NOTICE

NIJ held a teleconference on April 9, 2014, from 2:30–3:30 p.m. eastern time for parties interested in submitting proposals for funding to host the Small, Rural, Tribal, and Border (SRTB) Regional Center within the National Law Enforcement and Corrections Technology Center (NLECTC) system (NIJ–2014-3764) and/or to operate a National Criminal Justice Technology Information Resource Center within the National Law Enforcement and Corrections Technology Centers (NLECTC) system (NIJ–2014-3731). Visit http://nij.ncjrs.gov/multimedia/audio-fy2014-nlectc-teleconference.htm to listen to a recording or read a transcript of the teleconference.
The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), National Institute of Justice (NIJ) is seeking applications for funding to operate a center within the National Law Enforcement and Corrections Technology Center (NLECTC) System that will assist criminal justice agencies in identifying effective tools and technologies and adopting them into practice. This program furthers the Department’s mission by sponsoring research to provide objective, independent, evidence-based knowledge and tools to meet the challenges of crime and justice, particularly at the State and local levels.

**National Criminal Justice Technology Information Resource Center**

**Eligibility**

In general, NIJ is authorized to make grants to, or enter into contracts or cooperative agreements with, States (including territories), units of local government (including federally recognized Indian tribal governments as determined by the Secretary of the Interior), nonprofit and for-profit organizations (including tribal nonprofit or for-profit organizations), institutions of higher education (including tribal institutions of higher education), and certain qualified individuals. For-profit organizations must agree to forgo any profit or management fee. Foreign governments, foreign organizations, and foreign institutions of higher education are not eligible to apply.

NIJ welcomes applications that involve two or more entities, however, one eligible entity must be the applicant and the other(s) must be proposed as subrecipient(s). The applicant must be the entity with primary responsibility for conducting and leading the project.

NIJ may elect to make awards for applications submitted under this solicitation in future fiscal years, dependent on the merit of the applications and on the availability of appropriations.

**Deadline**

Applicants must register with Grants.gov prior to submitting an application. (See “How to Apply,” page 24.) All applications are due by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on June 16, 2014. (See “Deadlines: Registration and Application,” page 3.)

All applicants are encouraged to read this Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov.

**Contact Information**

For technical assistance with submitting an application, contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800-518-4726 or 606-545-5035, or via e-mail to support@grants.gov. The Grants.gov Support Hotline hours of operation are 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except federal holidays.

Applicants that experience unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond their control that prevent them from submitting their application by the deadline must e-mail the NIJ contact identified below within 24 hours after the application deadline and request approval to submit their application.

For assistance with any other requirements of this solicitation, contact ST-Research@ojp.usdoj.gov. General information on applying for NIJ awards can be found at www.nij.gov/funding/Pages/welcome.aspx. Answers to frequently asked questions that may assist applicants are posted at www.nij.gov/funding/Pages/faqs.aspx.

Grants.gov number assigned to this announcement: NIJ–2014-3731

Release date: March 18, 2014
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National Criminal Justice Technology Information Resource Center
(CFDA No. 16.560)

Overview

With this solicitation, NIJ seeks proposals to operate a National Criminal Justice Technology Information Resource Center within the National Law Enforcement and Corrections Technology Center (NLECTC) System. This center will assist federal, state, local, and tribal law enforcement, corrections, and courts agencies in (1) identifying effective tools and technologies to meet their operational needs and (2) adopting them into practice. The activities of this center will involve:

- Identifying relevant tools and technologies, as well as matters affecting their adoption into practice (e.g., case law, health and safety risks, operational capabilities and limitations, etc.).
- Communicating that information to agencies in a manner that fosters their adoption

This center will administer the NIJ Standards and Testing Program as part of its larger effort to identify effective tools and technologies. The goal of this program is to ensure that the equipment bought by criminal justice agencies is safe and effective. The program (1) develops and maintains performance-testing standards for the unique equipment used by criminal justice agencies, (2) identifies tools and technologies that conform to these standards, and (3) disseminates that information.


Deadlines: Registration and Application

Applicants must register with Grants.gov prior to submitting an application. OJP encourages applicants to register several weeks before the application submission deadline. In addition, OJP urges applicants to submit applications 72 hours prior to the application due date. The deadline to apply for funding under this announcement is 11:59 p.m. eastern time on June 16, 2014. See “How to Apply” on page 24 for details.

Eligibility

Refer to the title page for eligibility under this program.

Program-Specific Information

NIJ’s Science and Technology (S&T) program sponsors research, development, testing, and evaluation efforts to improve the safety and effectiveness of the tools and technologies used by
criminal justice agencies. Although federal agencies are part of NIJ’s constituency, NIJ’s primary focus is on the needs of State and local agencies.

Background

The National Law Enforcement and Corrections Technology Center (NLECTC) System is an integral part of NIJ’s S&T program. The NLECTC System informs NIJ technology research, development, testing, and evaluation (RDT&E) activities and provides technology assistance to criminal justice agencies. The NLECTC System provides technology assistance primarily through informational services rather than through direct services or training.

The NLECTC System has evolved over the years in an effort to make it more effective and sustainable. By the end of FY 2014, the NLECTC System is expected to consist of three centers, in addition to the National Criminal Justice Technology Information Resource Center addressed in this solicitation, and one activity. These are:

- **The Forensic Technology Center of Excellence.** This center identifies the technology needs of public crime laboratories and evaluates technologies and forensic processes. It also conducts activities to help effectively transition forensic technologies into the field.

- **A Small, Rural, Tribal, and Border Regional (SRTB) Center.** A solicitation is being released in FY 2014 for proposals to operate this center, which will identify and evaluate technology-based solutions to meet the unique operational needs of SRTB law enforcement, courts, and corrections agencies. It will also disseminate the results of those evaluations to relevant agencies across the United States.

- **A Criminal Justice Technology Research, Test, and Evaluation (RT&E) Center.** This center, established in 2013 through an award to The Johns Hopkins University, conducts directed RT&E activities to inform NIJ’s nonforensic research and development (R&D) efforts and, more broadly, NIJ’s efforts to inform the field concerning technology and related issues.

- **An activity to identify the highest-priority criminal justice technology needs.** This activity, initiated in 2013 through an award to the RAND Corporation, will produce annual reports identifying the highest-priority technology needs of law enforcement, courts, and corrections agencies. It will also produce more frequent, shorter topical and other analyses to inform NIJ’s technology RDT&E activities.

The hyperlinks to the Johns Hopkins and RAND awards above lead to brief summaries of the work proposed and to the solicitations under which the awards were made. The applicant should write to the activities described in those solicitations when discussing how the Criminal Justice Technology Information Resource Center’s activities relate to those of The Johns Hopkins University or RAND. Likewise, when discussing how the center’s activities relate to those of the proposed SRTB Center, the applicant should write to the activities described in the solicitation for that Center, as found at the hyperlink.

The activities envisioned for the National Criminal Justice Technology Information Resource Center are in part currently being conducted by the NLECTC National Center, which will be discontinued. The National Center uses the Justice Technology Information Network as one of its primary means for disseminating information. The hyperlink to the National Center will take
applicants to a website that describes its activities. This is done for informational purposes only and should be considered as neither endorsement nor disapproval of those activities.

**What a Proposal Should Discuss**

**Identifying Relevant Tools and Technologies and Communicating That Information.** In the description of the approach being proposed, the applicant should explain (1) how the applicant proposes to identify relevant, effective tools and technologies; (2) how the applicant will make that information available; and (3) why the applicant’s proposed approach will be successful in “getting the message across” to agency decision-makers. With regard to getting the message across, the applicant should consider an NIJ-funded report, *Keeping Law Enforcement Connected*, which, in part, examined the effectiveness of the outreach efforts of the NLECTC System. The proposal must demonstrate the applicant’s capability to implement the proposed approach.

In discussing the approach, the applicant should explain how what it proposes to do will differ from and complement the many other sources of information on tools and technologies available to agency decision-makers. In other words, what is the “value added” of the National Criminal Justice Technology Information Resource Center as proposed by the applicant? The applicant should also explain how the activities proposed for this center relate to other NIJ-funded activities aimed at providing information about tools and technologies to the field. This explanation should include a discussion of how the applicant envisions the center’s activities relating to those of the other three centers and one activity that NIJ expects will be included in the NLECTC system by the end of FY 2014. The explanation should also cover how those activities will relate to and complement NIJ’s own information activities, such as its website.

Finally, the applicant’s proposal should discuss how the applicant proposes to measure the effectiveness of its approach in getting the message across to agency decision-makers.

**Administering the NIJ Standards and Testing Program.** The proposal should explain how the applicant would administer the NIJ Standards and Testing Program. The proposal should demonstrate the applicant’s understanding and capabilities as they relate to development of equipment performance testing standards and administration of a compliance testing program to certify, validate, and mark or otherwise recognize tools and technologies that conform to those standards, potentially under different certification systems. The proposal should also demonstrate the applicant’s understanding and capabilities with respect to comparative evaluations.

The applicant will not be expected to develop or revise a standard with the funds being made available with this solicitation. NIJ expects that standards currently in development will be completed under existing awards.

Applicants should note that NIJ standards are voluntary and not regulatory in nature. Neither manufacturers nor criminal justice agencies are required to use them.

An applicant’s proposal should include a credible approach to transitioning NIJ’s testing programs from the current NLECTC National Center to the proposed National Criminal Justice Technology Information Resource Center. NIJ manages two types of testing programs: (1) standards-based testing and (2) comparative evaluations. These two types of programs currently involve eight items of equipment. NIJ expects that this number will fall as the Institute
moves to greater use of third-party certification, but not during the initial 12 months of the center’s operation.

NIJ itself does not test equipment. Testing is conducted by independent test laboratories that meet ISO/IEC 17025:2005 (General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories), among other requirements. NIJ does not fund test laboratories; testing is paid for by the entity that submits an item of equipment for testing.

The voluntary NIJ Ballistic Body Armor Compliance Testing Program is by far the largest component of NIJ’s testing programs. In 2012, 89 models of ballistic-resistant body armor were submitted for testing. In addition to initial testing, follow-up inspection and testing was conducted on 64 models that complied with NIJ Standard 0101.06 Ballistic-Resistance of Body Armor. For reference, only 19 models of stab-resistant body armor and 11 models of restraints were submitted for initial testing.

The proposal should demonstrate that the applicant has the staff and other resources necessary to administer the NIJ Standards and Testing Program successfully. At a minimum, the proposal should demonstrate capabilities and expertise in the following:

- Development of equipment performance testing
- Test method development and validation
- Equipment testing
- Conformity assessment requirements for certification bodies
- Third-party certification systems
- Inspection body accreditation
- Quality management systems

To demonstrate their capabilities and expertise further, applicants are asked to describe how they propose to administer the NIJ Ballistic Body Armor Compliance Testing Program. This description should be included as an appendix to the applicant’s program narrative (see page 12, “What an Application Should Include”). This appendix should not exceed 10 double-spaced pages in 12-point font with 1-inch margins.

In discussing their approach to administering the NIJ Ballistic Body Armor Compliance Testing Program, applicants are asked to address in particular how applicable ISO standards would be incorporated. Applicants are also asked to address how automated data processing systems would be used to enhance accountability; provide transparency to entities submitting body armor for testing; and offer NIJ ready access to data from that testing for research purposes, all while providing for data security and privacy.

The current NIJ Ballistic Body Armor Compliance Testing Program requires retention of a physical example in addition to the design specifications of the initial test article. Some 4,500 test articles are presently retained. NIJ is reviewing that requirement. In developing their
approach to administering the NIJ Ballistic Body Armor Compliance Testing Program, applicants should not assume that collection and storage of initial test articles is necessarily a requirement.

Goals, Objectives, Deliverables, and Scholarly Products

The ultimate goal of this solicitation is to foster the introduction into practice of safer, more effective tools and technologies to reduce crime and promote justice. With this solicitation, NIJ seeks innovative, cost-effective, measurable approaches to accomplishing this goal. The proposed National Criminal Justice Technology Information Resource Center will, it is hoped, become the “go-to” source for information on tools and technologies for law enforcement, courts, and corrections agency decision-makers across the United States.

The principal deliverable resulting from this award will be a ready source of current information on relevant tools and technologies. This will include information on matters affecting the adoption of these tools and technologies into practice, as well as information on their performance.

That performance information will be provided in part by the NIJ Standards and Testing Program, which the successful applicant will administer. Continued administration of this program is a major goal of this solicitation.

This award is expected to result in multiple research projects, including the development of equipment performance standards and test methods. In addition to dissemination of the information resulting from these projects to law enforcement, courts, and corrections agencies across the United States, NIJ expects that the successful applicant will, as relevant, publish articles in a recognized scholarly forum, such as, but not limited to, the ASTM Journal of Testing and Evaluation. The substance of such articles may involve the breadth of a project or significant portions of a project that offer unique contributions to science. NIJ expects that the successful applicant will also publish other articles in relevant trade and professional journals, such as The Police Chief, Corrections Today, and Justice System Journal.

Some of these projects may also result in data sets. NIJ requires submission of all data sets produced or collected for the funded project, along with any documentation and artifact(s) associated with the project data.

---

Important Notice Regarding Scholarly Products Expected to Result from All NIJ Research, Development, and Evaluation Grant Awards

To help ensure that NIJ’s grant-funded research, development, and evaluation awards produce scholarly products of the highest possible quality, NIJ has implemented certain changes in its Fiscal Year 2014 solicitations. Accordingly, NIJ strongly encourages applicants to read this solicitation in its entirety and pay particular attention to NIJ’s expectations in the “Goals, Objectives, Deliverables, and Expected Scholarly Products” section, above, and to the “Performance Measures” section, below. Namely, NIJ has changed requirements regarding project technical deliverables and increased expectations regarding grantee publication of scholarly products. These changes will also be reflected in the special conditions attached to awards beginning in FY2014.

---

1 See “Additional Requirements” section of this solicitation, below, for additional information.
Amount and Length of Awards

NIJ anticipates that it will make one award of up to $4M for a 12-month project period under this solicitation. NIJ anticipates that the total period for this award will be from three to five years. In deciding whether supplemental funds – if any – will be provided following the initial 12-month project period funded under this solicitation, NIJ will consider (among other factors) the availability of funding, strategic priorities, NIJ’s assessment of the quality of management of the award (e.g., timeliness and quality of progress reports), and NIJ’s assessment of the progress of the work funded under the award.

NIJ recommends that the applicant’s Budget Narrative and Budget Detail Worksheet be presented in discrete phases that are aligned with specific project milestones contained in the applicant’s research plan that NIJ can measure (e.g., develop a sampling plan, develop and test data collection instruments, demonstrate proof-of-concept, full implementation, and analysis). To allow time for, among other things, any necessary post-award review, modification, and clearance by OJP of the proposed budget, applicants should propose an award start date of January 1, 2015.

All awards are subject to the availability of appropriated funds and to any modifications or additional requirements that may be imposed by law.

Type of Award

NIJ anticipates that any award under this solicitation will be made in the form of a cooperative agreement, which is a particular type of grant used when NIJ expects to have ongoing substantial involvement in award activities. NIJ will provide input and re-direction to the program as needed, in consultation with the recipient, and will actively monitor the project by methods including but not limited to ongoing contact with the recipient. NIJ may be involved with the applicant in such activities as determination of research design, design of data collection instruments, and/or determination of sites for research. As appropriate to the applicant’s functions under the award, NIJ will work with the applicant in accomplishing the following tasks, among others: (a) development of test and evaluation plans or protocols for specific technologies, (b) identification of sites for test, evaluation, and demonstration activities, (c) processes for identification of criminal justice technology requirements, and (d) development of technical assistance and related training plans.

As discussed later in the solicitation, important rules (including limitations) apply to any conference/meeting/training costs under cooperative agreements.

Evaluation Research

If an application includes an evaluation research component (or consists entirely of evaluation research), the application is expected to propose to use random selection and assignment of participants to experimental and control conditions, if feasible. Applications that include evaluation research but do not propose to use randomization should explain clearly why randomization is not feasible, and should propose a strong quasi-experimental design that can address the risk of selection bias.

Also, applications that include evaluation research are expected to seriously consider incorporation of cost/benefit analysis. NIJ views cost/benefit analysis as an effective way to
communicate and disseminate findings from evaluation research and best serve our criminal justice constituents.

**Please note:** Any recipient of an award under this solicitation will be required to comply with Department of Justice regulations on confidentiality and human subjects’ protection. See “Other Requirements for OJP Applications” at [www.ojp.usdoj.gov/funding/other_requirements.htm](http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/funding/other_requirements.htm).

### Budget Information

**What will not be funded:**

- Proposals primarily to purchase equipment, materials, or supplies. (A budget may include these items if they are necessary to conduct research, development, demonstration, evaluation, or analysis.)

- Proposals that are not responsive to this specific solicitation.

### Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver

With respect to any award of more than $250,000 made under this solicitation, recipients may not use federal funds to pay total cash compensation (salary plus cash bonuses) to any employee of the award recipient at a rate that exceeds 110% of the maximum annual salary payable to a member of the Federal Government’s Senior Executive Service (SES) at an agency with a Certified SES Performance Appraisal System for that year. The 2014 salary table for SES employees is available at [www.opm.gov/salary-tables](http://www.opm.gov/salary-tables). Note: A recipient may compensate an employee at a greater rate, provided the amount in excess of this compensation limitation is paid with non-federal funds. (Any such additional compensation will not be considered matching funds where match requirements apply.)

The Director of the National Institute of Justice may exercise discretion to waive, on an individual basis, the limitation on compensation rates allowable under an award. An applicant requesting a waiver should include a detailed justification in the budget narrative of the application. Unless the applicant submits a waiver request and justification with the application, the applicant should anticipate that OJP will request the applicant to adjust and resubmit the budget.

The justification should include the particular qualifications and expertise of the individual, the uniqueness of the service the individual will provide, the individual’s specific knowledge of the program or project being undertaken with award funds, and a statement explaining that the individual’s salary is commensurate with the regular and customary rate for an individual with his/her qualifications and expertise, and for the work to be done.

### Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs

OJP strongly encourages applicants that propose to use award funds for any conference-, meeting-, or training-related activity to review carefully – before submitting an application – the OJP policy and guidance on “conference” approval, planning, and reporting available at [www.ojp.gov/funding/confcost.htm](http://www.ojp.gov/funding/confcost.htm). OJP policy and guidance (1) encourage minimization of

---

2 This limitation on use of award funds does not apply to the non-profit organizations specifically named at Appendix C to 2 C.F.R. Part 230.
conference, meeting, and training costs; (2) require prior written approval (which may affect project timelines) of most such costs for cooperative agreement recipients and of some such costs for grant recipients; and (3) set cost limits, including a general prohibition of all food and beverage costs.

Costs Associated with Language Assistance (if applicable)

If an applicant proposes a program or activity that would deliver services or benefits to individuals, the costs of taking reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to those services or benefits for individuals with limited English proficiency may be allowable. Reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to services or benefits may include interpretation or translation services where appropriate.

For additional information, see the "Civil Rights Compliance" section of the OJP "Other Requirements for OJP Applications" Web page at www.ojp.usdoj.gov/funding/other_requirements.htm.

Match Information

See “Cofunding” paragraph under “What an Application Should Include” (below).

Performance Measures

To assist the Department with fulfilling its responsibilities under the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA), Public Law 103-62, and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, Public Law 111–352, applicants that receive funding under this solicitation must provide data that measure the results of their work done under this solicitation. OJP will require any award recipient, post award, to provide the data requested in the “Data Grantee Provides” column so that OJP can calculate values for the “Performance Measures” column. (Submission of performance measures data is not required for the application.) Performance measures for this solicitation are as follows:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Performance Measure(s)</th>
<th>Data Grantee Provides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Assist law enforcement, courts, and corrections agencies with identifying effective tools and technologies, and adopting them into practice | 1. Relevance to the needs of the field as measured by:  
   - a. Total number of discrete criminal justice agencies using the center as a resource for current information on relevant tools and technologies  
   - b. “New customers” — total number of discrete criminal justice agencies using the center as a resource for the first time  
   - c. “Repeat customers” — number of discrete criminal justice agencies using the center as a resource on an ongoing basis  
   - d. Number of equipment performance standards and guides published or updated  
   - e. Number of tools and technologies tested or evaluated annually  
   2. Quality of the research as demonstrated by the scholarly products that result in whole or in part from work funded under the NIJ award (published, peer-reviewed, scientific journal articles, and/or (as appropriate for the funded project) law review journal articles, book chapter(s) or book(s) in the academic press, technological prototypes, patented inventions, or similar scientific products).  
   3. Quality of management as measured by such factors as whether significant project milestones were achieved, reporting and other deadlines were met, and costs remained within approved limits. | 1. Quarterly financial reports, semi-annual and final progress reports, and a draft and final summary overview of the work performed under the NIJ award.  
   2. List of citation(s) to all scholarly products that resulted in whole or in part from work funded under the NIJ award.  
   3. If applicable, each data set that resulted in whole or in part from work funded under the NIJ award. |
What an Application Should Include

Applicants should anticipate that if they fail to submit an application that contains all of the specified elements, it may affect negatively the review of the application; and, should a decision be made to make an award, it may result in the inclusion of special conditions that preclude the recipient from accessing or using award funds pending satisfaction of the conditions.

Moreover, applicants should anticipate that applications determined to be nonresponsive to the scope of the solicitation, or that do not include the application elements that NIJ has designated to be critical, will neither proceed to peer review nor receive further consideration. Under this solicitation, NIJ has designated the following application elements as critical: Program Narrative, Budget Detail Worksheet, Budget Narrative, and resumes/curriculum vitae of the Project Director and any Co Project Directors. For purposes of this solicitation, “key personnel” means the principal investigator, and any and all co-principal investigators.

OJP strongly recommends that applicants use appropriately descriptive file names (e.g., “Program Narrative,” “Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative,” “Timelines,” “Memoranda of Understanding,” “Resumes”) for all attachments. Also, OJP recommends that applicants include resumes in a single file.

1. Information to Complete the Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)

The SF-424 is a required standard form used as a cover sheet for submission of pre-applications, applications, and related information. Grants.gov and OJP’s Grants Management System (GMS) take information from the applicant’s profile to populate the fields on this form. When selecting “type of applicant,” if the applicant is a for-profit entity, select “For-Profit Organization” or “Small Business” (as applicable).

2. Project Abstract

The project abstract is a very important part of the application, and serves as an introduction to the proposed project. NIJ uses the project abstract for a number of purposes, including assignment of the application to an appropriate review panel. If the application is funded, the project abstract typically will become public information and be used to describe the project.

Applications should include a high-quality project abstract that summarizes the proposed project in 250-400 words. Project abstracts should be—

- Written for a general public audience.
- Submitted as a separate attachment with “Project Abstract” as part of its file name.
- Single-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (Times New Roman) with 1-inch margins.

As a separate attachment, the project abstract will not count against the page limit for the program narrative.

Project abstracts should follow the detailed template (including the detailed instructions as to content) available at www.ojp.usdoj.gov/funding/Project_Abstract_Template.pdf.
3. Program Narrative

The program narrative section of the application should not exceed 30 double spaced pages in 12-point font with 1-inch margins. If included in the main body of the program narrative, tables, charts, figures, and other illustrations count toward the 30-page limit for the narrative section. The project abstract, table of contents, appendices, and government forms do not count toward the 30-page limit.

If the program narrative fails to comply with these length-related restrictions, NIJ may consider such noncompliance in peer review and in final award decisions.

The following sections should be included as part of the program narrative.³

Program Narrative Guidelines:

a. Title Page (not counted against the 30-page program narrative limit).

The title page should include the title of the project, submission date, funding opportunity number, and the name and complete contact information (that is, address, telephone number, and e-mail address) for both the applicant organization and the principal investigator.

b. Resubmit Response (if applicable) (not counted against the 30-page program narrative limit).

If an applicant is resubmitting a proposal presented previously to NIJ, but not funded, the applicant should indicate this. A statement should be provided, no more than two pages, addressing: (1) the title, submission date, and NIJ-assigned application number of the previous proposal, and (2) a brief summary of revisions to the proposal, including responses to previous feedback received from NIJ.

c. Table of Contents and Figures (not counted against the 30-page program narrative limit).

d. Main Body.

The main body of the program narrative should describe the proposed project in depth. The following sections should be included as part of the program narrative:

- Statement of the Problem.
- Project Design and Implementation to include:

³ As noted earlier, if the proposed program or project reasonably could be conducted in discrete phases, with each phase resulting in completion of one or more significant, defined milestones, then NIJ strongly recommends that the applicant structure the application – specifically including the narrative, expected scholarly products, timelines/milestones, and budget detail worksheet and budget narrative – to set out each phase clearly. (In appropriate cases, the expected scholarly product(s) from a particular phase may vary from those described above.) See generally, “Goals, Objectives, Deliverables, and Expected Scholarly Products” under “Program-Specific Information,” above.
o How the applicant proposes to identify relevant tools and technologies, as well as matters affecting their adoption into practice (e.g., case law, health and safety risks, operational capabilities and limitations, etc.).

o How the applicant proposed to communicate that information to agencies in a manner that fosters their adoption

o How the applicant proposes to measure the success of its dissemination efforts

o How the applicant proposes to administer the NIJ Standards and Testing Program

- Potential Impact.

- Capabilities/Competencies.

Within these sections, the narrative should address:

- Purpose, goals, and objectives.

- Review of relevant literature.

- Detailed description of research design and methods, such as research questions, hypotheses, description of sample, and analysis plan.

- Planned Scholarly Products (See “Goals, Objectives, Deliverables, and Expected Scholarly Products” under “Program-Specific Information,” above, for a discussion of expected scholarly products.)

- Management plan and organization.

e. **Appendices** (not counted against the 30-page narrative limit) include:

- Bibliography/references.

- Any tools/instruments, questionnaires, tables/charts/graphs, or maps pertaining to the proposed project that are supplemental to such items included in the main body of the narrative.

- Curriculum vitae or resumes of the project director and any and all co-project directors. In addition, curriculum vitae, resumes, or biographical sketches of all other individuals (regardless of “investigator” status) who will be significantly involved in substantive aspects of the proposal (including, for example, individuals such as statisticians serving as consultants to conduct proposed data analysis).
• List (to the extent known) of all proposed project staff members, including those affiliated with the applicant organization or any proposed subrecipient organization(s), any proposed consultant(s) and contractors (whether individuals or organizations), and any proposed members of an advisory board for the project (if applicable). The list should include, for each individual and organization: name, title (if applicable), employer or other organizational affiliation, and roles and responsibilities proposed for the project. Applicants should use the “Proposed Project Staff, Affiliation, and Roles” form available at www.nij.gov/funding/documents/nij-project-staff-template.xlsx to provide this listing.

• Proposed project timeline and expected milestones.

• Human Subjects Protection paperwork (documentation and forms related to Institutional Review Board (IRB) review). (See www.nij.gov/funding/humansubjects/Pages/welcome.aspx) NOTE: Final IRB approval is not required at the time an application is submitted.

• Privacy Certificate (for further guidance go to www.nij.gov/funding/humansubjects/pages/confidentiality.aspx).

• List of any previous and current NIJ awards to applicant organization and investigator(s), including the NIJ-assigned award numbers and a brief description of any scholarly products that resulted in whole or in part from work funded under the NIJ award(s). (See “Goals, Objective, Deliverables, and Expected Scholarly Products” under “Program-Specific Information,” above, for definition of “scholarly products.”)

• Letters of cooperation/support or administrative agreements from organizations collaborating in the project, such as law enforcement and correctional agencies (if applicable).

• List of other agencies, organizations, or funding sources to which this proposal has been submitted (if applicable).

• Data archiving plan. Applicants should anticipate that NIJ will require (through special award conditions, including a partial withholding of award funds) that data sets resulting in whole or in part from projects funded under this solicitation be submitted for archiving with the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data (NACJD). (For a detailed discussion of the information NIJ expects to find in a data archiving plan, see www.nij.gov/funding/data-resources-program/applying/Pages/data-archiving-strategies.aspx.)

Applications should include as an appendix a brief plan – labeled “Data Archiving Plan” – to comply with data archiving requirements. The plan should provide brief details about proposed data management and archiving, including submission to NIJ (through NACJD) of all files and documentation necessary to allow for future efforts by others to reproduce the project’s findings and/or to extend the scientific value of the data set through secondary analysis. Pertinent files and documentation include, among other
things, qualitative and quantitative data produced, instrumentation and data
collection forms, codebook(s), any specialized programming code necessary
to reproduce all constructed measures and the original data analysis,
description of necessary de-identification procedures, and (when required) a
copy of the privacy certificate and informed consent protocols.

The plan should be one or two pages in length and include the level of effort
associated with meeting archiving requirements.

Note that required data sets are to be submitted 90 days before the end of
the project period.

- Plan to administer the NIJ Ballistic Body Armor Compliance Testing Program

4. Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative

a. Budget Detail Worksheet

A sample Budget Detail Worksheet can be found
at www.ojp.gov/funding/forms/budget_detail.pdf. Applicants that submit their budget in a
different format should include the budget categories listed in the sample budget
worksheet. (Work associated with satisfying data archiving requirements should be
reflected.) Please note: NIJ expects applicants to provide a thorough narrative to each
section of the Budget Detail Worksheet, as described under “Budget Narrative,” below.

For questions pertaining to budget and examples of allowable and unallowable costs,

b. Budget Narrative

The budget narrative should thoroughly and clearly describe every category of expense
listed in the Budget Detail Worksheet. OJP expects proposed budgets to be complete,
cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for project
activities).

Applicants should demonstrate in their budget narratives how they will maximize cost
effectiveness of grant expenditures. Budget narratives should generally describe cost
effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the goals of the project. For
example, a budget narrative should detail why planned in-person meetings are
necessary, or how technology and collaboration with outside organizations could be
used to reduce costs, without compromising quality.

The narrative should be sound mathematically, and correspond with the information and
figures provided in the Budget Detail Worksheet. The narrative should explain how the
applicant estimated and calculated all costs, and how they are relevant to the completion
of the proposed project. The narrative may include tables for clarification purposes but
need not be in a spreadsheet format. As with the Budget Detail Worksheet, the Budget
Narrative should be broken down by year.
c. Cofunding

An award made by NIJ under this solicitation may account for up to 100 percent of the total cost of the project. The application should indicate whether it is feasible for the applicant to contribute cash, facilities, or services as non-federal support for the project. The application should identify generally any such contributions that the applicant expects to make and the proposed budget should indicate in detail which items, if any, will be supported with non-federal contributions.

If a successful application proposes a voluntary match amount, and OJP approves the budget, the total match amount incorporated into the approved budget becomes mandatory and subject to audit.

d. Non-Competitive Procurement Contracts In Excess of Simplified Acquisition Threshold

If an applicant proposes to make one or more non-competitive procurements of products or services, where the non-competitive procurement will exceed the simplified acquisition threshold (also known as the small purchase threshold), which is currently set at $150,000, the application should address the considerations outlined in the OJP Financial Guide.

5. Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable)

Indirect costs are allowed only if the applicant has a federally approved indirect cost rate. (This requirement does not apply to units of local government.) Attach a copy of the federally approved indirect cost rate agreement to the application. Applicants that do not have an approved rate may request one through their cognizant federal agency, which will review all documentation and approve a rate for the applicant organization, or, if the applicant’s accounting system permits, costs may be allocated in the direct cost categories. For assistance with identifying your cognizant agency, please contact the OJP Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO) Customer Service Center at 1-800-458-0786 or at ask.ocfo@usdoj.gov. If DOJ is the cognizant federal agency, applicants may obtain information needed to submit an indirect cost rate proposal at www.ojp.usdoj.gov/funding/pdfs/indirect_costs.pdf.

6. Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable)

Tribes, tribal organizations, or third parties proposing to provide direct services or assistance to residents on tribal lands should include in their applications a resolution, a letter, affidavit, or other documentation, as appropriate, that certifies that the applicant has the legal authority from the tribe(s) to implement the proposed project on tribal lands. In those instances when an organization or consortium of tribes applies for a grant on behalf of a tribe or multiple specific tribes, the application should include appropriate legal documentation, as described above, from all tribes that would receive services or assistance under the grant. A consortium of tribes for which existing consortium bylaws allow action without support from all tribes in the consortium (i.e., without an authorizing resolution or comparable legal documentation from each tribal governing body) may submit, instead, a copy of its consortium bylaws with the application.
7. Additional Attachments

a. Applicant disclosure of pending applications

Applicants are to disclose whether they have pending applications for federally funded grants or subgrants (including cooperative agreements) that include requests for funding to support the same project being proposed under this solicitation and will cover the identical cost items outlined in the budget narrative and worksheet in the application under this solicitation. The disclosure should include both direct applications for federal funding (e.g., applications to federal agencies) and indirect applications for such funding (e.g., applications to state agencies that will subaward federal funds).

OJP seeks this information to help avoid any inappropriate duplication of funding. Leveraging multiple funding sources in a complementary manner to implement comprehensive programs or projects is encouraged and is not seen as inappropriate duplication.

Applicants that have pending applications as described above are to provide the following information about pending applications submitted within the last 12 months:

- The federal or state funding agency.
- The solicitation name/project name.
- The point of contact information at the applicable funding agency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal or State Funding Agency</th>
<th>Solicitation Name/Project Name</th>
<th>Name/Phone/E-mail for Point of Contact at Funding Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOJ/COPS</td>
<td>COPS Hiring Program</td>
<td>Jane Doe, 202/000-0000; <a href="mailto:jane.doe@usdoj.gov">jane.doe@usdoj.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHS/Substance Abuse &amp; Mental Health Services Administration</td>
<td>Drug Free Communities Mentoring Program/ North County Youth Mentoring Program</td>
<td>John Doe, 202/000-0000; <a href="mailto:john.doe@hhs.gov">john.doe@hhs.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Applicants should include the table as a separate attachment, with the file name “Disclosure of Pending Applications,” to their application. Applicants that do not have pending applications as described above are to include a statement to this effect in the separate attachment page (e.g., “[Applicant Name on SF-424] does not have pending applications submitted within the last 12 months for federally funded grants or subgrants (including cooperative agreements) that include requests for funding to support the same

---

4 Typically, the applicant is not the principal investigator; rather, the applicant, most frequently, is the institution, organization, or company in which the principal investigator is employed.
project being proposed under this solicitation and will cover the identical cost items outlined in the budget narrative and worksheet in the application under this solicitation.”).

b. Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity

If a proposal involves research and/or evaluation, regardless of the proposal’s other merits, in order to receive funds, the applicant must demonstrate research/evaluation independence, including appropriate safeguards to ensure research/evaluation objectivity and integrity.

For purposes of this solicitation, research and evaluation independence and integrity pertains to ensuring that the design, conduct, or reporting of research and evaluation funded by NIJ grants, cooperative agreements, or contracts will not be biased by any personal or financial conflict of interest on the part of the investigators responsible for the research and evaluation or on the part of the applicant organization. Conflicts can be either actual or apparent. Examples of potential investigator (or other personal) conflict situations may include those in which an investigator would be in a position to evaluate a spouse’s work product (actual conflict), or an investigator would be in a position to evaluate the work of a former colleague (potential apparent conflict). With regard to potential organizational conflicts of interest, as one example, generally an organization could not be given a grant to evaluate a project if that organization had itself provided substantial prior technical assistance to that project, as the organization in such an instance would appear to be evaluating the effectiveness of its own prior work. The key is whether a reasonable person understanding all of the facts would be able to have confidence that the results of any research or evaluation project are objective and reliable. Any outside personal or financial interest that casts doubt on that objectivity and reliability is a problem.

In the attachment dealing with research and evaluation independence and integrity, the applicant should explain the process and procedures that the applicant has put in place to identify and eliminate (or, at the very least, mitigate) potential personal or financial conflicts of interest on the part of its staff, consultants, and/or subrecipients. It should also identify any potential organizational conflicts of interest on the part of the applicant with regard to the proposed research/evaluation. If the applicant reasonably believes that no potential personal or organizational conflicts of interest exist, then the applicant should provide a brief narrative explanation of how and why it reached that conclusion. Documentation that may be helpful in this regard could include organizational codes of ethics/conduct or policies regarding organizational, personal, and financial conflicts of interest.

For situations in which potential personal or organizational conflicts of interest exist, in the attachment, the applicant should identify the safeguards the applicant has or will put in place to eliminate, mitigate, or otherwise address those conflicts of interest.

Considerations in assessing research and evaluation independence and integrity will include, but may not be limited to, the adequacy of the applicant’s efforts to identify factors that could affect the objectivity or integrity of the proposed staff and/or the organization in carrying out the research, development, or evaluation activity; and the adequacy of the applicant’s existing or proposed remedies to control any such factors.
8. Accounting System and Financial Capability Questionnaire (if applicable)

Any applicant (other than an individual) that is a non-governmental entity and that has not received any award from OJP within the past 3 years is to download, complete, and submit this form.

Selection Criteria

Applications that meet basic minimum requirements will be evaluated by peer reviewers using the following review criteria.

Statement of the Problem (Understanding of the problem and its importance) – 10%

1. Demonstrated understanding of the problem.
2. Demonstrated awareness of the state of current research.

Project Design and Implementation (Quality and technical merit) – 50%

1. Soundness of methods and analytic and technical approach to addressing the stated aim(s) of the proposed project.
2. Feasibility of proposed project.
3. Awareness of potential pitfalls of proposed project design and feasibility of proposed actions to minimize and/or mitigate them.

Potential Impact – 20%

Potential for a significant scientific or technical advance(s) that will improve criminal justice in the United States, such as—

- Potential for significantly improved understanding of the stated criminal justice problem.
- Potential for innovative solution to address (all or a significant part of) the stated criminal justice problem.

Capabilities/Competencies (Capabilities, demonstrated productivity, and experience of the applicant organization and proposed project staff) – 20%

1. Qualifications and experience of proposed project staff (that is, the principal investigator, any and all co-principal investigators, and all other individuals (and organizations) identified in the application (regardless of “investigator” status) who will be significantly involved in substantive aspects of the proposal).
2. Demonstrated ability of the applicant organization to manage the effort.
3. Relationship between the capabilities/competencies of the proposed project staff (including the applicant organization) and the scope of the proposed project.
Budget

Peer reviewers will consider and may comment on the following additional items in the context of scientific and technical merit.

1. Total cost of the project relative to the perceived benefit (cost effectiveness).
2. Appropriateness of the budget relative to the level of effort.
3. Use of existing resources to conserve costs.
4. Proposed budget alignment with proposed project activities.

Plan for Dissemination to Broader Audiences (if applicable to the proposed project)

Peer reviewers may comment – in the context of scientific and technical merit – on the proposed plan (if any) to produce or to make available to broader interested audiences, such as criminal justice practitioners or policymakers, summary information from the planned scholarly products of the project.

As discussed further under “Review Process,” below, award decisions will be made by the Director of NIJ, who may consider factors including, but not limited to, planned scholarly products, proposed budgets, past performance (including scholarly products) under prior NIJ and OJP awards.

Review Process

OJP is committed to ensuring a fair and open process for awarding grants. NIJ reviews the application to make sure that the information presented is reasonable, understandable, measurable, and achievable, as well as consistent with the solicitation.

Peer reviewers will review the applications submitted under this solicitation that meet basic minimum requirements. NIJ may use internal peer reviewers, external peer reviewers, or a combination, to review the applications. An external peer reviewer is an expert in the subject matter of a given solicitation who is NOT a current DOJ employee. An internal reviewer is a current DOJ employee who is well-versed or has expertise in the subject matter of this solicitation. A peer review panel will evaluate, score, and rate applications that meet basic minimum requirements. Peer reviewers’ ratings and any resulting recommendations are advisory only, although their views are considered carefully. In addition to peer review ratings, considerations for award recommendations and decisions may include, but are not limited to, underserved populations, geographic diversity, strategic priorities, past performance, and available funding.

The Office of the Chief Financial Officer, in consultation with NIJ, reviews applications for potential discretionary awards to evaluate the fiscal integrity and financial capability of applicants, examines proposed costs to determine if the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative accurately explain project costs, and determines whether costs are reasonable, necessary, and allowable under applicable federal cost principles and agency regulations.
All final award decisions will be made by the Director of NIJ, who may consider factors including, but not limited to, underserved populations, geographic diversity, strategic priorities, past performance, and available funding.

**Additional Requirements**

Applicants selected for awards must agree to comply with additional legal requirements upon acceptance of an award. OJP encourages applicants to review the information pertaining to these additional requirements prior to submitting an application. Additional information for each requirement can be found at [www.ojp.usdoj.gov/funding/other_requirements.htm](http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/funding/other_requirements.htm).

- Civil Rights Compliance
- Civil Rights Compliance Specific to State Administering Agencies
- Faith-Based and Other Community Organizations
- Confidentiality
- Research and the Protection of Human Subjects
- Anti-Lobbying Act
- Financial and Government Audit Requirements
- Reporting of Potential Fraud, Waste, and Abuse, and Similar Misconduct
- National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)
- DOJ Information Technology Standards (if applicable)
- Single Point of Contact Review
- Non-Supplanting of State or Local Funds
- Criminal Penalty for False Statements
- Compliance with [Office of Justice Programs Financial Guide](http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/funding/other_requirements.htm)
- Suspension or Termination of Funding
- Non-profit Organizations
- For-profit Organizations
- Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA)
- Rights in Intellectual Property
• Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA)
• Awards in Excess of $5,000,000 – Federal Taxes Certification Requirement
• Active SAM Registration
• Policy and Guidance for Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conferences (including Meetings and Trainings)
• OJP Training Guiding Principles for Grantees and Subgrantees

As indicated earlier in this solicitation, NIJ expects scholarly products to result from any award under this solicitation. Please review the “Goals, Objectives, Deliverables, and Expected Scholarly Products” segment of the “Program-Specific Information” section of this solicitation, as well as the “Performance Measures” section.

In addition to the expectation of scholarly products, successful applicants under this solicitation will be required to submit the following deliverables regarding the work funded by the NIJ award:

**Semi-Annual and Final Progress Reports**

Applicants should anticipate that progress reports will be required to follow the non-budgetary components of the Research Performance Progress Report (RPPR) template/format. General information on RPPRs may be found at [http://www.nij.gov/funding/Pages/progress-report-guidelines.aspx](http://www.nij.gov/funding/Pages/progress-report-guidelines.aspx). Future award and fund draw-downs may be withheld if reports are delinquent.

**Quarterly and Final Financial Reports; Audit Reports**

Quarterly and final financial status reports are required. If applicable, an annual audit report in accordance with Office of Management and Budget requirements must be submitted. Future award and fund draw-downs may be withheld if reports are delinquent.

**Draft and Final Summary Overview of the Work Conducted under the Award**

The overview is expected to provide an overall summary of the work under, and results of, the project funded by NIJ under this solicitation. Among other things, the summary overview should address the purpose of the project, project subjects (if applicable), project design and methods, data analysis, project findings, and implications for criminal justice policy and practice in the United States.

A draft summary overview no longer than 10 pages long (double-spaced) is to be submitted 90 days prior to the end of the project period for NIJ review and comment.

**Required Data Sets and Associated Files and Documentation**

As discussed earlier, applicants should anticipate that NIJ will require any recipient of an award under this solicitation to submit to NACJD all data sets that result in whole or in part from the work funded by NIJ, along with associated files and any documentation necessary to allow for future efforts by others to reproduce the project’s findings and/or to extend the scientific value of the data set through secondary analysis. All data sets and necessary documentation are to be
submitted 90 days prior to the end of the project period. For more information, see the “Program Narrative” section of “What an Application Must Include,” above.

How to Apply

Applicants must register in, and submit applications through Grants.gov, a “one-stop storefront” to find federal funding opportunities and apply for funding. Find complete instructions on how to register and submit an application at www.Grants.gov. Applicants that experience technical difficulties during this process should call the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800-518-4726 or 606–545–5035, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except federal holidays. Registering with Grants.gov is a one-time process; however, processing delays may occur, and it can take several weeks for first-time registrants to receive confirmation and a user password. OJP encourages applicants to register several weeks before the application submission deadline. In addition, OJP urges applicants to submit applications 72 hours prior to the application due date to allow time to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.

NIJ strongly encourages all prospective applicants to sign up for Grants.gov email notifications regarding this solicitation. If this solicitation is cancelled or modified, individuals who sign up with Grants.gov for updates will be notified.

Note on File Names and File Types: Grants.gov only permits the use of certain specific characters in names of attachment files. Valid file names may include only the characters shown in the table below. Grants.gov is designed to reject any application that includes an attachment(s) with a file name that contains any characters not shown in the table below. Grants.gov is designed to forward successfully submitted applications to OJP’s Grants Management System (GMS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characters</th>
<th>Special Characters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper case (A – Z)</td>
<td>Parenthesis ( )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower case (a – z)</td>
<td>Ampersand (&amp;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number 0 – 9</td>
<td>Comma ( , )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underscore (_)</td>
<td>At sign (@)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyphen (-)</td>
<td>Percent sign (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space</td>
<td>When using the ampersand (&amp;) in XML, applicants must use the “&amp;” format.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GMS does not accept executable file types as application attachments. These disallowed file types include, but are not limited to, the following extensions: “.com,” “.bat,” “.exe,” “.vbs,” “.cfg,” “.dat,” “.db,” “.dbf,” “.dll,” “.ini,” “.log,” “.ora,” “.sys,” and “.zip.” GMS may reject applications with files that use these extensions. It is important to allow time to change the type of file(s) if the application is rejected.

All applicants are required to complete the following steps:

1. Acquire a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number. In general, the Office of Management and Budget requires that all applicants (other than individuals) for federal funds include a DUNS number in their applications for a new award or a supplement to an existing award. A DUNS number is a unique nine-digit sequence recognized as the universal standard for identifying and differentiating entities receiving federal funds. The
identifier is used for tracking purposes and to validate address and point of contact information for federal assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. The DUNS number will be used throughout the grant life cycle. Obtaining a DUNS number is a free, one-time activity. Call Dun and Bradstreet at 866–705–5711 to obtain a DUNS number or apply online at www.dnb.com. A DUNS number is usually received within 1-2 business days.

2. **Acquire registration with the System for Award Management (SAM).** SAM is the repository for standard information about federal financial assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. OJP requires all applicants (other than individuals) for federal financial assistance to maintain current registrations in the SAM database. Applicants must be registered in SAM to successfully register in Grants.gov. Applicants must **update or renew their SAM registration annually** to maintain an active status.

Applications cannot be successfully submitted in Grants.gov until Grants.gov receives the SAM registration information. The information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take up to 48 hours. OJP recommends that the applicant register or renew registration with SAM as early as possible.

Information about SAM registration procedures can be accessed at www.sam.gov.

3. **Acquire an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) and a Grants.gov username and password.** Complete the AOR profile on Grants.gov and create a username and password. The applicant organization’s DUNS number must be used to complete this step. For more information about the registration process, go to www.grants.gov/applicants/get_registered.jsp.

4. **Acquire confirmation for the AOR from the E-Business Point of Contact (E-Biz POC).** The E-Biz POC at the applicant organization must log into Grants.gov to confirm the applicant organization’s AOR. Note that an organization can have more than one AOR.

5. **Search for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov.** Use the following identifying information when searching for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance number for this solicitation is 16.560, titled “National Institute of Justice Research, Evaluation, and Development Project Grants” and the funding opportunity number is NIJ–2014-3731.

6. **Complete the Disclosure of Lobbying Activities.** All applicants must complete this information. Applicants that expend any funds for lobbying activities must provide the detailed information requested on the form Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL). Applicants that do not expend any funds for lobbying activities should enter “N/A” in the required highlighted fields.

7. **Submit a valid application consistent with this solicitation by following the directions in Grants.gov.** Within 24–48 hours after submitting the electronic application, the applicant should receive an e-mail validation message from Grants.gov. The message will state whether the application has been received and validated, or rejected due to errors, with an explanation. It is possible to first receive a message indicating that the application is received and then receive a rejection notice a few minutes or hours later. Submitting well ahead of the deadline provides time to correct the problem(s) that caused the rejection. **Important:** OJP urges applicants to submit applications at least 72 hours prior to the application due date to allow time to receive validation messages or rejection.
notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.

Click here for further details on DUNS, SAM, and Grants.gov registration steps and timeframes.

**Note: Duplicate Applications**

If an applicant submits multiple versions of an application, NIJ will review only the most recent valid version submitted.

**Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues**

Applicants that experience unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond their control that prevent them from submitting their application by the deadline must e-mail the NIJ contact identified in the Contact Information section on page 1 within 24 hours after the application deadline and request approval to submit their application. The e-mail must describe the technical difficulties, and include a timeline of the applicant's submission efforts, the complete grant application, the applicant's DUNS number, and any Grants.gov Help Desk or SAM tracking number(s). **Note: NIJ does not automatically approve requests.** After the program office reviews the submission, and contacts the Grants.gov or SAM Help Desks to validate the reported technical issues, OJP will inform the applicant whether the request to submit a late application has been approved or denied. If OJP determines that the applicant failed to follow all required procedures, which resulted in an untimely application submission, OJP will deny the applicant's request to submit their application.

The following conditions generally are insufficient to justify late submissions:

- Failure to register in SAM or Grants.gov in sufficient time.
- Failure to follow Grants.gov instructions on how to register and apply as posted on its website.
- Failure to follow each instruction in the OJP solicitation.
- Technical issues with the applicant's computer or information technology environment, including firewalls.

Notifications regarding known technical problems with Grants.gov, if any, are posted at the top of the OJP funding Web page at [www.ojp.usdoj.gov/funding/solicitations.htm](http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/funding/solicitations.htm).

**Provide Feedback to OJP**

To assist OJP in improving its application and award processes, we encourage applicants to provide feedback on this solicitation, the application submission process, and/or the application review/peer review process. Provide feedback to OJPSolicitationFeedback@usdoj.gov.

**IMPORTANT:** This e-mail is for feedback and suggestions only. Replies are not sent from this mailbox. If you have specific questions on any program or technical aspect of the solicitation, you must directly contact the appropriate number or e-mail listed on the front of this solicitation.
document. These contacts are provided to help ensure that you can directly reach an individual who can address your specific questions in a timely manner.

If you are interested in being a reviewer for other OJP grant applications, please e-mail your resume to ojppreerreview@lmbps.com. The OJP Solicitation Feedback email account will not forward your resume. **Note:** Neither you nor anyone else from your organization can be a peer reviewer in a competition in which you or your organization has submitted an application.
Application Checklist

National Criminal Justice Technology Information Resource Center

This application checklist has been created to assist in developing an application.

What an Applicant Should Do:

*Prior to Registering in Grants.gov:*
   - _____ Acquire a DUNS Number (see page 24)
   - _____ Acquire or renew registration with SAM (see page 25)

*To Register with Grants.gov:*
   - _____ Acquire AOR and Grants.gov username/password (see page 25)
   - _____ Acquire AOR confirmation from the E-Biz POC (see page 25)

*To Find Funding Opportunity:*
   - _____ Search for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov (see page 25)
   - _____ Download Funding Opportunity and Application Package (see page 25)
   - _____ Sign up for Grants.gov email notifications (optional) (see page 24)
   - _____ Read Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov

General Requirements:

   - _____ Review “Other Requirements” webpage

What an Application Should Include:

   - _____ Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424) (see page 12)
   - _____ Project Abstract (see page 12)
   - _____ Program Narrative (see page 13)
   - _____ Appendices (see page 14)
   - _____ Budget Detail Worksheet (see page 16)
   - _____ Budget Narrative (see page 16)
      - _____ Employee Compensation Waiver request and justification (if applicable) (see page 9)
   - _____ Read OJP policy and guidance on “conference” approval, planning, and reporting, available at www.ojp.gov/funding/confcost.htm (see page 9)
   - _____ Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL) (see page 25)
   - _____ Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable) (see page 17)
   - _____ Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable) (see page 17)
   - _____ Additional Attachments
      - _____ Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications (see page 18)
      - _____ Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity (see page 19)
   - _____ Accounting System and Financial Capability Questionnaire (if applicable) (see page 20)