
National Criminal Justice Reference Service

ncjrs

This microfiche was produced from documents received for inclusion in the NCJRS data base. Since NCJRS cannot exercise control over the physical condition of the documents submitted, the individual frame quality will vary. The resolution chart on this frame may be used to evaluate the document quality.




MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

Microfilming procedures used to create this fiche comply with the standards set forth in 41CFR 101-11.504.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the author(s) and do not represent the official position or policies of the U. S. Department of Justice.

National Institute of Justice United States Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20531

10/10/83



U.S. Department of Justice 86899 National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted material has been granted by

Supreme Court of Oklahoma

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permis-sion of the copyright owner.

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE JUDICIARY MARVIN C. EMERSON ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

i

REPORT ON THE JUDICIARY

1980

(20 O.S. 1971 § 16.11)

N.S.C.D.D. Marine Robert

Accuisitions



STATE OF OKLAHOMA

"Only by the adoption of sound administration practices will the courts be able to meet the increased and increasing burdens placed on them. The time has passed when the court system will carry its load 'if each judge does his job'. There must also be organization and system so as to leave the judge to his job of judging."

iii

Chief Justice Warren E. Burger

Caution should be exercised in the interpretation of judicial statistics. The actual amount of judicial activity or output is extremely hard to quantify. Judges spend much of their time counseling, researching, traveling, and performing administrative tasks. These cannot be readily shown quantitatively. Moreover, no two cases are exactly alike although statistically they are considered equal. One ccase may be quite simple and disposed of rapidly, whereas another, an extremely complicated one, may require much more judicial time, research, and consideration.

form only.

The data is compiled by either county, district or statewide levels. The Appendix contains sections for District Court Caseload data. Miscellaneous data, and Financial data.

The 1980 Report on the Judiciary, Statistical Appendix was compiled and published by the Office of the Administrative Director of Courts under the direction of Marvin C., Emerson, Administrative Director of the Courts. The report is funded by a grant from the Criminal Justice Services Division, Department of Economic and Community Affairs of funds from the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration.

The information compiled for the data in the 1980 Report on the Judiciary, Statistical Appendix is reported by the calendar year with the exception of the financial data which is compiled on fiscal year basis beginning July 1, and ending June 30, of the reporting year. The financial data for this edition is based on fiscal year 1980 activity.

Preceding page blank

Preceding page blank

INTRODUCTION

Due to the uniqueness of judicial statistics and to the constraints considered, the data presented in the 1980 Report on the Judiciary, Statistical Appendix is in aggregate

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

Oklahoma Court Structure:

1.

An overview of the managerial and adjudicative functions of the Courts. This overview is illustrated with narrative and charts.

11. **Okiahoma Appellate Courts:**

A review of the 1980 caseload activity for the Supreme Court, Court of Appeals and Court of Criminal Appeals.

Oklahoma Court of Special Jurisdiction: 111.

A synopsis of the membership and activity of the Courts of the Oklahoma Judiciary with special jurisdictions. These courts include the Court on the Judiciary, the Workers' Compensation Court, and the Court of Tax Review.

Oklahoma Commissions of Special Cognizance: 1V,

This section provides a list of members and information relative to the 1980 activities of the Commissions of Special Cognizance - the Council on Judicial Complaints and the Judicial Nominating Commission.

Oklahoma District Court: ۷.

An overview analysis of the District Courts activity during 1980. Statistics are presented at the state level in several litigation classifications. Statics are also presented on a per Judge basis in relation to caseload.

Financial Management: V1.

An analysis of the fiscal operations of the Oklahoma Court System. All information in the section is compiled from fiscal year data for the fiscal year July 1, through June 30 of the reporting year.

TOM J. McDANIEL Director Room One, State Capitol AC 405-521-2318

> NCJRS - LEAA Acquisition Department No. 2 Box 6000 Rockville, MD 20850

Dear Sir:

Enclosed please find the Annual Report on the Judiciary, State of Oklahoma, for 1980. Please note that this is the last Report with data from a calendar year. Our next report will encompass the period July 1, 1981 through June 30, 1982.

DH:fw

Enclosure



SUPREME COURT OF OKLAHOMA ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE JUDICIARY OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 73105

November 8, 1982

CHARLES E. FERRELL JR. **Deputy Director** Suite 225 5005 N. Lincoln AC 405 521-4505

Sincerely

Dale Hampton Statistician

	INTRO	
	1.	OKLAHOMA COURT
		Oklahoma Judic Jurisdiction of th Administrative C Oklahoma Bar A
	11.	OKLAHOMA APPELL
		The Supreme Co The Court of Cr
	111.	OKLAHOMA COURT
		Workers' Compe The Court on th The Court of Ta
	IV.	COMMISSIONS OF SP
		The Council on The Judicial Nor
	V.	OKLAHOMA DISTRI
ŕ		Explanatory Nai Narrative — The Maps: Administr
		Judicial D Principal
		Judges of the Di
		Personnel Chang Statewide Statis Statewide Summ
		Caseload Statisti
		Summary of Jud Judicial Retirem Judicial Training
		Court Clerks of

Preceding page blank

TABLE OF CONTENTS

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
STRUCTURE	
ial System	
LATE COURTS	
ourt and Court of Appeals	
S OF SPECIAL JURISDICTION	
ensation Court	
PECIAL COGNIZANCE	
Judicial Complaints	
CT COURTS	
rrative — The District Courts	
rative Judicial Districts	

ix

(Table of Contents - Continued)

VI.

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Judicial Funding Sources	09
Funding Sources of Judiciary – Chart	11
Oklahoma Judiciary Support Sources-Chart	12
State Judicial Fund Transfers1	13
Expenses for Indigent Persons-Chart1	
Expenditures for Indigent Persons-County Breakdown	18
Expenditures Locally for Operation of District Courts	19
County Law Libraries	21
Operation of Law Libraries	23

X

COURT STRUCTURE

In 1967 the Oklahoma Electorate adopted a Constitutional Amendment (Article V11) revamping the entire judicial system. On January 13,1969, Oklahoma's Judicial Branch was transformed into a streamlined statewide system both in terms of adjudicative and managerial organization hierarchy.

Judicial power now stands vested in: The Senate sitting as a Court of Impeachment: the Supreme Court: Court of Criminal Appeals: Court of Appeals: Court on the Judiciary; Workers' Compensation Court; Court of Tax Review; District Courts, and Municipal Courts. At the Appellate Level, the Supreme Court handles civil and the Court of Criminal Appeals, criminal appeals. The Legislature established the Court of Appeals in 1971 to handle civil appeals assigned to it by the Cupreme Court.

On the trial level, the State is presently divided into Twenty-six Judicial Districts, each consisting of an entire county or several contiguous counties. The District Court, our single-level trial bench of unlimited jurisdiction is staffed by Judges of three rank known as 1) District, 2) Associate, and 3) Special. Municipal Courts (city-operated) survived, but are limited to city ordinance (including traffic) prosecutions and are subject to further alteration or abolition by the Legislature.

In order to institutionalize the Judiciary as a service of government, new Article V11 endowed the Supreme Court with managerial authority over all other courts. The Chief Justice exercises this authority with the assistance of an administrative director and staff.

The next ranking managerial authority in the hierarchy is the Presiding Judge. in each of the nine Judicial Administrative Districts, the District and Associate District Judges elect one of their District Judges as Presiding Judge. This election procedure is defined in the Rules on Administration of the Courts, adpoted by the Supreme Court on January 9, 1969. Furthermore, these rules authorize the Presiding Judge to hold managerial meetings and to individually assign Judges and support staff throughout his own Judicial Administrative District.

Subordinate to the Presiding Judge stands the Chief Judge, who marshals activities within his county. The Chief Judge must be a resident District Judge. This assures the only resident District Judge of being Chief Judge, the Presiding Judge designates who shall serve as Chief Judge or prescribes a rotation system. (Rules on Administration of the Courts).

This tri-level management system permits local divergence at any of the three levels so long as no rule at a subordinate level counters a practice or order prescribed by the superior level. The third and fourth charts of this section illustrate this managerial framework.

Preceding page blank

OKLAHOMA JUDICIAL SYSTEM

Adjudicative Organization

Managerial Organization

MARVIN C. EMERSON Director ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE JUDICIARY

The creation of the Administrative Office of the Judiciary was authorized in 1967, by the Adoption of Article 7, § 6 of the Oklahoma Constitution. This Section of the Constitution provided for the Supreme Court to appoint an Administrative Director and staff to serve at its pleasure to assist the Chief Justice in his administrative duties and to assist the Court on Judiciary. In 1968, the Legislature provided for an Administrative Director of the Courts to have the responsibilities set forth in the Constitution.

The Administrative Director of the Courts is appointed by the Supreme Court to assist the Chief Justice in filling his constitutionally mandated responsibilities as the Administrative head of the Judiciary. The duties of the Administrator include: Overall budget and fiscal coordination of the judicial personnel in the State Court System, including Judges of the District Courts. Court Reporters and Court Clerks; assignments of active and retired Judges between judicial administrative districts subject to the approval of the Chief Justice; liaison officer for the Supreme Court with the legislative and executive departments, collect and publish comprehensive statewide judicial statistics; supervise the budgeting and expenditure of monies from the 77 court funds; receive and verify guarterly financial reports from Court Clerks on the receipt and expenditure of court fund monies; receive and deposit in the State Judicial Retirement System monies received from the 77 court funds; and manage LEAA grants of Federal Funds to the Judicial System. Other duties mandated by law include: Serve as Ex Officio Secretary to the Council on Judicial Complaints and act as Administrative Staff for the Council; assist the Judicial Nominating Commission; assist the Court on the Judiciary; assist the State Board of Examiners of Official Shorthand Reporters; and assign retired Judges as hearing officers for the State Employees Group Health and Life Insurance Board.

The duties of the Administrative Director are performed by the Director and a staff of (5) persons.

ROSS N. LILLARD, JR. Clerk **CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT**

The office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court was created by Article 7 § 5 of the Oklahoma Constitution. The Clerk is appointed by and serves at the pleasure of the Supreme Court. The law requires the Clerk to keep the records, files and papers committed to his care, and record the judgments, decrees and orders of the Supreme Court, the Court of Criminal Appeals and the Court of Appeals.

The duties of the office are performed by the Clerk of the Supreme Court and a staff of eight (8) persons.

The Supreme Court **District Court**

One

Two

Three

Four

Five

Six

Seven

Eight

Nine

SUMMARY

The Judicial power in Oklahoma is vested in the following Courts:

The Senate sitting as a Court of Impeachment

The Court of Criminal Appeals

The Court of Criminal Appeals


The Court on the Judiciary


The Workers' Compensation Court


The Court of Tax Review

ordinances.

Municipal Courts with jurisdiction limited to criminal and traffic proceedings: (a) arising out of municipal ordinance violations or (b) infractions of regulations that are authorized by municipal







	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980
ACTIVE:					
Oklahoma County Tulsa County Rest of State Out of State	1,970 1,735 1,659 1,018	2,117 1,562 2,073 1,486	2,251 1,634 2,144 1,477	2,362 1,745 2,254 1,561	2,479 1,868 2,370 1,603
Total Active:	6,382	7,238	7,506	7,919	8,320
SENIOR:			• •		
Oklahoma County Tulsa County Rest of State Out of State	166 125 225 81	180 130 231 96	191 131 249 113	198 131 252 123	198 122 251 131
Total Senior	597	637	684	704	702
MILITARY:					
Oklahoma County Tulsa County Rest of State Out of State	4 4 7 81	2 2 4 60	2 1 3 37	1 1 4 33	1 1 3 31
Total Military:	96	68	43	39	36
ASSOCIATE:					,
Oklahoma County Tulsa County Rest of State Out of State	9 4 11 12	9 5 11 19	11 5 11 18	9 6 12 17	8 8 13 17
Total Associate:	36	44	45	44	46

MEMBERSHIP IN THE OKLAHOMA BAR ASSOCIATION

INACTIVE:

As Amended October, 1977, by Order of the Oklahoma Supreme Court: "Article 11, Section 2, MEMBERS CLASSIFIED, Members of the Association shall be divided into four classes, namely, (a) active members, (b) senior members, (c) associate members, and (d) military members."

TOTAL:	7,774	7,987	8,278	8,706	9,115
LEGAL INTERNS:	359	312	303	283	260
GRAND TOTAL:	8,033	8,299	8,581	8,989	9,375

9

Preceding page blank

Ť. 2

5 . **7** .

THE APPELLATE COURTS

EXPLANATORY NARRATIVE

This section provides statistical information on the appellate courts. There are two divisions: Supreme Court and Court of Appeals and Court of Criminal Appeals. The Supreme Court has a subordinate Court, the Court of Appeals, that hears cases as assigned by the Supreme Court. However, the Decisions made by the Court of Appeals cannot be held to formulate law, but are only relative to the Petition currently at issue.

Supreme Court and Court of Appeals

A narrative on the Supreme Court and Court of Appeals precedes maps denoting electoral district boundaries for the Justices and Judges. The first statistical chart illustrates 1972 – 1980 caseload activity of the two courts. It includes the number of cases assigned to and terminated in the Court of Appeals, and the cases pending in the Supreme Court as of December 31st of each year. The following bar graph shows the number of Supreme Court cases filed and terminated over the past seven years. During 1980, the Supreme Court had 1,636 filed which was the most cases ever filed in any year since statehood.

Court of Criminal Appeals

This sub-division consists of a narrative on the Court of Criminal Appeals, a map of the electoral districts for its Judges by counties, and population as well as several statistical charts. The first statistical chart analyzes appeals terminated by the Court and the method of their disposition. In 1980, 66.2% of the dispositions were by Order and 34.7% by Opinion. Of total cases filed in 1980, regular appeals accounted for 39.6% post conviction appeals, 66.7% and original proceedings 43.7%. The remaining charts in the section illustrate: dispositions by type of offense, annual increase or decrease in backlog, and county of origin for cases filed and terminated in 1980.

13

Preceding page blank

Preceding page blank

2

SUPREME COURT AND COURT OF APPEALS

SUPREME COURT*

The Supreme Court consists of nine Justices each of whom serves a six-year term. Retention is effected by a non-partisan, non-competitive statewide election. Vacancies are filled by gubernatorial appointment from a list of nominees selected by the Judicial Nominating Commission. The nominees are selected from applications received from the vacant Supreme Court Judicial District.

The Supreme Court's appellate jurisdiction includes all civil cases. The Court may issue writs of habeas corpus, mandamus, quo warranto, certiorari, prohibition and other remedial writs. It is also vested with general superintendent and administrative control over all other Courts in the state.

COURT OF APPEALS**

The Court of Appeals consists of six Judges, three in the Oklahoma City division, and three in the Tulsa division. One Judge is selected from each of the Six Congressional Districts by non-partisan ballots for a six-year term.

The Court of Appeals has power to dispose of all cases assigned to it by the Supreme Court. Decisions, when final, are neither appealable to the Supreme Court nor subject to re-examination by the other division of the Court of Appeals, but may be reviewed by the Supreme Court on certiorari, prohibition or any other necessary process.

Supreme Court rules prescribe the Court's procedure and practices, although the Court of Appeals may promulgate its own rules, not insonsistent with those of the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court clerk functions similarly to the Court of Appeals,

17


*Art. 7, Sec. 1, Okla. Const. ** 20 O.S. 1971 § 30.1 et seq.

Preceding page blank

.

ROBERT E. LAVENDER, Chief Justice PAT IRWIN, Vice Chief Justice DON B. BARNES, Justice RALPH B. HODGES, Justice JOHN B.. DOOLIN, Justice **ROBERT D. SIMMS, Justice** BEN T. WILLIAMS, Justice RUDOLPH HARGRAVE, Justice MARION P. OPALA, Justice

JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT



Preceding page blank

JUDGES OF THE COURT OF APPEALS 1980

DIVISION I - OKLAHOMA CITY



Dwain Box



Richard E. Romang (Deceased 10–15–80)

DIVISION II - TULSA

Lester Reynolds Presiding Judge



Robert H. Neptune (Retired 9–1–80) Hon. John D. Boydston Appointed 12–3–80




Paul W. Brightmire Presiding Judge




Kenneth D. Bacon

Preceding page blank







IN THE SU	PREME COURT OF THE STATE OF O ANNUAL REPORT FOR YEAR 1980 SUPREME COURT INVENTORY OF CASES	KLAHOMA		- soon and a
Cases Pending January 1, 1980			1903	
Cases filed in 1980			1636	
Cases disposed of in 1980		· · · · ·	3539 1271	
Total cases pending end of year (inventory)			2267	
Increase in inventory during 1980			364	
	CATEGORY OF CASES DISPOSED OF	:		
Opinions rendered in 1980	313			
Mandated without rehearing		217		
Rehearing denied (102 rehearings filed in 1980)) 	96	· · ·	
Special Matters (210 filed in 1980)		190		
Certiorari denied (Cert. 143 filed in 1980) Cert.		128		
figures include certified interlocutory orders Disposition on recommendation		•		
by Referee: Cert. denied Cert. granted	85 33			
Disposition by dismissals, orders, etc.		409		
Disposition by Court of Appeals		232 1272		
Bar Matters Written Dissents Special Writings Chief Justice Orders by Referee	108 30 69 671			
Cases at issue but not assigned at end of year			303	
Backlog: Cases at issue plus those on assignment			533	
	COURT OF APPEALS	• •		
(Court of Appeais figures are included in Supreme inventory above)				
Cases assigned by Supreme Court to Court of App	eals	369		
Disposition by settlement Conference, Div. 1			68	
Opinions rendered, Court of Appeals			362	
Certiorari filed			127	
Certiorari denied			123	
Certiorari granted			28	
Certiorari dismissed {Some cases disposed of in 1980 were filed in 19	979)		20	
Cases mandated after final disposition by Court of			232	
	27		<i>a</i>	
				1

Π

COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

JUDGES OF THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS



Hon. Tom Cornish Presiding Judge

Hon. Tom Brett

Hon. Hez Bussey

competitive statewide election.

*Art. 7, Sec. 1, Okla. Const.; 20 O.S..1971 § 31

Preceding page blank

COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS*

Three Judges compose the Court of Criminal Appeals, one from each of three electorial districts. Retention for a six-year term is effected by a non-partisan, non-

The Court of Criminal Appeals has exclusive appellate jurisdiction in criminal cases. This includes the power to issue, hear and determine writs of habeas corpus, mandamus, quo warranto, certiorari, prohibition and other remedial writes.

CASES FILED IN 1980:

Regular Appeals Post Conviction **Original Proceedings**

Total Cases Filed:

CASES TERMINATED IN 1980:

CORRECTION

REGULAR APPEALS:

Affirmed Modified & Affirmed Reversed Dismissed

Totals:

POST CONVICTION:

Granted Affirming Denial Dismissed Reversed Denied Modified & Affirmed

Totals:

ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS

Granted Dismissed Denied

Totals:

Total Terminations By Opinion Total Terminations By Order . Corrections Total Cases Terminated in 1980

PENDING CASES:


Total Cases Pending January 1, Total Cases Pending on Decemb

Preceding page blank

COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS 1980 CASELOAD SUMMARY

372	
143	
296	

BY OPINION	BY ORDER	TOTAL TERMINATED
		3
		· · · · ·
153 6	1 0	154 6
29	0	29
3		14
191	12	203
0	24 87	24 87
0	5	5
0	8	8 3
0		
0	127	127
0	86	86
0	67	67
	133	_ <u>134_</u>
1	286	287
1198	407	
 D	• • • • • •	15 620
	• • • • • •	020
		•
1980	480	
ber 31, 1980	518	



Members of the Court of Criminal Appeals run on a non-partisan state-wide ballot at the general election only. If retained by the voters, they serve a six-year term. If rejected, the vacancy is filled by appointment of the Governor.

OFFICE NO. 1 - EASTERN DISTRICT: Adair, Atoka, Bryan, Cherokee, Choctaw, Craig, Creek, Delaware, Haskell, Hughes, Latimer, LeFlore, Mayes, McCurtain McIntosh, Muskogee, Nowata, Okfuskee, Okmulgee, Ottawa, Pittsburg, Pushmataha, Rogers, Sequoyah, Tulsa, Wagoner. *(1,171,173)..... Cornish

OFFICE NO. 2 - NORTHERN DISTRICT: Alfalfa Beaver, Blaine, Canadian, Cimarron, Dewey, Ellis Garfield, Grant, Harper, Kay, Kingfisher, Lincoln, Logan, Major, Noble, Oklahoma, Osage, Pawnee, Payne, Texas, Washington, Woods, Woodward.

OFFICE NO. 3 - SOUTHERN DISTRICT: Beckham Caddo, Carter, Cleveland, Custer, Coal, Comanche, Cotton, Garvin, Grady, Greer, Harmon, Jackson Jefferson, Johnston, Kiowa, Love, McClain, Marshall Murray, Pontotoc, Pottawatomie, Roger Mills, Seminole, Stephens, Tillman, Washita. *(759,290)Bussey

*Figures in parenthesis indicate 1980 official total population of Court of Criminal Appeals Judicial Districts.

The Courts of Special Jurisdiction are the Court on the Judiciary, the Workers' Compensation Court, and the Court of Tax Review. Narratives and lists of the 1980 Judges precede information on 1980 activities. That activity is characterized by filings, terminations and pending actions, as well as dollar amounts of awards by the Workers' Compensation Court.

COURTS OF SPECIAL JURISDICTION

37

COURTS OF SPECIAL JURISDICTION

The Workers' Compensation Court succeeded the State Industrial Court on July 1, 1978. The Court consists of seven Judges appointed by the Governor. The appointments are made from a list of three nominees for each position selected by the Judicial Nominating Commission from applications submitted by qualified applicants.

The Court hears and determines compensation claims in industrial accident cases. It has the power to formulate its own rules and procedures. The Court also has the power to conduct necessary investigations. The award of the Court is final and conclusive between the parties. The parties do have the right to appeal the decision to the Court en banc or the Supreme Court. The right to appeal does have a Statutory time limitation for filing with the proper Court.

The Judges of the Court are compensated equal to the salary paid to a District Judge. The Judges serve staggard six-year terms. The first full six-year terms begin July 1, 1980, for positions six and seven. The other five positions begin their full terms in the following terms of the positions. The Judges that served on the State Industrial Court serve their unexpired terms on tehe Workers' Compensation Court.

85 O.S. 1979 1.2

CHRIS STRUM, Judge (Term Expires 7/1/86)

PATRICK C. RYAN, Presiding Judge (Term expires 7/1/82)

DICK LYNN, Judge (Term expires 7/1/86)

MARY ELIZABETH COX, Judge (Term Expires 7/1/84)

Number of Filings Terminated Year 1979 11,851 17,801 1980

WORKERS' COMPENSATION COURT*

JUDGES OF THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION COURT

1980

BILL V. CROSS, Judge (Term expires 7/1/84)

JAMES M FULLERTON, Judge (Term expires 7/1/82)

CHARLES CASHION, Judge (Term expires 7/1/84)

ACTIVITIES OF THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION COURT

Amount of Award

\$38,392,112 50,872,336

COURT ON THE JUDICIARY

1980

APPELLATE DIVISION

JOE D. SHUMATE, Presiding Judge Judicial District No. 21

RALPH B. HODGES Justice, Supreme Court

TOM R. CORNISH, Vice-Presiding Judge **Court of Criminal Appeals**

DONALD E. POWERS Judicial District No. 23

RUDOLPH HARGRAVE, Justice Supreme Court

RAYMOND W. GRAHAM, Judge Judicial District No. 14

FARRELL M. HATCH, Judge Lawyer

JACK R. PARR, Judge Judicial District No. 7

CHARLES M. WILSON, Judge Judicial District No. 2

TRIAL DIVISION

JOHN MALEY, Presiding Judge Judicial District No. 24

WILLIAM W. MEANS, Vice-Presiding Judge Judicial District No. 14

WILLIAM S. MYERS, JR. Judicial District No. 7

JACK BROCK, Judge Judicial District No. 5

ROBERT A. LAYDEN Judicial District No. 18

DON H. HAMPTON, Judge Judicial District No. 10

EDGAR H. PARKS, Judge Lawyer

LLOYD H. HENRY, Judge Judicial District No. 23

JOSEPH A. YOUNG, JR., Judge Judicial District No. 4

Presiding Judge.

The Trial Division may, for cause, (a) remove from office any Judge, State or Municipal**, (b) compulsorily retire a Judge, or (c) suspend a Judge without pay for any time period. Either the Defendant-Judge, or the specially appointed prosecutor may, within ten days of Judgment, appeal the Trial Division's Judgment to the Appellate Division.

*Article VII-A, Oklahoma Constitution **20 O.S. Supp. 1978 § 1404

TRIAL DIVISION

	YEAR	FILED	TERMINATED	YEAR	FILED	TERMINATED
	1976	3	3	1976	0	1
	1977	1	1	1977	1**	1**
	1978	1	1	1978	1**	1**
	1979	1	1	1979	0	0
-	1980	2	2	1980	0	0

COURT ON THE JUDICIARY*

The Court on the Judiciary consists of a Trial and an Appellate Division, with nine Judges per Division. Each Division selects one of its members as

COURT ON THE JUDICIARY ACTIVITY

APPELLATE DIVISION

**Original action rather than an appeal from Trial Division

COURT OF TAX REVIEW

The Court of Tax Review is composed of three District Judges designated by the Governor, with the State Auditor serving as Clerk. The Court hears and determines all protests against allegedly illegal levies.

*68 O.S. 1971 § 24104

٩

COURT OF TAX REVIEW 1980

RAY LEE WALL, Presiding Judge

ALMA B WILSON, Judge

HARDY SUMMERS, Judge

COURT OF TAX REVIEW ACTIVITY

5

44

1980

FILED

TERMINATED

PENDING

12/31/80

0

4: - 4: - 4:

. *

5

COMMISSIONS OF SPECIAL COGNIZANCE

The Council on Judicial Complaints was established by an Act of the 1974 session of the Legislature*, effective May 23, 1974. The Council's duty is to investigate complaints concerning the misconduct of Judicial Officers. The Council may recommend to the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court the prosecution of a Judge before the Court on the Judiciary.

The Council is composed of three persons, two lawyers and one non-lawyer. the President Pro Tempore of the Oklahoma Senate, the Speaker of the Oklahoma House of Representatives and the President of the Oklahoma Bar Association each appoint one member. Members serve staggered five-year terms and can succeed themselves for one (1) term.

Members may claim \$25.00 for each day or fraction of a day served as well as reimbursement of travel expenses.

*20 O.S. Supp. 1980 § 1651-1661

LeRGY BLACKSTOCK, CHAIRMAN (Five-year term) Appointed By President of the Oklahoma Bar Association

COMMISSIONS OF SPECIAL COGNIZANCE

The Commissions of Special Cognizance include the Council on Judicial Complaints and the Judicial Nominating Commission. Narratives and lists of members precede information on 1980 activities.

. 3

COUNCIL ON JUDICIAL COMPLAINTS

COUNCIL ON JUDICIAL COMPLAINTS – 1980 MEMBERS

MARVIN C. EMERSON, ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOF OF THE COURTS Statutory Ex-Officio Secretary

> **EUGENE CARR** (Five-year term) Appointed By: Speaker of the Oklahoma House of Representatives

LARRY HAMMER Appointed By: President Pro Tempore of the Senate

Year	Complaints Submitted	Complaints Disposed of	Dismissed	Recommended . Prosecution	Pending 12/31
1976	38	42	23	19*	14
1977	27	33	32	3**	8
1978	16	20	20	.: 2**	3
1979	24	24	24	0	4
1980	32	25	24	1	1

COUNCIL ON JUDICIAL COMPLAINTS

In 1975 more than one complaint was submitted against each of eight Judges.

In 1976 more than one complaint was submitted against each of five Judges.

In 1977 more than one complaint was submitted against each of four Judges.

In 1978 more than one complaint was submitted against one Judge.

In 1979 more than one complaint was submitted against one Judge.

In 1980 more than one complaint was submitted against one Judge.

* These complaints involved three Judges. ** These complaints involved two Judges.

JOE COLE SANDY BILLAM DAVE M. WECHSLER BOB LEE KIDD, JR. (Appointed to succeed Milton Lewis) WAYNE E SWEARINGEN SARAH REDWINE C. B. SAVAGE AUSTIN R. DEATON, JR. RANDOLPH S. MEACHAM

TERRY W. WEST

*

.

ANDREW C. WILCOXEN, JR., Chairman HOWARD K. BERRY

JUDICIAL NOMINATING COMMISSION

1980

Chosen By:

GOVERNOR

GOVERNOR

GOVERNOR

GOVERNOR

GOVERNOR

GENEVA SARRATT

GOVERNOR COMMISSION MEMBER OKLAHOMA BAR ASSOCIATION OKLAHOMA BAR ASSOCIATION

cs on a "per judge" basis.

DISTRICT COURTS THE

Preceding page blank

*

EXPLANATORY NARRATIVE

This section relates to the District Courts. This section includes: maps of the judi-cial districts and principal trial court locations, list of the members of the District Court with the changes for the year. Statewide statistics, an aggregate listing of cases pending, filed, terminated, and ending of the report year pending by County, and finally, statisti-

necessary to effect any Order or Judgment.

*Article VII, Section 1, Okla. Const.

Preceding page blank

 \bigotimes


DISTRICT COURT JURISDICTION AND ADMINISTRATIVE ORGANIZATION

DISTRICT COURT*


The District Court has: Unlimited original jurisdiction over all justiciable matters; power to review administrative action; and power to issue writs, remedial or otherwise, which are


A total of 196 Judges serves 26 Judicial Districts. The Judges are distributed as follows: 71 District Judges, 77 Associate District Judges, (one per county) and 48 Special Judges.

District and Associate District Judges are elected on a non-partisan ballot in a competitive election for a four-year term. Statutorily authorized Special Judges are appointed by and serve at the pleasure of the District Judge of the particular Judicial Administrative



THE JUDICIAL DISTRICTS OF THE OKLAHOMA COURT SYSTEM





JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT

BY

JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATIVE DISTRICTS

January 1980

EAST CENTRAL

.-

Bill Ed Rogers, Presiding Judge

District Judges

•		District	Division
Wm H. Bliss		15	
Edward Hardy Summers		15	1
Bill Ed Rogers		15	11
Robert A. Layden		15	11
Edgar R. Boatman		18	
Charles Woodson		24	l I
Jess I. Miracle		24	I
John Maley		24	11
Kirk Woodliff		24	111
		24	11
	Associate District Judges	•	
Paul E. Simmons	Wasses		
Lynn Burris	Wagoner Cherokee	15	. 1
Lyle Burris	Muskogee	15	. 1
Fred D. Jack Green		15	11
L. T. Spray	Sequoyah Adair	15	
John D. Boydston		15	111
Lonnie Wesley Brown	McIntosh	18	
Streeter Speakman	Pittsburg Creek	18	
O. C. Craig		24	k
Anne Moroney	Okfuskee	24	. 11
. •	Okmulgee	24	. 10
	Special Judges		
J. R. Settle			
Clyde Edward Davis	Muskogee	15	11
Clyde T. Patrick	Pittsburg	. 18	
Charles Humphrey	Creek	24	1
enalies memphicy	Okmulgee	24	111
·			
NORTH CENTRAL			
Lowell Doggett, Presiding Judge			
Letter, Doggett, i residing Judge			
	District Judges		
Lowell Doggett			
Ray Lee Wall		8	· ·
Donald E. Powers		9	
Lloyd H. Henry		23	
		23	
	Associate District Judges		
Roger Mullins			
Paul W. Cress	Kay	8	
Bob Ward	Noble	8	
Charles Headrick	Logan	9	
Glenn Dale Carter	Payne	9	
Robert L. Foster	Pottawatomie	23	
	Lincoln	23	
	Special Judges		
	openial Julyes		

Leslie D. Page Cheryl A. Ramsey Jesse R. Field

CASE OF LANSING

NORTHEASTERN

William J. Whistler, Presiding Judge

Don H. Hampton Arthur J. Boose William J. Whistler Bryan E. Williams Sam C. Fullerton

Mermon H. Potter Glenn H, Chappell James H. Laughlin Jess B. Clanton, Jr. W. M. Thomas Edwin Doyle Carden Frieden L. Machesney Jon David Douthitt

Riley B. Quarles Chloe Passley Martha Sue Thompson David Allen Box

NORTHWESTERN

Frank M. Ogden, III, Presiding Judge

Frank, Ogden, III Charles M. Wilson W. O. Green, 111 J. Russell Swanson Joseph A. Young, Jr.

James F. Lane Charles R. Board **Richard W. Pickens** Don Dale Gary P. McGinn Eph Monroe Charley W. Barton W. B. Garrett William M. Eanchor William M. Fancher Giles C. Peterson Park W. Lamerton Larry D. Smith John W. Michael Robert C. Lovell Wayne B. Smith Robert W. Collier James A. Wilkinson Ray Don Jackson Ray Dean Linder

David M. Collins

and the second second

Kay

Payne

Pottawatomie

8

- 9

23

District Judges

- 4

4

Division

1&11

111

41

П

III

Ш

111

Ш

ш

¥

Associate District Judges

County

10
11
11
12
12
12
13
13

Special Judges

10
11
13
12

District Judges

Associate District Judges

County

001111	
Beaver Cimarron Harper Texas Beckham Custer Ellis Greer Harmon Roger Mills Garfield Blaine Grant Kingfisher Alfalfa Dewey Major Woodward Woods	1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
County	

Garfield

OKLAHOMA-CANADIAN

Jack R. Parr, Presiding Judge	District Judges
•	County
Carmon C. Harris	Oklahoma
David M. Cook	"
Jack R. Parr	"

Charles L. Owens Harold C. Theus Wm. S. Myers Homer Smith A. L. Rakestraw Stewart M. Hunter William C. Kessler Raymond Naifeh William Robert Saied John Amick Joe Cannon Floyd L. Martin

Canadian Associate District Judges

County

Oklahoma

Special Judges

Oklahoma

**

... "

"

...

District Judges

Canadian

٠,

County

Canadian

Charlie Y. Wier James D. Bednar

Leonard Geb
Robert D. Epperson
Arthur Robert Swank, Jr.
William M. Allen
Creston Williamson
Don Howard
James L. Gullett
Jim Pearson
Paul W. Dudley
Jon Lee Hester
Joe Samuel Wallace
Sandra Johnson
Henry Albert Taylor

SOUTHEASTERN

Lavern Fishel, Presiding Judge

Pat Pate G. Gail Craytor Joe C. Taylor Lavern Fishel

John N. Henderson Robert Edward Price Bill Welch Lowell R. Burgess, Jr. James R. Wolfe John Anthony Benson John Allen Phillips, Il Harrell F. Followell Merline Bonner

Simon B. Spradlin **Reed Blankenship** Rocky L. Powers

Division

District

7

7) 7

16

-17 19

÷

7

SOUTHWESTERN

1

Weldon Ferris, Presiding Judge

Weldon Ferris Milbern Jay Adams Jack Brock James Winston Raburn William M. Roberts George Lindley

John Charles Edwards Vacant Loys Criswell Harrison Roe Tom Zynda Oteka Alford Kenneth Youngblood David Aubrey P.C. Largent, Jr. Ellen C. Steely

Temple Bailey Norman Hill Jim Brent Smalling Joseph P. Marak Peter C. Moore Michael M. Reynolds

SOUTH CENTRAL

Joe D. Shumate, Presiding Judge

Woodrow George G. Dixie Gilbert Preston Trimble Alma Wilson Joe D. Shumate Ronald Jones Gordon R. Melson Bob Howard

Thomas S. Walker H. Leo Austin **Owen Townsend** William R. Fulton Kenneth L. Delashaw, Jr. Alan J. Couch Haskell Paul James Kenneth Love Mike Addicott Bill Biggers Bob Rives

D. Michael Hisey Gary M. Purcell Sam J. Goodwin Jesse Green Earl A. Davis Edward Michael McDaniel

•

Associate District Judges
County
Haskell Le Flore Latimer Pushmataha Choctaw McCurtain Bryan Coal Atoka
Special Judges
County
Le Flore McCurtain Bryan

66

District Judge

	District	Divisio	n
	3 5 5		
	5 5	. 1	
•	5	11	
Associate District Judges	•		
County		·	
Washita	3		
Kiowa	3		
Jackson	3		
Tillman	3		
Caddo	5		
Grady	6		
Comanche Cotton	6 5	. .	
Jefferson	5	1	
Stephens	5		
Stephens	5	11	
Special Judges			
Jackson	3		
Caddo	6		
Grady	6		
Comanche	5	1	
Comanche	5	· · · · · ·	
Stephens	5	11	
District Judge			
-	20	1	
	20		
	21	ï	
	21	1	
	21		
	22	Ĩ	
	22	11 &	III
	22	11	
Associate District Judges			
Carter	20	1	
Johnston	20		
Marshall	20		
Murray	20		
Love	20	1	
Cleveland	21		
Garvin	21	II -	
McClain	21	11	
Pontotoc	22	i	
Seminole	22		
Hughes	22	111	
Special Judges			
Carter	20	1	
Cleveland	21	1	
Garvin	21	11	
Pontotoc	22		
Seminole	22	11	
Cleveland	21	1	

TULSA-PAWNEE

William W. Means, Presiding Judge

	District Judges	
	County	District
Raymond Graham	Tulsa	14
Richard Comfort		14
William W. Means	"	14
Joe Jennings	"	14
Margaret Lamm McCalister	14	14
Richard V. Armstrong	••	14
Jay Dean Dalton		14
Patricia M. Hoebel	11	14
Robert F. Martin, Jr.	"	14
Milford M. McDougal	"	14
Clifford E. Hopper	"	14
David Winslow	**	14
Robert E. Caldwell	"	14
	Associate District Judges	
	County	•
Billy Rex Beasley	Tuisa	14
Charles D. Arney	Pawnee	14
	Special Judges	
	County	
Bert C. McElroy	Tulsa	14
Richard Eldridge		14
Jane P. Wiseman	**	14
Vacant		14
Tony M. Graham	**	14
Edward E. Stephens	20	14
Jerry E. Perigo		14
Robert D. Frank	"	14

Division

Judge

1

e,

τ

Robert Neptune Richard Romang

District Judge

Associate District Judge

John D. Boydston

Associate District Judge

Paul Cress

Presiding Judge Frank Ogden

District Judge

Associate District Judge Eph Monroe

Floyd Martin

Deceased Associate District Judge

James D. Bednar

Sandra M. Johnson

Terminate New Posit

District Judge

Norman Hill

Special Judge

Associate District Judge Kenneth D. Youngblood

Vacant Special Judge

68

PERSONNEL CHANGES OF THE JUDICIARY

January – December

1980

COURT OF APPEALS

_			
Reason	Date	Replaced By	Date
Retired Deceased	9/1/80 10/15/80	John D. Boydston Vacant	12/3/80
	DISTRICT COURT		
	EAST CENTRAL	•	
New Position	12/1/80	E. C. Nelson	12/5/80
Appointed Court of Appeals	12/3/80	Vacant	
	NORTH CENTRAL		
Retired	1/7/80	Kenneth R. Reed	2/29/80
	NORTHWESTERN		
Not Elected	3/8/80	Wm O. Green, 111	3/8/80
Retired	7/31/80	Alan D. Markham	8/1/80
ОК	LAHOMA-CANADIAN	•	
n .			
Deceased	9/4/80	James D. Bednar	11/26/80
Appointed D.J.	11/26/80	Vacant	•
Ferminated New Position	11/30/80 3/1/80	Vacant Karl Gray	3/1/80
	SOUTHWESTERN		
lew Position	9/1/80	Kenneth Youngblood	9/17/80
ppointed D.J.	9/17/80	Vacant	
		Lloyd R. Reeder	2/25/80
esigned	6/25/80	Erick E. Anderson	8/1/80
			_

	S	OUTH CENTRAL		
Judge	Reason	Date	Replaced By	Date
District Judge				
G. Dixie Colbert	Deceased	5/27/80	H. Leo Austin	8/13/80
Associate District Judge				•
H. Leo Austin	Appointed D.J.	8/13/80	Stanley Anderson	12/2/80
Special Judge				
Earl A. Davis	Resigned	8/5/80	Gary R. Brown	8/5/80
		TULSA-PAWNEE		
District Judge				
Raymond W. Gordon	Retired	12/1/80	Vacant	
Special Judge				
Vacant	New Position		Donald Lane Daniel Boudreau	2/8/80 8/27/80

1

• ٠.

7

STATEWIDE DISTRICT COURT STATISTICS

CASES FILED IN 1979

Civil	48,525
Domestic	41,359
Probate	17,181
Small Claims	78,323
Juvenile	7,323
Felony	22,753
Misdemeanor	231,352
Totals:	446,360

CASES TERMINATED IN 1979

Civil	38,672
Domestic	38,393
Probate	15,296
Small Claims	74,416
Juvenile	7,316
Felony	20,510
Visdemeanor	224,732
Totals:	419,138

CASES PENDING IN 1979

Civil	49,718
Domestic	26,817
Probate	18,775
Small Claims	58,302
Juvenile	7,575
Felony	29,901
Misdemeanor	81,776
Totals:	272,727

ž

7

.

TWO-YEAR CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION ANALYSIS

The following chart illustrates totals for the cases filed, terminated and pending for the reporting years 1979 and 1980. A quick analysis will show that over half of the cases filed are misdemeanor. Likewise, approximately half of the cases terminated are the same. The misdemeanor category includes the rapidly processed traffic cases. The more time consuming caseload types; civil, domestic, and felony, comprise the greater majority of the end-of-year pending caseload. A comparison from 1979 to 1980 pending caseload reveals a 9.5% increase in court backlog.

CASELOAD DISTRIBUTION ANALYSIS

CASES FILED IN 1980

10.8%	Civil	52,498	10.6%
9.2	Domestic	43,848	8.8
3.8	Probate	17,205	3.5
17.5	Small Claims	85,298	17.2
1.8	Juvenile	8,156	1.6
5.1	Felony	23,696	4.8
<u>51.8</u>	Misdemeanor	265,252	53.5
100.0%	Totals:	495,953	100.0&

CASES TERMINATED IN 1980

CASES PENDING IN 1980

9.2%	Civil	43,737	9.3%
9.2	Domestic	40,125	8.6
3.6	Probate	14,815	3.2
17.8	Small Claims	86,415	18.4
1.7	Juvenile	9,488	2.0
4.9	Felony	21,839	4.6
53.6	Misdemeanor	253,377	<u>53,9</u>
100.0%	Totals:	469,796	100.0%

	in the second		
18.2%	Civil	58,473	19.6
9.8	Domestic	30,897	· 10.3
6.9	Probate	21,222	7.1
21.3	Small Claims	57,185	19.2
2.9	Juvenile	6,242	2.1
11.0	Felony	31,764	10.6
29.9	Misdemeanor	92,963	31.1
100.0&	Totals:	298,746	100.0%

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1980/1979	1980/1979	
CIVIL	42,787	43,778	46,628	48,525	52,498	8.1	22.7	
DOMESTIC*	45,768	47,688	47,785	48,682	52,004	6.8	63.6	
PROBATE	16,494	16,532	16,950	17,181	17,205	.1	4.3	
SMALL CLAIMS	63,795	73,314	77,798	78,071	85,298	9.2	33.7	
FELONY	20,179	20,819	22,165	22,753	23,696	9.4	17.4	
MISDEMEANOR	213,642	224,263	245,710	231,352	265,252	14.6	24.6	
TOTAL:	402,665	426,394	457,036	446,360	495,853	11.1	23.1	

	1979	1977	1978	1979	1980	1980/1979	1980/1979
CIVIL	34,894	34,784	54,740	38,672	43,737	22.9	25.3
DOMESTIC*	40,119	42,457	51,426	45,709	49,613	10.1	23,7
PROBATE	15,545	14,752	19,587	15,298	14,815	- 3.1	- 4.7
SMALL CLAIMS	62,384	59,465	95,425	74,416	86,415	16.1	38.5
FELONY	19,365	18,174	20,407	20,510	21,497	6.5	12.8
MISDEMEANOR	216,752	214,814	237,295	224,295	253,377	12.7	14.5
TOTAL:	389,059	384,246	477,817	419,138	469,706	12.1	17.2

						1 · · · ·		
	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1980/1979	1980/1979	
CIVIL	48,518	57,512	39,889	49,718	58,473	15.0	17.0	
DOMESTIC*	29,764	34,995	31,401	34,392	37,139	8.0	24.8	
PROBATE	17,642	19,422	16,783	18,775	21,222	13.0	20.3	
SMALL CLAIMS	58,439	72,288	54,661	58,302	57,185	1.9	- 2.1	
FELONY	18,275	20,920	27,658	29,901	31,764	6.2	73.8	
MISDEMEANOR	57,333	66,982	75,900	81,776	92,963	13.7	62.1	
TOTAL:	229,971	272,119	246,147	272,727	298,736	9.5	29.9	

Preceding page blank

÷.

STATEWIDE COMPARISON OF THE FIVE YEAR CASELOAD ACTIVITY

The following chart indicates the change in activity by litigation category of the cases filed, terminated, and pending as of the end of each year.

In 1980, all categories realized an increase in the number of cases filed as compared to 1979 activity. The increases varied from 14.6 percent in misdemeanor to 0.1 percent in Small Claims. In the 1979 comparison of the caseload activity from 1980 to 1976, all categories realized an increase. The increases ranged from 33.7 percent in Small Claims to 4.3 percent in Probate.

Analyzing the cases terminated in the one year comparison indicates all but one litigation category had more cases terminated in 1980 than in 1979. Probate litigation realized a 3.1 percent decrease in cases terminated. The range in percentile of cases terminated for 1980 as compared to 1979 was am omcrease pf 22.9 in Civil Litigation to a 6.5 increase in Felony. The five year comparison is somewhat better. All but one category realized an increase. Probate decreased 4.7 percent.

The real change occurred in cases pending. Both in the one year and five year comparison all but one category indicat an increase. In the one year comparison Civil Litigation pending increased 15.0 percent. The least increase was Felony with a 6.7 percent change. The five year comparison reveals a 13.8 percent increase in cases pending in Felony. Small Claims cases pending show a 2.1 percent decrease in the five year comparison.

FIVE YEAR COMPARISON OF CASES FILED

FIVE YEAR COMPARISON OF CASES TERMINATED

FIVE YEAR COMPARISON OF CASES PENDING

STATEWIDE SUMMARY

The following chart was compiled from the 1980 Summary of District Court Activity Reports filed by the Court Clerk from each of the 77 counties. The charts illustrate the statewide District Court activity in each of the seven litigation categories. Within each category is a relative aging of the pending cases at the end of the reporting year. Elements relating to population (according to the 1980 Census); the number of District Judges, Associate District Judges, and Special Judges; total number of lawyers for the state; license applications; jury data, miscellaneous filings, and other elements of judicial activity are also found in the chart.

P		
POPULATION _3.025.266		
NO. OF: DISTRICT JUDGES	TOTAL CASES	TOT
	PENDING ON	FI
	1/1/80	
LAWYERS <u>9,595</u>	L	
LITIGATION BREAKDOWN	{	
CIVIL		
AUTO NEGLIGENCE	4,722	
OTHER TORT	4,153	:
LIEN OR MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE	2.397	
REAL PROPERTY		
CONTRACTUAL	3.407	~~~·'
	25,500	2
APPEALS FROM ADMIN. TRIBUNALS (incl. driver license cases)		
	543	
INJUNCTION, QUO WARRANTO, MANDAMUS, HABEAS CORPUS, ETC.	2,148	
OTHER CIVIL	6.842	
TOTAL CIVIL		
TOTAL GIVE	49.712	5
DOMESTIC		
DIVORCE	18,903	3
SEPARATE MAINTENANCE	900	
ANNULMENT	191	
RECIPROCAL SUPPORT - INCOMING	3,697	
RECIPROCAL SUPPORT - OUTGOING	1,341	
PATERNITY PROCEEDINGS	291	
ADOPTION	1,760	
OTHER DOMESTIC	91	
TOTAL DOMESTIC		4
TOTAL DOMESTIC	27,174	
PROBATE		
ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES &	1 1	
PROBATE PROPER	13,483	
DETERMINATION OF DEATH OF JOINT OR LIFE TENANT	720	
MENTAL HEALTH	918	
GUARDIANSHIP & CONSERVATORSKIP		
APPROVAL OF CONVEYANCE OF	3,540	
INDIAN LANDS	66	
OTHER PROBATE	105	
TOTAL PROBATE		1
TOTAL PROBATE	18,832	<u>' ' '</u>
SMALL CLAIMS		
POSSESSION OF PERSONAL PROP. (Replayin)	1,292	
POSSESSION OF REAL PROPERTY		
(Entry and Detainer)	4,472	1
OTHER SMALL CLAIMS	52,536	7
TOTAL SMALL CLAIMS	58,302	6
TOTAL SHALL CLAINS		
JUVENILE	1	
JUVENILE DELINQUENCY	3,982	
CHILDREN IN NEED OF SUPERVISION	1.387	
DEPENDENCY & NEGLECT	2,096	
OTHER JUVENILE	109	
TOTAL JUVENILE	7,574	
COMBINED CIVIL CATEGORIES	101 501	
AAMANDEN ALLIS ALLEAALLEN	161.594	20
FELONY	1	
	4,658	
CRIME AGAINST PERSON		
CRIME AGAINST PROPERTY	13.753	1
OTHER FELONY (Do not include Post-	11.590	
Conviction Appeals)	00.001	
TOTAL FELONY	29,901	2
MISDEMEANOR	1 1	
	7,426	1
DUI		_
OTHER TRAFFIC	52,679	21
APPEALS FROM CITY-FUNDED CTS.	1.239	
OTHER MISDEMEANORS	19,733	3
TOTAL MISDEMEANOR	B1.077	26
COMBINED CRIMINAL CATEGORIES	110,978	28
WHITTER MURINAL SOLESMILLS		_
GRAND TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES	272,572	49
	APPLICATION	<u>, T</u>
	PENDING AT	1
	BEGINNING	
APPLICATIONS FOR LICENSES:	OF YEAR	11
		-1-
BEER	68	1
BINGO	2	
POOL HALL		-+
	33	-+
PROCESS SERVER	22	+-
OTHER	ــــــ	<u> </u>
JURY DATA:	CIVIL	
	}	+-
NUMBER OF JURY TERMS HELD	101	
NUMBER OF DAYS JURY WAS		_
IN ATTENDANCE	2,355	_
NUMBER OF GRAND JURIES		-
CALLED	11	

ï

Preceding page blank

 ϵ_{s}

STATEWIDE SURVEY

	STATEWI						
AL CASES	TOTAL CASES	DISPOSED	DISPOSED	TOTAL CASES		WN BY YEAR F IDING ON DEC.	
ILED IN 1980	TERMINATED IN 1980	OF BY TRULE OR AFTER CONTEST	OF WITHOUT TRIAL OR CONTEST	PENDING ON 12/31/80	1980 CASES	1979 CASES	1978 OR PRIOR CASES
4 220	3,518	699	2.799	5,452	2,977	2,001	474
4,238 3,762	3,054	815	2,198	4,861	2,590	1,381	890
3.589	2,581	695	1,844	3,405	2,295	760	350
4.409	4.160	1.303	2.766	3.622	2.030	852	742
5.617	21,641	2.827	18.827	29,476	17 272	9,861	2.343
1,247	994	300	691	796	434	299	63
1,727	1,200	470	727	2,675	1,538	677	460
7,909	6,565	1,535	5,030	8,186	4,728	1,826	1,630
2,498	43,737	8,644	34,862	58,473	33,864	17,657	6,952
5,198	32,250	5,827	26,387	21,851	11,090	6,169	4,592
673 351	509 335	93 49	414 287	1,064 207	455	51	295
2,677	2,573	370	2,194	3,801	1,817	1,201	783
1,097	841	141	752	1,597	745	479	373
226 2,837	169 2,824	26 68	142 2,759	348	120 772	80 450	148 551
789	624	80	619	256	230	26	0
3,848	40,125	6,654	33,554	30,897	15,332	8,769	6,796
9,435	7,638	208	7,369	15,280	6,447	3,395	5,438
		7			426	203	229
2,690	2,552	187	2,545	858 894	224	145	525
1,829	1,426	48	1,357	3,493	1.094	920	1,929
531	507	76	431	90	58	14	18
205	153	2	153	157	71	35	51
7,205	14,815	528	14,211	21,222	8,320	4,712	8,190
2,002	2,122	489	1,624	1,174	720	286	168
0,369	9,076	1,192	7,880	5,765	4,435	1,192	137
2,927	75,217	9,258	65,741	50,246	30,367	15,302	1,578
35,298	86,415	10,939	75,255	57,185	35,522	16,780	4,883
4,752	5,296	2,517	2,646	3,447	2,344	605	498
1,167	1,526	593	965	1,0 26	658	188	180
2,000	2,451	1,503	. 933	1.638	1.098	291	259
237	215	103	106	131	74	32 1.106	259 981
8,156	9,488	4,716	4,647	6,242	·		
07,005	194,580	31,474	162,529	174,019	97,156	49,024	27,783
5,414	4,666	772	3,993	5,302	2,537	1,114	1,651
10,617	8,970	821	8,456	15,412	5,836	3,238	6,338
7,661	8,203	511	7,864	11,050	3,750	2,314	4,988
23,696	21,839	2,094	20,313	31,764	12,123	6,666	12,975
12,653	11,783	874	11,045	8,296	4,809	1,753	1,734
4,354	207,775	7,998	199,601	59,258	29,639	10,702	18,917
423	323	154	165	1,339	253	134	952
37,822	33,496	2,516	30,941	24,070	12,657	4,460	6,953
		11.542	241,752	92,963	47,360	17,049	28,556
5 252	253.377						
35 252 38,848	253.377 275,126 469,706	13,636	262,065 424,594	124,718 298,736	49,471	23.715 72,739	41.531 69.314

PPLICATIONS	ISSUED	DENIED	APPEALED	WITH- DRAWN
9,485	9,266	11	4	44
660	543	5	0	7
74	74	0	0	1
378	373	1.	1	2
37	37	0	0	0
CRIMINAL	JOINT	Т	OTAL	· .
107	271		479	

FOR TRIAL AS ADULTS (FELONY)	173
MISCELLANEOUS FILINGS:	
NOTARY PUBLIC BONDS	17,975
FICTITIOUS PARTNERSHIPS	1,100
TAX WARRANTS	122
POWER OF ATTY, REVOCATION, ETC. (58 O.S. Supp. 1979 \$ 1056(b))	505
NUMBER OF MARRIAGE LICENSES	47,198
TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS FILINGS	66,900
NUMBER OF DISPOSITION DOCKETS HELD	694

WAIVED 7,102

301

FILED TERMINATED GRANTED DENIED

 FELONY
 MISDEMEANORS
 TRAFFIC
 TOTAL

 321
 150,095
 188,310
 338,626

240

61

HELD

354

6,470

FELONY PRELIMINARY HEARINGS

FELONY POST-CONVICTION APPEALS

BOND FORFEITURES

DISTRICT COURT CASELOAD ACTIVITY 1980

		CASES			CASES
	COUNTY	PENDING 1/1/79	CASES FILED	CASES TERMINATED	PENDING 12/31/79
•	Adair	887			
	Alfalfa	453	2,207 1,448	2,289 1,486	816 415
	Atoka	294	2,745	2,314	725
	Beaver	457	1,589	1,450	596
	Beckham Blaine	498 1,153	4,472 3,567	4,333 3,431	637 1,289
	Bryan	1,145	5,323	5,193	1,276
	Caddo	3.345	7.945	8 E70	2,490
	Canadian	3,215 3,773	7,845 10,538	8,570 9,928	4,383
	Carter	4,406	9,621	9,640	4,387
	Cherokee	5,307	5,253	6,437	4,123
	Choctaw Cimarron	836 417	3,207	2,870	1,173
	Cleveland	4,145	1,222 12,830	1,208 13,977	- 431 2,998
	Coal	380	1,197	1 1/2	434
	Comanche	8,833	17,022	1,143	9,045
	Cotton	675	2,780	2,639	816
	Craig	1,194	3,617	3,381	1,430
	Creek Custer	9,247 1,235	10,439	11,547	8,139 1,990
	Delaware	2,789	9,440 2,992	8,685 3,779	2,002
	Dewey Ellis	635 317	1,268 1,289	1,199 1,189	704 417
	Garfield	2,314	8,293	8,252	2,357
	Garvin	3,106	5,329	6,039	2,396
	Grady	9,250	12,294	12,114	9,430
	Grant Greer	212 433	1,125 1,318	1,114 1,202	223 549
	Harmon	181	1,438	1,385	234
	Harper Haskell	525 640	1,159	1,154 1,241	530 635
	Hughes	407	2,696	2,692	411
	Jackson	1,608	3,959	3,709	1,858
	Jefferson Johnston	1,376 592	2,025 2,465	1,940 2,332	1,461 725
	Johnston	092	2,400	2,332	725
	Kay	1,904	7,877	8,041	1,740
	Kingfisher Kiowa	708	4,435	4,259	884 878
	Latimer	403	3,232 1,682	3,095 1,717	368
	LeFlore	1,232	4,995	5,216	1,011
	Lincoln	1,875	5,882	6,406	1,351
	Logan	2,043	6,278	5,865	2,462
	Love	1,364	3,154	2,834	1,684
	Major	635	2,065	1,992	708
	Marshall	1,049	1,699	2,052	696
	Mayes McClain	2,280 2,603	6,277 5,070	6,551 4,714	2,006
	McCurtain	2,023	5,087	4,826	2,284
	McIntosh	714	5,326	5,066	974
	Murray	1,861	4,176	4,552	1,485
	Muskogee	7,151	13,775	11,458	9,468
	Noble	573	5,958	5,926	605
	Nowata Okfuskee	688	1,472	1,404	756 1,293
	Oklahoma	736 71,346	3,902 67,855	3,345 59,193	80,008
	Okmulgee	3,665	4,387	3,810	4,242
	_				
	Osage	2,527	4,795	4,504	2,818
	Ottawa Pawnee	5,661 303	5,316	6,838	4,139
	Payne	2,406	3,942 5,134	3,646 2,887	599
	Pittsburg	3,328	8,149	7,670	4,653 3,807
	Pontotoc	567	5,580	5,001	1,146
	Pottawatomie	3,727	9,731	9,901	3,557
	Pushmataha	617	2.050	1,781	886
	Roger Mills	157	809	929	137
	Rogers Seminole	5,362	8,923	10,595	3,690
	Sequoyah	2,016 2,469	4,548 8,665	3,982 8,431	2,582 2,703
	Stephens	2,088	7,501	7,103	2,486
	Texas	1,882	3,769	4,443	1,208
	Tillman	.536	1,686	1,775	447
	Tulsa	52,742	64,180	49,774	67,148
	Wagoner Washington	1,299	6,550	6,628	1,221
	Washington	2,939 1,042	5,201 3,711	4,972 3,871	3,168 882
	Woods	820	1,961	2,042	739
	Woodward	1,552	3,720	3,939	1,333
	TOTAL:	272,572	495,853	469,706	298,736
			,		200,100

:

4

,

Per Judge computations are based on the number of authorized Judgeships (196). For county figures, District Judgeships are apportioned among the counties regularly served.

84

AVERAGE CASELOAD PER JUDGE

The following tables rank average caseload per judge by Judicial Districts and county. Statistics include: cases pending on January 1, 1980; cases filed in 1980; total 1980 caseload; cases terminated in 1980; and cases pending on December 31, 1980.

Preceding page blank

87

AVERAGE OF CASES FILED TERMINATED AND PENDING

PER JUDGE BY JUDICIAL DISTRICT

1980

DISTRICT NUMBER		••	TOTAL CASES			AVERAGE PER JUDGE		
NUMBER	OF JUDGES	FILED	TERMINATED	PENDING	FILED	TERMINATED	PENDING	
One	5	7,739	8,255	2,765	1,547.8	1,651.0	553.0	
Two	7	18,866	17,723	3,964	2,695.1	2,531.8	566.2	
Three	6	12,588	12,450	4,065	2,098.0	2,075.0	677.5	
Four	13	27,882	27,714	8,652	2,144.7	2,131.8	655.5	
Five	12	29,328	28,492	13,808	2,333.0	2,374,3	1,150.6	
Six	5	20,139	20,684	11,920	4,027.8	4,136.8	2,384.0	
Seven	28	67,855	59,193	80,008	2,423.3	2,114.0	2,857.4	
Eight	4	13,835	13,967	2,345	3,458.7	3,491.7	586.2	
Nine	4	11,412	8,752	7,115	2,853.0	2,188.0	1,778.7	
Ten	3	4,795	4,504	2,818	1,598.3	1,501.3	939.3	
Eleven	4	6,673	6,376	3,924	1,668.2	1,594.0	981.0	
Twelve	6	18,817	20,527	7,126	3,136.1	3,421.1	1,187.6	
Thirteen	4	8,308	10,617	6,141	2,077.0	2,654.2	1,535.2	
Fourteen	24	68,122	53,420	67,747	2,838.4	2,225.8	2,822.7	
Fifteen	10	36,450	35,243	18,331	3,645.0	3,524.3	1,833.1	
Sixteen	5	7,913	8,174	2,014	1,582.6	1,634.8	402.8	
Seventeen	5	10,344	9,477	4,343	2,068.8	1,895.4	868.6	
Eighteen	4	13,475	12,736	4,781	3,368.7	3,184.0	1,195.2	
Nineteen	. 3	5,323	5,193	1,276	1,774.3	1,731.0	425.3	
Twenty	8	21,115	21,410	8,977	2,639.3	2,676.2	1,122.12	
Twenty-One	9	23,229	24,730	8,353	2,581.0	2,747.7	928.1	
Twenty-Two	8	12,824	11,675	4,139	1,603.0	1,459.3	517.3	
Twenty-Three	5	15,613	16,307	4,908	3,122.6	3,261.4	981.6	
Twenty-Four	10	18,728	18,702	13,674	1,872.8	1,870.2	1,367.4	
Twenty-Five	3	3,942	3,457	1,159	1,314.0	1,152.3	386.3	
Twenty-Six	_3_	10,583	9,928	4,383	_3,512.6	3,309.3	1,461.0	
STATE TOTAL	196	495,853	469,706	298,736	2,529.8	2,391.4	1,524.1	

RANKING OF AVERAGE WORKLOAD PER JUDGE

• • • • • • • **• •**

BY JUDICIAL DISTRICT

RANK	JUDICIAL DISTRICT	TOTAL CASES PENDING 1/1/80	JUDICIAL DISTRICT	TOTAL CASE FILED 1980	S JUDICIAL DISTRICT	TOTAL CASELOAD	JUDICIAL DISTRICT	TOTAL CASES TERMINATED 1980	JUDICIA DISTRIC
	05	224 6	25	1,314.0	25	1,538.6	25	1,152.3	25
1	25	224.6	25	1,547.8	22	1,976.7	22	1,459.3	16
2	22	373.7		1,582.6	16	2,037.6	10	1,501.3	19
3	19	382.0	16	1,598.3	19	2,156.3	11	1,594.0	22
4	2	403.0	10	1,603.0	1	2,204.0	16	1,634.8	• 1
5	16	455.0	22		10	2,440.6	1	1,651.0	2
6	8	619.2	11	1,668.2 1,774.3	11	2,575.0	19	1,731.0	8
7	4	652.6	19	1,774.3	3	2,752.5	24	1,870.2	4
8	3	654;5	24	1,068.8	17	2,764.0	17	1,895.4	3
9	1	656.2	17	2,077.0	4	2,797.3	3	2,075.0	17
10	17	695.2	13		2	3,098.1	7	2,114.0	21
11	10	842.3	3	2,098.0	24	3,237.6	, 4	2,131.8	10
12	11	906.7	4	2,144.7	5	3,525.0	9	2,188.0	11
13	18	1,010.5	7	2,423.3	21	3,675.8	14	2,225.8	23
14	5	1,081.0	5	2,444.0	20	3,798.3	5	2,374.3	20
15	21	1,094.8	21	2,581.0	20	3,965.2	2	2,531.8	5
16	9	1,112.2	20	2,639.3		4,078.0	13	2,654.2	12
17	23	1,120.4	2	2,695.1	8		20	2,676.2	18
18	20	1,159.0	14	2,838.4	13	4,189.5 4,243.0	20	2,747.7	24
19	26	1,257.6	9	2,853.0	23		18	3,184.0	26
20	24	1,364.8	23	3,122.6	18	4,379.2	23	3,261.4	13
21	12	1,472.6	12	3,136.1	12	4,608.8	23	3,309.3	.0
22	15	1,711.3	18	3,368.7	26	4,770.3	12	3,421.1	15
23	13	2,112.5	8	3,458.7	7	4,971.4		3,491.7	6
24	14	2.210.2	26	3,512.6	14	5,048.6	8	3,524.3	14
25	6	2,493.0	15	3,645.0	15	5,356.3	15		7
26	7	2,548.0	6	4,027.8	6	6,520.8	6	4,136.8	,
State To	otals:	1,376.6		2,504.3		3,880.9		2,372.2	

N.

TOTAL CASES PENDING 12/31/80
386,3
402.8
425.3
516.3
553.0
566.2
586.2
665.5
677.5
868.6 928.1
939.3
981.0
981.6
1,122.1
1,150.6
1,197.6
1,195.2
1,367.4
1,461.0
1,535.2 1,778.7
1,833.1
2,384.0
2,822.7
2,857.4

1,508.7

:	AVERAGE CASES PENDING	
COUNTY	1/1/80	COUNTY
Roger Mills	135.3	Roger Mills
Harmon Grant	156.0 176 <i>.</i> 6	Coal Harper
Pontotoc	189.0	Haskell
Atoka Pawnee	196.0 202.0	Grant Dewey
Coal	253.3	Cimarron
Hughes Ellis	271.3 273.2	Nowata
Latimer	303.0	Okmulgee Eilis
Cimarron	333.6	Greer
Beaver Okfuskee	365.6 368.0	Alfalfa Harmon
Greer	373.2	Latimer
Alfalfa Bryan	377.5 382.0	Beaver Marshali
Noble	382.0	Seminole
Harper Tillman	420.0	Tillman
Beckham	428.8 429.3	Jefferson Adair
Johnston	445.1	Pushmataha
Cotton	450.0 458.6	Osage Woods
Pushmataha	463.9	Major
McIntosh Dewey	476.0 477.4	Jackson
Haskell	481.2	Bryan Garvin
LeFlore	528.7	Hughes
Major Kingfisher	529.1 532.3	Atoka Cotton
Seminole	576.0	Johnston
Adair Klowa	591.3 592.8	Pontotoc
Choctaw	628.5	Okfuskee Delaware
Woods Stephens	683.3	Payne
Jackson	696.0 714.6	Washington Ottawa
Kay	761.6	LeFlore
Garfield Marshall	722.0 788.7	McCurtain
Craig	796.0	Love Choctaw
Washita Osage	833.6 842.3	Craig
Wagoner	866.0	Oklahoma Stephens
Blaine	866.9	Kiowa
McCurtain Okmulgee	868.2 916.2	Creek
Jefferson	917.3	Pawnee Blaine
Cleveland Payne	921.1 962.4	Garfield
Love	1,025.5	Murray Comanche
Garvin Custer	1,035.3	Cleveland
Washington	1,064.6 1,175.6	Tulsa Washita
Murray	1,240.6	Rogers
Lincoln Canadian	1,250.0 1,257.6	Texas
Caddo	1,286.0	Woodward Caddo
Woodward Pittsburg	1,293.3 1,331.2	Кау
Logan	1,362.0	Pittsburg Kingfisher
Comanche Pottawatomie	1,472.1	McClain
Texas	1,490.8 1,505.6	Muskogee Cherokee
Mayes	1,520.0	Canadian
Sequoyah McClain	1,646.0 1,735.3	Mointosh
Carter	1,762,4	Carter Beckham
Rogers Muskoppe	1,787.3	Pottawatomie
Muskogee Delaware	1,787.7 1,859.3	Lincoln Noble
Ottawa	2,264.4	Mayes
Creek Tulsa	2,311.7 2,344.0	Logan
Oklahoma	2,548.0	Wagoner Grady
Cherokee Grady	3,538.0 3,700.0	Sequoyah
Grady	3,700.0	Custer

٠ .

RANKING OF AVERAGE CASELOAD PER JUDGE 1980

AVERAGE				AVERAGE		AVERAGE CASES
CASES		AVERAGE		CASES		PENDING
FILED	COUNTY	CASELOAD	COUNTY	TERMINATED	COUNTY	12/31/80
783.6	Roger Mills	918.9	Coal	762.0	Roger Mills	118.1
798.0	Coal	1,051,3	Roger Mills	800.6	Grant	185.8
927.2	Grant	1,114.1	Dewey	901.5	Harmon	201.7
929.3	Cimarron	1,311.2	Harper	923.2	Hughes	274.0
937.5	Harper	1,347.2	Grant	928.3	Latimer	276.6
953.3	Ellis	1,384,4	Haskell	933.0	Coal	289.3
977.6	Harmon	1,395.6	Nowata	936.0	Cimarron	344.8
981.3	Haskell	1,410.5	Okmulgee Cimarron	952.5	Alfalfa	345.8
1,096.7 1,111.2	Dewey Nowata	1,430.8 1,440.0	Ellis	966.4 1,025.0	Tillman Ellis	357.6 359.4
1,136.2	Greer	1,509.4	Greer	1,025.0	Pontotoc	382.0
1,206.6	Latimer	1,567.6	Seminole	1,137.7	Pawnee	399.3
1,239.6	Alfalfa	1,584.1	Payne	1,154.8	Noble	403.3
1,264.6	Beaver	1,636.8	Beaver	1,160.0	Harper	424,0
1,271.2	Tillman	1,777.6	Harmon	1,193.9	Bryan	425,3
1,277.4	Seminole	1,875.4	Alfalfa	1,238.3	LeFlore	433.9
1,299.4	Pushmataha	2,005.2	Latimer	1,290.9	Greer	473.2
1,348.8	Okmulgee	2,013.0	Jefferson	1,293.3	Beaver	476.8
1,350.0	Atoka	2,026.0	Pushmataha	1,339.0	Haskell	477.4
1,471.3	Pontotoc	2,049.0	Tillman	1,420.0	Atoka	483.3
1,641.3	Adair Marshall	2,062.6	Osøge Adair	1,501.3 1,526.0	Nowata Marshall	504.0 523.3
1,598.3	Hughes	2,066.1 2,068.6	Atoka	1,542.6	Dewey	529,3
1,634.1	Bryan	2,156.3	Marshall	1,542.8	Adair	544.0
1,710.8 1,759.5	Major	2,250.0	Jackson	1,648.4	Cotton	544.0
1.774.3	Jefferson	2,267.3	Major	1,660.0	Johnston	545.1
1,776.3	Johnston	2,298.4	Pontotoc	1,667.0	Beckham	549.1
1,797.3	Cotton	2,303.3	Okfuskee	1,672.5	Major	590.0
1,830.0	Woods	2,317.5	Woods	1,701.6	Woods	615.8
1,853.3	Okfuskee	2,317.5	Bryan	1,731.0	Okfuskee	646.5
1,853.3	Osage	2,440.6	Johnston	1,753.3	Meintosh	649.3
1,860.0	Jackson	2,474.2	Cotton	1,759.3	Kingfisher	664.6
1,951.0	LeFlore	2,672.5	Hughes	1,794.6	Pushmataha	666.1
1,994.6	Garvin Pawnee	2,811.6 2,830.0	Washington	1,988.8	Cleveland	666.2
2,053.6	Payne	3,016.0	Garvin McCurtain	2,013.0	Kay	696.0
2,080.4	Choctaw	3,039.8	Oklahoma	2,071.2	Kiewa Washita	702.4 705.6
2,126.4 2,143.7	McCurtain	3,051.5	Love	2,114.0 2,130.8	Seminole	737.7
2,183.2	Kiowa	3,178.4	Choctaw	2,150.8	Garfield	785.6
2,371.4	Stephens	3,196.3	Tulsa	2,212.1	Garvin	798.6
2,411.2	Craig	3,207.3	LeFlore	2,238.6	Wagoner	814.0
2,411.3	Washington	3,256.0	Craig	2,254.0	Jackson	825.7
2,423.3	Love	3,396.9	Stephens	2,367.6	Stephens	828.6
2,500.3	Garfield	3,536.3	Pawnee	2,430.6	Choctaw	881.9
2,585.6	Blaine	3,548.8	Kiowa	2,476.0	Lincoln	900.6
2,609.7	Cleveland	3,772.2	Delaware	2,519.3	Osage	939.3
2,628.0	Washita	3,802.4	Blaine Ottawa	2,570.6	Craig	953.3
2,681.9	Delaware Kingfisher	3,854.0	Garfield	2,735.2	Texas Blaine	966.4 969.1
2,764.3	Kay	3,866.9 3,912.4	Comanche	2,750,6 2,801.6	Jefferson	974.0
2,784.0 2,837.0	Murray	4,024.6	Muskogee	2,864.5	McCurtain	980.2
2,851.1	Meintosh	4,026.6	Creek	2,886.7	Murray	990.0
2,852.4	Beckham	4,284,4	Murray	3,034.6	Caddo	996.0
2,968.8	Comanche	4,309,1	Pittsburg	3,068.0	Okmulgee	1,060.5
2,974.3	Noble	4,354,0	Washita	3,096.8	Woodward	1,110.8
3,015.2	Ottawa	4,390.8	Cleveland	3,106.0	Rogers	1,230.0
3,100.0	Woodward	4,393.3	McClain	3,142.6	Love	1,266.1
3,138.0	Caddo	4,424.0	Kingfisher	3,202.2	Washington	1,267.0
3,150.0	Texas	4,520.8	Kay	3,216.4	Delaware	1,334.6
3,259.6	Pittsburg	4,590.8	Woodward	3,282.5	Mayes	1,337,3
3,334.5	Rogers Canadian	4,761.6	Canadian McIntosh	3,309.3	Pottawatomie	1,422.8
3,380.0 3,443,7	Creek	4,770.3 4,921.5	Caddo	3,377.3 3,428.0	Canadian Comanche	1,461.0
3,502.0	Okiahoma	4,971.4	Rogers	3,531.6	Pittsburg	1,507.5 1,522.8
3,512.6	McClain	5,115.3	Texas	3,554.4	Logan	1,522.0
3,550.6	Lincoln	5,171.3	Beckham	3,735.3	Ottawa	1,655,6
3,848.4	Tuisa	5,196.5	Carter	3,856.0	Custer	1,715.5
3,855.1	Muskogee	5,231.5	Logan	3,910.0	Carter	1,754.8
3,892.4	Wagoner	5,232.8	Noble	3,950.6	Sequoyah	1,802.0
3,921.3	Pottawatomie	5,383,2	Pottawatomie	3,960.4	Payne	1,861,2
3,972.0	Logan	5,547.3	Lincoin	4,270.6	McClain	1,972.6
4,184.6	Carter	5,610.8	Cherokee	4,291.3	Creek	2,034.7
4,185.3	Mayes	5,704.6	Mayes	4,367.3	Muskogee	2,367,0
4,366.6	Cherokee	7,040.0	Wagoner Grady	4,418,6	Cherokee Oklahoma	2,748.6 2,857.4
4,917.6 5,776.6	Sequoyah Grady	7,422.6 8,617.6	Sequoyah	4,845.6 5,620.6	Tuisa	2,857.4 2,984.3
8,137.9	Custer	9,202.5	Custer	7,487.0	Grady	3,772.0
-,						_,

STATEWIDE SUMMARY OF JUDICIAL ACTIVITY

JUDICIAL ACTIVITY	STATEWIDE
PREDECREE OR POSTDECREE MOTION TO MODIFY	21,485
POSTJUDGMENT MOTION TO VACATE OR MODIFY A JUDGMENT	4,809
PROCEEDINGS IN AID OF EXECUTION, ETC.	16,598
POSTJUDGMENT PROCEEDINGS INVOLVING A TRUST MATTER	594
PRE OR POST JUDGMENT PROCEED. REQUIRING EVIDENTIARY HEAR.	9,060
MOTIONS TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE OR TO QUASH INFORMATION	6,958
NUMBER OF SUMMARY JUDGMENTS MOTIONS CONSIDERED	6,198
NUMBER OF SUMMARY JUDGMENTS ENTERED	2,433
MOTIONS REQUIRING EVIDENTIARY HEARINGS IN JUVENILE CASES	12,440
PROCEEDINGS AFTER GRANTING LETTERS OF GUARDIANSHIP	4,885
PRE OR POST DECREE HEARINGS IN ADOPTION CASES	2,234
POSTDECREE HEARINGS ON SUPPORT MATTERS IN BASTARDY CASES	237
PROCEEDINGS IN PROBATE OR ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATE	4,794
NUMBER OF MOTION DEMURRER AND PRETRIAL DOCKETS HELD	6,827
DAYS SPENT ON MOTION DEMURRER AND PRETRIAL DOCKETS	4,047.4

90

JUDICIAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM

The Judicial Retirement System, enacted in the 1968 Session of the Oklahoma Legislature applies to Judges of the Supreme Court, Court of Criminal Appeals, Court of Appeals, Workers' Compensation Court and the District Court. The 1978 Session of the Oklahoma Legislature included the Administrative Director of the Courts as an eligible participant. (20 O.S. Supp. 1979 § 1103).

The minimum retirement age is 60 after 20 years of service; 65 after 10; or 70 after 8. Credit is given for prior judicial service on any Court of record. (20 O.S. Supp. 1979 § 1102).

Each Justice or Judge who is a member of The Uniform Retirement System for Justices and Judges upon retirement shall be entitled to receive as retirement compensation, until changed by the Legislature an annual amount, each monthly payment of which shall be in an amount equal to three percent (3%) of the average monthly salary received by him as a Justice or Judge based on the last five (5) years of active service multiplied by the number of total years of service as a Justice or Judge of a Court of record. Provided, however, that no Justice or Judge may receive a monthly retirement benefit in excess of sixty percent (60%) of the average monthly salary based on the last five (5) years of active service and found applicable to such Justice or Judge at the time of retirement.

Each Justice or Judge who is a member of The Uniform Retirement System for Justices and Judges shall have five percent (5%) of his current monthly salary withheld by the State of Oklahoma and deposited in a fund in the State Treasury which is hereby created and shall be known as the Oklahoma Judicial Retirement Fund. If a Justice or Judge shall elect in writing to bring his surviving spouse within the provisions of this Act extending certain benefits to his surviving spouse, he shall have eight percent (8%) of his current monthly salary withheld by the State of Oklahoma and deposited in said fund.

The State portion of the Retirement System is funded by payment of ten percent (10%) of the gross collection of the Court Fund paid to the State Judicial Fund for transfer by the Office of the Court Administrator to the State Judicial Retirement Fund.

Judges may retire on grounds of physicial or mental disability by decree of the Court on the Judiciary with their amount of retirement compensation set by that Court.

X

1

JUDICIAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM

Fiscal Yesr	Contributed By Judges	Appropriated from State Judicial Fund	Percentage Contributed By Judges
1973	\$ 101,941	\$ 260,000	28.2%
1974	109,469	350,000	23.8
1975	160,612	500,000	32.1
1976	166,739	500,000	33.3
1977	386,184	325,000	54.3
1978	400,955	325,000	55.2
1979	426,339	325,000	56.7
1980	441,573	1,457,425*	23.5

BREAKDOWN OF SOURCES OF CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE STATE JUDICIAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM

TOTAL BENEFITS PAID

FY–73	\$377,181.96
FY-74	\$400,196.66
FY—75	\$449,764.00
FY—76	\$517,991.58
FY–77	\$634,450.41
FY–78	\$581,514.31
FY–79	\$635,361.07
FY-80	\$737,811.57

*Ten Percent Payment From the Court Fund to the Judicial Retirement System.

94


JUDICIAL TRAINING PROGRAMS

SUMM/	ļ
-------	---

K

	Days Spe	nt in Judicial	19 <u>80</u> Total Days	Training Or	annen Attended	
Judicial District	In-State	g Programs Out-of-State	Spent in Judicial Training Programs	In-State	ograms Attended Out-of-State	Total Program Attended
1	25.3	0	25.3	11	0	11
2	14.0	0	14.0	6	0	6
3	31.5	0	31.5	25	0	25
4	63.0	31.0	94.0	29	. 11	40
5	32.0	17.0	49.0	17	2	19
6	12.5	45.0	57.5	6	2	8
7	121.0	70.0	191.0	64	7	71
8	25.0	0	25.0	6	0	6
9	3.0	0	3.0	1	0	1
10	2.0	0	2.0	1	0	1
11	3.5	15.0	18.5	5	0	5
12	23.5	. 0	23.5	10	0	10
13	21.5	10.0	31.5	8	1	9
14	60.5	31.0	91.5	40	5	45
15	19.2	0	19.2	15	0	15
16	11.0	12.0	23.0	3	1	4
17	12,0	0	12.0	6	0	6
18	2.0	0	2.0	1	0	· , 1
19	11.0	0	11.0	5	0	5
20	8.0	0		5	0.	5
21	19.0	34.5	53.5	17	10	27
22	15.0	42.0	57.0	7	2	5
23	58.0	20.0	78.0	26	3	29
24	51.0	21.0	72.0	39	4	43
25	10.0	0	10.0	6	0	6
26	10.5	. 0	10.5	2	0	2
Total:	665.0	348.5	1013.5	361	48	409

MARY OF JUDICIAL TRAINING PROGRAMS



OKLAHOMA JUDGES WHO ATTENDED THE NATIONAL JUDICIAL COLLEGE IN 1980

REGULAR SESSION

Alford, Oteka L. Collins, David M. Craytor, G. Gail Green, Jesse D. Melson, Gordon R. Pearson, Jim Purcell, Gary Morgan Rogers, Bill Ed Smalling, Jim B. Smith, Larry D.

7

Associate District Judge Special Judge District Judge Special Judge District Judge Special Judge Special Judge District Judge Special Judge Associate District Judge

Grady County **Garfield County** 17th Judicial District Pontotoc County 22nd Judicial District **Oklahoma County Cleveland County** 15th Judicial District Grady County Blaine County

Ottawa County

Š.

×.

\$

.

Irwin Pat Reynolds, Lester A.

Hargrave, Rudolph

NEW TRENDS AND EVIDENCE

Douthitt, Jon D. Field, J.R. Naifeh, Raymond

Associate District Judge Special Judge **District Judge**

GRADUATE SESSION

Pottawatomie County 7th Judicial District

OKLAHOMA JUDGES WHO ATTENDED THE AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION APPELLATE JUDGES SEMINAR IN 1980

APPELLATE JUDGES SEMINAR

Vice Chief Justice Judge

Supreme Court Court of Appeals

APPELLATE JUDGES SEMINAR SENIOR SERIES

Justice

Supreme Court

OKLAHOMA JUDGES WHO ATTENDED THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF JUDICIAL EDUCATION IN 1980

TRIAL JUDGES ACADEMY:

Austin, H. Lee Board, C.R. Humphrey, Charles M. Jackson, Ray Don Lane, Donald C. Lindley, George Maley, John Perigo, Jerry E. Price, Robert Wier, Charlie Y. District Judge Associate District Judge Special Judge Associate District Judge Special Judge District Judge District Judge Special Judge Associate District Judge Associate District Judge

20th Judicial District Cimarron County Okmulgee County Woodward County Tulsa County 5th Judicial District 24th Judicial District Tulsa County LeFlore County Oklahoma County

COURT CLERKS DISTRICT COURTS OF OKLAHOMA

COURT CLERKS

P'STRICT COURTS OF OKLAHOMA

Adair Alfalfa Atoka Beaver Beckham Blaine Bryan Caddo Canadian Carter Cherokee Choctaw Cimarron Cleveland Coal Comanche Cotton Craig Creek Custer Delaware Dewey Ellis Garfield Garvin Grady Grant Greer Harmon Harper Haskell Hughes Jackson Jefferson Johnston Kay Kingfisher Kiowa Latimer LeFlore Lincoln Logan Love McClain McCurtain McIntosh Major Marshall Mayes Murray Muskogee Noble Nowata Okfuskee Oklahoma Okmulgee Osage Ottawa Pawnee Payne Pittsburg Pontotoc Pottawatomie Pushmataha Roger Mills Rogers Seminole Sequoyah Stephens Texas Tillman Tuisa Wagoner Washington

Washita Woods Woodward

Preceding page blank

Lou Nelle Rhodes Janet Crouch Linda Cops Pearl Yates Layton Broddrick Loraine A. Mack Louise Gentry Harola Rodgers Clyde Miller Carole Brooks Elizabeth A. Lamons Opal Henderson Laverne Wells Norma Nichols Margorie Hefley Droke Janice E. Mitchell Callie Mae Bobo Julie Patrick Dorothy Crawford Irene Pruitt Cecil Haggard Pauline Porter Ina Fay Brown E'Louise DeVinney E. L. Michael Glenda Fenimore Emma Jean Hamilton Ruth Miller Gavle Lewis Twila Easterwood Marvin G. Smith Lois Merchant Connie Connell Edna Palmer Patricia Hitchcock Hazel M. Wood Gladys Rivers Beulah Anderson Frank Prock Bill Rockwell Ruth Orr (Appointed May, 1980) **Opal Wilbanks** Lois Webb Faye Suggs Joyce Mosely Sam Hess Bobbie Solesbee Vada Major Donna Rogers Eloise Gist Jerry Brown Nadine Harnage Vicky Maine Gwenna Jackson Vera Parsons Dan Gray Lucille Freeman Kathleen Nickell (Appointed March 1980) Helen Christensen Eddie Simpson Isabelle Wilkinson Rose Jarvis Dorotha Glover Wayne Joplin Ruby Poe Kathy Chapman Donald E. Campbell June Lunsford Edra J, Harrison **Theodore Stites** Jean Deaton **Twila Fenton** Lois Kent Don Austin Charles Jeffers Minton A. Mikel Doris Curnutt (Appointed May, 1980) Alvin Ernst Jean Young Elveta Hughes

ł.

7

.

.

٠.

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT


The following chart displays the funding sources of the judiciary. Next is a five-year comparison of the percentile of revenue that is generated by the courts of the total support needed for the operation of the courts.

EXPLANATORY NARRATIVE

The following statistics depict financial management of the judiciary. Five major subsections include: 1) sources of funding, 2) State Judicial Fund, 3) court fund expenditures for indigent defense services, 4) operation of the District Courts, 5) operation of County Law Libraries.

12

OKLAHOMA JUDICIAL SYSTEM FUNDING SOURCES



Preceding page blank

÷.

4

FLOW OF FUNDING SOURCES IN SUPPORT OF THE JUDICIARY

/ OKLAHOMA	
COURT SYSTEM	
COORT STATEM	
	SELF-GENERATED REVENUE
	(FINES, FEES, COSTS & FORFEITURES)
	DISTRICT COURT COLLECTS FEES,
	INCURS EXPENSES (WITHIN BUDGET)
	RESULTING IN AN EXCESS OF RECEIPTS
	OVER DISBURSEMENTS." TEN PERCENT
	OF COLLECTIONS DESIGNATED FOR JUDICIAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM.
	EXCESS OF RECEIPTS OVER DISBURSE-
	MENTS LESS 20% BECOMES DISTRICT
	COURT CONTRIBUTION TO THE STATE
	JUDICIAL FUND.
	DISTRICT COURT CONTRIBUTIONS TO
	STATE JUDICIAL FUND AND STATE
•	JUDICIAL RETIREMENT FUND ARE
	COLLECTED BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE
	IN A CLEARING ACCOUNT AWAITING
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	AUDIT BY THE STATE AUDITOR AND
	INSPECTOR.
	UPON COMPLETION OF AUDIT BY STATE
	AUDITOR AND INSPECTOR AND PAY-
	MENT OF REFUNDS, IF ANY, DISTRICT
	COURT CONTRIBUTIONS ARE DEPOSITED
	IN THE STATE JUDICIAL FUND AND JUDICIAL RETIREMENT FUND
	SUBJETAL REFILENCIAL FORD
STATE JUDICIAL FUND	STATE JUDICIAL**
	RETIREMENT SYSTEM
ES TO THE STATE JUDICIAL FUND:	
TIONS FROM COURT FUNDS	TEN PERCENT OF AMOUNT COLLECTED
S FROM LAW LIBRARIES	BY DISTRICT COURT GOES TO RE-
COURT FILING & MISC. FEES CRIMINAL APPEALS FILING FEES	TIREMENT SYSTEM TO PAY THE STATE'S Share of Retirement Costs.
PORTER LICENSE FEES	
RIATIONS:	
	STATE JUDICIAL FUND EXPENDITURES
T PERSONNEL	REQUIRING NO LEGISLATIVE
T OPERATING EXPENSES	APPROPRIATION:
	1. JURY TRIALS (WHERE LOCAL COURT
NAL APPEALS	INSOLVENT)
	2. CRIMINAL CHANGE OF VENUE
LS	3. ATTORNEY FEES FOR INDIGENT
	Defendants Charged with First Degree Murder
RIES	4. EXPENSES OF COUNCIL ON JUDICIAL
ers' Salaries	COMPLAINTS
UDGES AND	5. EXPENSES OF STATE BOARD OF
RTERS	EXAMINERS OF OFFICIAL SHORT- HAND REPORTERS
FOR PRESIDING JUDGES	HAND ACTURIERS


*Rules for Management of the Court Fund, 20 O.S. Supp. 1979, CH. 18, App. *Court Fund of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, ET AL V. Cook, Okl., 557 P. 2, 875 **20 O.S. Supp. 1979, § 1307


111

OKLAHOMA JUDICIARY SUPPORT SOURCES: A BREAKDOWN (Based on local expenditures coupled with appropriated funds) 1975 - 1980

SELF-C	SENERATED SUPPORT		0.54155.4.4	
COURT FUND EXPENDITURES	STATE JUDICIAL FUND APPROP .	TOTAL SELF- GENERATED	GENERAL REVENUE SUPPORT	TOTAL SUPPORT
7,994,778	5,966,885	13,961,663 (84.0%)	2,667,973 (16.0%)	16,629,636 (100%)
8,531,946	1,960,000	·10,491,946 64.8%)	5,709,341 (35.2%)	16,201,287 (100%)
8,990,124	1,300,000	10,290,124 (56.3%)	8,002,263 (43.7%)	18,292,387 (100%)
9,849,718	6,255,002	16,104,720 (83.1%)	3,280,362 (16.9%)	19,385,082 (100%)
10,842,207	4,845,098	15,687,305 (72.8%)	5,859,323 (27.2%)	21,546,628 (100%)
15,111,791	7,230,325	22,342,116 (84.6%)	4,059,826 (15.49%)	26,401,942 (100.0%)

*Court fund expenditures are for the calendar year and include payments to county general fund but exclude judicial fund contributions.





÷.

. د

3

e

The following charts depict State Judicial Fund transfers by court funds and law library funds. The Court funds are transferred to the State Judicial Fund on a quarterly basis by the Office of the Administrative Director of the Courts. The law library funds are transferred from the county law libraries to the State Judicial Fund at the end of a fiscal year.

STATE JUDICIAL FUND TRANSFERS

COUNTY Adair Alfalfa Atoka Beaver Beckham Blaine Bryan Caddo Canadian Carter Cherokee Choctaw Cimarron Cleveland \$ Coal Comanche Cotton Craig Creek Custer Delaware Dewey Ellis Garfield Gravin Grady Grant Greer Harmon Harper Haskell Hughes Jackson Jefferson Johnston Kay Kingfisher Kiowa Latimer LeFlore Lincoln Logan McClain McClain McClain McIntosh Majshall Mayes Murray Muskogee Noble Nowata Okfuskee Oklahoma Okmulgee Osage Ottawa Pawnee Payne Pittsburg Pontotoc Pottawatomia Pushmataha Rogers Mills Rogers Seminole Sequoyah Stephens Texas Tillman Tulsa Wagoner Washington Washita Woods Woodward X Ŧ Come to Total: \$4,6 Preceding page blank

COURT FUND CONTRIBUTIONS TO STATE JUDICIAL FUND

1976 - 1980

		1976 —	1980		
_	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980
	13,659.80	\$ 7,676.07	\$ 14,129.53	\$ 30,953.40	\$ 17,858.73
	34,054.00	30,557.14	41,982.00	95,273.61	32,762.87
	29,998.16	29,949.19	34,304.87	48,452.09	29,076.58
	33,517.11	30,488.83	34,208.99	42,051.60	17,921.48
	95,124.51 56 692 27	59,663.75	84,788.45	158,026.22	70,980.26
	56,692.27 16,477.29	46,149.47 7,484.33	45,291.89 5,803.32	48,246.70 62,318.51	41,693.80 42,526.76
	100,557.39	95,247.32	79,148.26	139,979.90	110,310.75
	118,224.06 10,188.55	94,733.50	104,656.66	221,602.30	238,092.94 119,782.65
	21,177.94	12,932.11 17,514.14	39,068.53 14,767.36	124,448.80 14,001.04	9,447.24
	35,450,83	26,514.85	8,225.15	21,441.63	43,953.34
	18,410.46	15,046.80	14,809.23	31,704.64	18,865.52
	46,510.43	95,471.63	103,301.94	301,981.83	-0
	8,886.96	4,076.33	16,805.84	11,960.58	9,689.95
	207,969.11	182,513.75	162,956.74	128,893.69	87,195.30
	24,285.36	29,77636	32,547.99	33,883.04	49,354.44
	10,224,19 84,305.66	10,224,19 90,104.36	48,888.03 77,207.56	41,888,68 166,922.76	5,015.46 139,650.40
	216,317.90	207.398.84	246,674,21	272,133.29	193,915.43
	27,660.20	28,182.49	26,217.52	35,093.76	193,915.43
	17 047 40	00 070 FC	11 505 00	01 000 00	~~ ~~ ~
	17,247.40 25,324.87	22,972.56 14,155.18	11,525.26 27,602.55	21,003.88 25,466.67	29,163.81 35,087.19
	120,573,18	62,864.52	102,275.56	173,678.64	141,552.03
	119,557.09	118,024,14	134,196,40	151,831.32	122,059.20
	143,376.87	115,559.33	157,284.90	284,001.12	249,003.75
	15,064.80	10,481.84	23,653,07	17,683.63	17,683.63 12,004.42
	14,780.34	7,559,54	11,137.72	10,514.63	12,004.42
	6,268.82	7,719.72	4,511.37	15,212.10	17,006.81
	24,266.58	16,469.47	17,962.97	15,437.33	10,467.66
	10,332.28	4,581.30	9,464.77	19,413.71	12,718.65
	25,777.00 47,957.24	24,040.24 45,766.05	28,396.67 56,822.35	36,542.10 38,797.53	38,040.96 14,396.06
	47,610.83	24,894.33	58,026.77	57,157.78	26,546.08
	21,641.11	17,601.75	23,612.62	42,953.02	23,681.41
	15,732.98	4,903.35	13 465 49	70,714.24	41,854.91
	26,463.54	24,576.06	13,465.49 39,601.73	57,945.79	78,629.44
	39,845.04	38,801,65	26,786.47	46,993.07	59,093.97
	14,904.77	12,035.34	6,110.46	1,272.53	13,279.63
	3,931.79 87,441.79	2,931.01 88,206.41	89.55	1,982.30 135,049.48	—0— 128,850.66
	70,227.33	25,113.76	87,512.59 42,635.59	72,753.48	33,898.02
		••••			
	72,118.19	42,837.17	19,196.35	74,722.88	38,557.77
	81,876.53 91,194,81	86,108.12 48,541.93	65,738.01 74,914.27	83,910.98 95,115.68	94,091.20 67,231.24
	64,624.10	56,919,21	81,931,83	60,983.14	71,478.99
	62,005.30	56,719.27	56,810.97	67,876.59	41,840.40
	14,469.81	17,099.01	19,878.20	28,620.97	18,199.39
	44,733.10	23,008.08	17,579.73	62,063.60	59,285.07
	58,094.12	42,150,89	74,793.87	86,579.55	63,744.11
	100,476.24	83,913,93	129,249.28	134,790.83	59,259.42
	109,521,80	101,683.06	74,428.72	154,096.05	90,312.29
	13,829.37 42,186.05	7,148,40 40,982.16	11,779.23 53,001,25	29,548.99 47,086.50	25,621.88 94,111.77
	433,404.06	235,724.91	41,250.88	614,506.58	493,359.21
	10,908.07	13,440.41	48,516.32	55,580.40	44,966.73
	2,492.49	3,300,45	1,723.92	40,129.78	37,649.48
	62,622.82 92,586.40	49,994.09 88,497.52	65,335.56 72,268,39	111,480.38	49,687,83 0
	69,069,14	67,293,11	89,828.49	132,108.06 139,742.48	136,759.81
	45,460.97	62,054.40	86,883.74	128,702.40	59,100.10
	38,656,45	22,836.80	30,738.78	130,699.33	73,329.48
	87,103.10	112,735.33	155,585,04	245,100.93	161,415.09
	20,894.19	21,101.44	18,960,82	23,074.51	2,980.07
	15,947.40	12,995,12	17,802.10	20,571.58	38,972.52
	65,063,33	61,086.15	73,704.57	111,170.38	45,943.61
	12,129.89 79,443.19	12,299,89 104,737.15	22,584.88 129,526.29	52,877.31	42,000.14
	• -0	-0	-0-	165,128.12 -0	113,120.25 92,438.18
	57,059.01	58,758.22	43,791.26	71,011.18	20,929.89
	29,648.78	38,351.30	31,765.75	46,677.14	18,761.29
	344,751.49	274,082.78	79,989.45	488,334.39	330,830.84
	121,799.11 17,642.00	117,836,84 22,725.68	161,261.84 28.322 51	201,612,39	125,528.27
	64,862.95	53,515.39	28,322.51 65,502.46	48,685,83 125,178.41	39,893.39 70,690.41
	37,589.81	33,865.87	14,158.66	43,443.62	34,692.53
	88,100.47	76,041.48	97,217,41	72,430.18	95,218.66
i,(60,381.21	\$3,961,259,56	\$4,174,199.28	\$7,242,412.56	\$5,244,088.46

CONTRIBUTIONS TO STATE JUDICIAL FUND

F	ROM T	HE LAW	LIBRAR	ES

			LIGHTAILED					1			DEFE
COUNTY	1976	1976 — 1 1977	1980 1978	1979	1980						
Adair Alfalfa Atoka Beaver Beckham Blaine Bryan	\$ 734.86 220.38 4,797.06 0-	\$ 259.71 26.93 -0- 1,293.91 1,776.95 -0- 2,249.12	\$ _0_ _0_ 316.48 _0_ _0_ _0_	\$ -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0	\$ • O • O O				YI		TOTAL DEFENSE XPENDITURE
Caddo Canadian Carter Cherokee Choctaw Cimarron Cieveland	0 -0 942.94 0- -0 4,252.39 1,235.01	0 0 646.90 435.92 0 274.30	0- 0- 425.01 0- 0- 0- 0-	0 0 0 0 0 0	-0 0 54.53 -0 -0 -0			a de la constante de la constan	- 19	076	1,516,533
Coal Comanche Cotton Craig Creek Custer Delaware	0 809.49 38.65 3,184.29 689.09 0	-0 0 0 0 749.51 0-	-0 -0- -0- -0- -0- 894.49	-0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0	0 0 0 • 0 0		•	-		977 978	1,633,837 1,602,286
Dewey Ellis Garfield Garvin Grady Grant Greer	265.95 2,021.03 3,326.88 261.98 687.49 2,011.72 -0-	172.12 2,180.00 -0 765.90 150.76 2,365.14 574.90	0 750.80 0 944.45 0 -0 769.20	0 9.95 0 343.80 0 1.01 679.70	0 471.60 0 34.28 0 0 338.52					979 980	1,661,672 2,175,476
Harmon Harper Haskell Hughes Jackson Jackson Jefferson Johnston	48.07 1,076.89 O 659.78 O O O	0- 556.63 0- 0- 0- 4.42	-0- 118.66 -0- -0 -0- -0-	-0- -0- • -0- -0- -0-	-0- -0 * -0- -0- -0-			and a second			ĸe
Kay Kingfisher Kiowa Latimer LeFlore Lincoln Logan	3,636.86 6,012.64 0 0 0 0 0	0 13.25 0 1,719.92 0 0	_0_ _0- _0- 670.03 _0- _0-	0 0 0 0 0 0	1,964.00 0 0 0 0 0			and the state of the state of the state of the			С
Love McCfain McCurtain McIntosh Major Marshall Mayss	861.91 0 4,245.53 0- 289.50 231.43	255,89 0 52,70 0 1,165,01 0 -0	34.13 0 0 0 479.85 0	35.40 0 138.73 0 -0 -0	121.12 0 -0 261.60 0- -0					\$2,700,000 2,400,000	1. A.
Murray Muskogee Noble Nowata Okfuskee Oklahoma Oknulgee	443.61 0 0 1,709.00 84,768.45 0-	0- 0- 0- 615,25 0- 0-	0 0 368.90 0 0	-0 -0- 2,057.97 -0 -0	92.69 0- 0- 0- 0- 0-					2,100,000	
Osage Ottawa Pawnee Payne Pittsburg Pontotoc Pottawatomie	4,627,20 0 1,294.06 4,484.51 219.38 0 344.51	0- -0- -0- 1,510.66 -0- 490.23	-0 -0 -0 -0 -0 -0	-0 -0 -0- -0- -0- -0-	-0- -0- -0- -0- -0- -0-					1,500,000 1,200,000 900,000	
Pushmataha Roger Milis Rogers Seminole Sequoyah Stephens Texas	788.55 2,296.56 3,673,41 0 2,082.77	253.00 0 361.50 0 255.45	49.40 O O O 209.30	403.89 	906.89 0 0 0 0		•			600,000 300,000	
Tillman Tulsa Wagoner Washington Washita Woods Woods	0 0 0- 4,081.71 2,871.88 33,86	0 0 2,721.64 967.95 1,371.30 1,603.64	0 0 1,047.64 705.40 0 0	-0- -0- 229,56 1,222,70 -0-	0 0 0 0						1976
TOTALS:	\$ 156,161.38	\$ 27,740.51	\$ 7,983,74	<u> </u>	0 \$ 4,245.32						ct .


*Reports not included

116

DEFENSE SERVICES FOR INDIGENT PERSONS (Trial Courts from Court Fund)

ATTORNEY FEES TRIAL COURT	ATTORNEY FEES APPEALS	TRANSCRIPTS ORDERED BY THE COURT
1,240,541	62,387	213,605
1,228,326	89,793	205,718
 1,341,647	70,936	189,703
1,466,333	47,644	147,696
1,802,576	161,706	211,193

KEY – Total Defense Services Attorney Fees–Trial Court Attorney Fees-Appeals Transcripts Ordered By the Court * * *



117

COURT FUND EXPENDITURES FOR PROVIDING DEFENSE SERVICES TO INDIGENT PERSONS

COURT APPOINTED ATTORNEY FEES FOR INDIGENTS

TRANSCRIPTS ORDERED BY THE COURT

	COUNTY	TRIAL COURT	APPEALS	PUBLIC DEFENDER PROGRAM	TOTAL FEES	PRELIMINARY HEARINGS OR TRIAL	APPEALS	TOTAL TRANSCRIPTS	TOTAL EXPENDITU	
	Adair	9.485.00	-0-	-0	9,485.00	246.00	-0-	246.00	9,731.00	
	Alfalfa	3,902.75	-0-	-0-	3,902.75	-0	40.50	40.50	3,943,25	
	Atoka	10,855.00	-0	-0-	10,855.00	63.00	-0-	63.00	10,918.00	
	Beaver Beckham	1,905.00	-0-	-0	1,905.00	-0-	145.00	145.00	2,050.00	
	Blaine	8,700.00	2,000.00	-0-	10,700.00	3,277.50	1,669.50	4,947.00	15,647.00	
	Bryan	6.060.00 27,075.00	500.00	-0 -0-	6,060.00 27.573.00	2,661.00 1,444.50	-0- 1,200.00	2,661.00 2,644.50	8,721.00 30,219.50	
	Caddo	18,050.06	0	-0-	18,050.06	1 215 50	-0	1 215 50	10 205 50	
	Canadian	2,625.00	-0-	0	2,625.00	1,315.50 1,377.00	-0-	1,315,50 1,377.00	19,365,56 4,002.00	
	Carter	53,161.42	-0	-0-	53,161,42	3,999.00	3,722.00	7,721,00	60,882,42	
	Cherokee	12,150.00	-0	597.00	12,150.00	1,310,50	-0	1,310.00	13.460.50	
	Choctaw	9,320.50	1,200.00	-0-	10,520.00	2,220.00	817.50	3,037.50	13,558,00	
	Cimarron Cleveland	1,025.00	0- 0-	0 0	1,025.00	-0-	-0-	-0-	1,025.00	
	Greveland	50,320.00	0	0	50,320.00	10,341.40	-0-	10,341.00	60,661,65	
	Coal	9,465.00	-0	-0	9,465.00	-0-	-0	-0-	9,465.00	
	Comanche	135,490.00	-0	-0-	135,490.00	19,498.00	0	19,498.00	154,988.00	
	Cotton Craig	14,075.00	-0	-0	14,075.00	1,659,19	109.50	1,768.69	15,843.69	
	Creek	19,820.00 19,176.50	4,650.79	-0- -0-	24,470.79	1,980.00	769.50	2,749.50	27,220.29	
	Custer	17,975.00	-0-	-0 -0	32,742.50	2,594.25	1,603.50 0	4,197,75	36,940.25	
	Delaware	7,775.00	-0-	-0	17,975.00 7,775.00	3,923.00 0	0	3,923.00	21,898.00 7,775.00	
	Dewey Ellis	965.00	~0	965.00	965.00	174.00	-0	174.00	1,139.00	
	Garfield	1,750.00 30,300.00	0 250.00	0 0	1,750.00	55.50	-0-	55.50	1,805.50	
	Garvin	6,050,00	250.00	-0	30,550.00 6,300.00	4,183.50 1,124.00	1,900.50 0	6,084.00 1,124.00	36,634,00 7,424,00	
	Grady	25,835.00	-0-	-0-	25,835.00	913.75	840.00	1,753.75	27,588.75	
	Grant	1,300.00	-0-	0	1,300.00	70.00	-0-	70.00	1,370.00	
	Greer	6,550.00	0	0	6,550.00	1,399.43	442.50	1,841.93	8,391,93	
	Harmon	2,760.00	-0-	0	2,760.00	390.00	-0-	390.00	3,150,00	
	Harper	2,650.00	-0-	-0	2,650.00	580.50	922.50	1,503.00	4,153,00	
	Haskell	10,450.00	-0-	-0-	10,450.00	0	-0-	-0	10,450.00	
	Hughes	19,856.00	0	·0	10,856.00	498.00	-0~	498,00	11,354,00	
	Jackson	8,130.00	-0	0	8,130.00	6.00	1,384.50	1,390.50	9,520,50	
	Jefferson	4,150.00	0	0	4,150.00	41.00	96,00	137.00	4,287.00	
	Johnston	5,769.00	250.00	-0	6,019.00	74.00	19.50	93.50	6,112.50	
	Kay	32,145.00	1,950.00	785.50	34,095.00	1,035.00	-0	1,035.00	35,130.00	
	Kingfisher	7,574.00	-0-	0	7,574.00	725.56	-0	725.56	8,299.56	
	Kiowa	5,925,00	0	0	5,925.00	126.00	-0-	126.00	8,051.00	
	Latimer	4,695.00	0	-0-	4,695.00	502.50	-0-	502.50	5,197.50	
	LeFlore	40,977.90	2,500.00	-0	43,477.90	3,760.00	967.00	4,727.00	43,204.90	
	Lincoln Logan	7,516.00 20,115.00	250.00 750.00	-0 0	7,865.00 20,865.00	1,157.50 1,614.00	825.00 445.50	1,982.50 2,059.50	9,847,50 22,924,50	
				•	20,000.00	1,014.00		2,000,00	22,324,00	
	Love	5,445.00	-0	-0-	5,445.00	1,912.00	-0-	1,912.00	7,357,00	
	Major Marshali	1,485.15 7,132.22	0 0	·0 0	1,485.15	-0	0 0	-0-	1,485,15	
	Mayis	19,503.29	250.00	-0-	7,132.22 19,753,29	348.00 3.039.00	890.50	-0- 3,929.50	7,480.72	
	Mc lain	7,538.22	-0-	-0-	7,538.22	863.60	0	863.60	23,682.79 8,401.82	
	M ,Currain	17,383.10	750,00	-0	18,133.10	2,184,50	15.00	2,199.50	20,332,60	
	Licintosh	9,257.00	· -0-	-0-	9,257,00	586,50	1,222.50	1,809.00	11,066,00	
	Murray	9,865.00	600.00	-0-	10,465.00	1,495.50	-0	1,495.50	11,960.50	
	Muskogee	50,650,00	1,000.00	0	52,650,00	8,619.00	1,245.00	9,864.00	61,514.00	
	Noble	14,125.00	0	-0-	14,125.00	2,386.50	-0-	2,366.50	16,491,50	
	Nowata	4,800.00	1,000.00	-0	5,800.00	-0	37;50	37.50	5,837,50	
	Okfuskee	3,224.46	-0	-0	3,224.46	433.50	-0-	433.50	3,657,96	
	Oklahoma Okmulgee	24,483.57 11,158.00	113,974.68 0	324,984.89 0	138,458,25 11,158.00	11,932.20 1,975,50	10,985.00 694;50	22,917.20 2,652.00	161,375,45 13,810,00	
					11,130.00	1,070,00	034,00	2,002.00	13,010,00	
	Osage	6,708.00	500.00	-0	7,208.00	2,097.00	651.00	2,748.00	9,956,00	
	Ottawa	35,820,00	3,013.85	-0-	38,833.85	1,021.50	2,114.50	3,136.00	41,969,85	
	Pawnee Payne	750.00 82,640.00	-0- 1,250.00	725.00	750,00	289.50	-0-	289.50	1,039.50	
	Pittsburg	27,672.00	150.00	0 0	83,890.00 27,922.00	2,166.00 1,326.25	570.00 3,678.00	2,736.00 5,004.25	86,626,00 32,826,25	
	Pontotoc	17,235.36	-0	-0	17,235.36	1,662.50	346.50	2,009.00	19,244.36	
	Pottawatomie	35,466,90	-0-	-0	35,466.90	4,865.30	-0	4,865,30	40,332.20	
	Pushmataha	4,415.00	200.00	0	4,615.00	711.00	-0-	711.00	E 336 00	
	Roger Mills	1,550.00	-0~	0	1,550.00	493.50	-0	711.00 493.50	5,326.00 2,043,50	
	Rogers	22,755.00	1,550.36	-0-	24,305.36	1,723.50	1,350.00	3,073,50	27,378.86	
	Seminole	11,043,15	-0	-0	11,043.15	1,245.00	637.00	1,882.00	12,925,15	
	Sequoyah	9,990.00	6,500.00	-0-	16,490.00	1,700.50	-0	1,700.00	18,190,50	
	Stephens	29,481.25	-0	-0-	29.481;25	1,278.50	118.50	1,297.00	30,878,25	
	Texas	13,214,00	350.00	-0	13,564.00	1,698.50	-0	1,698.50	15,262,50	
	Tillman	5,400.00	-0-	-0-	5,400.00	283.50	-0	283.50	5,683,50	
	Tulsa Wagoner	-0~	-0-	256,496.00	-0-	9,163.62	15,508,50	24,672.12	24,672.12	
	Wagoner Washington	8,354.18	-0 2,500.00	-0-	8,354,18	4,170.00	0	4,170.50	12,524,68	
	Washita	29,247;00 3,695.00	2,500.00	-0 -0	31,747.00 3,695,00	3,543.50	457.50 660.25	4,001.00	35,748,00	
	Woods	4,400.00	-0	-0	4,400.00	38, 00	-0-	660,25 387.00	4,355.25 4,787.00	
	Woodward	8,128.20	-0-	-0-	8,128.20	34.50	151,50	186.00	8,314,20	
•	TOTALS:	1,218,988.43	161,705,68	583,588.39	1,964,282.50	151,939.55	59,253.75	211,193.30	2,175,475,80	

	COURT FUNDS EXPENDITURE FOR
	OPERATION OF
COUNTY	THE COURT
Adair	47,175.51
Alfalfa	29,748.11
Atoka	46,897.06
Beaver Beckham	42,168.18 67,502.79
Blaine	87,593.84
Bryan	119,310.31
Caddo	137,702.94
Canadian Carter	185,786.97 249,628.88
Cherokee	147,819.86
Choctaw	56,569.61
Cimarron Cleveland	23,415.98 258,505.26
Coal	35,516.80
Comanche	512,948.89
Cotton	52,035.19
Craig Creek	124,228.41
Custer	89,682.78
Deleware	64,404.14
L\ewey El\is	24,383.73 18,686.94
Garsield	227,187.76
Garvin	84,946.13
Grady	143,040.76
Grant Greer	32,637.86
Harmon	38,754.26 26,982.41
Harper	27,467.85
Haskell	46,214.23
Hughes Jackson	50,513.06 131,564.39
Jefferson	47,170.01
Johnston	60,016.51
Kay	198,536.15
Kingfisher Kiowa	62,022.16 50,535.33
Latimer	40,623.87
LeFlore	188,109.40
Lincoln Logan	88,111.44 130,383.16
Love	51,335.30
Major	29,802.88
Marshall	61,885.28
Mayes McClain	180,193.28 72,623.53
McCurtain	108,367.43
McIntosh	115,193.84
Murray Muskogee	63,485.36 351,491.45
Noble	65,141.85
Nowata	30,379.76
Okfuskee Oklahoma	36,463.30
Okmulgee	1,779,692.11 122,445.61
Osage	123,770.37
Ottawa	158,770.37
Pawnee	305,199.00
Payne Pittsburg	185,257.85 187,739.31
Pontotoc	163,256.85
Pottawatomie	192,885.73
Pushmataha Basan Milla	53,870.00
Roger Mills Rogers	12,422.03 269,187.78
Seminole	100,589.87
Sequoyah	123,939.47
Stephens Texas	165,836.60 86,982.67
Tillman	50,150.35
Tulsa	1,934,489.34
Wagoner	109,059,63
Washington Washita	138,191.30 53,683.42
Woods	41,452.27
Woodward	65,629.26
TOTAL:	11,867,772.25

1

Ŧ

EXPENDITURES LOCALLY FOR OPERATION OF DISTRICT COURTS

'=" ---- ?._?;

PAID COUNTY GENERAL FUND	PAID LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING FUND	EXPENDITURE FROM COUNTY GENERAL FUND FOR COURT CLERK	TOTALS
20,637.98	1,604.00	23,029.90	92,457.39
-0- F 100 00	1,518.00	36,698.60	67,964,71
5,109.00 13,980.96	1,831.00 1,800.00	24,411.90 36,824,78	78,248.96 94,773.92
27,015.00	3,521.00	40,149.81	138,188.60
10,335.00	2,797.00	54,791.95	155,517.79
27,798.00	5,103.00	61,689.61	213,900.92
29,035.44 12,316.00	8,753.00 7,479,24	102,387.17 112,203.68	277,205.55 317.785.89
4.073.04	7,885.00	128,923.72	389,923.72
10,149.00	2,521.00	23,298.10	183,887.96
32,922.96	3,675.00	26,277.55	119,445.12
8,055.96 10,791.00	1,251.00 5,246.00	21,869.52 156,549.86	54,592.46 431,092.12
4,791.00	771.00	16,736.00	57,814.80
96,135.96	15,019.00	213,661.95	837,765.80
9,231.00	2,903.00	18,382.80	82,551.99
11,459.37	3,394.00	26,298,94	165,380.77
25,375,00 16,520,04	7,422.00 8,056.00	111,363.30 41,400.03	325,771.36 155,658.85
9,364,08	1,754.00	37,260.61	112,782.83
27,537.70	1,240.00	24,034.57	52,412.00
1,250.00	1,758.00	22,648.81	44,343.75
11,259.96 10,897.98	6,010.00	126,913.80	371,371.01 136,256.32
31,899.00	4,630.00 11,855.00	35,782.21 89,825.06	276,619.82
-0-	1,713.00	26,364.58	60,715.44
-0-	1,181.00	19,217.95	59,152.21
-0	1,877.00	17,275.12	46,134.53
3,822.00	1,159.00	19,763.92	52,212.77
14,726.55	1,982.00 2,868.00	16,396.66 24,865.25	79,319.44 78,246.31
7,625.00	3,849.00	34,184.03	174,222.42
4,481.63	2,505.00	20,417.48	74,575.12
4,688.01	2,212.00	25,025.58	91,942.10
17,747.00 5,148.00	5,334.00 4,260.00	109,480.57 67,023.89	331,097.72 138,454.05
11,328.00	3,913.00	34,420.17	100,196,50
-0	1,374.00	17,314.08	59,311.95
13,016.04	2,429.00	65,623.53	269,177.97
19,872.00	5,804.00	36,359.48	150,146.94
28,702.00 9,073.80	4,007.00 2,577.00	49,766.66 18,060.00	212,858.82 81,046.10
-0-	1,497.00	38,800 88	70,106.76
-0	1,866.00	20,591.50	84,342.78
-0-	4,804.00	44,729.52	229,726.80
29,915.00 3,102.96	6,344.00 2,589.00	39,903.71 36,679.00	148,786.24 150,738.39
13,665.96	6,800.00	24,749.67	160,409.47
24,468,96	5,378.00	16,858.56	110,190.88
-0	7,859.00	90,532.91	449,883.36
16,779.00 1,164.50	2,240.00 1,294.00	35,900.19 26,029.44	120,061.04
6,711.00	4,574.00	20,639.00	68,387.30
-0-	21,736.00	1,247,296.28	3,048,724.39
16,306.00	3,660.00	53,628.93	196,040.56
19,970.00	4,846.00	71,623.75	219,660.34
13,604,00	3,276.00 4,342.00	77,503.12 20,127.96	253,153.49 329,668.96
26,188.68	7,306,00	79,654.32	29,840.85
34,601.00	6,383.00	75,035.98	303,759.29
-0	3,593.00	55,138.33	221,988.18
2,143.30	6,620.00 1,524.00	111,284.67 11,021.28	312,933.70 66,518.87
-0	932.00	21,822.76	35,176.79
24,549,96	7,673.00	50,166.16	351,576.90
17,368.00	3,260.00	61,960.06	183,177.93
9,569.00 —0—	5,828.00 5,784.00	34,762.53 77,246.61	174,098.99 248,867.21
21,743.04	3,532.00	51,099.37	163,357.08
-0-	1,689.00	29,211.30	81,050.65
0	13,620.40	1,139,667.52	3,087,777.26
33,441.96	6,837.00	36,458.33	185,796.92
-0 3,789.00	3,599.00 3,107.00	89,391.14 3,138.34	231,181,44 91,957,76
-0	1,357.00	31,806.60	74,615.87
-0	3,329.00	69,348.68	138,306.94
902,563.28	737,918.64	6,136,568.65	19,244,822.82

COUNTY LAW LIBRARIES

Under authority of 20 O.S. 1971 § 1201, a County Law Library may be established in each county. The Library use is free to all members of the Oklahoma Bar Association, all state and county officials, and residents of the county, but with proper regulations. The Law Library is funded by deposits from the court fund upon payment of court costs for filing certain civil actions. All expenditures for books, periodicals, reference materials, and maintenance of the Law Library are made from these funds. An additional transfer of funds for operational expenses can be made from the Court Fund under certain prevailing conditions.

The following chart illustrates the revenue and expenditures for the County Law Libraries. In some counties the expenditures exceeded the collections. This does not necessarily mean the operation was in the "red". However, the expenditures made were from surplus funds accumulated over the years in the funds retained for operation of the Law Library.

COUNTY

121

1.4

OPERATION OF COUNTY LAW LIBRARIES

1980

REVENUE		EXPENDITURES
\$.		¢
4,812.00		4,814.45
4,078.50		2,769.85
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		*
6,079.00		6,378.41
6,618.50		6,741.40
5,975.50		7,642.82
7,572.00		7,005.68
12,243.50		11,723.63
7,625.00		12,366.73
4,654.00		5,872.33
4,560.00		5,078.47 4,284.75
12,920.00		14,026.22
4,036.00		3,811.15
18,876.50		19,061,42
5,358.00		4,454.16
6,826.50		6,836.76
		•
7,689.50		7,773.70
5,619.00		5,686.50
1,588.50 2,298.00	•	1,612.20
14,846.05		1,443.95
4,800.50		14,375.14
9,234.00		4,183.80
5,016.00		7,035.62 4,362.58
589.50		783.30
2,981.30		2,957.35
4,395.50		4,465.45
•		•
5,814.50		5,346.97
9,570.00		7,538.83
5,782.00		5,151.95
3,462.00		2,931.22
16,949.50 6,721.00		10,786.43
7,034.00		6,728.54
6,057.00		6,583.07
5,632.50		6,104.17
7,605.50		5,459.99 7,573.45
7,941.00		8,023.59
2,969.50		2,544.20
4,512.50		4,238.70
5,714.00		5,589.47
5,040.50		4,008.50
* 4 101 00		*
4,101.00 8,612.00		4,011.55
6,264.00		8,571.96
15,406.64		4,609.50
5,000.00		12,964.08 4.725.70
5,193.50		4,585.92
5,282.00		6,785.62
125,300.95		123,887.70
7,922.50		7,893.68
8,287.00		7,996.85
7,409.00		6,979.85
5,969.00		4,719.67
11,476.50		12,732.02
10,249,00		9,147.90
7,925.69 10,871.50		7,993.14
10,071.00		10,302.25
939.50		1,619,17
10,489.50		10,268.40
8,210.85		8,211.47
•		*
11,253.50		11,134.97
9,062.00		9,183.40
6,404.00		5,815.85
78,040.00		65,031.34
•		
5,937,50		6 680 26
6,110.50		6,680.26 5,895.74
7,775.50	1. Sec. 1. Sec	7,765.00
		- 1- 00.00
		the second s

17

