


National Criminal Justice Reference Service



This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U. S. Department of Justice.

National Institute of Justice  
United States Department of Justice  
Washington, D. C. 20531

11/23/82

MF



PERSONS UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF THE  
FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM

1967

84438

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE U.S. COURTS  
ERNEST C. FRIESEN, JR., DIRECTOR  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20544

U.S. Department of Justice  
National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

Public Domain

Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.

PERSONS UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF THE

FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM

FISCAL YEAR 1967

NCJRS

JUL 15 1969

ACQUISITIONS

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE U. S. COURTS

ERNEST C. FRIESEN, JR., DIRECTOR

FOREWORD

PERSONS UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF THE FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM, 1967 is the sixth in a series of annual reports which presents a statistical analysis of persons received for supervision by the Federal Probation System. The data are compiled from reports provided on each person received for supervision by the 187 probation offices in the United States District Courts. Obtained through a standardized set of instructions, and centrally classified and coded, the information represents a statistical basis for determining the characteristics of persons placed on supervision as to offense, time period to be supervised and demographic characteristics such as age, marital status, education and prior criminal record. Further for those discharged from supervision data are presented for those who successfully complete the conditions of supervision and for those who fail, the type of violation.

Perhaps the greatest contribution of these data is that they do not show marked changes from year-to-year, but are slow moving as to trends and often with no major change at all. This is reasonable since probationers as a group represent the best risks. For those who are imprisoned and return to the community either under the conditions of parole or mandatory release, such persons, though of a higher risk category, nevertheless, do markedly well. The great unanswered area are those who finish their terms of imprisonment and walk out of the prison gates free of any supervision whatsoever. Some 35 percent of those persons who leave Federal prisons today do so without any supervision. There is at present no means of measuring their success in the community. Success being measured in abstaining from criminal activity.

As in the Federal Offenders 1967 report, this report continues the use of the potentialities of the computer. It was prepared by Mr. James A. McCafferty and his assistants Mrs. Carol Saunders and Mrs. Norma Stern, of the Division of Procedural Studies and Statistics of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts.

September 15, 1969

Ernest C. Friesen, Jr.  
Director

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Forward.....	i
Highlights of the report.....	xi
<u>Analysis</u>	
I. Introduction.....	1
Purpose of this report.....	2
Movement of persons under supervision.....	4
<u>PART ONE - PROBATION</u>	
II. 89 United States District Courts - Probationers received for supervision.....	19
Characteristics of probationers received.....	22
Prior criminal record, education, age.....	23
Prior criminal record and term of supervision....	28
Summary for the years 1963 - 1967.....	30
III. Probationers removed from supervision:	
Term of supervision.....	37
Term and time supervised.....	43
Violations by offense and age.....	46
Pattern of nonviolation by offense class.....	50
Comparison of probation use and violation rates..	50
Evaluation and objectives.....	54
Probation violators.....	55
IV. Probationers in the District of Columbia:	
The U. S. District Court in the District of Columbia.....	61
Characteristics of probationers received.....	61
Marital status, education, offense categories....	64
Prior criminal record.....	67
Probationers removed.....	67
Violation rate.....	71
Term of supervision.....	71
V. United States Commissioner's Probation:	
Probationers received for supervision.....	73
Characteristics of probationers received:	
Marital status, prior criminal record, education.....	73
Offense categories.....	74
Term of supervision.....	74
Probationers removed.....	76
Rate of violation.....	76
VI. Deferred prosecution.....	83
Characteristics of persons received:	
Marital status, prior record, education.....	83
Offense categories.....	87
Term of supervision.....	87

CONTENTS

Violation rate.....	87
 <u>PART TWO - PAROLE AND MANDATORY RELEASE</u>	
I. Persons received for supervision.....	91
Sentence procedures.....	94
Length of supervision.....	96
Offense and period of supervision.....	102
Description of first paroles.....	102
Marital status.....	102
Prior record, education.....	106
Removed from parole and mandatory release supervision.....	106
Violation rates.....	108
Parole violations by offense and age.....	112
Time served in prison and time under supervision.....	112
II. Mandatory releases:	
Received for supervision.....	121
Characteristics of persons received:	
Marital status, education, prior record, type of offense.....	125
Violation rates.....	128
Time served in confinement.....	133
Time served under supervision.....	137
III. Parole and mandatory release violators.....	137

Tables in Analysis

<u>No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	
A 1.	Persons received for and removed from supervision, 1966 and 1967.....	8
A 1-a	Time under supervision on June 30, 1966...	9
A 1-b	Time under supervision by offense on June 1966.....	10
A 1-c	Probationers under supervision by offense and time on June 30, 1967.....	11
 <u>PART ONE - PROBATION</u>		
A 2.	Persons received for and removed from supervision by type of probation.....	13

CONTENTS - CONTINUED

<u>No.</u>		<u>Page</u>
<u>Probationers received for supervision:</u>		
A 3.	Type of probation, sex and length of term.	18
A 3-a	Offense and length of term.....	20
A 4.	Offense and type of probation.....	21
A 5.	Age, marital status, prior criminal record and school attainment by offense.....	24
A 6.	Marital status, prior criminal record and school attainment by age.....	25
A 7.	Prior criminal record and length of term..	26
A 8.	Offense and prior criminal record.....	29
 <u>Probationers removed from supervision:</u>		
A 9.	Type of removal, 1964, 1965, 1966 and 1967	32
A 10.	Type of removal and type of probation.....	34
A 11.	With no violation by type of removal.....	41
A 12.	Length of original term.....	42
A 13.	Time under supervision.....	42
A 14.	Length of original term and time under supervision.....	44
A 15.	Offense class.....	47
A 16.	Offense class and age group.....	48
A 17.	Comparison of probation use and violation.	51
 <u>Probation violators:</u>		
A 17-a	Average use of probation by type of violation, 1965, 1966, and 1967.....	52
A 18.	Original offense, term of supervision and time before violation, 1965, 1966, and 1967	59
A 19.	Original offense and type of violation....	60



CONTENTS - CONTINUED

<u>No.</u>		<u>Page</u>
	<u>Probation - United States District Court for the District of Columbia</u>	
	<u>Received for supervision:</u>	
B 1.	Marital status, prior criminal record and school attainment by age.....	63
B 2.	Marital status, age and school attainment by offense.....	63
B 3.	Offense and term of supervision.....	65
B 4.	Offense and prior criminal record.....	66
	<u>Removed from supervision:</u>	
B 5.	Offense.....	70
B 6.	Length of original term.....	72
	<u>Probation - United States Commissioners received for supervision:</u>	
C 1.	Marital status, prior criminal record and school attainment.....	74
C 2.	Offense, prior criminal record and length of term.....	75
	<u>Removed from supervision:</u>	
C 3.	Offense.....	78
C 4.	Length of term.....	79
	<u>Probation - deferred prosecution received for supervision:</u>	
C 5.	Marital status, prior criminal record and school attainment by age.....	82
C 6.	Offense, prior criminal record and length of term.....	85
	<u>Removed from supervision:</u>	
C 7.	Offense.....	86
C 8.	Length of term.....	89

CONTENTS - CONTINUED

<u>No.</u>		<u>Page</u>
	<u>PART TWO - PAROLE AND MANDATORY RELEASE</u>	
D 1.	Persons received for supervision and removed from supervision.....	93
D 2.	Type of release, sentence procedure and time to be supervised.....	98
D 2-a	First paroles - type of sentence, sex and length of term of supervision.....	99
D 2-b	First mandatory releases - type of sentence, sex, and length of term of supervision..	100
D 3.	Offense and time to be under supervision..	101
	<u>First paroles received for supervision:</u>	
D 4.	Marital status, prior criminal record and school attainment by age.....	103
D 5.	Age, marital status, and school attainment by original offense.....	104
	<u>Removals from supervision:</u>	
D 6.	Parole and mandatory release.....	107
	<u>First parole:</u>	
D 7.	Offense.....	109
D 8.	Type of sentence.....	111
D 8-a	Mandatory releases removed by type of sentence.....	111
D 9	Offense class and age group.....	113
	<u>First paroles - time under supervision contrasted to time served in confinement:</u>	
D 10.	All first paroles.....	114
D 11.	First paroles with regular sentences.....	115
D 12.	First paroles with indeterminate sentences.	116
D 13.	First paroles with YCA sentences.....	117
D 14.	First paroles with FJDA sentences.....	118

CONTENTS - CONTINUED

<u>NO.</u>		<u>Page</u>
D 15.	Term of first parole and time supervised..	120
	<u>First mandatory releases received for supervision:</u>	
D 16.	Marital status, prior record and school attainment by age.....	123
D 17.	Marital status, prior record and school attainment by offense.....	126
	<u>First mandatory releases removed from supervision:</u>	
D 18.	Offense.....	130
D 19.	Type of sentence.....	131
D 19-a	Offense and age.....	132
D 20	Time under supervision contrasted to time served in confinement.....	134
D 20-a	Term of first mandatory release and time supervised.....	135
	<u>Parole violators:</u>	
D 21.	Original offense, time to be supervised and time before violation, 1965-1967.....	138
D 22	Original offense and type of violation, 1967.....	139
	<u>Mandatory release violators:</u>	
D 23.	Original offense, time to be supervised and time before violation, 1965-1967.....	140
D 24.	Original offense, and type of violation, 1967.....	141
<u>APPENDIX TABLES</u>		
E 1.	Persons received for and removed from supervision.....	146
E 2.	Persons under supervision on June 30, 1966 and 1967 by district and how received...	150

CONTENTS - CONTINUED

<u>No.</u>		<u>Page</u>
E 3.	Persons under supervision by district and time completed under supervision.....	152
E 3-a	Persons under supervision by district and offense on June 30, 1967.....	154
E 4.	Probationers received for supervision by district and length of term.....	156
E 5.	Probationers who completed supervision by district and length of term.....	158
E 6.	Defendants sentenced by type of sentence by district.....	160
E 7-a	Persons removed from supervision by type of supervision and violation, 1963.....	164
E 7-b	Persons removed from supervision by type of supervision and violation, 1964.....	165
E 7-c	Persons removed from supervision by type of supervision and violation, 1965.....	166
E 7-d	Persons removed from supervision by type of supervision and violation, 1966.....	167
E 7-e	Persons removed from supervision by type of supervision and violation, 1967.....	168
E 8.	Offense classes used in this report.....	169
<u>Charts</u>		
1	Persons Under the Supervision of the Federal Probation System	
	<u>One trend chart for each of the following which cover persons removed from supervision with no violation and with violation:</u>	
2	United States District Courts - Probationers	
3	United States District Court for the District of Columbia - Probationers	
4	United States Commissioner's Probation	
5	Deferred prosecution	

CONTENTS - CONTINUED

Charts

- 6 United States District Courts and the  
District of Columbia - Parolees
- 8 United States District Courts and the  
District of Columbia - Mandatory releasees

CHARTS NEW TO THIS SERIES

- 7 United States District Courts and the  
District of Columbia - First parolees  
removed from supervision showing time  
served in confinement, and term of parole,  
fiscal calendar year 1967
- 9 United States District Courts and the District  
of Columbia - Mandatory releases removed from  
supervision showing time served in confinement  
and term of mandatory release, fiscal year  
1967

HIGHLIGHTS

PERSONS UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF THE  
FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM  
FISCAL YEAR 1967

The Federal probation system supervises persons placed on probation directly by the courts, by United States commissioners, or at the request of the United States attorneys; under a process known as deferred prosecution. Federal offenders released on parole or mandatory release following terms of confinement are also included in the caseload of United States probation officers.

There were 37,767 persons under the supervision of the Federal Probation System on June 30, 1967; two percent less than the 38,659 reported on that date in 1966. Sixty-eight percent of these persons were placed on probation directly by the courts, while 30 percent were out in the community on parole or mandatory release. Only 2 percent had been placed on probation by United States commissioners or were being supervised due to deferred prosecution.

The data concerning probationers supervised by probation officers attached to the United States district courts (in the fifty states and in Puerto Rico) is highlighted below.

1. Almost 11,000 probationers were received for supervision in the 89 district courts (excluding the District of Columbia) during the fiscal year 1967, a nine percent decrease from 1966. A total of 12,190 persons were removed from supervision during fiscal year 1967, leaving 25,007 probationers under supervision, 5 percent less than in the previous year.
2. There was a nine percent decline in the number of probationers placed under supervision directly from the courts, excluding reinstatements. The number of persons received indirectly from court (with split sentences, mixed sentences, or delayed supervision) declined from 1966 by 12 percent. The following table shows the percentage of probationers received directly and indirectly from the courts.

Probationers Received for Supervision	Fiscal Year				
	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
TOTAL.....	13,388	13,138	12,327	11,956	10,856
Percent direct from court.....	86.3	83.6	83.0	80.2	80.6
Percent indirect from court.....	13.7	16.4	17.0	19.8	19.4

3. Over seventy percent of all persons received for probation had been convicted of five major offenses.

Offense for which convicted	Probationers received for supervision	
	Number	Percent
Liquor laws.....	6,467	25.2
Auto theft.....	3,242	12.6
Forgery and counterfeiting...	3,214	12.5
Fraud.....	2,986	11.6
Larceny.....	2,796	10.9
All other.....	6,980	27.2

4. The characteristics of probationers from the district courts, United States commissioners and persons placed on deferred prosecution are summarized here.

Characteristics of Probationers	Persons Received for Supervision*		
	Probation from dis- trict courts	U. S. commis- sioner pro- bation	Deferred prose- cution
Total received for supervision..	10,266	261	521
<u>AGE</u> - Percent.....	100.0	100.0	100.0
Age 24 and under.....	30.4	60.3	97.3
Age 25 - 39.....	39.4	21.8	1.7
Age 40 and over.....	30.2	17.9	1.0
Median age (in years).....	31.4	23.2	18.1
<u>MARITAL STATUS</u> - Percent.....	100.0	100.0	100.0
Single.....	29.3	59.0	93.3
Married.....	52.6	31.8	5.7
Divorced or separated.....	16.5	8.4	0.8
Widowed.....	1.6	0.8	0.2
<u>SCHOOL ATTAINMENT</u>			
Median school grade completed	10.5	10.7	10.4
Grade 12 and over - Percent..	34.3	35.2	17.6
<u>PRIOR REPORTED CRIMINAL RECORD-</u>			
Percent.....	100.0	100.0	100.0
No prior record.....	45.5	68.1	86.6
Prior record less than prison	42.8	27.7	13.4
Prior prison record.....	11.7	4.2	-

\* Excludes reinstatements.

5. In 1967, there was a three percent greater use of imprisonment than in 1966 contributing to the five percent drop in the utilization of probation.
6. Of the 11,389 first removals in 1967, 85 percent completed their period of supervision without violation. The non-violation rate during 1967 was higher than in any of the five previous years.

There also was a decrease in the major violation rate from 8.3 percent in 1966 to 7.5 percent in 1967.

7. Persons with the longest terms of probation showed the highest violation rate. The greatest proportion of violation took place during the early months of supervision.

Fiscal year	Total removed	Percent with violation	Time under Supervision		
			12 mos. and under	13-24 months	25 mos. and over
1965	12,075	17.8	10.2	4.6	3.0
1966	12,212	16.3	9.3	4.1	3.0
1967	11,389	15.4	8.6	4.2	2.6

8. For each of the past three fiscal years, violators served an average fifteen months of their thirty-nine month term of supervision. In 1965, 1966, and 1967, probationers who violated served approximately 39 percent of their term of probation.

Fiscal year	Number of probation violators	Average (in months)		
		Original term of supervision	Time under supervision before violation	Percent time under supervision
1965	2,154	39	15	38.5
1966	1,993	39	15	38.5
1967	1,750	39	15	38.5

9. Of all persons who violated their probation, 38 percent committed crimes against property, down from 40 percent in 1966. Absconding (loss of contact) or being wanted for a minor offense accounted for 17 percent of the violations. Twenty-three percent of the violators did not abide by the technical conditions of probation. In 1966 this figure was only 17 percent. In 1967, 14 percent of the violations involved the use of alcohol or drugs. There was a slight increase in the percentage of violators committing offenses against persons.

The reason for removal by violation over a three-year period are presented below:

Reasons for removal for violation of conditions of supervision	Percentage distribution of persons violating supervision		
	F.Y. 1965	F.Y. 1966	F.Y. 1967
TOTAL.....	100.0	100.0	100.0
Property offenses.....	41.4	40.3	37.9
Absconded or wanted for a minor offense.....	18.5	19.9	17.3
Use of alcohol or drugs...	19.3	17.0	14.4
Technical conditions.....	12.5	16.5	22.8
Offenses against persons..	8.3	6.2	7.6

10. The statistics for probationers received for and removed from supervision in the District of Columbia are presented separately. The United States District Court for the District of Columbia has jurisdiction over persons convicted of Federal criminal statutes as well as the local District of Columbia Criminal Code.

In 1967, 275 probationers were received for supervision in the District of Columbia United States District Court. Only 258 probationers were received during 1966. Gamblers composed 15.6 percent of all probationers received in 1967 while persons convicted of burglary and larceny made up 14.5 percent, down from 23 percent in 1966. This year there was an increase in the proportion of persons convicted of robbery and narcotics offenses.

Twenty-four percent of the 295 persons removed from supervision violated their probation. None of the 38 probationers originally convicted of gambling were included among the violators. Forty-six percent of the persons convicted of burglary and larceny violated probation.

11. Parolees and mandatory releasees who completed a portion of their sentence in federal or military correctional institutions are also supervised by the federal probation system upon release into the community. In 1967, 9,233 persons were received; 31 percent under a mandatory release and 67 percent on parole. Two percent were military prisoners released on parole or mandatory release. There was a 2.3 percent increase in the number of persons received for parole and mandatory release supervision over those received in 1966.

Persons released on parole spent an average of thirty-one months under supervision, while mandatory releasees had average terms of only twelve months.

Fifty-three percent of the parolees had terms of supervision of 24 months or less. Eighty-eight percent of the mandatory releases had comparative terms.

Parolees and mandatory releasees differ substantially in age, marital status, and prior criminal record due to differences between the two types of offenders.

Characteristics of persons released for supervision	Persons released from institutions for supervision*	
	Parole	Mandatory release
Number received for supervision.....	5,326	2,513
<u>AGE</u> - Percent.....	100.0	100.0
Age 24 and under.....	34.2	8.9
Age 25 - 39.....	22.9	53.9
Age 40 and over.....	22.9	37.2
Median age (in years).....	28.8	36.9
<u>MARITAL STATUS</u> - Percent.....	100.0	100.0
Single.....	40.3	34.2
Married.....	37.5	30.3
Divorced or separated.....	21.0	33.3
Widowed.....	1.2	2.2
<u>SCHOOL ATTAINMENT</u>		
Median school grade completed....	9.9	9.2
Percent - 12th grade and over....	22.7	15.0
<u>PRIOR CRIMINAL RECORD</u> - Percent.....	100.0	100.0
No prior record.....	19.9	6.9
Prior record less than prison....	47.9	20.6
Prior prison record.....	32.2	72.5

\*Excludes reinstatements.



12. Among all parolees removed from supervision, thirty-six percent violated their parole, as compared to thirty-three percent in 1966. Mandatory releasees spent less time under supervision and therefore have a lower violation rate - only 23 percent in 1967.

Fiscal year and supervision classification*	Persons Removed from Supervision		
	Total	Percent	
		No violation	With violation
<u>Parole*</u>			
1963.....	4,065	67.5	32.5
1964.....	4,445	67.4	32.6
1965.....	4,429	64.8	35.2
1966.....	4,402	67.0	33.0
1967.....	4,690	64.3	35.7
<u>Mandatory Release</u>			
1963.....	3,124	75.7	24.3
1964.....	2,948	74.7	25.3
1965.....	2,876	75.5	24.5
1966.....	2,780	75.8	24.2
1967.....	2,571	77.2	22.8

\* Excludes reinstatements.

13. The highest proportion of violations take place during the early months of supervision for both parolees and mandatory releasees.

More violations occur among those offenders who spend the greatest amount of time in confinement.

Supervision classification	Violation Rate	Time in Confinement (in months)			
		12 and under	13-18	19-24	25-36
	Mandatory release.....	22.8	11.8	1.8	7.0
Parole.....	35.7	18.6	42.0	50.8	50.4

14. Persons on mandatory release have shorter periods of supervision than parolees, and violate their supervision much sooner. In view of their short terms, mandatory releasees still spend a greater proportion of time under supervision than parolees.

Fiscal year and type of supervision*	Number who violated release	Average (in months)		
		Original term of supervision	Time under supervision before violation	Percent time under supervision
<u>Parole</u>				
1965.....	1,559	39	10	25.6
1966.....	1,453	40	11	27.5
1967.....	1,675	39	11	28.2
<u>Mandatory Release</u>				
1965.....	706	15	5	33.3
1966.....	672	16	7	43.8
1967.....	585	17	6	35.3

\*Excludes reinstatements.

15. The largest number of parole and mandatory release violators were removed from supervision for property offenses. There has been a decrease in the proportion of crimes against property committed by persons on parole and mandatory release since 1965. (This is shown on the following page.)

Percentage  
distribution of persons  
violating supervision

Reasons for removal for violation of conditions of supervision	Fiscal year 1965	Fiscal year 1966	Fiscal year 1967
Parole.....	100.0	100.0	100.0
Property offenses.....	57.7	50.0	49.0
Absconding or wanted for minor offense.....	18.1	21.4	20.5
Use of alcohol or drugs.....	9.5	9.6	8.3
Technical conditions.....	5.0	7.0	10.1
Offenses against persons.....	9.7	12.0	12.1
Mandatory Release.....	100.0	100.0	100.0
Property offenses.....	47.2	41.1	37.4
Absconding or wanted for minor offense.....	22.2	25.4	27.2
Use of alcohol or drugs.....	17.0	18.8	17.6
Technical conditions.....	3.5	4.6	7.9
Offenses against persons.....	10.1	10.1	9.9

PERSONS UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF THE  
FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM

1967

I. Introduction

This report marks the sixth year that detailed information regarding persons received for and removed from supervision of the Federal Probation System has been presented. Unlike the five previous reports, this report is the product of a computer information system which has made possible considerable flexibility in the analysis and presentation of data.

The responsibilities of the Federal Probation System are varied and include the supervision of persons received from five separate sources. The first and largest group includes those who have been placed on probation by the United States district courts. The second group includes those placed on probation by the United States commissioners. The third group, referred to as "deferred prosecution", comprises those who are supervised under an arrangement with the United States attorney entered into prior to prosecution. A fourth group is comprised of parolees and others who have been received for supervision in the community after completing a portion of their terms of imprisonment in Federal correctional institutions. Finally, the fifth group is comprised of a small number of military prisoners released on parole or mandatory release by the Army and Air Force Clemency and Parole Board.

This report is divided into three parts. Part One covers persons who have been placed on probation in the 89 United States district courts with a special section for persons placed on probation by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. Two other sections provide analyses of persons placed on probation by United States commissioners and persons placed on deferred prosecution.

Part Two is devoted to separate analyses of persons released on parole or under the mandatory release provisions of law from Federal or military correctional facilities for supervision by Federal probation officers.

Part Three, as in previous reports, serves as an Appendix, somewhat expanded to cover data on persons under supervision at the close of the fiscal year.

Purpose of This Report

There are three major purposes for this report. The first is to present a continuous evaluation of statistical data concerning the Federal Probation System in order to show not only variations within a fiscal year, but also to indicate year-to-year trends in the characteristics of persons placed on supervision.

The second purpose is to determine the significant characteristics of persons who have completed their terms of supervision satisfactorily as compared with those who violate the conditions of their release. For this latter group the length of supervision, the prior criminal record and the offense for which they are convicted are the major elements of comparison.

The third purpose is to provide a description of the characteristics of the supervision caseload of Federal probation officers at the end of the fiscal year, including a description of the types of persons being supervised.

Throughout this report comparisons are made not only between groups of persons being supervised, but also between the caseloads in the various district courts. There is frequently great difficulty in drawing these comparisons because of the variations in caseloads among districts. This difficulty in making comparisons between district courts within the Federal judicial system also exists in any comparison with the state and local supervision systems. The types of specialized crime prosecuted in the United States district courts differ substantially from the "common law" crimes generally prosecuted in the state courts.

The offense classifications used in Part I of this report are the same as those used in reports of previous years and include the eight offense groups shown in Figure A. These groups are based upon the frequency of the use of probation from the highest use, as shown in Class I offenses, to the lowest use as shown in Class VIII offenses. Thus, in Class I offenses (fraud, embezzlement and obscene mail) 83 percent of the convicted defendants were placed on probation, whereas in the Class VIII offense group (narcotics and robbery) only 12 percent were placed on probation.

Figure A  
Number of Persons Convicted and Proportionate Use of Probation for the Eight Offense Classes,  
Fiscal Years 1963 - 1967

Offense Group	Number convicted				
	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
TOTAL CONVICTED .....	24,965	23,081	22,122	20,929	19,999
CLASS I (Fraud, embezzlement, obscene mail) .....	2,490	2,180	1,939	1,632	1,566
CLASS II (Income tax fraud, other fraud) .....	1,725	1,178	1,063	997	899
CLASS III (Liquor, Internal Revenue) .....	4,517	4,445	3,999	3,406	2,893
CLASS IV (Theft, postal fraud, forgery) .....	5,783	5,348	4,791	4,566	4,120
CLASS V (Border reg. addicts, assault and homicide, misc. general offenses) .....	1,502	1,070	1,088	1,158	1,284
CLASS VI (Counterfeiting, burglary, transportation of stolen property, marihuana, national defense laws, sex offenses) .....	2,595	2,351	2,425	2,698	3,097
CLASS VII (Auto theft) .....	5,051	5,066	5,041	4,843	4,523
CLASS VIII (Narcotics and robbery) .....	1,302	1,443	1,776	1,629	1,617
	Proportionate Use of Probation				
TOTAL PLACED ON PROBATION .....	50.1	50.2	49.0	49.1	47.1
CLASS I (Fraud, embezzlement, obscene mail) .....	83.1	84.4	85.3	83.1	82.9
CLASS II (Income tax fraud, other fraud) .....	57.9	57.3	57.5	58.1	58.0
CLASS III (Liquor, Internal Revenue) .....	65.2	65.7	64.6	67.2	69.6
CLASS IV (Theft, postal fraud, forgery) .....	54.7	54.7	55.4	57.6	54.6
CLASS V (Border reg. addicts, assault and homicide, misc. general offenses) .....	38.2	44.5	39.8	44.0	39.2
CLASS VI (Counterfeiting, burglary, transportation of stolen property, marihuana, national defense laws, sex offenses) .....	36.6	37.6	36.8	38.0	35.2
CLASS VII (Auto theft) .....	33.3	33.5	34.5	33.9	34.4
CLASS VIII (Narcotics and robbery) .....	9.4	11.0	14.8	15.2	12.2

NOTE: Excludes for all years persons convicted for violation of immigration laws, wagering tax laws and violations of Federal regulatory acts. See Appendix for complete offense classification.

The percentages in Figure A for the eight offense classes, when applied separately to the caseloads in each of the 89 United States district courts, makes possible an analysis of the comparative use of probation among the district courts. The application of the percentages produces what may be termed the mathematical expectancy of the use of probation. Table E 6 in the appendix shows both the actual percentage use of probation in each district and the comparative use of probation based upon the national average use of probation for each offense. This measurement of course does not take into account the differences among defendants as to age, prior criminal record, or other factors affecting the sentence, which are available to the court at the time a sentence is imposed.

In this report there are several tables which show a "Special Offense Class". Included in this class are those offenses where the majority of persons convicted in the district courts received fines, or as in the case of immigration violations, were given suspended sentences and subsequently departed the country. This report includes only those few persons in the special offense classes who were placed in the community under supervision either by the court or on parole or mandatory post-institutional supervision.

The bulk of the data presented reflects statistical occurrences during a single fiscal year, 1967. The report presents what has been referred to as a "fragmented" analysis since data are presented only with respect to persons received for supervision and removed from supervision. This differs from the procedures used in some studies of examining the correctional process for a "cohort" group of offenders from conviction to final discharge from the terms imposed by the release.

#### Movement of Persons Under Supervision

For the third year in succession there has been a decline in the number of persons under the supervision of Federal probation officers (see Figure B). The 37,767 persons under supervision at the close of fiscal year 1967 was 2.3 percent fewer than the number under supervision at the beginning of the year and 4 percent fewer than the number under supervision two years ago. Except for a seven percent increase in persons on Federal parole and a five percent increase for those on military parole, all groups experienced a decrease in

Figure B. Persons Received For and Removed From Supervision and Under Supervision on June 30 Fiscal Years 1962 - 1967

Type of supervision	Received for Supervision (Excludes transfers)					
	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
TOTAL.....	21,810	22,944	23,637	22,301	22,049	21,171
<u>Probation</u>						
Court.....	12,562	13,633	13,457	12,651	12,264	11,151
U.S. Commissioner.	223	286	298	257	270	266
Def. Prosecution..	386	378	441	449	486	521
Parole.....	5,119	5,140	5,926	5,573	5,846	6,331
Mandatory release..	3,520	3,507	3,515	3,371	3,183	2,902
<u>Removed from Supervision (Excludes transfers)</u>						
TOTAL.....	20,278	21,072	22,456	22,569	22,722	22,063
<u>Probation</u>						
Court.....	11,091	12,333	13,054	13,104	13,307	12,496
U.S. Commissioner.	163	301	283	260	258	274
Def. Prosecution..	346	394	418	461	454	524
Parole.....	4,527	4,576	5,317	5,426	5,487	5,756
Mandatory release..	3,341	3,468	3,384	3,318	3,216	3,013
<u>Under Supervision June 30</u>						
TOTAL.....	36,540	38,414	39,600	39,332	38,659	37,767
<u>Probation</u>						
Court.....	26,882	28,120	28,526	28,073	27,030	25,685
U.S. Commissioner.	211	197	212	209	221	213
Def. Prosecution..	528	512	536	524	556	553
Parole.....	*6,830	7,397	8,007	8,154	8,513	9,088
Mandatory release..	*2,149	2,188	2,319	2,372	2,339	2,228

\* Revised figures.

NOTE: Excludes Canal Zone, Guam and Virgin Islands.

persons under supervision with court probation showing the largest percentage drop (5 percent).

About 53 percent of the persons received for supervision during the year were probationers. However, on the average 68 percent of the total number of persons under supervision of the Federal Probation System were probationers. The larger proportion of probationers occurs because of variations in the length of the periods of supervision. Table A-1a shows that about 72 percent of the probationers had terms of supervision which were less than two years, whereas among parolees, the next largest group under supervision, 79 percent had terms of less than two years and in mandatory release cases 87 percent had such terms.

Chart 1, which is based on Figure B, shows the number of persons under supervision on June 30 of each year for the six year period, 1962-1967.

Table A-1-b, new to this series, shows persons under supervision by type of offense. The offense classifications in this table follow those appearing in the Annual Report of the Director rather than the offense classifications presented elsewhere in this report.

Of the 37,767 persons under supervision of the Federal Probation System on June 30, 1967, 7,115 or almost nineteen percent were liquor law violators. (See Table A-1b.) Of this group 91 percent were on court probation. Another 6,458, or 17 percent, had been convicted of auto theft. Of this group, about half were on court probation. The next largest group were forgers and counterfeiters which comprised 13 percent of those under supervision. The proportion of this group with court probation was 67 percent. Persons convicted of larceny accounted for ten percent of the supervision workload. Altogether these four offenses comprised 59 percent of the 37,707 persons under supervision on June 30, 1967, and 71 percent of this group were on court probation.

Table A 1-c distributes, by offense, the probationers under supervision at the close of the year in the 89 United States district courts and in the District of Columbia. In addition this table shows the average length of time court probationers had been under supervision on June 30, 1967.

Complementing these summary tables are several appendix tables appearing in Part III. Appendix Table E 1 shows the movement of persons to and from supervision during the fiscal year, by district. Appendix Table E 2 shows the number of persons under supervision by type of supervision at the close

of fiscal year 1967. Appendix Table E 3 and E 3a show, by district, time under supervision as of June 30, 1967 and type of offense, respectively. Appendix Table E 4 shows the length of the term of supervision imposed on persons placed on probation during the fiscal year.

Appendix Table E-5 divides the 9,464 probationers who completed their terms of supervision in each district into two categories: those with an early discharge from supervision and those who completed their full period of supervision or any extension thereof.

CHART 1

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS  
PERSONS UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF  
THE FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM  
JUNE 30, 1962-1967**

(Excludes Canal Zone, Guam and Virgin Islands)




Table A 1  
 United States District Courts  
 Persons Received For and Removed From Supervision,  
 Fiscal Years 1966 and 1967

Type of Supervision	Fiscal Year 1966					Fiscal Year 1967					Change Over July 1, 1966		
	Under super- vision 7-1-65	Received for super- vision	Trans- fer in	Removed from super- vision	Trans- fer out	Under super- vision 7-1-66	Received for super- vision	Trans- fer in	Removed from super- vision	Trans- fer out	Under super- vision 7-1-67	Number	Per- cent
TOTAL ALL COURTS .....	39,332	22,049	5,755	22,722	5,755	38,659	21,171	6,104	22,063	6,104	37,767	- 892	- 2.3
<u>Probation</u>													
United States District Courts.....	27,391	11,956	4,492	13,031	4,475	26,333	10,856	4,623	12,190	4,611	25,007	-1,326	- 5.0
District of Columbia..	682	308	38	276	55	697	295	55	306	67	678	- 19	- 2.7
U. S. Commissioners...	209	270	20	258	20	221	266	19	274	19	213	- 8	- 3.6
Deferred Prosecution....	524	486	76	454	76	556	521	95	524	95	553	- 3	- 0.5
<u>From Institutions</u>													
Parole .....	7,967	5,689	880	5,309	880	8,347	6,192	1,073	5,626	1,073	8,913	566	6.8
Mandatory release ....	2,372	3,183	230	3,216	230	2,339	2,902	228	3,013	228	2,228	- 111	- 4.7
Military parole .....	187	157	19	178	19	166	139	11	130	11	175	9	5.4

NOTE: Excludes Canal Zone, Guam and Virgin Islands.



Table A 1-a. 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia  
 Persons Under Supervision of Federal Probation System by Time Under Supervision on June 30, 1967

Type of Supervision	Total	Less than 6 mos.	6 mos. to one yr.	1 to 1-1/2 yrs.	1-1/2 yrs. to 2 yrs.	2 to 3 yrs.	3 to 4 yrs.	4 to 5 yrs.	5 to 7 yrs.	7 yrs. and over	Percent under 2 yrs.
All persons under supervision, June 30, 1967 <sup>1</sup> .....	37,767	9,793	7,613	6,236	4,819	5,528	2,223	1,240	162	153	75.4
<u>Probation</u>											
United States District Courts.....	25,007	5,561	4,436	4,513	3,628	4,232	1,598	1,003	19	17	72.5
District of Columbia.....	678	139	131	107	91	150	40	19	1	-	69.0
U. S. Commissioner Probation.....	213	102	60	27	19	5	-	-	-	-	97.7
Deferred prosecution probation.....	553	291	162	70	20	5	4	1	-	-	98.2
<u>Federal<sup>2</sup></u>											
Parole.....	8,873	2,646	2,196	1,248	914	952	486	183	122	126	78.9
Mandatory release.....	2,191	975	567	241	129	161	75	21	15	7	87.3
<u>Military<sup>3</sup></u>											
Parole from military institution...	175	67	46	21	13	14	8	6	-	-	84.0
Parole from Federal institution...	40	5	5	2	1	5	8	6	5	3	32.5
Mandatory release from Federal institution.....	37	7	10	7	4	4	4	7	-	-	75.7

<sup>1</sup> Excludes persons under supervision in the Canal Zone, Guam and Virgin Islands.  
<sup>2</sup> Refers to persons sentenced by United States district courts and subject to the jurisdiction of the United States Board of Parole.  
<sup>3</sup> Refers to persons sentenced by military courts.

TABLE A 1-b. 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia  
 PERSONS UNDER SUPERVISION OF FEDERAL PROBATION SYSTEM BY OFFENSE,  
 JUNE 30, 1967

Type of Supervision	Total	GENERAL OFFENSES												SPECIAL OFFENSES			
		Homicide	Robbery	Assault	Burglary	Larceny	Embezzle- ment	Fraud	Auto theft	Forgery and counter- feiting	Sex offenses	Narcotics	Other	Immigra- tion Laws	Liquor, Internal Revenue	Selective Service Acts	Other
All persons under supervision, June 30, 1967.....	37,767	301	1,631	392	666	3,805	2,630	3,350	6,458	4,768	349	3,171	1,042	168	7,125	277	1,634
Percent.....	100.0	0.8	4.3	1.0	1.8	10.1	7.0	8.9	17.1	12.6	0.9	8.4	2.8	0.4	18.9	0.7	4.3
<b>Probation</b>																	
United States District Courts.....	25,007	30	193	173	241	2,727	2,360	2,953	3,180	3,147	73	1,343	557	162	6,455	130	1,283
District of Columbia.....	678	26	53	81	40	69	24	33	63	67	18	39	150	-	12	-	3
U. S. Commissioners.....	213	-	-	14	2	74	-	1	2	3	6	-	45	-	-	-	66
Deferred prosecution.....	553	-	1	1	35	117	41	13	75	62	-	9	18	1	31	9	140
<b>Federal</b>																	
Parole.....	8,873	131	1,174	93	300	698	200	319	2,837	1,229	141	687	223	5	597	137	102
Mandatory release.....	2,191	31	199	11	45	103	5	30	299	256	36	1,092	44	-	30	1	9
<b>Military</b>																	
Parole from military institution...	175	34	10	18	2	16	-	1	2	4	54	1	3	-	-	-	30
Parole from Federal institution....	40	24	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mandatory release from Federal institution.....	37	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	2	-	-	-	1

Table A 1-c. 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia

Probationers Under Supervision of the Federal Probation System by  
Offense and Time Under Supervision as of June 30, 1967<sup>1</sup>

Offense	Total	Less than 6 mos.	6 mos. to one yr.	1 to 1-1/2 yrs.	1-1/2 yrs. to 2 yrs.	2 to 3 yrs.	3 to 4 yrs.	4 to 5 yrs.	5 to 7 yrs.	7 yrs. and over	Percent under 2 yrs.
TOTAL.....	25,685	5,700	4,567	4,620	3,719	4,382	1,638	1,022	20	17	72.4
GENERAL OFFENSES:											
Homicide.....	56	14	8	5	4	15	4	6	-	-	55.4
Robbery.....	246	46	44	31	35	42	23	24	-	1	63.4
Assault.....	254	73	44	52	31	27	22	5	-	-	78.7
Burglary.....	281	62	42	45	34	65	21	11	-	1	65.1
Larceny.....	2,796	753	469	581	391	420	107	68	4	3	78.5
Embezzlement.....	2,383	591	450	446	312	372	107	102	2	1	75.5
Fraud.....	2,986	559	504	559	414	479	247	218	3	3	68.3
Auto theft.....	3,242	786	667	569	449	548	160	60	2	1	76.2
Forgery and counterfeiting.....	3,214	726	562	608	472	516	204	120	5	1	73.7
Sex offenses.....	93	21	18	11	14	17	9	3	-	-	68.8
Narcotics.....	1,382	324	293	268	169	219	66	40	2	1	76.3
Other miscellaneous offenses...	707	204	118	127	104	113	25	14	1	1	78.2
SPECIAL OFFENSES:											
Immigration laws.....	162	39	29	28	21	25	11	9	-	-	72.2
Liquor - Internal Revenue.....	6,467	1,088	1,038	1,050	1,080	1,349	568	290	-	4	65.8
National defense laws <sup>2</sup> .....	205	84	40	33	18	19	4	7	-	-	85.4
Other Federal statutes.....	1,211	330	241	207	171	156	60	45	1	-	78.4

<sup>1</sup> Excludes persons under supervision in the Canal Zone, Guam and Virgin Islands.

<sup>2</sup> Includes Selective Service Act.

**PART I - PROBATION**

Probation for the purposes of this report means a sentence to a period of supervision which does not include any period of confinement in an institution. However, new legislation in recent years has provided for a short term of imprisonment followed by a period of supervision (referred in this report as "split sentence"). Sentences of this type frequently take the form of weekends in jail or imprisonment in an institution at night, followed by probation. Sometimes these sentences are considered "probation" and the short periods of confinement up to six months are looked upon as merely "conditions" of probation. This makes it imperative that probation be statistically subclassified so that the various types of "probation" can be noted and proper comparisons can be made from year-to-year.

Probationers, in the broad meaning thus can be divided into four groups: the first group (by far the most common) includes only those persons placed on probation directly by the court or a United States commissioner. The second, third and fourth groups are referred to in this report as groups of persons received "indirectly from the court". They include (1) a "split sentence" where the court imposes a jail term up to six months followed by probation, (2) a "mixed sentence" where probation follows a term of imprisonment on another count, and (3) "delayed supervision" which is limited to persons whose probation supervision was postponed because of confinement in a hospital or penal institution in another jurisdiction, etc.

Two smaller groups which are shown only in Table A-2 might also be considered as probation cases. They are those reinstated to supervision following a violation of the condition of supervision and those returned to supervision after a temporary removal.

The classifications for persons removed from supervision are the same as above. In addition there is a further division into first removals and persons who have been previously removed from supervision for violation and reinstated. (This latter group is referred to as a second or subsequent removal group.) Then finally these two groups of persons removed from supervision are further divided into violators and non-violators.

TABLE A-2.

89 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Persons Received and Removed From Probation Supervision  
by Type of Probation, Fiscal Year 1967  
(Excludes Canal Zone, Guam and Virgin Islands)

Type of Movement	Total	Probation 89 districts	Probation District of Columbia	U. S. Commissioner probation	Deferred prosecution
<u>Received for Supervision - Total.....</u>	11,938	10,856	295	266	521
<u>Originally received - Total.....</u>	11,323	10,266	275	261	521
Direct from court.....	9,301	8,274	246	261	520
Indirect from court:					
Split sentence.....	1,224	1,204	20	-	-
Mixed sentence.....	360	357	3	-	-
Delayed supervision.....	438	431	6	-	1
<u>Reinstated after removal - Total....</u>	615	590	20	5	-
<u>From violator status</u>					
Minor.....	288	270	14	4	-
Major.....	211	205	6	-	-
From temporary removal.....	116	115	-	1	-
<u>Removed from supervision - Total.....</u>	13,294	12,190	306	274	524
Temporary removals.....	254	239	2	6	7
<u>Final removals - Total.....</u>	13,040	11,951	304	268	517
<u>First removals - Total.....</u>	12,463	11,389	295	263	516
<u>Non-violator - Total.....</u>	10,595	9,639	223	239	494
Expiration:					
Full term.....	7,794	7,073	183	187	351
After extension.....	402	353	13	20	16
Prior to full term.....	2,216	2,038	21	31	126
Death.....	183	175	6	1	1
<u>Violator - Total.....</u>	1,868	1,750	72	24	22
Technical.....	497	456	21	11	9
Minor.....	470	443	20	7	-
Major.....	901	851	31	6	13
<u>Second or subsequent removal after reinstatement - Total.....</u>	578	562	10	5	1
<u>Non-violator - Total.....</u>	398	386	7	4	1
Expiration:					
Full term.....	332	321	7	3	1
After extension.....	19	19	-	-	-
Prior to full term.....	43	42	-	1	-
Death.....	4	4	-	-	-
<u>Violator - Total.....</u>	187	176	3	1	-
Technical.....	49	48	1	-	-
Minor.....	47	46	-	1	-
Major.....	84	82	2	-	-

Figure C

United States District Courts

Persons Received for and Removed from Probation Supervision  
Fiscal Years 1962 - 1967

Type of Movement	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
Under supervision at the beginning of the year.....	25,353	26,146	27,519	27,899	27,391	26,333
TOTAL RECEIVED.....	12,286	13,388	13,131 <sup>1</sup>	12,327	11,956	10,856
Originally received	11,768	12,948	12,531	11,673	11,388	10,266
Reinstatements.....	518	440	601	654	568	590
TOTAL REMOVED.....	11,590	12,011	12,765	12,834	13,031	12,190
First removals.....	11,296	11,420	12,031	12,075	12,212	11,389
No violation.....	9,215	9,199	9,588	9,921	10,219	9,639
Percent.....	81.6	80.6	79.7	82.2	83.7	84.6
Violation.....	2,081	2,221	2,443	2,154	1,993	1,750
Percent.....	18.4	19.4	20.3	17.8	16.3	15.4
Technical.....	( <sup>1</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	354	394	456
Minor.....	( <sup>1</sup> )	848	1,023	587	583	443
Major.....	( <sup>1</sup> )	1,373	1,420	1,213	1,016	851
Percent.....	--	12.0	11.8	10.0	8.3	7.5
Second or subsequent removals after reinstatement.....	294	591	734	759	819	801
Under supervision, June 30.....	26,146	27,519	27,899	27,391	26,333	25,007

<sup>1</sup> Not separately classified.

<sup>2</sup> Classified with minor.

NOTE: Excludes transfers. Excludes the District of Columbia and the territories of Canal Zone, Guam and Virgin Islands.

The number of probationers received for and removed from supervision in the 89 United States district courts and in the District of Columbia during 1967, the number of persons received from the United States commissioners for probation supervision, and the number placed on deferred prosecution are shown in Table A 2. This table is the basic starting point for a discussion of persons placed under probation supervision. The material which appears in the following sections is limited to persons originally received for supervision in these three classifications including first removals.

Figure C shows the basic trends in the number of persons received for supervision and the number removed from supervision during the six year period, 1962-1967. Receipts in 1967 for both total and original probation dropped to the lowest number during the six year period. The 12,190 persons removed from supervision were the lowest number since 1963. The continued decline in the number of persons received for probation supervision has resulted in a decline in the number of probationers under supervision to 25,007 on June 30, 1967, 1,300 less than a year ago, and 2,300 less than the number under supervision on June 30, 1965.

Probationers Received for Supervision

As indicated above the number of persons received for probation supervision by the Federal Probation System in 1967 dipped to the lowest point in the five-year period, 1963-1967. Part of the reason for the decrease was the substantial drop in the number of defendants convicted and sentenced in the United States district courts during the same period. This is illustrated in Figure D.

The number of probationers received on probation, by type, appear in Figure E. All four categories of probation experienced a decline in 1967, with the greatest drop recorded for persons received under "delayed probation". However, the group which contributed the most to the decline in probation receipts were those received directly from court. There were 8,274 persons received directly for probation supervision, 862 or 9.4 percent fewer than in 1966.

Figure D Trend in the Use of Probation and Imprisonment  
Fiscal Years 1963 - 1967

Offense group and year	Number of de- fendants convicted and sentenced	Percent		
		Imprison- ment	Pro- bation	Fine or sus- pended sentence
<u>All Offenses</u>				
1963 .....	29,803	41.9	44.3	13.8
1964 .....	29,170	41.7	43.7	14.6
1965 .....	28,757	43.1	41.9	14.9
1966 .....	27,314	43.6	42.6	13.8
1967 .....	26,344	45.0	40.5	14.5
<u>*Eight Offense Classes</u>				
1963 .....	24,965	45.2	50.1	4.7
1964 .....	23,081	46.9	50.2	2.9
1965 .....	22,122	48.0	49.0	3.0
1966 .....	20,929	48.3	49.1	2.6
1967 .....	19,999	50.3	47.1	2.6

\* Excludes for all years defendants convicted for violations of immigration laws, wagering tax laws and violations of Federal regulatory acts.

Section II of this report contains detailed statistics on probationers received for and removed from supervision in the 89 United States district courts. Separate statistics are also given for the District of Columbia.

Figure E  
Probationers Received for Supervision,  
Fiscal Years 1963 - 1967

Type of Probation	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	Percent change 1967 over 1966
TOTAL.....	13,388	13,138	12,327	11,956	10,856	- 9.2
Direct from court.....	11,178	10,476	9,686	9,136	8,274	- 9.4
*Percent.....	86.3	83.6	83.0	80.2	80.6	-
Indirect from court...	1,770	2,061	1,987	2,252	1,992	-11.6
*Percent.....	13.7	16.4	17.0	19.8	19.4	-
Split sentence.....	1,099	1,163	1,175	1,345	1,204	-10.5
Mixed sentence.....	355	451	424	402	357	-11.2
Delayed probation.....	316	447	388	505	431	-14.7
Reinstatements.....	440	601	654	568	590	4.2

\*Percent computation excludes reinstatements.



89 United States District Courts

Table A 3. Probationers Received for Supervision by Type of Probation, Sex and Length of Term of Supervision, Fiscal Year 1967

Type of probation and sex	Total received	Term of Supervision (in months)				Percent <sup>1</sup>			
		1-12	13-24	25-36	37-60	1-12	13-24	25-36	37-60
<b>TOTAL</b> .....	10,266	1,270	3,467	3,259	2,270	12.4	33.8	31.7	22.1
Percent.....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	-	-	-
Male.....	8,999	1,097	2,977	2,885	2,040	-	-	-	-
Female.....	1,267	173	490	374	230	12.2	33.1	32.0	22.7
<b>Direct from court</b> ...	8,273	1,128	2,939	2,649	1,557	13.7	38.7	29.5	18.2
Percent.....	80.6	88.8	84.8	81.3	68.6	13.6	35.5	32.0	18.8
Male.....	7,106	961	2,473	2,309	1,363	-	-	-	-
Female.....	1,167	167	466	340	194	13.5	34.8	32.5	19.2
<b>Indirect</b> .....	1,993	142	528	610	713	14.3	39.9	29.1	16.6
Percent.....	19.4	11.2	15.2	18.7	31.4	7.1	26.5	30.6	35.8
Male.....	1,893	136	504	576	677	-	-	-	-
Female.....	100	6	24	34	36	7.2	26.6	30.4	35.8
<b>Split sentence</b> ....	1,205	80	325	384	416	6.0	24.0	34.0	36.0
Percent.....	11.7	6.3	9.4	11.8	18.3	6.6	27.0	31.9	34.5
Male.....	1,133	79	305	353	396	-	-	-	-
Female.....	72	1	20	31	20	7.0	26.9	31.2	34.9
<b>Mixed sentence</b> ....	357	18	53	86	200	1.4	27.8	43.0	27.8
Percent.....	3.5	1.4	1.5	2.6	8.8	5.0	14.8	24.1	56.0
Male.....	342	17	51	84	190	-	-	-	-
Female.....	15	1	2	2	10	5.0	14.9	24.6	55.5
<b>Delayed sentence</b> ..	431	44	150	140	97	-	-	-	-
Percent.....	4.2	3.5	4.3	4.3	4.3	10.2	34.8	32.5	22.5
Male.....	418	40	148	139	91	-	-	-	-
Female.....	13	4	2	1	6	9.6	35.4	33.2	21.8

<sup>1</sup> Percents may not add to 100.0 due to rounding. Percent not computed where base is 25 or less.

II. 89 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS  
PROBATIONERS RECEIVED FOR SUPERVISION

Of the 10,266 probationers received for supervision by probation offices in the 89 United States district courts during the fiscal year 1967, 8,999 were men and 1,267 were women. The proportion was 88 percent male and 12 percent female, respectively, the same as in 1966. (See Table A 3.)

About eight out of ten probationers were received directly from the court with the remaining twenty percent divided as follows: split sentence, 12 percent; mixed sentence about 4 percent and delayed sentence, 4 percent.

Although the number of probationers received for supervision in the fiscal year 1967 was 1,100 less than in 1966 the proportion of probationers with terms of supervision of two years or less was identical to the previous year, 46 percent.

However, a comparison of the terms of supervision of probationers received directly from court with those received after a postponement shows that in 1967 the proportion with longer terms was greater in the postponed probation group. The following illustrates this.

Term of supervision	1966		1967	
	Direct	Indirect	Direct	Indirect
Number .....	9,136	2,252	8,273	1,993
Percent .....				
1 - 12 months.....	87.4	12.6	88.8	11.2
13 - 24 months.....	84.4	15.6	84.8	15.2
25 - 36 months .....	79.9	20.1	81.3	18.7
37 - 60 months.....	70.7	29.3	68.6	31.4

The distribution of the 10,266 probationers by offense and term of supervision appearing in Table A 3-a shows that the proportion with terms of two years or less ranged from a low of 20 percent for addicts failing to register at the border to a high of 81 percent for violators of national defense laws, excluding the Selective Service Act. Liquor law violators which account for two out of every ten probationers comprise four out of ten with terms of two years or less.

Table A 3-n. 89 United States District Courts  
 Probationers Received for Supervision by Offense  
 and Length of Term of Supervision, Fiscal Year 1967

Offense Class	Total received	Term of Supervision (in months)									Percent			
		1-6	7-11	12 only	13-23	24 only	25-35	36 only	37-59	60 only	12 mos. or less	13-24 mos.	25-36 mos.	37 mos. and over
		TOTAL	10,266	217	92	961	580	2,887	433	2,826	638	1,632	12.4	33.8
<b>SPECIAL OFFENSES</b>														
Immigration laws	71	5	1	12	-	16	2	15	1	19	25.4	22.5	23.9	28.2
Wagering tax violations	189	21	4	47	5	58	-	27	5	22	38.1	33.3	14.3	14.3
Federal regulatory statutes	579	59	4	147	22	176	9	100	20	42	36.3	34.2	18.8	10.7
<b>CLASS I</b>														
Fraud - Group A	219	3	1	27	19	72	4	53	5	35	14.1	41.6	26.0	18.3
Embezzlement	1,045	15	6	129	47	409	20	266	22	131	14.4	43.6	27.4	14.6
Obscene mail	29	-	-	4	1	12	1	5	2	4	13.8	44.8	27.0	15.4
<b>CLASS II</b>														
Income tax fraud	323	9	10	53	17	97	6	69	17	45	22.3	35.3	23.2	19.2
Other fraud	188	2	2	28	12	61	6	37	13	27	17.0	38.8	22.9	21.3
<b>CLASS III</b>														
Liquor, Internal Revenue	2,182	21	5	104	101	618	95	677	151	410	6.0	32.9	35.4	25.7
<b>CLASS IV</b>														
Theft	1,157	29	17	135	79	376	45	299	54	123	15.7	39.3	29.7	15.3
Postal fraud	180	-	1	12	6	35	9	64	16	37	7.2	22.8	40.6	29.4
Forgery	879	11	11	71	52	280	47	244	50	113	10.6	37.8	33.1	18.5
<b>CLASS V</b>														
Border registration, addicts	70	-	1	3	2	8	3	29	7	17	5.7	14.3	45.7	34.3
Assault and homicide	92	9	1	12	7	23	8	18	5	9	23.9	32.6	28.3	15.2
Miscellaneous general offenses	276	9	4	39	18	76	10	73	17	30	18.8	34.1	30.1	17.0
<b>CLASS VI</b>														
Counterfeiting	152	-	-	6	6	37	4	48	16	35	3.9	28.3	34.2	33.6
Burglary	93	1	2	2	15	24	3	34	3	9	5.4	41.9	39.8	12.9
Interstate transportation of stolen property	276	-	1	9	19	63	12	85	13	74	3.6	29.7	35.2	31.5
Marihuana	408	3	-	12	14	58	6	127	26	162	3.7	17.6	32.6	46.1
Selective Service Act	95	2	1	4	13	20	6	17	3	29	7.4	34.7	24.2	33.7
Other national defense laws	52	2	-	18	-	22	-	6	1	3	38.5	42.3	11.5	7.7
Sex offenses	34	3	-	5	2	6	-	6	5	7	23.5	23.5	17.7	35.3
<b>CLASS VII</b>														
Auto theft	1,472	13	19	74	116	310	130	468	166	176	7.2	29.0	40.6	23.2
<b>CLASS VIII</b>														
Narcotics	141	-	1	8	7	30	7	59	20	73	4.4	18.0	32.2	45.4
Robbery	64	-	-	-	3	11	3	8	8	31	-	21.9	17.2	60.9

Table A 4. 89 United States District Courts  
 Probationers Received for Supervision by Offense and  
 Type of Probation, Fiscal Year 1967

Offense	Total rec'd	Direct from court		Indirect from court			
		Number	Percent of total	Total	Split	Mixed	Delayed supervision
TOTAL	10,266	8,274	80.6	1,992	1,204	357	431
<b>Special Offenses</b>							
Immigration laws	71	63	88.7	8	6	2	-
Wagering tax violations	189	164	86.8	25	17	4	4
Federal regulatory statutes	579	505	87.2	74	48	16	10
<b>Class I</b>							
Fraud - Group A	219	200	91.3	19	13	2	4
Embezzlement	1,045	951	91.0	94	76	13	5
Obscene mail	29	28	96.6	1	1	-	-
<b>Class II</b>							
Income tax fraud	323	244	75.5	79	64	8	7
Other fraud	188	159	84.6	29	13	9	7
<b>Class III</b>							
Liquor, Internal Revenue	2,182	1,593	73.0	589	434	140	15
<b>Class IV</b>							
Theft	1,157	1,010	87.3	147	89	13	45
Postal fraud	180	141	78.3	39	18	18	3
Forgery	879	724	82.4	155	83	36	36
<b>Class V</b>							
Border registration, addicts	70	54	77.1	16	3	2	11
Assault and homicide	92	74	80.4	18	14	1	3
Misc. general offenses	276	224	81.2	52	31	11	10
<b>Class VI</b>							
Counterfeiting	152	122	80.3	30	20	5	5
Burglary	93	85	91.4	8	5	1	2
Interstate transportation of stolen property	276	207	75.0	69	29	22	18
Marihuana	408	345	84.6	63	46	6	11
Selective Service act	95	76	80.0	19	13	-	6
Other national defense laws	52	48	92.3	4	1	2	1
Sex offenses	34	27	79.4	7	5	1	1
<b>Class VII</b>							
Auto theft	1,472	1,083	73.6	389	154	22	213
<b>Class VIII</b>							
Narcotics	141	101	71.6	40	17	14	9
Robbery	64	46	71.9	18	4	9	5

Although there are minor variations in the percentage distribution of terms of supervision between 1967 and 1966, the overall percentage shows little variation.

<u>Term of supervision</u>	<u>1966</u>	<u>1967</u>
Number .....	11,388	10,266
Percent-Total ....	100.0	100.0
12 mos. or less .....	13.1	12.4
13-24 months .....	32.6	33.8
25-36 months .....	31.4	31.7
37 months and .....	22.9	22.1
over		

The proportion of persons placed on probation by the court by offense ranged from a low of 72 percent for the offenses of narcotics and robbery to a high of 97 percent for mailing obscene material. See Table A 4.

Characteristics of probationers received for supervision

Both Tables A 5 and A 6 show the proportion of probationers received from the courts in relation to offense class, age, marital status, prior criminal record and educational attainment. As indicated in Table A 5 the oldest offenders were those placed on probation for income tax fraud (median age 51 years) and the youngest were probationers convicted of auto theft and violators of the Selective Service Act (median age in years 22 and 23, respectively).

While three out of ten probationers were unmarried, less than 6 percent of those convicted of income tax fraud were single and as high as 73 percent of the burglars were reported to be single. Only 20 percent of the burglars were married. This was followed closely by the auto theft group with only 23 percent reported to be married.

Table A 5 shows the proportion of probationers with no prior criminal record and those with a prior record classified as to whether it was a minor or a major record. Overall 46 percent of the probationers had no criminal record. Among the offense groups, 81 percent of the embezzlers had no prior criminal record. The next three offense groups were income

tax fraud, 71 percent with no prior record; immigration law violators, 70 percent with no prior record; and obscene mail, 69 percent with no prior record. Less than 10 percent of the 70 persons placed on probation for failure to register as an addict at the border had no prior record.

Prior Criminal Record

A prior major criminal record, which is a record showing a previous commitment of over one year to confinement, was reported for 12 percent of the persons received on probation. About one percent of the Selective Service Act violators and embezzlers had a major record. Narcotic addicts convicted of failing to register at the border had the highest major criminal record, 42 percent, with the next largest group being probationers convicted of the interstate transportation of stolen property, 24 percent.

Educational Background

The percentage of probationers who completed high school was 34.3 percent compared to 32.4 percent in 1966 and 30.6 percent in 1965. Only 10 percent of the probationers convicted of liquor law violations had completed the 12th grade. Burglars, who on the average were the youngest and mostly had never been married, had the next lowest percentage of those who had completed the 12th grade, 15 percent. This group was followed closely by those placed on probation for assault and homicide of which 16 percent were reported with an educational achievement of 12th grade or more. Three out of four persons placed on probation for embezzlement, had completed high school and this group accordingly showed the highest median school grade completed, 12.5 years.

Age of Probationers

Table A 6 shows the age of probationers received for supervision by marital status, prior criminal record and by educational attainment. In 1967, about three out of ten probationers were age 24 years and under, about four out of ten were 25 to 39 years of age. The remaining three out of ten probationers were age 40 and over. Among single persons 70 percent were age 24 and under. Among those married 47 percent were age 25 to 39 years, about the same for those divorced or separated. Of the 165 probationers reported to be widowed, 81 percent were age 40 and over. The median age of the single probationers was 22 years; for those married, 36 years of age. The median age for widowers was 54 years.

Table A 5. 89 United States District Courts

Probationers Received for Supervision Showing Age, Marital Status, Prior Criminal Record and School Attainment, by Offense, Fiscal Year 1967

Offense Class	Number of probationers received	Age <sup>1</sup>				Marital status <sup>1</sup>				*Prior criminal record <sup>1</sup>			School attainment	
		Percent 24 and under	Percent 25 - 39	Percent 40 and over	Median age	Percent single	Percent married	Percent divorced or separated	Percent widowed	Percent no record	Percent minor record <sup>2</sup>	Percent major record <sup>3</sup>	Percent 12th grade and over	Median school grade completed
TOTAL.....	10,266	30.4	39.4	30.2	31.4	29.3	52.6	16.5	1.6	45.5	42.8	11.7	34.3	10.5
<u>SPECIAL OFFENSES</u>														
Immigration laws.....	71	18.3	49.3	32.4	35.3	19.7	73.2	7.1	-	69.6	27.5	2.9	19.7	7.0
Wagering tax violations.....	189	1.6	25.9	72.5	46.9	9.5	69.3	17.5	3.7	39.9	54.6	5.5	32.8	10.5
Federal regulatory statutes...	579	26.6	38.7	34.7	34.4	24.8	58.7	15.6	0.9	55.4	37.5	7.1	46.8	11.7
<u>CLASS I</u>														
Fraud - Group A.....	219	6.0	43.1	50.9	40.3	6.9	67.8	21.6	3.7	64.5	30.8	4.7	44.0	11.1
Embezzlement.....	1,045	21.6	46.9	31.5	33.4	18.2	66.7	13.6	1.6	80.7	17.9	1.4	74.7	12.5
Obscene mail.....	29	10.3	41.4	48.3	36.5	31.0	37.9	27.6	3.5	69.0	24.1	6.9	51.7	12.1
<u>CLASS II</u>														
Income tax fraud.....	323	0.9	12.1	87.0	50.7	5.9	77.7	12.1	4.3	70.6	24.0	5.4	55.4	12.3
Other fraud.....	188	9.0	39.4	51.6	40.6	11.1	68.3	19.6	1.0	55.1	35.7	9.2	51.3	12.0
<u>CLASS III</u>														
Liquor, Internal Revenue.....	2,182	12.9	41.4	45.7	38.4	18.1	66.1	13.4	2.4	27.7	54.1	18.2	9.5	7.4
<u>CLASS IV</u>														
Theft.....	1,157	40.4	39.8	19.8	27.2	34.2	50.9	13.9	1.0	48.7	41.7	9.6	34.7	10.8
Postal fraud.....	180	6.2	46.9	46.9	38.5	15.6	61.0	21.7	1.7	54.1	34.9	11.0	50.6	12.0
Forgery.....	879	29.7	45.5	24.8	30.1	25.0	44.4	28.2	2.4	35.0	49.2	15.8	25.6	10.3
<u>CLASS V</u>														
Border registration, addicts..	70	30.0	60.0	10.0	27.0	28.6	51.4	18.6	1.4	9.1	48.5	42.4	20.0	10.4
Assault and homicide.....	92	44.6	32.6	22.8	25.8	44.6	42.4	13.0	-	34.1	55.7	10.2	16.3	9.6
Misc. general offenses.....	276	23.1	43.6	33.3	32.6	25.7	58.3	14.9	1.1	35.7	43.6	20.7	33.3	10.6
<u>CLASS VI</u>														
Counterfeiting.....	152	28.3	54.6	17.1	29.3	23.0	55.9	21.1	-	44.2	44.9	10.9	37.5	11.0
Burglary.....	93	74.2	17.2	8.6	20.6	73.1	20.4	6.5	-	43.1	48.8	8.1	15.1	9.4
Interstate transportation of stolen property.....	276	26.4	54.0	19.6	29.5	26.1	46.7	25.7	10.5	35.9	40.1	24.0	38.0	11.1
Marihuana.....	408	48.3	43.9	7.8	25.3	48.8	35.5	15.2	0.5	51.3	41.1	7.6	50.2	12.0
Selective Service Act.....	95	81.1	17.9	1.0	22.9	63.2	33.7	3.1	-	60.4	38.5	1.1	62.1	12.5
Other national defense laws...	52	44.6	32.6	22.8	26.0	40.4	38.5	19.2	1.9	58.8	33.3	7.9	50.0	12.0
Sex offenses (Mann Act, etc.)..	34	50.0	32.4	17.6	24.0	41.2	38.2	17.7	2.9	44.1	35.3	20.6	23.5	10.4
<u>CLASS VII</u>														
Auto theft.....	1,472	68.0	26.1	5.9	22.2	60.7	22.8	16.0	0.5	40.4	49.3	10.3	26.2	10.5
<u>CLASS VIII</u>														
Narcotics.....	141	19.9	62.4	17.7	30.3	22.1	46.5	30.0	1.4	26.1	56.0	17.9	24.8	10.4
Robbery.....	64	29.7	54.7	15.6	31.5	31.3	45.3	23.4	-	48.3	31.7	20.0	46.9	11.7

<sup>1</sup>Percents add to 100.0

<sup>2</sup>Includes any previous commitment to an institution under one year of confinement, any commitment under juvenile delinquency procedure, probation, suspended sentence or fine.

<sup>3</sup>Includes any previous commitment of over one year to confinement.

\*Based on 96 percent of the probationers received where prior criminal record was reported.

Table A 6. 89 United States District Courts

Probationers Received for Supervision Showing Marital Status,  
Prior Criminal Record and School Attainment by Age,  
Fiscal Year 1967

Marital status, prior criminal record and last grade completed	Probationers received		Percent			Age (in years) <sup>1</sup>		
	Number	Percent	Age 24 and under	Age 25-39	Age 40 and over	10% under age	Median age	10% over age
TOTAL.....	10,266	100.0	30.4	39.4	30.2	20.6	31.4	52.9
Single.....	3,018	29.4	69.6	22.9	7.5	18.8	22.3	36.6
Married.....	5,395	52.6	14.3	46.5	39.2	23.8	35.9	54.8
Divorced or separated.....	1,688	16.4	15.0	48.2	36.8	23.9	35.0	53.6
Widowed.....	165	1.6	0.6	18.2	81.2	34.8	54.3	66.6
TOTAL, Prior criminal record reported.....	9,854	100.0	30.5	39.2	30.3	20.6	31.4	52.9
No prior record.....	4,487	45.5	36.1	35.7	28.2	20.1	29.6	51.8
Total with prior record...	5,367	54.5	25.8	42.2	32.0	-	-	-
Prior probation.....	2,339	23.7	29.5	41.4	29.1	20.8	31.1	52.4
Prior jail or juvenile....	1,876	19.0	31.7	40.3	28.0	20.7	30.4	52.7
Prior prison.....	1,152	11.7	8.8	46.9	44.3	25.4	37.8	57.7
TOTAL.....	10,266	100.0	30.4	39.4	30.2	20.6	31.4	52.9
No schooling.....	175	1.7	6.0	18.7	75.3	29.7	49.3	66.4
Grades 1 - 6.....	1,406	13.7	10.9	33.6	55.5	24.6	42.6	61.8
" 7 - 8.....	1,909	18.6	29.2	39.4	31.4	20.1	32.3	53.4
" 9 - 11.....	3,255	31.7	41.3	38.9	19.8	19.6	27.3	47.1
" 12.....	2,444	23.8	34.3	43.5	22.2	21.0	29.0	47.4
" 13 - 15.....	790	7.7	26.2	43.2	30.6	21.9	32.2	51.2
" 16 and over.....	287	2.8	4.3	39.1	56.6	27.1	42.6	60.5
Percent 12th grade and over.....	-	34.3	33.6	37.2	30.3	-	-	-

<sup>1</sup>These figures give an indication of the median age of the probationers received for supervision. Also presented are percentiles which show the range of the middle 80 percent of the group; dropping off the very young and the very old.

Table A 7

89 United States District Courts  
 Probationers Received for Supervision by Prior Criminal Record  
 and Length of Term, Fiscal Year 1967

Prior criminal record	Total received	Term of Supervision (in months)								
		1-6	7-11	12 only	13-23	24 only	25-35	36 only	37-59	60 & over
TOTAL.....	10,266	217	92	961	580	2,887	433	2,826	638	1,632
Prior record not reported....	412	35	5	41	22	95	20	94	35	65
TOTAL, PRIOR RECORD REPORTED..	9,854	182	87	920	558	2,792	413	2,732	603	1,567
No prior record..	4,487	108	43	541	254	1,422	150	1,124	224	621
Total, with prior record.....	5,367	74	44	379	304	1,370	263	1,608	379	946
Prior probation <sup>1</sup>	2,339	31	15	176	131	671	105	664	168	378
Prior jail or juvenile.....	1,876	31	16	146	112	482	99	587	113	290
Prior prison.....	1,152	12	13	57	61	217	59	357	98	278
-----										
PERCENT										
Total, prior record reported..	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
No prior record..	45.5	59.3	49.4	58.8	45.5	50.9	36.3	41.1	37.1	39.6
Total, with prior record.... <sup>1</sup>	54.5	40.7	50.6	41.2	54.5	49.1	63.7	58.9	62.9	60.4
Prior probation	23.8	17.0	17.2	19.1	23.5	24.0	25.4	24.3	27.9	24.1
Prior jail or juvenile.....	19.0	17.0	18.4	15.9	20.1	17.3	24.0	21.5	18.7	18.5
Prior prison.....	11.7	6.7	15.0	6.2	10.9	7.8	14.3	13.1	16.3	17.8

<sup>1</sup> Includes suspended sentence and fines.



Figure F

Prior Criminal Record and Length of Probation  
Term of Probationers Received from Court  
Fiscal Years 1965 - 1967

Prior criminal record	Fiscal year and term of supervision (in months)											
	1965				1966				1967			
	Total	24 and under	25-36	37 and over	Total	24 and under	25-36	37 and over	Total	24 and under	25-36	37 and over
Total with prior record reported.....	11,243	5,185	3,425	2,633	10,897	4,974	3,419	2,504	9,854	4,539	3,145	2,170
Percent.....	100.0	46.1	30.5	23.4	100.0	45.6	31.4	23.0	100.0	46.1	31.9	22.0
Distribution by type of record	Total Number	Percent			Total Number	Percent			Total Number	Percent		
No prior record.....	5,243	52.7	28.6	18.7	4,960	51.5	28.8	19.7	4,487	52.8	28.4	18.8
Total with prior record.....	6,000	40.4	32.1	27.5	5,937	40.7	33.5	25.8	5,367	40.4	34.9	24.7
Prior probation.....	2,044	44.2	32.0	23.8	2,407	43.8	32.5	23.6	2,339	43.8	32.9	23.3
Prior jail or juvenile.....	2,713	41.6	32.4	26.0	2,309	42.8	34.7	22.5	1,876	41.9	36.6	21.5
Prior prison.....	1,243	31.3	31.9	36.8	1,221	30.5	33.3	36.2	1,152	31.3	36.1	32.6

About 46 percent of the probationers had no prior criminal record. Of this group 36 percent were age 24 and under. The percentage was the same for those age 25 to 39 years. The selectivity in placing persons on probation is apparent from the table of percentages of those reported to have a prior criminal record. Forty-two percent were in the 25 to 39 age group. This same age group had the highest proportion of persons with any type of prior criminal record. Also, those with a prior criminal record tended to be older. Those having a prior prison record showed the highest median age, 38 years.

#### Prior Criminal Record and Term of Supervision

Tables A 7 and A 8 show, by term of supervision and by offense, the prior criminal record for probationers received directly from court in 1967. Figure G shows that the proportion of persons with a prior criminal record remained the same in 1967 as it was in 1966, 45.5 percent. The proportion with a prior probation record increased to 24 percent compared to 22 percent in 1966 and 18 percent in 1965. The 19 percent with a prior jail or juvenile record was slightly lower than the 21 percent in 1966.

Table A 7 clearly indicates that probationers with a prior criminal record tend to receive longer terms of probation. Thus 59 percent of the probationers with no prior record received terms of 1-6 months, but over 60 percent of the probationers with terms of 37 months or more had prior criminal records. However, a comparison of probationers with prior prison records in 1967 with the two previous years (Figure F) shows that the proportion of probationers with terms of 37 months and over dropped to 33 percent compared to 36 and 37 percent in the prior two years.

While less than half of the probationers (45.5 percent) had no prior criminal record, there was a notable variation when a comparison is made based upon the type of offense. The range was from a high of 81 percent for embezzlers to a low of 9 percent for narcotic addicts who failed to register at the border. Compared with 1966 two offenses showed a marked change in the proportion of probationers with no prior criminal record. In 1967, 34 percent of the probationers convicted of assault and homicide had no prior criminal record, compared with 47 percent with a prior record in 1966. Forty-eight percent of the persons placed on probation for robbery in 1967 had no prior record, compared with 58 percent in 1966.

Table A 8  
89 United States District Courts  
Probationers Received for Supervision by Offense and  
Prior Criminal Record, Fiscal Year 1967

Offense	Total	Prior record not reported	Total	No record		Less than prison		Prison
				Number	Percent	Probation <sup>1</sup>	Jail or juvenile	
TOTAL .....	10,266	412	9,854	4,487	45.5	2,339	1,876	1,152
Percent .....	-	-	100.0	45.5	-	23.8	19.0	11.7
SPECIAL OFFENSES .....	839	54	785	416	53.0	176	143	50
Immigration laws .....	71	2	69	48	64.9	9	10	2
Wagering tax violations.....	189	6	183	73	39.9	65	35	10
Federal regulatory statutes..	579	46	533	295	55.3	102	98	38
CLASS I .....	1,293	38	1,255	975	77.7	177	77	26
Fraud - Group A .....	219	7	212	137	64.9	47	18	10
Embezzlement .....	1,045	31	1,014	818	80.7	126	56	14
Obscene mail .....	29	-	29	20	69.0	4	3	2
CLASS II .....	511	11	500	324	64.8	85	57	34
Income tax fraud .....	323	7	316	223	70.6	49	27	17
Other fraud .....	188	4	184	101	54.9	36	30	17
CLASS III .....								
Liquor, Internal Revenue.....	2,182	86	2,096	580	27.7	670	465	381
CLASS IV .....	2,216	93	2,123	928	43.7	478	459	258
Larceny and theft .....	1,157	49	1,108	540	48.7	237	225	106
Postal fraud .....	180	8	172	93	54.1	43	17	19
Forgery .....	879	36	843	295	35.0	198	217	133
CLASS V .....	438	18	425	131	31.2	113	84	92
Border registration, addicts.	70	4	66	6	9.1	11	21	28
Assault and homicide .....	92	4	88	30	34.1	29	20	9
Miscellaneous general offenses .....	276	10	266	95	35.7	73	43	55
CLASS VI .....	1,110	42	1,068	499	46.7	249	191	129
Counterfeiting .....	152	5	147	65	44.2	39	27	16
Burglary .....	93	7	86	37	43.0	21	21	7
Interstate transportation of stolen property .....	276	9	267	96	36.0	58	49	64
Marihuana .....	408	16	392	201	51.3	93	68	30
Selective Service Act.....	95	4	91	55	60.4	23	12	1
Other national defense laws..	52	1	51	30	58.8	9	8	4
Sex offenses .....	34	-	34	15	44.1	6	6	7
CLASS VII .....								
Auto theft .....	1,472	59	1,413	570	40.3	346	351	146
CLASS VIII .....	205	11	194	64	33.0	45	49	36
Narcotics .....	141	7	134	35	26.1	38	37	24
Robbery .....	64	4	60	29	48.3	7	12	12

<sup>1</sup> Includes suspended sentence and fines.

Summary for the years 1963 to 1967

Figure G brings together for the last five fiscal years information on the sex, age, marital status, prior criminal record and scholastic attainment of persons received from the courts for probation supervision. The statistics demonstrate some minor variation over the entire span of the five-year period, but only a slight change from year-to-year. Thus about 88 percent of the probationers received from the courts were men. The median age ranged from 31 to 33. There has been a slight increase in the proportion of persons who were never married, from 27 percent in 1963 to 29 percent in 1967. The proportion of married persons has shown a decrease from 57 percent in 1963 to 53 percent in 1967.

There appears to have been a very slight decrease in the percentage of probationers with no prior criminal record, from 47.2 percent in 1964 to 45.5 percent in both 1966 and 1967. The increase in the percentage of probationers having a major criminal record (previous commitment of over year to confinement) has been small from 10.8 percent in 1964 to 11.7 percent in 1967.

The percentage of persons completing high school who were received for probation supervision has increased from 29 percent to 34 percent in the five-year period which is reflected in an increase in the median years of formal schooling from 10.0 to 10.5 years.

Figure G

Probationers Received for Supervision by Sex, Age, Marital Status, Prior Criminal Record and School Attainment  
Fiscal Years 1963 - 1967

Characteristics	Fiscal Year				
	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
Number .....	11,178	12,537	11,673	11,388	10,266
Percent-Male.....	87.9	88.5	88.8	88.0	87.7
Age - Percent .....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
24 years & under.....	28.9	29.5	27.6	29.8	30.4
25 - 39 years .....	40.7	38.7	40.0	38.2	39.4
40 and over .....	30.4	30.8	32.4	32.0	30.2
Median age (in years):	32.2	32.1	32.7	32.0	31.4
Marital status-Percent ..	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Single .....	26.9	27.4	28.4	28.9	29.3
Married.....	56.6	55.5	54.3	53.3	52.6
Divorced or separated .....	15.0	15.7	16.0	16.6	16.5
Widowed .....	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.6
Prior criminal record- Percent .....	NA	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
No record .....	NA	47.2	46.6	45.5	45.5
Minor record .....	NA	42.0	42.3	43.3	42.8
Major record .....	NA	10.8	11.1	11.2	11.7
School attainment					
Percent-12th grade and over .....	28.7	30.0	30.6	32.4	34.3
Median school grade completed .....	10.0	10.1	10.3	10.4	10.5

Includes any previous commitment to an institution of under one year to confinement, any commitment under juvenile delinquency procedure, probation, suspended sentence of fine.

Includes any previous commitment of over one year to confinement.

NA - Not available.

United States District Courts

Table A 9. Probationers Removed From Supervision by Type of Removal, Fiscal Years 1964, 1965, 1966 and 1967

Type of Removal	1964			1965			1966			1967		
	Total	First removals	Re-removals	Total	First removals	Re-removals	Total	First removals	Re-removals	Total	First removals	Re-removals
Removed from supervision - TOTAL.....	12,765	-	-	12,834	-	-	13,031	-	-	12,190	-	-
Temporary removals.....	268	-	-	262	-	-	251	-	-	239	-	-
FINAL REMOVALS.....	12,497	12,031	466	12,572	12,075	497	12,780	12,212	568	11,951	11,389	562
Nonviolator - Total.....	9,849	9,588	261	10,243	9,921	322	10,608	10,219	389	10,025	9,639	386
Expiration												
Full-term.....	8,042	7,802	240	7,952	7,734	218	7,924	7,604	320	7,394	7,073	321
After extension.....	1	1	1	365	290	75	422	395	27	372	353	19
Prior to full-term....	1,596	1,578	18	1,721	1,698	23	2,077	2,044	33	2,080	2,038	42
Death.....	211	208	3	205	199	6	185	176	9	179	175	4
Violator - Total.....	2,648	2,443	205	2,329	2,154	175	2,172	1,993	179	1,926	1,750	176
Technical.....	2	2	2	387	354	33	432	394	38	504	456	48
Minor.....	1,117	1,023	94	645	587	58	644	583	61	489	443	46
Major.....	1,531	1,420	111	1,297	1,213	84	1,096	1,016	80	933	851	82
<u>Percentage Distribution</u>												
FINAL REMOVALS.....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Nonviolator - Total.....	78.8	79.7	56.0	81.5	82.2	64.8	83.0	83.7	68.5	83.9	84.6	68.7
Expiration												
Full-term.....	64.3	64.9	51.5	63.3	64.1	43.9	62.0	62.3	56.3	61.9	62.1	57.1
After extension.....	1	1	1	2.9	2.4	15.1	3.3	3.2	4.8	3.1	3.1	3.4
Prior to full-term....	12.8	13.1	3.9	13.7	14.1	4.6	16.3	16.7	5.8	17.4	17.9	7.5
Death.....	1.7	1.7	0.6	1.6	1.6	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.5	0.7
Violator - Total.....	21.2	20.3	44.0	18.5	17.8	35.2	17.0	16.3	31.5	16.1	15.4	31.3
Technical.....	2	2	2	3.1	2.9	6.6	3.4	3.2	6.7	4.2	4.0	8.5
Minor.....	8.9	8.5	20.2	5.1	4.9	11.7	5.0	4.8	10.7	4.1	3.9	8.2
Major.....	12.3	11.8	23.8	10.3	10.0	16.9	8.6	8.3	14.1	7.8	7.5	14.6

<sup>1</sup> Classified with full-term expiration.

<sup>2</sup> Classified with minor violation.

### III. Probationers Removed from Supervision

Tables A 9 through A 19 describe probationers removed from supervision. In 1967 there were 12,190 persons removed from supervision of which 239 were temporary removals and 562 were re-removals, that is, persons who had been reinstated to supervision after a removal for a violation of probation, and removed for the second of subsequent times.

The tables which follow are based upon the 11,389 first removals divided into non-violators and violators. The latter group is sub-divided into three types of violations - technical, minor, and major which are described below:

Technical: A technical violation is an infraction of the conditions of probation, excluding a conviction for a new criminal offense.

Minor: A minor violation results from a conviction on a new criminal offense where the period of imprisonment is less than 90 days, or where any probation granted on the new offense does not exceed one year.

Major: A major violation is defined as one in which the violator has been convicted of a new criminal offense and has been committed to imprisonment for a period of 90 days or more, placed on probation for over one year, or has absconded with a felony charge outstanding.

The distribution in Table A 9 of first removals and re-removals demonstrates the substantial difference between these two groups with respect to violation rates. The re-removal group has almost doubled the violation rate of probationers removed from supervision for the first time. It is obvious that the exclusion of the re-removal group is necessary for any consideration of nonviolation and violation rates.

A further comparison of violation rates based upon the type of probation, (i.e. probation direct from court and probation following a period of confinement) shows that those received directly have a substantially higher nonviolation rate, 86 percent compared to those received indirectly, 77 percent. Figure I shows that this has been a consistent pattern during the five-year period, 1963 to 1967.

Table A 10. Probationers Removed from Supervision by Type of Removal and Type of Probation, Fiscal Year 1967

Type of Removal	Total	Direct Probation		Indirect Probation			
		*Number	Percent	Total	Split	Mixed	De-layed
TOTAL REMOVED .....	11,389	9,490	83.3	1,899	1,080	405	414
Nonviolation - Total .....	9,639	8,171	84.8	1,468	872	316	280
<u>Expiration</u>							
Full term .....	7,073	6,018	85.1	1,055	659	215	181
After extension .....	353	311	88.1	42	31	4	7
Prior to full term .....	2,038	1,713	84.1	325	158	83	84
Death .....	175	129	73.7	46	24	14	8
Violator - Total .....	1,750	1,319	75.4	431	208	89	134
Technical .....	456	368	80.7	88	49	16	23
Minor .....	443	324	73.1	119	59	21	39
Major .....	851	627	73.7	224	100	52	72
Percent .....	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Nonviolation - Total .....	84.6	86.1	-	77.3	80.7	78.0	67.6
<u>Expiration</u>							
Full term .....	62.1	63.4	-	55.6	61.0	53.1	43.7
After extension .....	3.1	3.3	-	2.2	2.9	1.0	1.7
Prior to full term .....	17.9	18.0	-	17.1	14.6	20.5	20.3
Death .....	1.5	1.4	-	2.4	2.2	3.4	1.9
Violator - Total .....	15.4	13.9	-	22.7	19.3	22.0	32.4
Technical .....	4.0	3.9	-	4.6	4.5	4.0	5.6
Minor .....	3.9	3.4	-	6.3	5.5	5.2	9.4
Major .....	7.5	6.6	-	11.8	9.3	12.8	17.4

\* First removals only. Excludes 562 re-removals. See Table A-2.

Probationers Removed from Supervision

The 11,389 persons removed from supervision (excluding reinstatements) divided into two groups, those initially received directly from court and those received through a postponement (indirect), are shown in Figure H together with the same information for the four preceding years. The overall rate of nonviolation increased to 84.6 percent in 1967, higher than in any previous year. This increase occurred both in the group of probationers who were received either directly from court, or were received for supervision after a postponement, but the latter group continued to lag behind in the percentage of persons who completed supervision without violation.

Figure H

Probationers Removed from Supervision by Type of Probation  
Fiscal Years 1963 - 1967  
(excludes Reinstatements)

Type of probation	Probationers removed from supervision				
	*1963	*1964	1965	1966	1967
TOTAL REMOVED.....	10,258	10,631	12,075	12,212	11,389
Non-violator.....	8,183	8,348	9,921	10,219	9,639
Percent.....	79.8	78.5	82.2	83.7	84.6
Direct probation.....	9,197	9,232	10,319	10,328	9,490
Non-violator.....	7,480	7,398	8,641	8,781	8,171
Percent.....	81.3	80.1	83.7	85.0	86.1
Indirect probation...	1,061	1,399	1,756	1,884	1,899
Non-violator.....	703	950	1,280	1,438	1,468
Percent.....	66.3	67.9	72.9	76.3	77.3

\* Men only.

Figure I

Probationers Removed from Supervision for  
Major Violation by Type of Probation  
Fiscal Year 1963 - 1967

Type of Probation	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
Removed for a major violation .....	13%	13%	10%	8%	8%
Direct from court .....	12	12	9	8	7
Indirect from court ....	22	20	15	12	12
Split sentence .....	18	16	14	11	9
Mixed sentence .....	26	23	20	10	13
Delayed probation ....	29	27	14	16	17

Table A 10 shows that the violation rate for the 1,080 probationers who were classified as "split-sentence", was 19 percent. For the 405 persons with "mixed sentences" the violation rate was 22 percent, and for those who had their probation terms delayed, the violation rate increased to 32 percent. Thus probationers who had terms of supervision commencing after some delay had more than doubled the violation rate of those placed on probation directly by the court.

When this comparison is made on major violations, the percentage of persons who violated is highest for those with delayed probation. While only 7 percent of those who were placed directly on probation by the court had a major violation, 17 percent of those whose supervision was delayed were recorded with a major violation. Figure I shows these comparisons to have been consistent during the five-year period, 1963 to 1967. It should be further noted that there has been a significant drop in major violations.

Chart 2 is a graphic presentation of the number of probationers removed with no violation and with violation.

Term of supervision for probationers

Probationers removed from supervision with no violation of the conditions of probation numbered 9,639 in 1967. Of this group the 8,171 persons who were serving on probation directly from court had generally shorter probation terms than those received after a postponement. Table A 11 shows that only 18 percent of those received directly from court had terms of 37 months or over, whereas 27 percent of those with indirect probation had similar terms. Similar differences in these percentages also occurred in 1965 and 1966 as shown in Figure J.

Most of the probationers who do not violate the conditions of probation complete their full probationary period. Some are given an early release from these conditions by the court. A few probationers are given an extension of the original probation set by the court and go on to complete this period without violation. A final group are those who die and did not have any record of violation while under supervision.

A comparison of probationers who completed supervision without violation, by type of probation, appears in Figure K. It appears that for those probationers received directly from court there has been a decrease in the proportion of probationers who complete the original term imposed by the court, and a corresponding increase in the proportion of probationers released prior to the expiration of the original term set by the court. The actual number of "early releases" in 1967 was 1,713, in 1966 the number was 2,044 and in 1965, 1,698. The changing proportion of early releases may well effect the general outcome of continued comparisons of nonviolation figures with violator data, since the nonviolation group is being reduced by greater numbers of probationers who did not complete their term of probation.

Appendix Table E 5, which has appeared in previous publications in this series beginning in 1965, shows the number of persons removed from probation supervision during 1967 who were nonviolators.




CHART 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS

PROBATIONERS REMOVED FROM SUPERVISION  
WITH NO VIOLATION AND WITH VIOLATION

FISCAL YEARS 1962-1967



NOTE: Excludes District of Columbia and territorial courts.  
Excludes reinstatements.

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

Figure J

Probationers Removed from Supervision  
Who were Nonviolators  
Fiscal Years 1965 - 1967

Term of supervision (in months)	1965			1966			1967		
	Total	Direct from court	Indirect	Total	Direct from court	Indirect	Total	Direct from court	Indirect
TOTAL....	9,921	8,641	1,280	10,219	8,781	1,438	9,639	8,171	1,468
Percent.....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
12 or less...	17.9	18.2	15.9	14.1	14.4	12.1	14.3	15.1	9.9
13-24.....	35.0	35.0	34.8	34.5	35.2	30.0	35.9	36.3	33.3
25-36.....	28.5	29.1	24.5	30.4	31.0	27.2	30.2	30.2	30.2
37 & over....	18.6	17.7	24.8	21.0	19.4	30.7	19.6	18.4	26.6

Figure K

Probationers Removed from Supervision with No Violation by Type of Removal  
and Type of Probation, Fiscal Years 1965 - 1967

Type of probation and fiscal year	Total number of non- violators	Type of Removal (Percent)			
		Full term	After extension	Prior to full term	Death
Direct probation					
1965	8,641	78.1	3.2	16.7	2.0
1966	8,781	75.6	3.9	18.8	1.7
1967	8,171	73.6	3.8	21.0	1.6
Indirect probation					
Split sentence					
1965	734	79.2	0.9	17.6	2.3
1966	828	70.2	4.0	23.5	2.3
1967	872	75.6	3.6	18.1	2.7
Mixed sentence					
1965	293	64.5	2.0	29.7	3.8
1966	344	54.4	2.6	41.0	2.0
1967	316	68.0	1.3	26.3	4.4
Delayed probation					
1965	253	84.2	0.4	14.6	0.8
1966	266	74.4	3.4	20.3	1.9
1967	280	64.6	2.5	30.0	2.9

89 United States District Courts

Table A 11. Probationers Removed From Supervision With No Violation by Type of Removal, Type of Probation and Length of Term, Fiscal Year 1967

Type of Probation and Type of Removal	Total	Term of Supervision (in months)									Percent <sup>1</sup>			
		1-6	7-11	12 only	13-23	24 only	25-35	36 only	37-59	60 and over	12 months or less	13-24 mos.	25-36 mos.	37 mos. and over
Total with no violations .....	9,639	242	85	1,052	501	2,955	305	2,605	378	1,516	14.3	35.9	30.2	19.6
Direct probation - Total .....	8,171	220	23	991	269	2,698	142	2,325	258	1,245	15.1	36.3	30.2	18.4
Expiration														
Full-term .....	6,018	196	19	849	229	2,173	127	1,649	158	618	17.7	39.9	29.5	12.9
After extension .....	311	10	2	41	8	104	2	112	13	19	17.0	36.0	36.7	10.3
Early release from supervision, Total .....	1,713	14	1	95	29	393	11	515	82	573	6.4	24.6	30.7	38.2
Death .....	129	-	1	6	3	28	2	49	5	35	5.4	24.0	39.5	31.0
Indirect probation - Total .....	1,468	22	62	61	232	257	163	280	120	271	9.9	33.3	30.2	26.6
Expiration														
Full-term - Total .....	1,055	20	57	59	193	215	114	205	63	129	12.9	38.7	30.2	18.2
Split sentence .....	659	9	39	37	122	167	46	136	43	60	12.9	43.9	27.6	15.6
Mixed sentence .....	215	4	2	13	12	34	17	57	12	64	8.8	21.4	34.4	35.4
Delayed probation .....	181	7	16	9	59	14	51	12	8	5	17.7	40.3	34.8	7.2
After extension - Total .....	42	1	3	2	9	6	7	7	4	3	14.3	35.7	33.3	16.7
Split sentence .....	31	1	2	2	5	5	5	6	3	2	16.1	32.3	35.5	16.1
Mixed sentence .....	4	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
Delayed probation .....	7	-	1	-	3	-	2	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
Early release from supervision, Total .....	325	-	2	-	28	32	34	56	43	130	0.6	18.5	27.7	53.2
Split sentence .....	158	-	1	-	8	20	11	29	18	71	0.6	17.7	25.3	56.3
Mixed sentence .....	83	-	-	-	-	5	1	18	4	55	-	6.0	22.9	71.1
Delayed probation .....	84	-	1	-	20	7	22	9	21	4	1.2	32.1	36.9	29.8
Death - Total .....	46	1	-	-	2	4	8	12	10	9	2.2	13.0	43.5	41.3
Split sentence .....	24	-	-	-	2	3	5	8	3	3	-	-	-	-
Mixed sentence .....	14	-	-	-	-	-	2	3	3	6	-	-	-	-
Delayed probation .....	8	1	-	-	-	1	1	1	4	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>1</sup>Percent not computed where base is 25 or less. Percents may not add to 100.0 due to rounding.

89 United States District Courts

Table A 12. Probationers Removed from Supervision by Length of Original Term, Fiscal Year 1967

Length of Term (in months)	Total removed	No Violation		With Violation							
				Total		Technical		Minor		Major	
		Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
TOTAL .....	11,389	9,639	84.9	1,750	15.4	456	4.0	443	3.9	851	7.5
12 and under ..	1,446	1,379	95.4	67	4.6	17	1.2	16	1.1	34	2.3
13 - 24 .....	3,889	3,456	88.9	433	11.1	116	3.0	121	3.1	196	5.0
25 - 36 .....	3,564	2,910	81.6	654	18.4	175	4.9	162	4.6	317	8.9
37 - 60 .....	2,490	1,894	76.1	596	23.9	148	5.9	144	5.8	304	12.2
PERCENT .....	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	-	100.0	-	100.0	-	100.0	-
12 and under ..	12.7	14.3	-	3.8	-	3.7	-	3.6	-	4.0	-
13 - 24 .....	34.1	35.8	-	24.7	-	25.4	-	27.3	-	23.0	-
25 - 36 .....	31.3	30.2	-	37.4	-	38.4	-	36.6	-	37.3	-
37 - 60 .....	21.9	19.7	-	34.1	-	32.5	-	32.5	-	35.7	-

89 United States District Courts

Table A 13. Probationers Removed from Supervision and Time Under Supervision, Fiscal Year 1967

Time under supervision (in months)	Total	No Violation		With Violation							
				Total		Technical		Minor		Major	
		Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
TOTAL REMOVED ...	11,389	9,639	84.6	1,750	15.4	456	4.0	443	3.9	851	7.5
3 and under ...	721	441	61.2	280	38.8	83	11.4	83	11.6	114	15.8
4 - 6 .....	289	24	8.3	265	91.7	60	20.8	76	26.3	129	44.6
7 - 12 .....	1,574	1,143	72.6	431	27.4	104	6.6	103	6.5	224	14.2
13 - 24 .....	4,111	3,638	88.5	473	11.5	118	2.9	114	2.7	241	5.9
25 - 36 .....	3,051	2,859	93.7	192	6.3	54	1.8	45	1.5	93	3.0
37 - 48 .....	588	514	87.4	74	12.6	21	3.6	17	2.9	36	6.1
49 and over ...	1,055	1,020	96.7	35	3.3	16	1.5	5	0.5	14	1.3

Term of probation and time supervised

This is the third year that tables similar to Tables A 12, A 13 and A 14 have been presented in this series. The first two tables show, respectively, the length of the term of supervision and the time actually served under supervision for all probationers removed from supervision including those removed for violations. Table A 14 combines these time intervals.

These tables point up several factors. First of all there seems to be a relation between length of probation and violation rate. The proportion of violations seems to increase as the length of term increases. Secondly more violations occur during the first six months of supervision than during any other period. This is clearly illustrated in Table A 14. Of the 1,446 probationers with terms of 12 months or less who were removed from supervision in 1967, 4.6 percent violated and 63 percent of these violations occurred within 6 months. Of the 1,944 probationers with terms of five years, 428 or 22 percent violated and of these 23 percent did so in the first six months.

Table A 14, showing the accumulated percentage of persons removed from supervision by time under supervision, indicates as it did in previous years, that the proportion of probationers removed for technical reasons was below the percentage removed for minor or major violations in all the groupings by term of supervision, except for those in the group with terms of 37 to 59 months. It may be that in this group probation was terminated earlier thereby removing the probationer from supervision, thus shortening the period of exposure to a longer period during which violation might occur.

Figure L gives a summary for 1967 and the prior two years of the proportion of persons who violated probation by length of supervision and time under supervision. Again it can be seen that the proportion of violations is heaviest in the early months of supervision, regardless of the length of the term of probation.

TABLE A 14. 89 United States District Courts  
Persons on Probation Removed From Supervision  
by Length of Original Term and Time Under Supervision  
Fiscal Year 1967

Length of Original Term and How Removed <sup>1</sup>	All persons removed	Time Under Supervision (in months)						Percent (Accumulative)			
		3 and under	4-6	7-12	13-24	25-36	37 and over	6 and under	12 and under	24 and under	36 and under
<b>TOTAL</b> .....	11,389	721	289	1,574	4,111	3,051	1,643	8.9	23.7	58.8	85.6
No violation .....	9,639	441	24	1,143	3,638	2,859	1,534	4.6	16.7	54.7	84.3
With violation .....	1,750	280	265	431	473	192	109	31.1	55.8	82.8	93.8
Technical .....	456	83	60	104	118	54	37	31.4	54.2	80.0	91.9
Minor .....	443	83	76	103	114	45	22	35.9	59.2	84.9	95.1
Major .....	851	114	129	224	241	93	50	28.6	54.9	83.2	94.1
Percent .....	7.5	15.8	44.6	14.2	5.9	3.0	3.0	-	-	-	-
<b>1 - 12 Months</b> .....	1,446	339	23	1,021	31	22	10	25.0	95.6	97.8	99.3
No violation .....	1,379	319	1	1,000	30	19	10	23.2	95.7	97.9	99.3
With violation .....	67	20	22	21	1	3	-	62.7	94.1	95.6	100.0
Technical .....	17	8	2	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Minor .....	16	6	4	4	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
Major .....	34	6	16	10	-	2	-	64.7	94.1	-	100.0
Percent .....	2.4	1.8	-	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>13 - 24 Months</b> .....	3,889	131	77	217	3,320	92	52	5.3	10.9	96.3	98.7
No violation .....	3,456	55	9	87	3,176	80	49	1.9	4.4	96.3	98.6
With violation .....	433	76	68	130	144	12	3	33.3	63.3	96.6	99.3
Technical .....	116	30	11	29	37	6	3	35.3	60.3	92.2	97.4
Minor .....	121	16	26	36	39	4	-	34.7	64.5	96.7	100.0
Major .....	196	30	31	65	68	2	-	31.1	64.3	99.0	100.0
Percent .....	5.0	22.9	40.3	30.0	2.0	2.2	-	-	-	-	-
<b>25 - 36 Months</b> .....	3,564	142	115	198	494	2,485	130	7.2	12.8	26.6	96.4
No violation .....	2,910	41	8	31	315	2,391	124	1.7	2.7	13.6	95.8
With violation .....	654	101	107	167	179	94	6	31.8	57.4	84.8	99.1
Technical .....	175	22	27	43	51	30	2	28.0	52.6	81.7	98.9
Minor .....	162	38	25	39	39	20	1	38.9	63.0	87.0	99.4
Major .....	317	41	55	85	89	44	3	30.3	57.1	85.2	99.1
Percent .....	8.9	28.9	47.8	42.9	18.0	1.8	2.3	-	-	-	-
<b>37 - 59 Months</b> .....	546	32	25	40	72	85	292	10.4	17.8	31.0	46.5
No violation .....	378	2	2	6	27	65	276	1.1	2.6	9.6	27.0
With violation .....	168	30	23	34	45	20	16	31.6	51.8	78.6	90.5
Technical .....	43	8	11	6	10	6	2	44.2	58.2	81.4	95.4
Minor .....	41	8	4	8	12	4	5	29.3	48.8	78.0	87.8
Major .....	84	14	8	20	23	10	9	26.2	50.0	77.4	89.3
Percent .....	15.4	43.8	-	50.0	31.9	11.8	3.1	-	-	-	-
<b>60 Months</b> .....	1,944	77	49	98	194	367	1,159	6.5	11.5	21.5	40.4
No violation .....	1,516	24	4	19	90	304	1,075	1.8	3.1	9.0	29.1
With violation .....	428	53	45	79	104	63	84	22.9	41.4	65.7	80.4
Technical .....	105	15	9	19	20	12	30	22.9	41.0	60.0	71.4
Minor .....	103	14	18	16	23	16	16	31.1	46.6	68.9	84.5
Major .....	220	24	18	44	61	35	38	19.1	39.1	66.8	82.7
Percent .....	11.3	31.2	36.7	44.9	31.4	9.5	3.3	-	-	-	-

<sup>1</sup>Length of original term imposed by court. Persons on probation longer than original term have had one or more extensions.

NOTE: Percent not shown where base is 25 or less.

Figure I

Probationers Removed From Supervision by Violation, by Length of Original Term, and Time Under Supervision  
Fiscal Years 1965 - 1967

Original term of supervision (in months)	Total probationers removed	Time under supervision (in months)			
		Total	12 and under	13-24	25 and over
*Percent with violation					
<b>1965 - Total</b>	12,075	17.8	10.2	4.6	3.0
1-12.....	1,892	6.1	5.8	0.3	0.1
13-24.....	3,984	12.9	8.4	4.2	0.3
25-36.....	3,591	21.3	11.1	6.1	4.1
37 and over.....	2,608	29.0	14.8	6.3	7.9
<b>1966 - Total</b>	12,212	16.3	9.3	4.1	3.0
1-12.....	1,533	6.1	5.7	0.1	0.3
13-24.....	3,994	11.8	7.9	3.5	0.4
25-36.....	3,849	19.2	10.4	5.0	3.8
37 and over.....	2,836	24.2	11.5	5.8	6.9
<b>1967 - Total</b>	11,389	15.4	8.6	4.2	2.6
1-12.....	1,446	4.6	4.3	0.1	0.2
13-24.....	3,889	11.1	7.0	3.7	0.4
25-36.....	3,564	18.3	10.5	5.0	2.8
37 and over.....	2,490	23.9	10.6	6.0	7.3

\*Percents may not add to total due to rounding.

Violation by offense and age

Tables A 15 and A 16 contain information on the removal of probationers from supervision in 1967 by offense and age. As in previous years the lowest violation rates occurred among those persons placed on probation after being convicted of mailing obscene matter, income tax fraud, embezzlement and wagering tax violations. The highest violation rate, 46 percent, again occurred among narcotic drug addicts failing to register at the border. However, this was under the 53 percent violation rate recorded in 1966.

The following table gives a three-year comparison of violation rates, by category of offense of which the probationer was convicted where the overall violation rate in 1967 was 10 percent or less, or 30 percent or more.

Offense	1965	1966	1967
<u>Violation rate 10 percent or less</u>			
Obscene mail .....	7.2	0.6	1.7
Income tax fraud .....	5.8	5.8	1.8
Embezzlement.....	3.4	4.6	3.7
Wagering tax violations .....	3.2	4.3	4.4
Special fraud group .....	7.7	7.7	4.5
Other fraud .....	11.5	8.5	7.5
Postal fraud.....	9.8	9.0	7.9
Federal Regulatory Statutes...	6.9	7.3	8.0
Liquor, Internal Revenue.....	13.3	10.4	10.0
<u>Violation rate 30 percent or more</u>			
Border registration laws, addicts	57.4	53.2	45.9
Selective Service Act.....	*	*	37.7
Auto theft .....	37.7	36.9	34.9
Interstate transportation of stolen property.....	33.0	29.9	33.3

\*Not available.

The factor of age and its relationship to violation rates are highlighted in Table A 16. Except in the offense categories of failing to register as an addict at the border, assault and homicide and a miscellaneous group of offenses (which represent over half of Class V offenses), probationers under 25 years of age have the highest violation rate in the three age groups.

Table A 15. Probationers Removed from Supervision by Offense Class, Fiscal Year 1967

Offense Class	Total	No Violation		With Violation							
		Number	Per-cent <sup>1</sup>	Total		Technical		Minor		Major	
				Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Per-cent <sup>1</sup>	Number	Per-cent <sup>1</sup>
TOTAL REMOVED...	11,389	9,639	84.6	1,750	15.4	456	4.0	443	3.9	851	7.5
<u>SPECIAL OFFENSES</u>											
Immigration laws. Wagering tax violations .....	67	58	86.6	9	13.4	2	3.0	5	7.4	2	3.0
Federal Regulatory statutes..	317	303	95.6	14	4.4	7	2.2	3	0.9	4	1.3
	573	527	92.0	46	8.0	16	2.8	9	1.6	21	3.7
<u>CLASS I</u>											
Fraud - Group A..	466	445	95.5	21	4.5	14	3.0	2	0.4	5	1.1
Embezzlement.....	976	940	96.3	36	3.7	12	1.2	14	1.4	10	1.0
Obscene mail .....	180	177	98.3	3	1.7	2	1.1	-	-	1	0.6
<u>CLASS II</u>											
Income tax fraud.	339	333	98.2	6	1.8	3	0.9	2	0.6	1	0.3
Other fraud .....	266	246	92.5	20	7.5	7	2.6	3	1.1	10	3.8
<u>CLASS III</u>											
Liquor, Internal Revenue .....	2,602	2,342	90.0	260	10.0	58	2.2	40	1.5	162	6.2
<u>CLASS IV</u>											
Theft .....	1,265	1,048	82.8	217	17.2	55	4.3	59	4.7	103	8.1
Postal fraud .....	252	232	92.1	20	7.9	9	3.6	6	2.4	5	2.0
Forgery .....	1,140	914	80.2	226	19.8	57	5.0	68	6.0	101	8.9
<u>CLASS V</u>											
Border registration, addicts..	98	53	54.1	45	45.9	15	15.3	6	6.1	24	24.5
Assault and homicide .....	80	68	85.0	12	15.0	6	7.5	3	3.8	3	3.8
Misc. general offenses .....	253	215	85.0	38	15.0	12	4.7	9	3.6	17	6.7
<u>CLASS VI</u>											
Counterfeiting...	145	129	89.0	16	11.0	5	3.4	2	1.4	9	6.2
Burglary .....	111	82	73.9	29	26.1	8	7.2	6	5.4	15	13.5
Interstate transportation of stolen prop....	297	198	66.7	99	33.3	24	8.1	29	9.8	46	15.5
Marihuana .....	183	139	76.0	44	24.0	9	4.9	11	6.0	24	13.1
Selective Service Act.....	69	43	62.3	26	37.7	19	27.5	5	7.2	2	2.9
Other national defense laws...	47	41	87.2	6	12.8	1	2.1	3	6.4	2	4.3
Sex offenses.....	43	37	86.0	6	14.0	1	2.3	2	4.7	3	7.0
<u>CLASS VII</u>											
Auto theft.....	1,448	943	65.1	505	34.9	101	7.0	144	9.9	260	18.0
<u>CLASS VIII</u>											
Narcotics.....	117	82	70.1	35	29.9	11	9.4	8	6.8	16	13.7
Robbery.....	55	44	80.0	11	20.0	2	3.6	4	7.3	5	9.1

<sup>1</sup> Percents may not add to total due to rounding.



99 United States District Courts

Table A 16. Probationers Removed from Supervision by Offense Class and Age Group, Fiscal Year 1967

Offense Class and Age Group (in years)	Total	No Violation		With Violation							
				Total		Technical		Minor		Major	
		Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent
TOTAL REMOVED .....	11,389	9,639	84.6	1,750	15.4	456	4.0	443	3.9	851	7.5
Under 25 .....	2,277	1,613	70.8	664	29.2	179	7.9	145	6.4	340	14.9
25 to 39 .....	4,825	4,076	84.5	749	15.5	184	3.8	204	4.2	361	7.5
40 and over .....	4,287	3,950	92.1	337	7.9	93	2.2	94	2.2	150	3.5
SPECIAL OFFENSES .....	957	888	92.8	69	7.2	25	2.6	17	1.8	27	2.8
Under 25 .....	104	88	84.6	16	15.4	4	3.8	6	5.8	6	5.8
25 to 39 .....	331	297	89.7	34	10.3	13	3.9	7	2.1	14	4.2
40 and over .....	522	503	96.4	19	3.6	8	1.5	4	0.8	7	1.3
CLASS I (Fraud, Embezzlement, Obscene mail) .....	1,622	1,562	96.3	60	3.7	28	1.7	16	1.0	16	1.0
Under 25 .....	121	110	90.9	11	9.1	5	4.1	3	2.5	3	2.5
25 to 39 .....	794	770	97.0	24	3.0	8	1.0	9	1.1	7	0.9
40 and over .....	707	682	96.5	25	3.5	15	2.1	4	0.6	6	0.8
CLASS II (Income tax fraud, other fraud) .....	605	579	95.7	26	4.3	10	1.7	5	0.8	11	1.8
Under 25 .....	22	13	-	9	-	3	-	2	-	4	-
25 to 39 .....	142	134	94.4	8	5.6	2	1.4	1	0.7	5	3.5
40 and over .....	441	432	98.0	9	2.0	5	1.1	2	0.5	2	0.5
CLASS III (Liquor laws) .....	2,602	2,342	90.0	260	10.0	58	2.2	40	1.5	162	6.2
Under 25 .....	212	176	83.0	36	17.0	12	5.7	8	3.8	16	7.5
25 to 39 .....	1,007	885	87.9	122	12.1	27	2.7	19	1.9	76	7.5
40 and over .....	1,383	1,281	92.6	102	7.4	19	1.4	13	0.9	70	5.1
CLASS IV (Theft, postal fraud, forgery) .....	2,657	2,194	82.6	463	17.4	121	4.5	133	5.0	209	7.9
Under 25 .....	583	448	76.8	135	23.2	38	6.5	31	5.3	66	11.3
25 to 39 .....	1,297	1,065	82.1	232	17.9	58	4.5	64	4.9	110	8.5
40 and over .....	777	681	87.6	96	12.4	25	3.2	38	4.9	33	4.2
CLASS V (Border reg. addicts, assault and homicide, misc. general) .....	431	336	78.0	95	22.0	33	7.6	18	4.2	44	10.2
Under 25 .....	71	53	74.6	18	25.4	8	11.3	1	1.4	9	12.7
25 to 39 .....	229	160	69.9	69	30.1	23	10.0	15	6.6	31	13.5
40 and over .....	131	123	93.9	8	6.1	2	1.5	2	1.5	4	3.1
CLASS VI (Counterfeiting, burglary trans. of stolen property, marihuana, National defense laws, sex offenses) .....	895	669	74.7	226	25.3	67	7.5	58	6.5	101	11.3
Under 25 .....	270	177	65.6	93	34.4	36	13.3	16	5.9	41	15.2
25 to 39 .....	450	352	78.2	98	21.8	20	4.4	30	6.7	48	10.7
40 and over .....	175	140	80.0	35	20.0	11	6.3	12	6.9	12	6.9
CLASS VII (Auto theft) .....	1,448	943	65.1	505	34.9	101	7.0	144	9.9	260	18.0
Under 25 .....	869	534	61.4	335	38.6	68	7.8	76	8.7	191	22.0
25 to 39 .....	469	336	71.6	133	28.4	27	5.8	50	10.7	56	11.9
40 and over .....	110	73	66.4	37	33.6	6	5.4	18	16.4	13	11.8
CLASS VIII (Narcotics and robbery) .....	172	126	73.3	46	26.7	13	7.5	12	7.0	21	12.2
Under 25 .....	25	14	-	11	-	5	-	2	-	4	-
25 to 39 .....	106	77	72.6	29	27.4	6	5.7	9	8.5	14	13.2
40 and over .....	41	35	85.4	6	14.6	2	4.9	1	2.4	3	7.3

NOTE: Percents not computed where base is 25 or less. Percents may not add to totals due to rounding.

Figure M

Probationers Removed From Supervision With No Violation by Offense Class Fiscal Years 1965 - 1967

Offense Class	1965		1966		1967	
	Number	Percent with no violation	Number	Percent with no violation	Number	Percent with no violation
TOTAL REMOVED .....	12,075	82.2	12,212	83.7	11,389	84.6
<u>SPECIAL OFFENSES</u> (Immigration laws, wagering tax and Federal Regulatory statutes) .....	816	93.6	822	93.1	957	92.8
<u>CLASS I</u> (Fraud, embezzlement and obscene mail) .....	1,847	94.7	1,771	94.8	1,622	96.3
<u>CLASS II</u> (Income tax fraud and other fraud) .....	607	91.4	615	92.8	605	95.7
<u>CLASS III</u> (Liquor laws) .....	2,741	86.8	3,037	89.6	2,602	90.0
<u>CLASS IV</u> (Theft, postal fraud and forgery) .....	3,126	79.9	2,894	82.1	2,657	82.6
<u>CLASS V</u> (Border registration addicts, assault and homicide, misc. general offenses) .....	395	73.4	397	76.8	431	78.0
<u>CLASS VI</u> (Counterfeiting, burglary, transportation of stolen property, marihuana, Nat. defense laws and sex offenses) .....	871	73.4	937	75.3	895	74.7
<u>CLASS VII</u> (Auto theft) .....	1,527	62.3	1,581	63.1	1,448	65.1
<u>CLASS VIII</u> (Narcotics and drugs) .....	145	67.6	158	63.3	172	73.3

Pattern of nonviolation by offense class

As previously stated, the arrangement of the eight offense classes is based upon the proportionate use of probation. The greatest use is found in Class I and the least use in Class VIII. This arrangement of the offense classes suggests a similar pattern as to the proportion of persons removed with no violation and with violation. The analysis in Table A 16 shows that the proportion of nonviolators was highest in Class I offenses (96.3 percent), and that there was a pattern of decline to a low of 65.1 percent for Class VII (auto theft). However, with an increase in removals with no violations in Class VIII (narcotics and robbery). This latter group of 172 probationers represented only 1.5 percent of all probationers removed during the fiscal year 1967.

This consistent pattern of persons removed from probation supervision over the last three years, by offense class, appears in Figure M.

Comparison of probation use and violation rates

Table A 17 contains additional information on the actual use of probation and violation rates for fiscal year 1967. In the group of 23 districts where an average of 60 percent of the convicted defendants were placed on probation, the violation rate was 16.3 percent. In the group of 22 districts where 35 percent of the defendants were placed on probation, the violation rate was 18.4 percent. (See Appendix Table E 6 for data by district.)

Companion Table A 17-a shows the average use of probation and violation rates by the four quartile groupings of district courts for the three-year period, 1965 to 1967. The surprising overall consistency in violation rates year to year in comparison with the rather marked difference in the use of probation among the four groups of courts is evident in this table.

Figure N shows the proportionate use of probation, both actual and comparative, with the national average and also the violation rate subdivided into technical, minor and major violations. Several points can be drawn from Tables A 17 and A 17-a and Figure N.

Table A 17. 89 United States District Courts

Comparison of the Use of Probation in District Courts,  
by Type of Violation, Fiscal Year 1967  
(Excludes violators of immigration laws, wagering  
tax laws and violators of Federal regulatory acts)

Item	89 District courts	Quartile groups of District Courts			
		First 23 District courts	Second 22 District courts	Third 22 District courts	Fourth 27 District courts
<u>Average</u>					
Actual percent placed on probation <sup>1</sup> .....	47.1	60.1	50.1	44.0	35.3
Percent comparative use of probation <sup>2</sup> ..	47.1	52.4	46.1	45.4	44.8
Actual proportion placed on probation above or below percent comparative use	0.0	14.7	8.7	- 3.1	-21.2
-----					
TOTAL REMOVED .....	10,432	3,230	2,399	2,773	2,030
No violation .....	8,751	2,702	2,003	2,390	1,656
Violated probation ....	1,681	528	396	383	374
Technical violation.	431	158	90	91	92
Minor violation ....	426	100	109	111	106
Major violation ....	824	270	197	181	176
-----					
<u>Percent</u>					
Violated probation ....	16.1	16.3	16.5	13.8	18.4
Technical violation.	4.1	4.9	3.8	3.3	4.5
Minor violation ....	4.1	3.1	4.5	4.0	5.2
Major violation ....	7.9	8.3	8.2	6.5	8.7

<sup>1</sup> See Appendix Table E 6. This is the proportion of persons actually sentenced who were placed on probation.

<sup>2</sup> See Appendix Table E 6. This is the expected use of probation when the average use of probation for eight offense classes for the 89 United States district courts is applied to the actual offense classes in the separate district courts.

Table A 17-a. United States District Courts

Average Use of Probation in District Courts by Type of Violation  
 Three-Year Comparison, Fiscal Years 1965 - 1967  
 (Excludes violators of immigration laws, wagering  
 tax laws and violators of Federal regulatory acts)

Item	All district courts	Quartile groups of District Courts			
		First group	Second group	Third group	Fourth group
Average number of defendants sentenced.	21,017	4,374	4,907	6,255	5,481
Average number placed on probation.....	10,180	2,747	2,553	2,885	1,995
Actual percent placed on probation <sup>1</sup>	48.4	62.8	52.0	46.3	36.4
Percent comparative use of probation <sup>2</sup> ..	48.4	52.9	50.2	45.9	46.0
Actual proportion placed on probation above or below percent comparative use.	0.0	18.7	3.6	0.9	- 20.9
Average number of probationers removed from supervision.....	11,027	2,888	2,694	3,177	2,268
Probationers removed with no violation....	9,112	2,406	2,222	2,629	1,855
Probation violators...	1,906	480	470	545	411
Technical violation.	383	113	92	100	78
Minor violation.....	523	124	121	161	117
Major violation.....	1,000	243	257	284	216
Percent distribution of probationers removed by violation					
Total violated probation.....	17.3	16.6	17.4	17.3	18.1
Technical violation.	3.5	3.9	3.4	3.2	3.4
Minor violation.....	4.7	4.3	4.5	5.1	5.2
Major violation.....	9.1	8.4	9.5	8.9	9.5

<sup>1</sup> This is the actual proportion of persons actually sentenced who were placed on probation during the three-year period.

<sup>2</sup> This is the expected use of probation when the average use of probation for eight offense classes for the United States district courts is applied to the actual offense classes in the separate district courts. See Appendix table E 6 for actual and comparative use of probation percentages in fiscal year 1967.

FIGURE N

Comparison of the Use of Probation in District Courts by Type of Violation, Fiscal Years 1964 - 1967  
 (Excludes violators of immigration laws, wagering tax laws and violators of Federal regulatory acts)

Average	Total United States District Courts	Quartile groups of district courts			
		First group	Second group	Third group	Fourth group
<u>Actual percent placed on probation</u>					
1964 .....	50.2	59.9	55.6	49.4	40.0
1965 .....	49.0	65.9	53.8	47.2	36.9
1966 .....	49.1	63.2	51.9	46.7	37.0
1967 .....	47.1	60.1	50.1	44.0	35.3
<u>Percent comparative use of probation</u>					
1964 .....	50.2	48.7	50.5	50.2	50.7
1965 .....	49.0	52.6	53.1	46.2	46.6
1966 .....	49.1	53.9	51.0	46.1	46.7
1967 .....	47.1	52.4	46.1	45.4	44.8
<u>Actual proportion placed on probation above or below comparative use</u>					
1964 .....	0.0	23.0	10.1	- 1.6	-21.1
1965 .....	0.0	25.3	1.3	2.2	-20.8
1966 .....	0.0	17.3	1.8	1.3	-20.8
1967 .....	0.0	14.7	8.7	- 3.1	-21.2
<u>Percent</u>					
<u>Violated probation</u>					
1964 .....	21.4	26.3	22.5	20.6	17.3
1965 .....	18.7	18.5	17.8	19.2	18.9
1966 .....	17.0	15.5	17.9	17.8	16.9
1967 .....	16.1	16.3	16.5	13.8	18.4
<u>Technical violation</u>					
1964 .....	*	*	*	*	*
1965 .....	3.1	3.4	3.1	2.9	2.9
1966 .....	3.3	3.5	3.4	3.0	3.3
1967 .....	4.1	4.9	3.8	3.3	4.5
<u>Minor violation</u>					
1964 .....	8.8	10.5	9.5	8.5	7.3
1965 .....	5.1	4.9	4.3	5.9	5.1
1966 .....	5.0	5.0	4.6	5.0	5.2
1967 .....	4.1	3.1	4.5	4.0	5.2
<u>Major violation</u>					
1964 .....	12.6	15.8	13.0	12.1	10.0
1965 .....	10.5	10.2	10.4	10.4	10.9
1966 .....	8.7	7.2	9.8	9.4	8.7
1967 .....	7.9	8.3	8.2	6.5	8.7

\*Combined with minor violation.

First, during the last four years the percentage use of probation has varied no more than 6 percent among the highest use districts and no more than 5 percent among the lowest use group of courts. The high-use of probation group of courts used probation in a range from 60 percent to 66 percent. The low-use group showed a 35 to 40 percent use of probation.

Second, the high-use districts have shown a decline in anticipated use of probation. In 1965 the high-use districts imposed probation on convicted defendants, 25 percent more frequently than the anticipated useage; in 1967 probation was used only 15 percent more frequently.

Third, there has been a marked decline in violation rates. This decline has occurred in removals for minor and major violations, but there has been an increase in probationers removed for technical violations.

Fourth, the most striking decrease in overall violation rates was experience by the districts with the highest overall usage of probation. In 1964 the high-use probation districts had a violation rate of 26 percent and by 1966 it had dropped to 15.5 percent with only a slight increase to 16.3 percent in 1967. Both the second and third groups of courts experienced decreases in overall violation rates. The second group dropped from 23 percent to about 17 percent and the third group of districts dropped from 21 to 14 percent in violation rate. The group of districts with the lowest overall use of probation wavered between a 17 and 18 percent violation rate.

#### Evaluation and objectives

The decrease in violation rates experience by three-fourths of the courts, that is, the first, second and third group of courts, all of which had a continuous high use of probation, requires more study. With additional years of data now available this series in future reports can provide a further analysis of the relationships between the high use of probation and violation rates, if any.

Some of the considerations for study are first, has there been a variation in the various districts showing a high use of probation?

Second, has there been any change in the characteristics of offenders sentenced by the courts including prior criminal record, sex, age, marital status, and education?

Third, has there been any shift in the profile of offenses among those available for sentencing?

Fourth, and somewhat coupled with the third factor, has there been any change towards shortening the length of probationary supervision period set by the courts together with a shortening of actual probation by early removals?

Fifth, have there been any shifts in supervision practices resulting from probation training programs and the implementation of new techniques for supervising various sized caseloads as tested in the San Francisco Project.<sup>1</sup>

#### Probation violators

Tables A 18 and A 19 contain special information about those probationers who violated the conditions of probation. In each of the three years, 1965, 1966 and 1967, violators served an average of 15 months of their 39 month average term of supervision. (Table A 18) The data for 1967 reveal that the group placed under supervision for special fraud offenses served the greatest proportion of their term before violation, 74 percent. The lowest proportionate term was served under supervision by violators of the Selective Service Act. This small group of 26 persons had average terms of supervision of 29 months of which only 14 percent or 4 months was served before violation. The differences in violation rates between these two groups illustrate the significance of this type of comparison.

The proportion of time spent under supervision before violation seems to be related to the offense class. As noted in last year's report, the probationers in Class I offenses serve a higher proportion of their term of probation than

<sup>1</sup>Begun in 1964, the San Francisco project was designed to develop discriminating criteria for the classification of offenders into categories, to examine the effects of varied caseload sizes, intensities, and types of supervision, and to develop actuarial tables for Federal offenders as to further successful adjustment.

do probationers in any other offense class. This is also the same group with the highest probability of a probation placement. Table A 18 shows that during the fiscal years 1965 to 1967 Class I offenders tended to have shorter terms of probation, but actually were supervised proportionately longer before violation than probationers in other offense classes who generally have longer terms to serve.

Table A 19 shows the type of violations and offense for those who violated probation, by offense class. The earlier discussion about the increase in technical violations is further illustrated in Table A 19 by the showing that most of the increase was due to technical violation of the conditions of probation. In 1965, technical violations of the conditions of probation accounted for 13 percent of the probationers removed. In 1966 the proportion so removed amounted to 17 percent and in 1967, 23 percent. A three-year comparison of the type of violation and offense follows:

Type of violation and offense	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent
Total	2,154	100.0	1,993	100.0	1,750	100.0
Technical-Total.....	356	16.5	394	19.8	456	26.1
Violation of conditions.....	270	12.5	330	16.6	399	22.8
Use of drugs.....	23	1.1	29	1.5	32	1.8
Use of alcohol.....	63	2.9	35	1.7	25	1.4
Minor-Total.....	587	27.3	583	29.2	443	25.3
Absconded or wanted for minor offense.....	398	18.5	397	19.9	302	17.3
Drunk, disorderly.....	70	3.3	57	2.9	28	1.6
Petty theft.....	24	1.1	21	1.1	31	1.8
Simple assault.....	11	0.5	3	0.2	8	0.4
Traffic.....	37	1.7	41	2.0	29	1.6
All other.....	47	2.2	64	3.2	45	2.6
Major-Total.....	1,211	56.2	1,016	51.0	851	48.6
Homicide.....	19	0.9	6	0.3	11	0.6
Robbery.....	56	2.6	40	2.0	55	3.1
Assault.....	59	2.7	52	2.6	49	2.8
Burglary.....	178	8.3	142	7.1	136	7.8
Larceny, except auto.....	151	7.0	131	6.6	96	5.5
Embezzlement and fraud.....	33	1.5	26	1.3	22	1.2
Auto theft.....	149	6.9	152	7.6	96	5.5
Forgery and counterfeiting.....	161	7.5	138	6.9	103	5.9
Sex offenses.....	33	1.5	23	1.2	11	0.6
Drug laws.....	77	3.6	67	3.4	50	2.9
Immigration laws.....	-	-	1	0.1	3	0.2
Liquor, Internal Revenue.....	183	8.5	150	7.5	117	6.7
Federal regulatory statutes.....	13	0.6	9	0.5	8	0.4
All other.....	99	4.6	79	4.0	94	5.4

In summary the probationers in offense groups with the highest probability of probation placement by the courts have shorter periods of probation supervision, but nevertheless proportionately complete more of the period of supervision before violation. Probationers in offense groups where the probability of selection for probation placement is low, have not only a high violation rate, but the major violations resulting in removal are proportionately greater. This is further illustrated as follows:

Offense Class	Fiscal Year 1966			Fiscal Year 1967		
	Pro-portion-ate use of pro-bation	Vio-lation rate	Major violation	Pro-portion-ate use of pro-bation	Vio-lation rate	Major violation
		Total			Total	
Total.....	49.1%	17.0%	8.7%	47.1%	16.1%	7.9%
Class I (Fraud, embezzlement, obscene mail..)	83.1	5.2	1.4	82.9	3.7	1.0
Class II (Income tax fraud, other fraud)..	58.1	7.2	2.5	58.0	4.3	1.8
Class III (Liquor Internal Revenue).....	67.2	10.4	6.2	69.6	10.0	6.2
Class IV (Theft, postal fraud forgery).....	57.6	17.9	8.3	54.6	17.4	7.9
Class V (Border registration addicts, assault, homicide, miscellaneous general offenses).....	44.0	23.2	11.8	39.2	22.0	10.2
Class VI (Counterfeiting, burglary transportation of stolen property, national defense laws, sex offenses).....	38.0	24.7	10.2	35.2	25.3	11.3
Class VII (Auto theft).....	33.9	36.9	21.5	34.4	34.9	18.0
Class VIII (Narcotics and robbery).....	15.2	36.7	24.1	12.2	26.7	12.2

Property offenses comprised about 38 percent of the probation violations committed in 1967, down from the 40 percent recorded in the two previous years. Violations of the technical conditions of probation accounted for 23 percent of the removals which represents a continued increase in this type of removal. In 1966 17 percent of the violators were removed for violating conditions set by the court and in 1965 the percentage was 13. The comparative percentages for the three year period are shown below:

Type of violation	1965		1966		1967	
	Num-ber vio-lated	Per-cent vio-lated	Num-ber vio-lated	Per-cent vio-lated	Num-ber vio-lated	Per-cent vio-lated
Total.....	2,154	100.0	1,993	100.0	1,750	100.0
Property offenses.....	892	41.4	804	40.3	663	37.9
Absconded or wanted for minor offense.	398	18.5	397	19.9	302	17.3
Use of alcohol or drugs.....	416	19.3	338	17.0	252	14.4
Technical conditions.....	270	12.5	330	16.6	399	22.8
Offenses against persons.....	178	8.3	124	6.2	134	7.6

Table A 18. United States District Courts  
 Persons Who Violated Probation Showing Original Offense, Average Months of Term  
 of Supervision and Time Under Supervision Before Violation, Fiscal Years 1965 - 1967

Offense Class	Fiscal Year 1965					Fiscal Year 1966					Fiscal Year 1967				
	Number violated probation	Average months		Percent time under supervision as a part of term of supervision	Percent <sup>1</sup> above or below total	Number violated probation	Average months		Percent time under supervision as a part of term of supervision	Percent <sup>1</sup> above or below total	Number violated probation	Average months		Percent time under supervision as a part of term of supervision	Percent <sup>1</sup> above or below total
		Term of super- vision	Time under supervision before violation				Term of super- vision	Time under supervision before violation				Term of super- vision	Time under supervision before violation		
TOTAL .....	2,154	39	15	38.5	0.0	1,993	39	15	38.5	0.0	1,750	39	15	38.5	0.0
<b>SPECIAL OFFENSES</b> .....	52	38	14	36.8	- 4.4	57	34	15	44.1	14.5	69	36	16	44.4	15.4
Immigration laws .....	10	46	13	39.4	-	9	39	12	30.0	-	3	41	11	26.8	-
Wagering tax violations	8	36	10	27.8	-	11	38	25	65.8	-	14	34	19	55.9	-
Federal regulatory	34	36	15	41.7	8.3	37	32	14	43.0	13.7	46	36	16	44.4	15.4
statutes .....															
<b>CLASS I</b> .....	98	40	23	57.5	49.4	92	39	23	59.0	53.2	60	39	23	59.0	53.3
Fraud - Group A .....	48	38	26	68.4	77.7	44	40	25	62.5	62.3	21	42	31	73.8	-
Embezzlement .....	35	43	21	48.8	26.8	47	39	21	52.6	36.6	16	37	18	48.6	26.3
Obscene Mail .....	15	38	19	50.0	-	1	54	25	73.5	-	3	40	16	40.0	-
<b>CLASS II</b> .....	52	34	19	55.9	45.2	44	34	17	50.0	30.0	26	36	16	44.4	15.4
Income tax fraud .....	18	40	26	65.0	-	18	35	19	54.3	-	6	32	22	68.8	-
Other fraud .....	34	31	16	51.6	34.1	26	33	16	48.5	26.0	20	37	14	37.8	-
<b>CLASS III</b> .....															
Liquor, Internal	363	41	17	41.5	7.8	316	39	18	46.2	20.0	260	40	18	45.0	16.9
Revenue .....															
<b>CLASS IV</b> .....	629	37	15	40.5	5.2	519	38	17	44.7	16.1	463	38	16	42.1	9.4
Theft .....	253	35	14	40.0	3.9	202	36	16	44.4	15.3	217	37	14	37.8	- 1.8
Postal fraud .....	25	43	23	53.5	-	22	40	17	42.5	-	20	39	18	46.2	-
Forgery .....	351	37	16	43.2	39.0	295	39	17	43.6	13.2	226	39	16	42.1	9.4
<b>CLASS V</b> .....	105	41	12	29.3	-23.9	92	42	13	31.0	-19.5	95	39	14	35.9	- 6.7
Border Registration,	39	54	12	22.2	-42.3	41	48	12	25.0	-35.1	45	45	13	28.9	-24.9
addicts .....															
Assault and homicide ..	21	38	15	39.5	-	12	35	14	40.0	-	12	33	15	45.5	-
Misc. general offenses ..	45	31	12	38.7	0.5	39	38	15	39.5	2.6	38	33	14	43.4	10.2
<b>CLASS VI</b> .....	232	41	14	34.1	-11.4	231	39	13	33.3	-13.5	226	41	13	31.7	-17.6
Counterfeiting .....	21	35	12	34.3	-	15	41	20	48.8	-	16	40	16	40.0	-
Burglary .....	45	36	13	36.1	- 6.2	36	33	15	45.5	18.2	29	35	12	34.3	-10.9
Interstate transporta-															
tion of stolen prop-	117	41	13	31.7	-17.7	115	41	14	34.1	-11.4	99	44	15	34.1	-11.4
erty .....															
Hurlmann .....	32	54	22	40.7	5.7	39	43	11	25.6	-33.5	44	47	14	29.8	-22.6
Selective Service Act ..	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	26	29	4	13.8	-64.1
Other national defense															
laws .....	12	40	11	27.5	-	22	30	8	26.7	-	6	30	5	16.7	-
Sex offenses .....	5	53	18	34.0	-	4	38	9	22.7	-	6	44	30	68.2	-
<b>CLASS VII</b> .....															
Auto-theft .....	576	38	11	38.9	-24.9	504	38	12	31.6	-17.9	505	38	12	31.6	-24.9
<b>CLASS VIII</b> .....	47	47	10	21.3	-44.7	58	46	11	23.9	-37.9	46	47	13	27.7	-28.0
Narcotics .....	33	47	10	21.3	-44.7	48	47	11	23.4	-39.2	35	46	12	26.1	-32.2
Robbery .....	14	46	11	23.9	-	10	42	10	38.5	0.0	11	50	13	26.0	-

<sup>1</sup> Percent above or below total not computed where number of violations is 25 or less.

<sup>2</sup> Classified with other national defense laws.



Table A 19. 89 United States District Courts  
 Persons Removed From Probation Supervision for  
 Violation Showing Original Offense and Type of Violation  
 Fiscal Year 1967

Type of violation and offense	Total violations		Special offenses		Class I		Class II		Class III		Class IV		Class V		Class VI		Class VII		Class VIII	
	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent
TOTAL.....	1,750	100.0	60	100.0	60	100.0	26	100.0	260	100.0	463	100.0	95	100.0	226	100.0	505	100.0	46	100.0
Technical - Total.....	456	26.1	25	36.2	28	46.6	10	38.5	58	22.3	121	26.1	33	34.7	67	29.6	101	20.0	13	28.3
Violation of conditions.....	399	22.8	20	29.0	27	45.0	10	38.5	45	17.3	110	23.2	22	23.2	58	25.7	96	19.0	11	23.9
Use of drugs.....	32	1.8	4	5.8	-	-	-	-	1	0.4	9	1.9	8	8.4	6	2.7	2	0.4	2	4.3
Use of alcohol.....	25	1.4	1	2.9	1	1.6	-	-	12	4.6	2	0.4	3	3.2	3	1.3	3	0.6	-	-
Minor - Total.....	443	25.3	17	24.6	16	26.7	5	19.2	40	15.4	133	28.7	18	18.9	58	25.7	144	28.5	12	26.1
Absconded or wanted for minor offense....	302	17.3	12	17.4	13	21.7	3	11.5	17	6.5	95	20.5	12	12.6	42	18.6	101	20.0	7	15.2
Drunk, disorderly....	28	1.6	-	-	1	1.6	-	-	3	1.2	8	1.7	1	1.1	4	1.8	9	1.8	2	4.3
Petty theft.....	31	1.8	2	2.9	1	1.6	1	3.8	-	-	14	3.0	-	-	4	1.8	9	1.8	-	-
Simple assault.....	8	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	0.8	3	0.6	1	1.1	1	0.4	1	0.2	-	-
Traffic.....	29	1.6	-	-	1	1.6	-	-	14	5.4	2	0.4	2	2.1	1	0.4	9	1.8	-	-
All other.....	45	2.6	3	4.3	-	-	1	3.8	4	1.5	11	2.4	2	2.1	6	2.7	15	3.0	3	6.5
Major - Total.....	851	48.6	27	39.1	16	26.7	11	42.3	162	62.3	209	45.1	44	46.3	101	44.7	260	51.5	21	45.6
Homicide.....	11	0.6	1	1.4	-	-	1	3.8	3	1.2	1	0.2	1	1.1	1	0.4	3	0.6	-	-
Robbery.....	55	3.1	2	2.9	-	-	1	3.8	2	0.8	20	4.3	2	2.1	7	3.1	20	4.0	1	2.2
Assault.....	49	2.8	1	1.4	2	3.3	-	-	12	4.6	17	3.7	2	2.1	4	1.8	11	2.2	-	-
Burglary.....	136	7.8	2	2.9	1	1.6	3	11.5	4	1.5	42	9.1	7	7.4	19	8.4	53	10.5	5	10.9
Larceny, except auto.	96	5.5	2	2.9	2	3.3	1	3.8	7	2.7	43	9.3	5	5.3	8	3.5	25	5.0	3	6.5
Embezzlement and fraud.....	22	1.2	2	2.9	4	6.6	3	11.5	-	-	4	0.9	3	3.2	2	0.9	4	0.8	-	-
Auto theft.....	96	5.5	1	1.4	1	1.6	-	-	-	-	11	2.4	1	1.1	4	1.8	77	15.2	1	2.2
Forgery and counterfeiting.....	103	5.9	1	1.4	2	3.3	-	-	1	0.4	42	9.1	2	2.1	27	11.9	28	5.5	-	-
Sex offenses.....	11	0.6	1	1.4	-	-	1	3.8	-	-	3	0.6	-	-	3	1.3	3	0.6	-	-
Drug laws.....	50	2.9	3	4.3	1	1.6	-	-	-	-	4	0.9	12	12.6	16	7.1	6	1.2	8	17.4
Immigration laws.....	3	0.2	2	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Liquor, Internal Revenue.....	117	6.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	115	44.2	1	0.2	-	-	1	0.4	-	-	-	-
Federal regulatory statutes.....	8	0.4	4	5.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.2	-	-	1	0.4	1	0.2	1	2.2
All other.....	94	5.4	5	7.2	3	5.0	1	3.8	18	6.9	20	4.4	8	8.4	8	3.5	29	5.7	2	4.3

NOTE: Percents not computed where base is 25 or less. Percents may not add to totals due to rounding.

#### IV. PROBATIONERS IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Tables A1 and A2 of this report show the number of probationers received for and removed from supervision in the United States probation office in the District of Columbia during the fiscal year 1967. Figure O below shows the trend for 1962 through 1967 on persons received and removed for probation in the District of Columbia.

From 1963 to 1966 there was a gradual increase in the number of persons placed under probation supervision. In 1967 this trend was reversed. Only 678 persons were placed on probation compared to 697 persons placed under supervision in 1966. The decrease in the number of persons under probation supervision at the end of the year was the direct result of an increase in persons removed from supervision and the decrease in the total number received.

#### The U. S. District Court in the District of Columbia

The United States District Court for the District of Columbia has jurisdiction over violations of the offenses established by the District of Columbia Code as well as jurisdiction over offenses under the Federal criminal statutes. About ninety percent of the defendants appearing in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia are charged with violation of local laws. Probationers in the District of Columbia have been convicted primarily of offenses which fall within the jurisdiction of the state courts in other areas of the country. The District of Columbia's caseload, therefore, is not comparable to the probation caseloads in the 89 United States district courts which have exclusively Federal jurisdiction.

#### Characteristics of the probationers received

There were 275 persons received for probation supervision in the District of Columbia in 1967. This figure excludes persons reinstated to supervision. Table B1 shows the marital status, prior criminal record and educational attainment, by age, for the 275 persons placed on probation by the district court during 1967. These persons received for probation in 1967 were older than those received in 1966. In 1966, 37 percent of the probationers were age 24 and under, while in 1967 only 31 percent were in this age group. The median age of probationers received for supervision rose from 29 to 31 years.

Figure O

United States District Court for the District of Columbia  
Persons Received for and Removed from Probation Supervision  
Fiscal Years 1962 - 1967

Type of Movement	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
Under supervision at the beginning of the year .....	751	678	601	627	682	697
TOTAL RECEIVED .....	279	245	319	324	308	295
Originally received .....	246	235	312	306	294	275
Reinstatements .....	23	10	7	18	14	20
TOTAL REMOVED .....	352	322	289	270	276	306
First removals .....	344	304	274	253	258	295
No violation .....	263	232	206	181	186	223
Percent .....	76.5	76.3	75.2	71.5	72.1	75.6
Violation .....	81	72	63	72	72	72
Percent .....	23.5	23.7	23.0	28.5	27.9	24.4
Technical .....	(1)	(2)	(2)	9	5	21
Minor .....	(1)	33	21	30	29	20
Major .....	(1)	39	42	33	38	31
Percent .....	(1)	12.8	15.3	13.0	14.7	10.5
Second or subsequent removals after reinstatement .....	8	17	15	17	18	11
Under supervision, June 30 .....	678	601	627	682	697	678

<sup>1</sup>Not separately classified.  
<sup>2</sup>Classified with minor.

NOTE: Transfers to and from other districts which would bring the figures into balance are excluded.

Table B 1. United States District Court for the District of Columbia

Age of Probationers Received for Supervision Showing  
Marital Status, Prior Criminal Record, and School Attainment,  
Fiscal Year 1967

Marital status, prior criminal record and last grade completed <sup>1</sup>	Probationers received		Percent age 24 and under	Percent age 25-39	Percent age 40 and over	Age (in years)		
	Number	Percent				10% under age	Median age	10% over age
TOTAL.....	275	100.0	30.9	41.1	28.0	20.8	31.3	53.9
Single.....	100	36.4	67.0	25.0	8.0	20.1	23.0	33.7
Married.....	112	40.7	12.5	53.6	33.9	23.6	34.3	52.6
Divorced or separated....	56	20.4	7.1	48.2	44.7	25.5	37.0	67.4
Widowed.....	7	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL, PRIOR CRIMINAL RECORD REPORTED.....	273		30.8	41.4	27.8	20.8	31.3	53.9
No prior record.....	150		28.7	44.0	27.3	20.8	31.8	53.0
Prior probation.....	36		44.4	27.8	27.8	20.8	25.0	53.4
Prior jail or juvenile....	54		37.0	38.9	24.1	20.4	27.0	56.6
Prior prison.....	33		15.1	48.5	36.4	24.1	35.8	58.7
TOTAL.....	275	100.0	30.9	41.1	28.0	20.8	31.3	53.9
No schooling.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grades 1 - 6.....	35	12.7	5.7	31.4	62.9	25.5	42.0	58.8
" 7 - 8.....	53	19.3	30.2	45.3	24.5	20.3	28.9	56.4
" 9 - 11.....	98	35.6	39.8	40.8	19.4	20.9	25.5	46.1
" 12.....	65	23.6	38.5	41.5	20.0	20.4	26.7	43.5
" 13 - 15.....	17	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
" 16 and over.....	7	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Percent 12th grade and over	-	32.4	32.9	33.6	29.9	-	-	-

<sup>1</sup>Computations not made where base is 25 or less.

Table B 2. United States District Court for the District of Columbia

Probationers Received for Supervision Showing Marital Status, Age, and School Attainment by Offense,  
Fiscal Year 1967

Offense <sup>1</sup>	Total received	Marital Status			Age (in years)				School Attainment	
		Single	Married	Previously married	24 and under	25 - 39	40 and over	Median age	12th grade or more	Median school grade completed
TOTAL.....	275	100	112	63	85	113	77	31.3	89	10.5
PERCENT.....	100.0	36.4	40.7	22.9	30.9	41.1	28.0	-	32.4	-
Gambling.....	43	4	29	10	-	13	30	42.5	10	9.7
Embez. and fraud...	22	6	10	6	4	12	6	-	13	-
Forgery.....	19	5	7	7	6	8	5	-	9	-
Assault.....	40	12	16	12	9	21	10	29.5	8	9.6
Burglary and larceny.....	40	15	18	7	10	22	8	30.0	10	9.3
Auto theft.....	24	17	5	2	16	6	2	-	1	-
Sex offenses.....	7	4	1	2	4	1	2	-	3	-
Robbery.....	29	19	6	4	20	8	1	23.6	11	10.1
Manslaughter.....	10	4	4	2	3	2	5	-	5	-
Narcotics.....	24	12	7	5	8	15	1	-	15	-
All other.....	17	2	9	6	5	5	7	-	4	-

<sup>1</sup>Computations not made where base is 25 or less.

Marital Status

Of the 275 persons received on probation in 1967, 36 percent were unmarried while about 4 out of 10 were married. The number of persons on probation who were previously married accounted for approximately 23 percent. In 1967 the median age of married probationers was 34 years while the median age of single probationers was 23 years.

Almost one-half (45 percent) of the persons received for probation in 1967 in the District of Columbia had a prior criminal record. Twelve percent of the probationers had a prior prison record. The median age of such persons was 36 years compared with 32 years for probationers without any prior record.

Educational Attainment

Of the 275 probationers received in the District of Columbia, only one out of three had completed high school. In 1966, high school graduates accounted for only 26 percent of the probationers. Thirty-three percent of the probationers received in 1967 who were under 25 years of age had completed high school. Almost thirty-four percent of those aged 24-39 years had at least a high school education. Seven out of ten probationers had completed the ninth grade.

Offense Categories

Table B2 shows the offense categories for persons received for probation supervision. As noted above because of the unusual nature of the jurisdiction of the United States district court in the nation's capital, the offense categories are similar to those of state jurisdictions. Gamblers made up the greatest number of persons received on probation in 1967, 16 percent. The second largest group consisted of persons convicted for burglary and larceny, 14.5 percent. In the previous year this group made up 23 percent of all probationers received. The proportion of probationers received who were convicted of robbery rose from 5 percent to 11 percent. Also in 1967 there was an increase in probationers received who had been convicted of narcotic offenses, 5 to 9 percent. Among the narcotic offenders, 63 percent had a high school education. Fifty-nine percent of the embezzlers and 47 percent of the forgers finished high school. The overall median years of formal education was 10.5 in 1967, compared with 10.1 years of education in 1966.

Table B 3. United States District Court for the District of Columbia

Probationers Received for Supervision by Offense and Term of Supervision, Fiscal Year 1967

Offense and prior criminal record	Total	Term of Supervision (in months)			
		1-12	13-24	25-36	37-60
TOTAL.....	275	18	57	149	51
Percent.....	100.0	6.5	20.7	54.2	18.5
OFFENSE					
Gambling.....	43	2	14	26	1
Embezzlement and fraud.....	22	1	3	14	4
Forgery.....	19	-	1	13	5
Assault.....	40	4	11	16	9
Burglary and larceny.....	40	7	8	16	9
Auto theft.....	24	3	4	16	1
Sex offenses.....	7	-	1	5	1
Robbery.....	29	-	2	17	10
Manslaughter.....	10	-	1	3	6
Narcotics.....	24	-	7	15	2
All other.....	17	1	5	8	3
-----					
PRIOR RECORD					
TOTAL.....	275	18	57	149	51
Prior record not reported.....	2	-	-	1	1
TOTAL - PRIOR RECORD REPORTED.	273	18	57	148	50
No prior record.	150	9	37	81	23
Percent <sup>1</sup> .....	54.9	-	64.9	54.7	46.0
TOTAL - WITH PRIOR RECORD.....	123	9	20	67	27
Probation <sup>2</sup> .....	36	2	7	20	7
Jail or juvenile Prior prison....	54 33	4 3	9 4	30 17	11 9

<sup>1</sup>Percent not computed where base is 25 or less.

<sup>2</sup>Includes suspended sentence and fines.

Table B 4. United States District Court for the District of Columbia

Probationers Received for Supervision by Offense and  
Prior Criminal Record, Fiscal Year 1967

Offense	Total	Prior record not reported	Prior Record Reported				
			Total	No record	Less than Prison		Prison
					Prob- <sup>1</sup> ation	Jail or juvenile	
TOTAL .....	294	2	273	150	36	54	33
PERCENT .....	-	-	100.0	54.9	13.2	39.8	12.1
Gambling .....	43	-	43	27	7	5	4
Embez. and fraud ..	22	-	22	17	3	-	2
Forgery .....	19	-	19	12	-	2	5
Assault .....	40	1	39	17	7	11	4
Burglary and larceny .....	40	1	39	19	6	5	9
Auto theft .....	24	-	24	7	4	12	1
Sex offenses .....	7	-	7	3	1	1	2
Robbery .....	29	-	29	16	3	7	3
Manslaughter .....	10	-	10	8	-	2	-
Narcotics .....	24	-	24	15	1	7	1
All other .....	17	-	17	9	4	2	2

<sup>1</sup> Includes suspended sentence and fines.

Prior Criminal Record

Table B3 shows the prior criminal record, the offense, and the length of the term of supervision of the probationers received in 1967. Fifty-five percent of the probationers had no prior criminal record; twelve percent had prior prison records. As in 1966, approximately eighty-one percent of the probationers received had supervision terms imposed of 36 months or less. In 1967 half of the probationers with supervision terms of less than twelve months had no prior record. Sixty-five percent of the probationers with terms of 13-24 months were in the same category. This compares with 43 percent in 1966. Fifty-five percent of the probationers with terms of 25 to 36 months and forty-six percent of the probationers with terms of 37 to 60 months had no prior record. In 1966, fifty-three percent of the probationers under supervision for 37-60 months had no prior criminal record.

Table B4 shows the prior criminal record, by offense, of probationers received for supervision. Sixty-three percent of the forty-three gamblers placed on probation had no prior record. In this same group, nine percent had prison records. Of the forty probationers convicted of burglary and larceny, forty-nine percent had no prior record compared with twenty-nine percent in 1966. Fifty-six percent of the probationers received in 1967 who had been convicted of assault had prior records, but only ten percent had served prison sentences.

Figure P shows the characteristics of probationers received for supervision in the Federal probation office for the United States District Court for the District of Columbia during the fiscal years 1965 to 1967.

Probationers Removed from Supervision

As shown in Chart 3, the number of probationers removed from supervision who did not violate probation varied for the years 1962 to 1967, but the number of violators remained almost the same. Excluding the probationers who were reinstated to supervision and subsequently removed from supervision, only twenty-four percent violated probation in 1967 compared with twenty-eight percent who violated in 1968.

Figure P

United States District Court for the District of Columbia

Probationers Received for Supervision by Sex, Age, Marital Status, Prior Criminal Record and School Attainment, Fiscal Years 1965 to 1967

Characteristics	Fiscal year		
	1965	1966	1967
Number .....	306	294	275
Percent-Male .....	87.3	86.1	88.0
Age - Percent .....	100.0	100.0	100.0
24 years and under .....	35.3	37.1	30.9
25 - 39 years .....	41.7	38.4	41.1
40 and over .....	23.0	24.5	28.0
Median age (in years) .....	28.9	29.0	31.3
Marital status - Percent .....	100.0	100.0	100.0
Single .....	39.2	40.5	36.4
Married .....	33.0	35.7	40.7
Divorced or separated .....	25.5	22.8	20.4
Widowed .....	2.3	1.0	2.5
Prior criminal record - Percent .....	100.0	100.0	100.0
No record .....	44.2	47.1	54.9
Minor record <sup>1</sup> .....	48.9	38.5	33.0
Major record <sup>2</sup> .....	6.9	14.4	12.1
School attainment			
Percent - 12th grade and over .....	21.6	25.9	32.4
Median school grade completed .....	10.1	10.0	10.5

<sup>1</sup> Includes any previous commitment to an institution of under one year of confinement, any commitment under juvenile delinquency procedure, probation, suspended sentence or fine.


<sup>2</sup> Includes any previous commitment of over one year to confinement.

CHART 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

PROBATIONERS REMOVED FROM SUPERVISION WITH NO VIOLATION AND WITH VIOLATION

FISCAL YEARS 1962-1967



ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

Table B 5

United States District Court for the District of Columbia  
 Probationers Removed from Supervision by Offense,  
 Fiscal Year 1967

Offense	Total removed	No violation	With Violation			
			Total	Technical	Minor	Major
TOTAL.....	295	223	72	21	20	31
Percent.....	100.0	75.6	24.4	7.1	6.8	10.5
Gambling.....	38	38	-	-	-	-
Embezzlement and fraud.....	33	31	2	-	2	-
Forgery.....	26	18	8	4	3	1
Assault.....	27	22	5	2	2	1
Burglary and larceny.....	67	36	31	10	6	15
Auto theft.....	29	19	10	3	2	5
Sex offenses.....	7	6	1	-	-	1
M obery.....	13	8	5	1	2	2
Manslaughter.....	4	3	1	-	1	-
Narcotics.....	10	3	7	1	-	6
All other.....	41	39	2	-	2	-

Violation Rate

Two groups of probationers removed from supervision are shown in Tables B 5 and B 6, those who violated and those who did not violate their probation. Of the 295 probationers removed from supervision in 1967, 75.6 percent were reported with no violation. This compares with 72.1 percent in 1966. None of the thirty-eight probationers convicted of gambling violated probation. The violation rate for persons convicted of burglary and larceny was 46.3 percent, while 34.5 percent of those convicted of auto theft were removed from supervision for violation. Only two of the 33 probationers convicted for embezzlement and fraud violated their probation.

Term of Supervision

Table B 6 shows the length of probation terms and the actual period under supervision for persons removed from supervision during 1967. Of all persons under supervision with terms of twelve months or less, 82.9 percent completed probation without violation. Seventy-five percent of the probationers with terms from 13-24 months were removed without violation. Seventy-six percent of the probationers with terms of 25-36 months did not violate, which was a marked increase compared with 65 percent in 1966. In both years, approximately 69 percent of the probationers with 37-60 month terms did not violate.

Major violations accounted for 10.5 percent of the 295 persons removed from supervision in 1967, a substantial drop when compared with 15 percent of the removals for major violations in 1966. The greatest proportion of major violations occurred in the group of probationers serving 37-60 month terms, 42 percent.

Figure 0 presents a six-year summary of the probationers removed from supervision with and without violation. About seventy-six percent of all probationers from 1962 through 1967 did not violate supervision. The figure dropped slightly in 1965 and 1966, but rose again to almost 76 percent in 1967.

V. United States Commissioner Probation

United States commissioners may be authorized by the court to try and sentence persons charged with petty offenses which occur on Federal enclaves. Areas under the jurisdiction of United States commissioners include military establishments, Federal highways, and national parks. Most of the offenses which come before United States commissioners consist of traffic violations and petty theft.



Table B 6

United States District Court for the District of Columbia  
 Probationers Removed from Supervision by Length of Original Term,  
 Fiscal Year 1967

Length of Term (in mos.)	Total removed	No Violation		With Violation							
				Total		Technical		Minor		Major	
		Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent	Num- ber	Per- cent
TOTAL .....	295	223	75.6	72	24.4	21	7.1	20	6.8	31	10.5
12 and under ..	35	29	82.9	6	17.1	3	8.6	-	-	3	8.6
13-24 .....	69	52	75.4	17	24.6	7	10.1	2	2.9	8	11.6
25-36 .....	143	109	76.2	34	23.8	7	4.9	14	9.8	13	9.1
37-60 .....	48	33	68.8	15	31.3	4	8.3	4	8.3	7	14.6
PERCENT .....	100.0	100.0	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-
12 and under ..	11.9	13.0	-	8.3	-	-	-	-	-	9.7	-
13-24 .....	23.4	23.3	-	23.6	-	-	-	-	-	25.8	-
25-36 .....	48.5	48.9	-	47.2	-	-	-	-	-	41.9	-
37-60 .....	16.3	14.8	-	20.8	-	-	-	-	-	22.6	-

NOTE: Percents may not add to totals due to rounding. Percent not shown where base is 25 or less.

The Federal Probation Act authorizes United States commissioners to impose probation under the supervision of the United States probation officers. Appendix Table E 1 shows the 32 districts where United States commissioners placed 266 persons under probation supervision in 1967. The total number of persons under probation supervision by order of a United States commissioner was 213 as of June 30, 1967.

#### Probationers Received for Supervision

There were 261 persons originally placed on probation by United States commissioners in 1967 and there were five reinstatements. Table C 1 provides information concerning marital status, prior criminal record and educational attainment of the 261 probationers. The median age for persons placed under supervision was 23.2 years compared to 24.5 years in 1966. Sixty percent of the probationers were under twenty-four years of age while twenty-two percent were aged twenty-five to thirty-nine.

#### Marital Status

Nearly six out of ten persons received for United States commissioner probation were single. The median age for unmarried probationers was twenty years, as compared to thirty-three years for married persons. Only eight percent of the probationers were previously married, a drop from the thirteen percent recorded in 1966.

#### Prior Criminal Record

Where a prior criminal record was reported, sixty-eight percent of the probationers had no prior record. In the previous year, only fifty-nine percent were in this category. Almost half of those with criminal records in 1967 had already served terms of probation.

#### Educational Attainment

Of the 261 probationers received for supervision, thirty-five percent had at least a high school education, whereas in 1966 only 27 percent of such persons had completed high school. As in the previous year about 68 percent had at least a ninth grade education.

Table C 1. United States Commissioner's Probation

Age of Persons Placed on Probation Supervision Showing Marital Status,  
Prior Criminal Record and School Attainment,  
Fiscal Year 1967

Marital status, prior criminal record and last grade completed	Persons received		Percent age 24 and under	Percent age 25 - 39	Percent age 40 and over	Age (in years)		
	Number	Percent				10% under age	Median age	10% over age
TOTAL.....	261	100.0	60.3	21.8	17.9	18.6	23.2	48.8
Single.....	154	59.0	86.2	9.0	4.8	17.6	20.4	30.9
Married.....	83	31.8	27.8	36.1	36.1	21.2	33.0	56.1
Divorced or separated..	22	8.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Widowed <sup>1</sup> .....	2	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL, Prior criminal record reported.....	141	100.0	62.4	20.6	17.0	18.6	23.2	48.8
No prior record.....	96	68.1	66.7	19.8	13.5	17.2	21.9	45.7
Total with prior record	45	31.9	53.3	22.2	24.5	-	-	-
Prior probation <sup>1</sup> .....	21	14.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior jail or juvenile <sup>1</sup> .....	18	12.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior prison <sup>1</sup> .....	6	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL.....	261	100.0	60.3	21.8	17.9	18.6	23.2	48.8
No schooling.....	2	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grades 1 - 6 <sup>1</sup> .....	38	14.6	51.6	19.4	29.0	14.9	27.5	57.1
" 7 - 8.....	44	16.9	61.1	8.3	30.6	17.3	21.4	49.2
" 9 - 11.....	85	32.4	70.1	17.9	12.0	18.5	22.2	43.5
" 12.....	69	26.3	66.1	25.0	8.9	19.4	23.2	39.4
" 13 - 15 <sup>1</sup> .....	23	8.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
" 16 and over.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Percent 12th grade and over.....	-	35.2	29.6	36.4	22.2	-	-	-

<sup>1</sup> Computations not made where base is 25 or less.

- 74 -

Table C 2.

United States Commissioner's Probation  
 Persons Placed on Probation Supervision by Offense,  
 Prior Criminal Record and Length of Term,  
 Fiscal Year 1967

Offense and prior record	Total received	Length of Supervision (in months)								
		1-6	7-11	12 only	13-23	24 only	25-35	36 only	37-59	60 only
OFFENSE										
TOTAL .....	261	125	14	82	6	23	-	7	1	3
Miscellaneous Federal reg- ulatory statutes .....	43	28	2	6	-	3	-	3	1	-
Other fraud .....	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
Theft .....	72	32	3	27	1	5	-	3	-	1
Forgery .....	5	1	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Assault .....	13	3	-	2	1	6	-	-	-	1
Misc. general offenses ....	68	34	9	15	3	5	-	1	-	1
Burglary .....	3	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Selective Service Act .....	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other national defense laws	44	23	-	17	1	3	-	-	-	-
Sex offenses .....	9	3	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Auto theft .....	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
-----										
PRIOR RECORD										
TOTAL .....	261	125	14	82	6	23	-	7	1	3
Prior record not reported .	120	70	2	31	5	9	-	1	1	1
<u>TOTAL PRIOR RECORD REPORTED</u>	141	55	12	51	1	14	-	6	-	2
No prior record .....	96	37	12	33	1	10	-	2	-	1
Percent <sup>1</sup> .....	68.1	67.3	-	64.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL - WITH PRIOR RECORD .....	45	18	-	18	-	4	-	4	-	1
Probation <sup>2</sup> .....	21	10	-	7	-	2	-	2	-	-
Jail or juvenile .....	18	4	-	10	-	1	-	2	-	1
Prior prison .....	6	4	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-

<sup>1</sup> Percent not computed where base is 25 or less.

<sup>2</sup> Includes suspended sentence and fines.

Offense Categories

Table C 2 shows the length of the terms of supervision by offense and prior record. Half of the total number of persons under supervision were placed on probation for either theft (28 percent) or miscellaneous general offenses (25 percent). Traffic offenders fall in this latter category.

Term of Supervision

Almost half of the United States commissioner probationers received terms of six months or less. Probation terms of one year or less accounted for 85 percent of the sentences in 1967. In 1966, only 76 percent of the persons placed on probation by United States commissioners received terms of less than one year. Three persons out of 261 received 60 month sentences in 1967 as compared with 7 out of 263 in 1966.

Figure Q gives a three year summary of the major characteristics of persons received from United States commissioners for probation supervision.

Probationers Removed from Supervision

Tables C 3 and C 4, respectively, show probationers removed from supervision by original offense and length of the term of probation. The trend in the use of probation by United States commissioners for the years 1962 through 1967 is shown in Figure R. Chart 4 provides a comparison of violators and non-violators for these same years.

Rate of Violation

Of all persons under United States commissioner probation, 91 percent did not violate their supervision as compared to 88 percent in 1966. As shown in Table C 3, 88 percent of the probationers convicted of larceny and theft did not violate; while 93 percent of those convicted of miscellaneous general offenses completed supervision without violation.

Table C 4 shows that of the 213 persons with probation terms of 1 to 12 months, 94 percent did not violate. In 1966, 90 percent of the same group were nonviolators. Eighty-one percent of the persons under supervision with 13 to 24 month terms did not violate their probation in 1967.

Figure Q

U.S. Commissioner Probation Received for Supervision by Sex, Age, Marital Status, Prior Criminal Record and School Attainment Fiscal Years 1965 to 1967

Characteristics	Fiscal Year		
	1965	1966	1967
Number.....	252	263	261
Percent-Male.....	87.3	91.6	88.1
Age - Percent.....	100.0	100.0	100.0
24 years & under.....	43.7	52.1	60.3
25 - 39 years.....	32.5	27.8	21.8
40 and over.....	23.8	20.1	17.9
Median age (in years).....	27.7	24.5	23.2
Marital status - Percent.....	100.0	100.0	100.0
Single.....	52.0	54.0	59.0
Married.....	34.1	32.3	31.8
Divorced or separated.....	13.1	12.9	8.4
Widowed.....	0.8	0.8	0.8
Prior criminal record - Percent.....	100.0	100.0	100.0
No record.....	46.9	59.4	68.1
Minor record <sup>1</sup> .....	48.5	39.0	27.7
Major record <sup>2</sup> .....	4.6	1.6	4.2
School attainment			
Percent - 12th grade and over.....	29.4	27.3	35.2
Median school grade completed.....	10.6	10.5	10.7

<sup>1</sup>Includes any previous commitment to an institution of under one year to confinement, any commitment under juvenile delinquency procedure, probation, suspended sentence or fine.

<sup>2</sup>Includes any previous commitment of over one year to confinement.

Table C 3.

## United States Commissioner's Probation

Persons Removed from Probation Supervision by Offense,  
Fiscal Year 1967

Offense	Total removed	No violation	With violation			
			Total	Technical	Minor	Major
TOTAL.....	263	239	24	11	7	6
Percent.....	100.0	90.9	9.1	4.2	2.7	2.3
Federal regulatory statutes.....	38	36	2	-	1	1
Embezzlement.....	1	1	-	-	-	-
Other fraud.....	4	4	-	-	-	-
Larceny and theft.....	77	68	9	4	1	4
Forgery.....	5	4	1	-	1	-
Assault.....	18	14	4	3	-	1
Misc. general offenses.....	74	69	5	2	3	-
Burglary.....	2	2	-	-	-	-
Selective Service Act.....	1	1	-	-	-	-
Other national defense laws.....	35	33	2	1	1	-
Sex offenses.....	7	6	1	1	-	-
Auto theft.....	1	1	-	-	-	-

Table C 4.

## United States Commissioner's Probation

Persons Removed from Probation Supervision by Length of Original Term,  
Fiscal Year 1967

Length of term (in months)	Total removed	No violation		With violation							
				Total		Technical		Minor		Major	
		Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
TOTAL .....	263	239	90.9	24	9.1	11	4.2	7	2.7	6	2.3
12 and under ..	213	200	93.9	13	6.1	6	2.8	5	2.3	2	0.9
13-24 .....	37	30	81.1	7	18.9	3	8.1	1	2.7	3	8.1
25-36 .....	11	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
37-60 .....	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PERCENT .....	100.0	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12 and under ..	81.0	83.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13-24 .....	14.1	12.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25-36 .....	4.2	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
37-60 .....	0.5	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

NOTE: Percent not shown where base is 25 or less.



Figure R

United States Commissioner's Probation  
 Persons Received for and Removed from Supervision,  
 Fiscal Years 1962 - 1967

Type of Movement	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
Under supervision at the beginning of the year .....	151	212	197	212	209	221
TOTAL RECEIVED .....	224	286	298	257	270	266
Originally received .....	223	283	295	252	263	261
Reinstatements .....	1	3	3	5	7	5
TOTAL REMOVED .....	163	301	283	260	258	274
First removals .....	163	298	282	254	247	263
No violation .....	142	255	262	231	217	239
Percent .....	87.1	85.6	92.9	90.9	87.9	90.9
Violation .....	21	43	20	23	30	24
Percent .....	12.9	16.9	7.1	9.1	12.1	9.1
Technical .....	( <sup>1</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	2	7	5	11
Minor .....	( <sup>1</sup> )	31	14	8	11	7
Major .....	( <sup>1</sup> )	12	6	8	14	6
Percent .....	-	4.0	2.1	3.1	5.7	2.3
Second or subsequent removals after reinstatement .....	-	3	1	6	11	11
Under supervision, June 30 .....	212	197	212	209	221	213

<sup>1</sup> Not separately classified.

<sup>2</sup> Classified with minor.


NOTE: Transfers are excluded.

CHART 4

UNITED STATES COMMISSIONER'S PROBATION

PERSONS REMOVED FROM SUPERVISION  
 WITH NO VIOLATION AND WITH VIOLATION

FISCAL YEARS 1962-1967



ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

Table C 5. Deferred Prosecution

Age of Persons Placed on Supervision Showing Marital Status,  
Prior Criminal Record and School Attainment  
Fiscal Year 1967

Marital status, prior criminal record and last grade completed	Persons received		Percent age 24 and under	Percent age 25 - 39	Percent age 40 and over	Age (in years)		
	Number	Percent				10% under age	Median age	10% over age
TOTAL.....	521	100.0	97.3	1.7	1.0	15.6	18.1	20.5
Single.....	486	93.3	99.0	0.8	0.2	15.6	18.0	19.9
Married <sup>1</sup> .....	30	5.7	80.0	10.0	10.0	17.0	20.7	38.0
Divorced or separated <sup>1</sup> .....	4	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Widowed <sup>1</sup> .....	1	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL, Prior criminal record reported.....	479	100.0	97.3	1.7	1.0	15.6	18.1	20.5
No prior record.....	415	86.6	98.1	1.5	0.5	15.6	18.0	20.2
Total with prior record.....	64	13.4	95.4	1.5	3.1	-	-	-
Prior probation.....	53	11.1	94.3	1.9	3.8	17.0	18.9	23.7
Prior jail or juvenile <sup>1</sup> .....	11	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior prison.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL.....	521	100.0	97.3	1.7	1.0	15.6	18.1	20.5
No schooling.....	1	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grades 1 - 6.....	30	5.7	92.6	7.4	-	11.8	15.6	20.3
" 7 - 8.....	107	20.5	98.0	1.0	1.0	15.0	16.9	19.5
" 9 - 11.....	292	56.0	98.6	0.7	0.7	16.2	18.0	19.8
" 12.....	78	15.1	95.8	2.8	1.4	18.3	19.7	23.3
" 13 - 15 <sup>1</sup> .....	10	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
" 16 and over <sup>1</sup> .....	3	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Percent 12th grade and over.....	-	17.6	17.2	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>1</sup>Computations not made where base is 25 or less.

Figure S

## Deferred Prosecution

Persons Received for and Removed from Supervision,  
Fiscal Years 1962 - 1967

<u>Type of Movement</u>	<u>1962</u>	<u>1963</u>	<u>1964</u>	<u>1965</u>	<u>1966</u>	<u>1967</u>
Under supervision, at the beginning of the year .....	488	528	512	536	524	556
TOTAL RECEIVED .....	386	378	441	449	486	521
Originally received	386	378	439	448	483	520
Reinstatements .....	-	-	2	1	3	1
TOTAL REMOVED .....	346	394	418	461	454	524
First removals .....	345	388	402	457	451	516
No violation .....	311	357	374	417	423	494
Percent .....	89.9	90.6	93.0	91.2	93.8	95.7
Violation .....	34	31	26	40	23	22
Percent .....	9.9	8.7	7.0	8.8	5.1	4.3
Technical .....	( <sup>1</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	11	9	9
Minor .....	( <sup>1</sup> )	10	5	-	4	-
Major .....	( <sup>1</sup> )	21	21	29	10	13
Percent .....	-	5.4	5.2	6.3	2.2	2.5
Second or subse- quent removals after reinstate- ment .....	1	6	16	4	3	8
Under supervision, June 30 .....	528	512	536	524	556	553

<sup>1</sup> Not separately classified.

<sup>2</sup> Classified with minor.

NOTE: Excludes transfers.

VI. Deferred Prosecution

Occasionally persons charged with federal offenses are placed in a deferred prosecution status by the United States Attorney. Under this procedure, a probation officer supervises the offender for a limited period during which no criminal prosecution is instituted. The offender, or his parents, must consent to supervision by the probation office. Deferred prosecution was introduced into the Federal judicial system primarily for handling juvenile cases in which criminal prosecution seemed undersirable. Generally young adults and occasionally older offenders are dealt with in this manner.

Figure S below shows the trend in the number of deferred prosecution cases. There has been an increasing number of persons received for supervision under deferred prosecution from 1963 to 1967. Five hundred twenty-one offenders were received in 67 districts in 1967. As of June 30, 1967, there were 553 persons under supervision.

Table C 5 indicates the marital status, prior criminal record and scholastic attainment of persons received for supervision under deferred prosecution. Ninety-seven percent of the persons received were 24 years of age and under. The median age was eighteen years.

Marital Status

Because of the great number of young people under deferred prosecution supervision, 93 percent of the persons under such supervision were single. Less than one percent had been previously married. The median age for unmarried persons was eighteen years while the median age for married probationers was twenty-one years.

Prior Record

Of the 521 persons received for supervision, 87 percent had no previous criminal record as compared with 89 percent in 1966. Eleven percent had been on probation, but only two percent of the offenders had prior jail or juvenile records. None of the probationers had ever served prison sentences. The median age of the persons under supervision with a prior probation record was 19 years.

Table C 6.

Deferred Prosecution  
Persons Placed on Supervision by Offense, Prior Criminal Record and Length of Term, Fiscal Year 1967

Offense and prior record	Total received	Length of Supervision (in months)								
		1-6	7-11	12 only	13-23	24 only	25-36	36 only	37-59	60 only
OFFENSE CHARGED										
TOTAL .....	521	81	17	256	108	49	-	6	2	2
Immigration laws .. Federal regulatory statutes .....	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Embezzlement .....	137	34	3	72	19	9	-	-	-	-
Obscene mail .....	43	6	1	27	8	-	-	-	1	-
Other fraud .....	16	1	-	5	8	2	-	-	-	-
Liquor, Internal Revenue .....	4	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
Theft .....	21	-	5	9	3	3	-	1	-	-
Postal fraud .....	93	23	6	34	18	10	-	-	-	2
Forgery .....	5	-	-	2	3	-	-	-	-	-
Miscellaneous general offenses .....	48	8	-	29	6	5	-	-	-	-
Counterfeiting ....	16	2	-	4	10	-	-	-	-	-
Burglary .....	11	-	2	8	1	-	-	-	-	-
Interstate transportation of stolen property..	35	2	-	18	10	5	-	-	-	-
Marihuana .....	6	3	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-
Selective Service Act .....	10	-	-	2	5	3	-	-	-	-
Other national defense laws ....	7	1	-	3	-	1	-	2	-	-
Auto theft .....	4	-	-	1	3	-	-	-	-	-
Robbery .....	62	1	-	39	11	7	-	3	1	-
-----	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
PRIOR RECORD										
TOTAL .....	521	81	17	256	108	49	-	6	2	2
Prior record not reported .....	42	2	-	24	9	5	-	-	2	-
TOTAL PRIOR RECORD REPORTED .....	479	79	17	232	99	44	-	6	-	2
No prior record ...	415	77	14	196	83	38	-	5	-	2
Percent <sup>1</sup> .....	86.6	97.5	-	84.5	83.8	86.4	-	-	-	-
TOTAL - WITH PRIOR RECORD .....	64	2	3	36	16	6	-	1	-	-
Probation <sup>2</sup> .....	53	2	2	32	11	5	-	1	-	-
Jail or juvenile ..	11	-	1	4	5	1	-	-	-	-
Prior prison record	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>1</sup> Percent not computed where base is 25 or less.  
<sup>2</sup> Includes suspended sentence and fines.

Table C 7.

## Deferred Prosecution

Persons Removed From Supervision by Offense  
Fiscal Year 1967

OFFENSE CHARGED	Total removed	No violation	With violation			
			Total	Technical	Minor	Major
TOTAL.....	516	494	22	9	-	13
Percent.....	100.0	95.7	4.3	1.8	-	2.5
Federal regulatory statutes.....	102	102	-	-	-	-
Embezzlement.....	26	26	-	-	-	-
Obscene mail.....	14	14	-	-	-	-
Liquor, Internal Revenue.....	11	10	1	-	-	1
Larceny and theft.	133	128	5	2	-	3
Postal fraud.....	8	7	1	1	-	-
Forgery.....	46	43	3	1	-	2
Assault.....	8	8	-	-	-	-
Misc. general offenses.....	13	13	-	-	-	-
Counterfeiting....	9	9	-	-	-	-
Burglary.....	23	20	3	1	-	2
Interstate transportation of stolen property.	7	7	-	-	-	-
Marihuana.....	6	6	-	-	-	-
Selective Service Act.....	6	6	-	-	-	-
Other national defense laws....	3	3	-	-	-	-
Sex offenses.....	3	3	-	-	-	-
Auto theft.....	96	87	9	4	-	5
Robbery.....	2	2	-	-	-	-

Educational Attainment

Almost eighteen percent of the probationers received for supervision in 1967 had completed high school as compared to 14 percent in 1966. This year, 74 percent had at least a ninth grade education. In 1966 this figure was only sixty-nine percent.

Offense Categories

Over one-half of the cases under deferred prosecution involved auto theft, larceny and theft, or the violation of Federal regulatory statutes. One hundred and thirty-seven persons, or 26 percent were charged with violating Federal regulatory statutes. Eighteen percent were charged with theft as compared to thirty percent in 1966.

Figure T provides a summary of the pertinent data on deferred prosecution cases.

Term of Supervision

Table C 6 shows that sixty-eight percent of the persons placed in deferred prosecution status in 1967 were to be supervised for one year or less. In 1966 only 57 percent received terms of one year or less. Of the 415 persons received who did not have a prior record, twenty-two percent were sentenced to less than one year of supervision. Among persons with prior records, only eight percent were given comparable terms.

Violation Rate

Tables C 7 and C 8 show the violation rate for persons removed from deferred prosecution supervision. Ninety-six percent completed their periods of supervision without violation. Only 2.5 percent were removed with major violations. As shown in Table C 7, there were no violators among persons charged with violating Federal regulatory statutes. Only nine out of ninety-six auto thieves violated their supervision. Persons charged with larceny and theft made up 23 percent of the violators.

Chart 5 illustrates the number of persons placed under deferred prosecution supervision during the fiscal years 1962 to 1967 who completed their supervision successfully. It can be seen that the number of persons completing their supervision under deferred prosecution without violation has

continued to increase and that the number of violations has continued to decline. (See Figure T.)

Figure T

Deferred Prosecution Cases Received for Supervision by Sex, Age, Marital Status, Prior Criminal Record and School Attainment  
Fiscal Years 1965 to 1967

Characteristics	Fiscal Year		
	1965	1966	1967
Number.....	448	483	521
Percent-Male.....	93.5	92.1	88.9
Age - Percent.....	100.0	100.0	100.0
24 years & under.....	99.3	98.8	97.3
25 - 39 years.....	0.5	0.8	1.7
40 and over.....	0.2	0.4	1.0
Median age (in years).....	17.9	18.0	18.1
Marital status - Percent.....	100.0	100.0	100.0
Single.....	96.7	94.4	93.3
Married.....	3.1	3.9	5.7
Divorced or separated.....	0.2	1.5	0.8
Widowed.....	-	0.2	0.2
Prior criminal record - Percent.....	8.6	10.9	13.4
No record.....	91.4	89.1	86.6
Minor record <sup>1</sup> .....	8.1	10.9	13.4
Major record <sup>2</sup> .....	0.5	-	-
School attainment			
Percent - 12th grade and over.....	11.2	13.7	17.6
Median school grade completed.....	10.1	10.3	10.4

<sup>1</sup>Includes any previous commitment to an institution of under one year to confinement, any commitment under juvenile delinquency procedure, probation, suspended sentence or fine.

<sup>2</sup>Includes any previous commitment of over one year to confinement.

Table C 8.

## Deferred Prosecution

Persons Removed from Supervision by Length of Original Term,  
Fiscal Year 1967

Length of term (in months)	Total removed	No violation		With violation							
				Total		Technical		Minor		Major	
		Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
TOTAL .....	516	494	95.7	22	4.3	9	1.7	-	-	13	2.5
12 and under .....	281	273	97.2	8	2.8	3	1.1	-	-	5	1.8
13-24 .....	205	194	94.6	11	5.4	3	1.5	-	-	8	3.9
25-36 .....	24	23	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
37-50 .....	6	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PERCENT .....	100.0	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12 and under .....	54.4	55.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13-24 .....	39.7	39.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25-36 .....	4.7	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
37-60 .....	1.2	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

NOTE: Percent not shown where base is 25 or less.




CHART 5

**DEFERRED PROSECUTION**

**PERSONS REMOVED FROM SUPERVISION  
WITH NO VIOLATION AND WITH VIOLATION**

FISCAL YEARS 1962-1967



ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

**PART II - PAROLE AND MANDATORY RELEASE**

**I. PERSONS RECEIVED FOR SUPERVISION**

Federal prisoners may be released from confinement prior to the expiration of their terms of imprisonment in two ways; by parole and by mandatory release. The greatest proportion so released have served terms of confinement in Federal correctional institutions operated by the United States Department of Justice. A smaller group comprises persons originally sentenced by military courts who are released on parole or mandatory release in the community. This report does not deal with persons who have completed the full period of confinement and are released directly into the community without any supervision.

**Parole**

Persons sentenced under the regular sentencing provisions of Federal law are eligible for parole supervision after completion of one-third of their original sentences. Those who are sentenced under the indeterminate sentence provisions of law may be paroled at such time as the United States Board of Parole may determine, 18 U.S.C. 4208(a)(2), or after the expiration of the minimum time in custody fixed by the court, 18 U.S.C. 4208(a)(1). Sentences of youth offenders (ages 18 to 24 years) under the Youth Corrections Act and sentences of juveniles proceeded against under the Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act are also indeterminate and the Board of Parole may act at any time. The Youth Corrections Act requires that at least two years of a maximum six year sentence be served under parole supervision. The Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act allows persons under eighteen years of age to be placed in the custody of the Attorney General and committed to an appropriate institution. Commitments are for a definite period of time not to exceed either the juvenile's twenty-first birthday or the maximum sentence permitted by law for the particular crime.

**Mandatory Release**

A prisoner is eligible for mandatory release when he has served his full term of imprisonment less "good time" allowances. If he has earned over one-hundred eighty days of good time credits he is released to the supervision of the probation officer for that period of his good-time which exceeds 180 days. Persons released from prison under mandatory release usually serve longer terms of confinement, but have shorter periods of supervision that do persons released on parole.

### Military Parole and Mandatory Release

As indicated above the Federal probation system also supervises a small group of persons sentenced to prison by military courts and subsequently placed on parole or mandatory release. Confinement may be in either a military correctional facility or an institution administered by the Federal Bureau of Prisons. Military parolees are released from military institutions under the authority of the Department of Defense. The United States Board of Parole determines the release of military prisoners confined in Federal prison institutions.

#### Persons Received for Supervision

Table D 1 shows the number of persons received for parole and mandatory release supervision and the number removed from supervision in 1967. Of the 9,233 persons received for supervision, 67 percent were parolees, 31 percent were mandatory releasees, and only 2 percent were military prisoners released on parole or mandatory release. Parolees far outnumbered persons receiving a mandatory release in 1967 and the proportion was even higher than it was in 1966.

In the fiscal year 1967, eighty-seven percent of the 9,233 persons received for supervision had been released into the community for supervision for the first time on their current sentence. Re-releasees who had violated the conditions of parole during their original release into the community and were subsequently returned to prison comprised ten percent of those received for supervision. Offenders reinstated to supervision after violation but without serving an additional period of confinement, comprised three percent of those received for supervision.

There was an overall 2.3 percent increase in persons received for parole and mandatory release supervision in 1967 over those received in 1966 - 9,233 in 1967; 9,029 in 1966. There was a six percent decrease in the number of re-releases and a two percent decrease in the number of reinstatements received in 1967. However, the number of first releases increased by 3.5 percent in 1967 accounting for the greater number of persons received for supervision as compared to 1966.

Table D 1. 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia

Persons Received For Supervision From Confinement and Removed From Supervision, Fiscal Year 1967

(Excludes Canal Zone, Guam and Virgin Islands)

Type of Movement	Total	Federal Institutions		Military Offenders - Released from:		
		Parole	Mandatory Release	Federal Institutions		Military Institutions
				Parole	Mandatory Release	Parole
RECEIVED FOR SUPERVISION, TOTAL...	9,233	6,177	2,880	15	22	139
First Releases - Total.....	7,992	5,326	2,513	10	11	139
Adult - regular.....	4,910	2,791	1,955	10	11	139
Adult - indeterminate.....	1,420	1,001	419	-	-	-
Youth Corrections Act.....	1,139	1,139	-	-	-	-
Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act.....	534	395	139	-	-	-
Re-release - Total.....	956	622	318	5	11	-
Adult - regular.....	373	126	231	5	11	-
Adult - indeterminate.....	79	51	28	-	-	-
Youth Corrections Act.....	408	408	-	-	-	-
Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act.....	96	37	59	-	-	-
Reinstatements - Total.....	274	225	49	-	-	-
From violator status:						
Technical.....	40	31	9	-	-	-
Minor.....	78	67	11	-	-	-
Major.....	113	94	19	-	-	-
From non-violator status.....	47	37	10	-	-	-
REMOVED FROM SUPERVISION, TOTAL...	8,769	5,597	2,977	29	36	130
Temporary removals - non-violators.....	202	179	23	-	-	-
First Releases - Total.....	7,427	4,690	2,571	21	17	128
Non-violators - Total.....	5,157	3,015	1,986	21	16	119
Expiration.....	4,630	2,601	1,942	4	3	80
Prior to expiration.....	433	352	13	17	12	39
Death.....	94	62	31	-	1	-
Violator - Total.....	2,270	1,675	585	-	1	9
Technical.....	296	221	71	-	-	4
Minor.....	691	498	191	-	-	2
Major.....	1,283	956	323	-	1	3
Re-releases - Total.....	918	561	331	7	17	2
Non-violator:						
Expiration.....	561	288	259	1	13	-
Prior to expiration.....	18	9	2	2	3	2
Death.....	5	4	1	-	-	-
Violator:						
Technical.....	38	28	10	-	-	-
Minor.....	140	111	28	1	-	-
Major.....	156	121	31	3	1	-
Reinstatements - Total.....	222	167	52	1	2	-
Non-violator:						
Expiration.....	135	97	37	1	-	-
Prior to expiration.....	3	3	-	-	-	-
Death.....	3	2	1	-	-	-
Violator:						
Technical.....	11	9	2	-	-	-
Minor.....	28	25	2	-	1	-
Major.....	45	34	10	-	1	-

Sentence Procedures

The sentencing procedures used by the courts in determining the conditions under which a sentenced prisoner will be released to supervision after confinement are divided into the four types shown in the table below. As mentioned above, different sentencing procedures allow for varying amounts of time which can be spent under supervision. A three-year comparison of first parolees and mandatory releasees received from Federal institutions showing the type of sentence procedure originally imposed by the United States district court shows the following:

Type of sentencing procedure	Fiscal Year			Percent change 1967 over 1966
	1965	1966	1967	
Total - First Release from Federal Institutions .....	7,642	7,757	7,839	1.1
Adult - regular sentence .....	4,510	4,432	4,910	10.8
Adult - indeterminate sentence ..	1,107	1,274	1,420	11.4
YCA .....	1,372	1,184	1,139	- 3.8
FJDA .....	653	667	534	-19.9

Parole

Figure A shows persons received for and removed from parole supervision from 1963 through 1967. There was a 25.9 percent increase in parolees received in 1967 over those received in 1963. Of the first releases among parolees, the rate of violation increased from 33 percent in 1966 to 36 percent in 1967. Major violations in 1967, and in 1966, accounted for 20 percent of those removed from supervision. The most dramatic increase was found in the number of re-removals following a return to prison, which increased from 217 in 1963 to 907 in 1967. As of June 30, 1967 there were 8,913 persons under parole supervision, the highest number as of that date in the last five years.

Figure A

United States District Courts and the District of Columbia  
Persons Received and Removed from Parole Supervision  
Fiscal Years 1963 - 1967

Type of Movement	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
Under supervision at the beginning of the year .....	6,395	7,021	7,673	7,881	8,293
TOTAL RECEIVED ...	4,908	5,670	5,385	5,680	6,177
First releases .	4,448	4,924	4,667	4,832	5,326
Re-releases and reinstatements .	460	746	718	848	851
TOTAL REMOVED ....	4,282	5,018	5,177	5,268	5,597
First releases .	4,065	4,445	4,429	4,402	4,690
No violation .	2,743	2,996	2,870	2,949	3,015
Percent ....	67.5	67.4	64.8	67.0	64.3
Violation ....	1,322	1,449	1,559	1,453	1,675
Percent ....	32.5	32.6	35.2	33.0	35.7
Technical ....	( <sup>1</sup> )	( <sup>1</sup> )	113	138	221
Minor .....	446	434	442	455	498
Major .....	876	1,015	1,004	860	956
Percent ....	21.5	22.8	22.7	19.5	20.4
Second or subsequent removals ..	217	573	748	866	907
Under supervision, June 30 .....	7,021	7,673	7,881	8,293	8,913

<sup>1</sup> Classified with minor.

**NOTE:** This table excludes a few military offenders released on parole from Federal institutions. Also excludes Canal Zone, Guam and Virgin Islands.

Mandatory Release

Figure B summarizes information on persons received for and removed from mandatory release supervision during the fiscal years 1963 through 1967. During this period there has been a 16 percent decrease in the number of persons received for mandatory release supervision with the greatest decrease (9 percent) occurring between 1966 and 1967. The number of mandatory releasees removed from supervision (excluding re-releases) has shown a similar decrease since 1963 amounting to twelve percent. During this same period the violation rate has dropped slightly from 24.3 percent in 1963 to 22.8 percent in 1967. The major violation rate follows a similar trend.

Length of Supervision

Table D 2 shows the length of the terms of supervision for persons received for parole and mandatory release supervision in relation to the original sentencing procedure used by the courts. The average term of supervision in 1967 was 25 months, compared to 23 months in 1966. For parolees the average term was 31 months of supervision over twice as long as the supervision period for persons on mandatory release.

Persons on military mandatory release from Federal institutions had the longest average term of supervision (53 months). The 1,139 parolees sentenced under the Youth Corrections Act received terms averaging 51 months. Seventy-two percent of all Youth Corrections Act parolees had supervision periods of 49 months or more. The shortest average period of supervision was six months for a person on mandatory release supervision under the Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act. Ninety-five percent of all persons in this group had terms of less than twelve months.

Table 2-a, new in this series, shows the length of the term of supervision, sentencing procedure and sex of parolees released for supervision during the year. Ninety-five percent of the 2,513 persons received for parole in 1967 were men. The proportions of male parolees according to type of sentence are as follows: regular - 95 percent, indeterminate - 92 percent, Youth Corrections Act - 96 percent, and Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act - 96 percent.

Table D 2-b, also new, shows the length of supervision by the sentence type and sex of persons received for mandatory release supervision. Ninety-six percent of the persons received for mandatory release supervision were men. Mandatory

Figure B

United States District Courts and the District of Columbia  
Persons Received and Removed from Mandatory Release Supervision,  
Fiscal Years 1963 - 1967

Type of Movement	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967
Under supervision at the beginning of the year .....	2,018	2,074	2,226	2,312	2,287
TOTAL RECEIVED ...	3,425	3,457	3,337	3,153	2,880
First releases .	3,038	3,065	2,975	2,725	2,513
Re-releases and reinstatements .	387	392	362	428	367
TOTAL REMOVED ....	3,369	3,305	3,251	3,178	2,977
First releases .	3,124	2,948	2,876	2,780	2,571
No violation .	2,364	2,203	2,170	2,108	1,986
Percent ....	75.7	74.7	75.5	75.8	77.2
Violation ....	760	745	706	672	585
Percent ....	24.3	25.3	24.5	24.2	22.8
Technical ....	( <sup>1</sup> )	( <sup>1</sup> )	40	45	71
Minor .....	253	241	205	229	191
Major .....	507	504	461	398	323
Percent ....	16.2	17.1	16.0	14.3	12.6
Second or subsequent removals ..	245	357	375	398	406
Under supervision, June 30 .....	2,074	2,226	2,312	2,287	2,190

<sup>1</sup> Classified with minor.

NOTE: This table excludes a few military offenders released on mandatory release from Federal institutions. Also excludes Canal Zone, Guam and Virgin Islands.

Table D 2. 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia

Persons Received for Supervision Upon First Release From,  
Confinement By Type of Release, Sentence Procedure  
and Time to be Supervised, Fiscal Year 1967

How received and sentence procedure	Term of Supervision (in months)										Term of Supervision (in months) Percent <sup>1</sup>					Average term of supervision (in months)		
	Total received	3 and under	4-6	7-12	13-18	19-24	25-36	37-48	49-59	60 mos. & over	1-12	13-24	25-36	37-48	49 and over	1967	1966	1965
TOTAL FIRST RELEASES .....	7,999	669	823	1,485	1,324	843	695	730	757	673	37.2	27.1	8.7	9.1	17.9	25	23	23
Adult-regular <sup>2</sup> .....	4,906	512	632	1,143	1,004	518	348	342	90	317	46.6	31.0	7.1	7.0	8.3	20	17	16
Adult-indeterminate .....	1,420	112	138	246	225	191	189	119	47	153	34.9	29.3	13.3	8.4	14.1	25	22	21
Youth Corrections Act .....	1,139	2	3	2	5	40	48	217	619	203	0.6	3.9	4.2	19.1	72.2	51	52	51
Fed. Juvenile Del. Act .....	534	43	50	94	90	94	110	52	1	-	35.0	34.5	20.6	9.7	0.2	19	19	18
PAROLE .....	5,326	77	297	920	794	723	609	571	724	611	24.3	28.5	11.4	10.7	25.1	31	30	31
Adult-regular .....	2,791	73	261	730	532	422	268	193	57	255	38.1	34.2	9.6	6.9	11.2	24	20	21
Adult-indeterminate .....	1,001	-	22	146	174	167	183	109	47	153	16.8	34.0	18.3	10.9	20.0	33	30	29
Youth Corrections Act .....	1,139	2	3	2	5	40	48	217	619	203	0.6	4.0	4.2	19.0	72.2	51	52	51
Fed. Juvenile Del. Act .....	395	2	11	42	82	94	110	52	1	-	13.9	44.8	27.9	13.2	0.2	23	23	22
MANDATORY RELEASE .....	2,513	589	506	511	505	99	71	152	29	51	63.9	24.0	2.8	6.1	3.2	12	12	11
Adult-regular .....	1,955	436	351	359	447	75	65	142	29	51	58.6	26.7	3.3	7.3	4.1	13	13	12
Adult-indeterminate .....	419	112	116	100	51	24	6	10	-	-	78.3	17.9	1.4	2.4	-	7	8	7
Fed. Juvenile Del. Act .....	139	41	39	52	7	-	-	-	-	-	95.0	5.0	-	-	-	6	6	6
MILITARY .....	160	3	20	54	25	21	15	7	4	11	48.1	28.7	9.4	4.4	9.4	21	22	22
Parole-Federal Institutions .....	10	-	1	2	1	4	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	23	48	62
Mandatory release-Federal Institutions .....	11	1	-	2	-	-	1	-	2	5	-	-	-	-	-	53	66	53
Parole-Military Institutions .....	139	2	19	50	24	17	13	7	2	5	51.1	29.5	9.4	5.0	5.0	16	19	18

<sup>1</sup>Percents where shown equal 100.0. Percent not computed where base is 25 or less.  
<sup>2</sup>Includes all military first releases.

86

89 United States District Courts

Table D 2-a. First Paroles Received for Supervision by Type of Sentence, Sex and Length of Term of Supervision, Fiscal Year 1967

Type of sentence and sex	Total received	Term of Supervision (in months)					Percent <sup>1</sup>				
		1-12	13-24	25-36	37-59	60 and over	1-12	13-24	25-36	37-59	60 and over
<u>TOTAL</u> .....	5,326	1,294	1,517	609	1,295	611	24.3	28.5	11.4	24.3	11.5
Percent.....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	-	-	-	-
Male.....	5,053	1,218	1,427	576	1,240	592	24.1	28.2	11.4	24.5	11.7
Female.....	273	76	90	33	55	19	27.8	33.0	12.1	20.1	7.0
<u>Adult Regular</u> .....	2,791	1,064	954	268	250	255	38.1	34.2	9.6	9.0	9.1
Percent.....	52.4	82.2	62.9	44.0	19.3	41.7	-	-	-	-	-
Male.....	2,656	1,010	902	254	238	252	38.0	34.0	9.6	8.9	9.5
Female.....	135	54	52	14	12	3	40.0	38.5	10.4	8.9	2.2
<u>Adult Indeterminate</u> .....	1,001	168	341	183	156	153	16.8	34.0	18.3	15.6	15.3
Percent.....	18.8	13.0	22.5	30.0	12.0	25.0	-	-	-	-	-
Male.....	924	148	315	167	143	151	16.0	34.1	18.1	15.5	16.3
Female.....	77	20	26	16	13	2	26.0	33.7	20.8	16.9	2.6
<u>Youth Corrections Act</u> ...	1,139	7	45	48	836	203	0.6	4.0	4.2	73.4	17.8
Percent.....	21.4	0.5	3.0	7.9	64.6	33.2	-	-	-	-	-
Male.....	1,095	7	44	47	808	189	0.6	4.0	4.3	73.8	17.3
Female.....	44	-	1	1	28	14	-	2.3	2.3	63.6	31.8
<u>Fed. Juvenile Del. Act.</u> ..	395	55	177	110	53	-	13.9	44.8	27.9	13.4	-
Percent.....	7.4	4.3	11.7	18.1	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Male.....	378	53	166	108	51	-	14.0	43.9	28.6	13.5	-
Female.....	17	2	11	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>1</sup>Percents where shown equal 100.0. Percent not computed where base is 25 or less.

TABLE D 2-b

## 89 United States District Courts

First Mandatory Releases Received for Supervision by Type of Sentence,  
Sex and Length of Term of Supervision  
Fiscal Year 1967

Type of sentence and sex	Total received	Term of Supervision (in months)					Percent <sup>1</sup>				
		1-12	13-24	25-36	37-59	60 and over	1-12	13-24	25-36	37-59	60 and over
<b>TOTAL</b> .....	2,513	1,606	604	71	181	51	63.9	24.0	2.8	7.3	2.0
Percent .....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	-	-	-	-
Male .....	2,406	1,552	564	68	172	50	64.5	23.4	2.8	7.2	2.1
Female .....	107	54	40	3	9	1	50.5	37.4	2.8	8.4	0.9
Adult Regular .....	1,955	1,146 <sup>0</sup>	522	65	171	51	58.6	26.7	3.3	8.8	2.6
Percent .....	77.8	71.4	86.4	91.5	94.5	100.0	-	-	-	-	-
Male .....	1,859	1,103	482	62	162	50	59.3	25.9	3.4	8.7	2.7
Female .....	96	43	40	3	9	1	44.8	41.7	3.1	9.4	1.0
Adult Indeterminate .....	419	328	75	6	10	-	78.3	17.9	1.4	2.4	-
Percent .....	16.7	20.4	12.4	8.4	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Male .....	409	318	75	6	10	-	77.8	18.3	1.5	2.4	-
Female .....	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Federal Juvenile Delin- quency Act .....	139	132	7	-	-	-	95.0	5.0	-	-	-
Percent .....	5.5	8.2	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Male .....	138	131	7	-	-	-	94.9	5.1	-	-	-
Female .....	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>1</sup>Percents where shown equal 100.0. Percent not computed where base is 25 or less.



Table D 3. 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia

Persons Received for First Parole and Mandatory Release  
Supervision by Offense and Time to be Under Supervision, Fiscal Year 1967

OFFENSE CLASS	Total received	First parole <sup>a</sup>							First Mandatory Release						
		Total	1-12 mos.	13-24 mos.	25-36 mos.	37-59 mos.	60 mos. & over	Percent 24 mos. or less <sup>1</sup>	Total	1-12 mos.	13-24 mos.	25-36 mos.	37-59 mos.	60 mos. & over	Percent 24 mos. or less <sup>1</sup>
TOTAL .....	7,839	5,326	1,294	1,517	609	1,295	611	52.8	2,513	1,606	604	71	181	51	87.9
<u>SPECIAL OFFENSES</u> .....	83	77	42	17	7	9	2	76.6	6	5	1	-	-	-	-
Immigration laws .....	6	4	1	2	1	-	-	-	2	1	1	-	-	-	-
Wagering tax violations .....	6	6	4	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Federal regulatory statutes ...	71	67	37	14	5	9	2	76.1	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
<u>CLASS I</u> .....	170	159	73	40	18	20	8	71.1	11	8	3	-	-	-	-
Fraud - Group A .....	28	26	19	7	-	-	-	100.0	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
Embezzlement .....	129	125	51	32	16	19	7	66.4	4	3	1	-	-	-	-
Obscene mail .....	13	8	3	1	2	1	1	-	5	3	2	-	-	-	-
<u>CLASS II</u> .....	145	119	62	42	5	8	2	87.4	26	22	2	-	-	2	-
Income tax fraud .....	69	64	42	16	2	3	1	90.6	5	3	-	-	-	2	-
Other fraud .....	76	55	20	26	3	5	1	83.6	21	19	2	-	-	-	-
<u>CLASS III</u> .....															
Liquor, Internal Revenue .....	640	547	324	177	28	16	2	91.6	93	85	7	-	1	-	98.9
<u>CLASS IV</u> .....	1,303	879	253	285	93	182	66	61.2	424	328	68	7	17	4	93.4
Theft .....	548	375	113	119	31	92	20	61.9	173	142	2 <sup>f</sup>	1	4	1	96.5
Postal fraud .....	127	107	31	45	17	9	5	71.0	20	16	2	1	1	-	-
Forgery .....	628	397	109	121	45	81	41	57.9	231	170	41	5	12	3	91.3
<u>CLASS V</u> .....	287	220	44	43	29	50	54	39.5	67	49	5	4	3	6	80.6
Border registration, addicts... Assault and homicide .....	40 105	29 83	12 10	10 7	- 10	- 25	- 31	75.9 20.5	11 22	10 14	- 2	- 2	1 1	- 3	- -
Misc. general offenses.....	142	108	22	26	19	18	23	44.4	34	25	3	2	1	3	82.4
<u>CLASS VI</u> .....	1,373	979	179	296	152	252	100	48.5	394	244	102	20	23	5	87.8
Counterfeiting .....	172	135	21	47	18	29	20	50.4	37	26	7	1	2	1	89.2
Burglary .....	204	146	10	48	19	50	19	39.7	58	39	11	4	2	2	86.2
Interstate transportation of stolen property .....	434	284	68	97	41	56	22	58.1	150	115	23	5	7	-	92.0
Marihuana .....	349	242	14	46	59	91	32	24.8	107	33	54	9	11	-	81.3
Selective Service Act.....	114	109	59	42	4	4	-	92.7	5	4	1	-	-	-	-
Other national defense laws...	7	4	-	4	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
Sex offenses.....	93	59	7	12	11	22	7	32.2	34	24	6	1	1	2	88.2
<u>CLASS VII</u> .....															
Auto theft.....	2,449	1,695	283	525	198	570	119	47.7	754	675	73	3	3	-	99.2
<u>CLASS VIII</u> .....	1,389	651	34	92	79	188	258	19.4	738	190	343	37	134	34	72.2
Narcotics.....	862	219	24	68	30	81	16	42.0	643	159	316	33	118	17	73.9
Robbery.....	527	432	10	24	49	107	242	7.9	95	31	27	4	16	17	61.1

<sup>1</sup> Percent not computed where base is 25 or less.

releases had much shorter terms of supervision than persons on parole. Only one percent of the women and two percent of the men faced periods of supervision over sixty months, while eighty-eight percent of the men and eighty-seven percent of the women had terms of under two years.

#### Offense and period of supervision

Table D 3 compares, by offense, the periods of supervision for persons admitted to parole or mandatory release for the first time. About one half of the persons received for parole supervision had terms of two years or less. Almost nine out of ten persons received on mandatory release had similar periods of supervision. The proportions were about the same in the previous two years. Only two percent of the persons received for mandatory release had terms of over sixty months, as compared to eleven percent of the parolees.

The period of supervision varies greatly with the offense. All of the parolees convicted of fraud were under supervision twenty-four months or less, but only 8 percent of the persons convicted of robbery had similar terms of parole.

#### Description of First Parolees

Table D 4 shows the marital status, prior criminal record and school attainment for parolees released for the first time. This same information is distributed by offense in Table D 5. Persons on parole in 1967 were generally older than those received on parole in 1966. In 1967, 34.2 percent of the parolees were under 24 years of age as compared to 42.5 percent in 1966. The median age was 29 in 1967 compared with 27 in the previous year. The oldest offenders in 1967 had been convicted of income tax fraud (51 years) and the youngest had been imprisoned for auto theft (23 years).

#### Marital Status

Forty percent of the persons under parole supervision in 1967 had never been married and two-thirds of them were under 24 years of age. Their median age was 23 years. Seventy-six percent of the parolees who had been imprisoned for violation of the Selective Service Act and sixty-four percent of the burglars were never married.

Table D 4. 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia

Age of First Paroles Received for Supervision Showing Marital Status,  
Prior Criminal Record and School Attainment  
Fiscal Year 1967

Marital status, prior criminal record and last grade completed	First Paroles Received		Percent age 24 and under	Percent age 25 - 39	Percent age 40 and over	Age (in years)		
	Number	Percent				10% under age	Median age	10% over age
TOTAL.....	5,326	100.0	34.2	42.9	22.9	20.9	28.8	49.5
Single.....	2,149	40.4	67.3	27.6	5.1	19.5	23.2	32.7
Married.....	1,997	37.5	12.2	52.4	35.4	24.5	35.9	53.9
Divorced or separated.....	1,119	21.0	11.7	56.5	31.8	24.6	34.1	51.2
Widowed.....	61	1.1	4.9	19.7	75.4	30.1	50.3	67.3
TOTAL, Prior criminal record reported.....	3,699	100.0	32.9	42.2	24.9	20.9	28.8	49.5
No prior record.....	735	19.9	33.6	38.5	27.9	20.5	28.8	52.5
Total with prior record.....	2,964	80.1	32.8	43.1	24.1	-	-	-
Prior probation.....	685	18.5	41.1	38.7	20.2	20.4	26.9	46.8
Prior jail or juvenile..	1,085	29.3	48.2	36.3	15.5	20.1	25.4	46.1
Prior prison.....	1,194	32.3	13.9	51.9	34.2	24.1	34.4	53.7
TOTAL.....	5,326	100.0	34.2	42.9	22.9	20.9	28.8	49.5
No schooling.....	48	0.9	4.2	22.9	72.9	31.6	47.0	64.2
Grades 1 - 6.....	692	13.0	18.3	34.6	47.1	22.1	39.2	57.9
" 7 - 8.....	1,284	24.1	44.0	38.4	17.6	19.9	26.4	46.5
" 9 - 11.....	2,093	39.3	42.3	44.6	13.1	20.6	26.6	43.0
" 12.....	873	16.4	25.5	52.1	22.4	27.7	29.8	48.3
" 13 - 15.....	224	4.2	6.8	53.6	39.6	25.8	37.2	54.1
" 16 and over.....	112	2.1	1.8	33.6	64.6	30.6	45.8	59.0
Percent 12th grade and over.....	-	22.7	13.2	26.7	29.2	-	-	-

Table D 5. 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia  
 First Paroles Received for Supervision Showing Age, Marital Status, and School  
 Attainment by Original Offense, Fiscal Year 1967

Offense class	Number of first paroles received <sup>2</sup>	Age <sup>1</sup>				Marital status <sup>1</sup>				*Prior Criminal Record <sup>1</sup>			School attainment	
		Percent age 24 and under	Percent age 25 - 39	Percent age 40 and over	Median age	Percent single	Percent married	Percent divorced or separated	Percent widowed	Percent No record	Percent Minor record <sup>4</sup>	Percent Major record <sup>4</sup>	Percent 12th grade and over	Median school grade completed
TOTAL .....	5,326	34.2	42.9	22.9	28.8	40.3	37.5	21.0	1.2	19.9	47.9	32.2	22.7	9.9
<b>SPECIAL OFFENSES</b>														
Immigration laws .....	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wagering tax violations .....	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Federal regulatory statutes .....	67	17.9	46.3	35.8	36.5	17.9	64.2	16.4	1.5	24.0	50.0	26.0	28.4	10.4
<b>CLASS I</b>														
Fraud - Group A .....	26	-	42.3	57.7	42.0	3.9	69.2	26.9	-	35.5	50.0	15.0	61.5	12.5
Embezzlement .....	125	11.3	41.1	47.6	37.8	14.4	63.2	19.2	3.2	68.9	24.4	6.7	68.0	12.4
Obscene mail .....	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>CLASS II</b>														
Income tax fraud .....	64	-	9.4	90.6	51.0	4.7	76.6	17.2	1.5	72.2	24.1	3.7	51.6	12.1
Other fraud .....	55	7.3	38.2	54.5	44.8	12.7	63.6	23.7	-	55.3	31.6	13.2	60.0	12.4
<b>CLASS III</b>														
Liquor, Internal Revenue .....	547	6.0	38.6	55.4	42.1	17.0	63.8	15.9	3.3	8.2	50.8	41.0	5.9	6.4
<b>CLASS IV</b>														
Theft .....	375	35.7	45.6	18.7	28.3	44.4	35.3	19.0	1.3	15.7	53.3	31.0	21.1	9.9
Postal fraud .....	107	5.6	35.5	58.9	42.5	15.0	67.3	16.8	0.9	46.7	29.3	24.0	58.9	12.3
Forgery .....	397	23.4	56.4	20.2	30.9	28.5	39.5	30.7	1.3	10.8	39.2	50.0	15.6	9.6
<b>CLASS V</b>														
Border registration, addicts .....	29	28.6	67.8	3.6	37.0	37.9	41.4	17.2	3.5	16.6	41.7	41.7	10.3	10.2
Assault and homicide ..	83	43.4	36.1	20.5	26.8	62.7	19.3	10.8	7.2	28.8	62.7	8.5	13.3	9.4
Misc. general offenses .....	108	14.8	46.3	38.9	33.6	28.7	48.1	21.3	1.9	24.7	37.0	38.3	29.6	10.4
<b>CLASS VI</b>														
Counterfeiting .....	135	11.1	49.6	39.3	36.6	16.3	55.5	26.7	1.5	29.0	37.0	34.0	32.6	10.5
Burglary .....	146	58.2	35.6	6.2	23.9	64.1	19.3	16.6	-	22.8	51.8	25.4	9.6	8.9
Interstate transportation of stolen property .....	284	12.1	62.8	25.1	32.7	21.8	40.8	37.0	0.4	9.9	43.5	46.6	35.9	11.0
Marihuana .....	242	20.5	59.0	20.5	30.0	32.3	45.0	22.7	-	20.4	45.4	34.3	25.6	10.2
Selective Service Act ..	109	44.0	56.0	-	25.2	76.1	19.3	4.6	-	84.9	15.1	-	76.1	12.4
Other national defense laws .....	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sex offenses .....	59	20.3	57.7	22.0	31.1	28.8	40.7	28.8	1.7	25.6	46.2	28.2	11.9	9.3
<b>CLASS VII</b>														
Auto theft .....	1,695	63.5	30.1	6.4	23.5	61.6	19.1	19.1	0.2	12.7	58.2	29.1	14.5	9.7
<b>CLASS VIII</b>														
Narcotics .....	219	25.6	58.4	16.0	28.6	31.5	45.2	21.5	1.8	24.3	43.9	31.8	20.5	10.3
Robbery .....	432	20.5	55.7	23.8	32.3	34.3	40.6	23.7	1.4	20.9	40.1	39.0	26.9	10.2

<sup>1</sup>Percents add to 100.0.

<sup>2</sup>Computations not made where total is 25 or less.

<sup>3</sup>Includes any previous commitment to an institution under one year to confinement, any commitment under juvenile delinquency procedure, probation suspended sentence or fine.

<sup>4</sup>Includes any previous commitment of confinement of over one year.

\*Based on 69 percent of the parole releases where the prior record was reported.

NOTE: In order to provide continuity between the Federal Offenders reports and the Persons Under Supervision reports, the same offense classes have been utilized for both reports. Therefore, the order of the offense classes in the tables of this report has no special significance, except that the proportionate use of probation for persons sentenced by the courts is highest in Class I and decreases through Class VIII. The "Special Offense Class" is separately shown since the majority of persons convicted of these offenses received fines, or in the case of immigration violators, are deported.

Figure C

First Paroles Received for Supervision by Sex, Age, Marital Status, Prior Criminal Record and School Attainment, Fiscal Years 1965 to 1967

Characteristics	Fiscal Year		
	1965	1966	1967
Number.....	4,667	4,832	5,326
Percent-Male.....	94.4	94.3	94.9
Age - Percent.....	100.0	100.0	100.0
24 years & under.....	43.2	42.5	34.2
25 - 39 years.....	38.8	37.7	31.9
40 and over.....	18.0	19.8	22.9
Median age (in years).....	26.9	27.0	28.8
Marital status - Percent.....	100.0	100.0	100.0
Single.....	47.8	45.9	40.3
Married.....	34.3	35.3	37.5
Divorced or separated.....	17.0	18.0	21.0
Widowed.....	0.9	0.8	1.2
Prior criminal record - Percent.....	100.0	100.0	100.0
No record.....	22.3	NA	19.9
Minor record <sup>1</sup> .....	54.9	NA	47.9
Major record <sup>2</sup> .....	22.8	NA	32.2
School attainment			
Percent - 12th grade and over.....	18.3	19.7	22.7
Median school grade completed.....	9.6	9.7	9.9

NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>Includes any previous commitment to an institution of under one year to confinement, any commitment under juvenile delinquency procedure, probation, suspended sentence or fine.

<sup>2</sup>Includes any previous commitment of over one year to confinement.

### Prior Record

One-fifth of the persons received for parole supervision had no prior criminal record. Eighteen percent had a minor criminal record and 32 percent had previously served a term in prison of over one year (major record). The median age for persons with prior prison records was 34 years. One-half of the forgers on parole had major criminal records and 58 percent of the convicted auto thieves had minor records. Of the 109 persons on parole for violation of the Selective Service Act, only 15 percent had a prior criminal record.

### Educational Attainment

Seventy-seven percent of the persons received for parole supervision in 1967 were "drop outs" having never completed the 12th grade. The median level of education was just below the tenth grade. Among parolees who had achieved the highest level of education were persons who had been convicted of embezzlement and persons who had violated the Selective Service Act. Sixty-eight percent of the former and 76 percent of the latter were high school graduates. The median level of educational achievement of parolees convicted of liquor law violations was below the seventh grade.

Figure C contains a three year summary of the more significant characteristics of parolees first received for supervision.

### Removed from Parole and Mandatory Release Supervision

Table D 6 describes the parolees and mandatory releasees removed from supervision in 1967 according to the reasons for removal. Sixty-three percent of the parolees completed their parole without violation, compared to 65 percent in 1966. The overall "major violation" rate for parolees increased from 19.6 percent in 1966 to 20.4 percent in 1967. Re-paroles removed from supervision had a higher violation rate (46 percent) than those removed from first parole (36 percent). Sixty-one percent of the reinstated parolees did not violate supervision, but almost 19 percent had major violations.

As noted above mandatory releasees have less time under supervision and a lower violation rate. Over seventy-seven percent of all persons on mandatory release were nonviolators in 1967. The violation rate for first mandatory releases was 23 percent, with 13 percent committing major violations. Re-releases had a violation rate of 21 percent compared to 15

Table D 6. 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia  
 Persons on Parole or Mandatory Release Removed from Supervision, Showing Rates of Violation  
 Fiscal Year 1967

(Excludes 202 temporary removals)

How received	Total removed	No violation		With violation							
		Number	Percent	Total		Technical		Minor		Major	
				Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL .....	8,567	5,882	68.7	2,685	31.3	345	4.0	859	10.0	1,481	17.3
<u>FEDERAL</u>											
Parole - Total .....	5,418	3,418	63.1	2,000	36.9	258	4.8	634	11.7	1,108	20.4
First parole .....	4,690	3,015	64.3	1,675	35.7	221	4.7	498	10.6	956	20.4
Reparole .....	561	301	53.7	260	46.3	28	5.0	111	19.8	121	21.6
Reinstatement to parole .....	167	102	61.1	65	38.9	9	5.4	25	15.0	31	18.6
<u>MANDATORY RELEASE</u>											
Total .....	2,954	2,286	77.4	668	22.6	83	2.8	221	7.5	364	12.3
First mandatory release .....	2,571	1,986	77.2	585	22.8	71	2.8	191	7.4	323	12.6
Re-release .....	331	262	79.2	69	20.8	10	3.0	28	8.4	31	9.4
Reinstatement to mandatory release .....	52	38	73.1	14	26.9	2	3.8	2	3.8	10	19.2
<u>MILITARY</u>											
Total .....	195	178	91.3	17	8.7	4	2.1	4	2.1	9	4.6
First parole .....	149	140	94.0	9	6.0	4	2.7	2	1.3	3	2.0
First mandatory release .....	17	16	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Re-release and reinstatements .	29	22	75.9	7	24.1	-	-	2	6.9	5	17.2

NOTE: Percents may not add to totals due to rounding. Percents not computed where base is 25 or less.

percent in 1966. Twenty-seven percent of the reinstated mandatory releases violated their release in 1967 compared to 20 percent in the previous year. In 1967 nineteen percent of the persons reinstated to supervision under mandatory release had a major violation.

Violation Rates of Persons Following their Initial Release

Parolees

The violation rate for first paroles is shown, by offense class, in Table D 7. Of the 4,690 persons removed from first parole, 36 percent had violations. Chart 6 is a graphic five year presentation of the number of first parolees removed with and without violation.

In 1967 persons on parole following conviction for income tax fraud had a violation rate of only 1.6 percent, all of which were major violations. The violation rate recorded for persons convicted of Selective Service Act violations was 4.6 percent. The highest overall violation rates were among burglars (52 percent), auto thieves (51 percent) and narcotics offenders (49 percent). The major violation rate for the latter group was 32 percent as compared to 26 percent in 1966.

Violation rates for persons on parole differ greatly according to the type of sentence originally imposed by the court. The 2,221 persons on parole who had sentences for a definite number of years had only a 22 percent violation rate. Thirty-four percent of the parolees with indeterminate sentences violated their parole. Youths and young adults sentenced under the Youth Corrections Act had a 43 percent violation rate in 1967, a decrease from the 54 percent rate in the previous year. Persons adjudicated under the provisions of the Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act violated their supervision over twice as often as persons with regular sentences.

The overall major violation rate in 1967 was 20.4 percent compared to 19.6 percent in 1966. The following tabulation shows a marked consistency in the major violation rates for parolees with regular sentences, as well as those with sentences under the Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act. There have been considerable fluctuations in major violation rates for those with indeterminate sentences.

Table D 7. 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia

First Paroles Removed from Supervision by Violation and Offense,  
Fiscal Year 1967

Offense Class	Total removed	No Violation		With Violation							
		Num-ber	Per-cent	Total		Technical		Minor		Major	
				Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent
<b>TOTAL</b> .....	4,690	3,015	64.3	1,675	35.7	221	4.7	498	10.6	956	20.4
<b>SPECIAL OFFENSES</b>											
Immigration laws..	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wagering tax vio- lations .....	13	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Federal regulatory statutes .....	42	37	88.1	5	11.9	1	2.4	1	2.4	3	7.1
<b>CLASS I</b>											
Fraud - Group A...	27	27	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Embezzlement .....	119	107	89.9	12	10.1	4	3.4	-	-	8	6.7
Obscene mail .....	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>CLASS II</b>											
Income tax fraud..	61	60	98.4	1	1.6	-	-	-	-	1	1.6
Other fraud .....	44	39	88.6	5	11.4	2	4.6	-	-	3	6.8
<b>CLASS III</b>											
Liquor, Internal Revenue .....	624	580	92.9	44	7.1	8	1.3	7	1.1	29	4.7
<b>CLASS IV</b>											
Theft .....	390	254	65.1	136	34.9	21	5.4	37	9.5	78	20.0
Postal fraud .....	75	65	86.7	10	13.3	2	2.7	4	5.3	4	5.3
Forgery .....	384	240	62.5	144	37.5	20	5.2	47	12.2	77	20.1
<b>CLASS V</b>											
Border registra- tion, addicts...	15	9	-	6	-	2	-	1	-	3	-
Assault and homicide .....	54	31	57.4	23	42.6	5	9.3	5	9.3	13	24.1
Misc. general offenses .....	92	67	72.8	25	27.2	4	4.3	8	8.7	13	14.1
<b>CLASS VI</b>											
Counterfeiting ...	81	64	79.0	17	21.0	2	2.5	2	2.5	13	16.0
Burglary .....	123	59	48.0	64	52.0	12	9.8	16	13.0	36	29.3
Interstate trans- portation of stolen property.	223	133	59.6	90	40.4	7	3.1	35	15.7	48	21.5
Marihuana .....	75	51	68.0	24	32.0	4	5.3	4	5.3	16	21.3
Selective Service Act.....	108	103	95.4	5	4.6	1	0.9	1	0.9	3	2.8
Other national defense laws....	5	2	-	3	-	-	-	1	-	2	-
Sex offenses.....	53	30	56.6	23	43.4	6	11.3	5	9.4	12	22.6
<b>CLASS VII</b>											
Auto theft.....	1,749	854	48.8	895	51.2	94	5.4	302	17.3	499	28.5
<b>CLASS VIII</b>											
Narcotics.....	116	59	50.9	57	49.1	10	8.6	10	8.6	37	31.9
Robbery.....	208	122	58.7	86	41.3	16	7.7	12	5.8	58	27.9

NOTE: Percent not computed where base is 25 or less. Percents may not add to total due to rounding.




CHART 6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS AND  
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

PAROLEES REMOVED FROM SUPERVISION  
WITH NO VIOLATION AND WITH VIOLATION

FISCAL YEARS 1963-1967



ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

Table D 8

89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia

First Paroles Removed from Supervision by  
Violation and Type of Sentence  
Fiscal Year 1967

Type of sentence	Total removed	No violation		With Violation							
				Total		Technical		Minor		Major	
		Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent
<b>First parole, TOTAL..</b>	4,690	3,015	64.3	1,675	35.7	221	4.7	498	10.6	956	20.4
<b>Adult:</b>											
Regular.....	2,221	1,735	78.1	486	21.9	71	3.2	152	6.8	263	11.8
Indeterminate...	695	462	66.5	233	33.5	27	3.9	63	9.1	143	20.6
Youth Corrections Act.....	1,311	567	43.3	744	56.8	94	7.2	242	18.5	408	31.1
Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act....	463	251	54.2	212	45.8	29	6.3	41	8.9	142	30.7

Table D 8-a

89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia

First Mandatory Releases Removed from Supervision by  
Violation and Type of Sentence  
Fiscal Year 1967

	Total removed	No violation		With Violation							
				Total		Technical		Minor		Major	
		Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent
<b>First Mandatory Releases, TOTAL....</b>	2,571	1,986	77.2	585	22.8	71	2.8	191	7.4	323	12.6
<b>Adult:</b>											
Regular.....	2,010	1,560	77.6	450	22.4	48	2.4	140	7.0	262	13.0
Indeterminate...	427	310	72.6	117	27.4	20	4.7	46	10.8	51	11.9
Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act...	134	116	86.6	18	13.4	3	2.2	5	3.7	10	7.5

Type of Sentence	1965	1966	1967
All first parolees.....	22.7	19.6	20.4
Adult Regular.....	11.4	10.3	11.6
Adult Indeterminate.....	19.2	13.9	20.6
Youth Corrections Act.....	38.9	32.7	31.1
Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act.....	28.5	26.7	30.7

Parole Violations by Offense and Age

Table D 9 compares violation rates by the offense and the age of parolees. Parole violations are generally more frequent among persons under 25 years of age. The overall violation rates, by age, are as follows: under age twenty-five - 56 percent, ages 25-39 - 32 percent, over forty years of age - 14 percent. Persons convicted of narcotics and robbery offenses had an overall violation rate of 44 percent. Of the parolees in the offense groups who were 25 years of age and under, 57 percent violated parole, but only 29 percent of those over 40 years violated their supervision. Among the liquor law offenders persons under 25 years of age violated parole three times more frequently than the group as a whole.

Differences in major parole violation rates are very pronounced among the various age groups. Among the auto thieves the parolees under 25 years of age had three times as many major violations as those over age 40.

Time Served in Prison and Time Under Supervision

First parolees with short terms of confinement had proportionately lower violation rates. Table D 10 indicates that the violation rate tends to increase with the length of the term of confinement. Among parolees serving less than 6 months in confinement, the violation rate was only 11 percent. However, 67 percent of the persons serving over 60 months in confinement violated the terms of supervision. Tables D 11 to D 14 show the number and proportion of violations in relation to the term of confinement for each of the four sentencing procedures. The violation rate for persons sentenced under the Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act and the Youth Corrections Act did not increase with the length of the term of confinement.

Table D 9. First Paroles Removed from Supervision by Offense Class and Age Group, Fiscal Year 1967

Offense Class and Age Group (in years)	Total	No Violation		With Violation							
				Total		Technical		Minor		Major	
		Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
TOTAL REMOVED .....	4,690	3,015	64.3	1,675	35.7	221	4.7	498	10.6	956	20.4
Under 25 .....	1,467	645	44.0	822	56.0	98	6.7	230	15.7	494	33.7
25 to 39 .....	2,232	1,515	67.9	717	32.1	100	4.5	223	10.0	394	17.6
40 and over .....	991	855	86.3	136	13.7	23	2.3	45	4.5	68	6.9
SPECIAL OFFENSES .....	56	51	91.1	5	8.9	1	1.8	1	1.8	3	5.4
Under 25 .....	4	2	-	2	-	-	-	1	-	1	-
25 to 39 .....	26	24	92.3	2	7.7	1	3.8	-	-	1	3.8
40 and over .....	26	25	96.2	1	3.8	-	-	-	-	1	3.8
CLASS I (Fraud, embezzlement, obscene mail) .....	154	142	92.2	12	7.8	4	2.6	-	-	8	5.2
Under 25 .....	6	4	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
25 to 39 .....	64	57	89.1	7	10.9	-	-	-	-	7	10.9
40 and over .....	84	81	96.4	3	3.6	2	2.4	-	-	1	1.2
CLASS II (Income tax fraud, other fraud) .....	105	99	94.3	6	5.7	2	1.9	-	-	4	3.8
Under 25 .....	4	3	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
25 to 39 .....	20	17	-	3	-	1	-	-	-	2	-
40 and over .....	81	79	97.5	2	2.5	1	1.2	-	-	1	1.2
CLASS III (Liquor laws) .....	624	580	92.9	44	7.1	8	1.3	7	1.1	29	4.6
Under 25 .....	50	40	80.0	10	20.0	3	6.0	2	4.0	5	10.0
25 to 39 .....	249	226	90.8	23	9.2	4	1.6	2	0.8	17	6.8
40 and over .....	325	314	96.6	11	3.4	1	0.3	3	0.9	7	2.2
CLASS IV (Theft, postal fraud, forgery) .....	849	559	65.8	290	34.2	43	5.1	88	10.4	159	18.7
Under 25 .....	200	106	53.0	94	47.0	10	5.0	24	12.0	60	30.0
25 to 39 .....	462	304	65.8	158	34.2	26	5.6	49	10.6	83	18.0
40 and over .....	187	149	79.7	38	20.3	7	3.7	15	8.0	16	8.6
CLASS V (Border reg. addicts, assault and homicide, misc. general offenses) ..	161	107	66.5	54	33.5	11	6.8	14	8.7	29	18.0
Under 25 .....	36	13	36.1	23	63.9	5	13.9	5	13.9	13	36.1
25 to 39 .....	84	59	70.2	25	29.8	5	6.0	8	9.5	12	14.3
40 and over .....	41	35	85.4	6	14.6	1	2.4	1	2.4	4	9.8
CLASS VI (Counterfeiting, burglary, trans. of stolen property, marihuana, National defense laws, sex offenses) .....	668	442	66.2	226	33.8	52	4.8	64	9.6	130	19.5
Under 25 .....	140	64	45.7	76	54.3	11	7.9	24	17.1	41	29.3
25 to 39 .....	421	298	70.8	123	29.2	18	4.3	33	7.8	72	17.1
40 and over .....	107	80	74.8	27	25.2	3	2.8	7	6.5	17	15.9
CLASS VII (Auto theft) .....	1,749	854	48.8	895	51.2	94	5.4	302	17.3	499	28.5
Under 25 .....	957	383	40.0	574	60.0	59	6.2	169	17.7	346	36.1
25 to 39 .....	703	415	59.0	288	41.0	30	4.3	115	16.4	143	20.3
40 and over .....	89	56	62.9	33	37.1	5	5.6	18	20.2	10	11.2
CLASS VIII (Narcotics and robbery)	324	181	55.9	143	44.1	26	8.0	22	6.8	95	29.3
Under 25 .....	70	30	42.9	40	57.1	8	11.4	5	7.1	27	38.6
25 to 39 .....	203	115	56.7	88	43.3	15	7.4	16	7.8	57	28.0
40 and over .....	51	36	70.6	15	29.4	3	5.9	1	2.0	11	21.6

NOTE: Percent not computed where base is 25 or less. Percents may not add to total due to rounding.

Table D 10. 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia

First Paroles Removed from Supervision by Time Under Supervision Contrasted with  
Time Served in Confinement, Fiscal Year 1967

Time under supervision (in months)	Time Served in Confinement (in months)									Time Served in Confinement (in months)								
	Number									Percent <sup>1</sup>								
	Total	6 and under	7-12	13-18	19-24	25-36	37-48	49-60	61 and over	Total	6 and under	7-12	13-18	19-24	25-36	37-48	49-60	61 and over
TOTAL - REMOVED .....	4,690	642	1,219	1,286	677	526	202	50	88	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
3 and under .....	1,275	484	341	231	103	79	25	7	5	27.2	75.4	28.0	18.0	15.2	15.0	12.4	14.0	5.7
4-6 .....	382	26	69	121	67	63	20	4	12	8.1	4.1	5.7	9.4	9.9	12.0	9.9	8.0	13.6
7-12 .....	828	58	342	211	99	69	25	7	17	17.7	9.0	28.0	16.4	14.6	13.1	12.4	14.0	19.3
13-24 .....	1,187	46	363	402	187	109	56	10	14	25.3	7.2	29.8	31.2	27.6	20.7	27.7	20.0	15.9
25-36 .....	561	20	68	193	110	105	51	6	8	12.0	3.1	5.6	15.0	16.3	20.0	25.2	12.0	9.1
37 and over .....	457	8	36	128	111	101	25	16	32	9.7	1.2	2.9	10.0	16.4	19.2	12.4	32.0	36.4
NO VIOLATION .....	3,015	574	941	746	333	261	110	21	29	64.3	89.4	77.2	58.0	49.2	49.6	54.5	42.0	33.0
3 and under .....	842	463	260	99	9	8	1	1	1	18.0	72.1	21.3	7.7	1.3	1.5	0.5	2.0	1.1
4-6 .....	14	4	3	1	2	2		1	1	0.3	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.4		2.0	1.1
7-12 .....	432	44	262	93	14	15	2		2	9.2	6.9	21.5	7.2	2.1	2.9	1.0		2.3
13-24 .....	878	38	321	293	126	57	38	4	1	18.7	5.9	26.3	22.8	18.6	10.8	18.8	8.0	1.1
25-36 .....	436	17	60	147	79	82	47	3	1	9.3	2.6	4.9	11.4	11.7	15.6	23.3	6.0	1.1
37 and over .....	413	8	35	113	103	97	22	12	23	8.8	1.3	2.9	8.8	15.2	18.4	10.9	24.0	26.1
WITH VIOLATION .....	1,675	68	278	540	344	265	92	29	59	35.7	10.6	22.8	42.0	50.8	50.4	45.5	58.0	67.0
3 and under .....	433	21	81	132	94	71	24	6	4	9.2	3.3	6.6	10.3	13.9	13.5	11.9	12.0	4.5
4-6 .....	368	22	66	120	65	61	20	3	11	7.9	3.4	5.4	9.3	9.6	11.6	9.9	6.0	12.5
7-12 .....	396	14	80	118	85	54	23	7	15	8.4	2.2	6.6	9.2	12.6	10.3	11.4	14.0	17.0
13-24 .....	309	8	42	109	61	52	18	6	13	6.6	1.2	3.4	8.5	9.0	9.9	8.9	12.0	14.8
25-36 .....	125	3	8	46	30	23	5	3	7	2.7	0.5	0.7	3.6	4.4	4.4	2.5	6.0	8.0
37 and over .....	44		1	15	9	4	2	4	9	0.9		0.1	1.2	1.3	0.8	1.0	8.0	10.2
TECHNICAL .....	221	10	40	80	37	33	10	5	6	4.7	1.6	3.3	6.2	5.5	6.3	5.0	10.0	6.8
3 and under .....	44	3	13	15	7	6				0.9	0.5	1.1	1.2	1.0	1.1			
4-6 .....	40	3	13	10	6	8				0.8	0.5	1.1	0.8	0.9	1.5			
7-12 .....	55	2	11	21	9	5	4	1	2	1.2	0.3	0.9	1.6	1.3	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.3
13-24 .....	50		3	18	10	11	3	1	4	1.1		0.2	1.4	1.5	2.1	1.5	2.0	4.5
25-36 .....	22	2		11	4	3	2			0.5	0.3		0.8	0.6	0.6	1.0		
37 and over .....	10			5	1		1	3		0.2			0.4	0.2		0.5	6.0	
MINOR .....	498	22	77	176	101	77	32	4	9	10.6	3.4	6.3	13.7	14.9	14.6	15.8	8.0	10.2
3 and under .....	151	7	26	43	34	28	10	1	2	3.2	1.1	2.1	3.3	5.0	5.3	5.0	2.0	
4-6 .....	118	7	21	40	17	16	12	1	4	2.5	1.1	1.7	3.1	2.5	3.0	5.9	2.0	2.3
7-12 .....	106	7	23	35	19	13	7	2		2.3	1.1	1.9	2.7	2.8	2.5	3.5	4.0	4.5
13-24 .....	75	1	5	37	19	11	2			1.6	0.1	0.4	2.9	2.8	2.1	1.0		
25-36 .....	40		2	16	10	9	1		2	0.8		0.2	1.2	1.5	1.7	0.5		2.3
37 and over .....	8			5	2				1	0.2			0.4	0.3				1.1
MAJOR .....	956	36	161	284	206	155	50	20	44	20.4	5.6	13.2	22.1	30.4	29.5	24.7	40.0	50.0
3 and under .....	238	11	42	74	53	37	14	5	2	5.1	1.7	3.4	5.8	7.8	7.0	6.9	10.0	2.3
4-6 .....	210	12	32	70	42	37	8	2	7	4.5	1.9	2.6	5.4	6.2	7.0	4.0	4.0	7.9
7-12 .....	235	5	46	62	57	36	12	4	13	5.0	0.8	3.8	4.8	8.4	6.8	5.9	8.0	14.8
13-24 .....	184	7	34	54	32	30	13	5	9	3.9	1.1	2.8	4.2	4.7	5.7	6.4	10.0	10.2
25-36 .....	63	1	6	19	16	11	2	3	5	1.3	0.1	0.5	1.5	2.4	2.1	1.0	6.0	5.7
37 and over .....	26		1	5	6	4	1	1	8	0.6		0.1	0.4	0.9	0.8	0.5	2.0	9.1

<sup>1</sup>Percents may not add to totals due to rounding.

Table D 11. 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia

First Paroles of Persons with Regular Federal Sentences by Time  
Under Supervision Contrasted to Time Served in Confinement,  
Fiscal Year 1967

Time under supervision (in months)	Time Served in Confinement (in months)									Time Served in Confinement (in months)								
	Number									Percent <sup>1</sup>								
	Total	6 and under	7-12	13-18	19-24	25-36	37-48	49-60	61 and over	Total	6 and under	7-12	13-18	19-24	25-36	37-48	49-60	61 and over
TOTAL REMOVED.....	2,221	497	771	418	190	166	71	31	77	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
3 and under.....	888	440	279	96	31	26	8	3	.5	40.0	88.6	36.2	23.0	16.3	15.7	11.3	9.7	6.5
4-6.....	120	14	36	24	14	14	6	3	9	5.4	2.8	4.7	5.7	7.4	8.4	8.4	9.7	11.7
7-12.....	410	38	236	74	24	16	8	1	13	18.4	7.6	30.6	17.7	12.6	9.6	11.3	3.2	16.9
13-24.....	542	4	218	190	59	42	12	5	12	24.4	0.8	28.3	45.5	31.1	25.3	16.9	16.1	15.6
25-36.....	126		1	30	32	35	17	4	7	5.7		0.1	7.2	16.8	21.1	23.9	12.9	9.1
37 and over.....	135	1	1	4	30	33	20	15	31	6.1	0.2	0.1	0.9	15.8	19.9	28.2	48.4	40.2
NO VIOLATIONS.....	1,735	468	646	322	114	102	41	16	26	78.1	94.2	83.8	77.0	60.0	61.5	57.8	51.6	33.8
3 and under.....	733	428	225	63	7	7	1	1	1	33.0	86.2	29.2	15.1	3.7	4.2	1.4	3.2	1.3
4-6.....	7	3	2		1					0.3	0.6	0.3		0.5				3.2
7-12.....	301	33	204	53	5	4	1		1	13.6	6.6	26.5	12.7	2.6	2.4	1.4		1.3
13-24.....	473	3	213	172	48	28	7	1	1	21.3	0.6	27.6	41.1	25.3	16.9	9.9	3.2	1.3
25-36.....	105		1	30	25	32	14	2	1	4.7		0.1	7.2	13.2	19.3	19.7	6.5	1.3
37 and over.....	116	1	1	4	28	31	18	11	22	5.2	0.2	0.1	0.9	14.7	18.7	25.4	35.5	28.6
WITH VIOLATIONS.....	486	29	125	96	76	64	30	15	51	21.9	5.8	16.2	23.0	40.0	38.5	42.3	48.4	66.2
3 and under.....	155	12	54	33	24	19	7	2	4	7.0	2.4	7.0	7.9	12.6	11.4	9.9	6.5	5.2
4-6.....	113	11	34	24	13	14	6	2	9	5.1	2.2	4.4	5.7	6.8	8.4	8.5	6.5	11.7
7-12.....	109	5	32	21	19	12	7	1	12	4.9	1.0	4.2	5.0	10.0	7.2	9.9	3.2	15.6
13-24.....	69	1	5	18	11	14	5	4	11	3.1	0.2	0.6	4.3	5.8	8.4	7.0	12.9	14.3
25-36.....	21				7	3	3	2	6	0.9				3.7	1.8	4.2	6.5	7.8
37 and over.....	19				2	2	2	4	9	0.9				1.1	1.2	2.8	4.9	11.7
TECHNICAL.....	71	1	24	15	8	10	4	4	5	3.2	0.2	3.1	3.6	4.2	6.0	5.6	12.9	6.5
3 and under.....	20		11	7	1	1				0.9		1.4	1.7	0.5	0.6			
4-6.....	14	1	7	2	2	2				0.6	0.2	0.9	0.5	1.0	1.2			
7-12.....	17		5	4	2	2	1	1	2	0.8		0.6	0.9	1.0	1.2	1.4	3.2	2.6
13-24.....	14		1	2	2	5	1		3	0.6		0.1	0.5					

Table D 12. 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia  
 First Paroles of Persons with Indeterminate Sentences by Time under Supervision  
 Contrasted to Time Served in Confinement,  
 Fiscal Year 1967

Time under supervision (in months)	Time Served in Confinement (in months)									Time Served in Confinement (in months)								
	Total	Number								Total	Percent <sup>1</sup>							
		6 and under	7-12	13-18	19-24	25-36	37-48	49-60	61 and over		6 and under	7-12	13-18	19-24	25-36	37-48	49-60	61 and over
TOTAL REMOVED .....	695	85	194	210	110	60	15	13	8	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
3 and under .....	143	25	28	53	21	10	3	3	-	20.6	29.4	14.4	25.2	19.1	16.7	-	-	
4-6 .....	59	7	14	15	10	8	2	-	3	8.5	8.2	7.2	7.1	9.1	13.3	-	-	
7-12 .....	152	12	62	39	16	14	1	5	3	21.9	14.1	32.0	18.6	14.5	23.3	-	-	
13-24 .....	246	32	72	73	46	15	4	3	1	35.4	37.7	37.1	34.8	41.8	25.0	-	-	
25-36 .....	67	8	14	20	7	10	5	2	1	9.6	9.4	7.2	9.5	6.3	16.7	-	-	
37 and over .....	28	1	4	10	10	3	-	-	-	4.0	1.2	2.0	4.8	9.1	5.0	-	-	
NO VIOLATION .....	462	71	157	139	60	25	7	2	1	66.5	83.5	80.9	66.2	54.5	41.7	-	-	
3 and under .....	69	22	21	25	1	-	-	-	-	9.9	25.9	10.8	11.9	0.9	-	-	-	
4-6 .....	4	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	0.6	-	-	0.5	0.9	1.7	-	-	
7-12 .....	92	10	51	23	3	5	-	-	-	13.2	11.7	26.3	10.9	2.7	8.3	-	-	
13-24 .....	213	30	67	62	40	10	3	1	-	30.7	35.3	34.5	29.5	36.4	16.6	-	-	
25-36 .....	58	8	14	18	5	8	4	1	-	8.3	9.4	7.2	8.6	4.5	13.9	-	-	
37 and over .....	26	1	4	10	10	1	-	-	-	3.7	1.2	2.1	4.8	9.1	1.7	-	-	
WITH VIOLATION .....	233	14	37	71	50	35	8	11	7	33.5	16.5	19.1	33.8	45.5	58.3	-	-	
3 and under .....	74	3	7	28	20	10	3	3	-	10.6	3.5	3.6	13.3	18.2	16.7	-	-	
4-6 .....	55	7	14	14	9	7	2	-	2	7.9	8.2	7.2	6.7	8.2	11.7	-	-	
7-12 .....	60	2	11	16	13	9	1	5	3	8.6	2.4	5.6	7.6	11.8	15.0	-	-	
13-24 .....	33	2	5	11	6	5	1	2	1	4.7	2.4	2.6	5.2	5.5	8.3	-	-	
25-36 .....	9	-	-	2	2	2	1	1	1	1.3	-	-	0.9	1.8	3.3	-	-	
37 and over .....	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	0.3	-	-	-	3.3	-	-	-	
TECHNICAL .....	27	1	7	7	5	4	1	1	1	3.9	1.2	3.6	3.3	4.5	6.7	-	-	
3 and under .....	5	-	-	2	2	1	-	-	-	0.7	-	-	0.9	1.8	1.7	-	-	
4-6 .....	6	1	2	-	1	2	-	-	-	0.9	1.2	1.0	-	0.9	3.3	-	-	
7-12 .....	10	-	4	3	2	1	-	-	-	1.4	-	2.1	1.4	1.8	1.7	-	-	
13-24 .....	5	-	1	2	-	-	-	1	1	0.7	-	0.5	0.9	-	-	-	-	
25-36 .....	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
37 and over .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MINOR .....	63	6	9	26	10	6	2	2	2	9.1	7.1	4.6	12.4	9.1	10.0	-	-	
3 and under .....	29	1	4	12	6	4	1	1	-	4.2	1.2	2.1	5.7	5.5	6.7	-	-	
4-6 .....	13	3	4	3	1	1	-	-	1	1.9	3.5	2.1	1.4	0.9	1.7	-	-	
7-12 .....	15	2	1	8	2	-	1	1	-	2.2	2.4	0.5	3.8	1.8	-	-	-	
13-24 .....	4	-	-	3	1	-	-	-	-	0.6	-	-	1.4	0.9	-	-	-	
25-36 .....	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	0.3	-	-	-	1.7	-	-	-	
37 and over .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MAJOR .....	143	7	21	38	35	25	5	8	4	20.6	8.2	10.8	18.1	31.8	41.7	-	-	
3 and under .....	40	2	3	14	12	5	2	2	-	5.8	2.4	1.5	6.7	10.9	8.3	-	-	
4-6 .....	36	3	8	11	7	4	2	-	1	5.2	3.5	4.1	5.2	6.4	6.7	-	-	
7-12 .....	35	-	6	5	9	8	-	4	3	5.0	-	3.1	2.4	8.2	13.3	-	-	
13-24 .....	24	2	4	6	5	5	1	1	-	3.7	2.4	2.1	2.9	4.5	8.3	-	-	
25-36 .....	6	-	-	2	2	1	-	1	-	0.9	-	-	0.9	1.8	1.7	-	-	
37 and over .....	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	0.3	-	-	-	3.3	-	-	-	

<sup>1</sup> Percents may not add to totals due to rounding. Percent not computed where base is 25 or less.

Table D 13. 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia  
 First Paroles of Persons with Y.C.A. Sentences by Time under Supervision  
 Contrasted to Time Served in Confinement,  
 Fiscal Year 1967

Time under supervision (in months)	Time Served in Confinement (in months)									Time Served in Confinement (in months)								
	Total	Number								Total	Percent <sup>1</sup>							
		6 and under	7-12	13-18	19-24	25-36	37-48	49-60	61 and over		6 and under	7-12	13-18	19-24	25-36	37-48	49-60	61 and over
TOTAL REMOVED .....	1,311	42	154	446	293	257	110	6	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
3 and under .....	158	6	12	53	38	34	14	1	-	12.1	14.3	7.8	11.9	13.0	13.2	12.7	-	
4-6 .....	146	2	10	58	31	33	11	1	-	11.1	4.8	6.5	13.0	10.6	12.8	10.0	-	
7-12 .....	171	7	25	51	39	33	14	1	1	13.0	16.7	16.2	11.4	13.3	12.8	12.7	-	
13-24 .....	259	9	36	79	53	42	37	2	1	19.8	21.4	23.4	17.7	18.1	16.3	33.6	-	
25-36 .....	302	11	44	105	62	51	29	-	-	23.0	26.2	28.6	23.5	21.2	19.9	26.4	-	
37 and over .....	275	7	27	100	70	64	5	1	1	21.0	16.7	17.5	22.4	23.9	24.9	6.5	-	
NO VIOLATION .....	567	20	81	175	117	110	59	3	2	43.3	47.6	52.6	39.2	39.9	42.8	53.6	-	
3 and under .....	4	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	0.3	2.4	1.3	0.2	-	-	-	-	
4-6 .....	2	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	0.2	-	0.6	-	-	0.4	-	-	
7-12 .....	7	-	-	3	1	2	-	-	1	0.5	-	-	0.7	0.3	0.8	-	-	
13-24 .....	83	4	13	17	13	9	25	2	-	6.3	9.5	8.4	3.8	4.4	3.5	22.7	-	
25-36 .....	218	8	39	68	40	34	29	-	-	16.6	19.0	25.3	15.2	13.7	13.2	26.4	-	
37 and over .....	253	7	26	86	63	64	5	1	1	19.3	16.7	16.9	19.3	21.5	24.9	4.5	-	
WITH VIOLATION .....	744	22	73	271	176	147	51	3	1	56.8	52.4	47.4	60.8	60.1	57.2	46.4	-	
3 and under .....	154	5	10	52	38	34	14	1	-	11.7	11.9	6.5	11.7	13.0	13.2	12.7	-	
4-6 .....	144	2	9	59	31	32	11	1	-	11.0	4.8	5.8	13.0	10.6	12.5	10.0	-	
7-12 .....	164	7	25	48	38	31	14	1	-	12.5	16.7	16.2	10.8	13.0	12.1	12.7	-	
13-24 .....	176	5	23	62	40	33	12	-	1	13.4	11.9	14.9	13.9	13.6	12.8	10.9	-	
25-36 .....	84	3	5	37	22	17	-	-	-	6.4	7.1	3.3	8.3	7.5	6.6	-	-	
37 and over .....	22	-	1	14	7	-	-	-	-	1.7	-	0.6	3.1	2.4	-	-	-	
TECHNICAL .....	94	6	3	42	21	17	5	-	-	7.2	14.3	1.9	9.4	7.2	6.6	4.5	-	
3 and under .....	15	2	-	5	4	4	-	-	-	1.1	4.8	-	1.1	1.4	1.6	-	-	
4-6 .....	13	-	2	5	3	3	-	-	-	1.0	-	1.3	1.1	1.0	1.2	-	-	
7-12 .....	19	2	-	9	3	2	3	-	-	1.4	4.8	-	2.0	1.0	0.8	2.7	-	
13-24 .....	26	-	1	10	7	6	2	-	-	2.0	-	0.6	2.2	2.4	2.3	1.8	-	
25-36 .....	15	2	-	8	3	2	-	-	-	1.1	4.8	-	1.8	1.0	0.7	-	-	
37 and over .....	6	-	-	5	1	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	-	1.1	0.3	-	-	-	
MINOR .....	242	4	23	94	59	42	19	1	-	18.5	9.5	14.9	21.1	20.1	16.3	17.3	-	
3 and under .....	52	1	3	17	15	13	3	-	-	4.0	2.4	1.9	3.8	5.1	5.1	2.7	-	
4-6 .....	53	1	5	20	10	8	9	-	-	4.0	2.4	3.2	4.5	3.4	3.1	8.2	-	
7-12 .....	46	1	8	13	10	8	5	1	-	3.5	2.4	5.2	2.9	3.4	3.1	4.5	-	
13-24 .....	53	1	5	26	12	7	2	-	-	4.0	2.4	3.2	5.8	4.1	2.7	1.8	-	
25-36 .....	32	-	2	14	10	6	-	-	-	2.4	-	1.3	3.1	3.4	2.3	-	-	
37 and over .....	6	-	-	4	2	-	-											

Table D 14. 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia

First Paroles of Persons with FJDA Sentences by Time under Supervision Contrasted to Time Served in Confinement, Fiscal Year 1967

Time under supervision (in months)	Time Served in Confinement (in months)							Time Served in Confinement (in months)						
	Total	Number						Total	Percent <sup>1</sup>					
		6 and under	7-12	13-18	19-24	25-36	37-48		6 and under	7-12	13-18	19-24	25-36	37-48
<b>TOTAL REMOVED</b> .....	463	18	100	212	84	43	6	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-
3 and under .....	86	13	22	29	13	9	-	18.6	-	22.0	13.7	15.5	20.9	-
4-6 .....	57	2	9	25	12	8	1	12.3	-	9.0	11.8	14.3	18.6	-
7-12 .....	95	1	19	47	20	6	2	20.5	-	19.0	22.2	23.8	14.0	-
13-24 .....	140	1	37	60	29	10	3	30.2	-	37.0	28.3	34.5	23.3	-
25-36 .....	66	1	9	38	9	9	-	14.3	-	9.0	17.9	10.7	20.9	-
37 and over .....	19	-	4	13	1	1	-	4.1	-	4.0	6.1	1.2	2.3	-
<b>NO VIOLATION</b> .....	251	15	57	110	41	24	4	54.2	-	57.0	51.9	48.8	55.8	-
3 and under .....	36	12	12	10	1	1	-	7.8	-	12.0	4.7	1.2	2.3	-
4-6 .....	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	0.2	-	-	0.5	-	-	-
7-12 .....	32	1	7	14	5	4	1	6.9	-	7.0	6.6	6.0	9.3	-
13-24 .....	109	1	28	42	25	10	3	23.5	-	28.0	19.8	29.8	23.3	-
25-36 .....	55	1	6	21	9	8	-	11.9	-	6.0	14.6	10.7	18.6	-
37 and over .....	18	-	4	12	1	1	-	3.9	-	4.0	5.7	1.2	2.3	-
<b>WITH VIOLATION</b> .....	212	3	43	102	43	19	2	45.8	-	43.0	48.1	51.2	44.2	-
3 and under .....	50	1	10	19	12	8	-	10.8	-	10.0	9.0	14.3	18.6	-
4-6 .....	56	2	-	24	12	8	1	12.1	-	9.0	11.3	14.3	18.6	-
7-12 .....	63	-	12	33	15	2	1	13.6	-	12.0	15.6	17.9	4.7	-
13-24 .....	31	-	9	18	4	-	-	6.7	-	9.0	8.5	4.8	-	-
25-36 .....	11	-	3	7	-	1	-	2.4	-	3.0	3.3	-	2.3	-
37 and over .....	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	0.2	-	-	0.5	-	-	-
<b>TECHNICAL</b> .....	29	2	6	16	3	2	-	6.3	-	6.0	7.5	3.6	4.6	-
3 and under .....	4	1	2	1	-	-	-	0.9	-	2.0	0.5	-	-	-
4-6 .....	7	1	2	3	-	1	-	1.5	-	2.0	1.4	-	2.3	-
7-12 .....	9	-	2	5	2	-	-	1.9	-	2.0	2.3	2.4	-	-
13-24 .....	5	-	-	4	1	-	-	1.1	-	-	1.9	1.2	-	-
25-36 .....	4	-	-	3	-	1	-	0.9	-	-	1.4	-	2.3	-
37 and over .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>MINOR</b> .....	41	-	6	24	5	4	2	8.8	-	6.0	11.4	6.0	9.3	-
3 and under .....	4	-	-	2	1	1	-	0.9	-	-	0.9	1.2	2.3	-
4-6 .....	15	-	3	7	2	2	1	3.2	-	3.0	3.3	2.4	4.6	-
7-12 .....	16	-	3	9	2	1	1	3.5	-	3.0	4.3	2.4	2.3	-
13-24 .....	3	-	-	3	-	-	-	0.6	-	-	1.4	-	-	-
25-36 .....	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	0.4	-	-	0.9	-	-	-
37 and over .....	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	0.2	-	-	0.5	-	-	-
<b>MAJOR</b> .....	142	1	31	62	35	13	-	30.7	-	31.0	29.2	41.6	30.2	-
3 and under .....	42	-	8	16	11	7	-	9.1	-	8.0	7.5	13.1	16.3	-
4-6 .....	34	1	4	14	10	5	-	7.3	-	4.0	6.6	11.9	11.6	-
7-12 .....	38	-	7	19	11	1	-	8.2	-	7.0	9.0	13.1	2.3	-
13-24 .....	23	-	9	11	3	-	-	5.0	-	9.0	5.2	3.6	-	-
25-36 .....	5	-	3	2	-	-	-	1.1	-	3.0	0.9	-	-	-
37 and over .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>1</sup> Percents may not add to totals due to rounding. Percent not shown where base is 25 or less.

FIGURE D

United States District Courts and the District of Columbia

Persons Removed from Parole Supervision Showing Time Served in Confinement Fiscal Years 1966 and 1967

Fiscal Year and sentence procedure under which committed	Total from first parole		Time served in confinement			
	Number	Percent with no violation	2 years or less		2 years or more	
			Number	Percent with no violation	Number	Percent with no violation
<b>Fiscal Year 1966</b>						
<b>TOTAL FIRST PAROLES</b>	4,402	67.0	3,580	71.1	822	49.1
Percent .....	100.0	-	81.3	-	18.7	-
Adult regular .....	2,036	82.5	1,741	87.0	295	58.6
Percent .....	100.0	-	85.5	-	14.5	-
Adult indeterminate	511	70.5	437	76.9	74	32.4
Percent .....	100.0	-	85.5	-	14.5	-
Youth Corrections Act .....	1,375	45.8	972	46.1	403	45.2
Percent .....	100.0	-	70.7	-	29.3	-
Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act ..	480	58.3	430	77.0	50	49.0
Percent .....	100.0	-	89.6	-	10.4	-
<b>Fiscal Year 1967</b>						
<b>TOTAL FIRST PAROLES</b>	4,690	64.3	3,824	67.8	866	48.6
Percent .....	100.0	-	81.5	-	18.5	-
Adult regular .....	3,221	78.1	1,876	82.6	345	53.6
Percent .....	100.0	-	84.5	-	15.5	-
Adult indeterminate	695	83.5	599	71.3	96	36.5
Percent .....	100.0	-	86.2	-	13.8	-
Youth Corrections Act .....	1,311	43.3	935	42.0	376	46.3
Percent .....	100.0	-	71.3	-	28.7	-
Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act ..	463	54.2	414	53.9	49	57.1
Percent .....	100.0	-	89.4	-	10.6	-

Table D 15. 89 United States District Courts and The District of Columbia  
 First Paroles Removed from Supervision by Term  
 of Parole and Time Supervised,  
 Fiscal Year 1967

Time Under Supervision (in months)	Term of Parole (in months)									Term of Parole (in months)								
	Total	Number								Total	Percent <sup>1</sup>							
		3 and under	4-6	7-12	13-24	25-36	37-48	49-60	61 and over		3 and under	4-6	7-12	13-24	25-36	37-48	49-60	61 and over
Total Removed	4,690	-	439	988	1,219	489	499	760	296	100.0	-	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
3 and under	1,275	-	428	473	151	42	61	96	24	27.2	-	97.5	47.9	12.4	8.6	12.2	12.6	8.1
4 - 6	382	-	9	58	95	53	56	86	25	8.1	-	2.1	5.9	7.8	10.8	11.2	11.3	8.4
7 - 12	828	-	2	447	117	59	69	87	47	17.7	-	0.4	45.2	9.6	12.1	13.8	11.4	15.9
13 - 24	1,187	-	-	10	850	61	68	135	63	25.3	-	-	1.0	69.7	12.5	13.6	17.8	21.3
25 - 36	561	-	-	-	6	264	68	175	48	12.0	-	-	-	0.5	54.0	13.6	23.0	16.2
37 and over	457	-	-	-	-	10	177	181	89	9.7	-	-	-	-	2.0	35.5	23.8	30.1
No Violation	3,015	-	424	833	820	282	223	304	129	64.3	-	96.6	84.4	67.3	57.7	44.7	40.0	43.6
3 and under	842	-	419	414	3	1	1	1	3	18.0	-	95.4	41.9	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	1.0
4 - 6	14	-	3	1	2	3	1	1	3	0.3	-	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.1	1.8
7 - 12	432	-	2	409	12	1	3	4	1	9.2	-	0.4	41.4	1.0	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.3
13 - 24	878	-	-	9	798	15	11	28	17	18.7	-	-	0.9	65.5	3.1	2.2	3.7	5.7
25 - 36	436	-	-	-	5	252	33	113	33	9.3	-	-	-	0.4	51.5	6.6	14.9	11.2
37 and over	413	-	-	-	-	10	174	157	72	8.8	-	-	-	-	2.0	34.9	20.7	24.3
With Violation	1,675	-	15	155	399	207	276	456	167	35.7	-	3.4	15.7	32.7	42.3	55.3	60.0	56.4
3 and under	433	-	9	59	148	41	60	95	21	9.2	-	2.1	6.0	12.1	8.4	12.0	12.5	7.1
4 - 6	368	-	6	57	93	50	55	85	22	7.8	-	1.4	5.8	7.6	10.2	11.0	11.1	7.4
7 - 12	396	-	-	38	105	58	66	83	46	8.4	-	-	3.8	8.6	11.9	13.2	10.9	15.5
13 - 24	309	-	-	1	52	46	57	107	46	6.6	-	-	0.1	4.3	9.4	11.4	14.1	15.5
25 - 36	125	-	-	-	1	12	35	62	15	2.7	-	-	-	0.1	2.4	7.0	8.2	5.1
37 and over	44	-	-	-	-	-	3	24	17	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	0.6	3.2	5.7
Technical	221	-	3	2	50	26	34	62	24	4.7	-	0.7	2.2	4.1	5.3	6.8	8.2	8.1
3 and under	44	-	1	7	15	4	7	8	2	0.9	-	0.2	0.7	1.2	0.8	1.4	1.1	0.7
4 - 6	40	-	2	8	7	8	6	7	2	0.8	-	0.5	0.8	0.6	1.6	1.2	0.9	0.7
7 - 12	55	-	-	7	19	5	5	12	7	1.2	-	-	0.7	1.6	1.0	1.0	1.6	2.4
13 - 24	50	-	-	-	9	6	10	16	9	1.1	-	-	-	0.7	1.2	2.0	2.1	3.0
25 - 36	22	-	-	-	-	3	6	11	2	0.5	-	-	-	-	0.6	1.2	1.4	0.7
37 and over	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	2	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.1	0.7
Minor	498	-	4	57	111	61	72	153	40	10.6	-	0.9	5.8	9.1	12.5	14.4	20.1	13.5
3 and under	151	-	3	24	45	12	24	35	8	3.2	-	0.7	2.4	3.7	2.5	4.8	4.6	2.7
4 - 6	118	-	1	18	30	19	9	31	10	2.5	-	0.2	1.8	2.5	3.9	1.8	4.1	3.4
7 - 12	106	-	-	14	31	16	16	21	8	2.3	-	-	1.4	2.5	3.3	3.2	2.8	2.7
13 - 24	75	-	-	1	5	12	13	36	8	1.6	-	-	0.1	0.4	2.4	2.6	4.7	2.7
25 - 36	40	-	-	-	-	2	9	24	5	0.8	-	-	-	-	0.4	1.8	3.1	1.7
37 and over	8	-	-	-	-	-	1	6	1	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	0.8	0.3
Major	956	-	8	76	238	120	170	241	103	20.4	-	1.8	7.7	19.5	24.5	34.1	31.7	34.8
3 and under	238	-	5	28	88	25	29	52	11	5.1	-	1.1	2.8	7.2	5.1	5.8	6.8	3.7
4 - 6	210	-	3	31	56	23	40	47	10	4.5	-	0.7	3.1	4.6	4.7	8.0	6.2	3.4
7 - 12	235	-	-	17	55	37	45	50	31	5.0	-	-	1.7	4.5	7.6	9.0	6.6	10.5
13 - 24	184	-	-	-	38	28	34	55	29	3.9	-	-	-	3.1	5.7	6.8	7.2	9.8
25 - 36	63	-	-	-	1	7	20	27	8	1.3	-	-	-	0.1	1.4	4.0	3.6	2.7
37 and over	26	-	-	-	-	-	2	10	14	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	0.4	1.3	4.7

<sup>1</sup> Percents may not add to totals due to rounding.

Figure D gives a two year comparison, by sentence procedure, between parolees serving less than 2 years in confinement and parolees with terms of over 2 years. In 1967, persons with prison terms longer than 24 months had a violation rate higher than any other except for those sentenced under the Youth Corrections Act and the Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act. The violation rates remained about the same for these two groups regardless of the length of the time served in confinement.

Table D 15 indicates that those offenders with a longer period of parole supervision have a greater chance of violation. More than one-half of the parolees with terms greater than two years violated their parole. Of particular importance is the fact that the violation rates are the highest during the early part of supervision. In 1967 there was an overall 35.7 percent violation rate. The greatest proportion of those violations (9.2 percent of the total removed) took place in the first three months of parole and over half of those who violated parole were involved in the major offenses. This is clearly shown in Chart 7.

## II. MANDATORY RELEASES

Table D 1 above describes mandatory releasees in terms of the type of sentence originally imposed by the court and the violation rates while under supervision. The latter category is divided into technical, minor, and major violations. Additional detailed information may be found in Tables D 16 to D 20 -a

Persons released on mandatory release include the poorer risks for supervision within the community and for this reason were not granted parole at an earlier date. Thus they served longer periods in prison and spent considerably less time under supervision than parolees. In 1967 the average period of supervision for persons on parole was 30 months while the average period for mandatory release supervision was only 12 months.

### Persons Received for Supervision



Table D 16 shows the marital status, prior criminal record and educational attainment, by age, for the persons received for mandatory release supervision. These persons were older than the parolees. Only nine percent of them were under 24 years of age compared to 34 percent of the persons received for parole supervision who were under 24 years of age. The median age of persons received for mandatory release supervision was 37 years, the same as in 1966.

CHART 7

89 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FIRST PAROLEES REMOVED FROM SUPERVISION SHOWING TIME SERVED IN CONFINEMENT AND TERM OF PAROLE

FISCAL YEAR 1967



No violation
  With violation

Source: Administrative Office of the United States Courts



Table D 16. 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia

Age of First Mandatory Releases Received for Supervision Showing  
Marital Status, Prior Criminal Record and School Attainment,  
Fiscal Year 1967

Marital status, prior criminal record and last grade completed	First mandatory releases received		Percent age 24 and under	Percent age 25 - 39	Percent age 40 and over	Age (in years)		
	Number	Percent				10% under age	Median age	10% over age
TOTAL.....	2,513	100.0	8.9	53.8	37.3	25.7	36.9	51.6
Single.....	862	34.3	23.5	56.3	20.2	20.9	31.2	45.7
Married.....	761	30.3	2.0	57.0	41.0	28.8	38.3	53.0
Divorced or separated..	838	33.3	0.8	50.2	48.9	30.8	39.8	52.8
Widowed.....	52	2.1	-	23.6	76.4	34.5	45.5	69.5
TOTAL, Prior criminal record reported.....	1,560	100.0	9.7	52.5	37.8	25.7	36.9	51.6
No prior record.....	107	6.9	21.5	55.1	23.4	21.1	32.5	45.7
Total with prior record.....	1,453	93.1	8.8	52.4	38.8	-	-	-
Prior probation.....	100	6.4	20.0	57.0	23.0	20.6	32.5	47.8
Prior jail or juvenile.....	222	14.2	34.7	50.5	14.9	19.9	28.6	42.9
Prior prison.....	1,131	72.5	2.8	52.3	44.9	29.0	38.9	54.1
TOTAL.....	2,513	100.0	8.9	53.8	37.3	25.7	36.9	51.6
No schooling <sup>1</sup> .....	25	1.0	-	-	-	30.2	41.5	57.5
Grades 1 - 6.....	432	17.2	3.5	45.3	51.2	28.8	40.4	57.1
" 7 - 8.....	719	28.6	15.0	49.1	35.9	21.8	36.4	52.4
" 9 - 11.....	960	38.2	9.5	60.7	29.8	25.5	34.9	47.7
" 12.....	304	12.1	3.4	59.2	37.4	28.5	37.9	48.9
" 13 - 15.....	63	2.5	3.3	45.9	50.8	30.0	40.3	56.9
" 16 and over <sup>1</sup> ....	10	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Percent 12th grade and over.....	-	15.0	5.4	15.6	16.4	-	-	-

<sup>1</sup>Computations not made where base is 25 or less.



Figure E

First Mandatory Releases Received for Supervision by Sex, Age, Marital Status, Prior Criminal Record and School Attainment Fiscal Years 1965 to 1967

Characteristics	Fiscal Year		
	1965	1966	1967
Number.....	2,975	2,725	2,513
Percent-Male.....	NA	96.2	95.7
Age - Percent.....	100.0	100.0	100.0
24 years & under.....	8.8	9.0	8.9
25 - 39 years .....	56.1	53.3	53.9
40 and over.....	35.1	37.7	37.2
Median age (in years).....	36.8	36.8	36.9
Marital status - Percent.....	100.0	100.0	100.0
Single.....	31.8	33.1	34.2
Married.....	35.0	33.5	30.3
Divorced or separated.....	32.0	32.1	33.3
Widowed.....	1.2	1.3	2.2
Prior criminal record - Percent.....	100.0	100.0	100.0
No record.....	8.1	NA	6.9
Minor record <sup>1</sup> .....	24.7	NA	20.6
Major record <sup>2</sup> .....	67.2	NA	72.5
School attainment			
Percent - 12th grade and over.....	15.7	16.2	15.0
Median school grade completed.....	9.1	9.4	9.2

NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>Includes any previous commitment to an institution of under one year to confinement, any commitment under juvenile delinquency procedure, probation, suspended sentence or fine.

<sup>2</sup>Includes any previous commitment of over one year to confinement.

Marital Status

Of the 2,513 persons received on mandatory release, 862 or 34 percent were never married. Their median age was 31 years. Two out of three mandatory releasees had been previously married. This large proportion may be attributed to the higher age level of this group.

Educational Status

Eighty-five percent of the persons received for mandatory release supervision were "drop-outs", having never completed the 12th grade. The median grade completed by persons received for mandatory release supervision was 9.2.

Prior Record

Ninety-three percent of the persons received on mandatory release supervision had a prior criminal record, compared with a figure of 80 percent for parolees. The median age for the 73 percent of the mandatory releasees who had prior prison records was 39 years.

Figure E shows the sex, age, marital status, and prior criminal record of mandatory releasees received for supervision during the fiscal years 1965 through 1967.

Type of Offense

Table D 17 shows the marital status, prior criminal record, and educational attainment of persons received for mandatory release supervision, by the offense by which they were convicted. Thirty percent of the persons received for supervision had been convicted of auto theft. Ninety-seven percent in this group had a previous criminal record. The median years of education among the auto thieves was only 8.8 years.

Narcotics offenders made up the second largest group of mandatory releasees, 26 percent. The Narcotic Addict Control Act of 1956 provides for mandatory terms of not less than 10 years for second and subsequent offenders. Under this Act, parole is prohibited for the majority of persons convicted and sentenced to prison for narcotic offenses. Therefore, most narcotic offenders are eligible to be released only under the mandatory release provisions of law.

Table D 17. 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia  
 First Mandatory Releases Received for Supervision Showing Marital Status, Prior Criminal Record  
 and School Attainment, by Offense, Fiscal Year 1967

Offense Class <sup>2</sup>	Number of first mandatory releases received	Age <sup>1</sup>				Marital status <sup>1</sup>				*Prior Criminal Record <sup>1</sup>			School attainment	
		Percent age 24 and under	Percent age 25 - 39	Percent age 40 and over	Median age	Percent single	Percent married	Percent divorced or separated	Percent widowed	Percent No record	Percent Minor record <sup>3</sup>	Percent Major record <sup>4</sup>	Percent 12th grade and over	Median school grade completed
TORA .....	2,513	8.9	53.9	37.2	36.9	34.2	30.3	33.3	2.2	6.9	20.6	72.5	15.0	9.2
<b>SPECIAL OFFENSES</b>														
Immigration laws ...	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wagering tax violations .....	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Federal regulatory statutes .....	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>CLASS I</b>														
Fraud - Group A ....	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Embezzlement .....	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Obscene mail .....	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>CLASS II</b>														
Income tax fraud ...	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other fraud <sup>2</sup> .....	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>CLASS III</b>														
Liquor, Internal Revenue .....	93	-	22.6	77.4	47.1	19.4	51.6	23.6	5.4	1.3	13.0	85.7	3.2	5.2
<b>CLASS IV</b>														
Theft .....	173	8.7	51.4	39.9	37.3	34.3	29.1	34.9	1.7	3.5	17.4	79.1	16.2	9.4
Postal fraud .....	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Forgery .....	231	3.5	57.6	39.0	37.7	33.9	24.3	38.7	33	3.8	14.6	81.6	14.7	9.3
<b>CLASS V</b>														
Border registration, addicts .....	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Assault and homicide .....	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Misc. general offenses .....	34	11.8	44.1	44.1	38.3	55.9	17.6	26.5	-	9.5	19.1	71.4	5.9	8.6
<b>CLASS VI</b>														
Counterfeiting .....	37	-	32.4	67.6	41.7	18.9	43.3	35.1	2.7	3.9	11.5	84.6	18.9	9.4
Burglary .....	58	10.3	62.1	27.6	33.5	31.0	34.5	34.5	-	9.5	16.7	73.8	8.6	8.8
Interstate transportation of stolen property .....	150	2.7	46.3	51.0	40.1	23.4	30.0	43.3	3.3	1.1	8.9	90.0	30.7	10.4
Marihuana .....	107	3.7	69.2	27.1	36.7	26.2	48.6	25.2	-	11.6	32.6	55.8	15.9	8.5
Selective Service Act .....	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other national defense laws .....	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sex offenses .....	34	5.9	61.8	32.3	34.5	32.3	32.3	35.4	-	9.1	27.3	63.6	8.8	8.2
<b>CLASS VII</b>														
Auto theft .....	754	20.1	50.7	29.2	32.9	44.0	17.0	37.8	1.2	3.4	20.4	76.2	12.7	8.8
<b>CLASS VIII</b>														
Narcotics .....	643	1.9	63.5	34.6	37.0	28.1	42.6	26.3	3.0	15.0	29.7	55.3	12.9	9.7
Robbery .....	95	2.1	49.5	48.4	39.6	35.1	24.5	38.3	2.1	11.1	17.5	71.4	17.9	9.7

<sup>1</sup>Percents add to 100.0.

<sup>2</sup>Computations not made where total is 25 or less.

<sup>3</sup>Includes any previous commitment to an institution under one year to confinement, any commitment under juvenile delinquency procedure, probation, suspended sentence or fine.

<sup>4</sup>Includes any previous commitment of over one year to confinement.


\*Based on 62 percent of the mandatory releases where prior criminal record was reported.

CHART B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS AND  
 THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

MANDATORY RELEASEES REMOVED FROM SUPERVISION  
 WITH NO VIOLATION AND WITH VIOLATION

FISCAL YEARS 1963-1967



ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS

Persons convicted of the interstate transportation of stolen property showed the highest level of educational attainment among mandatory releasees as well as the highest divorce rate. The median years of educational attainment was 10 and the divorce rate was 43 percent. More than one-half of the liquor law violators had never completed the 5th grade.

The oldest persons were those who had been convicted of liquor law violations (median 47 years). Auto thieves were only 32 years of age.

#### Violation Rates

Of the 2,571 persons removed from mandatory release supervision during 1967, 23 percent violated the conditions of release. The major violation rate was 12.6 percent and the minor and technical violation rates together were 10.2 percent. Twenty-two percent of the robbers had major violations compared to 17 percent in 1966. The major violation rate for the small group of counterfeiters on supervision decreased from 18.4 percent in 1966 to 2.3 percent in 1967. Sex offenders showed a decrease in major violations from 13 percent in 1966 to 5 percent in 1967.

Chart 8 shows the number of mandatory releasees removed from supervision during the fiscal years 1963 through 1967 according to violation rates. Table 19 shows the three year violation rate for first mandatory releases, by type of sentence originally imposed by the sentencing court. In 1967 persons sentenced under the provisions of the Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act had only a 13 percent violation rate, whereas 27 percent of the adults with indeterminate sentences violated their supervision.

Figure F gives a comparison of the violation rates for persons on parole and mandatory release, by sentencing procedure, for the past three years. In 1967 the violation rate for adults sentenced under regular sentencing procedures (definite sentence) was almost the same, 22 percent for both parolees and mandatory releasees. Of the 695 parolees with indeterminate sentences, the violation rate was 16.5 percent for parolees compared with 27.4 percent for the 427 mandatory releasees with similar sentences. Among persons originally sentenced under the Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act, parolees had a higher violation rate than the mandatory releasees, 48.8 percent compared with 13.4 percent.

FIGURE F

89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia

First Paroles and First Mandatory Releases  
Removed from Supervision by Type of Sentence  
Fiscal Years 1965, 1966 and 1967

Type of Sentence	Total first releases		First paroles		First mandatory releases	
	Total removed	Percent violations	Total removed	Percent violations	Total removed	Percent violation
<u>1965</u>						
<u>TOTAL FIRST RELEASES</u>	7,305	31.0	4,429	35.2	2,876	24.5
<u>Adult</u>						
Regular .....	4,506	21.6	2,156	17.8	2,340	25.2
Indeterminate ....	717	29.1	376	33.0	341	24.9
Youth Corrections Act .....	1,405	59.9	1,405	59.9	-	-
Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act ..	687	35.2	492	42.7	195	16.4
<u>1966</u>						
<u>TOTAL FIRST RELEASES</u>	7,182	29.6	4,402	33.0	2,780	24.2
<u>Adult</u>						
Regular .....	4,212	20.9	2,036	17.5	2,176	24.0
Indeterminate ....	961	29.3	511	29.5	456	29.1
Youth Corrections Act .....	1,375	54.2	1,375	54.2	-	-
Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act ..	634	34.5	480	41.7	154	12.3
<u>1967</u>						
<u>TOTAL FIRST RELEASES</u>	7,427	30.6	4,690	35.7	2,571	22.8
<u>Adult</u>						
Regular .....	4,231	21.2	2,221	21.9	2,010	22.4
Indeterminate ....	1,122	20.7	695	16.5	427	27.4
Youth Corrections Act .....	1,311	56.7	1,311	56.7	-	-
Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act ..	597	38.5	463	45.8	134	13.4

Table D 18. 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia  
First Mandatory Releases Removed from Supervision by Violation and Offense,  
Fiscal Year 1967


Offense Class	Total removed	No Violation		With Violation							
		Num-ber	Per-cent <sup>1</sup>	Total		Technical		Minor		Major	
				Num-ber	Per-cent <sup>1</sup>	Num-ber	Per-cent <sup>1</sup>	Num-ber	Per-cent <sup>1</sup>	Num-ber	Per-cent <sup>1</sup>
TOTAL .....	2,571	1,986	77.2	585	22.8	71	2.8	191	7.4	323	12.6
<u>SPECIAL OFFENSES</u>											
Immigration laws..	2	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Wagering tax violations .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Federal regulatory statutes .....	8	7	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
<u>CLASS I</u>											
Fraud - Group A ..	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Embezzlement .....	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Obscene mail .....	7	6	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
<u>CLASS II</u>											
Income tax fraud..	7	6	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Other fraud .....	16	15	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
<u>CLASS III</u>											
Liquor, Internal Revenue .....	110	104	94.5	6	5.5	-	-	2	1.8	4	3.6
<u>CLASS IV</u>											
Theft .....	164	139	84.8	25	15.2	2	1.2	9	5.5	14	8.5
Postal fraud .....	15	12	-	3	-	-	-	1	-	2	-
Forgery .....	229	167	72.9	62	27.1	7	3.1	25	10.9	30	13.1
<u>CLASS V</u>											
Border registra-tion, addicts...	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Assault and homicide .....	20	15	-	5	-	2	-	-	-	3	-
Misc. general offenses .....	36	28	77.8	8	22.2	1	2.8	3	8.3	4	11.1
<u>CLASS VI</u>											
Counterfeiting ...	43	40	93.0	3	7.0	1	2.3	1	2.3	1	2.3
Burglary .....	61	45	73.8	16	26.2	1	1.6	7	11.5	8	13.1
Interstate trans- portation of stolen property.	147	110	74.8	37	25.5	2	1.4	19	12.9	16	10.9
Marihuana .....	134	116	86.6	18	13.4	2	1.5	6	4.5	10	7.5
Selective Service Act.....	5	4	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Other national defense laws....	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sex offenses.....	38	35	92.1	3	7.9	1	2.6	-	-	2	5.3
<u>CLASS VII</u>											
Auto theft.....	780	565	72.4	215	27.6	28	3.6	97	12.4	90	11.5
<u>CLASS VIII</u>											
Narcotics.....	634	490	77.3	144	22.7	16	2.5	12	1.9	116	18.3
Robbery.....	93	59	63.4	34	36.6	6	6.5	8	8.6	20	21.5

<sup>1</sup> Percents may not add to totals due to rounding. Percent not computed where base is 25 or less.

Table D 19  
89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia

First Mandatory Releases Removed from Supervision by Violation and  
Type of Sentence, Fiscal Years 1965 - 1967  
(First Removals only)

Type of Sentence	Total removed	No Violation		With Violation							
		Number	Per-cent	Total		Technical		Minor		Major	
				Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent	Number	Per-cent
<u>1965</u>											
FIRST MANDATORY RELEASE - TOTAL ..	2,876	2,170	75.5	706	24.5	40	1.4	205	7.1	461	16.0
<u>Adult</u>											
Regular .....	2,340	1,751	74.8	589	25.2	37	1.6	160	6.8	392	16.8
Indeterminate ...	341	256	75.1	85	24.9	3	0.9	38	11.1	44	12.9
<u>Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act ..</u>											
	195	163	83.6	32	16.4	-	-	7	3.6	25	12.8
<u>1966</u>											
FIRST MANDATORY RELEASE - TOTAL ..	2,780	2,108	75.8	672	24.2	45	1.6	229	8.3	398	14.3
<u>Adult</u>											
Regular .....	2,176	1,654	76.0	522	24.0	39	1.8	164	7.5	319	14.7
Indeterminate ...	450	319	70.9	131	29.1	5	1.1	57	12.7	69	15.3
<u>Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act ..</u>											
	154	135	87.7	19	12.3	1	0.6	8	5.2	10	6.5
<u>1967</u>											
FIRST MANDATORY RELEASE - TOTAL ..	2,571	1,986	77.2	585	22.8	71	2.8	191	7.4	323	12.6
<u>Adult</u>											
Regular .....	2,010	1,560	77.6	450	22.4	48	2.4	140	7.0	262	13.0
Indeterminate ...	427	310	72.6	117	27.4	20	4.7	46	10.8	51	11.9
<u>Federal Juvenile Delinquency Act ..</u>											
	134	116	86.6	18	13.4	3	2.2	5	3.7	10	7.5



**CONTINUED**

**2 OF 3**

Table D 19-a First Mandatory Releases Removed from Supervision by Offense Class and Age Group, Fiscal Year 1967

Offense Class and Age Group (in years)	Total	No Violation		With Violation							
		Number	Per- cent	Total		Technical		Minor		Major	
				Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
<b>TOTAL REMOVED</b> .....	2,571	1,986	77.2	585	22.8	71	2	191	7.4	323	12.6
Under 25 .....	199	170	85.4	29	14.6	4	2	7	3.5	18	9.0
25 to 39 .....	1,320	1,022	77.4	298	22.6	36	2.7	80	6.1	182	13.8
40 and over .....	1,052	794	75.5	258	24.5	31	2.9	104	9.9	123	11.7
<b>SPECIAL OFFENSES</b> .....	10	8	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-
Under 25 .....	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25 to 39 .....	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40 and over .....	6	4	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-
<b>CLASS I (Fraud, embezzlement, obscene mail)</b> .....	13	12	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Under 25 .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25 to 39 .....	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40 and over .....	9	8	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
<b>CLASS II (Income tax fraud, other fraud)</b> .....	23	21	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	1	-
Under 25 .....	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25 to 39 .....	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40 and over .....	15	13	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	1	-
<b>CLASS III (Liquor laws)</b> .....	110	104	94.5	6	5.5	-	-	2	1.8	4	3.6
Under 25 .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25 to 39 .....	21	19	-	2	-	-	-	1	-	1	-
40 and over .....	89	85	95.5	4	4.5	-	-	1	1.1	3	3.4
<b>CLASS IV (Theft, postal fraud, forgery)</b> .....	408	318	77.9	90	22.1	9	2.2	35	8.6	46	11.3
Under 25 .....	21	20	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
25 to 39 .....	213	163	76.5	50	23.5	4	1.9	19	8.9	27	12.7
40 and over .....	174	135	77.6	39	22.4	5	2.9	16	9.2	18	10.3
<b>CLASS V (Border reg. addicts, assault and homicide, misc. general offenses)</b> .....	71	58	81.7	13	18.3	3	4.2	3	4.2	7	9.9
Under 25 .....	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25 to 39 .....	38	30	76.9	8	21.1	1	2.6	-	-	7	18.4
40 and over .....	23	18	-	5	-	2	-	3	-	-	-
<b>CLASS VI (Counterfeiting, burglary trans. of stolen proper- ty, marihuana, National defense laws, sex offenses)</b> .....	429	351	81.8	78	18.2	8	1.9	33	7.7	37	8.6
Under 25 .....	7	4	-	3	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
25 to 39 .....	224	185	82.6	39	17.4	4	1.8	12	5.3	23	10.3
40 and over .....	198	162	81.8	36	18.2	3	1.5	20	10.1	13	6.6
<b>CLASS VII (Auto theft)</b> .....	780	565	72.4	215	27.6	28	3.7	97	12.4	90	11.5
Under 25 .....	153	130	85.0	23	15.0	3	2.0	6	3.9	14	9.1
25 to 39 .....	383	289	75.5	94	24.5	15	3.9	37	9.7	42	11.0
40 and over .....	244	146	59.8	98	40.2	10	4.1	54	22.1	34	13.9
<b>CLASS VIII (Narcotics and robbery)</b>	727	549	75.5	178	24.5	22	3.0	20	2.8	136	18.7
Under 25 .....	5	3	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-
25 to 39 .....	428	323	75.5	105	24.5	12	2.8	11	2.6	82	19.1
40 and over .....	294	223	75.9	71	24.1	10	3.4	9	3.1	52	17.7

As previously noted, parolees have shorter terms of confinement, but longer periods of supervision than do persons under mandatory release supervision. It is not surprising therefore that mandatory releasees who spend less time under supervision in the community have a lower violation rate. The overall violation rate for parolees was 36 percent compared to 23 percent for persons serving under mandatory release supervision.

Table D 19-a, showing removals from mandatory release supervision, corresponds to Table D 11 supra for parolees. The figures in Table D 19-a indicate that only 8 percent of the mandatory releasees are under the age of 25. The largest group were in the age span of 25 to 39 years (51 percent). The violation rate, (85 percent), however, was highest for the 199 persons under the age of 25. The comparative figures for parolees and mandatory releasees removed from supervision, according to age group, are shown below:

	Total		Percent	
	Number	Percent	No violation	With violation
<b>Total first parolees removed</b> .....	4,690	100.0	64.3	35.7
Under age 25 .....	1,467	31.3	44.0	56.0
Age 25 to 39 .....	2,232	47.6	67.9	32.1
Age 40 and over .....	991	21.1	86.3	13.7
<b>Total first mandatory releasees removed</b> .....	2,571	100.0	77.2	22.8
Under age 25 .....	199	7.7	85.4	14.6
Age 25 to 39 .....	1,320	51.3	77.4	22.6
Age 40 and over .....	1,052	40.9	75.5	24.5

Time Served in Confinement

The figures in Table D 20 show the relationship between the amount of time served in confinement and the violation rate. The violation rate was only 1.8 percent for mandatory releasees who served 13-18 months in confinement, compared to 40 percent for persons confined over 48 months. Table D 10 shows a similar trend among parolees. Table D 20 also illustrates that the violations occurred mainly in the early months of supervision. While the overall violation rate for persons on mandatory release was 22.8 percent, 10.3 percent of all mandatory releasees violated their supervision within the first three months.

Table D 20. 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia

First Mandatory Releases Removed from Supervision by Time Under Supervision Contrasted to Time Served in Confinement, Fiscal Year 1967

Time under supervision (in months)	Time Served in Confinement (in months)							Time Served in Confinement (in months)							
	Total	Number						Total	Percent <sup>1</sup>						
		12 and under	13-18	19-24	25-36	37-48	49 and over		12 and under	13-18	19-24	25-36	37-48	49 and over	
TOTAL REMOVED .....	2,571	34	168	171	883	850	465	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
3 and under .....	1,396	33	167	169	816	148	63	54.3	97.0	99.4	98.8	92.4	17.4	13.5	
4-6 .....	140	-	-	2	30	57	51	5.4			1.2	3.4	6.7	11.0	
7-12 .....	301	-	-	-	20	234	47	11.7			-	2.3	27.5	10.1	
13-24 .....	581	1	1	-	16	410	153	22.6	2.9	0.6	-	1.8	48.3	32.9	
25 and over .....	153	-	-	-	1	151	151	6.0			-	0.1	0.1	32.5	
NO VIOLATION .....	1,986	30	165	159	720	632	280	77.2	88.2	98.2	93.0	81.5	74.3	60.2	
3 and under .....	1,130	29	164	159	686	64	28	43.9	85.3	97.6	93.0	77.7	7.5	6.0	
4-6 .....	11	-	-	-	4	3	4	0.4			-	0.5	0.4	0.9	
7-12 .....	182	-	-	-	13	160	9	7.1			-	1.5	18.8	1.9	
13-24 .....	530	1	1	-	16	404	108	20.6	2.9	0.6	-	1.8	47.5	23.2	
25 and over .....	133	-	-	-	1	131	131	5.2			-	0.1	0.1	28.2	
WITH VIOLATION .....	585	4	3	12	163	218	185	22.8	11.8	1.8	7.0	18.5	25.7	39.8	
3 and under .....	266	4	3	10	130	84	35	10.3	11.8	1.8	5.8	14.7	9.9	7.5	
4-6 .....	129	-	-	2	26	54	47	5.0			1.2	2.9	6.4	10.1	
7-12 .....	120	-	-	-	7	75	38	4.7			-	0.8	8.8	8.2	
13-24 .....	50	-	-	-	-	5	45	1.9			-	-	0.6	9.7	
25 and over .....	20	-	-	-	-	-	20	0.8			-	-	-	4.3	
TECHNICAL .....	71	1	-	1	23	24	22	2.8	2.9	-	0.6	2.6	2.8	4.7	
3 and under .....	38	1	-	1	20	9	7	1.5	2.9	-	0.6	2.3	1.1	1.5	
4-6 .....	9	-	-	-	3	2	4	0.4			-	0.3	0.2	0.9	
7-12 .....	16	-	-	-	-	13	3	0.6			-	-	1.5	0.6	
13-24 .....	4	-	-	-	-	-	4	0.2			-	-	-	0.9	
25 and over .....	4	-	-	-	-	-	4	0.2			-	-	-	0.9	
MINOR .....	191	1	2	5	65	77	41	7.4	2.9	1.2	2.9	7.4	9.1	8.8	
3 and under .....	113	1	2	5	57	36	12	4.4	2.9	1.2	2.9	6.5	4.2	2.6	
4-6 .....	32	-	-	-	5	16	11	1.2			-	0.6	1.9	2.4	
7-12 .....	37	-	-	-	3	23	11	1.4			-	0.3	2.7	2.4	
13-24 .....	8	-	-	-	6	2	6	0.3			-	-	0.2	1.3	
25 and over .....	1	-	-	-	-	-	1				-	-	-	0.2	
MAJOR .....	323	2	1	6	75	117	122	12.6	5.9	0.6	3.5	8.5	13.8	26.2	
3 and under .....	115	2	1	4	53	39	16	4.5	5.9	0.6	2.3	6.0	4.6	3.4	
4-6 .....	88	-	-	2	18	36	32	3.4			1.2	2.0	4.2	6.9	
7-12 .....	67	-	-	-	4	39	24	2.6			-	0.5	4.6	5.2	
13-24 .....	38	-	-	-	-	3	35	1.5			-	-	0.4	7.5	
25 and over .....	15	-	-	-	-	-	15	0.6			-	-	-	3.2	

<sup>1</sup>Percents may not add to totals due to rounding.

Table D 20-a. 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia

First Mandatory Releases Removed from Supervision by Term of Mandatory Release and Time Served in Confinement, Fiscal Year 1967

Time Under Supervision (in months)	Term of Mandatory Release (in months)							Term of Mandatory Release (in months)						
	Total	Number						Total	Percent <sup>1</sup>					
		12 and under	13-18	19-24	25-36	37-48	49 and over		12 and under	13-18	19-24	25-36	37-48	49 and over
Total removed .....	2,571	1,579	595	137	57	150	53	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
3 and under .....	1,396	1,327	43	9	5	9	3	54.3	84.0	7.2	6.6	8.8	6.0	5.7
4 - 6 .....	140	55	44	8	10	16	7	5.4	3.5	7.4	5.8	17.5	10.7	13.2
7 - 12 .....	301	188	73	15	5	11	9	11.7	11.9	12.3	10.9	8.8	7.3	17.0
13 - 24 .....	581	9	435	103	9	18	7	22.6	0.6	73.1	75.2	15.8	12.0	13.2
25 and over .....	153	-	-	2	28	96	27	6.0	-	-	1.5	49.1	64.0	50.9
No violation .....	1,986	1,307	444	90	29	87	29	77.2	82.8	74.6	65.7	50.9	58.0	54.7
3 and under .....	1,130	1,127	3	-	-	-	-	43.9	71.4	0.5	-	-	-	-
4 - 6 .....	11	7	-	-	1	1	2	0.4	0.4	-	-	1.8	0.7	3.8
7 - 12 .....	181	164	12	-	1	2	2	7.0	10.4	2.0	-	1.8	1.3	3.8
13 - 24 .....	530	9	429	89	1	1	2	20.7	0.6	72.1	65.0	1.8	0.7	3.8
25 and over .....	133	-	-	1	26	83	23	5.2	-	-	0.7	45.6	55.3	43.4
With violation .....	585	272	151	47	28	63	24	22.8	17.2	25.4	34.3	49.1	42.0	45.3
3 and under .....	266	200	40	9	5	9	3	10.3	12.7	6.7	6.6	8.8	6.0	5.7
4 - 6 .....	129	48	44	8	9	15	5	5.0	3.0	7.4	5.8	15.8	10.0	9.4
7 - 12 .....	120	24	61	15	4	9	7	4.7	1.5	10.3	11.0	7.0	6.0	13.2
13 - 24 .....	50	-	6	14	8	17	5	1.9	-	1.0	10.2	14.0	11.3	9.4
25 and over .....	20	-	-	1	2	13	4	0.8	-	-	0.7	3.5	8.7	7.5
Technical .....	71	37	14	7	2	9	2	2.7	2.3	2.4	5.1	3.5	6.0	3.8
3 and under .....	38	28	3	2	-	5	-	1.5	1.8	0.5	1.5	-	3.3	-
4 - 6 .....	9	5	1	1	2	-	-	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.7	3.5	-	-
7 - 12 .....	16	4	10	2	-	-	-	0.6	0.3	1.7	1.5	-	-	-
13 - 24 .....	4	-	-	1	-	2	1	0.1	-	-	0.7	-	1.3	1.9
25 and over .....	4	-	-	1	-	2	1	0.1	-	-	0.7	-	1.3	1.9
Minor .....	191	109	48	12	7	12	3	7.4	6.9	8.1	8.8	12.3	8.0	5.7
3 and under .....	113	87	16	6	2	2	-	4.4	5.5	2.7	4.4	3.5	1.3	-
4 - 6 .....	32	13	10	1	2	6	-	1.2	0.8	1.7	0.7	3.5	4.0	-
7 - 12 .....	37	9	20	3	1	2	2	1.4	0.6	3.4	2.2	1.8	1.3	3.8
13 - 24 .....	8	-	2	2	2	1	1	0.3	-	0.3	1.5	3.5	0.7	1.9
25 and over .....	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	*	-	-	-	-	0.7	-
Major .....	323	126	89	28	19	42	19	12.6	8.0	15.0	20.4	33.3	28.0	35.9
3 and under .....	115	85	21	1	3	2	3	4.5	5.4	3.5	0.7	5.3	1.3	5.7
4 - 6 .....	88	30	33	6	5	9	5	3.4	1.9	5.5	4.4	8.8	6.0	9.4
7 - 12 .....	67	11	31	10	3	7	5	2.6	0.7	5.2	7.3	5.3	4.7	9.4
13 - 24 .....	38	-	4	11	6	14	3	1.5	-	0.7	8.0	10.5	9.3	5.7
25 and over .....	15	-	-	-	2	10	3	0.6	-	-	-	3.5	6.7	5.7

\* Less than 0.1 percent.

<sup>1</sup> Percent not computed where base is 25 or less. Percents may not add to total due to rounding.





CHART 9

89 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

MANDATORY RELEASES REMOVED FROM SUPERVISION SHOWING TIME SERVED IN CONFINEMENT AND TERM OF MANDATORY RELEASE

FISCAL YEAR 1967



Legend: No violation (solid bar), With violation (hatched bar)

Source: Administrative Office of the United States Courts

Time Served Under Supervision

Table D 20-a indicates that the violation rate increases as the term of supervision increases. Persons required to serve 25-36 months under supervision have a 49 percent violation rate. This table should be compared with Table D 15 describing first parolees.

Chart 9 illustrates the tendency for violations to occur during the early months of supervision. The violation rate drops from 45 percent after three months of supervision to only 3 percent after two years.

III. Parole and Mandatory Release Violators

Tables D 21 and D 23 show the length of the average term of supervision before the occurrence of any violation for persons under parole and mandatory release supervision. In the three years 1965 to 1967, parolees spent an average of eleven months under supervision before being removed for violation. (Table D 21) The average length of the period they were expected to be under supervision was thirty-nine months. Thus the violators of parole, on the average, completed only 28 percent of their period of supervision before they were removed from supervision status because of a violation of the conditions of their parole.

There was some variation among the different types of offenders. As a group, liquor law offenders did not violate until they had completed 44 percent of their terms. This group served an average 10 months of parole terms averaging 23 months before violation. Auto thieves completed only 24 percent of their average terms of 37 months before violating their supervision. (Table D 21)

As shown in Table D 23 persons violating mandatory release during 1967 served only 6 months of an average 17 month term. Persons convicted of auto theft and narcotics offenses comprised 61 percent of all violators of both parole and mandatory release.

Table D 22 presents a comparison of parole violators in 1967 by type of violation and by the original offenses for which committed to confinement. Fifty-seven percent of the persons removed from parole supervision had major violations. Thirty percent had minor violations. Most of the minor violations including absconding (or failure to report) or a new

Table D 21. United States District Courts and the District of Columbia  
 Persons Who Violated Parole Showing Original Offense, Average Months of Term  
 of Supervision and Time Under Supervision Before Violation, Fiscal Years 1965 - 1967

Offense Class	Fiscal Year 1965					Fiscal Year 1966					Fiscal Year 1967				
	Number violated parole	Average months		Percent time under supervision as a part of term of supervision	Percent <sup>1</sup> above or below total	Number violated parole	Average months		Percent time under supervision as a part of term of supervision	Percent <sup>1</sup> above or below total	Number violated parole	Average months		Percent time under supervision as a part of term of supervision	Percent <sup>1</sup> above or below total
		Term of super- vision	Time under supervision before violation				Term of super- vision	Time under supervision before violation				Term of super- vision	Time under supervision before violation		
TOTAL.....	1,453	40	11	27.5	-	1,453	40	11	27.5	-	1,675	39	11	28.2	-
<b>SPECIAL OFFENSES</b>															
Immigration laws.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wagering tax violations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Federal regulatory statutes.....	5	51	8	-	-	5	51	8	-	-	5	52	17	-	-
<b>CLASS I</b>															
Fraud - Group A.....	3	6	5	-	-	3	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Embezzlement.....	6	29	14	-	-	6	29	14	-	-	12	37	14	-	-
Obscene mail.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>CLASS II</b>															
Income tax fraud.....	1	94	11	-	-	1	94	11	-	-	1	20	4	-	-
Other fraud.....	7	27	3	-	-	7	27	3	-	-	5	32	8	-	-
<b>CLASS III</b>															
Liquor, Internal Revenue.....	52	23	12	52.2	89.9	52	23	12	52.2	89.9	44	23	10	43.5	54.3
<b>CLASS IV</b>															
Theft.....	145	40	13	32.5	10.2	145	40	13	32.5	10.2	136	35	11	31.4	11.3
Postal fraud.....	5	35	9	25.7	-	5	35	9	-	-	10	36	11	-	-
Forgery.....	110	39	10	25.6	- 6.9	110	39	10	25.6	- 6.9	144	38	11	28.9	2.5
<b>CLASS V</b>															
Border registration, addicts.....	4	23	9	39.1	-	4	23	9	-	-	6	24	5	-	-
Assault and homicide...	19	59	20	-	-	19	59	20	33.9	-	23	31	17	33.3	-
Misc. general offenses.	19	39	17	-	-	19	39	17	43.6	-	25	51	15	29.4	-
<b>CLASS VI</b>															
Counterfeiting.....	7	39	13	-	-	7	39	13	-	-	17	42	16	-	-
Burglary.....	69	44	12	27.3	- 0.7	69	44	12	27.3	- 0.7	64	42	13	31.0	9.9
Interstate transporta- tion of stolen prop- erty.....	52	31	9	29.0	5.5	53	31	9	29.0	5.5	90	33	9	27.3	- 3.2
Marihuana.....	11	44	16	-	-	11	44	16	-	-	24	43	13	-	-
Selective Service Act..	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	5	28	11	-	-
Other national defense laws.....	5	27	13	-	-	5	27	13	-	-	3	37	6	-	-
Sex offenses.....	14	43	19	-	-	14	43	19	-	-	23	54	17	31.5	-
<b>CLASS VII</b>															
Auto theft.....	782	38	10	26.3	- 4.3	782	38	10	26.3	- 4.3	895	37	9	24.3	-13.8
<b>CLASS VIII</b>															
Narcotics.....	43	40	13	32.5	10.2	43	40	13	32.5	10.2	57	37	11	29.7	5.3
Robbery.....	93	71	20	28.2	2.6	93	71	20	28.2	2.6	86	65	16	24.6	-12.8

<sup>1</sup> Percent not computed where total violations is 25 or less.

<sup>2</sup> Classified with other national defense laws.

Table D-22. 89 United States District Courts and the United States District Court for the District of Columbia

Persons Removed From Parole Supervision for Violation Showing Original Offense and Type of Violation Fiscal Year 1967

Type of violation and offense	Total violations		Special offenses		Class I		Class II		Class III		Class IV		Class V		Class VI		Class VII		Class VIII	
	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent
TOTAL .....	1,675	100.0	5	-	12	-	6	-	44	100.0	290	100.0	54	100.0	226	100.0	895	100.0	143	100.0
Technical - Total .....	221	13.2	1	-	4	-	2	-	8	18.2	43	14.8	11	20.4	32	14.2	94	10.5	26	18.2
Violation of conditions .....	170	10.2	1	-	1	-	1	-	6	13.6	27	9.3	7	13.0	20	8.9	88	9.8	19	13.3
Use of drugs .....	32	1.9	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	14	4.8	2	3.7	7	3.1	2	0.2	6	4.2
Use of alcohol .....	19	1.1	-	-	2	-	1	-	2	4.5	2	0.7	2	3.7	5	2.2	4	0.4	1	0.7
Minor - Total .....	498	29.7	1	-	-	-	-	-	7	15.9	88	30.4	14	25.9	64	28.3	302	33.7	22	15.4
Absconded or wanted for minor offense ....	344	20.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	4.5	63	21.7	9	16.7	43	19.0	215	24.0	12	8.4
Drunk, disorderly .....	34	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	1.4	1	1.8	7	3.1	19	2.1	3	2.1
Petty theft .....	30	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	2.4	1	1.8	4	1.8	13	1.4	5	3.5
Simple assault .....	11	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2.3	3	1.0	-	-	-	-	7	0.8	-	-
Traffic .....	37	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	6.8	3	1.0	1	1.8	4	1.8	25	2.8	1	0.7
All other .....	42	2.5	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	2.3	8	2.8	2	3.7	6	2.7	23	2.6	1	0.7
Major - Total .....	956	57.1	3	-	8	-	4	-	29	65.9	159	54.8	29	53.7	130	57.5	499	55.8	95	66.4
Homicide .....	15	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	4.5	3	1.0	1	1.8	3	1.3	3	0.3	3	2.1
Robbery .....	102	6.1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	12	4.1	1	1.8	12	5.3	56	6.2	20	14.0
Assault .....	49	2.9	-	-	1	-	-	-	7	15.9	8	2.8	4	7.4	4	1.8	20	2.2	5	3.5
Burglary .....	199	11.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2.3	39	13.4	6	11.1	28	12.4	113	12.6	12	8.4
Larceny, except auto ..	110	6.6	-	-	1	-	-	-	2	4.5	28	9.7	3	5.6	11	4.9	53	5.9	12	8.4
Embezzlement and fraud .....	11	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	1.0	-	-	6	2.7	2	0.2	-	-
Auto theft .....	196	11.7	-	-	1	-	1	-	2	4.5	13	4.5	5	9.3	11	4.9	157	17.6	6	4.2
Forgery and counterfeiting .....	94	5.6	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	32	11.0	1	1.8	22	9.7	31	3.5	6	4.2
Sex offenses .....	25	1.5	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	6	2.1	1	1.8	4	1.8	9	1.0	3	2.1
Drug laws .....	42	2.5	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	7	2.4	2	3.7	14	6.2	5	0.6	12	8.4
Immigration laws .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Liquor, Internal Revenue .....	12	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	25.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
Federal regulatory statutes .....	4	0.2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.4	2	0.2	-	-
All other .....	97	5.8	1	-	1	-	1	-	4	9.1	8	2.8	5	9.3	14	6.2	47	5.3	16	11.2

NOTE: Percents not computed where base is 25 or less. Percents may not add to total due to rounding.

Table D 23. United States District Courts and the District of Columbia  
 Persons Who Violated Mandatory Release Showing Original Offense, Average Months of Term of Supervision and Time Under Supervision Before Violation, Fiscal Years 1965 - 1967  
 (Excludes territories)

Offense Class	Fiscal Year 1965				Fiscal Year 1966				Fiscal Year 1967				
	Number violated mandatory release	Average months of supervision before violation	Percent time under supervision as a part of term of supervision	Percent <sup>1</sup> above or below total	Number violated mandatory release	Average months of supervision before violation	Percent time under supervision as a part of term of supervision	Percent <sup>1</sup> above or below total	Number violated mandatory release	Average months of supervision before violation	Percent time under supervision as a part of term of supervision	Percent <sup>1</sup> above or below total	
TOTAL.....	706	15	5	22.3	672	16	7	42.0	585	17	6	35.3	
<b>SPECIAL OFFENSES</b>													
Immigration laws.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	14	3	-	
Negating tax violations Federal registry statutes.....	4	18	5	-	2	7	1	14.3	1	76	72	-	
<b>CLASS I</b>													
Fraud - Group A.....	-	-	-	-	2	7	4	57.1	-	-	-	-	
Embezzlement.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	
Obscene mail.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<b>CLASS II</b>													
Income tax fraud.....	-	-	-	-	1	14	9	64.3	1	13	11	-	
Other fraud.....	7	7	1	-	3	4	2	50.0	1	5	4	-	
<b>CLASS III</b>													
Liquor, Internal Revenue.....	6	7	3	-	6	11	3	27.3	6	10	5	-	
<b>CLASS IV</b>													
Theft.....	42	12	5	41.7	25.1	30	10	4	40.0	-8.6	25	11	4
Postal fraud.....	8	11	4	-	-	6	9	3	33.3	-	3	10	5
Forgery.....	51	11	4	36.4	9.2	64	13	5	38.5	-12.1	62	14	5
<b>CLASS V</b>													
Border registration, addicts.....	2	3	*	-	-	1	21	8	18.1	-	-	-	-
Assault and homicide.....	7	11	11	-	-	7	19	5	26.3	-	5	37	11
Misc. general offenses.....	12	27	6	-	-	11	16	3	18.8	-	8	32	7
<b>CLASS VI</b>													
Counterfeiting.....	12	19	8	-	-	9	15	7	46.7	-	3	24	7
Burglary.....	16	22	4	-	-	16	6	6	37.5	-	16	10	5
Interstate transportation of stolen property.....	54	15	4	26.7	-19.9	53	14	5	35.7	-18.5	37	14	5
Marihuana.....	21	20	9	45.0	-	10	23	13	59.1	-	18	24	12
Selective Service Act.....	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	1	24	*
Other national defense laws.....	2	9	2	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sex offenses.....	8	23	8	-	-	9	21	10	47.6	-	3	27	7
<b>CLASS VII</b>													
Auto theft.....	252	9	3	33.3	-	236	9	3	33.3	-24.0	215	9	3
<b>CLASS VIII</b>													
Narcotics.....	166	20	9	45.0	35.0	175	22	11	50.0	14.2	144	26	11
Robbery.....	36	36	11	30.6	-8.2	31	44	14	31.8	-27.4	34	35	10

\* Less than one month.

<sup>1</sup> Percent not computed where total of violations is 25 or less.

<sup>2</sup> Classified with other national defense laws.

Table D 24 89 United States District Courts  
 Persons Removed From Mandatory Release Supervision for  
 Violation Showing Original Offense and Type of Violation  
 Fiscal Year 1967

Type of Violation and Offense	Total violations		Special offenses		Class I		Class II		Class III		Class IV		Class V		Class VI		Class VII		Class VIII	
	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent	Num-ber	Per-cent
<b>TOTAL</b> .....	585	100.0	2	-	1	-	2	-	6	-	90	100.0	13	-	78	100.0	215	100.0	178	100.0
<b>Technical - Total</b> .....	71	12.1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	9	10.0	3	-	8	10.3	28	13.0	22	12.4
Violation of conditions ....	46	7.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	6.7	1	-	6	7.7	24	11.2	9	5.1
Use of drugs .....	17	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2.2	-	-	2	2.6	2	0.9	11	6.2
Use of alcohol .....	8	1.4	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	1.1	2	-	-	-	2	0.9	2	1.1
<b>Minor - Total</b> .....	191	32.7	-	-	1	-	-	-	2	-	35	38.9	3	-	33	42.3	97	45.1	20	11.2
Absconded or wanted for minor offense .....	159	27.1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	26	28.9	2	-	32	41.0	86	40.0	11	6.2
Drunk, disorderly .....	5	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2.2	-	-	-	-	3	1.4	-	-
Petty theft .....	10	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	3.9
Simple assault .....	1	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	0.5	-	-
Traffic .....	7	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1.1	1	-	-	-	5	2.3	-	-
All other .....	9	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	3	3.3	-	-	1	1.3	2	0.9	2	1.1
<b>Major - Total</b> .....	323	55.2	2	-	-	-	1	-	4	-	46	51.1	7	-	37	47.4	90	41.9	136	76.4
Homicide .....	5	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	1.4	2	1.1
Robbery .....	27	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3.3	1	-	2	2.6	7	3.3	14	7.9
Assault .....	18	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	3	3.8	6	2.8	6	3.4
Burglary .....	52	8.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	15.6	-	-	9	11.5	11	5.1	18	10.1
Larceny, except auto .....	29	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2.2	-	-	4	5.1	10	4.6	13	7.3
Embezzlement and fraud .....	5	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3.3	-	-	1	1.3	-	-	1	0.6
Auto theft .....	45	7.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5.6	2	-	3	3.8	32	14.9	3	1.7
Forgery and counterfeiting..	42	7.2	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	12	13.3	-	-	7	9.0	15	7.0	7	3.9
Sex offenses .....	7	1.2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1.1	-	-	1	1.3	1	0.5	3	1.7
Drug laws .....	68	11.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3.3	-	-	5	6.4	-	-	60	33.7
Immigration laws .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Liquor, Internal Revenue ...	5	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	1	1.3	-	-	1	0.6
Federal regulatory statutes.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
All other .....	20	3.4	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	3	3.3	1	-	1	1.3	5	2.3	8	4.5

NOTE: Percents not computed where base is 25 or less. Percents may not add to totals due to rounding.

Figure G

United States District Courts and the District of Columbia  
Parole and Mandatory Release Violators Removed by Type of Violation,  
Fiscal Years 1965 - 1967 (First removals only)

Type of release and type of violation	1965		1966		1967	
	Number violated	Percent violated	Number violated	Percent violated	Number violated	Percent violated
<u>First Parole - TOTAL.....</u>	1,559	100.0	1,453	100.0	1,675	100.0
Property offenses.....	899	57.7	726	50.0	820	49.0
Absconding or wanted for minor offenses....	282	18.1	311	21.4	344	20.5
Use of alcohol or drugs.....	149	9.5	139	9.6	139	8.3
Technical conditions... Offenses against persons.....	78	5.0	102	7.0	170	10.1
	151	9.7	175	12.0	202	12.1
<u>First Mandatory Release - TOTAL.....</u>	706	100.0	672	100.0	585	100.0
Property offenses.....	333	47.2	276	41.1	219	37.4
Absconding or wanted for minor offenses....	157	22.2	171	25.4	159	27.2
Use of alcohol or drugs.....	120	17.0	126	18.8	103	17.6
Technical conditions... Offenses against persons.....	25	3.5	31	4.6	46	7.9
	71	10.1	68	10.1	58	9.9


criminal conviction involving a minor offense. The greatest proportion of the technical violations were for violation of the restrictions on conduct or personal associations, etc. Persons originally convicted of burglary and auto theft each accounted for 12 percent of the violations. Twenty-five percent of the 44 persons originally convicted of liquor law violations committed the same offense while under parole supervision.

Of the 895 violators originally convicted of auto theft, over one-half had major violations and eighteen percent violated the conditions of supervision by repeating the same crime. If auto thieves were excluded from the number of persons removed from parole supervision, the overall violation rate would be only 27 percent instead of 36 percent.

Table D 24 compares the type of violation with the original offense for mandatory release violators. In 1967 twelve percent of the violations were technical, as 33 percent were minor and 55 percent were major. The largest proportion of violators (27 percent) lost contact with their parole officers or were wanted for a minor offense.

Of the total number of persons violating mandatory release, 37 percent were originally convicted of auto theft. Almost eight percent of all violations were for auto theft. If the auto theft offenders were eliminated from the total number of persons removed from mandatory release, the violation rate would be 21 percent rather than 23 percent.

Figure G is a graphic presentation of the violation rates for mandatory releasees and parolees for the fiscal years 1965, 1966, and 1967, by the type of violation.



APPENDIX TABLES

E 1 - E 8

Preceding page blank



APPENDIX TABLE E 1. 89 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Persons Received for and Removed from Supervision, Federal Probation System,  
During the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1967

Circuit and district <sup>1</sup>	Persons under supervision July 1, 1966	Total received	RECEIVED FOR SUPERVISION							Received by transfer
			Total less transfers	Court probation	U.S. Commissioner probation	Parole	Mandatory release	Military parole	Deferred prosecution	
TOTAL ALL DISTRICTS	38,659	27,275	21,171	11,151	266	6,192	2,902	139	521	6,104
District of Columbia	1,142	631	576	295	-	212	68	1	-	55
FIRST CIRCUIT	903	545	427	199	19	130	64	2	13	118
Maine	64	68	47	22	-	13	12	-	-	21
Massachusetts	531	268	206	105	-	76	20	1	4	62
New Hampshire	80	35	26	17	-	6	3	-	-	9
Rhode Island	86	65	52	25	-	19	8	-	-	13
Puerto Rico	142	109	96	30	19	16	21	1	9	13
SECOND CIRCUIT	2,629	1,752	1,401	706	3	355	312	8	17	351
Connecticut	380	217	179	91	-	55	31	1	1	38
New York:										
Northern	165	126	97	53	-	33	8	2	1	29
Eastern	715	472	346	165	-	119	53	4	5	126
Southern	1,054	774	648	332	-	111	202	1	2	126
Western	272	141	111	55	3	29	16	-	8	30
Vermont	43	22	20	10	-	8	2	-	-	2
THIRD CIRCUIT	2,440	1,472	1,174	747	3	287	123	9	5	298
Delaware	101	61	46	28	1	11	6	-	-	15
New Jersey	772	555	409	246	-	122	37	1	3	146
Pennsylvania:										
Eastern	692	400	332	226	-	66	34	5	1	68
Middle	216	131	103	47	2	36	18	-	-	28
Western	659	325	284	200	-	52	28	3	1	41
FOURTH CIRCUIT	6,253	3,269	2,618	1,595	51	704	183	15	70	651
Maryland	400	334	246	108	4	82	41	4	7	88
North Carolina:										
Eastern	1,030	585	509	359	5	110	29	-	6	76
Middle	925	444	357	203	5	124	23	-	2	87
Western	666	437	329	177	17	93	20	2	20	108
South Carolina	1,849	636	538	382	4	105	21	3	23	98
Virginia:										
Eastern	468	327	239	101	1	112	21	2	2	88
Western	440	223	181	131	15	24	6	1	4	42
West Virginia:										
Northern	85	60	51	24	-	16	6	2	3	9
Southern	390	223	168	110	-	38	16	1	3	55
FIFTH CIRCUIT	7,284	5,768	4,479	2,357	101	1,223	562	30	206	1,289
Alabama:										
Northern	468	421	343	191	5	98	33	2	14	78
Middle	178	178	152	75	1	53	11	1	11	26
Southern	223	129	91	56	-	23	9	1	2	38
Florida:										
Northern	247	179	143	53	8	65	11	-	6	36
Middle	631	510	343	148	30	109	44	4	8	167
Southern	524	374	260	142	-	76	38	2	2	114
Georgia:										
Northern	750	635	545	323	2	123	64	4	29	90
Middle	531	347	308	179	49	60	13	1	6	39
Southern	345	236	200	110	2	48	22	2	16	36
Louisiana:										
Eastern	470	277	219	97	-	75	43	-	4	58
Western	312	161	113	72	-	22	12	3	4	48
Mississippi:										
Northern	278	145	118	83	-	26	7	1	1	27
Southern	240	237	192	162	3	21	5	1	-	45
Texas:										
Northern	585	563	416	165	-	155	84	5	7	147
Eastern	277	260	189	106	-	48	22	-	13	71
Southern	761	626	464	231	-	112	58	-	63	162
Western	478	490	383	164	1	109	86	3	20	107

APPENDIX TABLE E 1. 89 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Persons Received for and Removed from Supervision, Federal Probation System,  
During the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1967 - Continued

Circuit and district <sup>1</sup>	Total removed	REMOVED FROM SUPERVISION							Removed by transfer	Persons under supervision June 30, 1967
		Total less transfers	Court probation	U.S. Commissioner probation	Parole	Mandatory release	Military parole	Deferred prosecution		
TOTAL ALL DISTRICTS	28,167	22,063	12,496	274	5,626	3,013	130	524	6,104	37,767
District of Columbia	650	583	306	2	219	55	1	-	67	1,123
FIRST CIRCUIT	610	550	337	4	110	82	7	10	60	838
Maine	67	56	27	-	19	10	-	-	11	65
Massachusetts	317	294	195	-	58	36	2	3	23	482
New Hampshire	42	35	25	-	4	3	-	-	7	73
Rhode Island	77	71	56	-	13	1	1	-	6	74
Puerto Rico	107	94	34	4	16	32	1	7	13	144
SECOND CIRCUIT	1,886	1,611	929	-	324	321	6	31	275	2,495
Connecticut	246	221	136	-	44	39	1	1	25	351
New York:										
Northern	120	100	60	-	25	10	-	5	20	171
Eastern	509	440	267	-	103	63	2	5	69	678
Southern	779	644	344	-	110	187	1	2	135	1,049
Western	203	182	111	-	36	20	2	13	21	210
Vermont	29	24	11	-	6	2	-	5	5	36
THIRD CIRCUIT	1,495	1,233	839	5	242	140	3	4	262	2,417
Delaware	63	51	28	-	14	9	-	-	12	99
New Jersey	556	448	297	-	102	46	2	1	108	771
Pennsylvania:										
Eastern	398	331	241	-	53	35	1	1	67	694
Middle	142	111	55	5	32	19	-	-	31	205
Western	336	292	218	-	41	31	-	2	44	648
FOURTH CIRCUIT	3,602	2,914	1,867	50	737	197	8	55	688	5,920
Maryland	313	254	125	2	84	41	1	1	59	421
North Carolina:										
Eastern	598	480	342	1	107	27	2	1	118	1,017
Middle	448	388	218	1	138	30	-	1	60	921
Western	468	388	239	17	102	22	-	8	80	635
South Carolina	768	605	420	9	128	19	2	27	163	1,717
Virginia:										
Eastern	372	287	151	8	93	29	1	5	85	423
Western	302	253	199	11	30	7	-	6	49	361
West Virginia:										
Northern	56	45	27	1	15	2	-	-	11	89
Southern	277	214	146	-	40	20	2	6	63	336
FIFTH CIRCUIT	5,697	4,321	2,395	68	1,093	551	19	195	1,376	7,355
Alabama:										
Northern	351	266	155	5	65	35	2	4	85	538
Middle	158	124	66	-	37	10	-	11	34	184
Southern	163	127	80	-	28	13	3	3	36	189
Florida:										
Northern	181	137	71	2	54	8	1	1	44	245
Middle	536	408	191	19	141	43	3	11	128	605
Southern	424	303	175	7	82	37	-	2	121	474
Georgia:										
Northern	627	545	323	1	124	63	2	32	82	758
Middle	329	278	170	29	53	17	-	9	51	549
Southern	244	194	130	3	36	15	-	10	50	337
Louisiana:										
Eastern	333	300	179	-	68	47	2	4	33	414
Western	198	144	98	-	28	14	1	3	54	275
Mississippi:										
Northern	196	150	104	-	34	11	1	-	46	227
Southern	160	97	56	1	32	6	-	2	63	317
Texas:										
Northern	524	387	179	-	132	66	3	7	137	624
Eastern	220	149	87	-	27	22	-	13	71	317
Southern	579	391	198	-	67	64	-	62	188	808
Western	474	321	133	1	85	80	1	21	153	494

APPENDIX TABLE E 1. 89 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Persons Received for and Removed from Supervision, Federal Probation System,  
During the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1967 - Continued

Circuit and district <sup>1</sup>	Persons under supervision July 1, 1966	Total received	RECEIVED FOR SUPERVISION							Received by transfer
			Total less transfers	Court probation	U.S. Commissioner probation	Parole	Mandatory release	Military parole	Deferred prosecution	
SIXTH CIRCUIT	4,734	3,152	2,483	1,232	24	812	351	20	44	669
Kentucky:										
Eastern	369	286	205	84	-	87	33	1	-	81
Western	343	232	188	114	-	44	25	2	3	44
Michigan:										
Eastern	1,193	792	643	342	-	181	107	6	7	149
Western	202	160	121	57	7	44	12	1	-	39
Ohio:										
Northern	739	400	301	151	-	103	39	5	3	99
Southern	628	432	318	136	4	105	71	2	-	114
Tennessee:										
Eastern	681	405	331	148	11	132	34	-	6	74
Middle	364	257	224	125	2	56	15	2	24	33
Western	215	188	152	75	-	60	15	1	1	36
SEVENTH CIRCUIT	2,637	2,071	1,573	691	4	525	280	12	71	498
Illinois:										
Northern	1,409	1,029	808	325	4	264	151	4	60	221
Eastern	181	129	84	40	-	28	13	-	3	45
Southern	150	115	79	27	-	36	12	2	2	36
Indiana:										
Northern	295	248	174	90	-	50	31	2	1	74
Southern	355	322	247	112	-	84	50	1	-	75
Wisconsin:										
Eastern	194	180	151	70	-	54	20	3	4	29
Western	53	48	30	17	-	9	3	-	1	18
EIGHTH CIRCUIT	1,986	1,606	1,265	622	1	398	192	10	42	341
Arkansas:										
Eastern	180	133	111	72	-	31	8	-	-	22
Western	145	101	76	36	-	22	9	-	9	25
Iowa:										
Northern	89	87	66	45	-	17	4	-	-	21
Southern	129	91	62	21	-	25	12	1	3	29
Minnesota:										
Northern	323	219	174	69	-	68	36	1	-	45
Missouri:										
Eastern	349	301	243	97	-	75	67	4	-	58
Western	438	348	282	134	1	95	43	2	7	66
Nebraska:										
Northern	132	131	100	68	-	24	6	-	2	31
South Dakota	89	73	58	28	-	21	3	-	6	15
South Dakota	112	122	93	52	-	20	4	2	15	29
NINTH CIRCUIT	6,672	5,377	3,978	2,095	58	1,206	580	15	24	1,399
Alaska:										
Northern	126	89	77	65	-	10	2	-	-	12
Arizona	368	426	351	195	-	96	47	1	12	75
California:										
Northern	1,065	735	514	207	45	174	84	1	3	221
Eastern	564	445	287	161	2	91	29	3	1	162
Central	2,660	1,911	1,410	633	-	501	269	5	2	501
Southern	284	611	479	409	9	43	17	1	-	132
Hawaii:										
Northern	106	56	45	29	-	12	4	-	-	11
Idaho	107	88	74	41	-	22	9	1	1	14
Montana	251	185	161	92	-	52	14	-	3	24
Nevada	164	171	112	79	-	24	8	-	1	59
Oregon	344	246	172	74	-	61	35	1	1	74
Washington:										
Eastern	128	99	64	28	-	30	6	-	-	35
Western	505	311	232	82	2	90	56	2	-	79
TENTH CIRCUIT	1,979	1,632	1,197	622	2	340	187	17	29	435
Colorado:										
Northern	334	326	227	121	-	60	29	2	15	99
Kansas	408	289	219	100	1	61	46	7	4	70
New Mexico	275	259	197	110	1	42	40	1	3	62
Oklahoma:										
Northern	213	168	119	53	-	48	14	1	3	49
Eastern	227	136	100	66	-	17	12	2	3	36
Western	300	251	181	70	-	73	33	4	1	70
Utah:										
Northern	177	150	116	73	-	32	11	-	-	34
Wyoming	45	53	38	29	-	7	2	-	-	15

APPENDIX TABLE E 1. 89 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Persons Received for and Removed from Supervision, Federal Probation System,  
During the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 1967 - Concluded

Circuit and district <sup>1</sup>	Total removed	REMOVED FROM SUPERVISION							Removed by transfer	Persons under supervision June 30, 1967
		Total less transfers	Court probation	U.S. Commissioner probation	Parole	Mandatory release	Military parole	Deferred prosecution		
SIXTH CIRCUIT	3,542	2,936	1,542	29	873	395	27	70	606	4,344
Kentucky:										
Eastern	353	275	109	-	126	39	-	1	78	302
Western	254	187	109	2	38	31	5	2	67	321
Michigan:										
Eastern	815	717	418	-	171	113	8	7	98	1,170
Western	198	148	79	8	42	15	4	-	50	164
Ohio:										
Northern	502	421	232	-	125	52	5	7	81	637
Southern	494	400	197	1	127	70	2	3	94	566
Tennessee:										
Eastern	493	418	219	18	121	38	2	20	75	593
Middle	252	219	112	-	61	19	1	26	33	369
Western	181	151	67	-	62	18	-	4	30	222
SEVENTH CIRCUIT	2,097	1,700	848	-	503	278	14	57	397	2,611
Illinois:										
Northern	954	800	364	-	239	140	8	49	154	1,484
Eastern	150	119	66	-	34	15	1	3	31	160
Southern	137	106	52	-	40	13	1	-	31	128
Indiana:										
Northern	273	209	115	-	55	36	1	2	64	270
Southern	367	286	137	-	92	51	3	3	81	310
Wisconsin:										
Eastern	171	143	91	-	33	19	-	-	28	203
Western	45	37	23	-	10	4	-	-	8	56
EIGHTH CIRCUIT	1,590	1,227	661	-	346	170	11	39	363	2,002
Arkansas:										
Eastern	173	129	91	-	29	9	-	-	44	140
Western	129	108	69	-	23	6	1	9	21	117
Iowa:										
Northern	69	44	30	-	11	2	1	-	25	107
Southern	103	84	37	-	34	9	1	3	19	117
Minnesota:										
Northern	207	188	94	-	60	29	3	2	19	335
Missouri:										
Eastern	263	207	85	-	60	59	3	-	56	387
Western	329	246	117	-	78	42	1	8	83	457
Nebraska:										
Northern	121	88	68	-	13	6	-	1	33	142
North Dakota	79	51	31	-	14	3	-	3	28	83
South Dakota	117	82	39	-	24	5	1	13	35	117
NINTH CIRCUIT	5,317	3,814	2,171	109	892	595	20	27	1,503	6,732
Alaska:										
Northern	93	70	50	1	11	5	-	3	23	122
Arizona	432	245	137	1	65	40	-	2	187	362
California:										
Northern	793	633	314	85	134	97	1	2	160	1,007
Eastern	442	324	210	7	70	30	6	1	118	571
Central	1,809	1,434	802	7	330	283	10	2	375	2,762
Southern	537	219	173	7	24	14	-	1	318	358
Hawaii:										
Northern	67	49	30	-	14	2	1	2	18	95
Idaho	97	65	45	-	12	8	-	-	32	99
Montana	210	165	99	-	46	14	-	6	45	226
Nevada	167	94	65	-	16	9	-	4	73	168
Oregon	262	212	104	-	67	40	-	1	50	328
Washington:										
Eastern	113	78	37	-	32	8	-	1	35	114
Western	295	226	105	1	71	45	2	2	69	521
TENTH CIRCUIT	1,681	1,174	601	7	287	229	14	36	507	1,930
Colorado:										
Northern	280	200	101	1						

APPENDIX TABLE E 2. 89 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Persons Under Supervision, June 30, 1966 and 1967, by District and How Received

Circuit and district	Under Supervision June 30, 1966	Under Supervision June 30, 1967						
		Total	Probation		Parole	Mandatory Release	Military Parole	Deferred Prosecution
			District Court	U. S. Commissioner				
TOTAL ALL DISTRICTS	38,659	37,767	25,685	213	8,913	2,228	175	553
District of Columbia	1,142	1,123	678	3	393	47	2	-
89 DISTRICTS	37,517	36,644	25,007	210	8,520	2,181	173	553
FIRST CIRCUIT	903	838	543	15	196	61	2	21
Maine	64	65	42	-	18	5	-	-
Massachusetts	531	482	329	-	120	20	1	12
New Hampshire	80	73	57	-	14	2	-	-
Rhode Island	86	74	45	-	19	10	-	9
Puerto Rico	142	144	70	15	25	24	1	9
SECOND CIRCUIT	2,629	2,495	1,666	3	501	295	13	17
Connecticut	380	351	246	-	82	20	2	1
New York:								
Northern	165	171	117	-	45	4	3	2
Eastern	715	678	451	-	167	51	2	7
Southern	1,054	1,049	679	-	156	208	4	2
Western	272	210	149	3	40	11	2	5
Vermont	43	36	24	-	11	1	-	-
THIRD CIRCUIT	2,440	2,417	1,835	1	466	96	13	6
Delaware	101	99	67	1	27	4	-	-
New Jersey	772	771	569	-	167	29	3	3
Pennsylvania:								
Eastern	692	694	548	-	106	31	7	2
Middle	216	205	134	-	63	8	-	-
Western	659	648	517	-	103	24	3	1
FOURTH CIRCUIT	6,253	5,920	4,787	40	882	110	20	81
Maryland	400	421	258	3	119	26	7	8
North Carolina:								
Eastern	1,030	1,017	847	4	148	10	-	8
Middle	925	921	742	4	155	17	-	3
Western	666	635	486	20	97	14	2	16
South Carolina	1,849	1,717	1,549	3	121	13	4	27
Virginia:								
Eastern	468	423	264	1	139	13	3	3
Western	440	361	315	5	26	4	1	10
West Virginia:								
Northern	85	89	51	-	27	7	2	2
Southern	390	336	275	-	50	6	1	4
FIFTH CIRCUIT	7,284	7,355	5,106	71	1,556	366	38	218
Alabama:								
Northern	468	538	395	3	106	14	2	18
Middle	164	184	128	-	42	2	1	11
Southern	223	189	150	-	33	5	1	-
Florida:								
Northern	247	245	144	5	82	6	1	7
Middle	631	605	408	16	142	21	6	12
Southern	524	474	324	-	118	28	4	-
Georgia:								
Northern	750	758	540	1	150	32	5	30
Middle	531	549	440	41	50	7	1	10
Southern	345	337	255	1	55	9	2	15
Louisiana:								
Eastern	470	414	259	-	119	31	1	4
Western	312	275	201	-	54	9	3	8
Mississippi:								
Northern	278	227	186	-	34	3	2	2
Southern	240	317	278	-	31	7	1	-
Texas:								
Northern	585	624	351	-	196	64	5	8
Eastern	277	317	230	-	54	9	1	23
Southern	761	808	555	-	148	53	-	52
Western	478	494	262	4	142	66	2	18

APPENDIX TABLE E 2. 89 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Persons Under Supervision, June 30, 1966 and 1967, by District and How Received - Concluded

Circuit and district	Under Supervision June 30, 1966	Under Supervision June 30, 1967						
		Total	Probation		Parole	Mandatory Release	Military Parole	Deferred Prosecution
			District court	U. S. Commissioner				
SIXTH CIRCUIT	4,734	4,344	2,785	12	1,267	202	25	53
Kentucky:								
Eastern	369	302	196	-	92	10	4	-
Western	343	321	222	1	83	11	4	-
Michigan:								
Eastern	1,193	1,170	767	-	304	84	5	10
Western	202	164	98	-	58	5	1	2
Ohio:								
Northern	739	637	398	-	203	28	5	3
Southern	628	566	355	3	168	37	3	-
Tennessee:								
Eastern	681	593	373	5	186	16	-	13
Middle	364	369	248	2	90	4	1	24
Western	215	222	128	1	83	7	2	1
SEVENTH CIRCUIT	2,637	2,611	1,551	4	721	245	14	76
Illinois:								
Northern	1,409	1,484	880	4	358	170	7	65
Eastern	181	160	105	-	47	6	-	2
Southern	150	128	75	-	41	8	1	3
Indiana:								
Northern	295	270	174	-	75	19	2	-
Southern	355	310	165	-	116	27	1	1
Wisconsin:								
Eastern	194	203	119	-	66	12	3	3
Western	53	56	33	-	18	3	-	2
EIGHTH CIRCUIT	1,986	2,002	1,204	2	604	145	13	34
Arkansas:								
Eastern	180	140	103	-	32	5	-	-
Western	145	117	77	-	30	6	-	4
Iowa:								
Northern	89	107	77	-	27	3	-	-
Southern	129	117	65	1	46	5	-	-
Minnesota:								
Northern	323	335	198	-	104	30	1	2
Missouri:								
Eastern	349	387	193	-	139	49	6	-
Western	438	457	263	1	144	40	4	5
Nebraska:								
Eastern	132	142	100	-	35	4	-	3
Western	89	83	54	-	22	2	-	5
South Dakota:								
Eastern	112	117	74	-	25	1	2	15
NINTH CIRCUIT	6,672	6,732	4,287	61	1,817	527	19	21
Alaska	126	122	90	-	24	8	-	-
Arizona	368	362	185	-	138	29	3	7
California:								
Northern	1,065	1,007	620	43	255	84	2	3
Eastern	564	571	393	6	145	19	5	3
Central	2,660	2,762	1,777	3	698	276	4	4
Southern	284	358	271	8	68	9	1	1
Hawaii	106	95	69	-	20	5	-	1
Idaho	107	98	68	-	27	1	1	1
Montana	251	226	145	-	73	8	-	-
Nevada	164	168	126	-	36	6	-	-
Oregon	344	328	194	-	108	24	1	1
Washington:								
Eastern	128	114	61	-	49	4	-	-
Western	505	521	288	1	176	54	2	-
TENTH CIRCUIT	1,979	1,930	1,243	1	510	134	16	26
Colorado	334	380	238	-	104	22	2	14
Kansas	408	352	216	1	95	32	7	1
New Mexico	275	230	135	-	54	38	1	2
Oklahoma:								
Northern	213	211	132	-	66	9	1	3
Eastern	227	228	188	-	31	4	2	3
Western	300	312	184	-	102	21	3	2
Utah	177	173	121	-	45	6	-	1
Wyoming	45	44	29	-	13	2	-	-

APPENDIX TABLE E 3.

U. S. District Courts and the District of Columbia  
Persons Under Supervision by District and Time Completed Under Supervision  
on June 30, 1967

Circuit and district <sup>1</sup>	Under supervision June 30, 1966	Under Supervision June 30, 1967						Percent under 2 years
		Total	Under 1 year	1 to 2 years	2 to 3 years	3 to 5 years	5 years & over	
TOTAL ALL DISTRICTS .....	38,659	37,767	17,406	11,055	5,528	3,463	315	75.4
District of Columbia .....	1,142	1,123	480	293	221	122	7	68.8
FIRST CIRCUIT .....	903	838	405	292	81	55	5	83.2
Maine .....	64	65	37	23	3	2	-	92.3
Massachusetts .....	531	482	211	200	40	27	4	85.3
New Hampshire .....	80	73	23	27	13	10	-	68.5
Rhode Island .....	86	74	50	16	5	3	-	89.2
Puerto Rico .....	142	144	84	26	20	13	1	76.4
SECOND CIRCUIT .....	2,629	2,495	1,239	750	291	198	17	79.7
Connecticut .....	380	351	153	101	35	59	3	72.4
New York:								
Northern .....	165	171	91	53	15	11	1	84.2
Eastern .....	715	678	357	201	84	32	4	82.3
Southern .....	1,054	1,049	525	328	112	75	9	81.3
Western .....	272	210	100	55	37	18	-	73.8
Vermont .....	43	36	13	12	8	3	-	69.4
THIRD CIRCUIT .....	2,440	2,417	1,036	717	367	282	15	72.5
Delaware .....	101	99	42	29	19	8	1	71.7
New Jersey .....	772	771	384	233	99	52	3	80.0
Pennsylvania:								
Eastern .....	692	694	285	218	108	77	6	72.5
Middle .....	216	205	78	70	32	25	-	72.2
Western .....	659	648	247	167	109	120	5	63.9
FOURTH CIRCUIT .....	6,253	5,920	2,204	1,683	1,236	770	27	65.7
Maryland .....	400	421	217	118	62	14	10	79.6
North Carolina:								
Eastern .....	1,030	1,017	415	327	193	79	3	73.0
Middle .....	925	921	316	241	177	182	5	60.5
Western .....	666	635	279	211	106	38	1	77.2
South Carolina .....	1,849	1,717	427	450	454	382	4	51.1
Virginia:								
Eastern .....	468	423	214	110	63	34	2	76.6
Western .....	440	361	143	88	109	21	-	64.0
West Virginia:								
Northern .....	85	89	48	18	17	6	-	74.2
Southern .....	390	336	145	120	55	14	2	79.0
FIFTH CIRCUIT .....	7,284	7,355	3,591	2,072	992	663	37	77.0
Alabama:								
Northern .....	468	538	277	212	35	12	2	90.9
Middle .....	164	184	106	41	29	8	-	79.9
Southern .....	223	189	75	63	44	7	-	73.0
Florida:								
Northern .....	247	245	117	62	44	21	1	73.1
Middle .....	631	605	280	184	93	43	5	76.7
Southern .....	524	474	214	127	77	52	4	71.9
Georgia:								
Northern .....	750	758	441	251	35	26	5	91.3
Middle .....	531	549	244	128	110	67	-	67.8
Southern .....	345	337	165	99	28	44	1	78.3
Louisiana:								
Eastern .....	470	414	188	125	57	43	1	75.6
Western .....	312	275	75	68	41	90	1	52.0
Mississippi:								
Northern .....	278	227	96	65	52	14	-	70.9
Southern .....	240	317	168	79	39	30	1	77.9
Texas:								
Northern .....	565	624	355	151	72	37	9	81.1
Eastern .....	277	317	157	69	55	33	3	71.3
Southern .....	761	808	353	212	134	106	3	69.9
Western .....	478	494	280	136	47	30	1	84.2

APPENDIX TABLE E 3.

U. S. District Courts and the District of Columbia  
Persons Under Supervision by District and Time Completed Under Supervision  
on June 30, 1967 - Concluded

Circuit and district <sup>1</sup>	Under supervision June 30, 1966	Under Supervision June 30, 1967						Percent under 2 years
		Total	Under 1 year	1 to 2 years	2 to 3 years	3 to 5 years	5 years & over	
SIXTH CIRCUIT .....	4,734	4,344	2,006	1,440	556	282	52	79.5
Kentucky:								
Eastern .....	369	302	144	115	32	8	3	85.8
Western .....	343	321	131	104	56	24	6	73.2
Michigan:								
Eastern .....	1,193	1,170	574	411	127	50	8	84.2
Western .....	202	164	80	62	14	5	3	86.6
Ohio:								
Northern .....	739	637	250	213	101	63	10	72.7
Southern .....	628	566	252	213	60	34	7	82.2
Tennessee:								
Eastern .....	681	593	258	190	70	69	6	75.5
Middle .....	364	369	184	81	77	21	6	71.8
Western .....	215	222	133	59	19	8	3	86.5
SEVENTH CIRCUIT .....	2,637	2,611	1,334	778	329	148	22	80.9
Illinois:								
Northern .....	1,409	1,484	719	476	192	89	8	80.5
Eastern .....	181	160	72	45	36	6	1	73.1
Southern .....	150	128	58	37	21	10	2	74.2
Indiana:								
Northern .....	295	270	143	86	24	13	4	84.8
Southern .....	355	310	190	73	32	12	3	84.8
Wisconsin:								
Eastern .....	194	203	123	44	19	13	4	82.3
Western .....	53	56	29	17	5	5	-	82.1
EIGHTH CIRCUIT .....	1,986	2,002	1,014	606	224	136	22	80.9
Arkansas:								
Eastern .....	180	140	78	36	14	12	-	81.4
Western .....	145	117	54	53	6	3	1	91.4
Iowa:								
Northern .....	89	107	54	28	13	12	-	76.6
Southern .....	129	117	51	43	12	10	-	80.3
Minnesota:								
Northern .....	323	335	160	107	45	19	4	79.7
Missouri:								
Eastern .....	349	387	189	104	48	39	7	75.7
Western .....	438	457	233	144	54	21	5	82.5
Nebraska:								
Northern .....	132	142	81	40	14	6	1	85.2
Southern .....	89	83	39	23	13	7	1	74.7
North Dakota:								
Northern .....	112	117	75	28	5	7	2	88.0
SOUTH DAKOTA .....								
NINTH CIRCUIT .....	6,672	6,732	3,204	1,840	955	646	87	74.9
Alaska .....	126	122	64	32	12	11	3	78.7
Arizona .....	368	362	194	92	39	32	5	79.0
California <sup>2</sup> :								
Northern .....	1,065	1,007	463	284	133	114	13	74.2
Eastern .....	564	571	259	160	83	64	5	73.3
Central .....	2,660	2,762	1,378	745	399	218	22	76.9
Southern .....	284	358	187	83	48	39	-	75.4
Hawaii .....	106	95	34	42	14	5	-	80.0
Idaho .....	107	98	47	29	16	5	-	77.6
Montana .....	251	226	123	60	24	15	4	81.0
Nevada .....	164	168	79	46	23	19	1	74.4
Oregon .....	344	328	140	101	51	33	3	73.5
Washington:								
Eastern .....	128	114	49	32	21	12	-	71.1
Western .....	505	521	187	134	92	79	29	61.6
TENTH CIRCUIT .....	1,979	1,930	893	576	276	161	24	76.1
Colorado .....	334	380	194	120	37	24	5	82.6
Kansas .....	408	352	148	115	58	30	1	74.7
New Mexico .....	275	230	117	68	34	10	1	80.4
Oklahoma:								
Northern .....	213	211	92	58	27	29	5	71.1
Eastern .....	227	228	91	59	45	28	5	65.8
Western .....	300	312	149	95	40	23	5	78.2
Utah .....	177	173	78	53	27	14	1	75.1
Wyoming .....	45	44	24	8	8	3	1	72.7

<sup>1</sup> Excludes Canal Zone, Guam and Virgin Islands.

<sup>2</sup> For statistical purposes the persons under supervision figures in the four California districts are shown as of June 30, 1966. The establishment of the two new districts in California became effective on September 18, 1966.

APPENDIX TABLE E 3-a  
 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia  
 Persons Under Supervision by District and Offense, June 30, 1967

Circuit and district	Total	GENERAL OFFENSES											SPECIAL OFFENSES				
		Homicide	Robbery	Assault	Burglary	Larceny	Embezzle-ment	Fraud	Auto theft	Forgery and counterfeiting	Sex offenses	Narcotics	Other	Immi-gration Laws	Liquor, Internal Revenue	Selective Service Acts	Other
TOTAL ALL DISTRICTS.....	37,767	301	1,631	392	666	3,805	2,630	3,350	6,458	4,768	349	3,171	1,042	168	7,125	277	1,634
District of Columbia.....	1,123	31	189	104	98	101	24	37	146	77	48	96	153	-	13	-	6
89 Districts.....	36,644	270	1,442	288	568	3,704	2,606	3,313	6,312	4,691	301	3,075	889	168	7,112	277	1,628
FIRST CIRCUIT.....	638	3	28	11	6	57	104	109	227	90	8	80	29	5	29	-	52
Maine.....	65	-	1	2	1	4	4	14	33	3	-	-	1	-	1	-	1
Massachusetts.....	482	1	21	5	2	33	65	69	134	48	4	24	19	4	24	-	29
New Hampshire.....	73	-	2	2	-	4	7	3	40	4	-	1	5	-	2	-	1
Rhode Island.....	74	-	3	-	-	5	7	17	14	14	1	8	1	-	2	-	-
Puerto Rico.....	144	2	1	-	1	11	21	6	6	21	3	47	3	1	-	-	21
SECOND CIRCUIT.....	2,495	17	77	14	14	253	343	296	389	370	13	436	62	11	76	21	103
Connecticut.....	351	1	12	-	2	15	23	82	77	60	3	31	11	-	18	3	13
New York:																	
Northern.....	171	3	3	-	1	15	30	18	62	14	3	3	4	-	-	5	10
Eastern.....	678	3	31	2	4	98	115	66	87	105	4	81	20	-	24	6	32
Southern.....	1,049	7	25	10	6	99	155	106	88	153	2	315	21	10	18	5	27
Western.....	210	2	6	2	1	25	14	21	56	33	1	6	6	-	16	2	19
Vermont.....	36	1	-	-	-	1	6	3	19	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	2
THIRD CIRCUIT.....	2,417	10	130	11	13	264	254	406	431	427	20	112	51	1	187	19	81
Delaware.....	99	-	2	-	1	7	6	22	39	8	-	-	3	-	4	-	7
New Jersey.....	771	3	41	4	4	108	87	125	120	146	2	40	17	1	34	13	26
Pennsylvania:																	
Eastern.....	694	2	34	6	-	97	83	96	105	113	12	44	22	-	54	5	21
Middle.....	205	1	11	-	3	13	25	36	46	41	3	3	3	-	9	1	10
Western.....	648	4	42	1	5	39	53	127	121	119	3	25	6	-	86	-	17
FOURTH CIRCUIT.....	5,920	22	110	66	43	338	199	238	635	474	29	44	107	-	3,401	25	189
Maryland.....	421	3	28	6	7	43	37	50	102	57	10	15	5	-	42	5	11
North Carolina:																	
Eastern.....	1,017	1	9	7	4	33	19	17	63	66	1	6	24	-	737	1	29
Middle.....	921	3	18	6	2	20	20	21	63	51	1	2	11	-	681	3	19
Western.....	635	6	11	28	8	45	19	25	79	58	5	2	22	-	289	2	37
South Carolina.....	1,717	2	13	6	4	80	29	46	116	138	5	8	12	-	1,202	7	49
Virginia:																	
Eastern.....	423	5	25	5	8	66	34	24	96	48	4	10	15	-	67	2	14
Western.....	361	2	1	5	4	26	20	21	27	24	2	1	13	-	196	1	18
West Virginia:																	
Northern.....	89	-	3	1	2	6	8	12	32	11	1	-	1	-	3	4	5
Southern.....	336	-	2	2	4	19	13	22	57	21	-	-	4	-	185	-	7
FIFTH CIRCUIT.....	7,355	35	190	37	102	843	376	656	1,158	946	52	530	187	53	1,753	56	381
Alabama:																	
Northern.....	538	3	10	2	7	66	19	22	119	54	3	-	26	-	196	1	10
Middle.....	184	-	2	-	4	25	5	17	42	21	1	1	5	-	55	-	6
Southern.....	189	1	8	1	3	30	11	12	26	23	-	7	6	2	46	-	13
Florida:																	
Northern.....	245	1	5	1	-	20	8	10	37	26	2	1	1	-	110	4	19
Middle.....	605	5	29	5	13	87	53	69	115	82	2	3	10	-	93	8	46
Southern.....	474	1	21	1	6	47	34	103	77	60	2	39	10	-	37	7	29
Georgia:																	
Northern.....	758	8	21	6	9	73	35	30	94	92	6	8	13	-	308	7	48
Middle.....	549	2	14	2	-	55	11	23	38	44	3	1	23	-	308	4	21
Southern.....	337	2	4	2	5	27	5	20	37	19	1	7	5	-	186	2	15
Louisiana:																	
Eastern.....	414	3	8	3	5	84	30	39	78	76	4	44	16	-	11	3	10
Western.....	275	-	9	2	3	36	21	63	55	34	3	3	5	-	8	1	32
Mississippi:																	
Northern.....	227	-	2	-	5	16	10	13	25	18	1	1	1	-	133	1	1
Southern.....	317	-	5	4	3	15	11	14	57	25	1	3	4	-	164	5	6
Texas:																	
Northern.....	624	3	29	1	20	68	44	62	122	116	13	66	19	11	15	2	33
Eastern.....	317	-	6	1	6	34	26	35	54	47	1	7	8	1	65	4	22
Southern.....	808	3	12	3	6	87	33	98	116	114	7	198	15	25	16	5	70
Western.....	494	3	5	3	7	73	20	26	66	95	2	136	20	14	2	2	20

- 154 -

APPENDIX TABLE E 3-a  
89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia  
Persons Under Supervision by District and Offense, June 30, 1967 - Concluded

Circuit and district	Total	GENERAL OFFENSES												SPECIAL OFFENSES			
		Homicide	Robbery	Assault	Burglary	Larceny	Embezzlement	Fraud	Auto theft	Forgery and counterfeit	Sex offenses	Narcotics	Other	Immigration Laws	Liquor, Internal Revenue	Selective Service Acts	Other
<b>SIXTH CIRCUIT</b> .....	4,344	27	239	23	43	386	262	323	896	559	50	134	70	6	1,082	28	218
Kentucky:																	
Eastern.....	302	-	19	-	4	15	10	24	54	23	5	4	2	-	136	-	6
Western.....	321	4	30	4	6	40	11	24	67	45	3	5	6	-	57	-	19
Michigan:																	
Eastern.....	1,170	8	75	7	2	99	82	111	241	129	14	88	11	1	209	5	88
Western.....	164	-	13	1	-	7	21	11	53	31	2	3	5	-	3	3	11
Ohio:																	
Northern.....	637	6	39	5	7	69	52	69	150	95	10	18	24	3	51	10	29
Southern.....	566	2	37	3	4	57	57	45	137	127	6	9	13	-	28	8	33
Tennessee:																	
Eastern.....	593	4	6	2	9	30	10	11	107	37	6	1	4	-	353	-	13
Middle.....	369	1	18	1	7	40	7	12	51	36	2	3	5	-	172	1	13
Western.....	222	2	2	-	4	29	12	16	36	36	2	3	-	-	73	1	6
<b>SEVENTH CIRCUIT</b> .....	2,611	15	152	7	33	311	227	224	622	401	23	276	106	8	114	28	64
Illinois:																	
Northern.....	1,484	10	71	6	14	192	129	127	294	224	9	242	75	7	46	12	26
Eastern.....	160	1	7	1	4	27	18	17	35	18	3	4	3	-	15	-	7
Southern.....	128	-	8	-	3	8	12	15	35	30	-	1	4	-	4	1	7
Indiana:																	
Northern.....	270	-	11	-	3	21	22	18	86	26	4	13	14	1	32	5	14
Southern.....	310	2	43	-	7	42	18	18	95	48	5	13	1	-	13	1	4
Wisconsin:																	
Eastern.....	203	2	12	-	2	17	18	20	56	49	2	3	7	-	4	8	3
Western.....	56	-	-	-	-	4	10	9	21	6	-	-	2	-	-	1	3
<b>EIGHTH CIRCUIT</b> .....	2,002	25	92	12	99	244	144	246	419	281	29	102	71	4	115	14	105
Arkansas:																	
Eastern.....	140	1	2	-	2	20	2	11	39	17	-	-	1	-	44	-	1
Western.....	117	-	1	1	2	8	4	7	32	7	1	-	1	-	44	1	8
Iowa:																	
Northern.....	107	-	3	-	1	12	9	27	18	15	1	1	6	-	3	3	8
Southern.....	117	2	6	1	1	8	10	18	33	16	-	3	4	-	-	2	13
Minnesota:																	
Northern.....	335	4	14	1	2	37	40	73	72	35	5	26	9	1	3	-	13
Missouri:																	
Eastern.....	387	2	32	2	8	66	26	23	72	67	7	40	12	1	10	-	19
Western.....	457	4	27	2	16	59	31	34	85	99	5	24	25	2	9	8	26
Nebraska:																	
Eastern.....	142	-	2	-	10	10	14	25	35	19	1	4	10	-	1	-	11
Northern.....	83	3	3	1	19	7	6	21	11	4	4	-	2	-	-	-	2
South Dakota.....	117	9	2	4	38	17	2	7	22	2	4	4	1	-	1	-	4
<b>NINTH CIRCUIT</b> .....	6,732	94	350	85	163	791	587	665	1,113	866	59	1,218	151	78	74	61	377
Alaska:																	
Northern.....	122	8	3	5	4	38	11	11	12	12	9	3	1	-	1	1	3
Western.....	362	29	21	24	14	29	13	26	81	34	10	56	7	6	4	1	7
California:																	
Northern.....	1,007	3	75	9	17	121	115	89	141	164	6	162	23	6	4	10	62
Eastern.....	571	5	32	3	4	98	56	63	100	75	2	55	11	13	7	14	33
Central.....	2,762	13	143	13	19	278	240	271	413	321	9	726	52	25	26	22	191
Southern.....	358	1	13	2	4	19	24	24	35	29	-	119	16	25	5	3	39
Hawaii:																	
Northern.....	95	1	2	1	2	31	16	9	3	19	1	5	1	1	-	-	3
Idaho:																	
Northern.....	226	14	1	14	69	34	7	15	39	12	10	1	5	-	1	-	4
Nevada:																	
Northern.....	168	3	2	-	7	13	25	25	31	17	1	21	7	1	1	-	14
Southern.....	328	1	16	2	8	31	12	42	100	66	2	24	5	-	7	7	5
Washington:																	
Eastern.....	114	1	6	1	4	18	9	8	32	26	1	5	-	-	3	-	-
Western.....	521	15	34	8	8	73	46	74	99	71	8	40	21	1	11	2	10
<b>TENTH CIRCUIT</b> .....	1,930	42	74	22	52	217	110	150	422	277	18	143	55	4	281	25	58
Colorado:																	
Northern.....	380	2	19	3	8	56	30	49	84	63	3	19	7	4	8	11	14
Western.....	352	3	14	2	13	35	22	41	87	70	5	10	20	-	5	1	24
Kansas:																	
Northern.....	230	7	8	11	12	19	12	8	34	24	2	66	3	-	16	3	5
New Mexico:																	
Northern.....	211	4	11	1	1	33	7	7	54	25	1	13	2	-	45	1	6
Eastern.....	228	1	2	-	3	12	10	2	23	11	2	3	7	-	147	1	4
Western.....	312	3	15	2	6	30	14	23	75	43	3	27	7	-	59	5	4
Utah:																	
Northern.....	173	-	5	3	7	26	12	19	45	34	1	4	9	-	1	3	4
Southern.....	44	2	-	-	2	6	3	1	20	7	1	1	-	-	-	-	1
Wyoming:																	

APPENDIX TABLE E 4

## 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia

Probationers Received for Supervision by District and Length of Term  
Fiscal Year 1967 (Excludes reinstatements)

Circuit and district <sup>1</sup>	Total	Term of Supervision									Percent 2 years or more <sup>2</sup>
		1-6 mos.	7-11 mos.	12 mos. only	13-23 mos.	24 mos. only	25-35 mos.	36 mos. only	37-59 mos.	60 mos. and over	
TOTAL ALL DISTRICTS.....	10,541	221	95	972	583	2,941	442	2,966	642	1,679	82.3
District of Columbia.....	275	1	3	14	4	53	9	140	4	47	92.0
89 Districts.....	10,266	220	92	958	579	2,888	433	2,826	638	1,632	82.0
FIRST CIRCUIT.....	195	3	1	33	11	109	2	17	9	10	97.5
Maine.....	21	-	1	-	5	14	-	-	1	-	-
Massachusetts.....	102	1	-	7	4	70	1	12	3	4	88.2
New Hampshire.....	17	-	-	-	-	8	1	2	3	-	-
Rhode Island.....	25	1	-	22	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
Puerto Rico.....	30	1	-	1	1	16	-	3	2	6	90.0
SECOND CIRCUIT.....	672	9	17	103	72	284	16	123	16	32	70.1
Connecticut.....	87	1	-	15	-	39	1	26	4	1	81.6
New York:											
Northern.....	50	3	-	7	4	16	2	14	-	4	72.0
Eastern.....	163	-	12	17	40	51	1	35	3	4	57.7
Southern.....	310	5	3	44	23	160	10	42	7	16	75.8
Western.....	52	-	2	19	5	17	1	3	2	3	50.0
Vermont.....	10	-	-	1	-	1	1	3	-	4	-
THIRD CIRCUIT.....	688	16	4	104	24	117	25	186	45	167	78.5
Delaware.....	27	-	1	5	3	6	1	9	-	2	66.7
New Jersey.....	225	3	-	12	3	45	6	69	11	76	92.0
Pennsylvania:											
Eastern.....	207	8	3	41	7	24	10	43	20	51	71.5
Middle.....	44	-	-	1	1	12	2	19	3	6	95.5
Western.....	185	5	-	45	10	30	6	46	11	32	67.6
FOURTH CIRCUIT.....	1,518	10	3	43	45	178	100	571	178	390	93.3
Maryland.....	100	2	1	10	14	36	5	28	-	4	73.0
North Carolina:											
Eastern.....	355	2	-	2	5	67	10	230	15	24	95.3
Middle.....	192	-	2	3	-	1	1	4	44	137	97.4
Western.....	172	-	-	3	5	22	55	61	17	9	95.3
South Carolina.....	355	2	-	1	5	10	16	57	74	190	97.7
Virginia:											
Eastern.....	94	1	-	4	8	18	7	39	13	4	86.2
Western.....	119	2	-	12	2	7	3	65	9	19	86.6
West Virginia:											
Northern.....	23	1	-	1	-	2	-	15	1	3	-
Southern.....	108	-	-	7	6	15	3	72	5	-	88.0
FIFTH CIRCUIT.....	2,282	29	14	175	86	698	100	624	156	400	86.7
Alabama:											
Northern.....	181	-	-	11	8	68	9	76	3	6	89.5
Middle.....	74	1	-	14	2	11	3	42	-	1	77.0
Southern.....	54	3	1	6	1	13	-	26	-	4	79.6
Florida:											
Northern.....	53	-	-	2	-	4	-	11	6	30	96.2
Middle.....	146	5	1	6	7	52	6	50	9	10	87.0
Southern.....	134	3	2	19	8	27	8	52	5	10	76.1
Georgia:											
Northern.....	319	3	1	9	16	242	6	29	5	8	90.9
Middle.....	175	-	-	29	-	79	3	49	2	13	83.4
Southern.....	106	-	-	4	2	68	-	17	-	15	94.3
Louisiana:											
Eastern.....	94	1	-	13	5	36	4	31	2	2	79.8
Western.....	70	-	-	2	3	1	1	5	15	43	92.9
Mississippi:											
Northern.....	82	1	-	-	-	6	1	63	3	8	98.8
Southern.....	162	4	-	4	5	17	43	38	48	3	92.0
Texas:											
Northern.....	158	6	5	43	13	33	4	35	8	11	57.6
Eastern.....	101	1	-	1	-	7	-	28	13	51	98.0
Southern.....	215	-	2	4	-	5	3	26	29	10	94.9
Western.....	158	1	2	8	16	29	9	46	8	39	98.3

APPENDIX TABLE E 4

## 89 United States District Courts and the District of Columbia

Probationers Received for Supervision by District and Length of Term  
Fiscal Year 1967 (Excludes reinstatements) - Concluded

Circuit and district <sup>1</sup>	Total	Term of Supervision									Percent 2 years or more <sup>2</sup>
		1-6 mos.	7-11 mos.	12 mos. only	13-23 mos.	24 mos. only	25-35 mos.	36 mos. only	37-59 mos.	60 mos. and over	
SIXTH CIRCUIT.....	1,169	42	15	135	102	540	28	208	24	75	74.9
Kentucky:											
Eastern.....	82	-	1	10	1	28	2	34	1	5	85.4
Western.....	107	16	1	7	5	38	2	28	2	8	72.9
Michigan:											
Eastern.....	325	6	2	42	19	220	3	24	6	3	78.8
Western.....	53	11	-	3	2	19	1	9	1	7	69.8
Ohio:											
Northern.....	139	-	4	27	10	52	3	34	3	6	70.5
Southern.....	126	2	3	8	12	57	-	25	-	19	80.2
Tennessee:											
Eastern.....	142	2	2	13	14	41	4	33	9	24	78.2
Middle.....	123	4	1	13	14	57	13	17	2	2	74.0
Western.....	72	1	1	12	25	28	-	4	-	1	45.8
SEVENTH CIRCUIT.....	630	23	11	57	49	165	20	160	55	90	77.8
Illinois:											
Northern.....	300	4	2	23	13	71	11	77	41	58	86.0
Eastern.....	38	-	-	1	-	4	1	26	1	5	97.4
Southern.....	25	1	-	1	2	5	-	11	1	4	-
Indiana:											
Northern.....	87	4	-	9	6	17	1	25	4	21	58.6
Southern.....	103	9	8	16	23	27	3	9	7	1	45.6
Wisconsin:											
Eastern.....	62	1	-	6	1	36	4	12	1	1	87.1
Western.....	15	4	1	1	4	5	-	-	-	-	-
EIGHTH CIRCUIT.....	596	14	2	50	84	214	26	161	20	25	74.8
Arkansas:											
Eastern.....	71	-	-	9	30	22	2	7	1	-	45.1
Western.....	36	1	-	5	-	24	-	6	-	-	83.3
Iowa:											
Northern.....	45	-	-	4	5	13	4	17	1	1	80.0
Southern.....	18	-	-	-	2	5	3	6	2	-	-
Minnesota:											
Eastern.....	66	-	-	6	1	25	2	29	-	3	89.4
Western.....	94	2	-	1	5	51	1	27	2	5	90.4
Nebraska.....	129	7	1	13	12	40	12	35	5	4	74.4
North Dakota.....	59	3	1	5	7	12	1	24	5	1	72.9
South Dakota.....	28	-	-	1	3	5	-	7	2	10	85.7
Southern.....	50	1	-	6	19	17	1	3	2	1	48.0
NINTH CIRCUIT.....	1,921	59	23	215	76	404	82	571	113	378	80.6
Alaska.....	65	3	2	17	3	30	1	4	1	4	61.5
Arizona.....	190	8	2	17	20	52	18	53	9	11	75.3
California:											
Northern.....	180	5	4	35	4	46	6	39	3	38	73.3
Eastern.....	145	4	3	13	2	10	6	15	11	81	84.8
Central.....	563	11	2	46	4	157	18	203	29	93	88.8
Southern.....	386	20	5	52	8	49	11	139	21	81	78.0
Hawaii.....	25	1	-	1	-	5	-	5	7	6	-
Idaho.....	38	4	-	9	2	12	-	8	2	1	60.5
Montana.....	90	-	-	6	5	19	5	46	6	3	87.8
Nevada.....	67	1	-	8	4	7	4	21	9	13	79.1
Oregon.....	71	2	5	6	16	6	9	18	6	3	59.2
Washington:											
Eastern.....	25	-	-	5	5	7	-	3	2	3	-
Western.....	76	-	-	-	3	4	4	17	7	41	96.1
TENTH CIRCUIT.....	595	15	2	43	30	179	34	205	22	65	84.9
Colorado.....	116	-	-	10	10	38	16	38	2	2	82.8
Kansas.....	93	3	-	6	7	33	6	25	5	8	82.8
New Mexico.....	105	3	2	1	6	32	4	44	3	10	88.6
Oklahoma:											
Northern.....	53	5	-	12	3	12	1	12	2	6	62.3
Eastern.....	62	1	-	2							



APPENDIX TABLE E 5. 89 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS  
 Probationers Who Completed Supervision by District and by Length of Term of Supervision, Fiscal Year 1967  
 (Excludes District of Columbia, Canal Zone, Guam and Virgin Islands)

Circuit and district	Total				1 to 12 months			13 to 24 months			25 to 36 months			37 to 48 months			49 months and over		
	Total	Term expired	Early Discharge		Total	Term expired	Early discharge	Total	Term expired	Early discharge	Total	Term expired	Early discharge	Total	Term expired	Early discharge	Total	Term expired	Early discharge
			Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>															
<b>TOTAL ALL DISTRICTS</b>	9,464	7,426	2,038	21.5	1,371	1,259	112	3,419	2,937	482	2,839	2,223	616	271	190	81	1,564	817	747
<b>FIRST CIRCUIT</b>	292	284	8	2.7	73	72	1	162	157	5	48	48	-	3	2	1	6	5	1
Maine	22	15	7	-	3	2	1	15	10	5	3	3	-	1	-	1	-	-	-
Massachusetts	167	167	-	0.0	24	24	-	114	114	-	26	26	-	1	1	-	2	2	-
New Hampshire	23	23	-	-	3	3	-	16	16	-	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	51	50	1	2.0	42	42	-	4	4	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	3	2	1
Puerto Rico	29	29	-	-	1	1	-	13	13	-	13	13	-	1	1	-	1	1	-
<b>SECOND CIRCUIT</b>	764	697	87	11.1	183	178	5	392	365	27	138	107	31	12	7	5	59	40	19
Connecticut	120	109	11	9.2	13	12	1	71	67	4	18	16	2	-	-	-	18	14	4
New York:																			
Northern	54	41	13	24.1	12	11	1	30	26	4	9	3	6	-	-	-	3	1	2
Eastern	253	227	26	10.3	66	64	2	131	124	7	38	29	9	4	3	1	14	7	7
Southern	256	225	31	12.1	59	59	-	120	110	10	52	40	12	7	3	4	18	13	5
Western	90	84	6	6.7	33	32	1	35	33	2	15	13	2	1	1	-	6	5	1
Vermont	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>THIRD CIRCUIT</b>	666	444	222	33.3	102	89	13	202	146	56	210	134	76	21	13	8	131	62	69
Delaware	27	22	5	18.5	9	9	-	11	9	2	2	-	2	2	-	-	3	2	1
New Jersey	234	79	155	66.2	18	16	2	75	34	41	72	16	56	11	3	8	58	10	48
Pennsylvania:																			
Eastern	184	145	39	21.2	44	37	7	49	40	9	49	39	10	7	7	-	35	22	13
Middle	44	37	7	15.9	2	2	-	14	13	1	19	16	3	-	-	-	9	6	3
Western	177	161	16	9.0	29	25	4	53	50	3	68	63	5	1	1	-	26	22	4
<b>FOURTH CIRCUIT</b>	1,395	1,218	177	12.7	60	57	3	281	260	21	659	612	47	83	74	9	312	215	97
Maryland	89	69	20	22.5	13	11	2	42	37	5	26	19	7	1	-	1	7	2	5
North Carolina:																			
Eastern	262	239	29	11.1	6	5	1	66	63	3	150	140	10	17	16	1	23	9	14
Middle	154	108	46	29.9	-	-	-	18	2	1	19	18	1	9	6	3	123	82	41
Western	165	158	7	4.2	1	1	-	36	35	1	119	117	2	2	-	2	7	5	2
South Carolina	318	284	34	10.7	8	8	-	24	24	-	111	105	6	43	42	1	132	105	27
Virginia:																			
Eastern	111	91	20	18.0	12	12	-	49	42	7	38	29	9	4	4	-	8	4	4
Western	144	125	19	13.2	9	9	-	13	9	4	110	99	11	3	2	1	9	6	3
West Virginia:																			
Northern	25	23	2	-	4	4	-	7	7	-	11	10	1	2	2	-	1	-	1
Southern	127	127	-	0.0	7	7	-	41	41	-	75	75	-	2	2	-	2	2	-
<b>FIFTH CIRCUIT</b>	1,929	1,576	353	18.3	181	166	15	725	640	85	630	527	103	46	27	19	347	216	131
Alabama:																			
Northern	121	105	16	13.2	15	12	3	53	50	3	35	28	7	6	3	3	12	12	-
Middle	55	50	5	9.1	-	-	-	2	2	-	51	46	5	-	-	-	2	2	-
Southern	64	58	6	9.4	6	4	2	10	7	3	47	46	1	-	-	-	1	1	-
Florida:																			
Northern	58	24	34	58.6	7	6	1	2	-	2	20	8	12	5	1	4	24	9	15
Middle	151	126	25	16.6	8	8	-	46	42	4	64	53	11	5	3	2	28	20	8
Southern	142	115	27	19.0	13	13	-	39	35	4	61	44	17	8	6	2	21	17	4
Georgia:																			
Northern	278	217	61	21.9	11	8	3	223	182	41	32	22	10	3	2	1	9	3	6
Middle	151	138	13	8.6	18	18	-	49	46	3	57	54	3	1	1	-	26	19	7
Southern	114	108	6	5.3	2	2	-	79	79	-	14	13	1	2	2	-	17	12	5
Louisiana:																			
Eastern	157	128	29	18.5	23	21	2	77	71	6	32	23	9	-	-	-	25	13	12
Western	76	52	24	31.6	4	3	1	4	4	-	5	5	-	1	1	-	62	39	23
Mississippi:																			
Northern	99	96	3	3.0	2	2	-	18	18	-	73	71	2	1	1	-	5	4	1
Southern	38	34	4	10.5	8	8	-	13	13	-	8	7	1	-	-	-	9	6	3
Texas:																			
Northern	144	129	15	10.4	42	41	1	48	44	4	39	33	6	1	1	-	17	10	8
Eastern	62	49	13	21.0	5	5	-	18	16	2	17	16	1	5	3	2	17	9	8
Southern	123	83	40	32.5	7	6	1	20	9	11	36	32	4	3	1	2	57	35	22
Western	96	64	32	33.3	10	9	1	27	22	5	39	26	13	5	2	3	15	5	10

APPENDIX TABLE E 5. 89 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS  
 Probationers Who Completed Supervision by District and by Length of Term of Supervision, Fiscal Year 1967 - Concluded  
 (Excludes District of Columbia, Canal Zone, Guam and Virgin Islands)

Circuit and district	Total				1 to 12 months			13 to 24 months			25 to 36 months			37 to 48 months			49 months and over		
	Total	Term expired	Early Discharge		Total	Term expired	Early discharge	Total	Term expired	Early discharge	Total	Term expired	Early discharge	Total	Term expired	Early discharge	Total	Term expired	Early discharge
			Number	Percent <sup>2</sup>															
<b>SIXTH CIRCUIT</b>	1,255	1,101	154	12.3	191	187	4	672	612	60	276	239	37	25	20	5	91	43	48
Kentucky:																			
Eastern	85	82	3	3.5	19	19	-	52	50	2	13	12	1	-	-	-	1	1	-
Western	76	71	5	6.6	13	13	-	24	24	-	23	21	2	-	-	-	12	11	1
Michigan:																			
Eastern	351	312	39	11.1	18	17	1	281	255	26	42	34	8	6	5	1	4	1	3
Western	67	60	7	10.4	24	23	1	33	30	3	8	6	2	-	-	-	2	1	1
Ohio:																			
Northern	181	140	41	22.7	47	46	1	66	47	19	55	44	11	1	1	-	12	2	10
Southern	157	120	37	23.6	31	31	-	61	58	3	31	22	9	-	-	-	34	9	25
Tennessee:																			
Eastern	179	163	16	8.9	16	15	1	83	81	2	52	49	3	10	8	2	18	10	8
Middle	98	96	2	2.0	6	6	-	35	33	2	46	45	1	3	3	-	8	8	-
Western	61	57	4	6.6	17	17	-	37	33	4	6	6	-	1	1	-	1	8	-
<b>SEVENTH CIRCUIT</b>	647	524	123	19.0	145	138	7	254	198	56	183	151	32	9	4	5	56	33	23
Illinois:																			
Northern	276	217	59	21.4	51	46	5												



APPENDIX TABLE E 6. 89 United States District Courts

Defendants Sentenced by District Courts, Showing Type of Sentence, Fiscal Year 1967

(Excludes violators of immigration laws, wagering tax laws and violators of Federal regulatory acts)

Circuit and district	Total convicted defendants	Type of Imprisonment						Federal Juvenile Del. Act
		Total sentenced to imprisonment	Adult regular	Adult indeterminate	Adult mixed	Youth Corrections Act		
TOTAL.....	19,999	10,052	6,312	1,983	216	1,128	413	
First Circuit.....	406	207	170	16	9	11	1	
Maine.....	42	21	10	7	1	4	1	
Massachusetts.....	189	97	92	1	1	3	1	
New Hampshire.....	47	26	14	2	7	2	1	
Rhode Island.....	61	33	26	4	1	2	1	
Puerto Rico.....	67	30	28	2	1	1	10	
Second Circuit.....	1,519	831	657	113	17	34	10	
Connecticut.....	213	122	82	26	4	3	7	
New York:								
Northern.....	83	38	30	4	1	3	1	
Eastern.....	291	127	55	67	2	3	3	
Southern.....	810	488	443	14	7	21	3	
Western.....	105	47	43	2	1	2	1	
Vermont.....	17	9	4	1	3	2	1	
Third Circuit.....	904	314	184	71	23	35	1	
Delaware.....	40	22	5	7	1	10	1	
New Jersey.....	341	123	94	14	7	8	1	
Pennsylvania:								
Eastern.....	206	60	30	14	12	4	1	
Middle.....	87	43	19	14	1	8	1	
Western.....	230	66	36	22	3	5	1	
Fourth Circuit.....	2,510	1,139	753	171	44	98	73	
Maryland.....	232	129	75	43	1	11	1	
North Carolina:								
Eastern.....	448	139	51	28	8	37	15	
Middle.....	355	166	133	23	7	1	2	
Western.....	293	130	117	1	2	1	10	
South Carolina.....	480	200	113	23	22	17	25	
Virginia:								
Eastern.....	317	216	150	45	2	10	5	
Western.....	143	40	22	1	2	1	1	
West Virginia:								
Northern.....	56	31	25	1	1	1	4	
Southern.....	186	88	67	6	1	4	10	
Fifth Circuit.....	4,723	2,420	1,692	348	46	220	114	
Alabama:								
Northern.....	388	155	137	6	3	1	8	
Middle.....	164	84	63	1	1	17	4	
Southern.....	105	48	39	1	1	2	5	
Florida:								
Northern.....	164	105	83	6	2	12	2	
Middle.....	369	245	192	9	2	19	23	
Southern.....	310	178	113	42	1	11	11	
Georgia:								
Northern.....	566	232	168	24	13	24	3	
Middle.....	325	151	126	4	1	14	7	
Southern.....	246	146	137	1	1	1	8	
Louisiana:								
Eastern.....	225	130	71	31	3	14	6	
Western.....	108	53	14	16	1	1	1	
Mississippi:								
Northern.....	130	45	29	10	5	1	1	
Southern.....	210	57	33	13	1	5	5	
Texas:								
Northern.....	349	199	121	38	2	30	8	
Eastern.....	139	49	7	30	5	1	6	
Southern.....	430	211	195	2	7	4	3	
Western.....	495	332	164	116	1	43	8	

APPENDIX TABLE E 6. 89 United States District Courts  
Defendants Sentenced by District Courts, Showing Type of Sentence, Fiscal Year 1967 - Continued

(Excludes violators of immigration laws, wagering tax laws and violators of Federal regulatory acts)

Circuit and district	Total placed on probation	Type of Probation					Suspended sentence	Fine only	Actual percent placed on probation	Percent comparative use of probation	Actual percent placed on probation above or below percent comparative use
		Adult immediate	Adult delayed	Adult split	Federal Juvenile Del. Act	No supervision					
TOTAL.....	9,426	7,161	457	1,114	445	249	84	437	47.1	47.1	0.0
First Circuit.....	180	152	13	7	5	3	4	15	44.3	47.7	- 7.1
Maine.....	20	14	5	1	1	1	1	1	47.6	43.8	8.7
Massachusetts.....	87	78	1	5	4	1	4	1	46.0	50.7	9.3
New Hampshire.....	21	15	5	1	1	1	1	1	44.7	44.5	0.4
Rhode Island.....	24	20	3	1	1	1	1	4	39.3	47.0	-16.4
Puerto Rico.....	28	25	1	1	1	1	1	9	41.8	44.6	- 6.3
Second Circuit.....	626	497	19	79	10	21	8	54	41.2	47.0	-12.3
Connecticut.....	83	52	3	28	1	1	1	8	39.0	43.3	- 9.9
New York:											
Northern.....	42	37	4	1	1	1	3	3	50.6	52.2	- 3.1
Eastern.....	148	124	1	23	1	1	4	12	50.9	51.1	- 0.4
Southern.....	297	239	10	23	8	17	4	21	36.7	45.8	-19.9
Western.....	49	41	1	2	2	3	1	9	46.7	48.6	- 3.9
Vermont.....	7	4	1	3	1	1	1	1	41.2	43.5	- 5.3
Third Circuit.....	559	485	20	38	8	8	4	27	61.8	49.6	24.6
Delaware.....	15	10	1	3	2	1	1	3	37.5	42.5	-11.8
New Jersey.....	204	183	6	9	2	4	2	12	59.8	50.8	17.7
Pennsylvania:											
Eastern.....	143	139	1	1	1	2	1	2	69.4	51.0	36.1
Middle.....	43	30	6	4	3	1	1	1	49.4	46.4	6.5
Western.....	154	123	7	21	1	2	1	9	67.0	48.9	37.0
Fourth Circuit.....	1,327	907	45	283	86	6	14	30	52.9	53.5	- 1.1
Maryland.....	87	80	2	5	1	1	16	37.5	46.7	-19.7	
North Carolina:											
Eastern.....	309	190	5	97	17	1	6	6	69.0	57.5	20.0
Middle.....	183	46	1	126	6	4	6	6	51.5	63.9	-19.4
Western.....	155	132	4	17	2	2	6	6	52.9	51.8	2.1
South Carolina.....	268	193	10	38	27	1	12	1	55.8	51.9	7.5
Virginia:											
Eastern.....	101	74	20	2	5	1	1	1	31.9	44.6	-28.5
Western.....	103	86	1	14	2	1	1	1	72.0	55.0	30.9
West Virginia:											
Northern.....	25	21	1	1	3	1	1	1	44.6	42.0	6.2
Southern.....	96	85	1	1	9	1	2	2	51.6	56.8	- 9.2
Fifth Circuit.....	2,186	1,678	72	264	75	97	7	110	46.3	48.9	- 5.3
Alabama:											
Northern.....	176	166	2	3	5	1	57	45.4	57.8	-21.5	
Middle.....	76	62	1	6	8	1	4	4	46.3	52.6	-12.0
Southern.....	57	45	3	6	3	1	1	1	54.3	44.4	22.3
Florida:											
Northern.....	57	46	2	7	1	2	2	2	34.8	50.5	-31.1
Middle.....	120	96	5	12	6	1	4	4	32.5	46.2	-29.7
Southern.....	129	81	9	28	6	5	3	3	41.6	43.3	- 3.9
Georgia:											
Northern.....	318	260	9	38	4	7	4	12	56.2	55.3	1.8
Middle.....	169	163	1	6	1	1	5	5	52.0	56.9	- 8.6
Southern.....	100	97	2	1	1	1	1	1	40.7	50.9	-20.0
Louisiana:											
Eastern.....	86	66	6	1	7	6	9	9	38.2	46.7	-18.2
Western.....	53	43	2	7	1	1	1	1	49.1	44.7	9.8
Mississippi:											
Northern.....	85	72	1	12	1	1	1	1	65.4	58.1	12.6
Southern.....	148	69	1	77	1	1	5	5	70.5	58.1	21.3
Texas:											
Northern.....	147	110	10	11	6	10	3	3	42.1	47.6	11.6
Eastern.....	87	65	4	15	2	1	2	2	62.6	51.5	21.6
Southern.....	215	144	4	28	12	27	1	3	50.0	37.1	34.8
Western.....	163	93	12	13	9	36	1	1	32.9	38.6	-14.8

APPENDIX TABLE E 6. 89 United States District Courts

Defendants Sentenced by District Courts, Showing Type of Sentence, Fiscal Year 1967 - Continued

(Excludes violators of immigration laws, wagering tax laws and violators of Federal regulatory acts)

Circuit and district	Total convicted defendants	Type of Imprisonment						Federal Juvenile Del. Act
		Total sentenced to imprisonment	Adult regular	Adult indeterminate	Adult mixed	Youth Corrections Act		
Sixth Circuit.....	2,315	1,284	782	337	37	90	38	
Kentucky:							8	
Eastern.....	283	191	183	-	-	-	3	
Western.....	242	148	111	16	-	18		
Michigan:							8	
Eastern.....	480	228	51	159	1	9		
Western.....	82	32	17	13	-	2		
Ohio:							4	
Northern.....	299	165	116	41	-	4		
Southern.....	309	187	128	36	1	19	3	
Tennessee:							9	
Eastern.....	250	144	42	56	17	20		
Middle.....	215	100	81	6	3	8		
Western.....	155	89	53	10	15	10	1	
Seventh Circuit.....	1,251	614	461	78	9	48	18	
Illinois:							1	
Northern.....	585	300	252	31	7	9	3	
Eastern.....	65	32	21	4	-	4		
Southern.....	70	33	17	2	2	10	2	
Indiana:							7	
Northern.....	147	58	23	16	-	12		
Southern.....	235	114	85	16	-	11	2	
Wisconsin:							3	
Eastern.....	112	54	48	5	-	1		
Western.....	37	23	15	4	-	1		
Eighth Circuit.....	1,168	604	377	125	17	48	37	
Arkansas:							5	
Eastern.....	146	72	59	7	1	1	4	
Western.....	105	57	46	2	4	1		
Iowa:							1	
Northern.....	65	15	3	9	2	1		
Southern.....	51	36	18	13	1	3	2	
Minnesota:							7	
Northern.....	124	68	33	26	-	7		
Missouri:							6	
Eastern.....	219	134	91	25	1	10		
Western.....	235	123	61	35	6	15	3	
Nebraska:							4	
Eastern.....	89	34	16	6	-	9		
Western.....	41	22	15	1	1	1	5	
North Dakota:							5	
Eastern.....	41	22	15	1	1	1		
Western.....	93	43	35	1	1	1		
Ninth Circuit.....	3,865	1,908	888	540	4	404	72	
Alaska.....	95	15	10	4	-	1		
Arizona.....	425	228	84	50	-	76	18	
California:							19	
Northern.....	326	155	78	58	-	7	2	
Eastern.....	262	106	57	40	-	77	11	
Central.....	1,101	588	316	184	-	109	7	
Southern.....	768	326	129	81	-	12	3	
Hawaii.....	51	23	6	5	-	11		
Idaho.....	104	52	22	16	-	19	16	
Montana.....	163	72	22	15	-	37	8	
Nevada.....	180	115	59	11	-	14	6	
Oregon.....	190	118	56	38	4	14		
Washington:							7	
Eastern.....	51	23	8	7	-	15		
Western.....	149	87	41	31	-	7		
Tenth Circuit.....	1,338	731	348	184	10	140	49	
Colorado.....	222	103	26	51	1	22	3	
Kansas.....	214	123	39	50	-	24	10	
New Mexico.....	305	186	66	33	1	70	16	
Oklahoma:							3	
Northern.....	95	50	29	5	4	9		
Eastern.....	107	46	37	1	4	7	6	
Western.....	213	141	113	15	-	4	2	
Utah.....	106	38	31	1	-	-	9	
Wyoming.....	76	44	7	28	-	-		

APPENDIX TABLE E 6. 89 United States District Courts

Defendants Sentenced by District Courts, Showing Type of Sentence, Fiscal Year 1967- Concluded

(Excludes violators of immigration laws, wagering tax laws and violators of Federal regulatory acts)

Circuit and district	Total placed on probation	Type of Probation						Suspended sentence	Fine only	Actual percent placed on probation	Percent comparative use of probation	Actual percent placed on probation above or below percent comparative use
		Adult immediate	Adult delayed	Adult split	Federal Juvenile Del. Act	No supervision						
Sixth Circuit.....	1,001	802	44	100	42	13	6	24	43.2	49.2	-12.2	
Kentucky:												
Eastern.....	88	77	3	1	5	2	-	4	31.1	51.7	-39.8	
Western.....	91	55	12	11	11	2	1	2	37.6	43.4	-13.4	
Michigan:												
Eastern.....	247	213	7	16	6	5	-	5	51.5	49.8	3.4	
Western.....	50	38	-	1	11	-	-	-	61.0	41.2	48.1	
Ohio:												
Northern.....	133	113	5	13	2	-	-	1	44.5	43.5	2.3	
Southern.....	111	93	8	7	2	1	5	6	35.9	45.3	-20.8	
Tennessee:												
Eastern.....	103	69	2	28	4	-	-	3	41.2	56.4	-27.0	
Middle.....	113	102	4	5	-	2	-	2	52.6	56.0	- 6.1	
Western.....	65	42	3	18	1	1	-	1	41.9	54.3	-22.8	
Seventh Circuit.....	598	484	30	66	16	2	12	27	47.8	45.0	6.2	
Illinois:												
Northern.....	270	222	8	36	4	-	7	8	46.2	43.8	5.5	
Eastern.....	32	28	-	1	2	1	-	1	49.2	48.8	0.8	
Southern.....	24	22	1	-	1	-	1	12	34.3	48.9	-29.9	
Indiana:												
Northern.....	88	65	4	18	1	-	-	1	59.9	44.0	36.1	
Southern.....	114	87	12	9	6	-	4	3	48.5	44.6	8.7	
Wisconsin:												
Eastern.....	57	48	5	1	2	1	-	1	50.9	46.8	8.8	
Western.....	13	12	-	1	-	-	-	1	35.1	49.5	-29.1	
Eighth Circuit.....	534	436	24	35	36	3	6	24	45.7	46.5	- 1.7	
Arkansas:												
Eastern.....	73	58	8	4	2	1	-	1	50.0	48.1	4.0	
Western.....	37	30	1	-	5	1	2	9	35.2	52.7	-33.2	
Iowa:												
Northern.....	45	44	-	1	-	-	-	5	69.2	54.5	27.0	
Southern.....	15	4	1	10	-	-	-	-	29.4	45.5	-35.4	
Minnesota:												
Northern.....	53	50	-	3	-	-	-	3	42.7	51.0	-16.3	
Missouri:												
Eastern.....	79	73	-	-	6	-	3	3	36.1	44.6	-19.1	
Western.....	111	78	9	13	10	1	1	-	47.2	41.5	13.7	
Nebraska:												
Eastern.....	54	47	5	1	1	-	-	1	60.7	44.7	35.8	
Western.....	19	17	-	1	1	-	-	-	46.3	44.4	4.3	
North Dakota:												
Eastern.....	48	35	-	2	11	-	-	2	51.6	45.8	12.7	
Western.....												
Ninth Circuit.....	1,820	1,281	136	185	129	89	23	114	47.1	41.3	14.0	
Alaska.....	63	39	1	1	22	-	5	12	66.3	51.4	29.0	
Arizona.....	194	130	28	7	22	7	1	2	45.6	25.4	25.3	
California:												
Northern.....	160	141	1	12	-	6	1	10	49.1	49.3	0.4	
Eastern.....	144	94	6	39	3	2	4	8	55.0	49.7	10.7	
Central.....	499	401	41	40	9	8	-	14	45.3	40.2	12.7	
Southern.....	390	251	43	20	22	54	3	49	50.8	34.7	46.4	
Hawaii.....	25	15	3	7	-	1	-	2	51.0	56.3	- 9.4	
Idaho.....	38	30	4	2	2	-	3	11	36.5	48.8	-25.2	
Montana.....	89	40	-	11	38	-	2	-	54.6	42.1	29.7	
Nevada.....	62	50	5	5	1	1	1	2	34.4	41.9	-21.8	
Oregon.....	69	32	3	19	6	9	-	3	36.3	40.8	-11.0	
Washington:												
Eastern.....	26	15	1	6	4	-	2	-	51.0	48.4	5.4	
Western.....	60	43	-	16	-	1	1	1	40.3	45.2	-10.8	
Tenth Circuit.....	595	439	54	57	38	7	-	12	44.5	43.6	2.1	
Colorado.....	111	83	10	8	8	2	-	8	50.0	45.2	10.6	
Kansas.....	90	69	12	8	1	-	-	-	42.1	40.2	4.7	
New Mexico.....	118	57	16	31	11	3	-	1	38.7	37.7	2.7	
Oklahoma:												
Northern.....	45	42	1	2	-	-	-	-	47.4	46.6	1.7	
Eastern.....	60	46	3	8	3	-	-	1	56.1	60.7	- 7.6	
Western.....	72	62	5	-	3	2	-	-	33.8	46.4	-27.2	
Utah.....	68	63	4	-	1	-	-	-	64.2	41.7	54.0	
Wyoming.....	31	17	3	-	11	-	-	1	40.8	39.3	3.8	

NOTE: The comparative use of probation is the mathematical expectancy based on the application of the national average use of probation for the eight offense classes to the actual eight offense classes of defendants sentenced in the separate United States district courts. It therefore represents what would be expected on the basis of statistical probability and does not take into account the differences among defendants as to age, prior criminal record or other factors generally considered by the court when imposing a sentence. It is merely a comparison of the use of probation as applied to the national use on the basis of the eight offense classes which are described in Appendix table E 6.

APPENDIX TABLE E 7-a. United States District Courts

Persons Removed From Supervision Showing Type of Supervision and Violation, Fiscal Year 1963

Type of Supervision	Total Removed	No Violation	With Violation			
			Total	Technical <sup>1</sup>	Minor <sup>2</sup>	Major <sup>3</sup>
TOTAL ALL COURTS.....	20,011	15,479	4,532	-	1,659	2,873
<u>Probation</u>						
United States District Courts.....	11,420	9,199	2,221	-	848	1,373
District of Columbia.....	304	232	72	-	33	39
United States Commissioner.....	298	255	43	-	31	12
<u>Deferred Prosecution</u> .....	388	357	31	-	7	21
<u>From Institutions</u>						
Federal parole.....	4,065	2,743	1,322	-	446	876
Mandatory release.....	3,193	2,384	809	-	277	532
Military						
By parole from military institution.....	117	103	14	-	6	8
By parole from Federal institution.....	69	58	11	-	5	6
By mandatory release from Federal institution.....	157	148	9	-	3	6
PERCENT						
TOTAL ALL COURTS.....	100.0	77.3	22.7	-	8.3	14.4
<u>Probation</u>						
United States District Courts.....	100.0	80.6	19.4	-	7.4	12.0
District of Columbia.....	100.0	76.3	23.7	-	10.9	12.8
United States Commissioner.....	100.0	85.6	14.4	-	10.4	4.0
<u>Deferred Prosecution</u> .....	100.0	92.0	8.0	-	2.6	5.4
<u>From Institutions</u>						
Federal parole.....	100.0	67.5	32.5	-	11.0	21.5
Mandatory release.....	100.0	74.7	25.3	-	8.7	16.7
Military						
By parole from military institution.....	100.0	88.0	12.0	-	5.1	6.8
By parole from Federal institution.....	100.0	84.1	15.9	-	7.2	8.7
By mandatory release from Federal institution.....	100.0	94.3	5.7	-	1.9	3.8

<sup>1</sup> Classified with minor.

<sup>2</sup> A minor offense includes sentences where the period of imprisonment is less than 90 days or where probation granted on the new offense does not exceed 1 year. Includes technical infractions of the conditions of supervision, exclusive of convictions for a new offense.

<sup>3</sup> A major offense is a commitment to imprisonment for a period of 90 days or more, placement on probation for over 1 year on a new offense, or absconding with felony charges outstanding.

NOTE: This table includes persons removed for the first time from supervision. It excludes persons previously removed for violation and reinstated to supervision.

APPENDIX TABLE E 7-b. United States District Courts

Persons Removed From Supervision Showing Type of Supervision and Violation, Fiscal Year 1964

Type of Supervision	Total Removed	No Violation	With Violation			
			Total	Technical <sup>1</sup>	Minor <sup>2</sup>	Major <sup>3</sup>
TOTAL ALL COURTS.....	20,694	15,920	4,774	-	1,747	3,027
<u>Probation</u>						
United States District Courts.....	12,031	9,588	2,443	-	1,023	1,420
District of Columbia.....	274	211	63	-	21	42
United States Commissioner.....	282	262	20	-	14	6
<u>Deferred Prosecution</u> .....	402	376	26	-	5	21
<u>From Institutions</u>						
Federal parole.....	4,445	2,996	1,449	-	434	1,015
Mandatory release.....	2,948	2,203	745	-	241	504
Military						
By parole from military institution.....	195	185	10	-	4	6
By parole from Federal institution.....	86	74	12	-	3	9
By mandatory release from Federal institution.....	31	25	6	-	2	4
PERCENT						
TOTAL ALL COURTS.....	100.0	76.9	23.1	-	8.5	14.6
<u>Probation</u>						
United States District Courts.....	100.0	79.7	20.3	-	8.5	11.8
District of Columbia.....	100.0	77.0	23.0	-	7.7	15.3
United States Commissioner.....	100.0	92.9	7.1	-	5.0	2.1
<u>Deferred Prosecution</u> .....	100.0	93.5	6.5	-	1.3	5.2
<u>From Institutions</u>						
Federal parole.....	100.0	67.4	32.6	-	9.8	22.8
Mandatory release.....	100.0	74.7	25.3	-	8.2	17.1
Military						
By parole from military institution.....	100.0	94.9	5.1	-	2.0	3.1
By parole from Federal institution.....	100.0	86.0	14.0	-	3.5	10.5
By mandatory release from Federal institution.....	100.0	80.6	19.4	-	6.5	12.9

<sup>1</sup> Classified with minor.

<sup>2</sup> A minor offense includes sentences where the period of imprisonment is less than 90 days or where probation granted on the new offense does not exceed 1 year. Includes technical infractions of the conditions of supervision, exclusive of convictions for a new offense.

<sup>3</sup> A major offense is a commitment to imprisonment for a period of 90 days or more, placement on probation for over 1 year on a new offense, or absconding with felony charges outstanding.

NOTE: This table includes persons removed for the first time from supervision. It excludes persons previously removed for violation and reinstated to supervision.

APPENDIX TABLE E. 7-g United States District Courts

Persons Removed From Supervision Showing Type of Supervision and Violation, Fiscal Year 1965

Type of Supervision	Total Removed	No Violation	With Violation			
			Total	Technical <sup>1</sup>	Minor <sup>2</sup>	Major <sup>3</sup>
TOTAL ALL COURTS.....	20,624	16,037	4,587	536	1,283	2,768
<u>Probation</u>						
United States District Courts.....	12,075	9,921	2,154	354	587	1,213
District of Columbia.....	253	181	72	9	30	33
United States Commissioner.....	254	231	23	7	8	8
Deferred Prosecution.....	457	417	40	11	-	29
<u>From Institutions</u>						
Federal parole.....	4,429	2,870	1,559	113	442	1,004
Mandatory release.....	2,876	2,170	706	40	205	461
Military						
By parole from military institution.....	179	159	20	2	7	11
By parole from Federal institution.....	59	53	6	-	1	5
By mandatory release from Federal institution.....	42	35	7	-	3	4
PERCENT <sup>4</sup>						
TOTAL ALL COURTS.....	100.0	77.8	22.2	2.6	6.2	13.4
<u>Probation</u>						
United States District Courts.....	100.0	82.2	17.8	2.9	4.9	10.0
District of Columbia.....	100.0	71.5	28.5	3.6	11.9	13.0
United States Commissioner.....	100.0	90.9	9.1	2.8	3.1	3.1
Deferred Prosecution.....	100.0	91.2	8.8	2.4	-	6.4
<u>From Institutions</u>						
Federal parole.....	100.0	64.8	35.2	2.5	10.0	22.7
Mandatory release.....	100.0	75.5	24.5	1.4	7.1	16.0
Military						
By parole from military institution.....	100.0	88.8	11.2	1.1	3.9	6.2
By parole from Federal institution.....	100.0	89.8	10.2	-	1.7	8.5
By mandatory release from Federal institution.....	100.0	83.3	16.7	-	7.1	9.5

<sup>1</sup> A technical violation is an infraction of the conditions of supervision, exclusive of convictions for a new offense.

<sup>2</sup> A minor offense includes sentences where the period of imprisonment is less than 90 days or where probation granted on the new offense does not exceed 1 year.

<sup>3</sup> A major offense is a commitment to imprisonment for a period of 90 days or more, placement on probation for over 1 year on a new offense, or absconding with felony charges outstanding.

<sup>4</sup> Percents may not add to totals due to rounding.

NOTE: This table includes persons removed for the first time from supervision. It excludes persons previously removed for violation and reinstated to supervision.

APPENDIX TABLE E. 7-d. United States District Courts

Persons Removed From Supervision Showing Type of Supervision and Violation, Fiscal Year 1966

Type of Supervision	Total Removed	No Violation	With Violation			
			Total	Technical <sup>1</sup>	Minor <sup>2</sup>	Major <sup>3</sup>
TOTAL ALL COURTS.....	20,591	16,318	4,273	597	1,322	2,354
<u>Probation</u>						
United States District Courts.....	12,212	10,219	1,993	394	583	1,016
District of Columbia.....	258	186	72	5	29	38
United States Commissioner.....	247	217	30	5	11	14
Deferred Prosecution.....	451	428	23	9	4	10
<u>From Institutions</u>						
Federal parole.....	4,402	2,949	1,453	138	455	860
Mandatory release.....	2,780	2,108	672	45	229	398
Military						
By parole from military institution.....	176	161	15	1	4	10
By parole from Federal institution.....	35	31	4	-	3	1
By mandatory release from Federal institution.....	30	19	11	-	4	7
PERCENT <sup>4</sup>						
TOTAL ALL COURTS.....	100.0	79.2	20.8	2.9	6.4	11.4
<u>Probation</u>						
United States District Courts.....	100.0	83.7	16.3	3.2	4.8	8.3
District of Columbia.....	100.0	72.1	27.9	1.9	11.3	14.7
United States Commissioner.....	100.0	87.9	12.1	2.0	4.4	5.7
Deferred Prosecution.....	100.0	94.9	5.1	2.0	0.9	2.2
<u>From Institutions</u>						
Federal parole.....	100.0	67.0	33.0	3.1	10.3	19.5
Mandatory release.....	100.0	75.8	24.2	1.6	8.2	14.3
Military						
By parole from military institution.....	100.0	91.5	8.5	0.5	2.3	5.7
By parole from Federal institution.....	100.0	88.6	11.4	-	8.6	2.8
By mandatory release from Federal institution.....	100.0	63.3	36.7	-	13.3	23.4

<sup>1</sup> A technical violation is an infraction of the conditions of supervision, exclusive of convictions for a new offense.

<sup>2</sup> A minor offense includes sentences where the period of imprisonment is less than 90 days or where probation granted on the new offense does not exceed 1 year.

<sup>3</sup> A major offense is a commitment to imprisonment for a period of 90 days or more, placement on probation for over 1 year on a new offense, or absconding with felony charges outstanding.

<sup>4</sup> Percents may not add to totals due to rounding.

NOTE: This table includes persons removed for the first time from supervision. It excludes persons previously removed for violation and reinstated to supervision.

APPENDIX TABLE E 7-e. United States District Courts

Persons Removed From Supervision Showing Type of Supervision and Violation, Fiscal Year 1967

Type of Supervision	Total Removed	No Violation	With Violation			
			Total	Technical <sup>1</sup>	Minor <sup>2</sup>	Major <sup>3</sup>
TOTAL ALL COURTS .....	19,890	15,752	4,138	793	1,161	2,184
<u>Probation</u>						
United States District Courts ....	11,389	9,639	1,750	456	443	851
District of Columbia .....	295	223	72	21	20	31
United States Commissioner .....	263	239	24	11	7	6
<u>Deferred Prosecution</u> .....	516	494	22	9	-	13
<u>From Institutions</u>						
Federal parole .....	4,690	3,015	1,675	221	498	956
Mandatory release .....	2,571	1,986	585	71	191	323
Military						
By parole from military institution .....	128	119	9	4	2	3
By parole from Federal institution .....	21	21	-	-	-	-
By mandatory release from Federal institution .....	17	16	1	-	-	1
PERCENT <sup>4</sup>						
TOTAL ALL COURTS .....	100.0	79.2	20.8	4.0	5.8	11.0
<u>Probation</u>						
United States District Courts ....	100.0	84.6	15.4	4.0	3.9	7.5
District of Columbia .....	100.0	75.6	24.4	7.1	6.8	10.5
United States Commissioner .....	100.0	90.9	9.1	4.2	2.7	2.2
<u>Deferred Prosecution</u> .....	100.0	95.7	4.3	1.7	-	2.5
<u>From Institutions</u>						
Federal parole .....	100.0	64.3	35.7	4.7	10.6	20.4
Mandatory release .....	100.0	77.2	22.8	2.8	7.4	12.6
Military						
By parole from military institution .....	100.0	93.0	7.0	3.1	1.6	2.3
By parole from Federal institution .....	-	-	-	-	-	-
By mandatory release from Federal institution .....	-	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>1</sup>A technical violation is an infraction of the conditions of supervision, exclusive of convictions for a new offense.

<sup>2</sup>A minor offense includes sentences where the period of imprisonment is less than 90 days or where probation granted on the new offense does not exceed 1 year.

<sup>3</sup>A major offense is a commitment to imprisonment for a period of 90 days or more, placement on probation for over 1 year on a new offense, or absconding with felony charges outstanding.

<sup>4</sup>Percents may not add to totals due to rounding. Percent not computed where base is 25 or less.

NOTE: This table includes persons removed for the first time from supervision. It excludes persons previously removed for violation and reinstated to supervision.

APPENDIX TABLE E 8  
OFFENSE CLASSES USED IN THIS REPORT

SPECIAL OFFENSES

Immigration laws:

Refers to appropriate sections in U.S.C. Title 8 relating to illegal entry and re-entry, citizenship frauds and other immigration laws. Most of these cases arise from illegal crossings along the Mexican border.

Wageing tax violations:

Refers to appropriate sections in U.S.C. Title 26, Internal Revenue laws, which make persons engaged in the business of accepting wagers liable for the payment of a tax.

Miscellaneous Federal regulatory statutes:

Refers to a series of Federal statutes relative to violations of the following:

1. Agriculture and conservation acts
2. Antitrust violations
3. Fair Labor Standards Act
4. Food and Drug acts
5. Migratory bird laws
6. Motor Carrier Act
7. All other Federal regulatory statutes except National defense laws and obscens mail separately classified.

CLASS I

Fraud - Group A:

Includes frauds occurring against lending and credit institutions, Veterans Administration, Railroad Retirement Act, and Social Security Act.

Embezzlement:

Includes embezzlement of bank or postal funds, public moneys or property, lending, credit and insurance institutions, by officers of a carrier in interstate commerce, and embezzlement by officers of labor organizations.

Obscene mail:

Covers obscene mail or transmitting obscene matter in interstate commerce.

CLASS II

Income tax fraud:

Covers evasion, failure to file, etc., income tax.

Other fraud:

Frauds connected with bankruptcy, excise tax, false personation, nationality laws, passport, Commodity Credit, Securities and Exchange Commission, false claims or statements and conspiracy not otherwise classified.

CLASS III

Liquor, Internal Revenue:

Covers violations of Internal Revenue Liquor laws, U.S.C. Title 26.

CLASS IV

Theft:

Includes larceny and theft from banks which are Federally insured and post offices, mail theft, theft of U.S. property and thefts occurring on government reservations, etc.

Postal fraud:

Includes fraud involving the use of the mails, wire, radio, etc.

Forgery:

Includes postal forgery, and forgery of obligations and securities of the United States.

**CLASS V**

**Border registration of addicts and narcotic violators:**

United States citizens who are addicted to or use narcotic drugs or have been convicted of a violation of Federal or State narcotic or marihuana laws of the United States for which the penalty is imprisonment of more than one year, must register when departing from or returning to the United States.

**Assault and homicide:**

Includes simple or aggravated assault. Homicide covers first and second degree murder and manslaughter.

**Miscellaneous general offenses:**

Includes all offenses not otherwise classified, such as, bribery, traffic offenses, including drunken driving, jumping bail, escape and aiding or harboring an escapee, extortions and racketeering, gambling and lottery, kidnapping, perjury and laws dealing with firearms and weapons.

Also includes arson, abortion, bigamy, disorderly conduct and malicious destruction of property.

**CLASS VI**

**Counterfeiting:**

Includes all offenses involving printing, passing, possessing, etc., counterfeited currency or postal money orders.

**Burglary:**

Includes all offenses connected with the burglary or breaking and entering of a bank which is Federally insured or post office, in interstate commerce, and on government reservations.

**Interstate transportation of stolen property:**

This offense covers transportation of forged securities, etc. which comprises the majority of cases, transportation of stolen property, etc.

**Marihuana:**

Offenses involving violations of the Marihuana Tax Act.

**Selective Service Act:**

Violators of the Universal Military Training and Service Act of 1948.

**Other National defense laws:**

Includes violations of the Subversive Activities Control Act, alien registration laws, and the illegal use of uniform. Also includes violation of the national security laws including espionage.

**Sex offenses:**

Includes rape, white slave traffic and importing alien females for prostitution or immoral purposes.

**CLASS VII**

**Auto theft:**

Includes transportation, etc., of stolen motor vehicles or aircraft and sale or receipt of such vehicles.

**CLASS VIII**

**Narcotics:**

Covers all violations of the Narcotic Control Act of 1956 and the Narcotic Drugs Import and Export Act.

**Robbery:**

Covers all Federally insured lending and credit institutions, banks and postal facilities. Also includes robberies carried out in the maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States and robbery of government property from an officer or employee of the United States.

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE  
UNITED STATES COURTS  
SUPREME COURT BUILDING  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20544

ERNEST C. FRIESEN, JR.  
DIRECTOR

WILLIAM E. FOLEY  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

November 13, 1969

Enclosed is the 1967 edition of Persons Under Supervision of the Federal Probation System. This report, prepared by James A. McCafferty of the Division of Procedural Studies and Statistics, is the first one accomplished through the computerization of the probation statistical records.

Your attention is invited to the highlights at the front of the report which summarizes the characteristics of persons received for supervision and, for those who were terminated, the manner in which their supervision was completed.

It is to be noted that the total number of persons received for probation supervision has dropped from 13,388 in fiscal year 1963 to 10,856 in 1967. On the other hand, offenders released from Federal institutions for parole supervision increased from 5,119 in 1962 to 6,331 in 1967. The number of mandatory re-leasees received showed a sizable drop, 3,520 in 1962 to 2,902 in 1967.

Violation rates in 1967 are below previous years and can be illustrated as follows:


	All District Courts*	
	1963	1967
Court probation	19.5	15.6
U. S. Commissioner probation	14.4	9.1
Deferred prosecution	8.0	4.3
Federal parole	32.5	35.7
Federal mandatory release	15.9	22.8

\*Excludes Canal Zone, Guam and Virgin Islands

Sincerely yours,

Ernest C. Friesen, Jr.  
Director

Enclosure



**END**