
tu

National Criminal Justice Reference Service

ncjrs

This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

National Institute of Justice United States Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20531


م م بر

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE / DIVISION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT / CRIMINAL IDENTIFICATION AND INFORMATION BRANCH **BUREAU OF CRIMINAL STATISTICS AND SPECIAL SERVICES** 

à

1





6-7-83

C.R. Sent 3-16-83

GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN, Attorney General



### State of California **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

.

GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN, Attorney General MICHAEL FRANCHETTI, Chief Deputy Attorney General



WILLIAM J. ANTHONY, Director, Division of Law Enforcement FRED H. WYNBRANDT, Assistant Director, Criminal Identification and Information Branch R. JAMES RASMUSSEN, Chief, Bureau of Criminal Statistics and Special Services

HOMICIDE IN CALIFORNIA, 1981



Prepared by

DIVISION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT Criminal Identification and Information Branch Bureau of Criminal Statistics and Special Services

LINDA A. TRATHEN

RON LAI, Design Consultant

3301 C Street P. O. Box 13427 Sacramento, CA 95813

82033 8/82 2.5M



. .

2

#### U.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

86669

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by California Department

of Justice

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the copyright owner.



÷2



111 UNNIA, 1901	Page	
Introduction		
Methodology		
Willful Homicide, The Crime and Its Victims		
Arrests for Murder		
Dispositions of Adults Arrested for Murder		
Death Penalty Cases		
Peace Officers Killed in the Line of Duty		
Justifiable Homicides	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Data Section, Tables 7–65		

#### Number 1 Crimes Against Persons, Percent

\* •

م '

ø

\$ \*

۳.

**,** 1

2	Distribution of Victims by Sex,
3	1974-1981, Sex, Known Race/
4	Sex of Victim by Known Race/E
5	Known Age of Victim by Known
6	Known Relationship of Victim t
7	Known Relationship of Victim t
8	Known Relationship of Victim t
9	Average Homicide Rate per 100
10	Percent by Known Month of Inc
11	1974-1981, Seasonality (First H
12	Known Day of Incident by Race
13	Known Day of Incident by Sex (
14	Known Location of Homicide.
15	Known Location of Homicide by
16	Known Location of Homicide by
17	Known Type of Weapon
18	Known Type of Weapon by Sex
19	Known Type of Weapon by Rac
20	Known Contributing Circumstar
21	Known Contributing Circumstan
22	Known Contributing Circumstar
	ARRESTS FOR MURDER, 198
23	Sex, Juvenile-Adult, Race/Ethni
24	Sex and Age Groups by Race/Et
	<b>DISPOSITIONS IN 1981 OF AD</b>
25A	Type of Disposition
25B	Type of Disposition by Sex and
	DISPOSITIONS IN 1981 OF AD
26A	
26B	
	DISPOSITIONS IN 1981 OF AD
274	Convicted Offense
27B	Convicted Offense by Sex and A
28	Type of Sentence Received by C
20	
20	JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY
29 30	Sex, Known Race/Ethnic Group Known Location of Homicide.
30 31	Known Contributing Circumstan
31	Known Contributing Circumstan
. 1	Willful Homicide Crimes, 1972-
	Change

- 2 Defendants Sentenced to Death,
- County . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 Homicides Involving Peace Offic
- 4 Peace Officers Killed in the Line
- 5 Peace Officers Killed in the Line
- 6 Justifiable Homicides by Peace

## contents

### CHARTS

<b>n</b>	ber	Page
•	Crimes Against Persons, Percent Increase in Rate per 100,000 Population from 1972 to 1981	
	WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981	·
	Distribution of Victims by Sex, Known Race/Ethnic Group and Known Age.	8
	1974–1981, Sex, Known Race/Ethnic Group, and Known Age of Homicide Victim	9
	Sex of Victim by Known Race/Ethnic Group	10
	Known Age of Victim by Known Race/Ethnic Group	
	Known Relationship of Victim to Offender	11
	Known Relationship of Victim to Offender by Sex of Victim	
	Known Relationship of Victim to Offender by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim	12
	Average Homicide Rate per 100,000 Population by Size of County	13
	Percent by Known Month of Incident	
	1974–1981, Seasonality (First Half vs. Second Half)	
	Known Day of Incident by Nace/Lumic Group of Victim.	
	Known Location of Homicide.	
	Known Location of Homicide by Sex of Victim	10
	Known Location of Homicide by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim	17
	Known Type of Weapon	
	Known Type of Weapon by Sex of Victim	
	Known Type of Weapon by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim	
	Known Contributing Circumstance	20
	Known Contributing Circumstance by Sex of Victim	
	Known Contributing Circumstance by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim	21
	ARRESTS FOR MURDER, 1981	
	Sex, Juvenile-Adult, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age of Arrestee	
	Sex and Age Groups by Race/Ethnic Group	24
	DISPOSITIONS IN 1981 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR MURDER	
	Type of Disposition.	
;	Type of Disposition by Sex and Age of Arrestee	26
	DISPOSITIONS IN 1981 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR MURDER AND COMPLAINTS GRANTED	
	Type of Disposition	
	Type of Disposition by Sex and Age of Arrestee	27
	DISPOSITIONS IN 1981 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR MURDER AND CONVICTED	
	Convicted Offense	
	Convicted Offense by Sex and Age of Arrestee	
	Type of Sentence Received by Convicted Offense	29
	JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS AND PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1981	
	Sex, Known Race/Ethnic Group, and Known Age of Deceased	
	Known Location of Homicide	
	Known Contributing Circumstance	36
	TABLES	
	Willful Homicide Crimes, 1972–1981, Number, Rate per 100,000 Population, and Annual Percent	
	Change	7
	Defendants Sentenced to Death, 1981, Sex, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age Distributed by Sentencing	
	County	
	Homicides Involving Peace Officers, 1972–1981.	
	Peace Officers Killed in the Line of Duty, 1981, Sex and Race/Ethnic Group of Victim	
	Peace Officers Killed in the Line of Duty, 1981, Type of Weapon	33
	Justifiable Homicides by Peace Officers and Private Citizens, 1981, Percent Distribution of Type of Weapon	2E
	•••••pon,	20

3



This report is the most recent publication by the Bureau of Criminal Statistics (BCS) on the subject of homicide in California. The three primary purposes of this publication are: 1) to provide an annual overview of findings pertaining to the crime of homicide and its victims, as well as information about persons arrested for murder and the system's response to these persons (types of dispositions and sentences received); 2) to monitor homicide data on an annual basis in order to detect significant year-to-year changes; and 3) to provide homicide information on topics of special interest, such as justifiable homicide.

Primary emphasis has been placed on the crime of willful homicide. Variables such as location of the homicide, victim-offender relationship, type of weapon used, and circumstance contributing to the homicide are analyzed in terms of the personal characteristics of the victim (sex, race/ethnic group, and age). In addition to the analysis of willful homicide crimes reported in 1981, other areas of analytical emphasis include: characteristics of persons arrested for murder; response of the criminal justice system to adults arrested for murder; defendants sentenced to death; peace officers killed in the line of duty; and justifiable homicides, both by peace officers and private citizens.

This publication relies principally on charts to convey essential facts about homicide in California. These charts refer to and are based on tables presented in the Data Section at the back of the report.

펜 김 씨

Most data presented in this report were obtained from three independently compiled BCS data bases: the Automated Homicide File for data pertaining to both willful and justifiable homicides; the Monthly Arrest and Citation Register for data relevant to the characteristics of persons arrested for murder; and the Offender-Based Transaction Statistics system for information on types of dispositions, sentences, and death penalty cases.<sup>1</sup>

The analysis of race/ethnic data must be considered in light of the subjectivity of the labeling process and recent classification changes, such as those of the U.S. Bureau of the Census (1980).

The Data Section contains raw and "analyzed" data tables. For any given variable, the raw data table shows the frequency count of each category, including that of "unknown." When, for purposes of analysis, unknown data are removed and categories are combined, the table becomes an analyzed data table. Analyzed data tables are the source tables for all charts in the report.

In its continuing effort to reduce the incidence of unknown homicide crime data, BCS has implemented procedures to deal with incomplete records. As a result of this effort, the amount of unknown data in the 1981 Automated Homicide File pertaining to certain variables dropped substantially (victim-offender relationship, location of incident, day of

<sup>1</sup>Tables 3-6, 8-40, and 56-65 were compiled from Automated Homicide File data. The data source for Tables 41-45 was the Monthly Arrest and Citation Register. The Offender-Based Transaction Statistics system was the source of data for Tables 46-55.

incident, and circumstance contributing to the homicide). Also, a number of changes in the frequency distributions of these variables occurred. The most pronounced of these changes and the variables with which each is associated are listed below.

> 1. Relationship between victim and offender - An increase in frequency in the "stranger" category.

methodology

N CALIFORNIA 1981

- 2. Location of incident An increase in frequency in the "victim's residence" category.
- 3. Day of incident -A decrease in frequency in the "weekend" category.
- 4. Circumstance contributing to the homicide A decrease in frequency in the "argument" category.

Despite these changes in the frequency distributions, essential relationships between the categories of these variables, for the most part, did not change.



This logo will alert the reader to those tables and charts based on tables that still contain a considerable amount of unknown data. The effects of these unknown data, were they

known, cannot be predicted with any assurance. It seems likely, however, that changes noted above would be accentuated.

5



### WILLFUL HOMICIDE The Crime and Its Victims

The crime of willful homicide is defined by the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program of the Federal Bureau of Investigation as the "willful (nonnegligent) killing of one human being by another." Attempted murder, justifiable homicide, manslaughter by negligence, and suicide are excluded. The data presented in this section of the report coincide with that definition, and were obtained almost exclusively from the Automated Homicide File. Other data sources are indicated in the footnotes of the appropriate tables.

The number of willful homicides reported to the UCR system in 1972 was 1,789. In 1981, the number had grown to 3,140 - an increase of 75.5 percent. These figures are more meaningful when viewed in the context of rates per 100,000 population and in relation to other crimes against persons. In this context, the number of willful homicide victims for each 100,000 population grew from 8.7 in 1972 to 13.0 in 1981 - an increase of about 49 percent.

Other general findings related to the crime of willful homicide are that more persons were killed on Saturday and Sunday than on other days of the week; more victims were killed at their places of residence than in other

locations; and more were killed by firearms than by all other types of weapons combined. Also, relatively more persons in the state's 20 most populated counties were willful homicide victims than were persons in the 38 remaining counties.

The following charts and chart-related statements describe homicide crimes in terms of:

- The number of victims in the context of rate per 100.000 population.
- The relation of homicide crimes to other crimes against persons.
- The personal characteristics (sex, race/ethnic group, and age) of the victim and the interrelationship of these characteristics; for example, age of victim as a function of race.
- The relationship between sex, race/ethnic group, and age of the victim and homicide rate, victim-offender relationship, time of incident (month and day), location of incident, type of weapon, and circumstance contributing to the homicide incident.

Year	Number	Percent change <sup>a</sup>	Rate	Percent change <sup>a</sup>
1972	1,789		8.7	
1973	1,862	4.1	· 8.9	2.3
1974	1,970	5.8	9.3	4.5
1975	2,196	11.5	10.2	9.7
1976	2,214	.8	10.1	-1.0
1977	2,481	12.1	11.1	9.9
1978	2,601	4.8	11.4	2.7
1979	2,941	13.1	12.6	10.5
1980	3,405	15.8	14.3	13.5
1981	3,140	-7.8	13.0	-9.1

<sup>a</sup>Annual percent change in both number and rate is calculated from the number and rate of the previous year. Source: Criminal Justice Profile - 1981, Statewide, to be published by the Bureau of Criminal Statistics, California Department of Justice, later this year.

#### From 1980 to 1981:

- The number of willful homicides decreased from 3,405 to 3,140.
- The willful homicide rate per 100,000 population decreased from 14.3 to 13.0.

From 1972 to 1981:


- The number of willful homicides increased from 1,789 to 3,140.
- The willful homicide rate per 100,000 population increased from 8.7 to 13.0.

Four of the Seven Major Offenses are crimes against persons. They are willful homicide, forcible rape, robbery, and aggravated assault.

From 1972 to 1981, the crime rate per 100,000 population for:

- Willful homicide increased 49.4 percent.
- Forcible rape increased 41.8 percent.
- Robbery increased 63.2 percent.
- Aggravated assault increased 60.3 percent.

### TABLE 1 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1972-1981 Number, Rate per 100,000 Population, and Annual Percent Change




Source: Table 7.

CHART 1 CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS Percent Increase in Rate per 100,000 Population From 1972 to 1981



### Crimes







		25-39		
		*******		
		0-24		
		40 and	d over	-
		1		
977	1978	1979	1980	1981

CRIMES 9

### Crimes





When sex of victim was analyzed by race/ethnic group, it was found that:

- Proportionately, more Hispanic homicide victims were male than were either white (not Hispanic) or black homicide victims (89.2 versus 68.9 and 80.8 percent, respectively).
- Conversely, proportionately fewer Hispanic homicide victims were female than were either white (not Hispanic) or black homicide victims (10.8 versus 31.1 and 19.2 percent, respectively).

When known age of victim was analyzed by race/ethnic group, it was found that:

- The largest proportion of white (not Hispanic) victims were 40 years of age or older (37.7 percent).
- The largest proportion of Hispanic victims were 24 years of age or younger (45.3 percent).
- The largest proportion of black victims were 25-39 years of age (41.2 percent).


When 1981 willful homicide crimes were analyzed by known relationship of the victim to the offender, it was found that:


- 48.0 percent of the victims were friends or acquaintances of offenders.
- 22.0 percent of the victims were strangers to offenders.
- 10.6 percent of the victims were spouses of offenders.
- 8.6 percent of the victims were gang members.
- 6.5 percent of the victims were parents or children of offenders.
- 4.3 percent of the relationships of victim to offender were classified as "all other."


When known relationship of the victim to the offender was analyzed by sex, it was found that:

- The male victim was more likely than the female victim to be a stranger to the offender (24.6 versus 13.3 percent).
- The male victim was much more likely than the female victim to be a gang member (11.0 versus .5 percent).
- The female victim was much more likely than the male victim to be the spouse of the offender (31.1 versus 4.5 percent).
- The female victim was much more likely than the male victim to be the parent or child of the offender (12.6 versus 4.7 percent).










CRIMES 11



When known relationship of the victim to the offender was 🛛 📱 The Hispanic victim was much more likely to be a analyzed by race/ethnic group, it was found that:


- For each race/ethnic group, the homicide victim was most likely to be a friend or acquaintance of the offender. This relationship was most pronounced in the case of blacks (53.7 percent).
- gang member than the white (not Hispanic) or black victim (17.1 versus .9 and 9.5 percent, respectively), and less likely to be the spouse, parent, or child of the offender than either the white (not Hispanic) or black victim (8.4 versus 25.0 and 16.9 percent, respectively).
- The black victim was less likely to be a stranger to the offender than the white (not Hispanic) or Hispanic victim (16.2 versus 22.9 and 25.4 percent, respectively).

When California's 58 counties were ranked by size of population and the average number of homicides was calculated for each county for the 1979-1981 period, it was found that:

■ The average homicide rate per 100,000 population of the 20 most-populated counties was much higher than that of the remaining 38 counties (14.0 versus 7.3).



### CHART 9 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1979-1981 Average Homicide Rate per 100,000 Population by Size of County




### Crimes

When 1981 willful homicide crimes were analyzed by known month of incident, it was found that:

- More homicides occurred in the last six months than in the first six months (51.9 versus 48.1 percent).
- This relationship was found to exist each year since 1974 (Chart 11).

Based on an average annual increase of 6.69 percent<sup>1</sup>, the average monthly number of homicides in the second half of any given year should be 3.29 percent greater than those in the first half of that year. When this semiannual growth increment is subtracted from the average monthly number of homicides in the second half of each year, it is found that the average number of homicides remaining is significantly greater than the average number in the first half of the year. This suggests a definite seasonal pattern in willful homicide crimes in that more persons are killed in the last six months than in the first six months of the year.



<sup>1</sup>Calculated from averaging the annual percent changes in number for the period shown in Table 1.





CHART 10 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981 Percent by Known Month of Incident



When known day of incident was analyzed by sex of victim, it was found that:


Females were more likely than males to have been killed on weekdays (70.6 versus 61.2 percent), while males were more likely than females to have been killed on weekends (38.8 versus 29,4 percent).




14 HOMICIDE IN CALIFORNIA, 1981




# CHART 12





Source: Table 28.

### Acrimes



When 1981 willful homicide crimes were analyzed by known location of incident and sex of victim, it was found that:

. .

.

٠

.


- 34.2 percent of homicide victims were killed at their places of residence.
- Proportionately, many more female than male homicide victims were killed at their places of residence (58.7 versus 27.8 percent).

And,

24.3 percent of homicides occurred on streets or sidewalks.


Proportionately, many more male than female homicide victims were killed on streets or sidewalks (28.1 versus 9.9 percent). ۰,

CHART 15 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981 Known Location of Homicide by Sex of Victim



Source: Table 30.

16 HOMICIDE IN CALIFORNIA, 1981



Source: Table 31.

When willful homicide crimes were analyzed by race/ethnic group of victim and known location of incident, it was found that:

- Proportionately, more white (not Hispanic) than Hispanic or black victims were killed at their places of residence (45.2 versus 22.4 and 33.2 percent, respectively).
- Proportionately, fewer white (not Hispanic) than Hispanic or black victims were killed on streets or sidewalks (12.0 versus 31.6 and 32.4 percent, respectively).


crimes


#### CHART 16 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981 Known Location of Homicide by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim

CRIMES 17

### Acrimes








Source: Table 33.

When 1981 willful homicides were analyzed by known type of weapon used, it was found that:

- 59.6 percent resulted from the use of firearms.
- 21.7 percent resulted from the use of knives.
- 7.5 percent resulted from the use of blunt objects.
- 6.0 percent resulted from the use of personal weapons (hands, fists, feet, etc.).
- 1.7 percent resulted from the use of ropes.
- 3.5 percent resulted from the use of weapons grouped in the "all other" category.

建 建 第



Note: Percents may not add to 100. Source: Table 35.

. .

۰.

When known type of weapon used was race/ethnic group of the homicide victi that:

- Regardless of sex or race/ethnic grou most likely to have been killed by a
- The proportion of males killed by fir than that of females (63.0 versus 46.3
- The proportion of females killed by was much greater than that of mal 4.4 percent).
- Proportionately, many more white victims were killed by personal wea Hispanics or blacks (9.6 versus 2.8 and 4.3 percent, respectively).

crimes

# CHART 18


51.2%				2	0.8%		.6%	18.3	3%
63.3%						24.2%	2.	% <b>≻</b>	9.7%
66.9%			, <u> </u>		• •	1	9.7%		9.2%
		<i>*</i>						4.3%	
m i	Knife				Persona weapor	1 <sup>1</sup> 🔛		All o	ther
0 because of rounding	].								
analyzed by sex and	<b>1</b> .								
m, it was found									
p, the victim was Trearm.									
earms was greater 3 percent).									
personal weapons es (11.8 versus									
(not Hispanic)									
apons than were									

CRIMES 19

### Crimes



CHART 20 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981 Known Contributing Circumstance






Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Source: Table 37.


When 1981 willful homicide crimes were analyzed by known contributing circumstance, it was found that:

- 47.7 percent were associated with arguments.
- 19.4 percent were associated with robbery.
- 2.8 percent were sex-related.
- 2.7 percent were associated with burglary.
- 27.5 percent were associated with "all other" contributing circumstances.









Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Source: Table 38.

When willful homicide crimes were analyzed by known contributing circumstance and sex of victim, it was found that:

- Males were much more likely than females to have been victims of homicides in which the contributing circumstance was the crime of robbery (21.9 versus 9.6 percent).
- Females were much more likely than males to have been victims of homicides in which the contributing circumstance was the crime of burglary (5.5 versus 1.9 percent).
- Females were much more likely than males to have been Hispanics were much more likely than either whites (not victims of homicides in which the contributing Hispanic) or blacks to have been victims of homicides in circumstance was a sex-related crime (9.6 versus 1.0 which the contributing circumstance was gang-related (14.8 versus 1.0 and 8.3 percent, respectively). percent).

### crimes

## CHART 21

#### CHART 22 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981

Known Contributing Circumstance by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim

When willful homicide crimes were analyzed by known contributing circumstance and race/ethnic group of the victim, it was found that:

- For each race/ethnic group shown, the circumstance which most contributed to homicides was an argument.
- White (not Hispanic) victims were much more likely than either Hispanics or blacks to have been victims of homicides in which the contributing circumstance was either a robbery, burglary, or sex-related crime (35.3 versus 19.5 and 16.0 percent, respectively).




### **ARRESTS FOR MURDER**


This section of the report is concerned with arrests for murder in 1981. Murder is defined as the unlawful killing of a human being with malice aforethought.

Data pertaining to reported arrests for murder were obtained from the Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (Arrest Register). The Arrest Register contains information on all arrests made in 1981, as reported to BCS by California law enforcement agencies.

Data which follow pertain to personal characteristics (sex, race/ethnic group, and age) of those arrested for murder in 1981, and to the manner in which these characteristics relate to one another; for example, the distribution of age of arrestee as a function of race.

#### 





Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Source: Tables 41, 42, 43, and 44.

Analysis of the characteristics of 4,108 arrestees for murder **38.1** percent were black. in 1981 showed that:

- 89.2 percent were male.
- 10.8 percent were female.

And,


And,

٠ \*

- 86.6 percent were adult.
- 13.4 percent were juvenile.
- 24.9 percent were white (not Hispanic).
- 33.9 percent were Hispanic.



CHART 23 ARRESTS FOR MURDER, 1981 Sex, Juvenile-Adult, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age of Arrestee



■ 3.1 percent were of "all other" race/ethnic groups. And,

- 52.8 percent were 24 years of age or younger.
- 36.0 percent were 25–39 years of age.
- 11.1 percent were 40 years of age or older.



### Carrests

CHART 24
ARRESTS FOR MURDER, 1981
Sex and Age Groups by Race/Ethnic Group

	White (not Hispanic)	9797, 514 X			8	5.8%	• •					14.2%	
	Hispanic					93.8%		4				6.2%	1
	Black					87.6%		, r 				12.4%	] .
									Male		F	emale	]
GE OF AF	RRESTEE BY RA	ACE/ETHNI	C GROUP										-
	White (not Hispanic)		39.9	%	+			44.6%				15.5%	]
	Hispanic			65	.2%					28.4%		6.3%	]
	Black			50.9%				3	6.5%			12.6%	]
							0-24		25-39		40 an	d over	]
ACE/ETH	NIC GROUP OF	JUVENILE	AND AD	ULT ARRE	STEES								ŧ
	Juvenile	13.8%			39.6%				4	4.7%			1.8%
	Adult	<i>H.g. →</i> 2	6.6%		3	3.0%				37.1%			3.39
				White not Hispar	nic)	н	spanic [		Black	,	All	other	]
	ents may not add bles 41, 43, and		ecause of r	rounding.									

<sup>II</sup> The ratio of male to female arrestees was much greater for Hispanic than for either white (not Hispanic) or black (15 to 1 versus 6 to 1 and 7 to 1, respectively).

When age of arrestees for murder was analyzed by race/ ethnic group, it was found that:

- Of white (not Hispanic) arrestees, many more were in the youngest and middle age groups than in the oldest age group (39.9 and 44.6 versus 15.5 percent).
- (65.2 versus 28.4 and 6.3 percent, respectively).
- Of black arrestees, more were in the youngest age group than in the middle and oldest age groups (50.9 versus 36.5 and 12.6 percent, respectively).

When juvenile and adult arrestees for murder were analyzed by race/ethnic group, it was found that:

- Gf juvenile arrestees, less were white (not Hispanic) than either Hispanic or black (13.8 versus 39.6 and 44.7 percent, respectively).
- <sup>□</sup> Of adult arrestees, more were black than either white (not Hispanic) or Hispanic (37.1 versus 26.6 and 33.0 percent, respectively).

### DISPOSITIONS OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR MURDER

This section of the report describes the dispositions made during 1981 of adults arrested for murder, regardless of the year of arrest. Data were obtained from the Offender-Based Transaction Statistics (OBTS) system.

OBTS system data are compiled from information collected on the JUS 8715 Disposition of Arrest and Court Action form. It is estimated that JUS 8715 forms were received for about 70 percent of all adult felony arrests which received a final disposition in 1981. Despite underreporting, it is believed that these dispositions are generally descriptive of those adult felony arrestees processed through the criminal justice system.

There were 36 persons sentenced to death in 1981. They were included in the "prison" category when type of sentence was related to convicted offense. Specific information pertaining to these persons was also obtained from the OBTS system and is presented in the Death Penalty Section on page 30.




tes. Allange -----

Analysis of OBTS information involves:

- The relationship between type of disposition (release, dismissal or acquittal, and conviction) and the sex and age of the arrestee.
- The relationship between type of disposition (dismissal or acquittal, and conviction) and the sex and age of those arrestees against whom complaints were granted.
- The relationship between convicted offense (murder and "other" offenses, such as manslaughter, felony assault, and robbery) and the sex and age of the convicted arrestee.
- The relationship between type of sentence received and the convicted offense of the arrestee.

Race/ethnic data are not shown in the disposition section because of inconsistent reporting.

### **Edispositions**



Source: Table 46.

Analysis of 1981 dispositions of adults arrested for murder showed that:

- 23.8 percent were released by the police or had complaints against them denied.
- 18.4 percent were dismissed or acquitted.
- 57.8 percent were convicted.

When these dispositions were analyzed by sex, race/ethnic group, and age, it was found that:

- Relatively more males than females were convicted (59.6 versus 43.4 percent).
- Proportionately, more females than males were released and dismissed or acquitted (56.6 versus 40.5 percent).
- Arrestees over 40 years of age were more likely to be convicted and less likely to be released than arrestees under 40 years of age (62.0 versus 57.2 and 19.8 versus 24.4 percent, respectively).

Analysis of 1981 dispositions of adults arrested for murder in which complaints had been granted showed that:


- 24.2 percent were dismissed or acquitted.
- 75.8 percent were convicted.


· .

•

When these dispositions were analyzed by sex, it was found that:

Proportionately, more males than females were convicted (76.8 versus 66.2 percent).






Source: Table 47.

SEX


AGE



### B. Type of Disposition by Sex and Age of Arrestee

				76.8	%				
%					66.2%				
•				875.6	000.0000000				
				77.3%					
				[ i	Dismisse acquitte	d or i d	Convi	cted	

### dispositions




Source: Table 50,

Of those adults arrested for murder who were convicted in 1981, it was found that:

- 33.8 percent were convicted of murder.
- 66.2 percent were convicted of lesser crimes, such as manslaughter, felony assault, and robbery.

When these dispositions were analyzed by sex and age, it was found that:

- Considerably more males than females were convicted of murder (35.9 versus 10.7 percent).
- Convictions for murder varied inversely with age. Of those in the youngest age group (under 20 years of age), 44.9 percent were convicted of murder and of those in the oldest age group (40 years of age and over), 21.6 percent were convicted of murder.



Source: Table 50.

Murder

All other

۰.

Source: Table 54.

Analysis of type of sentence received by adults arrested for murder and convicted in 1981 showed that:

Proportionately, more of those convicted of murder were sentenced to prison or to the Youth Authority than were those convicted of lesser offenses (99.4 versus 61.4 percent)<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Prison includes 36 death penalty cases reported via the OBTS system. See page 30 for additional information on death penalty cases.





#### CHART 28 DISPOSITIONS IN 1981 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR MURDER AND CONVICTED Type of Sentence Received by Convicted Offense

	99.4%				.6%
, 61.4%			<b>38.</b> 6%		]
		Prison or CN		All other	]



### DEATH PENALTY CASES

This section presents information on those persons sentenced to death in California superior courts in 1981. Death penalty cases were extracted from the 1981 Offender-Based Transaction Statistics (OBTS) system.

The death penalty in California was ruled unconstitutional by the California Supreme Court in February 1972. This was followed by a public vote and the reinstatement of the death penalty in January 1974. The death penalty was subsequently declared unconstitutional by the California Supreme Court in December 1976 and by virtue of a veto override in August 1977, new legislation was adopted that reinstated the death penalty in California.

By public vote on November 7, 1978, additional criteria were added to the circumstances by which a person could be sentenced to death. The criteria currently specified by Penal Code Section 190.2 are as follows:

- 1. Intentional murder for financial gain.
- 2. Previously convicted of murder in the first or second degree.
- 3. Multiple convictions for first or second degree murder.
- 4. Murder perpetrated by means of destructive device, bomb, or explosive.

- 5. Murder to prevent arrest or to effect escape from custody.
- 6. Murder of a peace officer or fireman engaged in the performance of his/her duties.
- 7. Murder of a witness to prevent testimony or in retaliation for testimony given.

8. Murder of an elected or appointed official, judge, or prosecutor in retaliation for performance of his/her official duties.

- 9. Murder by ambush.
- 10. Murder because of the victim's race, color, religion, nationality, or country of origin.
- 11. Murder involving torture.
- 12. Murder by poison.
- 13. Murder during the commission of: a) robbery, b) kidnapping, c) rape, d) lewd or lascivious act upon a child under the age of 14, e) oral copulation or sodomy in violation of Penal Code Sections 286 and 288a, f) burglary, g) arson, and h) train wrecking.

		s	ex	Race/ethnic group			Age						
County	Total	Male	Female	White (not Hispanic)	Hispanic	Black	All other	Under 20	20-24	25—29	3034	35–39	40 and over
TOTAL	36	36	0	17	4	15	0	0	8	14	9	2	3
Alameda Contra Costa Inyo Kern Los Angeles Merced Orange Riverside Sacramento San Bernardino .	2 1 1 13 1 1 3 3	2 1 1 1 1 3 3 3		1 1 1 3 1 1 1 0 3	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0	1 0 0 9 0 0 0 2 0			0 0 1 4 1 0 0 1	1 0 0 6 0 1 0 1	1 0 2 0 1 1	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0
San Diego San Francisco San Joaquin San Mateo Shasta Sonoma Tulare Ventura Yolo	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1	0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0 1 0 0			0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1	1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0

Source: 1981 Disposition of Adult Felony Arrests (OBTS) file, Bureau of Criminal Statistics, California Department of Justice.

During 1981, 36 persons convicted of first degree murder were sentenced to death. The sex, race/ethnic group, age, and sentencing county of those sentenced to death are presented in Table 2.

Of the 36 defendants sentenced to death in 1981:

- All were male.
- 17 were white (not Hispanic), four were Hispanic, and 15 were black.

**30** HOMICIDE IN CALIFORNIA, 1981

### death penalty cases

### TABLE 2 **DEFENDANTS SENTENCED TO DEATH, 1981** Sex, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age Distributed by Sentencing County

The median age was 28 years.

■ 13 were sentenced in Los Angeles County, three each in Sacramento and San Bernardino counties, two in Alameda County, and one each in the remaining fifteen counties.

### Edeath penalty cases



TABLE 3	
HOMICIDES INVOLVING PEACE OFFICERS	
19721981	

Year	Peace officers killed in the line of duty	Killed by peace officers in the line of duty
	102	851
Total	52	446
1981	8	68
1980	7	92
1979	12	102
1978	12	87
1977	13	97
Total	50	405
1976	6	94
1975	12	87
1974	10	84
1973	16	64
1972	6	76

.


•

### PEACE OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY

A topic of special interest is that of peace officers killed in the line of duty. Data pertaining to peace officers were obtained from the Automated Homicide File.

From 1972-1981, 102 peace officers were killed in the line of duty. During the same period, 851 felons were justifiably killed by peace officers. Analysis of the data in Table 3 showed that:

- The average annual number of peace officers killed was 10.2. In 1981, the number was 8.
- The average annual number of felons killed by peace officers was 85.1. In 1981, the number was 68.
- The number of peace officers killed in the past five years (1977–1981) was more than that of the previous five years (52 versus 50), and the number of felons killed by peace officers in the line of duty in the past five years was greater than that of the previous five years (446 versus 405).



	) -   -	Sex o	f victim
Race/ethnic group of victim	Total	Male	Female
TOTAL	8	8	0
White (not Hispanic) Hispanic Black	6 2 0 0	6 2 0 0	0 0 0

In 1981, all eight of the peace officers killed in the line of duty were male.

With respect to type of weapon used to kill peace officers in 1981:

All eight peace officers were killed by firearms - six by handguns, and two by a rifle.



### death penalty cases

#### TABLE 5 PEACE OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY, 1981 Type of Weapon


Type of weapon TOTAL..... 8 6 Offender's.... Officer's.... 0 2 Offender's.... 2 Officer's.... 0

### DEATH PENALTY CASES 33

Female



#### CHART 29 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS AND PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1981 Sex, Known Race/Ethnic Group, and Known Age of Deceased



#### JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES

A justifiable homicide is defined by the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Program as the killing of a felon by a private citizen or a peace officer during the commission of a known race/ethnic group, and known age, it was found felony. Justifiable homicides are sometimes referred to as excusable or noncriminal homicides. The California Penal Code defines self-defense as a justifiable homicide, whereas the national UCR Program does not. Therefore, for the most part, self-defense cases are treated as willful rather than justifiable homicides.

In 1981, when justifiable homicides committed by peace officers and by private citizens were analyzed by sex, that:

- Most felons killed by peace officers and private citizens were male (100.0 and 97.6 percent, respectively).
- 45.6 percent of felons killed by peace officers were white (not Hispanic) and 47.6 percent of felons killed by private citizens were black.
- Proportionately, more felons killed by private citizens were under 20 years of age than those killed by peace officers (17.7 versus 9.0 percent).

- BY PEACE OFFICER
- Victim's residence
- Street, sidewalk
- Other residence
- Liquor or other business
- All other

- BY PRIVATE CITIZEN
  - Citizen's residence
  - Street, sidewalk Liquor or
  - other business
  - All other

Source: Tables 59 and 63.


In 1981, when justifiable homicides were analyzed by known location, it was found that:

- The location where felons were most frequently killed by peace officers was a street or sidewalk (33.3 percent).
- The location where felons were most frequently killed by private citizens was the citizen's residence (31.0 percent).

In 1981, analysis of type of weapon used in justifiable homicides by peace officers and private citizens showed that:

- Felons were most frequently killed by handguns, both by peace officers and private citizens (79.4 and 61.9 percent, respectively).
- Other than handguns, knives were the weapons most frequently used by private citizens (13.5 percent).

### justifiable homicides




#### TABLE 6 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS AND PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1981 Percent Distribution of Type of Weapon

			Τ <sub>\</sub>	/pe of v	veapon		
	Total	Hand- gun	Rifle	Shot- gun	Firearm - unknown	Knife	Other
Peace officers . Private citizens		79.4 61.9	5.9 7.1	10.3 7.1	2.9 6.3	.0 13.5	1.5 4.0

Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Source: Tables 60 and 64.

### JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES 35

## Sjustifiable homicides



For justifiable homicides by peace officers in 1981, the most frequent contributing circumstance was the attacking of a peace officer by a felon (56.1 percent). For justifiable homicides by private citizens in 1981, the most frequent contributing circumstance was that of the commission of a crime by a felon (88.0 percent).

88.0%

• •

• .

۰ ·

• •



## data section



#### DATA SECTION TABLES

Number	Page
CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS	
7 1972–1981, Number and Rate per 100,000 Population	• 40
WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES	
8 1981, Sex of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	• 41
9 1974–1981, Distribution of Victims by Sex	
10 1974–1981, Distribution of Victims by Known Race/Ethnic Group	• 42
11 1974–1981, Distribution of Victims by Age	· 42
12 1981, Known Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	• 43
13 1974, Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	• 44
14 1975, Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Etnnic Group	
15 1976, Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	. –
16 1977, Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	••
17 1978, Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	
18 1979, Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	
19 1980, Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group.	
20 1981, Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	•
21 1981, Known Relationship of Victim to Offender Distributed by Sex of Victim	
22 1981, Known Relationship of Victim to Offender Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim	
23 1981, Relationship of Victim to Offender Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim	••
24 1979–1981, ^verage Homicide Rate per 100,000 Population Distributed by Size of County	
25 1979–1981, Average Homicide Rate per 100,000 Population Distributed by County	•
26 1974–1981, Percent Distribution by Known Month of Incident	
27 1974–1981, Average Monthly Number of Homicides in First and Second Half of Year	
28 1981, Known Day of Incident Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim	
30 1981, Known Location of Homicide Distributed by Sex of Victim.	
31 1981, Known Location of Homicide Distributed by Sex of Victim	
32 1981, Location of Homicide Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim	
33 1981, Known Type of Weapon	
34 1981, Known Type of Weapon Distributed by Sex of Victim.	
35 1981, Known Type of Weapon Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim.	
36 1981, Type of Weapon Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim	
37 1981, Known Contributing Circumstance Distributed by Sex of Victim.	
38 1981, Known Contributing Circumstance Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim	
39 1981, Contributing Circumstance Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim	
40 1981, Number of Victims and Offenders for Each Homicide Incident	
	· • •

#### Number

. .

**.** '

, .

•

ARRESTS FOR MURDER 41 1981, Sex of Arrestee Distributed 42 1981, Juvenile and Adult . . . . 43 1981, Race/Ethnic Group of Arr 44 1981, Age of Arrestee Distributed 45 1981, Race/Ethnic Group of Arr

DISPOSITIONS IN 1981 OF AD 46 Type of Disposition Distributed & 47 Dispositions in 1981 of Adults A Distributed by Sex and Age o 48 Type of Disposition Distributed &

49 Type of Disposition Distributed I

DISPOSITIONS IN 1981 OF ADD 50 Convicted Offense Distributed by 51 Convicted Offense ...... 52 Convicted Offense Distributed by 53 Convicted Offense Distributed by 54 Type of Sentence Received in Loo 55 Type of Sentence Received in Loo

PEACE OFFICERS KILLED IN 56 Contributing Circumstance . . . 57 Wound Area Distributed by Type

### data section

### DATA SECTION TABLES

		Page
ed by Race/Ethnic Group		64
restee Distributed by Sex	•	65 65
DULTS ARRESTED FOR MURDER by Sex and Age of Arrestee	•	66
of Arrestee		67
OULTS ARRESTED FOR MURDER AND CONVICTED y Sex and Age of Arrestee	•	60
y Sex of Arrestee	•	70 71 71
THE LINE OF DUTY, 1981		
e of Weapon		73
PEACE OFFICERS, 1981 e of Deceased		
		72
	• 7	74 74
PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1981		
e of Deceased		14
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 7	75
	7	75

	TABLE 7	
С	RIMES AGAINST PERSONS, 1972-	-1981
I	Number and Rate per 100,000 Popula	ation

-				1		Crin	nes agains	t persons			
		Tot	tal	Willful ho	micide	Forcible	rape	Robb	ery	Aggravate	d assault
Year	Population	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
1972	20,585,000	110,680	537.7	1,789	8.7	8,131	39.5	48,834	237.2	51,926	252.3
1973	20,868,000	116,506	558.3	1,862	8,9	8,349	40.0	49,524	237.3	56,771	272.0
1974	21,173,000	127,469	602.0	1,970	9.3	8,480	40.1	52,742	249.1	64,277	303.6
1975	21,537,000	138,400	642.6	2,196	10.2	8,787	40.8	59,747	277.4	67,670	314.2
1976	21,935,000	143,507	654.2	2,214	10.1	9,552	43.5	59,132	269.6	72,609	331.0
1977	22,350,000	152,827	683.8	2,481	11.1	10,715	47.9	62,207	278.3	77,424	346.4
1978	22,839,000	164,751	721.4	2,601	11.4	11,249	49.3	67,920	297.4	82,981	363.3
1979	23,255,000	183,704	790.0	2,941	12.6	12,199	52.5	75,649	325.3	92,915	399.5
1980	23,769,000	209,903	883.1	3,405	14.3	13,661	57.5	90,282	379.8	102,555	431.5
1981	24,196,000	208,165	860.3	3,140	13.0	13,545	56.0	93,638	387.0	97,842	404.4
Percent change								i .	t		
in rate from:											· .
1972 to 1981			60.0		49,4		41.8		63.2		60.3
1977 to 1981			25.8		17.1	Į	16.9		39.1	ŀ	16.7
1980 to 1981		]	-2.6		-9.1	a - 1	-2.6		1.9		-6.3
Percent change								. ·	]		
in number from:				-			1		ļ		:
1972 to 1981		88,1		75.5		66.6		91.7		88.4	
1977 to 1981		36.2		26.6		26.4		50.5	t	26.4	С
1980 to 1981	100 C	8		-7.8		8		3.7		-4.6	

Note: Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50. Source: Table 20.

:

Note: California population estimates are compiled by the Population Research Unit of the California Department of Finance. In order to provide the most current data, the Department of Finance periodically revises the population estimates. Source: Criminal Justice Profile – 1981, Statewide, to be published by the Bureau of Criminal Statistics, California Department of Justice,

later this year.

Sex of

Race/ethnic group of victim

Number . . . . . . . Percent . . . . . . .

Number . . . . . . . Percent . . . . . .

Number . . . . . . Percent . . . . . .

Number . . . . . . Percent . . . . . .

Number . . . . . . . Percent . . . . . .

White (not Hispanic) Number . . . . . . . Percent . . . . . .

TOTAL

Hispanic

Black

All other

Unknown

TABLE 8
WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981
of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

	Sex o	f victim	-
Total	Male	Female	
3,140 100.0	2,472 78.7	668 21.3	
1,143 100.0	788 68.9	355 31.1	
951 100.0	848 89.2	103 10.8	
899 100.0	726 80.8	173 19.2	
134 100.0	99 73.9	35 26.1	
13 100.0	11	2 _	

### DATA SECTION 41

-----

42 HOMICIDE IN CALIFORNIA, 1981

#### TABLE 9 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1974–1981 Distribution of Victims by Sex

		1974			1975			1976		1977				1978			1979			1980	۰ ۱۹۰۰ م		1981							
			Sex of victim		Sex of victim		Sex of victim		Sex of victim		Sex of	victim		Sex o	f victim		Sex o	f victim		Sex o	f victim		Sex o	f victim		Sex o	f victim		Sex of	victim
	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Totai	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female						
TOTAL Number .	1,970	1,457	513	2,196	1,616	580	2,214	1,648	566	2,481	1,835	646	2,601	2,027	574	2,941	2,341	600	3,405	2,709	696	3,140	2,472	668						
Percent .	100.0	74.0	26.0	100.0	73.6	26.4	100.0	74.4	25.6	100.0	74.0	26.0	100.0	77.9	22.1	100.0	79.6	20.4	100.0	79.6	20.4	100.0	78.7	21.3						

Source: Tables 13 through 20.

TABLE 10 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1974–1981 Distribution of Victims by Known Race/Ethnic Group

	19	1974 1975		197	76	19	1977		1978		1979		1980		981	
group of victim	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	1,967	100.0	2,185	100.0	2,185	100.0	2,469	100.0	2,584	100.0	2,920	100.0	3,388	100.0	3,127	100.0
White (not Hispanic) .	936	47.6	1,053	48.2	1,055	48.3	1,184	48.0	1,154	44.7	1,139	39.0	1,283	37.9	1,143	36.6
Hispanic	325	16.5	405	18,5	446	20.4	482	19.5	649	25.1	871	29.8	990	29.2	951	30.4
Black	633	32.2	660	30.2	628	28.7	723	29.3	695	26.9	797	27.3	972	28.7	899	28.7
All other	73	3.7	67	3.1	56	2.6	80	3.2	86	3.3	113	3.9	143	4.2	134	4.3

Note: This table excludes 3 victims in 1974, 11 victims in 1975, 29 victims in 1976, 12 victims in 1977, 17 victims in 1978, 21 victims in 1979, 17 victims in 1980, and 13 victims in 1981 whose race/ethnic group was unknown. Source: Tables 13 through 20.

### TABLE 11 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1974–1981 Distribution of Victims by Age

	19	1974 1975		1976		1977		1978		1979		1980		1981		
Age of victim	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	1,959	100.0	2,175	100.0	2,160	100.0	2,445	100.0	2,530	100.0	2,874	100.0	3,328	100.0	3,079	100.0
0–24	668	34.1	731	33.6	743	34.4	872	35.7	885	35.0	1,026	35.7	1,185	35.6	1,065	34.6
2539	675	34.5	738	33.9	732	33.9	863	35.3	909	35.9	1,082	37.6	1,290	38.8	1,189	38.6
40 and over	616	31.4	706	32.5	685	31.7	710	29.0	736	29.1	766	26.7	853	25.6	825	26.8

Note: This table excludes 11 victims in 1974, 21 victims in 1975, 54 victims in 1976, 36 victims in 1977, 71 victims in 1978, 67 victims in 1979, 77 victims in 1980, and 61 victims in 1981 whose age was unknown.
Source: Tables 13 through 20.

• •

Known A

۰.

- 4

•

<mark>d∑tanja NGN¦4 (</mark>I.

	-		Age of victim	
Race/ethnic group of victim	Total	0-24	2539	40 and over
 TOTAL				
Number	3,079	1,065	1,189	825
Percent	100.0	34.6	38.6	26.8
White (not Hispanic)				
Number	1,118	295	401	422
Percent	100.0	26.4	35.9	37.7
Hispanic			1	
Number	935	424	368	143
Percent	100.0	45.3	39.4	15.3
	-			· · · ·
Black	а. С.			and the second
Number	891	307	367	217
Percent	100.0	34.5	41.2	24.4
All other				
Number	132	39	51	42
Percent	100.0	29.5	38.6	31.8
Unknown				
Number	3	0	2	1
Percent	100.0	-	-	_

Notes: This table excludes 61 victims whose age was unknown. Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50. Source: Table 20.

TABLE 12	
WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981	
Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group	

DATA SECTION 43

-----

						Race	e/ethnic gr	oup of vic	tim			
	Tot	tal	White (not	Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ck	All	other	Unkn	ówn
Sex and age of victim	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	1,970	100.0	936	100.0	325	100.0	633	100.0	73	100.0	3	100.0
0-14	104	5.3	62	6.6	14	4.3	25	3.9	3	4.1	0	-
15—19	231	11.7	89	9.5	62	19.1	74	11.7	5	6.8	1	- 1
20—24	333	16.9	143	15.3	69	21.2	112	17.7	9	12.3	0	-
25—29	299	15.2	137	14.6	54	16.6	95	15.0	13	17.8	0	-
3034	205	10.4	77	8.2	39	12.0	2'9	12.5	9	12.3	1	-
35-39	.171	8.7	65	6.9	26	8.0	71	11.2	9	12.3	0	-
4044	123	6.2	53	5.7	-22	6.8	43	6.8	5	6.8	0	
45-49	115	5.8	59	6.3	8	2.5	43	6.8	5	6.8	0	
50-54	129	6.5	71	7.6	10	3.1	45	7.1	3	4.1	0	-
55-99	249	12.6	172	18.4	19	5.8	46	7.3	12	16.4	0	-
Unknown	11	.6	8	.9	2	.6	0	.0	0	0.	1	-
Male	1,457	100.0	616	100.0	277	100.0	505	100.0	59	100.0	0	100.0
0—14	57	3.9	31	5.0	9	3.2	16	3.2	1	1.7	0	-
15—19	168	11.5	54	8.8	54	19.5	55	10.9	5	8.5	0	-
20-24	258	17.7	100	16.2	63	22.7	88	17.4	7	11.9	0	-
25—29	224	15.4	91	14.8	50	18.0	73	14.5	10	16.9	0	-
30—34	157	10.8	53	8.6	34	12.3	63	12.5	7	11.9	0	· - ·
35—39	123	8.4	40	6.5	17	6.1	58	11.5	. 8	13.6	0	-
40-44	101	6.9	43	7.0	17	6.1	37	7.3	4	6.8	0	
45-49	91	6.2	43	7.0	7.	2.5	37	7.3	4	6.8	0	- 1
50-54	100	6.9	54	8.8	8	2.9	35	6.9	3	5.1	0	-
55—99	171	11.7	102	16.6	16	5.8	43	8.5	10	16.9	0	·
Unknown	7	.5	5	.8	2	.7	0	.0	. 0 :	0.	0	
Female	513	100.0	320	100.0	48	100.0	128	100.0	14	100.0	3	100.0
0-14	47	9.2	31	9.7	5	10.4	9	7.0	2	-	0	-
15—19	63	12.3	35	10.9	8	16.7	19	14.8	0	-	1	-
20—24	75	14.6	43	13.4	6	12.5	24	18.8	2	-	0	-
25—29	75	14.6	46	14.4	4	8.3	22	17.2	3	· - ·	0	-
30-34	48	9.4	24	7.5	5	10,4	16	12,5	2	- 1	1	-
35—39	48	9.4	25	7.8	9	18.8	13	10.2	1	-	0	-
4044	22	4.3	10	3.1	5	10.4	6	4.7	1	-	0	-
45—49	24	4.7	16	5.0	1 .	2.1	6	4.7	1	-	0	-
50—54	29	5.7	17	5.3	2	4.2	10	7.8	0	-	0	-
5599	78	15.2	70	21.9	3	6.2	3	2.3	2	-	0	-
Unknown	4	.8	3	.9	0	.0	0	.0	0		1	

### TABLE 13 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1974 Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

			Race/ethnic group of victim									
Sex and age	To	tal	White (not	Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ck	AII	other	Unkn	own
of victim	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	2,196	100.0	1,053	100.0	405	100,0	660	100.0	67	100.0	11	100.0
0—14	135	6.1	58	5.5	25	6.2	47	7.1	4	6.0	1	- <u>-</u>
15—19	241	11.0	95	9.0	75	18.5	67	10.2	4	6.0	0	_
20-24	355	16.2	143	13.6	99	24.4	104	15.8	8	11.9	1	- 1
25-29	324	14.8	141	13.4	67	16.5	103	15.6	13	19.4	Ó	· _
3034	223	10.2	96	9.1	41	10.1	80	12.1	6	9.0	0	_
35-39	191	8.7	87	8.3	28	6.9	65	9.8	10	14.9	1	<u> </u>
40-44	154	7.0	69	6.6	22	5.4	60	9.1	3	4.5	0	_
45-49	130	5.9	65	6.2	19	4.7	43	6.5	3	4.5	Ö	
50-54	117	5.3	73	6.9	8	2.0	34	5.2	2	3.0		
55-99	305	13.9	215	20.4	20	4.9	56	8.5	13	19.4		-
Unknown	21	1.0	11	1.0	1	.2	1	.2	1	19.4	.7	_
Male	1,616	100.0	714	100.0	355	100.0	491	100.0	49	100.0	7	100.0
0-14	80	5.0	30	4.2	18	5.1	28	5.7	3			100.0
1519	191	11.8	67	9.4	69	19.4	53	10.8	2		0	
20-24	260	16,1	96	13.4	86	24.2	70	14.3	7		-	( <b>-</b>
25-29	250	15.5	100	14.0	59	16.6	82			-		
30-34	164	10.1	61	8.5	38	10.0		16.7	9	-	0	-
35–39	148	9.2	63	8.8	24	-	61	12.4	4	-	0	-
40-44	148	7.0	50			6.8	52	10.6	8	-	1	
45-49	100	6.2		7.0	18	5.1	42	8.6	3	-	0	-
50-54			46	6.4	17	4.8	35	7.1	2	-	0	-
55-99	89	5.5	52	7.3	7	2.0	28	5.7	2		0	-
	206	12.7	141	19.7	18	5.1	39	7.9	8		0	-
Unknown	15	.9	8	1.1	1	.3	1	.2	1		4	. <u> </u>
Female	580	100.0	339	100.0	50	100.0	169	100.0	18	100.0	4	100.0
0-14	55	9.5	28	8.3	7	14.0	19	11.2	1		. 0	
15-19	50	8.6	28	8.3	6	12.0	14	8.3	2	-	0	_
20-24	95	16.4	47	13.9	13	26.0	34	20.1	1	_	0	_
25-29	74	12.8	41	12.1	8	16.0	21	12.4	4	_	0	_
30-34	59	10.2	35	10.3	3	6.0	19	11.2	2	_	0	
35–39	43	7.4	24	7.1	4	8.0	13	7.7	2	_	0	-
40-44	41	7.1	19	5.6	4	8.0	18	10.6	0	-	0	<u> </u>
45-49	30	5.2	19	5.6	2	8.0 4.0	8	4.7			-	
50-54	28	4.8	21	6.2	1	2.0	6	4.7	1	-	0	
55-99	99	17.1	74	21.8	2	2.0 4.0	17		0	· _	0	-
Unknown	6	1.0	3	.9	0	4.0	0	10.1 .0	5 0	_	1	-

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

:

•,

### TABLE 14 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1975 Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

			Race/ethnic group of victim									
	Tot	tal	White (not	Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ck	All	other	Unkn	own
Sex and age of victim	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	2,214	100.0	1,055	100.0	446	100.0	628	100.0	56	100.0	29	100.0
0—14	116	5.2	48	4.5	22	4.9	45	7.2	1	1.8	0	- 1
15—19	222	10.0	95	9.0	76	17.0	48	7.6	1	1.8	2	-
20-24	405	18.3	159	15.1	107	24.0	122	19.4	14	25.0	3	-
25-29	340	15.4	148	14.0	71	15.9	112	17.8	7	12.5	2	-
30-34	236	10.7	85	8.1	60	13.5	76	12.1	12	21.4	3	-
35-39	156	7.0	76	7.2	27	6.1	47	7.5	5	8.9	1	
40-44	145	6.5	70	6.6	26	5,8	48	7.6	1	1.8	0	-
45-49	134	6.1	79	7.5	16	3.6	37	5.9	2	3.6	0	- 1
50-54	99	4.5	56	5.3	10	2.2	28	4.5	5	8.9	0	-
55—99	307	13.9	219	20.8	21	4.7	57	9.1	8	14.3	2	· -
Unknown	54	2.4	20	1.9	10	2.2	8	1.3	0	.0	16	-
Male	1,648	100.0	717	100.0	388	100.0	482	100.0	34	100.0	27	100.0
0—14	65	3.9	30	4.2	13	3.4	22	4.6	0	-	0	-
15—19	158	9.6	51	7.1	69	17.8	35	7.3	1	-	2	-
20-24	306	18.6	107	14.9	96	24.7	87	18.0	13	— .	3	-
25-29	272	16.5	107	14.9	65	16.8	95	19.7	- 3		2	
30-34	193	11.7	68	9.5	51	13.1	64	13.3	7	-	3	-
35-39	123	7.5	59	8.2	25	6.4	36	7.5	2	-	1	-
40-44	113	6.9	52	7.3	21	5.4	40	8.3	0	i –	0	-
45-49	100	6.1	56	7.8	14	3.6	30	6.2	0		0	-
50-54	71	4.3	39	5.4	9	2.3	19	3.9	4	-	0	- 1
55-99	204	12.4	137	19.1	16	4.1	46	9.5	4	-	1	. –
Unknown	43	2.6	11	1.5	9	2.3	8	1.7	0	. —	15	-
Female	566	100.0	338	100.0	58	100.0	146	100.0	22	100.0	2	100.0
0-14	51	9.0	18	5.3	9	15.5	23	15.8	1	- 1	0	-
15—19	64	11.3	44	13.0	7	12.1	13	8.9	0	-	0	-
20-24	99	17.5	52	15.4	11	19.0	35	24.0	1	-	0	- 1
25—29	68	12.0	41	12.1	6	10.3	17	11.6	4	_	0	-
30-34	43	7.6	17	5.0	9	15,5	12	8.2	5	-	0	·
35—39	33	5.8	17	5.0	2	3.4	11	7.5	3	_	0	-
40-44	32	5.7	18	5.3	5	8.6	8	5.5	1	-	0	
45—49	34	6.0	23	6.8	2	3.4	7	4.8	2	<u> </u>	0	-
5054	28	4.9	17	5.0	1	1.7	9	6.2	1	-	0	-
55—99	103	18.2	82	24.3	5	8.6	11	7.5	4	-	1	· -
Unknown	11	1.9	9	2.7	1	1.7	0	.0	0	- 1	1	_

#### TABLE 15 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1976 Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

					-	Race	e/ethnic gr	oup of vict	im:			
Sex and age	То	tal	White (not	Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ack	All	other	Unkr	own
of victim	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	2,481	100.0	1,184	100.0	482	100.0	723	100.0	80	100.0	12	100.0
0-14	138	5,6	61	5.2	23	4.8	49	6.8	3	3.8	12	100.0
15-19	307	12.4	136	11.5	105	21.8	56	7.7	10	12.5		. —
20-24	427	17.2	187	15.8	107	22.2	122	16.9	11	13.8	-	-
25-29	391	15.8	154	13.0	92	19.1	133	18.4	11	13.8	0	-
30-34	267	10.8	116	9.8	45	9.3	97	13.4	9	11.2	1.	· -
35-39	205	8.3	85	7.2	39	8.1	71	9.8	9		0	-
40-44	163	6.6	75	6.3	26	5.4	58	8.0	4	11.2 5.0	1	. —
45-49	103	4.2	47	4.0	16	3.3	37	5.1	3	3.8	0	-
50-54	115	4.6	67	5.7	12	2.5	31	4.3	5	3.8 6.2	0	-
5599	329	13.3	244	20.6	9	1.9	61	8.4	15	18.8	0	· -
Unknown	36	1.5	12	1.0	8	1.7	8	1.1	0	0.0 .0	-	
							Ŭ	1.1	Ŭ	.0	8	
Male	1,835	100.0	818	100.0	408	100.0	539	100.0	62	100.0	0	
0-14	69	3.8	32	3.9	10	2.5	24	4.5	3	4.8	8	100.0
15—19	203	11.1	73	8.9	88	21.6	34	6.3	8	4.8 12.9	0	-
2024	315	17.2	130	16.0	91	22.3	85	15.8	9			-
25-29	301	16.4	106	13.0	88	21.6	100	18.6	9	14.5	0	-
30–34	219	11.9	92	11.2	39	9.6	80	14.8	8	11.3	0	
35-39	164	8.9	65	7.9	35	8.6	58	10.8	6	12.9	0	-
40-44	132	7.2	60	7.3	20	4.9	48	8.9	4	9.7	0	
45-49	79	4.3	39	4.8	8	2.0	29	5.4	3	6.5	0	-
50-54	-92	5.0	50	6.1	12	2.9	26	4.8	4	4.8	0	
55—99	231	12.6	164	20.0	9	2.2	48	8.9	10	6.5	0	
Unknown	30	1.6	7	.9	8	2.0	. 7	1.3	C	16.1 .0	0	
Female	646	100.0	366	100.0	74	100.0	184	100.0	18	100.0		100.0
0-14	69	10.7	29	7.9	13	17.6	25	13.6	0		4	100.0
15-19	104	16.1	63	17.2	17	23.0	22	12.0	2	-	2	-
20-24	112	17.3	57	15.6	16	21.6	37	20,1	2	. –	0	
25-29	90	13.9	48	13.1	4	5,4	33	17.9	4	-	0	-
30-34	48	7.4	24	6.6	6	8.1	17	9.2	1		1	-
35–39	41	6.3	20	5.5	4	5.4	13	7.1	3	-	0	
40-44	31	4.8	15	4.1	6	8.1	10	5.4	0	- }	1	
45-49	24	3.7	8	2.2	8	10.8	8	4.3	0		0	_
50-54	23	3.6	17	4.6	ō	.0	5	2.7	1	-	0	-
55—99	98	15.2	80	21.9	ŏ	.0	13	7.1	1	-	0	-
Unknown	6	.9	5	1.4	0	.0	1	.5	5 0	_	0	
Notes: Percents may n		100.0 bc ra										. —

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 brcause of rounding.

46 HOMICIDE IN CALIFORNIA, 1981

۰.

۰.

1

### TABLE 16 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1977 Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

	- hu											
						Race	e/ethnic gr	oup of vict	im			
	To	tal	White (not	Hispanic)	Hispa	anic	Bla	ck	All	other	Unkn	own
Sex and age of victim	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	2,601	100.0	1,154	100.0	649	100.0	695	100.0	86	100.0	17	100.0
0-14	132	5.1	59	5.1	34	5.2	30	4.3	8	9.3	1	-
15—19	287	11.0	101	8.8	122	18.8	58	8.3	5	5.8	1	-
20-24	466	17.9	179	15.5	153	23.6	121	17.4	13	15.1	0	· <u>-</u>
25—29	424	16.3	159	13.8	111	17.1	135	19.4	17	19.8	2	-
3034	296	11.4	114	9.9	66	10.2	103	14.8	12	14.0	1	-
35—39	189	7.3	77	6.7	40	6.2	64	9.2	8	9.3	0	- 1
40—44	166	6.4	75	6.5	37	5.7	54	7.8	0	0.	0	-
45-49	146	5.6	74	6.4	31	4.8	36	5.2	4	4.7	1	-
50-54	117	4.5	69	6.0	15	2.3	29	4.2	4	4.7	0.	-
55-99	307	11.8	215	18.6	26	4.0	55	7.9	10	11.6	1	-
Unknown	71	2.7	32	2.8	14	2.2	10	1.4	5	5.8	10	. –
Male	2,027	100.0	822	100.0	588	100.0	540	100.0	63	100.0	14	100.0
0–14	79	3.9	41	5.0	19	3.2	13	2.4	5	7.9	1	-
15—19	223	11.0	61	7.4	119	20.2	39	7.2	3	4.8	1	<b>–</b> -
20–24	378	18.6	126	15.3	146	24.8	97	18.0	9	14.3	0	-
25–29	339	16.7	115	14.0	102	17.3	107	19.8	14	22.2	1	-
30–34	238	11.7	85	10.3	60	10.2	84	15.6	8	12.7	1	-
35-39	158	7.8	63	7.7	35	6.0	52	9.6	8	12.7	0	
40-44	138	6,8	58	7.1	34	5.8	46	8.5	-0	0.	0	-
45-49	123	6.1	59	7.2	29	4.9	31	5.7	3	4.8	1	-
50-54	89	4.4	51	6.2	12	2.0	22	4.1	4	6.3	0	-
5599	210	10.4	142	17.3	20	3.4	41	7.6	6	9.5	1	-
Unknown	52	2.6	21	2.6	12	2.0	8	1.5	3	4.8	8	· _'
Female	574	100.0	332	100.0	61	100.0	155	100.0	23	100.0	3	100.0
0-14	53	9.2	18	5.4	15	24.6	17	11.0	3	- I	0	
15—19	64	11.1	40	12.0	3	4.9	19	12.3	2		0	
2024	88	15.3	53	16.0	7	11.5	24	15.5	4	-	.0	
25—29	85	14.8	44	13.3	9	14.8	28	18.1	3	- 1	1	-
30-34	58	10.1	29	8.7	6	9.8	19	12.3	4	_ '	0	-
35—39	31	5.4	14	4.2	5	8.2	12	7.7	0	. <u> </u>	0	-
40-44	28	4.9	17	5.1	3	4.9	8	5.2	0	-	0	-
45-49	23	4.0	15	4.5	2	3.3	5	3.2	1	-	. 0	·
50-54	28	4.9	18	5.4	3	4.9	7	4.5	0	·	0	-
55—99	97	16.9	73	22.0	6	9.8	14	9.0	4	· _	0	
Unknown	19	3.3	11	3.3	2	3.3	2	1.3	2	-	2	-

### TABLE 17 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1978 Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

						Race	e/ethnic gr	oup of vic	tim			
Sex and age	To	tal	White (not	Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ick	All	other	Unkr	iown
of victim	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	2,941	100.0	1,139	100.0	871	100,0	797	100.0	113	100.0	21	100.0
0—14	132	4.5	69	6.1	24	2.8	34	4.3	5	4.4	0	100.0
15—19	328	11.2	100	8.8	139	16.0	75	9.4	13	11.5	1	
2024	566	19.2	168	14.7	235	27.0	144	18.1	17	15.0	2	
25-29	508	17.3	174	15.3	154	17.7	162	20.3	17	15.0	1	
30—34	346	11.8	118	10.4	100	11.5	115	14.4	12	10.6		
35—39	228	7.8	91	8.0	60	6.9	62	7.8	14	12.4	1	
40—44	189	6.4	79	6,9	47	5.4	58	7.3	5	4.4	, o	
45-49	172	5.8	80	7,0	46	5.3	40	5.0	6	5.3	0	
50-54	114	3.9	59	5.2	19	2.2	31	3.9	5	4.4	l õ	
55—99	291	9.9	170	14.9	37	4.2	68	8.5	16	14.2	Ö	
Unknown	67	2.3	31	2.7	10	1.1	8	1.0	3	2.7	15	_
Male	2,341	100.0	808	100.0	793	100.0						
0-14	72	3.1	37	4.6		100.0	642	100.0	80	100.0	18	100.0
15-19	265	11.3	69	4.0 8.5	16	2.0	16	2.5	3	3.8	0	-
20-24	470	20.1	115	6.5 14.2	127 223	16.0	63	9.8	6	7.5	0	-
25-29	408	17.4	123	14.2	141	28.1	118	18.4	12	15.0	2	-
30-34	287	12.3	86	10.6	95	17.8	131	20.4	12	15.0	1	—
35-39	181	7.7	67	8.3	55	12.0	95	14.8	10	12.5	1	·
40-44	150	6.4	56	6.9	39	6.9	48	7.5	10	12.5	1	—
45–49	130	5.7	56	6.9	40	4.9	51	7.9	4	5.0	0	-
50-54	98	4.2	50	6.3	18	5.0	33	5.1	5	6.2	0	_
55–99	225	9.6	126	15.6	31	2.3 3.9	24	3.7	5	6.2	0	_
Unknown	51	2.2	22	2.7	8		58	9.0	10	12.5	0	· —
	0.		~~	2.1	°	1.0	5	.8	3	3.8	13	-
Female	600	100.0	331	100,0	78	100.0	155	100.0	33	100.0	3	100.0
0-14	60	10.0	32	9.7	8	10.3	18	11.6	2	6.1	o	100.0
15-19	63	10,5	31	9.4	12	15.4	12	7.7	7	21.2	1	_
2024	96	16.0	53	16.0	12	15.4	26	16.8	5	15.2	o	_
25-29	100	16.7	51	15.4	13	16.7	31	20.0	5	15.2	ol	
30–34	59	9.8	32	9.7	5	6.4	20	12.9	2	6.1	0	. —.
35–39	47	7.8	24	7.3	5	6.4	14	9.0	4	12.1	0	
40-44	39	6.5	23	6.9	8	10.3	7	4.5	4	3.0	0	
45-49	38	6.3	24	7.3	6	7.7	7	4.5	1	3.0	0	
50-54	16	2.7	8	2.4	1	1.3	7	4.5	0	.0	0	
55-99	66	11.0	44	13.3	6	7.7	10	6.5	6	18.2	0	
Unknown	16	2.7	9	2.7	2	2.6	3	1.9	0	.0	2	-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	·									-	

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

:

:

48 HOMICIDE IN CALIFORNIA, 1981

### TABLE 18 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1979 Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

						Race	e/ethnic gro	oup of vic	tim	'		
	Tot	al	White (not	Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ck	All	other	Unkn	own
Sex and age of victim	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
 TOTAL	3,405	100.0	1,283	100.0	990	100.0	972	100.0	143	100.0	17	100.0
0-14	110	3.2	57	4.4	18	1.8	33	3.4	2	1.4	0	· · · ·
15-19	425	12.5	115	9.0	187	18.9	109	11.2	13	9.1	1	
20–24	650	19.1	191	14.9	232	23.4	206	21.2	21	14.7	0	
25-29	579	17.0	173	13.5	195	19.7	177	18.2	32	22.4	2	-
30-34	437	12.8	162	12.6	115	11.6	145	14.9	14	9.8	1	· _ ·
35—39	274	8.0	99	7,7	77	7.8	83	8.5	15	10.5	0	
40-44	201	5.9	85	6,6	41	4.1	64	6.6	11	7.7	0	
45-49	151	4.4	67	5.2	41	4.1	35	3.6	8	5.6	0	-
50-54	144	4.2	76	5.9	21	2.1	40	4.1	7	4.9	0	-
5599	357	10.5	232	18.1	36	3.6	72	7.4	17	11.9	0	
Unknown	77	2.3	26	2.0	27	2.7	8	.8	3	2.1	13	—
	]			1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -		ļ						
Male	2,709	100.0	898	100.0	898	100.0	796	100.0	103	100.0	14	100.0
0-14	59	2.2	30	3.3	9	1.0	19	2.4	1	1.0	0	_
15—19	350	12.9	70	7.8	175	19.5	93	11.7	11	10.7	1	
20-24	521	19.2	128	14.3	213	23.7	168	21.1	12	11.7	0	_
25-29	479	17.7	127	14.1	181	20.2	144	18.1	26	25.2	1	. –
30—34	357	13.2	122	13.6	105	11.7	119	14.9	10	9.7	1	<u> </u>
3539	223	8.2	77	8,6	67	7.5	71	8.9	8	7.8	0	_
40-44	160	5.9	63	7.0	36	4.0	55	6.9	6	5.8	0	·
45-49	123	4.5	51	5.7	35	3.9	31	3.9	6	5.8	0	-
5054	122	4.5	60	6.7	21	2.3	34	4.3	. 7	6.8	0	. –
55-99	252	9.3	152	16.9	31	3.5	56	7.0	13	12.6	0	
Unknown	63	2.3	18	2.0	25	2.8	6	.8	3	2.9	11	-
Female	696	100.0	385	100.0	92	100.0	176	100.0	40	100.0	3	100.0
0-14	51	7.3	27	7.0	9	9.8	14	8.0	1	_ `	0	
15—19	75	10.8	45	11.7	12	13,0	16	9.1	2	-	0	_
20—24	129	18.5	63	16.4	19	20.7	38	21.6	9		0	
25-29	100	14.4	46	11.9	14	15.2	33	18.8	6	-	1	· ·
30–34	80	11.5	40	10.4	. 10	10.9	26	14.8	4	-	0	· _
35-39	51	7.3	22	5.7	10	10.9	12	6.8	7	· _ ·	0	_
40-44	41	5.9	22	5.7	5	5.4	9	5.1	5	_	0	
45-49	28	4.0	16	4.2	6	6.5	4	2.3	2		0	- 1
50-54	22	3.2	16	4.2	0	.0	6	3.4	0		0	_
55-99	105	15.1	80	20.8	5	5.4	16	9.1	4	]	0	_
Unknown	14	2.0	8	2.1	2	2.2	2	1.1	0	_	2	·
	1 · · · ·	1	1	1 .	1	1	1	1.1.1.1	i i	1	1	1

TABLE 19
WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1980
Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

						Race	/ethnic gr	oup of vict	im			
·	To	tal	White (not	Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ck	All	other	Unkn	own
Sex and age of victim	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	3,140	100.0	1,143	100.0	951	100.0	899	100.0	134	100.0	13	100.0
0-14	132	4.2	58	5.1	35	3.7	35	3.9	4	3.0	0	_
15—19	347	11.0	75	6.6	161	16,9	99	11.0	12	9.0	0	·
20-24	586	18.7	162	14.2	228	24.0	173	19.2	23	17.2	0	! _
25-29	516	16.4	147	12.9	176	18.5	177	19.7	15	11.2	1	ĺ · _
30-34	412	13.1	161	14.1	125	13.1	106	11.8	20	14.9	l o	_
35–39	261	8.3	93	8.1	67	7.0	84	9.3	16	11.9		
40-44	182	5.8	63	5.5	60	6.3	49	5.4	10	7.5	l o	_
45-49	145	4.6	62	5.4	28	2.9	49	5.4	6	4.5	Ö	- <u> </u>
50-54	131	4.2	57	5.0	25	2.6	37	4.1	12	9.0	0	[
55-99	367	11.7	240	21.0	30	3.2	82	9.1	14	10.4	1	-
Unknown	61	1.9	240	21.0	16	1.7	8	.9	2		1 5	
OIIKIIOWII		1.5	. 25	2.2	10		°	.9	. 2	1.5	10	-
Male	2,472	100.0	788	100.0	848	100.0	726	100.0	99	100.0	11	100.0
0—14	80	3.2	29	3.7	23	2.7	25	3.4	3	3.0	0	-
15—19	292	11.8	48	6.1	148	17.5	85	11.7	11	11.1	0	_
20-24	466	18.9	112	14.2	207	24.4	134	18.5	13	13.1	0	
25—29	424	17.2	110	14.0	156	18.4	146	20.1	11	11.1	1	- 1
30—34	344	13.9	127	16.1	117	13.8	84	11.6	16	16.2	0	_
35-39	214	8.7	67	8.5	58	6.8	75	10.3	13	13.1	1	_
40-44	146	5.9	47	6.0	51	6.0	41	5.6	7	7.1	l o	
45-49	115	4.7	42	5.3	26	3.1	41	5.6	6	6.1	0	_
5054	101	4,1	39	4.9	23	2.7	32	4.4	7	7.1	l o	
55-99	244	9,9	151	19.2	24	2.8	58	8.0	10	10,1	1	L _
Unknown	46	1.9	16	2.0	15	1.8	5	.7	2	2.0	8	
Female	668	100.0	355	100.0	103	100.0	173	100.0	35	100.0	2	100.0
0-14	52	7.8	29	8.2	12	11.6	10	5.8	1		ō	-
15-19	55	8.2	27	7.6	13	12.6	14	8.1	1	_	Ö	· ·
20-24	120	18.0	50	14.1	21	20.4	39	22.5	10	_	0	
25-29	92	13.8	37	10.4	20	19.4	31	17.9	4		0	. –
30-34	68	10.2	34	9.6	8	7.8	22	12.7	4	_	0	
35–39	47	7.0	26	7.3	9	8.7	9	5.2	4			
4044	36	5.4	16	4.5	9	8.7	8		3	· _		. —
4044 4549	30	5.4 4.5	20	4.5 5.6	2		. –	4.6				_
	30	1 · ·		1 .		1.9	8	4.6	0	. –	. 0	
5054		4.5	18	5.1	2	1.9	5	2.9	5	-	0.	· -
55-99	123	18.4	89	25.1	6	5.8	24	13.9	4	-	0	. –
Unknown	15	2.2	9	2.5	1	1.0	3	1.7	0		2	-

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

### TABLE 20 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981 Sex and Age of Victim Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

Sex of victim	Total	Spouse	Friend, acquaintance	Gang member	Parent, child	Stranger	All other
TOTAL	1.001	202	914	164	124	419	81
Number	1,904	10.6	48.0	8.6	6.5	22.0	4.3
Percent	100.0	10.0		3.0			
Viale	4 407	66	742	162	69	361	67
Number	1,467		50.6	11.0	4.7	24.6	4.6
Percent	100.0	4.5	50.6	11.0			
Female		400	172	2	55	58	14
Number	437	136		.5	12.6	13.3	3.2
Percent	100.0	31.1	39.4	.0	12.0	10.0	

# TABLE 21 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981 Known Relationship of Victim to Offender Distributed by Sex of Victim

Notes: This table excludes 1,236 victims whose relationship to the offender was unknown. Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Source: Table 23.

### TABLE 22 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981

Known Relationship of Victim to Offender Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim

		Friend,	Spouse, parent,	Gang	Changer	All
Race/ethnic group of victim	Total	acquaintance	child	member	Stranger	other
TOTAL	1,904	914	326	164	419	81
Number	100.0	48.0	17.1	8.6	22.0	4.3
White (not Hispanic) Number Percent	672 100.0	314 46.7	168 25.0	6 .9	154 22.9	30 4.5
Hispanic	568	257	48	97	144	22
Number	100.0	45.2	8.4	17.1	25.4	3.9
Black	579	311	98	55	94	21
Number	100.0	53.7	16.9	9.5	16.2	3.6
All other	84	32	11	6	27	8
Number	100.0	38.1	13.1	7.1	32.1	9.5
Unknown Number	1 100.0	0	1	0	0 	0

Notes: This table excludes 1,236 victims whose relationship to the offender was unknown. Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

Source: Table 23.



TABLE 23
WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981
Relationship of Victim to Offender Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim

	-			Race/ethnic group of victim											Sex of victim			
-	Total		White (not Hispanic)		Hispanic		Bla	Black		All other		own	Male		Female			
Relationship	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
TOTAL	3,140	100.0	1,143	100.0	951	100.0	899	100.0	134	100.0	13	100.0	2,472	100.0	668	100.0		
Friend, acquaintance <sup>a</sup>	914	29.1	314	27.5	257	27.0	311	34.6	32	23.9	0		742	30.0	172	25.7		
Spouse <sup>b</sup>	202	6.4	109	9.5	26	2.7	57	6.3	9	6.7	1	-	66	2.7	136	20.4		
Parent, child <sup>C</sup>	124	3.9	59	5.2	22	2.3	41	4.6	2	1.5	0	. –	69	2.8	55	8.2		
Sibling	25	.8	14	1.2	5	.5	3	.3	3	2.2	0	-	23	9.	2	.3		
Other relative	48	1.5	10	.9	15	1.6	18	2.0	5	3.7	0	-	36	1.5	12	1.8		
Stranger	419	13.3	154	13.5	144	15.1	94	10.5	27	20.1	0	_	361	14.6	58	8.7		
Gang member	164	5.2	6	.5	97	10.2	55	6.1	6	4.5	0	_	162	6.6	2	.3		
Peace officer	. 8	.3	6	.5	2	.2	0	.0	0	0.	0	<b></b> ·	8	.3	0	.0		
Unknown	1,236	39.4	471	41.2	383	40.3	320	35.6	50	37.3	12		1,005	40,7	231	34.6		

<sup>a</sup>Includes ex-husband, ex-wife, employer, employee, etc.

<sup>b</sup>Includes common-law marriage partner.

<sup>C</sup>Includes stepmother, stepfather, stepson, and stepdaughter.

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

• 1

Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

#### TABLE 24 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1979-1981 Average Homicide Rate per 100,000 Population Distributed by Size of County

 			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Size of county .	Population July 1, 1980	Average number of victims 1979–1981	Average rate <sup>a</sup>
 	TOTAL	23,769,000	3,162.0	13.3
	1st — 20th <sup>b</sup>	21,213,200 2,555,800	2,974.7 187.3	14.0 7.3

DATA SECTION 53

<sup>a</sup>The average homicide rate for each county group was calculated on the basis of the summation of the estimated populations of the counties in the group as of July 1, 1980 and the average number of willful homicide victims during the three-year period of 1979-1981.

<sup>b</sup>County groups are based on county population estimates as of July 1, 1980. 1st—20th is composed of the largest counties ranked in order of magnitude of population. These counties are Los Angeles, Orange, San Diego, Santa Clara, Alameda, San Bernardino, Sacramento, San Francisco, Riverside, Contra Costa, San Mateo, Ventura, Fresno, Kern, San Joaquin, Sonoma, Santa Barbara, Monterey, Stanislaus, and Tulare.

Source: Table 25.

\_\_\_

	Population	Average	<b>T</b>	1070	1980 )	1004
County .	July 1, 1980	rate <sup>a</sup>	Total	1979		1981
TOTAL	23,769,000	13.3	9,486	2,941	3,405	3,140
Alameda	1,105,800	15.1	502	143	171	188
Alpine	1,100 19,600		1	0	0	0
Butte	145,600	5.0	22	11	7	4
Calaveras	21,000	_	6	. 4	0	2
Colusa	12,700	· _	5	2	2	1
Contra Costa	660,300	7.9	157	52	48	57
Del Norte	18,300 87,600		6 18	1	3	2 6
El Dorado	516,700	16.5	256	81	102	73
Glenn	21,500	-	5	3	2	0
Humboldt	108,600	6.8	22	6	9	7
Imperial	92,800	15.8	44	16	17	11
Ιηγο	18,000		6	2	2	2
Kern	405,800	18.4	224	59	. 84	81
Kings	73,700		19	8	4	. 7
Lake	36,900 21,800		11	2	3	4 1
Los Angeles	7,490,100	20.8	4,666	1,435	1,731	1,500
Madera	64,000	13.0	25	7	12	6
Marin	222,400	4.8	32	8	16	8
Mariposa	11,300		1	. 0	1	0
Mendocino	67,300	10.9 7.4	22 30	6 8	8 10	8 12
Merced	135,300 8,600	7.4	30	0 1	2	0
Mono	8,700		2	0.	2	0
Monterey.	291,200	10,3	90	33	24	33
Napa	99,100	-	14	3	6	5
Nevada	52,700	<del>_</del> .	13	4	3	6
Orange	1,943,600	5.1	300	91	113	96
Placer	118,800	5.9	21	6	9	6
Plumas	17,600 670,400	13.2	6 266	0 81	2 93	4 92
Sacramento	787,200	10.0	235	82	72	81
San Benito	25,100	_	11	7	3	1
San Bernardino	904,900	15.9	431	120	145	166
San Diego	1,870,400	8.5	477	140	180	157
San Francisco	678,400	17.2	350	113	111	126 70
San Joaquin	349,500 156,700	18.8 7.7	197 36	64 14	63 16	70
San Mateo	588,000	6.0	106	41	33	32
Santa Barbara	299,400	6.0	54	20	14	20
Santa Clara	1,301,300	6.9	269	74	98	97
Santa Cruz	189,100	6.5	37	11	10	16
Shasta	116,800		17	2	5	10
Sierra	3,100 40,100	-	1 6	1	0	0
Solano	238,300	6.3	45	20	15	10
Sonoma	301,600	5.9	53	19	16	18
Stanislaus	267,100	9.2	74	26	28	20
Sutter	52,300	<del>,</del> .	18	5	6	7
Tehama.	39,400	_	9	1	5	3
Trinity	12,000 247,200	12.1	1 90	0 25	0 32	1 33
Tuolumne	34,300	12.1	4	25	2	0
Ventura	534,300	7.9	127	57	38	32
Yolo	113,700	6.4	22	8	10	4
Yuba	49,900		16	4	5	7

#### TABLE 25 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1979-1981 Average Homicide Rate per 100,000 Population Distributed by County

<sup>a</sup>The average homicide rate per 100,000 population for each county was calculated on the basis of the estimated population as of July 1, 1980 and the average number of willful homicide victims during the three-year period of 1979—1981. Population estimates were obtained from the California Department of Finance. Note: The average homicide rate was not calculated when the total number of homicides during 1979—1981 was less than 20.



TABLE 26
WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1974–1981
Percent Distribution by Known Month of Incident

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	19	74	1975		1976		1977		1978		1979		1980		1981	
Month of incident	Number	Percent														
TOTAL.	1,958	100.0	2,157	100.0	2,183	100.0	2,471	100.0	2,593	100.0	2,932	100.0	3,405	100.0	3,140	100.0
January	169	8.6	182	8.4	190	8.7	205	8.3	199	7.7	226	7.7	275	8.1	236	7.5
February	142	7.3	151	7.0	162	7.4	169	6.8	194	7.5	207	7,1	220	6.5	262	8.3
March	150	7.7	176	8.2	137	6.3	173	7.0	222	8.6	230	7.8	240	7.0	232	7.4
April	142	7.3	164	7.6	163	7.5	204	8.3	205	7.9	219	7.5	232	6.8	237	7.5
Мау	168	8.6	162	7.5	178	8.2	202	8.2	203	7.8	243	8.3	236	6.9	270	8.6
June	163	8.3	166	7.7	180	8.2	192	7.8	211	8.1	236	8.0	299	8.8	274	8.7
July	168	8.6	175	8.1	187	8.6	206	8.3	226	8.7	239	8.2	329	9.7	306	9.7
August	150	7.7	205	9.5	198	9.1	228	9.2	233	9.0	246	8.4	360	10.6	288	9.2
September	177	9.0	195	9.0	192	8.8	216	8.7	224	8.6	262	8.9	318	9.3	261	8.3
October	175	8.9	213	9.9	204	9.3	206	8.3	214	8.3	249	8.5	280	8.2	267	8.5
November	180	9.2	179	8.3	171	7.8	211	8.5	226	8.7	279	9.5	305	9.0	242	7.7
December	174	8.9	189	8.8	221	10.1	259	10.5	236	9.1	296	10.1	311	9.1	265	8.4
Total	1,958	100.0	2,157	100.0	2,183	100.0	2,471	100.0	2,593	100.0	2,932	100.0	3,405	100.0	3,140	100.0
First half	934	47.7	1,001	46.4	1,010	46.3	1,145	46.3	1,234	47.6	1,361	46.4	1,50?	44.1	1,511	48.1
Second half	1,024	52.3	1,156	53.6	1,173	53.7	1,326	53.7	1,359	52.4	1,571	53.6	1,903	55.9	1,629	51.9

Notes: This table excludes 12 victims in 1974, 39 victims in 1975, 31 victims in 1976, 10 victims in 1977, 8 victims in 1978, and 9 victims in 1979 for whom the month of incident resulting in death was unknown. Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

4.3

TABLE 27
WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1974–1981
Average Monthly Number of Homicides in First and Second Half of Year

	Nu	mber		Average monthly number							
Year	First half	Second half	First half	Second half	Projected second-half growth increment <sup>a</sup>	Adjusted second half <sup>b</sup>					
TOTAL	9,698	11,141	202.0	232.1	6.6	225.5					
1974	934	1,024	155.7	170.7	5.1	165.6					
1975	1,001	1,156	166.8	192.7	5.5	187.2					
1976	1,010	1,173	168.3	195.5	5.5	190.0					
1977	1,145	1,326	190.8	221.0	6.3	214.7					
1978	1,234	1,359	205.7	226.5	6.8	219.7					
1979	1,361	1,571	226.8	261.8	7.5	254.3					
1980	1,502	1,903	250.3	317.2	8.2	309.0					
1981	1,511	1,629	251.8	271.5	8.3	263.2					

DATA SECTION 55

<sup>a</sup>This second-half growth increment is the average monthly number of homicides in the second half of the year attributed to the long-term increase in homicides. This number is obtained by multiplying the average monthly number of homicides in the first half of the year by the semiannual growth rate of homicides over the ten-year period from 1972 to 1981 (3.29 percent). bThe adjusted second-half number is the average monthly number of i.omicides in the second half of the year from which the projected homicide growth increment has been subtracted.

Race/ethnic group and sex of victim	Total	Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Weekend	Weekday
TOTAL			· · · ·							[
Number	2,319	414	300	289	276	277	318	445	859	1,460
Percent	100.0	17.9	12.9	12.5	11.9	11.9	13.7	19.2	37.0	63.0
White (not Hispanic)	1									
Number	761	104	103	96	103	101	122	132	236	525
Percent	100.0	13.7	13.5	12.6	13.5	13.3	16.0	17.3	31.0	69.0
Hispanic										
Number	735	184	74	65	76	67	91	178	362	373
Percent	100.0	25.0	10.1	8.8	10.3	9.1	12.4	24.2	49.3	50.7
Black								( . ·		
Number	719	112	107	106	89	94	92	119	231	488
Percent	100.0	15.6	14.9	14.7	12.4	13.1	12.8	16.6	32.1	67.9
All other										
Number	99	14	15	21	7	14	12	16	30	69
Percent	100.0	14.1	15.2	21.2	7.1	14.1	12.1	16.2	30,3	69.7
Unknown					ļ			l .	-	
Number	5	. 0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	5
Percent	100.0	-				-	-	-	-	_
			-							
Male				]	]					
Number	1,890	348	251	217	219	213	257	385	733	1,157
Percent	100.0	18.4	13.3	11.5	11.6	11.3	13.6	20.4	38.8	61.2
Female			:				-			
Number	429	66	49	72	57	64	61	60	126	303
Percent	100.0	15.4	11.4	16.8	13.3	14.9	14.2	14.0	29.4	70.6

:

\$

### TABLE 28 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981 Known Day of Incident Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim

Notes: This table excludes 821 victims for whom the day of incident resulting in death was unknown. Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50. Source: Table 29.



TABI_E 29			
WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981			
Day of Incident Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and S	Sex of	Victi	m

•

.

• ۲

			Race/ethnic group of victim										Sex of victim			
Total		tal	White (not Hispanic)		Hispanic		Black		All other		Unknown		Male		Fer	male
Day of incident	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	3,140	100.0	1,143	100.0	951	100.0	899	100.0	134	100.0	13	100.0	2,472	100.0	668	100.0
Sunday	414	13.2	104	9.1	184	19.3	112	12.5	14	10.4	0	-	348	14.1	66	9.9
Monday	300	9.6	103	9.0	74	7.8	107	11.9	15	11.2	1	_	251	10.2	49	7.3
Tuesday	289	9.2		8.4	65	6.8	106	11.8	21	15.7	1	_	217	8.8	72	10.8
Wednesday	276	8.8	103	9.0	76	8.0	89	9.9	7	5.2	1	_	219	8.9	57	8.5
Thursday	277	8.8	101	8.8	67	7.0	94	10.5	14	10.4	1	_	213	8.6	64	9.6
Friday	318	10.1	122	10.7	91	9.6	92	10.2	12	9.0	1	- 1	257	10.4	61	9.1
Saturday	445	14.2	132	11.5	178	18.7	119	13.2	16	11.9	0		385	15.6	60	9.0
Unknown	821	26.1	382	33.4	216	22.7	180	20.0	35	26.1	8	_	582	23.5	239	35.8

DATA SECTION 57

°, `\*

1

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

Known L		CIDE CRIMES, 1981 de Distributed by Sex		
Sex of victim	Total	Victim's residence	Street, sidewalk	All other
TOTAL				
Number	2,724	931	662	1,131
Percent	100.0	34.2	24.3	41.5
Male			I I	
Number	2,158	599	606	953
Percent	100.0	27.8	28.1	44.2

### TABLE 30 WILLEUL HOMICIDE CRIMES 1981

178 31.4

٠

3

.

332 58.7 56 9.9 100.0 Percent . . . . . . . . . .

566

Notes: IThis table excludes 416 victims for whom the location of the homicide was unknown. Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Source: Table 32.

Female

Race/ethnic group of victim	Total	Victim's residence	Street, sidewalk	All
TOTAL				
Number	2,724	931	662	1,131
Percent	100.0	34.2	24.3	41.5
White (not Hispanic)				
Number	958	433	115	410
Percent	100.0	45.2	12.0	42.8
Hispanic				
Number	845	189	267	389
Percent	100.0	.2.4	31.6	46.0
Black			-	
Number	805	267	261	277
Percent	100.0	33.2	32,4	34.4
All other				
Number	109	41	17	51
Percent	100.0	37.6	15.6	46.8
Unknown				
Number	7	1 1	2	4
Percent	100.0	_		_

TABLE 31 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981

Notes: This table excludes 416 victims for whom the location of the homicide was unknown. Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

Source: Table 32.



<b></b>					<u></u>							
	-					Ra	ace/ethnic g	roup of vic	tim			
	Tot	al	White (no	t Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ck	All of	ther	Unkn	own
Location	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	3,140	100.0	1,143	100.0	951	100.0	899	100.0	134	100.0	13	100.0
Hotel, motel	53	1.7	29	2.5	10	1.1	13	1.4	1	.7	0	-
Victim's residence.	931	29.6	433	37.9	189	19.9	267	29.7	41	30.6	1	
Other residence	239	7.6	77	6.7	58	6.1	94	10.5	10	7.5	0	-
Service station	0	0.	0	.0	0	.0	0	.0	0	.0	0	-
Liquor store	36	1.1	8	.7	15	1.6	10	1.1	3	2.2	0	· ·
Parking lot	125	4.0	42	3.7	56	5.9	23	2.6	4	3.0	0	-
Other business	103	3,3	43	3.8	30	3.2	15	1.7	14	10.4	1	· -
Bar	125	4.0	27	2.4	77	8.1	18	2.0	3	2.2	0	. <u> </u>
Vehicle	178	5.7	47	4.1	73	7.7	50	5.6	8	6.0	0	
Street, sidewalk	662	21.1	115	10.1	267	28.1	261	29.0	17	12.7	2	
Field, park, school .	202	6.4	102	8.9	53	5.6	39	4.3	5	3.7	3	-
Other	70	2.2	35	3.1	17	1.8	15	1.7	3	2.2	0	-
Unknown	416	13.2	185	16.2	106	11.1	94	10.5	25	18.7	6	-

TABLE 32 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981 Location of Homicide Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim

• •

٠,

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

DATA SECTION 59

Sex of victim						
Male		Female				
Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
2,472	100.0	668	100.0			
37	1.5	16	2.4			
599	24.2	332	49.7			
202	8.2	37	5.5			
0	.0	0	0.			
35	1.4	1	.1.			
109	4.4	16	2.4			
90	3.6	13	1.9			
117	4.7	8	1.2			
156	6.3	22	3.3			
606	24.5	56	8.4			
155	6.3	47	7.0			
52	2.1	18	2.7			
314	12.7	102	15.3			

### TABLE 33 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981 Known Type of Weapon

Type of weapon	Number	Percent	
TOTAL	3,072	100.0	
Firearm. Knife <sup>a</sup>	1,830 668 231 183 53 107	59.6 21.7 7.5 6.0 1.7 3.5	

<sup>a</sup>Any instrument used to cut or stab. <sup>b</sup>Bludgeon, club, etc. <sup>c</sup>Hands, fists, feet, etc. <sup>d</sup>Any instrument used to hang or strangle. Note: This table excludes 68 v. tims for whom the type of weapon used in the homicide was unknown. Source: Table 36.

נווווג וטו	whom the type of weapon ever a management of	
	TABLE 34	
	WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981	

Known Type of Weapon Distributed by Sex of Victim

	Sex of victim	Total	Firearm	Personal weapon <sup>a</sup>	All other	
· · · ·	TOTAL Number Percent	3,072 100.0	1,830 59.6	183 6.0	1,059 34.5	
	Male Number Percent	2,437 100.0	1,536 63.0	108 4.4	793 32.5	
	Female Number Percent	635 100.0	294 46.3	75 11.8	266 41.9	


a Hands, fists, feet, etc. Notes: This table excludes 30 victims in 1981 for whom the type of weapon used in the homicide was unknown. Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Source Table 5...

TABLE 35	
WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981	
Known Type of Weapon Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group of Victir	n

	Kilowii i y						
· · ·	Race/ethnic group of victim	Total	Firearm	Knife <sup>a</sup>	Personal weaponb	All other	
1	TOTAL Number Percent	3,072 100.0	1,830 59.6	668 21.7	183 6,0	391 12.7	
	White (not Hispanic) Number Percent	1,099 100.0	563 51.2	229 20.8	106 9.6	201 18.3	
	Hispanic Number Percent	941 100.0	596 63.3	228 24.2	26 2.8	91 9.7	
	Black Number Percent	890 100.0	595 66.9	175 19.7	38 4.3	82 9.2	
	All other Number Percent	133 100.0	70 52.6	35 26.3	12 9.0	16 12.0	
	Unknown Number Percent	9 100.0	6 -	<u>1</u>	1 -	1	

<sup>a</sup>Any instrument used to cut or stab. <sup>b</sup>Hands, fists, feet, etc. Notes: This table excludes 68 victims for whom the type of weapon used in the homicide was unknown. Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50. Source: Table 36.



						Ra	ace/ethnic g	roup of vic	tim			
	Tot	al	White (no	t Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ick	All of	ther	Unkn	own
Weapon	Number	Perce.)t	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	3,140	100.0	1,143	100.0	951	100.0	899	100.0	134	100.0	13	100.0
Firearm - unknown .	184	5.9	65	5.7	59	6.2	54	6.0	5	3.7	1	- 1
Handgun	1,247	39.7	364	31.8	410	43.1	423	47.1	46	34.3	4	· _
Rifle	180	5.7	64	5.6	66	6.9	41	4.6	8	6.0	1	—
Shotgun	219	7.0	70	6.1	61	6.4	77	8.6	11	8.2	0	<b>—</b> -
Knife <sup>a</sup>	668	21.3	229	20.0	228	24.0	175	19.5	35	26.1	1	
Blunt object <sup>b</sup>	231	7.4	121	10.6	54	5.7	46	5.1	9	6.7	1	-
Personal weapon <sup>c</sup>	183	5.8	106	9.3	26	2.7	38	4.2	12	9.0	1	-
Drugs	19	.6	14	1.2	2	.2	3	.3	0	.0	0	-
Rope <sup>d</sup>	53	1.7	29	2.5	8	.8	15	- 1.7	1	.7	. 0	· —
Other	88	2.8	37	3.2	27	2.8	18	2.0	· 6	4.5	0	
Unknown	68	2.2	44	3.8	10	1.1	9	1.0	1	.7	. 4	· _

TABLE 36 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981 Type of Weapon Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim

1. T.

м., ж

<sup>a</sup>Any instrument used to cut or stab. <sup>b</sup>Bludgeon, club, etc. <sup>C</sup>Hands, fists, feet, etc. <sup>d</sup>Any implement used to hang or strangle. Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

DATA SECTION 61

Sex of victim									
M	ale	Female							
Number	Percent	Number	Percent						
2,472	100.0	668	100.0						
161	6.5	23	3.4						
1,049	1,049 42.4		29.6						
147	5.9	33	4.9						
179	7.2	40	6.0						
555	22.5	113	16.9						
161	6.5	70	10.5						
108	4.4	75	11.2						
9	.4	10	1.5						
24	1.0	29	4.3						
44	1.8	44	6.6						
35	1.4	33	4.9						

.

ę

. 4

# TABLE 37 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981 Known Contributing Circumstance Distributed by Sex of Victim

Sex of victim	Total	Sex- related	Robbery	Argument	Burglary	All other	- 6
TOTAL						-	
Number	2,395	66	464	1,143	64	658	
Percent	100.0	2.8	19.4	47.7	2.7	27.5	•
Male							
Number	1,907	19	417	958	37	476	
Percent	100.0	1.0	21.9	50.2	1.9	25.0	
Female							
Number .	488	47	47	185	27	182	
Percent	100.0	9.6	9.6	37.9	5.5	37.3	

Notes: This table excludes 745 victims for whom the circumstance contributing to the homicide was unknown. Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Source: Table 39.

٤.

TABLE 38
WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981
Known Contributing Circumstance Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group of Victim

Race/ethnic group of victim	Total	Sex-related, robbery, burglary	Argument	Gang- related	All other
TOTAL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			
Number	2,395	594	1,143	183	475
Percent	100.0	24.8	47.7	7.6	19.8
White (not Hispanic)					
Number	838	296	315	8	219
Percent	100.0	35.3	37.6	1.0	26.1
Hispanic				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Number	759	148	412	112	87
Percent	100.0	19.5	54,3	14.8	11.5
Black					
Number	688	110	374	57	147
Percent	100.0	16.0	54.4	8.3	21.4
All other	1				
Number	108	40	41	6	21
Percent	100.0	37.0	38.0	5.6	19.4
Unknown					
Number	2	0	1	0	1
Percent	100.0				_

Notes: This table excludes 745 victims for whom the circumstance contributing to the homicide was unknown. Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50. Source: Table 39.



				Race/ethnic group of victim							Sex of victim					
Contributing	То	Total		White (not Hispanic)		Hispanic		Black		All other		iown	Male		Female	
circumstance	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percen
TOTAL	3,140	100.0	1,143	100.0	951	100.0	899	100.0	134	100.0	13	100.0	2,472	100.0	668	100,0
Argument	1,143	36.4	315	27.6	412	43.3	374	41.6	41	30.6	1		958	38.8	185	27.7
Sex-related	66	2.1	41	3.6	8	.8	11	1.2	6	4.5	0	<u> </u>	19	.8	47	1. The
Robbery	464	14.8	210	18.4	132	13.9	90	10.0	32	23.9	0		417	16.9	47	7.0
Burglary	64	2.0	45	3.9	8	.8	9	1.0	2	1.5	0		37	10.5	27	7.0
Drugs	101	3.2	34	3.0	19	2.0	44	4.9	4	3.0	0	_	86	3.5	15	
Gangland	18	.6	4	.3	3	.3	9	1.0	2	1.5	ů 0	_	17	.7	15	2.2
Gang-related	183	5.8	8	.7	112	11.8	57	6.3	6	4.5	Ō	_	178	., 7.2		.1
Other	356	11.3	181	15.8	65	6.8	94	10.5	15	11.2	1		178		5	.7
Unknown	745	23.7	305	26.7	192	20.2	211	23.5	26	19.4	11		565	7.9 22.9	161 180	24.1 26.9

TABLE 39 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981 Contributing Circumstance Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group and Sex of Victim

.

CANARY BALL

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

, **, ,** 

DATA SECTION 63

Territoria de la constante de

# TABLE 40 WILLFUL HOMICIDE CRIMES, 1981 Number of Victims and Offenders for Each Homicide Incident

		:	Victims				
1	Offenders for each homicide incident	Total	Single victim	Multiple victims			
•	TOTAL	3,140	2,913	227			
	Single offender Multiple offenders Number unknown	1,762 425 953	1,635 388 890	127 37 63			

# TABLE 41 ARRESTS FOR MURDER, 1981 Sex of Arrestee Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

			Race/ethnic group of arrestee								
	Total		White (not Hispanic)		Hispanic		Black		All other		
Sex of arrestee	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
TOTAL	4,108	100.0	1,022	100.0	1,392	100.0	1,567	100.0	127	100.0	
Male	3,664	89.2	877	85.8	1,305	93.8	1,372	87.6	110	86.6	
Female	444	10.8	145	14.2	87	6.2	195	12.4	17	13.4	
Juvenile	550	100.0	76	100.0	218	100.0	246	100.0	10	100.0	
Male	510	92,7	65	85.5	205	94.0	233	94.7	7	- 1	
Female	40	7.3	11	14.5	13	6.0	13	5.3	3	-	
Adult	3,558	100.0	946	100.0	1,174	100.0	1,321	100.0	117	100.0	
Male	3,154	88.6	812	85.8	1,100	93.7	1,139	86.2	103	88.0	
Female	404	11.4	134	14.2	74	6.3	182	13.8	14	12.0	

Note: Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50. Source: Table 45.

# TABLE 42 ARRESTS FOR MURDER, 1981 Juvenile and Adult

	Total	Juvenile	Adult
Number	4,108	550	3,558
	100.0	13,4	86.6

Source: Table 45.

		Rac

					R	ace/ethnic gr	oup of arrest	ee		
	Tot	tal	White (no	t Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ack	All o	ther
Sex of arrestee	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	4,108	100.0	1,022	24,9	1,392	33.9	1,567	38.1	127	3.1
Male	3,664	100.0	877	23.9	1,305	35.6	1,372	37.4	110	3.0
Female	444	100.0	145	32.7	87	19.6	195	43.9	17	3.8
Juvenile	550	100.0	76	13.8	218	39.6	246	44.7	10	1.8
Male	510	100.0	65	12.7	205	40.2	233	45.7	7	1.4
Female	40	100.0	11	· — `	13	_	13	-	3	_
Adult	3,558	100.0	946	26.6	1,174	33.0	1,321	37.1	117	3.3
Male	3,154	100.0	812	25.7	1,100	34.9	1,139	36.1	103	3.3
Female	404	100.0	134	33.2	74	18.3	182	45.0	14	3.5

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50. Source: Table 45.

				·	R	ace/ethnic gr	oup of arreste	9e		
	To	tal	White (no	t Hispanic)	Hisp	anic	Bla	ick	All o	ther
Age of arrestee	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	4,108	100.0	1,022	100.0	1,392	100.0	1,567	100.0	127	100.0
0—24	2,171 1,479 458	52.8 36.0 11.1	408 456 158	39.9 44.6 15.5	908 396 88	65.2 28.4 6.3	798 572 197	50.9 36.5 12.6	57 55 15	44.9 43.3

Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Source: Table 45.

1

\$

# TABLE 43 ARRESTS FOR MURDER, 1981 ace/Ethnic Group of Arrestee Distributed by Sex

# TABLE 44 ARRESTS FOR MURDER, 1981 Age of Arrestee Distributed by Race/Ethnic Group

### TABLE 45 ARRESTS FOR MURDER, 1981 Race/Ethnic Group of Arrestee Distributed by Sex and Age

4 1			Race/ethnic gr	oup of arrestee	- 
Sex and age of arrestee	Total	White (not Hispanic)	Hispanic	Black	All other
TOTAL	4,108	1,022	1,392	1,567	127
Sex Male	3,664 444	877 145	1,305 87	1,372 195	110 17
Juvenile <sup>a</sup> Male Female	550 510 40	76 65 11	218 205 13	246 233 13	10 7 3
Adult Male Female	3,558 3,154 404	946 812 134	1,174 1,100 74	1,321 1,139 182	117 103 14
Age 0-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60-64 65-69 70 and over	50 1,068 1,053 759 483 237 155 107 82 57 21 19 17	15 168 225 214 162 80 43 43 43 33 18 5 9 7	15 471 422 228 111 57 32 24 16 12 3 1 0	20 407 371 292 185 95 75 38 29 25 13 9 8	0 22 35 25 25 5 5 2 4 2 4 2 0 0 2

<sup>a</sup>A person under 18 years of age.

# TABLE 46 DISPOSITIONS IN 1981 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR MURDER Type of Disposition Distributed by Sex and Age of Arrestee

					Type of d	isposition		
						Complair	nt granted	
<b>- - - - - - - - - -</b>	То	tal	Relea	aseda	Dismissed o	or acquitted	Conv	icted
Sex, race/ethnic group, and age of arrestee	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	2,738	100.0	651	23.8	505	18.4	1,582	57.8
Sex								
Male	2,436	100.0	547	22,5	438	18.0	1,451	59.6
Female	302	100.0	104	34.4	67	22.2	131	43.4
Age					·			
Under 40	2,395	100.0	585	24.4	441	18.4	1,369	57.2
40 and over	329	100.0	65	19.8	60	18.2	204	62.0
Unknown	14	100.0	1	-	4	· <u>-</u>	9	-

<sup>a</sup>Total released by law enforcement and prosecutor (complaint denied). Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding. Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50. Source: Tables 48 and 49.

• •

0

· •

				Type of d	isposition	
Sex, race/ethnic group, and	То	tal	Dismissed o	or acquitted	Convicted	
age of arrestee	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	2,087	100.0	505	24.2	1,582	75.8
Sex Male	1,889 198	100.0 100.0	438 67	23.2 33.8	1,451 131	76.8 66.2
Under 40	1,810 264 13	100.0 100.0 100.0	441 60 4	24.4 22.7 	1,369 204 9	75.6 77.3

Note: Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50. Source: Tables 48 and 49.

			Sex of arrestee					
	Т	otal	М	ale	Female			
Type of disposition	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
TOTAL	2,738	100.0	2,436	100.0	302	100.0		
Not convicted	1,156 351 300 291 1 141 72	42.2 12.8 11.0 10.6 .0 5.1 2.6	985 293 254 247 1 128 62	40.4 12.0 10.4 10.1 .0 5.3 2.5	171 58 46 44 0 13 10	56.6 19.2 15.2 14.6 .0 4.3 3.3		
Convicted	1,582 91 1,491	57.8 3.3 54.5	1,451 77 1,374	59.6 3.2 56.4	131 14 117	43.4 4.6 38.7		

Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

66 HOMICIDE IN CALIFORNIA, 1981

# TABLE 47 DISPOSITIONS IN 1981 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR MURDER AND COMPLAINTS GRANTED Type of Disposition Distributed by Sex and Age of Arrestee

TABLE 48 DISPOSITIONS IN 1981 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR MURDER Type of Disposition Distributed by Sex of Arrestee

**68** HOMICIDE IN CALIFORNIA, 1981

-----

# TABLE 49 DISPOSITIONS IN 1981 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR MURDER Type of Disposition Distributed by Age of Arrestee

									Age of	arrestee						
	Тс	otal	Und	er 20	20-	24	25	29	30-	-34	35-	-39	40 an	d over	Unk	nown
Type of disposition	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent								
ΓΟΤΑL	2,738	100.0	336	100.0	873	100.0	584	100.0	387	100.0	215	100.0	329	100.0	14	100.0
Not convicted	1,156	42.2	140	41.7	365	41.8	277	47.4	159	41.1	85	39.5	125	38.0	5	_
Released by law enforcement	351	12.8	53	15,8	125	14.3	82	14.0	42	10.9	23	10.7	26	7.9	0	- 1
Released by prosecutor (complaint denied).	300	11.0	30	8.9	94	10.8	73	12.5	44	11.4	19	8.8	39	11.9	1	- 1
Dismissed, lower court	291	10.6	36	10.7	82	9.4	71	12.2	37	9.6	26	12.1	39	11.9	0	- 1
Acquitted, lower court	1	.0	. 1.	.3	0	.0	0	.0	0	0.	0	.0	0	.0	0	· -
Dismissed, superior court	141	5.1	14	4.2	45	5.2	38	6.5	21	5.4	11	5.1	10	3.0	2	
Acquitted, superior court	72	2.6	6	1.8	19	2.2	13	2.2	15	3.9	6	2.8	11	3.3	2	-
Convicted	1,582	57.8	196	58.3	508	58.2	307	52.6	228	58.9	130	60.5	204	62.0	9	-
Lower court	91	3.3	7	2.1	24	2.7	17	2.9	18	4.7	9	4.2	16	4.9	0	-
Superior court	1,491	54.5	189	56.2	484	55.4	290	49.7	210	54.3	121	56.3	188	57.1	9	-

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 or to subtotals because of rounding. Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

# TABLE 50 DISPOSITIONS IN 1981 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR MURDER AND CONVICTED Convicted Offense Distributed by Sex and Age of Arrestee

			Convicted offense					
Saw manufathering and	Te	otal	Mur	rder	All other			
Sex, race/ethnic group, and age of arrestee	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
TOTAL	1,582	100.0	535	33.8	1,047	66.2		
Sex								
Male	1,451	100.0	521	35.9	930	64.1		
Female	131	100.0	14	10.7	117	89.3		
A								
Age Under 20	196	100.0	88	44.9	108	55.1		
20-39	1,173	100.0	399	34.0	774	66.0		
40 and over	204	100.0	44	21.6	160	78.4		
	9	100.0	4		5	0		

Note: Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50. Source: Tables 52 and 53.

0

ΰ

Đ

Convicted offense	Number	Percent
TOTAL	1,582	100.0
Murder	535	33.8
Manslaughter	525	33.2
Other	522	33.0
Felony assault	289	18.3
Robbery	55	3.5
Other	178	11.3

Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 or to subtotal because of rounding.

# TABLE 51 DISPOSITIONS IN 1981 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR MURDER AND CONVICTED Convicted Offense

	1		Sex of arrestee					
	То	tal	Ma	ale	Female			
Convicted offense	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
TOTAL,	1,582	100.0	1,451	100.0	131	100.0		
Murder	535 525	33.8 33.2	521 457	35.9 31.5	14	10.7		
Other	522	33.0	473	32.6	68 49	51.9 37.4		
Felony assault Robbery	289 55	18.3 3.5	267 52	18.4 3.6	22	16.8 2.3		
Other	178	11.3	154	10.6	24	18.3		

÷

¢ '

# TABLE 52 DISPOSITIONS IN 1981 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR MURDER AND CONVICTED Convicted Offense Distributed by Sex of Arrestee

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 or to subtotals because of rounding. Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.



TABLE 53
DISPOSITIONS IN 1981 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR MURDER AND CONVICTED
Convicted Offense Distributed by Age of Arrestee

••

. o ° v

									Age of	arrestee						
	То	tal	Unde	er 20	20-	-24	25-	-29	30-	-34	35-	-39	40 an	d over	Unk	nown
Convicted offense	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent								
TOTAL	1,582	100.0	196	100.0	508	100.0	307	100.0	228	100.0	130	100.0	204	100.0	9	100.0
Murder	535	33.8	88	44.9	185	36.4	92	30.0	82	36.0	40	30.8	44	21.6	4	, . _
Manslaughter	525	33.2	40	20.4	136	26.8	110	35.8	85	37.3	55	42.3	97	47.5	2	-
Other	522	33.0	68	34.7	187	36.8	105	34.2	61	26.8	35	26.9	63	30.9	3	
Felony assault .	289	18.3	42	21.4	83	16.3	54	17.6	36	15.8	28	21.5	43	21.1	3	_
Robbery	55	3.5	6	3.1	34	6.7	9	2.9	4	1.8	0	.0	2	1.0	0	- 1
Other	178	11.3	20	10.2	70	13.8	42	13.7	21	9.2	7	5.4	18	8.8	0	·

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 or to subtotals because of rounding. Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

TAB	LE	54

DISPOSITIONS IN 1981 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR MURDER AND CONVICTED Type of Sentence Received in Lower and Superior Court Distributed by Convicted Offense

				Convicted o	offense	н - Сарана страна - Сарана страна страна - Сарана страна страна страна страна страна страна страна страна страна - Сарана страна стр
	То	tal	Murder		All other	
Type of sentence	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
TOTAL	1,582	100.0	535	100.0	1,047	100.0
Prison or Youth Authority <sup>a</sup>	1,175 407	74.3 25.7	532 3	99.4 .6	643 404	61.4 38.6

<sup>a</sup>Prison includes 36 death penalty sentences. Sou.ce: Table 55.

# TABLE 56 PEACE OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY, 1981 Contributing Circumstance

# Contributing circumstance TOTAL..... Criminal acts Drugs

\* 0

· 5

٥

۰.

Ø

.\*

٠.

ð

### TABLE 58 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS, 1981 Sex, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age of Deceased

Sex, race/ethnic group, and age	Number	Percent
TOTAL	68	100.0
Sex Male Female	68 0	100.0 .0
Race/ethnic group White (not Hispanic) Hispanic Black All other Unknown	31 16 19 2 0	45.6 23.5 27.9 2.9 .0
Age Under 15 15-19. 20-24. 25-29. 30-34. 35-39. 40-44. 45-49. 50-54. 55-59. 60-64. 65-69. 70 and over. Unknown.	1 5 12 14 12 13 3 2 0 1 3 1 0 1	1.5 7.4 17.6 20.6 19.1 4.4 2.9 .0 1.5 4.4 1.5 .0 1.5
Race/ethnic group	68 31 16 19 2	100.0 45.6 23.5 27.9 2.9
Age Under 20 20–29 30–39 40 and over	67 6 26 25 10	100.0 9.0 38.8 37.3 14.9

excludes unknown data. Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

#### TABLE 55

DISPOSITIONS IN 1981 OF ADULTS ARRESTED FOR MURDER AND CONVICTED Type of Sentence Received in Lower and Superior Court Distributed by Convicted Offense

				Convicted offense									
	Total		Murder		Manslaughter		Robbery		Felony assault		Other		
Type of sentence	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
TOTAL	1,582	100.0	535	100.0	525	100.0	55	100.0	289	100.0	178	100.0	
Prison <sup>a</sup>	1,087	68.7	489	91.4	373	71.0	45	£1.8	124	42.9	56	31.5	
Youth Authority	88	5.6	43	8.0	25	4.8	1	1.8	13	4.5	6	3.4	
Probation	73	4.6	0	0.	22	4.2	2	3.6	27	9.3	22	12.4	
Probation with jail	300	19.0	2	.4	104	19.8	7	12.7	111	38.4	76	42.7	
Jail	33	2.1	1	.2	1	.2	0	.0	13	4.5	18	10.1	
Fine	1	.1	0	0.	0	0.	0	.0	. 1	.3	0	0.	
California Rehabilitation Center	0	.0	0	.0	0	0.	0	0.	0	.0	0	0.	
State hospital (mentally		1	l			1	1		}	1 .	1		
disordered sex offender)	0	.0	0	.0	. 0	0.	0	0	0	0.	0	0.	
Other	0	.0	0	.0	0	.0	0	.0	0	.0	0	.0	

<sup>a</sup>includes 36 death penalty sentences.

Notes: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

Percent distributions are not calculated when the base number is less than 50.

# TABLE 57 PEACE OFFICERS KILLED IN THE LINE OF DUTY, 1981 Wound Area Distributed by Type of Weapon

Type of weapon	Total	Head	Upper torso	Multiple	Other, unknown
TOTAL	8	2	2	2	2
Handgun Rifle	6 2	2 0	2 0	2 0	0 2

8

0

0

0 7

# TABLE 59 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS, 1981 Location of Homicide

Location of homicide	Number	Percent
TOTAL	68	100.0
Victim's residence.	14	20.6
Other residence	4	5.9
Hotel, motel	1	1.5
Liquor business <sup>a</sup>	1	1.5
Other business	6	8.8
Parking lot	5	7.4
Street, sidewalk	19	27.9
Park, school, field	3	4.4
In vehicle	1	1,5
Other	3	4.4
Unknown	11	16.2
Victim's residence.	14	24.6
Other residence	4	7.0
Street, sidewalk	19	33.3
Liquor or other business	7	12.3
All other	13	22.8

<sup>a</sup>Liquor stores, bars, and cocktail lounges. Note: 🏹 The distribution in the lower portion of this table excludes 11 victims for whom the location of the homicide was unknown.

# TABLE 60

JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS, 1981 Type of Weapon

Type of weapon	Number	Percent
TOTAL	68	100.0
Handgun	54 4 7 2 1	79.4 5.9 10.3 2.9 1.5

### TABLE 62 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1981 Sex, Race/Ethnic Group, and Age of Deceased

----Sex, race/ethnic group, Number Percent and age 126 100.0 Sex 123 97.6 2.4 Female . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 3 Race/ethnic group 21.4 28.6 47.6 2.4 White (not Hispanic). . . . . 27 36 60 Hispanic.... 3 0 .0 Age 0 .0 .0 17.5 27.8 22 35 25 19 20-24. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 25–29. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 19.8 30-34.... 15.1 4.8 4.8 4.0 2.4 .0 6 40–44.... 6 45–49.... 5 50–54.... 3 55–59. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Ő 60-64.... .8 65-69.... .8 .8 1.6 Unknown...... 2 100.0 21.4 28.6 47.6 Race/ethnic group ...... White (not Hispanic)..... 126 27 36 Hispanic.... 60 3 2.4 124 22 60 25 100.0 17.7 48.4 20.2 20–29.... 17 13.7

Notes: The age group distribution in the lower portion of this table excludes unknown data. Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

# TABLE 61 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PEACE OFFICERS, 1981 Contributing Circumstance

Contributing circumstance	Number	Percent
TOTAL	68	100.0
Felon attacked peace officer Felon attacked citizen Felon attempted flight from crime Felon killed in commission of crime Felon resisted arrest	37 2 7 16 4 2	54.4 2.9 10.3 23.5 5.9 2.9
Felon attacked peace officer Felon killed in commission of crime Felon resisted arrest	37 16 4 9	56.1 24.2 6.1 13.6

đ '

з `

. ,

....

0

...

D.

۰,

\*\*

Notes: The distribution in the lower portion of this table excludes 2 victims for whom the contributing circumstance of the homicide was unknown. Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.

### → TABLE 63 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1981 Location of Homicide

Location of homicide	Number	Percent
TOTAL	126	100.0
Victim's residence	14	11.1
Citizen's residence	36	28.6
Other residence	5	4.0
Hotel, motel	5	4.0
Liquor business <sup>a</sup>	8	6.3
Other business	19	15.1
Parking lot	6	4.8
Street, sidewalk	17	13.5
Park, school, field	2	1.6
In vehicle	0	.0
Other	4	3.2
Unknown	10	7.9
Citizen's residence	36	31.0
Street, sidewalk	17	14.7
Liquor or other business	27	23.3
All other	36	31.0

<sup>a</sup>Liquor stores, bars, and cocktail lounges.

Note: The distribution in the lower portion of this table excludes 10 victims for whom the location of the homicide was unknown.

### TABLE 64 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1981 Type of Weapon

Type of weapon	Number	Percent
TOTAL	126	100.0
Handgun	78	61.9
Rifle	9	7.1
Shotgun	9	7.1
Firearm - unknown	8	6.3
Knife	17	13.5
Other	5	4.0


Note: Percents may not add to 100.0 because of rounding.



# TABLE 65 JUSTIFIABLE HOMICIDES BY PRIVATE CITIZENS, 1981 Contributing Circumstance

Contributing circumstance	Number	Percent
TOTAL	126	100.0
Felon attacked citizen Felon attempted flight from crime Felon killed in commission of crime Unknown	15 0 110 1	11.9 .0 87.3 .8
Felon attacked citizer	15 110 0	12.0 88.0 .0

Note: The distribution in the lower portion of this table excludes 1 victim for whom the contributing circumstance of the homicide was unknown.



END