.

#### **ALL OTHER OFFENSES:**

All violations of state or local regulatory laws except traffic offenses and offenses defined above or below. Includes kidnapping, extortion, trespass, etc.

#### **CURFEW AND LOITERING LAWS:**

Juvenile violations of local curfew and loitering ordinances.

#### **RUNAWAY-(JUVENILES):**


The unlawful truancy from a legal place of residence by a juvenile.

## **SECTION THREE**

# N.C. CRIME INDEX DATA



## **TOTAL INDEX CRIME**



#### - DEFINITION


The UCR Crime Index consists of the sume total of the following seven offenses reported to law enforcement: murder, rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny, and motor vehicle theft. An eighth index crime, arson, has been temporarily excluded from this count.

TREND ————						
No. of offenses	% Change (over previous year)	Rate per 100,000	% Cleared			
206,711		3927.5	28.6			
205,005	- 0.8	3874.6	27.6			
214,349	+ 4.6	3986.9	26.4			
241,051	+12.5	4411.2	25.6			
267,368	+10.9	4661.1	24.9			
	206,711 205,005 214,349	% Change (over previous year)   206,711	No. of offenses% Change (over previous year)Rate per 100,000206,711—3927.5205,005— 0.83874.6214,349+ 4.63986.9241,051+ 12.54411.2			


FEATURE	
TEATOR	.5
Most frequent month	July
Least frequent month	February
Most common offense	Larceny of motor vehicle parts & acc.
Most common offender (arrestee)	White Male (Age 18)

22

## **TOTAL INDEX CRIME**




#### INDEX CRIME **Percent Distribution**




Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

# NORTH CAROLINA CRIME CLOCK 1980




The crime clock should be viewed with care. Being the most aggregate representation of UCR data, it is designed to convey the annual estimated crime experience by showing the relative frequency of occurrence of the Offenses. This mode of display should not be taken to imply a regularity in the commission of the Offenses; rather, it represents the annual ratio of crime to fixed time intervals.


# NORTH CAROLINA CRIME CLOCK



# NORTH CAROLINA CRIME CLOCK



## INDEX CRIME RATE BY COUNTY — 1980



LEGEND: In rates per 100,000 population.

— Crime rate could not be calculated due to insufficient data.

— Under 4,650 (Approximate 1980 N.C. Rate)


— 4,650 - 5,850 (Between N.C. Rate and estimated 1980 U.S. Rate)

— 5,850 + (Over estimoted 1980 U.S. Average)

# VIOLENT CRIME

- Murder
- Rape
- Robbery
- Aggravated Assault

## **VIOLENT CRIME**



#### - DEFINITION -


For UCR purposes, Violent Crime consists of the sum total of murders, rapes, robberies, and aggravated assaults reported to law enforcement.

With the exception of robbery, one violent crime is counted for each person victimized. In the instance of robbery, each act or operation is counted as one offense as it is added to the crime total.


TREND						
Year	No. of offenses	% Change (over previous year)	Rate per 100,000	% Cleared		
1976	21,427		407.1	74.6		
1977	22,125	+3.3	418.2	72.2		
1978	22,756	+2.9	423.3	70.2		
1979	24,615	+8.2	450.5	69.6		
1980	26,145	+6.2	455.8	68.9		

	1980	
	- FEATURES -	
Most frequent month		July
Least frequent month		February
Most common offense		Assault with a dangerous weapon
Most common offender (arrestee)		Black Male (Age 21)
`		

## **VIOLENT CRIME**




#### VIOLENT CRIME Percent Distribution 1980





Preceding page blank

## **MURDER**



- DEFINITION -


Murder is defined as the willful (nonnegligent) killing of another. The classification of this offense, as in all of the other Crime Index offenses, is based solely on police investigation as opposed to the determination of a court, medical examiner, coroner, jury, or other judicial body.


Deaths caused by negligence, suicide, accident, or justifiable homicide are not included in the count for this offense classification. Attempts to murder or assaults to murder are scored as aggravated assaults and not as murder.

TREND						
Year	No. of offenses	% Change (over previous year)	Rate per 100,000	% Cleared		
1976	590		10.9	90.8		
1977	572	<b>-3.</b> 1	10.5	90.4		
1978	594	+3.8	11.1	86.7		
1979	590	-0.7	10.7	89.2		
1980	608	+3.1	10.6	91.1		

	980 Fures ————————————————————————————————————
Most frequent month	August
Least frequent month	November
Most frequent weapon	Handgun
,	
Most frequent victim	Black Male (Age 25-29)
Most frequent offender	Black Male (Age 20-24)

## **MURDER**





## **MURDER**

MURDER VICTIMS BY AGE, SEX, RACE AND ETHNICITY: 1980 \*

AGE	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL	% DISTRIBUTION
Under 1	2	2	4	0.7
1-5	2	2	4	0.7
6-10	0	1	1	0.2
11-14	0	1	1	0.2
15-19	27	8	35	5.7
20-24	73	22	95	15.4
25-29	82	16	98	15.9
30-34	73	22	95	15.4
35-39	57	12	69	11.2
40-44	39	6	45	7.3
45-49	35	12	47	7.6
50-54	25	4	29	4.7
55-59	23	3	26	4.2
60-64	15	6	21	3.4
65-69	8	0	8	1.3
70-74	4	2	6	1.0
75 & Over	6	9	15	2.4
Unknown	13	4	17	2.8
TOTAL	484	132	612	100.0
RACE				
White	208	74	282	45.8
Black	267	56	323	52.4
Indian	8	2	10	1.6
Asian	1	. 0	1	0.2
TOTAL	484	132	616	100.0
ETHNICITY				
Non-Hispanic	474	130	604	98.1
Hispanic	10	2	12	1.9

Percentages may not equal to 100% due to rounding.

#### RELATIONSHIP OF VICTIM TO OFFENDER: 1979 & 1980 \*

1979 1980 Relationship Number % Distribution Number % Distribution Spouse or Ex-Spouse 90 15.2 12.8 Parent or Child 23 3.9 30 4.9 32 Other Family 5.4 43 7.0 Boyfriend or Girlfriend 37 6.3 44 7.1 Acquaintance 231 39.0 249 40.4 Total Known To Victim 72.1 69.8 444 101 17.0 75 12.2 Stranger Unable To Determine 78 13.2 96 15.6

\* Analysis includes 2 justifiable homicides in 1979 and 8 in 1980.

### MURDER

MURDER OFFENDERS BY AGE, SEX, RACE, AND ETHNICITY: 1980 \*

AGE	MALE	AGE, SEX, RAC	E, AND ETHNIC	
Under 1	0	FEMALE	TOTAL	% DISTRIBUTION
1-5	0	0	0	0.0
6-10	1	0	0	0.0
11-14	3	0	1	0.2
15-19		0	3	0.5
20-24	53	4	57	8.6
25-29	101	19	120	18.1
30-34	76	22	97	14.6
35-39	60	11	71	10.7
40-44	54	14	68	10.2
45-49	35	11	46	6.9
50-54	25	9	34	5.1
	18	6	24	3.6
55-59	15	6	21	3.2
60-64	8	2	10	1.5
65-69	7	0	7	1.1
70-74	5	1	6	0.9
75 & Over	2	1	3	0.5
Unknown	92	3	95	14.3
TOTAL	555	109	664	100.0
RACE				100,0
White	216	42	258	38,9
Black	262	63	325	48.9
Indian	6	.3	9	1.4
Asian	0	1	1.	0.2
Unknown			71	10.7
TOTAL	484	109	664	100.0
ETHNICITY				100.0
Non-Hispanic	474	106	580	07.2
Hispanic	- 10	3	13	87.3
Unknown			71	2.0 10.7

TYPE WEAPON USED: 1979 & 1980 \*

Number of Offenses % Change % District							
Туре	1979	1980	% Change 1979 / 1980	% Dist	ribution		
Handgun	238	275	+15.5		1980		
Shotgun	105	91		40.2	44.6		
Rifle			-13.3	17.7	14.8		
Other Firearm	67	74	+10.4	11.3	12.0		
	17	15	-11.8	2.9	2.4		
SUBTOTAL - FIREARM	427	455	+ 6.6	72.1	73.9		
Knife	89	101	+13.5	15.0			
Blunt Object	30	22	-26.7		16.4		
Hands, Fist, Feet, Etc.	22	17		5.1	3.6		
Other / Unknown		, -	-22.7	3.7	2.8		
SUBTOTAL - ALL OTHER	24	21	<u> </u>	4.1	3.4		
	165	161	- 2.4	27.9	26.1		
GRAND TOTAL	592	616	+ 4.1	100.0	100.0		

<sup>\*</sup> Analysis includes 2 justifiable homicides in 1979 and 8 in 1980.


## **MURDER**

**CIRCUMSTANCES SURROUNDING MURDER: 1979 & 1980** 


CIRCOMSTANCES SURROUNDING MURDER: 1777 & 1700						
Circumstance	1979	% Distribution	1980	% Distribution		
* Felony Type	56	9.5	62	10.2		
Lover's Triangle	20	3.4	15	2.5		
Brawl due to						
influence of alcohol						
or drugs	23	3.9	21	3.5		
Argument over money						
or property	15	2.5	25	4.1		
Other Arguments	284	48.1	349	57.4		
Other Known						
Circumstances	92	15.6	72	11.8		
Unknown						
Circumstances	100	16.9	64	10.5		
TOTAL	590	100.0	608	100.0		

<sup>\*</sup> See Chart Below.

## PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF FELONY-TYPE MURDERS 1980



## MURDER BY COUNTY - 1980




Legend: Actual number by county

\* A majority of the county population
was not covered for the entire year.



Preceding page blank

## **FORCIBLE RAPE**



#### - DEFINITION

Forcible rape, as defined in the Uniform Crime Reporting Program, is the carnal knowledge of a female through the use of force or the threat of force. Assaults to commit forcible rape are also included; however, statutory rape (without force) is not counted in this category.

In any instance of rape, one offense is counted for each person raped or upon whom an assault to rape or attempt to rape has been made.


TREND						
Year	No. of offenses	% Change (over previous year)	Rate per 100,000	% Cleared		
1976	807		14.9	69.4		
1977	919	+13.9	16.9	66.4		
1978	1,006	+ 9.5	18.7	63.0		
1979	1,122	+11.5	20.5	64.4		
1980	1,306	+16.4	22.8	65.8		

#### 1980 FEATURES


Most frequent month
Least frequent month
Most frequent victim
Most frequent offender
Most frequent place of occurrence
Most frequent time of occurrence


August
February
White Female (Age 16-20)
Black Male (Age 16-20)
Home of Victim
12 a.m. to 2 a.m.

## **FORCIBLE RAPE**



# PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF REPORTED RAPE ACTUAL vs. ATTEMPTS 1979 — 1980





## **FORCIBLE RAPE**

The following tables and charts indicate the supplementary data collected about the offense of rape in 1979 and 1980. Information with regard to both actual and attempted rapes reported for both years has been combined for this analysis.

### **GENERAL DATA**

Distribution of Intra-Interracial Rapes - Actual and Attempts: 1979 & 1980

CATEGORY	1979	% DISTRIBUTION	1980	% DISTRIBUTION
Intraracial	862	76,8	991	75.9
Interracial	255	22.7	306	23.4
Unknown or Mixed *	5	0.5	9	0.7

<sup>\*</sup> Includes multiple perpetrator cases where offenders were of mixed races, Percentages may not equal to 100% due to rounding.

Place of Occurrence - Actual and Attempts: 1979 & 1980


CATEGORY	1979	% DISTRIBUTION	1980	% DISTRIBUTION
Home of Victim	366	32.6	469	35.9
Home of Offender	70	6.2	100	7.7
Home - Other	94	8.4	77	5.9
Hotel, Motel	51	4.5	42	3.2
Vacant Lot, Parking Lot				
(Behind Buildings)	89	7.9	90	€.9
Road, Street or Ditch	56	5.0	43	3.3
Vehicle	123	11.0	209	16.0
Building (office, store,				
school, barn, etc.)	60	5.3	52	4.0
Wooded area, field or				
park (Includes beach,				
cemetery)	204	18.2	210	16.1
Unknown	9	0.8	14	1.1

Occurrence by Day of the Week - Actual and Attempts: 1979 & 1980

DAY	1979	% DISTRIBUTION	1980	% DISTRIBUTION
Sunday	191	17.0	205	15.7
Monday	136	12.1	194	14.9
Tuesday	139	12.4	154	11.8
Wednesday	148	13.2	157	12.0
Thursday	125	11.1	133	10.2
Friday	168	15.0	186	14.2
Saturday	215	19.2	277	21.2
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0

## **FORCIBLE RAPE**

\* Time of Occurrence — Actual and Attempts 1980



<sup>\*</sup> Unable to Determine Time of 50 Offenses.

Alcohol or Drug Influence — Actual and Attempts: 1979 & 1980

	1979	1980
	17/7	1700
Victim		
Percentage of victims under the influence of alcohol or		
drugs at the time of the offense	14%	14%
Offender		
Percentage of offenses in which one or more offenders		
were under the influence of alcohol or drugs	20%	23%

## **VICTIM DATA**

Race of Victims — Actual and Attempts: 1979 & 1980

trace of vicinity vicious and vicious provinces and vicious				
1979	% DISTRIBUTION	1980	% DISTRIBUTION	
572	51.0	684	52.4	
534	47.6	595	45.6	
11	1.0	19	1.5	
3	0.3	6	0.5	
2	0.1	2	0.2	
	1979 572 534 11	1979 % DISTRIBUTION 572 51.0 534 47.6 11 1.0 3 0.3	1979         % DISTRIBUTION         1980           572         51.0         684           534         47.6         595           11         1.0         19           3         0.3         6	

Percentages may not equal to 100% due to rounding.

## **FORCIBLE RAPE**

Age Group of Victims — Actual and Attempts: 1979 & 1980

AGE CATEGORY	1979	% DISTRIBUTION	1980	% DISTRIBUTION
10 and Under	43	3.8	60	4.6
11-15	188	16.8	201	15.4
16-20	358	31.9	392	30.0
21-25	212	18.9	255	19.5
26-30	121	10.8	165	12.6
31-35	66	5.9	58	4.4
36-40	24	2.1	36	2.8
41-45	20	1.8	25	1.9
46-50	13	1.2	12	0.9
51-55	12	11	13	1.0
56-60	11	1.0	11	0.8
61-65	11	1.0	6	0.5
66-84	16	1.4	40	3.1
85 and Over	3	0.3	· 2	0.2
Unknown	. 24	2.1	30	2.3

Injury to Victims — Actual and Attempts: 1979 & 1980

	,			•
CATEGORY	1979	% DISTRIBUTION	1980	% DISTRIBUTION
* Injured	304	27.1	330	25.3
No Injury	818	72.9	976	74.8

<sup>\*</sup> Of those injured, 147 in 1979 and 176 in 1980 required medical treatment due to the severity of a beating or other related problems.

Percentages may not equal to 100% due to rounding.

Relationship to Victim to Offender — Actual and Attempts: 1979 & 1980

CATEGORY	1979	% DISTRIBUTION	1980	% DISTRIBUTION
Acquaintance	291	25.9	475	36.4
Girlfriend	39	3.5	43	3.3
Wife	0	0.0	6	0.5
X-Wife	2	0.2	4	0.3
Neighbor	62	5.5	24	1.8
Mother/Stepmother	0	0.0	2	0.2
Daughter/Stepdaughter	29	2.6	39	3.0
Sister	5	0.4	4	0.3
In-Law	6	0.5	5	0.4
Other Family	34	3.0	. 27	2.1
Total Known to Victim	467	41.6	629	48.2
Stranger	646	57.6	622	47.6
Relation Unknown	8	0.7	55	4.2

**FORCIBLE RAPE** OFFENDER DATA

Race of Offender - Actual and Assa

CATCODY Actual and Attempts: 1979 & 1980					
CATEGORY	1979	% DISTRIBUTION	1980	% DISTRIBUTION	
White	452	33.0	531		
Black	874			32.7	
Indian	11	63.8	1,030	63.4	
Asian / Other	11	0.8	24	1.5	
	20	1.5	1	0.1	
Unknown	13	0.9	39		
			07	2.4	

Age of Offenders — Actual and Attempts: 1979 & 1980

AGE CATEGORY	1979	% DISTRIBUTION	1980	
10 and Under	4	0.3		% DISTRIBUTION
11-15	36		4	0.2
16-20	309	2.6	41	2.5
21-25		22.6	357	22.0
26-30	256	18.7	321	19.8
	140	10.2	221	13.6
31-35	86	6.3	95	5.8
36-40	49	3.6	57	3.5
41-45	25	1.8	35	
46-50	11	0.8	21	2.2
51-55	8	0.6		1.3
56-60	5		15	0.9
61-65		0.5	8	0.5
66-84	4	0.3	1	0.1
85 and Over	į.	0.1	3	0.2
	4	0.3	0	0.0
Unknown	432	31.5	446	27.4

Percentages may not equal to 100% due to rounding.

Number of Offenders Per Offense - Actual and Atte

NUMBER	Actual and Attempts: 1979 & 1980				
OF OFFENDERS	1979	% DISTRIBUTION	1980	9/ DISTRIBUTION	
One	958	85.4		% DISTRIBUTION	
Two			1,098	84.1	
	108	9.6	132	10.1	
Three	35	3.1	50		
Four	14		50	3.8	
Five or More	14	1.2	17	1.3	
TIVE OF MOLE	7	0.6	9	0.7	


WEAPON	Weapon Used — Actual and Attempts: 1979 & 1979 % DISTRIBUTION 1990					
Firearm		% DISTRIBUTION	1980	% DISTRIBUTION		
Knife or	79	7.0	102	7.8		
cutting instrument	154	13.7	176	13.5		
Club	20	1.8	23	1.8		
Other Weapon	3	0.3	29	2,2		
Unknown No Weapon	7	0.6	20	1.5		
(Hands, Fist, etc.)	859	76.6	956	73,2		



Preceding page blank

49

## **ROBBERY**




#### **DEFINITION**

Robbery is defined as the taking or attempting to take anything of value from the care, custody, or control of a person by force or threat of force and/or by putting the victim in fear. The crime of robbery is a vicious type of theft which takes place in the presence of the victim. In any instance of robbery, one offense is counted for each distinct operation without regard to the number of persons robbed or the number of persons committing the crime.

TREND						
Year	No. of offenses	% Change (over previous year)	Rate per 100,000	% Cleared		
1976	3,775		69.8	44.0		
1977	3,338	-11.6	61.5	38.9		
1978	3,646	+ 9.2	67.9	32.8		
1979	4,280	+17.4	78.3	37.9		
1980	4,754	+11.1	82.9	35.5		

1980 FEATURES	
Most frequent month	December
Least frequent month	February-March
Most frequent weapon	Firearm
Most frequent premise	Highway
Average value stolen per offense	\$681.00



## ROBBERY BY TYPE AND WEAPON: 1979 & 1980

			" - " LACON	1470 0	1000		
	NI OF C	JMBER FFENSES	WEAFON:	1777 &	1980		
CLASSIFICATION *	1979		- TIMINGE	% DIST	RIBUTION	140.0	TOTAL
Highway		1980	1979 / 1980	1979			JE STOLEN'
Commercial House	1,512	.,,	+10.3		1980	1979	1980
Service Station	715	725	and the second s	35.3	35.1	0.4	0.6
Convert	179	195	• • • •	16.7	15.3	0.5	
Convenience Store	642		+ 8.9	4.2	4.1		0.5
Residence		745	+16.0	15.0		0.1	0.1
Bank	391	445	+13.8		15.7	0.3	0.3
Miscellaneous	104	102		9.1	9.4	0.3	0.5
TOTAL	737	875		2.4	2.2	1.1	
	4,280		+18.7	17.2	18.4		0.4
TYPE WEAPON		4,754	+11.1	100.0		0.2	0.4
Firearm	1979	1980	1979 / 1980		100.0	2.9	2.9
Knife, Cutting Instrument	1,981	2,221	+12.1	1979	1980		
Other Development	491	589		46.3	46.7		
Other Dangerous Weapon	295		+20.0	11.5	12.4		
riunas, Fist, Feet Fig.		317	+ 7.5	6.9			
TOTAL	1,513	1,627	<u>+</u> 7.5		6.7		
1 in millions of dollars.	4,280	4,754	1 7 7 7	35.4	34.2		
Percentages and value			(*11,1	100.0	100.0		<del></del>
r circenidaes and unit.							

Percentages and values have been rounded.


<sup>\*</sup> Present UCR classification and scoring procedures prevent a precise measurement of these classifications and their corresonding values (see Scoring of Offenses in Section 2). Further, the total value stolen cannot be determined at the time of police investigation. This is especially true with regard to bank robbery (compare the bank robbery statistics in

## **BANK CRIME STATISTICS**

TYPE OF BANKING INSTITUTION	<u> 1979 </u>	1980
Commercial Bank	110	. 91
Savings and Loan Institution	5_	14
	115	105
LOOT		
Value of Property Stolen	\$1,529,534.30	\$818,574.97
Average Value Per Offense	\$ 13,300.30	7,795.95
Value Initially Recovered	\$ 319,411.32	156,300.75

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bank robbery statistics supplied by the Federa Bureau of Investigation.


## Bank Robberies by Time of Day \* 1980



<sup>\*</sup> Unable to determine time of 8 offenses.



## **AGGRAVATED ASSAULT**




#### DEFINITION

Aggravated assault is defined as the unlawful attack by one person upon another for the purpose of inflicting severe bodily injury usually accompanied by the use of a weapon or other means likely to produce death or serious bodily harm. Attempts are included since it is not necessary that an injury result when a gun, knife, or other weapon is used which could and probably would result in serious personal injury if the crime were successfully completed.

TREND						
Year	No. of offenses	% Change (over previous year)	Rate per 100,000	% Cleared		
1976	16,255		300.7	81.3		
1977	17,296	+6.4	318.4	78.3		
1978	17,510	+1.2	326.0	77.8		
1979	18,623	+6.4	340.8	76.6		
1980	19,477	+4.6	339.5	76.6		

1980 FEATURES -	
Most frequent month	July
Least frequent month	February
Most frequent offender (arrestee)	White Male (Age 21-24)

## AGGRAVATED ASSAULT




### TYPE WEAPON USED: 1979 & 1980

ТҮРЕ	OF OF	ABER FENSES	% CHANGE	% DISTRIBUTION	
Firearm	1979 5,061	1980	1979 / 1980	1979	1980
Knife, Cutting Instrument	4,008	5,237	+3.5	27.2	26.9
Other Dangerous Weapon	3,713	4,362 3,876	+8.8	21.5	22.4
Hands, Fist, Feet, etc.	5,841	6,002	+4.4	19.9	19.9
TOTAL	18,623	19,477	+2.8 +4.6	31.4	30.8
		, , , 4, ,	<b>⊤4.0</b>	100.0	100.0

# **PROPERTY CRIME**

- Burglary
- Larceny
- Motor Vehicle Theft
- Arson

# **PROPERTY CRIME**



#### DEFINITION -

For UCR Purposes, Property Crime consists of the sum total of burglaries, larcenies, and motor vehicle thefts reported to law enforcement. Arson has been temporarily excluded from this count.

Generally, one property crime is counted for each act or operation.


TREND						
Year	No. of offenses	% Change (over previous year)	Rate per 100,000	% Cleared		
1976	185,284	_	3520.4	23.3		
1977	182,880	- 1.3	3456,4	22.2		
1978	191,593	+ 4.8	3563.6	21.2		
1979	216,436	+13.0	3960.8	20.6		
1980	241,223	+11.5	4205.3	20.2		


#### 1980 FEATURES

Most frequent month
Least frequent month
Most common offense
Most common offender (arrestee)

July February Larceny of motor vehicle parts & acc. White Male (Age 18)

# PROPERTY CRIME








四次5. 登隆四二日

Preceding page blank

61

# **BURGLARY**



#### DEFINITION

Burglary is defined as the unlawful entry of a "structure" to commit a felony or theft. The use of force to gain entry is not required to classify the crime as burglary in the Uniform Crime Reporting Program. The offense of burglary is broken down into three subclassifications: forcible entry, unlawful entry where no force is used, and attempted forcible entry.


A "structure" is considered to include the following, but not limited to: dwelling house, apart-

ment, out buildings, public buildings, offices, factories, etc.

TREND						
Year	No. of offenses	% Change (over previous year)	Rate per 100,000	% Cleared		
1976	62,553		1,157.2	24.1		
1977	62,210	- 0.5	1,145.3	21.3		
1978	65,088	+ 4.6	1,211.9	20.3		
1979	71,595	+16.0	1,310.2	19.9		
1980	82,011	+14.5	1,429.7	19.9		

1980 FEATURES	
Most frequent month	December
Least frequent month	April
Most frequent type	Forcible Entry
Most frequent premise	Residence
Most frequent time of occurrence	Day
Average value stolen per offense	\$647.00

# BURGLARY




# BURGLARY BY TYPE, PREMISE, TIME, AND VALUE: 1979 & 1980

NUA	MREP		VALUE	19/9 & 1	980	
OF OF	FENSES	% CHANGE	% DIST	RIBUTION	VALU	OTAL E STOLEN'
			1979	1980		
			59.0			1980
•	17,179	+23.5				36.9
18,825	21,501				6.0	10.6
9,474	12.637			26.2	9.2	17.0
29.387			13.2	15.4	5.4	9.3
			41.0	37.4		
		+ 0.6	27.5			16.1
	3,920	+ 1.8			8.7	10.9
5,840	6,966				1.4	2.0
71,595				8.5	2.4	3.3
			_100.0	100.0		53.1
	-	+15.0	79.2			33.1
<b>m</b>		+17.3				
	5,612	+ 44				
71,595 8	2.011		4472	6.8		
		114.5	100.0	100.0		
	9F OF 1979 42,208 13,909 18,825 9,474 29,387 19,698 3,849 5,840 71,595 56,686 9,534 1 5,375	42,208 51,317 13,909 17,179 18,825 21,501 9,474 12,637 29,387 30,694 19,698 19,808 3,849 3,920 5,840 6,966 71,595 82,011 56,686 65,183 9,534 11,216 5,375 5,612	NOMBER         CHANGE           1979         1980         1979 / 1980           42,208         51,317         +21.6           13,909         17,179         +23.5           18,825         21,501         +14.2           9,474         12,637         +33.4           29,387         30,694         +4.4           19,698         19,808         +0.6           3,849         3,920         +1.8           5,840         6,966         +19.3           71,595         82,011         +14.5           56,686         65,183         +15.0           9,534         11,216         +17.3           5,375         5,612         +4.4	NOMBER OF OFFENSES         % CHANGE         % DISTINATION           1979         1980         1979 / 1980         1979           42,208         51,317         +21.6         59.0           13,909         17,179         +23.5         19.4           18,825         21,501         +14.2         26.3           9,474         12,637         +33.4         13.2           29,387         30,694         +4.4         41.0           19,698         19,808         +0.6         27.5           3,849         3,920         +1.8         5.4           5,840         6,966         +19.3         8.2           71,595         82,011         +14.5         100.0           56,686         65,183         +15.0         79.2           9,534         11,216         +17.3         13.3           5,375         5,612         +4.4         7.5	OF OFFENSES         % CHANGE         % DISTRIBUTION           1979         1980         1979 / 1980         1979         1980           42,208         51,317         +21.6         59.0         62.6           13,909         17,179         +23.5         19.4         21.0           18,825         21,501         +14.2         26.3         26.2           9,474         12,637         +33.4         13.2         15.4           29,387         30,694         +4.4         41.0         37.4           19,698         19,808         +0.6         27.5         24.2           3,849         3,920         +1.8         5.4         4.8           5,840         6,966         +19.3         8.2         8.5           71,595         82,011         +14.5         100.0         100.0           56,686         65,183         +15.0         79.2         79.5           9,534         11,216         +17.3         13.3         13.7           5,375         5,612         +4.4         7.5         6.8           71,595         82,011         +14.5         15.6         6.8	OF OFFENSES         % CHANGE         % DISTRIBUTION         VALUE           1979         1980         1979 / 1980         1979         1980         1979           42,208         51,317         +21.6         59.0         62.6         20.5           13,909         17,179         +23.5         19.4         21.0         6.0           18,825         21,501         +14.2         26.3         26.2         9.2           9,474         12,637         +33.4         13.2         15.4         5.4           29,387         30,694         +4.4         41.0         37.4         12.6           19,698         19,808         +0.6         27.5         24.2         8.7           3,849         3,920         +1.8         5.4         4.8         1.4           5,840         6,966         +19.3         8.2         8.5         2.4           71,595         82,011         +14.5         100.0         100.0         33.1           56,686         65,183         +15.0         79.2         79.5           9,534         11,216         +17.3         13.3         13.7           5,375         5,612         + 4.4         7.5



# **LARCENY**




#### **DEFINITION** -

Larceny-theft is defined as the unlawful taking or stealing of property or articles without the use of force, violence, or fraud. It includes crimes such as shoplifting, purse-snatching, pocketpicking; thefts from motor vehicles, thefts of motor vehicle parts and accessories, bicycle thefts, etc. This crime category does not include embezzlement, "con" games, forgery, and worthless checks. Motor vehicle theft, of course, is excluded from this category inasmuch as it is a separate Crime Index offense.

TREND						
Year	No. of offenses	% Change (over previous year)	Rate per 100,000	% Cleared		
1976	113,220		2,094.6	21.3		
1977	110,281	+ 2.6	2,030.2	21.4		
1978	115,397	+ 4.6	2,148.7	20.4		
1979	132,485	+14.8	2,424.5	19.9		
1980	146,738	+10.8	2,558.1	19.2		

Reserved to the second	1980 FEATURES	·
Most frequent month	- FEATURES	July
•		•
Least frequent month		February
Most frequent type		Motor vehicle parts & acc.
Most frequent range of value stolen		\$50 - \$200
Average value stolen per offense		\$285.00

# **LARCENY**



# LARCENY BY TYPE AND VALUE: 1979 & 1980

NUMBER TOTAL OF OFFENSES

	OF OF	LEIA2E2	% CHANGE	% DISTI	RIBUTION	VALUE	STOLEN'
CLASSIFICATION	1979	1980	1979 / 1980	1979	1980		
Pocket Picking	848	1,142	+34.7	†		1979	1980
Purse Snatching	1,212	.,	II '	0.6	0.8	0.1	0.2
Shoplifting		.,-0.	+12.0	0.9	0.9	0.1	0.2
From Motor Vehicles	13,874	,	+ 1.2	10.5	9.6	0.7	1.0
	20,992	23,179	+10,4	15.8	15.8	5.3	
Motor Vehicle Parts				'0.0	13.0	5.5	7.0
and Accessories	30,811	34,248	+11.2	00.0	20.0		
Bicycles	12,389	14,377		23.3	23.3	4.4	6.2
From Buildings	19,188		+16.0	9.4	9.8	1.3	1.8
From Any Coin	17,100	21,789	+13.6	14.5	14.9	6.1	9.5
Operated Machine					ļ		.,,
	3,072	2,636	-14.2	2.3	1.8	0.2	20
All Other	30,099	33,970	+12.9	22.7			0.2
TOTAL	132,485	146,738	+10.8		23.2	11.2	15.7
Over \$200.00	32,633	41,722		100.0	100.0	29.5	41.8
\$50.00 - \$200.00	49,032	. ,	+27.9	24.6	28.4	23.4	34.7
Under \$50.00		54,530	+11.2	37.0	37.2	5.1	6.1
OTAL	50,820	50,486	- 0.7	38.4	34.4	1.0	1.1
1 to will a second	132,485	146,738	+10.8	100.0	100.0	29.5	41.8


I in millions of dollars.

Percentages and values have been rounded.

# LARCENY ANALYSIS **Percent Distribution** 1980 **PURSE-SNATCHING 1%** POCKET-PICKING 1% **COIN MACHINES 2% SHOPLIFTING 10%** BICYCLES 10% ARCENY-THEFT FROM BUILDINGS 15% FROM MOTOR VEHICLES 16% **MOTOR VEHICLE ACCESSORIES 23% ALL OTHERS 23%** PERCENTAGES DO NOT ADD TO 100% DUE TO ROUNDING.



# MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT



#### - DEFINITION


Motor vehicle theft is defined as the unlawful taking or stealing of a motor vehicle, including attempts. This definition excludes taking for temporary use by those persons having lawful access to the vehicle.

The Uniform Crime Reporting Program defines a motor vehicle as a self-propelled vehicle that runs on the ground and not on rails. Examples include automobiles, trucks, buses, motorcycles, mopeds, snowmobiles, etc. Thefis of farm and/or construction equipment, boats, and airplanes are not included in this category but are counted as larceny-thefts.

	TREND —					
Year	No. of offenses	% Change (over previous year)	Rate per 100,000	% Cleared		
1976	9,504	<del></del>	175.8	41.5		
1977	10,389	+ 9.3	191.3	36.3		
1978	11,108	+ 6.9	206.8	34.1		
1979	12,356	+11.2	226.1	32,9		
1980	12,474	+ 1.0	217.5	33.5		

	1980 FEATURES	
Most frequent month		August
Least frequent month	•	December
Most frequent offender (arrestee)		White Male (Age 16)

# MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT



#### PERCENT CHANGE AND DISTRIBUTION BY TYPE \* 1979 & 1980


	Nun	nber	% Change	% Distribution	
Туре	1979	1980	1979 / 1980	1979	1980
Autos	8,435	8,251	-2.2	68.3	66.2
Trucks and Buses	1,733	1,881	+8.5	14.0	15,1
Other Vehicles	2,188	2,342	+7.0	1 <i>7</i> .7	18.7
TOTAL	12,356	12,474	+1.0	100,0	100.0

1980	STOLE	N	1980	1980	RECOV	ERED	1980
		\$40,607,4	406	•		\$28,98	86,880

<sup>\*</sup> Motor vehicles that were stolen in conjunction with a more "\*prious" index offense such as robbery or burglary are not included in this count. This count represents only those instances in which motor vehicle theft was the only or most "serious" offense committed.



# **ARSON**



#### DEFINITION

Arson is defined by the UCR Program to include any willful or malicious burning or attempts to burn, with or without intent to defraud, a dwelling house, public building, motor vehicle or aircraft, personal property of another, etc.

TREND						
Year	No. of offenses	Rate per 100,000	% Cleared			
1979 *			-			
1980	1,960	34.0	22.7			
Percent Change *	*******	<u></u>				

1980 FEATURES	
Most frequent month	December
Least frequent month	May
No. arsons of structural property	1,325
No. arsons of mobile property	489
No. arsons of all other property (crops, timber, etc.)	142
Total reported value of property damage	\$19,201,408

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson.


# INDEX CRIME PROJECTIONS FOR 1981

# INDEX CRIME PROJECTIONS 1981


The Index Crime projections shown in this section are estimates computed by a Least-Squares Linear Regression Method. The algebraic formula for linear regression (Y = a + bX), quite simply put, permits one to determine "Y" (the incidence of crime) from the relative slope of a line "b".

The actual number of reported offenses for each year since 1976 have been used for points on these graphs rather than estimates for 100% population coverage (the difference is negligible). A projected range at the 90 percent confidence level has been calculated for each category and is shown as an upper and lower level percent change for the year 1981 over 1980 in the gray area on the righthand side of each graph. At the 90 percent confidence level, a specific percent change outside the projected range could be expected to occur only ten times out of one hundred. Volumes occurring outside the range represent a significant change, and further research is recommended to pinpoint possible influencing crime factors responsible for the directional change.


## **TOTAL CRIME INDEX**




# INDEX CRIME PROJECTIONS 1981



# INDEX CRIME PROJECTIONS 1981




# INDEX CRIME PROJECTIONS 1981




# CONTINUED 10F3

# INDEX CRIME PROJECTIONS 1981



# INDEX CRIME PROJECTIONS 1981



#### **INDEX CRIME PROJECTION SUMMARY: 1981**

Category	1980 # Offenses	1981 Projection	1981 Projected Range *	1981/1980 Projected % Change *
Murder	608	607	± 27	- 4.6 to + 4.3
Rape	1,306	1,392	± 78	+ 0.6 to +12.6
Robbery	4,754	4,829	± 913	-17.6 to $+20.8$
Agg. Assault	19,477	20,164	± 588	+ 0.5  to  + 6.5
Burglary	82,011	83,179	± 9,579	-10.3 to $+13.1$
Larceny	146,738	150,396	$\pm 18,583$	-10.2 to $+15.1$
MV Theft	12,474	13,538	± 704	+ 2.9  to  + 14.2
Violent Crime	26,145	26,992	± 1,208	- 1.4 to + 7.9
Property Crime	241,223	247,113	±27,535	- 9.0 to +13.9
Total Index	267,368	274,105	±28,694	-8.2  to  +13.3

<sup>\*</sup> At a 90% confidence level.

# NORTH CAROLINA CONTRIBUTING AGENCY PROFILE

# NORTH CAROLINA CONTRIBUTING AGENCY PROFILE

#### INTRODUCTION

The following tables contain statistical data contributed by N.C. law enforcement agencies for the years 1979 and 1980. Each county and the agencies within the county are listed alphabetically (Sheriff listed first). All data are final as of March 1, 1981. Please note that some 1979 data have been updated since the last annual release reflecting the addition of reports received after the 1979 publication deadline. Those statistics which have changed are so marked by a plus (+) or minus (-) sign to the upper right hand of the figure designating whether it has increased or decreased from that previously published.

#### **CRIME INDEX**

The CRIME INDEX TOTAL column reflects the addition of the seven index offenses which appear under the VIOLENT and NONVIOLENT (PROPERTY) CRIME headings. The number of reported arsons has not been included as part of the CRIME INDEX TOTAL nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations inasmuch as sufficient 1979 arson data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding this offense.

All county totals include those offenses reported by the Highway Patrol, SBI, and State Wildlife divisions within them. The total number of offenses reported statewide by these three agencies is listed separately at the end of the tables.

#### **CRIME RATE**

The crime rates per 100,000 population have been determined by dividing 100,000 by the estimated population and multiplying this factor by the total number of offenses reported in each instance. For example:

	Year		Total Index		Estimated Population
Agency A	1980		2,000		25,000
Computation:	100,000 4.0	÷ ×	25,000 2,000	=	4.0 ∴ 8,000.0

Therefore, the rate per 100,000 population for Agency A's total index would equal 8,000.

#### PERCENT CHANGE

Space did not allow for the calculation of percent changes from year to year, but this change may be obtained simply by subtracting the smaller number from the larger number and dividing the difference by the 1979 figure. Multiply by 100 to change to % and determine the sign (plus or minus) by whether the number increased or decreased in 1980. For example:

	Year	Total Index		
Agency A	1979 1980	1,500 2,000		
Computation:	2,000 500	<ul><li>− 1,500</li><li>÷ 1,500</li></ul>	=	500 ∴ .333 (× 100) = 33.3%

Since the number of reported offenses increased in 1980, the % change would equal to  $\pm 33$  (rounded to the nearest whole number).

CAUTION. Many N.C. law enforcement agencies do not routinely investigate the offense of arson unless this crime has been committed in conjunction with the occurrence of some other offense (such as murder, burglary, etc.) Arson investigation remains predominantly within the domain of fire services in North Carolina, and therefore many of these offenses may occur and go unreported to local law enforcement.

Since the N.C. UCR Program does not collect statistics directly from the fire service community, the extent of arson as a crime problem in this state may be severely underestimated based upon the statistics contained in this publication. The number of arsons reported as stated in these tables are only those of which N.C. law enforcement have been made aware.

#### MONTHS (MOS.) ON FILE

The Months on File column indicates the number of months reported for each year on file at PIN. The History of Contributor Participation section in this publication charts the exact months by agency for which PIN is missing UCR data.

#### **DEMOGRAPHIC DATA**

The 1979 population estimates have been provided by the N.C. Department of Administration and represent a projection based on the 1970 U.S. Census of the yearly average permanent resident population including areas annexed through July of that year.

The 1980 population estimates have been provided by the Federal Bureau of Investigation and were derived from the 1980 U.S. Census.

The population of non-jurisdictional areas such as military installations and some universities have been subtracted from these estimates when necessary. The fact that these estimates do not take into account seasonal population fluctuations affects the crime rates of most resort and campus localities and should prompt cautions analysis of the data in these particular areas.

The crime statistics reported by an individual agency indicate what is happening in one particular area, but to make valid comparisons among a number of jurisdictions, communities need to be grouped together. It may be important to know how a city compares with cities of similar size, or how patterns of crime differ in various types of communities. For these reasons PIN has assigned a character designation to each jurisdiction according to those factors which go into defining an area as either urban or rural. A somewhat simplified concept of the Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (SMSA) has been used for these differentiations and is outlined as follows:

#### COMMUNITY TYPE (CHARACTER)

Core City: Any city with a population of 50,000 or more that is within a SMSA. Suburban City: Any city that is within a SMSA exclusive of the core cities. Suburban County: Any county that is within a SMSA.

Rural Center: Any city with a population of 10,000 or more that is not within a SMSA. Rural City: Any city under 10,000 population and not within a SMSA. Rural County: Any county that is not with a SMSA.

Campus: Any state university campus notwithstanding suburban or rural designation.

Obviously, these categories could be broken down further into even more specific community types employing a wide range of other data such as population density, projected growth, etc., but the designations outlined above will satisfactorily serve the purposes for which the statistical data have been intended.

#### **EMPLOYEE DATA**

The Police Employee data provided lists the number of those full-time sworn and civilian people employed at each agency as of October 31 of the year indicated. The number of part-time employees is not listed but is available upon request.

#### SPECIAL CHARACTERS


Variations in agency reporting have caused for special characters to be used in place of statistical data in some instances. The following legend translates these characters:

- C Indicates this area was covered by another agency for UCR purposes for all or most of the reporting year.
- DNP Did not participate in the UCR Program (for whatever year indicated).
- NA Not Applicable. This character was generally used whenever a reasonable crime rate could not be calculated.
- No Pop. No population data was available for the year indicated.
- (Pop.) The population estimate for this jurisdiction was not included in the county or state totals because of non-UCR participation.

Some spaces were left BLANK for one of two reasons: (1) data would not be applicable in this instance (generally in those areas covered by another agency), or (2) data had not been received (do not assume, therefore, the number "zero" in blank spaces).

NOTE: All UCR data provided is actual. Estimates for 100% population coverage have not been used.

# N.C. STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS



#### LEGEND:

- Asheville (Buncombe, Madison)
   Charlotte Gastonia (Gaston, Mecklenburg, Union)
   Greensboro Winston-Salem High Point
   (Davidson, Forsyth, Guilford, Randolph, Stokes, Yadkin)
   Burlington (Alamance)
   Raleigh Durham (Durham, Orange, Wake)
   Fayetteville (Cumberland)
   Wilmington (Brunswick, New Hanover)
   Currituck Co. (Part of Norfolk, Virginia Beach, Portsmouth SMSA)

				Violent	Non- Violent Crime		VIOLE	VT CRIME	
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
CONTRIBUTOR			0.600	264	3,024	14	15	36	192
ALAMANCE CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	3,199 <b>3,777</b>	3,288 <b>3,882</b>	264 <b>310</b>	3,571	17	14	49	222
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	955 1, <b>131</b>	2,154 <b>2,455</b>	115 <b>128</b>	2,039 <b>2,327</b>	8 <b>9</b>	8 <b>9</b>	8 <b>15</b> 21	27 <b>26</b> 131
Burlington	1979 <b>1980</b>	1,763 <b>2,120</b>	4,678 <b>5,699</b>	427 <b>492</b>	4,250 <b>5,207</b>	6	3	<b>24</b> 0	<b>152</b>
Elon College	1979 <b>1980</b>	134 <b>136</b>	5,537 <b>4,750</b>	83 <b>244</b>	5,455 <b>4,506</b>	0	0 2 4	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>
Graham	1979 <b>1980</b>	229 <b>281</b>	2,441 <b>3,328</b>	405 <b>497</b>	2,036 <b>2,830</b>	0	2	<b>9</b> 3	31
Mebane	1979 <b>1980</b>	116 <b>106</b>	3,353 <b>3,877</b>	87 <b>293</b>	3,266 <b>3,584</b>	0 <b>2</b> 0	0 0	3 1	<b>5</b>
ALEXANDER CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	322 <b>568</b>	1,425 <b>2,290</b>	53 129	1,372 2,161	1	0	4 3	<b>27</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	304 <b>544</b>	1,429 <b>2,296</b>	52 <b>127</b>	1,378 <b>2,170</b>	0	0	4	25
Taylorsville	1979 <b>1980</b>	17 <b>22</b>	1,278 1,984	0	1,278 1,984	0	0	0	0 <b>0</b> 17
ALLEGHANY CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	94 <b>142</b>	1,068 <b>1,484</b>	273 188	795 1, <b>296</b>	0	3	0	17
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	73 <b>120</b>	1,008 1, <b>523</b>	249 <b>165</b>	760 1, <b>358</b>	0	<b>0</b>	0	13
Sparta	1979 <b>1980</b>	21 <b>22</b>	1,346 1,301	385 <b>296</b>	962 1,005	0	0	0 0	4
ANSON CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	604 <b>527</b>	2,570 <b>2,078</b>	621 <b>406</b>	1,949 <b>1,672</b>	3	3	5	128 <b>92</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	318 <b>306</b>	1,968 <b>1,666</b>	359 <b>288</b>	1,609 <b>1,377</b>	3	2	2	50 46
Ansonville	1979 <b>1980</b>	7 <b>6</b>	886 <b>769</b>	127 <b>0</b>	759 <b>769</b>	0	0	0	0
Lilesville	1979 <b>1980</b>	3 <b>0</b>	462 <b>0</b>	462 <b>0</b>	0	0	0	0	0
Morven	1979 <b>1980</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	0	0	0		0	0
Polkton	1979 <b>1980</b>	6 0	583 <b>0</b>	0		0		0	0
Wadesboro	1979 <b>1980</b>	269 <b>215</b>	6,315 <b>5,262</b>	1,948 1,224	4,038	0		7 3	46
ASHE CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	145 <b>183</b>	697 <b>819</b>	67 <b>72</b>	748	0	'	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	15
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	105 <b>135</b>	528 <b>627</b>	40 <b>60</b>			h	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	12
Jefferson	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>c</b>							

NONV	IOLENT CRIN	/E	*	<u> </u>	DEMOGI	RAPHIC DATA		POLICE EN	PLOYEE D	ATA
Breaking and Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson	Mos. on File	Estimated Population Coverage	Character		Sworn icers Female	Civi- lians	Tetal Fulltime Police Employee
815 <b>991</b>	1,969 <b>2,334</b>	1 <i>5</i> 8 <b>150</b>	31	ŷ	97,280 <b>97,305</b>	Suburban	152 <b>161</b>	13 <b>18</b>	26 <b>26</b>	191 <b>205</b>
349 <b>455</b>	498 <b>556</b>	57 <b>61</b>	12	12 <b>12</b>	44,330 <b>46,062</b>	Sub County	47 55	6	0 <b>3</b>	53 <b>69</b>
357 <b>415</b>	1,163 <b>1,456</b>	82 <b>66</b>	15	12 <b>12</b>	37,690 <b>37,202</b>	Sub. City	.78 <b>78</b>	5 <b>5</b>	19 18	102 101
28 <b>45</b>	102 <b>83</b>	2 1	0	12 <b>12</b>	2,420 <b>2,863</b>	Sub. City	5 <b>5</b>	0. <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	5 <b>5</b>
48 <b>54</b>	131 <b>165</b>	12 <b>20</b>	1	12 <b>12</b>	9,380 <b>8,444</b>	Sub. City	14 <b>14</b>	] ]	5 <b>2</b>	20 17
33 <b>22</b>	75 <b>74</b>	5 <b>2</b>	2	12 <b>12</b>	3,460 <b>2,734</b>	Sub. City	8 <b>9</b>	] 1 ]	2 <b>3</b>	13
106 <b>215</b>	187 <b>298</b>	17 <b>23</b>	2		22,600 <b>24,800</b>	Rural	19 <b>17</b>	0	5 <b>5</b>	24 <b>23</b>
101 <b>206</b>	176 <b>287</b>	16 <b>21</b>	2	12 <b>12</b>	21,270 <b>23,691</b>	Rur. County	14 <b>12</b>	0 <b>0</b>	5 <b>5</b>	19 <b>17</b>
5 <b>9</b>	11	1 <b>2</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	1,330 1,109	Rur. City	5 <b>5</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	5 <b>5</b>
62	30 <b>53</b>	11 <b>9</b>	4		8,800 <b>9,570</b>	Rural	8 <b>9</b>	1 <b>2</b>	5 <b>5</b>	14 16
25 <b>53</b>	21 <b>48</b>	9 <b>6</b>	1	12 <b>12</b>	7,240 <b>7,879</b>	Rur. County	3 4	2	4 5	8
4 9	9 <b>5</b>	2 <b>3</b>	<u> </u>	12 <b>12</b>	1,560 <b>1,691</b>	Rur. City	5 <b>5</b>	0 <b>0</b>	) 0	ი 5
200 <b>225</b>	244 1 <b>9</b> 1	14 <b>8</b>	3		23,500 <b>25,360</b>	Rural	33 <b>33</b>	2 <b>2</b>	12 <b>12</b>	47 <b>47</b>
18 <b>150</b>	135 <b>99</b>	7 4	1	12 <b>12</b>	16,160 <b>18,372</b>	Rur. County	15 <b>16</b>	1	6 <b>5</b>	22 <b>22</b>
5 <b>4</b>	2	0 <b>0</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	790 <b>780</b>	Rur. City	1	0	0 <b>0</b>	1
0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	650 <b>586</b>	Rur. City	0	1 1	0 <b>0</b>	2
0 <b>0</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	610 <b>770</b>	Rur. City	0	0	0	0 2
4 <b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	1,030 <b>766</b>	Rur. City	1	0	0 <b>0</b>	1
73 <b>71</b>	106 <b>90</b>	7 4	2	12 <b>12</b>	4,260 <b>4,086</b>	Rur. City	15 <b>14</b>	0	6 <b>6</b>	21 <b>20</b>
43 <b>48</b>	77 111	31 8	3		20,800 <b>22,336</b>	Rural	16 1 <b>7</b>	1	11	28 29
40 <b>35</b>	47 <b>79</b>	10 <b>8</b>	3	12 12	19,880 <b>21,519</b>	Rur. County	10 10	1	11	22 22
						Rur. City	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

				Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLE	NT CRIMI	E
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
W. Jefferson	1979 <b>1980</b>	40 <b>48</b>	4,348 <b>5,875</b>	652 <b>367</b>	3,696 <b>5,508</b>	1 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	5 <b>3</b>
AVERY CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	214 <b>263</b>	1,639 <b>1,920</b>	222 <b>277</b>	1,417 <b>1,643</b>	2	1 4	0 1	26 <b>32</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	186 <b>238</b>	1,634 <b>1,971</b>	220 <b>306</b>	1,415 <b>1,664</b>	2 1	0 <b>4</b>	0 <b>1</b>	23 <b>31</b>
Banner Elk	1979 <b>1980</b>	26 <b>25</b>	2,281 <b>2,294</b>	351 <b>92</b>	1,930 <b>2,202</b>	0 <b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	3
Crossnore	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>c</b>							
Elk Park	1979 <b>1980</b>	2 <b>0</b>	370 <b>0</b>	0	370 <b>0</b>	0	<b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>
Newland	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP DNP							104
BEAUFORT CO.	1979 1 <b>980</b>	994 1,1 <b>33</b>	2,485 <b>2,851</b>	530 <b>523</b>	1,955 <b>2,328</b>	6	7	13	194 187
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	460 <b>576</b>	1,667 <b>2,047</b>	366 338	1,301 1,709	3	6	3	98
Aurora	1979 <b>1980</b>	7	1,013	0	1,013	0	0	0	0
Belhaven	1979 <b>1980</b>	66 <b>87</b>	2,762 3,528	1,674 <b>2,230</b>	1,088 1,298	1 1	0	0 2	39 <b>52</b>
Chocowinity	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP							
Washington	1979 1 <b>980</b>	460 <b>460</b>	4,941 <b>5,445</b>	687 663	4,254 <b>4,782</b>	2 2	0	12 3	50 <b>50</b>
BERTIE CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	297 <b>413</b>	1,429 1, <b>974</b>	298 <b>397</b>	1,130 1,578	<b>5</b>	4	7	58 <b>67</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	250 <b>325</b>	1,486 <b>1,927</b>	321 302	1,165 1,624	5	3	6	51 <b>37</b>
Askewville	1979 <b>1980</b>	<b>c</b>							
Aulander	1979 <b>1980</b>	<b>32</b>	583 <b>2,691</b>	250 1, <b>934</b>	333 <b>757</b>	0	0	0	22
Colerain	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP DNP							
Kelford	1979 <b>1980</b>	<b>c</b>							
Lewiston	1979 <b>1980</b>	12	1,702	NA 567	NA 1,135	0	0	0 1	3
Windsor	1979 <b>1980</b>	38 <b>44</b>	1,577 <b>2,041</b>	166 <b>232</b>	1,411	0	0	0	5
BLADEN CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	751 <b>614</b>	2,600 <b>2,075</b>	1,070 <b>578</b>	1,530 1,497	5	5	8 <b>5</b>	292 156

NONV	IOLENT CRIM	NE T	*		DEMOGI	RAPHIC DATA	<u> </u>	POLICE EN	APLOYEE DA	ATA
Breaking and Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson	Mos. on File	Estimated Population Coverage	Character		Sworn	Civi-	Total Fulltime Police
Entering		Inerr	<del> </del>	File	Coverage	Character	Male	Female	lians	Employees
3 <b>13</b>	30 <b>32</b>	) <b>0</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	920 <b>817</b>	Rur. City	6 <b>6</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	6 <b>6</b>
64 <b>103</b>	105 <b>103</b>	16 <b>19</b>	3		13,060 <b>13,696</b>	Rural	18 <b>17</b>	2 <b>3</b>	2 1	22 <b>21</b>
56 <b>93</b>	97 <b>90</b>	8 18	3	12 12	11,380 <b>12,077</b>	Rur. County	13 <b>12</b>	2 <b>3</b>	0 <b>0</b>	15 <b>15</b>
8 <b>10</b>	13	7 1	0	12 <b>12</b>	1,140 <b>1,090</b>	Rur. City	4	0 0	] ]	5 <b>5</b>
			_			Rur. City		i 	į	
0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>0</b>	1 <b>0</b>	<u>_</u>	12 12	540 <b>529</b>	Rur. City	1	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>0</b>	2
				0 <b>0</b>	(740) <b>(726)</b>	Rur. City	!			
231 <b>345</b>	534 <b>540</b>	17 <b>40</b>	9		40,000 <b>39,742</b>	Rural	47 <b>44</b>	4	11 12	62 <b>60</b>
140 <b>222</b>	207 <b>240</b>	12 <b>19</b>	3	12 <b>12</b>	27,590 <b>28,137</b>	Rur. County	15 <b>15</b>	3 <b>3</b>	7 <b>8</b>	25 <b>26</b>
1 <b>6</b>	0 1	0 <b>0</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	710 <b>691</b>	Rur. City	1	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1
8 19	7 11	1 <b>2</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	2,390 <b>2,466</b>	Rur. City	6	0 0	3 <b>3</b>	9 9
				0	(643)	Rur. City	1	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1
82 <b>98</b>	310 <b>287</b>	19	4	12 12	9,310 <b>8,448</b>	Rur. City	24 21	1	] <b>]</b>	26 <b>23</b>
123 <b>157</b>	102 <b>158</b>	10 <b>15</b>	4		20,790 <b>20,918</b>	Rural	19	1	<b>8</b>	28
107 <b>134</b>	80 <b>127</b>	9 13	4	12 <b>12</b>	16,820 <b>16,868</b>	Rur. County	7 <b>7</b>	] ]	8 <b>0</b>	16 <b>8</b>
		_				Rur. City	0	0 0	0 <b>0</b>	0
<b>4 5</b>	0 <b>3</b>	0 1	0	12 <b>12</b>	1,200 1,189	Rur. City	3 <b>3</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	3 <b>3</b>
				0 <b>0</b>	(410) ( <b>402</b> )	Rur. City	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0
						Rur. City				
1 5	0 <b>3</b>	0 <b>0</b>	 0	5 <b>12</b>	360 <b>705</b>	Rur. City	1	0 <b>0</b>	0 1	1 2
11 <b>13</b>	22 <b>25</b>	1	 0	12 12	2,410 <b>2,156</b>	Rur. City	6	0	0	6
209 <b>204</b>	206 212	27 <b>27</b>	. — .5	-	28,880 <b>29,596</b>	Rural °	35 <b>40</b>	0 1	13 <b>13</b>	48 <b>54</b>

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		601445		Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLE	NT CRIN	IE .
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	655 <b>473</b>	3,000 <b>2,036</b>	1,150 <b>435</b>	1,851 <b>1,601</b>	5 <b>3</b>	1 4	8 <b>3</b>	237 <b>91</b>
Bladenboro	1979	32	1,524	905	619	0	0	0	19
	<b>1980</b>	28	<b>2,022</b>	1,1 <b>55</b>	<b>866</b>	1	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>14</b>
Clarkton	1979	12	NA	NA	NA	0	0	0	0
	<b>1980</b>	<b>14</b>	2,108	<b>452</b>	<b>1,657</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
Dublin	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>DNP</b>							
Elizabethtown	1979	49	1,253	870	384	2	]	0	31
	<b>1980</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>2,320</b>	<b>1,330</b>	<b>990</b>	1	]	<b>0</b>	<b>45</b>
White Lake	1979 <b>1980</b>	13	345 <b>1,673</b>	345 <b>0</b>	0 1, <b>673</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>0</b>
BRUNSWICK CO.	1979	1,127	4,550	662	3,888	1	6	6	151
	<b>1980</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>2,553</b>	<b>444</b>	<b>2,109</b>	2	<b>6</b>	11	<b>135</b>
Sheriff	1979	880	3,553	626	2,927	1	გ	6	142
	<b>1980</b>	<b>479</b>	<b>1,685</b>	<b>447</b>	<b>1,238</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	116
Boiling Spring Lakes	1979	23	NA	NA	NA	0	0	0	0
	<b>1980</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>
Calabash	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>C</b>							
Caswell Beach	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>c</b>							
Holden Beach	1979	5	2,941	O	2,941	0	0	0	0
	<b>1980</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>NA</b>	NA	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Long Beach	1979	105	5,024	0	5,024	0	0	0	0
	<b>1980</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>10,585</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>10,306</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
Ocean Isle Beach	1979	0	NA	NA	NA	0	0	0	0
	<b>1980</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>37,273</b>	1,818	<b>35,455</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
Shallotte	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>DNP</b>							
Southport	1979	114	3,701	292	3,409	0	0	0	9
	<b>1980</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>4,674</b>	<b>531</b>	<b>4,143</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	14
Sunset Beach	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>9</b>	2,761	0	2,761	0	0	0	0
Yaupon Beach	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>DNP</b>							
BUNCOMBE CO.	1979	6,651	4,348	288	4,061	15	28	116	281
	<b>1980</b>	<b>6,992</b>	<b>4,363</b>	<b>265</b>	<b>4,098</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>277</b>
Sheriff	1979	2,190	2,649	238	2,411	8	14	28	1 <i>47</i>
	<b>1980</b>	<b>2,167</b>	<b>2,235</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>2,077</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>105</b>
Asheville	1979	4,265	6,848	350	6,498	6	13	86	113
	<b>1980</b>	<b>4,564</b>	<b>8,498</b>	<b>458</b>	<b>8,040</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>147</b>
Biltmore Forest	1979 <b>1930</b>	C <b>49</b>	3,245	66	3,179	0	0	<b>0</b> :	. 1

NON	/IOLENT CRIA	Λ <b>Ε</b>	*	<u> </u>	DEMOC	GRAPHIC DATA		POLICE E	MPLOYEE D	ATA
Breaking and Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson	Mos. on File	Estimated Population Coverage	Character		e Sworn ficers	Civi-	Total Fulltime Police
			<del> </del>		Coverage	Character	Wale	Female	lians	Employee
194 <b>172</b>	187 174	23 <b>26</b>	1	12 <b>12</b>	21,830 <b>23,235</b>	Rur. County	14	0	13 <b>13</b>	27 <b>32</b>
10 <b>6</b> 4	3 <b>5</b>	0	0	12 12	2,100 <b>1,385</b>	Rur. City	4 4	0	0 <b>0</b>	4 <b>4</b>
7	6 4	0 <b>0</b>	0	12	750 <b>664</b>	Rur. City	3 <b>3</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	3 <b>3</b>
1	10	4	••••	0 <b>0</b> 12	(320) ( <b>473</b> )	Rur. City	1	0	0	1
<b>6</b> 0	<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	2	12 12	3,910 <b>3,535</b>	Rur. City	10 10	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	10 10
13	ŏ	ŏ	0	12	290 <b>777</b>	Rur. City	4 4	0	0	4 4
406 <b>335</b>	480 <b>335</b>	77 <b>62</b>	<u> </u>		31,240 <b>34,711</b>	Suburban	50 <b>67</b>	8 11	13 11	71 <b>89</b>
315 <b>129</b>	346 <b>173</b>	64 <b>50</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	24,770 <b>28,432</b>	Sub. County	28 <b>25</b>	4 <b>7</b>	3 1	35 <b>33</b>
18 <b>20</b>	3 <b>0</b>	2 <b>0</b>	1	9 11	910 <b>1,000</b>	Sub. City	3 <b>4</b>	1 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 <b>4</b>
						Sub. City	ı	0	0	1
						Sub. City	1	0	0	1
10	1 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	12 <b>3</b>	170 <b>224</b>	Sub. City	3 <b>4</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	3 <b>4</b>
37 <b>105</b>	63 <b>75</b>	5 <b>5</b>	0	12, <b>12</b>	2,090 <b>1,795</b>	Sub. City	9 10	1 <b>0</b>	5 <b>4</b>	15 <b>14</b>
<b>30</b>	0 <b>8</b>	0	0	2 12	220 110	Sub. City	0 <b>4</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>4</b>
				0 <b>0</b>	(810) <b>(683)</b>	Sub. City	6	2	1	9
32 <b>35</b>	67 <b>76</b>	6 6	0	12 <b>12</b>	3,080 <b>2,824</b>	Sub. City	6 <b>6</b>	1	3 <b>3</b>	10 <b>10</b>
6	3	0	0	12	326	Sub. City	2	1	0	3
			-	0 <b>0</b>	(650) <b>No. Pop.</b>	Sub. City	1 4	1 <b>0</b>	2 <b>2</b>	4 <b>6</b>
1,714 <b>1,976</b>	3,960 <b>4,114</b>	537 <b>477</b>	23		152,950 <b>160,265</b>	Suburban	262 <b>275</b>	17 18	31 <b>45</b>	310 <b>338</b>
656 <b>720</b>	1,129 1,128	208 <b>166</b>	2	12 12	82,680 <b>96,957</b>	Sub. County	110 <b>125</b>	10 10	2 <b>9</b>	122 <b>144</b>
996 <b>1,159</b>	2,744 <b>2,860</b>	307 <b>299</b>	15	12 12	62,280 <b>53,708</b>	Core City	123 <b>116</b>	7 <b>7</b>	25 <b>31</b>	155 <b>154</b>
24	24	0	0	12	1,510	Sub. City	]] ]]	0	0 <b>0</b>	11 11

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		CDIA		Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLE	NT CRIM	E
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
Black Mountain	1979 <b>1980</b>	87 <b>83</b>	2,033 <b>2,025</b>	93 <b>122</b>	1,939 <b>1,903</b>	1 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1	2 <b>4</b>
Montreat	1979 <b>1980</b>	3 <b>8</b>	417 <b>1,085</b>	0	417 <b>1,085</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>
UNC-Asheville	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>c</b>							
Weaverville	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP DNP							
Woodfin	1979	105	3,512	669	2,843	0	1	1	18
	<b>1930</b>	115	<b>3,533</b>	<b>430</b>	<b>3,103</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>
BURKE CO.	1979	2,022	3,206	290	2,916	5	3	20	155
	<b>1980</b>	<b>2,832</b>	<b>3,989</b>	<b>330</b>	<b>3,659</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>204</b>
Sheriff	1979	1,173	2,783	313	2,470	4	2	11	115
	<b>1980</b>	1,747	<b>3,321</b>	<b>340</b>	<b>2,981</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	14	<b>161</b>
Drexel	1979	30	1,786	179	1,607	0	0	0	3
	<b>1980</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>4,503</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>4,430</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	1
Glen Alpine	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>c</b>							
Morganton	1979	684	4,488	217	4,272	1	1	8	23
	<b>1980</b>	<b>837</b>	<b>6,118</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>5,811</b>	2	2	<b>7</b>	<b>31</b>
Valdese	1979 <b>1980</b>	130 <b>185</b>	3,250 <b>5,547</b>	250 <b>330</b>	3,000 <b>5,217</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>0</b>	9 <b>10</b>
CABARRUS CO.	1979	3,105	3,896	269	3,627	6	15	40	153
	<b>1980</b>	<b>3,222</b>	<b>3,768</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>3,439</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>217</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	954 1, <b>064</b>	2,849 <b>2,432</b>	257 <b>215</b>	2,592 <b>2,217</b>	2 <b>4</b>	6 <b>6</b>	11	67 <b>73</b>
Concord	1979	1,025	5,336	302	5,034	3	6	15	34
	<b>1980</b>	1,004	<b>5,958</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>5,626</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>37</b>
Kannapolis	1979	1,126	4,170	259	3,911	1	3	14	52
	<b>1980</b>	1,153	<b>4,629</b>	<b>522</b>	<b>4,107</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	17	<b>106</b>
CALDWELL CO.	1979 1 <b>980</b>	2,408 <b>2,797</b>	3,937 <b>4,132</b>	291 <b>409</b>	3,646 <b>3,723</b>	9 °	9 13	11/ 31	149 <b>225</b>
Sheriff	1979	1,068	2,871	226	2,645	8	5	5	66
	<b>1980</b>	<b>1,260</b>	<b>2,637</b>	1 <b>67</b>	<b>2,470</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>67</b>
Granite Falls	1979	43	1,799	167	1,632	0	0	]	3
	<b>1980</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1,833</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>1,716</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>]</b>	<b>2</b>
Hudson	1979	168	4,232	302	3,929	0	1	0	11
	<b>1980</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>4,336</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>4,086</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>
Lenoir	1979	1,127	6,681	462	6,218	]	3	5	69
	<b>1980</b>	1,340	<b>9,985</b>	1, <b>349</b>	<b>8,636</b>	3	<b>7</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>145</b>
Rhodhiss	1979 <b>1980</b>	2 8	270 1,1 <b>05</b>	0 <b>276</b>	270 <b>829</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>2</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>
CAMDEN CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	88 111	1,544 1, <b>907</b>	263 <b>344</b>	1,281 <b>1,564</b>	2 0	0	0	13 <b>19</b>

NONV	OLENT CRIN	\E	*		DEMOGI	RAPHIC DATA		POLICE EN	PLOYEE D	ATA
Breaking and Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Árson	Mos. on File	Estimated Population Coverage	Character		Sworn icers Female	Civi- lians	Total Fulltime Police Employees
34 <b>31</b>	41 <b>46</b>	8 1	2	12 <b>12</b>	4,280 <b>4,098</b>	Sub. City	7 <b>5</b>	0	4 4	11 10
1 <b>3</b>	0 <b>4</b>	2 1	<u> </u>	12 <b>12</b>	720 <b>737</b>	Sub. City	5 <b>4</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>1</b>	5 <b>5</b>
	}					Campus	8	0	0	8
				0 <b>0</b>	(1,450) <b>No. Pop.</b>	Sub. City				
27 <b>39</b>	46 <b>52</b>	12 <b>10</b>	4	12 12	2,990 <b>3,255</b>	Sub. City	6 <b>6</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	6
605 <b>829</b>	1,096 1, <b>623</b>	138 <b>146</b>	31		63,070 <b>70,997</b>	Rural	94 <b>94</b>	9	8 <b>8</b>	111
417 <b>599</b>	536 <b>870</b>	88 <b>99</b>	23	12 <b>12</b>	42,150 <b>52,603</b>	Rur. County	37 <b>37</b>	6 <b>6</b>	1 <b>4</b>	44 <b>47</b>
14 <b>12</b>	12 4 <b>7</b>	1 <b>2</b>		12 <b>12</b>	1,680 <b>1,377</b>	Rur. City	6 <b>6</b>	0 0	0 <b>0</b>	6 6
						Rur. City	] ]	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1
133 <b>169</b>	478 <b>589</b>	40 <b>37</b>	<u> </u>	12 <b>12</b>	15,240 <b>13,682</b>	Rur. Center	44 <b>43</b>	2 <b>3</b>	7 <b>4</b>	53 <b>50</b>
41 <b>49</b>	70 117	9 <b>8</b>	3	12 12	4,000 <b>3,335</b>	Rur. City	7 <b>7</b>	1 2	0 <b>0</b>	8 <b>9</b>
774 <b>910</b>	1,994 <b>1,934</b>	123 <b>97</b>	22		79,700 <b>85,513</b>	Rural	132 <b>136</b>	5 <b>7</b>	. 4 8	141 151
269 <b>383</b>	565 <b>570</b>	34 <b>17</b>		12 <b>12</b>	33,490 <b>43,756</b>	Rur. County	56 <b>59</b>	3 <b>5</b>	0 <b>0</b>	59 <b>64</b>
218 <b>262</b>	712 <b>659</b>	37 <b>27</b>	9	12 <b>12</b>	19,210 <b>16,851</b>	Rur. Center	38 <b>38</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 <b>8</b>	42 <b>46</b>
287 <b>265</b>	717 <b>705</b>	52 <b>53</b>	8	12 <b>12</b>	27,000 <b>24,906</b>	Rur. Center	38 <b>39</b>	2 <b>2</b>	0 <b>0</b>	40 <b>41</b>
757 <b>884</b>	1,326 <b>1,496</b>	147 <b>140</b>	29		61,170 67,694	Rural	82 <b>90</b>	6 <b>6</b>	18 <b>23</b>	106 119
421 <b>545</b>	470 <b>566</b>	93 <b>69</b>	21	12 <b>12</b>	37,200 <b>47,780</b>	Rur. County	27 <b>29</b>	1	14 <b>17</b>	42 <b>47</b>
21 <b>26</b>	11 16	7 <b>2</b>	<u> </u>	12 <b>12</b>	2,390 <b>2,564</b>	Rur. City	11 11	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	11
42 <b>35</b>	110 <b>89</b>	4 <b>7</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	3,970 <b>3,206</b>	Rur. City	7 8	0 <b>0</b>	0 1	7 9
273 <b>277</b>	734 <b>823</b>	42 <b>59</b>	7	12 <b>12</b>	16,870 <b>13,420</b>	Rur. Center	35 <b>40</b>	5 <b>5</b>	4 <b>5</b>	44 <b>50</b>
0	1 <b>2</b>	1 <b>3</b>	0	12 12	740 <b>724</b>	Rur. City	2 <b>2</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	2 2
16 <b>31</b>	53 <b>56</b>	4	0		5,700 <b>5,820</b>	Rural	2 <b>2</b>	]	0 <b>0</b>	3 3

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

NTRIBUTING AGENCY		T		Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLE	NT CRI	ME	
		CRIME INDEX	Crime Rate per	Crime Rate per	Crime Rate per	Mur-	Forci- ble	Rob-	Agg vat Ass	ed
CC)NTRIBUTOR	YEAR	TOTAL	100,000	100,000	100,000	der	Rape	50.7	+-	
	1979 <b>1980</b>	87 110	1,526 1,890	246 <b>326</b>	1,281 1,564	2 0	0	0 1 9	1	2 <b>8</b> 1
Sheriff	1979 1 <b>980</b>	1,656 <b>2,126</b>	4,476 <b>5,212</b>	238 <b>338</b>	4,238 <b>4,873</b>	1	13	12	11	
CARTERET CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	459 <b>709</b>	2,130 <b>2,629</b>	88 115	2,042 <b>2,514</b>	1	5	1 5		20 19
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	204 <b>344</b>	19,615 <b>47,514</b>	2,212 4,420	17,404 <b>43,094</b>	0	3	:	2   1	29
Atlantic Beach	1979 <b>1980</b>	170 <b>235</b>	4,582 <b>5,336</b>	566 <b>727</b>	4,016 <b>4,609</b>	0	1 3	•	2	18 <b>27</b>
Beaufort	1979	13 <b>10</b>	1,057 <b>1,050</b>	0	1,057 1,050	0			0	0 <b>0</b>
Cape Carteret	1980 1979	181 176	67,037 <b>21,569</b>	741 <b>245</b>	66,296 <b>21,324</b>	0		0	0	1 <b>2</b>
Emerald Isle	1 <b>980</b> 1979	64	21,333	1,639	21,333 142,623	0	1	0	0	0 1
Indian Beach	<b>1980</b> 1979	<b>38</b> 461	7,976 <b>9,250</b>	311	7,664	1 0	1	0 2	3	17 <b>27</b>
Morehead City	<b>1980</b> 1979	401	1,798	44	1,75	4   0		0	0	0 <b>0</b>
Newport	1 <b>980</b> 1979	<b>43</b> 62	12,653	612	12,04	1   0		0	0	3 <b>6</b>
Pine Knoll Shores	<b>1980</b> 1979	120	18,209	40	5 1,06	1	2 2	0	2 2	5 <b>73</b>
CASWELL CO.	<b>1980</b>	217	1,595	37	6 1,06	1	2 2	0	2 2	5 <b>73</b>
Sheriff	1 <b>980</b>	<b>329</b> 5,173	1, <b>595</b> 5,105	71	9 4,3	36	6 4	25 14	43	655 <b>579</b>
CATAWBA CO.	1979 1980	5,492	5,193	59	3 2,4	67	5 8	7 3	7 13	325 <b>266</b>
Sheriff	1980	1,688	<b>2,581</b> 5.079	2,5	13 2,1 40 2,5	40	0	0	1 0	15 <b>6</b>
Brookford	1979 <b>198</b> 0	23	4,904 2,779	1,2	79   3,6 44   2,3	33	0	0	1 0	3 7
Claremont	1979 <b>198</b> 0	33	3,74	<b>6</b>	68 4,	274	0	0	2	6
Conover	1979 <b>193</b>	0 30	6,39	5	<b>21 6</b> ,3	206	1	14 10	27 <b>29</b>	253 174
Hickory	197 <b>198</b>	0 2,54	4 12,35	1,0	)58   11, 221   4,	696	5	1	3	4 4
Longview	197 <b>198</b>	30   16	6 4,62	29	195 4	<b>434</b> 346	0	0	0	3 4
Maiden	197 <b>198</b>	80 9	9 3,81	31	157 3	, <b>724</b> ,475	0	3	2	46
Newton	197 <b>19</b> 8		6,0 35 8,3		557 6	,821	1	0	2	115

NONV	IOLENT CRIM	1E	*	ļ	DEMOGI	RAPHIC DATA	<del> </del>	POLICE EN	ILLO YEE D	AIA
Breaking and Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson	Mos. on File	Estimated Population Coverage	Character	1	Sworn icers Female	Civi- lians	Total Fulltime Police Employees
16 <b>31</b>	53 <b>56</b>	4 <b>4</b>	 0	12 12	5,700 <b>5,820</b>	Rur. County	2 2	] ]	0 <b>0</b>	3 3
671 <b>619</b>	831 <b>1,261</b>	66 108			37,000 <b>40,794</b>	Rural	69 71	6 <b>6</b>	13 <b>13</b>	88 <b>90</b>
237 <b>200</b>	187 <b>432</b>	16 <b>46</b>	8	12 12	21,550 <b>26,965</b>	Rur. County	18 19	0 1	6 <b>6</b>	24 <b>26</b>
87 <b>117</b>	76 <b>174</b>	18 <b>21</b>	1	12 <b>12</b>	1,040 <b>724</b>	Rur. City	7 9	<b>0</b>	4 <b>0</b>	13 <b>9</b>
57 <b>75</b>	83 119	9 <b>9</b>	7	12 <b>12</b>	3,710 <b>4,404</b>	Rur. City	11	0	0 <b>0</b>	11
8	5 <b>8</b>	0	0	12 12	1,230 <b>952</b>	Rur. City	3 3	0	0 <b>0</b>	3 3
83 <b>56</b>	93 115	3 <b>3</b>	3	12 12	620 <b>816</b>	Rur. City	8 7	0	1 <b>4</b>	9
19 <b>45</b>	45 41	0	0	12 12	300 61	Rur. City	2 2	<b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	2 2
150 <b>98</b>	278 <b>252</b>	15 <b>19</b>	6	12 12	5,780 <b>4,335</b>	Rur. City	14	4	2 <b>3</b>	20 <b>20</b>
13 <b>12</b>	24 28	3 <b>2</b>	0	12 12	2,280 1,878	Rur. City	3 3	0	0 <b>0</b>	3 3
17 15	40 <b>92</b>	2 <b>6</b>	3	12 <b>12</b>	490 <b>659</b>	Rur. City	3 4	0	0 <b>0</b>	3 4
77 113	131 1 <b>39</b>	0 <b>0</b>	3		19,600 <b>20,630</b>	Rural	15 14	1	<b>5</b>	16 <b>20</b>
77 113	131 <b>139</b>	0 <b>0</b>	3	12 12	19,600 <b>20,630</b>	Rur. County	15 14	1	0 <b>5</b>	16 <b>20</b>
1,349 1,816	2,866° <b>2,789</b>	229 <b>233</b>	49		101,330 105,762	Rural	147 159	19 21	36 <b>33</b>	202 213
535 <b>692</b>	803 <b>610</b>	93 <b>96</b>	32	12 12	58,010 <b>65,391</b>	Rur. County	56 <b>59</b>	10	0	65 <b>69</b>
6 <b>7</b>	9	1	0	12 12	630 <b>469</b>	Rur. City	1	0	0	1
6 <b>9</b>	15 <b>15</b>	0 <b>2</b>	0	12 12	900 <b>881</b>	Rur. City	3 4	1	0 <b>0</b>	5
47 <b>99</b>	151 192	5 <b>9</b>	1	12 12	4,750 <b>4,707</b>	Rur. City	8	0	0 <b>0</b>	9
574 <b>750</b>	1,387 1,471	90 <b>105</b>	14	12 12	22,280 <b>20,597</b>	Rur. Center	46 <b>54</b>	5 <b>6</b>	27 <b>27</b>	78 <b>87</b>
66 <b>74</b>	92 <b>80</b>	12 <b>5</b>	0	12 12	3,620 <b>3,586</b>	Rur. City	8	0	) 0	8
15 <b>30</b>	74 <b>62</b>	2 3	0	12 12	2,720 2,551	Rur. City	7 7	1	0 <b>0</b>	8
100 <b>155</b>	335 <b>350</b>	26 12	0	12 12	8,420 <b>7,580</b>	Rur. City	19 18	3 <b>2</b>	8 <b>6</b>	30 <b>26</b>

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

				Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLE	NT CRIM	E
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
CHATHAM CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	633 <b>744</b>	2,042 <b>2,229</b>	200 <b>294</b>	1,842 1,936	7 <b>2</b>	2 2	. 6 <b>3</b>	47 <b>91</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	356 <b>418</b>	1,464 <b>1,516</b>	90 <b>192</b>	1,373 <b>1,324</b>	5 <b>0</b>	2 <b>2</b>	2 <b>1</b>	13 <b>50</b>
Pittsboro	1979 <b>1980</b>	49 <b>67</b>	3,182 <b>5,026</b>	519 <b>750</b>	2,662 <b>4,276</b>	] ]	0	1	6 <b>8</b>
Siler City	1979 <b>1980</b>	226 <b>258</b>	4,397 <b>5,776</b>	584 <b>761</b>	3,813 <b>5,015</b>	1 1	0	3 <b>1</b>	26 <b>32</b>
CHEROKEE CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	351 <b>356</b>	1,983 <b>1,880</b>	288 <b>312</b>	1,695 <b>1,568</b>	0	0	0	51 <b>57</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	195 <b>224</b>	1,392 <b>1,469</b>	286 <b>262</b>	1,106 <b>1,206</b>	0 1	0 1	0 <b>0</b>	40 <b>38</b>
Andrews	1979 <b>1980</b>	49 <b>53</b>	3,267 <b>3,246</b>	267 <b>367</b>	3,000 <b>2,878</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 <b>6</b>
Murphy	1979 <b>1980</b>	106 <b>77</b>	4,840 <b>3,747</b>	274 <b>535</b>	4,566 <b>3,212</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	6 11
CHOWAN CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	282 <b>368</b>	2,293 <b>2,945</b>	276 <b>296</b>	2,016 <b>2,649</b>	4	1	1 4	28 <b>32</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	116 <b>109</b>	1,662 <b>1,502</b>	401 <b>179</b>	1,261 <b>1,323</b>	4 0	1 0	0 <b>1</b>	23 <b>12</b>
Edenton	1979 <b>1980</b>	165 <b>258</b>	3,102 <b>4,924</b>	94 <b>439</b>	3,008 <b>4,485</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 1	1 <b>3</b>	4 1 <b>9</b>
CLAY CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	48 118	800 1, <b>790</b>	50 <b>137</b>	750 1,653	1 0	0	0 T a	2 <b>8</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	48 <b>117</b>	800 <b>1,775</b>	50 <b>121</b>	750 <b>1</b> , <b>653</b>	1 <b>0</b>	0	0	2 <b>7</b>
CLEVELAND CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	2,448 <b>2,741</b>	3,140 <b>3,323</b>	531 <b>607</b>	2,609 <b>2,716</b>	12 <b>6</b>	1 <b>6</b>	33 // 44	368 <b>445</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	1,049 <b>948</b>	2,093 1, <b>686</b>	389 <b>464</b>	1,704 <b>1,222</b>	2 <b>3</b>	0 <b>3</b>	14 <b>8</b>	179 <b>247</b>
Boiling Springs	1979 <b>1980</b>	41 <b>34</b>	1,627 <b>1,439</b>	198 <b>42</b>	1,429 <b>1,397</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	5 <b>1</b>
Fallston	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>C</b>							
Grover	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>C</b>							
Kings Mountain	1979 <b>1980</b>	479 <b>522</b>	5,456 <b>6,067</b>	592 <b>593</b>	4,863 <b>5,474</b>	2 1	0	8 <b>7</b>	42 <b>43</b>
Lawndale	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>DNP</b>			· '				
Shelby	1979 <b>1980</b>	878 <b>1,236</b>	5,308 <b>8,079</b>	973 <b>1,222</b>	4,335 <b>6,857</b>	8 <b>2</b>	] 3	11 <b>29</b>	141 <b>153</b>
COLUMBUS CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	1,341 1,481	2,656 <b>2,903</b>	291 <b>286</b>	2,365 <b>2,617</b>	2 <b>6</b>	. 5 9	7 16	133 115

NC	NVIOLENT CRI	ME	*	1	DEMO	GRAPHIC DATA		POLICE E	MPLOYEE D	PATA
Breakin and Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson	Mos. on File	Estimated Population Coverage	Character		e Sworn ficers Female	- Civi-	Total Fulltime Police
226 <b>286</b>		15 <b>15</b>	3		31,000 <b>33,374</b>	Rural	34 34	3	15	Employee 52
159 <b>210</b>		11	2	12	24,320 <b>27,574</b>	Rur. County	20	2 2	10	<b>47</b> 33
9 <b>13</b>	43	2	0	12 12	1,540 <b>1,333</b>	Rur, City	5 <b>5</b>	0	0	28 5 5
58 <b>63</b>	156	2 <b>5</b>	0	12 12	5,140 <b>4,467</b>	Rur. City	9 9	1	4 4	14
127 <b>132</b>	143	18 <b>22</b>	-		17,700 18,940	Rural	20 <b>32</b>	1	5 <b>7</b>	26 <b>40</b>
82 <b>92</b> 14	61 <b>79</b> 28	12 13	0	12 12	14,010 <b>15,252</b>	Rur. County	8 <b>20</b>	] ]	2 <b>5</b>	11 26
<b>7</b> 31	34 66	3 <b>6</b> 3	0	12 12	1,500 1,633	Rur. City	6 <b>6</b>	0	2 1	8 <b>7</b>
<b>33</b>	<b>30</b>	3 3	1	12 12	2,190 <b>2,055</b>	Rur. City	6 <b>6</b>	0 <b>0</b>	] <b>]</b>	7 <b>7</b>
<b>98</b> 35	<b>224</b> 51	<b>9</b> 2	0	12	12,300 <b>12,497</b> 6,980	Rurai	19 <b>19</b>	3 <b>3</b>	] ]	23 <b>23</b>
<b>39</b> 34	125	<b>5</b> 1	0	1 <b>2</b>	<b>7,257</b> 5,320	Rur. County	4 4	2 2	0 <b>0</b>	6 <b>6</b>
<b>59</b> 22	1 <b>72</b>	<b>4</b> 6	0	12	<b>5,240</b> 6,000	Rur. City	15 <b>15</b>	1	1	17 <b>17</b>
22 22	<b>56</b>	<b>6</b>	0	12	<b>6,593</b> 6,000	Rural	4 4	0 <b>2</b>	3	8 <b>9</b>
585	1,314	6 135	0	12	<b>6,593</b> 77,960	Rur. County	4 <b>4</b> 88	0 2	3	8 <b>9</b>
274 253	1,462 490	1 <b>34</b> 90	15	12	<b>82,489</b> 50,120	Rural	<b>92</b> 31	7 <b>7</b> 3	42 <b>39</b> 29	137 <b>138</b>
293 9 11	362 24	<b>72</b> 3	3	12 12	<b>56,223</b> 2,520	Rur. County	<b>34</b> 5	3 0	<b>27</b> 0	63 <b>64</b>
"1	18	4	0	12	2,363	Rur. City	5	ŏ	ŏ	5 <b>5</b>
		ĺ			ļ	Rur. City	2	0	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
121 121	288 <b>325</b>	18 <b>25</b>	5	12 12	8,780	Rur. City	15	2 2	0	<b>i</b> 20
			_	0 0	8,604 (510) (475)	Rur. City	15 2 2	0	3 2 0	19
181 <b>259</b>	512 <b>757</b>	24 <b>33</b>	7	12	16,540	Rur. City Rur. Center	35 33	2	10	2 <b>2</b> 47
509 <b>552</b>	627 <b>713</b>	58 <b>70</b>	15		50,480 <b>51,015</b>	Rural	64 64	<b>2</b> 8	10 14 17	<b>45</b> 86 <b>89</b>

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		60:	6.1	Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLE	NT CRIM	/E
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	595 <b>701</b>	1,577 <b>1,827</b>	162 164	1,415 <b>1,663</b>	0 4	1 4	1 <b>7</b>	59 <b>48</b>
Bolton	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>C</b>							5
Brunswick	1979 <b>1980</b>	2 <b>6</b>	800 <b>2,791</b>	0	800 <b>2,791</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>
Chadbourn	1979 <b>1980</b>	1 <i>5</i> 5 <b>163</b>	6,485 <b>8,262</b>	1,004 1,064	5,481 <b>7,197</b>	1	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>3</b>	23 <b>17</b>
Fair Bluff	1979 <b>1980</b>	29 <b>28</b>	2,544 <b>2,574</b>	175 <b>92</b>	2,368 <b>2,482</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	2
Lake Waccamaw	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP 1	NA	NA	NA	0	0	0	0
Tabor City	1979 <b>1980</b>	69 <b>80</b>	NA 2,938	NA <b>331</b>	- NA 2,607	0	1 0	1 <b>1</b>	5 <b>7</b>
Whiteville	1979 <b>1980</b>	489 <b>497</b>	8,475 <b>8,928</b>	884 <b>844</b>	7,591 <b>8,083</b>	1 0	3 <b>5</b>	5 <b>5</b>	42 <b>37</b>
CRAVEN CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	2,070 <b>2,448</b>	3,258 <b>3,654</b>	354 <b>446</b>	2,904 <b>3,208</b>	8 <b>9</b>	14 <b>27</b>	47 <b>56</b>	156 <b>207</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	858 1,061	2,154 2,366	166 <b>239</b>	1,988 <b>2,128</b>	5 <b>7</b>	5 <b>10</b>	7 <b>13</b>	49 <b>77</b>
Bridgeton	1979 <b>1980</b>	20 <b>85</b>	4,000 <b>18,478</b>	400 <b>4,565</b>	3,600 <b>13,913</b>	0	0 1	0 1	2 19
Dover	1979 <b>1980</b>	2 13	333 <b>2,167</b>	333 <b>500</b>	0 1,667	0	0 <b>0</b>	0	2 2
Havelock	1979 <b>1980</b>	402 <b>353</b>	8,914 <b>7,770</b>	665 <b>528</b>	8,248 <b>7,242</b>	0	1 2	4	25 14
New Bern	1979 <b>1980</b>	720 <b>886</b>	4,458 <b>6,091</b>	718 <b>969</b>	3,740 <b>5,122</b>	3	8 <b>14</b>	36 <b>34</b>	69 <b>92</b>
Trentwoods	1979 <b>1980</b>	36 <b>28</b>	3,077 <b>2,357</b>	85 <b>84</b>	2,991 <b>2,273</b>	0	0	0	1
Vanceboro	1979 <b>1980</b>	29 <b>21</b>	3,718 <b>2,549</b>	641	3,077 <b>2,427</b>	0	0	0	5 1
CUMBERLAND CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	15,437 17,044	7,314 <b>7,595</b>	845 <b>822</b>	6,470 <b>6,772</b>	32 <b>43</b>	115 <b>138</b>	459 <b>472</b>	1,177 1,192
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	7,523 <b>8,612</b>	5,497 <b>5,616</b>	558 <b>518</b>	4,939 <b>5,098</b>	16 22	52 <b>73</b>	121 131	575 <b>569</b>
Fayetteville	1979 1980	7,279 <b>7,620</b>	11,554 12,812	1,516 1,644	10,038 11,168	14	58 <b>60</b>	326 <b>321</b>	557 <b>576</b>
Fayetteville State Univ.	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>C</b>	,	1,344	,				
Hope Mills	1979 1980	205 <b>226</b>	4,192 <b>4,220</b>	102 <b>149</b>	4,090 <b>4,070</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	1	4 7
Spring Lake	1979 <b>1980</b>	422 <b>580</b>	6,698 <b>9,283</b>	810 <b>928</b>	5,889 <b>8,355</b>	2 0	5 <b>5</b>	11 19	33 <b>34</b>

NON	/IOLENT CRI	WE	*	_	DEMOC	GRAPHIC DATA		POLICE E	MPLOYEE	DATA
Breaking and	Larceny	Motor Vehicl⊕	Arson	Mos.	Estimated Population			e Sworn ficers	<u> </u>	Total Fulltime
Entering	<del> </del>	Theft	-	File	Coverage	Character	Male	Female	Civi- lians	Police Employee
295 <b>325</b>	211 <b>279</b>	28 <b>34</b> ,	10	12 12	37,740 <b>38,364</b>	Rur. County	30 <b>31</b>	6 <b>6</b>	7 <b>6</b>	43 <b>43</b>
,						Rur. City	1	0	0	1
0	6	0	1	12 12	250 <b>215</b>	Rur. City	1	0	0	1
40 <b>50</b>	84 <b>87</b>	7 <b>5</b>	0	12 12	2,390 <b>1,973</b>	Rur. City	6 <b>5</b>	1 1	2 <b>2</b>	9
11 11	15 <b>16</b>	1 <b>0</b>	0	12 12	1,140 <b>1,088</b>	Rur. City	4	0	0 <b>0</b>	4 4
1	0	0		0 <b>6</b>	(1,120) 1,085	Rur. City	3	0	0	3
18 <b>0</b>	43 <b>70</b>	]	<u> </u>	8 12	3,190 <b>2,723</b>	Rur. City	7 6	0	2 3	9
144 <b>165</b>	273 <b>255</b>	21 <b>30</b>	<u>_</u>	12 <b>12</b>	5,770 <b>5,567</b>	Rur. City	14	]	3 <b>6</b>	18
763 <b>869</b>	953 1,113	129 <b>167</b>	18		63,540 <b>66,998</b>	Rural	71 75	4 5	25	22 100
323 <b>370</b>	417 <b>505</b>	52 <b>79</b>	8	12 <b>12</b>	39,830 <b>44,838</b>	Rur. County	27 <b>27</b>	1 2	<b>31</b> 12 <b>17</b>	40
8 <b>23</b>	9 <b>37</b>	1 4		12 <b>12</b>	500 <b>460</b>	Rur. City	1 2	0	0	1
0 <b>8</b>	0 1	0	<u> </u>	12 <b>12</b>	600 <b>600</b>	Rur. City	1	0	0	<b>2</b>
96 <b>93</b>	254 <b>213</b>	22 <b>23</b>		12 12	4,510 <b>4,543</b>	Rural	11	0	<b>0</b> 5 <b>5</b>	16
316 <b>346</b>	235 <b>340</b>	53 <b>59</b>		12 <b>12</b>	16,150		28	3	8	16 39
15 18	19 <b>9</b>	1 0	_ 0	12 12	14,545 1,170 1,188	Rur. Center	31	<b>3</b> 0	<b>8</b> 0	<b>42</b> 3
5	19	0	-	12 12 <b>12</b>	780	Rur. City	<b>2</b>	0 0	<b>1</b> 0	3 <b>3</b> 1
5,487 <b>6,173</b>	7,196 <b>8,057</b>	971 <b>969</b>	146	12	824 · 211,051	Rur. City	341	<b>0</b> 26	<b>0</b> 83	<b>1</b> 450
3,216 <b>3,786</b>	3,088 <b>3,436</b>	455 <b>595</b>	107	12	136,861	Suburban	<b>350</b> 163	<b>26</b>	<b>82</b> 40	<b>458</b> 222
2,047	3,819	458	[	12 12	1 <b>53,346</b> 63,000	Sub. County	171 161	17 5	<b>43</b> 36	231
2,082	4,228	332	35	12	59,476	Core City	152	6	31	202 <b>189</b>
74	,,,	,_				Campus	11	0	0	11
74 <b>76</b>	111 <b>130</b>	15 12	2	12 <b>12</b>	4,890 <b>5,356</b>	Sub. City	7 <b>8</b>	0	5 <b>3</b>	12 <b>12</b>
150 <b>229</b>	178 <b>263</b>	43 <b>30</b>	$-\frac{1}{2}$	12 12	6,300 <b>6,248</b>	Sub. City	10 <b>8</b>	2 2	2 5	14 <b>15</b>

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

CONTRIBUTING AGENCY				Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLEN	IT CRIME	
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
CONTRIBUTOR									
Stedman	1979 <b>1980</b>	<b>c</b>							<b>61</b>
CURRITUCK CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	236 <b>218</b>	2,226 <b>1,967</b>	509 <b>144</b>	1,717 <b>1,822</b>	2 <b>0</b>	0	5	51 11
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	235 <b>218</b>	2,217 1,967	500 <b>144</b>	1,717 <b>1,822</b>	2 <b>0</b>	0	5	50
DARE CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	442 <b>536</b>	4,170 <b>4,322</b>	179 121	3,991 <b>4,201</b>	0	2 <b>2</b>	2 <b>3</b>	15
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	196 <b>170</b>	2,411 <b>1,937</b>	37 11	2,374 <b>1,925</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	3
Kill Devil Hills	1979 <b>1980</b>	78 <b>159</b>	13,929 <b>9,034</b>	893 <b>341</b>	13,036 <b>8,693</b>	0	2	0 <b>2</b>	2
Nags Head	1979 <b>1980</b>	165 <b>202</b>	26,190 <b>20,654</b>	1,429 <b>716</b>	24,762 <b>19,939</b>	0	0	0	8 <b>5</b>
Manteo	1979 <b>1980</b>	3 <b>5</b>	234 <b>565</b>	156 113	78 <b>452</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	2 <b>0</b>	0 <b>1</b>
Southern Shores	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>c</b>						00	003
DAVIDSON CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	3,594 <b>4,010</b>	3,521 <b>3,584</b>	343 <b>335</b>	3,179 <b>3,249</b>	14	17	38 <b>46</b>	281 315
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	1,684 <b>1,906</b>	2,475 <b>2,347</b>	228 <b>201</b>	2,247 <b>2,146</b>	8 <b>5</b>	4 4	23	134 131
Denton	1979 <b>1980</b>	25 . <b>50</b>	2,174 <b>5,225</b>	<b>836</b>	2,174 <b>4,389</b>	0	0	0	8
Lexington	1979 <b>1980</b>	925 <b>963</b>	5,271 <b>6,127</b>	581 <b>471</b>	4,689 <b>5,656</b>	0	9 0	20	69 65
Thomasville	1979 <b>1980</b>	958 1, <b>090</b>	6,253 <b>7,788</b>	594 <b>922</b>	5,659 <b>6,867</b>	2 <b>2</b>	3	14	76 110
DAVIE CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	321 <b>363</b>	1,433 1,485	89 <b>139</b>	1,344 1,346	0	2 2	2	30
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	235 <b>282</b>	1,216 1,293	36 124	1,180 1,169	0	2 2	2	23
Mocksville	1979 <b>1980</b>	86 <b>79</b>	2,801 <b>2,999</b>	423 190	2,378 <b>2,809</b>	<b>0</b>	0	0	5
DUPLIN CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	931 <b>992</b>	2,325 <b>2,440</b>	275 <b>214</b>	2,050 <b>2,226</b>	2 2	<i>5</i> <b>4</b>	10	94
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	496 <b>491</b>	1,770 1,661	235 101	1,534 1,559	2 2	4 4	5	53 19
Beulaville	1979 <b>1980</b>	1 <b>0</b>	77 <b>0</b>	0	77	0	0	0	0
Calypso	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP	1,700	0	1,700	0	0	0	0
Faison	1979 <b>1980</b>	38 <b>53</b>	6,333 <b>8,878</b>	1,167 <b>2,513</b>	5,167 <b>6,365</b>	0	0	0	7 15
Faison	1780	53	6,676	2,515	3,303				

NONVI	OLENT CRIM	<b>NE</b>	*		DEMOG	RAPHIC DATA		POLICE EM	PLOYEE D	ATA
Breaking and Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson	Mos. on File	Estimated Population Coverage	Character	1	Sworn icers Female	Civi-	Total Fulltime Police Employees
		<u> </u>	-			Sub. City				
115 <b>113</b>	59 <b>78</b>	8 11	1		10,600 <b>11,084</b>	Suburban	8 <b>8</b>	3 <b>3</b>	2 <b>2</b>	13 <b>13</b>
115 <b>113</b>	59 <b>78</b>	8 11	1	12 12	10,600 <b>11,084</b>	Sub. County	8 <b>8</b>	3 <b>3</b>	2 <b>2</b>	13 13
180 <b>247</b>	237 <b>264</b>	6 10	5		10,600 <b>12,401</b>	Rural	37 <b>42</b>	1 1	13 <b>14</b>	51 <b>57</b>
88 <b>94</b>	104 <b>75</b>	<b>0</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	8,130 <b>8,778</b>	Rur. County	17 <b>18</b>	0 <b>0</b>	8 <b>9</b>	25 <b>27</b>
23 <b>65</b>	48 <b>84</b>	2 4	3	12 12	560 <b>1,760</b>	Rur, City	7 <b>9</b>	1	<b>0</b>	8 10
68 <b>85</b>	85 <b>105</b>	3 <b>5</b>	- 12 1	630 <b>12</b>	978	Rur. City	9	0 <b>0</b>	5 <b>5</b>	14 15
1 <b>3</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0		12 <b>12</b>	1,280 <b>885</b>	Rur. City	4 4	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 4
						Rur. City	1	0	0	1
1,317 <b>1,382</b>	1,756 <b>2,075</b>	171 <b>178</b>	32		102,060 111,877	Suburban	153 <b>149</b>	10 <b>11</b>	32 <b>30</b>	195 <b>190</b>
616 <b>694</b>	798 <b>950</b>	115 <b>99</b>	21	12 12	68,040 <b>81,208</b>	Sub. County	58 <b>55</b>	6 <b>6</b>	22 <b>21</b>	86 <b>82</b>
6 <b>19</b>	17 <b>21</b>	2 <b>2</b>	<u> </u>	12 12	1,150 <b>957</b>	Sub. City	5 <b>5</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	5 <b>5</b>
411 <b>422</b>	387 <b>437</b>	25 <b>30</b>	4	12 12	17,550 <b>15,717</b>	Sub. City	46 <b>47</b>	4 5	6 <b>6</b>	56 <b>58</b>
284 <b>247</b>	554 <b>667</b>	29 <b>47</b>	6	12 12	15,320 <b>13,995</b>	Sub. City	44 <b>42</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 <b>3</b>	48 <b>45</b>
123 <b>120</b>	161 <b>184</b>	17 <b>25</b>	-		22,400 24,451	Rural	21 <b>22</b>	2 1	3 <b>4</b>	26 <b>27</b>
98 <b>103</b>	115 <b>132</b>	15 <b>20</b>	1	12 12	19,330 <b>21,817</b>	Rur. County	13 <b>14</b>	2 1	3 <b>4</b>	18 <b>19</b>
25 <b>17</b>	46 <b>52</b>	2 <b>5</b>	<u> </u>	12 12	3,070 <b>2,634</b>	Rur. City	8 <b>8</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	8 <b>8</b>
324 <b>365</b>	456 <b>503</b>	41 <b>37</b>	23		40,050 <b>40,651</b>	Rural	55 <b>49</b>	3 <b>3</b>	20 <b>20</b>	78 <b>72</b>
202 <b>228</b>	212 <b>219</b>	16 <b>14</b>	<u></u> 21	12 12	28,030 <b>29,563</b>	Rur. County	18 <b>18</b>	] ]	11 11	30 <b>30</b>
) <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>		12 12	1,300 <b>1,04</b> 7/	Rur. City	4 <b>3</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 3
6	5	0	0	0	(440) <b>647</b>	Rur. City	1	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1
10 <b>12</b>	20 <b>26</b>	1 <b>0</b>		12 12	600 <b>597</b>	Rur. City	2 2	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	2 2

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

	cnus.		Violent	Non- Viölent		VIOLE	NT CRIM	E	
YEAR	INDEX TOTAL	Rate per 100,000	Rate per 100,000	Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault	
1979 <b>1980</b>	20 <b>20</b>	2,273 <b>2,146</b>	0 <b>107</b>	2,273 <b>2,039</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 1	
1979 <b>1980</b>	0 <b>4</b>	6 <b>75</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>675</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	
1979 <b>1980</b>	71 <b>63</b>	3,966 <b>4,150</b>	503 <b>791</b>	3,464 <b>3,360</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	0 <b>1</b>	9 11	
1979 <b>1980</b>	173 <b>205</b>	5,654 <b>7,106</b>	490 <b>312</b>	5,163 <b>6,794</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	2 <b>3</b>	13 <b>6</b>	
1979 <b>1980</b>	125 <b>142</b>	3,834 <b>4,949</b>	337 <b>593</b>	3,497 <b>4,357</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1 0	0 1	10 <b>16</b>	
1979 <b>1980</b>	11,604 <b>12,344</b>	7,988 <b>8,243</b>	564 <b>494</b>	7,424 <b>7,749</b>	18 <b>19</b>	74 <b>73</b>	262 <b>275</b>	465 <b>373</b>	
1979 <b>1980</b>	1,209 1,810	3,175 <b>3,618</b>	200 1 <b>98</b>	2,975 <b>3,420</b>	1 7	2 7	7 5	66 <b>80</b>	
1979 <b>1980</b>	10,392	9,695 <b>10,561</b>	692 <b>642</b>	9,003 <b>9,919</b>	17 12	72 <b>66</b>	255 <b>270</b>	398 <b>292</b>	
1979	С			·				i	
1979	4,706	5,968 6.386	567 <b>567</b>	5,401 <b>5.819</b>	11	16	56 <b>53</b>	364 <b>367</b>	
1979	696	3,009	355	2,655	4	4 6	7 8	67	
1979	DNP	-,,,,,		.,,=					
1979	7	464	0	464 1 <b>374</b>	0	0	0	0	
1979	DNP	1,011	107	1,074				-	
1979	3,422	7,791	713	7,079	6	9	44	254	
1979	578	5,617	476	5,141	1	3	5	40	
1979	14,891	6,491	692	5,799	14	79	338	1,156	
1979	2,406	2,988	272	2,716	0	0	16	203	-
1979	263	3,834	364	3,469	0	0	6	19	
1979	12,221	8,605	<b>460</b> 945	7,660	14	79	316	933	
<b>1980</b> 1979	12,973	9,799	1,150	8,648	17	84	320	1,102	
1980	C	1 440	140	1 279	0	) ñ	<b>%</b> E	Żά	
1979 1 <b>980</b>	491	1,704	191	1,513	7	4	5	39	-1
	1980 1979 1980 1979	YEAR         TOTAL           1979         20           1980         20           1979         0           1980         4           1979         71           1980         63           1979         173           1980         205           1979         125           1980         142           1979         11,604           1980         1,209           1980         1,209           1980         10,392           1979         10,392           1979         10,392           1979         4,706           1980         4,706           1980         4,958           1979         1980           1979         7           1980         C           1979         7           1980         DNP           1979         3,422           1980         555           1979         14,891           1980         2,803           1979         2,406           1980         352           1979         12,221           1980	INDEX   Rate   per   100,000     1979	CRIME INDEX         Crime Rate per Per 100,000         Crime Rate per 100,000         Crime Rate per 100,000           1979         20         2,273         0           1979         0         0         0           1979         0         0         0           1979         71         3,966         503           1980         63         4,150         791           1979         173         5,654         490           1979         173         5,654         490           1980         205         7,106         312           1979         125         3,834         337           1980         142         4,949         593           1979         11,604         7,988         564           1980         12,344         8,243         494           1979         1,209         3,175         200           1980         10,532         10,561         642           1979         4,706         5,968         567           1979         4,706         5,968         567           1979         7         464         0           1979         7         464 <t< td=""><td>  CRIME INDEX   Crime Rate Rate Per 100,000  </td><td>  CRIME INDEX   Crime Rate   Per   100,000   1</td><td>  CRIME   NOTAL   Crime   Rate   Per   100,000   Note   No</td><td>  CRIME INDEX   Crime Rate per   100,000   Crime Rate per   Crime Rate per   100,000   Crime Rate per   Crime Rate per   Crime Rate per   100,000   Crime Rate per   Crime Per</td><td>YEAR TOTAL 100.0000 100.00000 100.00000 100.00000 100.00000 100.00000 100.00000 100.00000 100.0000000 100.00000 100.00000 100.00000 100.00000000</td></t<>	CRIME INDEX   Crime Rate Rate Per 100,000   100,000	CRIME INDEX   Crime Rate   Per   100,000   1	CRIME   NOTAL   Crime   Rate   Per   100,000   Note   No	CRIME INDEX   Crime Rate per   100,000   Crime Rate per   Crime Rate per   100,000   Crime Rate per   Crime Rate per   Crime Rate per   100,000   Crime Rate per   Crime Per	YEAR TOTAL 100.0000 100.00000 100.00000 100.00000 100.00000 100.00000 100.00000 100.00000 100.0000000 100.00000 100.00000 100.00000 100.00000000

NONV	IOLENT CRIM	\E	*		DEMOGR	RAPHIC DATA		POLICE EN	PLOYEE D	ATA
Breaking and Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson	Mos. on File	Estimated Population Coverage	Character		Sworn Icers Female	Civi- lians	Total Fulltime Police Employees
4 <b>7</b>	12 10	4 2		12 · 12	880 <b>932</b>	Rur. City	3 <b>2</b>	0	0	3 2
0 <b>4</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	<u> </u>	12 <b>12</b>	690 <b>593</b>	Rur. City	2 1	0	0 <b>0</b>	2
13 <b>11</b>	43 <b>34</b>	6 <b>6</b>	_ 1	12 <b>12</b>	1,790 <b>1,518</b>	Rur. City	4 <b>4</b>	0 <b>0</b>	2 1	6 <b>5</b>
43 <b>62</b>	104 <b>123</b>	11 <b>11</b>	1	12 <b>12</b>	3,060 <b>2,885</b>	Rur. City	12 <b>10</b>	1	4 <b>5</b>	17 <b>16</b>
49 <b>35</b>	62 <b>86</b>	3 <b>4</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	3,260 <b>2,869</b>	Rur. City	9 <b>8</b>	1	3 <b>3</b>	13 <b>12</b>
3,187 <b>3,517</b>	6,976 <b>7,519</b>	622 <b>568</b>	111		145,270 149,753	Suburban	350 <b>361</b>	8 <b>12</b>	73 <b>62</b>	431 <b>435</b>
414 <b>660</b>	626 <b>964</b>	93 <b>87</b>	21	12 <b>12</b>	38,080 <b>50,028</b>	Sub. County	58 <b>58</b>	2 <b>2</b>	17 <b>23</b>	77 <b>83</b>
2,773 <b>2,857</b>	6,348 <b>6,554</b>	529 <b>481</b>	90	12 <b>12</b>	107,190 <b>99,725</b>	Core City	279 <b>289</b>	4 <b>8</b>	55 <b>39</b>	338 <b>336</b>
						Campus	13 <b>14</b>	2 <b>2</b>	1 <b>0</b>	16 16
1,238 <b>1,355</b>	2,837 <b>3,024</b>	184 <b>139</b>	25		78,850 <b>77,638</b>	Rural	139 <b>139</b>	10 <b>10</b>	38 <b>41</b>	187 <b>190</b>
254 <b>27</b> 7	330 <b>346</b>	30 <b>28</b>	6	12 <b>12</b>	23,130 <b>26,002</b>	Rur. County	23 <b>23</b>	2 <b>3</b>	9 11	34 <b>37</b>
				0	(650)	Rur. City	1	0	0	1
6 <b>15</b>	1 4	0 1	_ 0	12 <b>12</b>	1,510 <b>1,456</b>	Rur. City	3 <b>4</b>	0 <b>0</b>	2 <b>2</b>	5 <b>6</b>
				0 <b>0</b>	(1,660) <b>No Pop.</b>	Rur. City	0 <b>2</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 2
897 <b>935</b>	2,072 <b>2,297</b>	140 <b>103</b>	18	12 <b>12</b>	43,920 <b>41,573</b>	Rur. Center	93 <b>90</b>	8 <b>7</b>	21 <b>21</b>	122 118
81 <b>128</b>	434 <b>377</b>	14 <b>7</b>	1	12 12	10,290 <b>8,607</b>	Rur. City	20 19	0 <b>0</b>	6 <b>7</b>	26 <b>26</b>
3,821 <b>4,432</b>	8,622 <b>9,046</b>	861 <b>852</b>	196	:	229,400 <b>242,581</b>	Suburban	412 <b>411</b>	61 <b>62</b>	58 <b>124</b>	531 <b>597</b>
687 <b>874</b>	1,338 <b>1,505</b>	162 <b>180</b>	66	12 <b>12</b>	80,510 <b>103,447</b>	Sub. County	117 <b>119</b>	29 <b>32</b>	3 <b>3</b>	149 <b>154</b>
49 <b>91</b>	161 <b>198</b>	28 <b>32</b>	14	12 <b>12</b>	6,860 <b>6,737</b>	Sub. City	13 <b>13</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 <b>4</b>	17 17
3,085 <b>3,467</b>	7,123 <b>7,343</b>	671 <b>640</b>	116	12 12	142,030 <b>132,397</b>	Core City	272 <b>272</b>	31 <b>30</b>	50 116	353 <b>418</b>
	,					Campus	10 <b>7</b>	1 <b>0</b>	1 1	12 <b>8</b>
165 <b>175</b>	164 <b>239</b>	17 <b>22</b>	7		27,080 <b>28,820</b>	Rural	31 <b>31</b>	• <b>0</b>	13 12	44 <b>43</b>

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

ONTRIBUTING AGENCY P				Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLEN	IT CRIME	
·.	YEAR	CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
CONTRIBUTOR					1 110		2	5	7
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	273 <b>328</b>	1,221 1,354	103 <b>83</b>	1,118 <b>1,271</b>	9 <b>7</b>	4	3	6
Bunn	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP DNP							
Centerville	1979 <b>1980</b>	<b>c</b>	0.500	1 000	1,588	0	0	0	17
Franklinton	1979 <b>1980</b>	44 <b>98</b>	2,588 <b>7,015</b>	1,000 <b>2,291</b>	4,724	0	0	ĭ	31
Louisburg	1979 <b>1980</b>	71 <b>65</b>	2,351 <b>2,032</b>	66 <b>94</b>	2,285 <b>1,938</b>	) o	ŏ	ĭ	2 <b>2</b>
Youngsville	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP DNP	E 447	6,678	4,780	18	25	99	897
GASTON CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	8,489 <b>9,935</b>	5,447 <b>6,166</b>	699	5,467	21	21	161	924
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>C</b>	4 174	570	3,606	10	9	30	338
Rural Police	1979 <b>1980</b>	2,837 <b>3,278</b>	4,176 <b>3,991</b>	<b>433</b> 302	<b>3,557</b> 2,981	7	9 0	<b>45</b> 2	<b>295</b>
Belmont	1979 <b>1980</b>	174 155	3,283 <b>3,367</b>	<b>282</b> 994	<b>3,085</b> 3,602	0	0	4	<b>8</b> 49
Bessemer City	1979 <b>1980</b>	245 <b>248</b>	4,597 <b>5,322</b>	1,159	<b>4,163</b>	1	0	5	<b>48</b>
Cherryville	1979 <b>1980</b>	68 <b>86</b>	1,248	166	1,615	0	0	0	7
Cramerton	1979 <b>1980</b>	26 <b>36</b>	1,503 1,940 2,304	1 <b>62</b> 576	1,778 1,728	0 2	0		22
Dallas	1979 1 <b>980</b>	100 <b>147</b>	<b>4,440</b> 9,157	<b>997</b>	<b>3,443</b> 8,134	0 4	15	60	<b>31</b> 434
* Gastonia	1979 <b>1980</b>	4,594 <b>5,546</b>	11,874 317	1,349	<b>10,525</b>	111	12	1 .	<b>508</b>
High Shoals	1979 <b>1980</b> 1979	125	<b>709</b> 3,378	<b>0</b> 378	<b>709</b> 3,000	0	1 .	0	14
Lowell	1979 1980 1979	102	<b>3,537</b> 7,018	<b>139</b>	<b>3,398</b> 6,842	0		0	3 2
McAdenville	1979 1 <b>980</b> 1979	<b>70</b>	<b>7,292</b>	190	7,292	. 0		$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	9 2
Mount Holly	1979 1 <b>980</b> 1979	<b>82</b>	1,814 4,384	<b>88</b>	1,725 3,990	5   1 0   0	)	0 0	8
Ranlo	1979 <b>1980</b> 1979	114	<b>6,448</b> 2,518	<b>848</b>	<b>5,600</b>			0 2 1 0	ì
Stanley	1980	66	2,850	259 277	2,59	1 0	)	0   1	20
GATES CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>							0 3	25

<sup>\*</sup> Note that the characterization of Gastonia was changed from Core City in 1979 to Suburban City in 1980 as a result of the 1980 U.S. Census.

NONV	OLENT CRIM	/E	*		DEMOG	RAPHIC DATA		POLICE EN	PLOYEE D	ATA
Breaking and	Larceny	Motor Vehicle	Arson	Mos.	Estimated		1	e Sworn icers	C:	Total Fulltime
Entering Entering	Larceny	Theft	Arson	on File	Population Coverage	Character	Male	Female	Civi- lians	Police Employees
133 <b>137</b>	102 <b>154</b>	15 <b>17</b>	6	12 <b>12</b>	22,360 <b>24,224</b>	Rur. County	10 10	0 <b>0</b>	13 <b>12</b>	23 <b>22</b>
			<u> </u>	0	(420) ( <b>501</b> )	Rur. City	3 <b>3</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	3 <b>3</b>
						Rur. City			,	ļ
14 <b>20</b>	12 <b>42</b>	4	<del> </del>	12 <b>12</b>	1,700 <b>1,397</b>	Rur. City	6	0 0	0 <b>0</b>	6 <b>6</b>
18 <b>18</b>	50 <b>43</b>	] ]	0	12 12	3,020 <b>3,199</b>	Rur. City	8 <b>8</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	8 <b>8</b>
		,	<u> </u>	0	(600) <b>(490)</b>	Rur. City	4	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 4
2,436 <b>2,909</b>	4,614 <b>5,466</b>	400 <b>433</b>	iii		155,850 <b>161,122</b>	Suburban	251 <b>274</b>	11 19	35 <b>39</b>	297 <b>332</b>
	i	i			į	Sub. County	33	7	5	45
1,063 <b>1,245</b>	1,238 <b>1,504</b>	149 <b>173</b>	 55	12 <b>12</b>	67,940 <b>82,140</b>	Sub. County	61 <b>68</b>	4 <b>5</b>	9 <b>9</b>	74 <b>82</b>
52 <b>20</b>	93 <b>117</b>	13 <b>5</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	5,300 <b>4,603</b>	Sub. City	12 10	0 <b>0</b>	2 <b>3</b>	14 <b>13</b>
óó <b>95</b>	108 <b>87</b>	18 <b>12</b>	<u> </u>	12 12	5,330 <b>4,660</b>	Sub. City	9 10	]	2 1	12 12
19 <b>31</b>	32 <b>45</b>	11 <b>2</b>		12 12	5,450 4, <b>931</b>	Sub. City	13 <b>12</b>	0	1 <b>1</b>	14 13
3 <b>8</b>	22 <b>24</b>	] ]	0	12 <b>12</b>	1,730 <b>1,856</b>	Sub. City	6 <b>6</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	6 <b>6</b>
18 <b>19</b>	54 <b>87</b>	3 <b>8</b>	<u> </u>	12 <b>12</b>	4,340 <b>3,311</b>	Sub. City	9 7	2 1	0 <b>0</b>	17 <b>8</b>
1,101 <b>1,376</b>	2,797 <b>3,327</b>	183 <b>213</b>	<u></u> 51	12 <b>12</b>	50,170 <b>46,708</b>	Sub. City	105 <b>95</b>	3 <b>3</b>	16 <b>14</b>	124 112
1 <b>0</b>	1 <b>4</b>	0 <b>0</b>	<u> </u>	12 <b>12</b>	630 <b>564</b>	Sub. City	2 <b>2</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	2 <b>3</b>
35 <b>30</b>	71 <b>62</b>	5 <b>6</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	3,700 <b>2,884</b>	Sub. City	6 <b>5</b>	0 <b>0</b>	2 <b>2</b>	8 <b>7</b>
3 1	70 <b>67</b>	5 <b>2</b>	<u> </u>	12 <b>12</b>	1,140 <b>960</b>	Sub. City	3 <b>3</b>	0 <b>0</b>	() <b>0</b>	3 <b>3</b>
23 <b>36</b>	36 <b>37</b>	7 <b>5</b>	- <u>-</u>	12 <b>12</b>	5,270 <b>4,521</b>	Sub. City	12 11	0	3 <b>4</b>	15 <b>15</b>
32 <b>32</b>	47 <b>63</b>	2 <b>4</b>	<u> </u>	12 12	2,030 <b>1,768</b>	Sub. City	6 <b>6</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	6 <b>6</b>
20 <b>16</b>	45 <b>42</b>	3 <b>2</b>	0	12 12	2,820 <b>2,316</b>	Sub. City	7 <b>6</b>	1 1	0 <b>0</b>	8 <b>7</b>
31 <b>47</b>	52 <b>56</b>	8 <b>0</b>	0		8,300 <b>8,813</b>	Rural	2 2	0	1	3 <b>3</b>

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

	•			Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLE	NT CRIM	ΛE
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	114 128	1,373 1,452	277 <b>284</b>	1,096 <b>1,169</b>	1 0	1 <b>0</b>	1 <b>3</b>	20 <b>22</b>
GRAHAM CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	31 <b>77</b>	443 1, <b>070</b>	100 111	343 <b>959</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>1</b>	7 <b>7</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	30 <b>74</b>	494 1, <b>270</b>	99 <b>120</b>	395 1, <b>150</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	0	6 <b>6</b>
Robbinsville	1979 <b>1980</b>	3	108 <b>219</b>	108 <b>73</b>	0 <b>146</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	] ]
GRANVILLE CO. +	1979 <b>1980</b>	1,063 1,134	3,230 <b>3,350</b>	346 <b>384</b>	2,884 <b>2,966</b>	4	9 3	12 <b>22</b>	89 101
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	422 <b>399</b>	2,324 1, <b>858</b>	187 <b>116</b>	2,137 <b>1,741</b>	3 4	7	2 <b>7</b>	22 14
Butner+	1979 <b>1980</b>	127 181	2,309 <b>4,778</b>	236 <b>554</b>	2,072 <b>4,224</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>0</b>	3 <b>1</b>	9 <b>20</b>
Creedmoor	1979 <b>1980</b>	98 <b>108</b>	5,868 <b>6,494</b>	1,856 <b>1,563</b>	4,012 <b>4,931</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	3 <b>3</b>	28 <b>23</b>
Oxford	1979 <b>1980</b>	416 <b>444</b>	5,495 <b>6,410</b>	476 <b>823</b>	5,020 <b>5,587</b>	1 <b>0</b>	] 3	4	30 <b>43</b>
Stem	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>c</b>						i	
Stovall	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>c</b>							
GREENE CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	274 <b>272</b>	1,839 <b>1,711</b>	201 170	1,638 <b>1,541</b>	2 <b>2</b>	2	3 <b>0</b>	23 <b>22</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	266 <b>267</b>	1,999 <b>1,898</b>	225 1 <b>71</b>	1,773 <b>1,727</b>	2 <b>2</b>	2 <b>3</b>	3 <b>0</b>	23 19
Hookerton	1979 <b>1980</b>	0	0 <b>216</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>216</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>
Snow Hill	1979 1 <b>930</b>	8 1	702 <b>73</b>	0 <b>0</b>	702 <b>73</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>
GUILFORD CO. +	1979 1980	18,667 <b>21,208</b>	6,076 <b>6,699</b>	472 <b>550</b>	5,604 <b>6,149</b>	37 <b>32</b>	75 <b>81</b>	372 <b>425</b>	966 1, <b>204</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	2,757 <b>3,478</b>	3,530 <b>3,644</b>	209 <b>223</b>	3,321 <b>3,421</b>	0	19 <b>16</b>	60 <b>49</b>	84 <b>144</b>
Gibsonville	1979 <b>1980</b>	90 <b>104</b>	3,462 <b>3,427</b>	769 <b>329</b>	2,692 <b>3,097</b>	1 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>0</b>	18 <b>0</b>
Greensboro+	1979 <b>1980</b>	10,343 <b>12,462</b>	6,464 <b>8,046</b>	625 <b>744</b>	5,839 <b>7,302</b>	22 <b>22</b>	39 <b>47</b>	198 <b>295</b>	741 <b>788</b>
High Point	1979 <b>1980</b>	5,467 <b>5,151</b>	8,222 <b>8,149</b>	387 <b>560</b>	7,836 <b>7,589</b>	14 <b>6</b>	17 <b>18</b>	113 <b>81</b>	113 <b>249</b>
N.C. A&T St. Univ.	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>c</b>							
UNC-Greensboro	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>c</b>						i	:

NONV	OLENT CRIM	\E	*		DEMOGI	RAPHIC DATA		POLICE EN	PLOYEE D	ATA
Breaking and Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson	Mos. on File	Estimated Population Coverage	Character		Sworn icers Female	Civi- lians	Total Fulltime Police Employee
31 <b>47</b>	52 <b>56</b>	8 <b>0</b>		12 12	8,300 <b>8,813</b>	Rur, County	2 <b>2</b>	0	]	3 <b>3</b>
15 <b>44</b>	4 21	5 <b>4</b>	2		7,000 <b>7,194</b>	Rural	8 8	0	1 5	9
15 <b>44</b>	4 <b>20</b>	5 <b>3</b>	2	12 <b>12</b>	6,070 <b>5,827</b>	Rur. County	3 <b>5</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>4</b>	4 9
0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>1</b>	0 1	_ 0	12 <b>12</b>	930 <b>1,367</b>	Rur. City	5 <b>3</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 1	5 <b>4</b>
396 <b>391</b>	523 <b>587</b>	30 <b>26</b>	11		32,900 <b>33,855</b>	Rural	61 <b>67</b>	4 6	11 <b>14</b>	76 <b>87</b>
210 <b>184</b>	167 <b>185</b>	11 <b>5</b>	4	12 <b>12</b>	18,160 <b>21,477</b>	Rur. County	13 <b>13</b>	2 <b>2</b>	4 <b>5</b>	19 <b>20</b>
47 <b>52</b>	63 <b>102</b>	4 <b>6</b>	2	12 <b>12</b>	5,500 <b>3,788</b>		24 <b>24</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	24 <b>24</b>
26 <b>32</b>	40 <b>48</b>	1 <b>2</b>	<u> </u>	12 <b>12</b>	1,670 <b>1,663</b>	Rur. City	8 <b>9</b>	0	2 <b>2</b>	10 11
113 <b>123</b>	253 <b>251</b>	14 <b>13</b>	4	12 <b>12</b>	7,570 <b>6,927</b>	Rur. City	13 <b>15</b>	2 <b>2</b>	4 <b>7</b>	19 <b>24</b>
			_			Rur. City	1 <b>5</b>	0 <b>2</b>	0 <b>0</b>	7
						Rur. City	2 1	0 0	1 <b>0</b>	3
115 114	126 128	3 <b>3</b>	0		14,900 <b>15,898</b>	Rural	15 <b>16</b>	4	0 <b>0</b>	19 <b>20</b>
112 <b>112</b>	121 <b>128</b>	3 <b>3</b>	0	12	13,310 <b>14,070</b>	Rur. County	12 13	4 <b>4</b>	0 <b>0</b>	16 <b>17</b>
0 1	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	450 <b>462</b>	Rur. City	2 <b>2</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	2 <b>2</b>
3 1	5 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	1,140 <b>1,366</b>	Rur. City	1 1	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	] ]
4,884 <b>5,747</b>	11,368 <b>12,726</b>	965 <b>993</b>	141		307,200 <b>316,572</b>	Suburban	671 696	44 <b>39</b>	155 <b>131</b>	870 866
964 1, <b>329</b>	1,460 <b>1,718</b>	170 <b>218</b>	40	12 <b>12</b>	78,110 <b>95,444</b>	Sub. County	178 188	1	25 <b>23</b>	207 <b>212</b>
24 <b>23</b>	42 <b>66</b>	4 <b>5</b>	1	12 <b>12</b>	2,600 <b>3,035</b>	Sub. City	7 <b>6</b>	1 2	4 <b>3</b>	12 11
2,448 <b>3,082</b>	6,408 <b>7,711</b>	487 <b>517</b>	84	12 <b>12</b>	160,000 <b>154,884</b>	Core City	327 <b>330</b>	26 <b>23</b>	91 <b>73</b>	444 <b>426</b>
1,448 <b>1,313</b>	3,458 <b>3,231</b>	304 <b>253</b>	16	12 <b>12</b>	66,490 <b>63,209</b>	Core City	126 138	9 <b>8</b>	30 <b>25</b>	165 171
						Campus	17 17	2 <b>3</b>	] ]	20 <b>21</b>
						Campus	16 17	2 <b>2</b>	4 <b>6</b>	22 <b>25</b>

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		<b>a</b> n		Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLE	NT CRIM	\E
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assauit
HALIFAX CO.	1979	1,751	3,125	646	2,479	5	6	21	330
	<b>1980</b>	<b>1,876</b>	<b>3,477</b>	<b>510</b>	<b>2,967</b>	<b>7</b>	14	<b>13</b>	<b>241</b>
Sheriff	1979	406	1,312	540	772	5	4	5	153
	<b>1980</b>	<b>486</b>	1,567	<b>364</b>	<b>1,203</b>	<b>3</b>	6	<b>1</b>	<b>103</b>
Enfield	1979	224	6,455	2,305	4,150	0	2	5	73
	<b>1980</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>6,897</b>	1, <b>573</b>	<b>5,323</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>43</b>
Halifax	1979 <b>1980</b>	ပ <b>င</b>							
Hobgood	1979 <b>1980</b>	ပ <b>င</b>	<u>.</u>						! !
Littleton	1979 <b>1980</b>	24 <b>13</b>	2,697 <b>1,702</b>	2,135 <b>654</b>	562 1, <b>047</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	19 <b>4</b>
Roanoke Rapids	1979	853	5,706	368	5,338	0	0	8	47
	<b>1980</b>	<b>969</b>	<b>6,658</b>	<b>543</b>	<b>6,115</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	10	<b>64</b>
Scotland Neck	1979	100	3,367	471	2,896	0	0	1	13
	<b>1980</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>4,003</b>	<b>744</b>	<b>3,259</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19</b>
Weldon	1979	139	6,123	969	5,154	0	0	2	20
	<b>1980</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>4,560</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>4,341</b>	1	<b>0</b>	1	<b>2</b>
HARNETT CO.	1979	1,766	3,171	- 413	2,758	9	13	37	171
	<b>1980</b>	<b>1,853</b>	<b>3,127</b>	<b>339</b>	<b>2,788</b>	13	<b>15</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>139</b>
Sheriff	1979	926	2,472	243	2,229	4	9	19	59
	<b>1980</b>	<b>913</b>	<b>2,151</b>	<b>221</b>	1, <b>929</b>	10	11	<b>11</b>	<b>62</b>
Angier	1979 <b>1980</b>	49 <b>62</b>	2,784 <b>3,626</b>	398 <b>702</b>	2,386 <b>2,924</b>	) <b>0</b>	0 1	0	6 11
Coats	1979 <b>1980</b>	76 <b>52</b>	NA <b>3,782</b>	NA 1,018	NA <b>2,764</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>0</b>	0	22 1 <b>3</b>
Dunn	1979	612	6,194	8ଥି1	5,314	4	3	17	63
	<b>1980</b>	<b>700</b>	<b>7,823</b>	<b>760</b>	<b>7,063</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>44</b>
Erwin	1979	64	2,085	326	1,759	0	0	0	10
	<b>1980</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>2,458</b>	<b>321</b>	<b>2,138</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>
Lillington	1979 <b>1980</b>	29 <b>56</b>	1,429 <b>2,866</b>	99 <b>154</b>	1,330 <b>2,712</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1	1 <b>2</b>
HAYWOOD CO.	1979	1,113	2,496	200	2,296	]	3	14	71
	<b>1980</b>	<b>1,270</b>	<b>2,734</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>2,474</b>	3	10	15	<b>93</b>
Sheriff	1979	622	2,162	167	1,995	1	3	10	34
	1 <b>980</b>	<b>752</b>	<b>2,336</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>2,159</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>39</b>
Canton	1979	111	2,176	39	2,137	0	0	1	1
	<b>1980</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>2,417</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>2,245</b>	<b>0</b>	1	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>
Clyde	1979 1 <b>980</b>	32 <b>32</b>	2,883 <b>3,171</b>	450 <b>892</b>	2,432 <b>2,279</b>	0	0	1 <b>0</b>	4 .
Hazelwood	1979	72	3,243	586	2,658	0	0	0	13
	<b>1980</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>3,055</b>	<b>536</b>	<b>2,519</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>
Waynesville	1979 4 <b>1980</b>	276 <b>316</b>	3,730 <b>4,679</b>	284 <b>533</b>	3,446 <b>4,146</b>	0	0 1	2	19 <b>31</b>

110111	IOLENT CRIA	''E	*		DEMOG	RAPHIC DATA	<del> </del>	POLICE EN	APLOYEE D	ATA
Breaking and Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle	Arson	Mos.	Estimated Population	\	Off	e Sworn icers	Civi-	Total Fulltime Police
chiering		Theft	<b> </b>	File	Coverage	Character	Male	Female	lians	Employee
315 <b>425</b>	1,009 1,126	65 <b>50</b>	3		56,030 <b>53,957</b>	Rural	78 <b>78</b>	0	30 <b>31</b>	108 110
90 <b>194</b>	140 <b>164</b>	9 15	1	12 12	30,950 <b>31,009</b>	Rur. County	22 <b>21</b>	0 <b>0</b>	8 <b>9</b>	30 <b>30</b>
40 <b>76</b>	95 <b>82</b>	9 1	0	12 <b>12</b>	3,470 <b>2,987</b>	Rur. City	10 <b>9</b>	0 0	4 <b>4</b>	14 13
						Rur. City	0	0 0	<b>0</b>	0
-3 l	2	0		10	200	Rur. City	0	0 0	<b>0</b>	0
2 135	<b>6</b> 619	0 <b>0</b>	0	12 12	890 <b>764</b>	Rur. City	3 <b>3</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	3 <b>3</b>
114	750	44 <b>26</b>	2	12 12	14,950 <b>14,554</b>	Rur. Center	28 <b>29</b>	0 1	9 <b>9</b>	37 <b>39</b>
16 25	61 <b>70</b>	3 <b>6</b>	0	12 12	2,970 <b>2,823</b>	Rur. City	8 <b>8</b>	0 <b>0</b>	6 <b>6</b>	14 <b>14</b>
23	92 <b>54</b>	0 <b>2</b>	0	12 12	2,270 <b>1,820</b>	Rur. City	6 <b>8</b>	0 <b>0</b>	3 <b>3</b>	9 11
730 <b>692</b>	723 <b>866</b>	83 <b>94</b>	13		55,700 <b>59,249</b>	Rural	80 <b>87</b>	3 <b>3</b>	26 <b>29</b>	109 119
494 <b>443</b>	302 <b>332</b>	39 <b>44</b>	9	12 12	37,460 <b>42,455</b>	Rur. County	31 <b>36</b>	0 <b>0</b>	7 12	38 <b>48</b>
13	29 <b>33</b>	4 4	0	12 <b>12</b>	1,760 <b>1,710</b>	Rur. City	5 <b>5</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 <b>4</b>	9 <b>9</b>
19 14	32 22	2 2	0	11 12	1,500 <b>1,375</b>	Rur. City	5 <b>6</b>	1	4	10 <b>8</b>
172 179	319 <b>416</b>	34 <b>37</b>	2	12 12	9,880 <b>8,948</b>	Rur. City	26 <b>27</b>	1 <b>2</b>	6 <b>6</b>	33 <b>35</b>
25 <b>27</b>	26 <b>29</b>	3 4	0	12 12	3,070 <b>2,807</b>	Rur. City	7 <b>7</b>	0	5 <b>6</b>	13 <b>13</b>
16	15 <b>34</b>	3	2	12 12	2,030 <b>1,954</b>	Rur. City	6 <b>6</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	6 <b>6</b>
354 <b>408</b>	603 667	67 <b>74</b>	5	1_1_1	44,600 <b>46,449</b>	Rural	65 <b>72</b>	7 <b>5</b>	9 <b>3</b>	81 <b>80</b>
254 <b>283</b>	288 <b>364</b>	32 <b>48</b>	1	12 12	28,770 <b>32,188</b>	Rur. County	22 <b>27</b>	3 <b>3</b>	4 <b>0</b>	29 <b>30</b>
17 <b>22</b>	82 71	10	0	12 12	5,100 <b>4,633</b>	Rur. City	15 <b>15</b>	3 <b>2</b>	1 <b>3</b>	19 <b>20</b>
7	14	0	0	12 12	1,110 <b>1,009</b>	Rur. City	2 2	0	0 <b>0</b>	2 <b>2</b>
17 <b>23</b>	39 <b>22</b>	3 2	3	12 12	2,220 1,866	Rur. City	5 <b>6</b>	0	4 <b>0</b>	10 <b>6</b>
57 <b>73</b>	180 <b>194</b>	18 <b>13</b>	<u> </u>	12 12	7,400 <b>6,753</b>	Rur. City	21 <b>22</b>	0	0	21 <b>22</b>

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		CRIME		Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLE	NT CRIM	IE
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	INDEX	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
HENDERSON CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	1,547 <b>1,524</b>	2,998 <b>2,624</b>	285 <b>262</b>	2,713 <b>2,362</b>	2 <b>3</b>	3 <b>5</b>	18 <b>17</b>	124 <b>127</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	995 <b>996</b>	2,281 <b>1,942</b>	108 <b>82</b>	2,173 <b>1,860</b>	1 <b>2</b>	2 <b>5</b>	9 1	35 <b>34</b>
Hendersonville	1979 <b>1980</b>	548 <b>527</b>	6,867 <b>7,757</b>	1,203 <b>1,604</b>	5,664 <b>6,152</b>	1	1 <b>0</b>	9 16	85 <b>92</b>
Laurel Park	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>C</b>							
HERTFORD CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	716 <b>725</b>	2,864 <b>3,137</b>	556 710	2,308 <b>2,428</b>	· 1	3 <b>4</b>	8 <b>5</b>	127 <b>152</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	207 <b>222</b>	1,413 <b>1,456</b>	259 <b>315</b>	1,154 <b>1,141</b>	1 <b>3</b>	1 <b>4</b>	2 1	34 <b>40</b>
Ahoskie	1979 <b>1980</b>	406 <b>400</b>	7,342 <b>8,276</b>	1,392 <b>1,821</b>	5,949 <b>6,456</b>	0 <b>0</b>	2 <b>0</b>	გ 2	69 <b>86</b>
Corno	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>C</b>							,
Murfreesboro	1979 <b>1980</b>	102 <b>101</b>	2,116 <b>3,339</b>	477 <b>860</b>	1,639 <b>2,479</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>2</b>	23 <b>24</b>
Winton	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>c</b>			·				
HOKE CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	595 <b>760</b>	3,165 <b>3,745</b>	388 <b>355</b>	2,777 <b>3,390</b>	2	] <b>14</b>	8 10	62 <b>45</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	364 <b>499</b>	2,336 <b>2,991</b>	282 <b>306</b>	2,054 <b>2,686</b>	2 <b>2</b>	1 12	3 <b>8</b>	38 <b>29</b>
Raeford	1979 <b>1980</b>	231 <b>261</b>	7,174 <b>7,226</b>	901 <b>581</b>	6,273 <b>6,645</b>	0 1	0 <b>2</b>	5 <b>2</b>	24 <b>16</b>
HYDE CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	76 <b>66</b>	1,382 1,153	709 <b>349</b>	673 <b>803</b>	0	0	0	39 <b>20</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	76 <b>66</b>	1,382 1,153	709 <b>349</b>	673 <b>803</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	39 <b>20</b>
IREDELL CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	2,597 <b>2,983</b>	3,275 <b>3,638</b>	338 <b>243</b>	2,937 <b>3,395</b>	7 <b>6</b>	11 18	38 <b>70</b>	212 105
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	715 <b>943</b>	1,555 <b>1,765</b>	137 <b>152</b>	1,418 <b>1,614</b>	4 5	6 <b>12</b>	11 <b>28</b>	42 <b>36</b>
Love Valley	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>c</b>							}
Mooresville	1979 <b>1980</b>	556 <b>515</b>	5,774 <b>6,009</b>	260 <b>397</b>	5,514 <b>5,613</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1	5 <b>7</b>	19 <b>26</b>
Statesville	1979 <b>1980</b>	1,301 1,508	5,805 <b>8,121</b>	794 <b>442</b>	5,011 <b>7,680</b>	3	4 5	20 <b>35</b>	151 41
Troutman	1979 <b>1980</b>	25 <b>15</b>	1,953 <b>1,045</b>	156 <b>0</b>	1,797 1, <b>045</b>	0	0	2	0
JACKSON CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	336 <b>375</b>	1,485 1,449	40 <b>23</b>	1,445 1,426	1 2	0	3	5 <b>3</b>

	NOI	NYIOLENT	CRIME		*		DEA	NOG	RAPHIC DATA		POL	ICE EA	ADLOVE	E DATA	
(	aking and tering	Larce	ny Ve	otor hicle neft	Arson	Mos on File	Populat	ion		Ful	ltime Sw Officers	orn		Tota Fulltir	me
	502					File	Covera	ge	Character	Ma	e Fer	nale	Civi- lians	Polic Employ	
	<b>618</b> 380	772 628 473	12	26 <b>!6</b> 95	12		51,600 <b>58,08</b>	В	Rural	42 <b>49</b>		4 4	7 10	53 <b>63</b>	
	<b>526</b> 122	<b>335</b> 299	,	3	10	12 12	43,620 <b>51,29</b> 4	•	Rur. County	y 23 <b>25</b>		1	1 5	25 31	
	92	293	3		2	12	7,980 <b>6,794</b>		Rur. City	18 <b>23</b>		3 <b>3</b>	6 <b>5</b>	27	
	211 <b>198</b>	344	2	2	٠		25,000		Rur. City	1		0	0 <b>0</b>	1 1	
	70 <b>78</b>	<b>353</b>	10	5	6	12	<b>23,109</b>		Rural	30 <b>32</b>		3	14 16	45 <b>51</b>	
	20	197	12		2	12 12	15,251 5,530		Rur. County				5 <b>9</b>	14	
•	<b>00</b>	200	4		2	12	4,833		Rur. City	13 14		)	6 <b>4</b>	19 <b>19</b>	
	21 <b>12</b>	53 <b>62</b>	5			12	4,820		Rur. City	0	0		0 <b>0</b>	0	
	'2	62	1		2	12	3,025		Rur. City	8 <b>8</b>	0		3 <b>3</b>	11	
20	00	294 <b>352</b>	28		_		18,800		Rur. City	1	0		0 0	1	
16	52	134 183	24 23		6	12	<b>20,293</b> 15,580		Rural	27 <b>25</b>	0		5 <b>5</b>	32 <b>31</b>	
	18	160 169	4 20		5	12	16,681 3,220	R	ur. County	16 14	0		2 <b>5</b>	18 19	
2	0	16 <b>26</b>	0		0	12	<b>3,162</b> 5,500		Rur. City	5	0		3 0	14 12	
2 <b>2</b> (	1 0	16 <b>26</b>	0	.	-	12	<b>5,725</b> 5,500		Rural	5			4	10 <b>11</b>	
652 <b>862</b>		1,574 1,760	103 162	-	18	12	<b>5,725</b> 79,300	Ru	r. County	113	1 1		4	10 11	
306 <b>426</b>	5	295 <b>351</b>	51 <b>85</b>	1 -	-	12	<b>81,996</b> 45,980		Rural	116 36	11	'	6 <b>9</b>	133 <b>136</b>	
				-	-	'2	53,422		r. County	37	7		0	44 <b>45</b>	
124 <b>93</b>		387 <b>374</b>	20 <b>14</b>	-		12 12	9,630 <b>8,570</b>		ur. City	<b>0</b> 23	0			0	
214 <b>343</b>	,	878 , <b>021</b>	31 <b>62</b>	_	-   1	12	22,410 <b>18,568</b>		ur. City	<b>24</b> 51	j 5	6	) [	24 <b>25</b> 62	
8 <b>0</b>		14 14	1	-	-   1	12	1,280 1,436		. Center	<b>52</b>	<b>3</b>	. 8		<b>63</b> 3	
146 <b>154</b>		177 <b>207</b>	4 8	2	.   '	1.2	2,623 5,878		r. City	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b> 3	<b>0</b>		3	
				-		2	J,0/8		Rural	33	4	3	-	37 <b>40</b>	

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparise regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

NTRIBUTING AGENCY				Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLE	NT CRIM	E
	YEAR	CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
CONTRIBUTOR									,
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	158 <b>219</b>	893 1, <b>052</b>	23 <b>14</b>	870 <b>1,038</b> 2,061	0 <b>2</b>	0 0	3 1 0	<b>0</b>
Sylva	1979 <b>1980</b>	38 <b>44</b>	2,303 <b>2,490</b>	242 170	2,320	0	0 0	0	<b>3</b>
W. Carolina Univ.	1979 <b>1980</b>	139 <b>112</b>	4,251 <b>3,391</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4,251 <b>3,391</b>	0	<b>0</b>	36	192
JOHNSTON CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	2,213 <b>2,258</b>	3,498 <b>3,329</b>	390 <b>289</b>	3,108 <b>3,040</b>	6	10	<b>36</b>	144
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	971 <b>939</b>	2,379 1, <b>997</b>	164 130	2,215 1,867	4	8	17	<b>32</b>
Benson	1979 <b>1980</b>	144 196	4,721 <b>7,053</b>	459 <b>396</b>	4,262 <b>6,657</b>	0	0 1	3 2	<b>7</b> 29
Clayton	1979 <b>1980</b>	187 <b>228</b>	4,390 <b>5,625</b>	728 <b>567</b>	3,662 5,058	0			19
Four Oaks	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP DNP							
Kenly	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP DNP							
Micro	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP DNP							0
Pine Level	1979 <b>1980</b>	5 12	NA 1,277	NA 0	1,277	0		<b>j j</b>	
Princeton	1979 <b>1980</b>	159 <b>60</b>	13,361 <b>5,877</b>	4,706 <b>588</b>	8,655 <b>5,289</b>	0			6
. Selma	1979 <b>1980</b>	236 <b>272</b>	4,758 <b>5,726</b>	524 1, <b>284</b>	4,234 <b>4,442</b>	:   1		i   5	54
Smithfield	1979 <b>1980</b>	505 <b>545</b>	6,441 <b>7,494</b>	599 <b>385</b>		1,		0   7	<b>20</b> 3 19
JONES CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	82 98	837 1,013	255 155	858	3   1		2	i 11 3 18
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	98 98	827 1,013	245 1 <b>55</b>	582 858	<b>Š</b>	i		1 11
Maysville	1979 <b>1980</b>	C							
Pollocksville	1979 <b>1980</b>	C	1						
Trenton	1979 <b>1980</b>	) C		100	8 4,64	,	4	5 1	5 351
LEE CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	2,372	6,454	1,09	4 5,36	0	<b>5</b>	6 3	3 358 8 45
Sheriff	1979 <b>198</b> 0	) 625	2,845	32 28		9	3	3	5 52
Broadway	1979 <b>198</b> 0								

NONV	IOLENT CRIM	ΛE	*		DEMOG	RAPHIC DATA		POLICE EN	PLOYEE D	ATA
Breaking and	Larceny	Motor Vehicle	Arson	Mos.	Estimated Population	. ,		Sworn icers	Civi-	Total Fulltime Police
Entering		Theft		File	Coverage	Character	Male	Female	lians	Employee
109 <b>137</b>	44 <b>74</b>	1 <b>5</b>		12 12	17,703 <b>20,808</b>	Rur. County	13 <b>15</b>	3 4	0 <b>0</b>	16 19
9 11	23 <b>29</b>	2 1	0	12 12	1,650 1,767	Rur. City	7 7	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	7 7
28 <b>6</b>	110 104	1 <b>2</b>	0	12 12	3,270 <b>3,303</b>	Campus	11	0 0	3 <b>3</b>	14 14
755 <b>754</b>	1,100 1,208	111 100	- <del>-</del> 9∘		63,260 <b>67,831</b>	Rural	74 <b>82</b>	4 4	23 <b>30</b>	101 116
448 <b>386</b>	395 <b>444</b>	61 <b>48</b>	4	12 12	40,820 <b>47,016</b>	Rur. County	19 19	1	5 <b>5</b>	25 <b>25</b>
38 <b>61</b>	83 114	10	2	12 12	3,050 <b>2,779</b>	Rur. City	7	1	3 <b>5</b>	13
70 <b>57</b>	82 138	10	1	12 12	4,260 <b>4,053</b>	Rur. City	10	0	4 <b>5</b>	13 <b>15</b>
				0	(1,300) (1,028)	Rur. City	2 2	1	1 <b>2</b>	5
			_	0 <b>0</b> 0	(1,650) (1,362) (530)	Rur. City	4	0	0 <b>3</b>	7
0	5	0		<b>0</b>	No. Pop.	Rur. City	2	0 0 0	0 <b>0</b>	2
0	12	0	0		940	Rur. City	1	Ŏ	0 <b>0</b>	i
24 <b>15</b>	68 <b>37</b>	2	0	12 <b>12</b>	1,190 <b>1,021</b>	Rur. City	3	0 <b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	3 4
15 <b>47</b>	192 162	3 ,	1	12 12	4,960 <b>4,750</b>	Rur. City	10	1	6 <b>5</b>	16 16
160 188	275 <b>301</b>	23 <b>28</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	7,840 <b>7,272</b>	Rur. City	21 <b>23</b>	0 0	<b>5</b>	25 28
31 <b>59</b>	24 22	2 <b>2</b>	1	ن ن	9,800 <b>9,673</b>	Rural	8	° <b>0</b>	3	8 11
31 <b>59</b>	24 <b>22</b>	2 <b>2</b>	1	12 <b>12</b>	9,800 <b>9,673</b>	Rur. County	6	0	3	8 9
			_			Rur. City	i	0	0 <b>0</b>	1
						Rur. City	1	0	<b>0</b>	1
, see .	1 0 45	,			05.05	Rur. City				
477 <b>608</b>	1,041 1,254	108	10	,,	35,100 <b>36,754</b>	Rural	63 66	3	10 12	74 81
200 <b>249</b>	270 <b>278</b>	35 <b>35</b>	3	12 <b>12</b>	18,380 <b>21,965</b>	Rur. County	23 <b>24</b>	1	8 <b>9</b>	32 <b>34</b>
			_	0	No. Pop.	Rur. City	1	0	0	1

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

	CDIAAC	C-t	Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLE	NT CRIM	E
YEAR	INDEX	Rate per 100,000	Rate per 100,000	Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
1979	1,438	8,600	1,878	6,722	ો	2	7	304
<b>1980</b>	<b>1,747</b>	11, <b>813</b>	<b>2,292</b>	<b>9,521</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>306</b>
1979	2,496	4,276	367	3,910	5	3	26	180
<b>1980</b>	<b>2,719</b>	<b>4,605</b>	<b>354</b>	<b>4,251</b>	<b>7</b>	4	<b>38</b>	<b>160</b>
1979	592	2,185	140	2,045	0	լ	3	34
1 <b>980</b>	<b>696</b>	<b>2,307</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>2,151</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>33</b>
1979	1,819	6,591	620	5,971	5	2	23	141
<b>1980</b>	<b>1,925</b>	<b>7,666</b>	<b>585</b>	<b>7,081</b>	<b>5</b>	4	<b>26</b>	<b>112</b>
1979	64	2,058	129	1,929	0	0	0	4
<b>1980</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>2,295</b>	<b>383</b>	<b>1,913</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	12
1979	21	3,684	175	3,509	0	0	0	1
<b>1980</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>3,962</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>3,645</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>		<b>2</b>
1979	1,050	2,701	633	2,068	3	4	15	224
<b>1980</b>	<b>883</b>	<b>2,078</b>	<b>497</b>	<b>1,582</b>	<b>4</b>	3	<b>7</b>	1 <b>97</b>
1979	1,007	3,074	705	2,369	2	4	14 <sup>-</sup>	211
<b>1980</b>	<b>837</b>	<b>2,226</b>	<b>532</b>	<b>1,694</b>	4	3	<b>7</b>	<b>186</b>
1979	39	637	180	458	1	0	1	9
<b>1980</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>942</b>	<b>225</b>	<b>717</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	11
1979	812	2,429	332	2,097	4	· <b>2</b>	9	92
<b>1980</b>	<b>780</b>	<b>2,228</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>1,828</b>	2		6	<b>130</b>
1979	665	2,292	293	1,999	4	5	9	67
<b>1980</b>	<b>656</b>	<b>2,094</b>	<b>393</b>	<b>1,701</b>	1	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>115</b>
1979	142	3,213	475	2,738	0	1	0	20
<b>1980</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>3,370</b>	<b>462</b>	<b>2,908</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>15</b>
1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>C</b>							
1979	215	1,120	125	995	0	2	0	22
<b>1980</b>	<b>303</b>	<b>1,544</b>	<b>209</b>	1, <b>336</b>	1	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	38
1979	184	1,176	109	1,067	0	2	0	15
<b>1980</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>1,488</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>1,310</b>	1	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>26</b>
1979	11	382	69	313	0	0	0	2
<b>1980</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>535</b>	<b>0</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>
1979	20	2,985	746	2,239	0	0	0	5
<b>1980</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>5,945</b>	<b>762</b>	<b>5,183</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>
1979	8	NA	NA	NA	0	0	0	0
<b>1980</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>34</b>	170	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	1
1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>DNP</b>				!			
1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>DNP</b>							
1979	4	367	0	367	0	0	0	0
<b>1980</b>		<b>123</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
1979 <b>1980</b>	4	180 <b>189</b>	0	180 <b>189</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>
	1979 1980 1979	YEAR         TOTAL           1979         1,438           1980         1,747           1979         2,496           1980         2,719           1979         592           1980         696           1979         1,819           1980         1,925           1979         21           1980         25           1979         1,050           1980         883           1979         1,007           1980         883           1979         39           1980         46           1979         39           1980         46           1979         142           1980         656           1979         142           1980         124           1979         184           1980         251           1979         1           1980         20           1979         1           1980         31           1979         1           1980         20           1979         1           1980         0	YEAR         INDEX         Rate per 100,000           1979         1,438         8,600           1980         1,747         11,813           1979         2,496         4,276           1980         2,719         4,605           1979         592         2,185           1980         696         2,307           1979         1,819         6,591           1980         72         2,295           1979         1,925         7,666           1979         64         2,058           1980         72         2,295           1979         1,050         2,701           1980         25         3,684           1979         1,007         3,074           1980         837         2,226           1979         1,007         3,074           1980         46         942           1979         39         637           1980         780         2,228           1979         142         3,213           1979         142         3,213           1979         184         1,176           1980         20         764<	CRIME INDEX         Crime Rate per 100,000         Crime Rate per 100,000         Crime Rate per 100,000           1979         1,438         8,600         1,878           1980         1,747         11,813         2,292           1979         2,496         4,276         367           1980         2,719         4,605         354           1979         592         2,185         140           1980         696         2,307         156           1979         1,819         6,591         620           1980         72         2,295         383           1979         1,819         6,591         620           1980         72         2,295         383           1979         1,050         2,701         633           1979         1,050         2,701         633           1979         1,007         3,074         705           1980         46         942         225           1979         812         2,429         332           1979         812         2,429         332           1980         46         942         225           1979         142	CRIME INDEX INDEX         Crime Rate per 100,000         Violent Crime per 100,000 <td>VEAR         CRIME INDEX INDEX (Part INDEX Part INDEX PA</td> <td>YEAR TOTAL 100,000 10,000 100,000 100,000</td> <td>  Very   Very  </td>	VEAR         CRIME INDEX INDEX (Part INDEX Part INDEX PA	YEAR TOTAL 100,000 10,000 100,000 100,000	Very   Very

NONV	IOLENT CRIA	ΛE	*		DEMOC	RAPHIC DATA		POLICE E	MPLOYEE D	ATA
Breaking and	Larceny	Motor Vehicle	Arson	Mos.	Estimated Population			e Sworn ficers	Civi-	Total Fulitime Police
Entering		Theft		File	Coverage	Character	Male	Female	lians	Employee
277 <b>359</b>	771 <b>976</b>	76 <b>73</b>	7	12	16,720 <b>14,789</b>	Rur. Center	40 <b>41</b>	0 2	2 <b>3</b>	42 <b>46</b>
761 <b>797</b> 234	1,447 1,620	74 <b>93</b>	16		58,370 <b>59,049</b>	Rural	95 <b>92</b>	3 <b>3</b>	6 <b>8</b>	104 103
<b>234</b> <b>249</b> 481	291 <b>356</b>	29 <b>44</b>	10	12 12	27,090 <b>30,171</b>	Rur. County	27 <b>25</b>	1	2 <b>2</b>	30 <b>28</b>
<b>517</b>	1,126 1 <b>,214</b> 22	41 <b>47</b>	6	12 12	27,600 <b>25,110</b>	Rur. Center	59 <b>60</b>	2 <b>2</b>	4 <b>6</b>	65 <b>68</b>
<b>24</b> 12	<b>35</b> 8	4 1 0	0	12 12	3,110 <b>3,137</b>	Rur. City	6	0 0	0 <b>0</b>	7 <b>6</b>
7 314	15 425	<b>1</b> 65	0	12 12	570 <b>631</b>	Rur. City	1 2	0 <b>0</b>	0	2 1
<b>231</b> 312	<b>387</b>	<b>54</b> 63	7	12	38,880 <b>42,484</b> 32,760	Rural	42 41	8 <b>7</b>	<b>4 6</b>	54 <b>54</b>
<b>223</b> 2	<b>360</b>	<b>49</b> 2	5	12 12	37,600	Rur. County	24 <b>26</b>	6 <b>5</b>	4 <b>5</b>	34 <b>36</b>
<b>3</b> 209	<b>27</b> 424	<b>5</b> 68	2	12	6,120 <b>4,884</b> 33,430	Rur. City	18 <b>15</b>	2 <b>2</b>	0 1	20 <b>18</b>
198	376	66	13		35,430 35,013	Rural	38 <b>40</b>	6 <b>6</b>	4 <b>4</b>	48 <b>50</b>
182 174	337 <b>301</b>	61 <b>58</b>	13	12 <b>12</b>	29,010 <b>31,333</b>	Rur. County	21 <b>24</b>	3 <b>2</b>	4 <b>4</b>	28 <b>30</b>
27 <b>24</b>	87 <b>75</b>	7 <b>8</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	4,420 <b>3,680</b>	Rur. City	13 <b>12</b>	2 <b>2</b>	0 <b>0</b>	15 <b>14</b>
109	72	,,,		0	(670)	Rur. City	4 4	1 <b>2</b>	0 <b>0</b>	5 <b>6</b>
<b>126</b> 97	113	10 <b>22</b>	1		19,200 <b>20,138</b>	Rural	25 <b>23</b>	3 <b>4</b>	0 <b>0</b>	28 <b>27</b>
111	<b>90</b>	<b>20</b>	1	12 12	15,650 <b>16,864</b>	Rur. County	11 <b>9</b>	3 4	0 <b>0</b>	14 13
7	7 6	0 2	0	12 12	2,880 <b>2,618</b>	Rur. City	8 <b>8</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	8 <b>8</b>
8	16	<b>2</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	670 <b>656</b>	Rur. City	6 <b>6</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	6 <b>6</b>
5 <b>2</b>	2 2	i	0	0	3,310 <b>2,933</b> (13,260)	Suburban	9 15	0	0	9 16
				0		Sub. County	4	1	0	5
3	0	1			No. Pop.	Sub. City	3	0	0	3
1	0	0	0	12	811	Sub. City	4 4	0	0	4 <b>4</b>
2 1	2 2	0	0	12 12	2,220 <b>2,122</b>	Sub. City	5 4	0 <b>0</b>	0	5 <b>4</b>

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

ONTRIBUTING AGENCY P				Violent	Non- Violent	}	VIO	LENT	CRIME	
		CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Murder	i	,   R	Rob- pery	Aggra- vated Assault
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	TOTAL	100,000					,	١	55
MARTIN CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	318 <b>254</b>	1,284 <b>991</b>	250 <b>285</b>	1,034 <b>706</b> 127	1	.,	4 1 3	3 3 3	18
Sheriff	1979 1 <b>980</b>	44 <b>26</b>	278 <b>153</b>	152 <b>76</b>	76	_		Ō	0	11
Everetts	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP C								
Hamilton	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP C DNP								
Jamesville	1979 <b>1980</b> 1979	71	3,333	516	2,81	, ,		0	0	11 7
Robersonville	1979 1980	<b>49</b> 199	3,002	<b>349</b> 347	2,09	5	0	1	0	22 <b>45</b>
Williamston	<b>1980</b> 1979	174 26,321	<b>2,893</b> 6,842	725 <b>766</b>	6,11 6,64	7 6	0   1	30 <b>59</b>	747 <b>745</b>	1,851 <b>2,094</b>
MECKLENBURG CO.	1 <b>980</b> 1979	29,700 C	7,414	/66	3,0					
Sheriff	<b>1980</b> 1979	2,743	8,080 <b>3,688</b>	781 <b>350</b>			8	13 <b>14</b>	41 <b>51</b>	201 <b>200</b>
Rural Police	<b>1980</b> 1979	2,874 22,984 26,208	6,795 <b>8,433</b>	735 888	6,0	60		115 <b>145</b>	703 <b>686</b>	1
Charlotte	<b>1980</b> 1979 <b>1980</b>	78 <b>99</b>	6,290 <b>6,933</b>	400 <b>98</b> 0			0	1 <b>0</b>	4	j
Cornelius	1979 1 <b>980</b>	80 <b>67</b>	2,606 <b>2,079</b>	19. <b>15</b>		110	0	0 <b>0</b>	0	4
Davidson	1979 1 <b>980</b>	24	1,420 1,602	ه خا	9 1,	420 373	0	0	2	2   1
Huntersville Matthews	1979 <b>1980</b>	88 <b>69</b>	4,783 <b>4,179</b>	)   6	4,	348 119	0	(i)		0 3
Pineville	1979 <b>1980</b>	45 <b>35</b>	2,292	2	0 2,	852 <b>292</b>	0	0	•   •	0 0
UNC-Charlotte	1979 <b>1980</b>	324	11,94	7 3	32   11	600 615 661	0 0	,		0 9 0 2
MITCHELL CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	92	2 76	0	91 91	<b>669</b> 678	0		1	0 0
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	)   8		<b>3</b>   <b>6</b>	60 NA	673 NA	0		0	0 0 3
Bakersville	1975 <b>198</b> 0 1970	0	5 1,34		306	538	0			
Spruce Pine	197 198	0 DN 9 63	P   131   N		NA	NA <b>NA</b>	4		3	6 160 13 133
MONTGOMERY CO.	198	• 1 .		IA	NA	IAW				

NONV	IOLENT CRIA	ne I	*	<b> </b>	DEMOGI	RAPHIC DATA		POLICE EN	IPLOYEE D	7
Breaking and	Larceny	Motor Vehicle	Arson	Mos.	Estimated Population			Sworn icers	Civi-	Total Fulltime Police
Entering	(1)	Theft		File	Coverage	Character	Male	Female	lians	Employee
82 <b>45</b>	168 <b>130</b>	6 <b>6</b>	2	un,	24,570 <b>25,626</b>	Rural	29 <b>28</b>	2 <b>2</b>	9 <b>4</b>	40 <b>34</b>
16 <b>11</b>	4 2	0 <b>0</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	15,810 <b>17,001</b>	Rur. County	10 <b>8</b>	1	4 <b>3</b>	15 12
				0	(190)	Rur. City				
				0	(640)	Rur. City				
				0	(580)	Rur. City				
19 <b>4</b>	41 <b>37</b>	0	1	12 12	2,130 <b>2,007</b>	Rur. City	5	0 0	0 1	6
47 <b>30</b>	123 <b>89</b>	6 <b>5</b>	0	12 12	6,630 <b>6,015</b>	Rur. City	15 <b>15</b>	1 1	5 <b>0</b>	21 16
7,936 <b>9,592</b>	14,166 <b>15,463</b>	1,431 <b>1,578</b>	239		384,700 <b>400,581</b>	Suburban	708 <b>788</b>	41 <b>61</b>	148 <b>204</b>	897 1, <b>053</b>
						Sub. County	76 <b>89</b>	13 <b>15</b>	29 <b>39</b>	118 <b>143</b>
1,072 <b>1,162</b>	1,253 1,313	153 1 <b>26</b>	31	12 12	33,950 <b>77,936</b>	Sub. County	114 110	1	5 <b>5</b>	120 116
6,676 <b>8,245</b>	12,559 <b>13,760</b>	1,264 1,444	200	12 12	338,250 310,794	Core City	557 <b>550</b>	38 44	133 <b>152</b>	728 <b>746</b>
16 <b>13</b>	57 <b>70</b>	0 <b>2</b>	-	12 12	1,240 <b>1,428</b>	Sub. City	4 5	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 5
19 <b>31</b>	53 <b>28</b>	2 <b>3</b>	0	12 12	3,070 <b>3,222</b>	Sub. City	6	0 0	2 0	8 6
6 <b>5</b>	18 13	0 <b>0</b>	0	12 12	1,690 1,311	Sub. City	3 <b>3</b>	0 0	0 <b>0</b>	3 <b>3</b>
44 <b>30</b>	29 <b>38</b>	7 <b>0</b>	3	12 12	1,840 <b>1,651</b>	Sub. City	3 <b>3</b>	1	0 <b>0</b>	4 4
8 1 <b>6</b>	30 19	2 <b>0</b>	_ 0	12 12	2,160 <b>1,527</b>	Sub. City	5 <b>5</b>	0	Ó <b>O</b>	5 <b>5</b>
95 <b>90</b>	167 <b>222</b>	3 <b>3</b>	4	12 12	2,500 <b>2,712</b>	Campus	16	1 <b>0</b>	8 <b>8</b>	25 <b>25</b>
30 <b>36</b>	42 <b>42</b>	5 3	2		11,650 <b>12,108</b>	Rural	21 <b>21</b>	2 2	7 <b>7</b>	30 <b>30</b>
29 <b>35</b>	42 <b>42</b>	5 <b>2</b>	2	12 12	11,210 11,736	Rur. County	12 11	1	4 4	17 16
ì	0	0	0	9 12	440 <b>372</b>	Rur. City	1	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1
				0	(2,450) ( <b>2,283</b> )	Rue. City	8 <b>9</b>	1	3 <b>3</b>	12 13
202 1 <b>72</b>	244 <b>303</b>	12 <b>16</b>	-6		19,900 22,235	Rural	34 <b>35</b>	1	<b>∲</b> 8	44 <b>44</b>

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		CDIAAT		Violent	Non- Violent	VIOLENT CRIME			
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	382 <b>349</b>	NA <b>NA</b>	NA <b>NA</b>	NA <b>NA</b>	2 3	2 <b>2</b>	3 <b>3</b>	142 103
Biscoe	1979 <b>1980</b>	48 <b>42</b>	3,692 <b>3,163</b>	615 <b>151</b>	3,077 <b>3,012</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	] ]	7 1
Candor	1979	41	5,125	1,000	4,125	2	1	2	3
	<b>1980</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>5,782</b>	<b>1,247</b>	<b>4,535</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>
Mount Gilead	1979	30	2,206	74	2,132	0	0	0	1
	<b>1980</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>2,977</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>2,551</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>
Star	1979	53	5,464	0	5,464	0	0	0	0
	<b>1980</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>4,019</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>3,776</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
Troy	1979	75	2,508	167	2,341	0	0	0	5
	<b>1980</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>4,753</b>	<b>786</b>	<b>3,967</b>	1	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>17</b>
MOORE CO.	1979	1,384	3,096	291	2,805	5	6	20	99
	1 <b>980</b>	<b>1,757</b>	<b>3,488</b>	<b>292</b>	<b>3,196</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>23</b>	106
Sheriff	1979	711	2,611	224	2,387	1	5	10	45
	<b>1980</b>	<b>994</b>	<b>2,980</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>2,746</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	12	<b>57</b>
Aberdeen	1979	205	8,333	488	7,846	0	0	]	11
	<b>1980</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>10,596</b>	<b>917</b>	<b>9,679</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>15</b>
Carthage	1979 <b>1980</b>	2 <b>0</b>	183 <b>NA</b>	NA	183 <b>NA</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>
Pinebluff	1979	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>1980</b>	<b>11</b>	1,151	<b>209</b>	<b>941</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
Pinehurst	1979	29	2,320	320	2,000	1	0	0	3
	<b>1980</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>5,174</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>4,942</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	1	<b>0</b>
Robbins	1979 <b>1980</b>	10 <b>12</b>	917 <b>958</b>	183 <b>160</b>	734 <b>798</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	2 <b>2</b>
Southern Pines	1979	394	4,565	452	4,114	2	0	9	28
	<b>1980</b>	<b>432</b>	<b>5,016</b>	<b>464</b>	<b>4,552</b>	1	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>27</b>
Vass	1979 <b>1980</b>	28 <b>28</b>	2,667 <b>3,378</b>	667 <b>483</b>	2,000 <b>2,895</b>	1 <b>0</b>	1 0	0 1	5 <b>3</b>
Whispering Pines	1979 <b>1980</b>	0 <b>5</b>	0 <b>418</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>418</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>
NASH CO.	1979	1,009	2,497	188	2,309	5	8	17	46
	<b>1980</b>	<b>1,208</b>	<b>2,766</b>	<b>380</b>	<b>2,386</b>	<b>4</b>	12	<b>23</b>	1 <b>27</b>
Sheriff	1979	853	2,544	167	2,377	5	8	14	29
	<b>1980</b>	<b>895</b>	<b>2,507</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>2,252</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>58</b>
Bailey	1979 <b>1980</b>	20 <b>6</b>	2,532 <b>884</b>	506 <b>147</b>	2,025 <b>736</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	0	4 <b>0</b>
Battleboro	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>27</b>	4,272	475	3,797	0	0	0	3
Middlesex	1979 <b>1980</b>	7 <b>13</b>	778 1, <b>621</b>	0 <b>748</b>	778 <b>873</b>	0	0	0	0 <b>5</b>
Nashville	1979 <b>1980</b>	79 116	3,185 <b>4,421</b>	121 <b>724</b>	3,065 <b>3,697</b>	0	0	2	1 18

NONV	OLENT CRIM	\E	*		DEMOG	RAPHIC DATA	POLICE EMPLOYEE DATA				
Breaking and Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson	Mos. Estimated on Population File Coverage Character		Charactor	Fulltime Sworn Officers Civi-			Total Fulltime Police Employees	
Linering		111611		1116	Coverage	Citaracter	Male	remate	iiuiis	Employees	
121 <b>118</b>	109 <b>117</b>	3 <b>3</b>	3	10 <b>9</b>	12,480 <b>15,121</b>	Rur. County	14 <b>15</b>	0	9 <b>8</b>	23 <b>24</b>	
8 13	29 <b>27</b>	3 <b>0</b>	1	12 12	1,300 <b>1,328</b>	Rur. City	4 <b>4</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 4	
14 5	16 <b>31</b>	3 4	0	12 <b>12</b>	800 <b>882</b>	Rur. City	3 2	0	0 <b>0</b>	2	
6 <b>9</b> 15	21 <b>26</b> 38	2 1 0	0	12 <b>12</b> 12	1,360 <b>1,411</b> 970	Rur. City	3 4	<b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	3 4	
5	22	4	0	12	821	Rur. City	4 4	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4	
38 <b>22</b>	31 <b>80</b>	1 4	1	12 <b>12</b>	2,990 <b>2,672</b>	Rur. City	6 <b>6</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	6 <b>6</b>	
503 <b>636</b>	687 <b>900</b>	64 <b>74</b>	9		44,700 <b>50,374</b>	Rural	83 <b>80</b>	4 5	29 <b>25</b>	116 110	
276 <b>412</b>	342 <b>473</b>	32 <b>31</b>	5	12 <b>12</b>	27,230 <b>33,357</b>	Rur. County	25 <b>23</b>	2 <b>2</b>	15 <b>12</b>	42 <b>37</b>	
63 <b>41</b>	119 <b>137</b>	11 12	1	12 12	2,460 <b>1,963</b>	Rur. City	8	0 <b>0</b>	4	11 12	
<b>0</b>	2 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	12 <b>1</b>	1,090 <b>913</b>	Rur. Gity	4 5	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 5	
0 <b>3</b>	0 <b>6</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	12 12	880 <b>956</b>	Rur. City	2 2	0	0 <b>0</b>	2 <b>2</b>	
10 <b>44</b>	11 <b>18</b>	4 <b>2</b>	0	12 12	1,250 <b>1,295</b>	Rur. City	13 <b>13</b>	1	13 <b>3</b>	17 <b>17</b>	
6 <b>7</b>	3	0	0	12 12	1,090 <b>1,253</b>	Rur. City	5 <b>5</b>	0 <b>0</b>	2 <b>2</b>	7 <b>7</b>	
141 <b>116</b>	198 <b>248</b>	16 <b>28</b>	1	12 12	3,530 <b>8,612</b>	Rur. City	18 <b>17</b>	2	4 <b>4</b>	23 <b>23</b>	
7 <b>9</b>	14 14	0	1	12 12	1,050 <b>829</b>	Rur. City	2	0	) <b>0</b>	5 <b>2</b>	
0 <b>4</b>	0	0 0	0	12 12	1,020	Rur. City	5 <b>5</b>	0 0	0 <b>0</b>	5 <b>5</b>	
394 <b>411</b>	486 <b>573</b>	53 <b>58</b>	15		40,400 <b>43,677</b>	Rural	50 <b>55</b>	4 4	6 <b>8</b>	60 <b>67</b>	
336 <b>317</b>	414 <b>450</b>	47 <b>37</b>	13	12 12	33,530 <b>35,703</b>	Rur. County	25 <b>24</b>	3 <b>3</b>	6 <b>5</b>	34 <b>32</b>	
9 <b>2</b>	7 1	0 <b>2</b>	0	12 12	790 <b>679</b>	Rur. City	4 4	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 4	
21	3	0	2	0 <b>12</b>	(710) <b>632</b>	Rur. City	4 4	0 0	0 <b>2</b>	4 <b>6</b>	
1 <b>3</b>	5 <b>3</b>	]	0	12 12	900 <b>802</b>	Rur. City	4	0 0	0 1	4 5	
24 <b>26</b>	47 <b>61</b>	5 10	0	12 <b>12</b>	2,480 <b>2,624</b>	Rur. City	4 5	1	0 <b>0</b>	5 <b>6</b>	

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		CRIME		Violent	Non- Violent	VIOLENT CRIME			
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	INDEX	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
Sharpsburg	1979 <b>1980</b>	31	NA <b>92</b>	NA <b>92</b>	NA <b>0</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>0</b>	2 1
Spring Hope	1979 <b>1980</b>	9 47	NA 3,818	NA 81	NA <b>3,737</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0
Whitakers	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>96</b>	10,435	4,022	6,413	0	0	3	34
NEW HANOVER CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	9,017 <b>10,044</b>	9,229 <b>9,723</b>	721 <b>827</b>	8,509 <b>8,896</b>	11 6	38 <b>51</b>	204 <b>245</b>	451 <b>552</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	2,462 <b>2,838</b>	6,158 <b>5,224</b>	388 <b>503</b>	5,770 <b>4,721</b>	3 <b>0</b>	7 14	27 <b>36</b>	118 <b>223</b>
Carolina Beach	1979 <b>1980</b>	358 <b>304</b>	17,048 <b>15,269</b>	2,810 <b>2,562</b>	14,238 <b>12,707</b>	0 1	2 <b>0</b>	3 <b>5</b>	54 <b>45</b>
Kure Beach	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>C</b>							
*Wilmington	1979 <b>1980</b>	5,837 <b>6,585</b>	11,047 <b>14,927</b>	884 1,1 <b>54</b>	10,163 <b>13,773</b>	7 5	28 <b>33</b>	173 <b>199</b>	259 <b>272</b>
Wrightsville Beach	1979 <b>1980</b>	357 <b>317</b>	12,842 11,041	719 <b>731</b>	12,122 <b>10,310</b>	1 <b>0</b>	1 4	] 5	17 <b>12</b>
UNC-Wilmington	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>C</b>							
NORTHAMPTON CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	433 <b>454</b>	1,924 <b>2,037</b>	600 <b>507</b>	1,324 <b>1,530</b>	3 <b>5</b>	1 5	6 <b>8</b>	125 <b>95</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	395 <b>364</b>	2,230 <b>2,099</b>	745 <b>577</b>	1,485 <b>1,522</b>	3 <b>3</b>	1 4	5 <b>8</b>	123 <b>85</b>
Conway	1979 <b>1980</b>	] 3	130 <b>442</b>	130 <b>0</b>	0 <b>442</b>	0	0	0	1 <b>0</b>
Gaston	1979 <b>1980</b>	4 <b>39</b>	NA <b>4,397</b>	NA <b>338</b>	NA <b>4,059</b>	0	0	0	0 <b>3</b>
Jackson	1979 <b>1980</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	0	0	0
Rich Square	1979 <b>1980</b>	22 16	1,583 <b>1,494</b>	72 <b>0</b>	1,511 <b>1,494</b>	0	0	1 0	0
Seaboard	1979 <b>1980</b>	10 <b>9</b>	1,587 <b>1,325</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1,587 <b>1,325</b>	0	0	0	0 <b>0</b>
Severn	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>C</b>							•
Woodland	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>23</b>	2,492	1,083	1,408	2	1	0	7
ONSLOW CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	5,589 <b>5,409</b>	6,077 <b>5,999</b>	855 <b>930</b>	5,222 5,068	10	44 <b>40</b>	178 197	554 <b>593</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	3,168 <b>2,760</b>	4,816 <b>3,923</b>	549 <b>539</b>	4,267 <b>3,384</b>	8 5	27 19	73 <b>68</b>	253 <b>287</b>
Hollyridge	1979 <b>1980</b>	8 <b>5</b>	1,212 1,094	0 219	1,212 <b>875</b>	0	0	0	2 <b>07</b> 0 <b>0</b>

<sup>\*</sup>Note that the characterization of Wilmington was changed from Core City in 1979 to Suburban City in 1980 as a result of the 1980 U.S. Census.

NONVIOLENT CRIME			*		DEMOG	RAPHIC DATA	POLICE EMPLOYEE DATA				
and Larceny Vehic	Larceny	Motor Vehicle	Arson	Mos.	Estimated Population		Fulltime Sworn Officers		Civi-	Total Fulltime Police	
	Theft	<u> </u>	File	Coverage	Character	Male	Female	lians	Employees		
19 <b>0</b>	9 0	0 <b>0</b>	0	11 12	1,160 <b>1,086</b>	Rur. City	4 4	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 <b>4</b>	
5 <b>26</b>	17	0 <b>3</b>	0	12 12	1,540 <b>1,231</b>	Rur. City	5 <b>5</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	5 <b>5</b>	
16	38	5	0	0 12	(1,000) <b>920</b>	Rur. City	5	0	0	5	
2,806 <b>2,981</b>	5,061 <b>5,741</b>	446 <b>468</b>	50		97,700 <b>103,304</b>	Suburban	205 <b>211</b>	13 <b>23</b>	48 <b>56</b>	266 <b>290</b>	
956 <b>952</b>	1,201 <b>1,446</b>	150 <b>167</b>	16	12 <b>12</b>	39,980 <b>54,327</b>	Sub. County	79 <b>83</b>	7 13	5 <b>8</b>	91 <b>104</b>	
114 <b>75</b>	161 <b>162</b>	24 <b>16</b>		12 12	2,100 <b>1,991</b>	Sub. City	12 11	0 <b>2</b>	3 <b>3</b>	15 <b>16</b>	
						Sub. City	3	0	0	3	
1,680 <b>1,888</b>	3,441 <b>3,929</b>	249 <b>259</b>	31	23 <b>12</b>	52,840 <b>44,115</b>	Sub. City	91 <b>89</b>	5 <b>6</b>	34 <b>38</b>	130 <b>133</b>	
56 <b>66</b>	258 <b>204</b>	23 <b>26</b>	<u> </u>	12 <b>12</b>	2,780 <b>2,871</b>	Sub. City	14 16	0 <b>0</b>	5 <b>5</b>	19 <b>21</b>	
						Campus	9	1 <b>2</b>	1 <b>2</b>	11 13	
148 <b>179</b>	139 <b>142</b>	11 <b>20</b>			22,500 <b>22,289</b>	Rural	16 <b>26</b>	1	12 <b>14</b>	29 <b>41</b>	
124 <b>127</b>	128 <b>123</b>	11 <b>14</b>	_ 0	12 12	17,710 <b>17,343</b>	Rur. County	7 <b>8</b>	1	9 11	17 <b>20</b>	
0 <b>0</b>	0 1	0 <b>2</b>		12 12	770 <b>678</b>	Rur. City	3 <b>9</b>	0 <b>0</b>	3 <b>3</b>	6 12	
4 <b>25</b>	0 10	0 1	0	5 <b>12</b>	1,160 <b>887</b>	Rur. City	1	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1	
0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>		12 12	830 <b>708</b>	Rur. City	1 2	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>2</b>	
11 <b>15</b>	10 <b>0</b>	0 <b>1</b>	0	12 12	1,390 <b>1,071</b>	Rur. City	3 <b>3</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	3 <b>3</b>	
9 <b>7</b>	1 1	0 1		12 12	640 <b>679</b>	Rur. City	1	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	]	
			<del></del>			Rur. City	1	0	0	1	
5	7	1	0	0 <b>12</b>	(900) <b>923</b>	Rur. City	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	
1,850 <b>1,596</b>	2,653 <b>2,754</b>	300 <b>220</b>	32		91,969 <b>90,171</b>	Rural	119 <b>126</b>	10 15	36 <b>34</b>	165 <b>175</b>	
1,327 <b>1,094</b>	1,262 1,165	218 <b>122</b>		12 12	65,779 <b>70,356</b>	Rur. County	57 <b>57</b>	5 <b>9</b>	22 19	84 <b>85</b>	
4 <b>4</b>	4 9	0 <b>0</b>	0	12 12	660 <b>457</b>	Rur. City	1	0	0 <b>1</b>	1 2	

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index not as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

	- :			Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLE	NT CRIM	E
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
Jacksonville	1979 <b>1980</b>	2,347 <b>2,564</b>	10,112 14,585	1,754 <b>2,497</b>	8,358 <b>12,088</b>	2 4	17 <b>21</b>	102 <b>128</b>	286 <b>286</b>
Richlands	1979 <b>1980</b>	23 <b>51</b>	2,371 <b>6,258</b>	928 1, <b>963</b>	1,443 <b>4,294</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	3 <b>0</b>	6 16
Swansboro	1979 <b>1980</b>	34 <b>23</b>	2,519 <b>2,388</b>	0	2,519 <b>2,388</b>	<b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>
ORANGE CO.	1979 1 <b>980</b>	3,814 <b>4,448</b>	5,479 <b>5,833</b>	302 <b>376</b>	5,177 <b>5,457</b>	2 <b>7</b>	15 <b>23</b>	42 <b>40</b>	151 <b>217</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	843 <b>727</b>	3,745 <b>2,071</b>	200 111	3,545 <b>1,960</b>	1 5	7 8	13 <b>4</b>	24 <b>22</b>
Carrboro	1979 <b>1980</b>	473 <b>476</b>	5,130 <b>5,889</b>	336 <b>940</b>	4,794 <b>4,949</b>	1 <b>0</b>	2 <b>3</b>	3 <b>9</b>	25 <b>64</b>
Chapel Hill	1979 <b>1980</b>	1,819 <b>2,117</b>	6,492 <b>8,676</b>	360 <b>541</b>	6,131 <b>8,135</b>	0 <b>2</b>	5 <b>8</b>	22 <b>24</b>	74 <b>98</b>
Hillsborough	1979 <b>1980</b>	224 <b>271</b>	7,320 <b>13,376</b>	458 <b>592</b>	6,863 <b>12,784</b>	0	1	2 <b>0</b>	11 11
UNC-Chapel Hill	1979 <b>1980</b>	451 <b>856</b>	NA 12,880	NA <b>406</b>	NA 12,474	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>3</b>	2 <b>3</b>	13 <b>21</b>
PAMLICO CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	125 <b>178</b>	1,250 1,722	110 <b>77</b>	1,140 <b>1,645</b>	0	0 2	0 <b>0</b>	11 6
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	123 178	1,230 1,722	90 <b>77</b>	1,140 1,645	0	0 2	0 <b>0</b>	9 <b>6</b>
Oriental	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>c</b>							
PASQUOTANK CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	684 <b>850</b>	2,387 <b>3,338</b>	356 <b>514</b>	2,031 <b>2,824</b>	3	6	7 <b>9</b>	86 <b>120</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	216 <b>247</b>	1,575 <b>2,044</b>	299 <b>414</b>	1,276 <b>1,630</b>	0	2 <b>0</b>	0	39 <b>49</b>
Elizabeth City	1979 <b>1980</b>	468 <b>602</b>	3,130 <b>4,500</b>	408 <b>598</b>	2,722 <b>3,902</b>	3	4	7 <b>8</b>	47 <b>70</b>
Elizabeth City St. Univ.	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>C</b>				}			
PENDER CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	434 <b>470</b>	1,988 <b>2,150</b>	247 <b>361</b>	1,741 1,788	] 0	2 4	8 <b>2</b>	43 <b>73</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	413 <b>449</b>	2,132 <b>2,256</b>	253 <b>372</b>	1,879 1,884	<b>0</b>	2 4	8 <b>2</b>	38 <b>68</b>
Atkinson	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP DNP							
Burgaw	1979 <b>1980</b>	5 <b>15</b>	NA <b>955</b>	NA 127	NA 828	0	0	0	1 <b>2</b>
New Topsail Beach	1979 <b>1980</b>	6 DNP	NA	NA	NA	0	0	0	1
Surf City	1979 1 <b>980</b>	7 4	NA 1,026	NA <b>256</b>	NA <b>769</b>	0	0	0	0

NONV	IOLENT CRIM	/E	*		DEMOG	RAPHIC DATA		POLICE EN	PLOYEE D	ATA
Breaking and Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson	Mos. on File	Estimated Population Coverage	Character		Sworn icers	Civi- lians	Total Fulltime Police Employee
501 <b>476</b>	1,362 1,552	77 <b>97</b>		12 <b>12</b>	23,210 <b>17,580</b>	Rur, Center	54 <b>61</b>	5 <b>6</b>	14 <b>14</b>	73 <b>81</b>
5 13	7 <b>22</b>	2 <b>0</b>	 0	12 <b>12</b>	970 <b>815</b>	Rur. City	3 3	0	0	3 3
13 <b>9</b>	18 <b>13</b>	3 1	0	12 12	1,350 <b>963</b>	Rur. City	4 4	0	0 <b>0</b>	4 4
1,114 1,195	2,351 <b>2,821</b>	139 <b>145</b>	24		69,610 <b>76,256</b>	Suburban	149 <b>150</b>	13 <b>15</b>	20 17	182 182
388 <b>365</b>	374 <b>296</b>	36 <b>27</b>	14	12 <b>12</b>	22,510 <b>35,099</b>	Sub. County	28 <b>32</b>	2 <b>2</b>	3 <b>3</b>	33 <b>37</b>
170 <b>153</b>	259 <b>235</b>	13 <b>12</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	9,220 <b>8,083</b>	Sub. City	14 16	4 4	4 <b>2</b>	22 <b>22</b>
457 <b>494</b>	1,187 <b>1,427</b>	74 <b>64</b>		12 <b>12</b>	28,020 <b>24,402</b>	Sub. City	68 <b>64</b>	4 4	8 <b>9</b>	80 <b>77</b>
78 <b>127</b>	123 119	9 13	3	12 12	3,060 <b>2,026</b>	Sub. City	9	1	] ]	11 11
21 <b>56</b>	408 <b>744</b>	7 <b>29</b>	5	6 12	6,800 <b>6,646</b>	Campus	30 <b>29</b>	2 4	4 <b>2</b>	36 <b>35</b>
52 <b>96</b>	54 <b>68</b>	8 <b>6</b>	0		10,000 <b>10,337</b>	Rural	6	1	4. <b>5</b>	9 12
52 <b>96</b>	54 <b>68</b>	8 <b>6</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	10,000 <b>10,337</b>	Rur. County	4 <b>5</b>	1	4 <b>5</b>	9
						Rur. City	1	0	0	1
156 <b>146</b>	405 <b>546</b>	21 <b>27</b>	3		28,661 <b>25,463</b>	Rural	39 <b>50</b>	3 4	6 11	48 <b>65</b>
79 <b>68</b>	92 <b>124</b>	4 5	0	12 <b>12</b>	13,711 <b>12,084</b>	Rur. County	8 9	] ]	0 <b>0</b>	9 10
77 <b>78</b>	313 <b>422</b>	17 <b>22</b>	3	12 <b>12</b>	14,950 <b>13,379</b>	Rur. Center	31 <b>32</b>	2 <b>2</b>	6 <b>8</b>	39 <b>42</b>
				:		Campus	9	1	3	13
214 <b>211</b>	158 <b>169</b>	8 11	4		21,830 <b>21,862</b>	Rural	23 21	1	6 <b>8</b>	30 <b>30</b>
204 <b>203</b>	152 <b>169</b>	8 <b>3</b>	4	12 <b>12</b>	19,370 <b>19,902</b>	Rur. County	7 <b>8</b>	1	6 <b>8</b>	14 <b>17</b>
				0 <b>0</b>	(370) <b>No Pop.</b>	Rur. City	1 <b>2</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>2</b>
4 <b>5</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>8</b>	0	9 12	2,100 <b>1,570</b>	Rur. City	8 4	0	0 <b>0</b>	8 <b>4</b>
3	2	0	_	2 <b>0</b>	150 ( <b>245</b> )	Rur. City	3	0	0 <b>0</b>	4 3
3 <b>3</b>	4 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	3 <b>12</b>	210 <b>390</b>	Rur. City	3 4	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	3 <b>4</b>

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		CRIME	Culma	Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLE	NT CRIM	E
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	INDEX	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
PERQUIMANS CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	136 <b>169</b>	1,545 <b>1,785</b>	670 <b>528</b>	875 <b>1,257</b>	0	0 1	5 <b>5</b>	54 <b>43</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	41	131 <b>545</b>	58 <b>27</b>	73 <b>518</b>	0	0	1 <b>0</b>	3 <b>1</b>
Hertford	1979 <b>1980</b>	126 <b>128</b>	6,529 <b>6,608</b>	2,798 <b>2,478</b>	3,731 <b>4,130</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	4 5	50 <b>42</b>
PERSON CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	918 <b>957</b>	3,387 <b>3,288</b>	646 <b>395</b>	2,742 <b>2,892</b>	3 <b>5</b>	6 <b>6</b>	8 <b>9</b>	158 <b>95</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	481 <b>550</b>	2,559 <b>2,546</b>	117 <b>46</b>	2,441 <b>2,500</b>	2 <b>3</b>	5 <b>4</b>	5 <b>3</b>	10 <b>0</b>
Roxboro	1979 <b>1980</b>	435 <b>406</b>	5,241 <b>5,405</b>	1,819 <b>1,385</b>	3,422 <b>4,021</b>	1 2	1 2	3 <b>6</b>	146 <b>94</b>
PITT CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	4,126 <b>4,871</b>	5,036 <b>5,482</b>	269 <b>293</b>	4,767 <b>5,189</b>	5 <b>6</b>	25 <b>25</b>	26 <b>55</b>	164 1 <b>74</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	815 <b>1,025</b>	2,732 <b>2,686</b>	174 183	2,558 <b>2,502</b>	2 3	9	3 <b>16</b>	38 <b>42</b>
Ayden	1979 <b>1980</b>	123 <b>161</b>	2,915 <b>3,769</b>	427 <b>304</b>	2,488 <b>3,464</b>	0	2	2	14 12
Bethel	1979 <b>1980</b>	84 <b>75</b>	4,308 <b>4,116</b>	410 <b>659</b>	3,897 <b>3,458</b>	0	0	0	8
E. Carolina Univ.	1979 <b>1980</b>	457 <b>598</b>	8,309 <b>10,732</b>	55 1 <b>79</b>	8,255 <b>10,553</b>	0	1	2	0 <b>9</b>
   Farmville	1979 <b>1980</b>	197 <b>194</b>	3,575 <b>4,120</b>	163 <b>127</b>	3,412 <b>3,992</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>3</b>	8 <b>3</b>
Fountain	1979 <b>1980</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>
   Greenville	1979 <b>1980</b>	2,369 <b>2,689</b>	8,155 <b>9,214</b>	358 <b>394</b>	7,797 <b>8,820</b>	3 <b>2</b>	13 <b>14</b>	15 <b>27</b>	73 <b>72</b>
Grifton	1979 <b>1980</b>	38 <b>50</b>	1,473 <b>2,280</b>	426 <b>684</b>	1,047 <b>1,596</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>0</b>	10 <b>15</b>
Grimesland	1979 <b>1980</b>	11 12	2,558 <b>2,626</b>	698 <b>656</b>	1,860 <b>1,969</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	0 <b>1</b>	3 <b>2</b>
   Winterville	1979 <b>1980</b>	29 <b>64</b>	1,203 <b>3,105</b>	373 <b>63</b> 1	830 <b>2,475</b>	0 1	0	2	7 <b>8</b>
POLK CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	184 <b>168</b>	1,592 <b>NA</b>	87 <b>NA</b>	1,505 <b>NA</b>	0 <b>2</b>	2 <b>2</b>	0	8 <b>33</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	106 <b>102</b>	1,175 <b>NA</b>	67 <b>NA</b>	1,109 <b>NA</b>	0	2 1	0	4 <b>24</b>
Columbus	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP C						_	
Saluda	1979 <b>1980</b>	16 <b>8</b>	2,909 1, <b>311</b>	0 164	2,909 1,148	0 <b>0</b>	0 1	0	0 <b>0</b>
Tryon	1979 <b>1980</b>	60 <b>57</b>	3,015 <b>3,202</b>	101 <b>506</b>	2,915 <b>2,697</b>	0 1	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	2 8

	NVIOLENT CRI	7	*		DEMO	GRAPHIC DATA		POLICE E	MPLOYEE [	DATA
Breaking and Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson	Mos. on File	Estimated Population Coverage	1	Of	e Sworn ficers	Civi-	Total Fulltin
	1		+		Coverage	Character	Male	Female	lians	Employ
38 <b>56</b>	37 <b>57</b>	<b>6</b>	1		8,800 <b>9,466</b>	Rural	8 <b>8</b>	0	3 3	11
3 <b>28</b> 35	8	3	1	12	6,870 <b>7,529</b>	Rur. County	3 <b>3</b>	0	3 <b>3</b>	6
<b>28</b> 290	37 <b>49</b>	3	0	12 12	1,930 1,937	Rur. City	5 <b>5</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	5 <b>5</b>
<b>304</b> 218	423 <b>501</b>	30 <b>37</b>	3		27,100 <b>29,110</b>	Rural	36 <b>37</b>	4 <b>3</b>	12 14	52 <b>54</b>
<b>240</b> 72	222 274	19 <b>26</b>	1	12 12	18,800 <b>21,599</b>	Rur. County	15 16	2 <b>2</b>	6 <b>8</b>	23 <b>26</b>
<b>64</b>	201 <b>227</b> 2,611	11	2	12 12	8,300 <b>7,511</b>	Rur. City	21 <b>21</b>	2 1	6 <b>6</b>	29 <b>28</b>
1,358 334	3,058	122 195	9		81,930 <b>88,853</b>	Rural	168 <b>156</b>	18 <b>20</b>	34 <b>47</b>	220 <b>223</b>
<b>423</b>	403 <b>486</b>	26 <b>46</b>	5	12 12	29,830 <b>38,165</b>	Rur. County	34 <b>35</b>	7 <b>7</b>	10 <b>12</b>	51 <b>54</b>
<b>42</b> 23	89 <b>99</b>	4 7	0	12 12	4,220 <b>4,272</b>	Rur. City	8	3 <b>3</b>	1 <b>2</b>	13 <b>13</b>
19 94	52 <b>44</b>	0	0	12 12	1,950 <b>1,822</b>	Rur. City	6 <b>5</b>	0	4 10	10 <b>15</b>
112 48	355 <b>467</b>	5 <b>9</b>	0	12 12	5,500 <b>5,572</b>	Campus	21 <b>22</b>	3	5 <b>6</b>	29 <b>29</b>
56	134 123	6 9	0	12 12	5,510 <b>4,709</b>	Rur. City	15 13	1	4 4	20 18
0 <b>0</b>	0 0	<b>0</b>		12 12	450 <b>417</b>	Rur. City	3 <b>3</b>	0	0	3
626 <b>671</b>	1,562 1,784	77 119	2	12 <b>12</b>	29,050 <b>29,185</b>	Rur. Center	71 <b>62</b>	3 7	9	83 <b>80</b>
21 <b>17</b>	16	0 2	0	12 12	2,580 <b>2,193</b>	Rur. City	4 4	1	0	5 <b>5</b>
5 <b>5</b>	3	1	0	12 12	430 <b>457</b>	Rur. City	1	0 <b>0</b>	1	2 2
10 13 92	36 75	2 2	2	12 12	2,410 <b>2,061</b>	Rur. City	4 <b>3</b>	0	0	4 <b>3</b>
<b>45</b> 54	75 <b>79</b>	5	3		11,560 12,904	Rural	20 21	0	3	23 <b>23</b>
30	43 <b>42</b>	3 <b>2</b>	1	12 <b>8</b>	9,020 <b>10,514</b>	Rur. County	8	0	2	10 11
1,			-	0	(840)	Rur. City	0	0 <b>0</b>	0	0
11 4 27	5 <b>3</b>	0	0	12 12	550 <b>610</b>	Rur. City	3 2	0	0	3 <b>2</b>
11	27 <b>34</b>	3	0	12	1,990 <b>1,780</b>	Rur. City	9 8	0	1	10 <b>9</b>

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		CDUAL	C.)	Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLE	NT CRIM	IE .
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assaul
RANDOLPH CO.	1979	1,989	2,349	148	2,201	9	4	21	91
	<b>1980</b>	<b>2,322</b>	<b>2,524</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>2,354</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>125</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	1,267 1,308	2,100 1,979	85 <b>77</b>	2,015 <b>1,902</b>	8 <b>4</b>	.1	10 <b>9</b>	31 <b>37</b>
Archdale	1979 <b>1980</b>	169	3,116	92	3,024	0	1	1	3
Asheboro	1979	574	3,427	179	3,248	1	1	6	22
	<b>1980</b>	<b>631</b>	<b>4,150</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>3,822</b>	<b>2</b>	1	<b>7</b>	<b>40</b>
Franklinville	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP C							
Liberty	1979	81	3,293	772	2,520	0	1	4	14
	<b>1980</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>5,891</b>	<b>1,348</b>	<b>4,543</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	1	<b>26</b>
Ramseur	1979	16	1,168	146	1,022	0	0	. 0	2
	<b>1980</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1,464</b>	<b>258</b>	<b>1,206</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	1
Randleman	1979	41	NA	NA	NA	0	0	1	12
	<b>1980</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>3,645</b>	888	<b>2,757</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>17</b>
Seagrove	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>DNP</b>							
RICHMOND CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	1,244 <b>1,844</b>	NA <b>4,063</b>	NA <b>304</b>	NA <b>3,759</b>	12 <b>12</b>	13	11 <b>22</b>	58 <b>91</b>
Sheriff	1979	450	NA	NA	NA	10	0	7	21
	<b>1980</b>	<b>972</b>	3,139	<b>245</b>	2,894	<b>10</b>	11	10	<b>45</b>
Ellerbe	1979	6	588	196	392	0	0	0	2
	<b>1980</b>	11	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	1	<b>3</b>
Hamlet	1979	310	6,090	688	5,403	1	1	2	31
	<b>1980</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>6,041</b>	<b>845</b>	<b>5,196</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>37</b>
Rockingham	1979 <b>1980</b>	478 <b>575</b>	6,089 <b>6,941</b>	89 <b>217</b>	6,000 <b>6,724</b>	1 <b>2</b>	0 <b>2</b>	2 <b>8</b>	4 6
ROBESON CO.	1979	4,209	4,500	474	4,026	9	15	41	378
	<b>1980</b>	<b>4,254</b>	<b>4,213</b>	<b>348</b>	<b>3,865</b>	21	<b>17</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>276</b>
Sheriff	1979	1,832	3,238	405	2,833	7	11	19	192
	<b>1980</b>	<b>1,959</b>	2,869	<b>199</b>	<b>2,670</b>	<b>17</b>	11	<b>13</b>	<b>95</b>
Fairmont	1979	173	5,440	1,132	4,308	0	0	]	35
	<b>1980</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>7,092</b>	<b>826</b>	<b>6,266</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	]	<b>21</b>
Lumberton	1979	1,730	8,620	688	7,932	2	4	19	113
	<b>1980</b>	<b>1,499</b>	<b>8,242</b>	<b>797</b>	<b>7,445</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>122</b>
Maxton	1979	52	NA	NA	NA	0	0	0	5
	<b>1980</b>	<b>65</b>	NA	NA	<b>NA</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	1
Parkton	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP C							
Pembroke	1979 <b>1980</b>	201 <b>277</b>	6,907 <b>13,962</b>	206 <b>605</b>	6,701 <b>13,357</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 1	0 <b>0</b>	6
Pembroke St. Univ.	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP	NA	NA	NA	0	0	0	0

NONVI	OLENT CRIM	IE .	*		DEMOG	RAPHIC DATA		POLICE EN	PLOYEE DA	ATA
Breaking and Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson	Mos. on File	Estimated Population Coverage	Character		Sworn icers Female	Civi-	Total Fulltime Police Employees
773 <b>985</b>	987 1,066	104 115	19		83,470 <b>92,010</b>	Suburban	83 <b>93</b>	5 1	25 <b>15</b>	113 <b>109</b>
587 <b>669</b>	567 <b>530</b>	62 <b>58</b>	19	12 <b>12</b>	60,340 <b>66,080</b>	Sub. County	32 <b>36</b>	4 <b>0</b>	15 <b>0</b>	51 <b>36</b>
55	97	12	0	12	5,423	Sub. City	0 <b>8</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 1	0 <b>9</b>
141 <b>191</b>	371 <b>351</b>	32 <b>39</b>	_ 0	12 <b>12</b>	16,750 <b>15,203</b>	Sub. City	32 <b>32</b>	յ   <b>1</b>	7 <b>7</b>	40 <b>40</b>
		·		0	(850)	Sub. City	1 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>0</b>
32 <b>37</b>	25 <b>49</b>	5 <b>5</b>	 0	12 12	2,460 <b>2,003</b>	Sub. City	6 <b>6</b>	0	3 <b>4</b>	9 10
5 <b>5</b>	8 <b>9</b>	1 <b>0</b>		12 12	1,370 1,161	Sub. City	4 4	0	0 <b>0</b>	4 4
8 <b>28</b>	16 <b>30</b>	4 1	 0	11 12	2,550 <b>2,140</b>	Sub. City	8 <b>6</b>	0	0 <b>3</b>	8 <b>9</b>
				0 <b>0</b>	(360) <b>No. Pop.</b>	Sub. City	1	0	0	1
426 <b>776</b>	677 <b>849</b>	59 <b>81</b>	<u> </u>		42,800 <b>45,383</b>	Rural	61 <b>56</b>	2 2	20 <b>25</b>	83 <b>83</b>
203 <b>484</b>	184 <b>367</b>	25 <b>45</b>	3	7 12	28,840 <b>30,962</b>	Rur. County	27 <b>23</b>	1	11 <b>17</b>	39 <b>41</b>
4 <b>3</b>	0 <b>2</b>	0 <b>2</b>	 0	12 10	1,020 <b>1,403</b>	Rur. City	2 <b>3</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>0</b>	3 <b>3</b>
74 <b>109</b>	192 <b>134</b>	9 <b>3</b>	 1	12 <b>12</b>	5,090 <b>4,734</b>	Rur. City	14 13	0 <b>0</b>	4 <b>4</b>	18 <b>17</b>
145 <b>180</b>	301 <b>346</b>	25 <b>31</b>	 3	12 <b>12</b>	7,850 <b>8,284</b>	Rur. City	18 <b>17</b>	] ]	4 <b>4</b>	23 <b>22</b>
1,399 1,612	2,175 <b>2,141</b>	192 <b>150</b>	33		93,520 <b>100,972</b>	Rural	126 <b>124</b>	7 <b>7</b>	52 <b>22</b>	185 <b>153</b>
826 <b>906</b>	678 <b>826</b>	99 <b>91</b>	<u> </u>	12 <b>12</b>	56,575 <b>68,276</b>	Rur. County	48 <b>43</b>	3 4	18 <b>0</b>	69 <b>47</b>
37 <b>52</b>	94 11 <b>3</b>	6 <b>2</b>	<u> </u>	12 <b>12</b>	3,180 <b>2,665</b>	Rur. City	10 10	0 <b>0</b>	3 <b>C</b>	13 <b>10</b>
371 <b>455</b>	1,159 <b>855</b>	62 <b>44</b>	4	12 <b>12</b>	20,070 <b>18,187</b>	Rur. Center	35 <b>35</b>	4 3	16 <b>5</b>	55 <b>43</b>
30 <b>62</b>	13 <b>0</b>	4 <b>0</b>		10 <b>11</b>	2,570 <b>2,772</b>	Rur. City	5 <b>5</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 <b>5</b>	9 10
				0	(560)	Rur. City	1 2	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	l 2
64 <b>89</b>	122 <b>169</b>	9 <b>7</b>	<u> </u>	12 <b>12</b>	2,910 <b>1,984</b>	Rur. City	7 <b>8</b>	0 <b>0</b>	3 <b>4</b>	10 <b>12</b>
0	1	0		ბ <b>0</b>	665 ( <b>705</b> )	Campus	8	0	2	10

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

				Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLE	NT CRIM	E
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
Red Springs	1979 <b>1980</b>	108 <b>114</b>	2,720 <b>3,153</b>	76 111	2,645 <b>3,042</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	] <b>]</b>	2 <b>3</b>
Rowland	1979 <b>1980</b>	22 <b>65</b>	1,497 <b>3,544</b>	68 <b>545</b>	1,429 <b>2,999</b>	0	0 1	1 <b>3</b>	0 <b>5</b>
St. Pauls	1979 <b>1980</b>	74 <b>81</b>	3,507 <b>4,945</b>	427 <b>855</b>	3,081 <b>4,090</b>	0 0	0	0	9 13
ROCKINGHAM CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	2,704 <b>2,886</b>	3,530 <b>3,470</b>	380 <b>372</b>	3,150 <b>3,099</b>	8	10	27 <b>39</b>	252 <b>252</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	1,180 <b>1,198</b>	2,936 <b>2,462</b>	214 191	2,722 <b>2,271</b>	3 <b>5</b>	8	14 18	65 <b>62</b>
Eden	1979 <b>1980</b>	674 <b>779</b>	4,160 <b>4,988</b>	556 <b>474</b>	3,605 <b>4,514</b>	0	1	3 14	84 <b>59</b>
Madison	1979 <b>1980</b>	124 <b>140</b>	4,382 <b>5,041</b>	919 <b>1,368</b>	3,463 <b>3,673</b>	0	0	0	25 38
Mayodan	1979 <b>1980</b>	75 <b>67</b>	2,650 <b>2,559</b>	38	2,650 <b>2,521</b>	0	0	0	0 0
Reidsville	1979 <b>1980</b>	626 <b>677</b>	4,661 <b>5,443</b>	611 <b>804</b>	4,051 <b>4,639</b>	2	0 1	7	72 <b>90</b>
Stoneville	1979 <b>1980</b>	21 <b>24</b>	1,875 <b>2,290</b>	268 191	1,607 <b>2,099</b>	0	0	0	2 2
ROWAN CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	2,953 <b>3,218</b>	3,158 <b>3,430</b>	322 <b>431</b>	2,836 <b>3,000</b>	10 13	5	48 <b>48</b>	236 338
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	817 <b>857</b>	1,988 1,492	112 169	1,876 1,323	3 2	1	14	27 86
China Grove	1979 <b>1980</b>	19	NA <b>903</b>	NA 48	NA <b>856</b>	<b>0</b>	0	0	0
East Spencer	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP 6	NA	NA	NA	0	0	0	
Granite Quarry	1980 <b>1980</b>	C <b>c</b>							
Landis	1979 <b>1980</b>	63 <b>66</b>	2,625 <b>3,147</b>	83 <b>0</b>	2,542 <b>3,147</b>	0	0	0	0
N. Kannapolis	1979 <b>1980</b>	742 <b>818</b>	5,300 <b>8,598</b>	964 1, <b>650</b>	4,336 <b>6,948</b>	7	1	9 9	121 140
Rockwell	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>c</b>							
Salisbury	1979 <b>1980</b>	1,263 1,456	4,912 <b>6,426</b>	440 <b>649</b>	4,473 <b>5,777</b>	3 4	3	23 <b>30</b>	110
Spencer	1979 <b>1980</b>	51 DNP	NA	NA	NA	0	0	1	1
RUTHERFORD CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	1,220 1,336	2,364 <b>2,507</b>	167 <b>152</b>	2,198 <b>2,355</b>	4 4	3 4	13	69 <b>60</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	529 <b>614</b>	1,498 <b>1,634</b>	88 <b>69</b>	1,410 1,565	2	1	4 4	23 <b>20</b>

NONV	IOLENT CRIM	ΛE	*		DEMOGI	RAPHIC DATA		POLICE EN	IPLOYEE D	ATA
Breaking and Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson	Mos. on File	Estimated Population	Character	Off	Sworn	Civi-	Total Fulltime Police
Entering	<u> </u>	Inett		File	Coverage	Character	Male	Female	lians	Employees
34 <b>19</b>	62 <b>86</b>	9 5		12	3,970 <b>3,616</b>	Rur. City	9	0	1 1	10 10
18 <b>19</b>	35	1	0	12 12	1,470 <b>1,834</b>	Rur. City	4 4	0 <b>0</b>	5 <b>5</b>	9 9
19 <b>10</b>	44 <b>57</b>	2 <b>0</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	2,110 <b>1,638</b>	Rur. City	7	0	2	9
740 <b>801</b>	1,502 1,633	171 <b>143</b>	13	<u> </u>	76,600 <b>83,164</b>	Rural	143 <b>148</b>	12 13	15 <b>21</b>	170 <b>182</b>
403 <b>429</b>	602 <b>609</b>	89 <b>67</b>	9	12 12	40,190 <b>48,664</b>	Rur. County	46 <b>49</b>	7 8	4 5	57 <b>62</b>
167 <b>201</b>	379 <b>467</b>	38 <b>37</b>		12 12	16,200 <b>15,618</b>	Rur. Center	42 <b>43</b>	3 <b>3</b>	1 1	46 <b>47</b>
34 <b>28</b>	57 <b>65</b>	7 <b>9</b>		1 <u>2</u> 12	2,830 <b>2,777</b>	Rur. City	10 <b>10</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 <b>5</b>	14 15
29 <b>15</b>	39 <b>43</b>	7 <b>8</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	2,830 <b>2,618</b>	Rur. City	2 <b>5</b>	0 <b>0</b>	2 <b>6</b>	11
105 <b>119</b>	410 <b>436</b>	29 <b>22</b>	<u> </u>	12 <b>12</b>	13,430 <b>12,439</b>	Rur. Center	39 <b>37</b>	1	4 <b>4</b>	44 <b>42</b>
2 <b>9</b>	15 13	1 <b>0</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	1,120 1,048	Rur. City	4 <b>4</b>	1	0 <b>0</b>	5 <b>5</b>
874 <b>891</b>	1,637 <b>1,792</b>	141 131	15		93,500 <b>93,809</b>	Rural	154 160	4 8	24 <b>26</b>	182 <b>194</b>
294 <b>311</b>	429 <b>398</b>	48 <b>51</b>	2	12 <b>12</b>	41,100 <b>57,437</b>	Rur. County	65 <b>72</b>	1 2	10 <b>7</b>	76 <b>81</b>
<b>4</b> <b>0</b>	5 18	0 <b>0</b>	3	3 12	2,200 <b>2,104</b>	Rur. City	4	0	0 <b>0</b>	4 4
4	0	1		0	2,260 ( <b>2,143</b> )	Rur. City	3 <b>3</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	3 <b>3</b>
			_			Rur. City	2	0	0	2
11 <b>15</b>	50 <b>50</b>	0 1	0	12 <b>12</b>	2,400 <b>2,097</b>	Rur. City	3 4	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	3 4
225 <b>236</b>	351 <b>394</b>	31 <b>31</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	14,000 <b>9,514</b>	Rur. City	23 <b>23</b>	0 1	1 <b>0</b>	24 <b>24</b>
						Rur. City	0	0	0	0
315 <b>329</b>	776 <b>932</b>	59 <b>48</b>	10	12 <b>12</b>	25,710 <b>22,657</b>	Rur. Center	51 <b>50</b>	3 <b>5</b>	13 <b>16</b>	67 <b>71</b>
21	26	2		5 <b>0</b>	3,080 ( <b>3,078</b> )	Rur. City	3 4	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>3</b>	3 <b>7</b>
368 <b>445</b>	705 <b>747</b>	61 <b>63</b>	3		51,600 <b>53,299</b>	Rurai	66 66	7 8	10 <b>7</b>	83 <b>81</b>
1 <i>77</i> <b>231</b>	287 <b>313</b>	34 <b>44</b>	1	12 12	35,320 <b>37,580</b>	Rur. County	25 <b>26</b>	6 7	8 <b>5</b>	39 <b>38</b>

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		65445		Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLE	NT CRIM	IE.
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
Alexander Mills	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>c</b>							
Forest City	1979 <b>1980</b>	393 <b>414</b>	5,332 <b>5,455</b>	339 <b>395</b>	4,993 <b>5,060</b>	2 <b>0</b>	] ]	3 <b>6</b>	19 <b>23</b>
Lake Lure	1979 <b>1980</b>	23 <b>38</b>	3,710 <b>7,884</b>	0 <b>207</b>	3,710 <b>7,676</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 1
Rutherfordton	1979 <b>1980</b>	93 <b>102</b>	2,735 <b>2,998</b>	618 <b>323</b>	2,118 <b>2,675</b>	0 1	0 <b>1</b>	0 1	21 <b>8</b>
Spindale	1979 <b>1980</b>	182 <b>168</b>	3,722 <b>3,957</b>	184 <b>306</b>	3,538 <b>3,650</b>	0 <b>2</b>	0 1	3 <b>2</b>	6 <b>8</b>
SAMPSON CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	1,215 <b>1,265</b>	2,607 <b>2,675</b>	301 <b>256</b>	2,306 <b>2,419</b>	3 <b>4</b>	9 <b>6</b>	18 <b>18</b>	110 <b>93</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	626 <b>665</b>	1,719 <b>1,718</b>	159 <b>127</b>	1,560 <b>1,592</b>	2 <b>3</b>	8 <b>5</b>	11 <b>15</b>	37 <b>26</b>
Autryville	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>C</b>							
Clinton	1979 <b>1980</b>	513 <b>548</b>	5,836 <b>7,182</b>	751 <b>852</b>	5,085 <b>6,330</b>	]	] 1	5 <b>2</b>	59 <b>61</b>
Garland	1979 <b>1980</b>	35 <b>20</b>	NA <b>5,076</b>	NA <b>254</b>	NA <b>4,822</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>0</b>	2 1
Newton Grove	1979 <b>1980</b>	37 <b>30</b>	5,781 <b>5,291</b>	1,406 <b>705</b>	4,375 <b>4,586</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	] ]	8 <b>3</b>
Roseboro	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>DNP</b>							
Salemburg	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>DNP</b>							
SCOTLAND CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	1,382 <b>1,657</b>	4,484 <b>5,168</b>	415 <b>533</b>	4,069 <b>4,634</b>	4 <b>2</b>	3 <b>10</b>	17 <b>18</b>	104 <b>141</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	547 <b>704</b>	3,540 <b>3,620</b>	395 <b>201</b>	3,146 <b>3,419</b>	3 <b>0</b>	2 <b>7</b>	7 <b>7</b>	49 <b>25</b>
Gibson	1979 <b>1980</b>	5 <b>7</b>	909 <b>1,328</b>	0 <b>0</b>	909 <b>1,328</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>
Laurinburg	1979 - <b>1980</b>	824 <b>938</b>	5,894 <b>8,171</b>	472 1,115	5,422 <b>7,056</b>	1	] 3	10 <b>10</b>	54 114
Wagram	1979 <b>1980</b>	6 <b>8</b>	NA 1,309	NA 655	NA <b>655</b>	0 1	0 <b>0</b>	0	1 2
STANLY CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	1,352 1,511	2,991 <b>3,135</b>	259 <b>299</b>	2,732 <b>2,837</b>	3 <b>6</b>	6	12 <b>21</b>	96 <b>107</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	556 <b>461</b>	1,979 <b>1,594</b>	153 169	1,826 <b>1,425</b>	3 4	2 3	7	31 <b>36</b>
Albemarle	1979 <b>1980</b>	680 <b>941</b>	5,401 <b>6,339</b>	461 <b>559</b>	4,940 <b>5,780</b>	0 1	4 7	5 14	49 <b>61</b>
Locust	1979 <b>1980</b>	30 <b>23</b>	1,449 1,122	145	1,304 1,122	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	3

NONV	IOLENT CRIM	ΛE	*		DEMOG	RAPHIC DATA	<u> </u>	POLICE EM	PLOYEE D	ATA
Breaking and	Larceny	Motor Vehicle	Arson	Mos.	Estimated Population	<u>.</u>	Off	Sworn icers	Civi-	Total Fulltime Police
Entering	\ <del></del>	Theft	<u> </u>	File	Coverage	Character	Male	Female	lians	Employee
		ė				Rur. City				
109 <b>135</b>	242 <b>244</b>	17 <b>5</b>	3	12 <b>12</b>	7,370 <b>7,589</b>	Rur. City	18 <b>18</b>	1	0 <b>0</b>	19 <b>19</b>
15 <b>23</b>	8 <b>13</b>	0 1	0	12 12	620 <b>482</b>	Rur. City	2 <b>3</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1 1	3 4
24 <b>16</b>	47 <b>71</b>	1 4	1	12 <b>12</b>	3,400 <b>3,402</b>	Rur. City	9	0	0 <b>0</b>	9
43 <b>40</b>	121 <b>106</b>	9 <b>9</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	4,890 <b>4,246</b>	Rur. City	12 <b>9</b>	0	1 1	13 <b>10</b>
478 <b>501</b>	541 <b>601</b>	56 <b>42</b>	17		46,610 <b>47,294</b>	Rural	57 <b>54</b>	4 5	19 <b>20</b>	80 <b>79</b>
306 <b>350</b>	228 <b>237</b>	34 <b>29</b>	15	12 <b>12</b>	36,420 <b>38,703</b>	Rur. County	21 <b>24</b>	2 2	10 11	33 <b>37</b>
				0	(240)	Rur. City	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>
140 <b>137</b>	291 <b>334</b>	16 <b>12</b>	1	12 <b>12</b>	8,790 <b>7,630</b>	Rur. City	22 21	2 <b>2</b>	9 <b>9</b>	33 <b>32</b>
21 <b>8</b>	10 11	1 <b>0</b>	0	11 <b>12</b>	760 <b>394</b>	Rur. City	6 <b>2</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	გ 3
11 <b>6</b>	12 <b>19</b>	5 1.	0	12 <b>12</b>	640 <b>567</b>	Rur. City	2 2	0	0 <b>0</b>	2 <b>2</b>
		;		0 0	(1,370) ( <b>1,215</b> )	Rur. City	4 4	ů O	0 <b>0</b>	4 4
				0 <b>0</b>	(880) <b>(734)</b>	Rur. City	2	0	0 <b>0</b>	2
434 <b>535</b>	759 <b>891</b>	61 <b>60</b>	26		30,820 <b>32,065</b>	Rural	46 <b>47</b>	4 4	0 1	50 <b>52</b>
236 <b>300</b>	232 <b>333</b>	18 <b>32</b>	5	12 <b>12</b>	15,450 <b>19,448</b>	Rur. County	20 <b>20</b>	2 4	0 <b>0</b>	22 <b>24</b>
<b>4 7</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>0</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	550 <b>527</b>	Rur. City	1	0 0	0 <b>0</b>	1
189 <b>225</b>	527 <b>558</b>	42 <b>27</b>	16	12 <b>12</b>	13,980 11,479	Rur. Center	25 <b>26</b>	0	0 1	27 <b>27</b>
5 <b>3</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 1	0	11 12	840 <b>611</b>	Rur. City	2	0	0	2
484 <b>523</b>	702 <b>822</b>	49 <b>22</b>	3		45,200 48,192	Rural	65 <b>64</b>	5 <b>8</b>	7 <b>7</b>	77 <b>79</b>
233 <b>213</b>	257 <b>192</b>	23 <b>7</b>	0	12 12	28,090 <b>28,921</b>	Rur. County	28 <b>25</b>	1 3	4	33 <b>32</b>
211 <b>282</b>	388 <b>564</b>	23 <b>12</b>	2	12 12	12,590 <b>14,844</b>	Rur. Center	28 <b>31</b>	5	2 <b>2</b>	34 <b>38</b>
12 <b>9</b>	14 <b>13</b>	1	0	12 12	2,070 <b>2,049</b>	Rur. City	2 <b>2</b>	0	1 1	3 3

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

133

CONTRIBUTING AGENCY				Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLE	NT CRIM	E
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
	1979	64	3,386	476	2,910	0	0	0	9
Norwood	1980	<b>65</b> 22	<b>3,643</b> 3,929	<b>504</b> 714	<b>3,139</b> 3,214	<b>1</b> 0	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b> 0	<b>7</b> 4
Oakboro	1979 <b>1980</b>	20	3,367	337	3,030	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	2
Stanfield	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>C</b>		i I		:			
STOKES CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	421 <b>503</b>	1,409 1, <b>526</b>	77 124	1,332 1, <b>401</b>	2 <b>3</b>	2	3 2	17 <b>34</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	391 <b>478</b>	1,375 <b>1,502</b>	63 <b>85</b>	1,312 <b>1,417</b>	] 3	1	3 <b>1</b>	13 <b>22</b>
Danbury	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>C</b>							
Walnut Cove	1979 <b>1980</b>	27 <b>22</b>	1,888 1,916	140 <b>958</b>	1,748 <b>958</b>	1 0	0	0	] <b>9</b>
SURRY CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	1,159 1,238	NA 2,087	NA 105	NA 1,982	1 <b>2</b>	0 <b>3</b>	3 <b>9</b>	34 <b>48</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	503 <b>664</b>	NA 1,402	NA <b>76</b>	NA 1,326	1 2	0	0	10 <b>29</b>
Dobson	1979 <b>1980</b>	16 18	1,416 <b>1,504</b>	0	1,416 <b>1,504</b>	0	0	0	0 <b>0</b>
Elkin	1979 <b>1980</b>	111	3,558 <b>3,794</b>	321 <b>567</b>	3,237 <b>3,227</b>	0	0	0	10 <b>15</b>
Mount Airy	1979 <b>1980</b>	460 <b>397</b>	5,644 <b>5,787</b>	86 146	5,558 <b>5,641</b>	0	0 2	3 4	4
Pilot Mountain	1979 <b>1980</b>	64 <b>52</b>	4,571 <b>NA</b>	357 <b>NA</b>	4,214 <b>NA</b>	0	0	0	5 <b>0</b>
SWAIN CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	128 <b>85</b>	986 1, <b>762</b>	208 <b>21</b>	778 1,741	0	1	0	26 <b>0</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	47' 69	800 <b>2,101</b>	17 <b>30</b>	783 <b>2,071</b>	0	1	0	0
Bryson City	1979 <b>1980</b>	13 16	NA 1,039	NA 0	NA 1,039	0	0	0	0
Cherokee	1979 <b>1980</b>	68 <b>DNP</b>	NA	NA	NA	0	0	0	26
TRANSYLVANIA CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	501 <b>484</b>	2,277 2,076	105 112	2,173 1,964	2	2 7	5 <b>2</b>	14 . <b>16</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	333 <b>321</b>	2,081 <b>1,780</b>	125 116	1,956 1,664	2	2 4	2 2	14 14
Brevard	1979 <b>1980</b>	168 162	2,800 <b>3,065</b>	50 <b>76</b>	2,750 2,989	0	0 3	3 0	0
TYRRELL CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	87 <b>63</b>	2,175 1,950	775 <b>279</b>	1,400 1,671	0	0	0	28
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	87 <b>63</b>	2,175 1,950	775 <b>279</b>	1,400 1,671	0	0	0	28
		<u></u>		1					

Breaking		Motor		Mos.	Estimated			Sworn	! !	Total Fulltim
and Entering	Larceny	Vehicle Theft	Arson	on File	Population Coverage	Character	Male	Female	Civi- lians	Police Employe
20 10	34 <b>44</b>	1 <b>2</b>	<u> </u>	12 <b>12</b>	1,890 <b>1,784</b>	Rur. City	5 <b>4</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	5 <b>4</b>
8 <b>9</b>	9 <b>9</b>	1 <b>0</b>		12 <b>12</b>	560 <b>594</b>	Rur. City	2 2	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	2 <b>2</b>
	,		<del></del>			Rur. City	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>
140 <b>188</b>	237 <b>238</b>	21 <b>36</b>	6		29,870 <b>32,968</b>	Suburban	24 21	0	8 <b>8</b>	32 <b>30</b>
137 <b>183</b>	218 <b>232</b>	18 <b>36</b>	6	12 <b>12</b>	28,440 <b>31,820</b>	Sub. County	20 <b>17</b>	0 1	6 <b>6</b>	26 <b>24</b>
				0	(130)	Sub. City	0	0 0	0 <b>0</b>	0
3 <b>5</b>	19 <b>6</b>	3 <b>0</b>		12 <b>12</b>	1,430 1,148	Sub. City	4 4	0 <b>0</b>	2 <b>2</b>	6 6
386 <b>393</b>	631 <b>670</b>	104 113	6		56,030 <b>59,330</b>	Rural	79 <b>78</b>	ენ <b>5</b>	16 <b>22</b>	100 105
200 <b>267</b>	232 <b>276</b>	60 <b>85</b>	<u> </u>	11 12	42,230 <b>47,366</b>	Rur. County	30 <b>28</b>	2	16 <b>19</b>	48 <b>48</b>
16 <b>2</b>	0 15	0 1		12 <b>12</b>	1,130 1,197	Rur. City	4	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 4
24 18	73 <b>71</b>	4 <b>2</b>	1	12 12	3,120 <b>2,820</b>	Rur. City	12 12	2 <b>2</b>	0 <b>2</b>	14
132 <b>77</b>	290 <b>290</b>	31 <b>20</b>	4	12 12	8,150 <b>6,860</b>	Rur. City	26 <b>26</b>	0	0 1	26 <b>28</b>
14 <b>29</b>	36 <b>18</b>	9 5	_ 0	12 <b>8</b>	1,400 <b>1,087</b>	Rur. City	7 8	] ]	0 <b>0</b>	8 9
59 55	37 <b>27</b>	5 <b>2</b>			12,977 <b>4,824</b>	Rural	21	0	7 8	28 <b>30</b>
30 <b>42</b>	16 <b>24</b>	0 <b>2</b>	. —	12 <b>12</b>	5,873 <b>3,284</b>	Rur. County	6	0	2 <b>3</b>	8 9
5 <b>13</b>	7 <b>3</b>	] <b>0</b>		8 12	1,550 <b>1,540</b>	Rur. City	5 <b>5</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	5 <b>5</b>
24	14	4		12 <b>0</b>	5,554 ( <b>5,416</b> )	Rur. City	10 10	0	5 <b>5</b>	15 <b>16</b>
173 <b>174</b>	271 <b>247</b>	34 <b>37</b>	<u>-</u>	:	.2,000 <b>23,316</b>	Rural	31	3 <b>3</b>	7 <b>9</b>	41 45
137 <b>139</b>	153 <b>131</b>	23 <b>30</b>		12 <b>12</b>	16,000 18,030	Rur. County	17	1	3 <b>5</b>	21 25
36 <b>35</b>	118 116	11		12 12	6,000 <b>5,286</b>	Rur. City	14	2 <b>2</b>	4	20 20
33 <b>28</b>	22 26	1			4,000 <b>3,231</b>	Rural	3	0	4	7 8
33 <b>28</b>	22 <b>26</b>	1 0	- 1	12 12	4,000 <b>3,231</b>	Rur. County	3	0	4 4	7 8

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

				Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLE	NT CRIM	IE
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
UNION CO.	1979 1 <b>980</b>	1,526 <b>1,880</b>	2,454 <b>2,782</b>	452 <b>385</b>	2,002 <b>2,398</b>	6	10 <b>9</b>	24 <b>22</b>	241 <b>228</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	748 <b>868</b>	1,690 <b>1,678</b>	215 <b>141</b>	1,475 <b>1,537</b>	2 1	7 <b>6</b>	6 <b>3</b>	80 <b>63</b>
Marshville	1979 <b>1980</b>	49 <b>54</b>	2,269 <b>3,652</b>	463 <b>786</b>	1,806 <b>1,866</b>	1 <b>0</b>	0 1	1 <b>0</b>	8 <b>15</b>
Monroe	1979 <b>1980</b>	727 <b>958</b>	5,208 <b>7,598</b>	1,254 <b>1,356</b>	3,954 <b>6,242</b>	3 <b>0</b>	3 <b>2</b>	17 <b>19</b>	152 <b>150</b>
Waxhaw	1979 <b>1980</b>	<b>0</b>	NA NA	NA <b>NA</b>	NA <b>NA</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>
Wingate	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>DNP</b>						}	
VANCE CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	1,743 1, <b>974</b>	5,107 <b>5,432</b>	390 <b>286</b>	4,717 <b>5,146</b>	3 4	6 <b>0</b>	17 <b>15</b>	107 <b>85</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	880 <b>1,038</b>	4,460 <b>4,549</b>	314 <b>145</b>	4,146 <b>4,405</b>	2 <b>3</b>	2 <b>0</b>	8 <b>5</b>	50 <b>25</b>
Henderson	1979 <b>1980</b>	858 <b>933</b>	5,958 <b>6,987</b>	458 <b>509</b>	5,500 <b>6,477</b>	1	4 0	9 <b>10</b>	52 <b>57</b>
Middleburg	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP DNP				<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>		
WAKE CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	14,805 <b>16,920</b>	5,321 <b>5,671</b>	408 <b>382</b>	4,913 <b>5,289</b>	27 <b>24</b>	78 <b>109</b>	261 <b>324</b>	770 <b>684</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	3,303 <b>3,846</b>	4,386 <b>3,771</b>	286 <b>226</b>	4,101 <b>3,546</b>	7 11	28 <b>33</b>	45 <b>51</b>	135 <b>135</b>
Apex	1979 <b>1980</b>	112 112	3,425 <b>3;875</b>	673 <b>450</b>	2,752 <b>3,426</b>	0	3 1	4 1	14 11
Cary	1979 <b>1980</b>	515 <b>639</b>	2,556 <b>2,903</b>	69 <b>127</b>	2,486 <b>2,776</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>5</b>	2 4	12 <b>19</b>
Fuquay-Varina	1979 <b>1980</b>	222 <b>202</b>	5,781 <b>6,088</b>	286 <b>693</b>	5,495 <b>5,3%5</b>	2 1	0	2 1	7 <b>21</b>
Garner	1979 <b>1980</b>	428 <b>401</b>	4,208 <b>4,221</b>	295 <b>158</b>	3,913 <b>4,064</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 1	5 <b>1</b>	25 <b>13</b>
Holly Springs	1979 <b>1980</b>	31 <b>15</b>	4,079 <b>NA</b>	1,447 <b>NA</b>	2,632 <b>NA</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1	0 1	10 <b>2</b>
Knightdale	1979 <b>1980</b>	15 <b>15</b>	1,485 <b>1,571</b>	99 <b>209</b>	1,386 <b>1,361</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	1 2
Morrisville	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>30</b>	11,811	3,937	7,874	0	1	o	9
N.C. State Univ.	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>426</b>	NA	NA	NA	0	1	0	5
Raleigh	1979 <b>1980</b>	9,701 <b>10,681</b>	6,299 <b>7,486</b>	522 <b>549</b>	5,777 <b>6,936</b>	17 12	46 <b>64</b>	202 <b>260</b>	539 <b>448</b>
RDU Airport	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>DNP</b>							

NON	IOLENT CRIM	ΛE	*		DEMOG	RAPHIC DATA	ļ	POLICE EN	APLOYEE D	ATA
Breaking and Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson	Mos. on File	Estimated Population Coverage	Character		Sworn icers Female	Civi- lians	Total Fulltime Police Employees
483 <b>691</b>	705 <b>856</b>	57 <b>73</b>	<b>5</b>		62,190 <b>67,568</b>	Suburban	77 <b>82</b>	2 <b>2</b>	8 <b>13</b>	87 <b>97</b>
315 <b>372</b>	301 <b>391</b>	37 <b>32</b>	3	12 <b>12</b>	44,270 <b>51,718</b>	Sub. County	36 <b>40</b>	] <b>1</b>	6 11	43 <b>52</b>
23 1 <b>9</b>	13 <b>15</b>	3 <b>4</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	2,160 <b>2,036</b>	Sub. City	3 <b>5</b>	0 <b>0</b>	] <b>]</b>	4 <b>6</b>
145 <b>300</b>	390 <b>450</b>	17 <b>37</b>	2	12 <b>12</b>	13,960 <b>12,608</b>	Sub. City	32 <b>32</b>	] ]	] <b>1</b>	34 <b>34</b>
0 <b>0</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	_	5	1,800 <b>1,206</b>	Sub. City	4	0	0 <b>0</b>	4 4
			<del></del>	0	(3,110) ( <b>2,644</b> )	Sub. City	1	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	2
562 <b>777</b>	996 1, <b>046</b>	52 <b>47</b>	10		34,130 <b>36,340</b>	Rural	47 <b>51</b>	6 4	8 <b>10</b>	61 <b>65</b>
363 <b>540</b>	416 <b>435</b>	39 <b>30</b>	0	12	19,730 <b>22,816</b>	Rur. County	14 16	2 <b>2</b>	5 <b>6</b>	21 <b>24</b>
199 <b>237</b>	580 <b>611</b>	13 <b>17</b>	10	12	14,400 <b>13,354</b>	Rur. Center	32 <b>34</b>	2	3 <b>4</b>	39 <b>40</b>
88 L L.D.				<b>0</b>	(170) (1 <b>70</b> )	Rur. City	1	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	] 1 1
3,732 <b>4,489</b>	9,097 <b>10,519</b>	840 <b>771</b>	87		278,230 <b>298,339</b>	Suburban	534 <b>527</b>	21 <b>30</b>	103 <b>135</b>	658 <b>692</b>
1,317 <b>1,564</b>	1,519 <b>1,807</b>	252 <b>245</b>	24	12 <b>12</b>	75,300 <b>101,987</b>	Sub. County	88 <b>90</b>	7 <b>8</b>	39 <b>45</b>	134 <b>143</b>
32 <b>37</b>	54 <b>62</b>	<b>0</b>	1	12 <b>12</b>	3,270 <b>2,890</b>	Sub. City	9 <b>8</b>	0	5 <b>5</b>	14
<i>77</i> 118	404 <b>475</b>	20 <b>18</b>	2	12 <b>12</b>	20,150 <b>22,010</b>	Sub. City	21 <b>23</b>	0 1	1 <b>2</b>	22 <b>26</b>
66 <b>67</b>	123 100	22 12	2	12 <b>12</b>	3,840 <b>3,318</b>	Sub. City	11	0	1 <b>5</b>	12 16
77 <b>102</b>	302 <b>268</b>	19 <b>16</b>	4	12 <b>12</b>	10,170 <b>9,499</b>	Sub. City	17 <b>18</b>	0	1 <b>2</b>	18 <b>20</b>
7 <b>5</b>	13 <b>5</b>	0	0	12 <b>3</b>	760 <b>696</b>	Sub. City	1		0	2
5 <b>5</b>	3 <b>6</b>	6 <b>2</b>	0	12 12	1,010 <b>955</b>	Sub. City	2 <b>2</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	2 2
11	5	4	2	0 <b>12</b>	(270) <b>254</b>	Sub. City	0	0	0 <b>0</b>	0
<b>78</b>	337	5	0	5	5,745	Campus	23 <b>22</b>	0 <b>3</b>	1 9	24 <b>34</b>
2,043 <b>2,378</b>	6,356 <b>7,068</b>	498 <b>451</b>	47	12 12	154,000 <b>142,684</b>	Core City	299 <b>290</b>	16	46 <b>45</b>	356 <b>351</b>
				0 <b>0</b>	_	Suburban	12 <b>12</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	12 17

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		CRIME	Crime	Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLE	NT CRIM	JE ,
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Åssault
Rolesville	1979 <b>1980</b>	9 9	1,385 <b>2,394</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1,385 <b>2,394</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	0
State Capitol	1979 <b>1980</b>	173 <b>202</b>	15	0	15	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 1	1 <b>2</b>
Wake Forest	1979 <b>1980</b>	166 <b>172</b>	3,664 <b>4,615</b>	309 <b>215</b>	3,355 <b>4,400</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	14 <b>8</b>
Wendell	1979 <b>1980</b>	30 <b>25</b>	1,299 <b>1,149</b>	43 <b>46</b>	1,255 1,103	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>1</b>	1 <b>0</b>
Zebulon	1979 <b>1980</b>	96 <b>142</b>	4,286 <b>7,019</b>	357 <b>544</b>	3,929 <b>6,476</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>2</b>	1 <b>3</b>	7 <b>6</b>
WARREN CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP 7	NA	NA	NA	0	1	0	1
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>DNP</b>							
Norlina	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>DNP</b>							
Warrenton	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP 6	657	110	548	0	1	0	0
WASHINGTON CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	332 <b>355</b>	2,199 <b>2,401</b>	338 <b>230</b>	1,861 <b>2,171</b>	1 <b>3</b>	0 2	9	41 <b>27</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	112 <b>144</b>	11,172 <b>1,526</b>	136 <b>106</b>	1,036 <b>1,420</b>	0 <b>2</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	13 <b>7</b>
Creswell	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>c</b>							
Plymouth	1979 <b>1980</b>	215 <b>208</b>	4,479 <b>4,577</b>	688 <b>462</b>	3,792 <b>4,115</b>	] <b>0</b>	0	9 <b>2</b>	23 18
Roper	1979 <b>1980</b>	0	0 NA	O NA	0 <b>NA</b>	0 1	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0
WATAUGA CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	1,048 <b>1,049</b>	3,648 <b>3,318</b>	237 146	3,411 <b>3,173</b>	3 1	3 1	3 <b>2</b>	59 <b>42</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	421 <b>375</b>	2,602 1, <b>861</b>	253 1 <b>34</b>	2,348 <b>1,727</b>	3 1	0	2 <b>0</b>	36 <b>25</b>
Appalachian St. Univ.	1979 <b>1980</b>	207 <b>241</b>	5,156 <b>5,575</b>	125 <b>93</b>	5,031 <b>5,482</b>	0 <b>0</b>	3 0	0 <b>0</b>	2 <b>4</b>
Boone	1979 <b>1980</b>	324 <b>325</b>	4,340 <b>5,527</b>	281 170	4,059 <b>5,357</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	1 2	20
Blowing Rock	1979 <b>1980</b>	96 107	8,972 <b>8,526</b>	93 <b>319</b>	8,879 <b>8,207</b>	0	0	0	1 4
Seven Devils	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>c</b>			-1-4.	*			
WAYNE CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	3,692 <b>4,207</b>	4,042 <b>4,434</b>	302 <b>337</b>	3,740 <b>4,097</b>	18 <b>5</b>	15 <b>15</b>	66 <b>73</b>	177 <b>227</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	1,180 1,204	2,427 2,103	140 <b>105</b>	2,287 1,999	8	4 5	13 <b>12</b>	43 <b>40</b>

NON	IOLENT CRIA	ΛE	*	ļ	DEMOG	RAPHIC DATA		POLICE EA	APLOYEE D	ATA
Breaking and Entering	Larceny	Motor Vehicle Theft	Arson	Mos. on File	Estimated Population		Off	Sworn icers	Civi-	Total Fulltime Police
Linering		inen	<del> </del>	File	Coverage	Character	Male	Female	lians	Employee
0 <b>4</b>	7 4	2 1	0	12 12	650 <b>376</b>	Sub. City	2 <b>2</b>	0 <b>0</b>	] <b>1</b>	3 <b>3</b>
13 <b>6</b>	157 190	2 <b>3</b>	0	12 12	_	Suburban	28 <b>26</b>	2 <b>2</b>	1 14	31 <b>42</b>
65 <b>57</b>	82 105	5 <b>2</b>	2	12 12	4,530 <b>3,727</b>	Sub. City	7 9	0 0	3 <b>3</b>	10 <b>12</b>
5 <b>6</b>	16 16	8 <b>2</b>	1	12 12	2,310 <b>2,175</b>	Sub. City	7 7	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	7 <b>7</b>
25 <b>51</b>	61 <b>71</b>	2 <b>9</b>	1	12 <b>12</b>	2,240 <b>2,023</b>	Sub. City	7 <b>7</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 <b>4</b>	11
2	3	. 0	0		913	Rural	13 <b>15</b>	0	5 <b>6</b>	18 <b>21</b>
				0 <b>0</b>	(14,740) ( <b>15,304</b> )	Rur. County	6 <b>6</b>	0 <b>0</b>	]	7 7
			_	0 <b>0</b>	(1,180) <b>No Pop.</b>	Rur. City	4	0	0	4
2	3	0	0	0 <b>12</b>	(1,180) <b>913</b>	Rur. City	7 <b>5</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 <b>5</b>	11 10
96 121	172 186	13 <b>1</b> 4⊝	5		15,100 <b>14,786</b>	Rural	14 15	1	6 <b>7</b>	21 <b>23</b>
34 <b>57</b>	61 <b>74</b>	4 <b>3</b>	2	12 <b>12</b>	9,560 <b>9,438</b>	Rur. County	7 <b>6</b>	] <b>1</b>	3 <b>3</b>	11 10
40		-				Rur. City				
62 <b>64</b>	111	9	3	12 12	4,800 <b>4,544</b>	Rur. City	7 <b>9</b>	0 <b>0</b>	3 <b>4</b>	10 <b>13</b>
0 <b>0</b>	0	0	0	12 <b>9</b>	740 <b>804</b>	Rur. City	0 0	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>
268 <b>275</b>	676 <b>692</b>	36 <b>36</b>	5		28,730 <b>31,611</b>	Rural	60 <b>59</b>	) 5	10 14	71 <b>78</b>
162 <b>164</b>	204 169	14 15	5	12 12	16,180 <b>20,153</b>	Rur. County	17 <b>18</b>	1 4	5 <b>2</b>	23 <b>24</b>
0 <b>0</b>	198 <b>236</b>	1	0	12 <b>12</b>	4,015 <b>4,323</b>	Campus	14 13	0	0 <b>4</b>	14 <b>17</b>
84 <b>75</b>	206 <b>226</b>	13 <b>14</b>	0	12 12	7,465 <b>5,880</b>	Rur. Center	23 <b>22</b>	0	1 <b>4</b>	24 <b>27</b>
22 <b>36</b>	68 <b>61</b>	5 <b>6</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	1,070 <b>1,255</b>	Rur. City	6 <b>6</b>	0	4 <b>4</b>	10 <b>10</b>
951	2 200	147			01.046	Rur. City				
1,223	2,298 2,505	167 <b>159</b>	31	Ţ	91,343 <b>94,875</b>	Rural	110 <b>112</b>	4 4	18 <b>20</b>	132 1 <b>36</b>
356 <b>450</b>	715 <b>639</b>	41 <b>55</b>	16	12 <b>12</b>	48,620 <b>57,238</b>	Rur. County	29 <b>28</b>	2 2	4	35 <b>34</b>

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

		CRIMI	E Crime	Violen	1		VIO	LENT CR	IME
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	TOTAL	Rate	Crime Rate per 100,000	Rate	Mur- der	Forci ble Rape	Rob	,u
Eureka	1979 <b>1980</b>			0	2,990		1		Assur
Fremont	1979 <b>1980</b>		2,931	575 117	2,356 2,169	2	0	1	7
Goldsboro	1979 <b>1980</b>	2,103 <b>2,513</b>	1	426 <b>734</b>	5,632 <b>7,611</b>	5	9	49	<b>2</b> 85
Mount Olive	1979 <b>1980</b>	319 <b>408</b>	5,696 <b>8,400</b>	732 <b>638</b>	4,964	3	9	3	1 <b>60</b>
Pikeville	1979 <b>1980</b>	37 <b>33</b>	5,522 <b>5,008</b>	1,045	<b>7,762</b> 4,478	0	1	9	<b>20</b>
Seven Springs	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>c</b>		/3/	4,249	0	0	1	4
WILKES CO.	1979 1 <b>980</b>	1,366 1,358	2,454 <b>2,328</b>	368 281	2,086 <b>2,047</b>	6 2	6 <b>2</b>	4 9	189 <b>151</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	854 <b>783</b>	1,753 1,497	314 <b>250</b>	1,439 1,246	6 2	4	1 7	142
N. Wilkesboro	1979 <b>1980</b>	401 <b>397</b>	11,232 <b>12,368</b>	1,204 810	10,028 11,558	0	0	2	121 41
Ronda	1979 <b>1980</b>	4 2	870 <b>431</b>	0	870 <b>431</b>	0	0	0	<b>25</b> 0
Wilkesboro	1979 <b>1980</b>	106 173	3,618 <b>7,425</b>	273 <b>215</b>	3,345 <b>7,210</b>	0	2	1	<b>0</b> 5
WILSON CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	3,375 <b>3,369</b>	5,589 <b>5,397</b>	546 <b>535</b>	5,042 <b>4,862</b>	10	17	64	<b>3</b> 239
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	599 <b>643</b>	2,794 <b>2,674</b>	252 <b>229</b>	2,542 <b>2,445</b>	7 3	16	<b>65</b>	<b>246</b> 41
Black Creek	1979 <b>1980</b>	6 9	1,091 1,721	0	1,091 1,721	0	0	0	<b>46</b> 0
Elm City	1979 <b>1980</b>	29 <b>44</b>	1,758 <b>2,736</b>	606 311	1,152 2,425	0	0	2	<b>0</b> 8
Lucama	1979 <b>1980</b>	51 <b>75</b>	5,204 <b>7,016</b>	1,735 1,403	3,469 <b>5,613</b>	0	0	0	<b>4</b> 17
Saratoga	1979 <b>1980</b>	C <b>C</b>	,	.,400	5,015	0	0	0	15
Sims	1979 <b>1980</b>	2 <b>6</b>	952 <b>3,109</b>	476 1,036	476 2,073	0	0	1	0
Stantonsburg	1979 <b>1980</b>	18 <b>12</b>	1,875 <b>1,325</b>	208	1,667	0	0	0	<b>2</b> 2
Wilson	1979 <b>1980</b>	2,670 <b>2,580</b>	7,717 <b>7,571</b>	711	7,006 6,828	3 4	15	<b>0</b>   57	<b>4</b> 171
ADKIN CO.	1979 <b>1980</b>	475 <b>533</b>	1,814 1,879	103	1,711 1,706	1 3	0	4	175 22
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	351 388	1,713 1,702	102	1,611	1 3	0	3 4	16 17

NONV	IOLENT CRIM	ΛE	*		DEMOG	RAPHIC DATA		POLICE EM	PLOYEE D	ATA
Breaking and	Larceny	Motor Vehicle	Arson	Mos.	Estimated Population		,	e Sworn icers	Civi-	Total Fulltime Police
Entering	<u> </u>	Theft	<u> </u>	File	Coverage	Character	Male	Female	lians	Employee
6	2			12	301	Rur. City	l 1	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1
10 <b>5</b>	26 <b>31</b>	5 1	0	12 <b>12</b>	1,740 <b>1,706</b>	Rur. City	3 4	0	0 <b>0</b>	3 4
485 <b>642</b>	1,359 1,559	111 <b>91</b>	8	12 <b>12</b>	34,713 <b>30,114</b>	Rur. Center	60 <b>62</b>	ן ז	13 <b>15</b>	74 <b>78</b>
92 <b>107</b>	179 <b>260</b>	10	1	12 12	5,600 <b>4,857</b>	Rur. City	14	1	1	16 16
1 <b>3</b>	19 14	3 1	4	12 12	670 <b>659</b>	Rur. City	3 3	0	0 <b>0</b>	3 3
						Rur. City	0	0	0	0
395 <b>400</b>	664 <b>702</b>	102 <b>92</b>	<u> </u>		55,670 <b>58,323</b>	Rural	46 <b>46</b>	9 10	4 <b>5</b>	59 <b>61</b>
282 <b>269</b>	348 <b>329</b>	71 <b>54</b>	4	12 12	48,710 <b>52,319</b>	Rur. County	28 28	6 6	0 1	34 <b>35</b>
67 <b>66</b>	268 <b>280</b>	23 <b>25</b>		12 <b>12</b>	3,570 <b>3,210</b>	Rur. City	12 12	3 <b>3</b>	3 <b>3</b>	18 <b>18</b>
3 2	0	0 <b>0</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	460 <b>464</b>	Rur. City	1	0	0 <b>0</b>	1
43 <b>63</b>	47 <b>93</b>	8 <b>12</b>	0	12 <b>12</b>	2,930 <b>2,330</b>	Rur. City	5 <b>5</b>	0 1	1	6 <b>7</b>
948 <b>856</b>	1,967 <b>2,060</b>	130 119	14		60,390 <b>62,422</b>	Rural	110 112	5	17 <b>36</b>	134 <b>153</b>
176 <b>169</b>	332 396	37 <b>23</b>	0	12 12	21,440 <b>24,045</b>	Rur. County	24 <b>26</b>	0	7 <b>23</b>	31 <b>49</b>
0 <b>2</b>	6 7	0 <b>0</b>	0	12 12	550 <b>523</b>	Rur. City	3 3	0	0 <b>0</b>	3 3
12 18	19	2	0	12 12	1,650 1,608	Rur. City	4	0	0 <b>0</b>	4
9 10	25 <b>49</b>	0 1	0	12 <b>12</b>	980 1, <b>069</b>	Rur. City	3 3	0	0 <b>0</b>	3 3
_						Rur. City	0	0	0	0
1 <b>2</b>	0 2	0 <b>0</b>	0	12 12	210 <b>193</b>	Rur. City	2	0	0 <b>0</b>	2
8 <b>4</b>	8 4	0 <b>0</b>	0	12 12	960 <b>906</b>	Rur. City	4	0	0 <b>0</b>	4 4
742 <b>651</b>	1,590 1,583	92 <b>93</b>	14	12 <b>12</b>	34,600 <b>34,078</b>	Rur. Center	71 <b>70</b>	5	10 <b>13</b>	88 88
150 141	283 <b>322</b>	15 <b>21</b>	6,		26,190 28,367	Suburban	21	5 4	5	31 <b>26</b>
115 <b>113</b>	205 <b>238</b>	10 <b>15</b>	5	12 <b>12</b>	20,490 <b>22,801</b>	Sub. County	11	3	5 <b>0</b>	20 13

<sup>\*</sup> Sufficient 1979 data were not available to support a reasonable comparison regarding arson. The 1980 arson totals shown have not been included as part of the crime index nor as a factor in the crime rate calculations.

				Violent	Non- Violent		VIOLE	NT CRIM	E
CONTRIBUTOR	YEAR	CRIME INDEX TOTAL	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Crime Rate per 100,000	Mur- der	Forci- ble Rape	Rob- bery	Aggra- vated Assault
Arlington	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>DNP</b>							
Boonville	1979 <b>1980</b>	2 <b>9</b>	NA <b>887</b>	NA <b>197</b>	NA <b>690</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>1</b>	0
East Bend	1979 <b>1980</b>	7 <b>5</b>	1,228 <b>829</b>	0 <b>498</b>	1,228 <b>332</b>	0	0	0	0
Jonesville	1979 <b>1980</b>	61 <b>94</b>	3,720 <b>5,418</b>	305 <b>980</b>	3,415 <b>4,438</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	5 <b>17</b>
Yadkinville	1979 <b>1980</b>	54 <b>37</b>	1,993 <b>1,672</b>	37 <b>226</b>	1,956 <b>1,446</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 1	1 4
YANCEY CO.	1979 1 <b>980</b>	108 <b>5</b>	826 <b>NA</b>	252 <b>NA</b>	574 <b>NA</b>	0	0	0 <b>0</b>	32 <b>3</b>
Sheriff	1979 <b>1980</b>	108 <b>4</b>	826 <b>NA</b>	252 <b>NA</b>	574 <b>NA</b>	0	1 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	32 <b>2</b>
Burnsville	1979 <b>1980</b>	DNP <b>C</b>							
STATE AGENCIES			-			,			
Highway Patrol	1979 <b>1980</b>	228 <b>155</b>	NA <b>NA</b>	NA <b>NA</b>	NA <b>NA</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	228 <b>155</b>
SBI	1979 <b>1980</b>	0 <b>41</b>	NA <b>NA</b>	NA <b>NA</b>	NA <b>NA</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>
Wildlife Commission	1979 <b>1980</b>	6 <b>8</b>	NA <b>NA</b>	NA <b>NA</b>	NA <b>NA</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	0	6 <b>8</b>
an angue es es an	19779.	1,525	6,703	123	<b>6,5:0</b>	. 0.	5	14	ાં

NONV	IOLENT CRIA	ΛE	*		DEMOG	RAPHIC DATA	I	POLICE E	MPLOYEE D	ATA
Breaking and	Larceny	Motor Vehicle	Arson	Mos.	Estimated Population			e Sworn ficers	Civi-	Total Fulltime
Entering	<del> </del>	Theft		File	Coverage	Character	Male	Female	lians	Police Employees
			_	0	(810) <b>No Pop.</b>	Sub. City	1	0	1	2
2 <b>3</b>	0 4	0 <b>0</b>	0	8 12	780 1,015	Sub. City	1 1	0	0 <b>0</b>	1
5	2	0		12 12	570 <b>603</b>	Sub. City	1	0	0	1
17 <b>19</b>	37 <b>57</b>	2 1	1	12 12	1,670 1,735	Sub. City	4 4	0	0 <b>0</b>	5 4
6	39 <b>23</b>	3 <b>3</b>	0	12 12	2,710 <b>2,213</b>	Sub. City	4 4	0	0 <b>0</b>	4 5
47 <b>2</b>	23 <b>0</b>	5 <b>0</b>	<u>.</u> 9		13,070 <b>14,955</b>	Rural	13 12	0 <b>0</b>	3 <b>5</b>	16 17
47 <b>2</b>	23 <b>0</b>	5 <b>0</b>	0	12 <b>1</b>	13,070 <b>14,955</b>	Rur. County	8 7	0 <b>0</b>	3 <b>5</b>	11
			_	0	(1,530)	Rur. City	5 <b>5</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	5 <b>5</b>
0 <b>0</b>	0	0		12 <b>12</b>		<sup>*</sup> State	1,136	1	305	1,442
0	0 7	0	33	12 <b>12</b>		State	245 <b>242</b>	16 <b>15</b>	123 <b>147</b>	384 <b>404</b>
0 <b>0</b> 283	0 0	0 <b>0</b> 20	0	12 <b>12</b>	ଉର୍ଗ ଚଳର	State	207 <b>207</b>	0 <b>0</b>	2 <b>2</b>	209 <b>209</b>

# **SECTION FOUR**

# N.C. PROPERTY CRIME DATA



## PROPERTY STOLEN AND RECOVERED

The tables and charts on the following pages indicate the value of property stolen and recovered by month, type, and offense in North Carolina during 1980.

The reader should note that these values relate only to index crime. Property lost or damaged as a result of crimes such as fraud, embezzlement, vandalism, and the remainder of the non-index offenses are not included in these totals.

#### **VALUE OF PROPERTY STOLEN AND RECOVERED** BY MONTH 1980

Month	Value Stolen	Value Recovered	% Recovered
January	\$ 10,014,436	\$ 3,020,326	30.16
February	\$ 9,923,619	\$ 3,123,391	31.47
March	\$ 10,450,710	\$ 3,242,731	31.03
April	\$ 10,189,652	\$ 3,581,336	35.15
May	\$ 10,047,536	\$ 3,281,830	32.66
June	\$ 11,163,079	\$ 3,746,896	33.57
July	\$ 12,303,310	\$ 3,703,033	30.10
August	\$ 12.704,296	\$ 3,794,806	29.87
September	\$ 12,984,455	\$ 3,128,503	24.09
October	\$ 13,086,623	\$ 4,125,493	31.52
November	\$ 11,695,777	\$ 3,001,464	25.66
December	\$ 13,132,358	\$ 3,431,760	26.13
Total	\$137,695,851	\$41,181,569	29.91
Monthly Average	\$ 11,474,654	\$ 3,431,797	29.91

#### **VALUE OF PROPERTY STOLEN AND RECOVERED** BY TYPE 1980

Type of Property	Value Stolen	Value Recovered	%Recovered
Currency	\$ 11,197,663	\$ 984,533	8.79
Jewelry & Precious Metals	\$ 21,417,255	\$ 2,123,715	9,92
Clothing & Furs	\$ 2,860,753	\$ 454,176	15.88
Locally Stolen Motor Vehicles	\$ 40,670,406	\$28,986,880	71.38
Office Equipment	\$ 1,337,737	\$ 218,118	16.30
TV's Radios, Cameras, etc	\$ 14,119,839	\$ 1,221,633	8.65
Firearms	\$ 3,965,821	\$ 444,987	11.22
Household Goods	\$ 6,083,654	\$ 1,105,652	18.17
Consumable Goods	\$ 1,464,042	\$ 198,981	13.59
Livestock	\$ 664,391	\$ 170,233	25.62
Miscellaneous	\$ 33,977,320	\$ 5,272,661	15.52
TOTAL	\$137,695,851	\$41,181,569	29.91

#### STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA MONTHLY VALUE OF PROPERTY STOLEN BY TYPE - 1980

TYPE OF PROPERTY	JANUARY	FEBRUARY	MARCH
Currency	\$ 789,664	\$1,001,116	\$ 899,879
Jewelry	\$1,019,970	\$1,370,755	\$1,640,810
Clothing & Fur	\$ 288,457	\$ 163,733	\$ 231,316
Motor Vehicles	\$3,160,420	\$3,167,597	\$3,022,089
Office Equipment	\$ 94,607	\$ 100,376	\$ 134,748
TV's, Radios, Cameras, etc	\$1,253,503	\$1,024,572	\$1,090,039
Firearms	\$ 330,315	\$ 247,647	
Household Goods	\$ 546,802	\$ 436,054	\$ 227,245 \$ 494,020 \$ 133,055
Consumable Goods	\$ 147,122	\$ 108,781	\$ 133,055
Livestock	\$ 34,484	\$ 15,160	\$ 58,563
Misc	\$2,349,092	\$2,287,828	\$2,518,946
TYPE OF PROPERTY	APRIL	MAY	JUNE
Currency	\$ 746,829	\$ 731,780	\$ 921,304
Jewelry	\$1,150,663	\$1,250,371	\$1,454,639
Clothing & Fur	\$ 137,669	\$ 220,737	\$ 172,656
Motor Vehicles	\$3,073,834	\$3,044,280	\$3,352,596
Office Equipment	\$ 95,262	\$ 94,893	\$ 111,749
TV's, Radios, Cameras, etc	\$ 977,525	\$1,1 <i>75,757</i>	\$1,035,622
Firearms	\$ 262,161	\$ 293,029	\$ 260,399
Household Goods	\$ 950,126	\$ 392,205	\$ 373,864
Consumable Goods	\$ 109,220	\$ 86,920	\$ 113,028
Livestock	\$ 92,291	\$ 24,107	\$ 23,510
Misc	\$2,594,072	\$2,733,457	\$3,343,712
TYPE OF PROPERTY	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER
Currency	<b>JULY</b> \$ 910,779	<b>AUGUST</b> \$ 857,509	<b>SEPTEMBER</b> \$1,168,642
Currency Jewelry	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195
Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416 \$ 274,093	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834 \$ 382,474	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195 \$ 213,116
Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416 \$ 274,093 \$3,742,338	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834 \$ 382,474 \$4,208,839	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195 \$ 213,116 \$3,885,692
Currency  Jewelry  Clothing & Furs  Motor Vehicles  Office Equipment	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416 \$ 274,093 \$3,742,338 \$ 154,473	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834 \$ 382,474 \$4,208,839 \$ 99,396	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195 \$ 213,116 \$3,885,692 \$ 92,580
Currency  Jewelry  Clothing & Furs  Motor Vehicles  Office Equipment  TV's, Radios, Cameras, etc	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416 \$ 274,093 \$3,742,338 \$ 154,473 1,356,240	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834 \$ 382,474 \$4,208,839 \$ 99,396 \$1,307,421	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195 \$ 213,116 \$3,885,692 \$ 92,580 \$1,153,406
Currency	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416 \$ 274,093 \$3,742,338 \$ 154,473 1,356,240 \$ 331,382	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834 \$ 382,474 \$4,208,839 \$ 99,396 \$1,307,421 \$ 356,727	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195 \$ 213,116 \$3,885,692 \$ 92,580 \$1,153,406 \$ 398,899
Currency	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416 \$ 274,093 \$3,742,338 \$ 154,473 1,356,240 \$ 331,382 \$ 461,722	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834 \$ 382,474 \$4,208,839 \$ 99,396 \$1,307,421 \$ 356,727 \$ 609,254	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195 \$ 213,116 \$3,885,692 \$ 92,580 \$1,153,406 \$ 398,899 \$ 399,612
Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles Office Equipment TV's, Radios, Cameras, etc. Firearms Household Goods Consumable Goods	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416 \$ 274,093 \$3,742,338 \$ 154,473 1,356,240 \$ 331,382 \$ 461,722 \$ 131,237	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834 \$ 382,474 \$4,208,839 \$ 99,396 \$1,307,421 \$ 356,727 \$ 609,254 \$ 115,409	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195 \$ 213,116 \$3,885,692 \$ 92,580 \$1,153,406 \$ 398,899 \$ 399,612 \$ 101,564
Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles Office Equipment TV's, Radios, Cameras, etc. Firearms Household Goods Consumable Goods Livestock	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416 \$ 274,093 \$3,742,338 \$ 154,473 1,356,240 \$ 331,382 \$ 461,722 \$ 131,237 \$ 26,974	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834 \$ 382,474 \$4,208,839 \$ 99,396 \$1,307,421 \$ 356,727 \$ 609,254 \$ 115,409 \$ 44,379	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195 \$ 213,116 \$3,885,692 \$ 92,580 \$1,153,406 \$ 398,899 \$ 399,612 \$ 101,564 \$ 29,594
Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles Office Equipment TV's, Radios, Cameras, etc. Firearms Household Goods Consumable Goods	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416 \$ 274,093 \$3,742,338 \$ 154,473 1,356,240 \$ 331,382 \$ 461,722 \$ 131,237	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834 \$ 382,474 \$4,208,839 \$ 99,396 \$1,307,421 \$ 356,727 \$ 609,254 \$ 115,409	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195 \$ 213,116 \$3,885,692 \$ 92,580 \$1,153,406 \$ 398,899 \$ 399,612 \$ 101,564
Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles Office Equipment TV's, Radios, Cameras, etc. Firearms Household Goods Consumable Goods Livestock Misc.  TYPE OF PROPERTY	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416 \$ 274,093 \$3,742,338 \$ 154,473 1,356,240 \$ 331,382 \$ 461,722 \$ 131,237 \$ 26,974	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834 \$ 382,474 \$4,208,839 \$ 99,396 \$1,307,421 \$ 356,727 \$ 609,254 \$ 115,409 \$ 44,379	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195 \$ 213,116 \$3,885,692 \$ 92,580 \$1,153,406 \$ 398,899 \$ 399,612 \$ 101,564 \$ 29,594
Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles Office Equipment TV's, Radios, Cameras, etc. Firearms Household Goods Consumable Goods Livestock Misc.  TYPE OF PROPERTY Currency	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416 \$ 274,093 \$3,742,338 \$ 154,473 1,356,240 \$ 331,382 \$ 461,722 \$ 131,237 \$ 26,974 \$3,414,656 OCTOBER \$ 985,568	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834 \$ 382,474 \$4,208,839 \$ 99,396 \$1,307,421 \$ 356,727 \$ 609,254 \$ 115,409 \$ 44,379 \$3,098,054 <b>NOVEMBER</b> \$ 886,663	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195 \$ 213,116 \$3,885,692 \$ 92,580 \$1,153,406 \$ 398,899 \$ 399,612 \$ 101,564 \$ 29,594 \$3,352,155 <b>DECEMBER</b> \$1,297,930
Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles Office Equipment TV's, Radios, Cameras, etc. Firearms Household Goods Consumable Goods Livestock Misc.  TYPE OF PROPERTY Currency jewelry	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416 \$ 274,093 \$3,742,338 \$ 154,473 1,356,240 \$ 331,382 \$ 461,722 \$ 131,237 \$ 26,974 \$3,414,656 OCTOBER \$ 985,568 \$3,061,483	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834 \$ 382,474 \$4,208,839 \$ 99,396 \$1,307,421 \$ 356,727 \$ 609,254 \$ 115,409 \$ 44,379 \$3,098,054 <b>NOVEMBER</b> \$ 886,663 \$2,455,681	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195 \$ 213,116 \$3,885,692 \$ 92,580 \$1,153,406 \$ 398,899 \$ 399,612 \$ 101,564 \$ 29,594 \$3,352,155 DECEMBER \$1,297,930 \$2,699,408
Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles Office Equipment TV's, Radios, Cameras, etc. Firearms Household Goods Consumable Goods Livestock Misc.  TYPE OF PROPERTY  Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416 \$ 274,093 \$3,742,338 \$ 154,473 1,356,240 \$ 331,382 \$ 461,722 \$ 131,237 \$ 26,974 \$3,414,656 OCTOBER \$ 985,568 \$3,061,483 \$ 252,215	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834 \$ 382,474 \$4,208,839 \$ 99,396 \$1,307,421 \$ 356,727 \$ 609,254 \$ 115,409 \$ 44,379 \$3,098,054 <b>NOVEMBER</b> \$ 886,663 \$2,455,681 \$ 244,575	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195 \$ 213,116 \$3,885,692 \$ 92,580 \$1,153,406 \$ 398,899 \$ 399,612 \$ 101,564 \$ 29,594 \$3,352,155 DECEMBER \$1,297,930 \$2,699,408 \$ 279,712
Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles Office Equipment TV's, Radios, Cameras, etc. Firearms Household Goods Consumable Goods Livestock Misc.  TYPE OF PROPERTY  Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416 \$ 274,093 \$3,742,338 \$ 154,473 1,356,240 \$ 331,382 \$ 461,722 \$ 131,237 \$ 26,974 \$3,414,656 OCTOBER \$ 985,568 \$3,061,483 \$ 252,215 \$3,318,036	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834 \$ 382,474 \$4,208,839 \$ 99,396 \$1,307,421 \$ 356,727 \$ 609,254 \$ 115,409 \$ 44,379 \$3,098,054 NOVEMBER \$ 886,663 \$2,455,681 \$ 244,575 \$3,376,481	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195 \$ 213,116 \$3,885,692 \$ 92,580 \$1,153,406 \$ 398,899 \$ 399,612 \$ 101,564 \$ 29,594 \$3,352,155 DECEMBER \$1,297,930 \$2,699,408 \$ 279,712 \$3,255,204
Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles Office Equipment TV's, Radios, Cameras, etc. Firearms Household Goods Consumable Goods Livestock Misc.  TYPE OF PROPERTY  Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles Office Equipment	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416 \$ 274,093 \$3,742,338 \$ 154,473 1,356,240 \$ 331,382 \$ 461,722 \$ 131,237 \$ 26,974 \$3,414,656 OCTOBER \$ 985,568 \$3,061,483 \$ 252,215 \$3,318,036 \$ 90,211	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834 \$ 382,474 \$4,208,839 \$ 99,396 \$1,307,421 \$ 356,727 \$ 609,254 \$ 115,409 \$ 44,379 \$3,098,054 <b>NOVEMBER</b> \$ 886,663 \$2,455,681 \$ 244,575 \$3,376,481 \$ 109,948	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195 \$ 213,116 \$3,885,692 \$ 92,580 \$1,153,406 \$ 398,899 \$ 399,612 \$ 101,564 \$ 29,594 \$3,352,155 DECEMBER \$1,297,930 \$2,699,408 \$ 279,712 \$3,255,204 \$ 159,494
Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles Office Equipment TV's, Radios, Cameras, etc. Firearms Household Goods Consumable Goods Livestock Misc.  TYPE OF PROPERTY  Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles Office Equipment TV's Radios, Cameras, etc.	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416 \$ 274,093 \$3,742,338 \$ 154,473 1,356,240 \$ 331,382 \$ 461,722 \$ 131,237 \$ 26,974 \$3,414,656 OCTOBER \$ 985,568 \$3,061,483 \$ 252,215 \$3,318,036 \$ 90,211 \$1,219,917	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834 \$ 382,474 \$4,208,839 \$ 99,396 \$1,307,421 \$ 356,727 \$ 609,254 \$ 115,409 \$ 44,379 \$3,098,054 NOVEMBER \$ 886,663 \$2,455,681 \$ 244,575 \$3,376,481 \$ 109,948 \$1,120,228	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195 \$ 213,116 \$3,885,692 \$ 92,580 \$1,153,406 \$ 398,899 \$ 399,612 \$ 101,564 \$ 29,594 \$3,352,155 DECEMBER \$1,297,930 \$2,699,408 \$ 279,712 \$3,255,204 \$ 159,494 \$1,405,609
Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles Office Equipment TV's, Radios, Cameras, etc. Firearms Household Goods Consumable Goods Livestock Misc.  TYPE OF PROPERTY  Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles Office Equipment TV's Radios, Cameras, etc. Firearms	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416 \$ 274,093 \$3,742,338 \$ 154,473 1,356,240 \$ 331,382 \$ 461,722 \$ 131,237 \$ 26,974 \$3,414,656 OCTOBER \$ 985,568 \$3,061,483 \$ 252,215 \$3,318,036 \$ 90,211 \$1,219,917 \$ 427,285	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834 \$ 382,474 \$4,208,839 \$ 99,396 \$1,307,421 \$ 356,727 \$ 609,254 \$ 115,409 \$ 44,379 \$3,098,054 NOVEMBER \$ 886,663 \$2,455,681 \$ 244,575 \$3,376,481 \$ 109,948 \$1,120,228 \$ 359,108	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195 \$ 213,116 \$3,885,692 \$ 92,580 \$1,153,406 \$ 398,899 \$ 399,612 \$ 101,564 \$ 29,594 \$3,352,155 DECEMBER \$1,297,930 \$2,699,408 \$ 279,712 \$3,255,204 \$ 159,494 \$1,405,609 \$ 471,624
Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles Office Equipment TV's, Radios, Cameras, etc. Firearms Household Goods Consumable Goods Livestock Misc.  TYPE OF PROPERTY  Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles Office Equipment TV's Radios, Cameras, etc. Firearms Household Goods	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416 \$ 274,093 \$3,742,338 \$ 154,473 1,356,240 \$ 331,382 \$ 461,722 \$ 131,237 \$ 26,974 \$3,414,656 OCTOBER \$ 985,568 \$3,061,483 \$ 252,215 \$3,318,036 \$ 90,211 \$1,219,917 \$ 427,285 \$ 522,764	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834 \$ 382,474 \$4,208,839 \$ 99,396 \$1,307,421 \$ 356,727 \$ 609,254 \$ 115,409 \$ 44,379 \$3,098,054 NOVEMBER \$ 886,663 \$2,455,681 \$ 244,575 \$3,376,481 \$ 109,948 \$1,120,228 \$ 359,108 \$ 420,112	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195 \$ 213,116 \$3,885,692 \$ 92,580 \$1,153,406 \$ 398,899 \$ 399,612 \$ 101,564 \$ 29,594 \$3,352,155 DECEMBER \$1,297,930 \$2,699,408 \$ 279,712 \$3,255,204 \$ 159,494 \$1,405,609 \$ 471,624 \$ 477,119
Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles Office Equipment TV's, Radios, Cameras, etc. Firearms Household Goods Consumable Goods Livestock Misc.  TYPE OF PROPERTY  Currency jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles Office Equipment TV's Radios, Cameras, etc. Firearms Household Goods Consumable Goods	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416 \$ 274,093 \$3,742,338 \$ 154,473 1,356,240 \$ 331,382 \$ 461,722 \$ 131,237 \$ 26,974 \$3,414,656 OCTOBER \$ 985,568 \$3,061,483 \$ 252,215 \$3,318,036 \$ 90,211 \$1,219,917 \$ 427,285 \$ 522,764 \$ 163,426	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834 \$ 382,474 \$4,208,839 \$ 99,396 \$1,307,421 \$ 356,727 \$ 609,254 \$ 115,409 \$ 44,379 \$3,098,054 NOVEMBER \$ 886,663 \$2,455,681 \$ 244,575 \$3,376,481 \$ 109,948 \$1,120 228 \$ 359,108 \$ 420,112 \$ 10,692	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195 \$ 213,116 \$3,885,692 \$ 92,580 \$1,153,406 \$ 398,899 \$ 399,612 \$ 101,564 \$ 29,594 \$3,352,155 DECEMBER \$1,297,930 \$2,699,408 \$ 279,712 \$3,255,204 \$ 159,494 \$1,405,609 \$ 471,624 \$ 477,119 \$ 153,588
Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles Office Equipment TV's, Radios, Cameras, etc. Firearms Household Goods Consumable Goods Livestock Misc.  TYPE OF PROPERTY  Currency Jewelry Clothing & Furs Motor Vehicles Office Equipment TV's Radios, Cameras, etc. Firearms Household Goods	\$ 910,779 \$1,499,416 \$ 274,093 \$3,742,338 \$ 154,473 1,356,240 \$ 331,382 \$ 461,722 \$ 131,237 \$ 26,974 \$3,414,656 OCTOBER \$ 985,568 \$3,061,483 \$ 252,215 \$3,318,036 \$ 90,211 \$1,219,917 \$ 427,285 \$ 522,764	\$ 857,509 \$1,624,834 \$ 382,474 \$4,208,839 \$ 99,396 \$1,307,421 \$ 356,727 \$ 609,254 \$ 115,409 \$ 44,379 \$3,098,054 NOVEMBER \$ 886,663 \$2,455,681 \$ 244,575 \$3,376,481 \$ 109,948 \$1,120,228 \$ 359,108 \$ 420,112	\$1,168,642 \$2,189,195 \$ 213,116 \$3,885,692 \$ 92,580 \$1,153,406 \$ 398,899 \$ 399,612 \$ 101,564 \$ 29,594 \$3,352,155 DECEMBER \$1,297,930 \$2,699,408 \$ 279,712 \$3,255,204 \$ 159,494 \$1,405,609 \$ 471,624 \$ 477,119

#### TOTAL VALUE OF PROPERTY STOLEN BY TYPE OF OFFENSE — 1980

MURDER	Number	Value
	808	\$22,779

RAPE	Number	Value
	1,306	\$8,914

ROBBERY		
Classification	Number	Value
Highway	1,667	\$ 604,153
Commercial House	725	\$ 508,877
Service Station	195	\$ 111,355
Convenience Store	745	\$ 274,317
Residence	445	\$ 533,843
Bank	102	\$ 449,819
Miscellaneous	875	\$ 380,429
TOTAL	4,754	\$2,862,793

LARCENY		
Classification	Number	Value
Pick Pocket	1,142	\$ 218,024
Purse-Snatching	1,357	\$ 206,153
Shoplifting	14,040	\$ 966,149
From Motor		
Vehicles	23,179	\$ 7,037,942
Motor Vehicle		
Parts & Acc.	34,248	\$ 6,210,734
Bicycles	14,377	\$ 1,752,736
From Buildings	21,789	\$ 9,454,910
Coin-Op		
Machines	2,636	\$ 221,282
All Other	33,970	\$15,742,925
TOTAL	146,738	\$41,810,855

BURGLARY Classification	Number	Value
RESIDENCE	51,317	\$36,937,487
Night	17,179	\$10,593,179
Day	21,501	\$17,030,169
Unknown	12,637	\$ 9,314,139
Nonresidence	30,694	\$16,127,245
Night	19,808	\$10,867,928
Day	3,920	\$ 1,963,490
Unknown	6,966	\$ 3,295,827
TOTAL	82,011	\$53,064,732

MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT		
MV Theft	Number 12,474	<b>Value</b> \$39,925,860

Average Property Loss by Index Offense and Sub-type 1980 AVERAGE DOLLAR LOSS PER OFFENSE \$100 \$200 \$300 \$400 \$500 \$700 \$800 \$1000 Robbery by Location Highway (streets, alleys, etc.) **Commercial Store** Service Station **Convenience Store** Residence Bank Miscellaneous Average Loss for All Robbery Burglary by Location and Time Night Residence Day Unknown Average Loss for All Residence Burglary Night Nonresidence Day Unknown Average Loss for All Nonresidence Burglary Average Loss for All Burglary Larceny by Type Pocketpicking Purse snatching Shoplifting From motor vehicles Motor vehicle parts and accessories **Bicycles** From buildings From coin operated machines Other Average Loss for All Larceny **Motor Vehicle Theft** 

VALUE OF PROPERTY
STOLEN & RECOVERED
BY COUNTY
1979 — 1980

# VALUE OF PROPERTY STOLEN AND RECOVERED BY COUNTY

VALUE OF	FROFERIT	STOLEN AND RE		PERCENT		
COUNTY	YEAR	VALUE OF PROPERTY STOLEN	VALUE OF PROPERTY RECOVERED	RECOVERED		
Alamance	1979	1,251,485	528,558	42.23		
	<b>1980</b>	<b>1,557,818</b>	<b>565,587</b>	<b>36.31</b>		
Alexander	1979	154,080	16,686	10.83		
	<b>1980</b>	<b>223,517</b>	<b>42,229</b>	<b>18.89</b>		
Alleghany	1979	82,632	47,943	58.02		
	<b>1980</b>	<b>122,331</b>	<b>57,258</b>	<b>46.81</b>		
Anson	1979	366,913	201,668	54.96		
	1 <b>980</b>	<b>237,212</b>	<b>34,346</b>	<b>14.48</b>		
Ashe	1979	67,954	39,229	57.73		
	<b>1980</b>	<b>75,493</b>	<b>15,029</b>	1 <b>9.9</b> 1		
	1979	137,206	78,266	57.04		
	1980	<b>152,542</b>	<b>30,072</b>	<b>19.71</b>		
Avery	1979	293,672	111,211	37.87		
Beaufort	1980	<b>514,076</b>	128,000	<b>24.90</b>		
	1979	105,995	20,194	19.05		
	1980	114,886	<b>19,828</b>	<b>17.26</b>		
Bertie	1979	288,065	37,822	13.13		
Bladen	1980	<b>284,524</b>	<b>50,464</b>	<b>17.74</b>		
Brunswick	1979	863,148	322,373	37.35		
	1 <b>980</b>	<b>522,094</b>	<b>122,032</b>	<b>23.37</b>		
Buncombe	1979	3,501,000	1,455,572	41.58		
	1980	<b>4,529,392</b>	1, <b>929,137</b>	<b>42.59</b>		
Burke	1979	888,806	261,104	29.38		
	1980	1, <b>519</b> ,1 <b>96</b>	<b>442,457</b>	<b>29.12</b>		
Cabarrus	1979	1,042,330	372,035	35.69		
	<b>1980</b>	1,115,711	<b>297,267</b>	<b>26.64</b>		
Caldwell	1979	1,042,705	540,702	51.86		
	<b>1980</b>	<b>1,282,872</b>	<b>428,238</b>	<b>33.38</b>		
Camden	1979	57,507	16,365	28.46		
	<b>1980</b>	<b>98,320</b>	<b>29,290</b>	<b>29.79</b>		
Carteret	1979	643,197	175,284	27.25		
	<b>1980</b>	<b>1,221,867</b>	<b>353,520</b>	<b>28.93</b>		
Caswell	1979	91,877	5,085	5.53		
	1980	<b>113,822</b>	<b>7,319</b>	<b>6.43</b>		
Catawba	1979	1,838,724	580,693	31.58		
	1980	<b>2,750,808</b>	<b>762,873</b>	<b>27.73</b>		
Chatham	1979	266.848	61,542	23.06		
	<b>1980</b>	<b>387,444</b>	<b>98,084</b>	<b>25.32</b>		
Cherokee	1979	240,773	43,316	17.99		
	1980	<b>195,044</b>	<b>75,436</b>	<b>38.68</b>		
Cherokee	1 700	,				

## **VALUE OF PROPERTY STOLEN AND RECOVERED BY COUNTY**

COUNTY	YEAR	VALUE OF PROPERTY STOLEN	VALUE OF PROPERTY RECOVERED	PERCENT RECOVERED
Chowan	1979	64,254	16,798	26.14
	<b>1980</b>	<b>95,483</b>	<b>28,108</b>	<b>29.44</b>
Clay	1979	31,288	0	0.00
	<b>1980</b>	<b>127,380</b>	<b>33,167</b>	<b>26.04</b>
Cleveland	1979	965,774	284,188	29.43
	<b>1980</b>	1,100,129	<b>332,614</b>	<b>30.23</b>
Columbus	1979	599,547	117,329	19. <i>57</i>
	<b>1980</b>	<b>684,758</b>	<b>115,488</b>	<b>16.87</b>
Craven	1979	918,795	378,515	41.20
	<b>1980</b>	1, <b>213,698</b>	<b>492,649</b>	<b>40.59</b>
Cumberland	1979	6,355,002	2,560,432	40.29
	<b>1980</b>	<b>8,849,194</b>	<b>3,594,521</b>	<b>40.62</b>
Currituck	1979	56,492	4,424	7.83
	1 <b>980</b>	<b>84,713</b>	<b>27,427</b>	<b>32.38</b>
Dare	1979	219,741	18,699	8.51
	<b>1980</b>	<b>203,038</b>	<b>39,674</b>	<b>19.54</b>
Davidson	1979	1,717,180	483,988	28.19
	<b>1980</b>	<b>2,221,171</b>	<b>616,390</b>	<b>27.75</b>
Davie	1979	169,520	31,109	18.35
	<b>1980</b>	<b>494,189</b>	<b>85,174</b>	<b>17.24</b>
Duplin	1979	309,044	109,764	35,52
	<b>1980</b>	<b>384,362</b>	<b>113,608</b>	<b>29,56</b>
Durham	1979	4,025,900	1,119,185	27.80
	<b>1980</b>	<b>5,617,182</b>	<b>1,383,167</b>	<b>24.62</b>
Edgecombe	1979	1,254,074	417,451	33.29
	<b>1980</b>	<b>1,497,992</b>	<b>346,042</b>	<b>23.10</b>
Forsyth	1979	5,469,960	1,327,196	24.26
	<b>1980</b>	<b>12,982,067</b>	<b>2,553,479</b>	<b>19.67</b>
Franklin	1979	147,871	28,800	19.48
	<b>1980</b>	<b>232,118</b>	<b>67,041</b>	<b>28.88</b>
Gaston	1979	3,351,890	899,748	26.84
	<b>1980</b>	<b>3,713,105</b>	<b>886,130</b>	<b>23.86</b>
Gates	1979	54,972	22,580	41.08
	<b>1980</b>	<b>39,706</b>	1, <b>661</b>	<b>4.18</b>
Graham	1979	43,740	1,500	3.43
	<b>1980</b>	<b>88,766</b>	<b>12,444</b>	<b>14.02</b>
Granville	1979	349,232	59,631	17.07
	<b>1980</b>	<b>413,139</b>	<b>88,732</b>	<b>21.48</b>
Greene	1979	177,429	76,535	43.14
	<b>1980</b>	<b>117,295</b>	<b>20,897</b>	<b>17.82</b>

VALUE OF PROPERTY STOLEN AND RECOVERED BY COUNTY

	YEAR	VALUE OF PROPERTY STOLEN	VALUE OF PROPERTY RECOVERED	PERCENT RECOVERED
OUNTY			3,619,149	40.39
	1979	8,961,520 <b>10,490,079</b>	3,275,388	31.22
Guilford	1980		182,329	48.67
	1979	374,648 <b>511,654</b>	263,089	51.42
Halifax	1980		212,998	25.89
	1979	822,684	433,978	45.29
Harnett	1980	958,227		42.99
	1979	523,962	225.271 174,207	18.49
Haywood	1980	941,958	1	47.52
· · · · · /	1979	743,188	353,133	53.28
Henderson	1980	1,298,223	691,656	l
C  Goloon	1979	123,304	51,169	41.50
Hertford	1980	183,667	57,654	31.39
Hernord	ł	235,871	72,374	30.68
Unko	1979 1980	301,383	65,981	21.89
Hoke	1	13,876	3,270	23.57
	1979	49,143	42,088	85.64
Hyde	1980	1	351,534	48.66
	1979	722,452	553,062	39.91
Iredell	1980	1,385,791	168,785	44.11
	1979	382,604	29,920	17.72
Jackson	1980	168,887		14.53
	1979	993,417	144,320	26.66
Johnston	1980	1,224,313	326,414	ì
<b>QQ</b> 1111-1-11	1979	27,269	11,995	43.99 <b>42.74</b>
Jones	1980	46,985	20,083	1
JUNES	1979	631,684	357,399	56.58
1.00	1980	742,405	215,268	29.00
Lee	1	946,369	469,230	49.58
1	1979 1980	1,049,510	353,041	33.64
Lenoir		563,456	138,029	24.50
	1979	552,193	110,725	20.05
Lincoln	1980	ì	183,139	48.31
	1979	379,072 <b>473,086</b>	243,899	51.55
McDowell	1980		40,679	31,22
	1979	130,310	24,828	11.32
Macon	1980	219,267		14.53
	1979	1,032*	150* 1,100*	18.72
Madison	1980	5,875*	1	1
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1979	87,724	21,526	24.54 <b>30.92</b>
Martin	1980	102,941	31,834	
/ <b>Y</b> IGITITE	1979	12,823,708	4,669,751	36.41
Mecklenburg	1980	19,563,523	5,144,071	26.29

<sup>\*</sup>Incomplete reporting. See History of Contributor Participation Section for agencies which are missing months.

# VALUE OF PROPERTY STOLEN AND RECOVERED BY COUNTY

COUNTY	YEAR	VALUE OF PROPERTY STOLEN	VALUE OF PROPERTY RECOVERED	PERCENT RECOVERED
Mitchell	1979	27,743	9,304	33.54
	1980	<b>70,232</b>	<b>9,387</b>	<b>13.37</b>
Montgomery	1979	236,655*	106,316*	44.92*
	<b>1980</b>	<b>202,393</b> *	<b>72,989</b> *	<b>36.06</b> *
Moore	1979	1,185,142	837,928	70.70
	1980	<b>1,551,924</b>	<b>719,493</b>	<b>46.36</b>
Nash	1979	751,033	210,580	28.04
	<b>1980</b>	<b>742,760</b>	<b>334,186</b>	<b>44.99</b>
New Hanover	1979	3,615,582	1,080,113	29.87
	<b>1980</b>	<b>4,567,199</b>	<b>1,582,614</b>	<b>34.65</b>
Northampton	1979	194,246	85,933	44.24
	<b>1980</b>	<b>147,243</b>	<b>65,844</b>	<b>44.72</b>
Onslow	1979	1,794,295	524,168	29.21
	1980	<b>2,275,674</b>	<b>1,081,964</b>	<b>47.54</b>
Orange	1979	1,280,287	303,293	23.69
	<b>1980</b>	1,916,234	<b>248,298</b>	<b>12.96</b>
Pamlico	1979	62,492	32,771	52.44
	<b>1980</b>	<b>125,941</b>	<b>55,135</b>	<b>43.78</b>
Pasquotank	1979	189,791	68,772	36.24
	<b>1980</b>	<b>250,014</b>	11 <b>3,909</b>	<b>45.56</b>
Pender	1979	165,01 <i>4</i>	8,072	4.&9
	<b>1980</b>	<b>203,339</b>	<b>40,210</b>	<b>19.77</b>
Perquimans	1979	30,226	25,544	84.51
	<b>1980</b>	<b>59,651</b>	<b>18,038</b>	<b>30.24</b>
Person	1979	275,415	64,840	23,54
	<b>1980</b>	<b>344,061</b>	<b>50,917</b>	<b>14.80</b>
Pitt	1979	1,209,379	411,715	34.04
	1 <b>980</b>	1, <b>807,042</b>	<b>495,531</b>	<b>27.42</b>
Polk	1979	157,375	16,342	10.38
	<b>1980</b>	<b>83,772</b> *	11,563*	<b>13.80</b> *
Randolph	1979	946,287	515,191	54.44
	<b>1980</b>	1, <b>354,603</b>	<b>437,507</b>	<b>32.30</b>
Richmond	1979	461,954*	187,697*	40.63*
	<b>1980</b>	<b>907,355</b>	<b>164,370</b>	<b>18.12</b>
Robeson	1979	1,668,410	336,593	20.17
	<b>1980</b>	1,815,177	<b>449,648</b>	<b>24.77</b>
Rockingham	1979	1,007,370	400,208	39.73
	<b>1980</b>	<b>1,379,593</b>	<b>681,440</b>	<b>49.39</b>
Rowan	1979	998,807	313,439	31.38
	<b>1980</b>	<b>1,477,784</b>	<b>409,520</b>	<b>27.71</b>

<sup>\*</sup>Incomplete reporting. See History of Contributor Participation Section for agencies which are missing months.

**VALUE OF PROPERTY STOLEN AND RECOVERED BY COUNTY** 

COUNTY	YEAR	VALUE OF PROPERTY STOLEN	VALUE OF PROPERTY RECOVERED	PERCENT RECOVERED
Rutherford	1979	421,692	144,592	34.29
	<b>1980</b>	<b>767,952</b>	<b>154,004</b>	<b>20.05</b>
Sampson	1979	497,793	145,878	29.30
	<b>1980</b>	<b>520,999</b>	<b>120,558</b>	<b>23.14</b>
Scotland	1979	466,683	165,325	35.43
	<b>1980</b>	<b>766,675</b>	<b>182,556</b>	<b>23.81</b>
Stanly .	1979	369,735	163,144	44.12
	1 <b>980</b>	<b>486,341</b>	<b>150,084</b>	<b>30.86</b>
Stokes	1979	212,592	52,945	24.90
	<b>1980</b>	<b>306,866</b>	<b>119,788</b>	<b>39.04</b>
Surry	1979	509,104*	126.398*	24.83*
	<b>1980</b>	<b>720,642</b>	<b>126,074</b>	<b>17.49</b>
Swain	1979	37,168	14,575	39.21
	<b>1980</b>	<b>36,477</b>	<b>5,942</b>	<b>16.29</b>
Transylvania	1979	237,536	77,657	32.69
	<b>1980</b>	<b>281,343</b>	<b>122,534</b>	<b>43.55</b>
Tyrrell	1979	35,499	13,658	38.47
	- <b>1980</b>	<b>14,371</b>	<b>3,280</b>	<b>22.82</b>
Union	1979	552,762	102,923	18.62
	<b>1980</b>	<b>930,514</b>	<b>190,860</b>	<b>20.51</b>
Vance	1979	501,409	77,798	15.52
	<b>1980</b>	<b>582,075</b>	<b>72,911</b>	<b>12.53</b>
Wake	1979	6,588,428	2,365,571	35.90
	<b>1980</b>	<b>8,677,100</b>	<b>2,687,357</b>	<b>30.97</b>
Warren	1979	DNP	DNP	DNP
	<b>1980</b>	<b>552</b> *	<b>2</b> *	<b>0.36</b> *
Washington	1979	87,831	33,319	37.94
	<b>1980</b>	<b>102,809</b>	<b>21,334</b>	<b>20.75</b>
Watauga	1979	405,841	114,630	28.25
	<b>1980</b>	<b>558,743</b>	<b>199,805</b>	<b>35.76</b>
Wayne	1979	592,982	195,581	32.98
	<b>1980</b>	<b>1,969,56</b> &	<b>805,738</b>	<b>40.91</b>
Wilkes	1979	562,469	306,559	54.50
	<b>1980</b>	<b>657,389</b>	<b>241,221</b>	<b>36.69</b>
Wilson	1979	1,236,071	396,334	32.06
	<b>1980</b>	<b>1,365,836</b>	<b>501,761</b>	<b>36.74</b>
Yadkin	1979	182,302	32,433	17.79
	<b>1980</b>	<b>219,444</b>	<b>47,682</b>	<b>21.73</b>
Yancey	1979	20,025	12,675	63.30
	1980	<b>600</b> *	<b>350</b> *	<b>58.33</b> *

<sup>\*</sup>Incomplete reporting. See History of Contributor Participation Section for agencies which are missing

# **VALUE OF PROPERTY STOLEN AND RECOVERED BY COUNTY**

COUNTY	YEAR	VALUE OF PROPERTY STOLEN	VALUE OF PROPERTY RECOVERED	PERCENT RECOVERED
Rural Total	1979 <b>1980</b>	37,936,310 <b>49,587,678</b>	13,109,638 <b>15,769,034</b>	34.56 <b>31.80</b>
Urban Total	1979 <b>1980</b>	61,756,557 <b>88,108,173</b>	21,442,995 <b>25,412,535</b>	34.72 <b>28.84</b>
State Total	1979 <b>1980</b>	99,692,867 <b>137,695,851</b>	34,552,633 <b>41,181,569</b>	34.66 <b>29.91</b>
			·	

DNP - No agency within Warren County participated in the UCR Program in 1979.

# SECTION FIVE

# NORTH CAROLINA ARREST DATA



Statewide	TOT	'AL A	RRES	FS, BY	AGE	: 1980	)														
Offense Category	Under 15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25- 21	30- 34	35 <b>-</b> 37	40- 44	45• 49	50- 54	55- 57	60- 64	65- Over	Total	))ist
Murder	5	8	17	15	24	31	43	27	26	28	109	80	69	45	34	23	21	11	12	628	0.2
Manslaughter by Negligence	1	6	21	27	32	25	19	21	14	11	53	29	9	15	3	4	5	3	8	306	0, 1
Forcible Rape	26	25	39	39 '	31	58	57	36	39	62	143	74	38	30	18	12	8	2	1	738	0,2
Robbery	73	88	116	167	180	175	184	137	115	116	421	175	80	42	16	17	6	3	3	2,114	0.6
Assault Aggravated	230	287	359	596	587	650	674	704	708	680	2765	1943	1377	963	721	536	334	198	167	14,479	4,1
Burglary	1956	1552	1591	1749	1358	1165	947	741	757	615	1814	837	388	224	147	102	57	28	23	16,051	4,6
Larceny	3095	1899	1970	2202	:739	1525	1347	1179	1068	946	3529	1932	1174	686	617	497	364	209	208	26,186	7.5
Motor Vehicle Theft	309	277	236	235	175	143	128	97	98	112	285	153	86	53	37	. 16	7	5	2	2,454	0.7
Arson	53	26	42	29	21	24	17	22	18	19	47	40	24	23	15	20	5	4	1	450	0.1
Assault - Simple	461	564	713	1084	1065	1265	1328	1269	1381	1345	5332	3741	2363	1532	1075	716	469	242	203	26,148	7.5
Forgery and Counterfeiting	81	111	174	269	258	279	284	227	213	225	851	448	287	136	80	54	23	11	19	4,030	1,1
Fraud	60	92	299	675	1050	1525	1705	1912	2159	2191	10,075	7408	4526	2784	1541	942	556	271	197	39,969	11.4
Embezzlement	9	11	31	31	30	34	32	32	28	34	144	107	59	63	45	13	9	å	2	718	0.2
Stolen Propert /	135	160	199	245	184	17.	201	145	127	126	430	249	173	112	79	46	41	17	22	2,866	ช.0
Vandalism	798	276	357	437	361	326	290	283	303	215	827	439	249	173	116	96	47	18	36	5,647	1.6
Weapons	67	103	127	204	249	237	249	206	182	182	699	450	302	203	159	112	66	36	40	3,873	1.1
Prostitution - Vice	7	10	21	30	30	57	53	43	43	31	101	56	33	18	13	13	5	1	7	572	0.2
Sex Offenses	36	36	28	40	63	47	54	48	44	38	176	115	78	52	43	26	17	21	11	973	0.3
Drug Offenses	387	581	1050	1542	1727	1635	1562	1359	1082	940	2795	1140	489	216	119	102	68	28	31	16,855	4.8
Gambling	3	5	9	12	11	10	13	13	18	15	80	67	78	49	41	42	28	17	20	531	0.2
Offenses Against the Family	9	31	70	169	231	325	373	458	534	588	2207	1741	1241	632	316	169	81	38	18	9,232	2.6
Driving Under the Influence	101	580	1519	3086	4098	4294	4313	4221	3822	3573	13,484	9931	7118	5741	4611	3682	2733	1494	1227	79,629	22.7
Liquor laws	130	402	617	517	550	447	432	407	350	337	1116	832	687	595	547	498	332	230	180	9,206	2.6
Disorderly Conduct	171	278	441	717	738	788	706	574	578	550	1825	1210	881	875	897	857	588	404	297	13,375	3.8
Vagrancy	3	7	9	6	5	5	5	7	2	3	21	9	12	6	5	4	C	4	4	117	0.0
All Other Offenses	1616	1424	2073	3189	3385	3820	3687	3660	3678	3728	14,125	10,029	6403	4326	2886	2129	1255	782	627	72,822	20.8
Curfews Loitering	6	9	18																	33	0,0
Runaways	913	19	10				_													942	0.3
TOTAL	10,741	8,867	12,25)	17,312	18,183	19,065	18,703	17,829	17,388	16,710	63,453	43,235	28,224	19,594	14,181	10,728	7,125	4,082	3,366	350,944	100.0

# TOTAL ARRESTS BY SEX: 1980

## Statewide

	Person	s Arrested	Percent D	istribution
Offense Category	Male	Female	Male	Female
Murder	517	111	82.3	17.7
Manslaughter by Negligence	263	43	85.9	14.1
Forcible Rape	735	3	99.6	0.4
Robbery	1,955	159	92.5	7.5
Assault—Aggravated	12,608	1,871	87.1	12.9
Burglary	15,022	1,029	93.6	6.4
Larceny	19,641	6,545	75.0	25.0
Motor Vehicle Theft	2,227	227	90.7	9.3
Arson	394	56	87.6	12.4
Subtotal - Part 1 Offenses	53,362	10,044	84.2	15.8
Assault - Simple	22,614	3,534	86.5	13.5
Forgery and Counterfeiting	2,797	1,233	69.4	30.6
Fraud	21,229	18,740	53.1	46.9
Embezzlement	539	1 <i>7</i> 9	<i>75</i> .1	24.9
Stolen Property	2,585	281	90.2	9.8
Vandalism	4,918	729	87.1	12.9
Weapons	3,592	281	92.7	7.3
Prostitution - Commercialized Vice	221	351	38.6	61.4
Sex Offenses	905	68	93.0	7.0
Drug Offenses	14,538	2,317	86.3	13. <i>7</i>
Gambling	464	67	87.4	12.6
Offenses Against the Family	8,599	633	93.1	<b>ઇ.9</b>
Driving Under the Influence	73,407	6,222	92.2	7.8
Liquor Laws	8,216	990	89.2	10.8
Disorderly Conduct	11,784	1,591	88.1	11.9
Vagrancy	84	33	71.8	28.2
All Other Offenses	59,140	13,682	81.2	18.8
Curfews - Loitering	30	3	90.9	9.1
Runaways	410	532	43.5	56.5
Subtotal - Part 2 Offenses	236,072	51,466	82.1	17.9
Grand Total	289,434	61,510	82.5	17.5

# **TOTAL ARRESTS BY RACE: 1980**

# Statewide

			Race			Ethnic	city
Offense Category	Total	White	Black	Indian	Asian	N-Hisp.	Hisp.
Murder	628	277	341	9	1	626	2
Manslaughter by Negligence	306	229	69	7	1	305	1
Forcible Rape	738	278	451	9	0	736	2
Robbery	2,114	757	1,343	14	0	2,110	4
Assault - Aggravated	14,479	7,169	7,077	203	30	14,395	84
Burglary	16,051	9,271	6,421	334	25	15,967	84
Larceny	26,186	12,932	12,853	351	50	26,109	77
Motor Vehicle Theft	2,454	1,490	910	42	12	2,435	19
Arson	450	300	145	4	1	449	1
Subtotal - Part 1 Offenses	63,406	32,703	29,610	973	120	63,132	274
Assault - Simple	26,148	13,132	12,637	360	19	25,996	152
Forgery and Counterfeiting	4,030	2,334	1,675	20	1	4,020	10
Fraud	39,969	21,068	18 <i>,45</i> 8	417	26	39,824	145
Embezzlement	718	479	231	8	0	718	0
Stolen Property	2,866	1,563	1,245	52	6	2,855	11
Vandalism	5,647	3,471	2,083	85	8	5,630	17
Weapons	3,873	2,400	1,395	61	17	3,855	18
Prostitution -							
Commercialized Vice	572	264	300	6	2	569	3
Sex Offenses	973	622	342	5	4	970	3
Drug Offenses	16,855	12,438	4,135	241	41	16,766	89
Gambling	531	303	225	3	0	530	1
Offenses Against the Family	9,232	4,904	4,194	127	7	9,189	43
Driving Under the Influence	79,629	59,303	18,693	1,368	265	79,398	231
Liquor Laws	9,206	6,913	2,152	116	25	9,193	13
Disorderly Conduct	13,375	8,973	4,193	· 174	35	13,299	76
Vagrancy	11 <i>7</i>	66	51	0	0	116	1
All Other Offenses	72,822	40,109	31,555	1,060	98	72,246	576
Curfews - Loitering	33	28	5	0	0	33	0
Runaways	942	709	214	15	4	935	7
Subtotal - Part 2 Offenses	287,538	179,079	103,783	4,118	558	286,142	1,396
Grand Total	350,944	211,782	133,393	5,091	678	349,274	1,670

# Statewide

# TOTAL ARRESTS: 1979 vs. 1980

	1979	1980	% Change
Offense Category	Total Arrest	Total Arrest	1980/1979
Murder	626	628	+ 0.32
Manslaughter by Negligence	248	306	+23.39
Forcible Rape	636	738	+16.04
Robbery	2,042	2,114	+ 3.53
Assault - Aggravated	14,196	14,479	+ 1.99
Burglary	13,984	16,051	+14.78
Larceny	25,475	26,186	+ 2.79
Motor Vehicle Theft	2,457	2,454	- 0.12
Arson	359	450	+25.35
Subtotal - Part 1 Offenses	60,023	63,406	+ 5.64
Assault - Simple	23,739	26,148	+10.15
Forgery and Counterfeiting	3,576	4,030	+12.70
Fraud	39,820	39,969	+ 0.37
Embezzlement	498	<i>7</i> 18	+44.18
Stolen Property	2,391	2,866	+19.87
Vandalism	5,327	5,647	+ 6.01
Weapons	3,938	3,873	<b>- 1.65</b>
Prostitution - Commercialized Vice	525	572	+ 8.95
Sex Offenses	825	973	+17.94
Drug Offenses	14,815	16,855	+13.77
Gambling	692	531	-23.27
Offenses Against the Family	8,754	9,232	+ 5.46
Driving Under the Influence	75,070	79,629	+ 6.07
Liquor Laws	8,326	9,206	+10.57
Disorderly Conduct	13,537	13,375	<b>— 1.20</b>
Vagrancy	326	117	-64.11
All Other Offenses	67,267	72,822	+ 8.26
Curfews - Loitering	20	33	+65.00
Runaways	1,278	942	-26.29
Subtotal - Part 2 Offenses	270,724	287,538	+ 6.21
Grand Total	330,747	350,94	4 + 6.11

ARREST

BY COUNTY

1979 — 1980

County	Alar	nance	Alex	ander	Alleç	ghany	An	Anson	
Offense Category	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	
Murder	7	16	0	0	0	0	1	4	
Manslaughter by								,	
Negligence	6	3	2	1	2	1	0	1	
Forcible Rape	13	12	1	0	2	1	5	5	
Robbery	22	36	0	1	6	0	12	6	
Assault-			:			_	'-	· ·	
Aggravated	150	132	7	25	10	12	257	67	
Burglary	191	270	6	26	11	28	40	68	
Larceny	349	438	25	25	13	20	56	49	
Motor Vehicle Theft	43	40	1	3	6	4	5	0	
Arson	15	3	0	1	0	0	1	5	
Assault-Simple	369	386	1	6	2	8	42	201	
Forgery and				Ū	-	Ŭ	~~	201	
Counterfeiting	59	77	2	0	4	ブ	12	12	
Fraud	506	496	1 1	3	li	6	153	79	
Embezzlement	2	9	0	0	0	0	1	3	
Stolen Property	40	110	5	5	7	2	4	9	
Vandalism	106	183	0	0	1	3	1	Ó	
Weapons	73	88	6	24	2	0	10	10	
Prostitution	8	2	0	0	ō	0	0	0	
Sex Offenses	17	20	1	0	0	0	ő	0	
Drugs	321	311	27	37	9	8	20	11	
Gambling	13	7	0	0	o	0	0	0	
Offenses Against			•	•		J		v	
the Family	92	111	2	1	1	15	65	93	
Driving Under the			_	•	ĺ '			70	
Influence	1,417	1,417	509	415	105	98	202	264	
Liquor Laws	233	193	91	68	12	6	12	12	
Disorderly Conduct	448	435	34	6	24	9	18	10	
Vagrancy	0	0	0	0	1	ó	0	0	
All Other Offenses	506	412	18	43	44	76	480	503	
Curfews-Loitering	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O O	
Runaways	97	84	0	3	4	0	0	0	
GRAND TOTAL	5,103	5,291	739	693	267	304	1,397	1,412	
Percent Change - 80/79		+3.68		-6.22	+	13.86		+1.07	

County	Α	she	Av	ery	Beau	ufort	Bertie		
Offense Category	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	i 980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	
Murder	1	0	4	1	4	7	3	6	
Manslaughter by	]		}						
Negligence	0	1	3	2	3	1	1	1	
Forcible Rape	0	0	1	4	1	4	0	2	
Robbery	2	1	0	1	1.4	3	0	9	
Assault-									
Aggravated	10	14	22	26	220	192	56	55	
Burglary	21	35	29	16	63	44	21	42	
Larceny	35	37	12	19	110	98	21	39	
Motor Vehicle Theft	10	3	13	4	7	17	2	8	
Arson	9	12	1	2	0	1	0	1	
Assault-Simple	28	16	17	35	72	91	46	87	
Forgery and									
Counterfeiting	12	7	66	52	9	7	6	12	
Fraud	3	2	26	34	507	439	137	211	
Embezzlement	0	1	1	0	0	11	1	1	
Stolen Property	4	6	1	7	19	29	14	9	
Vandalism	6	1	3	10	26	30	15	8	
Weapons	8	6	6	7	14	14	6	7	
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	2	0	0		
Sex Offenses	3	2	0	1	8	4	2	6	
Drugs	17	20	14	51	33	78	13	11	
Gambling	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	
Offenses Against									
the Family	0	0	13	26	61	69	22	33	
Driving Under the									
Influence	23₫	264	213	257	899	846	206	144	
Liquor Laws	36	37	14	24	56	74	12	17	
Disorderly Conduct	13	6	28	19	36	32	29	11	
Vagrancy	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	
All Other Offenses	66	58	108	141	171	220	138	200	
Curfews-Loitering	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	
Runaways	17	7	4	ó	0	0	3	0	
GRAND YOTÂL	535	\$36	599	745	2,355	2,3)1	<sup>⊕</sup> 754	920	
Percent Change - 80/79		+0.19	-	-24.37		-1.87	+	-22,02	

County	Blo	ıden	Brunswick Buncomb		ombe	Bur	ke	
Offense Category	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest
Murder	4	5	1	2	15	10	2	6
Manslaughter by			}		•			
Negligence	2	2	1	3	2	5	1	3
Forcible Rape	1	5	3	2	25	9	0	1
Robbery	5	2	0	1	59	59	15	10
Assault-					t		[	
Aggravated	87	148	162	135	243	269	117	131
Burglary	20	66	138	90	453	334	97	206
Larceny	26	81	60	43	1,160	1,030	167	193
Motor Vehicle Theft	6	2	10	7	128	88	24	38
Arson	1	2	0	1	10	7	8	8
Assault-Simple	17	117	2	6	481	641	240	360
Forgery and	1		ļ				ļ	
Counterfeiting	1	8	5	10	137	146	51	56
Fraud	28	43	175	109	923	1,140	285	488
Embezzlement	0	3	1	1	30	30	3	1
Stolen Property	6	7	14	15	42	52	.10	4
Vandalism	2	8	8	22	42	51	55	93
Weapons	17	16	12	4	66	59	31	19
Prostitution	2	0	0	0	53	129	0	3
Sex Offenses	0	10	11	2	17	19	4	1
Drugs	37	69	41	58	426	498	78	75
Gambling	0	1	0	0	40	53	] 1	0
Offenses Against			į		1		ĺ	
the Family	2	40	29	26	305	251	97	130
Driving Under the			ĺ		ļ		[	
Influence	759	939	304	388	1,532	1,987	858	877
Liquor Laws	55	74	6	30	95	121	93	123
Disorderly Conduct	51	14	21	45	1,927	1,685	51	53
Vagrancy	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0
All Other Offenses	275	134	228	138	1,525	1,988	527	519
Curfews-Loitering	1		0	0	0		0	0
Runaways			2	0	75	39	9	2
GRAND TOTAL	1,404	1,806	1,234	1,138	9,805	10,710	2,824	3,400
Percent Change ~80/79		+28.63		-7.78		+9.23	_	+20.40

County	Cab	arrus	Cald	well	Cam	den	Carteret		
Offense Category	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	
Murder	10	9	10	6	1	0	2	1	
Manslaughter by	]				ţ				
Negligence	5	3	4	1	0	0	4	3	
Forcible Rape	8	9	12	6	0	0	5	10	
Robbery	19	39	11	15	0	0	5	13	
Assault-	1						1		
Aggravated	150	199	101	187	9	13	66	99	
Burglary	152	178	218	216	2	4	76	84	
Larceny	320	361	201	228	8	6	83	86	
Motor Vehicle Theft	31	24	44	28	l 1	1	6	20	
Arson	19	10	4	3	1	0	2	6	
Assault-Simple	376	432	315	289	3	10	43	74	
Forgery and									
Counterfeiting	49	99	39	64	0	0	3	12	
Fraud	516	573	293	286	1	2	2	40	
Embezzlement	3	5	4	5	0	0	4	4	
Stolen Property	26	39	13	13	} }	2	4	21	
Vandalism	69	60	90	115	1	3	11	16	
Weapons	42	72	21	19	1	O	32	24	
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sex Offenses	11	10	8	8	0	0	4	7	
Drugs	123	210	91	176	4	8	269	275	
Gambling	6	4	12	5	0	0	0	2	
Offenses Against	<b>'</b>		 				Ì		
the Family	176	192	208	198	2	0	O	11	
Driving Under the	}		1				ì		
Influence	1,181	1,551	822	949	68	57	934	946	
Liquor Laws	116	167	53	87	3	7	71	88	
Disorderly Conduct	156	182	127	222	0	1	280	271	
Vagrancy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
All Other Offenses	1,265	1,223	429	731	4	4	355	166	
Curfews-Loitering	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Runaways	0	2	28	30	0	0	0	1	
GRAND TOTAL O	4,829	5,653	3,158	3,887	110	118	2,261	2,283	
Percent Change - 80/79		+ 17.06	-	+23.08		+7.27		+0.97	

County	Cas	well	Cata	wba	Chat	ham	Cher	okee
Offense Category	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest
Murder	1	2	3	12	6	2	0	1
Manslaughter by								_
Negligence	1	2	7	3	4	1	] 1	0
Forcible Rape	0	0	11	7	0	0	0	1
Robbery	2	1	21	20	4	1	0	0
Assault-	1		Ì		ļ		1	
Aggravated	4	78	469	417	62	111	50	43
Burglary	14	25	232	388	31	54	23	24
Larceny .	12	10	490	467	47	58	28	21
Motor Vehicle Theft	1	0	32	40	8	1	6	5
Arson	0	1	6	8	0	1	5	0
Assault-Simple	87	32	235	256	54	35	22	24
·	"		ļ		1		}	
Forgery and Counterfeiting	2	0	44	74	8	11	3	5
Fraud	18	33	608	663	3	126	17	38
Embezzlement	0	0	3	9	1	0	0	3
	2	5	26	24	9	16	4	2
Stolen Property	2	3	30	47	9	12	6	2
Vandalism	2	4	42	86	15	15	16	12
Weapons	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Prostitution	2	1	14	13	1 0	0	0	0
Sex Offenses	13	23	101	217	32	23	51	42
Drugs	0	23	8	15	0	0	1 0	0
Gambling		'	1	, 0			1	
Offenses Against	10	11	195	234	21	31	19	12
the Family	10	11	'/	204		•	1	
Driving Under the	1,00	144	1,411	1,806	408	316	330	334
Influence	199		97	175	60	39	65	43
Liquor Laws	17	6	197	132	19	31	267	27
Disorderly Conduct	12	13	25	0	0	0	0	5
Vagrancy	9	0	į.	1,189	542	311	46	37
All Other Offenses	20	84	1,213	1,169	0	0	0	0
Curfews-Loitering	1	0	0	3	6	0	2	3
Runaways		0	6					684
GRAND TOTAL	432	479	5,526		1,349			-28.82
Percent Change - 80/79	1	+10.88		+13.83		-11.42		- 20,02

County	Cho	wan	Cl	αy	Cleve	eland	Columbus		
Offense Category	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	
Murder	3	0	1	0	14	8	5	7	
Manslaughter by	<u> </u>		}		}		i		
Negligence	0	1	0	0	2	6	4	3	
Forcible Rape	1	0	0	1	2	3	7	7	
Robbery	3	11	2	0	23	20	8	13	
Assault-					Ì				
Aggravated	24	24	2	2	403	371	132	114	
Burglary	23	25	0	19	145	117	187	158	
Larceny	52	63	3	7	273	259	205	160	
Motor Vehicle Theft	3	4	3	1	16	2	12	20	
Arson	0	0	2	0	2	7	4	6	
Assault-Simple	41	37	2	16	299	256	278	355	
Forgery and	1		Î						
Counterfeiting	3	3	0	0	33	39	127	69	
Fraud	66	92	2	0	770	772	1,075	1,522	
Embezzlement	1	0	0	0	]	3	0	1	
Stolen Property	2	1	0	0	14	17	57	31	
Vandalism	3	1	0	0	61	68	75	72	
Weapons	5	2	5	2	48	37	29	31	
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sex Offenses	0	0	0	1	0	4	3	2	
Drugs	6	11	22	15	146	187	183	164	
Gambling	0	0	0	0	5	3	1	0	
Offenses Ágainst	1		[				į		
the Family	18	17	0	1	254	236	114	98	
Driving Under the	}				ł		ļ		
Influence	148	130	139	85	1,028	974	1,472	1,342	
Liquor Laws	9	9	15	10	89	56	125	134	
Disorderly Conduct	12	7	8	12	243	245	62	73	
Vagrancy	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	
All Other Offenses	119	107	0	38	1,172	872	1,230	1,253	
Curfews-Loitering	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Runaways	1	0	2	1	0	0	6	0	
GRAND TOTAL	543	546	208	211	5,044	4,562	5,401	5,635	
Percent Change - 80/79		+0.55		+1.44		-9.56		+4.33	

# CONTINUED 20F3

County	Craven Cumberland		Curri	tuck	Dare			
Offense Category	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest
Murder	10	14	32	45	1	1	0	1
Manslaughter by		İ						
Negligence	, 0	2	9	5	1	0	1	0
Forcible Rape	6	28	27	44	0	0	1	1
Robbery	19	30	154	128	0	0	1	2
Assault-								
Aggravated	140	150	945	1,038	51	11	5	4
Burglary	160	176	746	987	16	20	24	28
Larceny	260	255	1,078	1,122	13	10	28	36
Motor Vehicle Theft	40	28	125	114	5	2	0	3
Arson	3	3	11	11	0	0	1	0
Assault-Simple	303	366	248	251	15	47	3	2
Forgery and			l		ļ			
Counterfeiting	29	45	72	80	0	0	1	1
Fraud	663	900	505	392	0	2	0	3
Embezzlement	3	6	19	8	1	0	0	0
Stolen Property	21	45	180	154	2	3	3	4
Vandalism	50	47	243	249	0	0	3	0
Weapons	59	68	449	442	1	1	9	8
Prostitution	0	3	74	96	0	0	0	0
Sex Offenses	17	9	88	67	0	1	1	0
Drugs	298	324	694	935	18	33	103	117
Gambling	0	10	29	20	0	0	0	0
Offenses Against	}		1					
the Family	134	158	117	129	13	10	4	0
Driving Under the	1						1	
Influence	1,228	1,356	3,612	3,822	323	219	699	561
Liquor Laws	87	112	696	832	24	13	40	25
Disorderly Conduct	58	108	852	951	0	1	9	18
Vagrancy	0	0	11	9	0	0	1	0
All Other Offenses	910	882	1,526	1,247	177	169	131	128
Curfews-Loitering	0	0	0	•	0	0	1	0
Runaways	9	1	126	55	0	0	0	0
GRAND TOTAL	4,507	5,126	12,668	13,233	661	543	1,069	942
Percent Change - 80/79		+13.73		+4.46		— 17.85		<b>-11.88</b>

County	Dav	idson	D	avie	Du	plin	Durham		
Offense Category	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	
Murder	9	7	1	0	1	1	15	16	
Manslaughter by			ļ						
Negligence	12	10	2	ì	5	4	2	3	
Forcible Rape	11	5	2	2	2	0	22	18	
Robbery	17	24	6	4	11	5	77	76	
Assault-			•						
Aggravated	276	237	40	42	42	54	291	184	
Burglary	250	320	29	39	79	121	371	372	
Larceny	359	332	52	75	94	115	1,114	1,048	
Motor Vehicle Theft	42	26	10	9	14	9	90	70	
Arson	4	1	0	1	2	0	11	8	
Assault-Simple	604	641	45	58	168	146	629	831	
Forgery and									
Counterfeiting	65	29	5	11	25	40	77	92	
Fraud	623	565	5	4	35	28	2,281	2,203	
Embezzlement	1	4	1	2	0	0	24	37	
Stolen Property	53	55	9	7	21	11	89	100	
Vandalism	93	78	7	9	6	1	137	130	
Weapons	49	48	9	7	15	22	103	114	
Prostitution	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	10	
Sex Offenses	14	13	1	0	3	0	14	22	
Drugs	126	260	54	36	57	55	279	300	
Gambling	17	25	0	1	0	0	9	2	
Offenses Against			Ì						
the Family	370	265	6	3	63	53	316	371	
Driving Under the									
Influence	1,053	1,011	185	205	905	1,124	1,115	1,445	
Liquor Laws	143	89	15	22	90	86	60	106	
Disorderly Conduct	64	110	4	6	102	77	289	320	
Vagrancy	13	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	
All Other Offenses	984	1,231	209	226	1,297	1,258	3,405	3,720	
Curfews-Loitering	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Runaways	32	41	0	0	0	0	11	0	
GRAND TOTAL	5,284	5,428	703	772 =	3,037	3,210	10,832	11,598	
Percent Change - 80/79		+2.73	<u></u>	+9.82		+5.70		+7.07	

County	Edgec	ombe	Fors	yth	Fran	klin	Gast	on
Offense Category	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest
Murder	17	10	17	18	9	6	20	23
Manslaughter by		ŀ		Ì				•
Negligence	2	3	9	14	3	8	3	2
Forcible Rape	11	12	32	36	4	2	12	10
Robbery	48	24	146	122	5	8	50	58
Assault-								
Aggravated	184	283	756	651	66	93	448	530
Burglary	220	265	587	619	56	51	394	525
- ·	477	636	1,688	1,189	34	62	788	881
Larceny Motor Vehicle Theft	48	37	104	123	12	12	86	133
	3	4	16	30	1	7	111	24
Arson	418	388	2,292	2,104	92	97	916	1,175
Assault-Simple	1				}		1	
Forgery and	60	91	184	173	5	10	90	84
Counterfeiting	886	856	2,802	2,560	266	124	935	889
Fraud	6	5	55	67	0	2	6	6
Embezzlement	26	39	156	145	3	5	88	78
Stolen Property	108	86	495	421	3	16	153	229
Vandalism	46	32	224	228	7	6	107	100
Weapons	1	32 1	5	6	0	0	1	0
Prostitution	0	16	42	39	1	2	15	30
Sex Offenses	7		456	782	20	24	617	689
Drugs	154	113	19	11	0	0	22	14
Gambling	7	1	'7	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			1	
Offenses Against		7/	816	738	49	43	345	463
the Family	61	76	810	700	\ '			
Driving Under the			1 710	1,684	356	575	1,759	2,123
Influence	922	669	1,712		43	55	399	
Liquor Laws	111	90	280		12	26	292	
Disorderly Conduct	268	248	375		0	0	0	
Vagrancy	0	22	136		123	89	1,773	
All Other Offenses	771	1,034	2,615	_	1 .	0	1,,,,,	<u>-</u>
Curfews-Loitering	0		0				5	
Runaways	2	2	38					
GRAND°TOTAL	4,863	5,043	16,057					10,522
Percent Change - 80/79		+3.70		<i>−7.75</i>		+12.50		+12.72

County	G	ates	Gral	nam	Gran	ville	Gre	ene
Offense Category	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest
Murder	0	0	0	0	2	7	4	2
Manslaughter by	j		ł		}		}	
Negligence	0	0	1	1	2	4	0	2
Forcible Rape	0	0	0	0	5	3	1	2
Robbery	3	4	1	2	5	13	8	0
Assault-					1		ł	
Aggravated	17	22	2	7	61	69	22	20
Burglary	1	5	1	10	69	89	39	11
Larceny	4	8	1	6	94	89	26	37
Motor Vehicle Theft	1	0	2	0	4	4	2	6
Arson	0	0	0	0	] 1	3	1	0
Assault-Simple	0	2	3	7	120	111	42	78
Forgery and			}		}		Ì	
Counterfeiting	0	6	1	2	69	33	7	15
Fraud	88	35	0	0	224	225	56	94
Embezzlement	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0
Stolen Property	0	6	0	3	8	9	5	14
Vandalism	0	0	0	0	28	25	3	0
Weapons	3	4	1	1	17	9	11	7
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sex Offenses	0	0	0	0	1 1	1	2	0
Drugs	7	8	6	4	51	34	23	32
Gambling	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	7
Offenses Against					}			
the Family	4	5	0	0	27	26	14	24
Driving Under the	Ì		1					
Influence	171	187	81	155	434	426	142	203
Liquor Laws	23	25	4	18	37	21	25	16
Disorderly Conduct	1	1	2	13	28	69	4	5
Vagrancy	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
All Other Offenses	53	43	10	32	272	306	198	224
Curfews-Loitering	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Runaways	0	0	0	0	14	3	0	0
GNAND TOTAL	376	361	116	264	<b>将575</b>	1,582	639	<u>.</u> 799
Percent Change - 80/79		-3.99	+	127.59		+0.44		25.04

County	Gu	ilford	Ha	lifax	Hai	nett	Hayv	ood .
Offense Category	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest
Murder	62	41	6	6	5	11	1	1
Manslaughter by								
Negligence	12	10	3	1	7	7	1	2
Forcible Rape	38	31	12	11	7	9	1	14
Robbery	172	190	13	8	18	11	4	9
Assault-			Ì		l		l	
Aggravated	555	644	278	245	103	144	66	72
Burglary	969	1,222	133	157	115	104	105	127
Larceny	2,368	2,588	226	263	82	136	100	120
Motor Vehicle Theft	231	267	15	20	15	20	16	28
Arson	33	52	2	4	4	0	2	4
Assault-Simple	2,517	2,555	168	214	87	110	102	218
Forgery and	1	,						
Counterfeiting	339	361	48	40	15	11	28	64
Fraud	3,391	3,353	138	209	147	289	164	211
Embezzlement	91	174	5	1	o	2	1	0
Stolen Property	170	190	39	55	23	48	8	15
Vandalism	705	607	6	6	11	4	5	13
Weapons	234	197	43	43	30	40	28	47
Prostitution	14	6	0	0	6	0	0	0
Sex Offenses	78	82	8	5	6	19	12	3
Drugs	927	1,140	76	120	74	87	143	202
Gambling	116	129	5	- 13	3	0	7	5
Offenses Against							1	
the Family	878	647	73	86	29	57	38	57
Driving Under the								
Influence	3,169	3,594	1,538	1,505	884	901	684	816
Liquor Laws	363	490	150	146	75	94	39	53
Disorderly Conduct	916	883	54	57	39	44	250	382
Vagrancy	15	19	0	0	0	1	0	0
All Other Offenses	5,090	6,418	684	726	488	638	429	472
Curfews-Loitering	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Runaways	430	349	9	12	2	0	O	2
GRAND TOTAL	23,883	26,239	3,732	3,953	2,275	2,787	2,235	2,937
Percent Change - 80/79		+9.86		+5.92	-	+22.51	-	+31.41

County	He	nderson	Не	rtford	Н	ke	Ну	de
Offense Category	1979 Total Arres	Tota!	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total
Murder	2	2	1	3	1	2	0	0
Manslaughter by						2	"	U
Negligence	2	0	1	2	2	1	1	0
Forcible Rape	4	2	3	4	2	13	0	0
Robbery	6	13	5	3	6	13	0	0
Assault- ,				J		1.1	0	0
Aggravated	133	139	62	86	35	07		
Burglary	125	110	56	52	47	37	38	19
Larceny	232	197	97	90	82	68	9	1
Motor Vehicle Theft	25	12	11	4	1	51	2	4
Arson	2	9	1 1	3	13	12	0	0
Assault-Simple	230	213	121		0	1	0	1
orgery and	200	210	121	125	73	59	1	2
Counterfeiting	30	21	14	07				
raud	360	443	16	37	30	2	0	2
imbezzlement	1 .		241	216	21	וו	121	58
Stolen Property	1 4	6	2	2	2	1	0	0
/andalism	15	18	19	12	23	15	3	1
Veapons	53	60	6	11	0	0	4	2
Prostitution	25	21	17	11	8	18	3	1
ex Offenses	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Prugs	4	19	3	2	0	2	0	0
Sambling	113	115	76	73	43	55	13	4
Offenses Against	8	6	1	6	0	0	2	0
		1						
the Family	105	117	45	55	8	8	3	7
riving Under the		}				]		
Influence	508	465	383	358	252	263	80	115
quor Laws	36	45	39	28	37	23	9	7
isorderly Conduct	161	173	42	39	30	25	5	9
agrancy	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
Il Other Offenses	207	275	96	213	119	68	42	56
urfews-Loitering	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
unaways	3	1	4	0	2	2	0	0
RAND TOTAL	2,393	2,485	1,349 1	,435	836	748	336	289
ercent Change - 80/79	-	+3.84	+	6.38	<b>— 10</b>			3.99

County	lre	edell	Jack	cson	Joh	nston	Jon	es
Offense Category	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest
Murder	7	6	1	1	9	6	1	0
Manslaughter by								
Negligence	3	7	5	2	3	3	1	0
Forcible Rape	8	20	0	0	7	9	2	2
Robbery	24	48	2	1	19	14	7	2
Assault-			_		ļ		'	_
Aggravated	306	257	3	5	100	80	17	15
Burglary	267	281	8	26	77	102	36	35
Larceny	480	535	14	13	128	152	9	1
Motor Vehicle Theft	39	69	5	4	16	16	9	1
Arson	5	6	0	1	1	3	10	0
Assault-Simple	366	351	1	0	140	115	5	0
Forgery and				•				
Counterfeiting	41	63	3	3	27	25	0	1
Fraud	711	946	1	0	48	47	3	6
Embezzlement	4	3	Ö	0	0	3	0	0
Stolen Property	42	91	4	4	16	42	2	1
Vandalism	113	129	2	1	18	9	0	1
Weapons	94	79	14	12	33	42	li	4
Prostitution	3	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Sex Offenses	17	30	0	0	4	6	0	0
Drugs	281	193	87	107	48	58	9	3
Gambling	6	2	0	0	0	2	o	0
Offenses Against		_						
the Family	238	186	8	5	21	20	11	19
Driving Under the		,					\ ``	• •
Influence	1,044	1,126	600	641	1,085	987	188	140
Liquor Laws	166	143	69	71	120	485	16	8
Disorderly Conduct	230	206	130	14	78	150	26	21
Vagrancy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
All Other Offenses	1,315	962	4	24	429	664	6	20
Curfews-Loifering	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0
Runaways	0	0	1	0	14	1	Ö	0
GRAND TOTAL	5,810	5,739	962	935	2,446	3,041	359	280
Percent Change - 80/79		-1.22		-2.81		+24.33	-	-22.01

County	1	.ee	L	enoir	Lir	ıcoln	McD	owell
Offense Category	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arres	Total	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest
Murder	2	5	5	8	5	4	5	2
Manslaughter by	}		}			,		2
Negligence	0	5	1	3	1	1		2
Forcible Rape	4	3	3	7	9	3	7	1
Robbery	14	29	27	30	19	8	7	11
Assault-			1		1	·		1 8
Aggravated	163	207	128	150	58	26	98	125
Burglary	137	113	224	248	82	98	67	67
Larceny	310	267	320	340	71	70	118	96
Motor Vehicle Theft	42	21	12	44	1	8	14	90 18
Arson	4	3	4	9	5	0	0	
Assault-Simple	243	248	427	433	59	84	10	4 0
Forgery and	1			400	) ),	04	1	U
Counterfeiting	39	45	46	53	28	37	14	10
Fraud	556	585	223	153	2	3	13	10
Embezzlement	0	1	0	5	0	0	7	7
Stolen Property	23	26	53	57	6	8	10	1
Vandalism	32	27	30	47	7	2	0	11
Weapons	21	12	70	64	3	9	21	4
Prostitution	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	20
Sex Offenses	7	5	2	2	0	2		0
Drugs	144	116	230	264	138	26	157	4
Gambling	0	10	14	40	0	0	157	150
Offenses Against		10	} '~	40		U	4	6
the Family	150	98	146	128	25	0	00	
Driving Under the		,,	170	120	25	8	32	25
Influence	387	392	1,156	1,086	477	E07	074	000
Liquor Laws	53	39	67		1	597	376	389
Disorderly Conduct	22	48	188	102 198	194	113	100	69
Vagrancy	0	0	1 100	0	66 14	16	100	51
All Other Offenses	826	526	2,163		ľ	9	2	0
Curfews-Loitering	0	0	2,103	2,016	723	1,135	227	222
Runaways	18	12	11	0	0	0	0 39	0 20
GRAND TOTAL	3,197	2,844	5,551	5,488	1,993	2,267	1,433	<del></del>
Percent Change - 80/79		-11.04	-,	-1.13		+13.75	1,433	1,315
			L	1,10		1 10./5		-8.23

County	Ma	con	Mad	ison	Ma	ırtin	Mecki	enburg
Offense Category	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979* Total Arrest	1980* Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest
Murder	0	1	0	0	0	3	56	53
Manslaughter by	}		]		}		ŀ	
Negligence	0	3	0	0	2	6	6	10
Forcible Rape	0	0	0	0	4	1	47	69
Robbery	0	2	0	0	3	0	214	253
Assault-								
Aggravated	16	33	6	3	52	63	891	976
Burglary	25	43	3	0	35	28	1,080	1,259
Larceny	13	32	0	0	25	62	2,671	2,612
Motor Vehicle Theft	4	7	1	1	1	0	179	203
Arson	1	0	1	0	2	0	28	19
Assault-Simple	7	20	0	0	26	14	2,277	2,81
Forgery and							-/-/	2,012
Counterfeiting	7	6	0	0	8	23	88	129
Fraud	1	3	0	0	222	173	2,423	2,49
Embezzlement	0	1	0	0	0	5	77	11
Stolen Property	4	4	7	4	9	14	90	17
Vandalism	0	0	0	0	li	7	631	68
Weapons	17	23	7	4	11	9	382	35
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	99	9
Sex Offenses	0	3	0	0	ì	6	82	12
Drugs	153	166	15	16	46	40	761	99
Gambling	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	,,,
Offenses Against		•	_			Ū	20	,
the Family	4	4	2	2	25	21	270	30
Driving Under the	'		_				2,0	00
Influence	384	451	361	364	402	361	2,917	3,34
Liquor Laws	43	69	22	32	104	71	184	20
Disorderly Conduct	46	34	19	7	37	23	669	67
Vagrancy	0	0	0	Ó	0	14	009	07
All Other Offenses	20	6	0	0	234	192	4,053	4,55
Curfews-Loitering	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,055	4,55
Runaways	8	15	0	0	0	0	17	1
GRAND TOTAL	753	926	444	433	1,250	1,136	20,215	22,57
Percent Change - 80/79		-22.97		NA-		<del>-9.12</del>		+11.6

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes a majority of the county population was not covered for the entire year. See History of Contributor Participation section in this book for missing months.

County	Mit	chell	Montg	omery	Mo	ore	Na	sh
Offense Category	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979* Total Arrest	1980* Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest
Murder	0	1	4	3	9	4	5	6
Manslaughter by	Í		ļ		l		ł	
Negligence	0	2	0	4	4	4	3	4
Forcible Rape	0	0	4	3	2	6	5	6
Robbery	0	0	2	9	11	19	14	15
Assault-	}				1		Ì	
Aggravated	4	8	129	125	168	128	36	81
Burglary	5	13	78	45	121	127	90	97
Larceny	8	17	87	68	107	124	120	106
Motor Vehicle Theft	2	. 0	6	9	11	23	20	31
Arson	0	2	0	1	4	3	0	3
Assault-Simple	14	4	86	60	128	234	41	24
Forgery and	}						ĺ	
Counterfeiting	6	11	7	26	22	27	14	12
Fraud	1	20	215	156	408	493	2	2
Embezzlement	0	0	1	0	0	3	2	5
Stolen Property	7	2	8	3	18	33	12	18
Vandalism	1	0	29	38	18	42	26	6
Weapons	5	1	9	9	28	20	34	27
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sex Offenses	0	4	3	0	4	18	1	1
Drugs	14	28	31	23	116	131	38	, 69
Gambling	0	0	6	0	0	1	0	0
Offenses Against	1						1	
the Family	10	17	38	50	48	69	33	3
Driving Under the	}		1				1	
Influence	116	76	244	218	699	797	899	917
Liquor Laws	20	7	31	22	73	99	59	54
Disorderly Conduct	13	40	61	43	65	65	95	76
Vagrancy	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
All Other Offenses	61	69	127	181	487	641	124	10
Curfews-Loitering	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Runaways	0	0	2	0	0	7	0	0
GRAND TOTAL	287	322	1,209	1,096	2,551	3,118	1,673	1,573
Percent Change - 80/79		+12.20		NA-		+22.23		-5.98

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes a majority of the county population was not covered for the entire year. See History of Contributor Participation section in this book for missing months.

County	Pam	lico	Pasqu	otank	Per	ıder	Perqu	imans
Offense Category	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest
Murder	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	1
Manslaughter by	]							
Negligence	0	0	0	1	2	5	1	1
Forcible Rape	0	2	8	1	2	4	0	1
Robbery	0	0	5	3	2	2	7	5
Assault-	1						1	
Aggravated	10	7	82	119	51	83	56	41
Burglary	11	15	70	78	17	33	25	19
Larceny	12	17	199	222	42	32	21	28
Motor Vehicle Theft	1	3	5	12	3	1	2	6
Arson	0	0	2	4	1	1	0	0
Assault-Simple	23	24	105	122	15	1	3	5
Forgery and								
Counterfeiting	5	2	10	16	3	6	4	32
Fraud	41	42	103	156	80	101	0	0
Embezzlement	0	3	1	1	0	1	Ιì	1
Stolen Property	0	0	12	11	6	7	3	3
Vandalism	0	0	11	52	13	1	1 1	5
Weapons	2	2	8	22	5	12	1	3
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	lo	0	0	0
Sex Offenses	3	2	12	9	2	6	0	0
Drugs	12	8	61	95	31	22	3	28
Gambling	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	0
Offenses Against				_		_		•
the Family	l o	0	30	35	7	23	0	0
Driving Under the								•
Influence	113	77	473	394	267	267	87	120
Liquor Laws	6	3	34	43	30	31	12	15
Disorderly Conduct	3	0	58	48	15	4	17	12
Vagrancy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
All Other Offenses	128	125	463	655	54	94	86	85
Curfews-Loitering	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Runaways	0	Ō	o	Ō	o	Ō	4	0
GRAND TOTAL	370	332	1,755	2,106	651	737	334	411
Percent Change - 80/79		-10.27	<del></del>	-20.00	+	-13.21	-	-23.05

				hampton		slow	<b>U</b> 10	inge
Offense Category	1979 Total Arres	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arres	Total	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total	1980 Total
Murder	9	6	3	5	7	21	3	6
Manslaughter by						-:		Ŭ
Negligence	3	11	3	Ì	8	3	2	5
Forcible Rape	21	25	1	4	18	25	19	10
Robbery	58	66	6	8	71	72	36	35
Assault-	ŀ					, _		00
Aggravated	226	326	78	89	220	218	126	143
Burglary	450	539	36	68	243	252	248	189
Larceny	950	1,034	24	40	506	562	290	327
Motor Vehicle Theft	77	94	3	11	19	21	19	327
Arson	5	8	2	0	2	2	5	12
Assault-Simple	853	961	74	32	286	319	94	133
Forgery and				02	200	017	74	133
Counterfeiting	65	129	2	0	54	45	33	20
Fraud	1,579	1,578	105	144	52	36	184	38
Embezzlement	25	21	0	0	0	6	3	197
Stolen Property	44	53	8	4	46	45	66	5
Vandalism	180	251	10	16	177	218	}	54
Weapons	106	124	14	11	186		26	32
Prostitution	5	4	0	0	123	165 - 154	37	31
Sex Offenses	27	46	0	1	18	31	0	0
Drugs	585	806	48	25	1		10	20
Gambling	0	3	20	25 1	1,621	1,445	146	126
Offenses Against	Ū	3	20	ı	5	4	0	0
the Family	156	144	23	39	,	10		
Driving Under the	150	1-1-4	25	37	] ]	10	2	15
Influence	1,514	1,722	492	513	0.070	0.104	707	
Liquor Laws	195	279	492	513 51	2,072	2,106	737	920
Disorderly Conduct	432	625	16		89	93	79	62
Vagrancy	0	025	0	22	728	207	119	115
All Other Offenses	2,898	•		0	0	0	0	0
Curfews-Loitering	2,090	3,467	171	218	328	644	204	276
Runaways	2	0 3	0	0	0	0	0	0 0
GRAND TOTAL	10,467	12,325	1,187	1,303		6,704	2,489	
Percent Change - 80/79		± 17.75		+9.77		-2.56		2,762 10.97

County	Pe	rson		Pitt	Po	olk	Rand	lolph
Offense Category	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980* Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest
Murder	5	4	8	4	0	2	10	12
Manslaughter by	}		ĺ				1	
Negligence	1	2	2	8	0	1	5	2
Forcible Rape	6	5	19	16	1	2	3	8
Robbery	6	6	26	39	0	4	23	13
Assault-	1		Į		[		•	
Aggravated	179	104	159	157	20	29	62	113
Burglary	45	77	305	309	44	21	155	217
Larceny	87	121	444	510	23	16	183	208
Motor Vehicle Theft	6	13	36	54	3	0	15	17
Arson	2	2	4	4	0	1	0	1
Assault-Simple	170	225	584	487	18	19	335	380
Forgery and							ł	
Counterfeiting	9	10	55	131	0	0	38	86
Fraud	173	197	1,293	1,620	0	1	801	790
Embezzlement	4	0	8	7	0	0	3	10
Stolen Property	3	5	86	75	3	7	27	36
Vandalism	19	20	147	160	0	0	15	3
Weapons	20	15	37	53	14	12	27	21
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
Sex Offenses	[ 1	2	21	30	1	3	12	5
Drugs	108	68	190	261	63	81	103	118
Gambling	0	3	0	3	87	0	38	17
Offenses Against					Ì		İ	
the Family	73	89	142	114	3	4	164	180
Driving Under the	1				ļ,			
Influence	318	326	1.316	1,219	272	297	562	742
Liquor Laws	25	1 <i>7</i>	100	101	28	23	100	84
Disorderly Conduct	28	15	179	165	20	18	44	61
Vagrancy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
All Other Offenses	426	534	1,744	1,595	63	66	1,028	1,321
Curfews-Loitering	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
Runaways	6	0	2	1	0	2	0	7
GRAND TOTAL	1,720	1,860	6,907	7,123	663 <sup>⊗</sup>	609	3,763	4,452
Percent Change - 80/79		+8.14		+3.13		NA-	-	<del> </del> 18.31

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes a majority of the county population was not covered for the entire year. See History of Contributor Participation section in this book for missing months.

County	Rich	nond	Rob	eson	Rockin	gham	Ro	wan
Offense Category	1979* Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest
Murder	13	10	13	23	8	8	15	15
Manslaughter by	ł				}	J	1 '5	13
Negligence	2	3	8	14	0	3	5	7
Forcible Rape	0	12	21	13	4	7	6	6
Robbery	13	8	36	19	26	19	34	1 <i>7</i>
Assault-	}	_		• • •	1	17	) 54	17
Aggravated	68	92	256	267	443	294	164	223
Burglary	131	188	281	412	187	207	201	333
Larceny	121	147	673	562	290	347	444	460
Motor Vehicle Theft	14	5	58	32	43	56	28	20
Arson	1	2	2	10	5	13	20	20 1
Assault-Simple	152	185	700	601	343	566	375	343
Forgery and				001	0-0	500	0,3	343
Counterfeiting	73	69	91	48	35	43	31	36
Fraud	28	35	1,431	1,165	550	582	495	520
Embezzlement	9	1	2	5	2	6	7	19
Stolen Property	13	29	41	45	31	37	19	57
Vandalism	11	2	130	107	94	101	24	16
Weapons ·	23	25	65	75	75	46	31	47
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sex Offenses	4	3	8	3	8	12	1 1	10
Drugs	62	13	201	317	185	177	64	106
Gambling	4	10	6	0	14	13	0	100
Offenses Against	,			Ū	'-	10	"	'
the Family	20	9	329	277	263	358	188	163
Driving Under the		_		_,,	200	000	100	103
Influence	623	533	2,777	2,484	955	1,046	1,025	1,096
Liquor Laws	58	43	173	206	108	82	61	71
Disorderly Conduct	25	19	173	184	138	114	97	138
Vagrancy	2	0	45	1	0	0	1	0
All Other Offenses	936	1,269	1,888	1,871	1,439	1,447	942	932
Curfews-Loitering	0	0	0	0	2	0	942	932
Runaways	o	Ō	7	4	11	8	0	2
GRAND TOTAL	2,406	2,712	9,415	8,745	5,259	5,592	4,260	4,639
Percent Change - 80/79		NA		-7.12		+6.33		+8.90

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes a majority of the county population was not covered for the entire year. See History of Contributor Participation section in this book for missing months.

County	Ruthe	erford	Samp	oson	Scotl	and	Sto	anly
Offense Category	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest
Murder	2	3	3	2	6	4	3	7
Manslaughter by	Ì		1					
Negligence	2	1	2	6	1	0	4	3
Forcible Rape	2	2	6	4	7	5	2	9
Robbery	5	9	19	10	17	11	8	12
Assault-							Į	
Aggravated	178	128	104	63	113	116	101	107
Burglary	83	162	121	59	117	127	178	174
Larceny	132	209	92	114	192	216	188	209
Motor Vehicle Theft	8	7	13	6	21	35	26	13
Arson	0	0	4	6	0	25	[ 1	1
Assault-Simple	151	187	70	36	311	397	233	273
Forgery and								
Counterfeiting	42	30	56	35	29	24	34	86
Fraud	434	521	262	232	501	612	523	416
Embezzlement	0	4	0	3	2	0	7	1
Stolen Property	13	26	28	24	14	31	11	11
Vandalism	1	4	8	11	19	23	77	94
Weapons	23	17	41	40	18	12	32	36
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Sex Offenses	0	1	4	2	5	4	6	6
Drugs	103	125	74	74	45	124	100	130
Gambling	0	4	2	6	0	0	2	1
Offenses Against					1		1	
the Family	99	77	7	2	135	123	118	123
Driving Under the				<del></del>				
Influence	436	422	874	1,015	418	431	<i>7</i> 71	769
Liquor laws	180	85	64	110	11	19	85	72
Disorderly Conduct	113	128	36	35	96	112	35	56
Vagrancy	0	1	0	3	0	0	2	0
All Other Offenses	1,007	1,231	287	212	653	857	398	387
Curfews-Loitering	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Runaways	2	4	11	2	0	1	14	24
GRAND TOTAL	3,016	3,388	2,188	2,112	2,732	3,309	2,960	3,020
Percent Change - 80/79		+12.33		-3.47		+21.12		+2.03

County	Stokes		Surry		Swain		Transylvania	
Offense Category	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979* Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest
Murder	2	3	0	1	- 0	0	1	1
Manslaughter by						Ū	'	'
Negligence	4	2	1	2	1	0	1 1	0
Forcible Rape	3	2	0	1	1	0	2	3
Robbery	3	3	9	4	0	0	4	0
Assault-	į			•		U	"	U
Aggravated	19	34	44	23	1	1	3	0.4
Burglary	24	69	80	70	6	10		34
Larceny	34	69	65	77	111		30	47
Motor Vehicle Theft	2	8	23	15	''	2	45	74
Arson	0	0	2	13	0	5	2	8
Assault-Simple	92	109	55	79		0	4	0
orgery and	1 1	107	33	79	1 0	0	10	32
Counterfeiting	42	55	15			_	_	
raud	161	66	l .	11	4	1	3	7
mbezzlement	0	2	6	5	1	0	3	22
Stolen Property	5	6	1 7	0	0	0	2	2
/andalism	24	20	7	10	0	1	8	8
Veapons	11		8	13	0	0	17	7
Prostitution	'0	3	14	20	5	4	6	0
ex Offenses	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Prugs	ī	3	]	1	0	0	1	2
Gambling	14	24	76	43	8	9	89	24
Offenses Against	0	0	0	5	0	. 0	0	0
_	40	<b></b>						
the Family	42	51	4	6	0	0	4	29
riving Under the Influence								
iquor Laws	236	319	778	718	158	190	236	317
•	19	25	75	72	4	9	13	12
isorderly Conduct	11	9	114	125	53	38	22	48
agrancy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1) Other Offenses	181	162	410	255	29	64	79	125
urfews-Loitering	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
unaways	0	0	1	1	4	1	0	0
RAND TOTAL	931 °	1,044	1,789 °	1,559	287	335	585	802
ercent Change - 80/79	+	12.14		NA	<del>/</del> 1	6.72		37.09

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes a majority of the county population was not covered for the entire year. See History of Contributor Participation section in this book for missing months.

County	Tyrrell		Union		Vance		Wake	
Offense Category	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest
Murder	1	0	7	4	1	5	39	28
Manslaughter by	]		ł		ļ			
Negligence	0	0	1	3	1	3	4	14
Forcible Rape	2	0	10	14	3	0	40	65
Robbery	0	0	28	23	17	16	98	173
Assault-	}		j					1,0
Aggravated	24	4	225	181	107	110	773	678
Burglary	10	8	235	211	93	103	618	707
Larceny	1	5	371	308	163	137	1,415	1,397
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	0	31	20	12	12	190	119
Arson	6	3	9	7	1	2	18	20
Assault-Simple	9	12	298	470	286	234	1,180	1,238
Forgery and	1					,	1,,,,,	1,200
Counterfeiting	7	0	124	101	32	46	181	170
Fraud	38	22	829	466	658	612	3,172	2,176
Embezzlement	10	2	6	17	1	3	23	22
Stolen Property	0	0	37	31	37	30	170	187
Vandalism	0	0	99	135	2	12	376	376
Weapons	2	0	60	49	30	36	131	110
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	0	0	107	54
Sex Offenses	0	0	22	7	5	0	81	91
Drugs	6	5	209	179	40	82	1,165	1,019
Gambling	0	0	0	0	19	4	14	37
Offenses Against	}			_		-	]	O,
the Family	12	14	205	718	97	121	153	138
Driving Under the	-				}			100
Influence	27	68	732	638	481	906	3,488	3,480
Liquor Laws	9	8	98	75	41	76	377	357
Disorderly Conduct	5	8	40	50	47	24	534	604
Vagrancy	0	0	4	0	Ö	0	2	0
All Other Offenses	9	10	1,124	1,172	772	737	4,487	5,711
Curfews-Loitering	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 1,10,	1
Runaways	0	0	2	2	0	0	45	72
GRAND TOTAL	178	169	4,806	4,881	2,946	3,311	18,882	19,044
Percent Change - 80/79		-5.06		+1.56		+12.39		+0.86

County	Warren		Washington		V√atauga		Wayne	
Offense Category	1979* Total Arrest	1980* Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest
Murder	0	0	1	1	1	]	20	7
Manslaughter by	]		1			·		•
Negligence	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	6
Forcible Rape	0	1	0	2	1 1	2	12	9
Robbery	0	0	2	6	8	2	39	42
Assault-	1					_	)	-12
Aggravated	1	1	29	36	44	21	163	274
Burglary	0	0	15	27	73	49	182	268
Larceny	0	0	31	32	78	151	325	373
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	0	2	8	11	10	35	25
Arson	1	0	4	0	2	0	6	4
Assault-Simple	0	4	39	28	62	65	299	268
Forgery and		·			02	. 00	] ~//	200
Counterfeiting	0	0	3	7	149	125	46	52
Fraud	0	0	144	130	18	18	270	412
Embezzlement	0	0	1	2	2	18	3	412
Stolen Property	0	0	2	9	1	17	35	35
Vandalism	0	0	11	4	16	26	78	73
Weapons	5	7	2	Ö	17	11	43	73 49
Prostitution	0	0	0	Ö	l o	0	2	0
Sex Offenses	0	0	1	Ö	0	ı	14	14
Drugs	16	23	19	19	97	83	165	215
Gambling	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	213
Offenses Against		Ĭ	Ū	Ů		U	٦	U
the Family	0	0	14	6	25	28	34	42
Driving Under the		Ĭ	,	Ū	25	20	34	42
Influence	172	343	186	230	688	746	1,056	1,077
Liquor Laws	51	66	22	25	68	89		•
Disorderly Conduct	0	47	9	25 5	43	36	185 207	258
Vagrancy	0	ő	Ó	0	43	0		169
All Other Offenses	0	0	80	101	162	- 1	12	2
Curfews-Loitering	0	0	0	2	0	191	469	544
Runaways	0	٥	0	0	3	0	0 33	1]
GRAND TOTAL	246	492	617	680	1,569	1,674	3,740	4,236
Percent Change - 80/79		NA		10.21		+6.69		13.26

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes a majority of the county population was not covered for the entire year. See History of contributor Participation section in this book for missing months.

County Offense Category	Wilkes		Wilson		Yadkin		Yancey	
	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980 Total Arrest	1979 Total Arrest	1980* Total Arrest
Murder	6	4	10	10	1	3	0	0
Manslaughter by	1							
Negligence	3	7	3	3	4	2	0	1
Forcible Rape	6	2	6	9	0	1	] 1	0
Robbery	6	5	58	33	1	1	0	0
Assault-							1	
Aggravated	174	161	211	232	46	39	33	4
Burglary	118	143	308	261	62	20	53	1
Larceny	178	187	269	310	27	40	13	0
Motor Vehicle Theft	15	22	34	26	5	6	3	0
Arson	3	4	1	2	0	9	0	0
Assault-Simple	89	74	236	222	58	46	32	2
Forgery and	1		1					
Counterfeiting	11	11	44	66	20	21	6	0
Fraud	6	4	912	1,113	37	22	32	2
Embezzlement	1	0	5	7	0	1	0	0
Stolen Property	5	9	6	7	1	4	4	2
Vandalism	42	50	24	12	7	2	11	0
Weapons	28	39	38	48	19	19	5	3
Prostitution	0	0	6	1	l o	0	lo	0
Sex Offenses	1	4	12	5	0	2	1	0
Drugs	146	188	114	183	62	97	11	12
Gambling	63	0	16	5	8	0	0	0
Offenses Against	İ				}		1	
the Family	2	0	86	72	17	23	14	1
Driving Under the	l		[		ł			
Influence	830	847	603	528	309	409	80	114
Liquor Laws	55	76	182	124	42	77	18	23
Disorderly Conduct	104	115	197	222	10	19	4	3
Vagrancy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ö
All Other Offenses	546	541	642	883	117	206	128	14
Curfews-Loitering	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0
Runaways	43	22	4	Ö	0	1	24	2
GRAND TOTAL	2,481	2,515	4,027	4,384	853	1,070	473	184
Percent Change - 80/79		+1.37		+8.87	+	- 25.44		NA

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes a majority of the county population was not covered for the entire year. See History of Contributor Participation section in this book for missing months.

# DRUG ARREST IN NORTH CAROLINA




### **DRUG ARRESTS**

Drug Arrests By Type, Age, and Sex: 1980 vs. 1979

				1979			1980		% Change 80/79
	CATEGORY	AGE	Total Arrests	Male Arrests	Female Arrests	Total Arrests	Male Arrests	Female Arrests	Total Arrests
	Opium, Cocaine, etc.	Under 18	10	8	2	14	13	1	+40.0
		18 & over	444	388	56	500	428	72	+12.6
		Total	454	396	58	514	441	73	+13.2
	Marijuana	Under 18	256	222	34	152	127	25	-40.6
		18 & over	1,843	1,568	275	1,851	1,570	281	+ 0.4
		Total	2,099	1,790	309	2,003	1,697	306	- 4.6
S	Synthetic Narcotic	Under 18	- 21	15	6	30	26	4	+42.9
Sales		18 & over	254	219	35	308	254	54	+21.3
•		Total	275	234	41	338	280	58	+22.9
i	Other Dangerous Drugs	Under 18	24	23	1	14	11	3	-41.7
		18 & over	131	117	14	157	130	27	+19.8
		Total	155	140	15	171	141	30	+10.3
	Total Sales	Under 18	311	268	43	210	177	33	-32.5
		18 & over	2,672	2,292	380	2,816	2,382	434	+ 5.4
		Total	2,983	2,560	423	3,026	2,559	467	<b>∤</b> 1.4
	Opium, Cocaine, etc.	Under 18	31	26	5	55	27	28	+77.4
		18 & over	453	375	78	801	656	145	+76.8
		Total	484_	401	83	856	683	173	+76.9
	Marijuana	Under 18	1,651	1,425	226	1,586	1,329	257	- 3.9
		18 & over	8,650	7,718	932	10,114	8,847	1,167	+16.9
_	,	Total	10,301	9,143	1,158	11,600	10,176	1,424	+12.6
ion	Synthetic Narcotic	Under 18	47	38	9	73	60	13	+55.3
ess		18 & over	390	329	61	568	456	112	+45.6
Possession		Total	437	367	70	641	516	125	+46.7
Ω.,	Other Dangerous Drugs	Under 18	73	60	13	96	76	20	+31.5
		18 & over	537	461	76	636	528	108	+18.4
		Total	610	521	89	732	604	128	+20.0
	Total Possession	Under 18	1,802	1,549	253	1,810	1,492	318	- 0.4
		18 & over	10,030	8,883	1,147	12,019	10,487	1,532	+19.8
		Total	11,832	10,432	1,400	13,829	11,979	1,850	+16.9
	GRAND TOTAL	Under 18	2,113	1,817	296	2,020	1,669	351	- 4.4
S&P		18 & over	12,702	11,175	1,527	14,835	12,869	1,966	+16.8
5		Total	14,815	12,992	1,823	16,855	4,538	2,317	+13.8

# SECTION SIX NORTH CAROLINA LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS KILLED — ASSAULTED



### SITUATIONS IN WHICH N.C. LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS WERE KILLED 1971 - 1980

Responding to disturbance calls (family quarrels, man with gun, etc.)

Burglaries in progress or pursuing burglary suspects

Robberies in progress or pursuing robbery suspects

Attempting other arrests (excludes arrests for Burglaries and Robberies)

Civil disorders (mass disobedience, riot, etc.)

Handling, transporting, custody of prisoners

Investigating suspicious persons or circumstances

Ambush (entrapment and premeditation)

Ambush (unprovoked attack)

Handling mentally deranged persons

Traffic pursuits and stops

Number killed last ten years — 38

Number killed 1979 — 5


Number killed 1980 — 2




	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978*	1979	1980	Total
Persons with prior arrest for criminal charge	1	9	3	3	4	4	5	0	2	1	32
Persons convicted on prior criminal charge	1	8	2	3	3	3	3		2	1	26
Persons with prior arrest for violent crime	1	7	2	1	1	<u></u>	2	_	2		16
Persons with prior arrest for weapons violation	1	4	2	-	1	_	2		2	_	12
Persons with prior arrest for narcotic charge		1 .	1	1	2	3	1		-		9
Persons with prior arrest for police assault	1	2	1	1	_		1	_	_	_	6
Persons with no criminal history	1	3	matrim.	1	2	1	4	0	1	2	15
Total identified	2	12	3	4	6	5	9	0	3	3	47


<sup>\*1978</sup> offense remains uncleared.

### NORTH CAROLINA LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ASSAULTED BY MONTH





### OFFICER ASSAULTS BY TIME OF DAY 1980




W	EAPONS USED		
Weapon	1979	1980	% Change
Firearm	162	130	- 19.75
Knife, Cutting Instrument	67	78	+16.42
Other Dangerous Weapon Hands, Fist, Feet, Etc.	195	179	- 8.21
ridius, risi, reer, Erc.	1,519	1,810	+19.16

11430KIE3 1C	LAW ENFORCEME	NT OFFICE	RS
Injury	1979	1980	% Change
njuries Io Injuries	474	518	+ 9.28
· mjerreo	1,469	1,679	+ 14.30

CLEARA	ANCE IN ASSAULTS O	N LAW ENFORCE	MENT OFFICERS
1979 1980	Number Assaulted 1,943 2,197	Number Cleared 1 974 2,136	<b>Percent Cleared</b> 96.45 97.22

### **SECTION SEVEN**

# UNIFORM CRIME REPORTING SUMMARY



### FOR INDEX OFFENSES 1979 - 1980


The percentage of crimes cleared by arrest is obtainable by dividing the number of offenses cleared by the number of offenses known and multiplying the resultent by 100 as:

$$\frac{50 \text{ (robberies cleared)}}{153 \text{ (robberies known)}} \times 100 = 32.67 \text{ percent cleared.}$$

he table below sets forth the distribution of each index crime reported as well as the number and percentage cleared by arrest or exceptional means in 1979 and 1980.

		19	1980					
OFFENSE	Number of Offenses	Percent Distribution	Number Cleared	Percent Cleared	Number of Offenses	Percent Distribution	Number Cleared	Percent Cleared
Murder	590	0.2	526	89.2	608	0.2	554	91.1
Rape	1,122	0.5	723	64.4	1,306	0.5	859	65.8
Robbery	4,280	1.8	1,624	37.9	4,754	1.8	1,688	35.5
Agg. Assault	18,623	7.7	14,268	76.7	19,477	7.3	14,914	76.6
Burglary	71,595	29.7	14,238	19.9	82,011	30.7	16,342	19.9
Larceny	132,485	55.0	26,349	19.9	146,738	54.9	28,102	19.2
MV Theft	12,356	5.1	4,067	32.9	12,474	4.7	4,184	33.5
Violent	24,615	10.2	17,141	69.6	26,145	9.8	18,015	68.9
Property	216,436	89.8	44,654	20.6	241,223	90.2	48,628	20.2
Total	. 241,051	100.0	61,795	25.6	267,368	100.0	66,643	24.9

### PERCENT OF INDEX OFFENSES CLEARED 1979 & 1980



### CRIME INDEX ARRESTS AND RATES PER 100,000 POPULATION 1979 vs. 1980

The information below reflects the number of arrests made for the commission of an index crime, the percent change in the number made, and the rate of arrest per 100,000 population for 1979 and 1980.

	1979	1980	80/79	1979 <sup>1</sup>	1980 <sup>2</sup>
CATEGORY	Number of Arrests	Number of Arrests	% Change	Arrest Rate	Arrest Rate
Murder	626	628	+0.3	11.5	10.9
Rape	636	738	+16.0	11.6	12.8
Robbery	2,042	2,114	+3.5	37.4	36.8
Aggravated Assault	14,196	14,479	+2.0	259.8	251.9
Burglary	13,984	16,051	+14.8	255.9	279.3
Larceny	25,475	26,186	+2.8	466.2	455.6
MV Theft	2,457	2,454	-0.1	45.0	42.7
Violent	17,500	17,959	+2.6	320.3	312.5
Property	41,916	44,691	+6.6	767.1	777.6
Total	59,416	62,650	+5.4	1,087.3	1,090.1

<sup>1</sup> Estimated population coverage was 5,462,084 in 1979.

### VALUE OF PROPERTY STOLEN AND RECOVERED — 1979 vs. 1980

The information below reflects the amount of property stolen and recovered during 1979 and 1980 as well as the value stolen for each offense category.

	1979	1980
Value of Property Stolen	\$99,692,867 \$34,552,633 \$65,140,234 34.66% \$ 8,307,739 \$ 2,879,386	\$137,695,851 \$ 41,181,569 \$ 96,514,282 29.87% \$ 11,474,654 \$ 3,431,797
Value Stolen by Offense Murder Rape Robbery Aggravated Assault Burglary Larceny Motor Vehicle Theft	\$ 5,468 \$ 14,128 \$ 2,915,819 * \$33,101,399 \$29,511,874 \$34,144,189	\$ 22,779 \$ 8,914 \$ 2,862,793 * \$ 53,064,732 \$ 41,810,855 \$ 39,925,860

<sup>\*</sup>Not Applicable

Total dollar amounts will not equal due to rounding.

<sup>2</sup> Estimated population coverage was 5, 736,142 in 1980.

### STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA **FIVE YEAR RECAPITULATION OF OFFENSES** 1976 through 1980\*

TA	DІ	•
	D L	

TABLE	197	6 through	h 1980*	,		
OFFENSES	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	% Change 1980/1976
MURDER	590	572	594	590	608	+3.1
FORCIBLE RAPE	807	919	1,006	1,122	1,306	+61.8
Rape by Force	600	663	733	818	985	+64.2
Assault to Rape—Attempts	207	256	273	304	321	+55.1
ROBBERY	3,775	3,338	3,646	4,280	4,754	+25.9
Armed—Any Weapon	2,428	2,177	2,317	2,767	3,127	+28.8
Strong Arm—No Weapon	1,347	1,161	1,329	1,513	1,627	+20.8
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	16,255	17,296	17,510	18,623	19,477	+19.8
Gun	4,569	4,669	4,768	5,061	5,237	+14.6
Knife or Cutting Instrument	3,7 <i>57</i>	3,949	4,025	4,008	4,362	+16.1
Other Dangerous Weapon	3,238	3,613	3,529	3,713	3,876	+19.7
Hands, Fists, Feet, etc.	4,691	5,065	5,188	5,841	6,002	+27.9
BREAKING AND ENTERING	62,560	62,210	65,088	71,595	82,011	+31.1
Forcible Entry	50,477	49,582	51,318	56,686	65,183	+29.1
Unlawful Entry—No Force	7,930	8,269	9,080	9,534	11,216	+41.4
Attempted—Forcible Entry	4,153	4,359	4,690	5,375	5,612	+35.1
LARCENY-THEFT	113,220	110,281	115,397	132,485	146,738	+29.6
\$200 and Over	23,838	23,871	26,567	32,633	41,722	+75.0
\$50 to \$200	46,944	43,071	43,569	49,032	54,530	+16.2
Under \$50	42,438	43,339	45,261	50,820	50,486	+19.0
MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT	9,504	10,389	11,108	12,356	12,474	+31.3
TOTAL CRIME INDEX	206,711	205,005	214,349	241,051	267,368	+29.3
CRIME RATE per 100,000	3,927.5	3,874.6	3,986.9	4,411.2	4,661.1	+18.7
VIOLENT CRIME	21,427	22,125	22,756	24,615	26,145	+22.0
NONVIOLENT CRIME	185,284	182,880	191,593	216,436	241,223	+30.2

<sup>\*</sup>Some figures have been changed from those previously published reflecting the addition of late returns.

### CRIME IN THE UNITED STATES — 1979

The source for the table below is the Federal Bureau of Investigation's publication, Crime in the United States, 1979. The number of index offenses and crime rates per 100,000 population are estimated for 100% population coverage.

INDEX CRIME BY STATE - 1979

State	# Offenses	Rate	Pop.	State	# Offense	Rate	Pop.
Alabama	159,950	4,244	3,769,000	Montana	35,060	4,461	786,000
Alaska	35,187	6,204	406,000	Nebraska	62,851	3,993	1,574,000
Arizona	192,505	7,857	2,450,000	Nevada	61,998	8,832	702,000
Arkansas	78,933	3,621	2,180,000	NewHampshire	40,614	4,579	887,000
California	1,695,108	7,469	22,696,000	New Jersey	426,765	5,821	7,332,000
Colorado	195,456	7,051	2,772,000	New Mexico	71,835	5,789	1,241,000
Connecticut	180,033	5,780	3,115,000	New York	1,095,140	6,205	17,649,000
Delaware	37,980	6,526	582,000	North Carolina	245,122	4,373	5,606,000
Florida	681,162	7,688	8,860,000	North Dakota	18,106	2,756	657,000
Georgia	277,235	5,417	5,118,000	Ohio	550,481	5,130	10,731,000
Hawaii	66,315	7,248	915,000	Oklahoma	136,012	4,703	2,892,000
Idaho	38,379	4,241	905,000	Oregon	161,045	6,373	2,527,000
Illinois	580,504	5,169	11,230,000	Pennsylvania	410,047	3,495	11,731,000
Indiana	248,477	4,601	5,400,000	Rhode Island	53,599	5,770	929,000
lowa	124,879	4,302	2,903,000	South Carolina	148,540	5,066	2,932,000
Kansas	115,981	4,896	2,369,000	South Dakota	20,393	2,960	689,000
Kentucky	112,296	3,184	3,527,000	Tennessee	175,786	4,013	4,380,000
Louisiana	215,743	5,359	4,026,000	Texas	793,097	5,925	13,385,000
Maine	47,251	4,307	1,097,000	Utah	75,076	5,492	1,367,000
Maryland	261,166	6,295	4,149,000	Vermont	26,125	5,299	493,000
Massachusetts	341,406	5,918	5,769,000	Virginia	226,656	4,361	5,197,000
Michigan	566,015	6,147	9,208,000	Washington	256,349	6,530	3,926,000
Minnesota	178,349	4,393	4,060,00	West Virginia	43,669	2,325	1,878,000
Mississippi	71,233	2,961	2,406,000	Wisconsin	207,112	4,388	4,720,000
Missouri	240,471	4,940	4,868,000	Wyoming	21,708	4,824	450,000
	~~~			United States	12,152,730	5,522	220,099,000

# HISTORY OF CONTRIBUTOR PARTICIPATION



### **COMPARATIVE CONTRIBUTOR HISTORY**

The table below reflects by month the total number of law enforcement agencies that participated in the N.C. UCR Program during the last five years.

Month	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980
January	372	387	400	403	406
February	369	388	400	401	403
March	371	382	401	400	403
April	368	386	397	398	401
May	367	384	393	397	401
June	365	382	392	397	401
July	371	381	392	397	401
August	363	380	392	399	401
September	367	378	388	398	397
October	368	377	384	394	396
November	365	374	386	392	396
December	365 ·	371	383	394	394
Average	367	381	392	398	400

### POPULATION COVERAGE

The table below reflects by month the percent of total N.C. population covered by those law enforcement agencies which have participated in the N.C. UCR Program during the last five years.

Month	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980
January	95.33	97.43	98.45	98.69	98.69
February	96.15	97.16	98.48	98.65	98.42
March	96.96	97.10	98.49	99.18	98.42
April	96.87	97.13	97.90	99.08	98.38
May	96.45	97.07	98.29	99.11	98.38
June	96.11	96.67	97,78	99.05	98.38
July	97.23	96.54	98.25	99.16	98.37
August	95.49	96.54	97.79	98.68	98.37
September	95.62	96.44	97.74	98.99	98.26
October	96.22	96.03	97.66	98.89	98.04
November	95.82	96.00	97.63	98.13	98.04
December	95.51	96.38	97.62	97.93	97.97
Average	96.15	96.71	98.01	98.80	98.31

### INCOMPLETE REPORTS JAN-DEC 1979

Agency	Mos. On File	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	enuL	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Atkinson PD	0				, .								
Autryville PD	0		[			,						<b>.</b>	
Bakersville PD	9	<b>x</b>	x	×	×	X	x	x	×	×		]	<b>.</b>
Batileboro PD	0		<b>.</b>					<b>]</b>	]	]	<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>
Boiling Spring Lks. PD	9	<b>x</b>	х		x		×	x	x	x	×	<b>.</b>	×
Boonville PD	8	<b>x</b>	X	X	×				×		×	×	×
Bunn PD	0						<b>.</b>						
Burgaw PD	9		X		x	x	×	×	×	×	<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>	×
Burnsville PD	0						<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>		<b>.</b>		<b></b>	
Cherokee PD	8	<b>x</b>	×	×	х	×	x	×	×				<b>.</b>
China Grove PD	3	<b>x</b>	, <b>x</b>	×		 			<b></b>	<b>]</b>	<b>.</b>		
Clarkton PD	6	<b>x</b>	×	х	×	<b>x</b>	<b>.</b>	x	]		<b></b>	<b>.</b>	
Coats PD	11	х	×	×	×	×	. ×	×	×	<u> </u>	×	x	×
Colerain PD	0		]		<b></b>	<b>.</b>	<u> </u>	<b> </b>		<u> </u>		<b>.</b>	
Columbia PD	0		<b>.</b>			<b>.</b>	<u> </u>	ļ	<b> </b>	<u>.</u>		<b></b>	
Danbury PD	0		<b>]</b>				<u>.</u>	<b> </b>	<b></b>			<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>
Dublin PD	0		<b>.</b>		<b>.</b>		<b>]</b>		<b></b>	<u>.</u>			
E. Spencer PD	1	<b>x</b>	<b>.</b>		<b>]</b>	<b></b>	<b>.</b>			<b>.</b>			<b></b> .
Everetts PD	0					[	<b>.</b>	<b> </b>		<b></b>			
Four Oaks PD	0					] 	<b></b>	<b></b>			<b>]</b>	]	<b>.</b>
Franklinville PD	0		<b>.</b>			<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>		<b>]</b>	<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>	
Garland PD	11	<b>x</b>	<b>x</b>	<b>x</b>	×	<b></b>	) ×	×	×	×	×	×	х,
Gaston PD	5	х	×	x	<b></b>	<b>[</b>	ļ	<b> </b>	×	x	<u> </u>		<b></b>
Hamilton PD	0		ļ				<b>.</b>			<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>		
Hassell PD	0						<b></b>		<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>	ļ	<b>.</b>
Hobgood PD	0				<b>]</b>		<b></b>	<b> </b>	<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>	ļ	<b></b>	
Hot Springs PD	0		<b>[</b>		<b></b>		<b></b>				<b></b>		<b></b>
Jamesville PD	0						<b></b>		<b></b>	<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>		
Kenly PD	0						<b></b>	<b></b>		<b>[</b>	ļ	<b></b>	<b>.</b>
Lk. Waccamaw PD	0		<b>.</b>					<b>]</b>	]	<b>]</b>	<b></b>	<b></b>	<b>.</b>
Lawndale PD	0		<b>.</b>		<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>	<b></b>			<b>.</b>	<b></b>		
Lewiston PD	5				<b></b>		<u> </u>		×	×	x	×	) . x
Macclesfield PD	0									<b>.</b>		<b></b>	<b>.</b>
Maxton PD	10		<b>.</b>	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	<b>x</b>	х
Micro PD	0		<b>]</b>		[	[	<b>.</b>	<b> </b>	<b></b>	<b></b>	<b>[</b>	<b></b>	<b>.</b>
Middleburg PD	0		<b></b>	<b> </b>				<b> </b>	[	<b></b> .	<b></b>	<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>
Morrisville PD	0		<u>.</u>	[	<b>l</b>	]	J	<b>{</b>	l	J	<u> </u>	<b></b>	J

ONLY THOSE AGENCIES WHICH CONTRIBUTED FOR LESS THAN 12 MONTHS AND WERE NOT COVERED ARE LISTED. x--Denotes participation for the month.

### INCOMPLETE REPORTS JAN-DEC 1979

Agency	Mos. On File	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Newland PD	0												
New Topsail Beach PD	2	×	×	×					<b> </b>	<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>		l
Norlina PD	0		<b>.</b> ,,	<b>.</b>				l	<b>.</b>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	l
Ocean Isle Beach PD	2	x	×	<b>.</b>			<b>.</b>						
Old Fort PD	0		<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>					<b> </b>	<u> </u>			l
Parkton PD	0		<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>						<b>.</b>			
Pembroke St. U. PD	6		<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>			x	x	x	x	x		×
Pine Level PD	4			<b></b>						x	x	x	×
Princeville PD	0												
RDU Airport PD	0												
Randleman PD	11	x	x	x	x	x	х	x	x	x	х		х
Roseboro PD	0												
Seagrove PD	0			<b>.</b>									
Shallotte PD	0								[				
Sheriff-Madison	0							,,,,,,,,,			ļ		
Sheriff-Montgomery	11	×	×	×	x	х	×	х	×	×	×	×	
Sheriff-Richmond	7			x	х	x	×			×	×		×
Sheriff-Surry	11	×	x	×	х	x	х	x	x	х	×	×	i
Sheriff-Warren	0										ľ'''''		
Spencer PD	5	×	×	x	х	×						<b> </b>	
Spring Hope PD	4		×	×	x	x							
Spruce Pine PD	0												
Surf City PD	3	x	×	[	[				,	x			
Tabor City PD	8	×	×	×		х	×	×	×	×			
UNC-Chapel Hill PD	7						x	X	×	×	×	×	×
Wagram PD	11	×	×	x	х	х	x	X	×	×	×	<b>.</b>	×
Warrenton PD	0		]										
Whitakers PD	1			l''''''			х						
Wingate PD	0		[	[	[						ļ		
Winton PD	0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	[					l		<b></b>		
Woodland PD	0		[										
Yaupon Beach PD	0			<b>.</b>	<b> </b>			••••••	l	ļ	<u> </u>		·····
Youngsville PD	0	1	[	<b> </b>	ļ					ļ'''''	<b></b>	<u> </u>	ļ

ONLY THOSE AGENCIES WHICH CONTRIBUTED FOR LESS THAN 12 MONTHS AND WERE NOT COVERED ARE LISTED.

## INCOMPLETE REPORTS JAN-DEC 1980

Аделсу	Mos. On File	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Atkinson PD	0		<b>.</b>										
Boiling Spring Lks. PD	11	×	×	×	×	×	x	×	×	×	×	х	
Bunn PD	0	.,	<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>	<u>.</u>					Ī			
Carthage PD	1	×		<b>.</b>			Ĭ		·····				
Cherokee PD	0			<u> </u>									
Chocowinity PD	0	<b>]</b>	<b>.</b>								• • • • • • •		
Colerain PD	0		<u> </u>					,,,,,,,,,,,				••••	
Dublin PD	0				[	]''''	]						
E. Spencer PD	0	<b></b>					1				• • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •	
Ellerbe PD	10	х	х	×	×	×	×	X	×		X	×	• • • • • •
Four Oaks PD	0						]			• • • • • • • •			
Holden Beach PD	3	x	х	×			1	•••••	• • • • • • •		••••••	•••••••	
Holly Springs PD	3						1	×	×	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • •	••••••	
Hot Springs PD	0					• • • • • • • • •					• • • • • • • •	••••••	<b>x</b> .
Kenly PD	0		********					*******			•••••••	••••••	
Lk. Waccamaw PD	6	×	×	x	X	х	×		••••••	• • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	
Lawndale PD	0			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	******	, , , , , , , ,			*******	••••••	••••••		
Maxton PD	11	×	×	×	х	x	×	х	×	···:			
Micro PD	0			•••••						×	×	×	[
Middleburg PD	0		````			••••••		••••••			•••••	••••••	
N.C. St. U. PD	5		````		•••••	********		••••••	×	×			
Newland PD	0		```	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••		••••••	…î…∤	^∤	×	×	<b>x</b> .
New Topsail Beach PD	0		````	••••••	••••••	********		••••••	••••••		•••••	••••••	
Norlina PD	0			••••••		••••••	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••	••••••	·····-	
Pembroke St. U. PD	0	•••••	••••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	∤	•••••			
Pilot Mountain PD	8	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	···	•••••	••••••		]
Princeville PD	0			•••••				···≎···∤·	×	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
RDU Airport PD	0			••••••		••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••				
Roper PD	9	×	×	×	×	····×							
Roseboro PD	0		····i			····î···· <del> </del>	×	× .	×	×			
Salemburg PD	0			*******	••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		·····	••••••			
Seagrove PD	0	*******	••••••		·······	••••••	•••••••		•••••		······		
Shallotte PD	0	•••••	·······	••••••	·····			٠٠٠٠٠٠].					
Sheriff-Madison	0	••••••	••••••••	·····-	••••••				·····-	····-∤·			
Sheriff-Montgomery	9	×	×	···×	···•	×	···j··· ·						
Sheriff-Polk	8	x	îl.	···î····	x	••••••	×	× .	<u>x</u>	×			
Sheriff-Warren	0		?∤.	…î…∤	î∤.	×	<b>x</b>  .	×	<b>x</b>				
Sherrit-warren	لــــــــا	l.		l	<i>I</i> .	J.	l.	l.				]	]

ONLY THOSE AGENCIES WHICH CONTRIBUTED FOR LESS THAN 12 MONTHS AND WERE NOT COVERED ARE LISTED. x—Denotes participation for the month.

x—Denotes participation for the month

### INCOMPLETE REPORTS JAN-DEC 1980

Agency	Mos. On File	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Sheriff-Yancey	1	X								I			
Spencer PD	0			<b>.</b>		<b>.</b>			<b>.</b>	<u>.</u>			
Spruce Pine PD	0		į .	ł	1	<b>.</b>	ŀ	[	5	ĺ	{	ł	} }
Waxhaw PD	5	X	×	×		<b>.</b>	×	[,	×	<u> </u>			l
Wingate PD	0			<b>}</b>				<b>.</b>		<b>.</b>			
Yaupon Beach PD	0	••••		<b>.</b>	[		<u> </u>			<u> </u>			
Youngsville PD	0	,		<b></b>			<b>.</b>			<b>.</b>			

ONLY THOSE AGENCIES WHICH CONTRIBUTED FOR LESS THAN 12 MONTHS AND WERE NOT COVERED ARE LISTED.

# APPENDIX GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

x—Denotes participation for the month.

#### **GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS**

#### **TERMS:**

**ADULT -** For Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) purposes, a person 18 years of age or more. (N.C. criminal statutes define an adult as a person 16 years of age or more).

**ARREST RATE** - The number of arrests reported for each unit of population (per 100,000 people in this publication).

**CLASSIFYING** - Determining the correct UCR Part 1 or Part 2 offense category based on the facts contained in the police investigation report.

**CLEARANCE RATE** - The percentage of offenses cleared obtained by dividing the number of clearances made in any particular offense category by the number of offenses reported in that same category.

**CLEARED BY ARREST -** For UCR purposes, an offense is considered cleared (solved) by arrest when at least one person involved in the commission of the offense is 1) arrested, 2) charged with the commission of the offense, and 3) turned over for prosecution.

**CLEARED BY EXCEPTIONAL MEANS** - For UCR purposes, an offense is considered exceptionally cleared (solved) in those instances in which police have 1) definitely established the identity of the offender, 2) enough information to support an arrest, charge, and turning over to the court for prosecution, 3) in their knowledge the exact location of the offender so that he could be taken into custody, and yet 4) some element beyond their control precludes the placing of formal charges against the offender.

**CRIME FACTOR** - Any condition which may affect the amount and type of crime that occurs in a geographical area.

**CRIME INDEX** - The sum total of seven major offenses used to measure the extent, flunctuation, and distribution of crime in a given geographical area. Crime classification presently used in the index are: 1) murder, 2) forcible rape, 3) robbery, 4) aggravated assault, 5) burglary, 6) larceny, and 7) motor vehicle theft. NOTE that although arson is considered an index crime, the number of these offenses reported does not now constitute part of the crime index in North Carolina.

**CRIME PROJECTION** - The act of estimating the number of offenses that will be reported (or arrests made) during some future period of time through a systematic presentation of intersecting coordinate lines.

**CRIME RATE** - The number of index offenses (less arson ) reported for each unit of population (per 100,000 people in this publication).

**HIERARCHY RULE** - A standard UCR scoring practice in which only the most serious offense (as determined by the established index crime order) is counted in a multiple offense situation. For exceptions to this rule see the section in this publication entitled **Considerations for Interpretation**.

HISPANIC - A UCR ethnic category referring to those people of Spanish origin.

**HOTEL RULE -** A standard UCR scoring practice which limits to one the number of burglaries which can be counted when more than one room is entered for the purpose of committing a felony or theft within a structure generally intended to house transients.

**INCIDENT BASE REPORTING -** A less restrictive and more expansive method of collecting crime data (as opposed to Summary Reporting) in which all the analytical elements associated with an offense or arrest are compiled by a central collection agency on an incident by incident basis.

**INDEX CRIME** - Any one of eight major offenses used to measure the extent, fluctuation, and distribution of crime in a given geographical area. The eight index crimes are: 1) murder, 2) forcible rape, 3) robbery, 4) aggravated assault, 5) burglary, 6) larceny, 7) motor vehicle theft, and 8) arson.

**JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDE** - The killing of a felon (while he is in the act of committing a felony) by a private citizen or police officer in the line of duty. Justifiable homicides are unfounded murders and are, therefore, not counted as part of the sum total of murders reported in the crime index.

**JUVENILE** - For UCR purposes, a person under the age of 18. (N.C. criminal statutes define a juvenile as a person under the age of 16).

NON-HISPANIC - A UCR ethnic category referring to those people not of Spanish origin.

NON-VIOLENT CRIME - See definition of Property Crime.

PART 1 OFFENSES - The first of two main groupings of UCR crime classifications consisting of the eight index crimes plus manslaughter by negligence. Part 1 offenses are by their very nature generally more serious and/or occur more frequently than the Part 2 offenses.

**PART 2 OFFENSES** - The second of two main UCR groupings of crime classifications consisting of all other crime categories not already designated as Part 1 excluding minor traffic violations. Part 2 offenses are generally less serious in nature and/or occur less frequently than the Part 1 offenses. With the exception of simple assault, monthly tabulation of Part 2 offenses is limited to arrest information only in the Summary Reporting System.

**POLICE EMPLOYEE RATE** - The number of full-time sworn law enforcement officers employed for each unit of population. Local rates may be obtained by dividing 1,000 (for rate per 1,000 people) by the population of the city or county jurisdiction and multiplying this factor by the number of full-time sworn.

**PROPERTY CRIME** - Any one or the sum total (less arson) of the following offenses: 1) burglary, 2) larceny, 3) motor vehicle theft, and 4) arson.

**SCORING** - Counting the number of offenses committed after the police investigation report has been classified. (For a discussion of UCR scoring rules and procedures, see the section in this publication entitled **Considerations for Interpretation**.)

**STRUCTURE** - For UCR purposes, generally any enclosed area intended for permanent use or occupation. A "structure" is considered to include the following, but not limited to: a dwelling house, apartment, out buildings, public buildings, offices, factories, etc.

**SUMMARY REPORTING** - A method of collecting crime data adopted for national reporting purposes in which a limited amount of analytical data associated with an offense or arrest is compiled by a central collection agency from summary reports completed by each contributing agency.

**UNFOUNDED OFFENSE** - A record of complaint which is found to be false or baseless after police investigation. The number of these complaints received are not included in the actual number of offenses reported used in the tabulation of the crime index, rate, etc.

**VIOLENT CRIME** - Any one or the sum total of the following offenses: 1) murder, 2) forcible rape, 3) robbery, and 4) aggravated assault.

#### **ABBREVIATIONS:**

Al.Nat. (or Alask. Nat.) - Alaskan Native (a race designation).

Am. Ind. - American Indian (a race designation).

As. (or Asi.) - Asian (a race designation).

**C** - Covered by another agency for Uniform Crime Reporting purposes.

**DNP** - Did not participate in the Uniform Crime Reporting Program (for whatever year indicated).

**FBI -** Federal Bureau of Investigation, a division of the U.S. Department of Justice and administrators of the National Uniform Crime Reporting Program.

His (or Hisp) - Hispanic (an ethnic designation).

IACP - International Association of Chiefs of Police.

**NA** - Not applicable. Generally used whenever a reasonable crime rate or percent change could not be calculated.

N-His (or N-Hisp) - Non-Hispanic (an ethnic designation).

**NSA** - National Sheriff's Association.

P.I. (or Pac. Isl.) - Pacific Islander (a race designation).

**PIN** - Police Information Network, a division of the N.C. Department of Justice and administrators of the N.C. Uniform Crime Reporting Program.

**UCR** - Uniform Crime Reporting.



# END