National Criminal Justice Reference Service

ncjrs

This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

National Institute of Justice United States Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20531

4 1

10/22/82

NBSIR 82-2469

Effect of Ventilation on the Rates of Heat, Smoke, and Carbon **Monoxide Production in A Typical Jail Cell Fire**

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Bureau of Standards Manal Engineering Laboratory for Fire Research ngton, DC 20234

1982

ored in part by: National Institute of Justice **U.S.** Department of Justice Washington, DC



NBSIR 82-2469

EFFECT OF VENTILATION ON THE RATES OF HEAT, SMOKE, AND CARBON MONOXIDE PRODUCTION IN A TYPICAL **JAIL CELL FIRE**

	U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice	
B. T. Lee	This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.	
	Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been oranted by	
	Public Domain	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	National Inst. of Justice	
National Bureau of Standards	to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).	
National Engineering Laboratory Center for Fire Research Washington, DC 20234	Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permis- sion of the copyright owner.	
	$\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} N \left[- \frac{1}{2} \right] dx$	
March 1982		
	AUG LU 1962	
	ACQUISITIONS	X
Sponsored in part by: National Institute of Justice U.S. Department of Justice Washington, DC		
OUR TIMENT OF COMMENT		
CAEAU OF		
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMME	RCE, Malcolm Baldrige Security	
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS	Frnest Ambler, Oireste	

a harren er her er her harren h

LIST OF FIGURES LIST OF TABLES. Abstract. 1. INTRODUCTION. . . . 1.1 Background . .
1.2 Measurement of 2. EXPERIMENTAL. . . 2.1 Prison Cell Fire 2.2 Fire Load and Ic 2.3 Instrumentation 2.4 Corrections for and Heat Outf 2.5 Heat Release Rat . 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIO 3.1 Heat Release . 3.2 Room Air Tempera 3.3 Production of Sm 3.4 Thermal Flux and 4. SUMMARY 5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS . . 6. REFERENCES. . . . APPENDIX A. A.l Calculation of H Depletion Mea A.2 Effective Value Small Opening

Preceding page blank

TABLE OF CONTENTS

																									Pag	e
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	۰	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			• iv	
•	•	•	•	. •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•			. vi	
•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•					. 1	
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•						2	
•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•			2	
He	ea	t	Re	Lei	ase	e	Ra	te	a	nd	He	ea	t :	LO	SS	es	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3	
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4	
e gı	Te nit	es ti	ts on	Sc	Dui	rc	e.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4 5	
1	lea		ure	• •me	ent	E I	of	• H	ea [.]	t I	Rel	Lea	ase	• I	Rat	te	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6	
te	≥s	0	ace f :	e. Ind	liv	/i	du	al	It	ter	ns	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7 10	
10	a 1	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10	
at mc d	uı oke Ra	e a	s. and iat		Car	bo:		M	onc	oxi	lde	•	• • •	• • •	• • •	•	•	•	•	•	• • •	• • •	• • •	•	11 13 14 17	
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•.		•	•	•			•		18	
•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•			•	20	
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•	20	
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•	÷	•		•		•	•		•	•	70	
le	at	F	lel	ea	se	F	lat	e	fr	om	ΰ	xy	ge	n							,					
as O	ur f	en AH	ien [1/	t 2	fo	r	Tw	•	Ve	rt	ic	al	lv	D	is	[a	ac	ed	•	•	•	•	•	•	70	
js	i	n	a	Ro	om	F	'ir	е	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		72	

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.	General view of test cell-exhaust hood complex	• 29
Figure 2.	Representative prison cell arrangement	• 30
Figure 3.	Representative prison cell arrangement	. 31
Figure 4.	Plan view of fire test cell room arrangement	. 32
Figure 5.	Test cell fuel arrangement	. 33
Figure 6.	Heating rate versus temperature rise in thermocouple grid .	. 34
Figure 7.	Heat release histories for mattress with bedding, plywood bookcase, and cardboard box of paper files	. 35
Figure 8.	Heat release history for fabric material	. 36
Figure 9.	Heat release history for clothes	. 37
Figure 10.	Heat release rate history for cell room test l	. 38
Figure 11.	Heat release rate history for cell room test 2	. 39
Figure 12.	Heat release rate history for cell room test 3	. 40
Figure 13.	Heat release rate history for cell room test 4	. 41
Figure 14.	Heat outflow rate from cell room test 1	. 42
Figure 15.	Heat outflow rate from cell room test 2	. 43
Figure 16.	Heat outflow rate from cell room test 3	. 44
Figure 17.	Heat outflow rate from cell room test 4	. 45
Figure 18.	Doorway air temperature profiles for test 1	. 46
Figure 19.	Doorway air temperature profiles for test 3	. 48
Figure 20.	Doorway air temperature profiles for test 4	. 50
Figure 21.	Doorway air temperature histories for test l	. 52
Figure 22.	Doorway air temperature histories for test 3	. 54
Figure 23.	Doorway air temperature histories for test 4	56
Figure 24.	Doorway air temperature histories for test 2	58
Figure 25.	Interior air temperature histories for test 1	59
Figure 26.	Interior air temperature histories for test 2	60
Figure 27.	Interior air temperature histories for test 3^{2}	61
Figure 28.	Interior air temperature histories for test 4	. 62

Figure	29.	Smoke	gene	era
Figure	30.	Smoke tes	exti ts 2	.no ai
Figure	31.	Mass	flow	r
Figure	32.	Mass	flow	ra
Figure	33.	Mass	flow	r
Figure	34.	Mass	flow	ra
Figure	35.	Peak ven	heat tilat	r i

-

٠

iv

• *

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

v

	Page
ation histories for tests 2, 3, and 4	. 63
ction cross section versus time for nd 4	. 64
ate of carbon monoxide versus time for test 1	. 65
ate of carbon monoxide versus time for test 2	. 66
ate of carbon monoxide versus time for test 3	. 67
ate of carbon monoxide versus time for test 4	. 68
elease rate versus doorway on parameter	. 69

LIST OF TABLES

Page

Table 1.	Doorway dimensions and test conditions in prison cell fire tests
Table 2.	Fuel loading in prison cell fire tests
Table 3.	Location of instrumentation
Table 4.	Heat release rate measurements
Table 5.	Fraction of heat release convected away from fire room at time of peak heat release rate
Table 6.	Other doorway and exhaust hood measurements
Table 7.	Thermal flux measurements

vi

The rates of heat release and smoke development from a fire in a typical prison cell configuration were examined under four doorway ventilation conditions. Peak heat release rates varied from about 4500 kW for a 3.34 m^2 doorway opening down to 340 kW for a 0.17 m^2 opening. However, the total and rate of smoke generation were greater with the small opening. The peak carbon monoxide production rate varied from 0.03 kg/s for the large opening to 0.01 kg/s for the smallest opening. The quantity of carbon monoxide generated, however, was highest for the smallest opening with 5.3 kg produced over the fire duration of 1800 s. During the peak fire development in the configuration with the larger openings, temperatures inside the room reached about 1000°C with roughly two-thirds of the heat lost to the cell room boundaries. Peak thermal fluxes inside the room generally exceeded the ignition threshold value of about 20 $k W/m^2$ for clothing, bedding, and other light combustible fuel for all of the tests.

EFFECT OF VENTILATION ON THE RATES OF HEAT, SMOKE, AND CARBON MONOXIDE PRODUCTION IN A TYPICAL JAIL CELL FIRE

B. T. Lee

Center for Fire Research National Bureau of Standards Washington, DC 20234

Abstract

Key words: Fire growth; fuel load; heat release rate; prison cell fire; smoke

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The National Institute of Justice has asked the National Bureau of Standards to prepare a fire safety evaluation system for prisons. One requirement of this system is an evaluation of the fire safety of multi-level prison cells located in a large, closed building. In the event of fire in such facilities, fans are used to purge the smoke and hot gases from the building. There is presently little, if any, information available on the heat release rate and production of smoke and combustion products from typical prison cell fires. Such information is needed to help establish the operational requirements for these fans. In addition, information is needed on the effect of ventilation on the prison cell fire development to aid in the design of more fire-safe cell rooms.

The heat release rate and production of smoke and combustion products depend on cell room construction and configuration; the type, quantity, and distribution of combustible materials in the cell; and, on the ventilation conditions. The latter depends on the size and location of the openings and on the location and capacity of any mechanical means of ventilating the cell. In practice, cell openings vary from small cell-door windows to barred, full wall openings.

The study presented here determined the rates of heat, smoke, and carbon monoxide production of one representative prison cell construction, configuration, and fire loading under four natural ventilation conditions. The fire load arrangement chosen for this study was based on a survey of some selected prison cells at the Maryland State Penitentiary. This survey indicated that each of the cells usually had one two-tier cot with cotton batting mattresses, wall-mounted shelves on the back wall, and one bookcase or bureau or storage cabinet located opposite the cots. Boxes of personal

2

belongings were often stored under the lower cot. Sheets, woven goods (such as blankets and towels), and clothing were hung between the cots and wall shelves and sometimes across the back wall. It is likely that fire initiating at either the bookcase or at the cots could spread along these combustible materials to involve the entire cell.

The rate of heat release is an important factor in determining the fire hazard associated with combustible furnishings. There are two generally recognized methods of measuring rate of heat release. One is the standard textbook method of measuring the temperature rise of the combustion gases and entrained air from the burning material. A problem with this technique is heat loss to the measurement apparatus and to the surroundings. The other method for measuring rate of heat release relies on a measurement of the oxygen consumed in the fire. Both techniques for measuring rate of heat were used here. In the method involving the measurement of oxygen consumption, about 13 megajoules is obtained from each kilogram of oxygen consumed in the burning of materials normally used in the construction and furnishing of rooms [1]¹. Thus, the total rate of heat generation from burning furnishings in a room can be obtained by measuring the oxygen content and volume flow rate of the gases discharged from the fire. This total rate, $\dot{ extsf{Q}}_{ extsf{s}}$, includes the heat released from flames extending beyond the doorway, if this occurs, as well as the heat produced inside the room. The portion of the heat actually generated within the room by the burning furnishings, $\dot{ extsf{Q}}_{ extsf{d}}$, could be calculated from the oxygen and flow measurements at the doorway opening. Part of the heat produced in the room is lost to the room surfaces. This heat loss can be obtained by first calculating $\dot{ extsf{Q}}_{ extsf{d}}$ and then subtracting away $h_d^{}$, the flux of heat leaving the room at the doorway. The quantity $h_d^{}$ can be calculated from measurements of the volumetric flow rate and the temperature

1.2 Measurement of Heat Release Rate and Heat Losses

¹Numbers in brackets refer to the literature references listed at the end of

rise of the exhaust air at the doorway. The total flux of heat leaving the room fire, \mathbf{h}_{s} , includes the heat release from flames extending beyond the doorway, in addition to the contribution from h_d . The quantity h_s can be based on the temperature rise of the mixture of combustion gases and entrained air at, e.g., the inlet of the exhaust collection hood, as was done in this study. The quantity ${\rm h}_{\rm S}$ is equal to ${\rm Q}_{\rm S}$ minus the heat loss to the room boundaries and the heat loss to the surroundings between the room doorway and the inlet of the exhaust collection system.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Prison Cell Fire Tests

Figure 1 shows a general view of the test cell-exhaust hood arrangement. Interior dimensions of the compartment were 1.8-m wide, 2.7-m deep, and 2.4-m high. The back and two side walls were of concrete block construction. The ceiling was fabricated from two layers of 25-mm thick calcium silicate board supported along the rim of the block walls and attached to the underside of several steel joists spanning the side walls. The front wall with the cell opening was constructed from a single layer of the calcium silicate board. Four fire tests, each having a different cell opening size, were conducted during this study. One of the tests had a small opening at the 1.37-m height and another small opening near the floor. The dimensions of these cell openings are shown in Table 1. The test cell was located adjacent to a large 3.66 x 4.88-m exhaust collector hood having an exhaust flow capacity of about 3 m³/s.

2.2 Fire Load and Ignition Source

The fire load used for these tests was based on a survey of some selected prison cells at the Maryland State Penitentiary. Figures 2 and 3 show some actual cells which had estimated combustible fire loads of about 34 kg/m² of floor area. This compares with an average fire load of 23 kg/m² for for a recreation room in a single family home in the Washington, DC metropolitan area [2]. The total estimated mass of combustible material in a cell room came to about 170 kg, with exposed clothing and miscellaneous woven goods accounting for about 15 kg. Whenever possible, the room furnishings observed were duplicated for the fire tests. Used cotton-batting innerspring mattresses (having fire-retardant-treated tickings) were obtained from a federal prison, and used clothing was purchased. Wool blankets, which do not burn readily [3], were excluded in order to reduce costs, and cardboard boxes of disposable paper files were used to replace the estimated 90 kg of loosely-filled combustible materials in storage cases or boxes. Typical clothing fabric materials were also used to simulate some clothing, towels, and other woven goods. Furnishings used in this study are listed in Table 2. Each test used the same arrangement of the cots, shelves, and bookcase as indicated in Figures 4 and 5. The two 0.76 x 1.94 m cots were located 0.5 and 1.5 m from the floor. A 1.52-m wide, 0.46-m deep, and 0.66-m high cabinet having two open shelves was mounted on the back wall 0.46 m down from the ceiling. One wood bookcase, 1.52-m wide, 0.46-m deep, and 0.91-m high, having two shelves, was positioned on the opposite wall of the cell from the cots. The cover sheet at the head end of the upper cot was permitted to drape down to the lower cot, and the cover sheet on the lower cot was permitted to drape to the floor. Some of the clothes and fabric on the top shelf of the bookcase were also permitted to drape over the paper files on the lower shelf and to reach down to the floor. In all of the tests, the fire was started with a match flame ignition of twelve pages of crumpled

newspaper located at the point indicated in Figure 4 where the bed sheet, extending down to the floor, contacted the clothes and fabric hanging from the bookcase.

2.3 Instrumentation

Location of all instrumentation in these cell room fire tests is indicated in Table 3 and Figure 4. Measurements of the vertical temperature profile halfway between the cots and the bookcase, and the total incident heat flux on the room surfaces were taken to characterize the thermal environment in the room. Thermal radiance was also measured at two positions on both sides of the cell opening, flush with the exterior wall, to examine the radiant heat transfer to adjacent cell rooms from flames emerging from the fire room. Temperatures, velocities, and oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations in the exhaust gases at the opening were monitored for calculation of Q_d , the rate of heat generation inside the room. The same measurements were made in the stack to determine $Q_{\rm s}$, the total rate of heat production by the fire. This total rate represented that heat produced inside the cell plus the portion of the heat released by the flames outside the opening. As mentioned in section 1.2, temperatures and velocities measured at the opening can also be used to determine h_d , the total flux of heat leaving the fire test room, i.e., the rate of heat release by the fire Q_d , minus the heat losses to the room boundaries. An average temperature taken across the inlet of the exhaust collection hood was used to calculate the rate of heat release from individual items burning directly under the hood as well as to measure h_{g} , the total flux of heat from the fire test cell, including the heat from flames extending beyond the cell opening. Smoke was monitored in the stack, and carbon monoxide was also monitored in the stack and at the cell opening to help quantify these products of combustion from the cell room fires.

The average temperature at the inlet of the hood was monitored with a grid of 25 chromel-alumel thermocouples arranged in parallel. Each thermocouple was made from Brown and Sharpe 24-gauge (0.51-mm or 0.020-in) diameter wire. Temperatures inside the room and at the cell opening were also measured with chromel-alumel thermocouples, made mostly with 0.51-mm wire. Due to the propensity of the large-size thermocouples for radiation error, thermocouples fabricated from 0.05-mm chromel and alumel wires were also employed at a sufficient number of locations to assure that the temperature readings were valid. Although the smaller wire thermocouples were more accurate, they were also more difficult to prepare and were more vulnerable to breakage. Heat flux was monitored with water-cooled total heat flux gauges of the Gardon type. Crumpled newspaper on top of the bookcase (0.91 m above the floor) was also used to indicate if and when the irradiance was sufficient to ignite such light combustible materials in the lower half of the room. Bidirectional velocity probes [4] were employed for measuring the air velocity in the cell doorway and to note the occurrence of any flow reversal along the doorway. The optical density of the smoke was determined by attenuation of a light beam in the stack. Neutral optical density filters were used to calibrate the light sensor over the range of optical densities from 0.04 to 3.0. The optical measurements, when calibrated in this manner, provide a useful measure of optical density. However, a more detailed calibration of the optical system with smoke of known concentration would be required for an accurate measurement of optical densities above about 1.5. Oxygen was sensed directly with a paramagnetic-type instrument at the stack and with chemical galvanic cells at the and cell opening. Non-dispersive infrared analyzers were used to record the concentrations of carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide at the stack and cell opening.

Diffusion-flame burner tests using propane were conducted inside the cell to calibrate the exhaust stack measurement of the rate of heat release

2.4 Corrections for Measurement of Heat Release Rate and Heat Outflow Rate

~

from room fires based on the oxygen depletion in the gases exhausted from the room. A heating rate of 485 kW was maintained for 300 s, and this was immediately followed with a rate of 1290 kW for another 300 s. The above calibration tests were then repeated with the burner positioned directly under the hood. In the calibration test, CO_2 was first removed ahead of the analyzer for the oxygen measurement. Then, the test was repeated with the CO_2 allowed to flow through the oxygen analyzer in order to evaluate the effect of CO_2 on such measurements. In the determination of heat release rates with and without the removal of CO_2 , formulae given by Lawson et al [5] were employed. These formulae are given in appendix A.1.

When the burner was operated directly under the stack, and the CO, was removed, the values of the heat release rate calculated from oxygen consumption were 7 and 6 percent higher than those calculated from the actual low and high burner flow rates employed, respectively. In the test where the CO2 was not removed, the values calculated from oxygen consumption were 6 and 7 percent higher, than the respective burner rates used. The flow rate, oxygen depletion, and carbon dioxide data used to calculate the heat release rates are shown at the end of appendix A.1. When the burner having the same heating rates was located inside the burn room, no significant change occurred in the oxygen consumption measured in the stack, compared with having the burner under the stack. However, the mass flow through the stack based on measurements taken at a single location in the stack appeared to have increased by 25 percent, and this apparent increase was carried over to the calculation of the heat release rate. One plausible explanation for this apparent increase in mass flow may be that when the exhaust from the room entered the stack it clung to the inside surface closest to the room, resulting in highly non-uniform flow at the cross section of the duct where the measurement was taken. The heat release rate values calculated from the stack measurements were about 30 percent higher than those calculated from the actual low and high fuel flow rates used.

Heat release rate calculations based on the flow and oxygen depletion data at the doorway also averaged 30 percent higher than the actual burner rates employed. Much of this difference was due to the use of velocities taken at the vertical centerline of the doorway for calculating the mass flow from the fire room. Quintiere and McCaffrey [6] and Tu and Babrauskas [7] have found that calculations of mass based on outflow centerline velocities could be 20 to 30 percent greater than the actual flow.

Based on the above findings with the calibration burner, the heat release rates determined from measurements in the stack and cell opening for the fire tests have been multiplied by 0.77 to obtain the corrected values. The fact that both stack and doorway heat release rates need to be corrected by the same factor of 0.77 is a coincidence. Only the corrected heat release rates for \dot{Q}_s and \dot{Q}_d , based on the stack and doorway measurements, respectively, are given in this report.

Accurate measurement of the rate of heat release based on the oxygen depletion of the exhaust in the stack or in the cell opening becomes difficult when small fuel items are burning, as small changes in oxygen concentration cannot be measured reliably with the instrumentation. Measurement of low heat release rates becomes more feasible when the burning item is directly under the hood where heat losses to the surroundings are small. Then the rate of heat release can be calculated from the temperature rise and mass flow of the combustion products and entrained air from the burning item. The temperature rise can be based on either the thermocouple in the stack or on the grid of 25 thermocouples at the inlet or neck of the hood. The thermocouple grid gives a more accurate temperature measurement as it measures the average temperature over the stack opening and minimizes the thermal losses to the hood by measuring temperatures closer to the burning item. The thermocouple grid was then calibrated using propane as the fuel, with heating rates of about 19, 58, 118, 234, and 447 kW. The results shown in Figure 6 indicated that the temperature rise of the air as measured by the thermocouple

grid varied linearly with the rate of heat release from the calibration burner at about 4.66 kW/°C rise in air temperature. This calibrated thermocouple grid was also used to provide a rough estimate of h_s , the total heat outflow rate from the cell fire tests. The heat outflow rate at the opening of the cell, h_d , can be calculated from temperatures and mass flow measurements taken at the cell opening. As with the cell room heat release rate measurements, the rates of heat outflow from the cell fire taken in the cell opening were also multiplied by the correction factor of 0.77. Only the corrected values for the rate of heat outflow, h_d , are given in this report.

2.5 Heat Release Rates of Individual Items

Individual items such as the mattress and bedding, bookcase, loose paper files, and piles of clothes and fabric materials were burned under the exhaust hood to provide a rough indication of their free-burning behavior, and thus provide some insight as to what to expect during the room fire tests with these materials, as well as to generate additional heat release rate data which could be used for predicting early room fire growth. The heat release rate for each item was determined from the rise in temperature in the calibrated thermocouple grid discussed in the preceding section. These were preliminary tests and were not intended to fully characterize the fire behavior of the individual items. A propane torch was used as the fire initiation source, and each item was ignited along one end. As a safety precaution, only a one-third section of the bookcase was burned directly under the hood to avoid potential damage to the hood and surroundings from a fire that could be too severe. The data from these tests are given in Figures 7 to 9.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A summary of the heat release rate measurements for the four room fire tests is given in Tables 4 and 5 and in Figures 10 to 17. Table 4 also summarizes the methods used to calculate the rate of heat release and heat outflow rate from the cell room fire tests. Figures 18 to 28 present temperature profiles at various times, temperature histories at several locations along the vertical centerline of the doorway, and the vertical distribution of temperatures inside the test room. Table 6 gives the degree of fire buildup, as indicated by the maximum temperature found in the doorway exhaust. For each room fire, smoke production and the generation of CO in the fire tests are also indicated in Table 6 and in Figures 29 to 34. Peak values of thermal flux measured inside of the room and on the outside wall adjacent to the doorway are shown in Table 7.

3.1 Heat Release

The rate of heat generation from the fire room was a strong function of the ventilation conditions. The data from the exhaust stack in Table 4 shows that the peak heat release rate increased from about 340 kW to over 4500 kW as the doorway opening increased from 0.17 to 3.34 m^2 . This would be expected for ventilation-controlled fires. Figure 35 shows that the peak heat release rate increased linearly with the increasing doorway ventilation parameter, $AH^{1/2}$, where A and H are the area and height of the doorway opening, respectively. The parameter $AH^{1/2}$ is usually used for single openings. However, since prison cell doors can have two separate openings, an effective $AH^{1/2}$ must be used for this case. The effective value of $AH^{1/2}$ for test 2 with the two openings was derived by W. Parker in appendix A.2 and is shown in Figure 35. The newspaper flashover indicator in tests 1, 3, and 4 ignited at times of about 35, 45, and 100 s, respectively, with the likely attainment of ventilation controlled conditions at the same times or shortly thereafter. Test 2 appeared to have reached flashover or near flashover at about 780 s with room air temperatures reaching about 600°C. Flashover is defined here as the room condition where the thermal radiation level becomes high enough to ignite light combustible materials, such as newspaper, in the lower half of the room. This corresponds to the room fire condition where the thermal

10

radiation to the floor reaches about 20 kW/m^2 and the air temperatures reach 600 to 650°C near the ceiling and 500 to 550°C at the top of the doorway.

Table 4 and Figure 35 show that the differences between heat release rates measured in the stack and in the cell opening for the three fires with the largest openings were as great as 23 percent. With the small opening in test 2, there could have been considerable constriction or funneling of the flow out of the opening. This would have led to high centerline velocities which, when used to calculate mass flow, could have led to errors exceeding the 20 to 30 percent discussed in section 2.4. For test 2, the ratio of the calculated mass flow out of the cell room to that into the room was much higher than the ratios for the other three tests with the larger openings. This, in turn, led to a calculated rate of heat release at the cell opening for test 2 which was much too high. Consequently, the heat release rate measured in the cell opening for test 2 was 65 percent higher than that measured in the stack. For tests 1, 3, and 4 having the larger openings, the stack values were expected to be somewhat higher than the doorway values, since some of the fuel was being consumed outside of the room, as evidenced by flames extending beyond the doorway. Apparently, the measurement limitations may have masked any difference between the rates measured in the stack and cell opening. Figures 10 to 13 showed that the rates of heat release measured in the stack and cell opening agreed well over the duration of the fire. Averaged stack heat release rates over an 1800 s duration were 630, 230, 690, and 410 kW, corresponding to total heat release values of 1130, 410, 1240, and 740 MJ, for tests 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. The lower average rate for test 4 may be due to a less severe room fire environment. This may be inferred from the temperature of the air exhausting through the opening (see Figures 21A, 22A, and 23A). The temperature in test 4 decreased to below 300 to 400°C much sooner than the doorway air temperatures for tests 1 and 3.

The heat leaving the fire room is also given in Table 4 and Figures 14 to 17. Again, the stack values are close to the doorway values. Table 5 indicated that, on the average, only about one-third of the total heat released by the fire during the peak fire development was convected out through the doorway, with the remaining two-thirds of the heat lost to the room boundaries. In the prison cell tests discussed in section 2.4, with the calibration gas burner as the heat source, the heat outflow rate from the cell accounted for about one-half of the heat released by the burner. The flames from the burner extended across the ceiling to the doorway. No flames touched the back and sides of the room. In the fire tests of the jail furnishings, because of the distribution of fuel sources, the fire often contacted the walls as well as the ceiling. This could have resulted in a greater relative heat loss to the walls of the room itself. In addition, the furnishing fires produce less transparent flames than propane fires, implying greater flame radiation, with subsequent larger heat loss to the cell surfaces.

3.2 Room Air Temperatures

The air temperature in the upper part of the room is a good measure of fire buildup [8]. Temperatures measured with the vertical thermocouple tree inside the room can give a good but often approximate measure of the temperature environment in the upper part of the room. Local heating and flame contact can give readings that are higher than average. The hot air inside the room usually becomes well mixed by the time it is exhausted through the doorway. Consequently, the peak doorway air temperature may be a more reliable indicator of the fire buildup than the interior air temperature measurement. Peak doorway air temperatures given in Table 6 varied from 867°C at 2110 s for test 2 to 1079°C at 380 s for test 3. While test 2 with a very small opening of 0.17 m² took over 2000 s to reach its peak doorway temperature, the other tests with doorway openings greater than 1.0 m^2 took only about 500 s or less to reach the peak fire buildup.

12

Analysis of the vertical temperature profiles in the doorway (Figures 18 to 20) and the temperature histories at the doorway (Figures 21 to 24) revealed that the monitoring of air temperatures with large junction thermocouples could lead to errors. When there was considerable thermal stratification, as in the doorway, the large junction thermocouples in the hot upper zones behaved as thermal sources radiating to the outside and to the cooler lower levels. The large size thermocouples in the cooler lower part of the doorway acted as heat sinks for the radiation from the hot air, smoke, and heated surfaces in the upper part of the room. Consequently, large junction thermocouples registered temperatures which were too low in the hot zone and too high in the cooler zone. Small junction thermocouples are less prone to radiation errors, as the convective heat transfer component overwhelms the radiative heat transfer component when smaller size junctions are used. The differences due to thermocouple size were particularly noticeable in Figures 18A and 19A where errors of as much as 90, 230, and 100°C were observed near the top, middle, and bottom part of the doorway opening. Consequently, only the data with the small junction thermocouples were used for the mass flow and heat release rate calculations at the doorway.

When the space is completely filled with flames and little thermal stratification exists, large and small junction thermocouples indicate about the same temperatures. This can be seen in the interior air temperature histories in the upper part of the cell, shown in Figures 25 to 28, at times following flashover.

3.3 Production of Smoke and Carbon Monoxide

The smoke production in the prison cell fire tests can be expressed by the extinction cross section generated. This extinction cross section, $E(m^2)$, is equal to the total mass of the smoke generated, $M^{}_{ar{\mathbf{T}}}(extsf{kg})$, times the specific extinction coefficient, $K(m^2/kg)$. The relationship between E and the optical density, O.D., is given below. The optical density is defined as

. .

14

0.D. = $\log_{10} -$

where T is the percent transmission of the smoke meter, L(m) is its path length, and $M(kq/m^3)$ is the mass concentration of the smoke. Thus, E is given by

E = KMV = 2.

where $V(m^3)$ is the volume of the smoke produced. Since $V(m^3/s)$, the volume flow rate of the air through the doorway, and the quantity O.D./L change during the test, E is determined by integrating over the duration of the test, or

E = 2.3 V

Equation (3) can also be related to measurements performed in the ASTM E 662 test with the smoke density chamber [9]. The quantity E is equivalent to the product of the specific optical density measured in that test and the specimen surface area employed in the test. Equation (2) can be used to estimate the average O.D. per meter beyond the room of fire origin if the smoke is dispersed over a volume V, and the effect of smoke deposition and coagulation is neglected.

$$\frac{100}{T} = \log_{10} e^{\text{KML}} = 0.434 \text{ KML}$$
(1)

$$3 V \left(\frac{O.D.}{L}\right)$$
 (2)

$$\left(\frac{O.D.}{L}\right) dt$$
 (3)

If the total mass of smoke generated were also measured routinely during some future room fire tests, then the average specific extinction coefficient K could be determined (i.e., $K = E/M_{m}$). Since K is a property of the smoke rather than a measure of its quantity, some insight might be gained into the nature of the smoke. This might help relate O.D. to visibility and provide an indication of its coagulation properties, since K is a function of particle diameter. If a functional dependence of K can be established for room

fires, it may be possible to utilize data on mass generation rates of smoke from material tests to predict the optical density.

Peak smoke concentrations given in Table 6 showed that test 3 with the 3.34 m² doorway opening and test 4 with the 2.23 m² opening had peak O.D./L values of 1.12 and 1.25 m⁻¹, respectively. These concentrations correspond to extinction cross section per unit time values of 16.7 and 19.6 m^2/s , respectively. In contrast, test 2 had the smallest opening at 0.17 m^2 and experienced the lowest level of fire buildup, but generated the most smoke with an O.D./L greater than 2.5 m^{-1} , corresponding to an extinction cross section per unit time value of greater than 24.7 m²/s. Values of O.D./L above 2.5 m⁻¹ are not very meaningful as this is the upper limit of the instrumentation. Smoke generation histories for fire tests 2, 3, and 4 are given in Figure 29. The light sensor used to measure smoke in test 3 malfunctioned at about 120 s; however, visual observation indicated that the most heavy smoke production occurred prior to that time. No smoke data were recorded for test 1 due to malfunctioning of the instrument. Equation (3) was used to calculate the smoke production as a function of time from cell fire tests 2 and 4, with the results shown in Figure 30. Calculation of the extinction coefficient for test 2 in Figure 30 assumed that the peak O.D./L value did not exceed 2.5 m⁻¹. This meant that the actual smoke production was higher than that shown for test 2. Thus, the data in Figure 30 show that over a duration of 1800 s, the fire in the cell with the small opening produced at least 30 percent more smoke than the fire in the cell having a large opening.

Peak concentrations of CO and the times at which they occur in the stack and at 0.30 m down from the top of the cell opening are given mable 6 for the four prison cell fire tests. Concentration levels of CO at this cell opening location ranged from 3.5 percent for test 1 to 8.2 percent for test 2. Stack concentrations of CO were an order of magnitude lower due to the dilution of the exhaust with entrained air. A more meaningful accounting of

CO is in terms of mass flow, based on the measurement of the mass flow rate of the combustion gases and entrained air from the cell fire and on the measurement of the CO concentration of this gas-air mixture. Figures 31-34 show the mass flow histories for CO for the room fire tests. Differences between the doorway and stack measurements of the peak mass flow rate of carbon monoxide exceeded the differences between the peak rates of heat release taken at the same two locations for tests 1, 3 and 4. The reason for these larger differences can be attributed to oxidation of the CO exhausting from the doorway. Analysis of the ratio of CO to CO₂ showed that this ratio was much lower in the stack than at the doorway during the peak fire development. The maximum mass flow of CO at the cell opening ranged from 0.017 kg/s for test 2 to 0.064 kg/s for test 3. The peak mass flow at the stack varied from 0.010 kg/s for test 2 to 0.033 kg/s for test 4. Test 2, however, produced the highest quantity of CO. The production of CO, as measured in the stack, was 3.7, 5.3, 3.9 and 4.9 kg for cell fires 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively, over the test period of 1800 s.

3.4 Thermal Flux and Radiation

Peak thermal flux measurements inside the room and on the outside wall close to the doorway are reported in Table 7. The highest flux values were recorded for test 3 with the largest doorway opening, and the lowest values were found for test 2 having the smallest opening. The maximum flux values for the ceiling and walls in the upper part of the room ranged from 22.6 to 121.8 kW/m² for the cell room tests. Peak radiative fluxes to the floor in tests 1, 3, and 4 exceeded 47 kW/m², a value larger than the 20 kW/m² chosen to represent threshold ignition conditions [10]. Test 2, however, had a peak recorded flux of only 8.8 kW/m^2 at the center of the floor. Test 2 did develop interior and doorway temperatures of over 800°C, which indicated that flashover conditions were achieved. Fallen material from the bunks and bureau could have been partially shielding the flux meter from the radiation from the upper portion of the room.

16

At a height of 1.5 m along the exterior wall and 1.8 m away from the doorway, peak flux levels of 2.0 to 9.1 kW/m^2 were measured for tests 1, 3, and 4. The flux meter at that location was not functioning properly during test 2. At the same height along the outside wall, but 0.2 m away from the other side of the opening, peak values of 19.3 to 23.8 kW/m² were recorded in tests 1, 3, and 4. These flux levels were reached as early as 40 s, as in test 3, and were sufficiently high to result in the ignition of some adjacent fuels had they been present. For test 2, exhaust from the fire room was primarily hot air and smoke, with a brief period at about 900 s where a little extension of the flames occurred beyond the doorway opening. The plume from the opening in test 2 was relatively small due to the restricted size of the opening. Consequently, the peak thermal radiation from this plume reached only about 0.7 kW/m^2 at the wall location 0.2 m away from the doorway.

SUMMARY

The total rates of heat, smoke, and carbon monoxide production were measured during four fire tests in a 1.8-m by 2.7-m by 2.4-m high jail cell having similar fire loads of 34 kg/m^2 and a range of ventilation conditions. The findings from this series of tests are given below. No additional tests were performed to assess the repeatability of these results. However, in another study of fully furnished room fires [11], good repeatability was found between tests for measurements of interior temperatures, thermal fluxes, and times to reach room flashover.

1. In those prison cell fire tests where the cell door openings were 1.1 m^2 or greater and where a combustible fire load of 34 kg per m^2 of floor area was employed, flashover occurred between 30 and 100 s. Peak heat release rates between 1460 and 4510 kW occurred at times from 80 to 396 s. Total heat generation ranged from 740 to 1240 MJ for a fire duration of 1800 s. Maximum temperatures of the air discharging from the cell reached about 1000°C.

2. With a doorway opening of 0.17 $\rm m^2$ and the same fire load of 34 $\rm kg/m^2$, flashover or near-flashover with accompanying interior temperatures of about 600°C occurred at 780 s. The peak heat release rate of about 340 kW did not occur until about 700 s. Peak temperature of the exhaust from the room reached 867°C at 2110 s. However, the reduction of ventilation due to the small opening resulted in a higher peak rate of smoke generation and at least 30 percent more total smoke than that produced from a room fire with a larger opening.

- away through the doorway.
- and 3.34 m², respectively.
- doorway.

18

3. On the average, at the time of peak rate of heat release from the fire, roughly two-thirds of the heat was lost to the cell room walls, ceiling, and floor, with only one-third convected

4. Carbon monoxide reached peak levels of 0.013, 0.033, and 0.028 kg/s in the exhaust collection hood at times between 90 and 110 s for cell openings of 1.11, 2.23, and 3.34 $\mathrm{m}^2,$ respectively. A maximum level of 0.010 kg/s was attained at 680 s in test 2 with the small opening. Total generation of carbon monoxide, over the test duration of 1800 s, was highest for the small opening with 5.3 kg produced as compared with 3.7, 4.9, and 3.9 kg for cell openings of 1.11, 2.23,

5. Peak heat fluxes inside the room generally exceeded the 20 kW/m^2 value which is an approximate threshold value for ignition of light combustible fuel such as cotton bed sheets and upholstery fabrics. Maximum fluxes at a height of 1.5 m on the outside wall reached about 20 kW/m^2 at about 0.2 m from one side of the opening and dropped rapidly with increasing distance from the

6. The cell fire having the small opening resulted in a lower heat release rate, less total heat production, and lower air temperatures than the fires having the larger openings. However, total smoke generation and the total production of carbon monoxide were greater with the small opening.

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work was partially supported by the National Institute of Justice. Appreciation is expressed to Messrs. C. Veirtz, T. Maher, and O. Owens who built the test compartment, prepared the test arrangements, and performed the actual testing; to Mr. J. N. Breese for the data reduction and preparation of most of the figures in the report; and, to Mr. J. Shibe and Dr. L. Cooper for their help in establishing the prison cell test arrangements. The author would also like to express his gratitude to Mr. W. J. Parker for appendix A.2 of this report and for contributing to the discussion in section 3.3 on the quantification of smoke from fire tests.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] Huggett, C., Estimation of Rate of Heat Release by Means of Oxygen Consumption Measurements, Fire and Materials, 4, 61-65, 1980.
- [2] Fang, J. B., Fire Performance of Selected Residential Floor Constructions Under Room Burnout Conditions, NBSIR 80-2134, December 1980.
- [3] Lee, B. T. and Parker, W. J., Naval Shipboard Fire Risk Criteria--Berthing Compartment Fire Study and Fire Performance Guidelines, NBSIR 76-1052, September 1976.
- [4] McCaffrey, B. J. and Heskestad, G., A Robust Bidirectional Low-Velocity Probe for Flame and Fire Application, Combustion and Flame, 26, 125-127 (1976).
- [5] Lawson, J. R. et al, Development of an Oxygen Consumption Calorimeter, NBSIR in preparation.
- [6] Quintiere, J. and McCaffrey, B., The Burning of Wood and Plastic Cribs in an Enclosure, Vol. I, NBSIR 80-2054, November 1980.

[7] Tu, K. M. and Babrauskas, V., The Calibration of a Burn Room for Fire Tests on Furnishings, NBS Technical Note 981, December 1978.

[8] Parker, W. J. and Lee, B. T., A Small-Scale Enclosure for Characterizing the Fire Buildup Potential of a Room, NBSIR 75-710, June 1975.

[9] Standard Test Method for Smoke Generated by Solid Materials, ASTM E 662.

[10] Fang, J. B., Fire Buildup in a Room and the Role of Interior Finish Materials, NBS Technical Note 879, June 1975.

[11] Fang, J. P., Repeatability of Large-Scale Room Fire Tests, Fire Technology, Vol. 17, No. 1 (February 1981), pp. 5-16.

Table 1. Doorway dimensions and test conditions in prison cell fire tests

	Door	way Openin	g Dimensi	ons	Ambient	Room Conditions
Test	Height H (m)	Width W (m)	Area A (m ²)	AH1/2 (m ^{5/2})	Temp. (°C)	Relative Humidity
1	1.83	0.61	1.11	1.50	26	49
2	*	*	0.17	0.30*	17	49
3	1.83	1.83	3.34	4.52	19	45
4	1.83	1.22	2.23	3.02	20	42

* A 0.305m x 0.305m opening in the door, with the lower ledge at a height of 1.37m, and a 0.102m high by 0.711m wide opening along the bottom of the door. The effective value of AH1/2 was derived by Parker in appendix A.2.

Dese 1 T		Combustible We	ight in Kilogr	ame
ruel Item	Test 1	Test 2	Test 3	Test 1
2 mattresses*	27.7	26.3	27.2	31.8
Bedding (2 pillows, 2 pillow cases, 4 sheets)	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Loose paper files and cardboard boxes of paper files **	90.8	90.8	90.8	
Plywood bookcase	40 7		50.0	90.8
	42.1	29.1	30.4	30.0
Clothes †	9.1	9.1	9.1	9.1
Fabric ++	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4
Total Combustible Fuel	180.2	165.2	167.4	171.6
 Mattress weight e 45.4 kg of loose of files under be wall cabinet. Consisted of 4 ja 1.8 kg of clothin 1.8 kg suspended the bookcase. 	excluding files in d, and two ckets, 10 g in wall on wires :	weight of inners lower shelf of b o 9.1-kg boxes o pants and 10 sh shelves, 5.4 kg in front of wall	prings. ookcase, three f files in low irts for a tota in upper shela shelves and a	9.1-kg boxes er shelf of al of 9.1 kg. E of bookcase, an Long the wall ove

the bookcase. ++ 0.9 kg of fabric in upper wall shelves, 1.8 kg crumpled up in upper shelf of bookcase, 1.4 kg hanging on wires in front of wall shelves, and another 1.4 kg used for privacy curtains hanging on wires alongside the cots. 80 percent of material was 0.12 kg/m² fabric having a fiber blend of 65% polyester - 35% cotton, while 20 percent of the material was 0.24 kg/m² fabric with a blend of 65% polyester - 35% rayon.

22

Table 2. Fuel loading in prison cell fire tests

Table 3. Location of instrumentation

Type of Transducer	Location
l smoke meter	Exhaust hood
l gas sample port (O ₂ , CO, CO ₂)	Exhaust hood
l velocity probe	Exhaust hood
1 0.51-mm thermocouple	Exhaust hood
25 0.51-mm thermocouples	Inlet area of exhaust hood
12 0.51-mm thermocouples	Following distances below the center of the ceiling (m): 0, 0.025, 0.10, 0.30, 0.60, 0.90, 1.20, 1.50, 1.80, 2.10, 2.34, 2.44
5 0.05-mm thermocouples	Following distances below the center of the ceiling (m): 0.10, 0.60, 1.20, 1.80, 2.34
7 flux meters	Center of ceiling and center of floor. 0.30 and 0.76 m below ceiling on cot side of wall and 0.76 m below ceiling on bookcase side. 1.5 m above floor level on exterior wall, 2.1 m to left of right edge of doorway and 0.2 m to right of right edge of doorway.
ll 0.51-mm thermocouples	Following distances below the top of the doorway (m): 0.025, 0.10, 0.30, 0.50, 0.70, 0.90, 1.10, 1.30, 1.50, 1.70, 1.83
5 0.05-mm thermocouples	Following distances below the top of the doorway (m): 0.10, 0.50, 0.90, 1.30, 1.70
3 gas sample ports (O ₂ , CO, CO ₂)	Following distance below the top of the doorway (m): 0.30, 0.70, 1.10
5 bi-directional velocity probes	Following distance below the top of the doorway (m): 0.10, 0.50, 0.90, 1.30, 1.70

-

24

• •



Test	Doorway Opening (m ²)	Stack Peak Heat Release Rate Q _S (kW)	Time to Qs (s)	Flux of Heat from Cell (Measured in Stack), h _s , at Time of Q _s (kW)	Doorway Peak Heat Release Qd (kW)	Time to Qd (s)	Flux of Heat from Cell (Measured in Cell Opening), h _d , at Time of Q _d (kW)
1	1.11	1460	396	500	1460	552	640
2	0.17	340	690	90	560	770	
3	3.34	4510	80	1380	3840	110	1310
4	2.23	3100	80	890	3500	100	860

Table 4. Heat release rate measurements

Notes:

1. \dot{Q} is the heat release rate of the burning contents inside the cell; it includes the heat released from flames extending beyond the cell opening. \dot{Q}_{S} is based on oxygen depletion and mass flow measurements in the stack.

2. \dot{Q}_{d} is the heat release rate of the burning contents inside the cell; it is based on oxygen depletion and mass flow measurements at the cell opening.

3. h_S is the rate of heat convected from the cell fire; it is based on the calibration factor of 4.66 kW per ^oC rise in the stack thermocouple grid.

 h_d is the heat convected through the cell opening; it is calculated from the mass flow and temperature rise of the air discharging at the cell opening.

5. 0, 0, and h, have been multiplied by the 0.77 correction factor discussed in section 2.4. Corrected values given in above table.

	Stack Flux of Heat ÷	Doorway Flux of Heat ÷
Test	Stack Peak Heat Release Rate	Doorway Peak Heat Release Rate
	h _s /Q _s	h _d /Q _d
1	0.34	0.44
2	0.26	
3	0.*31	0.34
4	0.29	0.25
	0.30 Average	0.34 Average

Table 5. Fraction of heat release convected away from fire room at time of peak heat release rate

Note: \dot{Q}_{s} , h_{s} , \dot{Q}_{d} , and h_{d} are defined in Table 4.

- ¥



	Doorway	Peak Doorway	Time to	Peak CO i	n Stack	Peak CO, Down From	, 0.30 m n Doorway
Test	Opening (m ²)	Temp. T _l (°C)	(s)	Conc. (%)	Time (s)	Conc. (%)	Time (s)
1	1.11	1007	516	0.35	102	3.5	114
2	0.17	867	2110	0.23	660	8.2	670
3	3.34	1079*	380	0.58	100	5.0	100
4	2.23	939	140	0.66	90	5.7	80

Table 6. Other doorway and exhaust hood measurements

	Doorway			Peak Smoke in	Stack
Test	Opening (m ²)	Conc. (O.D./m)	Time (s)	Volumetric Flow (m ³ /s)	Extinction Cross Se per Unit Time (m
1	1.11				
2	0.17	> 2.5	700	4.3	>24.7
3	3.34	1.12	80	6.5	16.7
4	2.23	1.25	60	6.8	19.6

*For test 3, the peak value occurred at 0.50 m from top of doorway. In tests 1, 2, and 4, peak temperatures occurred at 0.10 m from top of doorway.

27

9 1

ection n²/s)

Table 7. Thermal flux measurements

	Test .	L	Test	2	Test	3	Test	4
	Peak Flux	Time	Peak Flux	Time	Peak Flux	Time	Peak Flux	Time
Location	(kW/m ²)	<u>(s)</u>	(kW/m ²)	(s)	(kW/m ²)	(s)	(kW/m²)	(s)
Center of ceiling	47.8	426	36.5	70	106.0	90	73.0	60
Center of floor	67.3	78	8.8	300	96.4	80	47.7	70
0.30 m below ceiling on cot side of wall	38.3	60	33.6	70	81.9	90	37.8	60
0.76 m below ceiling on cot side of wall	30.7	450	22.6	1980	49.3	100	32.8	60
0.76 m below ceiling on bookcase side of wall	51.8	420	33.1	670	121.8	70	56.6	60
1.5 m above floor level on exterior wall, 2.1 m to left of right edge of doorway	2.0	516	*	*	9.1	60	3.6	40
1.5 m above floor level on exterior wall, 0.2 m to right of right edge o doorway	f 19.3	474	0.7	630	23.8	40	19.7	210

* Data unavailable

. *'*

۱ ۲ و ۲







Figure 2. Representative prison cell arrangement





LOCATION

INSTRUMENTATION

- 1 ----- DOORWAY THERMOCOUPLE TREE, VELOCITY PROBES, GAS SAMPLE PORTS
- 2 ----- ROOM THERMOCOUPLE TREE
- 3 ----- FLOOR AND CEILING FLUX METERS
- 4,5 ---- WALL FLUX METERS
- 6 ----- NEWSPRINT FLASHOVER INDICATOR
- 7,8 ----- FLUXMETERS FLUSH WITH EXTERIOR WALL AND FACING AWAY FROM THE CELL

Figure 4. Plan view of fire test cell room arrangement

. -

















ω 8

* ***--*



4000 - 3000 -2000 -1000











FIGURE 16 - HEAT OUTFLOW RATE FROM CELL ROON TEST 3

































































FIGURE 20.A - DOORWAY AIR TEMPERATURE PROFILES FOR TEST 4

900 1000 1100 1200 500 600 700 TEMPERATURE +++ C 800

50

100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800

















CONTINUED **10F2**











σ









-

















FIGURE 34 - MASS FLOW RATE OF CARBON MONOXIDE VERSUS TIME FOR TEST 4

-0-07 -0.06 -0.05 0.04 -0.03 0.02 -0.01 1800







APPENDIX A

A.1 Calculation of Heat Release Rate from Oxygen Depletion Measurement

The formulae given here are taken from a report by Lawson et al.* The rate of heat release from a room fire can be expressed as:

$$\dot{Q} = Ex_{O_2}^{o} \dot{mg} W_{O_2} / W_{air}$$

where:

- \dot{Q} = Rate of heat released from the fire room, MW.
- E = Heat per unit mass of oxygen consumed by the burning of materials normally used in the construction and furnishing of rooms, MJ/kg. A value of 13.2 MJ/kg was chosen based on a study by Huggett.**

= Oxygen concentration in ambient air, moles oxygen/moles air.

 \dot{m} = Mass flow rate of air from fire room, kg/s.

^wo2 = Molecular weight of oxygen, kg.

Wair = Molecular weight of air, kg.

 \emptyset = Oxygen depletion of the air.

The oxygen depletion can be further defined as:

*Lawson, J. R. et al, The Development of an Oxygen Consumption Calorineter, NBSIR in preparation.

**Huggett, C., Estimation of Rate of Heat Release by Means of Oxygen Consumption Measurement, Fire and Materials, 4, 61-65, 1050.

70

and where x^A02 x_{0}^{B} = Measured oxygen concentration when CO₂ is not trapped = Measured concentration of CO₂ X_{CO}

As an example of the use of the above formulae, the following table outlines the calculation of the rate of heat release in the case of the calibration burner operating directly under the stack (refer to section 2.4 for further details).

	Heat Input from Propane Burner (kW)	Flow Rate Through Stack (20°C, l atm.) (m ³ /s)	Ambient O ₂ Conc. (%)	Measured O2 Conc. (%)	Measured CO ₂ Conc. (%)	Oxygen Depletion ¢	Rate of Heat . Release Q (kW)
CO2 Removed	485 1290	2.9 2.7	20.90 20.90	20.06 18.47	-	0.0503	517
CO2 Not Removed	485 1290	2.9 2.7	20.90 20.90	19.93 18.08	0.66 1.93	0.0501 0.1446	515 1384

was used for propane. Example:

t,

if the CO₂ is trapped ahead of the oxygen analyzer

$$\frac{1 - x_{CO_2}}{\left(1 - x_{CO_2}\right)}$$
 when CO_2 is not trapped

= Measured oxygen concentration with CO₂ trapped out

Note: A value of 12.75 MJ/kg or 16.96 MJ per m³ of oxygen at 20°C and 1 atm. $\hat{Q} = (16.96 \text{ MJ/m}^3)(0.209)(2.9 \text{ m}^3/\text{s})(0.0503) = 0.517 \text{ MJ/s or 517 kW}$

A.2 Effective Value of AH^{1/2} for Two Vertically Displaced Small Openings in

a Room Fire (by W. J. Parker)





Figure 1b Room having one opening area of A

Z1

The effective value of the ventilation parameter $AH^{1/2}$ is defined here as the value of $AH^{1/2}$ for a single rectangular opening which would provide the same induced air inflow for a fully developed fire in a room having one opening near the floor and another opening at head level, as shown in Figure la. It is assumed that for this case,

(1) there is a uniform temperature, T, in the room and T_{O} outside the roum;

(2) the air flows in through the lower opening and out through the top opening; and,

 $v_1 = \left(\frac{2\beta x_1}{\rho_0}\right)^{1/2}$

and

£.

.

 $\dot{m}_2 = \rho A$

the lower opening is found to be

72

(3) the height of each opening in Figure la is small enough that the velocity of the air passing through can be considered to be uniform and equal to the value calculated at its center point.

The pressure gradient in the room is given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{dP}}{\mathrm{dx}} = \rho_0 g \left(1 - \frac{T_0}{T}\right) = \beta$$

where $\rho_{_{\mbox{O}}}$ is the density of the ambient air; g is the acceleration due to gravity; x_1 and x_2 are the distances from the neutral density plane to the center of the openings in Figure 1a; and $z_1^{}$ and $z_2^{}$ are the distances from the neutral density plane to the bottom and top of the opening in Figure 1b, respectively. Then the differential pressure at the center of the openings are βx_1 and βx_2 . The corresponding velocities are

and

$$v_2 = \left(\frac{2\beta x_2}{\rho}\right)^{1/2}$$

where ρ is the density of the air inside the room. The mass flows are

$$A_{1} \left(\frac{2\beta x_{1}}{\rho_{0}}\right)^{1/2} = \left(2\beta \rho_{0} x_{1}\right)^{1/2} A_{1}$$

$$A_2 \left(\frac{2\beta x_2}{\rho}\right)^{1/2} = \left(2\beta \rho x_2\right)^{1/2} A_2$$

Since $\dot{m}_1 = \dot{m}_2$ and $x_2 = d - x_1$, the neutral plane height above the center of



and the mass inflow is given by

$$\dot{m}_{1} = (2\beta\rho_{0}x_{1})^{1/2} A_{1} = \frac{(2\beta\rho_{0})^{1/2} (\frac{\rho}{\rho_{0}})^{1/2} A_{2}d^{1/2}}{\left[1 + (\frac{\rho}{\rho_{0}}) (\frac{A_{2}}{A_{1}})^{2}\right]^{1/2}} \qquad \text{Eq. (1)}$$

For the single doorway case in Figure 1b,

$$\dot{m}_{1} = \rho_{0} W \int_{0}^{z_{1}} v dz = \rho_{0} W \int_{0}^{z_{1}} \left(\frac{2\beta z}{\rho_{0}}\right)^{1/2} dz$$
$$\dot{m}_{1} = \frac{2}{3} \left(2\beta\rho_{0}\right)^{1/2} W z_{1}^{3/2}$$

Similarly,

$$\dot{m}_2 = \frac{2}{3} (2\beta\rho)^{1/2} W z_2^{3/2} = \frac{2}{3} (2\beta\rho)^{1/2} W (H-z_1)^{3/2}$$

74

- 1

The mass balance requires that



so that.

1 1

Thus, letting $\frac{\rho}{\rho_0} = \frac{T_0}{T}$, we have where Then, $T_{2} = 300 \text{ K}$

$$T = 698 \text{ K}$$

$$A_2 = 0.093 \text{ m}^2$$

$$A_1 = 0.073 \text{ m}^2$$

$$d = 1.47 \text{ m}$$

ε.

Hence, k = 2.67 and $AH^{1/2*} = 0.30 \text{ m}^{5/2}$ for test 2 with the two openings.

 $m_{1} = \frac{\frac{2}{3} (2\beta\rho_{o})^{1/2} WH^{3/2} (\frac{\rho}{\rho_{o}})^{1/2}}{\left[1 + (\frac{\rho}{\rho_{o}})^{1/3}\right]^{3/2}}$

Eq. (2)

The openings shown in Figures la and lb are equivalent if they have the same mass inflow. Comparing equation (1) and (2) and solving for $WH^{3/2}$ = $AH^{1/2}$ we have the effective value $AH^{1/2*}$ for the room with the two openings.

$$AH^{1/2*} = kA_2d^{1/2}$$

$$k = \frac{\frac{3}{2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{T_{o}}{T} \right)^{1/3} \right]^{3/2}}{\left[1 + \frac{T_{o}}{T} \left(\frac{A_{2}}{A_{1}} \right)^{2} \right]^{1/2}}$$

The air temperature in the room in test 2, aside from that near the floor, averaged about 425°C at times between 690 and 770 s, at which times the peak rate of heat release occurred at the stack and cell opening, respectively.

U.S. DEPT. OF COMM.	1. PUBLICATION OR	2. Performing Organ. Report No.	3. Publication Date
BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET (See instructions)	REPORT NO. NBSIR 82-2469		March 1982
TITLE AND SUBTITLE	**************************************		
Effect of Ventilat in a Typical Jail	tion on the Rates of Cell Fire	Heat, Smoke, and Carbon	Monoxide Production
AUTHOR(S)			
B. T. Lee			
PERFORMING ORGANIZA	TION (If joint or other than NB	35, see instructions)	7. Contract/Grant No.
NATIONAL BUREAU OF 3 Department of commi Washington, d.c. 2023	STANDARDS ERCE 4		8. Type of Report & Period Covered
SPONSORING ORGANIZAT	TION NAME AND COMPLETE	ADDRESS (Street, City, State, ZIP)
Sponsored in part	by: National Insti U. S. Departme Washington, DC	ltute of Justice ent of Justice C	
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE	S		
Document describes a L. ABSTRACT (A 200-word of bibliography or literature The rates of heat p configuration were	a computer program; SF-185, Fi or less factual summary of mos survey, mention it here) release and smoke dev examined under four	velopment from a fire ir	entincludes a significant a typical prison cell ditions. Peak heat
Document describes : ABSTRACT (A 200-word of bibliography or literature The rates of heat is configuration were release rates varied for a 0.17 m ² open: with the small open 0.03 kg/s for the 1 of carbon monoxide 5.3 kg produced over in the configuration about 1000°C with Peak thermal fluxes of about 20 kW/m ² the tests.	a computer program: SF-185. Fi or less factual summary of mos survey, mention it here) release and smoke dev examined under four ed from about 4500 kV ing. However, the to ning. The peak carbo large opening to 0.01 generated, however, er the fire duration on with the larger op roughly two-thirds of s inside the room gen for clothing, bedding	velopment from a fire in doorway ventilation or W for a 3.34 m ² doorway otal and rate of smoke g on monoxide production n kg/s for the smallest was highest for the sma of 1800 s. During the penings, temperatures in f the heat lost to the of nerally exceeded the ign g, and other light combu	entincludes a significant a typical prison cell ditions. Peak heat opening down to 340 kW generation were greater rate varied from opening. The quantity illest opening with peak fire development aside the room reached cell room boundaries. dition threshold value astible fuel for all of
Document describes : ABSTRACT (A 200-word of bibliography or literature The rates of heat a configuration were release rates varie for a 0.17 m ² open: with the small open 0.03 kg/s for the 1 of carbon monoxide 5.3 kg produced ove in the configuration about 1000°C with 3 Peak thermal fluxes of about 20 kW/m ² the the tests.	a computer program: SF-185, Fi for less factual summary of mos survey, mention it here) release and smoke dev examined under four ed from about 4500 kW ing. However, the to ning. The peak carbo large opening to 0.01 generated, however, er the fire duration on with the larger op roughly two-thirds of s inside the room ger for clothing, bedding	Velopment from a fire in doorway ventilation or W for a 3.34 m ² doorway otal and rate of smoke g on monoxide production n 1 kg/s for the smallest was highest for the sma of 1800 s. During the penings, temperatures in f the heat lost to the of nerally exceeded the ign g, and other light combu	ent includes a significant a typical prison cell ditions. Peak heat opening down to 340 kW generation were greater rate varied from opening. The quantity liest opening with peak fire development uside the room reached cell room boundaries. dition threshold value astible fuel for all of
Document describes : ABSTRACT (A 200-word of bibliography or literature The rates of heat : configuration were release rates varied for a 0.17 m ² open: with the small open 0.03 kg/s for the : of carbon monoxide 5.3 kg produced ove in the configuration about 1000°C with Peak thermal fluxes of about 20 kW/m ² the tests.	a computer program: SF-185. Fi for less factual summary of mos survey, mention it here) release and smoke dev examined under four ed from about 4500 kW ing. However, the to ning. The peak carbo large opening to 0.01 generated, however, er the fire duration on with the larger op roughly two-thirds of s inside the room gen for clothing, bedding	res software summary, is attached. it significant information. If docum velopment from a fire in doorway ventilation cor W for a 3.34 m ² doorway otal and rate of smoke g on monoxide production n 1 kg/s for the smallest was highest for the smallest was highest for the smallest of 1800 s. During the penings, temperatures in f the heat lost to the of nerally exceeded the ign g, and other light combu	ent includes a significant a typical prison cell ditions. Peak heat opening down to 340 kW generation were greater rate varied from opening. The quantity filest opening with peak fire development aside the room reached cell room boundaries. ation threshold value astible fuel for all of
Document describes : ABSTRACT (A 200-word of bibliography or literature The rates of heat : configuration were release rates varied for a 0.17 m ² open: with the small open 0.03 kg/s for the : of carbon monoxide 5.3 kg produced ove in the configuration about 1000°C with Peak thermal fluxes of about 20 kW/m ² : the tests. 2. KEY WORDS (Six to twefty Fire growth; fuel	a computer program: SF-185. Fi for less factual summary of mos survey, mention it here) release and smoke dev examined under four ed from about 4500 kW ing. However, the to ning. The peak carbo large opening to 0.01 generated, however, er the fire duration on with the larger op roughly two-thirds of s inside the room gen for clothing, bedding	PS Software Summary, is attached. It significant information. If docum velopment from a fire in doorway ventilation cor W for a 3.34 m ² doorway otal and rate of smoke go on monoxide production n 1 kg/s for the smallest was highest for the smallest was highest for the smallest of 1800 s. During the penings, temperatures in f the heat lost to the of nerally exceeded the ign g, and other light combu capitalize only proper names; and a rate; prison cell fire;	ent includes a significant a typical prison cell ditions. Peak heat opening down to 340 kW generation were greater ate varied from opening. The quantity diest opening with peak fire development uside the room reached cell room boundaries. dition threshold value astible fuel for all of
Document describes : ABSTRACT (A 200-word of bibliography or literature The rates of heat : configuration were release rates varied for a 0.17 m ² open: with the small open 0.03 kg/s for the . of carbon monoxide 5.3 kg produced ove in the configuration about 1000°C with Peak thermal fluxes of about 20 kW/m ² the tests. 2. KEY WORDS (Six to twe/w Fire growth; fuel 3. AVAILABILITY	a computer program: SF-185, Fi for less factual summary of mos survey, mention it here) release and smoke dev examined under four ed from about 4500 kW ing. However, the to ning. The peak carbo large opening to 0.01 generated, however, er the fire duration on with the larger of roughly two-thirds of s inside the room gen for clothing, bedding	PS Software Summary, is attached. It significant information. If docum velopment from a fire in doorway ventilation cor W for a 3.34 m ² doorway otal and rate of smoke g on monoxide production n 1 kg/s for the smallest was highest for the smallest was highest for the smallest of 1800 s. During the penings, temperatures in f the heat lost to the of nerally exceeded the ign g, and other light combu capitalize only proper names; and s rate; prison cell fire;	ent includes a significant a typical prison cell ditions. Peak heat opening down to 340 kW generation were greater rate varied from opening. The quantity filest opening with peak fire development uside the room reached cell room boundaries. dition threshold value astible fuel for all of reporte key words by semicolons) smoke 14. NO. OF
Document describes : ABSTRACT (A 200-word of bibliography or literature The rates of heat : configuration were release rates varied for a 0.17 m ² open: with the small open 0.03 kg/s for the : of carbon monoxide 5.3 kg produced ove in the configuration about 1000°C with Peak thermal fluxed of about 20 kW/m ² the tests. 2. KEY WORDS (Six to twelve Fire growth; fuel 3. AVAILABILITY X Unlimited	a computer program: SF-185, Fi for less factual summary of mos survey, mention it here) release and smoke dev examined under four ed from about 4500 kW ing. However, the to ning. The peak carbo large opening to 0.01 generated, however, er the fire duration on with the larger op roughly two-thirds of s inside the room ger for clothing, bedding	PS Software Summary, is attached. it significant information. If docum velopment from a fire in doorway ventilation cor W for a 3.34 m ² doorway otal and rate of smoke g on monoxide production n 1 kg/s for the smallest was highest for the smallest was highest for the smallest of 1800 s. During the penings, temperatures in f the heat lost to the of nerally exceeded the ign g, and other light combu capitalize only proper names; and s rate; prison cell fire;	ent includes a significant a typical prison cell ditions. Peak heat opening down to 340 kW generation were greater ate varied from opening. The quantity illest opening with peak fire development aside the room reached cell room boundaries. ation threshold value astible fuel for all of eparate key words by semicolons) smoke 14. NO. OF PRINTED PAGES
Document describes : ABSTRACT (A 200-word of bibliography or literature The rates of heat : configuration were release rates varied for a 0.17 m ² open: with the small open 0.03 kg/s for the : of carbon monoxide 5.3 kg produced ow in the configuration about 1000°C with Peak thermal fluxes of about 20 kW/m ² the tests. 2. KEY WORDS (Six to twelve Fire growth; fuel 3. AVAILABILITY [X] Unlimited [] Order From Superinter 20402	a computer program: SF-185, Fi for less factual summary of mos survey, mention it here) release and smoke dev examined under four ed from about 4500 kW ing. However, the to ning. The peak carbo large opening to 0.01 generated, however, er the fire duration on with the larger of roughly two-thirds of s inside the room gen for clothing, bedding we entries; alphabetical order; load; heat release to stion. Do Not Release to NTIS ndent of Documents, U.S. Governments	PS Software Summary, is attached. It significant information. If docum velopment from a fire in doorway ventilation cor W for a 3.34 m ² doorway otal and rate of smoke go on monoxide production n 1 kg/s for the smallest was highest for the smallest was highest for the smallest of 1800 s. During the penings, temperatures in f the heat lost to the of nerally exceeded the ign g, and other light combu capitalize only proper names; and a rate; prison cell fire; ernment Printing Office, Washington	ent includes a significant a typical prison cell ditions. Peak heat opening down to 340 kW generation were greater ate varied from opening. The quantity dilest opening with peak fire development uside the room reached cell room boundaries. dition threshold value astible fuel for all of eparate key words by semicolons) smoke 14. NO. OF PRINTED PAGES 80 , D.C.

END

٢. T